TIDAL MONITORING STUDY REPORT Phase I Ground Water Confirmation Monitoring Program Southwest Harbor Project

Prepared for: Port of Seattle

Project No. 990106-004-12 • September 23, 2002

TIDAL MONITORING STUDY REPORT Phase I Ground Water Confirmation Monitoring Program Southwest Harbor Project

Prepared for: Port of Seattle

Project No. 990106-004-12 • September 23, 2002

Aspect Consulting, LLC

Timothy J. Flynn, P.G., CGWP Principal Hydrogeologist tflynn@aspectconsulting.com William V. Goodhue, P.G., R.G. Associate Hydrogeologist cgoodhue@aspectconsulting.com

W:\POS Terminal 5 GW Monitoring BV99106\990106-004-12 Tidal Monitoring Final Rpt.doc

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1
2	Da	ta Collection Activities	2
	2.1	Tidal Study Instrumentation	2
	2.2	Water Level Data Collection	3
	2.3	Water Quality Data Collection and Sensor Calibration	3
	2.4	Ground Water Sample Collection and Analysis	4
	2.5	Salinity Corrections to Water Level Data	4
	2.6	Probe Malfunction in Well MW-36	7
3	Da	ta Evaluation	8
3	Da 3.1	ta Evaluation Ground Water Elevation Contour Maps	8 9
3	Da t 3.1 3	ta Evaluation Ground Water Elevation Contour Maps 1.1 Fill Aquifer	8
3	Da 3.1 3 3	ta Evaluation Ground Water Elevation Contour Maps .1.1 Fill Aquifer .1.2 Estuarine Aquifer	8 9 10 12
3	Dat 3.1 3 3.2	ta Evaluation Ground Water Elevation Contour Maps 1.1 Fill Aquifer 1.2 Estuarine Aquifer Salinity Data	8 9 10 12 13
3	Da 3.1 3 3.2 Co	ta Evaluation Ground Water Elevation Contour Maps .1.1 Fill Aquifer .1.2 Estuarine Aquifer Salinity Data nclusions	8 9 10 12 13 14
3 4 5	Dat 3.1 3.2 Co Ret	ta Evaluation Ground Water Elevation Contour Maps 1.1 Fill Aquifer 1.2 Estuarine Aquifer Salinity Data nclusions ferences	

List of Tables

Table 2.1 – Ground Water Monitoring Well Data Summary

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	-	Site Vicinity Map
Figure 1.2	-	Project Location Map
Figure 2.1	-	Fill and Estuarine Aquifer Tidal Monitoring Study Well Locations
Figure 3.1	-	Daily Precipitation Data, December 1, 2001 through April 13, 2002
Figure 3.2	-	Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (72-Hour Mean, 4/28-30/94)

- Figure 3.3 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (72-Hour Mean, 12/13-15/2001)
- Figure 3.4 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (72-Hour Mean, April 10-12/2002)
- Figure 3.5 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (High Tide, 21:00 4/28/94)
- Figure 3.6 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (High Tide, 5:10 12/14/01)
- Figure 3.7 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (High Tide, 5:00 4/10/02)
- Figure 3.8 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (Low Tide, 14:00 4/28/94)
- Figure 3.9 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (Low Tide, 22:40 12/14/01)
- Figure 3.10 Fill Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (Low Tide, 12:00 4/12/02)
- Figure 3.11 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (72-Hour Mean, 4/28-30/94)
- Figure 3.12 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (72-Hour Mean, 12/13-15/2001)
- Figure 3.13 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (72-Hour Mean, 4/10-12/2002)
- Figure 3.14 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (High Tide, 21:00 4/28/94)
- Figure 3.15 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (High Tide, 5:10 12/14/01)
- Figure 3.16 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (High Tide, 5:00 4/10/02)
- Figure 3.17 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (Low Tide, 14:00 4/28/94)
- Figure 3.18 Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (Low Tide, 22:40 12/14/01)

Figure 3.19 - Estuarine Aquifer Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (Low Tide, 12:00 4/12/02)

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Ground Water Elevation Versus Time Plots
- Appendix B Water Quality and Water Level Data Plots for Selected Wells
- Appendix C Laboratory Results Chloride Analyses

1 Introduction

This report documents the tidal monitoring study completed as part of the Phase I Ground Water Confirmation Monitoring Program (GWCMP) for the Port of Seattle's (the Port's) Southwest Harbor Project (SWHP). The objectives of the tidal monitoring study are to evaluate potential changes in ground water flow conditions resulting from the SWHP remediation and redevelopment activities. A specific focus of the study is to determine whether filling and tightlining of the former equalization basins along the Longfellow Creek Overflow Line (LFOL) as part of SWHP redevelopment has significantly changed ground water flow conditions in the Fill Aquifer near the LFOL and, in turn, whether the retrofitted LFOL alignment is a significant route of ground water discharge from the Fill Aquifer, as it was prior to the SWHP redevelopment. The SWHP site vicinity is presented on Figure 1.1. Figure 1.2 is a plan map that shows the original Terminal 5 area in relation to the five redevelopment areas that comprise the SWHP.

Aspect Consulting completed the tidal monitoring study in accordance with the Associated Earth Sciences, Inc. (AESI) *Draft Monitoring Plan* (AESI, 2000) and *Addendum #1 to Monitoring Plan* (AESI, 2001). The Addendum was prepared to address comments raised by Ecology at a June 5, 2001 meeting to discuss the Draft Monitoring Plan. Ecology's comments were subsequently documented in a letter dated July 5, 2001 (Ecology, 2001), which confirmed Ecology's approval of the monitoring Plan. The Addendum, together with the December 2000 Draft Monitoring Plan, constitute the final Monitoring Plan for the SWHP Phase I GWCMP. The Monitoring Plan meets the requirements of the consent decrees for redevelopment areas that were redeveloped as part of the SWHP, as well as the *Ground Water Conceptual Letter* submitted by the Port (dated March 1999) to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology).

The data collection activities associated with the tidal monitoring study are documented in Section 2.0. Section 3.0 provides an evaluation of the tidal monitoring data, including pre-redevelopment and post-redevelopment ground water elevation contour maps for different tidal stages. Section 4.0 provides conclusions regarding post-redevelopment changes in ground water flow at the site, relative to the pre-redevelopment (1994) conditions. Appendix A presents plots of ground water elevations for two monitoring periods in December 2001 and April 2002 – the two post-redevelopment periods for which ground water elevation contour maps have been prepared for this tidal study. Appendix B provides water quality and water level data collected at the 12 Fill Aquifer wells for which water quality parameters have been monitored continuously since December 2001.

2 Data Collection Activities

This section summarizes the field data collection activities completed as part of the tidal monitoring study for the SWHP Phase I GWCMP. Data were collected from 18 monitoring wells completed in the Fill Aquifer and 5 wells completed in the underlying Estuarine Aquifer. Figure 2.1 depicts locations of the Fill Aquifer and Estuarine Aquifer monitoring well locations, and two LFOL surface water locations, monitored as part of the tidal study. The *Monitoring Network Construction Report* (Aspect Consulting, 2002) details completion of these ground water and surface water monitoring locations, as well as installation and initial calibration of the monitoring equipment.

2.1 Tidal Study Instrumentation

Automated In-Situ Troll® datalogging probes were installed to continuously record ground water levels in site monitoring wells for this tidal study prior to a period of large tidal exchange in early December 2001. The Troll 4000 (T-4000) and mini-Troll probes measured water level (pressure head) and temperature. The Troll MP-8000s (T-8000s) also measured water quality parameters including pH and conductivity. The conductivity and temperature data were used to calculate the salinity of the water. The dataloggers were programmed to record water level and water quality parameters on 10-minute intervals throughout the tidal study. Special care has been taken to place each probe's cable connector, at the end of the cable, in a relatively dry location within the monitoring well monuments to prevent water from entering the vent tubes. The vent tubes provide the pressure transducer compensation for changes in atmospheric pressure. The vent tubes open directly to the hardware of the probe without a desiccant pack or reservoir.

A total of 25 probes were active in wells from December 11 to December 17, 2001 – including 18 Fill Aquifer wells, five Estuarine Aquifer wells, and two (surface water) locations within the LFOL (MH-1 and MH-8) (Figure 2.1). Table 2.1 summarizes the information for the wells monitored for the tidal study and the instrumentation used in each. Manual static water level measurements were taken at the time of probe installation, and again during the tidal study on December 12 and 17, 2001. Rental probes, including five mini-Trolls and two T-8000s, were removed on December 17, 2001. The remaining probes, owned by the Port of Seattle, continued recording water levels and water quality parameters at 10-minute intervals until February 12-13, 2002. At this time, manual water level measurements in instrumented wells were taken, data from the previous 2 months were downloaded, the probes were re-calibrated for pH and conductivity and reinstalled, and the sampling interval was changed from 10 minutes to 1 hour. The 1 hour monitoring frequency will continue for the remainder of the Phase I GWCMP. On April 22-23, 2002, a second bimonthly field calibration and download took place, and water levels were measured manually in non-instrumented wells. In

addition, manual static water level measurements were taken in non-instrumented wells on February 8 and April 12, 2002.

2.2 Water Level Data Collection

Collection of water level elevations is a primary element of the Phase I GWCMP. The probes measure the height of the water column (pressure head) above the probe, and an accurate probe elevation is needed for conversion of the probe's pressure head reading to water level elevation. The elevation of the probes are determined by taking concurrent measurements of static water level (in feet below the top of the casing with a known elevation) and the recorded pressure due to water above the probe. The equation for determining the elevation of the probe is:

 $Elev_{probe} = Elev_{TOC} - SWL_{TOC} - H_{probe}$

where *Elev*_{probe} is the elevation of the probe (ft MLLW);

Elev_{TOC} is the elevation of the top of the casing (ft MLLW); *SWL_{TOC}* is the measured depth to water below the top of the casing (ft); and H_{probe} is the salinity-corrected freshwater equivalent head (see Section 2.5) due to water above the probe (ft) recorded by the datalogger.

When the probes were first installed, excess cable was kept inside the well casing so the well cap could be closed. However, this necessitated removing the probe before and after taking a manual static water level measurement. An alternative solution that has since been adopted is, with the well cap removed, to coil the cable around the casing within the monument. Note that the cable connector is draped inside the casing to avoid submergence of the vent tubes. This setup has been in use since reinstalling the probes after the February 2002 round of data downloads, and has greatly reduced the difference in transducer elevation between successive downloads.

Water level data have been corrected for any changes in transducer elevation by interpolating the transducer elevations between two data points. The average maximum transducer elevation change between the February and April 2002 downloads is 0.08 foot.

2.3 Water Quality Data Collection and Sensor Calibration

Collection of water quality data (pH and conductivity) is an additional element of the Phase I GWCMP. The T-8000 pH and conductivity sensors require regular calibration to maintain accuracy. The probes' water quality sensors (pH and conductivity) were calibrated in December 2001, before being installed for the tidal study. In February 2002, a calibration was performed on each instrument, including a one-point pH calibration and a one- or two-point conductivity calibration. In April 2002, a field check

of the calibration was made, and depending on the error of the measurement, a calibration was performed in the field. The field check/calibration procedures are conducted as follows:

- 1. Acquire a manual static water level and a manual reading of the pressure sensor on the probe.
- 2. Remove the probe from the well.
- 3. Rinse the probe in a bucket of deionized water and allow the probe temperature to equilibrate with ambient air temperature (temperature of the calibration solutions).
- 4. Insert the probe into a calibration solution with a known pH or conductivity.
- 5. Take a manual reading using the probe to check calibration.
 - **a.** If the probe pH reading was off by more than 0.5 pH unit, a pH calibration was performed.
 - **b.** If the probe conductivity reading was off by more than 10 percent, a conductivity calibration was performed.
- 6. Reinstall the probe in the well.
- 7. Obtain a manual static water level measurement and a water level reading from the probe.

2.4 Ground Water Sample Collection and Analysis

Ground water samples were collected from selected Fill and Estuarine Aquifer Wells for chloride analysis on December 12 and 17, 2001. The purpose of chloride data was to allow for salinity corrections for the water level data from the Estuarine Aquifer Wells, and to provide a check for salinities calculated from conductivity measurements in the Fill Aquifer Wells. Wells selected for chloride analyses were those exhibiting significantly elevated conductivity measurements during well development completed in late 2001.

Water samples were collected through 1/16" diameter dedicated polyethylene tubing installed in the wells. A peristaltic pump was used to purge the tubing and samples were collected in 500-milliliter polyethylene containers, placed on ice and shipped to North Creek Analytical in Bothell under industry-standard chain-of-custody.

All water samples were analyzed for chloride content by EPA Method 300.0. The laboratory results are provided in Appendix C. Chloride results are also posted on the water quality plots in Appendix B.

2.5 Salinity Corrections to Water Level Data

Temperature and conductivity data have been collected at 12 Fill Aquifer monitoring wells (see Table 2.1). In addition, chloride analyses are available for selected Fill and Estuarine Aquifers wells. The salinity data were used to allow correction of water level

data for density differences, and to provide additional information regarding postredevelopment changes in the Fill Aquifer ground water flow system. Consistent with findings from the Woodward Clyde (1994) tidal study, ground water salinity (thus density) was not found to alter the Fill Aquifer ground water level data significantly. Salinity correction factors were greater than 0.98 in all Fill Aquifer wells, resulting in water level differences of generally less than 0.01 feet, with no Fill Aquifer well correction exceeding 0.11 feet. For the Estuarine Aquifer wells, salinity corrections resulted in water level differences in excess of 1 foot in well MW-44. All water elevation data recorded from these wells were corrected for salinity in accordance with the following procedures (ASTM, 1995; Woodward Clyde, 1994).

Salinity (on the Practical Salinity Scale (PSS) $(S_{0.40})$), is calculated from conductivity, temperature, and pressure as follows:

$$S_{0-40} = S_{2-42} - \frac{A_0}{1+1.5(400R_t) + (400R_t)^2} - \frac{B_0}{1+(100R_t)^{1/2} + (100R_t)^{3/2}} \left[\frac{t-15}{1+0.0162(t-15)} \right]$$
$$S_{2-42} = a_0 + a_1 R_t^{1/2} + a_2 R_t + a_3 R_t^{3/2} + a_4 R_t^2 + a_5 R_t^{5/2} + \Delta S$$
$$\Delta S = \left[\frac{t-15}{1+0.162(t-15)} \right] \left(b_0 + b_1 R_t^{1/2} + b_2 R_t + b_3 R_t^{3/2} + b_4 R_t^2 + b_5 R_t^{5/2} \right)$$

where R_t is given by

$$R_{t} = \frac{R}{R_{p}r_{t}}$$

$$R_{p} = \left[1 + \frac{p(e_{1} + e_{2}p + e_{3}p^{2})}{1 + d_{1}t + d_{2}t^{2} + (d_{3} + d_{4}t)R}\right]$$

$$r_{t} = \left[c_{0} + c_{1}t + c_{2}t^{2} + c_{3}t^{3} + c_{4}t^{4}\right]$$

$$R = \frac{k}{k_{standard}}$$

where A_0 , B_0 , a_n , b_n , c_n , d_n , and e_n are coefficients,

t is temperature ($^{\circ}$ C),

p is in situ pressure (bars),

k is the *in situ* conductivity (μ S/cm),

 $k_{standard}$ is standard conductivity at S = 35 PSS, $t = 15^{\circ}$ C, p = 0 above one standard atmosphere. For our purposes, we use $k_{standard} = 42914$ µS/cm.

Calculate the density, r (kg/m³), of the sample from salinity and temperature:

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{0} + AS + BS^{3/2} + CS^{2}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{0} = f_{0} + f_{1}t + f_{2}t^{2} + f_{3}t^{3} + f_{4}t^{4} + f_{5}t$$

$$A = g_{0} + g_{1}t + g_{2}t^{2} + g_{3}t^{3} + g_{4}t^{4}$$

$$B = h_{0} + h_{1}t + h_{2}t^{2}$$

$$C = i_{0}$$

where f_n, g_n, h_n, i_0 are coefficients,

 \mathbf{r}_0 is the density of fresh water at the same temperature (kg/m³),

S is salinity (PSS), and

t is temperature ($^{\circ}$ C)

Compute the elevation/salinity correction factor, CF, for each well:

$$CF = \frac{r_0}{r}$$

Calculate the salinity corrected water level elevations, W_{elev} (ft MLLW):

$$W_{elev} = \left(CF \times p \times 2.307 \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{psi}}\right) + TD_{elev}$$

where p is the pressure due to water above the transducer and

 TD_{elev} is the elevation of transducer sensor.

For wells with chloride analyses only (Estuarine Aquifer), salinity is calculated from chloride as follows:

 $S = (35/19) \ge [C1]$

where S is salinity (PSS), and [C1] is chloride concentration in parts per thousand (ppt)

2.6 Probe Malfunction in Well MW-36

The cable connector for the T-4000 probe in Estuarine Aquifer monitoring well MW-36 was placed across the top of the casing due to the lack of a D-ring cable holder on this unit. After the monument was sealed in February 2002, the cable connector slipped slightly. When the monument was opened in April 2002, water was observed in the monument to the top of the casing, and the cable connector was under about ¹/₄ inch of water, and water had entered the vent tube. Communication could not be re-established with the probe and it was removed and sent back to the manufacturer for inspection. Data from the probe was recovered by the manufacturer and sent to Aspect Consulting.

3 Data Evaluation

The tidal study data evaluation methods were generally consistent with those used for the Southwest Harbor Project tidal study completed in 1994, prior to the redevelopment (Woodward Clyde, 1994). The water level elevation data were corrected for salinity as described in the *Monitoring Plan* (Associated Earth Sciences, Inc., 2000; 2001), and in Section 2.5 of this report.

Water level elevations were calculated relative to mean lower low water (MLLW) datum (0.26 feet below Port of Seattle datum). The salinity-corrected water level elevation data were then used to calculate 72-hour mean ground water elevations using the method of Serfes (1991). This method cancels out the major lunar and solar frequencies that produce the tides, and provides a mean ground water elevation for the 72-hour time period. The mean (tidally averaged) potentiometric ground water surfaces for the Fill and Estuarine Aquifers were evaluated by contouring these mean ground water elevations from multiple site wells in the respective aquifers. From these contour maps, mean ground water flow directions and hydraulic gradients are determined, which represent the net ground water flow condition over the course of multiple tidal cycles. This mean ground water flow condition can be used to define appropriate points for monitoring ground water quality during the SWHP Phase II Water Quality Monitoring Program.

A substantial ground water recharge event occurred during the initial December 11 through 17, 2001, tidal monitoring period. Almost 3.6 inches of rain fell in Seattle during this period, including the two highest daily precipitation readings (1.68 and 1.14 inches on December 13 and December 16, respectively) recorded between December 2001 and April 2002. Figure 3.1 presents the daily precipitation data for this time period. Ground water levels in several site monitoring wells rose significantly during the course of this monitoring period in response to this large precipitation event. In light of concern over the relative effect of recharge versus tidal response on the observed December 2001 flow conditions, Aspect Consulting evaluated ground water elevation and tidal data from a second 72-hour period between April 10 through 12, 2002. This April 2002 period was chosen because the 72-hour mean ground water elevations in the majority of wells show a relatively stable trend, without major increasing or decreasing seasonal trends. Five wells monitored continuously during the December tidal monitoring period were not monitored during the April 2002 period: CMP-9 located east of RA-3; CMP-17 and MW-125 immediately north of RA-1; and wells CMP-15 and MW-5 on the northern edge of RA-5 (Figure 2.1). All of the monitoring wells located along the LFOL (a focus of the tidal study) were monitored continuously during the April 2002 period.

To provide consistency with the 1994 tidal study (Woodward Clyde, 1994), the December 2001 tidal monitoring period targeted a period of maximum tidal exchange to

evaluate ground water flow conditions in response to the large tidal fluctuations. During the December 2001 tidal monitoring period (additional site wells instrumented, as discussed in Section 2.1), the minimum low tide recorded at Coleman Dock in Elliot Bay was –2.32 feet MLLW at 22:40 on December 14, 2001 and the maximum high tide was 12.94 feet MLLW at 5:10 on December 14, 2001. This range is slightly greater than that from the 1994 site tidal study (–2.68 to 11.81 feet MLLW on April 28, 1994). The tidal exchange was smaller during the April 2002 tidal monitoring period (tidal range of 1.78 to 10.55 feet MLLW) than in the December 2001 period. However, the April 2002 is representative of a period of generally stable water level trends, which allows evaluation of ground water changes in response to tides. Tidal stage data for Coleman Dock are presented on the water elevation plots in Appendix A.

The post-redevelopment ground water elevation contour maps were developed to encompass the period of maximum tidal fluctuation in both the December and April tidal monitoring periods. For the December period, maps were developed for a 72-hour mean calculated between 0:00 December 13, 2001 and 23:50 on December 15, 2001 (centered about 12:00 December 14, 2001), and for the maximum high tide (5:10 December 14, 2001) and low tide (22:40 December 14, 2001). For the April period, maps were developed for a 72-hour mean calculated between 0:00 April 10, 2002 and 23:50 on April 12, 2002 (centered about 12:00 April 11, 2002), and for the maximum high tide (5:00 April 10, 2002) and low tide (12:00 April 12, 2002)

Figures A-1 through A-23 in Appendix A present the ground water elevation data, and the 72-hour mean elevations (running average by the Serfes [1991] method for both the December 2001 and April 2002 tidal monitoring periods. The corresponding tide elevation data, and the 72-hour period for which ground water elevation contour maps were developed, are also included on these figures for reference. The ground water recharge is evident in many wells by the rising trend in the 72-hour (Serfes) running averages over the course of the December 2001 monitoring period. Ground water elevation contour maps developed from these December 2001 data represent ground water flow conditions during a major short-term recharge event, and may not be representative of flow conditions during periods when less recharge is occurring.

Figure A-24 in Appendix A presents the surface water level elevation data collected during the December 2001 tidal monitoring period at two locations within the LFOL: in manhole MH-1 located near the south end of the LFOL within RA-2, and in manhole MH-8 located just north of South Florida Street near the LFOL outfall to Elliot Bay. Surface water levels were not measured in the LFOL after this monitoring period. The water level data from these two locations show a nearly perfect correlation, in both magnitude and timing, with the tidal stage at Coleman Dock, demonstrating that water levels in the LFOL respond to tidal changes without significant attenuation or time lag.

3.1 Ground Water Elevation Contour Maps

To assess ground water flow directions in the Fill and Estuarine Aquifers following redevelopment of the SWHP, post-redevelopment ground water elevation contour maps were developed for each aquifer at mean, high, and low tide stages, consistent with the maps generated for the 1994 tidal study (Woodward Clyde, 1994). Maps were developed for the December 2001 and April 2002 monitoring periods as discussed above.

In developing the ground water elevation contour maps, manual water level measurements from non-instrumented wells were used to supplement the water level data from the data logger probes. For both the December 2001 and April 2002 maps, these manual measurements were collected during the 72-hour window used in developing the contour maps. Only wells at distances roughly 500 feet or greater from the West Waterway or Elliot Bay were used since they have negligible tidal response. Manual measurements collected from wells CMP-15 and MW-5 during the April 2002 monitoring period (not instrumented at the time) were not used in developing the water level contour maps. The December 2001 data demonstrate that MW-5 has substantial tidal response (Figure A-14 in Appendix A). CMP-15 showed substantial recharge during that period that obscured potential tidal response (Figure A-11 in Appendix A); however, it is close enough to the shoreline that tidal response is probable. Additionally, the manual measurement times for these wells did not correspond to the high or low tide times contoured.

The ground water elevation contour maps for the Fill Aquifer are presented on Figures 3.2 through 3.10, and those for the Estuarine Aquifer on Figures 3.11 through 3.19. To facilitate comparison of pre- and post-redevelopment ground water flow conditions for each aquifer (Fill and Estuarine), the maps representing pre-redevelopment (1994) and post-redevelopment conditions (December 2001 and April 2002) are presented sequentially for each tidal stage (mean, high, and low tides). The current ground water flow conditions (1994) are described below.

3.1.1 Fill Aquifer

Mean Water Level Conditions. The December 2001 72-hour mean (tidally averaged) ground water elevation contour map for the Fill Aquifer is presented on Figure 3.3. The general ground water flow directions for this time period indicate recharge from the West Seattle uplands west of RA-3, and from the south of RA-1 and RA-2. Ground water in the Fill Aquifer across the site as a whole generally flows toward the northeast with discharge to the West Waterway and Elliot Bay. This contrasts with the pre-redevelopment (1994) ground water flow conditions (Figure 3.2), where flow throughout much of the Fill Aquifer at the site converged to discharge points at the LFOL equalization basins.

In the current study, a ground water high is apparent beneath a portion of RA-5 (centered on well MW-5) under mean flow conditions. Wells CMP-16 and MW-5 along the shoreline of RA-5 respond significantly to tidal fluctuations (see Figures A-4 and A-14, respectively, in Appendix A), whereas well MW-26R displayed a weak tidal response (see Figure A-15 in Appendix A). This tidal response difference, and the resulting ground water high in this area, could be the result of buried bulkheads or other structures generally known to exist in this area (Enviros, 1992). The presence of the ground water high, under mean water level conditions, was also observed in this general area during a 1992 tidal study of the Lockheed Property (Enviros, 1992). In the April 2002 monitoring period (Figure 3.4), the ground water high is not apparent under mean conditions; however, water level data were not collected from wells MW-5 or CMP-15 during this period.

The major change in Fill Aquifer ground water flow resulting from the SWHP redevelopment is the substantially dampened hydraulic influence of the LFOL. The preredevelopment data indicate that the LFOL's former southern and northern equalization basins acted as strong hydraulic sinks in the Fill Aquifer under mean water level conditions (Figure 3.2). As a result, the mean ground water flow directions in the Fill Aquifer were locally toward the LFOL within much of RA-2 and RA-3 and the southern portion of RA-4, and the western portion of the original Terminal 5 area. The current tidal monitoring data (Figures 3.3 and 3.4) confirm that closure of the equalization basins and tightlining of the LFOL across the SWHP has substantially reduced the tidal influence within the Fill Aquifer along the LFOL. The December 2001 data suggest that the LFOL has negligible influence on ground water elevation contours, and thus ground water flow directions; the extensive ground water lows observed around the equalization basins in the 1994 tidal study (Figure 3.2) are absent.

In contrast to the December 2001 data, the April 2002 mean ground water elevation contours (see Figure 3.4) suggest a weak ground water low centered around the location of the former northern equalization basins, in the area of wells CMP-12 and CMP-13 (Figure 3.4). CMP-12, in the approximate location of a former northern equalization basin, shows greater tidal response than does CMP-13, located closer to Elliot Bay (compare Figures A-8 and A-9 in Appendix A). The April 2002 data suggest that the LFOL may still act as a weak hydraulic sink, with the mean ground water flow direction locally toward it in the area of CMP-12. In the localized area around CMP-12, the LFOL, or permeable backfill around it, may still act as a discharge pathway for some site ground water in the Fill Aquifer. However, from a site-wide perspective, the mean hydraulic gradient toward the LFOL has been greatly reduced relative to pre-redevelopment conditions. If Fill Aquifer ground water continues to discharge to the LFOL in the vicinity of the former northern equalization basins, the quantity of discharge is likely negligible relative to pre-development discharge volumes.

High Tide. Under pre-redevelopment conditions, ground water highs (mounds) developed around the south and north equalization basins (Figure 3.5) as the high tide propagated inland via the LFOL. As observed for mean water level conditions, this effect has been nearly eliminated under post-redevelopment conditions. For the post-redevelopment December 2001 data, the high tide ground water elevation contours (Figure 3.6) look similar to those for mean water level conditions, except along the shoreline where the hydraulic gradient is temporarily reversed – resulting in short-term marine water intrusion into the aquifer in these areas. The high tide ground water elevation contours from the April 2002 monitoring period (Figure 3.7) are similar to those for December 2001, except that a ground water low (sink) is observed around CMP-12. In the December 2001 high tide data, the ground water elevation at CMP-13 was higher than that at CMP-12, which was higher than that at CMP-11 located northeast of CMP-12 (Figure 3.6). In the April 2002 high tide data, the relationship changed such that CMP-13 was higher than CMP-11, which was higher than CMP-12 (Figure 3.7).

Low Tide. Under pre-redevelopment conditions, large ground water lows developed in the Fill Aquifer around the LFOL's former south and north equalization basins, resulting in substantial ground water discharge to the LFOL as the tides receded (Figure 3.8). Similar to that observed at mean and high tides, the effect of the LFOL on low tide ground water elevation contours is negligible during the December 2001 monitoring

period (Figure 3.9). During this low tide, the ground water elevation remains lower at CMP-12 than CMP-13, but is higher at CMP-12 than that at CMP-11; a significant ground water low is not apparent around CMP-12. During the April 2002 low tide monitoring event, the ground water elevation at CMP-12 was lower than that at both CMP-11 and CMP-13 (Figure 3.10), indicating the presence of a subtle ground water sink at low tide in the absence of a short-term recharge event.

The December 2001 low tide data also indicate a residual ground water high beneath the eastern portion of RA-5. A similar ground water high was also observed in this general area at low tide during the pre-redevelopment Enviros (1992) tidal study, suggesting that the Fill Aquifer in this area may drain more slowly as the tide drops than in adjacent areas, potentially as a result of bulkheads in the area. A ground water high was not apparent from the April 2002 data (Figure 3.10), but wells MW-5 and CMP-15 were not monitored during this period.

3.1.2 Estuarine Aquifer

As discussed in *Ground Water Conceptual Letter* (Ecology, 2001) and the *Monitoring Plan* (Associated Earth Sciences, 2000; 2001), the focus of the Phase I GWCMP is to evaluate potential changes in Fill Aquifer ground water conditions resulting from the SWHP redevelopment. The principal reason for monitoring water levels in the Estuarine Aquifer as part of the Phase I GWCMP is to evaluate vertical gradients between the Fill and Estuarine Aquifers (to be evaluated in the *Hydrologic Characterization Report* at the end of the Phase I GWCMP). As a result, only five Estuarine Aquifer wells are being monitored at locations where they are paired with Fill Aquifer wells. Regardless, for consistency with the data presentation in the pre-redevelopment tidal study (Woodward Clyde, 1994), post-redevelopment ground water elevation contour maps have been prepared for the Estuarine Aquifer at mean, high, and low tide conditions.

The data from the Estuarine Aquifer monitoring wells confirm that the preredevelopment ground water flow directions have not changed appreciably as a result of the SWHP redevelopment. Ground water in the Estuarine Aquifer continues to flow generally from the southwest toward the northeast, with discharge to Elliot Bay and the West Waterway. Figure 3.11 presents the pre-redevelopment (1994) Estuarine Aquifer 72-hour mean ground water elevation contours. Figures 3.12 and 3.13 present the corresponding post-redevelopment contour maps based on data collected in December 2001 and April 2002, respectively. Based on these data, the mean ground water flow directions are generally consistent between pre- and post-redevelopment conditions.

Figures 3.14 through 3.16 present the pre- and post-redevelopment ground water elevation contour maps for high tide conditions. Figures 3.17 through 3.19 present the same sets of contour maps for low tide. The pre- and post-redevelopment contours show the same general hydraulic gradient reversal along the shoreline, with marine water temporarily flowing into the aquifer at high tide, and discharging back at low tide.

3.2 Salinity Data

The post-redevelopment water quality and water level data collected to date (December 2001 through April 2002) for the 12 wells are presented graphically in Appendix B. Limited pre-redevelopment salinity data (single measurements) are available from the Woodward Clyde (1994) tidal study.

The available data indicate that ground water salinity (calculated from conductivity) varies significantly in some key Fill Aquifer monitoring wells (notably CMP-11 and CMP-12 near the LFOL; Figures B-6 and B-7 in Appendix B). Consequently, an evaluation of potential changes in post-redevelopment ground water salinity will be performed as part of the *Hydrologic Characterization Report*.

4 Conclusions

The December 2001 and April 2002 tidal monitoring data confirm that the SWHP redevelopment activities, including capping of a large portion of the site (and historical contaminant source areas) and change in the LFOL configuration, have had a significant effect on the Fill Aquifer flow regime. Prior to the SWHP redevelopment, ground water flow in the Fill Aquifer beneath the western and central portions of the SWHP was generally toward the LFOL, which served as a significant ground water discharge pathway to surface water. Now that the LFOL equalization basins have been filled in, and the LFOL has been tightlined across the locations of those former basins, there is substantially less tidal influence within the Fill Aquifer inland along the LFOL. Based on post-redevelopment data collected in April 2002, when negligible ground water recharge to the Fill Aquifer was occurring, some ground water may continue to discharge to the northern portion of the LFOL; however, the quantity of apparent discharge has been substantially reduced. Relative to pre-redevelopment conditions, a much greater percentage of Fill Aquifer beneath the western and central portions of the SWHP now flows toward the northeast with discharge to the West Waterway or Elliot Bay. Ground water flow directions in the Estuarine Aquifer do not appear to have changed appreciably relative to predevelopment conditions.

5 References

American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM), 1995, ASTM Test Method 2520B, Salinity/Electrical Conductivity Method.

Associated Earth Sciences, Inc., 2000, *Monitoring Plan, Phase I Ground Water Confirmation Monitoring Program, Southwest Harbor Project*: prepared for Port of Seattle, dated December 21, 2000.

Associated Earth Sciences, Inc., 2001, Addendum #1 to Monitoring Plan, Phase I Ground Water Confirmation Monitoring Program, Southwest Harbor Project: prepared for Port of Seattle, dated August 27, 2001.

Aspect Consulting, 2002, *Phase I Ground Water Confirmation Monitoring Program*, *Southwest Harbor Project, Monitoring Network Construction Report*: prepared for Port of Seattle, dated April 18, 2002.

Enviros, 1992, Final Report: Tidal Monitoring Investigation of the Lockheed Property, 2330 SW Florida Street, Seattle, Washington. September 17, 1992. Included as Appendix D to Southwest Harbor Cleanup and Remediation Project, Sediment Dredge Disposal Containment Model Study, prepared by Converse Consultants and Pacific Groundwater Group, prepared for Port of Seattle, dated November 11, 1993.

Port of Seattle, 1999, *Ground Water Conceptual Letter* submitted by the Port of Seattle to the Washington State Department of Ecology, dated March 1999.

Serfes, M.E., 1991, *Determining the Mean Hydraulic Gradient of Ground Water Affected by Tidal Fluctuations*. Ground Water v. 29, no. 4, pp. 549-555.

Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2001, letter from Glynis A. Carrosino, Project Manager, Toxics Cleanup Program, Washington State Department of Ecology to Kathy Bahnick Port of Seattle, "RE: Southwest Harbor Project – Monitoring Plan, Transmittal of Phase I Groundwater Confirmation Monitoring Program, December 21, 2000", dated July 5, 2001.

Woodward Clyde Consultants, 1994, *Tidal Study, Southwest Harbor Project*: prepared for Port of Seattle, dated July 1994.

Limitations

Work for this project was performed and this report prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional practices for the nature and conditions of work completed in the same or similar localities, at the time the work was performed. It is intended for the exclusive use of Port of Seattle for specific application to the referenced property. This report does not represent a legal opinion. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

Table 2.1 Ground Water Monitoring Well Data Summary Phase 1 Ground Water Confirmation Monitoring Project Southwest Harbor Project Port of Seattle

Well ID	Installation Date	Well Lo Coord (fe	ocation inates ¹ et)	Top of PVC Well Casing Elevation ² (feet	Well Depth ³ (feet bgs)	Screen Interval Depth (feet bgs)	Screen Interval Elevation (feet MLLW)	December 2001 Tidal Study Data Collection Method ⁵	Long-term (including April 2002) Data Collection Method ⁵
		Northing	Easting	Fill Aquife	er Wells				Method
CMP-1	9/19/2001	212355	1261812	22.71	17	7 to 17	16 to 6	Manual	Manual
CMP-2	9/18/2001	212300	1261309	22.71	17	7 to 17	16 to 6	8000	8000
CMP-3	9/19/2001	213309	1262165	17.40	16	6 to 16	11 8 to 1 8	8000	8000
CMP-4	9/18/2001	214292	1261638	19.92	17	7 to 17	13.2 to 3.2	8000	8000
CMP-5	10/29/2001	214209	1260800	23.80	15	5 to 15	19.1 to 9.1	Manual	Manual
CMP-6	9/18/2001	214819	1261538	20.04	10	7 to 17	13.3 to 3.3	8000	8000
CMP-8	9/18/2001	214249	1261789	18.40	17	7 to 17	11.6 to 1.6	8000	8000
CMP-9	9/18/2001	214476	1262081	17.87	17	7 to 17	11.1 to 1.1	Mini ⁴	Manual
CMP-10	9/19/2001	215246	1261877	17.17	15	5 to 15	12.4 to 2.4	Manual	Manual
CMP-11	9/17/2001	215949	1261186	15.53	16	6 to 16	9.7 to -0.3	8000	8000
CMP-12	9/17/2001	215834	1261111	17.90	16	6 to 16	12 to 2	8000	8000
CMP-13	9/17/2001	215904	1261032	17.80	16	6 to 16	12 to 2	8000	8000
CMP-14	11/5/2001	216198	1261845	17.79	16.5	6.5 to 16.5	11.7 to 1.7	8000	8000
CMP-15	11/5/2001	216739	1261998	18.42	17	7 to 17	11.7 to 1.7	Mini ⁴	Manual
CMP-16	11/5/2001	216882	1262452	18.48	16.2	6.2 to 16.2	12.6 to 2.6	4000	4000
CMP-17	11/6/2001	212793	1262710	18.43	16	6 to 16	12.3 to 2.3	Mini ⁴	Manual
MW-5	8/3/1989	216853	1262825	18.53	25	5 to 25	13.8 to -6.2	8000 ⁴	Manual
MW-26R	11/6/2001	216604	1262988	18.27	16.5	6.5 to 16.5	12.1 to 2.1	8000	8000
MW-125	5/13/1994	212542	1263034	15.90	15	5 to 15	12 to 2	8000 ⁴	Manual
MW-307A	4/7/1994	216083	1260745	16.22	20	15 to 20	1.7 to -3.3	8000	8000
MW-308N (A)	4/5/1994	216143	1261040	14.69	17.5	12.5 to 17.5	2.5 to -2.5	8000	8000
FM-105	9/29/1992	212298	1263091	20.80	17	7 to 17	14.1 to 4.1	Manual	Manual
Estuarine Aquifer Wells									
CMP-7	11/7/2001	214830	1261539	19.92	47	37 to 47	-16.7 to -26.7	4000	4000
MW-36	7/8/1990	216248	1261886	17.60	73	58 to 73	-40.2 to -55.2	4000	4000
MW-44	6/23/1992	216613	1262994	18.38	74 ⁶	59 to 74 6	-40.4 to -55.4 ⁶	4000	4000
MW-307BR	11/9/2001	216095	1260732	16.64	39	29 to 39	-12 to -22	4000	4000
MW-308S (B)	4/6/1994	216136	1261041	14.42	40	35 to 40	-20 to -25	4000	4000

Notes:

1.) Well location coordinates accurate to within 1 foot using the Washington State Plane North (NAD 83

2.) Measured elevations accurate to within 0.01 foot using the Mean Lower-Low Water (MLLW) datum.

3.) Well depth taken from completion log.

4.) Rental units removed at the completion of the December, 2001 Tidal Study.

5.) Data collection methods:

8000 = Troll MP8000 Datalogger measures pressure, temperature, pH, and conductivity

4000 = Troll 4000 Datalogger measures pressure and temperature

Mini = Mini Troll Datalogger measures pressure and temperature

Manual = Manual measurements of water level



\POS Terminal 5\TidalStuch\Dww\0000106-12-f



OJECT LOCATION MAP	REFERENCE:		PROJECT NO.
outhwest Harbor Project	DATE: 05/23/02	scale: 1" = 400'	990106
se I Ground Water Confirmation	DESIGNED BY: PSB, JJS	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
Monitoring Program	DRAWN BY: JJR	orig. Filename: BV99106-F2.dwg	1.2



f2.01.

90106-12-

II and Estuarine Aquifer	REFERENCE:	PROJECT NO.	
nitoring Study Well Locations	DATE: 06/04/02	scale: 1" = 400'	990106-01
uthwest Harbor Project Phase I	DESIGNED BY: WVG, PSB	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
/ater Confirmation Monitoring Program	DRAWN BY: JJR	ORIG. FILENAME: BV99106D6.dwg	2.1



Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

Fax: (206) 780-9438

Figure 3.1



uifer Groundwater Elevation	REFERENCE:		PROJECT NO.
Map (72-Hour Mean, 4/28-30/94)	DATE: 05/24/02	SCALE: 1" = 800'	990106
outhwest Harbor Project Phase I	DESIGNED BY: WVG, SJG	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
Vater Confirmation Monitoring Program	drawn by: JJR	ORIG. FILENAME: Figure 3-2.dwg	3.2







uifer Groundwater Elevation	REFERENCE:		PROJECT NO.
Map (High Tide, 21:00 4/28/94)	DATE: 05/24/02	SCALE: 1" = 800'	990106
outhwest Harbor Project Phase I	DESIGNED BY: WVG, SJG	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
Nater Confirmation Monitoring Program	DRAWN BY: JJR	ORIG. FILENAME: Figure 3-5.dwg	3.5







PARCEL C	PAROELIA
.) ///	Autonunut: hunnahini
	ux(uuuuuuuuuuuuuuuu)
	XIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
ANNARCO PROPE	
MUKAN	nounnuntermini
/11/2011.111	muuummizmuuummi
ELIOTT	
IL ELEVATION -	·2/48.
1100000000	
AIO	
	mmmmm
7.6	
9.12	
L19	
	/ fammennymmin
	mommunnin
RMER LOCKHEED	
ARD 2 PROPERTY	
	IIIIIIIMMIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIMMIIII
	mmmmmmmmmm
1	Annum Within Million Mill
* *	
Internet 1	
muunn	
<u>111111111111</u>	

uifer Groundwater Elevation	REFERENCE:		PROJECT NO.
Map (Low Tide, 14:00 4/28/94)	DATE: 05/24/02	SCALE: 1" = 800'	990106
outhwest Harbor Project Phase I	DESIGNED BY: WVG, SJG	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
Nater Confirmation Monitoring Program	drawn by: JJR	ORIG. FILENAME: Figure 3-8.dwg	3.8




Map (Low Tide, 12:00 4/12/02)	05/31/02	SCALE: 1" = 400'	990106
uthwest Harbor Project Phase I	WVG, SJG	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
Ater Confirmation Monitoring Program	JJR	FILEWAR: (ORIG.) 9901064-LT41202.dwg	3.10



DATE: 05/28/02	scale: 1" = 800'	990106
DESIGNED BY: WVG, SJG	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
DRAWN BY: JJR	ORIG. FILENAME: Figure 3-11.dwg	3.11







:\\POS Terminal 5\TidalStudy\Dwg\990106-12-f3.14.

							5// p9/	-6 -6	
) 	11	ER 2	ī		_((<u>))</u>			
	1		LC						
	1) []			9					F
									PAF
			EEI		1		0	PI	
	•		D Y				T	RO	L C
	((]]]]			<u>L1</u>					
				2	L W B				
					P				/* {
			م المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعال المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل الم معال معمل المعمل الم	-N	l l B	الر المسلم ا المسلم المسلم			
				Ŷ	2	والمحتر ومتواجبته ويتواجب	Y	والمحتم منتواميتواميتوا	XI.
			sta state bare bare bare bare bare bare bare are are are are state and state and and state bare bare bare bare	ام <u>د</u> امع : :					
	···· ····	1	وو عمين أيسن فعمو معمو معمو فيندو فيندو ليندو بينو فأقول وروار فيعو تبين والمرار موار فيندو فيندو يتدرو مير	₩ }- 1	31 D	والمعاد والمعار المعار والمعار والمعار	بد منعد المعمور المدير منيور الم	ن منتقر أمينيو أمنيو منتور منتور	
	() [] []		سیر قیدر قیدر قیدر کند. است. کند. کندر ایس ایس ایس ایس میکرد. قدر قیدر قیدر قیدر قیدر قیدر قیدر است. کند. کند میر قید قید قید قید قید قید قید ایس ایس ایس ایس ایس ایس قید	∔-Ì 1 1					
		1		-i-			····· ···· ···· ····		
	!]]	1	وراقبين أستم أعتبر أنتبر فنتر فيتم أقفع أسمر المرار لين فيني أنتر أستر الترار ليتر البر فتتر أستر الترار	-j I					
			میں است. کسی کسی استی استی استی کسی کسی کسی استی استی استی کسی کسی کسی کسی در استی استی استی استی است. میں است است کسی کسی استی استی استی استی استی استی استی اس	ŀ				دو المنظور (المنظور المنظر المنظر المنظر). دو الاسطور المنظر المنظر المنظر المنظر	ومستر مستر مستر
			الم مسلم مسلم منظومهم مسلم المنوا السم السم السم المنام المنام السم السم السم السم السم السم السم ال	-ŀ-			and the second		
			and and an and a set of the set o		1997 - 1997 -			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •
			وم کر کے کہ وہ سامی کر میں کر میں کر اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور او						· · ·
			بن تعتبل استار المناز	ų. N		and and and and and and a	and and and and and		and the second second
	11 11 11		و المناز مند. مند المند المند المنو المن المن المن المن المن المن المن المن				and the second		
)]		الم العلم المعالي المعال ا	1					
			معر المعر "مسو" "هو" المعر المعر المعر "معر "معر المعر المعر المعر "معر "معر المعر المعر "معر "معر "معر "معر ا معر المعر "معر" "هو" "معر "معر المعر "معر "معر "معر المعر المعر المعر "معر "معر "معر" المعر" المعر "معر" الم) 1					
			و المعن المعن أمسم المعن المعن المعن المعن المعن المعن المعن المعن المعن المعني كميس كمين المعن المعن المعن الم	11			···· ···· ···· ···· ··· ··· ···		
			سرم معدل ليندم العنم المعدل "كشهم "منام العنم العنم العنم المنام المنه المعم العنم العنم المعمل المعمل المعم ا معام معادل المنام المنام المنام المنام الأكسم المنام المنام المنام المنام المنام المنام المنام المنام المنام الم	! !	and have been been been and	مام فعادي فحاص فحدي أفعام المامين . مام فعادي فحادي فعالي مامين الم	and and burn burn and a		
			و مند المندر		···· ···· ···· ····				
	(وو معنان المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المعمل المرمل المعمل ا	1	and the second second second second		and another participants and another	1. seems proof proof proof proof.	
			ین است. است. است. است. است. است. است. است.	11	يست يستر يستر مسر	و المعنى المعنى ال <mark>معنى العمور م</mark> عنين من م	والمراجع المستر المستر المستر المستر المستر	و المسجو المنظر المنظر المنظر المنظر	میں کندر کند و مدیر مولاد کندر کندر مدیر
			من المناز (من المناز المن المناز المناز المن المن المن المن المن المن المن المن	11				در استان المعلم المعلم المعلم المعلم. در المعلم المعلم المعلم المعلم المعلم	المسلم المسلم المسلم المسلم. مسلم المسلم المسلم المسلم
								,	and and and
_	 		سین است. است. است. است. است. است. است. است.	i) i i	terre and terre terre to the	والمناور والارام والمناور والمنار المنار والمنار	and prove more prove the second proves and the second proves of the second proves of the second prove of the second proves of the secon	¹ and and must be a set of the set of t	and the second sec

Aquifer Groundwater Elevation	REFERENCE:		PROJECT NO.
Map (High Tide, 21:00 4/28/94)	DATE: 05/28/02	scale: 1" = 800'	990106
outhwest Harbor Project Phase I	DESIGNED BY: WVG, SJG	REVISED:	FIGURE NO.
Vater Confirmation Monitoring Program	DRAWN BY: JJR	ORIG. FILENAME: Figure 3-14.dwg	3.14







e Aquiter Groundwater Elevation			
Map (Low Tide, 14:00 4/28/94)	DATE: 05/28/02	SCALE: 1" = 800'	990106
Southwest Harbor Project Phase I	DESIGNED BY: WVG, SJG	REVISED:	FIGURE NC
Water Confirmation Monitoring Program	DRAWN BY: JJR	ORIG. FILENAME: Figure 3-17.dwg	3.17





APPENDIX A

Ground Water Elevation Versus Time Plots

CMP-2 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





CMP-2 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Fig SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

CMP-3 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





CMP-3 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

CMP-4 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





CMP-4 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Fi SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

CMP-6 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





CMP-6 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

CMP-8 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001



Aspectconsulting

CMP-8 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

CMP-9 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





December 2001





CMP-11 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

Figure A-7





CMP-12 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study SWHP Phase I, GWCMP





CMP-13 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-9 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP





CMP-14 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-10 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP









CMP-16 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-12 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

CMP-17 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-5 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-26R (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-26R Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-15 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-125 (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-125 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-16 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-307A (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-307A Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-17 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-308N (Fill Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-308N Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-18 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

CMP-7 (Estuarine Aquifer)

December 2001





CMP-7 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

Figure A-19

MW-36 (Estuarine Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-36 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-20 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-44 (Estuarine Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-44 Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-307BR (Estuarine Aquifer)

December 2001



Aspectconsulting

MW-307BR Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-22 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-308S (Estuarine Aquifer)

December 2001





MW-308S Ground Water Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-23 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MH-1 & MH-8 (LFOL)

MH-1 December 2001





MH-1 & MH-8 Water Level Elevation during Tidal Study Figure A-24 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

APPENDIX B

Water Quality and Water Level Data Plots for Selected Wells


Aspect consulting

CMP-2 Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date SWHP Phase I, GWCMP Figure B-1





Aspect consulting

CMP-4 Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date SWHP Phase I, GWCMP **Figure B-3**



SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

Figure B-4

IN-DEPTH PERSPECTIVE



CMP-8 Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date

SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

9/20/2002

Spectconsulting

IN-DEPTH PERSPECTIVE

P:\POS Terminal 5\TidalStudy\CMP-8.xls - Charts

Figure B-5



ASpectconsulting Well CMP-11 Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date Figure B-6 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP





CMP-12 Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date SWHP Phase I, GWCMP





CMP-13 Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date Figure SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

Figure B-8



SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-26R



Aspect consulting

MW-26R Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date Figure B-10 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP **MW-307A**



ASPECT consulting IN-DEPTH PERSPECTIVE MW-307A Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date Figure B-11 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

MW-308N



MW-308N Water Level and Water Quality Data to Date Figure B-12 SWHP Phase I, GWCMP

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Results – Chloride Analyses



Seattle 11720 North Creek Pkwy N, Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244 425.420.9200 fax 425.420.9210

Spokane East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4776 509.924.9200 fax 509.924.9290

Portland 9405 SW Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 503 906.9200 fax 503.906.9210

Bend 20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711 541.383.9310 fax 541.382.7588

February 08, 2002

Aspect Consulting Attn.: Mr. Chip Goodhue 179 Madrone Lane N Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

Subject: Chloride Analysis for Work Order Number B1L0486

Mr. Goodhue:

NCA's QA department reviewed the chloride data associated with the aforementioned work order when notified by NCA Project Manager Scott Woerman of discrepancies in the results reported for samples MW-44121301 (B1L0486-07) and CMP-11121701 (B1L0486-20). In the course of this review, additional data was discovered that confirmed the chloride results for most of the other samples in this work order. This data came predominantly from less than optimal dilutions, which are adequate for the purpose of screening or confirming. The comparison of this supplemental data with the reported results also uncovered a new discrepancy pertaining to sample CMP-12121701 (B1L0486-19). A split of this sample and the other samples, for which confirmation data did not initially exist, were prepared and introduced into the lab as blind duplicates (different client name, different project name and different work order number). For sample CMP-12121701 (B1L0486-19), the result from the split agreed favorably with a dilution not associated with the result originally reported. Therefore, the result originally reported for this sample is suspect. The results obtained from the splits of the other samples agreed favorably with those previously reported.

Based on this review, its believed that incorrect dilution factors were applied in the original analysis of samples MW-44121301 (B1L0486-07) and CMP-11121701 (B1L0486-20) and that a sample misidentification occurred in the original analysis CMP-12121701 (B1L0486-19). An amended report was issued for this work order.

North Creek Analytical regrets any inconvenience this may have caused. If you have any questions or need additional information, please let me know.

Sincerely, North Creek Analytical

D. a. Wunderlich

Dave Wunderlich QA Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network



Seattle 11720 North Creek Pkwy N, Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244 425.420.9200 fax 425.420.9210 Spokane East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4776

 Spokale
 Signal (11)
 Spokale (11)

- Bend 20322 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711 541.383.9310 fax 541.382.7588

09 February 2002

Chip Goodhue Aspect Consulting 179 Madrone Lane N Bainbridge Island, WA/USA 98110 **RE: SW Harbor**

Enclosed are amended results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 12/19/01 09:30. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Scott A. Woerman **Project Manager**



 Seattle
 11720 North Creek Pkwy N, Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244 425.420.9200 fax 425.420.9210

 Spokane
 East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4776 509.924.9200 fax 509.924.9290

 Portland
 9405 SW Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132 503.906.9200 fax 503.906.9210

 Bend
 20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711 541.383.9310 fax 541.382.7588

Aspect Consulting 179 Madrone Lane N Bainbridge Island WA/USA, 98110 Project: SW Harbor Project Number: BU 99106 Project Manager: Chip Goodhue

Amended Report Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES - Amended

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
CMP-2121201	B1L0486-01	Water	12/12/01 12:10	12/19/01 09:30
MW-808N121201	B1L0486-02	Water	12/12/01 12:55	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-13121201	B1L0486-03	Water	12/12/01 13:55	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-12121201	B1L0486-04	Water	12/12/01 13:35	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-11121201	B1L0486-05	Water	12/12/01 13:50	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-8121201	B1L0486-06	Water	12/12/01 14:40	12/19/01 09:30
MW-44121301	B1L0486-07	Water	12/13/01 11:50	12/19/01 09:30
MW-26R121301	B1L0486-08	Water	12/13/01 12:30	12/19/01 09:30
MW-5121301	B1L0486-09	Water	12/13/01 13:00	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-16121301	B1L0486-10	Water	12/13/01 13:25	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-7121301	B1L0486-11	Water	12/13/01 14:30	12/19/01 09:30
MW-308S121301	B1L0486-12	Water	12/13/01 15:15	12/19/01 09:30
MW-36121301	B1L0486-13	Water	12/13/01 15:45	12/19/01 09:30
MW-308N121701	B1L0486-14	Water	12/17/01 09:30	12/19/01 09:30
MW-5121701	B1L0486-15	Water	12/17/01 10:50	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-2121701	B1L0486-16	Water	12/17/01 13:45	12/19/01 09:30
MW-308S121701	B1L0486-17	Water	12/17/01 14:05	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-13121701	B1L0486-18	Water	12/17/01 14:25	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-12121701	B1L0486-19	Water	12/17/01 14:40	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-11121701	B1L0486-20	Water	12/17/01 14:55	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-8121701	B1L0486-21	Water	12/17/01 16:40	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-7121701	B1L0486-22	Water	12/17/01 17:25	12/19/01 09:30
MW-36121701	B1L0486-23	Water	12/17/01 18:15	12/19/01 09:30
CMP-16121701	B1L0486-24	Water	12/17/01 18:40	12/19/01 09:30
MW-44121701	B1L0486-25	Water	12/17/01 18:55	12/19/01 09:30
MW-26R121701	B1L0486-26	Water	12/17/01 19:05	12/19/01 09:30

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Scott A. Woerman, Project Manager

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network Page 1 of 11



Aspect Consulting	Project: SW Harbor	
179 Madrone Lane N	Project Number: BU 99106	Amended Report
Bainbridge Island WA/USA, 98110	Project Manager: Chip Goodhue	Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 North Creek Analytical - Bothell

				•					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CMP-2121201 (B1L0486-01) Water	Sampled: 12/1	2/01 12:10	Received:	12/19/01 09	9:30				
Chloride	487	20.0	mg/l	100	1L28007	12/27/01	12/27/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-808N121201 (B1L0486-02) Wate	r Sampled: 1	2/12/01 12:	55 Receiv	red: 12/19/0	1 09:30				
Chloride	992	20.0	mg/l	100	1L26020	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-13121201 (B1L0486-03) Water	Sampled: 12/	/12/01 13:55	Received	l: 12/19/01 ()9:30				
Chloride	769	20.0	mg/l	100	1L26042	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-12121201 (B1L0486-04) Water	Sampled: 12/	/12/01 13:35	Received	l: 12/19/01 ()9:30				
Chloride	2020	100	mg/l	500	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-11121201 (B1L0486-05) Water	Sampled: 12/	/12/01 13:50	Received	I: 12/19/01 ()9:30				
Chloride	12400	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-11121201 (B1L0486-05RE1) Wa	ater Sampled	l: 12/12/01 1	3:50 Rec	eived: 12/19	/01 09:30				
Chloride	14800	1000	mg/l	5000	2A25031	01/23/02	01/23/02	EPA 300.0	I-02
CMP-8121201 (B1L0486-06) Water	Sampled: 12/1	2/01 14:40	Received:	12/19/01 09	9:30				
Chloride	851	20.0	mg/l	100	1L26020	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-44121301 (B1L0486-07) Water	Sampled: 12/1	13/01 11:50	Received	: 12/19/01 0	9:30				
Chloride	44900	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-44121301 (B1L0486-07RE1) Wa	ter Sampled:	: 12/13/01 11	1:50 Rece	ived: 12/ <u>19/</u>	01 09:30				
Chloride	19400	1000	mg/l	5000	2A25031	01/23/02	01/23/02	EPA 300.0	I-02

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Aspect Consulting	Project:	SW Harbor	
179 Madrone Lane N	Project Number:	BU 99106	Amended Report
Bainbridge Island WA/USA, 98110	Project Manager:	Chip Goodhue	Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 North Creek Analytical - Bothell

		Reporting							
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
MW-26R121301 (B1L0486-08) Water	Sampled: 12	/13/01 12:30	Received	d: 12/19/01	09:30				
Chloride	412	40.0	mg/l	200	1L26020	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-5121301 (B1L0486-09) Water S	ampled: 12/13	/01 13:00 I	Received: 1	12/19/01 09:	30				
Chloride	13800	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-16121301 (B1L0486-10) Water	Sampled: 12/	13/01 13:25	Received	: 12/19/01 0	9:30				
Chloride	9500	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-7121301 (B1L0486-11) Water	Sampled: 12/1	3/01 14:30	Received:	12/19/01 09	:30				
Chloride	569	20.0	mg/l	100	1L26020	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-308S121301 (B1L0486-12) Water	Sampled: 12	2/13/01 15:1	5 Receive	ed: 12/19/01	09:30				
Chloride	8730	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-36121301 (B1L0486-13) Water	Sampled: 12/1	3/01 15:45	Received:	12/19/01 09	9:30				
Chloride	15400	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26056	12/23/01	12/23/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-308N121701 (B1L0486-14) Water	Sampled: 1	2/17/01 09:3	0 Receive	ed: 12/19/01	09:30				
Chloride	962	40.0	mg/l	200	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-5121701 (B1L0486-15) Water S	ampled: 12/17	/01 10:50 H	Received: 1	12/19/01 09:	30				
Chloride	12300	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26045	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-2121701 (B1L0486-16) Water 5	Sampled: 12/1	7/01 13:45	Received:	12/19/01 09	:30				
Chloride	387	20.0	mg/l	100	1L26020	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Aspect Consulting	Project: SW Harbor	
179 Madrone Lane N	Project Number: BU 99106	Amended Report
Bainbridge Island WA/USA, 98110	Project Manager: Chip Goodhue	Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 North Creek Analytical - Bothell

		Reporting							
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
MW-308S121701 (B1L0486-17) Wate	r Sampled: 1	12/17/01 14:0)5 Receive	ed: 12/19/01	09:30				
Chloride	8900	200	mg/l	1000	1L26042	12/22/01	12/22/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-13121701 (B1L0486-18) Water	Sampled: 12	/17/01 14:25	Received	: 12/19/01 ()9:30				
Chloride	232	20.0	mg/l	100	1L26056	12/23/01	12/23/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-12121701 (B1L0486-19) Water	Sampled: 12	/17/01 14:40	Received	: 12/19/01 ()9:30				
Chloride	5070	200	mg/l	1000	1L27005	12/26/01	12/26/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-12121701 (B1L0486-19RE1) W	ater Sample	d: 12/17/01 1	4:40 Rece	eived: 12/19	/01 09:30				
Chloride	2470	200	mg/l	1000	2B01015	01/31/02	01/31/02	EPA 300.0	I-02
CMP-11121701 (B1L0486-20) Water	Sampled: 12	/17/01 14:55	Received	: 12/19/01 ()9:30				
Chloride	52700	2000	mg/l	10000	1L27005	12/26/01	12/26/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-11121701 (B1L0486-20RE1) W	ater Sample	d: 12/17/01 1	4:55 Rece	eived: 12/19	/01 09:30				
Chloride	13700	1000	mg/l	5000	2A25031	01/24/02	01/24/02	EPA 300.0	I-02
CMP-8121701 (B1L0486-21) Water	Sampled: 12/2	17/01 16:40	Received:	12/19/01 09	9:30				
Chloride	880	40.0	mg/l	200	1L26056	12/23/01	12/23/01	EPA 300.0	
CMP-7121701 (B1L0486-22) Water	Sampled: 12/	17/01 17:25	Received:	12/19/01 09	9:30				
Chloride	519	20.0	mg/l	100	1L26056	12/23/01	12/23/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-36121701 (B1L0486-23) Water	Sampled: 12/	17/01 18:15	Received:	12/19/01 0	9:30				
Chloride	15500	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26056	12/23/01	12/23/01	EPA 300.0	

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Aspect Consulting			Project: S	W Harbor					
179 Madrone Lane N		Project	Number: E	BU 99106				Amended R	eport
Bainbridge Island WA/USA, 98110		Project 1	Manager: C	Chip Goodhu	e			Issued: 02/09/0	02 14:03
	A	nions t	y EPA	Method 3	300.0				
	No	orth Cre	ek Ana	lytical - E	Bothell				
		Reporting							
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
CMP-16121701 (B1L0486-24) Water	Sampled: 12/17	7/01 18:40	Received	l: 12/19/01 0	9:30				
Chloride	11500	400	mg/l	2000	1L26056	12/23/01	12/23/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-44121701 (B1L0486-25) Water	Sampled: 12/17	/01 18:55	Received	: 12/19/01 09	9:30				
Chloride	18200	1000	mg/l	5000	1L26056	12/23/01	12/23/01	EPA 300.0	
MW-44121701 (B1L0486-25RE1) Wat	er Sampled: 1	2/17/01 18	:55 Rece	ived: 12/19/0	01 09:30				
Chloride	18900	1000	mg/l	5000	2A25031	01/23/02	01/23/02	EPA 300.0	I-02

mg/l

100

1L26056 12/23/01

12/23/01

EPA 300.0

MW-26R121701 (B1L0486-26) Water Sampled: 12/17/01 19:05 Received: 12/19/01 09:30

357

20.0

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

Chloride

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Project: SW Harbor Project Number: BU 99106 Project Manager: Chip Goodhue

Amended Report Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 - Quality Control North Creek Analytical - Bothell

		194		CK Allaly	ucai • I	DOTHEII					
			Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte		Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 1L26020:	Prepared 12/22/01	Using Ge	eneral Pre	paration							
Blank (1L26020-B)	LK1)										
Chloride		ND	0.200	mg/l							
LCS (1L26020-BS)	1)										
Chloride		1.91	0.200	mg/l	2.00		95.5	90-110			
LCS Dup (1L2602	0-BSD1)										
Chloride		1.97	0.200	mg/l	2.00		98.5	90-110	3.09	20	
Duplicate (1L2602	0-DUP1)					Source: E	B1L0486-	16			
Chloride		283	20.0	mg/l		387			31.0	25	Q-07
Matrix Spike (1L2	6020-MS1)					Source: E	B1L0486-	16			
Chloride		489	20.0	mg/l	200	387	51.0	54-124			Q-15
Batch 1L26042:	Prepared 12/22/01	Using Ge	eneral Pre	paration							
Blank (1L26042-B)	LK1)										
Chloride		ND	0.200	mg/l							
LCS (1L26042-BS)	1)										
Chloride	·	1.99	0.200	mg/l	2.00		99.5	90-110			
LCS Dup (1L26042	2-BSD1)										
Chloride		1.97	0.200	mg/l	2.00		98.5	90-110	1.01	20	
Duplicate (1L2604)	2-DUP1)					Source: E	B1L0343-	12			
Chloride	,	1480	100	mg/l		1470			0.678	25	

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Project: SW Harbor Project Number: BU 99106 Project Manager: Chip Goodhue

Amended Report Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

			Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte		Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 1L26042:	Prepared 12/22/01	Using Ge	eneral Prep	paration							
Duplicate (1L26042	2-DUP2)					Source: B	<u>B1L034</u> 3-0	01			
Chloride		1130	40.0	mg/l		1120			0.889	25	
Matrix Spike (1L2	6042-MS1)					Source: B	B1L0343-1	12			
Chloride		2350	100	mg/l	1000	1470	88.0	54-124			
Matrix Spike (1L2	6042-MS2)					Source: B	B1L0343-0	01			
Chloride		1540	40.0	mg/l	400	1120	105	54-124			
Batch 1L26045:	Prepared 12/22/01	Using Ge	eneral Prep	paration							
Blank (1L26045-B)	LK1)										
Chloride		ND	0.200	mg/l							
LCS (1L26045-BS1	t)										
Chloride		2.00	0.200	mg/l	2.00		100	90-110			
LCS Dup (1L26045	5-BSD1)										
Chloride	· · · ·	1.99	0.200	mg/l	2.00		99.5	90-110	0.501	20	
Duplicate (1L2604	5-DUP1)					Source: B	31L0486-1	14			
Chloride		930	40.0	mg/l		962			3.38	25	
Matrix Spike (1L2)	6045-MS1)					Source: B	31L0486-1	14			
Chloride		1340	40.0	mg/l	400	962	94.5	54-124			
Batch 1L26056:	Prepared 12/23/01	Using Ge	eneral Prep	paration							
Blank (1L26056-B)	LK1)										
Chloride		ND	0.200	mg/l							

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Project: SW Harbor Project Number: BU 99106 Project Manager: Chip Goodhue

Amended Report Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 - Quality Control

	N	orth Cre	ek Analy	tical - F	Bothell					
	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Prepared 12/23/01	Using Ge	eneral Prej	paration							
)										
	2.12	0.200	mg/l	2.00		106	90-110			
-BSD1)										
	2.12	0.200	mg/l	2.00		106	90-110	0.00	20	
-DUP2)					Source: B	81L0486-1	18			
	208	20.0	mg/l		232			10.9	25	
056-MS2)					Source: B	31L0486-1	18			
	397	20.0	mg/l	200	232	82.5	54-124			
Prepared 12/26/01	Using Ge	eneral Prej	paration							
LK1)										
	ND	0.200	mg/l							
)										
	1.82	0.200	mg/l	2.00		91.0	90-110			
-BSD1)										
	1.94	0.200	ma/l	2.00		92.0	90-110	1.09	20	
	1.04	0.200	mg/1	2.00		/2.0				
-DUP3)	1.04	0.200	iiig/1	2.00	Source: B	31L0343-1	11			
-DUP3)	4700	400	mg/l	2.00	Source: B 4650	31L0343-2	11	1.07	25	
-DUP3) /005-MS3)	4700	400	mg/l	2.00	Source: B 4650 Source: B	31L0343-1	11	1.07	25	
	Prepared 12/23/01) -BSD1) -DUP2) 056-MS2) Prepared 12/26/01 .K1)) -BSD1)	Result Prepared 12/23/01 Using Ge) 2.12 -BSD1) 2.12 -DUP2) 208 056-MS2) 397 Prepared 12/26/01 Using Ge .K1) ND) 1.82 -BSD1) 1.82	North Cress Result Reporting Limit Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Prep) 2.12 0.200 -BSD1) 2.12 0.200 -DUP2) 208 20.0 056-MS2) 397 20.0 Prepared 12/26/01 Using General Prep K1) ND 0.200) 1.82 0.200	North Creek Analy Result Reporting Limit Units Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation 2.12 0.200 mg/l -BSD1) 2.12 0.200 mg/l -DUP2) 208 20.0 mg/l O56-MS2) 397 20.0 mg/l K1) ND 0.200 mg/l Inst 0.200 mg/l Jass 0.200 mg/l	North Creek Analytical - F Result Reporting Limit Spike Units Spike Level Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation	North Creek Analytical - Bothell Reporting Result Spike Limit Spike Units Source Result Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation Source Result Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation Image: Colorado and Colorado a	North Creek Analytical - Bothell Result Reporting Limit Spike Units Source Result MREC Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation % REC 9 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 -BSD1) 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 -BSD1) 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 -BSD1) 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 -BSD1 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 -BSD1 2.08 20.0 mg/l 2.00 106 -DUP2) Source: B1L0486-1 208 20.0 mg/l 232 82.5 O56-MS2) 397 20.0 mg/l 200 232 82.5 Prepared 12/26/01 Using General Preparation 1.82 0.200 mg/l 1.01 1.82 0.200 mg/l 2.00 91.0 91.0	North Creek Analytical - Bothell Result Reporting Limit Spike Units Source Result %REC %REC Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation %REC Limits %REC Limits 9 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 90-110 -BSD1) 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 90-110 -DUP2) 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 90-110 -DUP2) 2.12 0.200 mg/l 2.00 106 90-110 -DUP2) 208 20.0 mg/l 2.00 2.00 90-110 -DUP2) 208 20.0 mg/l 2.02	North Creek Analytical - Bothell Result Reporting Limit Spike Units Source Result % REC % REC % REC % REC % RED Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation	North Creek Analytical - Bothell Reporting Result Reporting Limit Spike Units Source Result %REC %REC RPD Limit RPD Limit Prepared 12/23/01 Using General Preparation RPD Limit RPD Limit RPD Limit RPD Limit 9 2.12 0.200 mg/1 2.00 106 90-110 . . -BSD1) . . 0.200 mg/1 2.00 106 90-110 0.00 20 -DUP2) . 0.200 mg/1 2.00 232 10.9 25 056-MS2) . . 397 20.0 mg/1 200 232 54-124 . . Prepared 12/26/01 Using General Preparation .

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Project: SW Harbor Project Number: BU 99106 Project Manager: Chip Goodhue

Amended Report Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

			Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte		Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch 1L28007:	Prepared 12/27/01	Using G	eneral Prej	paration							
Blank (1L28007-Bl	LK1)										
Chloride		ND	0.200	mg/l							
LCS (1L28007-BS1	.)										
Chloride		1.83	0.200	mg/l	2.00		91.5	90-110			
LCS Dup (1L28007	V-BSD1)										
Chloride		1.84	0.200	mg/l	2.00		92.0	90-110	0.545	20	
Duplicate (1L2800)	7-DUP1)					Source: E	B1L0486-	01			
Chloride	· · · ·	456	20.0	mg/l		487			6.57	25	
Duplicate (1L2800)	7-DUP2)					Source: E	B1L0528-	01			
Chloride		10600	400	mg/l		10700			0.939	25	
Matrix Spike (1L2	8007-MS1)					Source: E	B1L0486-	01			
Chloride		654	20.0	mg/l	200	487	83.5	54-124			
Matrix Spike (1L2	8007-MS2)					Source: E	B1L0528-(01			
Chloride		14400	400	mg/l	4000	10700	92.5	54-124			
Batch 2A25031:	Prepared 01/23/02	Using G	eneral Pre	paration							
Blank (2A25031-B)	L K1)										
Chloride		ND	0.200	mg/l							
LCS (2A25031-BS)	l)										
Chloride		1.17	0.200	mg/l	1.20		97.5	90-110			
cinoride		,	0.200		1.20		21.5	<i>y</i> 0 110			

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Project: SW Harbor Project Number: BU 99106 Project Manager: Chip Goodhue

Amended Report Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Anions by EPA Method 300.0 - Quality Control

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD			
Analyte		Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes	
Batch 2A25031:	Using G	eneral Prej	paration									
LCS Dup (2A25031	-BSD1)											
Chloride		1.17	0.200	mg/l	1.20		97.5	90-110	0.00	20		
Duplicate (2A25031	- DUP1)					Source: E	B1L0486-2					
Chloride		13900	1000	mg/l		13700			1.45	25		
Matrix Spike (2A25031-MS1)		Source: B1L0486-20RE1										
Chloride		19100	1000	mg/l	6000	13700	90.0	54-124				
Batch 2B01015:	Prepared 01/31/02	Using Ge	eneral Prej	paration								
Blank (2B01015-BL	LK1)											
Chloride		ND	0.200	mg/l								
LCS (2B01015-BS1)											
Chloride		1.10	0.200	mg/l	1.20		91.7	90-110				
LCS Dup (2B01015	-BSD1)											
Chloride		1.09	0.200	mg/l	1.20		90.8	90-110	0.913	20		
Duplicate (2B01015-DUP2)						Source: E	B2A0625-	10				
Chloride		2380	200	mg/l		2470			3.71	25		
Matrix Spike (2B01015-MS2)						Source: E	B2A0625-	10				
Chloride		2970	200	mg/l	1200	2470	41.7	54-124			Q-15	

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Aspect Consulting	Project: SW Harbor	
179 Madrone Lane N	Project Number: BU 99106	Amended Report
Bainbridge Island WA/USA, 98110	Project Manager: Chip Goodhue	Issued: 02/09/02 14:03

Notes and Definitions

- I-02 This sample was analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.
- Q-07 The RPD value for this QC sample is above the established control limit. Review of associated QC indicates the high RPD does not represent an out-of-control condition for the batch.
- Q-15 Analyses are not controlled on matrix spike RPD and/or percent recoveries when the sample concentration is significantly higher than the spike level.
- DET Analyte DETECTED
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- NR Not Reported
- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference

North Creek Analytical - Bothell

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

1. W-

11720 North Creek Pkwy N, Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244
East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4776
9405 S.W. Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132
20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711

FAX 420-9210 (425) 420-9200 (509) 924-9200 FAX 924-9290 (503) 906-9200 FAX 906-9210 (541) 383-9310 FAX 382-7588

CHAIN OF CUSTODY REPORT

North Creek Analytical, Inc.

www.ncalabs.com

Environmental Laboratory Network

Work Order #:

B1604860

CLIENT: Aspect Consulture LLC	INVC	DICE TO:					TURNAROUND REQUEST in Business Days*									
REPORT TO: (his (mod hue -					5AM	E			Organic & Inorganic Analyses							
ADDRESS: 179 Madrone LN N																
Balabrids. Island WA 98110									STD. Petroleum Hydrocarbon Analyses							
PHONE: 206 780 9370 FAX: 206 780 9438										5	4	3 2 1	<1			
PROJECT NAME: SW Harbor	1 1		REQU	JESTED /	ANALYSES		ST	D.	Please Specify							
PROJECT NUMBER: BV 99106	0										OTHER					
SAMPLED BY: Peter Bamister										*Turnan	ound Requests l	ess than standard may incur R	ush Charges.			
CLIENT SAMPLE SAMPLING	R									MATRIX	# OF		NCA WO			
IDENTIFICATION DATE/TIME	JC									(W, S, O)	CONT.	COMMENTS	ID			
1. MW-308NIZI701 12-17-01 / 0930	\times									W.)		-14			
2. MW-5121701 12-17-01/1050	×									YY	1		- 15			
3. CMP-ZIZIZOI 12-17-01/1345	×									W	1		F ILe			
4. MW-3085121701 12-17-01/1405	*									\mathcal{N}	1		- 17			
5.CMP-13 121701 12-17-01/1425	*									\mathcal{W}	1		- 18			
6/MP-12 121701 12-17-01/1440	×									\mathbb{W}	1		- 19			
7.CMP-11 121701 12-17-01/1455	×									\mathcal{W}	1		- 20			
8. CMP-8 121701 12-17-01/1640	×									\mathbb{W}	l		121			
9. LMP-7 121701 12-17-01/1725	- ×									\mathcal{W}	1		- 12			
10. MW-36 121701 12-17-01/1815	×									\mathcal{W}	1		- 23			
11. CMP-16 121701 12-17-01/1840	×									W	1		1 24			
12 MW-44 121701 12-17-01/1855	1×									\mathcal{N}	1		25			
13. MW-26R 121701 12-17-01/1905	×									\mathbb{W}	l		26			
14.				ļ												
15. 00 01								25								
RELINQUISHED BY:	٨	1	DATE	12-18	-01 RE	CEIVED KY:	D- <u>7</u> 0	57 5	>		~	Ι	DATE: 12 19 0			
PRINT NAME: Veter Bannoster FIRM:	/ts	rect	TIME	. [00	O PR	INT NAME:	BRANY	TON	SPX-	FIRM:	1ºCA]	TIME: 0930			
RELINQUISHED BY:			DATE		RE	ECEIVED BY		N N	\mathcal{V}	17173-4		I	DATE:			
PRINT NAME: FIRM:			TIME			UNT NAME:				FIRM:	·		IVIE:			
ADDITIONAL REMARKS:											ູ່	SICS ILLA				
COC REV 3/99												1 4.6	PAGE OF			

North Creek Analytical, Inc. Environmental Laboratory Network www.ncalabs.com 11720 North Creek Pkwy N, Suite 400, Bothell, WA 98011-8244
East 11115 Montgomery, Suite B, Spokane, WA 99206-4776
9405 S.W. Nimbus Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008-7132
20332 Empire Avenue, Suite F-1, Bend, OR 97701-5711

(425) 420-9200FAX 420-9210(509) 924-9200FAX 924-9290(503) 906-9200FAX 906-9210(541) 383-9310FAX 382-7588

CHAIN OF CUSTODY REPORT

Work Order #: BILOH86

CLIENT: Aspert (Consulting LL	Ċ		INVO	ICE TO:									TUR	NAROUN	D REQU	JEST in Bus	siness Da	ys*			
REPORT TO: Chil Goodhue					Same									Organic & Inorganic Analyses								
ADDRESS: 179 madrone LNN					PANIC																	
Bainbridge Is WA98110															STD. Petroleum Hydrocarbon Analyses							
PHONE: 206 780 9370 FAX: 206-180					P.O. NUMBER: 8199106																	
PROJECT NAME: SW H	arbor			REQUESTED ANALYSES										STD Please Specify								
PROJECT NUMBER: IS 9	19106												OTHER									
SAMPLED BY: Rob Han Carl													*Turnaround Requests less than standard may incur Rush Charges.									
CLIENT SAMPLE	SAMPLING	ł											ſ	MATRIX	# OF				NCA WO			
IDENTIFICATION	DATE/TIME	5 U U C												(W, S, O)	CONT.		COMMENT	s	ID			
1. CMP-2 121201	12/12/01 12:10	x												W			<u></u>	+ 	-01			
2.MW-8081121201	12/12/01 1255	*													1]	02			
3.CMP-13 121201	12/12/01 1325	×													1	_			03			
4.Cmp-12121201	12/12/01 1335	×		_											1				04			
5Cmp-11 121201	12/12/01 1350	x													1				05			
6CMP-8 121201	12/12/01 1440	X												·					04			
1.MHO-44121301	12/13/01 1150	x																	-07			
8.MW-26R 121301	12/13/01/230	x]			_			08			
9.MW-5121301	12/13/01 1300	×																	09			
10CMP-16,21301	12/13/01 1325	¥													1	_]	10			
11 LMP-7,21301	12/13/01 1430	x												·				1	[11			
12. MW-3085121301	1413/01 155	۲													1	_		1	12			
13. MW -36 12130	12/13/01 15 45	x												J _	1	_			-13			
14.	Ť																					
15.	01										1		-									
RELINQUISHED BY:	5DIT	4		DATE	: /2-)	8-00	RECEI	VEDBY	2.	18	VS	5						DATE: /	12/19/0/			
PRINT NAME: PETER	BANNISTER FIRM:	<u> 15 p</u>	ect	TIME	:]O k	5	PRINT	NAME:	PRA	ny		ION.	TY	FIRM:	NCA-			TIME:	0730			
RELINQUISHED BY:				DATE	:		RECEI	VED BY:		l			1					DATE:				
PRINT NAME:	FIRM:			TIME	:		PRINT	NAME:						FIRM:			<u> </u>	TIME:				
ADDITIONAL REMARKS:															R1	07	TEMP:					
COC REV 3/99															V		17.4	PAGE	OF			