

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98102

September 11, 2017

Mr. Kelley Kohout LMI West Seattle Holdings, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101-2528

SUBJECT: GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT—SECOND QUARTER 2017 SKS Shell Station Site 3901 Southwest Alaska Street Seattle, Washington Project Number: 0914-001

Dear Mr. Kohout:

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SoundEarth) has prepared this report to present the results of the Second Quarter 2017 groundwater monitoring event conducted at the SKS Shell Station Site located at 3901 Southwest Alaska Street in Seattle, Washington (SKS Site), as shown on Figure 1. The groundwater monitoring event was conducted to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of the cleanup activities completed on and beneath the SKS Site that are being performed pursuant to the Cleanup Action Plan under Prospective Purchase Consent Decree #13-2-27556-2, entered on July 29, 2013.

#### BACKGROUND

The SKS Site was developed as a gasoline station and an automotive repair facility in 1934. Successive oil companies retailing gasoline products at the SKS Site include Gilmore Red Lion in the 1930s, Mobil Oil in the 1940s, Texaco in the 1950s, Atlantic Richfield in the 1960s, ARCO from 1975 to 1995, Texaco from approximately 1998 to 2004, and Shell from 2004 until July 2013.

In 1950, the original 1934 gasoline fueling equipment was removed and two 4,000-gallon underground storage tanks (USTs) were installed. The pump island and service station office were removed in 1961 and replaced with a new pump island, relocated to locations as shown on Figure 2. An additional 8,000-gallon UST was installed in 1974. The 1950-vintage USTs were removed in 1984 and replaced with one 10,000-gallon UST and two 12,000-gallon USTs. Over time, leaded and unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel have been used and stored in various USTs at the SKS Site. In December 2013, the three 1984-vintage USTs and the 1974-vintage UST were decommissioned and removed from the SKS Site.

SoundEarth conducted remedial activities on the SKS Site in 2015 as part of the Whittaker Property development of the SKS Site and adjacent properties. Remedial activities included lot-line to lot-line remedial excavation of petroleum-impacted soil to approximately 29.5 feet below ground surface, right-of-way (ROW) dewatering, and water and vapor barrier installation. Approximately 9,755 tons of petroleum-contaminated soil were removed from the SKS Site.

On September 1, 2015, monitoring wells MW108 through MW110 were installed on the SKS Site to complete compliance groundwater monitoring.

#### FIELD ACTIVITIES

The Second Quarter monitoring event was conducted on June 13 through 15, 2017, to evaluate the longterm effectiveness of cleanup activities. The monitoring event included measuring depths to groundwater in monitoring wells MW108 through MW110, located in the basement level of the building now located on the SKS Site, as well as MW101 through MW105 and RW02 through RW05, located within the Fauntleroy Way Southwest ROW. Monitoring well RW01, located within the Fauntleroy Way Southwest ROW, was obstructed at a depth of approximately 16.5 feet below ground surface and could not be measured.

Upon arrival at the SKS Site, SoundEarth personnel opened monitoring wells and permitted water levels to equilibrate with atmospheric pressure for a minimum of 15 minutes before groundwater level measurements were obtained. Groundwater levels were measured relative to the top of well casing to an accuracy of 0.01 feet using an electronic water level meter.

Groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW101 through MW105, MW108 through MW110, and RW02 through RW05, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Low-Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Ground-Water Procedures* (April 1996). Purging and sampling of each monitoring well were performed using a peristaltic pump and dedicated polyethylene tubing at flow rates ranging from 50 to 120 milliliters per minute. The intake was placed approximately 2 to 3 feet below the surface of the groundwater or mid-screen in each monitoring well. During purging, water quality was monitored using a YSI water quality meter equipped with a flow-through cell. The water quality parameters that were monitored and recorded included temperature, pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and oxidation-reduction potential. Each monitoring well was purged until a minimum subset of pH, specific conductivity, and dissolved oxygen and/or turbidity stabilized. Monitoring well MW108 was purged dry while filling the flow-through cell. Therefore, a grab sample was collected once the well had recharged to its initial groundwater level.

Following purging, groundwater samples were collected from the pump outlet tubing located upstream of the flow-through cell and placed directly into clean, laboratory-prepared sample containers. Each container was labeled with a unique sample identification number, placed on ice in a cooler, and transported to Friedman & Bruya, Inc., of Seattle, Washington, under standard chain-of-custody protocols for laboratory analysis.

The groundwater samples were submitted for analysis of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH) by Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (NWTPH) Method NWTPH-Gx; benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021B; and diesel- and oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (DRPH and ORPH, respectively) by Method NWTPH-Dx and with silica gel cleanup by EPA Method 3630. Selected DRPH and ORPH samples were analyzed with silica gel cleanup because previous DRPH and ORPH exceedances in groundwater were flagged as not resembling the fuel standard used by the laboratory for DRPH identification. Purge water generated during the monitoring event was placed in an appropriately labeled 55-gallon steel drum and temporarily stored on the SKS Site pending receipt of analytical data and proper disposal.

#### RESULTS

Groundwater levels measured on June 13 and 14, 2017, ranged in elevation from 241.94 (MW105 in the Fauntleroy Way Southwest ROW) to 240.43 (MW110 in the lower level garage) feet North American

Vertical Datum of 1988 (Table 1). Historical groundwater measurements have indicated that groundwater at the Fauntleroy Way Southwest and Southwest Alaska Street intersection consistently flowed at a moderate gradient of 0.015 feet per foot to the north-northeast. However, the most recent groundwater elevations indicate a groundwater flow direction to the west along the Fauntleroy Way Southwest property edge (Figure 2). This observed change in groundwater flow is likely due to the footing drains and associated sub-slab drainage system installed for the underground parking garage, as discussed in the Summary section below.

Groundwater analytical results from the monitoring event are summarized below (Figure 3; Table 1). Results for MW108 and MW109, both located on-property, as well as MW101, MW102, MW103, MW105, RW02, RW04, and RW05, all located within the Fauntleroy Way Southwest ROW, were below Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) cleanup levels for all chemicals of concern. Concentrations of ORPH (both with and without silica gel cleanup), toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes were below the MTCA Method A cleanup level for all groundwater samples collected.

The following summarizes results from other monitoring wells:

- Gasoline-Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons. All groundwater samples collected from the compliance wells (MW101 through MW105 and MW108 through MW110 as identified in the 2013 Draft Cleanup Action Plan) were below the MTCA Method A cleanup level (CUL) of 800 micrograms per liter (µg/L) for GRPH. A concentration of GRPH exceeding the MTCA CUL was detected in the groundwater sample collected from remediation well RW03 (1,300 µg/L). This result was lower than the previous concentration (4,900 µg/L) detected in RW03 in the First Quarter 2017 groundwater sample.
- Benzene. All groundwater samples collected from the compliance wells were below the MTCA Method A cleanup level of 5 µg/L for benzene. A concentration of benzene exceeding the MTCA CUL was detected in the groundwater sample collected from remediation well RW03 (7.0 µg/L). This result was lower than the previous (150 µg/L) concentration detected in RW03 during First Quarter 2017.
- **Diesel-Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons.** Groundwater samples collected from MW104, RW03, and MW110 had concentrations of DRPH that exceeded the MTCA Method A CUL of 500  $\mu$ g/L for DRPH. A decreasing trend in the DRPH concentration was observed from First to Second Quarter. Based on the history of the site and the presence of organic material in native soils encountered during excavation, groundwater samples were analyzed for DRPH both with and without silica gel cleanup. With the silica gel cleanup, none of the analyzed groundwater samples contained petroleum-based DRPH concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level. DRPH and ORPH samples were analyzed with silica gel cleanup because previous DRPH and ORPH exceedances in groundwater were flagged by the laboratory as not resembling the fuel standard. A review of historical documents indicates that the source of the organic material beneath the SKS Shell site is likely from the low-lying wetland that formerly occupied the upgradient Whittaker development property prior to initial development in the 1950s and up to 15 feet of fill material that included wood waste and other organics. Original topography predating the filling is apparent in maps predating the 1950s, and site photos taken during mass excavation.

As shown in the attached Charts 1 through 4, which summarize trends in GRPH and benzene concentrations in monitoring wells MW04, MW108, MW109, and MW110 since 2011, GRPH and benzene in all four monitoring wells during Second Quarter 2017 are significantly lower than preremediation concentrations. GRPH and benzene concentrations in all four of these monitoring points have decreased or remained below laboratory reporting limits since First Quarter 2017. Figure 4 includes trend charts as well as data comparison tables from 7 ROWs monitoring wells. The tables on Figure 4 illustrate that ROW groundwater concentrations were either non-detect for GRPH and benzene before and after the 2015 excavation (MW101 through MW105), or that concentrations are significantly reduced (RW02 and RW04). The approximate area of groundwater impacts prior to the source removal is provided for comparison on Figure 5.

Copies of the laboratory analytical reports are provided as Attachment A.

#### DATA VALIDATION

SoundEarth contracted with Validata, LLC to conduct a Stage 2A-level quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) review of the analytical results. The data were reviewed using the guidance and quality control criteria documented in the EPA's National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (1999 and 2008). The QC requirements that were reviewed included sample receipt, handling, and holding times; recoveries for method blanks, surrogates, spikes, field duplicates; and reporting limits.

**Results.** The analytical data for NWTPH-Dx for samples collected from monitoring wells MW104, MW108, RW03, and the field duplicate collected from MW104 were flagged "J+" (as estimates) due to the laboratory reporting that the detected chromatographic pattern did not match the diesel fuel standard. These results were also flagged "x" by the analytical laboratory for the same reason. However, the results were determined to be acceptable for use. All other QA/QC criteria were confirmed to be acceptable for use. All other QA/QC criteria were confirmed to be acceptable for use. A copy of the Validata LLC Data Validation Report is provided as Attachment B.

Following data validation, the groundwater data were uploaded to Washington State Department of Ecology's (Ecology) Environmental Information Management system.

#### SUMMARY

Concentrations of GRPH, DRPH, and BTEX decreased for the second consecutive quarter in monitoring wells MW104 and RW03 during Second Quarter 2017, after an unexpected increase in the Fourth Quarter 2016. Concentrations of DRPH in monitoring well MW110 had previously increased for several quarters, but decreased for the second consecutive quarter during Second Quarter 2017.

Aside from exceedances for benzene and GRPH in well RW03, all wells are MTCA compliant for GRPH and BTEX. Figure 4 shows a significant reduction of benzene, GRPH, and DRPH in groundwater at the SKS Site. Downgradient ROW wells MW101, MW102, MW103, and MW105 were compliant 2012 and 2013, and remain complaint in 2017.

As stated above the historical groundwater flow direction was documented to be to the north to northeast. Figure 6 provides the historical groundwater elevation contours for the Whittaker Property, comprised of SKS Shell, Huling, and Kennedy properties prior to remedial excavation and construction activities and confirms a historical groundwater flow direction to the north to northeast. Attachment C

provides a set of historical contour maps for the SKS Site as well as a rose diagram for reference. The attached contour maps and rose diagrams were used for discussion purposes with Ecology during a conference call between Gene Freeman with Ecology and SoundEarth representatives on May 29, 2013.

As discussed in our previous groundwater monitoring reports, groundwater levels were generally 1 to 3 feet lower in the Fourth Quarter 2016 and First and Second Quarters 2017, than previously measured at the SKS Site. This unexpected condition represents a change from trends that we have observed in the past.

As shown on Figure 2, the most recent groundwater elevations in the immediate vicinity of the property indicate that the flow direction has changed from those consistently measured in 2012 and 2013, prior to site redevelopment. As shown in Figures 7 and 8, the building is equipped with a sub-slab drainage system that intercepts groundwater at the south and west boundaries of the new sub-grade parking levels and limits groundwater recharge to the entire Whittaker Property. This interception would lower water levels at the northeast corner of the Whittaker Property and SKS Site. The two-level parking garage includes a grid-work of sub-slab drains and vertical wall drains that outlet to a 300-foot-long, 6-foot internal-diameter stormwater retention pipe (Figure 7). As shown in Figure 9, the southwestern portion of the parking garage was constructed below the historical water table and the current building footing drain system is intercepting that groundwater flow path beneath the SKS Site and entire Whittaker Property. Therefore, building drain system is likely affecting groundwater recharge at the northeast corner of the property.

Prior to modifying the existing groundwater monitoring program and making any decisions related to future groundwater cleanup, we are currently conducting a long term transducer study to evaluate groundwater levels. Groundwater levels should have been at their highest (not lowest) levels during the recent wet season monitoring events (December 2016 and March 2017). The Revised 2017 Work Plan for the SKS Site outlines several monitoring elements that we are conducting in order to better understand groundwater fluctuations, flow direction, and groundwater conditions.

SoundEarth will conduct a monitoring event of the same wells at the SKS Site in Third Quarter 2017 (except for RW01 and RW02 at Ecology's request), the results of which will be included in a groundwater monitoring report.

#### CLOSING

SoundEarth appreciates this opportunity to provide LMI West Seattle Holdings, LLC, with environmental consulting services. Please call either of the undersigned at 206-306-1900 if you have any questions or comments regarding the content of this report.

Respectfully,

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.

Clan Tock

Clare Tochilin, LG Project Hydrogeologist

Veln

Rob Roberts Senior Scientist

Suzy Stumpf, PE Senior Engineer

Attachments:

Figure 1, Property Location Map
Figure 2, Groundwater Elevation Contour Map (June 13-14, 2017)
Figure 3, 2017 Q2 Groundwater Analytical Data
Figure 4, GRPH and Benzene Concentration Trends in Groundwater
Figure 5, SKS Shell Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Figure 6, Whittaker Property Historical Groundwater Elevations (November 7, 2012)
Figure 7, Cross Section and Sub-Slab Drainage Plan
Figure 8, Current Cross Section A-A'
Figure 9, Historical Cross Section A-A'
Table 1, Summary of Groundwater Data
Chart 1, GRPH and Benzene Concentrations – MW104
Chart 2, GRPH and Benzene Concentrations – GLMW01/MW109
Chart 3, GRPH and Benzene Concentrations – MW110/MW-2
Chart 4, GRPH and Benzene Concentrations – MW-3/MW108
A, Laboratory Analytical Reports
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #706255 and additional
B, Data Validation Report
Validata, LLC #706255
C, SKS Shell Site Historical Groundwater Contour Maps and Rose Diagram

CER/SES:slf

**FIGURES** 























TABLE



Table 1 Summary of Groundwater Data SKS Shell Property 3901 Southwest Alaska Street Seattle, Washington

					Analytical Results(µg/L)											
Well ID	Sample Date	Sampled By	Depth to Groundwater (feet below TOC)	Relative Groundwater Elevation <sup>(1)</sup>	GRPH <sup>(2)</sup>	Benzene <sup>(3)</sup>	Toluene <sup>(3)</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>(3)</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>(3)</sup>	MTBE <sup>(3)</sup>	EDC <sup>(3)</sup>	EDB <sup>(3)</sup>	DRPH <sup>(2)</sup>	DRPH with Silica Gel <sup>(4)</sup>	ORPH <sup>(2)</sup>	ORPH with Silica Gel <sup>(4)</sup>
	08/06/12	SoundEarth	24.39	245.15	<100	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1				
MW101	04/01/13	SoundEarth	24.67	244.87	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50		<250	
	06/14/17	SoundEarth	25.80	243.74	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50		<250	
MW102	11/07/12	SoundEarth	25.41	243.65	<100	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<50 <sup>(6)</sup>		<250 <sup>(6)</sup>	
11111102	06/13/17	SoundEarth	25.42	243.64	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50		<250	
MW103	11/07/12	SoundEarth	27.80	241.75	<100	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<50 <sup>(6)</sup>		<250 <sup>(6)</sup>	
	06/13/17	SoundEarth	28.56	240.99	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<60		<300	
	11/07/12	SoundEarth	24.41	244.94	6,100	2,100	10	120	418	<1	<1	<1	4,000		<250	
	03/06/13	SoundEarth	23.24	246.11	9,900	2,300	110	470	870				1,900 <sup>×</sup>		<250	
	04/01/13	SoundEarth	23.37	245.98	20,000	2,600	140	640	1,300					<b>540</b> <sup>×</sup>		<250
	06/12/14	SoundEarth	25.50	243.85	15,000	1,800	120	480	1,330			<0.01	14,000 <sup>×</sup>		250 <sup>×</sup>	
MW104	03/17/16	SoundEarth	26.41	242.94	480	1.2	1.8	2.2	5.7				1,200 <sup>×</sup>		<300	
10100 104	06/24/16	SoundEarth	25.16	244.19	940	2.5	2.0	3.0	9.5				3,200		<250	
	09/28/16	SoundEarth	25.55	243.80	940	7.2	<1	3.7	7.4				4,000 <sup>×</sup>		340 <sup>×</sup>	
	12/23/16	SoundEarth	27.28	242.07	2,000	2.1	2.1	17	27				16,000	180 <sup>×</sup>	380 <sup>×</sup>	<250
	03/17/17	SoundEarth	27.55	241.80	1,400	<1	<1	8.5	10				7,900	290 <sup>x</sup>	<400	<400
	06/15/17	SoundEarth	27.92	241.45	700	<1	<1	4.0	3.1				3,000	370 <sup>x</sup>	<250	<250
	12/13/12	SoundEarth	24.25	245.05	140	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50 <sup>(6)</sup>		<250 <sup>(6)</sup>	
MW105	03/06/13	SoundEarth	23.33	245.97	<100	<0.35	<1	<1	<3				61 <sup>×</sup>		<250	
	06/13/17	SoundEarth	27.36	241.94	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50		<250	
RW/02	07/16/14	SoundEarth			16,000	1.1	2.5	380	1,400				3,200 <sup>×</sup>		<250	
NW02	06/14/17	SoundEarth	27.22	241.38	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50		<250	
	03/17/16	SoundEarth	26.23		2,300	41	6.9	51	260				1,400 <sup>×</sup>		<250	
	06/24/16	SoundEarth	25.40		1,600	27	4.4	27	59				3,600		<250	
RW03	09/28/16	SoundEarth	25.71		1,100	6.7	<1	20	45				2,400 <sup>×</sup>		<300	
11005	12/23/16	SoundEarth	26.77		9,000	470	16	380	750				11,000	<b>720</b> <sup>×</sup>	<300	<300
	03/02/17	SoundEarth	27.22		4,900	150	<10	220	190				11,000 <sup>×</sup>	880 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<250
	06/14/17	SoundEarth	27.91	241.59	1,300	7.0	<1	32	11				1,500	320 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<250
RW04	07/16/14	SoundEarth			17,000	1,200	270	360	1,700				4,600 <sup>×</sup>		270 <sup>×</sup>	
	06/14/17	SoundEarth	27.62	241.60	790	2.5	<1	16	<3				400		<250	
RW05	06/14/17	SoundEarth	27.64	241.45	400	<1	<1	4.4	<3				470		<250	
RW07	07/16/14	SoundEarth			1,600	110	8.3	8.3	17				1,100 <sup>×</sup>		<250	
RW09	07/16/14	SoundEarth			2,600	10	18	70	34				<b>700</b> <sup>×</sup>		<250	
MTCA Method A Cleanu	p Levels for Ground	dwater <sup>(5)</sup>			<b>1,000/800</b> <sup>(6)</sup>	5	1,000	700	1,000	20	5	0.01	500	500	500	500



Table 1 Summary of Groundwater Data SKS Shell Property 3901 Southwest Alaska Street Seattle, Washington

						Analytical Results(µg/L)										
Well ID	Sample Date	Sampled By	Depth to Groundwater (feet below TOC)	Relative Groundwater Elevation <sup>(1)</sup>	GRPH <sup>(2)</sup>	Benzene <sup>(3)</sup>	Toluene <sup>(3)</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>(3)</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>(3)</sup>	MTBE <sup>(3)</sup>	EDC <sup>(3)</sup>	EDB <sup>(3)</sup>	DRPH <sup>(2)</sup>	DRPH with Silica Gel <sup>(4)</sup>	ORPH <sup>(2)</sup>	ORPH with Silica Gel <sup>(4)</sup>
	03/17/16	SoundEarth	5.52		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				93 <sup>×</sup>		<300	
	06/24/16	SoundEarth	3.33		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50		<250	
MW108	09/28/16	SoundEarth	3.85		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<60		<300	
14144100	12/23/16	SoundEarth	6.56		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				94 <sup>×</sup>	<70	<350	<350
	03/03/17	SoundEarth	6.64		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<80	<80	<400	<400
	06/14/17	SoundEarth	7.06	240.77	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				140 <sup>x</sup>		<250	
	03/17/16	SoundEarth	5.42		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				97 <sup>×</sup>		<250	
	06/24/16	SoundEarth	3.35		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				160 <sup>x</sup>		<250	
MW109	09/28/16	SoundEarth	3.96		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				260 <sup>x</sup>		<250	
	12/23/16	SoundEarth	6.59		250	<1	<1	<1	<3				430 <sup>x</sup>	<50	<250	<250
	03/03/17	SoundEarth	6.70		370	<1	<1	1.2	<3				490 <sup>x</sup>	55 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<250
	06/14/17	SoundEarth	6.87	241.05	220	<1	<1	<1	<3				330		<250	
	03/17/16	SoundEarth	5.70		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<50		<250	
	06/24/16	SoundEarth	3.56		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				100 <sup>x</sup>		<250	
MW110	09/28/16	SoundEarth	4.19		<100	<1	<1	<1	<3				<b>590</b> <sup>×</sup>		440 <sup>×</sup>	
1111110	12/23/16	SoundEarth	6.96		500	2.3	<1	9.7	18				1,200	68 <sup>×</sup>	<300	<300
	03/03/17	SoundEarth	7.57		570	2.1	<1	9.3	4.7				1,000 <sup>×</sup>	110 <sup>x</sup>	<250	<250
	06/14/17	SoundEarth	7.78	240.43	260	<1	<1	2.0	<3				520		<250	
MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for Groundwater <sup>(5)</sup> 1,000/800 <sup>(6)</sup> 5 1,000				700	1,000	20	5	0.01	500	500	500	500				

NOTES:

Red indicates concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater.

Samples analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle, Washington.

<sup>(1)</sup>Elevation reference datum North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (Dowl HKM November 2012).

<sup>(2)</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Gx (gasoline) and NWTPH-Dx (diesel and oil).

<sup>(3)</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 8260B, 8260C, or 8021B.

<sup>(4)</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Dx; sample extracts passed through a silica gel column prior to analysis.

<sup>(5)</sup>MTCA Cleanup Regulation, Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, revised November 2007.

 $^{(6)}$  1,000  $\mu\text{g/L}$  when benzene is not present and 800  $\mu\text{g/L}$  when benzene is present.

Laboratory Note:

 $^{\rm x}$  The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

-- = not analyzed, not measured

< = not detected above the laboratory reporting limit

µg/L = micrograms per liter

DRPH = diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons

EDB = 1,2 dibromoethane

EDC = 1,2 dichloroethane

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons

MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether

MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act

NWTPH = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon

ORPH = oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons

SoundEarth = SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.

TOC = top of casing elevation

**CHARTS** 



Chart 1 GRPH and Benzene Concentrations - MW104 SKS Shell Station Site 3901 Southwest Alaska Street Seattle, Washington





## Chart 2 GRPH and Benzene Concentrations - GLMW01/MW109 SKS Shell Station Site 3901 Southwest Alaska Street Seattle, Washington





## Chart 3 GRPH and Benzene Concentrations - MW110/MW-2 SKS Shell Station Site 3901 Southwest Alaska Street Seattle, Washington





## Chart 4 GRPH and Benzene Concentrations - MW-3/MW108 SKS Shell Station Site 3901 Southwest Alaska Street Seattle, Washington



# ATTACHMENT A LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #706255 and additional

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 22, 2017

Rob Roberts, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr Roberts:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 15, 2017 from the SOU\_0914-001\_ 20170615, F&BI 706255 project. There are 8 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.



Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Jonathan Loeffler, Liz Forbes SOU0622R.DOC

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on June 15, 2017 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies SOU\_0914-001\_ 20170615, F&BI 706255 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SoundEarth Strategies
706255 -01	MW103-20170613
706255 -02	MW105-20170613
706255 -03	MW102-20170613
706255 -04	MW108-20170614
706255 -05	MW104-20170614
706255 -06	RW05-20170614
706255 -07	MW101-20170614
706255 -08	MW109-20170614
706255 -09	MW110-20170614
706255 -10	RW04-20170614
706255 -11	RW03-20170614
706255 -12	RW02-20170614
706255 -13	MW104-20170615
706255 -14	MW99-20170615
706255 -15	Trip Blank

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/19/17 Date Analyzed: 06/19/17 and 06/20/17

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 52-124)
MW103-20170613 706255-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89
MW105-20170613 706255-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	87
MW102-20170613 706255-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
MW108-20170614 706255-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88
RW05-20170614 706255-06	<1	<1	4.4	<3	400	87
MW101-20170614 706255-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86
MW109-20170614 706255-08	<1	<1	<1	<3	220	86
MW110-20170614 706255-09	<1	<1	2.0	<3	260	87
RW04-20170614 706255-10	2.5	<1	16	<3	790	86
RW03-20170614 706255-11	7.0	<1	32	11	1,300	85

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/19/17 Date Analyzed: 06/19/17 and 06/20/17

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 52-124)
RW02-20170614 706255-12	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89
MW104-20170615 706255-13	<1	<1	4.0	3.1	700	85
MW99-20170615 706255-14	<1	<1	3.9	3.1	820	83
<b>Trip Blank</b> 706255-15	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	84
Method Blank 07-1261 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/16/17 Date Analyzed: 06/16/17

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
MW103-20170613 706255-01 1/1.2	<60	<300	91
MW105-20170613 706255-02	<50	<250	99
MW102-20170613 706255-03	<50	<250	94
MW108-20170614 <sup>706255-04</sup>	140 x	<250	93
RW05-20170614 706255-06	470	<250	91
MW101-20170614 706255-07	<50	<250	104
MW109-20170614 706255-08	330	<250	107
MW110-20170614 706255-09	520	<250	109
RW04-20170614 706255-10	400	<250	109
RW03-20170614 706255-11	1,500	<250	96
RW02-20170614 706255-12	<50	<250	104
MW104-20170615	3,000	<250	97

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/16/17 Date Analyzed: 06/16/17

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
MW99-20170615 706255-14	3,200	<250	105
Method Blank 07-1305 MB	<50	<250	80

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 706255-01 (Duplicate)								
Ŭ	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	RPD				
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)				
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm				
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm				
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm				
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm				
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm				

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	104	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	89	69-134

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_ 20170615, F&BI 706255

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	93	99	63-142	6

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$  - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.


























i sa sa Na sa





706255			S.	AMPLE	CHAIN	N OF C	USTO	DDY	N	E C	6-15	-17		1 J VWY
Send Report to <u>Rob Roberts</u> , c Forbes	SAMP	LERS (si,	gnature)	Zan	19		and the second sec			Page TUR	#of/ NAROUND TIME			
CompanySoundEarth Strategies, Inc					PROJECT NAME/NO. PO #					Rus	Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH Rush charges authorized by:			
Address 2811 Fairview Avenue E, Suite 2000   City, State, ZIP Seattle, Washington 98102				REMA	REMARKS SAMPLE DISPOS Dispose after 30 days						IPLE DISPOSAL after 30 days			
Phone #206-306-1900	Fax # <u>206</u>	-306-19(	)7									F V	teturn s Vill call	amples with instructions
									,	1	ANALYS	SES REQ	QUESTE	D
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	CVOCs by 8260C		5 7 0 7	Notes
MW103-20170613	MW103	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	01 A-1)	6/13/17	1043	WATER	4	×	$\times$	X				
MW105-20170613	MW105	(availation)	021	6/13/17	1214	WATER	4	X	$\times$	$\times$				
MW102-20170613	MWIOZ	ang panganang p	03	6/13/17	1322	WATER	Ч	$\times$	X	$\times$				
MW108-20170615	MW108	11 ghaqeya FMSV	04	6/14/17	1130	WATER	4	×	×	$\times$				
MW104 - 20170614	MWIDH	4.pillipout	05	6/14/17	1256	WATER	4			1			$\times$	
RW05-20170614	RW05	*.********	06	6/14/17	1403	WATER	4	$\times$	×	X				
MW101-20170614	MWIDI	Situation	679	6/14/17	1500	WATER	Ч	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$				
Non- water and the second					(	11					Sa	mple	s rece	ived at <u>2</u> •C
		**************************************	na sana ka vyesilen ny Manare na	in The same of the State of Half State of The State of St		BAR	<u> </u>	14/17	97. 1994-1986-1994-1996-1997-1997-1997-1997-1997-1997-1997	an water and the set of the second		and the second		
					<del>i</del> /	~ /								

À,

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by:	JONATHAN LOEFFLER	SOUNDEARTH	6/15/17	1640
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by	Jon Shiman	FBZT	$\left[ \right]$	ł
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:				
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:				
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC				LA	

706333	n6/15		S	AMPLE	E CHAII	N OF (	CUST	ODY		ME	06-15	5-17		<b>ب</b> وتتري.	VW <sup>L</sup>
Send Report to <u>Rob Roberts</u> , Forbes	SAMI	SAMPLERS (signature) / Jan fild						]	Page # of						
Company SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.   Address 2811 Fairview Avenue E, Suite 2000					PROJECT NAME/NO. PO # SKS SHELL / 0914-001						Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH Rush charges authorized by:				
City, State, ZIP <u>Seattle, Washington 98102</u> Phone # <u>206-306-1900</u> Fax # <u>206-306-1907</u>					ARKS		· · ·	****	<u>l</u>				SAI Dispose Return Will cal	MPLE DIS after 30 d samples	POSAL ays
		1					[			·····	ANALY	SES RE	QUESTI	ED	
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	CVOCs by 8260C			A	Votes
MW 109-20170614	MWIO9		08A-D	6/14/17	0941	HzO	4	X	$\times$	Х					
MW110 - 20170614	MWID	$\sim$	09	1	1016	Í	ſ	$\times$	X	$\times$					
AW04-20170614	RW04		10		(31)			$\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}$	$\times$	X					
RW03-20170614	RWO3	~	11		1413			$\times$	$\times$	$\times$					
RW02-20170614	RW02		12 \$		1512		<u></u>	$\times$	$\times$	X					
					CJT by	14/17		Victory of Landau Vancour			Samp	les r	eceive	dat Z	_•C
											~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
	l	Ì			1									Provide Strange	

This I am o D 7		······································			
rrieaman & Bruya, Inc.	SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by law Tork	Clore Tourism	Sound Earth	6/15/17	1640
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	Jon Shiman	FBÉT	1	T
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:	·		<b>I</b>	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:				
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC		······································	1		

706225 36/	15		$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{A}}$	AMPLE	CHAIN	I OF C	USTO	DDY		ME	60-	-15-17	7	a v	MU/BOS
Send Report to <u>Rob Roberts, cc: Jonathan Loeffler, Liz</u> Forbes					SAMPLERS (signature) la for						Page #of				
Company SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.					PROJECT NAME/NO. PO # SKS SHELL / 0914-001					Ru	Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH Rush charges authorized by:				
Address2811 Fairview Avenue E, Suite 2000   City, State, ZIPSeattle, Washington 98102			REMA	RKS				<b>I</b>				SAN Dispose Return :	MPLE DISPOSAL after 30 days samples	-	
Phone # 206-306-1900 I	Fax # <u>206</u>	-306-190	)7				····						Will call	with instructions	
									[	[	ANALY	SES RE	QUESTE 	GD	
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	NWTPH-D <sub>x</sub>	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	CVOCs by 8260C			Notes	
MW104-20170615	MUI64	and the second sec	134-0	6/15/17	1013	17-0	(j	$\prec$	$\prec$	×,					
MW99-20170615	MU99	***********	14	6/15/17	1043	1720	4	$\times$	$\times$	$\leq$					
Trip Black		······	15A-B	6/15/17	: In cape of 1 (1) (1)	Hzo	2		$\times$	$\times$					
	المروق مراد والعرف المراجع من مع مراجع ما مع مراجع مع مراجع مع	$\label{eq:state} \begin{split} & \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{state}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{state}}) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{state}}(\mathbf{r}_{stat$	- 		CŦ										
			,	The second	and the second sec	4	15/	2			S	ample	s reco	ived at 🔔 •C	
								and the set of the set	and a start way and a start way to a	the constant and					
											and the state of t	" WESTING WINGS			
													and the second se	an dealer the all an even a configuration	

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by Claw Tok 6	Clar Tochin	Sandbarth	6/15/17	1640
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	Jon Ghimazy	FBZT	4	J
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:				
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:				
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC					

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

June 27, 2017

Rob Roberts, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr Roberts:

Included are the additional results from the testing of material submitted on June 15, 2017 from the SOU\_ 0914-001\_ 20170615, F&BI 706255 project. There are 4 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.



Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Jonathan Loeffler SOU0627R.DOC

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on June 15, 2017 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies SOU\_0914-001\_ 20170615, F&BI 706255 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SoundEarth Strategies
706255 -01	MW103-20170613
706255 -02	MW105-20170613
706255 -03	MW102-20170613
706255 -04	MW108-20170614
706255 -05	MW104-20170614
706255 -06	RW05-20170614
706255 -07	MW101-20170614
706255 -08	MW109-20170614
706255 -09	MW110-20170614
706255 -10	RW04-20170614
706255 -11	RW03-20170614
706255 -12	RW02-20170614
706255 -13	MW104-20170615
706255 -14	MW99-20170615
706255 -15	Trip Blank

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/16/17 Date Analyzed: 06/23/17

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
RW03-20170614 706255-11	320 x	<250	105
MW104-20170615 706255-13	370 x	<250	96
MW99-20170615 706255-14	360 x	<250	94
Method Blank	<50	<250	80

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: L	aboratory Contro	ol Sample	e Silica Gel			
-	-	_	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	93	91	61-133	2

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$  - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

 ${\rm d}$  - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



























i sa sa Na sa














706255

Forbes

Company

Address

ME 06-15-17 SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY 605 1 Send Report to \_Rob Roberts, cc: Jonathan Loeffler, Liz SAMPLERS (signature) Page # TURNAROUND TIME PROJECT NAME/NO. PO# (Standard (2 Weeks)) SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. RUSH SKS SHELL / 0914-001 Rush charges authorized by: 2811 Fairview Avenue E. Suite 2000 REMARKS SAMPLE DISPOSAL City, State, ZIP \_\_\_\_ Seattle, Washington 98102 Dispose after 30 days **Return** samples Phone # 206-306-1900 Fax # 206-306-1907 Will call with instructions ANALYSES REQUESTED

Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Tíme Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	CVOCs by 8260C		HOLD	Notes
MW103-20170613	MW103		DIA-1)	6/13/17	1043	WATER	4	X	×	X				
MW105-20170613	MW105		021	6/13/17	1214	WATER	4	×	×	$\times$				
MW102-20170613	MWIOZ	material and a	03	6/13/17	1322	WATER	Ц	×	X	X				
MW108-20170615	MWI08	- جنبوبات	04	6/14/17	1130	WATER	4	×	×	$\times$				
MW104 - 20170614	MWIDH		05	6/14/17	1256	WATER	ч		<u>.</u>				$\times$	
RW05-20170614	RW05	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	96	6/14/17	1403	WATER	4	$\times$	×	X				
MW101-20170614	MWIOI	1-1-1-2-2-1-2-2-	12 40	6/14/17	1500	WATER	Ч	×	$\times$	×				,
					(	11					Se	mple	s rece	ived at <u>2</u> •C
1	**************************************		1.7ml 7.2ml 1.1ml		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	TH	- 6/	14/17	-					
					0	1								

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by:	JUNATHAN LOEFFLER	SOUNDEARTH	6/15/17	1640
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by	Jon Shiman	FBZT	F'	ł
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:				
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:				
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC					



706225	N6/15		$\mathbf{S}$	AMPLI	E CHAI	NOF	CUST	ODY		ME	06-1	5-17	VW C
Send Report to <u>Rob Roberts</u> Forbes	<u>s. cc: Jonathan</u>	Loeffler.	Liz	SAMI	PLERS (si	ignature	la	in I	ŵ	7			Page # of
Company SoundEar	th Strategies.	Inc.		_ PROJ	ECT NAM	ME/NO.				PO	#		indard (2 Weeks)
Address2811 Fairvi	iew Avenue E.	Suite 20	00		SKS SHELL / 0914-001				Rush	charges authorized by:			
City, State, ZIP <u>Seattle, W</u>	ashington 981	.02		- REM	ARKS				i	<u> </u>	**************************************	Dis	SAMPLE DISPOSAL
Phone # <u>206-306-1900</u>	Fax #20	6-306-19	07	. [			-					Wil	l call with instructions
							1			 T	ANALY	SES REQU	ESTED
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	CVOCs by 8260C	Dxu/SC	Notes
MW 109-20170614	MWIG9		•84-i)	6/14/17	0941	HzO	4	X	X	X			
MW110 - 20170614	MWID.		09	1	1016	1	1	X	X	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	<b>†</b>		6/22/17
AW04-20170614	RW04		10		(3))			$\overline{\langle}$	$\triangleleft$	X			M
RW03-20170614	RWO3		11		1413			X	X	×		$\odot$	
KW02-20170614	RW02		12 \$		1512			$\times$	X	X			
			· [										**************************************
					TT &	14/17					Sam	oles rece	ived at <u>2</u> °C

Friedman & Bruva. Inc.	STONATURE	TITITA TATA A CAR			
	Polinguished had a	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West	Reinquisned by an Text	Clore Tourism	SandKarp	6/15/17	1640
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	Jon Shiman	FBET		T
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:				
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:				
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC					1

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.       Company     SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.       Address     2811 Fairview Avenue E. Suite 2000       City, State, ZIP_South Washington 98102       Phone #_206-306-1900     Far #_206-306-1907       Sample ID     Sample Lab       Date     Sample Lab       Dotation     Sample Lab       Sample ID     Sample Lab       Mul(0)4 - 26170 G15     MUl(4)       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     -       -     <	706225 36 Sond Report to Pak Paket	/15	~ ~~	S	SAMPL	E CHAI	N OF	CUST	ODY		ME	. 05	-15-1	17	VW	Wane
CompanySoundEarth Strategies_IncAddress2811 Fairview Avenue E. Suite 2000       PROJECT NAME/NO.       PO #       PO #       PROJECT NAME/NO.       PO #         Skr SHELL / 0914-001       Skr SHELL / 0914-001       REMARKS       Sample 206-306-1900       Fax #206-306-1907       Sample Book and a down and any set of the set	Forbes	cc: Jonathan	Loeffler	<u>Liz</u>	SAM	PLERS (s	ignature	2) /	1	A.	~			Pa	ge#of	, 00, -
Address       2811 Fairview Avenue E. Suite 2000       SKS SHELL / 0914-001       Rush Charges authorized by:         City, State, ZIPSeattle_Washington 98102       REMARKS       SMPLE DISPOSAL         Phone #_206-306-1900       Fax #_206-306-1907       Remarks       SMPLE DISPOSAL         Sample ID       Sample Location       Sample Lab       Date       Time       Matrix       # of       String to provide the provid	Company SoundEart	h Strategies.	Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAI	ME/NO,		<u>er</u>	7-	PO	)#	$\dashv k$		RNARQUND TIME	1
REMARKS         SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Ratin samples Will call with instructions         SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Ratin samples         SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Ratin samples         Sample ID         IA         IA         IA         IA      <	Address2811 Fairvie	w Avenue E.	Suite 20	00		SKS S	HELL /	0914-00	91				R	RUSH ush cha	irges authorized by:	
Phone #206-306-1900Fax #206-306-1907       Dispose after 30 days Betwin samples Will call with instructions         Sample ID       Sample Location       Sample Depth       Lab       Date 1D       Time Sampled       Matrix       # of Jars       Single Singled       Notes $MUviO4 - 26i770 Gi5'$ MU164      134-0       G/15/17       IM3       If no       G/2       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X       X	City, State, ZIP <u>Seattle, Wa</u>	shington 981	02		REM	ARKS				L			-   =	SA	MPLE DISPOSAL	-
Sample IDSample LocationSample DepthLab IDDate SampledTime SampledMatrix For Jare# of Jare $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ 	Phone #206-306-1900	_Fax # <u>206</u>	3-306-19	07	_		·····							Dispos Return Will ca	e after 30 days samples Il with instructions	
Sample IDSample LocationSample DepthLab IDDate SampledTime SampledMatrix $\# of$ $\# of$ $Jars\# ofH H\# ofH$			[	Ī	1	<u> </u>	1	T	<u> </u>		·	ANALY	YSES RE	QUEST	ED	1
$\frac{11}{1004-20170615}  \underline{MU104} = 1340 \frac{6}{15117} \frac{1013}{1013}  \underline{1110}  \underline{4 \times \times \times \otimes}{1100}  \underline{3110}  \underline{410}  \underline{4 \times \times \times \otimes}{1100}  \underline{3110}  \underline{1100}  \underline{410}  410$	Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	CVOCs by 8260C	Drws G		Notes	
$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$	MW104-20170615	MUINY	. محمد البيس	134-0	6/15/17	1013	140	4	$\overline{\times}$	7	オ		$\overline{a}$			
$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$	MW99-2017015	MU99	<b>Marriques</b>	14	6/15/17	1043	120	1	Ż	$\nabla$	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$		0			
CT GISIN Samples received at 2 oC	The Black	·	~	15A-B	6/15/17		Had	2	·····	$\langle \rangle$	$\Rightarrow$		1×			
CT CTS/p Samples received at 2 oC										$ \ge $						
GT GISIN Samples received at 2 oC		The way was a way to be a design and the second sec	·····										-			
Samplés received at oC						= G	- 6	151			·····					
									<u>&gt;</u>			D	ample	s rece	ved at <u>~ oC</u>	
												lander to a los				
													1997 9 4 4 1 1	-		
								·						[	and and a start of the start of	

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	STONATUDE				
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
A voir inversive Presi	lan 102 6	Clare Tochim	SAUGECIAN	(11-17)	16.115
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:		CONTRACTION IN		1090
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:	O SA TRIIMAZY	FBEI	4	4
For (906) 202 5011	Received by:				
1°00 (200) 200-0044					
FORMS NOUGY OUG BOC				1	1

# ATTACHMENT B DATA VALIDATION REPORT

Validata, LLC #706255

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT

# SKS SHELL Second Quarter 2017

#### Prepared for:

Sound Earth Strategies, Inc. 2811 Fairview Ave East, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98102

### Prepared by:

Validata, LLC 3346 NE 178<sup>th</sup> St. Lake Forest Park, Washington 98155

# **PROJECT NARRATIVE**

#### Data Validation

This report summarizes the results of the summary level validation (Stage 2A) performed on water samples for the SKS Shell sampling project. A complete list of samples is provided in the Sample Index. Samples were analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. laboratory, Seattle, Washington. The analytical methods are listed below:

#### Sample Index

ANALYSIS	METHOD	Reviewer
BTEX, TPH as Gasoline Range	SW8021B/NWTPH-Gx	C. Jensen
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons – Diesel Range, Motor Oil	NWTPH-Dx	C. Jensen

The data were reviewed using guidance and quality control criteria documented in the analytical methods; *USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review* (EPA, 1999 & 2008).

The goal of data validation is to assign data assessment qualifiers for assistance in data interpretation. Results assigned as estimated (J or UJ), data may be used for site evaluation and risk assessment purposes but reasons for data qualification should be taken into consideration when interpreting sample concentrations. For results assigned an R, the data are rejected and should not be used for site evaluation purposes. Unqualified data implies the data meet the data quality objectives as stated in the documents and methods referenced above. A summary of the data qualifiers used in validation are included in Appendix A. The summary of Qualified Data are provided in Appendix B. All validation worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

SDG	Sample ID	Lab Sample	BTEX	NWTPH-	NWTPH-
		ID		Gx	Dx
706255	MW103- 20170613	706255-01	X	X	X
706255	MW105- 20170613	706255-02	X	X	X
706255	MW102- 20170613	706255-03	Х	X	X
706255	MW108- 20170614	706255-04	X	X	X
706255	RW05- 20170614	706255-06	X	X	X
706255	MW101- 20170614	706255-07	X	X	X
706255	MW109- 20170614	706255-08	X	X	X
706255	MW110- 20170614	706255-09	X	X	X
706255	RW04- 20170614	706255-10	X	X	X

#### SAMPLE INDEX

706255	RW03- 20170614	706255-11	X	X	X
706255	RW02- 20170614	706255-12	X	X	X
706255	MW104- 20170615	706255-13	X	X	X
706255	MW99- 20170615	706255-14	X	X	X
706255	Trip Blank	706255-15	X	X	X

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT

# Volatile Organic Compounds - Method SW8081B – Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes

This report documents the review of analytical data from the analyses of water samples and the associated laboratory and field quality control (QC) samples. Friedman & Bruya, Inc. laboratory, Seattle, Washington. Refer to the Sample Index for a complete list of samples.

SDG	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	VALIDATION LEVEL
706255	15	STAGE 2A

#### DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS

The laboratory submitted all required deliverables for a Stage 2A review. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all anomalies were discussed in the case narrative.

#### EDD TO HARDCOPY VERIFICATION

Sample IDs and results reported in the data summary spreadsheet were verified (10% verification) by comparing the spreadsheet the laboratory data package. Ten percent (10%) of the laboratory QC results were also verified.

#### TECHNICAL DATA VALIDATION

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times	Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates
Laboratory Blanks	Field Duplicates
Field Blanks	Target Analyte List
Surrogate Compounds	Reporting Limits
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	Reported Results

#### Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times

The validation guidance documents state that the cooler temperatures should be within an advisory temperature range of 0° to 6°C. For volatiles analysis, no action is taken if the cooler temperature is<10°C. If the cooler temperature is>10°C, associated sample results are estimated (J/UJ-1). With the exceptions noted below, the laboratory received the sample coolers within the advisory temperature range. SDG 706255: The cooler temperatures were within the recommended temperature range.

#### **Method and Field Blanks**

The method blanks were all reported as undetected for target compounds. A trip blank was submitted with this sampling event and all compounds were reported as undetected.

#### **Surrogate Compounds**

Surrogates were added to all samples. All surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory control limits.

#### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) samples were not specifically analyzed for this dataset. The laboratory demonstrated precision and accuracy through the analysis of laboratory control samples (LCS) with acceptable results.

#### **Field Duplicates**

For water samples, the RPD control limit is 20% for results greater than 5x the reporting limit (RL). For results less than 5x the RL, the absolute difference between the sample and replicate must be less than 1x the RL.

*SDG 706255:* Sample pair MW104-20170615/MW99-20170615 were identified as a field duplicate pair. Field precision was acceptable and are summarized below:

Sample ID	lab ID	analyte	706255-13	706255-14	RPD
MW104-20170615	706255-13	benzene	0	0	0.0%
MW99-20170615	706255-14	toluene	0	0	0.0%
2/11		ethyl benzene	4	3.1	0.0%
		xylenes	3.9	3.1	22.9%
		GRO	700	820	15.8%

#### **Target Analyte List**

A sampling plan was not available for review.

#### **Reporting Limits**

The laboratory reporting limits were sufficiently above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels provided in appendix B.

#### **Reported Results**

Reported results were considered acceptable.

#### **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

As determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical method. With the exceptions noted above, accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the surrogate, LCS recovery values. With the exceptions noted above, precision

was also acceptable as demonstrated by the LCS and field duplicate RPD values. All data are acceptable for use.

# **DATA VALIDATION REPORT TPH as Gasoline Range Organics - Method NWTPH-Gx**

This report documents the review of analytical data from the analyses of water samples and the associated laboratory and field quality control (QC) samples. Friedman & Bruya, Inc. laboratory, Seattle, Washington. Refer to the Sample Index for a complete list of samples.

SDG	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	VALIDATION LEVEL
706255	15	STAGE 2A

#### **DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS**

With the exception noted below, the laboratory submitted all required deliverables. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all anomalies were discussed in the case narrative.

#### **EDD TO HARDCOPY VERIFICATION**

Sample IDs and results reported in the data summary spreadsheet were verified (10% verification) by comparing the spreadsheet the laboratory data package. Ten percent (10%) of the laboratory QC results were also verified. **TECHNICAL DATA VALIDATION** 

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding	Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates
Times	(MS/MSD)
Laboratory Blanks	Field Duplicates
Field Blanks	Target Analyte List
Surrogate Compounds	Reporting Limits
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	Reported Results

#### Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times

As stated in the validation guidance documents, sample shipping coolers should arrive at the laboratory within the advisory temperature range of 0°C-6°C and samples must be analyzed within 14 days. For volatiles analysis, no action is taken if the cooler temperature is <10°C. If the cooler temperature is >10°C, associated sample results are estimated (J/UJ-1). The following exceptions were noted during validation:

SDG 706255: The cooler temperatures were within the recommended temperature range.

#### Method and Field Blanks

The method blanks were all reported as undetected for target compounds. A trip blank was collected for this sampling event. The trip blank did not contain gasoline range compounds.

#### **Surrogate Compounds**

Surrogates were added to all samples. All surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory control limits.

### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) samples were not specifically analyzed for this dataset. The laboratory demonstrated precision and accuracy through the analysis of laboratory control samples (LCS) with acceptable results.

#### **Field Duplicates**

For water samples, the RPD control limit is 20% for results greater than 5x the reporting limit (RL). For results less than 5x the RL, the absolute difference between the sample and replicate must be less than 1x the RL.

*SDG 706255:* Sample pair MW104-20170615/MW99-20170615 were identified as a field duplicate pair. Field precision was acceptable.

Sample ID	lab ID	analyte	706255-13	706255-14	RPD
MW104-20170615	706255-13	GRO	700	820	15.8%
MW99-20170615	706255-14	tere a trace			

#### **Target Analyte List**

A sampling plan was not available for review.

#### **Reporting Limits**

The laboratory reporting limits were sufficiently above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels provided in appendix B.

#### **Reported Results**

Results reported were deemed acceptable.

#### **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

As determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical method. With the exceptions noted above, accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the surrogate and LCS recovery values. Precision was also acceptable as demonstrated by the LCS and laboratory and field duplicate RPD values. All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT Diesel Range, Motor Oil - Method NWTPH-Dx

This report documents the review of analytical data from the analyses of water samples and the associated laboratory and field quality control (QC) samples. Friedman & Bruya, Inc. laboratory, Seattle, Washington. Refer to the Sample Index for a complete list of samples.

SDG	NUMBER OF SAMPLES	VALIDATION LEVEL
706255	13	STAGE 2A

#### DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS

With the exception noted below, the laboratory submitted all required deliverables. The laboratory followed adequate corrective action processes and all anomalies were discussed in the case narrative if applicable.

SDG 706255: No problems were noted.

*SDG* 706255 additional report: The laboratory analyzed samples RW03-20170614, MW99-20170615 and MW104-20170615 following the standard methodology and additionally by passing the samples through a silica gel column prior to analysis. Both sets of results are included in this validation report.

#### EDD TO HARDCOPY VERIFICATION

Sample IDs and results reported in the data summary spreadsheet were verified (10% verification) by comparing the spreadsheet the laboratory data package. Ten percent (10%) of the laboratory QC results were also verified.

#### **TECHNICAL DATA VALIDATION**

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Sample Receipt, Preservation, and Holding Times	Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates (MS/MSD)
Laboratory Blanks	Field Duplicates
Field Blanks	Target Analyte List
Surrogate Compounds	Reporting Limits
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	Reported Results

#### **Sample Preservation and Holding Times**

As stated in validation guidance documents, sample shipping coolers should arrive at the laboratory within the advisory temperature range of  $0^{\circ}$ C -  $6^{\circ}$ C and be extracted within 7 days for aqueous samples and 14 days for soil samples. Sample extracts must be analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

SDGs 706255: No problems were noted.

#### **Method and Field Blanks**

The method blanks were all reported as undetected for target compounds. Field blanks were not submitted with this sampling event.

#### **Surrogate Compounds**

Surrogates were added to all samples. All surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory control limits.

#### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) samples were not specifically analyzed for this dataset. The laboratory demonstrated precision and accuracy through the analysis of laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicates (LCSD) with acceptable results.

#### **Field Duplicates**

For water samples, the RPD control limit is 20% for results greater than 5x the reporting limit (RL). For results less than 5x the RL, the absolute difference between the sample and replicate must be less than 1x the RL.

*SDG 706255:* Sample pair MW104-20170615/MW99-20170615 were identified as a field duplicate pair. Field precision was acceptable.

Sample ID	lab ID	analyte	706255-13	706255-14	RPD
MW104-20170615	706255-13	Diesel Range	3000	3200	6.5%
MW99-20170615	706255-14	Motor Oil	0	0	0

#### **Target Analyte List**

A sampling plan was not available for review.

#### **Reporting Limits**

The laboratory reporting limits were sufficiently above the MTCA Method A cleanup levels provided in appendix B.

#### **Reported Results**

*SDG 706255:* Samples MW108-20170615 was qualified as estimated (J+) and reason code 2 since the laboratory reported the diesel range results as "x" indicating the chromatographic pattern does not match the standard.

*SDG 706255 additional report:* Samples RW03-20170615, MW104-02170615 and MW99-02170615 were qualified as estimated (J+) and reason code 2 for the sample extracts that were passed through a silica gel column since the laboratory reported the diesel range results as "x" indicating the chromatographic pattern does not match the standard.

#### **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

As determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified analytical method. Accuracy was acceptable, as demonstrated by the surrogate and LCS/LCSD recovery values. Precision was also acceptable as demonstrated by the LCS/LCSD and laboratory and field duplicate relative percent difference values. The data were qualified due to sample versus fuel reference material not matching, as indicated by the laboratory. All data, as reported, are acceptable for use.

APPENDIX A DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS REASON CODES AND CRITERIA TABLES

# DATA VALIDATION QUALIFIER CODES Based on National Functional Guidelines

The following definitions provide brief explanations of the qualifiers assigned to results in the data review process.

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

J - The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

NJ - The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents the approximate concentration.

UJ - The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.

R - The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

# **DATA QUALIFIER REASON CODES**

Group	Code	Reason for Oualification
Sample Handling	1	Improper Sample Handling or Sample Preservation (i.e., headspace, cooler)
Instrument Performance	24	Instrument Performance (i.e., tune, resolution, retention time window, endrin
		breakdown, lock-mass)
Instrument Performance	5A	Initial Calibration (RF, %RSD, r2)
Instrument Performance	5B	Calibration Verification (CCV, CCAL; RF, %D, %R)
		Use bias flags (H,L)1 where appropriate
Instrument Performance	5C	Initial Calibration Verification (ICV %D, %R)
		Use bias flags (H,L)1 where appropriate
Blank Contamination	6	Field Blank Contamination (Equipment Rinsate, Trip Blank, etc.)
Blank Contamination	7	Lab Blank Contamination (i.e., method blank, instrument blank, etc.)
		Use low bias flag (L)1 for negative instrument blanks
Precision and Accuracy	8	Matrix Spike (MS and/or MSD) Recoveries
		Use bias flags (H,L)1 where appropriate
Precision and Accuracy	9	Precision (all replicates: LCS/LCSD, MS/MSD, Lab Replicate, Field Replicate)
Precision and Accuracy	10	Laboratory Control Sample Recoveries (a.k.a. Blank Spikes)
		Use bias flags (H,L)1 where appropriate
Precision and Accuracy	12	Reference Material
D		Use bias flags (H,L)1 where appropriate
Precision and Accuracy	13	Surrogate Spike Recoveries (a.k.a. labeled compounds, recovery standards)
		Use bias flags (H,L)1 where appropriate
Interferences	16	ICP/ICP-MS Serial Dilution Percent Difference
Interferences	17	ICP/ICP-MS Interference Check Standard Recovery
		Use bias flags (H,L)1 where appropriate
Interferences	19	Internal Standard Performance (i.e., area, retention time, recovery)
Interferences	22	Elevated Detection Limit due to Interference (i.e., chemical and/or matrix)
Interferences	23	Bias from Matrix Interference (i.e. diphenyl ether, PCB/pesticides)
Identification and Quantitation	2	Chromatographic pattern in sample does not match pattern of calibration standard
Identification and Quantitation	3	2nd column confirmation (RPD or %D)
Identification and Quantitation	4	Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC) (associated with NL only)
Identification and Quantitation	20	Calibration Range or Linear Range Exceeded
Identification and Quantitation	25	Compound Identification (i.e., ion ratio, retention time, relative abundance, etc.)
Miscellaneous	11	A more appropriate result is reported (multiple reported analyses i.e. dilutions
		reextractions.
		etc. Associated with "R" and "DNR" only)
Miscellaneous	14	Other (See DV report for details)
Miscellaneous	26	Method QC information not provided

## DATA VALIDATION CRITERIA

Volatile Organic Compounds by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy (GC-MS) (Based on NFG 1999 & 2008 and SW-846 Method 8260, analyzed by SW8021B)

QC Element	Acceptance Criteria	Source of Criteri	Action for Non-Conformance	Reason Code	Discussion and Comments
		Blanks			
Method Blank (MB)	MB: One per matrix per batch (of $\leq 20$ sample) No TICs present	NFG (2) Method (3)	U (pos) if result is < 5X or 10X action level R (pos) TICs using 10X rule	7	<ul> <li>10X action level for methylene chloride, acetone,</li> <li>&amp; 2-butanone.</li> <li>5X for all other target analytes</li> <li>Hierarchy of blank review:</li> </ul>
Trip Blank (TB)	No detected compounds > MDL	NFG (2) Method (3)	U (pos) if result is < 5X or 10X action level	6	<ul> <li>#1 - Review MB, qualify as needed</li> <li>#2 - Review TB, qualify as needed</li> <li>#3 - Review FB, qualify as needed</li> <li>Note: Actions as per NFG 1999</li> </ul>
Field Blank (FB)	No detected compounds > MDL	NFG (2) Method (3)	U (pos) if result is < 5X or 10X action level	6	
1 00 7 000		Precision	and Accuracy	1	
(recovery)	One per matrix per batch (of $\leq 20$ samples)	Method (3))	J (pos) if %R > UCL J (pos)/UJ (ND) if %R < LCL J (pos)/R (ND)%R < 10%	10 (H,L)4	No action if only one spike %R is outside criteria when LCSD is analyzed, unless one recovery is <10%. QAPP may have overriding accuracy limits.
LCS/LCSD RPD	If LCSD analyzed RPD < lab limits	Method (3)	J (pos)	9	Qualify all associated samples.
Reference Material (RM, SRM, or CRM)	Result ±20% of the 95% confidence interval of the true value for analytes	Standar d review	J (pos)/UJ (ND) if < LCL J (pos) if > UCL	12 (H,L)4	QAPP may have overriding accuracy limits. Some manufacturers may have different RM control limits
Surrogates	Added to all samples Within ethod/laboratory control limits	NFG (1) Method (3)	J (pos) if %R >UCL J (pos)/UJ (ND) if %R <lcl J (pos)/R (ND) if &lt;10%</lcl 	13 (H,L)4	No action if there are 4+ surrogates and only 1 outlier. Qualify all compounds if qualification is required.
Internal Standards	Added to all samples Acceptable Range: IS area 50% to 200% of CCAL area RT within 30 seconds of CC RT	NFG (1) Method (3)	J (pos) if > 200% J (pos)/UJ (ND) if < 50% J (pos)/R (ND) if < 25% if RT >30 seconds use PJ	19	Qualify compounds quantified using particular internal standard
MS/MSD (recovery)	One per matrix per batch (of $\leq 20$ samples) Use method acceptance criteria/laboratory limits	NFG (1) Method (3)	J (pos) %R > UCL J (pos)/UJ (ND) if both %R < LCL J (pos)/R (ND) if both %R < 10% J (pos)/UJ (ND) if one > UCL & one < LCL with no bias	8 (H,L)4	No action if only one spike %R is outside criteria. No action if parent concentration is >4x the amount spiked. Qualify parent sample only.
MS/MSD (RPD)	One per matrix per batch (of $\leq 20$ samples) Use method acceptance criteria/laboratory limits	NFG (1) Method (3)	J (pos) If RPD > control limit	9	Qualify parent sample only
Field Duplicates	Solids: RPD < 50% OR difference < 2X RL (for results < 5X RL) Aqueous: RPD < 35% OR difference < 1X RL (for results < 5X	Standar d review	J (pos)/UJ (ND) Qualify only parent and field duplicate samples	9	Use project limits if specified

	Compound Identification and Quantitation								
Retention Time Relative Ion Intensities	RRT within 0.06 of standard RRT Ion relative intensity within 20% of standard All ions in std. at > 10% intensity must be present in sample	NFG (1) Method (3)	U (pos) if identification criteria not met	25					
TICs	Major ions (>10%) in reference must be present in sample; intensities agree within 20%; check identification	NFG (1) Method (3)	NJ TIC R (pos) if common laboratory contaminants	4	Common laboratory contaminants: aldol condensation products, solvent preservatives, and reagent contaminants				
Calibration Range	Results greater than highest calibration standard	Standar d review	Qualify J (pos)	20	If result from dilution analysis is not reported.				
Dilutions, Reextraction s and/or Reanalyses	Report only one result per analyte	Standar d review	Report best result	11	Best value reported				

National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, June, 2008 (pos): Positive Result
 National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, Oct, 1999 (ND): Non-detect
 Method SW846 8260C Volatile Organic Compounds by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)
 NFG 2013 suggests using "+ / -" to indicate bias; validation uses "H" = high bias indicated; "L" = low bias indicated.

# DATA VALIDATION CRITERIA Validation Guidelines for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Gasoline Range (Based on EPA National Functional Guidelines as applied to criteria in NWTPH-Gx, June 1997, Wa DOE & Oregon DEQ)

QC Element	Acceptance Criteria	Action for Non-Conformance	Reason	Discussion and
Sample Handli	ng		Code	Comments
Cooler	4°C±2°C	J(+)/UJ(-) if greater than 6°C	1	Т
Temperature & Preservation	Water: HCl to pH < 2		1	
Holding Time	Waters: 14 days preserved	J(+)/UJ(-) if hold times exceeded	1	Professional
	7 days unpreserved	J(+)/R(-) if exceeded > 3X		Judgement
Instrument Der	Solids: 14 Days			
Initial	5 calibration points (All within	Nometa if former them 5 with the 1 start	T 2	
Calibration	15% of true value) Linear	Namate II lewer than 5 calibration levels or if $\%$ R $>15\%$	5A	
	Regression: $r2 > 0.990$ If used, RSD	J(+)/UI(-) if r2 <0.990		
	of response factors ≤20%	J(+)/UJ(-) if %RSD > 20%		
		5A Mid-range Calibration Check Std. Analyzed		
		before and after each analysis shift & every 20		
		samples. Recovery range 80% to 120%		
Mid-range	Analyzed before and after each	Narrate if frequency not met	50	
Calibration	analysis shift & every 20 samples.	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < 80%	38	
Check Std.	Recovery range 80% to 120%	J(+) if %R >120%		
Blank Contami	nation			
Method Blank	At least one per batch ( $\leq 10$	U (at the RL) if sample result is	7	
	samples)	< RL & $<$ 5X blank result. U (at reported sample	1	
		value) if sample result is $\geq$ RL and $\leq$ 5X blank		
Trip Blank (if	No results >RL	Action is same as method blank for positive results	10	
required by		remaining in trip blank after method blank	10	
project)		qualifiers are assigned.		
Field Blanks	No results >RL	remaining in field blank after method and trip blank	6	
(if required by		qualifiers are assigned.		
Precision and A	CORPON		[	
MS samples	%R within lab control limits	Qualify parent only unloss other OC is lister	0	
(accuracy)		systematic problems	8	Use Professional
(if required by		J(+) if both %R > upper control limit (UCL)		one %R outlier
project)		$J(+)/UJ(-)$ if both $\Re <$ lower control limit (LCL)		
Dragicion	At least and a first of the	No action if parent conc. >5X the amount spiked.		
MS/MSD or	At least one set per datch ( $\leq 10$ samples) RPD $\leq$ lab control limit	J(+) if RPD > lab control limits	9	
LCS/LCSD or				
sample/dup				
LCS (not	%R within lab control limits	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL	10	Professional
required by		J(+) if %R > UCL		Judgement
Surrogates	1 4 diffuorohongono addad (a -11	J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10%		
Surrogates	samples (inc. OC samples)	J(+)/UJ(-) If %R < LCL I(+) if %R > UCI	13	Professional
	%R = 50-150%	J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10%		Judgement
		No action if 2 or more surrogates are used, and only		
D		one is outside control limits.		
Pattern	Compare sample chromatogram to	J(+)	2	
raemineation	tange			
	and pattern are reasonable match.			
	Laboratory may flag results which			
	have poor match.			
Field Duplicates	Use project control limits, if stated	Narrate outliers If required by project, qualify with	9	·····
Duplicates	m QAPP default: water: RPD < 35%	J(+)/∪J(-)		
	solids: RPD < 50%		ŀ	
Compound ID a	nd Calculation			
Two analyses	Report only one result per	best value chosen	11	
tor one sample	analyte			
(e.g., dilution)	······			

#### DATA VALIDATION CRITERIA Validation Guidelines for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons-Diesel & Residual Range (Based on EPA National Functional Guidelines as applied to criteria in NWTPH-Dx, June 1997, Wa DOE & Oregon DEQ)

QC Element	Acceptance Criteria	Action for Non-Conformance	Reason	Discussion and
Sample Hand	ling		Code	Comments
Cooler	4°C±2°C	I(+)/III(-) if greater than 6 day C	1.	
Temperature & Preservation	Water: HCl to pH < 2	(1) (1) (1) If greater than 0 deg. C	1	
Holding Time	Ext. Waters: 14 days preserved 7 days unpreserved Ext. Solids: 14 Days Analysis: 40 days from extraction	J(+)/UJ(-) if hold times exceeded J(+)/R(-) if exceeded > 3X	1	Professional Judgement
Instrument Pe	riormance			
Calibration	5 calibration points (All within 15% of true value) Linear Regression: r2≥0.990 If used, RSD of response factors ≤20%	Narrate if fewer than 5 calibration levels or if %R >15% J(+)/UJ(-) if r2 <0.990 J(+)/UJ(-) if %RSD > 20%	5A	
Mid-range Calibration Check Std.	Analyzed before and after each analysis shift & every 20 samples. Recovery range 85% to 115%	Narrate if frequency not met. J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < 85% J(+) if %R >115%	5B	
Method Blank	At loost one ner betch (201)			
	Method Blank No results >R	U (at the RL) if sample result is < RL  & < 5 X blank result. 7 U (at reported sample value) if sample result is $\geq$ RL and $< 5 \text{X}$ blank result	7	
Field Blanks (if required by project)	No results > RL	Action is same as method blank for positive results remaining in the field blank after method blank qualifiers are assigned.	6	
Precision and	Accuracy		-	
MS samples (accuracy) (if required by project)	%R within lab control limits	Qualify parent only, unless other QC indicates systematic problems. J(+) if both %R > upper control limit (UCL) J(+)/UJ(-) if both %R < lower control limit (LCL) No action if parent conc. >5X the amount spiked	8	Use Professional Judgement if only one %R outlier
Precision: MS/MSD or LCS/LCSD or sample/dup	At least one set per batch ( $\leq 10$ samples) RPD $\leq$ lab control limit	J(+) if RPD > lab control limits	9	
LCS (not required by method)	%R within lab control limits	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL J(+) if %R > UCL J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10%	10	Professional Judgement
Surrogates	2-fluorobiphenyl, p-terphenyl, o- terphenyl, and/or pentacosane added to all samples (inc. QC samples). %R = 50-150%	J(+)/UJ(-) if %R < LCL J(+) if %R > UCL J(+)/R(-) if any %R <10% No action if 2 or more surrogates are used, and only one is outside control limits	13	Professional Judgement
Pattern Identification	Compare sample chromatogram to standard chromatogram to ensure range and pattern are reasonable match. Laboratory may flag results which have poor match.	J(+)	2	
Field Duplicates	Use project control limits, if stated in QAPP default: water: RPD < 35% solids: RPD < 50%	Narrate (Use Professional Judgement to qualify)	9	
Compound ID :	and Calculation		l_	·····
Two analyses for one sample (dilution)	Report only one result per analyte	all results that should not be reported.	11	· · · · ·

# APPENDIX B QUALIFIED DATA SUMMARY TABLE

l ID	Sample Date	GRPH <sup>(2)</sup>	Benzene <sup>(3)</sup>	Toluene <sup>(3)</sup>	Ethyl- benzene <sup>(3)</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>(3)</sup>	DRPH <sup>(2)</sup>	validation qualifier	DRPH with Silica Gel <sup>(4)</sup>	validation qualifier	ORPH <sup>(2)</sup>	ORPH with Silica Gel <sup>(4)</sup>
MW101	6/14/2017	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3	<50				<250	
MW102	6/13/2017	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3	<50	1.			<250	
MW103	06/13/17	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3	<60				<300	
MW104	06/15/17	700	<1	<1	4.0	3.1	3,000		370x	1+ 2	<250	<250
MW105	06/13/17	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3	<50				<250	-250
RW02	06/14/17	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3	<50				<250	
RW03	06/14/17	1,300	7.0	<1	32	11	1,500		320x	J+ 2	<250	<250
RW04	06/14/17	790	2.5	<1	16	<3	400		<250			
RW05	06/14/17	400	<1	<1	4.4	<3	470				<250	
MW108	06/14/17	<100	<1	<1	<1	<3	140×	J+ 2			<250	
MW109	06/14/17	220	<1	<1	<1	<3	330				<250	
MW110	06/14/17	260	<1	<1	2.0	<3	520				<250	
MTCA GW criteria		1,000/800 <sup>(6)</sup>	5	1,000	700	1.000	500		500		500	500

 $\mathbb{R}\text{ed}$  indicates concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels for groundwater.

Samples analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle, Washington.

<sup>(2)</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Gx (gasoline) and NWTPH-Dx (diesel and oil).

<sup>(3)</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 8260B or 8260C.

<sup>(4)</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Dx; sample extracts passed through a silica gel column prior to analysis.

<sup>(5)</sup>MTCA Cleanup Regulation, Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, revised November 2007.

 $^{\rm (6)}$  1,000 µg/L when benzene is not present and 800 µg/L when benzene is present.

Laboratory Note:

\*The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Validation gualifiers

J+ numerical value is the approximate concentration

Validation Codes

2 Chromatographic pattern in sample does not match pattern of calibration standard

DRPH = diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons

MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act

NWTPH = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon

ORPH = oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons

SoundEarth = SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.

# APPENDIX C DATA VALIDATION CHECKLISTS

VALIDATION WORKSHEET Method: SDG: Date Reviewed: **Reviewer:** C Jensen Sample Collection Dates:  $(\rho / (2 / (2 - 4)))$ The following data validation areas were reviewed: SIM Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 10 11 14 15 16 17 N/ 18 19 20 Identification J Validation Criteria Sample results 3 Holding Times 9 Completion Method Blanks 3 LCS duplicate RPD 5 MS/MSD: Note:X = Criteria were evaluated and not met. A = Criteria were evaluated and met. N = Data was not available for review. NA = Not applicable. **Comments:** to - wh WWWWW 7-2070615 olei raviza WHUN ND Th-all

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/19/17 Date Analyzed: 06/19/17 and 06/20/17

# RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 52-124)
$\underset{706255-01}{\text{MW103-20170613}}$	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	89
$\underset{706255\cdot02}{\mathrm{MW105}\text{-}20170613}$	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	87
MW102-20170613 <sup>706255-03</sup>	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	90
$\underset{706255\text{-}04}{\text{MW108-}20170614}$	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	88
RW05-20170614 706255-06	<1	<1	4.4	<3	400	87
$\underset{706255\text{-}07}{\text{MW}101\text{-}20170614}$	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86
$\underset{706255\cdot08}{\text{MW109-20170614}}$	<1	<1	<1	<3	220	86
$\underset{706255\cdot09}{\text{MW110-20170614}}$	<1	<1	2.0	<3	260	87
$\underset{706255-10}{\text{RW04-20170614}}$	2.5	<1	16	<3	790	86
RW03-20170614	7.0	<1	32	11	1,300	85

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

97317

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/19/17 Date Analyzed: 06/19/17 and 06/20/17

#### **RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES** FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, **XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx** Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

Ethyl Total Gasoline Surrogate Sample ID Benzene Toluene Benzene Xylenes Range (% Recovery) Laboratory ID (Limit 52-124) RW02-20170614 < 1< 1< 1<3 <100

706255-12		-		.0	.100	00
$\underset{706255\cdot13}{\text{MW104-20170615}}$	<1	<1	4.0	3.1	700	85
$\underset{706255\cdot14}{\text{MW99-20170615}}$	<1	<1	3.9	3.1	820	83
Trip Blank 706255-15	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	84
Method Blank 07-1261 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86

57.3.17

89

: VALIDATION WORKSHEET								
Method:	SDG: 704255 Reviewer: C Jensen							
Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 12 12 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	15 12 18 19 20 15 10 10 18 19 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1							
Validation Criteria 3 3 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3								
Method Blanks     Image: Completion       Method Blanks     Image: Completion       LCS     Image: Completion       duplicate RPD     Image: Completion								
MS/MSD: Note:X = Criteria were evaluated and not met. A = Criteria were evaluated and met. N = Data was not available for Comments:	or review. NA = Not applicable.							
T+ 2 X fley ((Nb) MW108.20) 0614 12003.20170614 12003.20170614 5 12003-20170615 5	Jeha cleany							
Ytu								

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/16/17 Date Analyzed: 06/16/17

# **RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES** FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	<u>Motor Oil Range</u>	Surrogate (% Recovery)
MW103-20170613 706255-01 1/1.2	<60	<300	(1411-152) 91
$\underset{706255\cdot02}{\mathrm{MW105-}20170613}$	<50	<250	99
$\underset{706255\cdot03}{\mathrm{MW102-20170613}}$	<50	<250	94
$\underset{706255\cdot04}{\text{MW108-20170614}}$	140 x J-Z	<250	93
RW05-20170614 <sup>706255-06</sup>	470	<250	91
MW101-20170614 706255-07	<50	<250	104
MW109-20170614 706255-08	330	<250	107
$\underset{706255-09}{\mathrm{MW110-20170614}}$	520	<250	109
RW04-20170614 706255-10	400	<250	109
RW03-20170614 706255-11	1,500	<250	96
$\mathrm{RW02} ext{-}20170614$	<50	<250	104
$\mathrm{MW104} ext{-}20170615$	3,000	<250	97

97.3.67

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 06/22/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/16/17 Date Analyzed: 06/16/17

# **RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES** FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	$\frac{\text{Motor Oil Range}}{(C_{25}\text{-}C_{36})}$	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
$\begin{array}{c} MW99-20170615 \\ _{706255-14} \end{array}$	3,200	<250	105
Method Blank 07-1305 MB	<50	<250	80

G7.3.17

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 06/27/17 Date Received: 06/15/17 Project: SOU\_0914-001\_20170615, F&BI 706255 Date Extracted: 06/16/17 Date Analyzed: 06/23/17

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx Sample Extracts Passed Through a Silica Gel Column Prior to Analysis Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	$rac{ ext{Diesel Range}}{( ext{C}_{10}- ext{C}_{25})}$	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 41-152)
RW03-20170614 706255-11	320 x FZ	<250	105
$\underset{706255-13}{\text{MW104-}20170615}$	370 x 772	<250	96
$\underset{706255-14}{\text{MW99-20170615}}$	360 x FZ	<250	94
Method Blank 07-1305 MB	<50	<250	80

97.317

Forbes	s. cc: Jonathar	n Loeffler	S <u>. Liz</u>	SAMPL	E CHA	IN OF	CUS'	FODY		Me	06-	15-1	7	. 1	34	w4/
Company <u>SoundEar</u> Address <u>2811 Fairvi</u>	th Strategies, ew Avenue E,	Inc. Suite 20	00	- PRO	JECT NA SKS S	ME/NO.	0914-0	01	ž T	 P(	)#		Pag TU ≥Standa RUSH Rush cha	ge # RNAROU urd (2 We	of JND TIME eks)	-
City, State, ZIP <u>Seattle, W</u> Phone # <u>206-306-1900</u>	ashington 98 Fax #20	102 6-306-19	07	REM	ARKS			*****	L				SA Dispose Return Will cal	MPLE D after 30 samples Il with in	ISPOSAL days	
							1				ANALY	ISES R	REQUESTI	ED		
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	WOCs by 8260C		970		Notes	
MW103-20170613	MWIO3	And the second s	14.0	6/13/17	1043	1.14TEP	kinf	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$					t désart		way shop a second part of a second	-
MW105-20170613	MWI05	1478140000000000000000000000000000000000	121	6/13/12	1214	LAT-P	( /1			$\left  \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right $				Mal'anton Kitasa nganaganan ngapaga kara	***	
MW102-20170613	MW102		3 H	6/12/12	1322	INAT-0		$\left  \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right $	$\left  \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right $	$\left  \begin{array}{c} \times \\ \end{array} \right $						
MW108-20170614	MW108			6/141.7	1120	WHIEK I			$\sim$	$\geq$		6400		an fundion (a state of state of states)		
MW104 - 20170614	MWIDH	1-0700-17 A	5 1	Chal. a	1751	LARD	4	×	$\times$	$ \times $	•	na an a				
RW05-20170614	RWD5		6	dust a	1402	WAIEK	4						$ \times $			
1W101-20170614	MWIDI	6	7 8 1	114/17	1500	WATER	4	*	×	$\times$						
And a state of the				114/14	1500	WATER	4	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$						
						斜	67	ख/17			Sa	mple	s receiv	ved at	<u>A</u> .c	
	**************************************	<u></u>			3.4	₿		1						and a back hadron ( state of the back and a state of the back of t		

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	SIGNATURE		n na na graf star for the star star de star st	**************************************	
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by	JONATHAN LOEFFLEE	SOUNDEARTH	6/15/17	1640
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by:	Jon Shimmen	FBZT		4
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:			1 . · · · ·	
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC				1	
		and the second	the second provide the second		

706强多	ヵ	6/15
	1	r.

7082	( · · ·		S	AMPL	E CHAI	NOF	CUST	ODY		ME	06-1	5-1	7		VW
Send Report to <u>Rob Robert</u> : Forbes	s, cc: Jonathar	<u>ı Loeffler</u>	<u>Liz</u>	SAM	PLERS (s	ignature	e) [1]	Vin a	e Gro	4			Pa	ge #	<u>of</u>
Company SoundEar	th Strategies.	Inc.		PROJ	ECT NAI	ME/NO.	6899	<u>~~                                   </u>		P(	)#	-	Stand	IRNARO ard (2 W	UND TIME eeke)
Address2811 Fairvi	<u>ew Avenue E,</u>	Suite 20	00		SKS S	HELL /	0914-00	01		:			RUSH Rush cha	arges au	thorized by:
City, State, ZIP <u>Seattle, W</u>	ashington 98	102		REM	ARKS	*****	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	******	l			-	SA	MPLE 1	DISPOSAL
Phone # <u>206-306-1900</u>	Fax #20	6-306-19	07		**************************************	ala an		Normal Andrewson					Dispos Return Will ca	e after 3 1 sample 11 with i	0 days s nstructions
						1				1	ANALY	SES R	EQUEST	ED	
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	#of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	CVOCs by \$260C		An one of the second		Notes
MW 109-20170614	MWIGA		084-1)	6/14/17	0941	4.0	4				+			<u> </u>	na manananan dapartanyyamin Manyirana anda ana ana ana ana
MW110 - 20170614	MWID	~~~	09		1016	1	r	$\overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}$		$\bigcirc$					
AW04-20170614	RW04		10		(31)			$\bigcirc$	Ś	X	+				heferenska flast over a de samme av de se samme av a menet de se sense
RW03-20170614	RW03	~	11		1413			$\hat{\mathbf{X}}$	X	>-	┟───┤				سترجع والمراجع والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع
KW02-20170614	RW02		12		1512		<u></u>	X	X	X		4			164697-1646153476-649748-84984-6498-6498-649
	and the second se											****			
					JT by	4/17					Samp	les r	eceive	d at 🦼	2.ºC
999 (1998) - Andrew Carrowson, and an								There is the second sec				······			
48 m							·····					a diversity of the second			
		monenty			1	1					1		I I	- Aller State of the Arts	Service and Servic

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	SIGNATURE														
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME										
	1au tax	More Tormiton	Saudiant	( anent	1/.40										
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	To Ch		- 19/19/11	1670										
Ph. (206) 285-8989	Relinguished by:	Jer Srinez	TRET		1 ( )										
× /** (#×V) 200-0202															
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	***************************************													
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC															
				1	lanana and an										
706225 3 ( Send Report to <u>Rob Roberts</u> Forbes	⊳/15 . cc: Jonathan	Loeffler	S. Liz	AMPL SAM	E CHAI	IN OF		YDDY		ME	¢	5-15-	-1-7 Pa	se# of	J. Wu/B
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	-----------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------	----------	----------	---------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------
Company SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.   Address 2811 Fairview Avenue E, Suite 2000				PRO.	PROJECT NAME/NO. PO # SKS SHELL / 0914-001					)#	TURNARQUND TIME Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH Rush charges authorized by:				
City, State, ZIP <u>Seattle, Washington 98102</u> Phone # <u>206-306-1900</u> Fax # <u>206-306-1907</u>					ngmanks							SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions			
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	# of Jars	XU-H4TWN	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260C	YSES R	EQUEST	ED Notes	
MW104-20170615 MW99-20170615 TAP Blagh	MU184 MU99		134-D ( 14 ( 15A-B(	6/15/17 2/15/17 0/15/17	1013 1043	170 170 170 1720	4 4 2	XX	XXX	XXXX					
					<u> </u>	2-6	15/	2			S	ample	s rece	ived at 🔔	•C
											And the first for the support	Nar 2 WY F 1 14 2 - 44 14 20		and the second sec	

Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	STGNATTIT	1		and the second se	
3012 16th Avenue West	Relinquished by A. The	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	Clar Jochnin	Savieanth	6/15/17	1640
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by	004 Shimary	EBET	4	d.
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:				
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC		998/			

## ATTACHMENT C SKS SHELL SITE HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAPS AND ROSE DIAGRAM







