Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016

TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176 24205 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043



Prepared for:

TOC Holdings Co. 2737 West Commodore Way Seattle, WA 98199

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West, Suite 203 Lynnwood, WA 98036 Phone: 425.977,4994

September 22, 2016

Sign-Off Sheet

This document entitled, Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016, was prepared by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) on behalf of TOC Holdings Co. (TOC) for specific application to TOC Facility No. 01-176 in Mountlake Terrace, Washington. Services conducted by Stantec for this project were conducted in accordance with the Environmental Services Contract between HydroCon Environmental, LLC (HydroCon) and Stantec. Any reliance on this document by a third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and HydroCon. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

This document was prepared under the supervision and direction of the key staff identified below.

msui

Prepared by:

Kim Vik, LG Project Geologist

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Carol Shestag, PG Senior Geologist

Rebekah Brooks, LG, LHg Project Manager Senior Associate, Hydrogeology

atil. Minter



Reviewed by:

Marty Minter, PG, RG Manager, Geology



Sed Geo Kim S. Vik

Table of Contents

1.0	INTROD	UCTION	1
2.0	SITE DES	CRIPTION & BACKGROUND	2
2.1	DESCRI	PTION OF TOC SITE	2
2.2	DESCRI	PTION OF ADJACENT PROPERTIES	2
2.3	SITE BAC	CKGROUND	2
3.0	GEOLO	GIC FRAMEWORK	4
4.0		GEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK	
4.1	Shallo	w water-bearing zone (shallow zone)	5
4.2		EDIATE WATER-BEARING ZONE (INTERMEDIATE ZONE)	
4.3		ATER-BEARING ZONE (DEEP ZONE)	
4.4		CREEN INTERVALS INTERSECTING MULTIPLE WATER-BEARING ZONES	
5.0	REMEDI	ATION SYSTEM STATUS	7
6.0		DWATER MONITORING SCOPE OF WORK	
6.1		L EVENT SCOPE OF WORK	
6.2		ERLY EVENT SCOPE OF WORK	
7.0		DWATER MONITORING FIELD METHODOLOGY	
7.1		P LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	
7.2			
	7.2.1	Groundwater Sampling Methods & Procedures	
7.3			
7.4	QA/QC 7.4.1	SAMPLING METHODS & DATA QUALITY REVIEW Field Blanks	
	7.4.1	Blind Field Duplicate Samples	
8.0		DWATER MONITORING RESULTS	
6.0 8.1		P LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	
8.2		IDWATER ELEVATIONS	
0.2	8.2.1	Shallow Zone	
	8.2.2	Intermediate Zone	
	8.2.3	Deep Zone	
	8.2.4	Well Screens Intersecting Multiple Zones	
8.3		MEASUREMENTS	
8.4		IDWATER QUALITY RESULTS	
	8.4.1	Shallow Zone	
	8.4.2	Intermediate Zone	
	8.4.3	Deep Zone	
	8.4.4	Well Screens Intersecting Multiple Zones	
8.5	QA/QC	& DATA QUALITY RESULTS	
9.0	SUMMA	RY OF RESULTS & CONCLUSIONS	23
9.1		RY OF 2Q2016 RESULTS	
	9.1.1	DTW/DTP Level Measurements	
	9.1.2	Groundwater Quality	
9.2	CONCL	USIONS	24
10.0	FUTURE	GROUNDWATER TASKS	



Table of Contents

11.0	REFERENCES	7
------	------------	---



Table of Contents

List of Tables

- 1-1 Depth-to-Groundwater Level & Product Thickness Measurements (System Off)
- 1-2 Depth-to-Groundwater Level & Product Thickness Measurements (System On)
- 1-3 Comparison of System-On and System-Off Groundwater Elevations, May 2016
- 2-1 Groundwater Quality Results for Select Constituents, Shallow Zone Wells
- 2-2 Groundwater Quality Results for Common Fuel Additives, Shallow Zone Wells
- 3-1 Groundwater Quality Results for Select Constituents, Intermediate Zone Wells
- 3-2 Groundwater Quality Results for Common Fuel Additives, Intermediate Zone Wells
- 4-1 Groundwater Quality Results for Select Constituents, Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells

List of Figures

- 1 Project Location
- 2 Site Map
- 3 Locations of Wells and Remediation Systems
- 4 Groundwater Elevation Contours, Shallow Zone (System Off), May 26, 2016
- 5a Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone (System Off), May 26, 2016
- 5b Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone (System On), May 12, 2016
- 5c Groundwater Elevation Difference between System On and System Off, Intermediate Zone, May 2016.
- 6 Groundwater Elevation Contours, Deep Zone (System Off), May 26, 2016
- 7 GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, Second Quarter 2016
- 8 Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, Second Quarter 2016
- 9 GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, Second Quarter 2016
- 10 Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, Second Quarter 2016

List of Appendices

A Laboratory Analytical Reports – Groundwater Samples, Second Quarter 2016 and Supplemental Event, June 23, 2016



Acronyms & Abbreviations

μg/L 2Q2016 3Q2016 4Q2016 AO bgs CSM DPE DRPH DTP DTW Ecology EPA GRPH HydroCon ID IRAWP LNAPL MDL mL/min MPE MRL MTCA MW PACE PAH QA/QC RI ROW SES Stantec TOC	micrograms per liter Second Quarter 2016 Third Quarter 2016 Fourth Quarter 2016 Agreed Order below ground surface conceptual site model dual-phase extraction diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons depth-to-product depth-to-water Washington State Department of Ecology U.S. Environmental Protection Agency gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons HydroCon Environmental, LLC identifier Interim Remedial Action Work Plan light non-aqueous phase liquid method detection limit milliliters per minute multi-phase extraction method reporting limit methyl tert-butyl ether Model Toxics Control Act monitoring well PACE Engineers, Inc. petroleum aromatic hydrocarbons quality assurance/quality control remedial Investigation right-of-way remediation well SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. TOC Holdings Co.
UST	underground storage tank

LIST OF PROPERTIES - TOC SITE

TOC Property	24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, WA
TOC/Farmasonis Property	24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, WA
Drake Property	24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, WA
56th Avenue West ROW	Right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & Drake properties

LIST OF PROPERTIES – ADJACENT TO TOC SITE

242nd Street Southwest ROW	Right-of-way adjacent to TOC Property
Herman Property	24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, WA
Shin/Choi Property	24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, WA



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the Second Quarter 2016 (2Q2016) groundwater monitoring event for the interim remedial action at the TOC Holdings Co. (TOC) Facility No. 01-176 located in Mountlake Terrace, Snohomish County, Washington (*Figure 1*). Field activities were performed by HydroCon Environmental, LLC (HydroCon) and data evaluation was performed by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec), as a subconsultant to HydroCon.

Ongoing groundwater monitoring is conducted under Agreed Order (AO) No. DE 8661, entered in October 2011 between TOC and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology 2011). The groundwater monitoring scope of work is defined in the *Interim Remedial Action Work Plan* (IRAWP; SES 2011) included as Exhibit C of the AO. Per the requirements of the IRAWP, the groundwater monitoring scope of work includes one annual field event and three quarterly field events (described in **Section 6.0**). Groundwater monitoring is conducted to monitor and evaluate the performance and efficacy of three multi-phase extraction (MPE) remediation systems (described in **Section 5.0**) and their effect on groundwater quality.

This report presents a description of the 2Q 2016 groundwater monitoring activities with an evaluation of the field data and analytical results. The field dates of the 2Q2016 groundwater monitoring events were May 12 to 26, 2016. On June 23, 2016, selected wells were also sampled. A description of the site, adjacent properties and site background is provided in **Section 2.0**. The geologic and hydrologic frameworks are described in **Sections 3.0 and 4.0**, respectively. A summary of the remediation system status is provided in **Section 5.0**. The scope of work for the groundwater monitoring events is described in **Section 6.0**. Field methodologies for collecting depth-to-water/depth-to-product (DTW/DTP) level measurements and groundwater samples in accordance with the IRAWP (SES 2011) or using approved modifications are described in **Section 8.0**, and a summary of the results and a list of conclusions for the quarterly events are provided in **Section 9.0**. Future groundwater monitoring tasks are described in **Section 10.0**.



2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION & BACKGROUND

2.1 Description of TOC Site

As specified in the AO, the boundary of the "TOC Site" encompasses the following properties (Figure 2):

- **TOC Property:** 24205 56th Avenue West. The vacant TOC Property consists of vegetated land with the exception of an asphalt area and graveled and fenced area housing a MPE remediation system (described in **Section 5.0**).
- TOC/Farmasonis Property: 24225 56th Avenue West. The TOC/Farmasonis Property consists of one vacant commercial building (formerly occupied by Romio's restaurant through June 2014), an asphalt parking area, vegetated land, and a graveled and fenced area housing two MPE remediation systems (described in Section 5.0).
- Drake Property: 24309 56th Avenue West. The Drake Property consists of one commercial building (currently occupied by Getaway Tavern) and asphalt and gravel parking areas.
- **56th Avenue West Right-of-Way (ROW):** The portion of the 56th Avenue ROW included in the TOC Site is adjacent to the TOC, TOC/Farmasonis and Drake properties.

The TOC Site is bordered by 242nd Street Southwest and commercial properties to the north; by residential properties to the east and west; and by the Herman Property and Mountlake Senior Property to the south where construction began for an assisted living facility in February 2015. The Snohomish County boundary is defined by 244th Street and the King County boundary is defined by 205th Street. Descriptions of each property included within the TOC Site boundary are provided below.

2.2 Description of Adjacent Properties

In addition to the TOC Site, the scope of work for the quarterly events (described in **Section 6.0**) also includes the following adjacent properties:

- **242nd Street Southwest ROW:** The portion of the ROW included in the scope of the work is adjacent to the northern boundary of the TOC Site.
- Herman Property: 24311 56th Avenue West. The Herman Property consists of one commercial building (occupied by Dave's Auto Service), an asphalt parking area and vegetated land.
- Shin/Choi Property: The Shin/Choi Property consists of one building (occupied by the EZ Corner Mart) and an asphalt parking area.

2.3 Site Background

TOC operated a retail gasoline station on the TOC Property between 1968 and 1990. The facility included three underground storage tanks (USTs), six fuel dispensers and associated product delivery lines. One 8,000-gallon and two 6,000-gallon USTs and ancillary equipment were removed from the TOC Property in 1991 and petroleum constituents in the form of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH), benzene, and total xylenes were observed in soil and groundwater in excess of the applicable Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels (Ecology 2007). Between 1992 and 2013, site investigations were conducted to determine the extent of petroleum contamination and 107



Site Description & Background Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016

monitoring and remediation wells (six of which have been decommissioned) were installed in three groundwater zones (defined as Shallow, Intermediate, and Deep and further described in **Section 4.0**).

In 1996, a dual-phase extraction (DPE) remediation system was installed at the TOC Property at six remediation wells (MW01, MW02, MW03, MW09, MW10, and MW11) to remediate groundwater impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons and remove light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) in the Shallow Zone. The DPE system operated from February 1997 to June 2005 and was later removed following confirmation that the system effectively remediated Shallow Zone groundwater (SES 2013). In 2006, groundwater monitoring results collected by SoundEarth Strategies (SES) confirmed gasoline-related contamination in the Intermediate Zone extended directly downgradient of the TOC Property to the south (TOC/Farmasonis and Drake properties) and west (56th Avenue ROW).

In accordance with the AO (Ecology 2011), a remedial investigation (RI) was initiated at the TOC Site and three MPE remediation systems were installed between November 2011 and August 2012 to remediate residual petroleum-contaminated groundwater, soil vapor and LNAPL (if present) in the Intermediate Zone beneath the TOC Site. As shown in **Figure 3**, the MPE remediation systems are located within fenced enclosures on the TOC Property and TOC/Farmasonis Property and are served by remediation wells installed on the TOC, TOC/Farmasonis and Drake properties.

Available information regarding historical operations on the TOC/Farmasonis and Drake properties do not indicate the presence of USTs. Historical operations on the downgradient Herman and Shin/Choi properties indicate three USTs were removed from the Shin/Choi Property in 1991, and two USTs were removed from the Herman Property in 2001; however five additional USTs may still exist on the Herman Property. Available information on historical or current USTs and associated equipment located on downgradient properties is shown in *Figure 3*.

At the time of the 2016 quarterly field events, 103 active monitoring and remediation wells were located on seven properties (the four properties included within the TOC Site boundary [described in **Section 2.1**] plus the adjacent 242nd Street ROW, and Herman and Shin/Choi properties described in **Section 2.2**).



3.0 GEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK

The TOC Site is situated on the glacial upland plateau between Seattle and Everett, Washington, known as the Intercity Plateau. As documented in the *Draft RI Report* (SES 2013), the regional geology consists of Pleistocene-age glacial till locally overlain by pockets of glacial recessional outwash sand (Galster and Laprade 1991).

The recessional outwash sand, which ranges in thickness from approximately 25 to 300 feet, is generally loose to medium dense sand and gravel with little or no fines, and may include ice contact deposits and ablation till. The glacial till, which represents the ground moraine of the Vashon glaciations, ranges from a few feet to over 50 feet thick and consists of dense to very dense gravelly, sandy silt to silty sand with variable amounts of clay, cobbles, and boulders. Groundwater is perched above and within the glacial till layer. Bedrock underlying the area consists of Tertiary sediment rocks (sandstone, shale, or conglomerate) over 900 feet deep beneath the TOC Site; therefore, bedrock is not relevant for the TOC Site characterization.

Based on the results of previous investigations conducted between 1991 and 2013, subsurface soil beneath the TOC Site consists primarily of local anthropogenic fill overlying Vashon-age glacial deposits. Based on regional geologic unit interpretations for the area, the *Draft RI Report* states subsurface soil is interpreted to consist of the following geologic units, from youngest to oldest: artificial (anthropogenic) fill, Vashon glacial outwash deposits, Vashon glacial till and Vashon glacial outwash deposits (SES 2013).



4.0 HYDROGEOLOGIC FRAMEWORK

Three separate groundwater zones were identified at the TOC Site in the *Draft RI Report* (SES 2013). The zones were defined by SES based on lithology, well screen intervals and groundwater level measurements. Stantec evaluated the data as part of updates and revisions to the Conceptual Site Model (CSM), based on comments provided by Ecology to SES on the *Draft RI Report* (Ecology 2014). Stantec will incorporate the results of the revised CSM into the final RI report for submittal to Ecology.

Stantec agrees that three groundwater zones can be identified at the TOC Site; however, these zones do not appear to be separate, but are interconnected, as evidenced by the geology, groundwater elevations and contaminant distribution data. Also, the groundwater zones do not appear to be separated by distinct confining units defined by lower permeability lithology. Stantec's conceptualization of the hydrogeology is currently based on geologic field interpretations (e.g., boring logs) provided by SES and other consultants that previously managed the project, but will be supplemented by future investigations and development of the revised CSM. Based on evaluation of the available data by Stantec, the following sections describe the three groundwater zones, as well as locations where well screen intervals intersect multiple groundwater zones. For discussion of the monitoring event results in **Sections 8.0 and 9.0**, monitoring and remediation wells are placed into five categories based on groundwater zones and well screen intervals intersecting these zones. The five scategories are defined as: 1) Shallow Zone Wells; 2) Intermediate Zone Wells; 3) Deep Zone Wells; 4) Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells; and 5) Intermediate-Deep Zone Intersect Well.

4.1 Shallow Water-Bearing Zone (Shallow Zone)

The Shallow Zone is a perched zone in the artificial fill or upper portion of the glacial outwash/till, at depths between approximately 5 to 20 feet below ground surface (bgs) throughout the TOC Site, depending on seasonal fluctuations of the water table. The saturation in these horizons can be seasonally discontinuous, as evidenced by some monitoring wells that are seasonally dry (e.g., MW04 is typically dry during the fourth quarter event), while other Shallow Zone wells monitored during the same season contain water. The primary source of recharge to the Shallow Zone is infiltration of natural precipitation through emplaced fill and native soil in unpaved areas. Other potential sources of recharge to the Shallow Zone reportedly included a former topographically closed depression, where surface runoff previously ponded, and a former stormwater infiltration pit (identified in *Figure 3*), both of which were located in the southeast portion of the TOC Property. According to a 1975 TOC blueprint (Time Oil Co. 1975), the stormwater infiltration pit is located in proximity to MW18 and MW33; measures 10 feet square by 4 feet deep; and was backfilled with coarse gravel. Surface runoff intercepted by a catch basin located near the southeast corner of the paved asphalt area on the TOC Property formerly discharged into the stormwater infiltration pit via a 6-inch-diameter drain pipe, which has been capped.

4.2 Intermediate Water-Bearing Zone (Intermediate Zone)

The Intermediate Zone is an unconfined groundwater zone that is observed at depths between approximately 20 and 60 feet bgs. As described in the *Draft RI Report* (SES 2013), the Intermediate Zone consists of glacial till deposits between approximately 20 and 40 feet bgs and discontinuous sand and/or gravel-rich glacial deposits within the lower portion of the glacial till between approximately 40 and 60 feet bgs. As discussed further in **Section 8.2.2**, groundwater elevations in the Intermediate Zone



Hydrogeologic Framework Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016

of the TOC Property appear to be mounded such that the upper boundary of the Intermediate Zone appears closer to the base of the Shallow Zone in the vicinity of the UST excavation fill area and former stormwater infiltration pit (identified in Figure 3). Explanations for the observed groundwater mounding are likely related to artificial recharge within the backfill of the former UST cavity, depression, and the infiltration pit; the presence of low permeability deposits near the downgradient edge of the property; and/or from localized influence of the vacuum for the remediation system located on the TOC Property (identified in Figure 3 and described in Sections 2.3 and 5.0). The low permeability deposits in the upper portion of the Intermediate Zone impede the vertical percolation of water into the Deep Zone (see Section 4.3) and decrease the horizontal flux of the groundwater in the immediate vicinity. The prevalence of low permeability deposits correlates with the location of steeper horizontal hydraulic gradients in this area (see Section 8.2). In downgradient areas where the Intermediate Zone consists primarily of higher permeability units (i.e., sands and gravels), the thickness of unsaturated materials and the distance between the Shallow and Intermediate Zones increase. The higher permeability deposits contribute to a flattening of the horizontal hydraulic gradient. The Intermediate Zone appears to receive recharge from natural precipitation via the Shallow Zone. A comparison of groundwater elevations and analytical data confirm that the Intermediate Zone is considered to be the primary contaminant transport pathway at the TOC Site; however, as described in Section 5.0, the remediation systems appear to be containing contaminant transport from migrating further downgradient.

4.3 Deep Water-Bearing Zone (Deep Zone)

The Deep Zone consists of glacial sand and gravel located at depths greater than 60 feet bgs, based on deep well screen intervals. Within the vicinity of the artificial recharge area on the TOC Property, the groundwater elevation data indicate that downward vertical gradients appear to exist between all three zones. In downgradient areas, the groundwater elevation data suggest that vertical gradients shift from downward (between the Shallow and Intermediate Zones) to neutral or slightly upward (between the Intermediate and Deep Zones). Based on these observations and the presence of fully saturated well screens, these groundwater level conditions could be a reflection of a higher permeability zone at the base of a single groundwater unit that includes both the Intermediate and Deep Zones or could represent semi-confined conditions in a separate, but interconnected groundwater zone; however, the presence of a low permeability confining unit between the two zones is not obvious in the available data. The presence of upward vertical gradients between the Deep and Intermediate Zones appear to be effective in inhibiting downward migration of contamination in downgradient areas and effectively bounding the extent of vertical contamination.

4.4 Well Screen Intervals Intersecting Multiple Water-Bearing Zones

Based on evaluation of available data by Stantec, 16 wells (15 of which are active and one of which was decommissioned) appear to have screen intervals that intersect multiple groundwater zones (either Shallow and Intermediate Zones or Intermediate and Deep Zones) and may not represent the individual hydrogeological conditions of either zone. Because Shallow Zone contamination in the area where these wells are located has been remediated, the potential for cross-contamination between groundwater zones does not currently exist.



5.0 **REMEDIATION SYSTEM STATUS**

In accordance with the AO (Ecology 2011), three MPE remediation systems were installed between November 2011 and August 2012 to remediate residual petroleum-contaminated groundwater, soil vapor and LNAPL (if present) in the Intermediate Zone beneath TOC Site. As shown in *Figure 3*, the MPE remediation systems are located within fenced enclosures on the TOC Property and TOC/Farmasonis Property and are served by remediation wells installed on the TOC, TOC/Farmasonis and Drake properties.

At the time of 2Q2016 quarterly field event, 21 of the 22 remediation wells connected to the MPE remediation systems were actively operating. The pump in remediation well MW95 (located on the Drake Property) was turned off on April 30, 2015. The pump has remained off since that time and will only operate for sample collection during annual sampling events conducted during the first quarter of each year. The table below identifies the remediation wells connected to each system and their location. As noted next to the well identifier (ID), remediation wells are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter. Operation of all three MPE remediation systems is ongoing.

System Name	System Location	Remediation Well ID	Location of Remediation Wells
Unit 1	TOC Property	 MW11 (4" RW) MW18 (4" RW) MW29 (2" RW) MW32 (4" RW) MW24 (4" RW) MW90 (4" RW) 	TOC Property
Unit 2	TOC/Farmasonis Property	 MW27 (2" RW) MW31 (2" RW) MW41 (2" RW) MW57 (4" RW) MW57 (4" RW) MW94 (4" RW) 	TOC/Farmasonis Property
Unit 3	TOC/Farmasonis Property	 MW69 (2" RW) MW70 (2" RW) MW70 (2" RW) MW95 (4" RW) MW95 (4" RW)* MW99 (4" RW) MW96 (4" RW) MW101 (4" RW) 	Drake Property

MPE Remediation System Wells

*Pump was turned off on April 30, 2015.

Additional information describing the performance of the MPE remediation systems was provided in the *Remedial Systems Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Report* prepared quarterly by HydroCon and submitted to Ecology.



6.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING SCOPE OF WORK

The original scope of work defined in the IRAWP (SES 2011) includes the four properties located within the boundary of the TOC Site (described in **Section 2.1**), as well as a portion of the 242nd Street Southwest ROW (directly north of the TOC Site; described in **Section 2.2**). At the time the IRAWP was prepared, four monitoring wells had been decommissioned and 85 active monitoring and remediation wells were located on the TOC Site and adjacent properties. After the IRAWP was prepared, two additional monitoring wells were decommissioned (for a total of six decommissioned wells) and 20 additional wells were installed at the locations identified in the table below (for a total of 103 active monitoring and remediation wells). The 20 additional wells installed are referred to as the "post-IRAWP wells" and were incorporated into future groundwater monitoring events.

Dren orthe Marros	Well ID				
Property Name		Decommissioned Wells			
TOC	• MW90 (4" RW)	• MW91 (4" RW)		• MW21	
TOC/Farmasonis	 MW92 (4" RW) MW93 (4" RW) 	MW94 (4" RW)MW100		• MW83	
Drake	 MW95 (4" RW) MW96 (4" RW) 	 MW97 (4" RW) MW98 (4" RW) 	MW99 (4" RW)MW101 (4" RW)	None	
Herman	MW102MW103MW104	MW105MW106MW107	MW108MW109	None	

Post-IRAWP Monitoring & Remediation Wells

⁽¹⁾ Remediation wells (identified as "RW") are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter.

The IRAWP states the four active monitoring wells installed on the Shin/Choi Property (directly south of the Herman Property and two properties south of the TOC Site) are excluded from the scope work for the annual and quarterly groundwater monitoring events. However, for the purpose of obtaining additional information regarding contaminant distribution at the request of TOC, Stantec added these wells to the scope of work for all groundwater monitoring events. Additional details describing the annual and quarterly events are provided in the following sections.

6.1 Annual Event Scope of Work

The original scope of work defined in the IRAWP (SES 2011) for the annual event includes:

- 1) Measuring DTW/DTP levels for all active wells; and
- 2) Collecting groundwater samples from 81 active monitoring and remediation wells located on five properties (TOC, TOC/Farmasonis, Drake, 56th Avenue ROW, and 242nd Street ROW).

The original scope of work did not include monitoring of the four wells located on the Shin/Choi Property (two properties south of the TOC Site and directly south of the Herman Property). In addition to collecting DTW/DTP level measurements and groundwater samples from the wells identified in the IRAWP, the groundwater monitoring scope of work for the annual event was revised by Stantec at the request of TOC to also include the 20 post-IRAWP wells (described in **Section 6.0**), as well as the four



wells located on the Shin/Choi Property. Six of the 109 wells installed on the TOC Site and adjacent properties have been decommissioned to date. Therefore, 103 active wells are included in the groundwater monitoring scope of work for the annual event. Groundwater samples are only collected from wells that do not contain product. Since product is typically observed at MW71 and MW72 (located on the Shin/Choi Property), and MW102 (located on the Herman Property), samples are not collected from these locations. The annual event takes place during the first quarter of each year. The results of the 2016 annual event were provided in the 2016 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report (Stantec 2016).

6.2 Quarterly Event Scope of Work

The original scope of work defined in the IRAWP (SES 2011) for the quarterly events includes:

- 1) Collecting DTW/DTP level measurements for all active wells (excluding wells located on the Shin/Choi Property and MW75 located in the 56th Avenue ROW); and
- 2) Collecting groundwater samples from 30 active monitoring and remediation wells installed on the TOC Site.

In addition to collecting DTW/DTP level measurements and groundwater samples from the active wells identified in the IRAWP, the groundwater monitoring scope of work for the quarterly events was revised by Stantec at the request of TOC to also include:

- 1) Measuring DTW/DTP levels from the 20 post-IRAWP wells (described in **Section 6.0**), as well as the four wells located on the Shin/Choi Property; and
- 2) Sampling the four wells located on the Shin/Choi Property and select post-IRAWP wells.

Similar to the annual event, groundwater samples are only collected from wells that do not contain product; therefore, wells MW71 and MW72 (located on the Shin/Choi Property), and MW102 (located on the Herman Property) are typically not sampled. Quarterly events take place during the second, third and fourth quarters of each year. The results of the 2Q2016 quarterly event are provided herein.

The table below identifies the 30 active monitoring and remediation wells scheduled for quarterly sampling (per the requirements of the IRAWP [SES 2011]). All of the wells scheduled for quarterly sampling are located in the Intermediate Zone with the exception of MW09 and MW27, which are Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells.

Sample Location/ Well ID ⁽¹⁾	Property	Sample Location/ Well ID ⁽¹⁾	Property	Sample Location/ Well ID ⁽¹⁾	Property
MW09	TOC	MW49	56th Ave ROW	MW63	56th Ave ROW
MW10	TOC	MW50	56th Ave ROW	MW65	Drake
MW15 (4" RW)	TOC	MW51	56th Ave ROW	MW66	TOC/Farmasonis
MW20	TOC	MW52	56th Ave ROW	MW69 (2" RW)	Drake
MW27 (2" RW)	TOC	MW53	56th Ave ROW	MW70 (2" RW)	Drake
MW31 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	MW55	56th Ave ROW	MW77	Drake

Well Locations Sampled Quarterly (per IRAWP)



Groundwater Monitoring Scope of Work Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016

Sample Location/ Well ID ⁽¹⁾	Property	Sample Location/ Well ID ⁽¹⁾	Property	Sample Location/ Well ID ⁽¹⁾	Property
MW32 (4" RW)	TOC	MW56	TOC/Farmasonis	MW84	Drake
MW33	TOC	MW58	TOC/Farmasonis	MW85	Drake
MW45	56th Ave ROW	MW59	TOC/Farmasonis	MW86	Drake
MW48	56th Ave ROW	MW60	56th Ave ROW	MW89	Drake

⁽¹⁾ Remediation wells (identified as "RW") are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter.

For the purpose of obtaining additional information regarding contaminant distribution, and at the request of TOC, select sampling locations identified in the below were added to the scope of work for quarterly groundwater monitoring events.

Sh	allow Zone Wells	Interm	ediate Zone Wells
Well ID	Property	Well ID (1)	Property
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	MW57 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis
MW67	Drake	MW73	Shin/Choi
MW68	Drake	MW74	Shin/Choi
MW71*	Shin/Choi	MW95 (4" RW)**	Drake
MW72*	Shin/Choi	MW96 (4" RW)	Drake
MW102*	Herman	MW98 (4" RW)	Drake
MW104	Herman	MW101 (4" RW)	Drake
MW106	Herman	MW103	Herman
		MW105	Herman
		MW107	Herman
		MW108	Herman
		MW109	Herman

Additional Wells Sampled Quarterly

 $^{\left(1\right) }$ Remediation wells are identified as "RW" and are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter.

*Sample is not collected from this location if product (LNAPL) is present.

**MW95 pump was turned off on April 30, 2015.

Based on preliminary results from the second quarter 2016 event, supplemental groundwater samples were collected by HydroCon from MW85, MW86, MW101, and MW108 on June 23, 2016. The purpose of these additional samples was to assess remediation progress near and along the border of the Drake and Herman Properties.



7.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING FIELD METHODOLOGY

Field procedures used to conduct groundwater monitoring are summarized in the following sections.

7.1 DTW/DTP Level Measurements

During the 2Q2016 field event, DTW/DTP levels were measured while the remediation systems were turned off to obtain information on baseline (i.e., non-pumping) groundwater flow patterns. DTW/DTP levels were also measured at all well locations while the remediation systems were operating to evaluate the influence of the remediation system pumping on groundwater flow. System-on measurements were collected at the beginning of the 2Q2016 field event (on May 12, 2016). The systems were turned off on May 16, 2016, and system-off measurements were collected at the end of the 2Q2016 field event (on May 26, 2016) to allow groundwater levels to recharge.

The DTW/DTP levels were measured after removing the monitoring well caps and allowing groundwater levels to equilibrate with atmospheric pressure. The DTW/DTP levels were measured relative to the top of the well casings to an accuracy of 0.01 feet using an electronic water level meter. Where LNAPL was previously observed or expected to occur, an oil/water interface probe was used to check for the presence of LNAPL and to measure the DTW/DTP level. When more than one water level meter was selected for a field event, a baseline measurement was collected using each instrument at one well location to check for consistency between the instruments. Any differences between measurements were then used to calibrate the instruments and correct the groundwater elevations, if necessary.

DTW/DTP level measurements were collected from active monitoring and remediation wells located on the TOC Site, and adjacent properties (242nd Avenue ROW and the Herman and Shin/Choi properties). Measurements are not collected from 2-inch wells (MW27, MW29, MW31, MW41, MW69 and MW70) because the diameter of water probe is too large to fit past the pump tubing. MW75 (located in the 56th Avenue ROW) is only gauged during the annual (first quarter) event and is subject to the Traffic Control Plan (WSDOT 2014).

The DTW/DTP measurements were used to calculate groundwater elevations based on a monitoring well survey performed by PACE Engineers, Inc. (PACE) in April and May 2014. The groundwater elevations were then contoured to identify groundwater flow direction and hydraulic gradients.

DTW/DTP level measurements and resulting groundwater elevations for the 2Q2016 event are discussed in **Sections 8.1 through 8.3** and presented in **Table 1-1** for system-off conditions and **Table 1-2** for systemon conditions.

7.2 Groundwater Sample Collection

During the quarterly groundwater monitoring events, 30 active wells are scheduled for groundwater sampling (per the IRAWP). As described in **Section 6.2**, HydroCon collected groundwater samples from additional Shallow and Intermediate Zone well locations for the purpose of obtaining supplemental information regarding contaminant distribution.



Field sampling methods and procedures used to collected groundwater samples are described in the following sections. Groundwater quality results are discussed in **Section 8.4** and presented in **Tables 2-1** *through 4-1*.

7.2.1 Groundwater Sampling Methods & Procedures

Groundwater sampling methods used for the quarterly events are summarized below. Methods used to collect individual samples are identified on the attached groundwater quality results tables.

- **Pneumatic Pump:** For remediation wells connected to a MPE remediation system, groundwater samples were collected using a dedicated downhole pneumatic pump. The pneumatic pump delivers a pulse of groundwater to the wellhead whenever the groundwater table rises above the pump intake. One set of field parameters (e.g., temperature, pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and oxidation-reduction potential) was collected from the remediation wells sampled with a pneumatic pump. Groundwater samples were collected from the pneumatic pump directly into laboratory-prepared sample containers using disposable polyethylene tubing.
- **Peristaltic Pump:** This sampling method was selected for monitoring wells installed in the Shallow and/or Shallow-Intermediate Intersect Zone with DTW levels less than 31 feet bgs (due to the inability of the pump to lift the water for sampling from greater depths). Purging and sampling with a peristaltic pump was performed using disposable polyethylene tubing at approximate flow rates of 0.1 liters per minute or less.
- Submersible Pump: This sampling method was selected for monitoring wells installed in the Intermediate, Deep, and/or Intermediate-Deep Intersect Zones with DTW levels greater than 31 feet bgs (in which case, a peristaltic pump could not be used for sampling). Submersible pumps were used in wells that had insufficient groundwater recharge rates and/or insufficient water column heights. Purging and sampling with a submersible pump was performed using disposable polyethylene tubing at flow rates ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 liters per minute. If the water table was above the top of the screen and, hence, the well screen was saturated, the intake tubing or the submersible pump was placed approximately in the middle of the screen. If however the groundwater table was below the top of the screen and, hence, the well screen and hence, the well screen was not fully-saturated, the intake tubing or submersible pump was placed near the middle of the water column.
- **Bailer:** The disposable polyethylene bailer sampling method was the last selected method and was only used under the following circumstances:
 - Historical analytical results indicated that elevated turbidity associated with bailing would not be likely to result in detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater samples.
 - Historical water columns are less than five feet and recharge makes sampling with a submersible pump problematic.

Well purging and groundwater sampling with disposable bailers required the removal of at least three well volumes from each monitoring well prior to sampling. Following well purging, samples were collected from the bailer directly into laboratory-prepared sample containers. If fewer than three well



Groundwater Monitoring Field Methodology Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016

volumes were purged when attempting to collect groundwater samples, the wells were allowed to recharge for several hours (or overnight) before samples were collected.

Samples collected with a peristaltic pump, submersible pump or bailer were collected in accordance with low-flow protocols (EPA 2010). When purging and sampling in accordance with low-flow protocols, HydroCon monitored groundwater field parameters using a YSI[™] or Quanta[™] water quality field meter equipped with a flow-through cell (except when sampling groundwater using a bailer). Field parameters, including temperature, pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and oxidation-reduction potential were monitored and recorded.

Following purging and stabilization of the field parameters, groundwater samples were collected from the pump outlet tubing located upstream of the flow-through cell and placed directly into laboratoryprepared sample containers. Purge water generated during this sampling event was placed in appropriately labeled 55-gallon steel drums and temporarily stored on the TOC Property for transfer to the remediation systems for treatment and permitted discharge to the sanitary sewer.

Each set of sample containers was labeled with a unique sample identification number, placed on ice and stored inside of a cooler, and transported to the analytical laboratory under standard chain-ofcustody protocols for laboratory analysis.

7.3 Laboratory Analyses

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (located in Seattle, Washington). The data were validated by Stantec and, in some cases, qualifiers were assigned. Results are reported between the method detection limits (MDLs) and the method reporting limits (MRLs) for all data packages. Results are typically reported as "not detected" when below the MRLs. In cases where the MRLs were not below MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater, the results are reported between the MDL and MRL and are considered estimates that are used for informational purposes only. The types of analyses performed are identified in the table below.

Analysis Type	Analysis Method	Sample Location / Well ID
Gasoline-Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons (GRPH)	NWTPH-Gx	Analyses performed for all groundwater samples collected (as shown on Tables 2-1, 3-1 and 4-1).
Oil-Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons (ORPH)	NWTPH-Dx	Analyses performed for groundwater samples
Diesel-Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons (DRPH)	NWTPH-Dx	-collected from select locations (as shown on Tables 2-1, 3-1 and 4-1).
Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, m,p-Xylene, & o-Xylene (BTEX)	EPA Method 8021B or EPA Method 8260C	Analyses performed for all groundwater samples collected (as shown on Tables 2-1, 3-1 and 4-1).
Methyl Tertiary-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	EPA Method 8260C	
1,2-Dicholoroethane/ Ethylene Dichloride (EDC)	EPA Method 8260C	Analyses performed for groundwater samples collected from select locations (as shown on Tables 2-2 and 3-2).
1,2-Dibromoethane/ Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	EPA Method 8011M	

Laboratory Analyses for Groundwater Samples



Analysis Type	Analysis Method	Sample Location / Well ID
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)	EPA Method 8270SIM	
Lead (Total & Dissolved)	EPA Method 200.8	

7.4 QA/QC Sampling Methods & Data Quality Review

The scope of work for groundwater monitoring events includes collection and laboratory analyses of groundwater samples for quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) purposes. QA/QC samples are collected to review the accuracy and precision of field sampling procedures and data supplied by the laboratory. A summary of the QA/QC samples collected for this 2Q2016 event is provided in the following sections. Analytical results for QA/QC samples collected during this event are included in the laboratory reports provided as **Appendix A**.

7.4.1 Field Blanks

In accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan provided as an attachment to the Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report (Stantec 2016), field blanks collected during each groundwater monitoring event include equipment/rinsate blanks and water blanks. Equipment/rinsate blanks consist of clean water (i.e. deionized water) that is poured through non-dedicated sampling equipment (submersible pumps) following decontamination on the field days when the non-dedicated equipment decontamination process. For this event, HydroCon collected one field equipment blank after each use and decontaminate the non-dedicated sampling equipment poured directly into sample containers. During this event, HydroCon collected two water blanks to evaluate water quality used for equipment decontamination. In addition, trip blanks were supplied by the laboratory and accompanied the collected groundwater samples to the laboratory. The purpose of the trip blanks was to evaluate the potential of cross-contamination between the sample containers during transport of the samples from the field to the laboratory. The sample IDs for the field blanks collected during this 2Q2016 event are listed in the table below (with the collection date in parentheses).

Sample Type	2Q2016					
Water Blank	 WB01 (05202016) 					
	 WB02 (05272016) 					
Trip Blank	 Trip Blank 					
	(05162016					
	 Trip Blank 					
	(05172016)					
	 TB03 					
	(05202016)					
	 Trip Blank 					
	(05242016)					
Equipment/Rinsate Blank	• EB01 • EB89					
	(05202016) (05242016)					
	• EB107 • EB65					

Field Blanks Collected During 2Q2016 Event



(05232016) EB55	(05242016) EB49
(05242016) EB63	(05252016) • EB56
(05252016)	(05252016)
 EB69 (05252016) 	 <u>EB58</u> (05252016)
 EB84 (05232016) 	 <u>EB59</u> (05252016)
EB85	<u>−(03232018)</u> ■ <u>EB60</u>
(05252016)	<u>(05252016)</u>

7.4.2 Blind Field Duplicate Samples

Blind field duplicate samples were collected from the locations identified in the table provided below. Duplicate samples are typically collected from two or more wells located on the TOC Site and from one well located on the Herman or Drake Property. Duplicate sample locations are selected based on locations where concentrations of the constituents analyzed are expected to be elevated. Duplicate samples are collected to evaluate accuracy and precision and determine if sample collection methods are reproducible. These samples were collected by the same method used to collect the primary sample. Analytical results are provided in the laboratory reports and presented on **Tables 2-1 through 4-1**.

Second Quarter 2016

Sample Location/Well ID	Property	Sampling Method	Sample ID	Duplicate Sample ID
MW09	TOC	Submersible Pump	MW09	MLT-01
MW20	TOC	Submersible Pump	MW20	MLT-02
MW25	TOC	Peristaltic Pump	MW25	MLT-05
MW86	Drake	Submersible Pump	MW86	MLT-03
MW28	TOC	Peristaltic Pump	MW28	MLT-04



8.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

Groundwater monitoring results for the 2Q2016 quarterly groundwater monitoring event are organized by monitoring well categories based on groundwater zone and well screen intervals intersecting groundwater zones (see discussion in **Section 4.0**). As previously mentioned, the five monitoring well categories include:

- 1. Shallow Zone Wells,
- 2. Intermediate Zone Wells,
- 3. Deep Zone Wells,
- 4. Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells, and
- 5. Intermediate-Deep Zone Intersect Wells.

8.1 DTW/DTP Level Measurements

A summary of information collected during each DTW/DTP level measurement event is provided in the table below. DTW/DTP level measurements collected and resulting groundwater elevations at individual well locations are presented in **Table 1-1**. Groundwater elevation results are discussed in **Section 8.2** and shown on groundwater elevation contour maps (**Figures 4 through 6**).

A summary of DTW level measurement data and a list of wells where measurable LNAPL was observed for 2Q2016 are provided in the table below. LNAPL measurements are presented in **Section 8.3**.

	2Q2016
Measurement Date	May 26, 2016
Total Dry Wells ⁽¹⁾	12
Total Inaccessible Wells ⁽²⁾	0
Shallowest DTW Level	9.71 feet bgs
Measurement	(MW61, 56 th Avenue ROW,
	Shallow Zone Well)
Deepest DTW Level	44.31 feet bgs
Measurement	(MW16, 242 nd Street ROW,
	Intermediate-Deep Zone
	Intersect Well)
Shallow Zone Wells with	 MW71 (Shin/Choi)
Measurable LNAPL	 MW72 (Shin/Choi)
	 MW102 (Herman)

System-Off DTW/DTP Level Measurements

*MW104 (another shallow zone well on Herman Property) exhibited a sheen (but not a measureable thickness of LNAPL) during this 2Q2016 event. Historically, MW104 has contained LNAPL and/or has been dry. During the 2Q16 event, a sheen was observed and the well was sampled.

⁽¹⁾ Wells did not have sufficient groundwater volume to measure DTW/DTP levels either because the well was dry (monitoring well) or the top of the pump was encountered before groundwater (remediation wells).

⁽²⁾ Includes wells that were inaccessible due to a vehicle or construction equipment blocking the wellhead during both the system-off DTW/DTP measurement event and the groundwater sampling event.



Groundwater Monitoring Results Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016

As described in **Section 7.1**, DTW/DTP levels are not measured in 2-inch remediation wells (MW27, MW29, MW31, MW41, MW69, and MW70) because the diameter of the water probe is too large to fit past the pump tubing. In addition, DTP/DTW levels are only measured in MW75 during the annual (first quarter) event because it is located in the 56th Avenue West right-of-way and requires traffic control. DTW/DTP levels in several other wells were not measured during each quarterly field event for one of the following reasons:

- 1. Monitoring Wells: insufficient groundwater or the well was inaccessible (indicated as "dry" on the groundwater elevation contour maps provided as *Figures 4 through 6*).
- 2. Remediation Wells: the top of the remediation pump was encountered prior to groundwater and access past the pump was not possible (indicated as "dry" on the groundwater elevation contour maps).
- 3. Wellhead was inaccessible during the field event (indicated as "NM" on the groundwater elevation contour maps).
- 4. The well was not included in the scope of work for the measurement event or the diameter of the water probe was too large to fit past pump tubing in 2-inch remediation wells (indicated as "NM" on the groundwater elevation contour maps).

8.2 Groundwater Elevations

Groundwater elevations were determined for this 2Q16 event when the remediation systems were turned off in order to evaluate groundwater flow patterns during baseline (i.e., non-pumping) conditions. As previously mentioned in **Section 7.1**, groundwater elevations for system-on conditions were also collected during this event from all accessible well locations to evaluate groundwater flow patterns during active remediation conditions. A discussion of observations for this 2Q2016 quarterly event is provided below for each groundwater zone.

8.2.1 Shallow Zone

Consistent with groundwater elevation data collected during previous events, groundwater flow in the Shallow Zone during this 2Q2016 event appears to be predominantly to the south-southeast, as shown in *Figure 4.* A relatively consistent horizontal hydraulic gradient ranging from approximately 0.02 to 0.05 feet/feet during this 2Q2106 event is present across the Site and adjacent properties to the south with the maximum gradient occurring in the central/southern portion of the Site (i.e., TOC/Farmasonis and Drake Properties). Steepening of gradients observed during previous events in the southern portion of the TOC Site were not observed during 2Q16, possibly related to seasonal variability In precipitation and infiltration rates. As discussed in *Section 4.1*, steepening of gradients observed during previous events could be related to increased infiltration in this area through emplaced fill from the UST excavation or from the former topographically closed depression, where surface runoff previously ponded, and the former stormwater infiltration pit.

8.2.2 Intermediate Zone

Similar to the Shallow Zone, groundwater flow in the Intermediate Zone during baseline (system-off/nonpumping) conditions appears to be generally to the south-southeast based on previous groundwater elevations and those measured during this 2Q2016 field event, as shown in **Figure 5a**. Horizontal



Groundwater Monitoring Results Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Quarter 2016

hydraulic gradients ranging from approximately 0.03 to 0.4 feet/feet occur across the TOC Site. As discussed in **Section 4.2**, steepening in the slope of the horizontal gradient is apparent in the vicinity of the TOC Property's southern boundary and is thought to be related to mounding of groundwater in the area of the TOC Property. This mounding could reflect the combined influences of the following: artificial recharge associated with emplaced fill in the former UST area and the stormwater infiltration pit and depression and/or the apparent presence of low permeability material restricting groundwater flow in that area. As groundwater moves downgradient and encounters higher permeability layers (e.g., gravels and sands), the horizontal hydraulic gradient flattens significantly.

Groundwater elevations based on DTW data collected while the remediation systems were operating are shown in **Figure 5b**. Comparison of these data with the system-off data indicate that hydraulic control is effectively being achieved on the TOC Property and the north and central portions of the TOC/Farmasonis Property, but is not as apparent on the southern part of the TOC/Farmasonis Property and the Drake Property. **Figure 5c and Table 1-3** show the difference between the system-on and system-off groundwater elevations with positive values showing hydraulic influence and negative values indicating that the system-off elevations are lower than the system-on data and therefore, groundwater elevations are not influenced by the remediation systems.

8.2.3 Deep Zone

Groundwater flow in the Deep Zone appears to be generally to the southeast. The horizontal hydraulic gradient has a relatively flat range from approximately 0.006 to 0.011 feet/feet during this 2Q2016 quarterly event (likely because the wells are screened in high permeability material). Groundwater elevations for the monitoring wells located in the Deep Zone are shown in **Figure 6**.

8.2.4 Well Screens Intersecting Multiple Zones

As previously mentioned, the well screens in 16 monitoring and remediation wells appear to intersect conditions of multiple groundwater zones. Since the groundwater level elevations for these wells do not correlate with a single groundwater zone, they appear anomalous when included with groundwater elevations representing a single groundwater zone, and therefore, were not used for groundwater elevation contouring. Data for these wells are shown on the Intermediate Zone contour maps identified in **Section 8.2.2**. The groundwater elevation data collected from the wells intersecting two groundwater zones are described below.

8.2.4.1 Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells

Fifteen monitoring and remediation wells appear to have screened intervals that intersect both Shallow and Intermediate Zone conditions (MW08, MW09, MW18, MW22, MW24, MW27, MW28, MW29, MW37, MW38, MW43, MW82, MW83, MW88 and MW100). Groundwater elevations for these wells are typically lower than Shallow Zone wells, but higher than Intermediate Zone wells due to influence of groundwater conditions from both the Shallow and Intermediate Zones.

8.2.4.2 Intermediate-Deep Zone Intersect Wells

One monitoring well (MW16) appears to have a screened interval that intersects both Intermediate and Deep Zone conditions. The well has been dry during many sampling events but during the 2Q2016 had



an approximate 3.4-foot water column. When measured, the groundwater elevations are typically lower than other Intermediate Zone wells due to influence from the Deep Zone.

8.3 LNAPL Measurements

A sheen was observed in one Shallow Zone well (MW104) on the Herman Property, and measurable LNAPL was observed in the three Shallow Zone monitoring wells identified below on the Shin/Choi and Herman Properties during this 2Q2016 quarterly event, consistent with previous events. The table below provides LNAPL thicknesses measured at these locations.

Location/Well ID	Property	LNAPL Thickness in feet
MW71	Shin/Choi	2.31
MW72	Shin/Choi	0.72
MW102	Herman	2.86

Measurable LNAPL in Shallow Zone Wells during Second Quarter 2016 Quarterly Event

8.4 Groundwater Quality Results

Analytical results for this 2Q2016 event are provided on **Tables 2-1 through 4-1**. The types of laboratory analyses performed by Friedman & Bruya for the groundwater samples collected are described in **Section 7.3**, and analytical reports for this quarterly event are provided in **Appendix A**. As shown on the attached tables, the analytical results indicate several constituents were consistently detected in groundwater samples at concentrations above the MRLs (i.e., detected concentrations) and above MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

A summary of the analytical results that exceed the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for each well network are presented in the following sections. A summary of the results for this 2Q2016 event is provided in **Section 9.1**, followed by a list of conclusions in **Section 9.2**.

8.4.1 Shallow Zone

The Shallow Zone well network includes 20 active monitoring wells and one decommissioned well. The scope of work defined in the IRAWP does not require quarterly groundwater sampling of any of the wells in this zone. As previously discussed, HydroCon collected samples from select Shallow Zone wells for the purpose of obtaining additional information regarding contaminant distribution. Additional sampling locations are described in **Section 6.2**.

The table below identifies sample concentrations that meet or exceed MTCA Method A cleanup levels during the 2Q2016 event. **Tables 2-1 and 2-2** summarize the analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from Shallow Zone wells. Distribution maps for GRPH and benzene concentrations in the Shallow Zone are provided as **Figures 7 and 8** for this event.



Anglyta	MTCA Method A	Sample Location/	Property	Anglytical Posults (ug/L)
Analyte	Cleanup Level (µg/L)	Well ID	Property	Analytical Results (µg/L)
		MW71	Shin/Choi	LNAPL ⁽¹⁾
GRPH	800 when benzene is	MW72	Shin/Choi	LNAPL ⁽¹⁾
GKFH	present	MW102	Herman	LNAPL ⁽¹⁾
		MW104*	Herman	9,300
DRPH ^(a)	500	MW104*	Herman	4,700
		MW71	Shin/Choi	LNAPL ⁽¹⁾
Benzene	5	MW72	Shin/Choi	LNAPL ⁽¹⁾
benzene	5	MW102	Herman	LNAPL ⁽¹⁾
Naphthalene	160	MW104*	Herman	270

2Q2016 Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Exceeding Cleanup Levels (Shallow Zone Wells)

*Sheen was detected at MW104 and the well was sampled by HydroCon.

J = Indicates the analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. Qualifier was assigned based on data validation protocol.

^(a) The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the diesel extended analysis standard used for quantitation.

⁽¹⁾ Samples were not collected from well location due to presence of product (LNAPL). Exceedance of MTCA cleanup levels is expected due to the presence of LNAPL.

8.4.2 Intermediate Zone

At the time of the 2Q2016 event, the Intermediate Zone well network included 62 active wells (18 of which serve as remediation wells) and four decommissioned wells. As indicated in **Section 5.0**, the pump in remediation well MW95 was turned off on April 30, 2015 (prior to 2Q2015), reducing the number of active remediation wells to 17. As previously mentioned, the pump was turned on for one day at the time of sampling during the quarterly field event. The scope of work defined in the IRAWP requires quarterly groundwater sampling of 28 of the 62 active wells in this zone. As previously discussed, in addition to sampling the required wells, HydroCon also sampled several other select Intermediate Zone wells for the purpose of obtaining additional information regarding contaminant distribution. Additional sampling locations are described in **Section 6.2**.

The table below identifies sample concentrations that meet or exceed MTCA Method A cleanup levels during the quarterly event. **Tables 3-1 and 3-2** summarize the analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from Intermediate Zone wells. Distribution maps for GRPH and benzene concentrations in the Intermediate Zone are provided as **Figures 9 and 10** for this 2Q2016 event.

Analyte	MTCA Method A	Sample Location/	Bronorth	Analytical Results (up/l)	
	Cleanup Level (µg/L)	Well ID (1)	Property	Analytical Results (µg/L)	
GRPH	800 when benzene is	MW48	56th Ave ROW	4,800	
	present	MW69 (2" RW)	Drake	3,300	
		MW73	Shin/Choi	67,000	
		MW74	Shin/Choi	100,000	
		MW90	TOC	4,600	
		MW108	Herman	1,600/3,200*	

2Q2016 Analytical Results for Groundwater Samples Exceeding Cleanup Levels (Intermediate Zone)



Anglyte	MTCA Method A	Sample Location/	Propost (
Analyte	Cleanup Level (µg/L)	Well ID (1)	Property	Analytical Results (µg/L)
DRPH (a)	500	MW69 (2" RW)	Drake	1,100
		MW70	Drake	540
		MW73	Shin/Choi	3,300
		MW74	Shin/Choi	5,400
		MW103	Herman	860
		MW108	Herman	650*
Benzene	5	MW73	Shin/Choi	12,000
		MW74	Shin/Choi	19,000
		MW103	Herman	320
Toluene	1,000	MW73	Shin/Choi	4,000
		MW74	Shin/Choi	18,000
Ethylbenzene	700	MW73	Shin/Choi	2,300
		MW74	Shin/Choi	1,800
MTBE	20	MW74	Shin/Choi	420
		MW103	Herman	380
EDB	0.01	MW73	Shin/Choi	0.2
		MW74	Shin/Choi	2.4
		MW103	Herman	0.028
Total Lead	15	MW90	TOC	21.4
Naphthalene	160	MW73	Shin/Choi	250
		MW74	Shin/Choi	220

^(a) The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the diesel extended analysis standard used for quantitation.

⁽¹⁾ Remediation wells are identified as "RW" and are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter.

⁽²⁾ Historically, MW74 has been dry or has contained product at this location.

*Indicates sample collected during a supplemental event on June 23, 2016 from this location.

8.4.3 Deep Zone

The Deep Zone well network includes six active monitoring wells. The scope of work defined in the IRAWP does not require quarterly groundwater sampling of any of the active wells installed in this zone.

8.4.4 Well Screens Intersecting Multiple Zones

As described in **Section 4.4**, 15 active wells (four of which serve as remediation wells) and one decommissioned well appear to have wells screens that intersect conditions of multiple groundwater zones. The groundwater quality results for monitoring wells in these zones are discussed in the following sections. GRPH and benzene concentrations in wells that intersect multiple groundwater zones are shown on the Intermediate Zone distribution maps provided as **Figures 9 and 10** for this 2Q2016 event.

8.4.4.1 Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells

The Shallow-Intermediate Zone intersect well network includes 14 active wells (four of which serve as remediation wells) and one decommissioned well. The scope of work defined in the IRAWP requires quarterly groundwater sampling of two (MW09 and MW27) of the 14 active wells in this zone; however, four select wells (MW22, MW24, MW28, and MW29) were also sampled by HydroCon for the purpose of obtaining additional information regarding contaminant distribution. As shown in **Table 4-1**, the analytical results of the samples collected did not exceed MTCA Method A cleanup levels for 2Q2016.



Because MTBE, EDC, EDB, and PAHs were not analyzed for any of these samples, a groundwater quality results table for common fuel additives is not provided for this zone. Total and dissolved leads were analyzed for MW29 during 2Q2016 and both constituents were non-detect at less than 1 micrograms per Liter (ug/L).

8.4.4.2 Intermediate-Deep Zone Intersect Wells

The scope of work defined in the IRAWP does not require quarterly groundwater monitoring for the one monitoring well (MW16 located within the 242nd Street ROW) that intersects Intermediate and Deep Zone conditions. Groundwater sampling for MW16 is performed during the annual (first quarter) event.

8.5 QA/QC & Data Quality Results

As described in **Section 6.0**, the scope of work for the quarterly groundwater monitoring events included collection and laboratory analyses of groundwater samples for QA/QC purposes. Stantec performed a QA/QC (data validation) review of the analytical results, which included a review of accuracy and precision of data supplied by the laboratory per EPA guidelines. The data validation resulted in assignment of qualifiers to several sample results. Analytical results for field duplicates and method duplicates and data validation qualifiers are provided on the attached groundwater quality results tables. Analytical results for all other QA/QC samples, including water blanks, trip blanks, and equipment/rinsate blanks are provided in the laboratory reports provided as **Appendix A**.



9.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS & CONCLUSIONS

A summary of the results and a list of conclusions for this 2Q2016 quarterly groundwater monitoring event are provided in **Sections 9.1 and 9.2**, respectively.

9.1 Summary of 2Q2016 Results

9.1.1 DTW/DTP Level Measurements

- DTW level measurements ranged from 9.71 feet bgs for MW61 (a Shallow Zone well located within the 56th Avenue ROW) to 44.31 feet bgs for MW16 (an Intermediate-Deep Zone Intersect well located within the 242nd Street ROW).
- Measurable LNAPL was observed in three Shallow Zone monitoring wells (MW71 and MW72 located on the Shin/Choi Property and MW102 located on the Herman Property). A sheen (but not a measureable product thickness) was detected at MW104 on the Herman Property.
- Comparison of system-on and system-off groundwater elevations indicate that the remediation systems on the TOC Property (Unit 1) and the TOC/Farmasonis Property (Unit 2) are providing effective hydraulic control, but to a lesser extent on the Drake Property (Unit 3).

9.1.2 Groundwater Quality

- Shallow Zone: Concentrations did not exceed MTCA Method A cleanup levels in groundwater samples collected from the TOC Site. Locations of groundwater samples that exceeded MTCA cleanup levels during 2Q2016 are described below. Samples were not collected from MW71 and MW72 (located on the Shin/Choi Property) due to the presence of product in the wells.
 - As shown in *Figures 7 and 8*, GRPH and benzene concentration exceeding MTCA A cleanup levels were observed near the northern boundary of the Herman Property at MW104. Because LNAPL was observed in MW102 on the Herman Property and MW71 and MW72 on the Shin/Choi Property, additional GRPH and benzene plume areas were added to include these three locations.
 - In addition, DRPH and one PAH (naphthalene) exceeded MTCA A cleanup levels in the groundwater sample collected from MW104.
- Intermediate Zone: Concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels were detected in groundwater samples collected from the Intermediate Zone wells as described below.
 - As shown in *Figures 9 and 10*, concentrations of GRPH and/or benzene exceeding MTCA cleanup levels were focused in the following areas:
 - MW48 56th Avenue ROW: located on the east side of the ROW, adjacent to the property line shared by the TOC/Farmasonis and Drake Properties;
 - MW69 Drake Property: located in the southwest portion of the property;
 - MW73 Shin/Choi Property: located in the southwest portion of the property in the vicinity of the historic excavation area;



- MW74 Shin/Choi Property: located in the northern portion of the property adjacent to the Herman Property;
- MW90 TOC Property; located in the northwest portion of the property where the USTs were formerly located; and
- MW108 Herman Property; located in the northeast portion of the property close to the border with the adjacent Drake Property.
- Concentrations of other constituents exceeding MTCA cleanup levels were focused in the following areas:
 - MW90 TOC Property: The concentration of total lead exceeded the cleanup level in the northwest portion of the property.
 - MW69 and MW70 Drake Property: Concentrations of DRPH exceeded cleanup levels in groundwater samples from both wells.
 - MW73 and MW74 Shin/Choi Property: Concentrations of DRPH, toluene, ethylbenzene, MTBE, EDB, and one PAH (naphthalene) exceeded cleanup levels in groundwater samples collected from at least one of these wells.
 - MW103 Herman Property: Concentrations of DRPH, MTBE, and EDB exceeded cleanup levels in the southwest area of the property, downgradient from the historic UST excavation area.
 - MW108 Herman Property: the concentration of DRPH exceeded the cleanup level in the northeast portion of the property, near the border with the adjacent Drake Property.
- **Deep Zone:** Groundwater samples were not collected from wells located in the Deep Zone during this quarterly event (per the scope of work defined in the IRAWP).
- Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells: Concentrations of samples collected from Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect wells during this quarterly event did not exceed MTCA cleanup levels.
- Intermediate-Deep Zone Intersect Wells: Groundwater samples were not collected from the well located in the Intermediate-Deep Zone during this quarterly event (per the scope of work defined in the IRAWP).

9.2 Conclusions

A list of conclusions based on the results from this 2Q2016 quarterly event is provided below:

- The overall direction of groundwater flow through the Shallow, Intermediate, and Deep Zones is toward the south-southeast, consistent with data from prior events.
- Shallow Zone groundwater impacts from petroleum hydrocarbons are not currently observed at the TOC Site. Impacts to Shallow Zone groundwater exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels were limited to the Herman and Shin/Choi Properties during the reporting period.
- LNAPL has been consistently observed in Shallow Zone wells on the Shin/Choi Property and typically in the southernmost Shallow Zone well on the Herman Property (adjacent to the



Shin/Choi Property line). Based on historical information (presented in the Stantec 2014, 2015, and 2016 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Reports), and the lack of current and historical measurable LNAPL in the Shallow Zone wells on the Drake Property (located directly upgradient of the wells containing LNAPL), the source of the free product in MW71, MW72 and MW102 appears to be different than that of the TOC Property and may originate from USTs historically or currently located on the Herman and Shin/Choi Properties.

- Intermediate Zone groundwater impacts from petroleum hydrocarbons on the TOC Site are isolated to the 56th Avenue ROW in the area adjacent to the Drake and TOC/Farmasonis property line and north of the shared Drake and Herman Property line. Additional impacts from petroleum hydrocarbons in the Intermediate Zone are observed on the Shin/Choi Property in the area adjacent to the Herman Property line and in the area of the historic UST excavation. Based on the current and historical concentration distribution patterns and comparison of contaminant concentrations on the TOC Site with those in the southernmost plume area (located on the Shin/Choi Property), the Intermediate Zone impacts on the TOC Site appear to be separate from those on the Shin/Choi Property.
- For the first time since well installation in June 2015, MW108, located at the northern portion of the Herman Property, contained a GRPH concentration (1,600 µg/L) exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level during the 2Q16 event and during the supplemental event in June 2016 (3,200 µg/L). Comparison of the concentrations just north of the Drake-Herman property boundaries indicates significantly lower GRPH concentrations currently and historically than at MW108. Therefore, the impacts at MW108 do not appear to be related to impacts on the TOC Site. The increase in GRPH concentrations at this location could be related to recent exposure of petroleum-impacted soil on the east side of the Herman Property during construction activities on Mountlake Senior Property and subsequent increased infiltration and downward migration to the intermediate zone through the exposed soil.
- Only minor GRPH impacts to groundwater from petroleum hydrocarbons (less than cleanup levels) were observed in three (3) Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect wells (MW24, MW27, and MW29) sampled during this 2Q2016 quarterly event. Since impacts to groundwater are no longer observed in Deep Zone and Intermediate-Deep Zone Intersect wells, quarterly groundwater sampling is not required. Groundwater samples are only collected from these zones during the annual (first quarter) event (per the scope of work defined in the IRAWP).
- The current vertical and lateral distributions of petroleum hydrocarbons in the three groundwater zones support the working hypothesis that contamination originating from the former USTs on the TOC Property has been mostly remediated with limited petroleum-impacted groundwater remaining within the Intermediate Zone on the TOC, TOC/Farmasonis and Drake Properties.



10.0 FUTURE GROUNDWATER TASKS

The 2Q2016 groundwater monitoring event was performed by HydroCon from May 12 through 26, 2016, with the results documented herein. The table below identifies the month during which the remaining two 2016 quarterly groundwater monitoring events will take place. Reports for quarterly groundwater monitoring events will take place.

2016 Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Event Schedule

Quarter	Field Event Dates			
3Q2016	August 2016			
4Q2016	November 2016			



11.0 REFERENCES

- Ecology 2007. Washington State Department of Ecology. Table 720-1, Method A Cleanup Levels for Ground Water. Model Toxics Control Act Regulation and Statute. Cleanup Regulation Chapter 173-340 WAC. October 12.
- Ecology 2011. Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). Agreed Order No. DE 8661, TOC Facility No. 01-176. October 28.
- Ecology 2014. Washington State Department of Ecology. Comments provided to SES re: Draft Remedial Investigation (RI) Report, TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. January 3 (approximate).
- EPA 2010. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Low Stress (low flow) Purging and Sampling Procedure for the Collection of Ground Water Samples from Monitoring Wells. Updated January 19.
- Galster, R.W and W.T. Laprade (Galster and Laprade). 1991. "Geology of Seattle, Washington, United States of America." Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists. v 28 (no. 3): p 235–302.
- Lenhard and Parker 1990. Lenhard, R. J. and Parker, J. C. Estimation of Free Hydrocarbon Volume from Fluid Levels in Monitoring Wells. Groundwater, 28: 57–67. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-6584.1990.tb02229.x. January.
- SES 2011. Sound Environmental Services. Interim Remedial Action Work Plan (IRAWP), TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. July 28.
- SES 2013. Sound Environmental Services. Draft Remedial Investigation (RI) Report, TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. November 27.
- Stantec 2014. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 2014 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. September 17.
- Stantec 2015. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 2015 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. July 31.
- Stantec 2016. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 2016 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-176, 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043. June 30.
- Time Oil Company [sic] (Time Oil Co.) 1975. Blueprint Drawing No. 1390: Conduits, Piping, Electrical Service, Lighting, Retaining Wall & Lot Drainage, Mountlake Terrace, Wash. September 8.
- WSDOT 2014. Washington State Department of Transportation. Traffic Control Plan: Left and Center Lane Closure Two-Way Left Turn Lane Standard Plan, K-26 40-01. Revised March 30.



- 1-1 Depth-to-Groundwater Level & Product Thickness Measurements (System Off)
- 1-2 Depth-to-Groundwater Level & Product Thickness Measurements (System On)
- 1-3 Comparison of System-On and System-Off Groundwater Elevations, May 2016
- 2-1 Groundwater Quality Results for Select Constituents, Shallow Zone Wells
- 2-2 Groundwater Quality Results for Common Fuel Additives, Shallow Zone Wells
- 3-1 Groundwater Quality Results for Select Constituents, Intermediate Zone Wells
- 3-2 Groundwater Quality Results for Common Fuel Additives, Intermediate Zone Wells
- 4-1 Groundwater Quality Results for Select Constituents, Shallow-Intermediate Zone Intersect Wells



TABLE 1-1 Depth-to-Groundwater Level and Product Thickness Measurements (System Off) Second Quarter 2016 TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, Washington

Well Identifier (a)	Property	Groundwater Zone	Date	Time (24:00)	Reference Elevation (feet) (b)	DTW (feet) (c)	Groundwater Elevation (feet) (d, e)	Product (LNAPL) Thickness (feet)	Notes / Observations
MW01	тос	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 10/02/2009
MW02	тос	Shallow	05/26/2016	13:59	358.71	10.56	348.15		
MW03	TOC	Shallow	05/26/2016	15:09	361.85	12.07	349.78		
MW04 MW05	56th Ave ROW	Shallow Shallow	05/26/2016 05/26/2016	14:15	361.96	10.64	351.32		
MW05 MW06	242nd St ROW TOC	Shallow	05/26/2016	14:15 13:47	363.70 358.98	10.72 12.38	352.98 346.60		
MW07	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
		Shallow-							
MW08	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate Shallow-	05/26/2016	14:19	360.34	20.55	339.79		
MW09	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:05	360.32	25.33	334.99		
MW10	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:55	357.91	28.09	329.82		
MW11 (4" RW)	TOC	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:11	362.34	23.89	338.45		
MW12	56th Ave ROW	Shallow Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:53	357.65	9.76	347.89		
MW13 MW14	56th Ave ROW TOC/Farmasonis	NA	05/26/2016 NA	14:51 NA	357.34 NA	40.31 NA	317.03 NA	 NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
MW15	TOC	Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:39	357.56	31.43	326.13		
		Intermediate-							
MW16 MW17	242nd St ROW TOC/Farmasonis	Deep NA	05/26/2016 NA	14:13 NA	365.18 NA	44.31 NA	320.87 NA	 NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
		Shallow-							
MW18 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:49	357.91	NM	NM	NM	
MW19	тос	Shallow	05/26/2016	13:53	358.86	12.55	346.31		
MW20	TOC	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:01	359.93	30.04	329.89		
MW21	тос	NA Shallow-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 04/16/2012
MW22	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:53	358.52	28.61	329.91		
MW23	тос	Intermediate Shallow-	05/26/2016	13:37	357.08	39.10	317.98		
MW24 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:07	361.97	21.93	340.04		
MW25	TOC	Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:57	358.70	26.76	331.94		
MW26 MW27 (2" RW)	тос тос	Deep Shallow-	05/26/2016	14:17 NM	363.81 362.51	43.91 NM	319.90 NM	 NM	
MW28	тос	Intermediate Shallow- Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:51	358.41	27.02	331.39		
MW29 (2" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	358.93	NM	NM	NM	
MW30	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	05/26/2016	13:35	356.46	37.82	318.64		
MW31 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	357.08	NM	NM	NM	
MW32 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:03	359.95	23.16	336.79		
MW33	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:51	358.24	34.32	323.92		
MW34	тос	Shallow	05/26/2016	13:41	357.88	11.57	346.31		
MW35 MW36	тос тос	Intermediate Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:43	358.46	39.64 40.93	318.82		
		Shallow-	05/26/2016	13:45	357.98	40.93	317.05		
MW37	тос	Intermediate Shallow-	05/26/2016	13:49	358.90	20.50	338.40		
MW38	тос	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:19	364.42	18.22	346.20		
MW39	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	05/26/2016	16:00	355.88	37.92	317.96		
MW40	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	05/26/2016	14:49	356.32	37.84	318.48		
MW41 (2" RW) MW42	TOC/Farmasonis TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate Intermediate	05/26/2016 05/26/2016	NM 15:04	356.14 356.43	NM 39.68	NM 316.75	NM	
MW42	56th Ave ROW	Shallow- Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:26	358.84	34.41	324.43		
MW44	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:32	354.93	DRY	DRY	DRY	
MW45	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:49	356.49	38.62	317.87		
MW46	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:30	357.00	39.96	317.04		
MW47	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	16:44	355.47	39.34	316.13		
MW48	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:38	355.41	39.81	315.60		
MW49	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:44	356.44	40.04	316.40		
MW50 MW51	56th Ave ROW 56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:19 15:42	361.99 352.66	33.49 38.05	328.50 314.61		
MW51 MW52	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:42	352.66	40.01	314.61 315.60		
MW52 MW53	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:40	359.85	39.99	319.86		
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	05/26/2016	14:47	357.93	10.59	347.34		
MW55	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:32	356.50	39.99	316.51		
MW56	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:49	357.49	40.85	316.64		
MW57 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:05	356.42	40.50	315.92		
MW58	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:02	355.40	39.78	315.62		
MW59	TOC/Farmasonis 56th Ave ROW	Intermediate Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:52	356.51	39.92	316.59		
MW60 MW61	56th Ave ROW 56th Ave ROW	Shallow	05/26/2016 05/26/2016	14:26 14:28	358.58 357.17	40.57 9.71	318.01 347.46		
MW61 MW62	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	05/26/2016	14:22	360.50	11.02	349.48		
MW63	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:38	355.11	39.41	315.70		
MW64	56th Ave ROW	Deep	05/26/2016	14:40	355.18	37.15	318.03		
MW65	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:23	353.08	38.29	314.79		
MW66	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:49	355.75	39.23	316.52		
MW67	Drake	Shallow	05/26/2016	15:19	355.73	11.87	343.86		
MW68	Drake	Shallow	05/26/2016	15:21	355.11	11.73	343.38		

Stantec

TABLE 1-1 Depth-to-Groundwater Level and Product Thickness Measurements (System Off) Second Quarter 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, Washington

Well Identifier (a)	Property	Groundwater Zone	Date	Time (24:00)	Reference Elevation (feet) (b)	DTW (feet) (c)	Groundwater Elevation (feet) (d, e)	Product (LNAPL) Thickness (feet)	Notes / Observations
MW69 (2" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	353.76	NM	NM	NM	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW70 (2" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	354.17	NM	NM	NM	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW71	Shin/Choi	Shallow	05/26/2016	NM	347.92	NM	NM	NM	Product in well
MW72	Shin/Choi	Shallow	05/26/2016	NM	347.38	NM	NM	NM	Product in well
MW73	Shin/Choi	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:25	347.33	35.14	312.19		
MW74	Shin/Choi	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:23	347.94	35.19	312.75		
MW75	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	Well is only measured during annual (first quarter) event and is subject to Traffic Control Plan (WSDOT 2014).
MW76	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:30	351.69	36.47	315.22		
MW77	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:49	349.95	35.54	314.41		
MW78	Drake	Deep	05/26/2016	14:51	349.90	33.91	315.99		
MW79	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	05/26/2016	15:52	353.98	13.19	340.79		
MW80	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	05/26/2016	15:50	353.83	14.22	339.61		
MW81	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	13:55	355.60	39.53	316.07		
MW82	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow- Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:51	355.59	28.83	326.76		
MW83	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/21/2011 (REPLACED WITH MW100)
MW84	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW85	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:22	351.28	36.74	314.54		
MW86	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:20	352.72	38.18	314.54		
MW87	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:24	349.72	35.56	314.16		
MW88	Drake	Shallow- Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:32	351.63	16.62	335.01	-	
MW89	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	16:04	353.86	38.89	314.97		
MW90 (4" RW)	TOC	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:15	362.87	27.29	335.58		
MW91 (4" RW)	TOC	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:13	362.67	24.84	337.83		
MW92 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	16:03	357.91	41.15	316.76		
MW93 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:45	355.97	39.33	316.64		
MW94 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	05/26/2016	14:45	357.94	DRY	DRY	DRY	
MW95 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:14	354.67	39.21	315.46		
MW96 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:12	356.00	40.04	315.96		
MW97 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:16	354.29	38.92	315.37		
MW98 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	NM	NM	NM		Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW99 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	NM	353.58	NM	NM	NM	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW100	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow- Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:46	355.75	17.14	338.61		
MW101 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:18	352.05	37.39	314.66		
MW102	Herman	Shallow	05/26/2016	NM	352.39	NM	NM	NM	Product in well
MW103	Herman	Intermediate	05/26/2016	16:09	352.21	39.29	312.92		
MW104	Herman	Shallow	05/26/2016	15:38	353.00	12.29	340.71	NM	Sheen
MW105	Herman	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:40	353.05	38.85	314.20		
MW106	Herman	Shallow	05/26/2016	15:34	349.24	13.82	335.42		
MW107	Herman	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:36	349.56	36.08	313.48		
MW108	Herman	Intermediate	05/26/2016	16:07	351.09	36.67	314.42		
MW109	Herman	Intermediate	05/26/2016	15:38	353.35	39.32	314.03		

Notes:

(a) Remediation wells (identified as "RW") are 2 or 4 inches in diameter and are connected to a multi-phase extraction system.

Measurements are not collected from 2" RWs because the diameter of the water probe is too large to fit past pump tubing.

(b) Reference elevation is the north side of the top of the well casing (except for MW25 where the reference elevation is the high point on the PVC casing and for MW99 where the reference elevation is the top of the well cap). Elevations are measured in feet above mean sea level (North American Vertical Datum of 1988 [NAVD 88]). PACE Engineers, Inc. performed well location and elevation surveys for all active wells in April and May 2014.

(c) DTW/DTP was measured from surveyed reference elevation [see note (b)].

 (d) Where product (LNAPL) thickness was measured, groundwater elevation was adjusted to account for the presence of LNAPL using the method from "Estimation of Free Hydrocarbon Volume from Fluid Levels in Monitoring Wells" (Lenhard & Parker 1990). Product thickness is calculated using DTP level measured concurrently with DTW level.
 (e) Groundwater elevation represents "system off" data (i.e., natural site conditions).

Definitions:

-- = No measurable product or odor observed.

DRY = Unable to measure DTW due to insufficient groundwater (in monitoring well) or groundwater level was below the top of pump (in remediation well).

Trace = Observed <0.01 feet of LNAPL.

Sheen = Iridescence on surface of groundwater that is indicative of LNAPL.

Acronyms:

DTP = depth-to-product DTW = depth-to-groundwater LNAPL = liquid non-aqueous phase liquid NA = not available NM = not measured RW = remediation well

List of Properties:

TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA 56th Ave ROW = right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & Drake properties 242nd St ROW = portion of right-of-way adjacent to TOC Property


<u>TABLE 1-2</u> Depth-to-Groundwater Level and Product Thickness Measurements (System On) Second Quarter 2016 TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, Washington

Well Identifier (a)	Property	Groundwater Zone	Date	Time (24:00)	Reference Elevation (feet) (b)	DTW (feet) (c)	Groundwater Elevation (feet) (d, e)	Product (LNAPL) Thickness (feet)	Notes / Observations
MW01	TOC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 10/02/2009
MW02	TOC	Shallow	5/12/2016	13:36	358.71	10.12	348.59		
MW03 MW04	TOC 56th Ave ROW	Shallow Shallow	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	13:26 13:28	361.85 361.96	12.19 10.37	349.66 351.59		
MW04 MW05	242nd St ROW	Shallow	5/12/2016	13:28	361.96	10.37	353.46		
MW06	TOC	Shallow	5/12/2016	13:10	358.98	11.84	347.14		
MW07	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
MW08	56th Ave ROW	Shallow-	5/12/2016	15:47	360.34	24.86	335.48		
	Source not	Intermediate Shallow-	5/12/2010	10.17	500.51	2 1100	555110		
MW09	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:32	360.32	28.70	331.62		
MW10	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:42	357.91	33.53	324.38		
MW11 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:24	362.34	30.10	332.24		
MW12	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	5/12/2016	13:58	357.65	9.09	348.56		
MW13	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	14:00	357.34	40.65	316.69		
MW14	TOC/Farmasonis	NA Intermediate	NA	NA 12.04	NA	NA 20.75	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
MW15	тос	Intermediate-	5/12/2016	13:04	357.56	39.75	317.81		
MW16	242nd St ROW	Deep	5/12/2016	13:14	365.18	44.05	321.13		
MW17	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
MW18 (4" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:48	357.91	DRY	DRY		Probe cannot fit past top of pump
MW19	TOC	Shallow	5/12/2016	13:40	358.86	11.94	346.92		
MW20	TOC	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:36	359.93	35.63	324.30		
MW21	тос	NA Shallow-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 04/16/2012
MW22	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:38	358.52	30.04	328.48		
MW23	TOC	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:02	357.08	38.96	318.12		
MW24 (4" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:30	361.97	33.36	328.61		
MW25	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:34	358.70	32.50	326.20		
MW26 MW27 (2" RW)	тос	Deep Shallow-	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	13:20 NM	363.81 362.51	43.70 NM	320.11 NM	NM	Diameter of water probe is too large to fit past 2"
, , MW28	тос	Intermediate Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:44	358.41	27.76	330.65		remediation pump tubing.
MW29 (2" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016	NM	358.93	NM	NM	NM	Diameter of water probe is too large to fit past 2"
MW30	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	5/12/2016	13:00	356.46	37.59	318.87		remediation pump tubing.
MW31 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	NM	357.08	NM	NM	NM	
MW32 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:36	359.95	28.90	331.05		
MW33	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:45	358.24	34.35	323.89		
MW34	TOC	Shallow Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:06	357.88	10.96	346.92		
MW35 MW36	тос тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	13:08 13:10	358.46 357.98	39.69 41.33	318.77 316.65		
MW37	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:14	358.90	21.39	337.51		
MW38	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:18	364.42	19.94	344.48		
MW39	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	5/12/2016	14:10	355.88	37.69	318.19		
MW40	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	5/12/2016	14:06	356.32	37.60	318.72		
MW41 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	NM	356.14	NM	NM	NM	
MW42 MW43	TOC/Farmasonis 56th Ave ROW	Intermediate Shallow-	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	13:58 15:51	356.43 358.84	39.65 34.91	316.78 323.93		
		Intermediate							
MW44 MW45	56th Ave ROW 56th Ave ROW	Intermediate Intermediate	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	16:15 13:52	354.93 356.49	DRY 38.30	DRY 318.19	DRY	
MW45 MW46	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	15:52	356.49	39.76	318.19		
MW47	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:01	355.47	39.48	315.99		
MW48	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	10:50	355.41	39.34	316.07		
MW49	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:54	356.44	40.25	316.19		
MW50 MW51	56th Ave ROW 56th Ave ROW	Intermediate Intermediate	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	15:45 16:05	361.99 352.66	35.50	326.49 314.91		
MW51 MW52	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:05	352.66	37.75 39.67	314.91 315.94		
MW53	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	15:49	359.85	39.91	319.94		
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	5/12/2016	13:48	357.93	9.92	348.01		
MW55	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	15:59	356.50	39.85	316.65		
MW56	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:50	357.49	41.54	315.95		
MW57 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:56	356.42	31.70	324.72		
MW58	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate Intermediate	5/12/2016	14:04	355.40	39.59	315.81		
MW59 MW60	TOC/Farmasonis 56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	14:06 15:53	356.51 358.58	40.55 40.76	315.96 317.82		
MW60 MW61	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	5/12/2016	15:55	358.58	8.45	317.82		
MW62	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	5/12/2016	15:49	360.50	10.46	350.04		
MW63	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:17	355.11	39.26	315.85		
MW64	56th Ave ROW	Deep	5/12/2016	16:19	355.18	36.90	318.28		
MW65	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:23	353.08	37.98	315.10		



TABLE 1-2 Depth-to-Groundwater Level and Product Thickness Measurements (System On) Second Quarter 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, Washington

Well Identifier (a)	Property	Groundwater Zone	Date	Time (24:00)	Reference Elevation (feet) (b)	DTW (feet) (c)	Groundwater Elevation (feet) (d, e)	Product (LNAPL) Thickness (feet)	Notes / Observations
MW66	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	14:08	355.75	39.79	315.96		
MW67	Drake	Shallow	5/12/2016	16:17	355.73	11.25	344.48		
MW68	Drake	Shallow	5/12/2016	16:21	355.11	11.19	343.92		
MW69 (2" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:08	353.76	38.26	315.50		
MW70 (2" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:21	354.17	NM	NM	NM	Diameter of water probe is too large to fit past 2" remediation pump tubing.
MW71	Shin/Choi	Shallow	5/12/2016	11:02	347.92	13.86	334.06	2.31	Product
MW72	Shin/Choi	Shallow	5/12/2016	10:59	347.38	14.75	332.63	0.72	Product
MW73	Shin/Choi	Intermediate	5/12/2016	10:58	347.33	34.83	312.50		
MW74	Shin/Choi	Intermediate	5/12/2016	11:04	347.94	34.82	313.12		
MW75	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	5/12/2016	NM	354.78	NM	NM	NM	Gauged only in Q1 Annual Event
MW76	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:40	351.69	36.22	315.47		
MW77	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:20	349.95	35.18	314.77		
MW78	Drake	Deep	5/12/2016	13:18	349.90	33.56	316.34		
MW79	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	5/12/2016	14:12	353.98	12.43	341.55		
MW80 MW81	TOC/Farmasonis TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow Intermediate	5/12/2016	14:10	353.83	13.61	340.22		
MW81 MW82	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	14:14 14:08	355.60 355.59	39.72 29.35	315.88 326.24		
MW83	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/21/2011 (REPLACED WITH MW100)
MW84	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	10:55	353.75	38.66	315.09		
MW85	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:29	351.28	36.45	314.83		
MW86	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:31	352.72	37.90	314.82		
MW87	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:35	349.72	35.35	314.37		
MW88	Drake	Shallow- Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:42	351.63	16.19	335.44		
MW89	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:04	353.86	38.63	315.23		
MW90 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:22	362.87	31.30	331.57		
MW91 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:28	362.67	DRY	DRY	DRY	Probe cannot fit past top of pump
MW92 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:56	357.91	44.68	313.23		
MW93 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:46	355.97	41.60	314.37		
MW94 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	13:54	357.94	DRY	DRY	DRY	Probe cannot fit past top of pump
MW95 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:11	354.67	37.00	317.67		Remediation pump turned off 04/30/2015.
MW96 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:21	356.00	40.00	316.00		
MW97 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:23	354.29	37.61	316.68		
MW98 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:13	354.75	DRY	DRY	DRY	Probe cannot fit
MW99 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate Shallow-	5/12/2016	16:44	353.58	DRY	DRY	DRY	Probe cannot fit past top of pump
MW100	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	5/12/2016	14:12	355.75	15.54	340.21		
MW101 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	5/12/2016	10:54	352.05	37.10	314.95		Des dest
MW102	Herman	Shallow Intermediate	5/12/2016	11:07	352.39	16.66	335.73	2.86	Product
MW103	Herman	Shallow	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	15:59	352.21	39.01	313.20		Dessible Dreduct (act detected by motor)
MW104	Herman	Intermediate		11:09	353.00	11.98	341.02		Possible Product (not detected by meter)
MW105 MW106	Herman	Shallow	5/12/2016 5/12/2016	16:02 15:53	353.05 349.24	38.60	314.45 335.90		
MW106 MW107	Herman Herman	Intermediate	5/12/2016	15:53	349.24 349.56	13.34 35.75	335.90 313.81		
MW107 MW108	Herman Herman	Intermediate	5/12/2016	16:00	349.56	36.50	313.81 314.59		
MW108 MW109	Herman	Intermediate	5/12/2016	11:08	353.35	38.75	314.59		
	nerman	internetite	J/12/2010	11.00	333.33	30.73	514.00		

Notes:

(a) Remediation wells (identified as "RW") are 2 or 4 inches in diameter and are connected to a multi-phase extraction system.

Measurements are not collected from 2" RWs because the diameter of the water probe is too large to fit past pump tubing.

(b) Reference elevation is the north side of the top of the well casing (except for MW25 where the reference elevation is the high point on the PVC casing and for MW99 where the reference elevation is the top of the well cap). Elevations are measured in feet above mean sea level (North American Vertical Datum of 1988 [NAVD 88]).

PACE Engineers, Inc. performed well location and elevation surveys for all active wells in April and May 2014.

(c) DTW/DTP was measured from surveyed reference elevation [see note (b)].

(d) Where product (LNAPL) thickness was measured, groundwater elevation was adjusted to account for the presence of LNAPL using the method from

"Estimation of Free Hydrocarbon Volume from Fluid Levels in Monitoring Wells" (Lenhard & Parker 1990). Product thickness is calculated using DTP level measured concurrently with DTW level. (e) Groundwater elevation represents "system on" data (i.e., pumping conditions).

Definitions:

-- = No measurable product or odor observed.

DRY = Unable to measure DTW due to insufficient groundwater (in monitoring well) or groundwater level was below the top of pump (in remediation well).

Trace = Observed <0.01 feet of LNAPL.

Sheen = Iridescence on surface of groundwater that is indicative of LNAPL.

Acronyms:



<u>TABLE 1-2</u> Depth-to-Groundwater Level and Product Thickness Measurements (System On) Second Quarter 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake	Terrace,	Washington
---------------------------------	----------	------------

Well Identifier (a)	Property	Groundwater Zone	Date	Time (24:00)	Reference Elevation (feet) (b)	DTW (feet) (c)	Groundwater Elevation (feet) (d, e)	Product (LNAPL) Thickness (feet)	Notes / Observations						
DTP = depth-to-produc	t	=			TOC = 24205	56th Avenue	West, Mountlak	ake Terrace WA							
DTW = depth-to-groun	dwater	TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA													
LNAPL = liquid non-aqu	eous phase liquid				Drake = 2430	9 56th Avenu	ue West, Mountl	ake Terrace W	/A						
NA = not available					Herman = 24	311 56th Ave	nue West, Mour	ntlake Terrace	WA						
NM = not measured					Shin/Choi = 2	24325 56th A	venue West, Mo	untlake Terra	ce WA						
RW = remediation well			56th Ave ROW = right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & Drake properties												
					242md Ct DO	N - nortion o	fright of would		C Droportu						

56th Ave ROW = right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & 242nd St ROW = portion of right-of-way adjacent to TOC Property



TABLE 1-3 Comparison of System-Off and System-On Groundwater Elevations May 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, Washington

	Property	Groundwater Zone	Groundwater Elevation - System-Off (feet)	Groundwater Elevation - System-On (feet)	System Off- System On (feet)	Notes / Observations
MW01	ТОС	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 10/02/2009
MW02	ТОС	Shallow	348.15	348.59	-0.44	
MW03	тос	Shallow	349.78	349.66	0.12	
MW04	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	351.32	351.59	-0.27	
MW05	242nd St ROW	Shallow	352.98	353.46	-0.48	
MW06	ТОС	Shallow	346.60	347.14	-0.54	
MW07	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
MW08	56th Ave ROW	Shallow- Intermediate	339.79	335.48	4.31	
MW09	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	334.99	331.62	3.37	
MW10	ТОС	Intermediate	329.82	324.38	5.44	
MW11 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	338.45	332.24	6.21	
MW12	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	347.89	348.56	-0.67	
MW13	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	317.03	316.69	0.34	
MW14	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
MW15	TOC	Intermediate	326.13	317.81	8.32	
MW16	242nd St ROW	Intermediate- Deep	320.87	321.13	-0.26	
MW17	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/29/2004
MW18 (4" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	NM	DRY	NA	
MW19	тос	Shallow	346.31	346.92	-0.61	
MW20	тос	Intermediate	329.89	324.30	5.59	
MW21	ТОС	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 04/16/2012
MW22	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	329.91	328.48	1.43	
MW23	ТОС	Intermediate	317.98	318.12	-0.14	
MW24 (4" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	340.04	328.61	11.43	
MW25	тос	Intermediate	331.94	326.20	5.74	
MW26	тос	Deep	319.90	320.11	-0.21	
MW27 (2" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	NM	NM	NA	
MW28	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	331.39	330.65	0.74	
MW29 (2" RW)	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	NM	NM	NA	
MW30	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	318.64	318.87	-0.23	
MW31 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	NM	NM		
MW32 (4" RW)	тос	Intermediate	336.79	331.05	5.74	
MW33	тос	Intermediate	323.92	323.89	0.03	
MW34	тос	Shallow	346.31	346.92	-0.61	
MW35	тос	Intermediate	318.82	318.77	0.05	
MW36	тос	Intermediate	317.05	316.65	0.40	
MW37	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	338.40	337.51	0.89	
MW38	тос	Shallow- Intermediate	346.20	344.48	1.72	
MW39	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	317.96	318.19	-0.23	
MW40	TOC/Farmasonis	Deep	318.48	318.72	-0.24	
MW41 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	NM	NM	NA	
MW42 MW43	TOC/Farmasonis 56th Ave ROW	Intermediate Shallow-	316.75 324.43	316.78 323.93	-0.03 0.50	
		Intermediate	324.43	323.33	0.50	
MW44	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	DRY	DRY	NA	



TABLE 1-3 Comparison of System-Off and System-On Groundwater Elevations May 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, Washington

			Groundwater	Groundwater		
		Groundwater	Elevation -	Elevation -	System Off-	
	Property	Zone	System-Off	System-On	System On	Notes / Observations
		_00	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	
MW45	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	317.87	318.19	-0.32	
VIW46	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	317.04	317.24	-0.20	
MW47	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	316.13	315.99	0.14	
MW48	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	315.60	316.07	-0.47	
MW49	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	316.40	316.19	0.21	
MW50	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	328.50	326.49	2.01	
MW51	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	314.61	314.91	-0.30	
VW52	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	315.60	315.94	-0.34	
MW53	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	319.86	319.94	-0.08	
VIW55	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	347.34	348.01	-0.67	
WW55	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	347.34	348.01	-0.07	
VIV55	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	316.64	315.95	-0.14 0.69	
//w56 //w57 (4" RW)		Intermediate	315.92			Datum annears anomalaus
, <i>j</i>	TOC/Farmasonis TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate		324.72	-8.80	Datum appears anomalous
MW58		Intermediate	315.62	315.81	-0.19	
MW59	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	316.59	315.96	0.63	
MW60	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	318.01	317.82	0.19	
MW61	56th Ave ROW	Shallow	347.46	348.72	-1.26	
VW62	56th Ave ROW		349.48	350.04	-0.56	
MW63	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	315.70	315.85	-0.15	
VW64	56th Ave ROW	Deep	318.03	318.28	-0.25	
MW65	Drake	Intermediate	314.79	315.10	-0.31	
MW66	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	316.52	315.96	0.56	
MW67	Drake	Shallow	343.86	344.48	-0.62	
VW68	Drake	Shallow	343.38	343.92	-0.54	
VIW69 (2" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	NM	315.50	NA	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW70 (2" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	NM	NM	NA	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW71	Shin/Choi	Shallow	NM	334.06	NA	Product in well
VIW72	Shin/Choi	Shallow	NM	332.63	NA	Product in well
MW73	Shin/Choi	Intermediate	312.19	312.50	-0.31	
MW74	Shin/Choi	Intermediate	312.75	313.12	-0.37	
MW75	56th Ave ROW	Intermediate	NM	NM	NA	Well is only measured during annual (first quarter) event and is subject to Traffic Control Plan (WSDOT 2014).
MW76	Drake	Intermediate	315.22	315.47	-0.25	
MW77	Drake	Intermediate	314.41	314.77	-0.36	
MW78	Drake	Deep	315.99	316.34	-0.35	
VIW79	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	340.79	341.55	-0.76	
VIW80	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow	339.61	340.22	-0.61	
MW81	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	316.07	315.88	0.19	
MW82	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow- Intermediate	326.76	326.24	0.52	
MW83	TOC/Farmasonis	NA	NA	NA	NA	WELL DECOMMISSIONED 11/21/2011 (REPLACED WITH MW100)
VIW84	Drake	Intermediate	NM	315.09	NA	Inaccessible due to vehicle
VIW85	Drake	Intermediate	314.54	314.83	-0.29	
VW86	Drake	Intermediate	314.54	314.82	-0.28	
414/07	Drake	Intermediate	314.16	314.37	-0.21	
VIVV8/			1			
	Drake	Shallow- Intermediate	335.01	335.44	-0.43	
VW88	Drake Drake		335.01 314.97	335.44 315.23	-0.43 -0.26	
MW87 MW88 MW89 MW90 (4" RW)		Intermediate				
MW88 MW89	Drake	Intermediate Intermediate	314.97	315.23	-0.26	



TABLE 1-3 Comparison of System-Off and System-On Groundwater Elevations May 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, Washington

	Property	Groundwater Zone	Groundwater Elevation - System-Off (feet)	Groundwater Elevation - System-On (feet)	System Off- System On (feet)	Notes / Observations
MW93 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	316.64	314.37	2.27	
MW94 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis	Intermediate	DRY	DRY	NA	
MW95 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	315.46	317.67	-2.21	
MW96 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	315.96	316.00	-0.04	
MW97 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	315.37	316.68	-1.31	
MW98 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	NM	DRY	NA	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW99 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	NM	DRY	NA	Inaccessible due to vehicle
MW100	TOC/Farmasonis	Shallow- Intermediate	338.61	340.21	-1.60	
MW101 (4" RW)	Drake	Intermediate	314.66	314.95	-0.29	
MW102	Herman	Shallow	NM	335.73	NA	Product in well
MW103	Herman	Intermediate	312.92	313.20	-0.28	
MW104	Herman	Shallow	340.71	341.02	-0.31	Sheen
MW105	Herman	Intermediate	314.20	314.45	-0.25	
MW106	Herman	Shallow	335.42	335.90	-0.48	
MW107	Herman	Intermediate	313.48	313.81	-0.33	
MW108	Herman	Intermediate	314.42	314.59	-0.17	
MW109	Herman	Intermediate	314.03	314.60	-0.57	

Notes:

Yellow highlighted values indicate where the difference between the System-Off and System-On groundwater elevations are positive, indicating hydraulic control by the remediation system in the area.

Definitions:

-- = No measurable product or odor observed.

DRY = Unable to measure DTW due to insufficient groundwater (in monitoring well) or groundwater level was below the top of pump (in remediation well). Trace = Observed <0.01 feet of LNAPL.

Sheen = Iridescence on surface of groundwater that is indicative of LNAPL.

Acronyms:

DTP = depth-to-product

DTW = depth-to-groundwater

LNAPL = liquid non-aqueous phase liquid

NA = not available

NM = not measured

RW = remediation well



TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

								Analytic	al Results (µg	;/L)			
					Total Petrole	um Hydroca	rbons		Va	olatile Organi	c Compound	s	
					Method NWTPH-Gx	Metl NWTP			Met	hod SW8021	B / SW82600	2 ⁽¹⁾	
Sample Location/ Well Identifier	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Gasoline-Range (GRPH) Motor Oil-Range (ORPH) ⁽²⁾ Diesel-Range (DRPH) ⁽²⁾				Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	m, p-Xylene	o-Xylene
MTCA Method A Cleanup	Level (μg/L)				1,000/800 ⁽³⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	NE ⁽⁴⁾	NE ⁽⁴⁾
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	5/26/2016	MW54	Peristaltic Pump	100U	350U	70U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW67	Drake	5/25/2016	MW67	Peristaltic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW68	Drake	5/23/2016	MW68	Peristaltic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW71	Shin/Choi	5/12/2016							LNAPL				
MW72	Shin/Choi	5/12/2016						LNAPL					
MW102	Herman	5/12/2016							LNAPL				
MW104	Herman	5/20/2016	MW104	Peristaltic Pump	9,300	0.65	17	370	NA	1100	130		
MW106	Herman	5/23/2016	MW106	Peristaltic Pump	100U	130	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U	



<u>TABLE 2-1</u> Groundwater Quality Results for Select Constituents Shallow Zone Wells Second Quarter 2016 TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

NOTES & DEFINITIONS:

Groundwater quality results are presented based on exceedance of MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of WAC 173-340-900, revised October 12, 2007.

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. The analytical laboratory reports are included as an appendix.

Red denotes sample concentration equals or exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Black denotes sample concentration was detected but does not exceed MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Gray denotes sample concentration was undetected at the method reporting limit, the constituent was not analyzed, or the well was dry.

 $^{(1)}$ If samples were analyzed by two methods, the maximum concentration of the two results is reported.

⁽²⁾ For groundwater samples with detected concentrations of ORPH and/or DRPH, the sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the diesel extended analysis standard used for quantitation.

 $^{(3)}$ Cleanup level is 1,000 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is not present and 800 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is present.

⁽⁴⁾ Cleanup levels for individual xylenes have not been established.

-- = Sample was not collected.

LNAPL = Indicates well was not sampled due to presence of product (LNAPL).

NA = Indicates the compound was not analyzed.

NE = Indicates MTCA Method A Cleanup Level has not been established.

LABORATORY NOTES:

U = Indicates the compound was undetected at the method reporting limit.

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA 56th Ave ROW = right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & Drake properties Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

ACRONYMS:

μg/L = micrograms per liter LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquid MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act NWTPH-Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - diesel-range organics NWTPH-Gx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - gasoline-range organics WAC = Washington Administrative Code



<u>TABLE 2-2</u> Groundwater Quality Results for Common Fuel Additives Shallow Zone Wells Second Quarter 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

					Analytical Results (µg/L) Volatile Organic Compounds Metals Semivolatile Organic Compounds / Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons ⁽¹⁾																				
					Volatile	Organic Con	npounds	Me	tals			5	Semivo	latile O	rganic	Compo	unds / I	Polycyo	clic Aro	matic H	ydrocai	rbons ⁽¹⁾			
					Method	SW8260C	Method 8011M	Metho	d 200.8							EPA I	Method	82700	O SIM						
Sample Location/ Well Identifier	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	Dissolved Lead	Total Lead	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benz[a]anthracene	Benzo(a) pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(ghi)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
MTCA Method A Clea	nup Level (µg/L)				20	5	0.01	15	15	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	160	0.1	0.1
MW54	TOC/Farmasonis	5/26/2016	MW54	Peristaltic Pump	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW67	Drake	5/25/2016	MW67	Peristaltic Pump	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW68	Drake	5/23/2016	MW68	Peristaltic Pump	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW71	Shin/Choi	5/12/2016			LNAPL																				
MW72	Shin/Choi	5/12/2016			LNAPL																				
MW102	Herman	5/12/2016											LNAPI	L											
MW104	Herman	5/20/2016	MW104	Peristaltic Pump	1U	1U	0.01U	1U	1U	0.1	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.09	0.06U	270	0.06U	0.06U
MW106	Herman	5/23/2016	MW106	Peristaltic Pump	1U	10	0.01U	1U	1U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U

NOTES & DEFINITIONS:

Groundwater quality results are presented based on exceedance of MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of WAC 173-340-900, revised October 12, 2007.

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. The analytical laboratory reports are included as an appendix.

Red denotes sample concentration equals or exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Black denotes sample concentration was detected but does not exceed MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Italic denotes the constituent was not detected at or above the method reporting limit (MRL); however, the MRL was elevated due to sample dilution and exceeds the MTCA cleanup level. Gray denotes sample concentration was undetected at the method reporting limit, the constituent was not analyzed, or the well was dry.

(1) With the exception of Napthalene, preliminary screening results for carcinogenic PAHs are compared to the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level provided for benzo(a) pyrene on

Table 720-1 of WAC 173-340-900. Per MTCA, this value represents the total concentration that all PAHs must meet using the toxicity equivalency methodology of WAC 173-340-708(8).

-- = Sample was not collected.

NA = Indicates the compound was not analyzed.

LABORATORY NOTES:

U = Indicates the compound was undetected at the method reporting limit.

ACRONYMS:

μg/L = micrograms per liter MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act PAH = Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons WAC = Washington Administrative Code

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA 56th Ave ROW = right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & Drake properties Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA



TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

							lytical Resu	ults (µg/L)					
					Total Petrol	arbons		Vola	atile Orga	nic Compou	nds		
					Method	Me	thod		Math	od 511/907	21B / SW826	oc ⁽²⁾	
					NWTPH-Gx	NWT	PH-Dx		weth	00 500802	210/300820		
Sample Location/ Well Identifier ⁽¹⁾	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Gasoline-Range (GRPH)	Motor Oil-Range (ORPH) ⁽³⁾	Diesel-Range (DRPH) ⁽³⁾	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	m, p-Xylene	o-Xylene
MTCA Method A Cleanup L	.evel (µg/L)				1,000/800 ⁽⁴⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	NE ⁽⁵⁾	NE ⁽⁵⁾
MW10	тос	5/18/2016	MW10	Peristaltic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW11	тос	5/16/2016	MW11	Pneumatic Pump	720	NA	NA	0.49	5	27	NA	120	41
MW15	тос				NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW20	тос	5/18/2016	MW20	Bailer	100U	250U	89	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW20*	тос	5/18/2016	MLT-02	Bailer	100U	250U	86	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW25	тос	5/18/2016	MW25	Peristaltic Pump	530	NA	NA	0.62	21	3.4	NA	48	29
MW25*	тос	5/18/2016	MLT-05	Peristaltic Pump	600	NA	NA	0.69	22	3.5	NA	49	29
MW31 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis				NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW32 (4" RW)	тос	5/16/2016	MW32	Pneumatic Pump	730	NA	NA	0.35U	1.6	1.1	NA	33	17
MW33	тос				Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
MW45	56th Ave ROW	5/26/2016	MW45	Bailer	500	NA	NA	0.35U	10	6.3	NA	50	7.5
MW48	56th Ave ROW	5/24/2016	MW48	Bailer	4,800	NA	NA	0.37	1U	39	NA	240	44
MW49	56th Ave ROW	5/25/2016	MW49	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	20	1U
MW50	56th Ave ROW	5/18/2016	MW50	Bailer	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW51	56th Ave ROW	5/20/2016	MW51	Bailer	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW52	56th Ave ROW	5/24/2016	MW52	Bailer	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW53	56th Ave ROW	5/18/2016	MW53	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW55	56th Ave ROW	5/24/2016	MW55	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	20	1U
MW56	TOC/Farmasonis	5/25/2016	MW56	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW57 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis				Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
MW58	TOC/Farmasonis	5/25/2016	MW58	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW59	TOC/Farmasonis	5/25/2016	MW59	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	10	NA	2U	1U
MW60	56th Ave ROW	5/25/2016	MW60	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW63	56th Ave ROW	5/25/2016	MW63	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW65	Drake	5/24/2016	MW65	Submersible Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW66	TOC/Farmasonis	5/24/2016	MW66	Bailer	100U	300U	60U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW69 (2" RW)	Drake	5/24/2016	MW69	Submersible Pump	3,300	250U	1,100	0.38	1U	19	NA	120	1.6



TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

					Analytical Results (µg/L) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons Volatile Organic Compounds								
					Total Petrol	arbons		Vola	atile Orga	nic Compou	nds		
					Method Method NWTPH-Gx NWTPH-Dx				Meth	od SW803	21B / SW826	SOC ⁽²⁾	
					NWTPH-Gx	NWT	PH-Dx		weth	00 3 11 802	210/ 50020		
Sample Location/ Well Identifier ⁽¹⁾	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Gasoline-Range (GRPH)	Motor Oil-Range (ORPH) ⁽³⁾	Diesel-Range (DRPH) ⁽³⁾	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	m, p-Xylene	o-Xylene
MTCA Method A Cleanup L	evel (µg/L)				1,000/800 ⁽⁴⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	NE ⁽⁵⁾	NE ⁽⁵⁾
MW70 (2" RW)	Drake	5/13/2016	MW70	Peristaltic Pump	100U	250U	540	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW73	Shin/Choi	5/17/2016	MW73	Bailer	67,000	250U	3,300	12,000	4,000	2,300	NA	7,200	3,100
MW74	Shin/Choi	5/17/2016	MW74	Bailer	100,000	450	5,400	19,000	18,000	1,800	NA	6,100	1,800
MW77	Drake	5/20/2016	MW77	Bailer	100U	250U	50U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW84	Drake	5/23/2016	MW84	Submersible Pump	400	250U	190	0.35U	1U	2.2	NA	8.4	1U
MW85	Drake	5/25/2016	MW85	Submersible Pump	100U	250U	50U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW85	Drake	6/23/2016	MW85	Submersible Pump	100U	250U	50U	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW86	Drake	5/23/2016	MW86	Submersible Pump	230	250U	83	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW86*	Drake	5/23/2016	MLT-03	Submersible Pump	230	250U	86	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW86	Drake	6/23/2016	MW86	Submersible Pump	290	250U	130	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW89	Drake	5/24/2016	MW89	Submersible Pump	100U	300U	60U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW90	ТОС	5/16/2016	MW90	Pneumatic Pump	4,600	NA	NA	1.7	150	87	NA	570	290
MW91	ТОС	5/16/2016	MW91	Pneumatic Pump	100U	250U	200	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2.3	1.4
MW95 (4" RW)	Drake	5/16/2016	MW95	Pneumatic Pump	230	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	3.6	1U
MW96 (4" RW)	Drake				Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
MW98 (4" RW)	Drake	5/16/2016	MW98	Pneumatic Pump	200	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	4	NA	6	1U
MW101 (4" RW)	Drake				NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW101 (4" RW)	Drake	6/23/2016	MW101	Centrifugal Pump	100U	250U	50U	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW103	Herman	5/19/2016	MW103	Bailer	800	250U	860	320	11	1U	NA	11	3.7
MW105	Herman	5/19/2016	MW105	Bailer	100U	300U	60U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW107	Herman	5/23/2016	MW107	Submersible Pump	100U	300U	60U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW108	Herman	5/19/2016	MW108	Bailer	1,600	250U	320	0.69	1U	4.1	NA	10	1U
MW108	Herman	6/23/2016	MW108	Submersible Pump	3,200	250U	650	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW109	Herman	5/19/2016	MW109	Bailer	100U	300U	60U	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U

NOTES & DEFINITIONS:

Groundwater quality results are presented based on exceedance of MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of WAC 173-340-900, revised October 12, 2007.



TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

							Ana	lytical Res	ults (µg/L)				
					Total Petro	leum Hydroca	rbons		Vola	atile Orga	nic Compour	ıds	
					Method NWTPH-Gx	Met NWTF			Meth	od SW80	21B / SW826	0C ⁽²⁾	
Sample Location/ Well Identifier ⁽¹⁾	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Gasoline-Range (GRPH)	Motor Oil-Range (ORPH) ⁽³⁾	Diesel-Range (DRPH) ⁽³⁾	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	m, p-Xylene	o-Xylene
MTCA Method A Cleanup L	evel (µg/L)				1,000/800 ⁽⁴⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	NE ⁽⁵⁾	NE ⁽⁵⁾

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. The analytical laboratory reports are included as an appendix.

Red denotes sample concentration equals or exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Black denotes sample concentration was detected but does not exceed MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Gray denotes sample concentration was undetected at the method reporting limit, the constituent was not analyzed, or the well was dry.

⁽¹⁾ Remediation wells (identified as "RW") are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter and are connected to a multi-phase extraction system.

⁽²⁾ If samples were analyzed by two methods, the maximum concentration of the two results is reported.

(3) For groundwater samples with detected concentrations of ORPH and/or DRPH, the sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the diesel extended analysis standard used for quantitation.

 $^{(4)}$ Cleanup level is 1,000 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is not present and 800 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is present.

⁽⁵⁾ Cleanup levels for individual xylenes have not been established.

-- = Sample was not collected.

* = Indicates blind field duplicate sample was collected for quality assurance/quality control purposes.

Dry = Indicates well could not be sampled due to insufficient groundwater sample volume.

NA = Indicates the compound was not analyzed.

NE = Indicates MTCA Method A Cleanup Level has not been established.

LABORATORY NOTES:

U = Indicates the compound was undetected at the reported concentration.

ACRONYMS:

μg/L = micrograms per liter MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act NWTPH-Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - diesel-range organics NWTPH-Gx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - gasoline-range organics WAC = Washington Administrative Code

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA



TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

							Ana	lytical Res	ults (µg/L)				
					Total Petro	eum Hydroca	rbons		Vola	atile Orga	nic Compour	ıds	
					Method NWTPH-Gx		:hod PH-Dx		Meth	od SW80	21B / SW826	0C ⁽²⁾	
Sample Location/ Well Identifier ⁽¹⁾	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Gasoline-Range (GRPH)	Motor Oil-Range (ORPH) ⁽³⁾	Diesel-Range (DRPH) ⁽³⁾	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	m, p-Xylene	o-Xylene
MTCA Method A Cleanup L	evel (µg/L)				1,000/800 ⁽⁴⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	NE ⁽⁵⁾	NE ⁽⁵⁾

TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

56th Ave ROW = right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & Drake properties

Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA



<u>TABLE 3-2</u> Groundwater Quality Results for Common Fuel Additives Intermediate Zone Wells Second Quarter 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

												Ai	nalytical R	esults (µg	/L)										
					Volati	le Organic Comp	oounds	Me	tals					Semi	volatile Or	ganic Com	pounds /	Polycyclic	Aromatic	Hydrocart	ons ⁽²⁾				
					Method	SW8260C	Method 8011M	Metho	d 200.8							EF	A Method	i 8270D SI	м						
Sample Location/ Well Identifier ⁽¹⁾	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	Dissolved Lead	Total Lead	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benz[a]anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(ghi)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a, h) ant hracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
MTCA Method A Cleanup L	evel (µg/L)				20	5	0.01	15	15	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	160	0.1	0.1

NOTES & DEFINITIONS:

Groundwater quality results are presented based on exceedance of MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of WAC 173-340-900, revised October 12, 2007.

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. The analytical laboratory reports are included as an appendix.

Red denotes sample concentration equals or exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Black denotes sample concentration was detected but does not exceed MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Gray denotes sample concentration was undetected at the method reporting limit, the constituent was not analyzed, or the well was dry.

⁽¹⁾ Remediation wells (identified as "RW") are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter and are connected to a multi-phase extraction system.

⁽²⁾ With the exception of Napthalene, preliminary screening results for carcinogenic PAHs are compared to the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level provided for benzo(a)pyrene on Table 720-1 of WAC 173-340-900. Per MTCA, this value represents the total concentration that all PAHs must meet using the toxicity equivalency methodology of WAC 173-340-708(8).

-- = Sample was not collected.

* = Indicates blind field duplicate sample was collected for quality assurance/quality control purposes.

Dry = Indicates well could not be sampled due to insufficient groundwater sample volume.

NA = Indicates the compound was not analyzed.

LABORATORY NOTES:

U = Indicates the compound was undetected at the reported concentration.

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA TOC/Farmasonis = 24225 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Drake = 24309 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA 56th Ave ROW = right-of-way adjacent to TOC, TOC/Farmasonis & Drake properties Herman = 24311 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA Shin/Choi = 24325 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA

ACRONYMS:

μg/L = micrograms per liter PAH = Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act WAC = Washington Administrative Code

<u>TABLE 3-2</u> Groundwater Quality Results for Common Fuel Additives Intermediate Zone Wells Second Quarter 2016

TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

												A	nalytical R	lesults (µg	/L)										
					Volati	ile Organic Com	pounds	Me	etals	Τ			-	Semi	volatile Or	ganic Con	pounds /	Polycyclic	Aromatic	Hydrocarb	oons ⁽²⁾				
					Method	SW8260C	Method 8011M	Metho	d 200.8									d 8270D SI		-					
Sample Location/ Well Identifier ^[1]	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	Dissolved Lead	Total Lead	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benz[a]anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(ghi)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene
MTCA Method A Cleanup	Level (µg/L)				20	5	0.01	15	15	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	160	0.1	0.1
MW10	TOC	5/18/2016	MW10	Peristaltic Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW11	тос	5/16/2016	MW11	Pneumatic Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW15	тос				NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW20	TOC	5/18/2016	MW20	Bailer	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW20*	TOC	5/18/2016	MLT-02	Bailer	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW25	тос	5/18/2016	MW25	Peristaltic Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW25*	тос	5/18/2016	MLT-05	Peristaltic Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW31 (2" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis				NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW32 (4" RW)	TOC	5/16/2016	MW32	Pneumatic Pump	Dry	Dry	Dry	1.03	8.53	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
MW33	тос			-	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
MW45	56th Ave ROW	5/26/2016	MW45	Bailer	NA	NA	NA	4.99	10.7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW48	56th Ave ROW	5/24/2016		Bailer	NA	NA	NA	1.2	5.13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW49	56th Ave ROW	5/25/2016	MW49	Submersible Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW50	56th Ave ROW	5/18/2016	MW50	Bailer	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ	NΔ	NA	NΔ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ	NΔ
MW51	56th Ave ROW	5/20/2016	MW51	Bailer	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ	NA	NΔ	NΔ	NA	NA	NΔ	NIA	NΔ	NΔ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW51 MW52	56th Ave ROW	5/24/2016	MW51 MW52	Bailer	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ	NΔ	NA	NΔ	NΔ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ	NA	NΔ	NΔ	NA	NA
MW53	56th Ave ROW	5/18/2016	MW53	Submersible Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ΝA	NA	NIA	NA
MW55 MW55					NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	INA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA.
MW55 MW56	56th Ave ROW	5/24/2016	MW55	Submersible Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1473	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	147 5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	TOC/Farmasonis	5/25/2016	MW56	Submersible Pump		NA		NA		NA	NA		NA	INA	INA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			NA	NA	INA
MW57 (4" RW)	TOC/Farmasonis				Dry	Dry	Dry NA	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry NA	Dry	Dry	Dry
MW58	TOC/Farmasonis	5/25/2016		Submersible Pump	1473	NA	1474	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW59 MW60	TOC/Farmasonis 56th Ave ROW	5/25/2016	MW59 MW60	Submersible Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW63	56th Ave ROW	5/25/2016		Submersible Pump Submersible Pump	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΑ	NA	NA	NΑ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW65	Drake	5/25/2016		Submersible Pump	111	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW66	TOC/Farmasonis	5/24/2016	MW66	Bailer	10	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.06U	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611
MW69 (2" RW)	Drake	5/24/2016	MW69	Submersible Pump	10	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.060	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.06U	13	0.06U	0.06U
MW70 (2" RW)	Drake	5/13/2016		Peristaltic Pump	10	10	0.01U	10	10	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.060	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.060	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW73	Shin/Choi	5/17/2016		Bailer	5.9	10	0.2	1U	4.01	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	250	0.6U	0.6U
MW74	Shin/Choi	5/17/2016		Bailer	420	1U	2.4	5.17	5.47	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	0.6U	220	0.6U	0.6U
MW77	Drake	5/20/2016		Bailer	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW84	Drake	5/23/2016	MW84	Submersible Pump	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	1.7	0.06U	0.06U
MW85	Drake	5/25/2016	MW85	Submersible Pump	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW86	Drake	5/23/2016		Submersible Pump	1U	1U	0.01U	1U	1U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW86*	Drake	5/23/2016	MLT-03	Submersible Pump	1U	1U	0.01U	1U	1U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW89	Drake	5/24/2016	MW89	Submersible Pump	1U	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW90	TOC	5/16/2016		Pneumatic Pump	NA	NA	NA	1U	21.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW91	TOC	5/16/2016	MW91	Pneumatic Pump	10	NA	NA	10	1.93	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U
MW95 (4" RW)	Drake Drake	5/16/2016	MW95	Pneumatic Pump	10	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW96 (4" RW) MW98 (4" RW)	Drake	5/16/2016	 MW98	 Pneumatic Pump	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	DIY	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
MW98 (4" RW) MW101 (4" RW)	Drake	5/16/2016	1111/198	Prieumatic Pump	1U MC	NA	NA	NA	MC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NC	NA	NA	NA NC	NA	NA NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW101 (4" RW) MW103	Herman	5/19/2016	MW103	 Bailer	NS 380	NS 1U	0.028	NS 11.1	12.6	0.06U	0.0611	0.06U	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.06U	0.0611	0.06U	0.06U	0.06U	0.0611	C/1	0.0611	C//
MW103	Herman	5/19/2016	MW105	Bailer	111	10	0.020	111	4.78	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611	0.060	0.060	0.0611	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611
MW103	Herman	5/23/2016	MW103	Submersible Pump	111	111	0.010	111	111	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.0611	0.0611	0.060	0.060	0.0611	0.060	0.060	0.060	0.06U	0.0611	0.0611	0.0611
MW107	Herman	5/19/2016		Bailer	10	10	0.010	10	1.03	0,06U	0.06U	0.060	0,06U	0.06U	0,06U	0.06U	0.060	0,06U	0.06U	0,060	0.060	0.06U	0.71	0.06U	0.06U
MW109	Herman	5/19/2016		Bailer	10	10	0.010	10	6.73	0,060	0,06U	0,060	0,06U	0.06U	0,06U	0.06U	0,060	0,06U	0.06U	0,060	0,060	0.06U	0,06U	0.06U	0.06U
		5/15/2010		Dunci	10	10	0.010	10	0.75	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.000	5.000



TOC Facility #01-176; Mountlake Terrace, WA

							Ana	lytical Res	ults (µg/L)				
					Total Petrol	eum Hydroca	rbons		Vola	atile Orga	nic Compour	ıds	
					Method NWTPH-Gx	Met NWTF			Meth	od SW80	21B / SW826	0C ⁽²⁾	
Sample Location/ Well Identifier ⁽¹⁾	Property	Date	Sample Identifier	Sample Method	Gasoline-Range (GRPH)	Motor Oil-Range (ORPH)	Diesel-Range (DRPH)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	m, p-Xylene	o-Xylene
MTCA Method A Cleanup L	evel (µg/L)				1,000/800 ⁽³⁾	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	NE ⁽⁴⁾	NE ⁽⁴⁾
MW09	TOC	5/18/2016	MW09	Peristaltic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW09*	TOC	5/18/2016	MLT-01	Peristaltic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW22	TOC	5/18/2016	MW22	Peristaltic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW24	TOC	5/16/2016	MW24	Pneumatic Pump	470	NA	NA	1	5.1	2	NA	36	25
MW27 (2" RW)	ТОС	5/16/2016	MW27	Submersible Pump	230	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	11	NA	32	5.9
MW28	TOC	5/18/2016	MW28	Pneumatic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW28*	TOC	5/18/2016	MLT-04	Pneumatic Pump	100U	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	2U	1U
MW29	TOC	5/16/2016	MW29	Pneumatic Pump	200	NA	NA	0.35U	1U	1U	NA	4.6	1U

NOTES & DEFINITIONS:

Well screens intersect Shallow and Intermediate Zone conditions.

Groundwater quality results are presented based on exceedance of MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of WAC 173-340-900, revised October 12, 2007.

Groundwater samples were analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. The analytical laboratory reports are included as an appendix.

Red denotes sample concentration equals or exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Black denotes sample concentration was detected but does not exceed MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for groundwater.

Gray denotes sample concentration was undetected at the method reporting limit, the constituent was not analyzed, or the well was dry.

⁽¹⁾ Remediation wells (identified as "RW") are either 2 or 4 inches in diameter and are connected to a multi-phase extraction system.

⁽²⁾ If samples were analyzed by two methods, the maximum concentration of the two results is reported.

 $^{(3)}$ Cleanup level is 1,000 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is not present and 800 $\mu g/L$ when benzene is present.

⁽⁴⁾ Cleanup levels for individual xylenes have not been established.

-- = Sample was not collected.

* = Indicates blind field duplicate sample was collected for quality assurance/quality control purposes.

NA = Indicates the compound was not analyzed.

NE = Indicates MTCA Method A Cleanup Level has not been established.

ACRONYMS:

μg/L = micrograms per liter MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act NWTPH-Dx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - diesel-range organics NWTPH-Gx = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon - gasoline-range organics WAC = Washington Administrative Code

LABORATORY NOTES:

U = Indicates the compound was undetected at the reported concentration.

LIST OF PROPERTIES:

TOC = 24205 56th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace WA



- 1 Project Location
- 2 Site Map
- 3 Locations of Wells and Remediation Systems
- 4 Groundwater Elevation Contours, Shallow Zone (System Off), May 26, 2016
- 5a Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone (System Off), May 26, 2016
- 5b Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone (System On), May 12, 2016
- 5c Groundwater Elevation Difference between System On and System Off, Intermediate Zone, May 2016.
- 6 Groundwater Elevation Contours, Deep Zone (System Off), May 26, 2016
- 7 GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, Second Quarter 2016
- 8 Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Shallow Zone, Second Quarter 2016
- 9 GRPH Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, Second Quarter 2016
- 10 Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, Intermediate Zone, Second Quarter 2016





Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Page 01 of 01

1:14,400 (at original document size of 8.5x11)

Stantec





Map Details 1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePane Washington 4. Som frie Course Fari, DigitalCiabe, GeoEye, Sam frie Course Cedits: Source: Erri, DigitalCiabe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the Gis User Community

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Legend

Parcel Boundary

Site Boundary

County Boundary

Figure No. 2 Title

Site Map

Client/Project TOC Holdings Co. Facility 01-176 Project Location 24205-24309 56th Avenue West Monthake Terrace, Prepared by NF Technical Review by RB Independent Review by MM Washington 60



185703259







ate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2. Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USCS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GB User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic. Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

The interview of the second se

Legend

Shallow Groundwater Zone • Monitoring Well Location

Groundwater Zone Intersect Monitoring Well Location (well screen intersects two

groundwater zones)

Intermediate Groundwater Zone Monitoring Well ¢ Location

Deep Groundwater Zone ¢ Monitoring Well Location

> Abandoned Monitoring Well Location

Historic Underground Storage Tank

Fiber Optic Line

🔨 Gas Line

Stormwater Line Water Line

Remediation System Piping

Parcel Boundary

Sewer Line

Site Boundary

Estimated Historic Soil Excavation

Stormwater Pit



× Compound Fence

Equipment Shed

and Remediation Systems Client/Project TOC Holdings Co. Facility 01-176 Project Location 24205-24309 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, Washington Technical Review by RB Independent Review by MM 0 60 120 Fee

Locations of Wells

Figure No.

3

Title

1:720 (At Original document size of 11x17)



A-

185703259

Prepared by NF

Page 01 of 01





rdinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic. Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Disclaimer: Stantec assumer no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

<u>Legend</u>

¢



- Groundwater Elevation Contour (feet, mean sea level)
- Approximate Groundwater Flow Direction
- **Remediation System Piping**



Site Boundary













Figure No.





Map Detail:

dinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic. Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

<u>Legend</u>

•

4



Groundwater Zone Intersect Monitoring Well Location MW72 (well screen intersects two groundwater zones) & 331.32 Groundwater Elevation (feet, mean sea level)

- Groundwater Elevation Contour (feet, mean sea level)
- Approximate Groundwater Flow Direction
- Remediation System Piping



Site Boundary











Title Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone (System Off), May 26, 2016

Figure No.

5a

Clien	t/Project	
	DC Holdings Co. Icility 01-176	
Projec 242	t Location 05-24309 56th Avenue West untlake Terrace, Washington	185703255 Prepared by Ni Technical Review by Rf Independent Review by MM
0	60	120 N
1:7	720 (At Original document size of 11x17	
C	Stantec	

Page 01 of 01





Map Detail:

1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601 Feet

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic. Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Meth, Necking Geboo, Norka, inclement in Corp. Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

<u>Legend</u>

•

٠

г×



MW72 331.32 Groundwater Zone Intersect Monitoring Well Location (well screen intersects two groundwater zones) & Groundwater Elevation (feet, mean sea level)

- Soundwater Elevation Contour (feet, mean sea level)
- Approximate Groundwater Flow Direction
- ✓ Remediation System Piping











Title Groundwater Elevation Contours, Intermediate Zone (System On), May 12, 2016 Client/Project

TOC Holdings Co.

Facility 01-176 Project Location 24205-24309 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, Washington

Prepared by NF Technical Review by RB Independent Review by MM

185703259





Page 01 of 01

Figure No. 5b





Map Details

t**rails** dinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2. Service Layer Credits: Source: Erri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swistopo, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic, Erri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Disclaimer: Stantec assume in responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

<u>Legend</u>

Intermediate Groundwater Zone Monitoring Well

- Location & Negative Groundwater Elevation 0 Comparison (System Off - System On, feet)
 - Intermediate Groundwater Zone Monitoring Well
- 0 Location & Positive Groundwater Elevation Comparison (System Off - System On, feet)
- System On/Off Comparison Contour (System Off -System On, feet)
- Remediation System Piping



Site Boundary





Client/P	roject			
	Holdings Co. lity 01-176			
	cation 24309 56th Avenue West ake Terrace, Washington			1857032 Prepared by I Inical Review by dent Review by M
0	60		120 Feet	N
1:720	(At Original document	size of 11x17)		

Figure No.





ordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USCS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swistopo, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic, Eri, Detorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Disclaimer: Stantec assumer no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

<u>Legend</u>

¢



- Groundwater Elevation Contour (feet, mean sea level)
- Approximate Groundwater Flow Direction
- Remediation System Piping







Remediation System Compound









	oundwater Eleva		ours,
	ep Zone (System ay 26, 2016	ı Off),	
	Project C Holdings Co. ility 01-176		
	ocation 24309 56th Avenue West Iake Terrace, Washington		185703: Prepared by cal Review by nt Review by I
0	60	120 Feet	N
1:72) (At Original document size of 11	x17)	
	Stantec	,	
			Page 01 of





Map Details

rdinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic. Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Disclaimer: Stantec assumer no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.



¢

MW72 Shallow Groundwater Zone Monitoring Well Location & GRPH Concentration $(\mu g/L)$ 800

Remediation System Piping



Parcel Boundary

Site Boundary

Estimated Historic Soil Excavation



Remediation System Compound



Equipment Shed







Map Details

dinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic. Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for def da supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Legend

MW72 Shallow Groundwater Zone Monitoring Well • Location & Benzene Concentration (µg/L)

Remediation System Piping



Parcel Boundary



Site Boundary

Estimated Historic Soil Excavation



Remediation System Compound

Compound Fence





Figure No. 8 Title **Benzene Concentrations** Shallow Zone, Second Quarter 2016 Client/Project TOC Holdings Co. Facility 01-176 Project Location 185703259 24205-24309 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, Washingtor Prepared by NF Technical Review by RB Independent Review by MM 0 60 120 Feet **A**-1:720 (At Original document size of 11x17) **Stantec** Page 01 of 01





Map Detail:

talls dinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy. Sources: National Geographic. Esri, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Disclaimer: StateCost, Norva internation responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

<u>Legend</u>

•

٠

MW72 Intermediate Groundwater Zone Monitoring Well Location & GRPH Concentration (µg/L) 800

Groundwater Zone Intersect Monitoring Well MW72 Location (well screen intersects two groundwater zones) & GRPH Concentration 800 (µg/L)

Remediation System Piping

Sample Concentration exceeds MTCA Method A Cleanup (800 µg/L when GRPH is present)



Г

Parcel Boundary







Figure No. 9 GRPH Concentrations Intermediate Zone, Second Quarter 2016 and Supplemental Event 6/23/2016 Client/Project TOC Holdings Co. Facility 01-176 Project Location 185703259 24205-24309 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, Washingtor Prepared by NF Technical Review by RB Independent Review by MM 0 60 120 Feet **A**-1:720 (At Original document size of 11x17) **Stantec**

Page 01 of 01





Map Details

dinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Washington North FIPS 4601

Feet 2 Service Layer Credits: Source: Erri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Arbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogid, IGN, IGP, swisstpop, and the GIS User Community Content may not reflect National Geographic's current map policy, Sources: National Geographic. Err, DeLorme, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Meth, Neckin, Geoco, Nova, Inclement P corp. Disclaimer: Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format. The recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data. The recipient releases Stantec, its officers, employees, consultants and agents, from any and all claims arising in any way from the content or provision of the data.

Legend

0

4

L.

Intermediate Groundwater Zone Monitoring WW72 Well Location & Benzene Concentration (µg/L)

Groundwater Zone Intersect Monitoring Well

MW72 Location (well screen intersects two groundwater zones) & Benzene Concentration (µg/L)

Remediation System Piping

Minimum Preliminary Screening Level for Benzene (5 µg/L; MTCA Method A Cleanup Level)

Parcel Boundary







Figure No. 10 Title **Benzene Concentrations** Intermediate Zone. Second Quarter 2016 Client/Project TOC Holdings Co. Facility 01-176 Project Location 185703259 24205-24309 56th Avenue West Mountlake Terrace, Washington Prepared by NF Technical Review by RB Independent Review by MM 0 60 120 Feet ∙₳-1:720 (At Original document size of 11x17) **Stantec**

Page 01 of 01

APPENDIX A

Laboratory Analytical Reports – Groundwater Samples, Second Quarter 2016 and Supplemental Event, June 23, 2016



ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 8, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 17, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 project. There are 41 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rob Honsberger, Allison Greiner, Rebekah Brooks, Kim Vik HDC0608R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on May 17, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>HydroCon</u>
MW70
MW95
MW98
MW11
MW24
MW27
MW29
MW32
MW90
MW91
Trip Blank

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 Date Extracted: 05/18/16 Date Analyzed: 05/18/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE **USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx**

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

~

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Gasoline Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
MW70 605307-01	<100	93
MW95 605307-02	230	86
MW98 605307-03	200	92
MW11 605307-04	720	101
MW24 605307-05	470	96
MW27 605307-06	230	95
MW29 605307-07	200	92
MW32 605307-08	730	102
MW90 605307-09	4,600	116
MW91 605307-10	<100	86

605307-10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 Date Extracted: 05/18/16 Date Analyzed: 05/18/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
Trip Blank 605307-11	<100	93
Method Blank ^{06-951 MB}	<100	90

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 Date Extracted: 05/19/16 Date Analyzed: 05/19/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 47-140)
MW70 605307-01	540 x	<250	113
MW91 605307-10	200 x	<250	107
Method Blank 06-1014 MB	<50	<250	98

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW70		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/17/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605307-01
Date Analyzed:	06/06/16		Data File:	605307-01.061
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ug/L (ppb)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator.	Sr

Lead

<1
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW29 05/17/16 05/26/16 06/06/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-07 605307-07.064 ICPMS1 SP
Analyte:	ug/L (ppb)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW32		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/17/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605307-08
Date Analyzed:	06/06/16		Data File:	605307-08.065
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ug/r (hhn)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator.	51

Lead

1.03

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW90 05/17/16 05/26/16 06/06/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-09 605307-09.066 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW91 05/17/16 05/26/16 06/06/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-10 605307-10.067 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/26/16	Lab ID:	I6-340 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/06/16	Data File:	I6-340 mb.059
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Lead

Client ID: Date Received:	MW70 05/17/16		Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/19/16		Lab ID:	605307-01
Date Analyzed:	05/20/16		Data File:	605307-01.182
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Lead

Client ID: Date Received:	MW29 05/17/16		Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC 01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/19/16		Lab ID:	605307-07
Date Analyzed:	05/25/16		Data File:	605307-07.129
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW32	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/17/16	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/19/16	Lab ID:	605307-08
Date Analyzed:	05/25/16	Data File:	605307-08.130
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte: Lead	Concentration ug/L (ppb) 8.53	Operator.	51

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW90		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/17/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/19/16		Lab ID:	605307-09
Date Analyzed:	05/25/16		Data File:	605307-09.131
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units: Analyte:	ug/L (ppb)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP

21.4

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	MW91 05/17/16		Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC 01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/19/16		Lab ID:	605307-10
Date Analyzed:	05/25/16		Data File:	605307-10.132
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

1.93

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307
Date Extracted:	05/19/16	Lab ID:	I6-317 mb
Date Analyzed:	05/19/16	Data File:	I6-317 mb.051
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	AP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW70 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-01 051820.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		108	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW95 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-02 051829.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	101	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		3.6		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW98 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-03 051830.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	102	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		4.0		
m,p-Xylene		6.0		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW11 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-04 051831.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	102	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		0.49		
Toluene		5.1		
Ethylbenzene		27		
m,p-Xylene		120		
o-Xylene		41		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW24 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-05 051832.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		1.0		
Toluene		5.1		
Ethylbenzene		2.0		
m,p-Xylene		36		
o-Xylene		25		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:MW27Date Received:05/17/16Date Extracted:05/18/16Date Analyzed:05/18/16Matrix:WaterUnits:ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-06 051833.D GCMS4 JS
	~ P	Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8	103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	60	133
	Concentration		
Compounds:	ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene	< 0.35		
Toluene	<1		
Ethylbenzene	11		
m,p-Xylene	32		
o-Xylene	5.9		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW29 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-07 051834.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	103	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		4.6		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW32 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-08 051835.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		1.6		
Ethylbenzene		1.1		
m,p-Xylene		33		
o-Xylene		17		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW90 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-09 051836.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		1.7		
Toluene		150 ve		
Ethylbenzene		87		
m,p-Xylene		570 ve		
o-Xylene		300 ve		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW90 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-09 1/100 052014.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		<35		
Toluene		150		
Ethylbenzene		<100		
m,p-Xylene		570		
o-Xylene		290		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW91 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-10 052013.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		2.3		
o-Xylene		1.4		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Trip Blank 05/17/16 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-11 051838.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		102	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
_		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan Not Applica 05/18/16 05/18/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 06-972 mb 051808.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW70 05/17/16 05/19/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-01 1/2 052006.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 97 74	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	j	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW91 05/17/16 05/19/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 605307-10 1/2 052007.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 95 67	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	j	<0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blanl Not Applicabl 05/19/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 06-1036 mb 052005.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 90 83	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307 Date Extracted: 05/26/16 Date Analyzed: 05/26/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as μ g/L (ppb)

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
MW70 605307-01	< 0.01
Trip Blank 605307-11	<0.01
Method Blank	< 0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 6053	07-04 (Duplicate	e)					
	Reporting	Sampl	e Dup	olicate	RPD		
Analyte	Units	Resul	t Re	esult	(Limit 20)		
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	720	e	390	5		
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample							
			Percent				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance			
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria			
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	96	69-134			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	108	100	61-133	8

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyta	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte Lead	Units ug/L (ppb)	Level 10	Result <1	<u>MS</u> 98	98	Criteria 70-130	(Limit 20)
Leuu	ag n (bbp)	10	1	00	00	10 100	Ū

Laboratory Couc.	Labor	IC .	
			Percent
	р	 C 11	D

	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	107	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory et	ode: 605307-01 (M	iuti în opin	,	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	105	98	70-130	7

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	92	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605307-01 (Matrix Spike)

5	1 /			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	74-127
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	89	69-133
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	96	76-125
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	93	76-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	69-135
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	96	69-135
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	94	60-140

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	108	64-147	3
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	100	73-132	4
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	107	69-134	4
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	91	96	72-122	5
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	99	77-124	4
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	94	99	83-125	5
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	98	81-121	4

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

Laboratory Couc. Laborator	y control bally	pic	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	·	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	1	85	90	67-116	6
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	80	84	65-119	5
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	84	88	66-118	5
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	85	64-125	4
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	87	90	67-120	3
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	84	86	65-122	2
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	84	84	65-127	0
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	88	88	62-130	0
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	89	91	60-118	2
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	1	91	92	66-125	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	82	55-135	0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	92	90	62-125	2
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	81	81	58-127	0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	74	76	36-142	3
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	76	64	37-133	17
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	76	70	34-135	8

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/08/16 Date Received: 05/17/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605307

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

	r i r		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	110	108	70-130	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 ${\rm ip}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.


Report to: Rebekah Brooks & Kim Vik cc: Craig Hultrgren cc: Allison Greiner Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West Suite 203 Lynnwood WA 98036-5759

kim.vik@stantec.com CraigH@hydroconllc.net allisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net

	ME	5 17 16 Page # _ 1 of _ 2 V5/BI4 003
Sampler's Name: Project Name:	Livenba /w. Raikovich TOC Holdings Company	Requested Turn Around Time
Facility Number:	01-176 Montlake Terrace	x Standard 10 business days Rush
Facility Address:	System Wells	Rush Charges Authorized by:
PO Number: EDD Requested:	EIM	Sample Disposal: 30 days Return Will Call

Additional Comments: Dissilved lead samples were field Altered and Preserved Trip blank - laboratory supplied

Г		T	·······							/	ANAL'	YSES	REQ	UEST	ED			
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-Gx	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	8260C EDC	8011M EDB	TPH-Dx	8270SIM PAHs	200.8 Pb, Total	200.8 Pb, Diss FF			Site Name
1	MW60				- W		×		×			×	×					Runo fulled Drake
2	MW70	OIA-L	05/13/16	1445	w	12	x		x	х	x	x	x	Х	x			Drake
3	<u>MW95</u>	02A-F	05116/16	1104	w	6	x	x	x									Drake
4	MW96				₩			×										NO
5	MW98	03A-F	5/16/16	1042	W	6	X		X									
6—	MW31				W		Y	Y						×	Y			Drake Nc Wat⊰⊂ TOC-Farmasonis
7							×	×	Y					~	~			No
8	MW11	04 A-F	05/16/16	1350	w	(0	x	X										inter TOC-Farmasonis
9	MW15			1550			×	_										TOC Pulled Pump TOC
10	MW24	05 A-F	05/16/16	1228	w	<i>(</i> ;;	x	x							_		_	
11	MW27	06 A-F	05/16/16	1220	w	(a	Ŷ	x								-+		
Fr	iodma n & B ruya, I				Signature	I					Print		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ime	TOC received at <u>3 °C</u> Date

Servi 1 SAvenice V 10.000by: I . Same, Warden Johns Ph. (206) 285-8282 Received by:



Report to: Rebekah Brooks & Kim Vik cc: Craig Hultrgren cc: Allison Greiner Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West Suite 203 Lynnwood WA 98036-5759 kim.vik@stantec.com

CraigH@hydroconllc.net allisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net

Sampler's Name:	LiNamba	Requested Turn Around Time
Project Name:	TOC Holdings Company	
Facility Number:	01-176 Montlake Terrace	x Standard 10 business days Rush
Facility Address:	System Wells	Rush Charges Authorized by:
PO Number: EDD Requested:	EIM	Sample Disposal: 30 days Return Will Call

ME5/17/16 Page # _2 of _2 VS/BI4/DO3

Additional Comments: Disso lved lead samples were field filtered and preserved. Trip blank laboratory supplied

L	annoongronner @eareitabrojeeteenations.net				ANALYSES REQUESTED													
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Tim e Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-Gx	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	TPH-Dx	8270SIM PAHs	200.8 Pb, Total	200.8 Pb, Diss FF	EDC 82600	EDB Sunn			Site Name
1	MW29	07 A-H	05116/16	1402	W	8	x	x				x	x					TOC
2	MW32		057/16/16	1258	W	8	x	x				х	x					тос
3	MW90		05116/16	1240	W	8	x	x				x	х					TOC
4	MW91		05716116	1325	W	10	x	X -	x	X	х	x	x					тос
5	Trip Black	11 A-H	05-116-116	1600	ul	8	\checkmark	~	~					\checkmark	/			
6																		
7																		
8																		
9																		
10															,	am	ples	received at <u></u> °C
11																		94. P

		Signature	Print Name	Time	D ite
Friedman & Bruya, Inn.	Relinguished by:				17 1.1.5
3 Diff b Avenue V.	E: Ji				7100
S .VA 98119-2					
Рп. (206) 285 -8282	Received by:		L		

01-176 MLT GW 2016Q2 FBI_CoC_v1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 2, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 20, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 project. There are 28 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rob Honsberger, Allison Greiner, Rebekah Brooks, Kim Vik HDC0602R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on May 20, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>HydroCon</u>
605384 -01	MW09
605384 -02	MLT-01
605384 -03	MW10
605384 -04	MW20
605384 -05	MLT-02
605384 -06	MW22
605384 -07	MW25
605384 -08	MLT-05
605384 -09	MW28
605384 -10	MLT-04
605384 -11	MW50
605384 -12	MW53
605384 -13	Trip Blank

The 8270D laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate failed the relative percent difference for dibenz(a,h)anthracene. The analyte was not detected therefore the data were acceptable.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 Date Extracted: 05/20/16 Date Analyzed: 05/20/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Gasoline Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW09 605384-01	<100	107
MLT-01 605384-02	<100	101
MW10 605384-03	<100	106
MW20 605384-04	<100	107
MLT-02 605384-05	<100	100
MW22 605384-06	<100	108
MW25 605384-07	530	107
MLT-05 605384-08	600	110
MW28 605384-09	<100	102
MLT-04 605384-10	<100	105
MW50 605384-11	<100	107

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 Date Extracted: 05/20/16 Date Analyzed: 05/20/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW53 605384-12	<100	85
Trip Blank 605384-13	<100	100
Method Blank 06-1002 MB	<100	103

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 Date Extracted: 05/23/16 Date Analyzed: 05/23/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 47-140)
MW20 605384-04	89 x	<250	122
MLT-02 605384-05	86 x	<250	113
Method Blank ^{06-1042 MB}	<50	<250	115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW09 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-01 052037.D GCMS4 JS
Currenteeu		0/ Decourse	Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	14	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-01 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-02 052038.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	57	121
Toluene-d8	u4	100	63	121
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW10 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-03 052040.D GCMS4 JS
-			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW20 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-04 052041.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-02 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-05 052042.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	97	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW22 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-06 052043.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	_	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	103	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW25 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-07 052044.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		0.62		
Toluene		21		
Ethylbenzene		3.4		
m,p-Xylene		48		
o-Xylene		29		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-05 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-08 052045.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		0.69		
Toluene		22		
Ethylbenzene		3.5		
m,p-Xylene		49		
o-Xylene		29		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date Received:0Date Extracted:0Date Analyzed:0Matrix:W	AW28 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Vater ng/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-09 052046.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		102	57	121
Toluene-d8		102	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		104	60	133
4 Di omonuoi obchizene		104	00	100
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-04 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-10 052047.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		<0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW50 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-11 052048.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	103	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW53 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-12 052049.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 101 103 105	Lower Limit: 57 63 60	Upper Limit: 121 127 133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Trip Blank 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-13 052035.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		102	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	101	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan NA 05/20/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)	nk	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 06-1020 mb 052032.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene-d12% Recovery: 91 77Limit: 31 160 25Upper Limit: Limit: 160 25Concentration Compounds:Concentration ug/L (ppb)Naphthalene Acenaphthylene<0.06 Acenaphthene<0.06 FluorenePhenanthrene Fluorene<0.06 	Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:) /16 /16 /16 	Received: Extracted: Analyzed: x:	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-04 1/2 052408.D GCMS6 ya
Compounds:ug/L (ppb)Naphthalene<0.06	Anthracene-d10	91	acene-d10	Limit: 31	Limit: 160
Acenaphthylene<0.06Acenaphthene<0.06	Compounds:		ounds:		
Acenaphthene<0.06Fluorene<0.06	Naphthalene	< 0.06	halene		
Acenaphthene<0.06Fluorene<0.06		< 0.06			
Phenanthrene<0.06Anthracene<0.06		< 0.06			
Anthracene<0.06Fluoranthene<0.06	-	< 0.06	-		
Fluoranthene<0.06Pyrene<0.06	Phenanthrene	< 0.06	Inthrene		
Pyrene <0.06 Benz(a)anthracene <0.06	Anthracene	< 0.06	acene		
Benz(a)anthracene <0.06	Fluoranthene	< 0.06	anthene		
	Pyrene	< 0.06	e		
Chrysene <0.06	Benz(a)anthracene	< 0.06	a)anthracene		
	Chrysene	< 0.06	ene		
Benzo(a)pyrene <0.06	Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.06	(a)pyrene		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene <0.06	Benzo(b)fluoranthen	< 0.06	(b)fluoranthene		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene <0.06	Benzo(k)fluoranthen	< 0.06	(k)fluoranthene		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene <0.06	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre	< 0.06	o(1,2,3-cd)pyrer		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene <0.06					
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene <0.06	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	< 0.06	(g,h,i)perylene		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MLT-02 05/20/16 05/23/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 605384-05 1/2 052409.D GCMS6 ya
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 94 89	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene)	<0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicabl 05/23/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 06-1045 mb 052407.D GCMS6 ya
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 89 87	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranthen	ie	< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace	ene	< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384 Date Extracted: 05/26/16 Date Analyzed: 05/26/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as μ g/L (ppb)

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
Trip Blank 605384-13	<0.01
Method Blank	< 0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 605	374-01 (Duplic	ate)			
	Reporting	Sampl	e Dup	olicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Result	t Re	esult	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<	100	nm
Laboratory Code: Lal	ooratory Contro	ol Sample	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	_
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	94	70-119	-

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	113	112	61-133	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605384-01 (Matrix Spike)

5	1 /				
				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	100	74-127
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	93	69-133
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	100	76-125
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	76-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	98	69-135
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	98	69-135
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	60-140

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	99	64-147	0
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	91	91	73-132	0
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	100	69-134	0
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	97	72-122	1
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	99	77-124	2
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	97	98	83-125	1
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	96	81-121	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

Laboratory Couc. Laboratory		pic	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	-	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	1	85	83	67-116	2
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	65-119	1
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	84	66-118	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	64-125	1
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	85	84	67-120	1
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	65-122	1
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	80	79	65-127	1
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	81	86	62-130	6
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	89	91	60-118	2
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	1	88	89	66-125	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	84	55-135	2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	86	88	62-125	2
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	84	58-127	2
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	74	83	36-142	11
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	68	88	37-133	26 vo
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	72	88	34-135	20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/02/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605384

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

	r i r		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	110	108	70-130	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 \mbox{ca} - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



Report to: Rebekah Brooks & Kim Vik cc: Craig Hultrgren cc: Allison Greiner Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West Suite 203 Lynnwood WA 98036-5759

kim.vik@stantec.com CraigH@hydroconllc.net allisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net

	ME 5/20	$Page \# _ 1 of _ 2 DO3 / V5$
Sampler's Name: Project Name:	L. Namba, W. Kay Koxi TOC Holdings Company	Requested Turn Around Time
Facility Number:	01-176 Montlake Terrace	x Standard 10 business days Rush
Facility Address:	TOC Property	Rush Charges Authorized by:
PO Number: EDD Requested:	EIM	Sample Disposal: 30 days Return Will Call

amso	ngreiner@eureka	projectsolutions	net											_					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·						<u>AI</u>	NALYS	SES R	REQL	JEST	ED				
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-Gx	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	хО-НЧТ	8270SIM PAHs							Notes	
1	MW09	OIA-F	05118/16	1143	w	6	x	x											
2	MLT-01	OZ	05118116	1158	w	6	x	x											
3	MW10	03 V	05/18/16	1200	W	6	x	x								ſ			
4	MW20	OY A-H	05/18/16	1430	w	8	x	x	x	х	x		[
5	MLT-02	osv	05/18/16	1445	W	8	x	x	x	х	X								
6	MW22	06A-F	05/19/16	1120	W	6	x	x											
7	MW25	67	05/18/16	1411	W	6	x	x											·····
8	MLT-05	08	05/18/16	1426	W	6	x	x					-			 			
9	MW28	09	05/17/16	1552	w	6	x	х											
10	MLT-04	10	05/17/16	1607	w	6	x	х											
11	MW33				W		×	×		•••••						am	otes	received at	°C

		Signature	Print Name	Time	Date
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by:		Larry Nampa	0909	20 May 2016
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by: Relinguished by:		Elizabeth Radford	0109	20 May 2010
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:				`
1. (200) 200-0202	Received by.[

6	05384								Ŋ	dE5	[20]	16 P	age #	2		of	2 D03/V5
Hydro Con				Sampler's Name: <u>L.Nan b</u> Project Name: <u>TOC H</u>			ba. W. Reikovich Ioldings Company				Requested Turn Around Time x Standard 10 business days						
				Facility Nu	Facility Number: 01-176 Montlake				Terr	ace	x Standard 10						
Report to: Rebekah Brooks & Kim Vik cc: Craig Hultrgren			Facility Address: TOC P			Property					Rush Charges Authorized by:						
cc: Allison Greiner Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West Suite 203				PO Number: EDD Requested: EIM					Sample Disposal: 30 days Return Will Call								
Lynnwood WA 98036-5759																	
kim.vik@stantec.com CraigH@hydroconllc.net			Additional	Additional Comments:													
alli	songreiner@eurekapr	rojectsolutions.	net									SES REC	UEST	FD			<u>,,,,,</u> ,,
						Ś		М					T	<u> </u>			
			Data	Time		# of containers	ğ	8260C BTEX	EDB	2	ATBE						
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sample		Matrix	# of cont	TPH-GX	8260	ົນ	EDC	ź						Notes
1	MW50	1 AF	05/18/16	1250	w	6	x	x									
2	MW53	12 1	05/18/14	1401	w	6	x	х									
3	TripBlank	13 A-D	05117/14	, 1600	w	7	-	~	/		1						
4																	
5																	
6																	
7																	
8																	
9																	
10														s	amn		eceived at <u>3</u> •C
11																	

	[Signature	Print Name	Time	Date
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Relinguished by:	Long Ala	Larry Namba	0909	20 May 2016
3012 16th Avenue West	Received by:	gudret falud	Elizabeth Radford	1109	20 May 2016
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:		3		5
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:				

01-176 MLT GW 2016Q2 FBI_CoC_v1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 9, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 20, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 project. There are 29 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rob Honsberger, Allison Greiner, Rebekah Brooks, Kim Vik HDC0609R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on May 20, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>HydroCon</u>
605385 -01	MW73
605385 -02	MW74

The 8270D laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate failed the relative percent difference for dibenz(a,h)anthracene. The analyte was not detected therefore the data were acceptable.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 Date Extracted: 05/23/16 Date Analyzed: 05/23/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
MW73 605385-01 1/10	67,000	116
MW74 605385-02 1/10	100,000	115
Method Blank 06-1004 MB	<100	94
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 Date Extracted: 05/23/16 Date Analyzed: 05/23/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 47-140)
MW73 605385-01	3,300 x	<250	100
MW74 605385-02	5,400 x	450 x	84
Method Blank 06-1042 MB	<50	<250	115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	MW73 05/20/16		Client:	HydroCon TOC 01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385
Date Received: Date Extracted:	05/20/16		Project: Lab ID:	605385-01
	05/25/16		Data File:	
Date Analyzed:				605385-01.051
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

4.01

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW74	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/20/16	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385
Date Extracted:	05/25/16	Lab ID:	605385-02
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	605385-02.052
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte: Lead	Concentration ug/L (ppb) 5.47	•	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385
Date Extracted:	05/25/16	Lab ID:	I6-334 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/03/16	Data File:	I6-334 mb.031
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW73		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/20/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605385-01
Date Analyzed:	06/06/16		Data File:	605385-01.069
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	~8 (PP~)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	oporatori	

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW74		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/20/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605385-02
Date Analyzed:	06/06/16		Data File:	605385-02.072
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units: Analyte:	ug/L (ppb)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP

Lead

5.17

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385
Date Extracted:	05/26/16	Lab ID:	I6-340 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/06/16	Data File:	I6-340 mb.059
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	·	

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW73 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-01 052050.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	95	57	121
Toluene-d8		110	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	5.9		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		1,300 ve		
Toluene		1,100 ve		
Ethylbenzene		670 ve		
m,p-Xylene		2,100 ve		
o-Xylene		1,300 ve		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW73 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-01 1/500 052427.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<500		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<500		
Benzene		12,000		
Toluene		4,000		
Ethylbenzene		2,300		
m,p-Xylene		7,200		
o-Xylene		3,100		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW74 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/21/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-02 052051.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	92	57	121
Toluene-d8		112	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	101	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	450 ve		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene	. ,	1,700 ve		
Toluene		2,400 ve		
Ethylbenzene		680 ve		
m,p-Xylene		2,100 ve		
o-Xylene		1,100 ve		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW74 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-02 1/10 052509.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-o	d4	93	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	(MTBE)	420		
1,2-Dichloroethane (<10		
Benzene		7,300 ve		
Toluene		8,800 ve		
Ethylbenzene		1,700 ve		
m,p-Xylene		5,700 ve		
o-Xylene		1,800 ve		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW74 05/20/16 05/20/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-02 1/500 052428.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	107	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<500		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<500		
Benzene		19,000		
Toluene		18,000		
Ethylbenzene		1,800		
m,p-Xylene		6,100		
o-Xylene		1,800		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan NA 05/20/16 05/20/16 Water ug/L (ppb)	nk	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 06-1020 mb 052032.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

5		1 5		
Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW73 05/20/16 05/23/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-01 1/20 052410.D GCMS6 ya
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 99 d 79 d	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		250 ve		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.6		
Acenaphthene		< 0.6		
Fluorene		< 0.6		
Phenanthrene		< 0.6		
Anthracene		< 0.6		
Fluoranthene		< 0.6		
Pyrene		<0.6		
Benz(a)anthracene		<0.6		
Chrysene		<0.6		
Benzo(a)pyrene		<0.6		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		<0.6		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		<0.6		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.6		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.6		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene)	<0.6		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW73 05/20/16 05/23/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-01 1/200 052530.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 365 d 108 d	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		340		
Acenaphthylene		<6		
Acenaphthene		<6		
Fluorene		<6		
Phenanthrene		<6		
Anthracene		<6		
Fluoranthene		<6		
Pyrene		<6		
Benz(a)anthracene		<6		
Chrysene		<6		
Benzo(a)pyrene		<6		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		<6		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		<6		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		<6		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		<6		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	<6		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

0		1 0		
Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW74 05/20/16 05/23/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-02 1/20 052411.D GCMS6 ya
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 107 d 79 d	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		170 ve		
Acenaphthylene		<0.6		
Acenaphthene		<0.6		
Fluorene		<0.6		
Phenanthrene		<0.6		
Anthracene		<0.6		
Fluoranthene		<0.6		
Pyrene		<0.6		
Benz(a)anthracene		<0.6		
Chrysene		<0.6		
Benzo(a)pyrene		<0.6		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		<0.6		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		<0.6		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		<0.6		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		<0.6		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ġ	<0.6		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW74 05/20/16 05/23/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 605385-02 1/200 052531.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 346 d 93 d	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		220		
Acenaphthylene		<6		
Acenaphthene		<6		
Fluorene		<6		
Phenanthrene		<6		
Anthracene		<6		
Fluoranthene		<6		
Pyrene		<6		
Benz(a)anthracene		<6		
Chrysene		<6		
Benzo(a)pyrene		<6		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		<6		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		<6		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		<6		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		<6		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	<6		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blanl Not Applicabl 05/23/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 06-1045 mb 052407.D GCMS6 ya
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 89 87	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranthen		< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene))	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385 Date Extracted: 05/26/16 Date Analyzed: 05/26/16 and 05/27/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as μ g/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
MW73 605385-01	0.20
MW74 605385-02	2.4
Method Blank	<0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 6053	77-02 (Duplicat	te)			
	Reporting	Sampl	e Duj	olicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Resul	t R	esult	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<	100	nm
Laboratory Code: Labo	oratory Control	Sample	D		
		~	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	93	69-134	-

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	113	112	61-133	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

boratory Code: (10 10 00000	iutin opi	xe)	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
alyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
ad	ug/L (ppb)	10	4.67	95	97	70-130	2
iu ii	ug/L (ppb)	10	4.07	30	57	70-150	

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	103	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	98	98	70-130	0

A	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte Lead	Units ug/L (ppb)	Level 10	LCS 107	Criteria 85-115
Leau	ug/L (ppb)	10	107	05-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605384-01 (Matrix Spike)

5	1 /				
				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	100	74-127
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	93	69-133
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	100	76-125
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	76-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	98	69-135
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	98	69-135
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	60-140

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	99	64-147	0
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	91	91	73-132	0
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	100	69-134	0
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	97	72-122	1
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	99	77-124	2
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	97	98	83-125	1
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	96	81-121	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

	y control balli	pic	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	•	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	1	85	83	67-116	2
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	65-119	1
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	84	66-118	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	64-125	1
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	85	84	67-120	1
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	65-122	1
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	80	79	65-127	1
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	81	86	62-130	6
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	89	91	60-118	2
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	1	88	89	66-125	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	84	55-135	2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	86	88	62-125	2
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	84	58-127	2
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	74	83	36-142	11
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	68	88	37-133	26 vo
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	72	88	34-135	20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/20/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605385

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Laboratory couct Laboratory co	r i r		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	110	108	70-130	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

202	F385								te	ME	5/20/	16	Page					1 D03/AI4/	∀ 4
-	tydro	Con		Sampler's Project Na		L NAM	oldir	ງ <u>, ແ</u> ລ້ ngs (Comp	ch Dany				Requ	ested	l Tur	n Arc	bund Time	
	-			Facility Nu	mber:	01-176	Mor	ntlak	e Te	rrace			x		Stan Rush		10 b	usiness days	
· ·	oort to: Rebekah Bro cc: Craig Hultrgren	oks & Kim Vik		Facility Ad		SHIN/						Rush	Cha	raes A			bv:		
	cc: Allison Greiner	vices Inc		PO Numbe								Sam		•		\sim		Return Will Call	
191	ntec Consulting Serv 01 36th Avenue We	st Suite 203		EDD Requ		EIM						Sam		ispos	an. 60		5 1		
Lyn	nwood WA 98036-57	759		Additional	Commen	ts:			<u> </u>	·									<u> </u>
	.vik@stantec.com igH@hydroconllc.ne	t																	
	songreiner@eurekap		net	,								YSES	PEO		ED				
						<i>w</i>		Ж	BE							Г	1		
				_		ainen	ğ	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	8260C EDC	8011M EDB	ă	SIM "	e A	а Е				
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-Gx	8260	8260	8260	8011	TPH-Dx	8270SIM PAHs	200.8 Pb, Total	200.6 Diss			Notes	
1	MW 71				- W		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	X			Caution possible product 2,3	Prod
2	MW72				W		- X	×	×	-X	×	×	×	- X	×			Caution possible product C, 72	Prod
3	MW73	OTA-L	05/17/16	1350	w	12	x	х	x	x	x	X	x	х	X				
4	MW74	OZ A-L	05/17/16	1340	w	12	x	х	x	x	X	X	x	x	X				
5	Frip Stank		05117646	1600	45	щ	E	4											
6	•																		
7																			
8																			
9																			
10																San	ples	received at 3°	
11																			

		Signature	Print Name	Time	Date
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Relinquished by:		Larry Manton	0909	20 May 2016
3012 16th Avenue West	Received by:	amont Repead	glizabeth Rodford	0909	20 may 2014
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:				0
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:				

01-176 MLT GW 2016Q2 FBI_CoC_v1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 9, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 24, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 project. There are 63 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rebekah Brooks, Allison Greiner, Kim Vik, Rob Honsberger HDC0609R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on May 24, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>HydroCon</u>
605426 -01	MW51
605426 -02	MW103
605426 -03	MW104
605426 -04	MW105
605426 -05	MW106
605426 -06	MW107
605426 -07	MW108
605426 -08	MW109
605426 -09	EB107
605426 -10	WB01
605426 -11	EB01
605426 -12	TB03

The 8270D laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate failed the relative percent difference for dibenz(a,h)anthracene. The analyte was not detected therefore the data were acceptable.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 Date Extracted: 05/24/16 Date Analyzed: 05/24/16 and 05/25/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

0

		Surrogate
<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	(<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 51-134)
MW51 605426-01	<100	92
MW103 605426-02	800	92
MW104 605426-03 1/10	9,300	101
MW105 605426-04	<100	90
MW106 605426-05	<100	93
MW107 605426-06	<100	93
MW108 605426-07	1,600	96
MW109 605426-08	<100	89
EB107 605426-09	<100	82
WB01 605426-10	<100	92
EB01 605426-11	<100	94

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 Date Extracted: 05/24/16 Date Analyzed: 05/24/16 and 05/25/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
TB03 605426-12	<100	91
Method Blank 06-1006 MB	<100	94

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 Date Extracted: 05/24/16 Date Analyzed: 05/25/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
MW103 605426-02	860 x	<250	98
MW104 605426-03	4,700 x	340 x	100
MW105 605426-04 1/1.2	<60	<300	106
MW106 605426-05 1/1.4	130 x	<350	99
MW107 605426-06 1/1.2	<60	<300	97
MW108 605426-07	320 x	<250	97
MW109 605426-08 1/1.2	<60	<300	91
EB107 605426-09	<50	<250	98
WB01 605426-10 1/1.2	<60	<300	94
EB01 605426-11 1/1.2	<60	<300	91
Method Blank ^{06-1048 MB}	<50	<250	109

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW103		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/24/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605426-02
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16		Data File:	605426-02.069
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ugʻin (hhp)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	operator.	51

Lead

11.1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW104		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/24/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605426-03
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16		Data File:	605426-03.071
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ug/L (ppb)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW105		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/24/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605426-04
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16		Data File:	605426-04.072
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ug/r (ppb)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	Sr

Lead

<1
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW106 05/24/16 05/26/16 06/07/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-05 605426-05.073 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)	-	

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW107 05/24/16 05/26/16 06/07/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-06 605426-06.074 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW108 05/24/16 05/26/16 06/07/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-07 605426-07.075 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	MW109 05/24/16 05/26/16 06/07/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-08 605426-08.076 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	EB107 05/24/16 05/26/16 06/07/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-09 605426-09.077 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Lead

Client ID:	WB01		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/24/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/26/16		Lab ID:	605426-10
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16		Data File:	605426-10.078
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ugir (hhn)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator.	51

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Lead

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	EB01 05/24/16 05/26/16 06/07/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-11 605426-11.079 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/26/16	Lab ID:	I6-340 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/06/16	Data File:	I6-340 mb.059
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	1	

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Data Analyzadi	MW103 05/24/16 05/25/16		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-02 605426 02 058
Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	06/07/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Data File: Instrument: Operator:	605426-02.058 ICPMS1 SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)	•	

Lead

12.6

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW104	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/24/16	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/25/16	Lab ID:	605426-03
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	605426-03.060
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	605426-03.060
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	MW105 05/24/16		Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	05/25/16 06/07/16		Lab ID: Data File:	605426-04 605426-04.061
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

4.78

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	MW106 05/24/16	Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/25/16	Lab ID:	605426-05
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	605426-05.062
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:	MW107 05/24/16 05/25/16		Client: Project: Lab ID:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-06
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16 Water		Data File:	605426-06.063 ICPMS1
Matrix: Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Instrument: Operator:	SP
Analyte:	dg E (ppo)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	operator	5

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:	MW108 05/24/16 05/25/16	Client: Project: Lab ID:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-07
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	605426-07.064
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concent ug/L (

Lead

1.03

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW109		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/24/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/25/16		Lab ID:	605426-08
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16		Data File:	605426-08.065
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

6.73

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	EB107 05/24/16 05/25/16 06/07/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-09 605426-09.066 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	WB01 05/24/16	Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/25/16	Lab ID:	605426-10
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	605426-10.067
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	EB01	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/24/16	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/25/16	Lab ID:	605426-11
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	605426-11.068
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units: Analyte: Lead	ug/L (ppb) Concentration ug/L (ppb) <1	Operator:	SP

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426
Date Extracted:	05/25/16	Lab ID:	I6-335 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/07/16	Data File:	I6-335 mb.053
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW51 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-01 052414.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates:	_	% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
0 Ayıcınc		~1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW103 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-02 052415.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	96	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	360 ve		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene	. ,	320 ve		
Toluene		11		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		11		
o-Xylene		3.7		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW103 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-02 1/10 052506.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	380		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<10		
Benzene		320		
Toluene		<10		
Ethylbenzene		<10		
m,p-Xylene		<20		
o-Xylene		<10		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW104 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-03 052416.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		0.65		
Toluene		17		
Ethylbenzene		310 ve		
m,p-Xylene		910 ve		
o-Xylene		130		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW104 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-03 1/10 052507.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<10		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<10		
Benzene		<3.5		
Toluene		16		
Ethylbenzene		370		
m,p-Xylene		1,100		
o-Xylene		130		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW105 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-04 052417.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW106 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-05 052418.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW107 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-06 052419.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW108 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-07 052420.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	97	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	103	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		
Benzene		0.69		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		4.1		
m,p-Xylene		10		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW109 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-08 052421.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB107 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-09 052422.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB01 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-10 052423.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB01 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-11 052424.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		97	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	TB03 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-12 052409.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan Not Applical 05/24/16 05/24/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 06-1027 mb 052408.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene	. ,	< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW103 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-02 1/2 052515.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 102 97	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre	ene	< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>è</u>	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Semivolatile Compounds By EPA Method 8270D SIM

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW104 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-03 1/2 052516.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 103 104	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		160 ve		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		0.095		
Fluorene		0.085		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene)	< 0.06		
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW104 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/26/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-03 1/200 052606.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 299 d 116 d	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		270		
Acenaphthylene		<6		
Acenaphthene		<6		
Fluorene		<6		
Phenanthrene		<6		
Anthracene		<6		
Fluoranthene		<6		
Pyrene		<6		
Benz(a)anthracene		<6		
Chrysene		<6		
Benzo(a)pyrene		<6		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		<6		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		<6		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		<6		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		<6		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	<6		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW105 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-04 1/2 052517.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 98 106	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	пе	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac	ene	< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	j	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW106 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-05 1/2 052518.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 102 112	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID:MW107Date Received:05/24/16Date Extracted:05/24/16Date Analyzed:05/25/16Matrix:WaterUnits:ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-06 1/2 052519.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene-d12	% Recovery: 99 105	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene	< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene	< 0.06		
Acenaphthene	< 0.06		
Fluorene	< 0.06		
Phenanthrene	< 0.06		
Anthracene	< 0.06		
Fluoranthene	< 0.06		
Pyrene	< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene	< 0.06		
Chrysene	< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW108 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-07 1/2 052520.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 99 92	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		0.71		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	<0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW109 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-08 1/2 052521.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 96 83	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylen	e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB107 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-09 1/2 052522.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 102 110	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB01 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-10 1/2 052523.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 99 106	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylen	9	<0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB01 05/24/16 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 605426-11 1/2 052524.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 97 107	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre	ene	< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace	ene	< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	9	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bland Not Applicab 05/24/16 05/25/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 06-1045 mb2 052514.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 97 106	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ie	< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace	ene	< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426 Date Extracted: 05/26/16 Date Analyzed: 05/26/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as µg/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
MW103 605426-02	0.028
MW104 605426-03	< 0.01
MW105 605426-04	<0.01
MW106 605426-05	< 0.01
MW107 605426-06	< 0.01
MW108 605426-07	< 0.01
MW109 605426-08	< 0.01
EB107 605426-09	< 0.01
WB01 605426-10	< 0.01
EB01 605426-11	< 0.01
Method Blank	< 0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 605414-01 (Duplicate)							
	Reporting	Sampl	e Duj	olicate	RPD		
Analyte	Units	Resul	t R	esult	(Limit 20)		
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<	100	nm		
Laboratory Code: Labo	oratory Control	Sample	D				
	_		Percent				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance			
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria			
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	94	69-134	-		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	104	107	63-142	3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	98	98	70-130	(Limit 20) 0
Loui		10				10 100	Ū.

0	,		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	107	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code:	605400-01 (N	Aatrix Spik	ke)				
				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	6.00	104	100	70-130	4
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample							

107

85-115

Laboratory	Codel Baboracory	0011010104		
			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria

ug/L (ppb)

Lead

10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605426-01 (Matrix Spike)

, in the second s	,			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	101	76-125
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	76-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	97	69-135
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	96	69-135
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	94	60-140

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	r		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	101	69-134	2
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	96	72-122	2
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	98	77-124	1
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	96	97	83-125	1
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	95	81-121	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605426-01 (Matrix Spike)

5	1 /				
				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	100	74-127
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	69-133
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	101	76-125
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	76-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	97	69-135
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	96	69-135
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	94	60-140

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	101	64-147	3
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	91	94	73-132	3
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	101	69-134	2
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	96	72-122	2
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	98	77-124	1
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	96	97	83-125	1
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	95	81-121	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

Laboratory Couc. Laboratory	y control balli	pic	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	•	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	1	85	83	67-116	2
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	65-119	1
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	84	66-118	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	64-125	1
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	85	84	67-120	1
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	83	82	65-122	1
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	80	79	65-127	1
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	81	86	62-130	6
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	89	91	60-118	2
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	1	88	89	66-125	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	84	55-135	2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	86	88	62-125	2
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	82	84	58-127	2
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	74	83	36-142	11
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	68	88	37-133	26 vo
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	72	88	34-135	20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/09/16 Date Received: 05/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605426

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

	I I		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	110	108	70-130	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

 $hr\ \text{-}\ The\ sample\ and\ duplicate\ were\ reextracted\ and\ reanalyzed.\ RPD\ results\ were\ still\ outside\ of\ control\ limits.\ Variability\ is\ attributed\ to\ sample\ inhomogeneity.$

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



Report to: Rebekah Brooks & Kim Vik cc: Craig Hultrgren cc: Allison Greiner Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West Suite 203 Lynnwood WA 98036-5759

kim.vik@stantec.com CraigH@hydroconllc.net allisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net

		Page # _ 1 of _ 1 ME OS 24/12
Sampler's Name:		Requested Turn Around Time
Project Name:	TOC Holdings Company	
Facility Number:	01-176 Montlake Terrace	x Standard 10 business days / Rush
Facility Address:	HERMAN	Rush Charges Authorized by:
PO Number:	EIM	Sample Disposal: 30 days Return Will Call
EDD Requested:		

Additional Comments:

anisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net							ANALYSES REQUESTED										
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-Gx	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	8260C EDC	8011M EDB	TPH-Dx	¥	ď,	200.8 Pb, Diss FF		Notes
1	MW 51	0/A-F	05/20/16	1050	w	9	x	x									
2-	MW102				W		×	×	×	×	- X	- X	×	X	×		Caution possible product 2.86 fred
3	MW103	OZ A-L	05/19/16	1615	w	12	x	x	x	х	х	х	х	х	х		
4	MW104	OS A-L	05/20/16	12.20	w	12	x	x	x	х	x	х	х	х	х		Caution possible product
5	MW105	04 A-L	05/19/16	1420	w	12	x	x	x	x	x	х	х	х	x		
6	MW106	05 A-L	05123/16	1337	w	12	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	х	x		
7	MW107	06 A-L	05/23/16	1218	w	12	x	x	x	x	х	х	х	x	x		
8	MW108	07 A-L		1440	w	12	x	x	x	х	х	х	х	х	х		
9	MW109	68 A-L	05-119116	1525	w	12	x	x	x	x	x	х	х	х	x		
10	EBIOT		05123/16	1240	W	12	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	1	/	1	1	~		
11	wool	10 A - L 0	5/20/16	1415	¥	12	1	1	-	/	~			-	-	Sa	mples received at 3° C

	[Signature	Print Name	Time	Date
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Relinquished by:	Ling Ale	harry Mamba	0922	24 May 2016
3012 16th Avenue West	Received by:	manan	phan phan	0922	24 Man 2016
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:				∂
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:				

US/DOJ



SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ME 5/24/16 VS/DUZ/AIL

The Another and	SAMPLERS (signature) L. Namba, W. Ke PROJECT NAME/NO.	nj kovinta PO#	Page # of TURNAROUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks)
Company <u>Hydrocon Environmental</u> B Address <u>570 Allen Street Ke Suite B</u>	TOC Montlake Terrace 01-176		RUSH Rush charges authorized by
City, State, ZIP <u>Kelse, WA 98626</u> Phone # <u>360.703.6079</u> Fax # <u>306.703.6086</u>	REMARKS Trip Blank - Inboratory Dissolved sample field filtered and EBCI associated with mws3 (TOC Pri	supplied. preserved. operty)	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions

							ANALYSES REQUESTED							Γ				
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	8260 BTEX by 8021B	MTBE VOC9 by8260	SVOCS by 8250	四小时 \$250	PM45 by	141 PS	bissolved Pb by 200,8				Notes
EBOI	II A-L	05/20/16	1445	water	12			1	~	/	1	~	. 🗸	~				
TB03	12A.H	05-120/16	0930	Water	Ø		/	/	1	1	/							
	_																	
•																		
															 			<u> </u>

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by: Lang Ma	Larry Namba	Hydrocon Environmental		
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by: Martan	Nhan Phan	FLBT	Staylic	0922
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:			10 11/2	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	······································			<u></u>
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC					

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 14, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 27, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 project. There are 67 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rob Honsberger, Allison Greiner, Rebekah Brooks, Kim Vik HDC0614R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on May 27, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>HydroCon</u>
605534 -01	MW48
605534 -02	MW52
605534 -03	MW55
605534 -04	MW63
605534 -05	MW65
605534 -06	MW67
605534 -07	MW68
605534 -08	MW77
605534 -09	MW84
605534 -10	MW85
605534 -11	MW86
605534 -12	MTL-03
605534 -13	MW89
605534 -14	MW69
605534 -15	EB55
605534 -16	EB63
605534 -17	EB69
605534 -18	EB84
605534 -18 605534 -19 605534 -20 605534 -21 605534 -22	EB85 EB86 EB89 EB65

Several 8270D compounds exceeded the acceptance criteria in the matrix spike samples. The laboratory control samples met the acceptance criteria, therefore the data were likely due to sample matrix effect.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 Date Extracted: 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 05/31/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Gasoline Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
MW48 605534-01	4,800	126
MW52 605534-02	<100	98
MW55 605534-03	<100	93
MW63 605534-04	<100	92
MW65 605534-05	<100	96
MW67 605534-06	<100	92
MW68 605534-07	<100	94
MW77 605534-08	<100	94
MW84 605534-09	400	100
MW85 605534-10	<100	94
MW86 605534-11	230	97

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 Date Extracted: 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 05/31/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

~

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
MTL-03 605534-12	230	95
MW89 605534-13	<100	94
MW69 605534-14	3,300	96
EB55 605534-15	<100	94
EB63 605534-16	<100	97
EB69 605534-17	<100	93
EB84 605534-18	<100	92
EB85 605534-19	<100	94
EB86 605534-20	<100	94
EB89 605534-21	<100	93

3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 Date Extracted: 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 05/31/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

~

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
EB65 605534-22	<100	95
Method Blank 06-1065 MB	<100	94
Method Blank 06-1067 MB	<100	82

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 Date Extracted: 05/27/16 and 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 06/02/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
MW77 605534-08	<50	<250	102
MW84 605534-09	190 x	<250	108
MW85 605534-10	<50	<250	107
MW86 605534-11	83 x	<250	107
MTL-03 605534-12	86 x	<250	106
MW89 605534-13 1/1.2	<60	<300	108
MW69 605534-14	1,100 x	<250	108
EB69 605534-17	<50	<250	115
EB84 605534-18	<50	<250	106
EB85 605534-19	<50	<250	107
EB86 605534-20	<50	<250	121

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 Date Extracted: 05/27/16 and 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 06/02/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
EB89 605534-21	<50	<250	118
Method Blank 06-1102 MB	<50	<250	136
Method Blank 06-1109 MB	<50	<250	101

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	MW48 05/27/16		Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC 01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534
Date Extracted:	05/31/16		Lab ID:	605534-01
Date Analyzed:	06/09/16		Data File:	605534-01.020
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
		Concentration		
Analyte:		ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

5.13

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	MW86 05/27/16		Client:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534
Date Received.	05/27/16		Project:	
			Lab ID:	605534-11
Date Analyzed:	06/01/16		Data File:	605534-11.042
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
5		0 11 /		

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Lead

Date Received:05/Date Extracted:05/Date Analyzed:06/Matrix:Wateria:	ΓL-03 /27/16 /31/16 /09/16 ater (L. (app))	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-12 605534-12.021 ICPMS1
Units: ug/ Analyte:	/L (ppb) Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	EB86 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/09/16 Water	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-20 605534-20.022 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534
Date Extracted:	05/31/16	Lab ID:	I6-345 mb
Date Analyzed:	05/31/16	Data File:	I6-345 mb.039
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	_	

<1

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW48		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/27/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534
Date Extracted:	06/01/16		Lab ID:	605534-01
Date Analyzed:	06/08/16		Data File:	605534-01.117
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	49 - (FF-)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	operation	

Lead

1.20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW86		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/27/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534
Date Extracted:	06/01/16		Lab ID:	605534-11
Date Analyzed:	06/08/16		Data File:	605534-11.118
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)	-	

Lead

<1
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MTL-03	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/27/16	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534
Date Extracted:	06/01/16	Lab ID:	605534-12
Date Analyzed:	06/08/16	Data File:	605534-12.119
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	1	

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	EB86 05/27/16 06/01/16 06/08/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-20 605534-20.121 ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534
Date Extracted:	06/01/16	Lab ID:	I6-350 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/01/16	Data File:	I6-350 mb.061
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ug/L (ppb) Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator.	Sr

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW48 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-01 053118.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		109	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	106	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		0.37		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		39		
m,p-Xylene		240		
o-Xylene		44		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW52 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-02 053119.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW55 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-03 053125.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	106	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		<0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW63 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-04 053126.D GCMS4 JS
		04 D	Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	•.	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW65 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-05 053127.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		108	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW67 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-06 053128.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	102	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW68 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-07 053129.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW77 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-08 053130.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	107	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW84 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-09 053131.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	102	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		2.2		
m,p-Xylene		8.4		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW85 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-10 053132.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	102	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW86 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-11 053133.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	98	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MTL-03 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-12 053134.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		108	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene	. ,	< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW89 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-13 053135.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		108	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW69 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-14 053136.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	102	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		0.38		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		19		
m,p-Xylene		120		
o-Xylene		1.6		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB55 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-15 053137.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 99 106 107	Lower Limit: 57 63 60	Upper Limit: 121 127 133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB63 05/27/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-16 053138.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 99 106 105	Lower Limit: 57 63 60	Upper Limit: 121 127 133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB69 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/01/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-17 053139.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB84 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/01/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-18 053140.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB85 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/01/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-19 053141.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB86 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/01/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-20 053142.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB89 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/01/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-21 053143.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB65 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/01/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-22 053144.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	96	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan Not Applical 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 06-1082 mb 053111.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan Not Applical 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 06-1083 mb 053112.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	103	57	121
Toluene-d8		107	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	107	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW77 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-08 1/2 053108.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 105 104	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW84 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-09 1/2 053109.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 105 108	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		1.7		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace	ene	< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	è	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW85 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-10 1/2 053110.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 108 110	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	è	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW86 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-11 1/2 053111.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 110 116	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	è	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MTL-03 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-12 1/2 053112.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 111 117	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace	ene	< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	9 9	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW89 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-13 1/2 053113.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 111 112	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre	ene	< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac	ene	< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW69 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-14 1/2 053114.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 108 105	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		13		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrac		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylen	j	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB69 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/02/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-17 1/2 060216.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 115 125	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ġ	<0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB84 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-18 1/2 053115.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 107 121	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	j	< 0.06		
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

5		1 5		
Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB85 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-19 1/2 053116.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 108 124	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre	ene	< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	EB86 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-20 1/2 053117.D GCMS6
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 108 124	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB89 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 605534-21 1/2 053118.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 108 119	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicabl 05/27/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 06-1101 mb 053107.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene		% Recovery: 106 120	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre	ene	< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace	ene	< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	9	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicabl 05/31/16 06/02/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 06-1105 mb2 060206.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 124 138	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534 Date Extracted: 06/06/16 Date Analyzed: 06/06/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as μ g/L (ppb)

< 0.01

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
MW86 605534-11	<0.01
MTL-03 605534-12	< 0.01
EB86 605534-20	<0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

Method Blank

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 6055	45-02 (Duplicate	e)						
	Reporting	Sampl	e Duj	olicate	RPD			
Analyte	Units	Resul	t R	esult	(Limit 20)			
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<	100	nm			
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample								
	D	a u	Percent	. .				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance				
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria				
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	92	69-134	-			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: (605539-03 (N	latrix Spike)					
-		_		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting		Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Spike Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	660	88	90	53-117	2
Laboratory Code: 1	Laboratory C	control Sample	e				
			Perce	nt			
	Report	ing Spike	e Recove	ery Accep	otance		
Analyte	Unit	ts Level	LCS	5 Crit	teria		
Gasoline	ug/L (p	opb) 1,000	95	69-	134		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	113	98	63-142	14

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	100	98	63-142	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	99	92	70-130	7

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	107	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	85	85	70-130	0

	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	101	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605534-02 (Matrix Spike)

			Percent	
Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	104	74-127
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	97	69-133
ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	105	76-125
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	76-122
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	98	69-135
ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	97	69-135
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	60-140
	Units ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb)	Units Level ug/L (ppb) 50 ug/L (ppb) 100	Units Level Result ug/L (ppb) 50 <1	Reporting Units Spike Level Sample Result Recovery MS ug/L (ppb) 50 <1

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	105	64-147	3
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	101	73-132	2
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	107	69-134	2
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	98	72-122	2
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	100	77-124	2
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	97	99	83-125	2
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	96	81-121	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605542-01 (Matrix Spike)

1 /										
			Percent							
Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance						
Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria						
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	102	74-127						
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	97	69-133						
ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	105	76-125						
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	76-122						
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	98	69-135						
ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	96	69-135						
ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	93	60-140						
	Units ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb)	Units Level ug/L (ppb) 50 ug/L (ppb) 100	Units Level Result ug/L (ppb) 50 <1	Reporting Units Spike Level Sample Result Recovery MS ug/L (ppb) 50 <1						

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	99	64-147	5
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	95	73-132	5
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	106	101	69-134	5
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	93	72-122	3
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	94	77-124	4
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	97	93	83-125	4
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	91	81-121	4

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

Laboratory Code. Laborator	y Control Sam	pic	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	1	89	90	67-116	1
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	95	96	65-119	1
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	92	91	66-118	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	1	95	93	64-125	2
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	92	93	67-120	1
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	92	93	65-122	1
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	99	93	65-127	6
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	105	106	62-130	1
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	100	103	60-118	3
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	1	94	97	66-125	3
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	100	103	55-135	3
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	1	99	97	62-125	2
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	101	101	58-127	0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	1	97	100	36-142	3
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	1	88	84	37-133	5
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	1	89	92	34-135	3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

Laboratory Code: 605535-03 1/2 (Matrix Spike)

Laboratory Couc. 005050-0		(pike)	Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	66	72	10-172	9
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	73	81	38-137	10
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	70	78	20-150	11
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	80	86	10-181	7
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	84	89	58-109	6
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	86	91	47-114	6
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	98	103	10-171	5
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	99	104	63-107	5
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	102 vo	106 vo	60-93	4
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	97	101	60-102	4
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	100 vo	104 vo	62-91	4
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	102 vo	106 vo	51-98	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	94 vo	98 vo	60-86	4
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	79	80	10-98	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	75	76	10-97	1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	71	74	10-102	4

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level		LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	75	72	67-116	4
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	76	79	65-119	4
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	76	75	66-118	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	82	64-125	2
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	81	84	67-120	4
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	79	83	65-122	5
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	93	65-127	6
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	96	62-130	9
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	89	93	60-118	4
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	85	89	66-125	5
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	83	90	55-135	8
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	92	62-125	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	85	58-127	6
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	81	83	36-142	2
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	79	77	37-133	3
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	79	34-135	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605534

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

	ľ		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	93	91	70-130	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

 $hr\ \text{-}\ The\ sample\ and\ duplicate\ were\ reextracted\ and\ reanalyzed.\ RPD\ results\ were\ still\ outside\ of\ control\ limits.\ Variability\ is\ attributed\ to\ sample\ inhomogeneity.$

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



Report to: Rebekah Brooks & Kim Vik cc: Craig Hultrgren cc: Allison Greiner Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West Suite 203 Lynnwood WA 98036-5759

kim.vik@stantec.com CraigH@hydroconllc.net allisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net

	L. Namba W. Rainevel	7/16 Page # _ 1 _ of _ 2 _ V4/ DO4/ AIS
Sampler's Name:	Conshel	Requested Turn Around Time
Project Name:	TOC Holdings Company	
Facility Number:	01-176 Montlake Terrace	x Standard 10 business days Rush
Facility Address:	DRAKE	Rush Charges Authorized by:
PO Number:		Sample Disposal: 30 days Return Will Call
EDD Requested:	EIM	

Additional Comments: Trip blank on TOC Formusonis Property chain of Custedy, Dissolvid Lead simples were field filtered and preserved. EB somple water provided by laboratory.

lamoe	ngreiner@eureka						ANALYSES REQUESTED										
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-GX	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	8260C EDC	8011M EDB	хоннт	8270SIM PAHs	200.8 Pb, Total	200.8 Pb, Diss FF		Notes
1	MW48	01 AH	05/24/16	1521	W	8	x	x						x	x		
2	MW52	02 A-F	05/24116	1635	W	6	x	x									
3	MW55	03 A-F	05/24/16	1326	W	6	x	x									
4	MW63	04 A-F	05/25/16	1125	W	6	x	x									
5	MW65	OS A-F	15/24/16	1120	W	6	x	х	x								
6	MW67	06 A-F	05125/16	1005	W	6	x	x	X								
7	MW68	07 A-F	05123/16	1529	W	6	x	x	x								
8	MW77	08 A-H ()	05/24/10	1400 @	W	8	x	x	X			х	x				
9	MW84		65123116	1705	W	8	x	x	x			X	x				
10	MW85		15/25/16	1253	w	8	x	х	x			х	x			am	les received at <u>3</u> °C
11	MW86	11 4-L	05/23/16	1335	W	12	x	x	X	x	x	X	X	X	X		

	[Signature	Print Name	Time	Date
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Relinquished by:	Z n h	Larry Namball	1121	27 May 2016
3012 16th Avenue West	Received by:	Elizate Rating	Elizabeth Radford	1121	27 may 2016
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:				J ·
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:	V			

1



Report to: Rebekah Brooks & Kim Vik cc: Craig Hultrgren cc: Allison Greiner Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 19101 36th Avenue West Suite 203 Lynnwood WA 98036-5759

kim.vik@stantec.com CraigH@hydroconllc.net allisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net

	L. Marba, W. Baikovich	7/16 Page # 2 of 2 V4/DO4/AIS
Sampler's Name:	c. Dashel	Requested Turn Around Time
Project Name:	TOC Holdings Company	
Facility Number:	01-176 Montlake Terrace	x Standard 10 business days Rush
Facility Address:	DRAKE	Rush Charges Authorized by:
PO Number: EDD Requested:	EIM	Sample Disposal 30 days Return Will Call

Additional Comments: See proje 1,

allisongreiner@eurekaprojectsolutions.net						′		ANALYSES REQUESTED									
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-GX	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	8260C EDC	8011M EDB	трн-Ох	8270SIM PAHs	200.8 Pb, Total	200.8 Pb, Diss FF		Notes
1	MTL-03	12 A-L	05/23/16	1335	w	12	x	x	x	x	x	X	x	x	x		per LN
2	MW89	13 A-H	15/24/16	1310	w	8	x	x	x	\Box'	\Box	x	X				05/27/16
3	mulog	14 A-F	65-124/16	1515	ω	8	V	1	1			\checkmark	1			Rimp Pull	,
4	EB55	15	05124/16	1400	w	6	V	~	\Box'	\Box'	\Box'		\Box'				
5	EB63		05-125-116		w	6	r i	· ~		\Box'	\Box					1-0	
6	EB69		05-125/16		W	400	<u>م</u> ر ا	1		\Box'	\Box'	\otimes	\otimes			<u>'</u> e	5/2Alip
7	EB 94	18 A 1-F	05123/16		Ľ	6	1	2	~	\Box'	\Box'	\otimes					/
8	EB 85		05-125/16		W	8	V	~	~	\Box'		~	V				
9	EB86		05123/16	1540	w	12	~			~	~	~		~	~	Samples	received at
10	E8 89	7.	25724116	14/00	ω	8	V	7	~			~	~				
11	EB45		ostaulia	1150	W	6		77	10	<u> </u>						Added	at lab

]	Signature	Print Name	Time	Date
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Relinguished by:	they then a	LARRY MAMba	1121 ++=0	27 May 2016
3012 16th Avenue West	Received by:	wind hard	Elizabeth Radford	121	27 May ZOIG
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:				0
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:				

01-176 MLT GW 2016Q2 FBI_CoC_v1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 14, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 27, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 project. There are 35 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rob Honsberger, Allison Greiner, Rebekah Brooks, Kim Vik HDC0614R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on May 27, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>HydroCon</u>
605535 -01	MW45
605535 -02	MW49
605535 -03	MW54
605535 -04	MW56
605535 -05	MW58
605535 -06	MW59
605535 -07	MW60
605535 -08	MW66
605535 -09	EB49
605535 -10	EB56
605535 -11	EB58
605535 -12	EB59
605535 -13	EB60
605535 -14	Trip Blank

Several 8270D compounds exceeded the acceptance criteria in the matrix spike samples. The laboratory control samples met the acceptance criteria, therefore the data were likely due to sample matrix effect.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 Date Extracted: 05/27/16 Date Analyzed: 05/27/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE **USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx**

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Gasoline Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW45 605535-01	500	105
MW49 605535-02	<100	98
MW54 605535-03	<100	97
MW56 605535-04	<100	94
MW58 605535-05	<100	99
MW59 605535-06	<100	98
MW60 605535-07	<100	95
MW66 605535-08	<100	98
EB49 605535-09	<100	98
EB56 605535-10	<100	99
EB58 605535-11	<100	100

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 Date Extracted: 05/27/16 Date Analyzed: 05/27/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE **USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx**

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
EB59 605535-12	<100	97
EB60 605535-13	<100	100
Trip Blank 605535-14	<100	99
Method Blank ^{06-1064 MB}	<100	100

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 Date Extracted: 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 05/31/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
MW54 605535-03 1/1.4	<70	<350	89
MW66 605535-08 1/1.2	<60	<300	91
Method Blank 06-1104 MB	<50	<250	81

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:	MW45 05/27/16	Client: Project:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535
Date Extracted:	05/31/16	Lab ID:	605535-01
Date Analyzed:	06/09/16	Data File:	605535-01.023
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentratio ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

10.7

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535
Date Extracted:	05/31/16	Lab ID:	I6-345 mb
Date Analyzed:	05/31/16	Data File:	I6-345 mb.039
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	-	

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	MW45		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/27/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535
Date Extracted:	06/01/16		Lab ID:	605535-01
Date Analyzed:	06/08/16		Data File:	605535-01.122
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Lead

4.99

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535
Date Extracted:	06/01/16	Lab ID:	I6-350 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/01/16	Data File:	I6-350 mb.061
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	- por adort	

Lead

<1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW45 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-01 052717.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		103	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		6.3		
m,p-Xylene		50		
o-Xylene		7.5		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW49 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-02 052726.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 100 104 105	Lower Limit: 57 63 60	Upper Limit: 121 127 133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW54 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-03 052727.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		99	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenzene		106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW56 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-04 052728.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		101	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW58 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-05 052729.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	_	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		102	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW59 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-06 052730.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 102 105 105	Lower Limit: 57 63 60	Upper Limit: 121 127 133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW60 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-07 052731.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	% Recovery: 100	Lower Limit: 57	Upper Limit: 121
Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	105 105	63 60	127 133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW66 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-08 052732.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB49 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-09 052733.D GCMS4 JS
C			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	14	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		<0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB56 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-10 052734.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 99 105 104	Lower Limit: 57 63 60	Upper Limit: 121 127 133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB58 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-11 052735.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8		% Recovery: 99 105	Lower Limit: 57 63	Upper Limit: 121 127
4-Bromofluorobenze Compounds:	ne	106 Concentration ug/L (ppb)	60	133
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene		<0.35 <1 <1 <2 <1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB59 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-12 052736.D GCMS4 JS
		04 D	Lower	Upper
Surrogates:	_	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	99	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		<0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	EB60 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-13 052737.D GCMS4 JS
		04 D	Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ne	104	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Benzene		<0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Trip Blank 05/27/16 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-14 052716.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	100	57	121
Toluene-d8		104	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	104	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan Not Applical 05/27/16 05/27/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 06-1080 mb 052708.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		105	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	105	60	133
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ethe	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

-		- 0		
Client Sample ID:	MW54		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/27/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535
Date Extracted:	05/31/16		Lab ID:	605535-03 1/2
Date Analyzed:	06/02/16		Data File:	060217.D
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	GCMS6
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Anthracene-d10	J10	112	31 25	160
Benzo(a)anthracene	-012	118	20	165
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Nanhthalana		-0.00		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		< 0.06		
0 1 5				

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW66 05/27/16 05/31/16 06/02/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 605535-08 1/2 060220.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 114 120	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicabl 05/31/16 06/02/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 06-1105 mb2 060206.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 124 138	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ie	< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535 Date Extracted: 06/06/16 Date Analyzed: 06/06/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as μ g/L (ppb)

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
Trip Blank 605535-14	<0.01
Method Blank	< 0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code:	605529-02 (Dup	licate)					
	Reporting	San	nple	Duplicate	RPI)	
Analyte	Units	Res	sult	Result	(Limit	20)	
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<1	00	<100	nm	l	
Laboratory Code:	: 605535-03 (Matrix Spike)						
				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Spike Sample Reco		Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	<100	92	92	50-150	0
Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Con	trol Samp	le				
			Percer	nt			
	Reporting	Spike	Recove	ry Accepta	nce		
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criter	ia		
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	97	70-11	9		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 60	5535-03 (Matrix	Spike)						
-		_		Percent	Percent			
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Accepta	ance RI	PD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criter	ria (Lim	it 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	<350	83	89	50-15	i0 7	7
Laboratory Code: La	boratory Contro	l Sample						
			Percent	Percent	t			
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recover	y Accepta	ance	RPD	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criter	ria ((Limit 20)	
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	87	95	63-14	2	9	

29

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

	porting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Accontance	ססס
Ampleto			2 ampie	necovery	necovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead ug	/L (ppb)	10	<1	99	92	70-130	7

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	107	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	85	85	70-130	0

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	101	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605535-03 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	100	74-127	4
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	91	94	69-133	3
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	97	99	76-125	2
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	93	94	76-122	1
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	98	99	69-135	1
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	97	98	69-135	1
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	97	60-140	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	94	64-147	7
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	90	73-132	4
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	97	69-134	3
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	93	72-122	4
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	97	77-124	5
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	101	97	83-125	4
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	94	81-121	6

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

Laboratory Code: 605535-03 1/2 (Matrix Spike)

Laboratory Couc. 005050-0		(pine)	Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	66	72	10-172	9
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	73	81	38-137	10
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	70	78	20-150	11
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	80	86	10-181	7
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	84	89	58-109	6
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	86	91	47-114	6
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	98	103	10-171	5
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	99	104	63-107	5
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	102 vo	106 vo	60-93	4
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	97	101	60-102	4
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	100 vo	104 vo	62-91	4
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	102 vo	106 vo	51-98	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	94 vo	98 vo	60-86	4
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	79	80	10-98	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	75	76	10-97	1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	71	74	10-102	4

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample 1/0.25

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level		LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	75	72	67-116	4
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	76	79	65-119	4
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	76	75	66-118	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	82	64-125	2
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	81	84	67-120	4
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	79	83	65-122	5
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	93	65-127	6
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	96	62-130	9
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	89	93	60-118	4
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	85	89	66-125	5
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	83	90	55-135	8
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	92	62-125	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	85	58-127	6
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	81	83	36-142	2
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	79	77	37-133	3
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	79	34-135	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/27/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605535

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	ľ		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	93	91	70-130	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

 $hr\ \text{-}\ The\ sample\ and\ duplicate\ were\ reextracted\ and\ reanalyzed.\ RPD\ results\ were\ still\ outside\ of\ control\ limits.\ Variability\ is\ attributed\ to\ sample\ inhomogeneity.$

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

(e	oss35 yaro	•				L. Nam	Бa	3	Rajt	MES	1271	16	Page	e #	1		of	<u> </u>	V4/204	A
H	ydro	Con		Sampler's I Project Nai	Name:	C. Docs TOC H	chel	-					X	Requ	ested	Turi	n Aro	ound Time ousiness day	/	
				Facility Nu	mber:	01-176	Mor	ntlak	e Terr	ace			Ê		Rush					
, cc	rt to: Rebekah Broo	oks & Kim Vik		Facility Ad	dress:	TOC/F	ARM	ASC	ONIS			Rush	Cha	rges A	uthor	ized	by:			
Stante 19101	:: Allison Greiner ec Consulting Serv 1 36th Avenue Wes wood WA 98036-57	st Suite 203		PO Numbe EDD Requ		EIM						Sam	ple Di	ispose	n: đ	day		Return V	/ill Call	
kim.vi Craigl	ik@stantec.com H@hydroconllc.ne	t		Additional Drake pro	Commen per by .	ts: Trip Disselv	blan led	lear	suppl SAM	ied by	i labi ficid	rato Alto	y.T	rîp bi and	mk a presi	130	193. Id.	ociated wit	th sample	: 1
allisor	ngreiner@eurekap	rojectsolutions.	.net							A	ALYS	SES R	EQU	ESTE	D					
	Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of containers	TPH-Gx	8260C BTEX	8260C MTBE	TPH-Dx	8270SIM PAHs	200.8 Pb, Total	200.8 Pb, D iss FF						Notes	
1	MW45	OIA-H	05/26/16	1000	w	8	x	x				x	x							
2	MW49	OZA-F	05/25/16	1715	w	6	x	x												
3	MW54	03 A-X	05/26/16	1105	w	24	x	х	х	x	x							MS/MSD		
4	MW56	04A-F	05/25/16	1436	w	6	x	x												
5	MW58	65	05/25/16	1435	w	6	x	x												
6	MW59	06	05/25/16	1245	w	6	x	x												_
7	MW60	07	05/25/16	1548	w	6	x	x												
8	MW66	08A-H	05/24/16	1653	w	8	x	x	х	x	x									
9	EB49	09 A-F	05/25/16	1740	W	6	/	1												
10	EB 56	lo	05/25-116	14/50	W	6	V	1												
11	EB 58		05/25/16	1455	W	6	~	~			L							received a	3	
					Signatur	•					Print	Name					Time		Date	-

		Signature	Print Name	Time	Date
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Relinguished by:		Larry Hamber	1121	27 MRY 2016
3012 16th Avenue West	Received by:	Will Hatterbuck	Elizabeth Radond	1121	27 may 20110
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:				
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:				

01-176 MLT GW 2016Q2 FBI_CoC_v1

City, State, ZIP Kelso Phone # 360.703. 607	, wA	41te B 98626	-, R. Brook	SAMP PROJE REMA The B/	CHAIN C LERS (sign BCT NAME TOC Mount TOC Mount COI IRKS Trip and proverty. D and prove	Atur L /NC lake - 17 Dlan .sso	re) Nan	iba mac	N, L Diecovit Ecool :	Raj I J b Sanj	y la.	eh, c l bore les f were	tor)	, (, (d		Sta RU RU Rush Di Di Re	Page TURI Indard USH_ charf SAM spose turn s	WAROU d (2 Wee ges auth IPLE Di after 30 amples	orized by
		Γ	r		1			2				SES I	KEQ I	UEST T	T	Т	<u>т</u>	╂───	
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 802HB	TREE E BAC	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	EDB 64 80/1 M							Notes
EB58	NZ sp	65/25/16	1455	Water	6			~								1	1	<u> </u>	
EB 59	18124	05125116	1305	Water	6		~	~						†	†	1	\dagger	<u>†</u>	
EB 60	13/2	05/25/16	1615	Water	6		~	~									<u>†</u>		<u> </u>
Trip Black	SA BITA	05/24/16	0830	Water	8		~	~	/			1							
																		 	
				<u>_</u>							-								
														San	ple	FEC	ives	nt <u>3</u>	•c
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGN	ATURE		PR	INT	'NA	ME					CC		ANY			DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquis	- Z_	- Al		La	rry	N	imb	A-1		4	Hdrn						1/27/16	
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received	ma	tfef	cell [Elizabe		Ť	3	Ho.	d	T	Hydrocon Environmental F\$B				127/16	1		
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquis	hed by:	\square		<u> </u>		•	 ,	1	.									- <u> </u>
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received	by:									-+-		·				-+		

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 14, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 31, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 project. There are 20 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rob Honsberger, Allison Greiner, Rebekah Brooks, Kim Vik HDC0614R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on May 31, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	<u>HydroCon</u>
605552 -01	WB02

Several 8270D compounds exceeded the acceptance criteria in the matrix spike samples. The laboratory control samples met the acceptance criteria, therefore the data were likely due to sample matrix effect.

All other quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 Date Extracted: 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 06/01/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
WB02 605552-01	<100	95
Method Blank ^{06-1067 MB}	<100	82

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 Date Extracted: 05/31/16 Date Analyzed: 06/02/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
WB02 605552-01	<50	<250	96
Method Blank ^{06-1109 MB}	<50	<250	101

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	WB02		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/31/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552
Date Extracted:	06/01/16		Lab ID:	605552-01
Date Analyzed:	06/08/16		Data File:	605552-01.116
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ug/r (hhn)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator.	51

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552
Date Extracted:	06/01/16	Lab ID:	I6-350 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/01/16	Data File:	I6-350 mb.061
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ug/L (ppb) Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator.	51

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	WB02		Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	05/31/16		Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552
Date Extracted:	06/02/16		Lab ID:	605552-01
Date Analyzed:	06/03/16		Data File:	605552-01.022
Matrix:	Water		Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		Operator:	SP
Analyte:	ugʻr (hhn)	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Operator.	51

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552
Date Extracted:	06/02/16	Lab ID:	I6-354 mb
Date Analyzed:	06/03/16	Data File:	I6-354 mb.020
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)	- por adore	

Lead

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB02 05/31/16 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 605552-01 053109.D GCMS9 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	103	85	117
Toluene-d8		100	91	108
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	97	76	126
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane		<1		
Benzene	- /	< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blar Not Applical 05/31/16 05/31/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 06-1082 mb 053111.D GCMS4 JS
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane-	d4	101	57	121
Toluene-d8		106	63	127
4-Bromofluorobenze	ene	106	60	133
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Methyl t-butyl ether	r (MTBE)	<1		
1,2-Dichloroethane	(EDC)	<1		
Benzene		< 0.35		
Toluene		<1		
Ethylbenzene		<1		
m,p-Xylene		<2		
o-Xylene		<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	WB02 05/31/16 05/31/16 06/02/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 605552-01 1/2 060221.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 107 124	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.06		
Acenaphthene		< 0.06		
Fluorene		< 0.06		
Phenanthrene		< 0.06		
Anthracene		< 0.06		
Fluoranthene		< 0.06		
Pyrene		< 0.06		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.06		
Chrysene		< 0.06		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.06		
Benzo(b)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Benzo(k)fluoranther	ne	< 0.06		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.06		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace	ene	< 0.06		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	e	< 0.06		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicabl 05/31/16 06/02/16 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 06-1105 mb2 060206.D GCMS6 VM
Surrogates: Anthracene-d10 Benzo(a)anthracene	-d12	% Recovery: 124 138	Lower Limit: 31 25	Upper Limit: 160 165
Compounds:	(Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthylene		< 0.03		
Acenaphthene		< 0.03		
Fluorene		< 0.03		
Phenanthrene		< 0.03		
Anthracene		< 0.03		
Fluoranthene		< 0.03		
Pyrene		< 0.03		
Benz(a)anthracene		< 0.03		
Chrysene		< 0.03		
Benzo(a)pyrene		< 0.03		
Benzo(b)fluoranther		< 0.03		
Benzo(k)fluoranther		< 0.03		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyre		< 0.03		
Dibenz(a,h)anthrace		< 0.03		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<u>)</u>	< 0.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552 Date Extracted: 06/06/16 Date Analyzed: 06/06/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

Results Reported as μ g/L (ppb)

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>EDB</u>
WB02 605552-01	< 0.01
Method Blank	<0.01

EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 605539-03 (Matrix Spike)								
-		_		Percent	Percent			
	Reporting		Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD	
Analyte	Units	Spike Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)	
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	660	88	90	53-117	2	
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample								
Percent								
	Report	ting Spike	e Recov	ery Accej	ptance			
Analyte	Unit	ts Level	LCS	S Crit	teria			
Gasoline	ug/L (p	opb) 1,000) 95	69-	-134			
ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	100	98	63-142	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	85	85	70-130	0

Ũ	U U		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	101	85-115

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Coo	de: 605552-01 (N	Aatrix Spil	ke)	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	98	99	70-130	1

		Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance								
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria								
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	101	85-115								

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 605534-02 (Matrix Spike)

5	1 /				
				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	104	74-127
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	97	69-133
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.35	105	76-125
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	96	76-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	98	69-135
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	<2	97	69-135
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	95	60-140

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	105	64-147	3
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	101	73-132	2
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	107	69-134	2
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	98	72-122	2
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	100	77-124	2
m,p-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	100	97	99	83-125	2
o-Xylene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	96	81-121	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR PAHS BY EPA METHOD 8270D SIM

Laboratory Code: 605535-03 1/2 (Matrix Spike)

Laboratory Couc. 003030-0		(pine)	Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Ú nits	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	66	72	10-172	9
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	73	81	38-137	10
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	70	78	20-150	11
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	80	86	10-181	7
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	84	89	58-109	6
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	86	91	47-114	6
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	98	103	10-171	5
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	99	104	63-107	5
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	102 vo	106 vo	60-93	4
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	97	101	60-102	4
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	100 vo	104 vo	62-91	4
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	102 vo	106 vo	51-98	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	94 vo	98 vo	60-86	4
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	79	80	10-98	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	75	76	10-97	1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	2	< 0.06	71	74	10-102	4

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery LCS	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level		LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	75	72	67-116	4
Acenaphthylene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	76	79	65-119	4
Acenaphthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	76	75	66-118	1
Fluorene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	82	64-125	2
Phenanthrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	81	84	67-120	4
Anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	79	83	65-122	5
Fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	93	65-127	6
Pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	96	62-130	9
Benz(a)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	89	93	60-118	4
Chrysene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	85	89	66-125	5
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	83	90	55-135	8
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	88	92	62-125	4
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	85	58-127	6
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	81	83	36-142	2
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	79	77	37-133	3
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L (ppb)	0.25	80	79	34-135	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/14/16 Date Received: 05/31/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 605552

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE BY EPA METHOD 8011 MODIFIED

	r		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 10)
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L (ppb)	0.10	93	91	70-130	2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

 $hr\ \text{-}\ The\ sample\ and\ duplicate\ were\ reextracted\ and\ reanalyzed.\ RPD\ results\ were\ still\ outside\ of\ control\ limits.\ Variability\ is\ attributed\ to\ sample\ inhomogeneity.$

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 ${\rm ip}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

605552/ SAMPLE CHAIN	
Company Hydrocon Environmental PROJECT NAM TOC Mount	The Frence Rush charges authorized by The provided by Friedman and SAMPLE DISPOSAL Wed Lead sample field filtered Brewn Samples

्यसः इत्र

					ANALYSES REQUESTED													
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	MABE EDE VOCS by8260 c	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	EDB by BOILM .	PAHS by B270 SIM	19 98 P	Bitssolv ed Pb by 200.8			Notes
WBOZ	01 A.L	05/27/16	1430	Water	12	/	/	/	/			/		1	/			
•																		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		
														Sa	mple	e rec	eive	t at <u>5</u> °G

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by:	Larry Namba	Hydrocon Environmental	31 May 2016	1100
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	DAVO		05-81-16	11.10
, Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:			,	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:				

"FORMSICOCICOC DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 30, 2016

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 24, 2016 from the TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 606453 project. There are 6 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Rob Honsberger, Allison Greiner HDC0630R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on June 24, 2016 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 606453 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>HydroCon</u>
606453 -01	MW85
606453 -02	MW86
606453 -03	MW101
606453 -04	MW108

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/30/16 Date Received: 06/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 606453 Date Extracted: 06/27/16 Date Analyzed: 06/27/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

0

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 51-134)
MW85 606453-01	<100	93
MW86 606453-02	290	93
MW101 606453-03	<100	89
MW108 606453-04	3,200	91
Method Blank 06-1248 MB	<100	89

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/30/16 Date Received: 06/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 606453 Date Extracted: 06/27/16 Date Analyzed: 06/27/16

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
MW85 606453-01	<50	<250	80
MW86 606453-02	130 x	<250	79
MW101 606453-03	<50	<250	79
MW108 606453-04	650 x	<250	89
Method Blank 06-1287 MB	<50	<250	81

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/30/16 Date Received: 06/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 606453

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 606	467-04 (Duplic	ate)			
	Reporting		Dı	uplicate	RPD
Analyte	Units	Sample R	esult l	Result	(Limit 20)
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100		<100	nm
Laboratory Code: Lab	ooratory Contro	ol Sample	D		
		~ .1	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	99	69-134	-

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/30/16 Date Received: 06/24/16 Project: TOC_01-176, WORFDB8 F&BI 606453

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	82	92	63-142	11

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

 $hr\ \text{-}\ The\ sample\ and\ duplicate\ were\ reextracted\ and\ reanalyzed.\ RPD\ results\ were\ still\ outside\ of\ control\ limits.\ Variability\ is\ attributed\ to\ sample\ inhomogeneity.$

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.









n sociarian Secologia Secologia Segnosia Segnosia 	1 0 e	N 0 0 4	ω Ο Φ 4	4 0 6 4	
	A Marine Ma Marine Marine Mari				e seed Ling Eise
				···· · ·	1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.
				·	
Cata File Name Coerator Instrument Comple Name Run Time Bar Co Acquired on Report Created	: mwdl : GC1 : 606453-02 ode: : 27 Jun 16	05:50 PM	Page N Vial N Inject Sequen Instru	lumber 🕂	7 DX.MTH



:



	1. 0 ¢ +	N 0 @	ω Ο Φ	4 0 0	
byta live Nag Operator Ledicontro Symplethate				:	
				: ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	
i de la sul de la sub de l					
Contra C					· · · · · ·
Data File Name Operator Instrument Sample Name	: C:\HPCHEM\ : mwdl : GC1 : 06-1287 mb		-16\040F0701.D Page Nur Vial Nur Injectic		40 1
Rún Time Bar Coo Acquired on Réport Created o	de: : 27 Jun 16	04:20 PM	Sequence Instrume	e Line : ent Method:	7



606453				SAMPLE	CHAIN C)F C	US	FOD	Y	М	EC	16-2	-4-	16			V2/6	30¥
Send Report To	lutteren.	Rob Hons	helder	SAMP	LERS (sign				R	Q_{i}		R			_	#	of ND TIME	-
Send Report To <u>Crain</u> Company <u>Hydrocon</u>	Environ	mental	.)	PROJE	ECT NAME			•	•	/	F	°O#			USH	d (2 Weel	-	_
Address 510 Allen St				_										Rusł			orized by	_
City, State, ZIP Kelse	,WA 98	626	•.	_ REMA	RKS					-				🛛 Di		IPLE DIS after 30		
Phone #	Fax	. #	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													amples I with ins	tructions	
				1	•						ES R	EQUE	ESTE			1	····	
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B VOCs bv8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	•						Notes	
	OIAE	6-23-16	1303	Water	5	Хľ	X											
MWB6	02 T	6-23-16	1341	Water	5	Xľ	XL											
MWIGI	03	6-23-16	1345	Water	5	X	X										4 .	
MW108	04	6-23-16	1450	water	5	Д	X]											
								_							_			
		<u>.</u>						\square	┼┤	_						 		
								_	$\left\{ \right\}$	_						<u> </u>	<u></u>	4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-					-+								-		2/•c	4
								+	╉				S	ampie	s rec	eived at	<u>~~</u> •c	-
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGN	ATURE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PR			 /E				ĊÓM				DATE	TIME	
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquis		2.0.	4, 1	Warren				h		H	Jd 101		•		or Util	1	7
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received		Solo			(A)					P	-B.	P	~		-24-16	14.4	
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquis			i							- •							۲
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received	by:	·		*									<i>.</i>				
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	· •	(3 ·								-								

*