

# Remedial Action Report Petroleum-Contaminated Soils

# 212 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street Renton, Washington

**Prepared For:** 

Washington Federal 425 Pike Street Seattle, WA, 98101

January 30, 2015

Project Number: 90001

Prepared By:

Kane Environmental, Inc. 3815 Woodland Park Avenue North, Suite 102 Seattle, Washington 98103

Vance Atkins Senior Hydrogeologist

John R. Kane Principal



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Site Background and Historic Property Use	1
2.0	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	2
3.0	SAMPLING METHODOLOGY	3
3.1	Health and Safety Briefing	3
3.2	Sample Collection Methods	3
3.3	Field Screening Methods	3
3.4	Analytical Methods	3
4.0	SOIL REMEDIATION	4
4.1	Former Heating Oil UST	4
4.2	Soil Disposal	4
4.3	Excavation Backfill and Site Restoration	4
5.0	CONCLUSIONS	5
6.0	LIMITATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS	6

#### FIGURES

Figure 1 – Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Site Plan & Soil Sampling Locations

#### TABLES

Table 1 – Soil Analytical Results

#### ATTACHMENTS

A - Remedial Excavation Analytical Laboratory Reports

- B Site Photographs
- C Soil Disposal Documentation



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This *Remedial Action Report* for the property located at 212 South 3rd Street (the Property) in Renton, Washington is presented by Kane Environmental, Inc. The Property is composed of one tax parcel (000720-0115) and is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and Shattuck Avenue South in Renton, Washington (Figure 1). The Property is bounded by commercial development to the north and west; to the east by Shattuck Avenue South, with commercial development beyond; and to the south by South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, with commercial development beyond.

A heating oil underground storage tank (UST) was removed from the Property in 2007. A release of petroleum hydrocarbons to soil and perched groundwater was discovered at that time, and subsequent soil remedial actions took place at the Property in 2007. Due to the proximity of the Property building, a quantity of petroleum-contaminated soil in exceedance of Washington Department of Ecology Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels was left in-place at the Property. Groundwater monitoring took place at the Property in 2007 and 2008. The Property received a Restrictive Covenant from the Washington Department of Ecology due to the remnant soils left in-place. Kane Environmental conducted additional Phase II investigations at the Property in 2013 and 2014 to assess the extent of those soils. The soils were determined to be limited to a volume of approximately ten cubic yards in the area between the former remedial excavation and the foundation of the Property building.

The purpose of this report is to describe the Remedial Action (RA) performed at the Property in application for the removal of the restrictive covenant on the Property. The RA consisted of the following task:

 Removal of contaminated soils at the location above. Soils were excavated beyond perched groundwater. Lateral excavation was completed adjacent to and below the depth of the foundation floor of the Property building. Soils were transported and disposed of at a licensed facility.

The remedial action described in this report was conducted in accordance with the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) and the MTCA Cleanup Regulations (WAC 173-340), and is substantially equivalent to a Washington Department of Ecology conducted cleanup action.



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Kane Environmental, Inc. (Kane Environmental) is pleased to provide this *Remedial Action Report* documenting the petroleum contaminated soil excavation at 212 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street (the Property) in Seattle, Washington (Figure 1). This RA report describes the soil removal conducted on the Property.

The purpose of this report is to describe the Remedial Action (RA) in application for the removal of the restrictive covenant on the Property. The RA consisted of the following tasks:

• Excavating and removing from the Property all soils determined to be contaminated with total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) during prior subsurface investigations.

#### 1.1 Site Background and Historic Property Use

The Property is composed of one tax parcel (000720-0115) and is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and Shattuck Avenue South in Renton, Washington (Figure 1). The Property is bounded by commercial development to the north and west; to the east by Shattuck Avenue South, with commercial development beyond; and to the south by South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, with commercial development beyond.

Langseth Environmental of Tacoma, Washington removed a 675-gallon heating oil underground storage tank (UST) from the Property in 2007. A release of petroleum hydrocarbons to soil and perched groundwater was discovered at that time. The Riley Group of Bothell, Washington conducted a subsurface investigation and Remedial Feasibility and Independent Cleanup Plan (2007a,b). They completed a subsequent soil remedial action at the Property in 2007 (Riley, 2007c). Due to the proximity of the Property building, a quantity of petroleum-contaminated soil in exceedance of Washington Department of Ecology Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels was left in-place at the Property. Groundwater monitoring took place at the Property in 2007 and 2008. The Property received a Restrictive Covenant from the Washington Department of Ecology due to the remnant soils left in-place. Kane Environmental conducted additional Phase II investigations at the Property in 2013 and 2014 to assess the extent of those soils (Kane Environmental, 2013, 2014). The soils were determined to be limited to a volume of approximately ten cubic yards in the area between the former remedial excavation and the foundation of the Property building.



#### 2.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

#### 2.1 Geologic Setting

The Renton, Washington is located in the Puget Sound Basin, where the majority of geological and land features were formed during the Pleistocene Epoch which began approximately 1.5 million years ago. Soils in the in the vicinity of the Property generally consist of a combination of artificial fill and alluvial soils deposited by the Cedar River. The fill typically consists of re-graded alluvial soils and is locally observed to be silt or silty clay with occasional sandy lenses. The local alluvial soils are a combination of clayey silt and fine sand deposited as flood orterrace deposits (Mullineaux, D.R., 1965).

Soils encountered during the prior subsurface investigations and the Remedial Action generally consisted of light brown and gray silty fill. The silt became gray below depths of eight feet below ground surface (bgs).

#### 2.2 Hydrogeologic Setting

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Renton, Washington 7.5-Minute Quadrangle Topographic Map, indicates the Property is approximately 30 feet above mean sea level (msl) and that the ground surface of the Property is generally flat. During drilling and excavation activities, perched groundwater was encountered at depths between approximately eight to ten feet bgs.



#### 3.0 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Health and Safety Briefing

A health and safety briefing was conducted prior to the start of all onsite activities. Potential contaminants, hazardous activities and preventive measures were discussed. All field personnel from Kane Environmental and the soil excavation contractor were present during the briefings.

#### 3.2 Sample Collection Methods

Soil hand-grab samples were collected from the excavation by placing soil directly into laboratorysupplied jars from the excavator bucket where excavations exceeded four feet bgs and safe access was not possible, according to the State of Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act (WISHA) standards. Soil samples collected for VOC analyses were sampled in accordance with EPA 50535A protocols.

The soil samples collected during the Remedial Action were individually labeled and immediately placed into ice-filled coolers and Fremont Analytical (Fremont) in Seattle, Washington under standard chain-of-custody procedures.

#### 3.3 Field Screening Methods

Following collection, samples were inspected for any indication of contamination by means of olfactory inspection (odor) and visual inspection (discoloration/sheen).

#### 3.4 Analytical Methods

Selected soil and groundwater samples were analyzed for:

- Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) diesel and heavy oil range by NWTPH-Dx/Dx Extended;
- Total Led by EPA Method 6010

All samples were analyzed in accordance with the laboratory's in-house Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan. Sample analyses were performed in compliance with the EPA analytical methods and Ecology guidelines. All samples were analyzed within specified holding times. All sample detection limits were within method requirements, and no factors appeared to adversely affect data result quality. Analytical data reports are presented in the Attachment A and analytical results are tabulated in Table 1.



#### 4.0 SOIL REMEDIATION

#### 4.1 Former Heating Oil UST

On January 15 and 17, Kane Remediation Technologies, Inc. and Dougg Pettapiece of Pacific Northwest Excavation (PNWE) excavated remnant contaminated soils located between the former heating oil UST location and Property building. Clean backfill soils were excavated and temporarily stockpiled on site. Soil segregation was based on prior soil analytical results and field screening. During excavation, a 4-inch sewer line and grease trap were removed to access petroleum-contaminated soils.

Petroleum-contaminated soils were excavated for off-site disposal. The soil excavation extended eastwest consistent with the prior UST remedial soil excavation. The soil was removed by excavating two four-foot-wide 'cells' exposing the Property building foundation. After removal of the soils, the excavation was sampled and backfilled with controlled-density fill (CDF) to provide support to the remnant soils and building foundation After the CDF had cured, the remaining central 'cell' of petroleum-contaminated soil was removed. Soils were excavated to 12 to 13 feet bgs, below observed perched groundwater seepage. The excavation vertical and horizontal extent was based on prior soil boring results and field screening at the time of excavation. The soil excavation removed soils associated with two soil samples exceeding MTCA cleanup levels (Riley, 2007) left in place at the base of the former UST excavation. Photographs of the excavation are included as Attachment B.

Kane Environmental collected four post-excavation soil samples from the excavation sidewall and base (Figure 2). Petroleum hydrocarbons were not detected at laboratory reporting limits in any of the soil samples (Table 1). Laboratory analytical reports are included as Attachment A.

Approximately 24.49 tons were excavated and transported off-site at Allied Waste's Seattle transfer station for final disposal at the Roosevelt Regional landfill. Soil disposal documentation is included as Attachment C.

#### 4.2 Soil Disposal

A total of approximately 24.49 tons of TPH impacted soil was removed from the Property and transferred to Allied Waste's Seattle transfer station for final disposal at the Roosevelt Regional landfill. Disposal documentation is included as Attachment C.

#### 4.3 Excavation Backfill and Site Restoration

As discussed above, the excavated area was backfilled with CDF to an elevation of the previous approximate ground surface. The excavated area surface was restored with gravel backfill and surfaces with asphalt.



#### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the analytical data for the excavation clearance samples, remnant petroleumcontaminated soil exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels in the vicinity of the former heating oil UST at the Property has been removed. Petroleum concentrations in post-excavation samples associated with this remedial action are below laboratory reporting limits. Other soils in the vicinity of the former heating oil UST have been documented to contain petroleum hydrocarbons below laboratory reporting limits or below MTCA Cleanup Levels. Based on the results of the Remedial Action, there are no remaining impacts to human health and the environment.

Based on the analytical data results, we request that the environmental covenant be removed from the Property. The removal of the covenant will allow Washington Federal to move forward with the sale of the foreclosed property for redevelopment, creating jobs for the Renton area.

This remedial action described in this report was conducted in accordance with MTCA and the MTCA Cleanup Regulations (WAC 173-340), and is substantially equivalent to a Washington Department of Ecology conducted cleanup action.



#### 6.0 LIMITATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS

Kane Environmental has performed this work in general accordance with generally accepted professional practices using the standard of the industry today, for the nature and conditions of the work completed in the same locality and at the same time as the work was performed, and with the terms and conditions as set forth in our proposal.

Kane Environmental shall not be responsible for conditions or consequences arising from relevant facts that were concealed, withheld, or not fully disclosed at the time the report was prepared. Facts and conditions referenced in this report may change over time and the conclusions and recommendations set forth herein are applicable only to the facts and conditions as described at the time the work was performed. This Remedial Action does not include other services not specifically described in the scope of work in Section 1.0 of this report. Conclusions were made within the operative constraints of the scope of work, budget, and schedule for this project.

Figures









Remedial Action 212 S 3rd Street Renton, Washington Project 90001 Figure 2 Site Plan and Soil Sampling Locations

Tables

Table 1Soil Analytical Results212 S 3rd StreetRenton, WashingtonKane Project # 90001



Notes:

MTCA Method A or B Cleanup Level - Washington Dept. of Ecology MTCA Method A / B soil cleanup levels, Chapter 173-340 WAC

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram [equivalent to parts per million (ppm)]

nd- Not Detected at laboratory reporting limit

Blank - not analyzed

Attachment A

# Remedial Excavation Analytical Laboratory Reports



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

Kane Environmental, Inc. Vance Atkins 3815 Woodland Park Ave N, Ste. 102 Seattle, WA 98103

RE: 212 S. 3rd Lab ID: 1501091

January 14, 2015

#### Attention Vance Atkins:

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 3 sample(s) on 1/13/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

### Diesel and Heavy Oil by NWTPH-Dx/Dx Ext. Sample Moisture (Percent Moisture) Total Metals by EPA Method 6020

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Ward Project Manager



CLIENT: Project: Lab Order:	Kane Environmental, Inc. 212 S. 3rd 1501091	Work Order S	Sample Summary
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received
1501091-001	PEX-1-12	01/13/2015 10:14 AM	01/13/2015 2:40 PM
1501091-002	PEX-2-10	01/13/2015 10:45 AM	01/13/2015 2:40 PM
1501091-003	PEX-3-14	01/13/2015 10:50 AM	01/13/2015 2:40 PM



Case Narrative

Date: 1/14/2015

CLIENT:Kane Environmental, Inc.Project:212 S. 3rd

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

#### II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS:

Results are reported on a wet weight basis unless dry-weight correction is denoted in the units field on the analytical report ("mg/kg-dry" or "ug/kg-dry").

Matrix Spike (MS) and MS Duplicate (MSD) samples are tested from an analytical batch of "like" matrix to check for possible matrix effect. The MS and MSD will provide site specific matrix data only for those samples which are spiked by the laboratory. The sample chosen for spike purposes may or may not have been a sample submitted in this sample delivery group. The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples and the MS/MSD to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

#### **III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:**

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.

Prep Comments for METHOD (PREP-DX-S), SAMPLE (1501091-001A) required Silica Gel Cleanup Procedure (Using Method No 3630C).

Prep Comments for METHOD (PREP-DX-S), SAMPLE (1501091-002A) required Silica Gel Cleanup Procedure (Using Method No 3630C).

Prep Comments for METHOD (PREP-DX-S), SAMPLE (1501091-003A) required Silica Gel Cleanup Procedure (Using Method No 3630C).



## **Analytical Report**

WO#: 1501091 Date Reported: 1/14/2015

#### CLIENT: Kane Environmental, Inc.

212 S. 3rd **Project:** 

Lab ID: 1501091-001 Client Sample ID: PEX-1-12			Collection Matrix: So	Collection Date: 1/13/2015 10:14:0 Matrix: Soil				
Analyses	Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed			
Diesel and Heavy Oil by NWTPH-D	x/Dx Ext.		Batch	ID: 978	6 Analyst: EC			
Diesel (Fuel Oil)	ND	27.6	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/13/2015 9:08:00 PM			
Heavy Oil	ND	69.0	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/13/2015 9:08:00 PM			
Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	111	50-150	%REC	1	1/13/2015 9:08:00 PM			
Surr: o-Terphenyl	109	50-150	%REC	1	1/13/2015 9:08:00 PM			
Total Metals by EPA Method 6020			Batch	ID: 978	7 Analyst: TN			
Lead	5.30	0.233	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/13/2015 7:17:41 PM			
Sample Moisture (Percent Moisture	<u>e)</u>		Batch	ID: R19	0074 Analyst: CG			
Percent Moisture	30.9		wt%	1	1/13/2015 4:14:00 PM			

Lab ID: 1501091-002	Collection Date: 1/13/2015 10:45:00 AM					
Client Sample ID: PEX-2-10			Matrix: S	oil		
Analyses	Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	
Diesel and Heavy Oil by NWTP	H-Dx/Dx Ext.		Batc	h ID: 978	36 Analyst: EC	
Diagol (Eucl Oil)		20.5	ma/Ka day	1	1/12/2015 0.20.00 DM	

Diesel (Fuel Oil)	ND	29.5	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/13/2	015 9:39:00 PM
Heavy Oil	ND	73.6	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/13/2	015 9:39:00 PM
Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	109	50-150	%REC	1	1/13/2	015 9:39:00 PM
Surr: o-Terphenyl	106	50-150	%REC	1	1/13/2	015 9:39:00 PM
Sample Moisture (Percent Moisture)	2		Batch	ID: I	R19074	Analyst: CG
Percent Moisture	32.8		wt%	1	1/13/2	015 4:14:00 PM

Qualifiers:	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	D	Dilution was required
	Е	Value above quantitation range	н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not detected at the Reporting Limit
	RL	Reporting Limit	S	Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits



# **Analytical Report**

 WO#:
 1501091

 Date Reported:
 1/14/2015

CLIENT:Kane Environmental, Inc.Project:212 S. 3rd

Lab ID: 1501091-003 Client Sample ID: PEX-3-14	Collection Date: 1/13/2015 10:50:00 AM Matrix: Soil				
Analyses	Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
Diesel and Heavy Oil by NWTPI	H-Dx/Dx Ext.		Batch	ID: 97	86 Analyst: EC
Diesel (Fuel Oil)	ND	26.9	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/13/2015 10:10:00 PM
Heavy Oil	ND	67.4	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/13/2015 10:10:00 PM
Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	108	50-150	%REC	1	1/13/2015 10:10:00 PM
Surr: o-Terphenyl	105	50-150	%REC	1	1/13/2015 10:10:00 PM
Sample Moisture (Percent Mois	sture)		Batch	ID: R1	9074 Analyst: CG
Percent Moisture	31.9		wt%	1	1/13/2015 4:14:00 PM

Qualifiers:	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	D	Dilution was required
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not detected at the Reporting Limit
	RL	Reporting Limit	S	Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

\_\_\_\_\_



Work Order: CLIENT: Project:	1501091 Kane Enviror 212 S. 3rd	nmental, Ind	C.							QC S Total Me	SUMMAI tals by EP	RY REF A Metho	PORT d 6020
Sample ID: MB-9	787	SampType:	MBLK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Da	te: 1/13/20	)15	RunNo: 190	)76	
Client ID: MBL	S	Batch ID:	9787					Analysis Da	te: 1/13/20	15	SeqNo: 380	0276	
Analyte		R	lesult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Lead			ND	0.200									
Sample ID: LCS-9	787	SampType:	LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Da	te: 1/13/20	15	RunNo: 190	076	
Client ID: LCSS		Batch ID:	9787					Analysis Da	te: 1/13/20	15	SeqNo: 380	)277	
Analyte		R	lesult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Lead			175	0.200	189.0	0	92.4	74.6	125.4				
Sample ID: 15010	87-001ADUP	SampType:	DUP			Units: mg/Kg	-dry	Prep Da	te: 1/13/20	015	RunNo: 190	076	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID:	9787					Analysis Da	te: 1/13/20	)15	SeqNo: 380	)279	
Analyte		R	lesult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Lead			2.27	0.179						2.173	4.58	20	
Sample ID: 15010	87-001AMS	SampType:	MS			Units: mg/Kg	-dry	Prep Da	te: 1/13/20	)15	RunNo: 190	)76	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID:	9787					Analysis Da	te: 1/13/20	15	SeqNo: 380	)281	
Analyte		R	lesult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Lead			24.0	0.179	22.38	2.173	97.5	75	125				
Sample ID: 15010	87-001AMSD	SampType:	MSD			Units: mg/Kg	-dry	Prep Da	te: 1/13/20	015	RunNo: 190	)76	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID:	9787					Analysis Da	te: 1/13/20	15	SeqNo: 380	)282	
Analyte		R	lesult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Lead			24.9	0.180	22.56	2.173	101	75	125	24.00	3.70	20	
Qualifiers: B H R	Analyte detected in th Holding times for prep RPD outside accepted	ne associated Meth paration or analysis d recovery limits	od Blank s exceeded		D Dilution wa J Analyte de RL Reporting	as required tected below quantitation li Limit	mits		E Value ND Not d S Spike	e above quantitation radio the Report detected at the Report e recovery outside acc	ange ing Limit cepted recovery limi	its	



Work Order:	1501091								00.5			PORT
CLIENT:	Kane Enviro	nmental, Inc.										
Project:	212 S. 3rd							Diesel a	and Heavy	Oil by NW	TPH-Dx/I	<b>Dx Ext.</b>
Sample ID: 15010	87-001ADUP	SampType: <b>DUP</b>			Units: mg/Kg-	dry	Prep Dat	te: 1/13/20	)15	RunNo: 190	)78	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID: 9786					Analysis Dat	te: 1/13/20	15	SeqNo: 380	)327	
Analyte		Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel (Fuel Oil)		ND	20.8						0		30	
Heavy Oil		ND	51.9						0		30	
Surr: 2-Fluorobi	ohenyl	22.4		20.78		108	50	150		0		
Surr: o-Terphen	yl	21.8		20.78		105	50	150		0		
Sample ID: LCS-9	786	SampType: LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Dat	te: 1/13/20	)15	RunNo: 190	)78	
Client ID: LCSS		Batch ID: 9786					Analysis Dat	te: 1/13/20	15	SeqNo: 380	)347	
Analyte		Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel (Fuel Oil)		533	20.0	500.0	0	107	65	135				
Surr: 2-Fluorobi	ohenyl	22.3		20.00		112	50	150				
Surr: o-Terphen	yl	20.6		20.00		103	50	150				
Sample ID: MB-97	'86	SampType: MBLK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Dat	te: 1/13/20	)15	RunNo: 190	)78	
Client ID: MBLK	S	Batch ID: 9786					Analysis Dat	te: 1/13/20	15	SeqNo: 380	)348	
Analyte		Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel (Fuel Oil)		ND	20.0									
Heavy Oil		ND	50.0									
Surr: 2-Fluorobi	ohenyl	20.6		20.00		103	50	150				
Surr: o-Terphen	yl	19.9		20.00		99.6	50	150				

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- Dilution was required D
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RL Reporting Limit

- E Value above quantitation range
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits



### Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: KANE	Work Order Num	ber: 1501091		
Logged by: Kerra Ziegler	Date Received:	1/13/2015	2:40:03 PM	
Chain of Custody				
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the sample delivered?	<u>Client</u>			
Log In				
3. Coolers are present?	Yes	No 🔽		
	No cooler prese	<u>nt</u>		
4. Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
5. Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler?	Yes	No 🗌	Not Required 🗹	
6. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes	No 🖌		
<u>U</u>	nknown prior to re	eceipt		
7. Were all coolers received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}C$ to $10.0^{\circ}C$	Yes	No 🗹		
Samples re	ceived mostly stra	aight from fiel	<u>d</u>	
8. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No		
9. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
10. Are samples properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
11. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
12. Is the headspace in the VOA vials?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
13. Did all samples containers arrive in good condition(unbroken)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
14. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
15. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
16. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
17. Were all holding times able to be met?	Yes 🖌	No		
Special Handling (if applicable)				
18. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person Notified: Date:				
By Whom: Via:	eMail Ph	ione 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:				
Client Instructions:				
19 Additional remarks:				

1/14/15 and 1/29/15: Sample ID corrections per client request

#### Item Information

Item #	Temp ⁰C	Condition
Sample	13.7	

Cha I Cha			-	2006	= Waste Water				and the second se			the local design of the lo	the state of the second se	Pb bb Se Sr Sn Ti Ti U	Special Remarks:	SILICE	and perturbative and a	TAT -> SameDay^ Nex
Labourtary Project No (ini	Page:	20 ZIZ S.	Ares >	Project No:	= Drinking Water, GW = Ground Water, WW - 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 25 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1	X							d Co Cr Cu Fe Hg K Mg Mn Mo Na N	rate+Nitrite		Date/Time 14.40	bate/time
	1/13/15	Project Name:	Collected by:	Email:	= Sediment, 3( = 50%, W = Water, DW	2 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2		/						Individual: Ag Al As B Ba Be Ca C	O-Phosphate Fluoride Nit	ie assessed if samples are retained after 90 days.)	Raceived Refer	Becoived 101
<b>Unt</b>	3790 -7178 Date:		Tel:	Fax:	)= Other, P = Product, S = Soil, SD =	ple Sample Type Control Contro	1014	1045	1350		The second and the second seco	the much in the second with	and the state of the second second	Priority Pollutants TAL	sloride Sulfate Bromide	t Disposal by Lab (A fee may b	0	
Freme	emont Ave N. Tel: 206-352- WA 98103 Fax: 206-352-	Kans	te, Zip	To (PM): Access	des: A = Air, AQ = Aqueous, B = Bulk, O	Sami Name Dat	ali 1-1-42	1 01-2-20	1 21 - 5 - 2	-				Analysis (Circle): MTCA-5 RCRA-8	(Circle): Nitrate Nitrite Ch	posal: Client	t OuterTime	td Date/Time

www.fremontanalytical.com

Distribution: White - Lab, Yellow - File, Pink - Originator



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

Kane Environmental, Inc. Vance Atkins 3815 Woodland Park Ave N, Ste. 102 Seattle, WA 98103

RE: 212 S 3rd Lab ID: 1501118

January 16, 2015

#### **Attention Vance Atkins:**

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 1 sample(s) on 1/15/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

#### Diesel and Heavy Oil by NWTPH-Dx/Dx Ext. Sample Moisture (Percent Moisture)

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Ward Project Manager



CLIENT:	Kane Environmental, Inc.	Work Order Sample Summary						
Project:	212 S 3rd							
Lab Order:	1501118							
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received					
1501118-001	PEX-4-10	01/15/2015 9:00 AM	01/15/2015 2:45 PM					



**Case Narrative** 

WO#: **1501118** Date: **1/16/2015** 

CLIENT:Kane Environmental, Inc.Project:212 S 3rd

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

#### II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS:

Results are reported on a wet weight basis unless dry-weight correction is denoted in the units field on the analytical report ("mg/kg-dry" or "ug/kg-dry").

Matrix Spike (MS) and MS Duplicate (MSD) samples are tested from an analytical batch of "like" matrix to check for possible matrix effect. The MS and MSD will provide site specific matrix data only for those samples which are spiked by the laboratory. The sample chosen for spike purposes may or may not have been a sample submitted in this sample delivery group. The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples and the MS/MSD to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

#### **III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:**

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.

Prep Comments for METHOD (PREP-DX-S), SAMPLE (1501118-001A) required Silica Gel Cleanup Procedure (Using Method No 3630C).



## **Analytical Report**

WO#: **1501118** Date Reported: **1/16/2015** 

Client:	Kane Environmental, Inc.				Collection Date: 1/15/2015 9:00:00 AM							
Project:	212 S 3rd											
Lab ID:	1501118-001	Matrix: Soil										
<b>Client Sa</b>	ample ID: PEX-4-10											
Analyses	S	Result	RL	Qual	Qual Units		Date Analyzed					
Diesel a	and Heavy Oil by NWTPH-Dx	/Dx Ext.			Batch	ID:	9818 Analyst: EC					
Diesel (I	Fuel Oil)	ND	20.8		mg/Kg-dry	1	1/16/2015 2:43:00 PM					
Heavy C	Dil	ND	52.0	mg/Kg-dry	1	1/16/2015 2:43:00 PM						
Surr:	2-Fluorobiphenyl	109	50-150		%REC	1	1/16/2015 2:43:00 PM					
Surr:	o-Terphenyl	109	50-150		%REC	1	1/16/2015 2:43:00 PM					
<u>Sample</u>	Moisture (Percent Moisture	)			Batch	ID:	R19128 Analyst: SB					
Percent	Moisture	19.3			wt%	1	1/15/2015 5:08:32 PM					

Qualifiers: B

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RL Reporting Limit

- D Dilution was required
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Work Order:	1501118									QC S	SUMMA	RY REF	PORT
CLIENT:	Kane Enviro	onmental, Ind	с.						Diasol	and Hoavy		דסט_עע/ו	)v Evt
Project:	212 S 3rd								Diesei	anu neavy			<b>JX EXI.</b>
Sample ID 15011	16-001ADUP	SampType:	DUP			Units: mg/Kg-	dry	Prep Date	e: 1/15/20	15	RunNo: 19	136	
Client ID: BATC	H	Batch ID:	9818					Analysis Date	e: 1/16/20	15	SeqNo: 38	1501	
Analyte		R	esult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel (Fuel Oil)			ND	19.9						0		30	
Heavy Oil			ND	49.7						0		30	
Surr: 2-Fluorobi	iphenyl		22.3		19.87		112	50	150		0		
Surr: o-Terpher	nyl		21.5		19.87		108	50	150		0		
Sample ID LCS-9	9818	SampType:	LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date	e: 1/15/20	15	RunNo: 19	136	
Client ID: LCSS	;	Batch ID:	9818					Analysis Date	e: 1/16/20	15	SeqNo: 38	1508	
Analyte		R	esult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel (Fuel Oil)			552	20.0	500.0	0	110	65	135				
Surr: 2-Fluorobi	iphenyl		24.4		20.00		122	50	150				
Surr: o-Terpher	nyl		22.6		20.00		113	50	150				
Sample ID MB-98	818	SampType:	MBLK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date	e: 1/15/20	15	RunNo: 19	136	
Client ID: MBL	(S	Batch ID:	9818					Analysis Date	e: <b>1/16/20</b>	15	SeqNo: 38	1509	
Analyte		R	esult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel (Fuel Oil)			ND	20.0									
Heavy Oil			ND	50.0									
Surr: 2-Fluorobi	iphenyl		22.6		20.00		113	50	150				
Surr: o-Terpher	nyl		21.9		20.00		110	50	150				

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- D Dilution was required
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RL Reporting Limit

- E Value above quantitation range
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- s Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits





### Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name:	KANE	Work Order Numb	ber: 1501118		
Logged by:	Erica Silva	Date Received:	1/15/2015	5 2:45:00 PM	
Chain of Cust	odv				
1 Is Chain of C	ustadu complete?	Voc 🖌			
1. Is chain of c	asmale delivered?				
2. How was the	sample delivered?	<u>Client</u>			
<u>Log In</u>					
3. Coolers are p	present?	Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
		No cooler prese	<u>nt</u>		
4. Shipping con	tainer/cooler in good condition?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
5. Custody seal	s intact on shipping container/cooler?	Yes	No 🗌	Not Required	
6 Was an atten	npt made to cool the samples?	Yes	No 🔽		
0.	U	nknown prior to re	eceipt		
7. Were all cool	ers received at a temperature of >0°C to 10.0°C	Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
	Pleas	se refer to item info	ormation		
8. Sample(s) in	proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
9. Sufficient sar	nple volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
10. Are samples	properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
11. Was preserva	ative added to bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
		_	<b></b>		
12. Is the headsp	pace in the VOA vials?	Yes 🗌	No	NA 🗹	
13. Did all sampl	es containers arrive in good condition(unbroken)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆		
14. Does paperw	ork match bottle labels?	Yes ⊻	No 🗀		
15. Are matrices	correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
16. Is it clear what	at analyses were requested?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		
17. Were all hold	ling times able to be met?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
<u>Special Handl</u>	ing (if applicable)				
18. Was client no	otified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person	Notified: Date				
By Who	m: Via:	eMail Ph	one 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regardi	ng:				
Client Ir	nstructions:				
19. Additional rer	narks:				

#### Item Information

Item #Temp °CConditionSample18.3

www.fremontanalytical.com

-
~
78.
<b>M</b> I
2
-
G.
~
-
-
-
м.
-
-
-
~
~
-
-
-
· •
1.0
-
_
-
œ
-
-
•
-
-
•
~
~
-
_
- 71
- 100
-22
콩
ile,
ile,
ile, P
ile, P
ile, Pir
ile, Pin
ile, Pink
ile, Pink
ile, Pink -
ile, Pink -
ile, Pink-C
ile, Pink - O
ile, Pink - Or
ile, Pink - One
ile, Pink - Orig
ile, Pink - Origi
ile, Pink - Origin
ile, Pink - Origini
ile, Pink - Origina
ile, Pink - Originat
ile, Pink - Originato
ile, Pink - Originator

telinquished Date/Time	relinguished Of 115/1	ample Disposal: Return to Clent	**Anions (Circle): Nitrate Nitrite Chio	*Metals Analysis (Circle): MTCA-5 RCRA-8		Come operior from the state of the state of	the second se		A second second second second second second	Contraction of the second seco	And the state of the state of the state of the	PG24-10 40	ample Name Sample	tatrix Codes: A = Air, AQ = Aqueous, B = Bulk, O = C	BEBOTS TO (PM):	Address: "Ity, State, Zip	Clent: KAUD	ieattle, WA 98103 Fax: 206-352-71.	In Fremont Ave N Tel: 206-352-379	Fremo
11.1	SILVE	Disposal by Lab (	ride Sulfate B	Priority Pollutants								relt	Sample Sample Type Time (Matrix)	Other, P = Product, S = S	Fax:	Tel:		78	TRAT	nt
Received	x MM	A fee may be assessed if samples are retained after 30 days	romide O-Phosphate Fluoride	TAL Individual: Ag Al As B Ba Be Ca								X	Contraction of the second seco	oil, SD = Sediment, SL = Solid, W = Water, D	Email:	Collected by:	Project Name:	Date: 1/15/15		
Date/Time	V15/15 14:45	E	Nitrate+Nitrite	Ed co cr cu he hg k mg min mo na ni									11 (20) 12 (10) 13 (20) 14	W = Drinking Water, GW = Ground Water, WW =	Project No:	Aren	212 S JRO	Pager /	Laboratory Project No (internal):	Chai
TAT -> SameDay NextDay 2 Day 3 Day STD		The second		Enoral Demoker	The full full full of the full					the second second dealers and second		511-14 654	Comments/Depth	Waste Water	90001	The second second second second second		at	1501118	in of Custody Record

Distribution

Attachment B

Site Photographs





Photographs 1&2 – Area of remedial excavation prior to asphalt removal. Approximate planned extent of excavation marked on pavement. Utility cover protects 4-inch monitoring/dewatering well installed by Riley (2007).



Photograph 3 – Removal of asphalt and grease trap. Grease trap/sewer piping visible by building foundation.







Photograph 4 – Beginning excavation at east 'cell.' Surficial soils and 2007 backfill removed and segregated. 4-inch PVC well casing visible to left of photograph.



Photograph 5 – East and west 'cells' after soil removal, with remnant center cell in place, January 13, 2015.





Photograph 6 – East and west 'cells' backfilled with CDF to stabilize excavation, January 13, 2015.



Photograph 7 – Backfilling central 'cell' after completion of remedial excavation on January 15, 2015.





Photograph 8 – Surficial backfill and sewer line replacement, January 16, 2015



Photograph 9 – Surficial backfill brought to grade and prepared for asphalt surfacing. 4-inch well location marked with cone. Well monument subsequently replaced prior to asphalt paving.

Attachment C

**Soil Disposal Documentation** 

SITE		REGIONAI	DISPOSAL INTER	MODAL		SITE TICKET # CELL 01 917966								
		Seat	Brd and lander tle, WA -	_		WEIGHMASTE	R MIE B. C	UT - Drii	nda L.					
CUSTOMER	36	2040				DATE/TIME IN 01-15-2	015 12:2	2 pm 01	-15-2015	12:36 pm				
Kane	Envir	onmental	Inc			VEHICLE SOIL		COI	TAINER	10.55				
3815 Seatt	Woodl le, W	and Park A 98103	Ave N			REFERENCE A-123 P	NW EXCAVA	TING	TNI	OICE				
LW-15	5006					BILL OF LADIN	IG		T 11 A					
	SCALE	IN	GROSS WEIGHT	50,920	NET	TONS	12.75			~				
	SCALE	OUT	TARE WEIGHT	25,420	NET W	EIGHT	25,500		INBOUND					
QTY.	UNIT		DES	CRIPTION			RATE	EXTENSION	ТАХ	TOTAL				
0.00 12.75	YD TN	TRACKING SW-CONT	G QTY SOIL W/FUEL	RENTON	/KING					- 1.0851				
		0.11 0.0111		1										
12 12 13														
	it's the Right-Thing/													
										NET AMOUNT				
									-	TENDERED				
The	e undersiar	ed individual sid	uning this document on behalf of	Customer acknowled	ges that he or sh	e has read and	ns	CHANGE						
on	the reverse	side and that he	e or she has the authority to sign	this document on be	half of the custon	ier.			-	CHECK#				
RS-F042UF	PR (07/12)			:	SIGNATURE				(					
SITE							ET #	CEI	L					
1		REGIONAI	L DISPOSAL INTER Brd and lander	MODAL		01 WEIGHMASTE	918011 B							
CUSTOMER		Seat	tle, WA –	<u></u>										
3333	36					01-16-2	-16-2015	5 8:58 am						
Kane 3815	Envi	ronmenta.	l Inc k Ave N			SOIL		INVOICE						
Seat	tle, N	VA 9810	3			PACIFIC	IN							
LW-1	5006		911		)	BILL OF LADI								
(	SCAL	E IN	GROSS WEIGHT	48,880	NET	TONS	11.74							
	SCALE	S OUT	TARE WEIGHT	25,400	NET WI	EIGHT	23,480		INBOUND					
QTY.	UNIT	TRACKIN	DES IC OTY	CRIPTION	the States in the	SALAK CALL	RATE	EXTENSION	TAX	TOTAL				
11.74	TN	SW-CONT	SOIL W/FUEL	RENTO	N/KING									
										r 9				
	2													
	1.5									с., <u>1</u>				
				16.3 616	Nigen Ara	2				NET AMOUNT				
										TENDERED				
The	e undersigr	ned individual sig	ning this document on behalf of	Customer acknowled	lges that he or sh	e has read and	ns	CHANGE						
on	the reverse	e side and that he	e or she has the authority to sign	this document on be	nait of the custon	ier.				CHECK#				
RS-F042UF	PR (07/12)	2/2	1		SIGNATURE									