Remedial Action Report

Riverbend - 4304 State Route 530 Arlington, Washington

> January 19, 2016 Project No. 81147145

Prepared for: A&M Farms LLC Seattle, Washington

Prepared by: Terracon Consultants, Inc. Mountlake Terrace, Washington





January 19, 2016

A&M Farms, LLC c/o Cascadia Law Group 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 320 Seattle, Washington 98101 Attn: Mr. Rodney Brown, Jr.

Re: Remedial Action Report Riverbend 4304 State Route 530 Arlington, Snohomish County, Washington Terracon Project No. 81147145 Facility ID: 4864 Cleanup Site No: 11772 VCP Project No. NW2999

Dear Mr. Brown:

Terracon is pleased to submit this Remedial Action Report for the above referenced site. The services described herein were performed in accordance with our proposal P81140311, dated November 25, 2014, and in accordance with Chapter 173-340 Washington Administrative Code (WAC), the Model Toxics Control Act.

We appreciate the opportunity to perform these services for A&M Farms, LLC. Please contact one of the undersigned at (425) 771-3304 if you have questions regarding the information provided in the report.

Sincerely,

Terracon Consultants, Inc.



midule >:

Michael D. Noll, L.G, L.H.G. Senior Project Manager

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 21905 64th Avenue West, Suite 100 Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043 P [425] 771-3304 F [425] 771-3549 terracon.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Site Description	1
1.2	Site History and Previous Investigations	1
1.3	Geology and Hydrogeologic Conditions	5
1.4	Scope of Work	6
1.5	Standard of Care	6
1.6	Reliance	6
2.0	METHODOLOGY	7
2.1	Contaminants of Concern	7
2.2	MTCA Cleanup Standards	7
2.3	Potential Exposure Pathways	7
2.	.3.1 Soil-to-Groundwater Pathway	7
2.	.3.2 Direct-Contact Pathway	В
2.	.3.3 Vapor Intrusion Pathway	8
3.0	GRID SOIL SAMPLING	B
3.1	Sampling Location Rationale	8
3.2	Soil Sampling	В
3.3	Soil Analytical Results	9
4.0	REMEDIAL EXCAVATION	9
4.1	Remedial Excavation	9
4.2	Analytical Results10	C
4.3	Quality Assurance/Quality Control Results1	1
5.0	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS12	2

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Exhibits

Exhibit 1 – Topographic Map Exhibit 2 – Site Diagram Exhibit 3 – Site and Exploration Plan

Exhibit 4 – Remedial Excavation Detail

Appendix B - Tables

Table 1 – Summarized Soil Analytical ResultsTable 2 – Remedial Excavation Soil Results

Appendix C – Analytical Reports

Appendix D – Supplemental Documentation

Remedial Action Report Riverbend – 4304 State Route 530 Arlington, Washington Terracon Project No. 81147145 January 19, 2016

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Terracon Consultants, Inc. (Terracon) was retained by A&M Farms, LLC, to provide project management, soil sampling to confirm the potential presence or absence of petroleum contaminated soil (PCS) in shallow soils, and oversight during the removal of PCS inside a metal storage building. The Site and former boring locations from Terracon's previous investigations are outlined on Exhibit 2, provided in Appendix A.

The PCS removal work was performed as an independent remedial action to protect human health and the environment, in accordance with the requirements of the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) and its implementing regulations, Chapter 173-340 Washington Administrative Code (WAC). The remedial action work was performed in accordance with the *Guidance for Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Sites*, Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Publication No. 10-09-057 dated September 2011. The independent remedial action was the substantial equivalent of an Ecology-conducted or supervised remedial action, in accordance with WAC 173-340-545(2).

1.1 Site Description

The site is located at 4304 State Route 530 in Arlington, Snohomish County, Washington, and consists of Snohomish County Tax parcels 01052900000100, -0200, -0300, -0500 to -1500. The subject property consists of approximately 140 acres improved with a residential structure and outbuildings, a six-bay carport, an equestrian barn, and agricultural fields.

The Site is bound to the north by SR 530, beyond which lie residential structures and the Stillaguamish River. The Site is bound to the east, south and west by agricultural land and residential structures.

1.2 Site History and Previous Investigations

In April 2011, Terracon performed a Limited Site Investigation (LSI) (Project 81117022) for the site. As part of the scope of work for that project, Terracon reviewed the following environmental reports previously prepared for the site by others:



- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) dated March 29, 2004, and prepared by Environmental Associates, Inc (EAI).
- Tank Closure Assessment & Remedial Actions report dated June 9, 2004, and prepared by EAI.
- Phase I ESA dated November 9, 2010 and prepared by Krazan & Associates, Inc. (Krazan).

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (March 2004)

The ESA identified one 500-gallon gasoline underground storage tank (UST) and one 1,200gallon diesel UST as recognized environmental conditions (RECs). Both USTs were reportedly installed in 1975, and the remaining product in the USTs was removed in 2003. EAI recommended the USTs be removed and that soil samples be collected in the vicinity of the USTs in order to assess the potential for on-site impacts resulting from undocumented releases from the USTs.

Tank Closure Assessment & Remedial Actions (June 2004)

The report documented the removal of two USTs identified in the March 2004 ESA. The two USTs were removed from the site by AAA Tank Service Company in April 2004. The report and Ecology records indicate that the diesel UST was a 1,500-gallon tank. Both USTs were single-walled steel tanks. Significant corrosion was reportedly observed on the exterior surfaces of the tanks; however, only the gasoline UST was observed to contain visible holes. Upon removal, impacted soil was reportedly identified in the gasoline UST cavity. Approximately 40 tons of impacted soil were reportedly removed from the gasoline UST cavity and stockpiled on-site for future off-site disposal. Soil impacts reportedly extended to approximately 9 feet below the ground surface (bgs). Groundwater was reportedly not observed during excavation activities.

Four soils samples were collected from the diesel UST cavity and analyzed for diesel- and oilrange total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). The sample results were below the laboratory reporting limits, with the exception of one sample collected from the east sidewall of the excavation, which contained diesel-range TPH at a concentration of 39 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), well below the MTCA Method A cleanup level for diesel-range TPH in soil established at 2,000 mg/kg.

Five soil samples were collected from the gasoline UST cavity after remedial excavation activities and analyzed for gasoline-range TPH, and for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX). Gasoline-range TPH and benzene were not identified in the soil samples above laboratory reporting limits. Toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were identified in one soil sample at concentrations of 0.05 mg/kg, 0.05 mg/kg, and 0.15 mg/kg, respectively. Xylenes were identified in a second soil sample at a concentration of 0.08 mg/kg. The identified concentrations of toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were below the MTCA Method A cleanup



levels for soil, established at 7 mg/kg, 6 mg/kg, and 9 mg/kg, respectively. One soil sample collected from the gasoline UST cavity was also analyzed for lead. The soil sample contained lead at a concentration of 6.1 mg/kg, which is well below the MTCA Method A cleanup level for lead in soil, established at 250 mg/kg.

A composite soil sample collected from a stockpile of shallow soil removed from above the USTs was analyzed for diesel-, oil-, and gasoline-range TPH, BTEX, and lead. The analytes were not identified above laboratory reporting limits. This stockpiled soil was used as backfill material for the UST cavities.

Additional stock-piled soil which was impacted with gasoline-range TPH and benzene at concentrations above the 2004 MTCA Method A cleanup levels was reportedly left on-site. EAI indicated in their report that the petroleum-impacted soil would be staged on-site for planned future transport to an off-site landfill or thermal desorption treatment facility. No further documentation pertaining to the off-site disposition of petroleum-impacted soil stockpile was provided to Terracon for review. During Terracon's initial site visit for the April 2011 LSI, Terracon interviewed the site owner (Mr. Randy Faber) regarding documentation that may exist for the off-site disposition of the petroleum-impacted soil stockpile. Mr. Faber informed Terracon that AAA Tank Services had removed the stockpiled soil for off-site disposal, but was unaware of where the soil was disposed and could not provide soil disposal documentation for Terracon to review.

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (November 2010)

The Phase I ESA identified the following RECs:

- An accident involving a truck towing a tractor occurred on the stretch of Highway 530 near the site in July 1992. As a result of the accident, the tractor ended up on its side on-site near the driveway to the residence on Lot 1. Approximately 100 gallons of diesel fuel was released from the tractor to the site soils. Approximately 20 cubic yards of impacted soil were reportedly removed from the site; however, Krazan was unable to locate documentation for the disposition of the impacted soil and confirmation soil sampling results in the Snohomish County Health District file (Terracon followed up with SCHD in 2012. Records older than six years were purged and no records were on file for the 1992 spill);
- Soil with petroleum staining was observed in a metal storage building on Lot 3 in the vicinity of some farm machinery. The staining was reportedly related to on-site storage and maintenance of the farm machinery within the building;
- A manure lagoon was previously located on an adjoining property near the east end of Riverbend Lot 4. Krazan indicated that groundwater in the vicinity of the former manure lagoon might contain elevated concentrations of nitrates.



Krazan recommended that soil testing be completed in the vicinity of the on-site diesel spill and the areas of observed soil staining in order to assess the extent of soil impacts. Krazan also recommended that water from existing on-site water wells be tested to assess compliance with State and Federal drinking water standards for public consumption.

Limited Site Investigation (June 2011)

Terracon's April 2011 LSI work, documented in our June 2011 report, included advancing eight soil borings (B-1 through B-8) in the vicinity of the former USTs, the reported UST excavation soil stockpile location, the historical diesel spill location near State Route 530, and the area of the stained soils inside of the metal storage building. The results of the sampling indicated the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in shallow soil at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup levels in the vicinity of the stained soil area inside the metal storage building (labelled "Equipment Outbuilding" on Exhibit 2).

Soil samples collected from borings advanced in the areas of the former USTs, UST excavation soil stockpile location, and the July 1992 traffic accident spill along State Route 530 did not identify petroleum hydrocarbons above laboratory reporting limits. Two groundwater samples collected from temporary monitoring wells installed in the vicinity of the former USTs identified low levels of diesel-range TPH; however, the laboratory indicated that the chromatograms were not representative of a diesel petroleum product, and may be associated with non-petroleum organics in the samples.

Based on the results of our LSI, and since soil contamination associated with the former USTs had not previously been reported to Ecology, Terracon recommended in an Opinion Letter dated June 28, 2011 that the June 2004 EAI report and Terracon's June 2011 LSI report be submitted to Ecology in order to satisfy the regulatory reporting requirements listed in WAC 173-340-300.

In 2012, Hearthstone Inc. submitted environmental reports prepared by Terracon and others and enrolled the site into Ecology's Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). Following formal enrollment of the site in the VCP (Facility I.D. 4864, Cleanup Site I.D. 11772), Ecology issued an Opinion Letter, dated March 22, 2012, regarding the work performed at the site. In the letter, the Ecology VCP site manager (Mr. Dale Myers) indicated that Ecology had determined that further remedial action was necessary at the site.

The Ecology determination that further remedial action was required was based on the lack of documentation for the disposition of the petroleum-impacted soils associated with the removal and excavation of the USTs and the traffic accident diesel spill along State Route 530. Further, Ecology concluded that the contaminated soil identified inside the storage building would need to be remediated. As a result, the site was listed in the Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List (CSCSL) database.



In December 2014, Terracon was retained by A&M Farms LLC to assist in obtaining a No Further Action (NFA) determination for the site from Ecology. After Hearthstone, Inc. un-enrolled the site from the VCP, Terracon assisted in re-enrolling the site in the VCP on behalf of A&M Farms, LLC (VCP No. NW2999).

Based on Ecology's March 2012 Opinion Letter, which stated that further remedial action would be required in order to achieve an NFA for the site, and given that there is no record of disposal of the petroleum contaminated soils (PCS) generated from the UST excavation, Terracon facilitated discussions with the site owner and Ecology to determine how best to address the data gaps associated with the PCS from the UST excavation.

Terracon prepared a Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP), dated February 19, 2015, to evaluate for the potential presence of PCS which may have been stockpiled or left on the site, and submitted the SAP to Ecology for review and approval. The SAP focused on sampling two areas of the site: the approximate location of the PCS stockpile in the vicinity of the former USTs and a large stockpile of soil on the western boundary of the site which reportedly originated from dredging the former manure lagoon in 2004. A total of 26 samples were proposed to be collected from shallow soils between approximately ½ and one foot bgs. The results of the soil sampling are presented below in Section 3.0., Terracon received an Opinion Letter from Ecology, dated September 30, 2015, stating that the SAP was sufficient in scope to determine if any contamination remains on the site. A copy of Ecology's Opinion Letter is included in Appendix D.

Following completion of the soil sampling, Terracon coordinated with the client to complete remedial excavation of PCS in the vicinity of the stained soil area inside the Equipment Outbuilding. The results of the Remedial Excavation are presented in Section 4.0.

1.3 Geology and Hydrogeologic Conditions

Soils types observed during intrusive activities completed for Terracon's June 2011 LSI and during the soil sampling and remedial excavation activities described herein generally consisted of brown silt with sand underlain by brown to gray silty sand to sandy silt with varying amounts of gravel to depths of approximately 20 feet bgs. Soils were generally found to be in a moist condition. Based on the observations of the explorations completed onsite, groundwater was encountered at approximately 15 feet bgs during the 2011 LSI.



1.4 Scope of Work

Terracon provided oversight for the removal and proper disposal of the PCS known to exist on the site. Specifically, the work performed included:

- Collection of site assessment soil samples in two areas: the approximate location of the PCS stockpile in the vicinity of the former USTs and a large stockpile of soil on the western boundary of the site;
- Providing oversight and guidance for the removal and proper offsite disposal of the excavated PCS;
- Collection of confirmation soil samples from the limits of the PCS removal excavation to evaluate residual soil quality in the excavation bottom and sidewalls.
- Preparation of a Remedial Action Report.

1.5 Standard of Care

Terracon's services were performed in a manner consistent with generally accepted practices of the profession undertaken in similar studies in the same geographical area during the same time period. The remedial action performed was completed based on information obtained during previous investigations performed by others and Terracon, and was consistent with general industry standards and practices. Terracon does not warrant the work of laboratories, regulatory agencies or other third parties supplying information used in the preparation of the report. These services were performed in general accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client, as reflected in our proposal.

Findings, conclusions and recommendations resulting from these services are based upon information derived from the on-site activities and other services performed under this scope of work; such information was subject to change over time. Subsurface conditions may vary from those encountered at specific borings or wells or during other surveys, tests, assessments, investigations or exploratory services. The data, interpretations, findings, and our recommendations are based solely upon data obtained at the time and within the scope of these services.

1.6 Reliance

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use and reliance of A&M Farms, LLC, its subsidiaries, affiliates and their successors, assigns and grantees. Use or reliance by any other party is prohibited without the written authorization of A&M Farms, LLC and Terracon.

Reliance on this report by the client and all authorized parties will be subject to the terms, conditions and limitations stated in this report and Terracon's agreement for services. The



limitation of liability defined in the terms and conditions is the aggregate limit of Terracon's liability to the client and all relying parties unless otherwise agreed in writing.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Contaminants of Concern

Based on the results of our 2011 LSI, and given our understanding of the history of the site and on our discussions with Ecology, the contaminants of concern (COCs) identified at the site consist of gasoline- and diesel-range TPH in soil.

2.2 MTCA Cleanup Standards

MTCA cleanup levels are concentrations of hazardous substances that have been determined to be protective of human health and the environment under specific exposure conditions. Applicable cleanup levels under MTCA can be developed using either default Method A tabulated values or using Method B site-specific, risk-based formulations. Although both Method A and Method B cleanup levels allow for unrestricted land use (including residential use), Method A cleanup levels are the most conservative and protective of human health and the environment.

For the purposes of this independent cleanup action, MTCA Method A cleanup levels for soil were utilized. The MTCA Method A cleanup level for gasoline-range TPH in soil is 100 mg/kg when benzene is not present. The MTCA Method A cleanup level for diesel-range TPH in soil is 2,000 mg/kg.

2.3 Potential Exposure Pathways

In addition to the elements of MTCA cleanup standards, potential exposure pathways for the site and potential downgradient receptors for COCs detected at the site were evaluated as part of the selection process for a cleanup action alternative. A discussion of potential exposure pathways is included below.

2.3.1 Soil-to-Groundwater Pathway

Based upon information provided in previous investigations, groundwater was encountered at approximately 15 feet bgs. However, the intervals where contaminated soil had previously been identified did not extend below approximately 9 feet. No groundwater impacts were previously identified and all PCS identified at the site has been removed and addressed. As a result, there is no potential exposure through the soil-to-groundwater pathway.



2.3.2 Direct-Contact Pathway

Direct contact with soil and groundwater exhibiting concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in excess of MTCA Method A cleanup levels is limited to human receptors who come into close contact with the media via direct exposure, including dermal contact or ingestion of excavated soil. The standard point of compliance for soil contamination beneath a site is approximately 15 feet bgs, which represents a reasonable estimate of the depth that could be accessed during normal site redevelopment activities (WAC 173-340-740[6][d]). Since remediation activities have been undertaken and the contaminated soil within 15 feet of the ground surface has been removed from the site, the direct contact pathway has been addressed and is no longer an exposure risk.

2.3.3 Vapor Intrusion Pathway

Volatile COCs, such as benzene, have not been identified at the site. Furthermore, all known PCS at the site has been successfully removed; therefore, the vapor intrusion pathway is not an exposure risk.

3.0 GRID SOIL SAMPLING

3.1 Sampling Location Rationale

As detailed in Terracon's February 2015 Sampling and Analysis Plan, two areas of the site were identified for evaluation for the potential presence of PCS associated with the former UST excavation. Specifically, we infer that if the PCS stockpile was not removed for offsite disposal, it might have either been spread out in the vicinity of the former UST excavation and associated soil stockpile location, or have been relocated to the stockpile of dredged soils from the manure lagoon located on the western portion of the site.

3.2 Soil Sampling

On October 22, 2015, Terracon mobilized to the site to complete soil sampling in the selected areas of the site.

A total of 26 soil samples were collected during field activities, at the locations depicted on Exhibit 3 in Appendix A. Samples were collected from depths of approximately ½ to one foot bgs in the areas proposed in the SAP. Samples S-1 through S-6 were collected from the manure lagoon dredged soil stockpile. Samples S-7 through S-26 were collected from the area of the former USTs excavation.



Soil samples were extracted by hand from sampling locations excavated using hand tools. The samples were collected using disposable gloves and placed directly into laboratory supplied glassware. Sampling equipment was cleaned using an Alconox[®] wash and potable water rinse prior to the beginning of the project and before collecting each soil sample.

Each sample container was labeled with the project number, date, time, and sample number. Sample containers were placed in a chilled cooler immediately after sampling, and subsequently transported to the analytical laboratory by Terracon under strict chain-of-custody procedures.

3.3 Soil Analytical Results

Collected soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (FBI), a Washington State-accredited laboratory. The samples were submitted for laboratory analysis for the following:

- Gasoline-range TPH using Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx;
- Diesel-range TPH using Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx.

Soil sample analytical results are presented in Table 1. COCs were not detected at concentrations above laboratory reporting limits in the soil samples. The executed chain-of-custody forms and laboratory analytical certificates are provided in Appendix C

4.0 **REMEDIAL EXCAVATION**

4.1 Remedial Excavation

Terracon mobilized to the site on December 18, 2015 to oversee the excavation of PCS in the stained soil area within the Equipment Outbuilding. Excavation was performed using a backhoe and the PCS was placed directly into a dump truck for off-site disposal. Trucking and disposal were handled by R Transport of Arlington, Washington under contract to A&M Farms, LLC. The PCS was disposed at a licensed landfill. A total of 8.23 tons of PCS were excavated and exported for off-site disposition at Waste Management in Seattle, Washington. Copies of the disposal documentation are included in Appendix D.

The excavation extended to an approximately 9 foot by 9 foot area in the vicinity of former Terracon soil boring B-8, and continued to a depth of approximately 2½ feet bgs. At the limits of the excavation, Terracon collected soil samples, which were field-screened using a MiniRAE 3000 photoionization detector (PID). Samples were screened by first segregating, at a minimum, one ounce of soil into a sealed plastic bag. The samples were placed into the plastic bag and set aside to allow potential volatilization from the sample to accumulate. Headspace analysis was performed by subsequently puncturing each plastic bag with the probe of the PID



to estimate the concentration of volatile components partitioned into the atmosphere ("headspace") within the bag.

The PID was calibrated with isobutylene gas (100 parts per million [ppm]) prior to sampling. The highest digital readout value displayed by the instrument for each sample was recorded in the field notebook. The value recorded for the PID indicates the total vapor concentration of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with ionization potentials less than the energy produced by the ionizing radiation source (ultraviolet lamp) of the PID. These compounds include numerous volatile constituents of petroleum hydrocarbons. Soil samples screened using the PID did not exhibit elevated concentrations. As a result, five soil samples were collected from the extents of the excavation at depths ranging from 1.5 to 2.5 feet bgs to confirm that impacted soils had been successfully removed.

Soil samples were extracted by hand and/or from sampling hand tools using disposable gloves and placed directly into laboratory supplied glassware. Each sample container was labeled with the project number, date, time, and a sample number with approximate location and depth. Sample containers were placed in a chilled cooler immediately after sampling, and subsequently transported to the analytical laboratory by Terracon under strict chain-of-custody procedures.

One soil sample was collected from each excavation sidewall at approximately 1.5 feet bgs, and one soil sample was collected from the bottom of the excavation at approximately 2.5 feet bgs. Approximate sample locations are depicted on Exhibit 4.

4.2 Analytical Results

Soil samples were delivered to FBI for laboratory analysis for the following:

- Gasoline-range TPH via Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx;
- Diesel-range TPH via Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx.

The executed chain-of-custody forms and laboratory analytical certificates are provided in Appendix C.

Gasoline-range TPH

Based on the analytical results, gasoline-range TPH was not detected at concentrations above the laboratory reporting limit in the samples collected from the PCS excavation.



Diesel-range TPH

Diesel-range TPH was detected at a concentration of 74 mg/kg in soil sample BOT-2.5, collected from the bottom of the excavation at approximately 2.5 feet bgs. This concentration is well below the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 2,000 mg/kg.

4.3 Quality Assurance/Quality Control Results

The analytical results for the current investigation were checked for completeness immediately upon receipt from the laboratory to ensure that data and QA/QC information requested were present. Data quality was assessed by considering hold times, surrogate recovery, method blanks, matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recovery, and detection limits. QA/QC review was completed using guidance described in *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review* (Draft Final, USEPA, 2005). Our evaluation assumes that the QA/QC is correct as reported by the laboratory, and merely provides an interpretation of the QA/QC results.

Hold Times. Analyses were completed within specified hold times.

Surrogate Recoveries. Surrogate recoveries were within laboratory limits.

Method Blanks. Analytes were not detected in the laboratory method blanks.

<u>MS/MSD Results</u>. MS and MSD recoveries were all within laboratory limits, and Relative Percent Differences (RPDs) between MS and MSD recoveries were all within laboratory limits.

<u>Laboratory Reporting Limits</u>. Reporting limits were below relevant MTCA cleanup levels. Based upon our interpretation of quality control information provided by the laboratory, it is our opinion that the overall dataset is useable as qualified for the purposes of this report.



5.0 FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Terracon has completed this Remedial Action Report in support of documenting the potential presence of residual PCS from the 2004 UST excavation, and the removal and offsite disposal of PCS from within the Equipment Outbuilding on the site.

All PCS above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels has been removed from the site and disposed of off-site. Remedial activities consisting of the excavation and off-site disposal of residual petroleum contaminated soils were successful in cleaning up gasoline-range TPH and diesel-range TPH from soils on the site.

Based on the results of this independent cleanup action, Terracon recommends that an unrestricted No Further Action (NFA) determination be sought from Ecology for the site.

APPENDIX A

Exhibits









APPENDIX B

Tables

	Date Depth (feet)	Denth	ТРН		
Sample ID		(feet)	Gasoline-Range	Diesel-Range	
S-1	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-2	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-3	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-4	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-5	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-6	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-7	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-8	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-9	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-10	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-11	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-12	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-13	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-14	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-15	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-16	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-17	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-18	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-19	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-20	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-21	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-22	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-23	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-24	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-25	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-26	10/22/2015	0.5 - 1	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
MTCA Cleanup Level (Method A)			100	2,000	

Table 1 : Summarized Soil Analytical Results 4304 SR 530 Arlington, Snohomish County, Washington Terracon Consultants Inc. (2015)

All concentrations presented in parts per million (mg/kg) TPH - Total petroleum hydrocarbons

Table 2 : Remedial Excavation Soil Results 4304 SR 530 Arlington, Snohomish County, Washington Terracon Consultants Inc. (2015)

		Dopth	TPH		
Sample ID	Date	(feet)	Gasoline-Range	Diesel-Range	
N-1.5	12/18/2015	1.5	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
S-1.5	12/18/2015	1.5	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
E-1.5	12/18/2015	1.5	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
W-1.5	12/18/2015	1.5	ND (<2)	ND (<50)	
BOT-2.5	12/18/2015	2.5	ND (<2)	74	
MTCA Cleanup Level (Method A)			100	2,000	

All concentrations presented in parts per million (mg/kg) TPH - Total petroleum hydrocarbons

APPENDIX C

Analytical Reports

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

October 30, 2015

Lucas Swart, Project Manager Terracon Pacific Cascade Building 21905 64th Ave. W., Suite 100 Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

Dear Mr. Swart:

Included is the amended report from the testing of material submitted on October 22, 2015 from the 81147145, F&BI 510348 project. Per your request, the NWTPH-Dx analysis was corrected to diesel only.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures TRC1028R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

October 28, 2015

Lucas Swart, Project Manager Terracon Pacific Cascade Building 21905 64th Ave. W., Suite 100 Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

Dear Mr. Swart:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on October 22, 2015 from the 81147145, F&BI 510348 project. There are 12 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ale

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures TRC1028R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on October 22, 2015 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Terracon 81147145, F&BI 510348 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>Terracon</u>
510348 -01	S-1
510348 -02	S-2
510348 -03	S-3
510348 -04	S-4
510348 -05	S-5
510348 -06	S-6
510348 -07	S-7
510348 -08	S-8
510348 -09	S-9
510348 -10	S-10
510348 -11	S-11
510348 -12	S-12
510348 -13	S-13
510348 -14	S-14
510348 -15	S-15
510348 -16	S-16
510348 -17	S-17
510348 -18	S-18
510348 -19	S-19
510348 -20	S-20
510348 -21	S-21
510348 -22	S-22
510348 -23	S-23
510348 -24	S-24
510348 -25	S-25
510348 -26	S-26

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348 Date Extracted: 10/23/15 Date Analyzed: 10/23/15, 10/24/15 and 10/26/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
S-1 510348-01	<2	95
S-2 510348-02	<2	95
S-3 510348-03	<2	96
S-4 510348-04	<2	94
S-5 510348-05	<2	95
S-6 510348-06	<2	95
S-7 510348-07	<2	96
S-8 510348-08	<2	97
S-9 510348-09	<2	97
S-10 510348-10	<2	97
S-11 510348-11	<2	93

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348 Date Extracted: 10/23/15 Date Analyzed: 10/23/15, 10/24/15 and 10/26/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Sample ID Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
S-12 510348-12	<2	95
S-13 510348-13	<2	95
S-14 510348-14	<2	96
S-15 510348-15	<2	96
S-16 510348-16	<2	96
S-17 510348-17	<2	97
S-18 510348-18	<2	96
S-19 510348-19	<2	96
S-20 510348-20	<2	94
S-21 510348-21	<2	96
S-22 510348-22	<2	94

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348 Date Extracted: 10/23/15 Date Analyzed: 10/23/15, 10/24/15 and 10/26/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Sample ID Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
S-23 510348-23	<2	96
S-24 510348-24	<2	96
S-25 510348-25	<2	95
S-26 510348-26	<2	96
Method Blank ^{05-2171 MB}	<2	98
Method Blank	<2	96

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348 Date Extracted: 10/23/15 Date Analyzed: 10/23/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C ₁₀ -C ₂₅)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 56-165)
S-1 510348-01	<50	105
S-2 510348-02	<50	120
S-3 510348-03	<50	112
S-4 510348-04	<50	118
S-5 510348-05	<50	95
S-6 510348-06	<50	94
S-7 510348-07	<50	95
S-8 510348-08	<50	94
S-9 510348-09	<50	94
S-10 510348-10	<50	86
S-11 510348-11	<50	121

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348 Date Extracted: 10/23/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C ₁₀ -C ₂₅)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 56-165)
S-12 510348-12	<50	102
S-13 510348-13	<50	87
S-14 510348-14	<50	83
S-15 510348-15	<50	89
S-16 510348-16	<50	94
S-17 510348-17	<50	88
S-18 510348-18	<50	89
S-19 510348-19	<50	92
S-20 510348-20	<50	87
S-21 510348-21	<50	98
S-22 510348-22	<50	105

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348 Date Extracted: 10/23/15 Date Analyzed: 10/23/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 56-165)
S-23 510348-23	<50	97
S-24 510348-24	<50	101
S-25 510348-25	<50	96
S-26 510348-26	<50	103
Method Blank 05-2187 MB	<50	116
Method Blank 05-2188 MB	<50	108

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code:	510348-02 (Duplicate	e)			
		Samp	le D	uplicate	
		Resu	lt	Result	RPD
Analyte	Reporting Units	(Wet V	Vt) (Wet Wt)	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2		<2	nm
Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Control	Sample			
			Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	110	71-131	_

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code:	510361-02 (Duplicate	e)			
		Samp	le Di	uplicate	
		Resu	lt 1	Result	RPD
Analyte	Reporting Units	(Wet V	Vt) (V	Vet Wt)	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2		<2	nm
Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Control	Sample			
			Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	105	71-131	_
FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 510348-01 (Matrix Spike)

		Sample	Percent	Percent										
Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD								
Units	Level	(Wet Wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)								
mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	115	114	64-133	1								
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample														
Percent														
Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Accep	tance										
Units	Level	LCS	Crit	eria										
mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	114	58-1	47										
	Reporting Units mg/kg (ppm) aboratory Contro Reporting Units mg/kg (ppm)	Reporting UnitsSpike Levelmg/kg (ppm)5,000aboratory ControlSampleReporting UnitsSpike Levelmg/kg (ppm)5,000	Reporting UnitsSpike LevelResult (Wet Wt)mg/kg (ppm)5,000<50	SamplePercentReportingSpikeResultRecoveryUnitsLevel(Wet Wt)MSmg/kg (ppm)5,000<50	Sample Reporting UnitsSpike LevelSample ResultPercent Recovery MSPercent Recovery MSDmg/kg (ppm)5,000<50	Sample Reporting UnitsSpike LevelSample ResultPercent RecoveryPercent RecoveryAcceptance Criteriamg/kg (ppm)5,000<50								

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/28/15 Date Received: 10/22/15 Project: 81147145, F&BI 510348

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 510348-21 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	Percent	Percent						
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD				
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet Wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)				
Diesel	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	116	116	63-146	0				
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample Percent											
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Accep	tance						
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Crite	eria						
Diesel	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	104	79 -1	144						

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.





















































Rep









Fax (206) 283-5044 Forms/coc/coc.boc	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	3012 10th Avenue West	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	01-5	6-5	8-5 24	5-7	9-5	5-5	h-5	5-3	5-2	5-1	Sample ID		Phone # 425-771-55	City, State, ZIP Mount	Address 2190564	Company Terraco	Send Report To	510348
Keceive	Relinqui	Keceive	Kenndni		8	8	8	67	8	8	04	83	62	Q7 A-6	Lab ID		Fa Fa	ake T	Ave		5	
NY Ske	shed by	д бу:	sned by:	SIG	4									10/22/19	Date Sampled		× #	elinice,	W Ste		sent	
At Two	2	St 1	Jef &	ATURE .	10:12	00:00	85: 60	09:50	09;00	08:55	54;80	oh: 80	08:30	08:25	Time Sampled			WAR	200			
a Jex		,(4		4									501	Sample Ty			243 KEM		– PRO	SAM	SAMPLI
Elizabe	LUA	10	۲.۲	H	4	 								5	pe # of container			AKKS		SII47	PLERS (sig	E CHAIN
FT	7	3	5	RIN	\mathbf{x}	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	X	X	\succ		X	X		X	TPH-Diesel	H				い四	natu	OF
21	S	5	10	TN	×	\bowtie	X	X	X	Х	X	ΪX,	X	X	TPH-Gasoline					<u>ن</u>	ure)	CU
	$\left \right\rangle$	5	e de la compañía de	ME											BTEX by 8021B	1						STC
Ŕ	J.	3				_									VOCs by8260						×	DY
															SVOCs by 8270	ANA				,	R	
				$\left - \right $			•								HFS	LYS					1	N S T
1		4														ES R				ч	N	
(JP	2		2	8												EQU				Ť	N	0
			3	MP	6											EST						30/
			ĉ	YN	amp											B			-]. ,	2	-1	1
			2		8											11	N N N		- Cush			• • 1
			6													11		spose		ISH_	Page TUR	
<u>9/22/15</u>	2	"	baalle	DATE													samples 1 with inst	after 30 d	ges autno	d (2 Week	*	-
6:2	162	2	14:	TIN	94.										Notes		ructions	hays hays	fized by	S)	DTIME	VE3/
0	0		5	E	Ö		·															V) •

•

$ \begin{array}{c} S_{1} (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)$																	*				2462 - C	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	G The Avenue west	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	5-20	5-19	81-5	2-17	91-5	5-15	41-5	5-13	5-12	11-5	Sample ID		Phone #	City State 710	Send Report To	
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLERS (signame) SAMPLERS (signame) SAMPLERS (signame) Sample Sample Type all 147145 Sumple Sample Sample Type and a state of		Received	Relinqui	Kecelve	vennda	Deling	3	T	B J	67	2	6	14	13	5	TA-6	Lab ID		Fa O	a of		
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY PROJECT NAMENO BIH 77145 POT Time Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Sumple Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type S		aby Fel	shed by:	a by:	Islice by	SIGN	4									= 10/22/15	Date Sampled		w #	9	- 8	
SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY NOTECT NAMERNO REMARKS Sample Type containers Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type containers Sample Type Sample Type Sa		NER	Sr (W.	in the	ATURE	11:17	11:11	11:03	10:30	10:38	10:44	10:51	10:57	10:24	10:20	Time Sampled		•	2		
CHAIN OF CUSTODY HE 10 (ALS V3 PLERS (signature) FO# Ture as + 2 of Ture As + 2 of ECT NAMENO FO# PO# Claudard 2 Weeks) Busineard 2 Weeks) Busineard 2 Weeks) ARKS FO# Claudard 2 Weeks) Busineard 2 Weeks) Busineard 2 Weeks) ARKS FO# Claudard 2 Weeks) Busineard 2 Weeks) Busineard 2 Weeks) SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Dispose after 30 days Dispose after 30 days BTEPH-Dissel AMAYSES REQUESTED BUSH Busineard 2 Weeks) Busineard 2 Weeks) BTEPH-Dissel AMAYSES REQUESTED Notes Notes Notes PRINT NAME VOCs by82260 Notes Notes Notes PRINT NAME COMPANY DATE TIME Stildel Lera Tellarals H'r Notes Stildel Lera Tellarals H'r Notes PRINT NAME Comparend at After Notes Notes Stildel Lera Tellarals H'r Notes Stildel Lera Tellarals Notes Notes			5	1			4									Soil	Sample Tyj			REM	SAMPLE SAMI PROJ	
POF CUSTODY name POF POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel SNPL - Constructions POF TPH-Diesel POF TPH-Diesel SNPL - Constructions POF TPH-Diesel SNPL - Constructions POF SNPL - Constructions		Eliz h	LUCA	Luca	1-5-11	P	4									γ	e # of container			ARKS	ECT NAM	
CUSTOPY HE 10 2215 re) HE 10 2215 PO# CIMENTACOUND TIME CINCHARGES REPORT ANALYSES REPO		Ŧ	S S	2.	he	R	X		X.T	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	ø TPH-Diesel	H			OF E/NC	
TODY HE IO DAIL TURNAROUND TIME PO# PO# PO# PO# PO# PO# PO# PO#	-	\bigtriangledown	N.	5	È	NA	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	TPH-Gasoline	11			$\frac{1}{5}$	
DY HE 10 21 Y3 WH HE 10 21 Y3 TURNAROUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH Rush charges authorized by ANALYSES REQUESTED SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions Will call with instructions VOCs by 8270 HFS Return samples Will call with instructions Will call with instructions VOCs by 8270 HFS Return samples Will call with instructions Will call with instructions Notes HFS Tecroace Internet Interne		2	Y	5L	eas	ME											BTEX by 8021B				TO	
NALVSES REQUESTED SVOCs by 8270 NAROUND TIME PO# Clandard (2 Weeks) Rush charges authorized by Rush charges authorized by Return samples Will call with instructions Notes Source of the sample) (3													VOCs by8260				PA PA	
HE IC A2/15 VS3 PO# TURNAROUND TIME PO# SamArad 2 Weeks) In RUSH Rush charges authorized by SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days In Return samples Will call with instructions		Ŕ															SVOCs by 8270	ŇĂ				
HE 10 balls or VS3 PO# TURNAROUND TIME In Standard (2 Weeks) Rush charges authorized by In RUSH In RUSH In RUSH <td>F</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\square</td> <td>\mathbf{H}</td> <td></td> <td>HFS</td> <td>YSE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	F				\square	\mathbf{H}											HFS	YSE				
IO / D.2.//S Page # 2. of 3/A Page # 2. of URNAROUND TIME In RUSH In Rush charges authorized by In Rush	1	æ			Н													S RE			PO	
Page # 2 of 3/40, TURNAROUND TIME C Standard (2 Weeks) C RUSH Rush charges authorized by SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions STED PANY DATE TIME C A REL AND ALC I DISPOSAL DISPOSE ALC Notes	- 0	끼	۲	1.	S	ОM М		•										QUE			#	
Page # 2 of 3/ho TURNAROUND TIME Rush charges authorized by SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions Will call with instructions V DATE TIME 1 U(2.20						PAN		<u> </u>										STEI	[
Page # 2 of TURNAROUND TIME trandard (2 Weeks) tUSH SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days teturn samples Vill call with instructions Notes DATE TIME 10/22/15 14:15 11 16:20					ž							-+						Ĭ				
RNAROUND TIME ard (2 Weeks) arges authorized by Notes all with instructions all with instructions DATE TIME CASHS IV: 1/2 1 1 10:20						Ц									_				Jispo leturr Vill ci	SA	Pag TU USH	
Notes		=	-	5	2/22/13	DATE													se after 30 (1 samples all with inst	MPLE DIS	e # 2 RNAROUN urd (2 Week urges autho	
	AN T	5	2		4/4	H		76					ĺ				Notes		days tructio	POSA	ID TIN S)	·
	k	3	8	5.	115	ME		ភ									¥*		ns	F		
	L]			L			7

,											
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282 Fax (206) 283-5044 FORMS/COC/COC/DOC		5-26	5-25	5-24	5-23	5-22	5-21	Sample ID		Send Report To Company	510348
Relinqui Received Received		Š	R	24	22	ષ્ટ	ZI A.	ID Lab			
SIGN shed by: i by: shed by: t by: f by: f by:		14					= 10/22/15	Date Sampled			
		11:35	11:41	11:45	11:51	11:28	11:22	Time Sampled			
		A				_	Soil	Sample Typ		REM/	SAMPLE
CUCAS CUCAS CLUCAS		×					5	e containers		ECT NAME 8/14/7/ ARKS	CHAIN (
		$\mathbf{\Sigma}$	X	\mathbf{X}	X	X	X	TPH-Diesel		UNION NO	OF (
CON SINA		\mathbf{X}	\ge	\ge	\succeq	X	Х	TPH-Gasoline			e) US
		_						BTEX by 8021B		Į į	
						-		VOCs by8260		4	<u>با ۲</u>
								SVOCs by 8270	NAL		4
								HFS	YSE		
									SRE	PC	
									U	Ŧ	E
PAN -		<u> </u>						. <u></u>	STEI		ò
		-							Μ		è
										TU Stand RUSH Sh ch Sh ch S/ Dispo Nill c	2/1
2					-+				Ц	ard () arges arges arges arges arges	بر الع #
				Ì						auth auth EDI EDI ter 30 ples	\mathcal{W}
	2							Not		orizec SPOS structi	of
2 2 × 12 mm	ก้							S		IME 1 by AL	ξ
											6
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

December 23, 2015

Lucas Swart, Project Manager Terracon Pacific Cascade Building 21905 64th Ave. W., Suite 100 Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

Dear Mr. Swart:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 18, 2015 from the River Bend, 81147145 PO, F&BI 512356 project. There are 6 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

ale

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures TRC1223R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on December 18, 2015 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Terracon River Bend, 81147145 PO, F&BI 512356 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Terracon</u>
N-1.5
S-1.5
E-1.5
W-1.5
BoT-2.5

The NWTPH-Dx matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate relative percent difference exceeded the acceptance criteria. The laboratory control sample met the acceptance criteria, therefore the results were likely due to matrix effect.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/23/15 Date Received: 12/18/15 Project: River Bend, 81147145 PO, F&BI 512356 Date Extracted: 12/21/15 Date Analyzed: 12/21/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

Sample ID Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-150)
N-1.5 512356-01	<2	95
S-1.5 512356-02	<2	95
E-1.5 512356-03	<2	96
W-1.5 512356-04	<2	96
BoT-2.5 512356-05	<2	95
Method Blank 05-2569 MB	<2	95

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/23/15 Date Received: 12/18/15 Project: River Bend, 81147145 PO, F&BI 512356 Date Extracted: 12/21/15 Date Analyzed: 12/21/15

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

Surrogato

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	<u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 53-144)
N-1.5 512356-01	<50	<250	102
S-1.5 512356-02	<50	<250	98
E-1.5 512356-03	<50	<250	94
W-1.5 512356-04	<50	<250	106
BoT-2.5 512356-05	74	<250	94
Method Blank 05-2586 MB	<50	<250	86

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/23/15 Date Received: 12/18/15 Project: River Bend, 81147145 PO, F&BI 512356

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code:	512274-04 (Duplicat	e)			
			Dı	uplicate	
	(Sample R	esult l	Result	RPD
Analyte	Reporting Units	(Wet W	Vt) (V	Vet Wt)	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2		<2	nm
Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Control	Sample			
			Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	90	71-131	_

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/23/15 Date Received: 12/18/15 Project: River Bend, 81147145 PO, F&BI 512356

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 512352-02 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet Wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	490	81	105	63-146	26 vo
Laboratory Code: L	aboratory Control	l Sample					
			Percent				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Accep	tance		
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Crite	eria		
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	90	79-1	44		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.















Friedman & Bruya, Inc. SIGNATURE PRINT NAME 3012 16th Avenue West Relinquished by: PRINT NAME Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Received by: UUCA \$\$ SWART Ph. (206) 285-8282 Received by: Ito V Received by: Ito V Ito V	BOT-2.5 ost 1/2 09:32 (2 1/4 XX)	$E = 1.5$ e_3 07.22 XX XX $W = 1.5$ Y 07.28 XX XX XX	N-1.5 01A-E/2-18-15 09:15 Sor 65 XX	Sample ID ID Sampled Sample		City, State, ZIP Manzake Tareaci, unt 75047 REMARKS Lesuart et man. Phone #425-771.3704 Fax #	Company TERRACON PROJECT NAMENO. Address 2(905-6474 Ave w STE. 100 BILLER EEND	512356 Send Report To LUCAS SwART SAMPLERS (signature)
TCZIN COMPAN					YSES REQUESTE	w. (gr	PO#	
nples received at°C NY DATE TIME '1/i s/is /626				Notes	ED	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples 	C Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH Rush charges authorized by	HE IA ISIIS US

APPENDIX D

Supplemental Documentation

Alaska Street 70 S Alaska St Seattle, WA, St	reet 18134 Ph: 206 763	Reprint Ticket# 114283 5025
Customer Name R TRANSPORT R TRANSPOR Ticket Date 12/18/2015 Payment Type Credit Account Manual Ticket# Route AK Hauling Ticket#	/ Carrier SELF HAULER * Vehicle# R65 Container Driver BRANDON LLOYD Check# Billing# 0000432	Volume
Destination PD# 120106OR J Jime Scale In 12/18/2015 10:49:55 SCALE 1 Out 12/18/2015 T0:49:55 Comments RTRANS-KF	Grid Operator Imercer Imercer *_Manual Weight	Gross 42620 1b; Tare 26160 1b; Net 16460 1b Tons 8.23
Product LD%	Gty UOM Rate Tax	🐭 Amount Origin
Daily Cover-PCS-Tons-Pet 100 PEA-FUEL, ENV, ADMIN 100 GONDOLA T/10T MIN-GONDOL 100	8.23 Tons 8.23 Tons 8.23 Tons 8.23 Tons	SNOHOMISH
203 WM Driver's Signature	Total Ta Toțal Ti	x cket

54235	Hours 4	Hours	\$ 110 00 PER NR.		r 830	0521				niert if neressan	onth following date of this billing.
Company R TRANSPOR	Truck Truck	Driver	ST RALE ALLY Demu	rofile # 120106 00 Net	* STA	Step		ate	int or Type Name	ate 12/18/15 ered your notice of our intent to lien this pr	ccounts. Charges due by the tenth of the m
18128 67 th Ave NE Arlington, WA 98223 Phone (360) +	Lax (360) 435-2869) ruck # R65	Consignee U Mcme	ALASKA	anifest #	8,23					D gnature of this truck invoice will be consic	r month will be charged on all past due a
	Date 2/18/15	Shipper	a a	Load # M Special Handling	1) 114283 8			Keceiver	Signature	Driver Signature	Interest @ 1.5% pe



Non-Hazardous WAM Approval

Requested Management Facility' Columbia Ridge Landfill

Profile Number 1201060R	Waste Approval Expiration Date: <u>12/17/2016</u>
APPROVAL DETAILS	t and a set of an of the set of the
Approval Decision 🖸 Approved 🗖 Not Approved	Profile Renewal, 🛄 Yes 🗹 No
Management Method <u>Alternate Daily Cover (ADC)</u>	
Generator Name: Forterra Riverbend Investment LLC	
Material Name. Fuel Oil Impacted Soil/Debris	
Management Facility Precautions, Special Handling Procedures or Lim	itation on approval
Generator Conditions	
- Shall not contain free liquids.	
 Shipment must be scheduled into the disposal fac be provided by your TSR. 	ility at least 24 hours in advance. Contact information will
- Waste manifest or applicable shipping document m	ust accompany load.
- The waste profile number must appear on the ship	ping papers.
VIA ALASKA STREET, 70 S. ALASKA STREET, SEATTLE	
PLEASE CALL TO SCHEDULE AT 206-763-5025	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER
Facility Conditions	
BITTY ADO	
BUDA, ADC	

WM Authorization Name: Kristin Castner	Title: Waste Approval Manager
WM Authorization Signature.	Date: <u>12/17/2015</u>
Agency Authorization (if Required)	Date

THINK GREEN?

QUESTIONS? CALL 800 963 4776 FOR ASSISTANCE

Last Revised April 11, 2014 ©2014 Waste Management



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Northwest Regional Office • 3190 160th Ave SE • Bellevue, WA 98008-5452 • 425-649-7000 711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

September 30, 2015

Ms. Michelle Connor Forterra 901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98164

Re: Opinion Pursuant to WAC 173-340-515(5) on Sampling and Analysis Plan:

- Site Name: Riverbend Investment Co
- Site Address: 4304 State Route 530 NE, Arlington, WA
- Facility/Site No.: 4864
- Cleanup Site No: 11772
- VCP Project No.: NW2999

Dear Ms. Connor:

The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) received your request for an opinion on your Sampling Analysis Plan for the Riverbend Investment Co facility (Site). This letter provides our opinion. We are providing this opinion under the authority of the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Chapter 70.105D RCW.

This letter constitutes an advisory opinion regarding a review of submitted documents/reports pursuant to requirements of MTCA and its implementing regulations, Chapter 70.105D RCW and Chapter 173-340 WAC, for characterizing and addressing the following release at the Site:

• Gasoline range petroleum hydrocarbons (tph-g) and diesel range petroleum hydrocarbons (tph-d) into the Soil.

Ecology is providing this advisory opinion under the specific authority of RCW 70.105D.030(1)(i) and WAC 173-340-515(5).

This opinion does not resolve a person's liability to the state under MTCA or protect a person from contribution claims by third parties for matters addressed by the opinion. The state does not have the authority to settle with any person potentially liable under MTCA except in accordance with RCW 70.105D.040(4). The opinion is advisory only and not binding on Ecology.

®

Ms. Michelle Connor September 30, 2015 Page 2

Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program has reviewed the following information regarding your proposed remedial actions:

- 1. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, dated March 29, 2004, prepared by Environmental Associates, Inc.
- 2. Tank Closure Assessment & Remedial Actions, dated June 9, 2004, prepared by Environmental Associates, Inc.
- 3. Union Bank Log No. 10-1137 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, dated November 9, 2010, prepared by Krazan & Associates, Inc.
- 4. Limited Site Investigation, dated June 29, 2011, prepared by Terracon.
- 5. Sampling and Analysis Plan, dated February 19, 2015, prepared by Terracon.

The reports listed above will be kept in the Central Files of the Northwest Regional Office of Ecology (NWRO) for review by appointment only. Appointments can be made by calling the NWRO resource contact at (425) 649-7235 or sending an email to nwro public request@ecy.wa.gov.

The Site is defined by the extent of contamination caused by the following release:

• Tph-g and tph-d into the Soil.

Based on a review of supporting documentation listed above, pursuant to requirements contained in MTCA and its implementing regulations, Chapter 70.105D RCW and Chapter 173-340 WAC, for characterizing and addressing the following releases at the Site, Ecology has determined:

- The Sampling and Analysis Plan is sufficient in scope to determine if any contamination remains on the Property.
- In July 1992 an accident involving a truck towing a tractor occurred on the stretch of Highway 530 near the Site. As a result of the accident, the tractor ended up on its side on-site near the driveway to the residence on Lot 1 and approximately 100-gallons of diesel fuel from the tractor was released to site soils. Approximately 20 cubic yards of impacted soil was stockpiled on the Property for future disposal, however, no documentation exists that these soils were removed from the Site.
- In June 2004 two USTs were removed from the site. The USTs consisted of a 1,500-gallon diesel tank and a 500-gallon gasoline tank. Soil impacts extended to

Ms. Michelle Connor September 30, 2015 Page 3

approximately nine feet below the ground surface (bgs). Approximately 40 tons of impacted soil was removed from the gasoline UST cavity and stockpiled on-Site for future off-Site disposal; however, again no documentation exists that these soils were removed from the Site.

- Since no documentation can be found detailing the off-Site disposal of the stockpiled contaminated soil, it is Ecology's opinion that these soils were likely land-farmed on the Property.
- Ground water was not observed during any excavation activities. Ground water is encountered at a depth of 20 feet bgs. There are three domestic drinking water wells which pull from this water bearing zone. Analytical results from samples taken from these wells show that the releases of tph-g and tph-d have not impacted groundwater at this Site.
- The Site does not qualify for the Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation Exclusion. Therefore, the Terrestrial ecological evaluation procedures as defined in WAC 173-340-7490 need to be performed.
- Once the confirmational sampling as documented in the Sampling Analysis Plan is completed, the Final Cleanup Report should be a "Stand Alone" document that includes the following: Site discovery and regulatory status; Site and property location and neighborhood setting; all environmental investigations and interim actions; property development and history; natural conditions; brief description of the surface water system; description of geological conditions; ground water description and occurrence; natural resources and ecological receptors; contaminant occurrence and movement; conceptual Site model; and applicable cleanup standards.

This opinion does not represent a determination by Ecology that a proposed remedial action will be sufficient to characterize and address the specified contamination at the Site or that no further remedial action will be required at the Site upon completion of the proposed remedial action. To obtain either of these opinions, you must submit appropriate documentation to Ecology and request such an opinion under the VCP. This letter also does not provide an opinion regarding the sufficiency of any other remedial action proposed for or conducted at the Site.

Please note that this opinion is based solely on the information contained in the documents listed above. Therefore, if any of the information contained in those documents is materially false or misleading, then this opinion will automatically be rendered null and void.

The state, Ecology, and its officers and employees make no guarantees or assurances by providing this opinion, and no cause of action against the state, Ecology, its officers or

Ms. Michelle Connor September 30, 2015 Page 4

employees may arise from any act or omission in providing this opinion. Again, Ecology appreciates your initiative in conducting independent remedial action and requesting technical consultation under the VCP. As the cleanup of the Site progresses, you may request additional consultative services under the VCP, including assistance in identifying applicable regulatory requirements and opinions regarding whether remedial actions proposed for or conducted at the Site meet those requirements.

If you have any questions regarding this opinion, please contact me at (425) 649-4446, or email at damy461@ecy.wa.gov.

when will be influent to dimension the set which as the modeled incompanying a

Sincerely,

Fal My

Dale Myers Site Manager Toxics Cleanup Program

cc: Lucas Swart, Terracon Consultants, Inc. Sonia Fernandez, VCP Coordinator, Ecology