

July 21, 2008 Project 001.0173.00007

Mr. Tom Middleton Washington Department of Ecology PO Box 47775 Olympia, Washington 98504-7775

Re: Remedial Action Report, Former Arco Service Station #0855 4603 Ocean Beach Highway, Longview, Washington

Dear Mr. Middleton:

On behalf of the Wakefield Family LLC (property owner), SLR International Corp (SLR) is submitting the attached Remedial Action Report for the above-referenced property (the site). The report describes the field activities and presents the results of the remedial action that was completed in September, November, and December 2007, as well as the groundwater sampling events that were conducted in December 2007 and March 2008. The purposes of the remedial action were to: 1) remediate the soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than MTCA Method A cleanup levels, 2) remove the source of the impacted shallow groundwater beneath the site, 3) remove the accessible impacted shallow groundwater. The remedial action was consistent with the selected remedial alternative in SLR's *Revised Feasibility Study Report*, dated January 9, 2008.

If you have any questions or comments, please call me at (425) 402-8800.

Sincerely,

SLR International Corp

MULD SHE

Michael D. Staton, L.G. Principal Geologist

Attachment: Remedial Action Report

cc: Kurt Peterson, Cascadia Law Group PLLC

REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855 LONGVIEW, WASHINGTON

Prepared for Wakefield Family LLC July 21, 2008

Prepared by

SLR International Corp 22122 20th Avenue SE Bothell, Washington 98021

Project #001.0173.00007

Remedial Action Report Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview, Washington

The material and data in this report were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Kim Saganski, L.G. Project Geologist

7/21/08 Date

Michael D. Staton, L.G. Principal Geologist



7/21/08 Date

CONTENTS

.

LIST	OF F	IGURES	iv
EXE	CUTIV	VE SUMMARY	v
1	INT	RODUCTION	1-1
2	BAC 2.1	CKGROUND General Site Information	2-1 2-1
	2.2	Previous Environmental Investigation Results	2-2
	2.3	Site Geology	2-5
	2.4	Site Hydrogeology	2-5
3	REN	IEDIAL ACTION	3-1
	3.1	Demolition of Site Structures	3-1
	3.2	Excavation of Petroleum-Impacted Soil	3-2
	3.3	Installation of Groundwater Monitoring Wells	3-6
	3.4	Conduct Groundwater Sampling Events	3-7
4	CON	NCLUSIONS	4-1
LIM	ITATI	ONS	4-1
REF	EREN	CES	
TAB	LES		
FIG	URES		
APP	ENDIX	X A PROJECT PHOTOGRAPHS	
APP	ENDIX	X B LABORATORY REPORTS	
APP	ENDIX	K C SOIL BORING LOGS	

iii

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Tables

Following Text

- 1 Excavation Sample Analytical Results
- 2 Groundwater Monitoring Data
- 3 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Lead
- 4 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Natural Attenuation Parameters

Figures

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Pre-Remediation Site Map
- 3 Estimated Areas of Hydrocarbon-Impacted Soil Pre-Remediation
- 4 Estimated Areas of Hydrocarbon-Impacted Shallow and Deep Groundwater in 2006
- 5 Approximate Areas of Soil Excavation
- 6 Approximate Confirmation Sample Locations
- 7 Current Monitoring Well Locations
- 8 Shallow Groundwater Sampling Results December 2007
- 9 Deep Groundwater Sampling Results December 2007
- 10 Shallow Groundwater Sampling Results March 2008
- 11 Deep Groundwater Sampling Results March 2008

T:\001.0173.00007\Remedial Action Report.doc

iv

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During September, November, and December 2007, and March 2008, the primary phase of a remedial action was completed at the former Arco Service Station #0855 in Longview, Washington. The objectives of this phase of the remedial action were: 1) to remediate the soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels, 2) to remove the source of the impacted shallow groundwater beneath the site, 3) to remove the primary sources of the impacted deep groundwater beneath the site, and 4) to extract the accessible impacted shallow groundwater.

To remediate the hydrocarbon-impacted soil beneath the site, the soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels was excavated to a maximum depth of approximately 15 feet below ground surface (bgs). To access the petroleum-impacted soil that occurred beneath the former service station building and the former dispenser island, the building, canopy, and dispenser island were demolished prior to conducting the excavation activities.

A total of 3,403.46 tons of soil were excavated and hauled to the Hillsboro Landfill for disposal. Based on the analytical results from the final excavation floor and sidewall confirmation samples, the excavation activities effectively removed all of the soil that contained petroleum concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels, except at three locations. The final floor samples from sample grid cells A3, B3, and C2, at 15 feet bgs, contained benzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or gasoline-range organics concentrations that exceeded the Method A cleanup levels. The excavation was not extended below 15 feet bgs at those three locations to ensure that the semi-confining unit (clayey silt) was not breached. If the excavation had extended through the clayey silt unit, deeper groundwater would have filled the excavation area, and the excavation and backfilling activities would have been difficult to complete.

While excavating to the east of the former dispenser island, three 550-gallon USTs were encountered. The steel tanks were in poor condition, and each tank contained approximately 300 gallons of product that smelled like gasoline. The product from each tank was removed and the tanks were excavated. A total of approximately 1,080 gallons of product, rinse water, and sludge were hauled to the Marine Vacuum Service facility in Seattle, Washington, for disposal. The tanks were transported to the Metro Metals facility for recycling. After removal of the tanks, SLR collected a soil sample from

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (Continued)

concentrations below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels; however, the sample beneath Tank #1 contained a benzene concentration [0.10 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)] that exceeded the Method A cleanup level. Based on the elevated benzene concentration, the excavation beneath Tank #1 was deepened and sampled three times until a final 15-foot-deep sample contained petroleum concentrations that were below the Method A cleanup levels.

During the excavation activities, a total of 20,785 gallons of shallow groundwater was extracted from the excavation near the former dispenser island (the area of greatest petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the shallow groundwater). The extracted water was pumped through a treatment system prior to discharge to the City of Longview stormwater system. System effluent sample analytical results confirmed that the treatment system reduced the contaminant concentrations in the water to below the levels required by the Department of Ecology.

To allow for effective monitoring of the shallow and deep groundwater beneath the site property, Cascade Drilling, Inc., of Woodinville, Washington, installed three shallow groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-12, MW-13, and MW-14) and two deep groundwater monitoring wells (designated DMW-9 and DMW-10) within the backfilled area of soil excavation. These wells replaced monitoring wells that were abandoned prior to the excavation.

In December 2007 and March 2008, SLR conducted groundwater sampling events at the site to evaluate the short-term effects of the primary phase of the remedial action, and to begin monitoring the natural attenuation of the remedial action). During both sampling events, SLR collected groundwater samples from all of the on-site and off-site shallow and deep groundwater monitoring wells. The analytical results from the December 2007 and March 2008 sampling events indicated that the samples from shallow well MW-10 contained benzene and GRO concentrations [up to 16 and 3,100 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), respectively] that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. MW-10 is located approximately 10 feet northeast of the soil excavation area. The samples from all of the other shallow monitoring limits (MRLs) or the Method A cleanup levels. The sampling results from the shallow wells indicated that the groundwater extraction

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (Continued)

activities removed the impacted groundwater within the excavation area and the soil excavation effectively eliminated the source of the shallow groundwater contamination.

The analytical results from the December 2007 and March 2008 sampling events indicated that the samples from deep well DMW-9 contained benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or GRO concentrations (up to 6,100, 1,900, 970, 3,100, and 27,000 μ g/L, respectively) that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The samples from deep wells DMW-4, DMW-5, and DMW-10 contained benzene concentrations (from 6 to 75 μ g/L) that exceeded the Method A cleanup level. Wells DMW-4, DMW-5, DMW-9, and DMW-10 are located within or near the soil excavation area. The samples from deep wells DMW-3, DMW-6, DMW-7, and DMW-8 did not contain petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations above the MRLs. Based on the two groundwater sampling events, it appears that the excavation and shallow groundwater extraction activities had limited short-term affects on the deep groundwater concentrations.

vii

1 INTRODUCTION

In June 26, 2007, the Wakefield Family LLC (Wakefield) entered into the Washington Department of Ecology's (Ecology's) Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) to obtain Ecology's opinions regarding the results of the previous investigation activities and the selected remedial alternative for the former Arco Service Station #0855 site (the "site") in Longview, Washington. The selected remedial alternative was described in a Feasibility Study Report [SLR International Corp (SLR), 2007a)], and consisted of soil excavation, shallow groundwater and free product extraction, and natural attenuation of the remaining contamination with a contingency to potentially implement deeper groundwater extraction. On October 11, 2007, Ecology notified Wakefield that they agreed that the selected alternative was the most feasible option for addressing the contamination at the site (Ecology, 2007).

On September 13, 2007, SLR prepared a work plan for demolition of the site structures that were located over the area of planned soil excavation (SLR, 2007c). After receiving Ecology's approval to conduct the selected remedial alternative, SLR prepared a work plan for soil and groundwater remediation activities (SLR, 2007d) that were consistent with the selected remedial alternative. The remedial action was completed in accordance with the work plans.

During September, November, and December 2007, and March 2008, the primary phase of the remedial action was completed. The work consisted of demolishing the site structures, excavating the petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted soil that occurred at depths to 15 feet below ground surface (bgs), extracting hydrocarbon-impacted shallow groundwater from the open excavation, installing replacement shallow and deep groundwater monitoring wells within the areas of excavation, and conducting two groundwater sampling events. The objectives of this phase of the remedial action were: 1) to remediate the soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels¹, 2) to remove the source of the impacted shallow groundwater beneath the site, 3) to remove the primary sources of the impacted deep groundwater beneath the site, and 4) to extract the accessible impacted shallow groundwater.

¹ Chapter 173-340 WAC, Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation, Method A Cleanup Levels. Amended February 12, 2001.

The secondary phase of the remedial action will consist of long-term groundwater monitoring and possibly active remediation of the deep groundwater zone if the deep groundwater concentrations do not naturally attenuate to below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels within a reasonable period of time. The objective of the remedial action is to reduce the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the soil and groundwater to below the Method A cleanup levels.

This report presents the results of the primary phase of the remedial action. Subsequent groundwater monitoring reports will be prepared to document the natural attenuation of the impacted groundwater.

1-2

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 General Site Information

The site is located near the western end of Longview, Washington, at the western corner of the intersection of Ocean Beach Highway and 46^{th} Avenue. The location of the site is shown on Figure 1. The site address is 4603 Ocean Beach Highway. The site is a 0.3-acre parcel located within the northeastern corner of a 1.07-acre property that is owned by Wakefield Family LLC. The 1.07-acre property includes the site parcel and undeveloped land to the west, northwest, and southwest of the site.

From 1957 to 1977, Atlantic Richfield Corporation used the site as a retail gasoline service station and automobile repair garage. The station operations were discontinued in 1977. The fuel underground storage tanks (USTs) and dispensers were inactive after 1977; however, they were not removed until 1999. From 1977 through 2005, the site was leased to several commercial businesses. The site has been vacant since December 2005. Immediately prior to this remedial action, the structures at the site consisted of the former service station building, and the former station canopy and dispenser island. The pre-remediation site structures are shown on Figure 2.

The site is bounded to the north and northeast by Ocean Beach Highway, the Boon Dox Tavern, and the Boon Dox Market; to the east by Ocean Beach Highway and a Texaco service station; to the southeast by 46th Avenue and Henri's restaurant; to the south by 46th Avenue and an apartment building; and to the southwest, west, and northwest by undeveloped land and private residences (Figure 2). The site slopes gently downward to the western and southwestern parts of the site. Prior to this remedial action, the site surface consisted of asphalt or concrete, except for gravel surfaces above the two former UST basins and a dirt/grass surface within the planter at the eastern corner of the site.

The nearest surface water body is the Cutoff Slough, which is located approximately 750 feet to the east of the site. The Columbia River is located approximately 1.4 miles to the southwest of the site. Marshy undeveloped land is located adjacent to the western and northwestern boundaries of the site.

2.2 Previous Environmental Investigation Results

From July 1999 through August 2006, several environmental investigations and groundwater monitoring events were conducted at the site. In July 1999, 3 Kings Environmental, Inc. (3 Kings) removed all of the USTs and collected soil samples from the extents of the excavations. The fuel dispensers were also removed; however, the underground dispenser lines were capped at both ends and left in place. The USTs consisted of four gasoline tanks located in the western part of the site, and a heating oil tank and a waste oil tank in the southwestern part of the site. The locations of the former tanks are shown on Figure 2. After removal of the tanks, a total of six soil samples were collected from the sidewalls and floor of the gasoline tank excavation. The soil sample analytical results showed that the analyte concentrations in all of the samples were below the method reporting limits (MRLs). Since contamination was not observed in the excavated soil, the soil was used as backfill material in the excavation. A total of five soil samples were collected from the sidewalls and floor of the heating oil tank and waste oil tank excavation. The soil sample analytical results indicated that the composite sample from the northeastern and eastern sidewalls contained a diesel-range organics (DRO) concentration [2,100 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)] that exceeded the current MTCA Method A cleanup level (2,000 mg/kg). The sample collected from the western sidewall of the excavation contained a heavy oil-range organics (HO) concentration (6,200 mg/kg) that exceeded the current Method A cleanup level (2,000 mg/kg). All of the other soil samples contained analyte concentrations below the MRLs. The excavated soil from the heating oil and waste oil tank excavation was hauled off site for disposal. The results of the tank removal activities were presented in 3 King's letter report dated August 3, 1999.

In March 2000, IT Corporation (IT) conducted an environmental assessment that consisted of drilling and sampling three soil borings, installing shallow groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3) in the borings, and collecting groundwater samples from the wells. MW-1 was installed near the former heating oil/waste oil tank basin, MW-2 was installed within the former gasoline tank basin, and MW-3 was installed adjacent to the dispenser island (Figure 2). Soil sample analytical results showed that the four samples collected from borings MW-2 and MW-3, at depths ranging from approximately 2 to 20 feet bgs, contained benzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or gasoline-range organics (GRO) concentrations that exceeded the current MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The groundwater sample analytical results revealed that the samples from wells MW-2 and MW-3 contained benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or GRO concentrations that exceeded the current Method A cleanup The soil and groundwater samples from MW-1 did not contain analyte levels. concentrations above the Method A cleanup levels. The results of the assessment activities were detailed in IT's letter report dated May 12, 2000.

In October 2000, SECOR International, Inc. (SECOR) conducted a subsurface investigation to delineate the lateral extent of the hydrocarbon-impacted groundwater. The investigation consisted of: 1) drilling and sampling four on-site soil borings and installing shallow groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, and MW-7) in the borings; 2) drilling and sampling six soil borings (designated GP-1, GP-2, GP-3, GP-5, GP-6, and GP-7) to the north and east of the site and installing temporary shallow groundwater wellpoints in the borings; and 3) collecting groundwater samples from the new and existing monitoring wells and from the temporary wellpoints. The locations of the monitoring wells and the off-site borings are shown on Figure 2. The soil sample analytical results showed that the samples collected from on-site borings MW-4 and MW-7, at 5 feet bgs, contained GRO, total xylenes, and/or naphthalene concentrations that exceeded the current MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The soil samples from the other on-site borings contained analyte concentrations below the current Method A cleanup levels or the MRLs. The soil samples from all of the off-site borings did not contain analyte concentrations above the MRLs. The groundwater sample analytical results showed that the samples from wells MW-3 and MW-4 contained benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, 1,2-dichloroethane (EDC), naphthalene, and/or GRO concentrations that exceeded the current Method A cleanup levels. The samples from the other monitoring wells did not contain analyte concentrations above the Method A cleanup levels. The groundwater samples from the off-site wellpoints did not contain analyte concentrations above the MRLs. The results of the investigation were detailed in SECOR's report, Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Geoprobe Boring Report, dated June 20, 2001.

In March 2000, July 2000, January 2001, April 2001, July 2001, October 2001, January 2002, and July 2003, SECOR or Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc. (Delta) conducted groundwater monitoring events at site. Each monitoring event consisted of measuring the depths to groundwater and free product, if present, in the monitoring wells, and collecting groundwater samples from the wells. In July 2003, 0.02 feet of free product was present in well MW-3 (near the former dispenser island). Free product was not detected in any of the other wells during the monitoring events. The groundwater sample analytical results indicated that the shallow groundwater in the northeastern and eastern parts of the site (near the dispenser island) consistently contained volatile petroleum hydrocarbon (benzene, ethylbenzene, and GRO) concentrations that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The results of the previous groundwater monitoring events were detailed in several reports by SECOR and Delta that are listed in the References section of this report.

From May 2005 through August 2006, SLR conducted a remedial investigation to delineate the lateral and vertical extents of the hydrocarbon-impacted soil and groundwater, and to assess the potential presence of hazardous substances (e.g., asbestos, lead-based paint) in the site building and canopy materials. The investigation consisted of: 1) drilling and sampling 18 soil borings at the site (designated SSB-1 through

SSB-18); 2) installing a temporary deep groundwater wellpoint in boring SSB-15 and collecting a groundwater sample from the wellpoint; 3) drilling and sampling 4 on-site and off-site soil borings and installing shallow groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-8 through MW-11) in the borings; 4) drilling and sampling 8 on-site and off-site soil borings and installing deep groundwater monitoring wells (designated DMW-1 through DMW-8) in the borings; 5) excavating and sampling two test pits (designated TP-1 and TP-2) to the west of the site; 6) conducting a hazardous materials survey of the site building and canopy; 7) collecting groundwater samples from the shallow monitoring wells in May 2005; 8) collecting groundwater samples from the shallow and deep groundwater samples from the deep groundwater monitoring wells in August 2006. The locations of the soil borings, monitoring wells, and test pits are shown on Figure 2.

The soil sample analytical results showed that petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels occurred in the northeastern, northern, and western parts of the site [near the dispenser island and the former gasoline underground storage tank (UST) basin]. Localized areas of soil containing petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the Method A cleanup levels also occurred in the west-central part of the site, near the former used oil and heating oil USTs. The lateral extents of all of the areas of hydrocarbon-impacted soil have been defined. The estimated areas of soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than Method A cleanup levels, prior to the remedial action, are shown on Figure 3. The impacted soil typically extends to depths of less than 15 feet bgs; however, at localized areas near the dispenser island and the former gasoline UST basin, the impacted soil extends through a clayey silt unit into an underlying semi-confined aquifer. The impacted soil at the former gasoline UST basin extends to a depth of approximately 22 feet, and the impacted soil near the dispenser island extends to a depth of greater than 25 feet. Soil samples could not be collected at depths below 25 feet in borings near the dispenser island due to heaving sand conditions.

From May 2005 through August 2006, gasoline free product was present on the groundwater in shallow monitoring well MW-3 at thicknesses ranging from a film to 0.24 feet. Free product was not present in any of the other monitoring wells. Based on the groundwater sample analytical results, the shallow groundwater beneath the dispenser island area contains petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the MTCA The impacted shallow groundwater extended up to Method A cleanup levels. approximately 40 feet away from the dispenser island in all directions, and the lateral extents of the impacted groundwater were delineated. The deep semi-confined groundwater beneath the dispenser island area contains volatile petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the Method A cleanup levels. The impacted deep groundwater extended up to approximately 85 feet away from the dispenser island in all directions, and the lateral extents of the impacted groundwater were delineated. The groundwater within the saturated deep sand unit (at depths below 21 feet bgs) was under

pressure, and heaving sands prevented the installation of a monitoring well or a temporary wellpoint at depths below 26 feet bgs. The estimated areas of shallow and deep groundwater that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the Method A cleanup levels in 2006 are shown on Figure 4.

The results of the hazardous materials survey showed that approximately 200 square feet of vinyl floor sheeting in the former station building contained asbestos. The results of the investigation were detailed in SLR's *Final Remedial Investigation Report, Former Arco Service Station #0855, 4603 Ocean Beach Highway, Longview, Washington*, dated October 2006.

2.3 Site Geology

The uppermost geology beneath the site area, except for beneath the undeveloped land, consists of approximately 3 to 10 feet of sand or gravel fill. The uppermost geology beneath the undeveloped land, where fill is not present, consists of up to approximately 2 feet of silty topsoil. The fill or topsoil is underlain by a clayey silt unit that ranges from approximately 4.5 to 15.5 feet thick. Laterally discontinuous silty sand lenses up to 2 feet thick are interbedded within the clayey silt unit. The clayey silt unit is typically underlain by a sandy silt unit, where present, that is up to 7 feet thick. A silty sand unit that is up to 2.5 feet thick occurs locally beneath the clayey silt unit or the sandy silt unit, where present. A sand unit that is at least 25.5 feet thick occurs beneath the clayey silt, sandy silt, or silty sand units. The sand unit is present throughout the site area.

Unconfined groundwater is locally present in the fill unit and is present in sandy lenses within the clayey silt unit. Beneath the clayey silt unit, deeper groundwater is present in the silty sand and sand units. The groundwater in the silty sand and sand units appears to be under semi-confined conditions.

2.4 Site Hydrogeology

From 2000 through 2006, the depths to groundwater in the shallow wells ranged from 3.61 to 7.50 feet. The groundwater elevations in the shallow wells have been inconsistent and could not be used to determine a general shallow groundwater flow direction beneath the site area. In August 2006, the depths to groundwater in the deep wells ranged from 4.55 to 7.74 feet. The deep groundwater elevations were inconsistent and could not be used to determine a general deep groundwater flow direction beneath the site area; however, there were flow components to the north and the east.

Due to the variable thickness of the fill unit, the groundwater table occurs in the fill unit (perched on top of the clayey silt unit) or in sandy lenses within the underlying clayey silt unit. The inconsistent shallow groundwater elevations beneath the site area appear to be

due to the variable depths of the top of the clayey silt unit (perching unit) where groundwater is present in the fill and the variable depths of the uppermost laterally discontinuous saturated sandy lenses in the clayey silt unit, where the water table is below the fill unit.

During drilling of the deep borings, the groundwater beneath the clayey silt unit was under pressure. In August 2006, the depths to groundwater in the deep wells were approximately 15 to 18 feet above the tops of the well screens. Since the deep groundwater is under pressure and rises significantly above the well screens, the groundwater beneath the clayey silt unit is under semi-confined or confined conditions. Based on the presence of saturated sandy lenses throughout the confining unit (the clayey silt), there is likely some hydraulic connection between the shallow groundwater and the deep groundwater. The inconsistent deep groundwater elevations may also indicate localized hydraulic connections between the shallow water-bearing zone and the deeper aquifer. Due to the likely hydraulic connections, SLR believes that the groundwater beneath the clayey silt unit (the uppermost aquifer beneath the site area) is under semiconfined conditions.

To assess the vertical hydraulic gradient between the shallow water-bearing zone and the deep semi-confined aquifer, five of the deep wells were located approximately 3 to 5 feet from shallow wells. The five sets of shallow and deep monitoring wells (DMW-1 and MW-3, DMW-2 and MW-2, DMW-4 and MW-5, DMW-5 and MW-11, and DMW-6 and MW-9) are shown on Figure 2. Based on the August 2006 groundwater monitoring data from the wells, the groundwater elevations in the shallow wells were typically 0.49 to 2.79 feet higher than the groundwater elevations in the nearby deep wells. The higher groundwater elevations in the shallow wells indicate a downward vertical gradient from the shallow unconfined water-bearing zone to the deeper semi-confined aquifer.

2-8

3 REMEDIAL ACTION

To reduce the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the soil and groundwater to below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels, the primary phase of the remedial action was conducted in September, November, and December 2007, and March 2008. The work consisted of: 1) demolishing the site structures, 2) excavating the soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the Method A cleanup levels to a maximum depth of approximately 15 feet bgs, 3) extracting and treating petroleumimpacted shallow groundwater that entered the primary soil excavation, 4) installing shallow and deep groundwater monitoring wells in the backfilled areas of excavation, and 5) conducting two quarterly groundwater sampling events. Photographs of the demolition and remediation activities are presented in Appendix A.

3.1 Demolition of Site Structures

To excavate the petroleum-impacted soil that occurred beneath the former service station building and the former dispenser island, Wyser Construction, Inc. (Wyser) of Bothell, Washington, demolished the building, canopy, and former dispenser island at the site. The work was conducted from September 18 through 27, 2008, in accordance with a Demolition Permit that was issued by the Cowlitz County Department of Building and Planning. Prior to demolition of the building, a total of 0.17 tons of asbestos-containing floor tiles were removed from the former station building and hauled to the Allied Waste facility in Seattle, Washington, for disposal as a hazardous waste.

During the demolition activities, all of the steel materials were hauled to Metro Metals facility in Vancouver, Washington, for recycling, and the concrete was transported to the Concrete Recyclers facility in Tumwater, Washington, for recycling. The remaining debris was hauled to the Cowlitz County landfill in Longview, Washington, for disposal as non-hazardous waste.

During the demolition of the former station building, a hydraulic hoist and an oil sump were discovered in the central and western parts of the building. The approximate locations of the hoist and sump are shown on Figure 5. A total of approximately 20 gallons of oil, rinse water, and sludge were pumped from the hoist and hauled to the Marine Vacuum Service facility in Seattle, Washington, for disposal. Wyser excavated the hoist and the sump, removed a minor amount of oil-stained soil from the sump, and

hauled the hoist and sump to Metro Metals for recycling. The oil-stained soil was stockpiled on site and hauled off-site with the excavated soil that was removed during the subsequent soil excavation activities described in Section 3.2. The hoist excavation covered an area of approximately 25 square feet, and extended to a depth of approximately 8 feet bgs. The sump excavation was approximately 10 square feet in area and extended to a depth of approximately 3 feet bgs. SLR collected soil samples from the bottom of the hoist excavation and the sump excavation (designated Hoist-Ex-Flr-8' and Sump-Ex-Flr-3', respectively), and submitted the samples to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (F&B) in Seattle, Washington, for analysis. The samples were analyzed for DRO and HO by Ecology Method NWTPH-Dx (after silica gel cleanup), and for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA Method 8270C SIM. The samples did not contain DRO or HO concentrations above the MRLs. The samples contained toxicity-adjusted total carcinogenic PAH (cPAH) concentrations (up to 0.019 mg/kg) and naphthalene concentrations (up to 0.012 mg/kg) that were below the MRLs or the MTCA Method A cleanup levels (0.1 and 5 mg/kg, respectively). The sample analytical results are presented in Table 1 and a copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix B. The approximate sample locations are shown on Figure 6.

A total of approximately 10 cubic yards of soil was excavated during the removal of the hoist and oil sump. The soil was stockpiled on site and SLR collected three samples of the stockpiled soil (designated Soil Stockpile-1-1, Soil Stockpile-1-2, and Soil Stockpile-1-3) for laboratory analysis. The samples were submitted to F&B for analysis of DRO, HO, and PAHs. The samples did not contain DRO, HO, cPAH, or naphthalene concentrations greater than the MRLs. Based on the sample analytical results, Wyser used the soil to backfill the excavations. A copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix B.

As discussed in Section 3.2, the post-demolition soil excavation in the north-central part of the site extended further to the west than anticipated, and included the former hoist and oil sump areas.

3.2 Excavation of Petroleum-Impacted Soil

3.2.1 Pre-Excavation Activities

Prior to conducting the soil excavation activities, Wakefield obtained an Excavation and Grading Permit from the Cowlitz County Department of Building and Planning. The excavation was conducted in accordance with the conditions of the permit. Since the excavation would likely extend onto Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) property adjacent to the site, Wakefield entered into a Right of Entry Agreement with WSDOT that allowed excavation of soil beneath their property.

Environmental Services Network, Inc., a Washington-licensed well drilling company from Olympia, Washington, abandoned the monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-7, DMW-1, and DMW-2) that were located in the planned areas of excavation. The shallow wells were filled with hydrated bentonite and the deep wells were filled with cement grout under high pressure.

SLR personnel created a grid across the site that was the basis for the locations of the excavation confirmation samples. The anchor point of the grid (the northern corner of the temporary fence that surrounds the site) established the starting point for the X-axis and Y-axis coordinates of the grid. The X-axis coordinates were named using numbers (starting with "1") and the Y-axis coordinates were named using letters (starting with "A"). The grid nodes were surveyed at intervals of 25 feet (each grid cell covered an area of 625 square feet). The locations of the grid cells are shown on Figure 5.

3.2.2 Soil Excavation and Confirmation Soil Sampling

From November 5th through 30th, 2007, Wyser conducted the soil excavation activities under the direction of an SLR geologist. The excavations were focused on the three known contaminant source areas (the former dispenser island, the former gasoline UST basin, and the former used oil and heating oil UST basin), and extended laterally and vertically until all of the final confirmation sidewall samples and floor samples (to a maximum depth of 15 feet bgs) contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The excavations were not extended below 15 feet bgs to ensure that the semi-confining unit (clayey silt) was not breached.

During the soil excavation activities, SLR collected a discrete floor sample from near the center of each excavated grid cell, and at least one discrete sidewall sample from within each partially excavated grid cell. Each sidewall sample was collected from the area closest to the center of the grid cell. The depths of the sidewall samples were based on the depths of the excavations. For excavation areas that extended to depths of less than 10 feet bgs, the sidewall samples were collected at a depth immediately above the high seasonal groundwater table (approximately 3.5 to 4 feet bgs). For excavation areas that extended deeper than 10 feet bgs, two sidewall samples were collected from each excavated grid cell. The samples were collected at a depth immediately above the high seasonal groundwater table and at a depth of approximately 10 feet bgs. All of the confirmation samples were submitted to F&B for analysis of GRO by Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021B. The soil samples collected from near the western corner of the site, where diesel had been detected during the previous investigations, were also analyzed for DRO. The soil samples located near the former used oil and heating oil UST basin were analyzed for DRO, HO, GRO, and BTEX.

A total of 54 confirmation floor and sidewall samples were collected from the excavations. The approximate locations of the samples are shown on Figure 6. When a sidewall sample contained an analyte concentration that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level, the entire length of the sidewall within that grid cell was extended by up to 5 feet and re-sampled. When a floor sample contained an analyte concentration that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level, the impacted area within that grid cell was extended by up to 4 feet and re-sampled. Based on the analytical results from the final confirmation samples, all of the final sidewall samples and 16 of the 19 final floor samples contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below the Method A cleanup levels. The final floor samples from grid cells A3, B3, and C2 (samples A3-FL-15', B3-FL-15', and C2-FL-15', respectively) contained benzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or GRO concentrations that exceeded the Method A cleanup levels. The excavations at cells A3, B3, and C2 were extended to the maximum planned depth (15 feet bgs). The excavation sample analytical results are shown in Table 1, and copies of the laboratory reports are presented in Appendix B.

The final areas of soil excavation are shown on Figure 5. The excavation of the gasoline UST area and the dispenser island area were connected, and this large excavation was approximately 155 feet long, up to approximately 65 feet wide, and approximately 5 to 15 feet deep. This excavation extended further to the south, southeast, and east than anticipated. Due to the extension of the excavation to the southeast, monitoring well MW-6 was destroyed during the excavation activities. The excavation of the former used oil and heating oil UST area was approximately 20 feet long, up to 14 feet wide, and up to 8 feet deep. A total of 3,403.36 tons of soil were excavated, and all of the excavated soil was transported to the Hillsboro Landfill in Hillsboro, Oregon, for disposal as non-hazardous waste.

3.2.3 Removal of USTs

While excavating to the east of the former dispenser island, Wyser encountered three 550-gallon USTs. The approximate locations of the tanks are shown on Figure 5. The steel tanks were in poor condition, and each tank contained up to approximately 300 gallons of product that smelled like gasoline. The product from each tank was removed and the tanks were excavated. A total of approximately 1,080 gallons of product, rinse water, and sludge were hauled to the Marine Vacuum Service facility in Seattle, Washington, for disposal. The tanks were transported to the Metro Metals facility for recycling.

After removal of the tanks, SLR collected a soil sample from beneath each tank location (designated Tank1-FL-8', Tank2-FL-8', and Tank3-FL-8') for laboratory analysis. The samples were submitted to F&B for analysis of GRO, BTEX, DRO, and HO. The samples beneath Tanks #2 and #3 contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below

the MTCA Method A cleanup levels; however, the sample beneath Tank #1 contained a benzene concentration (0.10 mg/kg) that exceeded the Method A cleanup level. Based on the elevated benzene concentration, the excavation beneath Tank #1 was extended to a depth of approximately 12 feet bgs, and the floor of the excavation was re-sampled [sample Tank1-FL(2)-12']. The benzene concentration in the 12-foot-deep sample also exceeded the Method A cleanup level, and the excavation was extended to approximately 15 feet bgs before the final confirmation floor sample [Tank1-FL(4)-15'] contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations that were below the Method A cleanup levels. The tanks were located in grid cell A5, and the initial shallow sidewall sample from A5 (sample A5-SW-4') contained a GRO concentration (36 mg/kg) that exceeded the Method A cleanup level (30 mg/kg). After extending the sidewall by approximately 4 feet, the final confirmation sidewall sample [A5-SW(3)-4'] did not contain petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations above the MRLs. The tank excavation sample analytical results are shown in Table 1, and copies of the laboratory reports are presented in Appendix B.

3.2.4 Groundwater Extraction and Treatment

To remediate the petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted groundwater that entered the open soil excavation near the former dispenser island (the area of greatest petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the shallow groundwater), a suction pump was placed below the water level in the excavation and the water was pumped into a groundwater treatment system. The treated water was discharged to the City of Longview stormwater system under the conditions of a short-term discharge authorization that was issued by Ecology.

The groundwater treatment system initially consisted of two 21,000-gallon tanks in series followed by a canister filled with approximately 3,000 pounds of activated carbon. During the excavation activities, only a limited volume of groundwater collected in the excavation. Free product was not observed on the water that collected in the excavation. Due to the relatively low volume of water and the lack of free product, the second of the two tanks was removed during the second week of the excavation.

The groundwater extraction operations began on November 8, 2007, and SLR personnel collected a sample of the influent to the first tank within a few hours of activating the pump. The sample was submitted to F&B for analysis of BTEX by EPA Method 8021B; for GRO by Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx; for DRO and HO by Ecology Method NWTPH-Dx (after silica gel cleanup); for total lead by EPA Method 200.8; and for PAHs by EPA Method 8270C SIM. The analytical results showed that the benzene, total BTEX, GRO, and total lead concentrations [70, 686, 3,100, and 15.2 micrograms per liter ($\mu g/L$), respectively] in the sample exceeded the discharge limits assigned by Ecology, and that treatment was necessary. A copy of the laboratory report is attached.

After filling the 21,000-gallon tank, SLR personnel temporarily opened the tank effluent valve on November 27th, and forced some water through the carbon-filled canister to collect a treatment system effluent sample. The valve was shut off immediately after collecting the sample. The sample was submitted to F&B for analysis of BTEX, GRO, DRO, HO, total lead, and PAHs. The analytical results showed that none of the analytes were detected at concentrations above the MRLs. A copy of the laboratory report is attached.

After receiving the analytical results, a total of 20,785 gallons of water in the tank were forced through the carbon-filled canister and discharged to a storm sewer line located adjacent to the site on November 28th and 29th. Additional groundwater was not extracted from the excavation. The treatment system was decommissioned and removed from the site on November 30th.

3.2.5 Excavation Backfilling

After completing the excavation and groundwater extraction activities, Wyser backfilled the excavations with clean imported material from the Storedahl Sand & Gravel facility in Longview, Washington. A sand and gravel mixture was used to backfill the excavations up to a depth of approximately 0.5 feet bgs, and crushed rock was used to complete the backfilling near ground surface.

3.3 Installation of Groundwater Monitoring Wells

The secondary phase of the remedial action will consist of monitoring the natural attenuation of the remaining petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the groundwater over time. To allow for effective monitoring of the shallow and deep groundwater beneath the site property, three shallow groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-12, MW-13, and MW-14) and two deep groundwater monitoring wells (designated DMW-9 and DMW-10) were installed at the site on December 3 and 4, 2007. The wells are located near several of the wells that were abandoned in September 2007 (see Figure 7). The wells were drilled and installed by Cascade Drilling, Inc. (Cascade) of Woodinville, Washington, under the direction of an SLR geologist.

Since the shallow monitoring wells were installed within areas of backfilled excavation, soil samples were not collected during the drilling of those borings. During drilling of the boring for deep well DMW-9, soil samples were collected on a continuous basis below the excavation backfill by using a split-spoon sampler. Since DMW-9 was located less than 5 feet from previous deep well DMW-1, the samples from the boring were not submitted for laboratory analysis. Due to heaving sand conditions in the boring and

sampler, soil samples could not be collected from the boring for deep well DMW-10 at depths below the excavation backfill.

Shallow groundwater monitoring wells MW-12, MW-13, and MW-14 were installed to a depth of approximately 13 feet bgs, and were constructed similar to the existing shallow monitoring wells at the site. The 10-foot-long well screens, which straddle the groundwater table, were installed from approximately 3 to 13 feet bgs. Deep groundwater monitoring wells DMW-9 and DMW-10 were installed to depths of approximately 26 and 29 feet bgs, respectively, and were constructed similar to the existing deep monitoring wells at the site. Both of the deep wells include a 5-foot-long screen that was installed at or near the top of the sand unit that occurs immediately below the semi-confining unit (clayey silt unit). Soil boring logs that describe the well construction details are presented in Appendix C.

After installation, Cascade developed each of the new wells by using surging and bailing methods. The development water is currently stored on site in properly labeled 55-gallon drums, pending off-site disposal at a licensed facility. Gibbs and Olson, Inc., of Longview, Washington, surveyed the top of casing elevation of each of the new wells relative to a NAVD 88 datum.

3.4 Conduct Groundwater Sampling Events

In December 2007 and March 2008, SLR conducted groundwater sampling events at the site to evaluate the short-term effects of the primary phase of the remedial action, and to begin monitoring the natural attenuation of the remaining petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted groundwater. During both sampling events, SLR collected groundwater samples from all of the shallow and deep groundwater monitoring wells (MW-5, MW-8, MW-9, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, DMW-3, DMW-4, DMW-5, DMW-6, DMW-7, DMW-8, DMW-9 and DMW-10). Prior to sampling, the depths to groundwater were measured in all of the wells by using an electronic water level probe.

The depths to groundwater were used to calculate the volume of standing water in each well casing (pore volume). Before sample collection, at least three pore volumes were removed from each well by using a disposable PVC bailer. Field parameters of pH, specific conductance, and temperature were measured following the removal of each pore volume. To evaluate the natural attenuation of the petroleum hydrocarbons, dissolved oxygen (DO), oxidation-reduction (redox) potential, and dissolved ferrous iron were also measured after the removal of each pore volume. A groundwater sample was collected following the stabilization of the pH, specific conductance, and temperature measurements to less than a 10 percent difference between pore volumes. A new disposable bailer was used to collect the sample set at each well. Each sample was submitted to F&B for analysis. All of the samples were analyzed for BTEX, GRO, and

DRO. To evaluate the natural attenuation of the petroleum hydrocarbons, the samples were also analyzed for dissolved manganese by EPA Method 200.8, alkalinity by Standard Method SM 2320, dissolved methane by EPA Method RSK 175 Modified, sulfate by EPA Method 375.2, and nitrate by EPA Method 353.2.

The purge water from both sampling events is temporarily stored on site in properly labeled 55-gallon drums, pending off-site disposal at a licensed facility.

3.4.1 Groundwater Monitoring Results

On December 11, 2007, the depths to groundwater in the shallow wells ranged from 1.10 to 4.64 feet and the depths to groundwater in the deep wells ranged from 4.60 to 6.68 feet. Free product was not observed in any of the wells. The depth to groundwater measurements were converted to groundwater elevations by using the results of the previous well elevation surveys. The groundwater elevations in the shallow wells ranged from 2.90 to 7.93 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. The groundwater elevations in the deep wells ranged from 2.06 to 3.47 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. The groundwater elevations in the shallow wells and the deep wells were inconsistent and could not be used to determine general shallow or deep groundwater flow directions beneath the site area. The groundwater monitoring data from the December 2007 sampling event, as well as from the previous groundwater sampling events, are presented in Table 2. The groundwater elevations in the shallow and deep wells in December 2007 are shown on Figures 8 and 9, respectively.

On March 11, 2008, the depths to groundwater in the shallow wells ranged from 1.53 to 6.02 feet and the depths to groundwater in the deep wells ranged from 5.68 to 7.15 feet. Free product was not observed in any of the wells. The groundwater elevations in the shallow wells ranged from 2.94 to 7.50 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. The groundwater elevations in the deep wells ranged from 0.98 to 2.03 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. The groundwater elevations in the shallow wells and the deep wells were inconsistent and could not be used to determine general shallow or deep groundwater flow directions beneath the site area. The groundwater monitoring data from the March 2008 sampling event, as well as from the previous groundwater sampling events, are presented in Table 2. The groundwater elevations in the shallow and deep wells in March 2008 are shown on Figures 10 and 11, respectively.

3.4.2 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results

3.4.2.1 Shallow Groundwater Wells

The analytical results from the December 2007 and March 2008 sampling events indicated that the samples from shallow well MW-10 contained benzene and GRO

concentrations [up to 16 and 3,100 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), respectively] that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels (5 and 800 μ g/L). The samples from MW-10 also contained DRO concentrations that exceeded the Method A cleanup level; however, the laboratory reported that the patterns of the chromatogram peaks were not indicative of diesel. The reported DRO concentrations were likely due to overlap from the gasoline range. MW-10 is located approximately 10 feet northeast of the soil excavation area (Figure 5). The samples from all of the other shallow monitoring wells contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations that were below the MRLs or the Method A cleanup levels. The shallow groundwater sample analytical results (petroleum hydrocarbons only) from the December 2007 and March 2008 events, as well as from the previous sampling events, are presented in Table 3 and are shown on Figures 8 and 10. Copies of the laboratory analytical reports are presented in Appendix B.

3.4.2.2 Deep Groundwater Wells

The analytical results from the December 2007 and March 2008 sampling events indicated that the samples from deep well DMW-9 contained benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or GRO concentrations (up to 6,100, 1,900, 970, 3,100, and 27,000 μ g/L, respectively) that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The December 2007 sample from DMW-9 also contained a DRO concentration that exceeded the Method A cleanup level; however, the laboratory reported that the pattern of the chromatogram peaks was not indicative of diesel. The reported DRO concentration was likely due to overlap from the gasoline range. The samples from deep wells DMW-4, DMW-5, and DMW-10 contained benzene concentrations (from 6 to 75 μ g/L) that exceeded the Method A cleanup level. The samples from deep wells DMW-3, DMW-6, DMW-7, and DMW-8 did not contain petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations above the MRLs. The deep groundwater sample analytical results (petroleum hydrocarbons only) from the December 2007 and March 2008 events, as well as from the previous sampling events, are presented in Table 3 and are shown on Figures 9 and 11. Copies of the laboratory analytical reports are presented in Appendix B.

3.4.2.3 Natural Attenuation Parameters

The groundwater sample analytical results and field measurements for the natural attenuation parameters are presented in Table 4, and copies of the laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix B. Based on higher dissolved methane and alkalinity concentrations in the areas of shallow and deep groundwater contamination, it appears that the impacted groundwater occurs in reducing (little or no oxygen) environments and that there is more biological activity where petroleum hydrocarbons are present. After two more quarterly groundwater sampling events, the natural attenuation results, as well as the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations, will be used to model the natural

attenuation of the remaining shallow groundwater contamination and the deep groundwater contamination.

4 CONCLUSIONS

During September, November, and December 2007, and March 2008, the primary phase of a remedial action was completed at the former Arco Service Station #0855 in Longview, Washington. The objectives of this phase of the remedial action were: 1) to remediate the soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels, 2) to remove the source of the impacted shallow groundwater beneath the site, 3) to remove the primary sources of the impacted deep groundwater beneath the site, and 4) to extract the accessible impacted shallow groundwater.

To remediate the hydrocarbon-impacted soil beneath the site, the soil that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels was excavated to a maximum depth of approximately 15 feet bgs. A total of 3,403.46 tons of soil were excavated and hauled to the Hillsboro Landfill for disposal. Based on the analytical results from the final excavation floor and sidewall confirmation samples, the excavation activities effectively removed all of the soil that contained petroleum concentrations greater than the Method A cleanup levels, except at three locations. The final floor samples from grid cells A3, B3, and C2, at 15 feet bgs, contained benzene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or GRO concentrations that exceeded the Method A cleanup levels. The excavation was not extended below 15 feet bgs at those three locations to ensure that the semi-confining unit (clayey silt) was not breached. If the excavation had extended through the clayey silt unit, deeper groundwater would have filled the excavation area, and the excavation and backfilling activities would have been difficult to complete.

During the excavation activities, a total of 20,785 gallons of groundwater was extracted from the excavation near the former dispenser island (the area of greatest shallow groundwater concentrations). The volume of groundwater that collected in the excavation was less than anticipated, which indicated the restricted lateral flow within the clayey silt unit and demonstrated the lack of upward deep groundwater migration through the clayey silt unit. The extracted water was pumped through a treatment system prior to discharge to the City of Longview stormwater system.

After completion of the excavation activities, groundwater sampling events were conducted in December 2007 and March 2008 to evaluate the short-term effects of the primary phase of the remedial action, and to begin monitoring the natural attenuation of

the remaining petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted groundwater. The analytical results from the December 2007 and March 2008 sampling events indicated that the samples from shallow well MW-10 contained benzene and GRO concentrations (up to 16 and 3,100 μ g/L, respectively) that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. MW-10 is located approximately 10 feet northeast of the soil excavation area. The samples from all of the other shallow monitoring wells contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations that were below the MRLs or the Method A cleanup levels. The sampling results from the shallow wells indicated that the groundwater extraction activities removed the impacted groundwater within the excavation area and the soil excavation effectively eliminated the source of the shallow groundwater contamination; however, outside of the excavation area, the remediation activities had limited short-term effects on the shallow groundwater concentrations. The limited influence on the shallow groundwater outside of the excavation area is likely due to low groundwater flow rates within the laterally discontinuous sandy stringers of the clayey silt unit.

The analytical results from the December 2007 and March 2008 sampling events indicated that the samples from deep well DMW-9 contained benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or GRO concentrations (up to 6,100, 1,900, 970, 3,100, and 27,000 μ g/L, respectively) that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The samples from deep wells DMW-4, DMW-5, and DMW-10 contained benzene concentrations (from 6 to 75 μ g/L) that exceeded the Method A cleanup level. Wells DMW-4, DMW-5, DMW-9, and DMW-10 are located within or near the soil excavation area. The samples from deep wells DMW-3, DMW-6, DMW-7, and DMW-8 did not contain petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations above the MRLs. Based on the two groundwater sampling events, it appears that the excavation and shallow groundwater concentrations. The limited influence on the deep groundwater beneath the excavation area is likely due to the restricted downward groundwater flow through the clayey silt unit above the aquifer.

The secondary phase of the remedial action will consist of long-term groundwater monitoring and possibly active remediation of the deep groundwater zone if the deep groundwater concentrations do not naturally attenuate to below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels within a reasonable period of time. The natural attenuation data from the December 2007 and March 2008 groundwater sampling events indicated that the shallow and deep groundwater contamination occur in reducing environments and that there is more biological activity where petroleum hydrocarbons are present.

LIMITATIONS

The services described in this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, nor the use of segregated portions of this report.

REFERENCES

- 3 Kings Environmental, Inc. 1999. Letter to Tona Wakefield. August 3.
- Delta Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2003. Groundwater Monitoring Report. July 15.
- IT Corporation. 2000. Letter to Mr. Mike Whelan, Arco Products Company. May 12.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2000a. Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation and Geoprobe Boring Report, Former Arco Service Station No. 0855. June 20.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2000b. ARCO Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report 3rd Quarter 2000. October 16, 2001.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2001a. ARCO Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report 4th Quarter 2000. January 23.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2001b. ARCO Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report 1st Quarter 2001. March 6.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2001c. ARCO Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report 2nd Quarter 2001. May 11.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2001d. ARCO Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report 3rd Quarter 2001. September 19.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2001e. ARCO Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report 4th Quarter 2001. December 31.
- SECOR International, Inc. 2002. ARCO Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report 1st Quarter 2002. February 13.

- SLR International Corp. 2006. Final Remedial Investigation Report, Former Arco Service Station #0855, 4603 Ocean Beach Highway, Longview, Washington. October.
- SLR International Corp. 2007a. Feasibility Study Report, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. February 22.
- SLR International Corp. 2007b. Voluntary Cleanup Program Application for the Former Arco Service Station #0855, 4603 Ocean Beach Highway, Longview, Washington. June 26.
- Washington Department of Ecology. 2001. Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation, Chapter 173-340 WAC. Publication No. 94-06. Amended February 12.

Washington Department of Ecology. 2007. Letter to Wakefield Family LLC. October 11.



				Ĺ		IIIIAMII					
Sample Name	Date Collected	Approximate Sample Depth (feet)	Benzene ^ª (mg/kg)	Toluene ^a (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene ^a (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes ^a (mg/kg)	GRO ^b (mg/kg)	DRO ^c (mg/kg)	HO ^c (mg/kg)	Naphthalene ^d (mg/kg)	Toxicity-Adjusted Total cPAHs ^d (mg/kg)
MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels ^e	nup Levels ^e		0.03	7	9	6	30	2000	2000	5	0.1
Sidewall Samples											•
A2-SW-4' A3-SW-3.5'	11/06/07 11/06/07	4 3.5	<0.02 <0.02	<0.02 <0.02	0.04 <0.02	0.12 <0.06	18	A N N	A N N A N	NA	NA
B2-SW-4' ^f	11/06/07	4	<0.02	<0.02	0.06	0.18	15	NA	NA	NA	NA
A3-SW-10'	11/07/07	10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.05	12	AN .	NA	NA	NA
A4-SW-4'	11/07/07	4	0.05	0.27	1.60	1.60	180	AN	AN	AN	AN
A2-SW(2)-4'	11/08/07	4 0	40.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.0240.02<!--</td--><td><0.02</td><td><0.02</td><td><0.06 <0.06</td><td>70 70</td><td>A N</td><td>AN NA</td><td>AN MA</td><td>NA NA</td>	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06 <0.06	70 70	A N	AN NA	AN MA	NA NA
A4-SW-10'	11/08/07	10	0.58	0.17	15	91	760	AN	AN	NA	AN
B2-SW-10'	11/09/07	10	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	7.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
A5-SW-4'	11/12/07	4	<0.02	0.13	0.13	0.45	36	<50	<250	NA	NA
A4-SW(2)-4' ^f	11/13/07	4	<0.02	0.05	0.06	0.12	14	NA	NA	NA	NA
A4-SW(2)-10'	11/13/07	10	0.07	<0.02	0.07	<0.06	44	AN	AN	NA	NA
B1-SW-4'	11/13/07	4	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	NA	NA	AN	NA
B2-SW(2)-10'	11/13/07	. 10	<0.02	<0.02	0.06	0.06	16	AN N	NA	AN	AN S
C1-SW-4'	11/13/07	4 ;	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	< <u>~</u> 2.0	AN	AN	AN	AN
C1-SW-10	10/21/11	01	20.02	<0.02 <0.02	20.02	0.U6	0.2.0	NA A	AN N	A N	AN AN
C3_SWI_4	70/01/11	4 4	<0.02 <0.02	<0.02 <0.02	<0.02 <0.02	0.00	25.U	NA NA		A N	AN
C3-SW-10'	11/14/07	10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
D1-SW-10'	11/14/07	10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	<50	NA	NA	NA
D2-SW-4'	11/14/07	4	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	<50	AN	NA	NA
D2-SW-10'	11/14/07	10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	<50	AN	AA	NA
D3-SW-4'	11/14/07	4	0.020.02	40.02	0.02	<0.06 20.06	0 0 V V	250	<250	AN	AN N
	10/51/11	4 ~	20.02	20.02	20.02	00.0v	0.2 0				
E1-3W-4 E2-SW-4	11/14/07	1 4	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	<50	AN	AZ	AN N
A4-SW(3)-10'	11/15/07	10	0.24	<0.02	0.30	<0.06	22	NA	NA	NA	NA
A5-SW(2)-4'	11/15/07	4	<0.02	0.15	0.26	1.00	39	AN	AN	AN	AN
C4-SW-4'	11/15/07	4	<0.02	0.05	<0.02	0.13	14	AN	AN	NA	NA
C4-SW-10'	11/15/07	10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	AN	AN	NA	AN
A5-SW-10'	11/16/07	10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	6.0	AN	AN	NA	NA
B5-SW-4'	11/16/07	4	<0.02	0.06	<0.02	0.32	17	AN	AN	NA	AN
B6-SW-4'	11/19/07	4	<0.02	<0.02	0.08	0.39	8.0 0.0	AN.	AN	AN	AN
C5-SW-4'	11/19/07	4	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	6.0	AN	AN	AN	AN
C6-SW-4'	11/19/07	4	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	AN	AN	AN	AN
A4-SW(4)-10'	11/20/07	10	1.10	<0.02	2.60	1.80	36	AN	AN	NA	NA
A5-SW(3)-4'	11/20/07	4,	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06 60.06	<2.0	AN S	AN N	AN	AN
A4-SW(5)-10'	11/26/07	10	<0.02	<0.UZ	<0.02	<0U>	l cl	INA	NA	NA	INA

Table 1 Excavation Sample Analytical Results Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview, Washington

T:11 PROJECTS\001.0173.00007 Longview Remediation\Remediation Report\Excavation Sample Results

Page 1 of 2

Floor Samples											
Hoist-Ex-Flr-8'	09/25/07	ω	NA	NA	NA	MA	AN	<50	<250	<0.01	<0.01
Sump-Ex-Flr-3'	09/25/07	ო	NA	NA	AN	AN	NA	<50	<250	0.012	0.019
A3-FL-15'	11/8/2007	15	(117 3.0 2.1	<0.02		9.7	And the second se	NA	AN	NA	NA
A4-FL-15'	11/8/2007	15	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	5.2	25	AN	AN	AN	NA
B3-FL-15'	11/8/2007	15	3.9	<0.02	10	21	110	٩N	ΑN	AN	AZ
B4-FL-15'	11/8/2007	15	<0.02	<0.02	0.17	7.5	26	AN	AN	AN	AZ
Tank1-FL-8'	11/12/07	8	0.10	<0.02	0.04	<0.06	8.0	<50	<250	AN	NA
Tank2-FL-8	11/12/07	8	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	4.0	<50	<250	AN	AN
Tank3-FL-8'	11/12/07	8	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	<50	<250	AN	AN
C2-FL-15'	11/13/07	15	<0.02	0.07	1.40	0.31	37	AN	AN	NA	NA
D2-FL-15'	11/13/07	15	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	6.0	<50	AN	NA	NA
C3-FL-11'	11/14/07		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	12	AN	AN	NA	NA
D4-FL-8'	11/14/07	8	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	<50	<250	NA	NA
C4-FL-11'	11/15/07		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	5.0	AN	AN	AN	NA
D1-FL-11'	11/15/07		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	8.0	<50	NA	AN	NA
E1-FL-5'	11/15/07	ъ С	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	<50	AN	NA	NA
E2-FL-6'	11/15/07	9	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	6.0	<50	AN	AN	AN
Tank1-FL(2)-12'	11/16/07	12	0.06	0.15	0.06	<0.06	29	AN	AN	AN	AN
B5-FL-8'	11/16/07	8	<0.02	0.05	<0.02	. 90.0>	10	AN	NA	NA	AN
B6-FL-7'	11/19/07	7	<0.02	0.07	0.09	0.30	16	AN	NA	AN	AN
C5-FL-7'	11/19/07	7	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2.0	NA	"NA	NA	NA
C6-FL-7	11/19/07	2	<0.02	0.17	0.06	0.11	16	AN	AN	NA	NA
Tank1-FL(3)-14'	11/20/07	14	0.06	0.18	<0.02	<0.06	15	AN	NA	AN	NA
Tank1-FL(4)-15'	11/20/07	15	<0.02	0.04	<0.02	<0.06	4.0	NA	NA	NA	NA
NOTES:											
mg/kg = micrograms per kilogram (ppb).	her kilogram (p	.(dq									
Values in bold exceed the soil cleanup levels.	ed the soil clear	nup levels.									
NA = Not analyzed.											
Sample names in <i>italics</i> represent sample locations that were subsequently excavated based on petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the Method A cleanup levels.	vlics represent s	ample location	ons that were su	ubsequently ex	cavated based o	in petroleum hy	drocarbon cond	centrations (greater than t	he Method A cle	anup levels.
Sample A2-SW-4' was subsequently excavated based on preliminary	as subsequently	v excavated t	based on prelim	inary petroleu	petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations that exceeded the Method A cleanup levels. However, the fina	oncentrations th	hat exceeded th	ie Method A	V cleanup leve	els. However, th	te final
concentrations in the sample were below the cleanup levels	sample were b	elow the cles	anup levels.								
^a Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by EPA Method 80	sthylbenzene, at	nd total xylen	es by EPA Meti	hod 8021B.							
^b Gasoline-range organics (GRO) by Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx	anics (GRO) by	Ecology Met	hod NWTPH-G	×							
^c Diesel-range organics (DRO) and heavy-oil-range organics (HO) by E	cs (DRO) and h	eavy-oil-rang	te organics (HO		cology Method NWTPH-Dx (after silica gel cleanup)	Dx (after silica (gel cleanup)				
⁴ Naphthalene and polycocic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA Method 8270C SIM. Total carcinogenic PAH (cPAH) concentration adjusted using toxicity	olvevelic aromati	ic hvdrocarbo	The second s	EPA Method 8	270C SIM. Total	carcinogenic P	AH (cPAH) cor	Icentration 6	adiusted using	a toxicity	

"Naphthalene and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA Method 8270C SIM. Total carcinogenic PAH (cPAH) concentration adjusted using toxicity equivalency methodology in WAC 173-340-708(8). "Chapter 173-340 WAC, Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation, Method A Cleanup Levels. Amended February 12, 2001.

¹Due to a deeper sidewall sample that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels, the sample location was subsequently excavated.

Table 2 Groundwater Monitoring Data Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview Washington

Well	Top of Casing	Date Measured	Depth to	Free Product	Groundwater
Number	Elevation ^a (feet)		Groundwater ^b (feet)	Thickness (feet)	Elevation (feet)
	nitoring Wells	_			
MW-1	8.34	03/27/00	4.36	NP	3.98
		05/23/00	5.20	NP	3.14
		07/20/00	5.55	NP	2.79
		10/18/00	5.41	NP	2.93
		01/18/01	4.81	NP	3.53
		04/18/01	4.58	NP	3.76
		07/17/01	5.54	NP	2.80
		10/18/01	5.26	NP	3.08
		01/16/02	4.45	NP	3.89
		07/09/03	5.80	NP	2.54
	8.25°	05/25/05	4.12	NP	4.13
		12/07/05	3.77	NP	4.48
		08/16/06	6.58	NP	1.67
				n September 2007.	
MW-2	8.76	03/27/00	3.61	NP	5.15
		05/23/00	4.64	NP	4.12
		07/20/00	5.06	· NP	3.70
		10/18/00	5.19	NP	3.57
		01/18/00	3.96	NP	4.80
		04/18/01	3.83	NP	4.93
		07/17/01	5.08	NP	3.68
		10/18/01	4.83	NP	3.93
		01/16/02	3.71	NP	
		07/09/03	5.36		5.05
	8.89 ^c	05/25/05		NP	3.40
	8.89		4.15	NP	4.74
		12/07/05	4.09	NP	4.80
		08/16/06	5.96	NP	2.93
MW-3	8.78	03/27/00	5.61	n September 2007. NP	2.17
101 00-5	0.70	05/23/00	6.46		3.17
				NP	2.32
		07/20/00	7.05	NP	1.73
		10/18/00	6.84	NP	1.94
		01/18/01	6.37	NP	2.41
		04/18/01	5.46	NP	3.32
		07/17/01	6.93	NP	1.85
		10/18/01	6.47	NP	2.31
		01/16/01	4.83	NP	3.95
	0.000	07/09/03	6.72	0.02	2.08*
	8.58 ^c	05/25/05	-4.65	Film	3.93
		12/07/05	4.45	0.01	4.14*
		08/16/06	6.91	0.24	1.86*
				n September 2007.	
MW-4	8.78	11/15/00	6.88	NP	1.90
MW-4		01/18/01	6.78	NP	2.00
		04/18/01	6.90	NP	1.88
		07/17/01	7.50	NP	1.28
		10/18/01	6.92	NP	1.86
		01/16/02	6.15	NP	2.63
		07/09/03	7.04	NP	1.74
	8.69 ^c	05/25/05	6.24	NP	2.45
		12/07/05	5.70	NP	2.99
		08/16/06	6.84	NP	1.85
			Well abandoned i	n Sentember 2007	1.00

.

Table 2Groundwater Monitoring DataFormer Arco Service Station #0855Longview Washington

	Top of Casing		Depth to	Euro Duoduot	Coursedwater
Well Number	Elevation ^a (feet)	Date Measured	Groundwater ^b (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
		N	Groundwater (leet)	Timekiless (leet)	Elevation (leet)
	nitoring Wells (continue		6.54) ID	0.04
MW-5	8.78	11/15/00	6.54	NP	2.24
		01/18/01	6.07	NP	2.71
		04/18/01	5.46	NP	3.32
		07/17/01	6.79	NP	1.99
		10/18/01	6.50	NP	2.28
		01/16/02	5.49	NP	3.29
		07/09/03	6.86	NP	1.92
	8.67 ^c	05/25/05	5.64	NP	3.03
		12/07/05	5.53	NP	3.14
		08/16/06	6.28	NP	2.39
		12/11/07	4.64	NP	4.03
		03/11/08	4.90	NP	3.77
MW-6	8.21	11/15/00	6.15	NP	2.06
		01/18/01	5.85	NP	2.36
		04/18/01	5.70	NP	2.51
		07/17/01	6.02	NP	2.19
		10/18/01	6.03	NP	2.18
		01/16/02	5.80	NP	2.41
	0.115	07/09/03	6.16	NP	2.05
	8.11 ^c	05/25/05	4.00	NP	4.11
		12/07/05	5.70	NP	2.41
		08/16/06	6.40	NP	1.71
	0.45	11/15/00		November 2007.	1.02
MW-7	8.45	11/15/00	6.52	NP	1.93 2.21
		01/18/01	6.24	NP	
		04/18/01	5.98	NP	2.47
		07/17/01	6.44 6.39	NP	2.01 2.06
		10/18/01		NP	2.08
		01/16/02	6.31 7.00	NP NP	1.45
	8.26 ^c	07/09/03 05/25/05	5.61	NP	2.65
	8.20	12/07/05	6.36 ^d	NP	1.90
			6.40	NP	1.90
		08/16/06		n September 2007.	1.00
MW-8	6.45	05/25/05	4.50	NP	1.95
101 00 - 00	0.45	12/07/05	3.69	NP	2.76
		08/16/06	4.67	NP	1.78
		12/11/07	3.55	NP	2.90
		03/11/08	3.51	NP	2.94
MW-9	9.43	05/25/05	4.66	NP	4.77
111 11 - 7	2.15	12/07/05	4.59	NP	4.84
		08/16/06	5.23	NP	4.20
		12/11/07	4.52	NP	4.91
		03/11/08	4.65	NP	4.78
MW-10	9.52	05/25/05	10.30	NP	-0.78
	2.0-	12/07/05	5.90	NP	3.62
		08/16/06	7.18	NP	2.34
		12/11/07	4.22	NP	5.30
		03/11/08	6.02	NP	3.50
MW-11	8.16	12/07/05	3.87	NP	4.29
	0.10	08/16/06	6.10	NP	2.06
		12/11/07	3.51	NP	4.65
		03/11/08	4.86	NP	3.30
Table 2 Groundwater Monitoring Data Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview Washington

Well Number	Top of Casing Elevation ^a (feet)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater ^b (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Shallow Mon	itoring Wells (continue	:d)	I		_
MW-12	8.21	12/11/07	2.69	NP	5.52
		03/11/08	4.25	NP	3.96
MW-13	9.03	12/11/07	1.10	NP	7.93
		03/11/08	1.53	NP	7.50
MW-14	8.39	12/11/07	1.50	NP	6.89
		03/11/08	3.85	NP	4.54
Deep Monito	ring Wells				
DMW-1	8.55	12/07/05	6.73	NP	1.82
		08/16/06	6.28	NP	2.27
			Well abandoned in		
DMW-2	8.29	12/07/05	6.10	NP	2.19
		08/16/06	6.71	NP	1.58
			Well abandoned in	September 2007.	•
DMW-3	6.66	12/07/05	12.15 ^d	NP	-5.49
		08/16/06	4.55	NP	2.11
		12/11/07	4.60	NP	2.06
		03/11/08	5.68	NP	0.98
DMW-4	8.55	12/07/05	6.30	NP	2.25
		08/16/06	7.12	NP	1.43
		12/11/07	6.08	NP	2.47
		03/11/08	6.54	NP	2.01
DMW-5	8.14	12/07/05	5.88	NP	2.26
		08/16/06	6.57	NP	1.57
		12/11/07	5.75	NP	2.39
1		03/11/08	6.14	NP	2.00
DMW-6	9.15	08/16/06	7.74	NP	1.41
		12/11/07	6.68	NP	2.47
		03/11/08	7.15	NP	2.00
DMW-7	8.12	08/16/06	6.68	NP	1.44
		12/11/07	5.68	NP	2.44
		03/11/08	6.11	NP	2.01
DMW-8	9.09	08/16/06	7.65	NP	1.44
		12/11/07	6.60	NP	2.49
		03/11/08	7.06	NP	2.03
DMW-9	8.86	12/11/07	5.39	NP	3.47
		03/11/08	6.84	NP	2.02
DMW-10	8.38	12/11/07	4.91	NP	3.47
		03/11/08	6.35	NP	2.03

NOTES:

NP = Free prroduct was not present.

^a Top of well casing elevations were surveyed relative to NAVD 88 datum.

^b Measurements in feet below top of well casing.

^c Top of casing (TOC) elevation was re-surveyed in May 2005.

Water in well was under pressure and rising when the cap was removed. The water level was recorded after the well cap was off for over 2 hours.

* Groundwater elevation corrected for product thickness by using the equation: Groundwater elevation = TOC elevation - depth to groundwater + (product thickness x 0.80).

Table 3

Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Lead Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview, Washington

			Ē	1			quua	d and b		, un of	boar	p	9, 1, 1, T	
Well Number	Sample Date	Deuzene (µg/L)	1 Oluene (µg/L)	Eunynoenzeue (µg/L)	1 υται Ayrenes (µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	EUC (µg/L)	MIDE (µg/L)	(µg/L)	υκΟ (μg/L)	пО (µg/L)	1 0121 LE20 (µg/L)	1 01al Lead DISSOIVED Lead (μg/L) (μg/L)
MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels	ıp Levels ^f	s	1,000	700	1,000	160	0	5	20	800	500	500	15	15
Shallow Wells														
I-WM	03/27/00	QN	QN	Q	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	QN	Q	QN	NA	NA
	05/23/00	Q	QZ	QN	QN	NA	AN AN	NA	AN	Q	NA	NA	ΝA	NA
	07/20/00	Q	QN	QN	Q	NA	NA	NA	NA	QN	ΝA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/00	Q	Q	1.61	Ð	NA	NA	NA	νA	404	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/18/01	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q	Ð	QN	95.6	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/18/01	QN	QN	QN	Q	4.30	ND	QN	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/11/0	QN	2.63	1.46	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	386	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/01	QN	QN	ND	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	Q	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/16/02	ΟN	ŊŊ	QN	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	104	NA	NA	NA	NA
-	07/09/03	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	NA	<0.01	<1.0	<1.0	<50	<250	<500	1.70	<1.0
	05/25/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	<100	<50	<250	NA	NA
	11/30/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0				NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
						Wel		·=	oer 2007.					
MW-2	03/27/00	6.89	49.5	599	2,490	NA	NA		NA	17,100	Ð	Q	NA	NA
	05/23/00	26.2	16.2	614	1,770	NA	NA		AN	13,200	NA	NA	NA	NA
	07/20/00	11.9	11.8	304	330	NA	NA		NA	7,220	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/00	3.67	1.23	13.9	7.55	NA	NA		NA	743	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/18/00	Ð	ŊŊ	41.1	5.62	QN	ND	Q	QN	691	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/18/01	Ŋ	Q	8.73	QN	QN	QN		QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	07/17/01	QN	1.26	14	Q	NA	NA		NA	430	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/01	2.11	QN	3.64	Q	NA	NA	NA	NA	304	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/16/02	1.16	0.81	37.1	6.71	NA	NA	NA	AN	370	NA	NA	NA	NA
	07/09/03	0.86	<0.50	6.43	1.28	NA	<0.01	<1.0	<5.0	131	<250	<500	15.9	<1.0
	05/30/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	∕2.0	NA	<100	52	<250	NA	NA
	12/01/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0			.	AN	120	<>0	NA	NA	NA
						Well		E	ber 2007.					
MW-3	03/07/00	7,520	12,900	2,780	14,500	NA 	NA		NA	93,700	QN ;	23	NA	NA
	05/23/00	4,710	8,330	2,280	11,200	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	115 000	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/00	11 900	133,000	4 890	26 700	AN	AN AN		AN	179 000	ΦN	NA	AN	AN
	01/18/01	0.380	00011	UV04	20.230	607	E CZ		GZ	121.000	NA	NA	NA N	AN
	04/18/01	7.700	15,300	3,430	16,990	405	Ð	101	ę	NA	ŇA	NA	NA	NA
	02/17/01	10,100	21,400	4,120	20,900	NA	NA	8	AN	940,000	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/01	7,200	19,700	3,340	17,300	NA	NA		NA	139,000	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/16/02	13,600	26,600	3,920	20,800	NA	NA		NA	177,000	NA	NA	NA	NA
	07/09/03	11,800	20,100	4,560	21,200	NA	<0.01	107	<20	124,000	3,750	623	28.5	7.98
	05/25/05					Not sa	mpled due to	Not sampled due to presence of free product	free product.					
	11/28/05					Not sai	mpled due to	Not sampled due to presence of free product	free product.					
						M	ell abandone	Well abandoned in September 2007	ter 2007.					

T:\1 PROJECTS\001.0173.00007 Longview Remediation\Remediation Report\Table 3

Page 1 of 4

Table 3

Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Lead Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview, Washington

		Benzene ^a	Toluene ^a	Ethylbenzene ^a	Total Xylenes ^a	Napthalene ^b	EDB ^b	EDC ^b	MTBE ^b	GRO [¢]	DRO ^d	нO ^d	Total Lead ^e	Total Lead ^e Dissolved Lead ^e
Well Number	Sample Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels'	Ip Levels ¹	S	1,000	700	1,000	160	0	5	20	800	500	500	15	15
Shallow Wells (continued)	_													
MW-4	11/15/00	1,310	53.6	2,430	7,250	NA	NA	NA	NA	45,500	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/18/01	1,130	QN	2,030	2,764	331	QN	QN	Q	29,400	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/18/01	1,280	QN	1,700	2,591	289	QN	QN	Q	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	02/17/01	1,610	35	2,870	1,870	NA	NA	NA	NA	34,900	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/01	1,040	Q	2,300	1,320	NA	NA	NA	NA	33,000	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/16/02	733	QN	920	948	NA	NA	NA	NA	19,300	NA	NA	NA	NA
	07/09/03	906	39.1	1,350	156	NA	<0.01	<25 ^h	20	14,100	798	<500	6.50	2.57
	05/24/05	310	2.90	410	185 ^g	NA	NA	<2.0	NA	9,600	2,300	<250	NA	NA
	12/01/05	966	140	1,100	1,353 ^g	NA	NA	<10h	NA	11,000	2,900'	NA	NA	NA
						M	ell abandone	d in Septeml	er 2007.					
MW-5	11/15/00	QN	QN	QN	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/18/01	QN	QN	QN	QN	2.44	QN	QN	QN	786	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/18/01	9.42	QN	6.76	10.1	2.42	Q	Q	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/11/01	1.83	1.16	1.90	3.28	NA	NA	NA	NA	694	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/01	3.05	1.39	1.48	1.45	NA	NA	NA	NA	647	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/16/02	52.3	3.82	48	24.9	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,800	NA	NA	NA	NA
	02/09/03	1.26	0.99	1.54	4.64	NA	<0.01	<1.0	<5.0	615	<250	<500	5.83	<1.0
	05/24/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	460	120	<250	NA	NA
	11/28/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	420	230i	NA	NA	NA
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	140	<50	NA	NA	NA
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
-9-MM	11/15/00	Q	ND	ΠN	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	131	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/18/01	QN	ND	DN	QN	QN	QN	Q	QN	732	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/18/01	QN	ND	QN	QN	ND	QN	Q	Q	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	02/17/01	QN	1.35	1.33	5.79	NA	NA	NA	NA	892	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/01	Q	ND	2.60	5.48	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,000	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/16/02	QN	0.72	1.58	2.78	NA	NA	NA	NA	810	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/09/03	<0.50	0.53	1.15	4.84	NA	<0.01	0.12	<1.0	462	958		12.1	<1.0
	20/27/20 20/82/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.22	NA <1 0	NA <10	0.12	NA	0/5 NA	2/U <1.0	0C7>	790 002	710:
	2010-111	217	2.7		217	M	/ell destrove	1 in Novemb	er 2007.	1111	0.17	17.1	0/-	7101
MW-7	11/15/00	QN	ND	QN	1.35	NA	NA	NA	NA	113	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/18/01	QN	ND	QN	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN	242	NA	NA	NA	NA
	04/18/01	QN	DN	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	07/17/01	QN	QN	QN	QN	NA	NA.	NA	NA	275	NA	NA	NA	NA
	10/18/01	QN	ND	QN	QN	NA	NA	NA	NA	286	NA	NA	NA	NA
	01/16/02	QN	ND	ND	DN	NA	NA	NA	NA	362	NA	NA	NA	NA
	07/09/03	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.48	NA	<0.01	<1.0	<1.0	232	2,050	<500	4.32	<1.0
	05/25/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	<100	220	<250	NA	NA
	11/30/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0		NA 	<1.0	NA	<100	140	NA	NA	NA
						W	Well abandone	abandoned in Septemb	ner 2007.					

T:\1 PROJECTS\001.0173.00007 Longview Remediation\Remediation Report\Table 3

pade 7 nf 4

Table 3 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Lead Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview, Washington

Total Lead^e Dissolved Lead $(\mu g/L)$ A N A N 15 AN NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA (µg/L) A N N N 15 NA (JL) рOH <250 NA NA 500 NA AN NA DRO^d (µg/L) 2,300¹ 2,900¹ 930i 500 <20 67,000 E 22,000 16,000 GRO^c (µg/L) <100</pre> 270 <100 <100 800 MTBE^b (µg/L)
 NA
 NA

 NA
 NA
 20 in Septeml EDC^b (μg/L) in Septer <100^h <100^h <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0
 <li abandoned abandor EDB^b (µg/L) AN AN NA NA Napthalene^b (µg/L) 160 NA Total Xylenes^a 11,600^g 4,090^g 841g $(\mu g/L)$ 1,000 0.0 0.0 46[®] 3.0 Ethylbenzene^a (µg/L) <!><!<!</pre> <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 110 65 65 61.0 61.0 61.0 61.0 61.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 2,400 1,100 520 700 40 5.6 Toluene^a (Jug/L) 1,0001,200 160 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre>3.0<1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre> <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre> <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 3.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 Benzene^a (Jgd/L) 9,600 4,000 4,100 <1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre> <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 45 31 9.0 16 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 ≤1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre><1.0</pre> 11 10 56 5.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 3.6 4.1 4.1 10 Sample Date 05/25/05 11/29/05 12/11/07 03/11/08 11/28/05 12/11/07 03/11/08 03/11/08 12/05/05 12/11/07 03/11/08 12/11/07 03/11/08 12/07/05 08/16/06 12/07/05 08/17/06 12/11/07 12/11/07 12/11/07 12/11/07 03/11/08 05/25/05 12/07/05 08/17/06 12/11/07 12/05/05 08/17/06 3/11/08 12/05/05 08/17/06 12/11/07 3/11/08 05/25/05 05/25/05 03/11/08 11/30/05 3/11/08 MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels^f Shallow Wells (continued) Deep Wells/Wellpoint Well Number MW-10 MW-12 MW-13 MW-14 DMW-2 MW-11 DMW-3 DMW-5 6-WW DMW-1 DMW-4 **MW-8** SSB-1

T:\I PROJECTS\001.0173.00007 Longview Remediation\Remediation Report\Table 3

Page 3 of 4

Table 3

Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Lead Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview, Washington

		- -	T_13	8	r ^a	h	quan	qua	querre	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	pour	p		
Well Number	Samule Date	Benzene (ng/L)	I oluene ()	Eunyinenzene (110/L.)	7 O 1	Inapunatene (11.)	EUB (IId/L)		(no/L)	لية (الع/1.)	UKU (II@/L)	HU (IIII)	1 otal Lead	1 otal Lead Dissolved Lead
MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels ⁶	up Levels ^f		1.000	200	1.000	160	0	2 2	20	800	200	500	15	15
DMW-6	08/16/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
	3/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
DMW-7	08/16/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
	3/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
DMW-8	08/16/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	NA	NA	<1.0	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
	3/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲3.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	<100	<50	NA	NA	NA
6-WMD	12/11/07	6,100	1,900	970	3,100	NA	NA	NA	NA	27,000	6001	NA	NA	NA
	3/11/08	3,000	150	380	880	NA	NA	NA	NA	13,000	450	NA	NA	NA
DMW-10	12/11/07	09	4.0	88	130	NA	NA	NA	ΝA	750	53	NA	NA	NA
	3/11/08	75	4.0	140	120	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,000	74 ^j	NA	NA	NA
NOTES: Values in bold exceed the MTCA Method A cleanup levels.	exceed the MTC	A Method A c	cleanup levels											
All concentrations in micrograms per liter (µg/L).	ograms per liter	. (hg/L).												
ND - Not detected above the laboration mathed monthline limit (MDI)	the laboration .	يتعدد المطعدة	Lanit (MD)											

ND = Not detected above the laboratory method reporting limit (MRL).

NA = Not analyzed.

E = Laboratory estimated value.

'Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021B or EPA Method 8260B.

^b Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by EPA Method 8260B or 8270C SIM (naphthalene only).

Gasoline-range organics (GRO) by Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx.

^d Diesel-range organics (DRO) and heavy oil-range organics (HO) by Ecology Method NWTPH-Dx.

^e Total and dissolved lead by EPA Method 6020.

Chapter 173-340 WAC, Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation, Method A Cleanup Levels. Amended February 12, 2001.

⁵ Total xylenes calculated by using the formula: total xylenes concentration = (m, p-xylene concentration) + (o-xylene concentration).

^h Method reporting limit exceeds the Method A cleanup level.

¹The laboratory reported that the DRO concentration is due to overlap from the gasoline range. ¹The laboratory reported that the pattern of chromatogram peaks from the sample were not indicative of diesel.

Table 4 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Natural Attenuation Parameters Former Arco Service Station #0855 Longview, Washington

Sample Location	Sample Date	Nitrate ^a (mg/L)	Sulfate ^a (mg/L)	Dissolved Methane ^b (µg/L)	Dissolved Oxygen ^c (mg/L)	Dissolved Manganese ^d (µg/L)	Dissolved Ferrous Iron ^c (mg/L)	Alkalinity ^r (mg/L CaCO ³)	Redox Potential ^g (mV)
Shallow We	ells								
MW-5	12/12/2007	12.2	969	608	0.15	2,850	5.0	10.3	119.2
	3/13/2008	2.25	341	<0.7	0.39	2,480	3.3	19.3	-122.8
MW-8	12/12/2007	< 0.010	4.8	98.8	1.91	531	1.7	33.3	248.2
	3/13/2008	<0.2	6.6	1.2	0.66	463	2.1	57.6	-140.0
MW-9	12/12/2007	0.50	5.0	0.8	4.0	3.99	< 0.10	40.1	237.0
	3/13/2008	0.47	8.5	3,330	3.18	14.0	0.6	39.7	-33.5
MW-10	12/12/2007	0.036	74.9	6,510	2.99	2,420	2.0	174	294.2
	3/13/2008	< 0.2	186	1,820	2.12	2,170	. 3.1	160	-117.0
MW-11	12/12/2007	0.78	643	103	0.63	1,780	3.8	28.4	199.7
	3/13/2008	0.39	199	<0.7	0.63	2,520	1.4	45.1	-81.5
MW-12	12/12/2007	37.0	1,500	160	0.67	5,330	3.8	6.9	178.0
	3/13/2008	27.5	1,060	0.90	0.77	6,770	< 0.10	58.8	-146.8
MW-13	12/12/2007	31.7	1,590	40.2	NM	8,690	< 0.10	70.7	235.9
	3/13/2008	21.5	1,540	4.5	0.56	9,140	<0.10	218	-112.8
MW-14	12/12/2007	16.7	1,190	72.8	2.48	9,350	0.2	16.0	215.1
	3/13/2008	5.7	945	0.90	2.42	7,050	1.2	57.8	-163.7
Deep Wells									
DMW-3	12/12/2007	< 0.050	31.8	1,630	3.84	2,770	1.0	220	255.6
	3/13/2008	<0.2	23.4	2,480	2.0	2,550	3.0	197	-129.1
DMW-4	12/12/2007	< 0.010	22.4	10,100	0.11	2,190	3.6	174	105.1
	3/13/2008	<0.2	297	0.9	0.17	15,500	4.6	22.2	-136.6
DMW-5	12/12/2007	< 0.010	13.0	13,700	0.13	2,280	3.4	177	101.8
	3/13/2008	<0.2	10.3	8,180	0.17	2,900	3.6	180	-127.9
DMW-6	12/12/2007	< 0.010	8.0	11,700	0.15	1,740	2.2	104	121.0
	3/13/2008	<0.2	7.5	9,530	0.19	4,270	2.2	112	-136.5
DMW-7	12/12/2007	< 0.010	23.3	9,140	0.25	3,720	3.1	158	93.6
	3/13/2008	< 0.2	29.6	8,320	0.39	12,400	3.0	155	-171.6
DMW-8	12/12/2007	0.014	6.2	3,780	0.22	1,940	4.4	133	109.4
	3/13/2008	<0.2	17.6	1,950	0.28	2,070	3.1	107	-159.9
DMW-9	12/12/2007	< 0.010	55.7	27,400	0.15	1,920	5.7	270	113.2
	3/13/2008	<0.5	32.2	19,800	0.19	3,400	3.7	355	-128.4
DMW-10	12/12/2007	<0.010	24.2	11,300	0.09	2,950	3.6	191	92.5
NOTES	3/13/2008	< 0.2	7.7	8,050	0.12	5,360	3.1	227	-94.2

NOTES:

NM = Not measured.

mg/L = milligrams per liter (ppm). $\mu g/L = micrograms$ per liter (ppb).

^a Nitrate by EPA Method 353.2.

^a Sulfate by EPA Method 375.2.

^b Dissolved methane by EPA Method RSK 175 Modified.

^c Dissolved oxygen by EPA Method 360.1 (field instrument reading).

^d Dissolved manganese by EPA Method 200.8.

e Dissolved ferrous iron by Standard Method SM 3500 (field test kit).

^f Alkalinity by Standard Method SM 2320.

^g Oxidation-reduction (redox) potential by EPA Method D1498-76 (field instrument reading).

FIGURES



.0173.00003\SLR\04-03.dwg, 9/6/2006 5:12:50 AM \SLR\001



LEGEND

- MW-5 🔶 SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- DMW-5 O DEEP GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- TP-1 TEST PIT LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- $\textbf{SSB-1} \ \bigoplus \ \text{ 2005 SOIL BORING LOCATION AND DESIGNATION}$
- GP-7

 2000 SOIL BORING LOCATION AND DESIGNATION



FIGURE 2 FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855 4603 OCEAN BEACH HIGHWAY LONGVIEW, WASHINGTON

PRE-REMEDIATION SITE MAP











LEGEND

- SSB-1
 2005 SOIL BORING LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- MW-5 🔶 SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- DMW-5 🛞 DEEP GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- MW-3 💮 ABANDONED OR DESTROYED MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- TP-1 TEST PIT LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- **GP-7** PREVIOUS SOIL BORING LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
 - SAMPLE GRID CELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION



FIGURE 5 FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855 4603 OCEAN BEACH HIGHWAY LONGVIEW, WASHINGTON APPROXIMATE AREAS OF SOIL EXCAVATION



APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF SOIL EXCAVATION

DEPTH OF SOIL EXCAVATION CONTOUR LINE (IN FEET BELOW GROUND

C5-SW-4' FINAL EXCAVATION SIDEWALL SAMPLE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION

B5-FL-8'
 FINAL EXCAVATION FLOOR SAMPLE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION

EXCAVATION SIDEWALL SAMPLE LOCATION THAT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY

EXCAVATION FLOOR SAMPLE LOCATION THAT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY

SAMPLE GRID CELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION

1) ALTHOUGH SAMPLES B2-SW-4' AND A4-SW(2)-4' WERE FINAL EXCAVATION SIDEWALL SAMPLES, THE SAMPLE LOCATIONS WERE SUBSEQUENTLY EXCAVATED TO REMOVE DEEPER IMPACTED SOIL.

2) CONTOUR INTERVAL = 5 FEET.



FIGURE 6 FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855 4603 OCEAN BEACH HIGHWAY LONGVIEW, WASHINGTON **APPROXIMATE CONFIRMATION** SAMPLE LOCATIONS



0RIVE_E\Clients\SLR\001\001.0173.00007\02-07.c/wg, 4/24/2008 6:40:36 PM

LEGEND

- MW-5 + SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- DMW-5 O DEEP GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- MW-3 🔶 ABANDONED OR DESTROYED MONITORING WELL LOCATION



FIGURE 7 FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855 4603 OCEAN BEACH HIGHWAY LONGVIEW, WASHINGTON

CURRENT MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS





DMW-10 WERE NOT INDICATIVE OF DIESEL. CONCENTRATIONS IN







DRIVE_E\Clients\SLR\001\001.0173.00007\02-11.dwg, 4/24/2008 6:59:48 PM. B

LEGEND

- DMW-7 O DEEP GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- DMW-1 (ABANDONED DEEP GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
 - (2.01) DEEP GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (IN FEET)
- **10** B <100 G B = BENZENE CONCENTRATION IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE (in μ g/L) G = GRO CONCENTRATION IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE (in μ g/L)
- <100 G = GRO CONCENTRATION IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE (in $\mu g/L$) <50 D = DRO CONCENTRATION IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE (in $\mu g/L$)

VALUES IN BOLD EXCEED MTCA METHOD A CLEANUP LEVELS

NOTE:

THE LABORATORY REPORTED THAT THE PATTERNS OF CHROMATIOGRAM PEAKS IN THE SAMPLES FROM DMW-4, DMW-9, AND DMW-10 WERE NOT INDICATIVE OF DIESEL. CONCENTRATIONS IN THOSE SAMPLES WERE LIKELY DUE TO OVERLAP FROM THE GASOLINE RANGE.



FIGURE 11 FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855 4603 OCEAN BEACH HIGHWAY LONGVIEW, WASHINGTON DEEP GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS - MARCH 2008

APPENDIX A PROJECT PHOTOGRAPHS



Demolition of former dispenser island and canopy.



Demolition of northeast side of former service station building.



Demolition of northwestern part of former service station building.



East to west view of former building and dispenser island locations.

T:\1 PROJECTS\001.0173.00007 Longview Remediation\Remediation Report\Report Photos.doc



Removal of former hydraulic hoist.



North to south view of former hoist excavation.

T:\1 PROJECTS\001.0173.00007 Longview Remediation\Remediation Report\Report Photos.doc



Northwest to southeast view of former oil sump.



Removal of former oil sump.



Southwest to northeast view of former oil sump excavation.



North to south view of site prior to backfilling of stockpiled soil into former hoist and sump excavations.



Northwest to southeast view of excavation at former heating oil and used oil UST area.



Southeast to northwest view of initial northern corner of excavation near the former dispenser island area.



West to east view of excavation at the former dispenser island area.



Southwest to northeast view of the three USTs that were discovered in the eastern part of the excavation near the former dispenser island area.



Holes in end of northwesternmost UST (designated Tank 1).



The three USTs after removal.



Southwest to northeast view of the final northern and northeastern extents of excavation near the former dispenser island area.



Northwest to southeast view of the final northeastern and eastern extents of the excavation near the former dispenser island area.



Southwest to northeast view of final eastern extent of the excavation near the former dispenser island area.



East to west view of the final southern extent of the excavation near the former dispenser island area. The groundwater treatment system is in the background.



Southwest to northeast view of final western and northern areas of excavation near the former gasoline UST area.



Northeast to southwest view of the final western area of excavation near the former gasoline UST area.



The groundwater treatment system, which consisted of a 21,000-gallon storage tank and a canister filled with 3,000 pounds of activated carbon.



Discharge of treated water to storm sewer system.



Backfilling the excavation near the former dispenser island area.



Backfilling near the ground surface with crushed rock.



Southwest to northeast view of backfilled excavation.



North to south view of backfilled excavation.

APPENDIX B LABORATORY REPORTS

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 9, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on September 26, 2007 from the Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 project. There are 12 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1009R.DOC

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on September 26, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SLR International Corp.
709299-01	HOIST-EX-FLR-8'
709299-02	Soil Stockpile-1-1
709299-03	Soil Stockpile-1-2
709299-04	Soil Stockpile-1-3
709299-05	SUMP-EX-FLR-3'

The 8270C naphthalene laboratory control spike and laboratory control spike duplicate exceeded the acceptance criteria. The samples were flagged accordingly. All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

1
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/09/07 Date Received: 09/26/07 Project: Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 Date Extracted: 09/26/07 Date Analyzed: 09/28/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

C----

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 67-127)
HOIST-EX-FLR-8' 709299-01	<50	<250	94
Soil Stockpile-1-1 709299-02	<50	<250	87
Soil Stockpile-1-2 709299-03	<50	<250	89
Soil Stockpile-1-3 709299-04	<50	<250	88
SUMP-EX-FLR-3' 709299-05	<50	<250	87
Method Blank	<50	<250	89

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	HOIST-EX-F 09/26/07 09/27/07 09/27/07 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 709299-01 1/5 092726.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	⊦d12	% Recovery: 113 104	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Naphthalene		<0.01		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.01		
Acenaphthene		< 0.01		
Fluorene		< 0.01		
Phenanthrene		< 0.01		
Anthracene		< 0.01		
Fluoranthene		< 0.01		
Pyrene		< 0.01		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.01		
Chrysene		< 0.01		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.01		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.01		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.01		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre	ene	< 0.01		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac	ene	< 0.01		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	< 0.01		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Soil Stockpile-1-1 09/26/07 09/27/07 09/27/07 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 709299-02 1/5 092727.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	% Recovery: 114 -d12 103	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)	,	
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benz(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthen Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre Dibenz(a,h)anthrace Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ne <0.01 ene <0.01 ene <0.01		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:Soil Stockpile-1Date Received:09/26/07Date Extracted:09/27/07Date Analyzed:09/27/07Matrix:SoilUnits:mg/kg (ppm)	-2	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 709299-03 1/5 092728.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: % Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene-d12	6 Recovery: 115 104	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
	ncentration g/kg (ppm)		
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benzo(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 0.016 0.017 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Soil Stockpi 09/26/07 09/27/07 09/27/07 Soil mg/kg (ppm		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 709299-04 1/5 092729.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 116 108	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Naphthalene		< 0.01		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.01		
Acenaphthene		< 0.01		
Fluorene		< 0.01		
Phenanthrene		< 0.01		
Anthracene		< 0.01		
Fluoranthene		< 0.01		
Pyrene		< 0.01		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.01		
Chrysene		< 0.01		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.01		
Benzo(b)fluoranthen		< 0.01		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.01		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.01		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.01		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	•	<0.01		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	SUMP-EX-FLR-3' 09/26/07 09/27/07 09/28/07 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 709299-05 1/5 092730.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	% Recovery: 123 -d12 107	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benz(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthen Benzo(k)fluoranthen Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre Dibenz(a,h)anthrac Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ne <0.01 ene 0.025 ene <0.01		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 09/27/07 09/28/07 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Arco, F&BI 709299 071474mb2 1/5 rr 092808.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 163 vo 159 vo	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:	•	oncentration ng/kg (ppm)		
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benz(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranther Benzo(k)fluoranther Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre Dibenz(a,h)anthrace Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ne ene ene	< 0.01 < 0.		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/09/07 Date Received: 09/26/07 Project: Longview Arco, F&BI 709299

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 709303-04 (Matrix Spike) Silica Gel

			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	\mathbf{Result}	Recovery	Recovery MSD	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS		Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	360	87	94	69-125	8

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample Silica Gel

			Percent	
	Reporting Units	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte		Level	LCS	Criteria
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	92	70-127

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/09/07 Date Received: 09/26/07 Project: Longview Arco, F&BI 709299

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR PNA'S BY EPA METHOD 8270C SIM

Laboratory Code: 709308-06 (Duplicate)

	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Acenaphthene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Fluorene	mg/kg (ppm)	<0.01	< 0.01	nm
Phenanthrene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Chrysene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	nm

Laboratory Code: 709308-06 (Matrix Spike)

	- (1.100-1-1 off-1-0	/		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Naphthalene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	<0.01	101	50-150
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	103	16-167
Acenaphthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	103	58-108
Fluorene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	104	57-113
Phenanthrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	103	30-138
Anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	104	42-132
Fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	108	45 - 145
Pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	109	44-139
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	<0.01	96	17-134
Chrysene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	105	10-157
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	98	37 - 123
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	108	28 - 134
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	99	55 - 115
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	92	61-104
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	< 0.01	96	69-100
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	<0.01	98	60-105

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/09/07 Date Received: 09/26/07 Project: Longview Arco, F&BI 709299

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR PNA'S BY EPA METHOD 8270C SIM

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	110 vo	110 vo	66-106	0
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	105	108	63-110	3
Acenaphthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	107	108	65-108	1
Fluorene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	110	111	63-112	1
Phenanthrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	106	107	64-107	1
Anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	106	106	64-107	0
Fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	109	109	66-113	0
Pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	110	110	66-111	0
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	99	98	55-103	1
Chrysene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	106	106	59-109	0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	104	102	53-107	2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	110	111	61-112	1
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	98	95	60-111	3
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	99	97	59-111	2
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	102	101	56-114	1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.17	104	101	60-110	3

Note: The calibration verification result for anthracene-d10 exceeded 15% deviation. The average deviation for all compounds was not greater than 15%; therefore, the initial calibration is considered valid.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

 \mathbf{b} - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

 \mathbf{c} - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 \mathbf{pr} – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

DY ME 04-26-07 & E ME 04-26-07 of I PO # TURNAROUND TIME PO PO # PO # Visualdard (2 Weeks) PN PO Rush charges authorized by: SAMPLE DISPOSAL D Dispose after 30 days D Return samples PKWill call with instructions	ANALYSISS READING TED	inder SLR $9/25/07$ 12:05 - FR $9/25/07$ 12:05 Samples received at 1 0°
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAMENO. ISD LONDVEW ARCO REMARKS	AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	PRINT NAME Jehemy Z Nhan Phau
SAMPLE CH SAMPLER PROJECT ISD LOW REMARKS	Sumple Type Sumple Type Soi) Soi)	
7. H Suite 198021	Pate Time sapled Sampled Sappled Sampled 9/25/07 9.55 9/25/07 9.55 9/25/07 9.57 9/25/07 9.57 9/25/07 9.57 9/25/07 1.25 9/25/07 1.25	SIGNATURE
57a700 (m.A) (m.A)		SIG Refinquished b: Received by: Refinquished b: Received by:
Ave Sol Far		Relinquishod by Received by: Relinquished by Recaived by:
709299 Sond Report To Michael Staton Company SLR Address ZZIZZ 20th Are SE, Blds. H. Suitelso City, State, ZIP Bothell, W.A. 98021 Phone # (425) 402 - 8800 Fax # (425) 402 - 8488	Sample ID Bample ID Sail Stack pile - 1 - 2 Sail Stack pile - 1 - 2 Sail Stack pile - 1 - 2 Sull - EX - FLR - 3' SUMP - EX - FLR - 3'	Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282 Fax (206) 283-5044 FORMS/COCVOCDOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 12, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 6, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711104 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1112R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 6, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711104 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711104-01	A2-SW-4'
711104-02	B2-SW-4'
711104-03	A3-SW-3.5'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/12/07 Date Received: 11/06/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711104 Date Extracted: 11/09/07 Date Analyzed: 11/10/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis

Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
A2-SW-4' 711104-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.04	0.12	18	120
B2-SW-4' 711104-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.06	0.18	15	111
A3-SW-3.5' 711104-03	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	11	126
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	102

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/12/07 Date Received: 11/06/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711104

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711091-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	<0.06	<0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\mathbf{Percent}$	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	80	70-130
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	78	70-130
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	80	70-130
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	81	70-130
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	86	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

tollt they		SAMPLE C	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	ME 11- 6-07	07 VSV/CIT	
Send Report To Mike Company	Station	SAMPLI PROJEC	SAMPLERS (signature) CMS. PROJECT NAME/NO.	Fur-P0#	Page # of TURNAROUND TIME [] Standard (2 Weeks) ScRUSH	
12	ve SE; Bloh	H, stelso		· . 	Rush charges authorized by:	
City, State, ZIP Bothell, WA 98021	, WA 98	REW	1 10		SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days	
Phone # (425) 402 - 8800 Fax # (425) 402 - 8488	. Zoh (22) 402.		Contact Mike Staten 4	y very 145	ロ Return samples ロ Will call with instructions	
			A	ANALYSES REQUESTED		
Sample I.D ID	b late Time Sanpled Sampled	ae bled Sample Type	CCs by 8260	VOCs by 8270 PH - HO 2 HAS	Notes	
A2 CL 11 01	- 11/6/02 0.	d.170 C.21	^Я 7	L .		
		<u> </u>				
A2-8W-3.5' A.E	>	20				
, s ,	-					
		*				
1						
		• •••		Samples received at	2.°C	÷
						:
	SIGNATURE	SE .	PRINT NAME	COMPANY		_
	Relinquished by Cherry	tur	Chris Krame	SLR	11/6/17	
Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Recei	Received by: Relinquished b:		Kurt Johnson	July Sup	61.1 (0/9/11 .	
	Received by:					·
FORMS/COC/NOCDOC				-		~~~

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 12, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 8, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711122 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1112R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 8, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711122 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711122-01	A4-SW-4'
711122-02	A3-SW-10'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/12/07 Date Received: 11/08/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711122 Date Extracted: 11/08/07 Date Analyzed: 11/09/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
A4-SW-4' 711122-01	0.05	0.27	1.6	1.6	180	125
A3-SW-10' 711122-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	12	109
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	146

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/12/07 Date Received: 11/08/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711122

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711117-08 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	<0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	90	66-121
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	96	72 - 128
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	96	69-132
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	97	69-131
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	106	61-153

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

711122				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	HAIN O	F CI)STC	ŶŪĊ		ME		ME 11-8-07	070		How/121
Send Report To Mil	2	Mike Station		SAMPL	SAMPLERS (signature)	(est		R	A				Pa	Page #of TURNAROUND TIME	of D TIME
N				PROJEC	PROJECT NAME/NO	0					FO #		D Stand	I Standard (2 Weeks)	at
212	tane	SE: BLA	5 H . Ste	12									Rush ch	Rush charges authorized by:	ized by:
· City, State, ZIP B. Med. WA	4 6	<i>i</i> . <i>A</i> .		REM				•	•				S. Dispo	 SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days 	POSAL
Phone # (123) 162-5800 Fax # (115) 102-8489	e Fax	(11) #	828-201		CT the Tum	3	er.	4					П Retur П Will с	17 Return samples 17 Will call with instructions	ructions
									ANAI	'XSE	S REQ1	ANALYSES REQUESTED			
Sample (D	Lab ID	late Sanpled	Tyme Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TTPH-Diesel	TPII-Gasoline 8152 by 80218	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	SIII		i			Notes
HH-W-H	101 A.E	to/t/n	2.00	Sorl	5		X								,
43-24-10'	9-4 9-6	-	1:54	1	4	ikstimu	X								
-						, .				۲ -					
						William to Date .								• -	
							r							\n 	
						4 1 1 1									
	-				τ.								•		•
				و. هود					-	ů.	mple	s rècèn	Samples received at 22	2/ °C	
						ئە ۋىر									
Friedmun & Bruya, Inc.		SIGI	SIGNATURE /		PF	INT	PRINT NAME	E		H	Ŷ	COMPANY	N	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Kelinqu.	Relinquished tr	us h	2	Ch	445	Y	ant			3	25		14/2/14	61 -52
Seatule, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	d by:	and a	M	Nhan	S	~	Rhan				Te Bit		11/8/07	06:50
1 ⁻ h. (206) 285-8282	nbution	Keingquished U:	-												
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	d by:	•												
FORMSACOCACOC.DOC															

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 12, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 10, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711170 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1112R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 10, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711170 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory	ID
711170-01	

<u>SLR International Corp.</u> B2-SW-10'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/12/07 Date Received: 11/10/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711170 Date Extracted: 11/10/07 Date Analyzed: 11/10/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
B2-SW-10' 711170-01	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	7	106
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	150

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/12/07 Date Received: 11/10/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711170

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711088-12 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Relative Percent Difference (Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\mathbf{Percent}$	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	76	66-121
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	78	72 - 128
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	78	69 - 132
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	80	69 - 131
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	106	61-153

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

HO#/NS	Page # of TURNAROUND TIME andard (2 Weeks) ISH 204 but charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL pose after 30 days urn samples l call with instructions		Notes				¥	F.			•	DATE TIME	6 2 1 1 ~ 20	
to/@1/11.	Page # of TURNAROUND TIME PO # D.Standard (2 Weeks) ARUSH 2M 5m Rush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions	EQUESTED							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	·····	COMPANY DAT	FIR III	
TE CHAIN OF CUSTODY $\mathcal{M} \in$	SAMPLERS (signature) Chr. JumproJECT NAME/NO.	REMARKS 24 Mr. Taunand	ANALYSES REQUESTED	TTPII-Gasoline SVOCs by 8260 SVOCs by 8260 SYOCs by 8260 BTFX by 8021B TPII-Gasoline									PRINT NAME		
SAMPLE	H; 5k.150		0188	Lab late Time Sample Type ID Saupled Sampled		A SH:6 A 10	A C						SIGNATURE Relinquished by MAC	Received by:	Received by:
24/172	Send Report To MJKe Staten Company SLR	City, State, ZIP Bothell, WA 98021 Phone # 402-8800 Fax # (125) 402-8		Sample (D		BZ - SW-10'							Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 Ibth Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	Fax (206) 283-5044

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 19, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 14, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711198 project. There are 9 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1119R.DOC

·

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 14, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711198 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711198-01	Tank2-FL-8'
711198-02	A5-SW-4'
711198-03	Tank1-FL-8'
711198-04	Tank3-FL-8'
711198-05	C1-SW-4'
711198-06	C1-SW-10'
711198-07	C2-FL-15'
711198-08	B2-SW(2)-10'
711198-09	B1-SW-4'
711198-10	D1-SW-4'
711198-11	D2-FL-15'
711198-12	A4-SW(2)-4'
711198-13	A4-SW(2)-10'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/07 Date Received: 11/14/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711198 Date Extracted: 11/14/07 Date Analyzed: 11/15/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis

Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
Tank2-FL-8' 711198-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	4	88
A5-SW-4' 711198-02	< 0.02	0.13	0.13	0.45	36	70
Tank1-FL-8' 711198-03	0.10	< 0.02	0.04	<0.06	8	110
Tank3-FL-8' 711198-04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	98
C1-SW-4' 711198-05	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	56
C1-SW-10' 711198-06	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	107
C2-FL-15' 711198-07	< 0.02	0.07	1.4	0.31	37	122
B2-SW(2)-10' 711198-08	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.06	<0.06	16	146
B1-SW-4' 711198-09	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	103
D1-SW-4' 711198-10	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	100

2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/07 Date Received: 11/14/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711198 Date Extracted: 11/14/07 Date Analyzed: 11/15/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
D2-FL-15' 711198-11	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	6	107
A4-SW(2)-4' 711198-12	< 0.02	0.05	0.06	0.12	14	93
A4-SW(2)-10' 711198-13	0.07	<0.02	0.07	<0.06	44	119
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	137
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/07 Date Received: 11/14/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711198 Date Extracted: 11/14/07 Date Analyzed: 11/14/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

			Surrogate
Sample ID	<u>Diesel Range</u>	<u>Motor Oil Range</u>	(% Recovery)
Laboratory ID	$(C_{10}-C_{25})$	$(C_{25}-C_{36})$	(Limit 67-127)
Tank2-FL-8'	<50	<250	93
711198-01			
A5-SW-4'	<50	<250	94
711198-02	-00	4200	01
	-50	-050	00
Tank1-FL-8' 711198-03	<50	<250	89
Tank3-FL-8'	<50	<250	90
711198-04			
Method Blank	<50	<250	103
niomou Diama	.50		100

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/07 Date Received: 11/14/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711198 Date Extracted: 11/14/07 Date Analyzed: 11/14/07 and 11/15/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 67-127)
D1-SW-4' 711198-10	<50	105
D2-FL-15' 711198-11	<50	95
Method Blank	<50	103

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/07 Date Received: 11/14/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711198

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

-1-+--- Downood

Laboratory Code: 711203-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	92	66-121
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	96	72-128
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	96	69-132
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	99	69-131
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	103	61-153

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/07 Date Received: 11/14/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711198

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code:	711198-10 (Matrix	x Spike)	Silica Gel				
			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	94	89	69-125	5
		10 1					
Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Contro	ol Sampl					
			Percent				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	y Accepta	ance		
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criter	ria		
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	87	70-12	27		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/07 Date Received: 11/14/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711198

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 711198-10 (Matrix Spike) Silica Gel

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result (Wet wt)	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	94	89	69-125	5
		10 1					

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample Silica Gel

			Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	87	70-127	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

ofof ID TIME ts) ized by: ized by: days tructions	Notes Notes Motes Mike Mike	LIME	22 2 20 00 H	0
Page #of TURNAROUND TIME [] Standard (2 Weeks) [] RUSH Rush charges authorized by: Rush charges authorized by: [] Dispose after 30 days [] Dispose after 30 days [] Return samples [] Will call with instructions			11-14-11	Samples received at 3
# 04	ANALYSES REQUESTED	COMPANY	FBE	Samples
R Sei	SVOCs by 8270 SVOCs by 8260	<u>NAME</u>	20 VO	
S (signature) NAME/NO. - Hurnar	Type containers		(LM)	
	Time Sample Type Sample Type Sro SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS	1103	and and	
5 Jarben 5 E.) Bldg 4 : 5 to 15 11 UNA Fax # (125/ 202- 2012	Ц Ц Ц С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	 IGNATU	Z (by:
Send Report To Mike Staten Company SLR Address 22122 20 mar SE; Blds 14: 54 150 City, State, ZIP (Zothell, WA City, State, ZIP (Zothell, WA	D Lab ID C-8' 01 4.E -8' 02 4.E -8' 02 4.E -8' 02 4.E -8' 06 4.E -15' 05 4.E -15' 05 4.E -15' 05 4.E -15' 05 4.E -15' 05 4.E	1 10 A.F 1 1900, Inc. 8 100 Relinquished by:	19-2029 Received by: 282 Relinquished by:	044 Received by: DOC
Send Report To Mike St Company SLR Address 22122 20 mr 51 City, State, ZIP (2014) City, State, ZIP (2014) Fa	sample ID * Tguk 2- Fe-8' A5-5W-4' A5-5W-4' Tank 1-FL-9' Tank 1-FL-9' Tank 1-FL-9' CI-5W-4' CI-5W-10' CI-5W-10' CI-5W-20' SI-5W-4' SI-5W-4'	DI – Sw – 4 ¹ Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	Fax (206) 283-5044 FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

2 61 11 £			SAM	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	IN OF CI	YODY	NE	11-14-07		N54/H34	44
	See Dg. 1			SAMPLERS (signature)	signature)			L_	Page #	Page # of _2	
01 100				PROJECT NAME/NO	AME/NO.			PO #	D Standard (2 Weeks) D-RUSH 24/h- Rush charges authorized by:	2 Weeks) 2 Weeks) 2 Weeks) 2 Weeks) 2 Weeks) 2 Weeks) 2 Weeks) 2 Weeks)	a in
City. State. ZIP		:		REMARKS	-	-	-		SAMPLE DISPOS	SAMPLE DISPOSAI	
Phone #	Fax#			24 m	turner	La Jame	* sanfles		 Return samples Will call with instructions 	nples ith instructio	SU
							ANALY	ANALYSES REQUESTED	TED		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	ŢŢme	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel BTEX by 8021B BTEX by 8021B	HE2 ZAOCª PA 8520 AOCª PA 8560	- QH		Notes	-
D2-FL-15'	11	11/13/07	1215	Ч	٦	XXXX					
A4-Sw(2)-4'	12.4.F	,	215			XX		2		*	-
A4-5W(2)-10'	13 A.E		225	··		ХX				A	
		+			ש		-				
р.											
				•							
•-			2 - S	++ .							
*				•#• <u>+</u> +++	:					ر م ا	
								Aranar saidurec	P néhranar		-
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	S Relinquished by:	SIGNATURE	RE		PRINT	PRINT NAME		COMPANY		DATE	TIME
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:		1	\ \	1. 4.6	7		л М Л		11.16.02	CP &
Ph. (206) 285-8282	.Relinquished by:		441	. .)			
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:								 .		
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC		20									

•

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 21, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 15, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711208 project. There are 6 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1121R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 15, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711208 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

1

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711208-01	C3-FL-11'
711208-02	C3-SW-4'
711208-03	C3-SW-10'
711208-04	D3-SW-4'
711208-05	D4-FL-8'
711208-06	D4-SW-4'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/21/07 Date Received: 11/15/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711208 Date Extracted: 11/15/07 Date Analyzed: 11/15/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis

Results Reported on a Dry weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
C3-FL-11' 711208-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	12	124
C3-SW-4' 711208-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.26	25	132
C3-SW-10' 711208-03	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	110
D3-SW-4' 711208-04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	128
D4-FL-8' 711208-05	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	130
D4-SW-4' 711208-06	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	123
Method Blank	< 0.02	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	100

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/21/07 Date Received: 11/15/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711208 Date Extracted: 11/15/07 Date Analyzed: 11/16/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Diesel Range</u> (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 67-127)
D3-SW-4' 711208-04	<50	<250	104
D4-FL-8' 711208-05	<50	<250	101
D4-SW-4' 711208-06	<50	<250	97
Method Blank	<50	<250	111

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/21/07 Date Received: 11/15/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711208

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711207-08 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample Result	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units		Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	<0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	\mathbf{Spike}	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	106	66-121
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	102	72-128
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	100	69-132
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	100	69 - 131
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	92	61 - 153

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/21/07 Date Received: 11/15/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711208

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 711209-03 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	$\operatorname{Percent}$	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	98	94	69-125	4

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	93	70-127	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

	······
VJ5L/AO/ of DTIME DTIME ized by: ized by: ays notes	TIME ソ:八 7、0 <i>억:'</i> 00
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	C MM/207
	COMPANY SCR
M T II-IS M T II-IS PO# Acid Acid Chan-y Bush Bus	Samples technol
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJE	PRINT NAME
APLE CHAIN OF C SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. REMARKS Trett-Dx and the after si trett-Dx and the after si - possible fellew Sample Type container	
SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE SAMPLE	
Bate Tim S. S. S. C. C. L. S.	SIGNATURE
124 1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (SIC SIC Relinquished by: Received by: Received by: Received by:
711208Sand Report To Mike Staten - SURSANSend Report To Mike Staten - SURSend Report To Mike Staten - SURGempany/20122 State, SIPSet VAP and SE, Brikley H, SterraAddressCity, State, SIPSet VAPAddressImage: State - Supple - Supp	man & Bruya, Inc. 16th Avenue West e, WA 98119-2029 06) 285-8282 206) 283-5044

i.

· · · · ·

.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 26, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 16, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711234 project. There are 6 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1126R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 16, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711234 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711234-01	D2-SW-4'
711234-02	D1-SW-10'
711234-03	E2-SW-4'
711234-04	E1-SW-4'
711234-05	D2-SW-10'
711234-06	E2-FL-6'
711234-07	D1-FL-11'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/26/07 Date Received: 11/16/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711234 Date Extracted: 11/16/07 Date Analyzed: 11/17/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
D2-SW-4' 711234-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	64
D1-SW-10' 711234-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	87
E2-SW-4' 711234-03	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	79
E1-SW-4' 711234-04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	66
D2-SW-10' 711234-05	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	90
E2-FL-6' 711234-06	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	6	102
D1-FL-11' 711234-07	<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	8	83
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	70

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/26/07 Date Received: 11/16/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711234 Date Extracted: 11/16/07 Date Analyzed: 11/19/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 53-144)
D2-SW-4' 711234-01	<50	94
D1-SW-10' 711234-02	<50	97
E2-SW-4' ⁷¹¹²³⁴⁻⁰³	<50	98
E1-SW-4' 711234-04	<50	95
D2-SW-10' 711234-05	<50	96
E2-FL-6' 711234-06	<50	102
D1-FL-11' 711234-07	<50	92
Method Blank	<50	98

novnou piulii

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/26/07 Date Received: 11/16/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711234

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711234-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\mathbf{Percent}$	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	102	70-130
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	96	70-130
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	94	70-130
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	93	70-130
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	83	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/26/07 Date Received: 11/16/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711234

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 711216-02 (Matrix Spike) Silica Gel

			\mathbf{Sample}	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	\mathbf{Spike}	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	85	87	71-137	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample Silica Gel

			Percent	
	Reporting	\mathbf{Spike}	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	\mathbf{Units}	Level	LCS	Criteria
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	83	70-129

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 - More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc-The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr-The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

711234				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	CHAIN C)F CI	OTSU	ΥŪ	Ł	ИĒ	1/1-1	11-16-0	K.	BO	B05/152	2
Sond Robert To M	Mile State	the man		SAMPL	SAMPLERS (signature)	iture)	2				8		Page # TURN	_ ≺	of	1
				PROJE	PROJECT NAME/NO.	NO.	ے د	(P.	PO #		C Standar R RIISH	C Standard (2 Weeks)	(1	
1 .		SE .	SE. 84 4. 14 10									L un	sh char	Rush charges authorized by:	ized by:	
City. State. ZIP 12 Mrs 1/ WA		6		REMARKS	IKS fun	4	_						SAN	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days	OSAL ays	
Phone # (225) 405 - 830 Fax #	Fax.	##	:	No-Hat A	De alt	Alla Follo	1257	June /	Sulfue Mid elo	A.Z	id clory		Zeturn s Will call	1) Return samples 11 Will call with instructions	ructions	
								A	NALY	SES R	ANALYSES REQUESTED	TED				
Sample (D	Lab ID	bate Saupled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	Iosoid-HTT	PHI-Gasoline 815X by 80218	VOCs by 8260	IIES SAOC ^a P ^A 8510	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Notes	
12-5-20	O/A.E	0/A. 9 11/1/02	Hgr2	5	5	X	X									
DL-50-10'	DRAF	M/M	02/AEW/14-7 1000			Х	$\langle X$									
5-25-24	03 A.F		1004			X	イン									
121-5a-41	C4 A-E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>lo</i> /2			X	$\langle X \rangle$									
D2-Sw-10'	05 P.H.)	10S			X	$\overline{\times}$									
62- 61-6'	06 A-E	06 A.F. U/05/64	726 +			$\tilde{\prec}$	X									
Z	G7A-É	11/12/23	2001 Kys1/14-4F0	7	>	$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{z}}}$	X						:			
											-					
]
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	1	SIGN	SIGNATURE		d	PRIN'T NAME	NAME				COMPANY	YNN		DATE	TIME	E
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished tr	shed by	X	h	Chur	25	Ś	2			25	2		1/1/2 ×	200	2
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:		Jeus	-	DA	01					FB.	7.		1-16-07	0	AN
Pn. (206) 285-8282 Fax (206) 283-5044	keinquisnee Received by:	by:	÷			,										
FORMS/COC/COC.DOC																7

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 27, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the water results from the testing of material submitted on November 9, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711147 project. There are 13 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1127R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 9, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711147 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

.

1

Laboratory ID	SLR International Corp.
711147-01	Inf-11807
711147-02	A2-SW(2)-4'
711147-03	A2-SW(2)-10'
711147-04	A3-FL-15'
711147-05	B3-FL-15'
711147-06	A4-FL-15'
711147-07	B4-FL-15'
711147-08	A4-SW-10'
711147-09	Trip Blank

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/09/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711147 Date Extracted: 11/12/07 Date Analyzed: 11/12/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
Inf-11807 d 711147-01 1/5	70	92	94	420	3,100	96
Method Blank	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	108

 $\mathbf{2}$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/09/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711147 Date Extracted: 11/09/07 Date Analyzed: 11/09/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	<u>Motor Oil Range</u> (C ₂₅ -C ₃₆)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-132)
Inf-11807 ⁷¹¹¹⁴⁷⁻⁰¹	1,700 x	330	75
Method Blank	<50	<250	89

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Inf-11807		Client:	SLR International Corp.
Date Received:	11/09/07		Project:	Longview, F&BI 711147
Date Extracted:	11/13/07		Lab ID:	711147-01
Date Analyzed:	11/13/07		Data File:	711147-01.076
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	HR
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Bismuth		80	60	125
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

15.2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bland NA 11/13/07 11/13/07 Water ug/L (ppb)	k	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711147 I7-419 mb I7-419 mb.074 ICPMS1 HR
Internal Standard: Bismuth		% Recovery: 109	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	C	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270C SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Inf-11807 11/09/07 11/09/07 11/09/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711147 711147-01 110920.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	e-d12	% Recovery: 115 93	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benz(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthe Benzo(b)fluoranthe Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyr Dibenz(a,h)anthrac Benzo(g,h,i)perylen	ne ene ene	$52 ve < 0.1 \\ 0.10 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\ < 0.1 \\$		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270C SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Inf-11807 11/09/07 11/09/07 11/09/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711147 711147-01 1/10 110919.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracen	e-d12	% Recovery: 232 ds 81	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		58		
Acenaphthylene		<1		
Acenaphthene		<1		
Fluorene		<1		
Phenanthrene		<1		
Anthracene		<1		
Fluoranthene		<1		
Pyrene		<1		
Benz(a)anthracene		<1		
Chrysene		<1		
Benzo(a)pyrene		<1		
Benzo(b)fluoranthe	ne	<1		
Benzo(k)fluoranthe		<1		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyr		<1		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac		<1		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylen	e	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270C SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bla Not Applica 11/09/07 11/09/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711147 071802mb 110918.D GCMS6 YA
a · ·		04 D	Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Anthracene-d10	110	119	50 50	150
Benzo(a)anthracen	e-d12	77	50	150
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		<0.1		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.1		
Acenaphthene		<0.1		
Fluorene		< 0.1		
Phenanthrene		<0.1		
Anthracene		<0.1		
Fluoranthene		< 0.1		
Pyrene		<0.1		
Benz(a)anthracene		<0.1		
Chrysene		< 0.1		
Benzo(a)pyrene		<0.1	x	
Benzo(b)fluoranthe		< 0.1		
Benzo(k)fluoranthe		<0.1		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyr		< 0.1		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac		< 0.1		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylen	e	<0.1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/09/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711147

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

DI (* **D**

Laboratory Code: 711142-10 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Relative Percent Difference (Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	5	· 4	22 a
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	16	16	0
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	3	3	0
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	8	8	0
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	610	590	3

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	25	102	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	25	105	72 - 122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	25	106	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	75	109	74 - 118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	105	69 - 134
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/09/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711147

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\mathbf{Percent}$	$\mathbf{Percent}$		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	$_$ LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	91	. 89	67-141	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/09/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711147

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 711159-01 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplica Result		ent	Acceptance Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm	L	0-20
Laboratory Code Analyte	: 711159-01 (Matri Reporting Units	x Spike) Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS		ceptance Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	102		50-150
Laboratory Code	: Laboratory Contro	ol Sample	Percent			

			rercent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	_
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	98	70-130	-

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/09/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711147

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PNA'S BY EPA METHOD 8270C SIM

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Laboratory Coue. Laborat		npro	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	5	95	95	70-130	0
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	-5	102	100	70-130	2
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	98	97	70-130	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	5	96	93	70-130	3
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	98	96	70-130	2
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	5	106	107	70-130	1
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	101	102	70-130	1
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	100	101	70 - 130	1
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	5	82	81	70-130	1
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	5	92	93	70 - 130	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	99	93	70-130	6
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	115	114	70-130	1
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	111	108	70-130	3
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	97	90	70-130	7
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	5	111	109	70-130	2
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	5	107	104	70-130	3

Note: The calibration verification result for indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene exceeded 15% deviation. The average deviation for all compounds was not greater than 15%; therefore, the initial calibration is considered valid.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 - More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

	1							•			. 1						*			
CI3/151/11	of D TIME :s) rized by:	POSAL lays	cructions	•	Notes					*		Į.	<u>خ</u>	(M)		TIME	+ J100 pr	401:4 E		
CT C	Page # of of TURNAROUND TIME C Standard (2 Weeks) D RUSH Rush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSA Dispose after 30 days	L Return samples I Will call with instructions		4							77		: At (DATE	4/5/07	£0/5/1		
t-	Page # TURN Landard USH h charge	SAA	eturn : Vill call		•							a literate for a	A PARTY A							
1/0	C Rus Rus		28	Q	494					\otimes						NNY	2			1
ME. 11/9/07	-			JESTE	Cend 6010	X							2 			COMPANY	SLR	12	;	
uj.	PO #			REQI	5##d	X						Q				C C		<u>n</u>	-	
Š			5	ANALYSES REQUESTED	STII	X														
ν.	<u>N</u>			ANAI	SVOCs hy 8270												Ĺ	all		•••
(dO)	8		Ž		NOCa by 8260	X	X	X	·X==	XI®	X	\times		•		ΛĒ	Sime	NGUYON	-	1
ISU	\mathcal{S}	. 4	5		onilosat)-119T	X	XV	X	Хl	X	X	X	X			PRIN'T NAME	Ŕ	<u>N</u>		
OF C	NO.		<u>}</u>		o losoiU-HTT	X		,								PRIN'	5,47	15		
LE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO.	KS	24 for & say		# of containers	9	4-	_					->				У С	HONG	-	
SAMPLE C					Sample Type	М ç	S	У				0	Y				ł			3 44 5
Ø		34612	Fax # [12] Yoz-849		Time Sampled	8:00	12.25	12:35	1:56	2:08	2.15	2:22	2:45	2:30		SIGNATURE	r Z	Mere	Ъ	
	rate	RING #	+ (su)+		late Sanpled	11/8/07				-			7	F0/8/11	~	SIGN	hed by	PAR D	hed U:	by:
	S.		Fax		Lab ID	PI AF	02 A -6	6	ov≜t	9.9 A 4	CC A-E	D7 A-E	BAB BO	8			Relinquished b:	Received by:	Keinquished U:	Received by:
711147	Sond Report To MIKe Station Company SLR	Address Zul ZL Tor And SE; RING H; Ste, 150 City, State, ZIP Bothell, W.A.	Phone # (725) 702-2800		Sample ID	INF-11807	-	A2-SW(22)-10'	A3-FL-15'	B3-FL-15'	A4-PL-15'	B4-FL-IS'	44-SW-10'	This blank				2029	1	Fax (206) 283-5044 R
	l'			LL		$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$	Y	¥					¥							

.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 27, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 19, 2007 from the Longview, F&BI 711257 project. There are 7 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1127R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 19, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 711257 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

1

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711257-01	E1-FL-5'
711257-02	C4-SW-4'
711257-03	C4-SW-10'
711257-04	C4-FL-11'
711257-05	A4-SW(3)-10'
711257-06	A5-SW(2)-4
711257-07	Tank1-FL(2)-12'
711257-08	B5-FL-8'
711257-09	B5-SW-4'
711257-10	A5-SW-10'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/19/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711257 Date Extracted: 11/19/07 Date Analyzed: 11/19/07 and 11/20/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis

Results Reported on a Dry weight Dask Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
E1-FL-5' 711257-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	133
C4-SW-4' 711257-02	< 0.02	0.05	< 0.02	0.13	14	99
C4-SW-10' 711257-03	<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	122
C4-FL-11' 711257-04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	5	125
A4-SW(3)-10' 711257-05	0.24	< 0.02	0.30	< 0.06	22	124
A5-SW(2)-4' 711257-06	< 0.02	0.15	0.26	1.0	39	ip
Tank1-FL(2)-12' 711257-07	0.06	0.15	0.06	< 0.06	29	148
B5-FL-8' 711257-08	< 0.02	0.05	< 0.02	<0.06	10	126
B5-SW-4' 711257-09	< 0.02	0.06	< 0.02	0.32	17	84

 $\mathbf{2}$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/19/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711257 Date Extracted: 11/19/07 Date Analyzed: 11/19/07 and 11/20/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
A5-SW-10' 711257-10	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	6	125
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	101

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/19/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711257 Date Extracted: 11/19/07 Date Analyzed: 11/20/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
E1-FL-5' 711257-01	<50	91
Method Blank	<50	91

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/19/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711257

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711256-02 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	96	70-130
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	96	70-130
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	92	70-130
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	93	70-130
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	115	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/19/07 Project: Longview, F&BI 711257

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 711251-01 (Matrix Spike) Silica Gel Sample Percent Percent Reporting Spike Result Recovery Recovery RPD Acceptance Analyte Units Level (Wet wt) MSMSD Criteria (Limit 20) Diesel Extended mg/kg (ppm) 5,000 <50 92 50-150 91 1 Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample Silica Gel Percent Reporting Spike Recovery Acceptance Analyte Units Level LCS Criteria Diesel Extended 5,000 96 mg/kg (ppm) 70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

								La								ţ				·			-
801 1522	Page #	RERUSH Z4 4-	Itush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days	1) Return samples 11 Will call with instructions		Notes	stores to the second se				*						DATE TIME	1/16/04 142	05:80 20/01/11		D. 7	- -
ME 11-19.07		PCU # 10 # CUI	Itash			ANALYSES REQUESTED											S.	COMPANY	SLR	Fredit		Samples received at	
CHAIN OF CUSTODY ME	gnature) Cer Ken	IE/NO.			als for weight Average	AUALY	TPH-Diosol SVOCs by 8260 SVOCs by 8260 TPH-Gasoline TPH-Diosol											PRINT NAME	This Kaner	Chain Phan			
SAMPLE CHAIN	SAMPLERS (signature)			- Et L. fu	S Blank		Sample Type containers	S. S		4	<i>\</i>	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}$	4		*	ケ			Q				
01			1. H; Ste. 1			4	ed Sampled	63 953	1/53	M5Z	1201	312	325	107 751	240	735	922	SIGNATURE	1 Ker	and any	_	÷	
	staten	*	w SE; bl	1 WA	_Fax#		Lab late ID Saupled	to/si/1 B.Y VU	ne.A.D 1	07A.D	OLL R-D	n5A.U	06 A-1) L	07 A. 11/16	0843	0.4.60	10A-D V		Relinquished	Received by:	Relinquished b:	Received by:	
711257	Send Report To Mike States	Company SLR	Address 22122 20th Am 5E; 664 H; 54. 150	City, State, ZIP Bothell, WA	Phone # 1257402-8800		Sample [])	EV-R-S'	C4-Sw-y'	C4-5W-10'	C4-FL-11'	A4-5W(2)-101	45-SW(2)-4'	Tank/-FL(2)-12' 07 A 11/16/07 75/	B5-FL-8	BS- 8W-4'	AS-5W-101	Friedman & Bruva. Inc.	3012 16th Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Fax (206) 283-5044	MSV COC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 27, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 20, 2007 from the Longview PO 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711270 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1127R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 20, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview PO 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711270 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

1

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711270-01	C6-SW-4
711270-02	C6-FL-7
711270-03	C5-SW-4
711270-04	C5-FL-7
711270-05	B6-SW-4
711270-06	B6-FL-7

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/20/07 Project: Longview PO 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711270 Date Extracted: 11/20/07 and 11/21/07 Date Analyzed: 11/20/07 and 11/21/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis

Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
C6-SW-4 711270-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	146
C6-FL-7 711270-02	< 0.02	0.17	0.06	0.11	16	104
C5-SW-4 711270-03	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	6	128
C5-FL-7 711270-04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	107
B6-SW-4 711270-05	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.08	0.39	8	122
B6-FL-7 711270-06	< 0.02	0.07	0.09	0.30	16	99
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	77
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	101

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/20/07 Project: Longview PO 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711270

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711270-04 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\mathbf{Percent}$	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	88	70-130
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	84	70-130
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	82	70-130
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	83	70-130
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	88	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\rm pr-The\ sample\ was\ received\ with\ incorrect\ preservation.$ The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

04811F				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	IO NIVH	F CU	STO	λd	MG		1-20	11-20-07	1	VSI/ CER	તે	
Send Report To MIKビ		STATON	ک	SAMPLI	SAMPLERS (signature)	ure)							TURN/	Page # of TURNAROUND T	of TIME	
				PROJEC	PROJECT NAMENIO	B	13		-	FO #	# C	N CL	usH	1 Standard (2 Weeks) E RUSH	-	
Address 77179 70M	I	Are. S	SE, H-150		Mennen				ઝ	Lo	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Rusl	h charge	Rush charges authorized by:	by:	
City, State, ZIP SOTHEL	-	twy	16086	L REMARKS	KS							<u>ସ</u> 	SAMP ispose af	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days	AL	
Phone # 475-403 - 8800 Fax # 475 -403 - 8488	D Fax	# 135 - 1	SUS- 848									D Re W	eturn sa ill call w	1] Return samples 1] Will call with instructions	ions	
								Ā	VALYS	SES RF	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ED				
Sample (I)	Lab ID	late Sanpled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPII-Diosol	81208 vd XaTa	VOCa by 8260	IILS CAOC ^a p ^A 8510	- <u></u> .				Notes	8	
C6-5W-4	01 A.E	E11-19-07	1110	Soil	U.		X					·		Sm nd - R	2	
C6-71-7	02 A.E		1130			-								1/21/07		
C5-SW-4	03 A-E		1310											me		
C5-F-7	H A A A		1310	\searrow	\rightarrow	4	$\lambda \lambda \lambda$		*****							
B6 - Sw- 4	69.	د	010			Ø	0									
R6- F1 - 7	2 4- H		010			~	7									
				۰. ۱												
• •																
•				• •					Sa	mple	ș recei	Samples received at		Q		
												3				
	Poliscitiched In	SIGN	SIGNATURE		17R	PRINT NAME	IAME				COMPANY	ΛNΥ			TIME	
	aupunovi	O. D.			CHRIS L	١ <u>الا</u>		K	· .	SUR			<u></u>		<u>1</u>	
Deatue, WA 98113-2029	Relinquished b:	K DIL	IHO		relicia		Soult	セ	Ú		Leo .	à		11/11/101	1400	
Fax (206) 283-5044 FORMSYCOCYLYIC DOC	Recuived by:	Pring 1	and		Alan	Ð	Than			14-	FeBI		1	toloch	00:60	
																ł

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 27, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 21, 2007 from the Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711291 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1127R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 21, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711291 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory	ID
711291-01	
711291-02	

SLR International Corp. Tank1-FL(3)-14 A4-SW(4)-10

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/21/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711291 Date Extracted: 11/21/07 Date Analyzed: 11/21/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis

Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
Tank1-FL(3)-14 711291-01	0.06	0.18	< 0.02	<0.06	15	127
A4-SW(4)-10 711291-02	1.1	< 0.02	2.6	1.8	36	124
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	91

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/27/07 Date Received: 11/21/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711291

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711238-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	`<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	106	70-130
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	102	70-130
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	100	70-130
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	101	70-130
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	91	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

			01	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	HAIN OF	CUSTO	У	ME	11-21-97	- 97	131/181	FF
Send Report To MIKE STATON	STY.	201		SAMPLE	SAMPLERS (signature)					Page # TURN	AROUND TI	₹.⊢
Company 22 SUR	<u>ک</u>	Int C		PROJEC	PROJECT NAME/NO.	4		# OJ	#	C Standa	l) Standard (2 Weeks) & RUSH	
Address 22-13- JOM Arc. SE	<u>n Arc</u>		#11-150	- Lengvici	173. unu	-		F0000. 2710-100	-Easo	Rush cha	Rush charges authorized by:	
City, State, ZIP <u>Cothell</u> , <u>MA</u>	MA		1.6086	REMARKS	S			-		SA Dispos	SAMPLE DISPOSAL pose after 30 days	1
Core # UDE -409 - SPCC	_Fax≠	Fax # 475-402-8488	2378-CC							П Return П Will са	C Return samples Nill call with instructions	
					~		ANA	LYSES RI	ANALYSES REQUESTED			
Sampfe (I)	Lab ID	late Sanpled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	TPH-Diesel containers containers	PII-Gasoline 81208 vd XATR	SVOCs by 8270 VOCs by 8260	SAII			Notes	
Tomk 1-FL(3)-14	01A-B	014-411-20-07 1830		S	IJ	X						·····
A4-Sw(4)-10	ORA: F	08A-91-30-07-1300		S	15	X X						
												1
•												1
				÷ -						•		
											(
-									Sam	oles recei	Samples received at 2 °C	
									•			
		SIGN	SIGNATURE			PRINT NAME			COMPANY	NY	DATE TIME	
I	o pousinguion				Citris LEE	Ŀ		SUR	~		11-20-07-14-30	
Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ro Ph. (206) 285.2229 Ro	Received by: <u>Relinauished b:</u>	by:///M	Y		Darby Merrisan	risen.		E E	√ €x		11-20 W: 30	T
	Received by: 🗸	j.			R 1 + G	0		: C	6		2 0 2°	
J		ħ	EU E	_	2				A			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 28, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 21, 2007 from the 001.0173.00007 Longview, F&BI 711306 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1128R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 21, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. 001.0173.00007 Longview, F&BI 711306 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID
711306-01

SLR International Corp. A5-SW(3)-4

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/28/07 Date Received: 11/21/07 Project: 001.0173.00007 Longview, F&BI 711306 Date Extracted: 11/26/07 Date Analyzed: 11/26/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
A5-SW(3)-4 711306-01	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	98
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	99

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/28/07 Date Received: 11/21/07 Project: 001.0173.00007 Longview, F&BI 711306

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711293-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	<0.06	<0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		$\mathbf{Percent}$	
Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	104	70-130
mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	100	70-130
mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	98	70-130
mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	99	70-130
mg/kg (ppm)	20	79	70-130
	Units mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm)	Units Level mg/kg (ppm) 0.5 mg/kg (ppm) 0.5	Reporting UnitsSpike LevelRecovery LCSmg/kg (ppm)0.5104mg/kg (ppm)0.5100mg/kg (ppm)0.598mg/kg (ppm)1.599

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

<u>Data Qualifiers & Definitions</u>

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

711306 Send Report To MIKE		STATON		SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLERS (signature)	IPLE CHAIN OF C SAMPLERS (signature)	F Cl)TSU	Mag		ME		11 - 21 - 07	H O	age # URNAROU	VS/CZ/
Company SUR 1nt-1 Address 22139 2044 Ave.	th Ave	S U	SE 4 H-150		PROJECT NAMENO. OOI. 0178. 00007- Longuiew	ġ,				1 SE10-100	#04 FOUD STO. 106		II Stands RUSH Rush cha	II Standard (2 Weeks) R RUSH Rush charges authorized by:	ks) orized by:
City, State, ZIP Bothell, WA	I, W	A 98021	121	REMARKS	KS				1			·	Dibisp	SAMPLE DISPOSAI pose after 30 days	SPOSAL days
Phone # 475-407-8800 Fax # 435-402-8488	00 Fax	:# U35-1	she was										LI Will	1.1 Keturn samples 17 Will call with instructions	structions
									NAL	YSES	ANALYSES REQUESTED	STED			
Sample [])	ID ID	late Saupled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	IosoiQ-HUT	PII-Gasoline 81208 vd XATA	VOCs by 8260	SAUCs by 8270	SIII					Notes
A5-SW(3)-4	10	11-20-07 1500	1500	S	D	\cap	\mathbb{X}								
														- 1999-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-199	
								_							
		-													
				•											
•				***											
									_						
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGA	SIGNATURE		PR	I J'NI	PRINT NAME				COL	COMPANY		DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinqui	Relinquished b:		\mathcal{O}	SIARIS	1	μ Π			3	3			11-21-0	,500
Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by: Relinquished lif	IF 1	eshon		Acrendra	dra	2D	erg	L'ONC	.~	=/B			11-24-06	6 15.15
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	l by:							Sam	nles	VLADA	Samiles received at	t		
FORMSNCOCKDOC			¢ .						2					2	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 30, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 27, 2007 from the Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711328 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1130R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 27, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711328 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
711328-01	Tank1-FL(4)-15
711328-02	A4-SW(5)-10

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/30/07 Date Received: 11/27/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711328 Date Extracted: 11/27/07 Date Analyzed: 11/27/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	Toluene	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
Tank1-FL(4)-15 711328-01	< 0.02	0.04	< 0.02	<0.06	4	80
A4-SW(5)-10 ⁷¹¹³²⁸⁻⁰²	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	15	102
Method Blank	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	90

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/30/07 Date Received: 11/27/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 711328

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 711293-21 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample Result	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units		Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	<0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	$\mathbf{n}\mathbf{m}$

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	108	70-130
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	102	70-130
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	100	70 - 130
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	101	70-130
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	72	70-130
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp-Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr-The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

NSI/B	Page # ' of I TURNAROUND TIME			SAMPLE DISPOSAL	□ Return samplos □ Will call with instructions	QUESTED	Notes				-			VNAMC	2 11-26-07	B.T. 11/27/07:31		Samles received at / °C
USTODY ME	(signature)	IAME/NO. PO#	M 10010110110110113.00007			ANALYSES REQUESTED	Street by 8260 Street by 8260		5 XX					PRINT NAME	Chris Lee SUR	Nhan Rhan Fe		Samples
SAMPLE CHA	SAMPLERS (signature)	PROJECT NAME/NO.	Are. SE, # H-150 Lengview	98021 REMARKS	Sshs- Coh-		Time Sampled Sample Type	S	S	-		**		SIGNATURE	CH	/ aus		
711328	Sond Report To Mike Staten	Company SLR INT'	٢	4	Phone # 475-407-8800 Fax # 475-407-8488		Sample ID I.ab late ID Sampled	Tank 1-FL(4)-15 9E 11-36-07 13-15	44-SW(5)-10 92-61-200		•					2029	Ph. (206) 285-8282 Relinquished b:	Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by:

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 7, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the additional results from the testing of material submitted on November 27, 2007 from the 001.0173.00007 Longview, F&BI 711336 project. There are 5 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1207R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 27, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. 001.0173.00007 Longview, F&BI 711336 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SLR International Corp.
711336-01	Effluent-1127

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270C SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Effluent-112 11/27/07 11/28/07 12/06/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0173.00007 Longview 711336-01 120520.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 104 93	Lower Limit: 50 50	Upper Limit: 150 150
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Phenanthrene Anthracene Fluoranthene Pyrene Benz(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranther Benzo(k)fluoranther Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre Dibenz(a,h)anthrace Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ne ene ene	$\begin{array}{c} < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \\ < 0.03 \end{array}$		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270C SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bla Not Applica 11/28/07 12/06/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0173.00007 Longview 071923mb 120519.D GCMS6 YA
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Anthracene-d10		105	50	150
Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	99	50	150
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		<0.03		
Acenaphthylene		<0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		<0.03		
Phenanthrene		<0.03		
Anthracene		<0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		<0.03		
Chrysene		<0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranthe	ne	< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranthe	ne	<0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyr	ene	<0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac	ene	<0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylen	е	<0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/07/07 Date Received: 11/27/07 Project: 001.0173.00007 Longview, F&BI 711336

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PNA'S BY EPA METHOD 8270C SIM

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	5	86	89	70-130	3
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	5	88	92	70-130	4
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	87	91	70-130	4
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	5	86	88	70-130	2
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	87	90	70-130	3
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	5	84	89	70-130	6
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	88	92	70-130	4
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	88	92	70-130	4
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	5	84	89	70-130	6
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	5	88	93	70-130	6
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	99	100	70-130	1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	5	87	92	70-130	6
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	90	94	70-130	4
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	5	95	97	70-130	2
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	5	91	95	70-130	4
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	5	91	93	70-130	2

Note: The initial calibration verification result for anthracene-d10 exceeded 15% deviation. The average deviation for all compounds was not greater than 15%; therefore, the initial calibration is considered valid.

Note: The calibration verification result for anthracene-d10 exceeded 15% deviation. The average deviation for all compounds was not greater than 15%; therefore, the initial calibration is considered valid.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

fp – Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

V2/A/AIVAROUND TIME Page # of of TURNAROUND TIME n Standard (2 Weeks) Rush charges authorized by: Rush charges authorized by: SAMPLE DISPOSAL D Dispose after 30 days D Return samples D Return samples D Will call with instructions	Notes				NY DATE TIME NY DATE TIME	-SI: h(60/2.0/n)
15 11-27-07 PO# Puno173.00007	2441 اصل وركام وركام المركمة 11152 مركم وركام وركام المركمة 11152 مركم وركام وركام المركمة 11152 مركم وركم وركم وركم وركم وركم وركم وركم	Ŕ		Samples received	COMPANY	Te BI
AMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY M SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. COL. 0173,00007 COL. 0174,00007 COL. 0174,0000	Support Sumple Support	M 22 XXX		· · · · ·	CHR4S LEE	
98031 98031	Lab lato Time ID Saupled Sampled	SIII to-te-1/m-4			SIGNATURE Relinquishod b:	Received by: Relinquished b: Heceived by:
711336 Send Report To Mike Station Company SU/2 1nt-1 Address 22122 00 hr Are. SE, H-150 City, State, ZIP Both cll, VA 98031 City, State, ZIP Both cll, VA 98031	Sample (D	Effluent - 1127			Friedmun & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282 Fax (206) 283-5044 Fokmsvcocvcoc.Doc

•.

.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 26, 2007

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 13, 2007 from the Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136 project. There are 26 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1226R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on December 13, 2007 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
712136-01	DMW7-1207
712136-02	DMW8-1207
712136-03	MW9-1207
712136-04	DMW6-1207
712136-05	MW11-1207
712136-06	DMW5-1207
712136-07	DMW9-1207
712136-08	MW13-1207
712136-09	MW12-1207
712136-10	MW5-1207
712136-11	DMW4-1207
712136-12	DMW10-1207
712136-13	MW-14-1207
712136-14	DMW3-1207
712136-15	MW10-1207
712136-16	MW8-1207

The samples were sent to Analytical Resources, Inc. for nitrate, sulfate, alkalinity, and dissolved methane analyses. The report generated by ARI will be forwarded to your office upon receipt.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/26/07 Date Received: 12/13/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136 Date Extracted: 12/14/07 Date Analyzed: 12/14/07 and 12/17/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

			\mathbf{Ethyl}	Total	Gasoline	Surrogate
<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Xylenes</u>	<u>Range</u>	(<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
DMW7-1207 712136-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	111
DMW8-1207 712136-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<10 ⁰	110
MW9-1207 712136-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	109
DMW6-1207 712136-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	110
MW11-1207 712136-05	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	108
DMW5-1207 712136-06	41	<1	<1	<3	100	110
DMW9-1207 d 712136-07 1/100	6,100	1,900	970	3,100	27,000	106
MW13-1207 712136-08	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	102
MW12-1207 712136-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	101
MW5-1207 712136-10	<1	<1	<1	<3	140	102
DMW4-1207 712136-11	27	3	2	4	260	113

 $\mathbf{2}$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/26/07 Date Received: 12/13/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136 Date Extracted: 12/14/07 Date Analyzed: 12/14/07 and 12/17/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
DMW10-1207 712136-12	60	4	88	130	750	100
MW-14-1207 712136-13	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	102
DMW3-1207 712136-14	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	103
MW10-1207 712136-15	9	3	65	<3	3,100	104
MW8-1207 ⁷¹²¹³⁶⁻¹⁶	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	102
Method Blank	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	101

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/26/07 Date Received: 12/13/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136 Date Extracted: 12/13/07 Date Analyzed: 12/17/07

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

		Surrogate
<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	$\frac{\text{Diesel Range}}{(C_{10}-C_{25})}$	<u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
DMW7-1207 712136-01	<50	. 72
DMW8-1207 712136-02	<50	77
MW9-1207 712136-03	<50	81
DMW6-1207 712136-04	<50	75
MW11-1207 712136-05	<50	76
DMW5-1207 712136-06	<50	76
DMW9-1207 712136-07	600 x	82
MW13-1207 712136-08	<50	81
MW12-1207 712136-09	<50	73
MW5-1207 712136-10	<50	89
DMW4-1207 712136-11	<50	83
DMW10-1207 712136-12	53 х	84

 $\mathbf{4}$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW7-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-01 712136-01.033 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 121	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		3,720		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	DMW8-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-02 712136-02.036 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator :	hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 99	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		1,940		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW9-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-03 712136-03.037 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 97	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		3.99		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:	DMW6-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07		Client: Project: Lab ID:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-04
Date Analyzed:	12/18/07		Data File:	712136-04.038
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator :	hr
	10		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		121	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Manganese

1,740

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW11-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-05 712136-05.039 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		89	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		1,780		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW5-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-06 712136-06.041 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 109	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)	1.	
Manganese		2,280		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:	DMW9-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-07
Date Analyzed: Matrix:	12/18/07 Water		Instrument:	712136-07.042 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 99	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		1,920		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW13-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-08 712136-08.043 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 80	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		8,690		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW12-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-09 712136-09.044 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 86	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		5,330		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW5-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-10 712136-10.045 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 90	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,850		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW4-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-11 712136-11.046 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 114	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)	60	125
Manganese		2,190		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW10-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-12 712136-12.047 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium	ģ	% Recovery: 108	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	C	oncentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,950		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:	MW-14-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07		Client: Project: Lab ID:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-13
Date Analyzed: Matrix:	12/18/07 Water		Data File: Instrument:	712136-13.048 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		93	60	125
	(Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		9,350		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	DMW3-1207		Client:	SLR International Corp.
Date Received:	12/13/07		Project:	Longview, F&BI 712136
Date Extracted:	12/17/07		Lab ID:	712136-14
Date Analyzed:	12/18/07		Data File:	712136-14.049
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		96	60	125
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

2,770

Manganese

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW10-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-15 712136-15.050 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 110	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,420		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW8-1207 12/13/07 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview, F&BI 712136 712136-16 712136-16.052 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 102	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

531

Manganese

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 12/17/07 12/18/07 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client:SLR International CProject:Longview, F&BI 712Lab ID:I7-481 mbData File:I7-481 mb.031Instrument:ICPMS1Operator:hr		
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 88	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125	
Analyte:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)			

Manganese

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/26/07 Date Received: 12/13/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

. . .

Laboratory Code: 712143-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	106	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	93	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/26/07 Date Received: 12/13/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Control	l Sample	Silica Gel			
Percent Percent						
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	88	94	70-130	7

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/26/07 Date Received: 12/13/07 Project: Longview 001.0173.00007, F&BI 712136

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 712136-01 (Duplicate)

Reporting Units

ug/L (ppb)

Analyte

Manganese

				Relative	
		Sample	Duplicat	e Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	Differenc	e Criteria
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	3,720	3,870	4	0-20
Laboratory Code	: 712136-01 (Matrix	Spike)			
				Percent	
		Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	3,720	1,080 b	50-150
Laboratory Code	: Laboratory Control	l Sample			
			Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptanc	e

LCS

95

Criteria

70-130

Level

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

<u>Data Qualifiers & Definitions</u>

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

Analytical Resources, Incorporated

Analytical Chemists and Consultants

January 3, 2008

Mike Erdahl Friedman & Bruya 3012 – 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 9819-2029

Client Project: 712136 PO# H-1219 ARI ID: MB81

Dear Mr. Erdahl:

Please find enclosed the original Chain of Custody record, sample receipt documentation, and analytical results for the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. accepted sixteen water samples in good condition on December 13, 2007. Please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form for further details regarding sample receipt.

The samples were analyzed for Methane, Alkalinity, Sulfate, and Nitrate, as requested on the Chain of Custody.

The analyses were completed routinely, with the exception of the irregularities detailed below.

<u>Alkalinity</u>

Nitrate, which has a limited hold time, had to be performed from the same sample container provided for Alkalinity, which can be compromised if it is not run immediately upon opening the container, but does not have a critical hold time. Due to this situation, Alkalinity results may be compromised.

<u>Nitrate</u>

The low Spike recoveries associated with the Nitrate analysis were determined to have been caused by the matrix.

Quality control analysis results are included for your review. Copies of the reports and all associated raw data will be kept on file electronically at ARI. If you have any guestions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Respectfully,

Eric Branson

Client Services - Project Support ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. (206) 695-6213 eric@arilabs.com www.arilabs.com

Enclosures


Analytical Resources Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

Data Reporting Qualifiers Effective 12/28/04

Inorganic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- B Reported value is less than the CRDL but \geq the Reporting Limit
- N Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- H The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

Organic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- * Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- E Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for

Version 12-008 12/20/06 145. A.S.

WEAR AND

ŝ



Analytical Resources Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample

- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference

Geotechnical Data

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting

nalylical Resources Inc. aboratory Quality Assurance Plan Page 132 of 153

Version 12-008 12/20/06 ŝ

an ana ana ana ana ang

· Same along

1.100

÷

ź



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METHANE ETHANE ETHENE Modified RSK 175

Page 1 of 2 Matrix: Water QC Report No: MB81-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1219 712136 Date Received: 12/13/07

٩

Data Release Authorized:

		Analysis				
ARI ID	Sample ID	Date	DL	Analyte	RL	Result
MB81A	DMW7-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	9,140
07-26785				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81B	DMW8-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	3,780
07-26786				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81C	MW9-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	0.8
07-26787				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 Ŭ
MB81D	DMW6-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	11,700
07-26788				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 Ŭ
MB81E	MW11-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	103
07-26789				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81ERE	MW11-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Acetylene	1.1	< 1.1 U
07-26789				Methane	0.7	105
				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1,1 U
MB81F	DMW5-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	13,700
07-26790				Ethane	1.2	3.1
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81G	DMW9-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	27,400
07-26791				Ethane	1.2	34.6
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81H	MW13-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	40.2
07-26792				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81I	MW12-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	160
07-26793				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81J	MW5-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	608
07-26794		• • • •		Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MB81K	DMW4-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane	0.7	10,100
07 06705				Ethane	1.2	2.5
07-26795				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U



ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METHANE ETHANE ETHENE Modified RSK 175 Page 2 of 2 Matrix: Water

QC Report No: MB81-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1219 712136 Date Received: 12/13/07

Data Release Authorized: Reported: 12/18/07

ARI ID	Sample ID	Analysis Date	DL	Analyte	RL	Result
MB81L 07-26796	DMW10-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane Ethane Ethene	0.7 1.2 1.1	11,300 18.8 < 1.1 U
MB81M 07-26797	MW-14-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane Ethane Ethene	0.7 1.2 1.1	72.8 < 1.2 U < 1.1 U
MB81N 07-26798	DMW3-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane Ethane Ethene	0.7 1.2 1.1	1,630 < 1.2 U < 1.1 U
MB810 07-26799	MW10-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane Ethane Ethene	0.7 1.2 1.1	6,510 3.2 < 1.1 U
MB81P 07-26800	MW8-1207	12/17/07	1.0	Methane Ethane Ethene	0.7 1.2 1.1	98.8 < 1.2 U < 1.1 U
121707MB 121707MB 121707MB	Method Blank Method Blank Method Blank	12/17/07 12/17/07 12/17/07	1.0 1.0 1.0	Methane Ethane Ethene	0.7 1.2 1.1	< 0.7 U < 1.2 U < 1.1 U

Reported in ug/L (ppb)



RSK 175/METHANE-ETHANE-ETHENE WATER SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Water

QC Report No: MB81-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1219 712136

ARI ID	Client ID	PRP	TOT OUT
MB81A	DMW7-1207	98.8%	0
MB81B	DMW8-1207	100%	0
MB81C	MW9-1207	106%	0
MB81D	DMW6-1207	100%	0
MB81E	MW11-1207	105%	0
MB81ERE	MW11-1207	106%	0
MB81F	DMW5-1207	96.6%	0
MB81G	DMW9-1207	99.6%	0
MB81H	MW13-1207	108%	0
MB81I	MW12-1207	102%	. 0
MB81J	MW5-1207	103%	0
MB81K	DMW4-1207	92.9%	0
MB81L	DMW10-1207	98.3%	0
MB81M	MW-14-1207	103%	0
MB81N	DMW3-1207	103%	0
MB810	MW10-1207	94.0%	0
MB81P	MW8-1207	101%	0
MB-121707	Method Blank	106%	0
LCS-121707	Lab Control	100%	0
LCSD-121707	Lab Control Du	ip 101%	0

			LCS/MB I	IMITS	õG	LIMITS
(PRP)	=	Propane	(78-11	19)	(69	-110)

Log Number Range: 07-26785 to 07-26800

Page 1 for MB81



r

ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METHANE ETHANE ETHENE Modified RSK 175 Page 1 of 1 Matrix: Water

QC Report No: MB81-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1219 712136 Date Received: 12/13/07

Data Release Authorized: Reported: 12/18/07

ARI ID	Analysis Date	Analyte	Spike	Result	Recovery	RPD
121707LCS 121707LCSD	12/17/07	Methane	654	649 721	99.2% 110.2%	10.5%
121707LCS 121707LCSD	12/17/07	Ethane	1,230	1,200 1,250	97.8% 101.9%	4.1%
121707LCS 121707LCSD	12/17/07	Ethene	1,150	1,160 1,180	101.3% 103.0%	1.7%

Reported in ug/L (ppb)



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: DMW7-1207 ARI ID: 07-26785 MB81A

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	158
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.023
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.019
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	23.3

Analytical reporting limit RL

υ Undetected at reported detection limit



<u>.</u>::.

 \mathcal{D}

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: DMW8-1207 ARI ID: 07-26786 MB81B

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	133
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	0.014
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.012
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.026
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	6.2

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit

Water Sample Report-MB81



2

29

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW9-1207 ARI ID: 07-26787 MB81C

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	40.1
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	0.499
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.499
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	5.0

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit

Water Sample Report-MB81



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: DMW6-1207 ARI ID: 07-26788 MB81D

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	104
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.032
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.017
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	8.0

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW11-1207 ARI ID: 07-26789 MB81E

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	28.4
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	0.777
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.024
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.801
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	100	643

RLAnalytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit

Sec. 1 \dot{X}



pagia a ita

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: DMW5-1207 ARI ID: 07-26790 MB81F

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	177
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.028
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.020
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	13.0

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit

Water Sample Report-MB81

.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

a second and the second se

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

. A serie reserved a biological de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la co A serie reserved a biological de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la com

Client ID: DMW9-1207 ARI ID: 07-26791 MB81G

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	270
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.051
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307 #1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.058
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	4.0	55.7

RL Analytical reporting limit

Undetected at reported detection limit U



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

1/0/22/2004/2012/11/11

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW13-1207 ARI ID: 07-26792 MB81H

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	70.7
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	1.00	31.7
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.203
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	1.00	31.9
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	100	1,590

RLAnalytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW12-1207 ARI ID: 07-26793 MB811

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	6.9
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	1.00	37.0
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.339
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	1.00	37.3
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EFA 375.2	mg/L	100	1,500

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW5-1207 ARI ID: 07-26794 MB81J

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	10.3
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	1.00	12.2
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.287
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	1.00	12.5
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	100	969

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: DMW4-1207 ARI ID: 07-26795 MB81K

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	174
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.033
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	22.4

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit

Water Sample Report-MB81



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: DMW10-1207 ARI ID: 07-26796 MB81L

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	191
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.028
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.024
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	24.2

RLAnalytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW-14-1207 ARI ID: 07-26797 MB81M

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	16.0
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	1.00	16.7
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.213
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	1.00	16.9
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	100	1,190

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: DMW3-1207 ARI ID: 07-26798 MB81N

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	220
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.050	< 0.050 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.050	0.120
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.050	0.155
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	31.8

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit

.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW10-1207 ARI ID: 07-26799 MB810

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	174
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.020	0.036
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307 # 1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.020	0.042
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.020	0.078
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	10.0	74.9

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Client ID: MW8-1207 ARI ID: 07-26800 MB81P

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	12/20/07 122007#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	33.3
N-Nitrate	12/13/07	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
N-Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	12/13/07 121307#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
Sulfate	12/31/07 123107#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	4.8

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



l. ..

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Spike	Spike Added	Recovery
ARI ID: MB81A Client	ID: DMW7-12	207					
N-Nitrite	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	0.023	0.406	0.500	76.6%
Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	0.019	0.309	0.500	58.0%
Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	0.019	0.322	0.500	60.6%
Sulfate	EPA 375.2	12/31/07	_mg/L	23.3	40.9	20.0	88.0%



. · ·

,•

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: 12/12/07 Date Received: 12/13/07

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Replicate(s)	RPD/RSD
ARI ID: MB81A Client	ID: DMW7-120)7				
Alkalinity	SM 2320	12/20/07	mg/L CaCO3	158	158	0.0%
N-Nitrite	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	0.023	0.023	0.0%
Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	0.019	0.019	0.0%
Sulfate	EPA 375.2	12/31/07	mg/L	23.3	23.3	0.0%

Water Replicate Report-MB81

METHOD BLANK RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MB81-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

·	METHOD BLANK F MB81-Friedr	ESULTS-CONVE an & Bruya,			ANALYTICAL RESOURCES INCORPORATED	
Matrix: Water Data Release Authoriz Reported: 01/02/08	ed:					
Analyte	Method	Date	Units		Blank	
N-Nitrite	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	<	0.010 U	
Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	<	0.010 U	
Sulfate	EPA 375.2	12/31/07	mg/L	<	2.0 U	

Water Method Blank Report-MB81

STANDARD REFERENCE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MB81-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 01/02/08

Project: H-1219 Event: 712136 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte/SRM ID	Method	Date	Units	SRM	True Value	Recovery
Alkalinity ERA #P114506	SM 2320	12/20/07	mg/L CaCO3	32.6	32.8	99.4%
N-Nitrite ERA #23034	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	0.491	0.500	98.2%
Nitrate + Nitrite ERA #20034	EPA 353.2	12/13/07	mg-N/L	0.472	0.500	94.4%
Sulfate ERA #37065	EPA 375.2	12/31/07	mg/L	26.7	25.0	106.8%

Water Standard Reference Report-MB81

	Page # of of -	KStandard (2 Weeks) D RUSH	Rush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days	П Return samples П Will call with instructions		Notes			-									13-13-07-04; to	07: 70 FO/EI EI	Samples received at 7 °C
ME 12-13-07		P04				ANALYSES REQUESTED	Menance by 175 Magnance by 186.1 Magnance by 200.8 Magnance by 200.8 Magnance by 200.0 Magnance by 200.0 Magnance by 200.0 Magnance by 200.0	$\mathbf{\hat{X}}$									$ \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$	COMPANY	SUX .	F2BI	Samples
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	SAMPLERS (signature)	ME/NO.	001.0173.00007	KEMARKS) After Silican Chel Cleanup	INAL	YOCs by 8260	×XX 8										PRINT NAME	Chris Lee.	Nhan Phan	
SAMPLE	SAMI	PROJ	# H-/50 001.	98021 HEM	Fax #(405)400-8488 DRO		late Time Sumple Type Sanpled Sampled	NIA tha-ta-ca- 0900 W	010	0011	011	000	1340	1355	0/1/1	1500	< 1545 . V	SIGNATURE		May aw	
	States	1	1		Fax #(49		Lab ID Sen	OIA the-K	12.A.H	03A.H	OL R.H	DY H.H	00 H.H	D7 A.H	08 A:4	mA-t	104.4 1	Rolinguischood h	o nottembritim	Received by: Relinquished b:	Received by:
712136	Soud Revent To Mike	Company SLR 14+1	Address 20122 DOM AVE SE	City, State, ZIP Bolhell, WA	Phone #(475) 409-8800		sample [])	FOGI - FWWO	t.061 - 8MWQ	MW9- 1907	DMWG- 1307	F061-11 MW	DMW5-1907	FOR - FWWD	MW13-1907	F061 - 61 MW	toel - SMW	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	JUIZ LUIR AGENUE INST	Seattle, WA 38119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-2282	

37	`	-												۱ <u>:</u>	فحدره فسعلو							
5/005/1	Page # of	KStandard (2 Weeks) D RUSH	nuso coargos autnorized oy:	* SAMPLE DISPOSAL Il Disposo after 30 days	🛛 Return samplos 🔿 Will call with instructions		Notes		•									DATE TIME	P-13 07 945	13/07 9:45	at 3 °C	_
	Page #	H H	softer	AMPL bso afte	rn sam call wit														2-0	ijei	- NE	_
3-07	A F	D RUSH	Ivusn cr	Dispo	17 Retur	ED	Dephered KSK	X					<u>}</u>					INY			Samples received at	
NE 12-13-07		PO#				ANALYSES REQUESTED	8:000 Racesonoprom	XХ					\checkmark			•		COMPANY	SUR	FEBI	San	
ME		PO#			0	VSES I	23111 2.05 69 300.0 2.005 69 300.0	\mathbf{X}					\rightarrow						Ñ			_
A		·	,		siliça; gel cleanup	ANAI	SVOCa by 8270 VOCa by 8260						,									
TOD	R				Jel C		81208 vd XATR	X					\rightarrow					AME				
CUS	re)		4		5	:	losoid-H'IT onilosse)-119T	X	_				\geq		·		- Internation	PRINT NAME	2	R.O.		
HAIN OF	SAMPLERS (signature)	PROJECT NAMENO.	001 0173.00007	Ś	After Sil		# of containers	8				·.	->		•		``		Chris Le	Nhau		
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	SAMPLE			REMARKS	Dro /		Sample Type	M					->	•					0	1		-
Ś			# H150	-7	3- 8488		Time Sampled		1925	9035	ଡ । ସ	9-150	2300					SIGNATURE		flaw		
	P			1-6086	071(5eh)		late Søupled	OGFT FO-CI-CIHAN					\rightarrow					SIGN	5 001	man	in ped u:	
	Stay		the i	WA	_Fax #		Lab ID	LER-H	12 A.tl	19 A-H	U4 Att	54.4	16 # :#						keinquistion of	Received by:	Received by:	
712136	Sond Revort To Mike Staton	Company SLR Intil	Address 2979-9044 Ave. SE	City, State, ZIP Bolhell, WA	Phone #(195) 409 - 8800 Fax # (495) 409 - 8088		Sample [D	DMW4 - 1307	DMW10- 1307	+001 - th - MW	- 7061 - EMWD	F061 - 01MW	toel - 8MW						لمحجب	2029	Ph. (206) 285-8282 P	-

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 1, 2008

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 14, 2008 from the Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141 project. There are 27 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR0401R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on March 14, 2008 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
803141-01	DMW7-0308
803141-02	DMW3-0308
803141-03	MW12-0308
803141-04	MW14-0308
803141-05	DMW10-0308
803141-06	DMW9-0308
803141-07	MW13-0308
803141-08	DMW5-0308
803141-09	MW11-0308
803141-10	MW5-0308
803141-11	DMW4-0308
803141-12	MW9-0308
803141-13	DMW6-0308
803141-14	MW8-0308
803141-15	MW10-0308
803141-16	DMW8-0308

The samples were sent to Analytical Resources, Inc. for Sulfate, Nitrate, Alkalinity, and Dissolved Methane analyses. The report is enclosed.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141 Date Extracted: 03/17/08 Date Analyzed: 03/17/08 and 03/18/08

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
DMW7-0308 803141-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	102
DMW3-0308 803141-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
MW12-0308 803141-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	100
MW14-0308 803141-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	95
DMW10-0308 d 803141-05 1/10	75	4	140	120	1,000	108
DMW9-0308 d 803141-06 1/100	3,000	150	380	880	13,000	92
MW13-0308 803141-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
DMW5-0308 803141-08	10	<1	<1	<3	<100	99
MW11-0308 803141-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92
MW5-0308 803141-10	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
DMW4-0308	6	<1	<1	<3	230	98

803141-11

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141 Date Extracted: 03/17/08 Date Analyzed: 03/17/08 and 03/18/08

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW9-0308 803141-12	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	98
DMW6-0308 803141-13	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	82
MW8-0308 803141-14	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
MW10-0308 803141-15	16	2	40	<3	3,000	101
DMW8-0308 803141-16	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
Method Blank	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
Method Blank	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141 Date Extracted: 03/19/08 Date Analyzed: 03/24/08

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL RANGE USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

		Surrogate
<u>Sample ID</u>	Diesel Range	$\frac{(\% \text{ Recovery})}{(1 + 1 + 2)}$
Laboratory ID	$(C_{10}-C_{25})$	(Limit 51-132)
DMW7-0308 803141-01	<50	74
DMW3-0308 803141-02	<50	69
MW12-0308 803141-03	<50	64
MW14-0308 803141-04	50	59
DMW10-0308 803141-05	74 x	72
DMW9-0308 803141-06	450 x	74
MW13-0308 803141-07	<50	71
DMW5-0308 803141-08	<50	77
MW11-0308 803141-09	<50	79

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141 Date Extracted: 03/19/08 Date Analyzed: 03/24/08

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL RANGE USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

Company

Sample ID	Diesel Range	Surrogate (% Recovery)
Laboratory ID	(C10-C25)	(Limit 51-132)
MW5-0308 803141-10	<50	67
DMW4-0308 803141-11	68 x	77
MW9-0308 803141-12	<50	72
DMW6-0308 803141-13	<50	73
MW8-0308 803141-14	<50	70
MW10-0308 803141-15	1,200 x	79
DMW8-0308 803141-16	<50	73
Method Blank	<50	72

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	DMW7-0308	3	Client:	SLR International Corp.
Date Received:	03/14/08		Project:	Longview Fmr. Arco 0855
Date Extracted:	03/25/08		Lab ID:	803141-01 x10
Date Analyzed:	03/31/08		Data File:	803141-01 x10.013
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		100	60	125
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		12,400		

6

.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW3-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-02 803141-02.010 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 81	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,550		

 $\mathbf{7}$
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW12-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/31/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-03 x10 803141-03 x10.010 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 109	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		6,770		

8

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW14-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/31/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-04 x10 803141-04 x10.011 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 107	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Manganese

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	DMW10-030	8	Client:	SLR International Corp.
Date Received:	03/14/08		Project:	Longview Fmr. Arco 0855
Date Extracted:	03/25/08		Lab ID:	803141-05 x10
Date Analyzed:	03/31/08		Data File:	803141-05 x10.014
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	\mathbf{hr}
		04 D	Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		96	60	125
A consideration	(Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		5,360		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW9-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)	3	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-06 x10 803141-06 x10.054 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 87	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		3,400		

11

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW13-0308	5	Client:	SLR International Corp.
Date Received:	03/14/08		Project:	Longview Fmr. Arco 0855
Date Extracted:	03/25/08		Lab ID:	803141-07 x10
Date Analyzed:	03/31/08		Data File:	803141-07 x10.012
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		104	60	125
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Manganese

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	DMW5-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water	3	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-08 803141-08.017 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 67	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,900		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW11-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-09 803141-09.031 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 75	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Thiary te.		dell (ppb)		

Manganese

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW5-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-10 803141-10.032 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 75	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,480		

15

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW4-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/31/08 Water ug/L (ppb)	3	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-11 x10 803141-11 x10.015 ICPMS1 hr
Units:	սցչը (իրը)	,	Operator:	nr
			Lower	\mathbf{Upper}
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		107	60	125
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Manganese

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW9-0308		Client:	SLR International Corp.
Date Received:	03/14/08		Project:	Longview Fmr. Arco 0855
Date Extracted:	03/25/08		Lab ID:	803141-12
Date Analyzed:	03/25/08		Data File:	803141-12.034
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		73	60	125
		Concentration		
		Concentration		

14.0

Manganese

.

17

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	DMW6-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-13 803141-13.035 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 75	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		4,270		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW8-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-14 803141-14.019 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 67	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Manganese

463

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW10-0308 03/14/08 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 803141-15 803141-15.020 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 77	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,170		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	DMW8-0308		Client:	SLR International Corp.
Date Received:	03/14/08		Project:	Longview Fmr. Arco 0855
Date Extracted:	03/25/08		Lab ID:	803141-16
Date Analyzed:	03/25/08		Data File:	803141-16.021
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	hr
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	•	69	60	125
		Concentration		*
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,070		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan NA 03/25/08 03/25/08 Water ug/L (ppb)	k	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 I8-098 mb I8-098 mb.008 ICPMS1 hr
Internal Standard: Germanium	ц <u>б</u> , п (рро)	% Recovery: 82	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Manganese

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 803158-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	1	1	0
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	200	210	5

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	\mathbf{Spike}	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	91	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	72 - 122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	95	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	81	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 803160-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L <u>(</u> ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	90	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	93	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	95	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	102	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Contro	ol Sample	Silica Gel			
			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	99	100	67-141	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/01/08 Date Received: 03/14/08 Project: Longview Fmr. Arco 0855 001.0173.00007, F&BI 803141

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code	e: 803141-03 (Dupli	cate)		Relati	ive	
		Sample	Duplica	te Perce	nt	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	; Differe	ence	Criteria
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	8,040	7,990	13		0-20
Laboratory Code Analyte	: 803141-03 (Matri Reporting Units	x Spike) Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS		eptance riteria
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	8,040	3,310 b	5	0-150
Laboratory Code	: Laboratory Contro	ol Sample	Parcent			

			Percent	
		\mathbf{Spike}	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	70-130

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

803141				SAMPLE (MPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	F CI)TSU	YUC	ME		03/14/03	4/0		SN	how/	NS/ADY /BILY
Send Report To MIKE		ST4TON		SAMPL	SAMPLERS (signature)	ure)					-		ſĽ	Page #	Page # 1 of of TURNAROUND TIME	of
	I I	CORP		PROJEC	PROJECT NAME/NO.	0.4	1400	0%55			PO#		RUSH D	ISH	KStandard (2 Weeks) D RUSH	
Address 37477 Jorn AVE. SE	NE, S		# H-150	001.00	001.0173.00007	000	It.			E10-10	4 mmo · s/£10 · 100	+	Rush	charge	Rush charges authorized by:	ed by:
City, State, ZIP Bornher	C, WA	A 9802-1	1-60	REMARKS	KS				1				ם Di	SAMI spose a	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days)SAL
Phone # (425) 403 - 8840	Fax	<u> (das)4</u>	Fax # (435)409 - 8488	DRO	AFTER	Sluch	I	ې بې	J	GEL CLEANUP	Ą		ПWi	turn se ll call	C Return samples Nill call with instructions	ctions
									ANAL	XSES.	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ESTEI				
Sample [])	Lab ID	late Sanpled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	lozoi(I-HIT	TPII-Gasoline 815X by 80218	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	B+ 300 0 Entrate + Murae IIIES	WHICHPREE Discorred 200.8	Dissories	WELINAR BREAR		Z	Notes
DMW7-0308	h H IO	01 #3/13/08 0950	0950	Water	8	\mathbf{X}	X		· · · ·	X	X	\mathbf{X}				
DM W3 -0308	0.H		0000		-					_	Ĺ					
MW17-0308	05.H-		1045													
MW14-0308	04 4		1105							.,						
0mw10-0308	wî ∄		1125												-	
DMW9-0308	06 H		1150													-
MW13-0308	μ Η Γ δ	3	13-10													
DMW5-0308	Ge ∄- H		1330													
MW 11-0308	15 A		1300													-
MM 5-0308	0 4 4	\rightarrow	1390	~		7					7	->	3			
<u> </u>		SIGN	SIGNATURE		PI PI	RINT	PRINT NAME	E		\parallel	8	COMPANY	X	H	DATE	TIME
	Relinquished b:	thed b:	7		CHRIS	2					SUR	2		0.4	3/13/09	1900
2029	Received by	A.			Fuc.	9	۲	3			FFS				3/14/02	1.00
	Relinquishe	hed b:	Samples reb		000						ł		:	\rightarrow	-	
4ax (206) 283-5044	received by	oy.				-)	.		1	<u> </u>		ł				
W NCO C.DC]]	l	į.		ł	1		i	1			

803141				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	O NUVHC	F CUST	YODY	2	ME 0.	03/14/08	03	N5/AO4/BI4	374
Send Report To MIKE		Staton	2	SAMPL	SAMPLERS (signature)	ture)		.			TURN	Turnaround Time	.1
Company SLY2 INT'L		CORP		PROJE(PROJECT NAME/NO		ARCO ORSS		#Od	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	KStandard D RUSH	KStandard (2 Weeks) D RUSH	
Address 23127 90th Ave. SE # H-150	+ ANE	SE A	t H-150		001-0178 0	; ģ			(b).0175.0007	<u> </u>	ush charg	Rush charges authorized by:	1
City, State, ZIP BOTHELL, WA	re , ma		1-0.86	REMAR	SXI						SAM Dispose	SAMPLE DISPOSAL pose after 30 days	
Phone # (435) 403 - 8800	1	(<u>seh)</u> #	_Fax # (405) 409 ~ 8488	L DRO	AFTER	AFTER SILICA	- 1)۔ ہے	GEL CLEAND		Return st Will call	Classification Reprint Control Cont	
								ANALY	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ESTED			
Sample (I)	Lab ID	bate Sempled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	VOCs by 8260 BTEX by 8021B	IIES SAOC ^a p ¹ 8510	8.000 Gamossk 0.005 VS	BUTTON PERCETTS	- 	Notes	
DMW4-0308	ц <mark>А</mark> - Н		3/13/04 [340	Water	∞				$\left \right\rangle$				1
MW 9 - 0308	12 H		1430 1 Wat	Water									
DMWG - 0308	ις Α -		1445- wat	Water									
MWS - 0308	14 A		1510	Water									
MW10-0308	ら (A (H		1530	Water		-							
DMW8-0308	1 H 1	->	1400	Water	>	γ			1 1				
								~~~					
Friedmun & Bruva Inc		SIGN	SIGNATTIRE		44	PRIN'T NAMF				COMPANY		DATE   TIME	] [
3012 16th Avenue West	Kelinquished tr:	hod t:			CHRIS	<u> - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - </u>		2	SUR	2	6	2	Ţ
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received be				En c			-	2*+		7	00 KH 80/14/	,
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished b:	hed b:								~		H ·	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	by:				P	Sam	) ICS IF	Samples received at		0		
FORMSCOCCUDOC DOC												-	1

APR 0 1 2008

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

March 28, 2008

Mike Erdahl Friedman & Bruya 3012 – 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 9819-2029

#### Project: 803141 PO# H-1335 ARI ID: MN22

Dear Mr. Erdahl:

Please find enclosed the original Chain of Custody record, sample receipt documentation, and analytical results for the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. accepted sixteen water samples in good condition on March 14, 2008. Please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form for further details regarding sample receipt.

The samples were analyzed for Dissolved Methane/Ethane/Ethane, Nitrate, Sulfate, and Alkalinity, as requested on the Chain of Custody.

All analyses were completed routinely.

Quality control analysis results are included for your review. Copies of the reports and all associated raw data will be kept on file electronically at ARI. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Respectfully

Eric Branson Client Services – Project Support ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC. (206) 695-6213 eric@arilabs.com www.arilabs.com

Enclosures



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

# **Cooler Receipt Form**

ARI Client: FBI	Project Name: 803141
COC No:	Delivered by: Couries
Assigned ARI Job No:	Tracking No:

#### **Preliminary Examination Phase:**

Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the outside of to cooler?					YES	THQ
Were custody papers included with the cooler?					TES	NO
Were custody papers	properly filled	l out (ink, signed, etc.)			<b>VES</b>	NO
Record cooler temper	ature (recom	mended 2.0-6.0 °C for cher	nistry		9.80	<u>∕</u> •c
Cooler Accepted by:		Congles			ime:	118
Complete custody forms and attach all shipping documents						

#### Log-In Phase:

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?	YES	NO
What kind of packing material was used?	10	Ē
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	(YES)	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?	YES	NO
Did all bottle arrive in good condition (unbroken)?	(YES)	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?	YES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?	YES	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?	(YES)	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation checklist)	YES	(NÔ
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?	YES	NO
Samples Logged by:	43	5

** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **

3 bottles sent per Sample 3/14/62 Explain discrepancies or negative responses: By: Date:



**ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METHANE ETHANE ETHENE** Modified RSK 175 Page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water

QC Report No: MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1335 803141 Date Received: 03/14/08

Data Release Authorized: NW/ Reported: 03/27/08

ARI ID	Sample ID	Analysis Date	DL	Analyte	RL	Result
MN22A	DMW7-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	8,320
08-5369				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22ARE	DMW7-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	7,720
08-5369				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22B	DMW3-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	2,480
08-5370				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22C	MW12-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	0.9
08-5371				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22D	MW14-0308	03/25/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	0.9
08-5372				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22E	DMW10-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	8,050
08-5373				Ethane	1.2	10.1
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22F	DMW9-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	19,800
08-5374				Ethane	1.2	35.7
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22G	MW13-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	4.5
08-5375				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22H	DMW5-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	8,180
08-5376				Ethane	1.2	1.7
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22I	MW11-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	< 0.7 U
08-5377				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22J	MW5-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	< 0.7 U
08-5378				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22K	DMW4-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	0.9
08-5379				Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U
MN22L	MW9-0308	03/21/08	1.0	Methane	0.7	3,330
08-5380		•		Ethane	1.2	< 1.2 U
				Ethene	1.1	< 1.1 U



#### ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METHANE ETHANE ETHENE

Modified RSK 175 Page 2 of 2 Matrix: Water

QC Report No: MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1335 803141 Date Received: 03/14/08

Data Release Authorized: NWW Reported: 03/27/08

ane 0.7	9,530
	< 1.2 U
ne 1.1	< 1.1 U
ane 0.7	1.2
ne 1.2	< 1.2 U
ne 1.1	< 1.1 U
ane 0.7	1,820
ne 1.2	< 1.2 U
ne 1.1	< 1.1 U
ane 0.7	1,950
ne 1.2	< 1.2 U
ne 1.1	< 1.1 U
ane 0.7	< 0.7 U
ane 0.7	< 0.7 U
ne 1.2	< 1.2 U
ne 1.2	< 1.2 U
ne 1.1	< 1.1 U
ne 1.1	< 1.1 U
	ne       1.2         ne       1.1         ane       0.7         ne       1.2         ne       1.1         ane       0.7         ne       1.2         ne       1.2         ne       1.1         ane       0.7         ne       1.2         ne       1.1         ane       0.7         ne       1.2         ne       1.1         ane       0.7         ne       1.2         ne       1.2

Reported in ug/L (ppb)



#### RSK 175/METHANE-ETHANE-ETHENE WATER SURROGATE RECOVERY SUMMARY

Matrix: Water

QC Report No: MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1335 803141

> 0 0 0

ARI ID	Client ID	PRP	TOT OUT
MN22A	DMW7-0308	91.9%	0
MN22ARE	DMW7-0308	93.7%	0
MN22B	DMW3-0308	96.4%	0
MN22C	MW12-0308	101%	0
MN22D	MW14-0308	97.6%	0
MN22E	DMW10-0308	92.6%	0
MN22F	DMW9-0308	90.0%	0
MN22G	MW13-0308	97.2%	0
MN22H	DMW5-0308	95.5%	0
MN22I	MW11-0308	96.6%	0
MN22J	MW5-0308	99.7号	0
MN22K	DMW4-0308	99.4%	0
MN22L	MW9-0308	94.8%	0
MN22M	DMW6-0308	97.1%	0
MN22N	MW8-0308	98.3%	0
MN220	MW10-0308	95.5%	0
MN22P	DMW8-0308	96.4%	0
MB-032108	Method Blank	102%	103%
LCS-032108	Lab Control	102%	105%
LCSD-032108	Lab Control Dup	103%	101%

#### LCS/MB LIMITS QC LIMITS

(PRP)		Propane	(80-120)	(77-120)
-------	--	---------	----------	----------

Log Number Range: 08-5369 to 08-5384

.



#### ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET METHANE ETHANE ETHENE Modified RSK 175 Page 1 of 1 Matrix: Water

QC Report No: MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Project: H-1335 803141 Date Received: 03/14/08

Data Release Authorized: WW Reported: 03/27/08

_

ARI ID	Analysis Date	Analyte	Spike	Result	Recovery	RPD
032108LCS 032508LCSD	03/21/08	Methane	654	693 693	105.9% 105.9%	1.3%
032508LCS	03/25/08	Methane	654	702	107.3%	
032108LCS 032508LCSD	03/21/08	Ethane	1,230	1,210 1,230	98.6% 100.2%	0.8%
032508LCS	03/25/08	Ethane	1,230	1,220	99.4%	
032108LCS 032508LCSD	03/21/08	Ethene	1,150	1,130 1,130	98.7% 98.7%	0.9%
032508LCS	03/25/08	Ethene	1,150	1,140	99.5%	

Reported in ug/L (ppb)

#### SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



.

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

Client ID: DMW7-0308 ARI ID: 08-5369 MN22A

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	155
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	29.6

RL Analytical reporting limit

#### SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: DMW3-0308 ARI ID: 08-5370 MN22B

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	197
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	23.4

RL Analytical reporting limit

# SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: MW12-0308 ARI ID: 08-5371 MN22C

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	58.8
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.500	27.5
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.073
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.500	27.6
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	200	1,060

RL Analytical reporting limit

# SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



.

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized AY Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: MW14-0308 ARI ID: 08-5372 MN22D

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	57.8
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.100	5.70
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.066
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.100	5.77
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	200	945

RL Analytical reporting limit

.

U Undetected at reported detection limit

.

# SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Av Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: DMW10-0308 ARI ID: 08-5373 MN22E

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	227
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	7.7

RL Analytical reporting limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: DMW9-0308 ARI ID: 08-5374 MN22F

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	355
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.500	< 0.500 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.500	< 0.500 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.500	< 0.500 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	32.2

RL Analytical reporting limit

#### SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



.

Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized A Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: MW13-0308 ARI ID: 08-5375 MN22G

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	218
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.500	21.5
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.263
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.500	21.8
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	200	1,540

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit

.

#### SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: DMW5-0308 ARI ID: 08-5376 MN22H

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	180
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	10.3

RL Analytical reporting limit
#### SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

# Client ID: MW11-0308 ARI ID: 08-5377 MN22I

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	45.1
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	0.388
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.388
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	20.0	199

RL Analytical reporting limit

# SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

Client ID: MW5-0308 ARI ID: 08-5378 MN22J

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	19.3
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.050	2.25
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.053
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.050	2.30
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	20.0	341

RL Analytical reporting limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

# Client ID: DMW4-0308 ARI ID: 08-5379 MN22K

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	22.2
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	20.0	297

RL Analytical reporting limit

# SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

### Client ID: MW9-0308 ARI ID: 08-5380 MN22L

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	39.7
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.010	0.469
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	< 0.010 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.010	0.469
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	8.5

RL Analytical reporting limit

## SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

## Client ID: DMW6-0308 ARI ID: 08-5381 MN22M

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	112
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	7.5

RL Analytical reporting limit

# SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: MW8-0308 ARI ID: 08-5382 MN22N

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	57.6
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	6.6

RL Analytical reporting limit

#### SAMPLE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

## Client ID: MW10-0308 ARI ID: 08-5383 MN220

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	160
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	20.0	186

RL Analytical reporting limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

#### Client ID: DMW8-0308 ARI ID: 08-5384 MN22P

Analyte	Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Alkalinity	03/26/08 032608#1	SM 2320	mg/L CaCO3	1.0	107
N-Nitrate	03/14/08	Calculated	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
N-Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	03/14/08 031408#1	EPA 353.2	mg-N/L	0.200	< 0.200 U
Sulfate	03/24/08 032408#1	EPA 375.2	mg/L	2.0	17.6

RL Analytical reporting limit

# MS/MSD RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: 03/13/08 Date Received: 03/14/08

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Spike	Spike Added	Recovery
ARI ID: MN22A	Client ID: DMW7-03	308					
Sulfate	EPA 375.2	03/24/08	mg/L	29.6	50.7	20.0	105.5%

## REPLICATE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water H Data Release Authorized: Date S Reported: 03/27/08 Date S Date Re

Project:	H-1335
Event:	803141
Date Sampled:	03/13/08
Date Received:	03/14/08

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Replicate(s)	RPD/RSD
ARI ID: MN22A	Client ID: DMW7-030	8				
Sulfate	EPA 375.2	03/24/08	mg/L	29.6	29.8	0.7%

#### METHOD BLANK RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Blank
N-Nitrite	EPA 353.2	03/14/08	mg-N/L	< 0.010 U
Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA 353.2	03/14/08	mg-N/L	< 0.010 U
Sulfate	EPA 375.2	03/24/08	mg/L	< 2.0 U

#### STANDARD REFERENCE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS MN22-Friedman & Bruya, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 03/27/08 Project: H-1335 Event: 803141 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte/SRM ID	Method	Date	Units	SRM	True Value	Recovery
Alkalinity ERA #P114506	SM 2320	03/26/08	mg/L CaCO3	27.6	27.7	99.6%
N-Nitrite ERA #23034	EPA 353.2	03/14/08	mg-N/L	0.493	0.500	98.6%
Nitrate + Nitrite ERA #20034	EPA 353.2	03/14/08	mg-N/L	0.500	0.500	100.0%
Sulfate ERA #37065	EPA 375.2	03/24/08	mg/L	26.6	25.0	106.4%

	Page # of _ J TURNAROUND TIME	X Standard (2 Weeks)	Rush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	<ul> <li>Return samples</li> <li>Will call with instructions</li> </ul>		Notes								r						DATE TIME	3/14/ac 1:300M.	N14/c8 [415		
DY			H-1335 Rush of		C Retu	ANALYSES REQUESTED	vtinilallA Zt-425 Devloczér(()	×													COMPANY	Friedman & Bruya	Mat		
N OF CUSTO			<u> </u>		Results andbruya.com	ANALYSES	VPH Vitrate Sulfate	X X													NAME		(etm		
SUBCONTRACT SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	SUBCONTRACTER	PROJECT NAME/NO.	8 03141	RKS	Please Email Results <u>merdahl@friedmanandbruya.com</u>	-	ja of Oil and Grease EPH	Ţ													PRINT NAME	Michael Erdahl	Bil Const		
CONTRACT S		PROJE		REMARKS			Matrix	3												~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	RE		S La		
SUBC	MN22	uya, Inc.		6	Fax # (206) 283-5044		Time ed Sampled	22													SIGNATURE	thed by:	by: Sel (	thed by:	by:
5.80	Michael Erdahl	Friedman and Bruva, Inc	3012 16th Ave W	Seattle, WA 98119			Lab Date ID Sampled	3/13/08							 					~		st Returned by:	29 Received by:	Relinquished by:	Received by:
7	Send Report To Mich			City State ZIP Seat			Sample ID	DMW \$7 -03000	DMW3-0308	MW120308	MW14 - 03 08	DMW 10-030 8	DMW9-0308	MW 13 -03 08	0mw5-0308	MW11- 03 08	MW5-0308	DMW4-0308	MW0-0208	DMW6-0308	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	3012 16th Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Fax (206) 283-5044

	Page # [ of ] TURNAROUND TIME	X Standard (2 Weeks)	Rush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Image: Construction of the second		Notes										DATE TIME	3/14/ac 1:30 PM.	3/4/ex 1415	2.1	
Y			H-1335 Rus			REQUESTED	Alkalinity R2k-455 Dissdued Methur	XX									COMPANY	Friedman & Bruya	Alt		
N OF CUSTOD			<u> </u>		Results <u>andbruya.com</u>	ANALYSES REQUESTED	HPH Vitrate Sulfate	× ×									NAME		Consileton	0	•
SUBCONTRACT SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	SUBCONTRACTER	PROJECT NAME/NO.	8 0314	RKS	Please Email Results <u>merdahl@friedmanandbruya.com</u>		ja # of Oil and Grease FPH	5									PRINT NAME	Michael Erdahl	Bols Cos		
CONTRACT S	SUBCC	PROJE		REMARKS			Matrix	3						 		~			dia	0	
SUB	hl	1 Bruya, Inc.	e W	98119	Fax # (206) 283-5044		Date Time Sampled Sampled	3/13/08								7	SIGNATURE	Retirequierbed by:	Received by / le Con	Relinquished by:	Received by:
	Michael Erdahl	Friedman and Bruya, Inc.	3012 16th Ave W	Seattle, WA 98119			Lab D ID Sar	3/13					-								]
	Send Report To N			City, State, ZIP_S	Phone # (206) 285-8282		Sample ID	MWG - 0308	MW10-0308	BOSO-SMWU					-		Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	3012 16th Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Fax (206) 283-5044

APPENDIX C SOIL BORING LOGS

S	Ń	R	Bothel	l, Wash	venue SI ington 9	8021		WELL	- NUMBER MW-12 PAGE 1 OF 1							
SLR I	nterna	L L itional (	Teleph Corp Fax: 4		25.402.8 8488	800										
CLIE	NT	Wake	field Fami	iy, LLC	2			PROJECT NAME Former Arco Service Station #0855								
PRO	JECT	NUM	BER 001	.0173	.00007											
DAT	E ST/	ARTE	0 12/4/07	7		CON		GROUND ELEVATION H	OLE SIZE 8" diameter							
DRIL	LING	DRIL	LING CO	NTRAC	TOR	Case	ade Drilling	GROUND WATER LEVELS:								
DRIL	LING	DRIL	LING MET	THOD	Hollo	w Ste	m Auger	AT TIME OF DRILLING								
LOG	GED	BY _(	C. Lee			CHE	CKED BY	AT END OF DRILLING								
NOT	ES _							AFTER DRILLING								
o DEPTH (ft)	INTERVAL	ТҮРЕ	NAME	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		N	IATERIAL DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM							
					XXX	0.5	1"-3" CRUSHED ROCK (F									
-	+						(FILL).	rown, fine to coarse, some fine to medium grav	vel, Concrete							
L									Bentonite							
									2" sch. 40							
-	-								PVC riser							
-	-			SP												
5																
	1								0.01" slot							
-	-								screen							
						7.0										
	7						CLAYEY SILT, gray to bro	wn, moist, no hydrocarbon-like odor.								
-	+															
-	₋															
10																
10	+			мн					₩ ##2/12 silica							
F	-								sand							
-	1															
						19.5			End cap							
						13.5	Boring completed at 13.5	feet	[· '. · · ː·']							
							WELL COMPLETION DET	AILS								
200								meter, flush-threaded Schedule 40 PVC blank	riser							
415							pipe.	diameter, flush-threaded Schedule 40 PVC we								
00.00							screen with 0.010-inch ma									
5							0 to 1.5 feet: Concrete.									
10.0000#							1.5 to 2.5 feet: Hydrated I 2.5 to 13.5 feet: #2/12 sili									
	MA	RKS														
2																
1020#																
AKCO																
ARC																



SI		R	Bothell Teleph	, Washi one: 42	enue SE ngton 98 5.402.88	021	PAGE 2 OF 2			
			Corp Fax: 42 efield Famil			PROJECT NAME Former Arco Service Station	±0855			
·	_		BER 001			PROJECT LOCATION Longview, Washington				
05 DEPTH (ft)	INTERVAL	ТҮРЕ	NAME	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM			
				мн		CLAYEY SILT, gray to brown, stiff, moist to wet, trace organics, slight hydrocarbon-like odor. <i>(continued)</i> 22.0 SILT WITH SAND, gray to brown, few fine sand, wet, no hycrocarbon-like	2" sch. 40 PVC riser			
				ML		odor. 24.0				
25				SP		SAND, gray, fine to coarse, wet, no hydrocarbon-like odors.	0.01" slot screen #2/12 silica			
						29.0	End cap			
						Boring completed at 29.0 feet WELL COMPLETION DETAILS 0 to 23.6 feet: 2.0-inch-diameter, flush-threaded Schedule 40 PVC blank riser pipe. 23.6 to 28.6 feet: 2.0-inch-diameter, flush-threaded Schedule 40 PVC well screen with 0.010-inch machined slots. 28.6 to 28.7 feet: 2.0-inch-diameter, flush-threaded Schedule 40 PVC well cap. 0 to 2.0 feet: Concrete. 2.0 to 23.0 feet: Hydrated bentonite chips. 23.0 to 29.0 feet: #2/12 silica sand.				
	REMARKS Soil samples were not collected because auger was plugged to control heaving sands.									

ARCO #0855 FORMER ARCO #0855.GPJ GINT US.GDT 5/5/08