SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply". Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply". IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON PROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For non project actions, the reference in the checklist to the words "project", "applicant", and "property or site" should be read as "proposal", proposer", and "affected geographic area" respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of Proposed Project, if applicable:
Pasco Zone B RCRA Landfill Cap

2. Name of applicant:
Bayer CropScience (BCS)

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Applicant Contact	**Robert Lockemer** **Bayer CropScience, LP** **2 T.W. Alexander Drive** **Research Triangle Park, NC 27709** **robert.lockemer@bayercropscience.com** **Phone: 919-549-2930** **Fax: 919 549 3989**
Engineering Contact	**Chris Poulsen** **AMEC Earth & Environmental** **7376 SW Durham Road, Portland, OR 97224** **christopher.poulsen@amec.com** **Phone: 503-639-3400** **Fax: 503-620-7892**
Planning Contact	**Roger Gresh** **AMEC Earth & Environmental** **7376 SW Durham Road, Portland, OR 97224** **roger.gresh@amec.com** **Phone: 503-639-3400** **Fax: 503-620-7892**
Environmental Planning Contact	**Robin Johnston** **AMEC Earth & Environmental** **7376 SW Durham Road, Portland, OR 97224** **robin.johnston@amec.com** **Phone: 503-639-3400** **Fax: 503-620-7892**
Architectural Contact	**Not Applicable**

4. Date Checklist prepared:
May 12, 2010

5. Agency requesting checklist:
Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology)

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Construction is anticipated to begin in late summer 2010. The project is expected to be complete in fall 2010.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
   Yes, ongoing Operations and Maintenance of the interim cap.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
   The project site is Zone B of the Pasco Sanitary Landfill (PSL). The environmental issues related to the site are summarized in the Final Interim Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) for the Pasco Zone B RCRA Cap (Cap) submitted to Ecology on May 12, 2010. Additional reports available in Ecology files include the July 2002 Interim Action Completion Report, Zone B Removal, Pasco Sanitary Landfill prepared by URS; the March 1994 Phase I Remedial Investigation Report, Pasco Landfill, Pasco, Washington prepared by Burlington Environmental, Inc.; and the April 1999 Feasibility Study Report, Pasco Landfill, Pasco, Washington prepared by Philip Environmental Services Company.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
   No applications for this project are pending governmental approval.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
    Aside from the approval of this SEPA checklist and the project RAWP in review at the Ecology, there are no other government approvals or permits pending for this project.

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)
    BCS plans to construct a Cap over Zone B of the PSL. The Cap will be installed over the temporary cap currently in place. The PSL is approximately 250 acres. The Zone B cap is approximately 1 acre in size with the overall project area encompassing approximately 2 acres. The project area includes the Zone B cell, the area immediately adjacent to the Zone B cell where soil excavation activities will occur, and the access road leading into Zone B.

    Waste disposal activities occurred at Zone B of the PSL from December 1972 until October 1973. All the drums were removed from Zone B in early 2002. In accordance with the Ecology-approved drum removal work plan, visually impacted soils also were removed from across the entire drum cell footprint. The Cap is being installed because residual herbicide waste constituents in excess of Ecology's draft clean-up levels are presumed to be present in subsurface soils beneath the former Zone B drum repository area.
    This project is being completed under direction of Ecology as an interim measure while the final remedy of the PSL is being negotiated with Ecology. However, the Cap is expected to be the final remedial measure for Zone B of the PSL. The Cap will reduce the potential for human and/or ecological exposure to residual contamination, and minimize potential precipitation infiltration. Institutional controls associated with the Cap include fencing around the Cap perimeter.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.
    The PSL is located at 1901 Dietrich Road, Pasco, Washington, approximately 1.5 mile northeast of the town of Pasco. Zone B is located at the southeast corner of the landfill complex (see Figure 1).

    The legal description of the Zone B landfill (Franklin County Parcel 113580108) is the West ½ of the Northwest ¼ of Township 9-0 North, Range 30-0 East, Section 22.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other.
Flat/Rolling

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
The steepest slope on the site is approximately 5%. The site generally slopes to the south at 2% to 5%.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.
Based on the Natural Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS's) Soil Survey of Franklin County, Washington (Brincken, 2006), soil at the project site is classified as Royal Fine Sandy Loam on 2% to 5% slopes. The typical profile of this soil consists of grayish brown sand loam from 0 to 5 inches below ground surface (bgs); pale brown fine sandy loam fron 5 to 15 inches bgs; and stratified, light gray and light brownish gray very fine sandy loam fron 15 to 60 inches bgs. The soil is not identified as a hydric soil in the Soil Survey of Franklin County, or on the 2010 NRCS Hydric Soil List for Washington. The general lithology beneath the Zone B area and the rest of the PSL includes Touchet Beds and Upper Pasco Gravels.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.
No.

e. Describe the purpose, type and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.
Approximately 1,000 cubic yards of surface soil (to a depth of 1 foot) will be excavated adjacent to the south, southwestern, and southeastern sides of the existing temporary Zone B landfill cap and relocated to the top of the temporary cap prior to completion of the engineered Cap over Zone B. The area of excavation around the Zone B perimeter will be backfilled to approximate original grades using certified-clean fill material. Fill will be compacted to 85% maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D698. Following earthwork activities, the area will be hydroseeded with native grasses to prevent soil losses by wind and precipitation.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.
Zone B receives less than 6 inches of rain per year, so erosion is not likely to occur. However, in order to minimize potential erosion during construction, the contractor will, at a minimum, be required to provide the following:
- **Designation by flagging or other visible means the limits of clearing associated with site access and construction to prevent excessive ground disturbance;**

- **Temporary erosion controls appropriately installed around work area, including silt fences and straw bales to prevent run-on/off.**

Following Cap construction, potential erosion will be controlled by an engineered drainage system; excavated areas which are backfilled with clean fill will be vegetated.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?
The engineered Cap will cover 100% of Zone B (approximately 0.57 acres) of the PSL and will include a geosynthetic clay layer (bentonite clay sandwiched between geotextile layers) overlain by an impermeable 40-mil high density polyethylene geomembrane layer. These two layers will be overlain with a granular fill drainage layer containing a drainage collection system and geotextile filter fabric. The outermost layer will be a 2-foot-thick layer of topsoil seeded with native grasses.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:
Silt fences and straw bales will be used to prevent run-on/off during construction; vegetation will reduce/control erosion after construction.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e. dust, automobile odors, and industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.
During construction, there would be equipment exhaust and potential dust particle emissions to the ambient air. BCS plans to monitor the air during Cap construction for particulates as well as air temperature and estimated wind velocity. As stated below, watering will be used to control dust emissions as needed. No air emissions will be associated with the completed Cap.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.
No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:
If construction activities are undertaken during the dry season, watering will be used to control dust as needed. Water will be supplied from an off-site potable source (most likely a metered fire hydrant) and transported to the site via water truck.

3. Water

a. Surface:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

No surface water bodies are located on or in the immediate vicinity of Zone B.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
Does not apply.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.
Does not apply.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
No.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.
No.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.
No.

b. Ground:

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
No groundwater will be withdrawn during Cap construction. Precipitation that results in the generation of stormwater from the Zone B cap will be managed via evaporation and local infiltration. To collect stormwater above the impermeable layer post-construction, a drainage collection system will be installed consisting of a series of geotextile encased perforated and non-perforated HDPE pipes within the drainage layer and placed on the geomembrane. The collected water will be routed to multiple outlets at the downhill (southernmost) edge of the Cap. The outlets will be specifically designed and constructed to diffuse the kinetic energy of the conveyed water, to distribute the water for efficient infiltration/evaporation, and to be easily removed for cleaning the drainage pipe network. The outlet flow will be distributed on erosion protection rock and will dissipate through infiltration and evaporation consistent with current and surrounding stormwater runoff patterns.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemical... agricultural: etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.
No waste material will be discharged into the ground. Post-construction stormwater runoff will be controlled as described in B.3.b.1.

c. Water Runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so describe.
Stormwater will be the only source of runoff. Please see response to B.3.b.1 regarding the stormwater collection system.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
Please see response to B.3.b.1 and B.3.b.2.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any;
Please see responses to B.1.f and g, B.3.b.1, and B.3.b.2.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:
___ deciduous tree; alder, maple, aspen, other
___ evergreen tree; fir, cedar, pine, other
_X_ shrubs
_X_ grass
___ pasture
___ crop or grain
___ wet soil plants; cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
___ water plants; water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
___ other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
Approximately 27,000 square feet of surface grass and shrubs will be removed with excavated soil.

c. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any;
The excavated area will be backfilled and hydroseeded with an appropriate erosion control native grass seed mix. The Cap also will be hydroseeded with an appropriate erosion control native grass seed mix.

Top soil will not be preserved, as the top 1 foot of soil will be placed between the temporary cap and the completed permanent Cap.

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:
Birds; hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other
Mammals; deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: small mammals
Fish; bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other
No birds or mammals have been observed at the site, although chukars have been heard, and ground squirrels, Canadian geese, owls, songbirds, coyotes, hawks, and small mammals (shrews, ground squirrels, rabbits/hares, etc.)

have been observed in the region. The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) has identified the Burrowing Owl, Townsend's Ground Squirrel, and Black-Tailed Jackrabbit as specific species of interest that may be present on or near the PSL.

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.
BCS procured habitat and species databases for the Pasco Zone B area from the WDFW. The datasets reviewed include the Wildlife Survey Data Management, Priority Habitats and Species Areas, Washington Lakes and Rivers Information System, and Marine Environment. No threatened or endangered species are known to occur on the site or within the WDFW Critical Habitat Search Area.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
Yes, the site is within the Pacific Flyway.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any;
No measures to preserve or enhance wildlife are proposed during Cap construction. The completed project will remove the risk of direct contact/ingestion to hazardous substances by ecological receptors.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
There will be no energy needs associated with the completed Cap.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.
No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any;
There are no proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts during Cap completion, although equipment will not be allowed to idle for extended periods of time. There is no need for energy conservation measures after the Cap is in place.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so describe.
Existing contaminated soil will be removed from the area adjacent to the temporary cap, placed on top of the temporary cap, and covered with a permanent engineered Cap. To prevent airborne dispersion of soil-related contaminants during construction, dust suppression measures will be instituted as addressed in Section B.7.a.2.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None.

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any;
As noted in the response to B.2.a and c, the air will be monitored for dust, and if construction activities are undertaken during the dry season, watering will be used to control dust as needed.

Additionally, the proposed cap installation includes the abandonment of an existing groundwater monitoring well located within the Zone B construction area. The well abandonment eliminates a potential pathway for soil contaminants to reach groundwater. Routine groundwater monitoring will continue to occur at Zone B using remaining wells.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, aircraft, other)?
None.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example; traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
Short-term noise levels would be intermittently high throughout construction due to the use of heavy equipment, but should be limited to normal business hours and will comply with Chapter 8.24 on Noise Control of the Municipal Code of Franklin County, Washington. No noise levels issues will exist after Cap completion. Work is expected to only take place during normal business hours (e.g. 7 a.m. to 5 p.m.).

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any;
None planned. The nearest office building (it is related to PSL) is located approximately 800 feet from the project site. No residences are located in close proximity to the project site.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?
The site currently is Zone B of the PSL site. Zone B is located at the southeast corner of the PSL site, a hazardous waste cleanup site undergoing interim remedial actions. Other portions of the PSL site include the balefill landfill area to the west of Zone B, the Zone A drum repository to the west of the balefill area, an active municipal solid waste transfer station to the southwest of Zone B, and agricultural fields to the east and south of Zone B.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.
Prior to 1955, the PSL was characterized as unimproved grassland characterized by both stable and active sand dunes. It is unknown whether the land was used for agricultural purposes.

c. Describe any structures on the site.
The only above-ground structures on the site are the Zone B chain-link fence and a small storage container.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?
A portion of the existing chain-link fence will be removed during Cap construction, but will be replaced when the Cap is completed.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
AP-20, Agricultural Production Zone (See Figure 2).
(Franklin County - http://gis.co.franklin.wa.us/online/framesetup.asp)
Chapter 17.10 of the Municiple Code of Franklin County:
http://library6.municode.com/default-now/home.htm?infobase=16300&doc_action=whatsnew

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
Agricultural (See Figure 3).
(Franklin County - http://gis.co.franklin.wa.us/online/framesetup.asp)

The Pasco Landfill Site is currently being managed through Agreed Orders with, and Enforcement Orders issued by, the State of Washington Department of Ecology and various potentially liable parties (PLPs). The site is not currently proposed to undergo redevelopment. The Franklin County Comprehensive Plan designates two of the parcels as 'Agricultural Production 20' while the third is designated 'Industrial.'

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
Does not apply.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.
No.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
None.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
Does not apply.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any;
The proposed work at Zone B is compatible with current land use and plans for the PSL Site.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Does not apply.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
Does not apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any;
Does not apply.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
The tallest structure on the site will be the approximately 6-foot-tall chain-link fence surrounding the completed Cap.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any;
Does not apply.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
None.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any;
Does not apply.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
The property west of the Pasco Site Landfill is agricultural farmland and is known to be used seasonally for bird hunting. .

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
Does not apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any;
Does not apply.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any places of objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
None known.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.
None known.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any;
The construction manager will be responsible for managing and monitoring excavation activities and will be responsible for ensuring that proper actions are taken if historical, archaeological, scientific or cultural resources are encountered.

In addition, construction would be temporarily halted should evidence of historical, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance be discovered.

Applicable agencies to be contacted include:
- Chuck Gruenenfelder, Washington Department of Ecology, (509)329-3439.
- Allyson Brooks, Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, (360) 586-3066.

If human skeletal remains are discovered, the construction manager will notify the following local law enforcement and coroner personnel.

- Sheriff Richard Lathim, Franklin County Sheriff's Office, (509) 545-3501
- Dan R. Blasdel, Franklin County Coroners Department, (509) 546-5885, Cell: (509) 727-3766

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
Access to the site is from Dietrich Road to the north of Highway 12 on the northern edge of Pasco, Washington. Dietrich Road is located off of Commercial Avenue, approximately 1,000 feet to the north of the intersection of Commercial Avenue and Pasco Kahlotus Road (see Figure 1 attached).

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
No. The nearest transit stop is approximately 1.5 miles to the southwest of the site.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
None and none.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
No.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.
Does not apply.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any;
Does not apply.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example; fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.
No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.
Does not apply.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site; electricity, natural gas, water, stormwater, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.
The Pasco Landfill site has electricity. A natural gas transmission pipeline runs beneath a portion of the site to the southwest of Zone B. Appropriate notification will be provided to the underground utilities (i.e. "one call") in advance of commencing excavation work.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.
None.

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Date Submitted: June 8, 2010



AMEC Earth & Environmental 7376 SW Durham Road Portland, OR, U.S.A. 97224	amec		CLIENT: BAYER CROPSCIENCE	
TITLE: PROJECT VICINITY MAP		DWN BY: PM	DATUM: NAD83	DATE: APRIL 2010
PROJECT: PASCO LANDFILL ZONE B PASCO, WASHINGTON		CHK'D BY: RJ	REV. NO.: 1	PROJECT NO.: 4-61M-107051.01
		PROJECTION: WA SP N.	SCALE: 1 inch = 800 feet	FIGURE No.: FIGURE 1

K:\10000\10700\10705\10705-1\RAWP\Final RAWP\SEPA\Figure 1 - Project Vicinity Map.mxd



200 m 1 : 5266

This plan is suitable for information only. Intergraph accepts no liability for any error whatsoever.

Produced by 72.37.244.28 08:27 5/12/2010



200 m 1 : 5266

This plan is suitable for information only. Intergraph accepts no liability for any error whatsoever.

Produced by 72.37.244.28 08:29 5/12/2010