SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION WELL INSTALLATION AND PILOT TEST REPORT

PC&F Site 01-352 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

prepared for

Pacific Convenience & Fuels, LLC 7180 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 100

June 21, 2011

Project No. 623

prepared by



environ strategy consultants, inc.

One Technology Drive, Ste. B-123 Irvine, California 92618 tel 949.486.0884 fax 949.486.0885 environstrategy.com

Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES, TABLES, AND APPENDICESii
1.0 INTRODUCTION
2.0 BACKGROUND
2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION
2.2 SITE BACKGROUND
2.3 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY
3.0 WELL INSTALLATION
3.1 FIELD ACTIVITIES
3.1.1 Permitting and Notifications
3.1.2 Drilling and Soil Sampling
3.1.3 Well Installation7
3.1.3 Well Installation7
3.1.3Well Installation
3.1.3Well Installation
3.1.3Well Installation73.1.4Waste Management73.2SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS73.2.1Site Geology8
3.1.3Well Installation73.1.4Waste Management73.2SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS73.2.1Site Geology83.2.2Site Hydrogeology8
3.1.3Well Installation
3.1.3Well Installation73.1.4Waste Management73.2SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS73.2.1Site Geology83.2.2Site Hydrogeology83.3ANALYTICAL RESULTS83.3.1Soil Analytical Results8
3.1.3Well Installation73.1.4Waste Management73.2SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS73.2.1Site Geology83.2.2Site Hydrogeology83.3ANALYTICAL RESULTS83.3.1Soil Analytical Results84.0SVE PILOT TEST9

LIST OF FIGURES, TABLES, AND APPENDICES

FIGURES

1	Site Location Map
2	Site Plan Showing Well Locations

TABLES

1	Soil Sample Analytical Results
2A	Soil Vapor Extraction Pilot Test - Extraction Well VE-2
2B	Soil Vapor Extraction Pilot Test - Extraction Well VE-3

APPENDICES

- A Historical Soil Sample Results
- B Soil Boring Logs
- C Well Completion Logs
- D Non-hazardous Waste Manifest
- E Laboratory Analytical Reports

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc., (Environ Strategy) is pleased to submit to Pacific Convenience and Fuels, LLC (PC&F) this *Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report* (Report) for Station No. 01-352 located at 4200 Wheaton Way in Bremerton, Washington (Figure 1). The Report documents the field activities, observations and findings associated with the installation of four (4) soil vapor extraction (SVE) wells, identified as VE-1 through VE-4, as well as the results of a SVE pilot test.

Previous site assessment results indicate that soil samples contain detectable levels of fuel hydrocarbons exceeding the MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels. Field observations and analytical results suggest that detected fuel hydrocarbons in soil are weathered and likely associated with soil impacts identified during fuel distribution system upgrades and site assessment activities conducted in 1996 and 1997, respectively. The vertical extent of hydrocarbon-affected soil above cleanup criteria does not appear to extend beyond a depth of 30 feet.

Hydrocarbon concentration levels collected during the SVE pilot test indicate SVE to be effective at removing hydrocarbons from the soil beneath the site. Based on the results of the SVE pilot test, a full-scale SVE system is being designed.

A summary of the site background, field activities, analytical results, and conclusions are presented in the following sections.

2.0 BACKGROUND

The following sections provide a brief site description and a description of the regional geology and hydrogeology.

2.1 Site Description

The subject site is located at 4200 Wheaton Way in Bremerton, Washington and is approximately a 0.5-acre rectangular-shaped, outparcel of commercial land located on the northeast corner of Wheaton Way and Hollis Street. The site is a fuel retail station with four USTs and three pump islands that are located near (west of) a single-story convenience store. The USTs include one 6,000-gallon tank (diesel), two 12,000-gallon tanks (regular gasoline) and one 12,000-gallon tank (premium gasoline). The site is relatively flat, covered with asphalt and

Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report

concrete, and is part of a larger retail shopping center. Surrounding land use includes commercial properties including retail shops and restaurants.

The site lies at an elevation of approximately 300 feet above mean sea level (ft amsl) on a small peninsula within Puget Sound. It is located approximately 2 miles from Port Orchard Waterway, Dyes Inlet and Sinclair Inlet, which surround the peninsula to the east, west and south, respectively. Pertinent site features are shown on Figure 2.

2.2 Site Background

The following historical summary is based on a review of available documents and summaries provided in Clearwater's *Site Assessment and Closure Report*, dated October 13, 1997 and Environ Strategy's *Focused Phase II Site Assessment Report*, dated May 30, 2010. For reference, a summary of soil sample analytical results from Environ Strategy's and Clearwater's site assessment activities is provided in Table 1 and Appendix A, respectively. In addition, the soil boring locations for each assessment relative to pertinent site features are shown on Figure 2.

In September and October 1996, the fuel distribution system at the subject site was upgraded. During system upgrades, hydrocarbon-affected soil was encountered in the tank cavity and 450 tons of impacted soil was excavated and transported to a disposal facility in Tacoma, Washington. The release was reported to the Washington Department of Ecology (DOE) and five verification soil samples were collected from the tank cavity for laboratory analysis. In addition, five soil samples were collected from the beneath the product lines and pump islands. The samples were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) compounds and total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPH-Gx). Hydrocarbon impacts in excess of MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels were identified in all ten soil samples. Specifically, the highest levels of fuel hydrocarbons were reported in a composite sample (identified as N&E Wall-8'), which was collected from the north and east sidewall of the diesel tank cavity at a depth of 8 feet. Sample N&E Wall-8' contained TPH-Gx at 7,220 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), benzene at 27.6 mg/kg, toluene at 191 mg/kg, ethylbenzene at 111 mg/kg and total xylenes at 626 mg/kg.

In June 1997, Clearwater conducted subsurface site assessment activities. During Clearwater's investigation, 17 soil borings (GP-1 through GP-17) were installed at various locations around the site to delineate the extent of hydrocarbon-affected soil. Borings were terminated at a depth of 17 feet bgs due to refusal. Twenty-six (26) soil samples collected from the borings were analyzed for TPH-Gx and BTEX compounds. Hydrocarbon-affected soil was detected in a majority of the soil borings. The highest concentration of TPH-Gx (1,410 mg/kg) was in a

Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report

10-foot sample from boring GP-7 located near the southwest corner of the tank cavity. Similarly, benzene was detected at a maximum level of 11.9 mg/kg in a 10-foot sample collected from GP-5 located east of the existing tank cavity.

In May 2010, Environ Strategy conducted an additional site assessment to evaluate subsurface conditions in the vicinity of the fuel distribution system (USTs and pump islands). Six soil borings (identified as SB-1 through SB-6) were advanced, of which, Borings SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3 were located near the existing tank cavity and advanced to a depth of 30 feet. Borings SB-4, SB-5 and SB-6 were drilled at the west end of the southern, central and northern pump islands, respectively, and extended to a depth of 25 feet at SB-4 and to 20 feet bgs at SB-5 and SB-6. Assessment findings are as follows:

- Hydrocarbon staining and/or odor were observed in subsurface soil collected from Borings SB-2 through SB-6 at depths between 10 to 25 feet bgs.
- Based on visual/olfactory observations, relatively low PID readings (up to 380 ppm at SB-4-10) and comparatively low toluene levels detected in the soil samples, fuel hydrocarbons in soil appear weathered and are likely associated with impacted soil previously identified during fuel system upgrades and Clearwater's site assessment conducted in 1996 and 1997, respectively.
- TPH-Gx was detected at a maximum concentration of 19,000 mg/kg in sample SB-4-10 (Boring SB-4 at 10 feet bgs), which exceeds the cleanup goal of 30 mg/kg. However, TPH-Gx results from deeper samples SB-4-20 (430 mg/kg) and SB-4-25 (<10 mg/kg), show that the vertical extent of impact does not extend beyond a depth of 25 feet. Note that the cleanup goal for TPH-Gx with and without the presence of benzene in the sample matrix is 30 mg/kg and 100 mg/kg, respectively.
- Benzene was detected at a maximum concentration of 2.9 mg/kg in sample SB-5-10 (Boring SB-5 at 10 feet bgs) which is above the MTCA Cleanup Level of 0.03 mg/kg. Benzene levels decrease at depth as evidenced by the analytical results for the deeper sample identified as SB-5-20 where benzene was detected at 0.08 mg/kg. Toluene was detected up to 6.5 mg/kg (sample SB-3-25), which is below the cleanup level of 7 mg/kg. Sample SB-4-10 contained the highest levels of ethylbenzene at 160 mg/kg and xylenes at 590 mg/kg, which are above the respective cleanup level of 6 mg/kg and 9 mg/kg. However, deeper soil samples (SB-4-20 and SB-4-25) contained ethylbenzene and xylenes concentrations that are below cleanup levels. Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) was not detected in any of the samples analyzed.

- Soil samples SB-4-10, SB-4-20 and SB-4-25 were further analyzed for the full-list of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Neither ethylene dibromide (EDB) nor ethylene dichloride (EDC) were detected in these samples. Naphthalene was detected at 76 mg/kg in SB-4-10, which is above the cleanup standard of 5 mg/kg. Sample SB-4-20 contained naphthalene at 0.74 mg/kg and was non-detect in sample SB-4-25. Detectable levels of "other" VOCs were reported in samples SB-4-10, SB-4-20 and SB-4-25 (see Table 1). The MTCA Cleanup regulation Table 740-1, "Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Uses" does not designate a cleanup level for the additional VOCs detected.
- Samples SB-4-10 and SB-4-25 were further analyzed for total lead. Sample SB-4-10 contained lead at 19 mg/kg, which is below the cleanup goal of 250 mg/kg. Sample SB-4-25 was non-detect for total lead.

Due to elevated levels of TPH-Gx detected in soil samples SB-3-25, SB-4-10, SB-5-10 and SB-6-10, the samples were further analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as diesel (TPH-Dx) and oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (ORPH). Neither TPH-Dx nor ORPH were detected in the samples analyzed.

2.3 Geology and Hydrogeology

The Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Geologic Map of Washington – Seattle Quadrangle, indicates the property is located in an area that is primarily underlain by Quaternary Glacial Till deposits (Qgt). Glacial till deposits are typically unsorted, unstratified, highly compacted mixture of clay, silt, sand, gravel, and boulders. The region is prone to perched water table conditions because of the glacial till deposits.

The following site geology is based information provided in Clearwater's and Environ Strategy's site assessment reports and water well reports from two nearby wells.

According to Clearwater's site investigation, subsurface soils include a matrix of gravelly sands, silty and clayey sands, clays, cobbles, and sands to 17 ft bgs. Clearwater's borings did not extend beyond 17 ft bgs due to refusal. Clearwater reported that in a conversation with the City of Bremerton Engineering Department, it was stated that the site formerly was a pond that was subsequently filled. It is unknown from where the fill originated.

Soil encountered during Environ Strategy's assessment consists of a mixture of light to dark brown, fine to coarse grain, dense, moderately moist, non-plastic Silty Sand (SM) to approximately 10 feet bgs. Beyond a depth of 10 feet, subsurface soil coarsens to a light brown, Poorly Graded Sand (SP) with lesser amounts of medium to coarse gravel to 30 feet, the maximum depth explored. The gravel content generally tends to increase with depth.

Groundwater was not encountered to a total depth of 30 ft bgs during previous assessments. A review of DOE's well log database identified a water well less than 0.1 mile south of the subject site where static water was recorded at 142 feet bgs. According to the City of Bremerton Engineering Department, the well is not in use and the water-bearing unit is classified as a non-beneficial use aquifer. However, a log for a decommissioned water well located 0.25 miles southwest of the site reports depth to static water at approximately 45 feet bgs. According to the City of Bremerton Engineering Department and the North Perry Water District, all facilities near the site are provided water either by the City of Bremerton or by the North Perry Water District.

3.0 WELL INSTALLATION

Presented in the following sections are a description of the field activities and observations and a summary of the analytical results. Before commencing field activities, a daily "tailgate" site health and safety meeting was held with Environ Strategy personnel and subcontracted employees. Site personnel were requested to read and acknowledge understanding of the health and safety plan (HASP) prior to initiating work. Upon request, an electronic copy of the HASP will be forwarded to Pacific Convenience and Fuels (PC&F) as a separate, stand-alone document.

3.1 Field Activities

Field activities consisted of drilling, soil sampling and well installation of four soil vapor extraction (SVE) wells, identified as Wells VE-1 through VE-4. The wells were installed in order to test the feasibility of SVE as a viable remedial alternative to reducing the concentrations of adsorbed-phase hydrocarbons beneath the site. Well locations are illustrated in Figure 2. The following subsections describe in detail the field activities performed.

3.1.1 Pre-marking, Permitting and Notifications

Before initiating field activities, proposed boring locations were pre-marked in white spray paint. A *Notice of Intent to Construct* permit was submitted to the DOE by the drilling contractor to install the wells. The DOE was notified 72 hours before drilling in accordance with the permit requirements. The state of Washington's mandatory underground utility locator, One-Call, was notified 72 hours before initiating field activities and requested to mark and delineate underground utilities servicing the site (One-Call Ticket No. 11050027). Additionally, a private

utility locator (CNI Locates LTD) was utilized to ensure all onsite underground utilities were identified.

As a precautionary measure, each boring was cleared of subsurface utilities using a hand auger, shovel, and other appropriate hand-held tools provided by the drilling contractor.

3.1.2 Drilling and Soil Sampling

From March 29 to 31, 2011, drilling and soil sampling activities were conducted. Holocene Drilling, Inc. (Drilling Company's Registration No.: HOLOI04KH; Driller's License No.: 2671) was retained to provide drilling and well installation services. Four well borings, VE-1 through VE-4, were drilled to an approximate depth of 25 to 30 feet and completed as SVE wells. The boring/SVE well locations are illustrated in Figure 2.

Borings were drilled using a drill rig equipped with 10-inch diameter, hollow-stem augers. Each boring location was first cleared for buried utilities and underground piping using hand-augering techniques to a depth of five feet. Soil was collected from the drill cuttings at discrete depths and used to describe lithology. Samples selected for laboratory analysis (from boring VE-1 and VE-2 only) were collected using a stainless steel, split-spoon sampler that was advanced ahead of the auger at the desired sampling depth. The soil was logged in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) by a licensed Professional Geologist. Field screening for volatile organic hydrocarbons (VOCs) was performed by placing a disaggregated portion of each sample in a sealed container and monitoring the soil for head-space volatility using a photoionization detector (PID). Boring logs, presented as Appendix B, record the lithologic descriptions, PID readings, and other visual and olfactory observations.

Soil samples selected for laboratory analysis were collected in accordance with EPA Method 5035 using laboratory-prepared VOA vials. Each sample was comprised of four 5-gram soil capsules inserted in pre-weighed vials and one 4-ounce glass jar. The vials and jars were immediately capped, labeled, stored on ice in a cooler, and delivered to the analytical laboratory under chain-of-custody. Additional details regarding laboratory analyses are presented in Section 3.3, Analytical Results.

Down-hole drilling and sampling equipment were cleaned using a decontamination process consisting of a non-phosphate, laboratory-grade detergent wash and triple-rinsed. Tools and auger were allowed to dry prior to use.

3.1.3 <u>Well Installation</u>

During drilling, groundwater was not observed to 30 feet, the total depth explored during well installation activities. However, what appears to be perched water was encountered in boring VE-3 at approximately 25 feet. Wet soils were encountered between 25 and 30 feet and after leaving the boring open over night, water was gauged at approximately 25 feet. Water was not encountered in the other three wells. The borings were drilled to a depth of approximately 30 feet and completed as Soil Vapor Extraction Wells, VE-1 through VE-4.

Wells VE-1 through VE-4 are constructed of 4-inch diameter, Schedule-40, polyvinyl-chloride (PVC) casing with 0.01-inch and/or 0.03-inch, machine-slotted screen and Schedule-40, blank PVC riser pipe. Well VE-1 is installed to a total depth of 30 feet with a 0.03-inch screen from 30 up to 15 feet and 0.01-inch screen from 15 up to 5 feet bgs. Well VE-2 is installed to a total depth of 25 feet with a 0.03-screen from 25 up to 15 feet and 0.01-inch screen from 15 up to 5 feet bgs. Well VE-3 is installed to a total depth of 20 feet with 0.03-inch screen from 20 up to 10 feet bgs. Perched water was encountered at approximately 25 feet while drilling VE-3. In order to install Well VE-3 above the static water level, the bottom 5 feet of the boring (25 to 30 feet bgs) was grouted and then 5 feet of pea gravel was installed. The bottom of VE-3 sits on top of the pea gravel. Well VE-4 is installed to a total depth of 30 feet with a 0.03-inch screen from 30 up to 10 feet bgs. The filter pack for each well consists of pea gravel and extends from total depth to the top of the screened interval. The filter pack is sealed with one foot of hydrated bentonite chips, then grouted and finished with a 1-foot concrete cap. Each well is completed at the surface with a flush-mounted, traffic-rated well box set in concrete. Well completion details are presented as Appendix C.

3.1.4 Waste Management

Soil cuttings and decontamination fluid generated during drilling and well installation activities were placed into 55-gallon, DOT-approved drums, sealed, and transported to a licensed waste disposal facility. The waste manifest is presented as Appendix D.

3.2 Subsurface Conditions

The following subsections describe the geologic and hydrogeologic conditions observed beneath the site during the installation of Wells VE-1 through VE-4.

3.2.1 <u>Site Geology</u>

Soil encountered during the drilling of Wells VE-1 through VE-4 consists predominantly of Poorly Graded Sand (SP) with varying amounts of medium to coarse sand and some gravel to approximately 5 feet bgs. In the area of VE-1 and VE-2 (on the west side of the dispenser islands), the soil consists predominately of fine grained, red brown to brown, medium dense, moist Silty Sand (SM) to approximately 15 feet, grading to fine to coarse grained, brown to grey, medium dense, dry, Poorly Sorted Sand (SP) to 30 feet bgs. In the area of VE-3 and VE-4 (located around the tank pit and near the southern property boundary) the soil consists predominately of fine to coarse grained, grey to brown, dry to moist, medium dense Silty Sand (SM) and Silt (ML) with varying amounts of fine to coarse sand to 30 feet bgs.

3.2.2 <u>Site Hydrogeology</u>

Groundwater was not observed during the drilling of Wells VE-1, VE-2 and VE-4 to a depth of 30 feet, the deepest depth explored. However, perched water was encountered in boring VE-3 at approximately 25 feet.

3.3 Analytical Results

Soil samples collected during drilling activities were submitted under chain-of-custody to Environmental Services Network Northwest, a State-certified environmental laboratory located in Olympia, Washington. Soil analytical results are discussed in the following subsection.

3.3.1 Soil Analytical Results

Soil samples collected from Boring VE-1 and VE-2 at a depth of approximately 30 feet were submitted for analysis. Note that soil samples were not collected at shallower depths from VE-1 and VE-2 or from well borings VE-3 and VE-4 due to historical assessment data collected at these locations. Soil samples were analyzed for TPH-Dx/Ox by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx/Dx extended, TPH-Gx by Northwest Method NWTPH-Gx, full-list VOCs by Method 5035/8260B. Sample VE-2-30 was also analyzed for total lead by Method 6020. Current and recent soil analytical results are summarized in Table 1. Historical soil analytical data is presented in Appendix A.

As shown in Table 1, soil samples collected from borings VE-1 and VE-2 did not contain fuel hydrocarbon concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels. Toluene was detected in VE-1-30 at a concentration of $0.082 \ \mu g/L$, which is below the cleanup level of 7 mg/kg for

soil. Total xylenes were detected in VE-1-30 and VE-2-30 at concentrations of 0.17 mg/kg and 0.18 mg/kg, respectively. The cleanup level for total xylenes in soil is 9 mg/kg. Of the additional VOCs, only 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene was detected at concentrations of 0.055 mg/kg (VE-1-30) and 0.064 mg/kg (VE-2-30). MTCA Method A Table 740-1 for unrestricted landuse does not establish a cleanup level for 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene.

Analytical results for "other" VOCs detected in soil are footnoted on Table 1. For a complete list of the target VOCs, refer the laboratory analytical report presented in Appendix E.

4.0 SVE PILOT TEST

From April 4, 2011 through April 7, 2011, SVE system pilot testing was performed at the site. SVE testing was conducted to determine the effectiveness of the newly installed SVE wells and acquire data for use in the design of a permanent SVE system. The SVE pilot test field activities are summarized below.

- On April 4, 5, and 7, 2011, a five horsepower blower was connected to Well VE-2 to extract soil vapor from the subsurface-screened interval of the well (~5 to 25 feet bgs). In accordance with Puget Sound Air Quality (PSAQ) rules, the PSAQ was notified in advance of intent to extract and discharge vapor at the site. An initial vacuum of 25 inches of water was applied to the extraction well. After two hours of operation, vacuum was increased to approximately 60 inches of vacuum at the extraction well. Volatile organic compounds (petroleum hydrocarbons) in extracted vapor were measured at over 10,000 parts per million (ppm) (the maximum detection range) using a photo-ionization detection (PID) instrument calibrated to a known concentration of hexane gas.
- Dwyer magnehelic vacuum gauges were connected to Wells VE-1 and VE-3 to measure vacuum response at nearby wells. The vacuum response in Well VE-4, located in front of the convenience store, was also measured with a magnehelic vacuum gauge, but only intermittently due to its location in a high traffic area. The vacuum response was measured in the wells to determine the effective radius of influence from vapor extraction. A summary of results of soil vapor extraction from Well VE-2 is included as Table 2A.
- On April 6, 2011, the blower was connected to Well VE-3 (screened from 10 to 20 feet bgs) and the vacuum response in Wells VE-1, VE-2 and VE-4 were measured and recorded. After 30 minutes of extraction, the vacuum was increased to 70 inches of water.

The highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were again over 10,000 ppm. A summary of results of soil vapor extraction from Well VE-3 is attached as Table 2B.

• Vapor samples were collected from extraction Well VE-2 in a Tedlar bag on April 7, 2011 at the end of the test and submitted for analysis of TPH-Gx, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and toluene by Method NWTPH-Gx/8260. The concentration of TPH-Gx in extracted vapor was reported as $2,200 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$. The benzene concentration was reported as $9.1 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$. The analytical results of laboratory testing will be used to design a full-scale vapor treatment system for the remediation of subsurface hydrocarbons in soil at the site. The laboratory analytical results are attached in Appendix E.

Vacuum responses in observation wells around the points of extraction ranged from 5.0 to 26.0 inches of water, indicating vacuum extraction to be effective through the subsurface of the site and indicate at least a 30-foot radius of influence. Hydrocarbon concentration levels show SVE to be effective at removing hydrocarbons from the site. The cumulative hydrocarbon mass removed from the subsurface during the pilot test was calculated to be 272 pounds (Tables 2A and 2B). Based on the results of pilot test, a full-scale SVE system will be designed and installed for the remediation of subsurface petroleum hydrocarbons in soil.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Four SVE wells (VE-1 through VE-4) were installed and an SVE pilot test was performed to evaluate the effectiveness of SVE as a remedial technology at the site. Findings and results from well installation and pilot test activities are as follows:

- Soil encountered during this well installation and the previous focused assessment consists of a mixture of light to dark brown and grey, fine to coarse grain, dense, moderately moist, non-plastic Silty Sand (SM) to 10 to 15 feet bgs. Beyond a depth of 15 feet, subsurface soil coarsens to a light brown to grey, Poorly Graded Sand (SP) with lesser amounts of medium to coarse gravel to 30 feet, the maximum depth explored.
- Groundwater was not encountered to 30 feet bgs, the total depth explored. Perched water was encountered in boring VE-3 at approximately 25 feet, but appears localized to the vicinity of the boring as groundwater was not encountered in VE-1 and VE-2 located to the west or VE-4 located to the east. As noted early the region is prone to perched water table conditions because of the glacial till deposits. In addition, reported depth to static water in two near-by wells is 45 and 142 feet bgs.

Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report

- Soil analytical results from Environ Strategy's Focused Phase II and the current well installation activities are presented in Table 1. As shown on Table 1, TPH-Gx was detected at a maximum concentration of 19,000 mg/kg in sample SB-4-10 (boring SB-4 at 10 feet bgs), which exceeds the cleanup goal of 100 mg/kg. However, TPH-Gx results from deeper samples SB-4-20 (430 mg/kg) and SB-4-25, VE-1-30 and VE-2-30 (all non-detect), show that the vertical extent of TPH-Gx impact does not extend beyond a depth of 25 feet.
- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes also attenuate with depth to levels at or below cleanup levels by 30 feet bgs (see Table 1). Adsorbed-phase MTBE, EDB and EDC were not detected in any of the soil samples analyzed. As annotated in Table 1, the additional VOCs detected in soil also attenuate with depth to levels below the cleanup levels when specified. Note that cleanup levels are not specified for many of the additional VOCs.
- SVE Pilot Test results indicate that the SVE is effective at removing adsorbed-phase hydrocarbons from the soil beneath the site. Based on these results, a full-scale SVE system is being designed.

6.0 CLOSURE

Environ Strategy is pleased to be of service to PC&F. If there are questions regarding this report, please contact the undersigned at (949) 486 (949)

Respectfully submitted,

Becky L. Hawkins, L.G., 2880 Project Geologist

Laura Skow, L.G., 2882 Project Manager



Dane Nygaard Project Engineer

7.0 **REFERENCES**

- Clearwater Group, Inc. (Clearwater) 1997, Site Assessment and Closure Report for Time Oil Company Property No. 01-352 at 4200 Wheaton Way, Bremerton, Washington, October.
- Dracovich, J.D., Logan, R.L., Schasse, H.W., Walsh, T.J., Lingley, W.S., Jr., Norman, D.K.,Gerstel, WlJ., Lapen, T.J., Schuster, J.E., and Meyers, K.D., 2002, *Geologic map of Washington-Northwest Quadrant*: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Geologic Map GM-50.
- Environ Strategy Consultants (Environ Strategy), 2010, Focused Phase II Site Assessment, Site 01-352 at 4200 Wheaton Way, Bremerton, Washintiong, May 30.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (DOE) 2005, Model Toxics Control Act Chapter 70.105D RCW (Amended 2005) and Cleanup Regulation Chapter 173-340 WAC (Amended February 12, 2001), Publication No. 94-06, October 2005.

FIGURES



[\]Data\data\01_Projects\01_Site Assessment Remediation\Pacific Convenience & Fuels\01-352 - Wheaton Way, Bremerton WA (#623)Reports\Focused Phase II\Dwgs\623F1-SLM.dwg



X:\01_Projects\01_Site Assessment Remediation\Pacific Conveniencesh Fuele/Al #672and//theaten.Way.oBuersedion.W/A/(#623)/Repartma/a/ WayApantma/a/

TABLES

TABLE 1 SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS Station 01-352 Bremerton, Washington

1 of 1

			PID												Other	Total
Sample	Sample	Depth	Reading	ORPH	TPH-Dx	TPH-Gx	В	Т	Ε	Х	MTBE	EDB	EDC	Napth	VOCs ⁽¹⁾	Pb ⁽²⁾
Number	Date	(ft bgs)	(ppm)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
				EPA 3	8015M					EPA	Method 8	8260B ⁽¹⁾				
SB-1-15	5/11/10	15	<10			<10	0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.09	< 0.05					
SB-1-30	5/11/10	30	<10			<10	0.02	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.09	< 0.05					
SB-2-15	5/11/10	15	<10			2.3	0.20	0.78	0.12	0.55	< 0.05					
SB-2-30	5/11/10	30	<10			<10	0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.06	< 0.05					
SB-3-20	5/11/10	20	94			<10	< 0.02	0.07	0.06	0.32	< 0.05					
SB-3-25	5/11/10	25	<10	<100	<50	1,400	0.13	6.5	11	51	< 0.05					
SB-3-30	5/11/10	30	<10			<10	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.24	< 0.05					
SB-4-10	5/11/10	10	380	<100	<50	19,000	1.5	0.19	160	590	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	76	(3)	19
SB-4-20	5/11/10	20	220			430	0.029	0.024	0.55	3.6	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.74	(4)	
SB-4-25	5/11/10	25	<10			<10	0.021	< 0.05	0.055	0.24	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	(5)	<5.0
SB-5-10	5/11/10	10	350	<100	<50	510	2.9	4.0	6.0	30	< 0.05					
SB-5-20	5/11/10	20	<10			5.0	0.08	0.26	0.09	0.47	< 0.05					
SB-6-10	5/11/10	10	20	<100	<50	160	0.17	< 0.05	1.7	1.4	< 0.05					
SB-6-20	5/11/10	20	<10			<10	0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.05	< 0.05					
VE-1-30	3/31/11	30	105	<100	<50	<10	< 0.02	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.17	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	(6)	
VE-2-30	3/31/11	30	171	<100	<50	<10	< 0.02	0.082	< 0.05	0.18	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	(7)	<5.0
MTCA	Method A	Cleanup	Levels ⁽⁸⁾	2,000	2,000	100/30(9)	0.03	7	6	9	0.10	0.005	ne	5	na	250

Notes:

Bold where results exceed cleanup levels

- (1): select samples further analyzed for the full-list of VOCs by 8260B
- (2): Total Pb analyzed by Method 6020
- (3): SB-4-10: Isopropylbenzene (20 mg/kg), n-Propylbenzene (62 mg/kg), 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene (92 mg/kg), 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (240 mg/kg), and Isopropyltoluene (18 mg/kg)
- (4): SB-4-20: Isopropylbenzene (0.24 mg/kg), n-Propylbenzene (0.84 mg/kg), 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene (2.1 mg/kg), 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (6.7 mg/kg), and Isopropyltoluene (0.7 mg/kg)
- (5): SB-4-25: 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (0.088 mg/kg)
- (6): VE-1-30: 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (0.055 mg/kg)
- (7): VE-2-30: 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (0.064 mg/kg)
- (8): MTCA Method A Table 740-1 for unrestricted land use, WAC 173-340-900 Tables
- (9): 100 mg/kg when benzene is absent and 30 mg/kg when benzene is present
- --: not analyzed
- <: not detected above laboratory reporting limit
- BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes
- EDB: 1,2-Dibromoethane

- EDC: 1,2-Dichloroethane ft bgs: feet below ground surface mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram MTBE: methyl tert-butyl ether MTCA: Model Toxics Control Act na: not applicable Naph: naphthalene nd: not detected ne: no established cleaup level ORPH: oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons, analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx Pb: lead PID: photoionization detector ppm: parts per million TPH-Dx: total diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons, analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx TPH-Gx: total diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons, analyzed by Northwest Method NWTPH-Dx
- VOCs: volatile organic compounds

TABLE 2A Soil Vapor Extraction Pilot Test - Extraction Well VE-2 Observation Data April 4, 5, 7, 2011 Station 01-352 Bremerton, Washington 1 of 1

Date	Time	Test Duration (min)	Extraction Point VE-2 Vacuum (in of H ₂ O)	of H ₂ O)	VE-3 Vacuum (in of H ₂ O)	Measured Air Velocity (ft/min)	Flow (scfm)	Influent PID Reading (ppmV)	Hydrocarbon Mass Removed (lbs)	
4/4/2011	12:50 PM	0	25	5	8	6,800	149	6,000	0	Began Extraction - VE-2
	1:00 PM	10	25.0	8.0	8.4	6,700	146	3,500	1	
	1:10 PM	20	25.0	8.0	8.4	6,500	142	>10,000	4	
	2:45 PM	115	58.0	20.0	21.0	6,250	137	>10,000	34	Full vacuum at 13:30
	3:45 PM	175	58.0	24.0	21.0	6,100	133	>10,000	52	
	4:45 PM	235	60.0	25.0	22.0	4,900	107	>10,000	67	
4/5/2011	8:00 AM	0	58.0	18.0	18.6	4,850	106	5,990	0	Begin Extraction - VE-2
	9:00 AM	60	58.0	21.0	24.0	4,730	103	>10,000	14	
	10:00 AM	120	60.0	21.0	25.0	4,480	98	>10,000	27	VE-4 = 4.5-inches W.C.
	11:00 AM	180	62.0	21.0	26.0	3,980	87	4,394	39	
	12:00 PM	240	61.0	21.0	27.0	3,940	86	3,977	51	
	1:00 PM	300	62.0	21.0	27.0	3,780	83	3,977	62	VE-4 = 5.2-inches W.C.
	2:00 PM	360	62.0	21.0	26.0	3,848	84	3,100	74	
	3:00 PM	420	64.0	22.0	26.0	3,720	81	>10,000	85	
	4:00 PM	480	64.0	22.0	26.0	3,810	83	3,990	96	VE-4 = 6.3-inches W.C.
4/7/2011	8:00 AM	0	30.0	8.0	8.5	6,800	149	1,649	0	Begin Extraction - VE-2
	8:30 AM	30	58.0	9.0	9.5	4,900	107	1,700	1	
	9:00 AM	60	58.0	11.0	15.0	4,880	107	1,525	8	VE-4 = 5.8-inches W.C.
	10:00 AM	120	59.0	11.0	16.0	4,790	105	789	23	
	11:00 AM	180	58.0	12.0	18.0	4,480	98	556	36	
	12:00 PM	240	60.0	12.0	18.0	4,460	97	531	49	VE-4 = 6.0-inches W.C.
	2:00 PM	300	60.0	14.0	20.0	4,410	96	422	62	

Notes and abbreviations:

lbs/day: pounds per day

Hydrocarbon removal rate and cumulative hydrocarbon removal were calculated using the following formula:

lb/day =	ppmv (60 min/hr) (24 hr/day) (scfm) (86 lb/lb-mole)	
	(1,000,000) (379 ft ³ /lb-mole)	
Where:	ppmv = average hydrocarbon concentration in parts per million by volume	
	ft/min = velocity or flow rate in standard cubic feet per minute	
	scfm = vapor flow rate in standard cubic feet per minute	
	1 Mole of air = 24.46 Liters volume at standard temperature and pressure (77° F and 29.92" w.c.)	
	86 lb/lb-mole = average molecular weight of gasoline	
	379 ft ³ /lb-mole = average molar weight of air	
: not me	asured	lbs: pounds
>10,000:	concentrations exceed the upper limit of the detection range of the PID	PID: photo-ionization detector calibrated to hexane
HC: hydro	carbons	ppmv: parts per million by volume
Infl.: influ	ent	scfm: standard cubic feet per minute; scfm is calculated
in H ₂ O: in	ches of water	using a standard temperature of 70° F

W.C.: water column



TABLE 2BSoil Vapor Extraction Pilot Test - Extraction Well VE-3April 6, 2011Station 01-352Bremerton, Washington1 of 1

Date	Time	Test Duration (min)	Extraction Point VE-3 Vacuum (in of H ₂ O)	· _ ·	VE-2 Vacuum (in of H ₂ O)	Measured Air Velocity (ft/min)	Flow (scfm)	Influent PID Reading (ppmV)	Hydrocarbon Mass Removed (lbs)	
4/6/2011	8:00 AM		25.0	18.0	18.6	4,850	106	5,990	0	Begin Extraction - VE-3
	8:30 AM	30	70.0	21.0	24.0	4,730	103	>10,000	7	
	9:00 AM	60	72.0	21.0	25.0	4,480	98	>10,000	14	VE-4 = 5.8-inches W.C.
	10:00 AM	120	70.0	21.0	27.0	3,940	86	3,977	18	
	11:00 AM	180	70.0	21.0	27.0	3,780	83	3,977	23	
	12:00 PM	240	72.0	21.0	26.0	3,848	84	3,100	26	
	1:00 PM	300	73.0	22.0	26.0	3,720	81	>10,000	37	
	2:00 PM	360	69.0	22.0	26.0	3,810	83	3,990	42	VE-4 = 6.0-inches W.C.
	3:00 PM	420	69.0						47	

Notes and abbreviations:

Hydrocarbon removal rate and cumulative hydrocarbon removal were calculated using the following formula:

11- / -1	ppmv (60 min/hr) (24 hr/day) (scfm) (86 lb/lb-mole)							
lb/day =	(1,000,000) (379 ft ³ /lb-mole)							
Where:	ppmv =average hydrocarbon concentration in parts per million by volume ft/min = velocity or flow rate in standard cubic feet per minute scfm = vapor flow rate in standard cubic feet per minute 1 Mole of air = 24.46 Liters volume at standard temperature and pressure (77° F and 29.92" w.c.) 86 lb/lb-mole = average molecular weight of gasoline 379 ft ² /lb-mole = average molar weight of air							
: not mea >10,000: c HC: hydroc Infl.: influe	oncentrations exceed the upper limit of the detection range of the PID arbons							
lbs/day: pou lbs: pounds PID: photo ppmv: parts	-ionization detector calibrated to hexane s per million by volume ard cubic feet per minute; scfm is calculated using a standard temperature of 70 degrees F							

APPENDIX A

HISTORICAL SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS

Table 1

SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS (PID/WTPHG/BTEX) Time Oil Company No. 01-352 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

Sample Designation	Sample Date	Sample Depth (Ft)	PID (ppm)	WTPHG (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethlybenzene (mg/kg)	Xylene (mg/kg)
GP-1	6/17/97	0-4	<	<	<	<	<	<
GP-1	6/17/97	4-8	<	<	<	<	<	<
GP-1	6/17/97	8-12	<	<	< 1	<	<	<
GP-2	6/17/97	0-4	131	<	<	<	<	<
GP-2	6/17/97	4-8	26	<	<	<	<	<
GP-2	6/17/97	8-12	1454	<	<	<	<	<
B-2 10 Ft	6/17/97	10	1454	83.3	ND	0.0886	0.141	0.14
GP-2 12-15.5 Ft	6/17/97	12-15.5	130	41.4	ND	ND	0.0846	0.854
GP-3	6/17/97	0-4	28	<	<	<	<	<
GP-3	6/17/97	4-8	12	<	<	<	<	<
GP-3 8-12 Ft	6/17/97	8-12	21	2.43	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-3 12-14 Ft	6/17/97	12-14	13	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-4	6/17/97	0-4	7	<	<	<	<	<
GP-4	6/17/97	4-8	16	<	<	<	<	<
GP-4 8-9.5 Ft	6/17/97	8-9.5	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-5	6/17/97	5	40	<	<	<	<	<
GP-5 10 Ft	6/17/97	10	1489	159	11.9	26.5	2.66	14.5
GP-5 15 Ft	6/17/97	15	122	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-6	6/17/97	5	8	<	<	<	<	<
GP-6 10 Ft	6/17/97	10	74	7.16	ND	0.254	0.101	0.692
GP-7	6/17/97	5	42	<	<	<	<	<
GP-7 10 Ft	6/17/97	10	1343	1410	4.68	37.1	19.3	135
GP-7 15 Ft	6/17/97	15	256	30.8	2.12	3.95	0.492	3.19
GP-8	6/17/97	5	24	<	<	<	<	<
GP-8 10 Ft	6/17/97	10	957	166	ND	0.767	2.18	13.8
GP-8 15 Ft	6/17/97	15	96	229	ND	4.25	3.99	23.3
GP-9	6/17/97	5	15	<	<	<	<	<
GP-9 10 Ft	6/17/97	10	48	31.2	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-10	6/17/97	5	29	<	<	<	<	<

~

Table 1

SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS (PID/WTPHG/BTEX) Time Oil Company No. 01-352 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

Sample Designation	Sample Date	Sample Depth (Ft)	PID (ppm)	WTPHG (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethlybenzene (mg/kg)	Xylene (mg/kg)
B-10 10 Ft	6/17/97	10	1676	184	0.266	2.36	1.68	9.11
GP-10 15 Ft	6/17/97	15	57	12.4	0.317	2.08	0.223	1.42
GP-11	6/18/97	5	3	<	<	<	<	<
GP-11	6/18/97	10	158	<	<	<	<	<
GP-11 15 Ft	6/18/97	15	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-12	6/18/97	5	25	<	<	<	<	<
GP-12	6/18/97	10	207	<	<	<	<	<
GP-12 15 Ft	6/18/97	15	1044	30	2.07	6.21	0.248	3.13
GP-12 20 Ft	6/18/97	20	11	2.53	ND	0.0809	ND	0.113
GP-13	6/18/97	5	8	<	<	<	<	<
GP-13 10 Ft	6/18/97	10	9	2.42	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-14	6/18/97	5	14	<	<	<	<	<
GP-14 10 Ft	6/18/97	10	95	74	0.186	0.298	0.819	3.52
GP-15	6/18/97	5	178	<	<	<	<	<
GP-15 10 Ft	6/18/97	10	808	149	0.799	6.1	1.61	9.5 9
GP-16 5 Ft	6/18/97	5	34	2.25	ND	ND	ND	ND
GP-16 10 Ft	6/18/97	10	1081	558	5.68	23.3	8.47	44.1
GP-17	6/18/97	5	126	<	<	<	<	<
GP-17 10 Ft	6/18/97	10	329	63.1	1.5	1.08	0.765	3.15
SS-1	9/26/97	<	<	2110	2.61	77.9	40.9	230
SS-2	9/26/97	<	<	11.7	ND	ND	ND	0.520
FLOOR-12'	9/26/97	12	V	1180	6.87	49.7	17.2	72.6
SW WALL-8'	9/26/97	8	<	356	4.85	13.5	5.4	30.3
SE WALL-8'	9/26/97	8	<	49.8	6.95	5.67	0.83	5.01
N&E WALL-8'	9/26/97	8	<	7220	27.6	191	111	626
EWALL-8'	9/26/97	8	<	ND	1.16	0.358	0.134	0.546
E TANK-5.5'	10/10/96	5.5	<	117	1.07	12.9	2.68	13.4

Table 1

SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS (PID/WTPHG/BTEX) Time Oil Company No. 01-352 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

Sample Designation	Sample Date	Sample Depth (Ft)	PID (ppm)	WTPHG (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethlybenzene (mg/kg)	Xylene (mg/kg)
W TANK-5.5'	10/10/96	5.5	<	ND	0.278	0.0642	ND	1.85
SPI-2'	10/1/96	2	<	336	ND	0.246	0.54	4.75
CPI-2'	10/1/%	2	<	34	ND	ND	0.11	0.613
NPI-2	10/1/%	2	<	20.2	ND	0.103	0.0872	1.06
Method A Cleanup Levels				200	0.5	40	20	20

Notes:

mg/kg	milligrams per kilograms (ppm)
ND	Not detected in concentrations exceeding the laboratory reporting limits
<	Not tested/analyzed
WTPH-G	Washington Method Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline

APPENDIX B

SOIL BORING LOGS



SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOG CLIENT: PCTP PROJECT NUMBER: 623 LOCATION: (1,352) Bremerton, WA

GEOLOGIST: B. Haukins DATE DRILLED: 3/30/11 DRILLING COMPANY: Holocene Drilling

Boring/well id number: VE-1

TOTAL DEPTH: 30' DRILLING METHOD: HSA SAMPLE METHOD: Drill calthings

Page ____ of ____

SURFAC	E ELEV	ATION:									THING:	ر 			EASTIN	G: -	-	. <i>basi</i> .		<u>ی</u>		
	Time (24 hr)	hber	Sample (mdd) Breathing Zone Blow Count									GEOLOGIC	DESC	RIPTION							Symbol	act
Ueptn (π) Samples		Sample Number			Blow Count	Recovery (ft)	% gravel	% sand	% non- plastic fines	% plastic fines	Lithologic Name	Color	Moisture	Density	Consistency	Plasticity	Angularity	Max. grain size	grading	Additonal Modifiers	Soil Class. Syr	Lithologic Contact (ft bgs)
	44.							70		ļ	Asphalt-fill med-eus soud w/ gravel	JK bry	M			~	SA	13/4	P		SP	
	1415		15,3	6			/	95	5	/	Fine Sand	Redbrn	1-1	100%	<u>_</u>	~	SA	/	Ρ			
		~	275	0	<u> </u>	_	/	75	25	1	Silty sand . fine	Rec bron	Μ	nd. dense		~	54	L 1/44	P		SM	
- 40		~	328	Ó	~		~	75	25	1	SAA	Rd bn	M	kd. Inse			54	51/64	P	Slight NC odor	SM	
			502	0	~	~	15	80	5		Poorly graded Sand w/ gravel - Fine sand	1+. bry	D	and. dense	~		54	23/4	P	slight Ne odor	SP	
+		<u> </u>	985	0	~	~	15	30	5	1	SAA	H. brn	D	had, dease	~		54	23/4	Р	HC odor	SP	
+ + + + + + + + + + + + +	1600	VE -1-30	105	0		/	1	9<		· San and	Poorly graded sand - fine sand	Rebun	D	nd. dense	1	1	SA	= 164	9		sp	
lotes: Bo Boring Screen	eomp red u	erminated e lated as 4' of 0.01' slot e lot from 151.	SVE 5 to 15	well	۱.	scol	> 1/4 inch	visible -1/4 in.	visible with hand lens	not visible	See USCS flow Charts. Describe sand and gravel grading, ie, fine to coarse grained	Use Munsell color chart if available	Dry Moist Wet	Course: v. loose loose md. dense dense	Fines: v. soft soft md. Stiff v.stiff hard	med Iow	A Sa Sr R	in inches	poorly vs. well	odor, staining, minerology, stucture, cementation,		

WT not encountered



SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOG CLIENT: PC3F PROJECT NUMBER: 623 LOCATION: 1,352) Bremerton WA SURFACE ELEVATION:

BORING/WELL ID NUMBER: VE-2

TOTAL DEPTH: 30¹ DRILLING METHOD: HSA SAMPLE METHOD: Drill cuttings

Page ____ of ____

SURFAC	E ELE	/ATION:								NOR	THING:				EASTIN	IG: "	CARGE CONTRACTOR			3~		
	Time (24 hr)	ber	FID/ (pp									GEOLOGIC	DESC	CRIPTION							lod	act
Depth (ft) Samples		Sample Number	Sample	Breathing Zone Blow Count		Recovery (ft)	% gravel	% sand	% non- plastic fines	% plastic fines	Lithologic Name	Color	Moisture	Density	Consistency	Plasticity	Angularity	Max. grain size	grading	Additonal Modifiers	Soil Class. Symbol	Lithologic Contact (ft bgs)
	1000		25.7			~	22	75		1	Asphalt- Sand W/gravec Ciurasid/course specifi	Alk gray	м	loore	1	~	54		Ρ		SP	
	1015		390	0	1	~	~	30	20	~	Silty sand - fine	H.brn	m	hd. dryg	~	`	SA	=164	р	slight NC odor	5M	
	1035		525	0			T	95	5	~	Poorly graded said fine to we do said track special	brn	Μ	hd. dense		/	SĄ	1-18	P	Slight HK 6dor	SP	
	1045		647	0		<	15	80	5	1	Poorly graded said wignauck med tware said	bra to Sray	D	hid. Lese		<u> </u>	SA	51/8	P	Slight He odor	sp	
	1105		1540	6	/	/	15	30	5	/	SAA	br to Grey	D	hed. dense		/	SĄ	-1/8	ρ	Strang He odor	Sp	
30 185	1130	VE -2-30	171	0	~	/	/	95		_	Poorly greded said fine seed	- br. to	D	rd. Grsc	/	1	2	= 1/64	Ą	slight He odan	\$2	
Notes Boring	oring 3 com Peo g	terminated e plated as y' ravel from 2-15, 1	54030	Lure ! a' a	11. t Seri	O General	> 1/4 inch	visible -1/4 in.	visible with hand lens	not visible	See USCS flow Charts. Describe sand and gravel grading, ie, fine to coarse grained	Use Munsell color chart if available	Dry Moist Wet	Course: v. loose loose md. dense dense	Fines: v. soft soft md. Stiff v.stiff hard	high med low non	A Sa Sr R	in inches	poorly vs. well	odor, staining, minerology, stucture, cementation,		

wit not encountered



SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOG

CLIENT: PCIP PROJECT NUMBER: 623 LOCATION: (1.352) Bremeton, WA SURFACE ELEVATION: - GEOLOGIST: B. Haukins DATE DRILLED: 3/29/11 DRILLING COMPANY: Holocene Drolling NORTHING: ---

Boring/well id number: $V \not \equiv -3$

TOTAL DEPTH: 30' DRILLING METHOD: 145A SAMPLE METHOD: D-; 11 cm#i-55 EASTING: -

Page <u></u> of <u></u>

501		ATION:			·····	·				NON	THING:				EASTIN	0	Constant of the local division of the local						
		î	lber	FID/ (pp									GEOLOGIC	DESC	CRIPTION							lodn	act
Depth (ft)	Samples	Time (24 hr)	Sample Number	Sample	Breathing Zone	Blow Count	Recovery (ft)	% gravel	% sand	% non- plastic fines	% plastic fines	Lithologic Name	Color	Moisture	Density	Consistency	Plasticity	Angularity	Max. grain size	grading	Additonal Modifiers	Soil Class. Symbol	Lithologic Contact (ft bgs)
, , , ,		1430		187		~	/	/	95		/	Asphalt - Poorly gradedsand- fire sound	dk Ster	M	loose	~		SĄ	= 1/64	Р	- F. ¹	Sp	
		1445		536	0	~	1		K	25	~~~~	Silty Sand - fime sand some clay & 81	9 rcy/ brn	Μ	md deuse	<u> </u>		/	£ 1/44	P	Slight HC odor	SM	
		1500		327	0	1	1	/	25	75	_	Silt w/ sand - Fine some clay o his!	lt, brn	Μ		Very Soft	1	/	6.1/6y	Þ	HC ador	ML	
		1515		22=	0	1	1	1	Z5	75	/	Silt w/ sand- med to coave	Bry/ brn	M		Vey. soft	1	54	~ ¹ /8	P	HC odor	ML	
		1536		105	0	1	/		25	75	/	Siltw/sand - fine to had some day e +23!	sky/ bn	M	\ \	Vay soft		5,4	£ 1/8	P	HC odor	ML	
	-	1600		432	1	1	1	/	95		~~~	Silty sand fix to coase	Hi, bin	V	had. dense			5,4	L 1/8	P	HC odor	SM	
Notes Bor Sco	Bo ing ur	Lomp ed 10	erminated e30 Nated es 4' 'to 20' w/ 0 from 20 to 2	SV& (2.03" S		to 2	J. 0'	> 1/4 inch	visible -1/4 in.	visible with hand lens		See USCS flow Charts. Describe sand and gravel grading, ie, fine to coarse grained	Use Munsell color chart if available	Dry Moist Wet	Course: v. loose loose md. dense dense	Fines: v. soft soft md. Stiff v.stiff hard	high med Iow non	Sa Sr	in inches	poorly vs. well	odor, staining, minerology, stucture, cementation,		

water e 25' bgs.



SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOG CLIENT: PC3 F PROJECT NUMBER: 623 LOCATION: (1:352) Bromerica, WA SURFACE ELEVATION: ----

WT not encountere

GEOLOGIST: B. Hawkins DATE DRILLED: 3/29/11 DRILLING COMPANY: Holocene Drilling NORTHING:

Boring/Well id number: VE-Y

TOTAL DEPTH: うい DRILLING METHOD: はらみ SAMPLE METHOD: Dかり いいがっち EASTING: ____

Page _____ of _____

		Time (24 hr)	lber	FID/ (pp						GEOLOGIC DESCRIPTION										lodn	act		
Depth (ft)	Samples		Sample Number	Sample Breathing Zone Blow Count		Recovery (ft)	% gravel	% sand	% non- plastic fines	% plastic fines	Lithologic Name	Color	Moisture	Density	Consistency	Plasticity	Angularity	Max. grain size	grading	Additonal Modifiers	Soil Class. Symbol	Lithologic Contact (ft bgs)	
		845	/	40 ZID	0	1	1	1	95		/	Asphalt- Poorly graded sand - fine to coarse Taravel	grey to H. brn	M	tooge	~	/	54	~1/8	P		SP	
	-	900		210	0	1	1	_	25	75		silt w/ sand fire to hed	brn	м	me	~		54	4.1/8	P		ML	
10	-	915	~	359	6	1			૧૬	5		Poorly graded sand - five to knod	lt. bro	M	hid denise		/	SĄ	=18	P		SP	
		130	<u> </u>	49	0	10	~	T	85	15	~	Silty sound - fine to med Trace state 1	Jry / brn	D	dense	~		54	5'/8	P	Slight HC adar	SM	
25		745		230	0	1	1	-20	60	20		Silty sound w/ gravel	brn	D	md. dense	>	<u> </u>	SA	43kj	P	slight NK odor	SM	
30		000		443	0	1		· anasang	95	15		Silty said- five to be d	grey/ brn	D	derse		~	SA	4 18	P	Slight HC odor	SM	
Notes: Bori Sci	5	<i>com</i> red	plated as 4 loto 30' w/	60° by 'SVC	E LA Sla	12 5 : 11. t.	rol.	> 1/4 inch	visible -1/4 in.	visible with hand lens		See USCS flow Charts. Describe sand and gravel grading, ie, fine to coarse grained	Use Munsell color chart if available	Dry Moist Wet	Course: v. loose loose md. dense	Fines: v. soft soft md. Stiff v.stiff	med low	A Sa Sr R	in inches	poorly vs. well	odor, staining, minerology, stucture, cementation,		

dense

hard

APPENDIX C

WELL COMPLETION LOGS








APPENDIX D

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

	riea	se print or type (Form designed for use on elite (1	2 pitch) typewriter)					
		NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST	1. Generator's US EPA			Manifest Document No	13097	2. Page 1
		2 Generator's Name and Mailing Address	CESAL	1			IJUIT_	10 01/
		S. T. 47	so whenpow	C/O GUREN STRATTOSY				
		4. Generator's Phone (303 214 3 266 3)	emorten wa	-				
		5. Transporter 1 Company Name		6. US EPA ID Number		A. State Trans		
		7. Transporter 2 Company Name		ORQ and ZIZISC	1	B. Transporter	1 Phone 5:3 224	13206
	No.			8. US EPA ID Number		C. State Trans		
		9. Designated Facility Plante and Site Address		10. US EPA ID Number		D. Transporte E. State Facili		
	<u>k</u>	11618 N Lomb	ard St			E. State Facili	iy s iD	
		Portland, OR 9	7203	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		F. Facility's Pr	none	
		11. WASTE DESCRIPTION	1205	0RQ00011643	1 12 00	503 ntainers	224/3206	
					No.	Туре	13. Total Quantity	14. Unit Wt./Vol.
		*NON REZULATED INA. (FDW, SUL CUT) *NON RECULATED INA (FDW), PURGE L	ANGA (So	20				
		(FOW, STIL LUT	Tinks)		15	Day	\$75	-6
	G	"Non RELULATED IN A	L	1233 1205	<u> </u> -		000	-7-
	GENER	(FOI) RIDE	701000 -	() () () ()	3	Don	157	
	E R	a That prese la	JAIER)			Som	150	9
	A T							
	O R	d.						
Щ								
AS		0.4477						
N	6	G. Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Above	3			H. Handling C	odes for Wastes Listed Abo	ove
SNO								
Da								
AB								
NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE		15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Info	rmation	·				· · ·
÷								
NO		B)16-18						
_					7			
	1	16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby cert in proper condition for transport. The materials de	ify that the contents of this	s shipment are fully and accurately described	d and are in	all respects		ASSESS ASSESS
V					guidtio(18,		·	
	A	Printed/Typed Name		Signature	1 -		Ma	Date onth Day Year
	T	17. Transporter + Acknowledgement of Receipt of M.	atariata	Bech Z. HA	why	o by 1	petf 1	
	RAN	Proted/Typed Name	aterials	Signature				Date
	NSPO	SCOT MLOUT		Sla		1	Mo	nth Day Year
	R	18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Ma	aterials					Date
	T E R	Printed/Typed Name		Signature			Mc	nth Day Year
	F	19. Discrepancy Indication Space						
	AC							
	ī	20. Facility Owner or Operator; Certification of receip	t of the waste materials c	overed by this manifest, except as noted in it	em 19.		Anna 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1	
	Ì.	1KM						Date
	Y	Kucu Koud Fo	- IRM	Signature	A	7	Ma	nth Day Year
		© 2002 LABELMASTER ® (800) 621-5808 www.lat		/		¥		110 000
	r•14	₩ 2002 LABELITIASTER * (800) 621-5808 www.lat	pelmaster.com		PRINTED	WITH		Rev. 3/95

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

-

APPENDIX E

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

SOIL ANALYTICAL REPORT



April 8, 2011

Becky Hawkins Environ Strategy One Technology Drive, Ste. B-123 Irvine, CA 92618

Dear Ms. Hawkins:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the 1352 Bremerton Project in Bremerton, Washington. Soil samples were analyzed for Diesel Range Organics and Lube Oil Range Organics by NWTPH-Dx/Dx Extended; Gasoline Range Organics by Method NWTPH-Gx, Volatile Organic Compounds by 8260/5035, and Total Lead on March 31 through April 4, 2011.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. All soil values are reported on a dry weight basis. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. An invoice for this analytical work is also enclosed.

ESN Northwest appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services for Environ Strategy for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give me a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

Michael a Korone

Michael A. Korosec President

Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc 1352 BREMERTON PROJECT Client Project #623 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analysis of Diesel Range Organics & Lube Oil Range Organics in Soil by Method NWTPH-Dx/Dx Extended

Sample	Date	Date	Surrogate	Diesel Range Organics	Lube Oil Range Organics
Number	Prepared	Analyzed	Recovery (%)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
Method Blank	4/1/2011	4/1/2011	108	nd	nd
VE-1-30	4/1/2011	4/1/2011	113	nd	nd
VE-2-30	4/1/2011	4/1/2011	115	nd	nd
Reporting Limits				50	100

"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits. "int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE : 50% TO 150%

Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc 1352 BREMERTON PROJECT Client Project #623 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analysis of Gasoline Range Organics in Soil by Method NWTPH-Gx

Sample	Date	Date	Surrogate	Gasoline Range Organics
Number	Prepared	Analyzed	Recovery (%)	(mg/kg)
Method Blank	3/31/2011	4/4/2011	101	nd
LCS	3/31/2011	4/4/2011	93	107%
VE-1-30	3/31/2011	4/4/2011	99	nd
VE-2-30	3/31/2011	4/4/2011	97	nd
Reporting Limits				10

"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits.

"int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE: 65% TO 135%

Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc 1352 BREMERTON PROJECT Client Project #623 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds in Soil by Method 8260/5035

Analytical Results

	Reporting		LCS	LCSD	VE-1-30	VE-2-30		
Date extracted	Limits	03/31/11	03/31/11	03/31/11	03/31/11	03/31/11		
Date analyzed	(ug/Kg)	04/04/11	04/04/11	04/04/11	04/04/11	04/04/11		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	50	. 1				_		
Chloromethane	50	nd			nd	nd		
	50	nd			nd	nd		
Vinyl chloride Bromomethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Chloroethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Trichlorofluoromethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Acetone		nd			nd	nd		
1,1-Dichloroethene	250 50	nd	1070/	1200/	nd	nd		
Methylene chloride		nd	127%	139%	nd	nd		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	20 50	nd			nd	nd		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
1,1-Dichloroethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
2-Butanone (MEK)	50 250	nd			nd	nd		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	230 50	nd nd			nd	nd		
2,2-Dichloropropane	50 50				nd	nd		
Chloroform	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Bromochloromethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
I,1-Dichloropropene	50 50	nd nd			nd	nd		
Carbon tetrachloride	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Benzene	50 20	nd	100%	115%	nd	nd		
Trichloroethene (TCE)	20 20	nd	96%		nd	nd		
I,2-Dichloropropane	20 50	nd	9070	111%	nd	nd		
Dibromomethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Bromodichloromethane	50	nd			nd	nd		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	250	nd			nd	nd		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	230 50	nd			nd	nd		
Foluene	50 50	nd	118%	138%	nd	nd		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	50	nd	11070	138%	nd	82		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
2-Hexanone	250	nd			nd	nd		
1,3-Dichloropropane	230 50	nd			nd	nd		
Dibromochloromethane	50	nd			nd	nd		
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	20	nd			nd	nd		
l,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	20 50	nd			nd	nd		
Chlorobenzene	50	nd	98%	113%	nd	nd		
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	50 50	nd	90/0	11370	nd	nd		
Ethylbenzene	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Xylenes	150	nd			nd 170	nd		
Styrene	50	nd			170	180		
Bromoform	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
sopropylbenzene	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	50 50	nd			nd	nd		
Bromobenzene	50 50				nd	nd		
JUNIOUCIIZOILE	50	nd			nd	nd		

Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc 1352 BREMERTON PROJECT Client Project #623 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds in Soil by Method 8260/5035

Analytical Results

	Reporting	ATH BLK	LCS	LCSD	VE-1-30	VE-2-30
Date extracted	Limits	03/31/11	03/31/11	03/31/11	03/31/11	03/31/11
Date analyzed	(ug/Kg)	04/04/11	04/04/11	04/04/11	04/04/11	04/04/11
n-Propylbenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
2-Chlorotoluene	50	nd			nd	nd
4-Chlorotoluene	50	nd			nd	nd
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
tert-Butylbenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	50	nd			55	64
sec-Butylbenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
Isopropyltoluene	50	nd			nd	nd
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
n-Butylbenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	50	nd			nd	nd
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
Naphthalene	50	nd			nd	nd
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	50	nd			nd	nd
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	50	nd			nd	nd
Surrogate recoveries						
Dibromofluoromethane		106%	109%	110%	115%	113%
Toluene-d8		113%	103%	107%	113%	115%
4-Bromofluorobenzene	nofluorobenzene 101		96%	93%	99%	97%

Data Qualifiers and Analytical Comments nd - not detected at listed reporting limits

Acceptable Recovery limits: 65% TO 135% Acceptable RPD limit: 35%

Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc 1352 BREMERTON PROJECT Client Project #623 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analysis of Total Lead in Soil by Method 6020

Sample	Date	Date	Lead (Pb)
Number	Prepared	Analyzed	(mg/kg)
Method Blank	4/4/2011	4/4/2011	nd
VE-2-30	4/4/2011	4/4/2011	nd
VE-2-30 Duplicate	4/4/2011	4/4/2011	nd
Reporting Limit			5.0

Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc 1352 BREMERTON PROJECT Client Project #623 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

QA/QC Data - Analysis of Total Metals in Soil by Method 6020

Sample Numb	per: VE-2-30						
		Matrix Spik	e	Matr	ix Spike Du	plicate	RPD
	Spiked Conc. (mg/kg)	Measured Conc. (mg/kg)	Spike Recovery (%)	Spiked Conc. (mg/kg)	Measured Conc. (mg/kg)	Spike Recovery (%)	(%)
Lead	86	85.8	100	91	91.7	101	1.00
	Labora	atory Control	Sample	-			
	Spiked Conc. (mg/kg)	Measured Conc. (mg/kg)	Spike Recovery (%)				
Lead	100	100	100				

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR MATRIX SPIKES: 80%-120% ACCEPTABLE RPD IS 35%

ESN	Environmental
NORTHWEST, INC.	Services Network

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

CLIENT: Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc.														C	DAT	E:	3	3)	1)			_ P.	AGE	OF				
ADDRESS: One																F	PRO	JEC	1 TC	NAN	٨E:	and the second s	.3	52	B	iremertor	1		
PHONE: 949-L	186	- 08	84																							Way, Brow			
CLIENT PROJECT	#:	62	_3		PROJE	СТ	MA	NA	GE	R:	3.	Ha	w	6	.'hs		COL	LEC	то	R:	<u>B.</u> 1	179	w	Ic'	20		DATE OF 3	130	1
Sample Number	Depth	Time	Sample Type	Co	ntainer Type	2 4	HALY NALY	549 9-0 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	N O	The second	ME STE	ari Baring Sologi	SP 140		810 e	2982 2982	Scides -	021 4021 1025	AN THE REAL PROPERTY OF	Designed	AN SU	10 - SI	o su	NIO A		NOTES		Total Number of Containers	Laboratory Note Number
1.VE-1-30	30	1600	5				X	Х	X		X											6Hì	X	1			·	4	
2.VE-2-30	30	1130	5				\mathbf{X}	X			X		1									BW	×	X		EDB, EDG	NER-Pb	4	
3.																													
4.																													
4 . 5 .																													
6.																										1			
7.																													
<u>8.</u> 9.																													
10. 11. 12. 13.																													
12.		-																1											
13.																													
14.																													
15.																													
16.																													
17.								$\mathcal{N}^{(n)}$				are and																ŀ	
18.											با تم يتعو	-						1											
RELINQUISHED BY (Signa	ture)	DA	TE/TIME		RECEIVE	ED B	IY (S	Signa	ture)		-	TIME				SAI	MPLE	RE	CEIP	т				L	ABORATORY NOT	ES:		
Barry Mark		3/3	111/14	•2	5 hr	A	L	Ľ	- Berlen		2/1	31/	11	88mar	TOTA	LNU	MBE	R OF	CON	ITAI	NER	S							
RELINQUISHED BY (Signa	ture)		TE/TIME		RECEIVE	ED 8	IY (S	ilgna	ture)	DA	TE	TIME		CHAI	NOF	CUS	TOD	Y SE	ALS	Y/N	NA							
														SEALS INTACT? Y/N/NA									2						
	SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS												\neg	RECE		GO	DD C	OND	. <i>I</i> CO	DLD									
	SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS											NOTES: Turn Around Time: 24 HR 48 HR 5 E										DAY							

SOIL VAPOR ANALYTICAL REPORT

Environ Strategy Consultant, Inc PCF-Bremetton PROJECT Client Project #623 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analysis of Gasoline Range Organics, BTEX in Soil Vapor by Method NWTPH-Gx/8260

Sample	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Gasoline Range Organics	Surrogate
Number	Analyzed	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)	Recovery (%)
Method Blank	4/8/2011	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	102
LCS	4/8/2011	125%	139%	115%	114%	104%	90
LCSD	4/8/2011	100%	121%	107%	101%		91
Air-040711	4/8/2011	9.1	7.8	8.4	27	2200	96
Air-040711 Dup	4/8/2011	13	14	8.8	33	2700	100
Reporting Limits		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	

"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits. "int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE (Bromoflurorbenzene) & LCS: 65% TO 1359

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

CLIENT: <u>ENVIRON Stratesy Caselling</u> , Inc. ADDRESS: <u>One Technology Arity, Sile B-123, CA 92618</u> PHONE: <u>949-486-0884</u> FAX: <u>949-486-0885</u>														C	DATE	:	٤	1/2	1	1			. P/	AGE	·	1		_OF _		1		
ADDRESS:	n_	Tela	·lay	Arry,	Seit	4	ß-	12	<u>7</u> ,	<u>_</u>	ΆĽ	<u>52</u>	61	£	F														ten			
PHONE:	19-	480	5-05	<u>284</u> FA	X:		34,	<u>2-</u>	_L	R	/ ((1 PR	B	`	L	.004	TIC	ON:			ßé	44	n.	<u>e 1</u>	<u>b</u> -		1/	4				
CLIENT PROJECT	#:	62	?7	PROJE	CT N	1AN	NAG	ER	<u>. </u>	2.	17	196	in_	Ł		COLI	.EC	то	R:_		(0	ک	2	£	2			DATE OF	F	<u>4/7/</u>	10
Sample Number	Depth	Time	Sample Type	Container Type	AN	AL CHINA	SES OFFS		NI DINE	3290	8780 5780	INOIS	210,210,210,210,210,210,210,210,210,210,	10 -01	992 992	COLORAD DE LA CO		AN AN	Desting to	914 914 91 91 91 91	11 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	NIL GU				NOT		/			Total Number of Containers	Laboratory Note Number
1. Air - 840711		14:00	A	Teller			X	E		T	Í				£							ſ	Í	ſ	ſ	A.	150	~	N			
2.							Í																									
3.																							Γ	T	T				,			
4.																						1			1					h-d		
5.	1					T		Τ	Τ				*****								1			1								
6.		1							Τ														1		1	<u></u>						<u> </u>
7.		1							T													1			1							<u> </u>
8.		1		1																		1		1	1							<u> </u>
9.							1			+	T										1	1	1-	1-	<u>†</u>							<u> </u>
10.	1	1		1						T											1	1	1	\uparrow	1						<u> </u>	<u> </u>
11.	1	*						1		T											t		1		1						<u> </u>	<u> </u>
12.									1													1	1	1-	+						<u> </u>	-
13.	1	1		1			1		+		-										1	1	+	1-	1						<u></u>	
	1	1							-												†	+	+	1-	<u>†</u>						<u> </u>	
<u>14.</u> 15.	1								1												†	<u>†</u>	+	1	1			····			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	1	1				-				T	-								,		†	1-	1	+	<u>+</u>			_,,		<u></u>		<u> </u>
<u>16.</u> 17.			<u> </u>			-1		-	十	+										†		+	†	+	+	~				w	<u> </u>	
18.		1							\top	1	-										†	\uparrow	1	┼──	+						<u> </u>	<u>+</u>
REMINIUISHED BY (Signa	ature)	DA	TE/TIME	RECEIV	ED B	Y (S	ignatu	re)		DAT	Е/П	ME	Τ		L	SAN	IPLE	E RE	CEIF	ι >Τ	.		+	$\frac{1}{1}$		RATO		OTE	<u>.</u>	······	L	1
4/7/11												*5	-17	OTAI		MBEF			_		S		1	7		01101			2.			
RELINQUISHED BY (Signature) DATE/TIME RECEIVED BY (Signature) DATE/TIME														HAIN	AIN OF CUSTODY SEALS Y/N/NA																	
												SEALS INTACT? Y/N/NA																				
												RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD																				
<u></u>				Deach D Re			Picku	 >						OTE			<u>-</u>						+	- т	'urn A	Around	t Time	e: (2	24 HR	48 [°] H	R 5	DAY
/سا (-0.00												- 1 ° `										1					-	the second se			

ESN

NORTHWEST, INC.

Environmental

Services Network