2014 Annual Report Groundwater Monitoring

TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176 24205 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043



Prepared for:

TOC Holdings Co. 2737 West Commodore Way Seattle, WA 98199

Prepared by:

JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc. now Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West, Ste. 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036 Phone: 425.977.4994

September 17, 2014

Sign-off Sheet



Please note that effective May 9, 2014, the employees of **JBR Environmental Consultants**, **Inc. (JBR)** have joined **Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec)**. You will continue to see the same people, doing business with you the same way, and with the same goal: to safely deliver the highest level of service while always striving to exceed your expectations.

This document entitled, *2014 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report*, was prepared by Stantec on behalf of **TOC Holdings Co. (TOC)** for specific application to TOC Facility No. 01-176 in Mountlake Terrace, Washington. Services performed by JBR (now Stantec) for this project were conducted in accordance with the Environmental Services Contract between **HydroCon Environmental, LLC (HydroCon)** and Stantec. Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between JBR and HydroCon. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

This document was prepared under the supervision and direction of the key staff identified below.

Kim S. Vik

Prepared by:

Kim Vik, LG Project Geologist

tutonela Vadan

Prepared by:

Antonela Vadan, LG Project Geologist

the Bros

Reviewed by:

Rebekah Brooks, LG, LHg Hydrogeologist / Project Manager









Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUC	CTION	1.1
2.0	SITE DESC	RIPTION & BACKGROUND	2.3
2.1	Site Desci	iption	2.3
2.2	Site Back	ground	2.3
3.0	2014 ANN	IUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT	3.5
3.1	Geologic	Framework	3.5
3.2	Hydroged	blogic Framework	3.6
3.3	Results		3.8
	3.3.1	Groundwater Level and LNAPL Measurement Results	3.8
	3.3.2	Groundwater Quality Results	3.11
3.4	Groundw	ater Level And Contaminant Trends	3.13
	3.4.1	Upper Water-Bearing Zone	3.14
	3.4.2	Intermediate Water-Bearing Zone	3.15
	3.4.3	LNAPL	3.17
4.0	FUTURE G	ROUNDWATER TASKS	4.1
5.0	REFERENC	ES	5.2

List of Tables

Table 1:	Summary of 2014 First Quarter/Annual Groundwater Quality Results, March - April 2014
Table 2:	Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation & Quality Results, June 1992 - April 2014
Table 3:	Summary of Historical Groundwater Quality Results, Common Fuel Additives and Blending Compounds, September 2005 - April 2014
Table 4:	Summary of LNAPL Laboratory Results for Herman Property, March 2014

List of Figures

- Figure 1: Project Location
- Figure 2: Site Map
- Figure 3: Locations of Wells and Remediation Systems
- Figure 4: Groundwater Elevation Contours, Shallow Zone, March 24, 2014 (System Off)
- Figure 5: Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone, March 24, 2014 (System Off)
- Figure 6: Groundwater Elevation Contours, Deep Zone, March 24, 2014 (System Off)



List of Figures (continued)

- Figure 7: Shallow (MW62), Intermediate (MW20 and MW32) and Deep (MW53) Zone Groundwater Elevations, December 2006 - March 2014
- Figure 8: Shallow (MW67), Intermediate (MW63) and Deep (MW64) Zone Groundwater Elevations, December 2006 - March 2014
- Figure 9: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, March 2014
- Figure 10: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, March 2014
- Figure 11: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, March 2014
- Figure 12: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, March 2014
- Figure 13: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Wells MW02, MW04 and MW12, June 1992 March 2014
- Figure 14: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Wells MW02, MW04 and MW12, June 1992 March 2014
- Figure 15: Groundwater Elevation and GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Well MW02, June 1992 March 2014
- Figure 16: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Selected Intermediate Zone Wells, September 2005 - March 2014
- Figure 17: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Selected Intermediate Zone Wells, September 2005 - March 2014
- Figure 18: Groundwater Elevation and GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone Well MW48, December 2006 March 2014
- Figure 19: Total Lead Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone Wells MW31, MW32 and MW91, December 2005 March 2014
- Figure 20: Groundwater Elevation and LNAPL Thickness, Intermediate Zone Well MW48, December 2006 March 2014
- Figure 21: Groundwater Elevation and LNAPL Thickness, Shallow Zone Wells MW29 and MW71, December 2005 March 2014

List of Appendices

- Appendix A: Stantec Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan
- Appendix B: Laboratory Analytical Data



Abbreviations & Acronyms

μg/L AO bgs BTEX DRPH Ecology EDB EDC EPA Friedman & Bruya ft GRPH HydroCon JBR LNAPL MDL MPE MRL MTCA MW NWTPH-Dx NWTPH-Gx ORPH PAH RI/FS ROW SES Stantec TOC TP UST	micrograms per liter Agreed Order below ground surface benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons Washington State Department of Ecology ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane) ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Friedman & Bruya, Inc. feet gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons HydroCon Environmental, LLC JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc. light non-aqueous phase liquid method detection limit multi-phase extraction method reporting limit methyl tert-butyl ether Model Toxics Control Act monitoring well not measured Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for diesel-range organics Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for gasoline-range organics oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study right-of-way SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. TOC Holdings Co. top of pump underground storage tank
VOC	volatile organic compound

List of Properties

Drake	24309 56th Avenue West; Mountlake Terrace, WA
Herman	24311 56th Avenue West; Mountlake Terrace, WA
Shin/Choi	24325 56th Avenue West; Mountlake Terrace, WA
TOC	24205 56th Avenue West; Mountlake Terrace, WA
TOC/Farmasonis	24225 56th Avenue West; Mountlake Terrace, WA



Executive Summary

This report documents the 2014 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Event activities conducted in March-April, 2014 associated with interim remedial actions currently being implemented at TOC Holdings Co. (TOC) Facility No. 01-176 located in Mountlake Terrace, Washington. The interim remedial actions are being implemented within the Interim Remedial Action Project Area, which encompasses the following properties, as defined in the Agreed Order (AO) No. DE 8661 between the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) and TOC: 1) TOC Property, located at 24205 56th Avenue West, 2) TOC/Farmasonis Property, located at 24225 56th Avenue West, and 4) portions of the 56th Avenue West right-of-way (ROW). These properties constitute the TOC Site, as defined by the AO.

Activities conducted during March 2014 for the 2014 annual groundwater monitoring event, JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc. (JBR now Stantec Consulting Services Inc. [Stantec]) has been hired by HydroCon to take over environmental consulting responsibilities on the project. The activities conducted during January and February 2014 described in this report were completed by SoundEarth Strategies (SES). Since that time, this report has been prepared by Stantec to meet reporting requirements of the AO.

Groundwater monitoring for the 2014 annual event was conducted at 83 monitoring and remediation wells at the Site. Groundwater monitoring activities included measurement of depth to groundwater or depth to light non-aqueous liquid (LNAPL) at each of the existing wells, where possible, and collection of groundwater samples for laboratory analyses. Laboratory analyses included: gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH); diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons (DRPH); motor oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (ORPH); volatile organic compounds (VOCs; e.g., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes [BTEX]); polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs); total and dissolved lead; and fuel additives and blending compounds, including ethylene dibromide (EDB; 1,2-dibromoethane); ethylene dichloride (EDC; 1,2-dichloroethane) , and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE).

Data collected during the 2014 annual event confirmed the presence of three groundwater bearing zones in the heterogeneous, glacial deposits found at the Site, including from ground surface downward: a shallow, perched groundwater zone is encountered at about 5 to 20 feet (ft) below ground surface (bgs); an unconfined, intermediate zone ranging in depth between about 20 and 60 ft bgs; and a deep zone that appears to be interconnected with the intermediate zone at depths (based on well screens) greater than 60 ft bgs.

Groundwater contamination on the TOC, TOC/Farmasonis and Drake Properties appears to have originated from historical gasoline releases on the TOC Property. It is unknown at this time whether groundwater contamination on the downgradient Herman and Shin/Choi Properties also originated from the TOC Property or from historical petroleum-related activities at those properties. As evidenced by downward vertical gradients and the resulting contaminant distribution, gasoline-related petroleum constituents appear to have migrated downward from the shallow to the intermediate groundwater zones below the TOC Property over time and then migrated downgradient with groundwater flow. Minimal residual groundwater contamination remains in the shallow zone likely due to remediation in this zone between 1996 and 2005. Based on an evaluation of trends in the predominant contaminant concentrations (e.g., GRPH, benzene, and to a lesser extent, lead), concentrations of petroleum constituents in the intermediate groundwater zone appear to have decreased over time and only remain at a few locations on the western side of the TOC/Farmasonis Property. The reduction in groundwater concentrations can likely be attributed to operation of three remediation systems: one for the TOC Property (Unit 1), one for the TOC/Farmasonis Property (Unit 2), and one for the



Drake Property (Unit 3). Both Units 2 and 3 are located within a single compound on the TOC/Farmasonis Property. Comparison of contaminant concentrations with groundwater levels over time indicate that an inverse relationship appears to occur, implying that residual contamination in the soil is liberated when groundwater levels decrease during seasonal low periods. Vertical upward gradients between the deep and intermediate zones downgradient of the TOC Property appear to be effective in inhibiting further downward migration of contamination and effectively bounding the extent of vertical contamination.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc. (JBR), now Stantec Consulting Inc. (Stantec), has prepared this report to provide the results of the 2014 annual groundwater monitoring event conducted at and in the vicinity of the TOC Holdings Co. (TOC) Facility No. 01-176 in Mountlake Terrace, Washington (**Figures 1 and 2**). The ongoing groundwater monitoring is being conducted in accordance with a Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Agreed Order (AO) No. DE 8661 between Ecology and TOC, entered October 28, 2011, the Interim Remedial Action Work Plan (SES 2011), included as Exhibit C to the AO, and updated information provided in Stantec's *Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan* (**Appendix A**). As defined by the AO, the following properties constitute the "TOC Site:"

- 1) TOC Property: 24205 56th Avenue West,
- 2) TOC/Farmasonis Property: 24225 56th Avenue West,
- 3) Drake Property: 24309 56th Avenue West, and
- 4) portions of the 56th Avenue West Right-of-Way (ROW).

The scope of the 2014 annual groundwater monitoring event originally included also the two neighboring properties directly to the south of the Drake Property, namely the Herman Property (located at 24311 56th Avenue West) and the Shin/Choi Property (located at 24325 56th Avenue West). Groundwater from wells on the Herman Property was sampled under an access agreement between the property owner and TOC, dated May 6, 2013. This annual event did not include groundwater sampling at the Shin/Choi Property. The prior access agreement for the Shin/Choi Property was between the prior consultant (SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. [SES]) and the property owner. At the time of the annual event, TOC was in the process of negotiating a new agreement, so access and permission to sample the wells was not received in time for the event; however, groundwater level measurements were conducted under a verbal agreement with the property owner. Properties included within and neighboring the Site and are identified on **Figure 2** and in the List of Properties (page i).

The purpose of the 2014 annual groundwater monitoring event was: to evaluate environmental quality, flow direction, gradient of groundwater beneath the Site; to assess trends in groundwater concentrations and how these are influenced by the three multi-phase extraction (MPE) remediation systems being operated at the TOC Property, TOC/Farmasonis Property, and Drake Property for the interim remedial action; and to evaluate compliance with Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) cleanup regulations.

Quarterly groundwater monitoring of selected wells has been conducted at the TOC Site and southern neighboring properties from 2005 through the present. SES conducted the site characterization, groundwater monitoring, and remediation activities at the Site until the beginning of 2014. Stantec has been hired by HydroCon Environmental, LLC (HydroCon), on behalf of TOC, to assume the environmental consulting responsibilities on the project. The evaluation results obtained prior to 2014 and provided with this report have been modified in part by Stantec, where necessary, to accurately represent the data, but the data and data quality evaluations have not been changed.



The 2014 annual groundwater monitoring event was conducted from March 20 through April 3, 2014, and included all monitoring wells, as summarized in **Table 1** and shown on **Figure 3**, with the exception of the Shin/Choi Property wells. This report summarizes groundwater elevation and groundwater quality data for the 2014 annual event, transmits analytical laboratory data packages, and presents an evaluation of data collected during first quarter 2014 for the groundwater interim action in the shallow, intermediate and deep groundwater-bearing zones relative to compliance with defined performance criteria under MTCA. Interim remedial action groundwater monitoring will continue to be conducted and reported on a quarterly basis. Data from this annual event and previous quarterly monitoring events are used to assess contaminant distribution and groundwater level trends for the Site and adjacent properties, as relevant.

The groundwater level measurements and groundwater quality data for the 2014 annual monitoring event at each groundwater monitoring location are provided in **Table 1**. Groundwater level measurements and groundwater quality data collected at the Site and southern neighboring properties for the 2014 annual event and previous sampling events since June 1992 are provided in **Table 2**. Groundwater quality data for the common fuel additives for the 2014 annual event and historical events since 1992 are provided in **Table 3**. **Table 4 provides** the analytical results for the light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) for the sample collected on the Herman Property during the annual event. Site location, groundwater monitoring and remediation well locations, groundwater elevation contour maps, groundwater concentrations maps and groundwater concentration/water level trend plots for the primary chemicals of concern are provided on **Figures 1 through 22**. Updated procedures for collection of water level measurements and groundwater samples and methods for evaluation are provided in Stantec's *Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan* in **Appendix A**. The laboratory analytical reports for the March-April 2014 groundwater sampling event are provided in **Appendix B**. Data validation evaluations are documented and maintained in the project files at the Stantec office located in Lynnwood, Washington.



2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION & BACKGROUND

2.1 Site Description

As described above, the Site encompasses three adjacent properties located on 56th Avenue West, in Mountlake Terrace, Washington, and a portion of the 56th Avenues ROW adjacent to these properties. The Site is located in a mixed residential and commercial area, and is bounded to the north by 242nd Street Southwest and Pacific Pipe and Pump, LLC; to the east by 56th Avenue West and residential properties; and to the west by residential properties, including the Mountlake Senior Property (**Figure 2**). The southern property boundary of Shin/Choi Property, located to the south of the Site as described above, borders the Snohomish County/King County boundary. Surface topography slopes gently towards the south.

Except for the building that houses the remediation system (see Section 2.2); the TOC Property is currently vacant land. The TOC/Farmasonis Property consists of one building, which is currently unoccupied, a fenced remediation system compound housing two remediation systems (see Section 2.2), an asphalt parking area, and vacant graveled and vegetated land (western side). The Drake Property consists of one building, currently occupied by the Getaway Tavern, and asphalt and gravel parking areas. The Herman Property consists of one building (currently occupied by Dave's Auto Service), an asphalt parking area, and vegetated land (western side). The Shin/Choi Property consists of one building, currently occupied by the EZ Corner Mart, and an asphalt parking area. The Mountlake Senior Property is located directly west of the Shin/Choi Property and is currently vegetated and vacant.

2.2 Site Background

From approximately 1968 to 1991, the TOC Property was used for operation of a gasoline service station. The facility included three underground storage tanks (USTs), six fuel dispensers, and associated product delivery lines. The USTs, pump island and ancillary equipment were removed from the TOC Property in the early 1990s and petroleum constituents in the form of gasoline range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH), benzene, and total xylenes were observed in soil and groundwater in excess of the applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels. Available information regarding historical operations on the TOC/Farmasonis and Drake Properties do not include the presence of USTs; however, USTs on the Herman and Shin Choi Properties are known to have been present historically and may still exist on these properties. Available information on the historical or current locations of USTs and associated equipment at the Site and the adjacent properties is shown on **Figure 3**.

In 1996, a dual-phase extraction remediation system (former DPE system) was installed at the TOC Property to remediate shallow zone groundwater impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons and remove light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL). This system operated until June 2005 and reportedly effectively remediated shallow zone groundwater at the Site (SES 2013). In 2006, gasoline contamination was observed in groundwater downgradient of the TOC Property to the south and west. In October 2011, TOC and Ecology entered into the AO for performance of a remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) and interim remedial actions at the Site. In 2011-2012, three remediation systems were installed to remediate residual petroleum-contaminated groundwater, soil vapor and LNAPL, if present, in the intermediate groundwater zone beneath the TOC Site, as follows: TOC Property (Unit 1), TOC/Farmasonis Property (Unit 2) and Drake Property (Unit 3).



As shown on **Figure 3**, Unit 1 is located on the TOC Property and Units 2 and 3 are located within a single fenced compound on the TOC/Farmasonis Property. Operation of these remediation systems is ongoing.

Between 1992 and 2013, subsurface investigations were conducted by TOC at the Site to determine the extent of petroleum contamination. To date, a total of 107 monitoring wells have been installed at the Site and on the two southern neighboring properties for monitoring and remediation purposes. Of these 107 wells, six have been decommissioned by the over-drilling method.



3.0 2014 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT

The 2014 annual groundwater monitoring event included measurement of water levels at all accessible groundwater monitoring wells and recovery wells at the Site and on the Herman and Shin/Choi Properties (**Figure 2**); measurement of LNAPL thickness, where observed; and collection of groundwater quality samples from selected wells. Groundwater from 83 wells was sampled during the 2014 annual sampling event from the following monitoring well (MW) locations: MW02 through MW06; MW08 through MW12; MW15; MW16; MW18 through MW20; MW22 through MW32; MW34 through MW41; MW43; MW48 through MW51; MW53 through MW68; MW70; MW75 through MW81; MW84 through MW93; MW95 though MW98; MW100 through MW104; MW106; and MW107. Of the total of 107 wells at the Site, twenty-four wells were not sampled during this event, as follows : six wells that were previously decommissioned (MW01, MW07, MW14, MW17, MW21, and MW83); fourteen wells that were dry or had insufficient water for sampling(MW13, MW33, MW42, MW44, MW45 though MW47, MW52, MW69, MW82, MW83, MW94, MW99, and MW105); and four wells located on Shin/Choi Property for which permission to collect groundwater samples was not received prior to this event (MW71 through MW74).

A summary of the groundwater level measurements and groundwater quality results conducted for each sample location included in the 2014 annual event is provided in **Table 1**. Sections 3.1 and 3.2 summarize the geologic and hydrogeologic frameworks, respectively. Section 3.3 summarizes the groundwater level and LNAPL evaluation results and evaluations of groundwater flow. The groundwater quality data, contaminant distribution and trends are presented in Section 3.4.

3.1 Geologic Framework

The TOC Site is situated on the glacial upland plateau between Seattle and Everett, Washington, known as the Intercity Plateau (SES 2013). The regional geology in the area of the TOC Site consists of Pleistocene-age glacial till locally overlain by pockets of glacial recessional outwash sand.

The recessional outwash sand, which ranges in thickness from about 25 to 300 feet (ft), is generally loose to medium dense sand and gravel with little or no fines, and may include ice contact deposits and ablation till. The glacial till, which represents the ground moraine of the Vashon glaciations, ranges from a few ft to over 50 ft thick and consists of dense to very dense gravelly, sandy silt to silty sand with variable amounts of clay, cobbles, and boulders. Groundwater is perched above and within the glacial till layer. Bedrock underlying the area consists of tertiary sediment rocks (sandstone, shale, or conglomerate) over 900 ft deep beneath the Site; therefore, bedrock is not relevant for the TOC Site characterization.

Based on the results of previous investigations conducted between 1991 and 2013, subsurface soil beneath the Site consists primarily of local anthropogenic fill overlying Vashon-age glacial deposits. As reported in the *Draft RI Report* (SES 2013), the subsurface soil beneath the Site is interpreted to consist of the following geologic units, from youngest to oldest: artificial (anthropogenic) fill, Vashon recessional outwash deposits, Vashon glacial till and Vashon outwash deposits.



3.2 Hydrogeologic Framework

In the *Draft RI Report* (SES 2013), three separate water-bearing zones were identified at the TOC Site, based on the lithology, well screen intervals, and groundwater level measurements. Stantec has re-evaluated these data as part of an update and revision of the conceptual site model, as required by Ecology, based on its comments on the *Draft RI Report*. The results of the conceptual site model update will be provided to Ecology in a separate, upcoming deliverable and incorporated into the final RI Report.

Stantec agrees with SES that three water-bearing zones can be identified at the Site; however, these zones do not appear to be separate, but are interconnected, as evidenced by the geology, groundwater elevations and contaminant distribution data. Also based on Stantec's re-evaluation of the conceptual site model, several wells were re-assigned to a different water-bearing zone, as shown on **Table 1**. Stantec's conceptualization of the hydrogeology is limited by SES's and other consultants' geologic interpretations because first-hand observations were not possible.

Based on the re-evaluation of the available data, the three groundwater-bearing zones were defined as follows:

- Shallow Water-Bearing Zone (or Shallow Zone): The shallow zone is a perched zone in the artificial fill or upper portion of the glacial till, within about 5 to 20 ft of the ground surface throughout the Site, depending on seasonal fluctuations of the water table. The saturation in these horizons can be seasonally discontinuous, as evidenced by some monitoring wells that are seasonally dry (e.g., MW03, MW04, MW05, MW38 and MW79 during the December 2013 event), while others in the same season contain water. The primary source of recharge to the shallow zone is infiltration of natural precipitation. Other potential sources of recharge to the shallow zone reportedly included a former topographically closed depression, where surface runoff previously ponded, and a former stormwater infiltration pit, both of which were located in the southeast portion of the TOC Property (Figure 3). According to a TOC blueprint, the stormwater infiltration pit measured 10 ft square by 4 ft deep, and was backfilled with coarse gravel (Time Oil Co. 1975). According to previous investigations, the stormwater infiltration pit is located in proximity to MW18 and MW33. Surface runoff intercepted by a catch basin located near the southeast corner of the paved parking area formerly discharged into the stormwater infiltration pit via a 6-inch-diameter drain pipe, which has been capped. Stantec was unable to confirm the location of the closed depression or the stormwater infiltration pit at the TOC Property during March 2014 site work.
- Intermediate Water-Bearing Zone (or Intermediate Zone): The intermediate zone is an unconfined groundwater zone that is observed at depths between approximately 20 and 60 ft below ground surface (bgs). As reported by SES in 2013, this zone consists of glacial till deposits between approximately 20 and 40 ft bgs and discontinuous sand and/or gravel-rich glacial deposits within the lower portion of the glacial till between approximately 40 and 60 ft bgs. As discussed further in Section 3.3, in the area of the TOC Property, groundwater elevations in the intermediate zone appear to be mounded such that the upper boundary of the intermediate zone appears closer to the base of the shallow zone in the vicinity of the former stormwater infiltration pit and UST excavation fill. Explanations for the observed groundwater mounding are related to the deposition of low permeable deposits near the downgradient edge of the property and/or from artificial recharge within the backfill of the former UST cavity, depression, and infiltration pit. The low permeable deposits in the upper portion of the intermediate groundwater bearing zone impede the vertical percolation of water



into the deeper groundwater zones and decrease the horizontal flux of the groundwater in the immediate vicinity. The prevalence of low permeable deposits correlates with the location of steeper horizontal hydraulic gradients in this area. In downgradient areas where the intermediate zone consists primarily of higher permeability units (i.e., sands and gravels), the thickness of unsaturated materials and the distance between the shallow and intermediate zones increase. The higher permeable deposits contribute to a flattening of the horizontal hydraulic gradient. The intermediate zone appears to receive recharge from natural precipitation both directly and indirectly via the shallow zone. A comparison of groundwater elevations and analytical data suggests that the intermediate zone is considered to be the current primary contaminant transport pathway at the Site.

• Deep Water-Bearing Zone (or Deep Zone): The deep zone consists of glacial sand and gravel located at depths of more than 60 ft bgs, based on deep well screen intervals. Within and in the vicinity of the artificial recharge area on the TOC Property, the groundwater elevation data indicate that downward vertical gradients appear to exist between all three zones. In downgradient areas, the groundwater elevation data suggest that vertical gradients shift from downward (between the shallow and intermediate zones) to neutral or slightly upward (between the intermediate and deep zones). Based on these observations and the presence of fully saturated well screens, these groundwater unit that includes both the intermediate and deep groundwater zones or could represent semi-confined conditions in a separate, but interconnected groundwater zone; however, the presence of a low permeability confining unit between the two zones is not obvious in the available data. The presence of upward vertical gradients between the deep and intermediate zones appear to be effective in inhibiting downward migration of contamination in downgradient areas and effectively bounding the extent of vertical contamination.

Based on a comparison of site specific lithology and groundwater elevations collected during multiple sampling events, many of the wells at the Site appear to cross multiple groundwater bearing zones as a result of the long screened intervals and may create a conduit for cross-contamination via the well casing and screens. At these locations, groundwater elevations do not correlate with a single, unique zone and appear to reflect some combination of the two intersected zones. Wells that are screened in multiple intervals are not considered to be representative of a single, unique groundwater zone and therefore, were not used for groundwater elevation contouring.



3.3 Groundwater Monitoring Results

Groundwater and LNAPL levels were measured during the 2014 annual event at all current and accessible monitoring wells. Groundwater quality samples were collected from the wells shown on **Table 1**, as specified in the AO and attached Interim Removal Action Work Plan (SES 2011). Discussions of the groundwater monitoring results are provided in the following sections.

3.3.1 Groundwater Level and LNAPL Measurement Results

Depth to groundwater and LNAPL (where present) was measured in all accessible monitoring and remediation wells on March 24, 2014, while the remediation systems were turned off for 24 hours and on April 3, 2014, while the remediation systems were operating to evaluate the performance of the remediation systems. Information on performance of the remediation systems are provided in quarterly operation and maintenance reports. After opening the well caps and allowing the water levels to equilibrate with atmospheric pressure, Stantec measured and recorded groundwater and LNAPL levels relative to a survey reference point at a marked location at the top of the well casing (typically the north side) to an accuracy of 0.01 ft. The measurements were conducted using a Solinst Model 122 electronic water level meter with an oil/water interface probe, and recorded on the appropriate field forms. The groundwater and LNAPL level measurements and calculated elevations are provided on **Table 1** for the 2014 annual event.

Depth to groundwater measurements were conducted at all existing wells with the following exceptions. The top of pump was encountered before groundwater or LNAPL at several well locations, including remediation wells MW24, MW96, MW97, and MW101 gauged while the remediation systems were operating; remediation wells MW69 and MW93 gauged while the systems were turned off; and remediation wells MW18, MW57, MW94, and MW99 during both system on and system off measurement events. Measurements for these wells are documented as "top of pump" (TP) in **Tables 1 and 2**, and as "not measured" (NM) in **Figures 4 through 6**.

Additionally, groundwater and LNAPL levels could not be measured at monitoring well MW84 during both system on and system off measurement events and at remediation wells MW57, MW69, and MW98 only while the systems were operating because cars were parked over the wells and were therefore, inaccessible. Groundwater and LNAPL levels could not be measured in 2-inch remediation wells MW27, MW29, MW31, MW41, and MW70, because the well diameter is not large enough to allow the water interface probe to fit down the well with the pump tubing.

LNAPL was encountered during the 2014 annual event in three wells. The wells and the measured LNAPL thicknesses were: MW71, 0.29 ft; MW72, 0.49 ft; and MW102, 1.63 ft, based on measurements conducted on March 24, 2014, when the remediation systems were not operating. Wells MW71 and MW72 are located on the Shin/Choi Property and well MW102 is located on the Herman Property. Monitoring wells at the Site and on the neighboring properties will continue to be monitored for the presence of LNAPL during future quarterly monitoring events, as long as access is allowed. A summary of LNAPL observations and thicknesses is provided in **Tables 1 and 2**.



LNAPL, lighter than water, slightly depresses the groundwater table as a function of the specific gravity difference between the two media. If LNAPL was measured in any monitoring wells, the reported groundwater elevations shown on **Tables 1 and 2** were normalized using the industry-standard specific gravity estimate of 0.8 for LNAPL as gasoline relative to a specific gravity of 1.0 for water.

The following equation was used for the normalization:

Normalized Groundwater Elevation (feet) = $[(H_{TOC} - H_W) * 1.0] + [(H_W - H_{LNAPL}) * 0.8]$

where H_{TOC} is the top of well casing elevation, H_W is the measured depth to groundwater below the top of casing, and H_{LNAPL} is the measured depth to LNAPL below the top of casing.

The measurement and evaluation results are presented in the following sections. The measurement procedures are provided in **Appendix A**. Groundwater level and LNAPL measurements for the 2014 annual event are provided in **Table 1**. Historical groundwater level and LNAPL measurements for groundwater monitoring events since June 1992 are provided in **Table 2**.

3.3.1.1 Shallow Water-Bearing Zone

Groundwater elevations measured in the shallow water-bearing zone (shallow zone) wells were contoured and used to identify groundwater flow direction and hydraulic gradients. **Figure 4** shows the groundwater elevation contours for the shallow zone based on the groundwater measurements obtained on March 2, 2014, while the remediation system was turned off. Note that well screens in MW82, MW88, and MW100 appear to intersect both the shallow and intermediate zone; therefore, groundwater elevations may be lower than other shallow zone wells due to the influence from the intermediate zone and were not used for groundwater elevation contouring.

Groundwater flow in the shallow zone appears to be predominantly to the south-southwest based on groundwater elevations measured during the 2014 annual event, with a horizontal hydraulic gradient ranging from 0.01 to 0.1 ft/ft across the Site. Steeper hydraulic gradients occur across the TOC and TOC/Farmasonis Properties, and flatter gradients occur across the southern portion of the TOC/Farmasonis Property and Drake Property, as shown on **Figure 4**. The differences in horizontal hydraulic gradients across the Site appear to be related to the presence of lower permeability deposits on the northern and southern portions of the Site and the prevalence of higher permeability deposits in the central portion of the Site. Overall, the data collected over the previous year show that the shallow zone groundwater levels are influenced by seasonal fluctuations up to an average of about 6 ft, and the groundwater flow patterns appear to vary slightly with the seasons from a southwesterly to a south-southwesterly direction. However, groundwater levels measured by SES prior to the March-April 2014 measurement event appear to have been conducted when the system was operating and therefore, may not represent baseline (i.e., non-pumping) groundwater flow patterns.



3.3.1.2 Intermediate Water-Bearing Zone

Groundwater elevations measured in the intermediate water-bearing zone (intermediate zone) wells were contoured and used to identify groundwater flow direction and hydraulic gradients. **Table 1** lists the groundwater elevations obtained during the 2014 annual event. **Table 2** lists the historical groundwater elevations obtained since 1992. **Figure 5** shows the groundwater elevation contours using the groundwater measurements from the intermediate zone wells obtained when the remediation system was turned off. Note that several wells screened in the intermediate water-bearing zone also intersect shallow zone conditions (wells MW08, MW24, MW27, MW37, MW38, and MW43), and were not used for groundwater elevation contouring. Groundwater levels in these wells appear to be influenced by the shallow zone, and groundwater elevations are typically higher than other intermediate zone wells.

Similar to the shallow zone, groundwater flow in the intermediate zone appears to be generally to the southsouthwest based on groundwater elevations measured during the 2014 annual event with horizontal hydraulic gradients ranging from 0.02 to 0.28 ft/ft across the Site. As discussed in Section 3.2 and shown on **Figure 5**, steepening in the slope of the horizontal gradient is apparent in the vicinity of the TOC Property's southern boundary and is thought to be related to mounding of groundwater in the area of the TOC Property due to the influence of artificial recharge associated with a stormwater infiltration pit, depression, and fill from the UST soil excavation on the property and the presence of a low permeability material. As groundwater moves downgradient and encounters higher permeability layers (e.g., gravels and sands), the horizontal hydraulic gradient flattens significantly as is evident from the potentiometric surface on **Figure 5**.

Overall, the data collected to date show that the intermediate zone groundwater levels can fluctuate up to about 5 ft seasonally. Data collected by SES in 2013 indicate that water flow patterns are not significantly influenced by seasonal variations in precipitation, but the interpretation is based on evaluation of data that appear to have been collected when the remediation systems were operating.

3.3.1.3 Deep Water-Bearing Zone

Groundwater elevations measured in the deep water-bearing zone (deep zone) were contoured and used to identify groundwater flow direction and hydraulic gradients. The wells included in the deep zone contouring include the deepest screened wells. **Table 1** lists the groundwater elevations obtained during the 2014 annual event. **Table 2** lists the historical groundwater elevations obtained since 1992. **Figure 6** shows the groundwater elevation contours for the deep zone using the groundwater measurements obtained while the remediation system was turned off.

Groundwater flow in the deep zone appears to be generally to the south-southwest based on groundwater elevations measured during the 2014 annual event. The horizontal hydraulic gradient is relatively flat at an average of about 0.013 ft/ft likely because the wells are screened in high permeability material. Overall, the data collected to date show that seasonal variations in groundwater elevations in the deep zone are similar to those in the intermediate zone.

As discussed in Section 3.2, all groundwater zones appear to be interconnected. Within and in the vicinity of the artificial recharge area on the TOC Property, the groundwater elevation data indicate that downward vertical gradients appear to exist between all three zones, as shown on **Figure 7** for wells MW62 (shallow), wells MW20 and MW32 (intermediate) and MW53 (deep); however, deep zone data in this area are limited.



Downgradient of this area, the groundwater elevation data appear to indicate that vertical gradients shift from downward (between the shallow and intermediate zones) to upward (between the intermediate and deep zones), as shown on **Figure 8.** Groundwater elevations between the intermediate and deep zones are similar, but the deep zone elevations are typically slightly elevated above the intermediate zone. The presence of upward vertical gradients between the deep and intermediate zones downgradient of the TOC Property appear to be effective in inhibiting downward vertical flow of groundwater and migration of contamination in downgradient areas and effectively bounding the extent of vertical contamination.

3.3.2 Groundwater Quality Results

Based on historical analytical results, the analyses selected for the 2014 annual event included some or all of the following constituents, as shown in **Tables 2 and 3**:

- VOCs, including one or more of the following compounds: BTEX by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method SW 8021B; EDB by EPA Method SW 8011M and 8260C; EDC by EPA Method SW 8260C; and/or MTBE by EPA Method SW 8260C;
- GRPH by Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for gasoline-range organics (NWTPH-Gx) Method/ EPA SW 8021B;
- DRPH and motor ORPH by Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for diesel-range organics (NWTPH-Dx) Method; and/or
- Total and dissolved lead by EPA Method 200.8.

The laboratory analyses were conducted by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (Friedman & Bruya) of Seattle, Washington. The data were validated and in some cases, qualifiers were assigned. The quality of the data provided by Friedman and Bruya is considered standard and no unusual issues were reported or observed. The laboratory reported results between the method detection limits (MDLs) and the method reporting limits (MRLs) for all data packages. Results are typically reported as "not detected" if the results fall below the MRLs. In cases where the MRL was not less than the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater, the laboratory reported results between the MDL and MRL; these results are considered estimates and are used for informational purposes only. Results below the MRLs are still considered non-detect at the MRL. Some of the MRLs were elevated during analysis and subsequently fell slightly above the screening levels.

The analytical results reported for the 2014 annual event (March-April 2014) indicate several constituents were reported at concentrations above the MRLs (i.e., detected) and above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels in the tested wells. (Note that MTCA Method A cleanup levels are used for screening purposes, but may not be used in determination for completion of the final remedy based on present and expected future exposure pathways). Analytical results for the 2014 annual event are provided in **Tables 1 through 4.** The laboratory analytical reports are provided in **Appendix B**. Summaries of the results are provided in the following sections and separated based on the groundwater zone.



3.3.2.1 Shallow Water-Bearing Zone

Nineteen shallow zone wells (plus two field duplicates) were sampled and analyzed for the constituents listed above and shown on **Table 1**. None of the analyzed constituents were detected at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup levels in 18 of the shallow wells sampled. The one location with most elevated concentrations of analyzed constituents was well MW104 located on the Herman property. A summary of the concentrations at well MW104 that exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level is provided below:

- GRPH was reported in well MW104 at a concentration of 34,500 μ g/L (35,000 μ g/L in the duplicate sample), which exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 800 μ g/L (based on presence of benzene in shallow zone).
- DRPH was reported in monitoring well MW104 at a concentration of 14,000 μ g/L, which exceeded the cleanup level of 500 μ g/L.
- ORPH was reported in monitoring well MW104 at a concentration of 810 μ g/L (830 μ g/L in the duplicate sample), which exceeded the cleanup level of 500 μ g/L.
- Benzene was reported in monitoring well MW104 at a concentration of 78 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), which exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level for groundwater of 5 μ g/L
- Toluene was reported in monitoring well MW104 at a concentration of 4,700 $\mu g/L$, which exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 1,000 $\mu g/L$.
- Ethylbenzene was reported in monitoring well MW104 at a concentration of 1,100 μ g/L, which exceeded MTCA Method A cleanup level of 700 μ g/L.
- Total xylene was reported in monitoring well MW104 at a concentration of $4,300 \ \mu g/L$ ($4,400 \ \mu g/L$ in the duplicate sample), which exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup level of $1,000 \ \mu g/L$.

Concentration distribution maps for GRPH and benzene at the shallow zone wells are provided on **Figures 9 and** respectively. As shown, the distribution of these constituents in shallow zone groundwater during the 2014 annual event is limited to one isolated area near well MW104.

3.3.2.2 Intermediate Water-Bearing Zone

Fifty-four intermediate zone wells (plus three field duplicates) were sampled and analyzed for the constituents listed above and shown on **Table 1**. None of the analyzed constituents were detected at 37 of the wells sampled. DRPH, ORPH, toluene and ethylbenzene concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level was not observed at any intermediate well during this event. The most elevated concentrations of analyzed constituents were observed at well MW48 located in the 56th Avenue ROW, followed by remediation wells MW90 and MW24, located on the TOC Property.

A summary of the concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels in the intermediate groundwater zone is provided below:

• GRPH was reported in the following monitoring wells at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level for groundwater of 800 µg/L: MW09 at concentrations ranging from 2,600 µg/L to 4,900 µg/L, depending on sampling method (see **Appendix A** for discussion of groundwater sampling methods); MW11 at 1,900 µg/L; MW18 at 5,500 µg/L; MW24 at 11,000 µg/L; MW29 at



3,500 $\mu g/L;$ MW32 at 4,800 $\mu g/L;$ MW48 at 33,000 $\mu g/L;$ MW57 at 3,600 $\mu g/L;$ MW90 at 18,000 $\mu g/L;$ and MW91 at 2,200 $\mu g/L.$

- Benzene was reported in the following monitoring wells at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level for groundwater of 5 μ g/L: MW32 at 5.3 μ g/L; MW48 at 82 μ g/L; and MW90 at 10 μ g/L.
- Total xylene was reported in the following monitoring wells at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level for groundwater of 1,000 μ g/L: MW24 at 2,200 μ g/L; MW48 at 4,700 μ g/L; and MW90 at 3,500 μ g/L.
- Total lead was reported in the following monitoring wells at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level for groundwater of 15 μ g/L: MW29 at 30 μ g/L; MW32 at 45.2 μ g/L; and MW48 at 52.6 μ g/L. Concentrations of dissolved lead at these same wells were reported at 1.26 μ g/L at well MW29 and 6.11 μ g/L at MW32, significantly below the MTCA Method A level, and at 48 μ g/L at well MW48, which is comparable to the total lead value and also exceeds the MTCA Method A value.

Concentration distribution maps for GRPH and benzene at the intermediate zone wells are provided on **Figures 11 and 12**, respectively. As shown, the highest concentrations of these constituents in intermediate zone groundwater during the 2014 annual event are focused on the TOC Property primarily in the location of the historical UST excavations and at the southwest corner of the TOC/Farmasonis Property near wells MW48 and 57.

3.3.2.3 Deep Water-Bearing Zone

No analyzed constituents were reported at concentrations above the MRLs in the seven deep water-bearing zone wells samples. Therefore, none exceeded the MTCA Method A cleanup levels in deep zone groundwater for the 2014 annual event.

3.4 Groundwater Level and Contaminant Trends

The following sections present a summary of water level and contaminant trends within the shallow and intermediate water bearing zone wells from the beginning of the data record at a particular well location (**Table 2**) through the 2014 annual event. Detections of petroleum hydrocarbons were not observed in the seven wells identified in the deep water bearing zone (wells MW26, MW30, MW39, MW40, MW53, MW64 and MW778); therefore, a discussion regarding the deep water bearing zone will not be included in this section. The 2014 annual data were used to evaluate long-term groundwater level and contaminant trends for groundwater impacted by former gasoline station operations at the TOC Site. Based on contaminant presence and distribution, the primary contaminants of concern include benzene and GRPH. Total lead concentrations were also evaluated where data was available.

The following sections describe trends of the benzene and GRPH reported concentrations in the upper and intermediate zones over time. Contaminant and groundwater level trends will continue to be evaluated and discussed as part of future annual monitoring events.



3.4.1 Upper Water-Bearing Zone

Benzene and GRPH concentrations were evaluated by generating time versus groundwater elevation and time versus concentration plots for the period covered by the quarterly sampling events (February 1992, and as available through the current annual event). A plot of benzene concentrations versus time for shallow zone wells MW02, MW04 and MW12 is shown on Figure 13. A plot of GRPH concentrations versus time for the same wells is provided on Figure 14. Plots of groundwater elevations and GRPH concentrations versus time for shallow zone well MW02 are shown on Figure 15. These wells were selected because they represent shallow zone monitoring locations with long-term groundwater level and concentration data on the TOC Property (MW02 and MW04), where the majority of shallow zone contamination has been observed historically, and directly downgradient on the TOC/Farmasonis Property (MW12). Note that groundwater concentrations in most of the shallow zone wells have been non-detect since at least late 2005. This is likely a result of the former DPE system operation between 1996 and 2005, as described in Section 2.2.

3.4.1.1 Benzene

As shown on **Figure 13**, the benzene concentrations in the selected zone wells show variable concentration trends over time, but have been primarily at non-detect concentrations over the past 12 years. The concentration of benzene in MW02 peaked at 4,600 μ g/L in January 1994, but decreased over time to non-detect by September 2002, and has remained non-detect since then. MW02 is located south of the former UST complex on the TOC property.

The benzene concentration in MW04 was at a maximum of 470 μ g/L in July 1992, but dropped significantly between July 1992 and September 1996 to a concentration of 77 μ g/L. Benzene concentrations dropped to non-detect by March 2003 and have remained non-detect since then. MW04 is located in the right-of-way west of the former UST excavation on the TOC property. Benzene has consistently not been detected in groundwater from wells immediately downgradient of MW02 and MW04.

Figure 13 shows that benzene concentrations in MW12 have been non-detect since the first sampling event at that well in October 2001 through the most recent groundwater sampling event in March 2014. MW12 is located south of the former UST complex on the TOC property and is downgradient of both MW02 and MW04.

3.4.1.2 GRPH

Figure 14 shows that GRPH concentrations in wells MW02, MW04 and MW12 follow the same general trend as the benzene concentrations. GRPH concentrations in MW02 reached a maximum of 50,000 μ g/L in January 1994 followed by a steady decrease to 1,400 μ g/L in March 2005. The GRPH concentrations dropped to non-detect during the following sampling event in December 2005, and have remained non-detect through the most recent sampling event in March 2014. Figure 14 also shows GRPH concentrations in MW04 decreasing from a maximum of 100,000 μ g/L in July 1992, then fluctuating between 1000 μ g/L and 38,100 μ g/L between September 1996 to October 2003, and since March 2005 to the present, have been non-detect. MW12 has shown the GRPH has not been detected since the first sampling event in October 2001 through the most recent sampling event in March 2014.



As shown on **Figure 15** at well MW02, GRPH concentration and groundwater elevation trends were variable, but mostly the concentrations of GRPH seem to follow an inverse relationship to the groundwater elevation, (with exceptions between about March 2002 and March 2003 when there was a more direct relationship). The mostly inverse relationship between the groundwater levels and GRPH concentrations implies that residual contamination in the soil is liberated when groundwater levels decrease during seasonal low periods.

3.4.1.3 Other Constituents

Total and dissolved lead has been detected in the shallow zone wells since 2005; however there are not enough data to analyze trends. The only wells that historically contained total lead exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 15 μ g/L were MW100 and MW102, but they were not analyzed for total lead during the 2014 annual event.

3.4.2 Intermediate Water-Bearing Zone

Benzene and GRPH concentrations were evaluated by generating time versus groundwater elevation and time versus concentration plots for the period covered by the quarterly sampling events (September 2005, or first available, through the current annual event). Plots of benzene concentrations versus time for selected intermediate zone wells (MW9, MW11, MW18, MW25, MW31, MW32, MW45, MW48, MW49, MW69, MW57 and MW86) are shown on **Figure 16**. Plots of GRPH concentrations versus time for the same selected intermediate zone wells are provided on **Figure 17**. The selected wells include wells from the TOC Property, TOC/Farmasonis Property, and the Drake Property. Plots of groundwater elevations and total lead concentrations versus time for intermediate zone wells MW31, MW32 and MW91 are shown on **Figure 18**.

3.4.2.1 Benzene

As shown on **Figure 16**, the benzene concentrations in the selected intermediate zone wells listed above show variable concentration trends over time, with the overall trend showing a decrease in concentration. The concentrations were highest prior to February 2008 and have shown a gradual decrease through March 2014. The benzene concentrations in MW48 have been variable over the course of the historical sampling period and have fluctuated between a high of 300 μ g/L in September 2012 to a non-detect in June 2012 and June 2013. The most recent sampling event in March 2014 showed benzene concentrations at 82 μ g/L at this location. MW48 is located in the right-of-way near the southwest corner of the Drake property. The only wells that contained benzene concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 5 μ g/L in March 2014 were MW90 (10 μ g/L), MW32 (5.3 μ g/L) and MW48 (82 μ g/L). MW90 was not included in **Figure 16** due to the limited data available at this location. In general, the concentrations of benzene in groundwater show an overall decreasing trend in the intermediate zone, likely reflective of operation of the remediation systems in the intermediate zone since 2012.



3.4.2.2 GRPH

Figure 17 shows that GRPH concentrations in the selected intermediate wells follow a similar overall decreasing trend as the benzene concentrations; with the highest concentrations generally occurring prior to February 2008 and decreasing steadily over time. Exceptions to this trend occurred at three wells: MW32, MW45, and MW48. GRPH concentrations in well MW32 were 120 μ g/L in March 2012, but within 6 months the concentrations increased significantly up to 14,000 μ g/L. The concentrations in MW32 have shown a consistent decreasing trend since December 2012, but increased slightly in the March-April 2014 event. MW32 is located on the TOC property. MW45 has shown a decreasing trend over time; however, in February 2013 the concentrations of GRPH increased from 4,700 μ g/L (September 2012) to 19,000 μ g/L (February 2013). The following sampling event (June 2013) showed a decrease in GRPH concentrations. MW45 was not sampled during the 2014 Annual Event because the well was dry at the time of sampling. The GRPH concentrations in MW48 have fluctuated between a high of 37,000 μ g/L in March 2012 and a low of 11,000 μ g/L in June 2013.

As shown on **Figure 18**, GRPH concentration and groundwater elevation trends observed in MW48 fluctuate inversely, where increases in GRPH concentrations typically correspond to decreases in the groundwater elevations MW45 and MW48 are both located in the 56th Avenue right-of-way adjacent to the TOC/Farmasonis Property. In general, the concentrations of GRPH in groundwater show an overall decreasing trend in the intermediate zone, again likely due to the operation of the remediation systems in the intermediate zone.

3.4.2.3 Other Constituents

Total and dissolved lead has been detected in the intermediate zone wells since 2005. **Figure 19** shows total lead concentrations in groundwater in intermediate zone wells MW31, MW32 and MW91. These wells were selected because they were the only intermediate wells with enough available data to assess trends; however, data are still limited. MW31 shows total lead concentrations fluctuating between a high of 44.4 μ g/L in February 2008 and a low of 3.51 μ g/L in May 2006. MW31 was not sampled for lead during the 2014 Annual Event. MW32 and MW91 also show fluctuating total lead concentrations. Groundwater from MW32 contained a total lead concentration of 45.2 μ g/L in March 2014 which exceeds the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 15 μ g/L. Groundwater from MW91 contained an estimated total lead concentration of 3.02 μ g/L which is below the MTCA Method A cleanup level. MW32 and MW91 are located on the TOC property; MW31 is located on the adjacent TOC/Farmasonis Property near the northern property boundary. Historically, detected total lead concentrations in groundwater in the intermediate zone ranged from 1.07 μ g/L in MW9 (December 2005) to 193 μ g/L in MW6 (June 2006). Wells that historically contained groundwater with total lead concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level include MW29, MW31, MW32, MW35, MW38, MW45, MW48, MW60, MW75, MW91, and MW101.



3.4.3 LNAPL

During the 2014 Annual Event, LNAPL was observed in shallow zone wells MW71 (0.29 ft), MW72 (0.49 ft) and MW102 (1.63 ft). MW71 and MW72 are located on the Shin Choi Property (MW71 near the northern property boundary and MW72 in the former UST excavation). The intermediate wells associated with MW71 (intermediate well MW74) and MW72 (intermediate well MW73) have not contained observed or measureable LNAPL. MW102 is located on the Herman Property near the south end of the former UST excavation. The intermediate zone well associated with MW102 (intermediate well MW103) has not contained observed or measureable LNAPL. None of these wells has enough historical data to assess trends over time; however, **Figure 21** shows available data for LNAPL and groundwater elevations over time in the intermediate well MW48, and **Figure 22** shows LNAPL and groundwater elevations for the shallow zone wells MW29 and MW71 over time. LNAPL thicknesses and groundwater elevations appear to be somewhat inversely related at well MW48, although there is some variability. This is also the case for the product/groundwater elevation trends at well MW29 when product was observed in this well in 2007. Not enough data are available to assess a comparison of these trends in well MW71. This inverse trend could be related to the liberation of residual LNAPL within partially saturated soil pore spaces as the groundwater level decreases.

MW48 has historically contained the largest occurrence of measureable LNAPL. LNAPL in MW48 ranged from a high of 1.86 ft in May 2007 to 0.01 ft in June 2009. LNAPL has not been encountered in MW48 since January 2011. There are currently no wells in the intermediate zone that contain measureable LNAPL based on the most recent sampling event in March 2014.

Shallow zone well MW29 contained LNAPL between December 2005 and February 2007. LNAPL has not been encountered in MW29 since May 2007. MW71, located on the Shin/Choi Property, is currently one of the shallow zone wells that contains measureable LNAPL. LNAPL was measured in MW71 at 0.29 ft in March 2014. The thickness of LNAPL in MW71 has decreased significantly from the highest thickness measured in July 2009 (1.36 ft).

Based on historical information and the current lack of measurable LNAPL in wells on the TOC, TOC/Farmasonis and Drake Properties, the source of the free product in MW71, MW72 and MW102 may be from the former USTs historically located on the Herman and Shin Choi properties, or from downgradient migration. The source of the LNAPL in MW48 is unknown. There are no known sources of petroleum hydrocarbons associated with the southwest corner of the TOC/Farmasonis Property/northwest corner of the Drake Property, where MW48 is located. Due to the location of MW48 near the utilities corridor, it is suspected that the occurrence of LNAPL may be associated with the utilities corridor acting as a preferential pathway from an upgradient source.



4.0 FUTURE GROUNDWATER TASKS

The 2014 second quarterly groundwater sampling event was conducted in June 2014; the third quarterly event is scheduled for September 2014; and the fourth quarterly event is scheduled for December 2014. The groundwater monitoring and analytical program for the 2014 quarterly events include monitoring of all wells for the annual events and selected wells for the quarterly events. The results for quarterly events will be provided in separate reports, namely the *2014 Second Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report*; *2014 Third Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report*; and *2014 Fourth Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report*. The 2015 annual groundwater sampling event is scheduled for March 2015. The results for the 2015 annual groundwater monitoring Report.



5.0 **REFERENCES**

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SES). 2011. Interim Remedial Action Work Plan, TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. July 28.

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SES). 2013. Draft Remedial Investigation Report, TOC Holdings Co. No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. November 27.

- Time Oil Company [sic] (Time Oil Co.). 1975. Blueprint Drawing No. 1390: Conduits, Piping, Electrical Service, Lighting, Retaining Wall & Lot Drainage, Mountlake Terrace, Wash. September 8 with undated maintenance notes in red-orange pencil.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1992. RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Draft Technical Guidance. Office of Solid Waste. Washington, D.C. November.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1996. Low Stress (low flow) Purging and Sampling Procedure for the Collection of Ground Water Samples from Monitoring Wells. July 30.



List of Tables

- Table 1:
 Summary of 2014 First Quarter/Annual Groundwater Quality Results, March April 2014
- Table 2:Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation & Quality Results, June 1992 April 2014
- Table 3:Summary of Historical Groundwater Quality Results, Common Fuel Additives and
Blending Compounds, September 2005 April 2014
- Table 4:
 Summary of LNAPL Laboratory Results for Herman Property, March 2014

 TABLE 1

 Summary of 2014 First Quarter/Annual Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results

 March - April 2014

 TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

										Analyt	ical Results (ug/L)					
Well ID	Property	Date ⁽¹⁾	Sample Method	Groundwater Elevation ⁽²⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽³⁾	DRPH ⁽⁴⁾	ORPH ⁽⁴⁾	Benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Toluene ⁽⁵⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁵⁾	MTBE ⁽⁶⁾	EDC ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	Total Lead ⁽⁸⁾	Dissolved Lead ⁽⁸⁾
						SHALLO	W ZONE W	ELLS (0 TO 20	FEET BGS)	•		•		•	•	•	
		03/22/14	Peristaltic Pump		<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW02	тос	03/22/14	Peristaltic Pump (MLT-01 duplicate)	347.88	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW03	тос	03/21/14	Peristaltic Pump	350.85	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW04	ROW (56th)	03/21/14	Peristaltic Pump	350.45	<100			<1	<1	<1	4.4						
MW05	ROW (242nd)	03/21/14	Peristaltic Pump	352.20	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW06	тос	03/20/14	Peristaltic Pump	350.30	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW12	ROW (56th)	03/23/14	Peristaltic Pump	347.65	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW19	TOC	03/21/14	Peristaltic Pump	346.77	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW34	тос	03/20/14	Peristaltic Pump	349.10	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	03/22/14	Peristaltic Pump	347.01	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW61	ROW (56th)	03/27/14	Peristaltic Pump	348.88	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW62	ROW (56th)	03/27/14	Peristaltic Pump	350.78	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW67	Drake	03/28/14	Peristaltic Pump	342.68	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW68	Drake	04/01/14	Peristaltic Pump	342.69	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW71	Shin/Choi	03/24/14	not sampled	335.16				Not s	ampled due t	o delayed ap	proval from p	roperty owne	er to access w	vell.			
MW72	Shin/Choi	03/24/14	not sampled	331.59				Not s	ampled due t	o delayed ap	proval from p	roperty owne	er to access w	vell.			
MW79	TOC/Farmasonis	03/23/14	Peristaltic Pump	343.45	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW80	TOC/Farmasonis	03/23/14	Peristaltic Pump	342.13	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW82	TOC/Farmasonis	03/23/14	not sampled	329.29					Not sampled	due to insuff	icient water t	o fill sample o	containers.				
MW88	Drake	03/27/14	Peristaltic Pump	333.09	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW100	TOC/Farmasonis	03/23/14	Peristaltic Pump	341.70	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
MW102	Herman	03/30/14	Peristaltic Pump (product)	336.77						See Ta	able 4 for resu	ilts.					
		04/01/14	Peristaltic Pump		34,000	14,000 ^(x)	810 ^(x)	78	4,700	1,100	4,300	<1	<1	<1	< 0.01	<1	<1
MW104	Herman	04/01/14	Peristaltic Pump (MLT-04 duplicate)	342.52	35,000	14,000 ^(x)	830 ^(x)	77	4,400	1,100	4,400	<1	<1	<1	0.19 ^(ca)	<1	<1
MW106	Herman	04/02/14	Peristaltic Pump	340.57	<100	250 [×]	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<0.01	<1	<1
	-			·	INTERMED	ATE ZONE W	ELLS THAT II	NTERSECT SH	ALLOW ZONE	CONDITION	S	• •				• •	
MW08	ROW (56th)	03/27/14	Peristaltic Pump	342.85	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW24 (RW)	тос	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	347.87	11,000			<1	57	<1	2,200						
MW27 (RW)	тос	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	NM	1,000			<1	3.7	12	120						
MW29 (RW)	тос	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	NM	3,500			<1	<1	<1	140					30	1.26
MW37	тос	03/20/14	Peristaltic Pump	343.93	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW38	TOC	03/21/14	Peristaltic Pump	348.27	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW43	ROW (56th)	03/27/14	Bailer	324.13	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Metho	d A Cleanup Level ⁽⁹⁾				1,000/800 ⁽¹⁰⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 1 Summary of 2014 First Quarter/Annual Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results March - April 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

				Groundwater						Analyt	ical Results (µ	lg/L)				-	
Well ID	Property	Date ⁽¹⁾	Sample Method	Elevation ⁽²⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽³⁾	DRPH ⁽⁴⁾	ORPH ⁽⁴⁾	Benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Toluene ⁽⁵⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁵⁾	MTBE ⁽⁶⁾	EDC ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	Total Lead ⁽⁸⁾	Dissolved Lead ⁽⁸⁾
						INTERMED	IATE ZONE \	NELLS (20 TO	60 FEET BGS	9							
			Peristaltic Pump		2,600			<1	3.8	<1	540						
			Peristaltic Pump		2,900			<1	4.1	<1	570						
MW09	тос	03/25/14	(MLT-02 duplicate)	337.88	4,900			<1	5.1	<1	850						
			Submersible Bailer		4,900			<1	4.9	<1	750						
MW10	тос	04/22/14	Bailer	327.13	4,300 <100			<1	4.9 <1	<1	<3						
MW11 (RW)	тос	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	341.03	1,900			<1	7.2	10	73						
MW13	ROW (56th)	03/23/14	not sampled	Dry	1,500					nsufficient wa		nle containe	rs (noted as "				
MW15 (RW)	TOC	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	320.86	<100			<1	1.1	<1	3.6			, ,. 			
MW15 (1117)	ROW (242nd)	03/23/14	not sampled	Dry	4100					nsufficient wa		ple containe	rs (noted as "	drv").			
MW18 (RW)	TOC	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	NM ⁽¹¹⁾	5,500			4.2	250	<1	1.000						
MW20	TOC	03/22/14	Bailer	326.90	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW22	TOC	03/21/14	Peristaltic Pump	330.60	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW23	TOC	03/20/14	Bailer	318.22	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW25	тос	03/30/27	Submersible	331.06	300			<1	3.3	2.2	34						
		03/22/14	Bailer		<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW28	тос	03/22/14	Peristaltic Pump	331.42	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW31 (RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	Pneumatic Pump	NM	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW32 (RW)	TOC	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	338.92	4,800			5.3	57	57	410					45.2	6.10
MW33	тос	03/22/14	not sampled	323.73		Į			Not sampled	due to insuff	icient water to	o fill sample o	containers.				4
MW35	ТОС	03/20/14	Bailer	319.10	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					2.45	<1
MW36	ТОС	03/20/14	Bailer	315.70	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW41 (RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	not sampled	NM				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient wa	ter to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	dry").			
MW42	TOC/Farmasonis	03/23/14	not sampled	Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient wa	ater to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	dry").			
MW44	ROW (56th)	03/30/14	not sampled	Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient wa	ater to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	ˈdry").			
MW45	ROW (56th)	03/23/14	not sampled	Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient wa	ater to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	ˈdry").			
MW46	ROW (56th)	03/27/14	not sampled	Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient wa	ater to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	ˈdry").			
MW47	ROW (56th)	03/27/14	not sampled	310.84					Not sampled	due to insuff	icient water to	o fill sample o	containers.				
MW48	ROW (56th)	03/23/14	Bailer	312.90	33,000			82	99	680	4,700					52.6	48
MW49	ROW (56th)	04/01/14	Submersible	313.47	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW50	ROW (56th)	03/28/14	Bailer	326.27	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW51	ROW (56th)	04/01/14	Bailer	311.39	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW52	ROW (56th)	03/27/14	not sampled	312.31					Not sampled	due to insuff	icient water to	o fill sample o	containers.	•	•	•	
MW55	ROW (56th)	03/31/14	Submersible	312.87	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW56	TOC/Farmasonis	03/31/14	Submersible	313.49	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW57 (RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	Pneumatic Pump	NM ⁽¹¹⁾	3,600			<1	9.1	51	410						
MW58	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	Submersible	342.50	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW59	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	Submersible	314.39	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW60	ROW (56th)	03/31/14	Submersible	314.70	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW63	ROW (56th)	04/01/14	Submersible	312.42	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW65	Drake	04/01/14	Bailer	311.89	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW66	TOC/Farmasonis	03/23/14	Bailer	313.45	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW69 (RW)	Drake	03/31/14	not sampled	NM ⁽¹¹⁾				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient wa	ater to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	dry").			-
MW70 (RW)	Drake	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	NM	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MTCA Method	A Cleanup Level ⁽⁹⁾				1,000/800 ⁽¹⁰⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 1
Summary of 2014 First Quarter/Annual Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
March - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

										Analyti	cal Results (µ	ıg/L)					
Well ID	Property	Date ⁽¹⁾	Sample Method	Groundwater Elevation ⁽²⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽³⁾	DRPH ⁽⁴⁾	ORPH ⁽⁴⁾	Benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Toluene ⁽⁵⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁵⁾	MTBE ⁽⁶⁾	EDC ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	Total Lead ⁽⁸⁾	Dissolved Lead ⁽⁸⁾
					INTEI	RMEDIATE Z	ONE WELLS	(20 TO 60 FEE	T BGS), CON	TINUED							
MW73	Shin/Choi	03/24/14	not sampled	308.73				Not s	ampled due t	o delayed app	proval from p	roperty owne	er to access w	vell.			
MW74	Shin/Choi	03/24/14	not sampled	311.35				Not sa	ampled due t	o delayed app	proval from p	roperty owne	er to access w	/ell.			
MW75	ROW (56th)	04/03/14	Submersible	310.87	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW76	Drake	03/27/14	Bailer	312.68	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW77	Drake	03/27/14	Bailer	311.41	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW81	TOC/Farmasonis	03/23/14	Bailer	313.15	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW84	Drake	03/30/14	Submersible	311.12	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW85	Drake	04/01/14	Submersible	311.41	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
		04/01/14	Submersible		<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW86	Drake	04/01/14	Bladder Pump (MLT-06 duplicate)	311.50	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW87	Drake	03/27/14	Bailer	311.55	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW89	Drake	04/01/14	Submersible	311.79	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW90 (RW)	TOC	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	339.68	18,000			10	990	210	3,500					4.08	<1
		03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump		2,200			<1	51	33	270					3.02	<1
MW91 (RW)	тос	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump (MLT-03 duplicate)	340.03	1,900			<1	41	27	230					5.35	<1
MW92 (RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	Pneumatic Pump	313.61	<100			1.1	<1	<1	<3						
MW93 (RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	Pneumatic Pump	NM ⁽¹¹⁾	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW94 (RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	not sampled	NM ⁽¹¹⁾				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient wa	ter to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	dry").			•
MW95 (RW)	Drake	03/28/14	Pneumatic Pump	311.32	330			<1	<1	<1	36	<1					
MW96 (RW)	Drake	03/28/14	Pneumatic Pump	312.75	200			3.6	1	8	34.0	<1					
MW97 (RW)	Drake	03/28/14	Pneumatic Pump	311.94	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW98 (RW)	Drake	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	312.29	<100			2.1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW99 (RW)	Drake	03/31/14	not sampled	NM ⁽¹¹⁾				Not sam	pled due to ii	nsufficient wa	ter to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	dry").			•
MW101 (RW)	Drake	03/30/14	Pneumatic Pump	311.69	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				<1	<1
MW103	Herman	04/03/14	Bailer	308.94	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	< 0.01	2.76	<1
MW105	Herman	04/01/14	not sampled	310.79				Not sam	pled due to in	nsufficient wa	ter to fill sam	ple containe	rs (noted as "	dry").			1
MW107	Herman	04/02/14	Submersible	310.40	<100	93 ^(x)	<260	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	< 0.01	<1	<1
	d A Cleanup Level ⁽⁹⁾	- , - ,			1,000/800 ⁽¹⁰⁾	500	500	5	1.000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15
					2,000,000		ZONE WELL	S (OVER 60 FE	ET BGS)		,						1
MW26	тос	03/22/14	Bailer	315.47	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW30	TOC/Farmasonis	03/25/14	Submersible	315.31	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW39	TOC/Farmasonis	03/25/14	Submersible	314.88	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW40	TOC/Farmasonis	03/26/14	Submersible	315.10	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW53	ROW (56th)	03/31/14	Submersible	316.04	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW64	ROW (56th)	03/28/14	Bailer	314.12	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW78	Drake	04/02/14	Submersible	313.57	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
-	d A Cleanup Level ⁽⁹⁾	51,02,14	340	510.07	1,000/800 ⁽¹⁰⁾	500	500	5	1.000	700	1.000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15
WITCH WELLIO	a A cleanup Level				1,000/000	500	500		1,000	,	1,000		5	0.01	0.01	1.5	10

 TABLE 1

 Summary of 2014 First Quarter/Annual Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results

 March - April 2014

 TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

NOTES: Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater.

Groundwater samples analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle WA. Groundwater elevations were measured while the remediation system was turned off. ⁽¹⁾ The recorded date is the date of the groundwater quality sampling. However, if the well was not sampled, the date recorded is the water level measurement date. ⁽²⁾ Elevations in feet above sea level (NAVD88 Datum) based on survey performed by PACE Engineers in April 2014. ⁽³⁾ Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Dx. (4) Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Gx. ⁽⁵⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8021B. ⁽⁶⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8260C. ⁽⁷⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8011. ⁽⁸⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 200.8. ⁽⁹⁾ MTCA Method A cleanup levels, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the WAC, revised November 2007. $^{(10)}$ 1,000 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is not present and 800 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is present. ⁽¹¹⁾ During measurement, TP was encountered prior to LNAPL/groundwater level. LABORATORY NOTES: ^(ca) The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate. ^(ve) Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution was required to obtain an accurate guantification of the analyte.

^(X) The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (56th) = 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (242nd) = 242nd Street Southwest, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

DEFINITIONS:

-- = not analyzed ug/L = micrograms per liter BGS = below ground surface DRPH = diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane) EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane) EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act MW = monitoring well NAVD88 = North American Vertical Datum of 1988 NE = cleanup level not established for indicated compound NM = not measured NWTPH-Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - diesel-range organics NWTPH-Gx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - gasoline-range organics ORPH = oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons ROW = right-of-way RW = remediation well (connected to a multi-phase remediation system) TP = top of pump WAC = Washington Administrative Code

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le Total	ad ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved
MW01	06/15/92		6.01		348.86	33,000				2,300	1,700	1,400	9,200						
TOC: 354.87	07/30/92		8.07		346.80														
	01/11/94		12.65		342.22	1,600				29	4.6	28	140						
TOC: 354.76	09/11/96		11.71		343.05	320				2.6	<0.5	15	46						
	02/05/97		3.37		351.39														
	03/11/97		4.93		349.83	<100				<0.5	<0.5	0.6	<1.5						
	09/17/97		12.32		342.44	76.7				0.595	2.9	1.99	13.4						
	03/16/98		6.93		347.83	490				1.15	<0.5	7.38	18.2						
	09/08/98		17.88		336.88	9,320				42.5	998	346	1,550						
	03/19/99		2.00		352.76	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	09/17/99		11.02		343.74	910				<0.5	1.07	4.39	5.57						
	03/23/00		5.72		349.04	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	09/28/00		16.52		338.24	163				0.610	1.31	1.95	38.3						
	04/03/01		11.03		343.73	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	10/11/01		16.82		337.94	191				<0.5	1.41	13.4	54.7						
	03/27/02		6.18		348.58	142				<0.5	0.741	4.84	33.3						
	09/26/02		14.22		340.54	544				1.15	< 0.5	8.38	11.2						
	03/27/03		9.12		345.64	78.9				< 0.5	< 0.5	0.634	<1.0						
	10/09/03		15.94		338.82	160				0.548	< 0.5	2.84	11.3						
	03/09/05		9.70		345.06	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3	<3					
	09/26/05		11.33		343.43	<50.0				<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<3.00	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
	12/20/05		11.63		343.13	<100	<53		<270	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		1.36	
	02/24/06		6.52		348.24	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/01/06		8.90		345.86	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/24/06		13.23		341.53	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	11/16/06		11.53		343.23	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/21/07		9.86		344.90	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/24/07		11.51		343.25	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/03/07		15.02		339.74	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/12/08		10.48		344.28	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	10/02/09		•	•	•	•	D	ECO	MM	ISSI	ΟΝΕ	D	•						
MTCA Method A Cl	eanup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾			(6)		(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(0)	Lea	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ^(/)	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW02	06/15/92		4.00		354.71	13,000				590	1,900	350	2,500						
TOC: 358.71	07/30/92		7.61		351.10														
	01/11/94		15.50		343.21	50,000				4,600	7,300	1,200	8,300						
	09/11/96		11.99		346.72	33,000				1,800	4,000	780	5,400						
	02/05/97		4.80		353.91														
	03/11/97		6.02		352.69	100				4.8	3.7	2.5	16						
	09/17/97		12.75		345.96	25,700				709	2,200	617	4,050						
	03/16/98		8.27		350.44	1,700				28.3	53	55	276						
	09/08/98		15.90		342.81	15,300				259	2,040	<50	2,700						
	03/19/99		2.79		355.92	3,490				4.94	41.7	30.6	310						
	09/17/99					9,250				<25	1,300	173	1,910						
	03/23/00		7.39		351.32	4,920				<5	241	133	1,000						
	09/28/00				343.34	20,700				135	1,830	845	5,390						
	04/03/01		13.86		344.85	18,800				<100	351	802	5,050						
	10/11/01		16.33		342.38	16,900				69.7	469	643	4,650						
	03/27/02		6.79	Trace	351.92	11,500				16.3	23.0	331	1,930						
	09/26/02		14.18	Trace	344.53	8,260				<5.0	40.6	226	2,420						
	03/27/03		12.80		345.91	14,700				<10.0	11.3	324	3,020						
	10/09/03		14.28		344.43	3,600				<5.0	11.1	67.5	639						
	03/09/05		9.42		349.29	1,400				<1	2	4	71	<3					
	09/26/05		9.20		349.51				Nots	ampled due	to inaccessi	ble wellhead	(vehicle par	ked over	wellhead)			
	12/21/05		11.50		347.21	<100	<56		<280	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		<1	
	02/23/06		5.88		352.83	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/01/06		7.86		350.85	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/23/06		12.96		345.75	<100				<1	<1	<1	4.2	<1					
	11/15/06		15.89		342.82	260				<1	1.1	2.0	<8.9						
	02/21/07		10.38		348.33	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/23/07		11.74		346.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/01/07		13.85		344.86	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
I	02/13/08		12.04		346.67	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		9.94		348.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
1	03/08/12		12.74		345.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		11.27		347.44			•			not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only	-	-	-	-		•
	09/10/12		13.73		344.98							impled; gauge							-
	12/03/12		12.69		346.02							impled; gauge							-
	02/20/13		6.73		351.98	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		10.90		347.81				•		not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						•
	09/03/13		14.51		344.20							impled; gauge							-
	12/02/13		16.77		341.94							impled; gauge							
	03/22/14		10.83		347.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cl		for Ground				800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

	Converte la	Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(5)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(8)	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ^(/)	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolve
1W03	06/15/92		4.83		357.02	92,000				5,800	22,000	1,900	16,000						
TOC: 361.85	07/30/92		8.05		353.80														
	01/11/94		14.34		347.51	110,000				6,100	21,000	1,600	13,000						
	11/20/95	17.34	17.44	0.10	344.41		·			°		LNAPL							- .
	09/11/96	13.12	13.17	0.05	348.68							LNAPL							
	02/05/97		6.10		355.75														
	03/11/97		7.02	Trace	354.83							LNAPL							
	09/17/97		15.82		346.03	80,500				836	8,740	839	10,800						
	03/16/98		8.75	Trace	353.10							LNAPL							
	09/08/98		17.44		344.41	63,900				303	3,700	1,030	11,800						
	03/19/99		4.66		357.19	8,130				13.5	502	50.6	1,150						
	09/17/99		13.30		348.55	15,700				27.1	2,010	240	4,270						
	03/23/00		8.14		353.71	25,000				88.2	2.050	434	4.280						
	09/28/00		Dry		Dry														
	04/03/01		15.16		, 346.69	9,120				15.4	829	124	2,230						
	10/11/01		Dry		DRY					-		fficient water		le contair	ners.				l.
	03/27/02		8.63		353.22	1,960				2.99	88.9	31.6	404						
	09/26/02		Dry		Dry														
	03/27/03		12.00		349.85	<50				0.663	< 0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	10/09/03		14.86		346.99	5,040				6.79	166	170	1,760						
	03/09/05		9.77		352.08	730				2	2	1/0	98	<3					
	09/27/05		9.35		352.50	<50.0				<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<3.00	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
	12/22/05		11.01		350.84	<100	<54		<270	<1	<1	<1	<3					2.28	
	02/22/06		5.73		356.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	05/31/06		7.33		354.52	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/23/06		13.49		348.36	1,000				<1	1.1	35	188.4	<1					
	11/14/06		17.61		344.24	1,000						fficient water							
	02/20/07		10.30		351.55	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/22/07		11.78		350.07	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/22/07				350.07	330				<1	<1		31						
			14.08									6					-		
	02/13/08		12.49		349.36	<100				<1	<1	1	5						
	03/04/10		9.61		352.24	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/08/12		13.08		348.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		11.59		350.26							ampled; gauge	,						
	09/10/12		14.63		347.22							ampled; gauge	-						
	12/03/12		12.85		349.00		1	1	1		1	ampled; gauge			1	1	1	1	-
	02/20/13		6.29		355.56	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		11.82		350.03							ampled; gauge	1						
	09/03/13		17.56		344.29							ampled; gauge	,						
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry														
	03/21/14		<u>11</u> water ⁽¹⁴⁾		350.85	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						

		Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	Depth to LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Depth to Groundwater ⁽²⁾	LNAPL Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Groundwater	Analytical Results (µg/L)															
We	Well ID					Elevation ⁽⁴⁾		(0)	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	(8)	Lead ⁽⁹⁾			
			(feet)	(feet)		(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾										EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved		
MW04		07/30/92		7.19		354.77	100,000				470	15,000	2,500	18,000				-				
TOC:	361.96	01/11/94		Dry		Dry	Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers.															
		11/20/95		17.21		344.75																
		09/11/96		12.65		349.31	22,000				77	480	600	4,800								
		02/05/97		5.15		356.81																
		03/11/97		6.08		355.88	7,200				3.2	220	170	1,400								
		09/17/97		14.76		347.20	17,400				30.1	92.9	78.4	846								
		03/16/98		7.95		354.01	37,200				44.3	3,760	804	5,970								
		09/08/98		18.03		343.93	22,200				77.9	1,390	199	3,520								
		03/19/99		3.97		357.99	22,900				32.7	1,300	334	3,440								
		09/17/99		12.86		349.10				Not s	ampled due	to inaccessit	le wellhead (vehicle par	ked over v	wellhead)				-4		
		03/23/00				Dry				Not sam	oled or gauge	ed due to ina	accessible we	llhead (road	d construc	tion activ	rity).					
		09/28/00		16.95		345.01	1,010				<10.5	34.8	243	829								
		04/03/01		16.03		345.93	12,900				<25	102	538	2,870								
		10/11/01		Dry		Dry	· ·				Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contair	ners.						
		03/27/02		6.26		355.70	3,900				2.95	181	89.1	714								
		09/26/02		15.30		346.66	1,000				1.85	5.97	112	135								
		03/27/03		11.92		350.04	38,100				<50.0	3,890	1,270	7,840								
		10/09/03		15.47		346.49	24,900				<100.0	1,760	1.020	7.220								
		03/09/05		9.35		352.61	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3	<3							
		09/26/05	9.20	9.20	Sheen	352.76					_		t sampled du	-			1		l	-4		
		12/22/05		11.11		350.85	<100	<54		<270	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				<1			
		02/22/06		4.25		357.71	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1							
		05/31/06		5.00		356.96	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3								
		08/23/06		12.76		349.20	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1							
		11/14/06					100						accessible we	-		tion activ						
		02/21/07		8.97		352.99	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3								
		05/22/07		10.84		351.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3								
		08/01/07		13.62		348.34	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3								
		02/13/08		11.51		350.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	4								
		03/02/10		8.53		353.43	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1					
		03/02/10		14.34		347.62	<100				<1	<1	1.5	<3								
		06/04/12		10.41		351.55	(100				~1		mpled; gauge							-		
		09/10/12		14.31		347.65							mpled; gauge	,								
		12/03/12		Dry		Dry					Not sample		ided in sampl	,	معتامه م	nlv						
		02/20/13		4.27		357.69	<100				<1 <1	<1	<1	<3								
		02/20/13		10.68		351.28	<100				~1		mpled; gauge	-								
		09/03/13		16.51		345.45							1	,								
	12/02/13 Dry Dry										not sampled; gauged only not sampled; gauged only											
				Dry 11.51		,	<100				~1	not sa								1		
		03/21/14 canup Levels				350.45	<100 800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	<1 5	<1 1.000	<1 700	4.4 1,000	20		0.01	0.01	 15	15		

	Connelle	Depth to LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Depth to Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	LNAPL Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Groundwater	. Analytical Results (μg/L)																
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾				Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le Total	ead ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved			
IW05	07/30/92		9.10		354.60	<50.0				<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50									
TOC: 363.70	01/11/94		14.48		349.22		1	1		Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.	1	1	1	-			
	11/20/95		14.37		349.33																	
	09/11/96		13.33		350.37	88				<0.5	0.53	1.1	6.4									
	02/05/97		5.41		358.29																	
	03/11/97		6.15		357.55	<100				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5									
	09/17/97		13.79		349.91	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	03/16/98		7.86		355.84	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0									
	09/08/98		Dry		Dry		1	1		· · ·		fficient water	· · ·	ole contaii	1	1	r		-			
	03/19/99		4.75		358.95	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.07									
	09/17/99		Dry		Dry			1				fficient water		le contai	ners.			1	-			
	03/23/00		7.35		356.35	<50				<0.5	1.64	0.501	3.43									
	09/28/00		Dry		Dry			1	1		npled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers.											
	04/03/01		13.39		350.31	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	10/11/01		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.							
	03/27/02		6.41		357.29	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	09/26/02		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.			-				
	03/27/03		10.80		352.90	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	10/09/03		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contai	ners.							
	03/09/05		11.57		352.13	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3	<3								
	09/27/05		12.57		351.13	<50.0				<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<3.00	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00						
	12/22/05		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.							
	02/22/06		6.76		356.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1								
	05/31/06		8.42		355.28	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	08/23/06		14.10		349.60					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.							
	11/14/06		14.75		348.95	Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers.																
	02/20/07		9.50		354.20	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	05/22/07		11.35		352.35	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	08/03/07		14.36		349.34	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	02/13/08		11.68		352.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	03/02/10		8.75		354.95	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	03/02/12		8.78		354.92	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1						
	03/08/12		12.45		351.25	<100				<1	<1	<1	12									
	06/04/12		10.39		353.31	-100	1	1				ampled; gauge		1	1	1	1	1	1			
	09/10/12		14.50		349.20							ampled; gauge										
	12/03/12		14.61		349.09							ampled; gauge										
	02/21/13		6.02		357.68	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	06/24/13		11.02		352.68	not sampled; gauged only																
	09/03/13		Dry		Dry																	
	12/02/13		14.75		348.95	not sampled; gauged only not sampled; gauged only																
						<100			1	-1							1		1			
	03/21/14	 for Ground	11.5		352.20	<100 800/1.000 ⁽¹⁵⁾		500	 500	<1 5	<1 1.000	<1 700	<3 1.000	20		0.01	0.01	 15	15			
		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)									
--------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	--------------------			
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾		Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾			
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GKPH	DKPH	ORPH	TRPH	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene.	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MIBE.	EDC	EDB.	EDB.	Total	Dissolved			
MW06	07/30/92		8.66		350.32	<50.0				<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50									
TOC: 358.98	01/11/94		12.92		346.06	<50				<0.50	2.0	<0.50	2.6									
	11/20/95		14.45		344.53																	
	09/11/96		12.26		346.72	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5									
	02/05/97		3.32		355.66																	
	03/11/97		4.96		354.02	<100				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5									
	09/17/97		12.83		346.15	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	03/16/98		6.77		352.21	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	09/08/98		15.00		343.98	868				1.92	73.0	21.3	172									
	03/19/99		3.95		355.03	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	09/17/99		12.53		346.45	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	03/23/00		7.97		351.01	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	09/28/00		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contai	ners.							
	04/03/01		11.64		347.34	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	10/11/01		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contai	ners.	_						
	03/27/02		6.06		352.92	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	09/26/02		Dry		DRY					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contai	ners.							
	03/27/03		8.10		350.88	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0									
	10/09/03		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ele contai	ners.							
	03/09/05		9.30		349.68	<50	-			<1	<1	<1	<3	<3								
	09/26/05		12.26		346.72	<50.0				<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<3.00	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00						
	12/22/05		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contaii	ners.							
	02/22/06		5.93		353.05	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1								
	05/31/06		9.88		349.10	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	08/22/06		14.68		344.30					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contaii	ners.							
	11/14/06		Dry		Dry																	
	02/21/07		10.05		348.93	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	05/22/07		12.79		346.19	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	07/31/07		14.71		344.27					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contaii	ners.							
	02/13/08		10.96		348.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	03/04/10		9.42		349.56	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1						
	07/08/10		12.49		346.49						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only									
	03/08/12		12.87		346.11	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	06/04/12		11.82		347.16						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only									
	09/10/12		14.69		344.29							ampled; gaug										
	12/03/12		14.65		344.33							ampled; gaug	,									
	02/20/13		6.81		352.17	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
	06/24/13		12.17		346.81						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only									
	09/03/13		14.71		344.27							ampled; gaug	,									
	12/02/13		14.77		344.21							ampled; gaug	,									
	03/20/14		8.68		350.30	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3									
/ITCA Method A Cle		or Groundy		•		800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15			

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾		ad ⁽⁹⁾
		(reet)	(leet)	(leet)	(ieet)							benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes"					Total	Dissolved
MW07	07/30/92		8.40		344.58	<50.0				<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50						
TOC: 352.98	01/11/94		12.93		340.05	<50				<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0						
	11/20/95		13.05		339.93														
	09/11/96		11.95		341.03	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.5						
	02/05/97		4.07		348.91														
	03/11/97		5.63		347.35	<100				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5						
	09/17/97		12.00		340.98	<50				< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	03/16/98		7.70		345.28	<50				<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	09/08/98		Dry							Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contain	ners.				•
	03/19/99		2.91		350.07	<50				< 0.5	1.07	<0.5	2.66						
	09/17/99		11.77		341.21	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	03/23/00		6.80		346.18	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	09/28/00		13.92		339.06	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	04/03/01		12.51		340.47	604				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.17						
	10/11/01		Dry							Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill same	le contain	ners.				-
	03/27/02		7.05		345.93	<50				< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	09/26/02		13.52		339.46	<50				< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1.0						
	03/27/03		11.22		341.76	<50				< 0.5	1.41	0.745	4.08						
	10/09/03		14.31		338.67	<50				< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1.0						
	11/08/04		12.27		340.71	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	11/29/04		1	1			D	ECO	мм		O N E			1	1	1	1 1		
MTCA Method A Cle		for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾		500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
We	ll ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾				(1)		(-)	Ethyl-	Total					Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW08		01/11/94		24.86		335.48	290				0.53	0.54	<0.50	<1.0						
TOC:	360.34	11/20/95		25.59		334.75													-	
		09/11/96		22.30		338.04	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5						
		02/05/97		8.20		352.14														
		03/11/97		9.68		350.66	<100				<0.5	<0.5	<1.5	<1.5						
		09/17/97		24.18		336.16	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		03/16/98		12.53		347.81	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		09/08/98		25.59		334.75	60				<0.5	2.33	1.21	10.5						
		03/19/99		3.23		357.11	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		09/17/99		9.30		351.04	<50				<0.5	0.508	<0.5	1.30						
		03/23/00		7.57		352.77	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		09/28/00		25.70		334.64	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		04/03/01		24.35		335.99	<50				<0.5	<0.5	1.53	7.92						
		10/11/01		26.61		333.73	<50.0				<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<1.00						
		03/27/02		8.08		352.26	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		09/26/02		24.66		335.68	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		03/27/03		15.13		345.21	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
		10/09/03		25.82		334.52	<50				<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<1.0						
		03/09/05		12.46		347.88	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3	<3					
		09/26/05		12.87	Sheen	347.47						Not sa	mpled due to	sheen.						
		12/22/05		11.30		349.04	<100	<53		<270	<1	<1	<1	2.6					<1	
		02/22/06		4.36		355.98	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
		05/31/06		6.41		353.93	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		08/23/06		17.30		343.04	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
		11/14/06		23.77		336.57	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		02/21/07		10.91		349.43	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		05/22/07		14.09		346.25	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		08/02/07		21.83		338.51	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		02/12/08		12.56		347.78	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		03/02/10		9.61		350.73	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
		03/08/12		15.47		344.87	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/04/12		12.67		347.67						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		21.55		338.79						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		20.49		339.85						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
		02/21/13		5.86		354.48	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/24/13		20.28		340.06						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		37.21		323.13						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
		12/02/13		Dry		Dry						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		03/27/14		17.49		342.85	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Me	thod A Cle	eanup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
We	ll ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(0)	Lea	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ^(®)	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ^(/)	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW09 ⁽¹⁰⁾		01/11/94		30.27		330.05	94,000				16,000	26,000	1,800	13,000						
TOC:		11/20/95	29.93	33.45	3.52	329.69														
		09/11/96	26.70	28.41	1.71	333.28							LNAPL							
		02/05/97	19.50	21.15	1.65	340.49							LNAPL							
		03/11/97		21.42	Sheen	338.90						LNAPL; No	ot sampled du	ie to sheen.						
		09/17/97		29.90		330.42	17,200				157	82.8	<10	2,690						
		03/16/98	21.96	21.97	0.01	338.36							LNAPL							
		09/08/98	31.83	31.84	0.01	328.49							LNAPL							
		03/19/99	16.97	16.98	0.01	343.35							LNAPL							
		09/17/99	25.05	25.06	0.01	335.27							LNAPL							
		03/23/00		20.25	Sheen	340.07						LNAPL; No	ot sampled du	ie to sheen.						
		09/28/00		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	le contair	ners.				
		04/03/01		28.64	Sheen	331.68						LNAPL; No	ot sampled du	ue to sheen						
		10/11/01		29.71		330.61	18,400				495	904	270	5,110						
		03/27/02		19.27		341.05	14,000				131	1,370	190	4,000						
		09/26/02		27.47		332.85	26,500				740	1,940	669	5,790						
		03/27/03		24.82		335.50	42,700				264	3,040	777	9,500						
		10/09/03		27.54	Sheen	332.78	1,400				33.2	119	41.8	386						
		03/09/05		16.75		343.57	15,000				94	160	120	2,200	<30					
		09/27/05		NM (11)		NM (11)	2,320				<1.00	6.21	41.8	575	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
		12/22/05		22.33		337.99	2,200	620 [×]		620 [×]	<1	9.2	26	990					1.07	
		02/22/06		11.51		348.81	660				<1	<1	11	147	<1					
		06/01/06		14.34		345.98	1,500				<1	4	40	450						
		08/24/06		25.79		334.53	24,000				330	420	550	4,800	<1					
		11/15/06		34.12		326.20	3,800				360	130	88	1,820						
		02/20/07		19.79		340.53	4,100				5	32	83	1,100						
		05/23/07		23.19		337.13	13,000				91	270	330	3,100						
		08/01/07		26.98		333.34	4,800				59	120	100	1,200						
		02/12/08		23.30		337.02	5,900			-	23	100	96	1,500						
		03/04/10		17.50		342.82	5,000				<1	4	45	980	<1	<1	<1			
		03/07/12		23.35		336.97	11,000				30	76	370	2,400						
		06/06/12		21.41		338.91	6,400				6.4	22	180	1,000						
		09/11/12		27.04		333.28	3,300				21	21	130	750						
		12/04/12		27.07		333.25	5,500				28	25	73	720						
		02/20/13		13.89		346.43	1,200				<1	<1	28	140						
		06/25/13		26.25		334.07	960				2.5	1.3	9.3	69						
		09/05/13		38.11		322.21	300				1.9	1.8	1.7	19						
		12/02/13		38.75		321.57				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	imple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	γ").			
		03/25/14		22.44		337.88	4,900				<1	5.1	<1	850						
MTCA Me	ethod A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)	(5)	(6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total		(7)	(7)	(8)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW10	11/20/95		Dry		Dry			•	•	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contai	ners.				•
TOC: 357.91	09/11/96	33.36	33.63	Trace	324.28							LNAPL							
	02/05/97	35.12	35.39	0.27	322.74							LNAPL							
	03/11/97	28.41	28.50	0.09	329.48							LNAPL							
	09/17/97		35.20	Trace	322.71	34,500				1,430	2,710	188	5,720						
	03/16/98		26.67		331.24														
	09/08/98		35.12	Trace	322.79	18,400				1,470	1,050	283	3,990						
	03/19/99	24.39	24.43	0.04	333.51							LNAPL							
	09/17/99		32.43		325.48	26,000				1,090	2,130	621	6,180						
	03/23/00				Dry	33,200				1,290	3,650	903	7,130						
	09/28/00		33.02	Trace	324.89	11,900				608	645	54.0	3,270						
	04/03/01				Dry	19,600				979	1,360	532	4,140						
	10/11/01		32.73		325.18	9,110				342	478	94.5	2,050						
	03/27/02		25.09		332.82	39,600				548	1,950	419	2,480						
	09/26/02		27.90		330.01	72,800				5,130	8,260	1,640	11,800						
	03/27/03				Dry														
	10/09/03				Dry	26,500				2,390	2,870	948	6,670						
	03/09/05		26.04		331.87	15,000				580	820	320	2,100	<150					
	09/26/05		25.56		332.35														
	12/20/05		28.40		329.51	15,000	1,400 [×]		1,400 [×]	960	670	560	3,700	<1	<1	<1		9.39	
	02/24/06		22.68		335.23	830				20	89	22	141	<1					
	06/01/06		24.09		333.82	2,600				19	67	28	360						
	08/24/06		27.64		330.27	4,800				150	98	110	1,010	<1					
	11/14/06		34.02		323.89			Not	sampled a	s water level	l was too de	ep for perista	altic pump a	and packe	r obstruct	ed bailer			
	02/20/07	25.16	25.21	0.05	332.74							LNAPL							
	05/22/07	27.10	27.18	0.08	330.79							LNAPL							
	08/02/07		37.89		320.02	7,700				200	100	92	780						
	02/13/08		26.64		331.27	1,700				66	29	17	160						
	03/04/10		25.23		332.68	320				3	<1	<1	7	<1	<1	<1			
[[03/07/12		27.45		330.46	1,400				62	7.3	27	89						
[06/06/12		26.47		331.44	830				11	5.1	28	84						
[09/11/12		28.26		329.65	1,500				38	<10	110	86						
	12/05/12		34.59		323.32	4,900				4.6	<1	19	63						
	02/21/13		23.46		334.45	620				5.5	14	8.7	110						
	06/25/13		29.29		328.62	410				4.5	3.1	12	80						
	09/03/13		Dry		Dry		1	1	1	Not sampled	-			ole contai	ners.		1	1	1
-	12/02/13		38.1		319.81					pled due to i						v").			
F	03/22/14		30.78		327.13	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Clea		for Groundy		1	527.10	800/1,000(15)	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	tical Results	(µg/L)						
We	ell ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾		Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)		(6)	(6)	(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(0)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date'-'	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW11		11/20/95		27.55	Sheen	334.79	15,000				1,000	3,800	570	3,300						
TOC:	362.34	09/11/96	34.29	34.56	0.27	328.00							LNAPL							
		02/05/97	18.85	18.86	0.01	343.49							LNAPL							
		03/11/97		19.83	Trace	342.51							LNAPL							
		09/17/97		25.24		337.10	17,800				393	2,030	67.4	2,480						
		03/16/98		20.61	Trace	341.73							LNAPL							
		09/08/98		25.41		336.93	6,220				189	461	12.5	1,380						
		03/19/99	19.39	19.40	0.01	342.95							LNAPL							
		09/17/99		24.89		337.45	11,200				120	1,250	152	2,790						
		03/23/00		20.64	Trace	341.70							LNAPL							
		09/28/00	26.22	26.23	0.01	336.12							LNAPL							
		04/03/01		25.14		337.20	38,700				403	4,950	1,530	9,860						
		10/16/01		28.49	Trace	333.85							LNAPL							
		03/27/02	20.18	20.20	0.02	342.16							LNAPL							
		09/26/02		25.19		337.15	15,400				120	556	420	3,500						
		03/27/03		22.84		339.50	72,900				88.2	5,330	2,100	16,900						
		10/09/03		26.25		336.09	21,100				109	1,430	625	7,020						
		03/09/05	22.00	22.01	0.01	340.34							LNAPL							
		09/27/05		21.86		340.48	50,300				22.2	2,710	2,050	14,930	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
		12/21/05		22.69		339.65	44,000	3,500 [×]		3,500 [×]	32	2,200	2,700	17,600	<1	<1	<1		<1	
		02/22/06		18.42		343.92	45,000				12	1,200	2,200	13,600	<1					
		05/31/06		16.85		345.49	48,000				55	1,700	2,500	14,000						
		08/23/06		23.53		338.81	53,000				24	2,000	2,200	15,200	<1					
		11/14/06	26.90	27.02	0.12	335.42							LNAPL							
		02/20/07		20.58		341.76	48,000				68	800	2,000	12,000						
		05/22/07	22.40	22.41	0.01	339.94							LNAPL							
		08/01/07		24.22		338.12	45,000				64	1,100	1,800	12,000						
		02/12/08		21.71		340.63	48,000				41	640	1,700	14,000						
		03/04/10		19.74		342.60	44,000				22	350	1,400	8,400	<1	<1	<1			
		03/05/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		06/06/12		22.86		339.48						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		25.15		337.19						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		25.75		336.59						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		02/28/13		18.73		343.61	7,800				14	85	92	4,200						
		06/24/13		32.81		329.53		•	·	·	•	not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only	•					•
		09/03/13		33.06		329.28							mpled; gaug							
		12/02/13		33.54		328.80						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		03/30/14		21.31		341.03	1,900				<1	7.2	10	73						
MTCA Me	ethod A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾	•		800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾
		(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GNPH	DNPH	UNPH	INPR	Denzene	Toruene	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	WITDE	EDC	EDB	EDB	Total	Dissolved
MW12	10/11/01		16.34		341.31	<50.0				<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<1.00						
TOC: 357.65	03/27/02		7.01		350.64	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	09/26/02		13.60		344.05	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	03/27/03		11.20		346.45	<50				<0.5	1.00	0.556	2.29						
	10/09/03		15.10		342.55	<50				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0						
	03/09/05		11.06		346.59	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3	<3					
	09/26/05		12.97		344.68	<50.0				<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<3.00	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
	12/22/05		13.37		344.28	<100	<56		<280	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				<1	
	02/22/06		6.34		351.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	05/31/06		8.65		349.00	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/23/06		12.12		345.53	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	11/16/06		15.61		342.04	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/21/07		9.66		347.99	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/23/07		10.80		346.85	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/02/07		13.02		344.63	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/13/08		10.59		347.06	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/14/08		10.30		347.35						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	03/02/10		9.03		348.62	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/08/12		11.64		346.01	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		10.17		347.48						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		12.72		344.93						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		11.82		345.83						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						
	02/19/13		6.27		351.38	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		10.25		347.40						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		13.51		344.14						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						
	12/02/13		16.14		341.51						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						
	03/23/14		10		347.65	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	(6)	(6)	(6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH**	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC"	EDB"	EDB'-'	Total	Dissolved
MW13	10/11/01		Dry		Dry														
TOC: 357.34	03/27/02		40.57		316.77	11,300				1,450	<25.0	1,210	1,470						
	09/26/02		Dry		Dry														
	03/27/03		Dry		Dry														
	10/09/03		Dry		Dry														
	03/09/05		Dry		Dry														
	09/26/05		41.69		315.65					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contair	ners.				
	12/22/05		Dry		Dry														
	02/02/06		41.59		315.75	8,400				520	9.4	680	1,239	<1	3.5	<1			
	02/22/06		41.36		315.98														
	05/31/06		41.29		316.05	6,700				340	22	520	810						
	08/23/06		Dry		Dry														
	11/14/06		Dry		Dry														
	02/20/07		41.21		316.13					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contair	ners.				
	05/22/07		Dry		Dry														
	07/31/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contair	ners.				
	02/13/08		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ole contair	ners.				
	05/14/08		Dry		Dry						Not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	03/04/10		41.23		316.11	1.700				60	17	94	150	<1	1.7	<1			
	03/05/12		Dry		Dry				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	ample conta	iners (not	ted as "dr	v").	1		
	06/04/12		Dry		Dry				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	, ample conta	iners (not	ted as "dr	v").			
	09/10/12		Dry		Dry							vater to fill sa				, ,			
	12/03/12		Dry		Dry							vater to fill sa				, ,			
	02/20/13		38.89		318.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		40.78		316.56		1	1	1	. –		impled; gaug		1	1		1	1	1
	09/03/13		Dry		Dry							mpled; gaug	,						
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry							mpled; gaug							
	03/24/14		Dry		Dry				Not sam	oled due to i		vater to fill sa		iners (not	ted as "dr	v").			
MTCA Method A Cl		for Ground		1	,	800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1.000	700	1.000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater	Onless DRPH ⁽⁶⁾ DRPH ⁽⁶⁾ TRPH ⁽⁶⁾ TRPH ⁽⁶⁾ Benzene ⁽⁷⁾ Toluene ⁽⁷⁾ Total benzene ⁽⁷⁾ Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾ MTBE ⁽⁷⁾ EDC ⁽⁷⁾ EDB ⁽⁷⁾ EDB ⁽⁸⁾ Lead ⁽⁹⁾ // //													
Well ID					Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾													
	Date	(feet)			(feet)	GRPH ⁽³⁾	DRPH	ORPH [®]	TRPH [®]	Benzene"	Toluene"	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE'''	EDC"	EDB"	EDB ⁽⁰⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW14	07/27/04		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contair	ners.				*
	10/29/04		Dry		Dry				l	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contair	ners.				
TOC: 353.44	11/29/04						D	E C O	ΜM	ISSI	O N E	D							
MW15	07/27/04		37.00		320.56	7,290				13.2	24.8	290	1,050						
TOC: 357.56	10/29/04		36.37		321.19	5,400				<10	46	270	880						
	03/09/05	33.12	33.16	0.04	324.43														
	09/26/05	32.32	32.67	0.35	325.17							LNAPL							
	12/22/05	32.64	32.89	0.25	324.87							LNAPL							
	02/22/06		29.47		328.09						not sample	d; absorbent	socks in we	ell –					
	06/01/06		30.55		327.01	12,000				28	23	470	1,700						
	08/23/06		37.29		320.27							LNAPL							
	11/14/06	36.65	36.68	0.03	320.90							LNAPL							
	02/20/07				Dry					LNA	APL; not san	npled; absorb	ent socks ir	n well					
	05/22/07	33.00	33.00	Trace	324.56							LNAPL							
	08/01/07		34.31		323.25						not sample	d; absorbent	socks in we	ell					
	02/11/08	34.60	34.62	0.02	322.96							LNAPL							
	03/01/10	31.95	32.12	0.17	325.58							LNAPL							
	12/06/10	36.29	36.46	0.17	321.24						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	03/08/12		33.12		324.44	8,200				<5	<5	88	480						
	06/04/12	33.69	33.69	Sheen	323.87							LNAPL							
	09/12/12		36.15		321.41	2,300				3.23 ^(j)	<5	14	330						
	12/05/12		36.50		321.06	300				<1	1.8	<1	9.7						
	02/28/13		32.10		325.46	790				3.6 ^(j)	<5	<5	44						
	06/26/13		36.34		321.22	1,800				<1	2.0	49	120						
	09/04/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾	<100				<1	<1	<1	3.8						
	12/02/13		35.17		322.39			N	ot sample	d due to insu	ufficient wat	er to fill sam	ple containe	ers (noted	as "no w	ater").			
	03/30/14		36.70		320.86	<100				<1	1.1	<1	3.6						
MTCA Method A Cle		•	800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15			

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	opp ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ooou(6)	 (6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	a a = a = a (7)	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC	EDB. 7	EDB	Total	Dissolved
MW16	07/27/04		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	ifficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				-
TOC: 365.18	03/09/05		Dry		Dry														
	09/26/05		Dry		Dry														
	12/22/05		Dry		Dry														
	02/22/06		Dry		Dry														
	06/01/06		45.05		320.13	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/23/06		Dry		Dry														
	11/14/06		Dry		Dry														
	02/20/07		46.30		318.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/23/07		46.06		319.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	07/31/07		Dry		Dry			N	ot sample	d due to insi	ufficient wat	er to fill sam	ple contain	ers (noted	as "no w	ater").			
	02/11/08		Dry		Dry			N	ot sample	d due to insi	ufficient wat	er to fill sam	ple contain	ers (noted	as "no w	ater").	_		
	03/02/10		45.54		319.64	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12		Dry		Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	insufficient v	vater to fill sa	ample conta	ainers (not	ed as "dr	·γ").			
	06/04/12		45.30		319.88						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		47.39		317.79						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		Dry		Dry						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	02/21/13		42.65		322.53	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		44.52		320.66						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		47.2		317.98						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	03/21/14		Dry		Dry	Dry Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers (noted as "dry"). 800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾ 500 500 5 1,000 700 1,000 20 5 0.01 15 15													
MTCA Method A Cle	CA Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾						500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le Total	ad ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved
MW17	07/27/04		43.18		309.47	<80			-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.5						
TOC: 352.65	11/29/04						D	ECO	M M	ISSI	O N E	D							
MW18	07/27/04		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contair	ners.				
TOC: 357.91	03/09/05	35.18	35.33	0.15	322.70														
	09/26/05	12.94	13.15	0.21	344.93							LNAPL							
	12/22/05	35.72	35.72	0.00	322.19							LNAPL							
	02/22/06				Dry			N	ot sample	ed or gauged	l due to inac	cessible well	nead (vehicl	le parked (over well	head).			
	06/01/06		29.65		328.26	32,000				290	340	1,100	7,000						
	08/22/06				Dry						LNAPL, a	absorbent so	cks in well						
	11/14/06				Dry						LNAPL; a	absorbent so	cks in well						
	02/20/07				Dry			N	ot sample	ed or gauged	l due to inac	cessible well	nead (vehicl	le parked o	over well	head).			
	05/22/07		36.00		321.91	22,000				96	63	440	4,200						
	07/31/07		37.01		320.90						LNAPL; a	absorbent so	cks in well						
	02/14/08		35.58		322.33	13,000				98	28	<10	2,200						
	03/04/10		32.35		325.56	12,000				96	28	270	1,600	<1	<1	<1			
	03/07/12		28.74		329.17	5,900				43	<10	110	720						
	06/04/12		33.40		324.51						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		33.40		324.51						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		28.18		329.73						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	02/28/13		28.02		329.89	4,200				3.3	47	73	1,000						
	06/24/13		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM ⁽¹²⁾						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		28.44		329.47						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM (12)						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/30/14		NM- (12)		NM- ⁽¹²⁾	5,500				4.2	250	<1	1,000						
NM- (12)						800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)		Bhi H	on n		Denzene	roluciic	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	NIT DE	200	200	200	Total	Dissolved
MW19	07/24/04		11.32		347.54	8.4				2.12	2.66	1.99	20.4						
TOC: 358.86	03/09/05		11.25		347.61	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3	<3					
	09/26/05	11.29	11.30	0.01	347.57	1,440				38.4	79.2	24.9	150.4	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
	12/21/05		13.13		345.73	<100	<53		<270	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				<1	
	02/22/06		7.96		350.90	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/01/06		9.91		348.95	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/24/06		14.12		344.74	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	11/15/06		18.19		340.67	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/20/07		12.47		346.39	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/24/07		13.63		345.23	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/01/07		14.89		343.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/12/08		13.64		345.22	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		11.98		346.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/09/12		13.56		345.30	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		13.15		345.71						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		15.65		343.21						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		13.72		345.14						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	02/21/13		8.32		350.54	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		12.62		346.24						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		17.21		341.65						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	12/02/13		19.86		339.00						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	03/21/14		12.09		346.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	CA Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾					800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
We	ell ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾		Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Lea	ad ⁽⁹⁾
			(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	SIGH	BRIT	UKI II		Denzene	roluciic	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	WIDE	EDC	200	200	Total	Dissolved
MW20		07/24/04		33.39		326.54	6,440				313	135	85.0	1,450						
тос	359.93	03/09/05	27.86	27.88	0.02	332.07							LNAPL							
		09/26/05	26.16	28.25	2.09	333.35							LNAPL							
		12/20/05		29.08		330.85	13,000	2,400 [×]		2,400 [×]	740	640	330	2,790	<1	<5	<1		4.69	
		02/22/06		24.60		335.33	25,000				710	1,800	710	5,100	<1					
		05/31/06	26.30	26.41	0.11	333.61							LNAPL							
		08/22/06	29.71	29.73	0.02	330.22						LNAPL; a	absorbent so	cks in well						
		11/14/06	36.00	36.00	0.00	323.93						LNAPL; a	absorbent so	cks in well						
		02/20/07	27.19	27.22	0.03	332.73														
		05/22/07	28.82	28.94	0.12	331.09						LNAPL; a	absorbent so	cks in well						
		07/31/07		31.01		328.92						not sample	d; absorbent	socks in we	11					
		02/13/08		28.65		331.28	20,000				450	990	450	3,600						
		03/04/10		27.16		332.77	11,000				390	1,100	390	1,700	<1	<5	<1			
		03/09/12		29.35		330.58	5,800				200	57	310	480						
		06/06/12		27.99		331.94	7,800				220	250	300	910						
		09/11/12		30.64		329.29	5,000				100	21	210	450						
		12/05/12		32.91		327.02	840				<1	2.5	5.9	14						
		02/20/13		24.86		335.07	17,000			-	140	760	620	3,400					-	
		06/26/13		34.23		325.70	8,600				25	98	200	1,200					-	
		09/05/13		38.61		321.32	150			<1	<1	<1	<1	<3				 <1 		
		12/02/13		39.67		320.26				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	imple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	y").			
		03/22/14		33.03		326.90	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Me	CA Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾					800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15	

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Lea	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)							benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾					Total	Dissolved
MW21	10/29/04		29.90		326.51	4,800				200	140	9	470						
TOC: 356.41	03/09/05		28.35		328.06	1,600				92	64	39.0	170	<3					
	09/26/05		NM (11)		NM (11)	<50.0				<1.00	1.76	<1.00	1.59	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
	12/20/05		29.63		326.78	1,700	560 [×]		560 [×]	60	320	41	240	<1	<1	<1		4.52	
	02/22/06		25.00		331.41	130				1.9	6.8	3.4	14.8	<1					
	05/31/06		26.58		329.83	130				2	11	2	20						
	08/23/06		30.31		326.10	340				38	25	8.2	100	<1					
	11/14/06		39.35		317.06					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contair	ners.				•
	02/21/07		27.75		328.66	310				3	30	6.5	47						
	05/23/07		29.69		326.72	<100				2	1	<1	5						
	08/02/07		31.69		324.72	2,500				140	17	65	550						
	02/13/08		29.50		326.91	940				2	6	6	78						
	05/14/08		29.38		327.03						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/04/10		28.65		327.76	370				<1	5	3	32	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12									No	t sampled o	due to inacces	sible wellh	ead.					
	04/16/12			•			D	ECO	ΜM	ISSI	O N E	D							
MW22	10/29/04		30.27		328.25	130				4	<1	<1	19						
TOC: 358.52	03/09/05		26.98		331.54	<50				1	<1	<1	<3	<3					
	09/26/05		NM (11)		NM (11)	<50.0				<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<3.00	<5.00	<1.00				
	12/20/05		28.27		330.25	<100	<53		<260	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		<1	
	02/22/06		23.02		335.50	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/01/06		25.14		333.38	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/24/06		28.25		330.27	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	11/15/06		37.62		320.90	550				5.1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/20/07		26.45		332.07	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/24/07		28.20		330.32	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/02/07		30.72		327.80	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/13/08		27.82		330.70	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		26.55		331.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12									No	t sampled o	ue to inacces	sible wellh	ead.					•
	06/06/12		27.07		331.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/11/12		29.55		328.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/12		28.20		330.32	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/21/13		24.18		334.34	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/25/13		28.84		329.68	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/03/13		36.03		322.49				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	imple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	y").			
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	y").			
	03/21/14		27.92		330.60	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾	•	•	800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)		(6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)			EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB	Total	Dissolved
MW23	10/29/04		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
TOC: 357.08	03/09/05		Dry		Dry														
	09/26/05		39.12		317.96					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
	12/22/05		Dry		Dry														
	02/22/06		38.05		319.03	1,100				4.9	<1	65	7.8	<1					
	06/01/06		38.79		318.29	760				3	2.1	18	22						
	08/22/06		39.12		317.96					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
	11/14/06		39.38		317.70		Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers.												
	02/21/07		38.12		318.96	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/24/07		38.88		318.20	330				1	<1	<1	<3						
	07/31/07		39.10		317.98					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ele contain	ners.				
	02/11/08		38.55		318.53	<100	-			<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		38.46		318.62	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12		38.88		318.20					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
	06/04/12		38.64		318.44						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		39.15		317.93						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		39.11		317.97						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	02/20/13		36.63		320.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		39.09		317.99						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		39.11		317.97						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		39.1		317.98						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/20/14		38.86		318.22	<100 800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾						500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le Total	ad ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved
MW24	10/29/04		26.61		335.36	45.000				440	2.300	570	7,800						
TOC: 361.97	03/09/05		15.85		346.12	19,000				74	210	98	2,700	<30					
100. 301.57	09/27/05		NM ⁽¹¹⁾		NM (11)	478				<1.00	1.08	4.19	82.9	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
	12/22/05		11.01		350.96	<100	<54		<270	<1	<1	1.0	11.8	<1				<1	
	02/22/06		8.91		353.06	<100				<1	<1	<1	4.8	<1					
	06/01/06		9.98		351.99	<100				<1	<1	<1	6						
	08/23/06		20.21		341.76	8,400				<1	32	98	1,930	<1					
	11/15/06		36.05		325.92	16,000				75	250	240	2,870						
	02/21/07		14.24		347.73	460				<1	2	6	78						
	05/22/07		16.73		345.24	5,700				2	29	41	1,000						
	08/01/07		25.59		336.38	9,000				39	140	97	2,400						
	02/12/08		19.68		342.29	1,800				<1	4	4	140						
	02/04/09		21.94		340.03	11.000				27	190	180	2.290	<1					
	07/30/09	26.82	26.82	0.00	335.15	15,000				130	230 ^(ve)	<1	3,400	<1	<1	<1			
	03/04/10		13.43	0.00	348.54	<100				<1	<1	<1	6	<1	<1	<1			
	03/09/12		21.01		340.96	4,400				7.3	39	39	770						
	06/04/12		14.18		347.79						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						1
	09/10/12		25.34		336.63							ampled; gauge	,						
	12/03/12		24.60		337.37							ampled; gauge	,						
	02/28/13		8.73		353.24	1,000				<1	1.7	<1	40						
	06/24/13		NM (12)		NM (12)	-	ı				not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						-
	09/03/13		33.23		328.74							ampled; gauge							
	12/02/13		33.4		328.57	328.57 not sampled; gauged only													
	03/30/14		14.1		347.87	11,000				<1	57	<1	2,200						
MTCA Method A Cle	CA Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾						500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)		(6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)		(7)	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB'-'	Total	Dissolved
MW25	10/29/04		29.40		329.30	57,000	2,700 ^(x)			860	6,700	810	8,700						
TOC: 358.70	03/09/05		27.61		331.09	38,000				6 70	2,700	750	6,500	<150					
	09/27/05		NM (11)		NM ⁽¹¹⁾	20,800				378	1,070	106	4,390	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00			
	12/21/05		28.20		330.50	25,000	2,700 ^(x)		2,700 ^(x)	670	2,600	830	6,700	<1	<5	<1		8.47	
	02/22/06		23.68		335.02	24,000				420	2,300	510	5,400	<1					
	06/01/06		25.56		333.14	25,000				390	2,100	750	6,300						
	08/24/06		28.97		329.73	21,000				320	840	890	6,300	<1					
	11/15/06		36.08		322.62	32,000				65	26	17	6,800						
	02/22/07		26.41		332.29	27,000				370	2,100	730	6,500						
	05/23/07		27.94		330.76	26,000				220	1,400	630	5,800						
	08/02/07		29.75		328.95	24,000				280	770	730	5,200						
	02/12/08		27.80		330.90	22,000				260	1,400	380	4,500						
	03/04/10		26.11		332.59	7,600				30	310	90	1,700	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12									No		lue to inacce		ead.					
	06/04/12		18.99		339.71							impled; gaug	,						
	09/10/12		28.28		330.42														
	12/03/12		30.40		328.30			1	1		1	mpled; gaug	ed only	1	1		1	r	
	02/21/13		23.05		335.65	1,900				1.6	25	31	240						
	06/24/13		29.08		329.62						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		37.48		321.22						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		38.46		320.24						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/30/14		27.64		331.06	300				<1	3.3	2.2	34						
MW26	12/21/05		50.15		313.66	120	100 [×]		<280	1.5	38	1.0	5.5	<1	<1	<1		5.27	
TOC: 363.81	02/22/06		47.67		316.14	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/01/06		45.62		318.19	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/24/06		47.37		316.44	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	11/16/06		49.43		314.38	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/21/07		46.69		317.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/24/07		45.76		318.05	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/03/07		47.19		316.62	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/11/08		47.87		315.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		45.00		318.81	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/07/12		47.48		316.33	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		45.24		318.57						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		46.99		316.82						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		48.14		315.67						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
12/03/12 48.14 315.67 not sampled; gauged only 02/20/13 42.47 321.34 <100																			
	06/24/13		44.34		319.47		-				not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		48.64		315.17		-					impled; gaug							
	12/02/13		48.78		315.03						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	03/22/14		48.34		315.47	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(2)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date' '	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW27	12/21/05		20.23		342.28	34,000	6,000 ^(x)		6,000 ^(x)	15	190	2,300	13,600	<1	<1	<1		4.08	
TOC: 362.51	02/22/06		15.18		347.33	48,000				18	430	2,400	12,600	<1					
	06/01/06		17.00		345.51	41,000				30	580	1,900	11,000						
	08/22/06	21.81	21.82	0.00	340.69							LNAPL							
	11/14/06	25.55	25.55	0.00	336.96						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	02/20/07		17.49		345.02						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	05/22/07	19.86	19.86	0.00	342.65						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	08/01/07		22.38		340.13						not sample	d; absorbent	socks in we	ell					
	02/11/08	18.93	19.00	0.07	343.57						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	03/04/10		16.06		346.45	26,000				<10	290	870	4,800	<1	<1	<1			
	03/09/12		19.16		343.35	23,000				8.5	94	620	3,900						
	06/05/12		17.02		345.49	23,000				7.3	110	720	4,600						
	09/10/12									Not sample	ed due to in	sufficient wat	er above p	ump intak	ke.				
	12/05/12		19.14		343.37	11,000				5.8	69	220	2,800						
	02/28/13		7.28		355.23	5,500				<1	6.9	160	1,300						
	06/26/13		18.85		343.66	9,200				<5	18	180	3,300						
	09/04/13		19.41		343.10	5,900			<5	<5	12	<5	940						
	12/02/13		19.75		342.76				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	water to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	y").			
	03/30/14		NM (11)		NM (11)	1,000				<1	3.7	12	120						
MW28	12/20/05		27.11		331.30	20,000	2,000 ^(x)		2,000 ^(x)	5.7	86	670	6,500	<1	<1	<1		10.7	
TOC: 358.41	02/22/06		23.40		335.01	14,000				3.1	13	390	2,380	<1					
	06/01/06	24.57	24.60	0.03	333.83	8,100				4	17	160	1,300						
	08/22/06				NM							LNAPL							
	11/14/06	28.54	28.54	0.00	329.87						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	02/20/07				NM						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	05/22/07	26.91	26.91	0.00	331.50						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	08/01/07		27.79		330.62						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	02/11/08	26.85	26.86	0.01	331.56						LNAPL;	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	03/04/10		25.56		332.85	7,900				<5	<5	300	970	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12				Dry					No	ot sampled of	due to inacces	sible wellh	ead.					-
	06/04/12		26.66		331.75						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		27.70		330.71						not sa	ampled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		27.86		330.55							ampled; gauge							
	02/20/13		23.80		334.61	3,600				<1	1.8	86	420						
	06/24/13		28.10		330.31 not sampled; gauged only													•	
	09/03/13		29.83		328.58														
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry not sampled; gauged only														
	03/22/14		26.99		331.42	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Wel	Well IDSample Date ⁽¹⁾ LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)					Elevation ⁽⁴⁾							Ethyl-	Total	(-)				Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date ^{(*/}			(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW29		12/20/05	18.40	18.61	0.21	340.49							LNAPL							
TOC:	358.93	02/23/06		9.35		349.58	1,400				<1	<1	19	82	<1					
		06/02/06		10.11		348.82	320				<1	2	3	7						
		08/22/06	17.81	18.18	0.37	341.05							LNAPL					•		
		11/14/06	22.27	22.27	0.00	336.66						LNAPL;	absorbent so	cks in well						
		02/20/07	12.14	12.15	0.01	346.79							LNAPL							
		05/22/07		14.67		344.26	8,100				<1	3	250	760						
		08/01/07		18.29		340.64	20,000				260	16	820	3,100						
		02/12/08		15.85		343.08	11,000				81	<10	310	1,200						
		03/04/10		12.00		346.93	550				<1	<1	7	9	<1	<1	<1			
		03/09/12		13.68		345.25	6,700				1.5	2.7	220	840						
		06/04/12		12.39		346.54						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						-
		09/10/12		18.35		340.58						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		13.85		345.08						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		02/28/13		6.97		351.96	8,500				<1	50	<1	1,400					8.79	3.19
		06/24/13		12.93		346.00						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		NM (11)		NM (11)						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		12/02/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		03/30/14		NM (11)		NM ⁽¹¹⁾	3,500				<1	<1	<1	140					30	1.26
MW30		12/15/05		43.66		312.80	350	640 ^(×)		640 ^(x)	6.9	13	15	96	<1				4.74	
TOC:	356.46	02/22/06		40.25		316.21	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
		05/31/06		38.43		318.03	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		08/24/06		41.59		314.87	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
		11/14/06		43.41		313.05	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		02/22/07		39.19		317.27	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		05/23/07		39.69		316.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		08/02/07		41.16		315.30	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		02/14/08		41.29		315.17	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		05/14/08		39.86		316.60						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		03/03/10		38.71		317.75	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
		03/07/12		41.15		315.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/04/12		38.85		317.61						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		40.73		315.73						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		41.53		314.93						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		02/19/13		36.32		320.14	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/24/13		38.27		318.19						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		40.67		315.79 not sampled; gauged only														
		12/02/13		42.48		313.98						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		03/25/14		41.15		315.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Me	thod A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample		Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾							Ethyl-	Total					Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW31	12/15/05		31.04		326.04	51,000	4,300 ^(x)		4,300 ^(x)	420	260	1,200	7,200	<20				12.2	
TOC: 357.08	02/22/06		29.92		327.16	18,000				160	90	440	2,930	<1					
	05/31/06		29.76		327.32	16,000				180	160	580	3,700					3.51	
	08/24/06		30.63		326.45	22,000				240	170	500	3,470	<1				6.39	6.59
	11/14/06		38.48		318.60					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contair	ners.				
	02/21/07		30.18		326.90	15,000				270	130	490	2,800					9.65	12.0
	05/22/07		30.68		326.40	20,000				210	100	500	3,400					9.48	8.20
	08/03/07		34.76		322.32	30,000				390	160	810	6,600					14.4	13.9
	02/13/08		34.73		322.35	30,000				100	92	730	5,500					44.4	39.9
	05/14/08		33.88		323.20		r			1	not sa	impled; gauge							
	07/29/09		35.01		322.07	1,900				45	1.6	7.9	440 ^{ve}	<1	1.7	<1			
	03/03/10		32.76		324.32	15,000				160	68	160	2,800	<1	<1	<1		15.1	15.1
	03/07/12		36.78		320.30	2,800				7.2	5.2	23	400	<1	<1			26.5	24.6
	06/05/12		34.88		322.20	8,200				19	7.7	17	880						
	09/10/12									Not sample	ed due to in	sufficient wat	er above p	ump intak	æ.				
	12/03/12		32.87		324.21					Not sample	ed due to in	sufficient wat	er above p	ump intak	e.				-
	02/28/13		29.40		327.68	2,000				4.6	<1	19	45					16.1	9.28
	06/26/13		33.02		324.06	170				<1	<1	<1	<3					19.9	3.09
	09/03/13		NM (11)		NM (11)				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	'y").			
	12/02/13		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM ⁽¹²⁾				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	y").			
	03/26/14		NM ⁽¹¹⁾		NM (11)	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW32	12/20/05		23.05		336.90	40,000	2,500 ^(x)		2,500 ^(x)	270	8,000	1,000	9,500	<1	<1	<1		17.5	
TOC: 359.95	02/23/06		19.93		340.02	24,000				67	1,700	580	5,000	<1					
	05/31/06	20.98	21.07	0.09	338.95							LNAPL							
	08/22/06	24.40	24.42	0.02	335.55							LNAPL							
	11/14/06	27.15	27.15	0.00	332.80						LNAPL; a	absorbent soc	ks in well						
	02/20/07		21.56		338.39						LNAPL; a	absorbent soc	cks in well						
	05/22/07		23.29		336.66						LNAPL; a	absorbent soc	cks in well						
	07/31/07		24.86		335.09				1		not sample	d; absorbent	socks in we						
	02/12/08		22.42		337.53	20,000				59	870	410	4,600						
	03/04/10		20.71		339.24	14,000				16	270	320	2,400	<1	<1	<1			
	03/09/12		22.71		337.24	120				3.1	11	1.1	16						
	06/06/12		21.58		338.37	4,300				14	160	87	650						
	09/11/12		24.12		335.83	16,000				170	330	470	3,000						
	12/05/12		24.33		335.62	33,000				29	790	920	6,900						
	02/28/13		17.18		342.77	28,000				23	210	1,000	7,000					9.37	3.94
	06/26/13		28.69		331.26	8,000				11	93	280	1,900						
	09/04/13		28.62		331.33	2,000				<5	5.3	26	150						
	12/02/13		23.61		336.34		1		Not sam	pled due to i		vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ed as "dr	y").			
	03/30/14		21.03		338.92	4,800				5.3	57	57	410					45.2	6.10
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels f	or Groundv	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well	ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾		(0)	(6)	(0)	(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total		(7)	(7)	(0)	Lea	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW33		12/20/05		Dry		Dry														
TOC:	358.24	02/10/06		32.73		325.51	14,000				190	110	670	3,220	<1	<1	<1		7.44	
		05/31/06		33.78		324.46					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		08/22/06		34.24		324.00					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		11/14/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		02/20/07										LNAPL;	absorbent so	cks in well						
		05/22/07		34.24		324.00						LNAPL;	absorbent so	cks in well						
		07/31/07		34.33		323.91						not sample	d; absorbent	socks in we	ell –					
		02/14/08		32.45		325.79	17,000				81	23	210	2,800						
		03/04/10		32.50		325.74	11,000				18	14	300	1,300	<1	<1	<1			
		03/05/12		34.35		323.89					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		06/04/12		34.27		323.97					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		09/10/12		34.49		323.75					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		12/03/12		34.43		323.81					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		02/20/13		29.13		329.11														
		06/24/13		34.26		323.98	323.98 Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers.													
		09/03/13		34.49		323.75														
		12/02/13		34.28		323.96					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		03/22/14		34.51		323.73					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
MW34		01/27/06		7.05		350.83	2,500				<1	<1	22	90	<1	<1	<1		23.7	
TOC:	357.88	02/10/06		4.22		353.66					Sample	for First Qu	arter collecte	ed January 2	27, 2006.					
		06/02/06		10.06		347.82	1,400				<1	3	21	29					4.17	
		08/23/06		13.96		343.92	260				<1	3	<1	<3	<1				NM (13)	NM (13)
		11/14/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	iers.				
		02/20/07		10.22		347.66	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		05/22/07		12.40		345.48	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					4.36	<1
		07/31/07		14.95		342.93					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ers.				
		02/13/08		10.79		347.09	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		03/04/10		9.83		348.05	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	-	07/08/10		12.00		345.88						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only	-					
	-	03/09/12		12.39		345.49	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	-	06/04/12		11.55		346.33						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
		09/10/12		15.52		342.36							impled; gauge							
		12/03/12		8.94		348.94														
		02/21/13		7.05		350.83														
		06/24/13		11.65		346.23	346.23 not sampled; gauged only											•		
		09/03/13		15.9		341.98						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
		12/02/13		Dry		Dry							impled; gauge							
03/20/14 8.78 349.10							<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1			2.45	<1
MTCA Met	hod A Clea	anup Levels f	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾		-	800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well	ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾		(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)		Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)		(0)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW35		01/27/06		38.18		320.28	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1			59.6	
TOC:	358.46	02/22/06		38.54		319.92					First	Quarter san	nple collected	January 27	7, 2006					
		05/31/06		39.62		318.84					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		08/22/06		39.64		318.82					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		11/14/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		02/20/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		05/22/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		07/31/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		02/11/08		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		03/04/10		38.86		319.60					Not sam	pled due to	well not rech	narging afte	er purging.					
		03/05/12		Dry		Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	imple conta	ainers (not	ed as "dı	ry").			
		06/04/12		Dry		Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	imple conta	ainers (not	ed as "dı	ry").			
		09/10/12		Dry		Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	imple conta	ainers (not	ed as "dı	ry").			
		12/03/12		39.32		319.14						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
		02/20/13		37.89		320.57													<1	
		06/24/13		39.46		319.00	119.00 not sampled; gauged only													
		09/03/13		39.66		318.80						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
		12/02/13		39.67		318.79						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
		03/20/14		39.36		319.10	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW36		01/27/06		40.10		317.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		43.4	
TOC:	357.98	02/22/06		40.92		317.06					Sample	for First Qu	arter collecte	ed January 2	27, 2006.					
		06/02/06		41.13		316.85	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					193	
		08/24/06		41.58		316.40	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				NM ⁽¹³⁾	NM ⁽¹³⁾
		11/14/06		43.05		314.93					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		02/20/07		41.15		316.83	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		05/23/07		41.35		316.63	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		08/02/07		42.58		315.40	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		02/14/08		41.35		316.63	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		03/04/10		41.16		316.82	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		2.78	<1
		03/04/10		41.79		316.19														
		03/08/12		41.64		316.34	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/04/12		41.54		316.44						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
		09/10/12		42.83		315.15						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
		12/03/12		42.49		315.49						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						-
		02/20/13		39.12		318.86	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/24/13		41.89		316.09						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	[09/03/13		42.68		315.30						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
		12/02/13		43.16		314.82						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
		03/20/14		42.28		315.70	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Met	thod A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾		800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15	

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	00000(6)	 (6)	a (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GKPH	DRPH	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC	EDB	EDB	Total	Dissolved
MW37	01/27/06		14.70		344.20	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		<1 ^(j)	
TOC: 358.90	02/22/06		17.34		341.56					Sample	e for First Q	uarter collect	ed January	27, 2006.					
	06/02/06		15.62		343.28	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/24/06		22.29		336.61	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				-	
	11/15/06		34.32		324.58	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/21/07		16.56		342.34	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/22/07		18.69		340.21	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/02/07		24.79		334.11	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/13/08		16.45		342.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		13.93		344.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/08/12		19.40		339.50	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		16.90		342.00						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		23.99		334.91						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		22.27		336.63						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	02/21/13		11.58		347.32	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		18.28		340.62						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		30.73		328.17						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		34.48		324.42						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	03/20/14		14.97		343.93	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW38	01/27/06		14.69		349.73	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		<1	
TOC: 364.42	02/22/06		13.52		350.90		-			Sample	e for First Q	uarter collect	ed January	27, 2006.					
	05/31/06		16.85		347.57	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/23/06		23.08		341.34	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	11/14/06		26.36		338.06	<50				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/22/07		16.43		347.99	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/22/07		19.74		344.68	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/01/07		22.84		341.58	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/13/08		18.14		346.28	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		14.80		349.62	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/08/12		19.32		345.10	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		17.61		346.81						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		22.78		341.64						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		21.41		343.01						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	02/21/13		11.30		353.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		20.34		344.08						not s	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		26.23		338.19							ampled; gaug							
	12/02/13		29.36		335.06							ampled; gaug							
MTCA Method A Cle		or Groundy	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1.000	700	1.000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)		(6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(8)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW39	03/21/14		16.15		348.27	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
TOC: 355.88	02/02/06		41.41		314.47	<100			-	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		<3.55	
	02/22/06		40.18		315.70														
	05/31/06		39.52		316.36														
	08/24/06		40.56		315.32														
	11/15/06		43.40		312.48	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/22/07		39.26		316.62	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/23/07		39.80		316.08	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/03/07		41.22		314.66	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/14/08		41.22		314.66	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/03/09		42.11		313.77						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	03/03/10		38.76		317.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/07/12		41.14		314.74	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		39.14		316.74						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		40.86		315.02						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		41.45		314.43						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	02/20/13		36.40		319.48	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		38.38		317.50						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		40.76		315.12							impled; gauge	-						
	12/02/13		42.48		313.40							impled; gauge	-						
	03/25/14		41		314.88 <100 <1 <1 <1 <3														
MTCA Method A Cle	Vethod A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾						500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾		ead ⁽⁹⁾
		(reet)	(reet)	(reet)	(feet)							benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾					Total	Dissolved
MW40	02/03/06		41.71		314.61	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				123	
TOC: 356.32	02/22/06		40.29		316.03				1		-	arter collecte	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y 3, 2006.	1	1			
	06/01/06		39.46		316.86	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	
	08/24/06		41.55		314.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				<1	<1
	11/14/06		43.45		312.87	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
	02/21/07		39.22		317.10	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/24/07		38.75		317.57	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	08/03/07		41.21		315.11	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
	02/14/08		41.30		315.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
	03/03/10		38.77		317.55	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/07/12		41.21		315.11	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		39.11		317.21						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		40.78		315.54						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		41.57		314.75						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	02/20/13		36.42		319.90	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		38.30		318.02	318.02 not sampled; gauged only													
	09/03/13		40.73		315.59	318.02 not sampled; gauged only													
	12/02/13		42.5		313.82						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	03/26/14		41.22		315.10	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW41	02/04/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ple contair	ners.				
TOC: 356.14	02/22/06		40.35		315.79					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ple contair	ners.				
	05/31/06		40.22		315.92					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ple contair	ners.				
	08/22/06		40.22		315.92					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ple contair	ners.				
	11/14/06		40.22		315.92					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill same	ple contair	ners.				
	02/20/07	-	40.23		315.91					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill same	ple contair	ners.				
	05/22/07	-	Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	ple contair	ners.				
	07/31/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill same	ple contair	ners.				
	02/11/08		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill same	ple contair	ners.				
	03/04/10		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill same	ple contair	ners.				
	03/05/12		39.89		316.25				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	ainers (not	ed as "dr	·γ″).			
	06/04/12		39.78		316.36						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		Dry		Dry							impled; gauge	,						
	12/03/12		34.54		321.60							impled; gauge	1						
	02/28/13		35.51		320.63													50.0	
	06/24/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾		1		1		not sa	impled; gauge	ed only			1			_4
	09/03/13		NM (11)		NM ⁽¹¹⁾							impled; gaug							
	12/02/13		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM ⁽¹²⁾					Not sampled		fficient water	,	ole contair	ners.				
	03/26/14		NM ⁽¹¹⁾		NM ⁽¹¹⁾							vater to fill sa				·v″).			
MTCA Method A Cle		for Ground		1		800/1,000(15)	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Sample	Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Result	s (µg/L)						
Well ID		Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le Total	ead ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved
MW42		02/04/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	Ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
TOC: 356	5.43	02/22/06		39.75		316.68					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		05/31/06		39.63		316.80					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		08/22/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		11/14/06		39.71		316.72					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		02/20/07		39.67		316.76					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		05/22/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		03/04/10		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		03/05/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		06/04/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		09/10/12		39.84		316.59						not s	ampled; gau	ged only						
		12/03/12		Dry		Dry														
		02/18/13		39.51		316.92	Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers.													
		06/24/13		39.64		316.79	316.79 not sampled; gauged only													
		09/03/13		39.74		316.69						not s	ampled; gau	ged only						
		12/02/13		Dry		Dry						not s	ampled; gau	ged only						
		03/23/14		Dry		Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient	water to fill s	ample conta	ainers (not	ed as "di	ry").			
MW43		05/31/06		37.43		321.41					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
TOC: 358	8.84	08/22/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		11/14/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		02/20/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		05/22/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ufficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		07/31/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		03/04/10		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ufficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		03/05/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		06/04/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		09/10/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ufficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		12/03/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	ufficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		02/18/13		33.90		324.94					Not sampled	due to insu	Ifficient wate	er to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
		06/24/13		35.12		323.72						not s	ampled; gau	ged only						
		09/03/13		Dry		Dry						not s	ampled; gau	ged only						
		12/02/13		Dry		Dry						not s	ampled; gau	ged only						
		03/27/14		34.71		324.13	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method	A Clea	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Sample	Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Wel	l ID	Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH	DRPH	ORPH	TRPH	Benzene"	Toluene	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	WITBE.	EDC	EDB	EDB.	Total	Dissolved
MW44		05/31/06		38.56		316.37				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
TOC:	354.93	08/22/06		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		11/14/06		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		02/20/07		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		05/22/07		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		07/31/07		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		03/04/10		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		03/05/12		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		06/04/12		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		09/10/12		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		12/03/12	-	Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		02/18/13	-	38.16		316.77				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		06/24/13		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		09/03/13		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		12/02/13		Dry		Dry				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		03/20/14		Dry		Dry			-	Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ed as "dı	ry").			
MW45		05/31/06		Dry		Dry														
TOC:	356.49	08/24/06		37.86		318.63	57,000				920	180	1,900	13,700	<1					
		11/14/06		Dry		Dry			-	1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		02/21/07		37.22		319.27	39,000				700	150	870	10,000						
		05/24/07		37.59		318.90	39,000				470	120	760	9,800						
		08/02/07		38.25		318.24	40,000				430	67	270	11,000						
		02/11/08		37.90		318.59	45,000				76	36	430	8,900						
		05/14/08		37.82		318.67						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		07/29/09		38.06		318.43			-	-	-	not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		03/02/10		37.16		319.33	23,000				54	23	310	3,700	<1	<1	<1			
		03/05/12		38.59		317.90			-	1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		06/06/12		37.00		319.49	6,900				33	7.6	95	1,300						
		09/11/12		38.01		318.48	4,700				10	5.7	<1	540						
		12/03/12		39.37		317.12				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		02/20/13		37.14		319.35	19,000				<1	13	180	2,500					131	73.4
		06/26/13		37.89		318.60	8,300				<1	<1	<1	340						
		09/03/13		39.4		317.09				1	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contain	ners.				
		12/02/13	-	39.46		317.03				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	ample conta	iners (not	ed as "dı	ry").			
		03/23/14		Dry		Dry			-	Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	ample conta	iners (not	ed as "dı	ry").	-		
MTCA Me	thod A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(μg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾
	Dute	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GKPH	DKPH	ОКРН	ТКРП	Denzene	Toluene	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	IVITE	EDC	EDP	EDD	Total	Dissolved
MW46	12/13/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
TOC: 357.00	02/21/07		39.98		317.02	1,100				14	7	13	23						
	05/24/07		40.60		316.40	120				<1	<1	<1	4						
	07/31/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	02/11/08		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	03/03/10		40.31		316.69	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12		42.42		314.58					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	06/04/12		40.40		316.60						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		41.49		315.51						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		41.88		315.12				-		not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only		_				
	02/20/13		38.81		318.19	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					13.7	6.79
	06/24/13		40.20		316.80						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		42.42		314.58						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	03/27/14		Dry		Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	insufficient v	vater to fill sa	ample conta	ainers (not	ed as "di	ſy″).			
MW47	12/13/06		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
TOC: 355.47	02/20/07		41.50		313.97					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	05/22/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	07/31/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	02/11/08		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	03/04/10		41.00		314.47	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/05/12		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
	06/04/12		41.17		314.30						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		Dry		Dry						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		Dry		Dry						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	02/20/13		38.53		316.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					1.50	<1
	06/24/13		39.99		315.48						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		Dry		Dry						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	03/27/14		44.63		310.84					Not sampled	l due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill sam	ple contair	ners.				
MTCA Method A C	eanup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Sample	Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater	Analytical Results (µg/L)
Well ID	D	Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾ DRPH ⁽⁶⁾ ORPH ⁽⁶⁾ TRPH ⁽⁶⁾ Benzene ⁽⁷⁾ Toluene ⁽⁷⁾ Ethyl- Total MTBE ⁽⁷⁾ MTBE ⁽⁷⁾ EDC ⁽⁷⁾ EDB ⁽⁷⁾ EDB ⁽⁸⁾ Lead ⁽⁹⁾
		Dute	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH DRPH ORPH IRPH Benzene Toluene benzene ⁽⁷⁾ Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾ WIBE EDC EDB Total Dissolve
MW48		12/13/06	45.28	46.61	1.33	309.86	LNAPL
TOC: 3	355.41	02/20/07	40.61	41.98	1.37	314.53	LNAPL
		05/22/07	40.75	42.39	1.64	314.33	LNAPL
		07/31/07	42.42	43.88	1.46	312.70	LNAPL
		02/11/08	42.98	43.97	0.99	312.23	LNAPL
		05/06/08	41.21	41.97	0.76	314.05	not sampled; gauged only
		05/08/08	40.98	41.00	0.02	314.43	not sampled; gauged only
		08/19/08	42.60	43.41	0.81	312.65	not sampled; gauged only
		09/12/08	42.98	43.41	0.43	312.34	not sampled; gauged only
		09/18/08	43.34	43.85	0.51	311.97	not sampled; gauged only
		10/03/08	43.63	43.81	0.18	311.74	not sampled; gauged only
		10/09/08		43.91		311.50	not sampled; gauged only
		11/07/08	44.25	45.46	1.21	310.92	not sampled; gauged only
		11/21/08	44.39	45.48	1.09	310.80	not sampled; gauged only
		12/10/08	44.66	45.73	1.07	310.54	not sampled; gauged only
		12/16/08	44.74	45.65	0.91	310.49	not sampled; gauged only
		12/28/08	44.82	45.54	0.72	310.45	not sampled; gauged only
		12/31/08	44.88	45.23	0.35	310.46	not sampled; gauged only
		01/23/09	44.33	45.29	0.96	310.89	not sampled; gauged only
		01/30/09	44.12	44.69	0.57	311.18	not sampled; gauged only
		02/10/09	44.01	44.30	0.29	311.34	not sampled; gauged only
		02/24/09	43.85	44.04	0.19	311.52	not sampled; gauged only
		03/10/09	43.69	44.00	0.31	311.66	not sampled; gauged only
		03/11/09	43.78	43.81	0.03	311.62	not sampled; gauged only
		03/12/09	43.70	43.71	0.01	311.71	not sampled; gauged only
		03/13/09	43.50	43.51	0.01	311.91	not sampled; gauged only
		04/10/09	43.20	43.21	0.01	312.21	not sampled; gauged only
		04/30/09		43.44		311.97	not sampled; gauged only
		06/12/09	42.57	42.58	0.01	312.84	not sampled; gauged only
		08/25/09	43.77	44.09	0.32	311.58	not sampled; gauged only
		09/29/09	44.48	45.11	0.63	310.80	not sampled; gauged only
		10/15/09	44.90	45.59	0.69	310.37	not sampled; gauged only
		11/24/09	44.48	44.68	0.20	310.89	not sampled; gauged only
		01/18/10	42.35	42.45	0.10	313.04	not sampled; gauged only
		02/26/10	40.50	40.63	0.10	314.88	not sampled; gauged only
		03/01/10	40.43	40.56	0.13	314.95	not sampled; gauged only
		04/12/10	39.69	39.80	0.13	315.70	not sampled; gauged only
		05/07/10	39.72	39.83	0.11	315.67	not sampled; gauged only
		06/21/10	40.33	40.64	0.11	315.02	not sampled; gauged only
		07/02/10			0.04		not sampled; gauged only
MTCA Metho			for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾	0.04		800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾ 500 500 500 5 1,000 700 1,000 20 5 0.01 0.01 15 15
MTCA Metho	od A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water			800/1,000 500 500 500 500 5 1,000 700 1,000 20 5 0.01 0.01 15

	Sample	Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Lea Total	ad ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved
MW48 (continued)	08/30/10	42.01	42.30	0.29	313.34						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						·
	09/10/10	42.28	42.42	0.14	313.10						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	10/11/10	43.00	43.30	0.30	312.35							mpled; gauge							
	11/11/10	43.52	43.87	0.35	311.82							mpled; gaug							
	12/06/10	43.73	44.00	0.27	311.63						not sa	mpled; gaug	,						
	12/15/10											not sampled							
	03/18/11		39.04		316.37							mpled; gaug							
	05/02/11		37.91		317.50							mpled; gaug							т
	03/08/12		43.59		311.82	37,000				220	140	770	5,400 ^(ve)						
	06/05/12		40.85		314.56	14,000				<5	13	210	1,900						
	09/11/12		42.51		312.90	24,000				300	130	550	4,300						
	12/04/12		42.80		312.61	21,000				62	<40	390	3,000						
	02/20/13		38.23		317.18	19,000				170	100	620	4,500					5.58	4.07
	06/26/13		39.92		315.49	11,000				<5	12	130	810						
	09/05/13		42.64		312.77	18,000			60	60	55	140	1,100						
	12/03/13		43.82		311.59	19,000				160	76	<5	3,300						
	03/23/14		42.51		312.90	33,000				82	99	680	4,700					52.6	48
MW49	12/20/06		45.72		310.72	2,200				24	2	46	250						
TOC: 356.44	02/21/07		41.61		314.83	14,000				380	60	750	2,700						
	05/24/07		41.85		314.59	21,000				440	62	770	3,400						
	08/03/07		43.32		313.12	12,000				360	29	580	1,300					8.38	2.45
	02/14/08		43.90		312.54	160				<1	<1	<1	7						
	02/05/09		43.90		312.54						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/04/10		41.23		315.21	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/08/12		44.05		312.39	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/05/12		41.38		315.06	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/11/12		43.10		313.34	<100				1.2	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/12		43.25		313.19	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/19/13		38.66		317.78	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/26/13		40.89		315.55	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/05/13		43.32		313.12	<100			<1	<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/13		44.04		312.40	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	04/01/14		42.97		313.47	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	eanup Levels	for Ground	water			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID		Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾							Ethyl-	Total					Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW50		08/03/07		36.22		325.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					11.6	NA ⁷
TOC: 361	.99	02/14/08		34.56		327.43	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		03/02/10		32.23		329.76	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	-	03/08/12		35.03		326.96	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/05/12		33.05		328.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		09/11/12		35.66		326.33	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	-	12/03/12		Dry		Dry				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ted as "dr	y").			
		02/20/13		29.39		332.60	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	-	06/26/13		35.36		326.63	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	-	09/03/13		Dry		Dry				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ted as "dr	y").			
		12/02/13		Dry		Dry				Not sam	oled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ted as "dr	y").			
		03/28/14		35.72		326.27	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW51		08/03/07		41.58		311.08	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	
TOC: 352	.66	02/13/08		41.78	-	310.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					-	
		05/14/08		40.67		311.99						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
		02/05/09		42.47		310.19						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
		03/02/10		39.73		312.93	<100				<1	<1	<1	6	<1	<1	<1		-	
		10/12/10		41.60		311.06	<100	<50	<250		<0.35	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
		03/08/12		41.82		310.84	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/05/12		39.86		312.80	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		09/11/12		41.35		311.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		12/04/12		41.15		311.51	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		02/20/13		36.92		315.74	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/26/13		38.90		313.76	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		09/05/13		41.13		311.53	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		12/04/13		42.23		310.43	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		04/01/14		41.27		311.39	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW52	_	08/03/07		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.				
TOC: 355	.61	02/14/08		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.		1		
	_	03/02/10		41.31		314.30	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	_	03/05/12		Dry		DRY					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.		1		
	_	06/06/12		41.48		314.13	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		09/10/12		43.16		312.45							fficient water							
		12/03/12		43.04		312.57		1	1	-	Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.		1		
		02/20/13		38.77		316.84	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/26/13		40.23		315.38	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		09/03/13		43.22		312.39							fficient water							
		12/02/13		Dry		Dry				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ted as "dr	y").			
		03/27/14		43.3		312.31					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	to fill samp	le contai	ners.				
MTCA Method	A Clea	nup Levels f	or Groundy	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(-)				(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(-)		(0)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ^(/)	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW53	08/03/07		43.32		316.53	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					5.02	<1
TOC: 359.85	02/12/08		43.60		316.25	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3		-				
	03/03/10		41.10		318.75	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/07/12		43.58		316.27	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/05/12		41.15		318.70	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/11/12		43.10		316.75	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/12		44.16		315.69	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/20/13		38.76		321.09	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/26/13		40.73		319.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/05/13		43.12		316.73	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/13		45.04		314.81	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/31/14		43.81		316.04	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW54	08/03/07		13.91		344.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
TOC: 357.93	02/12/08		11.80		346.13	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
	05/14/08		12.41		345.52						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/03/10		10.25		347.68	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	07/08/10		11.36		346.57						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/07/12		12.74		345.19	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		11.45		346.48						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		13.67		344.26						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		13.00		344.93							impled; gauge							
	02/19/13		7.17		350.76	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		10.98		346.95						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						-
	09/03/13		14.19		343.74							impled; gauge							
	12/02/13		16		341.93							impled; gauge							
	03/22/14		10.92		347.01	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW55	08/03/07		43.55		312.95	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					2.99	<1
TOC: 356.50	02/13/08		44.02		312.48	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/04/10		40.62		315.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/08/12		44.18		312.32	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/06/12		40.76		315.74	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/12/12		43.10		313.40	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/05/12		43.78		312.72	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/20/13		38.80		317.70	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/26/13		40.19		316.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/04/13		43.71		312.79	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/13		44.76		311.74	<100				<1	<1	<1	5.8						
	03/31/14		43.63		312.87	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle		for Ground		1		800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1.000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾
		(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	Gium	Bian			Denzene	rondenie	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	WI DL	LDC	200	200	Total	Dissolved
MW56	08/03/07		44.19		313.30	<100				4	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
TOC: 357.49	02/14/08		44.52		312.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/14/08		43.00		314.49						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	02/03/09		45.40		312.09	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	03/03/10		41.88		315.61	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/06/12		44.63		312.86	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/06/12		42.25		315.24	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/12/12		43.82		313.67	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/05/12		44.24		313.25	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/19/13		39.41		318.08	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/26/13		42.79		314.70	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/04/13		44.39		313.10	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/03/13		45.60		311.89	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/31/14		44.00		313.49	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW57	08/03/07		44.16		312.26	18,000				360	37	320	3,900					3.17	3.33
TOC: 356.42	02/13/08		44.59		311.83	10,000				150	21	370	1,700						
	05/14/08		42.87		313.55						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						-
	03/03/10		41.80		314.62	14,000				240	51	610	3,600	<1	2.9	<1			
	10/12/10		44.50		311.92						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						-
	03/07/12		44.38		312.04	2,100				9.7	2.3	87	160						
	06/04/12		41.88		314.54			•			not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only			•			-
	09/10/12		43.60		312.82						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		43.34		313.08						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	02/28/13		39.41		317.01	3,100				25	10	<1	710						
	06/24/13		43.02		313.40						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						·
	09/03/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM (12)							ampled; gaug							
	03/26/14		NM (12)		NM (12)	3,600				<1	9.1	51	410						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground		·	•	800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15



TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾		Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾
		(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GIAPH	DINFIL	ORFIT	The fit	Denzene	Toluelle	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	WITDL	LUC		200	Total	Dissolved
MW58	08/02/07		43.25		312.15	<100				2	<1	4	3					1.37	<1
TOC: 355.40	02/13/08		43.55		311.85	360				5	1	13	12						
	05/14/08		41.93		313.47				_		not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/03/10		40.88		314.52	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	2.4	<1			
	10/12/10		43.52		311.88						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/07/12		43.74		311.66	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/06/12		41.33		314.07	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/11/12		42.89		312.51	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/05/12		43.30		312.10	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/21/13		38.46		316.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/26/13		40.22		315.18	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/04/13		42.99		312.41	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/13		43.83		311.57	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/26/14		12.90		342.50	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW59	08/02/07		43.26		313.25	140				<1	<1	<1	<3					3.04	<1
TOC: 356.51	02/14/08		43.66		312.85	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/14/08		42.01		314.50					-	not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	02/03/09		45.51		311.00	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	03/03/10		40.85		315.66	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	03/06/12		43.70		312.81	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/06/12		41.33		315.18	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/12/12		42.90		313.61	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/05/12		43.28		313.23	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/19/13		38.46		318.05	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/26/13		41.69		314.82	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/04/13		43.21		313.30	<101				<1	<1	<1	5.2						
	12/04/13		44.71		311.80	<101				<1	<1	<1	5.2						
	03/26/14		42.12		314.39	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾	•	•	800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID		Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(2)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date' '	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW60		08/03/07		43.52		315.06	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					20.5	1.94
TOC: 358	8.58	02/14/08		43.88		314.70	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		03/04/10		41.64		316.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	1.1	<1			
		03/08/12		44.03		314.55	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		06/06/12		41.78		316.80	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		09/12/12		43.19		315.39	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		12/05/12		44.07		314.51	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		02/20/13		39.64		318.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/26/13		41.44		317.14	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		09/04/13		43.37		315.21	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		12/04/13		45.10		313.48	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		03/31/14		43.88		314.70	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW61		08/03/07		13.18		343.99	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					1.34	<1
TOC: 357	7.17	02/12/08		9.65		347.52	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		03/04/10		8.21		348.96	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
		03/08/12		10.56		346.61	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/04/12		10.06		347.11						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		12.11		345.06						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		7.97		349.20						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		02/21/13		5.15		352.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					-	
		06/24/13		10.30		346.87		-		-	-	not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only	-		-			
		09/03/13		13.70		343.47						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/02/13		15.80		341.37						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		03/27/14		8.29		348.88	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW62		08/03/07		14.47		346.03	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
TOC: 360	0.50	02/12/08		10.19		350.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		03/03/10		8.64		351.86	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
		03/08/12		12.05		348.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/04/12		10.82		349.68						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		14.59		345.91						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		9.73		350.77						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		02/21/13		5.09		355.41	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		06/24/13		11.62		348.88						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		16.35		344.15						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/02/13		16.8		343.70						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		03/27/14		9.72		350.78	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method	A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	Depth to LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Depth to Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	LNAPL Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Groundwater Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	Analytical Results (µg/L)													
Well ID						GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Lead ⁽⁹⁾	
						GREN	DAFH	UNPH	INPR									Total	Dissolved
MW63	08/03/07		42.85		312.26	190				9	<1	8	14					8.21	2.08
TOC: 355.11	02/13/08		43.11		312.00	240				5	<1	9	11						
	05/14/08		41.56		313.55	not sampled; gauged only													
	02/03/09		44.13		310.98						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/02/10		40.51		314.60	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	10/12/10		43.14		311.97	not sampled; gauged only													
	03/08/12		43.34		311.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/05/12		40.93		314.18	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/11/12		42.59		312.52	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/12		42.93		312.18	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/19/13		38.10		317.01	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/25/13		39.94		315.17	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/05/13		42.69		312.42	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/13		43.76		311.35	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	04/01/14		42.69		312.42	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW64 TOC: 355.18	08/02/07		40.51		314.67	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
	02/13/08		40.39		314.79	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	05/14/08		39.34		315.84	not sampled; gauged only													
	02/03/09		41.59		313.59	not sampled; gauged only													
	03/02/10		38.09		317.09	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	10/12/10		40.76		314.42	not sampled; gauged only													
	03/08/12		40.59		314.59	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		38.48		316.70	not sampled; gauged only													
	09/10/12		40.20		314.98	not sampled; gauged only													
	12/03/12		40.89		314.29	not sampled; gauged only													
	02/21/13		35.75		319.43	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		37.70		317.48	not sampled; gauged only													
	09/03/13		40.07		315.11	not sampled; gauged only													
	12/02/13		41.8		313.38		not sampled; gauged only												
	03/28/14		41.06		314.12	<101				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾						800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15
TABLE 2																			

Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results																			
June 1992 - April 2014																			
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA																			

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(7)	. (7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(8)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW65	05/14/08		40.37		312.71	<100				8.6	<1	<1	<3					2.69	<1
TOC: 353.08	02/03/09		42.89		310.19	<100				6.1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	03/02/10		39.32		313.76	<100				3	3	1	6	<1	<1	<1			
	07/08/10		39.65		313.43						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	10/12/10		41.92		311.16						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only				-		
	03/07/12		42.14		310.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	06/05/12		39.76		313.32	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/11/12		41.63		311.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/05/12		41.00		312.08	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	02/19/13		36.95		316.13	<100				0.61	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/25/13		38.66		314.42	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/04/13		41.33		311.75	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/03/13		42.71		310.37	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	04/01/14		41.19		311.89	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW66	05/14/08		41.27		314.48	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					2.00	<1
TOC: 355.75	03/03/10		40.16		315.59	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	07/08/10		40.50		315.25						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/07/12		42.97		312.78	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/05/12		40.61		315.14	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/11/12		42.16		313.59	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/12		42.52		313.23	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	02/20/13		37.72		318.03	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/26/13		40.87		314.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	09/04/13		42.51		313.24	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	12/04/13		43.70		312.05	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	03/23/14		42.30		313.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW67	05/14/08		12.79		342.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
TOC: 355.73	03/01/10		11.71		344.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	07/08/10		12.88		342.85						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						-
	03/06/12		14.43		341.30	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		12.64		343.09						not sa	mpled; gaug							
	09/10/12		15.22		340.51							mpled; gaug							
	12/03/12		15.42		340.31							mpled; gaug							
	02/19/13		9.83		345.90	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		12.25		343.48							mpled; gaug	_						
	09/03/13		15.51		340.22							mpled; gaug							
	12/02/13		18.45		337.28							mpled; gaug	-						
	03/28/14		13.05		342.68	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle		for Ground		1		800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾							Ethyl-	Total					Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW68	05/14/08		12.54		342.57	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
TOC: 355.11	03/01/10		11.29		343.82	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	07/08/10		12.60		342.51						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	03/06/12		14.10		341.01	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		12.31		342.80						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		14.91		340.20							impled; gaug							
	12/03/12		14.90		340.21							impled; gaug							
	02/19/13		9.56		345.55	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		12.07		343.04						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		15.22		339.89						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		17.94		337.17						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	04/01/14		12.42		342.69	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW69	05/14/08		41.59		312.17	15,000				14	1.3	380 ^{ve}	1,028 ^(ve)					9.01	2.08
TOC: 353.76	02/03/09		44.20		309.56	19,000				9.4	1.5	450	2,000	<1					
	02/05/09		44.01		309.75	-					not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	07/30/09		43.25		310.51	6,800				6.7	1.2	11	580	<1	<1	<1			
	03/02/10		40.56		313.20	8,200				11	12	250	1,100	<1	<1	<1			
	03/06/12		42.74		311.02	5,400				1.5	<1	100	440	<1	<1				
	06/05/12		40.19		313.57	9,700				2,6	15	220	900	<1					
	09/12/12		41.77		311.99	7,900				7.2	13	170	750	<1					
	12/04/12		41.69		312.07	200				1.5	<1	<1	2.8	<1	<1				
	02/28/13		37.54		316.22	7,600				1.5	1.8	130	964	<1					
	06/24/13		38.96		314.80					Not sample	ed due to re	mediation sy	stem offlin	e for repai	irs.				
	09/03/13		NM (11)		NM (11)							not sampled							
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM (12)			N	lot sample	d due to insu	ufficient wat	er to fill sam	ple containe	ers (noted	as "no w	ater").			
	03/31/14		NM (12)		NM (12)				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	ample conta	ainers (not	ed as "dr	y").			
MW70	05/14/08		41.70		312.47	160				9.9	<1	<1	<3					3.23	<1
TOC: 354.17	02/03/09		44.22		309.95	390				20	<1	<1	15	<1					
	03/02/10		40.62		313.55	<100				7	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	07/08/10		40.90		313.27				•	•	not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only	•					
	10/12/10		43.23		310.94						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	03/06/12		42.47		311.70	280				7.6	<1	<1	4.1	<1	<1				
	06/05/12		40.18		313.99	<100				2.3	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/12/12		42.01		312.16	<100				2.1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/04/12		41.83		312.34	<100				1.5	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	02/28/13		37.74		316.43	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/24/13		39.28		314.89				-	Not sample	ed due to re	mediation sy	stem offlin	e for repai	irs.		•	·	-*
	09/04/13		NM (11)		NM (11)	<100			<1	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM (12)			N	lot sample	d due to insu	ufficient wat	er to fill sam	ple containe	ers (noted	as "no w	ater").	-		
	03/28/14		NM (11)		NM (11)	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MTCA Method A Cle		for Ground		•	•	800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well	ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ead ⁽⁹⁾
		Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH	DKPH	ORPH	ТКРН	Benzene	Toluene.	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	IVIT BE	EDC	EDB	EDB	Total	Dissolved
MW71		10/09/08		15.32		332.60	240,000	14,000 ^(x)	720		38,000	52,000	3,300	16,800	<50	<50	<50		13.3	14.1
TOC:	347.92	07/29/09	13.98	15.34	1.36	333.67							LNAPL							
		03/01/10	10.42	10.91	0.49	337.40							LNAPL							
		3/24/14		12.99	0.29	335.16				Not s	ampled due	delayed ap	proval from p	property ow	ner to sar	nple well	•			
MW72	_	10/09/08		17.90		329.48	160,000	5,300 ^(x)	<250		13,000	34,000	3,200	18,600	<10	<10	<10		2.76	2.99
TOC:	347.38	07/29/09		16.67		330.71	98,000				9,600	24,000 ^(ve)	1,900	15,700	<1	<1	1.4			
		03/01/10		13.03		334.35	520				22	45	14	37	<1	<1	<1			
		3/24/14		16.18	0.49	331.59				Not s	ampled due	delayed ap	proval from p	property ow	ner to sar	nple well	•			
MW73		10/09/08		39.88		307.45	64,000	4,300 ^(x)	<250		12,000	5,900	1,100	6,400	190	<10	<10		2.36	<1
TOC:	347.33	07/29/09		39.28		308.05	83,000				18,000 ^(ve)	8,300	720	3,800	71	<1	<1			
		03/01/10		36.57		310.76	79,000				20,000	7,400	1,700	6,900	120	<1	<1			
		03/24/14		38.60		308.73														
MW74		10/09/08		39.35		308.59					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contair	ners.				
TOC:	347.94	03/01/10		36.91		311.03	75,000				26,000	3,500	860	3,800	720	<1	<1			
		03/24/14		36.59		311.35				Not s	ampled due	delayed ap	proval from p	property ow	ner to sar	nple well				
MW75		11/07/08		44.64		310.14	<100				<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		19.9	<1
TOC:	354.78	03/02/10		40.44		314.34	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
		03/07/12		43.47		311.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		02/19/13		32.28		322.50	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
		04/03/14		43.91		310.87	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW76		02/03/09		40.18		311.51	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				3.46	<1
TOC:	351.69	03/01/10		37.28		314.41	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
		07/08/10		37.75		313.94						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		10/12/10		40.43		311.26						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		03/06/12		40.24		311.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
		06/04/12		37.89		313.80						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		39.80		311.89						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	-	12/03/12		39.63		312.06														
	-	02/19/13		35.11		316.58	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	-	06/24/13		37.25		314.44						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						-
	-	09/03/13		39.94		311.75							impled; gaug							-
	-	12/02/13		40.95		310.74						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
		03/27/14		39.01		312.68	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MTCA Met	Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾						800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xvlenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le Total	ad ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved
MW77	02/03/09		40.09		309.86	<100				-1	-1	<1	<3	<1					
TOC: 349.95	02/03/09		36.51		309.86	<100				<1 <1	<1 <1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1		5.21	<1
100. 549.95	03/01/10		36.91		313.04	<100				<1		× ۱ mpled; gaug	-	<1	1	<1			
	10/12/10		39.22		313.04	<100	<50	<250		<1	<1	<1 <1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
	03/06/12		39.22		310.75	<100		~230		<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	06/05/12		37.04		312.91	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/11/12		38.65		312.31	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/04/12		37.33		312.62	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	02/19/13		34.20		315.75	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/25/13		36.07		313.88	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/04/13		38.53		311.42	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/04/13		39.93		310.02	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	03/27/14		38.54		311.41	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW78	02/03/09		37.32		312.58	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				2.61	<1
TOC: 349.90	03/01/10		34.57		315.33	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1			
	10/12/10		37.30		312.60			1	l.			mpled; gaug	-	1 -					
	03/06/12		36.88		313.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	06/04/12		35.06		314.84						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		36.73		313.17						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		37.06		312.84							impled; gaug	-						
	02/19/13	-	32.38		317.52	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/24/13		34.34		315.56						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		36.72		313.18	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient water	r to fill samp	ole contair	iers.				
	04/01/14		36.33		313.57	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW79	07/08/10		13.41		340.57	<100				<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
TOC: 353.98	03/07/12		13.39		340.59	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		12.78		341.20						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only			<u>,</u>			-
	09/10/12	-	16.91		337.07						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		14.10		339.88						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	02/19/13	-	9.07		344.91	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13	-	13.79		340.19							impled; gaug							
	09/03/13		Dry		Dry Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers.														
	12/02/13		Dry		Dry					Not sampled	due to insu	fficient wate	r to fill samp	ole contair	ers.				
	03/23/14		10.53		343.45	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

TABLE 2
Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results
June 1992 - April 2014
TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	· · · · · (5)	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾		(6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total				(8)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW80	07/08/10		14.22		339.61	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
TOC: 353.83	10/12/10		18.69		335.14				-		not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/07/12		14.30		339.53	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		13.42		340.41						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		17.28		336.55						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		15.41		338.42						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	02/19/13		9.87		343.96	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		14.43		339.40						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		18.16		335.67						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/02/13		20.4		333.43				_		not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/23/14		11.7		342.13	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW81	07/08/10		40.78		314.82	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
TOC: 355.60	10/12/10		43.02		312.58						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	03/06/12		43.22		312.38	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		40.73		314.87						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		42.49		313.11						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		42.67		312.93						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	02/19/13		38.00		317.60	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		40.80		314.80						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		42.67		312.93						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/02/13		43.95		311.65						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/23/14		42.45		313.15	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW82	07/08/10		26.74		328.85	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
TOC: 355.59	10/12/10		29.64		325.95						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/07/12		28.58		327.01	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/04/12		28.99		326.60						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/10/12		29.63		325.96						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/03/12		29.51		326.08						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	02/19/13		27.87		327.72	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		29.60		325.99						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		29.59		326.00						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	12/02/13		29.66		325.93						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/23/14		26.3		329.29				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	mple conta	iners (not	ed as "d	ry").			
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le Total	ad ⁽⁹⁾ Dissolved
MW83	07/08/10		19.56		334.02	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		16.1	<1
TOC: 353.58	10/12/10		28.74		324.84		1		1		not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
	11/21/11						DECOMM	NISSIO	NED (R	EPLACED			,						
MW84	10/12/10		44.29		309.46	1,900	270 ^(x)	<250		0.71	<1	17	48	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
TOC: 353.75	03/07/12		42.66		311.09	680				<1	1.6	5	14	<1	<1				
	06/05/12		40.78		312.97	990				<1	2.5	11	28	<1					
	09/12/12		42.09		311.66	1,200				2.0	2.9	8.5	28	<1					
	12/05/12		42.02		311.73	1,000				0.45	<1	17	41	<1	<1				
	02/28/13		37.90		315.85	4,700				1.9	2.0	150	551	<1					
	06/24/13					.,	I.		No		-	to remediati			repairs.				
	07/12/13					240				< 0.35	<1	1.1	3.9	<1	<1	<1			
	09/03/13		NM (11)		NM (11)	130				<1	<1	1.1	<3	<1					
	12/03/13		43.38		310.37	1,400				< 0.35	<1	7.3	31.2	<1					
	03/30/14		42.63		311.12	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW85	10/11/10				WELL		D DURIN	GINST	ALLAT				-						
TOC: 351.28	03/06/12		40.48		310.80	<100				3.1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1			<1	<1
	06/05/12		38.25		313.03	<100				1.8	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/11/12		39.83		311.45	<100				1.4	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/04/12		39.73		311.55	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	02/19/13		35.44		315.84	<100				0.46	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/25/13		37.21		314.07	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/04/13		39.78		311.50	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/03/13		41.18		310.10	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	04/01/14		39.87		311.41	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW86	10/12/10		41.89		310.83	1,100	130 ^(x)	<250		1.9	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
TOC: 352.72	03/06/12		42.02		310.70	140				3.8	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	06/05/12		39.74		312.98	130				1.1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/11/12		41.24		311.48	1,600				2.6	5.8	3.1	4.5	<1					
	12/04/12		41.12		311.60	860				0.77	<1	1.7	4.6	<1	<1				
	02/19/13		36.95		315.77	<100				1.1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/25/13		38.69		314.03	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/04/13		41.20		311.52	1,100				1.9	3.7	1.7	3.6	<1					
	12/03/13		42.70		310.02	790				0.71	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	04/01/14		41.22		311.50	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MTCA Method A Cle		for Ground			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1.000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15	

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \\ \mbox{et}) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \\ \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \\ \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \\ \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \\ \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Hom}(a) \end{array} \begin{tabular}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Groundwater ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Thickness ⁽³⁾ (feet)	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾ (feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾			MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total Di <1	
MW87	10/12/10		39.03		310.69	<100	<f.0< td=""><td><250</td><td></td><td><0.35</td><td><i></i>1</td><td></td><td></td><td><1</td><td><1</td><td>-1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></f.0<>	<250		<0.35	<i></i> 1			<1	<1	-1			
TOC: 349.72	03/06/12		38.89		310.83														
100. 549.72	06/04/12		36.92		312.80	<100				<1		-	-	<1	<1				
	09/10/12		38.53		311.19														
	12/03/12		38.46		311.19								,						
	02/19/13		34.10		315.62	<100				<0.35				<1					T
	06/24/13		36.10		313.62	<100				×0.55			-	1					
	09/03/13		38.54		311.18														
	12/02/13		39.77		309.95							1 10 0							
	03/27/14		38.17		311.55	<100				<1	T		· · · ·	<1					
MW88	10/12/10		22.11		329.52		<50	<250					-		<1			<1	
TOC: 351.63	03/06/12		14.91		336.72														-
	06/04/12		15.13		336.50								ed only						4
	09/10/12		20.05		331.58														
	12/03/12		19.04		332.59														
	02/19/13		9.74		341.89	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		16.45		335.18						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	09/03/13		20.38		331.25						not sa	impled; gauge	ed only						
	12/02/13		22.83		328.80						not sa	mpled; gauge	ed only						
	03/27/14		18.54		333.09	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MW89	10/12/10		42.66		311.20	<100	<50	<250		<0.35	<1	<1	<2	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1
TOC: 353.86	03/06/12		42.89		310.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	06/05/12		40.51		313.35	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/11/12		42.08		311.78	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/04/12		42.12		311.74	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1				
	02/19/13		37.69		316.17	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/25/13		39.31		314.55	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	09/04/13		42.09		311.77	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	12/03/13		43.26		310.60	<100				<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	04/01/14	-	42.07		311.79	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	(5)	(5)	(5)	(6)	(7)	. (7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(8)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW90	03/05/12	24.66	24.75	0.09	338.19							LNAPL							•
TOC: 362.87	06/04/12	22.19	22.33	0.14	340.65							LNAPL							
	09/10/12	24.80	25.18	0.38	337.99							LNAPL							
	12/03/12		28.69		334.18						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only		-				
	02/28/13	19.05	19.10	0.05	343.81	30,000				27	1,900	770	5,500					1.19	<1
	06/24/13		34.65		328.22						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		34.96		327.91						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	03/30/14		23.19		339.68	18,000				10	990	210	3,500					4.08	<1
MW91	03/08/12		24.87		337.80	15,000				36	95	410	3,100					15.9	<1
TOC: 362.67	06/04/12	23.49	23.50	0.01	339.18							LNAPL							
	09/10/12	26.29	26.48	0.19	336.34							LNAPL							
	12/03/12		26.64		336.03						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	02/28/13		19.58		343.09	22,000				41	380	750	5,400					3.01	<1
	06/24/13		32.33		330.34						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		32.62		330.05						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		32.61		330.06						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	03/30/14		22.64		340.03	2,200				<1	51	33	270					5.35	<1
MW92	03/06/12		45.45		312.46	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					4.19	<1
TOC: 357.91	06/04/12	-	42.95		314.96						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		41.12		316.79						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		44.61		313.30						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	02/28/13		39.78		318.13	<100				1.1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		44.45		313.46						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		44.71		313.20						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	03/26/14		44.3		313.61	<100				1.1	<1	<1	<3						
MW93	03/06/12		43.00		312.97	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					5.60	<1
TOC: 355.97	06/04/12	-	40.64		315.33						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		Dry		Dry						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		41.83		314.14						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	02/28/13		37.76		318.21	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		41.18		314.79						not sa	impled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		41.91		314.06	······································													
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾	NM ⁽¹²⁾ not sampled; gauged only													
	03/26/14		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3						
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

		Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	tical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	(6)	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	(6)	- (7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH**	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ^C	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC"	EDB"	EDB'-'	Total	Dissolved
MW94	03/06/12		45.13		312.81	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
TOC: 357.94	06/04/12		43.22		314.72						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		Dry		Dry						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		39.83		318.11						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	02/28/13		38.16		319.78	<100				8.9	1.1	<1	<3						
	06/24/13		NM (12)		NM ⁽¹²⁾						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM ⁽¹²⁾						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM (12)						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/26/14		NM (12)		NM (12)				Not sam	pled due to i	nsufficient v	vater to fill sa	imple conta	iners (note	ed as "dr	·γ″).			
MW95	03/07/12		42.95		311.72	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1			2.74	<1
TOC: 354.67	06/04/12		40.56		314.11						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		42.70		311.97						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		42.42		312.25						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	02/28/13		37.92		316.75	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/24/13		39.60		315.07						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		41.97		312.70						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		43.97		310.70						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/28/14		43.35		311.32	330				<1	<1	<1	36	<1					
MW96	03/07/12		44.01		311.99	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1			11.4	<1
TOC: 356.00	06/04/12		41.44		314.56	•					not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		45.50		310.50						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/03/12		42.19		313.81						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	02/28/13		37.59		318.41	240				6.0	<1	<1	54	<1					
	06/24/13		40.63		315.37	•					not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		47.44		308.56						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	12/02/13		NM (12)		NM (12)						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	03/28/14		43.25		312.75	200				3.6	1	8	34.0	<1					
MW97	03/07/12		43.18		311.11	420				9.4	<1	<1	3.4	<1	<1			2.07	<1
TOC: 354.29	06/04/12		40.79		313.50						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/10/12		42.06		312.23							mpled; gaug							
	12/03/12		41.83		312.46							mpled; gaug							
	02/28/13		37.62		316.67	110				1.7	<1	<1	<3	<1					
	06/24/13		39.23		315.06						not sa	mpled; gaug	ed only						
	09/03/13		41.43		312.86							mpled; gaug							
	12/02/13		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM (12)							mpled; gaug	,						
	03/28/14		42.35		311.94	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MTCA Method A Cle		for Ground				800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1.000	700	1.000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

			Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Anal	ytical Results	(μg/L)						
Well	ID	Sample	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾		(6)		(0)	(7)	(7)	Ethyl-	Total	(7)	(7)	(7)	(0)	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
		Date ⁽¹⁾	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾	ORPH ⁽⁶⁾	TRPH ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Toluene ⁽⁷⁾	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Total	Dissolved
MW98		03/08/11		43.04		311.71	3,800				13	4.6	56	130	<1	<1			1.87	<1
TOC:	354.75	06/04/12		40.73		314.02						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		43.30		311.45						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		42.27		312.48						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only	-					
		02/28/13		38.03		316.72	810				7.6	1.5	13	45	<1					
		06/24/13		39.65		315.10						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		41.89		312.86							ampled; gaug							
		12/02/13		43.49		311.26			1	1	1		ampled; gaug		1	1	1			-1
		03/30/14		42.46		312.29	<100				2.1	<1	<1	<3	<1					
MW99		03/06/12		42.47		311.11	<100				2.1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1			1.08	<1
TOC:	353.58	06/04/12		40.45		313.13							ampled; gaug							
		09/10/12		Dry		Dry							ampled; gaug							
		12/03/12		38.04		315.54			1	1	1		ampled; gaug	ed only	1	1	-			
		02/28/13		37.48		316.10	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					
		06/24/13		NM (12)		NM (12)						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM (12)						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/02/13		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM (12)							ampled; gaug							
		03/31/14		NM ⁽¹²⁾		NM ⁽¹²⁾				Not sam			water to fill sa	T .	ainers (not	ted as "d	ry").	-		
MW100		03/06/12		15.73		340.02	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					50.6	1.15
TOC:	355.75	06/04/12		15.61		340.14						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		19.18		336.57						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		17.48		338.27						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						-
		02/19/13		11.45		344.30	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
		06/24/13		16.15		339.60						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		19.73		336.02						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/02/13		25.36		330.39						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		03/23/14		14.05		341.70	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1
MW101		03/06/12		40.90		311.15	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1			22.6	<1
TOC:	352.05	06/04/12		38.99		313.06						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/10/12		40.54		311.51						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/03/12		43.95		308.10						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		02/28/13		36.11		315.94	<100				< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1				20.3	1.45
		06/24/13		37.66		314.39						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		09/03/13		39.98		312.07						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		12/02/13		NM (12)		NM (12)						not sa	ampled; gaug	ed only						
		03/30/14		40.36		311.69	<100				<1	<1	<1	<3	<1				<1	<1
MW102		07/12/13		14.7		337.69	180,000	8,400 ^(x)	<250		9,600	48,000	3,100	20,100	52	120	<1	0.88 ^(ve)	15.6	16.7
TOC:	352.39	03/30/14		16.92	1.63	336.77						See Tab	le 4 for samp	le results.						
MW103		07/12/13		40.56		311.65	2,900	1,500 ^(x)	<250		1,400	64	100	240	260	37	<1	0.094	3.16	3.34
TOC: 3	352.21	04/03/14		43.27		308.94	<100	<50	<250		<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	< 0.01	2.76	<1
MW104		07/12/13		12.62		340.38	58,000	11,000 ^(x)	320 ^(x)		17	3,200	2,600	14,600	<1	<1	<1	0.034	<1	<1
	353.00	04/01/14		10.48		342.52	35,000	14,000 ^(x)	830 ^(x)		78	4,700	1,100	4,400	<1	<1	<1	0.19 ^(ca)	<1	<1
MTCA Met	hod A Cle	anup Levels	for Ground	water ⁽¹⁴⁾			800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

 TABLE 2

 Summary of Historical Groundwater Elevation and Quality Results

 June 1992 - April 2014

 TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

	Comple	Depth to	Depth to	LNAPL	Groundwater						Analy	rtical Results	(µg/L)						
Well ID	Sample Date ⁽¹⁾	LNAPL ⁽²⁾	Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Thickness ⁽³⁾	Elevation ⁽⁴⁾	GRPH ⁽⁵⁾	DRPH ⁽⁶⁾		торц ⁽⁶⁾	Benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Teluene ⁽⁷⁾	Ethyl-	Total	MTBE ⁽⁷⁾	EDC ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	EDB ⁽⁸⁾	Le	ad ⁽⁹⁾
	Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH	DKPH	ORPH	TRPH	Benzene	Toluene	benzene ⁽⁷⁾	Xylenes ⁽⁷⁾	IVIT BE	EDC	EDB.	EDB	Total	Dissolved
MW105	07/12/13		39.83		313.22	<100	<50	<250		<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<0.01	<1	<1
TOC: 353.05	03/25/14		42.26		310.79	Not sampled due to insufficient water to fill sample containers (noted as "dry").													
MW106	07/12/13		14.54		334.70	<100	140 ^(x)	<250		< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<0.01	<1	<1
TOC: 349.24	04/02/14		8.67		340.57	<100	250 ^(x)	<250		<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<0.01	<1	<1
MW107	07/12/13		37.41		312.15	<100	62 ^(x)	<250		0.52	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<0.01	<1	<1
TOC: 349.56	04/02/14		39.16		310.40	<100	93 ^(x)	<260		<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<0.01	<1	<1
MTCA Method A Cle	CA Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater ⁽¹⁴⁾					800/1,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	500	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	0.01	15	15

NOTES:

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater.

Data collected since December 2005; laboratory analysis by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle WA.

Data collected from September-December 2005; laboratory analysis by North Creek Analytical, Inc. of Bothell WA. Data collected prior to July 8, 2005 provided by previous consultants.

According to SoundEarth Strategies, Inc., the LNAPL/groundwater level measurements had been corrected for differences between instruments based on the measurements recorded for MW09.

- ⁽¹⁾ The recorded date is the date of the groundwater quality sampling. However, if the well was not sampled, the date recorded is the water level measurement date.
- ⁽²⁾ LNAPL/groundwater level as measured from a marked measuring point on the well casing rim.

⁽³⁾ Calculated by subtracting the depth to LNAPL from the depth to groundwater.

⁽⁴⁾ Groundwater elevation measured relative to a temporary benchmark (data from previous consultants). Since July 2005, groundwater elevations corrected for LNAPL thickness, assuming specific gravities of 0.80 for gasoline, and 1.0 for groundwater.

- ⁽⁵⁾ Analyzed by WTPH-G between 1992 and 2002, and Method NWTPH-Gx since 2004.
- ⁽⁶⁾ Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Dx.
- ⁽⁷⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8260B, 8021B, or 8260C.
- ⁽⁸⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8011.
- ⁽⁹⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 200.8.

⁽¹⁰⁾ MW09 was sampled using three different methods. The highest reported concentrations are included in this table starting with the 2013 sampling events.

- ⁽¹¹⁾ During measurement, TP was encountered prior to LNAPL/groundwater level.
- ⁽¹²⁾ Unable to gauge 2-inch well (probe diameter too large to fit past pump tubing).
- ⁽¹³⁾ Insufficient recharge to fill specified sample containers.
- ⁽¹⁴⁾ MTCA Method A cleanup levels, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the WAC, revised November 2007.

 $^{(15)}$ 800 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is present and 1,000 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is not present.

LABORATORY NOTES:

^(ca) The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

- ^(J) The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- (ve) Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- ^(x) The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel. The result is due to overlap from the gasoline range.

DEFINITIONS:

-- = not applicable

< = not detected at concentration exceeding laboratory reporting limit

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$

- DRPH = diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- Dry = groundwater not encountered in well
- EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)
- EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane)
- EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquid
- MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether
- MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act
- MW = monitoring well
- NA = not applicable per referenced footnote number
- NAVD88 = North American Vertical Datum of 1988
- NE = cleanup level not established for indicated compound
- NM = not measured
- NWTPH-Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon diesel-range organics
- NWTPH-Gx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon gasoline-range organics
- NWTPH-Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon diesel-range organics
- Sheen = iridescence on water surface indicative of LNAPL

TOC = top of casing (monitoring wells decommissioned before 2005 from previous consultants)

- TP = top of pump Trace = less than 0.01 of measurable LNAPL
- TRPH = total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons
- WAC = Washington Administrative Code
- WTPH-G = Washington Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon gasoline-range organics

		Sample			Oxygena	tes (µg/L)			Lead	Scavengers	(µg/L)	
Well ID	Property	Date	Ethanol ⁽¹⁾	TBA ⁽¹⁾	MTBE ⁽¹⁾	ETBE ⁽¹⁾	TAME ⁽¹⁾	DIPE ⁽¹⁾	EDC ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽²⁾	
		09/26/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	_	
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	
MW01	тос	02/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_	
		08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	PE ⁽¹⁾ EDC ⁽¹⁾ 1.00 <1.00	_	_	
				D	ECOI	MIS	S I O	NED	,			
		12/21/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	—	
MW02	тос	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_	
	100	08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	-	_	
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	
		09/27/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	-	
MW03	тос	02/22/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	-	_	
	100	08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	-	-	
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	
		02/22/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	-	_	
MW04	ROW (56th)	08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	—	
		03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	
		09/27/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	-	
MW05	ROW (242nd)	02/22/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_	
		03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	—	
		09/26/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	—	
MW06	тос	02/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	-	—	
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	—	
MW07	TOC/Farmasonis		1	D	ЕСОІ	MIS	SIO	NED		1	1	
		02/22/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	-	-	
MW08	ROW (56th)	08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	-	—	
		03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	
		09/27/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	-	
MW09	тос	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	-	_	
		08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	-	_	
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1	_	
		09/26/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	—	
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	
MW10	тос	02/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	-	_	
		08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	-	_	
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	
		09/27/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00		<1.00	-	
N A) A / 1 1	тос	12/21/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1	_	
MW11	тос	02/22/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1		-	-	-	
		08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1			-	-	
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1			<1	_	
		09/26/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00		<1.00	_	
MW12	ROW (56th)	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1			_	_	
		08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1			-	_	
		03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1	_	
MW13	ROW (56th)	02/02/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1			<1	_	
N 414 -	TOC/5-1	03/04/10	<1,000									
MW14	TOC/Farmasonis	00/01/1-		D	ECOI	VI IVI I S	NED	U				
MW15	TOC	03/01/10			_	-	LNAPL		-			
MW16	ROW (242nd)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1			<1		
MW17	TOC/Farmasonis			D			SIO				_	
MTCA Me	thod A ⁽³⁾		NE	NE	20	NE	NE	NE	5	0.01	0.01	

	Description	Sample			Oxygena	tes (µg/L)			Lead	Scavengers	(µg/L)
Well ID	Property	Date	Ethanol ⁽¹⁾	TBA ⁽¹⁾	MTBE ⁽¹⁾	ETBE ⁽¹⁾	TAME ⁽¹⁾	DIPE ⁽¹⁾	EDC ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽²⁾
MW18	тос	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	Ι
		02/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	_	-
MW19	тос	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	-
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW20	тос	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	_	-
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<5	<1	_
		09/26/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	1
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
MW21	тос	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	-	_	-
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		09/26/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	_
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW22	тос	02/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
141422	TOC	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	-
MW23	тос	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
		09/27/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	_
		02/22/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	-
N 41 A / D 4	тос	08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
MW24 1	тос	02/03/09	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	-
		07/30/09	_	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		09/27/05	<150	<50.0	<5.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	_
		12/21/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<5	<1	_
MW25	тос	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
		12/21/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	TOC	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	-
MW26	тос	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
MW27	тос	02/22/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW28	тос	02/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
NA14/20	TOC	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
MW29	тос	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MTCA Me	thod A ⁽³⁾	• • •	NE	NE	20	NE	NE	NE	5	0.01	0.01

		Sample			Oxygena	tes (µg/L)			Lead	Scavengers	(µg/L)
Well ID	Property	Date	Ethanol ⁽¹⁾	TBA ⁽¹⁾	MTBE ⁽¹⁾	ETBE ⁽¹⁾	TAME ⁽¹⁾	DIPE ⁽¹⁾	EDC ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽²⁾
		12/15/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
NANA/20	TOC/Fermenesis	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
MW30	TOC/Farmasonis	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		12/15/05	<20,000	<4,000	<20	<20	<20	<20	_	_	_
		02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
MW31	TOC/Farmasonis	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		07/29/09	_	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.7	<1	_
		03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		12/20/05	<1,000	<200	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW32	тос	02/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	TOC	02/10/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW33	тос	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		01/27/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW34	тос	08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	70.0	01/27/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW35	тос	03/04/10	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
		01/27/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW36	тос	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		01/27/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW37	тос	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		01/27/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW38	тос	08/23/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		02/02/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW39	TOC/Farmasonis	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
		03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	T00/5	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
MW40	TOC/Farmasonis	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW41	TOC/Farmasonis	03/04/10	, í				DRY			•	4
MW42	TOC/Farmasonis	03/04/10					DRY				
MW43	ROW (56th)	03/04/10					DRY				
MW44	ROW (56th)	03/04/10					DRY				
	ROW (56th)	08/24/06	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	_	_	_
MW45	ROW (56th)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW46	ROW (56th)	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW47	ROW (56th)	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW48	ROW (56th)	03/01/10			•		LNAPL		•	•	•
MW49	ROW (56th)	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW50	ROW (56th)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	ROW (56th)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW51	ROW (56th)	10/12/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW52	ROW (56th)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MTCA Me		, 5=, 20	NE	NE	20	NE	NE	NE	5	0.01	0.01
INTER INTE	tilou A		INE	INE	20	INE	INE	INE	5	0.01	0.01

		Sample			Oxygena	tes (µg/L)			Lead	Scavengers	(µg/L)
Well ID	Property	Date	Ethanol ⁽¹⁾	TBA ⁽¹⁾	MTBE ⁽¹⁾	ETBE ⁽¹⁾	TAME ⁽¹⁾	DIPE ⁽¹⁾	EDC ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽²⁾
MW53	ROW (56th)	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW55	ROW (56th)	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	TOC/Formoconic	02/03/09	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
MW56	TOC/Farmasonis	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW57	TOC/Farmasonis	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	2.9	<1	_
MW58	TOC/Farmasonis	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	2.4	<1	_
MW59	TOC/Farmasonis	02/03/09	-		<1	_	-	-	_	-	_
1010039		03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW60	ROW (56th)	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.1	<1	_
MW61	ROW (56th)	03/04/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW62	ROW (56th)	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW63	ROW (56th)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW64	ROW (56th)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		02/03/09	_	I	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/07/12	-		<1	-	—	_	<1	-	_
		06/05/12	-		<1	-	-	_	_	-	_
		09/11/12	-		<1	-	-	_	_	-	_
MW65	Drake	12/05/12	_	-	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_	_	<1	_	_	
		02/19/13	-		<1	—	—	_	_	-	_
		06/25/13	-		<1	_	-	_	_	-	_
		09/04/13	-		<1	_	_	_	_	-	_
		12/03/13	_	-	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		04/01/14	_		<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
MW66	TOC/Farmasonis	03/03/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW67	Drake	03/01/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW68	Drake	03/01/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		02/03/09	-	_	<1	-	-	-	_	-	_
		07/30/09	-	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW69	Drake	03/06/12	-		<1	—	—	_	<1	-	_
1010009	Diake	06/05/12	-		<1	—	—	_	_	-	_
		09/12/12	-		<1	_	-	_	_	-	_
		12/04/12	-		<1	_	_	_	<1	-	_
		02/28/13	_		<1	_	_	_	_	-	_
		02/03/09	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/06/12	_	-	<1	_	—	_	<1	_	_
₩₩70 [Drake	06/05/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
	DIAKE	09/12/12	_	l	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		12/04/12	_	I	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
		02/28/13	_		<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		09/04/13	_	I	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
NA171	Shin/Choi	10/9/2008	<50,000	<2,500	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	_
MW71		03/01/10					LNAPL				
MTCA Met	hod A ⁽³⁾		NE	NE	20	NE	NE	NE	5	0.01	0.01

Well ID MW72 MW73 MW74 MW75 MW76		Sample			Oxygena	tes (µg/L)			Lead	Scavengers	(µg/L)
Well ID	Property	Date	Ethanol ⁽¹⁾	TBA ⁽¹⁾	MTBE ⁽¹⁾	ETBE ⁽¹⁾	TAME ⁽¹⁾	DIPE ⁽¹⁾	EDC ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽²⁾
		10/9/2008	<10,000	<500	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	_
MW72	Shin/Choi	07/29/09		<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.4	_
		03/01/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		10/9/2008	<10,000	<500	190	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	_
MW73	Shin/Choi	07/29/09		<50	71	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/01/10	<1,000	<50	120	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW74	Shin/Choi	03/01/10	<1,000	130	720	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		11/07/08	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW75	ROW (56th)	03/02/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		02/03/09	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/01/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW76	Drake	03/06/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
		02/19/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/27/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		02/03/09	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/01/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		10/12/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/06/12	-	-	<1	_	_	-	<1	_	_
		06/05/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		09/11/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
MW77	Drake	12/04/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
		02/19/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		06/25/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		09/04/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		12/04/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/27/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		02/03/09	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/01/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW78	Drake	03/06/12	-	-	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
		02/19/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
N/N/79	TOC/Farmasonis	07/08/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	TOC/Farmasonis	07/08/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	TOC/Farmasonis	07/08/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
	TOC/Farmasonis	07/08/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		07/08/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
MW83	TOC/Farmasonis	11/21/11	1,000						TH MW1		
		10/12/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/07/12			<1	_	_		<1	_	_
		06/05/12	_	_	<1	_	_		-	_	_
		09/12/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
MW84	Drake	12/05/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
		02/28/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	-	_	_
		07/12/13		_	<1	_	_	_	<1		
		12/03/13					_	_	-	<1	
MW78 MW79 MW80 MW81 MW82 MW83 MW83 MW84		03/30/14		_	<1 <1	_	_	_			_
	(2)	05/30/14	— NE	— NE	20	 NE	NE	NE	5	0.01	0.01

		Sample			Oxygena	tes (µg/L)			Lead	Scavengers	(µg/L)
Well ID	Property	Date	Ethanol ⁽¹⁾	TBA ⁽¹⁾	MTBE ⁽¹⁾	ETBE ⁽¹⁾	TAME ⁽¹⁾	DIPE ⁽¹⁾	EDC ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽²⁾
		10/11/10	WELL	DAMAG	ED DURI	NG INST	FALLATIO	DN, REP	AIRED O	N 11/28	/2011
		03/06/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
		06/05/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		09/11/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		12/04/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
MW85	Drake	02/19/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		06/25/13	_	_	<1	_	-	_	_	_	_
		09/04/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		12/03/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		04/01/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		10/12/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		03/06/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
		06/05/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		09/11/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Dista	12/04/12	_	_	<1	_	-	_	<1	_	_
MW86	Drake	02/19/13	-	_	<1	_	-	_	_	_	_
		06/25/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	-	-
		09/04/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	-	
		12/03/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	-	-
		04/01/14	_	_	<1	_	-	_	_	_	_
		10/12/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
	Dualia	03/06/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	-	
	Drake	02/19/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	-	
		03/27/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	-	-
MW88	Drake	10/12/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	_
		10/12/10	<1,000	<50	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	-
		03/06/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	-	
		06/05/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		09/11/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
N 414/00	Droko	12/04/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
MW89	Drake	02/19/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		06/25/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		09/04/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		12/03/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		04/01/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	-
		03/07/12	-	-	<1	_	-	-	<1	_	_
MW95	Drake	02/28/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/28/14	—	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	-
		03/07/12	—	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
MW96	Drake	02/28/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	-	-
		03/28/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	-
		03/07/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1		
MW97	Drake	02/28/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_		
		03/28/14	_	_	<1	_	-	_	_	-	-
MTCA Me	thod A ⁽³⁾		NE	NE	20	NE	NE	NE	5	0.01	0.01

Well ID	Dronortu	Sample			Oxygena	tes (µg/L)			Lead	Scavengers	(µg/L)
weilid	Property	Date	Ethanol ⁽¹⁾	TBA ⁽¹⁾	MTBE ⁽¹⁾	ETBE ⁽¹⁾	TAME ⁽¹⁾	DIPE ⁽¹⁾	EDC ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽¹⁾	EDB ⁽²⁾
		03/08/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
MW98	Drake	02/28/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	-
		03/30/14	-	-	<1	-	—	-	-	_	-
MW99	Drake	03/06/12	-	-	<1	-	_	-	<1	-	_
1010099	DIAKE	02/28/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/06/12	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	_	_
MW101	Drake	02/28/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
		03/30/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	_	_	_
MW102	Herman	07/12/13	_	_	52	_	_	_	120	<1	0.88 ^(ve)
MW103	Herman	07/12/13	_	_	260	_	_	_	37	<1	0.094
10100105	пеннан	04/03/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	<1	< 0.01
MW104	Herman	07/12/13	-	_	<1	_	-	_	<1	<1	0.034
10100104	пеннан	04/01/14	-	-	<1	-	_	-	<1	<1	0.19 ^(ca)
MW105	Herman	07/12/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	<1	< 0.01
MW106	Herman	04/02/14	-	_	<1	_	-	_	<1	<1	< 0.01
101 00 100	пеннан	07/12/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	<1	<0.01
MW107	Herman	04/02/14	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	<1	<0.01
101 00 107	пеннан	07/12/13	_	_	<1	_	_	_	<1	<1	<0.01
MTCA Me	thod A ⁽³⁾	-	NE	NE	20	NE	NE	NE	5	0.01	0.01

NOTES:

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater.

Data collected since December 2005; laboratory analysis by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle WA.

Data collected from September-December 2005; laboratory analysis by North Creek Analytical, Inc. of Bothell WA.

Data collected prior to July 8, 2005 provided by previous consultants.

⁽¹⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8260C.

⁽²⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8011.

⁽³⁾ MTCA Method A cleanup levels, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the WAC, revised November 2007.

LABORATORY NOTES:

^(Ca) The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

^(ve) Estimated concetration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range.

A duilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (56th) = Right-of-Way located at 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (242nd) = Right-of-Way located at 242nd Street Southwest, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

DEFINITIONS:

- -- = not sampled/not analyzed
 < = not detected at concentration exceeding laboratory reporting limit
 µg/L = micrograms per liter
 DIPE = diisopropyl ether
 Dry = groundwater not encountered in well
 EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)
 EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane)
 EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 ETBE = ethyl tertiary-butyl ether
 LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquid
- MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act MW = monitoring well NAVD88 = North American Vertical Datum of 1988 NE = cleanup level not established for indicated compound ROW = right-of-way TAME = tertiary-amyl methyl ether TBA = tertiary-butyl alcohol WAC = Washington Administrative Code



<u>TABLE 4</u> Summary of LNAPL Laboratory Results for Herman Property March 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

				Groundwater						Analytical R	esults (mg/kg	;)						
Well ID	Property	Date ⁽¹⁾	Sample Method	Elevation ⁽²⁾ (feet)	DRPH ⁽³⁾	ORPH ⁽³⁾	GRPH ⁽⁴⁾	Benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Toluene ⁽⁵⁾	Ethyl- benzene ⁽⁵⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽⁵⁾	MTBE ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁴⁾	EDC ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁶⁾	EDB ⁽⁷⁾	Total Lead ⁽⁸⁾	Dissolved Lead ⁽⁸⁾
						SHALL	OW WATER-BEA	RING ZONE (TO 20 FEET	BGS)								
MW102	Herman	3/30/2014 (LNAPL)	Peristaltic Pump	336.77	270,000 ^(x)	<5,000	1,300,000	11,000	72,000 ^(ve)	26,000 ^(ve)	123,000 ^(ve)						53.1	

NOTES:

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater.

Samples analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle WA.

⁽¹⁾ Date sample was collected. The date of the groundwater elevation measurement could be different. (See Table 1)

⁽²⁾ Elevations in feet above sea level (NAVD88 Datum) based on survey performed by PACE Engineers in April 2014.

⁽³⁾ Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Dx.

⁽⁴⁾ Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Gx.

- ⁽⁵⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8021B.
- ⁽⁶⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8260C.
- ⁽⁷⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 8011.
- ⁽⁸⁾ Analyzed by EPA Method 200.8.

LABORATORY NOTES:

^(ve) Estimated concetration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range.

A duilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

^(X) The sample chromathographic pattern does not resemble the fule standard used for quantitation.

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

DEFINITIONS:

-- = not sampled/not analyzed

< = not detected at concentration exceeding laboratory reporting limit

BGS = below ground surface

- DRPH = diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)
- EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane)
- EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquid
- mg/kg = milligram / kilogram
- MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether
- MW = monitoring well
- NAVD88 = North American Vertical Datum of 1988
- NWTPH-Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon diesel-range organics
- NWTPH-Gx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon gasoline-range organics
- ORPH = oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons





List of Figures

- Figure 1: Project Location
- Figure 2: Site Map
- Figure 3: Locations of Wells and Remediation Systems
- Figure 4: Groundwater Elevation Contours, Shallow Zone, March 24, 2014 (System Off)
- Figure 5: Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone, March 24, 2014 (System Off)
- Figure 6: Groundwater Elevation Contours, Deep Zone, March 24, 2014 (System Off)
- Figure 7: Shallow (MW62), Intermediate (MW20 and MW32) and Deep (MW53) Zone Groundwater Elevations, December 2006 - March 2014
- Figure 8: Shallow (MW67), Intermediate (MW63) and Deep (MW64) Zone Groundwater Elevations, December 2006 March 2014
- Figure 9: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, March 2014
- Figure 10: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, March 2014
- Figure 11: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, March 2014
- Figure 12: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, March 2014
- Figure 13: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Wells MW02, MW04 and MW12, June 1992 March 2014
- Figure 14: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Wells MW02, MW04 and MW12, June 1992 March 2014
- Figure 15: Groundwater Elevation and GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Well MW02, June 1992 March 2014
- Figure 16: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Selected Intermediate Zone Wells, September 2005 - March 2014
- Figure 17: GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Selected Intermediate Zone Wells, September 2005 - March 2014
- Figure 18: Groundwater Elevation and GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone Well MW48, December 2006 March 2014
- Figure 19: Total Lead Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone Wells MW31, MW32 and MW91, December 2005 March 2014
- Figure 20: Groundwater Elevation and LNAPL Thickness, Intermediate Zone Well MW48, December 2006 - March 2014
- Figure 21: Groundwater Elevation and LNAPL Thickness, Shallow Zone Wells MW29 and MW71, December 2005 March 2014













FIGURE 7 Shallow (MW62), Intermediate (MW20 and MW32) and Deep (MW53) Zone Groundwater Elevations December 2006 - March 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace





TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176 350 345 340 335 Groundwater Elevation (feet) 330 325 - MW64 320 315 310 305 300 Jul-06 -Jan-10 -Jan-08 Jul-08 Jan-09 Jul-09 Jul-10 Jan-11 Jan-12 Jul-12 Jan-13 Jul-13 Jan-14 Jul-14 Jan-07 Jul-07 Jul-11

FIGURE 8 Shallow (MW67), Intermediate (MW63) and Deep (MW64) Zone Groundwater Elevations December 2006 - March 2014







5







FIGURE 13 Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Wells MW02, MW04 and MW12 June 1992 - March 2014



FIGURE 14 GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Wells MW02, MW04 and MW12 June 1992 - March 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176



🕥 Stantec



FIGURE 15 Groundwater Elevation and GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone Well MW02

1000 0 900 800 700 Benzene Concentration (ug/L) — MW11 600 <u>→</u> MW18 **→** MW25 500 ——— MW31 —***** MW32 400 —→ MW45 ____ MW48 300 А **—•** MW86 200 <mark>⊁</mark> ₩₩49 - MW57 100 ж 0 Dec-05 Dec-12 Dec-06 -Jan-08 Jan-12 -Dec-13 Jul-05 -Jul-06 Dec-09 Dec-10 -Jul-12 Jul-13 Jul-07 Jul-08 Dec-08 Jul-09 Jul-10 Jul-11 Jul-14

FIGURE 16 Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Selected Intermediate Zone Wells September 2005 - March 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176


TOC Holdings Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176 60000 55000 50000 45000 **GRPH** Concentrations (ug/L) 40000 ——— MW11 35000 <u>→</u> MW18 6 30000 — * – MW31 25000 ___ MW32 Q _____MW45 20000 → MW48 15000 —× MW86 —— MW69 10000 ——— MW49 5000 - MW57 0 Dec-05 -Dec-12 Jul-13 { Dec-13 Jul-05 Dec-06 Dec-08 Dec-10 -Jul-12 Jul-06 Jul-07 Jan-08 Jul-08 Jul-09 Dec-09 Jul-10 Jul-11 Jan-12 Jul-14 Date

FIGURE 17 GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Selected Intermediate Zone Wells September 2005 - March 2014 TOC Holdings Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176



December 2006 - March 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176 320 40000 35000 315 30000 Groundwater Elevation (feet) 25000 **GRPH** Concentraion (feet) 310 20000 15000 305 10000 5000 300 0 Jul-06 Jul-08 - 60-InC Jul-10 Jul-14 Jul-07 Jan-08 Jan-09 Jan-10 Jul-11 Jan-12 Jul-12 Jan-13 Jul-13 Jan-14 Jan-07 Jan-11 Date MW48 GRPH





TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176 60 55 50 45 40 Total Lead Concentration (µg/L) 35 MW31 30 -MW32 25 20 15 10 5 0 Date Jan-05 -Jul-05 - 60-InC Jan-06 Jul-08 Jan-12 Jan-13 Jul-13 Jul-06 Jan-08 Jan-09 Jul-10 Jul-12 Jan-14 Jan-07 Jul-07 Jan-11 Jul-11

FIGURE 19 Total Lead Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone Wells MW31, MW32 and MW91 December 2005 - March 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace, Facility, No. 01-176

🚺 Stantec

December 2006 - March 2014 TOC Holdings Co. Mountlake Terrace Facility, No. 01-176 320 1.80 1.60 1.40 315 Groundwater Elevation (feet) 1.20 LNAPL Thickness (feet) 1.00 310 0.80 0.60 305 0.40 0.20 300 0.00 Jul-10 -Jan-09 -Jul-09 Jan-10 -Jan-08 -Jul-08 Jan-12 -Jul-14 Jul-06 -Jul-13 Jan-07 Jul-07 Jan-11 Jul-12 Jan-13 Jul-11 Jan-14 MW48 GW Elevation Date MW48 LNAPL Thickness







FIGURE 21



Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan

TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176 24205 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043



Prepared for:

TOC Holdings Co. 2737 West Commodore Way Seattle, WA 98199

Prepared by:

JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc. now Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West, Ste. 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036 Phone: 425.977.4994

March 5, 2014

Sign-off Sheet



Please note that effective May 9, 2014, the employees of **JBR Environmental Consultants**, **Inc. (JBR)** have joined **Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec)**. You will continue to see the same people, doing business with you the same way, and with the same goal: to safely deliver the highest level of service while always striving to exceed your expectations.

This document, entitled *Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan*, was prepared by JBR (now Stantec) on behalf of **TOC Holdings Co. (TOC)** for specific application to TOC Facility No. 01-176 in Mountlake Terrace, Washington. Services conducted by JBR (now Stantec) for this project were conducted in accordance with the Environmental Services Contract between **HydroCon Environmental, LLC (HydroCon)** and JBR, which has been now transferred over to Stantec. Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and HydroCon. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

This document was prepared under the supervision and direction of the following key staff.

Prepared by:

Dana Hutchins, GIT Project Specialist, Geology

Reviewed by: Rebekah Brooks, LG, LHg Hydrogeologist / Project Manager





Table of Contents

ABBRE	VIATIONS & ACRONYMS	i
1.0	SCOPE & APPLICABILITY	1.1
2.0	BASIS FOR METHODOLOGY	2.2
3.0	LNAPL/GROUNDWATER LEVEL & WELL DEPTH MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	3.3
3.1	Materials & Equipment	3.3
3.2	Measuring Point	3.3
3.3	LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Procedures	3.4
3.4	Total Well Casing Depth Measurement Procedures	3.5
4.0	GROUNDWATER QUALITY SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES	4.6
4.1	Materials & Equipment	4.6
4.2	Equipment Calibration	4.6
4.3	Groundwater Sampling Procedures	4.7
4.4	Sample Filtration	4.8
4.5	Field Parameter Measurements	4.8
4.6	Sample Containers & Volumes	4.9
4.7	Sample Labeling	4.9
4.8	Sample Packaging, Preservation & Shipping	4.10
4.9	Sample Custody	4.10
4.10	Documentation	4.11
5.0	EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES	5.12
6.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL	6.12
6.1	Field & Laboratory QC Samples	6.12
6.2	Data Validation	6.14
7.0	REFERENCES	7.15
8.0	LIMITATIONS	8.16

LIST OF TABLES

Table A-1:Groundwater Sampling Work Plan

LIST OF FORMS

Low-Flow and Volumetric Groundwate Sampling Data Sheet

Depth to LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Form



Abbreviations & Acronyms

bgs BTEX CFR COC DO DOT DRPH DTP DTW EDB EDC EPA FSDS GRPH HCI HNO3 HydroCon JBR L LNAPL mL MW NTU NWTPH-Dx NWTPH-GX ORP OWS PAH QA/QC RPD Stantec TOC USGS VOA	below ground surface benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes Code of Federal Regulations chain of custody dissolved oxygen U.S. Department of Transportation diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons depth to product depth to water ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane) ethylene dichloride (1,2-dibromoethane) u.S. Environmental Protection Agency Field Sample Data Sheet gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons hydrochloric acid nitric acid HydroCon Environmental, LLC JBR Environmental Consultants, Inc. liter light non-aqueous phase liquid milliliters per minute methyl tertiary-butyl ether monitoring well Nephelometric Turbidity Unit Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for diesel-range organics Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for gasoline-range organics oxidation-reduction potential oil-water separator polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons Quality Assurance / Quality Control Resource Conservation and Recovery Act relative percent difference Stantec Consulting Services Inc. TOC Holdings Co. U.S. Geology Survey volatile organic analysis
VOA VOC	volatile organic analysis volatile organic compound



1.0 SCOPE & APPLICABILITY

This work plan describes the procedures and protocol to be followed during performance of groundwater monitoring events at the TOC Holdings Co. (TOC) Facility No. 01-176 located in Mountlake Terrace, Washington (TOC Site). The groundwater monitoring events include: measurement of depth of groundwater or depth to the light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) surface and groundwater quality sampling from monitoring wells. Procedures are also provided for measuring the total well depth in cases where this information needs to be confirmed in existing wells. The procedures presented herein are intended to be general in nature and, as the work progresses and when warranted, appropriate revisions may be made when approved in writing by the Project Manager.



2.0 BASIS FOR METHODOLOGY

The methods and procedures described in this plan were developed primarily from the sources identified below.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 9 (undated). *Standard Operating Procedure for the Standard/Well-Volume Method for Collecting a Ground-Water Sample from Monitoring Wells for Site Characterization.*
- EPA, Region 1. 2010. Low Stress (low flow) Purging and Sampling Procedure for the Collection of Ground Water Samples from Monitoring Wells.
- EPA. May 2002. Ground-Water Sampling Guidelines for Superfund and RCRA Project Managers. Ground Water Forum Issue Paper.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). variously dated. *National field manual for the collection of water-quality data: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations*, Book 9, Chapters A1-A9. Available online at http://pubs.water.usgs.gov/twri9A.



3.0 LNAPL/GROUNDWATER LEVEL & WELL DEPTH MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Depth to the LNAPL surface and/or depth to groundwater are measured at monitoring wells during each groundwater monitoring event for determination of groundwater flow characteristics and contaminant distribution. Typically, these measurements are conducted even when the well is not scheduled to be sampled for water quality.

Upon arrival at the TOC Site for each groundwater monitoring event, each monitoring well to be measured will be opened for a minimum of 15 minutes prior to measurements to allow for atmospheric pressure to equilibrate water levels in wells. Groundwater levels and LNAPL measurements shall be conducted in wells prior to purging or sampling.

Prior to performing groundwater level and well depth measurements, the well construction details and previous measurements for each well shall be reviewed by the sampling staff to familiarize them with the total depth, screen location, and previous water level measurements.

3.1 Materials & Equipment

Materials and equipment that are necessary to perform LNAPL/groundwater level measurements include:

- records of well construction details and previous measurements;
- electronic oil-water interface probe with an accuracy of 0.01 feet; and
- Groundwater Depth-to-Water (DTW) Form (see attached forms).

3.2 Measuring Point

A measuring point shall be established and marked at the top of the inner casing for each monitoring well from which water level measurements will be made based on the location on the well casing from which the topographic survey was conducted. Generally, this point will be the top of the casing on the north side. The measuring point will be permanently marked using an indelible marker or a notch cut into the casing. When the top-of-casing elevation of a monitoring well is surveyed, the licensed surveyor shall measure the elevation of the measuring point and reference this measurement to the local datum for location and elevation.



3.3 LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Procedures

LNAPL/groundwater level measurements will be conducted using an electronic water level indicator or electronic oil-water interface probe. An electronic probe consists of a contact electrode attached to the end of an insulated electrical cable marked with length indicators, and a reel which houses an ammeter, buzzer or other closed circuit indicator. The indicator shows a closed circuit and flow of current when the electrode touches a water or LNAPL surface.

The procedure for measuring LNAPL/groundwater levels with an electric probe is as follows:

- 1. Switch on and test that the battery is charged.
- 2. Lower the probe into the well until the ammeter or buzzer indicates a closed circuit. Raise and lower the probe slightly until the shortest length of cable that gives the maximum response on the indicator is found. In the event LNAPL is encountered in the well, first note the LNAPL level and then groundwater level. The LNAPL level will be identified by a solid continuous sound by the buzzer and the groundwater level will be identified by a pulsating buzzer sound.
- 3. With the cable in this fixed position, note the DTW or LNAPL from the measuring point. The LNAPL/groundwater level data will be recorded to the nearest 0.01 foot below the measuring point.
- 4. Repeat as necessary until at least two identical duplicate measurements are obtained.

The LNAPL/groundwater level measurement, and date and time of each measurement will be recorded on the Groundwater DTW Form (see attached forms). The meter serial number will also be identified in the field logs for each sampling event. To avoid cross contamination between wells, the indicator probe and affected cable will be rinsed with deionized or tap water before the first measurement of the day, between each well, and at the end of the day. If LNAPL is observed, The measurements will be used to calculate groundwater level elevations from the DTW measurement and the surveyed elevation of the measuring point at each well. If LNAPL is identified in the well, the LNAPL thickness will be determined by subtracting the depth-to-product (DTP) from the DTW in the well.

LNAPL, lighter than water, slightly depresses the groundwater table as a function of the specific gravity difference between the two media. If LNAPL is measured in any monitoring wells, the reported groundwater elevations will be normalized using the industry-standard specific gravity estimate of the LNAPL relative to a specific gravity of 1.0 for water.

The following equation will be used for the normalization:

Normalized Groundwater Elevation (feet) = $[(H_{TOC} - H_W) * 1.0] + [(H_W - H_{LNAPL}) * SG]$

where H_{TOC} is the top of well casing elevation, H_W is the measured depth to groundwater below the top of casing, H_{LNAPL} is the measured depth to LNAPL below the top of casing and SG is the specific gravity of the LNAPL.



Calibration of the electronic probe will be checked at least annually as part of regular maintenance measuring the position of the electrode to check that the length marks on the electronic probe cable correspond to those on a steel surveyors tape. The electronic probes will be calibrated more frequently if there is reason to suspect the probe was stretched because it became stuck in a well and was pulled vigorously for retrieval, or if the probe requires repair that could have affected the length of the cable. Calibration will be conducted by comparing the DTW readings between the electronic probe and a steel surveyors' tape.

3.4 Total Well Casing Depth Measurement Procedures

As necessary to confirm the total depth of an existing well casing, the total depth of a well casing will be measured immediately after construction by sounding the bottom of the well with a weighted steel surveyor tape or other weighted steel or fiberglass measuring tape. The electronic water level probe may also be used as a measuring device, if weighted.

To measure the total depth of the well, lower the weighted tape or probe into the well until the tape becomes slack or there is a noticeable decrease in weight, which indicates the bottom of the well. Care should be taken to lower the tape slowly to avoid damage to the bottom of the well by the weight. Raise the tape slowly until it just becomes taut, and with the tape in this fixed position, note the tape reading opposite the measuring point to the nearest 0.1 foot.



4.0 GROUNDWATER QUALITY SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Groundwater quality samples are collected from monitoring wells and remediation wells primarily during quarterly events to monitor groundwater contaminant concentrations and distribution and to evaluate the performance of the remediation systems. The methodologies used to collect groundwater samples depend on depth to water, the use of the well for remediation purposes, or when the volume of the water in the well available for sampling is limited.

4.1 Materials & Equipment

Materials and equipment that are necessary to perform groundwater quality sampling include:

- records of well construction details;
- groundwater sampling pumps and tubing or bailers;
- field parameter meters;
- flow-through cells and filters;
- sample containers (provided by analytical laboratory);
- insulated coolers and ice;
- field notebook;
- Field Sample Data Sheet (FSDS) (see attached forms); and
- Chain of Custody (COC) Form (provided by analytical laboratory).

4.2 Equipment Calibration

Stantec monitors water quality parameters during well purging and groundwater sampling using an YSI Pro Plus or similar water quality meter equipped with a flow-through cell. The water quality parameters that are monitored and recorded include the following: temperature, pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP). Equipment used to measure field parameters will be calibrated in the field by field personnel according to manufacturer's instructions prior to any measurements being taken. Calibration checks will be performed at least daily for instruments used in the field and the results will be documented in the field notebook for each day of sampling.



4.3 Groundwater Sampling Procedures

Stantec is currently using four different methods to collect groundwater samples at the TOC Site, including: peristaltic pumps, bailers, submersible pumps, and pneumatic pumps. The rational for using each method is described below:

- In general, when DTW measurements are less than 30 feet below ground surface (bgs) and the monitoring well (MW) is not connected to the remediation system installed in 2012, Stantec collects groundwater samples in accordance with low-flow protocols (EPA 1996) using a peristaltic pump.
- In wells that are connected to the 2012 remediation system, Stantec collects groundwater samples using the dedicated downhole pneumatic pump installed in each remediation well (MW11, MW15, MW18, MW24, MW27, MW29, MW31, MW32, MW41, MW57, MW69, MW70, MW84, MW90 through MW99 and MW101). The pneumatic pumps deliver groundwater to the wellhead whenever the water level rises above the top of the pump, or when the float switch connected to the oil-water separator (OWS) for the associated remediation system turns the pump on when empty and off when full.
- In wells where DTW measurements exceed approximately 30 feet bgs, Stantec collect samples using a submersible pump in accordance with low-flow protocols (EPA 1996) or disposable polyethylene bailers. Bailers are used under the following circumstances:
 - When the volume of groundwater in the water column is too small for a sample to be collected using a submersible pump,
 - Or when very poor recovery is noted in previous field observations

During purging, Stantec monitors water quality parameters using an YSI Pro Plus or similar water quality meter equipped with a flow-through cell. The water quality parameters that are monitored and recorded include the following: temperature, pH, specific conductance, DO, turbidity and ORP. The following stabilization criteria are met prior to sampling using three field readings taken approximately 5 minutes apart:

- turbidity (10% for values greater than 5 Nephelometric Turbidity Unit (NTU); if three turbidity values are less than 5 NTU, the values are considered stabilized);
- DO (readings are within 10% of each other);
- specific conductance (readings are within 3% of each other);
- temperature (readings are within 3% of each other);
- pH (readings are within +, 0.1 units of each other); and
- ORP (readings are within +, -10 millivolts of each other).

Low-flow sampling procedures include using a peristaltic or submersible pump with polyethylene tubing at flow rates ranging from approximately 100 to 500 milliliters per minute (mL/min). The tubing intake is placed at approximately the middle of the screen interval in each monitoring well or in the center of the water column if the screened interval is not completely saturated.



Purging and sampling using a disposable bailer consists of purging each well a minimum of three well volumes prior to sampling whenever recharge rates allow. In some cases, recharge rates are insufficient to remove three well volumes prior to sampling, or to produce a sufficient quantity of groundwater for sampling. Under those circumstances Stantec purges the well dry and waits either until it recovers close to the original DTW, or returns the next day to collect the groundwater sample.

Following purging, groundwater samples are collected from the pump outlet tubing located upstream of the flow-through cell, or from a disposable bailer, and placed directly into laboratory-prepared sample containers. Clean gloves will be worn by field personnel when collecting each sample to avoid cross-contamination.

The water sample will be discharged or poured slowly and carefully into the appropriate sample containers (see Section 4.6) to minimize aeration. Groundwater samples collected for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) will be collected in vials and completely filled so no head space remains. VOC sample containers will be checked for air bubbles. If air bubbles are observed, the container will be emptied and a new container used.

4.4 Sample Filtration

Groundwater samples collected for dissolved parameters (e.g., metals) are field-filtered using a disposable, in-line, 0.45 micron filter. The water sample will be pumped through the filter attached directly to the discharge tubing of the groundwater pumping system (low-flow procedures) or a peristaltic pump and a section of Tygon (polyvinylchloride) tubing or other appropriate method may be used if the sample is first collected in a clean container (volume based sampling). The filter cartridge will be rinsed with an aliquot of sample prior to collection of sample in the containers or as per the filter manufacturer's recommendations. A new filter and tubing will be used for each sample. A note will be made on the sample label, FSDS, and COC form to indicate the sample has been field filtered.

4.5 Field Parameter Measurements

Specific conductance, pH, DO, temperature and turbidity measurements will be performed on the groundwater samples to be submitted to the laboratory at the time of sample collection and following purging. Data obtained from these field water quality measurements will be recorded on the FSDS. Separate aliquots of water will be used for taking field measurements (i.e., sample containers for laboratory analysis will not be reopened). For groundwater samples, field measurement intervals will be as presented above. If the parameters have not stabilized, the field instruments will be checked to determine if they are operating correctly and are still calibrated. The instruments will be recalibrated if needed; if an instrument cannot be calibrated it will be labeled as needing repair and removed from service.



4.6 Sample Containers & Volumes

The sample containers will be appropriate for the analytical method and will be obtained from the licensed analytical laboratory performing the analyses. Different containers will be required for specific groups of analytes in accordance with EPA Methods. **Table A-1** (subject to change, confirm with project manager prior to event) describes a sampling schedule and analyses for each well for each quarterly sampling event. The sampling staff will confirm with the laboratory performing the analyses that appropriate containers and preservatives are provided for use in the sampling event. It is anticipated that each sample will consist of the following containers:

- Three 40-milliliter (mL) volatile organic analysis (VOA) glass vials preserved with hydrochloric acid (HCl) for gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH),ethylene dichloride (EDC; 1,2-dicholoroethane) and ethylene dibromide (EDB; 1,2-dibromoethane) when needed, by the Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Gasoline Range Organics (NWTPH-Gx) method; and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8260B.
- One 40-mL VOA glass vial preserved with HCl for methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) by EPA Method 8260C.
- One 500-mL plastic bottle preserved with nitric acid (HNO3) for Total Lead by EPA Method 200.8.
- One 500-mL plastic bottle preserved with HNO3 for Dissolved Lead by EPA Method 200.8. Groundwater samples for this analysis will typically be field-filtered using the procedure described in Section 4.1.
- One 1- Liter (L) amber glass bottle, unpreserved, for diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons (DRPH) by the Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for diesel-range organics method (NWTPH-Dx) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).

4.7 Sample Labeling

Sample containers will be labeled with self-adhesive tags. Each sample will be labeled with the following information using waterproof ink.

- 1. Project identification
- 2. Sample identification
- 3. Date and time samples were collected
- 4. Treatment (preservative added, filtered, etc.)

Groundwater samples collected from monitoring/remediation wells will be assigned a unique sample identifier that will include the number of the monitoring/remediation well from which the sample was collected (e.g., MW01, MW107). Field duplicate samples (see Section 5.2) will be given a random label (e.g., MLT-01 to MLT-100) that will be identified on the FSDS sheet. All multi-method samples that use different pumping methods at the same location will be labeled identically except for the sample time and will have the sample method noted on the COC Form (e.g., Bailer, Peristaltic, Submersible).



4.8 Sample Packaging, Preservation & Shipping

Samples will be packaged and transported in a manner that protects the integrity of the sample and prevents detrimental effects due to the possible hazardous nature of the samples. Regulations for packaging, marking, labeling and shipping hazardous materials are promulgated by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 49 CFR 171 through 177. The sample containers will be packaged carefully to avoid breakage or cross contamination using sufficient packing material.

If required by the analytical method, groundwater samples to be submitted for chemical analysis will be stored at approximately 4 °C (39.2 °F) in ice-cooled insulated containers immediately after collection. This approximate cooler temperature will be maintained until delivery to the laboratory.

4.9 Sample Custody

The primary objective of sample custody is to create an accurate record that can be used to trace the possession and handling of samples so that their quality and integrity can be documented and maintained from collection until completion of all required analyses. Sample custody will be achieved by completing the COC form initially completed by the sampler, and thereafter signed by each individual who accepts custody of the sample. A sample will be considered to be in custody under the following conditions:

- field or laboratory personnel have the sample in physical possession;
- field or laboratory personnel have the sample in view;
- the sample is locked or secured in a locked container or otherwise sealed so that tampering is evident; and/or
- the sample is kept in a secured area, restricted to authorized personnel only.

The coolers in which the samples are packaged will be accompanied by the COC form(s) identifying their contents. If coolers are shipped by a commercial mail carrier, the COC form will be sealed inside the coolers in sealable plastic bags, and the coolers will be sealed with custody seals.

The person collecting the sample will be responsible for completing the COC form and for the care and custody of the collected samples under they are transferred to another person under the COC protocols. When samples are transferred to another entity, the individual(s) relinquishing and receiving the samples will sign the COC form and document the date and time of transfer. If the samples are shipped via commercial carriers, the COC form will be sealed inside the cooler before delivery and the custody signature will be from the person who receives the samples at the analytical laboratory. Laboratory personnel will note any integrity issues on the COC form and will maintain sample security and custody through the analytical process.



4.10 Documentation

The field documentation for the FSDS shall include the following information:

- Project identification;
- Location identification;
- Sample identification(s) (including quality control samples);
- Date and time of sampling;
- Purge and sampling methods;
- Sampling depth;
- Name(s) of sample collector(s) and their signature(s);
- Inventory of sample bottles collected including sample preservation (if any), number, and types of sample bottles;
- Total volume of water purged;
- Results of field measurements and observations (i.e., time and cumulative purge volume, temperature, pH, specific conductance, turbidity, DO, ORP, and purge rate);
- Description and identification of field instruments and equipment;
- Static DTW;
- Screen interval; and
- Total depth of well.

Depth to water measurements and corresponding date and time will be recorded on the DTW form (see attached forms), as described in Section 3.0. COC forms will be used to document sample custody, sample identifications, sample date and time, requested laboratory analyses, and other pertinent information. Other field information will be recorded in a field notebook or by photographs.

When the sampling activity is completed, the field records will be checked by the Project Manager or his/her designee, and the original record will be placed in the project file.



5.0 EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES

Decontamination procedures for groundwater sampling equipment will be used to minimize the possibility of cross-contaminating samples. Sampling equipment that comes in contact with potentially contaminated material will be decontaminated before and after each use.

Steel surveyors' tape, electric well probes and other measuring tapes shall be cleaned prior to use and after measurements in each well are completed. Cleaning shall be accomplished by washing visible contamination off with a laboratory-grade detergent/water solution and rinsing with clean, potable, municipal water, then rinsing with distilled or deionized water. If equipment is potentially contaminated by metals, it should be rinsed with a weak acid solution before the final distilled or deionized water rinse. After cleaning, equipment will be handled carefully to minimize contact with contaminants. Sample bottles and bottle caps will be cleaned and prepared by the analytical laboratory or their supplier using standard EPA-approved protocols. Sample bottles and bottle caps will be protected from contamination between time of receipt by the sampler(s) and time of actual usage.

Sampling equipment that will be used at multiple wells or sampling locations will be cleaned after sampling at each location is completed. Equipment cleaning methods will be recorded in the field sampling records.

6.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL

The overall objective of project quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) is to establish confidence that project data are of known and appropriate quality and integrity, and sufficient to support their intended use. QA/QC on this project will be accomplished by collection of specific QC samples or measurements in the field and laboratory. The QC samples are used to evaluate precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness and comparability of the analytical results.

6.1 Field & Laboratory QC Samples

Field and laboratory QC samples will be used to evaluate data validity and representativeness. Field and laboratory QC samples will include blind field duplicates, field equipment blanks, field trip blanks, laboratory matrix or method spikes, laboratory matrix spike duplicates, laboratory duplicates, and laboratory method blanks.

The following field and laboratory QC samples will be collected and analyzed to evaluate precision and reproducibility:

• **<u>Blind Field Duplicates</u>**: Groundwater field duplicate samples are collected at a sampling point following the same procedures as the original sample, and are used to evaluate whether sample collection methods are reproducible. Field duplicate samples are collected at the same location and time as the original sample, but are placed in different bottles and labeled such that the laboratory cannot determine the sample station number (blind samples). Duplicate groundwater samples will be collected by alternately filling sample containers for both the original and



corresponding duplicate sample at the same location to decrease variability between samples, except for samples collected for VOC analysis. In general, one duplicate sample will be collected for every 10 original samples, or one per day. The relative percent difference (RPD) between the original and duplicate sample should be less than 20.

• **Laboratory Matrix Spike Duplicate or Laboratory Duplicate Samples**: Laboratory matrix duplicate or laboratory duplicate samples are duplicate samples prepared by the laboratory to assess laboratory precision. One laboratory duplicate sample is analyzed for every 20 samples in a batch. The RPD between the original and duplicate sample should be within the laboratory method requirements.

The following quality control samples will be collected and/or analyzed to evaluate accuracy.

- <u>Water Blanks</u>: A blank sample set is prepared in the field to evaluate the potential presence of contamination originating from sources not associated with sample collection procedures. Each blank bottle is filled with deionized or distilled water in the field, preserved as required, and returned to the laboratory for analysis along with the other samples. The bottles for the blank are labeled such that the laboratory cannot determine the samples are blanks. In general, one blank sample will be collected for every 20 original samples.
- **Equipment Rinsates:** Following the last equipment decontamination of each sampling day, a distilled water rinse of the down hole equipment used to collect the groundwater sample will be collected, preserved as required, and returned to the laboratory for analysis along with the other samples. The bottles for the blank are labeled such that the laboratory cannot determine the samples are blanks. Equipment rinsate samples for this project will only be collected on days that a submersible pump was used.
- **Trip Blanks:** A blank sample set is prepared by the laboratory to evaluate the presence of contamination (volatiles) during transit, from sample bottles, or from laboratory conditions. Each blank bottle is filled with deionized or distilled water in the laboratory, preserved as required, and returned to the laboratory for analysis along with the other samples. In general, one trip blank sample will be included in each shipping container. There should be no analytes detected in the trip blank.
- **Laboratory Method Blank Samples:** Laboratory method blanks are blank samples that are prepared in the laboratory to identify any potential contamination introduced within the laboratory. One method blank will be analyzed with each batch of 20 samples. There should be no analytes detected in the laboratory method blank.
- **Laboratory Matrix Spike Samples:** These are used to evaluate potential matrix effects on sample analysis for inorganic parameters. One matrix spike and one matrix spike duplicate sample (generally run as a pair) will be included with analyses of each batch of 20 or fewer water samples, and a minimum of one per sampling event. The percent recoveries of target analytes from the matrix spike sample should be within the laboratory method requirements. As described, above, the matrix spike duplicate evaluates precision and the RPD should be within the laboratory method requirements.



• **Laboratory Control Samples:** A laboratory control sample is a sample of known analyte concentrations that is similar to the field sample matrix and is analyzed identically with the field samples in a sample batch. The laboratory control sample demonstrates that the analytical method and instrumentation are within specified control limits for acceptability. One laboratory control sample and one laboratory control sample duplicate (generally run as a pair) will be analyzed with each batch of 20 or fewer samples. The percent recoveries of analytes from the laboratory control sample should be within the laboratory method requirements. The laboratory control sample duplicate evaluates precision and the RPD should be within the laboratory method requirements.

6.2 Data Validation

Both field and laboratory QA/QC results will be evaluated to ensure sample integrity and the generation of data of known quality. Analytical reports from the laboratory will be accompanied by QA/QC results necessary to enable data reviewers to determine the quality of the data. The data validation process will identify QC problems and potential limitations on the use of the data, if any. Samples that are outside of the laboratory or field quality assurance requirements will have their analysis results marked with qualifiers (flags). Data validation will be conducted using the appropriate EPA guidelines for inorganics and organics (EPA 2008 and 2010). The QA/QC checks will include evaluation of the following components:

- COC records;
- laboratory data completeness;
- laboratory sample integrity and holding times;
- laboratory blank samples (i.e., method, trip and equipment);
- laboratory accuracy;
- laboratory precision;
- field sampling and analysis precision;
- field comparability (similar site conditions, collection techniques, measurement procedures, and methods and reporting); and
- sample representativeness.

Copies of the evaluations conducted for the data validation will be maintained in the Stantec project files. Any data qualifiers applied to the data will be documented in tabulated results within project deliverables.



7.0 **REFERENCES**

- EPA, Region 9. *Standard Operating Procedure for the Standard/Well-Volume Method for Collecting a Ground-Water Sample from Monitoring Wells for Site Characterization*. Available online at: earth1.epa.gov/region09/qa/pdfs/finalgwsamp_sop.pdf.
- EPA, Region 1. 1996. Low Stress (low flow) Purging and Sampling Procedure for the Collection of Ground Water Samples from Monitoring Wells. July 30, 1996.
- EPA. 2002. *Ground-Water Sampling Guidelines for Superfund and RCRA Project Managers.* EPA 542-S-02-001. May 2002.
- EPA. 2010. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program, National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review, USEPA 540-R-10-011. January 2010.
- EPA. 2008. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program, National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Data Review, USEPA-540-R-08-01. June 2008.
- USGS. variously dated. *National field manual for the collection of water quality data: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations*, Book 9, Chapters A1-A9, available online at http://pubs.water.usgs.gov/twri9A.



8.0 LIMITATIONS

This document, *Groundwater Sampling Work Plan*, was prepared by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. on behalf of TOC Holdings Co. The services described in this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

The material presented reflects Stantec's best judgment in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Opinions and recommendations contained in this report apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, nor the use of segregated portions of this report.

Any use which a third party makes of this document, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this document.



List of Tables

Table A-1:Groundwater Sampling Work Plan

TABLE A-1 Groundwater Sampling Work Plan

Well ID	Property	Current Use	Sampled Analysis					40mL	VOA			250ml	L HNO ₃		500mL UN-P Amber			
Weirib	Property	current ose	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
	100	Decommissioned (10/01/09)		NS		NS												
		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3											1
		Monitoring		NS		NS	9											
	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3											
		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3											
MW06	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW07	TOC/Farmasonis	Decommissioned (11/29/04)	NS	NS	NS	NS												
MW08	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW09 ⁽¹⁾	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX (QA/QC), multi-method	Gx, BTEX (QA/QC), multi-method	Gx, BTEX (QA/QC), multi-method	Gx, BTEX (QA/QC), multi-method	12	12	12	12								
MW10 ⁽¹⁾	тос	Monitoring		Gx, BTEX		Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW11		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	,	NS	3		-	-								
		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3											
		Monitoring		NS		NS	3											
MW14	TOC/Farmasonis	Decommissioned (11/29/04)	NS	NS		NS	-											
MW15 ⁽¹⁾	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW15 MW16		0	GX, BTEX GX, BTEX	NS		NS	3	5	5	3								
IVI VV 16	ROW (242nd)	Monitoring	GX, BIEX	NS	NS	NS	3											<u> </u>
MW17	TOC/Farmasonis	Decommissioned (11/29/04)	NS	NS		NS												
MW18		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3											(
MW19	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3											(
MW20 ⁽¹⁾	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, Dx, PAH (QA/QC)		Gx, BTEX,MTBE, Dx, PAH (QA/QC)	3	8	8	8						2	2	2
MW21 ⁽¹⁾	тос	Decommissioned (04/16/12)	NS	NS	NS	NS												
	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								í
	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											í
MW24		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											1
		Monitoring		NS	NS	NS	3											í
MW26	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											í
MW27 ⁽¹⁾	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								i I
MW28	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX (QA/QC)	NS	NS	NS	6											(
MW29	TOC	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS	NS	NS	3				2							
MW30		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb		Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2				
MW32 ⁽¹⁾		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	Gx, BTEX		Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3	2							
MW33 ⁽¹⁾	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW34	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW35	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS	NS	NS	3				2							
	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW37	тос	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW38		Monitoring		NS		NS	3											
MW39	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW40	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW41	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS	NS	NS	3				2							
MW42	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring (Dry)	NS	NS	NS	NS												
MW43	ROW (56th)	Monitoring (Dry)	NS	NS	NS	NS												
MW44	ROW (56th)	Monitoring (Dry)	NS	NS	NS	NS												
MW45 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2				
MW46	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS		NS	3				2							
MW47		Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS		NS	3				2							
MW48 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	LNAPL Recovery	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb		Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2				
	. ,	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX		Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
IVI W49		wontoning	UN, DIEN	UN, DIEN	UN, DIEN	UN, DIEN	3	3	5	3								

TABLE A-1 Groundwater Sampling Work Plan

Well ID	Duonortu	Commentation			40mL	VOA			250ml	L HNO ₃		500mL UN-P Amber						
weirib	Property	Current Use	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
MW50 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW51 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW52 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW53 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX,MTBE, Dx, PAH (MS/MSD)	Gx, BTEX,MTBE, Dx, PAH (MS/MSD)	Gx, BTEX,MTBE, Dx, PAH (MS/MSD)	3	12	12	12						3	3	3
MW55 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW56 ⁽¹⁾	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW57	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW58 ⁽¹⁾	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW59 ⁽¹⁾	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW60 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx. BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW61	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3								-			
MW62	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW63 ⁽¹⁾	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX	3	3	3	3								
MW64	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW65 ⁽¹⁾	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	4	4	4	4								
MW66 ⁽¹⁾	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, Dx, PAH		Gx, BTEX, MTBE, Dx, PAH	3	4	4	4						1	1	1
MW67	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	3	4	4	4								
MW68	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	3	4	4	4								
MW69 ⁽¹⁾	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	РАН	РАН	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, Dx, PAH	4	4	4	4						1	1	1
MW70 ⁽¹⁾	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	4	4	4	4		2	2	2		1	1	1
MW71 ⁽²⁾	Shin/Choi	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2		1	1	1
MW72 ⁽²⁾	Shin/Choi	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2		1	1	1
MW73	Shin/Choi	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2		1	1	1
MW74	Shin/Choi	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2		1	1	1
	ROW (56th)	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX			NS	3				2							
MW76	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS	-	NS	4											
	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE		Gx, BTEX, MTBE	4	4	4	4								
MW78	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS		NS	4											
MW79	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS		NS	3											
	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX			NS	3								-			
	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	GX, BTEX		-	NS	3											
	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring Decommissioned	Gx, BTEX			NS	3								-			
MW83	TOC/Farmasonis	(11/21/11)	NS	NS		NS												
MW84 ⁽¹⁾	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	4	4	4	4								
MW85 ⁽¹⁾	Drake	Decommissioned (11/28/11)	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	4	4	4	4								
	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE (QA/QC)	Dx. PAH (QA/QC)		Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx. PAH (QA/QC)	8	8	8	8		4	4	4		2	2	2
MW87	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS	NS	NS	4											

TABLE A-1 Groundwater Sampling Work Plan

TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

Well ID	Duonortu	Current Use			40mL	VOA			250m	L HNO ₃		500mL UN-P Amber						
weirib	Property	Current Use	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
MW88	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW89 ⁽¹⁾	Drake	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	4	4	4	4								1
MW90	TOC	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS	NS	NS	3				2							
MW91	тос	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS	NS	NS	3				2							
MW92	TOC/Farmasonis	Remediation	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW93	TOC/Farmasonis	Remediation	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW94	TOC/Farmasonis	Remediation	Gx, BTEX	NS	NS	NS	3											
MW95	Drake	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS	NS	NS	4											
MW96	Drake	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS	NS	NS	4											
MW97	Drake	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS	NS	NS	4											
MW98	Drake	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS	NS	NS	4											
MW99	Drake	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, MTBE	NS	NS	NS	4											
MW100	TOC/Farmasonis	Monitoring	Gx, BTEX, T/D Pb	NS	NS	NS	4				2							
MW101	Drake	Remediation	Gx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb	NS	NS	NS	4				2							
MW102 ⁽²⁾	Herman	Monitoring	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB		Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
MW103	Herman	Monitoring	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
MW104 ⁽²⁾	Herman	Monitoring		Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	8	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
MW105	Herman	Monitoring	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB		Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
MW106	Herman	Monitoring	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB	T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, Dx, PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
		Monitoring	GX, DX, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB	T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	Gx, Dx, BTEX, MTBE, T/D Pb, EDC, EDB, PAH	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
Rinsate Blan		QA/QC			As needed/All	As needed/All	16	16	16	16	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	4
Water Blank	xx2	QA/QC	As needed/All	As needed/All	As needed/All	As needed/All	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2
					Sam	ple Bottle Totals:	372	204	204	204	62	44	44	44	12	26	26	26

NOTES:

This schedule does not include future sampling at the Shin/Choi or Herman Properties

⁽¹⁾ Wells are included in the agreed order to sample quarterly

⁽²⁾ Only sample wells with no product

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (242nd) = Right-of-Way located at 242nd Street Southwest, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (56th) = Right-of-Way located at 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

DEFINITIONS:

BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes

Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for diesel-range organics

EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)

EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)

EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane)

EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane) Gx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon for gasoline-range organics

HNO₃ = nitric acid

LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquid

mL = milliLiter

MS/MSD = matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate sample location MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether multi-method = several types of sampling equipment were used for comparison MW = monitoring well NS = not sampled PAH = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons T/D Pb = total and dissolved lead QA/QC = quality assurance / quality control (sample location) ROW = right-of-way VOA = volatile organic analysis





List of Forms

Low-Flow and Volumetric Groundwate Sampling Data Sheet Depth to LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Form



Sample ID: _____

Duplicate ID: _____

Low-Flow and Volumetric Groundwater Sampling Data Sheet

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

	GENERAL INFO															
Client Name	: Hvc	IroCon		We	eathe	er:						San	nple D	ate:		
Owner Name	2		Co.		Sunn		∃Clou	dv	□Rain	-			nple Ti			
Project No:	B.A140	85.00			mp:	. <u> </u>	•F		•C		Duplica		-			
					-		FO	UIPM	IFNT	<u> </u>	-		-			
Туре			M	lake						ode	el			Se	erial	No.
Water Tape																
Multimeter																
Turbidity																
Pump Contro	Pump Controller															
SAMPLE ME	SAMPLE METHOD Bailer Bladder Pump Submersible Pump Peristaltic Pump Pne Other (describe): Other (describe): Description Description Description											🗆 Pneu	matic	: Pump		
							WEL	L DE	FAILS							
Date	Tim	e Cas	ng Dia	am.		DTP		I	DTW		DTB	Scr	een In	terval	Ρι	ump Depth
		3 Volumes									olume C					
	gal = water column (ft)*VC*3				= 0.041 2" = 0.			3″ =	= 0.367	4″	= 0.653	6″ =	1.469	10″ = 4	.080	12″ = 5.875
							SAM	iple i	DATA							
Time	D	w					Tem	n	DO		Spec		C	DRP		Turbidity
(5 min intervals)		et)	iters		рН		(∘C		(mg/			Cond (µ\$/cm)		(mV)		(NTU)
intervals)											(μ5/ C	,				
				+												
				+												
	Stab	ilization C	riteria	±	0.1		3%)	10%	,)	3%	,	±1	0mV		10%
	F	low Rate R	ange	0.1-0	.5 L/r	min; (Optima	al To	tal Drav	vdc		1				
		-	-				SAMP	PLE BO	OTTLES							
Bottle Type			Qua	antity		olum			ervative		Filter			Oth	er	
VOA Glass						10 ml			ICL		No					
Poly						50 m			NO3		No					
Poly					2	50 m	1	H	NO3	_	Yes					
		11	\mathcal{N}	111		(11)		(111)		(1)	(11)	11				
		tal Bottles:					<u> </u>	NOTE		210						
									.5							

DTW Meter Serial Number: Field Personnel:

Depth to LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Form

WELL ID	PROPERTY	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF (3/24/14)	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON (4/3/14)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF DATE	TIME (24:00)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON DATE	TIME (24:00)	NOTES
				DRAKE PROPI	ERTY WELLS			
MW65	Drake	41.19	41.82					
MW67	Drake	13.05	12.34					
MW68	Drake	12.42	11.65					
MW69	Drake	Dry	NM ⁽¹⁾					RW
MW70	Drake	NM	NM					2" RW
MW76	Drake	39.01	40.57					
MW77	Drake	38.54	39.73					
MW78	Drake	36.33	36.72					
MW84	Drake	NM ⁽¹⁾	NM ⁽¹⁾					RW/no pump
MW85	Drake	39.87	40.95					
MW86	Drake	41.22	42.41					
MW87	Drake	38.17	39.26					
MW88	Drake	18.54	12.00					
MW89	Drake	42.07	44.00					
MW95	Drake	43.35	45.55					RW
MW96	Drake	43.25	Dry					RW; TP at 47.5
MW97	Drake	42.35	Dry					RW; TP at 42.77
MW98	Drake	42.46	NM ⁽¹⁾					RW
MW99	Drake	Dry	Dry					RW; TP at 38.55
MW101	Drake	40.36	Dry					RW; TP at 42.35
MW44	ROW (56th)	Dry	Dry					
MW47	ROW (56th)	44.63 ⁽²⁾	Dry					
MW48	ROW (56th)	42.51	42.19					
MW52	ROW (56th)	43.30	43.45					
MW55	ROW (56th)	43.63	43.78					
MW63	ROW (56th)	42.69	42.68					
MW64	ROW (56th)	41.06	41.19					
MW75	ROW (56th)	NM	43.91					In road way

Depth to LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Form

WELL ID	PROPERTY	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF (3/24/14)	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON (4/3/14)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF DATE	TIME (24:00)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON DATE	TIME (24:00)	NOTES
				HERMAN PRO	PERTY WELLS			
MW102	Herman	15.29/16.92	14.78/16.26					
MW103	Herman	43.27	43.55					
MW104	Herman	10.84	10.31					
MW105	Herman	42.26 (2)	Dry					
MW106	Herman	8.64	9.02					
MW107	Herman	39.16	39.89					
MW51	ROW (56th)	41.27	41.88					
				SHIN/CHOI PRC	PERTY WELLS			
MW71	Shin/Choi	12.70/12.99	11.91/12.16					
MW72	Shin/Choi	15.69/16.18	14.67/14.96					
MW73	Shin/Choi	38.60	38.90					
MW74	Shin/Choi	39.10	39.10					
				TOC PROPE	RTY WELLS			
MW02	тос	10.83	10.66					
MW03	тос	11.00	11.61					
MW06	тос	8.68	9.18					
MW09	тос	22.44	27.43					
MW10	тос	30.78	Dry					
MW11	тос	21.31	33.00					RW
MW15	тос	36.70	29.40					RW
MW18	тос	Dry	Dry					RW; TP at 27.85
MW19	тос	12.09	12.11					
MW20	тос	33.03	36.30					
MW22	тос	27.92	29.41					
MW23	тос	38.86	38.56					
MW24	тос	14.10	Dry					RW; TP at 31.75
MW25	тос	27.64	32.82					
MW26	тос	48.34	47.77					



DTW Meter Serial Number: Field Personnel:

Depth to LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Form

WELL ID	PROPERTY	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF (3/24/14)	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON (4/3/14)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF DATE	TIME (24:00)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON DATE	TIME (24:00)	NOTES
				TOC PROPERTY W	ELLS (continue	d)		
MW27	тос	NM	NM					2" RW
MW28	тос	26.99	28.50					
MW29	тос	NM	NM					2" RW
MW30	тос	41.15	41.29					
MW32	тос	21.03	28.95					RW
MW33	тос	34.51	33.98					
MW34	тос	8.78	9.26					
MW35	тос	39.36	39.64					
MW36	тос	42.28	42.41					
MW37	тос	14.97	15.91					
MW38	тос	16.15	18.44					
MW90	тос	23.19	34.94					RW
MW91	тос	22.64	32.60					RW
MW04	ROW (56th)	11.51	10.87					
MW05	ROW (242nd)	11.50	10.73					
MW08	ROW (56th)	17.49	23.32					
MW16	ROW (242nd)	Dry	Dry					
MW50	ROW (56th)	35.72	35.88					
MW53	ROW (56th)	43.81	44.00					
MW62	ROW (56th)	9.72	9.56					



Depth to LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Form

WELL ID	PROPERTY	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF (3/24/14)	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON (4/3/14)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF DATE	TIME (24:00)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON DATE	TIME (24:00)	NOTES
				TOC / FARMASONIS	PROPERTY WI	ELLS		
MW31	TOC / Farmasonis	NM	NM					2" RW
MW39	TOC / Farmasonis	41.00	41.19					
MW40	TOC / Farmasonis	41.22	41.34					
MW41	TOC / Farmasonis	NM	NM					2" RW
MW42	TOC / Farmasonis	Dry	Dry					
MW54	TOC / Farmasonis	10.92	10.53					
MW56	TOC / Farmasonis	44.00	45.55					
MW57	TOC / Farmasonis	Dry	NM ⁽¹⁾					RW
MW58	TOC / Farmasonis	FSDS is 44.15 (03/26/14)	44.89					
MW59	TOC / Farmasonis	42.12	45.14					
MW66	TOC / Farmasonis	42.30	43.78					
MW79	TOC / Farmasonis	10.53	10.50					
MW80	TOC / Farmasonis	11.70	11.58					
MW81	TOC / Farmasonis	42.45	44.14					
MW82	TOC / Farmasonis	26.30	28.96					
MW92	TOC / Farmasonis	44.30	44.80					RW
MW93	TOC / Farmasonis	Dry	42.15					RW
MW94	TOC / Farmasonis	Dry	Dry					RW; TP at 40.44
MW100	TOC / Farmasonis	14.05	13.94					
MW12	ROW (56th)	10.00	9.60					
MW13	ROW (56th)	Dry	Dry					
MW43	ROW (56th)	34.71	34.72					
MW45	ROW (56th)	Dry	Dry					
MW46	ROW (56th)	Dry	43.40 ⁽²⁾					
MW49	ROW (56th)	42.97	44.74					
MW60	ROW (56th)	43.88	44.87					
MW61	ROW (56th)	8.29	8.38					


Depth to LNAPL/Groundwater Level Measurement Form

TOC Holdings CO. Facility No. 01-176; Mountlake Terrace WA

WELL ID	PROPERTY	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF (3/24/14)	PREVIOUS DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON (4/3/14)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM OFF DATE	TIME (24:00)	DTP/DTW SYSTEM ON DATE	TIME (24:00)	NOTES
				DECOMMISSI	ONED WELLS			
MW01	Decommissioned							
MW07	Decommissioned							
MW14	Decommissioned							
MW17	Decommissioned							
MW21	Decommissioned							
MW83	Decommissioned							

NOTES:

 $^{(1)}$ Not measured due to inaccessible wellhead (vehicle parked over wellhead). $^{(2)}$ Unclear if well was dry or if water was in end cap of well.

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (242nd) = Right-of-Way located at 242nd Street Southwest, Mountlake Terrace WA ROW (56th) = Right-of-Way located at 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

DEFINITIONS:

DTP = depth-to-product (LNAPL) DTW = depth-to-water FSDS = Field Sample Data Sheet LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquid MW = monitoring well NM = not measured ROW= right-of-way RWW = remediation well TP = top of pump



Appendix B Laboratory Analytical Data

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

March 27, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 24, 2014 from the TOC 01-176_MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403326 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0327R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on March 24, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC 01-176_MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403326 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
403326-01	MW12
403326-02	MW66
403326-03	MW100
403326-04	MW81
403326-05	MW80
403326-06	MW79
403326-07	MW54
403326-08	TB-02

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/27/14 Date Received: 03/24/14 Project: TOC 01-176_MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403326 Date Extracted: 03/24/14 Date Analyzed: 03/24/14 and 03/25/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW12 403326-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
MW66 403326-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
MW100 403326-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
MW81 403326-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89
MW80 403326-05	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88
MW79 403326-06	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
MW54 403326-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
TB-02 403326-08	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
Method Blank 04-0565 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/27/14 Date Received: 03/24/14 Project: TOC 01-176_MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403326

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 403308-01 (Duplicate)

5	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	88	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	93	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	94	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	93	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

BLOCKS SAMPLERS (signature) \mathcal{GUMA} $\mathcal{Hetture}$ \mathcal{Fuget} Fu	JBR	Company <u>JBR</u> Address <u>19101 36</u>	City, State, ZIP <u>LYN</u> Phone # <u>425-977-</u> 1		Sample ID	MWIZ	MW 66	mw QQ	MW 81	\$8 MW	mw 79	MW 54	TB-Ø2	Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Fax (206) 283-5044	FORMS\COC\COC.DOC
SAMPLERS (signature) Page # TURNATION: PROJECT NAME/NO. POTOC -/I/L T REMARKS TOC -/I/L T REMARKS SAMPLERS (signature) TURNATION: TURNATION: TURNATION: TURNATION: SAMPLERS (signature) SAMPLE DISPO MU 3 TIPH-Diesel NO SVOCs by 8270 NO SVOCs by 8270 NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	bekah	Environi Th Av	Wood									+	80	Relinquishe	Received by	Relinquished	Received by	
SAMPLERS (signature) Page # TURNATION: PROJECT NAME/NO. POTOC -/I/L T REMARKS TOC -/I/L T REMARKS SAMPLERS (signature) TURNATION: TURNATION: TURNATION: Colspan="2">SAMPLE DISPO SAMPLE DISPO MUSH MUSH MUSH MUSH MUSH MUSH	nont	nental	#425-		Date Sampled	8-23-14	3-23-14	-23-14	-23-14	3-23-14	3-23-14	3-22-14	14	SIGN,		1 by:		
SAMPLERS (signature) Page # TOC -/I)LT B. A! 400000 SAMPLERS REQUESTED SAMPLE DISPO Return samples TOC -/I)LT B. A! 400000 SAMPLE DISPO Return samples SAMPLE DISPO Return samples SAMPLE DISPO Return samples MUSH SAMPLE DISPO Return samples MUSH		(ON.	18036 149-409		Time Sampled	00p0	1030		1330		1510	0151	44	ATURE	I Mall	r work		
LERS (signature) Data Hutture PO# PO# RKS Po# PO# TURAME/NO. PO# TURAM	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO SAMPLERS (signature)	PRC			Sample Type	M	W	W	W	M	M	M	4	Ţ	non	Z I M		
AME Company Fige # No Company Ares Fige # No Samples received at Company Sign 2	CHAIN O LERS (sign	CT NAME	RKS			3	S	ω	W	S	3	S		PRI	5			
AME Company Fige # No Company Ares Fige # No Samples received at Company Sign 2	F CU nture)	NO.			· 10 ⁻¹¹			\times	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	\times	\checkmark	\mathbf{X}	X	NTN		Mole		
OMA Po# PO# PO# B. Al HOR.or Rush charges authoriz Rush charges authoriz Rush charges authoriz Stores by 8270 SAMPLE DISPO MALYSES REQUESTED In Return samples Image: Stores by 8270 Image: Samples MALYSES REQUESTED Image: Samples Image: Stores by 8270 Image: Samples Image: Samples received at Image: Samples	SA ISTO					\bigotimes	$\widehat{\mathbf{X}}$	\overleftrightarrow	eq	$ \Rightarrow$	\bigotimes	\mathbf{X}	\overrightarrow{X}	AME		res		
Hutting PO# Page # B. Al Holtcol Poff Standard (2 Weeks) B. Al Holtcol Samples Poff B. Al Holtcol Samples B. Al Holtcol Rush charges authoriz Samples Samples B. Al Holtcol Samples Samples No HI Samples Samples Samples received at	DDY													Ţ				
Page # TURNAROUND RUSH Rush charges authoriz Rush charges authoriz Return samples Will call with instruc Will call with instruc DATE 3/24/14 3/24/14 2/24/14				ANA	SVOCs by 8270				-+						S.W.			
Page # TURNAROUND RUSH Rush charges authoriz Rush charges authoriz Return samples Will call with instruc Will call with instruc DATE 3/24/14 3/24/14 2/24/14	ME	B. A		YSES	HFS												(0)	
Page # TURNAROUND RUSH Rush charges authoriz Rush charges authoriz Return samples Will call with instruc Will call with instruc DATE 3/24/14 3/24/14 2/24/14	3/24/14 Po#	РО#		REQ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,									- L - N	L 1	bamp	
Page # TURNAROUND RUSH Rush charges authoriz Rush charges authoriz Return samples Will call with instruc Will call with instruc DATE 3/24/14 3/24/14 2/24/14	S =	Rio		UEST										MP	210	1 d	les r	
age # dard (2 Weeks) H harges authoriz harges authoriz rn samples call with instruc SAMPLE DISPO Call with instruc $H3/24/143/24/143/24/142/24/143$	•			ΈD	·····									YNY	R		eceiv	
age # dard (2 Weeks) H harges authoriz harges authoriz rn samples call with instruc SAMPLE DISPO Call with instruc $H3/24/143/24/143/24/142/24/143$	A Star	ArStar RUS Rush c	⊠ Disp ⊐ Retu ⊐ Will														'ed at	
AROUND TIME (2 Weeks) es authorized by PLE DISPOSAL fiter 30 days mples with instructions Notes 24/14 /20, 24/14 /20, 24/14 /22,50	age # URN URN	ndard SH charge	SAMI pose a urn sa l call									_		 2 <u>-</u>	<u>e</u> 4			ł
of ks) vorized by sposAL days structions TIMI 120	AROUI (2 Wee	(2 Wee 's autho	PLE DI fter 30 mples with ins				E							DATE	24-14	24/14		
	ND TI ks)	ks) orized	SPOS, days struction		Note													
	✓ 2	by	AL		ά									ME	1200	9 S		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

March 27, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 24, 2014 from the TOC_01-176 MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403327 project. There are 5 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0327R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on March 24, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176 MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403327 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
403327-01	MW06
403327-02	MW37
403327-03	MW34
403327-04	MW36
403327-05	MW35
403327-06	MW23
403327-07	MW38
403327-08	MW05
403327-09	MW03
403327-10	MW04
403327-11	MW22
403327-12	MW19
403327-13	MW28
403327-14	MW10
403327-15	MW02
403327-16	MLT-01
403327-17	MW20
403327-18	MW28
403327-19	MW26
403327-20	TB-01

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/27/14 Date Received: 03/24/14 Project: TOC_01-176 MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403327 Date Extracted: 03/25/14 Date Analyzed: 03/25/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW06 403327-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW37 403327-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW34 403327-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW36 403327-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW35 403327-05	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	78
MW23 403327-06	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	82
MW38 403327-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	78
MW05 403327-08	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	77
MW03 403327-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	78
MW04 403327-10	<1	<1	<1	4.4	<100	79
MW22 403327-11	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/27/14 Date Received: 03/24/14 Project: TOC_01-176 MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403327 Date Extracted: 03/25/14 Date Analyzed: 03/25/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW19 403327-12	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW28 403327-13	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW10 403327-14	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	80
MW02 403327-15	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MLT-01 403327-16	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW20 403327-17	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW28 403327-18	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
MW26 403327-19	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	77
TB-01 403327-20	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
Method Blank 04-0567 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	83

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/27/14 Date Received: 03/24/14 Project: TOC_01-176 MLT, PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403327

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 403327-09 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	80	78	50-150	3
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	83	81	50-150	2
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	87	86	50-150	1
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	<3	77	77	50-150	0
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	<100	97	95	50-150	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	78	72-119
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	80	71-113
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	84	72-114
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	75	72-113
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	105	70-119

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

											FORMS\COC\COC.DOC
°C	Samples received at _2	Samp			ſ			5	by:	Received by:	Fax (206) 283-5044
			ſ	£	-		-		med by	Relinquished by	Ph. (206) 285-8282
shu 12	HAN		D' N -	ູ					N	Received by	Seattle, WA 98119-2029
3-24-14 1200	YBC	hins	6	Ħ	F	Danc	white	ma 7	Relinquished by:	Relinqui	3012 16th Avenue West
DATE TIME	COMPANY		Ê	PRINT NAME	TN	PRI		SIGNATURE	SIGN		Friedman & Bruya, Inc.
			\bowtie	K		5	W	1615	3-21-14	10 0.	MWQH
ms/msp			\bowtie	\bigtriangleup		9	W	1520	3-21-14	9,7, H,	mw Ø3
			${\boldsymbol{ imes}}$	$\langle \rangle$		S	4	SIHI	V3-21-14 1415	180	$mW \phi 5$
			\bigtriangleup	$\langle \rangle$		Э	M	1340	3-21-14 1340	40	MW 38
			X,	\bigcirc		S	W	1 200	3-20-14	8	MW 23
,			X	\bigotimes		3	W	1430	3-20-14 1430	05	MW35
			X	$\langle \rangle$		3	W	1420	3-20-14	oy	MW 36
			X	X,		3	W	1340	3-20-14	3	MW 34
			\bigtriangleup	$ \rightarrow $		S	Ч	1255	3-20-14 1255	es.	TEMM
			\bowtie	\succ		3	М	0	3-20-14 1140	012-	MWQ6
Notes		VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270 HFS	BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Gasoline	TPH-Diesel	# of containers	Sample Type	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	Lab ID	Sample ID
	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ANALY									
								IH q- Hou	(# <u>425-</u> 4	4994 Fa	Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-449-4097
SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days	Ø					NKS	REMARKS	18036		Wacd	e, ZIP
Rush charges authorized by	B.AIHOdsa	B			•	C-ML	21	West, Stars	Ave,	36th	19101
IUKIVAKUUND IIME AS Standard (2 Weeks)		§	<	1	Į Õ	PROJECT NAME/NO.	· ·	ta G		n レ デ	Company JBR E
Page # of	Hut hind -	ame	26)		ture	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPL	Branks		5 0 K	Rebekah
- 4 	ME 03/24/10		[O]	S	Ē	HAIN OI	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	, 2			
, J										Ś	TOC Wells

		Cambro					_
	Samples received at 2 °C	Cample		_		Received by:	Fax (206) 283-5044
5/24 12	+ eb	all	PAC		1 by:	Relinquished	
3-24-14 1200	JBK	1, HUTChins	Dana	Inkhino	Jana	Received by	Seattle, WA 98119-2029
DATE TIME	COMPANY	PRINT NAME	PRIN		SIGNATURE	SIC	
				W	HN HN	8	TB-Ø1
			W	ų	3-22-14 1420	19/13	MW 26
Bailer		X	W	M	3-22-14 1400	18 3	MW28
			2	M	3-22-14 1400	17 2	MW2¢
~			S	W	3-22-14 1200	3	MLT-ØI
5			W	4	3-22-14 1140	15-	mwd2
			2	K	3-22-14 1100	14	di/mm 2
Peristaltic			S	4	3-22-14 Oq40	3	mw28
			C	W	3-21-14 1735	12	mW 9
			3	W	3-21-14 1720	1.01	MW22
Notes	HFS	TPH-Gasoline BTEX by 8021B VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270	containers TPH-Diesel	Sample Type	Date Time Sampled Sampled	Lab ID	Sample ID
	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ANAL					
Sociation Structure Dispose after 30 days ☐ Return samples ☐ Will call with instructions			KKS	17 REMARKS	1/a, 98036 #425-449-409	1000 1 11/ Fax #	City, State, ZIP <u>LYNNWOOD Wa, 98036</u> Phone # <u>425-977-4994</u> Fax # <u>425-449-4097</u>
Rush charges authorized by	200		OC - ML		S6Th Ave, West, STe 203	K AV	Company <u>JBK ENVI</u> Address <u>19101 367K</u>
Fage # of TURNAROUND TIME	Hutino	ure) Dany	SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO.	 	Brooks	eka	Send Report To Rebekah Brook
N	NE 03/24/1	CUSTODY	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	SAMPLE			403327
NS-							IOC Wells

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 4, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 27, 2014 from the TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403414 project. There are 10 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0404R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on March 27, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403414 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	JBR Environmental Consultants
403414 -01	MW48

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403414 Date Extracted: 04/02/14 Date Analyzed: 04/02/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW48 403414-01 1/40	82	99	680	4,700	33,000	89
Method Blank 04-0604 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	95

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW48 03/27/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00 403414-01 403414-01.063 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 92	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		52.6		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, I4-200 mb I4-200 mb.041 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	%	Recovery: 86	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		ncentration 1g/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW48 03/27/14 03/28/14 03/28/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00 403414-01 403414-01.027 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 84	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		48.0		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 03/28/14 03/28/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00 I4-195 mb I4-195 mb.018 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	9	% Recovery: 98	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		oncentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403414

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 403426-01 (Duplicate) RPD Reporting Sample Duplicate Units Result Result (Limit 20) Analyte Benzene ug/L (ppb) <1 <1 nm Toluene ug/L (ppb) <1 <1 nm Ethylbenzene ug/L (ppb) 2.8 2.8 0 Xylenes ug/L (ppb) 5.8 5.8 1 Gasoline ug/L (ppb) 310 310 2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	90	72-119
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	71-113
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	72-114
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	90	72-113
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	101	70-119

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403414

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 403438-05 (Matrix Spike)								
	_			Percent	Percent			
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD	
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)	
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	1.03	99	103	79-121	4	
Laboratory Code:	Laboratory C	ontrol Sam	nple					

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	99	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403414

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code:	403388-02 (N	Aatrix Spil	ke)				
	Depenting	Spiles	Somula	Percent	Percent	Accentance	RPD
Amalata	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	80	80	79-121	0
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	80	80	79-121	0

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	95	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

F	F	F	s (3 F	— —•••			 		T	1	T			~		<u> </u>		
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3017 16th Avenue West							MW 48	Sample ID		City, State, ZIP Lynnwood Wa 98036 Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-449-4097	Address 19101 36th Ave, West 5203	company JBR Environmental (on.	Cend Renort To R P	403414	t
	Received by:	Relinqui	Received by:	Relingu							A E	Lab ID		Aqu Fa	672	nviro	505		
	d by:	Relinquished by:	d by: A	SIGN								Date Sampled		Ma ax # 425	Ave,	nmente	nh R		
		mu / h									3-23-14 0930	Time Sampled		- 44-40 - 449-40	West st	$\frac{10}{10}$	(304 C		
			whine	H							4	Sample Type						SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUS	
		NNWN	Udna 1	> 							S	pe containers		REMARKS Total lead Bottles are Labled Dissolved lead Bottles are	TOC-MLI	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	E CHAIN	
		rn an	1	PRINT NAME							, X	Z TPH-Diesel TPH-Gasoline		thes are L	MLT	IE/NO.	gnature)	OF CUS	
			7	ME							X	BTEX by 8021B VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270	AN				27 Jun	TODY	
		+				San					\times	HFS T/cliss Lead	ANALYSES REQUESTED	La Blac (d. 55	BAIH	P		ME	
		103		COMPANY		Samples received at							EQUESTE	(5)	B.A 14085.00	0#		- 03/2	
				YI				 						S. Ber Dispo Retur Will o	Rush ch	T RIISH		4/14	
		41-20-5	3-27-14	DATE) ດ	د.							SAMPLE DISPOSAL ■ Dispose after 30 days □ Return samples □ Will call with instructions	Rush charges authorized by	I UKINAKUUND IIME Standard (2 Weeks)	ge #		
		1520	1200	TIME				-				Notes		SPOSAL days tructions	rized by	(S)	of V	~ ちょ	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 4, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 27, 2014 from the TOC_01-176_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403415 project. There are 5 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0404R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on March 27, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403415 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
403415 -01	MW30
403415 -02	MW39
403415 -03	EB-032514
403415 -04	MW59
403415 -05	MW40
403415 -06	MW58
403415 -07	MW93
403415 -08	MW31
403415 -09	MW92
403415 -10	MW57
403415 -11	WB-032614
403415 -12	EB-032614

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403415 Date Extracted: 03/28/14 Date Analyzed: 03/28/14, 03/31/14 and 04/02/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW30 403415-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	84
MW39 403415-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	85
EB-032514 403415-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
MW59 403415-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
MW40 403415-05	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	91
MW58 403415-06	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
MW93 403415-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89
MW31 403415-08	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89
MW92 403415-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	91
MW57 403415-10	<1	9.1	51	410	3,600	92
WB-032614 403415-11	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403415 Date Extracted: 03/28/14 Date Analyzed: 03/28/14, 03/31/14 and 04/02/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
EB-032614 403415-12	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88
Method Blank 04-0604 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	95

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403415

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 403426-01 (Duplicate) RPD Reporting Sample Duplicate Units Result Result (Limit 20) Analyte Benzene ug/L (ppb) <1 <1 nm Toluene ug/L (ppb) <1 <1 nm Ethylbenzene ug/L (ppb) 2.8 2.8 0 Xylenes ug/L (ppb) 5.8 5.8 1 Gasoline ug/L (ppb) 310 310 2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	90	72-119
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	71-113
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	72-114
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	90	72-113
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	101	70-119

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

ſ										FORMS\COC\COC.DOC
	Samples received at 2	Samoleo						by:	Received by:	Fax (206) 283-5044
	ŝ	-		-	101101		10000	r	Relinquished by:	Ph. (206) 285-8282
1500 h/14	hilte/8	Feb-T	m	phan	11han		1 anus	by:	Received by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029
3-27-14 1200	3-2	SAC	cichais	Hei	Dana	Auching	\sim	Relinquished by: Lang	Relinquit	3012 16th Avenue West
DATE TIME		COMPANY	ME	PRINT NAME	PRIN		SIGNATURE	SIGN,		Friedman & Bruya, Inc.
			X	X	3	4	1220	3-26-14 1220	101	mW57
			X	X	3	4	1200	3-26-14 1200	09	murq2
			\leq	X	3	W	5111	3-26-14	8	mW31
			X	X	3	W	1050	3-26-14	fO	my 93
				\boldsymbol{X}	3	4	1520	3-26-14	06	MW 58
			X	\mathbf{X}	B	4	1430	3-26-14	05	MW HO
				X	3	M	2421	3-26-14	ou	mW Sq
			X	X	3	4	1645	3-25-14	60	EB-032514
			X	X	3	M	1520	3-25-14 1520	Do la	mw39
			\leq	\mathbf{X}	2	M	Shhl	3-25-14 144S	01 0	mw30
Notes		HFS	BTEX by 8021B VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270	TPH-Diesel TPH-Gasoline	# of containers	Sample Type	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	Lab ID	Sample ID
		ANALYSES REQUESTED	ANA							
□ Will call with instructions	☐ Keturn samples ☐ Will call with ir					595	-449-40	x # 425.	/qq 4 Fa:	Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-449-4093
SAMPLE DISPOSAL pose after 30 days	SAMPLE DISPOS Dispose after 30 days				RKS	- REMARKS	8036	Wa, a	wead	City, State, ZIP Lynnwood, Wa, 98036
authorized by	Rush charges authorized by	B. #1408 5.00			OC-ML-		t sre re	36th Ave, nest, ste 203	671	
? Weeks)	Standard (2 Weeks)	PO#		Ō	PROJECT NAME/NO.		al con	Enviranmenta	nvira	Company JBR E
TIRNAROIND TIME	TITRNA	Autolino	Dany	~	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPI	s ya	h Br)eka	Send Report To Rebekah Mark
	1/7 2/20	ME	TODY	CUS	CHAIN OF	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO				
									Wells	tarma sonis

FORMS/COC/COC/DOC	Ph. (206) 285-8282	2029	3012 16th Avenue West	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.					EB-032614	WB-032614	Sample ID		Phone # $425 \cdot 977 - 4$	and the line has	Company <u>J. 1517</u> Address 910 36	Send Report To Re	403415
Keceived by:	Relinquished by:	Received by: (m) and	Relinquished by:	SIGNATURE					12 to 12 25-14 1605	11 °C 3-26-14 1555	Date Time Sampled Sampled		Phone # 425 - 977 - 4994 Fax # 425 - 449 - 4093	12000	Company J. 5K ENVIOUNTENTALION. Address 1910/ 36th Ave. West. Ste 203	Send Report To Reberrah Brooks	
		Nhan Phan		PRINT NAME					XX 5 M	42 3 XX.	Sample Type Type containers TPH-Diesel TPH-Gasoline BTEX by 8021B VOCs by8260		1	REMARKS		PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY
Samples		Fe B-T		COMPANY							SVOCs by 8270 HFS	ANALYSES REQUESTED			B. HIYCOGCO Rus	PO# BAS	N ME 03/07/14
Samples received at <u>3</u> °C		3/27/4/1520	_	DATE TIME							Notes		⊠ Dispose after 30 days □ Return samples □ Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	□ RUSH Rush charges authorized by	TURNAROUND TIME	2
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 4, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on March 27, 2014 from the TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403416 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0404R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on March 27, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403416 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	JBR Environmental Consultants
403416 -01	MW09 (Bailer)
403416 -02	MW09 (Submersible)
403416 -03	MW09 (Peri)
403416 -04	MLT-02

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403416 Date Extracted: 03/28/14 Date Analyzed: 03/28/14 and 04/01/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW09 (Bailer) 403416-01	<1	4.9	<1	750	4,300	109
MW09 (Submersible) 403416-02	<1	5.1	<1	850	4,900	106
MW09 (Peri) 403416-03	<1	3.8	<1	540	2,600	101
MLT-02 403416-04	<1	4.1	<1	570	2,900	101
Method Blank 04-0605 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 03/27/14 Project: TOC_MLT 01-176 PO B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 403416

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 403388-04 (Duplicate)

J	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	5.0	4.5	11
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

		Percent				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance		
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria		
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	89	65-118		
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	72-122		
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	73-126		
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	95	74-118		
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	100	69-134		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

 \checkmark

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 7, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included is the amended report from the testing of material submitted on April 1, 2014 from the TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015 project. The total and dissolved lead results have been added to the report.

We apologize for the inconvenience and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Colo

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0404R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 4, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 1, 2014 from the TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015 project. There are 26 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0404R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 1, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
404015 -01	MW76
404015 -02	MW88
404015 -03	MW87
404015 -04	MW77
404015 -05	MW95
404015 -06	MW97
404015 -07	MW67
404015 -08	MW96
404015 -09	MW64
404015 -10	MW70
404015 -11	MW98
404015 -12	MW101
404015 -13	MW84
404015 -14	WB-033014
404015 -15	EB-033014
404015 -16	TB-033114-2
404015 -17	MW55

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015 Date Extracted: 04/02/14 Date Analyzed: 04/02/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW76 404015-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	96
MW88 404015-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	98
MW87 404015-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
MW77 404015-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
MW95 404015-05	<1	<1	<1	36	330	98
MW97 404015-06	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
MW67 404015-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
MW96 404015-08	3.6	1.2	8.4	34	200	92
MW64 404015-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92
MW70 404015-10	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88
MW98 404015-11	2.1	<1	<1	<3	<100	91

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015 Date Extracted: 04/02/14 Date Analyzed: 04/02/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW101 404015-12	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
MW84 404015-13	<1	1.3	5.5	14	600	94
WB-033014 404015-14	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
EB-033014 404015-15	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
TB-033114-2 404015-16	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	100
MW55 404015-17	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92
Method Blank 04-0611 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW101 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 11:01:03 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-12 404015-12.021 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	% Recovery: 101	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/03/14 04/03/14 10:10:37 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 I4-208 mb I4-208 mb.009 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	% Recovery: 100	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW101 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 11:55:14 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-12 404015-12.034 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	% Recovery: 105	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/03/14 04/03/14 11:32:27 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 I4-207 mb I4-207 mb.028 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	% Recovery: 105	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW76 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-01 040112.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	94	108
Toluene-d8		97	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	101	91	110
_		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW87 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-03 040113.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	102	94	108
Toluene-d8		98	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	102	91	110
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW77 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-04 040114.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	94	108
Toluene-d8		99	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	102	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW95 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-05 040115.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	94	108
Toluene-d8		98	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	99	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW97 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-06 040116.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	103	94	108
Toluene-d8		98	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	102	91	110
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW96 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-08 040117.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	94	108
Toluene-d8		98	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	100	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW70 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-10 040118.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	94	108
Toluene-d8		99	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW98 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-11 040119.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	94	108
Toluene-d8		97	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	101	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW101 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-12 040120.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	94	108
Toluene-d8		99	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	91	110
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW84 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-13 040121.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	94	108
Toluene-d8		98	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	101	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB-033014 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-14 040122.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	104	94	108
Toluene-d8		100	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB-033014 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-15 040123.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	103	94	108
Toluene-d8		98	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	TB-033114-2 04/01/14 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 404015-16 040111.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	94	108
Toluene-d8		97	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	101	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan NA 04/01/14 04/01/14 Water ug/L (ppb)	k	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00 04-0646 mb 040110.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	94	108
Toluene-d8		98	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	102	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 404015-01 (Duplicate)

Ŭ	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	93	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	98	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	97	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Co	de: 403434-03 (N	Matrix Spil	ke)	Percent	Percent		
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	91	91	79-121	0

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	100	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

			Percent	Percent	ke)	/latrix Spil	404044-01 (N	Laboratory Code:
	RPD (Limit)	Acceptance Criteria	Recovery MSD	Recovery MS	Sample Result	Spike Level	Reporting Units	Analyte
3	3	79-121	105	102	<1	10	ug/L (ppb)	Lead
		79-121	105	102	<1	10	ug/L (ppb)	Leau

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	105	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/04/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404015

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 404015-15 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	107	80-114

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	106	93	81-118	13

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 8, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 1, 2014 from the TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404016 project. There are 19 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0408R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 1, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404016 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
404016 -01	MW08
404016 -02	MW62
404016 -03	MW50
404016 -04	MW32
404016 -05	MW18
404016 -06	MW29
404016 -07	MW24
404016 -08	MW91
404016 -09	MLT-03
404016 -10	MW25
404016 -11	MW11
404016 -12	MW90
404016 -13	MW27
404016 -14	MW15
404016 -15	TB-033114-1
404016 -16	MW53
404016 -17	WB-033114
404016 -18	EB-033114

All quality control requirements were acceptable.
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404016 Date Extracted: 04/02/14 Date Analyzed: 04/02/14 and 04/03/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW08 404016-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86
MW62 404016-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	83
MW50 404016-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	87
MW32 404016-04	5.3	57	57	410	4,800	90
MW18 404016-05	4.2	250	<1	1,100	5,500	84
MW29 404016-06	<1	<1	<1	140	3,500	89
MW24 404016-07	<1	57	<1	2,200	11,000	83
MW91 404016-08	<1	51	33	270	2,200	87
MLT-03 404016-09	<1	41	27	230	1,900	84
MW25 404016-10	<1	3.3	2.2	34	300	87
MW11 404016-11	<1	7.2	10	73	1,900	88

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404016 Date Extracted: 04/02/14 Date Analyzed: 04/02/14 and 04/03/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW90 404016-12	10	990	210	3,500	18,000	85
MW27 404016-13	<1	3.7	12	120	1,000	88
MW15 404016-14	<1	1.1	<1	3.6	<100	87
TB-033114-1 404016-15	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	87
MW53 404016-16	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	85
WB-033114 404016-17	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86
EB-033114 404016-18	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88
Method Blank 04-0612 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	87

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW32 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-04 404016-04.035 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 107	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		45.2		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW29 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-06 404016-06.036 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 101	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		30.0		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW91 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-08 404016-08.037 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 106	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		3.02		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-03 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-09 404016-09.038 ICPMS1 AP
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Holmium		104	60	125
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		5.35		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW90 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-12 404016-12.039 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 108	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		4.08		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 I4-207 mb I4-207 mb.028 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	9	6 Recovery: 105	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	-	oncentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW32 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-04 404016-04.022 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 101	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		6.11		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW29 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-06 404016-06.023 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Holmium		102	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		1.26		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW91 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-08 404016-08.024 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 108	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-03 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-09 404016-09.025 ICPMS1 AP
Leterne el Cterreden de		0/ D	Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Holmium		106	60	125
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW90 04/01/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 404016-12 404016-12.026 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard:		% Pacavary:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Holmium		% Recovery: 108	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 I4-208 mb I4-208 mb.009 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	%	6 Recovery: 100	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		ncentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404016

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 404016-01 (Duplicate)

5	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	88	72-119
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	71-113
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	72-114
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	90	72-113
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	102	70-119

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404016

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	102	105	79-121	3
Laboratory C	Code: Laboratory C	ontrol San	nnle				

-	-		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	105	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/01/14 Project: TOC_01-176_B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404016

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	91	91	79-121	0

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	100	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ca}}$ - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

											FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	
	Samples received at 2 °C	San							1 by:	Received by:	Fax (206) 283-5044	
									shed by:	Relinquished by:	Ph. (206) 285-8282	
	OE11 H1/10/h0	TO7			<u>چ</u>	Whan Pha		mu 5	1 by: not len	Received by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	
	NY DATE TIME	COMPANY	ET	T NAME	ľ	PRIN		SIGNATURE	SIGN shed by:	Relinquished by:	Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	
				IK		5	AA	1510	WCJS-30-19 1510	D D D	MMY 25	
		X	¥ `	\mathbf{X}	+	395	N	001	09 13-30-14 1300	041	MLT-03	
		X		Ŕ		•5	M	1245	08/2 3-30-14 1245	08 F	mw 91	<u>\</u>
				\bigotimes		W	N	1225	13-30-14	7 to	MW24	1
		X		\Diamond		1 57	4	1200	06 E 3-30-14 1200	06 F	MW 29	¥ \$
					~	W	M	1120	05 2-3-30-14 1120	05 1-	mu 18	-
		X		\bigotimes		5	W	nho	3-30-14	045	MW 32	4
				Θ		ω	M	1020		03	MW 50	
				\Rightarrow		ω	W	1115	3-27-14 1115	02	MW62	8 8
				\bigotimes		w	W	1030	3-27-14 1030	01 °C	BOMW	
	Notes	HFS TOTAL Lead Diss Lead	VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270	TPH-Gasoline BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Diesel	# of containers	Sample Type	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	Lab ID	Sample ID	
	Ð	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ANA	$\left \right $								
	 ➡ Dispose after 30 days □ Return samples □ Will call with instructions 							49-409	# 425-4 11/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/1	1994 Fax	City, State, ZIP Lynnulood, WQ, 98056 Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-449-4097	
n Anger A	SAMPLE DISPOSAL					NKC	g	Stel	he west, ste 205	Th th	Address 19 101 367K	/
	A Standard (2 Weeks)	PO# B.H.4085.00		4	MO.	TOC -M		(on-	mental	NVION	Company JBR Environmental (On-	
	Page # 1 of TURNAROUND TIME	Huchins	any .	90	ture)	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPL	ofts.	h Br	veka	Send Report To Reberrah Brooks	
	1/14 VO/DEY	ME OY /OI	CUSTODY	JST		HAIN OF	SAMPLE CHAIN OF					
											TOC Wells	

	•																	FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	P	
		e redeived at	Sample			-									by:	Received by:	44	Fax (206) 283-5044	F	. •
	2				/ · · ·				÷							Relinquished by:	82	Ph. (206) 285-8282	P	
	061	4-1-14	P-7	J.			<u> </u>	han	0	\$ `	Nohan	1	5	Gunni	1/m	Received by:		Seattle, WA 98119-2029	S	
	1200	3-31-14	R	4			hin	Et.	1	à	Puna	Ś	Give there in	Gina	by:	Relinquis		3012 16th Avenue West	3	•
•	TIME	DATE	COMPANY	CO			ET	T NAME	T	PRIN			Œ	NATU	SIG			Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	F	
				 		-		<u> </u>												
e en Se s																				
										 		2	ŏ	1700	3-31-14	182-	114	EB-033114		2 1
								R			3	W	3	2121	3-3/-14	-4 -	3114	WB-03314	_	
	-							\bigotimes			5	R	(135		3-31-14	6 A-	3	MW 53		•
· · ·								\bigotimes				7				15	4 - 1	TB-Ø33114-	i	
							<u>r_`</u>	$\widehat{\nabla}$			S	14/	5	1164	SH91 HI-02-E	14 A-	\sim	MM	V	
											5	4	0	141	3-30-14 1410	13 C	7	MM2	•	
				Ŕ			Ē			S		M	0	134	3-30-14 1340	コー	7	MW 90	4 1 1	
•							<u> </u>		X		S	W	רי וי	1313	3-30-14 1 315	1 C		mw/1	1	
	Notes	7		Diss Lad	HFS TOTAL Lead	SVOCs by 8270	VOCs by8260	TPH-Gasoline BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Diesel		# of containers	Sample Type		Time I Sampled	Date Sampled	ID Lab		Sample ID		
			ESTED	ANALYSES REQUESTED	YSES	ANA	11													
	OSAL tys uctions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL ☐ Dispose after 30 days ☐ Return samples ☐ Will call with instructions									KS S	REMARKS	6	1803 449-	11/a, (# 425-	red ,	<u>- Lynnu</u> 477-49	City, State, ZIP Lynnwood, wa, 98036 Phone # 425-477-4994 Fax # 425-449-4097	P C	
) zed by	⊠-Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH Rush charges authorized by	l	PO# B.#1408500	B-#1			.	1 <u>0</u>	て同	DIECT NAME/NO	PROJECT NAME/NC	(0A STe203	- <u>601</u>	mental , west	VI rom Ave	R En 36th	Company JBR Environmental COA Address 19101 36th Ave, west, STel	ACS	
		Page # Z of Z		Hedd 1	" Me	When a	(CUSTODY	JST		gnat	HAIN RS (si	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CU SAMPLERS (signature)		jaot	7	reka	Ret	404016 Rebekah Brooks	<u>n</u>	
		, 16/		-						н 1. М.										•

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 8, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 2, 2014 from the TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404044 project. There are 8 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0408R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 2, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404044 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	
404044 -01	

JBR Environmental Consultants MW100

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW100 04/02/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00 404044-01 404044-01.031 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 107	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00 I4-207 mb I4-207 mb.028 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	% Recovery: 105	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW100 04/02/14 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00 404044-01 404044-01.027 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 110	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 04/03/14 04/03/14 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00 I4-208 mb I4-208 mb.009 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	% Recovery: 100	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404044

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code:	: 404044-01 (N	Aatrix Spik	ke)				
				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	102	105	79-121	3
Laboratory Code	: Laboratory C	ontrol San	ıple				

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	105	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404044

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	91	91	79-121	0

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	100	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ca}}$ - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

															by:	Received by:	Fax (206) 283-5044	Form Form
				10					8	5		11-11		1	-	Relinquished by:	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Ph.
05 27		/	2	7				. 3	Phan .	2 2	Ê	Main		and amon		Received by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Seat
1700	2-3/-14	2) BR	<1				*	hert -			A land		Dana H.T.L.	hed by: Da	Relinquished by:		301
TIME	DATE	NA	COMPANY					Ē	PRINT NAME		PRIN			SIGNATURE	SIGN/		Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Frie
							┝╾┼		 +		$\left - \right $							
Ő	Ved at	ples receive	Samp															
	. 2	·																
											<u> </u>							
					<u> </u>		<u> </u>											
				<u> </u>														
						†—					<u> </u>							
							 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	┼──								
							<u> </u>			ļ	-							
				\mathbf{X}	\times							N	4	1645	3-31-14	¥ 0 8	MW 100	
Notes	7		ug	Piss Lead	Total lead	HFS	VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270	BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Gasoline	TPH-Diesel		# of containers	Sample Type	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	Lab ID	Sample ID	
		ED	ANALYSES REQUESTED	REQ	'SES	ALY	Ą		$\left \right $		\downarrow							
OSAL ys actions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions	S∕ Iz Dispo □ Retur □ Will c	Thes	Bottles		Icad		Dis	nd	a a	d, a	KS T Labh	REMARKS Total and Diss are Labled.	98036	Wa .	ay Fax	City, State, ZIP <u>Lynn wood</u> Wa 98036 Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-499-4097	Cit _. Pho
zed by	Rush charges authorized by	Rush cha	B-Attals.00	tha	# 2	700				1	M	TOC-MLT	T	T STELO	We was	The	Address $Iq(b)$ 36	Ade
) TIME	TURNAROUND TIME D Standard (2 Weeks)		° ₽ Z	P			and		a	NO.	MEA	TNAI	PROJECT NAME/NO.	Environmental lon	in mer	Tek	0	Sen
	Page #	Pa	MC	1	1			⊒ (¢					SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSIODY		-	*	PHOSOHH 0-1	P-4/2
アン	110	1160/00	-						101	2				2			HO4 Kem	7,

R

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 8, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 2, 2014 from the TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404045 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0408R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 2, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404045 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	JBR Environmental Consultants
404045 -01	MW43
404045 -02	MW61
404045 -03	MW60
404045 -04	MW56

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404045 Date Extracted: 04/03/14 Date Analyzed: 04/03/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW43 404045-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92
MW61 404045-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89
MW60 404045-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88
MW56 404045-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
Method Blank 04-0654 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/08/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT B.A14085.00, WORFDB8 F&BI 404045

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 404026-04 (Duplicate)

5	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	101	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	97	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ca}}$ - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	3012 16th Avenue West	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.						MW 56	MW 60	MW61	MW/43	Sample ID		Phone # 425-977-1	City, State, ZIP Lynni	Company JEK Environmental Con Address 19101 36th Ave, West, STe203	~ ~	Shohoh	FARMASCATS
	Received by:	Relinqu	Received by:	Kelinqu					 		140	50	æ	01 01	ID ID		HANN F	rood	6th	beka		
	d by:		n la	Relinquished by: Dana	SIGN						13-31-14	3-31-14 1235	3-27-14 1400	3-27-14 1300	Date Sampled		7-4994 Fax # 425-449-409-	Mag	36th Ave, West, STEZE	h Bro		Wells
	-		Saus		SIGNATURE						1430	1235	00hi	1300	Time Sampled		449-HG	8036	1al Con 57, STe2	poks		
			(Hetchins						-	W	4	M	W	Sample Type		7PC			۱ 	SAMPLE CHAIN OF C	
			Nhan	Stan							λ	S	S	3	pe containers			REMARKS	TOL	SAMPLERS (signature)	E CHAIN	
				any the	PRINT	-				-					H TPH-Diesel	H			ML	gnatu AF/N(OF	
			Phan	5							\ge	\times	Х	Х	TPH-Gasoline				1 T	re)	CUS	
			-	ww	NAME				 		\geq	X	Х	Д	BTEX by 8021B				J	124	USTODY	
						 									VOCs by8260	A				tan	1 R	
					-										SVOCs by 8270 HFS	ANALYSES			60			
																SES			-#14	Z	25	
		.	1	51	Q														608			
			50	TR	COMPANY		Samp									REQUESTED			B-A14085.00	* K	2 Q	
			BT	\mathcal{R}	ANY		8									TED	L		0		ź	
									-+							11		צ	□ RUSH Rush cha		- 15	
-	_		2	3													turn s ll cal	SAN	JSH_ char	Page # TURN andard	•	
1		•	4/2/14	3-31-11/	DATE		8										Return samples Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush charges authorized by	Page # of	-	
		, ,	1230	1200	TIME		່ຕໍ	:							Notes		tructions	SPOSAL	rized by	ND TIME	_	
						<u> </u>		;													< V	
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 11, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 4, 2014 from the TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404100 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0411R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 4, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404100 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
404100 -01	MW49

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/11/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404100 Date Extracted: 04/09/14 Date Analyzed: 04/09/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW49 404100-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92
Method Blank 04-0660 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	97

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/11/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404100

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 404132-01 (Duplicate)

5	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	103	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	90	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

۲. ۲	7	h 0	n u	-	Т				1			T i i				Ŧ	~	~	~	2	~
FORMS/COC/COC/DOC	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	3012 10th Avenue West Seattle WA 08110 2020	•									MWH9	Sample ID		Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-449-4097	City, State, ZIP LYNNWood Wa 98036	Address 1910 3	Company JBR E	Send Report To Rebekah	ON ÉCHION
	Received by:		Received	,									A-C	Lab ID		 99 Fax	Wood	7198	NVIC	beka	001404
	l by:	styled by:	the by:	SIG									4-1-14	Date Sampled		x # <u>425</u>	Ma	Aver	onmer	-	
		and	ana Hu	SIGNATURE								•	0935	Time Sampled		-449-4	98030	vest, ste	Environmental Con	Brooks	
	-		Hutchins										W	Sample Type		297		ų			SAMPLE
		Nhan	Stam	P							··· .		3	pe containers			REMARKS	ac-ml-	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY
		2	Ń	PRINT NAME										TPH-Diesel					NO	ıatur	OFC
		N	, Z	NN									\mathbf{X}	TPH-Gasoline							SUC
		2	P.	M									X	BTEX by 8021B						Dany	TO
		2	ß											VOCs by8260						a l	DY
		`												SVOCs by 8270	ANA				ł	2	
	_			\square										HFS	ANALYSES REQUESTED			à		2	
														<i>x</i>	ES			Al		iz,	H6
			10				·								REQ			40	PQ	È.I	
		63	B	COMPANY											UE			B-A14085.co	-++-	4	70
				PAN	-+	\$								<u> </u>	STE			8			k
			ľ		·	imple		•									×	R		7	1
						85 78										Will	Disj	ush c	RU		
	╉			$\left \right $		ceiv										urn s	SAM pose	harg	ndaro SH	Page #	•
		-4		DATE		Δ										□ Return samples □ Will call with in	SAMPLE DISPOS	ges a	X Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH	Page # of	
			417	Ε										:		h inst	DIS	utho	Week		-
	-†-	12				4		,						Notes		Return samples Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL pose after 30 days	Rush charges authorized by	S i		
		1.0														1 21	24	1 0 1			
		05 /1	200	TIME		'ನ								es		ons	A	by			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 11, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 4, 2014 from the TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404101 project. There are 15 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0411R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 4, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404101 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	JBR Environmental Consultants
404101 -01	MW68
404101 -02	MW63
404101 -03	MW89
404101 -04	MW65
404101 -05	MLT-06
404101 -06	MW86
404101 -07	MW85
404101 -08	EB-040114
404101 -09	MW78
404101 -10	TB-040314-1
404101 -11	MW75
404101 -12	EB-040314

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/11/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404101 Date Extracted: 04/08/14 Date Analyzed: 04/08/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW68 404101-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	95
MW63 404101-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	95
MW89 404101-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
MW65 404101-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	94
MLT-06 404101-05	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
MW86 404101-06	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
MW85 404101-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
EB-040114 404101-08	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	95
MW78 404101-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	92
TB-040314-1 404101-10	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	98

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/11/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404101 Date Extracted: 04/08/14 Date Analyzed: 04/08/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 52-124)
MW75 404101-11	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	95
EB-040314 404101-12	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	93
Method Blank 04-0660 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	97

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW89 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-03 040422.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	94	108
Toluene-d8		102	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW65 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-04 040423.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	94	108
Toluene-d8		103	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-06 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-05 040424.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	97	94	108
Toluene-d8		102	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW86 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-06 040425.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	94	108
Toluene-d8		101	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW85 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-07 040427.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	94	108
Toluene-d8		102	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB-040114 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-08 040428.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	102	94	108
Toluene-d8		102	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW78 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-09 040429.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	94	108
Toluene-d8		102	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	TB-040314-1 04/04/14 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 404101-10 040430.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	94	108
Toluene-d8		101	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	91	110
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
compounds.		48/11 (pps)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan NA 04/04/14 04/04/14 Water ug/L (ppb)	k	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-076, F&BI 404101 04-0677 mb 040407.D GCMS7 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 99 100 105	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
Compounds: Methyl t-butyl ethe		Concentration ug/L (ppb) <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/11/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404101

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 404132-01 (Duplicate)

Ū	Reporting		Duplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Sample Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	103	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	90	69-134

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/11/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-076, WORFDB8 F&BI 404101

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 404070-02 (Matrix Spike)

	- I			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	99	80-114

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	103	81-118	3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

							4.	•	1	J	1	1	1		-		_					~
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	SUL2 10th Avenue West	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	18-040314-1	MW 78	EB-040114	MW/85	MWYGG	MLT-06	MW65	mw89	MW 63	MW68	Sample ID		Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-449-4097	City State TID LYNNIA MA alogh	10		DRake 404101
	Received by:	Relinquished by /	4	Reinquisned by: Dama Hit	SIGNATURE	101	09 4-2-14		SIH1-1-H EQ	06 4-1-14 14:	05 4-1-14 13.	04 4-1-14 13.	0304-1412	021 4-1-14 1040	or 2 H-1-14 Oq	Date Sampled		1994 Fax # 425-46	wood Whe alo	Environmental (On 36 th hve, west, stepos	Rebekah Brooks	Chain
			Gue	Hertown	RE + t	M	1010 W	1630 W	IS35 W	1425 4	1330 4	1345 W	1230 W	NO N	Oqyo W	Time Sampled Sample Type		19-4047	7/ REMARKS		,	SAMPLE C
			Whan Phan	Pana Hot chin	PRINT NAME		H XX	H XX	YX YX	H XX	H XX	Y XX	H XX	3 XX	3 XX	TPH-Diesel TPH-Gasoline BTEX by 8021B			KS	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY
			(.		COM		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270 HFS MTBE (FPN \$260c)	ANALYSES REQUESTED			PO# B. /14085.a	and Hickins	DY ME 04/04/14
			Feg I 4444	JBR 4-4-14	COMPANY DATE	Samples required all											ISTED	 Parallel Language Arter 50 days Return samples Will call with instructions 	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush	Page # 1 of	14/14
		-	1430		3 TIME	J.	•									Notes		o days s nstructions	DISPOSAL	beks) horized by	UND TIME	N

													3	<u></u>							١		
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	Fax (206) 283-5044		620		 T1								E13-040314	MW75	Sample ID		Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425 449-4097	City, State, ZIP Lynnused, Wa, 96036	Address 1910/ 36 th Ave, west, she	Company J BR	Send Renort To De	unu la l	orate Chain
	Received by:	Relinquisheq by:		vernquis									120	103	ID Lab		194 Fa	4. 00	361		bet		
	by:	hegrey:		1 2	SIGN								4-3-14	112- 4-3-14 1010	Date Sampled		x # 425-	va, arbi	36 Th Ave, west, see	ianman			
			în	or Dan Hitz	ATURE								1200	1010	Time Sampled		449-40	ŕ	wast,s	Twill (b)	2mont		
				st .									¥	h/	Sample Type		Tp	_ REMARKS	1 in			SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	
			Nha	04	PR	Сантария 					-		3	3	# of containers	9 3 9969739	ta dependencia da	UKS	Tac-ML7	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	CHAIN O	
			ân	Oana .	PRINT NA	 						<u> </u>			TPH-Diesel				7	NO.	ature	FC	
				A	NAME						<u> </u>	┣──	\bigotimes	\diamond	 TPH-Gasoline	$\left \right $						US J	
			20	ET -		-	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	X	$ \land $	 BTEX by 8021B	$\left\{ \right\}$					H	IOI	
			a'i					╂──	┼╾						 VOCs by8260	Þ				5	M	Y	
			5	\uparrow				$\left - \right $			+				 SVOCs by 8270	A							
			° 8	1			+		+						 HFS	YSE			8.6			Z	
			Ŧ			\vdash	<u> </u>		-						 	ANALYSES REQUESTED			B. ANYOSCO	70	Ţ	ME	
			2ª	7	COMPANY	<u> </u>			┼──	┼──-				_	 	QUE			960	₩.	\sim	04	
			17	R	PAN	┣					<u> </u>				 	STEI			8		•		
					×		8								 			X	Ru	A	7	104	
														-	 		Will o	S. Dispo	sh ch	I Ur ZStanda	12	41/	`
	T	Ţ	7	5		┣—				 	<u> </u>				 		Return samplesWill call with in	AMP se af	large	lard (Page #	•	
			4444	カーキート	DATE										N		 Return samples Will call with instructions 	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush charges authorized by	I UKNAKUUND TIME KStandard (2 Weeks)	N	13	: 3
			1430	1200	TIME		4 m								Notes		ctions)SAL 'S	ed by	TIME	of)	•

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 17, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 2, 2014 from the TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 project. There are 15 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0417R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 2, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
404042 -01	MW102-P

The NWTPH-Gx value exceeded one million parts per million. This is due to the difference in response between standard used for calibration and the sample MW102-P.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 Date Extracted: 04/03/14 Date Analyzed: 04/03/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW102-P 404042-01 1/100	1,300,000	116
Method Blank 04-0655 MB	<100	97

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 Date Extracted: 04/16/14 Date Analyzed: 04/16/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

Sample ID Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 53-144)
MW102-P 404042-01	270,000 x	<5,000	97
Method Blank ^{04-755 MB}	<50	<250	99

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW102-P 04/02/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Soil/Product mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 404042-01 404042-01.070 ICPMS1 AP
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	0	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		76	60	125
Indium		72	60	125
Holmium		79	60	125
Analyte:		oncentration ng/kg (ppm)		
Chromium		1.25		
Arsenic		<1		
Selenium		<1		
Silver		<1		
Cadmium		<1		
Barium		<1		
Lead		53.1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/08/14 04/09/14 Soil/Product mg/kg (ppm)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 I4-214 mb I4-214 mb.061 ICPMS1 AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	85	60	125
Indium	81	60	125
Holmium	89	60	125
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Chromium	<1		
Arsenic	<1		
Selenium	<1		
Silver	<1		
Cadmium	<1		
Barium	<1		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 Date Extracted: 04/08/14 Date Analyzed: 04/08/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Total Mercury</u>
MW102-P 404042-01	<0.1

Method Blank

< 0.1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW102-P 04/02/14 04/02/14 04/02/14 Soil/Product mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 404042-01 1/2000 040220.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 100 103 100	Lower Limit: 62 51 32	Upper Limit: 142 121 146
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Methyl t-butyl ether Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Vinyl chloride Chloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride trans-1,2-Dichloroeth 1,1-Dichloroethane cis-1,2-Dichloroethane 1,2-Dichloroethane 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Trichloroethene Tetrachloroethene	hene ne (EDC)	<100 11,000 72,000 ve 26,000 ve 85,000 ve 38,000 ve <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <100 <10		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW102-P 04/02/14 04/02/14 04/03/14 Soil/Product mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 404042-01 1/20000 040308.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane-o Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze:		% Recovery: 100 100 99	Lower Limit: 62 51 32	Upper Limit: 142 121 146
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Methyl t-butyl ether Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Vinyl chloride Chloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethene Methylene chloride trans-1,2-Dichloroeth 1,1-Dichloroethane cis-1,2-Dichloroethane (1,1,1-Trichloroethane Trichloroethene Tetrachloroethene	hene ne EDC)	<1,000 9,700 91,000 22,000 92,000 35,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000 <1,000		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

<100

Tetrachloroethene

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan Not Applicab 04/02/14 04/02/14 Soil/Product mg/kg (ppm)	le	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042 04-0648 mb 1/2000 040210.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane-o Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 101 97 98	Lower Limit: 62 51 32	Upper Limit: 142 121 146
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	(MTBE)	<100		
Benzene		<100		
Toluene		<100		
Ethylbenzene		<100		
m,p-Xylene		<200		
o-Xylene		<100		
Vinyl chloride		<100		
Chloroethane		<100		
1,1-Dichloroethene		<100		
Methylene chloride		<500		
trans-1,2-Dichloroet	hene	<100		
1,1-Dichloroethane		<100		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethe		<100		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<100		
1,1,1-Trichloroethar	ne	<100		
Trichloroethene		<100		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	2000	103	110	71-131	7

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	100,000	111	114	58-147	3
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 404077-10 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	8.62	84	87	57-128	4
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	1.57	96	97	70-118	1
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	<1	98	94	64-117	4
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	96	97	73-122	1
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	106	106	83-116	0
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	22.1	105 b	100 b	60-141	5 b
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	1.75	96	97	59-148	1

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent	
Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
mg/kg (ppm)	50	93	78-121
mg/kg (ppm)	10	101	83-113
mg/kg (ppm)	5	103	84-115
mg/kg (ppm)	10	97	81-116
mg/kg (ppm)	10	106	54-114
mg/kg (ppm)	50	104	85-116
mg/kg (ppm)	50	100	80-120
	Units mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm) mg/kg (ppm)	Units Level mg/kg (ppm) 50 mg/kg (ppm) 10 mg/kg (ppm) 5 mg/kg (ppm) 10 mg/kg (ppm) 10 mg/kg (ppm) 10 mg/kg (ppm) 50	Units Level LCS mg/kg (ppm) 50 93 mg/kg (ppm) 10 101 mg/kg (ppm) 5 103 mg/kg (ppm) 10 97 mg/kg (ppm) 10 106 mg/kg (ppm) 50 104

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Laboratory Code: 404077-10 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	<0.1	98	101	71-125	3
Laboratory Co	ode: Laboratory Contro	ol Sample					
			Percent				
		Spike	Recovery	Accep	tance		
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Crit	eria		
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	81	63-1	131		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/17/14 Date Received: 04/02/14 Project: TOC_01-176_MLT, WORFDB8 F&BI 404042

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL/PRODUCT SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 404042-01 1/2,000 and 404042-01 1/20,000 (Duplicate)

·		Sample	Duplicate	
	Reporting	Result	Result	RPD
Analyte	Units	(Wet wt)	(Wet wt)	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
Chloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
Methylene chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	<500	<500	nm
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	11,000	9,900	11
Trichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	91,000	110,000	19
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	<100	<100	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	22,000	26,000	17
m,p-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	92,000	110,000	18
o-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	35,000	40,000	13

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample 1/2000

Laboratory Coue. Laboratory C	Juntion Sample 1	12000				
			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	500	75	75	38-134	0
Chloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	500	125	125	10-152	0
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	85	85	39-154	0
Methylene chloride	mg/kg (ppm)	500	75	80	31-150	6
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	500	90	90	53-144	0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	80	80	44-141	0
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	500	90	90	60-130	0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	90	90	53-130	0
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	500	90	90	41-149	0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg (ppm)	500	90	90	35-154	0
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	80	85	66-126	6
Trichloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	85	85	65-127	0
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	80	80	70-118	0
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	80	80	65-115	0
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	80	80	68-125	0
m,p-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	1,000	85	80	69-127	6
o-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	500	85	85	63-127	0

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

		•										<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			F C		ω Ι .	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	3012 16th Avenue West	Eriodman & Rriva Inc										MIN/107-P	Sample ID		City, State, ZIP Lynn Ward, Way 92036 Phone # 425-977-4994 Fax # 425-449-4097	Company JRK Er Address (910) 36th H	Send Report To Rebt	5 H 0 H 0 H
Received by:	Relinqui	Received by:	Relinqui						 					0)	Lab ID		nd 1 My Fax	Me, 1	kal	
d by:	Relinquished by:	d by: m	Relinquished by: Dany	SIGN										3-30-14	Date Sampled		# 425-	Environmental	Rebekah Brook	• • •
		they 1 kms	LV	SIGNATURE										1600	Time Sampled		4036 449-40	STe20	oks	S
)	Huthim											Product	Sample Type		77 REMARKS		SAMPI	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUS
		Nhan	Dana						 				<u></u>		# of		RKS	OC-ML-	SAMPLERS (signature) 4	CHAIN
		phan		PRINT				-						\bigotimes	TPH-Diesel			71	nature) E/NO.	OF CU
		ŝ	Hotchy	PRINT NAME										$\widehat{\mathbf{X}}$	TPH-Gasoline BTEX by 8021B				Dany	STODY
			h		44 - 44 - 44										VOCs by8260 SVOCs by 8270	ANA			/ m	Y
						_	+							X	HFS MTBE (+PH 8260c)	LYSES		B-# 14	tuch	ME
				COM										X	Solvents (826)	ANALYSES REQUESTED		B.# 14085.00	PO#	140
		2	. 🕅	COMPANY					 						RCRA Metals	STED		 		~
																	B Dispose after 3(□ Return samples □ Will call with in	Rush char	TUR	02/14 Page #
		1	531-14	DATE						-		•					B Dispose after 30 days □ Return samples □ Will call with instructions	Rush charges authorized by	TURNAROUND TIME A Standard (2 Weeks)	# U
				+			دو م								Notes		days structions	prized by	VD TIMI	
			1230	TIME													S			- N
											 £.,	•								

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

April 23, 2014

Rebekah Brooks, Project Manager JBR Environmental Consultants 19101 36th Ave W, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036

Dear Ms. Brooks:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 4, 2014 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 project. There are 36 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Kim Vik JBR0423R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on April 4, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	JBR Environmental Consultants
404102 -01	MW104
404102 -02	MLT-04
404102 -03	MW51
404102 -04	MW106
404102 -05	MW107
404102 -06	EB-040214
404102 -07	WB-040214
404102 -08	TB-040314-2
404102 -09	MW103

The NWTPH-Dx surrogate for sample EB-040214 exceeded the acceptance criteria. No material was detected in the diesel or motor oil range of the sample, therefore the data were acceptable.

The 8011 EDB analysis of sample MW104 was done from a VOA with headspace due to limited sample volume. The data were flagged accordingly. In addition, the calibration standard did not pass the acceptance criteria for sample MLT-04. There was insufficient volume for sample reanalysis. The data were flagged accordingly.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 Date Extracted: 04/08/14 Date Analyzed: 04/08/14 and 04/09/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
MW104 404102-01 1/20	78	4,700	1,100	4,300	34,000	81
MLT-04 404102-02 1/20	77	4,400	1,100	4,400	35,000	79
MW51 404102-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	82
MW106 404102-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	80
MW107 404102-05	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	81
EB-040214 404102-06	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	83
WB-040214 404102-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	85
TB-040314-2 404102-08	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86
MW103 404102-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	81
Method Blank 04-0661 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	84

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 Date Extracted: 04/09/14 Date Analyzed: 04/10/14 and 04/14/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 47-140)
MW104 404102-01	14,000 x	810 x	82
MLT-04 404102-02	14,000 x	830 x	87
MW106 404102-04	250 x	<250	132
MW107 404102-05	93 x	<260	131
EB-040214 404102-06	<50	<250	141 vo
WB-040214 404102-07	<50	<250	124
MW103 404102-09	<50	<250	126
Method Blank 04-690 MB2	<50	<250	120

04-690 MB2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW104 04/04/14 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-01 404102-01.010 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Holmium		90	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-04 04/04/14 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-02 404102-02.013 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 93	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW106 04/04/14 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-04 404102-04.014 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 99	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW107 04/04/14 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-05 404102-05.015 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 92	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB-040214 04/04/14 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-06 404102-06.016 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Holmium		% Recovery. 94	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB-040214 04/04/14 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-07 404102-07.017 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard:		% Pacavary:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Holmium		% Recovery: 90	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
•				
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW103 04/04/14 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-09 404102-09.019 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 86	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/15/14 04/15/14 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 I4-232 mb I4-232 mb.008 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium	% Recovery: 93	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW104 04/04/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-01 404102-01.033 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 83	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-04 04/04/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-02 404102-02.034 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Holmium		78 78	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW106 04/04/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-04 404102-04.035 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 83	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW107 04/04/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-05 404102-05.036 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 89	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB-040214 04/04/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-06 404102-06.037 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
Holmium		81	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB-040214 04/04/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-07 404102-07.038 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 101	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW103 04/04/14 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-09 404102-09.039 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 81	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		2.76		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 04/08/14 04/09/14 Water ug/L (ppb)	ς.	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 I4-215 mb I4-215 mb.015 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Holmium		% Recovery: 94	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW104 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/08/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-01 040812.D GCMS7 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane-o Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 97 104 100	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
Compounds: Methyl t-butyl ether 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane ((EDB)	Concentration ug/L (ppb) <1 <1 <1 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

MLT-04 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-02 040717.D GCMS7 JS
4 ne	% Recovery: 96 105 101	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
(MTBE) EDB)	Concentration ug/L (ppb) <1 <1		
	04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb) 4 e (MTBE)	04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb) % Recovery: 4 96 105 ne 101 Concentration ug/L (ppb) (MTBE) <1 EDB) <1	$\begin{array}{cccc} 04/04/14 & & \mbox{Project:} \\ 04/07/14 & & \mbox{Lab ID:} \\ 04/07/14 & & \mbox{Data File:} \\ Water & & \mbox{Instrument:} \\ ug/L (ppb) & & \mbox{Operator:} \\ & & \mbox{Lower} \\ & & \mbox{Limit:} \\ 4 & 96 & 94 \\ 105 & 91 \\ 101 & 91 \\ \hline \\ Concentration \\ ug/L (ppb) \\ \end{array}$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW106 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-04 040718.D GCMS7 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane-o Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 97 104 106	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
Compounds: Methyl t-butyl ether 1,2-Dibromoethane		Concentration ug/L (ppb) <1 <1		
1,2-Dichloroethane ((EDC)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW107 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-05 040719.D GCMS7 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	96	94	108
Toluene-d8		103	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	106	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dibromoethane	(EDB)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB-040214 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-06 040720.D GCMS7 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane-o Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 96 103 108	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDB)	<1 <1 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB-040214 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-07 040721.D GCMS7 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 98 102 106	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDB)	<1 <1 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	TB-040314-2 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-08 040714.D GCMS7 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 97 103 105	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
Compounds: Methyl t-butyl ether 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDB)	Concentration ug/L (ppb) <1 <1 <1 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW103 04/04/14 04/07/14 04/07/14 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 404102-09 040722.D GCMS7 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane-o Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 96 102 107	Lower Limit: 94 91 91	Upper Limit: 108 107 110
Compounds: Methyl t-butyl ether 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDB)	Concentration ug/L (ppb) <1 <1 <1 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received:	Method Blan Not Applical		Client: Project:	JBR Environmental Consultants TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102
Date Extracted:	04/07/14		Lab ID:	04-0679 mb
Date Analyzed:	04/07/14		Data File:	040707.D
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	GCMS7
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	94	108
Toluene-d8		104	91	107
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	91	110
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dibromoethane	(EDB)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102 Date Extracted: 04/15/14 Date Analyzed: 04/15/14 and 04/16/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as µg/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
MW104 404102-01	< 0.01
MLT-04 404102-02	0.19 са
MW106 404102-04	< 0.01
MW107 404102-05	< 0.01
EB-040214 404102-06	<0.01
WB-040214 404102-07	<0.01
MW103 404102-09	<0.01
Method Blank	<0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

Note: Sample MW104 was analyzed from a container with headspace due to limited sample volume. The result should be considered an estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 404117-03 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	72-119
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	71-113
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	72-114
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	92	72-113
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	99	70-119

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	92	98	61-133	6

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analvte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	93	99	79-121	6

-	-		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	101	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	101	97	79-121	4

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

5	5		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	100	83-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 404117-01 (Matrix Spike)

	- Spiiio)			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	104	80-114
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	102	81-114
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	99	81-120

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Reporting	Spike	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	110	107	81-118	3
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	102	81-113	3
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	101	85-115	3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/23/14 Date Received: 04/04/14 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 404102

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Reporting	Spike Level	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units		LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	94	93	70-130	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$ – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

1	"F	· P	· S	3	F	A		•	,	k			•	.			רין	P C	A C	v
	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	r	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	mw1\$3	TB-040314-2	WB-OHOZIH	EB-OHOZIH	$mw/\phi7$	mw/d6		M.W.SI	MLT-GH	mw/dy	Sample ID		425-977-4	BR Ja	Herman Chain 404102 Send Report To Rebekah
	Received by:	Relinqui	Received by:	Relinquis		on	8	40	06	05	OY B-		03 2-	30	01 /2-	Lab ID		lead,	Envi 367h	0
	1 by:	shed by	(by	Relinquished by: Dany	SIGN	on 2 4-3-14 1115		4-2-14	4-2-14	4-2-14	4-2-14 1115		H1-1-14	at-14	H-1-14	Date Sampled		<i>Wa, 9</i> 0 x # <u>425</u>	Environmental con 6th Ave, west, stelo	
			ano	my the	SIGNATURE	SIII		1330	1306	1210	IIIS		1220	llao.	1040	Time Sampled		98636 25-449-4	conmental con Ave, west, stelo3	s salogia
				CHIN		۴	M	W	Z	K	W		W	W	W	Sample Type		RE	3	SAMPLE CHAIN OF C
	- 3-2		111	Da	PR	7	_	7	7	7	2	• .	3	7	7	# of containers		- D.C.	TOC-MLT	IPLE CHAIN OF C SAMPLERS (signature)
			han	lana	PRINT N	Ř	X	\bigotimes			Ř			X		TPH-Diesel TPH-Gasoline		Find	IJ NO.	
			Æ	He I	NAME		Ŕ	Ŕ	X	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		Ź	X		BTEX by 8021B		Samples	\ .	USTODY
			Z	The				<u> </u>								VOCs by8260	≥	1		DY
			<u></u>	R												SVOCs by 8270 HFS	ANALYSES REQUESTED	are	ß	
			4	-1		X	K	K	X	X	\bowtie			X	X	MTBE (EPH AZGOS)	SES R	Marked	PO# B. #14/085.00	ME
			100	TBR	COM	Ŕ	$\left \right\rangle$	$\left\{ \right\}$	Ŕ	\bigotimes	Ŕ	ļ		Ŕ	\bigotimes	Total Pb	EQUE	ked	PO#	on of
			BIT	8	IPAN	Θ	쉱	\triangleright	$\widehat{\mathbf{b}}$	\bigotimes	\bigcirc	<u> </u>		\Rightarrow	$\widehat{\nabla}$	Diss Pb	STED		8	20'
						Ŕ		$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	Ŕ	Ŕ				$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	EDE		D Ret Wil	Dy Standa □ RUSH Rush cha	
-			4					1	1		2			1	1	EDBL BOIL		SAMF pose a urn sau ll call v	ndard SH charge	Page #
		الخم	4-4-14	41-4-4	DATE		8-analyte		:					W۲.	V De			SAMPLE DISPOSAL A Dispose after 30 days A Return samples Will call with instructions	the Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH Rush charges authorized by	Y Y3/ &LY Page # of
	3		1430	1200	TIME		-concled per 128							-	es y/14u	Notes		OSAL ys ictions	zed by	
Ĺ				1	└──┤	3		L	L	L	I		L	L				l	<u>l''</u>	