September 27, 2013

Mr. David South Washington State Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 160th Avenue SE Bellevue, Washington 98008-5452

SUBJECT: ATTAINMENT OF GROUNDWATER COMPLIANCE AT EVERETT WEST CLEANUP SITE NO. 2902

Dear Mr. South:

This compliance evaluation report for the Weyerhaeuser Everett West Site (Site) is intended to demonstrate groundwater compliance in accordance with the requirements of Consent Decree No. 94-2-67559-2 (Consent Decree) (State of Washington 1994) between the Weyerhaeuser Company (Weyerhaeuser) and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), specifically with the requirements of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-340-410 and WAC 173-340-720. The Site is located at 101 East Marine View Drive in Everett, Washington (Figure 1).

Weyerhaeuser has completed eight quarters of groundwater monitoring in accordance with the Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan for the Site (Emcon 1995), the Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan Addendum (CMP) (Floyd|Snider 2011), and a memorandum clarifying the quarterly reporting requirements (Floyd|Snider 2012a).

Compliance monitoring was performed to meet the monitoring requirements specified in the Consent Decree and the substantive requirements of regulations issued pursuant to the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA). The goal of this report is to provide final documentation of site groundwater quality by demonstrating the attainment of the cleanup requirements.

COMPLIANCE MONITORING

After the updating of the groundwater compliance network described in the CMP (Floyd|Snider 2011) and reported in the 2011 annual monitoring report (Floyd|Snider 2012b), Weyerhaeuser performed eight quarterly compliance monitoring events between December 2011, and July 23, 2013, for compliance monitoring wells MW-1202R, MW-1203R, MW-1301R, and MW-1501R (Figure 1).

The field methods used for the compliance monitoring were carried out in accordance with the CMP. The collection of groundwater samples was consistent with the CMP provisions regarding tidal conditions. Groundwater samples were collected with the use of standard low-flow sampling methods, field filtered, and submitted to Weyerhaeuser Analytical Chemistry under chain of custody for dissolved arsenic analysis. A Tier 1 data quality review was performed on all of the analytical results. The results were reported in routine quarterly data reports and annual monitoring reports. The analytical results were submitted to Ecology's Environmental

Information Management (EIM) database. The laboratory analytical reports for 2011 and 2012 were included in the 2011 and 2012 annual monitoring reports (Floyd|Snider 2012b, 2013). The laboratory analytical reports for 2013 monitoring are included as Attachment 1.

COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

The eight quarters of groundwater monitoring data for dissolved arsenic were evaluated by means of the applicable statistical methods as described in the CMP and in accordance with the requirements in WAC 173-340-720(9)(c) through (e) and the procedures in Ecology guidance (Ecology 1992). The evaluation was performed for the four compliance monitoring wells, MW-1202R, MW-1203R, MW-1301R, and MW-1501R. The results of the evaluation are summarized in Table 1 and described below.

The Site97 module of MTCAStat was used to determine whether the data for each monitoring well are distributed lognormally, are distributed normally, or do not clearly match either distribution. MW-1203R was determined to fit best with a lognormal distribution based on the probability plot method with an r-squared of 0.96. The r-squared for a normal distribution was similar (0.94); therefore, the normal distribution and neither distribution were also considered in the evaluation. When a lognormal distribution was assumed, Site97 was used to determine the upper 95 percent confidence limit on the mean based on Land's method. The result was 1.2 micrograms per liter (μ g/L). When a normal distribution was assumed, Site97 was used to determine the upper 95 percent confidence limit on the mean based on the t-statistic. The result was 1.1 μ g/L. If neither distribution is assumed, the guidance (Ecology 1992) indicates that a conservative approach would be a comparison of the highest dissolved arsenic concentration (1.4 μ g/L) to the cleanup level. Using all three approaches, MW-1203R is in compliance with the cleanup level for dissolved arsenic of 5 μ g/L.

The statistical tests indicated that the other three wells did not fit either a lognormal distribution or a normal distribution. For MW-1202R and MW-1501R, a comparison of the highest dissolved arsenic concentrations (3.1 μ g/L and 1.6 μ g/L, respectively) to the cleanup level indicated that these wells meet the compliance requirements.

For MW-1301R, the distribution of the data set could not be determined to be lognormal or normal, and the highest dissolved arsenic concentration (6.9 μ g/L) is greater than the cleanup level. Therefore, Ecology guidance for other distributions with a small sample size (<20 samples) was followed. The guidance (Ecology 1992, Sections 5.2.1.3 and 5.2.1.4) indicates that with Ecology consultation a method may be used to approximate the upper 95 percent confidence limit for small data sets that are not distributed lognormally or normally, using a Z-based statistic. The upper 95 percent confidence limit for MW-1301R calculated using the Z-statistic is 3.21 μ g/L, which is less than the cleanup level (5 μ g/L). MW-1301R, therefore, meets this compliance requirement for dissolved arsenic.

Because the arsenic concentration in one of the eight samples collected from MW-1301R exceeded the cleanup level, the data for MW-1301R do not, at first glance, appear to meet the "10 percent rule" (WAC 173-340-720(9)(e)(ii)). However, the groundwater cleanup level of 5 μ g/L for dissolved arsenic is based on the 90th percentile of background concentrations for Washington state, which necessitates adjustments in the allowable frequency of exceedances that meet the compliance requirements (Ecology 1992). Because of the high probability that more than 10 percent of the compliance monitoring samples at a clean site exceed the 90th

percentile, Ecology recommends that the frequency of allowable exceedance of the cleanup level be adjusted upward, consistent with the 5 percent false positive probability rate prescribed in WAC 173-340-720(9)(e)(ii), and in consultation with the Ecology site manager. For relatively small compliance monitoring sample sizes (n<30), it is generally recommended that not more than 20 percent of the samples exceed a cleanup level based on the 90th percentile, because the probability of this number of exceedances or more occurring is less than 5 percent.

For MW-1301R, to determine an appropriate criterion for allowable exceedances of the background-based cleanup level, the probability of three or more exceedances of the 90th percentile in eight samples was calculated to be 3.9 percent. Therefore, the maximum allowable number of exceedances is two, based on a 0.039-level false positive error rate (Ecology 1992, Figure 12, Technical Attachment 1). Because the arsenic concentration in only one of the eight samples exceeds the cleanup level, MW-1301R meets all of the requirements for compliance monitoring.

CONCLUSION AND NEXT STEPS

With Ecology's approval, this report will demonstrate complete attainment of compliance with all remaining groundwater compliance monitoring obligations at the Site. The results presented in this memorandum indicate that the four compliance monitoring wells have attained compliance status for dissolved arsenic.

Weyerhaeuser has previously demonstrated attainment of compliance status for diesel- and oilrange total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH-Dx) in all former compliance monitoring wells and for dissolved arsenic in two wells in the former groundwater compliance monitoring network, MW-1201 and MW-1302 (Floyd|Snider 2011). Weyerhaeuser also completed additional sampling for TPH-Dx in MW-1301R, in accordance with the CMP, to confirm the TPH-Dx compliance status of MW-1301R (Floyd|Snider 2013).

This demonstration of compliance with the dissolved arsenic standards constitutes the final step in the compliance monitoring at the Site. The cleanup standards in the Consent Decree and Consent Decree, Exhibit B, Cleanup Action Plan have been achieved. This step constitutes completion of item #9 in the scope of work listed in Section VI.C., "Work to Be Performed," of the Consent Decree. Future evaluations of groundwater compliance will not revisit the status of these wells with respect to dissolved arsenic or TPH-Dx, except in the circumstances described in Section XXVI.1, "Reopeners," of the Consent Decree. In accordance with the CMP, groundwater monitoring activities at the Site will hereafter be discontinued.

Weyerhaeuser anticipates that the next steps will include the submittal of a request to Ecology to remove the site from the hazardous sites list as described in WAC 173-340-330(7).

Sincerely yours, $FLOYD \mid SNIDER$

Brett Beaulieu, LHG Hydrogeologist

 Encl.: Table 1: Dissolved Arsenic Groundwater Compliance Evaluation Summary Figure 1: Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Wells Attachment 1: Laboratory Analytical Reports
 Copies: Ken Johnson, Weyerhaeuser Company

REFERENCES

- Emcon. 1995. *Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan for Weyerhaeuser Everett West Site, Everett, Washington*. Prepared for Weyerhaeuser Company. 2 March.
- Floyd|Snider. 2011. Memorandum to David South: Weyerhaeuser Everett West Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan Addendum. November.
- ——. 2012a. Memorandum to David South: Weyerhaeuser Everett West Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Reporting Clarification. February
- ——. 2012b. 2011 Weyerhaeuser Everett West Annual Compliance Monitoring Report. April
- . 2013. 2012 Weyerhaeuser Everett West Annual Compliance Monitoring Report. March
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). NOAA Tides and Currents. 2013. Everett, Washington Station ID 9447659. <u>http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/noaatidepredictions/</u>.
- State of Washington. 1994. Consent Decree No. 94-2-67559-2 and Exhibits. Ecology v. Weyerhaeuser Company. October.
- Washington State Department of Ecology 1992. *Statistical Guidance for Ecology Site Managers*. Toxics Cleanup Program. August.

Weyerhaeuser Everett West

Compliance Evaluation Report

Table

		-	-	-
Date	MW-1202R (μg/L)	MW-1203R (μg/L)	MW-1301R (µg/L)	MW-1501R (µg/L)
7/23/2013	1.0	1.1	2.5	0.8
4/26/2013	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.9
1/17/2013	1.6	0.9	1.0	0.5
12/21/2012	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.6
9/28/2012	1.3	1.3	6.9	1.6
6/21/2012	3.1	0.7	1.6	0.6
3/22/2012	1.4	0.6	0.8	0.8
12/19/2011	2.7	1.4	1.7	1.6
Distribution	Neither ²	Lognormal/ Normal/ Neither ³	Neither ⁴	Neither ²
UCL95 (Land's method)	NA	1.2	NA	NA
UCL95 (t-statistic)	NA	1.1	NA	NA
UCL95 (Z-statistic)	NA	NA	3.2	NA
Largest	3.1	1.4	6.9 ⁵	1.6
Cleanup level	5	5	5	5
Compliance status	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS

 Table 1

 Dissolved Arsenic Groundwater Compliance Evaluation Summary¹

Notes:

1 Compliance evaluation was conducted in accordance with the Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan (Emcon 1995), Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan Addendum (CMP)(Floyd|Snider 2011) and WAC 173-340-720(9)(c) through (e). MTCAStat Site97 module was used to determine distribution and calculate the UCL95.

- 2 For MW-1202R and MW-1501R, data sets were neither lognormally or normally distributed. In these cases, the highest concentration was compared to the cleanup level.
- 3 For MW-1203R, a lognormal distribution was the best fit based on an r-squared of 0.96, but because the r-squared for a normal distribution was similar (0.94), the UCL95 based on the lognormal distribution (Land's method) and the normal distribution (t-statistic) were both considered in the evaluation. In addition, the highest concentration was compared to the cleanup level.
- 4 For MW-1301R, the data set was neither lognormally nor normally distributed. Because the highest concentration exceeds the cleanup level, the UCL95 was approximated using a Z-based statistic in accordance with Ecology guidance.
- 5 The data for MW-1301R include one exceedance (6.9 μ g/L) in eight samples, a rate greater than 10 percent of the number of samples. This is an allowable exceedance of the background-based cleanup level, based on a calculated false-positive error rate in accordance with Washington Administrative Code 173-340-720(9)(e)(ii) and Ecology guidance.

Abbreviations:

CMP Compliance Monitoring Plan

Ecology Washington State Department of Ecology

- NA Not applicable
- UCL95 upper 95 percent confidence limit
 - µg/L Micrograms per liter

F:\projects\Weyer Everett West\Task 5 Compliance Monitoring\Groundwater compliance demonstration\Report\ Table 1 Compliance Evaluation Summary tracked 092413 Weyerhaeuser Everett West

Compliance Evaluation Report

Figure



L:\GIS\Projects\WEYER_EW\MXD\Task 5 Compliance Monitoring\Figure 1 (Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Wells).mxd 9/12/2013 Weyerhaeuser Everett West

Compliance Evaluation Report

Attachment 1 Laboratory Analytical Reports



P.O. Box 9777, WTC 2F25 Federal Way, WA 98063-9777 32901 Weyerhaeuser Way South Federal Way, WA 98001 (253) 924-6242 (253) 924-6654 fax Dennis.Catalano@weyerhaeuser.com

January 31, 2013

Brett Beaulieu Floyd/Snider 601 Union St Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101

Dear Brett :

Attached is the final report for the Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring sample(s) that you requested we analyze for you. This work has been performed under our service request number 13-0124 for samples received on 1/18/2013.

If you have any technical questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me at (253) 924-6242.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to your organization. I hope that we can be of assistance in the future.

Sincerely,

Dennis Catalano Operations Manager

Weyerhaeuser Analytical Chemistry and Microstructure (253) 924-6242 Dennis.Catalano@weyerhaeuser.com

Please Note:

- The results in this report relate only to the items tested or to the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.
- This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written permission of the laboratory.



Service Request: 13-0124

Original Paperwork

C C Chain of Custody Re UESTED (WRITE/TYPE IN PARAMETER) NOTES															CONCENTRATION RANGE	Report Basis	As Red			LWI.	Record	7 Time Received By (Signature)	Time Receiped Dy Leboratory (Signature)	amp. Date Received Time Received
ANALYSIS REQ				יייכ	vsutv	هرا	n l o	vssa	×	×	×	×	×		ESTIMATED					-	ping Method	Date	Date	Cooler
	age 1 of 1	lumber	(2 04) 682-7867	efloydsneler. (wm	٨	CEEDVATION		t ol Contai FILTEREC FROZEN 4.C NacS2C03 HNO3	XXXI	XXXI	1 × × ×	X X X	- × × ×			Percent	port	bles hpu	ulatory ppo	ppt	of Custody and Ship	npler (Signature)	prature)	
I6 (253) 924-6293 /erhaeuser Way South av, WA 98001	rest la	Account Number/Project N	Client's Phone Number 0 (206) 292-2698	Client's E-Mail Address Bre H. Bcauli rue	Recorded By (Signature)	Natur	MAINIX	MATER SOIL/SED HCI HCI	X	X	×	×	×			Report Type	X Electronic Re	Disk Delivera	DES/Regu	Other:	Sample Chain c	Relinquished By Sar	Relinquished By (Sig	Air bill Number
cio SLM 21 32901 Wey Federal Wa	Everett u			10	int) aves) TIME	1445	1230	1535	1620	1342			sile	Indieur					1 11	. 03-111	
	naeuser		te (000)	52	Jenny 60		SCRIPTION	DATE	11/11/13				>			Results To:	Breft Bec					ements:	e held th	
Weyerhaeuser	11 11 13 Project Title	Plund Snicker	ient's Address	Senthle WA 9812	oject Manager (Print) 2re H - Brauli e U		SAMPLE DE	FIELD SAMPLE ID (15 CHARACTER MAX) (REQUIRED)	MW - 1501R	MW- 1601 R	MW-1202 R	MW-1203 R	MW-13012			Intervend Time Required	C 24 hours	a 48 hours	T days	X 2-3 weeks, due:	Return unused samples	emarks/Detection Limit Requir	All samples wer	

LIMS Form1

÷.

Version 10.0.0.2





Data Qualifiers

Flag Description

- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result as defined by the DOD or NELAC standards.
- D The sample was diluted.
- E The result is an estimate amount because the value exceeded the instrument calibration range.
- H The holding time for this test is immediately following sample collection. The samples were analyzed as soon as possible after receipt by the laboratory.
- I The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a matrix interference.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- N The Matrix Spike sample recovery is not within control limits.
- P The GC or HPLC confirmation criteria was exceeded. The relative percent difference is greater than 40% between the two analytical results.
- Q One of more quality control criteria was outside the limits.
- S The reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Additions (MSA).



Results

Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Matrix: W Fraction: Dissolved Date Received: 01/18/2013

Customer Sample ID: MW-1501R Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-001 Date Sampled: 01/17/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0005		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1601R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-002	Fraction: Dissolved
Date Sampled: 01/17/2013	Date Received: 01/18/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0005		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1202R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-003	Fraction: Dissolved
Date Sampled: 01/17/2013	Date Received: 01/18/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0016		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1203R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-004	Fraction: Dissolved
Date Sampled: 01/17/2013	Date Received: 01/18/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0009		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1301R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-005	Fraction: Dissolved
Date Sampled: 01/17/2013	Date Received: 01/18/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.001		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: Method Blank [BLANK] Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-006 Date Sampled:

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	ND		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: Lab Control Sample [LCS] Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-007 Date Sampled:

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0407		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1501R [DUP] Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-008 Date Sampled: 01/17/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0004		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1501R [MS] Lab Sample ID: 13-0124-009 Date Sampled: 01/17/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.042		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	01/22/13	01/28/13	



P.O. Box 9777, WTC 2F25 Federal Way, WA 98063-9777 32901 Weyerhaeuser Way South Federal Way, WA 98001 (253) 924-6242 (253) 924-6654 fax Dennis.Catalano@weyerhaeuser.com

May 17, 2013

Brett Beaulieu Floyd/Snider 601 Union St Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101

Dear Brett :

Attached is the final report for the Weyer- EW Compliance Monitoring sample(s) that you requested we analyze for you. This work has been performed under our service request number 13-0653 for samples received on 4/29/2013.

If you have any technical questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me at (253) 924-6242.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to your organization. I hope that we can be of assistance in the future.

Sincerely,

Dennis Catalano Operations Manager

Weyerhaeuser Analytical Chemistry and Microstructure (253) 924-6242 Dennis.Catalano@weyerhaeuser.com

Please Note:

- The results in this report relate only to the items tested or to the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.
- This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written permission of the laboratory.



Service Request: 13-0653

Original Paperwork







Data Qualifiers

Flag Description

- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result as defined by the DOD or NELAC standards.
- D The sample was diluted.
- E The result is an estimate amount because the value exceeded the instrument calibration range.
- H The holding time for this test is immediately following sample collection. The samples were analyzed as soon as possible after receipt by the laboratory.
- I The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a matrix interference.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- N The Matrix Spike sample recovery is not within control limits.
- P The GC or HPLC confirmation criteria was exceeded. The relative percent difference is greater than 40% between the two analytical results.
- Q One of more quality control criteria was outside the limits.
- S The reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Additions (MSA).



Results

Weyer- EW Compliance Monitoring

Matrix: W Fraction: Total Date Received: 04/29/2013

Customer Sample ID: MW-1203R Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-001 Date Sampled: 04/26/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0009		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Customer Sample ID: MW-1202R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-002	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 04/26/2013	Date Received: 04/29/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0013		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Customer Sample ID: MW-1501R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-003	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 04/26/2013	Date Received: 04/29/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0009		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Customer Sample ID: MW-1301R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-004	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 04/26/2013	Date Received: 04/29/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0007		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Customer Sample ID: MW-1601R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-005	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 04/26/2013	Date Received: 04/29/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0011		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Weyer- EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: Method Blank [BLANK] Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-006 Date Sampled:

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	ND		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Weyer- EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: Lab Control Sample [LCS] Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-007 Date Sampled:

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0396		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Weyer- EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1202R [DUP] Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-008 Date Sampled: 04/26/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0014		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



Weyer- EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1202R [MS] Lab Sample ID: 13-0653-009 Date Sampled: 04/26/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0421		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	04/30/13	05/01/13	



P.O. Box 9777, WTC 2F25 Federal Way, WA 98063-9777 32901 Weyerhaeuser Way South Federal Way, WA 98001 (253) 924-6242 (253) 924-6654 fax Dennis.Catalano@weyerhaeuser.com

September 05, 2013

Brett Beaulieu Floyd/Snider 601 Union St Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101

Dear Brett :

Attached is the final report for the Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring sample(s) that you requested we analyze for you. This work has been performed under our service request number 13-1119 for samples received on 7/25/2013.

If you have any technical questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me at (253) 924-6242.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to your organization. I hope that we can be of assistance in the future.

Sincerely,

Dennis Catalano Operations Manager

Weyerhaeuser Analytical Chemistry and Microstructure (253) 924-6242 Dennis.Catalano@weyerhaeuser.com

Please Note:

- The results in this report relate only to the items tested or to the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.
- This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written permission of the laboratory.



Original Paperwork

A Weyerhaeuser		Weyerhak c/o SLM 2 32901 Wo	Puser Pyert	(253) aeus	924- 924- br Wa	6293 by So	uth Uth								n	=		ŝ	Ē	Chair Chair	n of (sis Re Custo	dy Recol	2 21
		Federal V	vay,	MA	1009							Γ	ANAL	VSIS RI			M	5		PARA	METER	-	NOTES	Т
7/23/13 Project Title	nacuser - E	Verett V	Š	τ_{Λ}				Page	-	0	-				-									
Client's Name Flowd I Snider			₹¥	eunt .		Paripa Paripa	oject	Numb	æ															
Client's Address Client's Address Client's Address	600)		26	1 S 1	hone	Num	in the	Clien	's FA	XNu	mber				100			- 6255						
Satthe why 9810	_		ã.	H.	Dea	Addr	30	3	ds	de	3	3												
Project Manager (Print) Si Brett Banu Juev, U	Impler Name (Prin	K. Meyer	\$ ()	BV.	BA	Signa	(in N						טור	2						5 - 92				
SAMPLE DES	CRIPTION			MAT	XIE	-	R	ESE	TAVE	NO			251					_		19474	_			
PIELD SAMPLE ID (15 CHARACTER MAX)	DATE (REQUIRED)	MI	MATER	CIN/SED	OIF	HCI	*OS ² H	^C ONH	SO ² S ² EN	NBZOR	FILTERED	# of Containers	¥ SSID							1917 - 1997	104649			
MW-11.02R	7 25 13	0430	X		\vdash	×			ŕ		×	-	×		Η									
MW-13012		1045	8			×			×		×	-	×											
MW-15012		1135	×			*			~		×	-	×											
MW - 1262 P		1230	×			×			^		×	-	×		3	_			-					
MW - 124312	7	1320	×		\vdash	×			1		X	-	×		-							_		
						-			-	_	*					_			-	_		_		
									-					_	+	+			+	+	1	_		
					+	+			+	+		T	+	1	+	+		+	+	+	+	_		Т
					+	+			+	+		T	+	+	+			\top	+	+		-		Т
"G"rab. "D"epth composite, or	"T'ime composit	æ		1					1	-			ESI	IMATEC	SON	GEN	RATIC	NB	NGE					1 1
Turnaround Time Required R	esults To:			^B	E.	ed.	1			<u> </u>	Perce	Ŧ		_	-					_		٩ <u>٩</u>	rt Basis	
48 hours	Breft Beaul	reu .		Å L	Dis	k De	ivera	bles			mdd.												OD	
					NP	DES	Regu	lator	>		đ				+	-			+	-			Volume	
X2-3 weeks, due:				Ш	oth	E.	þ				bb					_			-	_			Wt.	
Return unused samples IRS Qualified R&D?				Sar	nple	5	in	jo to	Isto	dy a	P		ping	Metho	d Re	proc								1
Remarks/Detection Limit Require	and Street	-		Reli	nquis	B	R	A.	\$	anna		1		F	115	E	0	0	Rece	(B)	(Signa	(eure)		
				Heli	nquis	Det	y (Si	jnatu	(0					Date		Ē.	2		Rece	R	1	flory (Sig	mature)	
				Air b	NII NI	mber	1.							Coole	Lo Lo			Jete L		80	Ę	S OC	pev	
Form 16307 (8:08)						1											1					8		1





Data Qualifiers

Flag Description

- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result as defined by the DOD or NELAC standards.
- D The sample was diluted.
- E The result is an estimate amount because the value exceeded the instrument calibration range.
- H The holding time for this test is immediately following sample collection. The samples were analyzed as soon as possible after receipt by the laboratory.
- I The MRL/MDL or LOQ/LOD is elevated due to a matrix interference.
- J The result is an estimated value.
- N The Matrix Spike sample recovery is not within control limits.
- P The GC or HPLC confirmation criteria was exceeded. The relative percent difference is greater than 40% between the two analytical results.
- Q One of more quality control criteria was outside the limits.
- S The reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Additions (MSA).



Results

Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Matrix: W Fraction: Total Date Received: 07/25/2013

Customer Sample ID: MW-1602R Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-001 Date Sampled: 07/23/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0011		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Customer Sample ID: MW-1301R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-002	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 07/23/2013	Date Received: 07/25/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0025		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1501R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-003	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 07/23/2013	Date Received: 07/25/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0008		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Customer Sample ID: MW-1202R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-004	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 07/23/2013	Date Received: 07/25/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.001		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1203R	Matrix: W
Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-005	Fraction: Total
Date Sampled: 07/23/2013	Date Received: 07/25/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0011		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: Method Blank [BLANK] Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-006 Date Sampled:

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	ND		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: Lab Control Sample [LCS] Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-007 Date Sampled:

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0421		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1501R [DUP] Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-008 Date Sampled: 07/23/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0006		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	



Weyer - EW Compliance Monitoring

Customer Sample ID: MW-1501R [MS] Lab Sample ID: 13-1119-009 Date Sampled: 07/23/2013

Component	Result	Flags	Quant Limit	Units	Basis	Method	Dilution	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Notes
Analysis:	METALS									
As	0.0409		0.0002	mg/L	as recd	AM E-200.8M	1X	08/12/13	08/13/13	