

## **Voluntary Cleanup Program**

Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program

## TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGICAL EVALUATION FORM

Under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), a terrestrial ecological evaluation is necessary if hazardous substances are released into the soils at a Site. In the event of such a release, you must take one of the following three actions as part of your investigation and cleanup of the Site:

- 1. Document an exclusion from further evaluation using the criteria in WAC 173-340-7491.
- 2. Conduct a simplified evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7492.
- 3. Conduct a site-specific evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7493.

When requesting a written opinion under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), you must complete this form and submit it to the Department of Ecology (Ecology). The form documents the type and results of your evaluation.

Completion of this form is not sufficient to document your evaluation. You still need to document your analysis and the basis for your conclusion in your cleanup plan or report.

If you have questions about how to conduct a terrestrial ecological evaluation, please contact the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. For additional guidance, please refer to <a href="https://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/policies/terrestrial/TEEHome.htm">www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/policies/terrestrial/TEEHome.htm</a>.

Step 1: IDENTIFY HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE		
Please identify below the hazardous waste site for which you are documenting an evaluation.		
Facility/Site Name: Interlake Grocery		
Facility/Site Address: 7446 Mullen Road SE, Olympia, WA 98503		
Facility/Site No: 17936	VCP Project No.: TBD	

Step 2: IDENTIFY EVALUATOR				
Please identify below the person who conducted the evaluation and their contact information.				
Name: Scott Rose			Title: Senior Hydrogeologist	
Organization: Associated Environmental Group				
Mailing address: 605 11th Ave SE, Suite 201				
City: Olympia		State: WA		Zip code: 98501
Phone: (360) 352-9835	Fax:		E-mail: srose@aegwa.com	

Step 3: DOCUMENT EVALUATION TYPE AND RESULTS				
A.	Excl	usion	from	further evaluation.
1.	Does	the S	ite q	ualify for an exclusion from further evaluation?
			es	If you answered "YES," then answer Question 2.
		⊠ No Unkno		If you answered "NO" or "UKNOWN," then skip to Step 3B of this form.
2.	What	t is the	bas	is for the exclusion? Check all that apply. Then skip to Step 4 of this form.
	Point	of Co	mplia	nce: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(a)
			All s	oil contamination is, or will be,* at least 15 feet below the surface.
			dept	oil contamination is, or will be,* at least 6 feet below the surface (or alternative th if approved by Ecology), and institutional controls are used to manage aining contamination.
	Barri	ers to l	Ехро	sure: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(b)
			pave	contaminated soil, is or will be,* covered by physical barriers (such as buildings or ed roads) that prevent exposure to plants and wildlife, and institutional controls used to manage remaining contamination.
	Unde	velope	ed La	nd: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)
			of a diox ende	re is less than 0.25 acres of contiguous* undeveloped* land on or within 500 feet ny area of the Site and any of the following chemicals is present: chlorinated ins or furans, PCB mixtures, DDT, DDE, DDD, aldrin, chlordane, dieldrin, osulfan, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, benzene hexachloride, phene, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, or pentachlorobenzene.
				sites not containing any of the chemicals mentioned above, there is less than 1.5 es of contiguous <sup>#</sup> undeveloped <sup>±</sup> land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site.
	Background Concentrations: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(d)			
				centrations of hazardous substances in soil do not exceed natural background levels escribed in WAC 173-340-200 and 173-340-709.
ac ± ' pre # '	ceptab 'Undev event v 'Contig	le to Ed reloped vildlife f juous" u s, exten	cology land" rom fe undev	on future land use must have a completion date for future development that is is land that is not covered by building, roads, paved areas, or other barriers that would be eding on plants, earthworms, insects, or other food in or on the soil. eloped land is an area of undeveloped land that is not divided into smaller areas of aving, or similar structures that are likely to reduce the potential use of the overall area

В.	Simplified ev	aluation.			
1.	. Does the Site qualify for a simplified evaluation?				
		If you answered "YES," then answer Question 2 below.			
	☐ No o Unknow	IT VALL SNOWARAG "NLL" AT "LINK NLLWYN " THAN OKIN TO STAN SLEAT THIS TARM			
2.	Did you cond	luct a simplified evaluation?			
		If you answered "YES," then answer Question 3 below.			
	☐ No	If you answered "NO," then skip to Step 3C of this form.			
3.	Was further e	evaluation necessary?			
	☐ Yes	If you answered "YES," then answer Question 4 below.			
	⊠ No	If you answered "NO," then answer Question 5 below.			
4.	If further eva	luation was necessary, what did you do?			
		Ised the concentrations listed in Table 749-2 as cleanup levels. If so, then skip to Step 4 of this form.			
		Conducted a site-specific evaluation. If so, then skip to <b>Step 3C</b> of this form.			
5.	If no further of to Step 4 of th	evaluation was necessary, what was the reason? Check all that apply. Then skip nis form.			
	Exposure Ana	alysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(a)			
		area of soil contamination at the Site is not more than 350 square feet.			
		Current or planned land use makes wildlife exposure unlikely. Used Table 749-1.			
	Pathway Ana	lysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(b)			
	☐ No potential exposure pathways from soil contamination to ecological receptors.				
	Contaminant	Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(c)			
	IXI	lo contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at oncentrations that exceed the values listed in Table 749-2.			
	⊠ a li	lo contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or liternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations that exceed the values sted in Table 749-2, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining ontamination.			
	□ c	lo contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at concentrations likely to be toxic or have the potential to bioaccumulate as determined sing Ecology-approved bioassays.			
	□ atl	No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations likely to be toxic or have ne potential to bioaccumulate as determined using Ecology-approved bioassays, and astitutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.			

C.	C. Site-specific evaluation. A site-specific evaluation process consists of two parts: (1) formulating the problem, and (2) selecting the methods for addressing the identified problem. Both steps require consultation with and approval by Ecology. See WAC 173-340-7493(1)(c).					
1.	Was there a pro	blem? See WAC 173-340-7493(2).				
	☐ Yes	If you answered "YES," then answer Question 2 below.				
	☐ No	If you answered "NO," then identify the reason here and then skip to Question 5 below:				
		No issues were identified during the problem formulation step.				
		While issues were identified, those issues were addressed by the cleanup actions for protecting human health.				
2.	What did you do	to resolve the problem? See WAC 173-340-7493(3).				
		d the concentrations listed in Table 749-3 as cleanup levels. If so, then skip to estion 5 below.				
		d one or more of the methods listed in WAC 173-340-7493(3) to evaluate and ress the identified problem. <i>If so, then answer Questions 3 and 4 below.</i>				
3.	-	d further site-specific evaluations, what methods did you use?  ply. See WAC 173-340-7493(3).				
	_	rature surveys.				
	_	bioassays.				
	☐ Wildlife exposure model.					
	Biomarkers.					
	Site	-specific field studies.				
	☐ Wei	ght of evidence.				
	Othe	er methods approved by Ecology. If so, please specify:				
4.	4. What was the result of those evaluations?					
	☐ Con	firmed there was no problem.				
	☐ Con	firmed there was a problem and established site-specific cleanup levels.				
5.	5. Have you already obtained Ecology's approval of both your problem formulation and problem resolution steps?					
	☐ Yes	If so, please identify the Ecology staff who approved those steps:				
	□ No					

## Step 4: SUBMITTAL

Please mail your completed form to the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. If a site manager has not yet been assigned, please mail your completed form to the Ecology regional office for the County in which your Site is located.



ĺ	Northwest Region:	Central Region:
	Attn: VCP Coordinator	Attn: VCP Coordinator
	3190 160 <sup>th</sup> Ave. SE	15 W. Yakima Ave., Suite 200
	Bellevue, WA 98008-5452	Yakima, WA 98902
ĺ	Southwest Region:	Eastern Region:
	Attn: VCP Coordinator	Attn: VCP Coordinator
	P.O. Box 47775	N. 4601 Monroe
	Olympia, WA 98504-7775	Spokane WA 99205-1295