

TABLE K1
Summary Statistics and MTCA Statistical Comparisons for Chemicals of Concern
 Unocal Edmonds Bulk Fuel Terminal Lower Yard
 Phase II Remedial Implementation
 11720 Unoco Road
 Edmonds, Washington

| Summary statistics | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Chemical Group | N of Cases | Maximum | Average |
| | cPAH ^a | 41 | 0.21 | 0.03 |
| | TPH ^b | 73 | 2,020 | 171 |
| 95% UCL Mean < Cleanup Criteria? | | | | |
| | | | 95% UCL | Result |
| Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | cPAH | | 0.06 | 0.14 PASS |
| Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | TPH | | 339 | 2,975 PASS |
| (95% UCL calculations from EPA's ProUCL) | | | | |
| More than 10% of samples greater than cleanup criteria? | | | | |
| | | 90% | Soil Cleanup | Result |
| | cPAH | | 0.06 | 0.14 PASS |
| | TPH | | 410 | 2,975 PASS |
| Any sample values greater than 2x cleanup criteria? | | | | |
| | Sample | cPAH | 2 x Criterion | Result |
| | Max | 0.21 | 0.28 | PASS |
| | Sample | TPH | 2 x Criterion | |
| | Max | 2,020 | 5950 | PASS |

Notes:

^a: Carcinogenic PAHs, summed by toxicity equivalence to benzo-a-pyrene.

^b: Total petroleum hydrocarbons, summed from gasoline, diesel, and heavy oil analyses.

TABLE K2
Summary Statistics and MTCA Statistical Comparisons for Chemicals of Concern
 Unocal Edmonds Bulk Fuel Terminal Lower Yard
 Phase II Remedial Implementation
 11720 Unoco Road
 Edmonds, Washington

ProUCL output
 USEPA ProUCL Version 4.0 (Version 4.00.02)
 22-Mar-09

From File: C:\Project\Chevron\Edmonds\new\Phase II In 20Mar09.wst

Summary Statistics for Raw Full Dataset

| Variable | NumObs | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Median | Variance | SD | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|-------|--------|----------|--------|----------|----------|
| TPH | 73 | 20.8 | 2020 | 171.1 | 63.3 | 107895 | 328.5 | 4.321 | 20.93 |
| cPAH | 41 | 0.00793 | 0.212 | 0.031 | 0.0172 | 0.00141 | 0.0375 | 3.343 | 13.74 |

cPAH

General Statistics

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|
| Number of Valid Data | 41 Number of Detected Data | 32 |
| Number of Distinct Detected Data | 31 Number of Non-Detect Data | 9 |
| Number of Missing Values | 40 Percent Non-Detects | 21.95% |

Raw Statistics

| | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Minimum Detected | 0.00842 Minimum Non-detect | 0.00793 |
| Maximum Detected | 0.212 Maximum Non-detect | 0.0414 |
| Mean of Detected Data | 0.0354 SD of Detected Data | 0.0409 |

Log-Transformed Statistics

| | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Mean of Detected Data | -3.73 SD of Detected Data | 0.833 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|

Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------|
| Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.924 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.93 |
| Data not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | |

DL/2 Substitution Method

| | | | |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| Mean | 0.0293 | 95% H-Stat (DL/2) UCL | 0.0316 |
| SD | 0.038 | | |
| Mean (in Log Scale) | -4.038 | | |
| SD (in Log Scale) | 0.987 | | |

Log ROS Method

| | | | |
|---------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| Mean | 0.0225 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 0.0328 |
| SD | 0.0383 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 0.0367 |
| Mean (in Log Scale) | -4.439 | | |
| SD (in Log Scale) | 0.998 | | |

Kaplan Meier (KM) Method

| | | | |
|------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| Mean | 0.0298 | 95% KM (t) UCL | 0.0397 |
| SD | 0.0372 | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | 0.0406 |
| SE of Mean | 0.0059 | 95% KM (% Bootstrap) UCL | 0.0403 |
| | | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | 0.0555 |
| | | 97.5% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | 0.0667 |
| | | 99% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | 0.0885 |

Potential UCL to Use

Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution (0.05)
May want to try Nonparametric UCLs

Note: DL/2 is not a recommended method.

TABLE K2
Summary Statistics and MTCA Statistical Comparisons for Chemicals of Concern
 Unocal Edmonds Bulk Fuel Terminal Lower Yard
 Phase II Remedial Implementation
 11720 Unoco Road
 Edmonds, Washington

TPH

General Statistics

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|----|---------------------------|--------|
| Number of Valid Data | 73 | Number of Detected Data | 50 |
| Number of Distinct Detected Data | 48 | Number of Non-Detect Data | 23 |
| Number of Missing Values | 9 | Percent Non-Detects | 31.51% |

Raw Statistics

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| Minimum Detected | 26.3 | Minimum Non-detect | 20.8 |
| Maximum Detected | 2020 | Maximum Non-detect | 93 |
| Mean of Detected Data | 236.2 | SD of Detected Data | 380.4 |

Log-Transformed Statistics

| | | | |
|-----------------------|------|---------------------|-------|
| Mean of Detected Data | 4.79 | SD of Detected Data | 1.094 |
|-----------------------|------|---------------------|-------|

Lognormal Distribution Test with Detected Values Only

| | | | |
|--|--------|------------------------------|-------|
| Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.0997 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.125 |
| Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | |

DL/2 Substitution Method

| | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| Mean | 166.4 | 95% H-Stat (DL/2) UCL | 168.9 |
| SD | 330.5 | | |
| Mean (in Log Scale) | 4.098 | | |
| SD (in Log Scale) | 1.385 | | |

Log ROS Method

| | | | |
|---------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| Mean | 102 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 150.5 |
| SD | 225 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 176.8 |
| Mean (in Log Scale) | 3.791 | | |
| SD (in Log Scale) | 1.173 | | |

Kaplan Meier (KM) Method

| | | | |
|------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| Mean | 170.3 | 95% KM (t) UCL | 234.6 |
| SD | 326.4 | 95% KM (BCA) UCL | 236.2 |
| SE of Mean | 38.59 | 95% KM (% Bootstrap) UCL | 244.1 |
| | | 95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | 338.5 |
| | | 97.5% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | 411.3 |
| | | 99% KM (Chebyshev) UCL | 554.3 |

Potential UCL to Use

Note: DL/2 is not a recommended method.

95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL

338.5

cPAH

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| Number of Valid Observations | 41 |
| Number of Distinct Observations | 40 |
| Minimum | 0.00793 |
| Maximum | 0.212 |
| Mean | 0.031 |
| Median | 0.0172 |
| SD | 0.0375 |
| Variance | 0.00141 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 1.209 |
| Skewness | 3.343 |
| Mean of log data | -3.886 |
| SD of log data | 0.847 |

Data do not follow a Discernable Distribution

TABLE K2
Summary Statistics and MTCA Statistical Comparisons for Chemicals of Concern
 Unocal Edmonds Bulk Fuel Terminal Lower Yard
 Phase II Remedial Implementation
 11720 Unoco Road
 Edmonds, Washington

| | |
|---|---------------|
| 95% Useful UCLs | |
| Student's-t UCL | 0.0409 |
| 95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness) | |
| 95% Adjusted-CLT UCL | 0.0439 |
| 95% Modified-t UCL | 0.0414 |
| Non-Parametric UCLs | |
| 95% CLT UCL | 0.0406 |
| 95% Jackknife UCL | 0.0409 |
| 95% Standard Bootstrap UCL | 0.0406 |
| 95% Bootstrap-t UCL | 0.0486 |
| 95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL | 0.0847 |
| 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 0.041 |
| 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 0.0449 |
| 95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 0.0565 |
| 97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 0.0676 |
| 99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL | 0.0893 |
| Potential UCL to Use | |
| Use 95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL | 0.0565 |