

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY PO Box 47775 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7775 • (360) 407-6300 711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

July 20, 2017

Mr. Tom McDonald Jacobs 600 108th Avenue NE Suite 700 Bellevue, WA 98004

Re: Notice of Periodic Review Conducted at the following Hazardous Waste Site:

- Site Name: Freighthouse Square
- Site Address: 430 East 25th Street, Tacoma, Washington 98421
- Facility/Site Number: 1351
- Cleanup Site ID Number: 719

Dear Mr. McDonald:

Under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Chapter 70.105D RCW, which governs the cleanup of hazardous waste sites in Washington State, the Department of Ecology (Ecology) must conduct a periodic review of all sites with institutional controls and Environmental Covenants every five years. This letter serves to inform you that a periodic review has been conducted at the Freighthouse Square Site.

The periodic review process includes the following steps:

- Confirmation that the Environmental Covenant is still active and recorded with the Title to the property.
- A review of any monitoring data collected since the cleanup was completed or since the last review was conducted.
- A Site visit to confirm the institutional controls and conditions of the Environmental Covenant are being followed.
- A 30-day public comment period on the draft periodic review report.

Mr. Tom McDonald July 20, 2017 Page 2

Based on the information collected during this periodic review, the Freighthouse Square Site appears to meet the requirements of Chapter 173-340 WAC, and the selected remedy continues to be protective of human health and the environment. The 30-day public comment period on the draft periodic review report ended on July 10, 2017. We received your comments on the draft report. Enclosed is Ecology's responses to your comments and a copy of the final periodic review report for your information.

A periodic review will continue to be required every five years as long as institutional controls and/or an environmental covenant are required to protect human health and the environment. The next periodic review will be due in July 2022.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or if you would like additional information regarding the cleanup of hazardous waste sites, please call me at (360) 407-6335. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely.

Panjini Balaraju Toxics Cleanup Program Southwest Regional Office

Enclosure: (2)

By Certified Mail: [91 7199 9991 7037 1758 8600]

cc: Ben Wilkinson, Department of Transportation Trent Ensminger, Department of Transportation Central Files



SECOND PERIODIC REVIEW REPORT FINAL

Freighthouse Square Facility Site ID#: 1351 Cleanup Site ID#: 719

430 East 25th Street Tacoma, Washington 98421

Southwest Regional Office

TOXICS CLEANUP PROGRAM

July 2017

TABEL OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
2.0	OTIMON ADVICE CONDUCING
2.0	SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS
2.	
2.	T
2.	
2.	
2.	5 Restrictive Covenant
3.0	FIVE-YEAR REVIEW
3.	
3.	
0	the site
3.	
3.4	
3.5	P-J
3.0	
2.0	levels
	10,013,
4.0	CONCLUSIONS
4.	
5.0	REFERENCES11
6.0	
	APPENDICIES
6.1	$\gamma = \gamma = \gamma T$
6.2	
6.3	1 - 0 - 1
6.4	
	Groundwater Sampling Locations and Results16
6.5	6 Restrictive Covenant
6.6	5 Photo log

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document is a review by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) of postcleanup site conditions and monitoring data to assure that human health and the environment are being protected at the Freighthouse Square (Site). Cleanup at this Site was implemented under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Chapter 173-340 WAC. The first periodic review was conducted in March 2012 and this periodic review evaluates the period from April 2012 through April 2017.

Cleanup activities at this Site were completed under the Voluntary Cleanup Program. The cleanup actions resulted in residual concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons, cadmium and lead exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater. The MTCA Method A cleanup levels for soil are established under WAC 173-340-740(2). The MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater are established under WAC 173-340-720(3). WAC 173-340-420 (2) requires that Ecology conduct a periodic review of a site every five years under the following conditions:

- (a) Whenever the department conducts a cleanup action.
- (b) Whenever the department approves a cleanup action under an order, agreed order or consent decree.
- (c) Or, as resources permit, whenever the department issues a no further action opinion and one of the following conditions exists:
 - 1. Institutional controls or financial assurance are required as part of the cleanup.
 - 2. Where the cleanup level is based on a practical quantitation limit.
 - 3. Where, in the department's judgment, modifications to the default equations or assumptions using site-specific information would significantly increase the concentration of hazardous substances remaining at the site after cleanup or the uncertainty in the ecological evaluation or the reliability of the cleanup action is such that additional review is necessary to assure long-term protection of human health and the environment.

When evaluating whether human health and the environment are being protected, the factors the department shall consider include [WAC 173-340-420(4)]:

- (a) The effectiveness of ongoing or completed cleanup actions, including the effectiveness of engineered controls and institutional controls in limiting exposure to hazardous substances remaining at the Site.
- (b) New scientific information for individual hazardous substances of mixtures present at the Site.
- (c) New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site.
- (d) Current and projected Site use.
- (e) Availability and practicability of higher preference technologies.
- (f) The availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels.

July 2017 Page 2

The department shall publish a notice of all periodic reviews in the Site Register and provide an opportunity for public comment.

2.0 SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS

2.1 Site History

The Freighthouse Square property is located at 430 E 25th Street, Tacoma in Pierce County, Washington. Remedial activities were conducted at the Site under the Voluntary Cleanup Program. A No Further Action (NFA) determination was issued for the Site on June 27, 2003. A Restrictive Covenant was recorded for the property on July 29, 2003. Vicinity and Site maps are available as Appendix 6.1 and Appendix 6.2, respectively.

The Site occupies a three-block area bounded by East 25th Street to the North, East D Street to the West, Sound Transit and Tacoma Rail lines to the South, and East G Street to the East. Historically, the Site was occupied by West Coast Bottling Works, Olympic Ice and Machine Company, and Lundgren Dealer Supply. Olympic Ice and Machine Company occupied the Site in 1912 and likely stored and used diesel fuel and Bunker C oil for an industrial boiler in the facility.

In 1993, heavy petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was encountered by BP Construction, Inc. during excavation for the lower level of the Freighthouse Square building.

2.2 Cleanup Levels

MTCA Method A cleanup levels for unrestricted land use were used for the Site. Current MTCA Method A cleanup levels have changed significantly since remedial activities were conducted in 1993. However, WAC 173-340-702(12) (c) [2001 ed.] provides that,

"A release cleaned up under the cleanup levels determined in (a) or (b) of this subsection shall not be subject to further cleanup action due solely to subsequent amendments to the provision in this chapter on cleanup levels"

A NFA determination was issued for the Site in 2003. However, since the remedial actions were conducted in 1993, MTCA Method A cleanup levels prior to 2001 will be used to determine whether or not the remedial activities at the Site have been effective in protecting human health and the environment. Nonetheless, for the contaminants of concern, the current MTCA Method A cleanup levels are either unchanged or higher than the previous cleanup levels.

2.3 Summary of Cleanup Actions

In August 1993, heavy petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was encountered by BP Construction Inc. during excavation activities for the lower level of the new Freighthouse Square building. Small rectangular metal containers and pipes were found in fill material in the vicinity of contaminated soils. Six initial soil samples were analyzed for heavy petroleum hydrocarbons by WTPH-418.1, and three samples were analyzed for diesel and heavy petroleum hydrocarbons

by WTPH-D and WTPH-D extended. Sample results indicated the presence of heavy oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH-O) at concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

Remedial excavation was conducted prior to construction activities. Soil was screened for excavation using thin layer chromatography. TPH-O contaminated soil was excavated at the Site using a backhoe. The clean soil was segregated from contaminated soil and stored on visqueen prior to disposal. Approximately 12 cubic yards of contaminated soil were hauled in dump trucks to Rabanco Disposal Company in Seattle. A total of six post excavation soil samples were collected and the results were below MTCA Method A cleanup levels. However, some TPH-O contaminated soils may remain on the Site.

Sample Number	Diesel (mg/kg) WTPH-D	>Diesel (mg/kg) WTPH-Dx	WTPH-418.1 (mg/kg)
S-4	NA	NA	ND
S-6/7	NA	16	150
S-11	NA	31	88
S-12	NA	NA	ND
S-16	NA	NA	35
S-21	ND	153	109
Cleanup Level	200	200	· · · · ·

Table 1: Confirmation Soil Sample Results and Soil Cleanup Levels

NA: Not analyzed ND: Non-detect mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

In addition, soil samples were also analyzed for metals. None of the metal concentrations exceeded MTCA Method A cleanup levels.

Table 2: Maximum Soil Metal Concentrations and Cleanup Level	Table 2:	Maximum	Soil Metal	Concentrations	and	Cleanup]	Levels
---	----------	---------	------------	----------------	-----	-----------	--------

Contaminant	Arsenic (mg/kg)	Cadmium (mg/kg)	Chromium (mg/kg)	Lead (mg/kg)
Soil Sample Results	7.4	1.6	19	150
1996 MTCA Method A Cleanup Level	20	2	100	250
Current (2013) MTCA Method A Cleanup Level	20	2	19/2,000*	250

Note: * chromium VI/chromium III

Grab samples of groundwater were also collected from the remedial excavation. These grab samples included sampling of sheens on the water surface and "black globs" suspended in

ground water. Laboratory results for these samples indicated TPH-O contamination that exceeded the Method A Groundwater Cleanup level of one (1) micrograms per liter (μ g/L) at locations next to leaking buried containers and pipes. Cadmium (27 μ g/L), chromium (260 μ g/L) and lead (2700 μ g/L) were also detected in groundwater from a grab sample collected from the excavation. These concentrations exceeded the MTCA Method A Groundwater Cleanup level of 5, 50 and 5 μ g/L, respectively.

Contaminant	TPH-O (μg/L)	Cadmium (µg/L)	Chromium (µg/L)	Lead (µg/L)
Groundwater Sample Results	>1000	27	260	2700
1996 MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels	1000	5	50	5
Current (2013) MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels	500	5	50	15

Table 3: Groundwater Grab Sample Results and Cleanup Levels

Due to the heavy-oil nature of petroleum-oil contamination, the limited metals concentrations, and the groundwater is not being used as a drinking water source, it was determined that ground water contamination did not pose a significant threat to human health or the environment. The Site was deemed eligible for a NFA determination by Ecology if institutional controls were used to limit groundwater and property use.

2.4 Phase II Environmental Site Assessment – 2014 and 2015

During November 2014 and 2015, the Department of Transportation (DOT) hired Shannon and Wilson for conducting a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) as part of Point Defiance Bypass Project to construct a new Tacoma Amtrak Cascades Station (TACS) on a portion of the property. Twelve geoprobe and fourteen auger borings were drilled at the Site and a total of 88 soil samples were collected at different depths for laboratory analysis. Out of 88 soil samples, only selected soil samples were analyzed for gasoline-diesel-and oil-range total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH-G, TPH-D and TPH-O), volatile organic hydrocarbons (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), metals, and lead by Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP).). Results of soil samples indicated that carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs: 0.58 mg/kg – 15 mg/kg) and TPH-O (4,300 mg/kg) concentrations exceeded both 1996 and current MTCA Method A cleanup levels as presented in Table 4.

In addition, a total of 11 groundwater samples were also collected for laboratory analysis. All samples were analyzed for TPH-G, TPH-D, TPH-O, total dissolved priority pollutant metals, semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), and volatile organic compounds. The dissolved arsenic concentration (7.5 μ g/L – 13 μ g/L) exceeded both 1996 and current MTCA Method A cleanup level of 5 μ g/L. The TPH-D concentration of 670 μ g/L did not exceed 1996 MTCA Method A cleanup level of 1000 μ g/L; however, it exceeded the current MTCA Method A

cleanup level of 500 μ g/L. Soil and groundwater sampling locations and results are available as Appendix 6.4.

Contaminant	Soil	Groundwater		TCA Method A nup Levels	1	rent MTCA od A Cleanup Levels
ı	(mg/kg)	(μg/L)	Soil mg/kg	Groundwater μg/L	Soil mg/kg	Groundwater µg/L
TPH-D	4,300	670	200	1,000	2,000	500
cPAHs	0.58-15.0	ND [*]	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Arsenic	ND	7.5 to 13	20	5.0	20	5.0

Table 4: Soil and Groundwater Concentration Exceedances

In June 2016, the DOT demolished part of the Freighthouse Square structure/building and started the construction of a new TACS. This involved the removal of the existing footing and new excavation for the installation of a new foundation for building the TACS. Photos 4, 5, and 6, in Appendix 6.6 (Photo Log) shows the construction of the new TACS.

The DOT is in the process of acquiring a portion of the Freighthouse Square Property for the construction of a new TACS. Once the DOT legally owns the new TACS portion of the Property, Ecology will coordinate with DOT and the Owner of other portion the Property for recording Amended Restrictive Covenants implementing the restrictions on the soil and groundwater at the Site.

2.5 Restrictive Covenant

A Restrictive Covenant was recorded for the Site on July 29, 2003. The Restrictive Covenant imposes the following limitations:

Section 1: No groundwater may be taken for any use from the property.

<u>Section 2:</u> Any activity on the property that may interfere with the integrity of the remedial action and continued protection of human health and the environment is prohibited.

<u>Section 3:</u> Any activity on the Property that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of groundwater containing a hazardous substance that remains on the Property as part of the Remedial Action, or create a new exposure pathway, is prohibited without prior written approval from Ecology.

<u>Section 4:</u> The owner of the property must give thirty (30) day advance written notice to Ecology of the owner's intent to convey any interest in the Property. No conveyance of

title, easement, lease, or other interest in the Property shall be consummated by the owner without adequate and complete provision for continued monitoring, operation, and maintenance of the Remedial Action.

<u>Section 5:</u> The owner must restrict leases to uses and activities consistent with the Restrictive Covenant and notify all lessees of the restrictions on the use of the Property.

Section 6: The Owner must notify and obtain approval from Ecology prior to any use of the Property that may be inconsistent with the terms of this Restrictive Covenant. Ecology may approve any inconsistent use only after public notice and comment.

Section 7: The Owner shall allow authorized representatives of Ecology the right to enter the Property at reasonable times for the purpose of evaluating the Remedial Action, to take samples, to inspect remedial actions conducted at the Property, and to inspect records that are related to the Remedial Action.

Section 8: The Owner of the Property reserves the right under WAC 173-340-440 to record an instrument that provides that this Restrictive Covenant shall no longer limit use of the Property or be of any further force of effect. However, such an instrument may be recorded only if Ecology, after public notice and opportunity for comment, concurs.

The Restrictive Covenant is available as Appendix 6.5.

3.0 FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

3.1 Effectiveness of completed cleanup actions

Cleanup actions at the Site were intended to eliminate human exposure to contaminated soils and groundwater. The exposure pathway to any remaining residual contaminated soils (ingestion, direct contact) has been reduced by the presence of asphalt surface and buildings on the Site, as well as the excavation conducted during the initial cleanup. Property restrictions imposed by a Restrictive Covenant were used to close this pathway.

The Site visit conducted on May 17, 2017 showed no indications of Site surface integrity being compromised. The Restrictive Covenant includes paved areas and a portion of the railroad corridor which is not paved. Overall all the asphalt/concrete pavements are in good or satisfactory conditions.

The groundwater with TPH-O, cadmium, and lead concentrations higher than MTCA Method A cleanup levels may still be present at the Site. The deed restriction, structures and asphalt surface prevent human exposure to soil and groundwater contamination by ingestion and direct contact.

The remedy implemented for this site remains protective of human health and the environment.

3.2 New scientific information for individual hazardous substances for mixtures present at the site.

There is no new relevant scientific information for the petroleum contaminants related to the Site.

3.3 New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site

This cleanup is governed by Chapter 173-340 WAC (1996 ed.). This regulation was amended in 2001. Although TPH cleanup levels changed as a result of this modification, Site cleanup levels will not change. WAC 173-340-702(12) (c) [2001 ed.] provides that,

"A release cleaned up under the cleanup levels determined in (a) or (b) of this subsection shall not be subject to further cleanup action due solely to subsequent amendments to the provision in this chapter on cleanup levels, unless the department determines, on a case-by-case basis, that the previous cleanup action is no longer sufficiently protective of human health and the environment." Although cleanup levels changed for lead and petroleum hydrocarbons as a result of modifications to MTCA in 2001, contamination remains at the Site above MTCA Method A cleanup levels and the cleanup action is still protective of human health and the environment.

3.4 Current and projected site use

The Site is currently used for commercial purposes. There have been no changes in current or projected future site or resource uses.

3.5 Availability and practicability of higher preference technologies

The remedy implemented included containment of hazardous substances and it continues to be protective of human health and the environment. While higher preference cleanup technologies may be available, they are still not practicable at this Site.

3.6 Availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels.

The analytical methods used at the time of the remedial action were capable of detection below MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The presence of improved analytical techniques would not effect decisions or recommendations made for the Site.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

- The remedial action conducted at the Site appears to be protective of human health and the environment.
- Some TPH-D, TPH-O and cPAHs soil cleanup levels have not been met at the Site; however, under WAC 173-340-740(6) (f), the cleanup action is determined to comply with cleanup standards, since the long-term integrity of the containment system is ensured and the requirements for containment technologies in have been met.
- The groundwater cleanup levels for TPH-O, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, mercury and lead may not have been met at the Site. However, the Freighthouse and other areas in the vicinity have the City Water Supply and it is extremely low probability that the Site groundwater will be used for drinking water purposes.
- The Restrictive Covenant for the property is in place and will be effective in protecting public health from exposure to hazardous substances and protecting the integrity of the cleanup action.

Based on this review, Ecology has determined that the remedial actions conducted at the Site continue to be protective of human health and the environment. The requirements of the Restrictive Covenant are being satisfactorily followed and no additional remedial actions are required at this time. It is the property owner's responsibility to continue to inspect the Site to assure that the limitations of the Restrictive Covenant are being followed and no new exposure pathways are created at the Site.

4.1 Next Review

The next review for the site will be scheduled five years from the date of this periodic review. In the event that additional cleanup actions or institutional controls are required, the next periodic review will be scheduled five years from the completion of those activities.

5.0 **REFERENCES**

Shannon and Wilson, Inc. September 15, 2015. Phase II Environmental Site Assessment and Remedial Cost Estimate, Freighthouse Square, Tacoma, Washington.

Engineering Geosciences, Inc. 1993. Site Remediation Report - Freighthouse Square.

Ecology. 2003. No Further Action Letter.

Ecology. 2003. Restrictive Covenant.

Ecology. May 17, 2017 Site Visit.

July 2017 Page 12

6.0 **APPENDICIES**

6.1 Vicinity Map



6.2 Site Plan



July 2017 Page 15

6.3 Sampling Locations and Remediation Areas



July 2017 Page 16





July 2017 Page 17.



Washington Department of Ecology

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS PARCEL #2075200011 (PHS PARKING LOT)

Usrestricts 100 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 100 200 100 250 1 NB NB	1 Industrial 100 200 200 200 NE 10 500 1,000 1	NE NE NE NB '24 16,000 £0 120,000	r Cancer NE NE NB NB NB NB NB NB	<pre>0.5 fost </pre> <7.9 370 870 <13 1100 <0.64 18 (J)	6 ftell 	33 [cel <4.6 <2.9 <58 <12 69 ·<0.58 45(J)	0.5 feet < 4.9 < 28 < 55 < 11. 75 < 0.55 41 (J)	6 feet	13.5 feet <5.3 <32 350 <13 150 <0.64	(DUP) 13.51e <4.4 <28 <56 <11 55	
200 200 NE 3 2 100 250 1 NE	200 200 NE 10 500 1,000	NE NB '24 16,000 80 120,000	NE NB 0.67 NB NB NB NB	370 870 <13 1100 <0.64	 <33 <65 	<29 <58 <12 60 · <0.58	<4.9 <28 <55 <111. 75 <0.55		<5.3 <32 350 <13 150	<4.4 <28 <56 <11 55	
200 200 NE 3 2 100 250 1 NE	200 200 NE 10 500 1,000	NE NB '24 16,000 80 120,000	NE NB 0.67 NB NB NB NB	370 870 <13 1100 <0.64	 <33 <65 	<29 <58 <12 60 · <0.58	<28 <55 <11. 75 <0.55	<30 <59	<32 350 <13 150	<28 <56 <11 55	
200 NE 3 2 100 250 1 	200 200 NE 10 500 1,000	NE NB '24 16,000 80 120,000	NB 0.67 NB NB NB NB	870 <13 1100 <0.64	<65 	<29 <58 <12 60 · <0.58	<28 <55 <11. 75 <0.55	<30 <59	<32 350 <13 150	<28 <56 <11 55	
200 NE 20 20 NE 2	200 NE 10 500	NB 16,000 80 120,000	NB 0.67 NB NB NB NB	870 <13 1100 <0.64	<65 	<58 <12 60 · <0.58	<55 <11. 75 <0.55	<59 ·	350 <13 150	< 56 <11 55	
3 2 100 250 1 	NE 10 500	124 16,000 80 120,000	0.67 NB NE NB	<13 1100 <0.64		<12 60 · <0.58	<11. 75 <0.55	·	<13 150	<11	
3 2 100 250 1 	NE 10 500	16,000 80 120,000	NB NE NB	1100 < 0.64	00.4 M	60 · <0.58	75 <0.55		150	55	
2 100 250 1 NB	NE 10 500	16,000 80 120,000	NB NE NB	1100 < 0.64	00.4 M	60 · <0.58	75 <0.55		150	55	
2 100 250 1 NB	10 500	80 120,000	NE NB	< 0.64		· <0.58	< 0.55	and the second			
250 1 	500	120,000	NB .			and the second			< 0.01		
250 1 	1,000	D. C. Strange		10(0)						< 0.5	
250 1 		Same Street							150 (J) 0.020	26	
1 . NB		ALL DE LESSENIE		202397	SWSE'						
NB	100.000	NB	NB	8.0		< 5.8	28		< 6.4	< 5.6	
		NE	NB	< 0.32	1.0.00	< 0.29	< 0.28	**	< 0.32	<0.28	
NE	NB	400	NE	. <13	20.00	<12	<11	••	<13	112	
	NE	400	NE	<1.3		<1.2	<1.1	**	<1.3	<1.1	
	S silve	ANE COL		offers the s		ald server		in the second			
NE	NE .	72,000	NE	< 0.015		< 0.0062	< 0.0095	0.0078	0.021	< 0.007	
NE	NE	8,000	NE	0.0045	15.00	0.0025	<0.00095	< 0.00068	0.0013	0.0009	
		48,000	NB	< 0.0077	1.12 (1.12)	< 0.0031	< 0.0018	< 0.0034	< 0.0017	< 0.003	
NA	NA	NA	NA	<rl< td=""><td>· · · · ·</td><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	· · · · ·	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""></rl<>	
	and the second	1.	a na manda	120 110 200	Managh	S. Shor	State State	Section 1			
and the second s		1,600	NE	1.2	< 0.0087	<0.0077	< 0.0074		< 0.0035	< 0.007	
NE	NE	. 320	NE	0.52	< 0.0087	< 0.0077	< 0.0074			< 0.007	
1 · · ·	13218	5,600	34.48	0.40	< 0.0037	< 0.0077	< 0.0074			< 0.007	
NE	NE	NE	NE	0.99	< 0.0087	< 0.0077				< 0.007	
NE	NE	4,800	NB	0.12	< 0.0037	< 0.0077	< 0.0074			< 0.007	
NE	NE	63	NE	< 0.43	< 0.044	< 0.038	< 0.037			< 0.037	
NE	NE	3,200	NÈ	0.72	< 0.0037	< 0.0077	< 0.0074			< 0.007	
NE	NE	NE	NE	9.2	< 0.0037	< 0.0077				< 0.007.	
	NE	24,000	NE	2.4	< 0.0087	< 0.0077				< 0.007.	
NE	NE	3,200	NÈ	21	< 0.0087					< 0.007	
NE	NE	2,400	NB	24	0.0093					< 0.007	
NE	NE	NE	1.37	11	< 0.0087	< 0.0077				< 0.007	
NE	NE	NE	136.99	11	< 0.0037	< 0.0077				< 0.007	
NE	' NE	1,600	71.43	< 0.43	< 0.044	< 0.038		and the second se		< 0.037	
NE	NE	NB	1.37	12	< 0.0087					< 0.007	
NE	NE	NE	13.7	4.3	< 0.0087					< 0.007	
NE ·	NE	NE	0.14	11						< 0.007	
NE	NE	NE								< 0.007	
NB	NB	NE	0.14							< 0.0075	
NE	NE	NE	NB		<0.0037			and the second se		< 0.0075	
NA ·	A.A.T.									<rl< td=""></rl<>	
1	20	NA ·								<rl <rl< td=""></rl<></rl 	
		1		1.			0.010		ALD .	- AL	
1 1	10	161056	0.501014201	<0.061	<0.050 1						
	NB NE NA J	NE NE NA NA NE NE NE	NB NE 48,000 NA NA NA NE 1,600 NE 320 5,600 5,600 NE NE NE NE	NB NE 48,000 NE NA NA NA NA NA NE NA NA NA NA NE NE 320 NE Sc600 34.48 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 80 NE NE NE 4.800 NE NE NE 80 NE NE NE 80 NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE NE 24,000 NE NE NE 2,200 NE NE NE 137 NE NE 137 NE NE 137 NE NE NE 137 NE NE NE 0.14 NE NE	NE NE 48,000 NB < 0.0077 NA NA NA NA NA NA Solution NE NA NA NA NA NA Solution Solution NE NE 1,600 NE 1.2 Solution Solu	NE NE 44,000 NB < 0.0077 NA NB Stationard Stati	NE NE 48,000 NB < 0.0077 < 0.0031 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA <	NE NE 48,000 NE <0.0077 <0.00018 <0.00018 NA < <td>NB <0.0011</td> <0.00011	NB <0.0011	NE NE 44,000 NE <0.0007 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA S0.0007 <0.0001	NE NE 48,000 NE < 0.0077 < 0.0031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.00031 < 0.0031 < 0.0014 < 0.00035 < 0.0014 < 0.00035 < 0.0013 < 0.0013 < 0.0011

July 2017 Page 19

2		- P
		\$00-25022-1-12
	Minicipal Minicipal <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
	12 15 15	
· ·	TARIES SUDMARK OF SULTASTICAL INSTITUATIONS INTEGRATING OF SULTASTICAL INSTITU	2 2 1
		1
се к ж (Ф		
• • • •	1997年1997年19月1日 1997年19月1日 1997年19月1日 1997年19月1日 1997年1月日 1997年19月1日 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 19	20 Sec
н - 1, -		Software and a second second second

July 2017 Page 20

. ONI, NOSTITY & NONNAHS	Other Other 100 100 200 100 100 200 100 100 200 100 100 100	
TCAL RESULTS	Matrix Cont <	
SUMARARY OF SOLLANARY ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMARARY OF SOLLANARYTICAL RESULTS	Statuti and statution of the statu	

Washington Department of Ecology

July 2017 Page 21



July 2017 Page 22

SHANNON & WILSON, INC.	• • •			S00-25025-1-12
SUDMARY OF SOUND TRANSIT RUGET-OF-WAY) PARCEL #2015-20016 (SOUND TRANSIT RUGET-OF-WAY)	Index/site/intermediation Desc/site/intermediation Control Contro Control Contro	M M M M M M M M M	Image: constrained pression Co	2 control of the cont
				The second s

6.5 Restrictive Covenant

07-24-2003 03:36pm \$34,00 PIERCE COUNTY: WASHINGTON R. BOB DEIGERT FREIGHTHOUSE SQUARE MANAGEMENT, LLC 9138 189TH PLACE SOUTHWEST EDMONDS, WA 98026

ſ

RECEIVED

ί

Pas

JUL 2.9 2003 Washington State Department of Ecology

Document Title:			TICOR	
RESTRICTIVE COVENANT			W-C	
Grantor(s); WINDERS FREIGHTHOUSE LLC, TA FREIGHTHOUSE LLC, BARRY'S FRE FREIGHTHOUSE SOUARE Additional Names on Page0	IGHTHOUSELLC	, BEA'S FREIGHT	LDFAMOUS THOUSE LLC;	COPY
Grantee(s): THE PUBLIC	•	OF THE OPICIN	Of C	In the
Additional Names on Page 0	of Document.		CIA	
Legal Description (abbreviated: i.e. I PORTION OF BLOCK 7520, 7522, 7 TO TACOMA	lot, block, plat or 7524, 7526, TAC	section, townshi OMA LAND CON	5 rant (4) 7 PONY 'S FURS 1 7 1 1 - 12-	
Legal Description is on Page	of Document.		"IIIIIIIII	AHILL T
	4	ased:		
Reference Number(s) of Documents N/A	Assigned of here			
Reference Number(s) of Documents				



I am requesting an emergency nonstandard recording for an additional fee as provided in RCW 36.18.010. I understand that the recording processing requirements may cover up or otherwise obscure some part of the fext of the original document.

1

AUU. evan Ļ

Signature of Requesting Party (Required for non-standard recordings only) Gpcoyst.doc rev 4/02 MODEL RESTRICTIVE COVENANT Page 1

RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

Ĺ

WINDERS FREIGHTHOUSE LLC, TACOMA FREIGHTHOUSE LLC, WORLDFAMOUS FREIGHTHOUSE LLC, BARRY'S FREIGHTHOUSE LLC, BEA'S FREIGHTHOUSE LLC; Freighthouse Square

This Declaration of Restrictive Covenant is made pursuant to RCW 70.105D.030(1)(f) and (g) and WAC 173-340-440 by K & M Commercial Development, its successors and assigns, and the State of Washington Department of Ecology, its successors and assigns (hereafter "Ecology").

An independent remedial action (hereafter "Remedial Action") occurred at the property that is the subject of this Restrictive Covenant. The Remedial Action conducted at the property is described in the following document[s]:

• Engineering Geosciences Inc., <u>Site Remediation Report Freighthouse</u> <u>Square</u>, January, 7, 1994.

• Archived <u>Freighthouse Square</u> file, and associated correspondence These documents are on file at Ecology's Southwest Regional Office. They can be reviewed by appointment by calling the Southwest Regional Office Resource Person at (360) 407-6365.

This Restrictive Covenant is required because the Remedial Action resulted in residual concentrations of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel and heavy oil, and lead and cadmium which

July 2017 Page 26

MODEL RESTRICTIVE COVENANT Page 2

exceed the Model Toxics Control Act Method A Residential Cleanup Level(s) for Groundwater established under WAC 173-340-720.

í

The undersigned, Winders Freighthouse LLC, Tacoma Freighthouse LLC, Worldfamous Freighthouse LLC, Barry's Freighthouse LLC, and Bea's Freighthouse LLC, are the fee owners of real property (hereafter "Property") in the County of Pierce, State of Washington, that is subject to this Restrictive Covenant. The Property is legally described IN ATTACHMENT A OF THIS RESTRICTIVE COVENANT AND MADE A PART HEREOF BY REFERENCE.

Winders Freighthouse LLC, Tacoma Freighthouse LLC, Worldfamous Freighthouse LLC, Barry's Freighthouse LLC, and Bea's Freighthouse LLC, make the following declaration as to limitations, restrictions, and uses to which the Property may be put and specifies that such declarations shall constitute covenants to run with the land, as provided by law and shall be binding on all parties and all persons claiming under them, including all current and future owners of any portion of or interest in the Property (hereafter "Owner").

<u>Section 1</u>. No groundwater may be taken for any use from the Property.

<u>Section 2</u>. Any activity on the Property that may interfere with the integrity of the Remedial Action and continued protection of

MODEL RESTRICTIVE COVENANT Page 3

human health and the environment is prohibited.

Section 3. Any activity on the Property that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of groundwater containing a hazardous substance that remains on the Property as part of the Remedial Action, or create a new exposure pathway, is prohibited without prior written approval from Ecology.

ſ.

<u>Section 4</u>. The Owner of the property must give thirty (30) day advance written notice to Ecology of the Owner's intent to convey any interest in the Property. No conveyance of title, easement, lease, or other interest in the Property shall be consummated by the Owner without adequate and complete provision for continued monitoring, operation, and maintenance of the Remedial Action, <u>Section 5</u>. The Owner must restrict leases to uses and activities consistent with the Restrictive Covenant and notify all lessees of the restrictions on the use of the Property.

<u>Section 6</u>. The Owner must notify and obtain approval from Ecology prior to any use of the Property that is inconsistent with the terms of this Restrictive Covenant. Ecology may approve any inconsistent use only after public notice and comment. <u>Section 7</u>. The Owner shall allow authorized representatives of Ecology the right to enter the Property at reasonable times for the purpose of evaluating the Remedial Action; to take samples,

July 2017 Page 28

MODEL RESTRICTIVE COVENANT Page 4

ť

to inspect remedial actions conducted at the property, and to inspect records that are related to the Remedial Action. <u>Section 8</u>. The Owner of the Property reserves the right under WAC 173-340-440 to record an instrument that provides that this Restrictive Covenant shall no longer limit use of the Property or be of any further force or effect. However, such an instrument may be recorded only if Ecology, after public notice and opportunity for comment, concurs.

í

[NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED]

	-(ć	
•	Ĩ	1 1 0	+	
•	ANTITEATH	TTLECOMP	f when at a fill	
·	COMMONWEALTH	Frid	for Gue	
	STANDARD OW	NER POLICY		
	SCHEDU		Policy No.: 3013262	
	(Contin			
	LEGAL DES	CRIPTION	•	
		,		
PARCEL A:			24, AND THE NORTHERLY 77 O TACOMA, ACCORDING TO DUNTY AUDITOR, IN DIERCE	
FB	ET OF THE EASTERLY 135	FIRST ADDITION T	24, AND THE RORTHERD O TACOMA, ACCORDING TO DUNTY AUDITOR, IN DIERCE	
THE NORTHBRUE 7526,	TACOHA LAND COMPANY	OFFICE OF THE CO	O TACOMA, ACCONDING LO DUNTY AUDITOR, IN DIERCE	
FLAT FILED FOR RECO	RD JULI // ANT-		anny an	
COUNTY, WASHINGTON.		WACATED BY	CITY OF TACONA ORDINANCE AND BLOCKS 7524 AND 7526.	
TART TRAT	PORTION OF EAST F. ST	RLY 77 FRET OF S	AID BLOCKS 1924 AND 1044	
TOGETHER TAND 23945	, ADJOINING THE MOREIN		CITY OF TACONA ONDITIONAL AND BLOCKS 7524 AND 7526. THE CITY OF TACONA FOR ECREE ENTERED ESPITEMBER	
	OCK 7525, THAT PORTION	APPROPRIATED BA	ECREE ENTERED SEPTEMBER	

THE CONSTRUCTION AND CHARTERING OF A FURTHER STREPT, BE DECEMBER SATISTORS, 12, 1928 IN PIERCE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CAUSE NO. 61287, AND BEING DESCRIBED AS

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID BLOCK 7526; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID BLOCK, A DISTANCE OF 119.73 FEET; THENCE IN A SOUTHNESTERLY BAST LINE OF SHALL SHALL A REAL AND AND A RADIUS OF 538 FEET AND CONCERTRIC WITH DIRECTION ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT HAVING A RADIUS OF 538 FEET AND CONCERTRIC WITH A CURVE HAVING & RADIUS OF 573 PEET, WHOSE TANGENT AT & POINT ON THE CENTER LINE A CURVE MAYING & THE OF THE CENTER LINE OF EAST 25TH STREET, OF EAST "G" STREET, 36.80 FEFT SOUTH OF THE CENTER LINE OF EAST 25TH STREET, WE BAST "G" DIRDAL DE LO THAT BUULA UF AND CENTER DIRD UF BAST CONTER LINE OF EAST "G" STREAT, A DISTANCE OF 130.04 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVE, THENCE CONTINUING SIKARI, A DISIGNAR OF LUVING A RADIUS OF 283 FEET, A DISTANCE OF SOUTHHESTERLY ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT HAVING A RADIUS OF 283 FEET, A DISTANCE OF SUCHMESTERNI ON A COARD TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID BLOCK 7526, SAID POINT BEING 2.84 1.32 FIBT 10 A FOART OF THE SOUTH EINS OF BALL DECK 1940, ONLY FURNE BASTERLY FEET HEST OF THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 10, IN SAID BLOCK 7526; THENCE BASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID BLOCK, A DISTANCE OF 52.84 FEET TO THE PLACE OF

EXCEPT ALL TRACK MATERIAL, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO RAILS, FASTENINGS, ANGLE EXCEPT ALL TRACE PALERIAL, INCLUDING, BUL NOT MICHAED TO MALLS, FRANKING, FR RAILROAD COMPANY AND RECORDED UNDER AUDITOR'S NO. 6109020105.

THE NORTHERLY 77 FEBT OF BLOCK 7522 AND 7524 OF TACOMA LAND COMPANY'S FIRST THE NORTHBRUS // FIRST OF BLOCK 1924 AND 1924 OF INCOME DELY COMENNESS FIRST ADDITION TO TACOMA, W.T., ACCORDING TO PLAT FILED FOR RECORD JULY 7, 1684 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR, IN PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

EXCEPT THE EAST 135 FEET OF SAID BLOCK 7524.

TOGETHER WITH THAT PORTION OF EAST "E" STREET ADJOINING EAID NORTHERLY 77 FEST OF TUGETHER MILE THE FURTION OF DEAL BE SINCE ADJULTING BALL RUCHBRUL 17 FER BLOCKS 7522 AND 7524, VACATED BY CITY OF TACOMA ORDINANCE NOS. 3127 AND 23949.

ALTAOLAS/RDA/997

ł

July 2017 Page 30

COMMONWEALTH TITLE COMPANY

STANDARD OWNER POLICY SCHEDULE A

Policy No.: 3013262

í

(Continued)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THE NORTHERLY 77 FEET OF BLOCK 7526, TACOMA LAND COMPANY'S FIRST ADDITION, ACCORDING TO PLAT FILED FOR RECORD JULY 7, 1884 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR, IN FIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

THE EOUTH 20 FEET OF THE NORTH 97 FEET OF BLOCKS 7520, 7522, 7524 AND 7526, IN THE TACOMA LAND COMPANY'S FIRST ADDITION TO TACOMA, H.T., ACCORDING TO FLAT FILED FOR RECORD JULY 7, 1684, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR, IN PIERCE COUNTY,

EXCEPT THAT PORTION OF LOTS 11 AND 12, SAID BLOCK 7526 TAKEN BY THE CITY OF TACOMA EXCEPT THAT FORTION OF MOIS 11 AND 14, SALD BLOCK 7526 TAKEN BI THE CATT OF TACU BY DECREE OF APPROPRIATION ENTERED SEPTEMBER 12, 1928 IN PIERCE COUNTY SUBERIOR COURT CASE NO. 61287.

ALTAOTAS/RDA/909

July 2017 Page 31

Notorized signature attachment to Dept of Ecology Restrictive Covenant:

7/22/03 / Date Signed Te

Winders Freighthouse, LLC / Glen R. & Elizbabeth L. Winders Trust, Member By Glen R. Winders, Trustee

STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KITSAP)

(

I hereby certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that GLEN R. WINDERS is the person who appeared before me and said person acknowledges that he signed this instrument and acknowledges it to be his free and voluntary act for purposes mentioned in this instrument.

2003 Dated_

Zon Notary Public in and for the State of Washington

Residing in Kingston My appointment expires : 4-02-06



Washington Department of Ecology

RESTRICTIVE COVENANT Page 4

(

may be recorded only if Ecology, after public notice and opportunity for comment, concurs.

Barry's Freighthouse, LLC cole Mem 121

(NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED)

Bea's Freighthouse, LLC ЧRÉR (NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED]

¢,

(NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED)

03/04/03
STATE OF MASHINGTON county of <u>KING</u>; es. on this <u>12 md</u> day of <u>July</u> <u>2003</u>, before as personally appeared ______ <u>Blatrile</u>, <u>A</u> <u>Giriffiths</u> to so known to be the <u>Solub</u> <u>MCMBGR</u> <u>OR</u> - <u>Bla's Freighthense</u>; <u>LLC</u>; <u>intermediated to within and foregoin</u> instrument, and acknowledged said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said <u>LLC</u>; for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath ataged that they were authorized to execute as instrument e

í

IN MITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first abowritten.

[Kotarial Seal] Notary Fublic in and for the State of Mashington

19.2005



NOTARIAL ACENOWLEDGHENT

NOTARIAL ACENONLEDGHENT STATE OF WASHINGTON County of KING 3, build before as personally appeared on this 22111 day of JULY JUHN Z. CRIMPITHS and SOLE MEMBER のビ to me known to be the BARRY'S FREIGHT HOUSE, LLL -----, that executed the within and foregoing instrument, and acknowledged said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said LLC , for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that they were authorized to execute said instrument •

Č

IN WITHERS WHEREOF, I have therefore set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

(Notarial Scal) Notary Public in and for the State of Washington

roelding at King d



MODEL RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

Page 5 WORLDFAMOUS FUGICAT HOURS , SOLE MEMBER 7/21/03

(

[NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED]

TACOMA FREIGHT HOUSE LLC. GOLE MEMBER 124103

(NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED)

[NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED]

[NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER AND DATE SIGNED]

(NOTE: The Property Owners must have this Restrictive Covenant notarized.)

07/21/03 modl_rc.doc Second Periodic Review Report-Final Freighthouse Square

July 2017 Page 36

Notary Page

State of Whishini **\$**\$. County of MOMOMIE

On this 21st day of July, 2003, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, duly commissioned and sworn, personally appeared Robert V. Hardy, to me known to be the Sole Member of World Famous Freighthouse LLC, the Limited Liability Corporation that executed the foregoing instrument, and ecknowledged the said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned and on oath stated that they were authorized to execute the said instrument and that the seal affixed is the corporate seal of said corporation.

WITNESS my hand and official seal hereto affixed the day and year first above written.



stat Notary Public in and for the State of WaShi My appointment expires: JUML 200

Ĺ

STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF _____ PIERCE

1

I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that_ROBBRT DEIGERT, SOLE MEMBER OF THE TACOMA FREIGHTHOUSE LLC the person who appeared before me, and said person acknowledged that HE signed this instrument, on

oath stated that _____HE IS ______ authorized to execute the instrument and acknowledged it as SOLE MBMBBR OF THE TACOMA FREIGHTHOUSE SQUARE LLC to be the free and voluntary act of such party for the uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

MANIMUT I

HUNG THE NGUYEN Notary

My appointment expires 7-12-2007

A-7 Representative Capacity

6.6 Photo log

Photo 1: Southwest Corner of Freighthouse Square Building – from the Southwest



Photo 2: North Side of Freighthouse Square Building- from the Northeast



Washington Department of Ecology



Photo 3: South Side of Building, Train Tracks and Platform - from the East

Photo 4: South Side of Building, New Amtrak Train Station Construction-from the Southwest





Photo 5: North Side of Building, New Amtrak Train Station Construction-from the Southeast

Photo 6: North Side of Building, New Amtrak Train Station Construction-from the Southeast of 25th Street



Ecology Responses to Public Comments on the Draft Second Periodic Review Report, Freighthouse Square Site

Comment: Section 1.0

This is almost word-for-word the same as the previous review. No comment.

Response: No response.

Comment: Section 2.1. Site History

- 2nd paragraph notes the site is bordered by East 26th Street to the South. Could be revised to be bordered by the ST and Tacoma Rail lines.

Response: Comment accepted and the text was revised accordingly.

Comment: Section 2.3

- This is appears to be word-for-word the same as the previous review. No comment.

<u>Response:</u> No response.

Comment: Section 2.4. 1st paragraph. Please revise to clarify analytical testing:

During November 2014 ... 11 groundwater samples were also collected for laboratory analysis. Select samples were selected for diesel- and oil range total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH-G, TPH-D and TPH-O), total and dissolved priority pollutant metals, ... polychlorinated biphenyls, and lead by Toxicity Characteristic Leachate

Procedure. ... Dissolved arsenic (7.5 mg/L ...).

- o Eighty-eight samples were collected, but not all 88 samples were analyzed.
- Testing included gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons.
- o Both total and dissolved metals analysis were completed on groundwater samples.
- o Select samples were also analyzed for PCBs and TCLP lead.
- The arsenic concentration range is from dissolved analysis.

<u>Response:</u> The text was revised to include the above suggested changes. The new language include separate paragraphs for soil and groundwater samples laboratory analytical parameters and a brief discussion of results.

Comment, Section 2.4, 1st paragraph

- This paragraph references *current* MTCA Method A criteria. However, Phase II ESA and Paragraph 2.2 of Draft Periodic Review indicate that "MTCA Method A cleanup levels prior to 2001 will be used ..." Should paragraph include concentrations exceeding 1996 criteria, or current criteria?
 - o Diesel-range hydrocarbons in soil exceed 1996 criteria.
 - o Diesel-range hydrocarbons in groundwater do not exceed 1996 criteria.

<u>Response:</u> When the cleanup was conducted in 1993, cleanup levels existed at that time were used for the cleanup. The no further action letter was issued based on these cleanup levels. Hence, the cleanup levels that were existed prior to 2001 will be used at this Site. However, since the cleanup levels were updated, the contaminant concentrations are compared to both the updated and the previous cleanup levels for comparison purposes. No additional cleanup will be required based on the current/updated cleanup levels, unless there is a threat to the human health or the environment which is not the case at this Site. An additional table is included to present both current and prior cleanup levels for comparison.

Comment, Section 2.4. 2nd paragraph

- This paragraph discusses construction activities that are separate from the Phase II ESA study. Suggest creating a new paragraph for construction/changes to ownership discussion.
- 2nd sentence of paragraph is incorrect. The existing footing was removed, new excavation was completed and a new foundation was installed.

<u>Response:</u> Separate paragraphs are included briefly discussing about new construction activities and changes to the Property ownership.

Comment, Section 2.5

- This section has been "beefed" up from the previous review. The language is directly from the restrictive covenant. No comment.

<u>Response:</u> no response.

Comment, Section 3.1

- Relates to my question in 2.4. I believe the restrictive covenant includes a portion of the railroad corridor ... which is not paved. Seems a bit disconnected from what I remember are planned construction activities.

Last sentence of 2^{nd} paragraph notes that all asphalt and concrete surfaces in excellent condition. The FHS alleyway pavers are not in "Excellent" condition. They could be noted in good or satisfactory condition.

<u>Response:</u> The text is revised to reflect the above changes.

Comment, Section 4.0.

- Bullet 1. TPH-D in soil also exceeds 1996 criteria.
- Bullet 2. Mercury also exceeds MTCA in groundwater.

<u>Response:</u> Text is revised to reflect the above changes.

Comment, Appendix 6.4.

- Figure and some tables are difficult to read.

<u>Response:</u> This is the maximum size that was achievable with scanning of the original figures and tables. If somebody is interested in these figures and tables, Ecology will provide them with copies of the originals.

Comment, Appendix 6.6.

- Photo 4. Is this photo from the SW or the SE?
- Photo 5. Is this photo from the NE or the NW?
- Photo 6. Direction is confusing.

<u>Response:</u> Photos titles have been revised to include the correct directions.