Focused Phase II Environmental Site Assessment 7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. Way South Seattle, Washington

October 16, 2002

For

GeoEngineers

Seattle Housing Authority

File No. 0241-012-00\101602



. 1

October 16, 2002

Consulting Engineers and Geoscientists

Seattle Housing Authority 120 Sixth Avenue North Seattle, Washington 98109-5003

Attention: Larry Hard

We are pleased to submit three copies of our "Focused Phase II Environmental Site Assessment" at 7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. Way South in Seattle, Washington. Our services were completed in general accordance with Contract 2938, as amended and the Order Compelling Entry Upon Land for Inspection and Other Purposes (by the Superior Court of the State of Washington) dated July 11, 2002.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with Seattle Housing Authority on this project. Please contact us if you have questions or require additional information.

Respectfully submitted,

GeoEngineers, Inc.

Quaj. Bona

Lisa J. Bona, P.G. Senior Geologist

Jebra Overbury Dana Carlisle, P.E.

Associate

LJB:DLC:akf Ever:\P:\0\0241012\00\FINALS\024101200R\_7313&7315.doc

GeoEngineers, Inc. 2924 Colby Avenue Everett, WA 98201 Telephone (425) 252-4565 Fax (425) 252-4586

Printed on recycled paper.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES	1
SITE CONDITIONS	2
RESULTS FOR 7313/7315 MLK PROPERTY HISTORIC RECORDS RESEARCH GEOPHYSICAL RECONNAISSANCE FOR SUSPECT USTS SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONS Sampling Rationale General Subsurface Conditions CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL RESULTS General MTCA Cleanup Levels Analytical Results DISCUSSION OF 7313/7315 MLK PROPERTY RESULTS	2 3 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 6
	6
TABLES	Table No.
SUMMARY OF SOIL FIELD SCREENING AND CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER AND CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA	1A 1B
FIGURES -	Figure No.
VICINITY MAP SITE PLAN, 7313/7315 MLK JR. WAY SOUTH	1 2

Page No.

. ]

.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

#### APPENDICES Page No. APPENDIX A - APOLLO GEOPHYSICS REPORT APPENDIX B -- FIELD EXPLORATION PROGRAM ......B-1 GENERAL B-1 SOIL SAMPLING B-1 FIELD SCREENING METHODS B-1 **GROUNDWATER SAMPLING** B-2 **APPENDIX B FIGURES** Figure No. SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM B-1 KEY TO LOG SYMBOLS B-2 LOG OF BORINGS B-3...B-12 APPENDIX C - CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA ......C-1 SAMPLES C-1 ANALYTICAL DATA REVIEW C-1 DATA QUALITY EXCEPTION SUMMARY C-1 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA

Service and a service

# FOCUSED PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT 7313/7315 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. WAY SOUTH SEATTLE, WASHINGTON FOR SEATTLE HOUSING AUTHORITY

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

This report presents the results of the focused Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) at the above-mentioned property on Martin Luther King Jr. Way South (MLK) in Seattle, Washington. The site is owned by Boonlieng Phavong and Keopilavong Kheuansy. The site currently is developed with one building occupied by two businesses, "Pizza Time" and "Little Quarter" retail store. We understand that Seattle Housing Authority (SHA) is considering purchase of this property. SHA's New Holly development is located adjacent to this property. The property is shown relative to surrounding features in Figure 1. The site layout is presented in Figure 2.

# PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of our services was to assess subsurface soil and groundwater for petroleum-related contamination, based on past and/or present site use. GeoEngineers' specific scope of services included the following.

- 1. Review the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment and Hazardous Materials Survey (Phase I ESA) prepared by Eco Compliance Corporation for Seattle Housing Authority, dated February 13, 2001.
- 2. Conduct a search of City of Seattle Department of Construction and Land Use (DCLU) records, Washington State Archives records and Sanborn historical maps to attempt to identify locations of past or present underground storage tanks (USTs) or other features associated with potential sources of contamination on the 7313/7315 MLK property.
- 3. Request a one-call underground utility locate and subcontract an on-sife utility locate service to identify the locations of existing underground utilities before exploration activities are conducted.
- 4. Subcontract Apollo Geophysics to conduct a geophysical reconnaissance to locate potential existing USTs at the 7313/7315 MLK property.
- 5. Prepare a site-specific health and safety plan for GeoEngineers' personnel prior to starting the project. The plan will address contaminants and physical hazards that may be encountered during drilling activities.
- 6. Monitor the excavation of ten direct-push borings on the 7313/7315 MLK property in locations selected based on features associated with potential sources of contamination. The borings extended to depths of approximately 4 to 12 feet below ground surface (bgs).
- 7. Obtain soil samples from the borings at approximate 3- to 4-foot depth intervals from the soil cores. Field screen the soil samples for evidence of petroleum using visual, water sheen and headspace vapor screening methods. Visually classify the samples in general accordance

with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D-2488 procedures and maintain a detailed log of each soil boring.

- 8. Obtain a grab groundwater sample from one boring during drilling using a peristaltic pump.
- 9. Submit selected soil and groundwater samples for one or more of the following chemical analyses: qualitative petroleum hydrocarbon analysis by Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Method (NWTPH-HCID); benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene and xylenes (BETX) by EPA Method 8021B; gasoline-range hydrocarbons by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx; and diesel- and heavy oil-range hydrocarbons by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx.
- 10. Evaluate data relative to applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels and Washington State UST regulations.

## SITE CONDITIONS

The property is located in a mixed used commercial/residential district in the Rainier Valley of Seattle. The eastern portion of the site is covered by the building and asphalt concrete pavement. The western portion of the site is a parking lot with gravel surfacing. The 7313/7315 MLK property is occupied by two commercial businesses. The Phase I ESA report indicated that the businesses use two aboveground storage tanks (ASTs). The Phase I ESA also indicated the presence of a vent pipe for an underground storage tank (UST) at the western wall of the building. The approximate locations of the ASTs and UST vent pipe are shown in Figure 2.

# RESULTS FOR 7313/7315 MLK PROPERTY HISTORIC RECORDS RESEARCH

Historic maps and tax assessment records were obtained from Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR), the City of Seattle Department of Construction and Land Use (DCLU) and the Puget Sound Regional Archives in October 2001. The following is a summary of site history, based on our review of maps, photos and records from these sources, and our review of the Phase I ESA.

- An undated DCLU drawing indicates that the site is occupied by Empire Oil at 7315 and Brick Castle Café at 7317. The configuration of the building in the drawing appears to be the same as the building that currently occupies the site.
- The Phase I ESA presented city directory information that indicated 7313 MLK, were occupied by Empire Oil Company from at least 1961 to at least 1994. We suspect that 7315 MLK was previously designated as 7317 MLK, based on the DCLU drawing cited above. The 7317 MLK address was occupied by Vince's Italian Pizzeria in 1961 and K&K Foods in 1971. The 7315 MLK address was occupied by Kim Discount Furniture from at lease 1987 to at least 1994.

Archived tax assessment records identify the site ownership and use from about 1936 to 1973, based on the tax parcel number. Several addresses were referenced in the record for tax parcel number 272404-9190. According to the Puget Sound Regional Archives, this tax number incorporated other previous numbers (-9017, -9018, -9050, -9059 and -9062). Prior occupants of these other parcels include a Standard or Chevron service station at 7160 or 7166 Empire Way from 1936 through at least 1972; a café, laundromat and barber shop at 7144 through 7148 Empire Way from 1948 through at least 1973; a Safeway grocery store at 7153 Empire Way from 1938 through 1956; a National Bank of Commerce at 7153 Empire Way from 1956 through at least 1973; a church at 6701 51<sup>st</sup> Avenue South from 1938 through at least 1973; an apartment building at 6730 Rainier Avenue from 1957 through at least 1973; and various residential properties from 1938 through 1973. Based on the address numbers, and DCLU and historical directly records, and the sketches of the sites in the archived tax records, it is our opinion that these businesses and residences did not actually occupy the subject site (currently 7317/7315 MLK).

## **GEOPHYSICAL RECONNAISSANCE FOR SUSPECT USTS**

Apollo Geophysics (Apollo) conducted a geophysical reconnaissance at the site on July 19, 2002. Apollo first transversed the site with an electromagnetic (EM) instrument to detect buried metal objects. Apollo then used ground-penetrating radar (GPR) to further investigate possible targets (anomalies) identified with the EM. GPR assists in estimating depth of the object, size and subsurface profile.

Apollo identified four significant geophysical anomalies on the site. Targets #1 and #2 (Figure 2) appeared to be possible USTs, based on Apollo's interpretation of the EM and GPR data. The size of Target #1 would represent a 1,000-gallon UST. The size of Target #2 was not determined. This interpretation at Target #2 was further supported by the presence of a likely UST fill port observed near the anomaly. Targets #3 and #4 were interpreted by Apollo to be debris and/or pipes. The approximate locations of the anomalies are shown in Figure 2. Apollo's report is provided as Appendix A.

Based on the findings of the geophysical reconnaissance, GeoEngineers placed one boring (B-3) near Target #2 and two borings (B-6 and B-7) near Target #1.

# SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONS Sampling Rationale

The sampling locations and testing planned for the 7313/7315 MLK property were based on recognized environmental concerns identified in the Phase I ESA report for the site prepared by others. Petroleum hydrocarbons were identified as the potential contaminant of concern, based on past site use and activities (Empire Oil and USTs). The exploration locations were selected based on the following: to provide general coverage on the portion of the property not occupied

by the building, to be near the two geophysical anomalies that appeared to represent possible USTs, and to assess lateral extent of possible hydrocarbon contamination surrounding the USTs.

## General

t

Two approximate 300-gallon steel ASTs were observed west of the building during our July 2002 site visit (Figure 2). No staining was observed on the ground surface beneath and surrounding the ASTs. The use of the ASTs is suspected to be storage of heating oil, although we could not confirm this with the property owner or building occupants. In addition, we observed a likely UST vent pipe and fill port west of the building.

Ten explorations (B-1 through B-10) were completed at the 7313/7315 MLK property on July 19, 2002, to investigate subsurface conditions and collect soil samples and one grab groundwater sample. The explorations were completed using direct-push drilling equipment owned and operated by ESN Northwest Inc. The explorations extended to depths ranging from approximately 4 to 12 feet bgs.

Soil samples were collected from continuous cores in each of the explorations to the full depth explored. Samples were collected using a 3- or 4-foot-long split-barrel sampler. The explorations were observed by a representative of GeoEngineers who visually classified the soil samples obtained during drilling and performed field screening tests for evidence of petroleum hydrocarbons. Soil samples were classified in accordance with the system described in Appendix B. Field screening consisted of headspace vapor testing for combustible vapors, water sheen testing, and observation for staining. Field screening methods are described in more detail in Appendix B. The exploration logs also are presented in Appendix B.

Seven soil samples from the borings were selected for chemical analysis based on the field screening results and/or proximity to groundwater encountered in the boring. One groundwater sample was selected for chemical analysis. Soil and groundwater samples were submitted to CCI Analytical of Everett, Washington for chemical analysis. The chemical analytical program is discussed in more detail in Appendix C.

### Subsurface Conditions

Soil encountered in the borings generally consisted of fill and native soil comprising silty sand and sand with silt underlying the pavement and base course. Based on our experience at nearby properties, bedrock is located at depths close to the base of the deepest boring completed (12 feet bgs). Groundwater was encountered in borings B-2 through B-5 at depths of approximately 8.5 to 9 feet bgs during drilling; no groundwater was observed in borings B-1 and B-6 through B-10 (note that boring B-8 through B-10 extended to only 4 feet bgs). The soil and groundwater levels should be expected to fluctuate as a function of season, precipitation and other factors.

Field screening results did not indicate the likely presence of petroleum-related compounds in the soil samples obtained from the borings, with the following exceptions: (1) a moderate sheen was observed in the 3.5-foot sample from B-6; and (2) slight sheens were observed in the 3.5-foot samples from B-7 and B-9.

## CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL RESULTS General

A summary of the soil chemical analytical data is presented in Table 1A; the groundwater chemical analytical data are presented in Table 1B. The soil samples are identified by the sequential boring number and the sample depth within the exploration (e.g., B-1-6.0). The sample identification numbers are shown on the exploration logs (Appendix B). One soil sample was selected from each of borings B-1 through B-5, B-7, B-9 and B-10. Sample B-8 was placed on hold pending the results for B-7, and was not analyzed since the sample results from B-7 indicated that contamination did not likely extend to the location of B-8. Two soil samples were selected from boring B-6. A grab water sample was submitted from boring B-2.

#### **MTCA Cleanup Levels**

Ecology revised the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) in February 2001, which became in effect on August 15, 2001. MTCA provides three methods (Methods A, B, and C) to establish requirements for cleanup of soil and groundwater. The regulations that enforce the MTCA are included in WAC Chapter 173-340. The chemical analytical data for site samples were evaluated with respect to MTCA Method A cleanup levels for unrestricted site use conditions. "Unrestricted site use conditions" means restrictions on the use of the site or natural resources affected by releases of hazardous substances from the site are not required to ensure continued protection of human health and the environment. The applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels are provided in Tables 1A and 1B. Alternative methods could potentially be used to determine cleanup levels for the site.

## **Analytical Results**

Soil. As summarized in Table 1A, diesel-range hydrocarbons were detected at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup levels in soil samples B-6-3.5 and B-9-3.5. The laboratory indicated that the chromatogram for the samples were similar to the chromatogram for diesel #2 (heating oil). Petroleum hydrocarbons either were not detected or were detected at concentrations less than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels in the remaining samples.

Water. As summarized in Table 1B, heavy oil-range hydrocarbons were detected by qualitative hydrocarbon analysis of groundwater sample B-2-W. Follow-up quantitative chemical analysis of sample B-2-W indicated that heavy oil-range hydrocarbons were detected in the water sample from B-2 at a concentration (0.810 milligrams per liter [mg/l]) exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 0.500 mg/l. Based on the chromatogram, the laboratory characterized the petroleum product as "light oil."

## DISCUSSION OF 7313/7315 MLK PROPERTY RESULTS

Historic research indicated the use of the site as a retail fuel oil property for about 35 years, between at least 1961 and at least 1994. No on-site USTs are documented in the historical record.

A geophysical reconnaissance conducted at 7313/7315 MLK in July 2002 indicated two possible USTs (Target #1 and #2 in Figure 2), one located directly west of the existing building, and one located beneath the gravel parking lot in the southwestern portion of the site. The suspect UST at Target #1 does not appear to be in use by the current facilities; it is unknown whether the likely UST at Target #2 is being used. Two ASTs located near the likely UST at Target #2 may be in use.

Field screening and soil and groundwater chemical analytical data from ten borings completed at 7313/7315 MLK confirmed diesel-related soil contamination is present at concentrations greater than the default MTCA Method A cleanup levels in the southwest portion of the property, near Target #1, as suspect UST. Soil appears to be contaminated at depths ranging from approximately 3 to about 5 feet bgs. The one-time grab groundwater sample analyzed from boring B-2 confirmed that heavy oil-range groundwater contamination is present beneath the property. The source of the heavy oil contamination is not known. No soil contamination was encountered near Target #2, a likely UST.

Under the MTCA (Washington Administrative Code [WAC] 173-340-300), a release from a UST system needs to be reported within 24 hours after discovery. GeoEngineers reported the release to Ecology on July 29, 2002, after receiving confirmation of the diesel-range hydrocarbon concentrations in soil from boring B-6. The owner should follow up the report of a release by sending this report to Ecology within ninety days of the discovery. Additionally, in accordance with WAC 173-360-310, existing UST systems must comply with UST system performance standards, be upgraded or be decommissioned.

## LIMITATIONS

We have prepared this report for use by the Seattle Housing Authority for evaluation of the 7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. Way South property in Seattle, Washington.

Within the limitations of scope, schedule and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with generally accepted environmental science practices in this area at the time this report was prepared. No warranty or other conditions, express or implied, should be understood.

Please refer to the Appendix D, "Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use" for additional information pertaining to use of this report.

GeoEngineers

We appreciate the opportunity to work with Seattle Housing Authority on this project. Please call if you have questions or require additional information.



Respectfully submitted,

GeoEngineers, Inc.

disa j Bina

Lisa J. Bona, P.G. Senior Geologist

ma Overbur

Dana Carlisle, P.E. Associate

LJB:DLC:akf Ever:\P:\0\0241012\00\FINALS\024101200R\_7313&7315.doc

SUMMARY OF SOIL FIELD SCREENING AND CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA DIRECT-PUSH BORINGS, 7313/7315 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. WAY SOUTH SEATTLE, WASHINGTON TABLE 1A (Page 1 of 2)

i

		Field Screening Results <sup>2</sup>	ng Results <sup>2</sup>	Qualitative	Qualitative Hydrocarbon Analysis <sup>3</sup> (mg/kg)	lysis <sup>3</sup> (mg/kg)		BETX <sup>4</sup> (mg/kg)	mg/kg)				
Boring Number - Depth Sampled <sup>1</sup>		Headspace		Gasoline-	Diesel-	Heavy oil-		<u>_</u>			Gasoline-range Hvdrocarhons <sup>5</sup>	Diesel-range Hvdrocarhons <sup>6</sup>	Heavy Oil-range Hvdrocarboos <sup>6</sup>
(feet bgs)	Date Sampled	(mqq)	Sheen	range	range	range	В	ш		×	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
B-1-6.0	07/19/02	<100	NS	<20	<50	<100	-	;	;	1		1	**
B-2-11.5	07/19/02	<100	NS	<20	<50	<100	;	1			1	;	1
B-3-9.0	07/19/02	<100	NS	<20	<50	<100	1	;	;			:	-
B-4-3.5	07/19/02	<100	NS	<20	<50	<100	1	3	1			1	
B-5-7.5	07/19/02	<100	NS	<20	<50	<100	1	;		1		1	
B-6-3.5	07/19/02	<100	WS	1	;	-	<0.3	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0	<50	7,200	<500
B-6-7.5	07/19/02	<100	NS	I	ł	ŧ	ł	ł	1	1	;	ос С	<50
B-7-3.5	07/19/02	<100	SS	1		-	<0.06	<0.1	<0.1	40.4	5	280	<50
B-9-3.5	07/19/02	<100	SS	I	1	1	<0.12	<0.2	<0.2	<0.8	<20	3.000	<250
B-10-3.5	07/19/02	<100	NS	I	-	1	:	:		1		<25	<50
MTCA Method A Cleanup Level <sup>7</sup>	eanup Level <sup>7</sup>			100	2,000	2,000	0.03	9	7	6	100	2,000	2,000

# DIRECT-PUSH BORING B-2, 7313/7315 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. WAY SOUTH SEATTLE, WASHINGTON SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA TABLE 1B

		Qualitative H	ydrocarbon ₽	Qualitative Hydrocarbon Analysis <sup>3</sup> (mg/l)		
Sample		Gasoline-	Diesel-	Heavy Oil-	Diesel-range Hydrocarbons <sup>6</sup>	Heavy Oil-range Hvdrocarbons <sup>6</sup>
Number <sup>1</sup>	Date Sampled	range	range	range	(I/6m)	
B-2-W	07/19/02	<0.25	<0.63	<b>50.63</b>	<0.130	0.810
MTCA Method A Cleanup Level <sup>7</sup>	eanup Level <sup>7</sup>	1.00	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500

Notes appear on page 2 of 2.

TABLE 1A and 1B (Page 2 of 2)

\$

Ever:\P:\0241012\00\finals\02410200Table_7313-15_1A-1B.xls	۵
	Chemical analyses conducted by CUI Analytical of botheti; washington: The laboratory feportis presented in Appendix C
	Shading indicates a concentration exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level.
	mg/i = miliigrams per liter
	mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
	bgs = below ground surface
	<sup>7</sup> Model Toxics Control Act Method A in effect as of August 15, 2001.
	<sup>6</sup> Analyzed by Ecology Method NWTPH-Dx with a silica get cleanup.
	<sup>5</sup> Analyzed by Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx.
	<sup>4</sup> B=benzene, E=ethybenzene, T=toluene, X=xylenes, MTBE=methyl tert butyl ether. BETX analyzed by EPA Method 8021B.
	<sup>3</sup> Analyzed by Ecology Method NWTPH-HCID.
	NS=no sheen, SS=slight sheen. MS=moderate sheen
	<sup>2</sup> Field screening methods are described in Appendix B.
	Approximate boning locations are shown in Figure 2:
	VOTES:





<sup>1.70/1/11</sup> 

# APPENDIX A APOLLO GEOPHYSICS REPORT

GeoEngineers

File No. 0241-012-00\101602



# **APOLLO GEOPHYSICS CORPORATION**

Engineering, Hydro-Geology, Environmental & Construction

Monday, July 29, 2002

Lisa Bona GeoEngineers, Inc. 2924 Colby Avenue Everett, Washington 98201-4011 RECEIVED

JUL 31 2002

# **GEO ENGINEERS**

AGC's File No.: 02.3027

Re:

UST Locate Seattle Housing Authority - New Holly Phase III Seattle, Washington

Dear Ms. Bona,

This letter reports the results of geophysical exploration for potential Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) at the above referenced site. The site is located at 7313 & 7315 Martin Luther King Way South in Seattle, Washington. A one-person field crew from **APOLLO GEOPHYSICS** completed the geophysical field program on Friday, July 19, 2002.

We investigated the site with an Electromagnetic (EM) instrument, which locates buried metal objects. We traversed the site with the EM instrument on approximate 5-foot line spacings, which produced target areas for the Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR). We further investigated the target areas using GPR, which enabled us to identify the targets as potential USTs. Ground Penetrating Radar established a relative depth, size and ground projection of the object (i.e. to determine if the object was indicative or was not indicative of a UST). Small objects in the near surface, 1 to 2 feet, will respond the same as a larger object (UST) at depth.

s i

July 29, 2002 AGC's File No.: 02.3027 Page 2

# **RESULTS OF THE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY**

We traversed the site with the EM instrument to locate any potential USTs. Several EM target locations were identified for further investigation with the GPR Instrument. The approximate locations of the EM targets are presented on the Site Plan in Figure 1. A few parking stalls were occupied by parked cars and were not accessible to the EM or GPR instruments.

Two of the EM targets, EM Targets #1 and #2, were identified as potential USTs after being traversed with the GPR Instrument. We recommend these areas for direct exploration.

EM Targets #3 and #4 did not have signatures similar to a UST and appear to be debris and/or pipes. We recommend these areas to be verified with direct exploration.

The GPR images for target areas #1 and #2 are presented in Figures 2 through 5. All EM target areas and recommended direct exploration locations were marked in the field with environmentally degradable paint. Suspected utility pipes, demolition debris, etc., were not marked in the field.

The 'GPR Imagery' presented in Figures 2 through 5 have a horizontal and vertical scale of approximately 1 inch equals 4 feet. With regard to the estimated vertical scale, the normal relationship between radar time and actual depth for the Northwest Region is approximately 4 to 4.5 nanoseconds per foot. It should be noted that this relationship holds true in a general sense. Variations of water content, silt content and other factors, such as the presence of concrete flooring, may also change this relationship. Therefore it should be expected that the vertical scale is an estimate only and may vary from the shown scale.

# ELECTROMAGNETIC

The electromagnetic, or EM device, transmits and receives an electromagnetic signal. The EM signal is transmitted through the ground, which in turn radiates a signal that is dependent on the ground conductivity and which is also received at the receiver. The two signals, the transmitted and ground response EM waves, are balanced for a zero response in the instrument. When the ground conditions change, for example, when the transmitted signal encounters buried metal, the balance or null point changes, and the instrument responds with an audible signal. Depending on the size of the metal object, the penetration is up to 10 feet



in depth. The EM survey was limited in areas, where reinforcing steel was present in concrete or immediately adjacent to any above ground metal objects on the site.

# **GROUND PENETRATING RADAR**

**APOLLO GEOPHYSICS** uses a PE1000 with either a 450 or 110 MHz antenna for shallow UST Locates. The radar antenna transmits an electromagnetic step-pulse at a frequency of 450/110 MHz at a selected stack rate of 32/64. When the signal encounters a change in electrical properties/permittivity, a portion of the signal energy is reflected back to the surface. The character of the reflection is used to define the source of the reflection. The reflected signal is received by the antenna, processed by a DSP radar processor with signal gain control and the raw data is recorded by the outboard 80486 computer with 16 MB RAM & 300 MB Hard Drive. The radar data is displayed by the computer on a 16.5 cm Color Active Matrix LCD VGA screen in real-time. The radar displays the data in real-time, which enables us to review the data in the field for on the spot evaluation. The recorded raw data, as recorded by the computer, is then later processed to remove unwanted peripheral effects by proprietary GPR software.

A typical circular UST will produce, in cross-section, a hyperbolic reflection. A traverse parallel to the centerline of the UST will show a horizontal (if there is no velocity or elevation change along the traverse) reflection, with a partial hyperbolic signature at both ends of the UST. The hyperbolic signature is the result of "seeing" the tank before the center of the antenna is over the tank.

# WARRANTY OF SERVICES

Electromagnetic methods may define UST's constructed of non-ferrous metals, but not fiberglass or plastic materials. Ground Penetrating Radar may define fiberglass or plastic UST's or drums provided they fall within the exploration grid of the GPR.

All geophysical information presented is based upon geophysical measurements made by generally accepted methods and field procedures and **APOLLO GEOPHYSICS'** interpretation of these data. The geophysical results are, therefore, interpretative in nature and are considered to be a reasonably accurate presentation of existing conditions within the limitations of the methods employed. Services performed by **APOLLO GEOPHYSICS** under this agreement are conducted in a manner consistent with, but no less than, that level of care

Apollo Geophysics Corporation

# Seattle, Washington UST Locate Seattle Housing Authority - New Holly Phase III

July 29, 2002 AGC's File No.: 02.3027 Page 4

skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions. We cannot guarantee the accuracy or correctness of any interpretation, and we shall not be liable or responsible for any loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred or sustained by the Client resulting from any interpretation made by any of our officers, agents or employees. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. **APOLLO GEOPHYSICS** recognizes that subsurface conditions may vary from those encountered at the location where geophysical or other explorations are made. The data interpretations and recommendations made by **APOLLO GEOPHYSICS** are based solely on the information available to them at the time of performance; and **APOLLO GEOPHYSICS** shall not be responsible for the interpretation, by others, of the information developed.

We trust this will complete your requirements for this project and look forward to working with you on future projects. If you have any further questions or need further assistance, please don't hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

١.

# APOLLO GEOPHYSICS CORPORATION

lynn M. Riystad

Lynn M. Ringstad, RG, CEG Senior Geologist/Geophysicist

Matthew C. Ringstad Senior Geophysicist













# APPENDIX B FIELD EXPLORATION PROGRAM

GeoEngineers

File No. 0241-012-00\101602

# APPENDIX B

## FIELD EXPLORATION PROGRAM

## GENERAL

Subsurface conditions were explored by completing ten direct-push borings (B-1 through B-10) at the 7313/7315 MLK property on July 19, 2002. A representative from our staff selected the locations for borings, observed and classified the soils encountered, and prepared a detailed log of each boring. The soils were classified in accordance with the system described in Figure B-1. An explanation of the boring log symbols is presented in Figure B-2. The boring logs are presented in Figures B-3 through B-12.

## SOIL SAMPLING

Continuous soil cores were collected during exploration using direct-push drilling equipment owned and operated by ESN Northwest, Inc. of Lacey, Washington. Soil samples were collected using a 3- or 4-foot long split barrel sampler. The sampler was hydraulically driven into the soil. Upon retrieval, the sampler was opened and GeoEngineers' representative logged the soils in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D-2488-90). Discrete soil samples were removed from portions of each core and transferred to glass jars (supplied by the analytical laboratory), labeled and stored in an iced cooler pending delivery to the laboratory. The soils were classified according to the system described in Figure B-1.

## FIELD SCREENING METHODS

Our representative conducted field screening on each of the soil samples obtained from the borings. Field screening results can be used as a general guideline to delineate areas of potential petroleum-related contamination in soils. In addition, screening results are often used as a basis for selecting soil samples for chemical analysis. The screening methods employed included: (1) visual examination; (2) screening for combustible vapors; and (3) water sheen testing.

Visual screening consists of observing the soil for stains indicative of petroleum-related contamination. Visual screening is generally more effective when contamination is related to heavy petroleum hydrocarbons such as motor oil, or when hydrocarbon concentrations are high. Sheen screening and headspace vapor screening are more sensitive screening methods that can be somewhat effective in differentiating soil that may have petroleum-based products at concentrations higher than cleanup levels from soil that may be in compliance with cleanup levels.

Water sheen testing involves placing soil in water and observing the water surface for signs of sheen. The results of water sheen testing on soil samples from the borings are presented on the boring logs. Sheens are classified as follows:

No Sheen (NS) Slight Sheen (SS) No visible sheen on water surface.

Light colorless film, spotty to globular; spread is irregular, not rapid; areas of no sheen remain; film dissipates rapidly.

Moderate Sheen (MS)	Light to heavy film, may have some color or iridescence,
	globular to stringy, spread is irregular to flowing; few
	remaining areas of no sheen on water surface.
Heavy Sheen (HS)	Heavy colorful film with iridescence; stringy, spread is
	rapid; sheen flows off the sample; most of water surface
	may be covered with sheen.

Headspace vapor screening involves placing a soil sample in a plastic sample bag. Air is captured in the bag and the bag is shaken to expose the soil to the air trapped in the bag. The probe of the Bacharach TLV Sniffer (combustible gas indicator) is inserted in the bag, and the device measures the concentration of combustible vapors present within the sample bag headspace. The TLV measures combustible vapor concentrations in parts per million (ppm) and has a range of significance of 100 to 10,000 ppm in this application. The results of headspace vapor screening are presented on the boring logs.

## **GROUNDWATER SAMPLING**

÷

A grab groundwater sample was obtained from boring B-2 by ESN and GeoEngineers using a peristaltic pump and new flexible tubing on July 19, 2002. The water sample was transferred directly into laboratory-prepared containers and kept in an iced cooler pending transport to the analytical laboratory. Sample containers were filled completely to minimize headspace.

SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM									
	MAJOR DIVISION	S	GROUP SYMBOL	GROUP NAME					
	GRAVEL	CLEAN GRAVEL	GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVEL, FINE TO COARSE GRAVEL					
COARSE	UIOVEL	GELAN GRAVEL	GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVEL					
SOILS	More Than 50% of Coarse Fraction Retained	GRAVEL	GM	SILTY GRAVEL					
	on No. 4 Sieve	WITH FINES	GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL					
	SAND	CLEAN SAND	sw	WELL-GRADED SAND, FINE TO COARSE SAND					
More Than 50%			SP	POORLY-GRADED SAND					
Retained on No. 200 Sieve	More Than 50% of Coarse Fraction	SAND WITH FINES	SM	SILTY SAND					
	Passes No. 4 Sieve		SC	CLAYEY SAND					
FINE	SILT AND CLAY	INORGANIC	ML	SILT					
GRAINED	SILT AND CLAT	INORGANIC	CL	CLAY					
SOILS	Liquid Limit Less Than 50	ORGANIC	OL	ORGANIC SILT, ORGANIC CLAY					
More Than 50%	SILT AND CLAY	INORGANIC	МН	SILT OF HIGH PLASTICITY, ELASTIC SILT					
Passes	SILT AND GLAT		СН	CLAY OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAY					
No. 200 Sieve	Liquid Limit 50 or More	ORGANIC	он	ORGANIC CLAY, ORGANIC SILT					
	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOIL	.S	РТ	PEAT					

#### NOTES:

- 1. Field classification is based on visual examination of soil in general accordance with ASTM D2488-93.
- 2. Soil classification using laboratory tests is in general accordance with ASTM D2487-98.
- 3. Descriptions of soil density or consistency are based on interpretation of blow count data, visual appearance of soils, and/or test data.

SOIL MOISTURE MODIFIERS:

- Dry Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch
- Moist Damp, but no visible water
- Wet Visible free water or saturated, usually soil is obtained from below water table



SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

FIGURE B-1



2. Soil classification system is summarized in Figure B-1.

# KEY TO LOG SYMBOLS

ίu 🛏				1
2-00 KEY		Project:	7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. Way	
1-0124	Geo	Project Location:	Seattle, Washington	
<b>1</b> 24		Project Number:	0241-012-00	Figure: B-2 Sheet 1 of 1

0241-012-00 KEY TO SYMBOLS P:000241012000FINALS0241012C.GPJ GEIV2.GDT 10/16/02









B



	Date(s) Drilled 07/19/02	Logged TMC	Checked	LJB
		Drilling	By Sampling	
	Auger	Method DIFECT-PUSh Hammer	Drilling	Split-barrel sampler
	Data Total 14	Data Surface	Equipment Groundwater	Truck-mounted Stratoprobe
	Depth (ft) 11	Elevation (ft)	Level (ft. bgs)	
	System			
	<ul> <li>Depth</li> <li>feet</li> <li>Interval</li> <li>Testing</li> <li>Recovered (in)</li> <li>Blows/foot</li> <li>Sambol</li> <li>Graphic</li> <li>Log</li> <li>Symbol</li> </ul>	MATERIAL DESCRI	PTION	Headspace Vapor TLV(ppm) SALON
0241-012-00 GEI ENVBORING 2.1.0 WAEVERETTPROJECTSIOI0241012100FINALS10241012C.GPJ GEN2.GDT 8/19/02	AC SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM	3 inches of asphalt concrete Gray silty fine sand with gravel (loose, base course) Gray and brown silty fine sand (mediur moist) (fill) Gray silty fine sand (dense, moist) (fill) Brown silt (stiff, moist) Grades to very stiff	dry) (fill and	<100 <100
NUBORING 2.1.0 WIEVER	Note: See Figure B-2 for explanation of symbols			
GEI EI		LOG OF BORING B-		
41-012-00	Geo	Project Location: Seattle, V		g Jr. Way Figure: B-8
ВÇ		Project Number: 0241-012	-00	Sheet 1 of 1


Date(s) Drilled	07/19/02		Logged By				Checked LJB		
Drilling Contractor	ESN		Drilling Method	Direct-push		Sampling Methods		Split-barrel sampler	
Auger Data			Hammer Data		Drill Equ	ng pment	Truck-mounted Stratoprobe		
Total Depth (ft)	4		Surface Elevation (ft)						
Datum/ System									
- ⊂ Depth	Interval Testing Recovered (in) Blows/foot Saphic Caphic	GP GP ML	3 inches of fine to		<u>il)</u>	s Sheen	Headspace Vapor TLV(ppm)	NOTES	

Note: See Figure B-2 for explanation of symbols

0241-012-00 GEI ENVBORING 2.1.0 WAEVERETTAPROJECTSIOI0241012IO0IFINALSI0241012C.GPJ GEIV2.GDT 8/19/02

LOG OF BORING B-8



Project:7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. WayProject Location:Seattle, WashingtonProject Number:0241-012-00

Figure: B-10 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s) Drilled	07/19/02			Logged By	ТМС	Checked By		LJB		
Drilling Contractor	ESN			Drilling Method	Direct-push	Sampling Methods		S	Split-barrel sampler	
Auger Data				Hammer Data		Drilling Equipr		Truck	k-mounted Stratoprobe	
Total Depth (ft)		4		Surface Elevation (ft)		Ground Level (1				
Datum/ System							_			
- o freet	CA Interval	Water Level	AC GP	4 inches asph Gray fine gra and base o	vel with sand and silt (loose, i		SS Sheen	B TLV(ppm)	NOTES	

Note: See Figure B-2 for explanation of symbols

0241-012-00 GEL ENVBORING 2.1.0 W/JEVERETT/PROJECTS/002241012/00/FINALS/0241012C.GPJ GEIV2.GDT 8/19/02

LOG OF BORING B-9

Geo

Project: 7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. Way

Project Location: Seattle, Washington Project Number: 0241-012-00

Figure: B-11 Sheet 1 of 1

Date(s)		07/	/19/0	12		Logged	ТМС		Check	ed		1.10	
Drilled						Ву	Ву						
Drilling Contractor		E	SN			Drilling Method	Direct-push		Samp Metho		Split-barrel sampler		
Auger Data						Hammer Data				Drilling Truck-mounted Stratoprobe			
Total Depth (ft)			4			Surface Elevation (ft)				dwater (ft. bgs)			
Datum/ System													
o Depth - feet	PD Testing C Recovered (in)		Water Level	Graphic Craphic Log	A G Goup Symbol Wr	4 inches of as Gray fine gray and base c	nge mottled silt with occasion	, moist) (i	 	SK	Headspace Vapor TLV(ppm)	NOTES	

Note: See Figure B-2 for explanation of symbols

0241-012-00 GEL ENVBORING 2.1.0 W/IEVERETTIPROJECTS/010241012100/FINALS/02410120.GPJ GEIV2.GDT 8/19/02

 LOG OF BORING B-10

 Geo
 Project:
 7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. Way

 Project Location:
 Seattle, Washington
 Figure: B-12

 Project Number:
 0241-012-00
 Sheet 1 of 1

# APPENDIX C CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA

G e o E n g i n e e r s File No. 0241-012-00/101602-

## APPENDIX C

# CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA

# SAMPLES

Chain-of-custody procedures were followed during the transport of the field samples to the accredited analytical laboratory. The samples were held in cold storage pending extraction and/or analysis. The analytical results and quality control records are included in this appendix.

#### ANALYTICAL DATA REVIEW

The laboratory maintains an internal quality assurance program as documented in its laboratory quality assurance manual. The laboratory uses a combination of blanks, surrogate recoveries, duplicates, matrix spike recoveries, matrix spike duplicate recoveries, blank spike recoveries and blank spike duplicate recoveries to evaluate the analytical results. The laboratory also uses data quality goals for individual chemicals or groups of chemicals based on the long-term performance of the test methods. The data quality goals were included in the laboratory reports. The laboratory compared each group of samples with the existing data quality goals and noted any exceptions in the laboratory report. Any data quality exceptions documented by the accredited laboratory were reviewed by GeoEngineers and are addressed in the data quality exception section of this appendix.

# DATA QUALITY EXCEPTION SUMMARY

No significant data quality exceptions were noted in the laboratory report or during our review. Therefore, it is our opinion that the analytical data are of acceptable quality for their intended use.



. )

,我们的过去,我们不知道你的,我们就是我们的意思,我 <b>这些你,我们这个你的,我们这些你们,我们这些你们,</b> 我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的,我们就是不能
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

CLIENT PROJECT ID:	0241-012-00
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	B-1-6 7/19/02 1148

# DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION	UNITS"	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
HCID-GAS RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	20	1	MG/KG GAS	7/24/02	AlB
HCID-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	50	1	MG/KG DSL	7/24/02	AIB
HCID-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	100	1	MG/KG OIL	7/24/02	AIB

# RECEIVED

# AUG 0 9 2002

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

# GEO ENGINEERS

APPROVED BY:



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	7/29/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	6
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

 CLIENT PROJECT ID:
 0241-012-00

 CLIENT SAMPLE ID:
 B-2-11.5 7/19/02 1226

# DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
HCID-GAS RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	20	1	MG/KG GAS	7/25/02	AIB
HCID-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	50	1	MG/KG DSL	7/25/02	AIB
HCID-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	100	1	MG/KG OIL	7/25/02	AIB

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Page 1



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	8/5/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	7
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

CLIENT PROJECT ID:	0241-012-00
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	B-2-W 7/19/02 1245

#### REPORT AMENDED TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL PARAMETER

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS.	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
HCID-GAS RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	0.25	1	MG/L GAS	7/26/02	AIB
HCID-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	0.63	1	MG/L DSL	7/26/02	AIB
HCID-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	>0.63	0.63	1	MG/L OIL	7/26/02	AIB
H-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-DX	ND	130	1	UG/L	8/5/02	СМН
TPH-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-DX	810	250	1	UG/L	8/5/02	СМН

NOTES: CHROMATOGRAM INDICATES SAMPLE CONTAINS PRODUCT WHICH IS LIKELY LIGHT OIL NWTPH-DX ANALYSIS PERFORMED OUTSIDE OF HOLD TIME

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	7/29/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	10
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

 CLIENT PROJECT ID:
 0241-012-00

 CLIENT SAMPLE ID:
 B-3-9 7/19/02 1333

#### DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
HCID-GAS RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	20	1	MG/KG GAS	7/26/02	AIB
HCID-DIESEL RANGE HCID-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID NWTPH-HCID	ND ND	50 100	1 1	MG/KG DSL MG/KG OIL	7/26/02 7/26/02	AIB AIB

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Page 1



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	7/29/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	11
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

i

CLIENT PROJECT ID:	0241-012-00
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	B-4-3.5 7/19/02 1402

# DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS"	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
HCID-GAS RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	20	1	MG/KG GAS	7/25/02	AIB
HCID-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	50	1	MG/KG DSL	7/25/02	AIB
HCID-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	100	1	MG/KG OIL	7/25/02	AIB

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	7/29/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	15
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

 CLIENT PROJECT ID:
 0241-012-00

 CLIENT SAMPLE ID:
 B-5-7.5 7/19/02 1432

#### DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS"	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
HCID-GAS RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	20	1	MG/KG GAS	7/25/02	AIB
HCID-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	50	1	MG/KG DSL	7/25/02	AIB
HCID-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-HCID	ND	100	1	MG/KG OIL	7/25/02	AIB

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	7/29/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	17
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

CLIENT PROJECT ID:	0241-012-00
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	B-6-3.5 7/19/02 1520

#### DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS.	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TPH-VOLATILE RANGE	NWTPH-GX	ND	50	10	MG/KG	7/23/02	LAH
MTBE*** BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE	EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021	ND ND ND ND	1.0 0.3 0.5 0.5	10 10 10 10	MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG	7/23/02 7/23/02 7/23/02 7/23/02	LAH LAH LAH LAH
LENES	EPA-8021	ND	2.0	10	MG/KG	7/23/02	LAH
TPH-DIESEL RANGE TPH-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-DX NWTPH-DX	7200 ND	250 500	10 10	MG/KG MG/KG	7/24/02 7/24/02	AIB AIB

#### NOTES: CHROMATOGRAM INDICATES SAMPLE CONTAINS PRODUCT WHICH IS LIKELY DIESEL#2 VOLATILE RANGE REPORTING LIMIT RAISED DUE TO SEMI VOLATILE RANGE INTERFERENCE

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

\*\*\* ANY POSITIVE MTBE RESULT SHOULD BE CONFIRMED BY GC/MS ANALYSIS

APPROVED BY:



CERTIFICATE OF	ANALYSIS	

CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	8/5/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	18
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

 CLIENT PROJECT ID:
 0241-012-00

 CLIENT SAMPLE ID:
 B-6-7.5 7/19/02 1530

#### DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TPH-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-DX	39	25	1	MG/KG	7/31/02	CMH
TPH-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-DX	ND	50	1	MG/KG	7/31/02	CMH

NOTE: CHROMATOGRAM INDICATES SAMPLE CONTAINS PRODUCT WHICH IS LIKELY DIESEL #2

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE.

EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	7/29/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	20
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

CLIENT PROJECT ID:	0241-012-00
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	B-7-3.5 7/19/02 1455

#### DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS"	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TPH-VOLATILE RANGE	NWTPH-GX	ND	5	2	MG/KG	7/24/02	LAH
MTBE*** BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE 'LENES	EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021	ND ND ND ND ND	0.2 0.06 0.1 0.1 0.4	2 2 2 2 2	MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG	7/24/02 7/24/02 7/24/02 7/24/02 7/24/02 7/24/02	LAH LAH LAH LAH
TPH-DIESEL RANGE TPH-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-DX NWTPH-DX	280 ND	25 50	1 1	MG/KG MG/KG	7/24/02 7/24/02	AIB AIB

NOTES: CHROMATOGRAM INDICATES SAMPLE CONTAINS PRODUCT WHICH IS LIKELY DIESEL#2 VOLATILE RANGE REPORTING LIMIT RAISED DUE TO SEMI VOLATILE RANGE INTERFERENCE

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

\*\*\* ANY POSITIVE MTBE RESULT SHOULD BE CONFIRMED BY GC/MS ANALYSIS

(1)APPROVED BY:



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	7/29/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	24
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

 CLIENT PROJECT ID:
 0241-012-00

 CLIENT SAMPLE ID:
 B-9-3.5 7/19/02 1559

#### DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS.	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TPH-VOLATILE RANGE	NWTPH-GX	ND	20	4	MG/KG	7/24/02	LAH
MTBE*** BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE 'LENES	EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021 EPA-8021	ND ND ND ND	0.4 0.12 0.2 0.2 0.8	4 4 4 4	MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG MG/KG	7/24/02 7/24/02 7/24/02 7/24/02 7/24/02	LAH LAH LAH LAH LAH
TPH-DIESEL RANGE TPH-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-DX NWTPH-DX	3000 ND	130 250	5 5	MG/KG MG/KG	7/24/02 7/24/02	AIB AIB

#### NOTES: CHROMATOGRAM INDICATES SAMPLE CONTAINS PRODUCT WHICH IS LIKELY DIESEL#2 VOLATILE RANGE REPORTING LIMIT RAISED DUE TO SEMI VOLATILE RANGE INTERFERENCE

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

\*\*\* ANY POSITIVE MTBE RESULT SHOULD BE CONFIRMED BY GC/MS ANALYSIS

APPROVED BY



CLIENT: GEOENGINEERS, INC 2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201

DATE:	8/5/02
CCIL JOB #:	207088
CCIL SAMPLE #:	25
DATE RECEIVED:	7/22/02
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

CLIENT PROJECT ID:	0241-012-00
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	B-10-3.5 7/19/02 1410

# DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION	UNITS	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TPH-DIESEL RANGE	NWTPH-DX	ND	25	1	MG/KG	7/31/02	СМН
TPH-OIL RANGE	NWTPH-DX	ND	50	1	MG/KG	7/31/02	СМН

\* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT.

\*\* UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



	CERII	FICATE OF ANALYSIS	<u>e - Andreas an ann an Annaichean an</u>
CLIENT:	GEOENGINEERS, INC	DATE:	8/5/02
	2924 COLBY AVE. EVERETT, WA 98201	CCIL JOB #:	207088
		DATE RECEIVED: WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	7/22/02 C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

CLIENT PROJECT ID: 0241-012-00

#### REPORT AMENDED TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL PARAMETER

# QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS

	SURROGA	TE RECOVERY	SPIKE	
CCIL SAMPLE ID	ANALYTE	SUR ID	AMOUNT	% RECV
207088-02	NWTPH-HCID	BCB	50 PPM	134
207088-02	NWTPH-HCID	C25	10 PPM	98
207088-06	NWTPH-HCID	BCB	50 PPM	131
207088-06	NWTPH-HCID	C25	10 PPM	87
. <b>J7088-07</b>	NWTPH-HCID	BCB	1.3 PPM	100
207088-07	NWTPH-HCID	C25	0.25 PPM	94
207088-07 (CONCENTRATE)	NWTPH-HCID	C25	0.25 PPM	89
207088-07	NWTPH-DX	C25	100 PPB	86
207088-10	NWTPH-HCID	BCB	50 PPM	144
207088-10	NWTPH-HCID	C25	10 PPM	123
207088-11	NWTPH-HCID	BCB		145
207088-11	NWTPH-HCID	C25	10 PPM	139
207088-15	NWTPH-HCID	BCB	50 PPM	127
207088-15	NWTPH-HCID	C25	10 PPM	115
207088-17	NWTPH-GX	ŢFŢ	.5 PPM	•
207088-17	EPA-8021	TFT	.5 PPM	•
207088-17	NWTPH-DX	C25	5 PPM	88
207088-18	NWTPH-DX	C25	5 PPM	87
207088-20	NWTPH-GX	TET	.5 PPM	89
207088-20	EPA-8021	TFT	.5 PPM	85
207088-20	NWTPH-DX	C25	5 PPM	91
207088-24	NWTPH-GX	TFT	.5 PPM	87
207088-24	EPA-8021	TFT	.5 PPM	75
207088-24	NWTPH-DX	C25	5 PPM	68
207088-25	NWTPH-DX	C25	5 PPM	70

Page 1



		CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS	
CLIENT:	GEOENGINEERS, INC	DATE:	8/5/02
	2924 COLBY AVE.	CCIL JOB #:	207088
	EVERETT, WA 98201		

DATE RECEIVED: 7/22/02 WDOE ACCREDITATION #: C142

CLIENT CONTACT: LISA BONA

CLIENT PROJECT ID: 0241-012-00

## REPORT AMENDED TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL PARAMETER

QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS

		BLANK AND DUPLICATE	RESULTS				
METHOD	BLK RESULT	ASSOC SMPLS		DUP RESULT	ORIG RESULT	%RDP	ASSOC SMPLS
NWTPH-HCID(GAS)	ND(<20)	207088-02, 06, 10, 1	11, 15	ND(<20)	ND(<20)	****	SAME
NWTPH-HCID(DSL)	ND(<50)	207088-02, 06, 10, 11, 15		ND(<50)	ND(<50)	****	SAME
NWTPH-HCID(OIL)	ND(<100)	207088-02, 06, 10, 1	11, 15	>100	>100	****	SAME
NWTPH-HCID(GAS)	ND(<0.25)	207088-07		ND(<0.25)	ND(<0.25)	****	SAME
NWTPH-HCID(DSL)	ND(<0.63)	207088-07		ND(<0.63)	ND(<0.63)	****	SAME
WTPH-HCID(OIL)	ND(<0.63)	207088-07		>0.63	>0.63	****	SAME
NWTPH-GX (GAS)	ND(<3)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<3)	ND(<3)	****	SAME
EPA-8021(MTBE)	ND(<0.1)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<0.1)	ND(<0.1)	****	SAME
EPA-8021(BENZENE)	ND(<0.03)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<0.03)	ND(<0.03)	****	SAME
EPA-8021(TOLUENE)	ND(<0.05)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<0.05)	ND(<0.05)	****	SAME
EPA-8021(ETHYLBENZ)	ND(<0.05)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<0.05)	ND(<0.05)	****	SAME
EPA-8021(XYLENE)	ND(<0.2)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<0.2)	ND(<0.2)	****	SAME
NWTPH-DX (DSL)	ND(<25)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<25)	ND(<25)	****	SAME
NWTPH-DX (OIL)	ND(<50)	207088-17, 20, 2	24	ND(<50)	ND(<50)	****	SAME
NWTPH-DX (DSL)	ND(<130)	207088-7					
NWTPH-DX (OIL)	ND(<250)	207088-7					
NWTPH-DX (DSL)	ND(<25)	207088-18, 25		220	220	0	SAME
NWTPH-DX (OIL)	ND(<50)	207088-18, 25		ND(<50)	60	****	SAME
SPIKE/ SPIKE DUPLICATE RESULTS							
		ASSOCIATED	SPIKE	DILUTION	% SPIKE	% SPIKE DUP	
METHOD	SPIKE ID	SAMPLES	AMOUNT	FACTOR	RECOVERY	RECOVERY	REL % DIFF
EPA-8021	MTBE	207088-02, 06, 10, 11, 15	2 PPM	1	103	N/A	N/A
EPA-8021	BENZENE	207088-02, 06, 10, 11, 15	1 PPM	1	92	N/A	N/A
EPA-8021	TOLUENE	207088-02, 06, 10, 11, 15	1 PPM	1	94	N/A	N/A
EPA-8021	ETHYLBENZENE	207088-02, 06, 10, 11, 15	1 PPM	1	104	N/A	N/A
EPA-8021	XYLENE	207088-02, 06, 10, 11, 15	3 PPM	1	99	N/A	N/A
NWTPH-DX	DIESEL	207088-18, 25	1300 PPB	1	81	76	7

\* SURROGATE DILUTED OUT OF CALIBRATION RANGE

\*\*\*\* %RPD NOT REPORTED FOR VALUES <X5 THE REPORTING LIMIT

APPROVED BY

External Standard Re	port
Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072401\01 Operator : AB In. ument : DIESEL #2 Sample Name : 207088-2 Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : 24 Jul 02 10:27 PM Report Created on: 25 Jul 02 01:45 PM Last Recalib on : 16 APR 02 01:45 PM Multiplier : 1	6F1501.D Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 16 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 15 Instrument Method: 4NWHCID.MTH Analysis Method : 4NWHCID.MTH Sample Amount : 0 ISTD Amount :
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072401\016F1501.D Ret Time Area Type Width Ref# mg/l	Name
10.702150251 MM T 0.025 166.95722.01149508 MM T 0.026 19.783	Bromochlorobenzene surrogate /34%

\*\*\*\*

+

.

1

User Modified

G<20 mg/kg D< 50 MO<100

\_\_\_\_\_\_

07/26/02 A3

7-29.02



# Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072401\016F1501.D

External Standard Re	 port 
Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072501\01 Oprator : AB Ir. rument : DIESEL #2 Sample Name : 207088-6 Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : 25 Jul 02 10:36 AM Report Created on: 25 Jul 02 01:36 PM Last Recalib on : 16 APR 02 01:45 PM Multiplier : 1	7F0501.D Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 17 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 5 Instrument Method: 4NWHCID.MTH Analysis Method : 4NWHCID.MTH Sample Amount : 0 ISTD Amount :
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072501\017F0501.DRet TimeAreaType Width Ref#mg/110.691146501 MM T 0.025 122.01543976 MM T 0.025 18.690	Bromochlorohongono augurante 1210

User Modified

G<20 mg/kg D<50 Mo<100

\_\_\_\_\_

07/26/02 A3

7.29.021



\_\_\_\_\_\_ External Standard Report Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12072501\008F3501.D Operator : AB : 1 Page Number Ir. rument : DIESEL #1 Vial Number : 8 Sample Name : 207088-7 10ML Injection Number : 1 Run Time Bar Code: Sequence Line : 35 Acquired on : 26 Jul 02 06:37 PM Instrument Method: NWTPHCID.MTH Report Created on: 29 Jul 02 09:46 AM Analysis Method : NWTPHCID.MTH Last Recalib on : 24 APR 02 05:42 PM Sample Amount : 0 : 1 Multiplier ISTD Amount : Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12072501\008F3501.D Ret Time Area Type Width Ref# ug/ml Name 10.613 114120 MM T 0.025 1 50.209 Bromochlorobenzene 100% 21.762 47933 MM T 0.026 1 9.384 Pentacosane 94%

User Modified

G < 0.25 mg/L D<0.63

07/29/02 A3



Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12072501\008F3501.D

External Standard Report			
		1	
Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12072501\01	1F3501.D		
Operator : AB	Page Number : 1	ļ	
I prument : DIESEL #1	Vial Number : 11		
Sample Name : 207088-7 1ML	Injection Number : 1		
Run Time Bar Code:	Sequence Line : 35		
Acquired on : 26 Jul 02 08:53 PM	Instrument Method: NWTPHCID.MTH		
Report Created on: 29 Jul 02 09:49 AM	Analysis Method : NWTPHCID.MTH	1	
Last Recalib on : 24 APR 02 05:42 PM	Sample Amount : 0		
Multiplier : 1	ISTD Amount :		
		. 1	
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12072501\011F3501.D			
Ret Time Area Type Width Ref# ug/ml	Name		
	· · ·	1	
10.651 1101912 MM T 0.036 1 484.803		ų.	
21.784 457287 MM T 0.030 1 89.528	Pentacosane 39%	,	
		• • )	

. .

User Modified

MO > 0.63 mg/L Transformer Oil or similar product

07/20/02 AB

2-29.02 •



Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12072501\011F3501.D

***************************************				
External Standard Report				
	- 			
Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12080501\00 OL ator : AB	7F0901.D Page Number : 1			
Instrument : DIESEL #1	Vial Number : 7			
Sample Name : 207088-7 H2O	Injection Number : 1			
Run Time Bar Code:	Sequence Line : 9			
Acquired on : 05 Aug 02 11:54 AM	Instrument Method: TDM00602.MTH .			
Report Created on: 05 Aug 02 01:29 PM	Analysis Method : TRNF0702.MTH			
Last Recalib on : 17 JUL 02 11:16 AM	Sample Amount : 0			
Multiplier : 1	ISTD Amount :			
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12080501\007F0901.D Ret Time Area Type Width Ref# ug/ml	Name			
14.201       1184128 MM       2.558       1       324.928         21.830       116171 MM T       0.029       1       34.473	NEL TOURGODUED OT			

User Modified

 $T = 324.928 \text{ mgl} \times \frac{1 \text{ mL}}{400 \text{ mL}} = 0.81 \text{ mgl}$ 

Light Oil product.

Analysis requested and extraction performed out of hold time.

.

8.5.02 CA

5.5022



External Standard Rep	
Sample Name : 207088-10 RX Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : 26 Jul 02 07:33 PM Report Created on: 29 Jul 02 08:52 AM	BF1901.D Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 8 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 19 Instrument Method: 4NWHCID.MTH Analysis Method : 4NWHCID.MTH Sample Amount : 0 ISTD Amount :
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072601\008F1901.D         Ret Time       Area         Type Width Ref# mg/l         10.678       161679 MM T 0.026 1         22.005       62233 MM T 0.026 1	Name Bromochlorobenzene surrogate 144%, nC-25 surrogate 123%,

\_\_\_\_\_\_

.

User Modified

\_

6<20 mg/kg D< 50 MO <100

07/29/02 AB

7-2402



Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072601\008F1901.D

External Standard Report			
Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072501\01 Oprrator : AB II. rument : DIESEL #2 Sample Name : 207088-11 RX Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : 25 Jul 02 03:35 PM Report Created on: 25 Jul 02 04:28 PM Last Recalib on : 16 APR 02 01:45 PM Multiplier : 1	3F1001.D Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 13 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 10 Instrument Method: 4NWHCID.MTH Analysis Method : 4NWHCID.MTH Sample Amount : 0 ISTD Amount :		
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072501\013F1001.D Ret Time Area Type Width Ref# mg/l	Name ,		
10.686163306 MM T 0.025 172.77422.01470285 MM T 0.026 113.889	Bromochlorobenzene surrogate /45% nC-25 surrogate /89%		

• •

User Modified

G<20 mg/kg D<50 Mo<100

\_\_\_\_\_\_

07/26/02 A3

72021



. |

External Standard Report				
	aeeeoeexaeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee			
Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072501\02 Oprator : AB Ir. rument : DIESEL #2 Sample Name : 207088-15 Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : 25 Jul 02 01:00 PM Report Created on: 25 Jul 02 02:27 PM Last Recalib on : 16 APR 02 01:45 PM Multiplier : 1	Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 20 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 5 Instrument Method: 4NWHCID.MTH Analysis Method : 4NWHCID.MTH Sample Amount : 0			
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072501\020F0501.D         Ret Time       Area         Type Width Ref# mg/l         10.691       142819 MM T 0.025 1         63.645         22.015       58108 MM T 0.026 1	Bromochlorobenzene surrogate 27%			

.

·•. ·

User Modified

07/26/02 A3

-J.1902C

G < 20 mg/kg D < 50 / MO < 100 /




 ,	External Sta	andard Report
Or rator : IL rument : Sample Name : Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : Report Created on: Last Recalib on : Multiplier :	DIESEL #2 207088-17 X10 24 Jul 02 04:28 PM 25 Jul 02 08:41 AM 11 JUN 02 02:49 PM 1	Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 11 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 10 Instrument Method: 4DXTB602.MTH Analysis Method : 4DXTB602.MTH Sample Amount : 0 ISTD Amount :
Ret Time Area	M\4\DATA\42072401\011 Type Width Ref#	ug/ml Name
15.470     67304       21.323     824       22.112     51	457 MM 1.467 1 465 MM R 0.415 1 158 MM T 0.030 1	1832.412 TPH-Dsl envelope 36.395 MOTOR OIL 0.877 nC-25 surrogate/1x/00=88% 5.243 MOTOR OIL {2}
User Modified		$\frac{D_{cy}Wt = 25,53}{f} = \frac{1}{25}$

D= 1832.412 mg/mL × 10 mL 25.53g ×10 = 7200 mg/kg Diesel #2 MO< 100 mg/mL × 10 mL 25.53 × 10 × 500 mg/kg

07/25/02 A3





External Standard Report Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12073001\038F2201.D C rator : AB Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 38 Instrument : DIESEL #1 Sample Name : 207088-18 Injection Number : 1 Run Time Bar Code: Sequence Line : 22 Acquired on : 31 Jul 02 07:57 PM Instrument Method: TDM00602.MTH Report Created on: 05 Aug 02 11:11 AM Analysis Method : TPHD0602.MTH Last Recalib on : 17 JUL 02 09:06 AM Sample Amount : 0 Multiplier : 1 ISTD Amount Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12073001\038F2201.D Ret Time Area Type Width Ref# mg/l Name 15.233284378 MM1.350197.867 Diesel #221.81326302 MM T 0.0288.666 nC-25 surrogate  $-10 \times 100 = 871$ Jser Modified

 $D = 97.867 \text{ mg/L} \times \frac{10 \text{ mL}}{25.379} = 39 \text{ mg/kg}$ Diesel Fuel







External Standard Re	
Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072401\01 Operator : AB In rument : DIESEL #2 Sample Name : 207088-20 Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : 24 Jul 02 05:11 PM Report Created on: 25 Jul 02 08:43 AM Last Recalib on : 11 JUN 02 02:49 PM Multiplier : 1	2F1001.D Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 12 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 10 Instrument Method: 4DXTB602.MTH Analysis Method : 4DXTB602.MTH Sample Amount : 0 ISTD Amount :
Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072401\012F1001.DRet TimeAreaType Width Ref# ug/ml       15.4642819198 MM1.356 1767.04321.323242382 MM R 0.169 1114.53222.11539127 MM T 0.028 19.13926.927136372 MM3.567 128.579	TPH-Dsl envelope MOTOR OIL
User Modified $\overline{X}_{NO} = 71.55$	$5  D_{14}Wt = 27.32_{4}$
$D = 767.043 \text{ mg/mL} \times \frac{10 \text{ mL}}{27.32g} = 280 \text{ mg/mg}$	lig Diesel #2
MO < 50 mg/kg	
07/25/02 AB	:
729.02 QY	: - -

### Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072401\012F1001.D



· .

÷

.

1

•



	Data File Name :	D:\HPCHEM	\4\DATA\42	072401\01	3F1001.D	
•	Unorator :	AB			Page Number	: 1
	I crument :	DIESEL #2			Vial Number	: 13
	Sample Name :	207088-24	X5		Injection Number	
	Run Time Bar Code:				Sequence Line	
	Acquired on :	24 Jul 02	05:55 PM		Instrument Mothod	
1	Report Created on:	25 Jul 02	08:45 AM		-	
۱ :	Last Recalib on : Multiplier :	11 JUN 02	02:49 PM		Sample Amount	: 0
	unicipiter :	T			ISTD Amount	:
	Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM	א \ מיד ב ה \ א	2072401\01	2 2 2 1 0 0 1 0		
	Ret Time Area	Type W	idth Rof#	na/m]	Name	
	12.479 51488	25 MM 1	.727 1	1401.599	' TPH-Dsl envelope	
	21.313 856	64 MM R 0.	.287 1	37.807	MOTOR OTI.	
	22.111 79	74 MM T 0.	.029 1	1.356	nC-25 surrogate/2)	$\langle   q \rangle = \langle   q \rangle$
	25.880 126	12 MM 2.	.476 1	2.643	MOTOR OIL {2}	
	User Modified					

Dry Wt D = 1401.599 mg/ml × 10 ml × 5 = 3000 mg/kg Diesel #

NO < 50 mg/kg × 5 < 250 mg/kg

07/25/02 B

7-29.32

5.5



Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\4\DATA\42072401\013F1001.D

	External Standard D	
-	Data File Name : D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12073001\0 C rator : AB Instrument : DIESEL #1 Sample Name : 207088-25 Run Time Bar Code: Acquired on : 31 Jul 02 08:41 PM Report Created on: 05 Aug 02 11:13 AM Last Recalib on : 25 APR 02 09:20 AM Multiplier : 1	Page Number : 1 Vial Number : 39 Injection Number : 1 Sequence Line : 22
	Sig. 1 in D:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\12073001\039F2201.0     Ret Time   Area   Type Width Ref# ug/ml                    15.233   89928 MM   2.755 1   47.73     21.323   50951 MM R   0.045 1   29.16     21.814   32715 MM T   0.029 1   70%.~6.97     35.220   56946 MM   5.777 1   34.81	Name    38 Diesel #2

User Modified

ì

DRYWt. = 24.68g • .

· · · · · ·

MO = < 50 mg / kg

nan statut a san a satu

5.5.922

D= <25mg/kg

8.5.02CW



	CHAIN O	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	۵۲	
GEOENGINEERS, INC. 8410 154TH AVENUE N.E. REDMOND, WASHINGTON 98 (425) 861-6000	98052	Geo	leers	DATE 7/22/02   PAGE OF 3   LAB CC/   LAB NO. 425 356 - 2600
PROJECT NAME/LOCATION Sent ( Libusing	e Autricany	ANALYSIS	ANALYSIS REQUIRED	NOTES/COMMENTS
PROJECT NUMBER 0241-012-0	Ō	<i>Х</i> ү Т.Я.		(Preserved, filtered, etc.)
PROJECT MANAGER LISA BOXUA	<u>U</u> 4	1 H 9-1		
2	COLOCINO	14 12 142 172		
101	CTION # OF	LM	 	
LAB GEOENGINEERS DATE TIME	MATRIX JARS	N N		
-1-B-1-3 17H/22	S			
-2 8-1- 6 7/19/01 1148	S 2			
-2/13-1-9 7/19/12 11 52	5 2			
·UB-2-2 1, 1200	2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-5 3-2-6 1222	2 S			
-la B-2-11.5- 1226	2	×	1120 704 W 12 1 150	
- 2- W 12	M S R	A What has		3 VOA'S 2 2
-8 5-3-3				
B-3-6	Ś			
-10 8 - 3 - 9 1 1333				
-11 8-4-3.5 1402				
EDBY	RELINQUISHED BY	FIRM	RELINQUISHED BY	FIRM
SIGNATURE ANAL DECENT	SIGNATURE PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE	
DATE 7/22/02 TIME 0750	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME
VED BY	RECEIVED BY	FIRM	RECEIVED BY	FIRM
UPH HOPKO	SIGNATURE		SIGNATURE	
CHURN HARRING	PRINTED NAME		PRINTED NAME	
DATE /-//C-()/ TIME 3.4()0M	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:				

j,

. 1 & 5 =

	CHAIN	OF CU	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	CORD		
GEOENGINEERS, INC.		) } :			DA	DATE 7/22/02
8410 154TH AVENUE N.E. REDMOND, WASHINGTON 98 (425) 861-6000	98052		Geo	ngineers	2 2 3	
PROJECT NAME/LOCATION SELFT & Marsing	N. Rommer	-	ANA	ANALYSIS REQUIRED		NOTES/COMMENTS
PROJECT NUMBER 0241-012	1 1	(2				(Preserved, fittered, etc.)
	<u>5</u> 4	712	×4-		<u> </u>	
SAMPLED BY TOPD CO	COLOCINO	087	- Ha			
SAMPLE COLLE	CTION # OF		IM IIM IIM			
LAB GEOENGINEERS DATE TIME	MATRIX JARS		יקי			
-12 E-4-6.5 71,962 1410	5 2				 	
6-4-	S N	. <u></u>				
-14 5-5-3.5 1425	<u> </u>					
-15 8-5-7.5 1432	5		Ζ			
	5 2					
- 5.5	S B					
2-7.5	Ś			Alder Mager 11.	1:15	
19 13-6 -10 1 1534						
2019-7-3.5 1455						
31 5-7-7.5 1500						
22 5-7-105 1516	S 2	× 				
RELINQUISHED BY FIRM CE 1	RELINQUISHED BY		FIRM	RELINQUISHED BY		FIRM
SIGNATURE > + / / alorine	SIGNATURE			SIGNATURE		
NAME Toold Col	PRINTED NAME			PRINTED NAME		
122/02	DATE	TIME		DATE	TIME	
I wit the eksy	RECEIVED BY		FIRM	RECEIVED BY		FIRM
PRINTED NAME / D.C. / D.C. / D.C. / PRINTED NAME / D. / H. D. / A.D. D.C.	SIGNATURE PRINTED NAME			SIGNATURE PRINTED NAME		
0.00		TIME		DATE	ALL.	TIME
TIONAL COMMENTS:						
		·				
	a , ferri Andi Triffi fran	and the second sec		a an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second se

·

:

· • •

¦ .

•

20/22	PAGE S OF 3 LAB CC 1 LAB NO. 425 356 -2600	NOTES/COMMENTS	(Preserved, filtered, etc.)					¢				FIRM			FRM				
DATE 7/		NOTE	(Preser				u lisa				 	) BY		TIME			TIME		
Y RECORD	Geo	ANALYSIS REQUIRED		117.	оH		- AJAJ 729/32 P					RELINQUISHED BY	PRINTED NAME	DATE		SIGNATURE	PRINTED NAME DATE		
CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	Geo	Sea Hele	×Q-11 ×9-1 (g1209)	161 7 X 1	MN	-	× -					HED BY FIRM	WE	TIME	SY FIRM		IME TIME		
	UE N.E. Vgton 98052 00	TJJS MLKWAN	7012-0	аF	DATE TIME MATRIX	 1559	1 1410 5		*			 FIHM (7 / / REUNQUISHED BY	PRINTED NAM	0220			TIME 2'4' DATE		
GEOENGINEERS. INC.	8410 154TH AVENUE N.E REDMOND, WASHINGTON (425) 861-6000	PROJECT NAME/LOCATION			SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	<u> </u>	25 13-10, 3.5	e	 ,				NE -To JA (0)	2/02	ED BY		DATE 7. 7. 00 1 TURE TIN	TIONAL COMMENTS:	į

•

### APPENDIX D REPORT LIMITATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR USE

GeoEngineers

File No. 0241-012-00\101602

### **APPENDIX D**

### **REPORT LIMITATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR USE<sup>1</sup>**

This Appendix provides information to help you manage your risks with respect to the use of this report.

# ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ARE PERFORMED FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES, PERSONS AND PROJECTS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Seattle Housing Authority, their authorized agents and regulatory agencies. This report may be made available to the current site owners for review. This report is not intended for use by others, and the information contained herein is not applicable to other sites.

GeoEngineers structures our services to meet the specific needs of our clients. For example, an environmental site assessment study conducted for a property owner may not fulfill the needs of a prospective purchaser of the same property. Because each environmental study is unique, each environmental report is unique, prepared solely for the specific client and project site. No one except Seattle Housing Authority should rely on this environmental report without first conferring with GeoEngineers. This report should not be applied for any purpose or project except the one originally contemplated.

# THIS ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT IS BASED ON A UNIQUE SET OF PROJECT-SPECIFIC FACTORS

This report has been prepared for the 7313/7315 Martin Luther King Jr. Way South property in Seattle, Washington. GeoEngineers considered a number of unique, project-specific factors when establishing the scope of services for this project and report. Unless GeoEngineers specifically indicates otherwise, do not rely on this report if it was:

- not prepared for you,
- not prepared for your project,
- not prepared for the specific site explored, or
- completed before important project changes were made.

If important changes are made after the date of this report, GeoEngineers should be given the opportunity to review our interpretations and recommendations and provide written modifications or confirmation, as appropriate.

### **RELIANCE CONDITIONS FOR THIRD PARTIES**

Our report was prepared for the exclusive use of Seattle Housing Authority. No other party may rely on the product of our services unless we agree in advance to such reliance in writing. This is to provide our firm with reasonable protection against open-ended liability claims by third parties with whom there would otherwise be no contractual limits to their actions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Developed based on material provided by ASFE, Professional Firms Practicing in the Geosciences; www.asfe.org.

### ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS ARE ALWAYS EVOLVING

Some substances may be present in the site vicinity in quantities or under conditions that may have led, or may lead, to contamination of the subject site, but are not included in current local, state or federal regulatory definitions of hazardous substances or do not otherwise present current potential liability. GeoEngineers cannot be responsible if the standards for appropriate inquiry, or regulatory definitions of hazardous substance, change or if more stringent environmental standards are developed in the future.

# UNCERTAINTY MAY REMAIN EVEN AFTER THIS PHASE II ESA IS COMPLETED

No ESA can wholly eliminate uncertainty regarding the potential for contamination in connection with a property. Our interpretation of subsurface conditions in this study is based on field observations and chemical analytical data from widely-spaced sampling locations. It is always possible that contamination exists in areas that were not explored, sampled or analyzed.

### SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS CAN CHANGE

This environmental report is based on conditions that existed at the time the study was performed. The findings and conclusions of this report may be affected by the passage of time, by manmade events such as construction on or adjacent to the site, by new releases of hazardous substances, or by natural events such as floods, earthquakes, slope instability or groundwater fluctuations. Always contact GeoEngineers before applying this report to determine if it is still applicable.

### SOIL AND GROUNDWATER END USE

The cleanup levels referenced in this report are site- and situation-specific. The cleanup levels may not be applicable for other sites or for other on-site uses of the affected media (soil and/or groundwater). Note that hazardous substances may be present in some of the site soil and/or groundwater at detectable concentrations that are less than the referenced cleanup levels. GeoEngineers should be contacted prior to the export of soil or groundwater from the subject site or reuse of the affected media on site to evaluate the potential for associated environmental liabilities. We cannot be responsible for potential environmental liability arising out of the transfer of soil and/or groundwater from the subject site to another location or its reuse on site in instances that we were not aware of or could not control.

### MOST ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS ARE PROFESSIONAL OPINIONS

Our interpretations of subsurface conditions are based on field observations and chemical analytical data from widely spaced sampling locations at the site. Site exploration identifies subsurface conditions only at those points where subsurface tests are conducted or samples are taken. GeoEngineers reviewed field and laboratory data and then applied our professional judgment to render an opinion about subsurface conditions throughout the site. Actual subsurface

conditions may differ – sometimes significantly – from those indicated in this report. Our report, conclusions and interpretations should not be construed as a warranty of the subsurface conditions.

#### DO NOT REDRAW THE EXPLORATION LOGS

Environmental scientists prepare final boring and testing logs based upon their interpretation of field logs and laboratory data. To prevent errors or omissions, the logs included in an environmental report should never be redrawn for inclusion in other design drawings. Only photographic or electronic reproduction is acceptable, but recognize that separating logs from the report can elevate risk.

#### READ THESE PROVISIONS CLOSELY

Some clients, design professionals and contractors may not recognize that the geoscience practices (geotechnical engineering, geology and environmental science) are far less exact than other engineering and natural science disciplines. This lack of understanding can create unrealistic expectations that could lead to disappointments, claims and disputes. GeoEngineers includes these explanatory "limitations" provisions in our reports to help reduce such risks. Please confer with GeoEngineers if you are unclear how these "Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use" apply to your project or site.

# GEOTECHNICAL, GEOLOGIC AND GEOENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS SHOULD NOT BE INTERCHANGED

The equipment, techniques and personnel used to perform an environmental study differ significantly from those used to perform a geotechnical or geologic study and vice versa. For that reason, a geotechnical engineering or geologic report does not usually relate any environmental findings, conclusions or recommendations; e.g., about the likelihood of encountering underground storage tanks or regulated contaminants. Similarly, environmental reports are not used to address geotechnical or geologic concerns regarding a specific project.

#### **BIOLOGICAL POLLUTANTS**

GeoEngineers' Scope of Work specifically excludes the investigation, detection, or assessment of the presence of Biological Compounds which are Pollutants in or around any structure. Accordingly, this report includes no interpretations, recommendations, findings, or conclusions for the purpose of detecting, assessing, or abating Biological Pollutants. The term "Biological Pollutants" includes, but is not limited to, molds, fungi, spores, bacteria, and viruses, and/or any of their byproducts. • ret.