



STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Northwest Regional Office • 3190 160th Ave SE • Bellevue, WA 98008-5452 • 425-649-7000
711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

March 2, 2017

Ms. Janet Frentzel
Prologis, Inc.
Pier One, Bay One
San Francisco, CA 94111

Re: Request for Additional Information to Provide Opinion on the Investigation under VCP for the following Contaminated Site:

- **Site Name:** Qualex Photofinishing
- **Site Address:** 21249 79nd Avenue South, Kent, WA
- **Cleanup Site ID:** 332
- **Facility/Site ID:** 598108
- **VCP Project ID:** NW3088

Dear Ms. Frentzel:

Thank you for submitting the Groundwater Monitoring Results Report for the Qualex Photofinishing Site for review by the Department of Ecology (Ecology) under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). Based on a preliminary review, Ecology determined the report is incomplete. Additional site characterization information, as well as an evaluation of remedial options is needed to provide an opinion regarding the cleanup. The enclosed Checklist identifies what additional information Ecology needs.

Ecology wants to provide you an opportunity to update and resubmit the report, or to submit supplementary reports to include the additional information specified in the enclosed Checklist and any existing site characterization information available for the Site while you wait for a Site manager to be assigned. The Site's position on the VCP Wait List will remain the same. However, if an updated report/s is not received when a Site manager is assigned, the position in the Wait List cannot be guaranteed. By providing the requested information, Ecology can then provide a written opinion on the submitted reports.


When updating the report/s, please reference our report Template, available at <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/policies/checklists.html>. Ecology developed both the Checklists and Template to provide clarity on our expectations for work plans and reports. We hope you find them useful.



Mr. Janet Frentzel
March 2, 2017
Page 2

If you have any questions about this request or how to complete your report, please me at (425) 649-7233 or sofe461@ecy.wa.gov. Thank you for your cooperation, and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,



Sonia Fernández
VCP Coordinator
Toxics Cleanup Program, NWRO

Enclosure (1) Checklist

cc: Ecology Site File
Scott Allin, Farallon Consulting, LLC (email)

Report incomplete. Requesting a No Further Action with a groundwater monitoring report submittal without providing sufficient background information and site characterization information. Issues:

- No evaluation of remedies for arsenic issue in groundwater
- Only one recent (2016) round of groundwater samples taken. Need additional consecutive monitoring rounds to assess trend
- What is background arsenic levels for this area? Nothing was presented to compare
- Where was the 9 tons of contaminated soil taken?

2017

Remedial Investigation Checklist

Qualex Photofinishing NW3088



May 2016

Publication No. 16-09-006

FOR ECOLOGY USE ONLY

Site Name/FSID: 598108

Report Name: Ground water Monitoring Results

Date Submitted: 7/26/2016

Reviewed By: Thomas Carrington

Review Date: 2/21/2017

Remedial Investigation (RI) Checklist Guidance

The Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) regulation Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-340-350(7) broadly describes the elements necessary to complete a RI. The purpose of a RI is to collect and evaluate sufficient information to fully characterize the nature and extent of contamination at a site.

This RI checklist is considered guidance based on the MTCA cleanup regulation WAC 173-340. Cleanup project managers with the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) have discretion when reviewing and accepting RI reports as site-specific circumstances dictate the necessary scope and breadth of each report.

Remedial Investigation Report Body

- I. Cover Letter.** Include a letter describing the submittal and specifying the desired department action or response.
- II. Introduction.**
 - a. **General Site Information.** Include contact information for project coordinators (Ecology site manager, consultants, potentially liable persons (PLP), and current owner/operator). Include the site name and identification numbers, general description, and location (e.g., GPS coordinates, assessor parcel number, Quarter Section Township Range, address).
 - b. **Site History.** Describe site from earliest known time of habitation and/or development. Describe previous owners/operators, past uses of the site, and all potential/known sources (both on-site and off-site) of contamination (e.g., petroleum storage tanks, manufacturing processes, chemical storage, etc.). Include approximate dates or periods of past product and waste spills, identification of the materials spilled, and amount/location of the spill.
 - c. **Site Use.** Describe current site uses, land use/zoning, and future use plans.
- III. Field Investigations**
 - a. **Previous Environmental Investigations.** Discuss prior work performed, samples obtained, why sampling locations were chosen, etc. Cite any previous environmental reports.
 - b. **Site Characterization.** Discuss current site characterization activities for each site media (surface water/sediments, soils, groundwater systems, air, and cultural history/archeology, if applicable). Name site contaminants of concern (COCs) and discuss why they were chosen for analysis. Describe how prior and current work efforts contribute to the understanding of the nature and extent of contamination.

FOR ECOLOGY USE ONLY

Comments

Adequate	Incomplete	Missing	N/A
----------	------------	---------	-----

X			
---	--	--	--

X			
---	--	--	--

X			
---	--	--	--

	X		
--	---	--	--

Current use not described.

Future use plans not provided

X			
---	--	--	--

	X		
--	---	--	--

Details in report too brief. Need more soil data.

No figures showing extent of contam or excavation boundaries.

- c. **Sampling/Analytical Results.** Discussion of sampling/analytical results should include contaminants analyzed for in samples from each applicable site media (soil, groundwater, vapor, surface water). Include comparison of the results to the applicable Method (A, B, or C) cleanup level, sampling method, laboratory method, and any special sampling or analytical protocols (silica gel, filtration, etc.). Evaluate the quality of the data.

IV. Conceptual Site Model

- a. **Conceptual Site Model (CSM).** Discuss contaminant release, fate and transport, exposure pathways (surface water, groundwater wells, air, direct contact, etc.), and potential receptors (human, aquatic, terrestrial). Describe typical concerns for this type of environmental contamination, and include a discussion of site specific concerns (hydro-geologic setting, receptors, current or future site zoning/land use etc.).

V. Proposed Cleanup Standards

- a. **General.** Clearly identify proposed cleanup levels for each media and rationale for selected level. Explain/justify mixing MTCA methods for different media. Must include a demonstration of conditions that require a calculated solution if one is to be used (e.g., background calculations, use of Method B or C, etc.) and show calculation of the cleanup level, including a list of the input parameters. Include point(s) of compliance.
- b. **Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation (TEE).** A TEE should be performed, if required, as part of cleanup level selection. Reference WAC 173-340-7491 to see if the site qualifies for an exclusion.

www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/policies/terrestrial/TEHome.htm

VI. Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations

- a. **Summary and Conclusions.** Summarize what is known about the site and contamination (updated CSM). Include discussion of COCs that exceed MTCA or are "indicator hazardous substances." Ensure conclusions are supported by the tables and figures included with the report.
- b. **Recommendations.** Outline possible interim/remedial actions if appropriate.

Remedial Investigation Figures

General – Figures should include a north arrow, scale, complete legend, measurement units, and annotated clarification as necessary. Figures should not be cluttered and must be legible and explicable. Document text must reference figures and draw conclusions consistent with information presented on figures. Consider using multiple figures when showing large amounts of information.

FOR ECOLOGY USE ONLY

X			
---	--	--	--

X			
---	--	--	--

Very brief

Adequate	Incomplete	Missing	N/A
----------	------------	---------	-----

X			
---	--	--	--

X			
---	--	--	--

	X		
--	---	--	--

Summary present but incomplete. Need summary of past remedial actions

	X		
--	---	--	--

I. Vicinity Map(s)

- a. Show property in relation to surrounding region. Area covered by Vicinity Map should be proportional to site size.
- b. Show other applicable items including (but not limited to): surface topography, natural areas, surrounding land uses, location of groundwater supply and monitoring wells within a one mile radius.

II. Site Map(s)

- a. Show overall site layout with site features and existing well, boring, and sampling locations labeled consistently with current and historical site data and sample names used in the report. If multiple names exist for a sampling location or area of the site indicate this.
- b. Include COC locations, concentrations, and estimated vertical and horizontal extent of contamination for site media, as applicable. Include waste materials present on site as well as hazardous substance treatment, storage, or disposal areas (show current and historical features).
- c. Show geologic/hydrogeologic information including soil types, wells, screened intervals, and water levels (cross sections are useful for showing this information). Show groundwater flow direction and gradient.
- d. Show other relevant information including (but not limited to): site and property boundaries, buildings/facilities on site, historical site features, underground storage tanks (USTs), previous excavation/interim action activity, etc.

III. Conceptual Site Model

- a. Provide figures showing contaminant release(s), fate and transport, exposure pathways, and potential and/or actual receptors. The lateral and vertical extent of contamination, as currently understood, should be clearly conveyed.

Remedial Investigation Tables

General - Tables should include detailed notes that explain any laboratory or other designations, assumptions, and references. All acronyms used in the table should be defined in a section of the notes even if they are defined in the body of the report, so table information can be quickly understood.

- a. **Sampling Information/Laboratory Methods.** Include current and historical sampling methods and numerical cleanup levels, lab methods, reporting limits, and any special sampling protocols with justification or explanation (e.g. silica gel, filtration).
- b. **Cleanup Levels.** Include potentially applicable ARAR values and recommended cleanup levels.

FOR ECOLOGY USE ONLY

X			
---	--	--	--

Comments

X			
---	--	--	--

Adequate	Incomplete	Missing	N/A
X			

But – not much detail in figures

X			
---	--	--	--

Could have presented more detail

		X	
--	--	---	--

X			
---	--	--	--

		X	
--	--	---	--

No CSM.

X			
---	--	--	--

X			
---	--	--	--

- c. **Site Data.** Include current and historical analytical and field-measured data. Group by media type. For larger data sets, consider making a summary table of exceedances. Tables should include proposed cleanup levels with any contaminant exceedances clearly indicated using bold font or shading. Non-detectable levels should be noted as 'U' with the numerical laboratory reporting limit (RL) provided rather than 'ND'.

Remedial Investigation Appendices

General. Appendices should contain a description of content and explain how to interpret the information for use. Not all of the following suggestions will apply to all sites.

- Exploratory logs, well installation diagrams, groundwater sampling logs, and field records.
- Analytical laboratory report and Quality Assurance/Quality Control report.
- Limitations.** Explain any limitations that apply to the work.
- Details of field and analytical methods used in former and current investigations and remedial activities. If applicable, append Work Plan/Sampling and Analysis Plan/Quality Assurance Project Plan/Health and Safety Plan.
- Other documents that provide additional context or contribute to the understanding of the site – see suggested report format for additional information.

Miscellaneous Items

- Environmental Information Management (EIM).** All sampling data must be uploaded into Ecology's EIM database. This allows Ecology to access data, check results, and/or perform additional analyses. For more information, reference:

www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/data_submittal/Data_Requirements.htm

- Certification (Licensed Professional Stamp).** Engineering, geologic, and hydrogeologic work must be performed under seal of an appropriately licensed professional (RCW 18.43 and 18.220).
- Additional information may be requested by Ecology as required to fully define the site.**
- Submittal Requirements:** Ecology requests three copies of reports submitted per WAC 173-340-850. Please contact the cleanup project manager for specific submittal requirements.

To request ADA accommodation or materials in a format for the visually impaired, call Ecology at 509-454-7834, Relay Service 711, or TTY 877-833-6341.

FOR ECOLOGY USE ONLY

X

Comments

X

Note: only one round of grwtr monitoring in 2016 – no trend info. NO RA for arsenic

Adequate	Incomplete	Missing	N/A
----------	------------	---------	-----

X

X

X

X

Above info in other documents?

X

X

X

X