CSID 434/

WORKSHEET 1 SUMMARY SCORE SHEET

Note: This document currently has no provision for sediment route scoring.

Site Name/Location (City, County, Section/Township/Range, Ecology Site No, Lat./Long):

Ed's Transmission, 10226 Smokey Pt. Blvd. Marysville, WA Snohomish County, Ecology I.D. No. N=31-5088-000 29985184 Latitude 48* 05' 15.5", Longitude 122* 10' 25.6" Site scored/ranked: Feb. 29, 2000 update

Site Description (Include management areas, compounds of concern, and quantities):

The tax assessor's records indicate that a service garage was built in 1969, torn down and replaced in 1980. The current owner, Ed's Transmission, purchased the property in September of 1979. A complaint was filed on February 7, 1992 with the Department of Ecology (Ecology) concerning improper management of waste oil. It was being dumped on the ground underneath an old abandoned station wagon on the property. An initial investigation conducted by Ecology on April 10, 1992 verifed significant oil staining behind the on-site building. An early notice letter from the Department of Ecology (Ecology) was sent to Ed's Transmission on April 6, 1993 indicating the property was being added to Ecology's database of confirmed and suspected contaminated sites. It was listed as confirmed for petroleum products in soil, suspected for halogenated organic compounds, metals, in soil, groundwater, surface water, air, and sediments. Also listed as suspected for petroleum products in all except soil. A Site Hazard Assessment was conducted by the Snohomish Health District on October 26, 1999. Soil samples were collected in three locations on the west side of the building. Petroleum hydrocarbons (heavy oils), lead, cadmium and chromium were found at levels that exceeded Model Toxics Control Act cleanup levels. Lead and heavy oil were found to exceed MTCA in three samples and cadmium and chromium in one sample.

Special Considerations (Include limitations in site file data or data which cannot be accommodated in the model, but which are important in evaluating the risk associated with the site, or any other factor(s) over-riding a decision of no further action for the site):

The air pathway was not believed to be significant and was not scored. The contaminants are metals and petroleum products (heavy oil) not generally

available to the air pathway unless airborne dust is created. The area is not subject to disturbance by equipment or vehicles.

PATHWAY SCORES:		County and an area of the							
Surface Water/Human Health:	14.3	Surface Water/Environ.: 49.6							
Air/Human Health:	NS	Air/Environmental: NS							
Ground Water/Human Health:	37.2	OVERALL RANK: 4							
WORKSHEET 2 ROUTE DOCUMENTATION									
1. SURFACE WATER ROUT	E	,							
List those substances to be cons	sidered for scoring:	Source: 2,3							
Lead, Cadmium and Chromium									
Explain basis for choice of subst	ance(s) to be <u>used</u> ir	n scoring.							
as their measured concer	Lead, cadmium and chromium will be used in scoring the surface water route, as their measured concentrations exceed MTCA cleanup levels and are available to this pathway due to less than perfect containment.								
List those management units to l	pe <u>considered</u> for sc	oring: Source: 2,3							
Contaminated surface soi	ls								
Explain basis for choice of unit to	be <u>used</u> in scoring.	Source: 2,3							
Contaminated surface soil will be used in scoring as the measured concentrations of lead, cadmium and chromium were from this management unit. No containment was observed of this unit allowing contaminants to be available to the surface water pathway.									
2. AIR ROUTE									
List those substances to be cons	idered for scoring:	Source:							
Not applicable to site/not so	cored.								

Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be <u>used</u> in scori	ng.
List those management units to be <u>considered</u> for scoring:	Source:
Explain basis for choice of unit to be <u>used</u> in scoring.	Source:
3. GROUND WATER ROUTE	
List substances to be considered for scoring:	Source: 2,3
Lead, Cadmium and Chromium	
Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scori	ng.
Lead, cadmium and chromium will be used in scoring as their measured concentrations exceed MTCA clear available to the pathway due to less than perfect con	anup levels and are
List management units to be considered in scoring:	Source: 2,3
Contaminated subsurface soil	
Explain basis for choice of unit used in scoring.	Source: 2,3
	and Alan and a survey of

Contaminated subsurface soil will be used in scoring as the measured concentrations of lead, cadmium and chromium were from this management unit. No containment was observed of this unit allowing contaminants to be available to the ground water pathway.

WORKSHEET 4 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Human Toxici

Chromium

	Drinking Water Standard		Acute Toxicity		Chronic Toxicity		Carcinogenicity		
Substance	(ug/l)	Val.	(mg/kg-bw)	Val.	(mg/kg/day	Val.	WOE	PF	Val.
Lead	5	8	Χ	ND	X	ND	X	Х	ND
Cadmium	5	8	225	5	0.0005	5	Χ	Χ	ND
Chromium	100	6	X	ND	0.005	3	Χ	Х	ND

					Source: <u>1,2,3,4</u>	
					Highest Value: 8	
					2 Bonus Points? 2	
					Final Toxicity Value1	10
1.2 Environmental To	exicity		·	·		
	(X) Freshwa	ater				
	() Marine					
	Acute		Non-human	Mammalian		
	Criteria		Acute Toxic	ity	Source: 1,2,3,4 Value:	8
Substance	(ug/l)	Val.	(mg/kg)	Val.		
Lead	82	6	· X	ND		
Cadmium	3.9	8	225	5		

1.3 Substance quantity
Explain basis:

Source: 2,3 Value: 1

Χ

ND

16

Ed's Scoring

WORKSHEET 4 (CONTINUED) SURFACE WATER ROUTE

2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL

2.1	Containment Explain basis:	Spill and co	ontaminate	ed soil	Source:	2,3	Value: _	10
2.2	Surface Soil Permeabi	lity:	Silty san	dy loam	Source:	2,3,9	Value: _	11
2.3	Total Annual Precipitat	tion	34.7 inch	es	Source:	3,5	Value:	3
2.4	Max. 2-Yr/24-hour Pre-	cipitation	1.5 inche	es	Source:	3	Value: _	2
2.5	Flood Plain:	Not in flood	lplain		Source:	2,3	Value: _	0
2.6	Terrain Slope:	1.00%			Source:	2,6,12	Value:	1
					-		· <u>-</u>	
3.0	TARGETS							
3.1	Distance to Surface Wa	ater:	700 feet		Source:	3,12	Value: _	10
3.2	Population Served with	nin 2 miles:	0		Source:	7	Value: _	0
3.3	Area Irrigated within 2	miles:	4		Source:	3,7	Value: _	2
3.4	Distance to Nearest Fis	shery Resou	ırce:	700 feet	Source:_	3,12	Value: _	12_
	Distance to, and Name ronment (s)	(s) of, near			Source: _	3,12	Value: _	12
-11 V 1	ionnient (3)	700100	Quiliceda					
1.0	RELEASE							
	Explain basis for scoring	ng a release	to surface		Source: _	1,2,3	Value: _	0

No release of any hazardous substance was documented.

WORKSHEET 6 GROUND WATER ROUTE

1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Human Toxicity

	Drinking Water Standard		Acute Toxicity		Chronic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity			
Substance	(ug/l)	Val.	(mg/kg-bw)	Val.	(mg/kg/day	Val.	WOE	PF	Val.
Lead	5	8	X	ND	X	ND	Х	Х	ND
Cadmium	5	8	225	5	0.0005	5	Χ	Χ	ND
Chromium	100	6	Χ	ND	0.005	3	X	Χ	ND

			Source: 1,2,3,4 Highest Value: 8 2 Bonus Points? 2 Final Toxicity Value:	10
1.2	Mobility (Use number Cations/Anions	rs to refer to above listed substances) K value for cadmium is greater than 1	Source: 2,3,4 Value: _	3
	OR Solubility (mg/l)			
1.3	Substance Quantity Explain basis:	Unknown quantity - default value	Source: 2,3 Value: _	1

2.0	2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL									
2.1	Containment Explain basis:	Discharge ar	nd contaminat	ed soil	Source: 1,2,3,4 Value: 10					
2.2	Net Precipitation:	16.9			Source: 3,5 Value: 2					
2.3	Subsurface Hydraulic	Source: 1,2,3,4 Value: 4								
2.4	Vertical Depth to Grou	ınd Water:	45 feet	Page 6	Source: 3,10 Value: 6					

Ed's Scoring

WORKSHEET 6 GROUND WATER ROUTE

3.0 TARGETS

3.1	Ground Water Usage: Private supply, but alternate	ative sources available with min	Source:	2,3,8,10	Value: _	4
	hookup requirements					
3.2	Distance to Nearest Drinking Water Well: A	pprox. 1600 feet	Source:	3,6,10	Value: _	3
3.3	Population Served within 2 Miles: 763 population	on	Source:	3,7,8,10	Value: _	28
3.4	, o	73 acres	Source:	3,7	Value: _	12
	withín 2 miles:					
4.0	RELEASE					
	Explain basis for scoring a release to ground wat	er:	Source: _	1,2,3	Value: _	0
	No confirmed release doc					

Sources Used in Scoring

- 1. Washington Department of Ecology, Initial Investigation, Ed's Transmission, Marysville, WA, February, 1992.
- 2. Snohomish Health District, Site Hazard Assessment, Ed's Transmission, Marysville, WA, October 26, 1999.
- 3. Washington Department of Ecology, WARM Scoring Manual, April, 1992.
- 4. Washington Department of Ecology, Toxicology Database for Use in Washington Ranking Method Scoring, January, 1992.
- 5. National Weather Service, Washington Climate Data, Snohomish County.
- 6. U.S.G.S. Topo. Map, Marysvilles Quad., 7.5 Min. Series, Photorev. 1973.
- 7. Washington Department of Ecology, Water Rights Information System (WRIS), November 4, 1992.
- 8. Washington Department of Health, Public Water System List, April 26, 1993.
- 9. Soil Conservation Service, Soil Survey of Snohomish County Area, July 1983.
- 10. Washington Department of Ecology, Well Logs
- 11. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Groundwater Resources of Snohomish County, 1952.
- 12. Snohomish County, Wetlands/Stream Maps.

Ed's Score Pathway

PATHWAY SCORING FORMULAE WITH WEIGHTING AND NORMALIZATION FACTORS

Air Route - Human Health Pathway

 $AIR = (SUB \times 60/329) \times \{REL + (TAR \times 35/85) / 24 = 0.00\}$

where AIR = Pathway score for Air-Human Health =

SUB = (Human Toxicity Value + 5) X (Containment +1) + Substance Quantity =

<u>5</u>

REL = Release to Air = 0

TAR = Nearest population + Population within 1/2 mile = 0

Air Route - Environmental Pathway

AIR = (SUB X 60/329) X {REL + (TAR X 35/85} / 24 = 0.00

where AIR = Pathway score for Air-Environmental =

 $SW = (SUB \times 40/175) \times \{(MIG \times 25/24)\} + REL + (TAR \times 30/115)\} / 24 =$

SW =

SUB = (Env. Toxicity Value + 5) X (Containment +1) + Substance Quantity =

<u>5</u>

REL = Release to Air = 0

TAR = Nearest Sensitive Environment = 0

Surface Water Route - Human Health Pathway

where

SUB = (Human Toxicity + 3) X (Containment + 1) + Substance Quantity =

Pathway Score for Surface Water-Human Health =

14.29

<u> 144</u>

MIG = Soil Permability + Annual Precip. + Rainfall Frequency +

Floodplain + Slope = 7

REL = Release to the Surface Water = 0

TAR = Distance to Surface Water + Population Served by Surface Water +

Area Irrigated = 12

Table 2 (Continued)

Surface Water Route - Environmental Pathway

SW = (SUB X 40/175) X {(MIG X 25/24)) + REL + (TAR X 30/115)} / 24 = 49.56

where SW = Pathway Score for Surface Water-Environmental =

SUB = (Env. Toxicity + 3) X (Containment + 1) + Substance Quantity =

122

MIG = Soil Permability + Annual Precip. + Rainfall Frequency +

Floodplain + Slope =

REL = Release to the Surface Water = 0

TAR = Distance to Nearest Surface Water + Distance to Fisheries

Resource + Distance to Sensitive Environment =

34

Ground Water Route - Human Health Pathway

GW = (SUB X 40/208) X {(MIG X 25/17) + REL + (TAR X 30/165)} / 24 = 37.15

GW = Pathway Score For Ground Water-Human Health =

SUB = (Human Toxicity + Mobility + 3) X (Containment + 1) +

Substance Quantity = <u>177</u>

MIG = Depth to Aquifer + Net Precipitation + Hydraulic Conductivity =

<u>12</u>

REL = Release to the Ground Water = 0

TAR = Aquifer Use + Well Distance + Population Served +

Area Irrigated = $\frac{47}{}$

		•	,	
	ь.		٠	
			•	