~~CSID~~ #- 2254

WORKSHEET 1
Summary Score Sheet

SITE INFORMATION:

Name: **Gilbert Elementary School**
Address: **4400 Douglas Drive**
City: **Yakima** County: **Yakima** State: **WA** Zip: **98908**
Section/Township/Range: **S22/ T13N/ R18E**
Latitude: **46° 36' 16" N** Longitude: **120° 34' 05" W**
TCP ID #: **5154076**

Site scored/ranked for the August 23, 2006 update.

SITE DESCRIPTION (management areas, substances of concern, and quantities):

Gilbert Elementary School consists of approximately eight acres and is set amongst residential properties. According to 1947 aerial photographs the school's property was developed from orchard land.

This site was included in an area-wide lead and arsenic sampling program which involved collecting samples from schools suspected of having a history of past pesticide use. Prior to the mid-1940s, lead arsenate was the most widely used chemical used to control cottling moths on fruit trees. Lead (Pb) and arsenic (As) are known to be very stable in soil and tend to stay near the surface. Because of this historical background, it was suspected that the soil in the school playground might be contaminated with Pb and As. The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) obtained permission from Yakima School District to sample and test the soils for lead and arsenic from all of the Gilbert Elementary school grounds.

The soils throughout the property were sampled by the Department of Ecology on February 5, 2005. Samples were taken from the top 6 inches using a core sampler. The samples were analyzed for lead and arsenic using X-Ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy.

Sampling results at Gilbert Elementary School indicate that contaminant levels in soil exceed the Model Toxics Control Act cleanup levels for lead (250 ppm) and/or arsenic (20 ppm) in 34 of 35 soil samples. The highest levels of arsenic and lead detected at the site were 146 ppm and 804 ppm, respectively.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (include limitations in site file data or data which cannot be accommodated in the model, but which are important in evaluating the risk associated with the site, or any other factor(s) over-riding a decision of no further action for the site):

Due to the nature of metals in soil, it is assumed that lead and arsenic are likely not present at high concentrations at depths greater than four feet. This has been documented at several sites and seems consistent for sites where lead arsenate pesticide was used. Samples were collected at a depth of six

inches; however, for the purposes of this assessment, it is assumed that contamination extends to a depth of three feet.

Because of the presence of children at the school grounds, ingestion of contaminated soil is of concern and is considered the greatest risk to children. However, the WARM scoring does not consider ingestion as an exposure route. Therefore, it should be known that the overall rank for the site determined using this scoresheet will likely be less conservative.

ROUTE SCORES:

Surface Water/Human Health:	**7.5**	Surface Water/Environmental.:	**13.5**
Air/Human Health:	**7.1**	Air/Environmental:	**NS**
Groundwater/Human Health:	**60.9**		

OVERALL RANK: 3

WORKSHEET 2
Route Documentation

1. **SURFACE WATER ROUTE**
   a. List those substances to be considered for scoring: Source: 1

      Lead and arsenic

   b. Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring.

      Analytical results from soil sampling indicate the presence of these hazardous substances at levels which exceed our current Method A cleanup levels.

   c. List those management units to be considered for scoring: Source 1

      Surface and subsurface soils

   d. Explain basis for choice of unit to be used in scoring:

      Spills/discharges caused soil contamination

2. **AIR ROUTE**
   a. List those substances to be considered for scoring: Source: 1

      Lead and arsenic

   b. Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring:

      Analytical results from soil sampling indicate the presence of these hazardous substances at levels which exceed our current Method A cleanup levels.

   c. List those management units to be considered for scoring: Source: 1

      Surface and subsurface soils

   d. Explain basis for choice of unit to be used in scoring:

      Spills/discharges caused soil contamination

3. **GROUNDWATER ROUTE**
   a. List those substances to be considered for scoring: Source: 1

      Lead and arsenic

   b. Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be used in scoring:

      Analytical results from soil sampling indicate the presence of these hazardous substances at levels which exceed our current Method A cleanup levels.

   c. List those management units to be considered for scoring: Source: 1

      Surface and subsurface soils

   d. Explain basis for choice of unit to be used in scoring:

      Spills/discharges caused soil contamination

WORKSHEET 4
Surface Water Route

1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Human Toxicity

	Substance	Drinking Water Standard (µg/L)	Value	Acute Toxicity (mg/kg-bw)	Value	Chronic Toxicity (mg/kg/day)	Value	Carcinogenicity		Value
								WOE	PF*	
1	Lead	5	8	NA	ND	0.001	10	ND	ND	ND
2	Arsenic	10	8	763 (rat)	5	0.001	5	1.0	1.75	7

***Potency Factor*

Source: 1, 2

Highest Value: 10
(Max = 10)

Plus 2 Bonus Points? 2

Final Toxicity Value: 12
(Max = 12)

1.2 Environmental Toxicity

	Substance	Acute Water Quality Criteria		Non-Human Mammalian Acute Toxicity	
		(µg/L)	Value	(mg/kg)	Value
1	Lead	82	6	ND	--
2	Arsenic	360	4	ND	--

Source: 1, 2

Highest Value: 6
(Max = 10)

1.3 Substance Quantity (areal extent)

Explain Basis: One sample was collected from each randomly selected square in a 45' * 55'grid. Thirty-four samples exceeded MTCA cleanup levels. Therefore, calculating 34 * 2475 sq. ft, 84150 sq. feet can be estimated as contaminated.

Source: 3, 8

Value: 9
(Max = 10)

2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL

		Source	Value
2.1	**Containment:** Management unit scored as a spills/discharges/contaminated soil at the surface, with ineffectively maintained run-on/runoff controls (vegetated buffer). **Explain basis:** While a portion of the site is capped (parking lots, buildings, etc), soil samples were collected from only uncapped areas (i.e. in bare soil or beneath grass) at a depth of six inches.	1, 3	**4** (Max = 10)
2.2	**Surface Soil Permeability:** the site consists of silty loam	3, 8	**3** (Max = 7)
2.3	**Total Annual Precipitation:** average annual precipitation for Yakima WSO AP, WA = 8.15 in	9	**1** (Max = 5)
2.4	**Max 2yr/24hr Precipitation:** one inch	3	**1** (Max = 2)
2.5	**Flood Plain:** Not in a flood plain	10	**0** (Max = 2)
2.6	**Terrain Slope:** (1280 – 1250)/1600 = <2%	3, 6	**1** (Max = 5)

3.0 TARGETS

		Source	Value
3.1	**Distance to Surface Water:** ~1600 ft	3, 6	**7** (Max = 10)
3.2	**Population Served within 2 miles (see WARM Scoring Manual Regarding Direction):** $\sqrt{6} = 2.5$	3, 6	**3** (Max = 75)
3.3	**Area Irrigated by surface water within 2 miles : (0.75)*$\sqrt{}$ # acres =** $0.75 * \sqrt{4} = 1.5$	3, 6	**2** (Max = 30)
3.4	**Distance to Nearest Fishery Resource:** ~3700 feet (Naches River)	3, 6	**6** (Max = 12)
3.5	**Distance to, and Name(s) of, Nearest Sensitive Environment(s):** Fisheries Resource	3, 6	**6** (Max = 12)

4.0 RELEASE

Explain Basis: Not documented	Source: 1, 3 **Value: 0** (Max = 5)

WORKSHEET 5
Air Route

1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1. Introduction (WARM Scoring Manual)

1.2 Human Toxicity

	Substance	Air Standard ($\mu g/m^3$)	Value	Acute Toxicity (mg/m^3)	Value	Chronic Toxicity (mg/kg/day)	Value	Carcinogenicity		Value
								WOE	PF*	
1	Lead	0.5	10	ND	--	0.001	10	B2	ND	--
2	Arsenic	0.00023	10	ND	--	0.001	5	A	50	9

* *Potency Factor*

Source: 1, 2, 3

Highest Value: 10
(Max = 10)

Plus 2 Bonus Points? 2

Final Toxicity Value: 12
(Max = 12)

1.3 Mobility (Use numbers to refer to above listed substances)

	1.3.1 Gaseous Mobility	1.3.2 Particulate Mobility		
	Vapor Pressure(s) (mmHg)	Soil Type	Erodibility	Climatic Factor
1		Silt loam	47	10 - 30

Source: NA

Value: --
(Max = 4)

Source: 3, 8

Value: 1
(Max = 4)

1.4 Highest Human Health Toxicity/ Mobility Matrix Value (from Table A-7)

Final Matrix Value: 6
(Max = 24)

1.5 Environmental Toxicity/Mobility

	Substance	Non-human Mammalian Inhalation Toxicity (mg/m^3)	Acute Value	Mobility (mmHg)	Value	Matrix Value
1	Lead	ND	--	ND	--	--
2	Arsenic	ND	--	ND	--	--

Highest Environmental Toxicity/Mobility Matrix Value (from Table A-7) = **Final Matrix Value: NS**
(Max = 24)

1.6 Substance Quantity (areal extent)	
Explain Basis: ~84200 sq feet (see Surface Water Route 1.3)	Source: 3, 8 **Value: 7** (Max = 10)

2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL

		Source	Value
2.1	**Containment:** Uncontaminated soil cover <2 feet thick	3	**5** (Max = 10)

3.0 TARGETS

		Source	Value
3.1	**Nearest Population:** <1000 feet to school	3, 7	**10** (Max = 10)
3.2	**Distance to [and name(s) of] nearest sensitive environment(s) [fisheries excluded]:** NA since not scoring environmental route		**NA** (Max = 7)
3.3	**Population served within 0.5 miles: √ pop.** = 153 homes * 3 = 459 pop √459 = 21.4	3, 6	**21** (Max = 75)

4.0 RELEASE

Explain Basis for scoring a release to air: Not documented	Source: 3, 7 **Value: 0** (Max = 5)

WORKSHEET 6
Groundwater Route

1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.2 Human Toxicity

	Substance	Drinking Water Standard (µg/L)	Value	Acute Toxicity (mg/ kg-bw)	Value	Chronic Toxicity (mg/kg/day)	Value	Carcinogenicity WOE	Carcinogenicity PF*	Value
1	Lead	5	8	NA	ND	0.001	10	ND	ND	ND
2	Arsenic	10	8	763 (rat)	5	0.001	5	1.0	1.75	7

* *Potency Factor*

Source: 1, 2

Highest Value: 10
(Max = 10)

Plus 2 Bonus Points? 2

Final Toxicity Value: 12
(Max = 12)

1.2 Mobility (use numbers to refer to above listed substances)

Cations/Anions [Coefficient of Aqueous Migration (K)] OR	Solubility (mg/L)
1= K > 1.0 = 2	1=
2= K is 0.1 to 1.0 = 3	2=

Source: 3

Value: 3
(Max = 3)

1.3 Substance Quantity (volume):

Explain basis: One sample was collected from each randomly selected square in a 45' * 55'grid. Thirty-four samples exceeded MTCA cleanup levels. Therefore, calculating 34 * 2475 sq. ft, 84150 sq. feet can be estimated as contaminated. Based on previous sampling sessions where lead/arsenic has been detected to depths up to 4', Ecology has determined that is likely that contaminated soil extends to a depth of 3' bgs. Therefore the estimated volume of contamination is calculated as follows.

84150' * 3' = 252450 ft^3 or ~9350 yd^3

Source: 1, 3

Value: 5
(Max=10)

2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL

		Source	Value
2.1	**Containment (explain basis):** While a portion of the site is capped (parking lots, buildings, etc), soil samples were collected from only uncapped areas (i.e. in bare soil or beneath grass) at a depth of six inches.	3	**10** (Max = 10)
2.2	**Net precipitation:** 4.7" – 3" = 1.7"	2	**1** (Max = 5)
2.3	**Subsurface hydraulic conductivity:** the site consists of silty loam	3	**2** (Max = 4)
2.4	**Vertical depth to groundwater:** water well reports nearby indicate groundwater is as shallow as 12-23 feet bgs	3, 5	**8** (Max = 8)

3.0 TARGETS

		Source	Value
3.1	**Groundwater usage:** Public supply, but alternate sources available with minimum hookup requirements	3	**4** (Max = 10)
3.2	**Distance to nearest drinking water well:** <600 **feet**	3, 5	**5** (Max = 5)
3.3	**Population served within 2 miles:** √ **pop.** = population is >10,000	3, 7	**100** (Max = 100)
3.4	**Area irrigated by (groundwater) wells within 2 miles:** **(0.75)*√# acres** = 0.75 * √108 = 7.8	3, 6	**8** (Max = 50)

4.0 RELEASE

	Source	Value
Explain basis for scoring a release to groundwater: Not documented	3	**0** (Max = 5)

SOURCES USED IN SCORING

1. Analytical results of soil sampling conducted on February 5, 2005 by the WA State Dept. of Ecology
2. Washington State Department of Ecology, Toxicology Database for Use in Washington Ranking Method Scoring, January 1992
3. Washington State Department of Ecology, WARM Scoring Manual, April 1992.
4. Washington Climate – Net Rainfall Table
5. Washington State Department of Ecology, Water Well Reports
6. Washington State Department of Ecology, Water Rights Application System (WRATS)
7. Washington State Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water Sentry website printout for public water supplies
8. Gilbert Elementary School file, WSDOE records at the Central Regional Office
9. Western Regional Climate Center's Historical Climate Information

10. Yakima County Land Information Portal website
11. National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey of Yakima County Area, WA