

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98102

# REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY ADDENDUM



#### Property:

Plastics Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington Agreed Order No. DE7084

## Report Date:

March 9, 2016

## Prepared for:

The Lutheran Retirement Home of Greater Seattle (d/b/a The Hearthstone) 6720 East Green Lake Way North Seattle, Washington

#### **Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Addendum**

Prepared for:

The Lutheran Retirement Home of Greater Seattle (d/b/a The Hearthstone) 6720 East Green Lake Way North Seattle, Washington

Plastics Sale and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington Agreed Order No. DE7084





Thomas Cammarata Senior Geochemist

Terry Montoya, PE Principal Engineer

drogeologis

Thomas J. Cammarata

vsed Ge

Reviewed by:

Timothy S. Brown, LHG Senior Hydrogeologist

John R. Funderburk

John R. Funderburk, MSPH Principal

March 9, 2016



ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONSv					
1.0 INTRO	DUCTIO	)N	1		
1.1	PURPO	DSE			
1.2	PRELIN	VINARY CLEANUP LEVELS	2		
1.3	REPOF	RT ORGANIZATION	2		
2.0 BACKO	GROUNE	D	3		
2.1	SITE D	ESCRIPTION AND HISTORY			
	2.1.1	Dry Cleaner Building Property			
	2.1.2	Hearthstone Property	4		
	2.1.3	North-Adjoining Property	4		
	2.1.4	City of Seattle Rights-of-Way	5		
2.2	ENVIR	ONMENTAL SETTING	5		
2.3	PREVI	OUS INVESTIGATIONS	6		
_	2.3.1	Soil Analytical Results	7		
	2.3.2	Reconnaissance Groundwater Analytical Results	8		
	2.3.3	Groundwater Analytical Results	8		
	2.3.4	, Aquifer Test Results	9		
2.4	2011 /	AND 2012 INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION	9		
	2.4.1	Soil Analytical Results	10		
	2.4.2	Groundwater Analytical Results	10		
	2.4.3	Wastewater Analytical Results	11		
2.5	2014 (	GROUNDWATER MONITORING			
	2.5.1	Field Activities			
	2.5.2	Groundwater Measurement and Analytical Results	12		
2.6	CONC	EPTUAL SITE MODEL SUMMARY			
	2.6.1	Source Areas	13		
	2.6.2	Chemicals and Media of Concern	13		
	2.6.3	Contaminant Fate and Transport	13		
	2.6.4	Potential Exposure Pathways	15		
3.0 FOCUS	ED FEA	SIBILITY STUDY CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION	16		
3.1	2013 F	RI/FS SELECTED PREFERRED CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE	16		
3.2	MODI	FICATION TO PREFERRED CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3			
	3.2.1	Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1	19		
	3.2.2	Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2	20		
	3.2.3	Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.3	21		
	3.2.4	Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4	21		
3.3	COMP	ARISON OF MODIFIED ALTERNATIVES			
3.4	DISPR	OPORTIONATE COST ANALYSIS			

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

4.0 SELECTED MODIFIED CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE	24
5.0 REFERENCES	25
6.0 LIMITATIONS	26

#### FIGURES

- 1 Site Vicinity Map
- 2A Site Boundary Map with Shallow and Deep Plume Zones
- 2B Site Plan
- 3 Potentiometric Surface Contour Map for Shallow Zone (September 9, 2014)
- 4 Potentiometric Surface Contour Map for Deep Zone (September 9, 2014)
- 5 Groundwater Analytical Results for Shallow Zone Monitoring Wells
- 6 Groundwater Analytical Results for Deep Zone Monitoring Wells
- 7 Site Plan Showing PCE Concentrations in Soil
- 8 Cross Section A–A'
- 9 Cross Section B–B'
- 10 Cross Section E–E'
- 11 CAA 3.1 and CAA 3.4 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper Shallow Zone, Electrodes and TMP Layout
- 12 CAA 3.1 and CAA 3.4 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper Shallow Zone, Cross Section A–A'
- 13 CAA 3.1 and CAA 3.4 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper Shallow Zone, Cross Section B–B'
- 14 CAA 3.1 and CAA 3.4 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper Shallow Zone, Cross Section E–E'
- 15 CAA 3.2 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper and Lower Shallow Zones, Electrodes and TMP Layout
- 16 CAA 3.2 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper and Lower Shallow Zones, Cross Section A–A'
- 17 CAA 3.2 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper and Lower Shallow Zones, Cross Section B–B'
- 18 CAA 3.2 Electrical Resistance Heating Upper and Lower Shallow Zones, Cross Section E–E'
- 19 CAA 3.3 Electrical Resistance Heating Shallow and Deep Zones, Electrodes and TMP Layout
- 20 CAA 3.3 Electrical Resistance Heating Shallow and Deep Zones, Cross Section A–A'
- 21 CAA 3.3 Electrical Resistance Heating Shallow and Deep Zones, Cross Section B–B'
- 22 CAA 3.3 Electrical Resistance Heating Shallow and Deep Zones, Cross Section E–E'
- 23A CAA 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4 Injection Well Layout, Shallow Zone
- 23B Temporary Dewatering Well Layout for Transect D for Shallow Zone
- 24 CAA 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4 Injection Well Layout, Deep Zone
- 25 CAA 3.3 Injection Well Layout, Shallow Zone
- 26 CAA 3.3 Injection Well Layout, Deep Zone
- 27 CAA 3.1 and CAA 3.2 Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination, Cross Section A–A'
- 28 CAA 3.1 and CAA 3.2 Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination, Cross Section B–B'
- 29 CAA 3.1 and CAA 3.2 Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination, Cross Section E–E'

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

- 30 CAA 3.3 Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination, Cross Section A–A'
- 31 CAA 3.3 Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination, Cross Section B–B'
- 32 CAA 3.3 Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination, Cross Section E–E'
- 33 CAA 3.4 Chemical Oxidation, Cross Section A–A'
- 34 CAA 3.4 Chemical Oxidation, Cross Section B–B'
- 35 CAA 3.4 Chemical Oxidation, Cross Section E–E'
- 36 Under-Slab Drainage System Layout
- 37 Conceptual Flow Net Diagram, Cross Section F–F'
- 38 Estimated Degradation of Chlorinated Solvents in Groundwater with Time by Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination

#### TABLES

- 1 Summary of Groundwater Elevation Data
- 2 Groundwater Analytical Results for CVOCs
- 3 Natural Attenuation Parameters
- 4 Geochemical and Water Quality Parameters
- 5 Estimated Equivalent Radius of Influence for Under-Slab Drainage System
- 6 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1, Feasibility Level Cost Estimate
- 7 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2, Feasibility Level Cost Estimate
- 8 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.3, Feasibility Level Cost Estimate
- 9 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4, Feasibility Level Cost Estimate
- 10 Comparison Summary of Modified Cleanup Action Alternatives

#### CHARTS

- 1 Cleanup Action Alternative Costs and MTCA Composite Benefit Scores
- 2 Cleanup Action Alternative Cost-to-Benefit Ratios

#### APPENDICES

A Laboratory Analytical Reports

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-082 Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-082B Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-083 Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-103 Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-103B Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-104B Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-105 Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-105B Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-127 Onsite Environmental Inc. #1410-105

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1410-105B

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

2013 RI/FS Report	Draft Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Report, prepared by Farallon Consulting, LLC in 2013			
μg/L	micrograms per liter			
AO	Agreed Order			
AST	aboveground storage tank			
bgs	below ground surface			
°C	degree centigrade			
cis-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-dichloroethene			
cm/sec	centimeters per second			
сос	chemical of concern			
CSM	conceptual site model			
CVOC	chlorinated volatile organic compound			
DCE	dichloroethene			
Dry Cleaner Building Property	6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast, Seattle, Washington			
Dry Cleaner Building	the building on the Dry Cleaner Building Property			
DW	Dangerous Waste			
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology			
ЕОН	edible oil substrate			
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			
ERD	enhanced reductive dechlorination			
ERH	Electrical Resistance Heating			
Farallon	Farallon Consulting, LLC			
feet/day	feet per day			

## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)**

Former Laundry Property	the former parcel located within the Hearthstone Property, located at 6860 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast			
Former Yasuko Property	the former parcel located within the Hearthstone Property, located at 6560 Latona Avenue Northeast			
ft/ft	feet per foot			
GeoEngineers	GeoEngineers, Inc.			
Hearthstone Property	the property adjoining the Dry Cleaner Building to the west, located at 6850 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast			
Hearthstone	The Hearthstone Retirement Living			
IRACR	Interim Remedial Action Completion Report, prepared by SoundEarth in 2014			
Karkrie	Karkrie LLC			
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram			
MTCA	Washington State Model Toxics Control Act			
PCE	tetrachloroethene			
PLP	potentially liable person			
PSS	Plastic Sales and Service			
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act			
RI/FS Addendum	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Addendum			
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study			
ROW	right-of-way			
SM	Standard Method			
SoundEarth	SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.			
the Site	the extent of contamination caused by the releases of hazardous substances at the Dry Cleaner Building Property			
TCE	trichloroethene			
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure			

## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)**

trans-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-dichloroethene
UST	underground storage tank
WAC	Washington Administrative Code

#### Draft Final Revised Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Addendum

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SoundEarth) has prepared this Draft Final Revised Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Addendum on behalf of the Lutheran Retirement Home of Greater Seattle (d/b/a The Hearthstone [Hearthstone]) to provide the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) with a summary of the 2014 groundwater monitoring data recently collected to support the remedial investigation conceptual site model (CSM). In addition, this RI/FS Addendum provides a focused feasibility study cleanup action alternative evaluation to present the basis of the selection of the preferred modified cleanup action alternative for the Plastic Sales and Service Site (Site) and provides additional supporting information requested by Ecology in an email dated April 28, 2015.

The general location of the Site is depicted on Figure 1. The Site is defined in Ecology Agreed Order No. DE 7084 (AO), dated September 14, 2009, as the extent of contamination caused by the releases of hazardous substances at the property located at 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast in Seattle, Washington (the Dry Cleaner Building Property). The Site includes the Dry Cleaner Building Property; the property adjoining it to the west, located at 6850 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast (the Hearthstone Property); the property adjoining it to the north, located at 6869 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast (north-adjoining property); and portions of the western alley (the alley), Woodlawn Avenue Northeast, and 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast rights-of-way (ROWs); and is collectively referred to as "the Site" (Figures 2A and 2B).

This document was prepared as an addendum to the Draft Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Report, prepared by Farallon Consulting, LLC (Farallon), and dated July 3, 2013 (2013 RI/FS Report). This addendum was developed to complete the requirements of a feasibility study as defined by the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Regulation in Parts 350 through 357 and 370 of Chapter 340 of Title 173 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC 173-340-350 through 173-340-157, and 173-340-370) and to satisfy the terms and conditions of the AO. The potentially liable persons (PLPs) for the Site are defined in the AO. Hearthstone purchased the Dry Cleaner Building Property from Karkrie LLC, a PLP, on June 19, 2014. Hearthstone will maintain lead responsibility for the completion of a proposed cleanup action plan that will be the basis of the work to be performed under a Consent Decree for the Site. This addendum was completed in accord with discussions between Hearthstone and Ecology that defined tasks necessary for eventual Consent Decree approval. The Hearthstone plans on redeveloping the Dry Cleaner Building Property as a mixed-use building that includes commercial and residential uses.

#### 1.1 PURPOSE

The objective of the 2014 groundwater monitoring event was to collect additional groundwater monitoring data to assess the present Site groundwater conditions and confirm the CSM for the purpose of developing the preferred cleanup action alternative selected for the Site in the 2013 RI/FS Report.

The purpose of the focused feasibility study cleanup action alternative evaluation presented in this report is to develop and evaluate modified versions of the preferred cleanup action alternative presented in the 2013 RI/FS Report, to enable a cleanup action to be selected for the Site, and for the cleanup action alternative to be incorporated as part of the Site development.

This RI/FS Addendum has been prepared to provide Ecology with supplemental RI/FS information and to select the most appropriate cleanup action alternative based on future land use and in comparison with

Ecology evaluation criteria listed below. According to MTCA, a selected cleanup action alternative must satisfy all of the following threshold criteria as specified in WAC 173-340-360(2):

- Protect human health and the environment.
- Comply with applicable state and federal laws.
- Comply with cleanup standards.
- Provide for compliance monitoring.

While these criteria represent the minimum standards for an acceptable cleanup action, WAC 173-340-360(2)(b) also recommends that the selected cleanup action satisfy the following criteria:

- Use permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable.
- Provide for a reasonable restoration time frame.
- Consider public concerns on the proposed cleanup action alternative.

#### **1.2 PRELIMINARY CLEANUP LEVELS**

Preliminary cleanup levels were established for individual hazardous substances in each medium during the scoping of the Farallon remedial investigation based on various phases of investigation performed by others. The preliminary cleanup levels were refined in the 2013 RI/FS Report. The current land use of the Dry Cleaner Building Property is commercial and the proposed future land use is a mix of commercial and residential.

The preliminary cleanup levels for chemicals of concern (COCs) confirmed or suspected in environmental media of concern are provided in the 2013 RI/FS Report. These cleanup levels for individual hazardous substances are based on established MTCA Method A cleanup levels in accordance with WAC 173-340-720 through WAC 173-340-760. MTCA Method B cleanup levels are used for hazardous substances where MTCA Method A cleanup levels were not established. For example, a MTCA Method A cleanup level has not been established for cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE); therefore, the MTCA Method B cleanup level will be used for cis-1,2-DCE.

The final cleanup standards will be determined based on the Ecology approved-selected cleanup action(s) and the current and potential future land and resource uses. The final cleanup standards for the Site, including cleanup levels and points of compliance, will be defined in the Cleanup Action Plan presented under separate cover, in accordance with WAC 173-340-700.

#### 1.3 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This RI/FS Addendum is organized into the following sections:

Section 2.0, Background. This section provides a description of the Site features and location; a summary of the current and historical uses of the Site and adjoining properties; a description of the Site's environmental setting, a description of the sampling conducted at the Site between 2002 and 2014, and a summary of the CSM derived primarily from the results of the historical research, previous investigations, and interim actions performed at the Site. Included is a summary of the confirmed and suspected source areas, the chemicals and media of concern, the

fate and transport characteristics of the release of hazardous substances, and the potential exposure pathways.

- Section 3.0, Focused Feasibility Study Cleanup Action Alternative Evaluation. This section provides a summary of Farallon's selected preferred cleanup action alternative, a detailed comparison of enhanced modified versions of the previously selected preferred cleanup action alternative, and a disproportionate cost analysis of each of the modified cleanup action alternatives.
- Section 4.0, Selected Modified Cleanup Action Alternative. This section also presents the selected modified cleanup action alternative preferred for the Site.
- Section 5.0, References. This section lists sources used to create this RI/FS Addendum.
- Section 6.0, Limitations. This section presents SoundEarth's standard limitations associated with conducting the work reported herein and preparing this RI/FS Addendum.

## 2.0 BACKGROUND

This section provides a summary of the Site features and location, historical Site use, environmental setting, previous investigations, interim remedial actions, results from the 2014 groundwater monitoring event, and the CSM. Detailed descriptions of the background information for the Site prior to 2014 are provided in the reports listed in Section 5.0.

#### 2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

The Site is defined by the extent of contamination caused by the releases of hazardous substances at the Dry Cleaner Building Property, as described above in Section 1.0. The Site is located in Section 5, Township 25 South, Range 4 West, in the Green Lake neighborhood of Seattle, Washington (Figure 1). A description of the properties located within the Site is provided in the following subsections.

#### 2.1.1 Dry Cleaner Building Property

The Dry Cleaner Building Property includes two tax parcels (King County tax parcels #952810-4725, and #952810-4735) that cover approximately 8,800 square feet (0.20 acres) of land. The Dry Cleaner Building Property is located at 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast and 6560 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast. The Dry Cleaner Building Property is currently improved with a 1947-vintage, 2-story, masonry warehouse and office (Dry Cleaner Building; Figures 2A and 2B).

The Dry Cleaner Building Property was occupied by residences as early as 1904. Sunshine Cleaners acquired the Dry Cleaning Building Property in 1947, demolished the residence, and constructed the Dry Cleaner Building. The building utilized steam heat fueled by a heating oil underground storage tank (UST) of unknown capacity, located in the western portion of the building. The heating oil UST remains beneath the Dry Cleaner Building Property. Sunshine Cleaners operated a dry cleaner on the Dry Cleaner Building Property from 1948 to 1977 (Ecology 2009). Sunshine Cleaners used Stoddard solvent, the primary dry cleaning solvent in use from the late 1920s to late 1950s. Stoddard solvent was stored in two USTs with capacities of 1,500 and 2,000 gallons. The USTs are located in Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW adjacent to the north side of the Dry Cleaning Building and were reportedly abandoned in-place in 1958 when the Sunshine Cleaners began using tetrachloroethene (PCE) for dry cleaning operations. The Stoddard solvent USTs are still present beneath the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW.

Sunshine Cleaners reportedly stored PCE in an aboveground storage tank (AST) with a capacity of 200 gallons. The former location of the AST is unknown. Sunshine Cleaners installed dry cleaning equipment on the western portion of the former Dry Cleaner Building, as shown on Figures 2A and 2B, and used the equipment for both Stoddard solvent and PCE operations.

Plastic Sales and Service (PSS) began operating in the Dry Cleaner Building in 1978. PSS does not operate as a dry cleaner; however, small quantities of solvent have been used during its tenure (Ecology 2009). PSS currently operates a plastic fabrication facility in the Dry Cleaner Building. No plastics are manufactured on the Dry Cleaner Building Property, but plastic stock materials are stored and are finished and transformed into final products. Hearthstone purchased the Dry Cleaner Building Property from Karkrie LLC (Karkrie) in June 2014.

#### 2.1.2 <u>Hearthstone Property</u>

The Hearthstone Property is composed of three former parcels: the first parcel located at 6860 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast (Former Laundry Property), the second located at 6850 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast (the Former Yasuko Property), and the third located at 6560 Latona Avenue Northeast (Single Family Lot). The Hearthstone Property is located to the west of the Dry Cleaner Building Property, across the alley (Figures 2A and 2B). The three parcels were purchased by Hearthstone in 2005 and Former Laundry and Yasuko Properties were replatted into a single tax parcel (King County tax parcel #952810-4695). The Hearthstone Property currently includes two tax parcels (King County tax parcel #952810-4695 and -4696) that cover approximately 18,203 square feet (0.42 acres) of land. The Hearthstone Property is listed at 6850 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast. The northern portion of the Hearthstone Property (King County tax parcel #952810-4695) is considered part of the Site based on the historical extent of PCE contamination formerly present at this parcel. Additionally, the northern portion of the Hearthstone Property has been redeveloped as a 4-story building with one level of underground parking to be used as retirement residences, with commercial shops occupying the first floor. The Single Family Lot (King County tax parcel #952810-4696) is undeveloped and is not considered part of the Site.

Sunshine Cleaners owned and occupied the Former Laundry Property as early as 1931. According to the former owner of Sunshine Cleaners, only laundry, pressing, and packaging operations were conducted on the Former Laundry Property. Former tenants on the Former Yasuko Property included Scott's Trophies, a restaurant, a dance studio, an antique shop, and a cabinetmaker.

In 1977, Mr. Robert Bell, the former owner and operator of Sunshine Cleaners, transferred interest in the Former Laundry Property. Ruben and Patricia Rael acquired the Former Laundry Property in 1995 and transferred the property to Karkrie in 2000. Karkrie sold the Former Laundry Property to Hearthstone in 2005. PSS operated at the Former Laundry Property at various times between 1977 and 2006 (Ecology 2009).

All aboveground structures within the Hearthstone Property were demolished between 2008 and 2009 as part of the Hearthstone Property's redevelopment.

## 2.1.3 North-Adjoining Property

The north-adjoining property, located at 6869 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast, includes two tax parcels (King County tax parcels #952810-0525 and #952810-0535) that cover approximately 8,500 square feet (0.20 acres) of land. The north-adjoining property is improved with a 1926-

vintage, wood-framed, office building on the eastern portion and an asphalt-paved parking lot on the western portion. The north-adjoining property was occupied by residences as early as 1904, a machine shop in the 1950s, and a film development facility in 1966 (GeoEngineers 2004b).

#### 2.1.4 <u>City of Seattle Rights-of-Way</u>

The existing PCE groundwater plume extends from the Dry Cleaner Building Property into the adjoining City of Seattle ROWs. The adjoining ROWs include the alley located between the Dry Cleaner Building Property and the Hearthstone Property; Woodlawn Avenue Northwest located between the Dry Cleaner Building Property and the north-adjoining property; and 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northwest located directly east-northeast of the Dry Cleaner Building Property.

#### 2.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Topographically, the Site is relatively flat with a slight slope to the northeast toward the former Ravenna Creek. The Site is situated approximately 150 feet above mean sea level. The nearest surface water body is Green Lake, located approximately 900 feet to the northwest. Properties within the Site are zoned Neighborhood Commercial 3 (King County 2015). Immediately south, properties are zoned for single-and multifamily residential use.

Based on field observations from SoundEarth and others, the upper 20 feet of soil beneath the Dry Cleaner Building Property and the Hearthstone Property generally range from stiff to very stiff silt and medium dense to very dense silty sand. Trace amounts of gravel were also observed in borings advanced in the vicinity of the Hearthstone Building Property in the upper 15 feet below ground surface [bgs]). The observed soil from borings located north and downgradient of the Dry Cleaner Building Property indicates that the soil profile transition laterally from silt and silty sand layers to a silt with minimal coarse-grained soil present in Woodlawn Avenue Northeast. Underlying the upper silt and silty sand unit is a dense to very dense, poorly graded sand and gravel to well-graded sand and silty sand unit that ranges in depth from approximately 20 to 70 feet bgs. The silty sand layers encountered in the upper 20 feet contained a higher percentage of silt (30 to 40 percent) versus the silty sand layers encountered beneath the sand and gravel unit to the maximum depths explored beneath the Site, approximately 80 feet bgs.

A shallow, unconfined water-bearing zone is present beneath the Site from approximately 6 to 20 feet bgs and is designated as the Shallow Zone based on the observed soil profile and potentiometric surface in the existing well network. The depth to groundwater in the Shallow Zone generally ranges from 4 to 8 feet below the top of well casings; seasonal fluctuations in groundwater elevations range from approximately 2 to 5 feet (Table 1). Based on groundwater elevations measured in September 2014 at monitoring wells located at the Site, the groundwater flow direction in the Shallow Zone was to the north and northwest, with gradients ranging between 0.01 and 0.06 feet per foot (ft/ft; Figure 3). The Shallow Zone is underlain by a semiconfined to confined groundwater-bearing zone designated as the Deep Zone. The Deep Zone ranges in depth from 5 to 9 feet below the top of well casings. Based on depth-to-groundwater measurements collected in September 2014, the direction of groundwater flow in the Deep Zone was to the northeast with gradients ranging between 0.01 and 0.03 ft/ft (Figure 4). The Shallow Zone appears to act as a semiconfining to confining unit based on observed potentiometric surface measurements from the existing well network that generally indicate a positive hydraulic head in wells installed in the Deep Zone.

#### 2.3 PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

Between 2002 and 2012, 12 subsurface investigations were conducted at the Site. The objectives of the subsurface investigations were to collect data necessary to adequately define the nature and extent of contamination at the Site and to complete the remedial investigation. This report section presents a summary of the investigation activities conducted at the Site by SoundEarth and others. Groundwater elevations and analytical results are presented in Table 1 and 2, respectively. Figure 2B of this report depicts locations, including borings and monitoring wells, sampled during previous investigations on the Site. Potentiometric surface contour maps for the Shallow Zone and Deep Zone are depicted on Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The groundwater analytical results and PCE isoconcentration contours for the Shallow Zone and Deep Zone are depicted on Figures 5 and 6, respectively. Figure 7 presents a plan view of the Site, a concentration contour map for PCE, and PCE concentrations in soil beneath the Site after the completion of the interim remedial action conducted on the Hearthstone Property between 2011 and 2012. Cross sections presenting the soil and groundwater analytical results for the Site subsequent to the completion of the interim remedial action are presented on Figures 8, 9, and 10. As requested by Ecology, SoundEarth prepared a new cross section E-E' (Figure 10) to present the soil and groundwater conditions along a cross section line following the groundwater flow direction that includes MW-14, MW-4, MW-6, and MW-21. SoundEarth Figures 7, 8, and 9 in this RI/FS Addendum are supplemental to Farallon Figures 6, 10, and 11 in the 2013 RI/FS Report. Cross sections C-C' and D-D' are included in Figures 12 and 13 of the 2013 RI/FS Report and are focused on the soil and groundwater conditions associated with the former Laundry Building Property.

A release of PCE was discovered in soil and shallow groundwater at the Dry Cleaner Building Property in 2002 during a subsurface investigation being conducted as part of due diligence for a prospective purchaser of the Laundry Property. GeoEngineers, Inc. (GeoEngineers) advanced direct-push borings GP-6 and GP-7 at the former Dry Cleaner Building Property (Figure 2B) and collected soil from the borings, as well as a reconnaissance groundwater sample from boring GP-6. The samples were submitted for analysis of chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs), and the analytical results confirmed a release of CVOCs, including PCE and trichloroethene (TCE), to soil and shallow groundwater beneath the Dry Cleaner Building Property. PCE and TCE in soil were detected at concentrations exceeding the applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels (Figure 7). The source(s) and extent of the release were not defined during the investigation. The following investigation activities were performed at the Site between 2002 and 2011 in order to define the nature and extent of contamination, including the initial discovery subsurface investigation:

- Drilling and sampling of 86 borings within and adjacent to the Site.
- Collecting 196 soil samples from the borings and submitting the samples for laboratory analysis
  of CVOCs, including PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE and trans-1,2-dichloroethene (trans-1,2-DCE), and
  vinyl chloride. A select subset of these samples was also analyzed for select physical parameters.
- Collecting 9 soil samples from beneath drain lines, concrete slabs, and sumps on the Hearthstone Property to evaluate preferential pathways and submitting the samples for laboratory analysis of CVOCs.
- Collecting 52 reconnaissance groundwater samples from the borings and submitting the samples for laboratory analysis of CVOCs.
- Installing and developing 30 permanent monitoring wells within the Shallow Zone and Deep Zone.

- Collecting and analyzing 91 groundwater samples from the monitoring wells and submitting the samples for analysis of CVOCs. A subset of the samples was also analyzed for select geochemical parameters to evaluate natural attenuation.
- Conducting aquifer tests, including slug tests, in multiple wells and a constant-rate aquifer pump test.

The results of the investigations are summarized in the following subsections.

#### 2.3.1 Soil Analytical Results

Soil samples were collected from borings using direct-push and hollow-stem auger drilling methods. The laboratory analytical results of the soil samples indicate the following:

- Concentrations of PCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level were detected in 73 of 196 soil samples collected during the subsurface investigations, which included 42 of the 86 sample locations. These sample locations included borings GP-6, GP-11, SB-1 through SB-5, SB-7 through SB-10, SB-15, SB-16, PO1 through P06, P11, P12, P14, P17, SB-22, SB-23, SB-26, SB-27, SB-29, SB-30 through SB-39, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, MW-15, MW-20, MW-22, and TMW-3.
- Concentrations of TCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level were detected in 13 of the 196 soil samples collected during the subsurface investigations, which included 8 of the 86 sample locations. These sample locations included borings SB-2, SB-4, SB-10, P11, SB-27, SB-34, MW-7, and MW-15.
- Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE and trans-1,2-DCE were below applicable MTCA Method B cleanup levels and vinyl chloride was below applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels in all of the soil samples analyzed.
- Soil samples collected to assess preferential pathways on the Hearthstone Property did not contain concentrations of CVOCs above laboratory reporting limits.

Soil with elevated concentrations of CVOCs is located beneath the northwest portion of the Dry Cleaner Building Property in the vicinity of the dry cleaning equipment and laterally extends a short distance to the north beneath the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW, to the southwest beneath the alley and Hearthstone Property, and to the northeast beneath the 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast ROW. Soil analytical results subsequent to the interim remedial action at the Hearthstone Property are depicted in plan view on Figure 7 and presented in cross sections on Figures 8 through 10 of this RI/FS Addendum. A complete summary of historical soil analytical results for soil samples collected from borings prior to the completion of the interim remedial action at the Hearthstone Property is provided in Table 2 of the 2013 RI/FS Report, and plan and cross-sectional view on Figures 10 through 13 of the 2013 RI/FS Report. The Interim Remedial Action Completion Report (IRACR), prepared by SoundEarth and dated December 18, 2014, provides tabulated soil analytical results for samples collected beneath drain lines and sumps as Table 1 and a plan view depiction as Figure 5. CVOCs exceeding the applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels in the soil samples were primarily encountered at depths from 2 to 18 feet bgs, within in the Shallow Zone. CVOCs exceeding the applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels were also detected in two samples located within the Deep Zone at depths between 25 and 25.5 and 45 to 46.5 feet bgs: borings MW-15 and MW-9, respectively.

## 2.3.2 <u>Reconnaissance Groundwater Analytical Results</u>

Reconnaissance groundwater samples were collected from historical borings using temporary well screens. The laboratory analytical results of the reconnaissance groundwater samples indicate the following:

- Concentrations of PCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level were detected in 26 of 56 reconnaissance groundwater samples collected during the investigations. Boring locations with exceedances included GP-6, GP-10, GP-11, SB-1 through SB-4, SB-9, SB-15, SB-18, P11 through P15, P17, SB-22, SB-23, SB-26, SB-27, SB-29, SB-30, SB-32, and SB-35. In addition, concentrations of PCE were also detected in deep boring SB-11 at depths of 55 and 66.5 feet bgs, but below the applicable MTCA Method A cleanup level.
- Concentrations of TCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level were detected in 8 of the 56 reconnaissance groundwater samples collected during the investigations, which included borings GP-6, SB-2, SB-4, SB-9, SB-15, P11, SB-26, and SB-27.
- Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE exceeding the MTCA Method B cleanup level were detected in 3 of the 56 reconnaissance groundwater samples collected during the investigations, which included borings GP-6, SB-15, and P11.
- A concentration of vinyl chloride exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level was detected in boring P11.

Historical reconnaissance groundwater sample analytical results from previous investigations are summarized in Table 3 of the 2013 RI/FS Report. Plan views of historical PCE concentrations in groundwater are provided in Figures 7 and 8 of the 2013 RI/FS Report. The distribution of contaminants observed in the reconnaissance groundwater samples is described in the next subsection.

#### 2.3.3 <u>Groundwater Analytical Results</u>

Groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells using low-flow purging methods between 2006 and 2010. Laboratory analytical results of the groundwater samples indicate the following:

- Concentrations of PCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level have been detected in groundwater samples collected from Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW02 through MW06, MW24, and MW25, and temporary monitoring wells TMW01, TMW02, and TMW03.
- Concentrations of TCE, cis-1,2,-DCE, and vinyl chloride exceeding the applicable MTCA Method A or B cleanup level have been detected in groundwater samples collected Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW04, MW05, and MW06.
- Concentrations of PCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level have been detected in groundwater samples collected from Deep Zone monitoring wells MW07 and MW09.
- Concentrations of TCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level have been detected in groundwater samples collected from Deep Zone monitoring well MW07.

Groundwater in the Shallow Zone with concentrations of CVOCs exceeding the MTCA cleanup levels was present beneath the Dry Cleaner Building Property, beneath the north-central portion

of the Hearthstone Property, beneath the alley between these properties, and in the ROWs of Woodlawn Avenue Northeast to the north, and 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast to the east.

The historical release(s) of PCE to the subsurface in the vicinity of the dry cleaning equipment appears to have migrated vertically from the Shallow Zone down to the Deep Zone. The upper 1 to 30 feet (21 to 50 feet bgs) of the Deep Zone has elevated concentrations of CVOCs directly downgradient of the suspected source area (Figures 8, 9, and 10). Groundwater in the Deep Zone with concentrations of CVOCs exceeding the MTCA cleanup levels was likely present beneath the dry cleaning equipment and was directly north-northwest beneath the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW and a portion of the north-adjoining property. Groundwater elevations and sample analytical results from previous investigations are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 of this RI/FS Addendum.

#### 2.3.4 Aquifer Test Results

In January 2007, Farallon performed an aquifer slug test at Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW-4, MW-5, and MW-15 and a constant-rate pump test at Deep Zone monitoring well MW-11. The objective of the slug test was to evaluate the hydrologic characteristics of the Shallow Zone. Farallon calculated a geometric mean value for horizontal hydraulic conductivity from MW-4, MW-5, and MW-15 of  $1.33 \times 10^{-5}$  centimeters per second ([cm/sec]; 0.038 feet per day [feet/day] or 0.284 gallons per day per square foot) for Shallow Zone.

The objective of the pump test was to collect measurements to estimate the ability of the Deep Zone to transmit groundwater and other hydraulic characteristics. Farallon calculated a geometric mean value for horizontal hydraulic conductivity of  $4.21 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/sec (11.9 feet/day) for wells screened in the Shallow Zone and the upper portion of the Deep Zone and of  $2.85 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/sec (8.1 feet/day) for wells screened in Deep Zone.

Storativity was estimated to range between 0.007 and 0.17 in the Shallow Zone and upper portions of the Deep Zone, indicating unconfined or semiconfined conditions, and in the lower portion of the Deep Zone between 0.004 and 0.0003, indicating semiconfined to confined conditions.

The results of the aquifer tests indicated that hydraulic communication of the Shallow Zone and Deep Zone is present beneath the Site. The aquifer test results also indicated that a preferential flow pathway was present between MW-11 and MW-12 and a limited pathway was present between MW-11 and MW18, likely due to channelized flow or other geologic conditions increasing hydraulic conductivity in a northwesterly direction.

#### 2.4 2011 AND 2012 INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION

SoundEarth conducted the interim remedial action on the Hearthstone Property in two phases. The first phase of work was performed between July and September 2011, and the second phase of work was completed between May and July 2012. The objectives of the interim remedial action were to remove PCE-contaminated soil, prevent vapor intrusion, and control potentially contaminated groundwater beneath the Hearthstone Property. The field activities associated with the interim remedial action included the following:

 Excavating soil with concentrations of PCE above the laboratory reporting limit (0.025 milligrams per kilogram [mg/kg]) within the Hearthstone Property, specifically within the northeast corner of the Hearthstone Property, to the maximum extent practical of 15 feet bgs.

- Disposing of approximately 4,106 tons of PCE-contaminated soil from the Hearthstone Property to a regulated treatment, storage, and disposal facility.
- Excavating approximately 541 tons of clean soil, defined as soil exhibiting no PCE concentrations above the laboratory reporting limit, and disposing of it at a regulated landfill.
- Collecting groundwater samples from dewatering wells and submitting the samples for analysis of CVOCs.
- Collecting a total of 118 performance and confirmation samples within a surveyed grid, including 64 sidewall samples and 54 bottom samples.
- Collecting wastewater discharge samples and submitting the samples for laboratory analysis of CVOCs and other chemicals based on the criteria specified in the King County discharge authorization permit.

A detailed description of the field activities and analytical results is provided in the IRACR. The results of the interim remedial action are summarized below.

## 2.4.1 Soil Analytical Results

Upon completion of the interim remedial action, 23 sidewall confirmation samples and 37 bottom confirmation samples were collected to document the results of the interim remedial action.. The sidewall confirmation soil samples were collected at depths of 5 to 12 feet bgs and the bottom confirmation soil samples ranged in depth from 15 to 17 feet bgs. Concentrations of PCE in performance and confirmation soil samples ranged from less than 0.025 mg/kg to 1.8 mg/kg. A concentration of TCE exceeding the Method A cleanup level was detected in the soil sample collected beneath a footing at 17 feet bgs in grid cell GC02. Soil at depths of 15 to 17 feet bgs in the central and northern portions of the interim remedial excavation had concentrations of PCE exceeding the preliminary cleanup level of 0.05 mg/kg. Concentrations of PCE and other COCs in soil remaining in the sidewalls at the completion of interim remedial action do not exceed the laboratory reporting limits. An updated cross section of A–A' is presented on Figure 8 and shows the extent of the excavation which completed the interim remedial action. Concentrations of PCE in confirmation soil samples are in Table 3 and on Figure 8 of the IRACR.

A total of 27 stockpile soil samples were collected during the interim remedial action to confirm that soil designated for disposal as clean soil did not contain concentrations of PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, or vinyl chloride at concentrations above applicable laboratory detection limits. Analytical results for stockpile soil samples showed that soil samples contained concentrations of PCE ranging from less than 0.025 mg/kg to 0.51 mg/kg. TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride were not detected in stockpile soil samples at concentrations exceeding applicable laboratory detection limits. Stockpile soil with concentrations of PCE above the laboratory practical quantitation limit was disposed of at the Republic Services solid waste landfill in Roosevelt, Washington (Roosevelt Landfill). Analytical results for stockpile soil samples are presented in Table 4 of the IRACR.

#### 2.4.2 Groundwater Analytical Results

Groundwater samples were collected from the dewatering well in September and November 2011 and April 2014. The concentrations of PCE in groundwater in September and November

2011 were 12 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) and 5.3  $\mu$ g/L, respectively. PCE was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit in the April 2014 sample. Concentrations of TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride did not exceed the laboratory reporting limits in the analyzed samples. Analytical results are presented in Tables 5 and 7 of the IRACR.

#### 2.4.3 <u>Wastewater Analytical Results</u>

Wastewater samples were collected from the dewatering system prior to discharging the water to the sanitary sewer system. At a minimum, samples were collected monthly, and results were reported monthly to King County Metro in accordance with the King County wastewater discharge authorization permit for the Hearthstone Property. Concentrations of PCE in the wastewater discharged ranged from 2.2  $\mu$ g/L to 77  $\mu$ g/L. Concentrations of PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride in the discharge water were less than the King County Water Discharge Limits authorized under King County Major Discharge Authorization Permit No. 4164-02 (King County 2011). Discharge limits are presented in Table 6 of the IRACR.

#### 2.5 2014 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

SoundEarth conducted a groundwater monitoring event at the Site in September and October 2014. The purpose of the monitoring event was to evaluate the present groundwater conditions and to confirm the CSM for the purpose of developing and evaluating focused cleanup action alternatives based on the preferred cleanup action alternative selected for the Site in the 2013 RI/FS Report. A detailed description of the field activities and results is provided below.

#### 2.5.1 Field Activities

In September 2014, SoundEarth collected groundwater measurements from existing Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW01 through MW06, MW15, MW16, MW19, MW21, MW24 through MW27, and Deep Zone monitoring wells MW07 through MW14 and MW22. Groundwater measurements were collected from the existing monitoring wells on September 9, 2014, relative to the top of well casings to an accuracy of 0.01 feet using an electronic water meter.

SoundEarth collected groundwater samples from each monitoring well in September and October 2014 in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) *Low Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Ground-Water Sampling Procedures* (1996). Purging and sampling of each well were performed using a peristaltic pump for Shallow Zone wells and a bladder pump for Deep Zone monitoring wells. During purging, water quality parameters were monitored and recorded, including temperature, pH, specific conductivity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and oxidation-reduction potential. Each well was purged until the six water quality parameters stabilized.

Following purging, groundwater samples were collected from the pump outlet tubing located upstream of the flow-through cell and placed directly into clean, laboratory-prepared sample containers. A duplicate groundwater sample was collected from monitoring well MW04 for quality assurance/quality control purposes. The groundwater samples were submitted for analysis of CVOCs by EPA Method 8260C. Samples collected from wells MW01, MW07 through MW11, and MW22 were also submitted for the following natural attenuation and geochemical parameters:

- Nitrate by EPA Method 353.2
- Dissolved manganese and iron by EPA Method 6010C

- Ferrous iron by Standard Method (SM) 3500
- Sulfate by American Society for Testing and Materials Method D516-07
- Methane, ethane, and ethene by Method RSK 175
- Total alkalinity by SM 2320B
- Total organic carbon by SM 5310B

Total manganese and iron were analyzed in the field using HACH field kits. Groundwater samples were submitted to Onsite Environmental Inc., of Redmond, Washington, and subcontracted for laboratory analysis to Aquatic Research Incorporated, of Seattle, Washington, under standard chain-of-custody protocols. Purge water and decontamination water were temporarily stored on the Dry Cleaning Building Property pending analytical results.

#### 2.5.2 Groundwater Measurement and Analytical Results

The groundwater elevations measured in Shallow Zone monitoring wells within and adjacent to the Site ranged from 164.69 feet (MW21) to 169.95 above mean sea level and groundwater elevations measured in the Deep Zone monitoring wells at the Site ranged from 164.75 feet to 168.01 feet above mean sea level. Deep Zone groundwater measurements demonstrate semiconfined to confined aquifer conditions. Depth-to-groundwater measurements and corresponding groundwater elevations for Site monitoring wells are presented in Table 1.

The potentiometric surface contours for the Shallow Zone and Deep Zone from the monitoring event are shown on Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Groundwater flow direction for the Shallow Zone is generally in a northeast to north direction toward Green Lake with an average gradient ranging between 0.01 and 0.06 ft/ft. The measurements for the Shallow Zone observed at monitoring well MW03 indicate that localized mounding is occurring. Potential sources of recharge for the mounding may be the existing sanitary sewer or water lines leaving the Dry Cleaner Building Property or potential irrigation lines located in the planter strip or potential surface infiltration. Groundwater for the Deep Zone flows in a general northeast direction toward Green Lake with an average gradient ranging between 0.01 and 0.03 ft/ft. The contours for both water-bearing zones are consistent with previous groundwater monitoring events. Historical groundwater elevation measurements are presented in Table 1.

Laboratory analytical results of the groundwater samples collected during the 2014 groundwater monitoring event indicate the following:

- Concentrations of PCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level were detected in groundwater samples collected from Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW03 through MW06, MW24, and MW25.
- Concentrations of TCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level were detected in groundwater samples collected from Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW04, MW05, and MW06.
- Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE exceeding the MTCA Method B cleanup level were detected in groundwater samples collected from Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW04 and MW06.

- Concentrations of vinyl chloride exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level were detected in groundwater samples collected from Shallow Zone monitoring wells MW04, MW06, and MW21.
- Concentrations of PCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level have been detected in groundwater samples collected from wells screened within the Deep Zone, including monitoring wells MW09 and MW10.

An assessment of the natural attenuation and geochemical parameters in groundwater was performed as part of the feasibility study for the Site. The continued assessment of monitored natural attenuation is proposed as part of the monitoring program for modified cleanup action alternative. Groundwater analytical results for CVOCs are presented in Table 2 and on Figures 5 and 6 for the Shallow and Deep Zones, respectively. Groundwater analytical results for natural attenuation parameters are presented in Table 3 and for geochemical parameters in Table 4. Laboratory reports for the groundwater samples are provided in Appendix A.

#### 2.6 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL SUMMARY

The findings of investigations conducted at the Site were used to develop a CSM. This section summarizes the source areas, COCs, media of concern, contaminant fate and transport, and potential exposure pathways identified for the Site. Updated soil and groundwater PCE concentrations are presented on Figures 5, 6, and 7 in plan view. Cross sections for A–A' and B–B' with updated soil and groundwater analytical results are presented on Figures and 8 and 9. Cross section E–E' presented on Figure 10 is a new cross section line that runs southwest to northeast along a path in close proximity to monitoring wells MW-14, MW-4, MW-6, and MW-21 and includes updated soil and groundwater analytical results.

#### 2.6.1 Source Areas

The primary source of contamination beneath the Site is the former dry cleaning equipment and/or the floor drain system in the northwest corner of the Dry Cleaner Building on the Dry Cleaner Building Property, as supported by the distribution of high concentrations of PCE in Shallow Zone soil and groundwater, and the possible presence of PCE as dense nonaqueous-phase liquids at borings SB-1 and SB-37 (Figures 8, 9, and 10).

#### 2.6.2 Chemicals and Media of Concern

The primary COC identified for the Site is PCE. Other COCs include the degradation products of PCE: TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride.

The media of concern for the Site are soil, groundwater, and air as soil vapor. The interim remedial action has addressed contaminated soil and vapor within the boundary of the Hearthstone Property; the vapor pathway is no longer a medium of concern for the Hearthstone Property.

#### 2.6.3 Contaminant Fate and Transport

Soil analytical data for the Site indicates that PCE in soil within the Shallow Zone has migrated from the primary source area, beneath the former dry cleaning machines located on the Dry Cleaner Building Property, to a portion of the northeast corner of the Hearthstone Property and a portion of the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW (Figure 7). This migration has occurred by vapor-phase transport via diffusion within the vadose zone and/or dissolved-phase advective

transport. The interim remedial action conducted in 2011 and 2012 has effectively removed the majority of Shallow Zone PCE contamination at the Hearthstone Property; however, residual concentrations of PCE in soil are present below the maximum excavation depth of 15 feet bgs.

The lateral extent of the Shallow Zone dissolved-phase PCE plume (where concentrations exceed the MTCA Method A cleanup level) is bounded by monitoring wells MW-15, MW-16, MW-17, MW-19, MW-23, MW-26, and MW-27 and borings SB-6, SB-7, SB-20, and SB-21 in the cross- and downgradient directions to the west, north, and east of the Site, and by monitoring well MW-1 in the upgradient direction, located on the south-adjoining property (Figure 5). Figure 5 also shows the PCE isoconcentration contours for the groundwater in the Shallow Zone based on the results from historical reconnaissance groundwater and groundwater samples and from the 2014 groundwater monitoring event.

Based on the groundwater analytical results from previous investigations, concentrations of PCE and its degradation compounds in the Shallow Zone are highest near the former dry cleaning equipment and attenuate rapidly with distance downgradient of the former dry cleaning equipment. The rapid attenuation of concentrations of PCE in groundwater is attributed to the lateral gradation in the Shallow Zone from silt and silty sand layers located in the source area to a more uniform silt layer observed in areas downgradient of the source and south of the north-adjoining property. The groundwater analytical results from the previous investigations also identified other minor source areas present in the southern portion of the Dry Cleaner Building Property associated with suspected releases of PCE to the existing floor drain and sanitary sewer lines.

Shallow soil and groundwater analytical data indicate that PCE concentrations in the Shallow Zone decrease rapidly with distance from source areas both laterally (Figure 5 of this RI/FS Addendum) and vertically. PCE concentrations generally decrease to below 1 mg/kg at depths below approximately 20 feet bgs, corresponding to the depth of contact between the Shallow and Deep Zones (Figures 8, 9, and 10). The dissolved-phase PCE plume extent appears to be limited by the low transmissivity of saturated silt and silty sand within the Shallow Zone beneath the source areas and the decreased sand content downgradient of the source areas. The lateral and vertical extent of the dissolved-phase PCE plume in Shallow Zone groundwater has been sufficiently characterized with the existing Shallow Zone monitoring well network and shallow borings.

Soil and groundwater analytical results indicate the presence of TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride beneath the Site. The presence of these compounds indicates that the reductive dechlorination of PCE is occurring within the Shallow Zone by either biological or chemical attenuation processes.

The presence of concentrations of PCE exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level in Deep Zone soil in samples collected from borings MW-9 and MW-15 is likely due to partitioning from contaminated groundwater onto soil downgradient of the source of contamination to Deep Zone groundwater (Figure 9).

PCE from the source area beneath the former dry cleaning equipment and/or nearby floor drain system has migrated vertically into the upper portions of the Deep Zone. The Deep Zone dissolved-phase PCE groundwater plume is delineated by the well network. The lateral extent of the Deep Zone dissolved-phase PCE plume (where concentrations exceed the MTCA Method A cleanup level) is bounded down- to crossgradient by monitoring wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13,

MW14, MW-18, and MW-22 and borings SB-11 through SB-13 to the north, east, and west of the Site, and by monitoring wells MW-11 and MW-20 located upgradient to the south (Figure 6 of this RI/FS Addendum and Figures 6 and 8 of the 2013 RI/FS Report). The upper Deep Zone groundwater from 20 to 45 feet bgs is impacted with CVOCs that extend from beneath the former dry cleaning machines toward the north–northwest, beneath the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW, and beneath a portion of the north-adjoining property (Figure 6 of this RI/FS Addendum). Figure 6 shows the PCE isoconcentration contours for the groundwater in the Deep Zone based on the results from historical reconnaissance groundwater and groundwater samples and from the 2014 groundwater monitoring event.

The dissolved-phase PCE plume extends farther downgradient in the Deep Zone than in the Shallow Zone. The limited dissolved-phase PCE plume extent is a result of the higher transmissivity of sand and gravel soils within the Deep Zone and the absence of a Shallow Zone groundwater downgradient of the Site (Figures 8, 9, and 10). The lateral and vertical extent of Deep Zone dissolved-phase CVOC plume has been sufficiently characterized with the existing Deep Zone monitoring well network and deep borings.

PCE in soil and groundwater may volatilize into vapor phase. At portions of the Site where no impermeable caps are present, some vapor-phase PCE may escape from the subsurface into the atmosphere and where photodegradation of the vapor will occur.

The estimated distribution of PCE mass in soil and groundwater for the Site was calculated in the 2013 RI/FS Report using the available data for the Dry Cleaner Building Property and the downgradient ROWs. The calculated percentages of mass are summarized below.

	% Mass in	% Mass in	% Mass in	% Mass in
Area	Soil	Groundwater	Shallow Zone	Deep Zone
Dry Cleaner Building				
Property	92%	0.69%	74%	19%
Rights-of-way	6.9%	0.19%	4.3%	2.8%
Total:	~100%		~100%	

The data above indicate that the majority of PCE mass at the Site (i.e., greater than 90 percent) is contained in soil on the Dry Cleaner Building Property, less than 7 percent is present in soil in the ROWs, and less than 1 percent is contained in groundwater.

#### 2.6.4 <u>Potential Exposure Pathways</u>

The following potential exposure pathways have been identified for future human health exposure at the Site:

- Vapor Pathway. Short-term inhalation of volatilized contaminants by construction workers during future construction activities on the Site and indoor air inhalation of vapors emanating from contaminated soil and/or groundwater intruding into existing and/or future structures at the Site.
- Soil Pathway. Direct dermal contact and/or ingestion of contaminated soil by construction workers during future construction activities on the Site.
- Groundwater Pathway. Direct dermal contact and/or ingestion of contaminated groundwater by construction workers during future construction activities on the Site.

Human health exposure via ingestion of groundwater as a potable drinking water supply is not considered to be a complete exposure pathway.

#### 3.0 FOCUSED FEASIBILITY STUDY CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION

This section provides a summary of Farallon's selected preferred cleanup action alternative, a detailed comparison of enhanced modified versions of the previously selected preferred cleanup action alternative, and a disproportionate cost analysis of each of the modified cleanup action alternatives. A detailed description of the previous cleanup action alternatives and Farallon's complete feasibility study is presented in the 2013 RI/FS Report.

#### 3.1 2013 RI/FS SELECTED PREFERRED CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE

Farallon developed and evaluated six cleanup action alternatives for the Site, as detailed in the 2013 RI/FS Report. Upon completion of their evaluation, Farallon selected Cleanup Alternative 3 as the preferred alternative for the Site. Cleanup Alternative 3 included the following cleanup action elements:

- Removing the Stoddard solvent USTs in the Woodlawn Avenue ROW.
- Demolishing the existing Dry Cleaner Building.
- Removing the decommissioned USTs and the heating oil UST beneath the Dry Cleaner building.
- Conducting thermal treatment of Shallow Zone soil and groundwater.
- Applying a chemical oxidant reagent to the Shallow Zone to assess the practicability to treat residual PCE downgradient of the dry cleaning equipment source area within the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW.
- Treating Deep Zone groundwater using chemical oxidation or bioremediation.
- Implementing institutional and engineering controls to prevent future exposure of residual contamination.
- Conducting long-term monitoring.

Farallon concluded that Cleanup Alternative 3 would require a total restoration time frame of 25 to 35 years, but provided a high degree of protectiveness, permanence, long-term effectiveness, and had the highest degree of practicability to implement when compared to the five other alternatives.

#### 3.2 MODIFICATION TO PREFERRED CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE 3

In general, SoundEarth agrees with Farallon's selected Cleanup Action Alternative 3 of the 2013 RI/FS Report. Farallon gave two different treatment alternatives for the Deep Zone including enhanced reductive dechlorination (ERD) and chemical oxidation. However, Farallon did not provide a detailed description of their method for treating Deep Zone groundwater and did not adequately address remediation of Deep Zone soil and groundwater contamination.

SoundEarth has evaluated four modified cleanup action alternative versions (alternatives 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4) of Farallon's selected cleanup action alternative (alternative 3) in order to select a more complete preferred alternative to implement for the Site. SoundEarth divided the Site into 5 remediation areas based on the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination and associated waste

designation when excavated, soil accessibility, and planned redevelopment excavation footprint. The 5 areas are shown on Figure 11. These remediation areas include the following:

- Area 1 is generally located within the former dry cleaning machinery source area, beneath the Dry Cleaning Building Property, and contains PCE-contaminated soil and groundwater. The extent of Area 1 is defined by concentrations of PCE in soil exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup level and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Land Ban criteria (60 mg/kg). (40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 268, Subpart D, 268.40 and 268.49 [c][1][C]).
- Area 2 is generally located around the perimeter of Area 1 beneath the Dry Cleaning Building Property and contains PCE-contaminated soil and groundwater. The extent of Area 2 is defined by concentrations of PCE in soil exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup level and Washington State's Dangerous Waste (DW) Toxicity Characteristic List for PCE of a Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) of 0.7 milligrams per liter (mg/l), which is equivalent to 14 mg/kg), but not exceeding RCRA Land Ban criteria (WAC173-303-090[8][c]).
- Area 3 is generally located directly downgradient of the former dry cleaning machinery source area, beneath the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW, and contains PCE-contaminated soil and groundwater. The extent of Area 3 is defined by concentrations of PCE in soil exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup level and DW criteria for listed wastes, but not exceeding RCRA Land Ban criteria.
- Area 4 is generally located in remaining areas beneath the Dry Cleaning Building Property and contains PCE-contaminated soil and groundwater. The extent of Area 4 is defined by concentrations of PCE soil exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup level, but not exceeding DW criteria for listed wastes.
- Area 5 is generally located on the 6565 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northwest Property. Concentrations of PCE have not been detected in the soil or groundwater on this property, and this property is not part of the Site, but is part of the redevelopment. However, concentrations of PCE in soil and groundwater have been detected in exceedance of the MTCA Method A cleanup level at boring and well locations directly north on the Dry Cleaner Building Property.

Figures 4 through 10 of this RI/FS Addendum present concentrations of PCE in soil and groundwater at the Site. Updated cross sections for A–A' and B–B' are presented on Figures 8 and 9 and a new cross section E–E' is presented on Figure 10. The remediation areas are presented on Figure 11. Areas 1, 2, and 3 are designated remediation areas for thermal treatment by electrical resistance heating based on the presence of concentrations of PCE in soil exceeding RCRA Land Ban criteria of 60 mg/kg or Washington State's DW Toxicity Characteristic List for PCE of a TCLP of 0.7 milligrams per liter, which is equivalent to 14 mg/kg for soil. Figure 11 shows PCE concentration contour lines for soil of 60 and 14 mg/kg in relationship to remediation Areas 1, 2, and 3.

All of the four alternatives include demolition of the Dry Cleaner Building, the removal of two USTs beneath the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW and a heating oil UST beneath the Dry Cleaner Building Property, Electrical Resistance Heating (ERH), soldier pile shoring installation, construction dewatering, soil excavation, under-slab drainage system installation, and foundation slab vapor barrier installation as common components of the remediation and engineering control measures. Figures 11 through 22 show the proposed ERH electrode layout and associated depths in relationship to proposed thermal treatment remediation areas and excavation limits for the four modified cleanup action alternatives.

Three of the alternatives use ERD to treat residual contaminated groundwater, and the fourth alternative uses chemical oxidation using potassium permanganate. Figures 23 through 26 present the proposed injection well layout for the four modified cleanup action alternatives and provide PCE isoconcentration contours for groundwater in the Shallow Zone and Deep Zone. Figures 27 through 32 show the proposed injection well screen intervals and depths for the three cleanup action alternatives that would use ERD to treat residual contaminated groundwater, and Figures 33 through 35 depict the proposed injection well screen intervals and depths for the fourth alternative that would use chemical oxidation. Design details for the selected modified cleanup action alternative for each of the common remediation and engineering control measures will be specified in the cleanup action plan.

In the email prepared by Ecology dated April 28, 2015, Ecology requested additional information regarding how the under-slab drainage system may impact the groundwater flow direction in the Shallow Zone. A conceptual flow net diagram was constructed to show the estimated groundwater flow direction in relationship to the under-slab drainage system. The layout of the drainage pipe for the under-slab drainage system is shown on Figure 36. SoundEarth calculated the equivalent radius ( $r_s$ ) for a rectangular system (under-slab drainage system) that acts as a single large well and equivalent radius of influence ( $R_0$ ) for the under-slab drainage system. The estimated  $r_s$  is approximately 57.71 feet and the estimated  $R_0$  is approximately 69.13 feet from the center point of the proposed drainage piping system (Figure 36). The calculations and associated assumptions are tabulated in Table 5. These radii were incorporated into the flow net diagram.

The highest historical potentiometric surface measurements from 2007 for the groundwater in the Shallow Zone were used in the development of the conceptual flow net diagram to be conservative by representing the largest possible drawdown between the historical seasonal high potentiometric surface at approximately 170 to 171 feet mean sea level and the under-slab drainage system at approximately 165 feet mean sea level. The conceptual flow net diagram also incorporates the preliminary construction design components and proposed permanent features located within the groundwater in the Shallow Zone (Figure 37). The conceptual flow net diagram did not incorporate the reduced flow caused by the planned soldier pile shoring to be installed around the redevelopment excavation perimeter. One level of underground parking garage will be constructed to a depth of approximately 13.5 to 14.5 feet bgs. The parking garage foundation will be approximately 4 inches thick. Underlying the foundation will be a layer of drainage rock approximately 1 foot thick. The under-slab drainage piping will be installed with the drainage rock. A 4-inch-thick rat-slab will be installed beneath the drainage rock. The rat-slab will act as a relatively impervious surface, and upward groundwater flow and infiltration into the under-slab drainage system will be limited to the edges of the rat-slab where there are no walls connecting the rat-slab to the foundation slab.

Based on the conceptual flow net diagram, the under-slab drainage system will have localized drawdown of groundwater in the Shallow Zone adjacent to the base building foundation. The overall groundwater flow direction in Shallow Zone will continue to flow towards the north–northwest (Figure 37). Most of the groundwater will be drawn to the upgradient portion of the drainage system, which is at an approximate elevation of 165 feet mean sea level. During seasonally high groundwater conditions, there may be no groundwater flow in the upper 5 feet of the Shallow Zone in an area approximately 5 to 7 feet north of the proposed parking garage. However, the groundwater in this area will flow to the north–northwest when the potentiometric surface begins to fall. Downgradient of the under-slab drainage system, it is not anticipated that there would be a significant amount of permanently reversed groundwater flow.

The selected cleanup action alternative will also include the following additional components as a response to Ecology comments:

- Utilizing the injection wells located in the south sidewalk of Woodlawn Avenue Northeast (Transect D on Figure 23A) as dewatering wells during construction to remove or reduce the concentrations of PCE in groundwater in the Shallow Zone. The estimated radius of influence resulting from the use of Transect D injection as dewatering wells is shown on Figure 23B.
- Constructing and utilizing the injection wells located on the north sidewalk of Woodlawn Avenue Northeast as dual injection wells (Transect E on Figure 24). In addition, groundwater samples will be taken at Shallow Zone screen interval of the dual injection wells. If the concentrations of COCs in the shallow groundwater exceed applicable MTCA cleanup levels, ERD treatment will be implemented in the Shallow Zone and Deep Zone groundwater.
- Utilizing monitoring well MW-4 and MW-6 will be utilized as an injection wells. Both monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-6 will monitored for COCs after ERD treatment. Monitoring well MW-21 will be used as a monitoring well. If the under-slab drainage system does reverse the groundwater flow direction for the Shallow Zone in south Woodlawn Avenue Northeast near monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-6, then monitoring well MW-6 may be used as an injection well and monitoring well MW-4 will be used as a compliance monitoring well. If reversal groundwater conditions occur due to the under-slab drainage system, SoundEarth will confer with Ecology on uses of monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-6 as compliance wells or injection wells.

A description of each of the modified cleanup action alternatives is presented in the subsections below. The costs for each alternative have been normalized to the present value of 2015. Tables 6 through 9 present the feasibility level cost estimates for each of the four modified cleanup action alternatives evaluated in this RI/FS Addendum.

#### 3.2.1 Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1

The initial steps of modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 are to remove the existing Dry Cleaner Building and decommission and remove the two Stoddard solvent USTs beneath the Woodlawn Avenue Northeast ROW. Following completion of the UST removal, ERH remediation would be implemented in Areas 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 11) to an approximate depth of 16 feet bgs (Figures 12, 13, and 14). ERH treatment applies heat to the subsurface in order to degrade or volatilize contaminants. Electrodes are installed into the treatment areas. Heat is applied by passing an electrical current through the electrode network, thereby heating the subsurface to approximately 100 degrees Celsius. In this temperature range groundwater boils and steam is produced, which in combination with the heat volatilized contaminants are then collected by a soil vapor extraction system component at each electrode and captured on activated carbon. The activated carbon can be processed off site for recycled use or disposed of in an appropriate subtitle D landfill.

SoundEarth estimates that ERH treatment will take approximately 6 to 8 weeks once heating starts and will volatize the majority of the chlorinated contamination from the soil in the treatment areas. The remediation goal of ERH treatment is to lower contaminant concentrations in the treated soil below 14 mg/kg. If the goal is met, the soil treated will be excavated to

approximately 16 feet bgs and disposed of offsite in a subtitle D land fill under a contained out determination after the thermally treated soil has reached a temperature of 30°C. As a contingency, if post ERH confirmation soil sampling indicates a portion of the soil contains greater than TCLP of 0.7 mg/l, which is equivalent to 14 mg/kg, that soil will be disposed at a subtitle C landfill.

Following completion of ERH treatment, Area 1, the lower Shallow Zone of Area 1 below the ERH treatment, and the on- and off-Property Deep Zone will be remediated with ERD technology (Figures 23, 24, 27, 28, and 29). ERD utilizes bioremediation to degrade the remaining contaminants present in groundwater. To implement ERD, injection wells will be installed in the treatment areas and/or existing wells will be converted into injection wells (e.g., well MW-4) (Figures 23, 24, 27, 28, and 29). The Ecology Underground Injection Control Program requires that all injection wells are registered and a permit procured for all injectates prior to commencement of injection.

To initiate ERD bioremediation, an edible oil substrate (EOS) will be injected into the saturated subsurface. EOS consists predominantly of emulsified food-grade soybean oil, with lesser amounts of sodium lactate, food additives, emulsifiers, and preservatives. Initial introduction of EOS will sequester much of the dissolved-phase PCE and TCE present, resulting in a sharp decrease in groundwater concentrations. The EOS injection creates an anaerobic condition in groundwater and provides nutrition that stimulates indigenous microorganisms. The resulting increased organic metabolism then degrades the contaminants by using them as a food source. The consumed contaminants are converted to nonhazardous compounds.

The contaminant degradation following EOS injection will provide long-term remediation of both the soil and groundwater in the treated areas. In addition, dewatering activities associated with the planned redevelopment will flush the local groundwater in the areas with the highest observed contaminant concentrations. Groundwater removed for development dewatering will be treated, if necessary, and disposed of off site. Groundwater performance monitoring results will be used to evaluate the stability of the contaminated groundwater plume, estimate the restoration time from for the groundwater at the Site, and if additional EDR injections are necessary to reach the estimated restoration time frame for the Site as proposed in the draft CAP.

The overall remediation cost normalized to 2015 dollars is \$3,234,000.

The total cost, including development related components, normalized to 2015 dollars is \$4,953,000.

#### 3.2.2 Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2

Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2 is similar to modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1, but would incorporate ERH treatment for Area 1 (Figure 15) down to a depth of approximately 21 feet bgs (Figures 15, 16, 17, and 18) in addition to ERD remediation (Figures 23, 24, 27, 28, and 29). This treatment depth will require electrodes for the ERH to be extended deeper into the subsurface to access Area 1 (Figures 15, 16, 17, and 18). Because Area 1 is completely saturated, the heating time to reach the remediation levels will be increased. The extended heating time, deeper electrode installation, and required waterproofing of electrodes makes the overall cost of modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2 significantly higher than modified

Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1. The expanded ERH treatment will potentially decrease the overall remediation time frame of the lower Shallow Zone on the Dry Cleaner Building Property.

The overall remediation cost normalized to 2015 dollars is \$3,935,000.

The total cost, including development related components, normalized to 2015 dollars is \$5,613,000.

#### 3.2.3 Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.3

Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.3 is similar to modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2, where the ERH treatment includes Area 1 (Figure 19) down to a depth of approximately 40 feet bgs (Figures 20, 21, and 22) in addition to ERD remediation (Figures 25, 26, 30, 31, and 32), but shifts the ERH treatment from a remediation level goal for soil concentrations below 14 mg/kg to a cleanup level goal for groundwater concentrations below MTCA Method A cleanup levels. This treatment focus causes the ERH costs to increase significantly but causes a decrease in the on-Property groundwater remediation time frame. Although this alternative appears to be cost competitive with alternative 3.2, a careful comparison, including the development-related costs, shows that modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.3 is clearly not as cost effective. This is due to RCRA regulations requiring soil that contains any detectable amount of Listed Waste, even if it is below the state cleanup level, be disposed of as a Listed Waste. The true cost of alternative 3.3 is increased, shifting the bulk of expenses from the remediation costs to the development costs.

The overall remediation cost normalized to 2015 dollars is \$3,560,000.

The total cost, including development related components, normalized to 2015 dollars is \$5,702,000.

#### 3.2.4 Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4

Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 operates similarly to modified Cleanup Alternative 3.1, where ERH remediation would be implemented in Areas 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 11) to an approximate depth of 16 feet bgs (Figures 12, 13, and 14), but replaces ERD treatment with chemical oxidation. Chemical oxidation involves the injection of potassium permanganate to chemically oxidize residual soil and groundwater. The oxidizer chemically reacts with and destroys the contaminants present in the subsurface, converting them to nonhazardous compounds. For simplicity, the planned injection grid for ERD treatment was assumed adequate for potassium permanganate injections (Figures 23, 24, 33, 34, and 35). In fact, that assumption is optimistic since emulsified oil normally moves through the soil column more readily than permanganate, as the permanganate reacts with naturally occurring organics in the soil. The risk for both failure and liability of chemical oxidation is higher due to natural degradation processes, such as soil oxidant demand, that consume the permanganate. In addition, the propensity for the dissolved permanganate solution to enter sewers or storm drains is slightly greater.

The overall remediation cost normalized to 2015 dollars is \$3,481,000.

The total cost, including development related components, normalized to 2015 dollars is \$5,199,000.

#### 3.3 COMPARISON OF MODIFIED ALTERNATIVES

A summary of the comparative evaluation of the modified cleanup action alternatives using the MTCA evaluation criteria (WAC 173-340-360[3][f]) is presented in Table 10. All four of focused modified cleanup action alternatives evaluated fall under the Farallon's selected Cleanup Action Alternative 3, which had a total ranking score of 7.8 out of a possible 10. Farallon used weighting factors for the six criteria evaluated below. A description of the weighting factors and an example is provided in section 7.4.3 of the 2013 RI/FS Report.

Below we compare the same MTCA evaluation criteria for each of our modified alternatives relative to each other:

- . Protectiveness. All four modified cleanup action alternatives end at the same level of cleanup, MTCA Method A. In fact, the estimated time to meet this goal for the four alternatives is not discernable. To estimate the time for ERD to achieve the cleanup for vinyl chloride, the last compound in the reductive dechlorination process, SoundEarth used the data from another PCEcontaminated site located on Capitol Hill in Seattle, Washington. The average degradation rates across 4 monitoring wells at the site were, 1 percent, 1.5 percent, 0.40 percent and 0.30 percent per day for PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, trans-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride, respectively. A spreadsheet was used to estimate the overall remediation time accounting for the durations of time needed to complete the reductive dechlorination steps. PCE first degrades at 1 percent per day, adding to the TCE concentration that already exists. The TCE degrades at 1.5 percent, supplying the DCE for the system. The DCE degrades to vinyl chloride, and vinyl chloride to ethene. Vinyl chloride remains the driving time factor for the remediation due to its low cleanup level of 0.2  $\mu$ g/L in groundwater. The projected time for 160,000  $\mu$ g/L of PCE to degrade to 0.2  $\mu$ g/L of vinyl chloride, using the above degradation rates, is approximately 13 to 20 years (Figure 38). Although modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 proposes the use of chemical oxidation, which is generally faster than ERD processes, for this site the degradation rate is controlled by the time that it takes for the PCE to move from immobile pore spaces and dissolve into the groundwater. For chemical oxidation applications, this creates timing issues as the oxidant dissolves into the groundwater and moves away from the contamination, before the contamination can dissolve into the groundwater. This is seen in the field as a rebound effect. To counter this issue, the chemical oxidation alternative evaluated includes 4 injection programs over a 15 year period. Based on the long re-injection program the overall remediation for modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 is on par with the other three alternatives. All alternatives receive the same value, 8.
- Permanence. All modified cleanup action alternatives provide a permanent solution to the reduction of toxicity, mobility, and volume of COCs through either biological, chemical, or physical means. Due to design even modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 ends up with the same permanent solution. All modified alternatives end with the contamination destroyed, or removed from the site, and are equal in permanence. All modified alternatives receive the same value, 8.
- Effectiveness over the Long Term. All modified cleanup action alternatives are permanent and, therefore, equal in the long-term effectiveness. All modified alternatives receive the same value, 8.

- Management of Short-Term Risks. All four modified cleanup action alternatives have the short-term risks associated with ERH. Modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.2 and 3.3 require a longer period of short-term risk associated with the high voltage electricity associated with ERH, but modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.1 and 3.4 have short-term risk associated with higher starting concentrations of PCE because the ERH does not extend to the bottom of the hot spot area. All modified alternatives receive the same value, 7.
- Technical and Administrative Implementability. Modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 have slightly more difficult implementations than modified Cleanup Action Alternative 1 due to the electrode depth in modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.2 and 3.3, and the rebound effects associated with potassium permanganate in modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4. The number of electrodes and injection wells do not change for the modified alternatives. Based on this assessment of technical and administrative implementability, modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 receives a score of 9 while the modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2 and 3.3 receive a score of 8 each. Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 receives a slightly lower score of 7 based on the formation diffusion limitations and the rebound effects associated with the use of chemical oxidation.
- Public Concerns. As stated in the 2013 RI/FS Report, community concerns are considered by Ecology in the selection of cleanup actions and are formally obtained during the required Public Notice and Participation periods, which will occur with submittal of the Draft Cleanup Action Plan. Public concerns will be considered in the selection and implementation of the modified cleanup action alternative for the Site. Therefore, all modified alternatives receive the same value, 7.

SoundEarth used the same weighting of the scores as presented in the 2013 RI/FS Report. Farallon's preferred Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 had a total ranking score of 7.8. Results of the comparative evaluation indicate modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 ranks slightly higher, with a total ranking score of 7.9, versus modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.2 and 3.3, with total ranking score of 7.8. Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 ranks last with respect to the SoundEarth preferred modified cleanup action alternative with a total ranking score of 7.7, the four modified versions of the preferred cleanup action alternative are within 0.2 of each other. Based on the comparative evaluation, modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 meets or exceeds all of the other alternatives evaluated by Farallon in the 2013 RI/FS Report and by SoundEarth in this addendum.

## 3.4 DISPROPORTIONATE COST ANALYSIS

Detailed engineering cost estimates have been completed for each modified alternative. Table 6 presents modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1: ERH of Upper Shallow Zone Source Area to Soil Remediation Level ERD of Lower Shallow Zone and Deep Zone on Property, and ERD of Shallow Zone and Deep off Property. Table 7 presents modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2: ERH of Upper and Lower Shallow Zone Source Area to Soil Remediation Level, ERD of Lower Shallow Zone and Deep Zone on Property, and ERD of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property. Table 8 presents modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.3: ERH of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone Source Area to Groundwater Cleanup Level and ERD of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property. Table 9 presents modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4: ERH of Upper Shallow Zone Source Area to Soil Remediation Level, Chemical Oxidation of Lower Shallow Zone and Deep Zone on Property, and Chemical Oxidation of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property.

The cost estimates are valued in 2015 dollars, and then normalized to present value to take in account the effect of long-term monitoring and injections. Costs for development-related components are also broken out, as requested by Ecology. Chart 1 presents the total present value costs, including and excluding development costs, and the MTCA composite benefit score for modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.1 through 3.4. The higher the benefit score, the better the alternative is for human health and the environment. The lower the cost, the more cost effective the alternative is to implement. Chart 1 shows that the modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 has the lowest cost to implement, including and excluding development costs. In addition, modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 also has a slightly higher benefit score, making it the most favorable modified alternative. Chart 2 plots the cost-to-benefit ratios for the four modified alternatives to illustrate the relative cost and environmental benefits afforded by each modified alternative. The charts demonstrate that modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 exhibits the lowest cost-to-benefit ratio.

#### 4.0 SELECTED MODIFIED CLEANUP ACTION ALTERNATIVE

After performing the comparative analysis and ranking of modified alternatives in accordance with the MTCA evaluation criteria and the disproportionate cost analysis, modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 is the recommended alternative for the Site. ERH and ERD are proven technologies for the effective remediation of COCs. Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 meets the threshold requirements for cleanup actions set forth in WAC 173-340-360(3) and WAC 173-340-370. Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 is protective of human health and the environment, is more easily implemented than competing alternatives, and provides a permanent solution for reducing concentrations of COCs at the Site within a reasonable restoration time frame. The cost to implement modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 is the lowest and exhibits the lowest cost-to-benefit ratio when compared to competing alternatives.

The disproportionate cost analysis was completed both including and excluding development costs, as requested by Ecology. The disproportionate cost analysis is based on two components: the cost of remediation and the MTCA Composite Benefit Score. The basis for the MTCA Composite Benefit Score for modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 is presented below:

- Protectiveness was favorable, with a score of 8 out of 10. All modified cleanup action alternatives were scored the same because the end result for all alternatives meets the preliminary cleanup level for the Site. Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 has a slightly longer monitoring program, suggesting that the cleanup was longer. This was based on a conservative approach that ignored the construction dewatering benefits and used the worst-case known concentration of 160,000 µg/L as a starting condition. Based on degradation rates from another site in Washington using ERD, the time for the site to reach the MTCA cleanup level for vinyl chloride could be 5 years longer. The protectiveness score was left same as the other alternatives because the starting concentration will be much lower due to the construction dewatering efforts required by the development. Extending the monitoring time did lead to a worst-case scenario for the cost.
- Permanence was favorable, with a score of 8 out of 10. Again, all modified cleanup action alternatives received the same score because they all have the same MTCA cleanup goal.
- Long-term effectiveness was favorable, with a score of 8 out of 10. Again, all modified cleanup
  action alternatives received the same score because they all have the same MTCA cleanup goal.

Due to the slow migration of contamination in the Shallow Zone, it is unlikely that the groundwater will clean up in the ROW in fewer than 5 years. An estimated remediation time frame between 13 and 20 years is more likely based on the hydraulic characteristics observed at the Site.

- Short-term risks management was favorable, with a score of 7 out to 10. All modified cleanup action alternatives received the same score because they all have a long-term in situ remediation component. The groundwater movement in the Shallow Zone will be a long-term process, making the two technologies, ERD and chemical oxidation, end at close to the same time.
- Implementability was very favorable, with a score of 9 out of 10. This was the only MTCA Composite Benefit Score criterion that received a higher score than the other modified cleanup action alternatives and Farallon's original selected Cleanup Action Alternative 3 in the 2013 RI/FS Report. Modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.2 and 3.3 include heating wells that extend through the Shallow Zone, which acts as a semiconfining to confining unit. Each time the Shallow Zone is penetrated, a significant effort is required to ensure the penetration does not leak, as the Deep Zone is under artesian conditions at the design depth of the proposed building basement floor. Based on the last site where SoundEarth used ERH, the electrode wells will require over-drilling to abandon. This again will impact the integrity of the Shallow Zone that is acting as a confining unit for modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.2 and 3.3. Modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 has to use a strong oxidizer that presents additional health and safety issues in comparison with ERD included in modified Cleanup Action Alternatives 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3.
- Public concerns were favorable, with a score of 7 out of 10. All modified cleanup action alternatives scored the same because they all had largely the same risks associated with remediation timing and the length of time until the Site meets the MTCA cleanup level.

The cost for modified Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 was the lowest of the four modified cleanup action alternatives, with or without including development costs. The disproportionate cost was more significant when the development costs were included. Although the results are the same for the analysis, with or without development costs, SoundEarth recommends that the development costs be left in the analysis, as the development of the Dry Cleaner Property is the driving component for remediating the Site. In addition, for this selected alternative, the primary PCE source area will be removed early in the remediation process and the majority of impacted soil will be removed from the Property solely due to the development.

#### 5.0 REFERENCES

- Farallon Consulting, LLC (Farallon). 2013. Draft Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Report, Plastics Sales & Service, Inc. Site, 6860 and 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast, Seattle, Washington, Agreed Order No. DE 7084. July 3.
- GeoEngineers, Inc. (GeoEngineers). 2004a. *Report Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Plastic Sales* and Service Site, 6569 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Northeast, Seattle, Washington. August 6.
  - \_\_\_\_. 2004b. Site History Review and Soil and Groundwater Sampling, Plastics, Bakery and Yasuko Site, Woodlawn and Latona Avenues Northeast, Seattle, Washington. December 17.

King County. 2015. Current Assessor Records for the Site.

<a href="http://info.kingcounty.gov/Assessor/eRealProperty/default.aspx">http://info.kingcounty.gov/Assessor/eRealProperty/default.aspx</a>. Accessed March 13, 2015.

- SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SoundEarth). 2014. Interim Remedial Action Completion Report, the Former Laundry Property, 6860 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast, Seattle, Washington. December 18.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1996. Low Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Ground-Water Sampling Procedures. April.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2009. Agreed Order No. DE7084 with The Hearthstone Retirement Living, Plastic Sales & Service, Inc., Karkrie LLC, and Ruben and Patricia Rael. Sept. 17.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2015. Email Message Regarding Plastic Sales and Service Site AO DE 7084, RI/FS Addendum Report, Draft for Regulatory Review. From Sunny Becker. To Tim Brown and John Funderburk. April 28.

#### 6.0 LIMITATIONS

The services described in this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report are derived, in part, from data gathered by others, and from conditions evaluated when services were performed, and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We do not warrant and are not responsible for the accuracy or validity of work performed by others, nor from the impacts of changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the use of segregated portions of this report.

**FIGURES**
P:\0651 HEARTHSTONE\0651-002 HEARTHSTONE - WOODLAWN EAST\TECHNICAL\CAD\2015\REVISED\_RIFS ADDENDUM\0651-002\_FIG 1\_F.DWG













			Ana lytica I Results				
	Well ID	Sample	PCF	TCE	cis-1.2-DCE	trans-1,2-	Vinyl
	in car io	10/30/03	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
		06/02/06	1.1	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW-1	11/20/08	1.5	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		05/04/10	1.8	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	-	09/10/14	1.6	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
55		10/30/03	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
	MW-2	06/01/06	< 0.2	5.5	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		11/19/08	6.80	4.6	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
4 B22		05/04/10	9.50	3.5	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		09/10/14	4.00	0.49	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
\$c 1		10/30/03	1/0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
ASS SPA	MW-B	11/10/00	220	1.1	> 1.0	<10	< 1.0
		05/04/10	150	1.0	× 10	< 1.0	< 10
		09/10/14	130	0.59	0.79	<0.2	< 0.2
		10/30/03	2,100	220	92	< 2.0	20
		08/05/04	860	1200	250	< 10	68
		06/02/06	1,100	730	590	< 10	170
MW-16	MW-4	04/20/07	3,100	720	940	< 20	160
		11/20/08	10,000	640	1,100	< 50	130
< label{eq:started_startes		05/05/10	10,000	1,000	1,600	< 50	370
$\backslash$		09/10/14	28,000	3,400	3,800	< 200	920
$\backslash$		10/30/03	270	46	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
$\backslash$		06/01/06	54	9.6	3.3	< 0.4	< 0.4
$\backslash$	MW-5	03/28/08	19	110	40	< 1	2.8
\ <b>\</b>		11/20/08	86	67	37	1.4	5.5
$\mathbf{\lambda}$		05/04/10	82	34	27	0.44	0.88
× \		09/11/14	71	22	5.6	0.27	< 0.2
$\backslash$ $\backslash$	14141 6	11/08/04	29	18	11	< 2.0	6
	WW-6	05/04/10	4,100	330	440	< 20	110
		10/07/14	10,000	450	320	< 50	72
		05/01/05	0.22	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW-15	05/04/10	< 10	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		09/10/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	<0.2	< 0.2
EI C	5	06/01/06	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
T	MW-16	11/19/08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
S THE CASE		05/05/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		09/09/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Se cal 2	MW-17	06/01/06	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
RI I		03/28/08	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 0.2
THE OHP	MM 10	03/11/09	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 0.2
Lange and the second	WW-19	05/03/10	< 1	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		09/09/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		11/20/08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
N-2	MW-21	05/04/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
8		09/09/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.73
S III	MW-23	11/20/08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
		05/04/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
N R N		03/28/08	650	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 2.0
	1011 24	11/20/08	360	3.4	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
	WW-24	05/04/09	290	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 2.0
		09/10/10	40	0.42	× 0.2	> 0.2	< 0.2
		05/04/10	14	0.2/	11	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW-25	10/07/14	12	0.35	0.37	< 0.2	< 0.2
$\checkmark$		05/04/10	< 10	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
7 /	MW-26	09/10/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
۲ الا الا الا الا الا الا الا الا الا ال	1012 07	07/01/11	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	WW-27	10/07/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
$\backslash \land$	THOMAS	04/05/10	15	0.29	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
イム	TIVIVY-1	04/05/10	16	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 0.2
$\backslash$	TMW-2	04/05/10	110	1.5	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	1000-2	04/05/10	150	1.5	< 1	< 1	< 0.2
110	TMW-3	04/05/10	310	3.6	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
		04/05/10	350	3.7	< 1	< 1	< 0.2
	WITCA Cleanu	p Levels	5	5	16	160	0.2
				SOUR PLASTIC S 6870 WOC NORTHEA SEATTLE, 0651-002 FIGURE GROUNDW	GALES AN DOLAWN A ST WASHING 5 VATER AN	HINC.COM D SERVIC VENUE STON	M EE SITE
			l	RESULTS	FOR SHA	LLOW ZOI	NE



				Analytical Results					
, de la	Well ID	Sample Date	PCE	TCE	cis-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2- DCE	Chloride		
		11/19/04	7,000	47	< 20	< 20	< 20		
	MW-7	06/02/06	530	16	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0		
		04/20/07	2.5	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		
	and the second sec	11/20/08	18.0	0.69	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		
		05/04/10	12.0	0.49	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		09/10/14	4.5	0.26	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		11/19/04	0.36	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
SS #	1000	06/01/06	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
- 550	IVI VV-8	11/19/08	0.70	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		05/04/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		11/19/04	210	< 1.0	< 10	< 1.0	< 10		
		06/01/06	390	< 2.0	< 20	< 2.0	< 20		
. · · /		04/20/07	410	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		
	MW-9	11/20/08	220	< 2.0	< 20	< 2.0	< 20		
		05/04/10	190	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		09/10/14	89	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		11/19/04	2.50	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		06/01/06	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW 10	04/20/07	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	WIVY-10	11/20/08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		05/04/10	3.30	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
, l		09/10/14	600	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
$\mathbf{N}$		06/02/06	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-11	11/20/08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	WINA-11	05/03/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		10/07/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		06/02/06	0.76	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-12	11/19/08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	19197-12	05/03/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		09/09/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
N		06/02/06	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		04/20/07	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-13	11/19/08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
e e		05/03/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
140		09/09/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		06/02/06	0.99	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
i lu	MW-14	03/25/07	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		04/20/07	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$		11/20/08	1.10	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
L DHP		05/04/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
		09/10/14	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-18	06/01/06	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-20	11/20/08	0.28	< 0.2	< U.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
~~ ·		05/04/10	< 1.0	< 0.2	<:0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
$\backslash$	MW-22	11/20/08	< 0.2	5 0.2	S 0.2	\$ 0.2	5 0.2		
0	1111-22	09/10/10	< 1.U	< 0.2	S 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MTCA CI	05/10/14	= 0.2 E	× 0.2	10.2	160	× 0.2		
	ATH AVENUE	E MORITH	LIH B						
	×	MORTHEAST \$	1000 Control C		,				
				SoundEarthinc.com www.soundearthinc.com PLASTIC SALES AND SERVICE SITE 6870 WOODLAWN AVENUE NORTHEAST SATTLE, WASHINGTON 0651-002					
	2			FIGURE GROUND RESULTS MONITOR	6 WATER AN FOR DEE ING WELL	NALYTICA P ZONE .S	L		









EVEL) Z ION ELEV



0651-002 FIGURE 10

CROSS SECTION E-E'









TION ELEVA





PLASTIC SALES AND SERVICE SITE 6870 WOODLAWN AVENUE NORTHEAST SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 0651-002

FIGURE 14 CAA 3.1 AND CAA 3.4 ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE HEATING UPPER SHALLOW ZONE CROSS SECTION E-E'









TION ELEVA











Z Ξ TION ELEVA



















ION ELEVA
















Z TION ELEVA





F NORTHWEST 180'— GROUND SURFACE MW-4 ş 178'---176'---174'--PARKING GARAGE 172'- $\nabla$ 170'-NO FLOW AREA LEVEL) 168'-- FOUNDATION - UNDER SLAB - RAT SLAB SLAB DRAINAGE SEA SYSTEM 166'-Z \_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Ľ ÿ 164'-(FEE' 162'-ELEVATION 160 158'-SHALLOW ZONE 166 89 165 167 164 156'-154'---152'-DEEP ZONE 150'-120' 140 150' 210' 110' 130' 160' 170' 180' 190' 200' 0' 10' 20' 30 100'





## Figure 38, Estimated Degradation of Chlorinated Solvents in Groundwater with Time by

TABLES



					Depth to	Groundwater	
Well	Screened Interval	<b>TOC Elevation</b>	Total Well Depth	Date	Groundwater	Elevation	
ID	(feet bgs)	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	Measured	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>	
	(	Shallow	Water Bearing Zone	Wells	(	(,	
			18.42	08/05/04	7.91	170.33	
			18.42	11/18/04	7.00	171.24	
				01/07/05	5.91	172.33	
				05/31/06	6.36	171.88	
				06/22/06	8.22	170.02	
MW01	4 to 19	178.24	18.15	01/08/07	3.93	174.31	
			18.15	04/20/07	5.38	172.86	
			18.48	11/19/08	6.78	171.46	
			18.37	05/03/10	6.33	171.91	
				05/07/10	6.52	171.72	
				09/09/14	11.19	167.05	
			19.48	08/05/04	6.39	169.83	
			19.50	11/18/04	6.41	169.81	
				01/07/05	5.88	170.34	
				05/31/06	5.75	170.47	
				06/22/06	7.01	169.21	
MW02	5 to 20	176.22		01/08/07	4.56	171.66	
				04/20/07	4.90	171.32	
			19.31	11/19/08	6.86	169.36	
			19.45	05/03/10	6.50	169.72	
				05/07/10	6.48	169.74	
				09/09/14	9.01	167.21	
			19.55	08/05/04	6.56	169.31	
			19.56	11/18/04	6.64	169.23	
				01/07/05	5.86	170.01	
				05/31/06	2.79	173.08	
				06/22/06	3.69	172.18	
MW03	5 to 20	175.87	19.54	01/08/07	2.18	173.69	
			19.54	04/20/07	1.96	173.91	
			19.6	11/19/08	2.65	173.22	
			19.45	05/03/10	2.54	173.33	
				05/07/10	2.59	173.28	
				09/09/14	5.92	169.95	
			18.08	08/05/04	7.66	168.49	
			18.08	11/18/04	7.35	168.80	
				01/07/05	6.82	169.33	
				05/31/06	7.88	168.27	
				06/22/06	8.19	167.96	
		176.45	17.95	01/08/07	5.80	170.35	
MW04	4 to 18	176.15	17.95	04/20/07	6.49	169.66	
			17.61	11/19/08	8.45	167.70	
			17.54	05/03/10	8.02	168.13	
				05/04/10	8.09	168.06	
				05/07/10	7.98	168.17	
				09/09/14	10.26	165.89	
			17.45	08/05/04	8.71	168.66	
			17.45	11/18/04	7.86	169.51	
				01/07/05	7.15	170.22	
				05/31/06	7.50	169.87	
				06/22/06	9.12	168.25	
NAL OF	2544.475	177 27	17.44	01/08/07	2.90	174.47	
IVIW05	2.5 to 17.5	1//.3/	17.44	04/20/07	6.63	170.74	
			17.47	11/19/08	8.30	169.07	
			17.45	05/03/10	7.54	169.83	
				05/04/10	7.87	169.50	
				05/07/10	8.01	169.36	
				09/09/14	10.97	166.40	



					Depth to	Groundwater
Well	Screened Interval	<b>TOC Elevation</b>	Total Well Depth	Date	Groundwater	Elevation
ID	(feet bgs)	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	Measured	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>
		Shallow	Water Bearing Zone	Wells		
				11/18/04		
				01/07/05		
				05/31/06		
				06/22/06		
MW06	15 to 20	176.26		01/08/07	8.84	167.42
				04/20/07		
			19.93	05/03/10	10.4	165.86
				05/07/10	10.52	165.74
				09/09/14	11.53	164.73
			18.12	05/31/06	6.76	169.86
				06/22/06	7.36	169.26
			18.15	01/08/07	5.63	170.99
MW15	5 to 20	176.62	18.15	04/20/07	6.68	169.94
			18.2	11/19/08	9.21	167.41
			18.18	05/03/10	4.23	172.39
				05/07/10	4.22	1/2.40
				09/09/14	11.02	105.00
			19.45	05/31/06	4.50	1/1.04
				06/22/06	0.21	109.59
				01/08/07	3.91	171.09
MW16	5 to 20	175.60		11/10/09	4.29	171.51
			19.6	05/02/10	5.05	170.57
			19.00	05/03/10	5.30	170.30
				09/09/14	0.34	166.26
			19.19	05/31/06	1 29	171 50
				06/22/06	5.82	169.97
MW17	5 to 20	175.79		01/08/07	3.62	172 12
				04/20/07	4.03	171.76
			Monitoring Well De	commissione	ed	1,1,0
			19.8	11/20/08	9.68	171.00
			19.72	05/03/10	9.17	171.51
MW19	10 to 20	180.68		05/04/10	9.54	171.14
				05/07/10	9.4	171.28
				09/09/14	14.57	166.11
			23.74	11/19/08	10.21	165.72
N.N.124	114.24	475.00	23.74	05/03/10	9.70	166.23
1010021	14 to 24	175.93		05/07/10	9.73	166.20
				09/09/14	11.24	164.69
			20.15	11/19/08	10.81	165.22
NA11/22	10 to 20	176.03	20.15	05/03/10	10.17	165.86
1010025				05/07/10	10.32	165.71
			Monitoring Well De	ecommissione	ed	
			17.25	11/19/08	9.34	168.28
			17.34	05/03/10	8.89	168.73
MW24	8 to 18	177.62		05/04/10	8.96	168.66
				05/07/10	8.95	168.67
			17.34	09/09/14	12.19	165.43
			18.29	05/03/10	9.85	167.10
MW25	8 to 18	176.95		05/04/10	10.02	166.93
				05/07/10	9.86	167.09
				09/09/14	11.85	165.10
			18.18	05/03/10	8.71	169.12
MW26	8 to 18	177.83		05/04/10	8.81	169.02
				05/07/10	8.75	169.08
			18.18	09/09/14	12.63	165.20
TN4)4/01	9 to 19	176 00	18.75	04/05/10	5.12	1/1.86
TIVIVUT	0 10 10	110.98	18.80	05/04/10	5.27	1/1./1
				05/07/10	5.31	1/1.6/
TNANAJOO	8 to 19	176 01	10.02	04/05/10	5.62	1/1.29
TIVIVUZ	0 10 10	110.91	18.83	05/04/10	0.31	170.60
			10 22	04/05/10	0.25	170.10
TMMOS	8 to 19	177 14	10.22	04/05/10	0.90	1/0.18
11010000	0 10 10	1//.14	10.25	05/04/10	7.55	109.01
				06/20/11	7.52	103.02
MW27	8.5 to 13.5			00/20/11	11 5/	



					Depth to	Groundwater
Well	Screened Interval	<b>TOC Elevation</b>	Total Well Depth	Date	Groundwater	Elevation
ID	(feet bgs)	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	Measured	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>
	(1000 180)	Deep W	ater Bearing Zone W	/ells	(	()
			31.00	12/06/04	7.45	169.11
				01/07/05	7.30	169.26
		176.56		05/31/06	8.09	168.47
				06/22/06	8.42	168.14
			31.01	01/08/07	6.52	170.04
MW07	21 to 31			04/20/07	7.00	169.59
			30.67	11/19/08	8.38	168.21
		176.59	30.84	05/03/10	7.99	168.60
				05/07/10	8.04	168.55
				09/09/14	10.37	166.22
			40.09	12/06/04	6.55	169.35
				01/07/05	6.34	169.56
				05/31/06	6.35	169.55
				06/22/06	7.55	168.35
			40.09	01/08/07	5.54	170.36
MW08	30 to 40	175.90	40.09	01/08/07	5.98	169.92
			40.05	11/19/08	9.00	166.90
			40.15	05/03/10	8.49	167.41
				05/07/10	8.51	167.39
				09/09/14	10.32	165.58
			39.81	12/06/04	6.81	169.62
				01/07/05	6.49	169.94
				05/31/06	6.34	170.09
				06/22/06	7 48	168.95
			39.75	01/08/07	5.85	170 58
MW09	30 to 40	176.43	39.75	04/20/07	6.01	170.30
			39.81	11/19/08	7 30	169.13
			39.80	05/03/10	6.74	169.69
			55.00	05/03/10	6.73	169.00
				09/09/14	9.25	167.18
			30 98	12/06/04	7 12	168.89
				01/07/05	6.89	169.12
				05/31/06	6.99	169.02
				06/22/06	8.12	167.89
				01/08/07	6.05	169.96
MW10	30 to 40	176.01		04/20/07	6.57	169.44
			40.01	11/19/08	10.21	165.80
			40.00	05/03/10	9.72	166.29
				05/07/10	9.75	166.26
				09/09/14	11.26	164.75
			64 30	05/31/06	7 71	171.28
				06/22/06	8.78	170.21
			64.28	01/08/07	7.30	171.69
			64.28	04/20/07	7 38	171.63
MW11	57.5 to 67.5	178.99	65.3	11/19/08	8.34	170.65
			65.24	05/03/10	7.73	171.26
				05/07/10	7.69	171.30
			64.91	09/09/14	11.00	167.99
			62.51	05/31/06	7.31	169.64
				06/22/06	8.40	168.55
			66.55	01/08/07	7.04	169.91
			66.55	04/20/07	7.05	169.90
MW12	57 to 67	176.95	66.1	11/19/08	7.92	169.03
			65.78	05/03/10	7,35	169.60
				05/07/10	7.32	169.63
				09/09/14	9.38	167.57
			62.90	05/31/06	6.31	170.72
				06/22/06	7,40	169.63
			66.18	01/08/07	5.96	171.07
			66.18	04/20/07	6.01	171.02
MW13	55.5 to 65.5	177.03	66.22	11/19/08	6.95	170.08
			66.21	05/03/10	6.35	170.68
				05/07/10	6.30	170.73
				09/09/14	9.02	168.01



					Depth to	Groundwater
Well	Screened Interval	<b>TOC Elevation</b>	Total Well Depth	Date	Groundwater	Elevation
ID	(feet bgs)	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	Measured	(feet below TOC) <sup>(2)</sup>	(feet msl) <sup>(1)</sup>
		Deep W	ater Bearing Zone W	ells		
			72.81	05/31/06	6.55	169.95
		176.50		06/22/06	6.65	169.85
			71.8	01/08/07	5.18	171.32
NA\A/1.4	63 to 73			04/20/07	5.47	171.25
1010014	031073		72.16	11/19/08	6.45	170.27
		176.72	72.05	05/03/10	5.86	170.86
				05/07/10	5.81	170.91
				09/09/14	8.74	167.98
			77.42	05/31/06	6.89	169.02
	68 to 78	175 01		06/22/06	7.84	168.07
MW18	081078	175.51	78.05	01/08/07	6.04	169.87
			78.05	04/20/07	6.26	169.65
			Monitoring Well De	ecommissione	ed	
			49.19	11/19/08	7.16	170.46
MW20	40 to 50	177.62	48.49	05/03/10	6.56	171.06
1010020				05/07/10	6.50	171.12
			Monitoring Well De	ecommissione	ed	
			49.2	11/19/08	7.18	170.05
NA1N/22	20 E to 40 E	177 22	49.20	05/03/10	6.59	170.64
IVIVVZZ	55.5 (0 45.5	177.25		05/07/10	6.53	170.70
				09/09/14	9.44	167.79

NOTES:

(1)Initial elevation data for wells obtained from the Draft Final Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study -- = not measured Report prepared by Farallon and dated July 2013. Farallon survey based on North American Vertical bgs = below ground surface

Datum of 1988.

 $^{\rm (2)}{\rm As}$  measured from a fixed spot on the well TOC.

Farallon = Farallon Consulting LLC msl = mean sea level

TOC = top of casing



#### Table 2 Groundwater Analytical Results for CVOCs Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

				Sample Point	oint Analytical Results			<sup>1)</sup> (micrograms per liter)				
W-111D	Committee UD	Committeed Dec	Comula Data	Depth (fast bas)	DOT	TOT		hanna 1 2 DCF	1.1.005	Vinyl		
weii iD	Sample ID	Sampled By	Sample Date	(teet bgs)	7ono Wolls	TCE	CIS-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	I,I-DCE	Chioride		
	MW-1	GeoEngineers	10/30/03	Shallow		< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0		
	MW1-060206	Earallon	06/02/06	16.42	11	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
MW01	MW1-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	16.42	1.1	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW1-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	11.50	1.8	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW01-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	13.50	1.6	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-2	GeoEngineers	10/30/03		< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0		
	MW2-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	17.50	< 0.2	5.5	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
MW02	MW2-111908	Farallon	11/19/08	17.31	6.80	4.6	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW2-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	12.50	9.50	3.5	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW02-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	11.50	4.0	0.49	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-3	GeoEngineers	10/30/03		170	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0		
	MW3-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	17.56	150	1.1	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0		
MW03	MW3-111908	Farallon	11/19/08	17.60	230	1.6	2.0	< 1.0		< 1.0		
	MW3-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	12.50	150	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0		
	MW03-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	8.50	64	0.58	0.79	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-4	GeoEngineers	10/30/03		2,100	220	92	< 2.0		20		
	MW4-080504	Farallon	08/05/04	16.00	860	1200	250	< 10		68		
	MW4-060206	Farallon	06/02/06	16.08	1,100	730	590	< 10		170		
MW04	MW4-042007	Farallon	04/20/07	14.95	3,100	720	940	< 20		160		
	MW4-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	15.61	10,000	640	1,100	< 50		130		
	MW4-050510	Farallon	05/05/10	11.00	10,000	1,000	1,600	< 50		370		
	MW04-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	12.50	28,000	3,400	3,800	< 200	< 200	920		
	MW-5	GeoEngineers	10/30/03		270	46	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0		
	MW5-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	15.45	54	9.6	3.3	< 0.4		< 0.4		
MW05	MW5-20080328	SoundEarth	03/28/08		19	110	40	< 1		2.8		
	MW5-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	15.47	86	67	37	1.4		5.5		
	MW5-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	10.00	82	34	27	0.44		0.88		
	MW05-20140911	SoundEarth	09/11/14	13.50	71	22	5.6	0.27	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW-6	GeoEngineers	11/08/04		29	18	11	< 2.0		6		
MW06	MW6-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	14.50	4,100	330	440	< 20		110		
	MW06-20141007	SoundEarth	10/07/14	17.50	10,000	450	320	< 50	< 0.050	72		
	MW15-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	16.12	0.22	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
MW15	MW15-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	13.20	0.26	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW15-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	12.50	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW15-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	17.50	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
	MW16-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	17.45	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
MW16	MW16-111908	Farallon	11/19/08	17.60	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW16-050510	Farallon	05/05/10	12.50	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW16-20140909	SoundEarth	09/09/14	12.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		
MW17	MW17-060106	Faralion	06/01/06	17.19	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MM/17 20080228	CoundForth	02/28/08	WONITO			<i>.</i> 1	- 1		< 0.2		
	NIW17-20080328	SoundEarth	03/28/08		< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1		< 0.2		
MW19	MW19-20090311	SoundEarth	03/11/09		< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1		< 0.2		
	MW/10-20140000	FaidIIUII	00/00/14	17.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW/21-112009	Earallon	11/20/09	21 7/	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	~ 0.2	< 0.2		
MW21	MW/21-050/10	Farallon	05/04/10	10.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	MW/21-20140000	SoundEarth	09/00/1/	19.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.72		
	MW/23-112009	Farallon	11/20/09	19.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	~ 0.2	< 0.75		
MW23	MW23-050/10	Farallon	05/04/10	15.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2		
	1010023-030410	raranon	03/04/10	Monitor	ring Well Deco	mmissioned	10.2	× 0.2	1	× 0.2		
MTCA Cleanu	p Levels for Ground	water			5 <sup>(2)</sup>	5 <sup>(2)</sup>	16 <sup>(3)</sup>	160 <sup>(3)</sup>	<b>400</b> <sup>(3)</sup>	0.2 <sup>(2)</sup>		



#### Table 2 Groundwater Analytical Results for CVOCs Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

				Sample Point		Anal	ytical Results <sup>(1)</sup>			
				Depth	205	7.05			4.4.565	Vinyl
Well ID	Sample ID	Sampled By	Sample Date	(feet bgs)	PCE Zone Wells	TCE	CIS-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	1,1-DCE	Chloride
	M/M/18 20080228	SoundEarth	02/20/00	Shanow		< 10	< 10	< 10		< 2.0
	N/W/24 112008	Earallon	11/20/08	15.25	260	2.4	< 10	< 10		< 2.0
MW24	MW24-112008	Farallon	02/04/00	15.25	200	5.4 < 10	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0
	MW/24-050510	Farallon	05/05/10	13.00	40	0.42	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW24-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	15.00	17	0.42	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW25-050410	Earallon	05/04/10	13.00	14	0.27	11	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW25	MW25-20141007	SoundEarth	10/07/14	14.00	12	0.36	0.37	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW26-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	13.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW26	MW26-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	15.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW27-070111	Farallon	07/01/11	11.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW27	MW27-20141007	SoundEarth	10/07/14	12.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
TN 414/04	TMW-1-040510	Farallon	04/05/10	13.75	15	0.29	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
TIVIVUI	TMW-1-20100405	SoundEarth	04/05/10		16	< 1	< 1	< 1		< 0.2
TN414/02	TMW-2-040510	Farallon	04/05/10	13.79	110	1.5	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0
1101002	TMW-2-20100405	SoundEarth	04/05/10		150	1.5	< 1	< 1		< 0.2
TM/W03	TMW-3-040510	Farallon	04/05/10	13.22	310	3.6	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0
1010005	TMW-3-20100405	SoundEarth	04/05/10		350	3.7	< 1	< 1		< 0.2
				Deep Z	one Wells					
	MW7-111904-01	Farallon	11/19/04	26.00	7,000	47	< 20	< 20		< 20
	MW7-060206	Farallon	06/02/06	29.00	530	16	< 4.0	< 4.0		< 4.0
MW07	MW7-042007	Farallon	04/20/07	28.00	2.5	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0
	MW7-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	28.67	18.0	0.69	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0
	MW7-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	26.00	12.0	0.49	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW07-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	26.00	4.5	0.26	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW8-111904-01	Farallon	11/19/04	35.00	0.36	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW8-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	38.09	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW08	MW8-111908	Farallon	11/19/08	38.15	0.70	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW8-050510	Farallon	05/04/10	35.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW08-20140909	SoundEarth	09/09/14	30.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW9-111904-01	Farallon	11/19/04	35.00	210	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0		< 1.0
	MW9-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	37.81	390	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0
MW09	NW9-042007	Farallon	04/20/07	36.75	410	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0
	NW9-112008	Farallon	05/04/10	37.81	220	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0		< 2.0
	MW00 20140010	Faralion	03/04/10	35.00	190	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW10-111904-01	Earallon	11/10/04	35.00	2 50	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW10-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	37.98	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW10-042007	Farallon	04/20/07	37.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW10	MW10-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	38.01	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW10-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	35.00	3.30	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW10-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	35.00	600	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW11-060206	Farallon	06/02/06	62.30	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW11-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	63.30	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW11	MW11-050310	Farallon	05/03/10	62.50	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW11-20141007	SoundEarth	10/07/14	62.50	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW12-060206	Farallon	06/02/06	60.51	0.76	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
NA14/12	MW12-111908	Farallon	11/19/08	64.10	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
1010012	MW12-050310	Farallon	05/03/10	62.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW12-20140909	SoundEarth	09/09/14	62.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	MW13-060206	Farallon	06/02/06	60.90	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW13-042007	Farallon	04/20/07	63.18	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW13	MW13-111908	Farallon	11/19/08	64.22	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW13-050310	Farallon	05/03/10	60.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW13-20140909	SoundEarth	09/09/14	60.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
MTCA Cleanu	p Levels for Ground	water			5 <sup>(2)</sup>	5 <sup>(2)</sup>	16 <sup>(3)</sup>	160 <sup>(3)</sup>	400 <sup>(3)</sup>	0.2 <sup>(2)</sup>



#### Table 2 Groundwater Analytical Results for CVOCs Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

				Sample Point		Anal	ytical Results <sup>(1)</sup>	(micrograms per	liter)	
				Depth						Vinyl
Well ID	Sample ID	Sampled By	Sample Date	(feet bgs)	PCE	TCE	CIS-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	1,1-DCE	Chloride
				Deep Z	one Wells	-	-			-
	MW14-060206	Farallon	06/02/06	71.31	0.99	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW14-032507	Farallon	03/25/07	70.08	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
M/M/14	MW14-042007	Farallon	04/20/07	68.80	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
1010014	MW14-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	70.16	1.10	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW14-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	68.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW14-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	68.00	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
N/1N/18	MW18-060106	Farallon	06/01/06	75.92	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
1010018				Monitor	ring Well Deco	mmissioned				
	MW20-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	47.19	0.28	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW20	MW20-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	45.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
				Monitor	ring Well Deco	mmissioned				
	MW22-112008	Farallon	11/20/08	47.19	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
MW22	MW22-050410	Farallon	05/04/10	44.00	< 1.0	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2		< 0.2
	MW22-20140910	SoundEarth	09/10/14	44.50	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
MTCA Cleanu	p Levels for Ground	water			5(2)	5(2)	16 <sup>(3)</sup>	160 <sup>(3)</sup>	<b>400</b> <sup>(3)</sup>	0.2 <sup>(2)</sup>

NOTES:

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA cleanup level for groundwater.

<sup>(1)</sup>Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 8260B or 8260C.

 $^{\rm (2)}{\rm MTCA}$  Cleanup Regulation, Chapter 173-340-900 of WAC, Table 720-1 Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater, revised November 2007.

<sup>(3)</sup>MTCA Cleanup Regulation, Chapter 173-340 of WAC, CLARC, Groundwater, Method B, Non-Carcinogen, Standard Formula Value, CLARC Website <https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/CLARCHome.aspx>. -- = not analyzed

< = not detected at a concentration above the laboratory reporting limit

bgs = below ground surface

CLARC = cleanup levels and risk calculations

CVOCs = chlorinated volatile organic compounds

DCE = dichloroethene

Farallon = Farallon Consulting, L.L.C.

GeoEngineers = GeoEngineers, Inc.

MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act

PCE = tetrachloroethene

SoundEarth = SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.

TCE = trichloroethene

WAC = Washington Administrative Code



#### Table 3 Natural Attenuation Parameters Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

						Ana	lytical Result	<b>s</b> (milligrams	per liter)			
		Sample	Dissolved		Dissolved		Ferrous	Ferric				
Well ID	Sample ID	Date	Oxygen <sup>(1)</sup>	Nitrate <sup>(2)</sup>	Manganese <sup>(3)</sup>	Total Iron <sup>(3)</sup>	Iron <sup>(4)</sup>	Iron <sup>(5)</sup>	Sulfate <sup>(6)</sup>	Methane <sup>(7)</sup>	Ethane <sup>(7)</sup>	Ethene <sup>(7)</sup>
					Shallow Zone	Wells						
	MW1-060206	06/02/06	4.16	16	0.02	1.30	0.00	1.30	16	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
MW01	MW1-20140910	09/10/14	1.24	4.1	<0.011	<0.06	0.041	0.00	26	<0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
					Deep Zone \	Nells						
N4W07	MW7-060206	06/02/06	0.11	<0.15	0.10	4.30	0.00	4.30	65	0.33	<0.01	<0.01
101007	MW07-20140910	09/10/14	0.34	2.7	<0.011	<0.06	0.173	0.00	32	<0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
MW08	MW08-20140909	09/09/14	0.22	<0.050	0.17	<0.06	0.059	0.00	43	<0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
MW09	MW09-20140910	09/10/14	2.90	4.7	<0.011	<0.06	<0.04	0.00	27	<0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
MW10	MW10-20140910	09/10/14	0.29	<0.050	0.1	<0.06	0.048	0.00	37	<0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
N 4) A / 1 1	MW11-060206	06/02/06	0.32	2.8	0.25	2.80	0.00	2.80	35	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
	MW11-20141007	10/07/14	0.22	<0.050	0.019	<0.06	0.889	0.00	50	0.042	< 0.003	< 0.003
MW12	MW12-060206	06/02/06	0.11	<0.15	0.11	4.20	0.00	4.20	39	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
MW13	MW13-060206	06/02/06	0.11	<0.15	0.24	2.20	0.00	2.20	35	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
MW14	MW14-060206	06/02/06	0.10	<0.15	0.32	1.90	0.00	1.90	34	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01
MW22	MW22-20140910	09/10/14	5.95	4.9	<0.011	<0.06	<0.04	0.00	24	<0.0005	< 0.0005	<0.0005

NOTES:

<sup>(1)</sup>Analyzed by field instrument.

<sup>(2)</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 353.2.

<sup>(3)</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 6010C.

<sup>(4)</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method SM 3500-Fe B or Field Kit Instrument.

<sup>(5)</sup>Ferric Iron = Total Iron minus Ferrous Iron. If concentrations of Ferrous Iron are non-detect, Ferric Iron is assumed to be equal to Total Iron.

<sup>(6)</sup>Analyzed by ASTM D516-07.

<sup>(7)</sup>Analyzed by Method RSK 175.

-- = not analyzed/not measured

< = not detected at a concentration above the laboratory reporting limit EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

P:\0651 Hearthstone\0651-002 Hearthstone - Woodlawn East\Technical\Tables\2015 RIFS Addendum\0651-002\_2015RIFSAdd\_Tbl2-4\_GD\_F



#### Table 4 Geochemical and Water Quality Parameters Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

				Specific					Total Organic
			ORP <sup>(1)</sup>	Conductivity <sup>(1)</sup>	Turbidity <sup>(1)</sup>	Temperature <sup>(1)</sup>		Alkalinity <sup>(2)</sup>	Carbon <sup>(3)</sup>
Well ID	Sample ID	Sample Date	(mV)	(mS/cm)	(NTU)	(°C)	pH <sup>(1)</sup>	(mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)
				Shallow Zor	ne Wells				
M/W/01	MW1-060206	06/02/06	198.6			14.37	6.71		
1010001	MW01-20140910	09/10/14	120	0.371	367.0	19.74	6.61	150	1.5
				Deep Zone	e Wells				
M/M/07	MW7-060206	06/02/06	20.6			15.30	7.62		
1010007	MW07-20140910	09/10/14	20.7	0.305	21.9	16.70	7.42	140	<1.0
MW08	MW08-20140909	09/09/14	21	0.302	40.5	15.98	8.00	130	<1.0
MW09	MW09-20140910	09/10/14	-87	0.241	0.98	17.90	7.46	96	<1.0
MW10	MW10-20140910	09/10/14	-49	0.331	36.3	16.65	7.89	120	<1.0
N/\\//11	MW11-060206	06/02/06	149.2			13.65	7.15		
1010011	MW11-20141007	10/07/14	-124.5	0.252	40.0	15.00	9.15	110	2.6
MW12	MW12-060206	06/02/06	-91.2			15.34	7.14		
MW13	MW13-060206	06/02/06	53.1			14.91	7.4		
MW14	MW14-060206	06/02/06	-103.5			15.12	7.5		
MW22	MW22-20140910	09/10/14	179.3	0.28	3.52	16.84	6.78	100	<1.0

#### NOTES:

Data prior to 2006 obtained by Farallon Consulting LLC of Issaquah, Washington.  $^{\rm (1)}{\rm Analyzed}$  by field instrument.

Analyzed by field instrument.

<sup>(2)</sup>Analyzed by Standard Method 2320B.
 <sup>(3)</sup>Analyzed by Standard Method 5310B.

-- = not analyzed

< = not detected at a concentration above the laboratory reporting limit

°C = degrees Celsius

CaCO<sub>3</sub> = calcium carbonate

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

mg/L = milligrams per liter

mS/cm = millisiemens per centimeter

mV = millivolts

NTU = nephelometric turbidity units

ORP = oxidation-reduction potential



Table 5 Estimated Equivalent Radius of Influence for Under-Slab Drainage System Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

Q <sub>w</sub> (gpd)	Q <sub>w</sub> (gpm)	<i>K</i> (gpd/ft <sup>2</sup> )	<i>Н</i> (ft)	h <sub>w</sub> (ft)	r <sub>s</sub> (ft)	In(R <sub>0</sub> ) <sup>(1)</sup> (ft)	R <sub>o</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> (ft)
720	0.50	2.88E-01	14	7.25	57.71	4.24	69.13
1,440	1.00	2.88E-01	14	7.25	57.71	4.15	63.16
2,880	2.00	2.88E-01	14	7.25	57.71	4.10	60.37

#### NOTES:

Qw = assumes constant water flow rate under steady state conditions and estimated ranges based on observed soil profile of shallow zone

K = hydraulic conductivity (estimated geometric mean from Farallon 2013 RI/FS Report)

H = saturated thickness; assumes a confining layer at 157.75 ft msl and seasonally high potentiometric surface at 171.75 ft msl (Farallon 2013 RI/FS Report)

h<sub>w</sub> = drawdown saturated thickness; assumes a confining layer at 157.75 ft msl and under-slab drainage piping at 165.00 ft msl

 $r_s = (ab/\pi)^{1/2}$  = equilivalent radius for a rectangular system that acts as a single large well

a = 93 feet (Figure 36, Under-Slab Drainage Plan from SoundEarth Strategies)

b = 112.5 feet (Figure 36, Under-Slab Drainage Plan from SoundEarth Strategies)

 $R_0$  = equivalent radius of influence for the <u>under-slab drainage system</u>

 $^{(1)}$  = calculated using the equation

 $\ln(R_0) = \left(\frac{K(H^2 - h_w^2)}{Q_w * 458}\right) + \ln(r_s)$ ; equation assumes steady state conditions

 $^{(2)}$  = calculating using  $e^{\ln(R)}$ 

69.13

= selected equivalent radius of influence for the under-slab drainage system

Farallon = Farallon Consulting, LLC ft = feet ft<sup>2</sup> = feet squared gpd = gallons per day gpm = gallons per minute msl = mean sea level RI/FS = Remedial Investigation/Feasbility Study



Table 6 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 Feasibility Level Cost Estimate Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

Cleanup Action Alte	nediation Level, ERD of Lower Shallow Zone and Deep Zone on Property, and ERD of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property														
							Present Value Cost Per Year								
							(2% Present Value	Discount Rate, As	suming 5% Cost o	f Future Money an	d 3% Inflation Rate	e)		Present Value Cos	s
	Quantitu	11-14	Unit Cost	ltom Cost	Total Costs	2015	2016	2017	2018	2010 2020	2021 2024	2025	Total	Development-	Remediation-
Direct Capital	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	item cost	(in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025	Total	Nelateu	Related
Pamedial Evolution															
PSS Building and 6565 4th House Demolition	1	le	\$ 177.000	\$ 177.000	1	1	\$ 173.460		1	1	1	[	\$ 173.460	\$ 173.460	
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Survey	1	is Ic	\$ 7,000	\$ 177,000			\$ 6,860						\$ 6,860	\$ 6,860	
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Abatement	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 7,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500	\$ 24,500	
Shoring Installation for Excavation	1	ls	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000			\$ 441,000						\$ 441,000	\$ 441,000	
	-		÷ 156,666	÷ 150,000			¢ 112,000						<i>v</i> ,000	¢ 112,000	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Shoring Cuttings for Areas 1, 2, & 4	472	tons	\$ 8	\$ 3,776			\$ 3,700						\$ 3,700	\$ 3,700	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility															
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Areas 1, 2, & 4	472	tons	\$ 70	\$ 33,040			\$ 32,379						\$ 32,379	\$ 13,877	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5	214	tons	Ş 8	\$ 1,712			\$ 1,678						\$ 1,678	\$ 1,678	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility															
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item #10)	214	tons	\$ 70	\$ 14,980			\$ 14,680						\$ 14,680	\$ 6,292	
Contained Out Soil Profile	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500		
ERH In Situ Soil Treatment	2,222	sf	\$ 250	\$ 555,500			\$ 544,390						\$ 544,390		
ERH Confirmation Soil Sampling for Contained Out	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500		
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Areas 1, 2, & 4	8,161	tons	\$ 8	\$ 65,288			\$ 63,982						\$ 63,982	\$ 63,982	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility															
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Areas 1, 2, & 4	8,161	tons	\$ 70	\$ 571,270			\$ 559,845						\$ 559,845	\$ 239,933	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Area 5	4,170	tons	\$ 8	\$ 33,360			\$ 32,693						\$ 32,693	\$ 32,693	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility (PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	4 170	tons	\$ 70	\$ 201.000			\$ 286.062						\$ 286.062	\$ 122.508	
Dewatering System Installation - Shallow Zone	4,170	lo	\$ 70	\$ 291,900 ¢ 79,700			\$ 280,002						\$ 280,002	\$ 122,336	
Dewatering System Installation - Shallow Zone	1	IS Ic	\$ 71,250	\$ 71,250			\$ 69.825						\$ 69.825	\$ 69.825	
Contingency Deep Dewatering Well Installation	1	IS	\$ 71,230	\$ 71,230			\$ 09,823						\$ 09,823	\$ 09,825	
Water Treatment System using Granular Activated Carbon (2 months)	8	edcii	\$ 5,000	\$ 40,000			\$ 39,200						\$ 39,200	\$ 39,200	
Discharge Eess and Lab Analysis	1	IS	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000			\$ 11,700						\$ 11,760		
Excavation of Tanks in Sidewalk (Trench Roy Ev)	3	Ino	\$ 8,000	\$ 24,000			\$ 23,520						\$ 23,520		
Well Abandonment - MW-7 and MW-14	1	IS	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500		
EPH In Situ Soil Tractment - Sidewalk Area	720	eacn	\$ 800	\$ 1,600			\$ 1,568						\$ 1,568		
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out. Subtitle D Facility	/30	ST	\$ 250	\$ 182,500			\$ 178,850						\$ 178,850		
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Sidewalk Tank Excavation	40	ton	\$ 70	\$ 2,800			\$ 2,744						\$ 2,744		
Sidewalk UST Decommissioning	1	ls	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000			\$ 4,900						\$ 4,900		
Backfill of Sidewalk with CDF	20	су	\$ 135	\$ 2,700			\$ 2,646						\$ 2,646		
Heating Oil UST Decommissioning	4	ls	\$ 5,000	\$ 20,000			\$ 19,600						\$ 19,600		
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Heating Oil UST Area															
(Subject to Results from Line Item No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 8	\$ 1,280			\$ 1,254						\$ 1,254	\$ 1,254	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility															
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Heating Oil UST Area (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 70	\$ 11.200			\$ 10.976						\$ 10.976	\$ 4.704	
Footing Drain Iron Filter Treatment System	1	ls	\$ 84.100	\$ 84.100			\$ 82.418						\$ 82.418		
Sub-slab Vapor Barrier	13.592	sf	\$ 7	\$ 95.144			\$ 93.241						\$ 93.241		
Subtotal Remedial Excavation	-,			,	\$ 2.937.100		\$ 2.878.358						\$ 2.878.358	\$ 1.322.682	\$ 1,555,676
Groundwater Treatment - Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination					+ _,,	1	+ _,,		1	1	1	1	-,,	_,,	
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 23 Onsite Shallow Wells	23	each	\$ 2.000	\$ 46.000			\$ 45.080						\$ 45.080	1	
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 8 Onsite Deep Wells	8	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 32.000			\$ 31,360						\$ 31,360		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 18 Offsite Shallow Wells	18	each	\$ 2.000	\$ 36.000			\$ 35,280						\$ 35,280		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 10 Offsite Deep Wells	10	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 40.000			\$ 39,200						\$ 39,200		
			,	1 .,			1 11/11								
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 5 Offsite Deep Wells across Woodlawn Ave NE	5	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 20,000				\$ 19,208					\$ 19,208		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Assumed 50% as Dangerous Waste, Subtitle C	0	ton	\$ 250	\$ -			\$ -						\$ -		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Assumed 50% as Dangerous Waste, Subtitle D	20	ton	\$ 70	\$ 1,400			\$ 1,372						\$ 1,372		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Contingency wells/probes (Subtitle D)	10	ton	\$ 70	\$ 700				\$ 672					\$ 672		
Edible Oil Injection Event	1	ls	\$ 51,205	\$ 51,205			\$ 50,181						\$ 50,181		
Contingency Injection Edible Oil Injection Event and Bioaugmentation	2	event	\$ 69,605	\$ 139,210				\$ 66,849	\$ 65,512				\$ 132,360		
Subtotal Groundwater Treatment					\$ 366,515		\$ 202,473	\$ 86,729	\$ 65,512				\$ 354,713		\$ 354,713
Compliance Monitoring	1	1			1	1	1		1	1	1		1	1	
Well Installation - Building Footprint (1 shallow, 1 deep)	2	each	\$ 6,000	\$ 12,000			\$ 11,760						\$ 11,760		
Groundwater Monitoring Event Post Excavation, 20 wells with MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,675	\$ 22,675			\$ 22,222						\$ 22,222		
Compliance Monitoring Subtotal					\$ 34,675		\$ -						\$ -		\$-
Subtotal Direct Capital					\$ 3,338,290		\$ 3,080,800	\$ 86,700	\$ 65,500				\$ 3,233,100	\$ 1,322,682	\$ 1,910,389



Table 6 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.1 Feasibility Level Cost Estimate Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

Cleanup Action Alter	ernative 3.1 - ERH	of Upper Shallo	w Zone Source	Area to Soil Rem	ediation Level, E	RD of Lower Shallow	Zone and D	Deep Zon	e on Property, an	d ERD of Shallow Z	one and Deep Zor	ne off Property				
									Pres	ent Value Cost Pe	r Year					
					Total Cost		(2% Preser	nt Value	Discount Rate, As	suming 5% Cost of	Future Money an	d 3% Inflation Rat	2)		Present Value Cost	S Remediation
	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	20	16	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025	Total	Related	Related
Indirect Capital (as percentages of Direct Capital)																
Design, Permitting, and Work Plans (6%)				\$ 200,30	0	\$ 150,225	\$	25,518	\$ 15,389	\$ 7,541		ſ		\$ 198,673	\$ 79,400	
Mobilization (1%)				\$ 33,40	0		\$	32,732						\$ 32,732	\$ 13,300	
Professional Labor for Construction Oversight (12%)				\$ 400,60	0		\$ 3	392,588						\$ 392,588	\$ 158,800	
Field Equipment and Supplies (2%)				\$ 66,80	0		\$	65,464						\$ 65,464		
Laboratory Testing (field verification and waste profiling) (3%)				\$ 100,20	0		\$	98,196						\$ 98,196		
Site Restoration and Demobilization (1%)				\$ 33,40	0		\$	32,732						\$ 32,732	\$ 13,300	
Regulatory Reporting and Meetings (6%)				\$ 200,30	0	\$ 150,225	\$	25,518	\$ 15,389	\$ 7,541				\$ 198,673	\$ 79,400	
Subtotal Indirect Capital					\$ 1,035,0	00 \$ 300,450	\$ 6	672,748	\$ 30,779	\$ 15,082	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 1,019,059	\$ 344,200	\$ 674,859
Project Management (15% of Subtotal Indirect Capital)					\$ 155,2	50 \$ 45,068	\$ 1	100,912	\$ 4,617	\$ 2,262	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 152,859	\$ 51,630	\$ 101,229
Total Present Capital					\$ 4,528,5	00 \$ 345,500	\$ 3,8	854,500	\$ 122,100	\$ 82,800	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 4,405,000	\$ 1,718,500	\$ 2,686,500
									Pres	sent Value Cost Pe	r Year					
							(2% Prese	nt Value	Discount Rate, As	suming 5% Cost of	Future Money an	d 3% Inflation Rat	e)		Present Value Cost	S
Long-Term Future Monitoring, O&M, And Other Direct Cost Items <sup>(1)</sup>	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	20	16	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025-2035	Total	Related	Related
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 1) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670	\$ 22,67	0			-	\$ 21,772					\$ 21,772		
Quarterly Monitoring and Reporting, 13 wells (Year 1) - With Geochemical Parameters	3	event	\$ 16,450	\$ 49,35	0				\$ 47,396					\$ 47,396		
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 2) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 23,560	\$ 23,56	0					\$ 22,174				\$ 22,174		
Quarterly Monitoring and Reporting, 13 wells (Year 2) - With Geochemical Parameters	2	ovent	\$ 17.100	Ś 51.20						¢ 49.292				¢ /9.292		
Semi-annual Monitoring and Reporting, 15 wers (rear 2) with desentiment numeers	5	event	\$ 17,100	Ş 51,50	0									Ş 40,203		
(Years 3 and 4) - With MNA Parameters	2	event	\$ 21,725	\$ 43,45	0						\$ 39,676			\$ 39,676		
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 5 - 8) - No MNA Parameters	4	event	\$ 26,105	\$ 104,42	0							\$ 89,761		\$ 89,761		
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells (Year 9) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 31,025	\$ 31,02	5								\$ 25,350	\$ 25,350		
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells Years 10-20 - No MNA Parameters	10	event	\$ 22,670	\$ 226,70	0		-						\$ 166,030			
Injection Well Decommissioning - 41 Shallow Wells	41	ea	\$ 500	\$ 20,50	0								\$ 13,686	\$ 13,686		
Injection Well Decommissioning - 23 Deep Wells	23	ea	\$ 1,000	\$ 23,00	0		-						\$ 15,355	\$ 15,355		
Decommissioning of Monitoring Wells, 25 wells	25	ea	\$ 500										\$ 8,345	\$ 8,345		
Subtotal Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital Costs					\$ 608,4	75 \$ .	\$	-	\$ 69,168	\$ 70,458	\$ 39,676	\$ 89,761	\$ 228,766	\$ 497,829	\$ -	\$ 497,829
Project Management (10% of Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital)					\$ 60,8	48 \$ .	\$	-	\$ 6,917	\$ 7,046	\$ 3,968	\$ 8,976	\$ 22,877	\$ 49,783	\$ -	\$ 49,783
Future Worth Cost of Annual and Capital Costs					\$ 669,3	00\$-	\$	-	\$ 76,100	\$ 77,500	\$ 43,600	\$ 98,700	\$ 251,600	\$ 547,600	\$ -	\$ 547,600
IUTAL PRESENT WORTH COST (Sum of Total Capital and Present Worth of Annual and Future					ć 5.400.4			055.000	ć 400.000	4 460 000			¢ 252.600	ć 4052.000	¢ 4 740 600	¢
Capital Cost)					\$ 5,198,0	00 \$ 346,000	Ş 3,8	855,000	\$ 198,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 44,000	\$ 99,000	\$ 252,000	\$ 4,953,000	\$ 1,719,000	\$ 3,234,000

NOTES:

<sup>(1)</sup>Additional direct costs such as project management, regulatory communications and reporting, and other technical support services not specifically listed are not included in any future annual costs.
<sup>(2)</sup>This feasibility level cost should not be considered a design cost estimate or guaranteed cost.

<sup>(3)</sup>Excludes electrical costs for all systems. <sup>(4)</sup>Cost rounded up to nearest \$1,000.

Annual groundwater monitoring costs reflect a 4% annual increase.

ERD = enhanced reductive dechlorination ERH = electric resistive heating If = linear feet ls = lump sum n = number of years O&M = operation and maintenance PCE = tetrachloroethene sf = square feet ton = number of bank cubic yards x 1.7 ton/bank cubic yard



#### Table 7 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2 Feasibility Level Cost Estimate Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

Cleanup Action Alternative	e 3.2 - ERH of Upp	er and Lower S	hallow Zone Sou	red Area to Soil R	emediation Level,	ERD of Lower Sha	llow Zone and	Deep Zone on Prope	rty, and ERD of Sha	llow Zone and Dee	ep Zone off Proper	ty				
					Present Value Cost Per Year											
					(2% Present Value Discount Rate, Assuming 5% Cost of Future Money and 3% Inflation Rate)					e)		Р	resent Value Cost	s		
					Total Costs										Development-	Remediation-
Present Capitol Cost Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025	Tota	I	Related	Related
Direct Capital																
Remedial Excavation	-								-	-		-				
PSS Building and 6565 4th House Demolition	1	ls	\$ 177,000	\$ 177,000			\$ 173,4	60					\$ 17	3,460	\$ 173,460	
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Survey	1	ls	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000			\$ 6,8	60					\$	6,860		
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Abatement	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,5	00					\$ 2	4,500		
Shoring Installation for Excavation	1	ls	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000			\$ 441,0	00					\$ 44	1,000	\$ 441,000	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Shoring Cuttings for Areas 1, 2, & 4	472	tons	\$ 8	\$ 3,776			\$ 3,7	00					\$	3,700	\$ 3,700	
mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Areas 1, 2, & 4	472	tons	Ś 70	\$ 33.040			\$ 373	70					ć a	2 3 7 9	¢ 13.877	
Excavation Handling and Soil Segregation - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5	214	tons	\$ 70 \$ 8	\$ 1,712			\$ J2,5	78					ې ر. د	1 678	\$ 1,678	
	214	10113	γ o	ý 1,/12			γ <u>1</u> ,0	/0					Ŷ	1,070	ý <u>1,070</u>	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility (PCE < 14																
mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item #10)	214	tons	\$ 70	\$ 14,980			\$ 14,6	80					\$ 1	4,680	\$ 6,292	
Contained Out Soil Profile	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,5	00					\$ 2	4,500		
ERH In Situ Soil Treatment	2,222	sf	\$ 450	\$ 999,900			\$ 979,9	02					\$ 97	9,902		
ERH Confirmation Soil Sampling for Contained Out	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,5	00					\$ 2	4,500		
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Areas 1, 2, & 4	8,161	tons	\$ 8	\$ 65,288			\$ 63,9	82					\$ 6	3,982	\$ 63,982	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility (PCE < 14																
mg/kg) - Areas 1, 2, & 4	8,161	tons	\$ 70	\$ 571,270			\$ 559,8	45					\$ 55	9,845	\$ 239,933	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Area 5	4,170	tons	\$ 8	\$ 33,360			\$ 32,6	93					\$ 3	2,693	\$ 32,693	
Iransportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility (PCE < 14 mg/kg) Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	4.170		ć 70	ć 201.000			ć 2000	(2)					¢ 20	c 062	ć 122 F00	
Dowatering System Installation Shallow Zono	4,170	tons	\$ 70 \$ 78 700	\$ 291,900			\$ 200,U	26					\$ 20 6 -	7 1 2 6	\$ 122,598	
Dewatering System Installation - Shallow Zone	1	IS	\$ 78,700	\$ 78,700			\$ //,1	20					\$ 1	7,126	\$ 77,126	
Contingency Deep Devictoring Well Installation	1	IS	\$ 71,250	\$ 71,250			\$ 09,8	25					\$ C	9,825	\$ 69,825	
Water Treatment System using Granular Activated Carbon (2 months)	8	each	\$ 5,000	\$ 40,000			\$ 39,2 ¢ 11.7	60					> 3	9,200	\$ 39,200	
Discharge Foos and Joh Analysis	1	IS	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000			\$ 11,7	80					\$ 1	1,760		
Evenuation of Tanks in Cidowalk (Transh Day Ev)	3	om	\$ 8,000	\$ 24,000			\$ 23,5	20					> 2	3,520		
Well Abandonment MW/ 7 and MW/ 14	1	IS	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,5 ¢ 1.5	60					> 2	4,500		
FDH In Situ Soil Treatment - Sidewalk Area	720	each	\$ 800	\$ 1,600			\$ 1,5 ¢ 221.0	20					\$ 6 22	1,508		
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out Subtitle D Facility	/30	ST	\$ 450	\$ 328,500			\$ 321,9	30					Ş 32	1,930		
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Sidewalk Tank Excavation	40	ton	\$ 70	\$ 2,800			\$ 2,7	44					\$	2,744		
Sidewalk UST Decommissioning	1	ls	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000			\$ 4,9	00					\$	4,900		
Backfill of Sidewalk with CDF	20	су	\$ 135	\$ 2,700			\$ 2,6	46					\$	2,646		
Heating Oil UST Decommissioning	4	ls	\$ 5,000	\$ 20,000			\$ 19,6	00					\$ 1	9,600		
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Heating Oil UST Area			,	,			,							.,		
(Subject to Results from Line Item No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 8	\$ 1,280			\$ 1,2	54					\$	1,254	\$ 1,254	
Tenant station and Diseased as Contained Out Cultitle D Facility (DCF + 14																
mg/kg) - Heating Oil LIST Area (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 70	\$ 11 200			\$ 100	76					¢ 1	0 976	\$ 4 704	
Footing Drain Iron Filter Treatment System	100	ls	\$ 84.100	\$ 84 100			\$ 10,5	18					ب د د ک	2 418	Ş 4,704	
Sub-slab Vanor Barrier	13 502	r5 cf	\$ 04,100	\$ 95.144			\$ 02,4 \$ 03.2	10					, c ć c	2,410		
Sub-Side Vapor Barrier	13,352	31	Ϋ́Υ	5 55,144	¢ 2 5 27 500		\$ 2,456,0	F0					\$ 2.45	6 050	¢ 1 201 222	¢ 2.165.629
Groundwater Treatment Enhanced Reductive Dechlerination					\$ 3,327,300		\$ 3,430,5	30		1			Ş 3,43	0,930	\$ 1,291,322	\$ 2,103,028
Drilling Contractor Installation of 22 Oncite Shallow Wells	22	aach	ć 2,000	¢ 46.000			¢ 45.0	00	1	1	1	1	ć /	F 000		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of & Onsite Deen Wells	23	edCII	÷ 2,000	÷ 40,000			ې 45,L خ 21 1	60					> 4 ¢ ~	1 360		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 18 Offsite Deep Wells	8	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 32,000			\$ 31,3 ¢ 25.2	80					> 3	1,360		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 10 Offsite Deep Wells	18	each	> 2,000	⇒ 36,000		<u> </u>	> 35,2	00					2 3 6 6	0,200		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of E. Doop Wells	10	each	> 4,000	\$ 40,000			ə 39,2	00					<del>ک د</del>	9,200		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 5 Deep Wells across Woodlawn Ave NE	5	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 20,000		<u> </u>	<u>^</u>	\$ 19,208					\$ 1	9,208		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Assumed 50% as Dangerous Waste, Subtitle C	0	ton	\$ 250	<u>&gt;</u>		<u> </u>	\$	-					\$	-		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Assumed 50% as Dangerous Waste, Subtitle D	20	ton	> /0	\$ 1,400			ə 1,3	12					\$	1,3/2		
Edible Oil Injection Event	10	ton	> /0	\$ /00		<u> </u>	A	\$ 672					\$	6/2		
	1	ls	\$ 51,205	\$ 51,205			\$ 50,1	81					\$ 5	0,181		
Contingency Injection Edible Oil Injection Event and Bioaugmentation	2	event	\$ 69,605	\$ 139,210				\$ 66,849	\$ 65,512				\$ 13	2,360		
Subtotal Groundwater Treatment					\$ 366,515		Ş 202,4	/3 \$ 86,729	\$ 65,512	Į	Ļ	Į	Ş 35	4,713		\$ 354,713



#### Table 7 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.2 Feasibility Level Cost Estimate Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

Cleanup Action Alternative	e 3.2 - ERH of Upp	er and Lower	Shallow Zone Sou	red Area to Soil Re	emediation Level,	ERD of Lower Shal	low Zone and Dee	p Zone on Proper	ty, and ERD of Sha	low Zone and Dee	p Zone off Proper	rty			
								Pres	sent Value Cost Per	Year					
						(2	2% Present Value	Discount Rate, As	suming 5% Cost of	Future Money and	3% Inflation Rate	e)		Present Value Cost	ts
Present Canitol Cost Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	ltem Cost	Total Costs (in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025	Total	Development- Related	Remediation- Related
Compliance Monitoring	Quantity	0	0		( 2020)		1010		1010	1010 1010					
Well Installation - Building Footprint (1 shallow, 1 deep)	2	each	\$ 6,000	\$ 12,000			\$ 11,760						\$ 11,760		
				. ,			. ,						. ,		
Groundwater Monitoring Event Post Excavation, 20 wells with MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,675	\$ 22,675			\$ 22,222						\$ 22,222		
Compliance Monitoring Subtotal					\$ 34,675		\$ -						\$-		\$-
Subtotal Direct Capital					\$ 3,928,690		\$ 3,659,400	\$ 86,700	\$ 65,500				\$ 3,811,700	\$ 1,291,322	\$ 2,520,341
Indirect Capital (as percentages of Direct Capital)									Ι.			1			1
Design, Permitting, and Work Plans (6%)				\$ 235,800		\$ 176,850	\$ 30,041	\$ 18,117	\$ 8,877				\$ 233,885	\$ 77,500	
Mobilization (1%)				\$ 39,300			\$ 38,514						\$ 38,514	\$ 13,000	
Professional Labor for Construction Oversight (12%)				\$ 471,500			\$ 462,070						\$ 462,070	\$ 155,000	
Field Equipment and Supplies (2%)				\$ 78,600			\$ 77,028						\$ 77,028		
Laboratory Testing (field verification and waste profiling) (3%)				\$ 117,900			\$ 115,542						\$ 115,542		
Site Restoration and Demobilization (1%)				\$ 39,300			\$ 38,514						\$ 38,514	\$ 13,000	
Regulatory Reporting and Meetings (6%)				\$ 235,800		\$ 176,850	\$ 30,041	\$ 18,117	\$ 8,877				\$ 233,885	\$ 77,500	
Subtotal Indirect Capital					\$ 1,218,200	\$ 353,700	\$ 791,750	\$ 36,234	\$ 17,755	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,199,438	\$ 336,000	\$ 863,438
Project Management (15% of Subtotal Indirect Capital)					\$ 182,730	\$ 53,055	\$ 118,762	\$ 5,435	\$ 2,663	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 179,916	\$ 50,400	\$ 129,516
Total Present Capital					\$ 5,329,600	\$ 406,800	\$ 4,569,900	\$ 128,400	\$ 85,900	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 5,191,100	\$ 1,677,700	\$ 3,513,300
								Pres	sent Value Cost Per	Year					
					Total Costs	(4	2% Present Value	Discount Rate, As	suming 5% Cost of	Future Money and	3% Inflation Rate	e) 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Development-	ts
Long-Term Future Monitoring, O&M, And Other Direct Cost Items <sup>(1)</sup>	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025-2035	Total	Related	Remediation
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 1) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670	\$ 22,670				\$ 21,772					\$ 21,772		
Quarterly Monitoring and Reporting, 13 wells (Year 1) - With Geochemical Parameters	3	event	\$ 16.450	\$				\$ 47.396					Ś 47.396		
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 2) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22.670	\$ 22.670				. ,	\$ 21.337				\$ 21.337		
			+	+									+		
Quarterly Monitoring and Reporting, 13 wells (Year 2) - With Geochemical Parameters	3	event	\$ 16,450	\$ 49,350					\$ 46,448				\$ 46,448		
Semi-annual Monitoring and Reporting, Annual event - 20 wells, Semi-Annual 13 wells (Years 3			A	A 10.150						A 00.070			A		
and 4) - with Mixa Parameters	2	event	\$ 21,/25	\$ 43,450						\$ 39,676	<b>A B <b>B A B A B <b>B A B A B A B <b>B A B A B A B A B A B A B</b></b></b></b>		\$ 39,676		
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 5 - 8) - No MINA Parameters	4	event	\$ 21,670	\$ 86,680							\$ 74,512	4 40.500	\$ 74,512		
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells (Year 9) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670	\$ 22,670								\$ 18,523	\$ 18,523		
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells (Year 10) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670									\$ 18,153	\$ 18,153		
Annual Monitoring Event 10 wells Years 11-15 - No MNA Parameters	5	event	\$ 15,670	\$ 78,350								\$ 54,486	\$ 54,486		
Injection Well Decommissioning - 41 Shallow Wells	41	ea	\$ 500	\$ 20,500								\$ 15,141	\$ 15,141		
Injection Well Decommissioning - 23 Deep Wells	23	ea	\$ 1,000	\$ 23,000								\$ 16,987	\$ 16,987		
Decommissioning of Monitoring Wells, 25 wells	25	ea	\$ 500	\$ 12,500								\$ 9,232	\$ 9,232		
Subtotal Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital Costs					\$ 431,190	\$-	\$-	\$ 69,168	\$ 67,785	\$ 39,676	\$ 74,512	\$ 132,522	\$ 383,662	\$ -	\$ 383,662
Project Management (10% of Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital)					\$ 43,119	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,917	\$ 6,778	\$ 3,968	\$ 7,451	\$ 13,252	\$ 38,366	\$ -	\$ 38,366
Future Worth Cost of Annual and Canital Costs					\$ 474,300	\$-	\$-	\$ 76,100	\$ 74,600	\$ 43,600	\$ 82,000	\$ 145,800	\$ 422,000	\$ -	\$ 422,000
TOTAL PRESENT WORTH COST (Sum of Total Capital and Present Worth of Annual and Future															

#### NOTES:

<sup>(1)</sup>Additional direct costs such as project management, regulatory communications and reporting, and other technical support services not specifically listed are not included in any future annual costs.

<sup>(2)</sup>Annual cost is year 2014 cost.

<sup>(3)</sup>This feasibility level cost should not be considered a design cost estimate or guaranteed cost.

<sup>(4)</sup>Excludes electrical costs for all systems.

<sup>(5)</sup>Cost rounded up to nearest \$1,000.

Annual groundwater monitoring costs reflect a 4% annual increase.

DFCR = Preliminary Draft for Client Review

ERD = enhanced reductive dechlorination ERH = electric resistive heating If = linear feet Is = lump sum n = number of years O&M = operation and maintenance PCE = tetrachloroethene sf = square feet ton = number of bank cubic yards x 1.7 ton/bank cubic yard



Table 8 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.3 Feasibility Level Cost Estimate Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

	Cleanup Action	Alternative 2	3 - ERH of Shallow	v Zone and Deen 7	ne Source Area *	Groundwater Clear	up Level and E	RD of Shallow Zone	e and Deen 7one of	ff Property					
				Lone and Deep 20	e source Ared [[	Control to do another recently recently and recently							Total Costs	Development Related Costs	Remediation Costs
Present Capitol Cost Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	Total Costs (in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025	(2015 Present Value)	(2015 Present Value)	(2015 Present Value)
Direct Capital															,
Remedial Excavation	-	-	-	1						1			1	i	
PSS Building and 6565 4th House Demolition	1	ls	\$ 177,000	\$ 177,000			\$ 173,460						\$ 173,460	\$ 173,460	
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Survey	1	ls	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000			\$ 6,860						\$ 6,860	\$ 6,860	
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Abatement	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500	\$ 24,500	
Shoring installation for Excavation	1	IS	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000			\$ 441,000						\$ 441,000	\$ 441,000	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility	472	tons	\$ 8	\$ 3,776			\$ 3,700						\$ 3,700	\$ 3,700	
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Areas 1, 2, & 4	472	tons	\$ 70	\$ 33,040			\$ 32,379						\$ 32,379	\$ 13,877	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5	214	tons	\$ 8	\$ 1,712			\$ 1,678						\$ 1,678	\$ 1,678	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out. Subtitle D Facility															
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item #10)	214	tons	\$ 70	\$ 14,980			\$ 14,680						\$ 14,680	\$ 6,292	
Contained Out Soil Profile	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000		4	\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500		
ERH In Situ Soil Treatment	2,222	sf	\$ 500	\$ 1,111,000			\$ 1,088,780						\$ 1,088,780		
ERH Confirmation Soil Sampling for Contained Out	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500		
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Areas 1, 2, & 4 Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility	8,161	tons	\$ 8	\$ 65,288		;	\$ 63,982						\$ 63,982	\$ 63,982	
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Areas 1, 2, & 4	8,161	tons	\$ 70	\$ 571,270			\$ 559,845						\$ 559,845	\$ 559,845	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Area 5	4,170	tons	\$ 8	\$ 33,360			\$ 32,693						\$ 32,693	\$ 32,693	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility	4.470		e	ć 201.00-		I T.	÷						¢ 305.055	é 122.505	
(rut < 14 mg/kg) - Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	4,170	tons	\$ 70	\$ 291,900 \$ 70,700			\$ 286,062		+				\$ 286,062	\$ 122,598	
Dewatering System Installation - Shallow Zone	1	IS	\$ 78,700	\$ 78,700			\$ //,12b					-	\$ 77,126	\$ 77,126	
Contingency Deen Dewatering Well Installation	1 0	15 cach	\$ /1,250	> /1,250			y 09,825		1		+	1	\$ 09,825	ຸ ບ9,825 ເຊິ່ 20,200	
Water Treatment System using Granular Activated Carbon (2 months)	8	each	\$ 5,000	\$ 40,000			\$ 39,200 \$ 11,760					+	\$ 39,200	\$ 39,200	
Discharge Eeos and Lab Analysis	2	is mo	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000			\$ 11,700 \$ 22,520						\$ 11,700		
Excavation of Tanks in Sidewalk (Trench Boy Ex)	1	lic	\$ 25,000	\$ 24,000			\$ 25,520 \$ 24,500						\$ 23,520		
Well Abandonment - MW-7 and MW-14	2	is oach	\$ 23,000	\$ 23,000			5 24,500 ¢ 1569						\$ 24,500 \$ 1,569		
FRH In Situ Soil Treatment - Sidewalk Area	720	each	\$ 500	\$ 265,000			\$ 1,508						\$ 257,700		
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility	/30	51	\$ 500	\$ 303,000		· · · · · ·	\$ 337,700						\$ 337,700		
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Sidewalk Tank Excavation	40	ton	\$ 70	\$ 2,800			\$ 2,744						\$ 2,744		
Sidewalk UST Decommissioning	1	ls	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000			\$ 4,900						\$ 4,900		
Backfill of Sidewalk with CDF	20	су	\$ 135	\$ 2,700		4	\$ 2,646						\$ 2,646		
Heating Oil UST Decommissioning	4	ls	\$ 5,000	\$ 20,000		4	\$ 19,600						\$ 19,600		
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Heating Oil UST Area (Subject to Results from Line Item No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 8	\$ 1,280			\$ 1,254						\$ 1,254	\$ 1,254	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out Subtitle D Facility															
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Heating Oil UST Area (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 70	\$ 11.200			\$ 10.976						\$ 10.976	\$ 10.976	
Footing Drain Iron Filter Treatment System	1	ls	\$ 84,100	\$ 84,100			\$ 82,418						\$ 82,418		
Sub-slab Vapor Barrier	13,592	sf	\$ 7	\$ 95,144			\$ 93,241						\$ 93,241		
Subtotal Remedial Excavation					\$ 3,675,100		\$ 3,601,598						\$ 3,601,598	\$ 1,648,866	\$ 1,952,73
Groundwater Treatment - Enhanced Reductive Dechlorination						•		•		•	•	•	•	•	
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 23 Onsite Shallow Wells	0	each	\$ 2,000	\$ -			\$-						\$ -		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 8 Onsite Deep Wells	0	each	\$ 4,000	ş -			\$ -								
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 18 Offsite Shallow Wells	18	each	\$ 2,000	\$ 36,000			\$ 35,280						\$ 35,280		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 10 Offsite Deep Wells	10	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 40,000			\$ 39,200						\$ 39,200		
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 5 Deep Wells across Woodlawn Ave NE	5	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 20,000				\$ 19,208					\$ 19,208		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Assumed 50% as Dangerous Waste, Subtitle C	0	ton	\$ 250	\$ -			\$ -						\$ -		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Assumed 50% as Dangerous Waste, Subtitle D	20	ton	\$ 70	\$ 1,400			\$ 1,372						\$ 1,372		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Contingency wells/probes (Subtitle D)	10	ton	\$ 70	\$ 700				\$ 672					\$ 672		
Edible Oil Injection Event	1	ls	\$ 51,205	\$ 51,205			\$ 50,181						\$ 50,181		
Contingency Injection Edible Oil Injection Event and Bioaugmentation	2	event	\$ 69,605	\$ 139,210				\$ 66,849	\$ 65,512				\$ 132,360		
Subtotal Groundwater Treatment					\$ 288,515		\$ 126,033	\$ 86,729	\$ 65,512	L	L	I	\$ 278,273		\$ 278,2
Compliance Monitoring Well Installation - Building Footprint (1 shallow, 1 deep)	2	each	\$ 6,000	\$ 12.000			\$ 11.760				I		\$ 11.760		
Groundwater Monitoring Event Post Excavation. 20 wells with MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22.675	\$ 22.675			\$ 22.222						\$ 22,220		
Compliance Monitoring Subtotal		L CVCIIL	÷ 22,0/J	¥ 22,0/3	\$ 34,675		<u>, 22,222</u> \$ -					1	\$ -		\$
Subtotal Direct Capital	1				\$ 3,998,290		\$ 3,727,600	\$ 86,700	\$ 65,500				\$ 3,879,900	\$ 1,648,866	\$ 2,231,0
direct Capital (as percentages of Direct Capital)															
Design, Permitting, and Work Plans (6%)				\$ 239,900		\$ 179,925	\$ 30,563	\$ 18,432	\$ 9,032				\$ 237,952	\$ 99,000	
Mobilization (1%)				\$ 40,000			\$ 39,200						\$ 39,200	\$ 16,500	
Professional Labor for Construction Oversight (12%)				\$ 479,800			\$ 470,204						\$ 470,204	\$ 197,900	
Field Equipment and Supplies (2%)				\$ 80,000			\$ 78,400						\$ 78,400		
Laboratory Testing (field verification and waste profiling) (3%)				\$ 120,000			\$ 117,600						\$ 117,600		
Site Restoration and Demobilization (1%)				\$ 40,000			\$ 39,200						\$ 39,200	\$ 16,500	-
Regulatory Reporting and Meetings (6%)	<u> </u>			\$ 239,900		\$ 179,925	\$ 30,563	\$ 18,432	\$ 9,032				\$ 237,952	\$ 99,000	
Subtotal Indirect Capital					\$ 1,239,600	\$ 359,850	\$ 805,731	\$ 36,864	\$ 18,063	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,220,508	\$ 428,900	\$ 791,6
Project Management (15% of Subtotal Indirect Capital	)				\$ 185,940	\$ 53,978	\$ 120,860	\$ 5,530	\$ 2,710	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 183,076	\$ 64,335	\$ 118,7
Total Present Capital					\$ 5,423,800	\$ 413,800	\$ 4,654,200	\$ 129,100	\$ 86,300	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ 5,283,500	\$ 2,142,100	\$ 3,141,40

P:\0651 Hearthstone\0651-002 Hearthstone - Woodlawn East\Technical\Tables\2015 Revised RIFS Addendum\0651-002\_RIFSAdd\_Tables6-10\_CAA\_F.xlsx



	Cleanup Action	Alternative 3.3	- ERH of Shallow	Zone and Deep Zo	one Source Area to	Groundwater Cle	anup Level and El	RD of Shallow Zon	e and Deep Zone of	f Property					
								Discou			Development	Remediation			
							(Assumes 5% Co	st of Money and 3	% Inflation Rate fo	r a 2% Present Val	ue Discount Rate)		Total Costs	Related Costs	Costs
					Total Costs								(2015 Present	(2015 Present	(2015 Present
Present Capitol Cost Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025	Value)	Value)	Value)
								Discou	nted Rate for Futur	e Money				Development	Remediation
							(Assumes 5% Co	st of Money and 3	% Inflation Rate to	r a 2% Present Val	ue Discount Rate)		Total Costs	Related Costs	Costs
Long-Term Future Monitoring, O&M, And Other Direct Cost Items <sup>(1)</sup>	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025-2035	(2015 Present Value)	(2015 Present Value)	(2015 Present Value)
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 1) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670					\$ 21,772					\$ 21,772		
Quarterly Monitoring and Reporting, 13 wells (Year 1) - With Geochemical Parameters	3	event	\$ 16,450					\$ 47,396					\$ 47,396		
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 2) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670						\$ 21,337				\$ 21,337		
Quarterly Manitoring and Reporting 12 wells (Vear 2) With Cooshemical Parameters	2		¢ 16.450						¢ 45.440				¢ 46.440		
Semi-annual Monitoring and Reporting, Annual event - 20 wells	3	event	\$ 16,450						\$ 40,448				\$ 40,448		
Semi-Annual 13 wells (Years 3 and 4) - With MNA Parameters	2	event	\$ 21 725							\$ 39.676			\$ 39.676		
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 5 - 8) - No MNA Parameters	4	event	\$ 21,670							¢ 55,676	\$ 74,512		\$ 74,512		
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells (Year 9) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670								\$ 18,901		\$ 18,901		
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells (Year10) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670									\$ 18,153	\$ 18,153		
Annual Monitoring Event 10 wells Years 11-15 - No MNA Parameters	4	event	\$ 15,670									\$ 54,486	\$ 54,486		
Injection Well Decommissioning - 41 Shallow Wells	41	ea	\$ 500									\$ 13,686	\$ 13,686		
Injection Well Decommissioning - 23 Deep Wells	23	ea	\$ 1,000									\$ 15,355	\$ 15,355		
Decommissioning of Monitoring Wells, 25 wells	25	ea	\$ 500									\$ 8,345	\$ 8,345		
Subtotal Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital Costs					\$ 415,520	\$-	\$ -	\$ 69,168	\$ 67,785	\$ 39,676	\$ 93,413	\$ 110,025	\$ 380,066	\$-	\$ 380,066
Project Management (10% of Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital)					\$ 41,552	\$ -	\$-	\$ 6,917	\$ 6,778	\$ 3,968	\$ 9,341	\$ 11,002	\$ 38,007	\$-	\$ 38,007
Future Worth Cost of Annual and Capital Costs					\$ 457,100	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,100	\$ 74,600	\$ 43,600	\$ 102,800	\$ 121,000	\$ 418,100	\$ -	\$ 418,100
TOTAL PRESENT WORTH COST (Sum of Total Capital and Present Worth of Annual and Future															
Capital Cost) <sup>(3)(4)(5)</sup>					\$ 5,881,000	\$ 414,000	\$ 4,654,000	\$ 205,000	\$ 161,000	\$ 44,000	\$ 103,000	\$ 121,000	\$ 5,702,000	\$ 2,142,000	\$ 3,560,000

NOTES: <sup>(1)</sup>Additional direct costs such as project management, regulatory communications and reporting, and other technical support services not specifically listed are not included in any future annual costs.

services not specifically listed are not included in any future annual costs. <sup>(2)</sup>Annual cost is year 2014 cost. <sup>(B)</sup>This feasibility level cost should not be considered a design cost estimate or guaranteed cost. <sup>(B)</sup>Excludes electrical costs for all systems. <sup>(S)</sup>Cost rounded up to nearest \$1,000. Annual groundwater monitoring costs reflect a 4% annual increase. DPCR = Preliminary Draft for Client Review

ERD = enhanced reductive dechlorination ERH = electric resistive heating lf = linear feet If = linear feet Is = lump sum n = number of years O&M = operation and maintenance PCE = tetrachloroethene sf = square feet ton = number of bank cubic yards x 1.7 ton/bank cubic yard



Table 9 Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 Feasibility Level Cost Estimate Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 - ERI	H of Upper Shallov	w Zone Source	Area to Soil Reme	diation Level, Che	emical Oxidation o	f Lower Shallow Zo	one and Deep Zon	e on Property, and	d Chemical Oxidati	ion of Shallow Zon	e and Deep Zone	off Property			
							Discour	nted Rate for Futur	re Money				Development	Remediation	
							(Assumes 5% Cos	t of Money and 3%	% Inflation Rate fo	or a 2% Present Val	ue Discount Rate)		Total Costs	Related Costs	Costs
Brocont Canital Cart Itam	Quantity	Unit	Linit Cost	Itom Cost	Total Costs (in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	2019	2019 2020	2021 2024	2025	(2015 Present	(2015 Present	(2015 Present
Direct Canital	Qualitity		Oniccosc	item cost	(112013)	2015	2010	2017	2018	2013-2020	2021-2024	2023	valuej	valuej	value)
Remedial Excavation															
PSS Building and 6565 4th House Demolition	1	ls	\$ 177.000	\$ 177.000			\$ 173.460	1	1	1		1	\$ 173.460	\$ 173.460	1
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Suprey	1	ls.	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000			\$ 6.860						\$ 6,860	\$ 6,860	
PSS Building and 6565 4th House ACM Abatement	1	ls.	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500	\$ 24,500	
Shoring Installation for Excavation	1	ls ls	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000			\$ 441,000						\$ 441,000	\$ 441,000	
Excavation Handling and Soil Segregation - Shoring Cuttings for Areas 1, 2, & 4	472	tons	\$ 8	\$ 3,776			\$ 3,700						\$ 3,700	\$ 3,700	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility		cons	ý Ű	<i>ç 3,770</i>			ç 3,700						<i>\$</i> 3,700	ç 3,700	
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Areas 1, 2, & 4	472	tons	\$ 70	\$ 33,040			\$ 32,379						\$ 32,379	\$ 13,877	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5	214	tons	\$ 8	\$ 1,712			\$ 1,678						\$ 1,678	\$ 1,678	
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Shoring Cuttings for Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item #10)	214	tons	¢ 70	¢ 14.090			¢ 14.690						¢ 14.690	¢ 6.202	
Contained Out Soil Profile	1	le	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,080						\$ 24,080	\$ 0,252	
	2 222	13 cf	\$ 25,000	\$ 555 500			\$ 544,300						\$ 544,300	<u> </u>	
ERH Confirmation Soil Sampling for Contained Out	2,222	SI Ic	\$ 250	\$ 353,500			\$ 344,390						\$ 544,590	<u> </u>	
Even commution son sampling or contained out	9 161	tons	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,000						\$ 24,500	¢ 62.092	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility	0,101	LUIIS	\$ °	\$ 05,288			\$ 05,982						\$ 05,982	\$ 05,982	
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Areas 1, 2, & 4	8,161	tons	\$ 70	\$ 571,270			\$ 559,845						\$ 559,845	\$ 239,933	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Area 5	4,170	tons	\$ 8	\$ 33,360			\$ 32,693						\$ 32,693	\$ 32,693	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility															
(PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Area 5 (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	4,170	tons	\$ 70	\$ 291,900			\$ 286,062						\$ 286,062	\$ 122,598	
Dewatering System Installation - Shallow Zone	1	ls	\$ 78,700	\$ 78,700			\$ 77,126						\$ 77,126	\$ 77,126	
Dewatering System Installation - Deep Zone	1	ls	\$ 71,250	\$ 71,250			\$ 69,825						\$ 69,825	\$ 69,825	
Contingency Deep Dewatering Well Installation	8	each	\$ 5,000	\$ 40,000			\$ 39,200						\$ 39,200	\$ 39,200	
Water Treatment System using Granular Activated Carbon (3 months)	1	ls	\$ 12,000	\$ 12,000			\$ 11,760						\$ 11,760	L	
Discharge Fees and Lab Analysis	3	mo	\$ 8,000	\$ 24,000			\$ 23,520						\$ 23,520	<b></b>	
Excavation of Tanks in Sidewalk (Trench Box Ex)	1	ls	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000			\$ 24,500						\$ 24,500	L	
Well Abandonment - MW-7 and MW-14	2	each	\$ 800	\$ 1,600			\$ 1,568						\$ 1,568	<b></b>	
ERH In Situ Soil Treatment - Sidewalk Area	730	sf	\$ 250	\$ 182,500			\$ 178,850						\$ 178,850	L	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility (PCE < 14 mg/kg) - Sidewalk Tank Excavation	40	ton	¢ 70	¢ 2,900			¢ 2744						¢ 2744		
(i ce < 14 hig/kg) Sidewalk tank Exceletation	40	le	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000			\$ 2,744						\$ 2,744	<u> </u>	
	20	13	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000			\$ 4,500						\$ 4,500	<u> </u>	
Hosting Oil UST Decommissioning	20	Ly Ic	\$ 5,000	\$ 2,700			\$ 2,646						\$ 2,040	<u> </u>	
Excavation, Handling, and Soil Segregation - Heating Oil UST Area	4	15	\$ 3,000	\$ 20,000			\$ 15,000						\$ 19,000	<u> </u>	
(Subject to Results from Line Item No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 8	\$ 1,280			\$ 1,254						\$ 1,254	\$ 1,254	
Transportation and Disposal as Contained Out, Subtitle D Facility (RCE < 14 mg/kg), Hosting Oil UST Area (Subjected to Results from Line Item No. 10)	100		¢ 70	ć 11 200			¢ 10.076						4 10.076	¢ 4.704	
(PCL < 14 mg/kg) - Heating On OST Area (Subjected to Results from Line Rein No. 10)	160	tons	\$ 70	\$ 11,200			\$ 10,976						\$ 10,976	\$ 4,704	
Footing Drain Iron Filter Treatment System	1 12 502	IS of	\$ 84,100	\$ 84,100			\$ 82,418						\$ 82,418	<u> </u>	
Sub-siab Vapor Barrier	13,592	ST	\$ /	\$ 95,144	¢ 2,027,100		\$ 93,241						\$ 93,241	ć 1 222 692	¢ 1 555 676
Croundwater Treatment Chamical Ovidation					\$ 2,957,100	!	\$ 2,676,556	ļ	ļ	I	ļ	<u>!</u>	\$ 2,878,338	\$ 1,522,082	\$ 1,555,676
Drilling Contractor Installation of 22 Onsite Shallow Walk	22	oach	\$ 2,000	\$ 46,000			¢ 45.090	1	r	1	r	1	¢ 45.090		1
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 8 Onsite Dean Wells	8	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 32,000			\$ 31 360						Ş 43,000	<u> </u>	
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 18 Offsite Shallow Wells	18	each	\$ 2,000	\$ 36,000			\$ 35,280						\$ 35.280	<u> </u>	
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 10 Offsite Deen Wells	10	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 40,000			\$ 39,200						\$ 39,200	<u> </u>	
Drilling Contractor - Installation of 5 Deen Wells across Woodlawn Ave NE	5	each	\$ 4,000	\$ 20,000			\$ 35,200	\$ 19.208					\$ 19.208	<u> </u>	
Disnosal of Soil Cuttings - Assumed 50% as Dangerous Waste Subtitle D	20	ton	\$ 70	\$ 1,400			\$ 1 372	Ş 15,200					\$ 1372		
Disposal of Soil Cuttings - Contingency wells (probes (Subtitle D)	10	ton	\$ 70	\$ 700			Ş 1,572	\$ 672					\$ 672	<u> </u>	
Chemox Injection Event	10	le	\$ 125.000	\$ 125,000			\$ 122.500	\$ 072					\$ 122 500	<u> </u>	
Contingency Injection Events	1	event	\$ 125,000	\$ 500,000			\$ 122,500	\$ 120.050	\$ 117.649	\$ 102.134	\$ 92.321		\$ 432.154	<u> </u>	
Subtotal Groundwater Treatment		evene	\$ 125,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 801 100		\$ 274 792	\$ 139.930	\$ 117,649	Ş 102,154	Ş 52,521		\$ 532,371	<u> </u>	\$ 532 371
Compliance Monitoring					<i>v</i> 001,100		<i>v</i> 271,752	\$ 155,550	Ş 117,045	1	1	1	<i>y 332,371</i>		\$ 552,571
Well Installation - Building Footorint (1 shallow 1 deen)	2	each	\$ 6,000	\$ 12,000			\$ 11.760	1	1	1		1	\$ 11.760		1
Groundwater Monitoring Event Post Excavation, 20 wells with MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,675	\$ 22,675			\$ 22.222						\$ 22,222		
Compliance Monitoring Store of Execution, 20 Weils With Multi-Multicers	-	creat	+	ç <u>22,075</u>	\$ 34.675		\$						\$		<u>د</u> .
Subtotal Direct Canital					\$ 3,772,875		\$ 3,153,200	\$ 139,900	\$ 117.600				\$ 3,410,700	\$ 1,322,682	\$ 2.088.047
Indirect Capital (as percentages of Direct Capital)					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		. 0,200,200							,522,032	,000,047
Design, Permitting, and Work Plans (6%)			1	\$ 226.400		\$ 169.800	\$ 28.8/13	\$ 17305	\$ 8573			1	\$ 224 562	\$ 79.400	
Mobilization (1%)	1			\$ 37.800		- 205,000	\$ 37.044	- 1,,555	- 0,525	1		1	\$ 37.044	\$ 13.300	1
Professional Labor for Construction Oversight (12%)				\$ 452.800			\$ 443 744					1	\$ 443 744	\$ 158.800	
Field Equipment and Supplies (2%)	1			\$ 75.500			\$ 73,990	1	1	1		1	\$ 73.990	- 150,000	1
Laboratory Testing (field verification and waste profiling) (3%)				\$ 113 200			\$ 110 936					1	\$ 110.936	<u> </u>	
Site Restoration and Demobilization (1%)	1			\$ 37.800			\$ 37.044	1	1	1		1	\$ 37.044	Ś 13.300	1
Regulatory Reporting and Meetings (6%)	1			\$ 226.400		\$ 169.800	\$ 28.843	\$ 17.395	\$ 8.523	1		1	\$ 224.562	\$ 79.400	1
Subtotal Indirect Canital	•			. 220,100	\$ 1,169.900	\$ 339.600	\$ 760.445	\$ 34.790	\$ 17.047	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,151.881	\$ 344.200	\$ 807.681
Project Management (15% of Subtotal Indirect Capital					\$ 175.485	\$ 50.940	\$ 114.067	\$ 5.218	\$ 2.557	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 172.782	\$ 51.630	\$ 121.152
Total Present Canital					\$ 5,118.300	\$ 390.500	\$ 4,027,700	\$ 179.900	\$ 137.200	s -	\$ -	s -	\$ 4,735,400	\$ 1.718.500	\$ 3,016.900



Table 9
Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4
Feasibility Level Cost Estimate
Plastic Sales and Service Site
6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast
Seattle, Washington
Feasibility Level Cost Estimate Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

Cleanup Action Alternative 3.4 - ERH	of Upper Shallow	Zone Source	Area to Soil Rem	ediation Level, Ch	emical Oxidation o	f Lower Shallow Z	one and Deep Zo	one on Property	, and Ch	nemical Oxidatio	on of Shallow Zon	e and Deep Zone o	off Property			
								Dis	counted	l Rate for Future	e Money				Development	Remediation
							(Assumes 5% C	ost of Money a	nd 3% Inf	flation Rate for	r a 2% Present Val	ue Discount Rate)		Total Costs	Related Costs	Costs
					Total Costs									(2015 Present	(2015 Present	(2015 Present
Present Capitol Cost Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	2016	2017		2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025	Value)	Value)	Value)
								Dis	counted	Rate for Future	e Money				Development	Remediation
							(Assumes 5% C	ost of Money a	nd 3% Inf	flation Rate for	r a 2% Present Val	ue Discount Rate)		Total Costs	Related Costs	Costs
(1)	<b>a</b>				Total Costs									(2015 Present	(2015 Present	(2015 Present
Long-Term Future Monitoring, O&M, And Other Direct Cost Items'	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Item Cost	(in 2015)	2015	2016	2017	_	2018	2019-2020	2021-2024	2025-2035	Value)	Value)	Value)
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 1) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670	\$ 22,670				\$ 21	772					\$ 21,772		
Quarterly Monitoring and Paparting 12 wells (Vear 1) With Constantial Parameters	2		A 15 450	¢ 40.350					200					47.200		1
Quarterly Wontcomp and Reporting, 15 wens (rear 1) - With Geochemical Parameters	3	event	\$ 16,450	\$ 49,350				\$ 47	396					\$ 47,396		
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 2) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670	\$ 22,670				-	Ş	21,337				\$ 21,337		1
Quarterly Monitoring and Reporting, 13 wells (Year 2) - With Geochemical Parameters	3	event	\$ 16,450	\$ 49,350					Ś	46,448				\$ 46,448		1
Semi-annual Monitoring and Reporting, Annual event - 20 wells, Semi-Annual 13 wells (Years 3										-						
and 4) - With MNA Parameters	2	event	\$ 21,725	\$ 43,450							\$ 39,676			\$ 39,676		1
Annual Monitoring and Reporting, 20 wells (Years 5 - 8) - No MNA Parameters	4	event	\$ 21,670	\$ 86,680								\$ 74,512		\$ 74,512		1
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells (Year 9) - With MNA Parameters	1	event	\$ 22,670	\$ 22,670									\$ 18,523	\$ 18,523		1
Annual Monitoring Event 20 wells Years 10-20 - No MNA Parameters	10	event	\$ 15,670	\$ 156,700									\$ 114,764			1
Injection Well Decommissioning - 41 Shallow Wells	41	ea	\$ 500	\$ 20,500									\$ 13,686	\$ 13,686		1
Injection Well Decommissioning - 23 Deep Wells	23	ea	\$ 1,000	\$ 23,000									\$ 15,355	\$ 15,355		1
Decommissioning of Monitoring Wells, 25 wells	25	ea	\$ 500	\$ 12,500									\$ 8,345	\$ 8,345		1
Subtotal Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital Costs					\$ 509,540	\$ -	\$	- \$ 69	168 \$	67,785	\$ 39,676	\$ 74,512	\$ 170,673	\$ 421,813	\$-	\$ 421,813
Project Management (10% of Future Worth Cost of Annual and Other Direct Capital)					\$ 50,954	\$ -	\$	- \$ 6,	917 \$	6,778	\$ 3,968	\$ 7,451	\$ 17,067	\$ 42,181	\$ -	\$ 42,181
Future Worth Cost of Annual and Capital Costs					\$ 560,500	\$ -	\$	- \$ 76	100 \$	74,600	\$ 43,600	\$ 82,000	\$ 187,700	\$ 464,000	\$ -	\$ 464,000
TOTAL PRESENT WORTH COST (Sum of Total Capital and Present Worth of Annual and Future																
Capital Cost) <sup>(3)(4)(5)</sup>					\$ 5,679,000	\$ 391,000	\$ 4,028,00	\$ 256	000 \$	212,000	\$ 44,000	\$ 82,000	\$ 188,000	\$ 5,199,000	\$ 1,719,000	\$ 3,481,000

NOTES: <sup>[1]</sup>Additional direct costs such as project management, regulatory communications and reporting, and other technical support services not specifically listed are not included in any future annual costs.

services not specifically listed are not included in any future annual costs. <sup>[2]</sup>Annual cost is year 2014 cost. <sup>[4]</sup>This feasibility level cost should not be considered a design cost estimate or guaranteed cost. <sup>[4]</sup>Excludes electrical costs for all systems. <sup>[5]</sup>Cost rounded up to nearest \$1,000. Annual groundwater monitoring costs reflect a 4% annual increase. DFCR = Preliminary Draft for Client Review

ERH = electric resistive heating If = linear feet If = linear feet Is = lump sum n = number of years O&M = operation and maintenance PCE = tetrachloroethene sf = square feet ton = number of bank cubic yards x 1.7 ton/bank cubic yard



# Table 10 Comparison Summary Modified Cleanup Action Alternatives Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington

			MTCA Permanence Criteria and Weighting Factors <sup>(1)</sup>						Including Development		Excluding De	evelopment	
		Restoration Time Frame	Protectiveness	Permanence	Long-term Effectiveness	Short-Term Effectiveness	Implementability	Public Concerns	MTCA Composite		Cost-to- Benefit		Cost-to- Benefit
	Cleanup Action Alternative	(Years)	30%	20%	20%	10%	10%	10%	Benefit Score <sup>(2)</sup>	Cost	Ratio <sup>(3)</sup>	Cost	Ratio <sup>(3)</sup>
3.1	ERH of Upper Shallow Zone Source Area to Soil Remediation Level, ERD of Lower Shallow Zone and Deep Zone on Property, and ERD of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property	20	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	7.0	7.9	\$4,953,000	6.3	\$3,234,000	4.1
3.2	ERH of Upper and Lower Shallow Zone Soured Area to Soil Remediation Level, ERD of Lower Shallow Zone and Deep Zone on Property, and ERD of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property	15	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.8	\$5,613,000	7.2	\$3,935,000	5.0
3.3	ERH of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone Source Area to Groundwater Cleanup Level and ERD of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property	15	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.8	\$5,702,000	7.3	\$3,560,000	4.6
3.4	ERH of Upper Shallow Zone Source Area to Soil Remediation Level, Chemical Oxidation of Lower Shallow Zone and Deep Zone on Property, and Chemical Oxidation of Shallow Zone and Deep Zone off Property	20	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.7	\$5,199,000	6.8	\$3,481,000	4.5

NOTES:

<sup>(1)</sup>Scores indicate quantitative value of criteria for "permanence to the maximum extent practicable." A score of 0 is least favorable and a score of 10 is most favorable.
<sup>(2)</sup>MTCA Composite Benefit Scores are calculated by summing the mathematical product of the score times the weighting factor for each of the six criteria.
<sup>(3)</sup>Cost-to-benefit ratio is calculated by dividing the cost (in one hundred thousands) by the MTCA composite benefit score.

ERD = enhanced reductive dechlorination ERH = electric resistive heating MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act

P:\0651 Hearthstone\0651-002 Hearthstone - Woodlawn East\Technical\Tables\2015 Revised RIFS Addendum\0651-002\_RIFSAdd\_Tables6-10\_CAA\_F.xlsx

CHARTS



Chart 1 Cleanup Action Alternative Costs and MTCA Composite Benefit Scores Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington





Chart 2 Cleanup Action Alternative Cost-to-Benefit Ratios Plastic Sales and Service Site 6870 Hearthsotone Woodlawn Avenue Northeast Seattle, Washington



### APPENDIX A LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-082



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

September 22, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-082

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 9, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely.

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

Date of Report: September 22, 2014 Samples Submitted: September 9, 2014 Laboratory Reference: 1409-082 Project: 0651-002-02

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 9, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 9, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

#### **VOLATILES EPA 8260C**

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW16-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	101	62-122				
Toluene-d8	105	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	71-120				

#### **VOLATILES EPA 8260C**

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW21-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-02					
Vinyl Chloride	0.73	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	109	62-122				
Toluene-d8	104	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	71-120				

#### **VOLATILES EPA 8260C**

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	105	62-122				
Toluene-d8	107	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	71-120				

#### VOLATILES by EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date		
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags	
Laboratory ID:	MB0911W1						
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14		
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14		
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14		
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14		
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14		
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14		
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14		
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits					
Dibromofluoromethane	97	62-122					
Toluene-d8	99	70-120					
4-Bromofluorobenzene	90	71-120					

#### VOLATILES by EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

	Result				Per	Percent		RPD		
Analyte			Spike Level		Recovery		Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB0911W1									
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	9.46	10.0	10.0	10.0	95	100	63-142	6	17	
Benzene	9.90	10.5	10.0	10.0	99	105	78-125	6	15	
Trichloroethene	8.83	8.87	10.0	10.0	88	89	74-125	0	15	
Toluene	9.82	10.1	10.0	10.0	98	101	80-125	3	15	
Chlorobenzene	9.23	9.43	10.0	10.0	92	94	80-140	2	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					96	97	62-122			
Toluene-d8					99	98	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					93	91	71-120			
# TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03					
Total Organic Carbon	ND	1.0	SM 5310B	9-15-14	9-15-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

# TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L

-				Method		Date	Date		
Analyte		Result	PQL			Prepared	Analyz	ed	Flags
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:		MB0915W1							
Total Organic Carbon		ND	1.0	SM	5310B	9-15-14	9-15-1	4	
Analuta	Bos	sult	Snike Level	Source	Percent	Recovery	RPD	RPD Limit	Flage
	1163	bult		nesun	necovery	Linits		Liiiit	i iago
Laboratory ID:	09-10	)3-02							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Organic Carbon	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	09-10	)3-02							
	М	S	MS		MS				
Total Organic Carbon	9.9	98	10.0	ND	100	70-124	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB09	15W1							
	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Organic Carbon	9.	79	10.0	NA	98	91-119	NA	NA	

9

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07

Matrix:	Water
Units:	mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03					
Sulfate	43	25	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0918W1					
Sulfate	ND	5.0	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

			Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE								
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03							
	ORIG DUP							
Sulfate	43.1 42.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	10	
MATRIX SPIKE								
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03							
	MS	MS		MS				
Sulfate	96.6	50.0	43.1	107	82-123	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	SB0918W1							
	SB	SB		SB				
Sulfate	10.5	10.0	NA	105	91-114	NA	NA	

# DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

12

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

# DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0922W1					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

					Source	Per	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Re	sult	Spike	Level	Result	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS											
Laboratory ID:	SB09	22W1									
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD		SB	SBD				
Methane	4.55	4.69	4.42	4.42	N/A	103	106	75-125	3	25	
Ethane	7.84	8.20	8.32	8.32	N/A	94	99	75-125	4	25	
Ethene	9.60	9.27	7.77	7.77	N/A	124	119	75-125	3	25	

# NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2

Matrix:	Water
Units:	mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03					
Nitrate	ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	

# NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0911W1					
Nitrate	ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	

				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Resu	ult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-044	I-01							
	ORIG	DUP							
Nitrate	3.21	3.31	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	16	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	09-044	1-01							
	MS	;	MS		MS				
Nitrate	7.72	2	4.00	3.21	113	84-119	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB091 <sup>-</sup>	1W1							
	SB		SB		SB				
Nitrate	2.15	5	2.00	NA	108	86-114	NA	NA	

# TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-082-03					
Total Alkalinity	130	2.0	SM 2320B	9-11-14	9-11-14	

16

### TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

-						Date	Date	•	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Ме	ethod	Prepared	Analyz	ed	Flags
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:		MB0911W1							
Total Alkalinity		ND	2.0	SM	2320B	9-11-14	9-11-1	4	
				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-08	32-03							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Alkalinity	128	134	NA	NA	NA	NA	5	10	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB09	11W1							
	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Alkalinity	1(	00	100	NA	100	88-114	NA	NA	

# DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C

Matrix: Units:	Water ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-082-03					
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Iron	ND	56	6010C	9-9-14	9-16-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

# DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-9-14						
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14						
Matrix:	Water						
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	ug/L (ppb)					
Lab ID:	MB0909F1						
Analyte	Method	Result	PQL				
Iron	6010C	ND	56				

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

# DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-9-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Iron	ND	ND	NA	56	

# DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-9-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Iron	22200	21200	96	20900	94	2	



# **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



15 September 2014

David Baumeister OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Redmond, WA 98052

# RE: Client Project: 0651-002-02; 09-082 ARI Job No: YZ40

Dear David:

Please find enclosed the chain-of-custody (COC) record and the final results for the sample from the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted one water sample on September 10, 2014. The sample was analyzed for ferrous iron as requested.

This analysis proceeded without incident of note.

An electronic copy of these reports will remain on file at ARI. Should you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

'el l'Aas Mark D. Harris

Project Manager 206/695-6210 markh@arilabs.com

Enclosures

cc: file YZ40

MDH/mdh

Page 1 of <u>13</u>





Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 · (425) 883-3881				
Subcontract Laboratory:	Analytical Resources, Inc.			
Attention: Mark Harris				
4611 S 134th Pi, Ste. 100	Tukwila, WA 98168			
Phone Number: ( 206 ) 695-6200				

Date/Time:

**Turnaround Request:** 

1 Day 2 Day 3 Day Standard Other:

Laboratory Reference #:	Laboratory Reference #:	09	-	0	82
-------------------------	-------------------------	----	---	---	----

Project Manager: David Baumeister

email: dbaumeister@onsite-env.com

Project Number: 0651-002-02

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Cont.	Requested Analysia
	MW08-20140909	9 9/14	1444	ω	1	Fe(11), Ferrous
						-
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	······································					
		Con	ipany		Dete	Time Comments/Special Instructions
Relinqu	ished by:	OSE			9/10/14	11304
Receive	ed by:	spect	YN	<i>\$1</i> )	1/10/14	<i>U</i> 70
Relinqu	lished by:	Reno	-1 M	<u> </u>	9/10/14	220
Receive	ed by:	RI			9/10/14	(290)
Relinqu	ished by:					
Receive	ed by:					

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

# **Cooler Receipt Form**

ARI Client:	Project Name:		
COC No(s):	Delivered by. Fed-Ex UPS Courie	Hand Delivered Other:	Speedy
Assigned ARI Job No. 7240	Tracking No:		(NA)
Preliminary Examination Phase:			-
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the	outside of to cooler?	YES	NO
Were custody papers included with the cooler?		YES	NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)	•••• • •• ••••• • •••••	YES	NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2 0-6 0 °C for chemistr	<sup>y)</sup> <u>3.2</u>		
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form 00070F		Temp Gun ID#: <u>()と</u>	77950
Cooler Accepted by:Da	ate: <u>9/10/14</u> Time.	1230	
Complete custody forms and	attach all shipping documents		
Log-In Phase:			

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	(N)
What kind of packing material was used? . Pubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Bloc	k Paper (	Other:	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	YES	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		TES	(NGA)
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		(YE\$	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		(YES)	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		(YES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		(YES	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		(YES)	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs)	NA	TES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	(NA)	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?	$\bigcirc$	(YES)	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	(NA)	<u> </u>	
Was Sample Split by ARI (NA) YES Date/Time: Equipment:	$\underline{}$	Split by	
Samples Logged by: AVDate <sup>-</sup> <u>91014</u> Time:	123(	$\mathbf{)}$	
** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **			

Sample ID on I	Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
-				
Additional Notes, D	iscrepancies, & R	esolutions:		
By.	Date:			
Small Air Bubbles	Peabubbles	LARGE Air Bubbles	Small → "sm" (<2 mm)	
2mm	2-4 mm	> 4 mm	Peabubbles → "pb" ( 2 to < 4 mm )	
* • •	••••		Large → "lg" ( 4 to < 6 mm )	
			Headspace → "hs" (>6 mm)	

# PRESERVATION VERIFICATION 09/10/14

Page 1 of 1

Inquiry Number: NONE Analysis Requested: 09/10/14 Contact: Baumeister, David Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Logged by: AV Sample Set Used: Yes-481 Validatable Package: No Deliverables:



ARI Job No: YZ40

PC: Mark VTSR: 09/10/14

Project #: 0651-002-02
Project:
Sample Site:
SDG No:
Analytical Protocol: In-house

LOGNUM ARI ID	CLIENT ID	CN >12	WAD >12	NH3 <2	COD <2	FOG <2	МЕТ <2	PHEN <2	PHOS <2	ТКN <2	NO23 <2	тос <2	S2 >9	TPHD <2	Fe2+ <2	DMET FLT	DOC FLT	PARAMETER	ADJUSTE: TO	D LOT NUMBER	AMOUNT ADDED	DATE/BY
14-18331 <b>Yz40a</b>	MW08-20140909														¥							

\* 1010 to determine preservation

# Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: YZ40 Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Project Event: 0651-002-02 Project Name: N/A

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	MW08-20140909	YZ40A	14-18331	Water	09/09/14 14:44	09/10/14 12:20

Printed 09/10/14 Page 1 of 1

YZ40:00005



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

# Data Reporting Qualifiers

Effective 12/31/13

# **Inorganic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- B Reported value is less than the CRDL but  $\geq$  the Reporting Limit
- N Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- H The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

# **Organic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- E Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

Page 1 of 3



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

- Q Indicates a detected analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20%Drift or minimum RRF).
- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample
- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) defined in EPA Statement of Work DLM02.2 as a value "calculated for 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers for which the quantitation and /or confirmation ion(s) has signal to noise in excess of 2.5, but does not meet identification criteria" (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference
- X Analyte signal includes interference from polychlorinated diphenyl ethers. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- Z Analyte signal includes interference from the sample matrix or perfluorokerosene ions. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)

Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan

Version 14-003 12/31/13



# **Geotechnical Data**

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting





Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: 09/09/14 Date Received: 09/10/14

# Client ID: MW08-20140909 ARI ID: 14-18331 YZ40A

Analyte		Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Ferrous	Iron	09/10/14 091014#1	SM3500 FeD	mg/L	0.040	0.059

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/15/14

Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Blank	ID
Ferrous Iron	SM3500 FeD	09/10/14	mg/L	< 0.040 U	



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized:

Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte/Method	QC ID	Date	Units	LCS	Spike Added	Recovery
Ferrous Iron SM3500 FeD	ICVL	09/10/14	mg/L	0.525	0.500	105.0%

**REPLICATE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS** YZ40-OnSite Environmental, Inc.



Matrix: Water Data Release A Reported: 09/3	Authorized:		Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: 09/09/14 Date Received: 09/10/14					
Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Replicate(s)	RPD/RSD		
ARI ID: YZ40A	Client ID: MW08-201	40909						
Ferrous Iron	SM3500 FeD	09/10/14	mg/L	0.059	0.055	7.0%		





Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: 09/09/14 Date Received: 09/10/14

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Spike	Spike Added	Recovery
ARI ID: YZ40A	Client ID: MW08-20	140909					
Ferrous Iron	SM3500 FeI	09/10/14	mg/L	0.059	0.404	0.400	86.2%

OnSite Environmental Inc.		Chain of Custody							Page of																
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Tur (i	Turnaround Request (in working days)         Laboratory Number:				09-082																			
Project Name: Woodlawn East Property Project Manager: Project Manager:	Sam	(Check One) e Day [ ys [ dard (7 Days) I analysis 5 Da	1 Day 3 Days ays)	ainers		~			+ limited list	ttiles 8260C	0D/SIM (Hs)	I (low-level)		esticides 8081B	s Pesticides 8270D/SIM	Herbicides 8151A	8	S		ase) 1664A			thave Ethone	ss willing h	auf
Sampled by:	$\neg \Box -$	(other)		of Conta	HCID	Gx/BTE)	Š	Dx	8260C	ated Vola	itiles 827 -level PA	70D/SIN	82A	hlorine P	nvohqsor	ted Acid	RA Meta	CA Meta	etals	and gre	5	et.	ane E	1 - L	ure U
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date	Time Sampled	Matrix	Number	WTPH-	-HdTW	-HATWN	-HdTWN	Volatiles	Halogen	Semivola with low	PAHs 82	PCBs 80	Organoc	Organopl	Chlorinat	Total RC	Total MT	TCLP M	HEM (oil	10	Sulta	Mett	IT I	% Moist
1 MW16-20140909	9/9/14	1200	HzO	3					X																
2 MW21-20140909	9/9/14	1308	H20	3					$\times$																
3 MW08-20140909	9/9/14	1444	Had	9					×												X	×	X	XX	<
						V V	1000	10	1	1.12														-	
																	/								
																						/			
Signature		omnany				Date			Time			Cor	nmen	ts/Sp	ecial	Instru	uction	ns				2.15			
Relinquished House Academic Received	5	ound E	arts st	rategi	65	9/-	9/14 N		15	33		40	1900	pet ved	Fe	c	No	o fö	eld	( Fr	1900	- fe	n		
Relinquished I( Received				-	19/9/14		14	1	755		* PCE, TCE, CIS, TRANS-1, 2 DOE, 1, 1-DOE VC, MCC12														
Relinquished										<u></u>															
Reviewed/Date		Reviewed/Da	ate					-				Chr	omate	ogran	ns w	th fin	al rei	oort 🗆	]						
			IN ET				i. D	de De		1						_									]

.

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-082B



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

October 30, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-082B

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 9, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

# **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 9, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 9, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

# DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C

Matrix:	Water					
Offits.	ug/L (ppb)			Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-082-03					
Client ID:	MW08-20140909					
Manganese	170	11	6010C	9-9-14	10-29-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

Manganese

# DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

9-9-14	
10-29-14	
Water	
ug/L (ppb)	
MB0909F1	
Method	Result
	9-9-14 10-29-14 Water ug/L (ppb) MB0909F1 Method

ND

6010C

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

PQL

11

# DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-9-14
Date Analyzed:	10-29-14

Matrix:	Water				
Units:	ug/L (ppb)				

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Sample	Duplicate			
Analyte	Result	Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Manganese	173	173	0	11	

# DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-9-14
Date Analyzed:	10-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Manganese	1110	1270	99	1260	98	1	


#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

OnSite		Cha	in o	f (	Cu	st	00	ly					ora	đ.			*	Pag	je	1	of _	1	_		_
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services	Turn (in	around Requ working day	est s)		La	aboi	rato	ory	Num	nbe	r:					0	9 -	- 0	8	2					
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com	_	(Check One)																5							
Sound Etrith Strategies	_ Same	Day	] 1 Day						115					D/SIM	V			2				Suc	2		
0651-002-02	2 Day	rs [	3 Days						T	2	()		8081B	es 8270	ss 8151			VEC	A			Eth	otrio		
Project Name: Woodlawn East Property Project Manager:	Stanc	lard (7 Days) analysis 5 Da	ys)	ntainers		TEX			C + lim	Volatiles 820U	82 / UD/SIM I PAHs) SIM (low-leve		ne Pesticides	norus Pesticide	icid Herbicide	Aetals	Metals	DEsol	grease) 1662			e/Ethane,	ALK" MIS	Ferraus	
Sampled by: Charles Eigh	1	(other)		r of Co	HCID	H-Gx/B	H-Gx	H-Dx	s 8260	nated	viatiles w-leve 3270D/	3082A	ochlorir	lqsorlq	nated A	CRA N	ATCA I	ivietais 	oil and	00	fate	than	Catter 1	E)	DISTURE
	Date	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numbe	NWTPF	NWTPF	NWTPI	NWTPI	Volatile	Haloge	Semivo (with lo PAHs 8	PCBs	Organo	Organo	Chlorir	Total F	Total h		HEM		Sul	Me	tz.	Fe	WI WI
Lab ID Sample Identification	9/9/14	1200	H2O	3					X																
2 1014016-20140907	9/9/11	1308	H20	3					×																
2 MW LI-20170909 2 MW08-20140909	9/9/14	1444	Had	9					×									$\otimes$		X	×	X	X	X	-
5 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 1				T																					
				-																					
						2	3	A																	
							0		C.	i															
1.5																	/								
																			/	/	/	-			
						1	1															1.	/	-	
Signature		Company				Dat	e		Time			Comm	ients/S	specia	l Instr	ructio	ns				0				-
Relinquished House Dial	4	bound E	Earths	trate	nies	91	9/14	+	15	33	_	PH	Porte	PH	ter	No	o fo	ield	Fr	1ter	- 40	A			
Received Van		Sp	by				n		3	7		PIS	00100	~ Fi	•							8.41	- 1		~ 5
Relinquished 1(			11			0	t	1.	4	15.	s re		*	pel	E,T	CE	,0	S,TI	ZAN	(5 -	1,2	y z	-,	1,03	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Received	>	C	ØXC	2		7	17	114	1	1.	22			V	C,	m	e	i den					0		
Relinquished						_	-		-			$\otimes$	A.	Jel.	d	10	121	1 12	4.5	DB	C	ST	A	)	
Received		Paviowod/F	Date									Chron	natoo	ams	with fi	inal re	eport								
Reviewed/Date	Standard				_	Electi	ronic	Data D	Deliveral	bles (E	EDDs)	]	alogi					_							

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-083



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

September 19, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-083

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 9, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely.

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 9, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 9, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW19-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-083-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	107	62-122				
Toluene-d8	108	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW13-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-083-02					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	110	62-122				
Toluene-d8	115	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW12-20140909					
Laboratory ID:	09-083-03					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	113	62-122				
Toluene-d8	112	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0911W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	97	62-122				
Toluene-d8	99	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	90	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Rece	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB09	11W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	9.46	10.0	10.0	10.0	95	100	63-142	6	17	
Benzene	9.90	10.5	10.0	10.0	99	105	78-125	6	15	
Trichloroethene	8.83	8.87	10.0	10.0	88	89	74-125	0	15	
Toluene	9.82	10.1	10.0	10.0	98	101	80-125	3	15	
Chlorobenzene	9.23	9.43	10.0	10.0	92	94	80-140	2	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					96	97	62-122			
Toluene-d8					99	98	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					93	91	71-120			



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

Í	OnSite Environmental Inc.		Cha	ain o	f (	Cu	st	00	ly											P	age _	۱	_ of	1		
	Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turi (ir	naround Req working da	uest ys)		La	aboi	rato	ory	Nu	mb	er:								0	9	- 0	8	3		
Compa	NY: SOUNDFARTH STRATEGIES		(Check One)																		-					
Project	Number: 0651-002-02		Day l	3 Days											18	70D/SIN	51A									
Project	Name: RTHSTONE WOODLAWN EAST	Stand	lard (7 Days)							,	260C	×	level)		des 808	cides 82	cides 81				664A					
Project	Manager: AUDREY HACKETT	(TPH	analysis 5 Da	ays)	Itainers		X			×	latiles 8	270D/SI	M (low-		Pesticic	rus Pesti	d Herbid	tals	tals		ease) 1(					
Sample	JONATHAN LOEFFLER	1 🗆 —	(other)		r of Cor	I-HCID	I-Gx/BT	-Gx	YD-Y	s 8260C	nated Vo	latiles 83 w-level F	270D/SI	082A	chlorine	ohospho	ated Aci	CRA Me	TCA Me	Aetals	il and gi					sture
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numbe	NWTPF	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPF	Volatile	Halogei	Semivo (with lo	PAHs 8	PCBs 8	Organo	Organo	Chlorin	Total R	Total M	TCLP N	HEM (o					% Mois
1	MW19 - 20140909	9/9/14	1240	WATER	3					×																
2	MW13 - 20140909	9/9/14	1418	WATER	1					×																
3	MW12 - 20140909	9/9/14	1525	WATER	J					×																
												_														
														<u> </u>											_	
							_	-	_	0	to	K						-	-		-			_	_	
											V					-					-		_	-		+
														-	-							/				+
											,	-													-	
	Signature	C	ompany				Date			Time	/		Co	mmer	nts/Sp	ecial	Instru	uctio	ns	1						
Relino	quished South	-	SOUNDE	EARTH			9/0	9/1	4	15	530	D		>	¥	PC	Ε	re	E	Cr	8 2	TR	A81	5-1,	2 - F	SCE,
Recei	ved Van		Sp	dy			11	4		15	30	>		1	.#	, -  ,	1-	De	LE)	N,	С,	M	eC	12	1	
Relin	quished Van		2	NUT:			ale	oli	11	1/	55	r				/					,				N Geo	
Relin	quished	>	C	DEC	_		71	111	9	11	12	2														
Rece	ived		and a						_																	
Revie	wed/Date		Reviewed/Da	ate									Chr	romat	ograr	ns w	ith fin	al rej	port [	]						

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-103



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

September 22, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-103

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 10, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely.

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 10, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 10, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW15-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-103-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	104	62-122				
Toluene-d8	95	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW22-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-103-02					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	103	62-122				
Toluene-d8	94	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0916W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	93	62-122				
Toluene-d8	96	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB09	16W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	8.40	8.21	10.0	10.0	84	82	63-142	2	17	
Benzene	8.58	8.40	10.0	10.0	86	84	78-125	2	15	
Trichloroethene	7.88	7.72	10.0	10.0	79	77	74-125	2	15	
Toluene	8.31	8.28	10.0	10.0	83	83	80-125	0	15	
Chlorobenzene	8.37	8.30	10.0	10.0	84	83	80-140	1	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					98	93	62-122			
Toluene-d8					93	94	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					95	96	71-120			

## DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW22-20140910				,	
Laboratory ID:	09-103-02					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

7

## DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0922W1					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

					Source	Per	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Re	sult	Spike	Level	Result	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS											
Laboratory ID:	SB09	22W1									
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD		SB	SBD				
Methane	4.55	4.69	4.42	4.42	N/A	103	106	75-125	3	25	
Ethane	7.84	8.20	8.32	8.32	N/A	94	99	75-125	4	25	
Ethene	9.60	9.27	7.77	7.77	N/A	124	119	75-125	3	25	

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07

Matrix:	Water
Units:	mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW22-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-103-02					
Sulfate	24	10	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07 QUALITY CONTROL

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0918W1					
Sulfate	ND	5.0	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Resu	ılt	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-082	2-03							
	ORIG	DUP							
Sulfate	43.1	42.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	10	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	09-082	2-03							
	MS		MS		MS				
Sulfate	96.6	6	50.0	43.1	107	82-123	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB0918	3W1							
	SB		SB		SB				
Sulfate	10.5	5	10.0	NA	105	91-114	NA	NA	

# TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B

Matrix:	Water
Units:	mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW22-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-103-02					
Total Organic Carbon	ND	1.0	SM 5310B	9-15-14	9-15-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

### TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L

					Date	Date		<b>F</b> 1
Analyte	Result	PQL	Me	ethod	Prepared	Analyz	ed	Flags
METHOD BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	MB0915W1							
Total Organic Carbon	ND	1.0	SM	5310B	9-15-14	9-15-1	4	
			-		_			
Analyte	Recult	Snike Level	Source	Percent	Recovery	RDD	RPD Limit	Flage
	nesuit	Opike Level	nesun	necovery	Linits		Liiiii	i lago
	00 100 00							
Laboratory ID:	09-103-02							
-	ORIG DUP							
Total Organic Carbon	ND ND	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15	
MATRIX SPIKE								
Laboratory ID:	09-103-02							
	MS	MS		MS				
Total Organic Carbon	9.98	10.0	ND	100	70-124	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	SB0915W1							
	SB	SB		SB				
Total Organic Carbon	9.79	10.0	NA	98	91-119	NA	NA	

12

## NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2

Matrix:	Water						
Units:	mg/L						
					Date	Date	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client I	D:	MW22-20140910					
Laborato	ory ID:	09-103-02					
Nitrate		4.9	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

## NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2 QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0911W1					
Nitrate	ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	

			Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE								
Laboratory ID:	09-044-01							
	ORIG DUP							
Nitrate	3.21 3.31	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	16	
MATRIX SPIKE								
Laboratory ID:	09-044-01							
	MS	MS		MS				
Nitrate	7.72	4.00	3.21	113	84-119	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	SB0911W1							
	SB	SB		SB				
Nitrate	2.15	2.00	NA	108	86-114	NA	NA	

# TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW22-20140910				,	
Laboratory ID:	09-103-02					
Total Alkalinity	100	2.0	SM 2320B	9-11-14	9-11-14	

#### TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

-						Date	Date	•	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Ме	ethod	Prepared	Analyz	ed	Flags
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:		MB0911W1							
Total Alkalinity		ND	2.0	SM	2320B	9-11-14	9-11-1	4	
				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-08	32-03							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Alkalinity	128	134	NA	NA	NA	NA	5	10	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB09	11W1							
	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Alkalinity	1(	00	100	NA	100	88-114	NA	NA	

## DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C

Matrix: Units:	Water ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-103-02					
Client ID:	MW22-20140910					
Iron	ND	56	6010C	9-11-14	9-16-14	

## DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14	
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14	
Matrix:	Water	
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	
Lab ID:	MB0911F1	
Analyte	Method	Result
Iron	6010C	ND

OnSite Environmental, Inc.	14648 NE 95 <sup>m</sup>	Street, Redmond,	WA	98052	(425)	) 883-3881
----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------	----	-------	-------	------------

PQL

56

### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Iron	ND	ND	NA	56	

### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Iron	22200	21200	96	20900	94	2	



### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



16 September 2014

David Baumeister OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Redmond, WA 98052

# RE: Client Project: 0651-002-02; 09-103 ARI Job No: YZ57

Dear David:

Please find enclosed the chain-of-custody (COC) record and the final results for the sample from the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted one water sample on September 11, 2014. The sample was analyzed for ferrous iron as requested.

It was noted upon sample receipt that the bottle for this sample contained headspace.

This analysis proceeded without incident of note.

An electronic copy of these reports will remain on file at ARI. Should you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Mol J. Ban

Mark D. Harris Project Manager 206/695-6210 markh@arilabs.com

Enclosures

cc: file YZ57

MDH/mdh

Page 1 of \_\_\_\_\_



	Page f of
Laboratory Reference #:	09-103

14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 · (425) 883-3881

Subcontract Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Attention: Mark Harris

4611 S 134th PI, Ste. 100 Tukwila, WA 98168

Phone Number: (206) 695-6200

Date/Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Turnaround Request:

1 Day	2 Day	3 Day
	Standard	$\geq$
Other:		

.

tory Reference #:	
Project Manager:	David Baumeister
email:	dbaumeister@onsite-env.com

Project Number: 0651-002-02

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Cont.	Requested Analysis
	MW22-20140910	9/10/14	13:40	w	1	Ferrous Iron, Fe(II)
		Con	npany		Date	Time Comments/Special Instructions
Reling	uished by:	z ite	Ew		7/11/4	800
Receiv	ved by:	2			9/1/14	(01 <u>S</u>
Reling	uished by:					
Receiv	ved by:					
Reling	wished by:					
IReceiv	/ed by:					

- 6
| Analytical Resources,<br>Incorporated  |
|--|
| Analytical Chemists and<br>Consultants |

# **Cooler Receipt Form**

ARI Client:	Project Name		
COC No(s):NA	Delivered by. Fed-Ex Uf	SCourier Hand Delivered Othe	er Speedy
Assigned ARI Job No. YZ57	Tracking No:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(NA)
Preliminary Examination Phase:			$\rightarrow$
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attach	ned to the outside of to cooler?	YES	NO
Were custody papers included with the cooler?		(ES)	NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)		YES	NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for Time	r chemistry) <u>3</u>		
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form 00070	DF	Temp Gun ID#:_ <u></u>	377952
Cooler Accepted by:	Date: 9/11/14	_ Time: _1015	
Complete custody fo	orms and attach all shipping docu	ments	

#### Log-In Phase:

Ű

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	(NO)
What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Whap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block	Paper C	ther	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	(YES)	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags? .		YES	NO
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		TES	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		YES,	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		(TES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		YES	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		YES	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs)	NA	(YES)	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	NA )	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?		YES	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	NA		
Was Sample Split by ARI : (NA) YES Date/Time Equipment:		Split by	
Samples Logged by: A	) <del>)</del> 95		
** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **			

Sample ID on Bottle	S	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
Additional Notae Disora	nonoico & Po			
Additional Notes, Discre	paricies, a re	solutions.		
By:	Date			
Small Air Bubbles P	'eebubbles'	LARGE Ar Bubbles	Small → "sm" (<2 mm)	
2mm	2-4 mm	>4 mm	Peabubbles → "pb" ( 2 to < 4 mm )	
• . •   •	.•.•	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	Large → "lg" ( 4 to < 6 mm )	
LL			Headspace → "hs" (>6 mm)	

#### PRESERVATION VERIFICATION 09/11/14

Page 1 of 1

Inquiry Number: NONE Analysis Requested: 09/11/14 Contact: Baumeister, David Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Logged by: AV Sample Set Used: Yes-481 Validatable Package: No Deliverables:



ARI Job No: YZ57

PC: Mark VTSR: 09/11/14

Project #: 0651-002-02
Project:
Sample Site:
SDG No:
Analytical Protocol: In-house

LOGNUM		CN	WAD	NH3	COD	FOG	MET	PHEN	PHOS	TKN	NO23	TOC	S2	TPHD	Fe2+	DMET	DOC		ADJUSTEI	D LOT	AMOUNT	
ARI ID	CLIENT ID	>12	>12	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	>9	<2	<2	FLT	FLT	PARAMETER	TO	NUMBER	ADDED	DATE/BY
14-18420 <b>YZ57A</b>	MW22-20140910														¥							

\* lab to determine preservation

Checked By \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_

## Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: YZ57 Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Project Event: 0651-002-02 Project Name: N/A

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	MW22-20140910	YZ57A	14-18420	Water	09/10/14 13:40	09/11/14 10:15

Printed 09/11/14 Page 1 of 1



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

## **Data Reporting Qualifiers**

Effective 12/31/13

## **Inorganic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- B Reported value is less than the CRDL but  $\geq$  the Reporting Limit
- N Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- H The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

## **Organic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- E Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

Page 1 of 3



**Analytical Resources, Incorporated** Analytical Chemists and Consultants

- Q Indicates a detected analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20%Drift or minimum RRF).
- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample
- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) defined in EPA Statement of Work DLM02.2 as a value "calculated for 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers for which the quantitation and /or confirmation ion(s) has signal to noise in excess of 2.5, but does not meet identification criteria" (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference
- X Analyte signal includes interference from polychlorinated diphenyl ethers. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- Z Analyte signal includes interference from the sample matrix or perfluorokerosene ions. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)



## **Geotechnical Data**

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting



Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/16/14 Date Received: 09/11/14 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: YZ57-OnSite Environmental, Inc. Project: 0651-002-02

Client/	Date		Analysis		
ARI ID	Sampled	Matrix	Date & Batch	RL	Result
MW22-20140910 YZ57A 14-18420	09/10/14	Water	09/11/14 091114#1	0.040	< 0.040 U

#### Reported in mg/L

RL-Analytical reporting limit U-Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 09/16/14	Al
	U

Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Date/Time	Units	Blank
Ferrous Iron	09/11/14 10:58	mg/L	< 0.040 U



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: MAA Reported: 09/16/14

Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Date/Time	Units	LCS	Spike Added	Recovery
Ferrous Iron	09/11/14 10:58	mg/L	0.487	0.500	97.48

OnSite		Cha	ain o	<b>f</b> (	Cu	ist	:00	ly										Pa	age _	1	of	١			
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turi (ir	Turnaround Request (in working days)         Laboratory Number:         05								) -	1	03	3												
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com Company:		(Check One)			-	1		2 - Million - Million			1			T						2		1	2		
SoundEarth Strategies	. 🗌 Same	e Day	1 Day											MIS						hen			B.Ca		
0(es1-002-02	2 Day	/s [	3 Days										31B	270D/	151A					t			121	500	
Project Name: HconthStone Woodlawn E Property Project Manager:	Stand (TPH	dard (7 Days) analysis 5 Da	iys)	ners					*		low-level)		sticides 80	Pesticides 8	lerbicides 8		0		se) 1664A	G ages		-	23209	Ferre	
Sampled by				Contai		BTEX			S S	NUIAL	el PAF		ine Pe	phorus	Acid H	Metals	Metal		d great	Sec	PO	115	Alka	â	
Fristine Sommer		(other)		ler of	H-HC	H-Gx/	H-Gx	H-Dx	es 826	olatila	ovatilev ow-lev 8270E	8082/	ochlor	dsoudd	nated .	RCRA	MTCA	Metal	oil and	1 Pool	ofa.	0	alt	E	isture
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numb	NWTP	NWTP	NWTP	NWTP	Volatil	Camiv	With Id	PCBs	Organ	Organo	Chlorir	Total F	Total N	TCLP	HEM (	PLS	Sc/	10	300	T	% Mo
1 MN15-20140910	9/10/14	1105	W	3					X																
2 mw22-20140910	1110/14	1340	W	9					X											X	X	X	X	X	
1																									
45 mu 22 - 20140910		1340	10	-																					
KS prw 77-201-10910		1345	-bez	_									1												_
K6 DAIN22 - 20140910		1350	-00-		-	/	/																		
Lot to the				+	-					-	-														-
				-	+					-						/	_				_	-	-	-	-
				-	-		_					_	+				1	/			_	-	+	+	-
				-	-						_	_	-									-			_
																									-
Signature	Co	ompany	<u> </u>		(	Date	1		Time		C	omme			Instru	Ction	S			200					
Relinquisnea	D	andEa	utas	tra	t	9/17	>120	14	14:	30	_ (		00	- H	Inc	ae	Yt	es	. 4	17	= )   - D	CE	= )		
Received	S	OUNDE	ARTI	Н		7/1	0/1	-1	14	30					Ĩ	56	-0	CE		Vir	41	Ch	, lo	nd	er
Relinquisned	>	SOUNDE,	ARTH			9/	10/1	4	15	50	_				r	ne	th	yRr	ene	. ()	heoride.				
Received	SPEEDT					911	101	4	15	56	- Dissolved Fe not field filtered.														
Relinquished	50																								
Received	C	OTZI	te:	A	a'	9/1	cl	4	114	£7															
Reviewed/Date		Reviewed/Da	te	_							CI	nroma	tograr	ns wi	th fina	al rep	ort 🗌	]							

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-103B



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

October 30, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-103B

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 10, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 10, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 10, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

#### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C

Matrix:	Water					
Units.	ug/L (ppb)			Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-103-02					
Client ID:	MW22-20140910					
Manganese	ND	11	6010C	9-11-14	9-29-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

#### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14	
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14	
Matrix:	Water	
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	
Lab ID:	MB0911F1	
Analyte	Method	Result
-		

Manganese	6010C	ND	11

PQL

#### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Sample	Duplicate			
Analyte	Result	Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Manganese	173	173	0	11	

#### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Manganese	1110	1270	99	1260	98	1	



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

OnSite		Cha	ain o	f (	Cu	Ist	00	dy										Pag	ge	2	of _	۱		
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services	Tur (ir	naround Requ n working day	iest /s)		La	abo	rate	ory	Nun	nber	-				09	) -	11	03	3					
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com	-	(Check One)			-	I									-			٢	1	2		IFE	0	
SandEarth Strategies Project Number:	_ 🗌 Same	e Day	1 Day											D/SIM	A			2		the		aduce	200	5
0 (e § 1 - 002 - 02 Project Name:	2 Day	ys [	3 Days							2	6		8081B	is 8270	s 8151			2Kd	A	Ne		DIG.	25	100
Hearth Stone Woodlaw NE Property	K Stand	dard (7 Days) I analysis 5 Da	ys)	ers					0000	WISIM	() ow-leve		ticides	esticide	rbicide			1055	9) 1664.	out		1 nt in	2320	CO
Audrey Hacket		(other)		of Contain	HCID	Gx/BTEX	GX	Dx	CS CS	ated volatile	-level PAHs 70D/SIM (lo	82A	hlorine Pes	nosphorus P	ted Acid He	RA Metals	CA Metals	D.	and grease	Tere la	0.0	HLS.	p CW	11), H
Filoline Sommet	Date	Time		umber	MTPH-	NTPH-	MTPH-	MTPH-	olatiles	anogena	AHs 82	CBs 80	rganoc	rganopt	hlorinat	otal RC	otal MT	W LTO	EM (oil	Bist	300	TOC	200	Fe C 6 Moist
Lab ID Sample Identification	Sampled	Sampled	Matrix	NIX	NZ	ž	Ž	Z	X		S d	<u> </u>	Ō	0	Ö	Ч Ч	¥	H :	I L	v		1 4		6
7 111015-2019 0910	alieliy	1000	NN	9	-		-		$\mathbf{x}$		_	-	-	-	-		1	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		X	X	X	X	X
2 mis22 20110110	11. 1.1	1540	VV	1	-	-	-		~			_		-			-	>		~	~	~		
		10		-	-		-							-	-	-	-				-	+		
¥3 mts22-20146910		1340	-00-	-	+	-	-	-		-	_	-	-	-	-						-	-	-	-
NS prw22-20140910		1345			-			-			_		_	-	+					-	-	+	_	
ballo 2 2 - 201 10910		1350				-				-			-	-					_		_	-	-	_
					-	-					_									-	-	-	_	_
				-	_	_	_			_			_	_	-		V				_	+	_	
					_							_	_	_								-		
																								>
Signature	C	ompany	. (	1		Date	212		Time	20	6		000	s i	An	aO	10	es	: P	CE		CF		
Received		bund for	LAPT	100	A	9/	120	217	14	30					(	215	++	ran	15-	1,2	-D	CE		
Relinguished		SAUNDE	APTH	01		91	101	iu i	15	50	_					15	1-1	DCE	=, \	in C	41	Ch	Ro	ride
Received TAA	I Det Miller 1886 metnykene Cheorid						a	21																
Relinquished	SP-Enop-1 9/10/11/17 UT Dissolved Fe not field						+i	Ite	rec	32,														
Received		00	Ain	5	ū	91	101	14	17	47		D	Ad	de	1	41-	zil	14.	Dj	30	ST	A)	)	
Reviewed/Date	4	Reviewed/Da	ite	6		1				r	С	hroma	itogra	ms w	rith fin	al rep	oort [							
Data Package: S	tandard 🗌 L		evel IV		E	Electro	onic Da	ata De	liverabl	es (ED	Ds) 🗌 .					d.								

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-104



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

September 22, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-104

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 10, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely.

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 10, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 10, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW14-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	102	62-122				
Toluene-d8	97	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW07-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-02					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	0.26	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	4.5	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	106	62-122				
Toluene-d8	97	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-03					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	89	0.40	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	113	62-122				
Toluene-d8	103	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW24-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-04					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	0.27	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	17	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	104	62-122				
Toluene-d8	95	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW26-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-05					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	107	62-122				
Toluene-d8	91	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	89	71-120				

#### VOLATILES EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0916W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	93	62-122				
Toluene-d8	96	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				

#### VOLATILES by EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result Spike Level Recover		overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags			
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB09	16W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	8.40	8.21	10.0	10.0	84	82	63-142	2	17	
Benzene	8.58	8.40	10.0	10.0	86	84	78-125	2	15	
Trichloroethene	7.88	7.72	10.0	10.0	79	77	74-125	2	15	
Toluene	8.31	8.28	10.0	10.0	83	83	80-125	0	15	
Chlorobenzene	8.37	8.30	10.0	10.0	84	83	80-140	1	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					98	93	62-122			
Toluene-d8					93	94	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					95	96	71-120			

#### DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW07-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-02					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-03					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

#### DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0922W1					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

					Source	Per	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Re	sult	Spike	Level	Result	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS											
Laboratory ID:	SB09	22W1									
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD		SB	SBD				
Methane	4.55	4.69	4.42	4.42	N/A	103	106	75-125	3	25	
Ethane	7.84	8.20	8.32	8.32	N/A	94	99	75-125	4	25	
Ethene	9.60	9.27	7.77	7.77	N/A	124	119	75-125	3	25	

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW07-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-02					
Sulfate	32	10	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-03					
Sulfate	27	10	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07 QUALITY CONTROL

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0918W1					
Sulfate	ND	5.0	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Resu	ılt	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-082	2-03							
	ORIG	DUP							
Sulfate	43.1	42.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	10	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	09-082	2-03							
	MS		MS		MS				
Sulfate	96.6	6	50.0	43.1	107	82-123	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB0918	3W1							
	SB		SB		SB				
Sulfate	10.5	5	10.0	NA	105	91-114	NA	NA	

#### TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B

ilt PQI	Mathad			
	method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
40910				
-02				
1.0	SM 5310B	9-15-14	9-15-14	
	<b>40910</b> 1-02 1.0	<b>40910</b> 4-02 0 1.0 SM 5310B	<b>40910</b> I-02 0 1.0 SM 5310B 9-15-14	<b>40910</b> I-02 1.0 SM 5310B 9-15-14 9-15-14

Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-03					
Total Organic Carbon	ND	1.0	SM 5310B	9-15-14	9-15-14	

#### TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B QUALITY CONTROL

-	Result PQL Method					Date	Date		
Analyte			ethod	Prepared	Analyzed		Flags		
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:		MB0915W1							
Total Organic Carbon		ND	1.0	SM	5310B	9-15-14	9-15-1	4	
Analuto	Pos	<b>!+</b>	Spika Laval	Source	Percent	Recovery	PDD	RPD	Flags
	nes	Suit	Spike Level	nesuit	necovery	Linits	nfu	LIIIII	Flays
Laboratory ID:	09-10	3-02							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Organic Carbon	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	09-10	)3-02							
	М	S	MS		MS				
Total Organic Carbon	9.9	98	10.0	ND	100	70-124	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB09	15W1							
	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Organic Carbon	9.79		10.0	NA	98	91-119	NA	NA	

#### NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW07-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-02					
Nitrate	2.7	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-03					
Nitrate	4.7	0.10	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	
### NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0911W1					
Nitrate	ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	

			Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE								
Laboratory ID:	09-044-01							
	ORIG DUP							
Nitrate	3.21 3.31	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	16	
MATRIX SPIKE								
Laboratory ID:	09-044-01							
	MS	MS		MS				
Nitrate	7.72	4.00	3.21	113	84-119	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	SB0911W1							
	SB	SB		SB				
Nitrate	2.15	2.00	NA	108	86-114	NA	NA	

### TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
MW07-20140910					
09-104-02					
140	2.0	SM 2320B	9-11-14	9-11-14	
	Result MW07-20140910 09-104-02 140	Result         PQL           MW07-20140910	Result         PQL         Method           MW07-20140910	Result         PQL         Method         Prepared           MW07-20140910         09-104-02         09-104-02         9-11-14           140         2.0         SM 2320B         9-11-14	Date         Date           Result         PQL         Method         Prepared         Analyzed           MW07-20140910         09-104-02

Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-104-03					
Total Alkalinity	96	2.0	SM 2320B	9-11-14	9-11-14	

### TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

-						Date	Date	•	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Ме	ethod	Prepared	Analyz	ed	Flags
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:		MB0911W1							
Total Alkalinity		ND	2.0	SM	2320B	9-11-14	9-11-1	4	
				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-08	32-03							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Alkalinity	128	134	NA	NA	NA	NA	5	10	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB09	11W1							
· · · · ·	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Alkalinity	1(	00	100	NA	100	88-114	NA	NA	

19

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C

Matrix:	Water					
Units:	ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-104-02					
Client ID:	MW07-20140910					
Iron	ND	56	6010C	9-11-14	9-16-14	
Lab ID:	09-104-03					
Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Iron	ND	56	6010C	9-11-14	9-16-14	

### **DISSOLVED IRON** EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14	
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14	
Matrix:	Water	
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	
Lab ID:	MB0911F1	
Analyte	Method	Result
Iron	6010C	ND

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

PQL

56

### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Iron	ND	ND	NA	56	

### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Iron	22200	21200	96	20900	94	2	

23



### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881



16 September 2014

David Baumeister OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Redmond, WA 98052

### RE: Client Project: 0651-002-02; 09-104 ARI Job No: YZ55

Dear David:

Please find enclosed the chain-of-custody (COC) record and the final results for the samples from the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted two water samples on September 11, 2014. The samples were analyzed for ferrous iron as requested.

It was noted upon sample receipt that the bottles for these samples contained headspace.

A matrix spike (MS) was prepared and analyzed in conjunction with sample MW07-20140910. The percent recovery for ferrous iron was low following the analysis of the MS. Since the percent recovery for ferrous iron was within acceptable QC limits for the corresponding LCS, it was concluded that the sample matrix was the cause of the poor MS recovery. No corrective actions were taken.

The remaining analyses proceeded without incident of note.

An electronic copy of these reports will remain on file at ARI. Should you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

a(i)Mark D. Harris

Project Manager 206/695-6210 markh@arilabs.com

Enclosures

cc: file YZ55

MDH/mdh

Page 1 of \_\_\_\_



14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 · (425) 883-3881

Subcontract Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Attention: Mark Harris

4611 S 134th PI, Ste. 100 Tukwila, WA 98168

Phone Number: (206) 695-6200

Date/Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Turnaround Request:

1 Day	2 Day	3 Day
$\left( \right)$	Standard	$\geq$
Other:		

Laboratory Reference #: \_\_\_\_

Project Manager: David Baumeister

email: dbaumeister@onsite-env.com

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> 09 - 1 0 4

Project Number: 0651-002-02

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Cont.	Requested Analysis
	MW07-20140910	9/10/14	11:05	w	1	Ferrous Iron, Fe(II)
	MW09-20140910	9/10/14	13:00	w	1	Ferrous Iron, Fe(II)
Reling	uished by		e Et	i c	Date 2/11/14	Time Comments/Special Instructions
Receiv	red toy: AR	1			9/11/14	1015
Relinq	uished by:					
Receiv	red by:					
Reling	uished by:					
Receiv	red by:					

ana (t

ARI Client:	
COC No(s)       NA       Delivered by Fed-Ex UPS Courier, Hand Delivered Other:         Assigned ARI Job No       YZSS       Tracking No         Preliminary Examination Phase:       Vere intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the outside of to cooler?       YES       NO         Were custody papers included with the cooler?       YES       NO         Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc )       YES       NO         Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chemistry)       31       31	
Assigned ARI Job No       YZSS       Tracking No       No         Preliminary Examination Phase:       Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the outside of to cooler?       YES       No         Were custody papers included with the cooler?       YES       No         Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc )       YES       No         Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chemistry)       31       31	zety
Preliminary Examination Phase:         Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the outside of to cooler?       YES       NO         Were custody papers included with the cooler?       YES       NO         Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       YES       NO         Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chemistry)       31       31	NA, J
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the outside of to cooler?       YES       NO         Were custody papers included with the cooler?       YES       NO         Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       YES       NO         Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chemistry)       31       Image: Signed Signe	4.1
Were custody papers included with the cooler?       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       Were custody papers paper	10):
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)       YES       NO         Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chemistry)       31	10
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2.0-6.0 °C for chemistry) Time:	10
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form 00070F Temp Gun ID# <u>4027465</u>	152
Cooler Accepted by The Date: Date: Time LUS	
Complete custody forms and attach all shipping documents	

## Log-In Phase:

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?		YES	NO
What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block	k Paper (	Other	
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	NA	(YES)	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?		YES	NO.
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?		YES ;	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		YES	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?		TES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?		YES	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?		YES	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs).	NA	YES:	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?		YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?		YES	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	NA		
Was Sample Split by ARI (NA YES Date/Time Equipment:		Split by	- <u></u>
Samples Logged byA	075		
** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **			

Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
			·····
Additional Notes, Discrepancie	s, & Resolutions:		
By: Da	te:		
Small Air Bubbles Peabubb	es' LARGE Ar Bubbles	Small $\rightarrow$ "sm" (< 2 mm)	
2mm 2-4 mm	>4 mm	Peabubbles $\rightarrow$ "pb" ( 2 to < 4 mm )	
••••		Large $\rightarrow$ "lg" ( 4 to < 6 mm )	
L		Headspace $\rightarrow$ "hs" (>6 mm)	

### PRESERVATION VERIFICATION 09/11/14

Page 1 of 1

Inquiry Number: NONE Analysis Requested: 09/11/14 Contact: Baumeister, David Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Logged by: AV Sample Set Used: Yes-481 Validatable Package: No Deliverables:



ARI Job No: YZ55

PC: Mark VTSR: 09/11/14

Project #: 0651-002-02 Project: Sample Site: SDG No: Analytical Protocol: In-house

LOGNUM ARI ID	CLIENT ID	CN >12	WAD >12	NH3 <2	COD <2	FOG <2	MET <2	PHEN <2	PHOS <2	TKN <2	NO23 <2	TOC <2	S2 >9	TPHD <2	Fe2+ <2	DMET FLT	DOC FLT	PARAMETER	ADJUSTE: TO	D LOT NUMBER	AMOUNT ADDED	DATE/BY
14-18416 <b>YZ55A</b>	MW07-20140910														A							
14-18417 <b>¥Z55B</b>	MW09-20140910														★							

+ lab + o determine preservation

Checked By AV Date 9/11/14

Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: YZ55 Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Project Event: 0651-002-02 Project Name: N/A

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	MW07-20140910	YZ55A	14-18416	Water	09/10/14 11:05	09/11/14 10:15
2.	MW09-20140910	YZ55B	14-18417	Water	09/10/14 13:00	09/11/14 10:15

Printed 09/11/14 Page 1 of 1

~



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

# **Data Reporting Qualifiers**

Effective 12/31/13

# Inorganic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- B Reported value is less than the CRDL but  $\geq$  the Reporting Limit
- N Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- H The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

# **Organic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- E Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.



**Analytical Resources, Incorporated** Analytical Chemists and Consultants

- Q Indicates a detected analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20%Drift or minimum RRF).
- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample
- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) defined in EPA Statement of Work DLM02.2 as a value "calculated for 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers for which the quantitation and /or confirmation ion(s) has signal to noise in excess of 2.5, but does not meet identification criteria" (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference
- X Analyte signal includes interference from polychlorinated diphenyl ethers. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- Z Analyte signal includes interference from the sample matrix or perfluorokerosene ions. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)

Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan



# **Geotechnical Data**

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting

### INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Ferrous Iron by Method SM3500 FeD



Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/16/14 Date Received: 09/11/14 Page 1 of 1 QC Report No: YZ55-OnSite Environmental, Inc. Project: 0651-002-02

Client/ ARI ID	Date Sampled	Matrix	Analysis Date & Batch	RL	Result
MW07-20140910 YZ55A 14-18416	09/10/14	Water	09/11/14 091114#1	0.040	0.173
MW09-20140910 YZ55B 14-18417	09/10/14	Water	09/11/14 091114#1	0.040	< 0.040 U

### Reported in mg/L

RL-Analytical reporting limit U-Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/16/14

Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Date/Time	Units	Blank
Ferrous Iron	09/11/14 10:58	mg/L	< 0.040 U



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/16/14 Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Date/Time	Units	LCS	Spike Added	Recovery
Ferrous Iron	09/11/14 10:58	mg/L	0.487	0.500	97.48



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/16/14 Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: 09/10/14 Date Received: 09/11/14

Analyte		Date	Units	Sample	Replicate(s)	RPD/RSD
ARI ID: YZ5	55A Client ID:	MW07-20140910				
Ferrous Irc	on	09/11/14	mg/L	0.173	0.183	5.6%



Matrix: Water	AA //
Data Release Authorized	4:VXV
Reported: 09/16/14	
-	()
	$\mathcal{O}$

Analyte			Date	Units	Sample	Spike	Spike Added	Recovery
ARI ID:	YZ55A	Client ID:	MW07-201409	10				
Ferrous	Iron		09/11/14	mg/L	0.173	0.273	0.400	25.0%

OnSite Environmental Inc.		Cha	ain o	f(	Cu	st	00	ły											P	age _	1	_ of	1	1		
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Tur (ir	Turnaround Request (in working days) Laboratory Number:									er: 09 - 10 4															
Company: SoundEarth Strategies		(Check One)													_					1	(Hene)					<u>a.con</u>
Project Number: 0651 - 002 - 02	_ Same	ys [	3 Days						- 6					31B	270D/SIN	151A					Hune			F be		
Project Name: HEARTHSTONE WOODLAWN EAST PROPERTY	Stand (TPH	dard (7 Days) analysis 5 Da	ays)	S						8260C	MIS	/-level)		cides 808	sticides 8	oicides 8	2			1664A	thouse, t	6		Discolv	S	
Project Manager: AUDREY HACKET				ontainer		BTEX			*	Volatiles	8270D/9 I PAHs)	SIM (low		ne Pestic	norus Pes	cid Herb	Aetals	Aetals		grease)	665 (Me	Popp.	5.1	2320B	emos	
JONATHAN LOEFFLER		(other)		er of C	H-HCII	H-Gx/E	H-Gx	H-Dx	es 826(	enated	olatiles ow-leve	3270D/	8082A	ochlorir	lqsohq	lated A	ICRA N	ATCA N	Metals	oil and	115 La	rte	17	He, Alk	日)、日	sture
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numb	NWTP	NWTP	NWTP	NWTP	Volatile	Haloge	Serniv (with Id	PAHs	PCBs	Organi	Organo	Chlorir	Total F	Total N	TCLP	HEM (	Dissol	Sulf	10	Niha	Fe	% MIO
1 MW14-20140910	9/10/14	1000	WATER	3	2				X																	
Z MW07-20140910	9/10/14	1105	WATER	9					×												X	×	×	×	X	
3 MW09-20140910	9/10/14	1300	WATER	9					X												×	×	×	×	$\times$	
4 MW24 - 20140910	9/10/14	1420	WATER	3					X																	
5 MW26 - 20140910	9/10/14	1533	WATER	3					×																	
								0																	1	
								0	1	7																
									0									-	9/	101	14					
																								-	1	
Signature	Co	ompany				Date			Time			Com	iment	ts/Sp	ecial	Instru	uction	ns				10				
Relinquished	~	SOUNDEA	RTH			9/10	0/14	l	15	50	2	čν	'OC	S	AN	aly	TES	s : 1	PCE	Ξ,Τ	CE	, ci	5+	tran	5-1,2	
Received The		SPE	epy			41	101	14	15	50	2	•						D	DCE	1 1,1	-00	E,	Viny	I C	nlori	de,
Relinquished		sper	eD-1			41	10	1-1	17	47			T	- 66	abe	ام	L			P			100	••	1	
Received	1	Azi	40 2	th	-	9/1	cl	<i>a</i>	17	V	7		ν	127	OIVO	ca	re	ne	H	tie	ld	ţi	Iter	rec	1	
Relinquished																										
Received																										
Reviewed/Date		Reviewed/Da	ite									Chro	matc	gram	ns wi	th fin	al rep	oort 🗌	]			-				

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-104B



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

October 30, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-104B

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 10, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 10, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 10, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C

Matrix:	Water					
Units:	ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-104-02					
Client ID:	MW07-20140910					
Manganese	ND	11	6010C	9-11-14	9-29-14	
Lab ID:	09-104-03					
Client ID:	MW09-20140910					
Manganese	ND	11	6010C	9-11-14	9-29-14	

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14	
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14	
Matrix:	Water	
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	
Lab ID:	MB0911F1	
Analyte	Method	Result
-		

Manganese 6010C ND 11

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

PQL

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Sample	Duplicate			
Analyte	Result	Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Manganese	173	173	0	11	

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Manganese	1110	1270	99	1260	98	1	



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

Ĩ	OnSite Environmental Inc.		Cha	ain o	f (	Cu	st	00	dy										Pa	age _	1	_ of	1		
	Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street ● Redmond, WA 98052	Tur (iı	naround Req 1 working da	uest ys)		Laboratory Number: 09										9-104									
Comp	Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com		(Check One)			-													C		(tere)				
Proje	ot Number: 0651 - 002 - 07		e Day	1 Day											WIS/DO	14			1×		trank			2 P	
Proje	ARTHSTONE WOODLAWN EAST PROPERTY		Standard (7 Days)								2600	New	linno	es 8081	cides 827	ides 815			Ived	64A	ane, Et			1:50 he	
Proje	AUDREY HACKET	(TPH	(TPH analysis 5 Days)				X			*	latiles 82	AHS)		esticide	us Pestic	Herbic	als	als	1550	ease) 16	5 (Meth	8	-	120B,	Snau
Samp	JONATHAN LOEFFLER		(other)		r of Con	HCID	-Gx/BTE	-GX	-Dx	8260C	lated Vo	v-level P	082A	chlorine	hosphor	tted Acid	CRA Met	rca Mer	letais .	I and gr	175	te 3	415	, Alka	ture
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numbe	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPH	Volatiles	Haloger	Semivol (with lov	PCBs 8	Organoc	Organop	Chlorina	Total RC	Total M	TCLPA	HEM (o	Dissolu	Sulfa	toc	Nihah	Fe (1 % Mois
1	MW14-20140910	9/10/14	1000	WATER	3	÷		_		×															
Z	MW07-20140910	9/10/14	1105	WATER	9					×								(	Ø		×	×	×	×	X
3	MW09-20140910	9/10/14	1300	WATER	9					X								(	B		×	×	X	×	$\times$
4	MW24-20140910	9/10/14	1420	WATER	.3					X															
5	MW26 - 20140910	9/10/14	1533	WATER	3					X															
•																									
									0	1	,														
									Q	V	7	-	-	-											
																			9/	10/	14				
																								1	-
	Signature	Ci	ompany				Date	,		Time	-	*	Comm	ients/	Specia	I Instr	ructio	ns	Dar						
Reli	nquished	×	200NDEP	KTH			7/10	6/14	1	15	50		CVC		Ar	ALY	TE	S: T	DCE	: , 1 .  .	-DO	, ci E.	Vin	range I Cl	s-1,2-
Reci	erved The	SPE	ep7			41	101	14	15	50							M	Aeth	ylei	ne (	hlo	ride	2.		
Rec	eived		11-	1	-	-	01	1.1	111	17	n/	7		Die	isoh	ied	Fe	no	t	fie	eld	fi	Ite	rec	1
Reli	nquished	×	9220	[0 2	U	~	711	00	ιų.	. /	4		6	3	de la	di	dz	,1,1	4. D	3 (	ST	A)			-
Rec	eived								_			_	-												
Rev	iewed/Date		Reviewed/Da	ate								С	hrom	atogr	ams v	vith fi <b>r</b>	nal re	port [							
	Data Packade:					F	lectron	nic Da	ta Del	iverabl	es (FI														

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-105



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

September 22, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-105

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 10, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely.

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 10, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 10, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

### **VOLATILES EPA 8260C**

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW02-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	0.49	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	4.0	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	116	62-122				
Toluene-d8	104	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	71-120				

### **VOLATILES EPA 8260C**

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW03-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-02					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.79	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	0.58	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	64	0.40	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	120	62-122				
Toluene-d8	108	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	71-120				
				Date	Date	
----------------------------	------------------	----------------	-----------	----------	----------	-------
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW10-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-03					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	10	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	600	4.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	118	62-122				
Toluene-d8	107	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-04					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	1.6	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	116	62-122				
Toluene-d8	106	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW04-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-05					
Vinyl Chloride	920	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1000	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	3800	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	3400	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	28000	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	112	62-122				
Toluene-d8	102	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	FD01-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-06					
Vinyl Chloride	950	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1000	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	3700	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	3200	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	26000	200	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	111	62-122				
Toluene-d8	102	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	71-120				

### VOLATILES by EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0916W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	93	62-122				
Toluene-d8	96	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				

## VOLATILES by EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB09	16W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	8.40	8.21	10.0	10.0	84	82	63-142	2	17	
Benzene	8.58	8.40	10.0	10.0	86	84	78-125	2	15	
Trichloroethene	7.88	7.72	10.0	10.0	79	77	74-125	2	15	
Toluene	8.31	8.28	10.0	10.0	83	83	80-125	0	15	
Chlorobenzene	8.37	8.30	10.0	10.0	84	83	80-140	1	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					98	93	62-122			
Toluene-d8					93	94	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					95	96	71-120			

#### DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

esult PC	QL M	ethod P	Prepared A	Analyzed F	lags
0140910					
05-03					
ND 0.	50 RS	SK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
ND 0.	50 RS	SK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
<b>ND</b> 0.	50 RS	SK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
	sult         P(           D140910         0           05-03         0           ND         0           ND         0           ND         0	suit PQL M D140910 05-03 ND 0.50 R ND 0.50 R ND 0.50 R	sult         PQL         Method         P           D140910         05-03         7         7         7           ND         0.50         RSK 175         7         7           ND         0.50         RSK 175         7         7           ND         0.50         RSK 175         7         7	sult         PQL         Method         Prepared         A           0140910         05-03         0.50         RSK 175         9-22-14         0.50         ND         ND         0.50         ND         ND         0.50         ND         ND	Sult         PQL         Method         Prepared         Analyzed         F           0140910         05-03         -

Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-04					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

#### DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0922W1					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	9-22-14	9-22-14	

					Source	Per	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Re	sult	Spike	Level	Result	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS											
Laboratory ID:	SB09	22W1									
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD		SB	SBD				
Methane	4.55	4.69	4.42	4.42	N/A	103	106	75-125	3	25	
Ethane	7.84	8.20	8.32	8.32	N/A	94	99	75-125	4	25	
Ethene	9.60	9.27	7.77	7.77	N/A	124	119	75-125	3	25	

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW10-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-03					
Sulfate	37	10	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-04					
Sulfate	26	10	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0918W1					
Sulfate	ND	5.0	ASTM D516-07	9-18-14	9-18-14	

				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Resu	ılt	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-082	2-03							
	ORIG	DUP							
Sulfate	43.1	42.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	10	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	09-082	2-03							
	MS		MS		MS				
Sulfate	96.6	6	50.0	43.1	107	82-123	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB0918	3W1							
	SB		SB		SB				
Sulfate	10.5	5	10.0	NA	105	91-114	NA	NA	

14

#### TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID: M	W10-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-03					
Total Organic Carbon	ND	1.0	SM 5310B	9-15-14	9-15-14	

Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-04					
Total Organic Carbon	1.5	1.0	SM 5310B	9-15-14	9-15-14	

#### TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B QUALITY CONTROL

-						Date	Date	•	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Ме	ethod	Prepared	Analyz	ed	Flags
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	I	MB0915W1							
Total Organic Carbon		ND	1.0	SM	5310B	9-15-14	9-15-1	4	
				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-10	3-02							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Organic Carbon	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	09-10	)3-02							
	Μ	S	MS		MS				
Total Organic Carbon	9.9	98	10.0	ND	100	70-124	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB09	15W1							
	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Organic Carbon	9.7	79	10.0	NA	98	91-119	NA	NA	

#### NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW10-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-03					
Nitrate	ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	
Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-04					
Nitrate	4.1	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	

#### NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2 QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB0911W1					
Nitrate	ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	9-11-14	9-11-14	

			Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE								
Laboratory ID:	09-044-01							
	ORIG DUP							
Nitrate	3.21 3.31	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	16	
MATRIX SPIKE								
Laboratory ID:	09-044-01							
	MS	MS		MS				
Nitrate	7.72	4.00	3.21	113	84-119	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	SB0911W1							
	SB	SB		SB				
Nitrate	2.15	2.00	NA	108	86-114	NA	NA	

### TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

Result	PQL	Method	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Flags
MW10-20140910					
09-105-03					
120	2.0	SM 2320B	9-11-14	9-11-14	
	Result MW10-20140910 09-105-03 120	Result         PQL           MW10-20140910	Result         PQL         Method           MW10-20140910	Result         PQL         Method         Prepared           MW10-20140910         09-105-03         9-11-14           120         2.0         SM 2320B         9-11-14	Date         Date           Result         PQL         Method         Prepared         Analyzed           MW10-20140910         09-105-03

Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Laboratory ID:	09-105-04					
Total Alkalinity	150	2.0	SM 2320B	9-11-14	9-11-14	

#### TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

-						Date	Date	•	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Ме	ethod	Prepared	Analyz	ed	Flags
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:		MB0911W1							
Total Alkalinity		ND	2.0	SM	2320B	9-11-14	9-11-1	4	
				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	09-08	32-03							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Alkalinity	128	134	NA	NA	NA	NA	5	10	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB09	11W1							
	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Alkalinity	1(	00	100	NA	100	88-114	NA	NA	

#### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C

Matrix:	Water					
Units:	ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-105-03					
Client ID:	MW10-20140910					
Iron	ND	56	6010C	9-11-14	9-16-14	
Lab ID:	09-105-04					
Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Iron	ND	56	6010C	9-11-14	9-16-14	

#### **DISSOLVED IRON** EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14	
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14	
Matrix:	Water	
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	
Lab ID:	MB0911F1	
Analyte	Method	Result
Iron	6010C	ND

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

PQL

56

#### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

Analyte	alyte Sample Result		RPD	PQL	Flags
Iron	ND	ND	NA	56	

#### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-16-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Iron	22200	21200	96	20900	94	2	



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



16 September 2014

David Baumeister OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Redmond, WA 98052

### RE: Client Project: 0651-002-02; 09-105 ARI Job No: YZ56

Dear David:

Please find enclosed the chain-of-custody (COC) record and the final results for the samples from the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted two water samples on September 11, 2014. The samples were analyzed for ferrous iron as requested.

It was noted upon sample receipt that the bottles for these samples contained headspace.

These analyses proceeded without incident of note.

An electronic copy of these reports will remain on file at ARI. Should you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

Mark D. Harris

Project Manager 206/695-6210 markh@arilabs.com

Enclosures

cc: file YZ56

MDH/mdh

Page 1 of \_\_\_\_





14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 · (425) 883-3881

Subcontract Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Attention: Mark Harris

4611 S 134th PI, Ste. 100 Tukwila, WA 98168

Phone Number: (206) 695-6200

Date/Time: \_\_\_\_\_

m (

YOU BOODY

Turnaround Request:

1 Day	2 Day	3 Day
Othor	Standard	>
other.		

Laboratory Reference #:

09-105

Project Manager: David Baumeister

email: dbaumeister@onsite-env.com

Project Number: 0651-002-02

Project Name:

Lab ID	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Cont			Requested Analysis
	MW10-20140910	9/10/14	11:45	w	1	F	Ferrous Iron,	Fe(II)
	MW01-20140910	9/10/14	14:25	W	1	F	Ferrous Iron,	Fe(II)
						Time		Commonts/Chorial Instructions
Relinqu	Jished by.	Zile	Tu Iu	C	P/11   14	800	<u></u>	ุษณิยายาเช(อิharita แเลย กระกษณ์
Receiv	ect by: Hogland S	rear			1-11+	1921-	-	
Relinqu Receiv	uished by: TANY CA	ner 4			9/11/14	1015		
Relinqu	uished by:							
Receiv	ed by:							

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants	<b>Cooler Receipt Form</b>									
ARI Client: CDSHC	Project Name									
COC No(s)	Delivered by Fed-Ex UPS Courier Hand Delivered Other:									
Assigned ARI Job No. 7236	Tracking No:									
Preliminary Examination Phase:	$\bigcirc$									
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals attached to the	e outside of to cooler? YES (NO)									
Were custody papers included with the cooler?										
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc ) $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$										
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2 0-6.0 °C for chemis	stry) <u>31</u>									
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form 00070F	Temp Gun ID# <u>4087765</u> 2									
Cooler Accepted by	Date <u>GIIII4</u> Time: 105									
Complete custody forms and	d attach all shipping documents									
Log-In Phase:										

Was a temperature blank included in the cooler?	YES	(NO)								
What kind of packing material was used? Bubble Wrap Wet Ice Gel Packs Baggies Foam Block Paper	Other	$\sim$								
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?	YES	NO								
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?	YES	(NO;								
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?	YES .	NO								
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?	YES	NO								
Did the number of containers listed on COC match with the number of containers received?	TES	NO								
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers?	YES	NO								
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analyses?	YES	NO								
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation? (attach preservation sheet, excluding VOCs) NA	YES.	NO								
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	YES	NO								
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?	YES	NO								
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI										
Was Sample Split by ARI :       NA       YES       Date/Time:       Equipment	Split by:									
Samples Logged by AV DateDateTime:	)									
** Notify Project Manager of discrepancies or concerns **										

Sample ID on B	ottle	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
Additional Notes, Di	screpancies, & l	Resolutions:		·····
By.	Date:			
Small Air Bubbles	Peabubbles'		Small → "sm" (<2 mm)	
- 2mm	2-4 mm	> 4 mm	Peabubbles → "pb" (2 to < 4 mm)	
• • •	••••		Large → "lg" ( 4 to < 6 mm )	
L			Headspace → "hs" (>6 mm)	

Y CO BEARING

#### PRESERVATION VERIFICATION 09/11/14

Page 1 of 1

Inquiry Number: NONE Analysis Requested: 09/11/14 Contact: Baumeister, David Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Logged by: AV Sample Set Used: Yes-481 Validatable Package: No Deliverables:



ARI Job No: YZ56

PC: Mark VTSR: 09/11/14

Project #: 0651-002-02
Project:
Sample Site:
SDG No:
Analytical Protocol: In-house

LOGNUM ARI ID	CLIENT ID	CN >12	WAD >12	NH3 <2	COD <2	FOG <2	МЕТ <2	PHEN <2	PHOS <2	TKN <2	NO23 <2	тос <2	S2 >9	TPHD <2	Fe2+ <2	DMET FLT	DOC FLT	PARAMETER	ADJUSTEI TO	D LOT NUMBER	AMOUNT ADDED	DATE/BY
14-18418 <b>¥Z56A</b>	MW10-20140910														×							
14-18419 <b>¥Z56B</b>	MW01-20140910														*							

\* lab to determine preservation

Checked By \_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

# Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: YZ56 Client: OnSite Environmental, Inc. Project Event: 0651-002-02 Project Name: N/A

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR				
1.	MW10-20140910	YZ56A	14-18418	Water	09/10/14 11:45	09/11/14 10:15				
2.	MW01-20140910	YZ56B	14-18419	Water	09/10/14 14:25	09/11/14 10:15				

Printed 09/11/14 Page 1 of 1

te fer ' transformer Lette Verstander



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

# **Data Reporting Qualifiers**

Effective 12/31/13

# Inorganic Data

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- B Reported value is less than the CRDL but  $\geq$  the Reporting Limit
- N Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- H The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

# **Organic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- E Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

Page 1 of 3



**Analytical Resources, Incorporated** Analytical Chemists and Consultants

- Q Indicates a detected analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20%Drift or minimum RRF).
- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample
- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) defined in EPA Statement of Work DLM02.2 as a value "calculated for 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers for which the quantitation and /or confirmation ion(s) has signal to noise in excess of 2.5, but does not meet identification criteria" (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference
- X Analyte signal includes interference from polychlorinated diphenyl ethers. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- Z Analyte signal includes interference from the sample matrix or perfluorokerosene ions. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

# **Geotechnical Data**

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting

INORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET Ferrous Iron by Method SM3500 FeD



Data Release Authorized: Reported: 09/16/14 Date Received: 09/11/14 Page 1 of 1

QC Report No: YZ56-OnSite Environmental, Inc. Project: 0651-002-02

Client/ ARI ID	Date Sampled	Matrix	Analysis Date & Batch	RL	Result
MW10-20140910 YZ56A 14-18418	09/10/14	Water	09/11/14 091114#1	0.040	0.048
MW01-20140910 YZ56B 14-18419	09/10/14	Water	09/11/14 091114#1	0.040	0.041

Reported in mg/L

RL-Analytical reporting limit U-Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 09/16/14

Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Date/Time	Units	Blank				
Ferrous Iron	09/11/14 10:58	mg/L	< 0.040 U				





Project: NA Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Date/Time	Units	LCS	Spike Added	Recovery		
Ferrous Iron	09/11/14 10:58	mg/L	0.487	0.500	97.48		

OnSite		Cha	ain o	of (	Cu	IS	to	dy	7	)								Pa	age _	1	_ of		1		
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turnaround Request (in working days)			Laboratory Number:						er:	09-105														
Project Name: WOOD LAWN EAST Property Project Manager: Audrey Hackett	_ È Same _ 2 Day ∑ Stane (TPH	(Check One) e Day [ ys [ dard (7 Days) analysis 5 Da	1 Day 3 Days ays)	ntainers		EX				blatiles 8260C	270D/SIM PAHs) MM form brooth	IIII (IOW-IEVEI)	Pesticides 8081B	rus Pesticides 8270D/SiM	id Herbicides 8151A	stals	stals		rease) 1664A	thave, Ethene			HKallinity Felolishad	Prrovs	
Sampled by: Grayton Fish		(other)		ber of Cor	PH-HCID	PH-Gx/BT	PH-Gx	PH-Dx	iles 8260C	genated Vo	volatiles 8 low-level		Jachlorine	ohqsohqor	inated Aci	RCRA Me	MTCA Me	o Metals	(oil and g	Hane, E	fate	20	Frate, A	110, Fe	oisture
Lab ID Sample Identification $M W \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{A} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}$	Sampled	Sampled	Matrix H2O	muN CC	NWT	NWT	NWT	NWT	L Volat	Halo	Semi (with		Orga	Organ	Chlor	Total	Total	TCLF	HEM	Mr	105	2	N/	Ľ	W %
2 MW03-20140910	9/10/14	1032		3					X																_
3 MW10-20140910	9/10/14	1145		9					X											X	×	×	×	×	
4 MW01-20140910	4/10/14	1425		9					$\times$	-		_		_		_				X	X	X	×-	¥	_
5 MWD4-20146910 Ce FD01-20140910	9/10/14 9/10/14	1310	1	3					×																
																									_
Signature     Company       linquished     Janyarkian     Sound Earth       ceived     January     Speepi       shinquished     January     Speepi       aceived     January     Speepi       alinquished     January     Speepi				- Tu		9/ 9/ 11 71	10/ [[]] []]	(14  (4 14 14 14	Time 1 (2) (2) (2) (2)	8 55 55 74 74	3 3 7 7	Comments/Special Instructions CVOCs Analytes: PCE, TCE, Eist trans-1/2-DCE, 1,1-DCE, Vinglich loride, Methylene chloride Dissolved Fe not field Filtered													
Received						-																			
Reviewed/Date	Standard 🗌 I	Reviewed/Da	evel IV		E	lectro	nic Da	ata De	liveral	bles (F	EDDs)	Chron	natogr	ams v	vith fir	nal rej	port [								

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-105B



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

October 30, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-105B

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 10, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 10, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 10, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.
### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C

Matrix:	Water					
Units:	ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	09-105-03					
Client ID:	MW10-20140910					
Manganese	100	11	6010C	9-11-14	9-29-14	
Lab ID:	09-105-04					
Client ID:	MW01-20140910					
Manganese	ND	11	6010C	9-11-14	9-29-14	

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14	
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14	
Matrix:	Water	
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	
Lab ID:	MB0911F1	
Analyte	Method	Result

Manganese 6010C ND 11

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

PQL

# DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Sample	Duplicate			
Analyte	Result	Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Manganese	173	173	0	11	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

# DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	9-11-14
Date Analyzed:	9-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Manganese	1110	1270	99	1260	98	1	



### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

OnSite		Cha	ain o	)f (	Cu	ıst	0	dy		)								Pa	ge	1	_ of		1	
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turr (in	naround Requ working day	uest /s)		La	abo	rat	ory	Nui	mb	er:					09	) -	10	) 5				(	
Company: Sound Earth Grategies	Same	(Check One)	1 Day											Σ		×		5					Ditelar	
Project Number: 0651-002-02	2 Day	vs [	3 Days										31B	270D/SI	151A			r p>		andy		1	Fel	
Project Name: WOOD LAWN EAST Propray	Stand (TPH	lard (7 Days) analysis 5 Da	lys)	SIS						s 8260C	SIM SIM	(IDADI-M	cides 806	sticides 8	bicides 8			scol*	1664A	AC, EH		14 ales	LIVIT	c,
Sampled by:		(-0)		Containe	Q	/BTEX			60C	d Volatile:	vel PAHs)		rine Pesti	phorus Pe	Acid Her	Metals	Metals	jâ	d grease)	Etha		111	THINA	Lenne
CIVATEDA FISH	Date	(other)		nber of	TPH-HC	TPH-Gx	TPH-GX	TPH-Dx	ttiles 82	ogenate	ivolatile low-lev	3s 8082/	anochlo	anophos	prinated	al RCRA	I MTCA	P Meta	A (oil an	thang	1 fat	200	it rate	Aoisture
Lab ID Sample Identification	Sampled	Sampled	Matrix	J Nun	MN	NM	NM	NM	Vola	Halo	Serr (with	PCB	Orge	Orge	Chic	Tota	Tota	Ţ,	HEN	W	20	F	2 4	V %
1 / 1 = 20140910	1/10/14	0925	H2O	2	-				T			_	_	_		-						_		_
2 MW03-20140910	9/10/14	1032		3					X			_	_			-	_					1.1		
3 MW10-20140910	1/10/14	1/45		7	_				X					_				<b>X</b>		X	X	X	XX	
4 1001-20140910	9710/14	1425		9					×				_			-	(	X	)	X	X	XZ	5-4	٢
5 MW04-20146910	9/10/14	1310		3					Х										_					
6 FD01-20140910	9/10/14	1340	1	3					×															
								5																
Signature 91	Co	ompany				Date	10		Time			Comm	nents/	Specia	l Instr	ructio	ns c + D	10			11			
Relinquished Haugh fish	1.	ound Ea	DI			7/	101	14	12	1) 2 :	5	CI		51	that	y	· · r [	1-0	ICE,	E 121	r tra	15-6	2-DC 1	E
Relinquished		Spr	-90-7	7		4	10	119	17	5.	5						Ń	neth	ylen	e Chi	lowde	oria	4	
Received			Link	1	8	91	ici	11	17	74	7	ſ	1461	l	Fe.	L	P.I	1 5	- L	e ma	L			
Relinquished	rc	V /C	199	n		10		~	. /	t	/	-	17701	. 1		nor	riela		2	len	A	)		
Received												Q	SA	9996	9 16	72	1172	1. d	かい	91	1	·		
Reviewed/Date		Reviewed/Da	te								C	Chrom	natogr	ams v	vith fir	nal re	port [							
Data Package:	Standard 🗌 Le	evel III 🗌 Le	evel IV		E	Electror	nic Da	ata De	liverab	oles (E	DDs)													

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1409-127



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

September 18, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002-02 Laboratory Reference No. 1409-127

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on September 12, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

# **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on September 11, 2014 and received by the laboratory on September 12, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW05-20140911					
Laboratory ID:	09-127-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.27	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	5.6	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Trichloroethene	22	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Tetrachloroethene	71	0.40	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	104	62-122				
Toluene-d8	100	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0916W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-16-14	9-16-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	93	62-122				
Toluene-d8	96	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				

Laboratory ID:	MB0918W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	9-18-14	9-18-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	102	62-122				
Toluene-d8	101	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB09	16W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	8.40	8.21	10.0	10.0	84	82	63-142	2	17	
Benzene	8.58	8.40	10.0	10.0	86	84	78-125	2	15	
Trichloroethene	7.88	7.72	10.0	10.0	79	77	74-125	2	15	
Toluene	8.31	8.28	10.0	10.0	83	83	80-125	0	15	
Chlorobenzene	8.37	8.30	10.0	10.0	84	83	80-140	1	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					98	93	62-122			
Toluene-d8					93	94	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					95	96	71-120			

Laboratory ID:	SB09	18W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	9.32	8.80	10.0	10.0	93	88	63-142	6	17	
Benzene	9.28	8.83	10.0	10.0	93	88	78-125	5	15	
Trichloroethene	8.83	7.99	10.0	10.0	88	80	74-125	10	15	
Toluene	9.13	8.41	10.0	10.0	91	84	80-125	8	15	
Chlorobenzene	9.42	8.96	10.0	10.0	94	90	80-140	5	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					102	96	62-122			
Toluene-d8					104	99	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					99	98	71-120			



### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

OnSite		Cha	ain o	f (	Cu	st	:00	ly											Pa	ge	1	of _	1	
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turr (in	naround Req working da	uest ys)		La	abo	rato	ory	Nun	nbe	er:	09	-	12	27									
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com Company: SOUNDEARTH STRATEGIES Project Number: 0651 - 002 - 02 Project Name: HEARTHSTONE WOODLAWN EAST ROP. Project Manager: AUDREY HACKETT Sampled by: JONATHAN LOEFFLER	Same	(Check One) e Day /s dard (7 Days) analysis 5 Day (other)	) 1 Day 3 Days ays)	r of Containers	-HCID	-Gx/BTEX	-Gx	-Dx	s 8260C ¥	nated Volatiles 8260C	latiles 8270D/SIM w-level PAHs)	270D/SIM (low-level)		chiorine resticides and ib		ated Acid Herbicides 8151A	CRA Metals	TCA Metals	Aetals	iil and grease) 1664A				sture
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numbe	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPH	Volatile	Haloger	Semivo (with lo	PAHs 8		Organo		Chlorin	Total R	Total M	TCLP N	HEM (o				% Moi
1 MW05-20140911	9/11/14	1230	WATER	3	,				×															
									H	R										3/11/	14			
Signature	C	ompany				Date	9		Time			Com	ment	s/Spe	cial l	nstru	ction	s						
Relinquished Subscription   Received Office   Relinquished Subscription   Received Aleg Armautron   Relinquished Image: Comparison of the subscription of the su	ţ	Sound GREE GREE OSE	EAIZTH 197 197			9, 9/ 1] 0	12/	14 17 2/14	8 9's	:2 4 49	5	*°C	VO	JC ANALYTES: PCE, ICE, CIS- & Trans-1,2- DCE, 1-1 DCE, Vinyl Chloride, Methylene Chloride										
Received																								

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1410-105



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

October 16, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002 Laboratory Reference No. 1410-105

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 8, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on October 7, 2014 and received by the laboratory on October 8, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

#### TOC by SM 5310B Analysis

Sample MW11-20141007 (10-105-01) was received at a pH of 6.0. The sample was preserved with 1:1 HCl to a pH of less than 2 before analysis.

Any other QA/QC issues associated with this extraction and analysis will be indicated with a footnote reference and discussed in detail on the Data Qualifier page.

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW11-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	105	62-122				
Toluene-d8	102	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW27-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-02					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	106	62-122				
Toluene-d8	99	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW06-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-03					
Vinyl Chloride	72	50	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	50	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	250	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	50	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	320	50	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Trichloroethene	450	50	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Tetrachloroethene	10000	50	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	105	62-122				
Toluene-d8	102	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	71-120				

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW25-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-04					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.37	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Trichloroethene	0.36	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Tetrachloroethene	12	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	104	62-122				
Toluene-d8	101	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB1010W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	95	62-122				
Toluene-d8	98	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	71-120				

Laboratory ID:	MB1014W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	10-14-14	10-14-14	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	101	62-122				
Toluene-d8	100	70-120				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	71-120				

# VOLATILES by EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Rece	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB10	10W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.5	10.8	10.0	10.0	105	108	63-142	3	17	
Benzene	9.81	9.71	10.0	10.0	98	97	78-125	1	15	
Trichloroethene	8.40	8.52	10.0	10.0	84	85	74-125	1	15	
Toluene	8.83	8.80	10.0	10.0	88	88	80-125	0	15	
Chlorobenzene	9.68	9.86	10.0	10.0	97	99	80-140	2	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					96	96	62-122			
Toluene-d8					97	99	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					102	105	71-120			

Laboratory ID:	SB10'	14W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	11.5	10.9	10.0	10.0	115	109	63-142	5	17	
Benzene	10.8	10.1	10.0	10.0	108	101	78-125	7	15	
Trichloroethene	9.32	8.80	10.0	10.0	93	88	74-125	6	15	
Toluene	10.7	10.0	10.0	10.0	107	100	80-125	7	15	
Chlorobenzene	9.82	9.51	10.0	10.0	98	95	80-140	3	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					103	94	62-122			
Toluene-d8					100	96	70-120			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					97	95	71-120			

### DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW11-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-01					
Methane	42	3.0	RSK 175	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Ethane	ND	3.0	RSK 175	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Ethene	ND	3.0	RSK 175	10-10-14	10-10-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

### DISSOLVED GASES RSK 175 QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

• • • •				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1010W1					
Methane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Ethane	ND	0.50	RSK 175	10-10-14	10-10-14	
Ethene	ND	0.50	RSK 175	10-10-14	10-10-14	

					Source	Per	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Re	sult	Spike	Level	Result	Rec	overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS											
Laboratory ID:	SB10	10W1									
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD		SB	SBD				
Methane	4.14	4.87	4.42	4.42	N/A	94	110	75-125	16	25	
Ethane	7.32	8.60	8.32	8.32	N/A	88	103	75-125	16	25	
Ethene	8.19	9.61	7.77	7.77	N/A	105	124	75-125	16	25	

10

#### SULFATE ASTM D516-07

Matrix:	Water
Units:	mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW11-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-01					
Sulfate	50	25	ASTM D516-07	10-14-14	10-14-14	

### SULFATE ASTM D516-07 QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1014W1					
Sulfate	ND	5.0	ASTM D516-07	10-14-14	10-14-14	

				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	alyte Result		Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	10-10	5-01							
	ORIG	DUP							
Sulfate	50.3	51.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	10	
MATRIX SPIKE									
Laboratory ID:	10-10	5-01							
	M	S	MS		MS				
Sulfate	97.	.3	50.0	50.3	94	82-123	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB101	4W1							
	SE	3	SB		SB				
Sulfate	10	.4	10.0	NA	104	91-114	NA	NA	

# TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B

Matrix:	Water
Units:	mg/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW11-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-01					
Total Organic Carbon	2.6	1.0	SM 5310B	10-13-14	10-13-14	

### TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON SM 5310B QUALITY CONTROL

Analyta	Pocult		POL	Mathad		Date Propared	Date Analyzed		Flage	
		Result	FQL	IVIE	linou	Flepaleu	Analyzeu		Flags	
METHOD BLANK										
Laboratory ID:		MB1013W1								
Total Organic Carbon		ND	1.0	SM	5310B	10-13-14	10-13-	14		
• • • •	-			Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	-	
Analyte	Res	suit	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags	
DUPLICATE										
Laboratory ID:	10-10	06-09								
	ORIG	DUP								
Total Organic Carbon	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15		
MATRIX SPIKE										
Laboratory ID:	10-10	06-09								
· · · ·	М	S	MS		MS					
Total Organic Carbon	9.9	98	10.0	ND	100	70-124	NA	NA		
SPIKE BLANK										
Laboratory ID:	SB10	13W1								
· · ·	S	В	SB		SB					
Total Organic Carbon	9.	55	10.0	NA	96	91-119	NA	NA		

# NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2

Matrix: Units:	Water mg/L						
	C				Date	Date	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID	):	MW11-20141007					
Laborato	ory ID:	10-105-01					
Nitrate		ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	10-13-14	10-13-14	

### NITRATE (as Nitrogen) EPA 353.2 QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1013W1					
Nitrate	ND	0.050	EPA 353.2	10-13-14	10-13-14	

			Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE								
Laboratory ID:	10-106-02							
	ORIG DUP							
Nitrate	0.0645 0.0594	NA	NA	NA	NA	8	16	
MATRIX SPIKE								
Laboratory ID:	10-106-02							
	MS	MS		MS				
Nitrate	2.22	2.00	0.0645	108	84-119	NA	NA	
SPIKE BLANK								
Laboratory ID:	SB1013W1							
	SB	SB		SB				
Nitrate	2.09	2.00	NA	105	86-114	NA	NA	

# TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

A I		501		Date	Date	-
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW11-20141007					
Laboratory ID:	10-105-01					
Total Alkalinity	110	2.0	SM 2320B	10-10-14	10-10-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

### TOTAL ALKALINITY SM 2320B QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg CaCO3/L

						Date	Date		
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method		Prepared	Analyzed		Flags	
METHOD BLANK									
Laboratory ID:		MB1010W1							
Total Alkalinity		ND	2.0	SM	2320B	10-10-14	10-10-	14	
				Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike Level	Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE									
Laboratory ID:	10-10	05-01							
	ORIG	DUP							
Total Alkalinity	114	118	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	10	
SPIKE BLANK									
Laboratory ID:	SB10	10W1							
	S	В	SB		SB				
Total Alkalinity	10	)8	100	NA	108	88-114	NA	NA	

### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C

Matrix: Units:	Water ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	10-105-01					
Client ID:	MW11-20141007					
Iron	ND	56	6010C	10-8-14	10-15-14	

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	10-8-14		
Date Analyzed:	10-15-14		
Matrix:	Water		
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		
Lab ID:	MB1008F1		
Analyte	Method	Result	PQL
Iron	6010C	ND	56

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

# DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	10-8-14
Date Analyzed:	10-15-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 10-105-01e

Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Iron	ND	ND	NA	56	
### DISSOLVED IRON EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	10-8-14
Date Analyzed:	10-15-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 10-105-01e

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Iron	22200	23900	107	23400	106	2	



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



9 October 2014

David Baumeister OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Redmond, WA 98052

### RE: Client Project: 0651-002-02; Soundearth Strategies ARI Job No: ZD74

Dear David:

Please find enclosed the chain-of-custody (COC) record and the final results for the sample from the project referenced above. Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI) accepted one water sample on October 7, 2014. The sample was analyzed for ferrous iron as requested.

This analysis proceeded without incident of note.

An electronic copy of these reports will remain on file at ARI. Should you have any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

ANALYTICAL RESOURCES, INC.

nd ? Maes Mark D. Harris

Project Manager 206/695-6210 markh@arilabs.com

Enclosures

cc: file ZD74

MDH/mdh

Page 1 of <u>12</u>

	<b>OnSite</b>		U	nain	<b>OT</b> (	JU	SI	00	IJ										Pa	age	1	of	1
	Environmental Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052 Phone: (405) 893-3881 • Fay: (405) 895-4603		Turnaroun (in work	nd Reques ing days)	st,	La	boı	rato	ory∣	Nur	nbe	er:	. <u></u>		1. S.	- The			···-				
	Company: SoundEARTH STRATEGIES		(Chec	k One)		¢ §		÷		é v			Re	ques	sted /	Analy	/sis			-2- F		•.	
	Project Number: 0651-002-02	[] Sa	ame Day		1 Day					60B										13500			
ŀ	Project Name: HEARTHSTONE WOODLAWN E	45T 🗆 2	Day		3 Day					by 82	Q									6151			
	Project Manager. AUDREY HACKETT	── X_St	andard (7 v	working d	ays)		TEX		160B	/olatiles	by 8270	C / SIM		8081A	etals (8					Snaw			
	Sampled by: JONATHAN LOEFFLER		(ot	ther)		H-HCID	H-Gx/B	ХО-Н	es by 82	enated \	olatiles	by 8270	by 8082	des by	RCRA M	Metals	y 1664			ц), Fe			sture
	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Cont.	NWTP	NWTP	NWTP	Volatile	Haloge	Semiv	PAHs	PCBs	Pestici	Total F	TCLP	HEM	ΗЧΛ	EPH	Fe (j			% Moi
	MW11-20141007	10/07/1	1020	HZO	1										-					$\times$			
Ī														-					-				-
ľ				<u>+</u>		<u> </u>								- +			1	<u> </u>					+
ľ																							
F		-				+	-				h	2	2		_								-
ŀ										7	Ũ	Ŧ	$\square$				+						-
ŀ														$\rightarrow$		+	$\downarrow$					+	
ł														-			$\vdash$	$\geq$	$\vdash$			_	-
╞																+	+				$\rightarrow$	+	+
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Cignature	e i fi		andin			Dette					. P											<u> </u>
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Relinquished by		SOUNT	DEART	-14	чн., ,	10	7/	ц	12	55	3	Com			insire		* <u>·</u>		ا مار			2.U.
Сң сат - -	Received by		SPZC	v T			16/	71	1	17	' 5	3			エ), 	rei	100	וכ כ	~~~~ <b>f</b>			a	1Thr
	Relinquished by											-	n	<b>D</b> TCI	- +1	me.							
-	Received by	***** ~	AC	ĺ			10-	7.1	ч	<i>iv</i>	135	•											
1.1	Relinquished by																						
-	Received by																						
	Reviewed by/Date		Reviewed	l by/Date			·		l	I			Chro	mato	grams	s with	final	repo	ort 🗆	<u> </u>			



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

# **Cooler Receipt Form**

ARI Client: Ontitu		Project I	Name: HER	~15fb	n	Wood law.	n Enst
COC No(s):	NA	Delivere	d by. Fed-Ex		Hand De	, elivered Other	
Assigned ARI Job No. てりつり		Tracking	No.	$\smile$			NA
Preliminary Examination Phase:							
Were intact, properly signed and dated custody seals	attached to th	ne outside of	to cooler?			YES	(NO)
Were custody papers included with the cooler?						YES	NO
Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, e	etc)					YES	NO
Temperature of Cooler(s) (°C) (recommended 2 0-6 0 Time	) °C for chemis	stry)	10.3		<u> </u>		
If cooler temperature is out of compliance fill out form	00070F		•		Temp Gun	1D# 908	77552
Cooler Accepted by	TS	Date:	0 7.14	Time <sup>.</sup>	1435	-	
Complete custo	ody forms an	d attach all	shipping doo	cuments			
Log-In Phase:				·			·····
Was a temperature blank included in the cooler? What kind of packing material was used? B	Bubble Wrap V	Net Ice Ge	Packs Baggi	e's Foam B	llock Paper	YES Other	NO
Was sufficient ice used (if appropriate)?					NA	YES,	NO
Were all bottles sealed in individual plastic bags?						YES-	NO
Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)?						YE'S,	NO
Were all bottle labels complete and legible?		······ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				vés	NO
Did the number of containers listed on COC match wi	th the number	of container	s received?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		YES	NO
Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody pape	rs?	····· ·· ··	···· ···· · · ····			YES'	NO
Were all bottles used correct for the requested analys	ses?	•• •••••		••••		YES	NO
Do any of the analyses (bottles) require preservation?	? (attach prese	rvation shee	t, excluding V	/OCs)	NA	YES	NO
Were all VOC vials free of air bubbles?	····· ··· · ··· · ···				AMA	YES	NO
Was sufficient amount of sample sent in each bottle?		· ····			$\sim$	YES	NO
Date VOC Trip Blank was made at ARI	•••••				MA	)	
Was Sample Split by ARI . MAY YES Date/	/Time:		Equipment:			Split by:	
Samples Logged by 73	Date:	1 U-	7. 14 cies or conc	_ Time:	1445	, <u>.</u>	

Sample ID on Bo	ttie	Sample ID on COC	Sample ID on Bottle	Sample ID on COC
Additional Notes, Dis	crepancies, & R	esolutions:		
By <sup>.</sup>	Date:	·····		
Small Air Bubbles	Peabubbles'	LARGE Air Bubbles	Small → "sm" (<2 mm)	
2mm	2-4 mm	> 4 mm	Peabubbles $\rightarrow$ "pb" ( 2 to < 4 mm )	
•••	• • • •		Large $\rightarrow$ "lg" (4 to < 6 mm)	
[ [			Headspace → "hs" (>6 mm)	

۰.

.

### Sample ID Cross Reference Report



ARI Job No: ZD74 Client: Onsite Environmental Inc. Project Event: 0651-002-02 Project Name: Hearthstone Woodlawn East

	Sample ID	ARI Lab ID	ARI LIMS ID	Matrix	Sample Date/Time	VTSR
1.	MW11-20141007	ZD74A	14-21010	Water	10/07/14 10:20	10/07/14 14:35

Printed 10/07/14 Page 1 of 1



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

## Data Reporting Qualifiers

Effective 12/31/13

### **Inorganic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Duplicate RPD is not within established control limits
- B Reported value is less than the CRDL but  $\geq$  the Reporting Limit
- N Matrix Spike recovery not within established control limits
- NA Not Applicable, analyte not spiked
- H The natural concentration of the spiked element is so much greater than the concentration spiked that an accurate determination of spike recovery is not possible
- L Analyte concentration is ≤5 times the Reporting Limit and the replicate control limit defaults to ±1 RL instead of the normal 20% RPD

### **Organic Data**

- U Indicates that the target analyte was not detected at the reported concentration
- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in an associated Method Blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's Reporting Limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- J Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limits
- D The spiked compound was not detected due to sample extract dilution
- E Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

Page 1 of 3



**Analytical Resources, Incorporated** Analytical Chemists and Consultants

- Q Indicates a detected analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20%Drift or minimum RRF).
- S Indicates an analyte response that has saturated the detector. The calculated concentration is not valid; a dilution is required to obtain valid quantification of the analyte
- NA The flagged analyte was not analyzed for
- NR Spiked compound recovery is not reported due to chromatographic interference
- NS The flagged analyte was not spiked into the sample
- M Estimated value for an analyte detected and confirmed by an analyst but with low spectral match parameters. This flag is used only for GC-MS analyses
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification"
- Y The analyte is not detected at or above the reported concentration. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- EMPC Estimated Maximum Possible Concentration (EMPC) defined in EPA Statement of Work DLM02.2 as a value "calculated for 2,3,7,8-substituted isomers for which the quantitation and /or confirmation ion(s) has signal to noise in excess of 2.5, but does not meet identification criteria" (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- C The analyte was positively identified on only one of two chromatographic columns. Chromatographic interference prevented a positive identification on the second column
- P The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by ≥40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference
- X Analyte signal includes interference from polychlorinated diphenyl ethers. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)
- Z Analyte signal includes interference from the sample matrix or perfluorokerosene ions. (Dioxin/Furan analysis only)

2074:00006



Analytical Resources, Incorporated Analytical Chemists and Consultants

### **Geotechnical Data**

- A The total of all fines fractions. This flag is used to report total fines when only sieve analysis is requested and balances total grain size with sample weight.
- F Samples were frozen prior to particle size determination
- SM Sample matrix was not appropriate for the requested analysis. This normally refers to samples contaminated with an organic product that interferes with the sieving process and/or moisture content, porosity and saturation calculations
- SS Sample did not contain the proportion of "fines" required to perform the pipette portion of the grain size analysis
- W Weight of sample in some pipette aliquots was below the level required for accurate weighting



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 10/09/14

Project: Hearthstone Woodlawn East Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: 10/07/14 Date Received: 10/07/14

### Client ID: MW11-20141007 ARI ID: 14-21010 ZD74A

Analyte		Date Batch	Method	Units	RL	Sample
Ferrous	Iron	10/07/14 100714#1	SM3500 FeD	mg/L	0.040	0.889

RL Analytical reporting limit

U Undetected at reported detection limit



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 10/09/14

.

2

Project: Hearthstone Woodlawn East Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Blank	ID
Ferrous Iron	SM3500 FeD 1	.0/07/14	mg/L	< 0.040 U	



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized Reported: 10/09/14

Project: Hearthstone Woodlawn East Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: NA Date Received: NA

Analyte/Method	QC ID	Date	Units	LCS	Spike Added	Recovery
Ferrous Iron SM3500 FeD	ICVL	10/07/14	mg/L	0.483	0.500	96.6%

Water Lab Control Report-ZD74

	ZD74-Onsi	te Environme	ental Inc.		RES	
Matrix: Water Data Release Autho Reported: 10/09/14	prized		P Date S Date Re	roject: H Event: 0 ampled: 1 ceived: 1	earthstone Wood 651-002-02 0/07/14 0/07/14	llawn East
Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Replicate(s)	RPD/RSD
ARI ID: ZD74A C	lient ID: MW11-20	141007				
Ferrous Iron	SM3500 FeD	10/07/14	mg/L	0.889	0.891	0.2%

REPLICATE RESULTS-CONVENTIONALS

ANALYTICAL



Matrix: Water Data Release Authorized: Reported: 10/09/14

Project: Hearthstone Woodlawn East Event: 0651-002-02 Date Sampled: 10/07/14 Date Received: 10/07/14

Analyte	Method	Date	Units	Sample	Spike	Spike Added	Recovery
ARI ID: ZD74A	Client ID: MW11-2	20141007					
Ferrous Iron	SM3500 Fe	eD 10/07/14	mg/L	0.889	1.26	0.400	92.8%

1	<b>MA</b> OnSite		CI	hain	of	Cu	S		dy											F	age _	1	of	1	1.57.94
	Environmental Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052 Phone: (425) 883-3881 • Fax: (425) 885-4603		Turnaroun (in worki	nd Reque ing days)	st	La	abo	rato	ory	Nu	mb	er:				1	0	-	1 0	5					
Company: (Check One)   SoundEarth Strategies   Project Number: Same Day 1 D					1 Day					60B			Re	eque	este	d Ar	aly	sis			Ethene here			12	大学
Projec Projec Sampl	Hearthstone-Woodlawn E Manager: Audrey Hackett ad by:	2 [ X Sta	Day andard (7 w	vorking d	3 Day ays)	CID	(/BTEX		8260B C @	d Volatiles by 82	s by 8270C	70C / SIM	182	y 8081A	oy 8151A	Metals (8)	S	34		#2	gases Conchron	300.0	415.1	HKalmin, Dis.	Provention of the
Lah ID	E. Farbes Sample Identification	Date	(ot Time Sompled	her)	# of	WTPH-H0	WTPH-G)	WTPH-D	olatiles by	alogenate	emivolatile	AHs by 82	CBs by 80	esticides t	erbicides	otal RCRA	CLP Meta	EM by 16(	Н	Н	est 17	Sutht	TOC	D0.0	Moisture
1	MW11-2014/1007	10/7/14	1020	HzO	8	Z	Z	Z	×	I	0	A	đ	Pe	Ĭ	To	<u>T</u>	Ī	VF	<u> </u>	X	×	×	2m X	×
2	MW27-20141007	10/7/14	1255	HZO	3				X																- '
3	MW06-20141007	10/7/14	1422	H20	3				X																
4	MW25-20141007	10/7/14	1542	HzO	3				X																
																									_
						_		0	L	P							-								_
								0	10	-	-	-													
																-	-		0/	7/1	U	_		-	_
																				1	-				_
	Signature		Company		and series		Date			Time			Com	nents	/Spec	ial In:	struc	tions:	-	14	17-sta		130		
Relino	uished by		SOUND	EARTH	4		10	17/	14				cV	OCs	: F	PC E	, T	CE	1 Ci	St	tra	ns	- 11	2-6	)æ,
Recei	ved by CA Bond		Speedy r	lsngr.			10-	8-	14	11	40				6,1	-D	CE	V	inyl	cł	Iori	de	i		
Relino	uished by		,1	1)			11	11		13	346				m	ethi	ler	re	Ch	lovi	de				
Recei	ved by	5	ß	RIZ	5		10	811	14	13	46	>	DI	550	veo	t T	e	ne	st	fie	ld	611	eve	d	
Relind	juished by																								
Recei	ved by																								
Revie	wed by/Date		Reviewed	by/Date									Chro	mate	ograi	ms w	ith f	inal r	epor	rt 🗌					

DISTRIBUTION LEGEND: White - OnSite Copy Yellow - Report Copy Pink - Client Copy

Onsite Environmental Inc. #1410-105B



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

October 30, 2014

Audrey Hackett Sound Earth Strategies 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Re: Analytical Data for Project 0651-002 Laboratory Reference No. 1410-105B

Dear Audrey:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on October 8, 2014.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on October 7, 2014 and received by the laboratory on October 8, 2014. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C

Matrix: Units:	Water ug/L (ppb)					
				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	EPA Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Lab ID:	10-105-01					
Client ID:	MW11-20141007					
Manganese	19	11	6010C	10-8-14	10-29-14	

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	10-8-14		
Date Analyzed:	10-29-14		
Matrix:	Water		
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		
Lab ID:	MB1008F1		
Analyta	Mathad	Deput	
Analyte	Metriod	Result	PQL
	00400		
Manganese	6010C	ND	11

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	10-8-14
Date Analyzed:	10-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Sample	Duplicate			
Analyte	Result	Result	RPD	PQL	Flags
Manganese	173	173	0	11	

### DISSOLVED MANGANESE EPA 6010C MS/MSD QUALITY CONTROL

Date Filtered:	10-8-14
Date Analyzed:	10-29-14

Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Lab ID: 09-082-03

	Spike		Percent		Percent		
Analyte	Level	MS	Recovery	MSD	Recovery	RPD	Flags
Manganese	1110	1270	99	1260	98	1	



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-napthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a Sulfuric acid/Silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference

1	OnSite		Ch	nain	of (	Cu	st	0	ly											Pa	age _	l	of	1		
	Environmental Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052		Turnaroun (in worki	d Reques ng days)	st	La	bo	rato	ory	Nun	nbe	r:			_	1	0	- 1	0	5		_				
Compa	Phone: (425) 883-3881 • Fax: (425) 885-4603 any: SoundEarth Strategies		(Chec	k One)					126				Re	eque	este	d Ar	haly	sis			ene l				5	
Projec Projec Projec	t Number: 0651-002 t Name: Hearthstone-Woodlawn E t Manager: Avolvey Hackett	Ω Sat 2 ⊑ ⅔ Sta	me Day Day andard (7 w	vorking da	1 Day 3 Day ays)	DIC	<b>VBTEX</b>		8260B C @	d Volatiles by 8260B	es by 8270C	70C / SIM	382	oy 8081A	by 8151A	Metals (8)	N byserved N	64		4	1 gases Conchrongethe	c 300.0	415.1	HKalmin, DIS. FC, SN18208, 200.8	Ferrous In	
Sampi	E. Forbes	Date	(ot	her)	# of	TPH-HC	TPH-G>	TPH-Dx	tiles by	ogenate	nivolatile	ls by 82	3s by 80	ticides t	oicides	I RCRA	P-Meta	1 by 16	Ŧ	-	solved	u fat	00	alt,	Aoisture	
Lab ID	Sample Identification	Sampled	Sampled	Matrix	Cont.	MN	MN	MN	Vola	Halo	Sen	PAF	PCE	Pes	Herl	Tota		H	VPF	EP.	X Dis	X	F	N N	J J	
1	MW11-20141007	10/7/114	1255	H20	3			-	X			-				(	2				~	~	~	~	~ 1	
3	MW06-20141007	10/7/14	1422	H2O	3				X			_														
4	MW25-20141007	10/7/14	1542	HzO	3				X	-																
								5	1/	L																
						-								-	_		/	_	0/	7/1	U					
						-	-													1	I	-	-		_	
	Signature	Stran M	Company				Date	•		Time			Com	iment	s/Spe	cial Ir	nstruc	tions	:	- 62				12.7		
Relir	Iquished by		SOUND	EARTH	H		10	17	14				CV	009		PCE	F, T	CE	ı C	îs t	tra	ins	-1,	2-	D∉,	
Rece	ived by		Speedy 1	lsngr.			10-	8-	-14	11	40		-		e,	net	ivle	, v ne	c	h lor	ide	-	i			
Relin	inquished by		10	1000	/		10	101	14	12	40		D	1450	ne	d	Fe	n	-t-	60	eld	61	fere	ed		
Relir	nguished by	د	C	AC	2		19	18/1	17	12	20	>	- ART DR													
Rece	ived by											4	7	1×	) Ja	dee	1 1	ola	fla	4.1	DR	Ġ.	A	1		
Revi	ewed by/Date		Reviewed	by/Date			I		Reviewed by/Date C								Chromatograms with final report									

DISTRIBUTION LEGEND: White - OnSite Copy Yellow - Report Copy Pink - Client Copy