FILED

DEC 2 2 2014

1 1	WHATCOM	COUNTY CL	ERK				
*	Ву:	***************************************					,
2		The state of the s		MEC	DRM AN	in me	Bangang a com
3	•				1 11.11 well #	3 of 34 (p.m.), See	
4			*				
5					,		•
3							
6	STATE OF W	ASHING	TON				
7	WHATCOM COUNT			OURT	<u>r</u>		
DEPA	E OF WASHINGTON, RTMENT OF ECOLOGY,	NO.	14	2	027	00	8
9	Plaintiff,	CONS	SENT DI	ECRE	E		
) v.	,					•	
						•	
PORT	OF BELLINGHAM,						
3	Defendant.			•			
		l					
1							
5							
5	TABLE OF	CONTE	NTS				
$, \parallel_{ ext{I.}}$	INTRODUCTION						3
II.	JURISDICTION	•••••			·		4
111.	PARTIES BOUND DEFINITIONS						
V.	FINDINGS OF FACTS						
VI.	WORK TO BE PERFORMED						
VII.	DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDIN						
IX.	ACCESS						12
X. XI.	SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, A PROGRESS REPORTS						
XI. XII.	RETENTION OF RECORDS						
XIII.	TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PRO	PERTY			•••••		15
XIV.	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTESAMENDMENT OF DECREE						
XVI.	EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE						
							1

1	XVII.	ENDANGERMEN	VT	19
	XVIII.		T TO SUE	
2	XIX.	-	PROTECTION	
3	XX.		RICTIONS	
	XXI.	INDEMNIFICATION	ON	22
4	XXII.		TTH APPLICABLE LAWS	
_	XXIII.		ION COSTS	
5	XXIV.		ON OF REMEDIAL ACTION	
6	XXV.		EW:	
٠ 	XXVI.		PATION	
7			ECREE	
			ST THE STATE	
8	XXIX.		E	
9	XXX.	WITHDRAWALC	OF CONSENT	27
1				
10		EXHIBIT A	Site Diagram	
		EXHIBIT B	Cleanup Action Plan	
11		EXHIBIT C	Schedule of Deliverables	
12		EXHIBIT D	Model Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant	
		EXHIBIT E EXHIBIT F	Contaminated Materials Management Plan List of Required Permits	
13		EXHIBIT G	Applicable Substantive Requirements of Procedurally	Exempt
14			Permits or Approvals	1
14				
15	-			
	-			
15 16	-			
15				
15 16				
15 16 17 18				
15 16 17				
15 16 17 18				
15 16 17 18				
15 16 17 18 19 20				
115 116 117 118 119 220 221				
15 16 17 18 19 20				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23				
115 116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223				

- 1	
-	

I. INTRODUCTION

- A. The mutual objective of the State of Washington, Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the Port of Bellingham (Defendant) under this Decree is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. The Second Amendment to Agreed Order No. 6834 (2013) separated the Georgia-Pacific West Site (Site) into two remedial action units (RAU) for the purpose of expediting remedial actions and facilitating redevelopment of the Site. This Decree requires Defendant to conduct a final cleanup of one of the units, the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU, at the Site (as shown on Exhibit A) by implementing the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) attached as Exhibit B, according to the schedule and other requirements identified in this Decree and all exhibits thereto.
- B. The other RAU at the Site, the Chlor-Alkali RAU, is described in Exhibit A and is not subject to the terms and conditions of this Decree, nor is liability for the Chlor-Alkali RAU addressed or settled in this Decree.
- C. The Parties anticipate that to the extent further remedial actions are required under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70.105D, at the remainder of the Site (Chlor-Alkali RAU), such actions will be performed under an amendment to this Decree and CAP to address releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances including mercury and PAH contaminated soils, and mercury, PAH, VOC, and pH contaminated groundwater.
- D. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect human health and the environment.
- E. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An Answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the Parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest, and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.

1	F. By signing this Decree, the Parties agree to its entry and agree to be bound by
2	its terms.
3	G. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling
4	parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The
5	Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for
6	sums expended under this Decree.
7	H. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any
8	releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts;
9	provided, however, that Defendant shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General
10	and Ecology to enforce this Decree.
11	I. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good
12	cause having been shown:
13	Now, therefore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:
14	II. JURISDICTION
15	A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant
16	to the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Chapter 70.105D RCW.
17	B. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by
18	RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person (PLP) if,
19	after public notice and any required hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead
20	to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that
21	such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.
22	C. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous
23	substances has occurred at the Site, a portion of which is the subject of this Decree.
24	D. Ecology has given notice to Defendant of Ecology's determination that
25	Defendant is a PLP for the Site, as required by RCW 70.105D.020(26) and WAC 173-340-500.
6	

2	health and the environment.
3	F. This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.
4	G. Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of
5	hazardous substances at a portion of the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards
6	established under RCW 70.105D.030(2)(e) and Chapter 173-340 WAC.
7	H. Defendant has agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and
8	consents to the entry of this Decree under MTCA.
9	III. PARTIES BOUND
10	This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to this Decree, their
11	successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he
12	or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to
13	comply with this Decree. Defendant agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and
14	conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter Defendant's
15	responsibility under this Decree. Defendant shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents,
16	contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree, and shall
17	ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and subcontractors complies with
18	this Decree.
19	IV. DEFINITIONS
20	Unless otherwise specified herein, all definitions in RCW 70.105D.020 and
21	WAC 173-340-200 shall control the meanings of the terms in this Decree.
22	A. <u>Site</u> : The 74 acre Site is referred to as the former Georgia-Pacific West
23	property and is generally located on the tidal flats of Bellingham Bay adjacent to the Whatcom
24	Waterway in Bellingham, Washington, and is generally located between the Whatcom
25	Waterway and Cornwall Avenue, with Roeder Avenue and West Chestnut Street to the
26	northeast, and the Bellingham Shipping Terminal to the southwest. The Site consists of

The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public

E.

1	property owned by the Port of Bellingham, Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF), the
2	City of Bellingham, the Department of Natural Resources (for state-owned aquatic lands). The
3	Site includes the Pulp/Tissue Remedial Action Unit at the northeast end of the Site and the
4	Chlor-Alkali Remedial Action Unit at the southwest end of the Site. The Site, the Pulp/Tissue
5	Remedial Action Unit and the Chlor-Alkali Remedial Action Unit are more particularly
6	described in the Site Diagram (Exhibit A). The Site constitutes a Facility under
7	RCW 70.105D.020(8).
8	B. <u>Parties</u> : Refers to the State of Washington, Department of Ecology (Ecology)
9	and the Port of Bellingham (the Port).
.0	C. <u>Defendant</u> : Refers to the Port.
.1	D. <u>Consent Decree or Decree</u> : Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the
.2	exhibits to this Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree.
3	The terms "Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall include all exhibits to this Consent Decree.
.4	E. <u>Pulp/Tissue Mill Remedial Action Unit (RAU)</u> : Refers to the portion of the Site
.5	that is the subject of this Consent Decree. The Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU contains contamination
6	that is generally distinct from and not co-mingled with that of the Chlor-Alkali RAU, the
.7	portion of the Site which is not addressed by this Consent Decree.
8	V. FINDINGS OF FACTS
9	Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied
0.	admissions of such facts by Defendant.
1	A. The Site is located in Bellingham, Washington, and consists of approximately
2	74 acres. The Site is bounded by Whatcom Waterway and Cornwall Avenue with Roeder
.3	Avenue and West Chestnut Street to the northeast and the Bellingham Shipping Terminal to
4	the southwest. The Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU is located at the northern portion of the Site and was
5	primarily used for the manufacturing of pulp and paper products. A diagram of the Site is

attached as Exhibit A and shows the relative location of the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU.

- B. Between approximately 1926 and 1963, the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU was used by the Puget Sound Pulp and Timber Company, which then merged with Georgia-Pacific West, Inc., Georgia Pacific Corporation, and Georgia Pacific, L.L.C. (collectively G-P), and continued operating the facility.
- C. Contamination at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU is related to the operations of the former Pulp and Tissue Mill. The facility contained six individual plants producing primary sulfite pulp, Permachem pulp, sulfuric acid, chlorine, sodium hydroxide, alcohol, and lignosulfonate products. Steam heat was supplied to the Mill by burning fuel oil (Bunker C oil) in the Steam Plant. The fuel oil was stored in a 375,000 gallon tank located east of the Steam Plant and, later, in one of the Million Gallon Tanks (Tank 2) located immediately north of the BNSF main line and west of the Pulp and Tissue Mill RAU area.
- D. Ecology named G-P as a Potentially Liable Person (PLP) for the Site on May 4, 1999.
 - E. In 2001, G-P closed the pulp mill.
- F. In 2002, Ecology and G-P entered Agreed Order No. DE 02-TCPIS-4722, requiring G-P to complete a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS).
- G. In 2004, G-P contracted with Aspect Consulting to perform a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment of its Bellingham operations, including the former pulp mill area and the then-operating tissue plant at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. The results of that assessment showed soil contamination at the pulp and tissue mill areas in concentrations exceeding MTCA unrestricted soil cleanup levels for petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, semi volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), dioxins, furans, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The assessment also indicated groundwater contamination in concentrations exceeding applicable MTCA cleanup levels for petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, certain VOCs and PAHs.

(360) 586-6770

1	H. In January of 2005, the Port purchased the majority of G-P's property, including
,2	the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. Ecology named the Port as a PLP on June 2, 2005. After the Port's
3	purchase of the property, G-P continued to operate its tissue plant until December 2007 when it
4.	ceased the last of its operations at the Site and initiated demolition of the tissue plant and
5	associated structures.
6	I. In August 2009, Ecology and the Port entered Agreed Order No. 6834,
7	superseding Agreed Order No. DE 02-TCPIS-4722 and requiring the Port to perform the RI/FS
8	at the Site.
9	J. In September 2009, the Agreed Order No. DE 02-TCPIS-4722 was terminated
10	due to the Port taking over lead responsibility for cleaning up the Site under Agreed Order
11	No. 6834.
12	K. In 2011, Agreed Order No. 6834 was amended (First Amendment) to allow an
13	interim action to be performed to excavate and remove petroleum contaminated soils from the
14	former Bunker C Tank Area.
15	L. The Port contracted with Aspect Consulting to perform an Interim Action Pre-
16	Design Investigation Report in 2011. The results of that document showed petroleum
17	hydrocarbon (Bunker C) saturated soils and free product present in the vicinity of the former
18	Bunker C Tank. PAHs also exist above MTCA unrestricted soil cleanup levels. The Interim
19	Action Pre-Design Investigation Report was used to inform the soil removal interim action
20	performed at the Bunker C Tank area in 2011. This work successfully removed 4,333 tons of
21	petroleum contaminated soils from the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU.
22	M. In 2013, a Second Amendment to Agreed Order No. 6834 was entered,
23	separating the Site into two remedial action units for the purpose of expediting remedial action
24	and facilitating redevelopment of the Site.
25	N. In 2013, Aspect Consulting completed a Remedial Investigation for the Site.
26	The results of that investigation showed soil contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbon, PAHs,
ı	l de la companya de

-

and dioxin/furans exceed MTCA cleanup levels in the Bunker C Tank area. Metals and acidic pH in soil and groundwater in the Acid Plant area exceed MTCA cleanup levels. VOCs in groundwater in the Lignin Plant area exceed MTCA cleanup levels. Miscellaneous metals in groundwater in the area around the Alcohol Plant, Lignin Plant and Lignin Warehouse B exceed MTCA cleanup levels, and Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU wide soils exceeding miscellaneous metals, PAH, and dioxin/furan MTCA cleanup levels associated with the historic industrial use of the facility.

- O. In April 2014, to further expedite remedial actions at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU under this Decree, the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU and Chlor-Alkali RAU boundaries were redrawn such that the entire BNSF Railway Company property and easements were removed from the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU and contained within the Chlor-Alkali RAU. Ecology considers this change to Agreed Order No. 6834 (Order), which does not alter the elements of the work to be performed, to be a minor modification pursuant to Section VIII.L of the Order.
- P. The contaminants of concern at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU that exceed MTCA cleanup levels are petroleum hydrocarbon in soil, dioxin/furan in soil, acidic pH in soil and groundwater, metals in soil and groundwater, VOCs in groundwater. Ecology has assigned the Site an overall priority ranking of 5 pursuant to MTCA.
- As documented in the CAP (Exhibit B), the cleanup action to be implemented at Q. the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU includes the excavation and disposal of petroleum hydrocarbon (Bunker C) contaminated soils in the Bunker C Tank area, monitored natural attenuation of metals and acidic pH contaminated groundwater in the Acid Plant and miscellaneous metals area, and on-site containment and institutional controls for miscellaneous soil contamination throughout the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU.

2

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Decree contains a program designed to protect human health and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances or contaminants at, on, or from the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU.

- In accordance with Exhibit C (Schedule of Deliverables), the work to be Α. performed for this Cleanup Action Plan will include the following.
- 1. Excavate and remove the remaining Bunker C Tank Area Soils in the vicinity of the tank piping, pier, and former Steam Plant with a contingent treatment option of in-situ solidification/stabilization if removal is impracticable.
- 2. Implement a monitored natural attenuation (MNA) remedy for the pH and metals contaminated groundwater in the Acid Plant Area, the metals contaminated groundwater in the Miscellaneous Metals Area, and the VOC contaminated groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well LP-MW01. Several contingent remedies including enhanced source attenuation, down gradient groundwater buffering/treatment and/or control, and biostimulation may be considered if MNA is shown to fail.
- Implement Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU-wide capping to control the soil direct 3. contact and soil erosion pathways.
- Provide institutional controls to manage exposure to the contaminated materials contained at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU.
- Defendant agrees not to perform any remedial actions outside the scope of this В. Decree unless the Parties agree to modify the CAP (Exhibit B) or Schedule of Deliverables (Exhibit C) to cover these actions. All work conducted by Defendant under this Decree shall be done in accordance with Chapter 173-340 WAC unless otherwise provided herein.

24

25

1	VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS
2	The project coordinator for Ecology is:
3	Brian S. Sato, P.E. Washington State Department of Ecology 3190 160 th Avenue SE
4 5	3190 160 th Avenue SE Bellevue, Washington 98008 425 649-7265
6	The project coordinator for Defendant is:
7 8	Brian D. Gouran Port of Bellingham 1801 Roeder Avenue
9	Bellingham, Washington 98227 360 676-2500
10	Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this
11	Decree. Ecology's project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative for the
12	Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology
13	and Defendant and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence
14	concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree shall be
15	directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing,
16	working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the work to be
17	performed required by this Decree.
18	Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be
19	given to the other party at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.
20	VIII. PERFORMANCE
21	All geologic and hydrogeologic work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under
22	the supervision and direction of a geologist or hydrogeologist licensed by the State of
23	Washington or under the direct supervision of an engineer registered by the State of
24	Washington, except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.220 and 18.43.
25	

8 . 9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

All engineering work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington, except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130.

All construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer or a qualified technician under the direct supervision of a professional engineer. The professional engineer must be registered by the State of Washington, except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130.

Any documents submitted containing geologic, hydrologic, or engineering work shall be under the seal of an appropriately licensed professional as required by RCW 18,220 and 18.43.

Defendant shall notify Ecology in writing of the identity of any engineer(s) and geologist(s), contractor(s) and subcontractor(s), and others to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

IX. ACCESS

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall have access to enter and freely move about all property at the Site, including the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU, that Defendant either owns, controls, or has access rights to at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing Defendant's progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by Defendant. Defendant shall make all reasonable efforts to secure access rights for those properties within the Site not owned or controlled by Defendant where remedial activities or investigations will be performed pursuant to this Decree. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall give reasonable notice before entering any Site property owned or controlled by Defendant

unless an emergency prevents such notice. All Parties who access the Site pursuant to this section shall comply with any applicable health and safety plan(s). Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of Site property access.

X. SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Decree, Defendant shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it or on its behalf available to Ecology. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-840(5), all sampling data shall be submitted to Ecology in both printed and electronic formats in accordance with Section XI (Progress Reports), Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Policy 840 (Data Submittal Requirements), and/or any subsequent procedures specified by Ecology for data submittal.

If requested by Ecology, Defendant shall allow Ecology and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Defendant pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Defendant shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. Ecology shall, upon request, allow Defendant and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree, provided that doing so does not interfere with Ecology's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX (Access), Ecology shall notify Defendant prior to any sample collection activity unless an emergency prevents such notice.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-830(2)(a), all hazardous substance analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory accredited under WAC 173-50 for the specific analyses to be conducted, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

24

25

PROGRESS REPORTS

XI.

1

26

applicable law to limit disclosure of documents protected by the attorney work-product

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	-	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
8		
19		
20	-	
21		
22		
23		
24		***************************************
5	Ш	

privilege and/or the attorney-client privilege. If Defendant withholds any requested records based on an assertion of privilege, Defendant shall provide Ecology with a privilege log specifying the records withheld and the applicable privilege. No Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU-related data collected pursuant to this Decree shall be considered privileged.

XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU shall be consummated by Defendant without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and/or monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Prior to Defendant's transfer of any interest in all or any portion of the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU, and during the effective period of this Decree, Defendant shall provide a copy of this Decree to any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in said interest; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendant shall notify Ecology of said transfer. Upon transfer of any interest, Defendant shall notify all transferees of the restrictions on the activities and uses of the property under this Decree and incorporate any such use restrictions into the transfer documents.

XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- A. In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, disapproval, proposed change, or other decision or action by Ecology's project coordinator, or an itemized billing statement under Section XXII (Remedial Action Costs), the Parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.
- 1. Upon receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's written decision, or the itemized billing statement, Defendant has fourteen (14) days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator in writing of its objection to the decision or itemized statement.

25

- 2. The Parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision.
- 3. Defendant may then request regional management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Northwest Region Toxics Cleanup Program Section Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's written decision.
- 4. Ecology's Regional Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall endeavor to issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of Defendant's request for review.
- 5. If Defendant finds Ecology's Regional Section Manager's decision unacceptable, Defendant may then request final management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of the Regional Section Manager's decision.
- 6. Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall endeavor to issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of Defendant's request for review of the Regional Section Manager's decision. The Toxics Cleanup Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.
- B. If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to Defendant, Defendant has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event Defendant presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review.

- C. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.
- D. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

XV. AMENDMENT OF DECREE

The project coordinators may agree to minor changes to the work to be performed without formally amending this Decree. Minor changes will be documented in writing by Ecology.

Substantial changes to the work to be performed shall require formal amendment of this Decree. This Decree may only be formally amended by a written stipulation among the Parties that is entered by the Court, or by order of the Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend the Decree shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party.

Defendant shall submit a written request for amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in writing and in a timely manner after the written request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Decree is a substantial change, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment. Reasons for the disapproval of a proposed amendment to the Decree shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to a proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV (Resolution of Disputes).

XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

A. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the

1	deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension
2	All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify:
3	1. The deadline that is sought to be extended;
4	2. The length of the extension sought;
5	3. The reason(s) for the extension; and
6	4. Any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extensi
7	were granted.
8	B. The burden shall be on Defendant to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecological
9	that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cau
10	exists for granting the extension. Good cause may include, but may not be limited to:
11	1. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the d
12	diligence of Defendant including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such
13	(but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documer
14	submitted by Defendant;
15	2. Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperature
16	storm, or other unavoidable casualty; or
17	3. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).
18	However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of this Decree n
19	changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonab
20	control of Defendant.
21	C. Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashio
22	Ecology shall give Defendant written notification of any extensions granted pursuant to the
23	Decree. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology or, if require
24	by the Court. Unless the extension is a substantial change, it shall not be necessary to amer
25	this Decree pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree) when a schedule extension
26	granted.

1	D. An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology
2	determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions
3	exceeding ninety (90) days only as a result of:
4	1. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a
5	timely manner;
6	2. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by
7	Ecology; or
8	3. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).
9	XVII. ENDANGERMENT
10	In the event Ecology determines that any activity being performed at the Pulp/Tissue
11	Mill RAU under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health
2	or the environment, Ecology may direct Defendant to cease such activities for such period of
13	time as it deems necessary to abate the danger. Defendant shall immediately comply with such
4	direction.
15	In the event Defendant determines that any activity being performed at the Pulp/Tissue
6	Mill RAU under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health
7	or the environment, Defendant may cease such activities. Defendant shall notify Ecology's
8	project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after making
9	such determination or ceasing such activities. Upon Ecology's direction, Defendant shall
20	provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the determination or cessation of such
21	activities. If Ecology disagrees with Defendant's cessation of activities, it may direct
22	Defendant to resume such activities.
23	If Ecology concurs with or orders a work stoppage pursuant to this section, Defendant's
24	obligations with respect to the ceased activities shall be suspended until Ecology determines
25	the danger is abated, and the time for performance of such activities, as well as the time for any

other work dependent upon such activities, shall be extended, in accordance with Section XVI

1	[(Extension of Schedule), for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the		
2	circumstances.		
3	Nothing in this Decree shall limit the authority of Ecology, its employees, agents, or		
4	contractors to take or require appropriate action in the event of an emergency.		
5	XVIII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE		
6	A. Covenant Not to Sue: In consideration of Defendant's compliance with the		
7	terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology covenants not to institute legal or administrative		
8	actions against Defendant regarding the release or threatened release of hazardous substances		
9	covered by this Decree.		
10	This Decree covers only the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU specifically identified in the Site		
11	Diagram (Exhibit A) and those hazardous substances that Ecology knows are located at the		
12	Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU as of the date of entry of this Decree. This Decree does not cover the		
13	Chlor-Alkali RAU or any other hazardous substance or area. Ecology retains all of its		
14	authority relative to any substance or area not covered by this Decree.		
15	This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:		
16	1. Criminal liability;		
17	2. Liability for damages to natural resources; and		
18	3. Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against PLPs not a party to		
19	this Decree.		
20	If factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present a		
21	previously unknown threat to human health or the environment, the Court shall amend this		
22	Covenant Not to Sue.		
23	B. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or		
24	administrative action against Defendant to require it to perform additional remedial actions at		
25	the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU and to pursue appropriate cost recovery, pursuant to		
26	RCW 70.105D.050 under the following circumstances:		

2	including, but not limited to, failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standard		
3	identified in the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) (Exhibit B);		
4	2. Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of		
5	this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or		
6	the environment;		
7	3. Upon the availability of new information regarding factors previously		
8	unknown to Ecology, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the		
.9	Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU, and Ecology's determination, in light of this information, that further		
10	remedial action is necessary at that RAU to protect human health or the environment; or		
11	4. Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are		
12	necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in		
13	the CAP.		
14	C. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative		
15	action against Defendant pursuant to this section, Ecology shall provide Defendant wit		
16	fifteen (15) calendar days' notice of such action.		
17	XIX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION		
18	With regard to claims for contribution against Defendant, the Parties agree that		
19	Defendant is entitled to protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this		
20	Decree within the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU as provided by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(d).		
21	XX. LAND USE RESTRICTIONS		
22	In consultation with Defendant, Ecology will prepare the Environmental (Restrictive)		
23	Covenant using the Model Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant (Exhibit D) consistent with		
24	WAC 173-340-440 and Chapter 64.70 RCW. After approval by Ecology, Defendant shall		
25	record the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant with the office of the Whatcom County		
26	Auditor within ten (10) days of completion of all phases of the cleanup action. The		

Upon Defendant's failure to meet the requirements of this Decree,

1 |

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant shall restrict future activities and uses of the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU as agreed to by Ecology and Defendant. Defendant shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

The Contaminated Materials Management Plan (Exhibit E) shall be in effect in order to address excavation, management, future use and handling of soils at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. The Contaminated Materials Management Plan shall be referenced in the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant as a restriction on future activities on the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU.

XXI. INDEMNIFICATION

To the extent permitted by law Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action (1) for death or injuries to persons, or (2) for loss or damage to property, to the extent arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action to the extent arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in entering into or implementing this Decree.

XXII. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

A. All actions carried out by Defendant pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in RCW 70.105D.090. The permits or other federal, state, or local requirements that the agency has determined are applicable and that are known at the time of entry of this Decree have been identified in Exhibit F.

26

B. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(1), Defendant is exempt from the procedural requirements of Chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 77.55, 90.48, and 90.58 RCW and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals. However, Defendant shall comply with the substantive requirements of such permits or approvals. The exempt permits or approvals and the applicable substantive requirements of those permits or approvals, as they are known at the time of entry of this Decree, have been identified in Exhibit G.

Defendant has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Ecology or Defendant determines that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or Defendant shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, Defendant shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by Defendant and on how Defendant must meet those requirements. Ecology shall inform Defendant in writing of these requirements. Once established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. Defendant shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.

C. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency that is necessary for the state to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and

1 | 2 | 3 |

Defendant shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits.

XXIII. REMEDIAL ACTION COSTS

Defendant shall pay to Ecology costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree and consistent with WAC 173-340-550(2). These costs shall include work performed by Ecology or its contractors for, or on, the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU under Chapter 70.105D RCW, including remedial actions and Decree preparation, negotiation, oversight, and administration. These costs shall include work performed both prior to and subsequent to the entry of this Decree. Ecology's costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). Defendant shall pay the required amount within thirty (30) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-550(4), failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement of costs will result in interest charges at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, compounded monthly.

In addition to other available relief, pursuant to RCW 70.105D.055, Ecology has authority to recover unreimbursed remedial action costs by filing a lien against real property subject to the remedial actions.

XXIV. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that Defendant has failed without good cause to implement the remedial action, in whole or in part, Ecology may, after notice to Defendant, perform any or all portions of the remedial action that remain incomplete. If Ecology performs all or portions of the remedial action because of Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under this Decree, Defendant shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance

(360) 586-6770

4 5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

with Section XXII (Remedial Action Costs), provided that Defendant is not obligated under this section to reimburse Ecology for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree.

Except where necessary to abate an emergency situation, Defendant shall not perform any remedial actions at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU outside those remedial actions required by this Decree, unless Ecology concurs, in writing, with such additional remedial actions pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree).

XXV. PERIODIC REVIEW

As remedial action, including groundwater monitoring, continues at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU, and to review the data accumulated as a result of monitoring the RAU as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. At least every five (5) years after the initiation of cleanup action at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU the Parties shall meet to discuss the status of that RAU and the need, if any, for further remedial action at the Pulp/Tissue Mill Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. At least ninety (90) days prior to each periodic review, Defendant shall submit a report to Ecology that documents whether human health and the environment are being protected based on the factors set forth in WAC 173-340-420(4). Ecology reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU under appropriate circumstances. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of this Decree.

XXVI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

A Public Participation Plan is required for this Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. Ecology shall review any existing Public Participation Plan to determine its continued appropriateness and whether it requires amendment, or if no plan exists, Ecology shall develop a Public Participation Plan alone or in conjunction with Defendant.

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. However, Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology, and shall:

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	-
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	,
23	
24	
25	
26	

- A. If agreed to by Ecology, develop appropriate mailing lists, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, remedial investigation/feasibility study reports, cleanup action plans, and engineering design reports. As appropriate, Ecology will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings.
- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendant prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by Defendant that do not receive prior Ecology approval, Defendant shall clearly indicate to its audience that the press release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or endorsed by Ecology.
- C. When requested by Ecology, participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter.
- D. When requested by Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories at the following locations:
 - Bellingham Public Library
 210 Central Avenue
 Bellingham, Washington 98225
 - 2. Ecology's Northwest Regional Office 3190 160th Avenue SE Bellevue, Washington 98008-5452
 - 3. Ecology's Bellingham Field Office 1440 10th Street, Suite 102 Bellingham, Washington 98225

At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and documents relating to public comment periods shall be promptly placed in these repositories. A copy of all

documents related to this Pulp/Tissue Mill RAU shall be maintained in the repository at Ecology's Northwest Regional Office in Bellevue, Washington.

XXVII. DURATION OF DECREE

The remedial program required pursuant to this Decree shall be maintained and continued until Defendant has received written notification from Ecology that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. This Decree shall remain in effect until dismissed by the Court. When dismissed, Section XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue) and Section XIX (Contribution Protection) shall survive.

XXVIII. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

Defendant hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that Defendant will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account or any local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided above, however, Defendant expressly reserves its right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other PLP. This section does not limit or address funding that may be provided under Chapter 173-322 WAC.

XXIX. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.

XXX. WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and void at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree.

24 | ///

22

23

25 | ///

Se || 11

6 || /,

(360) 586-6770

1		ROBERT W. FERGUSON
2	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY	Attorney General
3	Character	- Coll. Paull
4	JAMES PENDOWSKI	ANNE M. POWELL, WSBA #42934
4	Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program	Assistant Attorney General 360-586-4607
5	9 360-407-7177	
6	Date: 12/15/14	Date: $\frac{12/17/14}{}$
7		
8	3	
9	CHMELIK SITKIN & DAVIS P.S.	PORT OF BELLINGHAM
10	January /1/1000	MAG
11		RØBERT FIX
12	HOLLY M. STAFFORD, WSBA #40674 Attorney for Defendant	Éxecutive Director (360) 676-2500
13	Port of Bellingham	
	(300) 300 3012	,
14 15	Date: Nov 21, 2014	Date: 11/2 4/14
16		2.30
17	DEC ENTERED this day of	2 2 2014 20 .
		20
18		
19	· _	Leon F. Henley
20		JDGE Thatcom County Superior Court
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
υ 		