Cascode Pole-Cympia FS Municola 1385

Mohsen Kourehdar 2 3 5 SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON 6 STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, No. 96-2-02840-9 8 Plaintiff, CONSENT DECREE 9 10 CASCADE POLE AND LUMBER 11 COMPANY, B. CORRY MCFARLAND, GREGORY D. McFARLAND, and 12 LES D. LONNING. 13 Defendants. 14 15 TABLE OF CONTENTS Page 16 INTRODUCTION.... 17 I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE..... PARTIES BOUND..... II. 5 18 III. DEFINITIONS.... IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS..... б 19 v. WORK TO BE PERFORMED..... VI. RETENTION OF RECORDS..... 20 VII. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES..... 11 VIII. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE...... 12 21 IX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION..... 13 Х. COVENANT NOT TO SUE..... 22 XI. CLAIM AGAINST THE STATE. 15 XII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.... 16 23 XIII. EFFECTIVE DATE.. XIV. DURATION OF DECREE AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION. 16 24 XV. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT..... 25 26

1	Exh	nibit A:	Legal Description of Site	
2	Exh	nibit B:	Site MapCascade PoleOlympia Site	
3	Exh	ibit C:	Letter of Credit	
4	Exh	ibit D:	Escrow Instructions (Draft)	
5	Exh	ibit E:	Notice of Default	
6			Settlement AgreementExcerpts Pertaining to Product Recycling	
7				
8				
9				
10		•		
11				
12				
13				
.				
14		•		
15				
16				
17				
18	÷			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				

25

26

23

24

25

In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the Cascade Pole and Lumber Company (Cascade), B. Corry McFarland, Gregory D. McFarland, and Les D. Lonning (Affiliates) (hereinafter collectively referred to as Defendants or Cascade and Affiliates) is to ensure Cascade's financial contribution to the cleanup of the Cascade Pole site in Olympia, Washington. A legal description of the site is attached hereto as Exhibit A (the Site). A site map is attached hereto as Exhibit B. The cleanup of the Site will be completed pursuant to a separate Consent Decree between Ecology and the Port of The financial contribution made pursuant to this Consent Decree will be applied to the cost of the cleanup of the To accomplish these objectives and to resolve the matter constructively and without litigation, Cascade and Affiliates consent to the actions required by this Decree.

B. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the parties wish to resolve the issues associated with the Site and raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.

- C. In signing this Decree, Defendants and Ecology agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its terms.
- D. By entering into this Decree, the parties do not intend to discharge nonsettling parties from any liability they may have with respect to the Site. Except as set forth herein, Defendants and Ecology retain the right to seek reimbursement in whole or in part from any responsible entities for sums they have expended with respect to the Site or pursuant to this Decree.
- E. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter (and over the parties pursuant to the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), which was passed by initiative (Initiative 97) and which took effect on March 1, 1989. The MTCA has been codified as ch. 70.105D RCW. Venue is properly laid in Thurston County pursuant to RCW 70.105D.050(5)(b).
- B. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State
 Attorney General by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a
 settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public
 notice and hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would
 lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances in
 compliance with cleanup standards under RCW 70.105D.030(2)(d).

RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

- C. Ecology has given notice to Defendants as provided in RCW 70.105D.020(15), of Ecology's determination that they are potentially liable persons for the Site and that there has been a release of hazardous substances at the Site.
- D. Ecology has determined that past activities at the Site have given rise to a release of hazardous substances, which requires remedial action pursuant to ch. 70.105D RCW.
- E. Ecology has determined that the actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment.
- F. By entering into this Decree, Cascade and Affiliates agree not to challenge the jurisdiction of Ecology in any proceeding to enforce this Decree. Cascade and Affiliates have agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consent to the issuance of this Decree, pursuant to ch. 70.105D RCW.

II. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the signatories to this Decree (parties), their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the Decree. No change in

3

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

Cascade's ownership or corporate status shall alter the responsibility of Cascade under this Decree.

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise specified, the definitions set forth in the Model Toxics Control Act, ch. 70.105D RCW, and its implementing regulations, ch. 173-340, Washington Administrative Code, shall control the meaning of the terms used in this Consent Decree.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Site Location and History

The Site is located at 1412 North Washington Street on the northern tip of the Port of Olympia property, north of downtown Olympia. Exhibit B to this Consent Decree depicts the location of the Site. The upland portion of the Site is owned by the Port of Olympia, except for public rights-of-way, and has been used for wood treating since 1937. Cascade began operating the Site in 1957, and ceased operations in 1986. Creosote and Pentachlorophenol have been the primary treating agents used at the Site. Constituents of these chemicals are hazardous substances, and these hazardous substances have been released to the environment, including the waters and sediments of Budd Inlet.

Site Discovery, Investigation and Cleanup Measures

Current remediation efforts began in late 1983, when black, oily material was discovered in a ditch south of the Site. preliminary investigation determined that the material was

emanating from the Site and that it resembled creosote in appearance and odor.

From 1983 to 1995, a series of investigations and studies were completed. Taken together, these investigations and subsequent reports constitute a remedial investigation and feasibility study, as required by WAC 173-340-350, sufficient to allow Ecology to select a remedy for the Site. Also between 1983 and today, a number of interim cleanup measures have been initiated.

In the near future, a cleanup action plan or CAP will be issued for the Site, pursuant to WAC 173-340-360. The CAP will describe the final remedy selected for the Site by Ecology. The selected remedy will be implemented by the Port of Olympia ("Port") pursuant to a separate Consent Decree between Ecology and the Port ("the Port Consent Decree"). This Decree is separate from and in no way dependent upon the Port Consent Decree and the Port's performance under the Port Consent Decree.

V. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

A. Objectives of the Parties

The primary objective of the parties in entering into this Consent Decree is to provide for the contribution of funds to the Port to assist in paying for the cleanup of the Site in a manner designed to protect the public health and welfare and the environment from the known release, or threatened releases, of hazardous substances and pollutants at, on, or from the Site in a manner that avoids litigation of disputed issues.

б

The second objective of the parties is to ensure that wood treating chemicals recovered at the Site are recycled and reused by Cascade to the extent practicable.

B. <u>Commitments by the Parties</u>

- 1. Under a Settlement Agreement dated September 15, 1995 between Cascade and the Port (the "Settlement Agreement"), Cascade has agreed to pay to the Port the sum of \$3,850,000 for remediation, investigation and other expenses incurred or to be incurred by Ecology and/or the Port at the Site. It is a requirement of this Decree that Cascade make, or cause to be made through the letter of credit described in paragraph B.2, payments into escrow pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as follows:
 - (a) \$850,000 before entry of this Decree.
- (b) \$500,000 annually, with the first such annual payment being due September 1, 1996, and each successive September 1, through the year 2000.
- (c) \$100,000 annually, with the first such annual payment being due on September 1, 2001, and each successive September 1, through 2005.
- 2. (a) Cascade shall provide security for its financial contribution in the form of an irrevocable letter of credit substantially in the form and with the terms set forth on Exhibit C, which shall provide for direct payment into an escrow account according to the terms of this paragraph. The letter of credit shall be issued by a federally insured bank or other

financial institution which is organized under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof and which has capital, surplus and undivided profits aggregating at least \$100 million. The letter of credit shall provide for direct payment to an escrow agent in the amounts and at the times specified above. The escrow agent shall be instructed to distribute each payment to the Port unless Ecology has provided to the escrow agent and the Port a written notice of a duly authorized officer of Ecology that the Port is in material default under the terms of the Port Consent Decree. If Ecology issues such a notice, the escrow agent shall hold the escrowed funds until Ecology withdraws the notice or until distribution is directed by the court having jurisdiction over the Port Consent Decree. A copy of the letter of credit is attached as Exhibit C.

- (b) In the event the issuer of the letter of credit fails to pay when due under the letter of credit any draft presented by the Port, Cascade shall pay such amount to the escrow agent within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of a written demand by the Port, which demand shall include a copy of the unpaid draft. Any such payment by Cascade shall be subject to the terms of the escrow as described in this section.
- 3. Product Recycling and Reuse for the past several years wood treating products have been recovered at the Site through the implementation of interim cleanup measures. This product has been recycled and reused at Cascade's wood treating facility located at 121 East Marc Street in Tacoma, Washington.

11

10

13 14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

Ecology believes that this is the most appropriate manner of dealing with the recovered product from an environmental perspective and has determined that recovered product managed in accordance with this Decree is not a dangerous waste if it is reused in this manner.

Section 7 of the Settlement Agreement between Cascade and the Port of Olympia pertains to product recycling and reuse. Section 7 is hereby incorporated by reference, and is an integral and enforceable part of this Decree. A copy of Section 7 is attached as Exhibit F. It is a requirement of this Decree that Cascade comply with Section 7 of the Settlement Agreement. In the event a dispute between Cascade and the Port arises under Section 7, Ecology agrees to abide by the result of the dispute resolution process set forth in Attachment G to the Settlement Agreement, including, if there is one, an arbitrator's decision, unless Ecology determines within 30 days of receiving notice of the result that the result will materially interfere with implementation of the remedy selected for the Site. Increased expense for Cascade, the Port or Ecology resulting from dispute resolution between the Port and Cascade shall not constitute material interference with implementation of the remedy. If Ecology makes such a determination, it may take such action as it deems appropriate to avoid material interference with the selected remedy and to ensure that recovered product is managed consistent with the selected remedy and the purpose and intent of Section 7.

Ecology may participate in any arbitration occurring under Section 7 of the Settlement Agreement. Except in emergency situations, Ecology agrees to delay any action brought to enforce this provision until completion of any dispute resolution process under Section 7 of the Settlement Agreement. Any Ecology decision made under this paragraph is subject to dispute resolution under part VII of this Decree.

5. To the full extent of each affiliates respective capacity as a director, officer and/or shareholder, each Affiliate shall use his reasonable best efforts to cause Cascade to comply with its obligations under this Decree.

VI. <u>RETENTION OF RECORDS</u>

Each Defendant shall preserve for ten (10) years from the date of this Decree, all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree. Upon request of Ecology, each Defendant shall make all non-privileged records available to Ecology and allow access for review.

VII. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

If Cascade objects to any Ecology disapproval, proposed modification, or other decision made pursuant to this Decree, it shall notify Ecology in writing of its objections within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of notice. Thereafter, the parties shall confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If agreement cannot be reached on the dispute within fourteen (14) calendar days after receipt by Ecology of such objections,

3

4 5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

2.0

22

23

24

25

Ecology shall promptly provide a written statement of its decision to Cascade.

If Ecology's final written decision is unacceptable to Cascade, Cascade has the right to submit the dispute within twenty (20) days to the Court for resolution. The parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this In the event Cascade presents an issue to the Court for Decree. review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of Ecology and Cascade agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

VIII. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

This Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation between the parties, entered by the Court, or by court order. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Decree. Defendants shall submit any request for an

25

26

amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval within fifteen (15) working days after the request for amendment is received. Reasons for the disapproval shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section VII of this Decree. No guidance, suggestions, or comments by Ecology will be construed as relieving Cascade of its obligation to obtain formal approval as may be required by this Decree. No verbal communication by Ecology shall relieve Defendants of the obligations specified herein. Ecology shall notify Defendants of any Ecology requested amendment and, within fifteen (15) working days of receipt of such a request, Defendants shall, in writing, indicate their agreement or disagreement and, if they disagree, the rationale. Ιf Defendants do not agree with any Ecology proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section VII of this Decree.

IX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

With regard to claims for contribution against any
Defendant for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, the
parties hereto agree that each Defendant is entitled to such
protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by
MTCA, RCW 70.105D.040, or as otherwise provided by law. For the
purposes of this section, "matters addressed" shall mean all

aspects of the investigation and remediation, past and future, of the Site.

X. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

In consideration of Defendants' compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology agrees that compliance with this Decree shall stand in lieu of any and all administrative, legal, and equitable remedies and enforcement actions available to the State against each Defendant for the release or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by the terms of this Decree.

This covenant not to sue is strictly limited in its application to the Site specifically defined in Exhibit A and to those hazardous substances which Ecology knows to be located at the Site as of the entry of this Decree. This covenant is not applicable to any other hazardous substance or area, and the state retains all of its authority relative to such substances and areas.

- A. <u>Reopeners</u>: In the following circumstances the State of Washington may exercise its full legal authority to address releases of hazardous substances at the Site, notwithstanding the Covenant Not to Sue set forth above:
 - In the event a Defendant fails to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree, including all exhibits, and, after written notice of noncompliance, fails to come into compliance within a

reasonable time provided by Ecology in the notice of noncompliance;

- 2. In the event new information becomes available regarding factors previously unknown to Ecology, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the Site, and Ecology determines, in light of this information, that remedial action is necessary to address a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment at the Site, and Defendants, after notice, fail to take the necessary action within a reasonable time provided by Ecology in the notice.
- B. Applicability: The Covenant Not to Sue set forth above shall have no applicability whatsoever to:
 - Criminal liability;
 - Liability for damages to natural resources;
 - 3. Any Ecology action against potentially liable parties not a party to this Decree.

XI. CLAIM AGAINST THE STATE

Defendants hereby agree that they will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing this Decree from the State or any of its agencies and, further, that Defendants will make no claim against the state toxics control account or any local toxics control account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree, except that Defendants shall be entitled to any relief the Court may award pursuant to Section VII.

10

11

12 13

14

1₅

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

2526

XII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

By agreeing to the entry of this Decree, Defendants and Ecology agree to abide by its terms. The execution and performance of the Decree is not an admission by any Defendant of any fact or liability for any purpose other than as a foundation for the entry of this Decree. Cascade and Affiliates' performance under the Decree is undertaken without waiver of or prejudice to any claims or defenses whatsoever that may be asserted in the event of any unilateral modification of this Consent Decree initiated by Ecology pursuant to Section VIII or further administrative proceedings or litigation not associated with, or related to, this Decree.

XIII. EFFECTIVE DATE

The effective date of this Consent Decree shall be the date upon which this Consent Decree is entered by the Court.

XIV. DURATION OF DECREE AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Decree shall remain in effect and this Court shall retain jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this Consent Decree and the parties for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling either party to apply to the Court at any time, as provided for in this Consent Decree, for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate to ensure that obligations of the parties have been satisfied.

XV. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). As a result of this process, Ecology has found that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site, in compliance with applicable cleanup standards.

If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent, this

Decree shall be null and void at the option of any party, and
the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and
without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by
the requirements of this Decree.

So ordered this

_ day of

Judge

Thurston County Superior Court

1	The undersigned parties enter into this Consent Decree on				
2	the dates specified below.				
3 4	CASCADE POLE AND LUMBER	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY			
5	By D (C. M. FALLAND B. CORRY M¢FARLAND President	By Navy E. Bury MARY BURG, Manager Toxics Cleanup Program			
7	Date: July 24, 1496	Date: 7 August 1996			
9	B. CORRY McFARLAND				
10	By 13. Corry McFarland				
11	Date: July 24 1996				
12	GREGORY D. McFARLAND				
13	By Jonegay D. Melack	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
14	GREGORY D. MCFARLAND				
15	Date: July 25, 1996				
17	LES D. LONNING				
18	By Jus D Jonning LES D. LONNING				
19 20	Date: July 26 1996				
21	and	,			
22	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE				
23	1 /m				
24	JAY J. WANNING, WSBA #13579				
25	Senior Assistant Attorney Ge	eneral			
26	Date: 7/23/96				

cases\consent\cascadp2.csd