26

1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIERCE

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

NO. 94-2-10917-6

Plaintiff,

SIXTH AMENDMENT TO CONSENT DECREE TO INCLUDE THE SITE-SPECIFIC CLEANUP ACTION PLAN FOR THE PARCEL 4 SITE

CITY OF TACOMA and,

Defendants.

Pursuant to Sections 7 and 17 of the First Comprehensive Amendment to the Consent Decree (hereinafter Decree), the undersigned hereby agree to entry by the Court of this Amendment to the Decree. The Decree is amended as follows, and in all other respects remains unchanged.

- 1 The Parcel 4 Site is owned by the Foss Waterway Development Authority ("FWDA") The City conducted a remedial investigation of the Parcel 4 site under the terms of this Decree. The Parcel 4 Site is denominated as Parcel 4A on the Map of Potential Cleanup Sites attached as Exhibit A to the Decree The legal description corresponding to FWDA's ownership of Parcel 4 is included as Appendix A to this Amendment.
- In accordance with Section 17 of the Decree, the Decree is amended to include the Site-specific Cleanup Action Plan (SCAP) for the Parcel 4 Site attached as Appendix B to this Amendment. Figure 1 of the SCAP provides a map of the area subject to the SCAP. This SCAP has been the subject of public notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). As a

result of this process, Ecology has found that implementation of the SCAP will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the site.

- In accordance with Section 8 of the Decree, the FWDA shall record a Restrictive Covenant, and provide Ecology and the Attorney General's Office written confirmation of such recording, attached hereto as Appendix C, within 90 days of entry of this Amendment Section 29 of the Decree the covenant not to sue shall not apply to this Site until the Restrictive Covenant is recorded
- In addition to other required institutional controls, the City, on behalf of itself, and the FWDA shall provide financial assurances in accordance with the version of WAC 173-340-440 in effect at the time the City submits its draft remedial design documents for Parcel 4 to Ecology under Section 7. H of the Decree. The draft remedial design documents submitted to Ecology for approval shall include preliminary cost calculations and financial information describing the basis for the amount and form of financial assurance and a draft financial assurance document. The financial assurance documents shall be treated as a remedial design document under Section 7.H. The City shall submit to Ecology as part of the as-built documentation for the site cleanup, a copy of the financial assurance document and any procedures for periodic adjustment to the value of the financial assurance mechanism.
- The City reserves its right to transfer or assign its responsibility to provide financial assurance to another party in accordance with Section 15 (C.) of the Decree provided the transfer or assignment, and the nature of the financial assurance, is consistent with MTCA rules in effect at the time of the transfer or assignment regarding financial assurance and is approved by Ecology prior to such transfer.
- All actions carried out by the Defendant(s) pursuant to the Decree and this Amendment shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in paragraph 7 of this Amendment.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2 1	
22	
23	

24

25

26

- 7. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(1), the substantive requirements of chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 75.20, 90.48, and 90.58 RCW and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action under the Decree and this Amendment that are known to be applicable at the time of entry of this Amendment have been included at pages 25 and 26 of the SCAP, and are binding and enforceable requirements. Defendant(s) has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under the Decree and this Amendment. In the event either Defendant(s) or Ecology determines that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70 105D 090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under the Decree and this Amendment, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendant(s) shall be responsible to contact appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Defendant(s) shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Defendant(s) and on how Defendant(s) must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendant(s) in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree Defendant(s) shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination. Ecology shall ensure that notice and opportunity for comment is provided to the public and appropriate agencies prior to establishing the substantive requirements under this section
- 8. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency which is

1	necessary for the State to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and the		
2	Defendant(s) shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws		
3	referenced in RCW 70.105D 090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits		
4	Being fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Amendment to the Consent Decree		
5	and good cause having been shown,		
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent Decree is		
7	hereby amended in accordance with the above terms and conditions		
8	DATED this day of, 2001		
9			
10	JUDGE/COMMISSIONER Pierce County Superior Court		
11	rierce County Superior Court		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1	II IS SO AGREED BY THE UNDERSIGNED	
2	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
3		
4	By:	By:
5	JAMES PENDOWSKI Program Manager	By: STEVEN J THIELE Assistant Attorney General
6	Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program	WSBA #20275
7	Date:	Date:
8 9	CITY OF TACOMA	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
10	By:RAY E. CORPUZ, JR.	By:
11	RAY E. CORPUZ, JR City Manager	Assistant City Attorney
12		WSBA #18341
13	Date:	Date:
14		
15		
16	;	
17		a.
18		
19	FOSS WATERWAY DEVELOPMENT AUT	HORITY
20		
21	Ву:	
	DON MEYER Executive Director	
22		
23	Date:	
24		
25	thiele/thea foss/cd sixth amdmt.doc	
26		

1	APPENDIX A
2	
3	Legal Description Corresponding to the Foss Waterway Development Authority's Ownership of Parcel 4
4	
5	Legal Description
6	Lots 1 through 6 inclusive, Block 57, according to the official MAP OF
7	Lots 1 through 6 inclusive, Block 57, according to the official MAP OF TACOMA TIDE LANDS filed in the Office of the Commissioner of Public Lands at Olympia, Washington, 3 September 1895
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
ا 7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	APPENDIX B
2	
3	The Parcel 4 Site-Specific Cleanup Action Plan
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	