

ES Engineering Services, LLC 1 Park Plaza, Suite #1000 Irvine, CA 92614 t 714.919.6500 f 714.919.6501 www.es-online.com

March 30, 2017

Ms. Glynis Carrosino State of Washington, Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 160th Avenue, SE Bellevue, Washington 98008-5452

Project No. 123155 Facility Site ID# 86856327 Cleanup Site ID# 10880 VCP Project No. NW2340

<u>Confirmation Soil Sampling Report</u> Site No. 3520 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

Dear Ms. Carrosino:

On behalf of Eagle Canyon Capital, LLC (Eagle), ES Engineering Services, LLC (ES) is pleased to provide this *Confirmation Soil Sampling Report* summarizing activities performed at the above referenced site (Figure 1). Site assessment activities were conducted on December 20 and 21, 2016, and consisted of advancing four confirmation soil borings (identified as CB-1 through CB-4) for the purpose of evaluating current soil conditions in follow-up to soil vapor extraction (SVE) remediation activities and to further assess groundwater conditions beneath the site. Borings were installed in areas where high hydrocarbon concentrations were identified during previous site investigations and soil samples were collected and analyzed for contaminants of concern (COCs). The activities were completed in general accordance with Task 4 of the Site Closure Plan (SCP), dated June 7, 2010, and the Workplan for Confirmation Soil Sampling (Workplan), dated February 10, 2014. Note that written opinion on the Workplan was requested from the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) in February 2014, and was pending at the time of implementation of this scope of work; authorization to proceed with the Workplan was given by Eagle.

Confirmation soil sample analytical results show a decrease in fuel hydrocarbon levels in select borings when compared to the historical soil samples results. However, soil samples collected at depths between 10 feet and 30 feet below ground surface (bgs) in all four borings contained petroleum hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (TPH-Gx) at concentrations exceeding Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels (CULs). Additionally, soil samples collected at depths between 10 feet and 50 feet bgs contained concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and/or xylenes (BTEX compounds) that exceed their respective MTCA Method A CULs. All remaining samples analyzed either did not contain detectible levels of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents or the concentrations of contaminants of concern (COCs) detected were below the MTCA Method A CULs.

Based on the results of the confirmation soil sampling, additional remediation is needed to reduce residual soil concentrations to levels that meet cleanup criteria. The confirmation borings have adequately delineated the vertical extent of soil impact which appears to be limited to the upper 60 feet of soil in the area of the dispenser islands and the UST cavity. Additionally, groundwater was not encountered to 60 feet bgs, the maximum depth explored.

Re-instatement of SVE remediation is recommended, including the installation of three additional extraction wells near the southernmost dispenser island and the southern perimeter of the existing USTs. SVE remediation is recommended to continue until COCs are reduced to soil concentrations that meet the cleanup criteria. Additional confirmation borings are also recommended when additional SVE is complete to evaluate soil conditions and obtain the data necessary to support case closure.

A summary of the field observations, findings and results of the present study are presented in the following sections.

If there are questions regarding this report, or if additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (714) 919-6500.

Sincerely, ES ENGINEERING SERVICES

Nicholas Olivier, LG Project Geologist



aura

Laura Skow Project Manager



SITE AND CONTRACTOR OVERVIEW

Site Location:	Site No. 3520 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington
Environmental Consultant:	ES Engineering Services, LLC 1036 West Taft Avenue Orange, California 92865
Drilling Contractor and Waste Transporter:	Cascade Drilling and Technical Services 17270 Woodinville Redmond Road NE Ste 777 Woodinville, Washington 98072
Laboratory Contactor:	ESN Northwest, Inc. 1210 Eastside Street SE, Suite 200 Olympia, Washington 98501 WADOE Accreditation No. C574-11
Regulatory Agency:	State of Washington, Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 160 th Avenue, Southeast Bellevue, Washington 98008-5452

SITE DESCRIPTION

Historic Property Use

The site is located at 4200 Wheaton Way in Bremerton, Washington. It is an approximately 0.49-acre parcel located on the northeast corner of the intersection of State Route 303 (Wheaton Way) and Hollis Street. Historical records reviewed, including historical aerial photographs and maps, indicate that the site was originally developed as a farm. A retail fueling station was constructed at the site in 1986 with the addition of a retail convenience store in 1988. The site was owned and operated by Time Oil Company.

Current Property Use

The site is currently an active retail fueling station with a convenience store, three pump islands with two dispensers each and four underground storage tanks (USTs), including one 6,000-gallon tank used to store diesel fuel and three 12,000-gallon tanks used to store unleaded gasoline fuel. The site is located in a mixed land-use area, bordered to the south by Hollis Street and to the west by State Route 303 (Wheaton Way). The subject site is bordered to the east by a restaurant and to the north by a large parking lot associated with a closed down retail strip mall. A restaurant and retail cannabis store are located across Hollis Street to the



south. A clothing retailer, tattoo parlor and retail collectibles store is located across State Route 303 toward the west. Pertinent site features are shown on **Figure 2**.

The subject site lies at an elevation of approximately 300 feet above mean sea level (ft amsl) on a small peninsula within Puget Sound. It is located 2 miles from the Port Orchard Waterway and Dyes and Sinclair Inlets, which surround the peninsula to the east, west and south, respectively.

Future Property Use

The site will remain a retail fuel station for the foreseeable future. There are no known plans for redevelopment of the site at the time that this report was produced.

PREVIOUS FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

The following historical summary is based on a review of available documents and summaries provided in the *Site Assessment and Closure Report*, dated October 13, 1997 prepared by Clearwater Group, Inc. (Clearwater), and the *Focused Phase II Site Assessment Report*, dated May 30, 2010, the *Well Installation and Pilot Test Report*, dated June 21, 2011 and *Remediation System Status Reports* prepared by Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc. (Environ Strategy). For reference, a summary of soil sample analytical results from Environ Strategy's and Clearwater's site assessment activities is provided in **Table 2**. In addition, the soil boring locations for each assessment relative to pertinent site features are shown on **Figure 2**.

In September and October 1996, the fuel distribution system (USTs and pump islands) at the subject site was upgraded which included the installation of a 6,000 gallon diesel UST, replacing the existing product distribution system and pump islands as well as installing an oil/water separator. During system upgrades, hydrocarbon-affected soil was encountered. Approximately, 450 tons of impacted soil was excavated and transported to a disposal facility in Tacoma, Washington during the installation of the diesel UST. The release was reported to Ecology and five verification soil samples were collected from the tank cavity for laboratory analysis. In addition, five soil samples were collected from the beneath the product lines and The samples were analyzed for BTEX compounds and total petroleum pump islands. hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPH-Gx). Hydrocarbon impacts in excess of MTCA Method A CULs were identified in all ten soil samples. Specifically, the highest levels of fuel hydrocarbons were reported in a composite sample (identified as N&E Wall-8'), which was collected from the north and east sidewall of the diesel tank cavity at a depth of 8 feet. Sample N&E Wall-8' contained TPH-Gx at 7,220 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), benzene at 27.6 mg/kg, toluene at 191 mg/kg, ethylbenzene at 111 mg/kg and total xylenes at 626 mg/kg. The soil samples collected were not analyzed for TPH quantified as diesel or for lead.

In June 1997, Clearwater conducted subsurface site assessment activities. During Clearwater's investigation, 17 soil borings (GP-1 through GP-17) were installed at various locations around



the site to delineate the extent of hydrocarbon-affected soil. Borings were terminated at a depth of 17 feet bgs due to refusal. Twenty-six (26) soil samples collected from the borings were analyzed for TPH-Gx and BTEX compounds. Hydrocarbon-affected soil was detected in a majority of the soil borings. The highest concentration of TPH-Gx (1,410 mg/kg) was in a 10-foot sample from boring GP-7 located near the southwest corner of the tank cavity. Similarly, benzene was detected at a maximum level of 11.9 mg/kg in a 10-foot sample collected from GP-5 located east of the existing tank cavity.

In May 2010, Environ Strategy conducted an additional site assessment to evaluate subsurface conditions in the vicinity of the fuel distribution system. Six soil borings (identified as SB-1 through SB-6) were advanced, of which, borings SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3 were located near the existing tank cavity and advanced to a depth of 30 feet. Borings SB-4, SB-5 and SB-6 were drilled at the west end of the southern, central and northern pump islands, respectively, and extended to a depth of 25 feet at SB-4 and to 20 feet bgs at SB-5 and SB-6. The assessment findings are summarized below.

- Hydrocarbon staining and/or odor were observed in subsurface soil collected from Borings SB-2 through SB-6 at depths between 10 to 25 feet bgs.
- Based on visual/olfactory observations, relatively low PID readings (up to 380 ppm at SB-4-10) and comparatively low toluene levels detected in the soil samples, fuel hydrocarbons in soil appeared weathered and are likely associated with impacted soil previously identified during fuel system upgrades and Clearwater's site assessment conducted in 1996 and 1997, respectively.
- TPH-Gx was detected at a maximum concentration of 19,000 mg/kg in sample SB-4-10 (Boring SB-4 at 10 feet bgs), which exceeds the CUL of 30 mg/kg. However, TPH-Gx results from deeper samples SB-4-20 (430 mg/kg) and SB-4-25 (<10 mg/kg), suggested that the vertical extent of impact did not extend beyond a depth of 25 feet. Note that the CUL for TPH-Gx with and without the presence of benzene in the sample matrix is 30 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg, respectively.
- Benzene was detected at a maximum concentration of 2.9 mg/kg in sample SB-5-10 (Boring SB-5 at 10 feet bgs) which is above the CUL of 0.03 mg/kg. Toluene was detected at concentrations up to 6.5 mg/kg (sample SB-3-25), which is below the CUL of 7 mg/kg. Sample SB-4-10 contained the highest levels of ethylbenzene at 160 mg/kg and xylenes at 590 mg/kg, which are above their respective CULs of 6 mg/kg and 9 mg/kg. However, deeper soil samples (SB-4-20 and SB-4-25) contained ethylbenzene and xylenes concentrations that are below CULs. Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) was not detected in any of the samples analyzed.



- Soil samples SB-4-10, SB-4-20 and SB-4-25 were further analyzed for the full-list of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Neither ethylene dibromide (EDB) nor ethylene dichloride (EDC) were detected in these samples. Naphthalene was detected at 76 mg/kg in SB-4-10, which is above the cleanup standard of 5 mg/kg. Sample SB-4-20 contained naphthalene at 0.74 mg/kg and was non-detect in sample SB-4-25. Detectable levels of additional VOCs were reported in samples SB-4-10, SB-4-20 and SB-4-25. The MTCA Cleanup Regulation Table 740-1, "Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Uses" does not designate CULs for the additional VOCs detected.
- Samples SB-4-10 and SB-4-25 were further analyzed for total lead. Sample SB-4-10 contained lead at 19 mg/kg, which is below the CUL of 250 mg/kg. Sample SB-4-25 did not contain detections for total lead.

Due to elevated levels of TPH-Gx detected in soil samples SB-3-25, SB-4-10, SB-5-10 and SB-6-10, the samples were further analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as diesel (TPH-Dx) and oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (ORPH). Neither TPH-Dx nor ORPH were detected in the samples analyzed. Soil analytical results from previous assessment borings are summarized in **Table 2** and shown on **Figure 3**.

In March 2011, four SVE remediation wells (VE-1 through VE-4) were installed at the site. In April 2011, remediation by SVE was tested at the site and shown to be effective at removing hydrocarbons from subsurface soil. Based on pilot testing results, a permanent SVE system was installed at the site and operations began in February 2012. From February 28, 2012 through February 28, 2014, an estimated 12,740 pounds of hydrocarbons were removed from the subsurface. Based on operational and monitoring (O&M) data, the SVE system was shut down on March 13, 2014 and confirmation soil sampling was proposed to evaluate soil conditions in follow-up to SVE operations and to further investigate groundwater conditions beneath the site.

The *Workplan for Confirmation Sampling* (Workplan), dated February 15, 2014, proposing the installation of four confirmation borings was submitted to Ecology for review and comment. Opinion from Ecology on the Workplan was pending at the time of implementation of this scope of work; authorization to proceed was given by Eagle.

CURRENT SCOPE OF WORK

Four confirmation soil borings (identified as CB-1 through CB-4) were advanced in the vicinity of the pump islands and the UST cavity near previously advanced soil boring locations to compare and evaluate current and historic soil conditions. The confirmation boring locations are shown on **Figure 2**.



Site No. 3520	Page 7 of 16
Bremerton, Washington	March 30, 2017

The objective of this assessment was to evaluate current soil conditions in follow-up to SVE remediation and further assess groundwater conditions beneath the site. The MTCA Method A CULs for Unrestricted Land Use are the primary criteria for determining whether soil and groundwater been effectively remediated.

The following section provides a brief summary of the confirmation soil sampling field activities and analytical results. Subsequent sections provide a more detailed summary of the field activities, observations and findings and analytical results along with conclusions and recommendations.

SITE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY DATA

Field Activity Details

December 10 & 21, 2016 16393519 4 Truck mounted CME-75 hollow stem auger Not encountered during drilling 60 feet bgs ⁽¹⁾ 16 (55-gallon, 12 soil and 4 decontamination water)
Dames & Moore sampler with EPA 5035 Preparation 26 1,117 ppmv (CB-4-10) TPH-Gx by EPA 5035/NWTPH-Gx B/T/E/X/MTBE/EDB by EPA 5035/8260 Naphthalenes by EPA 5035/8260 and 8270
Maximum: 5,900 mg/kg (CB-2-15) Maximum: 8.0 mg/kg/ 150 mg/kg/ 92 mg/kg/ 510 mg/kg (CB-4-10)

(1): Total depth of boring CB-1 was 60 feet bgs, boring CB-2 was 50 feet bgs, boring CB-3 was 45 feet bgs and boring CB-4 was 30 feet bgs.

FIELD ACTIVITIES

The following subsections provide a summary of the field activities conducted as part of the scope of work. Field activities were conducted in accordance with the Site Health and Safety Plan (HASP), dated December 2016. Before commencing field activities each day, a daily "tailgate" health and safety meeting was conducted by ES personnel with contracted employees. The daily site safety briefing forms and signed HASP acknowledgement page are



included as **Appendix B**. Upon request, an electronic copy of the HASP may be forwarded as a separate, stand-alone document.

Pre-marking, Permitting and Notifications

The proposed boring locations were pre-marked in white spray paint and cleared of potential subsurface utilities/structures using a private utility locator, ULS Services Corporation.

A Notice of Intent to Construct was submitted to Ecology by the drilling contractor (Cascade Drilling and Technical Services). Ecology was notified 72 hours before drilling commenced in accordance with the permit requirements. In addition, the State of Washington's mandatory underground utility locator, One-Call, was notified 72 hours before initiating field activities and requested to mark and delineate underground utilities servicing the site.

Drilling and Soil Sampling Activities

On October 20 and 21, 2016, four (4) confirmation soil borings (CB-1 through CB-4) were advanced using a truck mounted CME-75 drill rig equipped with 8-inch outside diameter hollow stem augers. Soil boring CB-1 was advanced at the west end of the southern dispenser island, adjacent to previous boring SB-4. Boring CB-2 was advanced near the southwest portion of the current UST cavity, adjacent to previous boring GP-7. CB-3 was advanced near the southeast side of the UST cavity, adjacent to previous boring SB-3. CB-4 was advanced at the west end of the central dispenser island, adjacent to previous boring GP-16 and extraction well VE-1. Boring locations are shown on **Figure 2**.

Each soil boring was cleared of potential subsurface utilities using a vacuum truck to a depth of 5 feet bgs. Following the hole clearing, each boring was advanced with the drill rig. During the advancement of each boring, soil samples were collected at 5-foot intervals using an 18-inch long, 3-inch outside diameter Dames and Moore sampler, driven with a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. Note, confirmation borings were originally proposed to be advanced to total depths of 30 to 45 feet bgs; however, based on field observations and photoionization detector (PID) readings, CB-1 was drilled to 60 feet bgs, CB-2 was drilled to 50 feet bgs, CB-3 was drilled to 45 feet bgs and CB-4 was drilled to 30 feet bgs.

During hole clearing and drilling, the retrieved soil was inspected and logged in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System by a licensed geologist within the State of Washington. Soil samples were screened in the field for undifferentiated VOCs using a PID. In general, PID field screening was performed during hole clearing and drilling activities beginning at 5 feet bgs and continuing to the total depth drilled. PID field screening was performed by placing a disaggregated portion of each sample in a sealed container and monitoring the soil for head-space volatility. PID readings ranged from non-detect (0.0 parts per million by volume [ppmV]) to a maximum of 1,117 ppmV (CB-4-10), see **Table 1**). Lithologic descriptions, PID readings and



other visual and olfactory observations were recorded on boring logs and are presented as **Appendix C.** Subsurface soils described consisted of silty sand, silt with sand, poorly graded sand and well graded sand with varying amounts and sizes of gravels to approximately 60 feet bgs, the maximum depth explored during this assessment. Soils encountered during previous assessments were generally the same as soils encountered during this assessment.

Soil samples were collected from each boring at select intervals during the advancement of each boring for laboratory analysis. Soil samples submitted for laboratory analysis were collected in accordance with EPA Method 5035 using laboratory-prepared VOA (volatile organic analysis) vials. Each soil sample was comprised of three VOA vials, each filled with a 5-gram soil aliquot, and one 4-ounce glass soil jar. Sample containers were capped, labeled, immediately stored on ice in a cooler and delivered to the analytical laboratory under chain-of-custody protocol.

Down-hole drilling and sampling equipment was cleaned using a decontamination process consisting of either a non-phosphate, laboratory-grade detergent wash and triple-rinsed, or cleaned with high temperature, high pressure washing. Tools and drilling rods were allowed to dry prior to use.

Soil borings were backfilled with hydrated bentonite chips and capped with concrete at the surface to match surrounding surface conditions. Additional details regarding the general field procedures used during the assessment are provided in **Appendix D**.

Laboratory Analysis

Soil samples were submitted under chain-of-custody to ESN Northwest, Inc., of Olympia, Washington for chemical analysis. Soil samples were analyzed for TPH-Gx by Method NWTPH-Gx, BTEX constituents by Method 8260 and naphthalenes including 2-methylnaphthalene and 1-methylnaphthalene by Method 8270.

A copy of the laboratory analytical report is provided as **Appendix E.** The soil analytical results are summarized in **Table 1** and illustrated in **Figure 4**, **Figure 6 and Figure 7**.

Waste Management

Soil cuttings and decontamination fluids generated during the field activities were placed into sixteen (16) Department of Transportation (DOT) approved drums. The drums were appropriately sealed, labeled and staged in a secure location onsite pending waste characterization.

The drums are currently pending removal by a certified waste hauler. A copy of the non-hazardous waste manifest documenting the transportation and disposal of the waste material will be provided upon request.



ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

The results of the confirmation soil sampling are bulleted below. For discussion purposes, analytical results are evaluated based on Ecology's *MTCA Chapter 70.105D RCW and Cleanup Regulation Chapter 173-340 WAC* (Publication No. 94-06, revised October 2007). Specifically, the soil analytical results from this assessment are summarized in **Table 1** and are compared to the respective MTCA Method A CULs for Unrestricted Land Use (Table 740-1). Historical soil analytical results are summarized in **Table 2**.

Soil encountered during this assessment predominately consisted of silty sand, poorly graded sand and well graded sand with silt to approximately 60 feet bgs, the maximum depth explored. Generally, individual geologic units were too small and numerous to differentiate and were therefore not indicated as so on the geologic cross sections Figure 6 and Figure 7. Regional representative groundwater was not encountered during drilling activities.

Geologic maps of the area indicate that site sediments consist of Quaternary Glacial Till deposits (Qgt). Soils and sediments encountered during drilling match the characteristics of glacial till however were generally medium to very dense and rust brown in color. This may indicate that the material that underlies the site is highly weathered.

Regional, representative groundwater was not encountered during recent drilling. A limited amount of isolated, perched groundwater was encountered in three of the four borings. The groundwater encountered during drilling appeared to be contained within pockets and seams of relatively coarse grained sediments and quickly disappeared with depth as drilling continued. Consequently, groundwater samples were not collected from the temporary borings. Note, that boring CB-1 was advanced to a depth of 60 feet bgs and remained open temporarily to screen for groundwater prior to backfilling; no groundwater was observed to accumulate in the boring. Existing extraction wells were also checked on the day of drilling and groundwater accumulation was not observed in the wells. Well logs for a nearby in-active water well and a decommissioned well indicate static water was present at 142 feet bgs (located less than 0.1 mile south) and 45 bgs (located 0.25 miles southwest), respectively (Environ, 2010).

 As shown in the boring logs for CB-1 through CB-4, evidence of petroleum hydrocarbon impacts was observed in all four borings. Additionally, VOCs were detected in the field by PID screening. Moderate hydrocarbon odors were noted in boring CB-1 at depths between 20 and 25 feet bgs. The PID reading at 20 feet bgs was 1,023 ppm. Slight to



moderate hydrocarbon odors were noted in boring CB-2 from 15 feet bgs to the 45 feet bgs. Odors were noted in boring CB-3 at 10 feet bgs, and again at depths between 30 and 40 feet bgs, possibly indicating two distinct zones of petroleum impacts. Hydrocarbon odors were detected in boring CB-4 at depth from 10 feet (1,117 ppm, the highest PID reading recorded) to 20 feet bgs. Evidence of petroleum impacts was observed at generally greater depths than previous assessments.

- A total of 26 soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of TPH-Gx, BTEX compounds and naphthalenes (including naphthalenes, 1-methyl, 2-methyl). As shown in **Table 1**, TPH-Gx was detected in seven of the 26 soil samples at concentrations ranging from 10 mg/kg (CB-3-35 and CB-4-20) to 5,900 mg/kg (CB-2-15). The TPH-Gx detections in samples CB-1-20 (85 mg/kg), CB-2-15 (5,900 mg/kg), CB-3-30 (230 mg/kg) and CB-4-10 (4,600 mg/kg) exceed the MTCA Method A CUL. BTEX was detected at concentrations exceeding CULs in samples collected from all four borings, with maximum concentrations detected in sample CB-4-10 at concentrations of 8.0 mg/kg, 150 mg/kg, 92 mg/kg and 510 mg/kg, respectively. Naphthalenes were detected in borings CB-1, CB-2 and CB-4, however, all concentrations were below MTCA Method A CULs. The highest concentration of naphthalenes occurred in sample CB-4-10 collected at 10 feet bgs in boring CB-4; naphthalene 1.1 mg/kg, 2-methylnaphthalene 1.2 mg/kg and 1-methylnaphthalene 0.63 mg/kg.
- A site plan showing historical soil analytical results for TPH-Gx are presented on Figure 3. Specifically, Figure 3 shows the highest detected concentrations of TPH-Gx in soil. Recent soil analytical results for TPH-Gx and benzene are presented in Figure 4 for comparison. A site plan showing geologic cross section traces is presented as Figure 5 and geologic cross sections displaying current and historical soil analytical results are presented as Figure 6 and Figure 7. As shown in Figure 4, Figure 6 and Figure 7, analytical results for confirmation soil samples indicate that current adsorbed-phase petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations both increased and decreased from preremediation levels. For example, pre-remediation sample SB-4-10, located just west of the southern-most dispenser island, contained TPH-Gx at 19,000 mg/kg; whereas field PID readings for the corresponding boring CB-1 were 0.5 ppmV at 15 feet bgs and TPH-Gx was non-detect (<10 mg/kg) in sample CB-1-15 (see **Tables 1 and 2**). However, a few recent samples collected contained higher concentrations of hydrocarbons then previously detected. The pre-remediation sample SB-5-10, collected at the west end of the central dispenser island contained TPH-Gx at 510 mg/kg, while the corresponding confirmation boring sample CB-4-10 contained TPH-Gx at 4,600 mg/kg. Additionally, the pre-remediation sample GP-7, collected from the southwest corner of the USTs at a depth of 15 feet bgs contained TPH-Gx at 30.8 mg/kg whereas the corresponding



confirmation boring sample CB-4-15, also collected from 15 feet bgs at the southwest corner of the USTs contained TPH-Gx at 4,600 mg/kg. PID readings from shallow soils (3 and 5 feet bgs) at confirmation borings CB-1 through CB-4 were non-detect (0.0 ppm) and define the upper vertical limit of soil impacts. However, PID field screening and analytical results show that residual impacts exceeding CULs exist at depths up to 55 feet bgs. Benzene was detected at 0.84 mg/kg in sample CB-1-55, which is above the CUL of 0.03 mg/kg. TPH-Gx was detected as deep as 35 feet bgs in confirmation boring CB-3 (10 mg/kg), although the concentration is well below the CUL of 30 mg/kg with benzene present. Overall, concentrations of TPH-Gx appear to attenuate with respect to depth. Soil impacts are not fully defined laterally, however, appear to be limited vertically to the upper 60 feet of soil in the area of the dispenser islands and the UST cavity, with the zone of primary impacts extending from 10 feet to 30 feet bgs.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Confirmation borings CB-1 through CB-4 have further characterized the nature and extent of soil contamination beneath the site. Residual hydrocarbons detected in soil appear to have originated from the fuel distribution system. Historical site assessment data (**Table 2**) shows the highest levels of fuel hydrocarbons were detected near the USTs and pump islands. The historical site assessment data pre-dates the SVE remediation performed at the site between late 2011 and March 2013. Approximately 12,740 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons were removed during active remediation. The results of this current assessment confirm that SVE was successful at reducing the concentrations of adsorbed phase hydrocarbons in the areas where the system operated, but also indicate that residual impacts at concentrations above MTCA Method A CULs remain within the impact zone and at depths deeper than previously investigated. Recent data show that the vertical extent of soil impact appears to be limited to the upper 60 feet of soils. Additionally, regional, representative groundwater was not encountered to 60 feet bgs, the maximum depth explored.

The *Site Closure Plan* (SCP), dated June 7, 2010, presented a remedial strategy to address the cleanup of residual soil contamination through short-term SVE remediation, and requesting site closure with the assumption that confirmation soil sampling was supportive. Based on confirmation sampling results, ES recommends modifying the closure plan for the continuation of SVE remediation at the site. The remediation system equipment located at the site will require rehabilitation/repair along with repairs to surface PVC extraction piping to be brought back online.

Analytical results show that soil samples collected from boring CB-1, located near the southernmost dispenser island, contain benzene at concentrations exceeding CULs at depths of 40 feet to 55 feet bgs, which is below the current screened interval of nearby extraction



well VE-2. Soil samples collected from boring CB-3 contain TPH-Gx at a concentration of 230 mg/kg at 30 feet bgs and TPH-Gx and benzene at 10 mg/kg and 0.47 mg/kg, respectively, at 35 feet bgs. Also, soil sample CB-2-15, collected from confirmation boring CB-2 at 15 feet bgs, contain TPH-Gx at a concentration of 5,900 mg/kg. Both confirmation borings CB-2 and CB-3 are located along the southern perimeter of the existing USTs. Based on the findings, ES recommends installation of three additional SVE wells to provide additional coverage for vapor extraction. One SVE well is proposed to be located near the southernmost dispenser island and screened from 40 feet to 55 feet bgs, and the other two wells proposed to be located in the vicinity of borings CB-2 and CB-3 and screened from approximately 25 feet to 40 feet bgs to remediate soil containing residual COCs. The additional SVE wells can be relatively easily plumbed into existing conduits and brought online with re-instatement of SVE operations.

SVE remediation is recommended to continue until COCs are reduced to levels that meet cleanup criteria. SVE operational and monitoring data will used to evaluate site cleanup progress. Once the concentrations of influent COCs are sufficiently reduced, ES may recommend additional soil borings to confirm successful remediation efforts and to fully characterize the site.

ES is pleased to be of service to Eagle. If there are questions regarding this report or if additional site information is required, please do not hesitate to contact ES at (714) 919-6500.



REFERENCES:

- Clearwater Group, Inc. (Clearwater) 1997, Site Assessment and Closure Report for Time Oil Company Property No. 01-352 at 4200 Wheaton Way, Bremerton, Washington, October.
- Dracovich, J.D., Logan, R.L., Schasse, H.W., Walsh, T.J., Lingley, W.S., Jr., Norman, D.K., Gerstel, WIJ., Lapen, T.J., Schuster, J.E., and Meyers, K.D., 2002, *Geologic map of Washington-Northwest Quadrant*: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Geologic Map GM-50.
- Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc. (Environ Strategy), 2010, Site Closure Plan, Site 01-352, 4200 Wheaton Way, Bremerton, Washington, dated June 7, 2010.
- Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc. (Environ Strategy), 2011, Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report, Site 01-352, 4200 Wheaton Way, Bremerton, Washington, dated June 21, 2011.
- Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc. (Environ Strategy), 2014, Workplan For Confirmation Sampling, Site 01-352, 4200 Wheaton Way, Bremerton, Washington, dated February 10, 2014.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) 2005, Model Toxics Control Act Chapter 70.105D RCW (Amended 2005) and Cleanup Regulation Chapter 173-340 WAC (Amended February 12, 2001), Publication No. 94-06, October 2005.
- Nationwide Environmental Title Research, LLC (2017). *Historic Aerials.* Retrieved from http://www.historicaerials.com/



Site No. 3520
Bremerton, Washington

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Plan
Figure 3	Historical Soil Analytical Results
Figure 4	Current Soil Analytical Results
Figure 5	Site Plan Showing Cross Section Traces
Figure 6	Geologic Cross Section A – A'
Figure 7	Geologic Cross Section B- B'

TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Confirmation Soil Sample Results
Table 2	Summary of Historical Soil Sample Results

APPENDICES

Appendix	A	Site Background Information
Appendix	В	Site Safety Briefing Forms and Signed HASP Acknowledgment Page
Appendix	С	Boring Logs
Appendix	D	General Field Procedures
Appendix	E	Laboratory Analytical Report



ACRONYMS

amsl:	above mean sea level
bgs:	below ground surface
BTEX:	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes
btoc:	below top of casing
CB:	confirmation soil boring
CUL:	cleanup level
DO:	dissolved oxygen
DOT:	Department of Transportation
Ecology:	Department of Ecology
EPA:	Environmental Protection Agency
ft:	feet
ft/ft:	feet per foot
GW:	groundwater
μg/L:	micrograms per Liter
HASP:	health and safety plan
MDL:	Method detection limit
mg/kg:	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per Liter
MTBE:	methyl tert-butyl ether
MW:	monitoring well
nm:	not measured
na:	not applicable
ND:	not detected
ORC:	oxygen release compound
Pb:	lead
pH:	potential Hydrogen level
PID:	photo-ionization detector
ppb:	parts per billion
ppm:	parts per million
TPH-Dx:	total diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons
TPH-Gx:	total gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons
TPH-Ox:	total lube oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons
UST:	underground storage tank
VOA:	volatile organic analysis
VOCs:	volatile organic compounds



FIGURES







W: \03_Site Assessment & Remediation \Eagle Canyon (PC&F)\01-3520 - Wheaton Way, Bremerton WA (#623) \Reports\2016 Confirmation Soil Sampling Report\DWGs\623.dwg





W: \03_Site Assessment & Remediation \Eagle Canyon (PC&F)\01-3520 - Wheaton Way, Bremerton WA (#623) \Reports \2016 Confirmation Soil Sampling Report \DWGs \623.dwg



W: \03_Site Assessment & Remediation\Eagle Canyon (PC&F)\01-3520 - Wheaton Way, Bremerton WA (#623)\Reports\2016 Confirmation Soil Sampling Report\DWGs\623.dwg



W: \03_Site Assessment & Remediation\Eagle Canyon (PC&F)\01-3520 - Wheaton Way, Bremerton WA (#623)\Reports\2016 Confirmation Soil Sampling Report\DWGs\623.dwg

TABLES

Table 1 Summary of Confirmaiton Soil Sample Results Site No. 3520 Bremerton, Washington Page 1 of 1

Boring ID	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth (ft bgs)	PID Readings	TPH-Dx (mg/kg)	TPH-Ox (mg/kg)	TPH-Gx (mg/kg)	B (mg/kg)	T (mg/kg)	E (mg/kg)	X (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)	Naph (mg/kg)	2-Methyl (mg/kg)	1-Methyl (mg/kg)	Total Pb (mg/kg)
				(ppmv)	NWTPH	I-Dx Ext	NWTPH-Gx		м	ethod 8260) ⁽¹⁾		1	Vethod 8270		6020A/3050B
CB-1	CB-1-15	12/20/16	15	0.0			<10	<0.02	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.15		<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	
	CB-1-20	12/20/16	20	1,023			85	0.12	1.1	0.53	3.1		0.08	<0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-1-30	12/20/16	30	21.3			<10	<0.02	0.08	< 0.05	<0.15		<0.02	<0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-1-40	12/20/16	40	233.0			<10	0.04	0.46	0.15	0.97		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	CB-1-50	12/20/16	50	269.5			<10	0.11	0.33	0.19	1.0		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	CB-1-55	12/20/16	55	83.9			<10	0.84	<0.05	0.28	<0.15		<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-1-60	12/20/16	60	8.0			<10	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.15		<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
CB-2	CB-2-5	12/20/16	5	0.0			<10	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.15		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	CB-2-10	12/20/16	10	0.0			<10	<0.02	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.15		<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-2-15	12/20/16	15	333.2			5,900	<0.02	4.9	40	240		0.97	1.0	0.63	
	CB-2-20	12/20/16	20	633.4			18	<0.02	<0.05	< 0.05	0.16		<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-2-30	12/20/16	30	87.8			<10	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.15		<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-2-40	12/20/16	40	74.1			<10	<0.02	<0.05	< 0.05	0.19		<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-2-50	12/20/16	50	8.3			<10	0.05	<0.05	0.12	<0.15		<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
CB-3	CB-3-10	12/21/16	10	35.8			<10	<0.02	<0.05	0.05	<0.15		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	CB-3-15	12/21/16	15	0.4			<10	<0.02	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.15		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	CB-3-20	12/21/16	20	1.5			<10	<0.02	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.15		< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-3-30	12/21/16	30	118.6			230	<0.02	0.74	2.5	15		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	CB-3-35	12/21/16	35	163.0			10	0.47	0.51	0.12	0.75		< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	CB-3-45	12/21/16	45	2.1			<10	<0.02	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.15		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
CB-4	CB-4-5	12/21/16	5	0.0			<10	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.15		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	CB-4-10	12/21/16	10	1,117			4,600	8.0	150	92	510		1.1	1.2	0.63	
	CB-4-15	12/21/16	15	33.0			<10	<0.02	0.14	0.09	0.52		<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	
	CB-4-20	12/21/16	20	85.6			10	<0.02	0.14	0.09	0.63		<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	
	CB-4-25	12/21/16	25	2.4			<10	0.06	0.13	<0.05	0.13		<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	
	CB-4-30	12/21/16	30	2.0			<10	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.15		<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
	N	ITCA Method	A Cleanu	up Levels ⁽²⁾	2,000	2,000	100/30 ⁽³⁾	0.03	7	6	9	0.1		5		250

Notes:

Results in BOLD indicate detections that exceed MTCA Method A cleanup levels for soil

^{(1):} All soil samples analyzed for full scan VOCs by Method 8260. See lab report for complete list of analytes (Appendix H)

 $^{(2):}$ MTCA Method A Table 740-1 for unrestricted land use, WAC 173-340-900 Tables

 $^{\rm (3):}$ 100 mg/kg when benzene is absent and 30 mg/kg when benzene is present

<: not detected at or above stated reporting limit (RL)

--: not analyzed

1-Methyl: 1-Methylnaphthalene

2-Methyl: 2-Methylnaphthalene BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes

EDB: 1,2 dibromoethane

Ext: extended

ft bgs: feet below ground surface

- mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram
- MTBE: methyl tert-butyl ether
- MTCA: Model Toxics Control Act Naph: naphthalene
- Pb: lead
- PID: photoionization detector
- ppmv: parts per million by volume
- SB: soil boring
- TPH-Dx: total diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- TPH-Gx: total gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- TPH-Ox: total oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- VOCs: volatile organic compounds

Table 2 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Results Site No. 3520 Bremerton, Washington Page 1 of 2

		Depth	TPH-Ox	TPH-Dx	TPH-Gx	В	Т	E	X	Total Pb
Sample ID	Sample Date	(ft bgs)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
			NWI	PH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx			1ethod 8020/		1
SB-1-15	5/11/10	15			<10	0.03	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	
SB-1-30	5/11/10	30			<10	0.02	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	
SB-2-15	5/11/10	15			2.3	0.20	0.78	0.12	0.55	
SB-2-30	5/11/10	30			<10	0.03	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	
SB-3-20	5/11/10	20			<10	<0.02	0.07	0.06	0.32	
SB-3-25	5/11/10	25	<100	<50	1,400	0.13	6.5	11	51	
SB-3-30	5/11/10	30			<10	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.24	
SB-4-10	5/11/10	10	<100	<50	19,000	1.5	0.19	160	590	19
SB-4-20	5/11/10	20			430	0.029	0.024	0.55	3.6	
SB-4-25	5/11/10	25			<10	0.021	<0.05	0.055	0.24	<5.0
SB-5-10	5/11/10	10	<100	<50	510	2.9	4.0	6.0	30	
SB-5-20	5/11/10	20			5.0	0.08	0.26	0.09	0.47	
SB-6-10	5/11/10	10	<100	<50	160	0.17	<0.05	1.7	1.4	
SB-6-20	5/11/10	20			<10	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	
VE-1-30	3/31/11	30	<100	<50	<10	<0.02	<0.05	<0.05	0.17	
VE-2-30	3/31/11	30	<100	<50	<10	<0.02	0.082	<0.05	0.18	<5.0
GP-1	6/17/97	0-4								
GP-1	6/17/97	4-8								
GP-1	6/17/97	8-12								
GP-2	6/17/97	0-4								
GP-2	6/17/97	4-8								
GP-2	6/17/97	8-12								
GP-2	6/17/97	12-15.5			41.4	ND	ND	0.0846	0.854	
B-2	6/17/97	10			83.3	ND	0.0886	0.141	0.14	
GP-3	6/17/97	0-4								
GP-3	6/17/97	4-8								
GP-3	6/17/97	8-12			2.43	ND	ND	ND	ND	
GP-3	6/17/97	12-14			ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
GP-4	6/17/97	0-4								
GP-4	6/17/97	0-4 4-8								
GP-4	6/17/97	4-0 8-9.5			ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
GP-5	6/17/97	5								
GP-5		10			159	11.9	26.5			
	6/17/97							2.66	14.5	
GP-5	6/17/97	15			ND	ND	ND	ND 	ND	
GP-6	6/17/97	5								
GP-6	6/17/97	10			7.16	ND	0.254	0.101	0.692	
GP-7	6/17/97	5								
GP-7	6/17/97	10			1,410	4.68	37.1	19.3	135	
GP-7	6/17/97	15			30.8	2.12	3.95	0.492	3.19	
GP-8	6/17/97	5								
GP-8	6/17/97	10			166	ND	0.767	2.18	13.8	
GP-8	6/17/97	15			229	ND	4.25	3.99	23.3	
GP-9	6/17/97	5								
GP-9	6/17/97	10			31.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	
GP-10	6/17/97	5								
GP-10	6/17/97	10			184	0.266	2.36	1.68	9.11	
GP-10	6/17/97	15			12.4	0.317	2.08	0.223	1.42	
GP-11	6/18/97	5								
GP-11	6/18/97	10								
GP-11	6/18/97	15			ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	



Table 2 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Results Site No. 3520 Bremerton, Washington Page 2 of 2

		Depth	TPH-Ox	TPH-Dx	TPH-Gx	В	Т	E	Х	Total Pb
Sample ID	Sample Date	(ft bgs)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
			NWT	PH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	-	EPA N	1ethod 8020/	8021B	-
GP-12	6/18/97	5								
GP-12	6/18/97	10								
GP-12	6/18/97	15			30	2.07	6.21	0.248	3.13	
GP-12	6/18/97	20			2.53	ND	0.0809	ND	0.113	
GP-13	6/18/97	5								
GP-13	6/18/97	10			2.42	ND	ND	ND	ND	
GP-14	6/18/97	5								
GP-14	6/18/97	10			74	0.186	0.298	0.819	3.52	
GP-15	6/18/97	5								
GP-15	6/18/97	10			149	0.799	6.1	1.61	9.59	
GP-16	6/18/97	5			2.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	
GP-16	6/18/97	10			558	5.68	23.3	8.47	44.1	
GP-17	6/18/97	5								
GP-17	6/18/97	10			63.1	1.5	1.08	0.765	3.15	
SS-1	9/26/97				2,110	2.61	77.9	40.9	230	
SS-2	9/26/97				11.7	ND	ND	ND	0.520	
FLOOR-12'	9/26/97	12			1,180	6.87	49.7	17.2	72.6	
SW WALL-8'	9/26/97	8			356	4.85	13.5	5.4	30.3	
SE WALL-8'	9/26/97	8			49.8	6.95	5.67	0.83	5.01	
N&E WALL-8'	9/26/97	8			7,220	27.6	191	111	626	
E WALL-8'	9/26/97	8			ND	1.16	0.358	0.134	0.546	
E TANK-5.5'	1/10/96	5.5			117	1.07	12.9	2.68	13.4	
W TANK-5.5'	10/10/96	5.5			ND	0.278	0.0642	ND	1.85	
SPI-2'	10/1/96	2			336	ND	0.246	0.54	4.75	
CPI-2'	10/1/96	2			34	ND	ND	0.11	0.613	
NPI-2'	10/1/96	2			20.2	ND	0.103	0.0872	1.06	
МТС	A Method A Clea	nup Goals ⁽¹⁾	2,000	2,000	100/30 ⁽²⁾	0.03	7	6	9	250

Notes:

Bold where detections exceed MTCA Method A Cleanup levels

(1): MTCA Method A Table 740-1 for unrestricted land use, WAC 173-340-900 Tables

(2): 100 mg/kg when benzene is absent and 30 mg/kg when present

(3): The hydrocarbons present in this sample are a complex mixture of both gasoline and diesel range organics

*: result to be confimed by advancing confirmation soil borings

--: not analyzed / not measured

<: not detected at or above laboratory reporting limit

BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes; analyzed by EPA Methods 5030/8020, samples collected after 1992 analyzed by EPA Method 8021B

ft bgs: feet below ground surface

ND: not detected at or above laboratory reporting limit, no reporting limit listed

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

MTCA: Washinton State Model Toxics Control Act Method A Cleanuo Guidelines

Total Pb: total lead analyzed by EPA Method 7420, samples collected after 1992 analyzed using EPA Method 6020

TPH-Gx: total gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons, analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx, EPA Methods 5030/8015

TPH-Dx: total diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons, analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx, EPA Methods 3550/8015

TPH-Ox: total oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons, analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx, EPA Method 418.1 Modified



APPENDIX A

SITE BACKGROUND INFORMATION

SITE BACKGROUND

Site 01-352 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

The subject site is located at 4200 Wheaton Way in Bremerton, Washington and is approximately a 0.5-acre rectangular-shaped, outparcel of commercial land located on the northeast corner of Wheaton Way and Hollis Street. The site is a fuel retail station with four underground storage tanks (USTs) and three pump islands that are located near (west of) a single-story convenience store. The USTs include one 6,000-gallon tank (diesel), two 12,000-gallon tanks (regular gasoline) and one 12,000-gallon tank (premium gasoline). The site is relatively flat, covered with asphalt and concrete, and is part of a larger retail shopping center. Surrounding land use includes commercial properties including retail shops and restaurants.

The site lies at an elevation of approximately 300 feet above mean sea level (ft amsl) on a small peninsula within Puget Sound. It is located approximately 2 miles from Port Orchard Waterway, Dyes Inlet and Sinclair Inlet, which surround the peninsula to the east, west and south, respectively. A site location map is provided as Figure 1. Pertinent site features are shown on Figure 2.

In September and October 1996, the fuel distribution system at the subject site was upgraded. During system upgrades, hydrocarbon-affected soil was encountered in the tank cavity and 450 tons of impacted soil was excavated and transported to a disposal facility in Tacoma, Washington. The release was reported to the Washington Department of Ecology (DOE) and five verification soil samples were collected from the tank cavity for laboratory analysis. In addition, five soil samples were collected from the beneath the product lines and pump islands. The samples were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) compounds and total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPH-Gx). Hydrocarbon impacts in excess of Model Toxics Cleanup Act (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels were identified in all ten soil samples. Specifically, the highest levels of fuel hydrocarbons were reported in a composite sample (identified as N&E Wall-8'), which was collected from the north and east sidewall of the diesel tank cavity at a depth of 8 feet. Sample N&E Wall-8' contained TPH-Gx at 7,220 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), benzene at 27.6 mg/kg, toluene at 191 mg/kg, ethylbenzene at 111 mg/kg and total xylenes at 626 mg/kg.

In June 1997, Clearwater conducted subsurface site assessment activities. During Clearwater's investigation, 17 soil borings (GP-1 through GP-17) were installed at various locations around the site to delineate the extent of hydrocarbon-affected soil. Borings were terminated at a depth of 17 feet bgs due to refusal. Twenty-six (26) soil samples collected from the borings were analyzed for TPH-Gx and BTEX compounds. Hydrocarbon-affected soil was detected in a majority of the soil borings. The highest concentration of TPH-Gx (1,410 mg/kg) was in a 10-foot sample from boring

GP-7 located near the southwest corner of the tank cavity. Similarly, benzene was detected at a maximum level of 11.9 mg/kg in a 10-foot sample collected from GP-5 located east of the existing tank cavity.

In May 2010, Environ Strategy conducted an additional site assessment to evaluate subsurface conditions in the vicinity of the fuel distribution system (USTs and pump islands). Six soil borings (identified as SB-1 through SB-6) were advanced, of which, Borings SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3 were located near the existing tank cavity and advanced to a depth of 30 feet. Borings SB-4, SB-5 and SB-6 were drilled at the west end of the southern, central and northern pump islands, respectively, and extended to a depth of 25 feet at SB-4 and to 20 feet bgs at SB-5 and SB-6. Assessment findings are detailed in the *Focused Phase II Site Assessment Report*, dated May 30, 2010.

Based on the results of site assessment, an SVE system was designed and vapor extraction wells VE-1 through VE-4 were installed from March 29 to 31, 2011. Remediation by SVE was pilot tested at the site from April 4 to 7, 2011, and proved effective at removing hydrocarbons from subsurface soil, as detailed in the *Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report*, dated June 21, 2011. An application for an air discharge permit for the operation of SVE equipment at the site for the remediation of hydrocarbons in soil was submitted on June 6, 2011.

The air discharge permit for the operation of SVE equipment at the site was received from the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency on November 7, 2011, and following system installation, baseline samples were collected on February 15, 2012, to demonstrate compliance with the air discharge permit requirements.

On February 28, 2012, the SVE system began continuous operation for the remediation of hydrocarbons in subsurface soil. Environ Strategy began bi-monthly site visits for operation and maintenance (O&M) of the system. Vapor flow rates, vacuum, system temperatures, and concentrations of unspeciated hydrocarbons in vapor in system influent, effluent, and individual wells are recorded at each visit. Vapor samples are collected monthly from system influent and effluent sample ports and submitted for laboratory analysis.

As reported in the *Remediation System Status Report*, dated October 15, 2012, field observations and laboratory test results demonstrate that soil vapor extraction is effective at removing petroleum constituents from subsurface soil beneath the site. During the first six months of system operation an estimated total of 7,461 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons were extracted from the site subsurface. Based on the results of the first six months of system operation, Environ Strategy recommended continued operation of the SVE system until hydrocarbon removal rates reached asymptotic levels.

As of September 30, 2013, an estimated total of 12,179 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons had been extracted from the site subsurface, treated and discharged. Hydrocarbon concentrations in system influent vapor exhibit have fluctuated since system start-up but show a decreasing trend as select extraction wells are opened/closed to optimize system performance. Field PID readings from the individual extraction wells show hydrocarbon concentrations have fluctuated over time and exhibit an increase during dry seasons. O&M data and analytical results are presented in the

Remediation System Status Report, dated October 15, 2013. Similar trends in O&M data were observed from October 2013 through January 2014, therefore, confirmation soil sampling was recommended to evaluate current soil conditions.

APPENDIX B

SITE SAFETY BRIEFING FORMS

nte: <u>12/20/2016</u> Diject No: sk: <u>Confirmation Boring5</u> Health/Safety Officer: <u>Laura Skow</u> rson Providing Briefing: <u>Nick Olivier</u> Dipics:
sk: <u>Confirmation Boring5</u> Health/Safety Officer: <u>Laura Skou</u> rson Providing Briefing: <u>Nick Olivier</u>
ppics:
 Site HASP Chemical Hazards Equipment Hazards Electrical Hazards Heat Stress Personal Decontamination Personal Hygiene Employee Rights/Responsibilities Hazard Evaluations Emergency Response Procedures
Persons in Attendance: Jame/Organization) Lick Olivier (ES Eng.) Lesley Hennedy (cascade Drilling) Pay 1 Taurse and (Cascade Drilling) Pay 1 Taurse and (Cascade Drilling)
ody Brosks Cascado
otes/Comments: Traffic is Main hazard-
Wark around Vehicles before moving -
underground utilities (Gesmarkout).
By Taussend (Cascude Hilling) a chy Hendepson (Cascade) originality Bracks Cascade otes/Comments: Traffic is Main hazard Think through each task before starting- War cround Vehicles before moving -

W:\03_Site Assessment & Remediation\01_Forms & Schedules\2012-07-15 Site Assessment Forms - Master Folder\Site Safety Form

Site: <u>EAGLE#3520</u> Date: 12/21/2016	Time: 0745
Project No:	
Task: <u>Lonfirmation Barings</u> Person Providing Briefing: <u>Nick Olivie</u>	
Topics:	
 Site HASP Chemical Hazards Equipment Hazards Electrical Hazards Heat Stress Persons in Attendance: (Name/Organization) Nick Olivier Nick Olivier Manes Goole were Cestade Koce A BCooks	 Personal Decontamination Personal Hygiene Employee Rights/Responsibilities Hazard Evaluations Emergency Response Procedures Persons in Attendance: (Name/Organization)
Westy Hennedy Cascale	
Notes/Comments:	
· Importance of exclusion zones - Tra	Affic + pedestrian control.



Grenvironmental services

4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

Page 23 December 2016

14.0 ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND UNDERSTANDING OF PLAN

This health & safety plan was prepared by the undersigned, having successfully completed OSHA standard 29 CFR 1910.120 40-hour hazardous materials health & safety training.

Lauro Stor

Laura Skow

Program Manager:

Site Health & Safety Officer:

Dane Nygaard

I UNDERSTAND AND AGREE TO THE ABOVE PLAN

	Name & Company		Date
Contractors:		_	
		-	· .
		-	
Geologist/Field	h m	-	4
rechnicians:	Nick Olivier MM	1 -	12/20/2016
	Cody HENderson Con 478	h	12/20/2016
	Pay/ Towns of Munkins	-	12/10/2016
	Lesley Kennedy	_	12/20/2016
Other:	Kady Brooks	_	12/20/2016
	Dames Goble	_	12/20/ 14
			1/
		-	
	the second		
APPENDIX C

BORING LOGS

				Site No. 7290	_	Start date:			6
Address:	4200 Whe				_ 0	Contractor:		-	
	Bremertor	n, Washing	gton		Drilli	ng Foreman:			
Project No.	191 Nick Olivie	r				Rig Type: ing Method:		ruck mounted Tem auger Hole diameter (inches): 8.0	
					Drill	ing wiethod:	nonow st		
	till FILTER P d (#10/20):				riser material:	n/a	rice	WELL CONSTRUCTION er diameter: n/a	
	onite chips:		1.5	to n/a to 60	screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips:		n/a	to n/a				interval (ft): n/a	
bento	nite grout:		n/a	to n/a		to GW durin	g drilling:	n/a	
	concrete:	from (ft.)	0	to 1.5	Depth to	GW after sta	bilization:	n/a	
Drilling	Sample	Blow	Anal	ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol	·	(PPM
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
						1		A inch thick asphalt surface, stucked resk base	
							FILL	4 inch thick asphalt surface, crushed rock base, previously placed, moist, silty, gravelly fill material to	
						2		3.5 feet depth (FILL). No hydrocarbon odor or	
						2		staining.	
						3		-	0.0
						5			
	İ					4	1		
							1		
SS	100%	3				5 —	SM	Medium brown, mottled, wet, loose SILTY SAND with	0.0
		3						few fine to medium semi-angular gravels. No	
		4				6 —		hydrocarbon odor or staining.	
						7 —			
					_	8 —			
						9 —			
	1000/	6				10 —			
SS	100%	6					SP	Medium grey, moist, medium dense poorly graded medium SAND with trace silt and trace fine gravels.	0.0
		8				11 —		No hydrocarbon odor or staining.	
		7						No figurocarbon odor or stanning.	
						12 —			
						13 —			
						14 —			
						15 —			
SS	100%	11	15:10	CB-1-15			SP	Same as above. No hydrocarbon odor or staining.	0.5
		30				16			
		26	Ţ			10			
						17			
						1/			
						10			
						18 ——			
							1		
	1					19 —	1		
						20			
	1						1	Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inc	ch
///// cond	rete			nr = not r	ecorded			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sampl	
2222								driven with a 140 lbs. hammer.	
bent	onite chips								
	r level at tii	no of daily	ing						
	i jevel at til	ne or arill	ILLIN .						

Client/Site:				SILE NO. 7290	_		e: 12/20/20		.6
Address:	4200 Whe Bremertor	,					or: Cascade In: James Go		
Project No.		1, 100311118	ston					ruck mounted	
	Nick Olivie	er			 Drill		d: hollow st		
WE	LL FILTER P	ACK AND	BACKFI	LL				WELL CONSTRUCTION	
san	d (#10/20):	from (ft.)		to n/a	riser material:	-		er diameter: n/a	
	onite chips:		1.5	to 60	screen material:	n/a		n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips: onite grout:		n/a n/a	to n/a to n/a	denth	to GW du	screened ring drilling:	n/a	
bente	concrete:		0	to 1.5			tabilization:		
Drilling	Sample	Blow	Analy	ytical Sample	Well	Depth Sca	e USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPN
SS	50%	20	15:15	CB-1-20			SP	Orange brown to grey, oxidized, moist, very dense,	102
		50/4				21 —		gravelly, poorly graded medium SAND with trace silt.	
						21		Moderate hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
						22 —			
						23 —			
						24 —			
						25 —			
SS	50%	44					SP	Same as above. Slight hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	68.
		50/6				26 —	_		
							_	Increase in rig chatter, possible seam of gravels.	
						27 —			
						28 —	_		
							_		
						29 —			
								Dramatic decrease in rig chatter.	
66	4000/	22	45.40	CD 1 20		30 —			
SS	100%	23 26	15:18	CB-1-30			SP	Medium to dark grey, medium to very dense, moist, poorly graded medium SAND with trace silt, no	21.
		20				31 —		gravels. Very slight hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
		25					_	8. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						32 —			
						33 —			
						34 —			
							$\overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}$	Limited perched groundwater.	
SS	100%	31				35 —	SP	Same as above. No hydrocarbon odor or staining.	102
		21							
		37				36 —	_		
							_		
						37 —			
						38 —			1
						20			1
						39 —	7		1
					<u>para ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an</u>	40			
					od			Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 in	ch
cond	crete		r	nr = not record	eu			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore samp	ler
bent	onite chips							driven with a 140 lbs. hammer.	
Juli	c cmps								

				Site No. 7290			12/20/20		.6
Address:	4200 Whe					Contractor:			
	Bremertor	n, Washin	gton		Drillin	ng Foreman:			
Project No.	191 Nick Olivie	r			_			ruck mounted	
					Drill	ing Method:	nollow st		
	LL FILTER P			- 1	ricor matorial:	2/2	rice	WELL CONSTRUCTION er diameter: n/a	
	d (#10/20): onite chips:			to n/a to 60	riser material: screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips:			to n/a	screen material.			interval (ft): n/a	
	onite grout:			to n/a	depth	to GW durir			
	concrete:			to 1.5	Depth to (GW after sta	bilization:	n/a	
Drilling	Sample	Blow	Anal	ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale	USCS	Descriptions of Metaviels and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPN
SS	100%	31	15:25	CB-1-40			SP	Medium to dark grey, medium to very dense, moist,	233.
		27						poorly graded medium SAND with trace silt, no	
		32				41		gravels. Moderate hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
						42			
						43 —			
									1
						44			1
									1
						45 ——			
SS	100%	21				45	SP	Same as above. Moderate hydrocarbon odor, no	180
		21						staining.	
		25				46 —			
						47 —			
						48			
						49 —			
						50 ——			
SS	100%	21	15:30	CB-1-40			SP	Same as above. Moderate hydrocarbon odor, no	269.
		23				51		staining.	
		27				51			
						52 —			
						53 —			
	+					54 —			
									1
66	1000/	21	15.05	CB-1-55		55		Modium grou modium dense moist nearly and a	0.0
SS	100%	21	15:35	CB-1-22			SP	Medium grey, medium dense, moist, poorly graded fine SAND with trace silt, no gravels. Slight	83.9
		30	\mid			56 —		hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	1
		25						וועמוטכמוטטוו טעטר, ווט גנמוווווש.	
						57 —			1
									1
						58			1
			1			50			1
									1
	1					59	1	Same as above. No hydrocarbon odor or staining.	1
SS	100%	24	15:40	CB-1-60				Bottom of boring at 60.0 feet.	8.0
		25		00		60 —			0.0
									1
		27							
in con	crete			nr = not record	ed			Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 in	
			I	–ecolu				inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore samp	
bent	onite chips							driven with a 140 lbs. hammer. Boring terminated at 6	u.u te
	F							below ground surface.	
			illing						

Address:	1200 14/				-		12/20/20		6
		aton Way				Contractor:			
	Bremertor	n, Washin	gton		Drillin	ng Foreman:			
Project No.	191 Nick Olivie	r				Rig Type: ing Method:		ruck mounted em auger Hole diameter (inches): 8.0	
					Drill	ing wiethod:	nonow st		
	LL FILTER P d (#10/20):			to n/a	riser material:	n/a	rice	WELL CONSTRUCTION er diameter: n/a	
	nite chips:		1.5	to 17a	screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips:		n/a	to n/a				interval (ft): n/a	
bento	nite grout:		n/a	to n/a		to GW durin			
	concrete:		0	to 1.5	Depth to (GW after sta		n/a	
Drilling		Blow		ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale (feet)	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(ieet)	Symbol		(PPN
					- 111111111111111111111111111111111111				
					, ,	1		4 inch thick asphalt surface, crushed rock base,	
							FILL	previously placed, moist, silty, gravelly fill material to	
						2 —		3.5 feet depth (FILL). Woody debris present. No	
								hydrocarbon odor or staining.	
						3 —			0.0
									L
						4			
						5			
SS	100%	5	12:15	CB-2-5			SM	Medium brown, mottled, moist, loose SILTY SAND	0.0
		4				6		with few fine to medium semi-angular gravels. No	
		5						hydrocarbon odor or staining.	
						7			
						8			
						g			
						5			
						10 ——			
SS	100%	3	12:20	CB-2-10		10	SM	Same as above. No hydrocarbon odor or staining.	0.6
		3				11 ——			
		4							
						12			
						12			
						13 —			
						15			
						14			
						14			
						15			
SS	100%	4	12:35	CB-2-15		15	SP/SM	Medium grey, moist, loose, poorly graded medium	333.2
		5				16 -		SAND with SILT and trace fine to medium, sub-angular	
		4				16 ——		to sub-rounded gravels. Moderate hydrocarbon odor	
						17		in cuttings and samples. No soil staining.	
						17			
						10			
						18 ——			
						10			
						19 ——			
						20		Increased rig chatter.	
m	•						-	Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inc	ch
conc	rete			nr = not re	ecorded			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sampl	ler
hort	onite chine							driven with a 140 lbs. hammer.	
bent	onite chips								
🔽 wate	r level at tir	ne of drill	ing						
			0						

Client/Site:			I, LLC	5110 110. 7250	_		12/20/20		0
Address:	4200 Whe	,				Contractor:			
		n, Washing	ton		Drilli	ng Foreman:			
roject No.					_			ruck mounted	
	Nick Olivie				Drill	ing Method:	hollow st		
	LL FILTER P					1		WELL CONSTRUCTION	
	d (#10/20):		n/a	to n/a	riser material:			er diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips: onite chips:		1.5 n/a	to 50 to n/a	screen material:			interval (ft): n/a	
	onite grout:		n/a	to n/a	depth	to GW durir			
	concrete:		0	to 1.5		GW after sta			
Drilling	Sample	Blow	Anal	ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPN
SS	100%	18	12:37	CB-2-20			SP/SM	Medium grey, wet, loose, poorly graded medium	633.
		19					$\mathbf{\nabla}$	SAND with SILT and few medium to coarse, sub-	
		21				21 —	- -	angular to sub-rounded gravels. Moderate	
								hydrocarbon odor in cuttings and samples. No soil	
						22 —	_	staining.	
						·	1	Possible perched groundwater at 21.0 feet.	
						23 —	-		
							4		
		└───┤			_	24 —	<u> </u>		
							4		
						25 —	1		
SS	50%	16					SP	Medium to light grey, moist, medium dense, poorly	26.4
		18				26 —		graded medium SAND with trace fine sub-angular	
		25				20		gravels and no fines. Slight hydrocarbon odor, no	
						27		staining.	
						27 —			
						28 —			
						29 —			
	1000/	21	12.42	CD 2 40		30 —	CD	Come as shows. Clight hudensorthan adam as staining	07.0
SS	100%		12:42	CB-2-40			SP	Same as above. Slight hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	87.8
		25				31 —			
		25							
						32 —			
						33			
						34 —			
						J-			
						25			
SS	100%	22				35 —	SP	Same as above. Slight hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	29.2
		23					1		
	1	29				36 —	1		
							1		
						37 —	1		
							1		
		├				38	-		
							-		
						39 —	-		
							4		
					<u>kaanaanaanaanaanaanaanaanaanaanaanaanaan</u>	40			
///// ///// cond				nr = not record				Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inc	
cond	crete		ſ		icu			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sample	er
hent	onite chips							driven with a 140 lbs. hammer.	
Seilt	since chips								

				Site No. 7290	_		e: <u>12/20/20</u>		5
Address:	4200 Whe					Contractor			
reiset Ne	Bremertor	n, Washin	gton		_ Drilli	ng Foreman			
roject No.	Nick Olivie	r			_ Drill	Rig Type ing Method		ruck mounted em auger Hole diameter (inches): 8.0	
	LL FILTER P		BACKE	11	Dim		. 11011010 31	WELL CONSTRUCTION	
	d (#10/20):			to n/a	riser material:	n/a	rise	er diameter: n/a	
bente	onite chips:	from (ft.)	1.5	to 50	screen material:	n/a		n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips:			to n/a				interval (ft): n/a	
bento	onite grout: concrete:		<u>n/a</u> 0	to n/a to 1.5		to GW duri GW after st			
Drilling		Blow		vtical Sample	Well	Depth Scale			PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPN
SS	100%	20	12:50	CB-2-40			SP	Medium to light grey, medium dense, moist, poorly	74.
		21						graded medium SAND with trace silt and trace fine to	
		20				41 —		medium sub-angular to sub-rounded gravels. Slight	
		-					-	hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
						42 —	-		
							-		
			\vdash			43 —	-		
							-		
						44 —	-		
							-		
SS	100%	21				45 —	SP	Same as above. Slight hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	45.
55	10076	22					55	Same as above. Signe nyarocarbon odor, no staming.	45.
		22				46 —	-		
		22					-		
						47 —	-		
							-		
						48 —	-		
							-		
						49	-		
							-	Same as above. No hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
	1000/	10	12.10	CD 3 50		50 —	6.0		
SS	100%	18 20	12:40	CB-2-50			SP		8.3
					-	51 —	-		
		19					-		
					_	52 —	-		
							-		
					_	53 —	-		
							-		
					_	54 —	_		
							-		
					4	55 —	4		
							4		
			<u> </u>		4	56 —	4		
							4		
					4	57 —	4		
							4		
					4	58 —	4		
							4		
					4	59 —	_		
							4		
						60			
///// ///// cond	crete			nr = not record	ed			Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inc	
			I		cu .			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sample	
bent	onite chips							driven with a 140 lbs. hammer. Boring terminated at 50 below ground surface.	JU ree
								Bround Surface.	
	er level at t								

Client/Site:				Sile NO. 7290	_	Start date:			6
Address:	4200 Whe	,				Contractor:			
	Bremertor	n, Washing	gton		Drilli	ng Foreman:			
Project No. Logged by:		r				Rig Type: ing Method:		ruck mounted	
					Drill	ing Method:	nollow st		
	LL FILTER P				riser material:	n/2	rico	WELL CONSTRUCTION er diameter: n/a	
	d (#10/20): onite chips:		n/a 1.5	to n/a to 45	screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips:		n/a	to n/a	screen material.			interval (ft): n/a	
	nite grout:		n/a	to n/a		to GW durin	ng drilling:	n/a	
	concrete:	from (ft.)	0	to 1.5	Depth to	GW after sta	bilization:	n/a	
Drilling	Sample	Blow	Analy	ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol		(PPM
						1			
						1	FILL	4 inch thick asphalt surface, crushed rock base,	
						2		previously placed, moist, silty, gravelly fill material to 3.5 feet depth (FILL). No hydrocarbon odor or	
						2		staining.	
								stannig.	0.0
						3 —	1		
						. —	1		
	1					4			
							1		
SS	100%	3				5 —	SM/ML	Medium brown and grey, mottled, moist, loose SILTY	0.0
55	100/0	4					5101/1012	SAND and SILT with few fine to medium semi-angular	0.0
		4				6 —		gravels. No hydrocarbon odor or staining.	
		4							
						7 —	-		
							-		
						8 —			
							-		
						9 —	-		
						10			
SS	100%	nr	12:00	CB-3-10			SM/ML	Same as above. Slight hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	35.8
		nr				11 —	-		
		nr							
						12 —			
						13 —			
						15			
						14			
						14			
						15			
SS	100%	12	12:05	CB-3-15		15 —	SW	Medium grey, moist, medium dense, medium to	0.4
		16				10	1	coarse, well graded SAND, no fines and no gravels. No	
		18				16 —	1	hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
							1		
	1					17 —	1		
							1	Increased rig chatter	
	†					18	1		
							1		
						19 —			
						20	1		
	L				<u> </u>	20		Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch loss - 2.5 inc	ch
(//// (//// cond	rete			nr = not r	ecorded			Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inc inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sampl	
								driven with a 140 lbs. hammer.	ici
bent	onite chips								
	r level at tii								

Address:	Eagle Cany 4200 Whe			Site No. 7290		Start date: Contractor:	12/21/20		6
Auuress.	Bremertor	,				ng Foreman:			
Project No.	191		0					ruck mounted	
ogged by:	Nick Olivie	er			Drill	ing Method:	hollow st	em auger Hole diameter (inches): 8.0	
WE	ELL FILTER P	ACK AND	BACKFI	LL				WELL CONSTRUCTION	
	d (#10/20):			to n/a	riser material:			er diameter: n/a	
	onite chips: onite chips:			to 45 to n/a	screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a interval (ft): n/a	
	onite grout:		n/a	to n/a to n/a	depth	to GW durir			
	concrete:		0	to 1.5		GW after sta			
Drilling	Sample	Blow	Analy	ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol	Descriptions of Materials and conditions	(PPN
SS	50%	22	12:10	CB-3-20			SW	Rust/orange brown, moist, very dense, medium to	1.5
		50/6				21 —		coarse, well graded SAND with few fine to medium	
						21		sub-angular gravels and trace fines. No hydrocarbon	
						22 —		odor, no staining.	
						~~~		Rig chatter	
						23 —			
						23			
						24			
						24			
						25			
SS	50%	19				25 —	SW	Same as above, no hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	2.2
		50/5				26			
						26 —			
							$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Drilling becomes more difficult, rig chatter, reduction	
						27 —		in penetration rate. Possible perched groundwater at	
								27.0 feet depth.	
						28 —			
						29 —			
SS	100%	14	12:20	CB-3-30		30 —	SW	Same as above, decrease in gravel content to trace.	118.
		27						Moderate hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
		29				31 —			
						32 —			
						33 —			
						34 —			
									1
SS	100%	19	12:35	CB-3-35		35 —	ML	Medium to light grey, moist, medium dense, SILT of	163.0
		25						low plasticity, few fine sand, no gravels. Moderate	
	1	29				36 —	1	hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
						·	1		
						37 —	1		
							1		
						38 —	1		
							1		
						39 —	1		
						40	SP		
		I			<u> protococócócócó</u>	+0	Jr	Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 in	r h
//// cond	crete		r	nr = not record	ed			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sample	
								driven with a 140 lbs. hammer.	-
bent	onite chips								
	بر احتما م		ling						
🔽 wate	er level at tir	ne of drill	ung						

lient/Site:				Site No. 7290	_	Iumber: Start date:		016 Completion date: 12/21/2010	6
ddress:	4200 Whe	aton Way	'		Drilling	Contractor:	Cascade		
	Bremertor	n, Washin	gton		Drillin	ng Foreman:			
roject No.					_			ruck mounted	
ogged by:	Nick Olivie	er			Drill	ing Method:	hollow st	em auger Hole diameter (inches): 8.0	
W	ELL FILTER P	ACK AND	BACKFI	LL				WELL CONSTRUCTION	
san	id (#10/20):	from (ft.)	n/a	to n/a	riser material:	n/a	rise	er diameter: n/a	
	onite chips:			to 45	screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips:			to n/a	<u> </u>			interval (ft): n/a	
bento	onite grout:			to n/a		to GW durin			
	concrete:	1	0	to 1.5		GW after sta			
Drilling		Blow		ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PI
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol		(PPI
SS	100%	20					SP	Medium to light grey, moist, medium dense, poorly	25.
		26						graded fine SAND, trace silt, no gravels. Slight	
		27				41		hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
	+					42 —			1
									1
						43 —			
						44			
		Γ				44	]		
							1	Same as above. No hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
SS	100%	17	12:50	CB-3-45	<u> </u>	45			2.
55	10070	25	12.50	60 5 45					2
						46 —			
		26							
						47			
						47			
						48			
					_	49 —			
						50 —			
						50			
						51 —			
						52 —			
						53			
						55			
	+				1	54 —			1
					4	55			
									1
						56			1
		Γ			]	56 ——	]		1
							1		1
	+				1	57 —			1
					4	58			
									1
					]	50 -			
						59			1
						60	1		
	1	1			I	00	1	Commonte: Samplas collected with 10 inch land 25 in	l ch
//// //// cone	crete		,	nr = not record	ed			Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inc	
			1					inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sampl	
bent	tonite chips							driven with a 140 lbs. hammer. Boring terminated at 45	5.U f
								below ground surface.	
🔽 wat	er level at t	ime of dri	illing						
			.0						

Client/Site:				SILE NO. 7290	_		12/21/20		6
Address:	4200 Whe	,				Contractor			
	Bremertor	n, Washing	gton		Drilli	ng Foreman			
Project No.	Nick Olivie	r				Rig Type: ing Method:		ruck mounted em auger Hole diameter (inches): 8.0	
			D. 4. 61/51		Dhii	ing wiethou:	TIONOW SL		
	LL FILTER P				riser material:	n/2	rice	WELL CONSTRUCTION er diameter: n/a	
	d (#10/20): onite chips:		n/a 1.5	to n/a to 30	screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
	onite chips:		n/a	to n/a				interval (ft): n/a	
	nite grout:		n/a	to n/a		to GW duri	ng drilling:	n/a	
	concrete:	from (ft.)	0	to 1.5	Depth to	GW after sta	bilization:	n/a	
Drilling	Sample	Blow	Anal	ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(feet)	Symbol	Descriptions of Materials and conditions	(PPN
						1	FILL	4 inch thick asphalt surface, crushed rock base,	
					100000000000000000000000000000000000000			previously placed, moist, silty, gravelly fill material to	
						2		3.5 feet depth (FILL). No hydrocarbon odor or	
						—	1	staining.	0.0
	1					3 —	1		0.0
					<u> </u>	4	-		
							4		
						5 —	_		
SS	100%	2	9:15	CB-4-5			SM/ML		0.0
		3				6 —		SAND and SILT with few fine to medium semi-angular	
		3				0		gravels. No hydrocarbon odor or staining.	
						7 —			
						/			
						8 —			
							_		
						9 —	-		
							_		
	100%	4	0.20	CB-4-10		10	CN4/N4L	Same as above. Slight hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	111
SS	100%	5	9:20	CB-4-10			SM/ML	Same as above. Signt flydrocarbon odor, no stanning.	111
						11	_		
		5					_		
						12 —			
						13 —			
						10			
						14		Slight rig chatter	
						14 —			
						45			
SS	100%	21	9:25	CB-4-15		15 —	SP	Medium grey, moist, medium dense, poorly graded	33.0
-		21	-					medium SAND, no fines and no gravels. No	
	1	19				16 —	1	hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
							-		
	+					17	-		
							-		
						18 —	4		
							-		
	ļ					19 —	4		
					<u>                                      </u>	20		<u> </u>	
								Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inc	ch
cond	rete			nr = not r	ecoraea			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sampl	ler
hent	onite chips							driven with a 140 lbs. hammer.	
, pent	once chips								

Client/Site:				Site No. 7290	_	Start date:			
Address:	4200 Whe					Contractor:			
	Bremertor	n, Washin	gton		Drilli	ng Foreman:			
roject No.					_			ruck mounted	
ogged by:	Nick Olivie	er			Drill	ing Method:	hollow st	em auger Hole diameter (inches): 8.0	
	ELL FILTER P			LL				WELL CONSTRUCTION	
	d (#10/20):			to n/a	riser material:			er diameter: n/a	
	onite chips:			to 30	screen material:			n diameter: n/a screen slot size: n/a	
bento	onite chips:	from (ft.)	n/a	to n/a				interval (ft): n/a	
bento	onite grout:			to n/a		to GW durir GW after sta			
	concrete:			to 1.5					
Drilling	1	Blow		ytical Sample	Well	Depth Scale (feet)	USCS	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PIC
Туре	Recovery	Count	Time	Sample ID	Construction	(ieet)	Symbol		PPN
SS	100%	19	9:30	CB-4-20			SP		85.
		23				21		medium SAND, no fines and no gravels. No	
		25				21 —		hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
						22			
							-		
						23 —			
	1						]		
						24			
						24 —			
	5.00/	21	0.25	CD 4 25		25 —		Come as shows no hudrossybon oder no staining	-
SS	50%	21	9:35	CB-4-25			-	Same as above, no hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	2.4
		25				26 —			
		25				20			
						27 —			
						28	-		
						29 —			
						25			
								Same as above. No hydrocarbon odor, no staining.	
SS	100%	17	9:45	CB-4-30		30 —		1	2.0
55	10070	22	5.75	02 4 50					2.0
					_	31 —	-		
		23					-		
						32 —			
						52			
					_	33 —			
							•		
	-				-	34 —	-		
						35 —			
						55			
							1		
	1				1	36 —	1		
							1		
					4	37 —	ł		
						20			
	T				7	38	]		
	1						1		
	+				-	39 —	1		
	1						4		
						40			
m	_							Comments: Samples collected with 18 inch long, 2.5 inch	1
inn con	crete		1	nr = not record	eu			inside diameter split spoon (SS) Dames & Moore sampler	r
5551 .								driven with a 140 lbs. hammer. Boring terminated at 30.0	0 fe
bent	onite chips							below ground surface.	
								-	
	er level at ti								

APPENDIX D

**GENERAL FIELD PROCEDURES** 

## **GENERAL FIELD PROCEDURES**

General procedures used by ES Engineering Services, LLC (ES) in site assessments for drilling exploratory borings and collecting samples are described herein. The general procedures may be modified as appropriate based on site conditions. A Professional state-registered geologist supervises the following procedures.

# **PRE-FIELD WORK ACTIVITIES**

## **Health and Safety Plan**

Field work performed by ES at the site is conducted according to guidelines established in a Site Health and Safety Plan (HASP). The HASP is a document that describes the hazards that may be encountered in the field and specifies protective equipment, work procedures, and emergency information. A copy of the HASP is at the site and available for reference by appropriate parties during field work.

# **Locating Underground Utilities**

Before commencement of subsurface work, the location of the excavation, boring, etc., is marked with white spray paint as required by law. An underground locating service such as One-Call is contacted 72-hours before initiating field work. The locating company contacts the owners of the various utilities in the vicinity of the site to mark the locations of their underground utilities. Invasive work is preceded by hand augering to a minimum depth of five feet below surface grade to avoid contacting potential underground utilities or structures.

## FIELD METHODS AND PROCEDURES

# **Exploratory Soil Borings**

Soil borings will be drilled using a truck-mounted, hollow stem auger (HSA) drill rig or using hydraulically actuated "direct-push" and percussion equipment, e.g. Geoprobe®. If HSA drilling techniques are utilized, soil samples for logging will be obtained from auger-return materials and by advancing a modified split-spoon sampler equipped with stainless steel liners or equivalent into undisturbed soil beyond the tip of the auger. Similarly, if direct-push technology (DPT) is used, soil samples will be retrieved from the borings using a 3-foot-long, 2-inch diameter continuous-core split-barrel sampler lined with six stainless steel/brass sleeves or a 4-foot-long acetate liner. Soils will be logged by a geologist according to the Unified Soil Classification System using standard geological techniques. Drill cuttings will be screened using a portable photoionization detector (PID) or a flame ionization detector (FID). Exploratory soil borings not used for monitoring well installation will be backfilled to the surface with bentonite-cement slurry and/or hydrated bentonite chips and capped at the surface to match surrounding conditions.

# **Soil Sample Collection**

**Auger Sampling:** During drilling, soil samples will be collected in clean steel/brass, two by six inch tubes. The tubes will be set in an 18-inch-long split-barrel sampler. The sampler will be conveyed to the bottom of the borehole attached to a wire-line hammer device on the drill rig. When possible, the split-barrel sampler will be driven its entire length, either hydraulically or by repeatedly pounding a 140-pound hammer using a 30-inch drop. The number of drops (blows) used to drive the sampler will be recorded on the boring log. The sampler will be extracted from the borehole, and the tubes containing the soil samples will be removed. Upon removal, the ends of the lowermost tube will be sealed with Teflon sheets and plastic caps. Soil samples for chemical analysis will be labeled, placed on ice, and delivered to a state-certified analytical laboratory, along with the appropriate chain-of-custody documentation.

**Geoprobe Sampling:** The push-probe system is driven by a hydraulic hammer or vibrator. Each boring will be sampled at a minimum of 5-foot intervals. Shorter sampling intervals or continuous core sampling techniques may be employed to provide subsurface definition. As the core barrel is advanced, soil is driven into an inner 1³/₄-inch diameter core barrel, which is either lined with steel/brass or acetate sleeves. After being driven 5 feet, the rods are removed from the borehole. Upon removal, the ends of the lowermost sleeve will be sealed with Teflon sheets and plastic caps. Soil samples for chemical analysis will be labeled, placed on ice, and delivered to a state-certified analytical laboratory, along with the appropriate chain-of-custody documentation.

# **Soil Classification**

As the samples are obtained in the field, they will be classified by the field geologist in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Representative portions of the samples will be retained for further examination and for verification of the field classification. Logs of the borings indicating the depth and identification of the various strata and pertinent information regarding the method of maintaining and advancing the borehole will be prepared. Specifically, for each sampling interval, field estimates of soil type, density/consistency, plasticity, grading, moisture, color, and any other pertinent information will be recorded on the boring log.

# Soil Sample Screening and Sampling

Soil samples selected for chemical analysis will be determined from a headspace analysis using a PID or an FID. The soil will be placed in a Ziploc[®] bag or equivalent, sealed, and allowed to reach ambient temperature, at which time the PID probe will be inserted into the Ziploc bag. The total volatile hydrocarbons present are detected in parts per million (ppm). The PID will be calibrated daily to an isobutylene standard. Additionally, a water trap will be fixed to the end of the PID sampling wand to minimize potential interference from entrained soil moisture. Generally two soil samples from each soil boring will be submitted for chemical analysis unless otherwise specified in the scope of work (See applicable workplan or the client's specified scope of work). Soil samples selected for analysis typically represent the boring bottom sample or the sample just above the first-occurrence of groundwater and sample that exhibits the highest PID reading.

**Important:** Environmental Protection Agency 5035 sampling methodology will be followed for the collection of samples involving the analysis of volatile organic compounds.

# HydroPunch[®] Sampling

A grab groundwater sample is collected using a Hydropunch[®] sampling device or equivalent. The hydropunch can be used with either a HSA drill or DPT rig. The hydropunch consists of a stainless steel probe, which is advanced in to the water-yielding zone then withdrawn to expose an internal screen. Once the probe is opened, groundwater enters while soil particles larger than silt are prevented from entering by a screen. A decontaminated stainless steel bailer or equivalent is inserted down the center of the well screen to obtain a "grab-type" groundwater sample for analysis. The samples are carefully transferred from the bailer to zero headspace, 40 milliliter glass vials fitted with Teflon-lined caps. The groundwater sample is labeled, placed on ice (i.e. chilled at approximately 4 degrees Celsius), and delivered to a state-certified analytical laboratory, along with the appropriate chain-of-custody documentation. The boring is backfilled with a cement/bentonite slurry and capped at the surface to match surface conditions.

## **Chain-of-Custody Protocol**

Chain-of-Custody protocol is followed for all soil and groundwater samples selected for laboratory analysis. The Chain-of-Custody form(s) accompanies the samples to the laboratory and provides a continuous record of possession at all times.

## Decontamination

Drill cuttings generated during the drilling procedure will be contained in labeled and marked, DOT-approved 55-gallon drums and placed in a secured onsite location. Drilling equipment is decontaminated by steam cleaning before being brought onsite. The augers are also steam cleaned before proceeding with each new boring/well. Before use, the sampler and sampling sleeves are brushed-scrubbed in a Liqui-nox and potable water solution and rinsed twice in clean potable water. Sampling equipment and sleeves are also decontaminated before each sample is collected to avoid cross-contamination between borings.

## Waste Management

Soil cuttings, decontamination and/or development water will be contained in DOT-approved, 55-gallon drums. Each drum will be appropriately labeled, marked and temporarily stored in a

Page 4 of 4

secured onsite location pending waste characterization. Upon receipt of analytical results, contained waste will be transported offsite to an appropriate disposal/recycling facility.

### Exceptions

Additional tasks or non-standard practices/procedures, if any, that may be requested or required for a particular site will be documented in the field notes on the following pages.

December 2015 Version

APPENDIX E

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT



January 9, 2017

Laura Skow ES Engineering 1036 West Taft Avenue Orange, CA 92865

Dear Ms. Skow:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the Site #3520 Project in Bremerton, Washington. Soil samples were analyzed for Gasoline by NWTPH-Gx BTEX by Method 8260, and Naphthalene's by Method 6020 on December 28-30, 2016.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. All soil values are reported on a dry weight basis. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. An invoice for this analytical work is also enclosed.

ESN Northwest appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services to ES Engineering for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give me a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

michaela Renorce

Michael A. Korosec President

ES Engineering Services PROJECT SITE NO 3520 PROJECT #PROJ100191 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Sample	Date	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Gasoline Range Organics	Surrogate
Number	Prepared	Analyzed	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Recovery (%
Method Blank	12/29/2016	12/29/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	116
LCS	12/29/2016	12/29/2016	93%	82%	88%	89%	113%	109
LCSD	12/29/2016	12/29/2016	114%	113%	115%	121%		97
CB-1-20	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	0.12	1.1	0.53	3.1	85	119
CB-1-30	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	nd	0.08	nd	nd	nd	113
CB-1-40	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	0.04	0.46	0.15	0.97	nd	113
CB-1-50	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	0.11	0.33	0.19	1.0	nd	115
CB-1-55	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	0.84	nd	0.28	nd	nd	113
CB-1-60	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	116
CB-2-5	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	nđ	nd	nd	nd	nd	117
CB-2-10	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	113
CB-2-15	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	nd	4.9	40	240	5900	111
СВ-2-20	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	nd	nd	nđ	0.16	18	119
CB-2-30	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	121
CB-2-40	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	0,19	nd	107
CB-2-50	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	0.05	nd	0.12	nd	nd	111
CB-3-10	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	0.05	nd	nd	113
CB-3-15	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	110
CB-3-20	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	111
CB-3-30	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	0.74	2.5	15	230	110
CB-3-35	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	0.47	0.51	0.12	0.75	10	113
CB-3-45	12/20/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	112
CB-4-5	12/21/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	114
CB-4-10	12/21/2016	12/30/2016	8.0	150	92	510	4600	115
CB-4-15	12/21/2016	12/30/2016	nd	0.14	0.09	0.52	nd	116
CB-4-20	12/21/2016	12/30/2016	nd	0.14	0.09	0.63	10	118
CB-4-25	12/21/2016	12/30/2016	0.06	0.13	nd	0.13	nd	115
CB-4-30	12/21/2016	12/30/2016	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	108
CB-1-15	12/20/2016	12/29/2016	nd	nd	nd	nđ	nđ	115
Reporting Limits			0.02	0.05	0.05	0.15	10	

Analysis of Gasoline Range Organics & BTEX in Soil by Method NWTPH-Gx/8260

"---" Indicates not tested for component.

"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits.

"int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE (Bromoflurorbenzene) & LCS : 65% TO 135%

ES Engineering Services PROJECT SITE NO 3520 PROJECT #PROJ100191 Bremerton, Washington

.

ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

#### Analysis of Naphthalenes in Soil by Method 8270

Analytical Results		MB	LCS	CB-1-20	CB-1-30	CB-1-40	CB-1-50	CB-1-55	CB-1-60	CB-2-5
Date extracted	Reporting		12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16
Date analyzed	Limits	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16
Moisture, %	(mg/kg)			10%	13%	17%	17%	18%	18%	30%
Naphthalene	0.02	nd	57%	0.08	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	59%	nd						
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	ns	nd						
Surrogate recoveries:										
2-Fluorobiphenyl		91%	74%	88%	95%	82%	82%	87%	93%	92%
p-Terphenyl-d14		93%	74%	96%	103%	89%	90%	93%	101%	101%

Data Qualifiers and Analytical Comments

* - Carcinogenic Analyte

nd - not detected at listed reporting limits

ns - not spiked

Results reported on dry-weight basis

Acceptable Recovery limits: 50% TO 150%

Acceptable RPD limit: 35%

ES Engineering Services PROJECT SITE NO 3520 PROJECT #PROJ100191 Bremerton, Washington

Analytical Results

ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

#### Analysis of Naphthalenes in Soil by Method 8270

·····		CB-2-10	CB-2-15	CB-2-20	CB-2-30	CB-2-40	CB-2-50	CB-3-10	CB-3-15	CB-3-20	CB-3-30
Date extracted	Reporting	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16
Date analyzed	Limits	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16
Moisture, %	(mg/kg)	19%	20%	15%	14%	16%	17%	26%	16%	8%	15%
Naphthalene	0.02	nd	0.97	nd							
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	1.0	nd							
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	0.63	nd							
Surrogate recoveries:											
2-Fluorobiphenyl		85%	93%	90%	89%	90%	91%	86%	89%	84%	84%
p-Terphenyl-d14		92%	100%	93%	93%	96%	97%	91%	95%	90%	91%

Data Qualifiers and Analytical Comments * - Carcinogenic Analyte nd - not detected at listed reporting limits ns - not spiked Results reported on dry-weight basis Acceptable Recovery limits: 50% TO 150%

Acceptable RPD limit: 35%

ES Engineering Services PROJECT SITE NO 3520 PROJECT #PROJ100191 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

ş

#### Analysis of Naphthalenes in Soil by Method 8270

Analytical Results			•							
		CB-3-35	CB-3-45	CB-4-5	CB-4-10	CB-4-15	CB-4-20	CB-4-25	CB-4-30	CB-1-15
Date extracted	Reporting	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16
Date analyzed	Limits	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16	12/28/16
Moisture, %	(mg/kg)	16%	17%	29%	19%	5%	10%	11%	19%	6%
Naphthalene	0.02	nd	nd	nd	1.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	nd	nd	1.2	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	nd	nd	0.63	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Surrogate recoveries:										
2-Fluorobiphenyl		89%	88%	85%	77%	83%	85%	89%	88%	94%
p-Terphenyl-d14		97%	96%	90%	83%	90%	92%	95%	94%	100%

Data Qualifiers and Analytical Comments * - Carcinogenic Analyte

nd - not detected at listed reporting limits ns - not spiked

Results reported on dry-weight basis

Acceptable Recovery limits: 50% TO 150%

Acceptable RPD limit: 35%

Website: www.esnnw.com E-Mail: info@esnnw.com	2	Fax: 360-459-3432	Olympia, Washington 98501
Turn Around Time: 24 HR 48 HR 5 DAY	INOTES:	Phone: 360 AEG AETO	1210 Eastside Street SE, Suite 200
	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD	(	
	SEALS INTACT? Y/N/NA	RECEIVED BY(Signature) DATE/TIME	RELINQUISHED BY (Signature) DATE/TIME
	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS Y/N/NA	12/22/4	12/22
LABORATORY NOTES:	SAMPLE RECEIPT	RECEIVED BY (Signature) /DATE/TIME	ALE/TIME
	K		SUCS
Hound SPLP	R. R.	× ×	(15-3-50 30
	<u> </u>	2 2	UB-3-20
	2,5	A A	14. CB-3-16 10 1200 V
	2,7	22	13. CB-2-50 50 1240
	~ 7	822	
	<u> </u>	22	11. CB-2-30 30 1242 /
Hoid Cord			26
	2		9. 68-2-15 15 1235
	~	20	
	×	82	CR-2-5
	8	1 2 2 1 1 1 1	
	2.5	1 22	4. 63-1-50 50 1530
		22	
ATAC 2010U			30 1518 /
To of Lal			,
1	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Container 47. 197. 197. 197. 40 198. 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Sample Number Depth Time Type
<u></u>	 	PROJECT MANAGER: LOUTZ- SKOW	CLIENT PROJECT #: PROJECO 19 / PI
brownshan w.A	- LOCATION: 4200 Whendown whey Brewarton	FAX:	PHONE: 253-508-1085 FA
20	AME: Site,	106 ALUTIN WA	ADDRESS: 4150 B PI. NW Ste. 106
PAGE 1 OF 2	DATE: 12/21/2016	292	CLIENT: ES Ingineering Services
CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD	CHAIN-OF-	PO1005463	ESN Environmental Northwest, nc. Services Network;

	4670 432	Phone: 360-459-3670 Fax: 360-459-3432	Olympia, Washington 98501	Dlym
Turn Around Time: 24 HR 48 HR 5 DAV	NOTES:		1210 Eastside Street SE, Suite 200	1210
	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD			
	SEALS INTACT? Y/N/NA	RECEIVED BY (Signature) DATE/TIME		
	CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS Y/N/NA	T	RELINQUISHED BY (Signature) DATE/TIME	REL
	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	ANALY & ISTAN	MUTIN 122710	
LABORATORY NOTES:	SAMPLE RECEIPT	DATE/TIME		
			RELINQUISHED BY Bienature) DATE / TIMAE	<b>e</b>
				18.
				17.
				16.
				15
				14.
				13.
				12.
				11.
				10
	Ş			9.
		22	15 15 15 15 1510 1	, is
	2	K & K	05	°   `
	R e	24	62	1 0
		27	20	
HOID SATA	2. <		13	4
		M		3.
	2.5			2.
of Co Labo	0 6 6 6 0 0		/ 5	1.
ľ	140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140	Container 7. 40, 92, 93, 94, 94, 94, 94, 94, 94, 94, 94, 94, 94	Sample Number Depth Time Type	
		PROJECT MANAGER: LOUTE SKOW	CLIENI PROJECT #: <u>          </u>	2
War Bremerton	LOCATION: 4200 Wheaton		-	) :
3520	_ PROJECT NAME: SITE NO.		-1825	Ā
PAGE 2 OF 2	12/21/16	Ste 106 At Innin 111A	S: 4150 B PI NW	A
			CLIENT: ES ENA SUCS	p
CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD	CHAIN-OF-	P01005463	I.J.I.V Environmental Northwist, nc. Services Network	NOF
			TATAT	ليون

.