EES ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING, INC. 240 N. Broadway, Suite 203, Portland OR 97227 (503) 847-2740 www.ees-environmental.com

## **Technical Memorandum**

То:	Mark McCuddy, MC Marine, LLC John Rapp, Washington Dept. of Ecology, SW Region VCP
From:	Paul Ecker, LHG and Chris Rhea, LG
Date:	September 29, 2015
Subject:	Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Results (August 2015)
	R.J. Frank Site
	5 Mill Street
	Ridgefield, Washington
	Ecology VCP File SW1331
	EES Project 2001-01

This memorandum provides a summary of the results associated with the fourth consecutive quarterly groundwater monitoring event, conducted in August 2015, at the subject Property located at 5 Mill Street in Ridgefield, Washington (Property, Figure 1). Work scope items were completed in accordance with the EES Site Investigation report dated August 25, 2014, and discussions and email correspondence with the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) dated October 15-17, 2014. Figure 2 illustrates Property features and monitoring well locations.

### WATER TABLE ELEVATIONS

On August 28, 2015, static water levels were measured in all six monitoring wells relative to the surveyed top-of-casing elevations. Water table elevations were variable across the site well network in August (Figure 2) and as observed during prior monitoring events, but groundwater flow trends are generally to the west towards Lake River. The cause of water table variability observed at site wells is uncertain and may be influenced by heterogeneous subsurface conditions, tidal and/or seasonal fluctuation, rainfall/surface water infiltration, or other factors that have not been determined. Similar localized water table variability is reported for the adjacent Pacific Wood Treating facility (Maul Foster Alongi, 2013).

During the August 2015 monitoring event, site water table elevations averaged approximately 2.5 feet lower compared to May 2015 (see Table 1 and Figure 2) and represent seasonal low water conditions. The greatest water table decrease relative to May 2015 was observed at wells MW-4 and MW-5, both

EES ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING, INC.

located at the northern end of the site near Lake River. Seasonal groundwater conditions may be further modified by tidal effects, particularly near Lake River.

### **ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS**

The specified five-well network (monitoring wells MW-1, -3, -4, -5, and -6) was purged and sampled on August 28, 2015. Groundwater samples collected from the five wells were submitted for laboratory analysis of diesel- and heavy oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons by Method NWPTH-Dx and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA Method 8270C-SIM. Gasoline is not a contaminant of interest for this site based on initial assessment findings (EES, August 2014).

Field-measured groundwater parameters are presented on Table 2. Analytical testing results are summarized below, on Tables 3 and 4, and illustrated on Figures 3 and 4. Laboratory analytical reports are provided in Attachment A.

- Diesel: No diesel-range hydrocarbons were detected at concentrations exceeding the default MTCA Method A cleanup level (500 micrograms per liter [ug/L]) among the five groundwater samples except for MW-1. Consistent with the November 2014 and May 2015 monitoring events, a low level of hydrocarbons in the diesel range was detected in the sample collected from well MW-1 (575 ug/L). However, the laboratory chromatographic pattern for the sample did not resemble the petroleum hydrocarbon standard, and was typical of a mixture of biogenic (naturally-occurring) compounds. As observed in November 2014 and May 2015, follow-up analytical testing using silica-gel cleanup methodology resulted in no detections of diesel-range hydrocarbons in the same sample collected from MW-1, which supports chromatographic evidence that the initial diesel-range hydrocarbon detection is not consistent with a petroleum source. Laboratory chromatograms from the MW-1 hydrocarbon analyses are provided in Attachment B.
- **Oil:** No oil-range hydrocarbons were detected in any of the five groundwater samples collected in August 2015, consistent with the three previous monitoring events (Table 3).
- PAHs: No PAHs were detected at concentrations exceeding default MTCA Method A or Method B cleanup levels in any of the samples including MW-4 (where low levels of PAHs have been observed during the last year).

### **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Quarterly groundwater monitoring has been conducted at this site by MC Marine, LLC for a period of one year, in accordance with an approach discussed and approved by Ecology in 2014. Monitoring results during this monitoring period are generally consistent with prior assessment findings, and confirm no extensive or obvious source of groundwater contamination by non-gasoline hydrocarbons. Recent findings and overall trends are summarized below.

- August 2015 quarterly monitoring results indicate no exceedances of published MTCA groundwater cleanup levels among any of the five site wells for hydrocarbons and related chemicals.
- During the past year, low-concentration diesel-range detections at wells MW-1 and MW-6 (located in the former bulk petroleum storage yard area) are periodically observed but

appear dissimilar to typical hydrocarbon signatures and may be biogenic in origin. These detections are flagged by the laboratory as not representative of typical diesel fuel, and are <u>not</u> accompanied by PAH compounds which would otherwise be expected for a fuel or oil source. In every case, follow-up analysis using silica-gel cleanup indicates that diesel fuel is not identified in these same samples (see Table 3).

- Low PAH concentrations are identified at well MW-4 and occasionally well MW-5, both located in the unpaved north parking lot area near Lake River. This area may have been used historically as a pond or for dredged materials dewatering, but no specific contaminant source other than random fill and possible buried river piling debris has been identified in this area.
  - During the August 2015 monitoring event, PAHs decreased to trace concentrations and did not exceed published MTCA groundwater cleanup levels at either well.
  - PAH concentrations at well MW-4 slightly exceeded published MTCA groundwater cleanup levels between November 2014 and May 2015, and only during February 2015 for well MW-5. Fuel and oil hydrocarbons in groundwater have not been identified at either well location.

Where identified, hydrocarbon and PAH concentrations are present at relatively low concentrations that in some cases slightly exceed MTCA groundwater cleanup levels. The identified impacts are not indicative of an obvious Property-related source or other release of fuels, oils, or other known contaminants.

These quarterly monitoring findings will be discussed and evaluated with Ecology.

Tables	Table 1: Groundwater Elevation Data
	Table 2: Groundwater Field Parameters
	Table 3: Groundwater Analytical Results – Fuels
	Table 4: Groundwater Analytical Results – PAHs
Figures	Figure 1: Vicinity Map
	Figure 2: Monitoring Well Locations and Water Table Elevations (8/26/2015)
	Figure 3: Diesel and Oil Concentrations in Groundwater (8/26/2015)
	Figure 4: PAH Concentrations in Groundwater (8/26/2015)
Attachments	Attachment A: Laboratory Analytical Data
	Attachment B: Chromatograms for Selected Sample

### TABLES

### TABLE 1 Groundwater Elevations R.J. Frank Site

Ridgefield, W	Vashington
---------------	------------

Well	тос	Date	Depth to	Groundwater
Identification	Elevation (feet)	Measured	Water	Elevation
			(feet below TOC)	(feet)
MW-1	20.55	11/10/2014	6.72	13.83
		12/16/2015	6.72	13.83
		02/11/2015	4.31	16.24
		05/06/2015	7.96	12.59
		08/26/2015	9.21	11.34
MW-2	21.31	11/10/2014	6.39	14.92
		12/16/2015	7.08	14.23
		02/11/2015	5.23	16.08
		05/06/2015	6.89	14.42
		08/26/2015	9.00	12.31
MW-3	24.02	11/10/2014	13.27	10.75
		12/16/2015	12.23	11.79
		02/11/2015	10.25	13.77
		05/06/2015	13.89	10.13
		08/26/2015	16.05	7.97
MW-4	21.94	11/10/2014	8.86	13.08
		12/16/2015	7.86	14.08
		02/11/2015	6.41	15.53
		05/06/2015	8.05	13.89
		08/26/2015	11.44	10.50
MW-5	20.88	11/10/2014	9.66	11.22
		12/16/2015	8.62	12.26
		02/11/2015	4.97	15.91
		05/06/2015	9.78	11.10
		08/26/2015	12.90	7.98
MW-6	21.18	11/10/2014	4.87	16.31
		12/16/2015	8.64	12.54
		02/11/2015	3.01	18.17
		05/06/2015	7.94	13.24
		08/26/2015	10.67	10.51

Notes:

Wells MW-1 through -6 were surveyed in October and November 2014 by Minister-Glaeser Surveying, Inc. Elevations were established using a trimble R8 receiver operating in a real time kinematic mode (RTK), receiving GPS corrections from the Washington State Reference Network (WSRN). (NAVD 88)

TOC = Top of casing

#### TABLE 2 Groundwater Field Parameters R.J. Frank Site

Ridgefield, Washington

Location	Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) DRI <sup>a</sup>	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV) DRI <sup>a</sup>	Ferrous Iron (Fe 2+) (mg/L) HACH <sup>b</sup>	pH (unitless) DRI <sup>a</sup>	Specific Conductance (ms/cm) DRI <sup>a</sup>
MW-1	11/10/2014	2.1	172	0.5	5.8	0.53
	02/11/2015	1.2	79	2.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.3	0.44
	05/06/2015	0.81	53	0.5	6.5	0.48
	08/26/2015	1.6	50	1.0	6.6	0.36
MW-3	11/10/2014	1.1	154	0.5	6.1	0.39
	02/11/2015	1.0	81	4.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.0	0.44
	05/06/2015	0.82	40	0.0	6.2	0.48
	08/26/2015	0.56	34	1.0	6.5	0.36
MW-4	11/10/2014	0.95	159	6.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.1	1.3
	02/11/2015	0.97	9.0	3.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.1	0.96
	05/06/2015	1.3	-51	2.5	5.9	1.2
	08/26/2015	0.68	-40	6.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.6	0.86
MW-5	11/10/2014	0.87	131	3.5 <sup>c</sup>	6.0	0.76
	02/11/2015	1.2	74	2.0 <sup>c</sup>	5.9	0.37
	05/06/2015	0.90	-52	3.5	6.1	0.99
	08/26/2015	0.80	-34	3.3 <sup>c</sup>	6.4	0.83
MW-6	11/10/2014	1.0	122	3.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.1	-
	02/11/2015	1.4	53	2.5 <sup>c</sup>	5.7	0.51
	05/06/2015	0.80	-65	2.0	6.4	0.76
	08/26/2015	0.86	-11	2.0 <sup>c</sup>	6.7	0.56

NOTES:

<sup>a</sup> DRI = Direct-Read Instrument

<sup>b</sup> HACH = Colorimetric "Hach" Field Kit

<sup>c</sup> = Sample was field filtered. Result indicates dissolved ferrous iron

mg/L = Milligrams per liter

mV = Millivolts

ms/cm = Millisiemens per centimeter

- = not collected

#### TABLE 3 Water Analytical Results - Fuels (ug/L) R.J. Frank Site

IX.,		ann	JIC
Didgofi	ЫА	14/2	chington

			5111151011			
Commis	Collection	Gasoline	Diesel		Lube Oil	Lube Oil
Sample	Collection	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx		NWTPH-Dx	
	Date			w/cleanup		w/cleanup
Site Assessment						
EES-1 (W)	04/16/2014	100 U	<b>752</b> X	245 U	392 U	490 U
EES-2 (W)	04/16/2014	239 X <sup>2</sup>	<b>786</b> X	535 X	396 U	495 U
EES-3 (W)	04/16/2014	100 U	202 U	-	404 U	-
EES-4 (W)	04/16/2014	100 U	222 U	-	444 U	-
EES-5 (W)	04/17/2014	100 U	213 U	-	426 U	-
EES-7 (W)	04/18/2014	100 U	<b>1,340</b> X	833 U	1,330 U	1,670 U
EES-8 (W)	04/18/2014	100 U	246 X	-	385 U	
EES-9 (W)	04/17/2014	100 U	374 X	-	404 U	
EES-10 (W)	04/17/2014	100 U	<b>547</b> X	278 U	444 U	556 U
EES-11 (W)	04/16/2014	100 U	200 U	-	400 U	-
EES-12 (W)	04/16/2014	-	200 U	-	400 U	-
EES-13 (W)	04/16/2014	-	204 U	-	408 U	-
EES-14 (W)	04/17/2014	100 U	204 X	-	400 U	-
EES-15 (W)	04/18/2014	100 U	192 U	-	385 U	-
Quarterly Monitoring						
MW-1	11/10/2014	-	<b>733</b> X <sup>3</sup> ,J	258 U	412 U	515 U
	02/11/2015	-	194 U	-	388 U	-
	05/06/2015	_	352 X <sup>3</sup> ,J	250 U	400 U	500 U
	08/26/2015	_	575 X <sup>3</sup>	238 U	381 U	476 U
MW-3	11/10/2014	_	194 []	_	388 U	-
	02/11/2015	_	189 U	-	377 U	-
	05/06/2015	_	194 U	-	388 U	-
	08/26/2015	-	194 U	-	388 U	-
MW-4	11/10/2014	-	192 U	-	385 U	-
	02/11/2015	_	211 U	-	421 U	-
	05/06/2015	_	196 U	-	392 U	-
	08/26/2015	-	194 U	-	388 U	-
MW-5	11/10/2014	-	194 U	-	388 U	-
	02/11/2015	_	192 U	-	385 U	-
	05/06/2015	_	211 U	-	421 U	-
	08/26/2015	-	194 U	-	388 U	-
	11/10/2014		1 050 X <sup>3</sup> I	220 11	1 000 11	476 11
10100-0	02/11/2014	-	100 U	238 0	1,900 U 201 II	476 0
	02/11/2015	_	1300	-	381 0	476.11
	05/06/2015	-	497 X ,J	238 0	381 U	476 U
	08/20/2015	-	190 0	-	392 U	-
Preliminary Screening						
Method A Cleanup Levels for Gr	ound Water <sup>a</sup>	800/1,000 <sup>b</sup>	500	500	500	500
Method B Cleanup Levels for Gro	ound Water <sup>c</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### TABLE 3 Water Analytical Results - Fuels (ug/L) R.J. Frank Site

#### Ridgefield, Washington

#### Notes:

 $^{\rm 1}$  Diesel and Lube Oil range hydrocarbons analyzed by the NWTPH-Dx with silica-gel cleanup method

<sup>a</sup> Washington Department of Ecology, Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Groundwater Cleanup levels, Table 720-1 (August 2015)

<sup>b</sup> If no benzene is present in groundwater use 1,000 ug/L cleanup level. If benzene is present use 800 ug/L.

<sup>c</sup> MTCA Method B cleanup levels do not exist in the CLARC database

ug/L = Micrograms per liter

U = Undetected at method reporting limit shown

X = The chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

X<sup>2</sup> = The result for this hydrocarbon range is primarily due to the presence of individual analyte peaks in the quantitation range. No fuel pattern detected.

X<sup>3</sup> = The hydrocarbon pattern indicates possible weathered diesel, or a contribution from a related component.

J = Data Validation Qualifier. Reported result is an estimated value. See Data Validation report for further information.

Bolded values exceed default screening level based on MTCA Method A.

Italicized values indicate laboratory method reporting limit (MRL) exceeds default screening level based on MTCA Method A.

NA = Not Available

- = not analyzed

 TABLE 4

 Water Analytical Results - Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds and Pentachlorophenol (ug/L)

 R.J. Frank Site

Ridgefield Washington

										,										
Sample	Collection	DCD	Acenaphthylene	Acenanhthene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)	Benzo(a)	Benzo(b)	Benzo(k)	Benzo(g,h,i)	Chrysone	Dibenzo(a,h)	Eluoranthene	Eluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)	Nanhthalene	Phonanthrono	Dyrono	1-Methyl	2-Methyl
Location	Date	r Cr	Acenaphichylene	Acenapittiene	Antinacene	anthracene	pyrene	fluoranthene	fluoranthene	perylene	Chrysene	anthracene	Thuorantinene	Tuorene	pyrene	Napittialelle	Filenantinene	Fyrene	naphthalene	naphthalene
Site Assessm	ient																			
EES-1(W)	04/16/2014	0.86 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.14 J <sup>2</sup>	0.42 2	0.20 <sup>2</sup>	0.086 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.13 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.13 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.13 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.086 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.086 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.086 U <sup>1,2</sup>	0.21 2	0.43 <sup>2</sup>	0.086 U <sup>1,2</sup>	5.9 <sup>2</sup>	1.0 <sup>2</sup>	0.17 <sup>2</sup>	0.42	0.54
EES-2(W)	04/16/2014	0.79 U <sup>1</sup>	0.50	48	4.8	0.23	0.15 J	0.17 J	$0.12 U^{1}$	0.087 J	0.22	0.079 U <sup>1</sup>	4.2	33	$0.079 U^{1}$	18	34	2.7	38	47
EES-7(W)	04/18/2014	-	$0.27 \ \text{U}^1$	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	$0.27 U^{1}$	0.40 U <sup>1</sup>	0.40 U <sup>1</sup>	0.40 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.53 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.27 U <sup>1</sup>	0.53 U <sup>1</sup>	$0.53 \text{ U}^1$
EES-10(W)	04/17/2014	-	$0.089 \ \text{U}^1$	$0.089 U^1$	0.089 U <sup>1</sup>	$0.089 U^1$	0.13 U <sup>1</sup>	0.13 U <sup>1</sup>	$0.13 U^{1}$	0.089 U <sup>1</sup>	$0.089 U^1$	0.089 U <sup>1</sup>	0.089 U <sup>1</sup>	$0.089 U^1$	$0.089 U^{1}$	$0.18 U^{1}$	$0.089 \text{ U}^1$	$0.089 \text{ U}^1$	$0.18 U^{1}$	$0.18 U^{1}$
Quarterly M	onitoring																			
MW-1	11/10/2014	_	0.040.11	0 040 11	0 040 11	0 040 11	0.020 U <sup>1</sup>	0.040.11	0 040 11	0 040 11	0 040 11	0.020 111	0 040 11	0 040 11	0 040 11	0 079 11	0 040 11	0 040 11	0.079.11	0 079 11
	02/11/2015	-	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.020 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.040 U	0.039 U	0.078 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.078 U	0.078 U
	05/06/2015	-	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.083 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.083 U	0.083 U
	08/26/2015	-	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	0.095 U <sup>4</sup>	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 U^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.19 U^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.095 \text{ U}^4$	$0.19 U^4$	$0.19 U^4$
MW-3	11/10/2014	_	0.041.11	0 041 11	0 041 11	0 041 11	0 020 U <sup>1</sup>	0 041 11	0 041 11	0 041 11	0 041 11	0.020 111	0 041 11	0 041 11	0 041 11	0 082 11	0.041.11	0 041 11	0 082 11	0 082 11
	02/11/2015	-	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.078 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.078 U	0.078 U
	05/06/2015	-	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.083 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.083 U	0.083 U
	08/26/2015	-	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.080 U	0.040 U	0.040 U	0.080 U	0.080 U
MW-4	11/10/2014	-	0.10	1.4	0.42	0.31	0.29	0.44 <sup>3</sup>	-	0.13	0.33	0.020 J	0.81	1.3	0.15	3.6	2.0	0.79	2.1	1.8
	02/11/2015	-	0.14	1.0	0.61	0.81	0.79	1.3 <sup>3</sup>	-	0.40	0.94	0.12	2.0	0.94	0.45	1.7	2.7	2.0	0.96 J <sup>1</sup>	0.72
	05/06/2015	-	0.043 U	0.84	0.21	0.13	0.11	0.18 <sup>3</sup>	-	0.058	0.14	0.043 U	0.38	0.60	0.068	0.47	1.1	0.35	0.39	0.24
	08/26/2015	-	0.041 U	0.32	0.062	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.067	0.21	0.041 U	0.23	0.35	0.054	0.19	0.14
MW-5	11/10/2014	_	0 039 11	0.046	0 039 11	0 039 11	0 020 II <sup>1</sup>	0 039 11	0.039.11	0 039 11	0 039 11	0 020 II <sup>1</sup>	0 039 11	0.045	0 039 11	0 078 U	0.12	0 039 11	0 078 U	0 078 11
	02/11/2015	_	0.040 U	0.21	0.077	0.13	0.12	0.19 <sup>3</sup>	-	0.066	0 14	0.040 11	0.27	0.15	0.076	0.079.11	0.20	0.28	$0.21 I^{1}$	0.079.11
	05/06/2015	_	0.043 11	0.043 11	0.077	0.043 11	0.043 11	0.086 113	_	0.000	0.14	0.040 0	0.050	0.13	0.043 11	0.086 U	0.043 11	0.20	0.086 11	0.086.11
	08/26/2015	-	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.089 U	0.069	0.044 U	0.089 U	0.089 U
			0.020.11		0.000.11			0.000.00						0.004		0.000 0	0.000	0.000.11	0.000 0	0.000 0
IVIW-6	11/10/2014	-	0.039 0	0.039 0	0.039 0	0.039 0	0.019 0	0.039 0	0.039 0	0.039 0	0.039 0	0.019 0	0.039 0	0.084	0.039 0	0.078 0	0.039 0	0.039 0	0.078 0	0.078 0
	02/11/2015	-	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.039 U	0.071	0.039 U	0.077 U	0.039 U	0.040	0.077 U	0.077 U
	05/06/2015	-	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.084 U	0.041 U	0.041 U	0.084 U	0.084 U
	08/26/2015	-	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.088 U	0.044 U	0.044 U	0.088 U	0.088 U
Preliminary	Screening																			
Method A Cl	eanup Levels <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.1 <sup>c</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	160	NA	NA	NA	NA
Method B Cl	eanup Levels <sup>b</sup>	0.22	NA	NA	NA	0.12	0.012	0.12	1.2	NA	12	0.012	NA	NA	0.12	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Notes:

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and Pentachlorophenol analyzed by EPA Method 8270 SIM

<sup>a</sup> Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE), Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Groundwater Cleanup levels, Table 720-1 (August 2015)

<sup>b</sup> WDOE, MTCA Method B, Carcinogen, Standard Formula Value, Groundwater values from CLARC database

<sup>c</sup> Cleanup level shown is for total B(a)P toxic equivalent concentration of all carcinogenic Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

<sup>1</sup> Result was reported down to the laboratory method detection limit (MDL)

<sup>2</sup> Sample was extracted past the recommended holding time.

<sup>3</sup> Peak separation for Benzo(b) and Benzo(k)fluoranthenes does not meet method specified criteria. Reported result includes the combined area of the two isomers and should be considered the total of Benzo(b+k)fluoranthenes.

<sup>4</sup> Analysis was performed on NWTPH-Dx Silica gel/acid cleaned extract. Results are estimated values.

ug/L = Micrograms per liter

PCP = Pentachlorophenol

U = Undetected at method reporting limit shown

NA = Not Available

- = not analyzed

J = Estimated concentration. The detection was below the method reporting limit, but above the method detection limit.

 $J^1$  = Data validation qualifier. Estimated concentration. See corresponding data validation report for further information.

Bolded values exceed default screening based on MTCA Method A/B Cleanup Levels for Groundwater.

Italicized values indicate laboratory method reporting and/or detection limit exceeds default screening based on MTCA Method A/B.

### **FIGURES**









# Attachment A

# Apex Labs

12232 S.W. Garden Place Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 Phone 503-718-0333 Fax

Wednesday, September 9, 2015

Paul Ecker EES Environmental Inc 240 N Broadway Ste 203 Portland, OR 97227

RE: RJ Frank / 2001-01

Enclosed are the results of analyses for work order <u>A5H0721</u>, which was received by the laboratory on 8/27/2015 at 1:00:00PM.

Thank you for using Apex Labs. We appreciate your business and strive to provide the highest quality services to the environmental industry.

If you have any questions concerning this report or the services we offer, please feel free to contact me by email at: <u>pnerenberg@apex-labs.com</u>, or by phone at 503-718-2323.

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

## Apex Labs

12232 S.W. Garden Place Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 Phone 503-718-0333 Fax

<b>EES Environmental Inc</b> 240 N Broadway Ste 203 Portland, OR 97227	Proje Projec	Project: <b>RJ Frank</b> ct Number: 2001-01 t Manager: Paul Ecker		<b>Reported:</b> 09/09/15 09:54
	ANALYTIC	AL REPORT FOR	SAMPLES	
	SA	MPLE INFORMATI	ON	
Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
MW-1	A5H0721-01	Water	08/26/15 11:20	08/27/15 13:00
MW-3	A5H0721-02	Water	08/26/15 13:25	08/27/15 13:00
MW-4	A5H0721-03	Water	08/26/15 14:30	08/27/15 13:00
MW-5	A5H0721-04	Water	08/26/15 15:30	08/27/15 13:00
MW-6	A5H0721-05	Water	08/26/15 12:25	08/27/15 13:00

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

FFS Environmental Inc			Proi	ect. <b>RIFrank</b>					
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Ste 203 Project Number: 2001_01								
Portland OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker							15 09·54	
			Troject Mana	ger. Taul Leker			07/07/	15 07.54	
		AN	ALYTICAL	SAMPLE RES	SULTS				
		Diesel ar	nd/or Oil Hyd	drocarbons by	NWTPH-D>	(			
			Reporting						
Analyte	Result	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Date Analyzed	Method	Notes	
MW-1 (A5H0721-01RE1)			Matrix: Wa	ter Bat	ch: 5080772				
Diesel	0.575		0.190	mg/L	1	08/29/15 18:17	NWTPH-Dx	F	
Oil	ND		0.381	"	"	"	"		
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 88 %	Limits: 50-150 %	"	"	"		
MW-3 (A5H0721-02RE1)			Matrix: Wa	ter Bat	ch: 5080772				
Diesel	ND		0.194	mg/L	1	08/29/15 18:42	NWTPH-Dx		
Oil	ND		0.388	"	"	"	"		
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 86 %	Limits: 50-150 %	"	"	"		
MW-4 (A5H0721-03RE1)			Matrix: Wa	ter Bat	ch: 5080772				
Diesel	ND		0.194	mg/L	1	08/29/15 17:26	NWTPH-Dx		
Oil	ND		0.388	"	"	"	"		
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 94 %	Limits: 50-150 %	"	"	"		
MW-5 (A5H0721-04RE1)			Matrix: Wa	ter Bat	ch: 5080772				
Diesel	ND		0.194	mg/L	1	08/29/15 17:51	NWTPH-Dx		
Oil	ND		0.388	"	"	"	"		
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 95 %	Limits: 50-150 %	"	"	"		
MW-6 (A5H0721-05RE1)			Matrix: Wa	ter Bat	ch: 5080772				
Diesel	ND		0.196	mg/L	1	08/29/15 18:17	NWTPH-Dx		
Oil	ND		0.392	"	"	"	"		
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 98 %	Limits: 50-150 %	"	"	"		

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project: RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number: 2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

	Diesel and/or Oil Hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Dx with Acid/Silica Gel Cleanup										
			Reporting								
Analyte	Result	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Date Analyzed	Method	Notes			
MW-1 (A5H0721-01)			Matrix: Wa	ter Ba	atch: 5090064						
Diesel	ND		0.238	mg/L	1	09/02/15 12:12	NWTPH-Dx/SG				
Oil	ND		0.476		"	"	"				
Surrogate: o-Terphenyl (Surr)			Recovery: 88 %	Limits: 50-150 %	ó "	"	"				

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project: RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number: 2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

	Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA 8270D SIM												
Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Date Analyzed	Method	Notes					
WW-1 (A5H0721-01)			Matrix: Water	Ва	tch: 5080772			A-01, Q-22					
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0952	ug/L	1	09/03/15 15:39	EPA 8270D (SIM)						
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Anthracene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Benz(a)anthracene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Chrysene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Dibenzofuran	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Fluorene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.190	"	"	"	"						
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.190	"	"	"	"						
Naphthalene	ND		0.190	"		"	"						
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						
Pyrene	ND		0.0952	"	"	"	"						

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project: RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number: 2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA 8270D SIM										
			Reporting							
Analyte	Result	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Date Analyzed	Method	Notes		
MW-3 (A5H0721-02)			Matrix: Wate	r Batc	h: 5090076					
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0400	ug/L	1	09/03/15 13:23	EPA 8270D (SIM)			
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0400	"	"		"			
Anthracene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Benz(a)anthracene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Chrysene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Dibenzofuran	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Fluorene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0800	"	"	"	"			
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0800	"	"	"	"			
Naphthalene	ND		0.0800	"	"	"	"			
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0400	"	"		"			
Pyrene	ND		0.0400	"	"	"	"			
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 59 %	Limits: 44-120 %	"	"	"			
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)			86 %	Limits: 50-133 %	"	"	"			

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project: RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number: 2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

	Pol	yaromatio	c Hydrocarbol	ns (PAHs) by I	EPA 8270D	SIM		
			Reporting					
Analyte	Result	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Date Analyzed	Method	Notes
MW-4 (A5H0721-03)			Matrix: Wate	r Bato	ch: 5090076			
Acenaphthene	0.320		0.0408	ug/L	1	09/03/15 13:51	EPA 8270D (SIM)	
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0408		"	"	"	
Anthracene	0.0620		0.0408		"	"	"	
Benz(a)anthracene	ND		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		0.0408	"		"	"	
Chrysene	ND		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Dibenzofuran	0.108		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Fluoranthene	0.0669		0.0408		"	"	"	
Fluorene	0.209		0.0408	"	"	"	"	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		0.0408	"		"	"	
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.185		0.0816	"	"	"	"	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.144		0.0816	"	"	"	"	
Naphthalene	0.234		0.0816	"	"	"	"	
Phenanthrene	0.351		0.0408	"		"	"	
Pyrene	0.0539		0.0408		"	"	"	
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenvl (Surr)		R	Pecovery: 70 %	Limits: 44-120 %	"	"	"	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)			81%	Limits: 50-133 %	"	"	"	

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project: RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number: 2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA 8270D SIM											
			Reporting								
Analyte	Result	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Date Analyzed	Method	Notes			
MW-5 (A5H0721-04)			Matrix: Wate	r Bato	ch: 5090076						
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0444	ug/L	1	09/03/15 14:18	EPA 8270D (SIM)				
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Anthracene	ND		0.0444	"	"		"				
Benz(a)anthracene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Chrysene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Dibenzofuran	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Fluorene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0889	"	"	"	"				
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0889	"	"	"	"				
Naphthalene	ND		0.0889	"	"	"	"				
Phenanthrene	0.0688		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Pyrene	ND		0.0444	"	"	"	"				
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 63 %	Limits: 44-120 %	"	"	"				
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)			85 %	Limits: 50-133 %	"	"	"				

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project: RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number: 2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

	Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA 8270D SIM											
			Reporting									
Analyte	Result	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Date Analyzed	Method	Notes				
MW-6 (A5H0721-05)			Matrix: Wate	r Bato	:h: 5090076							
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0440	ug/L	1	09/03/15 14:45	EPA 8270D (SIM)					
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Anthracene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Benz(a)anthracene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Chrysene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Dibenzofuran	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Fluorene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0879	"	"	"	"					
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0879	"	"	"	"					
Naphthalene	ND		0.0879	"	"	"	"					
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0440	"		"	"					
Pyrene	ND		0.0440	"	"	"	"					
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)		Re	ecovery: 75 %	Limits: 44-120 %	"	"	"					
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)			85 %	Limits: 50-133 %	"	"	"					

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

Apex Labs							12232 S.W. Garden Place Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 Phone 503-718-0333 Fax					ce
EES Environmental Inc		Project: RJ Frank										
240 N Broadway Ste 203			Pre	oject Num	ber: 2001-0	)1					Report	ed:
Portland, OR 97227			Pro	ject Mana	ger: Paul E	cker					09/09/15	09:54
		Q	UALITY CO	ONTRO	L (QC) S	AMPLE R	RESULTS					
			Diesel and/o	or Oil Hy	drocarbo	ons by NW <sup>-</sup>	TPH-Dx					
Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dil.	Spike Amount	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 5080772 - EPA 351	0C (Fuels/A	cid Ext.	.)				Wat	er				
Blank (5080772-BLK1)				Р	repared: 08	/28/15 10:53	Analyzed:	08/28/15 2	20:51			
NWTPH-Dx												
Diesel	ND		0.182	mg/L	1							
Oil	ND		0.364	"	"							
Surr: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 90 %	Limits:	50-150 %	Dil	ution: 1x					
LCS (5080772-BS1)				Р	repared: 08	/28/15 10:53	Analyzed:	08/28/15 2	21:16			
NWTPH-Dx												
Diesel	1.11		0.200	mg/L	1	1.25		89	58-115%			
Surr: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 92 %	Limits:	50-150 %	Dil	ution: 1x					
LCS Dup (5080772-BSD1)				Р	repared: 08	/28/15 10:53	Analyzed:	08/28/15 2	21:42			Q-19
NWTPH-Dx												
Diesel	1.13		0.200	mg/L	1	1.25		90	58-115%	1	20%	

Surr: o-Terphenyl (Surr) Recovery: 89 % Limits: 50-150 % Dilution: 1x

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

12232 S.W. Garden Place

<b>EES Environmental Inc</b> 240 N Broadway Ste 203 Portland, OR 97227			Pr Pro	Projec oject Numbo oject Manago	et: <b>RJ Fra</b> er: 2001-0 er: Paul Ec	n <b>k</b> 1 Eker					<b>Report</b> 09/09/15 (	ed: 09:54
		Q	UALITY C	ONTROI	. (QC) S.	AMPLE R	ESULTS	5				
Diesel and/or Oil Hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Dx with Acid/Silica Gel Cleanup												
Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dil.	Spike Amount	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 5090064 - EPA 3510C (Fuels/Acid Ext.) w/Silica Gel + Acid Water												
Blank (5090064-BLK1)				Pre	epared: 08/	28/15 10:53	Analyzed:	09/02/15 12	2:33			
NWTPH-Dx/SG												
Diesel	ND		0.227	mg/L	1							
Oil	ND		0.455	"	"							
Surr: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		Re	ecovery: 91 %	Limits: 50	)-150 %	Dilu	tion: 1x					
LCS (5090064-BS1)				Pre	epared: 08/	28/15 10:53	Analyzed:	09/02/15 12	2:53			
NWTPH-Dx/SG												
Diesel	1.08		0.250	mg/L	1	1.25		87	58-115%			
Surr: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		Re	ecovery: 92 %	Limits: 50	)-150 %	Dilu	tion: 1x					
LCS Dup (5090064-BSD1)				Pre	epared: 08/	28/15 10:53	Analyzed:	09/02/15 13	3:14			Q-19
NWTPH-Dx/SG												
Diesel	1.13		0.250	mg/L	1	1.25		90	58-115%	4	20%	
Surr: o-Terphenyl (Surr)		Re	ecovery: 91 %	Limits: 50	0-150 %	Dilu	tion: 1x					

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project:	RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number:	2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager:	Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

### **QUALITY CONTROL (QC) SAMPLE RESULTS**

Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA 8270D SIM												
Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dil.	Spike Amount	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 5080772 - EPA 3510	0C (Fuels/A	cid Ext.	)				Wat	ter				
Blank (5080772-BLK2)				Pr	epared: 08/	28/15 10:53	Analyzed:	09/03/15 15	:12			A-01, Q-22
EPA 8270D (SIM)												
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0909	ug/L	1							
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Anthracene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Benz(a)anthracene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Chrysene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Dibenzofuran	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Fluorene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.182	"	"							
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.182	"	"							
Naphthalene	ND		0.182	"	"							
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0909	"	"							
Pyrene	ND		0.0909	"	"							

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

EES Environmental Inc	Project: RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number: 2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager: Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

### **QUALITY CONTROL (QC) SAMPLE RESULTS**

		Poly	/aromatic Hy	drocarbo	ons (PAH	ls) by EPA	8270D SI	М				
Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dil.	Spike Amount	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 5090076 - EPA 3510	0C (Acid Ex	traction	ı <b>)</b>				Wat	er				
Blank (5090076-BLK1)				Pre	pared: 09/0	02/15 14:20	Analyzed: (	09/03/15 11	:59			
EPA 8270D (SIM)												
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0364	ug/L	1							
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Anthracene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Benz(a)anthracene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Chrysene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Dibenzofuran	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Fluorene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0727	"	"							
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0727	"	"							
Naphthalene	ND		0.0727	"	"							
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Pyrene	ND		0.0364	"	"							
Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)		Re	ecovery: 49 %	Limits: 44	-120 %	Dilu	tion: 1x					
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)			90 %	50-	-133 %		"					
LCS (5090076-BS1)				Pre	pared: 09/0	02/15 14:20	Analyzed: (	)9/03/15 12	2:27			
EPA 8270D (SIM)												
Acenaphthene	6.54		0.0400	ug/L	1	8.00		82	47-122%			
Acenaphthylene	6.45		0.0400	"	"	"		81	41-130%			
Anthracene	7.95		0.0400	"	"	"		99	57-123%			
Benz(a)anthracene	7.40		0.0400	"	"	"		93	58-125%			
Benzo(a)pyrene	8.39		0.0400	"	"	"		105	54-128%			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	7.77		0.0400	"	"	"		97	53-131%			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	7.89		0.0400	"	"			99	57-129%			
Benzo(g.h.i)pervlene	7.05		0.0400	"	"	"		88	50-134%			
Chrysene	7 62		0.0400	"	"	"		95	59-123%			
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	7.52		0.0400	"	"			97	51-134%			
=	1.11		0.0400					<i>,</i> ,	154/0			

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

EES Environmental Inc	Project:	RJ Frank	
240 N Broadway Ste 203	Project Number:	2001-01	Reported:
Portland, OR 97227	Project Manager:	Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54

### **QUALITY CONTROL (QC) SAMPLE RESULTS**

		Poly	yaromatic Hy	drocarbo	ons (PAH	ls) by EPA	8270D SI	М				
Analyte	Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dil.	Spike Amount	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 5090076 - EPA 3510	0C (Acid Ex	tractior	ı)				Wat	er				
LCS (5090076-BS1)				Pro	epared: 09/	02/15 14:20	Analyzed:	09/03/15 12	2:27			
Dibenzofuran	7.03		0.0400	ug/L	"	"		88	53-120%			
Fluoranthene	7.59		0.0400	"	"	"		95	57-128%			
Fluorene	7.05		0.0400	"	"	"		88	52-124%			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	7.14		0.0400	"	"	"		89	52-133%			
1-Methylnaphthalene	5.47		0.0800	"	"	"		68	41-120%			
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.93		0.0800	"	"	"		74	40-121%			
Naphthalene	5.52		0.0800	"	"	"		69	"			
Phenanthrene	7.49		0.0400	"	"	"		94	59-120%			
Pvrene	7.56		0.0400	"	"	"		95	57-126%			
Surr: 2-Fluorobinhenvl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 65 %	Limits: 44	4-120%	Dilı	ution: 1x					
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)			91%	50	)-133 %		"					
$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}$												
LCS Dup (5090076-BSD1)				Pre	epared: 09/	02/15 14:20	Analyzed:	09/03/15 12	2:56			Q-19
EPA 8270D (SIM)												
Acenaphthene	5.60		0.0400	ug/L	1	8.00		70	47-122%	16	30%	
Acenaphthylene	5.52		0.0400	"	"	"		69	41-130%	15	30%	
Anthracene	7.60		0.0400	"	"	"		95	57-123%	4	30%	
Benz(a)anthracene	7.26		0.0400	"	"	"		91	58-125%	2	30%	
Benzo(a)pyrene	8.25		0.0400	"	"	"		103	54-128%	2	30%	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	7.32		0.0400	"	"	"		92	53-131%	6	30%	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	7.64		0.0400	"	"	"		96	57-129%	3	30%	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	6.97		0.0400	"	"	"		87	50-134%	1	30%	
Chrysene	7.24		0.0400	"	"	"		90	59-123%	5	30%	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	7.60		0.0400	"	"	"		95	51-134%	2	30%	
Dibenzofuran	6.11		0.0400	"	"	"		76	53-120%	14	30%	
Fluoranthene	7.62		0.0400	"	"	"		95	57-128%	0.4	30%	
Fluorene	6.25		0.0400	"	"	"		78	52-124%	12	30%	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	7.02		0.0400	"	"	"		88	52-133%	2	30%	
1-Methylnaphthalene	4.62		0.0800	"	"	"		58	41-120%	17	30%	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.01		0.0800	"	"	"		63	40-121%	17	30%	
Naphthalene	4.59		0.0800	"	"	"		57	"	18	30%	
Phenanthrene	7.07		0.0400	"	"	"		88	59-120%	6	30%	
Pyrene	7.59		0.0400		"	"		95	57-126%	0.3	30%	
Surr: 2-Fluorobinhenvl (Surr)		R	ecovery: 52 %	Limits: 44	4-120%	Dilı	ution lx				/ *	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)		A	89 %	50	)-133 %	Diii	"					
r · r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				20								

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

12232 S.W. Garden Place Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 Phone 503-718-0333 Fax

EES Environmental IncProject:RJ Frank240 N Broadway Ste 203Project Number:2001-01Portland, OR 97227Project Manager:Paul EckerSAMPLE PREPARATION INFORMATION							<b>Reported:</b> 09/09/15 09:54		
	Diesel and/or Oil Hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Dx								
Prep: EPA 3510C (Fuels/Acid Ext.) Sample Default RL Prep									
Lab Number	Matrix	Method	Sampled	Prepared	Initial/Final	Initial/Final	Factor		
Batch: 5080772									
A5H0721-01RE1	Water	NWTPH-Dx	08/26/15 11:20	08/28/15 10:53	1050mL/5mL	1000mL/5mL	0.95		
A5H0721-02RE1	Water	NWTPH-Dx	08/26/15 13:25	08/28/15 10:53	1030mL/5mL	1000mL/5mL	0.97		
A5H0721-03RE1	Water	NWTPH-Dx	08/26/15 14:30	08/28/15 10:53	1030mL/5mL	1000mL/5mL	0.97		
A5H0721-04RE1	Water	NWTPH-Dx	08/26/15 15:30	08/28/15 10:53	1030mL/5mL	1000mL/5mL	0.97		
A5H0721-05RE1	Water	NWTPH-Dx	08/26/15 12:25	08/28/15 10:53	1020mL/5mL	1000mL/5mL	0.98		
Diesel and/or Oil Hydrocarbons by NWTPH-Dx with Acid/Silica Gel Cleanup									
Prep: EPA 3510C (Fuels/Acid Ext.) w/Silica Gel + Acid Sample Default									
Lab Number	Matrix	Method	Sampled	Prepared	Initial/Final	Initial/Final	Factor		
Batch: 5090064									
A5H0721-01	Water	NWTPH-Dx/SG	08/26/15 11:20	08/28/15 10:53	1050mL/5mL	1000mL/5mL	0.95		
		Polyarom	natic Hydrocarbons	; (PAHs) by EPA 8270D	SIM				
Prep: EPA 3510C (/	Acid Extra	ction)			Sample	Default	RL Prep		
Lab Number	Matrix	Method	Sampled	Prepared	Initial/Final	Initial/Final	Factor		
Batch: 5090076									
A5H0721-02	Water	EPA 8270D (SIM)	08/26/15 13:25	09/02/15 14:20	1000mL/2mL	1000mL/2mL	1.00		
A5H0721-03	Water	EPA 8270D (SIM)	08/26/15 14:30	09/02/15 14:20	980mL/2mL	1000mL/2mL	1.02		
A5H0721-04	Water	EPA 8270D (SIM)	08/26/15 15:30	09/02/15 14:20	900mL/2mL	1000mL/2mL	1.11		
A5H0721-05	Water	EPA 8270D (SIM)	08/26/15 12:25	09/02/15 14:20	910mL/2mL	1000mL/2mL	1.10		
<u>Prep: EPA 3510C (I</u>	Fuels/Acid	<u>Ext.)</u>			Sample	Default	RL Prep		
Lab Number	Matrix	Method	Sampled	Prepared	Initial/Final	Initial/Final	Factor		
Batch: 5080772									
A5H0721-01	Water	EPA 8270D (SIM)	08/26/15 11:20	08/28/15 10:53	1050mL/5mL	1000mL/2mL	2.38		

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

# Apex Labs

12232 S.W. Garden Place Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 Phone 503-718-0333 Fax

EES Env	ironmental Inc	Project:	RJ Frank							
240 N Br	oadway Ste 203	Project Number:	2001-01	Reported:						
Portland,	OR 97227	Paul Ecker	09/09/15 09:54							
		Notes and Do	efinitions							
Qualifia										
Quanne	<u>15.</u>									
A-01	Analysis performed on NWTPH-Dx Silica gel/acid c clean up procedure.	leaned extract. Labo	ratory has demonstrated no affect on PAH recoveries by extrac	t						
F-11	The hydrocarbon pattern indicates possible weathere	d diesel, or a contrib	ution from a related component.							
Q-19	Blank Spike Duplicate (BSD) sample analyzed in pla analysis.	ace of Matrix Spike/I	Duplicate samples due to limited sample amount available for							
Q-22	22 Due to limited sample volume or hold time restraints, the NWTPH-Dx extract was used for the 8270 SIM PAH analysis. Therefore no PAH Surrogates and/or Batch QC results are available. Results are Estimated Values.									
Notes ar	d Conventions:									
DET	Analyte DETECTED									
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting	limit								
NR	Not Reported									
dry	Sample results reported on a dry weight basis. Result	Its listed as 'wet' or w	ithout 'dry'designation are not dry weight corrected.							
RPD	Relative Percent Difference									
MDL	If MDL is not listed, data has been evaluated to the M	Aethod Reporting Lin	mit only.							
WMSC	Water Miscible Solvent Correction has been applied	to Results and MRL:	s for volatiles soil samples per EPA 8000C.							
Batch QC	In cases where there is insufficient sample provided Dup) is analyzed to demonstrate accuracy and precis	for Sample Duplicate ion of the extraction	es and/or Matrix Spikes, a Lab Control Sample Duplicate (LCS and analysis.	3						
Blank Policy	Apex assesses blank data for potential high bias dow chemistry and HCID analyses which are assessed on biased high if they are less than ten times the level for blank for organic analyses.	n to a level equal to ly to the MRL. Samp pund in the blank for	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> the method reporting limit (MRL), except for conventional ole results flagged with a B or B-02 qualifier are potentially inorganic analyses or less than five times the level found in the	9						
	For accurate comparison of volatile results to the lev and soil sample results should be divided by 1/50 of	el found in the blank the sample dilution t	; water sample results should be divided by the dilution factor, o account for the sample prep factor.							
	Results qualified as reported below the MRL may in qualifications are not applied to J qualified results re	clude a potential high ported below the MR	n bias if associated with a B or B-02 qualified blank. B and B-0 RL.	)2						
	QC results are not applicable. For example, % Recov Spikes, etc.	veries for Blanks and	Duplicates, % RPD for Blanks, Blank Spikes and Matrix							
***	Used to indicate a possible discrepancy with the Sam either the Sample or the Sample Duplicate has a repo	pple and Sample Dup ortable result for this	licate results when the %RPD is not available. In this case, analyte, while the other is Non Detect (ND).							

Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director



12232 S.W. Garden Place Tigard, OR 97223 503-718-2323 Phone 503-718-0333 Fax



Apex Laboratories

Philip Nevenberg

Philip Nerenberg, Lab Director

## Attachment B



