Evaluation of Wyckoff Groundwater Level Data March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013

PREPARED FOR:	Howard Orlean/EPA Region 10
PREPARED BY:	Nicole Badon/CH2M HILL Rob Healy/CH2M HILL
COPIES	Chung Yee/ Washington Dept. of Ecology Helen Bottcher/EPA Region 10 Stan Warner/CH2M HILL Keith Allers/CH2M HILL Richard Brooks/ Suquamish Tribe Perry Barrett/ City of Bainbridge Island Ken Scheffler/CH2M HILL Carolyn Kossik/CH2M HILL

DATE: August 21, 2013

This memorandum summarizes the Wyckoff groundwater level results for the 90-day monitoring period of March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013.

Summary/Recommendations

- Hydraulic containment was maintained in all 10 well pairs over the 90-day monitoring period: MW14/CW05, MW18/02CDMW01, PO03/99CDMW02A, CW03/CW02, VG-2U/VG-2L, VG-3U/VG-3L, VG-5U/VG-5L, PO13/VG-1L, CW13/VG4L, and CW08/P-4L.
- The groundwater elevation data from the transducers in the 10 well pairs should be downloaded again in September 2013 to maintain a quarterly schedule consistent with the definition of hydraulic containment.

Water Level Data Collection

The March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013 time period represents the next 90-day monitoring period in succession from the previous groundwater level data evaluation memorandum (December 21, 2012 through March 20, 2013). The locations of the wells are shown in Figure 1 and wells with transducers are listed in Table 1. Model 705 KPSI[™] Level and Pressure Transducers are installed in 22 upper aquifer wells and 18 lower aquifer wells and were last calibrated in January 2013.

All data are available in e-format upon request.

Uppe	r Aquifer	Lower Ac	quifer
CW03	PO13	02CDMW01	PZ03
CW08	RPW-1	99CDMW02A	SE02
CW13	RPW-2	CW02	VG-1L
E-02 ¹	RPW-4	CW05	VG-2L
E-04 ¹	RPW-5	CW09	VG-3L
E-06 ¹	RPW-6	P-1L	VG-4L
E-07 ¹	PW-8	P-2L	VG-5L
MW14	PW-9	P-3L	
MW18	VG-2U	P-4L	
MW21	VG-3U	P-5L	
PO03	VG-5U	P-6L	
he E-0x series of wells a	re located within the Pilot Te	st sheet pile wall.	

Table 1 – Wells with Transducers, March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013

Hydraulic Containment / Isolation Evaluation

The hydraulic containment/isolation performance at the Wyckoff site is evaluated based on water level data from 10 upper and lower aquifer well pairs: MW14/CW05, MW18/02CDMW01, PO03/99CDMW02A, CW03/CW02, VG-2U/VG-2L, VG-3U/VG-3L, VG-5U/VG-5L, PO13/VG-1L, CW13/VG-4L, and CW08/P-4L (See Figure 1 for these locations). The hydraulic containment at each well pair is evaluated by first calculating the average groundwater elevations of the upper and lower aquifers using the water elevation data recorded every 15 minutes during the 90-day monitoring period. Then the average groundwater elevations of the upper and lower aquifers at each well pair are compared relative to each other. If the average lower aquifer groundwater elevation is greater than that of the upper aquifer, an overall net upward gradient of groundwater is indicated and hydraulic containment is demonstrated. If a well pair meets the definition of hydraulic containment, the ratio of the average lower aquifer water elevation to the average upper aquifer water elevation for that well pair is greater than 1. A secondary evaluation of hydraulic containment/isolation performance is conducted through examination of the short term periods when downward hydraulic gradients occur within well pairs. In addition, groundwater elevations for each well pair are compared at each 15 minute recording, and the summary statistics are evaluated. The maximum, average, and minimum change in groundwater elevation for each well pair is calculated, along with percent duration of the 90-day monitoring period when net downward gradients occur. Hydrographs for each well pair have been prepared and are presented in Figures 2a through 2j.

The hydraulic evaluation data for the well pairs are summarized together in Table 2. This table presents the average groundwater elevations for each well pair, the ratio of the average upper to lower aquifer groundwater elevation, and summary statistics on the short-term change (compared at each 15-minute recording) in groundwater elevations between the upper and lower aquifers.

- Ratios are greater than 1 in all 10 well pairs, indicating hydraulic containment is maintained at all well pair locations.
- Short term vertical gradient data (short-term change per 15-minute recording) indicate that an upward gradient is sustained at all times during the 90-day monitoring period at five of the

monitoring well pairs (MW18/02CDMW01, PO03/99CDMW02A, CW03/CW02, VG-2U/VG-2L, and VG-3U/VG-3L).

- A series of short duration downward gradient periods occur in the other five monitoring well pairs. In three of these five well pairs (MW14/CW05, VG5U/VG5L, and PO13/VG-1L), the percent duration of the 90-day period is less than 10 percent.
- Two well pairs have a downward gradient percent duration of the 90-day monitoring period greater than 10 percent (CW13/VG4L at 19.1% and CW08/P4L at 22.8%).

Table 2 Summary of Groundwater Elevation Data by Well Pair

	Summary Statistics Short Term Comparison - Water Level Difference between the Upper and Lower Aquifer wells Duration Analysis – Downward (neg.) Gradient					Gradient				
Well Pair	Upper Aquifer Average Groundwater Elevation (ft MLLW)	Lower Aquifer Average Groundwater Elevation (ft MLLW)	Ratio (Avg Lower Aq WL / Avg Upper Aq WL)*	Average	Max	Min	Number Neg Grad Events	Average Duration Neg Grad (hours)	Total Duration Neg Grad (days)	Percent Duration of 90-day monitoring period
MW14/CW05	6.20	9.27	1.50	3.08	5.74	-0.41	10	2.08	0.9	1.0%
MW18/02CDMW01	5.49	8.87	1.61	3.37	7.62	0.12		n	one	
PO03/99CDMW02A	5.60	9.34	1.67	3.73	6.66	0.49	none			
CW03/CW02	6.18	8.59	1.39	2.41	4.49	0.06	none			
VG-2U/VG-2L	6.80	8.33	1.22	1.53	2.07	0.67	none			
VG-3U/VG-3L	5.69	10.06	1.77	4.37	5.88	2.27	none			
VG-5U/VG-5L	8.60	10.88	1.26	2.28	4.54	-0.29	9	1.97	0.74	0.8%
PO13/VG-1L	6.08	8.92	1.47	2.84	5.82	-0.69	38	2.38	3.8	4.2%
CW13/VG-4L	9.79	11.09	1.13	1.30	4.33	-2.30	92	4.49	17.2	19.1%
CW08/P-4L	7.32	8.62	1.18	1.30	4.45	-2.74	101	4.88	20.5	22.8%

* Ratio > 1 = Hydraulic Containment was Achieved

Treatment Plant Operations and Effects on Groundwater Flow

The treatment plant and the majority of the extraction well systems were operated 24 hours per day and 7 days per week during the 90-day monitoring period. During the monitoring period, select wells were shut down for an extended period due to low water levels. The dates during which the extraction wells were shut down for periods greater than 24 hours are listed in Table 3. These periods are graphically overlaid with the precipitation records and are shown in Figure 3. The total volume of water pumped was 4,687,279 gallons during the 90-day monitoring period which equates to about 36 gpm over the entire period including all down time regardless of cause. [Note, when fully operating, the system can pump about 72 gpm.]

Date	Wells Shut Down	Reason
April 8 – May 8, 2013	PW-6	Shutdown due to low water level
April 17 – May 8, 2013	PW-9	Shutdown due to low water level
April 22 – May 8, 2013	PW-1	Shutdown due to low water level
May 9, 2013	PW-1, PW-6, PW-9	Shutdown for one-hour maintenance and remained off due to low water level
May 17, 2013	EW-2	Shutdown due to low water level
June 14 – June 17, 2013	PW-2, PW-4, PW-5, PW-8, EW-6	Shutdown for weekend due to low water level

Table 3 – Former Process Area (FPA) Extraction Well Pump Shutdown Periods Greater than 1 Day


During this 90-day monitoring period, hydraulic containment at the site was maintained in all 10 well pairs. As with previous monitoring periods, periods of negative gradients appear to correlate with precipitation events coupled with operations of individual extraction wells. Well pairs CW13/VG4L and CW08/P4L continue to show greater effects from negative gradients than the other well pairs. For this period, the magnitude of precipitation is low enough, and groundwater extraction volumes are high enough, that hydraulic containment is effectively maintained.

Summary and Conclusion

Hydraulic containment and the effects of treatment plant operations on groundwater flow are evaluated using groundwater level data monitored via pressure transducers installed in 22 upper aquifer wells and 18 lower aquifer wells. Results of the evaluation indicate the following:

• Hydraulic containment was maintained in all of the 10 well pairs for the duration of the 90-day monitoring period with 24/7 pumping of the extraction wells, when water levels allowed.

Recommendations presented in the last quarterly water level report are still valid. PW-9 and surrounding monitoring wells (CW13, CW14, and VG-4L) should be video logged to observe the state of the well screens.



\\SIMBA\PROJ\URSGREINER\180776\GIS\LAYOUT\$\2012\FORMER_PROCESS_AREA_WELL_LOCATIONS.MXD_GGEE 4/2/2013 9:15:13 AM



LEGEND

• Lower Aquifer Well

▲ Upper Aquifer Well



Buildings

Concrete Slab

Well labels:

highlighted - well is monitored with transducer blue text - production well






FIGURE 1 Former Process Area Well Locations

WYCKOFF/EAGLE HARBOR SUPERFUND SITE





Figure 2a Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well MW14 & Lower Aquifer Well CW05 March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013

—MW14 —CW05



-MW18 CDMW01

Figure 2b Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well MW18 & Lower Aquifer Well CDMW01 March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013




Figure 2c Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well PO03 & Lower Aquifer Well CDMW02 March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013




Figure 2d Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well CW03 & Lower Aquifer Well CW02 March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013








Figure 2e Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well VG2U & Lower Aquifer Well VG2L March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013




Date and Time	
—VG3UVG3	3L

Figure 2f Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well VG3U & Lower Aquifer Well VG3L March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013




Date and Time

Figure 2g Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well VG5U & Lower Aquifer Well VG5L March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013




Date and Time — PO13 — VG1L

Figure 2h Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well PO13 & Lower Aquifer Well VG1L March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013



Date and Time -CW13 VG4L

Figure 2i Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well CW13 & Lower Aquifer Well VG4L March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013



Date an	d Time
CW0	8 — P4L

Figure 2j Well Pair Hydrographs Upper Aquifer Well CW08 & Lower Aquifer Well P4L March 21, 2013 through June 18, 2013

