1 2 3 4 5 6		AUG 1 4 2009 SUPERIOR COURT BETTY J. GOULD THURSTON COUNTY CLERK VASHINGTON Y SUPERIOR COURT	
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	EX PARTE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,	NO. 04-2-02240-9	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL [PROPOSED]	
11	V.		
12	DAN ALEXANDER and HARRIET ALEXANDER, husband and wife, formerly		
13	dba YAKIMA CHIEF RANCHES,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	The Court, having considered the Joint Motion to Dismiss, hereby grants the motion.		
17	The action is dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.		
18	Dismissal of the Court's jurisdiction over the Consent Decree shall not alter the binding		
19	effect of any and all continuing rights and duties of the parties under the Consent Decree,		
20	including but not limited to the provisions of Sections XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue), XIX		
21	(Contribution Protection), and XII (Retention of Records).		
22	Dated this 14th day of <u>august</u> , 20 <u>0°1</u> .		
23	, and the second		
24	Carol Murphy		
25	Thurston County Superior Court		
26			

1	1 Presented By: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
2		WASHINGTON IENT OF ECOLOGY
3	3 Amenica Space	all Pil
4	4 MICHAEL L. DUNNING JIM PEND	OWSKI
5	5 Assistant Attorney General Program M	anager anup Program
6	6 State of Washington	minh 110 8 10111
7	Department of Ecology (360) 586-6741	
8	8 McELROY LAW FIRM, PLLC	
9	9 July SMAC	• •
10	10 GREG MCFLROY WSRA #15494	
11	GREG MCELROY, WSBA #15494 Attorney for the Alexanders (206) 654-4160	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	•
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
ا ء	√	•

1 2 AUG 14 2009 3 SUPERIOR COURT 4 BETTY J. GOULD THURSTON COUNTY 5 6 7 STATE OF WASHINGTON THURSTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 8 STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY. 9 NO. 04-2-02240-9 10 Plaintiff, JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS 11 v. RECEIVED 12 DAN ALEXANDER and HARRIET AUG 1 7 2009 ALEXANDER, husband and wife, formerly dba YAKIMA CHIEF RANCHES, 13 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY - CENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE 14 Defendants. 15 Defendants in this action have completed the requirements of the Consent Decree 16 entered by the Court on October 29, 2004. In accordance with Section XXVIII (Duration of 17 Decree) of the Consent Decree, on May 19, 2009, Plaintiff State of Washington, Department of 18 Ecology (Ecology) sent written notification to Defendants stating that the requirements of this 19 Consent Decree have been satisfactorily completed. Attached hereto is a true and correct copy 20 of Ecology's Notice of Completion. 21 The parties recognize and intend that dismissal of the Court's jurisdiction over the 22

23

24

25

26

Consent Decree shall not alter the binding effect of any and all continuing rights and duties of

the parties under the Consent Decree, including but not limited to the provisions of Sections

XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue), XIX (Contribution Protection), and XII (Retention of Records).

Therefore, the parties now move for dismissal of this action with prejudice and without		
costs to either party.		
DATED this 12th day of AUGUST, 2009.		
ROBERT MINICEENNA STATE OF WASHINGTON		
Attorney General DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY		
Church who		
MICHAEL L. DUNNING Assistant Attorney General Program Mandger		
Attorneys for Plaintiff Toxics Cleanup Program State of Washington		
Department of Ecology		
(360) 586-6741		
McELROY LAW FIRM, PLLC		
Ween SMOn		
GREG MCELROY, WSBA #15494 Attorney for the Alexanders		
(206) 654-4160		
·		
· ·		