Final Interim Action Completion Report Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Former Kaiser Aluminum Property 3400 Taylor Way Tacoma, Washington

April 14, 2014

Prepared for

Port of Tacoma Tacoma, Washington



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1-1 SITE LOCATION AND HISTORY 1-1 1-2 Site Physical Conditions Site Environmental Conditions 1-2 PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE INTERIM ACTION 1-2 2.0 INTERIM ACTION CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY 2-1 PERMITTING AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS 2-2 MONITORING WELL DECOMMISSIONING 2-2 STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES 2-3 **EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES** 2-3 WASTE AND CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL 2-4 BACKFILLING, GRADING, AND SITE RESTORATION 2-4 COMPLIANCE MONITORING 2-5 **Protection Monitoring** 2-5 Performance Monitoring 2-5

2.7.2.1 **Confirmation Soil Sampling** 2-5 2.7.2.2 **Construction Quality Assurance** 2-6 **Confirmation Monitoring** 2.7.3 2-6 USE OF THIS REPORT 3-1

4.0 **REFERENCES**

1.1

1.2

2.1 2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

2.7

3.0

1.1.1

1.1.2

2.7.1

2.7.2

Page

FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	Title
1	Vicinity Map
2	Site Plan with Historical Site Features
3	Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill with Site Features
4	Performance Monitoring Sample Locations
5	Site Plan with Final Backfill Grades

TABLES

Table <u>Title</u>

- 1 Waste Disposal Summary
- 2 Surficial Soil Stockpile Analytical Data
- 3 Backfill Soil Analytical Data
- 4 Performance Monitoring Soil Analytical Data

APPENDICES

Appendix Title

- A Selected Site Photographs
- B Permits and SEPA Documentation
- C Interim Action Construction Drawings
- D As-Built Drawings
- E Waste Disposal Records
- F Backfill Compaction Test Data
- G Analytical Laboratory Reports (on DVD)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This interim action construction completion report documents the successful implementation of an interim action at the Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill (Closed Landfill) at the former Kaiser Aluminum property (Site) by the Port of Tacoma (Port). The Site is located at 3400 Taylor Way in Tacoma, Washington, as shown on Figure 1. The interim action was implemented to remove Closed Landfill waste material and associated contaminated soil with concentrations of constituents greater than the cleanup levels developed and presented in the Final Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) report (Landau Associates 2012). Site plans from 2005 and 2010 showing the Closed Landfill are presented on Figures 2 and 3. A summary of background information on Site conditions and the design of the interim action is presented in the Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Interim Action Work Plan (Interim Action Work Plan; Landau Associates 2013a).

The interim action was conducted in accordance with the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) under Agreed Order No. DE-5698 between the Port and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). The interim action was completed in advance of selection of the final cleanup action for the Site to improve the efficacy of the final cleanup in accordance with Article VII.D of the Agreed Order, and to support Port development plans in the vicinity of the Closed Landfill. The interim action was designed and executed in accordance with WAC 173-340-430.

1.1 SITE LOCATION AND HISTORY

The Site encompasses approximately 96 acres of the Blair Hylebos Peninsula in Tacoma, Washington. The Hylebos Waterway is located northeast of the Site and the Blair Waterway is located to the southwest (see Figure 1). From 1941 to 1947, the Department of Defense built and operated an aluminum smelter at the Site. In 1947, Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation (Kaiser Aluminum) purchased the Site and operated the aluminum production facility until 2001. In 2002, Kaiser Aluminum closed the plant and, in 2003, the Port purchased the smelter property from Kaiser Aluminum for redevelopment. Between 2003 and 2010, the Port demolished the smelter complex, shipped thousands of tons of waste to approved disposal or treatment facilities, and placed a 2- to 6-foot (ft)-thick layer of structural fill on approximately 80 of the 96 acres.

The Closed Landfill consists of the unlined landfill located in the southeastern portion of the Site, within the Rod Mill area, as shown on Figures 2 and 3. In about 1980, this area was used by Kaiser Aluminum as a borrow source of sand; the excavated area was subsequently used for disposal of miscellaneous smelter wastes. Based on a review of aerial photographs, it appears that the Closed Landfill was covered and closed by Kaiser Aluminum by the mid-1980s. The waste materials in the Closed Landfill reportedly included anode butts, pitch, green cathode, coke, dirty ore, brick, mortar, concrete rubble, rubber

and plastic products, gutter dust, and general trash (Kennedy Jenks 2003). According to Kaiser Aluminum (Leber, B., 2005, personal communication), spent pot lining (SPL) is not known to have been placed in the Closed Landfill. Prior to the interim action, the Closed Landfill was covered with a thin veneer of soil and gravel.

1.1.1 SITE PHYSICAL CONDITIONS

The geology and hydrogeology of the Site is summarized in Section 8.1 of the final RI/FS report and in Section 2.1 of the Interim Action Work Plan.

1.1.2 SITE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

The results of the RI combined with the results from the 2008 supplemental investigation were used to evaluate the nature and extent of waste materials present in the Closed Landfill and to evaluate the nature and extent of impact to soil and groundwater by these waste materials. Environmental conditions at the Closed Landfill prior to implementation of the interim action are summarized in Section 2.2 of the Interim Action Work Plan.

1.2 PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE INTERIM ACTION

The purpose of the interim action was to permanently remove (through excavation and offsite disposal) waste material and associated contaminated soil within the Closed Landfill with concentrations greater than the cleanup levels established in the final RI/FS. Based on the data collected during the RI/FS, the following interim action soil cleanup levels were established for carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs) and petroleum hydrocarbons:

Constituent	Interim Action Soil Cleanup Level
CPAHs (µg/kg) Method SW8270D Benzo(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo[a]pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Total Benzofluoranthenes TEQ	130 140 350 1,200 640 440 2,000
TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (mg/kg) NWTPH-Dx Diesel Range Motor Oil Range	2,000 2,000

The interim action also removed the source of contamination to groundwater and will therefore limit the potential for groundwater with contaminant concentrations greater than the cleanup levels to migrate off site. In addition, it has substantially reduced the cost of the final remedy by removing the waste material and associated contaminated soil that would likely need to be addressed as part of the final cleanup action.

2.0 INTERIM ACTION CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY

Interim action construction activities were implemented during the summer and fall of 2013 by the Port's selected contractor, Clearcreek Contractors (Clearcreek) of Everett, Washington. Groundwater monitoring well decommissioning was conducted by Holocene Drilling Inc. (Holocene) of Puyallup, Washington under subcontract to Landau Associates.

As summarized in Table 1, a total of 13,991.29 tons of waste material and associated contaminated soil were removed from the Closed Landfill and disposed at the LRI Landfill facility in Graham, Washington. A summary of the interim action construction activities is presented in the following sections. Selected photographs of interim action construction activities are presented in Appendix A.

The Closed Landfill interim action construction activities were conducted along the following timeline:

- June 2013
 - Eight groundwater monitoring wells within and near the Closed Landfill are decommissioned.
- July 2013
 - Temporary construction facilities are set up and temporary erosion and sedimentation control (TESC) measures are installed.
- August 2013
 - Excavation of waste material and associated contaminated soil from the ground surface to variable depths below the bottom of the waste material.
 - Handling, stockpiling, size reduction, and disposal of excavated waste material and soil.
 - Confirmation sampling of excavation bottom and sidewalls.
- September 2013
 - Complete Closed Landfill waste material and soil excavation activities
 - Complete confirmation sampling of excavation bottom and sidewalls
 - Backfill the base of the excavation with quarry spalls to bring grade above groundwater level.
 - Continue disposal of excavated waste material and soil.
- October 2013
 - Complete disposal of stockpiled waste material and soil.
 - Backfill the excavation area with designated onsite fill materials, and grade the surface to promote stormwater drainage.

- November December 2013
 - Complete final grading and hydroseeding activities.
 - Groundwater samples collected from the four shallow, downgradient monitoring wells.
- February 2014
 - The four shallow groundwater monitoring wells downgradient of the Closed Landfill are decommissioned.

2.1 PERMITTING AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The interim action was conducted in accordance with MTCA under Agreed Order No. DE-5698 between the Port and Ecology, and the Interim Action Work Plan that was approved by Ecology. Compliance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Chapter 43.21C RCW, was achieved by conducting a SEPA review in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements, including WAC 197-11-268.

Permits and approvals obtained prior to implementing interim action activities included:

- Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department Waste Disposal Authorization (WDA) No. 1671.
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Construction Stormwater General Permit No. WAR127225.

The interim action was determined to be procedurally exempt from the requirements of the City of Tacoma Grading Permit and the City of Tacoma Stormwater Management Requirements. Permits/approvals acquired and the SEPA Checklist and Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for the interim action project are included in Appendix B.

2.2 MONITORING WELL DECOMMISSIONING

Eight groundwater monitoring wells (two shallow and six intermediate wells) in the Rod Mill Area were decommissioned on June 18, 2013 to facilitate completion of the interim action excavation activities. All groundwater monitoring wells were decommissioned by Holocene. These included monitoring wells MW-1(I), MW-2(I), MW-3(I), MW-4(I), MW-5(S), MW-5(I), MW-6(S), and MW-6(I), as shown on Figure 3. The details of the monitoring well decommissioning are discussed in the *Technical Memorandum: Former Kaiser Aluminum Property Well Decommissioning, Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill and SPL Area Interim Actions*, dated November 18, 2013 (Landau Associates 2013b).

As discussed in Section 2.7.3, the four shallow groundwater monitoring wells downgradient of the Closed Landfill, MW-3(S), MW-4(S), MW-7(S), and MW-8(S), were sampled in November 2013 following excavation backfilling, and were subsequently decommissioned by Holocene on February 28, 2014.

2.3 STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Prior to excavation activities, a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) was prepared by the Port to document planned procedures designed to prevent stormwater pollution by controlling erosion of exposed soil. Best management practices (BMPs) were implemented by Clearcreek to prevent soil erosion and sediment transport during construction. BMPs included upgrading the existing construction entrance/exit; installing silt fencing to prevent sediment-laden water from leaving the Site; installing coir logs and high visibility fencing around the existing Rod Mill area drainage feature; installing/use of an automated wheel wash facility along the access road; covering soil stockpiles (as needed); and applying water and controlling vehicle operations to limit generation of fugitive dust.

2.4 EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES

Interim action excavation activities were conducted by Clearcreek in general accordance with the requirements of the Interim Action Work Plan and the project Contract Documents. The interim action construction drawings are included in Appendix C. Excavation of the Closed Landfill commenced on August 6, 2013 and was essentially completed by September 19, 2013.

Closed Landfill waste materials and associated contaminated soil were excavated within the approximately 0.9 acre area shown on Figure 4. Prior to excavation, the estimated extent of Closed Landfill waste materials was surveyed and staked in the field; however, Landau Associates' personnel and Clearcreek operators used visual observations during excavation, followed by confirmation soil sampling, to determine the actual lateral and vertical extent of the Closed Landfill excavation.

An initial attempt was made to remove and stockpile some of the surficial overburden soil for potential reuse as backfill material. However, sampling and analysis indicated that the stockpiled soil exceeded cPAH cleanup levels (see the results presented in Table 2). Thus, all the surficial overburden soil was removed and disposed along with the other excavated materials.

Excavation activities generally proceeded from south to north, and as anticipated, the base of the excavation typically extended slightly below groundwater level. It was initially planned to excavate up to 1 ft of soil underlying the Closed Landfill waste materials [except for the deeper soil excavation conducted in the vicinity of former groundwater monitoring wells MW-6(S) and (I)]. However, only up to about one-half foot of underlying soil was typically removed prior to conducting confirmation soil sampling, and only two sampling grids (grids 1 and 13) required additional excavation to achieve soil cleanup levels (see Section 2.7.2).

The estimated excavation volume presented in the Interim Action Work Plan was about 12,300 cubic yards (yd³); however, the actual Closed Landfill excavation volume based on Clearcreek's construction survey data was approximately 9,067 yd³ (see the as-built drawings in Appendix D). The reduced excavation

volume reflects the slightly smaller excavation area, the use of steeper temporary cut slopes, and removal of less soil underlying the Closed Landfill waste materials.

2.5 WASTE AND CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL

Closed Landfill waste material and contaminated soil was allowed to drain to remove free liquids, size-reduced as required for disposal, loaded into trucks, and transported for disposal at the LRI Landfill and Recycling facility in Graham, Washington. A copy of the Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department Waste Disposal Authorization was provided with each load transported to the LRI landfill.

A total of 13,991.29 tons of Closed Landfill waste material and associated contaminated soil was disposed at the LRI Landfill facility in Graham, Washington between August 6, 2013 and October 3, 2013 (see the waste disposal summary in Table 1). Additional trucking and disposal records are provided in Appendix E.

2.6 BACKFILLING, GRADING, AND SITE RESTORATION

As part of interim action design, provisions were made to have the contractor remove petroleum hydrocarbon product that might potentially be exposed during excavation and accumulate at groundwater level. However, no recoverable petroleum hydrocarbon sheen was observed on the exposed groundwater surface and thus no product removal was warranted prior to excavation backfilling.

Following receipt of Ecology's concurrence to proceed with backfilling excavation grids that met cleanup levels, the base of the excavation was backfilled with quarry spalls to bring the grade above the groundwater table and create a stable base prior to backfilling with soil. Approximately 3,000 tons of quarry spalls were placed within the excavation area.

In accordance with the project plans, the top several feet of soil from the adjacent "surficial sand removal area" (located just north of the Closed Landfill excavation and south of the Rod Mill area covered with crushed asphalt surfacing) was graded into the excavation. Prior to placement, three representative samples of this onsite fill material (BF-1 through BF-3) were collected and analyzed for cPAHs and total petroleum hydrocarbons. The locations of these soil `samples are shown on Figure 3, the sample results are presented in Table 3, and the analytical laboratory reports are included in Appendix G. The soil sample results demonstrated that the adjacent onsite soil was acceptable for use as excavation backfill material. Additional onsite fill material obtained from the Port's dredged soil stockpile (see Figure 3) was used to complete backfilling of the Closed Landfill excavation.

The excavation backfill material was placed to near final grades consistent with the Port's plans for future redevelopment, and graded to slope to the north to promote drainage of stormwater runoff toward the existing Rod Mill area drainage feature. A site plan showing final site grades following completion of excavation backfilling is presented on Figure 5, which is based on the Clearcreek/Beyler Consulting as-built

drawings provided in Appendix D. Note that the Port retained AHBL, Inc. to perform an independent survey of the final backfill grades in the Closed Landfill area, the results of which are provided on Figure D-1 in Appendix D.

Following final grading and placement of three quarry spall check dams across the central flow line, the Closed Landfill excavation backfill and adjacent disturbed areas were stabilized by hydroseeding the exposed soil surfaces.

2.7 COMPLIANCE MONITORING

Compliance monitoring included the following protection, performance, and confirmational monitoring activities to assure the effectiveness of the interim action.

2.7.1 PROTECTION MONITORING

Protection monitoring included worker health and safety activities related to interim action construction, as well as certain provisions for protection of the general public. Worker health and safety was addressed through implementation of project-specific health and safety plans prepared by Clearcreek and Landau Associates, worker protection provisions, dust suppression measures, air and dust monitoring, and use of an automated vehicle wheel wash facility near the Site entrance.

2.7.2 PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Performance monitoring consisted of collection and analysis of confirmation soil samples to demonstrate that the interim action soil cleanup levels were achieved, and construction quality assurance (CQA) monitoring to confirm that the interim action was conducted in conformance with the project construction drawings and specifications.

2.7.2.1 Confirmation Soil Sampling

Confirmation soil sampling was conducted in general accordance with the Interim Action Work Plan; however, minor adjustments were made to the sampling grid layout and the number of samples collected to better fit the pattern and constraints of the excavation activities.

The base of the Closed Landfill excavation was divided into 18 sampling grids as shown on Figure 4. Excavation base soil samples were collected using an excavator bucket to collect a representative base soil sample from each grid. Excavation sidewall sampling consisted of collecting one discrete soil sample from the top and bottom half of each of the four sidewalls at approximately equally spaced intervals, resulting in eight excavation sidewall samples as indicated on Figure 4.

All soil samples were delivered to the Analytical Resources Inc. (ARI) analytical laboratory in Tukwila, Washington under Chain of Custody procedures. The samples were analyzed for cPAHs (using

EPA Method SW8270D) and diesel- and motor oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (using EPA Method NWTPH-DX). Quality assurance and data validation activities were conducted by Landau Associates on the data packages provided by the ARI laboratory and no data were rejected; the analytical laboratory reports are included in Appendix G.

The confirmation soil sampling results are presented in Table 4 and were compared directly to the interim action soil cleanup levels. Note that the initial sampling results for base grids 1 and 13 (samples RMLF-1 and RMLF-13) exceeded the soil cleanup levels for one or more cPAHs; additional soil removal was conducted within these two grids, and subsequent resampling and analysis confirmed that the soil cleanup levels were achieved. As shown in Table 4, the results of confirmation soil sampling along the base and sidewalls of the Closed Landfill excavation demonstrate compliance with the interim action soil cleanup levels.

2.7.2.2 Construction Quality Assurance

CQA monitoring included construction observations to confirm that the interim action was constructed consistent with the intent of the Interim Action Work Plan and the project construction drawings and specifications. Interim action construction activities were observed and documented by representatives of the Port and Landau Associates.

Physical testing conducted by Clearcreek's soil testing laboratory (Krazan and Associates) included a limited amount of grain size and compaction testing of the excavation backfill material. The associated test data are presented in Appendix F.

2.7.3 CONFIRMATION MONITORING

Confirmation monitoring was conducted to confirm the effectiveness of the interim action, and included post-construction groundwater monitoring of the four shallow downgradient groundwater monitoring wells [MW-3(S), MW-4(S), MW-7(S), and MW-8(S)], the locations of these wells are shown on Figures 3 and 5. Groundwater samples were collected on November 25, 2013 and were analyzed for cPAHs, PCBs, and arsenic. The laboratory results indicated that cPAHs and PCBs were not detected above laboratory reporting limits in any of the samples, and concentrations of arsenic were less than the cleanup level in all of the samples.

The results of this post-construction groundwater monitoring event are further documented and discussed in the *Technical Memorandum: Groundwater Monitoring Results and Recommendations, Former Kaiser Aluminum Property*, dated December 11, 2013 (Landau Associates 2013c).

Ecology approved decommissioning of the four downgradient groundwater monitoring wells on January 9, 2014 (Ecology 2014), and these monitoring wells were decommissioned by Holocene on February 28, 2014.

3.0 USE OF THIS REPORT

This Interim Action Completion Report has been prepared for the use of the Port of Tacoma and the Washington State Department of Ecology for specific application to the Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Interim Action project at the Former Kaiser Aluminum Site. None of the information, conclusions, and recommendations included in this document can be used for any other project without the express written consent of Landau Associates. Further, the reuse of information, conclusions, and recommendations provided herein for extensions of the project or for any other project, without review and written authorization by Landau Associates, shall be at the user's sole risk. Landau Associates warrants that within the limitations of scope, schedule, and budget, our services have been provided in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality under similar conditions as this project. We make no other warranty, either express or implied.

This report has been prepared under the supervision and direction of the following key staff. We hereby conclude that, to the best of our knowledge, the interim action construction activities summarized in this report have been satisfactorily completed in substantial compliance with the Interim Action Work Plan, the construction drawings and specifications, and other project related documents.

LANDAU ASSOCIATES, INC.

Jessica C. Stone Senior Scientist

avid A Pischer

David A. Pischer, P.E. Principal

DAP/JCS/kes



3-1

4.0 REFERENCES

Ecology. 2014. Email message from Mary Coleman, Site Manager, Washington State Department of Ecology, to William Evans, Port of Tacoma. Re: *Kaiser, Groundwater Monitoring*. January 9.

Kennedy Jenks. 2003. *Preliminary Due Diligence Evaluation Kaiser Aluminum Facility*. Prepared for Port of Tacoma. March.

Landau Associates. 2013a. *Final Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Interim Action Work Plan, Former Kaiser Aluminum Property, 3400 Taylor Way, Tacoma, Washington.* Prepared for the Port of Tacoma. February 28.

Landau Associates. 2013b. Technical Memorandum from Colette Gaona and Dave Pischer, Landau Associates, to Bill Evans, Port of Tacoma. Re: *Former Kaiser Aluminum Property Well Decommissioning, Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill and SPL Area Interim Actions, Port of Tacoma, Tacoma, Washington.* November 18.

Landau Associates. 2013c. Technical Memorandum from Colette Gaona and Kristy Hendrickson, Landau Associates, to Bill Evans, Port of Tacoma. Re: *Groundwater Monitoring Results and Recommendations, Former Kaiser Aluminum Property, 3400 Taylor Way, Tacoma, Washington.* December 11.

Landau Associates. 2012. Final Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Former Kaiser Aluminum Property, 3400 Taylor Way, Tacoma, Washington. Prepared for the Port of Tacoma. August 23.

Leber, B. 2005. Personal communication (conversation with Bill Evans, Port of Tacoma). Bud Leber, Kaiser Aluminum. Re: *Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill*.



4/14/2014 NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601 Feel G:\Projects\118\033\100\111\Remedial Action Construction Report\Figure 1 Vicinty Map 2013.mxd









TABLE 1 WASTE DISPOSAL SUMMARY ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL FORMER KAISER SITE INTERIM ACTION

Date Received at LRI Landfill	Number of Trucks	Total Weight (Tons)
8/6/2013	16	514.07
8/7/2013	18	538.56
8/8/3013	16	514.65
8/9/2013	21	642.59
8/10/2013	1	23.97
8/12/2013	34	1,073.00
8/13/2013	50	1,510.76
8/14/2013	48	1,531.60
8/15/2013	33	1,016.52
8/16/2013	28	897.63
8/19/2013	45	1,443.25
8/20/2013	38	1,181.63
8/21/2013	31	1,004.64
9/4/2013	32	1,036.85
9/5/2013	10	320.05
9/6/2013	6	195.19
10/2/2013	15	496.77
10/3/2013	2	49.56
	Tota	l: 13,991.29

TABLE 2 SURFICIAL SOIL STOCKPILE ANALYTICAL DATA ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL FORMER KAISER SITE INTERIM ACTION

	MTCA Method C Preliminary Cleanup Level	RMLF-SP1 XC06A 08/22/2013	RMLF-SP3 XC06B 08/22/2013	RMLF-SP5 XC06C 08/22/2013	RMLF-SP7 XC06D 08/22/2013	RMLF-SP9 XC06E 08/22/2013
CPAHs (µg/kg) Method SW8270D						
Benzo(a)anthracene	130	890	4800	65_U	1100	530
Chrysene	140	1300	6200	160	1700	1,200
Benzo[a]pyrene	350	910	4400	65 U	1200	600
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	1,200	600	2400	65 U	810	330
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	640	300	1300	65 U	400	150
Total Benzofluoranthenes	440	1700	6600	130	2200	1,200
TEQ	2,000	1272	5972	15	1668	833
TOTAL PETROLEUM						
HYDROCARBONS (mg/kg)						
NWTPH-Dx						
Diesel Range	2,000	150	31	5.1 U	19	9.9
Motor Oil Range	2,000	360	41	10 U	23	10 U

U = Indicates the compound was not detected at the reported concentration.

Bold = Detected compound.

Box = Exceedance of cleanup level.

TABLE 3 BACKFILL SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL FORMER KAISER SITE INTERIM ACTION

	MTCA Method C Preliminary Cleanup Level	BF-1 XF45C 09/17/2013	BF-2 XF45D 09/17/2013	BF-3 XF45E 09/17/2013
CPAHs (µg/kg)				
Method SW8270D				
Benzo(a)anthracene	130	66 U	65 U	66 U
Chrysene	140	66 U	65 U	66 U
Benzo[a]pyrene	350	66 U	65 U	66 U
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	1,200	66 U	65 U	66 U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	640	66 U	65 U	66 U
Total Benzofluoranthenes	440	66 U	65 U	66 U
TEQ	2,000	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL PETROLEUM				
HYDROCARBONS (mg/kg)				
NWTPH-Dx				
Diesel Range	2,000	340	5.2 U	5.3 U
Motor Oil Range	2,000	680	10 U	11 U

These samples are depth composite of the soil from the surficial sand removal area used for backfill in the Closed Landfill excavation.

U = Indicates the compound was not detected at the reported concentration.

NA = Not applicable.

Bold = Detected compound.

TABLE 4 PERFORMANCE MONITORING SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL FORMER KAISER SITE INTERIM ACTION

	MTCA Method C Preliminary Cleanup Level	RMLF-1 XA16A 8/6/2013	RML-1 XF45A 09/17/2013	RMLF-2 XA16B 8/7/2013	RMLF-3 XA43A 8/9/2013	RMLF-4 XA43B 8/9/2013	RMLF-5 XA80A 8/12/2013	RMLF-6 XA80B 8/13/2013	RMLF-7 XA80C 8/13/2013	RMLF-8 XB44A 08/14/2013	RMLF-9 XB44B 08/14/2013
CPAHs (µg/kg) Method SW8270D											
Benzo(a)anthracene	130	270	66 U	63 U	66 U	65 U	62 U	64 U	66 U	62 U	63 U
Chrysene	140	350	66 U	63 U	66 U	65 U	66	64 U	79	62 U	63 U
Benzo[a]pyrene	350	240	66 U	63 U	66 U	65 U	62 U	64 U	66 U	62 U	63 U
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	1,200	130	66 U	63 U	66 U	65 U	62 U	64 U	66 U	62 U	63 U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	640	69	66 U	63 U	66 U	65 U	62 U	64 U	66 U	62 U	63 U
Total Benzofluoranthenes	440	420	66 U	63 U	66 U	65 U	75	64 U	76	62 U	63 U
TEQ	2,000	332	NA	NA	NA	NA	8.2	NA	8.4	NA	NA
TOTAL PETROLEUM											
HYDROCARBONS (mg/kg)											
NWTPH-Dx											
Diesel Range	2,000	28	7.9 U	16	28	34	8.9	15	14	18	29
Motor Oil Range	2,000	71	16 U	47	76	56	15 U	16 U	20	68	92

TABLE 4 PERFORMANCE MONITORING SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL FORMER KAISER SITE INTERIM ACTION

	MTCA Method C Preliminary Cleanup Level	RMLF-10 XB44C 08/16/2013	RMLF-11 XB44D 08/16/2013	RMLF-12 XB44E 08/16/2013	RMLF-13 XB85A 08/20/2013	RML-13 XF45B 09/17/2013	RMLF-14 XB85B 08/20/2013	RMLF-15 XB85C 08/20/2013	RMLF-16 XB85D 08/21/2013	RMLF-17 XB85E 08/21/2013	RMLF-18 XB85F 08/21/2013
CPAHs (μg/kg) Method SW8270D											
Benzo(a)anthracene	130	66 U	62 U	63 U	<u>62</u> U	66 U	64 U	72	63 U	61 U	66 U
Chrysene	140	66 U	62 U	63 U	150	66 U	64 U	110	63 U	61 U	66 U
Benzo[a]pyrene	350	66 U	62 U	63 U	62 U	66 U	64 U	74	63 U	61 U	66 U
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	1,200	66 U	62 U	63 U	62 U	66 U	64 U	63 U	63 U	61 U	66 U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	640	66 U	62 U	63 U	62 U	66 U	64 U	63 U	63 U	61 U	66 U
Total Benzofluoranthenes	440	66 U	62 U	63 U	160	66 U	64 U	140	63 U	61 U	76
TEQ	2,000	ND	NA	NA	17.5	NA	NA	96.3	NA	NA	7.6
TOTAL PETROLEUM											
HYDROCARBONS (mg/kg)											
NWTPH-Dx											
Diesel Range	2,000	38	26	57	65	34	53	36	33	46	57
Motor Oil Range	2,000	98	130	140	130	16 U	110	98	110	130	150

TABLE 4 PERFORMANCE MONITORING SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL FORMER KAISER SITE INTERIM ACTION

	MTCA Method C Preliminary Cleanup Level	RMLF-EWB XC07A 08/22/2013	RMLF-EWT XC07B 08/22/2013	RMLF-NWB XC07D 08/22/2013	RMLF-NWT XC07C 08/22/2013	RMLF-WWB XC07F 08/22/2013	RMLF-WWT XC07E 08/22/2013	RMLF-SWT XF63A 09/18/2013	RMLF-SWB XF63B 09/18/2013
CPAHs (μg/kg) Method SW8270D									
Benzo(a)anthracene	130	66 U	66 U	65 U	64 U	65 U	60 U	66 U	66 U
Chrysene	140	66 U	66 U	65 U	64 U	65 U	60 U	66 U	66 U
Benzo[a]pyrene	350	66 U	66 U	65 U	64 U	65 U	60 U	66 U	66 U
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	1,200	66 U	66 U	65 U	64 U	65 U	60 U	66 U	66 U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	640	66 U	66 U	65 U	64 U	65 U	60 U	66 U	66 U
Total Benzofluoranthenes	440	66 U	66 U	65 U	64 U	65 U	60 U	66 U	66 U
TEQ	2,000	NA							
TOTAL PETROLEUM									
HYDROCARBONS (mg/kg)									
NWTPH-Dx									
Diesel Range	2,000	11	5.3 U	11	140	5.1 U	5.3 U	5.2 U	5.2 U
Motor Oil Range	2,000	13 U	11 U	13 U	390	10 U	11 U	10 U	10 U

U = Indicates the compound was not detected at the reported concentration.

Bold = Detected compound.

Box = Exceedance of cleanup level.

NA = Not applicable.

APPENDIX A



1. Looking northeast from the southern side of the Closed Landfill excavation (in progress).



2. Looking east across the Closed Landfill area excavation (in progress).



Interim Action Completion Report Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Tacoma, Washington



3. Representative waste material excavated from the Closed Landfill area, including bricks, concrete fragments, rebar, plastic sheeting, etc.



4. Closed Landfill waste and underlying native silty material.



Interim Action Completion Report Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Tacoma, Washington



5. Looking southeast across the completed Closed Landfill excavation.



6. Looking southwest across the completed Closed Landfill excavation after a rise in groundwater level.



3/19/14 P:\118\033\FileRm\R\RMLF Area Completion Report\App A Photos\A-3.docx

Interim Action Completion Report Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Tacoma, Washington

Selected Site Photographs

, F



7. Looking north across the Closed Landfill area after placement of quarry spalls and during placement of surficial sand fill material.



8. Looking southwest across the Closed Landfill area after placement of quarry spalls and during placement of surficial sand fill material.



Interim Action Completion Report Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Tacoma, Washington

Selected Site Photographs

Figure



9. Looking northwest at the quarry spall check dams placed across the central drainage swale after completion of excavation backfilling.



10. Looking southwest across the Closed Landfill area after completion of excavation backfilling and hydroseeding.



Interim Action Completion Report Rod Mill Area Closed Landfill Tacoma, Washington

APPENDIX B

Permits/Approvals and SEPA Documentation



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

PO Box 47600 • Olympia, WA 98504-7600 • 360-407-6000 711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

July 19, 2013

Port of Tacoma

JUL 242013

Jennifer Stebbings Port of Tacoma PO Box 1837 Tacoma, WA 98401-1837

Environmental Dept.

RE: Coverage under the Construction Stormwater General Permit

Permit number:	WAR127225	
Site Name:	Kaiser Site Interi	m Action Cleanup
Location:	3400 Taylor Way	-
	Tacoma, WA	County: Pierce
Disturbed Acres:	19.3	·

Dear Ms. Stebbings:

The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) received your Notice of Intent for coverage under Ecology's Construction Stormwater General Permit (permit). This is your permit coverage letter. Your permit coverage is effective on July 19, 2013. Please retain this permit coverage letter with your permit (enclosed), stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP), and site log book. These materials are the official record of permit coverage for your site.

Please take time to read the entire permit and contact Ecology if you have any questions.

Appeal Process

You have a right to appeal coverage under the general permit to the Pollution Control Hearing Board (PCHB) within 30 days of the date of receipt of this letter. This appeal is limited to the general permit's applicability or non-applicability to a specific discharger. The appeal process is governed by chapter 43.21B RCW and chapter 371-08 WAC. "Date of receipt" is defined in RCW 43.21B.001(2).



Jennifer Stebbings July 19, 2013 Page 2

To appeal, you must do the following within 30 days of the date of receipt of this letter:

- File your appeal and a copy of the permit cover page with the PCHB (see addresses below). Filing means actual receipt by the PCHB during regular business hours.
- Serve a copy of your appeal and the permit cover page on Ecology in paper form by mail or in person (see addresses below). E-mail is not accepted.

You must also comply with other applicable requirements in chapter 43.21B RCW and chapter 371-08 WAC.

Address and Location Information:

Street Addresses:	Mailing Addresses:
Department of Ecology	Department of Ecology
Attn: Appeals Processing Desk	Attn: Appeals Processing Desk
300 Desmond Drive SE	PO Box 47608
Lacey, WA 98503	Olympia, WA 98504-7608
Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB)	Pollution Control Hearings Board
1111 Israel Road SW, Suite 301	PO Box 40903
Tumwater, WA 98501	Olympia, WA 98504-0903

Electronic Discharge Monitoring Reports (WQWebDMR)

This permit requires that Permittees submit monthly discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) electronically using Ecology's secure online system, WQWebDMR. To sign up for WQWebDMR go to: www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/permits/paris/webdmr.html. If you have questions, contact Tonya Wolfe at (360) 407-7097 (Olympia area), or (800) 633-6193/option 3, or email WQWebPortal@ecy.wa.gov.

Ecology Field Inspector Assistance

If you have questions regarding stormwater management at your construction site, please contact Sam Knox of Ecology's Southwest Regional Office in Lacey at sam.knox@ecy.wa.gov, or (360) 407-6294.

Questions or Additional Information

Ecology is committed to providing assistance. Please review our web page at: www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/construction/. If you have questions about the construction stormwater general permit, please contact Josh Klimek at josh.klimek@ecy.wa.gov, or (360) 407-7451.

Sincerely,

Bill Moore, P.E., Manager Program Development Services Section Water Quality Program

Enclosure

PORT OF TACOMA

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

FORMER KAISER ALUMINUM FACILITY, REMEDIAL ACTIONS UNDER AGREED ORDER DE-5698

A. <u>Background</u>

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: Former Kaiser Aluminum Facility, Interim Actions under Agreed Order DE-5698

2. Name of applicant: Port of Tacoma

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Port of Tacoma ATTN: Bill Evans PO Box 1837 Tacoma, WA 98401-1837 253-593-4563

4. Date checklist prepared:

12/27/2012

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Port of Tacoma

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The current project is expected to begin in about May 2013 and should be completed by October 2013.

7. Do you have any plans for future actions, expansions, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

The Port of Tacoma's long-term plan is to redevelop the entire 96 acre property for port maritime industrial uses. Specific future uses are unknown at this time and will be addressed separately via SEPA and other permitting actions as the Port develops plans to meet future tenant requirements.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Existing environmental information, reports, and documents related to the site are listed in the subject Agreed Order. Additional information is contained in a "Compilation Report" for the site dated November 30, 2011 and "Final Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study"

dated August 23, 2012. Both of these reports are available from Ecology or the Port of Tacoma.

This project is anticipated to prepare the following environmental information/reports for two of the six areas of interest at the property (listed below in 11a through 11f). A figure showing all six areas of interest described in the Agreed Order, including the two areas slated for interim actions as described herein, is attached to this Checklist as Exhibit A.

• Interim Action Work Plan(s) and Interim Action(s), including supporting documentation.

The Interim Action areas are currently operating under regulatory oversight, as described in the following legal instruments.

- Spent Potliner Management Area, EPA/Ecology ID No. WAD 001882984
- Former Kaiser Site Remedial Action, Ecology Agreed Order No. DE-5698
- 9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

The Port is designing and will soon permit a stormwater bio-treatment facility on a small portion of the site that will treat stormwater from the log handling facility at 3401 Taylor Way. The planned bio-treatment facility is not within or near either of the two interim action construction areas.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

The Port anticipates that one or more of the following will be required: Ecology NPDES and State Waste Discharge General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity; Tacoma-Pierce County Health Department (TPCHD) Waste Disposal Authorization, and as necessary, City of Tacoma Grading Permit.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed use and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include specific information on project description).

<u>Rod Mill Closed Landfill</u>: The interim action excavation activities will be conducted within the footprint of the waste material, which covers approximately 0.9 acres. The waste material forms an irregular shape within the Closed Landfill footprint. Because the waste material is found relatively close to the surface in the Closed Landfill, it is assumed for conceptual design purposes that soil above the waste material will be excavated and will likely be disposed off site along with the underlying waste material. However, if there are areas identified where relatively thick zones of clean overlying soil can be feasibly

2
identified and separated from the underlying waste material, such overlying soil may be excavated and stockpiled for reuse as excavation backfill material.

The estimated excavation volume for the Closed Landfill, including the soil above and a 1ft-thick zone of soil beneath the waste material, is approximately 12,300 cubic yards (yd^3) . The estimated volume of waste material to be excavated was calculated by taking an average surface area from the lengths of waste in Profiles A-A' and B-B' (39,000 ft²) and multiplying by the total depth of the waste material plus the 1-ft-thick zone of underlying soil (8.5 ft).

The interim action will consist of the following elements:

- Decommissioning of groundwater monitoring wells in or near the Closed Landfill
- Excavation of waste material and associated contaminated soil from the ground surface to approximately 1 ft below the bottom of the waste material
- Localized excavation of deeper soil in the vicinity of MW-6 where contaminants were detected at concentrations greater than the cleanup levels in the underlying fill and native soil materials
- Handling, size reduction (as needed), and disposal of excavated waste material and soil
- Handling and disposal of construction water (if any)
- Surveying of the final excavation extent and depth
- Backfilling the excavation area to final grade with clean, compacted fill, sloping the surface as needed to promote drainage of stormwater
- Final site grading and restoration
- Post-excavation groundwater monitoring.

<u>Spent Potliner Area (SPL)</u>: In order to estimate the areas and volumes of SPL zone material and associated contaminated soil that exceed cleanup levels and require remedial action, the SPL Area was divided into three subareas (A, B, and C) based on different average thicknesses of SPL zone material found in those areas. Area A is approximately 55,800 ft² and has an average SPL zone thickness of 1.5 ft. Area B is approximately 22,300 ft² and has an average SPL zone thickness of 2.6 ft. Area C is approximately 7,600 ft² and has an average SPL zone thickness of 0.5 ft. The combined volume of SPL zone material in subareas A, B, and C, excluding soil directly above and below the SPL zone material, is approximately 5,390 cubic yards (yd³).

Because the SPL zone material is typically found relatively close to the ground surface, it is assumed for design purposes that the volume of material excavated would need to include the overlying soil and up to an additional 0.5 ft of soil underlying the SPL zone material. Thus, the estimated total volume of SPL zone material and associated contaminated soil

that might need to be excavated for disposal is currently estimated to be $9,400 \text{ yd}^3$. However, if there are areas identified where relatively thick zones of clean overlying soil can be feasibly identified and separated from the SPL zone material, such overlying soil may be excavated and stockpiled for reuse as excavation backfill material.

There are localized areas of soil contamination located more than 0.5 ft beneath the SPL zone material. The extent of such underlying contaminated soil appears to be limited to three locations, and it is currently assumed that up to about 30 yd³ of additional contaminated soil might potentially need to be excavated in addition to the estimated 9,400 yd³ of material noted above.

Based on the available data, it is not anticipated that excavations in the SPL area will typically extend below the groundwater table. Additionally, interim action construction activities are planned to be conducted during late summer/early fall when the groundwater level is at or near its seasonal low. Therefore, handling of wet, excavated material and construction water are not anticipated to be a significant component of interim action construction activities.

Additional supporting information for both interim action areas, such as figures showing the locations of subareas and cross sections, can be found in the Compilation and RI/FS reports.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps and detailed plans submitted with any specific applications related to this checklist.

The Project site is located on property formerly owned by Kaiser Aluminum at 3400 Taylor Way, Tacoma, WA, 98421. The Project site is located within Section 36, Township 21 North, Range 3 East of the Willamette Meridian, County of Pierce, State of Washington.

B. Environmental Elements

1. <u>Earth</u>

a. General description of the site (underline one): <u>Flat</u>, rolling, hilly, mountainous, other.

Flat.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The site is generally flat with less than a 1% slope. Perimeter areas where fill has been placed typically have 2:1 to 3:1 (H to V) side slopes, up to about 5ft high.

What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Generally, the site was raised to existing grades by filling, using predominantly silt, sand and gravel. The underlying native soil consists of interbedded silt, sand, and peat. There is no prime farmland on the property or in the immediate vicinity.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

None known.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Removing contaminated materials is straight forward and involves excavating and disposing of waste material and geotechnically unsuitable soil at an approved landfill. This is the preferred approach at both remediation areas. Cleanup actions involving excavation are expected to generate less than 25,000 cubic yards of soil and waste, with approximately the same volume of soil used as "clean" backfill. If weather condition allow, existing stockpiled soil and crushed concrete/asphalt materials will be used as backfill, otherwise fill material will be imported from a suitable source.

Capping the contaminated materials onsite would involve installation of an impervious cover, and while not the preferred remedy, would be implemented if required by unanticipated site conditions and after approval by Ecology. Typical environmental caps are constructed out of concrete, asphalt, geosynthetics, or a combination thereof. At this site, the use of geosynthetics with a soil cover would be the most likely choice. The cap(s) could be placed over existing contaminated materials that remain in place or the contaminated materials could be consolidated into a smaller footprint and capped. Earthwork necessary for environmental cap construction would be substantially less than cited above for the removal and backfilling options.

Any stockpiles of soil or wastes will be protected from erosion by using BMP's.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of grading, filling, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Protective measures will be in place to control erosion during the life of the Project. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be prepared in accordance with the Port's *NPDES and State Waste Discharge General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity* prior to waste removal, site grading and stockpiling activities, and will describe actions to reduce and control the potential for erosion.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project completion (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

No impervious pavements or buildings will be added during this project. In the SPL Area, several concrete and asphalt surfaces will be removed thereby decreasing the amount of impervious surfaces. If capping of site contaminants increases the amount of impervious

surfacing, engineered systems will be designed and installed to prevent uncontrolled runoff from those areas consistent with Ecology's Surface Water Management Manual.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Control measures (e.g. silt fences, mulching, etc.) will be used as appropriate to minimize erosion during project implementation. Best management practices (BMPs) for the temporary construction activities will meet Ecology's stormwater management manual.

2. <u>Air</u>

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e. dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities, if known.

During the project, there will be an increase in air emissions associated with remedial action construction equipment. The Port requires the use of ultra low sulfur diesel in construction equipment on its projects and has an enforced anti-idling policy. Based on previous experience with this type of work on other projects, it is expected that the PM_{2.5} emissions from this project, as compared to the General Conformity de minimis levels for PM_{2.5} (100 tons per year), are insignificant and will not affect regional air quality.

There is also the possibility of fugitive dust (which will be controlled through BMPs) associated with the construction activity. These potential emissions are compatible with the surrounding heavy industrial land uses.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No sources which could affect this project have been identified.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

The Port requires the use of ultra-low sulfur diesel in construction equipment and has an enforced anti-idling policy for both operational and construction equipment. Fugitive dust will be controlled through the use of BMPs.

3. <u>Water</u>

a. Surface:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

The Project site is located on the "East Blair peninsula" which is located between the Hylebos and Blair waterways of Commencement Bay. The site does not abut either of these waterways. Two industrial process water /stormwater detention ponds constructed by Kaiser Aluminum are located on the former Kaiser property, but outside of areas identified

for interim actions. Stormwater from most of the Project site will continue to be directed into these ponds. There are no jurisdictional wetlands on the site, although there are ditches and one pond along the margins of the property which may contain juridiction features. The City of Tacoma's govME geographic information system (GIS) identifies a wetland (high probability) and a critical area (habitat zone) on the adjacent Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) property to the south-southwest of the site. In addition, a small wetland/critical area is located on an adjacent parcel owned by the Port (near the southwest corner of the site) but outside of any potential work area. The City of Tacoma has determined that the buffer for the offsite wetland is interupted and is not anywhere near the two work areas.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200') the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes, some work will be implemented near the ditch which is located east of the Rod Mill Landfill area. No work is planned within the ditch itself, and no permits are required.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities, if known.

No.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note the location on the site plan.

No. [Note: FEMA identifies some old Kaiser process water settling ponds (no longer in existence) as being within the floodplain. This is an incorrect representation of these constructed industrial facilities.]

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No waste materials will be discharged to surface waters.

b. Ground:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Possibly. In the event oil is found floating on groundwater within the Rod Mill Closed Landfill waste excavation footprint, it is likely that several tanker loads of oily water would be pumped out to remove source material and address groundwater contamination concerns. Removed water would be tested and disposed of properly. For planning purposes, the estimated quantity of water that could be removed from the excavation is 10,000 to 30,000 gallons.

2) Describe waste materials that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None.

- c. Water Runoff (including storm water):
- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and the method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The site has previously been graded to allow some stormwater to infiltrate into the ground, some to flow into two existing stormwater ponds located on the property, part to flow into the municipal drainage systems along Taylor Way and Alexander Avenue, and some to flow toward the SR 590/Taylor Way intersection via a series of ditches. Surface water runoff within the Spent Pot Liner area flows to an existing inlet structure, then to the municipal system along Taylor Way and eventually into the Hylebos Waterway via the Kaiser Ditch. Rainfall within the Rod Mill Landfill area infiltrates into the sandy soil (hydraulic fill placed in the mid 1960's) present within this portion of the site.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

For the proposed interim actions, wastes will be properly characterized, handled and disposed of in an approved manner. This will eliminate a potential source of contamination to ground and surface waters. Materials from accidental spills associated with construction activities could potentially occur. The Port will prepare a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the project area and work. In addition, the Port will require the contractors to develop a spill prevention, control and countermeasure plan for any excavation, grading, and stockpiling activities. Implementation of BMP's as outlined in these plans will minimize the potential for releases to groundwater or surface water and will detail response actions to be undertaken should a spill occur.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Temporary measures will be taken during excavation, grading and stockpiling to control erosion and the transport of sediment from the work area. The Project will be managed under an Ecology NPDES General Permit for Construction Activity. Prior to the start of interim actions, the Port will develop a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in accordance with Ecology's Surface Water Management Manual and the NPDES General Permit for Construction Activity.

4. <u>Plants</u>

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on site:

X deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other: small cottonwoods

_____evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

_____shrubs

<u>X</u>grass ____pasture

crop or grain

wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage,

water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other: cattails along margins of two ponds X other types of vegetation: minor amounts of opportunistic weeds and blackberry vines

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

No significant amount of vegetation will be removed or altered as a result of the proposed Project.

c. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

None.

5. <u>Animals</u>

a. Underline any birds or animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site: birds: hawk, <u>heron</u>, eagle, <u>songbirds</u>, <u>other</u>: <u>canada geese</u> mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: <u>transient coyotes</u> fish: salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

There are no known endangered species on the site. With respect to near the site, the following is known:

On May 24, 1999, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) formalized listing of Puget Sound Chinook salmon as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). This species occurs in the Puyallup River drainage and Commencement Bay.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) announced the listing of Coastal-Puget Sound bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) as threatened on October 28, 1999. Bull trout occur in the Puyallup River drainage and Commencement Bay.

On November 15, 2005 the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) formalized listing of Southern Resident Orcas as endangered under the Endangered Species Act. The pods listed may transit Commencement Bay during summer months.

Other listed species that could occur in the Project area include the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), Steller sea lion (*Eumetopias jubatus*), leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) and bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*). This list of species is based on information provided by NMFS and USFWS with respect to another Port project in Commencement Bay (Blair Waterway Infrastructure Improvements Project).

On May 11, 2007, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) formalized listing of Puget Sound Steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) as threatened under the Endangered Species Act, to take effect June 11, 2007. Steelhead may occur in the Puyallup River drainage and Commencement Bay.

On April 28, 2010 the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) formalized listing of three species of rockfish. The Puget Sound/Georgia Basin Distinct Population Segments of yelloweye (*Sebastes ruberrimus*) and canary rockfish (*Sebastes penniger*) are listed as threatened, and bocacio (*Sebastes paucispinis*) are listed as endangered.

The project will not impact existing habitat nor will it have an adverse impact on water quality or fish life.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

The Tacoma tideflats is part of the Pacific flyway for migrating birds. The Project site consists of upland areas that do not abut marine waters. Adult salmon migrate from Commencement Bay into the Puyallup River, Hylebos Creek, or Wapato Creek systems to spawn, and juveniles migrate downstream into Commencement Bay as smolts.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None planned.

6. Energy and Natural Resource

a. What kinds of energy (electrical, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

No energy will be required as part of the completed Project.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar power by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans for this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any

None.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, generally describe.

Spent pot liner contains cyanide and PAH compounds; both are regulated contaminants. Solid wastes buried at the Rod Mill Landfill also contain regulated contaminants. These materials will be handled, removed, and disposed of in an approved manner. In addition, some construction equipment will use fuels or petroleum products that have an inherent potential risk of fire, explosion or spill. Contractors working onsite will be required to have approved health and safety, spill prevention, and erosion control plans to protect workers, the public and the environment.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

During work activities there is the potential for an accident which could require medical attention and emergency services. Routine fire protection, police and medical aid provided by and/or within the City of Tacoma are available. Contractors will establish and follow appropriate health and safety plans. No special emergency service needs are anticipated.

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

All applicable state and federal safety guidelines will be adhered to in the implementation of the proposed Project. Contractors will follow appropriate health and safety plans and shall have United States Department of Labor, Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) training, as necessary. The need for additional measures is not anticipated.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

The site is located in an industrial area. Noise is not expected to affect the project.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Noise will be generated during implementation of the Project; however, that noise will be consistent with the surrounding industrial areas.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Restrict construction activities to approved work hours.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

The Project site currently is vacant land. The site is occasionally used for automobile storage, recycling of constructon materials and contractor laydown area.

Properties adjacent to the Project site are owned by the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, BPA, City of Tacoma and Port of Tacoma. A portion of the Tribe property to the north is developed and has recently been used as a lumber transloading facility. The remaining portions of the Tribe property to the west and southeast are vacant. Property to the south is owned by BPA, and is used for a power substation. The City of Tacoma owns the adjacent Taylor Way and Alexander Avenue. The abutting Port property is part of the Port's industrial land inventory. Several small office buildings are present at the southwest corner of the 96 acre site.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No.

c. Describe any structure on the site.

Five small office buildings are located at the southwest corner of the site and have been used as field offices; however, they are currently vacant. One small sampling shed is present along Taylor Way, at the outlet to one of the two ponds.

d. Will any structures be demolished?

No. Concrete slabs and asphalt pavement will be removed at the Spent Pot Liner area.

e. What is the current zoning?

The Project site is zoned "PMI" Port Maritime Industrial District.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

High Intensity

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

NA.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

None known

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None needed.

1. Proposed measures to insure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The site use proposed is compatible and consistent with the surrounding uses and with current zoning and comprehensive plan designations for this site. Remedial actions planned during the project will restore contaminated portions of the site to productive use.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Not applicable.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Not applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Not applicable.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principle exterior building material(s) proposed?

No structures are proposed.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light and glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

c. What existing off-site source of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

12. <u>Recreation</u>

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Recreational fishing and boating occur in Commencement Bay and the nearby waterways.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None needed, as the project does not interfere with any access to recreational opportunities.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, general describe.

There are no places or objects on or next to the sites known to be listed or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

None known.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

None needed.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The site has two existing entrances along Taylor Way and two existing entrances along Alexander Avenue. The majority of the project traffic is expected to enter and exit the site via the southern Taylor Way entrance. This entrance has been in continual use since 1968.

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The site is no longer served by public transit. The nearest bus stop is in Fife.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

The project will neither create nor eliminate any parking spaces. Contractor temporary parking will be provided on the Project site.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Yes; the project is located on parcels in the vicinity of water and rail transportation given the proximity of the locations to the Port of Tacoma. There will be no impact on either.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

The Project will not generate vehicular trips when it is completed, therefore, peak volumes or times will not be effected.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts to public services, if any.

Not applicable.

16. Utilities

a. Underline utilities currently available at the site: <u>electricity</u>, natural_gas, <u>water</u>, refuse service, <u>telephone</u>, <u>sanitary sewer</u>, septic system, other.

The above identified utilities are available on the property, but not within close proximity to any planned construction.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

No new utility services will be required as a result of the completion of the proposed project.

C. <u>SIGNATURE</u>

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:	$\mathcal{M}($	Den	
Date Submitted:		1/15/2013	

WAC 197-11-970 Determination of nonsignificance (DNS).

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Description of proposal: The project involves the following elements pursuant to Agreed Order No. DE – 5698, or as deemed necessary by Ecology:

• Interim Action Work Plan(s) and Interim Action(s), including supporting documentation. These may include the removal of contaminated materials or capping, as appropriate.

Proponent: Port of Tacoma

Location of proposal, including street address, if any: Generally described as 3400 Taylor Way, Tacoma, WA 98421

Lead agency: Washington State Department of Ecology

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

 \Box There is no comment period for this DNS.

□ This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355. There is no further comment period on the DNS.

 \underline{X} This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by February 11, 2013.

Responsible official: Rebecca Lawson P.E., LHG

Position/title: Regional Section Manager, Toxics Cleanup Program, Phone: (360) 407-6241

Address: Southwest Regional Office, P.O. Box 47775, Olympia, WA 98504-7775

berr Date //15/2013 Signature (/

(OPTIONAL)

□ You may appeal this determination to (name)

no later than (date)

by method

You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

Contact ______to read or ask about the procedures for SEPA appeals.

 \underline{X} There is no agency appeal.

Anthony L-T	Chen, MD, MPH, Director of Health
No. <u>1671</u>	Tacoma Pierce County Health Department
Tacoma - Pierce County Health Department Healthy People in Healthy Communities www.tpchd.org	5/10/2013 11:07:13 AM Elerk 6-T1 Waste Disposal Authorization \$145.00
(XX) Non-Asbestos (XX) New	Receirt #300097 cash WDA 1671 Landau & Assoc
() Asbestos (PSCAA Case #) () Renewal	
A. Generator Name: <u>Port of Tacoma – Former Kaiser Aluminum Rod Mill Ar</u>	ea Closed Landfill
B. Generator Address: <u>3400 Taylor Way, Tacoma WA</u>	
C. Transporter Name: <u>Contract Hauler</u>	
D. Technical Contact: <u>Stacey Lane, Landau Associates</u> Phone: 4	425 778 0907
E.Waste Description:Industrial Waste – Excavated Waste From Closed Rod() Sludge(XX) Solid() PCS(XX)	Mill Area Landfill X) Other
F. Authorized Quantity: 25,000 Tons	
G. Actual Quantity (Filled in upon disposal):	
H. Multiple Loads: (XX) Yes () No	
I. Dates of Disposal: <u>May 9, 2013 through May 8, 2014</u>	
J. Testing: <u>NWTPH-Dx</u> , cPAH's, PCB's, Cyanide, Vinyl Chloride, Total Metals	(As, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Zn)
K. Reviewed by Department of Ecology: (XX) Yes () No	
L. Disposal/Transportation Requirements: A copy of this WDA must be transp	orted with EACH load of waste
and presented to the LRI Landfill Scalehouse Operator. This waste stream must b	e dewatered so that it contains no
free liquids (verified as necessary by Paint Filter Test) prior to transport. Loads must	
landfill to prevent fugitive emissions of contaminated soils. Load sizes shall comply v	
permit criteria. This industrial waste is NOT suitable for use as an Alternative Daily of	
directly disposed within the landfill. Waste shall either be co-mingled with MSW or,	if segregated, covered with suitable
daily cover at the end of each day.	

M. Facility: (X X) LRI Landfill (304th Street LF), 30919 Meridian Street, Eatonville, WA

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and any supporting material. Based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, the information submitted is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and ability and that all known and suspected hazards have been disclosed. I agree that the generator and/or transporter will abide by all conditions specified in line (L) or any attachments thereto.

5/10/13	Senior Staff Geologist	Waster Hoope	
Date	Title	Signature	
AUTHORIZED B	BY:	MAY 0 9 2013	
Andy Comstock, TPCHD	253/198-6538	TACOMA-PIERCE COUNTY HEALTH DEPT. Environmental Health Div.	
Cc: LRI LF Scalehouse via Fax -		For Official Use Only	

Tacoma-Pierce County Health DepartmentEnvironmental Health DivisionWaste Management3629 South D St, Tacoma, MS: 1045, WA 98418-6813(253) 798-6047

APPENDIX C

Interim Action Construction Drawings

PORT OF TACOMA

FORMER KAISER FACILITY INTERIM ACTION SPL AREA AND ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL **PROJECT NO. 092837 CONTRACT NO. 069646**

PORT COMMISSIONERS:

CONSTANCE T. BACON DONALD C. JOHNSON RICHARD P. MARZANO DON MEYER CLARE PETRICH

PORT STAFF:

JOHN WOLFE Chief Executive Officer

SUE MAUERMANN **Director of Facilities** Development

DAKOTA CHAMBERLAIN, PE Director of Engineering



ENVIRONMENTAL/GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER:

LANDAU ASSOCIATES CONTACT: DAVE PISCHER, P.E. 130 2ND AVENUE SOUTH EDMONDS, WA 98020 (425) 778-0907

BASE SURVEY: APEX ENGINEERING PLLC CONTACT: MEL GARLAND, P.L.S. 2601 SOUTH 35TH STREET, SUITE 200 TACOMA, WA 98409 (253) 473-4494

		DRAWING LIST
SHEET DESIGNATION	SHEET #	SHEET TITLE
G1.0	1	COVER SHEET
G2.0	2	LEGEND, SYMBOLS, AND ABBREVIATIONS
G3.0	3	DATUM AND GENERAL NOTES
C1.0	4	SITE ACCESS, HAUL ROUTES, AND STAGING AREA
C2.0	5	TESC PLAN, NOTES, AND DETAILS
C3.0	6	SPL AREA DEMOLITION PLAN
C3.1	7	SPL AREA EXCAVATION PLAN
C3.2	8	SPL AREA EXCAVATION SECTIONS
C3.3	9	SPL AREA BACKFILL AND GRADING PLAN
C3.4	10	SPL AREA STORM DRAINAGE PLAN
C4.0	1 1	ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL AREA EXCAVATION PLAN
C4.1	12	ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL AREA EXCAVATION SECTIONS
C4.2	13	ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL AREA BACKFILL AND GRADING PLAN
C5.0	14	RECTIFIER YARD AREA BACKFILL AND GRADING PLAN
	15	TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY



R KAISER FACILITY PROPUED CHECKED BY DATE TERIM ACTION MAY 2F, 2013 CHECKED BY DATE MAY 2F, 2013 DATE PROJ. ENGR MAY 2F, 2013 DIRECTOR ENG. DATE PROJ. ENGR MAY 2F, 2013 MARK. REVISION: RANGE: 3 EAST SEAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36 PROJ. ENGR RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36 PROJ. ENGR MARK. REVISION: RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36 PROJ. ENGR MARK. REVISION: BY: VERT: MLLW 19.39' ORT ADDRESS: ONE SITCUM PLAZA DRAWING SCALE: AS NOTED DRAWING SCALE: AS NOTED BY:	ACILITY APPENDED ACILITY APPENDED ON ASSOCIATES MACIA Taveer Associates MAX 32, 2013 ASSOCIATES MAX 32, 2013 ASSOCIATES Diffection ENGle Section Switch Section Section Section Section Second Switch
PROVED DATA TAMBERLAN MAY 24, 2013 DIRECTOR ENC. PORT ADDRESSIONE STICUM PLAZA PORT ADDRESSIONE STICUM PLAZA TACOMA, WA 98401-1837	PRODUCED MADIA THANBERLAIN MAY 24, 2013 DIRECTOR ENC. DATE PRINTED BY: riudwig May 17, 2013 PORT ADDRESS:ONE SITCUM PLAZA TACOMA, WA 98401-1837
	FORME IN TOWNSHIP: 21 NORTH DAT-HRZ: WAB3-SF PARCEL: 77

ÿ				
9 9	ABBRE	EVIATIONS	SYMBOLS	SURVEY LEGEND
A/C ASPH APPROX BGS BOT BMP CAMU CDF CITY CONC CPAHS CPE ECOLOGY ELEV FT HORZ HASP LF MAX MIN MISC MLLW MUTCD	 ASPHALT CONCRETE ASPHALT APPROXIMATE BELOW GROUND SURFACE BOTTOM BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE CORRECTIVE ACTION MANAGEMENT UNIT CONTROLLED DENSITY FILL CITY OF TACOMA CONCRETE CARCINOGENIC POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS CORRUGATED POLYETHYLENE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY ELEVATION FEET HORIZONTAL HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN LINEAR FEET MAXIMUM MINIMUM MISCELLANEOUS MEAN LOWER LOW WATER MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES 	MW - MONITORING WELL MTCA - MODEL TOXICS CONTROL ACT NTS - NOT TO SCALE PORT - PORT OF TACOMA RCRA - RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT RMLF - ROD MILL LANDFILL SD - STORM DRAINAGE SF - SQUARE FEET SPL - SPENT POT LINING SWPPP - STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN TEMP - TEMPORARY TESC - TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL TYP - TYPICAL VERT - VERTICAL	 BDLLARD/ GAURD POST GATE FENCE GATE A FIRE HYDRANT - 2 PORT ☆ FIRE HYDRANT - 3 PORT ☆ LANDSCAPE LIGHT Q₁ LIGHT J-BOX ↓ LIGHT J-BOX ↓ LIGHT VAULT ← POWER GUY ANCHOR ◇G PDWER GUY POLE ◇P POWER POLE ◇P POWER RISER POWER P DWER RISER POWER P DWER RISER POWER P DWER VAULT I SIGN > STORM DRAIN CULVERT ③ STORM MAN HOLE ₩ WATER WATER VAULT ¥ WATER VAULT 	EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENT EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENT - SECONDARY SURVEY CONTROL POINT STORMWATER CATCH BASIN STORMWATER CULVERT MONITORING WELL SIGN ASPHALT CONCRETE GRAVEL STORM DRAIN LINE CHAIN LINK FENCE TOP OF SLOPE TDE OF SLOPE MAJOR CONTOUR MINOR CONTOUR
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
URI UF IACOMA FILE: VITTBUGGUTULUAUBAG SANOS2837-52.0			TEXT NOTATION S CI.1 SECTION DETAIL SHE	NDICATES DIRECTION DF CUTTING PLANE LETTER INDICATES SECTION SHEET NUMBER WHERE SECTION IS SHOWN IBER INDICATES AIL DR VIEW ET NUMBER WHERE AIL IS SHOWN



TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:

- APPROVAL OF THE TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL (TESC) PLAN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL OF PERMANENT ROAD AND/OR DRAINAGE DESIGN (E.G., SIZE AND LOCATION OF ROADS, PIPES, RESTRICTORS, CHANNELS, RETENTION BMPS, UTILITIES, ETC.) BUT IS AN APPROVAL OF TESC MEASURES ONLY.
- 2. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TESC PLAN AND THE CONSTRUCTION. MAINTENANCE, REPLACEMENT, AND UPGRADING OF THE TESC BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPS) IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR UNTIL SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE PORT.
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL MARKUP AND RESUBMIT FOR PDRT APPROVAL THE UPDATED TESC PLANS INDICATING LDCATIONS AND SEQUENCING OF MEASURES (FACILITIES AND BMPS) TO BE INSTALLED,
- 4. BOUNDARIES OF THE CLEARING LIMITS SHOWN ON THE TESC PLAN SHALL BE CLEARLY MARKED (WITH SURVEY TAPE, HIGH VISIBILITY FENCING, HIGH VISIBILITY SILT FENCING, HIGH VISIBILITY PAINT, LATH WITH SURVEY TAPE, OR TEMPORARY FENCING AS APPROPRIATE AND AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS) PRIOR TO EXCAVATION OF SOILS.
- 5. TESC MEASURES (FACILITIES AND BMPS) SHOWN ON THE TESC PLAN ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR ANTICIPATED SITE CONDITIONS. DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD, THE TESC FACILITIES SHALL BE UPGRADED (E.G., ADDITIONAL SUMPS, PUMPS, STORAGE TANKS, RELOCATION OF DITCHES AND SILT FENCING, ETC.) AS NEEDED FOR UNEXPECTED STORM EVENTS AND MODIFIED TD ACCOUNT FDR CHANGING SITE CONDITIONS. THEREFORE, DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADDRESS ANY CHANGED OR NEW CONDITIONS THAT MAY BE CREATED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S ACTIVITIES AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL TESC MEASURES THAT MAY BE NEEDED TO PROTECT ADJACENT PROPERTIES AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE PORT
- TESC MEASURES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO OR IN CONJUNCTION 6. WITH ALL CLEARING AND EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES, AND IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO ENSURE THAT SEDIMENT-LADEN WATER DOES NOT ENTER SURFACE WATERS, DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, ADJACENT PROPERTIES, AND/OR VIOLATE APPLICABLE WATER STANDARDS.
- STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES, WHEEL WASH FACILITIES, AND EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION FACILITIES SHALL BE INSTALLED AT THE BEGINNING OF CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT. ADDITIONAL MEASURES SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED AS REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT ALL PAVED AREAS ARE KEPT CLEAN FOR THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT.
- 8. TESC MEASURES SHALL BE INSPECTED DAILY BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING ACTIVE WORK AND MAINTAINED AS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THEIR CONTINUED PROPER FUNCTIONING. WRITTEN RECORDS SHALL BE KEPT OF WEEKLY SITE INSPECTIONS AND SUBMITTED TO THE PORT ON A WEEKLY BASIS
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A FULLY STOCKED SPILL KIT ON SITE AT ALL TIMES.
- 1D. ANY AREA NEEDING ADDITIONAL TESC MEASURES, BUT NOT REQUIRING IMMEDIATE ATTENTION, SHALL BE ADDRESSED WITHIN 5 DAYS.
- 11. TESC MEASURES ON INACTIVE SITE AREAS SHALL BE MAINTAINED A MINIMUM OF ONCE A MONTH OR WITHIN 48 HOURS FOLLOWING A STORM EVENT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MANUAL FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON, VOLUME II. CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION, BY THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY.
- 12. AT NO TIME SHALL SEDIMENT BE ALLOWED TO ACCUMULATE WITHIN A CATCH BASIN. ALL CATCH BASINS AND CONVEYANCE LINES WITHIN AND NEAR AREAS OF CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVAL SHALL BE BLOCKED OR PLUGGED
- 13. POLYETHYLENE SHEETING OR AN ERDSION CONTROL BLANKET SHALL BE APPLIED TO STABILIZE SDIL BERMS.
- 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGNATE A PERSON TO BE TESC SUPERVISOR THE TESC SUPERVISOR SHALL HAVE THEIR CERTIFIED EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL LEAD (CESCL) CERTIFICATION AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTENANCE AND REVIEW OF TESC MEASURES AND FOR CDMPLIANCE WITH ALL PERMIT CONDITIONS RELATING TO TESC. THE TESC SUPERVISOR MUST BE AVAILABLE FOR RAPID RESPONSE TO TESC PROBLEMS THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE NAME AND PHONE NUMBERS TO REACH THE TESC SUPERVISOR, AT ALL TIMES, TD THE PORT AND ENGINEER.
- 15. SHOULD TESC MEASURES NOT BE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. THE PORT MAY STOP ALL WORK NOT PERTAINING TO THE CORRECTION OF TESC PROBLEMS UNTIL TESC MEASURES ARE RETURNED TO THE PROPER OPERATION, AT NO ADDITIONAL CDST TO THE PORT.

TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES (CONT):

- 16. ALL TESC BMPS SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN A SATISFACTORY CONDITION UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED, PERMANENT DRAINAGE FACILITIES ARE OPERATIONAL, AND THE POTENTIAL FOR FROSION HAS PASSED
- 17. AT A MINIMUM, EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL FACILITIES SHALL BE MAINTAINED MONTHLY, OR FOLLOWING EACH RUNOFF PRODUCING STORM, TO ENSURE PROPER DPERATION OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES
- 18. THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL BE KEPT CLEAN. TRACKING OF MUD AND DEBRIS FROM THE SITE WILL NOT BE ALLOWED. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS CONDITION WILL RESULT IN ALL WORK ON THE SITE BEING STOPPED UNTIL THE ISSUE IS CORRECTED, AT NO COST TO THE PORT
- 19. WHEN NECESSARY, SCRAPING AND SWEEPING OF STREETS, SIDEWALKS, AND FLOWLINES SHALL BE CONDUCTED WITH A VACUUM SWEEPER OR OTHER APPROVED MEANS
- 20. VACUUM/CLEAN UP SLURRY CREATED FROM SAW-CUTTING OF SLABS AND PAVEMENTS IMMEDIATELY AFTER DR CONCURRENT WITH CUTTING.
- 21. THE WASHINGTON STATE CLEAN AIR ACT REQUIRES THE USE OF ALL KNOWN, AVAILABLE, AND REASONABLE MEANS OF CONTROLLING AIR POLLUTION, INCLUDING DUST. DUST CAN BE CONTROLLED BY WETTING EXPOSED SOILS, WASHING TRUCK WHEELS BEFORE THEY LEAVE THE SITE, AND INSTALLING AND MAINTAINING ROCK CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES CONSTRUCTION VEHICLE TRACK-OUT IS A MAJOR SOURCE OF DUST AND ANY EVIDENCE OF TRACK-OUT CAN TRIGGER FINES FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY OR THE PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY THAT WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR
- 22. CATCH BASIN INSERTS SHALL BE PROVIDED AND PROPERLY MAINTAINED IN ALL EXISTING AND NEW CATCH BASINS WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION LIMITS. AT ND TIME SHALL MORE THAN 6 INCHES DF SEDIMENT BE ALLOWED TO ACCUMULATE WITHIN A CATCH BASIN INSERT
- 23. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT LINEAR RUN-ON CONTROLS TO PREVENT WATER FROM ENTERING THE EXCAVATED AREAS.
- 24. PORTABLE SANITARY FACILITIES SHALL BE LOCATED AT LEAST 25 FEET FRDM ANY STORM WATER INLET OR WATER BODY AND SHALL BE SERVICED REGULARLY AS NEEDED.
- 25. STATIONARY EQUIPMENT (E.G., GENERATORS, LIGHT STANDS) CONTAINING ANY AMOUNT OF FUELS AND OR OILS SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH SECONDARY CONTAINMENT
- 26. TESC BMPS SHALL BE REMOVED PRIOR TO FINAL COMPLETION UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE PORT

PERMANENT EROSION CONTROL:

CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN BMPS THROUGH PERMIT TERMINATION OR WARRANTED PERIOD, WHICHEVER IS FIRST

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- 1. ALL WORKMANSHIP AND MATERIALS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PORT OF TACOMA STANDARDS, THE CITY OF TACOMA STANDARDS, AND AS SPECIFIED IN THE SPECIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT.
- 2. APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS DF EXISTING UTILITIES HAVE BEEN OBTAINED FROM AVAILABLE RECORDS AND ARE SHOWN FOR CONVENIENCE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CALL 811 NUMBER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFICATION OF LOCATIONS AND AVOIDING DAMAGE TO ALL UTILITIES. CONTRACTOR SHALL NDT COMMENCE CLEARING AND/OR GRADING ACTIVITIES UNTIL ALL KNOWN UTILITIES ARE MARKED AND ALL UTILITY PURVEYORS HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED AND HAVE PROVIDED INFORMATION ON UNKNOWN FACILITIES.
- 3. IF CONFLICTS WITH EXISTING UTILITIES ARISE DURING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE PORT AND THE ENGINEER. ANY CHANGES REQUIRED SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE PORT PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT DF RELATED CONSTRUCTION ON THE PROJECT.
- 4. A COPY OF THE APPROVED PLANS MUST BE ON THE JOB SITE WHENEVER CONSTRUCTION IS IN PROGRESS.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING ADEQUATE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TOR FROUDING ADEGUARDS, SAFEGUARDS, SAFETY DEVICES, PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT, FLAGGERS, AND ANY OTHER NEEDED ACTIONS TO PROTECT THE LIFE, HEALTH, AND SAFETY DF THE PUBLIC, AND TO PROTECT PROPERTY IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF WORK CDVERED BY THE CONTRACT. ANY WORK WITHIN THE TRAVELED RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL CONFORM WITH THE CITY OF TACOMA TRAFFIC CONTROL HANDBOOK AND PART SIX (6) OF THE MUTCD FOR GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.
- 6. EQUIPMENT AND VEHICLES THAT HAVE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED SITE MATERIALS MUST PASS THROUGH THE DECONTAMINATION WORK PAD FACILITY PRIOR TO LEAVING THE SITE.
- 7. WORK PERFORMED SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE TO THE SPL AREA AND ROD MILL AREA CLOSED LANDFILL INTERIM ACTION WORK PLANS.

GENERAL EXCAVATION NOTES:

- 1. CONTRACTOR SHALL USE A SMOOTH BLADE BUCKET TO CAREFULLY EXCAVATE SOILS IN THE SPENT POT LINING AREA UNDER THE OBSERVATION OF THE PORT'S REPRESENTATIVE. EXCAVATION AT THE SPENT POT LINING AREA SHALL START ALONG THE NORTHERN BOUNDARY AND WORK TOWARDS THE SOUTH.
- 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL USE A SMOOTH BLADE BUCKET DURING THE FINAL PASS TO CAREFULLY EXCAVATE SOILS IN THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AREA UNDER THE OBSERVATION OF THE PORT'S REPRESENTATIVE. EXCAVATION AT THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AREA SHALL START ALONG THE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY AND WORK TOWARDS THE NORTH
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL USE SOIL MATERIAL FROM THE STOCKPILE AREA STARTING AT THE NORTHERN EDGE/END OF THE STOCKPILE AND WORKING TOWARDS THE SOUTH

GENERAL FILL NOTES:

CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN CONFIRMATION FROM THE PORT THAT CONTAMINATED MATERIALS HAVE BEEN ADEQUATELY REMOVED IN EACH AREA PRIOR TO START OF BACKFILLING.



MMLW ELEV. - 6.17' = NGVD 29 ELEV.

- - AND 01 77 D0

83/07

FAST

1. ALL DISTANCES SHOWN ARE GROUND VALUES.

PORT OF TACOMA - MLLW BENCHMARK: POT MONUMENT #175 2" BRASS DISK ON THE SW SIDE OF TAYLOR WAY FLEV: 16.15'

SUGGESTED CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE:

1. HOLD THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE PORT AND THE ENGINEER

2. MARK CLEARING EXCAVATION LIMITS AS NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS.

3. INSTALL T.E.S.C. MEASURES (FACILITIES AND BMPS) ON AND ADJACENT TO THE SITE AS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS AS APPLICABLE.

4. CONDUCT EXCAVATION AND BACKFILLING WORK IN THE FOLLOWING ORDER UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE PORT

A. SPENT PDT LINING (SPL) AREA

B. ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL AREA

C RECTIFIER YARD AREA

5. DEMOLISH AND REMOVE SPL AREA CONCRETE SLABS/FOUNDATIONS AND ASPHALT PAVEMENTS AS CALLED OUT ON SHEET C3.0.

6. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE CONTAMINATED SOIL IN THE SPL AREA. UPON APPROVAL FROM THE PORT, BACKFILL TD SPECIFIED FINISHED GRADES. 7. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE SOIL AND DEBRIS IN THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AREA. UPON APPROVAL FROM THE PORT, BACKFILL TO SPECIFIED FINISHED GRADES.

8. PLACE FILL IN THE RECTIFIER YARD AREA.

9. CONDUCT SITE RESTORATION ACTIVITIES AND SUBMIT RECORD DRAWINGS TO THE PORT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SPECIFICATION SECTIONS 01 71 23

HORIZONTAL DATUM:

WASHINGTON STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, SOUTH ZONE, NAD

AS DERIVED FROM GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) TIES TO PORT OF TACOMA SURVEY CONTROL MONUMENTS AS SHOWN DN PORT OF TACOMA (POT) 2007 SURVEY CONTROL MAP TITLED "BLAIR-HYLEBOS PENINSULA SURVEY CONTROL MAP", PREPARED BY PARAMETRIX, DATED JANUARY 7, 2008.

POT MONUMENT #135 N 706689.81, E 1178944.75 POT MONUMENT #175 N 708849.50, E 1176475.70 HELD INVERSE BEARING BETWEEN #175 AND #135, BEING SOUTH 48'49'26"

VERTICAL DATUM:

VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION:

SUBTRACT 6.17' TO COVERT MLLW TO CITY OF TACOMA (NGVD '29) VERTICAL



FORMER KAISER FACILITY REPOVED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	THE PART OF THE PA		TOWNSHIP: 21 NORTH RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36 PRINTED BY: HUDWIG MOY 17, 2013	DAT-HR2: WA83-SF VERT: MLLW 19.39' © Tide 22 1933 PORT ADDRESS:ONE SITCUM PLAZA	PARCEL: 77 DRAWING SCALE: AS NOTED TACOMA, WA 98401-1837	
F0			TOWNSHIP: 21 NOF	DAT-HRZ: WA83-	PARCEL: 77	
	20.0	(EET 3 OF 15	ONS: 069646	T NO: 092837	BID SET	



NOTES: 1. AERIAL MAP OBTAINED FROM GOOGLE EARTH PRO 2010.

× NOTES:

- 1. THE ONLY SITE ACCESS AVAILABLE TD THE CONTRACTOR IS LOCATED AT THIS GATED ENTRANCE ALONG TAYLOR WAY. CDNTRACTOR TO PROVIDE FLAGGER FOR TRUCKS TURNING ONTO AND OFF TAYLOR WAY AS NECESSARY. CONTRACTOR SHALL SHUT AND LOCK THE SITE ACCESS GATE AT THE END OF EACH WORKDAY.
- 2. THE ACCESS RDAD IS THE MAIN HAUL ROUTE AND ACCESS INTO THE SITE. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE USE AND MAINTENANCE OF THE ACCESS ROAD WITH THE PORT.
- 3. CONTRACTOR STAGING AREAS ARE AVAILABLE FDR TEMPORARY FACILITIES.
- EQUIPMENT LEAVING CONTAMINATED SOIL EXCAVATION AREAS SHALL BE DECONTAMINATED AS SPECIFIED IN SPECIFICATION 01 35 45.
- MATERIAL FROM THE SOIL STOCKPILE SHALL BE THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF ONSITE FILL MATERIAL, STARTING AT THE NORTH END OF THE STOCKPILE AND WORKING TOWARDS THE SOUTH.
- SURFICIAL SAND IN THIS AREA TD BE REMOVED AND USED TO BACKFILL THE ROD MILL LANDFILL EXCAVATION AREA. DISTURBED AREAS TO BE REGRADED AND RECOMPACTED TO PROMOTE DRAINAGE.
- STOCKPILES OF MATERIAL TO BE USED AS BACKFILL IN THE RECTIFIER YARD AND ROD MILL LANDFILL AREAS.
- 8. WASTE/DEBRIS PILE TD REMAIN OR BE REMOVED BY OTHERS.
- EXISTING CRUSHED ASPHALT STOCKPILES SHALL BE USED AS SURFACING MATERIAL IN THE SPL AREA, RECTIFIER YARD AREA, AND PORTIONS OF THE ROD MILL AREA.
- SURFICIAL CRUSHED ASPHALT SURFACING IN THIS AREA SHALL BE SCRAPED AND STOCKPILED FOR USE AS SURFACING MATERIAL IN OTHER PROJECT AREAS. DISTURBED AREAS TO BE REGRADED AND RECOMPACTED TO PROMOTE DRAINAGE.
- 11. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE APPROXIMATELY 70 LF OF TEMPORARY FENCING AT THE SOUTHWEST ACCESS ROAD OFF OF ALEXANDER AVENUE NORTH. FENCING SHALL BE REMOVED PRIOR TO FINAL COMPLETION.
- 12. EXISTING CRUSHED CONCRETE STOCKPILES TO BE USED AS FILL ONLY IN THE AREAS APPROVED BY THE PDRT.





- SILT FENCING TO BE PROVIDED AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGY'S BMP C233.
- HIGH VISIBILITY (SAFETY) FENCING TO BE PROVIDED NEAR THE TOP OF SLOPE AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
- CDIR LOGS TO BE PROVIDED 5' FROM HIGH VISIBILITY FENCING AND INSTALLED PER DETAIL 1/C2.D.
- PRDVIDE WHEEL WASH IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGY'S BMP C106. LOCATION TO BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY
- PRDVIDE OR REPAIR (AS NEEDED) AND MAINTAIN SILT FENCING ATTACHED TO CHAINLINK FENCE.
- COIR LOGS TO BE PRDVIDED AROUND EXISTING CONCRETE VAULT AND INSTALLED PER DETAIL 1/C2.D.
- CATCH BASIN INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE PROVIDED IN NEW CATCH BASIN AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
- CONNECT/THE SILT FENCING TO EXISTING CHAINLINK FENCE.
- PRDVIDE STORM DRAIN INLET PRDTECTION FOR THE EXISTING CONCRETE VAULT IN THE SPL AREA AND ALONG TAYLOR WAY IN THE FIRST TWO GRATED DOWNSTREAM CATCH BASINS FROM THE ENTRANCE AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGY'S BMP C22D.
- PRDVIDE CONSTRUCTION ROADS AND PARKING AREA STABILIZATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGY'S BMP C1D7.
- PROVIDE/UPGRADE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGY'S BMP C105.
- PRDVIDE DUST CONTROL AS APPLICABLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGY'S BMP C14D.
- HAVE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL MATERIALS ON HAND AND LOCATED IN THE CONTRACTOR'S AREA IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLOGY'S BMP C150.
- PROVIDE MATERIAL DELIVERY, STDRAGE, AND CONTAINMENT IN THE CONTRACTOR'S AREA IN ACCORDANCE WITH
- CDIR LOGS SHALL BE PROVIDED 5 FT AWAY AND AROUND THE NEW CATCH BASIN AND INSTALLED PER DETAIL
- PROTECT EXISTING GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS AND PROVIDE HIGH VISIBILITY FENCING AROUND THE WELLS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECOLDGY'S BMP C1D3.
- VERIFY WITH THE PORT THAT THE GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS ARE DECOMMISSIONED (BY OTHERS) PRIOR TO DEMOLITION OF ANY REMAINING WELL MONUMENTS, BOLLARDS, AND CONCRETE SEALS. CONTRACTOR SHALL DEMOLISH REMAINING WELL MONUMENTS, BOLLARDS, AND CONCRETE SEALS AS
- CLEAN STORM CATCH BASIN/STRUCTURES AND REMOVE SEDIMENTS PRIOR TO ANY EARTH DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.





POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING
1	707894.99	1177149.D7
2	707853.93	1177188.89

X DEMOLITION NOTES:

- 1. REMOVE REINFORCED CONCRETE SLABS IN SECTIONS. THE REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB THICKNESS VARIES BUT IS ESTIMATED TO BE MAX 16 INCHES. CONCRETE SECTIONS SHALL BE RUBBLIZED TO APPROX 8 TO 1D INCH SIZE AT A PORT APPROVED PROCESSING LOCATION AND STOCKPILED FOR REUSE AS BACKFILL IN THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AND RECTIFIER YARD AREAS.
- 2. REMOVE REINFORCED CONCRETE SLABS IN SECTIONS. THE REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB THICKNESS VARIES BUT IS ESTIMATED TO BE APPROX 1D INCHES. CONCRETE SECTIONS SHALL BE RUBBLIZED TO MAX 8 TO 1D INCH SIZE AT A PORT APPROVED PROCESSING LOCATION AND STOCKPILED FOR REUSE AS BACKFILL IN THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AND RECTIFIER YARD AREAS.
- 3. REMOVE ASPHALT PAVEMENT IN SECTIONS. THE ASPHALT THICKNESS IS APPROX 4 INCHES. ASPHALT SECTIONS SHALL BE RUBBLIZED TO MAX 8 TO 1D INCHES AT A PORT APPROVED PROCESSING LOCATION AND STOCKPILED FOR REUSE AS BACKFILL IN THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AND RECTIFIER YARD AREAS.
- 4. REMOVE REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB CONTAINING METAL RAILS. THERE ARE 16 RAILS IN WHICH HALF OF THEM ARE ABOUT 24 FT LDNG AND THE REMAINDER ARE ABOUT 31 FT LONG. THE REINFDRCED CONCRETE SLAB THICKNESS IS ESTIMATED TO BE APPROX 16 INCHES.
- 5. SLABS AND PAVEMENT MAY EXTEND UNDER CLEAN SOIL STOCKPILE AREA. REMOVE PORTIDNS OF SOIL STOCKPILE AS REQUIRED TO EXPOSE SLABS AND PAVEMENT FOR DEMOLITION.
- 6. VERIFY WITH THE PORT THAT THE GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS ARE DECOMMISSIONED (BY OTHERS) PRIOR TD DEMOLITION OF THE REMAINING WELL MONUMENTS, BOLLARDS, AND CONCRETE SEALS.
- 7. REMOVE ASPHALT PAVEMENT UP TO THIS EDGE
- 8. AS PART OF SPL AREA SOIL EXCAVATION, EXISTING REINFORCED CONCRETE VAULT STRUCTURE AND CONCRETE PIPE TO BE DEMOLISHED, REMOVED, CLEANED, AND RUBBLIZED TO MAX 8 TO 10 INCH SIZE AT A PORT APPROVED PROCESSING LOCATION AND STOCKPILED FOR REUSE AS BACKFILL IN THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AND RECTIFIER YARD AREAS.
- AFTER COMPLETION OF SPL AREA SOIL EXCAVATION, PROVIDE FOR CONNECTION OF NEW STORM DRAIN PIPE. SEE SHEET C3.4 FOR NEW STORM DRAIN PIPE INFORMATION.

GENERAL DEMOLITION NOTES:

- 1. RECYCLE MATERIALS TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE.
- 2. ABANDONED PIPES AND CONDUITS SHALL BE PLUGGED WITH CDF AT THE EDGE OF THE EXCAVATION AREAS.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT THE LOCATION OF ITS PROPOSED ASPHALT AND CONCRETE PROCESSING AREA FOR PORT APPROVAL.
- 4. REMDVE/CLEAN ALL SOIL AND/OR WASTE MATERIALS OFF OF CONCRETE AND ASPHALT SURFACES PRIOR TO RUBBLIZATION AND STOCKPILING.
- 5. RUBBLIZED CONCRETE AND ASPHALT SHALL BE STOCKPILED FOR LATER REUSE AS EXCAVATION BACKFILL MATERIAL IN THE ROD MILL LANDFILL AND RECTIFIER YARD AREAS.







	_E
G	EAS

G	EASTING
	1177326.12
	1177384.33
	1177448.36
	1176967.88
	1177067.88
	1177D67.88
	1177167.88
	1177267.88
	1177351.06
	1177448.36
	1177267.88
	1177351.06
	1177097.44
	1177127.44
	1177D97.44

POINT TABLE				
NORTHING	EASTING			
7D8D13.5D	1177127. 44			
7D7944.68	1176996.17			
707944.68	1177D16.17			
7D7944.68	1177033.32			
7D7924.68	1176996.17			
7D7924.68	1177D16.17			
7D7924.68	1177D33.32			
7D8D68.35	1177219.67			
7D8D68.35	1177239.67			
708048.35	1177219.67			
7D8D48.35	1177239.67			
	NORTHING 7D8D13.5D 7D7944.68 7D7944.68 7D7944.68 7D7924.68 7D7924.68 7D7924.68 7D8D68.35 7D8D68.35 7D8048.35			

SPL AREA SOIL EXCAVATION NOTES:

ASSUMED BOUNDARY OF EXCAVATION WITH 1H:1V SIDE SLOPES AND UP TO 6 INCHES OF SOIL REMOVED BELOW CURRENTLY IDENTIFIED SPL ZONE MATERIAL. ACTUAL EXTENT AND DEPTH OF SPL ZONE MATERIAL AND ASSOCIATED CONTAMINATED SOIL TO BE REMOVED FOR DISPOSAL SHALL BE BASED DN DETERMINATIONS BY THE PORT'S REPRESENTATIVE AND LABORATORY RESULTS OBTAINED DURING

REMDVE PORTIONS OF THE CLEAN SOIL STOCKPILE AS REQUIRED TO ACCESS SPL ZONE MATERIAL AND ASSOCIATED CONTAMINATED SOIL THAT EXTENDS UNDER THE TOE OF THE SOIL STOCKPILE.

POTHOLE, STAKE, AND FLAG SEGMENT OF EXISTING STORM DRAIN PIPE TO REMAIN. CAREFULLY EXCAVATE AND EXPOSE EXISTING STORM DRAIN PIPE AT CONNECTION OF NEW STORM DRAIN PIPE IN A MANNER THAT PREVENTS DAMAGE TO PIPE. REPAIR ANY DAMAGE TO PIPE CAUSED BY EXCAVATION AND BACKFILLING ACTIVITIES. SEE SHEET C3.4 FOR NEW STORM DRAIN PIPE INFORMATION.

GENERAL SPL AREA SOIL EXCAVATION NOTES:

CAREFULLY SCRAPE DFF AND STOCKPILE CLEAN SURFICIAL FILL MATERIAL PRESENT OVER CERTAIN SPL EXCAVATION AREAS, TO THE EXTENT AND DEPTH DIRECTED BY THE PORT'S REPRESENTATIVE BASED ON DETERMINATIONS DURING SHALLOW SOIL POTHOLING AND SCRAPING CONDUCTED BY CONTRACTOR.

USE A SMOOTH BLADE BUCKET TO CAREFULLY EXCAVATE SPL AREA SOILS

CONDUCT ANY ADDITIONAL ANALYTICAL TESTING REQUIRED FOR MATERIAL DISPOSAL AT THE SUBTITLE C HAZARDOUS WASTE LANDFILL AT NO ADDITIONAL

IF ANY DEEPER EXCAVATIONS EXTEND BELOW GROUND WATER LEVEL, SATURATED MATERIALS SHALL BE EXCAVATED AND PLACED IN TEMPORARY SOIL STOCKPILES WITHIN THE EXCAVATION AREA WHERE EXCESS WATER IS ALLOWED TO DRAIN

UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE PORT, CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER SHALL BE MANAGED BY EVAPORATION AND/OR INFILTRATION AT LOCATIONS APPROVED

OBTAIN CONFIRMATION FROM THE PORT THAT CONTAMINATED MATERIALS HAVE BEEN ADEQUATELY REMOVED IN EACH AREA PRIOR TO START OF BACKFILLING IN

ABANDDNED PIPES AND CDNDUITS SHALL BE PLUGGED WITH CDF AT THE EDGE OF THE EXCAVATION AREAS.











	POINT	TABLE	
POINT #	ELEVATION	NORTHING	EASTING
19	20.87	707685.97	1176822.10
20	16.48	707936.51	1177116.38
21	16.79	707878.99	1177057.05
22	17.34	707876.37	1176983.37
23	17.27	707714.57	1176989.29
24	17.40	707703.29	1176980.41
25	16.68	707751.86	1177041.78
26	17.15	707848.25	1177191.07
27	15.95	707838.71	1177352.64
28	15.44	707791.57	1177262.39
29	15.31	707795.35	1177238.73
30	15.10	707826.01	1177209.00
31	15.10	707827.46	1177200.99
32	15.10	707833.56	1177202.45

- PRDVIDE SOIL STABILIZATION (EROSION CONTROL BLANKET AND

AREA, EXCEPT FOR SOIL AREAS TO BE HYDROSEEDED.





STORM DRAINAGE NOTES:

 PROVIDE CATCH BASIN INLET PROTECTION. SEE SHEET C2.0 FOR MORE INFORMATIDN.
 PROVIDE CATCH BASIN WITH GRATED LID.
 PROVIDE CPE STORM DRAINAGE PIPE.
 PROVIDE 1 FT THICK PAD OF QUARRY SPALLS AROUND CATCH BASIN.

CONNECT TO EXISTING STORM DRAIN PIPE.
 EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE PIPE.





ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL EXCAVATION NOTES:

- 1. ASSUMED BOUNDARY OF EXCAVATION WITH 1.5H:1V SIDE SLOPES AND UP TO 1 FOOT OF SOIL REMOVED BELOW CURRENTLY IDENTIFIED ROD MILL LANDFILL SOIL AND DEBRIS MATERIAL. ACTUAL EXTENT AND DEPTH OF LANDFILL SOIL AND DEBRIS TO BE REMOVED FOR DISPOSAL TO BE BASED ON DETERMINATIONS BY THE PORT'S REPRESENTATIVE AND LABORATORY RESULTS OBTAINED DURING CONTRACTOR'S EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES.
- PROTECT EXISTING GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS FROM DAMAGE DURING ROD MILL LANDFILL EXCAVATION AND BACKFILLING ACTIVITIES. DAMAGED/DESTROYED WELLS TO BE REPAIRED OR REPLACED AT CONTRACTORS EXPENSE.
- VERIFY WITH THE PORT THAT THE GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS ARE DECOMMISSIONED (BY OTHERS) PRIOR TO DEMOLITION OF ANY REMAINING WELL MONUMENTS, BOLLARDS, AND CONCRETE SEALS.

GENERAL ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL EXCAVATION NOTES:

- ANTICIPATE THAT OVERSIZED DEBRIS IS PRESENT THAT WILL NEED TO BE EXCAVATED AND SIZE REDUCED AS REQUIRED FOR LOADING AND OFFSITE DISPOSAL.
- 2. PORTIONS OF THE LANDFILL EXCAVATION ARE ANTICIPATED TO EXTEND BELOW GROUNDWATER LEVEL. UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE PORT, CONTRACTOR SHALL FIRST EXCAVATE SURFICIAL MATERIALS TO A DEPTH THAT LEAVES SUFFICIENT MATERIAL ABOVE GROUNDWATER LEVEL TO SUPPORT CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT BEFORE EXTENDING FINAL EXCAVATIONS BELOW GROUNDWATER.
- 3. SATURATED SOIL AND DEBRIS EXCAVATED BELOW GROUNDWATER LEVEL SHALL BE PLACED IN TEMPORARY STOCKPILES WITHIN THE LANDFILL EXCAVATION AREA WHERE EXCESS WATER IS ALLOWED TO DRAIN AND WETTER MATERIAL CAN BE MIXED WITH DRIER MATERIALS PRIOR TO LOADING FOR OFFSITE DISPOSAL.
- 4. ANTICIPATE THAT PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON PRODUCT AND/OR SHEEN WILL BE PRESENT AT GROUNDWATER LEVEL, AND SKIM/VACUUM OFF FLOATING PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON MATERIAL PRIOR TO PLACING EXCAVATION BACKFILL MATERIALS.
- UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE PORT, CONSTRUCTION STORWWATER SHALL BE MANAGED BY EVAPORATION AND/OR INFILTRATION AT LOCATIONS APPROVED BY THE PORT.
- 6. OBTAIN CONFIRMATION FROM THE PORT THAT CONTAMINATED MATERIALS HAVE BEEN ADEQUATELY REMOVED IN EACH AREA PRIOR TO START OF BACKFILLING IN THAT AREA.





Ŋ	a total	Tacoma	P.O. BOX 1827 TACOMA. WA SHALL CONTROLING	APPR: DATE:			
	ASSOCIATES	130 2nd AVENUE S. FDMONDS WA BRDD	(425) 778-0907, FAX (425) 778-6409	MARK: REVISION: BY:			IN WHOLE OR IN PART, WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION
	10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		And A house		Carlon The Line	bzlailc	COPIED. IN WHOLE OR IN PART
PARAVED: () (-	ANDTA CHAMBERLAIN CHECKED BY DATE	AY 22-2013	DIRECTOR ENG. DATE PROJ. ENGR DATE	4-36 PRINTED BY: rludwig May 17, 2013	PORT ADDRESS: ONE SITCUM PLAZA	TACOMA, WA 98401-1837	WA AND SHALL NOT BE USED ON OTHER WORK, DISCLOSED, COPIED. II
FORMER KAISER FACILITY		REA	EXCAVATION PLAN	TOWNSHIP: 21 NORTH RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36 P	DAT-HRZ: WA83-SF VERT: MLLW 19.39' G Tide 22 1933 P	DRAWING SCALE: AS NOTED	THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF THE PORT OF TACOMA AND S
FORM		IN CON		TOWNSHIP: 21 NORTH	DAT-HRZ: WA83-SF	PARCEL: 77	THIS DRAWING IS TH
3		0.40	SHEET 11 OF 15	CONT/CONS: 069646	PROJECT NO: 092837	PHASE: BID SET	





POINT # 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

16

- 1.
- 2. FLOW LINE SLOPE 0.50% MINIMUM.
- 3.
- 4:1 SIDE SLOPES ARDUND PERIMETER OF ROD MILL LANDFILL EXCAVATION AREA. 4.
- PROVIDE HYDROSEEDING. 5.
- PROVIDE QUARRY SPALL CHECK DAMS ACROSS FLOW UNE, MIN 15 FT WIDE AND I FT HIGH 6.

GENERAL BACKFILL AND GRADING NOTES:

- SKIM/VACUUM OFF ANY FLOATING PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON 1.
- 3. GRADE FINAL SURFACES TO PROMOTE STORMWATER DRAINAGE.

POIN	NT TABLE	
ELEV	NORTHING	EASTING
15.00	707717.02	1177474.90
2D.11	707379.81	1177558.75
19.69	707361.0D	1177564.77
19.42	707331.D0	1177564.77
19.55	707312.19	1177558.75
19.92	70727D.37	1177558.75
19.51	707258.99	117757D.13
19.17	707225.27	1177570.13
18.62	707185.79	1177538_43
18.59	707185.79	1177345.77
19.73	707317.32	1177345.77
19.74	707317.32	1177308.75
19.23	707379.81	1177308.75
15.00	707685.92	1177443.55
15.00	707704.64	1177462.41
18.00	7071 8 8.12	1177446.27

BACKFILL AND GRADING NOTES:

MATCH INTO EXISTING AND/OR MODIFIED GRADES.

NORTHERN EDGE OF LANDFILL EXCAVATION AREA AND SOUTHERN EDGE OF SURFICIAL SAND REMDVAL AREA. SURFICIAL SAND TO BE REMOVED AND USED AS LANDFILL EXCAVATION BACKFILL MATERIAL.

PRODUCT/SHEEN ON GROUNDWATER EXPOSED IN DEEPER LANDFILL EXCAVATIONS PRIOR TO PLACING QUARRY SPALLS TO RAISE THE BACKFILL SURFACE UP ABOVE GROUNDWATER LEVEL AND CREATE A STABLE BASE FOR OVERLYING FILL MATERIALS.

 USE SUFFICIAL SAND REMOVED FROM ADJACENT CUT AREA TO THE NORTH, MATERIAL FROM THE EXISTING SOIL STOCKPILE, AND RUBBLIZED CONCRETE/ASPHALT TO BACKFILL THE ROD MILL LANDFILL EXCAVATION. PLACE BACKFILL IN MAXIMUM 6 TO 8 INCH LIFTS COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 90% OF MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS DETERMINED BY THE ASTM D1557 TEST PROCEDURE. RUBBLIZED CONCRETE (ASPINAL DE DIACED AND LEAST SECTION) CONCRETE/ASPHALT SHALL BE PLACED AT LEAST 3 FEET ABOVE GROUNDWATER LEVEL AND INTERMIXED WITH ONSITE SOIL BACKFILL





	~
1	* G
1	a 81
7	~*

4

POINT TABLE

POINT #	ELEV	NORTHING	EASTING
1	21.25	707542.50	1176182.07
2	21.25	707540.35	11763D0.75
3	21.25	7D7537.19	1176326.63
4	21.25	707531.71	1176600.81
5	21.25	707598.39	11767 4 D.29

BACKFILL AND GRADING NOTES:

- 1. FILL SLOPE IS 2:1.
- SLOPE FINAL FILL TO MATCH EXISTING SLOPE TO WEST. 2.
- 3. TIE INTO EXISTING EDGE OF FILL.
- TOP ELEVATION ALONG EDGE OF FILL IS 21.25 FT. 4
- EXISTING CONCRETE, ASPHALT, AND OTHER DEBRIS TO 5. BE BURIED.
- EXISTING VEGETATION SHALL BE KNOCKED DOWN AND 6. BURIED BELOW FILL.
- PROVIDE SOIL STABILIZATION (EROSION CONTROL 7 BLANKET AND HYDROSEEDING).

GENERAL BACKFILL AND GRADING NOTES:

USE MATERIAL FROM EXISTING SOIL STOCKPILES AND RUBBLIZED CONCRETE AND ASPHALT TO BACKFILL THE FORMER RECTIFIER YARD AREA. PLACE BACKFILL IN MAXIMUM 6 TO 8 INCH LIFTS COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 90% OF MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS DETERMINED BY THE ASTM D1557 TEST PROCEDURE.

PLACE A 3 INCH LAYER OF COMPACTED CRUSHED ASPHALT FROM ONSITE STOCKPILES AS FINAL SURFACING MATERIAL IN THE RECTIFIER YARD FILL AREA.

GRADE FINAL SURFACES TO PROMOTE STORMWATER DRAINAGE NORTH TO EXISTING FILLED AREAS.



C5.0	FORMI	MER KAISER FAC INTERIM ACTION RECTIFIER VARD AREA	FORMER KAISER FACILITY INTERIM ACTION RECTIFIER YARD AREA	ΓĽ		APPENDVED ALARMEE	GERLAIN	CHECKED BY DATE	DATE			 ` "	A SSOCIATES 130 2nd AVENUE S. EDMONDS, WA. 8620		Tac	Pert of Borna
IEET 14 OF 15	BACK	KFILL AND G	BACKFILL AND GRADING PLAN	N		DIRECTOR EN	G. DATE	DIRECTOR ENG. DATE PROJ. ENGR	DATE	A the second		(425) 77.	(425) 778-0907, FAX (425) 778-6409	409	P.O. BOX 1927 TM	O. BOX 1927 TACOMM, WA 19401 (253)380'6841
NS: 069646	TOWNSHIP: 21 NORTH RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-3	RANGE: 3	EAST SEC	STION: SW	1/4-36	PRINTED BY:	rludwig	36 PRINTED BY: rludwig May 17, 2013	2		MAR	ARK: REVISION:	SION:	BY:	APPR:	DATE:
NO: 092837	0AT-HRZ: WA83-SF VERT: MLLW 19.39' @ Tide 22 1933	VERT: M	ILLW 19.39	O Tide 2	2 1933	PORT ADDRESS:ONE SITCUM PLAZA	SS:ONE S	ITCUM PLAZA								
	PARCEL: 77	0	DRAWING SCALE: AS NOTED	CALE: AS	NOTED		TACOM	[ACOMA, WA 98401-1837	-1837	laike and	502	-				



APPENDIX D

As-Built Drawings

A PORTION OF THE SW 1/4 OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 21 NORTH, RANGE 3 EAST, W.M.

PORT OF TACOMA FORMER KAISER FACILITY INTERIM ACTION **BEYLER CONSULTING SURVEY CONTROL MAP**



FORMER KAISER FACILITY APPROVED: INTERIM ACTION APPROVED: INTERIM ACTION CHECKED BY DA PROJECTSITE CHECKED BY DA SURVEY CONTROL MAP DIRECTOR ENC. DATE PROJET 21 March TOWNSHIP: 21 NIDRTH RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36 PRINTED BY: drew Jon 09, 2014 DAT-HRZ: WA83-SF VERT: MLLW 19:39' & TIGLE ZE 19:33 DATF 77	FORMER KAISER FACILITY INTERIM ACTION PROJECT SITE SURVEY CONTROL MAP SURVEY CONTROL MAP NIDTH RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36 PR AB3-SF VERT: MLLW 19.39' @ TIGE 22 1933 PO INALWIC SCALE. AS NITED
---	--





	JILT POINT		Tacoma	DATE:	
POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING	Dor Dor		
1	707378.81	1177308.70	Ē	APPR:	
2	707379.61	1177558.48	<u> </u>	AF	\vdash
3	707360.98	1177564.38	4157 50 50 117	BY:	
4	707328.30	1177564.24	OFFICE 10314 100th St. SW Lakewood, WA 98498 PHONE: 253-301-4157 FAX: 253-336-3950 FAX: 253-336-3950 PR017 2010 2010 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1		\vdash
5	707314.27	1177559.02	E 100th 100th 100th 100th 53-33 53-33		
6	707270.76	1177559.44	OFFICE Lakewoo PHONE: FAX: 25 SURVEVING MIT EXPEDI		
7	707262.36	1177566.41	LAND SU	ż	
8	707223.63	1177563.99		REVISION	
9	707191.12	1177539.53	BEYLER CONSULTING CONSULTING FOR ANARGENER	Ř	
10	707181.59	1177493.31	BEY CONSU CONSU	MARK:	
11	707182.33	1177345.27		MAR	
12	707252.37	1177338.66			
13	707315.51	1177344.64			
14	707315.47	1177309.88			
15	707350.95	1177483.62			
16	707311.03	1177477.79			
17	707228.25	1177482.90			
18	707197.38	1177478.90			
AS-BUILT CERT I HEREBY DECL VERTICAL ELEV	ARE THAT THE HORIZO	NTAL AND NG AND	APPROVED: DIRECTOR ENG. DATE	6 PRINTED BY:	3 PORT ADDRESS: ONE SITCUM PLAZA
EXCAVATION A OF A FIELD SUB DIRECTION	ATTONS OF THE GRADI REA SHOWN HEREON IS IVEY PERFORMED BY MU	E OR UNDER MY	FORMER KAISER FACILITY INTERIM ACTION ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL AREA AS-BUILT EXCAVATION PLAN	TOWNSHIP: 21 NORTH RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-3	DAT-HRZ: WA83-SF VERT: MLLW 19.39' @ Tide 22 1933 DADCEL: 77 IDAWNO SCALE: AS NOTED
			AB2.0	CONT/CONS: 069646	PROJECT NO: 092837



	DATE:			BLE		POIN	BUILT	AS-
++	2	U	orto	EASTING	HING	NORT	ELEV	POINT #
	APPR:		- C	1177466.39	95.73	70769	14.60	1
\square	AP	P.O		1177547.36	26.60	70742	19.84	2
	÷	o sti	W 1157	1177557.12	78.28	70737	20.47	3
++	Ä	5-395	St. S A 984 301-4	1177564.12	36.37	70733	19.89	4
		FAX: 253-336-3950 SURVEYING LAND PLANNING MIT EXPEDITING FEASIBILIT	OFFICE 10314 100th St. SW Lakewood, WA 98498 PHONE: 253-301-4157	1177561.13	22.57	70732	19.52	5
		X: 25 RVEVING T EXPEDI	OFFICE 10314 1 Lakewoo	1177558.58	38.86	70728	19.89	6
	ż	FI PERMI	02321	1177563.30	64.51	7072	19.16	7
	REVISION			1177562.61	54.76	7072	19.42	8
-	R	ВЕТЦЕК АХ. 253-336-3950 соив цтик суп. вканкевиен I имр викетика I техевитика вколест мамасемемт I ревмит ехеритика I техевитика	BEYLER	1177573.86	59.74	70716	17.94	9
	MARK:	C C O J		1177299.76	33.60	70718	18.22	10
	Ň			1177308.69	81.12	7073	19.60	11
				1177430.81	43.38	7076-	15.66	12
				1177453.63	74.68	7076	14.98	13
						ILT V	S-BU	A
				CY (FILL)	7,907	FILL	L GROSS	ROD MIL
Π		I٣	I۳	CY (CUT)	2,306	CUT	L GROSS	ROD MIL
PLAZA		DATE	DATE	CY (FILL)	5,601	UME	NET VO	ROD MIL
SS:	5 PRINTED BY: drew Feb	DIRECTOR ENG. DATE	APPROVED:		2014			
	TOWNSHIP: 21 NORTH RANGE: 3 EAST SECTION: SW 1/4-36	ROD MILL CLOSED LANDFILL AREA AS-BUILT BACKFILL AND GRADING PLAN	FORMER KAISER FACILITY INTERIM ACTION	RESULT OF A	HORIZON E GRADING	THAT THE	SURVEY PER	I HERE VERTIC BACKE
PROJECT NO: 092837	CONT/CONS: 069646	ABZ.1 SHEET 5 OF 6	A DO 4					


LANDAU ASSOCIATES, INC. | G:\Projects\118\033\100\104\Soil Sampling Layout\F D-1 As-built-by-AHBL.dwg (A) "Figure D-1" 4/15/2014

APPENDIX E

Waste Disposal Records

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/6/2013	1	31.25
	2	33.31
	3	33.46
	4	34.71
	5	35.87
	6	35.47
	7	34.05
	8	34.93
	9	33.30
	10	30.89
	11	31.61
	12	32.55
	13	20.79
	14	29.84
	15	31.20
	16	30.84
	Daily Total:	514.07
8/7/2013	1	28.83
	2	26.89
	3	30.06
	4	26.87
	5	26.10
	6	29.63
	7	31.34
	8	30.72
	9	30.73
	10	27.14
	11	31.12
	12	28.48
	13	28.60
	14	30.43
	15	29.63
	16	32.75
		26.44
	17	36.41
	17 18	32.83

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/8/3013	1	34.43
	2	32.67
	3	31.66
	4	28.89
	5	33.22
	6	33.98
	7	32.00
	8	30.73
	9	31.15
	10	35.46
	11	31.27
	12	31.48
	13	33.57
	14	32.17
	15	30.48
	16	31.49
	Daily Total:	514.65
8/9/2013	1	32.00
	2	33.69
	3	30.21
	4	32.67
	5	26.81
	6	32.79
	7	29.35
	8	31.16
	9	32.89
	10	34.27
	11	31.25
	12	31.96
	13	29.85
	14	32.31
	15	32.37
	16	31.83
	17	28.37
	18	29.27
	19	24.91
	20	26.33
	21	28.30
	Daily Total:	642.59
	4	22.07
8/10/2013	1	23.97

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/12/2013	1	25.42
	2	29.95
	3	28.94
	4	27.52
	5	31.03
	6	24.54
	7	23.14
	8	29.89
	9	32.01
	10	32.70
	11	28.03
	12	29.32
	13	29.87
	14	31.10
	15	34.18
	16	36.72
	17	36.41
	18	28.84
	19	30.10
	20	32.91
	21	31.58
	22	37.26
	23	35.05
	24	35.91
	25	32.75
	26	33.55
	27	30.89
	28	31.45
	29	31.57
	30	30.07
	31	36.31
	32	35.59
	33	30.97
	34	37.43
	Daily Total:	1,073.00

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/13/2013	1	35.44
	2	38.91
	3	39.90
	4	37.84
	5	35.04
	6	33.45
	7	27.23
	8	30.95
	9	25.69
	10	30.82
	10	26.38
	12	29.03
	12	26.99
	14	
		30.68
	15	28.58
	16	26.52
	17	28.20
	18	27.17
	19	29.05
	20	27.69
	21	26.52
	22	30.69
	23	29.23
	24	29.81
	25	29.86
	26	28.54
	27	31.69
	28	30.40
	29	33.16
	30	29.72
	31	31.33
	32	28.16
	33	30.07
	34	27.40
	35	29.08
	36	29.11
	37	28.59
	38	29.19
	39	30.84
	40	30.15
	41	25.99
	42	30.50
	43	30.66
	44	33.67
	45	30.95
	46	30.49
	47	33.61
	48	25.41
	49	31.38
	50	29.00
	Daily Total:	1,510.76

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/14/2013	1	30.36
	2	33.09
	3	30.97
	4	31.28
	5	30.84
	6	29.35
	7	34.00
	8	34.84
	9	31.63
	10	34.96
	11	33.64
	12	34.61
	13	33.31
	14	34.03
	15	34.35
	16	31.28
	10	32.94
	18	31.01
	19	33.48
	20	32.61
	21	31.60
	22	29.42
	23	32.23
	24	24.24
	25	34.08
	26	33.30
	27	31.64
	28	33.08
	29	31.97
	30	30.66
	31	34.22
	32	33.01
	33	28.69
	34	31.94
	35	32.40
	36	31.87
	37	35.56
	38	31.37
	39	29.50
	40	29.40
	41	30.74
	42	33.73
	43	28.86
	44	29.09
	45	31.41
	46	31.88
	47	30.82
	48	32.31
	Daily Total:	1,531.60
		,

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/15/2013	1	33.33
	2	28.90
	3	28.29
	4	36.49
	5	32.58
	6	27.67
	7	33.01
	8	29.65
	9	31.13
	10	31.44
	11	28.89
	12	28.69
	13	29.94
	14	30.33
	15	30.27
	16	31.22
	17	28.28
	18	29.07
	19	32.16
	20	32.22
	21	28.14
	22	34.28
	23	32.80
	24	31.14
	25	27.18
	26	26.65
	27	29.48
	28	29.00
	29	32.95
	30	30.58
	31	33.76
	32	33.60
	33	33.40
	Daily Total:	1,016.52

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/16/2013	1	30.77
	2	33.21
	3	34.07
	4	33.64
	5	32.82
	6	28.12
	7	32.00
	8	32.55
	9	32.87
	10	33.04
	11	33.34
	12	33.71
	13	28.31
	14	29.46
	15	28.70
	16	34.01
	17	33.55
	18	30.67
	19	34.02
	20	32.65
	21	33.36
	22	30.83
	23	32.34
	24	31.96
	25	31.63
	26	32.65
	27	30.20
	28	33.15
	Daily Total:	897.63

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/19/2013	1	35.37
	2	34.85
	3	33.05
	4	32.17
	5	33.19
	6	34.03
	7	33.07
	8	32.61
	9	34.66
	10	31.57
	11	31.12
	12	29.55
	13	30.24
	13	37.83
	14	30.19
	16	
		29.59
	17	27.78
	18	33.27
	19	32.99
	20	32.38
	21	32.93
	22	36.77
	23	35.04
	24	36.45
	25	30.43
	26	30.05
	27	31.34
	28	31.67
	29	34.19
	30	33.46
	31	32.96
	32	30.71
	33	30.13
	34	31.76
	35	29.49
	36	32.10
	37	28.47
	38	27.26
	39	34.09
	40	32.37
	40	32.05
	41	30.91
	43	29.22
	44	31.71
	45 Daile Tatal	28.18
	Daily Total:	1,443.25

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/20/2013	1	29.35
	2	29.51
	3	32.65
	4	28.66
	5	28.93
	6	31.34
	7	32.28
	8	31.28
	9	29.30
	10	29.00
	11	28.42
	12	31.21
	13	29.93
	14	31.58
	15	30.01
	16	29.04
	17	29.02
	18	31.42
	19	30.00
	20	36.03
	21	29.91
	22	28.66
	23	34.04
	24	33.60
	25	33.50
	26	28.35
	27	31.19
	28	29.71
	29	32.34
	30	33.70
	31	30.45
	32	30.56
	33	30.62
	34	34.97
	35	34.09
	36	30.38
	37	31.30
	38	35.30
	Daily Total:	1,181.63

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
8/21/2013	1	35.34
	2	33.71
	3	34.27
	4	34.42
	5	33.89
	6	29.02
	7	31.03
	8	31.91
	9	30.76
	10	30.25
	11	30.73
	12	31.75
	13	30.28
	14	27.78
	15	33.72
	16	28.85
	17	34.88
	18	35.06
	19	32.71
	20	35.55
	21	28.24
	22	32.90
	23	33.16
	24	31.13
	25	31.38
	26	29.59
	27	32.39
	28	32.31
	29	36.15
	30	35.27
	31	36.21
	Daily Total:	1,004.64

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
9/4/2013	1	30.97
	2	33.35
	3	34.17
	4	31.89
	5	28.91
	6	32.69
	7	33.48
	8	29.86
	9	32.96
	10	32.66
	11	29.02
	12	31.11
	13	33.04
	14	32.61
	15	31.37
	16	31.69
	17	31.00
	18	31.37
	19	32.97
	20	31.58
	21	34.31
	22	33.16
	23	33.08
	24	32.07
	25	33.36
	26	33.28
	27	34.53
	28	33.10
	29	33.67
	30	30.88
	31	34.41
	32	34.30
	Daily Total:	1,036.85
9/5/2013	1	35.18
	2	33.59
	3	31.85
	4	32.39
	5	32.78
	6	29.38
	7	30.45
	8	30.62
	9	30.68
	10	33.13
	Daily Total:	320.05

Date Received at Landfill	Truck Number	Weight in Tons
9/6/2013	1	32.16
	2	32.07
	3	32.47
	4	31.89
	5	33.69
	6	32.91
	Daily Total:	195.19
10/2/2013	1	34.64
10/2/2010	2	33.40
	3	34.94
	4	30.27
	5	36.03
	6	33.29
	7	34.52
	8	32.20
	9	34.20
	10	37.86
	11	34.21
	12	28.57
	13	31.60
	14	32.35
	15	28.69
	Daily Total:	496.77
10/3/2013	1	25.47
	2	24.09
	Daily Total:	49.56
	Overall Total:	13,991.29

Date	Time	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/31/13		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			LATE CHG	02-024902	0	0	0.00
09/30/13		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			LATE CHG	02-025331	0	0	0.00
				Total		2		 0	0.00
				Average		-	0	0	0.00
08/06/13	09:05	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		том	83	39-279212	0	0	31.25
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GEORGE	83	39-279214	0	0	33.31
08/06/13	10:31	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		LANCE	83	39-279233	0	0	33.46
08/06/13	10:33	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RICK	83	39-279235	0	0	34.71
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GAEL	83	39-279243	0	0	35.87
08/06/13	11:22	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TOM	83	39-279260	0	0	35.47
08/06/13	11:52	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TIM	83	39-279265	0	0	34.05
08/06/13	12:45	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RICK	83	39-279280	0	0	34.93
08/06/13	12:53	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		LANCE	83	39-279285	0	0	33.30
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GEORGE	83	39-279299	0	0	30.89
08/06/13	13:39	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GAEL	83	39-279307	0	0	31.61
08/06/13	13:57	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TOM	83	39-279313	0	0	32.55
08/06/13	14:34	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TIM	83	39-279325	0	0	20.79
08/06/13	15:09	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		LANCE	83	39-279331	0	0	29.84
08/06/13	15:29	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RICK	83	39-279338	0	0	31.20
08/06/13	15:52	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CURT	83	39-279344	0	0	30.84
08/07/13	08:06	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GEORGE	83	39-279360	0	0	28.83
08/07/13	08:20	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279371	0	0	26.89
08/07/13	08:33	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TERRY	83	39-279379	0	0	30.06
08/07/13	08:56	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CURT	83	39-279383	0	0	26.87
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279384	0	0	26.10
08/07/13	10:30	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279412	0	0	29.63
08/07/13	10:35	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279417	0	0	31.34
08/07/13	10:53	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TERRY	83	39-279426	0	0	30.72
08/07/13	11:26	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CURT	83	39-279436	0	0	30.73
08/07/13	11:38	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279440	0	Ó	27.14
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GEORGE	83	39-279461	Ō	Ō	31.12
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279468	Ō	0	28.48
• • •		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TERRY	83	39-279477	ō	Ō	28.60
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CURT	83	39-279492	õ	ŏ	30.43
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279497	õ	õ	29.63
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GEORGE	83	39-279512	ŏ	. Õ	32.75
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR			83	39-279517	õ	õ	36.41
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TERRY	83	39-279523	õ	ŏ	32.83
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TERRY	83	39-279536	Õ	0	34.43

Date	Time	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/08/13	08:24	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	CURT	83	39-279555	0	0	32.67
08/08/13	08:33	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	RICK	83	39-279561	õ	ŏ	31.66
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		STAN	83	39-279565	ŏ	Õ	28.89
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		TERRY	83	39-279588	ŏ	õ	33.22
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		CURT	83	39-279596	õ	õ	33.98
08/08/13	10:42	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	RICK	83	39-279601	0	ŏ	32.00
08/08/13	10:54	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	STAN	83	39-279603	0	ō	30.73
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		TERRY	83	39-279619	õ	ō	31.15
08/08/13	12:44	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	CURT	83	39-279631	õ	õ	35.46
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		RICK	83	39-279635	0	Ō	31.27
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		STAN	83	39-279638	0	Ō	31.48
08/08/13	14:40	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	TERRY	83	39-279661	0	0	33.57
08/08/13	14:54	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	CURT	83	39-279666	0	0	32.17
08/08/13	15:02	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	RICK	83	39-279668	0	0	30.48
08/08/13	15:13	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TÓR	STAN	83	39-279672	0	0	31.49
08/09/13 (08:03	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	GEORGE	83	39-279690	0	0	32.00
08/09/13 (08:14	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR	TERRY	83	39-279703	0	0	33.69
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		CURT	83	39-279714	0	0	30.21
08/09/13 (08:46	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	TOR		83	39-279720	0	0	32.67
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC			83	39-279723	0	0	32.79
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC			83	39-279725	0	0	29.35
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		JOHN	83	39-279728	0	0	31.16
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		GEORGE	83	39-279747	0	0	32.89
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		TERRY	83	39-279758	0	0	34.27
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		CURT	83	39-279767	0	0	31.25
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		RICK	83	39-279770	0	0	31.96
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC			83	39-279773	0	0	29.85
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC			83	39-279779	0	0	32.31
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		GEORGE	83	39-279802	0	0	32.37
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		TERRY	83	39-279810	0	0	31.83
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		CURT	83	39-279821	0	0	28.37
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		RICK	83	39-279825	0	0	29.27
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC			83	39-279830	0	0	24.91
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		20	83	39-279831	0	0	26.81
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		GEORGE	83	39-279857	0	0	26.33
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		TERRY	83	39-279859	0	0	28.30
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		TIMMY	83	39-279875	0	0	23.97
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		STAN	83	39-279932	0	0	25.42
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		CURT	83	39-279945	0	0	29.95
		CLEARCREEK CONTRAC		RICK	83	39-279949	0	0	28.94
08/12/13 (08:34	CLEARCREEK CONTRAC	FOR	LANCE	83	39-279963	0	0	27.52

Date	Time	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/12/13	08:35	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	RYAN	83	39-279964	0	0	31.03
08/12/13	08:38	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	AL	83	39-279965	õ	Ō	24.54
08/12/13	08:44	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	JOHN	83	39-279968	Ō	Ó	23.14
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		STAN	83	39-279982	Ō	Ó	29.89
08/12/13	10:17	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	CURT	83	39-279989	Ō	Ō	32.01
08/12/13	10:22	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	RICK	83	39-279991	0	Ó	32.70
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		AL	83	39-280000	0	0	28.03
08/12/13	10:46	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	LANCE	83	39-280004	0	0	29.32
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		RYAN	83	39-280006	0	0	29.87
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		JOHN	83	39-280009	0	0	31.10
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		STAN	83	39-280035	0	0	34.18
08/12/13	12:19	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	CURT	83	39-280043	0	0	36.72
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		RICK	83	39-280045	0	0	36.41
08/12/13	12:36	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	AL	83	39-280050	0	0	28.84
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		LANCE	83	39-280055	0	0	30.10
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		RYAN	83	39-280057	0	0	32.91
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		JOHN	83	39-280059	0	0	31.58
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		BILL	83	39-280072	0	0	37.26
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		STEVE	83	39-280081	0	0	35.05
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		STAN	83	39-280083	0	0	35.91
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		DON	83	39-280085	0	0	32.75
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		LAURA	83	39-280088	0	0	33.55
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		BILL	83	39-280092	0	0	30.89
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		CURT	83	39-280097	0	0	31.45
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		RICK	83	39-280098	0	0	31.57
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		AL	83	39-280102	0	0	30.07
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		LANCE	83	39-280103	0	0	36.31
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	-	RYAN	83	39-280105	0	0	35.59
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		JOHN	83	39-280108	0	0	30.97
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		TERRY	83	39-280109	0	0	37.43
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW16	83	39-280116	0	0	35.44
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW 25	83	39-280117	0	0	38.91
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW 15	83	39-280122	0	0	39.90
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW 12	83	39-280132	0	0	37.84
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW 6	83	39-280139	0	0	33.45
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		PENNYMAARI		39-280141	0	0	35.04
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW27	83	39-280145	0	0	30.95
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW20	83	39-280148	0	0	25.69
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		HARLOW 8	83	39-280150	0	0	30.82
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACT		328MUM	83	39-280153	0	0	26.38
08/13/13	09:07	CLEARCREEK CONTRACT	OR	MUMME 9	83	39-280155	0	0	29.03

Date	Time	Customer		Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/13/13	10:07	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		н5	83	39-280172	0	0	26,99
08/13/13	10:17	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		DON 16	83	39-280178	Ō	Ö	30.68
08/13/13	10:19	CLEARCREEK CON	ITRACTOR		25	83	39-280180	Ō	Ó	28.58
		CLEARCREEK CON			н 15	83	39-280187	Ó	0	26.52
08/13/13	10:39	CLEARCREEK CON	ITRACTOR		12	83	39-280194	0	0	28.20
		CLEARCREEK CON			Н6	83	39-280196	0	0	27.17
08/13/13	10:46	CLEARCREEK CON	ITRACTOR		PENNIEMAR	83	39-280198	0	0	27.23
08/13/13	10:55	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		HALOW 27	83	39-280204	0	0	27.69
08/13/13	10:59	CLEARCREEK CON	ITRACTOR		H20	83	39-280208	0	0	26.52
08/13/13	11:02	CLEARCREEK CON	JTRACTOR		HAR 8	83	39-280210	0	0	30.69
08/13/13	11:14	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		MUM328	83	39-280211	0	0	29.23
		CLEARCREEK CON			MUM9	83	39-280212	0	0	29.81
08/13/13	12:09	CLEARCREEK CON	ITRACTOR		HARLOW 5	83	39-280235	0	0	29.86
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARL16	83	39-280240	0	0	28.54
		CLEARCREEK CON			HAR25	83	39-280241	0	0	29.05
		CLEARCREEK CON			HAR 15	83	39-280247	0	0	31.69
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARL12	83	39-280248	0	0	30.40
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARL6	83	39-280250	0	0	33.16
		CLEARCREEK CON			PENNYMARIE		39-280254	0	0	29.72
		CLEARCREEK CON			H27	83	39-280257	0	0	31.33
		CLEARCREEK CON			H20	83	39-280259	0	0	28.16
		CLEARCREEK CON			Н8	83	39-280262	0	0	30.07
		CLEARCREEK CON			1568	83	39-280267	0	0	29.08
		CLEARCREEK CON			INT588	83	39-280268	0	0	27.40
		CLEARCREEK CON			MUM9	83	39-280269	0	0	25.99
		CLEARCREEK CON			1578	83	39-280279	0	0	29.11
		CLEARCREEK CON			Н5	83	39-280281	0	0	28.59
		CLEARCREEK CON			H16	83	39-280284	0	0	29.19
		CLEARCREEK CON			H25	83	39-280285	0	0	30.84
		CLEARCREEK CON			1582	83	39-280288	0	0	30.15
		CLEARCREEK CON			H15	83	39-280292	0	0	29.00
		CLEARCREEK CON			H12	83	39-280298	0	0	30.50
- •		CLEARCREEK CON			Нб	83	39-280299	0	0	30.66
		CLEARCREEK CON			PM10	83	39-280301	0	0	33.67
		CLEARCREEK CON			H27	83	39-280304	0	0	30.95
		CLEARCREEK CON			H20	83	39-280311	0	0	30.49
		CLEARCREEK CON CLEARCREEK CON			H8	83	39-280313	0	0	33.61 25.41
• • • •		CLEARCREEK CON			MUM9 MUM 328	83 83	39-280321 39-280322	0	0	25.41 31.38
		CLEARCREEK CON			MOM 328 H16	83	39-280322	0	0	30.36
		CLEARCREEK CON			H16 H15	83	39-280332	0	0	33.09
00/14/12	00:00	CLEARCREEN CON	TRACIOR		сти	00	39-200334	0	U	33.09

LRI - 304th Street Landfill

Site Activity Report

Date	Time	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/14/13	08:13	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARL 25	83	39-280342	0	0	30.97
08/14/13	08:21	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 6	83	39-280348	0	0	31.28
08/14/13	08:28	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 27	83	39-280352	0	0	30.84
08/14/13	08:34	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 20	83	39-280354	0	0	29.35
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		H 12	83	39-280358	0	0	34.00
08/14/13	08:51	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		н 8	83	39-280360	0	0	34.84
08/14/13	09:01	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUMM 328	83	39-280367	0	0	34.96
08/14/13	09:06	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUMM9	83	39-280369	0	0	33.64
08/14/13	09:07	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 5	83	39-280370	0	0	31.63
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		IN 568	83	39-280375	0	0	34.61
08/14/13	10:18	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 16	83	39-280398	0	0	33.31
08/14/13	10:26	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 25	83	39-280401	0	0	34.35
08/14/13	10:29	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 15	83	39-280404	0	0	34.03
08/14/13	10:35	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 6	83	39-280406	0	0	31.28
08/14/13	10:40	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 27	83	39-280409	0	0	32.94
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		н 20	83	39-280412	0	0	31.01
08/14/13	10:49	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		H 12	83	39-280416	0	0	33.48
08/14/13	10:57	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 8	83	39-280418	0	0	32.61
08/14/13	11:06	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUMM328	83	39-280421	0	0	31.60
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUM 9	83	39-280423	0	0	29.42
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 5	83	39-280427	0	0	32.23
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		I 568	83	39-280428	0	0	24.24
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н16	83	39-280449	0	0	34.08
08/14/13	12:32	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 25	83	39-280451	0	0	33.30
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 15	83	39-280454	0	0	31.64
08/14/13	12:40	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н б	83	39-280456	0	0	33.08
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 27	83	39-280460	0	0	31.97
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 20	83	39-280463	0	0	30.66
08/14/13	13:01	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		H 12	83	39-280470	0	0	34.22
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		H 8	83	39-280472	0	0	33.01
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUMME328	83	39-280478	0	0	28.69
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUM 9	83	39-280480	0	0	31.94
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 5	83	39-280483	0	0	32.40
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		I 568	83	39-280486	0	0	32.31
08/14/13	14:39	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 16	83	39-280503	0	0	31.87
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н25	83	39-280505	0	0	35.56
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 15	83	39-280508	0	0	31.37
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н б	83	39-280514	0	0	29.50
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 27	83	39-280516	0	0	29.40
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		н 20	83	39-280518	0	0	30.74
08/14/13	15:24	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 8	83	39-280521	0	0	33.73

LRI - 304th Street Landfill

Site Activity Report

Date 1	Fime	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/14/13 1	15:26	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	1	Н 12	83	39-280522	0	0	28.86
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUM 328	83	39-280528	Õ	õ	29.09
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 13	83	39-280530	Õ	Ō	31.41
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUMME 9	83	39-280532	Ō	Ō	31.88
08/14/13 1	15:51	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		Н 5	83	39-280535	0	Ō	30.82
08/15/13 0	08:06	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CURT	83	39-280547	0	0	33.33
08/15/13 0	80:80	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		STEVE	83	39-280549	0	0	28.90
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CHAD	83	39-280551	0	0	28.29
08/15/13 0	08:11	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	1	RICK	83	39-280553	0	0	36.49
08/15/13 0	08:16	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	L	STAN	83	39-280560	0	0	32.58
08/15/13 0	08:26	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RYAN	83	39-280570	0	0	27.67
08/15/13 0	08:37	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		AL	83	39-280574	0	0	33.01
08/15/13 0	08:58	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		JOHN	83	39-280586	0	0	29.65
08/15/13 1	10:14	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CURT	83	39-280608	0	0	31.13
08/15/13 1	10:16	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		STEVE	83	39-280610	0	0	31.44
08/15/13 1	10:22	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CHAD	83	39-280612	0	0	28.89
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RICK	83	39-280617	0	0	28.69
08/15/13 1	10:35	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		STAN	83	39-280621	0	0	29.94
08/15/13 1	10:47	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CHASE	83	39-280627	0	0	30.33
08/15/13 1	L0:52	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		AL	83	39-280632	0	0	30.27
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		JOHN	83	39-280638	0	0	31.22
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RYAN	83	39-280644	0	0	28.28
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		LINDA	83	39-280663	0	0	29.07
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CURT	83	39-280669	0	0	32.16
• •		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		STEVE	83	39-280674	0	0	32.22
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CHAD	83	39-280675	0	0	28.14
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RICK	83	39-280686	0	0	34.28
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		STAN	83	39-280688	0	0	32.80
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CHASE	83	39-280695	0	0	31.14
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		AL	83	39-280702	0	0	27.18
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RYAN	83	39-280714	0	0	26.65
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CHAD	83	39-280730	0	0	29.48
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		LINDA	83	39-280738	0	0	29.00
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		TERRY	83	39-280740	0	0	32.95
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		STEVE	83	39-280742	0	0	30.58
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		RICK	83	39-280744	0	0	33.76
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		STAN	83	39-280750	0	0	33.60
• • •		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GAYLE	83	39-280751	0	0	33.40
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		9	83	39-280768	0	0	33.36
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		43	83	39-280769	0	0	30.77
08/16/13 0	08:05	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		44	83	39-280770	0	0	33.21

Date	Time	Customer	v	ehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/16/13	08:12	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		CURT	83	39-280781	0	0	34.07
		CLEARCREEK CON			20	83	39-280784	õ	õ	33.64
08/16/13	08:20	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		18	83	39-280793	õ	õ	32.82
		CLEARCREEK CON			ERIC	83	39-280797	õ	õ	28.12
08/16/13	08:33	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		590	83	39-280802	Ó	Ō	32.00
08/16/13	08:43	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		GAIL	83	39-280806	Ō	Ō	32.55
08/16/13	08:48	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		27	83	39-280808	0	Ō	32.87
08/16/13	10:04	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		9	83	39-280830	0	0	33.04
08/16/13	10:19	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		CURT 24	83	39-280835	0	0	33.34
08/16/13	10:20	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		20	83	39-280838	0	0	33.71
08/16/13	10:27	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		18 LINDA	83	39-280845	0	0	28.31
08/16/13	10:34	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		ERIC	83	39-280849	0	0	29.46
		CLEARCREEK CON			590	83	39-280852	0	0	28.70
08/16/13	10:51	CLEARCREEK CON	TRACTOR		27 STEVE	83	39-280865	0	0	34.01
		CLEARCREEK CON			GAIL 33	83	39-280868	0	0	33.55
		CLEARCREEK CON			CURT 24	83	39-280903	0	0	34.02
		CLEARCREEK CON			9	83	39-280904	0	0	30.67
		CLEARCREEK CON			20	83	39-280906	0	0	32.65
		CLEARCREEK CON			ERIC	83	39-280917	0	0	32.65
		CLEARCREEK CON			590	83	39-280920	0	0	30.20
		CLEARCREEK CON			27 STEVE	83	39-280923	0	0	33.15
		CLEARCREEK CON			33 GAIL	83	39-280924	0	0	30.83
		CLEARCREEK CON			9	83	39-280961	0	0	32.34
		CLEARCREEK CON			24 CURT	83	39-280963	0	0	31.96
		CLEARCREEK CON			20	83	39-280964	0	0	31.63
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARLOW 18	83	39-281055	0	0	33.05
, ,		CLEARCREEK CON			HARLOW 8	83	39-281056	0	0	32.17
		CLEARCREEK CON			CLEARCK 43		39-281057	0	0	35.37
		CLEARCREEK CON			CLEARCK 44		39-281058	0	0	34.85
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARLOW 27	83	39-281062	0	0	33.19
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARLOW 20	83	39-281066	0	0	34.03
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARLOW 12	83	39-281070	0	0	33.07
		CLEARCREEK CONT			G&L7	83	39-281076	0	0	32.61
		CLEARCREEK CON			HARLOW 24	83	39-281085	0	0	34.66
		CLEARCREEK CONT			MUMME 328	83	39-281090	0	0	31.12
		CLEARCREEK CONT			HARLOW 13	83	39-281091	0	0	31.57
		CLEARCREEK CONT			INTWES 590		39-281092	0	0	29.55
		CLEARCREEK CONT			INTWES 586		39-281095	0	0	30.24
		CLEARCREEK CONT			HARLOW 8	83	39-281114	0	0	37.83
		CLEARCREEK CONT			HARLOW 18	83	39-281120	0	0	30.19
08/19/13	10:26	CLEARCREEK CONT	TRACTOR		CLEARCK 43	83	39-281121	0	0	29.59

Date	Time	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/19/13	10:31	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	CLEARCK 44	83	39-281125	0	0	27,78
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		HARLOW 27	83	39-281126	Ō	Ō	33.27
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		HARLOW 12	83	39-281127	õ	ŏ	32.99
08/19/13	10:45	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	3	HARLOW 20	83	39-281131	Ő	ŏ	32.38
08/19/13	11:01	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	3	G & L 7	83	39-281136	Ō	ō	32.93
08/19/13	11:05	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	2	HARLOW 24	83	39-281142	Ō	õ	36.77
08/19/13	11:14	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	MUMME 328	83	39-281145	Ō	Ō	35.04
08/19/13	11:19	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 13	83	39-281149	õ	õ	36.45
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		INTWES 590		39-281150	Ō	Ō	30.43
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		INTWES 586		39-281152	0	Ō	30.05
08/19/13	12:39	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 8	83	39-281174	0	Ō	31.34
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		CLEARCK 43		39-281176	0	Ō	31.67
08/19/13	12:48	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 27	83	39-281177	0	Ő	34.19
08/19/13	12:54	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 12	83	39-281179	0	0	33.46
08/19/13	12:55	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	र	HARLOW 20	83	39-281180	0	0	32.96
08/19/13	13:03	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 4	83	39-281185	0	0	30.71
08/19/13	13:19	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	G&L7	83	39-281189	0	0	30.13
08/19/13	13:30	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 24	83	39-281195	0	0	31.76
08/19/13	13:31	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	MUMME 328	83	39-281198	0	0	29.49
08/19/13	13:39	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 13	83	39-281201	0	0	32.10
08/19/13	13:49	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	INTWES 590	83	39-281203	0	0	28.47
08/19/13	13:56	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	र	INTWES 586	83	39-281205	0	0	27.26
08/19/13	14:45	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 8	83	39-281220	0	0	34.09
08/19/13	15:00	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	ર	CLEARCK 43	83	39-281225	0	0	32.37
08/19/13	15:01	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 27	83	39-281227	0	0	32.05
08/19/13	15:09	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	CLEARCK 44	83	39-281232	0	0	30.91
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 12	83	39-281235	0	0	29.22
08/19/13	15:30	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	٤	HARLOW 20	83	39-281238	0	0	31.71
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 4	83	39-281240	0	0	28.18
08/20/13	08:03	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	۲.	CLEARCK 44	83	39-281255	0	0	29.35
08/20/13	08:04	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	ર	CLEARCK 43	83	39-281256	0	0	29.51
08/20/13 (08:06	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	ર	HARLOW 24	83	39-281259	0	0	32.65
08/20/13	08:07	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	ł	HARLOW 18	83	39-281260	0	0	28.66
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		G&L7	83	39-281261	0	0	28.93
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		HARLOW 12	83	39-281269	0	0	31.34
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		HARLOW 20	83	39-281273	0	0	32.28
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		HARLOW 27	83	39-281282	0	0	31.28
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		HARLOW 8	83	39-281296	0	0	29.30
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		MUMME 328	83	39-281298	0	0	29.00
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO		INTWES 590		39-281303	0	0	28.42
08/20/13 (09:58	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTO	R	CLEARCK 43	83	39-281321	0	0	31.21

Date	Time	Custon	ner	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/20/13	10:06	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CLC44	CLEARCK 44	83	39-281325	0	0	29.93
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 18	83	39-281333	õ	õ	29.04
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 12	83	39-281339	ŏ	õ	31.58
		CLEARCREEK			G & L 7	83	39-281342	ŏ	ŏ	29.02
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 20	83	39-281344	õ	ŏ	31.42
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 24	83	39-281345	õ	Ő	30.01
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 27	83	39-281351	õ	õ	30.00
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 8	83	39-281356	õ	ŏ	36.03
08/20/13	11:17	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CLC328	MUMME 328	83	39-281367	0	0	29.91
		CLEARCREEK			INTWES 590	83	39-281368	0	Õ	28.66
08/20/13	12:12	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CLC43	CLEARCK 43	83	39-281390	0	0	34.04
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 20	83	39-281414	0	0	31.19
08/20/13	08:48	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		G&L 7	83	39-281415	0	0	29.71
08/20/13	08:48	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 24	83	39-281416	0	0	33.50
08/20/13	08:49	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 18	83	39-281417	0	0	28.35
08/20/13	08:49	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 12	83	39-281418	0	0	33.60
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 27	83	39-281419	0	0	32.34
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 8	83	39-281420	0	0	33.70
		CLEARCREEK			MUMME 328	83	39-281421	0	0	30.45
		CLEARCREEK			INTER 590	83	39-281422	0	0	30.56
		CLEARCREEK			CLEAR 43	83	39-281423	0	0	30.62
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 12	83	39-281424	0	0	34.97
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 24	83	39-281425	0	0	34.09
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 18	83	39-281426	0	0	30.38
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 20	83	39-281427	0	0	31.30
		CLEARCREEK			G&L 7	83	39-281428	0	0	35.30
		CLEARCREEK			CLEARCK 44		39-281602	0	0	33.71
		CLEARCREEK			CLEARCK 43		39-281606	0	0	35.34
		CLEARCREEK	+		HARLOW 24	83	39-281611	0	0	34.27
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 8	83	39-281615	0	0	34.42
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 27	83	39-281618	0	0	33.89
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 18	83	39-281632	0	0	29.02
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 12	83	39-281633	0	0	31.03
		CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 20	83	39-281636	0	0	31.91
		CLEARCREEK			G&L6	83	39-281641	0	0	30.25
		CLEARCREEK			G&L7	83	39-281642	0	0	30.76
		CLEARCREEK CLEARCREEK			HARLOW 17	83	39-281647	0	0	30.73
		CLEARCREEK			INTWES 590 MUMME 328	83	39-281651 39-281654	0	0	31.75 27.78
		CLEARCREEK			INTWES 586		39-281654	0	0	30.28
		CLEARCREEK			CLEARCK 43		39-281660	0	0	33.72
00/21/13	10.03	CDEMACKEEK	CONTRACTOR		CTRAUCU 42	05	23-201013	v	0	22.16

LRI - 304th Street Landfill

Site Activity Report

Date	Time	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
08/21/13	10:14	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CLEARCK 44	83	39-281679	0	0	28.85
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 24	83	39-281685	ŏ	õ	34.88
08/21/13	10:33	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 8	83	39-281692	õ	õ	35.06
08/21/13	10:34	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 27	83	39-281693	Ō	Ō	32.71
08/21/13	10:44	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 12	83	39-281698	0	Õ	35.55
08/21/13	10:52	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 18	83	39-281702	0	0	28.24
08/21/13	10:54	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		G&L6	83	39-281704	0	0	32.90
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 20	83	39-281707	0	0	33.16
08/21/13	11:12	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		G & L 7	83	39-281711	0	0	31.13
08/21/13	11:20	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 17	83	39-281714	0	0	31.38
08/21/13	11:26	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		INTWES 590	83	39-281717	0	0	29.59
08/21/13	11:30	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		INTWES 586	83	39-281721	0	0	32.39
08/21/13	11:34	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		MUMME 328	83	39-281724	0	0	32.31
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CLEARCK 43	83	39-281736	0	0	36.15
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		HARLOW 24	83	39-281747	0	0	35.27
08/21/13	13:34	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		CLEARCK 44	83	39-281774	0	0	36.21
09/04/13	08:04	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	CC5	5 BARRY	83	39-283720	0	0	30.97
09/04/13	08:09	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	CC12		83	39-283722	0	0	33.35
09/04/13	08:20	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	CC15	15	83	39-283733	0	0	31.89
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		16 DON	83	39-283734	0	0	34.17
09/04/13	08:31	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	CC17	17 ERIC	83	39-283742	0	0	28,91
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		6	83	39-283749	0	0	32.69
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		21 GEORGE	83	39-283753	0	0	33.48
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		18 LINDA	83	39-283755	0	0	29.86
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		20	83	39-283760	0	0	32.96
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		33 GAEL	83	39-283764	0	0	32.66
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		3	83	39-283800	0	0	29.02
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		5	83	39-283805	0	0	31.11
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		16	83	39-283812	0	0	32.61
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		15	83	39-283815	0	0	33.04
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		12	83	39-283819	0	0	31.37
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		4 TOM	83	39-283820	0	0	31.69
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		17 ERIC	83	39-283823	0	0	31.00
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		6	83	39-283825	0	0	31.37
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		18 LINDA	83	39-283831	0	0	32.97
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		GEORGE	83	39-283834	0	0	31.58
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		20	83	39-283837	0	0	34.31
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		33 GAIL	83	39-283844	0	0	33.16
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		1	83	39-283866	0	0	33.08
		CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR		5 BARRY	83	39-283876	0	0	32.07
09/04/13	14:11	CLEARCREEK CONTRACTOR	CC16	16 DON	83	39-283881	0	0	33.36

Date T	Cime	Custor	ner	Vehicle	Referenc	e Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
09/04/13 1	4:13	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC15	15 BILL	83	39-283882	0	0	33.28
			CONTRACTOR		12	83	39-283896	õ	õ	34.53
			CONTRACTOR	0012	17 ERIC	83	39-283901	õ	õ	33.10
			CONTRACTOR	CC6	6	83	39-283902	õ	õ	33.67
			CONTRACTOR		18	83	39-283909	õ	õ	30.88
			CONTRACTOR		20	83	39-283913	õ	õ	34.30
09/04/13 1	l5:47	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC21	GEOTRGE	83	39-283914	0	õ	34.41
			CONTRACTOR		21	83	39-283965	Ō	ō	35.18
09/05/13 0)9:17	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		1	83	39-283973	0	Ō	33.59
			CONTRACTOR		33	83	39-283974	0	Ő	31.85
09/05/13 0	9:20	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		5	83	39-283975	0	0	32.39
09/05/13 1	2:11	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		5	83	39-284048	0	0	32.78
			CONTRACTOR		21	83	39-284055	0	0	29.38
			CONTRACTOR		1	83	39-284056	0	0	30.45
			CONTRACTOR		32	83	39-284111	0	0	30.62
09/05/13 1	5:07	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		5	83	39-284116	0	0	30.68
09/05/13 1	5:34	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		21	83	39-284122	0	0	33.13
			CONTRACTOR			83	39-284158	0	0	32.16
09/06/13 0	8:30	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC33	33 GAIL	83	39-284160	0	0	32.07
			CONTRACTOR		21 GEORG	E 83	39-284163	0	0	32.47
09/06/13 1	1:25	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC28	28	83	39-284221	0	0	31.89
09/06/13 1	2:18	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC21	21 GEORG	E 83	39-284235	0	0	33.69
09/06/13 1	2:19	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC33	33 GAIL	83	39-284238	0	0	32.91
10/02/13 0	8:26	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC28	28	83	39-288180	0	0	34.64
10/02/13 0	8:33	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC21	21	83	39-288184	0	0	33.40
			CONTRACTOR		5	83	39-288187	0	0	34.94
			CONTRACTOR		3	83	39-288197	0	0	30.27
10/02/13 1	0:46	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC24	24	83	39-288230	0	0	36.03
10/02/13 1	0:50	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC28	28	83	39-288235	0	0	33.29
			CONTRACTOR		21	83	39-288241	0	0	34.52
10/02/13 1	1:48	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC3	3	83	39-288258	0	0	32.20
10/02/13 1	2:36	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC5		83	39-288271	0	0	34.20
			CONTRACTOR		24	83	39-288283	0	0	37.86
10/02/13 1	3:12	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC12	12	83	39-288289	0	0	34.21
10/02/13 1	3:15	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR	CC28	28	83	39-288292	0	0	28.57
			CONTRACTOR		21	83	39-288297	0	0	31.60
			CONTRACTOR		3	83	39-288320	0	0	32.35
			CONTRACTOR	CC5	5	83	39-288323	0	0	28.69
		CLEARCREEK	+ - +		14	83	39-288352	0	0	25.47
10/03/13 1	0:50	CLEARCREEK	CONTRACTOR		14	83	39-288407	0	0	24.09

Date	Time	Customer	Vehicle	Reference	Material	Tickets	Count	Volume	Net Wt.
				Total Average		444	0	0	13991.29 31.51
				meruge			Ū	·	
				Report Tota	al	446	0	0	13991.29
				Report Aver	cage		0	0	31.37

APPENDIX F

Backfill Compaction Test Data

SUBMITTAL TRANSMITTAL

Clearcreek 3919 88th St NE

Environmental/Civil

Marysville, WA 98270 Tel: [425] 252-5800 Fax: [425] 252-1093 CONTRACTORS www.clearcreekcon.com

TO:	Port of Tacoma	DATE:	1/15/2014			
	1 Sitcom Plaza	PROJECT:	Former Kaiser Interim Action			
	Tacoma, WA 98421	JOB NO:	213055			
		SUBMITTAL #:	31 20 00 - 009 - 01			
ATTENTION:	Gregg Takamura	RE:	Krazan On-site Compaction Test			

WE ARE SENDING YOU THE FOLLOWING SUBMITTALS:

COPIES	SPEC NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	31 20 00 - 009 - 01	On-site Compaction Test Reports: See Attached.

REMARKS:

· 7

Test Reports from Krazan's on-site compaction testing in the Rod Mill Landfill Area.

RECEIVED BY:	
SIGNATURE:	DATE:
	DATE.



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING • ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING INSTRUCTION TESTING AND IN

FIELD REPORT NO .: 13230DFR101013RH

Construction resting AND Marechan	
DATE: 10/10/2013	CONTRACTOR: Clear Creek
PROJECT #: 066-13230	PERMIT #:
PROJECT: Kaiser Aluminum Cleanup	INSPECTOR: Randy Hansen
LOCATION: 3400 Taylor Way	JURISDICTION: City of Tacoma
KRAZAN P.M.: WT	WEATHER: Mostly Cloudy TEM 6

On site as requested for soils inspection on back fill at pond area south corner of project site.

Upon arrival at the project location, met with the contractor and was informed of the area ready for testing.

Took 3 in place density tests on the brown sandy silt w/cobble material being used from the onsite stock pile.

The tests were in excess of the 90% minimum compaction requirement.

See Krazan Soils Compaction Report # 13230SCR101013RH1 for the locations and test data.

Reviewed By: IN	ASTM Test #:	Equipment/Asset Number(s):Troxler 3430 # 36444
To the best of my knowledge, th	e above WAS performed in accordance wi	th the approved plans, specifications, and regulatory requirements.
Superintendent/Representative:		Technician:
		Randy Hansen
1501 Field Report		e Western United States evision 5 Effective Date: 7-29-11

Bothell (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500

The information provided on this report in property for the calculative use of the clicot. This report may not be reproduced in any format without the written permission of the clicot and Strame & Associates. This report indicates our inspectors obser, wine and testing result based on site conditions and contrastor activities. This information is subject to review prior to final submitted. By signing this report, our inspector dot; not accept reports bility for validity of result. The same information has been provided by others on site.

	Krazan & A Geotechnical Engineering • Environm Construction Testing and In	ISULAI ENC	ates ineering	<u>, I n</u>	<u>c.</u>			PACTION RT NO.:	13230SC	<u>R101</u>	<u>013RH1</u>
DATE: <u>10</u>	/10/2013				CON	ITRAC	TOR: Clea	ar Creek			
PROJECT #: 06						PERM	IT #:				
	iser Aluminum Cleanup			_				dy Hansen		_	
LOCATION: <u>34</u> KA P.M.: W				_				o of Tacoma			
NA F 191. <u>99</u>	1			-	WE/	ATHER	: Mostly C	loudy	T	EMP:	<u>60°</u>
	CLEAR DENSOMETER		ASTM				OTHE	R	······		
	LOCATION MAP					0000	Building Pa Utility :		d Area		•
			Curve			Classifi	Unified Soils cation or Der		Maximu	m Dry	Optimum
				£	wn Sa		t w/ gravel		Density /	/ Rice 115	Moisture 18
										110	10
						_					
				<u> </u>							
	ATION ATTACHED SEPERATELY			L							_
			1		140	DE &	DENSITY	MOINTURE		T	
TEST ELEVATION	LOCATION		CUR	VE		PTH	(PCF)	MOISTURE (%)	COMPACTION (%)		QUIRED PACTION
1 1' b/g	29'w/24'n of se corner of fill are			1	10"		108.1	17.7	94		90%
2 1' b/g	32's/28'w of ne corner of fill area				10"		107.9	17.6	93.8		90%
3 2' b/g	58'w/41'n of se corner of fill are	a		1	10"		104.8	18.6	91.1	<u> </u>	90%
									······································		
			<u> </u>					_			
						·····					
			<u>.</u>				L				
	EQUIPMENT NO.: Trox	er 3430	# 364	2	<u>382</u> 655	tests and ir limits guara	were performe were performe wicate relative of the compact intee earthwork	v tuture construction of at the approximation of the compaction at the led areas were defined of paying constru- of paying constru- of paying constru-	sibility that the soil on or rainfall event nate locations and ose locations. Hori lermined by others, uction, nor does or to the approved	s. The c elevatic zontal a . Our fin	compaction ons shown, and verticat m does not
To the best of my k REMARKS :	nowledge, the above WAS perform	ned in acc	cordance	s with	the app			ations and regul	atory requiremen	nts.	
Superintendent/R	epresentative:					Tec	nnician:				
							R.Ha	msen			
Cancrete Report	Offices S	Serving		Wes ision 5	tern I	U nited	States				

* _%

Bothell (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500

Effective 08/22/2011

The information provided on this report is propared for the suchasive use of the client. This report may not be reprodued in any facuat without the written perplication of the client and Krezza & Associated. This report indicates our importun observation and terring reputs based on she centricitant and controller activities. This information is subject to traview prior to find relational. By signing this report, our import on a supercised one and accept expoundably for which y of results. The same information is subject to traview prior to find relational. By signing this report, our import on a supercised one and accept expoundably for which y of results. The same information is a foot provided by other one site.

General Engineering a Environmental Engineering
Geotechnical Engineering • Environmental Engineering

Construction Testing and Inspection

DATE: 10/10/2013 PROJECT #: 06613230

LOCATION: Tacoma KA P.M.: Bill Throne

PROJECT: Kaiser Aluminum Cleanup

FIELD	
REPORT NO .:	13230DFR101013-WM -1

	CONTRACTOR:		
	PERMIT #:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_	INSPECTOR:	William Mrkvicka	
	JURISDICTION:		
	WEATHER:	Overcast	TEMP: 52 °

F

This Krazan & Associates, Inc. inspector arrived on site for scheduled compaction tests on fill.

Contractor placed fill in green area and compacted the material with a Cat CS-433E vibratory steel wheel roller. This Krazan & Associates, Inc. inspector performed in place field density tests of compacted soils using a Troxler 3430 moisture density gauge. All tests met or exceeded the minimum 90% compaction requirement, see compaction test sheets for test results.

Reviewed By:

ASTM Test #:

Equipment/Asset Number(s):

To the best of my knowledge, the above WAS performed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and regulatory requirements.

Superintendent/Representative:

Technician:

Mrk

Offices Serving the Western United States

Lynnwood (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500

Daily Field Report

The influe

Revision S

Effective 02/13/2013

ation provided on this report is prepared for the earlierive use of the client. This report to sy not be represent antivities. This information is subject to review prior to that submitted. iow to theal submitted. By ity for whishing of results. The story information has been provided by others on sing ting results based on size conditions and comm I this report, our inspector does not a

	ć	Geotechnical Engineering • Environmen Construction Testing and Inspe	aa chyneenng	, <u>In</u>	<u>c.</u>		PACTION PRT NO.:		3230SCR1	0101	3-WM 1
Р	DATE: <u>10</u> , OJECT #: <u>06</u> ROJECT: <u>Ka</u> CATION: <u>Ta</u> KA P.M.: <u>Bill</u>	/10/2013 613230 iser Aluminum Cleanup coma			INSPE	MIT #: CTOR: Will	iam Mrkvick	3		EMP	52
		IUCLEAR DENSOMETER				ОТ	HER				
		LOCATION MAP			Ċ	 Paved Are Building Pa Utility : Other : 					
			Curve	Sar	nd w/silt and		-		Maximun Density / (PCF 128.	Rice }	Optimum Moisture 9.5%
			2	Hea	avy silty san	<u>d</u>	·····		118.	0	18.0%
TEST	ELEVATION	LOCATION	CUR		MODE & DEPTH	DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE	сом	PACTION		QUIRED PACTION
1	1st lift	green area	1		6*	115.9	7.4%		90%		90%
2	1st lift	green area	1		6"	116.4	6.8%		91%		90%
3	<u>1st lift</u> 1st lift	green area	1		6"	117.4	5.6%		91%	1	90%
		green area	1		6"	117.8	8.4%		92%		90%
5 6	1st lift 1st lift	green area			6 [#]	119.7	7.8%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	93%	{	90%
7	1st lift	green area	1		<u> </u>	116.0	8.1%		90%		90%
8	1st lift	green area	1		<u>6"</u>	115.8	8.9%	1	90%	_	90%
9	1st lift	green area	2		<u>6"</u>	107.3	18.9%		91%		0%
10		green area	2		0"	106.8	19.1%		91%		0%
11	1st lift	green area	2		<u> </u>	107.1	<u>17.1%</u> 18.0%	91%			0%
D/ DAII	AILY AVERAGE	EQUIPMENT NO.: STANDARD DENSITY COUNT: TANDARD MOISTURE COUNT:		2	303 Thi 128 ma 692 and Imi not the	s testing does n y be loosened b is were perform I indicate relative ts of the compa guarantee earth	by preclude the po y future construct a dat the approximation at the compaction at the cted areas were work or paving or ponsibility to confer	ssibility ion or n nate loc lose loc determi	ainfail events cations and cations. Hort: ned by othe	or hot i s. The c slevatio contal a rs. Out	compaction one shown, and vertical firm does
То	the best of my ki	nowledge, the above WAS performed in a	ccordance with	the a	approved plan:	s, specification	ns and regulator	V DPOU	iremente		
	MARKS :						oğunum	,			
Supe	rintendent/Re	epresentative:	-		Te	chnician:					

Concrete Report

Ψ.

Offices Serving the Western United States

Nrk.

Effective 04-05-13

Revision 10

Lynnwood (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500

The information provided on this report is prepared for the meta-time use of the client. This report may not be repordered in any format without the written permission of the client and Kranne & Associates. This report indicates our inspectors observation and testing relate hand on site conditions and contractive activities. This information is subject to review prior to find automate. By signing the report, our inspector does not accept responsibility for validity of reavies. This report indicates our inspectors have a find on site.

Krazan	& Associates, Inc.
--------	--------------------

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING • ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION TESTING AND INSPECTION

FIELD REPORT NO .: 13230DFR101413RH

DATE: 10/14/2013	CONTRACTOR: Clear Creek	
PROJECT #:066-13230	PERMIT #:	
PROJECT: Kaiser Aluminum Cleanup	INSPECTOR: Randy Hansen	
LOCATION: 3400 Taylor Way	JURISDICTION: City of Tacoma	
KRAZAN P.M.: WT	WEATHER: Fog/Clear	TEM 5 4
In site as requested for soils inspection on book		

On site as requested for soils inspection on back fill at pond area south corner of project site.

Upon arrival at the project location, met with the contractor and was informed of the area ready for testing.

Took 7 in place density tests on the brown sandy silt w/gravel and cobble material being used from the onsite stock pile.

Obtained a sample of the material for proctor and sieve from the onsite material.

The tests are pending until the completion of the sample.

See Krazan Soils Compaction Report # 13230SCR101413RH1 for the locations and test data.

Reviewed By:	ASTM Test #:	Equipment/Asset Number(s):Troxler 3430 # 36444	
To the best of my knowledge, the a	bove WAS performed in accordance v	ith the approved plans, specifications, and regulatory requirements.	
Superintendent/Representative:		Technician:	
		Rawdy Hausen	VIII 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	Offices Serving ti	e Western United States	<u></u>
1501 Field Report		Revision 5 Effective Da	ate: 7-29-11
Bot	hell (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo	(360) 598-2126 • Puvallun (253) 939-2500	

-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500 The information provided on this report is prepared for the exclusive use of the client. This report may not be reprodued in any format without the writing permission of the client and Krazm & Associates. This report indicates our inspectors observation and testing results based on site conditions and contractor activities. This information is subject to review prior to final submittal. By signing this report, our inspector does not accept responsibility for validity of results. The tente information is ableve provided by others on site.

Krazan	
	& ASSOCIATES, INC.

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING . ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION TESTING AND INSPECTION

DATE: 10/15/2013

PROJECT #: 066-13230

KRAZAN P.M.:WT

FIELD REPORT NO .: 13230DFR101513RH

DATE: 10/15/2013	CONTRACTOR: Clear Creek		
ROJECT #: 066-13230	PERMIT #:		
PROJECT: Kaiser Aluminum Cleanup	INSPECTOR: Randy Hansen		<u> </u>
OCATION: 3400 Taylor Way	JURISDICTION: City of Tacoma		
AZAN P.M.:WT	WEATHER: Fog	ŤE M	4

On site as requested for soils inspection on back fill at pond area south corner of project site.

Upon arrival at the project location, met with the contractor and was informed of the area ready for testing.

Took 6 in place density tests on the brown sandy silt w/gravel and cobble material being used from the onsite stock pile.

The tests are pending until the completion of the sample that was taken on prior inspection visit.

See Krazan Soils Compaction Report # 13230SCR101513RH1 for the locations and test data.

Reviewed By:	M	ASTM Test #:	Equipment/Asset Number(s):Troxic	er 3430 # 36444
To the best of n	ny knowledge, the ab	ove WAS performed in accordance	e with the approved plans, specifications, and regulatory re	equirements
Superintendent/Representative:			Technician:	
			Rawly Hansen	
		Offices Serving	the Western United States	
1501 Field Repor	t		Revision 5	Effective Date: 7-29-11
	Both	ell (425) 485-5519 • Poulsb	o (360) 598-2126 • Puvallun (253) 939-2500	




Krazan	& Associates, Inc.
--------	--------------------

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING . ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION TESTING AND INSPECTION

FIELD REPORT NO .: 13230DFR101613RH

DATE: 10/16/2013	CONTRACTOR: Clear Creek	
PROJECT #: 066-13230	PERMIT #:	······································
PROJECT: Kaiser Aluminum Cleanup	INSPECTOR: Randy Hansen	·
LOCATION: 3400 Taylor Way	JURISDICTION: City of Tacoma	
KRAZAN P.M.: WT	WEATHER: Partly Cloudy/Fog	тем 4:

On site as requested for soils inspection on back fill at pond area south corner of project site.

Upon arrival at the project location, met with the contractor and was informed of the area ready for testing.

Took 4 in place density tests on the brown sandy silt w/gravel and cobble material being used from the onsite stock pile.

The tests are pending until the completion of the sample that was taken on prior inspection visit.

See Krazan Soils Compaction Report # 13230SCR101613RH1 for the locations and test data.

Reviewed By: M	ASTM Test #:	Equipment/Asset Number(s):Troxler 3430 # 36444
	he above WAS performed in accordance wit	h the approved plans, specifications, and regulatory requirements.
Superintendent/Representative:		Technician:
		Randy Hausen
	Offices Serving the	Western United States
1501 Field Report		vision 5 Effective Date: 7-29

Bothell (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500

The information provided on this report is prepared for the exclusive use of the effect to review prior to fined many format without the written permission of the effect and Krazzo & Ascocista. This report indicates our inspectors observations and tending results based on all conditions and contractor activities. This information is subject to review prior to fined "about the sentence" or inspector does not accept responsibility for validity of results. The sense information is subject to review prior to fined "about the"

K razan	& Associates, Inc.
----------------	--------------------

ł

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING • ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION TESTING AND INSPECTION

FIELD REPORT NO.: 13230DFR101613RH

DATE: 10/17/2013	CONTRACTOR: Clear Creek	
PROJECT #: 066-13230	PERMIT #:	
PROJECT: Kaiser Aluminum Cleanup	INSPECTOR: Randy Hansen	
LOCATION: 3400 Taylor Way	JURISDICTION: City of Tacoma	
KRAZAN P.M.; WT	WEATHER: Cloudy	тем 4 4

On site as requested for soils inspection on back fill at pond area south corner of project site.

Upon arrival at the project location, met with the contractor and was informed of the area ready for testing.

Took 2 in place density tests on the brown sandy silt w/gravel and cobble material being used from the onsite stock pile.

The tests are pending until the completion of the sample that was taken on prior inspection visit.

See Krazan Soils Compaction Report # 13230SCR101713RH1 for the locations and test data.

лН		
Reviewed By: / V * (ASTM Test #:	Equipment/Asset Number(s):Troxler 3430 # 36444
To the best of my knowledge, the	ne above WAS performed in accordance v	ith the approved plans, specifications, and regulatory requirements.
Superintendent/Representative:		Technician:
		Randy Hansen
	Offices Serving t	e Western United States
1501 Field Report		Revision 5 Effective Date: 7-29-1:
The information provided on this report is prepared	Sothell (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo	(360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500

The information provided on this report is prepared for the exclusive use of the client. This report surgents be reproduced in any format without the written permission of the client and Kerzen & Associates. This report indicates our inspectors observation and testing results based on site conditions and contractor activities. This information has been provided by other on rite.

General Engineering - Environmental Engineering
Geotechnical Engineering • Environmental Engineering

Construction Testing and Inspection

-IELD	
REPORT NO .:	13230DFR102213-AA

DATE: 10/22/2013 PROJECT #: 06613230 PROJECT: Kaiser Aluminum Cleanup LOCATION: 3400 Taylor Way Tacoma WA KA P.M.: Bill T.	CONTRACTOR: N/A PERMIT #: N/A INSPECTOR: Andy Ayres JURISDICTION: City of Tacoma WEATHER: Cloudy	TEMP: <u>55</u> °

Krazan representative on site in Tacoma WA to perform nuclear density on imported fill.

Inspector completed four density tests with all meeting the minimum compaction requirement for the project. For test results please refer to compaction report number 13230SCR102213-AA.

Reviewed By:

The inR

ASTM Test #:

Equipment/Asset Number(s):

To the best of my knowledge, the above WAS performed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and regulatory requirements.

Superintendent/Representative:

ŧ٨

Technician:

A. Ayurs

Offices Serving the Western United States Lynnwood (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500 Daily Field Report Relision 8 Effective 02/13/2013 rproduced is as forms where the without permission of the client and K1 une & Associates. This report indicates our important observations and serving matrix. By signing this report, set importer does not peoply responsibility, for whichy of re-thin. The same information has been provided by subare on the is prepared for the exclusive use of the client. Th' : report may not be a activitier. This information is appied to re, iew prior to inal subuztion pro sation and staring scrutts based on site conditions and contractor

		Krazan & ASSOC	iates, In	<u>c.</u>		PACTION ORT NO.:	13230SCR	102213-AA
		Geotechnical Engineering • Environmental Er Construction Testing and Inspection	ngineering					
P	M.S.C.	/22/2013 613230 iser AlumInum Cleanup 00 Taylor Way Tacoma WA	•	P INS JURIS	RACTOR: N// ERMIT #: N// PECTOR: And DICTION: Cit	ly Ayres		
		NUCLEAR DENSOMETER	SANDCO ASTM D	ONE	HER: <u>Cloudy</u>	THER	TI	EMP: <u>55</u>
		LOCATION MAP			 Paved An Building P Utility : Other : 	eas : ad(s) : _imported f	111	
	MAP LOCA	ATION ATTACHED SEPARATELY	Curve 13L4 Imp		Unified Soi Classification or D II	-	Maximun Density / 1	
TEST	ELEVATION	LOCATION	CURVE	MOD DEP		MOISTURE (%)	COMPACTION (%)	REQUIRED COMPACTION
	SG	100ft west of east fence on pad	13L400	6"	122	10	95	
	SG	300ft west of east fence on pad	13L400	6"	122.5	10.9	95	
	SG	425ft west of east fence on pad	13L400	6"	121.9	11.4	95	95
	SG	525ft west of east fence on pad	13L400	6"	122.6	11.1	95	95
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DA F	ILY AVERAGE S	EQUIPMENT NO.: E STANDARD DENSITY COUNT: STANDARD MOISTURE COUNT: I/W (nowledge, the above WAS NOT performed in a		1303 2110 658	may be locaened tasts were perform and indicate relati limits of the compa- guarantee earthwa Contractor's response specifications.	not preclude the po- by future constructioned at the approxim- ve compaction at the approximation of the approxima	on or rainfall event nate locations and lose locations. Hore termined by others, ruction, nor does of a to the approved	s. The compaction elevations shown, zontal and vertical . Our firm does not ur work reliave the project plans and
	EMARKS :				- French of oboon		and y requirementer	18.

SuperIntendent/Representative:

Concrete Report

Ř

4

Technician:

A. Ayurs

Offices Serving the Western United States

Revision 9

Effective 02-13-13

Lynnwood (425) 485-5519 • Poulsbo (360) 598-2126 • Puyallup (253) 939-2500

The information provided on this report is prepared for the excitative use of the citient. This report may not be reproduced in any format without the vitten permission of the citient and Kenzero & Av ociater. This report indicates our important characteristics and terring results based on the conditions and contractor servicies. This information is subject to review prior to final solutional. By signing this report, our imports does not accept responsibility for validity of reads. This same information has been provided by others on stire.









APPENDIX G

Analytical Laboratory Reports



Analytical Resources, Incorporated

Analytical Chemists and Consultants

August 12, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XA16

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted two soil samples on August 8, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

Date 8/8/J3				V-LDGY			Observations/Comments	\underline{X} Allow water samples to settle, collect	X NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica del cleanup	run samples standardized to	product	Analyze for EPH if no specific	VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll): non-oreserved	preserved w/methanol	Ereeze upon receipt	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered	Other		Method of Shipment Courier	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date Time	presentative Rev 8/09
lecord	Testing Parameters													······································					Sig	by by		0		Time	PINK COPY - Client Representative
XA\b Chain-of-Custodv Record		Project No. 1180.55.100 104	Talomy sime a	ever wort / /	scher / % / /	Pischer H	No. of Ontainers	×;	×											Relinquished by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Time 1435 Date	YELLOW COPY - Laboratory
778-0907		Project No. 11 50	ry vort at talon	Dunch, Dave Ryp	stone / DAVE PI	sen BillEvens Duve	Date Time Matrix C	8/6/13 1359 Soil	1105 HCHI 21/2/8										ارو	Received by	Signature R, L	Printed Name ARI	Company / /	Date 4/8/13	WHITE COPY - Project File
LANDAU Contact (503) 542-1080 Contact (503) 5		Project Name house TA	Project Location/Event Haise	Sampler's Name Brankon 1	Project Contact Jessin 45	Send Results To April 176W 04	Sample I.D.	[MLF-1-20130606 8/13 1359 5011 1 X	RMLF-2-201308079										Special Shipment/Handling $0 \sim$.	Relinquished by	Signature C: a d Mite	Printed Name	Company ASSULUTE	Date 8/8/13 Time 1433	



Analytical Resources, I Analytical Chemists and		Cooler Rec	eipt Form	l
ARI Client: Landau COC No(s): Assigned ARI Job No:XA	16 (A)	Project Name: KAIS Delivered by: Fed-Ex URS Cou Tracking No:	urier Hand Delivered Othe	
Preliminary Examination Phase: Were intact, properly signed and dated Were custody papers included with the Were custody papers properly filled out Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recomm If cooler temperature is out of complian Cooler Accepted by:	cooler? (ink, signed, etc.) lended 2.0-6.0 °C for ch ce fill out form 00070F	nemistry)	YES YES YES Temp Gun ID#: 1224 e: 1435	NO NO 12224
Log-In Phase: Was a temperature blank included in the What kind of packing material was us Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual play Did all bottles arrive in good condition (Were all bottles arrive in good condition (Were all bottle labels complete and legi Did the number of containers listed on (Did all bottle labels and tags agree with Were all bottles used correct for the rec Do any of the analyses (bottles) required Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Was sufficient amount of sample sent in Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI Was Sample Split by ARI : NA Samples Logged by:	e cooler? ed? Bubble Wr stic bags? unbroken)? ble? COC match with the nur custody papers? preservation? (attach p n each bottle? YES Date/Time: Da	mber of containers received?	YES Block Paper Other: NA YES	
Sample ID on Bottle Additional Notes, Discrepancies, & F	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on CC)C
By: Date: Small Air Bubbles Peabubbles' -2mm 2-4 mm	LARCE Air Bubbles > 4 mat	Small → "sm" Peabubbles → "pb"		

÷

~ ☆ ~ ◆`

Cooler Receipt Form

Large \rightarrow "lg" Headspace \rightarrow "hs"

Revision 014

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

	XAILO					
Cooler#: Temperature(°C): (0,3						
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type			
All Som	Day rorowed					
Amp	als received					
	00					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Cooler#:	Tempe	rature(°C):				
Sample ID	i entpe	Bottle Count	Bottle Type			
		Dottio Oount				
	·····					
·						
	·					
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Cooler#:	Tempe	rature(°C):				
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Cooler#:	Тетре	rature(°C): Bottle Count	Pottla Typa			
Sample ID		Boule Count	Bottle Type			
	·					
						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		A				
Completed by:	<pre></pre>	Date Date	e:8/8/13Time:/726			

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XA16 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	RMLF-1-20130806	XA16A	13-16427		08/06/13 13:59	08/08/13 14:35
2.	RMLF-2-20130807	XA16B	13-16428		08/07/13 14:54	08/08/13 14:35

Printed 08/09/13 Page 1 of 1

Afic: Juzzo

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XA16 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	RMLF-1-20130806	XA16A	13-16427		08/06/13 13:59	08/08/13 14:35
2.	RMLF-2-20130807	XA16B	13-16428		08/07/13 14:54	08/08/13 14:35

Printed 08/09/13 Page 1 of 1

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XA16A LIMS ID: 13-16427 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: WW Reported: 08/12/13

Date Extracted: 08/09/13 Date Analyzed: 08/12/13 13:15 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: RMLF-1-20130806 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/06/13 Date Received: 08/08/13

Sample Amount: 8.08 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 33.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a) anthracene	62	270
218-01-9	Chrysene	62	350
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	62	240
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	62	130
53-70-3	Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	62	69
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	62	420

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	73.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	72.0%

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS Page 1 of 1

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

Sample ID: RMLF-2-20130807 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: XA16B LIMS ID: 13-16428 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/12/13

Date Extracted: 08/09/13 Date Analyzed: 08/12/13 13:49 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/07/13 Date Received: 08/08/13

Sample Amount: 7.95 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 29.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	63	< 63 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	63	< 63 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	63	< 63 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	63	< 63 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	63	< 63 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	63	< 63 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	73.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	73.6%



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: MB-080913 LIMS ID: 13-16427 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: WW Reported: 08/12/13

Date Extracted: 08/09/13 Date Analyzed: 08/12/13 11:32 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: MB-080913 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	67	< 67 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	88.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	68.4%

SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY



Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
MB-080913	88.0%	68.4%	0
LCS-080913	89.2%	73.6%	0
LCSD-080913	80.0%	66.4%	0
RMLF-1-20130806	73.2%	72.0%	0
RMLF-2-20130807	73.6%	73.6%	0

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(TER) = d14-p-Terphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-16427 to 13-16428



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: LCS-080913 LCS/LCSD

Lab Sample ID: LCS-080913 LIMS ID: 13-16427 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: MAA Reported: 08/12/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/09/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/12/13 12:06 LCSD: 08/12/13 14:23 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 08/08/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a)anthracene	1380	1670	82.6%	1360	1670	81.4%	1.5%
Chrysene	1480	1670	88.6%	1380	1670	82.6%	7.0%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1400	1670	83.8%	1300	1670	77.88	7.48
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1440	1670	86.2%	1370	1670	82.0%	5.0%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1430	1670	85.6%	1440	1670	86.2%	0.7%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	3000	3330	90.1%	2780	3330	83.5%	7.6%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	89.2%	80.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	73.6%	66.4%

Results reported in µg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Matrix: Soil

Date Received: 08/08/13

Data Release Authorized: NW Reported: 08/12/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	EFV DL	Range/Surrogate	LOQ	Result
MB-081013 13-16427	Method Blank HC ID:	08/10/13	08/11/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 81.7%
XA16A 13-16427	RMLF-1-20130806 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTC	08/10/13 R OIL	08/11/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.5 15	28 71 68.6%
XA16B 13-16428	RMLF-2-20130807 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTC	08/10/13 R OIL	08/11/13 FID9	1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.0 14	16 47 71.6%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. LOQ-Limit of Quantitation

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicates results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
081013MBS	81.7%	0
081013LCS	85.3%	0
081013LCSD	81.0%	0
RMLF-1-20130806	68.6%	0
RMLF-2-20130807	71.6%	0

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(50-150) (50-150)

(OTER) = o-Terphenyl

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-16427 to 13-16428



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-081013 LIMS ID: 13-16427 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/12/13 LCS/LCSD QC Report No: XA16-Landau Associates, Inc.

Sample ID: LCS-081013

Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA

Date Received: NA

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/10/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/11/13 14:25 LCSD: 08/11/13 14:47 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID9/JLW LCSD: FID9/JLW

Sample	Amount LCS:	10.0 g-dry-wt
	LCSD:	10.0 g-dry-wt
Final Extract	Volume LCS:	1.0 mL
	LCSD:	1.0 mL
Dilution	Factor LCS:	1.00
	LCSD:	1.00

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	129	150	86.0%	121	150	80.7%	6.4%

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	85.3%	81.0%

Results reported in mg/kg

RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

Matrix: Soil Date Received: 08/08,	ARI Job: Project: '13	XA16 Kaiser I 118033.1			
ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date
13-16427-081013MB 13-16427-081013LC 13-16427-081013LC 13-16427-XA16A 13-16428-XA16B	1 Lab Control	10.0 g 10.0 g 10.0 g 6.70 g 7.11 g	1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL	- -	08/10/13 08/10/13 08/10/13 08/10/13 08/10/13



August 13, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XA43

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted two soil samples on August 9, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

1 OF 14

8/9	Page 1 of 1		Candard Accelerated	6-07-1X	1.5.1	Observations/Comments	\underline{X} Allow water samples to settle, collect	aliquot from clear portion X NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica oel cleanup	run samples standardized to	product	 VOC/BTEX/VPH (soli):	non-preserved	preserved w/sodium bisulfate	Freeze upon receipt	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered	Other		Lof	ant	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date Time	Intative Rev 8/09
	dy Record	Testing Parameters																Method of	Shipme	Relinquished by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Time	DIV COPY - Client Representative
5-0907 XAUS	Chain-of-Custody Record	Deviced No 11 \$70 32 1201 100	Portof Taloma 20		(4,570 v. 20, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	No. of	Soil 1	1345 501 1 X												d by	Rich Nulson	ne AR I		2/4/13 Time 1326 Date	· Project File YELLOW COPY - Laboratory
LAN DAU Contract (503) 327-9737		Brind Name Krither TA	ser	Sampler's Name Don Mai Kemus	Project Contact DCAVE PISCHEN Sterre	Send Results to Anne Utalvonsen, Du Semple ID	3											Special Shipment/Handling	or Storage Requirements	Belinquished by		DCicter		Date 5 /4/13 Time 1356 Date	WHITE COPY - Project File

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form
ARI Chent Landay	Project Name KAISER JA
	Delivered by Fed-Ex UPS Courter Hand Delivered Other
Assigned ARI Job NoXA43	Tracking No [*] (NA)
Preliminary Examination Phase:	\bigcirc
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the o	outside of to cooler? YES NO
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	VES NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)	NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2 0-6 0 °C for chemistry	0
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form 00070F	$\frac{8/a}{13} \operatorname{Temp Gun ID}_{\#} \frac{172412224}{1356}$
Cooler Accepted by Da	iter 0/9/13 Time. 1356
Complete custody forms and a	ttach all shipping documents

Log-In Phase:

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	(NO)
What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block F	Paper Oth	ner:	$\underline{}$
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	ES	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		(ES)	NO
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		E\$	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		E3	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		ES'	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		Es	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		(E)	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs).	NA)	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	NA	YEŞ	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?		(ES	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	NA		<u> </u>
Was Sample Split by ARI NA YES Date/Time Equipment		Split by:	
Samples Logged by: AVDate:Date:	00_		

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
	······		
Additional Notes, Discrepancies	, & Resolutions:		
By: Date			
Small Air Bubbles Peabubble 	I Contraction and a second	Small → "sm"	
	> 4 mm	Peabubbles → "pb"	
		Large \rightarrow "lg"	
		Headspace → "hs"	

Date <u>8/~1/1</u> 3 Page <u>1 of 1</u>				Observations/Comments	Allow water samples to settle, collect	aliquot from clear portion	X. NMTPH-Dx - run apd wash/siica gei cleanup		Analyze for EPH if no specific product identified	VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll):	non-preserved	Frances and write the second fraction	Dissrhad metal weter surries fair filtered	Office	Re-Sert Via email	- Sieve Mott to		Method of Shipment	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	DateTime	t Representative
Record	Testing Parameters															50 mile -			ed by		¢.		Tune	PINK COPY - Client Representative
hain-of-Custody Record	1/C man	XC 23	Hat I	1	8 3 4	X										······································			Relinquished by	Signature		Company	Ć. Date	VELLOW COPY - Laboratory
Chain-of	Project No. (150 33., 100 104		C Blac Park	3		<u>50;</u> 1 1					f • • • • • • • •		n - Anton Young			Y (co) 6 (An and a second s				Time	
ls (425) 778-0907 6-2493 27-9737 12-1080	Project N	Kemus	Nel Service	Date Time	22. 24	CUS 345				*									Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date	WHITE COPY - Project File
A LANDAU Seattle/Edmonds (425) 778-0907 Mandau Spokane (253) 926-2493 Associaties Spokane (509) 327-9737 Associaties Portland (503) 542-1080	Project Name Kouson D. A. Project Location Francisco D. A. Project Location Franch C. U.S. E. Y.	Sampler's Name DON NICIT KEMUS	Project Contact D(AV & Z) SCINE C Send Results To Aver C Iteration Send Results To Aver C Iteration Send	Sample I.D.	MLE - 3- 2013-0600	KMLF-4-20130245								Andreas and Andr			alana da ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana a	Special Shipment/Handling or Storage Requirements	Relinquished by	Signature	Printed Name		Dete 5 16 1 2 Time 12 10 W	HM

* *

Rev Brog

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XA43 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	RMLF-3-20130809	XA43A	13-16579		08/09/13 11:32	08/09/13 13:56
2.	RMLF-4-20130809	XA43B	13 -1 6580		08/09/13 13:45	08/09/13 13:56

Printed 08/09/13 Page 1 of 1



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Date Received: 08/09/13

Matrix: Soil

Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/13/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	EFV DL	Range/Surrogate	LOQ	Result
MB-081013 13-16579	Method Blank HC ID:	08/10/13	08/11/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 81.7%
XA43A 13-16579	RMLF-3-20130809 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR	08/10/13 . OIL	08/11/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.9 16	28 76 66.7%
XA43B 13-16580	RMLF-4-20130809 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR	08/10/13 . OIL	08/11/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.1 14	34 56 70.0%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. LOQ-Limit of Quantitation

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicates results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.





TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

(OTER) = o-Terphenyl

QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
0.01.01.2072	01 70	0
081013MBS	81.7%	0
081013LCS	85.3%	0
081013LCSD	81.0%	0
RMLF-3-20130809	66.7%	0
RMLF-4-20130809	70.0%	0

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(50-150) (50-150)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-16579 to 13-16580



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-081013 LIMS ID: 13-16579 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/13/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/10/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/11/13 14:25 LCSD: 08/11/13 14:47 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID9/JLW LCSD: FID9/JLW Sample ID: LCS-081013 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount LCS: 10.0 g-dry-wt LCSD: 10.0 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 1.0 mL LCSD: 1.0 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	129	150	86.0%	121	150	80.7%	6.48

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	85.3%	81.0%

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

		ARI Job:	XA43
Matrix: Soil		Project:	Kaiser IA
Date Received:	08/09/13		118033.100.104

ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date
13-16579-081013MB1	Method Blank	10.0 g	1.00 mL	. –	08/10/13
13-16579-081013LCS1	Lab Control	10.0 g	1.00 mL	. –	08/10/13
13-16579-081013LCSD1	Lab Control Dup	10.0 g	1.00 mL	. –	08/10/13
13 - 16579-XA43A	RMLF-3-20130809	6.32 g	1.00 mL	D	08/10/13
13-16580-XA43B	RMLF-4-20130809	7.04 g	1.00 mL	D	08/10/13



Basis: D=Dry Weight W=As Received

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XA43A LIMS ID: 13-16579 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/13/13

Date Extracted: 08/10/13 Date Analyzed: 08/12/13 16:39 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-3-20130809 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/09/13 Date Received: 08/09/13

Sample Amount: 7.57 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 37.1%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U
50-32 - 8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193 - 39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	56.4%
ard b rethientr	JU.40
2-Fluorobiphenyl	60.4%
z riuoropipnenyi	00.40

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XA43B LIMS ID: 13-16580 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/13/13

Date Extracted: 08/10/13 Date Analyzed: 08/12/13 17:13 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-4-20130809 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/09/13

Date Received: 08/09/13

Sample Amount: 7.74 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 30.0%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	65	< 65 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	65	< 65 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	65	< 65 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	65	< 65 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	65	< 65 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	65	< 65 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	71.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	71.2%


Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: MB-081013 LIMS ID: 13-16579 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/13/13

Date Extracted: 08/10/13 Date Analyzed: 08/12/13 14:57 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: MB-081013 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result		
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U		
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U		
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U		
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U		
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	67	< 67 U		
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U		

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	82.8%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	66.0%



SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
		66 0 0	<u>^</u>
MB-081013	82.8%	00.00	0
LCS-081013	88.0%	67.2%	0
LCSD-081013	79.2%	69.6%	0
RMLF-3-20130809	56.4%	60.4%	0
RMLF-4-20130809	71.2%	71.2%	0

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(TER)	=	d14-p-Terphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)
(FBP)	=	2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-16579 to 13-16580



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-081013 LIMS ID: 13-16579 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/13/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/10/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/12/13 15:31 LCSD: 08/12/13 16:05 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

Sample ID: LCS-081013 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XA43-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 08/09/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a)anthracene	1410	1670	84.4%	1340	1670	80.2%	5.1%
Chrysene	1470	1670	88.0%	1410	1670	84.4%	4.2%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1390	1670	83.2%	1360	1670	81.4%	2.2%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1510	1670	90.4%	1480	1670	88.6%	2.0%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1550	1670	92.8%	1520	1670	91.0%	2.0%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	2950	3330	88.6%	2910	3330	87.4%	1.4%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	88.0%	79.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	67.2%	69.6%

Results reported in µg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



August 16, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XA80

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted three soil samples on August 13, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

ali) man pa

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

10F 29

Date 8/13/13	ers / Turnaround Time		Accelerated	1 1 TAT		Observations/Comments	\underline{X} Allow water samples to settle, collect	aliquot from clear portion	X NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica gel cleanup		broduct	Analyze for EPH if no specific	VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll):	Dreserved w/methanol	preserved w/sodium bisulfate	Freeze upon receipt	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered	Other		Shipment COUN & U	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date Time	resentative Rev 8/09
lecord	Testing Parameters																			 Shir	i by				Time	PINK COPY - Client Representative
Chain-of-Custody Record			AT AT	(te)		\sim	X	X	Х Х												Relinquished by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	<u>5 /6 Date</u>	YELLOW COPY - Laboratory
778-0907	Project No 11 &0 2 & No		222	١	DWVL DIS	Time Matrix Containers			1022 Soi 1												Received by	Signature R, G	Printed Name		Date D // // Time	WHITE COPY - Project File YI
LANDAU ASSOCIATES Deviand (503) 542-1080 Bortland (503) 542-1080	Project Name Kinsur 124	Project Location/Event Kaise, Po	Sampler's Name Don Malkenus	Project Contact Dave Pixker	Send Results To Anne Halverson Ball EVENS	Sample I.D. Date	2112182120212021281213	RMLF-6-20130 612 8/3/13	2MLF-7-2013083 813/2											or Storage Requirements	Relinquished by		Ac OI MAN		Date &/3/13 Time /2/V	WHITE C

X466 : 86682

Rev 8/09

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants Cooler Receipt Form

ARI Client Landau	Project Name Ka	iser IA	
COC No(s) (Na)	Delivered by Fed-Ex UP	Courie) Hand Delivered Other	
	Tracking No		(NA)
Preliminary Examination Phase:			\bigcirc
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the	outside of to cooler?	YES	NÒ
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	····· ·· ·····	(YES	NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.) .	· · <i>·</i> ··· · · ···	(YES	NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2 0-6 0 °C for chemistry	n. 81		
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill and form 00070F	8.1 /	Temp Gun ID#. 12341	2334
Cooler Accepted by Da	ater0//3/13		
Complete custody forms and	attach all shipping docur	nents	

Log-In Phase:

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	NO
What kind of packing material was used?	Paper (Other:	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	YES	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		YES	(N)
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		YES,	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		E S	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		TES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		FES	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?	-	YES	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs)	NA	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	NA	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?		(YES	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	(NA)	<u> </u>	
Was Sample Split by ARI : (NA) YES Date/Time Equipment		Split by:	
Samples Logged by:	90		

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC			
Additional Notes, Discrepanci	es, & Resolutions:					
By ^r Da	ate					
Small Air Bubbles Peabubl -2mm 2-4 m	C. II ADD THE MANAGE	Small → "sm"				
	m >4 mm	Peabubbles → "pb"				
		Large → "ig"				
Ten peri angine ngangan kana pangan kan	L	Headspace \rightarrow "hs"				

Revision 014



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Cooler#:	Temp	erature(°C): <u> </u>	
Sample ID	i emp	Bottle Count	Bottle Type
Sample ID		Dottie Count	Dottie Type
	Gam Acs received abour 6° c-		
	Jui		
	recivee		
	abour		
	66.		
···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·	0 6-		

0			
Cooler#:	I empe	erature(°C):	
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type
····			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Cooler#:	Tempe	erature(°C):	
Sample ID	<u> </u>	erature(°C): Bottle Count	Bottle Type
· ····			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Cooler#:	Tompo	erature(°C):	
Sample ID	rempe	Bottle Count	Bottle Type
			Dottie Type
		+	
Completed by:	T	Date	e: <u>8-14-13</u> Time70i

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XA80 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	RMLF-5-20130812	XA80A	13-16826	Soil	08/12/13 12:40	08/13/13 15:16
2.	RMLF-6-20130812	XA80B	13-16827		08/13/13 08:50	08/13/13 15:16
3.	RMLF-7-20130812	XA80C	13-16828		08/13/13 10:32	08/13/13 15:16

Printed 08/14/13 Page 1 of 1



Data Reporting Qualifiers Effective 2/14/2011

Inorganic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- * Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- B Reported value is less than the CRDL but \geq the Reporting Limit
- N Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- H The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

Organic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- * Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- E Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- Q Indicates a detected analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20%Drift or minimum RRF).



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample
- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- M2 The sample contains PCB congeners that do not match any standard Aroclor pattern. The PCBs are identified and quantified as the Aroclor whose pattern most closely matches that of the sample. The reported value is an estimate.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) defined in EPA Statement of Work DLM02.2 as a value "calculated for 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers for which the quantitation and /or confirmation ion(s) has signal to noise in excess of 2.5, but does not meet identification criteria" (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference
- X Analyte signal includes interference from polychlorinated diphenyl ethers. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- Z Analyte signal includes interference from the sample matrix or perfluorokerosene ions. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Geotechnical Data

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/15/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	EFV DF	Range/Surrogate	RL	Result
MB-081413 13-16826	Method Blank HC ID:	08/14/13	08/15/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 86.6%
XA80A 13-16826	RMLF-5-20130813 HC ID: DIESEL	08/14/13	08/15/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.4 15	8.9 < 15 U 72.1%
XA80B 13-16827	RMLF-6-20130813 HC ID: DIESEL	08/14/13	08/15/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.7 16	15 < 16 U 69.0%
XA80C 13-16828	RMLF-7-20130813 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR	08/14/13 R OIL	08/15/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.9 16	14 20 64.0%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. RL-Reporting limit.

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicate results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: LCS-081413 LCS/LCSD

Lab Sample ID: LCS-081413 LIMS ID: 13-16826 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/15/13 QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/12/13 Date Received: 08/13/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/14/13 Date Analyzed LCS: 08/15/13 12:09 LCSD: 08/15/13 12:32 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID/JLW Sample Amount LCS: 10.0 g LCSD: 10.0 g Final Extract Volume LCS: 1.0 mL LCSD: 1.0 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.0 LCSD: 1.0

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	120	150	80.0%	117	150	78.0%	2.5%

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	84.1%	85.4%

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.

LCSD: FID/JLW



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

		ARI Job:	XA80
Matrix: Soil		Project:	Kaiser IA
Date Received:	08/13/13		118033.100.104

ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date
13-16826-081413MB1	Method Blank	10.0 g	1.00 mL	_	08/14/13
13-16826-081413LCS1	Lab Control	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	08/14/13
13-16826-081413LCSD1	Lab Control Dup	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	08/14/13
13-16826-XA80A	RMLF-5-20130813	6.71 g	1.00 mL	D	08/14/13
13-16827-XA80B	RMLF-6-20130813	6.46 g	1.00 mL	D	08/14/13
13-16828-XA80C	RMLF-7-20130813	6.33 g	1.00 mL	D	08/14/13



CLEANED TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
MB-081413 LCS-081413 LCSD-081413 RMLF-5-20130813	86.6% 84.1% 85.4% 72.1%	
RMLF-6-20130813 RMLF-7-20130813	69.0% 64.0%	0 0

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(OTER) = o-Terphenyl

1

(50-150) (50-150)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-16826 to 13-16828







- 1. Baseline correction
- 2. Poor chromatography
- 3. Peak not found
- 4. Totals calculation (5) Surrogate Skimmed
- Sarrogace Skinelled

Date: 3/15/13 Analyst: <u>5</u>U





- 1. Baseline correction
- 2. Poor chromatography
- 3. Peak not found
- 4. Totals calculation
- & Surrogate Skimmed

Tu Analyst:

Date: 3/5/1)





- 1. Baseline correction
- 2. Poor chromatography
- 3. Peak not found
- 4. Totals calculation (5). Surrogate Skimmed
- Jurioyace Brinnled

Analyst: Ju

Date: <u>9/15/13</u>





FID:9A SIGNAL



- 1. Baseline correction
- 2. Poor chromatography
- 3. Peak not found
- 4. Totals calculation
- (5) Surrogate Skimmed

Date: _______ Analyst: ______J





FID:9A SIGNAL



- 1. Baseline correction
- 2. Poor chromatography
- 3. Peak not found
- 4. Totals calculation
- (5) Surrogate Skimmed

Date: 115/12 Analyst: $\underline{\mathcal{J}}^{\mathcal{W}}$

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XA80A LIMS ID: 13-16826 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/15/13 Date Analyzed: 08/15/13 21:37 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-5-20130813 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/12/13 Date Received: 08/13/13

Sample Amount: 8.03 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 33.4%

Analyte	RL	Result
Benzo(a)anthracene	62	< 62 U
Chrysene	62	66
Benzo(a)pyrene	62	< 62 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	62	< 62 U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	62	< 62 U
Total Benzofluoranthenes	62	75
	Benzo(a) anthracene Chrysene Benzo(a) pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene Dibenz(a,h) anthracene	Benzo(a) anthracene62Chrysene62Benzo(a) pyrene62Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene62Dibenz(a,h) anthracene62

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	71.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	78.4%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XA80B LIMS ID: 13-16827 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/16/13

Date Extracted: 08/15/13 Date Analyzed: 08/15/13 22:11 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-6-20130813 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES

QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/13/13 Date Received: 08/13/13

Sample Amount: 7.77 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 35.7%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	64	< 64 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	64	< 64 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	64	< 64 U
193-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	64	< 64 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	64	< 64 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	64	< 64 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	58.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	62.0%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XA80C LIMS ID: 13-16828 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/15/13 Date Analyzed: 08/15/13 22:45 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-7-20130813 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/13/13 Date Received: 08/13/13

Sample Amount: 7.58 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 37.1%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	79
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193 - 39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53-70 - 3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	76

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	58.8%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	63.2%



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: MB-081513 LIMS ID: 13-16826 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/16/13

Date Extracted: 08/15/13 Date Analyzed: 08/15/13 19:54 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: MB-081513 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	67	< 67 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	86.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	84.8%



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-081513 LIMS ID: 13-16826 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/15/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/15/13 20:29 LCSD: 08/15/13 21:03 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

Sample ID: LCS-081513 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 08/13/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a) anthracene	1500	1670	89.88	1500	1670	89.88	0.0%
Chrysene	1480	1670	88.6%	1500	1670	89.8%	1.3%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1510	1670	90.4%	1530	1670	91.6%	1.3%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	936	1670	56.0%	987	1670	59.1%	5.3%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1000	1670	59.9%	1040	1670	62.3%	3.9%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	3320	3330	99.7%	3320	3330	99.78	0.0%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	80.8%	78.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	77.6%	76.0%

Results reported in µg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XA80-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(TER) = d14-p-Terphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-16826 to 13-16828



August 19, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XB44

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted five soil samples on August 16, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

8/1	Page 1 of 1	Turnaround Time		LAccelerated	TAT		Observations/Comments	\overline{X} Allow water samples to settle, collect	aliquot from clear portion	X NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica gel cleanup		run samples standardized to	broduct	 Analyze for EPH if no specific product identified 	VOC/BLEX/VPH (soil):	preserved w/methanol	preserved w/sodium bisulfate	Freeze upon receipt	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered	Other		ent Course	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date Time	entative Rev 8/09
	Record	Testing Parameters																				Method of Shipment	hed by		Te		Time	PINK COPY - Client Representative
XB44	Chain-of-Custody Record	Project No 1150 35 100 104	i	40			No. of Containers	K	X X			X -											Relinquished by	No Ko	Printed Name	Company	Time / 500 Date	YELLOW COPY - Laboratory
778-0907 7		4	port.	C W S	Ischer	Send Results To Anne Halvansen, Bill Evens, Jave Discher-	Date Time Matrix	3 1200	8/14/13 iz/5	8/14/13 1157	7	1105 1121 51/9/18										Dnice	Received by	Signature RIC	Printed Name ΔR	Company ///	Date 0//6/12	WHITE COPY - Project File
LANDAU		Project Name Koiser T	Project I costion/Event Kaiser		Project Contact DCAVE P	Send Results To Anne halve	Sample I.D.	PMLF - 8-201308 14	PMCF-9-20130844	PMLE-10-LO130816	DMLF-11-20130816	RMLH-12-2013,0310										Special Shipment/Handling or Storage Requirements 01	Relinguished by	Signature Signature Mott	Printed Name ASCO is 1,40)		Date W/W/13 Time 1200	

1

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form
ARI Client Landau COC No(s)	Project Name KAISEY IA Delivered by Fed-Ex UPS Courier Hand Delivered Other: Tracking No:NA
Preliminary Examination Phase:	
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to t	he outside of to cooler? YES (NO)
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	YES NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)	
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2 0-6.0 °C for chem	istry)
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form 00070F	8/11/12 Temp Gun ID# 1224/2224
Cooler Accepted by	_Dater
Complete custody forms a	nd attach all shipping documents
Log-In Phase:	

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	(NO)
What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block P	aper Ot	her:	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	(ES)	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		(TES)	NAN
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		(YES)	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		(ES)	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		(TE)	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		E)	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?			NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs).	NA	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	NA)	YEŞ	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?	\mathcal{L}	(Ess	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	NA		
Was Sample Split by ARI (NA) YES Date/Time		Split by:	
Samples Logged by: Date: Date:	505		

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

Sample ID on I	Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC				
		·····						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Additional Notes, D	iscrepancies, & R	esolutions:						
,	,							
D	5.4							
By'	Date		<u> </u>					
Smalt Air Bubbles 2mm	Peabubbles' 2-4 mm	LARGE AIr Bubbles	Small → "sm"					
		> 4 m/m	Peabubbles → "pb"					
* *	*•* *		Large → "lg"					
		- L	Headspace \rightarrow "hs"					

_

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XB44 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	RMLF-8-20130814 RMLF-9-20130814 RMLF-10-20130816 RMLF-11-20130816 RMLF-12-20130816	XB44A XB44B XB44C XB44D XB44D XB44E	13-17183 13-17184 13-17185 13-17186 13-17187	Soil Soil Soil	08/14/13 12:00 08/14/13 12:15 08/16/13 11:57 08/16/13 12:04 08/16/13 12:11	08/16/13 13:00 08/16/13 13:00 08/16/13 13:00 08/16/13 13:00 08/16/13 13:00 08/16/13 13:00
Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB44A LIMS ID: 13-17183 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/17/13 Date Analyzed: 08/19/13 12:50 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-8-20130814 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/14/13 Date Received: 08/16/13

Sample Amount: 8.10 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 33.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	62	< 62 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	62	< 62 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	62	< 62 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	62	< 62 U
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	62	< 62 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	62	< 62 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	80.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	76.4%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB44B LIMS ID: 13-17184 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/19/13

Date Extracted: 08/17/13 Date Analyzed: 08/19/13 13:24 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

INCORPORA Sample ID: RMLF-9-20130814 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/14/13 Date Received: 08/16/13

Sample Amount: 7.90 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 34.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	63	< 63 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	63	< 63 U
50-32 - 8	Benzo(a)pyrene	63	< 63 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	63	< 63 U
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	63	< 63 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	63	< 63 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	80.8%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	74.0%



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB44C LIMS ID: 13-17185 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/19/13

Date Extracted: 08/17/13 Date Analyzed: 08/19/13 13:58 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-10-20130816 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/16/13 Date Received: 08/16/13

Sample Amount: 7.56 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 37.5%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	80.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	81.6%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB44D LIMS ID: 13-17186 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/17/13 Date Analyzed: 08/19/13 14:33 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-11-20130816 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/16/13 Date Received: 08/16/13

Sample Amount: 8.03 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 38.9%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	62	< 62 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	62	< 62 U
50 - 32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	62	< 62 U
193-39 - 5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	62	< 62 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	62	< 62 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	62	< 62 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	77.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	77.6%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB44E LIMS ID: 13-17187 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/19/13

Date Extracted: 08/17/13 Date Analyzed: 08/19/13 15:07 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-12-20130816 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/16/13 Date Received: 08/16/13

Sample Amount: 7.99 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 39.0%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	63	< 63 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	63	< 63 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	63	< 63 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	63	< 63 U
53-70 - 3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	63	< 63 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	63	< 63 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	74.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	80.8%



Lab Sample ID: MB-081713 LIMS ID: 13-17183 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/19/13

Date Extracted: 08/17/13 Date Analyzed: 08/19/13 11:08 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No



Sample ID: MB-081713 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	67	< 67 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	88.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	69.6%



Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
MB-081713	00 00		0
LCS-081713	88.0% 86.0%	69.6% 70.8%	0
LCSD-081713	89.2%	70.8%	Ő
RMLF-8-20130814	80.4%	76.4%	0
RMLF-9-20130814	80.8%	74.0%	0
RMLF-10-20130816	80.0%	81.6%	0
RMLF-11-20130816 RMLF-12-20130816	77.2% 74.4%	77.6응 80.8응	0 0

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(TER) = d14-p-Terphenyl	(30 - 160)	(30 - 160)
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30 - 160)	(30 - 160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17183 to 13-17187



Lab Sample ID: LCS-081713 LIMS ID: 13-17183 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/19/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/17/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/19/13 11:42 LCSD: 08/19/13 12:16 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

Sample ID: LCS-081713 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 08/16/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a)anthracene	1340	1670	80.2%	1460	1670	87.4%	8.6%
Chrysene	1330	1670	79.6%	1440	1670	86.2%	7.98
Benzo(a)pyrene	1340	1670	80.2%	1430	1670	85.6%	6.5%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1350	1670	80.8%	1470	1670	88.0%	8.5%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1380	1670	82.6%	1500	1670	89.8%	8.3%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	2910	3330	87.4%	3170	3330	95.2%	8.6%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	86.0%	89.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	70.8%	70.8%

Results reported in µg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.





ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Date Received: 08/16/13

Matrix: Soil

Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/19/13

Extraction Analysis EFV ARI ID Sample ID Date DL Date Range/Surrogate LOQ Result MB-081713 Method Blank 08/17/13 08/19/13 1.00 Diesel Range 5.0 < 5.0 U 13-17183 HC ID: ---FID9 1.0 Motor Oil Range 10 < 10 U o-Terphenyl 94.2% XB44A RMLF-8-20130814 08/17/13 08/19/13 1.00 Diesel Range 7.4 18 13-17183 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR OIL FID9 1.0 Motor Oil Range 15 68 o-Terphenyl 79.7% RMLF-9-20130814 7.4 XB44B 08/17/13 08/19/13 1.00 Diesel Range 29 13-17184 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR OIL FID9 1.0 Motor Oil Range 15 92 o-Terphenyl 78.2% RMLF-10-20130816 XB44C 08/17/13 08/19/13 1.00 Diesel Range 7.9 38 13-17185 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR OIL FID9 1.0 Motor Oil Range 98 16 78.4% o-Terphenyl XB44D RMLF-11-20130816 08/17/13 08/19/13 1.00 Diesel Range 8.0 26 13-17186 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR OIL FID9 1.0 Motor Oil Range 16 130 79.8% o-Terphenyl XB44E RMLF-12-20130816 08/17/13 08/19/13 1.00 Diesel Range 8.1 57 13-17187 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR OIL FID9 1.0 Motor Oil Range 140 16 o-Terphenyl 72.9%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. LOQ-Limit of Quantitation

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicates results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
081713MBS	94.2%	0
081713LCS	93.88	0
081713LCSD	93.7%	0
RMLF-8-20130814	79.7%	0
RMLF-9-20130814	78.2%	0
RMLF-10-20130816	78.4%	0
RMLF-11-20130816	79.8%	0
RMLF-12-20130816	72.9%	0

LCS/MB	LIMITS	QC	LIMITS

(OTER) = o-Terphenyl

(50-150) (50-150)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17183 to 13-17187

.



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-081713 LIMS ID: 13-17183 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/19/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/17/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/19/13 13:01 LCSD: 08/19/13 12:38 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID9/JLW LCSD: FID9/JLW Sample ID: LCS-081713 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XB44-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount LCS: 10.0 g-dry-wt LCSD: 10.0 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 1.0 mL LCSD: 1.0 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	138	150	92.0%	139	150	92.7%	0.7%

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	93.8%	93.7%

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



· TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

		ARI Job:	XB44
Matrix: Soil		Project:	Kaiser IA
Date Received:	08/16/13	-	118033.100.104

		Client	Final	- ·	Prep
ARI ID	Client ID	Amt	Vol	Basis	Date
13-17183-081713MB1	Method Blank	10.0 g	1.00 mL	_	08/17/13
13-17183-081713LCS1	Lab Control	10.0 g	1.00 mL		08/17/13
13-17183-081713LCSD1	Lab Control Dup	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	08/17/13
13-17183 - XB44A	RMLF-8-20130814	6.72 g	1.00 mL	D	08/17/13
13-17184 - XB44B	RMLF-9-20130814	6.71 g	1.00 mL	D	08/17/13
13-17185-XB44C	RMLF-10-20130816	6.30 g	1.00 mL	D	08/17/13
13-17186-XB44D	RMLF-11-20130816	6.24 g	1.00 mL	D	08/17/13
13-17187-XB44E	RMLF-12-20130816	6.15 g	1.00 mL	D	08/17/13



August 23, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XB85

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted six soil samples on August 21, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem

Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

10F17

Date 5/21/13 Pageof	ers Turnaround Time	Standard	Accelerated R.F. Dey JAT		Observations/Comments	X Allow water samples to settle, collect	aliquot from clear portion	X. NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica gel cleanup		run samples standardized to	product	Analyze for EPH if no specific	product identified	VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll):	non-preserved	Freeze upon receipt	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered	Other * RMLF - 16 - 20130821	NV I	hard to read.	Method of Shipment	eceived by	ķ	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date Time	Presentative Rev 8/09
ecord	Testing Parameters																					-					Time	PINK COPY - Client Representative
hain-of-Custody Record			AC 20	HO. H	Red A			X	X	\times	X		-									Relinquished by		Signature	Printed Name	Company	イン Date	YELLOW COPY - Laboratory
Chain-of-	דועי נייז צבטאון האדייש	201		t to the second se	Matrix Containers /		Soil I	50111	Soil 1	Soil	50:1 1 >	•										y log		ich Mulbur	ARI		2/112 Time 142	
 Seattle/Edmonds (425) 778-0907 Tacoma (253) 926-2493 Spokane (509) 327-9737 Portland (503) 542-1080 		Port	y 2500 /5) em	other Sierra Me	Date Time	> lc	8120/13/105	<u> 2/107/2</u>	S/22/3	8/21/13 1358	6/21/13 1354													Signature	Printed Name A R	Company	1429 Date UI	WHITE COPY - Project File
Cartele/Edmonds (425) X Tacoma (253) 926-2493 X Spokane (503) 327-973 Associates Portland (503) 542-1080	A the state of the	Project Name 101 20	Sampler's Name Brett Bory 4500	Project Contact Dave Pischer Sievre Mont	d Hesults Io AMAC ITC/Vov Samnle I D	RW F-13-20120820	RMLF-14-20130820	RMLF-15-20130820	PMLF-16-20130820	LF-17-20130821	RMLF-18-20130821										Special Shipment/Handling or Storage Requirements	1	un matt	Signature Sie we Mote	ed Name AMA & ASK D C) (4105		Date D/21/13 Time 1	
A			Sarr	Proj	Sen	RM	5		W D W	κ α	r V										Spe or S	Reli			Print	Com	Date	

X885:00002

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form
ARI Client: Landau COC No(s) NA	Project Name: <u>haiser</u> Delivered by: Fed-Ex UPS Courier Pland Delivered Other
Assigned ARI Job No	Tracking No (NA ¹)
Preliminary Examination Phase:	
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the	ne outside of to cooler? YES NO
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	·····
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)	YES NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2 0-6 0 °C for chemi	stry) 44
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form 00070F	0 / Temp Gun ID# 122412224
Cooler Accepted by	Date Time
Complete custody forms an	d attach all shipping documents
Log-In Phase:	

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler? Bubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block	Paper C	YES	NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	(YES)	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		YES	(NO)
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		ES	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		(YES)	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		(ES)	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		(FES)	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		VES	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs).	(NA)	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	(NA)	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?		(YES)	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	NA		
Was Sample Split by ARI (NA, YES Date/Time Equipment:		Split by:	
Samples Logged by: Date Date Time:	514	/	

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

Sample ID on I	Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
Additional Notes, D	iscrepancies, & F	esolutions:		
By:	Date [.]			
Small Air Bubbles	Peabubbles' 2-4 mm	LARGE Air Bubbles	Small → "sm"	
		> 4 m/m	Peabubbles → "pb"	
AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER ADDRE	~ • * *		Large \rightarrow "lg"	
	CHARGE AND	······································	Headspace → "hs"	

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XB85 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

S	ample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
2. R	MLF-13-20130820 MLF-14-20130820	XB85A XB85B	13-17422 13-17423	Soil	08/20/13 10:57 08/20/13 11:05	08/21/13 14:25 08/21/13 14:25
4. R 5. R	MLF-15-20130820 MLF-16-20130821 MLF-17-20130821 MLF-18-20130821	XB85C XB85D XB85E XB85F	13-17424 13-17425 13-17426 13-17427	Soil Soil	08/20/13 11:09 08/21/13 14:02 08/21/13 13:58 08/21/13 13:54	08/21/13 14:25 08/21/13 14:25 08/21/13 14:25 08/21/13 14:25

Printed 08/21/13 Page 1 of 1

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB85A LIMS ID: 13-17422 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/22/13 Date Analyzed: 08/22/13 18:14 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-13-20130820 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/20/13 Date Received: 08/21/13

Sample Amount: 8.02 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 38.8%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	62	< 62 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	62	150
50 - 32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	62	< 62 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	62	< 62 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	62	< 62 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	62	160

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	55.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	64.4%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB85B LIMS ID: 13-17423 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/23/13

Date Extracted: 08/22/13 Date Analyzed: 08/22/13 18:48 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-14-20130820 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/20/13 Date Received: 08/21/13

Sample Amount: 7.83 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 40.1%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	64	< 64 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	64	< 64 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	64	< 64 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	64	< 64 U
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	64	< 64 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	64	< 64 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	64.8%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	68.4%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB85C LIMS ID: 13-17424 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/23/13

Date Extracted: 08/22/13 Date Analyzed: 08/22/13 19:22 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-15-20130820 SAMPLE QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc.

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES

Date Received: 08/21/13

Sample Amount: 7.94 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 35.5%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	63	72
218-01-9	Chrysene	63	110
50-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	63	74
193 - 39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	63	< 63 U
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	63	< 63 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	63	140

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	51.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	62.8%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB85D LIMS ID: 13-17425 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/22/13 Date Analyzed: 08/22/13 19:56 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES V INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-16-20130821 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/21/13 Date Received: 08/21/13

Sample Amount: 7.90 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 39.4%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	63	< 63 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	63	< 63 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	63	< 63 t
193 - 39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	63	< 63 t
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	63	< 63 t
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	63	< 63 t

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	57.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	62.4%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB85E LIMS ID: 13-17426 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/23/13

Date Extracted: 08/22/13 Date Analyzed: 08/22/13 20:30 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-17-20130821 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/21/13 Date Received: 08/21/13

Sample Amount: 8.17 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 38.1%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	61	< 61 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	61	< 61 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	61	< 61 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	61	< 61 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	61	< 61 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	61	< 61 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	45.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	55.6%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XB85F LIMS ID: 13-17427 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/22/13 Date Analyzed: 08/22/13 21:04 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-18-20130821 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/21/13 Date Received: 08/21/13

Sample Amount: 7.59 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 37.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL.	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U
50 - 32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	76

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	48.8%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	57.6%



Lab Sample ID: MB-082213 LIMS ID: 13-17422 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/23/13

Date Extracted: 08/22/13 Date Analyzed: 08/22/13 16:32 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No



Sample ID: MB-082213 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U
50-32 - 8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	67	< 67 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	83.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	68.0%



SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
ND 000010			
MB-082213	83.6%	68.0%	0
LCS-082213	80.4%	68.0%	0
LCSD-082213	73.6%	62.8%	0
RMLF-13-20130820	55.2%	64.4%	0
RMLF-14-20130820	64.8%	68.4%	0
RMLF-15-20130820	51.6%	62.8%	0
RMLF-16-20130821	57.2%	62.4%	0
RMLF-17-20130821	45.6%	55.6%	0
RMLF-18-20130821	48.8%	57.6%	0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS
(TER) = d14-p-Terphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17422 to 13-17427

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-082213 LIMS ID: 13-17422 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/23/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/22/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/22/13 17:06 LCSD: 08/22/13 17:40 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

Sample ID: LCS-082213 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 08/21/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a)anthracene	1260	1670	75.4%	1170	1670	70.1%	7.4%
Chrysene	1270	1670	76.0%	1210	1670	72.5%	4.8%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1270	1670	76.0%	1150	1670	68.9%	9.98
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1390	1670	83.2%	1310	1670	78.4%	5.9%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1410	1670	84.4%	1340	1670	80.2%	5.1%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	2700	3330	81.1%	2590	3330	77.8%	4.2%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	80.4%	73.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	68.0%	62.8%

Results reported in µg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.





ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS NWTPHD by GC/FID Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Matrix: Soil

Date Received: 08/21/13

Data Release Authorized: WWW Reported: 08/23/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	EFV DL	Range/Surrogate	LOQ	Result
MB-082213 13-17422	Method Blank HC ID:	08/22/13	08/22/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 97.6%
XB85A 13-17422	RMLF-13-20130820 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTO	08/22/13 DR OIL	08/22/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	8.0 16	65 130 74.5%
XB85B 13-17423	RMLF-14-20130820 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTC	08/22/13 DR OIL	08/22/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	8.2 16	53 110 77.7%
XB85C 13-17424	RMLF-15-20130820 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTC	08/22/13 DR OIL	08/22/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.6 15	36 98 78.8%
XB85D 13-17425	RMLF-16-20130821 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTO	08/22/13 DR OIL	08/22/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	8.2 16	33 110 77.8%
XB85E 13-17426	RMLF-17-20130821 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTC	08/22/13 DR OIL	08/22/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	8.0 16	46 130 80.6%
XB85F 13-17427	RMLF-18-20130821 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTO	08/22/13 DR OIL	08/22/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.9 16	57 150 76.6%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. LOQ-Limit of Quantitation

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicates results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

(OTER)

QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
082213MBS	97.6%	0
082213LCS	94.5%	0
082213LCSD	96.78	0
RMLF-13-20130820	74.5%	0
RMLF-14-20130820	77.78	0
RMLF-15-20130820	78.8%	0
RMLF-16-20130821	77.8%	0
RMLF-17-20130821	80.6%	0
RMLF-18-20130821	76.6%	0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS
= o-Terphenyl	(50-150)	(50-150)
	Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17422 to	13-17427



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: LCS-082213 LCS/LCSD

Lab Sample ID: LCS-082213 LIMS ID: 13-17422 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: MAA Reported: 08/23/13 QC Report No: XB85-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/22/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/22/13 16:10 LCSD: 08/22/13 16:33 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID9/JLW LCSD: FID9/JLW

Sample	Amount LCS:	10.0 g-dry-wt
	LCSD:	10.0 g-dry-wt
Final Extract	Volume LCS:	1.0 mL
	LCSD:	1.0 mL
Dilution	Factor LCS:	1.00
	LCSD:	1.00

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	137	150	91.3%	135	150	90.0%	1.5%

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	94.5%	96.7%

Results reported in mg/kg

RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

		ARI Job:	XB85
Matrix: Soil		Project:	Kaiser IA
Date Received:	08/21/13	_	118033.100.104

ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date
13-17422-082213MB1	Method Blank	10.0 g	1.00 mL	_	08/22/13
13-17422-082213LCS1	Lab Control	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	08/22/13
13-17422-082213LCSD1	Lab Control Dup	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	08/22/13
13-17422-XB85A	RMLF-13-20130820	6.28 g	1.00 mL	D	08/22/13
13-17423-XB85B	RMLF-14-20130820	6.08 g	1.00 mL	D	08/22/13
13-17424-XB85C	RMLF-15-20130820	6.59 g	1.00 mL	D	08/22/13
13-17425-XB85D	RMLF-16-20130821	6.11 g	1.00 mL	D	08/22/13
13-17426-XB85E	RMLF-17-20130821	6.27 g	1.00 mL	D	08/22/13
13-17427-XB85F	RMLF-18-20130821	6.35 g	1.00 mL	D	08/22/13



August 26, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XC06

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted ten soil samples on August 22, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form. Select samples have been placed on hold pending further instructions.

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

4611 South 134th Place, Suite 100 • Tukwila WA 98168 • 206-695-6200 • 206-695-6201 fax

1 OF



Rev 8/09

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form
ARI ClientAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	Project Name' KAISLY DA Delivered by: Fed-Ex UPS Courier Hand Delivered Other Tracking No:
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the	e outside of to cooler? YES
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)	
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fille for temperature out of compliance fille for the form 00070F	8/22/12 Temp Gun ID#_ 122412224
Complete custody forms and	l attach all shipping documents

Log-In Phase:

We a temperature black included in the easier?			\frown
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	(NO)
What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Wrap Wet Ide Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block	< Paper C)ther:	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	YES	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		XE3	NO
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		E9	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		ĒŠ	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?			NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		(E)	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		KE8	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs)	(ÑA)	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	(NA)	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?	\bigcirc	ES	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI.	(NA)		
Was Sample Split by ARI : (NA) YES Date/Time: Equipment:		Split by:	
Samples Logged by: AV Date 8/22/13 Time /	705		
	192		
** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **			

Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	
•				
Additional Notes, Discrepanci	es & Resolutions			
,,,,,,,, .				
By [.] Da	ate:			
Small Air Bubbles Peabubl 2mm 2-4 m	I want the second from the second sec	Small → "sm"		
	m > 4 mm	Peabubbles \rightarrow "pb"		
		Large → "lg"		
Ziopeningu estatuate same		Headspace \rightarrow "hs"		

Revision 014



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Cooler#:	ooler#: Temperature(°C):_ <u>ℬ, ֎</u> ample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type		
Allso	apples apone 6°Ce				
Find					
tectiveci	WONE 6Ce				
Cooler#:	Tempe	rature(°C):			
Sample ID		rature(°C): Bottle Count	Bottle Type		
Cooler#:	Tempe	rature(°C):			
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type		
Cooler#:	Тетре	rature(°C):			
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type		
	······································				
	······································				
Completed by:	A		8/22/13Time:735		
Completed by.	<i>T</i> }		e: 8/22/13Time:1735		

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XC06 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

		ARI	ARI			
	Sample ID	Lab ID	LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VISR
1.	RMLF-SP1-082213	XC06A	13-17540	Soil	08/22/13 10:32	08/22/13 12:45
2.	RMLF-SP3-082213	XC06B	13-17541	Soil	08/22/13 10:45	08/22/13 12:45
3.	RMLF-SP5-082213	XC06C	13-17542	Soil	08/22/13 11:03	08/22/13 12:45
4.	RMLF-SP7-082213	XC06D	13-17543	Soil	08/22/13 11 : 19	08/22/13 12:45
5.	RMLF-SP9-082213	XC06E	13-17544	Soil	08/22/13 11:35	08/22/13 12:45
6.	RMLF-SP2-082213	XC06F	13-17545	Soil	08/22/13 10:39	08/22/13 12:45
7.	RMLF-SP4-082213	XC06G	13-17546	Soil	08/22/13 10:53	08/22/13 12:45
8.	RMLF-SP6-082213	XC06H	13-17547	Soil	08/22/13 11:10	08/22/13 12:45
9.	RMLF-SP8-082213	XC061	13-17548	Soil	08/22/13 11:27	08/22/13 12:45
10.	RMLF-SP10-082213	XC06J	13-17549	Soil	08/22/13 11:42	08/22/13 12:45

Printed 08/22/13 Page 1 of 1

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC06A LIMS ID: 13-17540 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: A Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 01:53 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-SP1-082213 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.86 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 2.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	64	890
218-01-9	Chrysene	64	1,300
50-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	64	910
193-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	64	600
53-70-3	Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	64	300
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	64	1,700

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	67.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	63.6%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC06B LIMS ID: 13-17541 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 02:27 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-SP3-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.72 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 3.6%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	65	4,800
218-01-9	Chrysene	65	5,400 E
50-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	65	4,400
193-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	65	2,400
53-70-3	Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	65	1,300
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	65	6,600

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	73.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	68.4%
Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC06B LIMS ID: 13-17541 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 12:18 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES V INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-SP3-082213 DILUTION

ANALYTICAL

Sample Amount: 7.72 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 3.00 Percent Moisture: 3.6%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	190	5,100
218-01-9	Chrysene	190	6,200
50-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	190	5,100
193-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	190	2,600
53-70-3	Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	190	1,300
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	190	7,900

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	74.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	66.6%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC06C LIMS ID: 13-17542 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 10:36 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES V INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-SP5-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.74 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 3.7%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	65	 < 65 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	65	160
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	65	< 65 U
193 - 39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	65	< 65 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	65	< 65 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	65	130

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	71.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	60.4%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC06D LIMS ID: 13-17543 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 11:10 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: RMLF-SP7-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES

INCORPORATED

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.80 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 2.7%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	64	1,100
218-01-9	Chrysene	64	1,700
50-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	64	1,200
193-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	64	810
53-70-3	Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	64	400
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	64	2,200

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	76.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	62.8%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC06E LIMS ID: 13-17544 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 11:44 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-SP9-082213 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.85 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 2.5%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo (a) anthracene	64	530
218-01-9	Chrysene	64	1,200
50-32-8	Benzo (a) pyrene	64	600
193-39-5	Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	64	330
53-70-3	Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	64	150
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	64	1,200

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	74.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	64.0%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: MB-082313 LIMS ID: 13-17540 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/23/13 20:47 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No



Sample ID: MB-082313 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U
193-39 - 5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	67	< 67 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	87.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	66.8%



SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
MB-082313	87.6%	66.8%	0
LCS-082313 LCSD-082313	82.48 84.48	62.8% 66.0%	0
RMLF-SP1-082213 RMLF-SP3-082213 RMLF-SP3-082213 DL	67.28 73.28	63.6% 68.4%	0
RMLF-SP5-082213 DL RMLF-SP5-082213 RMLF-SP7-082213	74.6% 71.6%	66.6% 60.4%	0
RMLF-SP9-082213 RMLF-SP9-082213	76.4% 74.4%	62.8% 64.0%	0 0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS
(TER) = d14-p-Terphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17540 to 13-17544

-



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-082313 LIMS ID: 13-17540 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/23/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/23/13 21:21 LCSD: 08/23/13 21:55 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

Sample ID: LCS-082313 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a)anthracene	1350	1670	80.8%	1380	1670	82.6%	2.28
Chrysene	1330	1670	79.6%	1370	1670	82.0%	3.0%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1330	1670	79.6%	1370	1670	82.0%	3.0%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1340	1670	80.2%	1370	1670	82.0%	2.28
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1350	1670	80.8%	1350	1670	80.8%	0.0%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	2800	3330	84.1%	2890	3330	86.8%	3.2%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	82.4%	84.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	62.8%	66.0%

Results reported in µg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	EFV DF	Range/Surrogate	RL	Result
MB-082313 13-17540	Method Blank HC ID:	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 94.5%
XC06A 13-17540	RMLF-SP1-082213 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR	08/23/13 . OIL	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	150 360 54.9%
ХСО6В 13-17541	RMLF-SP3-082213 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR	08/23/13 OIL	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.2 10	31 41 79.0%
XC06C 13-17542	RMLF-SP5-082213 HC ID:	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.1 10	< 5.1 U < 10 U 85.0%
XC06D 13-17543	RMLF-SP7-082213 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR	08/23/13 OIL	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.1 10	19 23 77.3%
XC06E 13-17544	RMLF-SP9-082213 HC ID: DIESEL	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	9.9 < 10 U 80.6%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. RL-Reporting limit.

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicate results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: LCS-082313 LCS/LCSD

Lab Sample ID: LCS-082313 LIMS ID: 13-17540 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/23/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/23/13 16:31 LCSD: 08/23/13 16:56 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID/JLW LCSD: FID/JLW QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount LCS: 10.0 g LCSD: 10.0 g Final Extract Volume LCS: 1.0 mL LCSD: 1.0 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.0 LCSD: 1.0

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	129	150	86.0%	122	150	81.3%	5.6%

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	1.00	TOOD
	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	93.8%	84.6%

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



CLEANED TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XC06-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
MB-082313	94.5%	0
LCS-082313	93.8%	0
LCSD-082313	84.6%	0
RMLF-SP1-082213	54.9%	0
RMLF-SP3-082213	79.0%	0
RMLF-SP5-082213	85.0%	0
RMLF-SP7-082213	77.3%	0
RMLF-SP9-082213	80.6%	0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS
(OTER) = o-Terphenyl	(50-150)	(50-150)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17540 to 13-17544



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

		ARI Job:	XC06
Matrix: Soil		Project:	Kaiser IA
Date Received:	08/22/13		118033.100.104

ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date
13 - 17540-082313MB1	Method Blank	10.0 g	1.00 mI	. –	08/23/13
13-17540-082313LCS1	Lab Control	$10.0 \tilde{q}$	1.00 mI	. –	08/23/13
13-17540-082313LCSD1	Lab Control Dup	10.0 g	1.00 mI		08/23/13
13-17540-XC06A	RMLF-SP1-082213	10.0 q	1.00 mL	D	08/23/13
13-17541 - XC06B	RMLF-SP3-082213	9.69 q	1.00 mI	D	08/23/13
13-17542-XC06C	RMLF-SP5-082213	9.84 q	1.00 mI	D	08/23/13
13-17543-XC06D	RMLF-SP7-082213	9.81 g	1.00 mL	D	08/23/13
13-17544 - XC06E	RMLF-SP9-082213	9.92 g	1.00 mI	D	08/23/13



August 26, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XC07

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted six soil samples on August 22, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form.

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem

Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

1 OF 18

Date 8-22-13 Page 1 of 1	Tun	Accelerated X 1-2 day THT		Observations/Comments	X Allow water samples to settle, collect aliquot from clear portion	X. NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica gel cleanup		run samples standardized to			preserved w/methanol preserved w/sodium bisulfate	Freeze upon receipt	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered	Other		Method of Courier	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date Time	esentative Rev 8/09
r Record	Testing Parameters															Meth	Relinquished by		ame		Time	PINK COPY - Client Representative
Xru子 Chain-of-Custody Record	100	acoma acoma	Dave Risher A S	Containers	× × × × 	× ×	\ \ \ \ \ \										Relinqu	Nu.Lon Signature	Printed Name	Company	Time 1245 Date	YELLOW COPY - Laboratory
778-0907	TA	AR UN UN	Pischer Simme M Ratursen Bill evans D	-	8-22-13 0810 Soil 8-22-13 0215 Soil		B- 2-13 0830 501	K-2110645 501	+ $+$, , , ,	Received by	Signature 71.4	Printed Name	Company	Date	WHITE COPY - Project File
□ Seattle/Edmonds (425) ★Tacoma (253) 926-2493 ★Tacoma (253) 926-2493 ■ LANDAU ■ SSOCLATES □ Portland (503) 542-1080	Project Name Kais er	Project Location/Event Musc	Project Contact Dave Pis Send Results To Anne Halv	- F	RMLF-EWE-082213 RMLF-EWT-082213	RMLF- NWT -082213	F-NWB	RMLF-WW1-082213								Special Shipment/Handling On or Storage Requirements	Relinewished by	Signature And Con	ame		Date & -2 2 - 13 Time 1245	

Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Looier Kecelpt Form
COC No(s) (A)	Project Name Kalle DD Delivered by Fed-Ex Ups Courier Hand Delivered Other Tracking No (NA)
Preliminary Examination Phase: Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the out	
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)	C NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6 0 °C for chemistry) If cooler temperature is out of compliance filled term 00070F	
Cooler Accepted byDate	B/22/13 Time: 12412224
Complete custody forms and at	tach all shipping documents

Log-In Phase:

•			
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler? Bubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block #	Paper (YES	NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	YES	NØ
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		YES	NO
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		YES	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?			NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		(TE3)	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		(Es	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		(E)S	NO
	NA	YES	
	NA)	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?	Ű	(FES)	NO
Date VOC Trip Plankwas made at API		E	NO
Was Sample Split by ARI (NA) YES Date/Time Equipment		Split by:	·····
Samples Logged by: AVDate:Date:	<u>'35</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Somela ID - O C C
			Sample ID on COC
Additional Notes, Discrepancie	Resolutions;		
Additional Notes, Discrepancie	s, a resolutions.		
By Dat	h		
Small Air Bubbles Peabubbl 2mm 2-4 mm	I would have have been been by	Small \rightarrow "sm"	
	>4 mm	Peabubbles -> "pb"	
		Large → "ig"	
		Headspace → "hs"	

Revision 014



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Cooler# Temperature(*O): 2:2 All Somple ID Bottle Count All Somple ID Bottle Count Cooler#: Temperature(*O): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Image: Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	Cooler#:	Tempe	rature(°C): <u></u>	2
Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type			Bottle Count	Bottle Type
Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	All Se	amples		
Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature("C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	commed	anne 1ºC		
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	Technich			
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	······································	······································		
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Cooler#: Temperature(°C): Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type Bottle Count Bottle Type Bottle Count Bottle Type	Cooler#:	Tempe	rature(°C):	
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	Sample ID	·····	Bottle Count	Bottle Type
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Cooler#:Temperature(°C):Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type		Tempe	rature(°C):	
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type	·····			
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
Sample ID Bottle Count Bottle Type				
		Temper	rature(°C):	
Completed by:A/Date: 8/22/13Time:1735			Bottle Count	Bottle Type
Completed by Date: 8/22/13 Time: 1735	·			
Completed by:				
Completed by Date: 8/22/13 Time: 1735				
Completed by:				
Completed by:				
Completed by Date: 8/22/13 Time: 1735				
Completed by Date: 8/22/13 Time: 1735				
Completed by Date: 8/22/13 Time: 1735				
Completed by Date: 8/22/13 Time: 735				
Date: 2122113 Time: 1735	<u></u>	<u>A</u>		
	completed by	<i>T</i> Ţ	✓Date	: <u>2/d/2/15</u> Time: <u>1735</u>

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XC07 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	RMLF-EWB-082213	XC07A	13-17534	Soil	08/22/13 08:10	08/22/13 12:45
2.	RMLF-EWT-082213	XC07B	13-17535	Soil	08/22/13 08:15	08/22/13 12:45
3.	RMLF-NWT-082213	XC07C	13-17536	Soil	08/22/13 08:25	08/22/13 12:45
4.	RMLF-NWB-082213	XC07D	13-17537	Soil	08/22/13 08:30	08/22/13 12:45
5.	RMLF-WWT-082213	XC07E	13-17538	Soil	08/22/13 08:40	08/22/13 12:45
6.	RMLF-WWB-082213	XC07F	13-17539	Soil	08/22/13 08:45	08/22/13 12:45

Printed 08/22/13 Page 1 of 1



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	efv Df	Range/Surrogate	RL	Result
MB-082313 13-17534	Method Blank HC ID:	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 94.5%
XC07A 13-17534	RMLF-EWB-082213 HC ID: DIESEL	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	6.5 13	11 < 13 U 82.0%
XC07B 13-17535	RMLF-EWT-082213 HC ID:	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.3 11	< 5.3 U < 11 U 88.4%
XC07C 13-17536	RMLF-NWT-082213 HC ID: DIESEL/MOTOR	08/23/13 R OIL	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.1 10	140 390 57.4%
XC07D 13-17537	RMLF-NWB-082213 HC ID: DIESEL	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	6.4 13	11 < 13 U 81.8%
XC07E 13-17538	RMLF-WWT-082213 HC ID:	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.3 11	< 5.3 U < 11 U 87.7%
XC07F 13-17539	RMLF-WWB-082213 HC ID:	08/23/13	08/23/13 FID3B	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.1 10	< 5.1 U < 10 U 87.3%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. RL-Reporting limit.

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicate results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: LCS-082313 LCS/LCSD

Lab Sample ID: LCS-082313 LIMS ID: 13-17534 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/23/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/23/13 16:31 LCSD: 08/23/13 16:56 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID/JLW LCSD: FID/JLW QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount LCS: 10.0 g LCSD: 10.0 g Final Extract Volume LCS: 1.0 mL LCSD: 1.0 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.0 LCSD: 1.0

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	129	150	86.0%	122	150	81.3%	5.6%

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	93.8%	84.6%

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



CLEANED TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
MB-082313	94.5%	0
LCS-082313	93.8%	0
LCSD-082313	84.6%	0
RMLF-EWB-082213	82.0%	0
RMLF-EWT-082213	88.4%	0
RMLF-NWT-082213	57.4%	0
RMLF-NWB-082213	81.8%	0
RMLF-WWT-082213	87.7%	0
RMLF-WWB-082213	87.3%	0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS
(OTER) = o-Terphenyl	(50-150)	(50-150)
	Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17534 to	13-17539

XCØ7:00008



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

		ARI Job:	XC07
Matrix: Soil		Project:	Kaiser IA
Date Received:	08/22/13	-	118033.100.104

ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date
13-17534-082313MB1 13-17534-082313LCS1 13-17534-082313LCSD1 13-17534-XC07A 13-17535-XC07B 13-17536-XC07C 13-17537-XC07D	Method Blank Lab Control Lab Control Dup RMLF-EWB-082213 RMLF-EWT-082213 RMLF-NWT-082213 RMLF-NWB-082213	10.0 g 10.0 g 10.0 g 7.74 g 9.47 g 9.73 g 7.80 g	1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL	- D D D	08/23/13 08/23/13 08/23/13 08/23/13 08/23/13 08/23/13 08/23/13
13-17538-XC07E 13-17539-XC07F	RMLF-WWT-082213 RMLF-WWB-082213	9.36 g 9.77 g	1.00 mL 1.00 mL	_	08/23/13 08/23/13

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC07A LIMS ID: 13-17534 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/23/13 22:29 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-EWB-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.59 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 24.4%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	75.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	60.4%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC07B LIMS ID: 13-17535 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/23/13 23:03 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-EWT-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.59 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 5.8%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U	
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U	
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U	
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U	

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	76.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	61.2%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC07C LIMS ID: 13-17536 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/23/13 23:37 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-NWT-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.75 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 3.2%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	64	< 64 U	
218-01-9	Chrysene	64	< 64 U	
50 - 32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	64	< 64 U	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	64	< 64 U	
53 - 70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	64	< 64 U	
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	64	< 64 U	

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	66.8%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	65.6%

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC07D LIMS ID: 13-17537 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 00:11 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: RMLF-NWB-082213 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.71 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 23.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	65	< 65 U	
218-01-9	Chrysene	65	< 65 U	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	65	< 65 U	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	65	< 65 U	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	65	< 65 U	
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	65	< 65 U	

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	68.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	55.6%



ANALYTICAL

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC07E LIMS ID: 13-17538 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 00:45 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-WWT-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 8.31 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 7.8%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	60	< 60 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	60	< 60 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	60	< 60 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	60	< 60 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	60	< 60 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	60	< 60 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	71.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	57.2%



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XC07F LIMS ID: 13-17539 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/24/13 01:19 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

RESOURCES V INCORPORATED Sample ID: RMLF-WWB-082213 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 08/22/13 Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount: 7.64 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 5.0%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	65	< 65 U	
218-01-9	Chrysene	65	< 65 U	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	65	< 65 U	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	65	< 65 U	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	65	< 65 U	
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	65	< 65 U	

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	77.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	60.0%



Lab Sample ID: MB-082313 LIMS ID: 13-17534 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: M Reported: 08/26/13

Date Extracted: 08/23/13 Date Analyzed: 08/23/13 20:47 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes Alumina: No Silica Gel: No



Sample ID: MB-082313 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U	
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U	
193-39 - 5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U	
53-70 - 3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	67	< 67 U	
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U	

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

d14-p-Terphenyl	87.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	66.8%



SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
ND 000010	07 60	66.00	0
MB-082313	87.6%	66.8%	0
LCS-082313	82.4%	62.8%	0
LCSD-082313	84.48	66.0%	0
RMLF-EWB-082213	75.2%	60.4%	0
RMLF-EWT-082213	76.4%	61.2%	0
RMLF-NWT-082213	66.8%	65.6%	0
RMLF-NWB-082213	68.4%	55.6%	0
RMLF-WWT-082213	71.2%	57.2%	0
RMLF-WWB-082213	77.2%	60.0%	0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS
(TER) = d14-p-Terphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-17534 to 13-17539

FORM-II SW8270 PNA



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-082313 LIMS ID: 13-17534 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 08/23/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 08/23/13 21:21 LCSD: 08/23/13 21:55 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: Yes

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

1

Sample ID: LCS-082313 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XC07-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 08/22/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a)anthracene	1350	1670	80.8%	1380	1670	82.6%	2.28
Chrysene	1330	1670	79.6%	1370	1670	82.0%	3.0%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1330	1670	79.6%	1370	1670	82.0%	3.0%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1340	1670	80.2%	1370	1670	82.0%	2.28
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1350	1670	80.8%	1350	1670	80.8%	0.0%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	2800	3330	84.1%	2890	3330	86.8%	3.2%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	82.4%	84.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	62.8%	66.0%

Results reported in µg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

September 19, 2013

Jessica Stone Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XF45 I

Dear Jessica:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted seven soil samples on September 17, 2013 in good condition. Samples; RML-1-20130917, RML-13-20130917, BF-I-20130917, bf-2-20130917, and BF-3-20130917 were reported under XF45 I. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form.

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem

Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

Date $\frac{7/17/13}{100000000000000000000000000000000000$	Turn	Accelerated A 1-2 dr. 7AI For PML-1-2013 0917 and EML-13-20130917	Observations/Congress - 20130917	X Allow water samples to settle, collect aliquot from clear portion	X NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica gel cleanup	run samples standardized to	Analyze for EPH if no specific product identified	VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll): non-preserved preserved w/methanol	preserved w/sodium bisulfate	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered		Shipment Courter	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company Date Time	
y Record	Testing Parameters												Relinquished by	Ð	Name	ly Time	PINK COPY - Client Representative
25) 778-0907 433 7737 080 Chain-of-Custody Record	Project No. 118033 100. 104	Sierra Mo	Time Matrix Containers	1127 1202 1	13HD	1306 4 4 4 4							1 by	K. ch Hudre	ne AR I	Company Company	Dmiect File VFI I OW COPY - Lat
Seattle/Edmonds (425) 778-0907 Stacoma (253) 926-2493 LaNDAU Spokane (509) 327-9737 ASSOCIATES Portland (503) 542-1080	Project Name Keiser IA	DAK / DAW DAUL Pische Jessica Store	Results To Anne He Ivorzen , Sample I.D. Date	RML - 1 - 20130917 9/17/13 RML - 13 - 20130917 1	135-1 -2013 0917 BF 2- 2012 0017	ń						or Storage Requirements	Relinquished by	Signature // a Kemus	ame	Company Date 9 [11] 7 Time 1355	

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XF45 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.2.	RML-1-20130917 RML-13-20130917	XF45A XF45B	13-19664 13-19665		09/17/13 12:44 09/17/13 12:27	09/17/13 13:55 09/17/13 13:55
3.	BF-1-20130917	XF45C	13-19666	Soil	09/17/13 13:40	09/17/13 13:55
4. 5.	BF-2-20130917 BF-3-20130917	XF45D XF45E	13-19667 13-19668		09/17/13 12:50 09/17/13 13:06	09/17/13 13:55 09/17/13 13:55
6. 7.	SPL-21-20130917 SPL-22-20130917	XF45F XF45G	13-19669 13-19670		09/17/13 11:35 09/17/13 11:25	09/17/13 13:55 09/17/13 13:55

Printed 09/17/13 Page 1 of 1

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form						
ARI Client: Laudau	Project Name: Kaiser IA						
COC No(s):	Delivered by: Fed-Ex UPS Courier Hand Delivered Other: Tracking No: NA						
Preliminary Examination Phase:	·····						
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the	outside of to cooler? YES						
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	NO NO						
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)							
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chemistry							
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form-00070F	Temp Gun ID#: 122412224						
Cooler Accepted by:Da	1/7/13 1200						
Complete custody forms and attach all shipping documents							

Log-In Phase:

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	(NO)
What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block F	Paper C)ther:	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	YES	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		YES	NO
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		YES	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		YES	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		YES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		YES	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?	and a Yorke	YES	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs)	NA)	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	NA	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?	1	YES	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	NA		
Was Sample Split by ARI : (NA) YES Date/Time: Equipment:		Split by:	
Samples Logged by:	36)	

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

Sample ID on	Bottie	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC			
Additional Notes, I	Discrepancies, & R	esolutions:					
Ву:	Date:						
Small Air Bubbles	Peabubbles	LARGE Air Bubbles	Small → "sm" (<2 mm)				
~-2mm	2-4 mm	> 4 mm	Peabubbles → "pb" (2 to <4 mm)				
			Large \rightarrow "lg" (4 to <6 mm)				
Realized Concerning and Conce	L		Headspace → "hs" (>6 mm)				



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	EFV DF	Range/Surrogate	RL	Result
MB-091813 13-19664	Method Blank HC ID:	09/18/13	09/18/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 86.9%
XF45A 13-19664	RML-1-20130917 HC ID:	09/18/13	09/18/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.9 16	< 7.9 U < 16 U 64.7%
XF45B 13-19665	RML-13-20130917 HC ID: DIESEL	09/18/13	09/18/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	7.9 16	34 < 16 U 70.4%
XF45C 13 - 19666	BF-1-20130917 HC ID: DRO/MOTOR OIL	09/18/13	09/18/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.2 10	320 E 660 E 59.9%
XF45C DL 13-19666	BF-1-20130917 HC ID: DRO/MOTOR OIL	09/18/13	09/19/13 FID9	1.00 10	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	52 100	340 680 61.3%
XF45D 13-19667	BF-2-20130917 HC ID:	09/18/13	09/18/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.2 10	< 5.2 U < 10 U 90.1%
XF45E 13-19668	BF-3-20130917 HC ID:	09/18/13	09/18/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.3 11	< 5.3 U < 11 U 90.2%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. RL-Reporting limit.

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicate results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



CLEANED TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

(OTER)

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
MB-091813	86.9%	0
LCS-091813	86.7%	0
LCSD-091813	91.7%	0
RML-1-20130917	64.7%	0
RML-13-20130917	70.4%	0
BF-1-20130917	59.9%	0
BF-1-20130917 DL	61.3%	0
BF-2-20130917	90.1%	0
BF-3-20130917	90.2%	0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS	
= o-Terphenyl	(50-150)	(50-150)	
	Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-19664 to	13-19668	



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: LCS-091813 LCS/LCSD

Lab Sample ID: LCS-091813 LIMS ID: 13-19664 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13 QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/17/13 Date Received: 09/17/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 09/18/13 Date Analyzed LCS: 09/18/13 17:24

LCSD: 09/18/13 17:46 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID/JLW LCSD: FID/JLW

Sample	Amount LCS:	10.0 g
	LCSD:	10.0 g
Final Extract	Volume LCS:	1.0 mL
	LCSD:	1.0 mL
Dilution	Factor LCS:	1.0
	LCSD:	1.0

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	116	150	77.3%	124	150	82.7%	6.78

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	86.7%	91.7%

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.


TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

		ARI Job:	XF45
Matrix: Soil		Project:	Kaiser IA
Date Received:	09/17/13		118033.100.104

		Client	Final		Prep
ARI ID	Client ID	Amt	Vol	Basis	Date
13-19664-091813MB1	Method Blank	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	09/18/13
13-19664-091813LCS1	Lab Control	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	09/18/13
13-19664-091813LCSD1	Lab Control Dup	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	09/18/13
13-19664-XF45A	RML-1-20130917	6.31 g	1.00 mL	D	09/18/13
13-19665-XF45B	RML-13-20130917	6.31 g	1.00 mL	D	09/18/13
13-19666-XF45C	BF-1-20130917	9.54 g	1.00 mL	D	09/18/13
13-19667-XF45D	BF-2-20130917	9.63 g	1.00 mL	D	09/18/13
13-19668-XF45E	BF-3-20130917	9.47 g	1.00 mL	D	09/18/13

Lab Sample ID: XF45A LIMS ID: 13-19664 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13

Date Extracted: 09/18/13 Date Analyzed: 09/18/13 18:37 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: RML-1-20130917 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/17/13 Date Received: 09/17/13

Sample Amount: 7.55 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 37.5%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	69.68
2-Fluorobiphenyl	70.0%

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES

INCORPORATED

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Lab Sample ID: XF45B LIMS ID: 13-19665 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13

Date Extracted: 09/18/13 Date Analyzed: 09/18/13 19:11 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

SAMPLE

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/17/13 Date Received: 09/17/13

Sample Amount: 7.56 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 37.3%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	59.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	61.2%

PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS Page 1 of 1

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED Sample ID: RML-13-20130917

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

LIMS ID: 13-19666 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13

Date Extracted: 09/18/13 Date Analyzed: 09/18/13 19:45 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: BF-1-20130917 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/17/13 Date Received: 09/17/13

Sample Amount: 7.57 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 5.4%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	66	< 66 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	62.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	76.4%

Lab Sample ID: XF45C

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Lab Sample ID: XF45D LIMS ID: 13-19667 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13

Date Extracted: 09/18/13 Date Analyzed: 09/18/13 20:18 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: BF-2-20130917 SAMPLE

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES

INCORPORATED

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/17/13 Date Received: 09/17/13

Sample Amount: 7.69 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 4.0%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	65	< 65 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	65	< 65 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	65	< 65 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	65	< 65 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	65	< 65 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	65	< 65 U

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	69.6%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	64.8%

Page 1 of 1



ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XF45E LIMS ID: 13-19668 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13

Date Extracted: 09/18/13 Date Analyzed: 09/18/13 20:52 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: BF-3-20130917 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/17/13 Date Received: 09/17/13

Sample Amount: 7.57 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 5.7%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 t
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 t
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 t
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 t
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	66	< 66 t
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 t

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	72.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	68.4%

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: MB-091813 LIMS ID: 13-19664 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/19/13

Date Extracted: 09/18/13 Date Analyzed: 09/18/13 16:56 Instrument/Analyst: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: MB-091813 METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	67	< 67 U
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	80.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	65.6%



SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
MB-091813	80.4%	65.6%	0
LCS-091813	84.8%	67.2%	0
LCSD-091813	86.4%	66.0%	0
RML-1-20130917	69.6%	70.0%	0
RML-13-20130917	59.6%	61.2%	0
BF-1-20130917	62.0%	76.4%	0
BF-2-20130917	69.6%	64.8%	0
BF-3-20130917	72.4%	68.4%	0
SPL-21-20130917	70.0%	64.4%	0
SPL-22-20130917	69.6%	62.4%	0

	LCS/MB LIMITS	QC LIMITS	
(TER) 🖆 d14-p-Terphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)	
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	(30-160)	(30-160)	

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-19664 to 13-19670

Page 1 for XF45

FORM-II SW8270 PNA



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-091813 LIMS ID: 13-19664 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 09/18/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 09/18/13 17:30 LCSD: 09/18/13 18:03 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT6/JZ LCSD: NT6/JZ GPC Cleanup: No

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

Sample ID: LCS-091813 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XF45-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 09/17/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a) anthracene	1340	1670	80.2%	1380	1670	82.6%	2.9%
Chrysene	1350	1670	80.8%	1380	1670	82.6%	2.2%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1360	1670	81.4%	1390	1670	83.2%	2.2%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1550	1670	92.8%	1610	1670	96.4%	3.8%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1550	1670	92.8%	1600	1670	95.8%	3.2%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	2690	3330	80.8%	2770	3330	83.2%	2.9%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	84.8%	86.4%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	67.2%	66.0%

Results reported in $\mu g/kg$ RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

September 20, 2013

Dave Pischer Landau Associates, Inc. 950 Pacific Ave # 515 Tacoma, WA 98402

RE: Project: Kaiser IA ARI Job No: XF63

Dear Dave:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and the analytical results for the samples from the projects referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted two soil samples on September 18, 2013 in good condition. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form.

The samples were analyzed for cPAHs and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

No analytical complications were noted.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will remain on file with ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Boftem Client Services Manager 206/695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com

Enclosures

9/18/13	Page / of i	Turnaround Time	Standard Accelerated	X 1-2 Day THT			Observations/Comments	\overline{X} Allow water samples to settle, collect	aliquot from clear portion	X NWTPH-Dx - run acid wash/silica gel cleanup	run samples standardized to product	— Analyze for EPH if no specific	product identified	VOC/BTEX/VPH (soil):	non-preserved preserved w/methanol	preserved w/sodium bisulfate	Freeze upon receipt	Dissolved metal water samples field filtered	Other			Lourn	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company	Date Time	Rev 8/09
	/ Record	Testing Parameters							<i>D</i>												Method of		Relinquished by	θ	lame	y	Time	PINK COPY - Client Representative
XF63	Chain-of-Custody Record	Project No. 11 & 533. 1 00 - 1 04		A C S C		isther at it	ŝ	× × 1	T ××														Relinqu	Halls Signature	Printed Name	Company	Time 17 CU Date	YELLOW COPY - Laboratory
778-0907			Port	A A	her .	en, Bill EVANS, DANC PISCHER	Date Time Matrix	9/18/13 8:35 Soil												-		-	Received by	Signature	Printed Name	Company Company	Date 71	WHITE COPY - Project File
□ Seattle/Edmonds (425)	LANDAU Spokane (509) 327-9737 ASSOCIATES Portland (503) 542-1080	Project Name Karker IA	Project Location/Event Kaiser	Sampler's Name DAR / 1	Project Contact Dave Pise	Send Results To Anne Helvorsen, Bill Evens,	Sample I.D.	RMLF - SWT-20136918	20												Special Shipment/Handling	or Storage Requirements	Refinquisher by	Signature Malkanis	Printed Name		Date 9(13/15 Time 1320	

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form
ARI Client: Landan COC No(s): NA Assigned ARI Job No: XF63	Project Name: <u>KOISET TA</u> Delivered by: Fed-Ex UPS Courier Hand Delivered Other: Tracking No: NA
Preliminary Examination Phase:	
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the Were custody papers included with the cooler?	stry)
Cooler Accepted by:	Date: 9/18/13 Time: 1320
Complete custody forms an	d attach all shipping documents
Log-In Phase:	
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler? What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Wrap	Net Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block Paper Other:

Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	YES	NØ
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		(ES)	NO
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		(YES	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		(YES	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		(YES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		(YES	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		(YES	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs)	NA	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	(NA	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?		(ES)	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	NA	<u> </u>	·
Was Sample Split by ARI : NA YES Date/Time: Equipment:		Split by:	
Samples Logged by:	555		

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
Additional Notes, Discrepanci	es, & Resolutions:		
By: D	ate:		
Small Air Bubbles Peabub	I contraction to the second se	Small → "sm" (<2 mm)	
~-2mm 2-4 m			
	• • • •	Large \rightarrow "lg" (4 to <6 mm)	
		Headspace → "hs" (>6 mm)	

Revision 014



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Cooler#:	Temperature(°C): (2, 7 D Bottle Count Bottle Type						
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type				
All same	ous received						
alone		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
above 6	<u></u>						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
0							
Cooler#:		ature(°C): Bottle Count	Dettle Turne				
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· ·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
······							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Cooler#:	Temper	ature(°C):					
Sample ID		Bottle Count	Bottle Type				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		<u></u>					
	·						
		···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·					
Cooler#:	Tompor	ature(°C):					
Sample ID	remper	Bottle Count	Bottle Type				
		Dottio Count					
·······	·						
·····							
······································							
······································	······································						
		<u>^</u>	Altaba				
Completed by:		Date	- 9/18/13 Time: 555				

Cooler Temperature Compliance Form

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: XF63 Client: Landau Associates, Inc. Project Event: 118033.100.104 Project Name: Kaiser IA

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	RMLF-SWT-20130918	XF63A	13-19854		09/18/13 08:35	09/18/13 13:20
2.	RMLF-SWB-20130918	XF63B	13-19855		09/18/13 08:37	09/18/13 13:20

Printed 09/18/13 Page 1 of 1

xrss:gees



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Data Reporting Qualifiers Effective 2/14/2011

Inorganic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- В Reported value is less than the CRDL but ≥ the Reporting Limit
- Ν Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- Н The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

Organic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- Flagged value is not within established control limits
- В Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- Е Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- Q Indicates a detected analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20%Drift or minimum RRF).



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample
- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- M2 The sample contains PCB congeners that do not match any standard Aroclor pattern. The PCBs are identified and quantified as the Aroclor whose pattern most closely matches that of the sample. The reported value is an estimate.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) defined in EPA Statement of Work DLM02.2 as a value "calculated for 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers for which the quantitation and /or confirmation ion(s) has signal to noise in excess of 2.5, but does not meet identification criteria" (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference
- X Analyte signal includes interference from polychlorinated diphenyl ethers. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- Z Analyte signal includes interference from the sample matrix or perfluorokerosene ions. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)

Page 2 of 3



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Geotechnical Data

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: MB-091913 LIMS ID: 13-19854 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/20/13

Date Extracted: 09/19/13 Date Analyzed: 09/19/13 16:50 Instrument/Analyst: NT4/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA Sample Amount: 7.50 g-dry-wt

Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: NA

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result		
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	67	< 67 U		
218-01-9	Chrysene	67	< 67 U		
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	67	< 67 U		
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	67	< 67 U		
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	67	< 67 U		
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	67	< 67 U		

Reported in µg/kg (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	88.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	64.8%

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XF63A LIMS ID: 13-19854 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized:

Date Extracted: 09/19/13 Date Analyzed: 09/19/13 18:20 Instrument/Analyst: NT4/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: RMLF-SWT-20130918 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/18/13 Date Received: 09/18/13

Sample Amount: 7.62 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 4.8%

CAS Number	Analyte	RL	Result	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U	
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U	
50-32 - 8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	66	< 66 U	
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U	

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	75.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	57.6%

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: XF63B LIMS ID: 13-19855 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/20/13

Date Extracted: 09/19/13 Date Analyzed: 09/19/13 18:50 Instrument/Analyst: NT4/JZ GPC Cleanup: No Alumina: No Silica Gel: No

Sample ID: RMLF-SWB-20130918 SAMPLE

QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/18/13 Date Received: 09/18/13

Sample Amount: 7.59 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume: 0.5 mL Dilution Factor: 1.00 Percent Moisture: 5.1%

CAS Number	umber Analyte H		Result		
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	66	< 66 U		
218-01-9	Chrysene	66	< 66 U		
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	66	< 66 U		
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	66	< 66 U		
53-70-3	Dibenz(a, h) anthracene	66	< 66 U		
TOTBFA	Total Benzofluoranthenes	66	< 66 U		

Reported in $\mu g/kg$ (ppb)

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

d14-p-Terphenyl	66.0%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	50.8%

INCORPORATED

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES



SW8270 PNA SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	TER	FBP	TOT OUT
		<i></i>	2
MB-091913	88.0%	64.8%	0
LCS-091913	82.8%	58.8%	0
LCSD-091913	79.2%	62.8%	0
RMLF-SWT-20130918	75.28	57.6%	0
RMLF-SWB-20130918	66.0%	50.8%	0

LCS/MB	LIMITS	QC	LIMITS
--------	--------	----	--------

(TER) = d14 - p - Terpheny	1 (30-160)	(30-160)
(FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphen	yl (30-160)	(30-160)

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-19854 to 13-19855



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET PNAs by SW8270D GC/MS

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: LCS-091913 LIMS ID: 13-19854 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/20/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 09/19/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 09/19/13 17:20 LCSD: 09/19/13 17:50 Instrument/Analyst LCS: NT4/JZ LCSD: NT4/JZ GPC Cleanup: No

Silica Gel Cleanup: No

Sample ID: LCS-091913 LCS/LCSD

QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: 09/18/13

Sample Amount LCS: 7.50 g-dry-wt LCSD: 7.50 g-dry-wt Final Extract Volume LCS: 0.50 mL LCSD: 0.50 mL Dilution Factor LCS: 1.00 LCSD: 1.00 Alumina Cleanup: No

Analyte	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Benzo(a)anthracene	1110	1670	66.5%	1080	1670	64.78	2.7%
Chrysene	1100	1670	65.9%	1010	1670	60.5%	8.5%
Benzo(a)pyrene	1030	1670	61.7%	963	1670	57.78	6.78
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1280	1670	76.6%	1230	1670	73.78	4.0%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1340	1670	80.2%	1280	1670	76.68	4.6%
Total Benzofluoranthenes	2400	3330	72.1%	2300	3330	69.1%	4.3%

Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery

······································		
	LCS	LCSD
d14-p-Terphenyl	82.8%	79.2%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	58.8%	62.8%

Results reported in $\mu g/kg$ RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS

NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Extraction Method: SW3546 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: NWW Reported: 09/20/13

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	efv Df	Range/Surrogate	RL	Result
MB-091813 13-19854	Method Blank HC ID:	09/18/13	09/19/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 90.9%
XF63A 13-19854	RMLF-SWT-20130918 HC ID:	09/18/13	09/19/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.2 10	< 5.2 U < 10 U 87.2%
XF63B 13-19855	RMLF-SWB-20130918 HC ID:	09/18/13	09/19/13 FID9	1.00 1.0	Diesel Range Motor Oil Range o-Terphenyl	5.2 10	< 5.2 U < 10 U 88.4%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. RL-Reporting limit.

Diesel range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil range quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicate results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



CLEANED TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT		
MB-091813	90.98	0		
LCS-091813	91.48	0		
LCSD-091813	91.2%	0		
RMLF-SWT-20130918	87.2%	0		
RMLF-SWB-20130918	88.4%	0		

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(50-150) (50-150)

(OTER) = o-Terphenyl

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 13-19854 to 13-19855



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: LCS-091813 LCS/LCSD

Lab Sample ID: LCS-091813 LIMS ID: 13-19854 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: MAA Reported: 09/20/13 QC Report No: XF63-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: Kaiser IA 118033.100.104 Date Sampled: 09/18/13 Date Received: 09/18/13

Date Extracted LCS/LCSD: 09/18/13

Date Analyzed LCS: 09/19/13 12:10 LCSD: 09/19/13 12:33 Instrument/Analyst LCS: FID/JLW LCSD: FID/JLW

	Sample	Amount LCS:	10.0 g
		LCSD:	10.0 g
Final	Extract	Volume LCS:	1.0 mL
		LCSD:	1.0 mL
Γ	lution	Factor LCS:	1.0
		LCSD:	1.0

Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
Diesel	130	150	86.78	131	150	87.3%	0.8%

TPHD Surrogate Recovery

	LCS	LCSD		
o-Terphenyl	91.4%	91.2%		

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

Matrix: Soil Date Received: 09/18/13	ARI Job: Project:						
ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date		
13-19854-091813MB1 13-19854-091813LCS1 13-19854-091813LCSD1 13-19854-XF63A 13-19855-XF63B	Method Blank Lab Control Lab Control Dup RMLF-SWT-20130918 RMLF-SWB-20130918	10.0 g 10.0 g 10.0 g 9.57 g 9.54 g	1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL 1.00 mL	- -	09/18/13 09/18/13 09/18/13 09/18/13 09/18/13		

ato: www.it





FID:9A-2C/RTX-1 XF63LCSS1

FID:9A SIGNAL



MANUAL INTEGRATION

Baseline correction
Poor chromatography

- 3. Peak not found
- 4. Totals calculation
- (B) Surrogate Skimmed

Analyst: _________

Date: Thok's





FID:9A SIGNAL



MANUAL INTEGRATION

1. Baseline correction

- 2. Poor chromatography
- 3. Peak not found
- Totals calculation **[**5, Surrogate Skimmed

JW Analyst:

Date: 9/10/0

xros vivezz



