

**STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA)
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project: Blaine Marina Inc. Remedial Action
2. Name of applicant: Port of Bellingham Telephone: (360) 676-2500
Name of Contact: Ben H. Howard Telephone: Same as above.
3. Address: P.O. Box 1677
Bellingham, WA
98227-1677
4. Date checklist prepared: October 13, 2016
5. Agency requesting checklist: Department of Ecology

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The project will be completed in two phases.
Phase 1 (Remedial design and specifications) - October 2016 to June of 2017.
Phase 2 (Remedial Action/Construction) – July 2017 to October 2017.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

This remedial action will be conducted under Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) regulations with Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) oversight. The remedial action is focused on cleanup of the former bulk fuel facility and associated soil and groundwater contamination. The Port of Bellingham (Port) intends to integrate the remedial activities into the redevelopment of the industrial area in the future. Redevelopment of the Blaine Harbor industrial areas is currently in the planning stages.

8. List any environmental information (studies, reports, etc.) you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
- Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Report, Blaine Marina Inc. Site, prepared by Landau Associates, dated August 20, 2015;
 - Interim Action Completion Report-Emergency Bulkhead Repair, Blaine Marina Inc. Site, prepared by Landau Associates, dated February 5, 2013.
 - Public Review Agreed Order Amendment, prepared by Washington State Department of Ecology, dated November 2016;
 - Public Review Draft Cleanup Action Plan – Blaine Marina Inc. Site, prepared by Washington State Department of Ecology, dated November 2016.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

10. List any governmental approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. Include Federal, State, City, County, and local districts or regional offices.

The proposed remedial action will be conducted under an amendment to Agreed Order No. DE 9000 between the Port and Ecology within the authority of MTCA. The proposed remedial action is exempt from the procedural requirements of state and local permits that would otherwise be required, per RCW 70.105D.090. However, the proposed action is required to demonstrate substantive compliance with appropriate state and local permits.

These include: City of Blaine Shoreline Substantial Development Permit, clearing, grading, or demolition permits/approvals.

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (You may attach a page if this space is not adequate.)

The Port partially owns and operates Blaine Harbor located in Blaine, Washington. The project site, located at 214 Sigurdson Avenue in Blaine, Washington and encompasses approximately 0.9 acres (39,000 square feet) within Blaine Harbor (Figure 1). The Port is proposing the design and construction of a remedial action which is required to be addressed through MTCA under an amended Agreed Order with Ecology oversight.

The remedial action is outlined in the Draft Cleanup Action Plan and consists of the following components (see Figure 2):

- Decommissioning of existing structures including above ground storage tanks, former fueling system, and buildings/slabs;
- Excavation and off-site disposal of approximately 3,000 tons of TPH impacted soil from the former fueling facility source area;
- Sheetpile bulkhead extension to the north of the sheetpile bulkhead interim action area; and
- Installation of a bioremediation program consisting of an extensive system of infiltration trenches.

The project consists of following components:

The excavations will be backfilled to the current site grade and topped with sand/gravel, crushed rock, or asphalt. Surface conditions will be replaced with like material prior to excavation. No additional structures, utilities, or infrastructure is associated with the project.

12. Location of the Proposal:

224 Sigurdson Avenue, Blaine, Washington 98230

Partially located on DNR Aquatic Parcel ID: 1757957

NW ¼ Section 01, Township 40N, Range 01W

See attached vicinity map (Figure 1) and site plan (Figure 2)

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. EARTH

a. General description of the site:

- Flat
- Rolling
- Hilly
- Steep Slopes
- Mountainous
- Other

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The remedial action project area and the adjacent Blaine Harbor industrial area are relatively flat (<5% slope). The existing bulkhead is generally perpendicular to Blaine Harbor.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey database available online identifies soils in the Blaine Harbor area as being composed of the Blainegate-Urban land complex, consisting of silty clay and fill material.

Subsurface conditions within the remedial action area were explored in a variety of environmental and geotechnical exploratory borings to depths from the surface to 46.5 feet (ft) below the existing ground surface (bgs). Based on the subsurface conditions observed in the exploratory borings, site soils consist of 12 to 15 ft of fill material consisting of sandy, silty clay and lenses of silty sand. These materials are consistent with dredge fill, which was placed in the project area during marina dredging in the late 1950s. Below the fill, silty fine sand and fine sandy silt was present in each of the geotechnical borings; these soils were observed to a depth of about 25 ft bgs. Below a depth of about 25 ft bgs, very soft to medium stiff silty clay pockets of sandy clay are present to a maximum depth explored of about 50 ft bgs.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Prior to the interim action of the sheetpile bulkhead, the bulkhead consisted of timber piling, with timber lagging and riprap placed along the toe of the structure. Most of the bulkhead, including piling and lagging, was damaged. It had shifted and bowed, and the top of the bulkhead was rotated out toward the water with fill behind the bulkhead lost to erosion. Areas of collapsing pavement were present on the landward side of the failing bulkhead. The portions of bulkhead to be constructed north of the interim action are in similar condition and will be repaired as part of the remedial action. Soils upland of the bulkhead do not have a history of being unstable.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The remedial action will include the excavation and off-site disposal of approximately 3,000 tons of TPH impact soil from the former fueling facility source area. The excavations will be backfilled to the current site grade and topped with sand/gravel, crushed rock, or asphalt. Surface conditions will be replaced with like material prior to excavation.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Due to the flat topography of the site and the stormwater management components associated with the project design, erosion is not expected to result from the completed project. Appropriate best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented to address the potential for erosion during construction activities.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

There will be no change in impervious surfaces as a result of this project.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Contractors will be required to implement BMPs for erosion control during construction consistent with the Washington State Department of Ecology Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington. These may include covering stockpiles, use of fabric filter fencing, straw bales, interceptor swales and/or similar measures.

2. AIR

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Short-term emissions to the air would result from diesel and gasoline automobile/equipment exhaust during construction. A minor amount of dust may be generated from soil handling activities depending on the seasonal conditions. However, the portion of the excavations will occur at depth below the existing groundwater table which will reduce dust generation. The contractor will be prepared to implement dust suppression BMPs including, but not limited to covering and/or wetting any soil if necessary.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odors that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No off-site sources of emissions or odor have been identified that would affect the proposed project.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Dust suppression methods could include adjustments to excavation technique/speed, or applying water. These efforts are not expected to be necessary. If airborne dust is noticed to persist above background conditions, dust-suppression efforts will be implemented

immediately to remedy the concern. If petroleum hydrocarbon odors are noticed during excavation, the construction area will be screened using a photoionization detector (PID) for volatile organic compounds. Construction contractors would be required to comply with the Northwest Clean Air Agency regulations for emissions of odor-bearing air contaminants and any effects would be temporary.

3. **WATER**

a. Surface:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

The remedial action project location is adjacent to the Blaine Harbor marina which opens to Drayton Harbor and Semiahmoo Bay.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) of the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes, the project includes excavation of approximately 3,000 tons of TPH impacted soil and sheetpile bulkhead replacement within 200 feet of Blaine Harbor (Figure 2). No work will occur in or over the water. The sheetpile bulkhead will be constructed landward of the mean higher high water (MHHW).

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No fill or dredge material will be placed in Blaine Harbor as a result of this project. No in-water work is anticipated.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No surface water will be withdrawn or diverted as a result of this project.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

The proposed project is located within the 100-year flood plain as identified on Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) floodplain mapping for Whatcom County (refer to FIRM panel No. 53073C0635D; Figure 2).

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Potential discharges to surface water during the remedial action could include leakage of petroleum products (fuel, oil, grease, hydraulic fluid, lubricants etc.) from equipment and could enter water in stormwater runoff. BMP's will be in place to minimize and control potential surface water discharges during construction.

Post construction site conditions will not generate any waste materials that could discharge to surface waters.

b. Ground:

- 1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Groundwater may be withdrawn during excavation dewatering efforts on an as-needed basis. The need for dewatering, or actual dewatering volumes if it does become required, will be minimized through timing excavation activities to occur during optimal weather and tidal conditions, and potentially the use of shoring and excavation techniques. If dewatering becomes necessary, the estimated actual removal rate would be on the order of 5 to 10 gallons per minute; however, this rate could range as high as 10 to 50 gpm.

After source removal excavation activities, groundwater will be treated by bioremediation, which will include injecting bioremediation solution into the groundwater. This activity would be conducted under Washington State's Underground Injection Program, to reduce the concentration of contaminants in Site groundwater. The injection quantities will be determined during the remedial design, so the actual quantities are not known at this time.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste materials associated with domestic sewage or other activities will be discharged into the ground.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The only runoff from this project will be stormwater runoff from existing impervious surfaces. There are no engineered stormwater conveyance systems in the area of the remedial action. Any stormwater runoff generated in this area flows to the west into Blaine Harbor.

A Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control (TESC) plan will be developed and implemented throughout construction to minimize potential impacts associated with sediment and erosion. Temporary construction BMPs will include both source-control BMPs and treatment BMPs.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

It is possible that accidental spills from trucks or construction equipment could enter surface and/or groundwater during construction. However, spill response measures will be available on site during project construction and implemented in the event of a spill.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Potential construction-related stormwater runoff associated with the proposed project during construction will be addressed through implementation of a TESC plan and associated best management practices.

4. PLANTS

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

- Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other:
- Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other:
- Shrubs
- Grass
- Pasture
- Crop or grain
- Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other:
- Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, millfoil, other:
- Other types of vegetation: Blackberry

No vegetation is found on the site.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

No vegetation will be removed or altered as a result of this project.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None are known.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

No landscaping or use of vegetation is planned for the proposed project.

5. ANIMALS

a. Check any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds:

- Hawk, Great Blue Heron,
- Eagle, Songbirds;
- Other: Ducks, Geese, Cormorant, Gulls

Mammals:

- Deer, Bear,
- Elk, Beaver;
- Other:

Fish:

- Bass, Salmon,
- Trout, Herring,
- Shellfish; Other: Forage Fish

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The remedial action will occur exclusively on the upland portion of the site; however, federally listed or threatened species that could occur in the vicinity of the site include Chinook salmon, marbled murrelet, steelhead, bull trout, and Southern Resident orca.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes, all lands within the Whatcom County lowlands are within the Pacific Migratory Flyway. Birds that inhabit the area vary seasonally due to migration.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

The remedial action is being conducted to address site contamination. Long-term improvements to groundwater quality will improve and enhance adjacent surface water quality and related habitat conditions.

6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

- a. What kind of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

No long-term energy needs required for completed project, however fossil fuels and electric power will be required for the construction phase of the remedial action.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

There are no energy needs for this project once construction is complete, therefore, none are proposed.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Potential discharges to surface waters during cleanup project could include accidental spills or leakage of petroleum products from construction equipment. Excavation and handling of the petroleum contaminated soil and groundwater may result in short-term worker exposure to constituents present in the excavated material.

- 1) Describe special emergency services that might be required?

None are anticipated.

- 2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Standard handling procedures and Best Management Practices (BMP's) will be in place and conducted in accordance with MTCA site requirements. Contractors will be required to develop and comply with site specific Health and Safety Plan, including appropriate Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) training. Air monitoring will be conducted throughout the project area during construction activities. Following completion of the remedial action, site access will continue to be restricted until completion of the final cleanup action, or until additional institutional controls are put in place to ensure public safety.

b. **Noise**

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Existing noise will not affect the project.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Typical construction noise from vehicles and equipment would be expected on a short-term basis during daytime hours. No long-term noise is anticipated from this project.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Equipment will be appropriately sized for operations needed and running only when necessary.

8. **LAND AND SHORELINE USE**

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

The site was formerly used as a marine fueling facility; however, the property is currently vacant with former structures still present. Adjacent properties are used for boat repair, shipyard activities, fish processing, and other industrial marine uses. Blaine Harbor is adjacent to the bulkhead.

- b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

There are currently a number of vacant structures on the site that were utilized by the former marine fueling facility. The remaining structures include the following:

- Above ground storage tanks (3)
- Fueling system and associated piping
- Buildings used to support the former fueling facility and commercial uses

All of these structures are currently vacant and in limited use by the Port. Additionally there is a small pump house located along the Whatcom Waterway that is currently utilized by the Port for storm water management.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

Yes. All structures listed in 8c. above will be demolished as a source removal action.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The City of Blaine zoning classification of the site is Central Business Wharf District.

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The City of Blaine comprehensive plan classification of the site is Central Business Wharf District.

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The project area is identified in the City of Blaine Shoreline Master Program (SMP) as "urban" shoreline environment.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

The City of Blaine Critical Areas Map indicates that the site has been classified as a geologically hazardous area and frequently flooded area. The project site is also within the urban shoreline environment.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A

- l. Proposed measures to ensure that the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The project will remove existing contaminated soil from the site for off-site disposal and backfill the excavations to the existing grade. In addition, the project will remediate contaminated groundwater that has the potential to discharge to surface water. The project is compatible with existing land use and the planned redevelopment of the Blaine Harbor industrial area.

9. HOUSING

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A.

10. AESTHETICS

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

No permanent structures are proposed as part of the remedial action.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

N/A.

11. LIGHT AND GLARE

- a. What types of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

During construction, temporary lighting could be used by contractors during early morning hours (before 8:00am) or late afternoon hours (after 4:00pm) when needed. The lights will be turned off at the end of each workday. The completed remedial action does not incorporate lighting.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

N/A.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

N/A.

12. RECREATION

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The project is located in the Blaine Harbor industrial area. Other areas of Blaine Harbor are used as a large marina for recreational and commercial vessels, a boat ramp, a fishing pier, and public access trails.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No displacement of recreational uses would occur as a result of the proposed project.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

No measures are proposed to reduce or control impacts on recreation.

13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

According to the Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISAARD), no historic places or objects listed on the historic registers are located on or immediately next to the project site. Two historic structures listed on the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Register are located in the general vicinity of the project area. The M.V. Plover Ferry is located approximately 0.25 miles northeast of the project area and the Peace Arch is located approximately 0.75 miles northeast of the project area.

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

Star Fish Wharf located at 301 Marine Drive is identified in WISAARD. The property is not listed as a Historic Registry Property, but is listed on the Historic Property Inventory.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

The project is not anticipated to impact the aforementioned properties, and no measure to reduce or control impacts are proposed.

However, in the event that buried cultural artifacts, such as chipped or ground stone, historic refuse, building foundations, or human bone or other apparent archaeological artifacts are encountered, the Port will be notified immediately. The Port will notify Ecology, DAHP, the Lummi Nation, and Nooksack Tribe, and will invite the parties to attend an on-Site inspection with a professional archaeologist contracted by the Port. The archaeologist will document the discovery in a report submitted to DAHP so that they may control access to information regarding potential sensitive-site locations, in accordance with Chapter 27.53 RCW; the report will be referenced, but not included, in reports for the IA and RI/FS; and In the event of an inadvertent discovery of potential human remains, work will be immediately halted in the discovery area and the apparent remains will be covered and secured against further disturbance. The City of Blaine Police Department and Whatcom County Medical Examiner would be immediately contacted, along with DAHP and authorized Tribal representatives. A treatment plan would be developed by a professional archaeologist in accordance with applicable state law.

14. TRANSPORTATION

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on-site plans, if any.

Sigurdson Avenue connects to Marine Drive to the north and Marine Drive connects to State Route 548 to the east. Access to these roads will not change as a result of the proposed project.

- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The site is not served by public transit. Whatcom Transportation Authority route 70X serves Blaine City Hall, located approximately 0.70 miles east of the site.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

The project does not create or eliminate parking spaces.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The proposed project does not require any new roads or streets as well as no improvements to existing roads or streets. A portion of the planned bioremediation program will be installed within the limits of Sigurdson Avenue. Following construction, conditions within Sigurdson Avenue will be returned to original conditions.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

The project will not require water, rail, or air transportation.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

Excavated soil and demolition debris will be transported from the Site to a permitted and licensed off-site disposal facility to be selected by the project contractor. Based on the anticipated volume of soil to and demolition debris anticipated to be disposed of off-site, it is expected that during construction, up to 5 to 10 truck trips per day will be generated. In addition, construction workers would likely generate up to 10 trips per day and up to 5 peak hour trips.

Following completion of the remedial action, vehicular traffic is not anticipated to change as a result of the project.

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

Truck traffic will be routed from the site through Marine Drive. If needed, appropriate flagging and traffic control will be utilized to monitor traffic adjacent to the site.

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The proposed project will not generate a need for additional public services.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

No measures are proposed to reduce or control impacts on public services.

16. UTILITIES

- a. Check utilities currently available at the site:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electricity, | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Natural gas, |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water, | <input type="checkbox"/> Refuse service, |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone, | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sanitary sewer, |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Septic system, | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Internet |

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

None.

SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Matthew S

10/24/2016

Date Submitted