Dearbon SIT 8.2.3. \$4-2-29616 7

> 33262\3\00016.DEC Scattle

IN	THE	SUPER	JPERIOR .		COURT OF		STATI	HO E	WASHINGTON
		IN	AND	FOR	THE	COUN	TY OF	KTN	RE WESTINGTON

No.

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,

KING COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF

METROPOLITAN SERVICES,

v.

CONSENT DECREE

Table of Contents

12		Page	1
13	I.	INTRODUCTION	
14	II.	JURISDICTION	
15	III.	PARTIES BOUND	
16	IV.	DEFINITIONS	
17	v.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	
18	VI.	WORK TO BE PERFORMED	
19	VII.	GRANT FUNDING	
20	VIII.	DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS	
21	IX.	PERFORMANCE	
22	х.	ACCESS	
23	XI.	SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING AND AVAILABILITY 16	
24	XII.	PROGRESS REPORTS	
25	XIII.	RETENTION OF RECORDS	
	CONSENT D		

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LAW OFFICES

2600 CENTURY SQUARE . 1501 FOURTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1688

1	XIV.	TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY 18
2	xv.	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES
3	XVI.	AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE
4	XVII.	EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE
5	xvIII.	ENDANGERMENT
6	XIX.	OTHER ACTIONS
7	xx.	INDEMNIFICATION
8	XXI.	COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS
9	XXII.	OVERSIGHT COSTS
10	xxIII.	IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION
11	XXIV.	FIVE YEAR REVIEW
12	xxv.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
13	xxvi.	DURATION OF DECREE
14	xxvII.	COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF CLEANUP WORK 34
15	xxvIII.	CLAIMS AGAINST THIRD PARTIES
16	XXIX.	CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE
17	XXX.	EFFECTIVE DATE
18	XXXI.	COVENANT NOT TO SUE
19	XXXII.	CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION
20	XXXIII.	RESERVATION OF RIGHTS
21	XXXIV.	PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT
22		EXHIBITS
23	Exhibit A	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
24	Exhibit B Exhibit C	Cleanup Action Plan Scope of Work
25		

CONSENT DECREE - 2
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

Interagency Agreement Between the State of Washington, Department of Ecology and the Municipality of Metropolitan dated January 28, Exhibit D Exhibit E Sample Notice of Completion Sample Restrictive Covenant Exhibit F

CONSENT DECREE - 3
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

- D. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.
- E. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts.
- F. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree and good cause having been shown: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

II. JURISDICTION

- A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant to Chapter 70.105D RCW, the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA). Venue is properly laid in King County, the location of the property at issue.
- B. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State
 Attorney General by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a
 settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public
 notice and hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would
 lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances in
 compliance with cleanup standards under RCW 70.105D.030(2)(d).
 RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered

CONSENT DECREE - 5
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

I. INTRODUCTION

- A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the Department of Metropolitan Services, King County (Metro or Defendant) is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release of hazardous substances located at Eighth Avenue South and South Dearborn Street, Seattle, Washington (Site). This Decree requires Metro to undertake remedial actions which will remove the majority of the contamination from the site. Ecology has determined that implementation of the remedial action is necessary to protect public health and the environment.
- B. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. Ecology and Metro (the Parties), however, wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- C. In signing this Decree, the Defendant agrees to its entry, agrees to be bound by its terms, and agrees not to challenge Ecology's jurisdiction in any proceeding to enforce this Decree.

CONSENT DECREE - 4 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

(206) 622-3150 · FAX: (206) 628-7699

as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction, after public notice and hearing.

- C. Ecology has determined that a release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site, as defined in Section IV, which is the subject of this Decree.
- D. Solely for the purpose of entering into this Consent Decree, Defendant has voluntarily waived its right to notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.020(8) and accepted Ecology's determination that Defendant is a potentially liable person (PLP) at the Site within the meaning of RCW 70.105D.020(8). Acceptance by Defendant of status as a potentially liable person with respect to this Site is not to be construed as an admission of liability or waiver of any defense that Defendant may have to any claims other than those resolved by this Consent Decree.
- E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public health, welfare, and the environment.
- F. Defendant has agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consents to the entry of this Decree under the MTCA.

III. PARTIES BOUND

A. This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon signatories to this Decree, their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each Party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to comply with the Decree.

CONSENT DECREE - 6
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

4

Defendant agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree and not to contest state jurisdiction regarding this Decree. No change in ownership of the Site or in the municipal status of Metro shall alter Defendant's or its successor's responsibility under this Decree. The Parties acknowledge that, by virtue of public vote and Chapter 36.94 RCW, Defendant Metro was merged into King County as of January 1, 1994.

Metro shall make a copy of this Decree available to all B. contractors and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree and shall condition any contract for such work on compliance with this Decree.

IV. **DEFINITIONS**

Except as specified herein, all definitions in Ch. 70.105D RCW and Ch. 173-340-200 WAC shall control the meaning of the terms in this Decree.

- Consent Decree or Decree: Refers to this Consent A. Decree and each of the exhibits to the Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree.
- Refers to calendar days, unless specified Days: otherwise.
- C. Defendant: Refers to the King County Department of Metropolitan Services (Metro).
- Interagency Agreement: Refers to the Interagency D. Agreement Between the State of Washington, Department of Ecology

CONSENT DECREE - 7 33262\3\00016.DEC Scattle

10

20

and the Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle entered into on January 28, 1993, and attached to this Decree as Exhibit D.

- Notice of Completion: Refers to written notice by the Washington State Department of Ecology to the Defendant that the work designated as the cleanup action under this Consent Decree is considered complete, the illustrative form of which is attached as Exhibit E.
- Parties: Refers to the Washington State Department of F. Ecology and the Defendant.
- Site: Refers to property located on the northeast and northwest quadrants of the intersection of Eighth Avenue South and South Dearborn Street, Seattle, in King County, Washington. The Site is more particularly described, without prejudice, in Exhibit A to this Decree.

STATEMENT OF FACTS V.

- Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied admissions by Defendant and without prejudice to Defendant's right to deny or otherwise challenge these findings of fact.
- The Site is a former Metro storage and service 1. facility. It is located adjacent to and north of the intersection of Eighth Avenue South and South Dearborn Street in Seattle. The Site consists of two parcels, one on the east and one on the west of Eighth Avenue South.

- 2. The East parcel of the Site is approximately one acre in size. Approximately one-half of the East parcel is covered with an abandoned concrete block and wooden building estimated to be 40 years old, most recently used as a warehouse. According to available records, the East parcel was used for vehicle maintenance, fueling and parking from 1947 until the December, 1990.
- 3. The West parcel of the Site is approximately 0.6 acres in area and is currently a paved parking lot. According to available records, the West parcel has been used since at least 1936 for residences, a hotel, a restaurant and a winery. The West parcel is paved and has been used for parking since 1974.
- 4. Metro acquired the Site in February, 1974. In 1964, the eastern portion of the East parcel had been truncated and part of the building demolished associated with the construction of Interstate Five.
- 5. In December, 1990, five underground storage tanks were decommissioned and removed from the East parcel of the Site. Soil sample results indicated the presence of gasoline, kerosene and possibly diesel fuel in the soils beneath the former location of the tanks. At the time of removal, one of the tanks contained fresh motor oil, two contained unleaded gasoline and two contained diesel fuel.
- 6. An environmental assessment of soils around the locations of the five former underground storage tanks (UST) was

CONSENT DECREE - 9
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

:4

conducted in January 1991 and identified soil contamination at three of the cavities. The contaminants reported were constituents of gasoline, kerosene and possibly diesel fuel. In September 1991, a site investigation (Phase 1) was conducted in soils and ground water around the UST areas. Results confirmed soil and ground water contamination on the East parcel and identified new areas of soil contamination beneath the building (Enviros, November 1991). Investigation was expanded (Phase 2) to characterize the site hydrogeologic conditions and determine the presence and extent of hydrocarbon concentrations (Enviros, October 1993).

- 7. The studies identified five generally defined contamination units mostly located on the east parcel of the site. The units were defined by four soil location areas with the fifth unit being ground water. Shallow soil contamination—Units A and B—was identified in both the east and west parcels. Deeper soil contamination—Units C and D—was identified in the east parcel. Ground water contamination—Unit E—was identified underlying a portion of the east parcel. No known off—site contamination has been identified to date.
- 8. The four contaminated soil units are distinguished by location, depth and the nature of contamination. Unit A was defined to consist of very shallow or surface soils sporadically contaminated with oils. Unit B was defined as the clay silty soil located from the ground surface to a depth of 15 feet below

CONSENT DECREE - 10 33262\3\00016.DEC

ground surface and is contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons including oil, diesel and gasoline. Unit C was defined as a deep soil, clay substance and is located from approximately 15 feet below ground surface to above the ground water and is contaminated with diesel and gasoline constituents. Unit D is deep sandy soil, and is generally located below Unit C and generally at depths greater than 15 feet below the surface. Unit D shows contamination due to gasoline constituents. Lastly, the fifth unit is ground water and shows evidence of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination primarily oil and gasoline, with semi-volatile and volatile organic compounds in some locations.

- 9. The investigations described herein were conducted as independent remedial actions, all of which have been provided to Ecology.
- B. Based on these facts, Ecology has determined that a release of hazardous substances at the Site requires remedial action to protect public health and the environment. This Decree sets forth remedial measures necessary to protect public health and the environment and to clean up the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards under Ch. 70.105D RCW.

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Decree contains a program designed to protect public health, welfare and the environment from the known or threatened release of hazardous substances at, on, or from the Site.

required.

17

20

18

22

24

If Ecology determines that a practicable benefit can be achieved from an additional period of operation, then Ecology will provide a written finding and the vapor extraction and sparging will be run for such an additional time period, not to exceed six months.

- Any residual contamination will be monitored as 5. set forth in a Compliance Monitoring Plan to be prepared pursuant to the Cleanup Action Plan.
- All work conducted under this Decree shall be done in C. accordance with Ch. 70.105D RCW and Ch. 173-340 WAC unless otherwise provided herein.
- Ecology will review and approve or comment upon all documents in a timely fashion and will endeavor to provide written responses within the times set forth in the Scope of Work.
- The Cleanup Action Plan assumes that groundwater in the E. shallow aquifer will not be utilized or consumed. A restrictive covenant in a form substantially equivalent to Exhibit F, will be recorded with deed of title upon receipt of the Notice of Completion. Metro will provide Ecology with a copy of the filed covenant. If the shallow aquifer returns to Method A cleanup levels, the restrictive covenant shall not be required and can be removed from the deed of title with Ecology's approval.

4

21

I

VII. GRANT FUNDING

Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.070(3)(a) and Ch. 173-322 WAC, Ecology has made the following determinations:

- A. Metro is a local government required, pursuant to this Consent Decree, to undertake remedial action at the Site;
- B. Metro is prepared to proceed promptly to accomplish the remediation set forth in Exhibit B and Exhibit C, and expenses incurred in implementing the Section VI Work to Be Performed, hereunder are eligible for a local government grant; and
- C. Implementation of this Consent Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards of RCW 70.105D.030(2)(d).

VIII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS

The project coordinator for Ecology is:

Maura S. O'Brien
Department of Ecology
Northwest Regional Office
3190 160th Avenue S.E.
Bellevue, WA 98008-5452
Phone: (206) 649-7249

The project coordinator for Metro is:

Judy A. Riley King County Division of Metropolitan Services 821 Second Avenue, M/S 118 Seattle, WA 98104-1598 Phone: (206) 684-1401

Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of the Parties' respective responsibilities under this Decree. The Ecology project coordinator will be

CONSENT DECREE - 14
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

Ecology's designated representative at the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and the Defendant and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree. The project coordinators may agree to minor modifications of the work to be performed without formal amendment to the Decree. Minor modification, as agreed to and determined by Ecology, will be documented in writing by Ecology.

Any Party may change its respective project coordinator.

Written notification shall be given to the other Party at least

ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

IX. PERFORMANCE

All work performed by Metro pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of an appropriate professional with experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup.

X. ACCESS

A. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representatives shall have the authority to enter and freely move about the Site during normal business hours for the purposes of, <u>inter alia</u>: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the

work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendant's progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the Defendant. While Ecology reserves its right to enter and inspect as set forth in the previous sentences, Ecology will make best efforts to provide Defendant with 48 hours advance notice prior to entering the Site.

B. Ecology, in exercising its rights under this section, shall comply with approved health and safety plans. Ecology shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, videos, and/or test results generated by it or on its behalf, collected for purposes of this Consent Decree, available to Defendant unless an exemption from disclosure is available under Chapter 42.17 RCW.

XI. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING AND AVAILABILITY

- A. Defendant shall make the laboratory quality-assured results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated on its behalf, available to Ecology. Defendant shall make any raw data and quality assurance data pertaining to the Site available to Ecology upon request within ten working days.
- B. If requested by Ecology, Defendant shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Ecology and/or its authorized

CONSENT DECREE - 16 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

representatives of any samples collected by Metro pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Defendant shall use best efforts to provide Ecology with notice at least five (5) working days in advance of any sample collection activity and will give reasonable notice of emergency sampling.

C. Upon request Ecology shall allow either split or duplicate samples to be taken by Defendant or their authorized representatives of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to implementation of this Decree.

XII. PROGRESS REPORTS

Metro shall submit to Ecology written monthly progress reports that describe the actions taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree. The report shall include the following:

- A. A list of on-Site activities that have taken place during the month;
- B. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests;
- C. Description of all deviations from the Scope of Work/Schedule during the current month and any planned deviations in the upcoming month(s);
- D. For any deviations in Scope of Work/Schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining compliance with the schedule.

CONSENT DECREE - 17
33262/3\00016.DEC
Seattle

25

All progress reports shall be submitted by the tenth day of the month in which they are due. The first progress report shall be due within ten (10) days after the first full month following the effective date of this Decree. After receipt of the Notice of Completion, the progress reports, if any, shall be due quarterly, by the 10th day of the first month of the quarter or as set forth in the approved compliance monitoring plan.

XIII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

In accordance with WAC 173-340-850, Metro shall preserve, during the pendency of this Decree and for ten (10) years from the date of issuance of the Notice of Completion described in Section XXVI (Duration of Decree), all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in their possession in connection with the remedial actions called for under this Decree and Metro shall insert in contracts with project contractors a similar record retention requirement. Upon request of Ecology, Defendant shall make all non-archived records in its possession available to Ecology and allow access for review. All requested archived records shall be made available to Ecology within a reasonable period of time.

XIV. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

Any voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall not preclude or adversely impact the continued operation

CONSENT DECREE - 18 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

20

21

22

23

24

25

and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, or monitoring system required under this Decree.

Prior to transfer of any legal or equitable interest in all or any portion of the property, during the effective period of this Decree, the Defendant shall serve a copy of this Decree upon any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in interest of the property.

RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, A. disapproval, proposed modification or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, the parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.
- Upon receipt of the Ecology project coordinator's 1. decision, the Defendant has fourteen (14) days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator of its objection to the decision.
- The Parties' project coordinators, the Site 2. Management Unit Supervisor or Ecology section supervisor and Defendant and Defendant's agents shall then confer in a good faith effort to resolve the dispute. If the Parties cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision signed by the Site Management Unit Supervisor or Ecology section supervisor.
- The Defendant may then request Ecology to review 3. the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the

CONSENT DECREE - 19 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of Ecology's Site Management Unit Supervisor or Section Supervisor decision.

- 4. Upon request, Ecology's Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of the Defendant's request for review. The Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.
- 5. If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to a Defendant or if Ecology fails to issue a final decision within thirty (30) days of receipt of the request for review, the Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to this Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree.
- B. The Court shall review any action or decision of Ecology within the scope of RCW 70.105D.060 on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious. All other actions or decisions of Ecology shall be reviewed on a standard to be determined by the Court.
- C. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used.

20 21

22

23 24

25

- Each Party shall bear its own attorney's fees, expert D. witness fees and other legal costs resulting from utilization of the judicial review provisions of this dispute resolution procedure, unless the Court finds any Party has acted in bad faith or for purposes of delay, in which case the Court may award sanctions.
- Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures E. shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE XVI.

- With the exception set forth in Paragraph C below, and A. minor modifications as set forth in Section VI (Work to be Performed), this Decree may only be amended by order of the Court or by a written stipulation among the Parties to this Decree that is entered by the Court. If the amendment to the Decree is substantial, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by for comment. the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any Party to the Decree.
- The Party seeking amendment shall submit a request for B. an amendment to the other Party (the Responding Party) for The Responding Party shall indicate its approval or approval. disapproval in a timely manner after the request for amendment is received, but no longer than thirty (30) days after receipt of

CONSENT DECREE - 21 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

the request. If additional time is necessary to review the request for amendment, Ecology shall notify Defendant within fifteen (15) days whether an extension to the Schedule is granted, if necessary, during the pendency of the review of the proposed amendment. Reasons for the disapproval of a proposed amendment shall be stated in writing. If the Responding Party does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV of this Decree.

c. Written stipulation by the Parties is not needed for schedule extensions granted pursuant to Section XVII of this Decree. Any such extension shall become effective on the date on which Ecology issues its written approval.

XVII. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

A. An extension of schedule shall be granted by Ecology only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least fifteen (15) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed.

An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension hall not be effective until approved by Ecology or the Court.

logy shall act upon any written request for extension in a

ENT DECREE - 22 v16.DEC

23

timely fashion and, to the extent possible, within seven (7) days of the request. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XVI (Amendment of Consent Decree) when a schedule extension is granted pursuant to this section of the Decree.

- B. The burden shall be on the Defendant seeking the extension to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. cause includes, but is not limited to, the following.
- Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of the Defendant including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by Defendant;
- Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, 2. extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty;
- Delays resulting from changes in permit terms or 3. conditions, or a delay in issuing or refusal to grant a permit needed to implement the requirements of this Decree, provided the Defendant filed a timely application for the permit;
- Judicial review of the issuance, non-issuance, or reissuance of a permit necessary for the continuation of work;

8

6

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2425

- 5. Other circumstances deemed in written notice by Ecology to be exceptional, extraordinary, or otherwise necessary to protect the environment or public interest; or
 - Endangerment as described in Section XVIII.

However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendant.

- C. Ecology may extend the schedule for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days, except where a longer extension is needed as a result of:
- Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner; or
- Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
 - 3. Endangerment as described in Section XVIII.

Ecology shall give the Defendant written notice in a timely fashion of any extensions granted pursuant to this Section.

XVIII. ENDANGERMENT

A. In the event Ecology determines that activities implementing or in noncompliance with this Decree, or any other circumstances or activities, are creating or have the potential to create a danger to the health or welfare of the people on the site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, Ecology may order Defendant to stop further implementation of this Decree

CONSENT DECREE - 24
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order, as appropriate.

- B. During any stoppage of work under this section, the obligations of Defendant with respect to the work under this Decree which is ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVII Schedule of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.
- C. In the event Defendant determines that activities that the Defendant has undertaken in furtherance of this Decree or any other circumstances or activities are creating an endangerment to the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, the Defendant may stop implementation of such activities required under this Decree for such period of time necessary for Ecology to evaluate the situation and determine whether Defendant should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. The Defendant shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and thereafter provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the work stoppage. If Ecology disagrees with the Defendant's determination, it may order the Defendant to resume implementation of this Decree. If

CONSENT DECREE - 25 33262/3/00016.DEC Seattle

25

Ecology concurs with the work stoppage, the Defendant's obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XVI of this Decree, for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

D. Any disagreements pursuant to the clause shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIV.

XIX. OTHER ACTIONS

- A. Ecology reserves its right to institute remedial action(s) at the Site and subsequently pursue cost recovery, and Ecology reserves its right to issue orders and/or penalties or take any other enforcement action pursuant to available statutory authority under the following circumstances:
- Where Defendant fails, after first notice, to comply with any requirement under this Decree, and after written notice (second notice) of default, fails to come into compliance;
- 2. In the event or upon the discovery of a release or threatened release of hazardous substances not addressed by this Decree, which Defendant, after notice, fails to address;
- 3. Upon Ecology's determination that actions beyond the terms of this Decree are necessary to abate an emergency situation which threatens human health or the environment

CONSENT DECREE - 26 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

provided, however, that Ecology will first give the Defendant notice and opportunity to perform such remedial action unless the threat is so immediate as to not permit the giving of notice; or

- 4. Upon the occurrence or discovery of a situation beyond the scope of this Decree as to which Ecology has the authority to perform any remedial action or to issue an order and/or penalty, or to take any enforcement action.
- B. With the exceptions set forth in paragraph A of this Section, in consideration of the Defendant's performance of the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology agrees that, during the period of performance of the terms and conditions of this Decree, compliance with this Decree shall stand in lieu of any and all administrative, legal, and equitable remedies available to Ecology to respond to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances addressed by this Consent Decree.
- C. Ecology reserves all rights regarding the injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources resulting from the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Site.
- D. Ecology reserves the right to take any enforcement action whatsoever, including a cost recovery action, against potentially liable persons not party to this Decree.

XX. INDEMNIFICATION

A. To the extent permitted by law, Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its

CONSENT DECREE - 27 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendant, its employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the intentional or negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

B. To the extent permitted by law, Ecology agrees to indemnify and save and hold the Defendant, its agents and employees harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Ecology, its employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, Ecology will not indemnify Defendant for the reckless or willful misconduct of any Ecology contractors. In addition, Ecology shall not indemnify the Defendant nor save nor hold their employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of the intentional or negligent acts or omissions of the Defendant, or the employees and agents of the Defendant in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

XXI. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions carried out by Defendant pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits. Pursuant to ESSB 6339 PL, Ecology is developing procedures that waive compliance with procedural requirements for certain permits while assuring substantive requirements are met. When those procedures are in place, they will designate procedures for compliance under this Consent Decree, including any applicable requirements for public notice and opportunity for comment. In the interim, due to the limited permits that are required for this remedial action and absence of Ecology's procedures, Metro will obtain the necessary permits under existing procedures.

XXII. OVERSIGHT COSTS

- A. Consistent with the Interagency Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit D, Metro shall reimburse Ecology for the costs Ecology incurs to provide "compensable services" to implement this Decree.
- 1. "Compensable services" shall include, but not be limited to, work related to preparation, negotiation, oversight and administration of this Consent Decree, including the review of the remedial investigation and feasibility study and review and approval of cleanup action plan developed for the Site, and

discussion and processing of any remedial action grant application associated with work to be done under the Decree.

- 2. Costs incurred in providing compensable services to implement this Decree shall include costs of direct activities and agency indirect costs of direct activities. Costs of direct activities shall include: (1) the full costs of the staff person hired to fulfill the obligations of the Interagency Agreement and the cost of equipment necessitated by the hiring of such person, pursuant to the terms of that Agreement; (2) the costs of salary and employee benefits of other Ecology staff who work to implement the Decree; and (3) laboratory costs and contractual costs attributed to this Decree. Indirect costs shall be calculated by multiplying direct salary and employee benefit charges by the current agency-approved indirect rate which will be expressed as a percentage.
- 3. Ecology will submit an invoice to Metro quarterly pursuant to the terms of the Interagency Agreement. Defendant agrees to pay the requested amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs including a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent on this site by involved staff. A general statement of the work performed will be provided upon request. Failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement will result in interest charges.

CONSENT DECREE - 30
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

- 4. The Interagency Agreement shall govern expenses not typically billed to a specific site (i.e., training, leave and holiday time, non-site meetings, and other non-site expenses incurred to retain staff).
- B. Any disputes regarding oversight costs for the Site shall be subject to dispute resolution pursuant to Section XV (Resolution of Disputes) of this Decree.

XXIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that Defendant has failed without good cause to implement any material requirement of this Decree, Ecology may, after notice to Defendant, order Defendant to suspend implementation of this Consent Decree. The Parties shall then attempt in good faith to resolve any dispute pursuant to Section XV (Resolution of Disputes). If the dispute remains unresolved and is submitted to court for resolution, Ecology may, after notice to Defendant, perform any or all portions of the work required under this Decree that remain incomplete. Ecology's position is upheld by the court and Ecology performs all or portions of the remedial action, Defendant shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing work in accordance with Section XXII, provided that Defendant is not obligated under this Section to reimburse Ecology for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree.

.2

0

7

XXIV. FIVE YEAR REVIEW

If remedial actions, including groundwater monitoring, continue at the Site beyond the Notice of Completion, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Site, and to review the data accumulated as a result of site monitoring as often is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. At least once every five years the Parties shall meet to discuss the status of the Site and the need, if any, of further remedial action at the Site. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of the decree.

XXV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site but shall use its best efforts to coordinate all public participation with Defendant. However, Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology and, if agreed to by Ecology, shall do the following:

- A. Prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, and engineering design reports. Ecology will finalize (including editing if necessary) and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings;
- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator at least three days prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local

CONSENT DECREE - 32 33262\3\00016.DEC

24

25

governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendant at least three days prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments;

- C. Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter;
- D. In cooperation with Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories to be located at Ecology's Northwest Regional Office in Bellevue, public libraries in Seattle and the Seattle Chinatown International District Preservation and Development Authority offices. At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases; all quality assured groundwater, surface water, soil sediment, and air monitoring data; remedial actions plans, supplemental remedial planning documents, and all other similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Decree shall be promptly placed in these repositories.

XXVI. DURATION OF DECREE

This Decree shall remain in effect and the remedial action program described in the Decree shall be maintained and continued until the Defendant has received written Notice of Completion from Ecology or the Court determines that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed.

CONSENT DECREE - 33 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

. 1

XXVII. COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF CLEANUP WORK

- A. Defendant shall request that Ecology make a final inspection upon completion of the on site construction, excavation and extraction work but not any long term monitoring as described in Exhibit B. Ecology shall promptly inspect the work to determine if such work has been completed in accordance with this Decree. The inspection shall occur within thirty (30) days of the request unless the Parties agree to a later date.
- B. Ecology shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Completion substantially similar to Exhibit E in writing within fifteen (15) days of the initial inspection, or within sixty (60) days of completing the inspection if sampling or testing is required, that the work has been satisfactorily completed. In the alternative, Ecology shall specify any additional corrective work it believes to be needed. Defendant shall notify Ecology of the completion of any required corrective work. Ecology shall reinspect if it deems it necessary within ten (10) days of the notification from Defendant.
- C. This procedure shall be utilized, in combination with the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XV (Resolution of Disputes) if necessary, until it has been determined that the work has been satisfactorily completed, and a Notice of Completion is issued. Within ten (10) days of determining that the work has been satisfactorily completed, Ecology shall issue a written Notice of Completion to Defendant.

CONSENT DECREE - 34 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

XXVIII. CLAIMS AGAINST THIRD PARTIES

The Defendant expressly reserves its rights to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other potentially liable person not a party to this Consent Decree.

XXIX. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Defendant hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that the Defendant will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account or the Local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree.

Nothing in this Decree shall impair the Metro's right to obtain grant funding from the Local Toxics Control Account under RCW 70.105D.070(3) and ch. 173-322 WAC for any eligible portion of the work required by this Decree. The submission of an application for such grant funding shall not be deemed to be the filing of a "claim" for the purposes of this Section.

XXX. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

XXXI. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

A. In consideration of Defendant's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, the state covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against Defendant regarding contamination covered by this Decree. Compliance with

CONSENT DECREE - 35 33262/3\00016.DEC Seattle

this Decree shall stand in lieu of any and all administrative, legal, and equitable remedies and enforcement actions available to the state against Defendant for the release or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by the terms of this Decree.

This covenant is strictly limited in its application to the Site specifically defined in Exhibit A and to those hazardous substances of which Ecology has notice.

B. The State of Washington may exercise its full legal authority to address releases of hazardous substances at the Site, notwithstanding the covenant not to sue set forth above in the event factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present previously unknown threats to human health or the environment.

XXXII. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

The Defendant shall not be liable for claims of contribution by other persons not signatories to the Decree regarding matters addressed in this Decree. The percentage of response costs paid by Defendant under this Decree shall in no way constitute an admission as to an appropriate allocation of liability, if any, at the Site. This section shall apply but is not limited to successors in interest who assume obligations under this Decree.

XXXIII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

By agreeing to entry of this Decree, the Defendant and Ecology agree to abide by its terms. While the Parties believe

CONSENT DECREE - 36
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

25

1

3

4

that the recitals contained in this Decree are accurate the execution and performance of the Decree is not however, an admission by the Defendant of any fact or liability for any purpose other than as a foundation for the entry of this Decree. Defendant's performance under the Decree is undertaken without waiver of or prejudice to any claims or defenses whatsoever that may be asserted in the event of further administrative proceedings or litigation not associated with, or related to, this Decree. Nor is the execution or performance of the Decree agreement by Defendant to take any action at the Site other than that described in this Decree. Defendant expressly reserves its rights to seek to recover any cost incurred in implementing this Decree from any other potentially liable person.

XXXIV. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). As a result of this process, Ecology has found that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site, in compliance with applicable cleanup standards.

If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this

Decree, it shall be null and void at the option of either Party

and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs

CONSENT DECREE - 37 33262\3\00016.DEC Seattle

and without prejudice. In such an event, no Party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree. Date
Sept 2, 1994 Cleanup Program Gary Locke King County Executive MAURICE H. EFSTEM SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE King County Superior Court

CONSENT DECREE - 38
33262\3\00016.DEC
Seattle

A certain tract of land situated in King County, Washington and more particularly described as follows:

PARCEL NO. 1

Lots 1 and 8 inclusive, Block 3, Terry's 5th Addition to the City of Seattle, according to plat recorded in Volume 2 of Plats, page 55 in King County, Washington;

EXCEPT the south 12 feet of said Lots 6, 7 and 8, condemned in King County Superior Court Case No. 52652 for widening of Dearborn Street as provided by Ordinance No. 13320 of the City of Seattle, and

EXCEPT the east 112 feet thereof condemned in King County Superior Court Cause No. 600725 for Primary State Highway 1.

PARCEL NO. 2

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of Turner's Supplemental Plat of the east half of Block 52, D.S. Maynard's Plat of the Town (now City) of Seattle, according to plat recorded in Volume 1 of the Plats, page 169, in King County, Washington;

EXCEPT the south 12 feet of said Lots 1 to 4, condemned in King County Superior Court Cause No. 13320 of the City of Seattle.

EXHIBIT B

Cleanup Action Plan

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE

LAW OFFICES

2600 CENTURY SQUARE * 1501 FOURTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1688 (206) 622-3150 * FAX: (206) 628-7699