August 10, 2012 Project 101.00173.00011

Mr. Tom Middleton Washington Department of Ecology P.O. Box 47775 Olympia, Washington 98504-7775

## Re: Quarterly Groundwater Sampling Report – June 2012 Event Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington

Dear Mr. Middleton:

On behalf of Wakefield Family LLC (the property owner), SLR International Corporation (SLR) has prepared this report to present the results of the quarterly groundwater sampling activities conducted in June 2012 at the above-referenced site. The former Arco Service Station #0855 property is located at 4603 Ocean Beach Highway, near the western end of Longview, Washington (see Figure 1). The purposes of the groundwater sampling program are to assess the effectiveness of the 2007 site remedial action (soil excavation and shallow groundwater extraction) and the subsequent deep groundwater recovery operations that were deactivated in July 2011, and to monitor the migration and attenuation of the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the shallow groundwater-bearing unit and the deep aquifer over time. An additional objective of the June 2012 sampling event is to evaluate if the recent injection of an electron-acceptor solution is stimulating the biodegradation of the remaining petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the deep groundwater.

## BACKGROUND

After completing the 2007 remedial action at the property, quarterly groundwater sampling results in 2007 and 2008 showed that the samples from all of the shallow groundwater monitoring wells, except MW-10, and from all of the deep groundwater monitoring wells, except DMW-4, DMW-5, DMW-9, and DMW-10, contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A groundwater cleanup levels for four consecutive quarters (SLR, 2008a; SLR, 2008b; and SLR, 2008c). To remediate the remaining impacted groundwater in the deep aquifer, a deep groundwater recovery well (RW-1) was installed and a recovery/treatment system operated from June 2009 through July 2011. The system was deactivated after the groundwater concentrations in all of the deep wells were near or below the Method A cleanup levels.

Since September 2009, the groundwater sampling program has consisted of conducting annual sampling events (collect samples from all of the shallow and deep monitoring

taten

SLR<sup>®</sup>

wells) in September, and conducting quarterly sampling events (collect samples from shallow well MW-10 and from deep wells DMW-5, DMW-9, and DMW-10) in December, March, and June. Based on the groundwater sampling results in September and December 2009 and March and June 2010, the samples from shallow monitoring well MW-10 contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below the Method A cleanup levels for four consecutive quarters (SLR, 2009; SLR, 2010a; SLR, 2010b; and SLR, 2010c). Therefore, MW-10 was eliminated from the future quarterly groundwater sampling events.

Based on the groundwater sampling results in June, September, and December 2011 and March 2012, the samples from deep monitoring well DMW-5 contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below the Method A cleanup levels for four consecutive quarters (SLR, 2011c; SLR, 2011d; SLR, 2012a; and SLR, 2012b). Therefore, DMW-5 was eliminated from the future quarterly groundwater sampling events.

## INJECTION OF ELECTRON ACCEPTOR SOLUTION

The radius of pumping influence of the previous deep groundwater recovery system did not extend to deep well DMW-10, and the benzene concentrations in the groundwater samples from DMW-10 have typically been above the MTCA Method A cleanup level. The groundwater sampling results also indicate that natural attenuation of the remaining benzene concentrations at DMW-10 has been limited. To reduce the benzene concentrations in the deep groundwater near DMW-10 to below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels and to try to ensure that the benzene concentrations in the deep groundwater near wells DMW-5 and DMW-9 remain below the Method A cleanup levels, a sulfate-based, electron-acceptor solution (EAS<sup>TM</sup>) was injected on June 4, 2012, in a total of 9 borings to stimulate anaerobic bacteria activity. Four of the injection borings were located near DMW-10, three of the borings were located near DMW-9, and two of the borings were located near DMW-5 (see Figure 2). The injection borings were spaced approximately 14 to 20 feet apart because there is limited deep groundwater flow beneath the property, the flow directions are inconsistent, and according to the EAS<sup>TM</sup> manufacturer, EOS Remediation, the solution typically lasts 60 to 90 days in the subsurface.

Stratus Corporation (Stratus) of Gaston, Oregon, advanced each of the injection borings to approximately 1 foot below the top of the deep aquifer (approximately 25 feet below ground surface) by using hydraulic push-probe methods, and then pumped approximately 18.3 gallons (165 pounds) of the EAS<sup>TM</sup> solution through the drilling rods and into the formation at the bottom of each boring. The amount of solution injected into each boring was based on EOS Remediation's evaluation of the remaining petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations, the concentrations of several natural attenuation parameters, and the areas to be treated. The pumping pressure exceeded the head pressure of the aquifer to force the solution into the formation. After the EAS<sup>TM</sup> injection in each boring, Stratus abandoned

Mr. Tom Middleton Page 3

the boring with Portland cement grout to seal off the deeper aquifer from the shallower perched groundwater. The work was directed by an SLR geologist.

## JUNE 2012 SAMPLING EVENT

SLR personnel conducted the groundwater sampling activities on June 15, 2012. Immediately prior to sampling, SLR measured the depths to groundwater in all of the shallow groundwater monitoring wells (MW-5, MW-8, MW-9, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, and MW-14), all of the deep groundwater monitoring wells (DMW-3, DMW-4, DMW-5, DMW-6, DMW-7, DMW-8, DMW-9, and DMW-10), and in the inactive deep groundwater recovery well (RW-1) by using an electronic water level probe. The depth to groundwater measurements were converted to groundwater elevations by using the results of previous well elevation surveys conducted by Gibbs and Olson, Inc., of Longview, Washington. The depths to groundwater in the shallow wells ranged from 1.98 to 5.93 feet below the tops of the well casings. The groundwater elevations in the shallow wells ranged from 3.22 to 6.41 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. The depths to groundwater in the deep wells ranged from 4.70 to 7.09 feet below the tops of the well casings. The groundwater elevations in the deep wells ranged from 1.96 to 2.36 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. The groundwater elevations in the shallow and deep wells were inconsistent and could not be used to determine the general shallow or deep groundwater flow directions beneath the site area. The groundwater monitoring data from the June 2012 sampling event, as well as from the previous groundwater sampling events, are presented in Table 1. The groundwater elevations in the deep and shallow wells on June 15, 2012, are shown on Figures 2 and 3, respectively.

SLR personnel collected groundwater samples from deep wells DMW-4, DMW-9, and DMW-10 for laboratory analysis. In March 2012, DMW-4 was added to the sampling program because there had not previously been four consecutive quarterly samples from the well that contained petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. SLR purged the wells by using a peristaltic pump with dedicated tubing at a flow rate of approximately 0.33 liters per minute. During purging, field parameters of temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH, and oxidation-reduction potential were measured every three to five minutes. Each groundwater sample was collected following the stabilization of the field parameter measurements.

The groundwater samples were submitted to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (F&B) in Seattle, Washington, for analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021B, and gasoline-range organics (GRO) by Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx. To evaluate the distribution of the EAS<sup>TM</sup>, the samples were also analyzed by sulfate by EPA Method 300.0. The analytical results indicated that the sample from DMW-10 contained a benzene concentration [51 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L)] that exceeded the MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup level (5  $\mu$ g/L). The sample from DMW-10 also contained toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and GRO concentrations

## Mr. Tom Middleton Page 4

that were below the Method A groundwater cleanup levels. The samples from DMW-4 and DMW-9 contained benzene and GRO concentrations, respectively, that were below the Method A groundwater cleanup levels. The other petroleum analytes in the samples from DMW-4 and DMW-9 were not detected at concentrations above the method reporting limits. The sample from DMW-4 contained a sulfate concentration of 1,300  $\mu$ g/L, and the samples from DMW-9 and DMW-10 did not contain sulfate concentrations greater than the method reporting limit (1,000  $\mu$ g/L). The groundwater sample analytical results from the June 2012 event (petroleum hydrocarbons only), as well as from the previous sampling events, are presented in Table 2. The benzene and GRO concentrations in the June 2012 samples are also shown on Figure 2. A copy of the laboratory analytical report is attached.

## CONCLUSIONS

The 2008 groundwater sampling results from the shallow monitoring wells indicated that the 2007 remediation activities effectively removed the source of the shallow groundwater contamination and extracted most of the impacted shallow groundwater (SLR, 2008a; SLR, 2008b; and SLR, 2008c). Based on the 2009, 2010, and 2011 groundwater sampling results (SLR, 2009; SLR, 2010a; SLR, 2010b; SLR, 2010c; SLR, 2010d; and SLR, 2011d), the remaining petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in the shallow groundwater have naturally attenuated to below the MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

The 2008 groundwater sampling results from the deep monitoring wells showed that the 2007 remediation activities had limited short-term affects on the deep groundwater concentrations (SLR, 2008a; SLR, 2008b; and SLR, 2008c). To actively remediate the impacted deep groundwater, a deep groundwater recovery/treatment system operated from June 2009 through July 2011. Based on the results of the quarterly groundwater sampling events that have been conducted since September 2009 (SLR, 2009; SLR, 2010a; SLR, 2010b; SLR, 2010c; SLR, 2010d; SLR, 2011a; SLR, 2011b; SLR, 2011c; SLR, 2011d, SLR, 2012a, and SLR, 2012b), including the June 2012 results, the benzene and GRO concentrations in the deep groundwater have decreased due to the previous operation of the system and to natural attenuation. At the source area well (DMW-9), the BTEX and GRO concentrations in June 2012 were less than the MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup levels for the fourth consecutive quarter.

Since June 2011, groundwater samples from deep well DMW-10 have been the only samples from the subject property to contain petroleum hydrocarbon (benzene only) concentrations greater than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The radius of pumping influence of the previous deep groundwater recovery system did not extend to DMW-10, and the natural attenuation of the remaining benzene concentrations at the DMW-10 area has been limited. To reduce the benzene concentrations in the deep groundwater near DMW-10 to below the Method A cleanup levels and to try to ensure that the benzene concentrations in the deep groundwater near wells DMW-5 and DMW-9 remain below the

Project 101.00173.00011

Mr. Tom Middleton Page 5

Method A cleanup levels, EAS<sup>TM</sup> was injected into the deep aquifer on June 4, 2012, to stimulate anaerobic bacteria activity. The lack of detectable sulfate concentrations in the groundwater samples from DMW-9 and DMW-10 indicate that EAS<sup>TM</sup> was not present in the groundwater at those wells by June 15, 2012 (11 days after injection). The elevated benzene concentration (51  $\mu$ g/L) in the June 2012 sample from DMW-10 shows that the EAS<sup>TM</sup> had not yet stimulated biodegradation of the petroleum hydrocarbons at that location.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (425) 471-0479 or mstaton@slrcorp.com.

Sincerely,

## **SLR International Corporation**

Michael D. Staton, L.G. Principal Geologist

Attachments: Limitations References Tables 1 and 2 Figures 1 through 3 Laboratory Report

cc: Kurt Peterson, Cascadia Law Group PLLC (4 copies)

T:\Projects\101.00173.00011\Groundwater Sampling Report 0612.doc

## LIMITATIONS

The services reflected in this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principals and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This information is solely for the use of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this information by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained herein apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, location, timeframes, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, nor the use of segregated portions of this report.

## REFERENCES

- SLR. 2008a. Remedial Action Report, Former Arco Service Station #0855, 4603 Ocean Beach Highway, Longview, Washington. July 21.
- SLR. 2008b. Quarterly Groundwater Sampling Report July 2008 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. August 29.
- SLR. 2008c. Quarterly Groundwater Sampling Report September/October 2008 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. October 29.
- SLR. 2009. Deep Groundwater Remediation System Installation and Performance Report, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. November 4.
- SLR. 2010a. Quarterly Groundwater Sampling Report December 2009 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. January 9.
- SLR. 2010b. Quarterly Groundwater Sampling Report March 2010 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. April 5.
- SLR. 2010c. Quarterly Groundwater Sampling Report June 2010 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. July 20.
- SLR. 2010d. Groundwater Sampling Report September 2010 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. October 25.
- SLR. 2011a. Groundwater Sampling Report December 2010 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. January 4.
- SLR. 2011b. Groundwater Sampling Report March 2011 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. May 23.
- SLR. 2011c. Groundwater Sampling Report June 2011 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. July 20.
- SLR. 2011d. Groundwater Sampling Report September 2011 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. October 31.
- SLR. 2012a. Groundwater Sampling Report December 2011 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. January 9.

SLR. 2012b. Groundwater Sampling Report – March 2012 Event, Former Arco Service Station #0855, Longview, Washington. April 13.



Well Number	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Shallow Mo	nitoring Wells			J	
MW-1	8.34	03/27/00	4.36	NP	3.98
		05/23/00	5.20	NP	3.14
		07/20/00	5.55	NP	2.79
		10/18/00	5.41	NP	2.93
		01/18/01	4.81	NP	3.53
		04/18/01	4.58	NP	3.76
		07/17/01	5.54	NP	2.80
		10/18/01	5.26	NP	3.08
		01/16/02	4.45	NP	3.89
		07/09/03	5.80	NP	2.54
	0.05				
	8.25°	05/25/05	4.12	NP	4.13
		12/07/05			4.48
		08/16/06			1.67
1017.0	0.74	01/07/00			
MW-2	8.76	03/27/00			5.15
		05/23/00			4.12
		07/20/00			3.70
		10/18/00			3.57
		01/18/00			4.80
		04/18/01			4.93
		07/17/01			3.68
		10/18/01		3.77 NP   6.58 NP   Well abandoned in September 2007.   3.61 NP   4.64 NP   5.06 NP   5.19 NP   3.83 NP   5.08 NP   4.83 NP   5.36 NP   4.15 NP   4.09 NP   5.96 NP   4.15 NP   4.09 NP   5.96 NP   Well abandoned in September 2007.   5.61 NP   6.46 NP   7.05 NP   6.37 NP   5.46 NP	3.93
		01/16/02		NP	5.05
		07/09/03	5.36	NP	3.40
	8.89 <sup>c</sup>	05/25/05	4.15	NP	4.74
		12/07/05	4.09		4.80
		08/16/06	5.96		2.93
	Ì			n September 2007.	
MW-3	8.78	03/27/00			3.17
		05/23/00	6.46	NP	2.32
		07/20/00		NP	1.73
		10/18/00	6.84	NP	1.94
		01/18/01	6.37	NP	2.41
		04/18/01			3.32
		07/17/01	6.93	NP	1.85
		10/18/01	6.47	NP	2.31
		01/16/01	4.83	NP	3.95
		07/09/03	6.72	0.02	2.08*
	8.58°	05/25/05	4.65	Film	3.93
	0.00	12/07/05	4.05	0.01	5.95 4.14*
		08/16/06	6.91	0.24	
		08/10/00		n September 2007.	1.86*
MW-4	8.78	11/15/00	6.88	NP	1.90
TAT AA	0.70	01/18/01	6.78	NP	2.00
		04/18/01	6.90		
		07/17/01		NP	1.88
			7.50	NP	1.28
		10/18/01	6.92	NP	1.86
		01/16/02	6.15	NP	2.63
		07/09/03	7.04	NP	1.74
	8.69°	05/25/05	6.24	NP	2.45
		12/07/05	5.70	NP	2.99
		08/16/06	6.84	NP	1.85
			Well abandoned i	n September 2007.	

Well Number	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Shallow Mo	onitoring Wells (continued)				
MW-5	8.78	11/15/00	6.54	NP	2.24
		01/18/01	6.07	NP	2.71
	. I	04/18/01	5.46	NP	3.32
		07/17/01	6.79	NP	1.99
		10/18/01	6.50	NP	2.28
		01/16/02	5.49	NP	3.29
		07/09/03	6.86	NP	1.92
	8.67 <sup>c</sup>	05/25/05	5.64	NP	3.03
		12/07/05	5.53	NP	3.14
		08/16/06	6.28	NP	2.39
		12/11/07	4.64	NP	4.03
		03/11/08	4.90	NP	3.77
	1 1	07/01/08	5.33	NP	3.34
		09/30/08	6.17	NP	2.50
		09/02/09	7.08	NP	1.59
		12/15/09	4.63	NP	4.04
	1 1	03/18/10	· 4.85	NP	3.82
		06/15/10	4.84	NP	3.83
		09/14/10	6.87	NP	1.80
	1 1	12/14/10	3.03	NP	5.64
		03/16/11	2.80	NP	5.87
		06/16/11	5.66	NP	3.01
		09/14/11	7.12	NP	1.55
		12/08/11	5.57	NP	3.10
	1 1	03/13/12	2.83	NP	5.84
		06/15/12	5.44	NP	3.23
MW-6	8.21	11/15/00	6.15	NP	2.06
		01/18/01	5.85	NP	2.36
		04/18/01	5.70	NP	2.51
		07/17/01	6.02	NP	2.19
		10/18/01	6.03	NP	2.18
		01/16/02	5.80	NP	2.41
		07/09/03	6.16	NP	2.05
	8.11°	05/25/05	4.00	NP	4.11
		12/07/05	5.70	· NP	2.41
		08/16/06	6.40	NP	1.71
			Well destroyed in	November 2007.	
<b>MW-7</b>	8.45	11/15/00	6.52	NP	1.93
		01/18/01	6.24	NP	2.21
		04/18/01	5.98	NP	2.47
		07/17/01	6.44	NP	2.01
		10/18/01	6.39	NP	2.06
		01/16/02	6.31	NP	2.14
		07/09/03	7.00	NP	1.45
	8.26 <sup>c</sup>	05/25/05	5.61	NP	2.65
		12/07/05	6.36 <sup>d</sup>	NP	1.90
		08/16/06	6.40	NP	1.86
			Well abandoned in		

Well	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup>		Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup>	Free Product Thickness	Groundwater
Number	(feet)	Date Measured	(feet)	(feet)	Elevation (feet)
Shallow Mo	nitoring Wells (continued)				
MW-8	6.45	05/25/05	4.50	NP	1.95
		12/07/05	3.69	NP	2.76
		08/16/06	4.67	NP	1.78
		12/11/07	3.55	NP	2.90
		03/11/08	3.51	NP	2.94
		07/01/08	4.03	NP	2.42
		09/30/08	4.19	NP	2.26
		09/02/09	4.55	NP	1.90
		12/15/09	3.31	NP	3.14
		03/18/10	3.05	NP	3.40
		06/15/10	2.48	NP	3.97
		09/14/10	4.32	NP	2.13
		12/14/10	2.70	NP	3.75
		03/16/11	2.15	NP	4.30
		06/16/11	2.37	NP	4.08
		09/14/11	4.79	NP	1.66
		12/08/11 03/13/12	3.52	NP	2.93
			2.76	NP	3.69
MW-9	9.43	06/15/12 05/25/05	3.01 4.66	NP NP	3.44
141 44 -3	9.45	12/07/05	4.59	NP	4.84
		08/16/06	5.23	NP	4.34
		12/11/07	4.52	NP ·	4.20
		03/11/08	4.65	NP	4.78
		07/01/08	5.06	NP	4.37
		09/30/08	5.08	NP	4.35
		09/02/09	5.20	NP	4.23
		12/15/09	4.51	NP	4.92
		03/18/10	4.64	NP	4.79
		06/15/10	4.72	NP	4.71
		09/14/10	4.94	NP	4.49
		12/14/10	4.66	NP	4.77
		03/16/11	3.91	NP	5.52
		06/16/11	4.83	NP	4.60
		09/14/11	5.35	NP	4.08
		12/08/11	4.78	NP	4.65
		03/13/12	4.25	NP	5.18
1017 10	0.50	06/15/12	4.78	NP NP	4.65
MW-10	9.52	05/25/05 12/07/05	10.30 5.90	NP NP	-0.78 3.62
		08/16/06	7.18	NP	2.34
		12/11/07	4.22	NP	5.30
		03/11/08	6.02	NP	3.50
		07/01/08	6.53	NP	2.99
		09/30/08	4.51	NP	5.01
		09/02/09	7.76	NP	1.76
		12/15/09	5.97	NP	3.55
		03/18/10	8.14	NP	1.38
		06/15/10	5.15	NP	4.37
		09/14/10	7.88	NP	1.64
		12/14/10	3.42	NP	6.10
		03/16/11	3.54	NP	5.98
		06/16/11	6.40	NP	3.12
		09/14/11	8.01	NP	1.51
		12/08/11	5.36	NP	4.16
		03/13/12	3.73	NP	5.79
		06/15/12	5.93	NP	3.59

Well Number	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Shallow Mo	nitoring Wells (continued)				
MW-11	8.16	12/07/05	3.87	NP	4.29
		08/16/06	6.10	NP	2.06
		12/11/07	3.51	NP	4.65
		03/11/08	4.86	NP	3.30
		07/01/08	5.61	NP	2.55
		09/30/08	6.56	NP	1.60
		09/02/09	7.52	NP	0.64
		12/15/09	4.35	NP	3.81
		03/18/10	• 4.17	NP	3.99
		06/15/10	4.22	NP	3.94
		09/14/10	6.28	NP	1.88
		12/14/10	1.86	NP	6.30
		03/16/11	2.59	NP	5.57
		06/16/11	5.43	NP	2.73
		09/14/11	8.17	NP	-0.01
		12/08/11	4.18	NP	3.98
		03/13/12	5.91	NP	2.25
		06/15/12	4.94	NP	3.22
MW-12	8.21	12/11/07	2.69	NP	5.52
		03/11/08	4.25	NP	3.96
		07/01/08	5.20	NP	3.01
		09/30/08	5.85	NP	2.36
		09/02/09	6.33	NP	1.88
		12/15/09	3.09	NP	5.12
		03/18/10	3.46	NP	4.75
		06/15/10	3.65	NP	4.56
		09/14/10	5.65	NP	2.56
		12/14/10	1.45	NP	6.76
		03/16/11	1.90	NP	6.31
		06/16/11	4.77	NP	3.44
		09/14/11	5.35	NP	2.86
		12/08/11	3.89	NP	4.32
		03/13/12	2.00	NP	6.21
		06/15/12	4.25	NP	3.96
MW-13	9.03	12/11/07	1.10	NP	7.93
		03/11/08	1.53	NP	7.50
		07/01/08	3.53	NP	5.50
		09/30/08	4.73	NP	4.30
		09/02/09	7.04	NP	1.99
		12/15/09	2.24	NP	6.79
		03/18/10	1.48	NP	7.55
		06/15/10	1.65	NP	7.38
		09/14/10	5.80	NP	3.23
		12/14/10	1.48	NP	7.55
		03/16/11	1.45	NP	7.58
		06/16/11	3.12	NP	5.91
		09/14/11	6.97	NP	2.06
		12/08/11	2.46	NP	6.57
		03/13/12	1.74	NP	7.29
		06/15/12	3.16	NP	5.87

Well	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup>	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup>	Free Product Thickness	Groundwater
Number	(feet)	Date Measureu	(feet)	(feet)	Elevation (feet)
Shallow Mo	nitoring Wells (continued)				
MW-14	8.39	12/11/07	1.50	NP	6.89
		03/11/08	3.85	NP	4.54
		07/01/08	4.27	NP	4.12
		09/30/08	6.44	NP	1.95
		09/02/09	6.93	NP	1.46
		12/15/09	1.77	NP	6.62
		03/18/10	1.65	NP	6.74
		06/15/10	1.78	NP	6.61
		09/14/10	6.23	NP	2.16
		12/14/10	1.37	NP	7.02
		03/16/11	1.41	NP	6.98
		06/16/11	4.77	NP	3.62
		09/14/11	7.25	NP	1.14
		12/08/11	1.88	NP	6.51
		03/13/12	1.45	NP	6.94
		06/15/12	1.98	NP	6.41
Deep Monit		10/0 - 10 -			
DMW-1	8.55	12/07/05	6.73	NP	1.82
		08/16/06	6.28	NP	2.27
DIGUO	8.20	10/07/05		n September 2007.	• • • •
DMW-2	8.29	12/07/05	6.10	NP	2.19
		08/16/06	6.71	NP	1.58
				n September 2007.	
DMW-3	6.66	12/07/05	12.15 <sup>d</sup>	NP	-5.49
		08/16/06	4.55	NP	2.11
		12/11/07	4.60	NP	2.06
		03/11/08	5.68	NP	0.98
		07/01/08	5.52	NP	1.14
		09/30/08	5.03	NP	1.63
		09/02/09	5.19	NP	1.47
		12/15/09	4.71	NP	1.95
		03/18/10	4.55	NP	2.11
		06/15/10	4.42	NP	2.24
		09/14/10	5.01	NP	1.65
		12/14/10	4.36	NP	2.30
		03/16/11	3.95	NP	2.71
		06/16/11	4.10	NP	2.56
		09/14/11	4.73	NP	1.93
		12/08/11	7.52	NP	-0.86
		03/13/12	6.24	NP	0.42
	0.00	06/15/12	4.70	NP	1.96
DMW-4	8.55	12/07/05	6.30	NP	2.25
		08/16/06	7.12	NP	1.43
		12/11/07	6.08	NP	2.47
		03/11/08	6.54	NP	2.01
		07/01/08	6.41	NP	2.14
		09/30/08	6.91	NP	1.64
		09/02/09	7.13	NP	1.42
		12/15/09	6.26	NP	2.29
		03/18/10	6.43	NP	2.12
		06/15/10	6.11	NP	2.44
		09/14/10	6.97	NP	1.58
		12/14/10	5.18	NP	3.37
		03/16/11	5.55	NP	3.00
		06/16/11	6.11	NP	2.44
		09/14/11	7.20	NP	1.35
		12/08/11	6.67	NP	1.88
		03/13/12	5.66	NP	2.89
		06/15/12	6.44	NP	2.11

Well Number	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Deep Monite	oring Wells (continued)				
DMW-5	8.14	12/07/05	5.88	NP	2.26
		08/16/06	6.57	NP	1.57
		12/11/07	5.75	NP	2.39
		03/11/08	6.14	NP	2.00
		07/01/08	5.01	NP	3.13
		09/30/08	6.52	NP	1.62
		09/02/09	6.75	NP	1.39
		12/15/09	5.87	NP	2.27
		03/18/10	6.03	NP	2.11
		06/15/10	. 5.68	NP	2.46
		09/14/10	6.55	NP	1.59
		12/14/10	4.80	NP	3.34
		03/16/11	5.17	NP	2.97
		06/16/11	5.69	NP	2.45
		09/14/11	6.79	NP	1.35
		12/08/11	6.28	NP	1.86
		03/13/12	5.25	NP	2.89
		06/15/12	6.05	NP	2.09
DMW-6	9.15	08/16/06	7.74	NP	1.41
		12/11/07	6.68	NP	2.47
		03/11/08	7.15	NP	2.00
		07/01/08	7.04	NP	2.11
		09/30/08	7.53	NP	1.62
		09/02/09	7.79	NP	1.36
		12/15/09	6.89	NP	2.26
		03/18/10	7.06	NP	2.09
		06/15/10	6.74	NP	2.41
		09/14/10	7.59	NP	1.56
		12/14/10	5.79	NP	3.36
		03/16/11	6.18	NP	2.97
		06/16/11	6.75	NP	2.40
		09/14/11	7.82	NP	1.33
		12/08/11	7.31	NP	1.84
		03/13/12	6.34	NP	2.81
		06/15/12	7.09	NP	2.06
DMW-7	8.12	08/16/06	6.68	NP	1.44
		12/11/07	5.68	NP	2.44
		03/11/08	6.11	NP	2.01
		07/01/08	6.02	NP	2.10
		09/30/08	6.61	NP	1.51
		09/02/09	6.74	NP	1.38
		12/15/09	5.85	NP	2.27
		03/18/10	5.93	NP	2.19
		06/15/10	5.82	NP	2.30
		09/14/10	6.55	NP	1.57
		12/14/10	5.27	NP	2.85
		03/16/11	5.15	NP	2.97
		06/16/11	5.70	NP	2.42
		09/14/11 12/08/11	6.64	NP	1.48
		03/13/12	6.28 5.22	NP NP	1.84 2.90
		06/15/12	6.05	NP	2.90

Well Number	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Deep Monit	oring Wells (continued)				
DMW-8	9.09	08/16/06	7.65	NP	1.44
		12/11/07	6.60	NP	2.49
		03/11/08	7.06	NP	2.03
		07/01/08	6.97	NP	2.12
		09/30/08	7.48	NP	1.61
		09/02/09	7.69	NP	1.40
		12/15/09	6.80	NP	2.29
		03/18/10	6.81	NP	2.28
		06/15/10	6.55	NP	2.54
		09/14/10	7.50	NP	1.59
		12/14/10	6.52	NP	2.57
		03/16/11	6.26	NP	2.83
		06/16/11	6.60	NP	2.49
		09/14/11	7.23	NP	1.86
		12/08/11	7.19	NP	1.90
		03/13/12	6.17	NP	2.92
		06/15/12	6.98	NP	2.11
DMW-9	8.86	12/11/07	5.39	NP	3.47
		03/11/08	6.84	NP	2.02
		07/01/08	6.85	NP	2.01
		09/30/08	7.20	NP	1.66
		09/02/09	7.44	NP	1.42
		12/15/09	6.54	NP	2.32
		03/18/10	6.69	NP ·	2.17
		06/15/10	6.39	NP	2.47
		09/14/10	7.23	NP	1.63
		12/14/10	5.66	NP	3.20
	1	03/16/11	5.87	NP	2.99
		06/16/11	6.39	NP	2.47
		09/14/11	7.46	NP	1.40
		12/08/11	6.95	NP	1.91
		03/13/12	5.91	NP	2.95
		06/15/12	6.73	NP	2.13
DMW-10	8.38	12/11/07	4.91	NP	3.47
		03/11/08	6.35	NP	2.03
		07/01/08	6.24	NP	2.14
		09/30/08	6.75	NP	1.63
		09/02/09	6.99	NP	1.39
		12/15/09	6.09	NP	2.29
		03/18/10	6.25	NP	2.13
		06/15/10	5.91	NP	2.47
		09/14/10	6.77	. NP	1.61
		12/14/10	5.02	NP	3.36
		03/16/11	5.38	NP	3.00
		06/16/11	5.92	NP	2.46
		09/14/11	7.02	NP	1.36
		12/08/11	6.51	NP	1.87
		03/13/12	5.50	NP	2.88
		06/15/12	6.28	NP	2.10

Well Number	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Date Measured	Depth to Groundwater <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Free Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Inactive Dee	p Recovery Well				
RW-1	8.08	09/02/09	6.69	NP	1.39
		12/15/09	5.78	NP	2.30
		03/18/10	5.96	NP	2.12
		06/15/10	5.60	NP	2.48
		12/14/10	4.70	NP	3.38
		03/16/11	5.06	NP	3.02
		06/16/11	5.61	NP	2.47
		09/14/11	6.95	NP	1.13
		12/08/11	5.83	NP	2.25
		03/13/12	5.12	NP	2.96
		06/15/12	5.72	NP	2.36

NOTES:

NP = Free product was not present.

<sup>1</sup> Top of well casing elevations were surveyed relative to NAVD 88 datum.

<sup>b</sup> Measurements in feet below top of well casing.

<sup>2</sup> Top of casing (TOC) elevation was re-surveyed in May 2005.

<sup>d</sup> Water in well was under pressure and rising when the cap was removed. The water level was recorded after the well cap was off for over 2 hours. <sup>\*</sup> Groundwater elevation corrected for product thickness by using the equation: Groundwater elevation = TOC elevation - depth to groundwater +

(product thickness x 0.80).

						h	
		Benzene <sup>a</sup>	Toluene <sup>a</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>a</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>a</sup>	<b>GRO</b> <sup>b</sup>	DRO <sup>c</sup>
Well Number	Sample Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MTCA Method A Cleanu	p Levels <sup>a</sup>	5	1,000	700	1,000	800	500
Shallow Monitoring Well							
MW-1	03/27/00	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	05/23/00	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
	07/20/00	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
	10/18/00	ND	ND	1.61	ND	404	NA
	01/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	95.6	NA
	04/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA <sup>·</sup>
	07/17/01	ND	2.63	1.46	ND	386	NA
	10/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
	01/16/02	ND	ND	ND	ND	104	NA
	07/09/03	< 0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<50	<250
	05/25/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<100	<50
	11/30/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
				Vell abandoned in			
MW-2	03/27/00	6.89	49.5	599	2,490	17,100	ND
	05/23/00	26.2	16.2	614	1,770	13,200	NA
	07/20/00	11.9	11.8	304	330	7,220	NA
	10/18/00	3.67	1.23	13.9	7.55	743	NA
	01/18/00	ND	ND	41.1	5.62	691	NA
	04/18/01	ND	ND	8.73	ND	NA	NA
	07/17/01	ND	1.26	14	ND	430	NA
	10/18/01	2.11	ND	3.64	ND	304	NA
	01/16/02	1.16	0.81	37.1	6.71	370	NA
	07/09/03	0.86	< 0.50	6.43	1.28	131	<250
	05/30/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<100	52
	12/01/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	120	<50
	03/07/00	7,520	12,900	Vell abandoned in			ND
IVI W - 3	05/23/00	4,710	All and a second s	2,780	14,500	93,700	ND NA
		4,710	8,330 22,600	2,280	11,200	65,200	NA NA
	07/20/00	Style State ( Association of States ) ( States )	ちょうちょうか こうてき ひとうかい かかかえる シング・インス シング	3,160	17,400	145,000	
	10/18/00	12,900	33,000	4,890	26,700	179,000	NA NA
	01/18/01 04/18/01	9,380 7,700	17,200 15,300	3,940	20,230	121,000	
	04/18/01 07/17/01	10,100	21,400	3,430 4,120	16,990 20,900	NA 940,000	NA NA
	10/18/01	7,200	19,700	4,120 3,340	20,900	940,000 139,000	NA
	01/16/02	13,600	26,600	3,340	20,800	139,000	NA NA
	07/09/03	13,800	20,000	3,920 4,560	20,800	124,000	3,750
	05/25/05	11,000		ampled due to pre			3,/30
	11/28/05			ampled due to pre ampled due to pre			
	11/20/03			Vell abandoned in			
			V	ven abandoned m	September 2007		

		Benzene <sup>a</sup>	Toluene <sup>a</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>a</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>a</sup>	GRO <sup>b</sup>	
Well Number	Sample Date	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)
MTCA Method A Cleanu	ip Levels	- 5	1,000	700	1,000	800	500
Shallow Monitoring Well		1 210	52.6	2 420	7.250	15 500	
MW-4	11/15/00	1,310	53.6	2,430	7,250	45,500	NA
	01/18/01	1,130	ND	2,030	2,764	29,400	NA
	04/18/01	1,280	ND	1,700	2,591	NA	NA
	07/17/01	1,610	35 ND	2,870	1,870	34,900	NA
	10/18/01	1,040	ND	2,300	1,320	33,000	NA
	01/16/02	733	ND	920	948	19,300	NA
	07/09/03	906	39.1	1,350	156 185 <sup>e</sup>	14,100	798
	05/24/05	310	2.90	410		9,600	2,300
	12/01/05	990	140	1,100	1,353°	11,000	2,900 <sup>f</sup>
NIN 5	11/15/00	NID		Vell abandoned in			<b>NTA</b>
MW-5	11/15/00	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA
	01/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	786	NA
	04/18/01	9.42	ND	6.76	10.1	NA 604	NA
	07/17/01	1.83	1.16	1.90	3.28	694	NA
	10/18/01	3.05	1.39	1.48	1.45	647	NA
	01/16/02	52.3	3.82	48	24.9	2,800	NA
	07/09/03	1.26	0.99	1.54	4.64	615	<250
	05/24/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	460	120
	11/28/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	420	230 <sup>f</sup>
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	140	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
MW-6	11/15/00	ND	ND	ND	ND	131	NA
	01/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	732	NA
	04/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	07/17/01	ND	1.35	1.33	5.79	892	NA
	10/18/01 01/16/02	ND ND	ND	2.60	5.48	1,000	NA NA
	01/16/02 07/09/03	ND <0.50	0.72	1.58	2.78	<b>810</b> 462	NA 058
	I I	<0.50 <1.0	0.53 <1.0	1.15 <1.0	4.84 <2.0		<b>958</b>
	05/25/05 11/28/05	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0	<2.0 <1.0	370 NA	270 <1.0
	11/20/03	<b>\1.0</b>		Well destroyed in			<u> </u>
MW-7	11/15/00	ND	ND	ND	1.35	113	NA
TAT AA = 1	01/18/01	ND	ND	ND ND	ND	242	NA NA
	04/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	NA	NA
	07/17/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	275	NA
	10/18/01	ND	ND	ND	ND	275	NA
	01/16/02	ND	ND	ND	ND	362	NA NA
	07/09/03	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.48	232	2,050
	07/09/03	<0.30 <1.0	<0.30 <1.0	<0.30	<2.0	<100	ODVERTING INDUMENTATION OF TWO
	11/30/05		<1.0 <1.0	<1.0	<2.0 <3.0		220
	11/50/05	<1.0		<1.0 Vell abandoned in		<100	140
			Ŷ	a on abandoned III	September 2007	•	

	1						
		Benzene <sup>a</sup>	Toluene <sup>a</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>a</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>a</sup>	<b>GRO</b> <sup>b</sup>	DRO <sup>c</sup>
Well Number	Sample Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MTCA Method A Cleanu	p Levels <sup>d</sup>	5	1,000	700	1,000	800	500
Shallow Monitoring Well				-			
MW-8	05/25/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<70
	11/29/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/01/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/01/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
MW-9	05/25/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	11/28/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	.<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
MW-10	05/25/05	45	<1.0	110	<2.0	1,000	1,200
	11/30/05	31	<1.0	110	<3.0	1,400	1,000 <sup>f</sup>
	12/11/07	9.0	3.0	65	<3.0	3,100	1,000 <sup>g</sup>
	03/11/08	16	2.0	40	<3.0	3,000	1,200 <sup>g</sup>
	07/03/08	18	2.0	53	41	2,500	1,100 <sup>g</sup>
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	1,300	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	2.0	<3.0	200	NA
	12/15/09	3.0	<1.0	11	<3.0	310	NA
	03/18/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	06/15/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	170	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	180	NA
	09/14/11	1.5	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	120	NA .
MW-11	12/05/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA

						b	
		Benzene <sup>a</sup>	Toluene <sup>a</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>a</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>a</sup>	GRO <sup>b</sup>	DRO <sup>c</sup>
Well Number	Sample Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	<u>(μg/L)</u>	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MTCA Method A Cleanu	p Levels"	5	1,000	700	1,000	800	500
Shallow Monitoring Well	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<100	-50
MW-12	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/02/08 09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
		<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<3.0 <3.0	<100	NA NA
	09/14/10 09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0	<3.0 <3.0	<100 <100	NA NA
MW-13	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
111 11 - 1 3	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0	<3.0 <3.0	<100 <100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0	<3.0 <3.0	<100 <100	<50
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0 <3.0	<100 <100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100 <100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0 <3.0	<100	NA
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/01/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
Deep Monitoring Wells							
DMW-1	12/07/05	4,000	160	1,100	4,090°	22,000	2,900 <sup>f</sup>
	08/17/06	4,100	<1.0	520	841 <sup>e</sup>	16,000	930 <sup>f</sup>
			V	Vell abandoned in			
DMW-2	12/07/05	11	<1.0	40	46 <sup>f</sup>	270	<50
	08/16/06	10	<1.0	5.6	<3.0	<100	<50
				Vell abandoned in	September 2007		
DMW-3	12/07/05	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<50	<50
	08/17/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/01/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
DMW-4	12/05/05	56	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	230	<50
	08/17/06	5.7	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	210	<50
	12/11/07	27	3.0	2.0	4.0	260	<50
	03/11/08	6.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	230	68 <sup>g</sup>
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50 NA
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100 <100	NA NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	1.2 <1.0	<1.0	3.3	<100 <100	NA NA
	09/14/11	<1.0		<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA NA
	03/13/12 06/15/12	<1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<3.0 <3.0	<100 <100	NA NA
	00/13/12	1.0	<u><u></u> <u></u> </u>	<1.0	<3.0	~100	INA

		Benzene <sup>a</sup>	Toluene <sup>a</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>a</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>a</sup>	<b>GRO</b> <sup>b</sup>	DRO <sup>c</sup>
Well Number	Sample Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MTCA Method A Cleanu	p Levels <sup>d</sup>	5	1,000	700	1,000	800	500
Deep Monitoring Wells (c							
DMW-5	12/05/05	36	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	130	<50
	08/17/06	74	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	170	<50
	12/11/07	41	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	100	<50
	03/11/08	10	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/01/08	42	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	110	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	12/15/09	1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	03/18/10	13	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	06/15/10	13	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	12/14/10	9.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	03/16/11	11	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	06/16/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	12/08/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	03/13/12	3.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
DMW-6	08/16/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
DMW-7	08/16/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/01/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/01/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
DMW-8	08/16/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	12/11/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	03/11/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	07/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	<50
	10/02/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/03/09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA

		<b>Benzene</b> <sup>a</sup>	Toluene <sup>a</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>a</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>a</sup>	<b>GRO</b> <sup>b</sup>	DRO <sup>c</sup>
Well Number	Sample Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
MTCA Method A Clean	up Levels <sup>d</sup>	5	1,000	700	1,000	800	500
Deep Monitoring Wells (							
DMW-9	12/11/07	6,100	1,900	970	3,100	27,000	600 <sup>g</sup>
	03/11/08	3,000	150	380	880	13,000	450 <sup>g</sup>
	07/03/08	3,600	3.0	320	610	9,500	520 <sup>g</sup>
	10/02/08	3,300	4.0	140	270	8,600	NA
	09/03/09	2,800	4.0	320	1,100	14,000	NA
	12/15/09	980	2.0	<1.0	1,100	5,300	NA
	03/18/10	190	<1.0	10	200	1,600	NA
	06/15/10	50	<1.0	9.1	60	630	NA
	09/14/10	210	<1.0	5.2	120	1,000	NA
	12/14/10	3.3	<1.0	1.3	9.8	320	NA
	03/16/11	14	<1.0	2.0	3.7	310	NA
	06/16/11	87	<1.0	<1.0	33	700	NA
	09/14/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.4	200	NA
	12/08/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	140	NA
	03/13/12	1.9	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	310	NA
	06/15/12	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	160	NA
DMW-10	12/11/07	60	4.0	88	130	750	53 <sup>g</sup>
	03/11/08	75	4.0	140	120	1,000	74 <sup>g</sup>
	07/02/08	89	6.0	160	130	1,100	68 <sup>g</sup>
	10/01/08	90	5.0	120	25	820	NA
	09/03/09	9.0	<1.0	2.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	12/15/09	20	<1.0	13	7.0	150	NA
	03/18/10	41	<1.0	21	13	310	NA
	06/15/10	34	2.3	14	12	340	NA
	09/14/10	12	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	12/14/10	32	1.7	7.1	11	120	NA
	03/16/11	27	1.2	8.2	11	220	NA
	06/16/11	27	1.8	<1.0	9.9	130	NA
	09/14/11	20	<1.0	<1.0	3.9	140	NA
	12/08/11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	<100	NA
	03/13/12	37	1.0	3.6	14	260	NA
	06/15/12	51	1.4	1.7	20	400	NA

NOTES: Values in bold exceed the MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

All concentrations in micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L).

ND = Not detected above the laboratory method reporting limit (MRL).

NA = Not analyzed.

<sup>a</sup> Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021B or EPA Method 8260B.

<sup>b</sup> Gasoline-range organics (GRO) by Ecology Method NWTPH-Gx.

<sup>c</sup> Diesel-range organics (DRO) by Ecology Method NWTPH-Dx.

<sup>d</sup> Chapter 173-340 WAC, Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation, Method A Cleanup Levels. Amended February 12, 2001.

<sup>e</sup> Total xylenes calculated by using the formula: total xylenes concentration = (m, p-xylene concentration) + (o-xylene concentration).

<sup>f</sup>The laboratory reported that the DRO concentration is due to overlap from the gasoline range.

<sup>g</sup> The laboratory reported that the pattern of chromatogram peaks from the sample were not indicative of diesel.





C:\DRIVE\_E\Clients\SLR\101\101.00173.00011\01-01.dwg, 4/13/2012 7:48:24 AM, BDT



_	LEGEND
Х	ELECTRON-ACCEPTOR SOLUTION INJECTION LOCATION
7 💮	DEEP GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
-1 🔘	INACTIVE DEEP GROUNDWATER RECOVERY WELL LOCATION AND LOCATION
-1 💮	ABANDONED DEEP GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
(2.36)	DEEP GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (IN FEET ABOVE NAVD 88 DATUM)
.0 B 60 G	B = BENZENE CONCENTRATION IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE (in $\mu$ g/L) G = GRO CONCENTRATION IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE (in $\mu$ g/L)
	VALUES IN BOLD EXCEED MTCA METHOD A CLEANUP LEVELS
E	
	$\mathbf{N}$
	ě
	X
	0 30 60
	SCALE IN FEET
4/12	FIGURE 2
NMB MDS	FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855 LONGVIEW, WASHINGTON
	-
Г NO. 3.00011	DEEP GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS JUNE 15, 2012



FORMER ARCO SERVICE STATION #0855

SHALLOW GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS -

## LABORATORY REPORT

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

July 19, 2012

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22118 20th Ave. SE., G-202 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 18, 2012 from the Former Arco No. 0855 Longview, WA PO 101-00173-00011, F&BI 206238 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Kurt Johnson Chemist

Enclosures SLR0719R.DOC

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on June 18, 2012 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Former Arco No. 0855 Longview, WA PO 101-00173-00011, F&BI 206238 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SLR International Corp.
206238-01	DMW4-0612
206238-02	DMW9-0612
206238-03	DMW10-0612

The samples were sent to Analytical Resources for sulfate analysis. The report generated by Analytical Resources is enclosed.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 07/19/12 Date Received: 06/18/12 Project: Former Arco No. 0855 Longview, WA PO 101-00173-00011, F&BI 206238 Date Extracted: 06/19/12 Date Analyzed: 06/19/12

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 50-150)
DMW4-0612 206238-01	1.0	<1	<1	<3	<100	81
DMW9-0612 206238-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	160	88
DMW10-0612 206238-03	51	1.4	1.7	20	400	84
Method Blank 02-1056 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	81

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 07/19/12 Date Received: 06/18/12 Project: Former Arco No. 0855 Longview, WA PO 101-00173-00011, F&BI 206238

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 206238-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	1.0	1.0	0
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	82	72-119
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	93	71-113
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	72-114
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	89	72-113
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	103	70-119

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

Ic - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

July 18, 2012

Michele Costales Poquiz Friedman & Bruya 3012 16<sup>th</sup> Ave W Seattle, WA 98119

RE: Project: 206238 ARI Job No.: VB76

Dear Michele:

Please find enclosed the original Chain-of-Custody record (COC), sample receipt documentation, and the final data for the samples from the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted three water samples on July 11, 2012 under job number VB76. For further details regarding sample receipt, please refer to the enclosed Cooler Receipt Form.

The samples were analyzed for Sulfate, as requested on the COC.

An electronic copy of this report and all associated raw data will be kept on file at ARI. Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to call me at your convenience.

Respectfully,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Cheronne Oreiro

Project Manager (206) 695-6214 <u>cheronneo@arilabs.com</u> www.arilabs.com

cc: eFile VB76

Enclosures

4611 South 134th Place, Suite 100 • Tukwila WA 98168 • 206-695-6200 • 206-695-6201 fax

Page 1 of

ARI Client:	ß	Project Name:			
COC No(s):	(NA `)	Delivered by: Fed-Ex UPS Co	urier Hand Deliv	pied Other:	
Assigned ARI Job No:	VB16	Tracking No:			(N
Preliminary Examination Phas	e:				<u> </u>
Were intact, properly signed an	d dated custody seals attached to t	the outside of to cooler?		YES	N
Were custody papers included	with the cooler?	·····		<b>785</b> /	N
Were custody papers properly	filled out (ink, signed, etc.)			NB .	NO
	(recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chem				
If cooler temperature is out of c			Temp Gun ID	#: 9046	1.0
·		Date: 7-11- 12 Tim	-		
		nd attach all shippirty documents			
.og-In Phase:					
<b>u</b>					0
	ded in the cooler?	A		YES	Ø.
What kind of packing materia	I was used? Bubble Wrap	Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foan		Other:	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr	I was used? Bubble Wrap	Wet Ice (el Packs Baggies Foan	n Block Paper ( NA	Other:	N
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi	Il was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags?	Wet ice Gel Packs Baggies Foan		Other: YES	NC NC
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co	Il was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? ndition (unbroken)?	Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foan	NA	Other:	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete	Il was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? ndition (unbroken)? and legible?	Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foan	NA	Other: YES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers list	I was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? ndition (unbroken)? and legible? sted on COC match with the number	Wet Ice Gel Pocks Baggies Foan	NA	Other: YES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers is Did all bottle labels and tags ag	I was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? ndition (unbroken)? and legible? sted on COC match with the number	Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foan	NA	Other: YES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct for	I was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? ndition (unbroken)? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses?	Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foan	NA	Dither:	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles	I was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? ndition (unbroken)? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses?	Wet Ice Gel Pocks Baggies Foan	NA		
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles Were all VOC vials free of air b	Il was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses?	Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foan	NA	Dither:	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles Were all VOC vials free of air b Was sufficient amount of samp	Il was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses? ) require preservation? (attach preservation)	Wet Ice Qel Packs Baggies Foan	NA	VES YES YES YES YES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles Were all VOC vials free of air b Was sufficient amount of samp Date VOC Trip Blank was made	Il was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses? ) require preservation? (attach prese ubbles? le sent in each bottle?	Wet Ice Qel Packs Baggies Foan	NA () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	VES YES YES YES YES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles Were all VOC vials free of air b Was sufficient amount of samp Date VOC Trip Blank was mad Was Sample Split by ARI :	al was used? Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses? ) require preservation? (attach prese ubbles? le sent in each bottle? e at ARI	Wet Ice (el Pecks Baggies Foan	NA B B	VES VES VES VES VES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles Were all VOC vials free of air b Was sufficient amount of samp Date VOC Trip Blank was mad Was Sample Split by ARI :	Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses? ) require preservation? (attach present ubbles? le sent in each bottle? e at ARI Second S	Wet Ice (e) Packs Baggies Foan     er of containers received?     er of containers received?     servation sheet, excluding VOCs)     Equipment:     7-11-12     Time:	NA () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	VES VES VES VES VES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles Were all VOC vials free of air b Was sufficient amount of samp Date VOC Trip Blank was mad Was Sample Split by ARI :	Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses? ) require preservation? (attach present ubbles? le sent in each bottle? e at ARI Second S	Wet Ice (el Pecks Baggies Foan	NA B B	VES VES VES VES VES	
What kind of packing materia Was sufficient ice used (if appr Were all bottles sealed in indivi Did all bottles arrive in good co Were all bottle labels complete Did the number of containers lis Did all bottle labels and tags ag Were all bottles used correct fo Do any of the analyses (bottles Were all VOC vials free of air b Was sufficient amount of samp Date VOC Trip Blank was mad	Bubble Wrap opriate)? idual plastic bags? and legible? sted on COC match with the numbe gree with custody papers? or the requested analyses? ) require preservation? (attach present ubbles? le sent in each bottle? e at ARI Second S	Wet Ice (e) Packs Baggies Foan     er of containers received?     er of containers received?     servation sheet, excluding VOCs)     Equipment:     7-11-12     Time:	NA 83 9 3 5	VES VES VES VES VES	

•				
Ву:	Date:			۰.
Small Air Bubbles	Peabubbles	LARGE AN BUDDIES	Small → "sm"	
3		>-4 mm	Peabubbles → "pb"	
:			Large → "lg"	
	Alternative Science Sc		Headspace → "hs"	

Additional Notes, Discrepancies, & Resolutions:

Revision 014

## Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: VB76 Client: Friedman & Bruya Project Event: 206238 Project Name: N/A

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VISR
1.	DMW4-0612	VB76A	12-13088	Water	06/15/12 13:15	07/11/12 09:30
2.	DMW9-0612	VB76B	12-13089		06/15/12 13:59	07/11/12 09:30
3.	DMW10-0612	VB76C	12-13090		06/15/12 12:44	07/11/12 09:30

Printed 07/11/12 Page 1 of 1

### INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Sulfate by Mathod EPA 300.0



Data Release Authorized: Reported: 07/13/12 Date Received: 07/11/12 Page 1 of 1

QC Report No: VB76-Friedman & Bruya Project: 206238

Client/ ARI ID	Date Sampled	Matrix	Analysis Date & Batch	RL.	Result
DMW4-0612 VB76A 12-13088	06/15/12	Water	07/12/12 10:18 071212#1	1.0	1.3
DMW9-0612 VB76B 12-13089	06/15/12	Water	07/12/12 10:18 071212#1	1.0	< 1.0 U
DMW10-0612 VB76C 12-13090	06/15/12	Water	07/12/12 10:18 071212#1	1.0	< 1.0 U

### Reported in mg/L

RL-Analytical reporting limit U-Undetected at reported detection limit

## MS/MSD RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS VB76-Friedman & Bruya



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 07/13/12



Analyte	Date	Units	Sample	Spike	Spike Added	Recovery
ARI ID: VB76A	Client ID: DMM4-0612					
Sulfate	07/12/12	mg/L	1.3	20.7	20.0	97.0%

Water MS/MSD Report-VB76

### REPLICATE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS VB76-Friedman & Bruya



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized; Reported: 07/13/12

Project: NA Event: 206238 Date Sampled: 06/15/12 Date Received: 07/11/12

Analyte	Data	Units	Sample	Replicate(s)	RPD/RSD
ARI ID: VB76A	Client ID: DMM4-0612				
Sulfate	07/12/12	mg/L	1.3	1.2	8.0%

Water Replicate Report-VB76

### METHOD BLANK RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS VB76-Friedman & Bruya



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 07/13/12

Project: NA Event: 206238 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Date/Time	Units	Blank		
Sulfate	07/12/12 10:18	mg/L	< 0.1 U		

Water Method Blank Report-VB76

### STANDARD REFERENCE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS VB76-Friedman & Bruya



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 07/13/12

Project: NA Event: 206238 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte/SRM ID	Date/Time	Units	SRM	True Value	Recovery	
Sulfate ERA <b>#</b> 070811	07/12/12 10:18	mg/L	3.1	3.0	103.3%	

Water Standard Reference Report-VB76

Page # / of [ TURNAROUND TIME	& Standard (2 Weeks) Rush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Constructions after 30 days Constructions Constructions	Samples Received at <u>A.</u> °C	7641	Notes			-			DATE TIME	wd w.e -el/01/L	711-5 930
	PO# XStands DRUSH DRUSH Rush chr	Dis Ref	Sam	ANALYSES REQUESTED	عمری البراد می HES	X	×	×			COMPANY	P\$8)	e ret
OF CUSTODY tature)		ESS	D ELECTRONIC DATA REQUESTED	AN	SvOCs by 8270 SvOCs by 8260 SvOCs by 8260	1		1		 	PRINT NAME	- Lastales Paquiz	er Streeker
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLERS (signature)	PROJECT NAME/NO.	PROJECT ADDRESS	D ELECTRONIC D		Sample Type	s weeker		+ 4				- Nichae	- Tayler
	Bruya		varand brange.		D Date Time	2161 -131/7	13 59	the latt			SIGNATURE	Relinguished Date Por	d by:
Send Report To Michele Castales Paguiz	Friedman and	Fax#	mpayuize fricamenand brya. co		ID Lab ID	2	-61	C6 130					2029
Send Report To_	Company <u>F</u> Address	City, State, ZIP_ Phone #	ldress		Sample ID	DAWH-0612	DAW9 - 0612	DMM 10 - 00			Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	3012 16th Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282

<b>β</b> - 1 2 ATV/V/ TURNAROUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks) CRUSH Rush charges authorized by SAMPLE DISPOSAL SAMPLE DISPOSAL SAMPLE DISPOSAL Conspose after 30 days Constructions Samples Constructions	SD Notes		received at $\Box$ DATE $C/is/i2 / i_{c}$
Y ME 06 - 18 - 12   PO# PO#   PO PO#   PO Rush c   PO Stan   PO Rush c   PO Stan   PO Stan   PO Rush c   PO Stan   PO Stan	<u>کمر م</u> <u>کمارح او</u> HFS SVOCs by 8270 VOCs by 8270		Fundamente SUR COMPANY
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. REMARKS	BTEX by 8021B TPH-Gasoline TPH-Diesel		PRINT NAME CHRIS, LEE Hart Leags
SAMPLE CHA SAMPLERS SAMPLERS PROJECT N PROJECT N Proves A LowG viet LowG viet REMARKS P. / REMARKS	ae oled Sample Type	2 Mater	
STATON STATON ATTONN CARP 445 SE, G-203- 1, WA 9803-1 Fax #(495) 403-8488	Lab Date Time ID Sampled Sampled	02 1 6/15/12 1315 02 1 1359 03 1 1244	SIGNATURE Relinquished by: Received by: Relinquished by: Received by:
206238 Send Report To <u>MIKE</u> STATON Company <u>SLR INTERNATION TO RP</u> Address 22118 20TH EUL, WA 980 City, State, ZIP <u>BOTHEUL, WA</u> 980 Phone # (475)402-8800 Fax # (475)403	Sample ID	0MW4-0613-00 0MW9-0612-00 0MW10-0613-00	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.3012 16th Avenue WestReliSeattle, WA 98119-2029Ph. (206) 285-8282Fax (206) 283-5044Fax (206) 283-5044ForMSNCOCCOC.DOC