Report Redevelopment Activities: Stabilized Soil Mound Removal and Stormwater System Upgrades Boeing Isaacson Property Tukwila, Washington

March 24, 2009

Prepared for

The Boeing Company Seattle, Washington



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	1.1 SITE BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION	1-1
	1.2 SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	1-2
	1.3 PROJECT OBJECTIVE	1-3
2.0	PERMITTING AND DESIGN	2-1
	2.1 STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT CHECKLIST	2-1
	2.2 GRADING PERMIT	2-1
	2.2.1 Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	2-2
	2.2.2 Technical Information Report	2-2
	2.2.3 Grading and Stormwater Plans/ Design	2-3
	2.3 CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER GENERAL PERMIT	2-3
3.0	SITE PREPARATION ACTIVITIES	3-1
	3.1 UNDERGROUND UTILITY LOCATE	3-1
	3.2 PERIMETER SOIL CHARACTERIZATION	3-1
	3.3 MONITORING WELL ABANDONMENT	3-1
	3.4 WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALLATION	3-2
4.0	CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES	4-1
	4.1 MOUND REMOVAL	4-1
	4.1.1 Excavation Activities	4-1
	4.1.2 Materials Disposal	4-2
	4.1.3 Air Quality Monitoring	4-3
	4.2 POST-EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLING	4-3
	4.3 STORMWATER SYSTEM UPGRADES	4-3
5.0	SITE RESTORATION	5-1
6.0	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	6-1
7.0	USE OF THIS REPORT	7-1
8.0	REFERENCES	8-1

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u> <u>Title</u>

1 Vicinity Map

2 Site and Exploration Plan

LIST OF TABLES

Table <u>Title</u>

- 1 Perimeter Soil Analytical Results
- 2 Perimeter Air Quality and Personal Air Monitor Analytical Results
- 3 Post-Excavation Soil Sample Analytical Results

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix <u>Title</u>

- A Grading Permit
- B As-Built Drawings
- C Construction Stormwater General Permit
- D Stormwater Monitoring Records
- E Laboratory Analytical Results
- F PZ-5 Groundwater Monitoring Well Abandonment Log

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared by Landau Associates at the request of The Boeing Company (Boeing), to document redevelopment activities conducted at the Boeing Isaacson property located on East Marginal Way South in Tukwila, Washington (subject property; Figure 1). The redevelopment project was conducted by Clearcreek Contractors of Everett, Washington (Boeing's contractor). The project involved removal of a mound of previously stabilized soil (including the removal and disposal of the asphalt/concrete cap over the excavated area), installation of additional stormwater conveyance and treatment facilities on site, and the repaving of the project area. In addition, this report outlines the permitting and design elements that were completed for the project to comply with the requirements of the Federal Clean Water Act, Chapter 90.48 Revised Code of Washington (RCW), Chapter 173.201A Washington Administrative Code (WAC), Chapter 16.82 King County Code (KCC), and Chapter 16.54 City of Tukwila Municipal Code (TMC).

1.1 SITE BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION

The subject property is located at 8625 East Marginal Way South in Tukwila, Washington, in an area of commercial/industrial activity located west of Boeing Field and east of the Duwamish Waterway. The subject property is bounded by Port of Seattle-owned land on the west, followed by the Duwamish Waterway, the Boeing Thompson facility to the south, East Marginal Way South to the east, followed by Boeing Field, and by the Jorgensen Forge Corporation site to the north.

Prior to the excavation activities and stormwater improvements, the subject property was used by Boeing for temporary trailer and container storage. An asphalt-capped soil mound was located in the north-central portion of the property, with an elevation of 20.35 feet (ft) above mean sea level at its highest point. Areas of the subject property outside of the soil mound ranged in elevation between 13 ft and 16 ft above mean sea level. The soil mound resulted from the 1991 excavation and treatment of soil that contained elevated levels of arsenic. The soil was treated using a stabilization process to reduce the leachability of the arsenic. Prior to stabilization, the excavated soil at the subject property was designated as a characteristic waste for arsenic (D004) because toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) test results indicated it contained concentrations of arsenic in excess of 5 milligrams per liter (mg/L). The soil did not exhibit any other hazardous waste characteristics and did not contain any listed wastes (ERM 2001). After stabilization, the TCLP arsenic results for the soil were less than the characteristic waste limits. Sampling and analysis of stabilized soil from the mound conducted in January 2008 by Landau Associates confirmed that TCLP arsenic concentrations in the stabilized soil were below the universal treatment standard of 5 mg/L (Landau Associates 2008a).

1.2 SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The Duwamish River delta changed significantly during the past century, with the most notable changes including the extensive filling of intertidal marsh areas and the channelization of the Duwamish River. Most of the Duwamish Valley marsh area, including the river channel, was filled with material hydraulically dredged to create the Duwamish Waterway. Based on available historical information, including topographic maps, aerial photographs, and Sanborn fire insurance maps, a meander of the Duwamish River formerly flowed in an east-west direction along the southern boundary of the subject property. After channelization of the Duwamish River, a portion of the river channel known as Slip 5 remained on the subject property. The slip was filled in the 1950s and 1960s to support development of the Isaacson property and expansion of the adjacent property to the south (Landau Associates 2008b).

Based on subsurface explorations completed at and in the area of the Isaacson property, soil conditions at the subject property consist of approximately 6.5 to 17.5 ft of fill overlying native tideflat and river deposits, with the thickest layers of fill occurring in the area of the former Slip 5. The fill generally consists of silty sand to sandy gravel. Fill materials within the former Slip 5 area include bricks, wood debris, and slag material (ERM 2002). The native deposits typically consist of fine sand and silty fine sand with silt lenses. The native surficial deposits are characterized by the presence of small in-place roots, wood fragments, and peat, which are indicators of the original ground surface elevation prior to filling. Underlying the silt and silty fine sand is a series of interbedded alluvial sand and silt layers that were deposited within the floodplain of the Duwamish River. Beneath the interbedded alluvial silt and fine sand is a layer of very dark to black, fine to medium sand. This naturally deposited sand is found throughout the Duwamish River Valley and was likely deposited from flood waters (Landau Associates 2008b).

The near-surface groundwater regime within the Duwamish River Valley is generally characterized as a shallow, single aquifer system. The subject property is located approximately 60 ft east of the eastern bank of the Duwamish Waterway, at approximately 14 ft above mean sea level (USGS 1983). Shallow groundwater (generally less than 10 ft below ground surface) is present throughout the Isaacson property area. Based on topography and groundwater investigations conducted in the area of the Isaacson property by Landau Associates and others, the groundwater gradient is generally to the west toward the Duwamish Waterway. Based on previous investigations, the groundwater gradient at the subject property is tidally influenced (ERM 2002; Landau Associates 2008b).

1.3 PROJECT OBJECTIVE

The primary objective of the project was to level the subject property in order to make it suitable for lease, divestiture, redevelopment, or Boeing use. The excavation portion of the project consisted of the removal and disposal of a portion of the stabilized soil mound material and surface soil surrounding the mound to reduce the grade. After the excavation of the mound and surrounding surface soil, the excavation area (including remaining stabilized soil) was recapped with asphalt. Stabilized soil was removed from the subject property only as was required to reduce the mound to the planned grade; therefore, not all stabilized soil was removed from the site. New stormwater treatment and conveyance system improvements were installed as required by the *King County Surface Water Design Manual* (KCDNRP 2005).

2.0 PERMITTING AND DESIGN

The following permitting and design elements were required prior to, during, and after the completion of construction activities:

- State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) compliance
- City of Tukwila (City) Grading Permit
- Construction Stormwater General Permit.

Additional details on the permitting requirements and the design elements that were required for the project are discussed below.

2.1 STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT CHECKLIST

The mound removal and stormwater improvement project at the subject property met the criteria to qualify for a "planned action" option, which is a streamlined SEPA review process. The SEPA "planned action" review process was available for this project due to a programmatic environmental impact statement (EIS) that was prepared for the redevelopment of Boeing properties within the City's manufacturing industrial center. Landau Associates prepared the documentation required to comply with the SEPA planned action in conformance with WAC 197-11-960 and TMC 21.04, and submitted it to the City. The City issued a notice of decision on September 16, 2008 indicating the project was designated as a SEPA planned action.

2.2 GRADING PERMIT

The grading permit (permit number PW08-140) was issued by the City and became effective on September 24, 2008. A copy of the grading permit is provided in Appendix A. As part of the application for the grading permit, Landau Associates submitted the following elements:

- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)
- Technical Information Report
- Grading and stormwater facility design plans.

These elements are discussed in the following sections.

2.2.1 STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

The SWPPP was prepared for this project as required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) construction stormwater general permit. The purpose of the SWPPP was to describe the proposed construction activities and all temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control measures, pollution prevention measures, inspection/ monitoring activities, and recordkeeping that would be implemented during the course of the project.

The SWPPP was designed to:

- Implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) to prevent erosion and sedimentation, and to identify, reduce, eliminate, or prevent stormwater contamination and water pollution from construction activity
- Prevent violations of surface water quality, groundwater quality, or sediment management standards
- Prevent, during the construction phase, adverse water quality impacts including impacts on beneficial uses of the receiving water by controlling peak flow rates and volumes of stormwater runoff at the Boeing Isaacson property outfalls and downstream of the outfalls.

The SWPPP was prepared by Landau Associates using the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) SWPPP template (Ecology website 2008). The SWPPP was prepared based on the requirements set forth in Ecology's *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (Ecology 2005). A Transfer of Coverage was submitted to Ecology for the SWPPP on October 8, 2008, transferring control and responsibility of the SWPPP from Boeing/Landau Associates to Boeing/ Clearcreek (the earthwork contractor for the project).

2.2.2 TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT

The Technical Information Report prepared by Landau Associates for this project included analyses, evaluations, and modeling results that supported the design for management of stormwater quality (Landau Associates 2008c). The Technical Information Report also presented data and evaluations that meet the requirements of the *King County Surface Water Design Manual* (KCDNRP 2005).

Excavation activities on the subject property were classified by the City as a "redevelopment" project because the project involved altering existing site grades; therefore, upgrades to the stormwater treatment and conveyance system were required per the *King County Surface Water Design Manual*. Stormwater system upgrades are discussed in Section 4.3.

2.2.3 GRADING AND STORMWATER PLANS/ DESIGN

The grading and stormwater design plans (construction drawings) for the project were prepared by Landau Associates, detailing the grading plan and the specifications for the installation of the stormwater treatment and conveyance facilities. The construction drawings were submitted to the City as part of the application for the grading permit on August 4, 2008. The grading permit was issued by the City, effective September 24, 2008. After the completion of construction activities, as-built drawings of the subject property were prepared by Landau Associates. The drawings are provided in Appendix B.

2.3 CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER GENERAL PERMIT

A Construction Stormwater General Permit (CSGP) was required for the project because land disturbing/grading activities were planned for more than 1 acre of land on the subject property. The CSGP coverage became effective on September 18, 2008. The CSGP coverage ended on January 9, 2009. A copy of the CSGP is provided in Appendix C. The steps that were taken to obtain, follow, and close out the CSGP are as follows:

- **Submit a Notice of Intent application to Ecology**. A Notice of Intent (NOI) is the official CSGP application. The NOI was submitted to Ecology by Boeing on July 14, 2008.
- **Publish two public notices indicating project details**. The first public notice was published on July 15, 2008 and the second public notice was published on July 23, 2008; both public notices were published in *The Seattle Times*. There were no public comments received during the 30-day public comment period, which ended on August 22, 2008.
- **Prepare a SWPPP before the start of construction**. As discussed in Section 2.2.1, the SWPPP was prepared by Landau Associates prior to the start of construction.
- Submit a Transfer of Coverage notice to Ecology. As discussed in Section 2.2.1., a notice of Transfer of Coverage was submitted to Ecology on October 8, 2008, to transfer control and responsibility of the SWPPP from Boeing/Landau Associates to Boeing/Clearcreek.
- Conduct monitoring, recordkeeping, and submit reports to Ecology. The designated Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL) was provided by Clearcreek. The CESCL's responsibilities included the monitoring of stormwater (pH, turbidity, and total arsenic content), inspection of BMPs, recording and reporting the results, and sending Discharge Monitoring Reports to Ecology on a monthly basis. The weekly monitoring records are provided in Appendix D. Laboratory data are provided in Appendix E.
- Submit a Notice of Termination to Ecology. A Notice of Termination was submitted to Ecology on January 9, 2009, after all paving activities had been completed, all construction-related stormwater had been discharged or disposed of, and all temporary BMPs had been removed.

3.0 SITE PREPARATION ACTIVITIES

Prior to the start of excavation activities associated with the mound removal and stormwater conveyance upgrades, the following activities were completed:

- Underground utility locate
- Perimeter soil characterization
- Monitoring well abandonment
- Water treatment system installation.

These site preparation activities are discussed further below.

3.1 UNDERGROUND UTILITY LOCATE

Prior to excavation activities, Clearcreek arranged for public and private underground utility locates to identify potential subsurface utilities within the excavation area. With the exception of the King County storm drain line on the northern side of the excavation (Figure 2), no utilities were identified within the excavation area.

3.2 PERIMETER SOIL CHARACTERIZATION

Prior to construction activities, 20 shallow soil samples were collected from portions of the planned construction area located outside of the stabilized soil mound (sample locations are shown on Figure 2). The samples were collected using direct-push sampling methodology. The samples were analyzed for total arsenic and TCLP arsenic to determine if the non-stabilized soil would meet requirements for disposal as non-hazardous solid waste. As indicated in Table 1, the maximum detected concentration of TCLP arsenic was 0.76 mg/L, which is below the 5.0 mg/L toxicity threshold criterion for classification as dangerous waste. It was therefore determined that soil removed from outside of the stabilized soil mound area could be disposed of as solid waste along with the stabilized soil.

3.3 MONITORING WELL ABANDONMENT

Groundwater monitoring well PZ-5, located within the planned excavation limits, was permanently abandoned on August 20, 2008. The former location of PZ-5 is shown on the Storm Drainage Plan and Profile (Appendix B). Abandonment activities were performed by a licensed well driller (ESN Northwest) and overseen by a Landau Associates field representative under the direction of a professional engineer, in accordance with WAC 173-160-460. The monitoring well was abandoned by

removing the PVC pipe and backfilling the hole with bentonite chips. A copy of the well abandonment log was submitted to Ecology and is provided in Appendix F.

3.4 WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALLATION

Prior to excavation of the stabilized soil mound, a water treatment system was installed to temporarily contain and treat stormwater originating on site during construction activities. Stormwater flowing into the onsite catch basins during construction activities was tested and, if necessary, treated before being discharged to the storm drain outlet, as described in the SWPPP. All stormwater collected during construction activities was tested for pH, turbidity, and total arsenic prior to discharge to ensure compliance with all applicable regulations. Initially, the treatment system included two separate treatment components, one for treating stormwater that originated from the eastern side of the subject property and one for treating stormwater that originated from the western side of the property. Both treatment components were comprised of the following elements: multiple catch basins (with Ultra-DrainGuard[®] inserts) connected to a CONTECH[®] Vortechs[®] vault (Vortechs vault) with an inflatable plug to prevent unintended discharges to the storm drain inlet; a Pioneer pump to transfer water from the Vortechs vault to the first Baker tank (used for sedimentation and the location where samples were taken to conduct pH and turbidity tests); and another Pioneer pump to transfer water from the first Baker tank to the second Baker tank (where arsenic samples were collected) after sedimentation was successful. Following the first turbidity tests on samples from the first batch of stormwater (in the first Baker tank on both the eastern and western side of the subject property), it became apparent that additional treatment would be necessary to meet the turbidity threshold requirements detailed in the SWPPP. Additional Baker tanks were also needed for increased stormwater storage capacity; due to rainfall events, the initial temporary stormwater storage capacity was nearly reached.

In order to meet turbidity threshold requirements, Clearcreek installed a new treatment system, which, like the first treatment system, was comprised of multiple catch basins connected to two Vortechs vaults (an eastern Vortechs vault and a western Vortechs vault). However, the new treatment system varied from the first system in that all stormwater pumped from the eastern and western vaults was pumped to a common set of eight Baker tanks. The first five Baker tanks were used for the initial storage of stormwater. All five Baker tanks were plumbed together to allow stormwater to rise and fall in all five tanks simultaneously. Stormwater was then pumped through a Rain For Rent filtration system (to reduce turbidity) into the sixth Baker tank, where it was then tested for turbidity and pH. If additional tests indicated that the turbidity of the stormwater was still above the turbidity threshold, then the stormwater was cycled between the sixth Baker tank and the Rain For Rent filtration system for 3 to 7 hours in order to pass the turbidity requirements outlined in the SWPPP. The Rain For Rent filtration system included

one 1-micron filter and two 0.5-micron filters. Stormwater was then pumped to the seventh or eighth Baker tanks for temporary storage until the analytical results for total arsenic were available. Once analytical results indicated that total arsenic was below the threshold detailed in the SWPPP, stormwater was discharged to the storm drain inlet, where it ultimately flowed out to the Duwamish Waterway via an outfall on the western side of the Boeing Thompson property (adjacent to the southern boundary of the Isaacson property).

4.0 CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

Construction activities at the subject property included the following elements:

- Mound removal activities
- Post-excavation soil sampling
- Stormwater system upgrades.

4.1 MOUND REMOVAL

As described below, activities involved with the removal of stabilized, mounded material included excavation of material, material disposal, and air quality monitoring.

4.1.1 EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES

Soil excavation was conducted by Clearcreek and commenced on September 29, 2008. Clearcreek used one Hitachi 330 and two Hitachi 200 excavators to load treated material, soil, and pavement into dump trucks and shipping containers. Clearcreek used D-8 and D-9 Caterpillar bulldozers to break up the treated material, the Hitachi excavators to break up the asphalt, and a hydraulic breaker mounted on a Caterpillar 236B skid steer loader to break up concrete so that it could be loaded into the dump trucks and shipping containers. Boeing contracted with Philip Services Corporation (PSC) to provide transportation and coordinate disposal of treated and untreated soil, asphalt, and concrete. The area of the excavation was 4.6 acres and the lateral limits of the excavation are shown on Figure 2. In the area of the stabilized soil mound, soil was removed to a maximum depth of approximately 6 ft below ground surface (BGS). In the area surrounding the mound, only the asphalt or concrete and base course material was removed, with the exception of the areas where soil was excavated to install the two Vortechs vaults, the three new catch basins, and the new stormwater conveyance lines. A total of 25,116 tons of soil (5,626 tons of unstabilized soil and 19,490 tons of treated/stabilized material) were excavated from the subject property. In addition, 60 loads of concrete and 179 loads of asphalt were removed from the surface of the excavated area and recycled off site.

As previously stated, the treated material was stabilized in 1991 to prevent leaching of arsenic into the underlying soil and groundwater. The stabilization process resulted in the material being extremely hard and difficult to break up. Initially, the rippers of a D-8 Caterpillar bulldozer (D-8) were used to break up the stabilized material so that it could be loaded into the dump trucks and/or shipping containers; however, after the first week of excavation it became apparent that the D-8 was not powerful

enough to efficiently break up the material. Beginning the week of October 6, 2008, a D-9 Caterpillar bulldozer (D-9) was delivered to the subject property to replace the D-8.

Clearcreek stripped and loaded the asphalt and concrete directly into dump trucks. Once a significant area of treated material was exposed, bulldozers were used to break up and loosen the treated material. The treated material and soil were then loaded by one of the three excavators into the dump trucks or shipping containers. The pavement was stripped in approximately 20-ft-wide sections, starting from the western side of the project area and moving toward the east. This excavation plan allowed trucks to travel up the slope of the mound at a safe angle that prevented trucks from rolling over. In addition, this excavation plan allowed trucks to remain on paved surfaces at all times, thereby reducing the amount of soil tracked around and off the site, and minimized the amount of soil exposed at any given time.

A vein of tar-like substance was excavated from the project area. The tar-like substance was discovered outside of the stabilized soil perimeter on the northern side of the excavation for the eastern Vortechs vault, at a depth of approximately 1.5 ft BGS (Figure 2). The extent of the tar-like substance is not known, as excavation of the material was completed before the substance was identified; however, the vein appeared to be approximately 6 inches thick and approximately 3 ft wide. A sample of the tar-like substance (IMR-1-081003) was collected and analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), and extended diesel-range total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH-Dx). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and diesel-range and motor oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) were detected at concentrations above the laboratory reporting limits. The analytical data are included in Appendix E. No excavation other than that required for installation of the Vortechs vault was conducted in this area.

4.1.2 MATERIALS DISPOSAL

Asphalt, concrete, soil, and treated material were transported for disposal by trucking companies (ISI Trucking and PGH Trucking) that had been subcontracted by PSC. Daily, before entering the subject property, the truck drivers and their trucks and trailers or shipping containers were subject to Boeing inspection protocols at the inspection gate. Excavated material was transported to Lafarge, Inc. (soil), located at 5400 West Marginal Way SW, Seattle, Washington; Stoneway Rock and Recycling, Inc. (asphalt and concrete), located at 510 Monster Road in Renton, Washington; and Rabanco Company's Allied Waste Recycling Center (stabilized soil), located at 2733 3rd Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. The number of dump trucks and/or shipping containers used on any given day depended on the available capacity for material at the Allied Waste Recycling Center.

4.1.3 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

Clearcreek conducted air monitoring at downwind perimeter locations at the subject property. In addition, personal protective air monitoring was conducted on all Clearcreek personnel that performed activities within the excavation area. Samples were analyzed for arsenic by National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Method 7300 by NVL Labratories, Inc. Laboratory analytical results for perimeter air monitoring and personal protective air monitoring all indicated that levels of arsenic were below the reporting limit (Table 2; Appendix E).

Throughout the course of the project, a Landau Associates field representative and Clearcreek personnel monitored onsite dust levels. As needed, Clearcreek personnel swept the site to control dust. In addition, Clearcreek subcontracted a large vacuum sweeper to clean the paved surfaces, as needed. Clearcreek also provided a water truck to dampen the paved surfaces and excavated material, as needed, to prevent dust plumes. The water truck was filled with water from the fire hydrant located on the Boeing Thompson property (adjacent to the south).

4.2 POST-EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLING

Soil samples were taken at 16 locations evenly distributed throughout the excavated area to document soil conditions at the surface of the finished grade of the excavated area. All soil samples were analyzed for total Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals. In addition, although no field indications of petroleum contamination were observed during excavation, one soil sample was analyzed for TPH-Dx because diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in samples from test pits in the soil mound area prior to mound removal. The locations of the soil samples are indicated on Figure 2. The analytical results are presented in Table 3 and the laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix E.

4.3 STORMWATER SYSTEM UPGRADES

As described in Section 2.2.2, excavation activities on the subject property were classified by the City as a "redevelopment"; therefore, upgrades to the stormwater treatment and conveyance system were required. All stormwater system upgrades were completed at the beginning of the project (October 2008) to control and treat stormwater runoff during construction activities. The upgrades included the installation of two Vortechs vaults, one on the southeastern side of the project area and the other on the western side of the project area (Figure 2). The size and placement of the vaults were chosen based on estimated calculations for peak stormwater flow through the vaults (refer to the Technical Information Report for further details on analyses, evaluations, and modeling results; Landau Associates 2008c).

Additional stormwater upgrades included three new catch basins; three new sections of stormwater conveyance line (a total of approximately 497 linear ft) connecting all onsite catch basins to the eastern or western Vortechs vaults; and the removal of approximately 320 linear ft of stormwater conveyance lines and catch basin number (CB No.) 15 associated with the old stormwater conveyance system based on the previous grade of the site. Prior to placement of the stormwater system components, the base of excavations and trenches was compacted to meet design specifications. Compaction testing was conducted by Mayes Testing Engineers, Inc. (Mayes). Installation of the stormwater system components was observed by the City. For design specifications of the new Vortechs vaults, catch basins, and stormwater conveyance lines refer to the Technical Information Report (Landau Associates 2008c). For a description of locations of the Vortechs vaults and catch basins and installation specifications for the stormwater conveyance lines, refer to the Storm Drainage Plan and Profile in Appendix B.

5.0 SITE RESTORATION

Final restoration of the project area involved filling with gravel base course material, grading and compacting the base course, and then repaving the excavated area. Gravel base course material was transported to the site by Clearcreek. At least 9 inches of base course was placed over the surface of the excavated area. Clearcreek then roughly leveled the base course using a Deere 650 bulldozer and compacted the base course using a Vibromax VM 116 roller. Final leveling and compacting were performed by Lakeside Industries, Inc. (Lakeside), subcontracted by Clearcreek. Lakeside personnel first leveled the excavated area using a Champion C86A grader and then compacted the excavated area using a Vibromax roller. After final leveling and compacting, compaction testing was conducted by Mayes to ensure that at least 95 percent compaction was achieved, in accordance with the construction plans. After Clearcreek received notification from Mayes that the compaction results indicated at least 95 percent compacting in two 2-inch lifts for a total of 4 inches of asphalt. A total of five paving events were conducted to cap the excavated area with asphalt and apply the second layer. Multiple paving events minimized the amount of soil that was exposed to stormwater runoff; thereby reducing the amount of temporary stormwater holding capacity needed.

Three King County storm drain manholes are present within the northern side of the excavated area. All excavation and site restoration activities were performed around the perimeter of the manholes to avoid impact to the manholes.

6.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Construction activities at the subject property included the installation of stormwater treatment and conveyance system upgrades and the leveling of the site in order to make it suitable for lease, divestiture, redevelopment, or Boeing use. All stormwater system upgrades and site grading activities were completed in accordance with the construction plans.

The stormwater upgrades included the installation of two CONTECH Vortechs vaults, three new catch basins, three new sections of stormwater conveyance line (a total of approximately 497 linear ft), and the removal of approximately 320 linear ft of stormwater conveyance lines and catch basin number (CB No.) 15 associated with the old stormwater conveyance system.

A total of 25,116 tons of material (5,626 tons of unstabilized soil and 19,490 tons of treated/ stabilized material) was excavated and transported off site for disposal. In addition, 60 loads of concrete and 179 loads of asphalt were removed and transported off site for recycling. Following excavation activities, post-excavation soil samples were collected and analyzed to document soil conditions at the base of the excavation and the project area was repaved. The final grade of the project area and the current stormwater system configuration are shown on the as-built drawings in Appendix B.

7.0 USE OF THIS REPORT

This summary report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Boeing for specific application to the Isaacson property in Tukwila, Washington. No other party is entitled to rely on the information, conclusions, and recommendations included in this document without the express written consent of Landau Associates. Further, the reuse of information, conclusions, and recommendations provided herein for extensions of the project or for any other project, without review and authorization by Landau Associates, shall be at the user's sole risk. Landau Associates warrants that within the limitations of scope, schedule, and budget, our services have been provided in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality under similar conditions as this project. We make no other warranty, either express or implied.

This document has been prepared under the supervision and direction of the following key staff.

LANDAU ASSOCIATES, INC.

Mark W. Brunner Staff Environmental Planner

athugn & Hawley

Kathryn F. Hartley Project Scientist

Kristy J. Hendrickson, P.E. Principal

MWB/KFH/KJH/ccy

8.0 REFERENCES

Ecology. 2005. *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*. Washington State Department of Ecology Water Quality Program. February.

Ecology website. 2008. *Template for Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan*. <u>http://www.ecy.wa.gov/</u> programs/wq/stormwater/construction/swpptemplate/SWPPPTemplate082907.doc. Washington State Department of Ecology.

ERM. 2002. Report: *Comprehensive Data Summary Report, Boeing Isaacson Site, VCP ID # NW0453*. Environmental Management Resources. August.

ERM. 2001. Technical Memorandum: *Site Use Evaluation, Boeing Isaacson*. From Tim McCormack and Doug Hodson to Paul Johansen, Boeing Airplane Company. Environmental Resources Management. June 29.

KCDNRP. 2005. *King County Surface Water Design Manual*. King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks. King County, Washington. January 24.

Landau Associates. 2008a. Letter Report: *Focused Disposal Characterization Sampling, Asphalt-Capped Treated Soil Mound, Boeing Isaacson Property, East Marginal Way, Tukwila, Washington.* From David M. Nelson, L.G., Kathryn F. Hartley, and Timothy L. Syverson, L.G., to Kathryn Lewis, Boeing Environment, Health and Safety Remediation Group. May 7.

Landau Associates. 2008b. Report: Environment, Health, and Safety Assessment/Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Boeing Isaacson Property, Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company. September 15.

Landau Associates. 2008c. Technical Information Report, Boeing – Isaacson Soil Mound Removal, Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company. August 4.

USGS. 1983. 7.5-Minute Topographic Map, Seattle, Washington Quadrangle. U.S. Geological Survey.



/:\Projects\0025173\080\Fig1.mxd 3/6/2009



TABLE 1 PERIMETER SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS BOEING ISAACSON PROPERTY TUKWILA, WASHINGTON

			Total	TCLP
		Date	Arsenic	Arsenic
Location	Lab ID	Collected	(mg/kg)	(mg/L)
ISC-A	0808104-01	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-B	0808104-02	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-C	0808104-03	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-D	0808104-04	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-E	0808104-05	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-F	0808104-06	8/20/2008	5.5	0.04 U
ISC-G	0808104-07	8/20/2008	6.2	0.04 U
ISC-H	0808104-08	8/20/2008	160	0.43
ISC-I	0808104-09	8/20/2008	14	0.04 U
ISC-J	0808104-10	8/20/2008	120	0.26
ISC-K	0808104-11	8/20/2008	150	0.34
ISC-L	0808104-12	8/20/2008	390	0.72
ISC-M	0808104-13	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-N	0808104-14	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-O	0808104-15	8/20/2008	270	0.67
ISC-P	0808104-16	8/20/2008	9.2	0.04 U
ISC-Q	0808104-17	8/20/2008	63	0.04 U
ISC-R	0808104-18	8/20/2008	5.0 U	0.04 U
ISC-S	0808104-19	8/20/2008	13	0.04 U
ISC-T	0808104-20	8/20/2008	480	0.76

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{U}}$ = Indicates the compound was undetected at the reported concentration.

TABLE 2 PERIMETER AIR QUALITY AND PERSONAL AIR MONITOR ANALYTICAL RESULTS BOEING ISAACSON PROPERTY TUKWILA, WASHINGTON

Date	Method	COC	Туре	Air Volume (L)	Time (min)	Results (µg/m³)
9/29/2008	NIOSH 7300	Arsenic	Personal	443	300	4.5 U
9/30/2008	NIOSH 7300	Arsenic	Personal	623	420	3.2 U
10/6/2008	NIOSH 7300	Arsenic	Personal	927	450	2.2 U
10/6/2008	NIOSH 7300	Arsenic	Personal	689	450	2.9 U
10/8/2008	NIOSH 7500	Silica	Personal	758	450	BDL
10/8/2008	NIOSH 7300	Arsenic	Area	794	450	2.5 U

U = Indicates the compound was undetected at the reported concentration.

BDL = Below detection limit.

TABLE 3 POST-EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS BOEING ISAACSON PROPERTY TUKWILA, WASHINGTON

	IMR-2 NVO7A 10/17/2008	IMR-3 OA02A 11/13/2008	IMR-4 NVO7B 10/17/2008	IMR-5 NVO7C 10/17/2008	IMR-6 NVO7D 10/17/2008	IMR-7 NW45A 10/27/2008	IMR8 NVO7E 10/17/2008	IMR-10 NY11A 11/4/2008	IMR-11 NW45B 10/27/2008	IMR-11 NY11B 11/4/2008	IMR-12 NW45C 10/27/2008
TOTAL METALS (mg/kg)											
Arsenic	5 U	294	1,120	8	2,440	5 U	253	38	524	439	1,780
Barium	48.0	95.5	153	61.5	78.6	31.4	57.8	30.1	85.0	46.6	93
Cadmium	0.2 U	1.6	3.1	0.6	5.6	0.2 U	1.0	0.3	1.4	1.6	3.1
Chromium	19.5	65.8	55	41.2	19.7	21.3	26.4	24.4	38.6	22.9	116
Lead	2 U	126	136	56	26	2 U	44	2 U	114	40	46
Mercury	0.04 U	1.44	0.46	0.10	0.68	0.05 U	0.81	0.04 U	1.82	1.12	0.70
Selenium	5 U	6 U	20 U	5 U	6 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	6 U	6 U	20 U
Silver	0.3 U	0.4 U	0.9 U	0.3 U	0.4 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.3 U	1 U
NWTPH-Dx (mg/kg)											
Diesel	NA	NA	NA	NA	17	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Motor Oil	NA	NA	NA	NA	61	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Page 1 of 2

TABLE 3 POST-EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS BOEING ISAACSON PROPERTY TUKWILA, WASHINGTON

	IMR-12 NY11C 11/4/2008	IMR-13 NY11D 11/4/2008	IMR-14 NY11E 11/4/2008	IMR-15 NY11F 11/4/2008	IMR-16 NY11G 11/4/2008	IMR-18 OA02B 11/13/2008	IMR-19 OA02C 11/13/2008
TOTAL METALS (mg/kg)							
Arsenic	485	77	70	919	30	397	383
Barium	61.4	75.1	157	84.2	253	40.8	78.5
Cadmium	1.6	1.3	1.9	3.0	15	1.5	1.8
Chromium	25.1	52.0	109	19.5	536	14.6	30.9
Lead	36	86	273	51	1210	24	87
Mercury	0.12	0.21	0.33	0.80	0.06	0.16	0.69
Selenium	6 U	6 U	10 U	7 U	30 U	6 U	6 U
Silver	0.4 U	0.3 U	0.9 U	0.4 U	2	0.3 U	0.4 U
NWTPH-Dx (mg/kg)							
Diesel	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Motor Oil	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Bold indicates detected compound.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{U}}$ = Indicates the compound was undetected at the reported concentration.

NA = Not analyzed.

Page 2 of 2

APPENDIX A

Grading Permit



Department of Public Works 6300 Southcenter Boulevard, Suite #100

Tukwila, Washington 98188 Phone: 206-433-0179 Fax: 206-431-3665 Web site: <u>http://www.ci.tukwila.wa.us</u>

PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

Parcel No.:0001600014Address:8811 EAST MARGINAL VSuite No:Location:		TUKW		Issue Date Permit Ex	e: pires On:	09/24/2008 03/23/2009	
Tenant:							
Name: THE BOEING Address: 8811 EAST MA	COMPANY RGINAL WY	S,					
Owner:							
Name: BOEING CON Address: PROPERTY TA	NPANY THE X DEPT , PO	: BOX 3707 M/C 20	-00 9812	P 4	hone:		
Contact Person:							
Name: KATIE LEWIS Address: PO BOX 3707 1	, BOEING I M/C 86-34 , 1	ENVIRONMENT SEATTLE WA 981	H&S 24	Р	hone: (206)579	9-2110	
Contractor:							
Name: CLEARCREE	K CONTRA	CTORS INC		Р	hone: (425)252	-5800	
Contractor License No: CL	EARCI997K1	ETT WA 98201		Expiration Date: 05/24/2010			
NON-HAZARDOUS SOLID WASTE. A PARCEL No. 0007400033) @ 8811 E ISAACSON PROPERTY. Value of Construction: \$0.00	CCESS IS PH	OVIDED VIA GAT WAY SOUTH WHI	TE 14-11 C CH IS IMM	N THE BOEI EDIATELY T Fees Colle	NG THOMPSON O THE SOUTH C ected: \$47,797.	PROPERTY (KC OF THE 25	
Public Works Activities:		3-029	101				
Channelization / Striping: Curb Cut / Access / Sidewalk / CSS:	N N						
Fire Loop Hydrant: Flood Control Zone:	N N	Number:	0		Size (Inches): 0	
Hauling:	Y	Start Time:			End Time:		
Land Altering:	Y	Volumes:	Cut 203	50 c.y.	Fill 4350 d	.y.	
Landscape Irrigation:	N			-			
Moving Oversize Load:	N	Start Time:			End Time:		
Sanitary Side Sewer:	N	Number:	0				
Sewer Main Extension:	N	Private:	N		Public:	N	
Storm Drainage:	Y				sanas na ang samagané dangs	2020	
Street Use:	N	Profit:	N		Non-Profit:	N	
Water Main Extension: Water Meter:	N N	Private:	N		Public:	N	

** Continued Next Page **



Department of Public Works

6300 Southcenter Boulevard, Suite #100 Tukwila, Washington 98188 Phone: 206-433-0179 Fax: 206-431-3665 Web site: http://www.ci.tukwila.wa.us

> Permit Number: PW08-140 Issue Date: 09/24/2008 Permit Expires On: 03/23/2009

09

Date:

24/08

Permit Center Authorized Signature:

I hereby certify that I have read and examined this permit and know the same to be true and correct. All provisions of law and ordinances governing this work will be complied with, whether specified herein or not.

11

The granting of this permit does not presume to give authority to violate or cancel the provisions of any other state or local laws regulating construction or the performance of work. I am authorized to sign and obtain this construction permit.

enn Signature: Date Print Name:

This permit shall become null and void if the work is not commenced within 180 days from the date of issuance, or if the work is suspended or abandoned for a period of 180 days from the last inspection.



Department of Community Development 6300 Southcenter Boulevard, Suite #100 Tukwila, Washington 98188 Phone: 206-431-3670 Fax: 206-431-3665 Web site: <u>http://www.ci.tukwila.wa.us</u>



PERMIT CONDITIONS

Parcel No.:	0001600014	Permit Number:	PW08-140	
Address:	8811 EAST MARGINAL WY S TUKW	^{Status:}	ISSUED	
Tenant:	THE BOEING COMPANY	Applied Date: Issue Date:	08/04/2008 09/24/2008	

1: ***PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT CONDITIONS***

2: Minimum 48 hours in advance applicant and/or contractor shall call Public Works Department at (206)433-0179 to schedule a pre-construction meeting.

The applicant must notify the City Utility Inspector at (206)433-0179 upon commencement and completion of work at least 24 hours in advance. All inspection requests for utility work must also be made 24 hours in advance.

3: Contractor shall notify Public Works Utility Inspector at (206)433-0179 of commencement and completion of work at least 24 hours in advance.

4: Flagging, signing and coning shall be in accordance with MUTCD for Traffic Control. Contractor shall provide certified flagmen for traffic control. Sweep or otherwise clean streets to the satisfaction of Public Works each night around hauling route (No flushing allowed). Notify City Inspector before 12:00 Noon on Friday preceding any weekend work.

5: Any material spilled onto any street shall be cleaned up immediately.

6: A \$2,000 bond made out to the City of Tukwila for possible property damages caused by activities.

7: A copy of the Certificate of Insurance Coverage (minimum of \$1,000,000 naming the City of Tukwila as additionally insured) shall be submitted to Public Works before permit can be isued.

8: The hauling contractor shall have a valid Business License with the City of Tukwila

9: Temporary erosion control measures shall be implemented as the first order of business to prevent sedimentation off-site or into existing drainage facilities.

10: From October 1 through April 30, cover any slopes and stockpiles that are 3H:1V or steeper and have a vertical rise of 10 feet or more and will be unworked for greater than 12 hours. During this time period, cover or mulch other disturbed areas, if they will be unworked more than 2 days. Covered material must be stockpiled on site at the beginning of this period. Inspect and maintain this stabilization weekly and immediately before, during and following storms.

11: From May 1 through September 30, inspect and maintain temporary erosion prevention and sediment at least monthly. All disturbed areas of the site shall be permanently stabilized prior to final construction approval.

12: The site shall have permanent erosion control measures in place as soon as possible after final grading has been completed and prior to the Final Inspection.

13: PRIOR TO Final permit sign-off the owner/agent shall submit a record drawing on Mylar (24" by 36") and in AutoCAD format on CD. Each drawing, except for the digital file, shall bear the engineer and the surveyor stamps, signed and dated.

continued on next page



Department of Community Development 6300 Southcenter Boulevard, Suite #100 Tukwila, Washington 98188 Phone: 206-431-3670 Fax: 206-431-3665 Web site: http://www.ci.tukwila.wa.us

I hereby certify that I have read these conditions and will comply with them as outlined. All provisions of law and ordinances governing this work will be complied with, whether specified herein or not.

The granting of this permit does not presume to give authority to violate or cancel the provision of any other work or local laws regulating construction or the performance of work.

mencer Siverson Signature: m Print Name:

7/24/0 Date:





INSPECTION RECORD

Building and Planning Inspection Request Line - 206-431-2451 Fire Department Inspection Request Line - 206-575-4407 Public Works Inspection Request Line - 206-433-0179

(8811 EMWS)

PERMIT NO .:

24 hours in advance notice is required to schedule, cancel, or reschedule inspections.

All permits, inspection records, and approved plans shall be available at the job site prior to the start of any construction. These documents are to be maintained and available to the inspector until final inspection approval is granted.

Req'd	Insp Code	Inspection Type	Status	Insp Initials	Date	Comments
MISCEL	LANEOU	S INSPECTIONS				
	0101	Pre-construction/Pre-demolition	E STANGE N	BERNALS	a state of the state	
Start White	0103	Pre-reroof			Constant and	
	0104	Remove Stop Work Order	Constant of the			
FOUND	ATION IN	SPECTIONS				
L. LEWIS CO.	0201	Footing	Price and the	Contraction of		The second se
A HIT WAR	0200	Foundation Wall	and the second of	The second states	The state of the	
Contraction of	0301	Concrete slab perimeter insulation				
	0202	Footing drains	The same from	- Carrieran		
NEW WIRKS	0203	Tiedown (mobile home)	A MARTINE POR	Senten State	A SAMELAN	
EDAMIN	IC INSPE	CTIONS	LE BARRIER MAIL	STREES STREET	1921-10	
TRAMIN	0401	Roof sheathing		1		
AN ENGLISS	0412	Underfloor framing	Margare within	- Harrison and the second		
Contraction of the last	0413	Wall sheathing/shear				
a state and	0406	Suspended ceiling		LAW PROPERTY		
Part - Desails	0407	Marriage line (mobile homes)		Call greet and the		
	0408	Masonry chimney - reinf/anchorage	Constant Summer	The state of the	A HE OF BRIDE	
	0409	Framing**				
Real and the	0606	Glazing	THE SLEEP LO	10 10 10 10 10 10	ALL CREATE	a la construction de la construc
The second	**[Framing inspection approval is subject to the installation of	of adequate we	ather protectio	n for product	s sensitive to adverse weather.
LATH O	R GYPSU	M BOARD INSPECTIONS				
and the state	0501	Interior wallboard fastening (shear walls	The second states	La series de la series		
	The second	or fire rated assemblies only)		a second	The for	
ENERGY	EFFICIE		and the second	Part and the second	and the second	Contraction and the second second
ENERG	0601	Wall insulation		T	Anna Concern	
The state of	0602	Floor insulation	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR		the second second	
in the second	0603	Roof/ceiling insulation	Contrast of substances	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	1.10.421	
and the second	0605	Exterior roof insulation	C. C	CASE OF CASE	Suger Contract	
	0608	Pine insulation	a la gine sala	Starten and		
The states	0611	Emergency Lighting		and the second second		
MECHAN						
MECHA	NICAL IN	SPECTIONS	T.			
and and a local	0701	Rough-in mechanical	A Martine Contraction			
	0/02	Smoke detector shut-off (test)	State and		Cine	
A SALASSA	0609	Duct insulation	ALL STREET	man - and the	1.	
	0704	Smoke control acceptance (test)	No. She a	A REAL PROPERTY		
	0705	Refrigeration equipment (test)		A State States		
	0706	Chimney and vent			C. C. Alter	
Service	0707	Wood stove	A State of the second	and the second s		
NO PERMIT	0708	Gas fireplace inserts		1	Diel Statel	
ELECTR	ICAL INS	PECTIONS				
Rates in	7001	Underground/slab	Nil Keren		建筑的建筑	
61-5-5 K	7002	Service	TONG FR	1997 - 1998 -		
Section States	7003	Rough-in/cover				
PLUMBI	NG INSPE	ECTIONS				
	8001	Pre-glue	1		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
The elem	8004	Ground work	The second second		0	
Part Alla	8005	Bough-in plumbing		the second	120 200	

H:\Permits Plus\ICC Changes\Inspection Card (6-2007).doc

Rough-in plumbing

bh



City of Tukwila INSPECTION RECORD

Building and Planning Inspection Request Line - 206-431-2451 Fire Department Inspection Request Line - 206-575-4407 Public Works Inspection Request Line - 206-433-0179

GAS	PIPING	INSP	ECTIONS	
	00	04	Linderer	1

9001	Underground	COMPANY AND	Autor International		Carl Court of State Providence and the state
9002	Rough-in gas piping	THE MARKING ST	Service Party of Street		
SPECIAL INSPE	ECTIONS - NOTIFY CITY 24 HRS PRIOR	TO COMMENCIN	IG*		
4000	SI – Concrete				
4022	SI – Masonry Level 1	CARL AND SHALLES IN			
4023	SI – Masonry Level 2				
*A	final letter from the Special Inspection Test Lab	shall be required up	on completion of	Special Inspections p	ated cheve
PUBLIC WORKS I	NSPECTIONS		on completion of	opecial inspections in	neu above.
5000	Curb cut, access, sidewalk				
5010	Channelization, striping		Contraction of the second	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	
5020	Fire loop hydrant		Contractor States		
5030	Flood Zone Control				
5040	Land altering				
5050	Hauling, moving oversized load		AND A COLOR OF MANY		
5060	Landscape irrigation	A STREET STREET MADE			
5070	Sanitary side sewer	TALL OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER	A CALL AND A CALL		
5080	Sewer main extension	The Property in the	Later Charge Ballings		

C. Sandallit	5080	Sewer main extension
×	5090	Storm drainage
New States	5100	Street use
的政府的政府	5110	Water main extension
4.7	5120	Water meter – exempt
	5130	Water meter – permanent
	5140	Water meter – temporary
X	5160	Public Works pre-inspection
Service gent	5170	Backflow – irrigation
1425731303	5180	Backflow – fire
	5190	Backflow – water
a series and	5200	Erosion measures – install
	5210	Erosion measures – final stabilization
	5220	Grease interceptor
	5230	Paving, pavement restoration
	5240	Traffic signal
	5250	Illumination

FIRE INSPECTIONS

6000	Sprinklers	The second second second second		The second s
6005	Sprinkler Cover			
6010	Fire Alarm	The second s		
6020	Hood and Duct		State State	
6030	Halon			
6040	Monitor	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION	Contraction of the second	
6050	Smoke Dampers	Station of the state of the state of the		

PLANNING INSPECTIONS

1200	Landscape	and the second second	E State State	Long Contraction	
1210	Parking		The state of the		
1220	Screening	an and she was	The second second		

FINAL INSPECTIONS

1 114746	ROI LOII	UNJ			
"出作"的"	1400	Fire Final			
La Carlon	1500	Planning Final		ange and the second of the second sec	
	1510	Sign Final	and the second second second	THE WITCH STATE	
X	1600	Public Works Final	References in the second second		
State State	1800	Mechanical Final	CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR		
	1900	Plumbing Final	CHARLES MADE COMPANY AND AND	Real Parts Frank Street	
	2000	Gas Piping Final	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF		
	2100	Electrical Final	States and a state of the states		
Children and and and and and and and and and an	1700	Building Final*	AND THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF	The state of the second second	

*ALL REQUIRED FINAL INSPECTIONS MUST BE APPROVED PRIOR TO SCHEDULING A FINAL INSPECTION (1700) BY BUILDING DIVISION. H:\Permits Plus\ICC Changes\Inspection Card (6-2007).doc bh



CITY OF TUKWILA Community Development Department Public Works Department Permit Center 6300 Southcenter Blvd., Suite 100 Tukwila, WA 98188 http://www.ci.tukwila.wa.us

Building Permit No.	JUPY
Mechanical Permit No.	
Plumbing/Gas Permit No	
Public Works Permit No.	PW08-140
Project No.	
(For office us	e onty)

Applications and plans must be complete in order to be accepted for plan review. Applications will not be accepted through the mail or by fax.

Please Print

SITE LOCATION

	King Co Assessor's Tax No.:000160-0014		
Site Address: 8811 East Marginal Way, Seattle WA 98108	Suite Number:	Floor:	
Tenant Name:	New Tenan	:Yes	No
Property Owners Name: The Boeing Company			
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 3707, M/C 86-34, Seattle, WA 98124			
	City	State	Zip
CONTACT PERSON - who do we contact when your permit	is ready to be issued		
Name: Katie Lewis, Boeing Environment, H & S	Day Telephone:2	06-579-2110	
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 3707, M/C 86-34, Seattle, WA 98124			
	City	State	Zip
E-Mail Address: <u>kathryn.1.lewis2@boeing.com</u>	Fax Number: 425	-865-7998	
GENERAL CONTRACTOR INFORMATION - (Contractor Information for Mechanical (pg 4) for Plumbing and Gas	s Piping (pg 5))		
Company Name:			
Mailing Address:			
Contact Demon	City	State	Zip
	Day Telephone:		
E-Mail Address:	Fax Number:		
Contractor Registration Number:	Expiration Date:		
ARCHITECT OF RECORD - All plans must be wet star	mped by Architect of Record		
Company Name: <u>N/A</u>			
Mailing Address:			
	City	State	Zip
Contact Person:	Day Telephone:		
E-Mail Address:	Fax Number:		
ENGINEER OF RECORD - All plans must be wet stamp	ped by Engineer of Record		
Company Name: Landau Associates, Inc.			
Mailing Address: 130 2nd Avenue S, Edmonds, WA 98020			
Contract Demons Kalles NV	City	State	Zip
Contact Person: Kelley Wrigg	Day Telephone:4	25-778-0907	·····
E-Mail Address: <u>kwrigg@landauinc.com</u>	Fax Number: 425	-778-6409	
Q.vspphcationstromes-Applications On Linet3-2006 - Permit Application.doc			

PUBLIC WORKS PERMIT INFORMATION - 206-433-0179

Scope of Work (please provide detailed information): <u>Removal of asphalt-capped soil mound, together with storm drainage</u> improvements and repaying.

	·	Call before y	ou Dig: 1-800-42	4-5555		1
	Pleas	e refer to Public Works	s Bulletin #1 for 1	fees and estimate	sheet.]
Water District	DW	ater District #125	🗌 Highline	🗌 R	enton	
Sewer District	□…Va ertificate □…Se	llVue wer Availability Provided	🗌 Renton	🔀 S	eattle	
Septic System: On-site Septic	: System – For on-site	septic system, provide 2 co	pies of a current sep	tic design approved	by King County Healt	h Department.
Submitted with A Civil Plans (I Technical Info Bond	pplication (mark box Maximum Paper Size ormation Report (Storr Insurance	es which apply): – 22" x 34") n Drainage) Easement(s)	 Geotechnical Maintenance 	Report Agreement(s)	□Traffic Impact A ⊠Hold Harmless - □Hold Harmless -	nalysis - (SAO) - (ROW)
Proposed Activitie Right-of-way Right-of-way Construction/	es (mark boxes that a Use - Nonprofit for le Use - No Disturbance Excavation/Fill - Righ Non	pply): ss than 72 hours t-of-way Right-of-wayX	□ Right-of-way □ Right-of-way	v Use - Profit for les v Use – Potential Di	s than 72 hours sturbance	
☑Total Cut: 20, ☑Total Fill: 4,3	350 cubic yards 50 cubic yards		Work in F Storm Drain	Flood Zone age		
 Sanitary Side Cap or Remove Frontage Imp. Traffic Control Backflow Press 	Sewer ve Utilities rovements ol vention - Fire Protecti Irrigation Domestic W	Abandon Septic Curb Cut Pavement Cut Looped Fire Lin	Tank	☐ Grease ☐ Chann ⊠ Trench ☐ Utility	Interceptor elization Excavation Undergrounding	
Permanent WTemporary WWater Only NSewer Main EWater Main E	ater Meter Size Vater Meter Size Meter SizePubl ExtensionPubl	'' WO # '' WO # '' WO # ic Private ic Private	 □	Deduct Water Mete	r Size"	
FINANCE INFOR	MATION					
Fire Line Size at Pr	roperty Line	Number of Publ	ic Fire Hydrant(s)			
Water	Sewer	Sewage Tr	eatment			
Monthly Service B	illing to:					
Name:				Day Telephone		
Mailing Address				_uj relepitone		
Water Meter Refun	nd/Billing:			City	State	Zip
Name: Day Telephone:						
Mailing Address:						
				City	State	Zip

Q:\Applications\Forms-Applications On Line\3-2006 - Permit Application.doc Revised: 9-2006

PERMIT APPLICATION NOTES - Applicable to all permits in this application

Value of Construction – In all cases, a value of construction amount should be entered by the applicant. This figure will be reviewed and is subject to possible revision by the Permit Center to comply with current fee schedules.

Expiration of Plan Review - Applications for which no permit is issued within 180 days following the date of application shall expire by limitation.

Building and Mechanical Permit

The Building Official may grant one or more extensions of time for additional periods not exceeding 90 days each. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. Section 105.3.2 International Building Code (current edition).

Plumbing Permit

The Building Official may grant one extension of time for an additional period not exceeding 180 days. The extension shall be requested in writing and justifiable cause demonstrated. Section 103.4.3 Uniform Plumbing Code (current edition).

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND EXAMINED THIS APPLICATION AND KNOW THE SAME TO BE TRUE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, AND I AM AUTHORIZED TO APPLY FOR THIS PERMIT.

BUILDING OWNER OR AUTHORIZED AGENT;			
Signature: Forthold	1	Date: 2408	
Print Name: Kathry Puris	Day Telephone:	206579211	<u>ن</u>
Maning Address. <u>F.O. Box 3707, M/C 86-34, Seattle, WA 98124</u>			
	City	State	Zip

Date Application Accepted: 08/04/08	Date Application Expires: 0 2/04/09	Staff Initials:
APPENDIX B

As-Built Drawings



<u>LEGEND</u>

-Ŏ-	LUMINAIRE (LUM.)
-6-	POWER POLE
\boxtimes	JUNCTION BOX (AS NOTED)
\oplus	TELEPHONE MANHOLE
Ē	CATCH BASIN (CB)
0	STORM MANHOLE (SDMH)
Õ	SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE (SSMH)
ğ	GAS METER
× ×	GAS VALVE
\bowtie	WATER VALVE (WV)
Ω	FIRE HYDRANT (FH)
Ē	WATER METER
<u>_D</u> ,	SIGN
8	MONITORING WELL
$\overline{}$	ASPHALT (EXISTING)
	CONCRETE
	WATER LINE
SD	STORM LINE
G	GAS LINE
P(UG)	POWER UNDERGROUND
P(OH)	POWER OVERHEAD
X	CHAIN LINK FENCE
 	RAIL SEGMENT
	PROPERTY LINE
15	CONTOURS
	SURFACE WATER FLOW DIRECTION
	ASPHALT (NEW)

SCALE: 1'' = 80'

PLAN

DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES AND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS B-2 CONSTRUCTION 1. ALL WORK PERFORMED SHALL BE PER APPROVED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ONLY. THE PERMITTEE IS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN A SET OF APPROVED PLANS,

- SPECIFICATIONS, AND ASSOCIATED PERMITS ON THE JOB SITE. WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS. PERMITTEE SHALL APPLY FOR A REVISION FOR ANY WORK NOT ACCORDING TO THE APPROVED PLANS
- 2. PERMITTEE/CONTRACTOR SHALL ARRANGE A PRECONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE WITH THE CITY'S INSPECTOR(S) PRIOR TO BEGINNING ANY WORK. 3. WORK IN ROADWAYS
- ALL WORK REQUIRING LANE CLOSURES MUST BE BY PERMIT ONLY. FIRE, PEDESTRIAN, AND VEHICULAR ACCESS TO BUILDINGS SHALL BE MAINTAINED AT ALL TIMES, EXCEPT WHEN PERMITTEE HAS PERMISSION FROM THE BUILDING
- PREAPPROVAL FROM THE DIRECTOR.
- THE TRENCH IS LEFT OPEN. SPECIAL NOTES:
- 1. THE EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS WAS PRODUCED BY BARGHAUSEN
- CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. DATED 1/14/00 (OUTSIDE OF REDEVELOPMENT AREA)

NOTICE REQUIRED

CONTRACTORS SHALL NOTIFY OPERATORS WHO MAINTAIN UNDERGROUND UTILITY	LINES IN THE
MORE THAN TEN WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF EXCAVATION OR IN ACCORDANCE WITH RCW TITLE 19 NAMES AND TELEPHONE NUMBERS OF THE	DEMOLITION
OF UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINES IN THIS PROJECT APPEAR ON THIS SHEET. TH	ESE NUMBERS
SHALL ALSO BE USED TO SERVE IN AN EMERGENCY CONDITIONS AS REQUIRED.	

UTILITY CONFLICT NOTE:

CAUTION: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE F DEPTH OF ALL EXISTING UTILIT POTHOLING THE UTILITIES AN TO CONSTRUCTION. THIS SHAL THEN POTHOLING ALL OF THE TO PHYSICALLY VERIFY WHETH AS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS SUBJECT TO VARIATION. IF COI LANDAU ASSOCIATES, INC. TO CONSTRUCTION.



130 2ND AVENUE S. EDMONDS, WA. 98020

(425)	778-0907, FAX (425) 778-6409					
SYM	REVISION	BY	APPROVED	DATE	SYM	REVISION
	AS-BUILT DRAWING	B. TAYLOR	K. WRIGG	1.21.2009		

E ALL PRO	BLEMS PRIOR 1	TO PROCEED	ING WITH	9. REMOVE TESC FACILI	ITIES.										
BY	APPROVED	DATE			22 JAN 09	ACCEPT	ABILITY	DRAWN B. TAYLOR	DATE	SUBTITLE	COVED SHEET (AS E	ЭГИГТ)	CURRENT REVISION	SYMBOL	DATE 1 21 2009
			() B	DEING®		THIS DESIGN SPECIFICATION APPROVED BY	AND/OR S APPROVED DEPT. DATE	CHECKED K. WRIGG ENGINEER D. PISCHER		TITLE	ISAACSON MOUND REMOV	AL	SHEET	\bigcap	
					P A A A A A A A A A A A A A			APPROVED APPROVED		CIVII MAST	THOMPSON – SITE	E YARD	JOB NO. DWG NO.	14-YD-	COMP NO.

RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING THE LOCATION, DIMENSION, AND
TIES WHETHER SHOWN ON THESE PLANS OR NOT BY
D SURVEYING THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LOCATION PRIOR
L INCLUDE CALLING UTILITY LOCATE @ 1-800-424-5555 AND
EXISTING UTILITIES AT LOCATIONS OF NEW UTILITY CROSSINGS
IER OR NOT CONFLICTS EXIST. LOCATIONS OF SAID UTILITIES
ARE BASED UPON UNVERIFIED PUBLIC INFORMATION AND ARE
NFLICTS SHOULD OCCUR, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSULT
RESOLVE ALL PROBLEMS PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH

2. THE AS-BUILT TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS WAS PRODUCED BY CLEARCREEK CONTRACTORS, DATED 12/12/08 (INSIDE THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA) OPERATORS WHO MAINTAIN UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINES IN THE

OWNER AND THE DIRECTOR TO CLOSE AN ACCESS. E. ALL ROADWAYS SHALL BE KEPT FREE OF DIRT AND DEBRIS USING STREET SWEEPERS. USE OF WATER TRUCKS FOR CLEANING ROADWAYS REQUIRES F. INSTALL STEEL PLATES OVER ANY TRENCH, AT ANY TIME WORK IS STOPPED AND

A. ALL WORK IN ROADWAYS SHALL MEET TMC 11 AND THE FOLLOWING: PRIOR TO ANY ACTIVITY IN CITY RIGHT-OF-WAY, THE PERMITTEE SHALL PROVIDE THE CITY A TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. THE TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN SHALL INCLUDE THE LOCATION, ADDRESS AND DESCRIPTION OF TRAFFIC FLOW DURING THE WORK AND SHALL MEET MUTCD REQUIREMENTS.

SCALE: 1"= 80'

PROJECT LIMITS (TYP.

OEING - THOMPSON

N885196W

4 1 1 1 1

BOEING - ISAACSON

JORGENSEN FORGE CORP. -S89'13'16"E 789.00'

LOCATIONS.

CONTACTS

PRIOR TO STARTING CONSTRUCTION, CONTACT ONE-CALL (1-800-424-5555) FOR UTILITY

- RAIL EMBEDDED IN CONCRETE,

GENERAL

STANDARD CONSTRUCTION NOTES

2. AT LEAST 48 HOURS BEFORE STARTING PROJECT SITE WORK, NOTIFY THE UTILITIES

3. REQUEST A PUBLIC WORKS UTILITY INSPECTION AT LEAST 24 HOURS IN ADVANCE BY

4. THE CONTRACTOR ASSUMES SOLE RESPONSIBILITY FOR WORKER SAFETY, AND DAMAGE

5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE THE PERMIT(S) AND CONDITIONS, THE APPROVED

6. ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THESE APPROVED DRAWINGS. ANY CHANGES FROM

GUIDELINES AND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS, UNLESS OTHERWISE

CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE RECORD DRAWINGS PRIOR TO PROJECT FINAL APPROVAL.

11. ALL SURVEYING FOR PUBLIC FACILITIES SHALL BE DONE UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A

WASHINGTON LICENSED LAND SURVEYOR. VERTICAL DATUM SHALL BE NAVD 1988.

USING NAD 83/91 SURVEY CONTROL AND TIED TO ANY TWO CITY OF TUKWILA

THE PERMITTEE SHALL PROVIDE CONVERSION CALCULATIONS TO NGVD 1929.

12. REPLACE OR RELOCATE ALL SIGNS DAMAGED OR REMOVED DUE TO CONSTRUCTION.

13. RETAIN, REPLACE OR RESTORE EXISTING VEGETATION IN RIGHTS-OF-WAY, EASEMENTS,

HORIZONTAL DATUM SHALL BE WASHINGTON STATE (GRID) COORDINATES, NORTH ZONE,

HORIZONTAL CONTROL MONUMENTS. FOR PROJECTS WITHIN A FLOOD CONTROL ZONE,

8. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A CURRENT SET OF RECORD DRAWINGS ON-SITE.

10. PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL AND STREET MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR PUBLIC WORKS

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AVAILABLE AT THE JOB SITE.

7. ALL METHODS AND MATERIALS SHALL MEET CITY OF TUKWILA DEVELOPMENT

TO STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS RESULTING FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS.

PLANS, AND A CURRENT COPY OF CITY OF TUKWILA DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES AND

THE APPROVED PLANS REQUIRE PRE-APPROVAL FROM THE OWNER, THE ENGINEER,

OTHER: KATIE LEWIS, BOEING

DESIGN ENGINEER: LANDAU ASSOCIATES 425-778-0907

1. LOCATIONS SHOWN FOR EXISTING UTILITIES ARE APPROXIMATE.

OWNER: BOEING FIELD ENGINEER 206-344-7380

INSPECTOR AT 206-433-0179.

CALLING 206-433-0179.

AND THE CITY OF TUKWILA.

AND ACCESS TRACTS.

CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE:

BEGIN DEMOLITION.

PLACE SUBGRADE

PAVE SITE

8

INSTALL PUMPS AND CONTROLS.

APPROVED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

MOVE EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS TO THE SITE,

INSTALL NEW CATCH BASINS AND PIPING

EXCAVATE PIT FOR AND INSTALL VORTECHS VAULTS

MARK GRADING LIMITS AND INSTALL CATCH BASIN FILTERS.

APPROVAL BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION.



ER	THE BOEING COMPANY, A DELAWARE CORI	PORATION	
ER ER	NAME		PHONE
DEVE	8625 EAST MARGINAL WAY, SEATTLE, WA.	98108	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE		
	KATIE I EWIS BOEING ENVIRONMENT H &	[[S	XI A CORPORATION ☐ A PARTNERSHIP ☐ AN INDIVIDUAL 206-579-2110
SHIP T	NAME	<u> </u>	200-373-2110 PHONE
OWNER OWNER CONTAC	M/C 86-34 8625 EAST MARGINAL WAY, SEATTLE, WA.	98108	
	ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE		
ł			 ▲ CORPORATION ▲ PARTNERSHIP ▲ N INDIVIDUAL
YOR	LANDAU ASSOCIATES, INC.		(425) 778-0907
IRVE JRVE GINE	130 2ND AVE SOUTH EDMONDS W	V 080	
	ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE	<u>~</u>	2.0
	NESKLES AND EN OODL		
		PHON	Ξ
BOE	NG FIELD	206-34	44-7380
AIRI	PORT ENGINEER		
	OF TUKWILA	206-43	31-3670
1 21			
CITY FIRE	OF TUKWILA MARSHAL	206-4.	31-36/0
CENT	THE SEWED HITH ITY	206-68	343362
STO	RM SEWER / SANITARY SEWER	200 00	<u>J4 0002</u>
SEAT	TLE WATER DEPARTMENT	206-68	34-5800
WAT	ER DISTRICT		
PUG	ET SOUND ENERGY	888-32	21-7794
GAS	/ POWER COMPANY		
<u>CITY</u> 24H	OF SEATTLE R WATER / SEWER DRAINAGE EMERGENCY	206-38	36-1800
CALI	BEFORE YOU DIG DIAL-A-DIG	1-800	-424-5555

THAT PORTION OF THE JOHN BUCKLEY DONATION CLAIM NO. 42 IN TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., AND OF ABANDONED CHANNEL OF THE DUWAMISH RIVER DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: KING COUNTY BEGINNING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF THE HENRY VAN ASSELT DONATION CLAIM NO. 50 WITH THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF EAST MARGINAL WAY, SAID POINT OF INTERSECTION BEING 2,470.01 FEET, MEASURED ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, WESTERLY OF THE EAST LINE OF SECTION 33, TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M.; THENCE NORTH 23" 40' 40" WEST ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE 379.39 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF A TRACT OF LAND DEEDED TO ISAACSON IRON WORKS BY DEED RECORDED UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 4739857, AND THE TRUE POINT OF THENCE NORTH 23° 40' 40" WEST ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE 987.259 FEET.

MORE OR LESS, TO AN INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF A TRACT OF LAND DEEDED TO BETHLEHEM PACIFIC COAST STEEL CORPORATION BY DEED RECORDED UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 3935187; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID DEEDED TRACT ON THE FOLLOWING

COURSES AND DISTANCES: NORTH 64" 49' 45" WEST 186.84 FEET;

OF-WAY OF COMMERCIAL WATERWAY NO. 1, KNOWN AS DUWAMISH WATERWAY, AND THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID DEEDED TRACT;

THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE TO THE WESTERLY PRODUCTION OF THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID TRACT DEEDED UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE NO. 4739857;

THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE AND ITS PRODUCTION TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; EXCEPT THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF THE JOHN BUCKLEY DONATION LAND CLAIM IN TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING ON THE WEST LINE OF EAST MARGINAL WAY AT ITS POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE PARALLEL WITH AND 1,497.9 FEET SOUTH OF THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LAND CLAIM AND RUNNING THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID EAST MARGINAL WAY

NORTH 23' 40' 40" WEST 562.84 FEET; THENCE NORTH 64' 49' 45" WEST 186.84 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 00° 20' 35" EAST 348.52 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 89' 39' 25" WEST 85.43 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY LINE OF

THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OF COMMERCIAL WATERWAY NO. 1, KNOWN AS DUWAMISH WATERWAY; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE BY A CURVE TO THE RIGHT WITH

A RADIUS OF 1,969.12 FEET, FOR A CHORD DISTANCE SOUTH 18' 21' 22" EAST 174.49 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89' 45' 34" EAST 558.82 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00' 20'35" EAST, 1.00 FOOT; THENCE NORTH 89' 39' 25" EAST 789.00 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; SITUATE IN THE CITY OF TUKWILA, COUNTY OF KING, STATE OF WASHINGTON.



SECTION TOWNSHIP RANGE TAX PARCEL 3 3 2 4 0 4 0 0 1 6 0 0 1 4

PROJECT INFORMATION

TAX LOT NUMBER <u>SITE_ADDRESS</u> 8811 EAST MARGINAL WAY 59,489 SQUARE YARDS 000160-0014 SEATTLE, WA 98108 CURRENT OWNER

THE BOEING COMPANY, A DELAWARE CORPORATION

LAND USE GOVERNING JURISDICTION: CITY OF TUKWILA, WASHINGTON FLOOD INFORMATION

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA) INFORMATION FIRM (FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP) NO. 53033C0645 F PANEL 645 OF 1725, DATED MAY 16, 1995. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS IN ZONE X (AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE 500-YEAR FLOODPLAIN) AND ADJOINS ZONE AE ALONG THE WEST BOUNDARY LINE (BASE FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINED AT 8 FEET) <u>NOTE</u>

TRANSNATION TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY COMMITMENT NO. 868382, (DATED MARCH 11, 1999 AT 8:00 A.M.) WAS RELIED UPON FOR TITLE INFORMATION AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS. PLEASE REFER TO THAT REPORT FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING FINANCIAL MATTERS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THAT SURVEY.

ALTA / ACSM LAND TITLE SURVEY AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION / AMERICAN CONGRESS ON SURVEYING & MAPPING AND NATIONAL SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS

BOEING PROPERTIES PORTIONS OF THE N.W.1/4 & S.W.1/4 OF SECTION 33, TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN CITY OF TUKWILA STATE OF WASHINGTON THE SOUTH HALF OF SECTION 28, TOWNSHIP 23 NORTH, RANGE 6 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, SITUATE

IN THE COUNTY OF KING, THE STATE OF WASHINGTON. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING

KING COUNTY TAX PARCEL NUMBERS: TAX LOT NO. 282306-9009 (PORTION)

CONTRACTOR SHALL BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING PERMITS FROM THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FOR REMOVING AND REPLACING ALL SURVEY MONUMENTATION THAT MAY BE AFFECTED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY, PURSUANT TO WAC 332-120. APPLICATIONS MUST BE COMPLETED BY A REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR. APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS TO REMOVE MONUMENTS MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, OR BY CONTACTING THEIR OFFICE BY TELEPHONE AT (206) 902-1190. WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

PUBLIC LAND SURVEY OFFICE 1111 WASHINGTON STREET S.E.

P.O. BOX 47060

OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504-7060

UPON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION, ALL MONUMENTS DISPLACED, REMOVED, OR DESTROYED SHALL BE REPLACED BY A REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR, AT THE COST AND AT THE DIRECTION OF THE CONTRACTOR, PURSUANT TO THESE REGULATIONS. THE APPROPRIATE FORMS FOR REPLACEMENT OF SAID MONUMENTATION SHALL ALSO BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.

<u>SHEET INDEX</u>

CO COVER SHEET C452 HORIZONTAL CONTROL PLAN

C453 GRADING PLAN

C514 GRADING PLAN SECTIONS C454 STORMWATER DRAINAGE PLAN AND PROFILE

C515 STORMWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM DETAILS

PROJECT STATISTICS IMPACTED AREA: CUT VOLUME:

22,150 SQUARE YARDS 20,350 CUBIC YARDS



<u>LEGEND</u>

----- RAIL SEGMENT ----- PROPERTY LINE CONCRETE

ASPHALT (NEW)

SURVEY INFORMATION (FROM BARGHAUSEN)

PROCEDURE / NARRATIVE A FIELD TRAVERSE USING A "SOKKIA 3100" TOTAL STATION, AND "SDR-33" DATA COLLECTOR SUPPLEMENTED WITH FIELD NOTES WAS PERFORMED, ESTABLISHING THE ANGULAR, DISTANCE, AND VERTICAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE MONUMENTS, PROPERTY LINES, AND TOPOGRAPHIC FEATURES AS SHOWN HEREON. A "LIETZ B-2A" AUTOMATIC LEVEL WAS USED TO CHECK AND ESTABLISH THE ELEVATION OF BENCHMARKS AND CONTROL POINTS. THE RESULTING DATA MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE STANDARDS FOR LAND BOUNDARY SURVEYS AS SET FORTH IN WAC 332-130-090. DATES OF SURVEYS

FIELD SURVEYS BY BARGHAUSEN CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC. CONDUCTED NOVEMBER, 1999, AND JANUARY, 2000. ALL MONUMENTS SHOWN AS FOUND WERE VISITED AT THAT TIME. THIS DRAWING DEPICTS FIELD CONDITIONS AS OF THOSE DATES, PRIOR CONDITIONS ARE NOT SHOWN, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

HORIZONTAL DATUM - BASIS OF BEARINGS NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1927 NAD-27 WASHINGTON STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM - NORTH ZONE THE (OFFSET) CENTERLINE OF EAST MARGINAL WAY TAKEN AS NORTH 22'32'07" WEST, AS PER BOOK 72, OF SURVEYS, PAGE 222, KING COUNTY RECORDS. NOTES:

1. UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND FEATURES DEPICTED HEREON ARE BASED ON FIELD OBSERVATION, MARKINGS, DEVELOPMENT PLANS, AND/OR AVAILABLE RECORD DOCUMENTS ONLY. THE TRUE LOCATION, NATURE AND/OR EXISTENCE OF BELOW GROUND FEATURES, DETECTED OR UNDETECTED, SHOULD BE VERIFIED.

2. NO DETERMINATION WAS MADE BY BARGHAUSEN CONSULTING ENGINEERS WITH REGARD TO SOILS CONDITION AND SUBSURFACE MATERIALS.

SURVEY NOTES

THE EXISTING DUWAMISH RIVER CHANNEL IS AN IMPROVED, ARTIFICIALLY CONSTRUCTED, NAVIGABLE WATERWAY, SUBJECT TO TIDAL INFLUENCE, AND IS LOCATED WITHIN A STRIP-OF-LAND 500 FT. IN WIDTH. THE WESTERLY BOUNDARY OF THE SUBJECT PARCEL IS NOT A WATER BOUNDARY AND TITLE TO THIS PROPERTY DOES NOT NECESSARILY INCLUDE ANY LITTORAL OR RIPARIAN RIGHTS TO THE WATERWAY OR THE SHORELINE.



130 2ND AVENUE S. EDMONDS, WA. 98020

(425)	125) 778-0907, FAX (425) 778-6409										
SYM	REVISION	BY	APPROVED	DATE	SYM	REVISION	BY	APPROVED	DATE		
1	AS-BUILT DRAWING	B. TAYLOR	K. WRIGG	1.21.2009							



TITLE REPORT SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS TYPE OF DOCUMENT & RECORDING INFORMATION STORM DRAINAGE EASEMENT FOR: KING COUNTY REC. NO. 5738283 (MAY 1964)

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CAUSE K.C.S.C.C. NO. 569496 (SEPT. 1963)

DESCRIPTION OF EASEMENT REC. NO. 665817 (APRIL 1970) PRIVATE ROADWAY LICENSE & AGREEMENT REC. NO. 7612210676

RECORD OF SURVEY FOR BOEING CORPORATION BK. 37, SURVEYS, PGS. 200 & 200-A RECORDING NO. 8310049007

RECORD OF SURVEY FOR JORGENSEN CORPORATION BK. 72, SURVEYS, PG 222; REC. NO. 9004309031

SURVEYOR'S COMMENTS 12 FT. WIDTH STRIP-OF-LAND FOR DRAINAGE (STORM SEWER) CONNECTS TO DRAINAGE EASEMENTS RECORDED UNDER KING COUNTY REC. NO. 3655381 (TO UNITED STATE OF AMERICA) REC. NO. 5737082 & REC. NO. 5738282 (TO KING COUNTY) AFFECTS SOUTHERLY PORTIONS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

JUDGEMENT DENYING KING COUNTY (PLAINTIFF) THE RIGHT TO DISCHARGE DRAINAGE FROM KING COUNTY AIRPORT ONTO SOUTHWESTERLY PORTIONS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

10 FT. WIDTH STRIP-OF-LAND FOR SEWER LINE AFFECTS NORTHERLY PORTION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR ROADWAY CROSSING RAILROAD TRACKS

MAY AFFECT NORTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SUBJECT PROPERTY BOUNDARY SURVEY OF SUBJECT PROPERTY & OTHERS

ACCEPTED SURVEY MONUMENTS TO ESTABLISH NORTHERLY & SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINES. BOUNDARY SURVEY NORTH OF SUBJECT PROPERTY DEPICTS NORTH PROPERTY LINE 1 FT. ± SOUTH FROM FOUND MONUMENTS (NOT ACCEPTED).

STAMPS INTENDED ONLY FOR RESPONSIBILITY FOR GRADING INFORMATION. ALL SURVEY INFORMATION EXCLUDED.



22 JAN 09 . TAYLOR ACCEPTABILITY CHECKED THIS DESIGN AND/OR Rep<mark>e</mark> WRIGG SPECIFICATION IS APPROVED NGINFFR APPROVED BY DEPT. DATE D. PISCHER CHECKED PPROVED PPROVED

AS-BUILT SURVEY INFORMATION AS SUPPLIED BY CLEARCREEK CONTRACTORS, EVERETT, WASHINGTON 12/12/2008

SURVEYORS NOTE:

THE AS-BUILT INFORMATION SHOWN ON THESE PLANS ARE FROM A FIELD SURVEY AND INSPECTION PERFORMED BY PACIFIC GEOMATIC SERVICES, INC. ON 12/09/2008, AND SHOWS THE CONDITIONS AS THEY EXISTED ON THAT DATE. THE PROPERTY BOUNDARY SHOWN WAS PROVIDED BY OTHERS, THE SURVEYOR HAS NOT VERIFIED THE BOUNDARY DATA AND CERTIFIES TO THE AS-BUILT INFORMATION ONLY.

BASIS OF BEARING:

THE BASIS OF BEARING FOR THIS SURVEY IS THE DESIGN DRAWINGS FOR THE ISSACSON MOUND REMOVAL, THOMPSON SITE YARD DATED 07/24/2008 AND SURVEY MONUMENTS FOUND ON SITE AS SHOWN.

VERTICAL DATUM:

THE VERTICAL DATUM FOR THIS SURVEY IS BASED ON TIES TO EXISTING FEATURES ON SITE AS SHOWN ON THE DESIGN DRAWINGS FOR THE ISSACSON MOUND REMOVAL, THOMPSON SITE YARD DATED 07/24/2008. ELEVATIONS ON EXISTING CB NO. 12 (ELEV. 13.96) AND EXISTING CB NO. 11 (ELEV. 13.87) WERE HELD TO ESTABLISH THE VERTICAL DATUM FOR THIS AS-BUILT SURVEY.

SURVEY TO THE CITY OF SEATTLE BENCHMARK DESIGNATION SNV-5292, POINT ALIAS 5292 WITH A PUBLISHED ELEVATION OF 18.903 FEET ON NAVD 88 VERTICAL DATUM REVEALED THE CONVERSION FROM THE PROJECT DATUM TO NAVD 88 AS SHOWN BELOW.

PROJECT + 3.83 FEET = NAVD 88

 HORIZO	NTAL CONTROL PLAN (AS-BUILT)	CURRENT REVISION	SYMBOL	DATE 1.21.2009
 TITLE	ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL	/	SHEET	50	
 TH(OMPSON – SITE	YARD	JOB NO.	<u>JZ</u>	COMP NO.
 CIVIL MASTER	YARD	THOMPSON, WA	DWG NO.	14-YD-C4	52



S2

				\setminus			/		
				, l			/		
00	•) • • •		0				Ì	\setminus	
X	KIN	G COUNTY ST	ORM SEWER		à		\backslash	`\	
- N= 19507 E=16370	9 95 89.94]		/	N.		$\langle \rangle$	E > /	
HON, TYP	ASPHA	іт <i>/</i>			17			T H A R	`\
			 	1 				C Z	
	· · ·				ب ^ـ ـًـ				# \ F
• • •									\setminus
\] : =	<u>.</u>		X					T
	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>			//		0		N)
	x		· · · · ·	-x		×-	H		H.
				2				1/2	
		8-			-	192	G	<u> </u>	
					SO		- Carlos	50	
		8		SE ASPHALT				Con	
	1	`+	\		7		• •i•	1.1	·
		Point Tal	ole (AS-BUILT)			Point Tat	ole (AS-BUILT)		
	NAME	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION	NAME 10	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION	
	2	195127.33	1636248.36	12.92	20	194993.02	1637010.58	14.04	
	3	195097.01	1636232.47	12.97	21	195019.65	1637059 51	14.00	
	4	195032.38	1636215.00	13.95	22	195047.88	1637063.32	13.27	
	6	195003.17	1636214.69	14 00	24	195147.50	1636992.63	13.92	
	7	195009.65	1636242.22	14.00	25	195171.48	1636963.88	13.97	
	8	195002.84	1636256.68	14 00	26	195171.16	1636839.90	14.00	
	9	194982.74	1636291.06	14.00	27	195175.48	1636613.74	14 00	
	11	194908.83	1636396.33	14.00	28	195181.51	1636338.11		
	12	194891.85	1636470.10	14 00	30	195046.73	1636334.98	14.34	
	13	194880 85	1636513.75	14 00	31	195073.96	1636406 89	15 00	
	14	194894.31	1636652.47	14.00	32	195079.27	1636505.05	15 50	
	15	194907.11	1636740.00	14 00	33	195084.30	1636612.50	15 00	
	17	194940.15	1636875.33	13.98	35	195059.64	1636834.63	14.96	
	18	194919.61	1636901.19	14 00	36	195031.30	1636896 79	14 00	
					-				
	AN	AS_RU	III T)		CURRENT	REVISION	SYMBOL	DATE	3.13.2009
		REMOVAL)		SHEET	$\cap A$	- 7		
	UNUU					C4	25		
SON	_	SILF	YARD		JOB NO			COMP N	0
Y	ARD		THC	MPSON, W	A UNG NO		14-YD-	C453	





SYM |

	BY	APPROVED	DATE		AD JAK	ACCEPTABILITY	DRAWN B. TAYLOR CHECKED	DATE	SUBTITLE	GRADING PLAN SECTIO	DNS (AS-BUILT)	CURRENT REVISIO	on symbol date 1.21.2009
-				BOEING ®		SPECIFICATION IS APPROVED APPROVED DEPT.	K. WRIGG ENGINEER D. PISCHER CHECKED		TITLE	ISAACSON MOUND	REMOVAL		514 comp NO
					20 35715 SSIONAL ENGLY		APPROVED APPROVED		CIVIL MAS	TER YARD	THOMPSON	, WA DWG NO.	14-YD-C514





NOTES:

- 1. STORMWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM (SWTS) SHALL HAVE:
 - PEAK TREATMENT CAPACITY: 2.8 CFS SEDIMENT STORAGE: 1.2 CU YD
 - SEDIMENT CHAMBER DIA: 4' MIN
- 2. SWTS SHALL BE CONTAINED IN ONE RECTANGULAR STRUCTURE
- SWTS REMOVAL EFFICIENCY SHALL BE DOCUMENTED BASED ON PARTICLE SIZE
- 4. SWTS SHALL RETAIN FLOATABLES AND TRAPPED SEDIMENT UP TO AND INCLUDING PEAK TREATMENT CAPACITY
- SWTS INVERTS IN AND OUT ARE TYPICALLY AT THE SAME ELEVATION 6. SWTS SHALL NOT BE COMPROMISED BY EFFECTS OF DOWNSTREAM TAILWATER

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION - NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES

THIS CADD FILE IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SPECIFYING STORMWATER TREATMENT EQUIPMENT TO BE FURNISHED BY CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS AND MAY ONLY BE TRANSFERRED TO OTHER DOCUMENTS EXACTLY AS PROVIDED BY CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS. TITLE BLOCK INFORMATION, EXCLUDING THE CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS LOGO AND THE VORTECHS STORMWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGNATION AND PATENT NUMBER, MAY BE DELETED IF NECESSARY. REVISIONS TO ANY PART OF THIS CADD FILE WITHOUT PRIOR COORDINATION WITH CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS SHALL BE CONSIDERED UNAUTHORIZED USE OF PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.





130 2ND AVENUE S. EDMONDS, WA. 98020

(425) 778-0907, FAX (425) 778-6409 REVISION SYM REVISION BY APPROVED DATE SYM B. TAYLOR K. WRIGG 1.21.2009 AS-BUILT DRAWING

- 7. SWTS SHALL HAVE NO INTERNAL COMPONENTS THAT OBSTRUCT MAINTENANCE ACCESS
- 8. INLET PIPE MUST BE PERPENDICULAR TO THE STRUCTURE 9. PIPE ORIENTATION MAY VARY; SEE SITE PLAN FOR SIZE AND LOCATION
- 10. PURCHASER SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSEMBLY OF UNIT
- 11. MANHOLE FRAMES AND PERFORATED COVERS SUPPLIED WITH SYSTEM, NOT INSTALLED 12. PURCHASER TO PREPARE EXCAVATION AND PROVIDE CRANE FOR OFF-LOADING AND
- SETTING AT TIME OF DELIVERY
- 13. VORTECHS SYSTEMS BY CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS; PORTLAND, OR (800) 548-4667; SCARBOROUGH, ME (877) 907-8676; LINTHICUM, MD (866) 740-3318.

STANDARD DETAIL STORMWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM VORTECHS' MODEL 2000

_____ _____ INLET VARIES, SEE NOTES 8 & 9. INLET PIPE MUST BE A CORNER INLET TO INTRODUCE FLOW TANGENTIALLY TO THE SWIRL CHAMBER.

NOTE: VORTECHS SYSTEMS INSTALLED IN A BYPASS CONFIGURATION REQUIRE AN



NOTES:

1. STORMWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM (SWTS) SHALL HAVE: PEAK TREATMENT CAPACITY: 4.5 CFS

- SEDIMENT STORAGE: 1.8 CU YD
- SEDIMENT CHAMBER DIA: 5' MIN 2. SWTS SHALL BE CONTAINED IN ONE RECTANGULAR STRUCTURE
- 3. SWTS REMOVAL EFFICIENCY SHALL BE DOCUMENTED BASED ON PARTICLE SIZE 4. SWTS SHALL RETAIN FLOATABLES AND TRAPPED SEDIMENT UP TO AND
- INCLUDING PEAK TREATMENT CAPACITY 5. SWTS INVERTS IN AND OUT ARE TYPICALLY AT THE SAME ELEVATION
- 6. SWTS SHALL NOT BE COMPROMISED BY EFFECTS OF DOWNSTREAM TAILWATER

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION - NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES

THIS CADD FILE IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SPECIFYING STORMWATER TREATMENT EQUIPMENT TO BE FURNISHED BY CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS AND MAY ONLY BE TRANSFERRED TO OTHER DOCUMENTS EXACTLY AS PROVIDED BY CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS. TITLE BLOCK INFORMATION. EXCLUDING THE CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS LOGO AND THE VORTECHS STORMWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGNATION AND PATENT NUMBER, MAY BE DELETED IF NECESSARY. REVISIONS TO ANY PART OF THIS CADD FILE WITHOUT PRIOR COORDINATION WITH CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS SHALL BE CONSIDERED UNAUTHORIZED USE OF PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.

STORMWATE SOLUTIONS.

contechstormwater.com

THE INFORMATION ON THIS SHEET RELATES TO VORTECH STORMWATER TREATMENT EQUIPMENT FURNISHED BY CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS AND INSTALLED AT THE LOCATION INDICATED ON SHEET C454.

BY	APPROVED	DATE	



22 JANUOG ACCEPTABILITY TAYLOR HECKED THIS DESIGN AND/OR WRIGG 35715 SPECIFICATION IS APPROVED GINEER APPROVED BY DEPT. DATE D. PISCHER HECKED PPROVED PROVED

7. SWTS SHALL HAVE NO INTERNAL COMPONENTS THAT OBSTRUCT MAINTENANCE ACCESS

8. INLET PIPE MUST BE PERPENDICULAR TO THE STRUCTURE 9. PIPE ORIENTATION MAY VARY; SEE SITE PLAN FOR SIZE AND LOCATION

10. PURCHASER SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSEMBLY OF UNIT

11. MANHOLE FRAMES AND PERFORATED COVERS SUPPLIED WITH SYSTEM, NOT INSTALLED 12. PURCHASER TO PREPARE EXCAVATION AND PROVIDE CRANE FOR OFF-LOADING AND

SETTING AT TIME OF DELIVERY 13. VORTECHS SYSTEMS BY CONTECH STORMWATER SOLUTIONS; PORTLAND, OR

(800)548-4667; SCARBOROUGH, ME (877) 907-8676; LINTHICUM, MD (866) 740-3318.

STANDARD DETAIL STORMWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM VORTECHS? MODEL 3000

TE	SUBTITLE STORM	WATER TREATMENT SYS	TEM DETAILS	CURRENT REVISION	SYMBOL	DATE 1.21.2009
	TITLE	ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL	-	SHEET	15	
	T	-IOMPSON - SITE	YARD	JOB NO.		COMP NO.
	CIVIL MASTER	YARD	THOMPSON, WA	DWG NO.	14-YD-C5	15

APPENDIX C

Construction Stormwater General Permit

Issuance Date: November 16, 2005 Effective Date: December 16, 2005 Expiration Date: December 16, 2010

CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER GENERAL PERMIT

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and State Waste Discharge General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated With Construction Activity

> State of Washington Department of Ecology Olympia, Washington 98504-7600

In compliance with the provisions of The State of Washington Water Pollution Control Law Chapter 90.48 Revised Code of Washington and The Federal Water Pollution Control Act (The Clean Water Act) Title 33 United States Code, Section 1251 et seq.

Until this permit expires, is modified or revoked, Permittees that have properly obtained coverage under this general permit are authorized to discharge in accordance with the special and general conditions which follow.

David C. Peeler, Manager Water Quality Program Washington State Department of Ecology

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUM	MARY OF PERMIT REPORT SUBMITTALS	3
SUM	MARY OF REQUIRED ON SITE DOCUMENTATION	3
	SPECIAL CONDITIONS	
S1.	PERMIT COVERAGE	4
S3.	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	9
S4.	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	10
S5.	REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	15
S6.	PERMIT FEES	18
S7.	SOLID AND LIQUID WASTE DISPOSAL	18
S8.	DISCHARGES TO 303(d) OR TMDL WATERBODIES	18
S9.	STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN	21
S10.	NOTICE OF TERMINATION	29
GENE	ERAL CONDITIONS	
G1.	DISCHARGE VIOLATIONS	
G2.	SIGNATORY REOUIREMENTS	
G3.	RIGHT OF INSPECTION AND ENTRY	
G4.	GENERAL PERMIT MODIFICATION AND REVOCATION	
G5.	REVOCATION OF COVERAGE UNDER THE PERMIT	
G6.	REPORTING A CAUSE FOR MODIFICATION	
G7.	COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND STATUTES	
G8.	DUTY TO REAPPLY	
G9.	TRANSFER OF GENERAL PERMIT COVERAGE	
G10.	REMOVED SUBSTANCES	
G11.	DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION	
G12.	OTHER REOUIREMENTS OF 40 CFR	33
G13.	ADDITIONAL MONITORING	33
G14.	PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING PERMIT CONDITIONS	
G15.	UPSET	34
G16.	PROPERTY RIGHTS	34
G17.	DUTY TO COMPLY	34
G18.	TOXIC POLLUTANTS	
G19.	PENALTIES FOR TAMPERING	35
G20.	REPORTING PLANNED CHANGES.	35
G21.	REPORTING OTHER INFORMATION	

G22.	REPORTING ANTICIPATED NON-COMPLIANCE	35
G23.	REQUESTS TO BE EXCLUDED FROM COVERAGE UNDER THE PERMIT	36
G24.	APPEALS	36
G25.	SEVERABILITY	36
G26.	BYPASS PROHIBITED	36
APPE	NDIX A – DEFINITIONS	39
APPE	NDIX B – ACRONYMS	46

SUMMARY OF PERMIT REPORT SUBMITTALS

Refer to the Special and General Conditions for additional submittal requirements.

Permit Section	Submittal	Frequency	First Submittal Date
S5.A	High Turbidity/Transparency Phone Reporting	As Necessary	Within 24 hours
\$5.B	Discharge Monitoring Report	Monthly	Within 15 days after the applicable monitoring period
S5.F	Noncompliance Notification	As necessary	Immediately
S5.F	Noncompliance Notification – Written Report	As necessary	Within 5 Days of non- compliance
G2.	Notice of Change in Authorization	As necessary	
G6.	Permit Application for Substantive Changes to the Discharge	As necessary	
G8.	Application for Permit Renewal	1/permit cycle	No later than 180 days before expiration
G9.	Notice of Permit Transfer	As necessary	
G20.	Notice of Planned Changes	As necessary	
G22.	Reporting Anticipated Non-compliance	As necessary	

SUMMARY OF REQUIRED ON SITE DOCUMENTATION

Permit Conditions	Document Title	
Conditions S2, S5	Permit Coverage Letter	
Conditions S2, S5	Construction Stormwater General Permit	
Conditions S4, S5	Site Log Book	
Conditions S9, S5	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)	

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

S1. PERMIT COVERAGE

A. Permit Area

This general permit covers all areas of Washington State, except for federal and tribal lands specified in S1.D.3.

B. Operators Required to Seek Coverage Under this General Permit:

- 1. *Operators* of the following *construction activities* are required to seek coverage under this permit:
 - a. Clearing, grading and/or excavation which results in the disturbance of one or more acres, and discharges *stormwater* to *surface waters of the state*; and clearing, grading and/or excavation on *sites* smaller than one acre which are part of a larger *common plan of development or sale*, if the common plan of development or sale will ultimately disturb one acre or more, and discharges stormwater to surface waters of the state.
 - i. This includes forest practices that are part of a construction activity that will result in the disturbance of one or more acres, and discharges to surface waters of the state (i.e., forest practices which are preparing a site for construction activities); and
 - b. Any size construction activity discharging stormwater to waters of the state which the Department of Ecology (Ecology):
 - i. Determines to be a *significant contributor of pollutants* to waters of the state of Washington, or
 - ii. Reasonably expects to cause a violation of any water quality standard.
- 2. Operators of the following activities are not required to seek coverage under this permit, unless specifically required under Condition S1.B.1.b. (Significant Contributor):
 - a. Construction activities which discharge all stormwater and non-stormwater to *ground water*, and have no *point source* discharge to surface water or a *storm sewer system* that drains to surface waters of the state;
 - b. Construction activities covered under an Erosivity Waiver (Condition S2.C);
 - c. Routine maintenance that is performed to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original purpose of a facility.

C. Authorized Discharges:

- 1. <u>Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity</u>. Subject to compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit, *Permittees* are authorized to discharge stormwater associated with construction activity to surface waters of the state or to a storm sewer system that drains to surface waters of the state.
- 2. <u>Stormwater Associated with Construction Support Activity</u>. This permit also authorizes stormwater discharges from support activities related to the permitted construction site (e.g., off-site equipment staging yards, material storage areas, borrow areas, etc.) provided:
 - a. The support activity is directly related to the permitted construction site that is required to have an NPDES permit; and
 - b. The support activity is not a commercial operation serving multiple unrelated construction projects, and does not operate beyond the completion of the construction activity; and
 - c. Appropriate controls and measures are identified in the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan* (SWPPP) for the discharges from the support activity areas.
- 3. <u>Non-Stormwater Discharges</u>. The categories and sources of non-stormwater discharges identified below are conditionally authorized, provided the discharge is consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit:
 - a. Discharges from fire fighting activities;
 - b. Fire hydrant system flushing;
 - c. Potable water including uncontaminated water line flushing (de-chlorinated);
 - d. Pipeline hydrostatic test water;
 - e. Uncontaminated air conditioning or compressor condensate;
 - f. Uncontaminated ground water or spring water;
 - g. Uncontaminated excavation de-watering (in accordance with S9.D.10)
 - h. Uncontaminated discharges from foundation or footing drains;
 - i. Water used to control dust;
 - j. Routine external building wash down that does not use detergents; and
 - k. Landscape irrigation.

All authorized non-stormwater discharges, except for discharges from fire fighting activities, shall be adequately addressed in the SWPPP and comply with Special Condition S3.

D. Limitations on Coverage

The *Director* may require any *discharger* to apply for and obtain coverage under an individual permit or another more specific general permit. Such alternative coverage will be required when Ecology determines that this general permit does not provide adequate assurance that *water quality* will be protected; or there is a reasonable potential for the project to cause or contribute to a violation of water quality standards.

The following stormwater discharges are not covered by this permit:

- 1. Post-construction stormwater discharges that originate from the site after construction activities have been completed and the site has undergone *final stabilization*.
- 2. Nonpoint source silvicultural activities such as nursery operations, site preparation, reforestation and subsequent cultural treatment, thinning, prescribed burning, pest and fire control, harvesting operations, surface drainage, or road construction and maintenance from which there is natural runoff as excluded in 40 CFR Subpart 122.27.
- 3. Stormwater from any federal project or project on federal land or land within an Indian Reservation except for the Puyallup Reservation. Within the Puyallup Reservation, any project that discharges to surface water on land held in trust by the federal government may be covered by this permit.
- 4. Stormwater from any site covered under an existing NPDES individual permit in which stormwater management and/or treatment requirements are included for all stormwater discharges associated with construction activity.
- 5. Where an applicable Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) specifically precludes or prohibits discharges from construction activity, the operator is not eligible for coverage under this permit.

S2. APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

A. Permit Application Forms

- 1. Notice of Intent Form/Timeline
 - a. Operators of new or previously unpermitted construction activities shall submit a complete and accurate permit application form [*Notice of Intent* (NOI)] to Ecology. *Applicants* are encouraged to use Ecology's internet-based electronic NOI to apply for permit coverage.
 - b. The NOI shall be submitted on or before the date of the first public notice (see Condition S2.B below) and at least 60 days prior to the discharge of stormwater

from construction activities. The 30-day public comment period required by WAC 173-226-130(5) begins on the publication date of the second public notice. Unless Ecology responds to the complete application in writing, based on public comments, or any other relevant factors, coverage under the general permit will automatically commence on the thirty-first day following receipt by Ecology of a completed NOI, or the issuance date of this permit, whichever is later; unless a later date is specified by Ecology in writing.

- c. Applicants that discharge to a storm sewer system operated by Seattle, King County, Snohomish County, Tacoma, Pierce County, or Clark County shall also submit a copy of the NOI to the appropriate jurisdiction.
- 2. Transfer of Coverage Form

Current coverage under this permit may be transferred to one or more new operators, including operators of sites within a Common Plan of Development, by submitting a Transfer of Coverage Form in accordance with Condition G9. Transfers do not require public notice.

B. Public Notice

For new or previously unpermitted sites, the applicant shall publish a public notice at least one time each week for two consecutive weeks, with a 7-day time span between dates, in a newspaper that has general circulation in the county in which the construction is to take place. The notice shall contain the following:

- 1. A statement that "The applicant is seeking coverage under the Washington State Department of Ecology's Construction Stormwater NPDES and State Waste Discharge General Permit";
- 2. The name, address and location of the construction site;
- 3. The name and address of the applicant;
- 4. The type of construction activity that will result in a discharge, (e.g., residential construction, commercial construction, etc.) and the number of acres to be disturbed;
- 5. The name of the receiving water(s) (i.e., the surface water(s) that the site will discharge to), or if the discharge is through a storm sewer system, the name of the operator of the storm sewer; and
- 6. The statement: "Any person desiring to present their views to the Department of Ecology regarding this application, or interested in the Department's action on this application may notify the Department of Ecology in writing within 30 days of the last date of publication of this notice. Comments can be submitted to: Department of Ecology, P.O. Box 47696, Olympia, WA 98504-7696, Attn: Water Quality Program, Construction Stormwater".

C. Erosivity Waiver

Operators may qualify for a waiver from the permit if the following conditions are met:

- 1. The site will result in the disturbance of less than 5 acres; and the site is not a portion of a common plan of development or sale that will disturb 5 acres or greater.
- 2. Calculation of Erosivity "R" Factor and Regional Timeframe:
 - a. The project's rainfall erosivity factor ("R" Factor) must be less than 5 during the period of construction activity, as calculated using the Texas A&M University online rainfall erosivity calculator at: <u>http://ei.tamu.edu</u>/. The period of construction activity begins at initial earth disturbance and ends with *final stabilization*; and, in addition:
 - b. The entire period of construction activity must fall within the following timeframes:
 - i. For sites west of the Cascades Crest: June 15 September 15; or
 - ii. For sites east of the Cascades Crest, excluding the Central Basin: June 15 October 15; or
 - iii. For sites east of the Cascades Crest, within the Central Basin*: no additional timeframe restrictions apply.

*Note: The Central Basin is defined as the portions of Eastern Washington with mean annual precipitation of less than 12 inches.

- 3. Operators must submit a complete Erosivity Waiver Certification Form at least one week prior to commencing land disturbing activities. Certification must include:
 - a. A statement that the operator will comply with applicable local stormwater requirements; and
 - b. A statement that the operator will implement appropriate *erosion and sediment control BMPs* to prevent violations of water quality standards.
- 4. This waiver is not available for facilities declared a significant contributor of *pollutants* as defined in Condition S1.B.1.b.
- 5. This waiver does not apply to construction activity which includes non-stormwater discharges listed in S1.C.3.
- 6. If construction activity extends beyond the certified waiver period for any reason, the operator shall either:

- a. Recalculate the rainfall erosivity "R" factor using the original start date and a new projected ending date and, if the "R" factor is still under 5 and the entire project falls within the applicable regional timeframe in S2.C.2.b, complete and submit an amended waiver certification form before the original waiver expires; or
- b. Submit a complete permit application to Ecology in accordance with Condition S2.A and B before the end of the certified waiver period.

S3. COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS

- A. Discharges shall not cause or contribute to a violation of surface water quality standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC), ground water quality standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC), *sediment* management standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC), and human health-based criteria in the National Toxics Rule (40 CFR Part 131.36). Discharges that are not in compliance with these standards are not authorized.
- B. Prior to the discharge of stormwater and non-stormwater to waters of the state, the Permittee shall apply all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment (AKART). This includes the preparation and implementation of an adequate Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), with all appropriate best management practices (BMPs) installed and maintained in accordance with the SWPPP and the terms and conditions of this permit.
- C. Compliance with water quality standards shall be presumed, unless discharge monitoring data or other site specific information demonstrates that a discharge causes or contributes to a violation of water quality standards, when the Permittee is:
 - 1. In full compliance with all permit conditions, including planning, sampling, monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping conditions; and
 - 2. Fully implementing stormwater BMPs contained in *stormwater management manuals* published or approved by Ecology, or BMPs that are *demonstrably equivalent* to BMPs contained in stormwater technical manuals published or approved by Ecology, including the proper selection, implementation, and maintenance of all applicable and appropriate BMPs for on-site *pollution* control.
- D. For sites that discharge to both surface water and ground water, all ground water discharges are also subject to the terms and conditions of this permit. Permittees who discharge to ground water through an *injection well* shall comply with any applicable requirements of the Underground Injection Control (UIC) regulations, Chapter 173-218 WAC.

S4. MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The primary monitoring requirements are summarized in Table 3 (below):

Table 3. Summary of Monitoring Requirements ¹						
Size of Soil Disturbance ²	Weekly Site Inspections	Weekly Sampling w/ Turbidity Meter	Weekly Sampling w/ Transparency Tube	Weekly pH sampling ³		
Sites which disturb less than 1 acre	Required	Not Required	Not Required	Not Required		
Sites which disturb 1 acre or more, but less than 5 acres	Required	Sampling Required – either method ⁴		Required		
Sites which disturb 5 acres or more	Required	Required	Not Required ⁵	Required		

A. Site Log Book

The Permittee shall maintain a site log book that contains a record of the implementation of the SWPPP and other permit requirements including the installation and maintenance of BMPs, site inspections, and stormwater monitoring.

B. Site Inspections

1. Site inspections shall include all areas disturbed by construction activities, all BMPs, and all stormwater discharge points. Stormwater shall be visually examined for the

³ Beginning October 1, 2006, if construction activity involves significant concrete work or the use of engineered soils, and stormwater from the affected area drains to a stormwater collection system or other surface water, the Permittee shall conduct pH sampling in accordance with Condition S4.D.

⁴ Beginning October 1, 2008, sites with one or more acres, but less than 5 acres of soil disturbance, shall conduct turbidity or transparency sampling in accordance with Condition S4.C.

¹ Additional monitoring requirements may apply for: 1) discharges to 303(d) listed waterbodies and waterbodies with applicable TMDLs for turbidity, fine sediment, high pH, or phosphorus - see Condition S8; and 2) sites required to perform additional monitoring by Ecology order - see Condition G13.

² Soil disturbance is calculated by adding together all areas affected by construction activity. Construction Activity means clearing, grading, excavation, and any other activity which disturbs the surface of the land, including ingress/egress from the site.

⁵ Beginning October 1, 2006, sites greater than or equal to 5 acres of soil disturbance shall conduct turbidity sampling using a turbidity meter in accordance with Condition S4.C.

presence of suspended sediment, turbidity, discoloration, and oil sheen. Inspectors shall evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs and determine if it is necessary to install, maintain, or repair BMPs to improve the quality of stormwater discharges.

Based on the results of the inspection, the Permittee shall correct the problems identified as follows:

- a. Review the SWPPP for compliance with Condition S9 and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the inspection; and
- b. Fully implement and maintain appropriate *source control* and/or *treatment BMPs* as soon as possible, but no later than 10 days of the inspection; and
- c. Document BMP implementation and maintenance in the site log book.
- 2. The site inspections shall be conducted at least once every *calendar week* and within 24 hours of any discharge from the site. The inspection frequency for temporarily stabilized, inactive sites may be reduced to once every calendar month.
- 3. Site inspections shall be conducted by a person who is knowledgeable in the principles and practices of erosion and sediment control. The inspector shall have the skills to:
 - a. Assess the site conditions and construction activities that could impact the quality of stormwater, and
 - b. Assess the effectiveness of erosion and sediment control measures used to control the quality of stormwater discharges.
- 4. Beginning October 1, 2006, construction sites one acre or larger that discharge stormwater to surface waters of the state, shall have site inspections conducted by a *Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead* (CESCL). The CESCL shall be identified in the SWPPP and shall be present on-site or on-call at all times. Certification shall be obtained through an approved erosion and sediment control training program that meets the minimum training standards established by Ecology (see BMP C160 in the Manual).
- 5. The inspector shall summarize the results of each inspection in an inspection report or checklist and be entered into, or attached to, the site log book. At a minimum, each inspection report or checklist shall include:
 - a. Inspection date and time.
 - b. Weather information; general conditions during inspection and approximate amount of precipitation since the last inspection, and within the last 24 hours.
 - c. A summary or list of all BMPs which have been implemented, including observations of all erosion/sediment control structures or practices.
 - d. The following shall be noted:
 - i. locations of BMPs inspected,

- ii. locations of BMPs that need maintenance,
- iii. the reason maintenance is needed,
- iv. locations of BMPs that failed to operate as designed or intended, and
- v. locations where additional or different BMPs are needed, and the reason(s) why.
- e. A description of stormwater discharged from the site. The inspector shall note the presence of suspended sediment, turbid water, discoloration, and/or oil sheen, as applicable.
- f. Any water quality monitoring performed during inspection.
- g. General comments and notes, including a brief description of any BMP repairs, maintenance or installations made as a result of the inspection.
- h. A statement that, in the judgment of the person conducting the site inspection, the site is either in compliance or out of compliance with the terms and conditions of the SWPPP and the permit. If the site inspection indicates that the site is out of compliance, the inspection report shall include a summary of the remedial actions required to bring the site back into compliance, as well as a schedule of implementation.
- i. Name, title, and signature of the person conducting site inspection; and the following statement: "I certify that this report is true, accurate, and complete, to the best of my knowledge and belief".

C. Turbidity/Transparency Sampling Requirements

- 1. Sampling Methods/Effective Dates
 - a. Beginning October 1, 2006, if construction activity will involve the disturbance of 5 acres or more, the Permittee shall conduct *turbidity* sampling per Condition S4.C.
 - b. Beginning October 1, 2008, if construction activity will involve greater than or equal to 1 acre, but less than 5 acres of soil disturbance, the Permittee shall conduct *transparency* sampling **or** turbidity sampling per Condition S4.C.
- 2. Sampling Frequency
 - a. Sampling shall be conducted at least once every calendar week, when there is a discharge of stormwater (or authorized non-stormwater) from the site. Samples shall be *representative* of the flow and characteristics of the discharge.
 - b. When there is no discharge during a calendar week, sampling is not required.
 - c. Sampling is not required outside of normal working hours or during unsafe conditions. If a Permittee is unable to sample during a monitoring period, the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) shall include a brief explanation.

- 3. Sampling Locations
 - a. Sampling is required at all discharge points where stormwater (or authorized nonstormwater) is discharged off-site.
 - b. All sampling point(s) shall be identified on the SWPPP site map and be clearly marked in the field with a flag, tape, stake or other visible marker.
- 4. Sampling and Analysis Methods
 - a. Turbidity analysis shall be performed with a calibrated turbidity meter (turbidimeter), either on-site or at an accredited lab. The results shall be recorded in the site log book in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU).
 - b. Transparency analysis shall be performed on-site with a 1 ³/₄ inch diameter, 60 centimeter (cm) long Transparency Tube. The results shall be recorded in the site log book in centimeters (cm). Transparency Tubes are available from: http://watermonitoringequip.com/pages/stream.html

Parameter	Units	Analytical Method	Sampling Frequency	Benchmark Value
Turbidity	NTU	SM2130 or EPA180.1	Weekly, if discharging	25 NTU
Transparency	cm	Manufacturer instructions, or Ecology Guidance	Weekly, if discharging	31 cm

5. Turbidity/Transparency Benchmark Values

The benchmark value for turbidity is 25 NTU (Nephelometric Turbidity Units); and the benchmark value for transparency is 31 cm.

a. <u>Turbidity 26 – 249 NTU, or Transparency 30 – 7 cm</u>:

If discharge turbidity is greater than 25 NTU, but less than 250 NTU; or if discharge transparency is less than 31 cm, but greater than 6 cm, the CESCL shall:

- i. Review the SWPPP for compliance with Condition S9 and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark; and
- ii. Fully implement and maintain appropriate source control and/or treatment BMPs as soon as possible, but within 10 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark; and

iii. Document BMP implementation and maintenance in the site log book.

b. <u>Turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or Transparency 6 cm or less:</u>

If discharge turbidity is greater than or equal to 250 NTU; or if discharge transparency is less than or equal to 6 cm, the CESCL shall:

- i. Notify Ecology by phone in accordance with Condition S5.A.; and
- ii. Review the SWPPP for compliance with Condition S9 and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark; and
- iii. Fully implement and maintain appropriate source control and/or treatment BMPs as soon as possible, but within 10 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark;
- iv. Document BMP implementation and maintenance in the site log book; and
- v. Continue to sample discharges daily until:
 - 1. turbidity is 25 NTU (or lower); or
 - 2. transparency is 31 cm (or greater); or
 - 3. the CESCL has demonstrated compliance with the water quality standard for turbidity:
 - a. no more than 5 NTU over background turbidity, if background is less than 50 NTU, or
 - b. no more than 10% over background turbidity, if background is 50 NTU or greater; or
 - 4. the discharge stops or is eliminated.

D. pH Monitoring: Sites with Significant Concrete Work or Engineered Soils

Beginning October 1, 2006, if construction activity will result in the disturbance of 1 acre or more, **and** involves *significant concrete work* or the use of *engineered soils*, **and** stormwater from the affected area drains to surface waters of the state or to a storm sewer system that drains to surface waters of the state, the Permittee shall conduct *pH* monitoring as set forth below:

- 1. For sites with significant concrete work, the *pH monitoring period* shall commence when the concrete is first exposed to precipitation and continue weekly until stormwater pH is 8.5 or less.
 - a. "Significant concrete work" means greater than 1000 cubic yards poured concrete or recycled concrete.
- 2. For sites with engineered soils, the pH monitoring period shall commence when the soil amendments are first exposed to precipitation and shall continue until the area of engineered soils is *fully stabilized*.

- a. "Engineered soils" means soil amendments including, but not limited, to Portland cement treated base (CTB), cement kiln dust (CKD), or fly ash.
- 3. During the pH monitoring period, the Permittee shall obtain a representative sample of stormwater and conduct pH analysis at least once per week.
- 4. The Permittee shall monitor pH in the sediment trap/pond(s) or other locations that receive stormwater runoff from the area of significant concrete work or engineered soils prior to discharge to surface waters.
- 5. The benchmark value for pH is 8.5 standard units. Any time sampling indicates that pH is 8.5 or greater, the Permittee shall:
 - a. Prevent the high pH water (8.5 or above) from entering storm sewer systems or surface waters; and
 - b. If necessary, adjust or neutralize the high pH water using an appropriate treatment BMP such as CO₂ sparging or dry ice. The Permittee shall obtain written approval from Ecology prior to using any form of chemical treatment other than CO₂ sparging or dry ice.
- 6. The Permittee shall perform pH analysis on-site with a calibrated pH meter, pH test kit, or wide range pH indicator paper. The Permittee shall record pH monitoring results in the site log book.

S5. REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

A. High Turbidity Phone Reporting

Any time sampling performed in accordance with Special Condition S4.C indicates turbidity is 250 NTU or greater (or transparency is 6 cm or less) the Permittee shall notify the appropriate Ecology regional office by phone within 24 hours of analysis.

B. Discharge Monitoring Reports

 Permittees required to conduct water quality sampling in accordance with Special Conditions S.4.C (Turbidity/Transparency), S4.D (pH) and/or S8 [303(d)/TMDL sampling] shall submit the results to Ecology monthly on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms provided by Ecology.

Permittees are authorized and encouraged to submit electronic DMRs using the "E-DMR Form" on Ecology's Construction Stormwater web site: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/construction/.

2. The Permittee shall submit DMR forms electronically or by mail to be received by Ecology within 15 days following the end of each month. If there was no discharge during a given monitoring period, the Permittee shall submit the form as required with the words "no discharge" entered in place of the monitoring results. If the Permittee is unable to submit discharge monitoring reports electronically, the Permittee may mail reports to the address listed below:

4

Department of Ecology Water Quality Program - Construction Stormwater PO Box 47696 Olympia, Washington 98504-7696

C. Records Retention

The Permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information (site log book, sampling results, inspection reports/checklists, etc.), Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, and any other documentation of compliance with permit requirements during the life of the construction project and for a minimum of three years following the termination of permit coverage. Such information shall include all calibration and maintenance records, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit. This period of retention shall be extended during the course of any unresolved litigation regarding the discharge of pollutants by the Permittee or when requested by Ecology.

D. Recording of Results

For each measurement or sample taken, the Permittee shall record the following information:

- 1. Date, place, method, and time of sampling or measurement;
- 2. The individual who performed the sampling or measurement;
- 3. The dates the analyses were performed;
- 4. The individual who performed the analyses;
- 5. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
- 6. The results of all analyses.

E. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee

If the Permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit using test procedures specified by Condition S4 of this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the Permittee's DMR.

F. Noncompliance Notification

In the event the Permittee is unable to comply with any of the terms and conditions of this permit which may cause a threat to human health or the environment, the Permittee shall:

- 1. Immediately notify Ecology of the failure to comply.
- 2. Immediately take action to prevent the discharge/pollution, or otherwise stop or correct the noncompliance, and, if applicable, repeat sampling and analysis of any noncompliance immediately and submit the results to Ecology within five (5) days after becoming aware of the violation.

3. Submit a detailed written report to Ecology within five (5) days, unless requested earlier by Ecology. The report shall contain a description of the noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and the steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

Compliance with these requirements does not relieve the Permittee from responsibility to maintain continuous compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit or the resulting liability for failure to comply.

G. Access to Plans and Records

- 1. The Permittee shall retain the following permit documentation (plans and records) onsite, or within reasonable access to the site, for use by the operator; or on-site review by Ecology or the local *jurisdiction*:
 - a. General Permit;
 - b. Permit Coverage Letter;
 - c. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP); and
 - d. Site Log Book
- 2. The Permittee(s) shall address written requests for plans and records listed above (Condition S5.G.1) as follows:
 - a. A copy of plans and records shall be provided to Ecology within 14 days of receipt of a written request from Ecology.
 - b. A copy of plans and records shall be provided to the public when requested in writing. Upon receiving a written request from the public for the Permittee's plans and records, the Permittee shall either:
 - i. Provide a copy of the plans and records to the requestor within 14 days of a receipt of the written request; or
 - ii. Notify the requestor within 10 days of receipt of the written request of the location and times within normal business hours when the plans and records may be viewed, and provide access to the plans and records within 14 days of receipt of the written request; or
 - iii. Within 14 days of receipt of the written request, the Permittee may submit a copy of the plans and records to Ecology for viewing and/or copying by the requestor at an Ecology office, or a mutually agreed upon location. If plans and records are viewed and/or copied at a location other than at an Ecology office, the Permittee will provide reasonable access to copying services for which a reasonable fee may be charged. The Permittee shall notify the

requestor within 10 days of receipt of the request where the plans and records may be viewed and/or copied.

S6. PERMIT FEES

The Permittee shall pay permit fees assessed by Ecology. Fees for stormwater discharges covered under this permit shall be established by Chapter 173-224 WAC. Permit fees will continue to be assessed until the permit is terminated in accordance with Special Condition S10 or revoked in accordance with General Condition G5.

S7. SOLID AND LIQUID WASTE DISPOSAL

Solid and liquid wastes generated by construction activity such as demolition debris, construction materials, contaminated materials, and waste materials from maintenance activities, including liquids and solids from cleaning catch basins and other stormwater facilities, shall be handled and disposed of in accordance with:

- 1. Special Condition S3, Compliance with Standards, and
- 2. WAC 173-216-110, and other applicable regulations.

S8. DISCHARGES TO 303(D) OR TMDL WATERBODIES

- A. Sampling and Numeric Effluent Limitations For Discharges to 303(d)-listed Waterbodies
 - 1. 1.Permittees that discharge to water bodies listed as impaired by the State of Washington under Section 303(d) of the *Clean Water Act* for turbidity, fine sediment, high pH, or phosphorus, shall conduct water quality sampling according to the requirements of this section.
 - 2. All references and requirements associated with Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act mean the most current listing by Ecology of impaired waters that exists on November 16, 2005, or the date when the operator's complete permit application is received by Ecology, whichever is later.

B. Discharges to 303(d)-Listed Waterbodies (Turbidity, Fine Sediment, or Phosphorus)

- 1. Permittees which discharge to waterbodies on the 303(d) list for turbidity, fine sediment, or phosphorus shall conduct turbidity sampling at the following locations to evaluate compliance with the water quality standard for turbidity:
 - a. Background turbidity shall be measured in the 303(d)-listed *receiving water* immediately upstream (upgradient) or outside the area of influence of the discharge; and
 - b. Discharge turbidity shall be measured at the point of discharge into the 303(d) listed receiving waterbody, inside the area of influence of the discharge; or

Alternatively, discharge turbidity may be measured at the point where the discharge leaves the construction site, rather than in the receiving waterbody.

- 2. Based on sampling, if the discharge turbidity exceeds the water quality standard for turbidity (more than 5 NTU over background turbidity when the background turbidity is 50 NTU or less, or more than a 10% increase in turbidity when the background turbidity is more than 50 NTU), all future discharges shall comply with a numeric effluent limit which is equal to the water quality standard for turbidity.
- 3. If a future discharge exceeds the water quality standard for turbidity, the Permittee shall:
 - a. Review the SWPPP for compliance with Condition S9 and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the standard;
 - b. Fully implement and maintain appropriate source control and/or treatment BMPs as soon as possible, but within 10 days of the discharge that exceeded the standard;
 - c. Document BMP implementation and maintenance in the site log book;
 - d. Notify the appropriate Ecology Regional Office by phone within 24 hours of analysis;
 - e. Continue to sample daily until discharge turbidity meets the water quality standard for turbidity.
- C. Discharges to waterbodies on the 303(d) list for High pH
 - 1. Permittees which discharge to waterbodies on the 303(d) list for high pH shall conduct sampling at one of the following locations to evaluate compliance with the water quality standard for pH (in the range of 6.5 8.5):
 - a. pH shall be measured at the point of discharge into the 303(d) listed waterbody, inside the area of influence of the discharge; or
 - b. Alternatively, pH may be measured at the point where the discharge leaves the construction site, rather than in the receiving water.
 - 2. Based on the sampling set forth above, if the pH exceeds the water quality standard for pH (in the range of 6.5 8.5), all future discharges shall comply with a numeric effluent limit which is equal to the water quality standard for pH.
 - 3. If a future discharge exceeds the water quality standard for pH, the Permittee shall:
 - a. Review the SWPPP for compliance with Condition S9 and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the water quality standard;

- b. Fully implement and maintain appropriate source control and/or treatment BMPs as soon as possible, but within 10 days of the discharge that exceeded the standards;
- c. Document BMP implementation and maintenance in the site log book;
- d. Notify the appropriate Ecology Regional Office by phone within 24 hours of analysis; and
- e. Continue to sample daily until discharge meets the water quality standard for pH (in the range of 6.5 8.5) or the discharge stops or is eliminated.

Parameter identified in 303(d) listing	Parameter/Units	Analytical Method	Sampling Frequency	Water Quality Standard
Turbidity Fine Sediment Phosphorus	Turbidity/NTU	SM2130 or EPA180.1	Weekly, if discharging	If background is 50 NTU or less: 5 NTU over background; or If background is more than 50 NTU: 10% over background
High pH	pH/Standard Units	pH meter	Weekly, if discharging	In the range of 6.5 – 8.5

D. Sampling and Limitations For Sites Discharging to Applicable TMDLs

- 1. Discharges to a waterbodies subject to an applicable Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for turbidity, fine sediment, high pH, or phosphorus, shall be consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL.
 - a. Where an *applicable TMDL* sets specific *waste load allocations* or requirements for discharges covered by this permit, discharges shall be consistent with any specific waste load allocations or requirements established by the applicable TMDL.
 - ii. The Permittee shall sample discharges weekly, or as otherwise specified by the TMDL, to evaluate compliance with the specific waste load allocations or requirements.
 - iii. Analytical methods used to meet the monitoring requirements shall conform to the latest revision of the *Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants* contained in 40 CFR Part 136. Turbidity and pH methods

need not be accredited or registered unless conducted at a laboratory which must otherwise be accredited or registered.

- b. Where an applicable TMDL has established a general waste load allocation for construction stormwater discharges, but no specific requirements have been identified, compliance with Conditions S4 (Monitoring) and S9 (SWPPPs) will be assumed to be consistent with the approved TMDL.
- c. Where an applicable TMDL has not specified a waste load allocation for construction stormwater discharges, but has not excluded these discharges, compliance with Conditions S4 (Monitoring) and S9 (SWPPPs) will be assumed to be consistent with the approved TMDL.
- d. Where an applicable TMDL specifically precludes or prohibits discharges from construction activity, the operator is not eligible for coverage under this permit.
- 2. Applicable TMDL means a TMDL for turbidity, fine sediment, high pH, or phosphorus, which has been completed and approved by EPA prior to November 16, 2005, or prior to the date the operator's complete permit application is received by Ecology, whichever is later. TMDLs completed after the operator's complete permit application is received by Ecology become applicable to the Permittee only if they are imposed through an administrative order by Ecology, or through a modification of permit coverage.

S9. STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

An adequate Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for construction activity shall be prepared and implemented in accordance with the requirements of this permit beginning with initial soil disturbance and until *final stabilization*.

- A. The SWPPP shall meet the following objectives:
 - 1. To implement Best Management Practices (BMPs) to prevent erosion and *sedimentation*, and to identify, reduce, eliminate or prevent stormwater contamination and water pollution from construction activity.
 - 2. To prevent violations of surface water quality, ground water quality, or sediment management standards.
 - 3. To control peak volumetric flow rates and velocities of stormwater discharges.

B. General Requirements

1. The SWPPP shall include a narrative and drawings. All BMPs shall be clearly referenced in the narrative and marked on the drawings.

The SWPPP narrative shall include documentation to explain and justify the pollution prevention decisions made for the project. Documentation shall include:

a. Information about existing site conditions (topography, drainage, soils, vegetation, etc.);

- b. Potential erosion problem areas;
- c. The 12 elements of a SWPPP in S9.D.1-12, including BMPs used to address each element;
- d. Construction phasing/sequence and general BMP implementation schedule;
- e. The actions to be taken if BMP performance goals are not achieved; and
- f. Engineering calculations for ponds and any other designed structures.
- 2. The Permittee shall modify the SWPPP if, during inspections or investigations conducted by the owner/operator, or the applicable local or state regulatory authority, it is determined that the SWPPP is, or would be, ineffective in eliminating or significantly minimizing pollutants in stormwater discharges from the site. The Permittee shall take the following actions:
 - a. Review the SWPPP for compliance with Condition S9 and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the inspection or investigation;
 - b. Fully implement and maintain appropriate source control and/or treatment BMPs as soon as possible, but no later than 10 days from the inspection or investigation; and
 - c. Document BMP implementation and maintenance in the site log book.
- 3. The Permittee shall modify the SWPPP whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance at the construction site that has, or could have, a significant effect on the discharge of pollutants to waters of the state.

C. Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs)

BMPs shall be consistent with:

- 1. Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (most recent edition), for sites west of the crest of the Cascade Mountains;
- 2. Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington (most recent edition), for sites east of the crest of the Cascade Mountains; or
- 3. Other stormwater management guidance documents or manuals which provide an equivalent level of pollution prevention and are approved by Ecology; or
- 4. Documentation in the SWPPP that the BMPs selected provides an equivalent level of pollution prevention, compared to the applicable Stormwater Management Manuals, including:

- a. The technical basis for the selection of all stormwater BMPs (scientific, technical studies, and/or modeling) which support the performance claims for the BMPs being selected; and
- b. An assessment of how the selected BMP will satisfy AKART requirements and the applicable federal technology-based treatment requirements under 40 CFR part 125.3.

D. SWPPP - Narrative Contents and Requirements

The Permittee shall include each of the 12 elements below in S9.D.1-12 in the narrative of the SWPPP and ensure that they are implemented unless site conditions render the element unnecessary and the exemption from that element is clearly justified in the SWPPP.

- 1. Preserve Vegetation/Mark Clearing Limits
 - a. Prior to beginning land disturbing activities, including clearing and grading, clearly mark all clearing limits, *sensitive areas* and their *buffers*, and trees that are to be preserved within the construction area.
 - b. The duff layer, native top soil, and natural vegetation shall be retained in an undisturbed state to the maximum degree practicable.
- 2. Establish Construction Access
 - a. Construction vehicle access and exit shall be limited to one route, if possible.
 - b. Access points shall be stabilized with a pad of quarry spalls, crushed rock, or other *equivalent BMP*, to minimize the tracking of sediment onto public roads.
 - c. Wheel wash or tire baths shall be located on site, if the stabilized construction entrance is not effective in preventing sediment from being tracked onto public roads.
 - d. If sediment is tracked off site, public roads shall be cleaned thoroughly at the end of each day, or more frequently during wet weather. Sediment shall be removed from roads by shoveling or pickup sweeping and shall be transported to a controlled sediment disposal area.
 - e. Street washing is allowed only after sediment is removed in accordance with S9.D.2.d. Street wash wastewater shall be controlled by pumping back on site or otherwise be prevented from discharging into systems tributary to waters of the state.
- 3. Control Flow Rates
 - a. Properties and waterways downstream from development sites shall be protected from erosion due to increases in the velocity and peak volumetric flow rate of stormwater runoff from the project site, as required by local plan approval authority.

- b. Where necessary to comply with S9.D.3.a., stormwater retention or *detention* facilities shall be constructed as one of the first steps in grading. Detention facilities shall be functional prior to construction of site improvements (e.g., impervious surfaces).
- c. If permanent infiltration ponds are used for flow control during construction, these facilities shall be protected from siltation during the construction phase.
- 4. Install Sediment Controls
 - a. Stormwater runoff from disturbed areas shall pass through a sediment pond or other appropriate sediment removal BMP, prior to leaving a construction site or prior to discharge to an infiltration facility. Runoff from fully stabilized areas may be discharged without a sediment removal BMP, but shall meet the flow control performance standard of S9.D.3.a.
 - b. Sediment control BMPs (sediment ponds, traps, filters, etc.) shall be constructed as one of the first steps in grading. These BMPs shall be functional before other land disturbing activities take place.
 - c. BMPs intended to trap sediment on site shall be located in a manner to avoid interference with the movement of juvenile salmonids attempting to enter off-channel areas or drainages.
- 5. Stabilize Soils
 - a. Exposed and unworked soils shall be stabilized by application of effective BMPs that prevent erosion. Applicable BMPs include, but are not limited to: temporary and permanent seeding, sodding, mulching, plastic covering, erosion control fabrics and matting, soil application of polyacrylamide (PAM), the early application of gravel base on areas to be paved, and dust control.
 - b. Depending on the geographic location of the project, no soils shall remain exposed and unworked for more than the time periods set forth below to prevent erosion:

West of the Cascade Mountains Crest During the dry season (May 1 - Sept. 30): 7 days During the wet season (October 1 - April 30): 2 days

- East of the Cascade Mountains Crest, except for Central Basin* During the dry season (July 1 - September 30): 10 days During the wet season (October 1 - June 30): 5 days
- The Central Basin*, East of the Cascade Mountains Crest During the dry Season (July 1 - September 30): 30 days During the wet season (October 1 - June 30): 15 days

*Note: The Central Basin is defined as the portions of Eastern Washington with mean annual precipitation of less than 12 inches.

The time period may be adjusted by a local jurisdiction, if the jurisdiction can show that local precipitation data justify a different standard.

- c. Soils shall be stabilized at the end of the shift before a holiday or weekend if needed based on the weather forecast.
- d. Soil stockpiles shall be stabilized from erosion, protected with sediment trapping measures, and where possible, be located away from *storm drain* inlets, waterways, and drainage channels.
- 6. Protect Slopes
 - a. Design and construct cut and fill slopes in a manner that will minimize erosion. Applicable practices include, but are not limited to, reducing continuous length of slope with terracing and diversions, reducing slope steepness, and roughening slope surfaces (e.g., track walking).
 - b. Off-site stormwater (run-on) or groundwater shall be diverted away from slopes and disturbed areas with interceptor dikes, pipes, and/or swales. Off-site stormwater should be managed separately from stormwater generated on the site.
 - c. At the top of slopes, collect drainage in pipe slope drains or protected channels to prevent erosion.
 - i. West of the Cascade Mountains Crest: Temporary pipe slope drains shall handle the peak 10-minute velocity of flow from a Type 1A, 10-year, 24-hour frequency storm for the developed condition. Alternatively, the 10-year, 1hour flow rate predicted by an approved continuous runoff model, increased by a factor of 1.6, may be used. The hydrologic analysis shall use the existing land cover condition for predicting flow rates from tributary areas outside the project limits. For tributary areas on the project site, the analysis shall use the temporary or permanent project land cover condition, whichever will produce the highest flow rates. If using the WWHM to predict flows, bare soil areas should be modeled as "landscaped area."
 - ii. East of the Cascade Mountains Crest: Temporary pipe slope drains shall handle the expected peak flow velocity from a 6-month, 3-hour storm for the developed condition, referred to as the short duration storm.
 - d. Excavated material shall be placed on the uphill side of trenches, consistent with safety and space considerations.
 - e. Check dams shall be placed at regular intervals within constructed channels that are cut down a slope.
- 7. Protect Drain Inlets
 - a. All storm drain inlets made operable during construction shall be protected so that stormwater runoff does not enter the conveyance system without first being filtered or treated to remove sediment.

- b. Inlet protection devices shall be cleaned or removed and replaced when sediment has filled one-third of the available storage (unless a different standard is specified by the product manufacturer).
- 8. Stabilize Channels and Outlets
 - a. All temporary on-site conveyance channels shall be designed, constructed, and stabilized to prevent erosion from the following expected peak flows:
 - i. West of the Cascade Mountains Crest: Channels shall handle the peak 10 minute velocity of flow from a Type 1A, 10-year, 24-hour frequency storm for the developed condition. Alternatively, the 10-year, 1-hour flow rate indicated by an approved continuous runoff model, increased by a factor of 1.6, may be used. The hydrologic analysis shall use the existing land cover condition for predicting flow rates from tributary areas outside the project limits. For tributary areas on the project site, the analysis shall use the temporary or permanent project land cover condition, whichever will produce the highest flow rates. If using the WWHM to predict flows, bare soil areas should be modeled as "landscaped area."
 - ii. East of the Cascade Mountains Crest: Channels shall handle the expected peak flow velocity from a 6-month, 3-hour storm for the developed condition, referred to as the short duration storm.
 - b. *Stabilization*, including armoring material, adequate to prevent erosion of outlets, adjacent stream banks, slopes, and downstream reaches shall be provided at the outlets of all conveyance systems.
- 9. Control Pollutants
 - a. All pollutants, including waste materials and demolition debris, that occur onsite shall be handled and disposed of in a manner that does not cause contamination of stormwater.
 - b. Cover, containment, and protection from vandalism shall be provided for all chemicals, liquid products, petroleum products, and other materials that have the potential to pose a threat to human health or the environment. On-site fueling tanks shall include secondary containment.
 - c. Maintenance, fueling, and repair of heavy equipment and vehicles shall be conducted using spill prevention and control measures. Contaminated surfaces shall be cleaned immediately following any spill incident.
 - d. Wheel wash or tire bath wastewater shall be discharged to a separate on-site treatment system or to the *sanitary sewer* with local sewer district approval.
 - e. Application of fertilizers and pesticides, shall be conducted in a manner and at application rates that will not result in loss of chemical to stormwater runoff. Manufacturers' label requirements for application rates and procedures shall be followed.

- f. BMPs shall be used to prevent or treat contamination of stormwater runoff by pH modifying sources. These sources include, but are not limited to: bulk cement, cement kiln dust, fly ash, new concrete washing and curing waters, waste streams generated from concrete grinding and sawing, exposed aggregate processes, dewatering concrete vaults, concrete pumping and mixer washout waters. Permittees shall adjust the pH of stormwater if necessary to prevent violations of water quality standards.
- g. Permittees shall obtain written approval from Ecology prior to using chemical treatment, other than CO₂ or dry ice to adjust pH.
- 10. Control De-Watering
 - a. Foundation, vault, and trench de-watering water, which have similar characteristics to stormwater runoff at the site, shall be discharged into a controlled conveyance system prior to discharge to a sediment trap or sediment pond.
 - b. Clean, non-turbid de-watering water, such as well-point ground water, can be discharged to systems tributary to, or directly into surface waters of the state, as specified in S9.D.8, provided the de-watering flow does not cause erosion or flooding of receiving waters. Clean de-watering water should not be routed through stormwater sediment ponds.
 - c. Other de-watering disposal options may include:
 - i. infiltration
 - ii. transport offsite in a vehicle, such as a vacuum flush truck, for legal disposal in a manner that does not pollute state waters,
 - iii. Ecology-approved on-site chemical treatment or other suitable treatment technologies,
 - iv. sanitary sewer discharge with local sewer district approval, if there is no other option, or
 - v. use of a sedimentation bag with *outfall* to a ditch or swale for small volumes of localized de-watering.
 - d. Highly turbid or contaminated dewatering water shall be handled separately from stormwater.
- 11. Maintain BMPs
 - a. All temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control BMPs shall be maintained and repaired as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function in accordance with BMP specifications.
 - b. All temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs shall be removed within 30 days after final site stabilization is achieved or after the temporary BMPs are no longer needed.

12. Manage the Project

- a. Development projects shall be phased to the maximum degree practicable and shall take into account seasonal work limitations.
- b. Inspection and Monitoring

All BMPs shall be inspected, maintained, and repaired as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function. Site inspections and monitoring shall be conducted in accordance with S4.

c. Maintaining an Updated Construction SWPPP

The SWPPP shall be maintained, updated, and implemented in accordance with Conditions S3, S4 and S9.

E. SWPPP - Map Contents and Requirements

The SWPPP shall also include a vicinity map or general location map (e.g. USGS Quadrangle map, a portion of a county or city map, or other appropriate map) with enough detail to identify the location of the construction site and receiving waters within one mile of the site.

The SWPPP shall also include a legible site map (or maps) showing the entire construction site. The following features shall be identified, unless not applicable due to site conditions:

- 1. The direction of north, property lines, and existing structures and roads;
- 2. Cut and fill slopes indicating the top and bottom of slope catch lines;
- 3. Approximate slopes, contours, and direction of stormwater flow before and after major grading activities;
- 4. Areas of soil disturbance and areas that will not be disturbed;
- 5. Locations of structural and nonstructural controls (BMPs) identified in the SWPPP
- 6. Locations of off-site material, stockpiles, waste storage, borrow areas, and vehicle/equipment storage areas;
- 7. Locations of all surface water bodies, including wetlands;
- 8. Locations where stormwater or non-stormwater discharges off-site and/or to a surface water body, including wetlands;
- 9. Location of water quality sampling station(s), if sampling is required by state or local permitting authority; and
10. Areas where final stabilization has been accomplished and no further constructionphase permit requirements apply.

S10. NOTICE OF TERMINATION

- A. The site is eligible for termination when either of the following conditions have been met:
 - 1. The site has undergone final stabilization, all temporary BMPs have been removed, and all stormwater discharges associated with construction activity have been eliminated; or
 - 2. All portions of the site which have not undergone final stabilization per S10.A.1 have been sold and/or transferred (per Condition G9), and the Permittee no longer has operational control of the construction activity.
- B. When the site is eligible for termination, the Permittee shall submit a complete and accurate *Notice of Termination* (NOT) form, signed in accordance with General Condition G2, to:

Department of Ecology Water Quality Program - Construction Stormwater PO Box 47696 Olympia, Washington 98504-7696

C. The termination is effective on the date the NOT form was received by Ecology, unless the Permittee is notified by Ecology within 30 days that termination request is denied because the eligibility requirements in Condition S10.A have not been met.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

G1. DISCHARGE VIOLATIONS

All discharges and activities authorized by this general permit shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this general permit. Any discharge of any pollutant more frequent than or at a level in excess of that identified and authorized by the general permit shall constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of this permit.

G2. SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS

- A. All permit applications shall bear a certification of correctness to be signed:
 - 1. In the case of corporations, by a responsible corporate officer of at least the level of vice president of a corporation;
 - 2. In the case of a partnership, by a general partner of a partnership;
 - 3. In the case of sole proprietorship, by the proprietor; or
 - 4. In the case of a municipal, state, or other public facility, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
- B. All reports required by this permit and other information requested by Ecology shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - 1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above and submitted to the Ecology.
 - 2. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility, such as the position of plant manager, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters.
- C. Changes to authorization. If an authorization under paragraph G2.B.2 above is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of paragraph G2.B.2 above shall be submitted to Ecology prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.
- D. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

G3. RIGHT OF INSPECTION AND ENTRY

The Permittee shall allow an authorized representative of Ecology, upon the presentation of credentials and such other documents as may be required by law:

- A. To enter upon the premises where a discharge is located or where any records shall be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit.
- B. To have access to and copy at reasonable times and at reasonable cost any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit.
- C. To inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, methods, or operations regulated or required under this permit.
- D. To sample or monitor at reasonable times any substances or parameters at any location for purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act.

G4. GENERAL PERMIT MODIFICATION AND REVOCATION

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 173-226 WAC. Grounds for modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A. When a change which occurs in the technology or practices for control or abatement of pollutants applicable to the category of dischargers covered under this permit;
- B. When effluent limitation guidelines or standards are promulgated pursuant to the CWA or Chapter 90.48 RCW, for the category of dischargers covered under this permit;
- C. When a water quality management plan containing requirements applicable to the category of dischargers covered under this permit is approved; or
- D. When information is obtained which indicates that cumulative effects on the environment from dischargers covered under this permit are unacceptable.

G5. REVOCATION OF COVERAGE UNDER THE PERMIT

Pursuant with Chapter 43.21B RCW and Chapter 173-226 WAC, the Director may terminate coverage for any discharger under this permit for cause. Cases where coverage may be terminated include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A. Violation of any term or condition of this permit;
- B. Obtaining coverage under this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts;
- C. A change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the permitted discharge;
- D. Failure or refusal of the Permittee to allow entry as required in RCW 90.48.090;
- E. A determination that the permitted activity endangers human health or the environment, or contributes to water quality standards violations;
- F. Nonpayment of permit fees or penalties assessed pursuant to RCW 90.48.465 and Chapter 173-224 WAC;
- G. Failure of the Permittee to satisfy the public notice requirements of WAC 173-226-130(5), when applicable.

The Director may require any discharger under this permit to apply for and obtain coverage under an individual permit or another more specific general permit. Permittees who have their coverage revoked for cause according to WAC 173-226-240 may request temporary coverage under this permit during the time an individual permit is being developed, provided the request is made within ninety (90) days from the time of revocation and is submitted along with a complete individual permit application form.

G6. REPORTING A CAUSE FOR MODIFICATION

The Permittee shall submit a new application, or a supplement to the previous application, whenever a material change to the construction activity or in the quantity or type of discharge is anticipated which is not specifically authorized by this permit. This application shall be submitted at least sixty (60) days prior to any proposed changes. The filing of a request by the Permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not relieve the Permittee of the duty to comply with the existing permit until it is modified or reissued.

G7. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND STATUTES

Nothing in this permit shall be construed as excusing the Permittee from compliance with any applicable federal, state, or local statutes, ordinances, or regulations.

G8. DUTY TO REAPPLY

The Permittee shall apply for permit renewal at least 180 days prior to the specified expiration date of this permit.

G9. TRANSFER OF GENERAL PERMIT COVERAGE

Coverage under this general permit is automatically transferred to a new discharger, including operators of lots/parcels within a common plan of development or sale, if:

- A. A written, signed agreement (Transfer of Coverage Form) between the current discharger (Permittee) and new discharger containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability is submitted to the Director; and
- B. The Director does not notify the current discharger and new discharger of the Director's intent to revoke coverage under the general permit. If this notice is not given, the transfer is effective on the date specified in the written agreement.

When a current discharger (Permittee) transfers <u>a portion</u> of a permitted site, the current discharger shall also submit an updated application form (NOI) to the Director indicating the remaining permitted acreage after the transfer. When a current discharger (Permittee) transfers <u>all portions</u> of a permitted site to one or more new dischargers, the current discharger shall also submit a notice of termination (NOT) form to the Director.

G10. REMOVED SUBSTANCES

Collected screenings, grit, solids, sludges, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of stormwater shall not be resuspended or reintroduced to the final effluent stream for discharge to state waters.

G11. DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION

The Permittee shall submit to Ecology, within a reasonable time, all information which Ecology may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The Permittee shall also submit to Ecology upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit [40 CFR 122.41(h)].

G12. OTHER REQUIREMENTS OF 40 CFR

All other requirements of 40 CFR 122.41 and 122.42 are incorporated in this permit by reference.

G13. ADDITIONAL MONITORING

Ecology may establish specific monitoring requirements in addition to those contained in this permit by administrative order or permit modification.

G14. PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING PERMIT CONDITIONS

Any person who is found guilty of willfully violating the terms and conditions of this permit shall be deemed guilty of a crime, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) and costs of prosecution, or by imprisonment in the discretion of the court. Each day upon which a willful violation occurs may be deemed a separate and additional violation.

Any person who violates the terms and conditions of a waste discharge permit shall incur, in addition to any other penalty as provided by law, a civil penalty in the amount of up to ten

Page 34 of 46

thousand dollars (\$10,000) for every such violation. Each and every such violation shall be a separate and distinct offense, and in case of a continuing violation, every day's continuance shall be deemed to be a separate and distinct violation.

G15. UPSET

Definition – "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.

An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology-based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of the following paragraph are met.

A Permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that: 1) an upset occurred and that the Permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset; 2) the permitted facility was being properly operated at the time of the upset; 3) the Permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in condition S5.F; and 4) the Permittee complied with any remedial measures required under this permit.

In any enforcement proceeding, the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

G16. PROPERTY RIGHTS

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

G17. DUTY TO COMPLY

The Permittee shall comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.

G18. TOXIC POLLUTANTS

The Permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if this permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

G19. PENALTIES FOR TAMPERING

The Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two years per violation, or by both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this Condition, punishment shall be a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.

G20. REPORTING PLANNED CHANGES

The Permittee shall, as soon as possible, give notice to Ecology of planned physical alterations, modifications or additions to the permitted construction activity, which will result in:

- A. The permitted facility being determined to be a new source pursuant to 40 CFR 122.29(b);
- B. A significant change in the nature or an increase in quantity of pollutants discharged, including but not limited to: for sites 5 acres or larger, a 20% or greater increase in acreage disturbed by construction activity;
- C. A change in or addition of surface water(s) receiving stormwater or non-stormwater from the construction activity; or
- D. A change in the construction plans and/or activity that affects the Permittee's monitoring requirements in Special Condition S4.

Following such notice, permit coverage may be modified, or revoked and reissued pursuant to 40 CFR 122.62(a) to specify and limit any pollutants not previously limited. Until such modification is effective, any new or increased discharge in excess of permit limits or not specifically authorized by this permit constitutes a violation.

G21. REPORTING OTHER INFORMATION

Where the Permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to Ecology, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

G22. REPORTING ANTICIPATED NON-COMPLIANCE

The Permittee shall give advance notice to Ecology by submission of a new application or supplement thereto at least forty-five (45) days prior to commencement of such discharges, of any facility expansions, production increases, or other planned changes, such as process modifications, in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit limits or conditions. Any maintenance of facilities, which might necessitate

Page 36 of 46

unavoidable interruption of operation and degradation of effluent quality, shall be scheduled during non-critical water quality periods and carried out in a manner approved by Ecology.

G23. REQUESTS TO BE EXCLUDED FROM COVERAGE UNDER THE PERMIT

Any discharger authorized by this permit may request to be excluded from coverage under the general permit by applying for an individual permit. The discharger shall submit to the Director an application as described in WAC 173-220-040 or WAC 173-216-070, whichever is applicable, with reasons supporting the request. These reasons shall fully document how an individual permit will apply to the applicant in a way that the general permit cannot. Ecology may make specific requests for information to support the request. The Director shall either issue an individual permit or deny the request with a statement explaining the reason for the denial. When an individual permit is issued to a discharger otherwise subject to the construction stormwater general permit, the applicability of the construction stormwater general permit to that Permittee is automatically terminated on the effective date of the individual permit.

G24. APPEALS

- A. The terms and conditions of this general permit, as they apply to the appropriate class of dischargers, are subject to appeal by any person within 30 days of issuance of this general permit, in accordance with Chapter 43.21B RCW, and Chapter 173-226 WAC.
- B. The terms and conditions of this general permit, as they apply to an individual discharger, are appealable in accordance with Chapter 43.21B RCW within 30 days of the effective date of coverage of that discharger. Consideration of an appeal of general permit coverage of an individual discharger is limited to the general permit's applicability or nonapplicability to that individual discharger.
- C. The appeal of general permit coverage of an individual discharger does not affect any other dischargers covered under this general permit. If the terms and conditions of this general permit are found to be inapplicable to any individual discharger(s), the matter shall be remanded to Ecology for consideration of issuance of an individual permit or permits.

G25. SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit shall not be affected thereby.

G26. BYPASS PROHIBITED

A. <u>Bypass Procedures</u>

Bypass, which is the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, is prohibited for stormwater events below the design criteria for

stormwater management. Ecology may take enforcement action against a Permittee for bypass unless one of the following circumstances (1, 2, 3 or 4) is applicable.

- 1. Bypass of stormwater is consistent with the design criteria and part of an approved management practice in the applicable stormwater management manual.
- 2. Bypass for essential maintenance without the potential to cause violation of permit limits or conditions.

Bypass is authorized if it is for essential maintenance and does not have the potential to cause violations of limitations or other conditions of this permit, or adversely impact public health.

3. Bypass of stormwater is unavoidable, unanticipated, and results in noncompliance of this permit.

This bypass is permitted only if:

- a. Bypass is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which would cause them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass;
- b. There are no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime (but not if adequate backup equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventative maintenance), or transport of untreated wastes to another treatment facility; and
- c. Ecology is properly notified of the bypass as required in Special Condition S5.F of this permit.
- 4. A planned action that would cause bypass of stormwater and has the potential to result in noncompliance of this permit during a storm event.

The Permittee shall notify Ecology at least thirty (30) days before the planned date of bypass. The notice shall contain:

- a. a description of the bypass and its cause;
- b. an analysis of all known alternatives which would eliminate, reduce, or mitigate the need for bypassing;
- c. a cost-effectiveness analysis of alternatives including comparative resource damage assessment;
- d. the minimum and maximum duration of bypass under each alternative;
- e. a recommendation as to the preferred alternative for conducting the bypass;

- f. the projected date of bypass initiation;
- g. a statement of compliance with SEPA;
- h. a request for modification of water quality standards as provided for in WAC 173-201A-110, if an exceedance of any water quality standard is anticipated; and
- i. steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the bypass.
- 5. For probable construction bypasses, the need to bypass is to be identified as early in the planning process as possible. The analysis required above shall be considered during preparation of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and shall be included to the extent practical. In cases where the probable need to bypass is determined early, continued analysis is necessary up to and including the construction period in an effort to minimize or eliminate the bypass.

Ecology will consider the following prior to issuing an administrative order for this type bypass:

- a. If the bypass is necessary to perform construction or maintenance-related activities essential to meet the requirements of this permit.
- b. If there are feasible alternatives to bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, stopping production, maintenance during normal periods of equipment down time, or transport of untreated wastes to another treatment facility.
- c. If the bypass is planned and scheduled to minimize adverse effects on the public and the environment.

After consideration of the above and the adverse effects of the proposed bypass and any other relevant factors, Ecology will approve, conditionally approve, or deny the request. The public shall be notified and given an opportunity to comment on bypass incidents of significant duration, to the extent feasible. Approval of a request to bypass will be by administrative order issued by Ecology under RCW 90.48.120.

B. Duty to Mitigate

The Permittee is required to take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

APPENDIX A – DEFINITIONS

<u>AKART</u> is an acronym for "all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment." AKART represents the most current methodology that can be reasonably required for preventing, controlling, or abating the pollutants and controlling pollution associated with a discharge.

<u>Applicable TMDL</u> means a TMDL for turbidity, fine sediment, high pH, or phosphorus, which has been completed and approved by EPA prior to November 16, 2005, or prior to the date the operator's complete permit application is received by Ecology, whichever is later.

Applicant means an operator seeking coverage under this permit.

<u>Best Management Practices</u> (BMPs) means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other physical, structural and/or managerial practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state. BMPs include treatment systems, operating procedures, and practices to control: stormwater associated with construction activity, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

<u>Buffer</u> means an area designated by a local jurisdiction that is contiguous to and intended to protect a sensitive area

Bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.

<u>Calendar Week</u> (same as <u>Week</u>) means a period of seven consecutive days starting on Sunday.

<u>Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead</u> (CESCL) means a person who has current certification through an approved erosion and sediment control training program that meets the minimum training standards established by Ecology (see BMP C160 in the SWMM).

<u>Clean Water Act</u> (CWA) means the Federal Water Pollution Control Act enacted by Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Laws 95-217, 95-576, 96-483, and 97-117; USC 1251 et seq.

<u>Combined Sewer</u> means a sewer which has been designed to serve as a sanitary sewer and a storm sewer, and into which inflow is allowed by local ordinance.

<u>Common plan of development or sale</u> means a site where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules, but still under a single plan. Examples include: 1) phased projects and projects with multiple filings or lots, even if the separate phases or filings/lots will be constructed under separate contract or by separate owners (e.g., a development where lots are sold to separate builders); 2) a development plan that may be phased over multiple years, but is still under a consistent plan for long-term development; and 3) projects in a contiguous area that may be unrelated but still under the same contract, such as construction of a building extension and a new parking lot at the same facility.

Page 40 of 46

If the project is part of a common plan of development or sale, the disturbed area of the entire plan shall be used in determining permit requirements.

<u>Composite Sample</u> A mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing discrete samples. May be "time-composite" (collected at constant time intervals) or "flow-proportional" (collected either as a constant sample volume at time intervals proportional to stream flow, or collected by increasing the volume of each aliquot as the flow increases while maintaining a constant time interval between the aliquots.

<u>Construction Activity</u> means land disturbing operations including clearing, grading or excavation which disturbs the surface of the land. Such activities may include road construction, construction of residential houses, office buildings, or industrial buildings, and demolition activity.

<u>Demonstrably Equivalent</u> means that the technical basis for the selection of all stormwater BMPs is documented within a SWPPP, including:

- 1. The method and reasons for choosing the stormwater BMPs selected;
- 2. The pollutant removal performance expected from the BMPs selected;
- 3. The technical basis supporting the performance claims for the BMPs selected, including any available data concerning field performance of the BMPs selected;
- 4. An assessment of how the selected BMPs will comply with state water quality standards; and
- 5. An assessment of how the selected BMPs will satisfy both applicable federal technology-based treatment requirements and state requirements to use all known, available, and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment (AKART).

Department means the Washington State Department of Ecology.

<u>Detention</u> means the temporary storage of stormwater to improve quality and/or to reduce the mass flow rate of discharge.

<u>De-watering</u> means the act of pumping ground water or stormwater away from an active construction site.

Director means the Director of the Washington Department of Ecology or his/her authorized representative.

<u>Discharger</u> means an owner or operator of any facility or activity subject to regulation under Chapter 90.48 RCW or the Federal Clean Water Act.

<u>Domestic Wastewater</u> means water carrying human wastes, including kitchen, bath, and laundry wastes from residences, buildings, industrial establishments, or other places, together with such ground water infiltration or surface waters as may be present.

<u>Engineered soils</u> The use of soil amendments including, but not limited, to Portland cement treated base (CTB), cement kiln dust (CKD), or fly ash to achieve certain desirable soil characteristics.

Equivalent BMPs means operational, source control, treatment, or innovative BMPs which result in equal or better quality of stormwater discharge to surface water or to ground water than BMPs selected from the SWMM.

<u>Erosion</u> means the wearing away of the land surface by running water, wind, ice, or other geological agents, including such processes as gravitational creep.

<u>Erosion and Sediment Control BMPs</u> means BMPs that are intended to prevent erosion and sedimentation, such as preserving natural vegetation, seeding, mulching and matting, plastic covering, filter fences, sediment traps, and ponds. Erosion and sediment control BMPs are synonymous with stabilization and structural BMPs.

Final Stabilization (same as *fully stabilized* or *full stabilization*) means the establishment of a permanent vegetative cover, or equivalent permanent stabilization measures (such as riprap, gabions or geotextiles) which prevents erosion.

<u>Ground Water</u> means water in a saturated zone or stratum beneath the land surface or a surface water body.

Injection well means a "well" that is used for the subsurface emplacement of fluids. (see Well)

Jurisdiction means a political unit such as a city, town or county; incorporated for local self-government.

<u>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System</u> (NPDES) means the national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing, terminating, monitoring, and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements, under sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the Federal Clean Water Act, for the discharge of pollutants to surface waters of the state from point sources. These permits are referred to as NPDES permits and, in Washington State, are administered by the Washington Department of Ecology.

Notice of Intent (NOI) means the application for, or a request for coverage under this general permit pursuant to WAC 173-226-200.

<u>Notice of Termination</u> (NOT) means a request for termination of coverage under this general permit as specified by Special Condition S10 of this permit.

<u>Operator</u> means any party associated with a construction project that meets either of the following two criteria:

1. The party has operational control over construction plans and specifications, including the ability to make modifications to those plans and specifications; or

Page 42 of 46

2. The party has day-to-day operational control of those activities at a project which are necessary to ensure compliance with a SWPPP for the site or other permit conditions (e.g., they are authorized to direct workers at a site to carry out activities required by the SWPPP or comply with other permit conditions).

<u>Outfall</u> means the location where stormwater leaves the site. It also includes the location where stormwater is discharged to a surface waterbody within a site, but does not include discharges to on-site stormwater treatment/infiltration devices or storm sewer systems.

<u>Permittee</u> means individual or entity that receives notice of coverage under this general permit.

pH means a liquid's acidity or alkalinity. A pH of 7 is defined as neutral. Large variations above or below this value are considered harmful to most aquatic life.

<u>pH Monitoring Period</u> means the time period in which the pH of stormwater runoff from a site shall be tested a minimum of once every seven days to determine if stormwater is above pH 8.5.

<u>Point Source</u> means any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, and container from which pollutants are or may be discharged to surface waters of the state. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture. (See Fact Sheet for further explanation.)

<u>Pollutant</u> means dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, filter backwash, sewage, garbage, domestic sewage sludge (biosolids), munitions, chemical wastes, biological materials, radioactive materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal, and agricultural waste. This term does not include sewage from vessels within the meaning of section 312 of the CWA, nor does it include dredged or fill material discharged in accordance with a permit issued under section 404 of the CWA.

<u>Pollution</u> means contamination or other alteration of the physical, chemical, or biological properties of waters of the state; including change in temperature, taste, color, turbidity, or odor of the waters; or such discharge of any liquid, gaseous, solid, radioactive or other substance into any waters of the state as will or is likely to create a nuisance or render such waters harmful, detrimental or injurious to the public health, safety or welfare; or to domestic, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, or other legitimate beneficial uses; or to livestock, wild animals, birds, fish or other aquatic life.

<u>Receiving Water</u> means the waterbody at the point of discharge. If the discharge is to a storm sewer system, either surface or subsurface, the receiving water is the waterbody that the storm sewer system discharges to. Systems designed primarily for other purposes such as for ground water drainage, redirecting stream natural flows, or for conveyance of irrigation water/return flows that coincidentally convey stormwater are considered the receiving water.

<u>Representative</u> means a stormwater or wastewater sample which represents the flow and characteristics of the discharge. Representative samples may be a grab sample, a time-proportionate <u>composite sample</u>, or a flow proportionate sample. Ecology's Construction Stormwater Monitoring Manual provides guidance on representative sampling.

Sanitary Sewer means a sewer which is designed to convey domestic wastewater.

<u>Sediment</u> means the fragmented material that originates from the weathering and erosion of rocks or unconsolidated deposits, and is transported by, suspended in, or deposited by water.

Sedimentation means the depositing or formation of sediment.

<u>Sensitive area</u> means a waterbody, wetland, stream, aquifer recharge area, or channel migration zone.

<u>SEPA</u> (State Environmental Policy Act) means the Washington State Law, RCW 43.21C.020, intended to prevent or eliminate damage to the environment.

<u>Significant Amount</u> means an amount of a pollutant in a discharge that is amenable to available and reasonable methods of prevention or treatment; or an amount of a pollutant that has a reasonable potential to cause a violation of surface or ground water quality or sediment management standards.

<u>Significant Concrete Work</u> means greater than 1000 cubic yards poured concrete or recycled concrete.

<u>Significant Contributor of Pollutants</u> means a facility determined by Ecology to be a contributor of a significant amount(s) of a pollutant(s) to waters of the state of Washington.

<u>Site</u> means the land or water area where any "facility or activity" is physically located or conducted.

<u>Source Control BMPs</u> means physical, structural or mechanical devices or facilities that are intended to prevent pollutants from entering stormwater. A few examples of source control BMPs are erosion control practices, maintenance of stormwater facilities, constructing roofs over storage and working areas, and directing wash water and similar discharges to the sanitary sewer or a dead end sump.

<u>Stabilization</u> means the application of appropriate BMPs to prevent the erosion of soils, such as, temporary and permanent seeding, vegetative covers, mulching and matting, plastic covering and sodding. See also the definition of Erosion and Sediment Control BMPs.

<u>Storm Drain</u> means any drain which drains directly into a <u>storm sewer system</u>, usually found along roadways or in parking lots.

<u>Storm Sewer System</u> means a means a conveyance, or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, manmade channels, or storm drains designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater. This does not include systems which are part of a <u>combined sewer</u> or Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.

Page 44 of 46

<u>Stormwater</u> means that portion of precipitation that does not naturally percolate into the ground or evaporate, but flows via overland flow, interflow, pipes, and other features of a stormwater drainage system into a defined surface water body, or a constructed infiltration facility.

<u>Stormwater Management Manual (SWMM) or Manual</u> means the technical manual published by Ecology for use by local governments that contain descriptions of and design criteria for BMPs to prevent, control, or treat pollutants in stormwater.

<u>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)</u> means a documented plan to implement measures to identify, prevent, and control the contamination of point source discharges of stormwater.

<u>Surface Waters of the State</u> includes lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, salt waters, and all other surface waters and water courses within the jurisdiction of the state of Washington.

<u>Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)</u> means a calculation of the maximum amount of a *pollutant* that a waterbody can receive and still meet state water quality standards. Percentages of the total maximum daily load are allocated to the various pollutant sources. A TMDL is the sum of the allowable loads of a single pollutant from all contributing point and nonpoint sources. The TMDL calculations shall include a "margin of safety" to ensure that the waterbody can be protected in case there are unforeseen events or unknown sources of the pollutant. The calculation shall also account for seasonable variation in water quality.

<u>Treatment BMPs</u> means BMPs that are intended to remove pollutants from stormwater. A few examples of treatment BMPs are detention ponds, oil/water separators, biofiltration, and constructed wetlands.

<u>Transparency</u> means a measurement of water clarity in centimeters (cm), using a 60 cm. transparency tube. The transparency tube is used to estimate the relative clarity or transparency of water by noting the depth at which a black and white Secchi disc becomes visible when water is released from a value in the bottom of the tube. A transparency tube is sometimes referred to as a "turbidity tube".

<u>*Turbidity*</u> The clarity of water expressed as nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) and measured with a calibrated turbidimeter.

<u>Waste Load Allocation (WLA)</u> means the portion of a receiving water's loading capacity that is allocated to one of its existing or future point sources of pollution. WLAs constitute a type of water quality based effluent limitation (40 CFR 130.2(h)).

<u>*Water Quality*</u> means the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of water, usually with respect to its suitability for a particular purpose.

<u>Waters of the State</u> includes those waters as defined as "waters of the United States" in 40 CFR Subpart 122.2 within the geographic boundaries of Washington State and "waters of the state" as defined in Chapter 90.48 RCW which include lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, underground waters, salt waters, and all other surface waters and water courses within the jurisdiction of the state of Washington.

<u>Well</u> means a bored, drilled or driven shaft, or dug hole whose depth is greater than the largest surface dimension. (see *Injection Well*)

APPENDIX B – ACRONYMS

AKART	All Known, Available, and Reasonable Methods of Prevention, Control, and Treatment
BMP	Best Management Practice
CESCL	Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CKD	Cement Kiln Dust
cm	Centimeters
CTB	Cement Treated Base
CWA	Clean Water Act
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESC	Erosion and Sediment Control
NOI	Notice of Intent
NOT	Notice of Termination
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SWMM	Stormwater Management Manual
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
UIC	Underground Injection Control
USC	United States Code
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WQ	Water Quality
WWHM	Western Washington Hydrology Model

APPENDIX D

Stormwater Monitoring Records





ï



١





• •

Location				
Turbidity				
Discoloration				
Sheen				

, ^ • `

Tony Mart
Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? □ Yes No
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or transparency 6
cm of less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs r_{1}
If Ecology was notified indicate the date time contact name and phone number
below
Date
[*] , [*] Time
Contact Name:
Phone #
General Comments and Notes
Include BMP repairs, maintenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection
Were Photos Taken'' \Box Yes A No
It photos taken, describe photos below

Site Inspection Form

7 Ì







7





E-7

Location -		_
Turbidity		
Discoloration		· · ·
Sheen		

· · · ·

Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted?
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here
WATER QUALITY IN MANIS WAS CHERKED
FAST = PH = 7.2 TEABITINE 833
WEBT = PH = 7.5 TURB. NOT 106.01
NO MATTER WAS DISCHMORE
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or transparency
cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone numb
below
Time:
Contact Name:
Phone #
Include BMP repairs, maintenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection
Were Photos Taken?
Were Photos Taken?
Were Photos Taken? (Charles Charles Ch
Were Photos Taken? Yes X No
Were Photos Taken? Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong
Were Photos Taken? Yes Xe No
Were Photos Taken? Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong
Were Photos Taken? Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong Kong
Were Photos Taken? Kong No If photos taken, describe photos below
Were Photos Taken? X No If photos taken, describe photos below
Were Photos Taken? Kong No If photos taken, describe photos below

Site Inspection Form










L	cation			
	Turbidity _	-		х
`	Discoloration	3		
	Sheen >	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? Yes 🗆 No
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here.
NJ. DISCHARGED
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater; or transparency 6
cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
Ves No
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, "contact name and phone number
below.
Date
lime
So Phone #
Include BMP repairs, maintenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection.
Were Photos Taken?
If photos taken, describe photos below
TANK # 49 LAGAKING
EDDE OF EXANATURE 13 COMPONING The
SOCILS NERDED TO DE ADDED



	National Weather	Service o	77ESENGL	www.weather.gov
NORD A				
	<u>. Se</u>	ittle, WA		
Hor	ne News Organization	Frequently Asked	Search	S NWS C ALL NOAA GO
Get Local Forecast for			2014WT T	
Search Help		ra.c.M.		
Text only version			in a surgering and a surgering a	
Current Warnings	Printer Fnendly Go Back	Version Current 1	23456789	9 10 Font ∧ A A
local or USA				
Mt St Helens NOAA Watch	CLIMATOLOGICA	L REPORT (DA	AILY)	
Tsunamı Info				
Current Conditions	CDUS46 KSEW 15083	8		
Observations	CLISEW			
State Obs Map Pot Sound Obs Map				
Satellite	CLIMATE REPORT		>	
AHPS Rivers/Lks	130 AM PDT WED OF	T 15 2008	E) WA	
Air Quality				
WATORICA				
Forecasts Wrn Wa Zone Fcst	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •	••	
Fost Discussion	. THE SEATTLE WA	WFO CLIMATE S	SUMMARY FOR OCT	DBER 14 2008
Discussion/Text	CITMATE NORMAL DE	PTOD 1986 TO 2	000	
Model Forecasts Aviation	CLIMATE RECORD PE	RIOD 1986 TO 2	2008	
Marine				
Activity Planner	WEATHER ITEM O	BSERVED TIME	RECORD YEAR	LAST
Mountains Hydrology	V.	ALUE (LST)	VALUE	YEAR
Miscellaneous				• ••
Canada / Int i	YESTERDAY			
Experimental Digital / Gridded	MAXIMUM	56 1244 PM	73 1991	68
Wx Point Matrix	MINIMUM	41R 1153 PM	41 2002	43
Marine /Fire WX Precip Estimates	AVERAGE	49		30
Climate	PRECIPITATION (IN	2411	.5	
Local	MONTH TO DATE	1.56		0 00 0 71
NowData	SINCE OCT 1	1.56		0 71
More	SINCE JAN 1	20.19		18 12
Weather Safety Weather Radio	SNOWFALL (IN)			
Safety Tips/Info	YESTERDAY	0.0		
Weather Safety StormReady	DEGREE DAYS			
Outreach	HEATING			
Educational	YESTERDAY	16		9
Spotters NOAA Careers	MONTH TO DATE SINCE JUL 1	151 343		156 316
NWS Info Center				
CoCoRaHS	COOLING	0		<u>^</u>
Reports	IESTERDAI Month to date	0		0
Recent Records	SINCE JAN 1	152		172
Public Information		• • • • •	••••••	

National Weather Service - NWS Seattle

WIND (MPH)

HIGHEST GUST SPEED

AVERAGE WIND SPEED

NW (330)



Adtl Resources

Miscellaneous SEW webcam Products and Services Guide Education

Contact Us Webmaster E-mail



WEATHER CONDITIONS THE FOLLOWING WEATHER WAS RECORDED YESTERDAY LIGHT RAIN

15

51

HIGHEST GUST DIRECTION

INDICATES NEGATIVE NUMBERS _ R INDICATES RECORD WAS SET OR TIED MM INDICATES DATA IS MISSING. INDICATES TRACE AMOUNT T

_ ___ __ ----Webmaster US Dept of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service Seattle Weather Forecast Office 7600 Sandpoint Way NE Seat'le Washington 98115-6349

Disclaimer Information Quality Freedom of Information Credits Act Glossary

Privacy Policy About Us Career Opportunities

Tel (206) 526-6087

National Weather Service Mission "The National Weather Service (NWS) provides weather, hydrologic, and climate forecasts and warnings for the United States, its territones, adjacent waters and ocean areas, for the protection of life and property and the enhancement of the national economy NWS data and products form a national information database and infrastructure which can be used by other governmental agencies, the private sector, the public, and the global community "











Location		
Turbidity .		
Discoloration		,
Sheen Sheen		

e :4

î

•

Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? Yes D No
8,79 NTrus
7.76PH
15_ALSENIC
AWAIDNO LOB
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or transparency 6 cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone number below
Date Time Contact Name Phone #
General Comments and Notes Comments and Solutions made as a result of the inspection.
Were Photos Taken? No If photos taken, describe photos below

LABORATORY REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY BOEING INTEGRATED DEFENSE SYSTEMS 18-61 BLDG MC 8Y-55 PHONE (253) 773-8934

Report No 9-464C-LAB-18251

Report Date 21-OCT-2008

1 /

To Kathryn L Lewis Orgn 2-N410 MC 6R4-26

Please find enclosed the set of analytical results for the 1 sample(s) listed below and submitted to the Environmental Analysis Laboratory on 20-OCT-2008 by Brunner

EAL ID Sample Description 123145 Issacson Property BT8-081020

All samples were received in good condition with proper paperwork, unless otherwise indicated

The samples indicated in this report will be discarded in 41 days These samples may be held for longer periods upon request

Method References

GFAA Standard Methods SM 3113 B (Atomic Absorption, furnace technique)

All raw data and copies of results are kept on file in the Environmental Analysis Laboratory Sample results are reported to 2 significant figures except where indicated If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the Environmental Analysis Laboratory on 773-8934

Reviewed by

Teresa Dunn Orgn 7-14E1 EAL LABORATORY REPORT NO 9-464C-LAB-18251 (continued)

-

EAL# 123145 Matrix AQUEOUS Description Issacson Property BT8-081020 Sampling Site Non-standard sample sites Sample Date 20-OCT-2008 at 10 30 Received by lab 20-OCT-2008 at 12 23 Status Authorized Test Name Component Name Result GFAA Arsenic 15 ug/L



CLIMATOLOGICAL REPORT (DAILY)

CDUS46 KSEW 220829 CLISEW

CLIMATE REPORT NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SEATTLE WA 128 AM PDT WED OCT 22 2008

THE SEATTLE WA WFO CLIMATE SUMMARY FOR OCTOBER 21 2008 CLIMATE NORMAL PERIOD 1986 TO 2000 CLIMATE RECORD PERIOD 1986 TO 2008

WEATHER ITEM	OBSER\ VALUE	VED TIM E (LS	E T)	RECORD VALUE	YEAR	L <i>P</i> Ye	ST AR
TEMPERATURE (F)							
YESTERDAY							
MAXIMUM	56	1206	ΡM	69	2005	54	
MINIMUM	42	411	AM	39	1997	49	
AVERAGE	49					52	
PRECIPITATION ((IN)						
YESTERDAY	0	00				0	19
MONTH TO DATE	: 1	97				2	12
SINCE OCT 1	1	97				2	12
SINCE JAN 1	20	60				19	53
SNOWFALL (IN)							
YESTERDAY	0	0					
DEGREE DAYS							
HEATING							
YESTERDAY	16					13	
MONTH TO DATE	E 251					251	
SINCE JUL 1	443					411	
COOLING							
YESTERDAY	0					0	
MONTH TO DATE	E 0					0	
SINCE JAN 1	152					172	

ational Weather Service

7

WIND (MPH) HIGHEST GUST SPEED 12 HIGHEST GUST DIRECTION S (180) AVERAGE WIND SPEED 2 1

WEATHER CONDITIONS THE FOLLOWING WEATHER WAS RECORDED YESTERDAY NO SIGNIFICANT WEATHER WAS OBSERVED

INDICATES NEGATIVE NUMBERS
 R INDICATES RECORD WAS SET OR TIED
 MM INDICATES DATA IS MISSING
 T INDICATES TRACE AMOUNT

î





ĩ







Location 🍾		
. Turbidity 👘 🗧		*
Discoloration		
Sheen		

, . . .

Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? Yes 🗆 No
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here
TURBIDITY
PH 7.76
15 vg/L
MISFUIC
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or transparency 6
cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
□ Yes □ No
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone number
belów
Trans
Phone #
General Comments and Notes
Include BMP repairs, maintenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection
Were Photos Taken?
If photos taken, describe photos below



.

Horr	National Weat S(ide Lora k WA	Soarch	UED S	ā Nikis	www.weather.gov
Get Local Forecast for Interlocation Scarch Help Text only version		Question	ons	Jean Ch		9 1444C	ALL NOAA [35]
Current Warnings Iocal or USA Mt St Helens NOAA Watch Tsunami Info	Printer Friendly Go Ba	ck Version	Current 1 2 ORT (MISC	3456 , INC M	78910 ONTHLY	Font AA	A I (TS)
Current Conditions Constructions Observations State Obs Map Pgt Sound Obs Map Satellite Radar AHPS Rivers/Lks Air Quality WA OR CA	CXUS56 KSEW 011 CLMSEW CLIMATE REPORT NATIONAL WEATHE 331 AM PDT SAT	033 R SERVICE NOV 1 200	E SEATTLE V)8	٧A			
Forecasts Wrn Wa Zone Fost Fost Discussion Text Graphical Discussion/Text Model Forecasts Aviation Marine Fire Weather	THE SEATTLE CLIMATE NORMAL CLIMATE RECORD WEATHER	WFO CLIMA PERIOD 19 PERIOD 19 OBSERVEN VALUE	ATE SUMMARY 986 TO 2000 986 TO 2008 0 DATE(S)	FOR TH) } NORMAL VALUE	E MONTH DEPART FROM	DF OCTOB LAST YE. VALUE	ER 2008 . AR S DATE(S)
Activity Planner Mountains Hydrology Miscellaneous Canada / Int'l	TEMPERATURE (F) RECORD			••••	NORMAL		••••
Experimental Digital / Gridded Wx Point Matrix Marine /Fire Wx Precip Estimates	HIGH LOW HIGHEST LOWEST AVG. MAXIMUM	87 29 73 37 59 2	10/01/1987 10/30/1991 10/01 10/15	MM MM 60 2	MM MM -1 0	71 35 58 3	10/23 10/26
Climate Local National NowData More	AVG MINIMUM MEAN DAYS MAX >= 90 DAYS MAX <= 32 DAYS MIN <= 32	45 1 52 2 0 0 0		463 533 MM MM MM	-1.2 -1.1 MM MM MM	44 7 51 5 0 0 0	
Weather Safety Weather Radio Safety Tips/Info Weather Safety StormReady	PRECIPITATION (RECORD MAXIMUM	U INCHES) MM	MM	ММ	ММ	0	
Outreacn Educational Spotters NOAA Careers NWS Info Center COUP Observer CoCoRaHS	MINIMUM TOTALS DAILY AVG. DAYS >= 01 DAYS >= 1.00 SNOWFALL (INCHE	MM 2 34 0 08 16 0 S)	MM	3 32 0 11 MM MM	-0 98 -0 03 MM MM	2 52 0 08 17 0	
Reports Recent Records Local Storm Report Public Information	TOTALS DEGREE_DAYS	0.0					



ĩ







ŝ





Lộcation	
Turbidıty 🔭 🕺 🚺	-
Discoloration	
ŚŚŚ]

- •

Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? Yes If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here.
PH = 7.81
TURBIDITY = 24.17
Arsenic = AMAITING NESULAS
NO DISCHARGE WAS CONDUCTED BY MANIC 145 TRESTS
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater; or transparency 6 cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone number below: Date Time:
Contact Name.
Phone #
General Comments and Notes
Were Photos Taken? If photos taken, describe photos below

÷ 1











. . .

Location		
Turbidity 🚬 🛃 🧀		
Discoloration		\$ \$
Sheen		

.

Water Quality Monitoring	e a la constante de la constan			
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? Yes D No	~ +			
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here	1 54			
2H=7 $3I$				
= [CCBIDITY = 24.11]				
ALSANC = 19				
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or transpar	ency 6			
cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?	<i>r</i>			
□ Yes □ No	>			
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone r	umber			
below	A. A.			
Contact Name				
Concret Comments and Notes in the second sec	、			
Include DMP renourse mountenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection stallations				
Were Photos Taken?	z mh			
If photos taken, describe photos below	Ŷ			
	-			



National Weather Service - NWS Seattle

Adtl Resources

Miscellaneous SEW webcam Products and Services Guide Education	WIND (MPH) HIGHEST GUST SPEED 31 HIGHEST GUST AVERAGE WIND SPEED 8.7	DIRECTION	S (200)
Contact Us Webmaster E-mail	WEATHER CONDITIONS THE FOLLOWING WEATHER WAS RECORDED YESTER LIGHT RAIN	DAY	
	 INDICATES NEGATIVE NUMBERS R INDICATES RECORD WAS SET OR TIED MM INDICATES DATA IS MISSING. T INDICATES TRACE AMOUNT. 		
	Webmaster US Dept of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmosoneric Administration National Weather Service Seattle Weather Forecast Office 7600 Sandpoint Way NE Seattle Washington 98115-6349	Disclaimer Information Quality Credits Glossary	Privacy Policy Freedom of Information Act About Us Career Opportunities
	Tel (206) 526-6087		

National Weather Service Mission "The National Weather Service (NWS) provides weather, hydrologic, and climate forecasts and warnings for the United States, its terntones, adjacent waters and ocean areas, for the protection of life and property and the enhancement of the national economy NWS data and products form a national information database and infrastructure which can be used by other governmental agencies, the private sector, the public, and the global community "
Site Inspection Form 👔 🦾 👘 General Information 🖕 🕫 🖉 **Project Name:** Boeing Isaacson Mound Removal Date: 13 NJ 08 Title: *Æsc*L CESCL^{*}#: Time: 1000 **Inspection Type:** D After a rain event → Weekly □ Turbidity/transparency benchmark exceedance □ Other Weather CLOUDY LIGHT INTERMITER DENZE Precipitation Since last inspection In last 24 hours * Weather Description of General Site Conditions: NFANING completion of suil DISPUSAL. SUFADER ON SKID STREER IS NOT KING. BMPS one SALL BRING PMONCRO AND LASS OF SINE 15 DISTINBRO AS ASPHALTING IS IN OPPLATION Inspection of BMPs Element 1: Mark Clearing Limits ૾ ઌ૾ૻઌ ઽૢૢ૾૾ૢૼૹ૿ૺ૾ BMP. BSE FINME SITE Înșpected S Functioning Problem/Corrective Action Location Y. N. YN NIP 8-18 ES NONE ž., BMP ŧ, Location Inspected Functioning Problem/Corrective Action Y N NIP Sz (, \$ 25% **Element 2: Establish Construction Access** 1 144 2 12 V 5 × 2. BMP , ~ . Inspected Functioning Location Problem/Córrective Action Y N Y N NIP BMP Location Inspected Functioning Problem/Corrective Action

ĩ



ì







Sheen

Location	
Turbidity -	
Discoloration	,
Sheen - The Sheen	

۲

• •

~

Water Quality, Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? Yes 🗆 No
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here
PH ins Final Tank
23 NTU in FINGL MAR MILL CYCLE
TESTED - 1/0 DISCHMEE WAS DIDENIN DMES
BUT THE STOCKAGE WAS BINE
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or transparency 6 cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
□ Yes □ No
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone number
below and the second state of the second state
Date
Contact Name
Phone #
General Comments and Notes
Were Photos Taken?
If photos taken, describe photos below

Site Inspection Form

î





ĩ









· · · ·

Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? 🔧 💋 Yes 🗆 No 👘
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here
PH = 7.6
turbioity = 24.12
MSTAL = 170
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NTU or greater, or transparency 6.
cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
Yes D No
If Ecology was notified, indicate the date, time, contact name and phone number
below
Date
Contact Name
Phone #
General Comments and Notes
Include BMP repairs, maintenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection
Were Photos Taken?
If photos taken, describe photos below.











Location		
Turbidity		
Discoloration	^	
🦾 Sheen 🥈 🥇	~	

•

Water Quality Monitoring
Was any water quality monitoring conducted? Yes 🗆 No
If water quality monitoring was conducted, record results here.
TO SE DETAL MAD
If water quality monitoring indicated turbidity 250 NIU or greater, or transparency 6
cm or less, was Ecology notified by phone within 24 hrs?
If the frequency was notified indicated to the first frequency and shows in the first frequency and
balan
Contact Name
Phone #
Ceneral Comments and Notes
Include BMP repairs, maintenance, or installations made as a result of the inspection
Were Photos Taken?
If photos taken, describe photos below

APPENDIX E

Laboratory Analytical Results



Analytical Resources, Incorporated

Analytical Chemists and Consultants

September 11, 2008

Kathryn Hartley Landau Associates 130 Second Avenue South Edmonds, WA 98020

RE: Project: Boeing Isaacson ARI Job: NL33

Dear Kathryn,

Please find enclosed the original Chain of Custody (COC) record and analytical results for the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. accepted twenty soil samples in good condition on August 20, 2008. The samples were sub-contracted to CCI Analytical Laboratories.

The samples were analyzed for Total and TCLP Arsenic, as requested on the COC.

A copy of this report and all associated raw data will be kept on file electronically at ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

M Kelly Bøttem

Client Services Manager (206) 695-6211

Enclosures



August 26, 2008

Ms. Kelly Bottem Analytical Resources Inc. 4611 South 134th Place Tukwila, WA 98168

Dear Ms. Bottem;

On August 21st, 20 soil samples were received by our laboratory and assigned our laboratory project number 808104. The project was identified as your Boeing-Isaacson project. The sample identification and requested analyses are outlined on the attached chain of custody record.

No abnormalities or nonconformances were observed during the analyses of the project samples.

Please do not hesitate to call me if you have any questions or if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely

CCI Analytical Laboratories

Rick Bagan Laboratory Director

NL 33) (425) 778-0907				
□ Tacoma (253) 926 □ Spokane (509) 327	-2493 7-9737				Date 8-20-08
ASSOCIATES Portland (Tigard) (503) 443-6010 Chair	n-of-Cus	stody Re	cord	Pageof
Project Name Boreina - Tsaac	Som Project No. (2023	5173 680		Testing Parame	ters Turnaround Time
Project ocation/Event Tuku)	a, h)A				A Standard
Somplor's Name P at R -		/.			Accelerated
Sampler's Name <u>Bren F 300</u>	geory	/`	♥₹ / /		
Project Contact	51 +11	<u>— п</u> н. о	2///		12.24
Send Results To Hyoue + Kert	ie Cewis & Kathr	yn Harley X	7 / / /		
Sample I.D. Dat	e Time Matrix Con	tainers			/ Observations/Comments
ISC-A-080826 972	2-03 1150 Soil 1	レイス			Allow water samples to settle, collect
ISC-B-020320	1725				aliquot from clear portion
<u>15C-C-030820</u>	1235	┨──┤┨╎┨┼╸	-		NWTPH-Dx:
ESC-D-086820	1400				run acid wash/silica gel cleanup
LSC-L-080820		┨─┤╊┼┨┼╸			product
F = 050820	1420				Analyze for EPH if no specific
+S(- H - 00020	12.55	$\frac{1}{1}$			product identified
TSC - T - 086820	1320				VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll):
ESC-J-080820	1245				non-preserved
ISC-K-020820	1445				preserved w/methanol
ISC-L-030820	1340				Freeze upon receipt
LSC-M-030820	1016				Dissolved metal water samples field filtered
ISC-N-030820	1020				Other
<u>TSC - 0 - 02020</u>	1035				
LSC- P- 080820		1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
r = R = 0.0000		$\psi \psi \psi$			
Special Shipment/Handling				Me	ethod of +
or Storage Requirements Store	below 19 .	H-		Sh	ipment belivery
Reinquished by	Received by		Relinquished by	/	Received by
Signature	Signature T		Signature		Signature
Printed Name	Printed Name		Printed Name		Printed Name
Landau	HOT.				
	Company	4100	Company		Company
Date 15-10-05 Time 15-10	Date Dec Dime		Date	Time	Date Time
WHIT	E COPY - Project File	YELLOW COPY - Lat	ooratorv	PINK COPY - Client Re	presentative

, 5} Diseattle (Ed	monds) (425) 778-0	907								
	53) 926-2493									Data &-70-02
	509) 327-9737 ' igard) (503) 443-601()								Page Z of Z
		Ch	ain-o	f-Cu	isto	dy R	leco	rd		raye0
Project Name Rose T	aacson Dr		51720	20			Test	ing P	arame	ters Turnaround Time
Project Warne TTELF F	FIC IN IN			90	`y		/ /	/ /		Standard
Project Location/Event 101	with N	F		-		a /	' / /	/ /		
Sampler's Name <u>B(e44</u>	Bargeso	1		- /	$\langle X \rangle ho$	¥ /			'	
Project Contact	juerson				VR/			/ /		
Send Results To Above +	Catie Lewis	£ Kath	yn Hastl	ey/\$						
Sample I.D.	Date Time	e Matrix	No. of Containers	s/M	'\ /			' /		/ Observations/Comments
756-5-080820	8-20-03 120	5 Soil	1	XX						Allow water samples to settle, collect
ISC-+-080820	8-20-09-113	5 Soil	1	XX						aliquot from clear portion
										NWTPH-Dx:
										run acid wash/silica gel cleanup
							_			Analyze for EPH if no specific
										product identified
										VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll):
										preserved w/metrianol
						-				Freeze upon receipt
										Dissolved metal water samples field filtered
										Other
				_				_		
								_		
Special Shipment/Handling			· _						Me	
Beliperished by	Beerry		4		Polin	quichos	l by		Sh	hipment Jen wery
Log Born		Ilin D	sh		neili	quistiec	i ny			
Signature Bas HL Rama Car	2 Signature	TR	Thom		Signa	ture				Signature
Printed Name	Printeo		<u>, 11x, 1</u>		Printe	d Name				Printed Name
Company)7			Com	anv				Company
Data 8-20-08 Time	~	Onlat	- 47	N)		,		T		
		<u>=0100</u>		<u>, </u>	Date			lime _		Date Time
	WHITE COPY - Pro	ject File	YELLO	W COPY -	Laborator	v	PIN	К СОРУ	- Client Re	epresentative Bev 4/

建基础灌溉之 化自己化过度蒸馏器

5.65

1.20

Rev 4/01

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form
ARI Client: BORNOG COC No: Assigned ARI Job No:	Project Name: <u>TSoacSon</u> Delivered by: <u>Hand</u> Tracking No:
Preliminary Examination Phase:	
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody. Were custody papers included with the cooler? Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, sig Record cooler temperature (recommended 2.0-6 Cooler Accepted by:	seals attached to the outside of to cooler? YES NO ned, etc.)
Complete custody forn	is and attach all shipping documents
Log-In Phase:	
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler? What kind of packing material was used? Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody p Were all bottles used correct for the requested ar Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bot Samples Logged by:	$YES = NO$ $\frac{7ce}{7ce}$ $YES = NO$
** Notify Project Manag	er of discrepancies or concerns **
Explain discrepancies or negative responses:	

By:

Date:

Cooler Receipt Form



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT: **KELLY BOTTEM** CLIENT PROJECT ID: **BOEING-ISAACSON** CLIENT SAMPLE ID: 8/20/2008 08-20947-NL33A CCIL SAMPLE #: -01

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

"ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES. " UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

Page 1

FAX 425 356-2626

855 . Sector د و در معاد



	a contraction of the second			A street was an	
		The second s		A start for a second concerned of an above for an above for an above for a second s Second second s Second second se	
And a state of the second			the second se	(a) the second second second second of the second s second second sec	
In a way way way and a second se			the second s		
The second s				27 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 A. M.
the second		A COLOR AND A COLO			- A
The second se			(a) A second of the second se second second sec		A CONTRACT OF A
	A REAL PROPERTY OF A READ REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL P		the second se		A second data data and a data and data data da
A STATE OF A		A A second se			
Construction of the second s					

CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20947-NL33ACCIL SAMPLE #:-01

		DATA RES	SULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

** "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Mil ATS

Everett, WA 98208



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

30

1801210

888

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20948-NL33BCCIL SAMPLE #:-02

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

*"ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT, REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

M. Ans



Everett, WA 98208



CER	RTIFICATE OF ANA	ALYSIS	

JLIENT: ANA	ALY FICAL RESOURCES, INC.	
4611	1 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUIT	E 100
TUK	WILA, WA 98168	

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20948-NL33BCCIL SAMPLE #:-02

			DULIS	<u>Yang dan di Sanat Ap</u> u			
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

Page 4



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20949-NL33CCCIL SAMPLE #:-03

		DATA RES	ULTS	Salara (
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

"ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.
 "UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208 4

Page 5

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OCIL SAMPLE #:-03

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

• "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES. •• UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

425 356-2600

Everett, WA 98208

FAX 425 356-2626



	CE	ERTIFICATE OF	ANALYSIS		
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL 4611 SOUTH	RESOURCES, INC. 134TH PLACE SUITE 1	100	DATE: CCIL JOB #:	8/26/2008 0808104	
TUKWILA, WA	98168		DATE RECEIVED: WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	8/21/2008 C1336	
CLIENT CONTACT: CLIENT PROJECT ID: CLIENT SAMPLE ID: CCIL SAMPLE #:	KELLY BOTTEM BOEING-ISAACSON 8/20/2008 0 -04)8-20950-NL33D			

		DATA RES	SULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

"ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Cul to

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Page 7

boja pere



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

8/26/2008
0808104
8/21/2008
C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OCIL SAMPLE #:-04

		DATA RES	SULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626

Page 8



CERTIFICA	TE OF ANALYSIS

CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20951-NL33ECCIL SAMPLE #:-05

AND AN TARACTORY AND	The set of the set
and a dealer of the balance of	
	n a bar he was a strand to be a
n a ban han ban ban an a	
	Control of the second state of the second s
d = 0.5 $d = 0.5$ $d =$	

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT, REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

Page 9



	(CERTIFICATE OF	ANALYSIS		
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL I 4611 SOUTH 1	RESOURCES, INC. 134TH PLACE SUITE	E 100	DATE: CCIL JOB #:	8/26/2008 0808104	
TUKWILA, WA	98168		DATE RECEIVED: WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	8/21/2008 C1336	
CLIENT CONTACT: CLIENT PROJECT ID: CLIENT SAMPLE ID: CCIL SAMPLE #:	KELLY BOTTEM BOEING-ISAACSO 8/20/2008 -05	N 08-20951-NL33E			

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

• "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES. •• UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Cul to

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626

Page 10



CERTIFICA	TE OF ANALYSIS		
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008	
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104	
TUKWILA, WA 98168	DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008	
	WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336	

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20952-NL33FCCIL SAMPLE #:-06

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	5.5	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

"UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

(IL I

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

Page 11

FAX 425 356-2626



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

8/26/2008
0808104
8/21/2008
C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20952-NL33FCCIL SAMPLE #:-06

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626

Page 12

0.4555


A TRANSPORT OF A TRANSPORT
C. C
CONTRACTOR AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRI
Compared and A. M. Scholarski, C. St. Schwarz de Contraction of Control and Mathematical Sciences and Control a
YOUVOIR ANY HAVE A THEFT

CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

金藤市

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20953-NL33GCCIL SAMPLE #:-07

		DATA RES	SULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	6.2	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT, REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Pul Aps

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



	the second se	THE CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DES	and the second	and the second
	The state of the second st	C. CONTRACTOR AND		
Contractive in the second s		 In the information of the information		A STATE AND A STAT
				and a second state to an element of the state of the state of the state of the COCCOPY of the COCCOPY of the State of the
The second s	and the second			S S A MARCH SHARE SHOWING SAME A SHARE CONTRACT AND
	the second se			CONCINCTOR COMPANY AND ALL STATES AND ADDRESS AND ADDRES
and the second	the state of the s		the second se	A DAMAGE AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DAMAGE AND
Contraction of the second s	Contraction of the second s Second second s Second second se		C. C. M. G. M. M. C. M.	A DOM ON A DAMAGE A D
the second s	A CARLE IN A REPORT OF A RE	and the second	The Coppediate Control of the Control of Con	
Contraction of the second s				

CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20953-NL33GCCIL SAMPLE #:-07

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

""ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:





CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

- 'n

61.02 6940

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20954-NL33HCCIL SAMPLE #:-08

		<u>DATA RES</u>	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	160	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND* INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Mul His





CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OB-20954-NL33HCCIL SAMPLE #:-08

	DATA RESULTS	

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	0.43	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Pal ATS

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100	Е
----------------------------	---

Everett, WA 98208 42

Page 16

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

- 5 -

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OB08-20955-NL33ICCIL SAMPLE #:-09

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	14	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

8/26/2008
0808104
8/21/2008
C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008CCIL SAMPLE #:-09

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCI P-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

Page 18



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20956-NL33JCCIL SAMPLE #:-10

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	120	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "NO" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Cal too

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Page 19 Everett, WA 98208 425 356-

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and the second s	
	the second s	and the second se		The second s	
	the second			- Contraction of the second seco	
					(2) serve a fraction for the Verse set as a set set of a set of the set of
THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A			A set and the set of the set o		
and the second			Control and the second s Second second se Second second se Second second sec	Contraction of the second	the second se
The second se		A second seco		Construction of the second sec	The second s
and the second s				and a second of the second for fraction of the second	
and the second se			and a second	the second se	
A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT OF A C	VOTATION TO A A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT A CONTRACT A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT	Start Constant and the second start start of the second start of the second start of the		and the second	CALCULATION FOR AN AND AN ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS ADDRES
A CONTRACT OF A CO					

CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

8/26/2008
0808104
8/21/2008
C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20956-NL33JCCIL SAMPLE #:-10

		DATARES					<u></u>
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCI P-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	0.26	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



TUKWILA, WA 98168

CERTIFICATE OF At	NALYSIS		
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008	
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104	

0808104
8/21/2008
C1336

-

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20957-NL33KCCIL SAMPLE #:-11

		DATA RES	ULTS				
			REPORTING	DILUTION		ANALYSIS	ANALYSIS
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	LIMITS	FACTOR	UNITS**	DATE	BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	150	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

"UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

Page 21

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CERTIFICATE OF AN	ALYSIS	
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008

4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20957-NL33KCCIL SAMPLE #:-11

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	0.34	0.08	2	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

• "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626

Page 22



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20958-NL33LCCIL SAMPLE #:-12

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	390	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT, REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



Page 23

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CERTIFICA	TE OF ANALYSIS		26
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008	
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104	
TUKWILA, WA 98168	DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008	
	WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336	

CLIENT CONTACT:	KELLY BOTTEM	
CLIENT PROJECT ID:	BOEING-ISAACS	N
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	8/20/2008	08-20958-NL33L
CCIL SAMPLE #:	-12	

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	0.72	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND":INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626

Page 24



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT: **KELLY BOTTEM** CLIENT PROJECT ID: **BOEING-ISAACSON** CLIENT SAMPLE ID: 8/20/2008 08-20959-NL33M CCIL SAMPLE #: -13

		DATA RES	ULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES. ** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Mal ATS

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



			D / 70	0 10 0 10 0 0 0
Contraction of the second s		<u>_ 01 /11 / 12 / 010</u>		
	CERTIFICATI	E OF ANALYSIS		

CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OB-20959-NL33MCCIL SAMPLE #:-13

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

• "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES. •• UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104
TUKWILA, WA 98168	DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
	WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20960-NL33NCCIL SAMPLE #:-14

		The second s
Conditional mathematics of a strain of the second s		2. Construction of the second se Second second s
A MARINA A MARKAN A M		1 In the set of the
MARY CODE STORE THE SHOP OF A REAL PROPERTY		The second s
Control of the second		2.5. S. H. H. M. S. W. M. M. S. D. K. S. BORNER, M. BORNER, M. M. BARREN, Co. Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 1000 (1990).
s and a second		
	When the first of	

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Part to

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

FAX 425 356-2626

Page 27



CERTIFICATE OF A	NALYSIS	
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL IOB #	0808104

4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	
TUKWILA, WA 98168	
	WDO

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
DOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

į

12

Pagas partos Maiga

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OCIL SAMPLE #:-14

		DATA RES	BULTS				
ANALYTE	МЕТНОД	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES. **: UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Put the

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

Page 28

FAX 425 356-2626



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS	
CLIENT ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INC	0/00/0000

ANALI HOAL NEODONGEO, INC.
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20961-NL33OCCIL SAMPLE #:-15

		DATA RES	SULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	270	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

"."ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT, REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

... UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Part to



TUKWILA, WA 98168

CERTIFICATE OF A	NALYSIS	
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104

0/1	B7 (1 E)
0	CCIL JOB #:
8/2	DATE RECEIVED:
	WDOE ACCREDITATION #

8/26/2008 0808104 8/21/2008 C1336

200

 $\{ \frac{1}{N_{\rm e}} \chi_{\rm b}^{\rm eq} \} \}_{\rm b}^{\rm eq}$

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OCIL SAMPLE #:-15

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	0.67	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Pul tho

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOLUCCES INC	D	
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS		

ANALY IICAL RESOURCES, INC.
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008CCIL SAMPLE #:-16

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	9.2	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

"IND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES. " UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104
TUKWILA, WA 98168	DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
	WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20962-NL33PCCIL SAMPLE #:-16

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



Page 32

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626

BBRAR



CERTIFICATE OF	ANALYSIS		
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008	
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104	
TUKWILA, WA 98168	DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008	

WDOE ACCREDITATION #:

C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20963-NL33QCCIL SAMPLE #:-17

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	63	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

DATA RESULTS

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS	
	0/00/0000

ULIENT:	ANALY HCAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/2008OCIL SAMPLE #:-17

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS *	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

" "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Cal to

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CERTIFICA	TE OF ANALYSIS	
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168	DATE: CCIL JOB #: DATE RECEIVED: WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	8/26/2008 0808104 8/21/2008 C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:	KELLY BOTTE	M
CLIENT PROJECT ID:	BOEING-ISAA	CSON
CLIENT SAMPLE ID:	8/20/2008	08-20964-NL33R
CCIL SAMPLE #:	-18	

		DATA RES	JULIS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	ND	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Cal Ato



Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600

Page 35



CLIENT:	ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.
	4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
	TUKWILA, WA 98168

8/26/2008
0808104
8/21/2008
C1336

1.080

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20964-NL33RCCIL SAMPLE #:-18

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600



TUKWILA, WA 98168

CERTIFICATE O	FANALYSIS	
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104

CCIL JOB #:
DATE RECEIVED:
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:

8/26/2008 0808104 8/21/2008 C1336 CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20965-NL33SCCIL SAMPLE #:-19

DATA RE	ESULTS	
		·

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	13	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND* INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620	Holly	Drive	Suite	100
------	-------	-------	-------	-----

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS			
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INC	DATE	8/26/2008	

ANALI HOAL RECOORCES, INC.
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100
TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	0/20/2000
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20965-NL33SCCIL SAMPLE #:-19

DATA RESULTS

ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	ND	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYZE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:



425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



ANALYTICAL
LABORATORIES
A Division of DataChem Laboratories, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF AN	IALYSIS		2010
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	DATE: CCIL JOB #:	8/26/2008 0808104	
		0/04/0000	

TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

N

CLIENT CONTACT: **KELLY BOTTEM** CLIENT PROJECT ID: **BOEING-ISAACSON** 08-20966-NL33T CLIENT SAMPLE ID: 8/20/2008 -20 CCIL SAMPLE #:

		DATA RES	<u>50LIS</u>				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
Arsenic	EPA-6010	480	5.0	4	MG/KG	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

** UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

Cal to



Everett, WA 98208

FAX 425 356-2626 425 356-2600



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS		
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.	DATE:	8/26/2008

4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE:	8/26/2008
CCIL JOB #:	0808104
DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008
WDOE ACCREDITATION #:	C1336

1959

CLIENT CONTACT:KELLY BOTTEMCLIENT PROJECT ID:BOEING-ISAACSONCLIENT SAMPLE ID:8/20/200808-20966-NL33TCCIL SAMPLE #:-20

		DATA RES	SULTS				
ANALYTE	METHOD	RESULTS*	REPORTING LIMITS	DILUTION FACTOR	UNITS**	ANALYSIS DATE	ANALYSIS BY
TCLP-Arsenic	EPA-1311/6010	0.76	0.04	1	MG/L	8/26/2008	BAM

* "ND" INDICATES ANALYTE ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED AT LEVEL ABOVE REPORTING LIMIT. REPORTING LIMIT IS GIVEN IN PARENTHESES.

" UNITS FOR ALL NON LIQUID SAMPLES ARE REPORTED ON A DRY WEIGHT BASIS

APPROVED BY:

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Page 40

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626



ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES A Division of DataChem Laboratories, Inc.

CERTIFICATE O	FANALYSIS	
CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INC	DATE:	8/26/2008
4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100	CCIL JOB #:	0808104
TUKWILA, WA 98168	DATE RECEIVED:	8/21/2008

WDOE ACCREDITATION #:

CLIENT CONTACT: KELLY BOTTEM CLIENT PROJECT ID: BOEING-ISAACSON

QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS

BLANK RESULTS

METHOD EPA-6010 (Arsenic) EPA-1311/6010 (TCLP-Arsenic)

EPA-1311/6010 (TCLP-Arsenic)

ND(<5.0) ND(<0.04) ND(<0.04)

RESULT

ASSOCIATED SAMPLES

0808104 -01-20 0808104 -15 0808104 -01-14, 16-20

C1336

8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208

425 356-2600



CLIENT: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. 4611 SOUTH 134TH PLACE SUITE 100 TUKWILA, WA 98168

DATE: 8/26/2008 CCIL JOB #: 0808104 DATE RECEIVED: 8/21/2008 WDOE ACCREDITATION #: C1336

CLIENT CONTACT: KELLY BOTTEM CLIENT PROJECT ID: BOEING-ISAACSON

QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS

SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE RESULTS

ANALYTE	ASSOCIATED SAMPLES	SPIKE AMOUNT	DILUTION FACTOR	SPIKE RECOVERY	SPIKE DUP RECOVERY	RPD
Arsenic	0808104 -01-20	20 MG/KG	1	101 %	102 %	1
TCLP-Arsenic	0808104 -15	1 MG/L	1	106 %	107 %	1
TCLP-Arsenic	0808104 -01-14, 16-20	1 MG/L	1	112 %	114 %	2
	ANALYTE Arsenic TCLP-Arsenic TCLP-Arsenic	ANALYTE ASSOCIATED SAMPLES Arsenic 0808104 -01-20 TCLP-Arsenic 0808104 -15 TCLP-Arsenic 0808104 -01-14, 16-20	ANALYTE ASSOCIATED SAMPLES SPIKE AMOUNT Arsenic 0808104 -01-20 20 MG/KG TCLP-Arsenic 0808104 -15 1 MG/L TCLP-Arsenic 0808104 -01-14, 16-20 1 MG/L	ANALYTEASSOCIATED SAMPLESSPIKE AMOUNTDILUTION FACTORArsenic0808104 -01-2020 MG/KG1TCLP-Arsenic0808104 -151 MG/L1TCLP-Arsenic0808104 -01-14, 16-201 MG/L1	ANALYTEASSOCIATED SAMPLESSPIKE AMOUNTDILUTION FACTORSPIKE RECOVERYArsenic0808104 -01-2020 MG/KG1101 %TCLP-Arsenic0808104 -151 MG/L1106 %TCLP-Arsenic0808104 -01-14, 16-201 MG/L1112 %	ANALYTEASSOCIATED SAMPLESSPIKE AMOUNTDILUTION FACTORSPIKE RECOVERYSPIKE DUP RECOVERYArsenic0808104 -01-2020 MG/KG1101 %102 %TCLP-Arsenic0808104 -151 MG/L1106 %107 %TCLP-Arsenic0808104 -01-14, 16-201 MG/L1112 %114 %

APPROVED BY:



8620 Holly Drive Suite 100

Everett, WA 98208 42:

Page 42

425 356-2600 FAX 425 356-2626

CCI Analytical Laboratories Sample Receiving Checklist

Client: ART CCI Job #: X	08104	
Project: <u>Boeing - Isaacson</u>		
Received Date: $\frac{8/21/08}{2000}$ Received Time: $\frac{12.06}{2000}$ B	sy: Sn	·····
Type of shipping container: Cooler 🗴 Box 🗆 Other	· ·	
Shipped via: UPS/Fed Ex 🗆 US Postal Service 🗆 Courier 🗆 H	Hand Delivered 🗡	λ.
Were custody seals on outside of sample? If yes, how many? Where? Custody seal date: Seal name:	<u>Yes No</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Was Chain of Custody properly filled out (ink, signed, dated, etc.)?	y brought ou	n (o 0
Did all bottles have labels?	d d	
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with Chain of Custody?	xí o	
Were samples received within hold time?	× □	
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken, etc.)?	× o	
Was sufficient amount of sample sent for the tests indicated?		
Was correct preservation added to samples?		₩C
If no, Sample Control added preservative to the following: Sample Number Reagent Analyte		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Were VOA vials checked for absence of air bubbles? Bubbles present in sample #:		K
Temperature of cooler upon receipt: $\frac{12.1^{\circ}C}{12.1^{\circ}C}$ Cold Co	ol Ambient]	N/A
Explain any discrepancies:		· · ·
Was client contacted? Who was called? By whom?	Date:	
Outcome of call:		

SUBCONTRACTOR ANALYSIS REQUEST CUSTODY TRANSFER 08/21/08

Laboratory: Bagan, Rick (CCI) Lab Contact: Rick Bagan Lab Address: 8620 Holly Drive Everett, WA 98208 Phone: 206-292-9059 Fax: 425-356-2626

Analytical Protocol: In-house Special Instructions: ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED

ARI Project: NL33

ARI Client: The Boeing-Company Project ID: BOEING-ISAACSON ARI PM: Kelly Bottem Phone: 206-695-6211 Fax: 206-695-6201

> Requested Turn Around: 08/24/08 Fax Results (Y/N): Yes

Limits of Liability. Subcontractor is expected to perform all requested services in accordance with appropriate methodology following Standard Operating Procedures that meet standards for the industry. The total liability of ARI, its officers, agents, employees, or successors, arising out of or in connection with the requested services, shall not exceed the negotiated amount for said services. The agreement by the Subcontractor to perform services requested by ARI releases ARI from any liability in excess thereof, not withstanding any provision to the contrary in any contract, purchase order or co-signed agreement between ARI and the Subcontractor.

	ARI ID Add'l ID	,	Sampled	Matrix	Bottles	Analys	es <u>:</u>
	08-20947-NL33A ISC-A-08082	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub)
/	Special Instructions: TOTAL	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As		<u></u>		
_	08-20948-NL33B ISC-B-08082	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub) [·]
2	Special Instructions: TOTA	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As				
_	08-20949-NL33C ISC-C-08082	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub)
3	Special Instructions: TOTA	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<i>t</i> 1	08-20950-NL33D ISC-D-0808	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub)
9	Special Instructions: TOTA	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As				
	08-20951-NL33E ISC-E-08083	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub)
5	Special Instructions: TOTA	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As				
r	08-20952-NL33F ISC-F-0808	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub)
6	Special Instructions: TOTA	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As			······	
~	08-20953-NL33G ISC-G-0808	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub)
/	Special Instructions: TOTA	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As			10 -1	
Ø	08-20954-NL33H ISC-H-0808	20	08/20/08	Soil	1	Metals	(Sub)
0	Special Instructions: TOTA	L As (ICPMS)	,TCLP As				
	Carrier	Air	bill			Date	9
	Relinquished by	Company 6	ART	Date	8/21/0	58	Time 12:06
	Received by Jusa	Company	AL	Date	8/21/0	r	Time 12:06
	-						

Subcontractor Custody Form - NL33 Page 1 of 3 SUBCONTRACTOR ANALYSIS REQUEST CUSTODY TRANSFER 08/21/08



ARI Project: NL33

ARI Client: The Boeing Company Laboratory: Bagan, Rick (CCL) Project ID: 0025173.080 Lab Contact: Rick Bagan Client Sample ID/ Bottles Analyses Sampled Matrix Add'l Sample ID ARI Sample ID 08/20/08 Soil 1 Metals (Sub) 08-20955-NL33I ISC-I-080820 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1 Metals (Sub) 08/20/08 Soil 08-20956-NL33J ISC-J-080820 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As Metals (Sub) Soil 1 08-20957-NL33K ISC-K-080820 08/20/08 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1 Metals (Sub) 08/20/08 Soil 08-20958-NL33L ISC-L-080820 12 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1 Metals (Sub) 08/20/08 Soil 08-20959-NL33M ISC-M-080820 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1 Metals (Sub) 08/20/08 Soil 08-20960-NL33N ISC-N-080820 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1 Metals (Sub) Soil 08/20/08 08-20961-NL330 ISC-0-080820 15 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1- Metals (Sub) 08/20/08 Soil 08-20962-NL33P ISC-P-080820 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As Metals (Sub) 1 Soil 08-20963-NL33Q ISC-Q-080820 08/20/08 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 08-20964-NL33R ISC-R-080820 08/20/08 Soil 1 Metals (Sub) Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1 Metals (Sub) 08/20/08 Soil 08-20965-NL33S ISC-S-080820 Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As 1 Metals (Sub) 08/20/08 Soil 08-20966-NL33T ISC-T-080820

20

 Carrier
 Airbill
 Date

 Course
 Company
 Date
 Time

 Relinquished by
 Company
 Date
 Time

 Kasonal
 Company
 Date
 Time

 Course
 Company
 Date
 Time

 Date
 Time
 Time

Special Instructions: TOTAL As (ICPMS), TCLP As

Subcontractor Custody Form - NL33 Page 2 of 3

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Ave. N., Seattle, WA 98103 Tel: 206.547.0100, Fax: 206.634.1936 www.nvllabs.com

Client: Clearcreek Contractors

Everett, WA 98201

Address: 3203 15th Street

Project Location: Boeing Isaacson

Attention: MR Mark McCullough

Analysis Report





Total Metals

Batch #: 2812475.00

Matrix: Air Filter Method: NIOSH 7300 Client Project #: 208099 Date Received: 09/30/2008 Samples Received: 3 Samples Analyzed: 3

Vol RL **Results** in **Results in** Lab ID **Client Sample #** Elements (L) ug/m³ ug/filter ug/m³ 28079829 208099-01 Arsenic (As) 443 4.5 < 2.0 < 4.5 28079830 208099-02 Arsenic (As) 0 < 2.0 0 Arsenic (As) < 2.0 28079831 208099-03

Sampled by: Client Analyzed by: Michael Dougherty Reviewed by: Nick Ly

Date Analyzed: 09/30/2008 Date Issued: 09/30/2008

technical Director

ug/ m³ = Micrograms per cubicmeter

ug/filter = Micrograms per filter

RL = Reporting Limit '<' = Below the reporting Limit

Note : Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise. Concentration (ug/m³) not reported if sample volume is zero. Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Ave. N., Seattle, WA 98103 Tel: 206.547.0100, Fax: 206.634.1936 www.nvilabs.com

Client: Clearcreek Contractors

Everett, WA 98201

Address: 3203 15th Street

Attention: Ms. Jennifer Brown

Project Location: Boeing Isaacson

Analysis Report

AIHA - IH # 101861 WA - DOE # C1765



Total Metals

Batch #: 2812567.00

Matrix: Air Filter Method: NIOSH 7300 Client Project #: 208099 Date Received: 10/02/2008 Samples Received: 3 Samples Analyzed: 3

Lab ID	Client Sample #	Elements	Vol (L)	RL ug/m ³	Results in ug/filter	Results in ug/m³
28080256	208099-04	Arsenic (As)	623	3.2	< 2.0	< 3.2
28080257	208099-05	Arsenic (As)	0		< 2.0	
28080258	208099-06	Arsenic (As)	0		< 2.0	

Sampled by: Client Analyzed by: Michael Dougherty Reviewed by: Nick Ly

Date Analyzed: 10/02/2008 Date Issued: 10/02/2008

Technical Director

ug/ m³ = Micrograms per cubicmeter

ug/filter = Micrograms per filter

RL = Reporting Limit '<' = Below the reporting Limit

Note : Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise. Concentration (ug/m³) not reported if sample volume is zero. Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Ave. N., Seattle, WA 98103 Tel: 206.547.0100, Fax: 206.634.1936 www.nvllabs.com

Client: Clearcreek Contractors

Everett, WA 98201

Address: 3201 15th Street

Attention: Ms. Jennifer Brown

Project Location: Boeing Issacson

Analysis Report





Total Metals

Batch #: 2812894.00

Matrix: Air Filter Method: NIOSH 7300 Client Project #: 208099 Date Received: 10/08/2008 Samples Received: 4 Samples Analyzed: 4

Lab ID	Client Sample #	Elements	Vol (L)	RL ug/m ³	Results in ug/filter	Results in ug/m³
28082487	208099-11	Arsenic (As)	927	2.2	< 2.0	< 2.2
28082488	208099-12	Arsenic (As)	689	2.9	< 2.0	< 2.9
28082489	208099-13	Arsenic (As)	0		< 2.0	
28082490	208099-14	Arsenic (As)	0		< 2.0	

Sampled by:	Client
Analyzed by:	Tanveer Khan
Reviewed by:	Nick Ly

Date Analyzed: 10/09/2008 Date Issued: 10/09/2008



'<' = Below the reporting Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

ug/ m³ = Micrograms per cubicmeter

ug/filter = Micrograms per filter

Note : Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise. Concentration (ug/m³) not reported if sample volume is zero. Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.
Lab/Cor, Inc. 7619 6th Ave NW	Final Report			Fax: (206) 781-0155 Fax: (206) 789-8424 http://www.labcor.net		
Seattle, WA 98117	A Professi	onal Service Corpord	ution in the Northwest	1		
	NIC	SH 7500: XRD	- Air			
Job Number: 081834 Client: NVL Laboratories Inc. Project Name: 2813116.00 Project No.:				Report Number: 081834R01 Date Received: 10/14/2008		
Lab/Cor Sample No.: S1 Client Sample No.: 28083564 Description: 208099-15 Sample Note:	Sample Volume: 785 L Sample Weight': mg Detection Limit: 0.006 mg / m^3					
·	Intensity	Silica Weight (ug/sample)	Concentration (mg/m3)	Concentration ¹ (weight %)		
alpha-Quartz	0	BDL	BDL	NA		
Cristobalite	0	BDL	BDL	NA		
Tridymite	0	BDL	8DL	NA		
Silver (Ag)	216853					

Reviewed by:

In Knaack x) Erý

Analyst

_NO. 1121___P. 2/4__

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Ave. N., Seattle, WA 98103 Tel: 206.547.0100, Fax: 206.634.1936 www.nvllabs.com

Analysis Report





Total Metals

	Client: Clearcreek Contractor	S			Batch	#: 2813115.00
A	Address: 3201 15th Street				Mash	Matrix: Air Filter
	Everett, VVA 98201					100: NIUSH 7300
Att	ention: Ms. Jennifer Brown				Date Rece	eived: 10/14/2008
Project L	ocation: Boeing Isaacson				San	nples Received: 2
					San	nples Analyzed: 2
Lab ID	Client Sample #	Elements	Vol (L)	RL ug/m³	Results in ug/filter	Results in ug/m³

28083562	208099-16	Arsenic (As)	794	2.5	< 2.0	< 2.5	
28083563	208099-18	Arsenic (As)	0		< 2.0		

Sampled by: Client Analyzed by: Michael Dougherty

Date Analyzed: 10/15/2008



ug/ m³ = Micrograms per cubicmeter ug/filter = Micrograms per filter RL = Reporting Limit '<' = Below the reporting Limit

Note : Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise. Concentration (ug/m³) not reported if sample volume is zero. Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt. Results are not blank corrected.



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

November 9, 2008

Kathryn Hartley Landau Associates 130 Second Avenue South Edmonds, WA 98020

RE: Project: Boeing Isaacson ARI Job: NV07

Dear Kathryn,

Please find enclosed the original Chain of Custody (COC) record and analytical results for the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. accepted five soil samples in good condition on October 17, 2008.

The samples were analyzed for Total RCRA Metals and NWTPH-Dx, as requested on the COC.

A copy of this report and all associated raw data will be kept on file electronically at ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALY CAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem

Client Services Manager (206) 695-6211

Enclosures

Image: Seattle (Edmonds) (i Image: Tacoma (253) 926-24 Image: Spokane (509) 327-9 I	125) 778-0907 93 737 3) 443-6010 Cha	in-of-Cus	tody Re	cord		Date 10/17/2008
Project Name Ison Month Project Location/Event Boeing I Sampler's Name Month Brunn Project Contact Tim Syverse Send Results To Tim Syverse Sample LD Date	ferraral Project No. 025 Sascison frof en m (Landau)	173.080,082 20-54 Associated No. of Containers Lo 1	A Constant of the second secon	Testing Parame	Observ	Turnaround Time
IMR-2-031017 10/17 IMR-4-081017 IMR-5-081017	0815				Allow w aliquot from a NWTPH-Dx:	ater samples to settle, collect clear portion wash/silica gel cleanup
IMR-8-081017	0900				run sam Analyze product iden	oles standardized to product for EPH if no specific tified
					VOC/BTEX/	VPH (soll): served d w/methanol d w/sodium bisulfate pon receipt
					Dissolve	d metal water samples field filtered
Special Shipment/Handling or Storage Requirements					Method of Shipment	
Relinquished by Signature Mark Brunner Printed Name Landau Associates Company	Received by Signature Kimberly Printed Name AR) Company	Ridger Figg	Relinquished Signature Printed Name Company	by	Received Signature Printed Na Company	me

WHITE COPY - Project File

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form	n
∇		
	Project Name: 16000000 Maxing Pa	Jon - an
ARI Client:	Project Name: 1944000 10 OCAVIOR RC	noui
COC No:		
Assigned ARI Job No: <u>////////////////////////////////////</u>	Tracking No:	-
Preliminary Examination Phase:		
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody	seals attached to the outside of to cooler? YES	(NO)
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	YES	NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, sig	ned, etc.)	NO
Record cooler temperature (recommended 2.0-	6.0 °C for chemistry	<u> </u>
Cooler Accepted by:	KR Date: 10/17/08 Time: 10	20
Complete custody form	ns and attach all shipping documents	
Log-In Phase:		
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler	?	NO
What kind of packing material was used?		
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	YES	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags	? YES	NO
Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)	?	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody	papers?	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested a	inalyses?	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva	ation? (attach preservation checklist) YES	(ANO)
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?		NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each be	ottle?	NO
	indiad	
Samples Logged by: $ \int M $	Date://///Time:/300	_
** Notify Project Mana	ger of discrepancies or concerns **	
Explain discrepancies or negative responses:		
		•
	By: Date:	
		:

Cooler Receipt Form



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica and Acid Cleaned Page 1 of 1 Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082

Data Release Authorized:

ARI ID	Sample ID	Extraction Date	Analysis Date	EFV DL	Range	RL	Result
MB-102208 08-28216	Method Blank HC ID:	10/22/08	10/27/08 FID3A	1.00 1.0	Diesel Motor Oil o-Terphenyl	5.0 10	< 5.0 U < 10 U 71.1%
NV07D 08-28216	IMR-6-081017 HC ID: DRO/MOTOR OI	10/22/08 -	10/27/08 FID3A	1.00 1.0	Diesel Motor Oil o-Terphenyl	6.7 13	17 61 70.9%

Reported in mg/kg (ppm)

EFV-Effective Final Volume in mL. DL-Dilution of extract prior to analysis. RL-Reporting limit.

Diesel quantitation on total peaks in the range from C12 to C24. Motor Oil quantitation on total peaks in the range from C24 to C38. HC ID: DRO/RRO indicate results of organics or additional hydrocarbons in ranges are not identifiable.



CLEANED TPHD SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Soil

QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082

Client ID	OTER	TOT OUT
		0
MB-102208 LCS-102208	79.38	0
LCSD-102208	76.4%	0
IMR-6-081017	/0.96	0

LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(62-118) (49-125)

(OTER) = o-Terphenyl

Prep Method: SW3546 Log Number Range: 08-28216 to 08-28216



Sample ID: LCS-102208

1220

A MARINE CO

NWTPHD by GC/FID-Silica Page 1 of 1	and Acio	l Cleaned		Samp	le ID: LCS LCS	-102208 /LCSD	
Lab Sample ID: LCS-10220 LIMS ID: 08-28216 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 10/30/08	8	QC	Report No: Project: Date Samp] Date Receiv	NV07 ISAA 0251 led: 1 ved: 1	-The Boein CSON MOUND 73.080.082 0/17/08 0/17/08	g Company REMOVAL	
Date Extracted LCS/LCSD:	10/22/	08	Sam	ole Am	ount LCS: LCSD:	10.0 g 10.0 g	
Date Analyzed LCS: 10/27 LCSD: 10/27 Instrument/Analyst LCS: LCSD:	7/08 21: 7/08 21: FID/PKC FID/PKC	06 36	Final Extra Dilut:	act Vc ion Fa	lume LCS: LCSD: ctor LCS: LCSD:	1.0 mL 1.0 mL 1.0 1.0	
Range	LCS	Spike Added-LCS	LCS Recovery	LCSD	Spike Added-LCSD	LCSD Recovery	RPD
	90.1	150	60.1%	92.1	150	61.4%	2.2%

TDHD Surrogate Reco	very
---------------------	------

	LCS	LCSD
o-Terphenyl	79.3%	76.4%

Results reported in mg/kg RPD calculated using sample concentrations per SW846.

90.1

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Diesel



TOTAL DIESEL RANGE HYDROCARBONS-EXTRACTION REPORT

ARI Job:NV07Matrix: SoilProject:ISAACSON MOUND REMOVALDate Received:10/17/08025173.080.082

ARI ID	Client ID	Client Amt	Final Vol	Basis	Prep Date
08-28216-102208MB1 1	Method Blank	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	10/22/08
08-28216-102208LCS1 1	Lab Control	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	10/22/08
08-28216-102208LCSD1 1	Lab Control Dup	10.0 g	1.00 mL	-	10/22/08



30

INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL METALS Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-2-081017 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NV07A LIMS ID: 08-28213 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/07/08

QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: 10/17/08 Date Received: 10/17/08

Percent Total Solids: 93.2%

Prep Meth	Prep	Analysis	Analysis					
	Date	Method	Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	5	TT
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	48 0	0
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	40.0	тт
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	0.2 10 E	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2.5	19.5	TT
CLP	10/20/08	7471A	10/24/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0 04		
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	0.04	0.04	0
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U U



Sample ID: IMR-2-081017 DUPLICATE

Lab Sample ID: NV07A LIMS ID: 08-28213 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/07/08 QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: 10/17/08 Date Received: 10/17/08

MATRIX DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

	Analysis				Control		
Analyte	Method	Sample	Duplicate	RPD	Limit	Q	
Arsenic	6010B	5 11	5 11	0 08	+/- 5	т	
Barium	6010B	48.0	48.0	0.0%	+/- 20%	Ш	
Cadmium	6010B	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.0%	+/- 0.2	L	
Chromium	6010B	19.5	19.2	1.6%	+/- 20%		
Lead	6010B	2 U	2 U	0.0%	+/- 2	${ m L}$	
Mercury	7471A	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.0%	+/- 0.04	L	
Selenium	6010B	5 U	5 U	0.0%	+/- 5	L	
Silver	6010B	0.3 U	0.3 U	0.0%	+/- 0.3	L	

Reported in mg/kg-dry

*-Control Limit Not Met

L-RPD Invalid, Limit = Detection Limit



INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL METALS

Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-2-081017 MATRIX SPIKE

Lab Sample ID: NV07A LIMS ID: 08-28213 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/07/08 QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: 10/17/08 Date Received: 10/17/08

MATRIX SPIKE QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

	Analysis			Spike	ક	
Analyte	Method	Sample	Spike	Added	Recovery	Q
Arsenic	6010B	5 U	204	206	99.0%	
Barium	6010B	48.0	234	206	90.3%	
Cadmium	6010B	0.2 U	48.4	51.4	94.2%	
Chromium	6010B	19.5	69.3	51.4	96.9%	
Lead	6010B	2 U	193	206	93.7%	
Mercury	7471A	0.04 U	0.50	0.434	115%	
Selenium	6010B	5 U	197	206	95.6%	
Silver	6010B	0.3 U	50.6	51.4	98.4%	

Reported in mg/kg-dry

N-Control Limit Not Met H-% Recovery Not Applicable, Sample Concentration Too High NA-Not Applicable, Analyte Not Spiked

Percent Recovery Limits: 75-125%



Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-4-081017 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NV07B LIMS ID: 08-28214 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/07/08 QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: 10/17/08 Date Received: 10/17/08

Percent Total Solids: 77.1%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
20505	10/00/00	C010D	11/07/00	B 440, 00, 0	. .		1 100	
3020B	10/20/08	POIOR	11/0//08	/440-38-2	Arsenic	20	1,120	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/07/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.9	153	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/07/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.6	3.1	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/07/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	2	55	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/07/08	7439-92-1	Lead	6	136	
CLP	10/20/08	7471A	10/24/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.06	0.46	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/07/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	20	20	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/07/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.9	0.9	U



Sample ID: IMR-5-081017 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NV07C LIMS ID: 08-28215 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/07/08 QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: 10/17/08 Date Received: 10/17/08

Percent Total Solids: 93.4%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	8	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	61.5	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	0.6	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	41.2	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	56	
CLP	10/20/08	7471A	10/24/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.04	0.10	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Sample ID: IMR-6-081017 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NV07D LIMS ID: 08-28216 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/07/08 QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: 10/17/08 Date Received: 10/17/08

Percent Total Solids: 72.4%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	6	2,440	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.4	78.6	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.3	5.6	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	19.7	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7439-92-1	Lead	3	26	
CLP	10/20/08	7471A	10/24/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.68	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.4	0.4	U



Sample ID: IMR-8-081017 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NV07E LIMS ID: 08-28217 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/07/08 QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: 10/17/08 Date Received: 10/17/08

Percent Total Solids: 92.5%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	253	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	57.8	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	1.0	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	26.4	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	44	
CLP	10/20/08	7471A	10/24/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.81	
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5.	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: NV07MB LIMS ID: 08-28214 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/07/08 QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample ID: METHOD BLANK

Percent Total Solids: NA

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	5	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	0.3	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	0.2	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	0.5	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	2	U
CLP	10/20/08	7471A	10/24/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.05	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5	U
3050B	10/20/08	6010B	11/06/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Sample ID: LAB CONTROL

Lab Sample ID: NV07LCS LIMS ID: 08-28214 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/07/08

QC Report No: NV07-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080.082 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

BLANK SPIKE QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

	Analysis	Spike	Spike	8	
Analyte	Method	Found	Added	Recovery	Q
Arsenic	6010B	210	200	105%	
Barium	6010B	190	200	95.0%	
Cadmium	6010B	49.5	50.0	99.0%	
Chromium	6010B	48.8	50.0	97.6%	
Lead	6010B	203	200	102%	
Mercury	7471A	1.11	1.00	111%	
Selenium	6010B	210	200	105%	
Silver	6010B	51.8	50.0	1048	

Reported in mg/kg-dry

N-Control limit not met NA-Not Applicable, Analyte Not Spiked Control Limits: 80-120%



Analytical Resources, Incorporated

Analytical Chemists and Consultants

November 17, 2008

Tim Syverson Landau Associates 130 Second Avenue South Edmonds, WA 98020

RE: Project: Boeing Isaacson ARI Job: NW45

Dear Tim,

Please find enclosed the original Chain of Custody (COC) record and analytical results for the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. accepted three soil samples in good condition on October 27, 2008.

The samples were analyzed for Total Metals, as requested on the COC.

A copy of this report and all associated raw data will be kept on file electronically at ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager (206) 695-6211

Enclosures

		AMB			
NW Seattle (Edmonds) (4	25) 778-0907				i la la com
■ ■ Tacoma (253) 926-245	J3 '37				Date 10/27/2008
LANDAU ASSOCIATES Portland (Tigard) (503	443-6010			a and a second s	Pageof
	Cha	in-of-Cus	stody Re	ecord	
	a.t		1.	Testing Parame	ters Turnaround Time
Project Name Isaacson Mound Re	MY Project No. 0251	080	No la companya da comp		Standard
Project Location/Event Isaecs on P	referry (Breing))	8		
Sampler's Name Mark Brun	en	/	5 / /		
Project Contact Tim Syverson	\	\v	¥	+	
Send Results To Tim Syverson	\				
Sample I.D. Date	Time Matrix C	No. of			Observations/Comments
TME-7-081027 10/27/0	8 1210 501	1 X			Allow water samples to settle, collect
IMR-11-081027 10/27/0	8 1315 50;)	1 ×			aliquot from clear portion
IMR-12-081027 10/27/10	g 1300 Soil	1 X			NWTPH-Dx:
					run acid wash/silica gel cleanup
					run samples standardized to
					Analyze for FPH if no specific
			· _		product identified
					VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll):
					non-preserved
					preserved w/methanol
					preserved w/sodium bisuitate
					Other
		× 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Special Shipment/Handling		<u> </u>	<u></u> <u>I</u> J		Nethod of Shipment
Relinquished by	Received by	/	Relinquished	by	Received by
Signature	Signature -		Signature		Signature
Marte Brunner	S DUNNA Printed Name	10	Printed Name		Printed Name
Lanten Associates	AG				
Company	Company	10 06	Company		Company
Date 10/27/08 Time 1320	Date 13/21/00	Time <u>1320</u>	Date	Time	Date Time

WHITE COPY - Project File

YELLOW COPY - Laboratory

PINK COPY - Client Representative

Rev 4/01

•	
Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form
APICIENT BOEING ((A))	Isaar to Mound Remark
	Project Name:
Assigned ARI Job No:	Tracking No:NA
Preliminary Examination Phase:	
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody	seals attached to the outside of to cooler?
Were custody papers included with the cooler?	
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, sig	ned etc.)
Record cooler temperature (recommended 2.0-6	3.0 °C for chemistry
Cooler Accepted by:	Date: 10/27/08 Time: 13/20
Complete custody form	is and attach all shipping documents
Log-In Phase:	
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?	VEC NO
What kind of packing material was used?	ILS NU
	ALA
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	YES NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)?	YES NO YES NO YES NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible?	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody r	NA YES NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody p Were all bottles used correct for the requested ar	NA YES NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody p Were all bottles used correct for the requested ar Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserval	NA YES YE
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody p Were all bottles used correct for the requested ar Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserval Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	NA YES NO YES YES NO NA YES
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody p Were all bottles used correct for the requested ar Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bot	NA YES NO YES NO

Samples Logged by:

A<u>5</u> Time: ___ ** Notify Project Marager of discrepancies or concerns **

Date:

Ø

2

Explain discrepancies or negative responses:

Date:

1500



Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-7-081027 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NW45A LIMS ID: 08-29126 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/14/08

QC Report No: NW45-Boeing Project: Isaacson Mound Removal 025173.080 Date Sampled: 10/27/08 Date Received: 10/27/08

Percent Total Solids: 95.8%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	5	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	31.4	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	0.2	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	21.3	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	2	U
CLP	10/28/08	7471A	10/31/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.05	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-11-081027 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NW45B LIMS ID: 08-29127 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/14/08

QC Report No: NW45-Boeing Project: Isaacson Mound Removal 025173.080 Date Sampled: 10/27/08 Date Received: 10/27/08

Percent Total Solids: 84.6%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
30500	10/20/00	60100	11/12/00	7440 20 0	3`-	~	504	
3030B	10/20/00	OUTOB	11/12/00	/440-38-2	Arsenic	6	524	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	85.0	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	1.4	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	38.6	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	114	
CLP	10/28/08	7471A	10/31/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	1.82	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/12/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Sample ID: IMR-12-081027 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NW45C LIMS ID: 08-29128 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/14/08

QC Report No: NW45-Boeing Project: Isaacson Mound Removal 025173.080 Date Sampled: 10/27/08 Date Received: 10/27/08

Percent Total Solids: 73.1%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/13/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	20	1,780	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/13/08	7440-39-3	Barium	1	93	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/13/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.7	3.1	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/13/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	2	116	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/13/08	7439-92-1	Lead	7	46	
CLP	10/28/08	7471A	10/31/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.06	0.70	
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/13/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	20	20	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/13/08	7440-22-4	Silver	1	1	U



Sample ID: METHOD BLANK

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: NW45MB LIMS ID: 08-29126 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/14/08 QC Report No: NW45-Boeing Project: Isaacson Mound Removal 025173.080 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Percent Total Solids: NA

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/11/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	5	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/11/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	0.3	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/11/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	0.2	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/11/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	0.5	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/11/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	2	U
CLP	10/28/08	7471A	10/31/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.05	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/11/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5	U
3050B	10/28/08	6010B	11/11/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Sample ID: LAB CONTROL

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: NW45LCS LIMS ID: 08-29126 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/14/08 QC Report No: NW45-Boeing Project: Isaacson Mound Removal 025173.080 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

BLANK SPIKE QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

	Analysis	Spike	Spike	ક	
Analyte	Method	Found	Added	Recovery	Q
Arsenic	6010B	203	200	102%	
Barium	6010B	197	200	98.5%	
Cadmium	6010B	50.6	50.0	101%	
Chromium	6010B	50.2	50.0	100%	
Lead	6010B	204	200	102%	
Mercury	7471A	1.08	1.00	108%	
Selenium	6010B	202	200	101%	
Silver	6010B	53.5	50.0	107%	

Reported in mg/kg-dry

N-Control limit not met NA-Not Applicable, Analyte Not Spiked Control Limits: 80-120%



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

November 21, 2008

Tim Syverson Landau Associates 130 Second Avenue South Edmonds, WA 98020

RE: Project: Boeing Isaacson ARI Job: NY11

Dear Tim,

Please find enclosed the original Chain of Custody (COC) record and analytical results for the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. accepted seven soil samples in good condition on November 14, 2008.

The samples were analyzed for Total RCRA Metals, as requested on the COC.

A copy of this report and all associated raw data will be kept on file electronically at ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALY TACAL RESOURCES, INC. Ň

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager (206) 695-6211

Enclosures

Seattle (Ed	monds) (42 53) 926-2493	5) 778-0907 3 3	7			25								Date_11/4/08
	igard) (503) /	443-6010	Ch	ain_c		2, 2 tot				ard				Pageof
Project Name <u>FSaacSon</u> Project Location/Event <u>Boen</u> Sampler's Name <u>Narke</u> Project Contact <u>Tim Syste</u> Send Results To <u>11</u>	sund fe Ng I Brunr erson	Man Projec Scalso: Ver (Lond	t No. 02	SIN3. Pirty scrates	080 - - - -	ust F	- Free and a second sec	י <u>ש וי</u> י	Te	sting	Para	ne	eters	Turnaround Time
Sample I.D.	Date	Time	Matrix	No. of Containe	rs/18							/		Observations/Comments
IMR-10-031104 IMR-11-081104	11/4/08	1045	501	1	X									Allow water samples to settle, collect aliquot from clear portion
InR-12-08/104 INR-13-08/104		1055			X									NWTPH-Dx: run acid wash/silica gel cleanup run samples standardized to
INR-15-081104	.`	1105			$\frac{r}{4}$									product
In E-16 - 08 1104	12	1110	4	<u> </u>	4									Analyze for EPH if no specific product identified
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll): non-preserved preserved w/methanol preserved w/sodium bisulfate Freeze upon receipt
														Dissolved metal water samples field filtered Other
Special Shipment/Handling or Storage Requirements	<u>. </u>											M Sh	ethod	of nt
Relinquished by <u>NB</u> Signature Mark Brunn Printed Name Landen Arso crates Company		Printed Nam	pein F	ere .	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	- Si - Pr - Co	effing gnatu inted ompai	uishee re ZA Name	reh La	R	2			Received by <u>himberly Trage</u> Signature <u>KiWberly Rigg</u> Printed Name <u>ARI</u> Company
Date 11/9/02 Time 150		Date 144	05		$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$	_ Da	ate <u>U</u>	414		Time	<u>• 15 '</u>	5	2	Date 11 4 100 Time 15 00

WHITE COPY - Project File

D'Y

YELLOW COPY - Laboratory

PINK COPY - Client Representative

Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt	For	D
ARI Client BOCING	Project Name: 1600 MOUNC	Rem	DIG!
COC No:	Delivered by:/ACU/al		
Assigned ARI Job No: NY	Tracking No:		
Preliminary Examination Phase:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody Were custody papers included with the cooler?	y seals attached to the outside of to cooler?	YES	NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, sid	qned. etc.)	WES	NO
Record cooler temperature (recommended 2.0-	-6.0 °C for chemistry	3.>	°C
Cooler Accepted by:	KR 114/08	$\frac{-0.5}{160}$	0 60
Complete custody for	ms and attach all shipping documents	unie 10.	
۲			
.og-In Phase:		•	
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler	?	YES (NO
What kind of packing material was used?			2
Was summer used (If appropriate)?	•	YES	NO
vice all bottle arrive in good condition (unter the)	<u>?</u>	YES-	NO
of an Dome arrive in good condition (Unbroken)	//	(YFS)	NO
Nere all bottle labels complete and legible?			••••
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		YES	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a	papers?	YES	NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva	papers?	YES (ES)	NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and lags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist)	YES YES YES YES	NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	papers? nalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA	YES YES YES YES	NO NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Vas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo	papers? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA	YES YES YES YES YES	NO NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: Date: Date:	YES YES YES YES O:35	NO NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Vas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo imples Logged by:H	papers? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: Date: ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O':35	NO NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	papers? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O'35	NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Vas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo imples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA Ma bate: Date: ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O':35	
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? ation? (attach preservation checklist) MA httle? Date: <u>11.5.08</u> Time: <u>]</u> ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O:35	NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo imples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: <u>11:5:08</u> Time: <u>]</u> ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES O:35	NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Nere all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserve Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: <u>11.5.08</u> Time: <u>]</u> ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O':35	NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserve Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: <u>11.5.08</u> Time: <u></u> ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES O:35	NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Vas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo imples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA Ma bitte? Date:5.08Time:] ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O':35	NO NO NO NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserve Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: <u>11.5.08</u> Time: <u></u> ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES O:35	
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Vas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA Ma httle? Date:5.08 Time:] ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O'35	
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserva Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date: ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O':35	
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserve Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA inttle? Date: <u>11.5.08</u> Time: <u></u> ger of discrepancies or concerns **	YES YES YES YES O'35	
Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody Were all bottles used correct for the requested a Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preserve Nere all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Nas sufficient amount of sample sent in each bo amples Logged by:	papers? Inalyses? ation? (attach preservation checklist) NA httle? Date:	YES YES YES YES O'35	



Sample ID: IMR-10-081104 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NY11A LIMS ID: 08-30018 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/20/08 QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/04/08 Date Received: 11/05/08

Percent Total Solids: 93.3%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
				· · ·	_			
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	38	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	30.1	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	0.3	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	24.4	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	2	υ
CLP	11/10/08	7471A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.04	0.04	υ
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL METALS

Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-11-081104 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NY11B LIMS ID: 08-30019 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/20/08 QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/04/08 Date Received: 11/05/08

Percent Total Solids: 84.4%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	6	439	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	46.6	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	1.6	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	22.9	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	40	
CLP	11/10/08	7471A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	1.12	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-12-081104 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NY11C LIMS ID: 08-30020 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/20/08 QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/04/08 Date Received: 11/05/08

Percent Total Solids: 72.6%

Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	6	485	
11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.4	61.4	
11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.3	1.6	
11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	25.1	
11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7439-92-1	Lead	3	36	
11/10/08	7 4 71A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.06	0.12	
11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6	U
11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.4	0.4	U
	Prep Date 11/10/08 11/10/08 11/10/08 11/10/08 11/10/08 11/10/08 11/10/08	Prep Date Analysis Method 11/10/08 6010B 11/10/08 6010B	Prep DateAnalysis MethodAnalysis Date11/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/0811/10/086010B11/18/08	Prep DateAnalysis MethodAnalysis DateCAS Number11/10/086010B11/18/087440-38-211/10/086010B11/18/087440-39-311/10/086010B11/18/087440-43-911/10/086010B11/18/087440-47-311/10/086010B11/18/087439-92-111/10/086010B11/18/087439-97-611/10/086010B11/18/087782-49-211/10/086010B11/18/087440-22-4	Prep DateAnalysis MethodAnalysis DateCAS NumberAnalyte11/10/086010B11/18/087440-38-2Arsenic11/10/086010B11/18/087440-39-3Barium11/10/086010B11/18/087440-43-9Cadmium11/10/086010B11/18/087440-47-3Chromium11/10/086010B11/18/087439-92-1Lead11/10/086010B11/18/087439-97-6Mercury11/10/086010B11/18/087782-49-2Selenium11/10/086010B11/18/087440-22-4Silver	Prep DateAnalysis MethodAnalysis DateCAS NumberAnalyteRL11/10/086010B11/18/087440-38-2Arsenic611/10/086010B11/18/087440-39-3Barium0.411/10/086010B11/18/087440-43-9Cadmium0.311/10/086010B11/18/087440-47-3Chromium0.611/10/086010B11/18/087439-92-1Lead311/10/086010B11/18/087439-97-6Mercury0.0611/10/086010B11/18/087782-49-2Selenium611/10/086010B11/18/087440-22-4Silver0.4	Prep DateAnalysis MethodDateCAS NumberAnalyteRLmg/kg-dry11/10/086010B11/18/087440-38-2Arsenic648511/10/086010B11/18/087440-39-3Barium0.461.411/10/086010B11/18/087440-43-9Cadmium0.31.611/10/086010B11/18/087440-47-3Chromium0.625.111/10/086010B11/18/087439-92-1Lead33611/10/087471A11/14/087439-97-6Mercury0.060.1211/10/086010B11/18/087782-49-2Selenium6611/10/086010B11/18/087440-22-4Silver0.40.4



INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL METALS

Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-13-081104 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NY11D LIMS ID: 08-30021 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/20/08 QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/04/08 Date Received: 11/05/08

Percent Total Solids: 82.2%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	6	77	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	75.1	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	1.3	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	52.0	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	86	
CLP	11/10/08	7471A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.21	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Sample ID: IMR-14-081104 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NY11E LIMS ID: 08-30022 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/20/08 QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/04/08 Date Received: 11/05/08

Percent Total Solids: 80.6%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	10	70	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.9	157	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.6	1.9	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	1	109	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7439-92-1	Lead	6	273	
CLP	11/10/08	7471A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.04	0.33	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	10	10	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.9	0.9	U



INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL METALS

Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-15-081104 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NY11F LIMS ID: 08-30023 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/20/08 QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/04/08 Date Received: 11/05/08

Percent Total Solids: 71.2%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	7	919	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.4	84.2	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.3	3.0	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.7	19.5	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7439-92-1	Lead	3	51	
CLP	11/10/08	7471A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.06	0.80	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	7	7	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.4	0.4	U



INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TOTAL METALS

Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-16-081104 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: NY11G LIMS ID: 08-30024 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/20/08 Percent Total Solids: 82.8%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	30	30	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-39-3	Barium	2	253	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	1	15	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	3	536	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7439-92-1	Lead	10	1,210	
CLP	11/10/08	7471A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.06	0.06	
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	30	30	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/19/08	7440-22-4	Silver	2	2	


Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: NY11MB LIMS ID: 08-30018 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/20/08 QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Sample ID: METHOD BLANK

Percent Total Solids: NA

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	5	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	0.3	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	0.2	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	0.5	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	2	U
CLP	11/10/08	7471A	11/14/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.05	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5	U
3050B	11/10/08	6010B	11/18/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: NY11LCS LIMS ID: 08-30018 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/20/08 Sample ID: LAB CONTROL

QC Report No: NY11-Landau Associates, Inc. Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

BLANK SPIKE QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

	Analysis	Spike	Spike	ક્ર	
Analyte	Method	Found	Added	Recovery	Q
Arsenic	6010B	193	200	96.5%	
Barium	6010B	180	200	90.0%	
Cadmium	6010B	46.0	50.0	92.0%	
Chromium	6010B	45.5	50.0	91.0%	
Lead	6010B	192	200	96.0%	
Mercury	7471A	0.99	1.00	99.08	
Selenium	6010B	192	200	96.0%	
Silver	6010B	49.8	50.0	99.6%	

Reported in mg/kg-dry

N-Control limit not met NA-Not Applicable, Analyte Not Spiked Control Limits: 80-120%



Analytical Resources, Incorporated

Analytical Chemists and Consultants

November 26, 2008

Tim Syverson Landau Associates 130 Second Avenue South Edmonds, WA 98020

RE: Project: Isaacson Mound Removal, 025173.080 ARI Job: OA02

Dear Mr. Syverson:

Enclosed, please find the original Chain-of-Custody (COC) record, sample receipt documentation, and final data report for the samples from the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted three soil samples in good condition on November 13, 2008. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form.

The samples were analyzed for Total Metals, as requested on the COC.

There were no anomalies associated with the analysis of these samples.

Quality control analysis results are included for your review. An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will be kept on file at ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely, ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC

Kelly Bottem Client Services Manager (206) 695-6211 kellyb@arilabs.com www.arilabs.com

KB/co

Enclosures

Company (509) 327-9	425) 778-0907 193 1737	0402			Date_11/13/08
ASSOCIATES Portland (Tigard) (503	3) 443-6010 Ch	ain-of-Cus	stody Re	ecord	Pageof
Project Name Isracion April	Re Project No.	080,5173,080		Testing Parameters	5 Turnaround Time
Project Location/Event Boeing - I	saacson Asp	erty Accounted /	R		
Project Contact Tim Survers	on (Londou	Arsociates)	3		
Send Results To Time Syver	son		'		
Sample I.D. Date	Time Matrix	No. of Containers			Observations/Comments
IMR-3-081113 11/2/0 FMR-18-081113 11/13/0	8 1530 Soil 3 1540 Soil				Allow water samples to settle, collect aliquot from clear portion
IMR-19-081113 1413/	8 1550 501				NWTPH-Dx: run acid wash/silica gel cleanup run samples standardized to product
					Analyze for EPH if no specific product identified
					VOC/BTEX/VPH (soll): non-preserved preserved w/methanol preserved w/sodium bisulfate Freeze upon receipt
					Dissolved metal water samples field filtered
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Other
	·				
Special Shipment/Handling or Storage Requirements				Metho Shipm	d of ent
Relinquished by	Received by	les	Relinquished I	by	Received by
Mork Brunner	Printed Name	injeg	Printed Name		Printed Name
Company	Company		Company		Company
Date 113/08 Time 1600	Date <u>11.13.08</u>	_ Time 1600	Date	Time	Date Time

PINK COPY - Client Representative

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	Cooler Receipt Form	•
ARI Client: COC No: Assigned ARI Job No:	Project Name: ISAALSON Mound Removal Delivered by: Tracking No:	· · ·
Preliminary Examination Phase:		
Were intact, property signed and dated custody. Were custody papers included with the cooler? Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, sign Record cooler temperature (recommended 2.0-6	seals attached to the outside of to cooler? YES NO YES NO ried, etc.) YES NO 3.0 °C for chemistry	
Cooler Accepted by:	Pate 11:13:08 Time 110(27)	
Complete custody form	ns and attach all shipping documents	
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler? What kind of packing material was used? Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)? Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)? Were all bottle labels complete and legible? Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody p Were all bottle labels and tags agree with custody p Were all bottles used correct for the requested an Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservat Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles? Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle	YES NO YES NO	
amples Logged by:	NO NO	
** Notify Project Manag	er of discrepancies or concerns **	
Explain discrepancies or negative responses:		· · ·
		•
		. ·
		•

By:

Date:



Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-3-081113 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: OA02A LIMS ID: 08-31009 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/26/08

Percent Total Solids: 81.1%

QC Report No: OA02-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/13/08 Date Received: 11/13/08

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	6	294	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.4	95.5	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	1.6	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	65.8	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	126	
CLP	11/18/08	747 1 A	11/21/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	1.44	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6	IJ
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.4	0.4	Ū



Page 1 of 1

Sample ID: IMR-18-081113 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: OA02B LIMS ID: 08-31010 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/26/08 QC Report No: OA02-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/13/08 Date Received: 11/13/08

Percent Total Solids: 83.4%

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
······								
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	6	397	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	40.8	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	1.5	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	14.6	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	24	
CLP	11/18/08	7471A	11/21/08	7439-97-6	Mercurv	0.05	0.16	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6.	IJ
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U



Sample ID: IMR-19-081113 SAMPLE

Lab Sample ID: OA02C LIMS ID: 08-31011 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: Reported: 11/26/08 QC Report No: OA02-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: 11/13/08 Date Received: 11/13/08

Percent Total Solids: 78.0%

-

Prep Meth	Date	Analysis Method	Analysis Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	6	383	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.4	78.5	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.3	1.8	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.6	30.9	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7439-92-1	Lead	3	87	
CLP	11/18/08	7471A	11/21/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.06	0.69	
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	6	6	U
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.4	0.4	U



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: OA02LCS LIMS ID: 08-31009 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized Reported: 11/26/08 Sample ID: LAB CONTROL

QC Report No: OA02-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

BLANK SPIKE QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

	Analysis	Spike	Spike	ક	
Analyte	Method	Found	Added	Recovery	Q
Arsenic	6010B	203	200	102%	
Barium	6010B	184	200	92.0%	
Cadmium	6010B	46.7	50.0	93.48	
Chromium	6010B	47.5	50.0	95.08	
Lead	6010B	204	200	1028	
Mercury	7471A	1.06	1.00	106%	
Selenium	6010B	200	200	100%	
Silver	6010B	53.7	50.0	107%	

Reported in mg/kg-dry

N-Control limit not met NA-Not Applicable, Analyte Not Spiked Control Limits: 80-120%



Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID: OA02MB LIMS ID: 08-31009 Matrix: Soil Data Release Authorized: M Reported: 11/26/08

Percent Total Solids: NA

Sample ID: METHOD BLANK

QC Report No: OA02-The Boeing Company Project: ISAACSON MOUND REMOVAL 025173.080 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Prep Meth	Prep Date	Analysis Method	Date	CAS Number	Analyte	RL	mg/kg-dry	Q
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-38-2	Arsenic	5	5	U
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-39-3	Barium	0.3	0.3	U
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.2	0.2	U
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-47-3	Chromium	0.5	0.5	U
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7439-92-1	Lead	2	2	U
CLP	11/18/08	7471A	11/21/08	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.05	0.05	U
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7782-49-2	Selenium	5	5	U
3050B	11/18/08	6010B	11/26/08	7440-22-4	Silver	0.3	0.3	U

APPENDIX F

PZ-5 Groundwater Monitoring Well Abandonment Log

Please p	rint, sion and return	to the Departme	ent of Ecology
PESOURCE PROTECTION	WELL REPORT	CURRENT	Notice of Intent No. AE03784
(SUBMIT ONE WELL REPORT PER W	ELL INSTALLED)		
Construction/Decommission ("x" in box)	· · · ·		Type of Well ("x in box)
Construction		· •	Geotech Soil Boring
Decommission	 7 ·	Duran arte a Oramon T	La Deging Company
ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice of Inten	t Number:	Property Owner 11	the Boeing Company
		Site Address 8811	east MArginal way 5
Consulting Firm Land America	<u>e</u> 0	City <u>Seattle</u>	County King
Unique Ecology Well IDTag No. APOO	<u>) x</u>	Location <u>NE</u> 1/4-1/	4 <u>SW1</u> /4 Sec <u>33</u> Twn <u>24</u> R <u>04</u>
WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATIO	N: I constructed and/or	EWM 🛛 or WWI	M 🛄 👘
accept responsibility for construction of this well, and i	ts compliance with all	Lat/Long (s. t. r	Lat Deg Min Sec
Washington well construction standards. Materials use reported above are true to my best knowledge and belie	f.	still REQUIRED)	Long Deg Min Sec
		Tax Parcel No 000	17400033
Driller Engineer A Transe Name (Print Last First Name)	COTT	1 a. 1 a.	2" Otreta I and
Driller/Engineer /Traince Signature	6	Cased or Uncased	Diameter Static Level
Driller or Trainee License No. 2877	Γ	Work/Decommissi	on Start Date <u>8/20/08</u>
To the line line of drillow Signature and	License Number:	Work/Decommissi	ion Completed Date 8/20/08
It traince, incensed in incers Signature and	2508	a and the second se	lan na na fangan y na hara suzzy zanay hundarny na daraman an an
		_	The second state of the second state is
Construction Design	Well	Data	Formation Description
	MONUMENT TY	PE:	
	8' FLUSH		
	CONCRETE SURI	FACE SEAL:	
	0-2		PARAMIEN MANY MARINE
			Removed French F
	ANTATIT AD CDAC	τ.	Grout From Borrom
	ANNULAR SPAC	£	UP. TOPED WITH CONCRETE
	BACKFILL		
	TYPE:		
	PVC BLANK:	0-15	Para and PHP
			<u>KEMOVEA IVC</u>
		1	
	SCREEN: 15-2	<u> </u>	
	SLOT SIZE: .0	/0	-1
	TYPE: <u>1 Sch 40</u>	<u></u>	
	SAND PACK:	<u>.</u>	
	MATERIAL:		
	DDITUNO		
	DKILLING METH	10D	
	WELL DEPTH	25	
	BORING DIAMET	ΓER:	
11	SCALE: 1"=	PAGE OF	

and a set of set of the

-

ECY 050-12 (Rev. 7/06)

Ecology is an Equal Opportunity Employer

6