ihterin Soil, groundwater 005354 Snatt 2049 Applied Geotechnology Inc.

A report prepared for

Gull Industries Inc. 3404 Fourth Avenue South Post Office Box 24687 Seattle, Washington 98134 RECEIVED FEB 25 1931 DEPT. OF ECOLOGY

Interim : Soil, ground water HYDROCARBON CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT TRUCK CITY TRUCK STOP 1731 OLD HIGHWAY 99 SOUTH MOUNT VERNON, WASHINGTON

AGI Project No. 15,256.049

TRUCK CITY TRUCK STOP 1731 Hwy. 99 So. Mount Vernon, WA 98273

by

Bart G. Bretherton Project Hydrogeologist

John E. Newby, P.F

President

APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY INC. 300 120th Avenue N.E., Building 4, Suite 219 Post Office Box 3885 Bellevue, Washington 98009 206/453-8383

November 13, 1989



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION | • | ••• | •• | ••• | • | •, | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 1 |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|------|---------|-----|-----|-----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|---|------------------|
| Purpose | and | Scor | pe o | fS | Ser | vic | es | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | . • | • | ٠ | 1 |
| SITE CONDITIO | NS | • • | • • | • | • • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 2 |
| Surface Geology Groundw Hydroca | and ater | • | ls. | • | ••• | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 2 2 3 4 |
| CONTAMINATION | I ASS | ESSM | ENT | • | •• | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 5 |
| CONCLUSIONS | ••• | •• | ••• | • | •• | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 6 |
| LIMITATIONS | • • | •• | •• | • | | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 7 |
| DISTRIBUTION | •• | • • | ••• | •. | •• | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | 20 |

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Groundwater Elevation Survey Data Appendix B: Laboratory Analyses

Applied Geotechnology Inc.

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| Figure | 1 | Site Sketch |
|-------------------|----|----------------------------|
| Figure | 2 | Soil Classification/Legend |
| Figure | 3 | Monitor Well Construction |
| Figures thru 1 | | Logs of Borings |
| Figure : | 12 | Elevation of Water Table |

INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes work performed by Applied Geotechnology Inc. (AGI) on behalf of Gull Industries Inc. to assess potential hydrocarbon contamination at Truck City Truck Stop, located at 1731 Old Highway 99 South, Mount Vernon, Washington. Gull may purchase the property and requested AGI perform a contamination assessment.

Purpose and Scope of Services

The purpose of our assessment was to observe conditions at the site and evaluate the extent, if any, of subsurface hydrocarbon contamination. The objectives of the assessment were to:

- Establish baseline soil and groundwater conditions for possible future comparison.
- o Document the existence or nonexistence of hydrocarbon contamination.
- Evaluate potential off-site environmental impacts of any identified contamination.
- o. Recommend which immediate corrective actions are required, if any.

The scope of our services to address these objectives included the following items:

- Review published information concerning subsurface geological and hydrogeological conditions.
- o Drill and log 8 soil borings to between 15 and 20 feet.
- Collect soil samples during boring, and perform on-site analysis of soil gases (headspace analysis) for volatile hydrocarbons.
- o Submit selected soil samples for analytical testing to quantify subsurface contamination, if present.
- o Install groundwater monitor wells in six of the soil borings to depths of 15 feet.
- Perform well development and subsequent water sampling, and submit water samples for appropriate chemical analysis.
- Document all observations, conditions and results in a final report.

SITE CONDITIONS

Surface

Truck City Truck Stop is located at 1731 Old Highway 99 South in Mount Vernon, Washington. The station has existed since 1952 and was purchased by the current owner in 1982. Figure 1 is a Site Sketch of the facility. The station incorporates approximately 8.5 acres and consists of a 24-hour truck stop/office building, a truck scale, four pump islands, a cafe, two storage buildings, five rental buildings, and two acres of open space. A total of fifteen underground storage tanks (USTs) have been identified on site. There are eleven USTs in three tank nests and one lube oil tank beneath the truck fueling area. The USTs range in capacity from 1,000 to found during our site gallons. Three other USTs were 15,000 reconnaissance. The former use and size of one UST is unknown, but it's position relative to an old building site implies that it may be an abandoned fuel oil tank. Two other tanks are located between the truck fueling area and auto fueling area. These two tanks are uncapped and receive surface water runoff. Their purpose is unknown.

Past indications of contamination problems at this site include verbal indication by the owner of a 200 to 300-gallon diesel fuel spill near the current diesel UST nest (north of MW-6 on Figure 1). This reportedly occurred about two years ago (1987?). In addition, the owner reports that a tank in the southwestern tank nest (next to MW-1) admitted significant amounts of water prior to its abandonment 20 years go. Finally, the owner says that the gasoline service station building burned down in 1976, with unknown effects on subsurface conditions.

Geology and Soils

The site lies in the floodplain of the Skagit River at an elevation of approximately 16 feet above Mean Sea Level. The Skagit River meanders west and then south approximately 1-1/2 mile from the site. Britt's Slough is located 1/2 to 3/4-mile west of the site. A hill, Little Mountain, rises approximately 500 feet, 3/4's of a mile east of the site.

The area encompassing the site has been mapped as recent alluvium and artificial fill. The alluvium includes fluvial sand, silt, and gravel with minor lacustrine deposits. These alluvial deposits range from well sorted to poorly sorted, depending on their association with the Skagit River or tributary stream flow to the Skagit River.

On September 27 to 29, 1989, eight soil borings were advanced to depths of 15 and 20 feet at the site. Groundwater monitoring wells were installed in six of these borings. Locations of the soil borings and monitor wells are noted on Figure 1. Soil units encountered in the borings were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (U.S.C.S.) described in Figure 2. Figure 3 shows the typical monitor well construction. Logs of the borings, including well construction summaries, are presented as Figures 4 through 11. Three generalized geologic units were encountered during drilling. Surficial fill consists of brown sandy gravel to gravelly sand, occasionally with only a trace of gravel. The fill extends to a depth between 1.5 and 3.5 feet below surface, and is gray where contamination is present. Beneath the fill lies a variable floodplain sequence ranging from silty sand to silt to organic clay and peat. These sediments tended toward silt and peat to the northeast, while silty sand was more common to the southwest. Only one boring (B4) encountered relatively silt-free sand beneath the surface fill. The variable composition of this sequence is characteristic of overbank floodplain deposits.

The top of the deepest sand deposit was encountered at a depth between 8.5 and 16 feet below land surface. This gray sand has fine to medium or coarse grain size. It represents the shallow groundwater aquifer in the area, and is characteristic of sand deposited by rivers.

Groundwater

Groundwater was typically encountered at a depth of 6.5 to 7.5 feet below ground surface during drilling. Depth to water measurements, corrected to an arbitrary datum of 16 feet above Mean Sea Level, were used to determine the groundwater gradient and flow direction. Water levels were measured on three occasions: September 28, September 29, and October 9, 1989. Water level measurements and elevations are tabulated in Appendix A.

Water level measurements taken on October 9, 1989 were used to construct Figure 12, a map showing elevation of the water table and groundwater flow direction. This figure shows a westerly to southwesterly direction of flow, and a change in slope of the water table between the eastern area (MW-3, MW-5, and MW-6) and the western area. This change in slope may approximately correspond to the transition within the flood plain soils between silts and clays to the northeast and silty sands to the southwest. The underlying sand aquifer may pass from confined to unconfined conditions along a transect from east to west.

Well MW-2 fluctuated radically during the two-week measurement period. The water level on September 28 was 2.94 to 1.65 feet higher than all upgradient wells. The next day, the water level was 0.3 feet higher than Well MW-4. Both these measurements imply a gradient reversal originating from a groundwater mound near Well MW-2. This mound might be due to leaking utilities (both water and sewer lines are present nearby). More likely, this change may be an artifact of drilling, which took place on September 27. Water level taken on October 9, 1989 were more consistent, showing MW-2 to have the lowest water elevation.

Hydrocarbon Contamination

During drilling, soil samples were taken at discrete intervals to determine contamination levels. The samples were analyzed in the field using an organic vapor meter (OVM) to measure organic vapor levels in the air space above a contained soil sample (headspace analysis). Results of the headspace analysis are indicated on the boring logs under the heading OVM. Values in the OVM listing are measured in parts per million (ppm). Based on the headspace analysis, selected soil and water samples were sent for laboratory analysis by Analytical Technologies Inc. in Renton, Washington.

Soil samples from B-1, B-4, B-5, and B-7 were analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) by EPA Method 8015 modified for hydrocarbons. The analytical results are summarizes in the table below and are reported as milligrams per kilogram of soil (mg/kg) which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm) TPH.

| Soil Sample I.D. | Gasoline Concentration | <u>Diesel Concentration</u> |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| B-1 @ 10.0 | 716 | 13,000 |
| B-4 @ 12.5 | <5 | <5 |
| B-5 @ 9.0 | 470 | 270 |
| B-7 & 9.0 | <5 | <5 |

After completion, the monitor wells were developed and samples taken to determine the level of hydrocarbons dissolved in groundwater. Water samples from MW-1, MW-2, and MW-4 were analyzed for benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene and xylenes (BETX) by EPA Method 8020. Results of the groundwater samples are summarized below in micrograms per liter (ug/l) or parts per billion (ppb):

| Constituent | <u>MW-1</u> | <u>MW-2</u> | <u>MW-4</u> |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Benzene | 3,000 | 870 | 6,800 |
| Ethylbenzene | 250 | 51 | 790 |
| Toluene | <50 | 72 | 11,000 |
| Xylenes | <50 | 30 | 10,000 |

The water sample from MW-1 was also analyzed for TPH by modified EPA Method 8015. The analytical results indicate 1 ppm gasoline and 6 ppm diesel present in the sample. Copies of all analytical reports are attached as Appendix B.

Applied Geotechnology Inc.

CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT

Norw 5 PYb

sy In Diesel 2020 100 Mpm. TPH 100 Mpm. Jon's

Analytical / results indicate hydrocarbon contamination above / Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) cleanup action guidelines (200 ppm TPH in soil and (66) ppb benzene in water) exist in MW-1 (B-1), MW-2 (B-2), and MW-4 (B-5) at this site. These wells are sited in very permeable sands and it is likely that the contamination is not limited to the tank backfill materials.

Information gathered for this assessment suggests diesel contamination in the vicinity of MW-1 may be extensive. Three USTs exist in the tank nest north of MW-1. All three USTs were diesel tanks before conversion of one UST to gasoline storage and abandonment of the other two. One UST was abandoned because water continually appeared in the pumped fuel. This implies a direct communication between the tank interior and the surrounding groundwater. The two idle tanks in this tank nest just north of MW-1 have not been used for 20 years. A sample from MW-1 shows diesel contamination of 13,000 ppm in the soil. A water sample from this well contained 3,000 ppb of benzene and 7 ppm of TPH, mostly diesel.

A second UST nest is located about 100 feet north of MW-1 in the vicinity of MW-4. This tank nest has a functioning diesel UST with 4,800-gallon capacity and two gasoline USTs with 5,000-gallon capacities. Analyses of soil and water samples taken during installation of MW-4 indicate the presence of both diesel and gasoline contamination in the vicinity of this second UST nest. The appearance of contamination in MW-4, laterally across gradient from diesel and gasoline contamination found in MW-1, implies contamination associated with this second nest of USTs or their distribution lines may be independent of the first tank nest. A water analysis from MW-4 showed 6,800 ppb of benzene; 11,000 ppb of toluene; and 10,000 ppb of xylenes. This is indicative of gasoline contamination.

Analytical results of soil and water samples taken in the vicinity of a third set of USTs, supplying diesel to the truck fueling area, found no contamination above Ecology guidelines. However, a 200 to 300 gallon surface spill apparently occurred approximately 2 years ago. This is also an area subject to spillage during routine truck fueling. The possibility exists in this area for unidentified surface soil contamination above Ecology action levels.

Borings B-6 (MW-5) and B-8 were located to detect possible contamination south of the diesel repair shop. Soil sample from both borings showed OVM readings of 0 to 12 ppm, with the highest reading in each case at 2.5 feet. The low OVM readings indicate low levels of contamination. Any contamination present is probably close to ground surface.

Applied Geotechnology Inc.

Two USTs of unknown character are located between the gasoline and diesel service areas. Their locations are indicated by the cover plates noted on Figure 1. Borings were not drilled close to these tanks due to their unknown dimensions and orientation. The owner had no information about their size, age, or past use. They are currently full of water, and may receive surface runoff. Well MW-1 is probably downgradient from these tanks. Influence on MW-1 from the two unknown tanks cannot be evaluated at this time because contamination in Well MW-1 is most likely due to a probable leak in an immediately adjacent tank,

CONCLUSIONS

Documented contamination above Ecology action guidelines exists around two of the three UST clusters on this site. Three borings in the gasoline service area, B-1 (MW-1), B-2 (MW-2), and B-5 (MW-4) show significant gasoline and diesel contamination.

Although no contamination was detected by laboratory analysis of soil near the eastern diesel tank cavity, the possibility of contamination exists here. This is the site of a truck refueling operations and a reported surface spill. If contamination is present above action guidelines in this area, evidence suggests it may be surficial.

The aquifer beneath this site is highly permeable. Groundwater contamination has been detected in wells MW-1 and MW-4, and a plume may extend further downgradient (to the west) from this point. Further investigation may be warranted to determine if contamination has passed beyond the property boundary.

Anticipated remediation will involve a groundwater treatment system with an interceptor thrench along the western property line. In addition, the two western tank nests (near MW-1 and MW-4) should be replaced. Associated contaminated soil should be excavated and transported to the east side of the property for remediation. We estimate 3,000 cubic yards of soil may contain high levels of gasoline and diesel contamination. The cost of these remedial actions will probably be in the range of \$200,000. Of this amount, approximately \$140,000 would be required for tank removal and soil remediation, and \$60,000 for groundwater remediation. If all the soil can be treated on site in a single lift, a cost savings of \$20,000 to \$30,000 might be realized.

LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Gull Industries and their other consultants for this project only. The analyses, conclusions, and recommendations in this report are based on conditions encountered at the time of our field investigation, design information you provided, and our experience and engineering judgment. AGI cannot be responsible for the interpretation of the data contained herein by others.

Our work has been performed in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the area. No other warranty, express or implied, is made.



-8-

| | MAJOR DIV | SIONS | | | | TYPICAL NAMES | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | GW | 00 | WELL G MIXTUR | RADED GRAVELS, GRAVELSAND ES | | |
| SIEVE | GRAVELS | CLEAN GRAVELS WITH FINES LESS THAN 5% | GP [.] | | POORLY | ' GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVELSAND ES | | |
| GRAINED SOILS IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE | COARSE FRACTION IS LARGER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE | GRAVELS WITH OVER 12% FINES | GM | 200 | SILTY G SILT MIX | RAVELS, POORLY GRADED GRAVEL-SAND - (TURES | | |
| GRAINED S LARGER THAN | | 1290 111123 | GC | 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | GRAVELS. POORLY GRADED GRAVEL- CLAY MIXTURES | | |
| E GR/ | na ny anatona amin'ny a | CLEAN SANDS WITH | sw | | WELL | RADED SANDS. GRAVELLY SANDS | | |
| COARSE MORE THAN HALF I | SANDS | LESS THAN 5% FINES | SP | | POORL | Y GRADED SANDS. GRAVELLY SANDS | | |
| MORE . | COARSE FRACTION IS SMALLER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE SIZE | SANDS WITH OVER 12% FINES | SM | | SILTY S MIXTUF | ANDS. POORLY GRADED SAND - SILT RES | | |
| | | | sc | | MIXTUR | مستحد والمراجع | | |
| | | | ML | | FLOUR SILTS V | ANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK , SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS, OR CLAYEY WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY | | |
| SOILS SMALLER EVE | SILTS AND CLAYS | | | | PLAST | ANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM CITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS. SANDY CLAYS. CLAYS. LEAN CLAYS | | |
| INE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN HALF IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE | | | | | | NIC CLAYS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LASTICITY | | |
| GRAINED THAN HALF IS S HAN NO. 200 SI | | | мн | | | ANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMA- FINE SANDY OR SILTY SOILS. ELASTIC SILTS | | |
| FINE MORE | | ND CLAYS GREATER THAN 50 | сн | | CLAYS | | | |
| | | | | | | ANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ANIC SILTS | | |
| | HIGHLY ORGA | | Pt | | PEAT | AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS | | |
| ſ | | CONTACT B | | | <u> </u> | LABORATORY TESTS | | |
| . 3 | SAMPLE "Undisturbed" | | | Change | 0 | Consol - Consolidation | | |
| \boxtimes | Bulk/Grab | Grad | ational | Change | | LL - Liquid Limit | | |
| | Not Recovered | | ure Ch | | | PL - Plastic Limit | | |
| | | End | of Explo | brauon | | Gs - Specific Gravity SA - Size Analysis | | |
| BIC | WS/FOOT | | | | | TxS · Triaxial Shear | | |
| | | s with 30 inch drop, unle | ess othe | erwise no | ted | TxP -Triaxial Permeability | | |
| | SPT Sampler (2.0 Inch | | | | | Perm - Permeability | | |
| | Thin Wall Sampler (2.8 | | | | | Po Porosity | | |
| Н-8 | H - Split Barrel Sampler (2.4 Inch Sample) MOISTURE DESCRIPTION Dry - Considerably less than optimum for compaction | | | | | MD - Moisture/Density | | |
| | | | | | | DS - Direct Shear VS - Vane Shear | | |
| 1 | | | | | | Comp - Compaction | | |
| | | | mpaou | 011 | | | | |
| | Moist - Near optimum moisture content Wet - Over optimum moisture content | | | | | UU - Unconsolidated • Undraine CU - Consolidated • Undrained | | |
| | | able, in capillary zone, o | r in per | ched gro | undwater | CD - Consolidated • Originated CD - Consolidated • Drained | | |
| | | | | •0 @1- | asidiaad | tion/Legend | | |
| Appl | led Geotechnol | ogy inc. | 50 | II Cia | ssinca | llouredenn | | |

JOB NUMBER 15,256.049 DRAWN

ECR

ł

approved BLB -9DATE

REVISED

DATE

26 October 89





















Applied Geotechnology Inc.

DISTRIBUTION

3 Copies

Gull Industries, Inc. 3404 Fourth Avenue South Post Office Box 24687 Seattle, Washington 98124

Attention: Mr. William T. Vivian

3 Copies

Truck City Truck Stop 1731 Old Hwy 99 South Mount Vernon, Washington 98273

Attention: Mr. E. J. Olmstead

Quality Assyrance/Technical Review by:

Gary L. Laakso Remediation Services Manager

BGB/JEN/tag

APPENDIX A

Groundwater Elevation Survey Data

| <u>Well</u> | Date | Top/PVC Casing (Feet) | Depth to <u>Water (Feet)</u> | Elevation of <u>Water (Feet)</u> |
|-------------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| MW-1 | 9/28/89 | 16.00 | 7.64 | 8.36 |
| MW-2 | 9/28/89 | 16.39 | 4.88 | 11.12 |
| MW-3 | 9/28/89 | 13.23 | 6.79 | 9.21 |
| MW-4 | 9/28/89 | 16.39 | 7.82 | 8.18 |
| MW-5 | 9/28/89 | 13.26 | 6.53 | 9.47 |
| MW-6 | 9/28/89 | 13.36 | 6,76 | 9.24 |
| | | | | |
| MW-1 | 9/29/89 | 16.00 | 7.54 | 8.46 |
| MW-2 | 9/29/89 | 16.39 | 7.87 | 8.13 |
| MW-3 | 9/29/89 | 13.23 | 6.73 | 9.27 |
| MW-4 | 9/29/89 | 16.39 | 7.90 | 8.10 |
| MW-5 | 9/29/89 | 13.26 | 6.52 | 9.48 |
| MW-6 | 9/29/89 | 13.26 | 6.77 | 9.23 |
| | | | | |
| MW-1 | 10/9/89 | 16.00 | 7.63 | 8.37 |
| MW-2 | 10/9/89 | 16.39 | 7.96 | 8.04 |
| MW-3 | 10/9/89 | 13.23 | 6.90 | 9.10 |
| MW-4 | 10/9/89 | 16.39 | 7.91 | 8.09 |
| MW-5 | 10/9/89 | 13.26 | 6.66 | 9.34 |
| MW-6 | 10/9/89 | 13.26 | 6.82 | 9.18 |

GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SURVEY DATA

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Analyses



October 20, 1989

RECEIVED

OCT 23 1989

APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY INC

Applied Geotechnology, Inc. P.O. Box 3885 Bellevue, WA 98009

Attention : Rod Struck

Project Number : 15,256.049

Project Name : Gull/Truck City

On September 29, 1989 Analytical Technologies, Inc. received four water samples and four soil samples for analyses. The samples were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods as specified in the attached analytical schedule. The results, sample cross reference, and the quality control data are enclosed.

Raws & Muxon

Karen L. Mixon Project Manager

Fredericher Brokkhopp

Frederick W. Grothkopp Technical Manager

FWG/hbb



SAMPLE CROSS REFERENCE SHEET

CLIENT : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC. PROJECT # : 15,256.049 PROJECT NAME : GULL/TRUCK CITY

| | | 9 min mir an | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ATI # | CLIENT DESCRIPTION | MATRIX | DATE SAMPLED |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| | | | |
| 8909-157-1 | MW-1 | WATER | 09/23/89 |
| 8909-157-2 | MW-2 | WATER | 09/23/89 |
| 8909-157-3 | MW-4 | WATER | 09/23/89 |
| 8909-157-4 | MW-5 | WATER | 09/23/89 |
| 8909-157-5 | B-1-10.0 | SOIL | 09/27/89 |
| 8909-157-6 | B-5-9.0 | SOIL | 09/28/89 |
| 8909-157-7 | B-7-9.0 | SOIL | 09/28/89 |
| 8909-157-8 | B-4-12.5 | SOIL | 09/28/89 |
| | | | |

---- TOTALS -----

| MATRIX | # SAMPLES |
|--------|-----------|
| | |
| WATER | 4 |
| SOIL | 4 |

ATI STANDARD DISPOSAL PRACTICE

The samples from this project will be disposed of in thirty (30) days from the date of this report. If an extended storage period is required, please contact our sample control department before the scheduled disposal date.



ANALYTICAL SCHEDULE

CLIENT : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC. PROJECT # : 15,256.049 PROJECT NAME : GULL/TRUCK CITY

| ANALYSIS | TECHNIQUE | REFERENCE/METHOD | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| BETX | GC/PID | EPA 8020 | | | | |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | GC/FID | EPA 8015 MODIFIED | | | | |

PURGEABLE AROMATICS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

| CLIENT PROJECT # PROJECT NAME CLIENT I.D. SAMPLE MATRIX EPA METHOD | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, : 15,256.049 : GULL/TRUCK CITY : REAGENT BLANK : WATER : 8020 (BETX) | INC.DATE SAMPLED DATE RECEIVED DATE EXTRACTED DATE ANALYZED UNITS DILUTION FACTOR | : N/A : N/A : N/A : 10/06/89 : ug/L : 1 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| COMPOUND | | RESULT | |
| BENZENE ETHYLBENZENE TOLUENE META & PARA X ORTHO XYLENE | YLENE | <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 | • |

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERY

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE

Analytical **Technologies,** Inc.



PURGEABLE AROMATICS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

| PROJECT # PROJECT NAME CLIENT I.D. SAMPLE MATRIX | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, : 15,256.049 : GULL/TRUCK CITY : REAGENT BLANK : WATER : 8020 (BETX) | DATE RECEIVED | : ug/L |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| EPA METHOD | : 8020 (BETX) | DILUTION FACTOR | ; T |

-----RESULT

COMPOUND

| BENZENE | <0.5 |
|--------------------|------|
| ETHYLBENZENE | <0.5 |
| TOLUENE | <0.5 |
| META & PARA XYLENE | <0.5 |
| ORTHO XYLENE | <0.5 |

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERY

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE



PURGEABLE AROMATICS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

| CLIENT | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, | INC.DATE SAMPLED | : N/A |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------|
| PROJECT # | : 15,256.049 | DATE RECEIVED | : N/A |
| PROJECT NAME | : GULL/TRUCK CITY | | : N/A |
| CLIENT I.D. | : REAGENT BLANK | DATE ANALYZED | |
| SAMPLE MATRIX | : WATER | UNITS | : ug/L |
| EPA METHOD | : 8020 (BETX) | DILUTION FACTOR | : 1 |
| | | | |

COMPOUND RESULT

| 82 83 66 87 97 97 97 98 88 88 88 87 97 97 97 97 97 98 97 97 97 98 88 97 97 98 88 97 97 98 98 98 97 98 98 98 98 | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | |

| BENZENE | <0.5 |
|--------------------|------|
| ETHYLBENZENE | <0.5 |
| TOLUENE | <0.5 |
| META & PARA XYLENE | <0.5 |
| ORTHO XYLENE | <0.5 |

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERY

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE



ATI I.D. # 8909-157-1

PURGEABLE AROMATICS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

| CLIENT | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, | INC.DATE SAMPLED | : 09/23/89 |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------|
| PROJECT # | : 15,256.049 | DATE RECEIVED | : 09/29/89 |
| PROJECT NAME | : GULL/TRUCK CITY | | : N/A |
| CLIENT I.D. | : MW-1 | DATE ANALYZED | |
| SAMPLE MATRIX | : WATER | UNITS | : ug/L |
| EPA METHOD | : 8020 (BETX) | DILUTION FACTOR | : 100 |
| | | | |

COMPOUND

RESULT

| | نده هنه هنه جب هي هي هن |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|

| BENZENE | 3,000 |
|--------------------|-------|
| ETHYLBENZENE | 250 |
| TOLUENE | <50 |
| META & PARA XYLENE | <50 |
| ORTHO XYLENE | <50 |
| | |

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERY

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE



ATI I.D. # 8909-157-2

PURGEABLE AROMATICS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

| PROJECT # PROJECT NAME CLIENT I.D. SAMPLE MATRIX | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, : 15,256.049 : GULL/TRUCK CITY : MW-2 : WATER : 8020 (BETX) | DATE RECEIVED DATE EXTRACTED | : 09/23/89 : 09/29/89 : N/A : 10/16/89* : ug/L : 10 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EPA METHOD | : 8020 (BETX) | DILUTION FACTOR | : 10 |

COMPOUND RESULT

| BENZENE | 870 |
|--------------------|-----|
| ETHYLBENZENE | 51 |
| TOLUENE | 72 |
| META & PARA XYLENE | 80 |
| ORTHO XYLENE | 37 |

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERY

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE

85

* Originally injected on 10/12/89.



ATI I.D. # 8909-157-3

PURGEABLE AROMATICS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

| CLIENT PROJECT # PROJECT NAME CLIENT I.D. SAMPLE MATRIX EPA METHOD | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, : 15,256.049 : GULL/TRUCK CITY : MW-4 : WATER : 8020 (BETX) | INC.DATE SAMPLED : 09/23/89 DATE RECEIVED : 09/29/89 DATE EXTRACTED : N/A DATE ANALYZED : 10/12/89 UNITS : ug/L DILUTION FACTOR : 1000 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COMPOUND | | RESULT |
| BENZENE ETHYLBENZENE TOLUENE META & PARA XI | YLENE | 6,800 790 11,000 3,000 |

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERY

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE

META & PARA XYLENE

ORTHO XYLENE

88



PURGEABLE AROMATICS QUALITY CONTROL DATA

| CLIENT PROJECT # PROJECT NAME EPA METHOD | : 15,256 | RUCK CITY | | DATE | E I.D ANALY E MAT | ZED : 10 | ANK 1 /09/8 TER /L | |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| COMPOUND | ** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | SAMPLE RESULT | SPIKE ADDED | SPIKED SAMPLE | % REC | DUP SPIKED SAMPLE | DUP % REC | RPD |
| BENZENE TOLUENE META & PARA X | YLENE | <0.5 <0.5 <0.5 | 8.00 8.00 21.9 | 6.92 6.96 19.0 | 86 87 87 | 7.04 6.90 18.2 | 88 86 83 | 2 1 4 |

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result)

X 100

Spike Concentration


| CLIENT : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, | INC.DATE SAMPLED : N/A |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| PROJECT # : 15,256.049 | DATE RECEIVED : N/A |
| PROJECT NAME : GULL/TRUCK CITY | DATE EXTRACTED : 10/09/89 |
| CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK | DATE ANALYZED : 10/10/89 |
| SAMPLE MATRIX : WATER | UNITS : mg/L |
| EPA METHOD : 8015 MODIFIED | DILUTION FACTOR : 1 |
| COMPOUND | RESULT |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS HYDROCARBON RANGE HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | <1 GASOLINE |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | <1 |
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | _ |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | DIESEL |



FUEL HYDROCARBONS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

GASOLINE

| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | • | 6 |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------|
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | | C10 - C26 |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | | DIESEL |

HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING

BEST ESTIMATE OF FUEL TYPE - 1 PART GASOLINE : 6 PARTS DIESEL



FUEL HYDROCARBONS QUALITY CONTROL DATA

CLIENT: APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC.SAMPLE I.D.: 8910-006-3
DATE ANALYZED : 10/03/89
SAMPLE MATRIX : WATER
UNITSPROJECT NAME: GULL/TRUCK CITY
: 8015 MODIFIED: MATER
UNITS: MATER
UNITSCOMPOUNDSAMPLE CONC
RESULTSPIKED %
SAMPLE
RECSPIKED %
SAMPLEFUEL
HYDROCARBONS <1</td>1001061041042

RPD (Relative % Difference) = (Sample Result - Duplicate Result) Average Result



| CLIENT : APPLIED GEOTECHNOL | OGY, INC.DATE SAMPLED : N/A |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| PROJECT # : 15,256.049 | DATE RECEIVED : N/A |
| PROJECT NAME : GULL/TRUCK CITY | DATE EXTRACTED : 10/02/89 |
| CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK | DATE ANALYZED : 10/04/89 |
| SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL | UNITS : mg/Kg |
| EPA METHOD : 8015 MODIFIED | DILUTION FACTOR : 20 |
| COMPOUND | RESULT |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | <5 |
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | _ |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | GASOLINE |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | <5 |
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | - |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | DIESEL |

Analytical Technologies, Inc.

ATI I.D. # 8909-157-5

FUEL HYDROCARBONS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

COMPOUND RESULT

COMPOUND RESULT

| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | 716 |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | C6 - C8 |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | GASOLINE |
| | 13 000 |

FUEL HYDROCARBONS HYDROCARBON RANGE HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING

BEST ESTIMATE OF FUEL TYPE - 1 PART GASOLINE : 18 PARTS DIESEL

13,000 C10 - C26 DIESEL



FUEL HYDROCARBONS ANALYSIS DATA SUMMARY

| CLIENT | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, | INC.DATE SAMPLED | : 09/28/89 |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| PROJECT # | : 15,256.049 | DATE RECEIVED | : 09/29/89 |
| PROJECT NAME | : GULL/TRUCK CITY | DATE EXTRACTED | : 10/02/89 |
| CLIENT I.D. | : B-5-9.0 | DATE ANALYZED | : 10/05/89 |
| SAMPLE MATRIX | : SOIL | UNITS | : mg/Kg |
| EPA METHOD | : 8015 MODIFIED | DILUTION FACTOR | : 1 |
| COMPOUND | u ai da ai ar | RESULT | |

| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | 470 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | C6 - C10 |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | GASOLINE |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | 270 |
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | C12 - C26 |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | DIESEL |

BEST ESTIMATE OF FUEL TYPE - 2 PARTS GASOLINE : 1 PART DIESEL



| CLIENT : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOG | GY, INC.DATE SAMPLED : 09/28/89 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| PROJECT # : 15,256.049 | DATE RECEIVED : 09/29/89 |
| PROJECT NAME : GULL/TRUCK CITY | DATE EXTRACTED : 10/02/89 |
| CLIENT I.D. : B-7-9.0 | DATE ANALYZED : 10/05/89 |
| SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL | UNITS : mg/Kg |
| EPA METHOD : 8015 MODIFIED | DILUTION FACTOR : 1 |
| COMPOUND | RESULT |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | <5 |
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | GASOLINE |
| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | <5 |
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | _ |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | DIESEL |



| CLIENT PROJECT # PROJECT NAME CLIENT I.D. SAMPLE MATRIX EPA METHOD | : APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, : 15,256.049 : GULL/TRUCK CITY : B-4-12.5 : SOIL : 8015 MODIFIED | INC.DATE SAMPLED DATE RECEIVED DATE EXTRACTED DATE ANALYZED UNITS DILUTION FACTOR | : 09/28/89 : 09/29/89 : 10/02/89 : 10/04/89 : mg/Kg : 1 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COMPOUND | | RESULT | |
| FUEL HYDROCAR HYDROCARBON R HYDROCARBONS (| | <5 _ GASOLINE | |

| FUEL HYDROCARBONS | <5 |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| HYDROCARBON RANGE | |
| HYDROCARBONS QUANTITATED USING | DIESEL |

FUEL HYDROCARBONS QUALITY CONTROL DATA

CLIENT: APPLIED GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC.SAMPLE I.D.: 8909-157-8
DATE ANALYZED : *
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL
UNITSPROJECT NAME: GULL/TRUCK CITY
SAMPLE NODIFIEDDATE ANALYZED : *
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL
UNITS: mg/KgCOMPOUNDSAMPLE
RESULTCONC
SPIKEDSPIKED *
SPIKED *
SAMPLE
RECDUP
SAMPLE
SAMPLEDUP
RECOVERY RPDFUEL
HYDROCARBONS<5</td>5004489050110011

* Analyzed 10/04/89 and 10/06/89

nalytical Technologies, Inc.

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) Spike Concentration RPD (Relative % Difference) = (Sample Result - Duplicate Result) X 100

Average Result

Sample: W8909-157-1 Channel: FID FRONT-A Acquired: 10-OCT-89 3:00 Hethod: C:\HAX\DATA3\FAQBTX25 Comments: DIESEL AND BTEX PROGRAM FOR DIESEL/BTXE STDS, MS, MSD, FPB, FPBN

Filenane: 9FF01871 Operator: RWH





- 36.51 DNOP



× 01

10¹ minutes



| Applied Geotechnology Inc. Geotechnical Engineering Geology & Hydrogeology | echnolog gineering ogeology | y Inc. | | S | R | 7/5 | 7 | | | | • | | Ğ. | Chain of Custody | Custo | Vbc | f , |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| | TUUCH | Cili | | | | | | AI | ANALYSIS RE | REQUEST | | | | | | รยะ | |
| 「ヨフー | | 415 17 5UL | 11 m | | | R 016310 | 0108/1 | | (2 4 | | ΤΝΑΤυιι | SUINT | SONDARY FAUCO | | | aniatnoj fo | |
| SAMPLER (Signature) | L. | Λ | | E/NEU/AC MS 625/82 MS 625/82 MS 624/83 | 8080 LICIDES/P | NUDCLEA | OGENATE 09 SJITA. OV OITAMO | XLIZ 18050 | 5105 | | O9 YTIRO (Et) SJAT | XOT (8) 2JAT 2990(4) AV | 32/YAAMI | | | NUMBER (| |
| D. | DATE TIME | IE MATRIX | LAB ID. | | | рне Вне | 10V ARC | | 2 | | ME PRI | | 89 89 | | | 1 | |
| 1- MM -1 - MW | 151 6:3060 | 1110 | \\ | | | | | \times | \mathbf{X} | | | | X | lals. | Jack | 7 | |
| ~ 2 | VIT DI | Pin (| . 7 | | | | | X | | | | | | · . | | 7. | 2 |
| | M J CI LO | C M | () | | | | - | X | 1 | | | | | 1 loak | Slar | 2 | 9 |
| S- InW | 12014 | | 11/ | | | | frold | for t | - the | 'nstu | ud how | ، ل | | Kead | Fleed | 0 | Ŧ |
| л. О | 127/55 0735 | 35 501 | 5, | | | | | | X | <u></u> | | | · | | | ~ | test. |
| -5-7.0 | 2001 /2/22/2 | 1.0 SC | 91 | | | | | | \times | | | | | • | | | |
| -7-9.2 | 7/28/25 3:20,7- | 0,2 5.1 | \ \ | | | | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 4-12.5 | 9/23/2 9:00 | + | 8~ | | | | | | بر | | | | | | | _ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RELINQUISHED BY | | RELINQUISHED BY | BY | 5 | RELI | NQUISHED BY | | 3. | IHS | SHIPPING INFORMATION | ORMATIC | z | | SAMPLE RECEIPT BY LAB | EIPT BY LAI | | |
| ł | 13.11 | | | | | , | | | Total No. of Containers | Containers | | | Total N | Total No. of Containers | 2/2 | | |
| · Ž | | (Signature) | | (Time) |) (Signature) | ture) | | (Time) | Chain of Custody Sears Shipping I.D. No. | Stody Seat | 0 | 1 | Rec'd (| Rec'd Good Condition/Cold | VCold 0 2 | 13 | |
| | | (Printed Name) | | (Date) | and the local division of the local division | (Printed Name) | | (Date) | the second se | | | | Conforn | Conforms to Record | the b | d r | |
| (Company) | 9 | (Company) | | | Б О | any) | | | Date Shipped | pe | | | Date R | Date Received | 1291 | Ø | |
| RECEIVED BY | £ | RECEIVED BY | | d , | BEC | EIVED BY (LABORATORY) WHA C. Sulliz | BORATORY | 181 | <u> </u> | ISTRUCTI | ONS/COM | IMENTS: | | | | | |
| (Signature) | (Time) (| (Signature) | | (Time) | | Patures LA | ? Silu | Re S | 50 | e Z | MN.N. | + | F | | | | |
| (Printed Name) | (Date) (I | (Printed Name) | | (Date) | | - Hander A | K | . (Date) | 9 | | 2017 | | - + | | | | |
| (Company) | | (Company) | | | (Company) | any) | | | ÷. | levi | -2 | - | :\ | | SIDR | 215 | 25 |
| | | | | | | | | | DISTRIBUTION: WHITE, CANARY - ANALYTICAL LABORATORY | ł: WHITE, | CANARY - | ANALYTI | CAL LAB | ORATORY . | PINK - ORIGINATOR | GINATOR | ~ |

:

そうしょう しょうかい しょうしょう かんかい しゅうゆうかい ふかかく しゅうゆうかい しんかい しゅうしょう しゅうしょう しゅうしょう しょうせい

.

.

.