This site is
listed in ISIS
as:
"Hamilton Street
Bridge"
III N. Grie St.
Spokane, WA
ID# 84461527
-K. Scanlan

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

\*19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
SEP 1 2 2002
SUPERIOR COURT
SPOKANE, COUNTY, WN

# STATE OF WASHINGTON SPOKANE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

Plaintiff,

NO. 02205445 - 0

CONSENT DECREE

AVISTA CORPORATION, and THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY,

Defendants.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

,	I.	INTRODUCTION	3
>	II.	JURISDICTION	4
)	III.	PARTIES BOUND	4
	IV.	DEFINITIONS	5
)	V.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	5
	VI.	WORK TO BE PERFORMED	
	VII.	DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS	11
	VIII	PERFORMANCE	12
	IX.	ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS	13
-	X.	SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY	15
	XI	PROGRESS REPORTS	
	XII.	RETENTION OF RECORDS	16
	XIII	TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY	16
	XIV.	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES	17
	XV.	AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE	18

	1 XVI EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE	8
	2 XVII ENDANGERMENT 20 XVIII OTHER ACTIONS 2	
	XIX INDEMNIFICATION 22	2
	XX COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS 22 4 XXI. ** REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS 23	<u>)</u>
	XXII IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION 24	1
	XXIII: FIVE YEAR REVIEW 24 XXIV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 24	<u>.</u>
6	XXV. DURATION OF DECREE	, I
7		
8	XXVIII CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION 27	
9	XXIX EFFECTIVE DATE 27 XXX PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT 27	
10	Exhibit A - Site Diagram	
11	Exhibit B – Final Cleanup Action Plan	
12	Exhibit C - Scope of Work and Schedule Exhibit D - Public Participation Plan	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
-19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
- 1		
26		

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the Defendants is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. The facility is known as the Hamilton Street Bridge Site and is shown in the Site Map in Exhibit A. This Decree requires the Defendants to undertake the remedial actions specified in Section 8 of the Final Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) attached to this Decree as Exhibit B. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect public health and the environment.

- B. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. The parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's complaint. In addition, the parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters
- C. In signing this Decree, the Defendants agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its terms.
- D. By entering into this Decree, the parties do not intend to discharge nonsettling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the complaint. The parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended at the Site, including but not limited to sums expended under this Decree.
- E. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts; provided, however, that the Defendants shall not challenge the jurisdiction of Ecology in any proceeding to enforce this Decree.
- F. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

WA 09504 0117

#### II. JURISDICTION

- A This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the parties pursuant to Chapter 70 105D RCW, the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA).
- B. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public notice and any required hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- C Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site which is the subject of this Decree.
- D Ecology has given notice to Defendants, as set forth in RCW 70 105D 020(16), of Ecology's determination that Defendants are potentially liable persons for the Site and that there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site.
- E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public health, welfare, and the environment.
- F Defendants have agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consent to the entry of this Decree under the MTCA

#### III. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the signatories to this Decree (the Parties), their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the Decree. Defendants agree to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree and not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter the responsibility of the defendants under this Decree. Defendants shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree and shall

26

ensure that all work undertaken by such contractors and subcontractors will be in compliance with this Decree

#### IV. DEFINITIONS

Except for as specified herein, all definitions in WAC 173-340-200 apply to the terms in this Decree.

- A <u>Site</u>: The Site, referred to as the Hamilton Street Bridge Site, is located at 111 North Erie Street, Spokane, Washington. The Site is made up of three adjacent properties: one owned by The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) and two others owned by Spokane River Properties, LP (SRP). It also includes easements and limited parcels formerly owned by SGP that were deeded to the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) for the placement of the footings for the Hamilton Street Bridge. It is currently where Brown Building Materials is located and is situated beneath the Hamilton Street James E. Keefe Bridge along the Spokane River. The Site is more particularly described in the detailed site diagram that is included as Exhibit A to this Decree.
- B Parties: Refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the Defendants, collectively
- C <u>Defendants</u>: Refers collectively to Avista Corporation, and The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company
- D. <u>Consent Decree</u> or <u>Decree</u>: Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the exhibits to the Decree All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree. The terms "Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall include all Exhibits to the Consent Decree.
- E Section: Refers to a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a roman numeral

#### V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Ecology makes the following finding of facts without any express or implied admissions by Defendants

A The Site, as shown in Exhibit A, is located at 111 North Eric Street in Spokane, Washington and is made up of three adjacent properties: (1) The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) property formerly leased by the American Tar Company (ATC); (2) the former Spokane Manufactured Gas Plant (SGP) property previously owned by Avista Corporation (formerly The Washington Water Power Company); and (3) the existing riverfront property previously owned by Chicago Milwaukee & Saint Paul Railroad Company. The property under (2) and (3) above are now owned by Spokane River Properties, LP. The site also includes easements and limited parcels formerly owned by SGP that were deeded to the WSDOT for the placement of footings for the Hamilton Street Bridge.

B The SGP began operations at the Site sometime between 1905 and 1909, and was owned by the Union Gas Company. The property was sold to Spokane Gas & Fuel Company in 1909. In 1913, a northern portion of the land was sold to the former Chicago Milwaukee & Saint Paul Railroad (CM&SPR) to facilitate the construction of a track along the riverbank. The riverbank was extended into the river up to a length of 230 ft. to achieve this construction.

From 1948 to approximately 1956, a propane-air system was operated from the facility for gas mixing, storage, and distribution. The propane-air system was utilized until natural gas was available. Reflecting a change from coal gas manufacturing to natural gas distribution, SGP changed its name to the Spokane Natural Gas Company in 1956. In 1958, the Spokane Natural Gas Company merged with The Washington Water Power Company (WWP). WWP stored and dispersed natural gas at the Site until 1962 or 1963.

D Richard Brown leased the SGP property from 1963 to 1978 where he operated Brown Building Materials. He purchased the SGP property in 1978. Mr Brown also purchased portions of the Site in 1981 that were formerly owned by the CM&SPR. In 1982, the property was deeded to Spokane River Properties, LP, a Washington limited partnership, with Mr Brown as the general partner

1

2

3

4

- A coal tar processing operation previously operated on the BNSF property E formerly leased by ATC The operation is believed to have started concurrently with the Spokane Gas Plant in approximately 1905, and continued to formulate or distribute products until 1967. The C.G Betts Company operated the facility until the early 1930's when the operations were taken over by ATC. The operation produced a variety of hydrocarbon-based products and intermediates including roofing tar, boat pitch, post paint, and naphthalene, among others The ATC leased the property from BNSF until 1967. Mr. Brown began leasing the property from the BNSF in 1968 and continues to lease the property today
- In 1987, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) completed a preliminary assessment of both the SGP property and the BNSF property and recommended additional investigations for the BNSF property EPA conducted a Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability ACT (CERCLA) screening site investigation of the BNSF property in 1988 and of the SGP property in 1995 and referred both sites to Ecology for consideration.
- An environmental investigation of the Site was conducted by WSDOT in the G. fall of 1997. The results of this work are presented in the report: Focused Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Report - SR290 Southriver Drive Alignment Report. The report was prepared by EMCON for WSDOT, August 28, 1998. The study showed the presence of coal tar waste covering an area of two to three acres and extending to a depth in excess of forty feet. The study documented contamination of soil by various hydrocarbons, particularly polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).
- Η.. Ecology provided a letter to WSDOT, dated December 18, 1998, under the Voluntary Cleanup Program. The letter summarizes previous investigations, hazardous substance releases, and recommendations for additional investigation. Based on this letter and other Site information, the volume of contaminated soils on the Site was estimated to be over 50,000 cubic yards.

I Avista has performed both historical studies at the Site and field investigative studies of the former Spokane Gas Plant property. The results further defined the lateral boundaries of the soil contamination and showed that the soil contamination does not adversely affect groundwater outside the limits of soil contamination. The results also showed that the Site does not adversely impact the Spokane River sediments and surface water. These studies are presented in the following documents:

Supplemental Investigation – Former Spokane Manufactured Gas Plant report. Prepared by Landau Associates, Inc. for the Washington Water Power Company, January 7, 1999.

Historical Information Study – Vicinity of Former Spokane Manufactured Gas Plant Property Report. Prepared by Landau Associates Inc. for Washington Water Power Company, October 23, 1998.

Preliminary Site Investigation – Former Spokane Manufactured Gas Plant. Prepared by Landau Associates, Inc. for the Washington Water Power Company, February 9, 1998.

In 1998, BNSF performed a field investigation at the property leased by ATC from BNSF. Contaminants related to the coal tar were found in soil samples but were not detected in groundwater samples from monitoring wells installed on the property leased by ATC from BNSF. The results of the study are presented in the following document:

Focused Site Assessment Former American Tar Company Site, Spokane, Washington Prepared by GeoEngineers for BNSF, April 30, 1999

K. Avista conducted a second supplemental investigative effort at the Site to obtain additional information about groundwater and soil contamination in 1999. Results showed contaminants associated with manufactured gas plant processes and/or coal tar processing were found in soil samples as deep as eighty feet below ground surface. These contaminants include volatile organic hydrocarbons (VOCs) and semivolatile organic hydrocarbons (sVOCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and metals. Relatively few of these hazardous substances were detected in groundwater samples analyzed from areas surrounding the soil contaminated area. The investigations show that any hazardous substances partitioning into the groundwater are undergoing degradation through physical chemical, and biological

3

4

5

6

7

processes. The investigations indicate that the Spokane River is not being adversely impacted by the Site.

- In certified correspondence dated January 15, 1999, Ecology notified Avista and L. BNSF of the preliminary finding of potential liability and requested comment on those findings On June 11, 1999, Ecology notified Avista and BNSF of their status as "potentially liable persons" under RCW 70.105D 040.
- In certified correspondence dated June 11, 1999, Ecology notified Brown  $M_{\cdot \cdot}$ Building Materials (Spokane River Properties, LP) of the preliminary finding of potential liability and requested comment on these findings On September 10, 1999, Ecology notified Brown Building Materials (Spokane River Properties, LP) of its status as a "potentially liable person" under RCW 70.105D.040.
- N. Avista and BNSF entered into an Agreed Order with Ecology on March 13, 2000, and completed a Remedial Investigation (RI) and a focused Feasibility Study (FS). The completed RI report is entitled:

Second Supplemental and Remedial Investigation, Hamilton Street Bridge Site, Spokane, Washington Prepared by Landau Associates, Inc. for Avista Corporation and BNSF, February 2001.

The FS examined cleanup alternatives that protect human health and the environment Remedial alternatives for both groundwater and soils were analyzed to determine which combination of cleanup alternatives would be most appropriate for the Site. Five alternatives were evaluated based on MTCA criteria after an initial screening of processes and alternatives The FS is presented in the following document:

Feasibility Study Report, Hamilton Street Bridge Site, Spokane, Washington. Prepared by GEI Consultants, Inc. for Avista and BNSF, November 30, 2000

Ο., Based upon the results of the RI and the FS, Ecology prepared a Draft Cleanup Action Plan (DCAP). As required under MTCA, this DCAP was made available for public review and comment from July 2 to August 1, 2001. A Final Cleanup Action Plan (CAP), Exhibit B, was issued on August 10, 2001.

FA Y (360) 586-4760

#### VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Decree contains a program designed to protect public health, welfare and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances at, on, or from the Site.

- A. Defendants shall implement the cleanup action selected in the Final CAP (Exhibit B) as set forth in the Scope of Work and Schedule (Exhibit C), which establishes the required remedial action at the Site. The recording of institutional controls on property within the site shall be as provided for in Section VI.E.
- B. The cleanup action is described in Section 8 of the final CAP attached to this Decree as Exhibit B. This cleanup action consists of: covering contaminated soils with clean soil or gravel, stormwater management, construction of a streambank bioengineering along the impacted shoreline of the Spokane River, groundwater monitoring, institutional controls that include a Restrictive Covenant, and five-year reviews.
- C. Defendants shall perform compliance monitoring, as required in the Final CAP and in accordance with WAC 173-340-410, to ensure that the cleanup standards are met.
- D. Within 120 days of entry of this Decree, the Defendants shall submit to Ecology for approval the Engineering Design Report, Compliance Monitoring Plan, and an Institutional Controls Plan. Within 120 days of entry of this Decree, the Defendants shall submit to Ecology for review a Health and Safety Plan.
- A Restrictive Covenant, attached to the CAP as Appendix A, shall be recorded on property owned or controlled by defendants within the Site no later than 120 days after approval of the Institutional Controls Plan Access and institutional controls on property not owned or controlled by defendants within the Site shall be obtained as provided in Section IX.B and C.
- F. The Construction Plans and Specifications, and the Operation and Maintenance Plan, shall be submitted in accordance with the approved schedule in the Engineering Design Report. Completion of construction shall be in accordance with the approved schedule.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	б
	7
į	8
Ĝ	9
10	)
11	
12	2
3	
14	.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- G Ecology will review the Engineering Design Report, Compliance Monitoring Report, Institutional Controls Plan and Specifications, Construction Plans and Specifications, and the Operations and Maintenance Plan (hereinafter plans) These plans shall not be implemented, nor shall any other remedial activity take place at the Site, without Ecology's approval. Once these plans, and any required revisions, are approved by Ecology, the plans and the schedule shall become integral and enforceable elements of this Decree
- H. A cleanup action report, summarizing all construction activities and changes or modifications, shall be submitted to Ecology not later than ninety (90) days after completion of the construction.
- I. Defendants agree not to perform any remedial actions outside the scope of this Decree unless the Parties agree to amend the scope of work to address those actions. All work conducted under this Decree shall be done in accordance with Ch. 173-340 WAC unless otherwise provided herein.

#### VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

The project coordinator for Ecology is:

Teresita Bala, Site Manager
Toxics Cleanup Program
Washington State Department of Ecology
Eastern Regional Office
4601 N Monroe
Spokane, WA 99205-1295
E-Mail: TBAL461@ECY WA GOV

Voice: (509) 456-6337 Fax: (509) 456-6175

The project coordinators for Defendants for purposes of this Decree are:

Steve Schultz Avista Corporation 1411 E. Mission Spokane, WA 99202 Voice: (509) 495-4008

Fax: (509) 495-4796

E-mail: steve\_schultz@avistacorp.com

25

26

Bruce Sheppard Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company 2454 Occidental Avenue, Suite 1A Seattle, WA 99134-1451 Voice: (206) 625-5035

Fax: (206) 625-6007

E-mail: bruce sheppard@bnsf.com

Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree The Ecology project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative at the Site To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and the Defendants and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree. The project coordinators may agree to minor modifications to the work to be performed without formal amendments to this Decree Minor modifications will be documented in writing by Ecology

Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other parties at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change

#### VIII. PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of a professional engineer or hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. Any construction work must be under the supervision of a professional engineer Defendants' project coordinators shall notify Ecology in writing as to the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), or others and of any contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

FAX (360) 586-6760

2.2

#### IX. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS

- A. If the Site, or any other property where access and/or institutional controls are needed to implement this Consent Decree, is owned or controlled by any of the Defendants, such Defendants shall:
- (1) Commencing on the effective date of this Consent Decree, provide Ecology or any Ecology authorized representatives with the authority to enter and freely move about all property at the Site at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendants' progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the Defendant. All parties with access to the Site pursuant to this paragraph shall comply with approved health and safety plans; and
- (2) Commencing on the effective date of this Consent Decree, refrain from using the Site, or such other property, in any manner that would interfere with or adversely affect the integrity or protectiveness of the remedial measures to be implemented pursuant to this Consent Decree
- B If the Site, or any other property where access and/or institutional controls are needed to implement this Consent Decree, is owned or controlled by persons other than any of the Defendants, Defendants shall undertake all reasonable efforts to secure from such persons;
- (1) An agreement to provide access to the property for Defendants, and Ecology and their representatives (including contractors), for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent decree including, but not limited to, those activities described in Paragraph A.1 of this Section.
- (2) An agreement, enforceable by the Defendants and Ecology to abide by the obligations and restrictions established by Paragraph A 2 of this Section, or that are otherwise necessary to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the

remedial measures to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree including an agreement to provide institutional controls on the property as required by Section VI of the decree

- C. If any access or institutional control agreements contemplated by this Consent Decree on property described in Paragraph B of this section are not obtained within 120 days of the date of entry of this Consent Decree, Defendants shall promptly notify Ecology in writing, and shall include in that notification a summary of the steps that Defendants have taken to attempt to comply with this Section Ecology may, as it deems appropriate, take any reasonable efforts necessary to obtain access to, or institutional controls on, this property Defendants shall reimburse Ecology for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, in obtaining such access and/or institutional controls.
- D. If Ecology takes any action to compel a person who is not a party to this consent decree to record a deed restriction on property previously owned by Avista within the site, and that person seeks an award of compensation against the State of Washington, Ecology and/or any agent or employee thereof, Avista shall move to intervene or to otherwise be named as a party in such action, defend against any such claim for compensation, and pay any monetary judgment ordered by the court to be paid to such person including any award of attorneys fees and costs. If Avista's motion to intervene or otherwise be named as a party to such action is not granted by the court, then Avista agrees to assist the State of Washington, Ecology, and/or any agents or employees thereof, in defending against such claim for compensation by retaining and paying the costs for any expert witness(es) necessary to defend against the claim for compensation and paying any monetary judgment ordered by the court including the award of any attorneys fees and costs. Ecology agrees that it shall not enter into any settlement providing for the payment of any compensation or attorneys fees in such a case where Avista is not a party or intervenors without the consent of the Avista, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

# X. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Defendants shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it, or on its behalf available to Ecology and shall submit these results in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

Groundwater sampling data shall be submitted in accordance with WAC 173-340-840(5). These submittals shall be provided to Ecology in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

If requested by Ecology, Defendants shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Defendants pursuant to the implementation of this Decree Defendants' project coordinator shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity at the Site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Defendants or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree provided it does not interfere with the Ecology's sampling. Duplicate samples shall be provided only if sample quantity is available to split for a valid sample Ecology, without limitation on its' rights under Section IX, shall endeavor to notify the Defendants' project coordinator prior to any sample collection activity. Ecology's sampling shall be conducted in compliance with WAC 173-340-820 and -830

# XI. PROGRESS REPORTS

Defendants' project coordinators shall submit to Ecology written monthly progress reports which describe the actions taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree The progress report shall include the following:

- A list of on Site activities that have taken place during the month;
- B Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests:
- C Description of all deviations from the schedule (Exhibit C) during the current month and any planned deviations in the upcoming month;

EAN / 340) 304 4760

- D. For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining compliance with the schedule;
- E. All raw data (including laboratory analysis) received by the Defendants during the past month and an identification of the source of the sample;
- F A list of deliverables for the upcoming month if different from the schedule; and

All progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth day of the month in which they are due after the effective date of this Decree Beginning three (3) months after the cleanup action report is approved by Ecology, progress reports shall be submitted semi-annually. Unless otherwise specified, progress reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by electronic mail and regular mail to Ecology's project coordinator.

#### XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

Defendants shall preserve, during the pendency of this Decree and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXV, all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert in contracts with project contractors and subcontractors a similar record retention requirement. Upon request of Ecology, Defendants shall make all non-archived records available to Ecology and allow access for review. All archived records shall be made available to Ecology within a reasonable period of time

# XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary or involuntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated by a Defendant unless that Defendant provides for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest in all or any portion of the Site by a Defendant, and during the effective period of this Decree, that Defendant shall serve a copy of this Decree upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in

3

4

5

6

7

8

interest of the property; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, that Defendant shall notify Ecology of said contemplated transfer

#### XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- Α., In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, disapproval, proposed modification or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, the parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below
- (1)Upon receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's decision, the Defendants' project coordinators have fourteen (14) days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator of its objection to the decision.
- The parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision within forty-five (45) days after receiving notice of the dispute.
- (3)Any Defendant, through the Defendants' project coordinator identified in Section VII, may then request Ecology management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within fourteen (14) days of receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's decision.
- (4) Ecology's Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of the Defendants' project coordinator's request for review. The Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter:
- $\mathbf{B}$ If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to any Defendant, that Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event any Defendant presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such

F.AX (360) 586-6760

action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review

C. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where a party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other parties may seek sanctions

Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

#### XV. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

This Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among the parties to this Decree that is entered by the Court or by order of the Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Decree.

Defendants', through their designated project coordinators, shall submit any request for an amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in a timely manner after the request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Decree is substantial, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment. Reasons for the disapproval shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV of this Decree.

# XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

A. An extension of schedule shall be considered only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and shall be granted only if good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing by Defendants' project coordinator. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed.

25

An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology or the Court. Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashion. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XV when a schedule extension is granted.

- B The burden shall be on the Defendants' project coordinators to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, the following.
- (1) Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of the Defendants' designated project coordinators including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by a Defendant; or
- (2) Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty; or
  - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVII.

However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendants.

- C. Ecology may extend the schedule for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days, except where an extension is needed as a result of:
- (1) Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner; or
  - (2) Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
  - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVI.

Ecology shall give Defendants' designated project coordinators written notification in a timely fashion of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree

#### XVII. ENDANGERMENT

In the event Ecology determines that activities implementing or in noncompliance with this Decree, or any other circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order Defendants to stop further implementation of this Decree for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order as appropriate. During any stoppage of work under this section, the obligations of Defendants with respect to the work under this Decree which is ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

In the event any Defendant determines that activities undertaken by that Defendant in furtherance of this Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an endangerment to the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, that Defendant may stop implementation of this Decree for such period of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether the Defendant should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. Defendant's project coordinators shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and thereafter provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the work stoppage. If Ecology disagrees with a Defendant's determination, it may order the Defendants to resume implementation of this Decree. If Ecology concurs with the work stoppage, the Defendants' obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology

-49

FAX (360) 586-6760

determines is reasonable under the circumstances Any disagreements pursuant to the clause shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIV

#### XVIII. OTHER ACTIONS

Ecology reserves its rights to institute remedial action(s) at the Site and subsequently pursue cost recovery, and Ecology reserves its rights to issue orders and/or penalties or take any other enforcement action pursuant to available statutory authority under the following circumstances:

- (A) Where Defendants fail, after notice, to comply with any requirement of this Decree;
- (B) In the event or upon the discovery of a release or threatened release not addressed by this Decree;
- (C) Upon Ecology's determination that action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an emergency situation which threatens public health or welfare or the environment; or
- (D) Upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation beyond the scope of this Decree as to which Ecology would be empowered to perform any remedial action or to issue an order and/or penalty, or to take any other enforcement action. This Decree is limited in scope to the geographic Site described in Exhibit A and to those contaminants which Ecology knows to be at the Site when this Decree is entered at levels which present a known threat to human health and the environment.

Ecology reserves all rights regarding the injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources resulting from the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Hamilton Street Bridge Site.

Ecology reserves the right to take any enforcement action whatsoever, including a cost recovery action, against potentially liable persons not party to this Decree

13

16 17

~19 20

18

22 23

21

24 25

26

#### XIX. INDEMNIFICATION

Each Defendant agrees to defend and indemnify the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of that Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the Defendants shall not defend and indemnify the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree

#### XX.COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

- Α. All actions carried out by Defendants pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in paragraph B. of this section.
- $\mathbf{B}$ Pursuant to RCW 70 105D 090(1), the substantive requirements of Chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 75.20, 90.48, and 90.58 RCW and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action under this Decree that are known to be applicable at the time of entry of the Decree have been included in Exhibit B, the Cleanup Action Plan, and are binding and enforceable requirements of the Decree

Defendants have a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(l) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Defendants or Ecology determines that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendants shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies If Ecology so requires, then Defendants shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are

22

24

25

<sup>2</sup>6

applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Defendants and on how Defendants must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendants' project coordinators in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Defendants shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.

Ecology shall ensure that notice and opportunity for comment is provided to the public and appropriate agencies prior to establishing the substantive requirements under this section.

 $\mathbf{C}$ Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D 090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency which is necessary for the State to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and the Defendants shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits.

# XXI. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

The Defendants agree to pay costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree. These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Site under Ch. 70.105D RCW both prior to and subsequent to the issuance of this Decree for investigations, remedial actions, and Decree preparation, negotiations, oversight and administration. Ecology costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). The Defendants agree to pay the required amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement will result in interest charges.

# XXII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that Defendants have failed without good cause to implement the remedial action required under this Decree, then Ecology may, after notice to Defendants' project coordinators, perform any or all portions of the remedial action that remain incomplete If Ecology performs all or portions of the remedial action because of the Defendants failure to comply with its obligations under this Decree, then Defendants shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXI. No Defendant is obligated under this section to reimburse Ecology for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree

#### XXIII. FIVE YEAR REVIEW

As remedial action, including groundwater monitoring, continues at the Site, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Site, and to review the data accumulated as a result of Site monitoring as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. At least every five (5) years the Parties shall meet to discuss the status of the Site and the need, if any, of further remedial action at the Site. Ecology reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Site pursuant to MTCA, RCW 70.105D or the MTCA Cleanup Regulations, WAC 173-340. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of the Decree

#### XXIV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, Defendants shall cooperate with Ecology and, if agreed to by Ecology, shall:

Α. Prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study reports and engineering design reports. Ecology will finalize (including editing if necessary) and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings;

1

2

3

11 12

1.3

10

14 15

16 17

18 ..19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

CONSENT DECREE

23

24

25

ኅና

B Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendants' project coordinators prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments;

C Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter;

D. In cooperation with Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories to be located at the Spokane Public Library, 906 West Main Avenue, Spokane, WA 99201 and Ecology's Eastern Regional Office at 4601 North Monroe, Spokane WA 99205 At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases; all quality assured groundwater, surface water, soil sediment, and air monitoring data; remedial actions plans, supplemental remedial planning documents, and all other similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Decree shall be promptly placed in these repositories.

# XXV. DURATION OF DECREE

This Decree shall remain in effect and the remedial program described in the Decree shall be maintained and continued until the Defendants have received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed.

# XXVI. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Each Defendant hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies, unless recovery is allowed against the State of Washington or any of its agencies as a "potentially liable person" (PLP) under MTCA; and further, that no Defendant will make any claim against the State Toxics Control Account or any Local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree Except as provided above.

FAX (360) 586-6760

2

3

4

5

however, each Defendant expressly reserves its right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other potentially liable person.

# XXVII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE, REOPENERS

- Α. Covenant Not To Sue: In consideration of the Defendants' compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology agrees that compliance with this Decree shall stand in lieu of any and all administrative, legal, and equitable remedies and enforcement actions available to the State against Defendants regarding all matters within the scope of this Decree
- В. Reopeners: In the following circumstances, Ecology may exercise its full legal authority to address releases of hazardous substances at the Site, notwithstanding the Covenant Not To Sue set forth above:
- (1)In the event Defendants fails to comply with the terms and conditions of this Decree, including all Exhibits, and after written notice of non-compliance, such failure is not cured by Defendants within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice of non-compliance.
- In the event factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and such factors present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment and are not addressed by the Cleanup Action Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- Upon Ecology's determination that actions beyond the terms of this Decree are (3) necessary to abate an emergency or endangerment situation which threatens public health, welfare, or the environment.
- (4)Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the time frame set forth in the CAP.
- $C_{*}$ Applicability: The Covenant Not To Sue set forth above does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in this Section. The State of Washington reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Defendants with respect to all other matters and unding out not limited to, the following:
  - (1)Criminal Liability:

	1
2	2
3	and
4	
5	othe
6	
7	
8	again
9	RCW
10	
11	
12	
13	70.105
14	agreen
15	more e
16	
ii ii	at the
18	and wi
19	Decree
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	

- (2) Actions against any person or entity not a party to this Decree;
- (3) Liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments;
- D Ecology retains all of its legal and equitable rights against all persons except as otherwise provided in this Decree.

# XXVIII. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

By signing this Decree, the parties intend that Defendants will obtain the protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this Decree, as is provided by MTCA, RCW 70 105D 040(4)(d)

#### XXIX. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

### XXX. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). As a result of this process, Ecology has found that this settlement agreement, entered into as a Consent Decree under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a), will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site.

If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and void at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree.

DATED this It day of Jest, 2002.

1/th Sept.

Spokane County Superior Court

DAVID THORN COURT COMMISSIONER

DAVID THORN

1	STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY	CHRISTINE O GREGOIRE ATTORNEY GENERAL
2		
3	Church wicked	- Aller Maren
4	JIM PENDOWSKI	COLLEEN G. WARREN, WSBA #16506 Assistant Attorney General
5	Program Manager Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program	Attorney for Plaintiff
6	$   \alpha_2  _1  _{-2}$	Department of Ecology  Date: 8/7/02
7	Date: 21100	Date: 8/1/02
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	ļ
;	!
	:
	:
	!
	į
	:
	İ
1	

j	1 AVISTA CORPORATION	7	_
.2	2	Market 1	<u> </u>
3	3 By: At Min	My the	
4	Title: President-Avista Uti	Attorney for/Defendant Avista Corporation	
5	5		
6	Date: 5-23-02	Date:	
7	7		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13		DEGELLE	M. The County
14		May 3 0 250 =	1.
15			
16		EATTERN DE LE LA	ı
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	·		
26			

	į.

THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY CRAIG S. TRUEBLOOD, WSBA #18357 Attorney for Defendant The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company Date: 7 

CONSENT DECREE

AFTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON

Ecology Division PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 UAX (360) 586-6770

# EXHIBIT A

SITE MAP

	!
	:
÷	
;	
;	
;	
;	

