

PERIODIC REVIEW

Nasty Jack's Antique Facility/Site ID #: 2668

103 Morris Street La Conner, Washington

Northwest Region Office

Toxics Cleanup Program

April, 2012

1.0 IN	NTRODUCTION	1
2.0 S	SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS	2
2.1	Site Description and History	2
2.2	Site Investigations	2
2.3	Remedial Activities	3
2.4	Cleanup Standards	3
2.5	Restrictive Covenant	3
3.0 P	ERIODIC REVIEW	5
3.1	Effectiveness of Completed Cleanup Actions	5
3.2	New Scientific Information for Individual Hazardous Substances for Mixtures Prese	nt
at the	~ ~ 1.0	5
3.3	New Applicable State and Federal Laws for Hazardous Substances Present at the Sit	te5
3.4	Current and Projected Site Use	5
3.5	Availability and Practicability of Higher Preference Technologies	
3.6	Availability of Improved Analytical Techniques to Evaluate Compliance	6
4.0 C	CONCLUSIONS	7
4.1	Next Review	7
5.0 R	EFERENCES	8
6.0 A	PPENDICES	9
6.1	Vicinity Map	10
6.2	2006 Subsurface Soil Sampling Location Map	11
6.3	2006 Subsurface Soil Sampling Results	
6.4	Restrictive Covenant	16
6.5	Photo log	23

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document is a review by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) of post-cleanup site conditions and monitoring data to ensure that human health and the environment are being protected at the Nasty Jack's Antique (Site). Cleanup at this Site was implemented under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) regulations, Chapter 173-340 Washington Administrative Code (WAC).

Cleanup activities at this Site were completed under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). VCP identification numbers for the Site were NW 1587 and NW 1704. Following cleanup actions, lead remain in soil at the Site at concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The MTCA Method A cleanup level for soil is established under WAC 173-340-740(2). WAC 173-340-420 (2) requires that Ecology conduct a periodic review of a site every five years under the following conditions:

- (a) Whenever the department conducts a cleanup action;
- (b) Whenever the department approves a cleanup action under an order, agreed order or consent decree;
- (c) Or, as resources permit, whenever the department issues a no further action opinion and one of the following conditions exists:
 - 1. Institutional controls or financial assurance are required as part of the cleanup.
 - 2. Where the cleanup level is based on a practical quantitation limit.
 - 3. Where, in the department's judgment, modifications to the default equations or assumptions using site-specific information would significantly increase the concentration of hazardous substances remaining at the site after cleanup or the uncertainty in the ecological evaluation or the reliability of the cleanup action is such that additional review is necessary to assure long-term protection of human health and the environment.

When evaluating whether human health and the environment are being protected, the factors the department shall consider include [WAC 173-340-420(4)]:

- (a) The effectiveness of ongoing or completed cleanup actions;
- (b) New scientific information for individual hazardous substances of mixtures present at the site;
- (c) New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site;
- (d) Current and projected site use;
- (e) Availability and practicability of higher preference technologies; and
- (f) The availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels.

The department shall publish a notice of all periodic reviews in the site register and provide an opportunity for public comment.

2.0 SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS

2.1 Site Description and History

The Nasty Jack's Antiques Site is located at 103 Morris Street in La Conner, Skagit County, Washington (Vicinity Map - Appendix 6.1). Soil was contaminated with lead-based paint residuals in the former outdoor wipe and wash-down area used for furniture stripping. Following remedial activities, the Site received a No Further Action determination in 2006.

The Property includes three parcels (Skagit County Property ID: P74117, P74119 and P74120), approximately 0.28 acre. It consists of a retail antique store, a furniture refinishing shed, a chemical storage area and a parking lot. The antique shop occupies a national historical building constructed in 1890. The Site was asphalt paved in 2006.

Furniture refinishing operations are conducted in a small building east of the retail shop and include stripping, wiping and washing down furniture. In the past, stripped furniture was taken outdoors onto the unpaved parking area, washed and wiped down. Methylene chloride was used for stripping at the Site until 2002. After then, a non-methylene chloride stripper has been used, furniture pieces are wiped with rags after stripping, and therefore no rinsing occurs.

2.2 Site Investigations

Several complaints regarding disposal and alleged discharge of methylene chloride at the Site were filed between 1987 and 1993. Site investigations were conducted by Ecology in response to those complaints. Lead and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including methylene chloride and toluene were detected above MTCA Method A cleanup levels in near surface soils and surface water (rain puddles) collected in the vicinity of the former wash and wipe-down area located in the parking lot during an investigation conducted by Ecology in 1991. The Site was subsequently listed on Ecology's Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Site list (CSCSL).

In 1995, a Site Hazard Assessment (SHA) was conducted by Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) on behalf of Ecology, Based on the results of the SHA and previous sampling data collected in 1991, the Site got a score of 2 by Washington Ranking Method (WARM). A rank of "1" represents the highest relative level of concern, and "5" the lowest. The Site was then listed on Ecology's Hazardous Sites List (HSL).

In 2003, the US EPA contracted Western Solutions, Inc. to conduct a Preliminary Assessment for the Site. EPA concluded that no further action was required at the Site under the Comprehensive, Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.

In 2006, Western conducted extensive subsurface soil sampling at the Site in the vicinity of the former outdoor wipe and wash-down area. Analytical sampling results indicated that VOCs were either non-detected or detected but below their cleanup levels in all the soil samples. However, lead was detected above the MTCA Method A cleanup level in the parking lot to a depth of approximately two feet. Lead concentrations were significantly lower than the cleanup level below to feet of ground surface. Other metals, arsenic, cadmium and chromium were either non-detected or detected but below their cleanup levels. Sampling locations were shown in Appendix 2, and sampling results were summarized in Appendix 3.

2.3 Remedial Activities

On-site containment was selected to address lead contamination in the near surface soil. The parking lot was paved with asphalt. A restrictive covenant was placed on the Property to ensure containment remedy performance. It should be noted that the previous practice of washing off the paint residue onto the parking lot was discontinued in 2002.

2.4 Cleanup Standards

Cleanup standards consist of cleanup levels and points of compliance, which must be established for each site. Cleanup levels determine at what level a particular hazardous substance does not threaten human health or the environment. Points of compliance designate the location on the site where the cleanup levels must be met.

(a) Cleanup Levels

Because the cleanup at this Site was relatively straight forward and involved few hazardous substances, the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for unrestricted land uses were deemed applicable and appropriate. The MTCA Method A soil cleanup level for unrestricted land uses for lead is 250 mg/kg.

(b) Points of Compliance

As described in Section 2.2, the contaminant of concern at the Site is lead in near surface soil. Therefore, the point of compliance was established in the soils throughout the Site from the ground surface to fifteen feet below the ground surface based on human exposure via direct contact or other exposure pathways where contact with the soil is required to complete the pathway.

2.5 Restrictive Covenant

Based on the Site use, surface cover and cleanup levels, it was determined that the Site was eligible for a 'No Further Action' determination if a Restrictive Covenant was recorded for the Property. A Restrictive Covenant was recorded for the Property in October 2006.

Ecology delisted the site from both the CSCSL and HSL and issued a NFA determination letter in November 2006.

The Restrictive Covenant imposed limitations, restrictions and uses to the Property. Since a portion of the Property contains lead contaminated soil under an asphalt capped parking lot east of the store building, the owner shall not alter, modify, or remove the asphalt cap in any manner that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of that contaminated soil or create a new exposure pathway without prior written approval from Ecology. A copy of the Restrictive Covenant is enclosed in Appendix 6.4. It listed all the limitations, restrictions and uses to the Property.

3.0 PERIODIC REVIEW

3.1 Effectiveness of Completed Cleanup Actions

Based upon the site visit conducted on March 9, 2012, the building and asphalt cover at the Site continue to eliminate exposure pathways (ingestion, contact) to potentially contaminated soils. The asphalt appears in satisfactory condition and no repair is needed at this time. The Site is still operating as an antique shop. A photo log is available as Appendix 6.5.

The Restrictive Covenant for the Site was recorded in 2006 and remains active. It has not been amended, nor have any other documents been recorded which would render the Covenant invalid. This Restrictive Covenant requires Ecology's approval prior to conducting any activities that will result in the release of contaminants at the Site. It also prohibits any use of the Property that is inconsistent with the Covenant. This Restrictive Covenant serves to ensure the long term integrity of the remedy.

3.2 New Scientific Information for Individual Hazardous Substances for Mixtures Present at the Site

There is no new relevant scientific information for the petroleum contaminants related to the Site.

3.3 New Applicable State and Federal Laws for Hazardous Substances Present at the Site

The cleanup at the site was governed by WAC 173-340-702(12) (c) [2001 ed.] provides that.

"A release cleaned up under the cleanup levels determined in (a) or (b) of this subsection shall not be subject to further cleanup action due solely to subsequent amendments to the provision in this chapter on cleanup levels, unless the department determines, on a case-by-case basis, that the previous cleanup action is no longer sufficiently protective of human health and the environment."

3.4 Current and Projected Site Use

The Site is currently used for commercial purposes. There have been no changes in current or projected future site or resource uses.

3.5 Availability and Practicability of Higher Preference Technologies

The physical remedy implemented included containment of hazardous substances, and it continues to eliminate direct human contact with contaminated soils. While higher preference cleanup technologies may be available, they are still not practicable at this Site.

3.6 Availability of Improved Analytical Techniques to Evaluate Compliance

The physical remedy implemented included containment of hazardous substances, and it continues to eliminate direct human contact with contaminated soils. While higher preference cleanup technologies may be available, they are still not practicable at this Site.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions have been made as a result of this periodic review:

- The remedial actions completed at the Site appear to be protective of human health and the environment.
- Soil cleanup levels have not been met at the Site; however, under WAC 173-340-740(6) (d), the cleanup action is determined to comply with cleanup standards, since the long-term integrity of the containment system is ensured and the requirements for containment technologies in WAC 173-340-360(8) have been met.
- The Restrictive Covenant for the Property is in place and continues to be effective in protecting public health and the environment from exposure to hazardous substances and protecting the integrity of the cleanup action.

Based on this periodic review, the Department of Ecology has determined that the requirements of the Restrictive Covenant continue to be met. No additional cleanup actions are required by the property owner. It is the property owner's responsibility to continue to inspect the Site to assure that the integrity of the remedy is maintained.

4.1 Next Review

The next review for the Site will be scheduled five years from the date of this periodic review. In the event that additional cleanup actions or institutional controls are required, the next periodic review will be scheduled five years from the completion of those activities.

5.0 REFERENCES

Ecology, 2012, Site Visit.

Ecology, 2006. No Further Action Opinion Letter.


Parametrix, 2006 a. Voluntary Cleanup Program. Cleanup Action Report, Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash-Down Area, Nasty Jack's Antiques.

Parametrix, 2006 b. Voluntary Cleanup Program. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Report and Draft Cleanup Action Plan, Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash-Down Area, Nasty Jack's Antiques.


Western Solutions, Inc. 2003. Preliminary Assessment Report, Nasty Jack's Antiques, La Conner, Washington.

6.0 APPENDICES

6.1 Vicinity Map



6.2 2006 Subsurface Soil Sampling Location Map



6.3 2006 Subsurface Soil Sampling Results

Table 1. Analytical Results: Inorganic Soil Sample Data Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash-Down Area NJA – La Conner, WA

			Co	nstituent (mg/	kg)	
Sample ID*	Date	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Lead	Mercury
NJA-SS-SB001-0000	28 March 2006	5.4	1.8	40.0 JK	17.9 JK	0.143
NJA-SS-SB001-0010	30 March 2006	NA	NA,	NA ·	2.9	NA
NJA-SS-SB001-0020	30 March 2006	7.91	· 1.3 🗼	∵ 48 ⁴ JK	341 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB001-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA ·	NA	82.7	ŅA
NJA-SS-SB001-0060	30 March 2006	NA ·	NA	NA	4.4	NA
NJA-SS-SB001-0080	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	30.5	NA
NJA-SS-SB001-0100	30 March 2006	NA	NA .	NA	2.9	NA
NJA-SS-SB002-0000	28 March 2006	4.96	0.85	49.9 JK	38.6 J	NA
NJA-SS-SB002-0020	30 March 2006	5.7	1.2	44.7 JK	12.8 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB002-0040	30 March 2006	NA	- NA	NA	10.3	NA
NJA-SS-SB003-0000	28 March 2006	3.4	1U	25.2 JK	60.5 JK	· NA
NJA-SS-SB003-0020	30 March 2006	11.5	1.8	46.5 JK	478 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB003-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	46.7	NA

Table 1. Analytical Results: Inorganic Soil Sample Data Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash-Down Area NJA – La Conner, WA (continued)

			Co	nstituent (mg	/kg)	
Sample ID*	Date	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Lead	Mercury
NJA-SS-SB004-0000	28 March 2006	2.4	0.55	22.1 JK	19.8 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB004-0020	30 March 2006	19.3	2.7	90.3 JK	7040JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB004-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	18.5	NA
NJA-SS-SB005-0000	28 March 2006	10.3	1.1	52.2 JK	323 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB005-0020	30 March 2006	13.6	1.8	81 JK	2700 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB005-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	, NA	9.3	NA
NJA-SS-SB006-0000 [™]	28 March 2006	5.4	1.6	₹ 40.1 JK	28 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB006-0020	30 March 2006	5.9	1.4	47.9 JK	16.1 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB006-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	11.4	NA
NJA-SS-SB007-0000	28 March 2006	5.7	1.2	37.2 JK	11.3 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB007-0020	30 March 2006	5.5	0.8	35.1 JK	11.3 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB007-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	25.2	NA
NJA-SS-SB008-0000	28 March 2006	6.9	3.6	30.2 JK	36.6 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB008-0020	30 March 2006	7.3	0.71	42.6 JK	21.3 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB008-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	59.7	NA
NJA-SS-SB009-0000	28 March 2006	7.6	1.8	65.9 JK	329 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB009-0020	30 March 2006	4.5	0.81	35.3 JK	16.7 JK	NA
NJA-SS-SB009-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	115	NA
NJA-SS-SB010-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	191	NA
NJA-SS-SB010-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	168	NA
NJA-SS-SB010-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	12.6	NA
NJA-SS-SB011-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	5120	NA
NJA-SS-SB011-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	220	NA
NJA-SS-SB011-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	10.3	NA
NJA-SS-SB012-0000	28 March 2006	NA ·	NA	NA	29.9	NA
NJA-SS-SB012-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	360	NA
NJA-SS-SB012-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	25.5	NA
NJA-SS-SB013-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	32.5	NA
NJA-SS-SB013-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	113	NA
NJA-SS-SB013-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	17.2	NA
NJA-SS-SB014-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	12.0	NA
NJA-SS-SB014-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	8.3	NA
NJA-SS-SB014-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	41.9	NA
NJA-SS-SB015-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA ·	28.6	NA
NJA-SS-SB015-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	7.3	NA
NJA-SS-SB015-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	25.2	NA
NJA-SS-SB016-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	42.8	NA
NJA-SS-SB016-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	, NA	290	NA
NJA-SS-SB016-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	ŇA	32.1	NA

Table 1. Analytical Results: Inorganic Soil Sample Data Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash-Down Area NJA – La Conner, WA (continued)

			Coi	nstituent (mg/	kg)	
Sample ID*	Date	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Lead	Mercury
NJA-SS-SB017-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	35.9	NA
NJA-SS-SB017-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA ·	720	NA
NJA-SS-SB017-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	13.2	· NA
NJA-SS-SB018-0000	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	608	NA
NJA-SS-SB018-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	999	NA
NJA-SS-SB018-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	30.6	NA
NJA-SS-SB019-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	90.2	NA
NJA-SS-SB019-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	881	NA
NJA-SS-SB019-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	12.3	NA
NJA-SS-SB020-0000	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	38.3	NA
NJA-SS-SB020-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	59.8	NA
NJA-SS-SB020-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	400	NA
NJA-SS-SB020-0060	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	7	NA
NJA-SS-SB020-0100	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	11.6	NA
NJA-SS-SB021-0020	30 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	440	NA
NJA-SS-SB021-0040	30 March 2006	NA	NA	• NA	13.4	NA
NJA-SS-HA001-0020	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	40.3	NA
NJA-SS-HA001-0040	28 March 2006	NA	NA	NA	7.7	NA
MTCA Method A Soil C Unrestricted La		20	2	2000	250	2

Notes:

Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-340-900 Table 740-1, Chromium VI level is 19 mg/kg, Chromium III level is 2000 mg/kg.

Bold indicates detection above MTCA Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Uses.

mg/kg milligram per kilogram, dry-weight basis.

NA Not analyzed. See Appendix D for description of data qualifiers.

* The last four digits of the sample number is depth in feet (i.e., 0020 is 2-foot depth).

Table 2. Analytical Results: Organic Soil Sample Data Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash-Down Area NJA – La Conner, WA

			Constituent (µg	/kg)	
Sample ID	Date	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Xylene (total)
NJA-SS-SB001-0000	28 March 2006	3 U	3 U	3 U	3 U
NJA-SS-SB001-0100	28 March 2006	4R	10 JL	4R	4R
NJA-SS-SB001-0020	30 March 2006	4 U	4 U	4 U	4 U
NJA-SS-SB002-0000	28 March 2006	4 J	2 J	47	50
NJA-SS-SB002-0020	30 March 2006	3 U	3 U	3 U	3 U
NJA-SS-SB003-0000	28 March 2006	3 U	3 U	6	4
NJA-SS-SB003-0020	30 March 2006	3 J	4.2 U	3 J	16 U
NJA-SS-SB004-0000	28 March 2006	3 U	3 U	2 J	3 U
NJA-SS-SB004-0020	30 March 2006	5 U	4 U	29	5 U

Table 2. Analytical Results: Organic Soil Sample Data Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash-Down Area NJA – La Conner, WA (continued)

			Constituent (µg	/kg)	
Sample ID	Date	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Xylene (total)
NJA-SS-SB005-0000	28 March 2006	5 U	5 U	4 J	6
NJA-SS-SB005-0020	30 March 2006	3 JH	5 U	28 JH	14 JH
NJA-SS-SB006-0000	28 March 2006	3 U	3 U	3 U	3 U
NJA-SS-SB006-0020	30 March 2006	4 U	4 U	4 U	4 U
NJA-SS-SB007-0000	28 March 2006	. 3 U	3 U	3 U	3 U
NJA-SS-SB007-0020	30 March 2006	3 U	ЗŃ	3 U	3 U
NJA-SS-SB008-0000	[⊁] 28 March 2006	3 U	3 Ů	3 U	3 U
NJA-SS-SB008-0020	30 March 2006	3 U	3 U	1 JK	3 U
NJA-SS-SB009-0000	28 March 2006	3 U	3 Ú	2 J	3 U
NJA-SS-SB009-0020	30 March 2006	3 U	3 U	3 U	1 J
NJA-SS-SB021-0000	29 March 2006	3 U	3 U .	4	3 U
NJA-SS-HA001-0000	29 March 2006	3 U	3 U	3 U	3 U
MTCA Method A Soil C Unrestricted La		600	20	700	900

Notes:

WAC 173-340-900 Table 740-1, Chromium VI level is 19 mg/kg, Chromium III level is 2000 mg/kg.

Bold indicates detection above MTCA Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Uses.

mg/kg milligram per kilogram, dry-weight basis.

NA Not analyzed. See Appendix D for description of data qualifiers.

* The last four digits of the sample number is depth in feet (i.e., 0020 is 2-foot depth).

200610050041 Skagit County Auditor

6 10:10AM

10/5/2006 Page

6.4 Restrictive Covenant

REC'D OCT

6 2006

Abbreviated legal description:

Assessor Parcel / Tax ID Number:

Document Title: Re-	strictive Covenant
Reference Number:	
<u>Grantor(s):</u>	additional grantor names on page
1. Frank, Gary 2. Frank, Marlo	Nasty Jacks Antiques
Grantee(s):	[] additional grantee names on page
1-Public	
2.	

[] full legal on page(s) ___.

[] additional tax parcel number(s) on page ___.

Gary and Marlo Frank
P.O. Box 251
103 East Morris
La Connor, Washington 98257

RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

This declaration of Restrictive Covenant is made pursuant to RCW 70.105D.030(1)(f and g), and WAC 173-340-440 Name of Property Owner, it successors and assigns, and the Washington State Department of Ecology, its successors and assigns.

Legal Description: Lot 4, Block 3, Excluding North 20' of lot.

Tax Parcel I.D. #: P74120

RESTRICTIVE COVENANT GARY AND MARLO FRANK, AND NASTY JACKS ANTIQUES

This Declaration of Restrictive Covenant is made pursuant to RCW 70.105D.030 (1)(f) and (g) and WAC 173-340-440 by Gary and Marlo Frank, its successors and assigns, and the State of Washington Department of Ecology, its successors and assigns (hereafter "Ecology").

An independent remedial action (hereafter "Remedial Action") occurred at the property that is the subject of this Restrictive Covenant. The Remedial Action conducted at the property is described in the following documents on file at Ecology's Northwest Regional Office:

> 200610050041 Skagit County Auditor

10/5/2008 Page

2 of

610:10AM

 Parametrix 2006, Voluntary Cleanup Program, Cleanup Action Completion Report Former Outdoor Wipe and Wash – Down Area. Prepared for Nasty Jacks Antiques, La Conner, Washington., September 2006.

This Restrictive Covenant is required because the Remedial Action resulted in residual concentrations of lead which exceed the Model Toxics Control Act Method A Residential Cleanup Level for soil established under WAC 173-340.

The undersigned, Gary and Marlo Frank, are the fee simple owner of real property (hereafter "Property") in the County of Skagit, State of Washington, which is subject to this Restrictive Covenant. The Property is legally described in attachment A of this restrictive covenant and made a part thereof by reference.

Gary and Marlo Frank make the following declaration as to limitations, restrictions, and uses to which the Property may be put and specifies that such declarations shall constitute covenants to run with the land, as provided by law and shall be binding on all parties and all persons claiming under them, including all current and future owners of any portion of or interest in the Property (hereafter "Owner").

Section 1. A portion of the Property contains lead contaminated soil located under an asphalt capped parking lot east of the store building. The Owner shall not alter, modify, or remove the asphalt cap in any manner that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of that contaminated soil or create a new exposure pathway without prior written approval from Ecology (see Section 6). Any activity on the Property that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of the contaminated soil that was contained as part of the Remedial Action, or create a new exposure pathway, is prohibited. Some examples of activities that are prohibited in the capped areas include: drilling, digging, placement of any objects or use of any equipment which deforms or stresses the surface beyond its load bearing capability, piercing the surface with a rod, spike or similar item, bulldozing or earthwork. Section 1 is specifically applicable to



10/5/2006 Page

3 of

6 10:10AM

maintaining the integrity of the cap, it should not be interpreted as precluding a future use (e.g. redevelopment or new construction) as long as future use activity complies with Section 6.

Section 2. Any activity on the Property that may interfere with the integrity of the Remedial Action and continued protection of human health and the environment is prohibited.

<u>Section 3</u>. Any activity on the Property that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of a hazardous substance that remains on the Property as part of the Remedial Action, or create a new exposure pathway, is prohibited without prior written approval from Ecology.

Section 4. The Owner of the property must give thirty (30) day advance written notice to Ecology of the Owner's intent to convey any interest in the Property. No conveyance of title, easement, lease, or other interest in the Property shall be consummated by the Owner without adequate and complete provision for continued monitoring, operation, and maintenance of the Remedial Action.

<u>Section 5</u>. The Owner must restrict leases to uses and activities consistent with the Restrictive Covenant and notify all lessees of the restrictions on the use of the Property.

<u>Section 6</u>. The Owner must notify and obtain approval from Ecology prior to any use of the Property that is inconsistent with the terms of this Restrictive Covenant. Ecology may approve any inconsistent use only after public notice and comment.

<u>Section 7</u>. The Owner shall allow authorized representatives of Ecology the right to enter the Property at reasonable times for the purpose of evaluating the Remedial Action; to take samples, to inspect remedial actions conducted at the property, and to inspect records that are related to the Remedial Action.

<u>Section 8</u>. The Owner of the Property reserves the right under WAC 173-340-440 to record an instrument that provides that this Restrictive Covenant shall no longer limit use

200610050041 Skagit County Auditor

10/5/2006 Page

4 of


6 10:10AM

of the Property or be of any further force or effect. However, such an instrument may be recorded only if Ecology, after public notice and opportunity for comment, concurs.
Dary Frank
Gary Frank
malite
Marlo Frank
Date: $10/5/06$
STATE OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF SKAAL Liknow or have satisfactory evidence that Gay Frank 4
is the person who appeared before me, and
instrument and acknowledged it to be the five and voluntary act for the uses and
purposes mentioned in the instrument.
DATED: 10-5000 Motary Public
My appointment expires
200610050041 Skagit County Auditor

10/5/2006 Page

5 of

610:10AM



Skagit County Auditor Recording Department

File Number: 2006/10050041 Filed By: FRANK GARY

Тэр Тупе	Amount
Affordable Housing Fee	\$10.00
Andijor's O & M Fee	\$2.00
Auditor's Recording Fee	\$5.00
Centennial Fee	\$2.00
Commissioners O & M	\$1.00
Ending Homelessness	\$10.00
Standard Pages Fee	\$5.00
State Archive Fee	\$2.00
Total	\$37.00

Payment Method: Check

October 5, 2006 10:10:51AM Have a Super Terrific Day!

6.5 Photo log

Photo 1: View of the Store, refinishing shed and parking lot – facing north



Photo 2: View of the parking lot. Note the former wipe down area is located in the vicinity where the blue van parked. Facing northwest.





Photo 3: View of paint remover drum and rugs used after stripping - facing north



