REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION / CLEANUP ACTION PLAN

7202 South Park Avenue Tacoma, Washington 98408

May 17, 2016

Prepared For:

Paul Kuchenmeister 17815 40th Avenue East Tacoma, Washington 98446

Brian A. Dixon Sr. Environmental Scientist





Prepared By:

ECI | Environmental Consulting PO Box 153 Tacoma, Washington 98333 (253) 238-9270

ECI Project No.: 0603-01

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION4
1.1	Document Purpose4
1	.1.1 Remedial Investigation
1	.1.2 Feasibility Study
1	1.3 Cleanup Action Plan4
2.0	BACKGROUND
2.1	Site Location and Description4
2.2	Environmental Investigations/Remedial Actions
2	AA Enviro Assessment, Inc – UST Decommissioning and Site Assessment
2	.2.2 ECI 2016 – Focused Subsurface Investigation
2.3	Physical Setting7
2	.3.1 Site Soil Conditions
	.3.2 Site Groundwater Conditions
3.0	CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL 7
3.0	CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL
3.1	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7
3.1 3.2	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7 Media of Concern
3.1	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7Media of Concern8Distribution of Contamination in Soil8Exposure Pathways8.4.1Soil Pathway8
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7Media of Concern8Distribution of Contamination in Soil8Exposure Pathways8.4.1Soil Pathway8Points of Compliance9
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7Media of Concern8Distribution of Contamination in Soil8Exposure Pathways84.1Soil Pathway8Points of Compliance9.5.1Point of Compliance for Soil9
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.5 3.6	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7Media of Concern8Distribution of Contamination in Soil8Exposure Pathways84.1Soil Pathway8Points of Compliance9.5.1Point of Compliance for Soil9Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation9
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.5	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7Media of Concern8Distribution of Contamination in Soil8Exposure Pathways84.1Soil Pathway8Points of Compliance9.5.1Point of Compliance for Soil9
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.5 3.6	Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels7Media of Concern8Distribution of Contamination in Soil8Exposure Pathways84.1Soil Pathway8Points of Compliance9.5.1Point of Compliance for Soil9Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation9

List of Appendices

Appendix A - Project Figures

- Figure 1: Site Location Map
- Figure 2: Site Topographic Map
- Figure 3: Historical Soil Sample Location Map
- Figure 4: Boring Location Map
- Figure 5: Site Photographs

Appendix B - Tables

• Table 1: Summary of Soil Analytical Results

Remedial Investigation / Cleanup Action Plan

7202 South Park Avenue Tacoma, Washington

Appendix C – Laboratory Analytical Reports

Appendix D – Boring Logs

Appendix E – Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of Mr. Paul Kuchenmeister, EcoCon Inc. (ECI) has prepared this Remedial Investigation/ Cleanup Action Plan (RI/CAP) for the parcel located at 7202 South Park Avenue in Tacoma, Washington (the Property) (Appendix A, Figures 1 and 2). This report was prepared for submittal to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and was developed to meet the general requirements of a RI and CAP as defined by the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Regulation in Chapters 173-340-350 through 173-340-410 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC).

As established in WAC 173-340-200, the "Site" is defined by the full lateral and vertical extent of contamination that has resulted from the former use of six underground storage tanks (USTs) on the Property. Based on the findings of environmental investigations discussed within this report, the Site appears to be limited to petroleum contaminated soil to the northern portion of the Property, extending slightly into the right-of-way (ROW) of South 72nd Street, along with a small amount of contaminated soil traveling beneath the existing canopy.

1.1 Document Purpose

1.1.1 Remedial Investigation

The purpose of the RI is to collect data necessary to effectively characterize the contamination present in all effected media and develop a conceptual site model (CSM).

1.1.2 Feasibility Study

The purpose of a feasibility study is to develop and evaluate remedial alternatives for the Site and to select the most appropriate alternative based on the criteria specified in MTCA 173-340-360(2). However, the Site appears to qualify for a Model Remedy selection based on the criteria outlined in Ecology's Publication No. 15-09-043 *Model Remedies for Sites with Petroleum Contaminated Soils*, therefore a feasibility study was not completed for this Site.

1.1.3 Cleanup Action Plan

The purpose of the CAP is to outline the specifics of the proposed Site remedial action.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The following section provides a description of the Site, a summary of environmental investigations conducted on the Site, and a description of the physical characteristics of the Site.

2.1 Site Location and Description

According to the Pierce County Assessor, the Property currently consists of a commercial lot, 0.28 acres in size (Figure 3, Appendix A). The Property is currently occupied with one structure serving as a

restaurant, but was formerly occupied by a petroleum service station, with six associated USTs. Previous environmental investigations/remedial actions had confirmed the presence of diesel-range organics (DRO), gasoline-range organics (GRO), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes (BTEX) and lead exceeding applicable Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels. These investigations are described below.

2.2 Environmental Investigations/Remedial Actions

2.2.1 AA Enviro Assessment, Inc – UST Decommissioning and Site Assessment

In October of 1998, AA Enviro Assessment, Inc. oversaw the decommissioning and removal of six USTs used to store petroleum products. UST 1 through UST 4 contained gasoline and were located on the southern portion of the Property; the tank capacities were 10,000-gallons, 3,000-gallons, 3,000-gallons, and 2,000-gallons, respectively. One heating oil tank was located south of the structure and was 500 gallons in capacity; and one waste oil tank was located north of the structure and was 300 gallons in capacity (Figure 3, Appendix A).

During the removal of the USTs and subsequent site assessment, eighteen (18) soil samples were collected from the sidewalls and the base of the excavations and analyzed for: DRO by NWTPH-Dx; GRO by NWTPH-Gx; and BTEX using EPA Method 8020. After the removal of approximately 721 tons of contaminated soil, only one soil sample, collected on the northern Property boundary adjacent to the South 72nd Street sidewalk, contained a concentration of GRO above its respective MTCA Method A Cleanup Level. The remaining seventeen samples contained concentrations of these contaminants below their respective laboratory detection limits and/or cleanup levels. Sample analytical results are summarized on Table 1 in Appendix B.

Due to the inaccessible material beneath the ROW of South 72nd Street, regulatory closure was never achieved.

2.2.2 ECI 2016 – Focused Subsurface Investigation

In early 2016, as part of a potential Property transaction, ECI was asked to review the environmental history of the Property, specifically with respect to the interim remedial action that took place in 1998. After review, ECI noted the following:

- One of the soil samples (E1) collected from the north sidewall of the main UST excavation, contained a concentration of benzene that was below the applicable cleanup levels in 1998, but above the current MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels.
- A performance soil sample (W01) which was subsequently overexcavated, also contained a concentration of benzene below applicable levels in 1998, but above current MTCA Method A

Cleanup Levels. This soil was removed, however the confirmation sample collected at the final limits of the excavation was not analyzed for benzene.

• Confirmation soil sample P4, collected from the bottom of the north pump island excavation, contained a concentrations of GRO below the applicable cleanup standards in 1998, but above the current MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels.

Based on this review, ECI recommended further investigation to evaluate the nature and extent of the release. Specifically to determine:

- If soil containing COC concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level is present in the locations described above. These values were only slightly above current standards and natural attenuation processes may have reduced these concentrations over time.
- The vertical and lateral extent of the release beneath the ROW of 72nd Street.
- Whether the release to soil has impacted the environmental quality of groundwater beneath the Site.

On May 4, 2016, Standard Environmental Probe of Tumwater, Washington, advanced five (5) borings (B1 through B5) using direct push drilling techniques under the supervision of an ECI environmental professional (Figure 4, Appendix A). The borings were advanced in strategic locations to evaluate the conditions described above. Boring B1 and B2 were advanced within the ROW of South 72nd Street to evaluate the northern extent of contamination; boring B3 was advanced adjacent to former soil sample P4 to evaluate current contaminant concentrations; boring B4 was advanced adjacent to former soil sample W01B to evaluate current contaminant concentrations; and boring B5 was advanced adjacent to former soil sample E1 to evaluate current contaminant concentrations.

Undisturbed soil samples were collected directly from the macro-core samplers extracted from the borings. Samples were transferred into new laboratory-provided analyte-specific sample containers and assigned a unique sample ID.

No groundwater was encountered in all five borings at depths ranging from 10.5 to 19.5 feet bgs. As a result, no groundwater samples were collected during this investigation.

The collected soil samples were placed in a climate controlled container and maintained at or below 4° Celsius until they were delivered to an Ecology accredited laboratory, Libby Environmental, of Olympia Washington, under industry standard chain of custody protocol.

Sample Results

Nine (9) soil samples were analyzed for GRO by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx, and BTEX by EPA Method 8021b. Sample analytical results are summarized below and on Table 1 in Appendix B:

Page 6

- The soil sample collected from B1 at a depth of 12 feet bgs contained a concentration of GRO above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level.
- Two soil samples collected from boring B5 at depths of 11 and 13 feet contained concentrations of benzene slightly above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level.
- The remaining soil samples contained COC concentrations below their respective laboratory reporting limits and/or MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels.

Laboratory analytical reports for ECI's Focused Subsurface Investigation are included in Appendix C.

2.3 Physical Setting

According to the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Tacoma South Quadrangle Geologic Map, the vicinity is underlain by relatively impermeable glacial till deposits that are present at or near the ground surface. The Site is located at an elevation of approximately 380 feet above mean sea level and is relatively flat.

2.3.1 Site Soil Conditions

During ECl's 2016 Focused Subsurface Investigation, soils were generally characterized as dense, fine to medium grained sandy silt to silty sand, with trace amounts of gravel to the maximum depth explored of 19 feet bgs. ECl's boring logs are included with this report in Appendix D.

2.3.2 Site Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater was not encountered in any of ECI's soil borings to a maximum depth of 19 feet bgs. Ecology well logs were also reviewed for the vicinity which indicate dry conditions to a depth of at least 20 feet bgs.

3.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

This section provides a summary of the conceptual site model, which includes a discussion of the COCs, the media of concern, the distribution of contamination in soil, and the potential exposure pathways for the Site.

3.1 Contaminants of Concern and Cleanup Levels

Based upon the results of previous investigations, the contaminants of concern (COCs) and respective cleanup levels for the Site are presented below:

MTCA Method-A Cleanup Levels for Soil and Groundwater (MTCA Cleanup Regulation 173-340-900: Tables 720-1 and 740-1)											
Contaminant of Concern (COCs) Soil Cleanup Levels (CUL) Groundwater Cleanup mg/kg Levels µg/L											
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	30	800									
Benzene	0.03	5									
Toluene	7	1,000									
Ethylbenzene	6	700									
Total Xylenes	9	1,000									

3.2 Media of Concern

Based upon the results of previous investigations soil is the only media of concern for the Site. Soil containing concentrations of COCs in excess of the respective MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels was limited to 13 feet below ground surface, while groundwater was not encountered on the Property and in the vicinity to depths of at least 20 feet bgs.

3.3 Distribution of Contamination in Soil

Based on the results of ECI's Focused Subsurface Investigation, it appears that petroleum contaminated soil is limited to the following areas on site:

- The sidewalk ROW of South 72nd Street, at a depth of approximately 12-14 feet bgs. This contamination is laterally bound to the north by soil boring B2, which was advanced in the first lane of east bound South 72nd Street, and contained non-detectable concentrations of COCs.
- The middle area of the site, extending beneath the building canopy. Soil boring B5 contained concentrations of benzene slightly above the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level between 11 and 13 feet bgs. This area was the northern extent of the initial gasoline UST excavation, and based on contaminant concentrations, appears to be the very leading edge of the contaminant plume.

3.4 Exposure Pathways

The following section discusses the confirmed and potential human and ecological exposure pathways at the Site.

3.4.1 Soil Pathway

Potential exposure pathways for soil contamination include direct dermal contact or ingestion. This exposure pathway will remain complete until such time that engineering and/or institutional controls prevent contact with contaminated material. Such controls could include asphalt or pavement, along with the implementation of an environmental covenant.

3.5 Points of Compliance

The point compliance is the location where the enforcement limits will be measured and cannot be exceeded.

3.5.1 Point of Compliance for Soil

The point of compliance for direct contact is throughout the Site, from ground surface to 15 feet bgs. This is the depth at which one would reasonably assume workers could encounter contaminated soil during construction or development activities. In situations where achieving the standard point of compliance is not practicable, conditional points of compliance may be established, or institutional controls implemented to prevent direct contact and protect human health and the environment.

3.6 Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation

A terrestrial ecological evaluation (TEE) form was completed for the Site, which indicates that the Site qualifies for an exclusion from further evaluation using the criteria in WAC 173-340-7491 (Appendix D). Specifically, there is less than 1.5 acres of contiguous undeveloped land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site.

4.0 **REMEDY SELECTION**

In accordance with the criteria outlined in Ecology's Publication No. 15-09-043 *Model Remedies for Sites with Petroleum Contaminated Soils,* the Site qualifies for the selection of Model Remedy 3.

This Model Remedy Applies to situations where MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels are selected but the soil removal action is not sufficient to fully comply with the specified concentrations at all locations on the source property or within the ROW, due to the presence of one or more structural impediments. The site must specifically meet the following standards:

• The soil removal action was implemented to the greatest degree practicable. - As detailed in Section 2.2.1, soil was excavated within the Property boundary until concentrations of COCs were below MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels at the time. A total of 721 tons of petroleum contaminated soil was removed from the Site, however the excavation could not continue beneath the public ROW due to the presence of large utilities and structural concerns for the roadway.

Three other areas where concentrations of COCs were below the 1998 MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, but above the current MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels were investigated by ECl in 2016 to evaluate current conditions. The results of ECl's 2016 Focused Subsurface Investigation suggests a limited amount of petroleum contaminated soil remains extending beneath the building canopy, however the concentrations of benzene have reduced from 0.05 mg/kg to 0.039 mg/kg since 1998, which indicates an aerobic environment capable of reducing contaminant concentrations to below MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels in a reasonable restoration time frame. ECl believes it would be impractical to excavate this limited amount of contamination based on the structural impediments and relatively small benefit to human health and the environment.

> The Site characterization confirms that no other pathway has or can reasonably be expected to be impacted. – Results from ECI's 2016 investigation and research of available Ecology records indicate that shallow groundwater is not present in the area at depths above 20 feet bgs. Soil contamination on the property is limited to approximately 11 to 13 feet bgs, therefore it is unlikely that the remaining contamination in soil could impact groundwater given the relatively dense Site geology.

ECI also proposed to cover the property with asphalt and/or pavement to prevent any downward migration of the contamination from rainwater infiltration.

• An environmental covenant is filed to ensure the remedy remains protective. – Upon approval from Ecology for the selection of Model Remedy 3, ECI will assist in the completion and filing of an Environmental Covenant on the Property.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the information provided in this report, ECI recommends no additional active remediation be performed on the Property. ECI also requests that Ecology approve the selection of Model Remedy 3, which will include the implementation of an Environmental Covenant on the Property to protect human exposure to the remaining soil contamination located beneath the building canopy and ROW of South 72nd Street. Once the environmental covenant is filed, ECI shall request that Ecology issue a Determination of No Further Action for the Site.

6.0 **REFERENCES**

AA Enviro Assessment Inc. 1999. Underground Storage Tank Site Characterization Report. April 20.

Pierce County Assessor. 2016. *Current Appraisal Data for Parcel #6545000011*. Reviewed May 9.

Washington State Department of Ecology. 1995. *Guidance for Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soils*. Publication No. 91-30. November.

Washington State Department of Ecology. 2015. *Model Remedies for Site with Petroleum Contaminated Soils*. Publication No. 15-08-043. September.

Page 10

Appendix A

Project Figures

Figure 1: Site Location Map Figure 2: Site Topographic Map Figure 3: Historical Soil Sample Location Map Figure 4: Boring Location Map Figure 5: Site Photographs







Site Topographic Map Focused Subsurface Investigation 7202 S Park Ave Tacoma, WA 98408

Not To Scale

Date:	May 13, 2016	Figure No.:
Completed By:	K. Spencer	
Reviewed By .:	B. Dixon	
Version:	ECI-001	
Project No.:	0603-01-01	Sheet 02 of 05
		Sheet 02 01 05
ECI	environme	ntal services



Soil Sample Location



Concentration Exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup Level Historical Soil Sample Location Map Focused Subsurface Investigation 7202 S Park Ave Tacoma, WA 98408 Date: May 13, 2016 Completed By: K. Spencer Reviewed By.: B. Dixon Version: ECI-001 Project No.: 0603-01-01





Soil Sample Location



Concentration Exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup Level Boring Location Map Focused Subsurface Investigation 7202 S Park Ave Tacoma, WA 98408

ECI	environme	ntal services
Project No.:	0603-01-01	Sheet 04 of 05
Version:	ECI-001	
Reviewed By.:	B. Dixon	
Completed By:	K. Spencer	
Date:	May 13, 2016	Figure No.:



Photograph One: Boring B2



Photograph Three: Boring B5



Photograph Two: Boring B1



Photograph Four: Boring B3



Photograph Five: Boring B1



Photograph Six: Example of sample core



Site Photographs Focused Subsurface Investigation 7202 S Park Ave Tacoma, WA 98408

Date:	May 13, 2016	Figure No.:
Completed By:	K. Spencer	
Reviewed By .:	B. Dixon	
Version:	ECI-001	
Project No.:	0603-01-01	Sheet 05 of 05
ECI	environme	ntal services

Appendix B

Project Tables

Table 1: Summary of Soil Analytical Results





			Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (mg/kg)			5	Select Volatile Organic Compounds (mg/kg)				Select Metals (mg/kg)				
Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth	Gasoline-Range Organics	Diesel-Range Organics	Oil-Range Organics	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	PCB Mixtures (mg/kg)	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Mercury	Leac
			1		AA Enviro Assess	ment, Inc. 199	98 - UST Decom	missioning and Sit	e Assessment						
E1	10/21/1998	7'-8'	<10			0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05						
E2	10/21/1998	9'	<10			< 0.05	< 0.05	<0.05	<0.05						
E3	10/21/1998	13'	<10			<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						<5
E4	10/21/1998	8'-9'	<10			<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						
E5	10/21/1998	14'	<10			<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						<5
E6	10/21/1998	9'-10'	<10			<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						
E7	10/21/1998	9'	<10			<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						
E8	10/21/1998	14'	<10			<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						<5
E9	10/21/1998	14'-15'		<20											
E10	10/21/1998	9'		<20											
P3	10/21/1998	U		<20											
W01	10/21/1998	7'			930	0.24	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<88	<18	46	<0.1	52
W02	10/21/1998	7'			<40										
W03	10/21/1998	7'			<40										
H01	10/21/1998	8'			210										
L1	10/22/1998	U	<10	<20		<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						
P1	10/22/1998	U	<10			<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05						
P4	10/22/1998	10'	71			<0.05	0.14	0.47	1.62						
SW72	10/22/1998	5'-8'	D	<50	<100										
P2	10/22/1998	10'													
H01B	10/26/1998	9'			84										
W01B	10/26/1998	10'			130										
SW72W	10/26/1998	5'-8'			<40										
SW72B	10/28/1998	5'	770			0.1	0.6	2.7	15						<5
	5/5/0046					r		ce Investigation		1		1	1		
B1-12	5/5/2016	12	1,090			<0.02	<0.1	4.67	5.46						
B1-18	5/5/2016	18	6.9			< 0.02	<0.1	< 0.05	<0.15						
B2-11	5/5/2016	11 15	<10 <10			<0.02 <0.02	<0.1 <0.1	< 0.05	<0.15						
B2-15	5/5/2016		-				-	< 0.05	<0.15						
B3-10	5/5/2016	10 10	<10 <10			<0.02 <0.02	<0.1 <0.1	<0.05 <0.05	<0.15 <0.15						
B4-10	5/5/2016	10	-			<0.02		<0.05	<0.15						
B5-08	5/5/2016	8	<10 14			<0.02 0.039	<0.1 0.18	0.067	<0.15 1.6						
B5-11	5/5/2016 5/5/2016	11	14 6.8			0.039	0.18	0.24	0.43						
B5-13	5/5/2016 rod A Cleanup Leve	-	6.8 30	2,000	2,000	0.036	0.25	6	9		20	2	2,000*	2	250

<: Not detected above laboratory reporting limit

U: Unknown

*: Chromium III

Appendix C

Laboratory Analytical Reports





Libby Environmental, Inc. 4139 Libby Road NE • Olympia, WA 98506-2518

May 11, 2016

Brian Dixon ECI P.O. Box 153 Fox Island, WA 98333

Dear Mr. Dixon:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the 7202 S Park Ave Project located in Tacoma, Washington.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. The sample(s) will be disposed of in 30 days unless we are contacted to arrange long term storage.

Libby Environmental, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give me a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

2 2 Mu

Sherry L. Chilcutt Senior Chemist Libby Environmental, Inc.

	A	ro d mên ces I Vece	A_	L161	09								СН	A	N	0	F-	CI	USTOD	r RE	со	R
CLIENT: ECOL	01 -	Inc									DAT	E:	5-5	1	6			PA	GE_	_OF		
ADDRESS: # P											PRO	DJEC		ME		7 : e	20 J	2	S Park Tacom	Ave a, wa	2	
CLIENT PROJECT						1					1								2	DATE OF	0N 57	15/1
Sample Number	Depth	Time	Sample Type	Container Type	BURLYSES AND TO A	ent of	OU /	Solution of the second	A LONG	AND	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ADD TO TO TO		SRO S	4 / SPO ST	Nº SU			NOTES		Total Number	of Containers Laboratory
1. BI-05	5	0710	Soil	Vasa 402				1							Ē .				HOLD	And a second	er	
2. 81-09	9	0725	90.1		2 a - 14 avec					· ····									HOL D.		•	
3. B1-1Z	12	0730	Soil		X	X															1	
4. B1-18	18	0745	Soil		X	X																T
5. B2-11	11	0900			X	X																1
6. BZ-15	15	0910			X	X																T
7. 83.10	10	1055			X	X									Τ							T
8. B4-10	10	1130			X	X																T
9. B5-08	8	1145				X								T								T
10. BS - 11	111	1150			X	X									T			'	-			
11. 85-13	13	1205	4	6	X	X																
12.	1													T								. 3
13.																						
14.			· · · ·	-					T													T
15.	1.11		· · ·					1	+										· · · · · ·		1.00	T
16.									T							1. 					T	
17.	1																					T
18.	1																				1	T
RELINQUISHED BY Sign	ature)		TE/TIME	RECEIVE	D BY (Sign	ature)	C	ATE/T	IME		SA	MPLE	RECE	IPT				L	BORATORY NOTE	S:		
ATA	1	3	15/16	1:24 Th	oder a	les	- 575	141	:24	TOTA	L NUMBE	ROF	CONT	NNEF	RS		53					
RELINQUISHED BY (Signa	ature)	DAT	TE/TIME		D BY (Sign			ATE		CHAIN	OF CUS	STODY	SEAL	SYA	VNA		X					- 1
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	•									SEAL	S INTACT	? Y/N	NA			-	X					
	SA	MPIE	DISPOS	AL INSTRUCT	IONS					RECE	IVED GO	OD CO	DND.C	OLD			3					
0		and a strength and the strength of the strengt	in the second second	each D Rat	and the second second	up				NOTE	S:					-		Tu	Irn Around Time:	24 HR 4	BHR	5 DAY

Libby Environmental, Inc.

7202 S PARK AVE PROJECT ECI Tacoma, Washington Libby Project # L160505-7 Client Project # 0603-01-01 4139 Libby Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@aol.com

Sampla	Date	Ponzona	Toluene	Ethylbonzono	Vulanca	Gasoline	Surrogata					
Sample		Benzene		•	Xylenes		Surrogate					
Number	Analyzed	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Recovery (%)					
Method Blank	5/9/16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	101					
LCS	5/9/16	105%	103%				87					
B1-12	5/9/16	nd	nd	4.67	5.46	1090	99					
B1-18	5/9/16	nd	nd	nd	nd	6.9 J	81					
B2-11	5/9/16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	100					
B2-15	5/9/16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	102					
B3-10	5/9/16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	74					
B3-10 Dup	5/9/16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	79					
B4-10	5/9/16	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	80					
B5-08	5/9/16	nd	nd	0.067	nd	nd	79					
B5-11	5/9/16	0.039	0.18	0.24	1.60	14	103					
B5-13	5/9/16	0.036	0.25	0.075	0.43	6.8 J	101					
B5-13 MS	5/9/16	124%	114%				102					
B5-13 MSD	5/9/16	125%	111%				80					
Practical Quantitation Li	imit	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.15	10						
"J" Indicates analyte was positively indentified. The reported result is an estimate.												
"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits.												
"int" Indicates that intert	ference prev	ents detern	nination.									

Analyses of Gasoline (NWTPH-Gx) & BTEX (EPA Method 8260C) in Soil

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE (Toluene-d8): 65% TO 135%

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: Paul Burke

Appendix D

Boring Logs





F		enviro	nmental	services	Project: Location:		Subsurface Investgation uth Parck Avenue, Tacoma,	B	oring ID:	В	51		
	An		www.ec			Washing			Project Number:	0603-	·01-01		
					Client:	Kuchenn			Unified Soil Class	ification Syst	em		
D	ate Start/	-	5/5/2016		Drilling Me		Direct Push	R	GW WELL-GRADED GRAV	EL, FINE TO COA			
	Logged		Brian Dixon		Auger ID/C			NON-COHESIVE SOILS	GM SILTY GRAVEL GC CLAYEY GRAVEL				
	Checked	-	Melissa Leon	е	Borehole I	D/OD:	2 inches	DHESI	SW WELL-GRADED SAND, SP POORLY-GRADED SAN		E SAND		
	Contrac	tor:	Standard Envir	ronmental Probe	Sampler:		Brian Dixon	ON-CC	SM SILTY SAND	ND			
	Operat	or:	Chris Ross		Hammer W	/t./Fall:		ž	ML SILT				
В	oring Loo	cation:	See boring lo	cation map	Ground Ele	evation:		SOILS	UL CLAY ORGANIC SILT, ORGA				
	Coordina	ates:			Water Dep	th:		COHESIVE SOILS	CH SILT OF HIGH PLASTIC		.T		
Weather: Sunny				Boring Dep	pth:	19 feet	COHE	ORGANIC CLAY, ORGA	ANIC SILT				
Depth (ft bgs)	δ N						Soil and Rock Description	n		Unified Classification	Graphical Representation		
1				No odor	1	Brown,	, dense, fine grained, iron rich, s	sandy	/ silt	ML			
2													
3													
4													
5	B1-5	7:10 AM											
6													
7				No odor		Gray	v, dense, fine grained, moist, silt	ty sar	nd	SM			
8					-1								
9	B1-9	7:25 AM		No odor	-	Gray, dense,	fine grained, moist, silty sand w	vith tr	ace gravel	SM			
10 11					-								
12	B1-12	7:30 AM		Odor	ł		Increased sand content						
13	5112	1100 7 441		Oddi	-								
14					-								
15				Odor	1↓	Gray, der	nse, medium grained, silty sand	with	gravel	SM			
16					1								
17				No odor	1↓		Gray, fine grained, silty sand			SM			
18	B1-18	7:45 AM]1								
19				No odor	+	Gi	ray, fine grained, sand with trace	e silt		SW			
20						Termin	ation of boring. Backfilled with b	pento	nite.				
21					4								
22					-								
23					-								
24					-								
25 26					-								
20					-								
28					1								
20					1								
30	<u> </u>				1								
<u>Notes</u>	<u>:</u>		•										

ECI environmental services				Project:	Focused	Subsurface Investgation	B	oring ID:	B	2		
E		enviro	nmental www.ec	Services	Location:	7202 So Washing	uth Parck Avenue, Tacoma, gton					
	An	ichorage Ta	oma Portland Client: Kuchenmeister						Project Number:	0603-01-01		
D	ate Start/	Finish:	5/5/2016		Drilling Me	thod:	Direct Push		Unified Soil Class			
	Logged	By:	Brian Dixon		Auger ID/C	D:		SOILS	GP POORLY-GRADED GR GM SILTY GRAVEL			
	Checked	l By:	Melissa Leor	e	Borehole I	D/OD:	2 inches	ESIVE	GC CLAYEY GRAVEL	FINE TO COARS	E SAND	
	Contrac	tor:	Standard Envi	ronmental Probe	Sampler:		Brian Dixon	NON-COHESIVE	SP POORLY-GRADED SA			
	Operat	or:	Chris Ross		Hammer W	/t./Fall:		Ő	SC CLAYEY SAND			
В	oring Loo	cation:	See boring lo	cation map	Ground Ele	evation:		SILS	CL CLAY OL ORGANIC SILT, ORGA	NIC CLAY		
	Coordina	ates:			Water Dep	th:	MH SILT OF HIGH PLASTIC CH CLAY OF HIGH PLAST	CITY, ELASTIC SIL	.T			
	Weather: Sunny				Boring De	pth:	19.5 feet	COHESIVE SOILS	OH ORGANIC CLAY, ORGA			
Depth (ft bgs)	Sample Number	Time	PID Reading	Remarks				Unified Classification	Graphical Representation			
1				No odor	1		Gray, gravelly sand, fill			SW		
2				No odor	1↓	Gray, gravelly sand, till Light brown, silty sand with iron deposits						
3					1▲							
4					-							
5				No odor]	SM						
6]							
7												
8						_						
9				No odor	-	Gray, mee	dium grained, sand with gravel a	and t	race silt	SW		
10 11	B2-11	9:00 AM										
12	D2 11	0.007			-		Increased silt content					
13					1							
14				No odor	•	Gray, r	medium grained, sand with grave	el ar	id silt	SW		
15	B2-15	9:10 AM]↑							
16												
17				No odor	X	G	Grayish brown, silty sand with gra	avel		SM		
18					4							
19 20												
20					•	Termin	nation of boring. Backfilled with b	pento	onite.			
22					1							
23					1							
24]							
25												
26					4							
27					4							
28					4							
29					-							
30												
<u>Notes</u>	<u>•</u>											

F	ECI environmental services					7202 So	I Subsurface Investgation uth Parck Avenue, Tacoma,	В	oring ID:	B3				
		1	coma Portland		Location: Client:	Washing Kuchenr		- !	Project Number:	0603-	01-01			
D	ate Start/	Finish	5/5/2016		Drilling Me		Direct Push		Unified Soil Class					
	Logged	-	Brian Dixon		Auger ID/O			OILS	GW WELL-GRADED GRAV GP POORLY-GRADED GR		RSE GRAVEL			
			Melissa Leor		Borehole ID/OD: 2 inches				GM SILTY GRAVEL GC CLAYEY GRAVEL					
	Checked	-				D/OD:		NON-COHESIVE SOILS	SW WELL-GRADED SAND, SP POORLY-GRADED SAI		E SAND			
	Contrac			ronmental Probe	Sampler:		Brian Dixon	NON-C	SILTY SAND SC CLAYEY SAND					
	Operat		Chris Ross		Hammer W				ML SILT CL CLAY					
В	Soring Loo		See boring lo	ocation map	Ground Ele			SOILS	OL ORGANIC SILT, ORGA		Ŧ			
	Coordina				Water Dep			COHESIVE SOILS	CH CLAY OF HIGH PLAST	ICITY, FAT CLAY	-1			
	Weather: Sunny				Boring Dep	pth:	10.5 feet	COHI	OH ORGANIC CLAY, ORG	ANIC SILI				
Depth (ft bgs)	Depth (ft bgs) Depth (ft bgs) Sample Number Sample Number PID Reading PID Reading					Soil and Rock Description								
1				No odor	🕇 Fi	ill, loose, gra	velly sand with wood debris and	l bric	k fragments	SW				
2														
3														
4														
5														
6					_									
7				Slight odor		Gra	ay, fine to medium grained, silty	sand	1	SM				
8						-								
9	50.40	40.55 444		Slight odor	X	Gray, o	dense, fine to medium grained, s	silty s	and	SM				
10 11	B3-10	10:55 AM												
12					•	Termin	ation of boring. Backfilled with b	pento	nite.					
13							J							
14														
15					-									
16														
17														
18														
19					4									
20					4									
21					-									
22					4									
23 24					-									
24 25					4									
25 26					-									
20					4									
28					1									
20					1									
30	<u> </u>				1									
<u>Notes</u>	<u>:</u>		-	-	·					·				

ECI environmental services				Project:	Focused	Subsurface Investgation	R	oring ID:	R	4			
E		enviro	nmental www.ec	services	Location:	7202 So Washing	uth Parck Avenue, Tacoma, gton				-		
	An	chorage Ta	coma Portlan	1	Client:	Kuchenr	neister	1	Project Number:	0603-01-01			
D	ate Start/	Finish:	5/5/2016		Drilling Me	thod:	Direct Push		Unified Soil Class				
	Logged	By:	Brian Dixon		Auger ID/O	D:		SOILS	GP POORLY-GRADED GR GM SILTY GRAVEL				
	Checked		Melissa Leor	e	Borehole I	D/OD:	2 inches	SIVE :	GC CLAYEY GRAVEL	FINE TO COARS	E SAND		
	Contrac	tor:	Standard Envi	ronmental Probe	Sampler:		Brian Dixon	NON-COHESIVE	SP POORLY-GRADED SA		2 0/110		
	Operat	or:	Chris Ross		Hammer W	/t./Fall:		NON	SC CLAYEY SAND				
В	Soring Loo	cation:	See boring lo	cation map	Ground Ele	evation:		ILS	CL CLAY OL ORGANIC SILT, ORGA				
	Coordina	ates:			Water Dep	th:		IVE SC	MH SILT OF HIGH PLASTIC CH CLAY OF HIGH PLAST	CITY, ELASTIC SI	.T		
	Weather: Sunny				Boring Dep	oth:	11.5 feet	COHESIVE SOILS	OH ORGANIC CLAY, ORGANIC PT PEAT				
Depth (ft bgs)	Sample Number	Time	PID Reading	Remarks			Soil and Rock Description			Unified Classification	Graphical Representation		
1				No odor		Brown, loose, fill, sand with gravel							
2													
3													
4				Ne edea		Dro	val.	<u></u>					
5 6				No odor	*	Brov	wnish gray, silty sand with trace	el	SM				
7													
8					-								
9				No odor	l↓	Brov	wnish gray, silty sand with trace	grav	rel	SM			
10	B4-10	11:30 AM	1		1								
11													
12					¥								
13						Termin	nation of boring. Backfilled with b	pento	onite.				
14													
15 16													
17					-								
18	ļ				-								
19					1								
20													
21					-								
22					-								
23					1								
24 25					-								
25					-								
27					1								
28					1								
29					1								
30					1								
<u>Notes</u>	<u>:</u>												

C		enviro	nmental	services	Project:		Subsurface Investgation	Boring ID:	В	5
environmental services			Location: 7202 South Parck Avenue, Tacoma, Washington		Project Number:	0603-01-01				
Anchorage Tacoma Portland			Client: Kuchenmeister		Unified Soil Classification System					
Date Start/Finish: 5/5/2016			Drilling Me	thod:	Direct Push	GW WELL-GRADED GRAV	EL, FINE TO COAF			
Logged By:		Brian Dixon		Auger ID/OD:			GP POORLY-GRADED GR	ND, FINE TO COARSE SAND		
Checked By:		Melissa Leone		Borehole ID/OD:		2 inches	GC CLAYEY GRAVEL			
	Contractor:		Standard Environmental Probe		Sampler:		Brian Dixon	S GP POORLY-GRADED GR G GM SILTY GRAVEL GC CLAYEY GRAVEL WELL-GRADED SAND SP POORLY-GRADED SAN SILTY SAND SC CLAYEY SAND	ND	
Operator:		Chris Ross		Hammer Wt./Fall:			ML SILT			
Boring Location:		See boring location map		Ground Elevation:			୍ଧ ^{CL} CLAY ୦L ORGANIC SILT, ORGA			
	Coordinates:				Water Depth:			OL CLAY OL ORGANIC SILT, ORGA MH SILT OF HIGH PLASTIC CH CLAY OF HIGH PLAST UH ORGANIC CLAY, ORG. O PT PEAT		
	Weather:		Sunny		Boring Depth: 13.5 feet		번 OH ORGANIC CLAY, ORG O PT PEAT	ANIC SILT		
Depth (ft bgs)	Sample Number	Time	PID Reading	Remarks			Soil and Rock Description	ad ation ical		
1				No odor	1	Dark	gray to brown, fill, silty sand with	h gravel	SM	
2										
3										
4										
5				No odor	*		Gray, fine grained, sandy silt		ML	
6 7				Odor	-	Gray	/, medium grained, sand with tra	aco silt	SW	
8	B5-8	11:45 AM		Odor	X	Glay	, medium grained, sand with tra		500	
9	D3-0	11.407.00			-					
10				Odor	1↓		Gray, silty sand with gravel		SM	
11	B5-11	11:50 AM		Strong odor	1					
12										
13	B5-13	12:05 PM		Slight odor	l 🖌	Gra	ay, dense, silty sand with trace g	gravel	SM	
14					Ŧ					
15						Termin	ation of boring. Backfilled with b	pentonite.		
16										
17 18					-					
19					-					
20					-					
21					1					
22]					
23										
24					-					
25			_		-					
26					-					
27					-					
28 29					-					
30					-					
Notes	:	1							I	

Appendix E

Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation





Voluntary Cleanup Program

Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program

Title: Vice President

TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGICAL EVALUATION FORM

Under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), a terrestrial ecological evaluation is necessary if hazardous substances are released into the soils at a Site. In the event of such a release, you must take one of the following three actions as part of your investigation and cleanup of the Site:

- 1. Document an exclusion from further evaluation using the criteria in WAC 173-340-7491.
- 2. Conduct a simplified evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7492.
- 3. Conduct a site-specific evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7493.

When requesting a written opinion under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), you must complete this form and submit it to the Department of Ecology (Ecology). The form documents the type and results of your evaluation.

Completion of this form is not sufficient to document your evaluation. You still need to document your analysis and the basis for your conclusion in your cleanup plan or report.

If you have questions about how to conduct a terrestrial ecological evaluation, please contact the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. For additional guidance, please refer to www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/policies/terrestrial/TEEHome.htm.

Step 1: IDENTIFY HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE

Please identify below the hazardous waste site for which you are documenting an evaluation.

Facility/Site Name: 7202 South Park Avenue

Facility/Site Address: 7207 South Park Avenue

Facility/Site No: NA

VCP Project No.: NA

Step 2: IDENTIFY EVALUATOR

Please identify below the person who conducted the evaluation and their contact information.

Name: Brian Dixon

Organization: EcoCon Inc.

Mailing address: PO Box 153

City: Fox Island		State: WA		Zip code: 98333
Phone: 253-238-9270	Fax:		E-mail: bdixo	n@ecocon.us

Step 3: DOCUMENT EVALUATION TYPE AND RESULTS					
A. Exclusion from further evaluation.					
1. Does the Site qualify for an exclusion from further evaluation?					
Yes If you answered " YES ," then answer Question 2 .					
No or Unknown If you answered "NO" or "UKNOWN," then skip to Step 3B of this form.					
2. What is the basis for the exclusion? Check all that apply. Then skip to Step 4 of this form.					
Point of Compliance: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(a)					
All soil contamination is, or will be,* at least 15 feet below the surface.					
All soil contamination is, or will be,* at least 6 feet below the surface (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology), and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.					
Barriers to Exposure: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(b)					
All contaminated soil, is or will be,* covered by physical barriers (such as buildings or paved roads) that prevent exposure to plants and wildlife, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.					
Undeveloped Land: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)					
 There is less than 0.25 acres of contiguous[#] undeveloped[±] land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site and any of the following chemicals is present: chlorinated dioxins or furans, PCB mixtures, DDT, DDE, DDD, aldrin, chlordane, dieldrin, endosulfan, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, benzene hexachloride, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, or pentachlorobenzene. 					
\Join For sites not containing any of the chemicals mentioned above, there is less than 1.5 acres of contiguous [#] undeveloped [±] land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site.					
Background Concentrations: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(d)					
Concentrations of hazardous substances in soil do not exceed natural background levels as described in WAC 173-340-200 and 173-340-709.					
 * An exclusion based on future land use must have a completion date for future development that is acceptable to Ecology. # "Undeveloped land" is land that is not covered by building, roads, paved areas, or other barriers that would prevent wildlife from feeding on plants, earthworms, insects, or other food in or on the soil. # "Contiguous" undeveloped land is an area of undeveloped land that is not divided into smaller areas of highways, extensive paving, or similar structures that are likely to reduce the potential use of the overall area 					
by wildlife.					

B	3. Simplified evaluation.					
1.	1. Does the Site qualify for a simplified evaluation?					
	□ Y	If you answered "YES," then answer Question 2 below.				
	□ N Unkn	If you answered "NO" or "UNKNOWN," then skip to Step 3C of this form.				
2.	2. Did you conduct a simplified evaluation?					
	□ Y	es If you answered "YES," then answer Question 3 below.				
	🗌 N	o If you answered " NO, " then skip to Step 3C of this form.				
3.	Was furthe	er evaluation necessary?				
	□ Y	es If you answered "YES," then answer Question 4 below.				
	□ N	o If you answered " NO ," then answer Question 5 below.				
4.	If further e	valuation was necessary, what did you do?				
		Used the concentrations listed in Table 749-2 as cleanup levels. If so, then skip to Step 4 of this form.				
		Conducted a site-specific evaluation. If so, then skip to Step 3C of this form.				
5.	If no furthe to Step 4 o	er evaluation was necessary, what was the reason? Check all that apply. Then skip f this form.				
	Exposure A	Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(a)				
		Area of soil contamination at the Site is not more than 350 square feet.				
		Current or planned land use makes wildlife exposure unlikely. Used Table 749-1.				
	Pathway Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(b)					
		No potential exposure pathways from soil contamination to ecological receptors.				
	Contamina	nt Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(c)				
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at concentrations that exceed the values listed in Table 749-2.				
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations that exceed the values listed in Table 749-2, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.				
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at concentrations likely to be toxic or have the potential to bioaccumulate as determined using Ecology-approved bioassays.				
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations likely to be toxic or have the potential to bioaccumulate as determined using Ecology-approved bioassays, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.				

C.	C. Site-specific evaluation. A site-specific evaluation process consists of two parts: (1) formulating the problem, and (2) selecting the methods for addressing the identified problem. Both steps require consultation with and approval by Ecology. See WAC 173-340-7493(1)(c).						
1.	1. Was there a problem? See WAC 173-340-7493(2).						
	□ Y	Yes If you answered " YES ," then answer Question 2 below.					
	□ N	lo <i>If you answ</i> below:	wered " NO, " then identify the reason here and then skip to Question 5				
			No issues were identified during the problem formulation step.				
			While issues were identified, those issues were addressed by the cleanup actions for protecting human health.				
2.	What did y	ou do to resolv	e the problem? See WAC 173-340-7493(3).				
		Used the concentrations listed in Table 749-3 as cleanup levels. <i>If so, then skip to Question 5 below.</i>					
			ore of the methods listed in WAC 173-340-7493(3) to evaluate and entified problem. <i>If so, then answer Questions 3 and 4 below.</i>				
3.	3. If you conducted further site-specific evaluations, what methods did you use? <i>Check all that apply.</i> See WAC 173-340-7493(3).						
		Literature surveys.					
		Soil bioassays.					
		Wildlife exposure model.					
		Biomarkers.					
		Site-specific field studies.					
		Weight of evidence.					
		Other methods approved by Ecology. If so, please specify:					
4.	4. What was the result of those evaluations?						
		Confirmed there was no problem.					
	Confirmed there was a problem and established site-specific cleanup levels.						
5.	5. Have you already obtained Ecology's approval of both your problem formulation and problem resolution steps?						
	Yes If so, please identify the Ecology staff who approved those steps:						
	□ No						

Г

Step 4: SUBMITTAL

Please mail your completed form to the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. If a site manager has not yet been assigned, please mail your completed form to the Ecology regional office for the County in which your Site is located.



If you need this publication in an alternate format, please call the Toxics Cleanup Program at 360-407-7170. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.