FSS

May 17, 2018

Christer Loftenius, LG, LHG, Site Manager State of Washington Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program, Eastern Region 4601 N. Monroe Street Spokane, WA 99205-1295

Re: Resubmittal of Draft Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Warden City Water Supply Wells Nos. 4 and 5 1900 Block W 1st Street, Warden, WA 98857 Cleanup Site ID 1618; Facility/Site ID 2802409

Dear Christer,

Attached is the *Draft Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study* (RI/FS) for the above reference project. Also, I have included a letter response to Washington Department of Ecology's (Ecology) email dated March 29, 2018, where Ecology provided comments on Simplot's March 2018 Draft RI/FS report.

Please feel free to contact me at 208.387.7033 or at mike.murray@hdrinc.com.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely, HDR Engineering, Inc.

Michael R. Murray, Ph.D.

CC: Karl Schultz, Simplot

FSS

May 17, 2018

Christer Loftenius Project Hydrogeologist, L.G. L.H.G. Dept. of Ecology, Eastern Region 4601 N. Monroe St. Spokane, WA 99205-1295

Re: March 2018 Draft Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Report Simplot Grower Solution, 1800 W. 1st Street, Warden, WA 98857

Dear Christer,

Following are responses to comments received via email on March 29:

Comment 1: Section 1, Table with pertinent Site information: please include Site CSID No.

Response: Added to Table, CSID: 1618; Facility 2802409

Comment 2: Subsection 1.1.5 City of Warden Wells: please include information regarding City wells # 8 and #9, located to the south of the Site within one mile. According to WA DOH, at least well #8 is actively being used to provide water for the City.

Response: Added.

Comment 3: Subsection 2.2.5 Remedial Alternatives-Alternative 3: please provide a detailed description of how the caliche is to be sampled for EDB using hollow-stem drilling technology, considering that some sampling methods may not be suitable in caliche.

Response: Additional language added.

Comment 4: Global correction: "restrictive convenient" should be "restrictive covenant".

Response: Corrected.

Comment 5: Subsection 2.2.6.3.3- Alternative 3-Institutional Controls...etc. fourth bullet: consideration must be made regarding what surface the soils are to be kept on during the *exsitu* SVE treatment. HDPE plastic may not suffice due to traffic with heavy earth moving equipment on top of the plastic and risk for mixing of EDB-containing soils with clean soils. Instead, a tarmac or concrete pad should be considered, onto which the soils are placed prior to the *ex-situ* SVE treatment.

Response: Ground surface at the site is very compacted. Rubber-tired vehicles should be able to reach onto HDPE plastic and minimize traffic to avoid ripping liner.

hdrinc.com

Christer Loftenius May 17, 2018 Page 2

Comment 6: Subsection 2.2.6.3.3- Alternative 3-Institutional Controls...etc. sixth bullet: Simplot is proposing excavation and ex-situ SVE during the winter months to prevent volatilization of EDB into the air. This is a good reason, however the ex-situ SVE will be less efficient due to the high water-EDB Henry's Law constant. Additionally, if the water in the soil freezes, the EDB extraction rate would be reduced even further. There are essentially two alternatives: (1) The excavated soils are treated during the summer months, hence the soils are kept in the treatment cells for 4-6 months prior to treatment or (2) the soil is heated during the SVE extraction process.

Response: Soils would be stockpiled until warmer months and the SVE would be run during warmer months. .

Comment 7: Subsection 2.2.6.3.3- Alternative 3-Institutional Controls...etc. eighth bullet: Simplot is proposing one conditional Point-of-Compliance well at the western side of the Site. Because groundwater flow fluctuate from a southerly direction in the summer to a westerly direction in the winter, Ecology suggest that three conditional Point-of-Compliance wells are installed at the west, southwest and south boundaries of the property from the area to be excavated. This is in order to capture potential off-site migration of EDB due to alternating groundwater flow directions.

Response: Per our conference call, the compliance wells would be 8S (existing well to the southwest of the soil EDB area), new well to the west (MW-11S) and a well to the northwest (MW-12S). Furthermore, MW-5S and MW-5D would not be replaced following excavation in the area of these two wells.

Comment 8: Table 1 showing extraction wells within one-mile radius from the Site: please include distance and direction (N, NNE, NE, ENE, E etc.) from the Site.

Response: Added.

Sincerely, HDR Engineering, Inc.

Michael R. Murray, PhD

Vice President, Project Manager

hdrinc.com

River Quarry at Parkcenter412 E. Parkcenter Blvd. Suite 100Boise, ID 83706-6659 (208) 387-7000

Draft Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Report

Simplot Grower Solutions 1800 W. 1st Street Warden, Washington 98857

November 2013 Revised June 2014 Update May 2018

Draft Final

Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Report

Simplot Grower Solutions

1800 W. 1st Street Warden, WA 98857

Prepared by: HDR Engineering, Inc. 412 East Parkcenter Boulevard Suite 100 Boise, Idaho 83706

November 2013

(Revised June 2014) (Updated May 2018)



Table of Contents

1	Rem	edial Investigation	1-1
	1.1 Ba	ckground Information	1-1
	1.1.1	Current Site Use	1-2
	1.1.2	Site Vicinity	1-2
	1.1.3	Site History	1-2
	1.1.4	Site Setting	1-3
	1.1.5	Previous Studies	1-5
	1.2 Na	ture and Extent of Contamination – Remedial Investigation Activities	1-8
	1.2.1	Geophysical Investigation	1-8
	1.2.2	Soil/Vadose Zone Investigations	1-8
	1.2.3	Groundwater Monitoring Wells	1-10
	1.2.4	Groundwater Monitoring	1-11
	1.2.5	Groundwater Pump Test City Well #5	1-12
	1.3 Co	nceptual Site Model	1-13
	1.3.1	Type and Source of Contaminants	1-13
	1.3.2	Transport and/or Migration Pathways	1-13
	1.4 Ap	plicable, Relevant, and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) Analysis	1-16
	1.4.1	Potential Chemical Specific ARARS	1-16
	1.5 Cle	eanup Levels/Risk Assessment Analysis	1-17
	1.5.1	Cleanup Levels	1-17
	1.5.2	Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation	1-18
	1.6 Dis	scussion and Recommendations	1-19
2	Feas	ibility Study	2-1
	2.1 Ide	ntification of Contamination to be Remediated	2-1
	2.2 Ide	ntification and Initial Screening of Remedial Alternatives	2-1
	2.2.1	Development of Cleanup Levels and Remedial Action Objectives	2-1
	2.2.2	Identification of Areas and Volumes of Impacts	2-2
	2.2.3	Point of Compliance and Compliance Monitoring	2-3
	2.2.4	General Response Actions and Initial Screening	2-4
	2.2.5	Remedial Alternatives	2-6
	2.2.6	Detailed Analysis of Remedial Alternatives	2-8
	2.2.7	Disproportionate Cost Analysis	2-21
	2.3 Re	commended Remedial Alternative	2-21
	2.4 Cle	eanup Action Plan and Schedule	2-21
3	Refe	rences	3-1
4	Table	9S	4-1
5	Figu	es	5-1

Tables

Table 1. Extraction Wells within 1-mile of the Site	4-3
Table 2. EDB Investigation History	4-4
Table 3. Description of City of Warden Wells	4-5

Table 4. Summary of EDB Sampling Results for City Wells #4 and #5	4-6
Table 5. GeoProbe [™] Sample Results (February 2012)	4-7
Table 6. Monitoring Well Soil Sample Results	4-8
Table 7. Combined Results Showing Caliche Layer and EDB Soil Samples	4-9
Table 8. Monitoring Well Construction Summary	4-10
Table 9. 2012 to 2013 Groundwater Elevation Measurements	4-11
Table 10. Quality Assurance and Quality Control Field Samples	4-13
Table 11. Summary of EDB Detected in Groundwater	4-13
Table 12. EDB Concentration in City Well #5 During Pump Test	4-13
Table 13. Selected Properties of Ethylene Dibromide	4-14
Table 14. Conceptual site Model for Simplot site	4-14
Table 15. Calculated Cleanup Levels for EDB	4-15
Table 16. Initial Remedial Technologies for EDB in Soil	4-17
Table 17. Initial Remedial Technologies for EDB in Groundwater	4-18
Table 18. Remedial Alternative Evaluation Summary	4-19

Figures

Figure 1. Vicinity Map	5-3
Figure 2. Project Site	5-4
Figure 3. Extraction Wells within 1 Mile	5-5
Figure 4. City Well Locations	5-6
Figure 5. Monitoring Well Network	5-7
Figure 6. Phase II Preliminary Ecology Investigation	5-8
Figure 7. GeoProbe Locations (February 2012)	5-9
Figure 8. Top of Caliche	5-10
Figure 9. Post Plot of EDB in Soil (µg/Kg)	5-11
Figure 10. Top of Weathered Basalt Elevation	5-12
Figure 11. Time Series Plot of Groundwater Elevations (2012 to 2013)	5-13
Figure 12. July 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels	5-14
Figure 13. July 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells	5-15
Figure 14. January 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels	5-16
Figure 15. January 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells and EDB Levels	5-17
Figure 16. City Well #5 Pump Test (August 14, 2013)	5-18
Figure 17. Estimated Limit of EDB in Soil	5-19

Appendices

Appendix A: City of Warden Production Well Logs

Appendix B: Soil Boring, GeoProbe[™] and Monitoring Well Logs

Appendix C: Groundwater Contour Maps

Appendix D: Groundwater Sampling Field Forms and Laboratory Reports (CD only)

Appendix E: 2005 Health Consultation for City Well #5

Appendix F: Cleanup Levels Worksheets and TEE Assessment

Appendix G: Costs Summary



Acronyms

ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements
bgs	below ground surface
CLARC	Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations
CUL	cleanup levels
DOH	Washington State Department of Health
Ecology	State of Washington, Department of Ecology
EDB	ethylene dibromide
HDR	HDR Engineering, Inc.
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MSL	mean sea level
MTCA	Model Toxics Control Act
OMM	operations, maintenance, and monitoring
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Pace	Pace Analytical
PGG	Pacific Groundwater Group
PID	photoionization detector
ppmv	parts per million volume
PQL	practical quantitation limit
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
RAO	remedial action objectives
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study
Simplot	J.R. Simplot Company
SVE	soil vapor extraction
TEE	terrestrial ecological evaluation
µg/Kg	microgram per kilogram
µg/L	microgram per liter
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
UST	underground storage tank
WAC	Washington Administrative Code



1 Remedial Investigation

Site Name	Simplot Growers Solutions Warden, Washington Site (in Agreed
	Order Ecology refers to site as Warden City Wells site)
Ecology Facility/sites ID	2802409
Agreed Order	No. 8421
Cleanup Site ID (CSID)	No. 1618 (Warden City Water Supply Wells 4&5)
Address	1800 West 1st Street
	Warden, WA 98857
Location:	GPS: 46.97025 46° 58' 13" North and -119.060309 -119° 3' 37"
	West
	UTM: Zone 11 N; 343279.18, 5203918.33
	Legal: SW T17N R30E S9
	Parcel: 060697000
	County: Grant Washington
Ecology Site Manager	Christer Loftenius, LG, LHG
	State of Washington Department of Ecology
	Toxics Cleanup Program, Eastern Region
	4601 N Monroe Street
	Spokane, Washington 99205-1295
	<u>clof461@ecywa.gov</u>
	509.329.3400
Potentially Liable Person	J.R. Simplot Company
(PLP)	P.O. Box 27
	Boise, Idaho 83707
PLP Contact	Karl Schultz, CSP
	J.R. Simplot Company
	P.O. Box 27
	Boise, Idaho 83707
	Karl.schultz@simplot.com
	208.780.7368
Site Owner	Same as PLP
RI/FS Preparer	HDR Engineering
	Michael Murray, Ph.D.
	412 East Park Center Boulevard, Suite 100
	Boise, Idaho 83706
	mike.murray@hdrinc.com
	208.387.7033

1.1 Background Information

The J.R. Simplot Company (Simplot) entered into an agreed order (Agreed Order 8241) with the State of Washington, Department of Ecology (Ecology) on May 27, 2011, to address the presence of ethylene dibromide (EDB), a fumigant, in soil and groundwater at Simplot's facility at 1800 W. 1st Street, Warden, Washington (**Figure 1** and **Figure 2**). Specifically, the agreed order requires Simplot to complete a remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS). A RI/FS work plan was submitted to

Ecology in November 2011 that outlines the study approach (HDR 2011). Simplot conducted RI/FS activities from November 2011 through October 2013 and submitted a draft RI/FS to Ecology in June 2014. Ecology provided comments to the draft RI/FS in September 2017 and Simplot conducted groundwater monitoring in December 2017 to update the draft RI/FS (presented herein).

The objective of this RI/FS is to meet the requirements of the agreed order in accordance with the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation (Washington Administrative Code [WAC] 173-340). The RI is designed to characterize site conditions in order to complete a FS and select a cleanup action as described in WAC 173-340-360 through 173-340-390.

The MTCA cleanup regulation sets forth the requirements and procedures to develop soil and groundwater cleanup standards. Cleanup levels must be based on the reasonable maximum exposure expected to occur under both current and future site conditions. Cleanup criteria are further described in Section 1.5.

1.1.1 Current Site Use

The Simplot property is currently used by Simplot for storing agricultural products (e.g., packaged fertilizers) in warehouses. The property consists of two warehouse buildings, an unpaved parking area, and several storage bins. In addition, the property hosts six groundwater monitoring wells.

Figure 1 and **Figure 2** are aerial photographs of the site and surrounding area that provide an indication of current land use. The parcel and surrounding parcels are listed by Grant County as "trade-general merchandise." Land use within 1 mile of the property includes commercial and light industry, open space (undeveloped), and agricultural. Simplot anticipates continuing to use the property for storage of agricultural products for the near future and has not identified any long-term changes to property use.

1.1.2 Site Vicinity

The area immediately around the Simplot Growers Solutions property is industrial (agricultural), with irrigated agricultural areas on the north and west sides of the East Low Canal and residential areas to the southeast (**Figure 2** and **Figure 3**). A railroad spur borders the property to the north and west, industrial buildings to the east, 1st Street to the south, and industrial facilities to the west. The Washington Potato Company is located to the west of the Simplot property and Pure Line Seeds, Columbia Seeds, Greater Pacific Cold Storage, and ConAgra Lamb Weston (formerly Ochoa Ag Unlimited Foods and Basin Frozen Foods) are to the east of the Simplot property. To the southeast, is an auto wrecking lot, to the south is Pacific Coast Canola, and to the southwest is Skone Irrigation, CHS Sun Basin Growers, and the Warden Airport. The East Low Canal is located approximately 250 feet to the north of the facility (**Figure 3**).

1.1.3 Site History

The site is a former Simplot Grower Solutions (also known as Simplot Soilbuilders) facility. Simplot Grower Solutions are retail outlets for agri-chemicals (fertilizers, pesticides, soil amendments) that offer customized fertilizer blending, application services, and consulting.

Environmental Data Resources (EDR) conducted a chain-of-title search and reported the following for the 1800 W. 1st Street facility (2011):



- 1940 to 1971: site owned by Burlington Northern, Inc. (formally Northern Pacific Railroad Company)
- 1971 to current: J.R. Simplot Company

Simplot actively operated the Soilbuilders facility from 1971 through 1992, where they stored, blended, and transported agri-chemicals, including EDB. Most of the Simplot workers familiar with the site are retired (many no longer living). Little information is available about the storage and use of EDB and if there were any spills.

EDB was used in the past as a pesticide for potato crops and as an additive for leaded gasoline fuel. Potato crops are grown in the Warden area, and there is potato processing in the industrial section of the city. Although the chemical was banned for use as a soil fumigant in 1984, elevated levels of EDB were found in City of Warden wells (City Wells #4 and #5), which led to multiple investigations to find the source of the EDB and to protect groundwater.

1.1.4 Site Setting

A description of site geology and hydrogeology is primarily taken from the *Preliminary Investigation* of *Ethylene Dibromide Contamination* (PGG 2007), *Phase II Preliminary Investigation Report* (Ecology 2009), and RI/FS activities conducted by HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR).

The City of Warden is located within the Columbia Plateau, which is dominated by the Columbia River Basalt Group (thick sequence of basalt flows). Unconsolidated sediment overlies basalt in the Warden area and is comprised of sand and silt deposited by outburst floods from Glacial Lake Missoula and Palouse Formation loess (windblown silt and fine sand). Lithology of the monitoring wells associated with the site is described as unconsolidated soil of very silty to slightly silty to silty fine sand 17 to 64 feet thick. In addition, an on-site geologist observed layers of caliche (hardened soil cause by crystalized salts) while overseeing drilling in the upper 25 feet of boreholes. For the Simplot site, caliche is interbedded with sand from 4 to 20 feet below ground surface (bgs). Beneath the unconsolidated soil, 4.5 to 14 feet of weathered basalt is encountered. Beneath the weathered basalt is competent basalt that, in the vicinity of the monitoring wells, slope to the west-northwest. A summary of lithology for monitoring well MW-5, which was constructed at the Simplot facility, is as follows (PGG 2007):

Depth below ground surface	Description
0 to 4 feet	Fill material
4 to 18.5 feet	Fine sand with caliche interbeds
18.5 to 43 feet	Fine sand and silty sand
43 to 49 feet	Weathered basalt
49 to >55 feet	Hard basalt
55 feet	Boring bottom

The site and surrounding area lies in the Odessa groundwater management subarea, a segment of the Columbia Basin groundwater system, which is characterized by declining basalt aquifer water levels and high amounts of recharge to the shallow aquifer due to irrigated agricultural activities in

- <u>Shallow aquifer</u> comprised of unconsolidated deposits (includes weathered basalt, gravels, sand, silt, and clay); regionally, this aquifer flows toward the west (George 2006). Monitoring wells associated with this RI/FS are constructed in the shallow aquifer.
- <u>Wanapum aquifer</u> part of the Wanapum Basalt formation of the Columbia River Basalt Group; this formation extends to a depth of approximately 600 feet bgs and regionally groundwater flows southwest (Hansen et al. 1994).
- <u>Grande Ronde aquifer</u> a deeper basalt aquifer found beneath the Wanapum formation; regionally flows toward the south and southwest (Hansen et al. 1994).

Well log information for the Wanapum and Grande Ronde aquifers indicates that the groundwater potentiometric elevations decline with depth. Based on searches through the Washington Department of Ecology's well database (updated February 2018), there are nine extraction wells within a 1-mile radius of the site. (Per WAC 173-160-010, an extraction well includes wells that withdraw groundwater for drinking, feedlots, irrigation, dewatering and drainage, infiltration, industrial processes, washing and rinsing, heating and cooling.) For several of the identified wells, there is no information about what kind of wells they are except that they are water wells.

Table 1 lists the extraction well information, and **Figure 3** shows the relative location of extractionwells in relation to the site. Location of these wells is approximate as some of the locationinformation is based on quarter-quarter legal descriptions and not specific global positioning system(GPS) coordinates. The deep extraction wells are finished in the Wanapum aquifer (deep aquifer),which lies below the unconsolidated material and caliche in the Wanapum Basalt formation of theColumbia River Basalt Group.

Resource protection wells within a 1-mile radius of the site are associated with the RI/FS (currently there are 11 monitoring wells that are further described in Section 1.2.3). Per WAC 173-160-410 (13), resource protection wells are defined as "a cased boring intended or used to collect subsurface information or to determine the existence or migration of pollutants within an underground formation". The resource protection wells between the site and City Wells #4 and #5 are MW-10S and MW-4 (decommissioned) (further described in Section 1.2.3 and 1.2.5).

Depth to water (shallow aquifer) in the project area is approximately 11 to 30 feet bgs and varies seasonally, where groundwater elevation rises during the irrigation season and declines during the non-irrigation season. Shallow groundwater is influenced by the East Low Canal, where the canal acts as a losing stream (creates a hydraulic mound) during the irrigation season. The shallow aquifer system consists of the outwash deposits, loess, and other unconsolidated materials above the basalt of the Wanapum Basalt formation of the Columbia River Basalt Group. The city wells are finished in the Wanapum Basalt formation of the Columbia River Basalt Group. All monitoring wells are developed in the shallow aquifer. Monitoring wells designated with a "D" refer to wells screened at the bottom of the shallow aquifer (above the competent basalt) whereas monitoring wells with no designation or with an "S" designation are screened in the upper portion of the shallow aquifer.

The topography of the area is generally flat with a few gently sloping hills. Elevation of the site is approximately 1,252 feet above sea level. The geomorphologic setting of the area is characterized

by outwash deposits and wind-blown aeolian deposits (loess). The nearest major natural surface water body is Warden Lake to the west. The nearest man-made surface water body is the East Low Canal. The nearest undeveloped natural land to Warden is approximately 3 miles west/southwest of the site, part of which is the Columbia National Wildlife Refuge. The other areas around Warden are residential or agriculturally developed land (**Figure 2** and **Figure 3**).

1.1.5 Previous Studies

FJS

Table 2 lists the EDB investigation history, starting in 2004 with an Ecology early notice letter to Warden through Simplot's RI/FS activities, which include monitoring and sampling events from 2011 to October 2013, and then a groundwater sampling event in December 2017. This list represents the actions and studies that helped guide the location and type of data collection activities undertaken, and the steps taken to prepare this RI/FS report.

1.1.5.1 CITY OF WARDEN WELLS

The City of Warden's drinking water system is comprised of a series of wells that are distributed throughout town (**Figure 4**). The system serves about 1,500 customers. Well construction information is provided in **Table 3** and copies of the well logs are provided in Appendix A. The status of each city well is as follows:

Well	Status
Well #1	Decommissioned (constructed in 1910) (location uncertain)
Well #2	Converted to monitoring well
Well #3	Used to monitor drawdown in Well 6
Well #4	Decommissioned (January 2011)
Well #5	Emergency use only for potable use; well currently pumped and used with food processing wastewater for land application during growing season.
Well #6	Active
Well #7	Active
Well #8	Active
Well #9	Active

EDB was discovered in City Well #4, with a concentration exceeding the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 0.05 micrograms per liter (µg/L) in March 1989. EDB was detected in groundwater collected from City Well #5 in February 1990. Several follow-up samples were collected as shown in **Table 4**. Of the samples collected in City Well #4, EDB concentrations above the MCL were detected in 60 percent of the samples between 1989 and 2007. For City Well #5, EDB concentrations above the MCL were detected in 72 percent of the samples between 1990 and 2013.

City Well #4 was located between two potato-processing facilities owned by the Washington Potato Company. In August 2004, video logging of the City Well #4 was conducted to assess the competency of the well, and to assess water-bearing zones (Gray and Osborne 2004). City Well #4 was drilled in 1957 to a depth of 319 bgs and completed open hole below 80 feet. The well was permanently decommissioned by the City of Warden in January 2011. The well was abandoned because of the presence of EDB and also because of concerns by the Washington State Department of Health (DOH) that the well's shallow casing depth and its proximity to Washington Potato's operations and Burlington Northern railroad lines could pose a risk to wellhead protection (industrial activities take place within the well's 100-foot sanitary control area). The City of Warden informed HDR that the well has been closed; however, HDR did not find a well log documenting the well abandonment.

City Well #5 is located approximately 800 feet west-southwest of Simplot's site (**Figure 5**). The City of Warden installed a packer in this well in 2004 to isolate the lower portion of the well for water production and to prevent shallow EDB-impacted water (if present) from entering the well. The city periodically pumps the well for irrigation use at a wastewater land application site. City Well #5 was completed in the Wanapum aquifer. It pre-dates state regulations and was not constructed in a manner to effectively seal the shallow aquifer from the Wanapum aquifer. Water level elevations in City Wells #4, #5, and #6 range from 1,180 to 1,207 feet above mean sea level (MSL). City Well #7 was completed in the Grande Ronde aquifer and its water surface elevation is 977 feet MSL.

1.1.5.2 PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION OF ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE CONTAMINATION (PGG 2007)

Pacific Groundwater Group's (PGG) *Preliminary Investigation of Ethylene Dibromide Contamination* (2007) describes a preliminary investigation of the City of Warden's well field in response to the discovery of EDB in two wells (City Well #4 and City Well #5). Under contract with Ecology, PGG's activities included drilling and constructing five groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-5D; see **Figure 6**, well logs are provided in Appendix B), measuring water levels, surveying wells, sampling soil and groundwater, sampling food industry process water, sampling canal sediment, and researching historic land ownership. Field activities occurred in August and late October 2006. The following summarizes PGG's activities and findings from the investigation:

- PGG encountered shallow groundwater during investigation activities in unconsolidated sediment 11 to 20 feet bgs. PGG advanced borings until reaching competent bedrock (basalt), confirmed by drilling 2 to 5 feet of open hole into the basalt. They constructed monitoring wells of 2-inch diameter, schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) riser pipe, and 10slot PVC screens, 10 feet long. In general, they set the 10-foot screens in the weathered basalt and hard basalt. The weathered basalt is considered part of the shallow aquifer (hydraulically connected). Unconsolidated sediment thicknesses ranged from 43 to 64 feet in these wells.
- PGG measured static groundwater levels in August (water in the canal) and late October/early November (no water in the canal) 2006. During the August water level survey, the East Low Canal was losing water to groundwater and groundwater flowed away from the canal to the north and south. In the October/November sampling event, the elevation of the canal bottom was lower than groundwater levels in the monitoring wells and a component of the groundwater flowed toward the canal.
- PGG collected soil samples during drilling activities for each boring at depths of 10, 30, and 60 feet bgs. EDB was non-detected in any of the soil samples except for the MW-5 (note Ecology's well MW-5 is referred to as MW-5D for this report) boring at 10 feet bgs at the Simplot facility. The concentration at 10 feet was 6.22 micrograms per kilogram (µg/Kg);

F)5

EDB was non-detected in soil samples from the same boring at 30 and 40 feet bgs. The 10foot sample was within the caliche interlayer.

- In a single sampling event in October/November 2006, PGG collected groundwater samples from the monitoring well network, City Well #5, and City Well #6 (City Well #4 was not sampled). EDB was non-detected in groundwater samples.
- At the Washington Potato facility (facility to the west of the Simplot site), PGG collected two
 process water samples: the first sample from the potato wash water in the receiving bays
 and the other sample from the process wastewater in the final clarifying tank. EDB was not
 detected in the wash water sample, but was detected in the final clarifying tank sample at
 0.015 µg/L.
- PGG collected three water samples from the City of Warden's wastewater treatment ponds. The first sample was collected from the input point to the system, the second sample from wastewater pond 5A, and the third sample collected from wastewater pond 8. EDB was not detected in the wastewater treatment plant samples.
- As a follow up to the 2007 PGG investigation, Ecology sampled the monitoring wells every other month starting in November 2006 through February 2009. EDB was non-detected in wells, except for MW-5D, where EDB concentrations ranged from 0.1 µg/L to 132 µg/L. For the last six sampling events (March 2008 through February 2009), the average EDB concentration was 2.5 µg/L.
- 1.1.5.3 PHASE II PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION. WARDEN CITY WATER SUPPLY WELLS SITE, WARDEN, WA, APRIL 2009 (ECOLOGY 2009)

The 2009 *Phase II Preliminary Investigation* (Ecology 2009) summarizes Ecology's Phase II investigation activities in November and December 2008, which focused on the Simplot facility and the north adjacent property. The purpose of the Phase II investigation was to gather information about potential sources of the EDB found in groundwater. The following summarizes Ecology's activities and findings from the Phase II investigation:

- During Phase I activities, one soil sample from MW-5D boring had a detectable level of EDB at 10 feet bgs within caliche interbeds at the Simplot facility. Ecology focused on additional sampling in the soil caliche during Phase II, because the caliche is hard and has a high potential for trapping volatile chemicals like EDB. The investigation focused on the area of MW-5D, since this was the only well that had detectable levels of EDB in the shallow aquifer from the five monitoring wells installed as part of Phase I activities.
- Using a hydraulic push probe unit to collect soil samples, Ecology advanced a total of 22 borings (**Figure 7**), ranging in depth from 9 to 24 feet bgs. These depths varied because the push probe had difficulty penetrating into the caliche layer at some locations. Ecology collected one soil sample from each of the 22 borings for EDB analysis.
- Assessment of soil borings revealed that there was a caliche layer throughout the sampling area; however, the caliche was thinner and not well-defined in the center of the property, south of the railroad spur. Appendix B contains copies of Ecology's boring logs.
- EDB was detected in 2 of 22 borings at concentrations of 8.4 and 3.2 µg/Kg for SB-5 and SB-12, respectively. Both borings were located in the open lot area of the Simplot facility (Figure 7).

 The report summarized groundwater elevations and EDB concentrations for MW-5D from October 2006 through February 2009. Depth to groundwater ranged from 19 feet bgs in early October (end of irrigation season) to 33 feet bgs in late March (end of non-growing season). EDB concentration ranged from non-detect in November 2006 to a high of 132 µg/L in March 2007. For the last six sampling events (March 2008 through February 2009), the average EDB concentration was 2.5 µg/L.

FJ5

 The report provided additional information on process water samples collected from Washington Potato and Ochoa Foods. Ecology concluded, "Results from both Washington Potato and Ochoa Foods indicate occasional detections of EDB in various processing streams. However, the concurrent sampling of the city water supply as it entered the plants shows that EDB is present prior to any processing. EDB presence is likely due to its presence in the city water supply."

1.2 Nature and Extent of Contamination – Remedial Investigation Activities

This section describes HDR's RI activities conducted from 2011 through 2013 (updated with groundwater sampling in December 2017), which includes installing 7 additional monitoring wells (12 monitoring wells total as illustrated in **Figure 7**) and sampling on-site soil. Monitoring well logs are provided in Appendix B. HDR conducted activities in accordance with the *Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Work Plan* (HDR 2011) and the Phase II *Work Plan to Support Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study* (HDR 2013a). The two documents are referred to here as the RI/FS work plan.

1.2.1 Geophysical Investigation

On November 17 and 18, 2011, subcontractor Northwest Geophysical Associates conducted a geophysical survey of the project area. The objective of the survey was to locate potential underground storage tanks (USTs), pipes, or other infrastructure remaining on the site from previous operations. The geophysical investigation included the following:

- A magnetic survey using a Geometrics G858G magnetometer.
- An electromagnetic survey using the Geonics EM-31 ground conductivity meter.
- A ground penetrating radar survey using a Geophysical Survey Systems Inc. SIR3000 control unit with a 400-megahertz (MHz) antenna.

A report on the geophysical survey is included in the 2012 Monitoring Well and Geoprobe Sampling Report (HDR 2012). In summary, while the survey detected some subsurface anomalies, there was no strong indication of a buried tank or piping suggesting a former UST or other underground utility that may be attributed to past chemical storage and/or use.

1.2.2 Soil/Vadose Zone Investigations

In February 2012, HDR oversaw the advancement of seven GeoProbe[™] (GP) borings soil sampling, per the RI/FS work plan, to further define the extent of EDB-impacted soil at the facility. Boring locations were based on "filling in the gaps" in areas not sampled during Ecology's investigation (see Section 1.1.5.3). Refusal in each boring occurred in the caliche interbed layer (the actual depth

penetrated varied with location and is further described below). Only boring GP-7 encountered EDBimpacted soil. This boring was near MW-5D and MW-5S, where EDB was found in soil and groundwater. **Figure 7** illustrates GeoProbe[™] locations conducted as part of the RI. **Table 5** summarizes the February 2012 soil sample results. GeoProbe[™] boring logs are in Appendix B.

For GP-7, HDR sampled soil at 13.0 and 15.5 feet bgs with EDB levels at 11.8 and 11.6 μ g/Kg, respectively. This boring is in the same general area as the Ecology study boring SB-12, where EDB was detected at 3.2 μ g/Kg at 17 feet bgs.

The boring logs for the 2009 Ecology study and the 2012 RI study (Appendix B) revealed the top of the caliche interbeds at the site ranged from 4 to 16 feet bgs. **Figure 8** illustrates a post plot of first encountered caliche depths based on the soil borings investigations. A caliche surface trough occurs in the area of SB-11, SB-1, and SB-8.

HDR also collected soil samples during the drilling of RI/FS monitoring wells (**Table 6**). EDB was detected in boring MW-5S at the 20- to 22-foot-depth at 218 μ g/Kg, but not at other sampled depths for this well. The 20- to 22-foot interval was within the zone described as caliche interbeds and near the saturated zone interface.

Figure 9 is a post plot showing the locations of borings that had EDB detected in soil samples. As described previously, EDB was detected in soil in the western portion of the site near MW-5D, MW-5S, GP-7, and SB-11 (SB-5 had detectable EDB, but the adjacent probes had non-detected levels). **Table 7** summarizes boring lithology (including monitoring wells) from west to east. The table includes soil sample intervals and results, depth and thickness of caliche, and depth and thickness of the silt and sand layers (unconsolidated sediment). In some cases, the basalt layer is also shown (MW-9S and MW-6S) but, in general, the illustration in **Table 7** is limited to the unconsolidated layer.

The following summarizes HDR's 2012 findings related to lithology and occurrence of EDB in soil (see **Table 7** and **Figure 9** for reference):

- The penetration of the GeoProbe[™] borings (boring IDs starting with "SB" and "GP") into the caliche varied from 1 foot for SB-21 to 8 feet for SB-7 and GP-6. Furthermore, several GeoProbe[™] borings fully penetrated through the caliche and into the unconsolidated sediment beneath (e.g., SB-6). The borings for eight monitoring wells provided lithologic information on the caliche layer and the sediment beneath. Appendix B contains driller logs for the GeoProbe[™] and well drilling activities.
- The yellow and red colored cells in Table 7 illustrate sample depths, where the yellow is nondetected for EDB and the red indicates a detected concentration of EDB. For example, MW-5S shows the following:
 - o EDB non-detected in soil sample near surface (1 to 3 feet bgs) (sand/silt)
 - EDB non-detected in soil sample at 10 to 12 foot depth interval (sand/silt interface with caliche)
 - o EDB detected in soil sample at 20 to 22 foot interval at 218 μg/Kg (caliche/interbed)
 - o EDB non-detected in soil sample at 30 to 32 foot interval (sand/silt)
 - EDB non-detected in soil sample at 37 to 39 foot interval (sand/silt) (not illustrated in Table 7 due to scale limitation)

As illustrated in **Table 7** and in **Figure 9**, two areas have soil impacted by EDB: the area around SB-5; and a larger area between MW-5D and SB-12. For SB-5, EDB was detected in a soil sample 2 feet into the caliche at a concentration of 8.4 µg/Kg. Five borings surrounding SB-5 (SB-4, GP-6, SB-7, SB-6, GP-5, and SB-21) had no EDB in samples collected from the same elevation and deeper within the caliche interbeds. On the west side of the site, four borings had soil samples with detectable EDB; SB-12, MW-5D, GP-7, and MW-5S. SB-12 had EDB in soil collected from about 1 to 2 feet into the caliche interbeds, at a concentration of 3.19 µg/Kg. Borings SB-3, SB-11 and SB-9 had no EDB detected in the soil samples.

1.2.3 Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Between December 2011 and July 2013, to support the RI, HDR oversaw the installation of six additional groundwater monitoring wells completed within the shallowest portion of the water table and one well, MW-7D, within the deeper portion (all within the shallow aquifer):

- MW-5S December 2011
- MW-6S December 2011
- MW-7S December 2011
- MW-7D December 2011
- MW-8S December 2012
- MW-9S July 2013
- MW-10S July 2013

Figure 5 shows the locations of the wells, including the Ecology-installed wells (total of 12 monitoring wells). Monitoring well MW-4 was decommissioned in 2015 at the request of the land owner (this was an off-site well installed by Ecology). The wells were constructed to provide information on groundwater flow direction, seasonal variations in flow and gradient, and an indication of groundwater quality upgradient and downgradient of the Simplot facility. Groundwater samples were analyzed for EDB using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8011.

Table 8 summarizes monitoring well construction and survey information. The shallow wells (MW-5S, MW-6S, MW-7S, MW- 8S, MW-9S, and MW-10S) were screened in the upper portion of the shallow aquifer to monitor water at the groundwater/vadose zone interface. Well MW-7D and Ecology wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and MW-5D were screened in the unconsolidated to weathered basalt interface (ranging from 55 to 75 feet bgs). The "shallow" and "deep" wells provide information as to potential groundwater gradient differences between the shallow and deep zones, as well as any differences in EDB levels. Both shallow and deep wells are within the shallow unconfined aquifer. In general, the deep well depths ranged from 75 feet bgs in MW-2 to 52 feet bgs in MW-7D. Well MW-6D was planned but not drilled, because basalt was encountered at a depth of approximately 26 feet, so only MW-6S was installed. MW-9S, drilled off site to the south of the facility, encountered basalt at 16 feet bgs. Furthermore, the borehole was dry at the time of drilling in July 2013 and the well was dry in October 2013 and December 2017. The well was screened from 7 to 17 feet bgs. The following summarizes the subsurface findings based on monitoring well boring observations:

• Lithology beneath the site is described as unconsolidated soil of very silty to slightly silty to silty fine sand 17 to 64 feet thick. Layers of caliche were documented in the upper 30 feet of boreholes (see **Figure 8** for post plot of depth to caliche based on GeoProbe[™] borings). For

the Simplot site, caliche is interbedded with silty sand from 4 to 30 feet bgs. Beneath the unconsolidated soil, weathered basalt is encountered. Beneath the weathered basalt is competent basalt.

- The surface of the basalt slopes to the northwest (Figure 10). The slope is steepest just south of the Simplot facility. The average depth to basalt within the on-site deep wells ranged from about 45 feet to the northwest to 25 feet in the southeast. The Washington Interactive Geologic Map (DNR 2012) shows the basalt near or at ground surface about ½-mile south of the facility.
- The interbedded caliche unit is approximately 4 feet bgs in the original MW-5D boring, but at 10 feet bgs in MW-5S, at 10 feet bgs in MW-6S, at 8 feet bgs in both MW-7D and 7S, and at 12 feet bgs in MW-8S (see well logs in Appendix B). When combined with the wells and soil borings from previous investigations, the top of the caliche is high at the western and eastern portions of the property, sloping down to a low north to south axis in the area just east of MW-5S. Under the Simplot facility, the caliche interbedded unit thickness varies from 20 feet thick in MW-5S to 5 feet thick in MW-9S.

1.2.4 Groundwater Monitoring

FJ5

HDR sampled groundwater at the site following the sampling and analysis plan that was included in Appendix C of the RI/FS work plan (HDR 2011). For each sampling event, the sampling team measured depth to groundwater in each monitoring well (**Table 9**). Figure 11 presents a time series plot of groundwater elevation over time for each well for 2012 through 2013. Groundwater elevation shows seasonal trends with elevations rising during the irrigation season in response to the canal (losing stream) and area-wide irrigated agricultural activities. Elevations are lowest during the non-growing season. Paired wells, MW-5S and MW-5D and MW-7S and MW-7D show similar trends and similar elevation values, suggesting that they are in the same aquifer (shallow aquifer) and that there is no (or minimal) vertical gradient.

Groundwater contours from the sampling events, including December 2017, are presented in Appendix C. **Figure 12** and **Figure 13** illustrate groundwater elevation contours for the July 2012 monitoring event using the shallow wells and the deep wells, respectively. Groundwater gradient based on the shallow wells (**Figure 12**) shows a southerly/southwesterly flow direction. Groundwater flow for the deeper wells is split where groundwater north of the canal flows northerly, and groundwater south of the canal flows in a southerly direction (**Figure 13**). This split is a result of groundwater mounding caused by the canal (losing stream).

During winter months, the East Low Canal is dry so it does not exert groundwater mounding on the shallow aquifer system. **Figure 14** and **Figure 15** illustrate groundwater elevation contours for the January 2013 sampling event. Groundwater flow direction was to the south/southwest for the shallow wells (**Figure 14**). The gradient is primarily westerly for the deeper wells (wells screened at the unconsolidated/bedrock interface) (**Figure 15**) (see Appendix C for other contour maps, including December 2017, overall groundwater flow is consistent with previous monitoring events).

Following static water measurements, the sampling team collected groundwater samples from each well. Wells were surged and pumped with a low-flow sampler in accordance to the RI/FS work plan. The sampling team recorded field pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, temperature, redox, and turbidity measurements during purging, and took samples once field parameters were stable as

outlined in the work plan. Sample bottles were preserved according to USEPA Method 8011 for EDB. All field sampling and chain-of-custody forms are in Appendix D.

Groundwater samples for the RI activities were forwarded to Pace Analytical (Pace) in Seattle, Washington. Pace is certified in the State of Washington for analysis of air, drinking water, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), USTs, and wastewater (Certificate #C1915). Samples were preserved with hydrochloric acid (HCI) and analyzed for EDB as per Method USEPA 8011. **Table 10** summarizes the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) field samples that were collected for each quarterly groundwater sampling event. Pace followed appropriate laboratory QA/QC procedures as dictated by the USEPA method and the laboratory's standard operating procedures (SOPs). All data met data quality objectives.

Table 11 presents groundwater sampling results. EDB was detected in all eight sampling events in shallow well MW-5S. Concentrations ranged from a high of 234 μ g/L in January 2012 to a low of 5.7 μ g/L in July 2013. Well MW-6S had detection of EDB in seven of the eight sampling events. EDB in MW-6S ranged from a high of 26.8 μ g/L in July 2012 to non-detected levels in October 2013. Deep well MW-5D had a detection 0.27 μ g/L EDB in January 2012 and 0.01 μ g/L in April 2012 and October 2013. Wells MW-7D and MW-7S had EDB detections of 0.01 μ g/L in April 2012 but EDB was non-detected for the other seven sampling events. The EDB concentrations in wells MW-5D, MW-7D, and MW-7S were at the detection limits for the analytical laboratory.

EDB has not been detected in any off-site groundwater monitoring well (MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-9S, and MW-10S) (this includes the multiple samplings by Ecology of wells MW-1 through MW-4 between October 2006 and February 2009).

1.2.5 Groundwater Pump Test City Well #5

On August 14, 2013, HDR oversaw a pump test in City Well #5, which following procedures outlined in the *Phase II Work Plan to Support Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study* (HDR 2013a). The pump test report, including raw data, is provided in the report *City of Warden Well 5 Pump Test* (HDR 2013b). The general approach was to pump the well for a set duration, 8 to 16 hours, and collect groundwater elevation data from selected monitoring wells (observation wells) to assess potential water level drawdown in the shallow aquifer. Automated water level loggers (transducers) were used in observation wells for measuring elevation changes, as well as in City Well #5.

Prior to initiating pumping in City Well #5, transducers were placed in monitoring wells MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-5S, MW-6S, MW-10S, and City Well #5 (**Figure 16**). The monitoring wells were chosen based on proximity to City Well #5 and by well depth/screened interval. Prior to the test, and then at about 2-hour intervals, water levels were checked manually using an electronic water level indicator in these wells and also in the other (remaining) six monitoring wells.

The City of Warden installed a packer in City Well #5 in 2004 that is set at 200 feet bgs. The purpose of the packer was to isolate the shallow aquifer from the lower basalt aquifer. After consultation with the City of Warden, the packer was left in place during the pump test. Thus, the pump test results reflect potential shallow aquifer drawdown with the packer in place.

City Well #5 was pumped at an average rate of 1,500 gallons per minute (gpm), which is the normal pumping rate for this well. No drawdown was detected in any of the observation wells, including City Well #5, after 8 hours of continuous pumping, so the test was extended to 16 hours. Again there was

no detected drawdown. After 16 hours, the pump test was halted. The depth to groundwater, as recorded by the transducers and water level indicator, showed no drawdown in any of the observation wells. Water generated during the pump test was discharged into the City of Warden lagoons.

HDR collected groundwater samples prior to pumping and then every 2 hours during pumping from a sampling port on the discharge line of City Well #5. These samples were placed on ice in a cooler and shipped to Pace Analytical Laboratory and analyzed for EDB. The results ranged from 0.07 μ g/L prior to pumping to 0.12 μ g/L at 2 hours into the test, with a final concentration of 0.098 μ g/L near the end of the test. No detectable trends in concentration values over time were determined as shown in **Table 12**.

The test results reveal that the city's current use of Well #5 does is not hydraulically connected to the Simplot facility and shallow aquifer in the site area, as no drawdown was detected in monitoring wells (monitoring wells are all constructed in the shallow aquifer). The test condition is based on the packer in Well #5; thus, this test does not reflect past conditions when no packer was in place. However, the test demonstrates no hydrogeologic connectivity between the shallow and deep Wanapum aquifer in the area when only the deep aquifer is pumped.

1.3 Conceptual Site Model

An important objective of the RI/FS is to develop a better understanding of EDB potential sources (primary and secondary), release mechanisms, and exposure pathways, so that a conceptual model can be developed.

1.3.1 Type and Source of Contaminants

EDB is a volatile organic compound (see Appendix E for risk assessment description of this compound). **Table 13** lists select chemical and physical properties.

EDB volatilizes or evaporates upon exposure to the air and dissolves in groundwater to some extent. It is moderately persistent in the soil environment, with a representative half-life of 100 days. Generally, EDB degrades readily near the surface and becomes more persistent with depth. In the atmosphere, EDB will degrade by reaction with photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals (half-life 32 days).

1.3.2 Transport and/or Migration Pathways

Transport and/or migration pathways define those mechanisms by which humans are exposed to a chemical released from a site. A pathway is comprised of four elements:

- A source and mechanism for release of a chemical into the environment
- A transport medium (e.g., soil, air, and water)
- A point of potential human contact (exposure point)
- A human exposure route (ingestion, inhalation, dermal contact)

A conceptual site model for the Simplot facility is presented in **Table 14** and summarizes the environmental pathways to exposed individuals, and routes of entry into the body for each medium of exposure. The media of concern are soil and groundwater. The media and exposure pathways are described in the following sections.

1.3.2.1 SOIL PATHWAYS

EDB has been quantified in soil at the Simplot site (see Section 1.2.2). The extent of impacted soil appears to be limited to the area of MW-5D, MW-5S, GP-7, and SB-12 (Figure 9). The exception is boring SB-5, which had EDB at 8.4 µg/Kg, though the soil samples from borings surrounding SB-5 had non-detected levels of EDB. Impacted soil is within the caliche interbeds (Table 7). The maximum EDB concentration detected was 218 µg/Kg at a depth of 20 to 22 feet bgs in boring MW-5S. This sample was in the caliche interbeds and at the vadose zone/groundwater interface. EDB was 12 µg/Kg at the 13- to 16-foot-depth for GeoProbe[™] sample GP-7. It is possible that EDBimpacted soil continue to act as a secondary source for EDB leaching to groundwater. However, the caliche interbeds retard the percolation of water and thus the movement of EDB, as the hydraulic conductivity through this material is low. Because of the volatile nature of EDB, it is postulated that the primary and much of the secondary source of this material dissipates over time and what remains on site is the remnant of an old release. The location of the EDB-impacted soil correlates with the lowest elevation (trough) of the caliche on site (Figure 8). Thus, the conceptual model assumes that EDB was released on the surface or subsurface and infiltrated and/or leached in a dissolved state to the top of the caliche and then moved by gravity to the low lying caliche layer near MW-5. This EDB then slowly infiltrated into the caliche in this low lying area. It is possible that the EDB traveled beneath the caliche through either areas with no caliche or areas where the caliche layer was compromised or removed; however, analysis of soil samples collected beneath the caliche layer have been non-detected for EDB (Table 7).

Section 2.2.2 provides further discussion of EDB in soil and an estimated of volume of impacted soil.

1.3.2.2 GROUNDWATER PATHWAYS

EDB has been found in the shallow aquifer (water table aquifer) in the area of MW-5S and MW-6S beneath the Simplot facility (**Table 11**). EDB has not been detected in off-site monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4, MW 9S, or MW-10S (**Table 11**). All monitoring wells are constructed in the shallow aquifer. Based on groundwater contour mapping, wells MW-2, MW-4 (decommissioned), and MW10S are downgradient of the site at least part of the year. In addition, these wells are between the site and City Well #5, where EDB has been detected. If migration of EDB from the Simplot site to City Well #5 is occurring via the shallow aquifer, then EDB would be detectable in MW-4, MW-10S, and MW-8S).

As described previously, EDB was detected in City Well #4 and the well was permanently decommissioned in 2011. Shallow groundwater flow characterization indicates flow direction from the Simplot site is either away or cross-gradient from this well. Thus migration of EDB through the shallow aquifer under transient flow conditions is unlikely. However, the hydraulic capture zone of City Well #4 during pumping is unknown. City Well #4 was drilled in 1957 to a depth of 319 feet bgs and completed open hole below 80 feet.

Several hypotheses could explain EDB in City Wells #4 and #5:

 The design of City Well #4 created a conduit between the shallow aquifer and the deeper Wanapum aquifer (commingled). As a result, if contamination was present in the shallow aquifer, pumping the well would draw in contamination within its capture zone and impact the lower aquifer through intra-well transfer.

- 2. City Well #5 is located within 600 feet of City Well #4. Data collected by Gray and Osborne indicates that there is hydraulic communication between these wells (e.g., pumping of City Well #5 resulting in drawdown of the water column in City Well #4). EDB contamination introduced into the Wanapum aquifer through City Well #4 could then migrate to City Well #5 within the Wanapum aquifer.
- 3. The construction of City Well #5 is similar to City Well #4 in that it does not have adequate hydraulic separation between the shallow aquifer and the deeper aquifer. Thus, it too could act like a conduit. The pumping of this well could draw in contamination from the shallow aquifer within its capture zone and impact the lower aquifer through inter and intra-well transfer.
- 4. Combinations of 1, 2, and 3 above.

FJ5

The results of the 2013 pump test of City Well #5, conducted with the packer in place, revealed no groundwater elevation drawdown in the shallow aquifer. Thus, with the packer in place, there is no measurable connection between the shallow aquifer and the Wanapum aquifer to cause drawdown. This result and off-site groundwater monitoring, suggest that the EDB detected in groundwater at the Simplot site does not present a current-day risk to the deeper aquifer. However, it is unclear if there is a hydraulic connection between City Well #5 pumping and the shallow aquifer without a packer.

In summary, there are two potential exposure pathways for groundwater:

- EDB in the shallow aquifer beneath the Simplot site. Currently, conditions show EDB remaining on site and associated with saturated conditions in the caliche interbeds, though a future pathway for off-site shallow groundwater EDB migration is considered.
- EDB in the deep aquifer (Wanapum aquifer), in the area of City Well #5. This EDB is postulated to be a remnant of a past release(s) (see hypotheses above for potential explanations).

1.3.2.3 ATMOSPHERIC RELEASES

EDB volatilization from soil and groundwater into buildings is a potential future exposure pathway. EDB in soil and groundwater is limited to the western end of the site (**Table 7**) and is deep (generally between 11 and 23 feet). Thus, vapor intrusion is not expected to be an important exposure pathway.

1.3.2.4 SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT

The closest surface water is the East Low Canal, which is a losing stream through the project area. Thus, the EDB in groundwater entering surface water is not a completed pathway.

1.3.2.5 IDENTIFICATION OF EXPOSURE SCENARIOS

The development of exposure scenarios is based on the conceptual site model, information obtained during the RI, and on State of Washington risk assessment guidance. Potential exposure scenarios include residential, industrial, utility worker, and agricultural. **Table 14** summarizes current and future potential exposure scenarios for the Simplot site. No current exposure of EDB to humans has been identified as completed pathways associated with the site. While there is potential EDB exposure with City Well #5, under current conditions (packer in place in Well #5 and based on groundwater monitoring results), there is not a completed migration pathway from the on-site EDB and Well #5.

This does not exclude past migration pathways, when the packer was not in place and Well #4 was operational. Future exposure scenarios include on-site industrial exposure to impacted soil and groundwater. Because there is a detectable level of EDB in groundwater beneath the site, a future scenario includes the potential for off-site migration and groundwater exposures via ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact.

A "primary" source of EDB in a risk assessment context is the original source such as a leaking 55gallon drum. No primary sources remain at the site. A "secondary" source is a contaminated medium that releases the contaminant to another medium (e.g., impacted soil can be a secondary source for EDB, where this compound could leach to groundwater or volatilize into a building). Three secondary EDB sources are identified: soil at the site; groundwater at the site, and the deeper Wanapum aquifer in the area of City Well #5. It is unknown how the deeper aquifer became a secondary source based on RI results; however, the hypotheses in Section 1.3.2.2 give some ideas as to how this may have occurred.

1.4 Applicable, Relevant, and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) Analysis

Applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) are any federal or state statutes that pertain to the protection of human life and the environment in addressing specific conditions or use of a particular cleanup technology at a site. "Applicable" requirements are those cleanup standards of control, and other substantive environmental protection requirements, criteria, or limitations promulgated under federal or state law that specifically address a hazardous substance, pollutant, contaminant, remedial action, location, or other circumstance. "Relevant and appropriate" requirements are those cleanup standards, while not "applicable," address problems or situations sufficiently similar to those encountered that their use is well-suited to the particular site. ARARs may be divided into three categories:

- Chemical-specific (e.g., PCB level in soil less than 50 milligrams per kilogram [mg/Kg])
- Action-specific (e.g., if on-site contaminant is proposed, landfills standards must be met)
- Location-specific (e.g., prohibition of land disposal in a floodplain)

1.4.1 Potential Chemical Specific ARARS

Chemical-specific ARARs are addressed in the MTCA regulations. As mentioned in Section 1.1, the objective of this RI/FS is to meet the requirements of Agreed Order 8241 in accordance with the MTCA Cleanup Regulation (WAC 173-340). The RI is designed to characterize site conditions in order to complete a FS and select a cleanup action as described in WAC 173-340-360 through 173-340-390.

The MTCA Cleanup Regulation sets forth the requirements and procedures to develop soil and groundwater cleanup standards. Cleanup levels must be based on the reasonable maximum exposure expected to occur under both current and future site conditions. MTCA provides methods A, B, and C for establishing cleanup levels.

Method A provides cleanup levels that are protective of human health for the most common hazardous substances found in soil and groundwater. It is designed for cleanups that are relatively straightforward or involve only a few hazardous substances. Method B is the most common method

for setting cleanup levels when sites are contaminated with substances not listed under Method A. Sites that are remediated to Method B cleanup levels generally do not require future use restrictions on the property due to the small amount of residual contamination typically left on the property. Method C has specific uses for both soil and groundwater. For soil, Method C can be used for sites where industrial land use represents the reasonable maximum exposure (see WAC 173-340-200 and 173-340-745(1)(a)(i) to determine site eligibility). For groundwater, Method C is available for sites where it can be demonstrated that constituent concentration levels comply with applicable state and federal laws, that all practicable methods of treatment have been used, that institutional controls are in place, and where one or more of the following conditions exist: Method A or B levels are below technically possible concentrations; Method A or B are below area background concentrations; or, the attainment of Method A or B levels would potentially create a significantly greater overall threat to human health or the environment.

1.4.1.1 POTENTIAL ACTION SPECIFIC ARARS

FJ5

Potential action-specific ARARs will depend upon the proposed remediation alternative. For example, if the soil is removed from the site and sent to a solid waste landfill facility, the ARARs related to the removal, transport, and treatment must be met.

1.4.1.2 POTENTIAL LOCATION SPECIFIC ARARS

Potential location-specific ARARs will depend upon the proposed remediation alternative and the physical characteristics of the site. Examples of potential location-specific ARARs are archaeological areas, endangered species habitat, and floodplains.

1.5 Cleanup Levels/Risk Assessment Analysis

The risk characterization integrates information from the exposure and effects assessment to estimate the risk of adverse effects to exposed populations and communities in an ecosystem. For an adverse effect to occur, two conditions must be met:

- The contaminant must be present in the environment at concentrations sufficient to exert an adverse effect.
- In this case, humans must come in contact (exposure) with the contaminant.

For MTCA cleanup standards, there are two primary components: cleanup levels (CULs) and points of compliance. CULs determine at what level a particular hazardous substance does not threaten human health or the environment. Points of compliance designate the location on the site where the CULs must be met. The cleanup actions are those methods that could be used to clean up a site. Cleanup actions must also comply with applicable laws, protect human health and the environment, provide for compliance monitoring to ensure effectiveness, provide for permanent cleanup to the maximum extent practicable, provide for a reasonable restoration time frame, and considers public concerns.

1.5.1 Cleanup Levels

The MTCA has three options to establish CULs. Method A provides tables of levels that are protective of human health for 25 to 30 of the most common hazardous substances found in soil and groundwater. Method A is designed for cleanups that are relatively straight forward or involve only a few hazardous substances. Method A cleanup levels for EDB in soil are the same for both



unrestricted land uses and industrial land at 5.0 µg/Kg. The Method A cleanup level for groundwater is 0.01 µg/L.

Method B is used on sites that are contaminated with substances not listed under Method A. sites that are cleaned up to Method B levels generally do not need future restrictions on property use. Method C CUL is used to set soil and air CUL at industrial sites. Method C may be used when Method A or B CUL are lower than technically possible.

Table 15 provides calculated CULs for EDB for each method. The Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC) database and spreadsheets were used to calculate CULs. CLARC is a searchable database with technical information regarding the establishment of CULs under the MTCA cleanup regulation, Chapter 173-340 WAC. The technical information helps the user establish site-specific CULs. The CLARC summary for EDB is shown in Appendix F. These were used to calculate preliminary potable water and soil CULs. The *Workbook Tools - MTCASGL11* program was used for soil. The calculation sheets are in Appendix F.

The calculated soil concentration for EDB that is protective of groundwater is 0.27 μ g/Kg. For this site, the soil EDB CUL is set at 0.27 μ g/Kg. Test America Denver (Washington accredited laboratory) indicated that the labs practical quantitation limit (PQL) is 0.1 μ g/Kg in soils using USEPA Method 8019-94.

For groundwater, the CUL is set based on the DOH's ARAR and the federal MCL of 0.05 μ g/L. The PQL for EDB in water is 0.01 μ g/L (this is also DOH's minimum reporting level).

1.5.2 Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation

Per WAC 173-340-7490, a terrestrial ecological evaluation (TEE) is used to determine "whether a release of hazardous substances to soil presents a threat to the terrestrial environment," to characterize "existing or potential threats to terrestrial plants or animals exposed to hazardous substances in soil," and aid in establishing "site-specific cleanup standards for the protection of terrestrial plants and animals." A TEE must be conducted at all sites where a release of a hazardous substance to soil has occurred. As EDB has been released to the soil, this regulation applies to the site, and an exclusion, a simplified TEE, or a site-specific TEE is required.

The Simplot site is excluded from conducting a TEE because it meets the following exclusion (a site needs only meet one exclusion criterion, but this site meets two):

- <u>Exclusion 1</u>: Will all soil contamination be located at least 6 feet beneath the ground surface (conditional point of compliance)? If yes, the site qualifies for exclusion with institutional controls.
- <u>Exclusion 3</u>: Is there less than 1.5 acres of contiguous undeveloped land on the site, or within 500 feet of any area of the site <u>affected by hazardous substances</u> other than those listed in WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)(ii)? AND Is there less than 0.25 acres of contiguous undeveloped land on or within 500 feet of any area of the site <u>affected by hazardous substances</u> listed in WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)(ii)?

For this Simplot site, the answer is yes to both questions; therefore, the site qualifies for an exclusion. The site is developed and maintained for weed control as are the surrounding properties.

Furthermore, EDB is greater than 6 feet deep, and institutional controls are proposed. Thus, there is no chance of wildlife exposure to EDB at this site.

A completed TEE form in included in Appendix F. In summary, the land use at the site and the areas around it make substantial wildlife exposure unlikely. The nearest undeveloped land area is approximately 3 miles west/southwest of the site and is thousands of acres in size. Part of this area includes the Columbia National Wildlife Refuge.

1.6 Discussion and Recommendations

FJS

The following discussion describes soil and groundwater conditions at the site:

- EDB has been quantified in soil at the Simplot site with the extent of impacted soil limited to the area of MW-5, MW-5S, GP-7, and SB-12 (Figure 9 and Table 7). Impacted soil is within the caliche hardpan and caliche interbeds. The maximum EDB concentration detected was 218 µg/Kg at a depth of 20 to 22 feet bgs in boring MW-5S. This sample was at the vadose zone/groundwater interface. GeoProbe[™] sample GP-7 detected EDB at 12 µg/Kg at the 13-to 16-foot depth range. Because of the volatile nature of EDB, it is postulated that the primary and much of the secondary sources of this material have dissipated and what remains on site is the last remaining remnant of an old release. The location of the EDB-impacted soil correlates with the lowest elevation (trough) of the caliche on site (Figure 8). Thus, the conceptual model assumes that EDB was released on the surface or subsurface and infiltrated and/or leached in a dissolved state to the top of the caliche and then moved by gravity to the low lying caliche layer near MW-5. Section 2.2.2 provides further discussion of EDB in soil and an estimated of volume of impacted soil.
- EDB has been found in groundwater beneath the site associated with shallow well MW-5S, which is screened through the vadose zone/groundwater interface. Shallow well MW-6S has also had some detections of EDB but was non-detect in October 2013 and 0.35 µg/L in December 2017. Monitoring well MW-5D (paired well to MW-5S), which is screened at the unconsolidated groundwater/basalt interface, has been non-detect (or at trace amounts of EDB) during the RI monitoring period. EDB has not been detected in off-site monitoring wells, including wells that are downgradient (at least part of the year) from the Simplot facility. Groundwater samples collected and analyzed in December 2017 (3 years from the previous monitoring) were consistent with previous findings. Monitoring well MW-5S is screened in the caliche zone and based on soil sampling from this well, it is postulated that the detection of EDB in this well is from the slow dissolution of EDB held in this confining layer. The fact that EDB has not been detected in downgradient wells (e.g., MW-8S, MW-10S, MW-4, MW-3), suggest that the presence is localized and there is no established plume.
- Section 1.1.5 describes EDB concentrations in City Well #4 and City Well #5 and sample results are summarized in Table 4. City Well #4 was drilled in 1957 to a depth of 319 bgs and completed open hole below 80 feet. The City of Warden permanently decommissioned the well in January 2011. The well was abandoned because of the presence of EDB and also because of concerns by DOH that the well's shallow casing depth and its proximity to Washington Potato's operations and Burlington Northern railroad lines could pose risk to wellhead protection (industrial activities take place within the well's 100-foot sanitary control area). While the City of Warden informed HDR that the well has been closed, HDR did not

find a well log documenting the well abandonment. The City of Warden installed a packer in City Well #5 in 2004 to isolate the lower portion of the well for water production and to prevent shallow EDB-impacted water (if present) from entering the well. The City of Warden periodically pumps the well for irrigation use at a wastewater land application site. City Well #5 was completed in the Wanapum aquifer. It pre-dates state regulations and was not constructed in a manner to effectively seal the shallow aquifer from the Wanapum aquifer.

- The CUL for EDB is soil is set at 0.27 µg/Kg, which is in accordance with MTCA Method B (the CLARC is 0.27 µg/Kg for protection of groundwater). For groundwater, the CUL is set at the state drinking water MCL of 0.05 µg/L.
- Shallow groundwater flow characterization indicates flow direction from the Simplot site is either away or cross-gradient from former City Well #4. Thus migration of EDB through the shallow aquifer under transient flow conditions is unlikely. However, the hydraulic capture zone of City Well #4 during past pumping is unknown. City Well #5 is located approximately 800 feet west-southwest of Simplot's site. The packer installed in this well in 2004 is 200 feet bgs and isolates the lower portion of the well for water production. The City of Warden periodically pumps the well for irrigation use at a wastewater land application site. City Well #5 was drilled in 1968 to a depth of 368 feet bgs and completed open hole below 54 feet. Well #5 has had detection of EDB. The results of the 2013 pump test and off-site groundwater monitoring suggest that the EDB detected in groundwater at the Simplot site does not present a current risk to the deeper aquifer in the study area with the pumping of City Well #5 (with packer in place). However, the hydraulic connection between this deep well and the shallow aquifer without the packer was not determined.
- The nature of high density EDB with a potential ability to migrate through clay, still indicates a risk to the basalt aquifers from a spill at the site; however, current levels of EDB in the soil and groundwater on site are not indicative of an on-going non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL) type condition.
- No primary sources (e.g., original EDB tank) remain on site. Three secondary sources (contaminated media) are identified as soil at the site, groundwater at the site, and the deeper Wanapum aquifer in the area of City Well #5. It is unknown how the deeper aquifer became a secondary source; however, the hypotheses in Section 1.3.2.2 provide possible explanations. No current exposure of EDB to humans has been identified as completed pathways associated with the site. While there is potential EDB exposure with City Well #5, under current conditions (packer in place in Well #5 and based on groundwater monitoring results), there is not a completed migration pathway from the on-site EDB to Well #5. This does not exclude past migration pathways, when the packer was not in place in Well #5 and Well #4 was operational. Future exposure scenarios include both on-site industrial exposure to impacted soil and groundwater. Furthermore, because there is a detectable level of EDB in groundwater beneath the site, a future scenario includes the potential for off-site migration and groundwater exposures via ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact.

2 Feasibility Study

2.1 Identification of Contamination to be Remediated

The chemical of concern is EDB.

2.2 Identification and Initial Screening of Remedial Alternatives

2.2.1 Development of Cleanup Levels and Remedial Action Objectives

Table 15 summarizes CUL for EDB in soil, groundwater, and air for the site. The CULs are as follows:

- 0.27 μg/Kg EDB in soil for protection of groundwater (based on MTCA Method B and with a CLARC is 0.5 μg/Kg).
- 0.05 μg/L EDB in groundwater based on state and federal MCL

The potential exposure pathways are provided in **Table 14**. No "complete" exposure pathways for EDB in soil and groundwater at the Simplot site are identified for current conditions. Potential future exposure pathways are identified in **Table 14** and include:

- Trenching (construction) inhalation, ingestion, and dermal contact exposure routes. These exposure pathways to workers are considered under potential future activities, because EDB has been detected in subsurface soil (see **Figure 9** and **Table 7** for location of EDB in soil).
- Groundwater ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact exposure routes. These exposure pathways are considered because it is possible that a shallow well could be installed on site in the future and used for a drinking water supply. Furthermore, a possible future scenario is the migration of EDB in the shallow aquifer off site.
- Volatile emission inhalation exposure route of EDB vapor intrusion in future buildings placed on site. This pathway is considered unlikely to be complete in that EDB in soil is deep (greater than 10 feet), limited in area, and is bound with the caliche layer. Inhalation during construction activities (e.g., on-site remediation) is a potential exposure pathway.

The remedial action objectives (RAOs) are developed to prevent unacceptable risk to current and future receptors.

The RAO for soil is as follows:

- For protection of human health, prevent EDB exposure to future on-site receptors through trenching activities (dermal contact and ingestion through direct soil contact). The Method B, unrestricted land use, CUL is 500 µg/Kg, which exceeds the highest detected soil value of 218 µg/Kg. Thus, the current EDB soil concentrations are below the risk based standards and this scenario is not further considered.
- For protection of human health, reduce EDB concentrations in soil to protect groundwater, where the soil CUL for protection of groundwater is 0.27 μg/Kg EDB.

The RAO for groundwater is as follows:

• For protection of human health, prevent ingestion of groundwater, both on site and off site with EDB in excess of the federal and state MCL of 0.05 μ g/L.

2.2.1.1 MAXIMUM EXPOSURE DURING ON-SITE REMEDIATION

Prior to on-site remediation activities (both drilling and excavation), a health and safety plan will be developed that includes air monitoring for using a photoionization detector (PID). The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure limit for an 8-hour time weighted average (TWA) is 20 parts per million volume (ppmv). The PID correction factor using isobutylene calibration is 1.7, which results in a PID reading of 34 ppmv. The reading would be the trigger concentration for on-site remediation workers needing to done an air purifying respirator (full-face respirator with organic vapor cartridges). The actual trigger concentration would likely be lower than 34 ppmv and will be determined in the site health and safety plan. The immediately-dangerous-to-life-or-health (IDLH) condition is 100ppmv (or 170 ppmv with the PID calibrated to isobutylene).

2.2.1.2 CITY WELL #5

Through groundwater monitoring and a pump test, the RI determined no current direct link (conveyance through groundwater) between the Simplot site and City Well #5 based on site and area-wide conditions and because a packer is in place in the well. A hydraulic connection between the well and the shallow aquifer without the packer in place has not been determined. Hypotheses of how the EDB may have migrated to this deeper aquifer are described in Section 1.3.2.2. Since EDB is present in the deeper Wanapum aquifer in the area of City Well #5 (possibly City Well #4 but this well was decommissioned in 2011), City Well #5 presents an exposure pathway for EDB from the Wanapum aquifer to the surface. This well is currently pumped and used with food processing wastewater for land application during growing season. This well also serves as a backup well (emergency use only) for potable use by the City of Warden. Through the use of institutional controls (restricted use of City Well #5), the groundwater-EDB ingestion exposure pathway (current and future) is not complete (no human ingestion of water).

2.2.2 Identification of Areas and Volumes of Impacts

Section 1.2.2 describes soil investigations conducted as part of the RI. A total of 27 soil borings have been advanced on site; 12 soil borings as part of the RI (includes monitoring well borings) and 15 soil borings conducted by Ecology (**Figure 6**). All borings were in the vadose zone or into the shallow aquifer to support monitoring wells. All monitoring wells are in the shallow aquifer, where the shallow aquifer is defined as unconsolidated deposits and includes weather basalt. EDB has been detected in 5 of the 27 borings. As illustrated in **Table 7** and in **Figure 9**, two areas have soil impacted by EDB: the area around SB-5; and a larger area between MW-5D and SB-12. For SB-5, EDB was detected in a soil sample 2 feet into the caliche at a concentration of 8.4 μ g/Kg. Five borings surrounding SB-5 (SB-4, GP-6, SB-7, SB-6, GP-5, and SB-21) had no EDB in samples collected from the same elevation and deeper within the caliche interbeds. On the west side of the site, four borings had soil samples with detectable EDB: SB-12, MW-5D, GP-7, and MW-5S. SB-12 had EDB in soil collected from approximately 1 to 2 feet into the caliche interbeds, at a concentration of 3.19 μ g/Kg. Borings SB-3, SB-11 and SB-9 had no EDB detected in the soil samples. EDB was found in the caliche at depths ranging from 10 to 22 feet bgs. Concentrations were as follows:

• SB-5 (10 feet bgs) 8.4 µg/Kg



- SB-12 (17 feet bgs) 3.2 µg/Kg
- G-7 (14 feet bgs) 11.8 µg/Kg
- G-7 (16.5 feet bgs) 11.6 µg/Kg
- MW-5S (20 feet bgs) 218 µg/Kg
- MW-5D (10 feet bgs) 6.2 μg/Kg

Maximum concentration was 218 μ g/Kg and the average concentration (n=5, used average for G-7) was 49.5 μ g/Kg.

Thus, the area of highest concentrations, and also the area where there is an elevation trough at the top of caliche layer, is between MW-5S, GP-7, and SB-11 (**Figure 8**). The area is defined as approximately 0.1 acres. Assuming caliche between 12 to 22 feet bgs, the volume of soil is estimated at 1,600 cubic yards of soil. The actual EDB-impacted soil is a fraction of the caliche, as illustrated in **Table 7** (Section 2.2.5 further describes estimated volumes of EDB-impacted soil). Because the near-surface soil in this area has been non-detected for EDB, this suggests that either EDB migrated into this area (e.g., migrated on top of the caliche from an upgradient source area), or that EDB was released in this area and migrated downward and that the EDB near the surface has dissipated over time. **Figure 17** illustrates the area of EDB soil impact.

In groundwater, well MW-5S is the only well to show consistent levels of EDB (**Table 11**). MW-6S has had EDB detection, but was non-detected in October 2013 and was $0.35 \mu g/L$ in December 2017. Furthermore, no EDB has been detected in off-site monitoring wells. No EDB plume has been delineated from the monitoring well network sampling.

2.2.3 Point of Compliance and Compliance Monitoring

2.2.3.1 SOIL

The point of compliance is the point or points where the soil CUL shall be obtained. Per WAC 173-340-740(6)(b), for CULs *based on protection of groundwater, the point of compliance shall be established throughout the site.* For CULs based on chronic or carcinogenic threats, the true mean soil concentration shall be used to evaluate compliance with the CUL (WAC 173-340-740(7)(c)(iv)(B)). In practice, the upper, one-sided, 95 percent confidence limit of the mean soil concentration is compared to the CUL for compliance monitoring. Also, it is appropriate to determine this compliance in the area of impact, and not for the entire site.

2.2.3.2 GROUNDWATER

The standard point of compliance for groundwater is the entire site from the uppermost level of the saturated zone extending vertically to the lower most depth, which could potentially be affected by the site (WAC 173-340-720(8)(a)). As presented in Section 1, EDB in groundwater beneath the site is identified and limited to the area of shallow well MW-5S, which is screened through the vadose zone/groundwater interface. Shallow well MW-6S has also had detections of EDB, but has been non-detect or near detection limits for the last two sampling events. Monitoring well MW-5D (paired well to MW-5S) is screened at the unconsolidated groundwater/basalt interface (still part of the upper aquifer) has been non-detect (or at trace amounts of EDB) during the RI monitoring period. Trace concentrations of EDB at MW-5D since 2012 are thought to be due to lab or site cross-contamination due to the low concentrations and due to non-detect readings at past sampling events.

EDB has not been detected in off-site monitoring wells, including wells that are downgradient (at least part of the year) from the Simplot facility. None of the deep monitoring wells (with the exception of the trace readings at MW-5D) that are finished in the fractured basalt (but still representative of the shallow aquifer) have had any detected EDB results. Monitoring well MW-5S is screened in the caliche zone and based on soil sampling from this well, it is postulated that the detection of EDB in this well is from the slow dissolution of EDB held in this confining layer. The fact that EDB has not been detected in downgradient wells (e.g., MW-8S, MW-10S, MW-4, MW-3), suggest that the presence is localized and there is no established plume.

EDB has been consistently detected in City Well #4 and City Well #5 since 1989. City Well #4 has been abandoned since January 2011. Through groundwater monitoring and a pump test, the RI has determined no current direct link (conveyance through groundwater) between the Simplot site and City Well #5 (with packer in place) based on site and area-wide conditions. However, City Well #5 was tested with a packer in place to isolate the shallow aquifer from the Wanapum aquifer. Hypotheses of how the EDB may have migrated to this deeper aquifer are described in Section 1.3.2.2. Regardless, City Well #5 presents an exposure pathway for EDB from the Wanapum aquifer to the surface.

It may not be practicable to meet the CUL for MW-5S within a reasonable restoration time frame, and Simplot requests a conditional point of compliance as the edge of property. Per WAC 173-340-720(8)(c), where a conditional point of compliance is proposed, the person responsible for undertaking the clean up action shall demonstrate that all practicable methods of treatment are to be used in the site cleanup, which is addressed through the remedial alternative analysis below.

2.2.4 General Response Actions and Initial Screening

General response actions for addressing EDB in soil and groundwater are grouped into the following categories:

- No action
- Institutional controls
- Cover/capping (soil only)
- Monitored natural attenuation
- In situ treatment
- Ex situ treatment
- Removal
- Containment (groundwater only)

2.2.4.1 SOIL

Brief descriptions of each general response for soil are provided below and further described in **Table 16**.

- The *no action* alternative is the basis for comparison to other alternatives and represents the most likely future scenario in absence of remedial action. This is not the same as the baseline cleanup action as defined in WAC 173-340-360(3)(e)(ii)(B), which is further addressed in Section 2.2.5.
- *Institutional controls* include actions that minimize or eliminate potential human contact with soil EDB and generally include land use restrictions. An example of an institutional control
would be a restriction preventing the placement of building in the area of detected EDB in soil.

- Cover/capping approach involves placing materials on the surface of the impacted soil (physical or hydraulic barrier) to minimize or prevent percolation of meteoric water and subsequent leaching of EDB.
- *Monitored natural attenuation* processes would involve allowing the soil EDB to volatilize, biodegrade, and dissolve in groundwater over time with long-term EDB groundwater monitoring. It is postulated that much of the EDB released to the environment has dissipated and what remains is the last remnants of a historic release.
- In situ treatment processes would reduce EDB concentrations in the soil system. Such treatment generally focuses on the soil vapor extraction to remove EDB, which is a volatile compound. This could also include in situ bioremediation (e.g., create anoxic soil conditions through addition of an organic reagent).
- *Ex situ treatment* involves excavating soil, treating the soil, and then either returning the soil to the same excavation or reuse of the soil off site (e.g., construction fill).
- *Removal* involves excavating the impacted soil and transporting the material to a solid or industrial waste landfill, or reusing the soil (e.g. as fill material for construction). For purposes of this evaluation, removal with on-site *ex situ treatment* are considered along with removal with off-site landfilling.

2.2.4.2 GROUNDWATER

A brief description of each general response for groundwater is provided below and further described in **Table 17**:

- The *no action* alternative is provided as a basis for comparison to other alternatives and represents the most likely future scenario in absence of remedial action.
- Institutional controls include actions that minimize or eliminate potential human ingestion of impacted groundwater. On-site institutional controls could include prohibition of potable wells. Off-site institutional controls could include future well restrictions, but they are more difficult to implement.
- *Monitored natural attenuation* processes for EDB in groundwater are advection, dispersion, sorption, biodegradation, and volatilization. Monitoring would be conducted to assess the extent of EDB impacts and the rate of natural attenuation (see discussion below in this section).
- *In situ treatment* reduces the EDB concentration in groundwater. An example of in situ treatment would be air sparging.
- *Ex situ treatment* involves extracting groundwater, treating the water, and then either reinjecting the groundwater or using it elsewhere (e.g., irrigation water source).
- Removal is related to soil for this site and not groundwater.
- *Groundwater containment* technologies that prevent contaminated groundwater from coming into contact with future receptors. This could be a pump and treat system, where there is hydraulic control of impacted groundwater. Containment is similar to ex-situ

treatment, except for containment, there is more focus on hydraulic control. For purposes of this evaluation, ex-situ treatment and containment are combined.

For assessing biodegradation, monitoring could be conducted to assess if there is active biological and chemical breakdown of EDB in groundwater. This would include testing water for biological activities (for example measuring electron acceptors such as nitrate, sulfate, and presence of methane). However, the extent of EDB in groundwater is limited to one area on site (primarily MW-5S), the EDB is associated with the caliche and concentration levels are relatively low, so it questionable if accurate measurements of biological activity are achievable. Furthermore, while advection, dispersion, sorption, and volatilization mechanisms occur for EDB under site conditions, literature suggests that EDB undergoes little or no biodegradation under aerobic aquifer conditions (McKeever 2011).

2.2.4.2.1 City Well #5

Through the use of institutional controls (restricted use of City Well #5), the groundwater-EDB ingestion exposure pathway (current and future) is not complete. Furthermore, no current migration pathway exists between the site and City Well #5, as demonstrated through groundwater monitoring and the pump test. The presence of the packer in City Well #5 provides protection between the shallow aquifer and the deeper aquifer. Regarding general responses for City Well #5, besides on-going institutional controls, another action for this well that would eliminate potential exposure to receptors is the decommissioning of City Well #5. The discussion on alternatives screenings below focuses on the Simplot site only.

2.2.5 Remedial Alternatives

The MTCA cleanup regulation sets forth the requirements and procedures to develop soil and groundwater cleanup standards. CULs must be based on the reasonable maximum exposure expected to occur under both current and future site conditions.

The results of remedial technology screening presented above are used to assemble remedial alternatives. For soil, all remedial processes are carried forward into alternatives except for "excavation and landfilling." The cost for landfilling is very high and because the site is not in use (the warehouses are used for storage but the site is mostly inactive), on-site treatment of soil and returning the soil to the excavation pit (or using for other purposes) is the most economical and environmentally sound option. For groundwater, pump and treat technologies (containment) are not advanced because current impacted groundwater associated with the Simplot site is limited to MW-5S and possibly MW-6S, both being shallow wells, and there is no evidence of a plume or current off-site migration of EDB from the site.

The following alternatives for analysis are based on the preliminary screenings described in **Table 16** and **Table 17**:

- Alternative 1 No action
- Alternative 2 Institutional controls and monitored natural attenuation for soil and groundwater

This alternative includes on-site institutional controls for land use, where there would be restrictions on building locations, and the prohibition of potable wells on site. These controls would remain until the RAO are met for groundwater protection. This alternative would rely

F)5

on the monitored natural attenuation of EDB in both soil and groundwater. As described for the site conceptual model, natural attenuation processes for EDB in groundwater are advection, dispersion, sorption, biodegradation, and volatilization. In aerobic soils and groundwater, EDB undergoes minimal biodegradation (McKeever 2011); therefore, natural attenuation is mostly reliant on volatilization, advection, and dispersion. The site conceptual model is that EDB released to the environment has mostly dissipated and what remains in site soil and groundwater is the last remnant of a historic release. The fact that EDB has not been detected in downgradient wells (e.g., MW-8S, MW-10S, MW-4, MW-3), suggest that the presence is localized and there is no established plume.

Monitoring would be conducted to assess EDB in groundwater and to assess the rate of natural attenuation. See Section 2.2.6 for more detailed description of this alternative.

 Alternative 3a – Institutional controls, targeted soil excavation including soil/groundwater interface, treatment, and return (or use elsewhere), and monitored natural attenuation of groundwater

The institutional controls would be the same as Alternative 2. This alternative would include the targeted excavation of EDB-impacted soil including soil at the soil/groundwater interface where EDB is detected. The goal would be to remove soil such that the remaining soil meets the CUL of 0.27 µg/Kg at the point of compliance (see Section 2.2.3.1). Confirmation sampling would be based on the upper, one-sided, 95 percent confidence limit of the mean soil concentration. EDB-impacted soil would be excavated and treated on site through ex-situ vapor extraction process (some biodegradation may occur but main mechanism is expected to be volatilization). Soil would then be returned to the excavation pit and the site re-graded. As an alternative, soil could be used for other uses such as fill material. This alternative would rely on removal of soil at the soil/groundwater interface as well as natural attenuation of EDB in groundwater similar to Alternative 2; however, unlike Alternative 2, Alternative 3a involves secondary source (e.g., EDB-impacted soil including soil at the soil/groundwater interface) removal. Caliche would be sampled using a hollow-stem auger equipped with a split-spoon sampler (California modified type) that would be driven (hammered) into the layer.

A concern with excavation is that it may be difficult to excavate the caliche hardpan and interbedded materials and could require either ripping the layers (e.g., bulldozer with ripper) or a pneumatic hammer (e.g., equipped on a trackhoe). Soil excavation would be done in a "targeted fashion," where first, additional soil borings would be conducted to further "pin-point" EDB location and a detailed excavation plan developed. The excavation would focus on opening up the areas of highest EDB soil levels, testing soil in place, and targeting only the soil with detectable EDB, which is primarily the area near MW-5S. This approach would reduce the risk of dislodging the EDB, but there would still be risk to groundwater through excavation activities. Excavation would go into the soil/groundwater interface since EDB has been detected in zone but would attempt to minimize digging through the caliche bottom. See Section 2.2.6 for more detailed description of this alternative.



Alternative 3b – Institutional controls, targeted soil excavation including soil/groundwater interface, offsite landfilling, and monitored natural attenuation of groundwater

This alternative is the same as Alternative 3a, except rather than on-site treatment of impacted soils the soils are transported off-site to an industrial landfill.

2.2.6 Detailed Analysis of Remedial Alternatives

2.2.6.1 MINIMAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CLEANUP ACTIONS

2.2.6.1.1 Threshold Requirements

Per WAC 173-340-360 (2)(a), the threshold criteria include overall protection of human health and the environment, compliance with ARARs, and opportunity for compliance monitoring.

- <u>Protection of Human Health and the Environment</u> This criterion describes how the remedial alternative provides overall protection of human health and the environment.
- <u>Comply with Cleanup Standards and ARARs</u> The assessment for this criterion determines whether each remedial alternative complies with CULs and site-specific ARARs (see Sections 1.4.1 and 1.5.1).
- <u>Provide for Monitoring</u> This criterion evaluates whether implementation of compliance monitoring is possible for each remedial alternative.

2.2.6.1.2 Other Requirements and Considerations

- <u>Permanent Cleanup</u> A permanent cleanup action is defined as one in which cleanup standards can be met without further action being required, other than the approved disposal of any residue from the treatment of hazardous substances (WAC 173-340-200).
- <u>Reasonable Restoration Time Frame</u> The assessment for this criterion determines whether cleanup actions provide for a reasonable restoration time frame.
- <u>Public Concerns</u> Ecology conducts a public participation program as part of the RI/FS decisions.
- <u>Groundwater cleanup actions</u> A permanent cleanup action shall be used at the point of compliance where practicable or in public interest. When permanent cleanup action is not required, additional measures need to be addressed including source removal and treatment and implementation of groundwater containment if appropriate.
- Institutional Controls Cleanup actions shall not rely primarily on institutional controls and
 monitoring where it is technically possible to implement a more permanent cleanup action
- <u>Releases and Migration</u> Cleanup action shall prevent or minimize releases of hazardous substances
- <u>Dilution and Dispersion</u> Cleanup actions shall not rely primarily on dilution and dispersion unless the incremental costs of any active remedial measures grossly exceed the incremental benefit
- <u>Disproportionate Cost Analysis</u> A comparison of costs to benefits shall be made for alternatives. Alternatives in the FS shall be ranked from most to least permanent (based on

criteria described below). As per WAC 173-340-360(3)(e)(ii)(B), the most practical permanent solution evaluated in the FS shall be the baseline cleanup action alternative against which cleanup action alternatives are compared. For this FS, Alternative 2 is considered the baseline cleanup action in that it provides for permanence through natural attenuation (permanent removal) of EDB in soils and groundwater.

2.2.6.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

F)5

WAC 173-340-360 provides details on the selection of cleanup actions, including evaluation criteria. The three alternatives described previously represent cleanup actions and are evaluated with respect to cleanup standards that must be met for all clean actions. Here, the terms "cleanup actions" and "remedial alternatives" are interchangeable (Ecology defines cleanup action as *any remedial action, except interim actions, taken at a site to eliminate, render less toxic, stabilize, contain, immobilize, isolate, treat, destroy, or remove* (WAC 1730-340-200)).

- <u>Protectiveness</u> This criterion describes how the remedial alternative provides overall protection of human health and the environment with consideration given to the following:
 - Elimination or removal of all physical hazards
 - o The degree to which existing risks are reduced
 - o Time required to reduce risk at the site and attain cleanup standards
 - o On-site and off-site risks resulting from implementing the remedial alternative
 - o Overall improvement of environmental quality
- Permanence A permanent cleanup action is defined as one in which cleanup standards can be met without further action being required, other than the approved disposal of any residue from the treatment of hazardous substances (WAC 173-340-200). An evaluation of permanence considers the degree to which the remedial alternative permanently reduces the toxicity, mobility, or volume of hazardous substances, including the adequacy of the remedial alternative in destroying the hazardous substances, the reduction or elimination of hazardous substance releases and sources of releases, the degree of irreversibility of waste treatment process, and the characteristics and quantity of treatment residuals generated. Per WAC 173-340-360(3)(e)(ii)(B), the most practical permanent solution evaluated in the FS shall be the baseline cleanup action alternative against which cleanup action alternatives are compared. For this FS, Alternative 2 is considered the baseline cleanup action in that it provides for permanence through natural attenuation (permanent removal) of EDB in soils and groundwater.
- <u>Cost</u> This criterion evaluates estimated costs to implement each remedial alternative. Due to the preliminary nature of FS cost estimates, cost should be regarded as having a relatively large degree of uncertainty (± 30 percent). As such, they are intended for use only in the relative comparison of remedial alternatives and should not be construed as actual cost estimates for implementing the chosen alternative. The costs account for the following:
 - Construction and oversight costs that include institutional controls, permits, equipment and materials, waste management, analytical services, and labor
 - Long-term operation, maintenance, and monitoring (OMM) costs that include maintaining institutional controls and permits, replacement and repair of equipment and materials, waste management, analytical services, labor, and accounting for inflation based on estimated design life of the remedial action.

- <u>Effectiveness Over the Long Term</u> Long-term effectiveness includes the degree of certainty that the remedial alternative will be successful, the reliability of the remedial alternative during the period of time hazardous substances are expected to remain on-site at concentrations that exceed CULs, the magnitude of residual risk with the remedial alternative in place, and the effectiveness of controls required to manage treatment residues or remaining wastes. The following types of cleanup action components may be used as a guide, in descending order, when assessing the relative degree of long-term effectiveness (WAC 173-340-360 (3)(f)(iv)):
 - o Reuse or recycling
 - Destruction or detoxification
 - o Immobilization or solidification
 - o On-site or off-site disposal in an engineered, lined, and monitored facility on site;
 - o Isolation or containment with attendant engineering controls
 - Institutional controls and monitoring.
- <u>Management of Short-term Risk</u> The assessment for this criterion examines the effectiveness of each remedial alternative in protecting human health and the environment during the construction and implementation of the remedy until the RAOs have been met.
- <u>Technical and Administrative Implementability</u> This criterion evaluates the technical and administrative feasibility of remedial alternatives with consideration given to the following (WAC 173-340-360 (3)(f)(vi)):
 - Remedial alternative is technically feasible
 - o Availability of off-site services, facilities, and materials
 - Health and safety of workers during implementation
 - o Scheduling, size, and complexity
 - Future OMM requirements
 - o Integration with existing operations at the site and other potential remedial actions
 - o site access for construction operations and monitoring
 - o Administrative and regulatory requirements can be met
- <u>Considerations of Public Concerns</u> This criterion reflects preferences or concerns about remedial alternatives from regulators and the public. Public acceptance of the remedial alternatives will be evaluated during a subsequent 30-day public comment period associated with review of this RI/FS.
- <u>Reasonable Restoration Time Frame</u> The assessment for this criterion determines whether cleanup actions provide for a reasonable restoration time frame. with consideration given to the following (WAC 173-340-360(4)):
 - Potential risks posed by the site to human health and the environment
 - o Practicability of achieving a shorter restoration time frame
 - Current use of the site, surrounding areas, and associated resources that are, or may be, affected by releases from the site
 - Potential future use of the site, surrounding areas, and associated resources that are, or may be, affected by release from the site



- o Availability of alternative water supplies
- o Likely effectiveness and reliability of institutional controls
- o Ability to control and monitor migration of hazardous substances from the site
- o Toxicity of the hazardous substances at the site
- Natural processes that reduce concentrations of hazardous substances and have been documented to occur at the site or under similar site conditions

2.2.6.3 ANALYSIS OF REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES

Following are descriptions of the remedial alternatives and evaluations of each with respect to the evaluation criteria described in Section 2.2.5. A summary of the evaluation is provided in **Table 18**.

- Alternative 1 No action
- Alternative 2 Institutional controls and monitored natural attenuation for soil and groundwater
- Alternative 3a Institutional controls, targeted soil excavation including soil/groundwater interface, treatment, and return (or use elsewhere), and monitored natural attenuation of groundwater
- Alternative 3b same as Alternative 3a, except rather than on-site treatment, soils are transported to an off-site landfill for disposal.

2.2.6.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Action

Remedial Alternative 1 involves leaving all concentrations of EDB in on-site soil and groundwater in place with no further action. It is included as a baseline to which other remedial alternatives can be compared.

2.2.6.3.1.1 Evaluation

- Protectiveness RI indicates no current completed pathways for human exposure to EDB (also no ecological exposure); therefore, current conditions are protective of human health and the environment. There is potential for future exposure related to soil and groundwater pathways and potential for off-site migration. Monitoring well MW-5S is screened in the caliche zone and based on soil sampling from this well, it is postulated that the detection of EDB in this well is from the slow dissolution of EDB held in this confining layer. The fact that EDB has not been detected in downgradient wells (e.g., MW-8S, MW-10S, MW-4, MW-3) suggests that the presence is localized and there is no established plume. Thus, it is possible that this alternative would remain protection in the future, as it is currently. This alternative does not include monitoring or institutional controls; thus, without monitoring and controls in place, it would be uncertain if future exposure exists.
- <u>*Permanence*</u> Not considered permanent cleanup (though it is possible with no action, there would be no future exposure, but without monitoring this cannot be determined.
- <u>Cost</u> No costs associated with Alternative 1, though it could result in long-term liability to the site owner.



- <u>Effectiveness Over the Long Term</u> Might be effective long-term based on RI results; however, this alternative includes no monitoring or institutional control. Therefore, it fails effectiveness evaluation criteria.
- <u>Management of Short-term Risk</u> No remedial actions involved.
- <u>Technical and Administrative Implementability</u> No action; therefore, nothing to implement.
- <u>Considerations of Public and Agency Concerns</u> Without monitoring, institutional controls, and other remedial actions, this alternative would not be acceptable to Ecology or the public.
- <u>Reasonable Restoration Time Frame</u> No remedial action would occur. It is possible that EDB would continue to dissipate over time, as evident from the RI; however, this alternative includes no monitoring.
- <u>Comply with Cleanup Standards and ARARs</u> Would not comply with CULs for soil or groundwater.
- <u>Provide for Monitoring</u> Alternative 1 does not include monitoring.

2.2.6.3.2 Alternative 2 – Institutional Controls and Monitored Natural Attenuation for Soil and Groundwater

As described under Alternative 1, the EDB-impacted soil and groundwater is primarily limited to the area of monitoring well MW-5S (**Figure 17**). It is postulated that the detection of EDB in MW-5S is from the slow dissolution of EDB held in the confining caliche layer. The fact that EDB has not been detected in downgradient wells (e.g., MW-8S, MW-10S, MW-4, MW-3) suggests that the presence is localized and there is no established plume. This alternative is the implementation of institutional controls with long-term monitoring and with EDB concentrations dissipating over time. If monitoring results show a change in EDB (e.g., groundwater concentration increase or it is detected in downgradient wells), then additional actions would be required.

Institutional controls are defined as measures undertaken to limit or prohibit activities that may interfere with the integrity of an interim action or cleanup action or that may result in exposure of hazardous substances at a site (WAC 173-340-444). Controls may include physical barriers (e.g., fences), land use restrictions, maintenance requirements of engineered controls (e.g., repair of monitoring wells), educational programs (e.g., signs posted around site warning public), and financial assurances.

For Alternative 2, the following institutional controls would be implemented:

- Restrictive covenant Under monitored natural attenuation, the CULs in soil and groundwater would take time to be achieved. As described in Section 2.2.3, the conditional point of compliance for groundwater is the property boundary, which currently meets the CUL for EDB. Well MW-5S does not meet the CUL, and based on its location, may take a long period of time to reach the CUL. A restrictive covenant would include the following:
 - A restriction on installing drinking water wells in the shallow aquifer on site until the CUL is met for groundwater throughout the site.
 - A restriction on construction or relocation of buildings that would prevent a building in the area of the identified EDB-impacted soil (shaded area in Figure 17) until the CULs in soil and groundwater are met.

The covenant would follow the Washington Uniform Environmental Covenants Act.

Another institutional control to be implemented would be the requirement to maintain monitoring wells. It is assumed that this would be covered under the Cleanup Action Plan and would be part of the agreed order between Ecology and Simplot.

Monitored natural attenuation refers to the natural physical, chemical, and/or biological processes that reduce the mass, toxicity, or mobility of EDB in the subsurface over time. Monitored natural attenuation involves sampling and analysis to verify that attenuation of EDB is occurring. Processes involved in natural attenuation of EDB are volatilization, biodegradation, dispersion, and sorption. As presented in Section 1, current site conditions show that EDB is in groundwater above the CUL of 0.05 µg/L for MW-5S, but does not exceed the CUL for other on-site wells (based on last sampling event for MW-6S). Furthermore, the CUL is met for the conditional point of compliance and there is no detection of EDB in off-site groundwater monitoring wells. It is also postulated that the EDB remaining in soil and groundwater on site represent the last remaining remnants of a historic spill/release. Thus, the existing EDB levels in soil and groundwater are representative of natural attenuation mechanisms and it is expected that continued dissipation of this compound will continue. As described for the site conceptual model, natural attenuation processes for EDB in groundwater are advection, dispersion, sorption, biodegradation, and volatilization. In aerobic soils and groundwater, EDB undergoes minimal biodegradation (McKeever 2011); therefore, natural attenuation is mostly reliant on volatilization, advection, and dispersion.

Monitoring would involve continued collection of groundwater samples from the existing monitoring well network on a semi-annual basis (twice per year). One new monitoring well would be included with this alternative (MW-11S) and would be located along the western edge of the Simplot property directly west of MW-5D. This well would serve as a conditional point of compliance well, along with MW-8S, for the downgradient property boundary. In addition, soil samples would be collected annually to assess if EDB in soils meet the soil CUL.

For evaluation purposes, a monitoring period of 10 years is assumed to achieve CUL for soil and groundwater.

2.2.6.3.2.1 Evaluation

- <u>Protectiveness</u> There are no current completed on-site or off-site exposure pathways. The identified exposure pathways are for potential future activities that would include installation of an on-site, potable well, or if EDB in on-site soil and groundwater were to migrate off site. The installation of an on-site well would be prohibited with the implementation of institutional controls described above. EDB soil levels are below risk-based levels for exposure to workers through trenching (dermal and inhalation pathways). While the time required reaching the CUL for soil and groundwater (primarily area of MW-5S) may be long (assumes 10 years), Alternative 2 Institutional Controls and Monitored Natural Attenuation for Soil and Groundwater, partially meets this criterion. Monitoring provides a means of assessing site conditions long term and a contingency plan for reacting to site changes would be necessary.
- <u>Permanence</u> Monitoring natural attenuation would ultimately result in the permanent reduction of EDB in soil and groundwater to below CULs. Therefore, Alternative 2 meets this criterion, but not to the extent (confidence) that Alternatives 3a and 3b would in that

Alternative 3a and 3b involves physical removal and treatment of EDB from soil and at the soil/groundwater interface.

- <u>Costs –</u> The estimated life-cycle cost of this remedial alternative is approximately \$475,560 as shown in **Table 18** and detailed in Appendix G. The cost is based on the following:
 - 10 years of semi-annual monitoring of the 11 groundwater monitoring wells and annual soil sampling. The assumption is that it will take 10 years to achieve the CULs.
 - Installation of one well (MW-11S) for compliance monitoring.
 - A one-time, up-front cost to restrain a restrictive covenant.
 - Inflation of 3 percent per year.
 - Operation and maintenance assumes replacement of two wells over the 10-year period.
 - o 15 percent contingency on total cost to account for uncertainty.
- <u>Effectiveness over the Long Term</u> Although monitored natural attenuation would reduce the mass of EDB in on-site groundwater and soil to some extent, there is a lower degree of certainty compared to Alternative 3a and 3b that it would effectively reduce soil EDB concentrations to below CULs. Therefore, Alternative 2 only partially meets this criterion.
- <u>Management of Short-term Risk</u> There are no current, completed on-site or off-site exposure pathways (**Table 14**, see footnote in **Table 14** regarding City Well #5). Human health and the environment are anticipated to remain protected during implementation of Alternative 2.
- <u>Technical and Administrative Implementability</u> The implementation of monitored natural attenuation is essentially the same as current site activities (groundwater monitoring) with the addition of one new monitoring well (MW-11S). The institutional controls described above can be readily implemented and would have to be administered by Ecology under the Washington Uniform Environmental Covenants Act. Thus, Alternative 2 is implementable.
- <u>Consideration of Public and Agency</u> WAC 173-340-370 outlines Ecology's expectations for cleanup action. Specifically, WAC 173-340-370 (7) states that Ecology expects that natural attenuation of hazardous substances may be appropriate at sites where
 - a) Source control (including removal and/or treatment of hazardous substances) has been conducted to the maximum extent practicable.
 - b) Leaving contaminant on site during the restoration time frame does not pose and unacceptable threat to human health or the environment.
 - c) There is evidence that natural biodegradation or chemical degradation is occurring and will continue to occur at a reasonable rate at the site.
 - d) Appropriate monitoring requirements are conducted to ensure the natural attenuation process is taking place.

For Alternative 2, requirements b and d are or can be met. However, this alternative does not include source control (requirement a); and degradation is not likely occurring with EDB or to

a small extent, the likely reduction in EDB overtime will be through dissolution and volatilization. Therefore, this alternative may not be considered acceptable to Ecology.

Community acceptance of the remedial alternatives will be evaluated during a subsequent 30-day public comment period.

- <u>Reasonable Restoration Time Frame</u> Table 11 summarizes EDB concentrations in well MW-5S. Interpretation of a trend warrants caution in that the actual physical installation of the well might have created dissolved EDB that has cleaned up over time with continued well purging. Without quantification of a trend, it is difficult to estimate expected time frame for EDB in well MW-5S to reach the CUL of 0.05 µg/L under natural attenuation alone (10 years is assumed for costing and evaluation purposes). Additional monitoring is warranted to assess trends. Compared to the Alternative 3, which includes remedial action for soil, this alternative would have a longer time frame for achieving the CUL in soil and groundwater.
- <u>Comply with Cleanup Standards and ARARs</u> This alternative meets this criterion in the long term in that concentration can be expected to decline overtime to ultimately meet CULs; however, the timeframe is uncertain.
- <u>Provide for Monitoring</u> This alternative allows the opportunity for compliance monitoring through the existing monitoring well network with the additional of one new well (MW-11S), and therefore, meets this criterion.

2.2.6.3.3 Alternative 3a – Institutional Controls, Targeted Soil Excavation, Treatment, and Return (or use elsewhere), and Monitored Natural Attenuation of Groundwater

For Alternative 3a, the following institutional controls would be implemented:

- Restrictive covenant Under soil excavation and monitored natural attenuation for groundwater, the CUL for groundwater would take time to be achieved even with source removal. As described in Section 2.2.3, the conditional point of compliance for groundwater is the property boundary, which meets the CUL for EDB. A restrictive covenant would include the following:
 - A restriction on installing drinking water wells in the shallow aquifer on site until the CUL is met for groundwater throughout the site.
 - It is assumed that after soil excavation, the remaining soil would meet the CUL for soil (5 µg/Kg) and no restriction on construction or relocation of buildings would be required.

The covenant would follow the Washington Uniform Environmental Covenants Act.

Another institutional control to be implemented would be the requirement to maintain monitoring wells. The excavation would likely result in the removal of MW-5S and MW-5D, which would then have to be replaced. In addition, one new well is proposed, MW-11S, which would be located along the western edge of the Simplot property directly west of MW-5D. It is assumed that this would be covered under the Cleanup Action Plan and would be part of the agreed order between Ecology and Simplot.

For Alternative 3a, the following soil and soil/groundwater interface excavation activities would occur:

- Wells MW-5S and MW-5D would be decommissioned and wells MW-11S and MW-12S would be constructed to the west and northwest along the property boundary, respectively (see Figure 17). This new wells along with MW-8S would be monitored before and after excavation activities. Wells MW-5S and MW-5D would not be replaced following excavation.
- A hollow-stem auger rig equipped with a California split-spoon sampler would be used to advance up to six borings in the area between MW-5S, SP-7, and SB-12 to further assess for the presence of EDB and to further define lithology. The goal of the drilling is to further quantify EDB in the caliche layer to further define the areas of elevated EDB concentrations, including updating **Table 7**. From this information, the presence of EDB greater than 0.27 µg/Kg would be mapped and an excavation plan developed. Also based on this updated information, potential EDB concentrations would be assessed and the need any need for vapor controls measured determined for the excavated soils.
- Using the updated information from the new borings along with the information presented in Table 7, soil in the area of MW-5S, MW-5D, and GP-7 (see Figure 17) would be excavated down to the caliche zone (approximately a depth of 10 feet in the area of MW-5S). The excavated soil above the caliche is expected to be non-detect for EDB concentrations based on RI results (Table 7). The soil, which would be tested for EDB to confirm that it is below the CUL, would be stockpiled on-site for use as fill material following soil excavation activities. Soils would be stockpiled on plastic until laboratory results are obtain and the fate of soils determined.
- Excavation activities would occur during the winter months to take advantage of a lower water table, which allows greater access to soil at the soil/groundwater interface. It is the soil/groundwater interface that serves as the secondary source of EDB in groundwater detected in MW-5S. Starting in the area of MW-5S, the caliche zone would be penetrated using equipment designed to infiltrate this hard layer (e.g., bulldozer with ripper) or a hydraulic hammer (e.g., equipped on a trackhoe). At approximately 3-foot lifts, the soil would be sampled and tested for EDB using an off-site laboratory. (HDR has not identified an onsite screening method for EDB given the low soil concentrations; a PID can be used for screening, but at these low concentrations, is not sensitive enough to verify EDB presence.) If soil is deemed clean, then the soil would be stockpiled for use as fill. If soil has EDB in excess of 0.27 µg/Kg (the CUL), the soil would be placed in a separate stockpile for on-site treatment. Excavated soils would be placed on plastic and also covered in plastic to minimize vapor emissions. A health and safety plan would be in place that included atmospheric monitoring to ensure worker safety from breathing EDB vapors above health based (OSHA) standards (see Section 2.2.1.1). Because of the depth, soil would be laid back (sloped) to ensure safe entry of equipment and personnel including an access ramp OSHA standards would be followed). In addition, stormwater measures would be implemented to ensure stormwater runoff remains on site and stockpiled soil is protected. Excavation would continue until the zones of EDB are reached and excavated to the extent practicable. Excavation would enter the soil/groundwater interface (by excavating during winter months, the groundwater elevation are at their lowest, allowing for greater removal of the interface zone). Excavation would penetrate no more than 1 foot of saturated soils (top of aquifer).



- The soil remaining in the ground after excavation would be tested for EDB and the upper, one-sided, 95-percent confidence limit of the mean soil concentration would be compared to the CUL for compliance monitoring. If soil remains above the CUL, additional excavation would occur to the extent practicable. Once the CUL for soil was met, the excavation pit would be backfilled and compacted.
- The excavated soil identified as having EDB in excess of 0.27 µg/Kg would be separately stockpiled (placed on and covered in plastic) and stored during the winter months. Composite stockpiled soil samples would be collected to assess mean EDB concentrations and also to assess potential air emissions so that proper vapor capture and permitting can be determined. The soil with EDB exceeding 0.27 µg/Kg would be treated by ex-situ vapor extraction (SVE) during the warmer months (late spring or early summer), where the soil would be placed over a network of aboveground piping to which a vacuum would be applied to enhance and capture the EDB vapor. The piles would be on top of plastic (HDPE) and also covered with plastic. The goal would be to treat soils by ex-situ SVE until EDB vapor levels drop to near or below detection limits and then soils tested. Captured vapor treatment would be treated by passing through activated carbon (the final vapor treatment technology, carbon versus thermal oxidation will be based on estimated quantity of EDB following excavation). The treatment of vapors and its emission would follow Washington air quality standards. Treated soils would be tested for EDB and treatment continued until soil EDB levels were less than 0.27 µg/Kg based on composite sampling. Once soil reached the CUL, the soil would either be placed back into the excavation pit (an area would be kept open for additional fill), or the soil would be used for fill material elsewhere. If the fill were to be moved off site, permission would be sought from Ecology for approval of final use.
- The preliminary estimated volumes for the excavation, accounting for side slopes of 2:1, are as follows:
 - Surface area identified as containing EDB in soil above 0.27 mg/Kg is illustrated in Figure 17 is approximately 4,000 square feet
 - Total excavation area at ground surface accounting for side slopes: 18,000 square feet
 - Total volume soil excavated: 13,000 cubic yards
 - Total volume of EDB-impacted soil greater than 0.27 μg/Kg isolated for treatment 1,180 cubic yards (estimated from Table 7 and Figure 17).

Thus, an estimated 1,180 cubic yards of soil would require treatment by ex-situ SVE. The conceptual SVE design would be 100 feet by 50 feet with perforated piping network laying on top of plastic, soil on top of piping, and then a second set of piping on top of the soil. The assumption is that the excavation process has broken up the caliche to allow for greater surface area and thus greater effectiveness for SVE vapor removal. Assuming the SVE system treats 3 feet of soil (100 X 50 X 3), this results in treating approximately 550 cubic yards of soil. Thus, soils would be treated in two batches (each 550 cubic yards). It is anticipated that the SVE treatment would remove vapors within 1 month (per batch) to reach cleanup goals.

As presented in Section 1, current site conditions show that EDB in groundwater above the CUL of 0.05 µg/L for MW-5S, but does not exceed the CUL for other on-site wells (based on last sampling

event for MW-6S). Furthermore, the CUL is currently met for the conditional point of compliance and there is no detection of EDB in off-site groundwater monitoring wells. The EDB remaining in groundwater on site represents the last remaining remnants of a historic spill/release. The goal of the soil sampling is to remove EDB in the caliche and at the soil/groundwater interface. The physical removal at the interface should result in the reduction of dissolved EDB in groundwater. Any remaining EDB in groundwater would be monitored and is expected to dissipate over time. It is assumed that CUL for groundwater can be achieved in 2 to 5 years, compared to 10 years for Alternative 2.

Monitoring would involve collection of groundwater samples from the monitoring well network on a semi-annual basis (twice per year). As described previously, two new monitoring wells would be included with this alternative: new wells MW-11S and MW-12S (these proposed wells are illustrated in **Figure 17**). This wells would serve as a conditional point of compliance well, along with MW-8S, for the downgradient property boundary.

2.2.6.3.3.1 Evaluation

 <u>Protectiveness</u> – There are no current, completed on-site or off-site exposure pathways (Table 14, see footnote in Table 14 regarding City Well #5). The identified exposure pathways are for potential future activities that would include installation of an on-site potable well or if EDB in on-site soil and groundwater were to migrate off site. The installation of an on-site potable well would be prohibited with the implementation of institutional controls described above. The removal of soil exceeding the CUL for EDB serves as source removal and would expedite the time for natural attenuation of EDB in groundwater.

The potential risk of excavation is compromising the integrity of the caliche layers, which serve to retain EDB and act as a protective barrier to groundwater. This alternative includes targeted excavation with the separation of clean soil from EDB-impacted soil conducted in 3-foot lifts. It is possible that EDB could be dislodged from the caliche and enter the groundwater system. Downgradient wells would be monitored and any detection of EDB would be considered temporary since these alternative results in the removal of EDB-impacted soil including the soil/groundwater interface. With targeted excavation, institutional controls, and monitored natural attenuation, this alternative meets this criterion.

- <u>Permanence</u> The targeted removal of soil and soil/groundwater interface and natural attenuation for groundwater would ultimately result in the permanent reduction of EDB in soil and groundwater to below CULs. Therefore, Alternative 3a meets this criterion and to a greater extent than Alternative 2.
- <u>Costs</u> The estimated life-cycle cost of this remedial alternative is approximately \$461,212 The cost is based on the following:
 - 5 years of semi-annual groundwater monitoring of the 11 monitoring wells. It is assumed with removal of soils, that CUL for groundwater can be achieved in 3 to 5 years compared to 10 years for Alternative 2.
 - Installation of two monitoring wells (MW-11S and MW-12S, compliance wells) and decommissioning of MW-5S and MW-5D.
 - o A one-time, up-front cost to obtain a restrictive covenant.

- Excavation of 13,000 cubic yards of soil, on-site treatment of 1,180 cubic yards using ex-situ SVE with carbon treatment, and placement of fill back into excavation pit.
- o Inflation of 3 percent per year.
- o 15 percent contingency on total cost to account for uncertainty.
- <u>Effectiveness over the Long Term</u> The removal of EDB in soil results in overall effectiveness. Per WAS 173-340-360 (3)(f)(iv), the removal and treatment of soil provides for a higher degree of long-term effectiveness compared to containment and institutional controls and monitoring.
- <u>Management of Short-Term Risk</u> There are no current, completed on-site or off-site exposure pathways (**Table 14**, see footnote in **Table 14** regarding City Well #5). The excavation of soil does present some short-term risk in dislodging EDB from the caliche layers into groundwater. The targeted excavation approach described above minimizes this risk and meets this criterion.
- <u>Technical and Administrative Implementability</u> The implementation of institutional controls, targeted soil excavation, treatment of excavated soils with EDB above the 0.27 µg/Kg, and monitored natural attenuation is technically and administratively feasible.
- <u>Consideration of Public and Regulatory Acceptance</u> WAC 173-340-370 outlines Ecology's expectations for cleanup action. Specifically, WAS 173-340-370 (7) states that Ecology expects that natural attenuation of hazardous substances may be appropriate at sites where
 - a) Source control (including removal and/or treatment of hazardous substances) has been conducted to the maximum extent practicable.
 - b) Leaving contaminant on site during the restoration time frame does not pose an unacceptable threat to human health or the environment.
 - c) There is evidence that natural biodegradation or chemical degradation is occurring and will continue to occur at a reasonable rate at this site.
 - d) Appropriate monitoring requirements are conducted to ensure the natural attenuation process is taking place.

Alternative 3a meets these requirements. Because this alternative includes source control and long-term monitoring it is expected that this alternative would have acceptance from Ecology.

Community acceptance of the remedial alternatives will be evaluated during a subsequent 30-day public comment period.

- <u>Reasonable Restoration Time Frame</u> The alternative provides for a shorter restoration time frame compared to Alternative 2. The removal of EDB in soil including the soil/groundwater interface provides source control. Two new compliance wells (MW-11S and MW-12 S) will be installed. The time frame for EDB to reach 0.05 µg/L in groundwater at points of compliance is uncertain but for purposes of this FS, 3 to 5 years is assumed compared to 10 years for Alternative 2. Thus, Alternative 3a partially meets this criterion, where the time frame for restoration is improved compared to Alternative 2 due to source removal measures.
- <u>Comply with Cleanup Standards and ARARs</u> This alternative meets this criterion.

 <u>Provide for Monitoring</u> – This alternative allows the opportunity for compliance monitoring through a monitoring well network, replacement of two wells (MW-5S and MW-5D, which will be decommissioned prior to excavation), and the addition of one new well (MW-11S). Alternative 3a meets this criterion.

2.2.6.3.4 Alternative 3b – Institutional controls, targeted soil excavation including soil/groundwater interface, offsite landfilling, and monitored natural attenuation of groundwater

Alternative 3b is the same approach as Alternative 3a, except that excavated impacted soils are transported off-site for landfill disposal rather than treating soils on-site. Excavated soils that exceed the CUL would be isolated, stored on-site, sampled, and profiled for landfill acceptance. For FS analysis, soils are summed to be acceptable as Waste Management's Greater Wenatchee facility for non-hazardous waste daily cover. As described for Alternative 3a, 1,180 cubic yards are assumed to exceed the CUL and it is this quantity that would be transported off-site and landfilled.

2.2.6.3.4.1 Evaluation

- <u>Protectiveness</u> Same as Alternative 3a.
- <u>Permanence</u> Same as Alternative 3a.
- <u>Costs</u> The estimated life-cycle cost of this remedial alternative is approximately \$579,846 The cost is based on the following:
 - 5 years of semi-annual groundwater monitoring of the 11 monitoring wells. It is assumed with removal of soils, that CUL for groundwater can be achieved in 3 to 5 years compared to 10 years for Alternative 2.
 - Installation of two monitoring wells (MW-11S and MW-12S, compliance wells) and decommissioning of MW-5S and MW-5D.
 - A one-time, up-front cost to obtain a restrictive covenant.
 - Excavation of 13,000 cubic yards of soil, hauling off of 1,180 cubic yards landfilling. Activity includes placement of soils into dump trucks and pups, transporting to landfill, and then landfill using soils as daily cover.
 - o Inflation of 3 percent per year.
 - o 15 percent contingency on total cost to account for uncertainty.
- Effectiveness over the Long Term Same as Alternative 3a.
- <u>Management of Short-Term Risk</u> Same as Alternative 3a.
- <u>Technical and Administrative Implementability</u> Same as Alternative 3a.
- <u>Consideration of Public and Regulatory Acceptance</u> Same as Alternative 3a.
- <u>Reasonable Restoration Time Frame</u> Same as Alternative 3a.
- <u>Comply with Cleanup Standards and ARARs</u> This alternative meets this criterion.
- <u>Provide for Monitoring</u> Same as Alternative 3a

2.2.7 Disproportionate Cost Analysis

The most to least permanent alternatives are: Alternatives 3a and 3b > Alternative 2 > Alternative 1. The most practical permanent solution alternative is Alternative 2. Alternatives 3a and 3b provides for greater assurance of permanent cleanup (faster time frame and results in physical removal of source) and does not present a disproportionate costs compared to Alternative 2 (**Table 14**). Alternative 3a provides for a lower cost than Alternative 3b and therefore is more desirable.

2.3 Recommended Remedial Alternative

Based on the evaluation of remedial alternatives and in consideration of the requirements of WAC 173-340, the following is recommended:

 Alternative 3a – Institutional controls, targeted soil excavation including soil/groundwater interface, treatment, and return (or used elsewhere), and monitored natural attenuation of groundwater

This alternative provides for a shorter cleanup time period compared to Alternative 2 and is lower costs than Alternative 3b (landfilling), and provides secondary source removal in soils through targeted excavation.

2.4 Cleanup Action Plan and Schedule

Ecology is responsible for selecting the remedial action and for developing the cleanup action plan.

3 References

DOH [Washington State Department of Health]

2005. Health Consultation, City of Warden, EDB Drinking Water Well Contamination, Warden, Washington. September 8.

Ecology [Washington State Department of Ecology]

2007. Default Hydrogeologic Parameter Data for Deriving Soil Concentrations for Groundwater Protection (WAC 173-340-747).

2007. Potable Ground Water Cleanup Levels (WAC 173-340-720).

2007. Toxics Cleanup Program, Tools and Resources, Workbook Tools - MTCASGL11. Revised December.

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/tools/toolmain.html#Workbook_Tools

2007. *Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation: Process for Cleanup of Hazardous Waste sites*. Focus No. 94-129.

2009. *Phase II Preliminary Investigation. Warden City Water Supply Wells site, Warden, WA.* April 2009 Washington Department of Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program, Eastern Region Office, Spokane, Washington.

EDR [Environmental Data Resources]

2011. The EDR Radius Map Report with GeoCheck; The EDR Aerial Photo Decade Package; July 21.

George, D.

2006. *Memorandum to John Roland. Ethylene Dibromide Groundwater Contamination Site Investigation/Data Collection Summary; Warden, Washington; Grant County. January 24.*

Gray and Osborne.

2004. City of Warden, Project Report: EDB Mitigation Project. August.

Hansen, A.J., J.J. Vaccaro, H.H. Bauer.

1994. *Ground-water Flow Simulation of the Columbia Plateau Aquifer System, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho.* U.S. Geologic Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report, 91-4187.

HDR [HDR Engineering, Inc.]

2013a. Phase II Work Plan to Support Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study. May.

2013b. City of Warden Well #5 Pump Test. November.

2012. Monitoring Well and Geoprobe Sampling Report, Former Simplot Grower. Solutions Facility. July.



2011. Final Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Work Plan, Former Simplot Grower Solutions Facility.

McKeever, R.

2011. Biodegradation of Ethylene Dibromide (EDB) Under In Situ and Biostimulated Conditions at MMR. Masters Project. University of Massachusetts Amherst, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering.

PGG [Pacific Groundwater Group]

2007. City of Warden, Preliminary Investigation of Ethylene Dibromide Contamination. April 20.



4 Tables



Table 1. Extraction Wells within 1-mile of the Site

Ecology ID number	Owner	City Well	Date Completed	Date Completed Well Type		Well Depth (ft bgs)	SWL (ft bgs)	Distance and Direction from Site (ft)	Notes
				Extra	ction wells				
0150559	Chicago, Milwaukee, S. P. Pac. R.R	N/A ³	1912	Water supply	10	448	250	5,400 ENE	
0152267	Edward Jeske	N/A	12/31/1909	Water supply	6	415	NA	4,500 SE	
0157166	Odessa Pump Irr.	N/A	unknown (1978?)	Water supply	8	525	NA	5,100 SW	
0161115	Ron Zirker	N/A	2/24/1994	Domestic	6	105	60	3,600 WNW	
0293221	City of Warden	Well #5	5/21/1968	Municipal	16	368	42	1,500 SW	
0329055	Steve Connors	N/A	3/11/2002	Domestic	6	220	100	4,500 NNW	
799557	City of Warden	Well #6	4/4/1979	Municipal	15	830	278	6,000 E	reconditioned on 4/17/2012; original Ecology ID 0159741;
954458	City of Warden	Well #8	10/16/2014	Municipal/ irrigation	20	507	82	3,000 SSW	Reconditioned
954484	City of Warden	Well #9	10/16/2014	Municipal	20	505	52.7	2,100 SSW	

¹No Ecology ID number was found

²No owner was found, however, it was assumed that it belongs to Simplot

³N/A=not applicable;

In = inches; ft bgs = feet below ground surface; SWL = static water level; ENE = east northeast; SE = southeast; SW = southwest; WNW = west northwest; NNW = north northwest; E = east; SSW = south southwest

FX

Table 2.	EDB	Investigation	History
----------	-----	---------------	---------

Date	Туре	Description/Trigger
March 1989	Water Quality Report.	EDB in City Wells #4 and #5.
May 18, 2004	DOE Early Notice Letter to Warden.	Informing city of EDB contamination in wells #4 and #5 and City of Warden's obligation to investigate.
August 2004	EDB Mitigation Project Report, Gray and Osborne, Inc. for Warden.	Options for dealing with EDB in City of Warden water supply. Project funding needed: \$2.3M.
September 2005	Site Hazard Assessment, Department of Health.	Ranking of 3.
July 1, 2005	Remedial Action Grant Agreement Ecology and City of Warden	Drill city well 7 and reconstruct well #5 and well 6: \$2M grant.
September 19, 2005	WA Dept. of Health – Public Health Evaluation.	
January 24, 2006	Dave George to John Roland, Ethylene Dibromide Groundwater contamination site Investigation and Data Collection Summary.	Ecology memo summarizing groundwater conditions.
April 20, 2007	Preliminary Investigation of Ethylene Dibromide Contamination.	Pacific Groundwater Group (PGG), prepared for Ecology. Installed Monitoring Wells #1 through #5, and numerous soil borings.
April 2009	Phase II Preliminary Investigation, Ecology.	Additional groundwater sampling and soil borings were drilled on Simplot site.
April 6, 2010	Notice of Potential Liability under MTCA for Release of Hazardous Substances. Ecology to Simplot.	Letter of finding of liability. Request Simplot enter into an Agreed Order.
May 27, 2011	Final Agreed Order 8421	Between Ecology and Simplot.
October 2011	Final RI/FS Project Plan submitted to Ecology.	HDR prepared for Simplot.
2011, 2012, and 2013	RI/FS activities - additional monitoring well installation on site, geophysical survey, soil sampling, City Well #5 pump test, and site investigation reports and groundwater sampling results.	As part of the R/IFS Work Plan.
June 2014	Revised draft RI/FS submitted to Ecology	HDR prepared for Simplot
May 2015	Well MW-4 decommissioned at request of site owner (off-site well)	HDR prepared well closure memo and submitted to Ecology May 27,2 015
September 2017	Ecology response letter to 2014 draft RI/FS	
December 2017	Groundwater Sampling	Update to groundwater quality to support RI/FS



Table 3. Description of City of Warden Wells

				City Well #5				
General	City Well #2	City Well #3	City Well #4	place)	City Well #6	City Well #7	City Well #8	City Well #9
Ecology Unique ID	No log	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	AAS 175	BHT 112	BHT 111
Installation Dates	No log	8/5/1953	8/1957	5/21/1968	4/4/1979	2/9/2006	10/16/2014	10/16/2014
Northing	597576.92 ²	600235.34	600343.95	599948.76	600188.3	598773.03	N/A	N/A
Easting	2004931.55	2005711.68	1999401.58	1998850.28	2005670.21	2008196.28	N/A	N/A
Measuring Point (PVC) Elevation, feet	1361.57	1285.63	1243.79	1244.71	1283.83	1283.58	N/A	N/A
Top of Screen Elevation, feet	No log	N/A ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bottom of Screen Elevation, feet	No log	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Top of Screen, feet bgs ¹	No log	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	110	120, 315 ⁴
Bottom of Screen, feet bgs	No log	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	210	220, 355
Bottom of Well Casing, feet bgs	No log	89.6	80	54	386	770	210	355
Depth of Borehole, feet bgs	No log	685	319	368	830	857	507	505
Depth to Water, feet bgs (8/2006)	Not measured	Refusal at 100	64.18	53.87	76.37	306.96	N/A	N/A
Water Level Elevation, feet (8/2006)	N/A	N/A	1179.61	1190.84	1207.46	976.62	N/A	N/A
Depth to Water, feet bgs (10&11/2006)	Not measured	Not measured	51.47	Not measured	75.87	Not measured	N/A	N/A
Water Level Elevation, feet (10&11/2006)	N/A	N/A	1192.32	N/A	1207.96	N/A	N/A	N/A
Depth to Water, feet bTOC (9&10/2014)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	82	52.67

Reference: This table is taken from Table 1 of City of Warden Preliminary Investigation of Ethylene Dibromide Contamination (PGG 2007). Information for City Wells 8 and 9 comes from well logs. ¹bgs = below ground surface

²Horizontal datum: NAD 83, Washington State South Zone, based on the published coordinate values of WSDOT monument "Warden"

Vertical datum: NAVD 88 based on the published elevation value of WSDOT monument "Warden"

 $^{3}N/A = not applicable or available$

⁴City Well #9 has two screened intervals, one from 120-220, the other from 315-355 feet bgs

Available driller well logs are in Appendix A



City W	/ell #4ª	City Well #5							
Sample Month		Sample Month							
3/89	3.0	3/89	0.02						
5/89	0.02	5/89	0.02						
12/89	0.8	12/89	0.02						
2/90	0.0	2/90	0.33						
4/90	0.20	4/90	0.10						
6/90	0.02	6/90	0.02						
11/90	0.05	11/90	0.08						
5/91	0.02	5/91	0.02						
10/91	0.02	10/91	0.02						
4/92	0.05	4/92	0.02						
12/96	0.02	11/96	0.02						
6/01	0.02	6/01	0.02						
6/03	0.09	6/03	0.09						
8/03	0.04	8/03	0.06						
11/03	0.46	9/03	0.06						
12/03	0.36	11/03	0.09						
3/04	1.62	1/04	0.33						
10/04	0.02	2/04	0.38						
11/04	0.04	3/04	0.40						
2/05	0.72	4/04	0.50						
6/05	0.06	5/04	0.17						
1/07	1.28	10/04	0.05						
.,		11/04	0.06						
		1/05	0.15						
		2/05	0.15						
		4/05	0.15						
		5/05	0.06						
		6/05	0.04						
		7/05	0.05						
		8/05	0.05						
		10/05	0.05						
		11/05	0.03						
		10/06	0.01						
		11/06	<0.010						
		1/07	0.12						
		3/07	1.29						
		5/07	0.09						
		8/07	0.15						
		10/07	0.01						
		12/07	0.08						
		4/11	0.19						
			0.15						
		6/11	0.11						
		7/12	0.086						
		9/12	0.099						
		9/12	0.83						
		8/13	0.10 ^b						

Table 4. Summary of EDB Sampling Results for City Wells #4 and #5

^a This table represents a compilation of results from several sources and has not been substantiated through review of laboratory reports by HDR.

^b Samples collected by HDR to support pump test (HDR 2013b)

µg/L = micrograms per liter

Sample ID	Depth (feet)	EDB (µg/Kg)
	GeoProbe [™] Boring	(GP#)
GP1-S-1.0	0-1.0	ND ¹
GP1-S-5.5	4.5-5.5	ND
GP2-S-1.0	0-1.0	ND
GP2-S-5.0	4.0-5.0	ND
GP2-7.0	6.0-7.0	ND
GP3-S-1.0	0-1.0	ND
GP3-S-5.0	4.0-5.0	ND
GP3-S-8.5	8.0-8.5	ND
GP4-S-1.0	0-1.0	ND
GP4-S-7.0	6.0-7.0	ND
GP4-S-8.8	8.0-8.8	ND
GP5-S-1.0	0-1.0	ND
GP5-S-2.0 (duplicate)	0-1.0	ND
GP5-S-8.5	7.5-8.5	ND
GP5-S-9.5	8.5-9.5	ND
GP6-S-1.0	0-1.0	ND
GP6-S-12.0	11.0-12.0	ND
GP6-S-18.0	17.0-18.0	ND
GP7-S-1.0	0-1.0	ND
GP7-S-14.0	13.0-14.0	11.8
GP7-S-16.5	15.5-16.5	11.6

Table 5. GeoProbe [™] 3	Sample Results	(February 2012)
----------------------------------	----------------	-----------------

 1 Laboratory reporting limits (practical quantitation limits) ranged between 3 and 5 micrograms per kilogram (µg/Kg) for soil.

ND = non-detected

Table 6. Monitoring Well Soil Sample Results

Sample ID	Depth (feet)	EDB (µg/Kg)								
	MW-5S (December 2011)									
MW-5S-1	1-3	ND ²								
MW-5S-10	10-12	ND								
MW-5S-20	20-22	218								
MW-5S-30	30-32	ND								
MW-5S-37	37-39	ND								
MW-6S (December 2011)										
MW-6S-1	1-3	ND								
MW-6S-10	10-12	ND								
MW-6S-20	20-22	ND								
I	MW-7S ¹ (December 2011)								
MW-7S-1	1-3	ND								
MW-7S-8	8-10	ND								
MW-7S-10	10-12	ND								
MW-7S-20	20-22	ND								
MW-7S-30	30-32	ND								
MW-7S-37	37-39	ND								
	MW-8S (December 2012)									
MW-8S-10	10-11.5	ND								
MW-8S-20	20-21.5	ND								
MW-8S-30	30-31.5	ND								
	MW-9S (July 2013)									
MW-9S-0.0	0-1	ND								
MW-9S-10	10-11	ND								
	MW-10S (July 2013)									
MW-10S-1.0	1-3	ND								
MW-10S-10	10-12	ND								
MW-10S-20	20-22	ND								
MW-10S-30	30-32	ND								
MW-10S-35	35-37	ND								

¹ No soil samples were collected from MW-7D.

 2 Laboratory reporting limits (practical quantitation limits) ranged between 3 to 6 micrograms per kilogram (µg/Kg) for soil.

ND = non-detected

FJS



Table 7. Combined Results Showing Caliche Layer and EDB Soil Samples

Borings From East to West Across Site

Well	MW-4	MW-10S	MW-8S	SB-3	SB-12	SB-22	MW-5	MW-5S	GP-7	SB-11	SB-1	SB-2	SB-9	SB-8	SB-7	GP-6	MW-9S	MW-6S	SB-10	SB-4	SB-5	SB-6	GP-5	SB-21	GP-4	MW-7	MW-7S	GP-3	GP-2	GP-1
@Grade Elevation ft.	1244.22	1242.8	1244.5	1247	1246	1245.5	1245.05	1245	1245	1245	1245	1245	1246.5	1246	1246.5	1247	1244.8	1245.4	1247	1248	1248	1248	1249	1249.5	1250	1248.5	1248.4	1251	1253	1253
1250																														
																													ND	
																											ND	ND	ND	
			ND					ND									ND								ND					
																												ND		
		ND																					ND	ND						
1240																							ND				ND			
1240																				ND	8.4				ND					
																											ND			
	ND		ND				6.22	ND								ND	ND	ND	ND											
	ND	ND	ND			ND		ND ND									ND	ND				ND			1111					
															ND															
				ND					11.8					ND																
1230					2 10				11.6				ND			ND														
																											ND			
										ND					ШП															
							ND				ND	ND						ND												
	ND	ND	ND					218																						
1220					<u> </u>																									
																								\vdash			ND			
							ND																							
	ND		ND					ND																						
		ND																												

Caliche Interbeds

| | | | | Refusal - Total Thickness Unknown



ND Soil Sample - Non Detect



EDB Detected in Soil Sample (micrograms per kilogram)

Table 8. Monitoring Well Construction Summary

Table 8. Monitoring well Construction a	Table 6. Monitoring wen Construction Summary											
	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4 ²	MW-5D	MW-5S	MW-6S	MW-7D	MW-7S	MW-8S	MW-9S	MW-10S
Ecology Unique ID	APK 353	APK 354	APK 355	APK 356	APK 357	BCE 296	BCE 297	BCE 298	BCE 299	BHP-139	BHP-507	BHP-508
Installation Dates	8/14/06	8/15/06	8/15/06	8/16/06	8/16/06	12/5/11	12/5/11	12/7/11	12/6/11	1/16/13	7/8/13	7/8/13
				Measuri	ing Point Cool	rdinates ¹						
Northing	600643.42	600712.43	600077.54	599989.55	600190.13	600180.56	600118.69	600334.17	600331.8	600089.61	599967.53	600091.31
Easting	1999635.94	1998885.78	1998600.99	1999197.52	1999618.84	1999634.23	1999804.74	1999994.82	1999981.87	1999542.40	1999765.18	1999354.01
					Elevations ¹							
Ground Surface Elevation, feet	1243.22	1244.49	1240.88	1244.72	1245.14	1245.06	1245.36	1248.51	1248.36	1244.52	1244.77	1242.82
Measuring Point (PVC) Elevation, feet	1245.62	1247.09	1240.88	1244.72	1247.54	1247.66	1247.86	1251.01	1250.86	1248.84	1247.27	1245.32
Top of Screen Elevation, feet	1197.22	1179.99	1191.38	1195.22	1201.14	1228.56	1235.36	1206.51	1231.36	1230.52	1237.77	1227.82
Bottom of Screen Elevation, feet	1187.22	1169.99	1181.38	1185.22	1191.14	1208.56	1215.36	1196.51	1211.36	1210.52	1227.77	1207.82
					Depths (bgs)							
Top of Screen, feet bgs	46	64.5	49.5	49.5	44	16.5	10	42	17	16	7	15
Bottom of Screen, feet bgs	56	74.5	59.5	59.5	54	36.5	30	52	37	36	17	35
Bottom of Well Casing, feet bgs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	37	30.4	52.4	37.4	36.5	17.5	35.5
Depth of Borehole, feet bgs	60	75	60	60	55	39.5	37	52.5	38.5	36.5	17.5	35.5

¹ Survey conducted by Permit Surveying, Inc.

² MW-4 decommissioned April 2015 at land owners request

Table 9. 2012 to 2013 Groundwater Elevation Measurements

		1/19	/2012	4/10	/2012	7/10/	7/10/2012		3/2012	1/22	/2013	7/22	/2013	10/28/2013		12/4/2017	
Well	Ref. Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.	Depth	Elev.
									Fe	et							
MW-1	1245.62	24.38	1,221.24	20.55	1,225.07	14.28	1,231.34	14.53	1,231.09	25	1,220.62	13.86	1,231.76	18.31	1,227.31	22.64	1,222.98
MW-2	1247.09	27.94	1,219.15	23.25	1,223.84	16.98	1,230.11	17.89	1,229.20	28.46	1,218.63	16.91	1,230.18	21.79	1,225.30	25.24	1,221.85
MW-3	1240.88	21.37	1,219.51	21.86	1,219.02	14.31	1,226.57	11.9	1,228.98	21.58	1,219.30	13.05	1,227.83	13.22	1,227.66	18.81	1,222.07
MW-4	1244.72	24.65	1,220.07	Not sa	ampled	20.7	1,224.02	17.44	1,227.28	25.16	1,219.56	18.94	1,225.78	18.15	1,226.57	Decomm	issioned
MW-5D	1247.54	27.12	1,220.42	28.89	1,218.65	22.6	1,224.94	22.13	1,225.41	27.6	1,219.94	21.02	1,226.52	20.74	1,226.80	25.84	1,221.70
MW-5S	1247.66	26.98	1,220.68	28.66	1,219.00	22.37	1,225.29	22.32	1,225.34	27.45	1,220.21	20.78	1,226.88	20.6	1,227.06	25.62	1,222.04
MW-6S	1247.86	27.2	1,220.66	29.14	1,218.72	23.43	1,224.43	20.27	1,227.59	27.98	1,219.88	21.82	1,226.04	20.99	1,226.87	26.19	1,221.67
MW-7D	1251.01	30.03	1,220.98	30.76	1,220.25	24.74	1,226.27	19.72	1,231.29	30.65	1,220.36	23.32	1,227.69	24.04	1,226.97	28.79	1,222.22
MW-7S	1250.86	29.89	1,220.97	30.6	1,220.26	24.49	1,226.37	19.52	1,231.34	30.49	1,220.37	23.07	1,227.79	23.88	1,226.98	25.58	1,225.28
MW-8S	1248.84									28.93	1219.91	22.68	1,226.16	22.08	1226.76	27.16	1,221.68
MW-9S	1247.27													C	Ory	Di	ry
MW-10S	1245.32											18.95	1,226.37	18.38	1226.94	23.61	1,221.71

 1 N/A = data not available

Intentionally blank for double-sided printing.

Simplot Grower Solutions | Draft Final Fl and FS Report | November 2013 (rev. June 2014; upd. May 2018) TABLES FX

		•
QA/QC Type	Number of Samples	Description
Duplicate	1 groundwater sample per event	Duplicate is collected using the same sampling technique as the original sample.
Trip Blank	1 trip blank per event	Water sample in sample bottle provided by laboratory and accompanies sample bottles.

Table 10. Quality Assurance and Quality Control Field Samples

Table 11. Summary of EDB Detected in Groundwater

Wall	Jan 12	Apr 12	Jul 12	Oct 12	Jan 13	Jul 13	Oct 13	Dec 17
WCII	EDB (µg/L)							
MW-1	ND ¹	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	No Well ³
MW-5D	0.27	0.01 ²	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.01 ²	ND
MW-5S	234	16.1	9.1	22.3	14.5	5.7	63	151
MW-6S	10.9	8.7	26.8	15.4	4.2	2.0	ND	0.35
MW-7D	ND	0.01 ²	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-7S	ND	0.01 ²	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-8S					ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-9S							Dry	Dry
MW-10S							ND	ND

¹ND = non-detected. Laboratory reporting limit (practical quantitation limit) is 0.01 micrograms per liter (µg/L).

² Laboratory or site cross-contamination suspected given the low detection and that the wells were ND for all other sampling events.

³Well MW-4 was permanently decommissioned in April 2015 with Ecology approval

Table 12. EDB Concentration in City Well #5 During Pump Test

Time	EDB (µg/L)
1000 (prior to startup)	0.070
1200	0.120
1400	0.110
1600	0.095
1800	0.094
2000	0.093
2200	0.096
2400	0.100
0200	0.093
0400	0.098
Trip Blank	ND ^{1,2}

 ^{1}ND = non-detected; laboratory reporting limit is 0.0095 micrograms per liter (µg/L)

² Test conducted August 13, 2013.

FJS

Br H	Solubility in Water	4,300 mg/L		
	Vapor Pressure	11 mm Hg		
H	Specific Gravity	2.17		
H DI	Log Kow	1.6-2.0		
Trade names	Bromofume; Dowfume W85; Dowfume EDB; Dowfume 40, W-10, W-40; Dowfume MC-2; Iscobrome D; ENT 15; 349; Netis; Pestmaster EDB-85; Santryum;unifume; EDB-85; Fumogas; Icopfume soilbrom-85; soilfume			
Synonyms	Ethylene dibromide; 1,2- dibromoethane; dibromoethane; ethylene bromide; ethane,1,2-dibromo; α -, β -dibromoethane; sym-dibromoethane			

Table 13. Selected Properties of Ethylene Dibromide

ASTM 2006, Standard Guide for Soil Gas Monitoring In The Vadose Zone: ASTM D5314-92, 36 P. mg/L = milligrams per liter; mm Hg = millimeters of mercury

Table 14. Conceptual	site Model for	Simplot site
----------------------	----------------	--------------

Primary Source	Primary Release Mechanism	Secondary Source	Secondary Source Source Mochanism		Potential Exposure Routes	On-site Exposure Pathway Complete?		Off-site Exposure Pathway Complete?	
			Weenanishi			Current	Future	Current	Future
					Ingestion	No	Yes	No ¹	Yes
Fumigant l (tank?)	Unknown (spill?)	Soil	Infiltration/	Groundwater	Inhalation	No	No Yes No	No	Yes
			leaching		Dermal Contact	No	Yes	No	Yes
				Inhalation/ Ingestion	Ingestion	No	Yes	No	No
			Trenching (construction)		Inhalation	No	Yes	No	No
					Dermal Contact	No	Yes	No	No
			Volatile emission	Volatilization/ vapor intrusion	Inhalation	No	Yes	No	No

¹ City Well #5 is currently operated for irrigation and has detectable amounts of EDB. This public well has been approved by Ecology for agricultural use and is also registered as an emergency water supply for the City of Warden. City Well #5 represents a potential exposure point to humans for EDB. See section 1.3.2.2 for further discussion of City Wells #4 and #5 and potential relationship with the Simplot site.



Table 15. Calculated Cleanup Levels for EDB

	Method				
	A B		С		
	(lookup table)	Unrestricted Land Use	Industrial Land Use		
	EDB Target Soil CUL (µg/Kg)				
Soil Direct Contact, Ingestion and Dermal Risk 1E-6 or 1E-5	5	500	65,600		
Protection of Potable Groundwater	5	0.27 PQL ¹ = 0.1			
	EDB Target Groundwater (µg/L)				
Groundwater Protection, (carcinogen calculation)	0.01 ²	0.02	0.22		

¹ PQL = Practical Quantitation Limit, laboratory reporting limit for EDB in soil (Test America Denver, USEPA Method 8011-94).

 2 Federal and State MCL is 0.05 $\mu\text{g/L}.$ The PQL using USEPA 8011 is 0.01 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (Pace Analytical).

 μ g/Kg = micrograms per kilogram; μ g/L = micrograms per liter

Intentionally blank for double-sided printing.


Table 16. Initial Remedial Technologies for EDB in Soil

Remedial Process	Description	Screening C
1. No Action		
None	No action	Provides as a basis for comparison to other alternatives and represaction.
2. Institutional Controls		
Land use restrictions	Restriction of land use on site to limit potential exposure to impacted soil. Also, could have land use restriction to ensure integrity of cap or cover if soil is left in place.	Technically feasible and potentially applicable, generally included w
3. Cover or Cap	•	·
Asphalt or concrete parking area or conventional landfill cover	Barrier that would minimize or restrict meteoric water entering soil and leaching EDB to groundwater.	EDB remains in deep soil within the caliche and caliche interbed lay highly compacted and percolation of meteoric water is not consider technically feasible, this technology is not considered further becau
4. Monitored Natural Attenuation		·
Monitored Natural Attenuation	Current conditions would continue in that EDB would continue to dissipate through dissolving in groundwater, biodegradation, and volatilization. Because the primary EDB source is gone, EDB in soil and groundwater are expected to decline over time. Long-term groundwater monitoring would be required.	Site currently meets conditional point of compliance for EDB in grou occurring at the site and meets the CUL for groundwater for the cor requires source control, which may require addressing soil EDB rer controls put in place to ensure protection of downgradient groundwater
5. In Situ Treatment		
Soil Washing	Percolation of fresh water (or water with a surfactant) through the soil column to wash EDB into the groundwater. Would likely have to conduct washing multiple times to remove EDB. Would likely require capturing of EDB in groundwater to protect off-site sources.	May require hydraulic controls to control EDB levels in groundwater this technology not technically feasible.
Soil vapor extraction (SVE)	SVE involves the movement of negative pressure air (vacuum) through the soil pores to remove volatile organic compounds. EDB is readily volatile and SVE is a proven technology in soil. May require treatment of soil vapors prior to discharge to atmosphere.	SVE is suitable for porous (course textured) soil. The site is compris layer and interlayers of caliche between silty sand textured soils. The technology would provide good air extraction flows above the calich the EDB is tied up in the caliche, this technology would not be effect considered technically feasible. An analysis would be needed to determine if treatment of vapors would
6. Removal (includes ex situ treatment)	1	
Excavation and disposal	Excavation of impacted soil with fill replacement. Excavated soil would be landfilled. There would be no treatment of soil.	Caliche is very dense and difficult to excavate. Thus, this would like releasing EDB from the soil matrix if present in pure phase (though feasible and potentially applicable.
Excavation, treatment, and return	Soil would be excavated to expose the EDB to air to allow volatilization and biodegradation of the material. The soil could be treated on site in windrows. Once EDB was below CUL, the soil would be returned to the excavation or could be used for other purposes such as fill for construction	See comment from above, this approach leaves the material on site potentially applicable.

omments

sents the most likely future scenario in absence of remedial

vith other remedial alternatives.

yers in a limited area at the site. The site, while unpaved, is ed to be an important current pathway for EDB migration. While se the leaching is not considered a principal migration pathway.

undwater (see Section 2.2.3.2). Therefore, natural attenuation is nditional point of compliance. Natural attenuation generally medial action. Groundwater would be monitored and institutional ater.

r. Dense, low hydraulic conductivity caliche layers in soil makes

sed of sand/silt textured surface soil down to a caliche hardpan ne hard pan is very dense and not suitable for SVE. The ne but would provide minimal extraction within the caliche. Since ctive in removing EDB. As a result, this technology is not

as needed prior to discharge.

ly require hammering or ripping to remove soil. Some risk of no evidence of this based on sampling results). Technically

e for treatment. May require air permit. Technically feasible and

Table 17. Initial Remedial Technologies for EDB in Groundwater

Remedial Process	Description	
1. No Action		1
None	No action	Provides as a basis for comparison to ot absence of remedial action.
2. Institutional Controls		
Land use restrictions	On-site drilling of wells would be restricted and, if allowed, would require special design considerations to ensure no hydraulic connection between the shallow and lower aquifers. Current Washington well regulations ensure that deeper wells are drilled with suitable sanitary seal between the shallow and lower aquifers.	Technically feasible and potentially appli site.
3. Monitored Natural Attenuat	ion	•
Monitored Natural Attenuation	EDB in groundwater is primarily limited to the area of MW-5S and this well reflects water in the caliche interbeds. No discernible plume has been quantified based on the monitoring well network. Given the primary source is gone, and the secondary source (soil) is limited in aerial extent, EDB in groundwater can be expected to dissipate over time through natural attenuation mechanisms. The conditional point of compliance for groundwater meets the CUL (see Section 2.2.3.2).	Technically feasible and potentially appli
5. In Situ Treatment		
Air Sparging	Air sparging injects air into the aquifer that strips volatile organic compounds that are dissolved in the water. The resulting vapors enter into the vadose zone where they are removed through SVE.	Air sparging requires that the aquifer ma Furthermore, the vadose zone soil needs interlayers in the shallow groundwater ar technology. Not considered technically fe
Air sparging trench	As an alternative to direct air sparging through wells, a barrier wall could be developed downgradient of the source area to treat EDB as it migrates downgradient. A trench wall would be filled with gravel and as EDB passes through the gravel it would be sparged and extracted to the atmosphere (or to a treatment system prior to atmospheric emission). Most suitable for shallow groundwater, generally less than 15 feet bgs.	No current plume from the Simplot site h wells but not in off-site wells. Furthermor require a large trench area to capture flo evidence of EDB migration under current
Permeable Reactive Barrier Methods	Physical placement of a barrier or series of wells, consisting of reactive material (either trenched or injected) to create a permeable barrier. Example would be use of oxidants to degrade EDB in groundwater (peroxide, ozone, or permanganate).	Emerging technology, but has the same above. Not considered technically feasib
6. Ex Situ Treatment (Contain	ment)	
Pump and Treat with beneficial use	Extract groundwater such that there is hydraulic control of water leaving the site, treat contaminated groundwater above ground (either air stripping or carbon treatment) and use the water for irrigation or other uses.	EDB in groundwater is limited in aerial ex off-site migration. Pumping of well may b rates). This technology is applicable if the a containment technology). While technic conditions.
Pump and Treat with re- injection	Same approach as described above except that the treated groundwater would be re-injected into the groundwater rather than reused.	See screening comment in column above

Simplot Grower Solutions | Draft Final FI and FS Report | November 2013 (rev. June 2014; upd. May 2018) TABLES

Screening Comments

her alternatives and represents the most likely future scenario in

FSS

icable. No evidence of off-site migration of EDB from the Simplot

icable.

terial be porous (course textured) and conducive to air flow. Is to be suitable for SVE. The presence caliche hardpan and nd in the vadose zone limits the use and effectiveness of this easible.

has been identified; rather EDB is present in one or two shallow re groundwater flow direction changes seasonally and would ws. This technology would not be effective because there is no t on-site conditions. Not considered technically feasible.

on-site limitations as the air sparging barrier wall described ole.

xtent to MW-5S and possibly MW-6S and no evidence of current be limited due to interbedded caliche (anticipate low pump ere was a plume and that plume was migrating off site (serve as cally feasible, it is not applicable to the site under current

′е.



Table 18. Remedial Alternative Evaluation Summary

Evaluation Criteria ¹	Remedial Alternative 1 - No Action	Remedial Alternative 2 – Institutional Controls and Monitored Natural Attenuation of Soil and Groundwater	Remedial Alternative 3a – Institutional Controls, Targeted Soil Excavation, and Monitored Natural Attenuation of Groundwater
Protectiveness	Partially meets criterion	Partially meets criterion	Meets criterion
Permanence	Not applicable	Meets criterion	Meets criterion
Costs	Not applicable	\$475,560	\$461,212 ²
Effectiveness Over Long-Term	Not applicable	Partially meets criterion	Meets criterion
Management of Short-Term Risk	Not applicable	Meets criterion	Meets criterion
Technical and Administrative Implementability	Not applicable	Meets criterion	Meets criterion
Consideration of Public Concerns	Likely not acceptable	Likely not acceptable	Likely acceptable
Agency Acceptance	Not acceptable	Likely not acceptable	Likely acceptable
Reasonable Restoration Time frame	Not applicable	Partially meets criterion	Partially meets criterion (improved over Alternative 2)
Comply with Cleanup Standards and ARARs	Fails Criterion	Meets criterion	Meets criterion
Provide for Monitoring	Fails Criterion	Meets criterion	Meets criterion

¹ See Section 2.2.6 for descriptions of criteria ² Alternative 3b is the same as Alternative 3a, except that soils exceeding CUL are landfilled offsite, rather than treated on-site through ex-situ SVE. The estimated total cost for Alternative 3b is \$579,846 (see Appendix G).



5 Figures



Map Date: 3/14/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Vicinity2017.mxd





Imagery: 2016 ESRI World Imagery Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) Figure 2. Project Site Simplot Grower Solutions, 1800 W 1st St., Warden, WA 98857





Map Date: 3/14/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2017.mxd



Figure 3. Extraction Wells Within 1-Mile Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: 2016 ESRI World Imagery Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 5/16/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2017.mxd 1,500 3,000 Feet





0 1,000 2,000

Imagery: 2017 NAIP 1 meter resoltion Source: NRCS/USDA Digital Gateway Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) Figure 4. City Well Locations Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA





Map Date: 3/30/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2017.mxd



Imagery: 2016 ESRI World Imagery Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye,

Imagery: 2016 ESRI World Imagery Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) Figure 5. Monitoring Well Network Simplot Grower Solutions, 1800 W 1st St., Warden, WA 98857

150 Feet



300

Map Date: 3/14/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2017.mxd



Feet Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington

Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue;

150

300

Figure 6. Phase II Preliminary Investigation by Ecology, Soil Boring Locations (2009) Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA



Map Date: 11/15/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site.mxd



Figure 7. Geoprobe Locations (February 2012) Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 11/12/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd

150 300 Feet





F

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) Figure 8. Top of Caliche Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Map Date: 11/22/2013

Feet Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd



140





Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) Figure 9. Post Plot of EDB in Soil, (ug/kg) Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

> 0 70 140 Feet



Map Date: 6/5/2014 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd



Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site.mxd

Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)



Simplot Growers Solutions, Warden, Washington Monitoring Well Static Water Elevations 2012-2013

FIGURE 11. Time Series Plot of Groundwater Elevations (2012-2013)



Figure 12. July 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

200 Feet



400

Map Date: 5/16/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd



Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 5/16/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd 200 400





Figure 14. January 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

200 400 Feet



Map Date: 5/16/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd





Figure 15. January 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Note: No EDB levels were detected for these wells for this period

400

200

Feet



Map Date: 5/21/2014 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd



Figure 16. City Well #5 Pump Test (08-14-2013) Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 11/18/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd

150 300 Feet





FC

Imagery: 2016 ESRI World Imagery Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) Figure 17. Estimated Limit of EDB in Soil Simplot Grower Solutions, 1800 W 1st St., Warden, WA 98857





Map Date: 3/14/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2017.mxd

A

City of Warden Production Well Logs

WATED WELL DEDODT	CURRENT
VV ATEX VVELL REPORT Original & 1 st copy – Ecology, 2 nd copy – owner, 3 rd copy – driller	Notice of Intent No. WE19115
E C 0 L 0 6 Y Construction/Decommission ("r" in circle)	Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No. BHT112
• Construction	Water Right Permit No. 6505-A
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice	Property Owner Name City of Warden
of Intent Number	Well Start A 11 South and of Worden Furnier
	Well Street Address South end of Warden runway
Dewater	City Warden County Grant
TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one) 8	Location \underline{NE} 1/4-1/4 \underline{NE} 1/4 Sec $\underline{17}$ Twn $\underline{17N}$ R $\underline{30}$ \underline{WM} $\underline{7}$ check
New well A Reconditioned Method : Dug Bored Driven Deepened Q Rotary Jetted	(Lat/Long (s, t, r Lat Deg Lat Min/Sec
DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 20 inches, drilled 490 ft.	Still REQUIRED) Long Deg Long Min/Sec
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS	Tax Parcel No. 060659050
Casing Velded 20 " Diam. from +1 ft. to 100 ft.	
Installed: \square Liner installed <u>16</u> "Diam. from <u>+1</u> fl. to <u>110</u> fl. Threaded "Diam from ft to ft.	CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSION PROCEDURE
Perforations: Yes No Type of perforator used	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and the kind and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for each change of information. (USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY.)
SIZE of perfsin. byin. and no. of perfsfromft. toft.	MATERIAL FROM TO
Screens: Z Yes No K-Pac Location	see attatched
Manufacturer's Name Jonson	
Diam. 16 Slot size 250 from 110 ft. to 210 ft.	
Diam. Slot size from ft. to ft.	
Gravel/Filter packed: Yes Y No Size of gravel/sand	
Surface Seal: //Yes No To what depth? 100 ft.	
Did any strate contain unucable water?	
Type of water? Denth of strata	
Method of sealing strata off	BECEIVED
PUMP: Manufacturer's Name	
Type:H.P	JAN 222015
WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea levelft.	
Static level <u>82</u> ft. below top of well Date <u>10/13/14</u>	
Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date	Department of Ecology
Artesian water is controlled by (cap. valve. etc.)	Eastern Regional Office
WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	
Was a pump test made? 🗹 Yes 🗖 No If yes, by whom?	
Yield: 1600 gal/min. with 74.4 ft. drawdown after 2 hrs.	
Yield: 1600 gal./min. with 89 ft. drawdown after 12 hrs.	CE ECOLO
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well	Received SX
top to water level)	
Inne water Level Inne water Level Inne water Level	
Date of test	Man Marker
Bailer testgal./min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	The Region of the second secon
Airtest 2000 gal./min. with stem set at 490 ft. for 2 hrs.	
Artesian flow g.p.m. Date	
Temperature of water 56 Was a chemical analysis made? 🗹 Yes 🗖 No	
	Start Date 04SEP2014 Completed Date 16OCT2014
WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION: I constructed and/or acc Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information	cept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all on reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.
Driller Engineer Trainee Name (Print) Brendan Peck	Drilling Company Person Pump & Well Drilling

Driller Engineer Trainee Name (Print) Brendan Peck	Drilling Company Person Pump & Well Drilling
Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature	Address 1015 E Broadway Ave
Driller or trainee License No. 3072	City, State, Zip Goldendale, WA 98620
If TRAINEE,	Contractor's
Driller's Licensed No.	Registration No. PERSOPW940PQ Date 12JAN2014
Driller's Signature	



The Department of Ecology does NOT Warranty the Data and/or the Information on this Well Report

Original & 1 st copy – Ecology, 2 nd copy – owner, 3 rd copy – driller E (0 L 0 Y	Notice of Intent No. WE18709		
Construction/Decommission ("x" in circle)	Unique Ecology well ID Tag No. Diatra		****
Construction	Water Right Permit No. 6505-A		
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice	Property Owner Name City of Warden		·
oj intent ivumber	Well Street Address East side of Warden runy	vay	
ROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Image: Comparison of the comp	City Warden County Grant	EWM	
YPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one) 9	Location SVV 1/4-1/4 $\frac{1}{1}$ Sec 10 1 $\frac{1}{1}$	IN R30 or WWM	✓ check
New well Reconditioned Method : Dug Bored Driven Deepened Deepened Determined Determined Determined	(Lat/Long (s, t, r Lat Deg La	t Min/Sec_	
DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 20 inches, drilled 505 ft.	Still REQUIRED) Long Deg Lo	ong Min/Sec	;
Depth of completed well 505 ft.	<u> </u>	0	
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS	Tax Parcel No. 060704000		
Casing $\boxed{2}$ Welded 24 " Diam. from +1 ft. to 42 ft.			
EXAMPLE INStance $\underline{20}$ Diam. from $\underline{+1}$ If. to $\underline{93,5}$ ft. Threaded $\underline{16}$ Diam. from $\underline{+1}$ ft. to below ft.	CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSION	N PROCEDU	RE
Perforations: TYes ZNo	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated with at least	structure, and the	e kind and
ype of perforator used	information. (USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECE	SSARY.)	a change of
IZE of perfs in. by in. and no. of perfsfromft. toft.	MATERIAL	FROM	то
creens: Ves No K-Pac Location	Ash	0	4
fanufacturer's Name Johnson	Caliche	4	24
ype Model No	Brown Sandstone	24	27
100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100,	Black frac ves basalt Med	27	40
ravel/Fülter nacked: Yes VI No Size of gravel/sand	Grev black basalt Med Hard	40	119
laterials placed fromft. toft.	Black and brown ves Brkn soft	119	128
urface Seal: 17 Ves 1 No. To what denth? 93 5 ft	Black and brown basalt Med	128	153
laterial used in seal Next coment grout	Brown ves rock w/ claystope interhed WB	153	195
id any strata contain unusable water?	Hard grey basalt	195	317
une of water? Denth of strate	Grev Brkn rock WB	317	328
Aethod of sealing strata off	Grey baselt Hard	328	333
VIMP: Manufacturer's Name	Brown vesicular brkn w/ brown clavetone WB	323	355
ype:H.P	Grow basait soft	355	265
WATED I EVELS. I and surface elevation above mean can level	Brown vesicular brinn w/ brown douctons ///R	365	400
Static level 52' 8" ft below ton of well Date 9/29/14	Black and brown frac baselt Med	400	400
Artesian pressure Ibs per square inch Date	Plack and brown has alt	400	401 505
Artesian water is controlled by	Diack ves bikit basalı VVD	401	505
(cap, valve, etc.)			
VELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level	16" steel liner	1	120
/as a pump test made? 🗹 Yes 🔲 No If yes, by whom? driller	16" SS screen	120	220
ield: 2500 gal./min. with 44 ft. drawdown after 1 hrs.	16" steel liner	220	315
ield: 2500 gal./min. with 58 ft. drawdown after 24 hrs.	16" SS screen	315	355
ecovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well	OF FC		000
p to water level)			
ime Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	- Meceived *		
<u>82.8</u> <u>5</u> <u>80.88</u> <u>30</u> <u>75.78</u>	JAN 1 6 7015		
<u>82</u> <u>10</u> <u>79.17</u> <u>120</u> <u>70.3</u>	0.001 0 2013	REA	HVE
ate of test 27AUG2014			
ailer test gal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs.		-1/1/1	27.201
irtest <u>6,000</u> gal./min. with stem set at <u>500</u> ft. for <u>4</u> hrs.	19/ RECION	UAN	LLLUI
rtesian flow g.p.m. Date			
emperature of water <u>56</u> Was a chemical analysis made? 🗹 Yes 🗖 No		Departm	ent of Er
	Start Date 23JUL2014 Complet	ed Date 16OC	12014

Driller Engineer Trainee Name (Print) Brende Drilling Company Person Pump & Well Drilling Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature Address 1015 E Broadway Ave City, State, Zip Goldendale, WA 98620 Driller or trainee License No. 3072 IF TRAINEE, Contractor's Registration No. PERSOPW940PQ Date 12JAN2015

ECY 050-1-20 (Rev 3/05)

Ecology is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

以此时 中 (~?) confirmed by early

		DEPARTI	HENT OF C	ONSERVA	TION	(e., s)
	~~			No. D		<u>#96 </u>
WELL L	00 10a 28	10 10		<u>C</u>	art. #	<u>890</u>
Date		Tmber	t.	1		1
Record by	<u>aala o</u>	E G. W	Clai	n		
Source1	1011111 A					1
Location:	State of WAS	HINGTON		1		ا مەرەپىيە ۋە يېرىيايىر بى ۋ
Count	yGran	E				
Area_						1
Alap	of War	den .		<u> </u>		
NE	A ALASA SOC	.15_T1	<u>7</u> N., R.3U	Q.C.	GINGULAN	
Drilling (°a					, and international state of the second design
Addr	**					10
Meth	od of Drilling.	arill	90		¢	
Owner	Town of	Warde	n, was	ningr	<u>011</u>	
Addr						
Lund sur	face, datum	300	it. above		·····	
		35			1_	0.000
					1 TOF VINEN	
CORRE- LATION (Tran Material)	acribe driller's to	MATERIA muninology lite tate and record	ai. mily but para static level if Correlate with	phras st t rap stod. G stratigraph	(let) (cont) ive depths in ic polyma, if	(feet) parentheses. feet below lan (wamble. Follor
CORRE- LATION (Tran restorial w surface da ing log of	acribe driller's to a ter-bearing, so a turns unlease other materials, list all No 100	MATERI. real-tology like abs and record ring indicated. canings, perfor	AL mily but para static level of Correlate with stions, surgens	phran as t rap wtod. G stratigraph stc.)	(fart) secentary, in ive depths in ic column, i((feet) parentheses. feet below lan (alpible. Follow
CORRE- LATION (Tran restorial w purface da ing log of	arribe driller's to ther-bearing, to s furn unless other materials, list all <u>No 106</u>	MATERI. mainology lite sate and record rise indicated. casings, perfor	al rally but pars static level if Correlate with ationa, screens	phrase as t repurted. G stratigraph etc.)	(lert)	Perentheese.
CORRE- LATION (Tran reatorial de purface de ing log of Plant	acribe driller's to star bearing, so s torn union other materials, list all <u>No log</u> test:	MATERI. multicology lits take and record rise indicated. casings, perfor	AL mily but para static level if Corrolate with those, screens	phrase as t repurted. G stratigraph etc.)	THECKNESS ((act) seconsery, in ic column, if	(lest) parentheses. Past below Lan (alamble. Follor
CONRE- LATION (Tran restorial w ing log of Plime	test: Dim:	Matzal mainology lite sate and record rise indicated. casings, perform 375 to 100 375 to 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	AL relly but parts intatic level if Correlate with ationa, surgers x 6 ¹¹	aphrane as t reputed. G straigraph etc.)	THEENEM	(lest) perentizeese. Fact below Lab (algebie. Follow
CONKE- LATION (Tran reaterial w gurface da ing log of Plimp	toat: <u>No loc</u> toat: <u>Dim:</u> SWL:	Marsan reasonology lite tabe and record rise indicated. casings, perfor 375 [‡] 135 [‡]	AL mily bus pars instic lavel if Corrists with stions, screens K. 611	phras as t reputed. G stratigraph etc.)	THECKNESS ((act) secencery, in its depths in ic column, if	(lest) Perentheses Feet balow lab
CONKE- LATION (Tran staterial w purface da ing log of Plime	Acribe driller's to ater-bearing, so a store unions other materials, list all <u>No log</u> teat: <u>Dim:</u> SWL: D.D.	Marsan mainology lite and record rise indicated. carings, perfor 375 [‡] 135 [‡] 135 [‡]	AL maily but para matic level if Correlate with about, screens x 6 ⁴¹	phrase as t rap.stod. G stratigraph otc.)	THECKNESS ((act) secondary, in ic column, if column, if	(lest) Parentheses. Feet below lab
CONKE- LATION (Tran reateriel w surface da ing log of Plime	Acribe driller's to and barries, iss all No log test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield	Marzal mainology lite free and record rise indicated. canona, perfor 375 [‡] : 135 [‡] not kn H	ni mily but para static level if Corrolete with thous, strengs x 6n nctom Cert.	aphrane at t reputed. G straigraph otc.)	tor 50	(lest) parenthesen Paet balow (an fainthe, Follor
CONNELLATION (Tran reatorial w surface da ing log of Plimp	No log test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield Cacing	Marzal mainology lite sate and record rive indicated. casioge. perform 375 t 135 t not ko H ; Non	AL relify but para relation life Correlet with Correlet with thom, errors x 6 ¹¹ Correlet with Correlet with Correle	sphrame as t reputed. G straigraph etc.)	tor 50	(lest) perentiuses. fact below into falletile. Follow
CONKE- LATION (Tran material w purface da ing log of Pulmu	test: Dim: SWI.: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor	Marzal mainology lite sate and record deterings, perform 375 [‡] 135 [‡] not ko H ; Non	AL maily but parts intatic layel if Correlate with about, screens K 6 th Carta Carta ; Noti	phrase as t rap.stod. G stratigraph otc.)	There NESS (left) ive deputes in control in the column, if courts is for 50	(lest) perentives. Feet below inn (alpitule. Follor
CONKE- LATION (Tran reaterial w jurface da ing log of Plime Plime	Acribe driller's to and baring of the materials, list all <u>No log</u> test: <u>Dim:</u> SWL: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor Type &	Marcal mainology lite are and record rearings, perfor 375 t 135 t 135 t not k t Non t Size	x 6" corrict or vit corrict or vit corrict or vit corrict or vit corrict or vit corrict or vit corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corrite corri corrite corrite corrite c	phrase at t reputed. G straigraph otc.) 	tor 50	fort) Parenthesen Poet below Inn Calmble. Follor Samble. F
CONNELLATION (Tran reaterist w purface da ing log of Plimp	No log test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor Type & 4".	Marzal mainology lits fais and record rise indicated. casings. perfor 375 [‡] : 135 [‡] not_ki # :: Non *ations c size	x 6" carte of the second of t	phrase at t reputed. G etraigreph etraigreph ter.)	tor 50	(lest) perenthesen Peet below Inh implie. Follo f a P = Me t a P = Me t a D in C
CONNELLATION (Tran reatorial w purface da ing log of Plump	No log test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor Type 8 4".	Marzal mainclory lite and record ine indicated. carioga. perform 375 [‡] : 135 [‡] not.ko # :: Non # :: Non :ations c size	x 6" Correlet with Correlet with Correlet with correlet with correlet with correlet with x 6" nown Certa ; Non of pum of mot	phrase at t reparted. G straigraph etc.) iseused p: V or: 1	tor 50	
CONKE- LATION (Tran waterial w purface da ing log of Plime Plime	test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor Type & motor	Marzal mainology lite sate and record dete indicated. casings. perform 375* 1354 not kn H : Non H : Non tions c size	x 6" Corrict with the first in the first i	phrase at t reparted. G straigraph otc.) iscusd g p: V or: 1	tor 50	(lest) perentivees. Feet below into (alminie. Follor
CONKE- LATION (Tran reaterial w jurface da ing log of Plime Plime 	No log test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor Type 3 motor.	Marzal mainology lite are and record rearings, perfor 375 t 135 t 135 t not k w si Non c size c size	x 6" corrict with corrict with corrict with those crimins x 6" nown cort. cort. cort. of pum of mot	phrase at t reputed. G straigraph otc.) 	tor 50	elec.
CONRE- LATION (Tran reaterist w purface da ing log of Plime 	No log test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor Type & motor.	Marzal mainology lits fries and record rise indicated. casings. perform 375 [‡] : 135 [‡] not.ki H :: Non. ************************************	x 6" AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL	phrase at t reparted. G etraigraph otc.)	tor 50	el & C .
CONNE- LATION (Tran reatorial w purface da ing log of Plump	No log test: Dim: SWL: D.D. Yield Casing Perfor Type 8 motor.	Marzal mainclory lite sate and record rearings, perform 375 [‡] : 135 [‡] not ko 135 [‡] Non 135 [‡] not ko 135 [‡] 135	AL mily but para static lavel if Correlate with Correlate with thom, errors a correlate with correlate with a correlate with a correlate with a correlate with a correlate with a correlate with a a a a a a a a a a a a a	phrase at t reparted. G etraigraph etc.) iseused p: V or: 1	tor 50	(lest) perentheses fact below into factorios into factorio

			97 - 10 A.
		es (PP	1.1.1.1.1.1
		×.	\sim
	STATE OF WASHIN	IGTON IRVATION	
	AND DEVELOPME	Int #32	57
WELL I	.0G ****	Cort, /171	3-4
Date	A. A. Durand		
Record t	Driller's Record	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	,
Source	The ANASHINGTON		المناسبة مندر
Location	in Grant		
Lour	1L y	_ =	
Man			د سر بر بر بر
Lot 8,	HIL	DIAGRAM O	RECTION
Drilling	Co. A. Dorand	*******	Ko eh .
٨ddi	SS 115 Reeps Avenue; Mal	LA BRIIR.	<u>рене</u> К 10 Ка
Met	hod of Drilling	DateAUL	and the second sec
()wner_	TOWN OI BATUSIL BACAL		
Add	ress above		and Marge Constructions and the
			sectored which is a sector destroyed
Land an	njuce, daium		
Land an	rjuce, dalum	THICKNASS (feet)	Durrik (ført)
Land an Connection	MAYERIAL	THICKNESS (feet)	DEPTH (fort)
Land an Course Lation (Tra	MAYERIAL MAYERIAL metribe driller's toroninology literally but persphrase water-bearing, so mato and record static level of reports water bearing, so mato and record static level of reports	THICKNESS (feet) e.a.s. reconservy. in e.d. Grove depths on I graphic column, if fe	DEPTH (ført) arenibære. oct balow inn amble. Follow
Lund an Course Land Kation (Tra material surface d ing log of	MAYERIAL MAYERIAL metribe driller's terminology literally hut paraphrase water-bearing, so state and record static level if report water-bearing, so state and record static level if report materials, list all casings, perforations, screens, etc.)	THEERNASS (feet) c as reconsery, in god. Give depths on l graphic column, if fa	Dzerik (ført) arenihaere. oct balow lang emble. Pollor
Land an Correst Land (Tra material surface d ing log of	MAYERIAL MAYERIAL MAYERIAL Martine driller's toroninology literally but paraphrase water-bearing, so stato and record static level if reports atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with strati fum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with strati materials, list all casings. perforations, acreens, etc.) Sworface soil, yellow, soit	THEERNASS (feet) bd. Give depths in I graphic column, if is	DEPTH (feet) areniheere. oct below iano emble. Pollor
Land SH CORRE- LATION (Tra restored ing log of	MATERIAL MATERIAL MATERIAL Materially hut persphrase mean-bearing, so state and record static level if report atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati materials, list all casings. perforations. screens. etc.) Surface coil, vellow, soft Callobe, white, soft	THICKNASS (feet) c as reconsery, in ed. Give depths or graphic column, if fa 3 22	Dress (fest) areathere. oct balow insu- emble. Follow
Land 3M CORRE- LATION (Tran material surface d ing log of	MAYERIAL MAYERIAL MAYERIAL Mayerial below where bearing, so mato and record static level if report atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with strati tum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with strati materials, list all casings. perforatums. screens. etc.) Durface soil, vellow, soft Caliphe, value, soft Sand, vellow, soft	THEERNASS (feet) od. Give depths in f graphic column, if f 22 	DEFRIG (feet) arentheere. oct below interes. arbite. Pollow 3 25 72
Lund sm Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentati	Marsatal Marsatal Marsatal merribe driller's toreninology Intersily but persphrase water-bearing, so rate and record static level if reports atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with strati- atum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with strati- insterials, list all casings. perforations, surgens. etc.) <u>Surface coil, vellow, soft</u> <u>Calione, vellow, soft</u> <u>Siand, vellow, soft</u> <u>Basalt, broken, black, med</u>	THEERNASS (feet) b as recovery, in p od. Give depths in P araphic column, if fe 22 53 17	Dress (feet) Sereniheere. Set balow (any emble. Follow 3 25 78 95
Lund sm Concert Lation (Tra- material surface d ing log of	Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsual Marsua	THEERNASS (feet) as reconsery, in pol. Give depths in l graphic column, if fe 3 22 53 17 11	Drevik (fert) areathere. oct balow (an emble. Pollor 25 25 25 25 25 106
Lund sm Commentation (Tra- material ing log of 	Marsala Marsala Marsala merribe driller's toroninology interaily but persphrase water bearing, so state and record static level if repar- ation unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati- itum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati- itum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati- ation unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati- itum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati- itum unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with stati- materials, list all casings. perforations. surface. etc.) Durface soil, vellow, soft Calighe, white, soft Sand, vellow, soft Basalt, broken, black, med. Basalt, black, hard Basalt, brown, mede	THEFRNASS (feet) e as recessary, in ped. Gree depths on programmer, if feeting residence of the second s	Dzersk (feet) areniheere. ezi balow kan ezible. Polici 3 25 78 95 106 120
Lund sm Commentation (Tra- maternal surface d ing log of	Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia macribe driller's terminology intersity but persphrase mater bearing, so state and record static level if reports atom unless otherwise indicated. Correlate with static materials, list all casings. perforations. screens. etc.) <u>Burface soil, vellow, soft</u> <u>Caliphe, white, soft</u> <u>Sand, vellow, soft</u> <u>Basalt, broken, black, med</u> <u>Basalt, brown, mede</u> <u>Basalt, brown, mede</u>	THEERNASS (feet) as reconstry, in ed. Give depths in graphic column, if fe 22 3 22 53 17 11 14 25	Drevik (feet) areathere. oct balow into eable. Follow 25 72 95 106 120 145
Lund sm Connectation (Tra- material surface d ing log of	Marsalt, balck, bard, poly	Thirk wass (feet) as recoverany, in go. d. Give depths in the graphic column, if feet 22 3 22 53 17 11 14 25	Drevik (feet) arentheere oct balow ian emble. Pollor 25 78 95 106 120 145 187
Lund sm Commentation (Tra- maternal unresord ing log of 	Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia meribe driller's terminology Intersily but persphrase water-bearing so rate and record static level if repar- materials list all casings, perforations, surcens, etc.) <u>Surface coil, vellow, soft</u> <u>Calione, white, coff</u> <u>Siand, vellow, soft</u> <u>Basalt, broken, black, med.</u> <u>Basalt, brown, med.</u> <u>Basalt, brown, med.</u> <u>Basalt, brown, med.</u> <u>Basalt, balck, hard, como</u> <u>Basalt, balck, hard, como</u>	THEERNASS (feet) as recorrary. in 60. Give depths in graphic column, if feet 22. 53. 17. 11. 14. 	Derrik (feet) armithere. Set balow (and enble. Follow 25 25 78 95 106 120 145 187 204 700
Lund sm Conservation (Tra- rateral surface d ing log of 	Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Mar	THEERNASS (feet) as reconsery, in def. Give depths in graphic column, if fa 22 53 17 11 14 25 42 17 11 14 25 17 16	Drevik (feet) areathere. oct balow iso arbite. Follow 25 78 95 106 120 145 187 204 210
Lund in Correctation (Tra- naterial surface d ing log of	Marsait, balck, bard Basalt, black, bard Basalt, black, black, bard	Thirs wass (feet) as recovery, in [od. Give depths in [graphic column, if feet] 3 22 53 17 11 14 25 star 42 17 11 14 25 17 6 4	Drefk (fet) arenthere arenthere arenthere arenthere 3 25 78 95 106 120 145 187 204 210 214 232
Lund sm Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentation Commentati	Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Marganal Mar	Thirs wass (feet) as recorrary, in 60. Give depths in graphic column, if feet 22. 53. 17. 11. 14.	Dreft (feet) areathere. act balow (an act balow (an) act balow (an act b
Lund in Conservation (Tra- maternal surface d ing log of 	Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia meribe driller's terminology intersity but perspirase water bearing so state and record static level if report- materials, list all casings. perforations. screens. etc.) <u>Surface soil, vellow, soft</u> <u>Sand, vellow, soft</u> <u>Sand, vellow, soft</u> <u>Basalt, broken, black, med.</u> <u>Basalt, brown, med.</u> <u>Basalt, brown, med.</u> <u>Basalt, black, hard</u> <u>Basalt, black, hard</u>	Thirk Nass (feet) as recessary, in [6] as recessary, in [7] as recessary, in [7] graphic column, if is [7] 12 53 17 11 14 25 17 16 42 17 6 42 17 5 6 42 17	Drevik (feet) arenthere. oct balow inn entitle. Foldo 25 78 95 106 120 145 187 204 210 214 223 228 250
Lund in Correctation (Tra- naterial surface d ing log of 	Marsait, black, hard Basalt, black, hard Basalt, black, hard Basalt, black, hard Sasalt, black, hard Sasalt, black, hard Basalt, black, hard	Thirs wass (feet) as recovery, in [od. Give depths in [graphic column, if feet] 3 22 53 17 11 14 25 state 42 17 11 14 25 state 42 17 6 42 17 5 01 22	Drevik (feet) arentheere arentheere arenthe poly inc. arenthe 25 78 95 106 120 145 187 204 210 214 223 228 250 260
Lund sm Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comm	Marsaia Marsaia Marsaia maribe driller's terminology intersity but persphrase mater bearing, so state and record static level if report state unless observing indicated. Correlate with stati itum unless observing indicates. Correlate with stati itum unless observing indicates with stati itum unless observing indicate with stati itum unless observing indicates with stati Sand, yellow, soft Caliphe, white, soft Sand, yellow, soft Basalt, broken, black, med. Basalt, black, hard Basalt, black, hard	THEERNASS (feet) as reconsery, in d. Give depths in graphic column, if fa 22 53 17 11 14 25 42 17 16 42 17 16 42 17 5 51 53 17 11 14 25 53 53 17 16 5 5 5 5	Drevik (feet) arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arrenthere: arre

.

· •••

685

CORRE-	MATERIAL	Mer Harr Carallabe Anamara di success menangan di s	TRICKNESS (fees)	DRPTH (feet)	
		Depth forward			
Ba	salt, black, po	d.	17	282	
Ba	malt, black, ha	ırd	5	287	
120 Ba	salt, broken, b	lack, med.	28	31.5	
L 150 Bau	salt, black, ha	rd,	5	320	
Bai	alt, black, me	d.	23	343	
Ba	malt, black, ha	rd	7	350	
Bei	milt, black, mo	d	4	354	
Ba	alt, black, ha	rd	16	370	
Bat	alt, black, me	d	5	375	
Bes	wit, black, ha	rd	15	390	
Bas	mlt, black, me	d. SNL 2001	5	395	
	alt, black, so	<u> </u>	22	417	
Bas	alt, black, has	rd	6	423	
Bas	alt, black, mer	1.	3	126	
Ban	alt, black, her	rd	13	439	
Bas	alt, black, bro	aken SEL 250	<u> </u>	84B	
Bas	alt, black med.	STL 1601	48	496	
Bas	alt, black, bry	ken	24	520	
Bas	alt, black, med		15	535	
Baa	alt, black brok			566	
Een	elt, hlack, med	la	17	583	
Bes	alt, black, har	<u>d</u>	6	599	
Bas	ult, black, bro	ken St. 160	11	600	
Base	ult, black, med		цо	640	
Base	ilt, black, har	d	16	656	
Beas	11, black, med	······································	7	663	
Baai	ilt, blue, hard	······································	13	676	
Base	It, bleck, med	*		685	
mp Test	Dim: 6851 x 1	0#: S#L: 160	' <u>ı</u>		
Ddr_	260's Vields 4	20 gapamas	_		

4% #X%4 f#72

N.11 # 1



~r

	and a second		
	STATE OF WASHINGT	ON	``
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSERV	ATION	
	AND DEVELOPMENT	¥	
WELL	LOG No. ADI	11+471	Q
Date	8-19-57 10	- 442	6 .
	wall defilion	1121	'
Record	dud llow to wasand		
Source	driller's record		
¥			
LOCULH	Onternation		
Ca	unty. VI ally		
Ar	**************************************		
м			
Sł	16 SW 1/	Disgram of	Section 1
	With the second state of t		
Drillim	Construct Plumbing & Heat	ing	,
Ad	dress Wash		
M	thed of Drilling. Date	Aug.	10.57
 	Town of Warden Washing	ton	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
			m,
Ad	dress.	Jacob market and the second	**
Land a	urface, datum 17.30 ft above		
	Locate w	-	
Cenne-	The A second of a	Тикжатын	Dwyn
			(
I MARANT	MANAR MAR MARSHING & MALEMANDANAR A THALETA DAP DULEDWING BE	nesembary, in	parentimen.
salaw bas I fundihi	al water-bearing, to state and recently us party in the state level if rea- ad-warfam datum unless otherwise indicated. Corrainte v 6. Following log of materials, list all easings, performing	verentry, in whit, Give d with structury a, escand, ste	pàrenthusan sptini in feet pilli anismu, .)
f funcihi	al water-bearing, so state and react static level if you destrate datum talees otherwise indicated. Corrists v e. Fellowing ber of materials, list all easings, perforation TOD SOIL	rith strathers , second, of 25	parenthuses. rpths in feet plate column. 25
fracini	al water-bearing, so state and receipt static level if yes descrizes datum taless otherwise indicated. Correlate v Pellewing ber of materials, list all easings, perforation Top soil Sand	retud. Olive a rith stratigree a, ascenaria, ato 25 24	25
f funcihi	al water-bearing, so state and receired static level if yes- destriant datase takes otherwise indicated. Correlate v Pellowing lay of materials, list all each yes, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt Pock	25	25 25 49 80
relever bus f function	al water-bearing, so state and recard static level if yes descrime datase values otherwise indicated. Correlate v Pellewing lay of materials, list all eachys. perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt Pock	25 21 31	25 49 80
releve hu f funethi	al water-bearing, so state and recard static lovel if yes described datase tailees otherwise indicated. Correlate ve Fellowing bar of materials, list all each end, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt Pock iittle	25 24 31	25 49 80
relever bu	Top soil Broken black basalt Pock Broken black basalt Pock Broken black basalt Pock Broken black basalt Pock Broken black basalt Pock	25 24 31 22 22 24	25 49 80 112
folow hu	al water-bearing, so state and receive static level if yes- destriant datum taking otherwise indicated. Corrists v * Fellewing bar of materials, list all easings, perforation Top soil Broken black basalt Pock itttis Water Hand gray basalt rock Clay & broken basalt rock	25 24 31 22 47	25 49 80 112 179
	al water-bearing, so state and reach a static lord if yes describes datase tales otherwise indicated. Correlate yes Pedewing by of materials, list all each a perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock little Water Hand gray basalt rock Clay & broken besalt rock Hand gray basalt rock	25 24 31 22 47 20 24	25 25 49 80 112 159 179 214
	Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock	25 24 31 22 47 20 20	25 25 49 80 112 159 179 215 215
	al water-berring, so state and receir static bord if yes describes datase tales otherwise indicated. Correlate v Pellewing by of materials, list all earlyns, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock little Water Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Basalt rock (caving)	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30	25 49 80 112 159 179 215 245
	al water-bering, so state and receir static bord if yes description datase tales otherwise indicated. Correlate v Pellewing lay of materials, list all each yes, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Basalt rock (caving) Hard rock broken layers	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30	25 49 80 112 159 179 215 245
	al water-berring, so state and receive static loved if yes description datase tailous otherwise indicated. Correlate v Pellewing lay of materials, list all each are performing Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Basalt rock (caving) Rard rock & broken layers of rock	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30	25 49 80 112 159 179 215 245 278
	al water-berring, so state and receive static lored if yes description determ tailous otherwise indicated. Correlate v Pellewing lay of materials, list all each procession Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Basalt rock (caving) Hard rock & broken layers Of rock Black basalt rock	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33	25 49 80 112 159 179 215 245 278 314
	al water-berring, so state and received static lored if yes descrives determ tailees otherwise indicated. Correlate yes reflewing lay of materials, list all eachyrs, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Mard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Basalt rock (caving) Hard rock & broken layers of rock Black basalt rock Water rock (plenty of wat	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 30 33 56	25 49 80 112 159 215 245 245 278 314 -319
	al water-berring, so state and receive static level if yes descrives determ tailees otherwise indicated. Correlate yes Fellowing buy of materials, list all eachyrs, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Mard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Basalt rock (caving) Hard rock & broken layers of rock Black basalt rock Water rock (plenty of wat PUMP TEST:	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33 35 56	25 49 80 112 159 215 245 245 278 314 -319
	al water-berring, so state and receive static level if yes descrives determ tailees otherwise indicated. Correlate ver- Pellewing lay of materials, list all eachyrs, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Mard gray basalt rock Clay & broken besalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Basalt rock (caving) Hard rock & broken layers of rock Black basalt rock Black basalt rock Sime Sign of water PUMP TEST: Dim. 319'x12"	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33 35 56	25 49 80 112 159 215 245 245 278 314 319
	al water-berring, so state and receive shift bred if yes descrives determ tailees otherwise indicated. Correlate yes Fellewise bay of materials, list all eachers, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33 35 56	25 49 80 112 159 179 215 245 245 278 314 319
	al water-berring, so state and receipt a faile for a first determine determi	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33 35 56	25 49 80 112 159 215 245 278 314 319
	in which berring, so state and received shift bred if yes descrives determ tailees otherwise indicated. Correlate ver- reflewing by of materials, list all easings, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock " little Water Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard rock & broken layers of rock Black basalt rock Water rock (plenty of water PUMP TEST: Dim. 319'x12" SWL: 62 ft. DD: 166 ft. Yield: 1100 g.n.m.	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33 35 56	25 49 80 112 159 179 215 245 278 314 319
	al water-berring, so state and received static berd if yes descrives datase tailees otherwise indicated. Correlate ve Fellewing ber of materials, list all easings, perforation Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock " little Water Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard rock & broken layers of rock Black basalt rock Water rock (plenty of water PUMP TEST: Dim. 319'x12" SWL: 62 ft. DD: 166 ft. Yield: 1100 g.p.m.	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33 56 57	25 49 80 112 159 179 215 245 245 278 314 319
	al water berner, so state and receipt a faile level if re- destring datase tailes otherwise indicated. Correlate ve Fellewing ber of materials, list all easings, perforsion Top soil Sand Broken black basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard gray basalt rock Hard rock & broken layers of rock Black basalt rock Water rock (plenty of water PUMP TEST: Dim. 319'x12" SWL: 62 ft. DD: 166 ft. Yield: 1100 g.p.m. (over)	25 24 31 22 47 20 26 30 33 35 56	25 49 80 112 159 245 245 278 314 -319

	Maybriai,		THERMONE (fore)	District (funt)	
	Dept	h forward			
		Jacu	ssi te	st	54 ⁵
	Type & Size OI pump	165	h.p.		
	Diesel				
	CASING: 12" DIAMA	CHRINE	SOL A	C.	
	-80 ft. to rock from	-0-to-	00 164		
	Depth of drive-sage				
	Pr				
.	ICAD GOM			r	
	1244 Jackh	l <u>er</u>		ļ	- 58
	Мил	·/			- 67
	······································				61
	Mater Sourling C	<u> </u>	0149	113	- 66
	1/20/24	534	000	613	- 55
	11/20/14	3074	7400	103	~ 32
	12/16/75	9623	4900	<u></u>	- 22
	12/1/176	1753	720		
					-
				•	-
				+	
					-
					- X *
					1.0
•••••	and the second se	·····			
					¥13
					
					2
				·····	

Vill 25



,

,

ppli. Per.	. 7730 DEPARTMENT OF CON DIVISION OF WATER R 7434	BERVATION REQUECTS	
WELL.	LAG - Driller	0	e miningen og in serverær. E
uecord	Driller's Record	· •	
source	DRIIIGE S. ROOOLV	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Locatic	m: State of WASHINGTON		
Co	unty Grant	,	
Ar	**		······
Ma	P		
NI	14 NH 14 me 16 T 17 N. R 30 E	District	
Drilling	Co. Gray & Osborne, Consul	ting Engin	SETS.
Ad	dress 228 S. 2nd St., Yakima	Wash.	
M	whod of Drilling Cable Dat	. May 21,	19 68
human	Town of Warden.		
* ** ** ***			
Ad a baal	dress Warden, Washington 9 urface, datum / 2 10 ft above	F 5 3 7	,==+++==++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Ad and s WL:	dress Warden, Washington 9 urface, datum 2 12 ft above 42 ^t Date May 21 19	68 Dime: 1	6" x 36
Ad and s SWL:	dress Warden, Washington 9 urface, datum 2 12 fi above 42 Date May 21 19 Xarmus	68 Dime. 1	6" x 36(
Ad said s fWL: Orenn- LATER f maler olow ins f realist	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 12 fi above 42 ² Date May 21 19 Karman Materia arminology literally bot y araphra il water-bearing, so state and record static level ad-duffing datum unless otherwise indicated. Corre a Following bog of matarials, list all casings, parfor Municipal	68 Dims. 1 Yrom (feet) Iss as necessary, (if reported. Give blace with strailer retions, acrowsk, o	6 ¹¹ x 361 To (feet) a parenthoses doubs is feet apbie column, ta.)
Ad saud s fWL: Commu- tation (True show ins f searching	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 fi above 42 Date May 21 19 Karmaak margine detilier's terminology ilterally hot y araphre il vater-bearing, so state and record static level is vater-bearing by of materials, jut all casings, perfor Municipal Top soil	68 Dims. 1 (feet) iss as necessary. (if reported. Cive base with strailer rations, acrossic, o 0	6 ¹¹ x 361 To (free) a paranthose apbie column (a.) 12
Ad shid s fWL: Commo- LATENT (Tre show has f females	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 fi above 42. Date May 21 19 Xarmut marks differ terminology literally hot y araphra is vision-bearing, so state and record static breel is vision-bearing, so state and record static breel is visioning bog at materials, jut all casingre, performed Municipal Top soil Calicha	68 Dims. 1 Yrom (foot) In an necessary, (if reported. Give plate with stratige retions, accussit, e 0 12	6" x 361 (frot) a paranthosus deptise in for aphie etituma (a.) 12 14
Ad and s fWL: (True (True (True (True (True (True (True))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 12 ri above 42 ³ Date May 21 19 Karman Marris diller's terminology literally bot y araphre is water-bearing, so clats and record static level ad-strines datum unions otherwise indicated. Corre a Following bog of materials, just all casingre, perfor Municipal Top soil Caliche Sand brown rock	68 Dims. 1 Yroom (feet) Insta necessary, (if reported Give blate with stratige retions, acrossis, e 0 12 14	6" x 36 To (freet) a paranthoum depths is for aphie solumn (a.) 12 14 37
Ad and s fWL: Owner- Lation (Tree mater show iss	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 fi above 42 ^t Date May 21 below 42 th Date May 21 19 Karmeral Karmeral Municipal Top soll Calicha Sand, brown rock	68 Dims. 1 Yrom (foot) ins an necessary, (if reported. Give place with strailer rations, across, c 0 12 14 37	6 ¹¹ x 36i To (feet) a parenthoses depthe is feet apble column ta.) 12 14 37 52
Ad said s fWL: Comms- Lation f mater flow las f femalis	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 fi above 42 ¹ Date May 21 below 42 ¹ Date May 21 19 Marmus marms deflier's terminology literally hot y araphre is water-bearing, so state and record static level is water-bearing be state and record static level is water-bearing be state and record static level is state and record static level is under the state and record static level is state and record state and record static level is state and record state and record state and record state is state and record state and record state and record state is state and record state and record state and record state and record state is state and record state and record state and record state and record state is state and record state and record state and record state and record state is state and record state is state and record state and recor	68 Dims. 1 Yrom (feet) the as necessary, (the reported. Give plate with strailer restions, acrosse, o 0 12 14 37 52	6" × 36 To (foot) a paranthose aphie etituma (a.) 12 14 37 52 61
Ad said s fWL: Comm- LATHON (True f malor how in f femalbi	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 fi above 42. Date May 21 below 42. Date May 21 19 Marmuk marma ditlaris terminology ilterally hot y araphra il vater-bearing, so state and record static berel is vater-bearing of materials, just all casingre, performed Municipal Top soil Calicha Sand, brown rock Bagalt, black	68 Dims. 1 Yrom (foot) in as necessary, (if reported. Give plate with stratiler retions, acrossit, e 0 12 14 37 52 court (p.g.)	6 ¹¹ x 361 (5001) a paranthosa depta in for apbie etiuma (a.) 12 14 37 52 61
Ad and s fWL: Comm- LATION (True mater plow las	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 fi above 42. Date May 21 below 42. Date May 21 19 Xarmus sueries dillier's terminology literally hot y araphre fil water-bearing, so state and record static level a following log of materials, list all casingre, perfor Municipal Top soil Calicha Sand, brown rock Sand, brown rock Bagalt, black Bagalt, black	68 Dims. 1 Yroom (feet) In an necessary, (frequenced. Give hate with strailer retions, acrossl, o 12 14 37 52 caving) 61	6" x 36 (free) a paranthouse depths is feet aphie eliuma (a) 12 14 37 52 61 155
Ad Land s SWL: Country LATSON (Tro Material Second	dress Warden, Washington urface, datum 2 fi above 42' Date May 21 below 42' Date May 21 19 Karman inverte differs terminology ilterally hot y araphre il vater-bearing, so state and record static level id state-bearing, so state and record static level id state-bearing log of materials, list all calculated. Corre a Following log of materials, list all calcular, performed Municipal Top soil Calicha Sand, brown rock Sand, brown rock Bagalt, black Bagalt, black Bagalt, brown (some sand, some sand, so	68 Dims. 1 Yrom (feet) the as necessary, (if reported. Give blace with strailer rations, acress, c 0 12 14 37 52 caving) 61 15 c	6" x 36 To (feet) a parenthouse deptine in fee apple solution 12 12 14 37 52 61 155 210

Municipal	(
	0	12
Calicha	12	14
Sand, brown rock	14	37
Sand, brown rock	37	52
Bagalt, black	52	61
Basalt, brown (some sand,	caving)	
water level 30'	61	155
Basalt, black	155	210
Basalt, black-hard	210	214
Banalt, black	214	250
Baselt, black-hard	250	267
Basalt, gray-hard	267	283
Basalt, gray	283	295
Basalt, black-caving	295	345
Basalt, black-hard	345	355
	Sheetof	
	Hunicipal Top soil Calicha Sand. brown rock Sand. brown rock Bagalt, black Bagalt, black Bagalt, black Bagalt, black Bagalt, black-hard Bagalt, black-hard Bagalt, gray-bard Bagalt, black-caving Bagalt, black-hard	Municipal0Top soil0Calicha12Sand, brown rock14Sand, brown rock37Bagalt, black52Bagalt, black52Bagalt, brown (some sand, caving)water level 30'61Basalt, black155Basalt, black210Basalt, black214Basalt, black-hard250Basalt, gray-bard267Basalt, black-hard283Basalt, black-hard345Basalt, black-hard345

			Terri	7'w (1##\$)	
Contrain-	MATHRAL			and the second	
	Davis	farward	Constanting of	1	
	Presit, black (water rai	sed to	755	361	
	2781)		361	364	
4	Desait, brown, black, car	ring	364	368	
And the other states of th	Gard brown-black	(alre	304		
	water level dropped to	3 43 3		[
	Casing: 16" from O' to	54	- 2 h		
	Vield: 850 gpm with 72	DD ALLS	er 2	hrs.	
	1175 gpm with 109		er 6	hrs.	
	1400 gos with 16-			1	
	Recovery		1		
	time: 10 sec.; water 1	VCI 7V			
	Date of test May 21, 1	168			
	Temp.: 56*	Warth	Ingto	n	
	Pump: 200 H.P., Turbin	C. RULL			
	Recentled		**************************************		
	1600 gpm				and the
······	665 ac pt fg	a			
*****	Mun				
		11 8 4			
	Metel Heckles	- 0	00		
-	10/15/74	300	1.5%	de	- <i>+</i> -
	1/30/75	809	171	et X (
	12/16/15	16.20	32	24	
	12/1/76				
	and the second sec				
مار الم					
,					
		·····	_		

1

~ · ...
Tile Overinal and First Copy wit	h
Second Copy - Owner's Copy	•

, .

WATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON

Auplication No 1213-A

**		171.344
r≉rmu:	NO	

(1) OWNER: Name Town of Warden	Address Warden, Washington		
LOCATION OF WELL: Grant Grant Original H	Plot of Warden	~~>	<u></u>
Designer and distance from excline or subdivision corner Block 6 I	ot 6	? N, R	In Car W
(3) PROPOSED USE: Domentic 🖂 Industrial 🗆 Municipal 🖉	(10) WELL LOG:		
Irrigation 🗌 Text Well 🗍 Other 📋	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of materi show thickness of oquifers and the kind and nature of	al and str the mate	weture, c
(4) TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well No. 6	MANNER PROTECTION, WITH BE HAR ONE FAITY for each	change of	formati
New well () Method: Dug () Bared [BTAMETER 2/11 to 02 ft	FROM	1 10
Deepened 📋 Cable 🗌 Driven 🔂	1711 FA TAT		
Reconditioned 🗋 Rotary 🗍 Jetted 🗖			-
(5) DIMENSIONS: 151" to 8301	1.5t" to 830 ft.		
Drilled 830 ft Depth of completed well 830 ft			
	Frozen brown top soil dirt	0	1_ 2
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	Fine brown dirt	2	<u> </u>
Casing installed: 20 st a plan town 0 to the 97 th	Tan colored calache hard		1 1 -
Threaded T 16 "Diam from 18 ft to 386 ft	Sandy brown clay	43	67
Welded	Red soft baselt	67	70
	Broken fractured basalt	70	88
Perforations: Yax 🗋 No 🎝	Soft brown basalt	88	00
Type of perforator used.	Hard black basalt	90	1102
SIZE of perforations and in by unanterious in.	Black & Brown medium hard to mediu		
PETOTALIONE LEGGE	apit fractured besalt 30 ft. br.	Ţ.	
Derforstions from	rat 12 rom = 4000 lbs, on bit	102	160
	Hand blackish grey heselt with so		
Screens: Ynt 🗋 No 🎝	graviass 131 nr. hr. drilling mat	160	† .
Manufacturer's Name	Madin havi may have it	226	
Type and the second a	Hew? may bealt	1 220	-469
LILET	Marid you a sto have a second and have the	for the start	1235
articles. Secondary which shall a company should be secondary it. W measurements its	Mailon Solt OFOUR DAUFAUS DASAIL		
Gravel packed: Yes D No Bize of gravel:	Martin hand by high	-235	- 245
Gravel placed from	with and discharge gray basait		
Surface early	Maline such harden	-245-	-261
Surfaced scale, yes 53 No [] To what depthy	Mailum Boit Drown Dasgit	261	-267
Did any virsts contain unuschie wetert Ver i Wo M	NALLUM DEPC DIROK DASELL	-267	270
Type of waterf	Healum soit black poupous baselt	-270-	281
Method of sealing strais of pressure grouted	Medium hard black fractured basalt	-281	-283
///> DF /W/D.	Hard gray orevised basalt	-283-	-290
(7) FURE: MADUFACTURE'S NAME	Solt Brown basalt with blue hard		
		t-290-	-303-
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation	Hand openied blacket and becalt	202	202
Static level 174	Medium soft black becalt	202	363
Artesian pressure	Madium black besalt	-363	330
Artesian water is controlled by	Madim coff black and the		40
	with winewal day		<u>^</u> ~~
(9) WELL TESTS: Drawdawn is amount water level is lowered below static level		1	<u> </u>
Was a pump test mader Yes & No.D If yes, by whom I. LayoomBalle	Work started LIRU 1 (<u>r114</u>	., 197.9
Yield: 3180 gal/min. with 80 ft. drawdown after 8 hrs.	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT:		
	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction a	nd this :	nanort i
	true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	CICE SALER (i opore i
Recovery data (time takan as zero when pump turned off) (water level	T DAATT I MY A NOT THE STORE		
Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Louis	NAME LEADS WELL DRILLING, INC.		
	(Person, firm, pr corporation) (T)	Abe or bu	int.
	Address Moses	Lake,	‴n• 9
	Carl M. A. A	1	/
Data of tert 4/19/79	(Blowned) ATALSON NO	Find	
Badlar test	(Wall Driller)		
Artestan Bow	1. 10276 In tax In	20	
Temperature of water	License No. 0410 Date 4/20/7		, 19
<u>A</u> II	·		

File Original and First Copy with Neartment of Keology Jecond Copy — Owner's Copy Third Copy — Driller's Copy

-

WATER WELL REPORT STATE OF WASHINGTON

Application No.

Permit No.

(A) OWNER: Name Town of Warden

LOCATION OF WELL: County

Bearing and distance from section or subdivision corner

(3) PROPOSED USE: Domestic 🗌 Industrial 🗇 Municipal 🗇	(10) WELL LOG:		
Irrigation [] Test Well [] Other []	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of materia show thickness of aguifers and the kind and nature of stratum penetrated, with at least one such for each	al and stra the mater	icture, an ict in rac
(4) TXPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one)	MATTRIAL	TROM	TO
New well D Method: Dug D Bored D	Continued from negg 1		
Beconsitions C Betary C Inited C	This owner arred and aluffad		
	continuelle	+	+
(5) DIMENSIONS; Diameter of well	Madium hend man hage it gone	+	
Drilled	frastunos		1200
	Madd ym he wil weene fan Acus 3 h 14	270	1.2%0
(6) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:	Moulum nero gray iractured basalt		
Casing installed: "Diam. from	With crevises	1396	422
Threaded []	Hard gray dense basalt picking up		
Walded D	Some fractures 4331	422	455
Deutoretioner	Medium soft with hard levers,		
	there is small amount of brown	·····	
Lype of performance used	rotten basalt mixed	455	493
office of perturations exection the by an interview in	Hard gray fractured basalt	493	515
contractions from the to de	Medium hard gray & black besalt		
carforations from the training	small amount of nourous mixed	510	RU O
Westerning and a second s	Hand apar hoselt dutilion annual	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	240
Screebs: Yes D No D	16 feet new bu	- 	
Manufacturer's Name		240	<u>598</u> _
Type	LIKOR DOUTOUS DASA IT Some brown		
Diami Blot size from ft. to	MIX6 ()	598	621
Diam. Slot size from the fit to	adium hard gray black basalt	621	.630
Grevel pecked: yes many and an and and	adium soft with hard lavara		
Cive well bland from A to	<u>6" to 8"</u>	630	635
	adjum hard gray baselt	635	666
Surface seal: Yes No No To what deputy on M	adium soft blackish grav hesalt	666	620
Material used in seal	adium hard grey hagelt	620	
Did any strate contain unusable water? Yes 🗍 No 🗍 H	land grav hase it desiling shout	Add and the second second	
Type of watert	A ft. view has some fur aturne	600	
Method of sealing strate of	adium soft block with him & have		¥.,\$U
/*/ PTIMP:	nounaus has it was to at the	h	
(I) I UISAR , MADULACULIPOT'S NAZOR	functions present act att psi increase	h	
	In ALF pressure	730	761
(8) WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation	adum hard fractured basalt	······	
Static level	grey color	261-+	765
Artesian pressure	AN ARY DASALL	205	778
Artogian water is controlled by	Bolum naro grav basalt	778	780
(Cap. valve, etc.)	oft black pourous basalt H20	780	786
(b) WELL, TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level to	ard black besalt	786	802
lowared below static level	Work started		
Wate a pump test mader its [] ro [] if yes, by whom you are a set on the set of the set	WELT THEIT FERE GALAMETERS Contin	ued on	กลุศล
	WERE AND		Ince 10
	This well was drilled under my jurisdiction as	nd this r	eport is
	The to the best of my knowledge and belief.		
Provery data (time taken as sare when pump turned off) (water level dessured from wall top to water level)			
me Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level 2	AME.		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(rereco, arma, ar corporation) (T	rpe or pri	unit j
	Ladress.		
Date of last	- 01 June 1 - 2 3		
Beiler test	(Wall Driller)	·····	·····
Artestas fow	s ar a carety & J		
Temperature of water	Joanse No		, 19
, , X (I)			

Address

File Original and First Copy with Department of Ecology Second Copy — Owner's Copy Trird Copy — Driller's Copy

. .

WATER WELL REPORT

page 3

Application No

Trird Copy — Driller's Copy	TATE OF WASHINGTON	Permit No	
(1) OWNER: Name Town of Warden	Address		
LOCATION OF WELL: County		14 14 5- 1 1° - 1 - 74 m	30
Staring and distance from section or subdivision corner		nem 34 - en senser 24 - some e syns er e - 2 e - 57 e - 218 eg - 860	×. ~.
(J) PROPOSED USE: Domestic 🗇 Industrial 🗇	Municipal () (IV) WELL LOG:		
frzigation 🗋 74st Well 📋	Other D Formation: Describe by co	olor, character, size of material and strues and the kind and nature of the materi	
4) TYPE OF WORK. Owner's number of well	stratum penetroied, with	at least one entry for each change of	Jorns
New well [] Method: Due []	KA	TERIAL FROM	1
Deepened 🖸 Cable 🗇	Driven D Continued from	page 2	
Reconditioned [] Rotary []	Jenes D Soft black pouro	us baselt with blue	<u> </u>
) DIMPNCIONS.	mineral mixed HZ	O looks like theres	1
) Dismeter of well	inclass. over 3000 gpm	802	87
Drined			TV
) CONSTRUCTION DETAILS:			
Castur Installad,			I
Cashing instanton. "Diam. from	ά. 		1
Threaded [] Construction Diams, from Annual II, 10			*******
residen Li - Allem, Liven manager II, 10			k
Perforations: Yes C No Ci			
Type of perforator used.	**************************************		
SIZE of perforations in by		······	
performitions from R. to			
perforsions from universities fit to and			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SCROODA: Yes CI No CI			
Manufacturer's Name			
Typa			·
Diam			
Diam			
Gravel nacked: v. o v. o			·· ·› ·
Graval placed from de te			
trates plates atom second second the 10 to an another the	\		
Surface seal: Yes No To what deputy		Norther Sector Sector Sector 1 - Bases - 1000, 10 ppp, 10 1991 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	
Material used in seal			
Did any strata contain unusable watert - Yes 🗋	X • 0		
Type of water?			
Method of sealing strate of			
PUMP: Manufacturar's Name			
Type:			
WATER LEVELS: Lend-surface elevation above mean sea level	()) (), ()) () () () () () () () () () () () ()		
level Date			
an pressure	1 * *** 1 ****************************		
Ariesian water is controlled by (Cap. valve. st	() is the map of the second	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 19	
The state of the state of a second design of the second state the			
VELLE TERSTES: Inword balow static level	Work starter	18 Completed	
pump test made? Yes 🗋 No 🗂 If yes, by whom?			
gal./min. with fi. drawdown after	hrs. WELL DRILLER'S	STATEMENT:	
+# 55 	This well was drilled	under my jurisdiction and this r	epo
f 1 f 7 f 7 f 7 f 7 f 7 f 7 f 7 f 7 f 7	··· true to the best of my	knowledge and bellef.	
ery data (time takan as zero when pump turned off) (w	rater level		
ie Water Level Time Water Level Time Wat	NAME		
	(Paracis, 1	arm, or corporation) (Type or pri	¥.:
	Address		
-			*****
the of test and the second sec	د من مسلم ۲		
test	Land galantia Journal and a second	(Well Driller)	• • • • • •

	(W)	all Driller)	
LEQ	No,	Date	19.

Please print, sign and return to the Department of Ecology

.

NAC # 7

,

	• **	and the second sec	
Water Well Report	Current Notice of Intent No. W07249		це ⁷
	Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No 233	FEB 2.4	20
Construction/Decommission 1903	Water Richt Permit No. (33-22)792	an a	
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice	Property Owner Maria City of Wards.		×.
of intent Number	Property Owner Name 2002.31 Starts	and the second	
anarren 1990 - Character Character State	Well Street Address 1414 (Streetaut & La	e dava se vel ne indi da inve	
Opeware Images Images	City Warden County of Location <u>HE1/4-1/4</u> HE 1/4 See ¹⁵ Twn	anti De polisione Elastina 20 Carite	
New weit Recondutioned Method Dug Bored Driven Deepened Cable Rotary Jetted	Lat/Long (s, t, r Lat Deg	t at Mur/Sec	
IMENSIONS: Diameter of 2013 16 inches, dealled 857 ft	still REQUIRED) Long Deg	Long Marka	
Depth of completed well 857	Tax Parcel No. 61661000		-
axing 2 Welded 20 " Diam from 10.4 A to 113 A	マント おびつりまし こうかんしょう しょうかん しょうかん しょうかん しょうかん しょうしょう しょう	NUNE DOALDE SELDE	• • 3
Therades Draw from 5 to A	 Formation. Describe by color, character, are of motional nature of the miteral in each station penetrated, web at information indicate all writer encountered. USSE ANDIT 	and on Frank, and the bird and lead one may for well change of DONAL SHEE CLIP DECESS ARY	
2.E. of parts in the one of parts inore A to A	MALEPIAL	(15 MOSI +	
norms ? ? es ? No C K Pac Location	See attached formation log		
pe Model No pe Stot size From A to A and Stot size from R to B	3/8* steel imp welded between 16* & 20* casings	- 11 Jul - 1 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	
avel/Filter par lext: Yes No Sze of grave/sand	Liner was not installed in lower borehole at Owner request	3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
refare Small: 2 Yes 2 No To what depth? 113 *see below 11.	ann fe stange te sy far tyge mangenging of enter stand and enter a superiod of the		
stand used in seal <u>remember 1.5 16 casing also sealed from top of 20</u> ° size to 270. dany strate contain unusable water? Yes No			
pe of water?			
ahod of seeing มาเม off MP: Manufacturer's Name			{
ра <u>стана по </u>			
ATER LEVELS: Land surface devation above mean sea level 1280+/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	
estan pressure Iby per square inch Date			
estan water is controlled by	na n	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(cap, vilve, etc.)	маланын каланан калана м	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····
LL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below state level	and an analysis of the second se	entranici (Norma	~ .
is pump revenuence <u>era</u> ers <u>(1</u>) en an yez, oy winner, <u>pumpter</u>). In 2106 – <u>astron</u> n valta 45 – it drawdown after 1 – bes			1 mm 1 mm
s 2100 gpl/mm with 56 stowedown after 8 hrs			
a <u>2100 go mon van 01</u> n orawiown aller <u>24</u> ers wer data Alma taken is zero when onno piniced offi (water level monared from wall	արարագործումակություն ու ու ու որություն է է է 👘 ու անհատերածությունները ու		
to wenur Jawi)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
e Water-Level Time Water-Level Time Water-Level		n again an the second	[
288.5 660 279.5 1260 276.2 285.2 000 278.1 1440 275.4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
effet breas 11/100			
r test fri inn with h three own after hrs			.
ssi gal drawn with stern set at N for hus.			
ben flew			
pressure of water - 👧 🖕 Was a chemical analysis made? 🔯 Yes 🛄 Ho		1	
	Start Date 7/18/05 Com	oxided Date 🔔 205	

A BARRIET OF COMPONENT STATE OF COMPANY AND A COMPANY AND	vertee me and to trij wood into monge alle oentee
DrillenEugenees/Tramer Name Prant / Stopher Schueider //	Dritting Company Schneider Equipment, Inc
Doller agment Trance Sugarour & after all - later for said Co.	Address <u>21881 River Road N5</u>
Duller or trainee License No. 0143	Cay, Sole, Zip St. Paul, CR 97132
(IFTRAINEE,	Contractor's
Briller's Licensed No.	Registration No <u>ACHRIEL*2261.65</u> Love 2011 UN
Driller's Signs hav	Endory is an Equal Opportunity Employer SCY (56-1-2018+2.200)
And A for the extension of the Annual State and the Annual State and Annu Annual State and Annual State a	
• •	

City of Warden Well No. 7

by Schneider Drilling Co.

Start Card #W07249 Label #AAS175

<u>FM</u>	<u>T0</u>	DESCRIPTION
()]]	Topsoil, brown, sandy
11	17	Caliche, hard
17	18	Sand, brown, medium-fine, silty
18	65	Sand, brown, medium-fine, silty, cemented
65	66	Stone, brown, silty, hard.
66	81	Sandy, brown, medium-fine, silty
81	86	Basalı, black & brown, broken
86	122	Basali, black & brown, medium-hard
122	130	Basalt, gray w/brown, medium, fractured
130	137	Basalt, reddish-brown & gray, medium-soft, fractured
137	221	Basah, gray, hard, fractured
221	244	Basalt, gray & red, medium, fractured
244	346	Basalt, gray, hard, fractured, some vesicles
346	351	Basalt, black & brown, medium, fractured, vesicular
351	386	Basali, gray & brown, medium-soft, fractured, vesicular
386	398	Claystone, white, sandy, silty
398	445	Basalt, gray w/brown, medium, fractured, vesícular
445	466	Claystone & basalt, gray & green & brown, unstable
466	470	Basalt, gray & green. fractured
47()	477	Claystone, blue-green, medium-soft, basaft, black & brown, broken, vesícular
477	482	Basalt, gray, fractured
482	488	Basalt, gray, fractured, claystone, brown
488	494	Claystone, green, fractured, basalt, black
494	499	Basalt, gray, fractured, claystone, green
499	507	Basalt, gray & brown, fractured
507	509	Basalt, redish. vesicular
509	515	Basalt, brown & gray, fractured, vesicular
515	518	Claystone, green, wood
518	\$20	Claystone, green, dark brown
520	521	Basalt, brown & black, medium-soft, fractured, vesicular, claystone, green
521	525	Basalt, black, medium-hard, fractured
525	527	Basalt, black, hard, fractured
527	534	Basalt, black & brown, medium-hard, fractured
534	540	Basalt, black, hard, fractured
540	545	Basalt, gray & black, hard, fractured
545	546	Basalt, brown & black, hard, fractured
546	559	Basalt, gray, hard, fractured, vesicular
559	579	Basalt, gray, hard, fractured
579	583	Basalt, gray, hard, fractured, clay, light green, fractured
583	594	Basalt, grav, very hard, fractured, clay, light green, fractured

City of Warden Well No. 7

by Schneider Drilling Co.

Start Card #W07249 Label #AAS175

FM	TO	DESCRIPTION
594	604	Basait, black, fractured, vesicular, rock, transfucent, soft
604	609	Basalt, black, medium, fractored
609	610	Basalt, brown, soft, fractured, basalt, medium-hard, vesicular
610	613	Basalt, black, medium-hard, fractured, pyrite
613	616	Basali, black, bard, fractured
616	619	Basalt, black, hard, fractured, crystal, translucent
619	623	Basait, black, vesicular, medium-bard, basait, brown, fractured, broken, vesicular
623	628	Basalt, black, medium-hard, broken, fractured, pyrite
628	630	Basalt, black, medium-hard, broken, crystal, translucent
630	636	Basalt, black "fractured, hard
636	639	Basalt, black, medium-hard, fractured, basalt, brown, fractured, broken, vesicular
639	643	Basalt, brown, soft, broken, vesicular, basalt, black, fractured, broken
643	649	Basalt, black, fractured, vesicular, brown, broken
649	651	Basalt, black, fractured, bard
651	656	Basalt, black, very hard, fractured w/ some brown, fractured
656	659	Basalt, black, hurd, fractured, vesicular, pyrite
659	663	Basalt, black, fractured w/ brown fractured
663	669	Basalt, black, fractured, hard, claystone, green
669	686	Basalt, black, fractured, hard
686	690	Basalt, black, medium, very fractured, claystone, green
690	693	Basalt, black, modium-hard, fractured, claystone, green
693	701	Basalt, brown, medium-soft, fractured, black, fractured, medium, elaystone, green
201	705	Basalt, dark brown & black, broken, fractured, vesicular, medium-soft
205	712	Basalt, black, fractured, vesicular, medium, pyrite
712	728	Basalt, black-gray, fractured, hard
?28	738	Basall, black & brown, soft-med, broken, vestcular
738	746	Basalt, black & grey, med-hard, fractured
246	758	Basalt, grey, hard, fractured
758	762	Basalt, grey & black, hard, some fractures
762	766	Basalt, dark grey, hard, fractured
766	775	Basalt, grey & black, very hard, some fractures
275	788	Basalt, grey, very hard, some fractures
788	808	Basalt, brown & black, soft-medium, broken, vesicular w/some claystone, brown
808	822	Basalt, Oark grey, medium-bard, some tractures
822	827	Basait, black & red, medium, fractured, vesicular
827	829	Basail, red & brown & some black, soft, broken, vesicular
829	837	Basall, dark grey, hard, fractured
837	840	Basait, dark grey & some red, hard, fractured
840	857	Basatt, grey, hard, fractured

.

B

Soil Boring, GeoProbe[™], and Monitoring Well Logs

SOIL BORING LOGS FROM PHASE 2 PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION

Name: Project: Driller: Date: Latitude: Longitude:	SB-1 Warden City Water Supply We Cascade Drilling 11/10/2008 N 46°58.21' W 119°3.66') S
DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS
1	LOESS, fine, gray brown	
2	-	
3-	-	
4 .		
5	LOESS, fine, gray brown; mixed with some basalt cobble & wood pieces	
7		
0		
9	LOESS, fine, gray brown, dense, slightly moist, very	
.10	uniform	
11	,	
12		
13	-	
14		
15		
16		
17	LOESS, same as above but with caliche pleces	
18	·	
19		
20	CALICHE/LOESS mix, It/dk gray bands SAMPLE ►	
21	LOESS, wet, dense, gray	
22	prown, less caliche	
23		
24		
25		

Name:	SB-2
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/10/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.22'
Longitude:	W 119°3.64'



Na Pro Dri Da La	ame: oject: iller: ate: titude: ngitude:	SB-3 Warden City Water Supply We Cascade Drilling 11/10/2008 N 46°58.20' W 119°3.68'		Name: Project: Driller: Date: Latitude: Longitude:	SB-4 Warden City Water Supply W Cascade Drilling 11/10/2008 N 46°58.21' W 119°3.62'	'elis
. [[DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS	DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS
	DEPTH 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 20 21 22	GEOLOGIC LOG LOESS, fine, gray brown, dry LOESS, lighter gray brown, HCI reaction indicating mix with caliche CALICHE, light gray, uniform reaction w/HCI SAMPLE ► LOESS, fine, darker brown, slightly moist		DEPTH 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GEOLOGIC LOG LOESS, fine, gray brown, very uniform, slightly moist GALICHE, light SAMPLE ▶ gray, dry, dense	DETAILS
ŀ	23	-			-	
	24			24 -	-	
	25			25		

DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS
1	LOESS, brown w/fine ash gray layers and some 1/2"	
2	gravel	
3		
4		
5		
6 _		
7 _		
8	CALICHE, light gray, dense, uniform	
9		
10	SAMPLE 🕨	
. 11		
12		
13 _		
14		
15 _		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 _		
22 _		
23 _		
24 _		
25	<u> </u>	

Name:	SB-6
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/10/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.22'
Longitude:	W 119°3.62'



Name:	SB-7
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/10/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.22'
Longitude:	W 119°3.64'
-	

	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS
	FILL, fine w/wood debris,	
1	gravel	
2	LOESS, medium brown,	
3	·····	
4		
5		
6	_	
7		
8		
9	_	
10	_	
11	_	
12	LOESS/CALICHE mostly	
13	brown loess w/thin caliche	
14	frequency at depth	
15	with loess	
16	SAMPLE ►	
17	core unrecoverable; based	
18	section appears to be	
19	mostly caliche	
20	·	
21		
22	-	
23	_	
24		
25		

Name:	SB-8
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/10/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.21'
Longitude:	W 119°3.64'

DEPTH		GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS
1	_	FILL mixed with dry gray loess, 1" angular gravel	
2		inclusions	
3		LOESS, gray brown, dry grading to moist deeper	
4		in the section	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	_	LOESS, medium brown, fine, uniform, slight caliche	
14	_	mix based on lighter color	
15			
16	_		
17	_	CALICHE, light gray, dense	
18	_	SAMPLE ►	
19		LOESS, medium brown,	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	_		
25			

Name:	SB-9
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/10/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.20'
Longitude:	W 119°3.64'
*	



Name:	SB-10
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/11/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.20'
Longitude:	W 119°3.63'



Name:	SB-11	
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells	
Driller:	Cascade Drilling	
Date:	11/11/2008	
Latitude:	N 46°58.21'	
Longitude:	W 119°3.66'	



Name:	SB-12
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/11/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.20'
Longitude:	W 119°3.67'



SB-13
Warden City Water Supply Wells
Cascade Drilling
11/11/2008
N 46°58.24'
W 119°3.62'



Name:	SB-14
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/11/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.24'
Longitude:	W 119°3.64'



.

	077.47		Manta	ND 16	
Name:	SB-15 Wordon City Mator Supply Mala		Name: Project:	Warden City Water Supply V	Vells
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells	-	Driller	Cascade Drilling	V0110
Driller:			Date:	11/11/2008	·····
Latitudo:	N 46°58 24'	-	Latitude:	N 46°58.23'	
Landitude:	W 119°3 65'		Longitude:	W 119°3.68'	
Longitudo.	<u></u>	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG DETAIL	s	DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS
1	LOESS, It/med brown, fine,		1	LOESS, very dry, light to medium brown, some	
2 _	organic odor		2 _	angular gravel	
3 _			3		
4 -			4		
5 _					
8			8		
9	LOESS, verv drv. fine.		9		
10	light tan		10		
11		·	11		
12			12 _		
13			13	•	_
14	CALICHE, fine, dry, light		14	CALICHE, dry, light gray	
15	SAMPLE ►		15	SAMPLE	
16 _			16		
17			17		
18					
19 -		,	19		
20			20		
21 -			22		
23			23		
24			24		
25			25		
		· .			

Name:	SB-17
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/11/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.24'
Longitude:	W 119°3.67'

Name:	SB-18
Project:	Warden City Water Supply Wells
Driller:	Cascade Drilling
Date:	11/11/2008
Latitude:	N 46°58.24'
Longitude:	W 119°3.66'





Name: SB-19 Warden City Water Supply Weils Driller: Name: SB-20 Warden City Water Supply Weils Driller: Date: 11/1/12008 Image: SB-20 Warden City Water Supply Weils Driller: Cascade Drilling Date: Date: 11/1/12008 Image: SB-20 Warden City Water Supply Weils Driller: Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Date: 11/1/12008 Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Date: W19*3.64* Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Differ: Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Date: W19*3.64* Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Differ: W19*3.64* Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling 1 LOESS, It tan, very dry, dense Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling 1 LOESS, it tan, very dry, dense Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling 1 LOESS, it tan, very dry, dense Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling 1 LOESS, dt bm/blk with storng anaerobic cdor, dense Image: SB-20 Cascade Drilling Image: SB-20 Cascade Driling <						
Name: SB-19 Project: Warden City Water Supply Wells Driller: Cascade Drilling Date: 11/1/12008 Lattitude: W119*3.64* DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG DETAILS 1 LOESS, it tan, very dry, dense Lattitude: W119*3.62* 2						
Project: Warden City Water Supply Wells Differ: Gascade Drilling Date: 11/11/2008 Latitude: N 46*58.24* Longitude: W119*3.64* DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG 1 LOESS, It tan, very dry, dense 2	Name:	SB-19		Name:	SB-20	
Drifer: Cascade Drifling Date: 1/1/1/2008 Latitude: N 46*56.24 Longitude: W 119*3.64* DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG DETAILS 1 LOESS, ittan, very dry, dense DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG DETAILS 1 LOESS, ittan, very dry, dense Uniform, strong anaerobic odor, dense, fine, uniform 1 LOESS, dk bm/bik, dense, uniform 3	Project:	Warden City Water Supply W	/ells	Project:	Warden City Water Supply V	Vells
Date: 11/11/12008 Latitude: N 46°56.24' Longitude: W 119°3.64' DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG DETAILS 1 LOESS, it tan, very dry, dense 1 2	Driller:	Cascade Drilling		Driller:	Cascade Drilling	
Latitude: N 46*58.24' Longitude: W 119*3.64' DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG DETAILS 1 LOESS, ittan, very dry, dense DEPTH 3	Date:	11/11/2008		Date:	11/11/2008	
Longitude: W 119°3.62' DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG DETAILS 1 LOESS, it tan, very dry, dense, uniform, strong anaerobic odor 1 3 - - 4 - - 5 - - 6 wood debris & sm gravel - 7 LOESS, dk brn/blk, burned - 8 dense, fine, uniform - 9 - - 10 - - 11 - - 12 - - 13 - - 14 - - 15 - - 16 - - 17 - - 18 - - 19 - - 20 - - 21 - - 22 - - 23 - - 24 - - 25 - -	Latitude:	N 46°58.24'		Latitude:	N 46°58.25'	
DEPTH GEOLOGIC LOG DETALS 1 LOESS, it tan, very dry, dense 1 LOESS, dk bm/bk, dense, uniform, strong anaerobic odor 3	Longitude:	W 119°3.64'		Longitude:	W 119°3.62'	
1 LOESS, it tan, very dry, dense, uniform, strong anaerobic odor 2	DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS	DEPTH	GEOLOGIC LOG	D
2	1	LOESS, It tan, very dry,		1	LOESS, dk brn/blk, dense,	
3	2	dense		2 _	odor	
4	3_			3 -		
5 FILL, dark brn/blk, burned wood debris & sm gravel 5 - 6	4 _	-		4 -		
0 wood debits at stringtaves 7 LOESS, dk brin/blk with strong anaerobic odor, dense, fine, uniform 9	5 -	FILL, dark brn/blk, burned		5 - 6	-	
atom anaerobic odor, dense, fine, uniform 8		I OESS dk brn/blk with	-00000000000000000000000000000000000000	7	-	
9	8	strong anaerobic odor, dense, fine, uniform		8		
10	9			9 _	_	
11	10	-		10 _		
12	11 _	-		11 _	CALICHE, light SAMPLE	►
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12			12 _	gray, fine	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13 _	-				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14 -			14 -		
10 17 17 18 19 CALICHE, light SAMPLE > 19 CALICHE, light SAMPLE > 20 19 19 20 20 20 21 22 21 22 23 22 23 24 25	16			10 -		
18 18 19 CALICHE, light SAMPLE ▶ 20 18 20 20 21 20 22 21 23 23 24 23 25 25	17			17		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	- 		18		
20 gray, fine 20 21 21 22 21 21 22 23 23 23 24 25 25	19	CALICHE, light SAMPLE >	•	19 _		
21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25	20	gray, fine		20 _	-	
22	21 _			21 _		
23	22	-		22 _		
24 24 25 25	23 _			23 _	-	
	24	• • ·		24		
-	25			<u> </u>		

DETAILS

Name [,]	SB-21			Name:	
Project:	Warden City Water Supply We	ells		Project:	
Driller:	Cascade Drilling	• ····································		Driller:	
Date:	11/11/2008			Date:	
Latitude:	N 46°58.22'		l	Latitude	:
Longitude:	W 119°3.60'			Longitud	ie:
	GEOLOGIC LOG	DETAILS	ſ	DEPT	H
DEPTH					
1 _	LOESS, medium brown,			1	-
2	-			2	
3 _				3	
4 _				4	•
5 _	-			5	
6	~			6	
7 _				7	<u></u>
8				8	
9	GALICHE, light SAMPLE ► gray, fine			9	
10				10	
- 11				11	
- 12				12	
13				13	
				14	
				15	
- 16	-			16	
17	- ·			17	
19	-			18	
10 -	-			19	-
18 _				20	
20 _				20	
21				21	
22				22	
23 _	-			23	
24				24	
25				25	

Name:SB-22Project:Warden City Water Supply WellsDriller:Cascade DrillingDate:11/11/2008Latitude:N 46°58.21'Longitude:W 119°3.67'

.



	SITE		BORING NUMBER	
HDR. Inc.	Simplot Gro	wer Solutions	B1	SHEET 1 of 1
	GEOP	ROBE BOR	ING LOG	
PROJECT : Simplot Growe	Solutions Warder	, WA LOCATIOI	N : Grant County WA	, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E
G.S. ELEVATION : Approxi	nately 1241 feet ar	nsl DRILLING	CONTRACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.
DRILLING METHOD USED	: Geoprobe Model	5400 Truck Mounted		BOREHOLE DEPTH: 5.5
WATER LEVEL: NA		START: 2/28/2012	END: 2/28/2012	LOGGER : D. Reynolds
DEPTH BELOW SURFACE (F) STANDARD	CORE DESC	CRIPTION	COMMENTS
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	PENETRATION			
RECOVERY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	BOL, COLOR,	
TYP	E RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, (CONSISTENCY	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS
	6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	COMMENTS
_ 0-1.0 100% C	S NA	0.0 to 0.5 FILL		Sample B1-S-1.0 @ 1020 _
-		0.5 10 4.5 SAIND/SILT	lesses all sector	—
-		brown to it. gray, v. fine	e, loose, sl. moist	-
- 5 4 5-5 5 100%				
		tan, hard, drv, some roc	ks	
_				_
_		Refusal 5.	5	All cuttings contained in drum
10				_
_				_
_				_
_				_
_				_
15				
-				-
-				_
-				_
20				-
20				
_				_
_				_
25				
_				_
_				_
_				_
_				_
30				
-				_
-				_
-				-
35				-
				_
40				

	SITE		BORING NUMBER	
HDR. Inc.	Simplot Grov	wer Solutions	B2	SHEET 1 of 1
	GEOPROBE BORING LOG			
PROJECT : Simplot Grower S	olutions Warden	, WA LOCATIO	N : Grant County WA	, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E
G.S. ELEVATION : Approxima	tely 1241 feet an	nsl DRILLING	CONTRACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.
DRILLING METHOD USED : O	Geoprobe Model	5400 Truck Mounted		BOREHOLE DEPTH : 7.0
WATER LEVEL: NA		START: 2/28/2012	END: 2/28/2012	LOGGER : D. Reynolds
DEPTH BELOW SURFACE (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESC	CRIPTION	COMMENTS
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	PENETRATION			
RECOVERY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	BOL, COLOR,	
TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, (
	6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	
_ 0-1.0 100% CC	NA	0.0 to 0.5 FILL		Sample B2-S-1.0 @ 0945 _
-		1.0 10 4.0 SILTY SAND		—
- 4.0-5.0 100%		brown, fine, loose, sl. r	noist	
_ 4.0-3.0 100 <i>%</i>		4.0 10 7.0 CALICHE	me sand and rocks	Sample B2-3-3.0 @ 0930 _
[●] <u>6</u> 0-7 0 100%		wet 5.0 to 6.0 v hard 6	0 to 7 0	
_				_
		Refusal 7.	0	All cuttings contained in drum
10			_	
_				_
_				_
_				_
_				_
15				
-				_
_				-
-				-
-				_
20				
_				-
_				_
-				_
25				
_				_
_				_
_				_
30				
_				_
-				_
_				_
-	1			-
³⁰	1			
				-
				-
				-
40	1			-

		SITE		BORING NUMBER	
HDR. I	nc.	Simplot Grov	wer Solutions	B3	SHEET 1 of 1
		GEOP	ROBE BOR	ING LOG	
PROJECT : Simp	lot Grower S	- Solutions Warden	, WA LOCATION	N : Grant County WA	, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E
G.S. ELEVATION	: Approxima	tely 1241 feet an	nsl DRILLING	CONTRACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.
DRILLING METHO	DD USED :	Geoprobe Model	5400 Truck Mounted		BOREHOLE DEPTH: 8.5
WATER LEVEL: N	IA		START: 2/28/2012	END: 2/28/2012	LOGGER : D. Reynolds
DEPTH BELOW SU	RFACE (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESC	RIPTION	COMMENTS
SAMPLE DE	EPTH (FT)	PENETRATION			
REG	COVERY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	BOL, COLOR,	
	TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, O		
	000/	6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	
_ 0-1.0 10	J0% CC	NA	<u>U to 4.0 SILTY SAND</u>		Sample B3-S-1.0 @ 0830 _
-			brown to dk. brown, find	e, loose, sl. moist	-
-					_
5 4 0-5 0 10	00%		tan hard dry some roc	ks	
			(a.,,, a, a, j, como rec		
_					_
_ 8.0-8.5 10	00%				Sample B3-S-8.5 @ 0850 _
10					
_					_
_			Refusal 8.	5	All cuttings contained in drum $_$
_					_
-					_
15					
-					-
-					—
-					_
20					_
20					
_					
_					_
_					_
25					
-					_
_					_
-					-
-					-
30					
-					-
-					_
-					_
35					-
					_
40					

		SITE		BORING NUMBER	
HDR. Inc.		Simplot Grov	wer Solutions	B4	SHEET 1 of 1
	•	GEOP	ROBE BOR	ING LOG	
PROJECT : Simplot	Grower S	olutions Warden	, WA LOCATIO	N : Grant County WA	, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E
G.S. ELEVATION : A	pproximat	ely 1241 feet an	nsl DRILLING	CONTRACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.
DRILLING METHOD	DRILLING METHOD USED : Geoprobe Model 5400 Truck Mounted				BOREHOLE DEPTH : 8.8
WATER LEVEL: NA			START: 2/28/2012	END: 2/28/2012	LOGGER : D. Reynolds
DEPTH BELOW SURF	ACE (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESC	CRIPTION	COMMENTS
SAMPLE DEP	ΓΗ (FT)	PENETRATION			
RECO	VERY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	BOL, COLOR,	
	TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, O	CONSISTENCY	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS
		6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	COMMENTS
_ 0-1.0 1009	% CC	NA	0.0 to 0.5 FILL		Sample B4-S-1.0 @ 0910 _
_			0.5 to 6.0 SAND/SILT		-
_			brown, fine, loose, sl. N	loist	-
-					-
5					
_ 6.0-7.0 1009	6				Sample B4-S-7.0 @ 0920 _
_			6.0 to 8.8 CALICHE		-
-			It. brown to It. gray, som	ie sand and rocks,	-
_ 8.0-8.8 1009	6		tan and V. hard 8.0 to 8.	.8	Sample B4-S-8.8 @ 0930 _
10					
-				-	-
-			Refusal 8.	<u>8</u>	All cuttings contained in drum _
-					-
-					-
15					—
-					-
-					-
-					-
20					_
20					
_					_
_					_
_					_
25					_
					_
					_
_					_
30					
_					_
_					_
_					_
_					_
35					
					_
					_
					_
					_
40					

			SITE		BORING NUMBER	
l HDR	. Inc.	-	Simplot Grov	plot Grower Solutions		SHEET 1 of 1
GEOPROBE BORING L					ING LOG	
PROJECT : Si	mplot Gr	ower So	olutions Warden	, WA LOCATIO	N : Grant County WA	., SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E
G.S. ELEVATIO	ON : App	roximat	ely 1241 feet an	nsl DRILLING	CONTRACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.
DRILLING MET	THOD US	SED : G	eoprobe Model	5400 Truck Mounted		BOREHOLE DEPTH : 9.5
WATER LEVEL	_: NA			START: 2/28/2012	END: 2/28/2012	LOGGER : D. Reynolds
DEPTH BELOW	SURFACE	E (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESC	RIPTION	COMMENTS
SAMPLE	DEPTH	(FT)	PENETRATION			
	RECOVE	RY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	BOL, COLOR,	
		TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, O	CONSISTENCY	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS
0.1.0	4000/	00	6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	COMMENTS
_ 0-1.0	100%	CC	NA	<u>0.0 to 0.5 FILL</u>		Sample B5-S-1.0 @ 1050
-				U.S IU 7.5 SAIND/SILT		Duplicate Sample B5-S-2.0 @ 1055
-				brown, fine, loose, sl. n	noist, some gravei	
5						
°						
_				7.5 to 9.5 CALICHE		
- 7.5-8.5	100%			tan. hard. drv. some roc	ks	Sample B5-S-8.5 @ 1100
8.5-9.5	100%			,, . , . ,		Sample B5-S-9.5 @ 1110
10						
_						
_				Refusal 9.	5	All cuttings contained in drum
_						-
_						
15						
_						
_						
_						
-						
20						
-						
-						
-						
25						
-						
_						
_						
30						
_						
_						
35						
40						

				SITE		BORING NUMBER			
HDR, Inc.			•	Simplot Grower Solutions		B6	SHEET 1 of 1		
				GEOPROBE BORING LOG					
PROJE	PROJECT : Simplot Grower Solutions Warden, WA LOCATION : Grant County WA, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30								
G.S. E	LEVATI	ON : App	oroximat	ely 1241 feet an	nsl DRILLING	CONTRACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.		
DRILL	NG ME	THOD U	SED : G	eoprobe Model	5400 Truck Mounted		BOREHOLE DEPTH : 18.0		
WATE	R LEVE	L: NA			START: 2/28/2012	END: 2/28/2012	LOGGER : D. Reynolds		
DEPTH	SAMDI	SURFAC			CORE DESC	RIPTION	COMMENTS		
	SAIVIFL	RECOVE	(FT) RY	TEST	SOIL NAME. USCS SYM	BOL. COLOR.			
			TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, O	CONSISTENCY	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS		
				6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	COMMENTS		
_	0-1.0	100%	CC	NA	0.0 to 0.5 FILL		Sample B6-S-1.0 @ 1130		
_					0.5 to 10.0 SAND/SILT				
-					brown, fine, loose, sl. m	noist, some gravel			
5 -									
5_									
_									
_									
10					10.0 to 18.0 CALICHE/I	NTERBEDS			
-	11 0-	100%			caliche is tan, hard, inte	rbedded with dk.	Sample B6-S-12.0 @ 11/0		
-	12.0'	10070			caliche at 11.0 to 12.0.	13.0 to 14.0. and	Triplicate Sample for MS/MSD		
					17.0 to 18.0		····		
15									
_									
_	47.0	4000/							
-	17.0-	100%					Sample B6-S-18.0 @ 1150		
20 -	10.0								
							All cuttings contained in drum		
_							J. J		
-					Refusal 18	<u>8.0</u>			
-									
25									
-									
_									
30									
-									
-									
-									
35 _									
_									
_									
-									
-									
40									

				SITE		BORING NUMBER		
HDR, Inc.				Simplot Grower Solutions		B7	SHEET 1 of 1	
				GEOPROBE BORING LOG				
PROJE	CT:S	implot Gr	ower So	olutions Warden	, WA LOCATION	N : Grant County WA	, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E	
G.S. E	EVATI	ON : App	oroximat	ely 1241 feet amsl DRILLING CONTRACTOR : Environmental West Exploration Inc.				
	NG ME	THOD U	SED : G	eoprobe Model	5400 Truck Mounted		BOREHOLE DEPTH : 16.5	
WATE		L: NA			START: 2/28/2012	END: 2/28/2012	LOGGER : D. Reynolds	
DEPTH	SAMD				CORE DESC	RIPTION	COMMENTS	
	SAIVIPL	RECOVE	(FI) RY	TEST	SOIL NAME LISCS SYM			
		NE00VE	TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT. (CONSISTENCY	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS	
				6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	COMMENTS	
_	0-1.0	100%	CC	NA	0.0 to 0.5 FILL		Sample B7-S-1.0 @ 1215	
_					0.5 to 13.0 SAND/SILT			
-					brown, fine, loose, sl. m	noist		
5								
-								
-								
_								
10								
-								
-	13.0- 14.0'	100%			<u>13.0 to 16.5 CALICHE/I</u> caliche is tan, hard, inte	NTERBEDS rbedded with dk.	Sample B7-S-14.0 @ 1220	
15			brown, silt and sand, some gravel,		me gravel,			
-	15.5- 16.5'	100%			caliche at 13.0 to 14.0 a	nd 15.5 to 16.5	Sample B7-S-16.5 @ 1225	
 20					<u>Refusal 16</u>	<u>5</u>	All cuttings contained in drum	
_								
-								
-								
25								
20								
_								
_								
30								
-								
-								
35								
-								
-								
-								
+∪			I					



* Sample Submitted for Laboratory Analysis

PROJECT NAME: City of Warden -- EDB Investigation WELL INDENTIFICATION NUMBER: MW-1 DRILLING METHOD: Air Rotary DRILLER: Ron Sink FIRM: Environmental West CONSULTING FIRM: Pacific Groundwater Group, Inc. REPRESENTATIVE: Erin Cunningham-Rudders LOCATION: SEX SWX Sec. 9, T17N, R30E UNIQUE WELL ID: APK353 DATUM: NAVD 88 WELLHEAD ELEVATION: 1245.82 INSTALLED: 8-14-06 DEVELOPED: 8-17-06



PgG





PROJECT NAME: City of Warden — EDB Investigation WELL INDENTIFICATION NUMBER: MW-3 DRILLING METHOD: Air Rotary DRILLER: Ron Sink FIRM: Environmental West CONSULTING FIRM: Pacific Groundwater Group, Inc. REPRESENTATIVE: Erin Cunningham-Rudders LOCATION: SWA SWA Soc. 9, T17N, R30E UNIQUE WELL ID: APK355 DATUM: NAVD 88 WELLHEAD ELEVATION: 1241.04 INSTALLED: 8-15-06 DEVELOPED: 8-17-06

PgG





.

HDR, Inc.				SITE BORING NUMBER		SHEET 1 of 1			
				simplet elet					
PROJE	ECT : S	implot Gr	ower So	olutions Warden	WA LOCATION : Gran	t County WA	, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E		
G.S. E	LEVATI	ON : App	roximate	ely 1241 feet an	DRILLING CONTR	RACTOR : EI	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.		
		THOD US	SED : Fo	oremost Mobile	B90 H.S.A.	10/5/11	BOREHOLE DEPTH : 37.0 ft		
WATE		L: 24.29		12/8/2011)	START: 12/5/11 END: 7	12/5/11			
DEPTH	BELOW				CORE DESCRIPTION	N	COMMENTS		
		RECOVE	RY	TEST	SOIL NAME LISCS SYMBOL CO				
		1120012	TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, CONSIST	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS			
				6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STRUCTURE	=	COMMENTS		
_					0.0 to 1.0 FILL		WA Well Tag No. BCE 296		
	1	100%	SS	9-13-3	1.0 to 10.0 SAND/SILT		Sample MW-5S-1 @ 0910 _		
					brown. v. fine, loose, sl. moist				
_							All cuttings contained in drums		
5							_		
_							_		
_							_		
_							-		
-							-		
10	10	100%	SS	13-50/4"	10.0 to 30.0 CALICHE/INTERB	EDS	Sample MW-5S-10 @ 0928		
-					tan, hard, interbedded dk. brow	n silt and	-		
					sand, wet at 20.5', more sand		-		
-							-		
15 -							-		
15							—		
-							-		
-							-		
-							-		
20 -	20	100%	SS	9-23-42					
_							_		
_							_		
25									
_							_		
_							_		
_							-		
-									
30	30	100%	SS	21-48-50/2"	30.0 to 37.0 SAND/SILT		Sample MW-5S-30 @ 0955		
-					brown, fine, wet		-		
							-		
							-		
35							-		
							_		
-	37	100%	SS	42-5-/5"					
-									
-					TD HSA 37.0'		-		
40							_		



				SITE Simplet Operation Dath the					
HDR, Inc.				Simplot Grov	wer Solutions	MW-6S	SHEET 1 of 1		
	SOIL BORING LOG								
PROJECT : Simplot Grower Solutions Warden, WA LOCATION : Grant County WA, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R									
G.S. E	LEVATI	ON : App	roximate	ely 1243 feet an	nsl DRILLING	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.			
DRILLI	NG ME	THOD US	SED : Fo	oremost Mobile B90 HSA/AR			BOREHOLE DEPTH: 37.5 ft		
WATE	R LEVE	L: 24.57'	TPVC (12/8/2011)	START: 12/5/2011	END: 12/6/2011	LOGGER : D. Reynolds		
DEPTH	BELOW	SURFAC	E (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESC	CRIPTION	COMMENTS		
	SAMPL	E DEPTH	(FT)	PENETRATION					
	RECOVERY				MOISTURE CONTENT, CONSISTENCY				
			ITPE	RESULTS	OP DENSITY SOIL STR				
				0-0-0		OCTORE	WA Well Tag No. BCE 297		
-	1	100%	SS	36-50/5"	1.0 to 2.5 SILTY SAND		Sample MW-6S-1 @ 1300		
-	•	10070	00	00 00/0	grav fine loose dry to	sl moist			
_					2 5 to 4 0 SAND & GRA	VFI	All cuttings contained in drums		
5					brown, some silt, loose.	sl. moist			
					4.0 to 10.0 SILTY SANE	<u>)</u>			
_					brown, fine, dry to sl. m	oist, loose	_		
_							_		
_							_		
10	10	25%	SS	48-50/2"	10.0 to 26.0 CALICHE/I	NTERBEDS	Sample MW-6S-10 @ 1320		
-					tan, v. hard, poor recove	ery, interbedded dk.			
-					brown, silt and sand, we	et at 22'	-		
-							-		
							-		
15							—		
-							-		
-							-		
-							-		
20 _	20	100%	SS	50/1"					
_							_		
_							_		
_							_		
_							_		
25									
-					26.0 to 37.5 WEATHER	ED BASALT	-		
-					dry, dk. brown to black		-		
-							-		
30							-		
~~							_		
_							-		
35									
- 1							_		
-							_		
-							-		
-					TD AR 37.	5'	-		
40									


				SITE BORI						
				Simplot Grower Solutions MW-75			SHELLIULI			
PROJECT : Simplot Grower Solutions Warden, WA LOCATION : Grant County WA, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R34										
G.S. E	LEVATI	ON : App	roximate	ely 1246 feet an	nsl DRILLING C	CONTRACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.			
	NG ME	THOD US	SED : Fo	oremost Mobile	B90 H.S.A.		BOREHOLE DEPTH : 37.4 ft			
WATE		L: 27.41'		12/8/2011)	START: 12/6/2011 E	ND: 12///2011				
DEPTH	BELOW				CORE DESCR	RIPTION	COMMENTS			
	SAIVIFL	RECOVE	(FI) RY	TEST	SOIL NAME LISCS SYMB					
TYPE			TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, CONSISTENCY		TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS			
				6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STRU	CTURE	COMMENTS			
	1	100%	SS	14-21-24	0.0 to 8.0 SAND/SILT		WA Well Tag No. BCE 299			
_					brown, dry, loose		Sample MW-7S-1 @ 1105			
_							_			
_							All cuttings contained in drums			
5							_			
							-			
							-			
	8	100%	SS	50/5"	8.0 to 23.0 CALICHE/INT	ERBEDS	Sample MW-7S-8 @ 1120 _			
10 -	10	100%	66	50/4"	sand.	. Drown, siit and	- Sampla MW/ 75 10 @ 1130			
10	10	100 /0	00	50/4						
-							-			
-							-			
							_			
15										
_							_			
_							-			
-							-			
	20	1000/	00	44 50/5"			-			
20	20	100%	33	44-50/5			Sample MW-7S-20 @ 1155			
-							-			
-					23.0 to 37.4 SAND/SILT		-			
					brown, fine, wet at 25.0',					
25					flowing sand, difficult to ir	nstall well	_			
_							_			
-							-			
-							-			
	20	1000/	~~~	24 50/5"			-			
30	30	100%	55	21-50/5			Sample MW-7S-30 @ 1210			
-							-			
							-			
							_			
35										
_							_			
-	37	100%	SS	11-49-50/3"			Sample MW-7S-37 @ 1230 _			
-					TR		-			
-					TD HSA 37.	4'	-			
40				I						



	SITE		BORING NUMBER					
HDR, Inc.	Simplot Grov	wer Solutions	MW-7	SHEET 1 of 2				
	SOIL BORING LOG							
PROJECT : Simplot Grower Solutions Warden, WA LOCATION : Grant County WA, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E								
G.S. ELEVATION : Approximately 1246 feet amsl DRILLING CONTRACTOR : Environmental West Exploration Ir								
DRILLING METHOD USED : F	oremost Mobile	B90 A.R.		BOREHOLE DEPTH: 52.4 ft				
WATER LEVEL: 27.61' TPVC	12/8/2011)	START: 12/7/2011	END: 12/7/2011	LOGGER : D. Reynolds				
DEPTH BELOW SURFACE (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESC	CRIPTION	COMMENTS				
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	PENETRATION							
RECOVERY		SUIL NAME, USUS SYM	BOL, COLOR,					
111	6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY SOIL STR	UCTURE	COMMENTS				
	000	0 0 to 8 0 SAND/SILT	OUTORE	WA Well Tag No. BCF 298				
		brown, dry, loose						
_				All cuttings contained in drums				
5				_				
_				_				
-				-				
-		8.0 to 23.0 CALICHE/IN	ITERBEDS	-				
-		tan, hard, interbedded o	IK. brown, silt and	-				
¹⁰ —				—				
-			1. Sec. 1. Sec	-				
-				-				
_				_				
_ 15								
				_				
_				_				
_				-				
-				-				
²⁰ —								
-				-				
-		23.0 to 42.0 SAND/SIL1	r	-				
		brown, fine, wet at 25.0	,					
25								
_				_				
_				-				
				-				
20 -				-				
³⁰ —				—				
				-				
				-				
				_				
35								
				_				
				_				
				-				
40 -				-				
³⁵ 40								

	SITE		BORING NUMBER						
HDR, Inc.	Simplot Grov	wer Solutions	MW-7	SHEET 2 of 2					
SOIL BORING LOG									
PROJECT : Simplot Grower Solutions Warden, WA LOCATION : Grant County WA, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E 3.S. ELEVATION : Approximately 1246 feet amsl DRILLING CONTRACTOR : Environmental West Exploration Inc. DRILLING METHOD LISED : Environmental West Mobile B90 A P ROPEHOLE DEPTH : 52.4 ft									
WATER LEVEL: 27.61' TPVC (12/8/2011) START: 12/7/2011 END: 12/7/2011 I OGGER D Revnolds									
DEPTH BELOW SURFACE (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DES		COMMENTS					
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	PENETRATION								
RECOVERY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	1BOL, COLOR,						
TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT,	CONSISTENCY	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS					
	6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	RUCTURE	COMMENTS					
_		42.0 to 47.0 WEATHER	RED BASALT						
		dry, dk. brown to black							
45									
-		47.0 to 52.4 BASALT							
-		hard competent dry d	k brown to black						
		naid, competent, dry, d	R. DIOWIT TO DIACK						
50									
_									
_		TD AR 52							
55									
60									
_									
_									
-									
-									
70									
75 -									
^{'°} —									
80									



HDR, Inc.				SITE Simplet Grou	BORING				
						SHELLI IOLI			
PROJE	ECT: S	implot Gr	ower So	County WA	, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E				
G.S. E	LEVATI	ON : 124	4.52 fee	t amsl	DRILLING CONTRA	ACTOR : E	nvironmental West Exploration Inc.		
	NG ME		SED : SI	hram T300 H.S.		4040	BOREHOLE DEPTH : 36.5 ft		
WATE		L: 28.55		1/16/2013)	START: 1/16/13 END: 1/	/16/13	LOGGER : D. Reynolds		
DEPTH	BELOW				CORE DESCRIPTION		COMMENTS		
SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)			(FT) RY	TEST	SOIL NAME LISCS SYMBOL COL	OR			
TYPE			TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, CONSIST	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS			
				6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STRUCTURE		COMMENTS		
					0.0 to 1.0 FILL		WA Well Tag No. BHP-139 _		
_	1				1.0 to 12.0 SAND/SILT		_		
- I					brown. v. fine, loose, sl. moist,		_		
_					some dk. brown gravel at 5',		All cuttings contained in drums		
5					some pea gravel to 12'		_		
-							-		
-							-		
-							-		
-	40	4000/	00	070					
10	10	100%	55	3-7-6	12.0 to 25.0 CALICHE/INTERBE	<u>:DS</u>	Sample MVV-8S-10 @ 1000		
-					cand, hard, interbeded dk. brown s	siit and	-		
-					sand, some pea graver		-		
-							-		
15							_		
_							_		
-							_		
_							_		
20	20	100%	SS	9-21-22			Sample MW-8S-20 @ 1030		
-							-		
							-		
-							-		
- 25							-		
25							—		
-							-		
_							_		
							_		
30	30	100%	SS	4-8-11	25.0 to 36.5 SAND/SILT		Sample MW-8S-30 @ 1100		
_					brown, fine, wet at 27',		_		
_					some pea gravel		_		
_							_		
I –							_		
35							—		
-	ac -						-		
	36.5						-		
-							-		
					ID HOA 30.0		-		



	пр) Inc		SITE Simplot Grov	ver Solutions	BORING NUMBER	SHEET 1 of 1		
PROJECT : Simplot Grower Solutions Warden, WA LOCATION : Grant County WA, NE1/4, NE1/4 Sec. 16 T17N, R:									
G.S. E		ON : App	oroximat	tely 1244.39 fee thram T300 H S	t amsi DRILLING	CONTRACTOR: E	NVIRONMENTAL West Exploration Inc.		
WATE		11000	/8/2013)	am 1300 H.S.A. START: 7/8/13 END: 7/8/13		LOGGER D Revolds		
DEPTH	BELOW	SURFAC	E (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESCRIPTION		COMMENTS		
	SAMPL	E DEPTH	(FT)	PENETRATION					
		RECOVE	RY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	BOL, COLOR,			
			TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT,	CONSISTENCY	TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS		
	0	1000/	00	6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE			
-	0	100%	SS	7-8-10	0.0 to 0.5 FILL 0.5 to 10.7 SAND/SILT		WA Well Tag No. BHP-507 _		
-					U.S IO IU.7 SAIND/SILT	ni aama naa arayal	Sample MW-95-0.0 @ 1200 _		
-					brown. v. fine, loose, d	ry, some pea gravei	(0.0 to 1.5)		
5									
_							_		
_							_		
-							-		
10	10	100%	SS	6-15-37	10.7 to 16.0 CALICHE/	NTERBEDS	Sample MW-9S-10 @ 1215		
-					tan, hard, interbeded di	k. brown silt and	Sample MW-9S-10 MS @ 1215 _		
-					sand, some pea gravei		Sample MW-95-10 MSD @ 121; _		
-							(10.0 10 11.3)		
- 15							-		
					16.0 to 17.5 Weathered	Basalt			
_							_		
_	17.5	0%	SS	70 for 0"	Refusal at 17.5'		_		
_							-		
20							—		
-					TD HSA 1	7.5'	-		
-							-		
-							-		
25							-		
							—		
_							_		
_							_		
30							_		
-							-		
-							-		
-							-		
35							-		
~~							—		
_							_		
_							_		
40									



				SITE Simplot Grower Solutions		BORING NUMBER	SHEET 1 of 1			
				SOIL BORING LOG						
PROJE G.S. E DRILL	PROJECT : Simplot Grower Solutions Warden, WA LOCATION : Grant County WA, SE1/4, SW1/4 Sec. 9 T17N, R30E G.S. ELEVATION : Approximately 1245.68 feet amsl DRILLING CONTRACTOR : Environmental West Exploration Inc. DRILLING METHOD USED : Shram T300 H.S.A. BOREHOLE DEPTH : 35.5 ft									
WATE	RLEVE	L: 19.84'	TPVC	(7/8/2013)	START: 7/8/13	END: 7/8/13	LOGGER : D. Revnolds			
DEPTH	BELOW	SURFAC	E (FT)	STANDARD	CORE DESC	CRIPTION	COMMENTS			
	SAMPL	E DEPTH	(FT)	PENETRATION						
		RECOVE	RY	TEST	SOIL NAME, USCS SYM	BOL, COLOR,				
TYPE			TYPE	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, CONSISTENCY		TIME AND MISCELLANEOUS			
				6"-6"-6"	OR DENSITY, SOIL STR	UCTURE	COMMENTS			
 5	1	100%	SS	16-13-12	<u>0.0 to 0.3 ASPHALT</u> <u>0.3 to 11.0 SAND/SILT</u> brown. v. fine, loose, d	ry,	WA Well Tag No. BHP-508 _ Sample MW-10S-1.0 @ 0900 _ (1.0 to 2.5) _ All cuttings contained in drums _ Flush mount monument			
	10	100%	SS	8-19-46	<u>11.0 to 30.0 CALICHE/</u> tan, hard, interbeded dl sand. Water at 20'	INTERBEDS <. brown silt and	- - Sample MW-10S-10.0 @ 0930 (10.0 to 11.5) - - -			
20 225	20	100%	SS	16-24-40			 Sample MW-10S-20.0 @ 0945 (20.0 to 21.5) 			
	30 35.0	100%	SS SS	4-6-9 20-50 For 4"	<u>30.0 to 35.5 SAND/SIL</u> brown, fine, some calic	<u>I</u> he, wet				
- - 40					TD HSA 3	5.5'	-			







January 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 4/17/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd





January 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 4/17/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd





April 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

400 200 Feet





April 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)





400

Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd



FS

July 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Note: No EDB levels were detected for these wells for this period

0 200 400 Feet





FX

July 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

0 200 Feet



400



FS

October 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDDE)

Note: No EDB levels were detected for these wells for this period

0 200 400





October 2012 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 4/17/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd





FX

January 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Note: No EDB levels were detected for these wells for this period

0 200 400 Feet





January 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells and EDB Levels Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 4/17/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2012_nograph.mxd





July 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 5/23/2014 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd





July 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 11/22/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd







October 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 5/23/2014 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd







October 2013 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

200

Feet

400

Imagery: Bing Aerial Imagery (DigitalGlobe) Source: (c) 2012 Microsoft Corporation and its data suppliers Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 11/22/2013 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2013.mxd



December 2017 Groundwater Contour Map, Deep Wells Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: 2016 ESRI World Imagery Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 1/9/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2017.mxd





December 2017 Groundwater Contour Map, Shallow Wells Simplot Grower Solutions, City of Warden, WA

Imagery: 2016 ESRI World Imagery Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community Other Data Sources: US Census Bureau; Washington Department of Transporation; Washington Department of Revenue; Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE)

Map Date: 1/9/2018 Document: Q:\Simplot\CityofWarden\map_docs\Site_2017.mxd 400

200



D

Groundwater Sampling Field Forms and Laboratory Reports (CD only)



2005 Health Consultation for City Well #5



SEP 2 3 2005

STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Office of Environmental Health Assessments NewMarket Industrial Campus Building 2 • P.O. Box 47846 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7846 TDD Relay Service (800) 833-6388

September 19, 2005

Dear Recipient/Interested Party:

The Washington State Department of Health (DOH) has completed a public health evaluation of the City of Warden, EDB Drinking Water Well Contamination located at Warden, Washington. DOH conducted the evaluation to determine if people were being exposed to environmental contaminants, and whether that exposure could cause harmful health effects. The enclosed health consultation was prepared to summarize the findings of DOH's evaluation. The consultation includes several components:

- (1) A background including a brief history of the site and sampling data, pages 6-9.
- (2) A discussion of exposure and possible health effects, pages 9-12.
- (3) Conclusions about the site's impact on public health, page 12.
- (4) Recommendations to improve public health, page 13.

A reader evaluation form is also enclosed. Please take the time to complete and return it within two weeks (postage is paid). DOH relies on the input from affected communities and involved agencies to effectively address health concerns. Your knowledge about the site and surrounding community helps to improve the quality of our work and how we communicate with you.

Feel free to share this document with others who may also be concerned about the public health issues outlined in this health consultation. If you have questions or would like additional information, call me at (360) 236-3376 or toll free at 1-877-485-7316.

Sincerely,

Lenford O'Garro Health Assessor Site Assessment Section

Enclosures

en all and a second and a second and a second a

Health Consultation

City of Warden EDB Drinking Water Well Contamination Warden, Grant County, Washington

September 8, 2005

Prepared by

The Washington State Department of Health Under a Cooperative Agreement with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry




HEALTH CONSULTATION

CITY OF WARDEN

EDB DRINKING WATER WELL CONTAMINATION

WARDEN, GRANT COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Prepared by:

Washington State Department of Health Under Cooperative Agreement with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry



Forward

The Washington State Department of Health (DOH) has prepared this health consultation in cooperation with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). ATSDR is part of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and is the principal federal public health agency responsible for health issues related to hazardous waste. This health consultation was prepared in accordance with methodologies and guidelines developed by ATSDR.

The purpose of this health consultation is to identify and prevent harmful human health effects resulting from exposure to hazardous substances in the environment. Health consultations focus on specific health issues so that DOH can respond to requests from concerned residents or agencies for health information on hazardous substances. DOH evaluates sampling data collected from a hazardous waste site, determines whether exposures have occurred or could occur, reports any potential harmful effects, and recommends actions to protect public health. The findings in this report are relevant to conditions at the site during the time of this health consultation, and should not necessarily be relied upon if site conditions or land use changes in the future.

For additional information or questions regarding DOH or the contents of this health consultation, please call the health advisor who prepared this document:

Lenford O'Garro Washington State Department of Health Office of Environmental Health Assessments P.O. Box 47846 Olympia, WA 98504-7846 (360) 236-3376 FAX (360) 236-3383 1-877-485-7316 Web site: www.doh.wa.gov/ehp/oehas/sashome.htm

For more information about ATSDR, contact the ATSDR Information Center at 1-888-422-8737 or visit the agency's Web site: www.atsdr.cdc.gov/.

1

.

.



Table of Contents

3

Forward	1
Table of Contents	2
Glossary	3
Summary and Statement of Issues	6
Background	6
Discussion	9
Exposure to EDB in water	. 10
Non-cancer effects	. 10
Cancer effects	. 11
Children's Health Concerns	. 12
Conclusions	. 12
Recommendations	. 13
Public Health Action Plan	. 13
Action Completed	. 13
Actions Planned	. 13
Other Actions	. 13
References	. 16
Figure. 1	. 17
Figure. 1	. 17
Figure. 2	. 18
Appendix A	. 19
Appendix B:	. 22
Appendix C:	. 23
Appendix D:	. 25

.

,

r



Glossary

Acute	Occurring over a short time [compare with chronic].
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)	The principal federal public health agency involved with hazardous waste issues, responsible for preventing or reducing the harmful effects of exposure to hazardous substances on human health and quality of life. ATSDR is part of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
Aquifer	An underground formation composed of materials such as sand, soil, or gravel that can store and/or supply groundwater to wells and springs.
Cancer Risk	A theoretical risk for developing cancer if exposed to a substance every day for 70 years (a lifetime exposure). The true risk might be lower.
Cancer Slope Factor	A number assigned to a cancer causing chemical that is used to estimate its ability to cause cancer in humans.
Carcinogen	Any substance that causes cancer.
Chronic	Occurring over a long time (more than 1 year) [compare with acute].
Comparison value	Calculated concentration of a substance in air, water, food, or soil that is unlikely to cause harmful (adverse) health effects in exposed people. The CV is used as a screening level during the public health assessment process. Substances found in amounts greater than their CVs might be selected for further evaluation in the public health assessment process.
Contaminant	A substance that is either present in an environment where it does not belong or is present at levels that might cause harmful (adverse) health effects.
Dermal Contact	Contact with (touching) the skin (see route of exposure).
Dose (for chemicals that are not radioactive)	The amount of a substance to which a person is exposed over some time period. Dose is a measurement of exposure. Dose is often expressed as milligram (amount) per kilogram (a measure of body weight) per day (a measure of time) when people eat or drink contaminated water, food, or soil. In general, the greater the dose, the greater the likelihood of an effect. An "exposure dose" is how much of a substance is encountered in the environment. An "absorbed dose" is the amount of a substance that actually got into the body through the eyes, skin, stomach, intestines, or lungs.

Warden EDB

ы Ч



• • •

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	United States Environmental Protection Agency.
Epidemiology	The study of the occurrence and causes of health effects in human populations. An epidemiological study often compares two groups of people who are alike except for one factor, such as exposure to a chemical or the presence of a health effect. The investigators try to determine if any factor (i.e., age, sex, occupation, economic status) is associated with the health effect.
Exposure	Contact with a substance by swallowing, breathing, or touching the skin or eyes. Exposure may be short-term [acute exposure], of intermediate duration, or long-term [chronic exposure].
Groundwater	Water beneath the earth's surface in the spaces between soil particles and between rock surfaces [compare with surface water].
Hazardous substance	Any material that poses a threat to public health and/or the environment. Typical hazardous substances are materials that are toxic, corrosive, ignitable, explosive, or chemically reactive.
Ingestion	The act of swallowing something through eating, drinking, or mouthing objects. A hazardous substance can enter the body this way [see route of exposure].
Ingestion rate	The amount of an environmental medium that could be ingested typically on a daily basis. Units for IR are usually liter/day for water, and mg/day for soil.
Inhalation	The act of breathing. A hazardous substance can enter the body this way [see route of exposure].
Inorganic	Compounds composed of mineral materials, including elemental salts and metals such as iron, aluminum, mercury, and zinc.
Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL)	The lowest tested dose of a substance that has been reported to cause harmful (adverse) health effects in people or animals.
Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)	A drinking water regulation established by the federal Safe Drinking Water Act. It is the maximum permissible concentration of a contaminant in water that is delivered to the free flowing outlet of the ultimate user of a public water system. MCLs are enforceable standards.

Warden EDB

3

¢. .

.



Media	Soil, water, air, plants, animals, or any other part of the environment that can contain contaminants.
Minimal Risk Level (MRL)	An ATSDR estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance at or below which that substance is unlikely to pose a measurable risk of harmful (adverse), noncancerous effects. MRLs are calculated for a route of exposure (inhalation or oral) over a specified time period (acute, intermediate, or chronic). MRLs should not be used as predictors of harmful (adverse) health effects [see reference dose].
No apparent public health hazard	A category used in ATSDR's public health assessments for sites where human exposure to contaminated media might be occurring, might have occurred in the past, or might occur in the future, but where the exposure is not expected to cause any harmful health effects.
No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL)	The highest tested dose of a substance that has been reported to have no harmful (adverse) health effects on people or animals.
Oral Reference Dose (RfD)	An amount of chemical ingested into the body (i.e., dose) below which health effects are not expected. RfDs are published by EPA.
Organic	Compounds composed of carbon, including materials such as solvents, oils, and pesticides that are not easily dissolved in water.
Parts per billion (ppb)/Parts per million (ppm)	Units commonly used to express low concentrations of contaminants. For example, 1 ounce of trichloroethylene (TCE) in 1 million ounces of water is 1 ppm. 1 ounce of TCE in 1 billion ounces of water is 1 ppb. If one drop of TCE is mixed in a competition size swimming pool, the water will contain about 1 ppb of TCE.
Route of exposure	The way people come into contact with a hazardous substance. Three routes of exposure are breathing [inhalation], eating or drinking [ingestion], or contact with the skin [dermal contact].
Volatile organic compound (VOC)	Organic compounds that evaporate readily into the air. VOCs include substances such as benzene, toluene, methylene chloride, and methyl chloroform.

5



Summary and Statement of Issues

In June 2003, the City of Warden conducted routine drinking water testing and found ethylene dibromide (EDB), also known as 1, 2-dibromoethane, at levels above the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) maximum contaminant level (MCL) in two of the city's three water supply wells. The City of Warden notified the Washington State Department of Health (DOH) Office of Drinking Water of the exceedance. DOH has prepared this health consultation at the request of the Grant County Health District (GCHD) and the City of Warden to evaluate the potential health hazard posed by the EDB found in the city's drinking water supply. DOH prepares public health consultations (PHCs) under a cooperative agreement with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR).

Background

Public Water

City of Warden Water System

The City of Warden, hereafter referred to as the City, is located in Grant County, Washington (See Figure 1). The City's water system has three municipal wells (Well No. 4, 5 and 6) located inside of the city limits and services about 1500 customers in the area. The groundwater is drawn from the Odessa aquifer sub-basin area at a depth of approximately 360 feet for wells No. 4 (screens at about 80 feet) and 5 (screens at about 54 feet), and a depth of approximately 830 feet for well No. 6. Wells No. 5 and 6 are the two main drinking water drinking water supply wells for the City. They are both treated for bacteria via chlorination before distribution to the customers. Well No. 6 is located in the eastern section of the city, and primarily used to service the City when necessary. Well No.4 is an emergency well and has not been used in several years because of its proximity to a railroad line and a potato-processing plant. Wells 4 and 5 are located in the western section of the city and primarily 1,000 feet apart (See Figure 2).

The City also has two other wells that are not part of the City's water system (Well No. 2 and 3). Well No. 2 is a former private well located in the south of the City. In 2001, the City purchased the water rights for Well No. 2 from a local farmer. In the transfer process with Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the City agreed to make it a monitoring well by drilling it an additional 200 feet. Well No. 3 is an older well that has not been in service since the mid 1970s when the shaft broke and could not be repaired. Well # 3 is located about 200 feet northeast of Well No.6.

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), enforced by DOH, requires the City to monitor organic, inorganic, and radiological components in the groundwater biannually. In April 1992, EDB contamination was detected in two wells (No. 4 and 5) [1]. DOH provided information on health-effects resulting from exposure to EDB, which the city distributed to water customers [1]. DOH initiated compliance action on the system that required increased monitoring frequency. Subsequent testing of the City water system showed wells No. 4 and 5 it to be free of the



presence of EDB until June 2003 when water samples tested positive for the presence of EDB in both wells (Table 1 and 2) at levels above the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 0.05 parts per billion (ppb). The City notified the Washington State Department of Health Office of Drinking Water (ODW) of the EDB (MCL) exceedances.

۰. ب

The Public Notification (PN) Rule requires the City to notify its consumers that EDB exceeded the maximum contaminant level (MCL). According to the PN Rule, violating the EDB MCL is a "Tier 2" violation. A Tier 2 violation requires public notification within 30 days of learning that a violation of the MCL has occurred. State and federal drinking water regulations require the City to inform its customers that some people who drink water-containing EDB in excess of the MCL over many years could experience problems with their liver, stomach, reproductive system, or kidneys, and may have an increased risk of getting cancer.

In February 2004, Ecology and the GCHD collected additional water samples from several drinking water wells near Warden. These wells are not part of the City's water system but draw water from similar depth as Wells 4 and 5 are screen. Analysis of these samples failed to detect EDB.

The City consulted with ODW to determine the appropriate measures for dealing with recurring levels of EDB exceeding the MRL. ODW determined that the City must collect and analyze water samples for EDB from each of the City's three wells every three months until each source is determined to be reliably and consistently below the MCL. The City publishes a public notice in the newspaper every three months providing information on the levels of EDB and actions taken to reduce these levels (See Appendix C).

In Spring 2005, the City received a one million dollar grant from the Community Development Block Grant for the engineering and design for a new well. In addition, a one million dollar grant in the Washington State Governor's budget that will be administered by Ecology's, Toxic Cleanup Programs (July 2005) to finish the new well, reconstruction of Wells No. 5 and 6, decommission Wells No. 3 and 4, and reconstruction of Well No. 2 as a monitoring well.



Well Number	Ethy	EPA Cancer				
	Date Sampled	Results (ppb)	MCL (ppb)	Class		
	2/8/05	0.724				
	11/9/04	0.04				
	10/12/04	0.02				
	3/2/04	1.62				
4	12/9/03	0.36	0.05	B2		
	11/18/03	0.46				
	8/20/03	0.033				
	8/20/03	0.038				
	6/24/03	0.091				

Table 1. Concentration of Ethylene Dibromide detected in the City of Warden Well No.4,Warden, Grant County, Washington.

Bold numbers indicate levels exceed the MCL

Well 4 primarily used as emergency well. Not frequently used



Well Number	Ethy	Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)					
	Date Sampled	Results (ppb)	MCL (ppb)	Class			
	4/12/05	0.15					
	2/8/05	0.148					
	1/10/05	0.15					
	11/9/04	0.06					
	10/12/04	0.05					
	5/11/04	0.17					
	4/6/04	0.50					
5	3/2/04	0.4	0.05	B2			
	2/9/04	0.38					
	2/9/04	0.04*					
	1/21/04	0.33					
	11/18/03	0.09					
	9/29/03	0.063					
	8/20/03	0.061					
	6/24/03	0.092					

Table 2. Concentration of Ethylene Dibromide detected in the City of Warden Well No.5, Warden, Grant County, Washington.

Bold numbers indicate levels exceed the MCL

Well 5 is primarily used to service industrial/commercial processes. Sometimes used to augment residential water supply from well 6.

* Well No.5 and 6 blended

Discussion

Ethylene dibromide (EDB or 1,2-dibromoethane) was found in 2 of 3 wells used to supply water to the City. The presence of EDB alone does not necessarily indicate that adverse health effects will occur. EDB was used extensively in the past as a soil fumigant pesticide and leaded-gasoline additive. Due to an EPA ban on the use of EDB as a soil fumigant in 1984 and increased regulation of leaded gasoline, EDB use has substantially declined in the United States. The source of the EDB in the City water system is unknown. EDB is a volatile organic compound, which can be absorbed into the body during domestic use of EDB contaminated water. People can be exposed to EDB through drinking water, dermal absorption while bathing, and inhaling it after it has been released from the water while cooking and bathing. The MCL for EDB in drinking water is 0.05 ppb. MCLs are enforceable standards established by EPA and designed to be protective of human health. Levels above the MCL do not necessarily mean that adverse health effects will occur.



Exposure to EDB in water

.7

The most obvious route of exposure to EDB in drinking water is ingestion. However, the ability of EDB to volatilize from water makes it available for inhalation from indoor air particularly during bathing and showering. Breathing EDB from indoor air and dermal absorption from water during normal household use is expected to contribute only a small fraction of the total dose (Appendix A, Table A2).

Non-cancer effects

In order to evaluate the potential for non-cancer adverse health affects that may result from exposure to EDB in water, a dose is estimated for each route of exposure (ingestion, dermal, and inhalation). These doses are calculated for situations by which residents might contact the contaminated media. The total estimated dose is compared to a health guideline. If the estimated exposure dose is below the health guideline, then the exposure is not likely to result in health effects. If the estimated dose exceeds the health guideline, then additional analysis is needed to decide if health effects are likely.

EPA's oral reference dose (RfD) for EDB was the health guideline chosen to evaluate potential exposures from well #5. RfDs are doses below which non-cancer adverse health effects are not expected to occur. These doses take into account the differences between animals and humans and difference among people. They are derived from toxic effect levels obtained from human population and laboratory animal studies. Because of uncertainty in these data, the toxic effect level is divided by "safety factors" to produce the lower and more protective RfD.

The chronic oral RfD for EDB is 0.009 mg/kg/day based on cellular necrosis in rats. Other noncancer health effects associated with EDB exposure are problems with the liver, stomach, reproductive system, and kidneys [2]. These health effects occurred in animal studies after exposure to very high levels of EDB. Workers exposed to high levels of EDB experienced damage to sperm cells.

People who are users of water from the City's wells may be exposed through multiple routes and pathways. EDB can enter the body through ingestion of drinking water, through the skin during bathing, through inhalation of EDB in the shower or while boiling water on the stove. Exposure doses were calculated for people exposed through all pathways. Exposure equations and assumptions are provided in Appendix A, Table A2. This PHC assumes people are exposed everyday for five years to the maximum level measured in Well No. 5 (0.5 ppb). Because Well No. 4 is not currently used as a source of drinking water, only Well No. 5 contamination results will be used in the EDB evaluation. This assumption is protective of public health because Well No. 5 is primarily used to augment the City water supply when necessary. Well No. 6 primarily supplies the City, and there have been no current or historical EDB detections in this well. The highest estimated exposure dose was 3.0 E-5 mg/kg/day and is below the RfD (9.0 E-3 mg/kg/day). Therefore exposure to water from well #5 for five years would not result in any non-cancer adverse health effects.

3



In general, adverse health effects that have been associated with exposure to EDB have resulted from exposure to concentrations that were much higher than those detected in City water supply system. Adverse non-cancer health effects are not expected as a result of exposure to EDB from the water system.

Cancer effects

The EPA classifies EDB as a Group B2 probable human carcinogen. This means that there is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animal studies, but inadequate evidence in human epidemiological studies. Cancer risk is estimated by calculating an exposure dose (Appendix A) similar to that described above and multiplying it by a cancer potency factor, also known as the

cancer slope factor. Some cancer potency factors are derived from human population data. Others are derived from laboratory animal studies involving doses much higher than are encountered in the environment. Use of animal data requires extrapolation of the cancer potency obtained from these high dose studies down to real-world exposures. This process involves much uncertainty.

Current regulatory practice assumes that there is no "safe dose" of a carcinogen and that a very small dose of a carcinogen could give a very small cancer risk. Cancer risk estimates are, therefore, not yes/no answers but measures

Cancer Risk

Cancer risk estimates do not reach zero no matter how low the level of exposure to a carcinogen. Terms used to describe this risk are defined below as the number of excess cancers expected in a lifetime:

<u>Term</u>		# of Excess Cancers
low	is approximately equal to	1 in 10,000
very low	is approximately equal to	1 in 100,000
slight	is approximately equal to	1 in 1,000,000
insignificant	is less than	1 in 1,000,000

of chance (probability). Such measures, however uncertain, are useful in determining the magnitude of a cancer risk. The validity of the "no safe dose" assumption for all cancer-causing chemicals is not clear. Some evidence suggests that certain chemicals considered carcinogenic must exceed a threshold of tolerance before initiating cancer. For such chemicals, risk estimates are not appropriate. More recent guidelines on cancer risk from EPA reflect the potential that thresholds for some carcinogenesis exist. However, EPA still assumes no threshold unless sufficient data indicate otherwise.

This document describes cancer risk that is attributable to site-related contaminants in qualitative terms like low, very low, slight and no significant increase in cancer risk. These terms can be better understood by considering the population size required for such an estimate to result in a single cancer case. For example, a low increase in cancer risk indicates an estimate in the range of one excess cancer case per ten thousand persons exposed over a lifetime. A very low estimate might result in one excess cancer case per several tens of thousands exposed over a lifetime and a slight estimate would require an exposed population of several hundreds of thousands to result in a single case. DOH considers cancer risk insignificant when the estimate results in less than one cancer per one million exposed over a lifetime. The reader should note that these estimates are for excess cancers that might result in addition to those normally expected in an unexposed



population. Cancer risks quantified in this document are an upper-bound theoretical estimate. Actual risks are likely to be much lower.

EPA has derived a cancer potency factor based on these studies so that cancer risk to humans can be quantified. Cancer risk is the likelihood, or chance, of getting cancer. In a worst-case scenario, the current highest level of EDB in drinking water (0.5 ppb) would increase a person's cancer risk by 4 in 1,000,000 (4 excess cancers in a population of 1,000,000 people exposed) (See Appendix A - Table A3) and a lifetime cancer risk of 1 in 100,000. The reader should note that these estimates are for excess cancers that might result in addition to those normally expected in an unexposed population. This estimated risk is slight to very low.

Children's Health Concerns

The unique vulnerabilities of infants and children demand special attention in communities that have contamination of their water, food, soil, or air. The potential for exposure and subsequent adverse health effects often increases for younger children compared with older children or adults. ATSDR and DOH recognize that children are susceptible to developmental toxicity that can occur even when contaminant levels are much lower than those that cause other types of toxicity. This vulnerability is a result of the following factors:

- Children are more likely to play outdoors and bring food into contaminated areas.
- Children are shorter and their breathing zone is closer to the ground, resulting in a greater likelihood to breathe dust, soil, and heavy vapors.
- Children are smaller and receive higher doses of chemical exposure per body weight.
- Children's developing body systems are more vulnerable to toxic exposures, especially during critical growth stages in which permanent damage may be incurred.

During the evaluation of the City water supply, DOH considered potential exposures to children, as well as to adults. The doses calculated for EDB is not expected to result in adverse health effects for children, or adults, based on comparison with RfD value. The assessment did find that chronic exposure to EDB over many years (for example, 30 years) does indicate a very low to slight increased cancer risk.

Conclusions

No apparent public health hazard exists for residents exposed to EDB found in drinking water wells in the City.

Exposure to EDB at levels above the MCL can pose a very low to slight increase in cancer risk over many years of exposure. This estimate of cancer risk was based on worst-case assumptions such as the entire water source coming from contaminated Well No. 5 when in reality; Warden residents tend to drink water from Well No. 6. EDB has *not* been shown to cause cancer in humans, although studies of human populations are limited.



Recommendations

Although users of the City drinking water are not expected to experience adverse non-cancer health effects, and their increased cancer risk is very low to slight, the DOH Office of Drinking Water recommends quarterly testing for EDB in the City drinking water in order for the system to comply with the rules of the SDWA.

Public Health Action Plan

Action Completed

- 1. In December 2003, DOH Office of Drinking Water sent a letter and Public Notification to the City (See Appendix B).
- 2. DOH attended a City sponsored public meeting in Warden, Washington. Staff provided educational material to community members present at the meeting: DOH questions and answers sheet (See Appendix D).

Actions Planned

- 1. DOH will mail this consult to the City, GCHD and concerned residents of Warden.
- 2. DOH will evaluate future data if EDB concentrations in the City water system increase.

Other Actions

- 1. Ecology provided a grant to the City for the installation of packers in the affected wells. The packers are used to isolate the upper contaminated water-bearing zone from the lower, pumping zone. A packer has been installed on Well No. 5 and is currently being evaluated for effectiveness. A determination will be made either to install a second packer on Well No. 4 or to abandon the well.
- 2. Ecology will be leading an investigation to identify the source of the groundwater contamination.
- 3. The City will drill Well No. 2 about another 200 feet to make it a monitoring well.
- 4. Individuals who are concerned about their water supply can minimize exposure to EDB by taking precautionary measures such as limiting shower and bathing times, reducing the temperature of the bath water, and ensuring that bathrooms are well ventilated. Another option is to install a treatment system. If residents wish to install a home treatment device (e.g., under the sink models), the EPA states that granular activated carbon (GAC) is



considered the best available technology for treatment of EDB. Anyone considering the purchase of a GAC water treatment unit should make certain the system is listed by the National Sanitation Foundation (<u>http://www.nsf.org/</u>) for use in drinking water treatment, and that a third-party testing data confirms the unit is effective at removing EDB.

Questions or comments regarding Ecology's present or planned actions should be directed to Dave George at Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program. Phone: (509) 329-3520; email: cgeo461@ecy.wa.gov



Author

Lenford O'Garro Washington State Department of Health Office of Environmental Health Assessments Site Assessment Section

Designated Reviewer

Wayne Clifford, Manager Site Assessment Section Office of Environmental Health Assessments Washington State Department of Health

ATSDR Technical Project Officer Alan Parham

Alan Parham Division of Health Assessment and Consultation Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry



References

- Report on Groundwater Contamination that Affects Drinking Water in Washington State. Toxics Cleanup Program, Department of Ecology and Division of Drinking Water, Department of Health, April 1999. http://www.crcwater.org/issues7/19990419contamination.html
- 2. US Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry: Toxicological profile for 1,2-dibromomethane PB/93/110740/AS. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services; July 1992.
- 3. Foster, S.A. and Chrostowski, P.C. (1987) Inhalation Exposures to Volatile Organic Contaminants in the Shower. Presentation at the 80th Annual Meeting of APCA. New York, NY. June 21-26, 1987.
- National Center for Environmental Assessment. Exposure Factors Handbook Volume 1 General Factors EPA/600/P-95/002Fa: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; August 1997.



Figure.1: Demographic Statistics Within 3 Miles of the Site* - Warden area, Grant County, Washington.

Total Population	2941
White	1359
Black	5
American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut	25
Asian or Pacific Islander	16
Other Race	1451
Hispanic Origin	1930
Children Aged 6 and Younger	474
Adults Aged 65 and Older	216
Females Aged 15 – 44	623
Total Aged over 18	1800
Total Aged under 18	1142
Total Housing Units	921





* Calculated using the area proportion technique. Source: 2000 U.S. CENSUS



Figure. 2: Arial photograph of Warden area, Warden, Washington, showing the city wells, July 16, 1995.





Appendix A

Exposure Calculations

This section provides calculated exposure doses and assumptions used for exposure to EDB in water from the City well. The following exposure parameters and dose equations were used to estimate exposure doses from ingestion, direct contact, and inhalation of EDB in water. The reader should be aware that maximum concentrations were used to calculate these doses in order to represent a worst-case scenario. This assumption may overestimate actual exposure, but it is intended to be protective of public health.

Three different receptor populations were considered when calculating non-cancer doses: children, older children, and adults. Cancer dose calculations assumed a 30-year exposure of a child growing to adulthood. Maximum air concentrations reached during a 20-minute shower were estimated using a mathematical model [3]. Use of maximum concentrations will likely over-estimate total shower inhalation exposure since maximum levels will not be present during the entire shower. This conservative approach was used to account for other sources of exposure such as clothes and dish washing that were not considered in the dose estimate. Dermal absorption during a 20-minute shower was estimated using EPA guidance.

Exposure to EDB in Water via ingestion, inhalation, and dermal absorption.

Total dose (non-cancer) = Ingested dose + inhaled dose + dermally absorbed dose

Ingestion Route

Dose (non-cancer (mg/kg-day) = $\frac{Cw \times CF \times IR \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT_{non-cancer}}$

. *

Cancer Risk = $\frac{Cw \times CF \times IR \times EF \times CSF \times ED}{BW \times AT_{cancer}}$

Dermal Route - (Shower)

Dermal Absorbed (DA_{event}) = $2 \times Kp \times Cw \times SqR \text{ of } 6 \times tau \times t/pi$ ORAF

Dermal Absorbed Dose (DAD) $(\text{non-cancer} (\text{mg/kg-day})) = \frac{DA_{\text{event}} \times EV \times SA \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT_{\text{non-cancer}}}$

Dermal Absorbed Dose (DAD) $(cancer (mg/kg-day)) = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times SA \times EF \times ED \times CSF}{BW \times AT_{cancer}}$



.

Warden EDB

Inhalation Route – (Shower)

Concentration in air (Ca) = $S/R \times (1 - (EXP (-R \times t)))$

۰. ب

 $Dose_{non-cancer (mg/kg-day)} = \frac{Ca \times IHR \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT_{non-cancer}}$

Cancer Risk = $\frac{\text{Ca x IHR x EF x ED x CSF}}{\text{BW x AT}_{\text{cancer}}}$

Table A1. Exposure Assumptions for exposure to EDB in the City drinking water in Warden, Grant County, WA.

Parameter	Value	Unit	Comments
Concentration (Cw)	Variable	ug/l	Maximum detected value
Conversion Factor (CF)	0.001	ug/mg	Converts contaminant concentration from micrograms(ug) to milligrams (mg)
Ingestion Rate (IR) – adult	0.9		
Ingestion Rate (IR) – older child	1.0	l/day	Exposure Factors Handbook [4]
Ingestion Rate (IR) - child	1.4		
Exposure Frequency (EF)	350	days/year	Two weeks vacation
Exposure Duration (ED)	30 (5, 10,15)	years	Number of years at one residence (child, older child, adult yrs).
Body Weight (BW) - adult	72		Adult mean body weight
Body Weight (BW) - older child	41	kg	Older child mean body weight
Body Weight (BW) - child	15		0-5 year-old child average body weight
Surface area (SA) - adult	20000	-	
Surface area (SA) – older child	11800	cm^2	Exposure Factors Handbook [4]
Surface area (SA) - child	6640		
Averaging Time _{non-cancer} (AT)	1825	days	5 years
Averaging Time _{cancer} (AT)	27375	days	75 years
Cancer Slope Factor (CSF)	2	mg/kg-day ⁻¹	Source: EPA
Event frequency (EV)	1	unitless	events/day
Oral route adjustment factor (ORAF)	1	unitless	Non-cancer (nc) / cancer (c) - default
Dermally absorbed dose per event (DA _{event})	Variable	mg/cm ²	Source: EPA
Dermally absorbed dose (DAD)	Variable	mg/kg-day	Source: EPA
Skin permeability coef. (Kp)	0.0033	cm/ht	Chemical specific
Lag time (tau)	1.2	hr	Chemical specific
Inhalation rate (IHR) - adult	0.21		
Inhalation rate (IHR) – older child	0.19	m³/day	Exposure Factors Handbook [4]
Inhalation rate (IHR) - child	0.11		
Air exchange rate (R)	0.0083	min ⁻¹	Model Parameters [3]
Time concentration calculated (t)	15	min	Model Parameters [3]
Concentration in air (Ca)	Variable	mg/m ³	Model Parameters [3]
S	Variable	mg/m ³ -min	Model Parameters [3]



Table A2. Non-cancer hazard calculations resulting from exposure to EDB in the City drinking water in Warden, Grant County, WA.

Contaminant	Concentration	Receptor	Es	timated D (mg/kg/day)	Total	RfD	
Contaminant	(ppb) (ug/L)	population	Ingestion	Dermal Contact	Inhalation	Dose	(mg/kg/day)
		Child	2.9E-5	1.1E-6	2.0E-7	3.0E-5	
EDB	0.5	Older child	1.2E-5	6.9E-7	1.3E-7	1.3E-5	9 E-3
		Adult	9.3E-6	6.7E-7	7.8E-8	1.0E-5	

Table A3. Cancer risk resulting from exposure to EDB in the City drinking water in Warden, Grant County, WA.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (ppb)	EPA Cancer Group	Cana F (mg/k Oral	cer Slope actor g-day ⁻¹)	Receptor population	C	Cancer Ris	k Inhálation	Total Cancer Risk
					Child	3.8E-6	1.4E-7	2.7E-8	3.97E-6
EDB	0.5	B2	2	2	Older child	3.1E-6	1.8E-7	3.4E-8	3.31E-6
					Adult	3.7E-6	2.7E-7	3.1E-8	4.00E-6

Lifetime cancer risk: 3.97E-6 + 3.31E-6 + 4.00E-6 = 1.13E-5

З



Appendix B: DOH letter and Public Notification to the City of Warden

December 19, 2003

Mike Thompson, City Administrator City of Warden P.O. Box 428 Warden, WA 98857

Re: Ethylene Dibromide (EDB) Public Notification City of Warden PWS #92850Q - Grant Co.

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Attached for your use is a public notice for EDB. The requirement for public notification was triggered when the City of Warden violated the EDB maximum contaminant level (MCL) in samples collected from Well #5 during the period June through November 2003.

According to the Public Notification (PN) Rule, violating the EDB MCL is a "Tier 2" violation. A Tier 2 violation requires public notification within 30 days of learning that the MCL was violated. According to DOH records, the lab reported the most recent EDB sample result on December 1, 2003. Therefore, delivery of the EDB public notice must be made no later than January 1, 2004.

According to the PN Rule, the City must deliver a written copy of the public notice by mail or other direct delivery to each customer receiving a bill, and to post the notice at a location where a persons would not normally receive a bill, but that is regularly served by the water system (e.g., at schools, industrial sites, hospitals, nursing homes, office buildings, etc.).

You will note a suggestion to have the following statement translated into Spanish and positioned at the top of the attached public notice: <u>Important! Take this to your community center to be translated or take this to someone who can translate it for you.</u> If there is a Spanish translation service in the City, or at the Grant County Health District, then please reference the name and phone number of these available resources in the Spanish statement at the top of the notice.

If you should have any questions concerning this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me at the number shown below, or Jeff Johnson at (509) 456-2797.

Sincerely,

Scott Torpie, P.E. Assistant Regional Office Manager (509) 456-3183

cc: Grant Co. Health District Jeff Johnson, DOH Denise Clifford, DOH Warden EDB



Appendix C:

Notice to Water System Users Ethylene Dibromide (EDB) Maximum Contaminant Level Exceeded

The City of Warden Water System, PWS ID No. 92850Q, located in Grant County, is reporting that water samples collected from one of its two active drinking water supply wells tested positive for Ethylene Dibromide (also known as EDB or 1,2 –Dibromoethane). Samples collected from Well #5 during the period June through November of this year have shown concentrations ranging from 61 to 92 parts per trillion (ppt). The state and federal drinking water standard, also known as the maximum contaminant level (MCL), is 50 parts per trillion (ppt).

State and federal drinking water regulations require the City to inform its customers that some people who drink water containing EDB in excess of the MCL over many years could experience problems with their liver, stomach, reproductive system, or kidneys, and may have an increased risk of getting cancer. The Department of Health (DOH) expects none of these human health problems to occur when EDB concentrations are at or below the MCL. When the MCL is violated, DOH requires that action be taken to assure that exposures will be reduced to levels that will not cause a health concern.

The exact cause of the EDB contamination is not known at this time. EDB is a colorless, heavy organic liquid with a mildly sweet chloroform-like odor. EDB was mainly used in Washington as a soil and grain fumigant (pesticide). Other uses of EDB include as an anti-knock agent in gasoline mixtures, as a solvent for resins, gums, and waxes; in waterproofing preparations; and in making dyes and drugs. In 1984, EPA banned its use as a soil and grain fumigant. EDB is a stable chemical compound that will last for a long time in the environment.

The City of Warden is working with the State Department of Health's Office of Drinking Water in evaluating the actions needed to bring the City's water supply back into compliance with federal and state drinking water standards. Until levels of EDB are consistently below the MCL, water samples from each of the City's active groundwater supply wells will be collected every three months and analyzed for EDB. In addition, a public notice will be published in the local newspaper and posted in public places throughout the City every three months, providing information regarding:

- 1. The recent concentration of EDB measured in each active water source;
- 2. recommendations, if any, for use of alternate water supplies and/or home treatment units, and;
- 3. steps being taken by the City to bring the water into compliance with state and federal drinking water standards.

Possible options for dealing with the EDB contamination include:

- 1. rehabilitating the contaminated well(s);
- 2. installing source treatment; and/or
- 3. the abandonment of the existing well(s) and construction of a new well(s).

Warden EDB



While the above options are being considered by the City, consumers who wish to reduce their exposure to EDB may wish to consider the following:

- 1. purchase bottled water for drinking purposes; and/or
- install granulated activated carbon (GAC) filters on showerheads, individual faucets, or at the point of entry to the home. The U.S. EPA states that granular activated carbon is considered best available technology for treatment of EDB. DOH recommends that these units be NSF or UL certified.

For more information about your drinking water, contact:

Mike Thompson, Warden City Manager (50 Warden City Hall 201 South Ash Street Warden, WA 98857

(509) 349-2033

Additional information about EDB can be found at the following websites: http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/tfacts37.html http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/es/toxfaqs/es_tfacts37.html (Spanish Version)

Please share this notice with all the other people who drink this water, especially those who may not have received this notice directly (for example, people in apartments, nursing homes, schools, and businesses). You can do this by posting this notice in a public place or distribution copies by hand or mail.

This notice is sent to you by the City of Warden Water System on ___/__/



Appendix D: DOH Question and Answer Sheet

Washington State Department of Health VIRONMENTAL HEALTH

January 2004 0 & A

City of Warden Drinking Water and Ethylene Dibromide Background

The City of Warden water system located in Grant County, has reported that one of the city's two active drinking water supply wells have tested positive for ethylene dibromide (also known as EDB or 1,2-dibromoethane). Samples collected from Well #5 during the period June through November of this year have shown concentrations ranging from 61 to 92 parts per trillion (ppt). EDB was also detected in he city's backup emergency well (Well # 4), which is not currently in use. The state and federal drinking water standard, also known as the maximum contaminant level (MCL), is 50 parts per trillion (ppt) for EDB.

The Department of Health (DOH) is working with the City of Warden to assure a safe and reliable drinking water supply. To that end, the City is required to develop a strategy that will bring water quality back into compliance with federal and state drinking water standards. Until levels of EDB are consistently below the MCL, water samples from each of the City's active groundwater supply wells will be collected every three months and analyzed for EDB. The results will be made available to the community.

Commonly Asked Questions

In addition to the public notice provided to customers by the City, the following information is intended to answer questions from the community.

Q: What is EDB?

Ethylene dibromide (EDB or 1,2-dibromoethane) was used extensively in the past as a soil fumigant pesticide and as a leaded-gasoline additive. EDB is a colorless, heavy organic liquid with a mildly sweet chloroform-like odor. EDB is a stable chemical compound that will last for a long time in the environment. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) banned EDB for soil fumigation in 1984. This restriction along with a decline in the use of leaded gasoline has significantly reduced the amount of EDB used in the United States over the past two decades.

Q: Will the levels of EDB found in the City of Warden's drinking water affect my health?

It is not expected that exposure to the levels of EDB found in the Warden water system would make anyone sick in the short term. Immediate adverse effects associated with EDB exposure can only be expected at much higher levels than those detected in Ćity of Warden water supply system. Standards that are used for EDB and other chemicals in drinking water are set below levels that have been shown to cause health problems. However, since EDB can cause adverse



effects, such as cancer, at higher levels when consumed over a long period, DOH and EPA require that action be taken at any level above the established standard. More information is available about the health effects of EDB from DOH, EPA and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR).

Q: How does EDB in drinking water get into my body?

EDB enters the body when you drink the water, through the skin from activities like showering or bathing, or from breathing EDB vapors released from the water into indoor air. Drinking water with EDB is expected to contribute about half of the exposure with the rest coming during activities such as showering and bathing. Exposure during other household uses (e.g. cooking, clothes or dish washing) is expected to contribute only a small fraction of the total dose.

Q: How did EDB get into the city water wells?

At this time, the exact cause of the EDB contamination is not known. We do know EDB was used in Grant County prior to the 1984 EPA ban. It was used as a soil fumigant pesticide on crops such as potatoes. EPA banned the continued use of EDB partly because of the concern that it could contaminate ground water – even when used as directed. The Department of Health has seen EDB contamination of groundwater in other areas of the state and has learned to identify some of the more common risk factors associated with EDB contamination: These include:

- 1. The historical use of EDB in an area,
- 2. The presence of unprotected shallow groundwater that could become contaminated, and,
- 3. Vulnerable wells constructed in such a way that allow contaminated shallow groundwater to mix with deeper uncontaminated water.

In the case of Warden, all three factors apply. The two city wells that have detected EDB, are the oldest and although they are relatively deep, they have only been "cased" (lined) to a depth of less than 100 feet.

Q: Since EDB was found in two of the City's wells, how do you know it isn't in the third well? The City has tested all of their wells and EDB was not found in Well # 6. This testing will continue and the city will report the results to the community as they work on a long- term solution. In addition, the construction of Well # 6 is different from Wells # 4 and #5. All of the wells are deep but unlike the other two wells, Well # 6 was built more recently and has been "cased" to a much deeper depth. That casing lines the drilled hole and helps to seal out any potential contaminants that might leak into to the well and contaminate the water.

Q: How widespread is the EDB contamination?

At this point, it is not known if the problem is local or more widespread. The positive samples from the city wells are what first alerted the DOH to the EDB contamination. While DOH's Office of Drinking Water works with the City to address its water quality problem, DOH's Office of Environmental Health Assessment will work with the Local Health Department, and the Department of Ecology to determine if there is a more extensive concern. That work will provide a better understanding of the possible sources and extent of the contamination. It will also consider actions the community might consider to reduce the overall long-term risk of exposure to EDB.



For further information, call or e-mail:

Jeff Johnson Regional Engineer Office of Drinking Water Phone: 509-456-2797 Email: Jeff.Johnson@doh.wa.gov

Lenford O'Garro

Public Health Advisor Office of Environmental Health Assessment Phone: 360-236-3376 Email: Lenford.O'Garro@doh.wa.gov

Information is also available on the following websites:

З

EPA Consumer Fact Sheet on EDB:

http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/dw_contamfs/ethylene.html ATSDR Frequently Asked Questions

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/tfacts37.html (English Version) http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/es/toxfaqs/es tfacts37.html (Spanish Version)



Certification

This Health Consultation was prepared by the Washington State Department of Health under a cooperative agreement with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). It is in accordance with approved methodology and procedures existing at the time the health consultation was begun. Editorial review was completed by the Cooperative Agreement partner.

Alan Parham Technical Project Officer, CAT, SPAB, DHAC ATSDR

The Division of Health Assessment and Consultation, ATSDR, has reviewed this public health consultation and concurs with the findings.

eam Lead, CAT, SPAB, DHAC ATSDR

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com 504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOC's) Analysis Report EPA Test Method - EPA 504.1

Lab/Sample Number: 125 7425 Collect Date: 7/25/2012 DOH Source #: Sti2 Multiple Source Nos: Sample Type: B Sample Type: Sample Type: Sample Type: Date Received: 7/26/2012 Date Reported: 7/31/2012 Supervisor: KAS County: GRANT Sample Type: Sample Type: Sample Type: Sample Type: County: GRANT Sample Type: Sample Type: Sample Type: Sample Type: County: GRANT Sample Type: Sample Type: Sample Type: Sample Type: DOH # Analyses Recut Units SRL Trigger Molt Mathed DOH # Analyses Recut Units SRL Trigger Mathed Analyse Qualifier DOH # Analyses Recut Units SRL Trigger Molt Mathed Analyse Qualifier DOH # Analyses Recut Units SRL Trigger Mathed Analyse Qualifier DOH # Analyses No Units SRL No No No Mathed <t< th=""><th>Syste</th><th>em ID#:</th><th>92850Q</th><th>System Nan</th><th>ne: CITY</th><th>Y OF WA</th><th>RDEN</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Syste	em ID#:	92850Q	System Nan	ne: CITY	Y OF WA	RDEN				
Multiple Source Nos: Sample Type: B Sample Purpose: C Date Reported: 7/30/2012 Date Reported: 7/31/2012 County: GRANT Sample Location: S02 Report To: Address: 201 5. ASH ST City, State, ZIP WARDEN, WA 98657 Phone Number: 509 349-2326 D12 EPA Regulated Chemicals Regult Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier D102 EDB 0.086 upit 0.01 0.01 0.05 EPA 604.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals DOH * Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier D073 1,3.3.Trohloropropane ND upit 0.02 0.5 2.1 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: NC - Not Datade within the seeking of the instrument Note ender life seekingen of the instrument Note ender life seekingen of the instrument Note ender life seekingen of the instrument SRL- Minimum porting life for Washington DOH SRL- Minimum porting life for Mount be ender dataded. SRL- Minimum of the instrument SRL- Statttt <th>Lab/S</th> <th>Sample Number:</th> <th>125 73426</th> <th colspan="3">Collect Date: 7/25/2012</th> <th colspan="4">DOH Source #: S02</th> <th></th>	Lab/S	Sample Number:	125 73426	Collect Date: 7/25/2012			DOH Source #: S02				
Date Received: 7/20/2012 Date Reported: 7/31/2012 Supervisor: KAS Date Analyzed: 7/30/2012 Sample Location: S02 Report To: Address: 2015 A.SH ST City, State, ZIP WARDEN, WA9 89857 Phone Number: 509 349-2326 EPA Regulated Chemicals DOI1# Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyse Qualifier DOI1# Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyse Qualifier DOI1# Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyse Qualifier DO79 1,2,3-Trichhoropopane ND ug/L 0,0 0,6 1 EPA 604,1 MAH Notes: NO ug/L 0,0 0,6 1 EPA 604,1 MAH SRL-Winnum reportunced except in diacated SRL-Winnum reportunced except in diacated SRL-Winnum reportunced except in NU, without the written approval of the laboratory. The results account the DH SRL-Winnum reportunced except in NU, without the sample in Indicated SRL-Winnum reportesaccount the DH SRL-Winnum reportunced except	Multi	Multiple Source Nos:		Sample Type: B		Sample Purpose: C					
Date Analyzed: 7/30/2012 County: GRANT Sample Location: S02 Report To: Address: 201 S. ASH ST City, State, JIP WXRDEN, WA 98857 Phone Number: 509 349-2326 EPA Regulated Chemicals 0.046 vg/L 0.01 0.05 EPA 804.1 MAH 0102 EDB 0.046 vg/L 0.02 0.02 EPA 604.1 MAH State Urregulated Chemicals DOH* Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH* Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH* Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH* Analytes No wold Biologi Advesting Monto at Invel 1004 the instrument No wold Biologi Advesting Monto Adves	Date	Date Received: 7/26/2012		Date Report	Date Reported: 7/31/2012		Sup	ervisor:	KAS		
County: GRANT Sample Location: S02 Report To: Address: 201 S. ASH ST City, State, ZP Phone Number: 509 349-2326 EPA Regulated Chemicals Dolf # Anatytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Anatyte: Qualifier D193 EPA Regulated Chemicals Dolf # Anatytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Anatyte: Qualifier D193 J25.71/fbitropropane ND ug/L 0.02 0.2 EPA 806.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals Units SRL Trigger McL Method Analyst Qualifier D0F3 1.2.3.7.1/fbitropropane ND ug/L 0.3 0.5 21 EPA 806.1 MAH Notes: ND NO Hours and Indeated Salf-Anatytes Method Analyst Qualifier The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The result reported relies only to the samples incleated. Salf-Salf-Salf-Salf-Salf-Salf-Salf-Salf-	Date	Analyzed:	7/30/2012								
Report To: Address: 201 \$ ASH 5T WARDEN, WA 99857 S08 349-2326 EFA Regulated Chemicals 0.066 upit. SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst. Qualifier 0102 EDB 0.066 upit. 0.01 0.01 0.05 EPA 604.1 MAH 0102 EDB 0.066 upit. 0.02 0.02 EPA 604.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOT # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOT # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOT # 1,2,3-Trichichergoropane ND upit. 0.6 21 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND = Not Dototode within the sensitivity of the inaturent trigger motion and the bold sensition the sensitivity of the inaturent senset trigger motion and the DOH MCL EPA 804.1 MAH SRL - Minium reporting level for Weshing	Cour	nty:	GRANT	Sample L	ocation:	S02					
City, State ZIP WARDEN, WA 98857 509 349-2326 509 349-2326 EPA Regulated Chemicals Nounts SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier 0103 DBCP N.D ugL 0.01 0.01 0.05 EPA 604.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals ND ugL 0.02 0.02 0.2 EPA 604.1 MAH DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes No NU UgL 0.5 0.5 21 EPA 604.1 MAH Notes: No inclusted within the sensitivity of the hatumating tord Trigger withington DOH resorve levels in it results experise and the indicated. SRL - Minimum reporting level for Washington DOH SRE - Minimum reporting level for Washington DOH resorve serve levels. If results are for the same for	Repo	ort To:	Address	201 S. ASH	I ST						
Phone Number: 509 349-2326 EPA Regulated Chemicals Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier 0103 DDF # 0.066 up/L 0.01 0.05 EPA 504.1 MAH 0103 DDF # ND up/L 0.02 0.02 0.2 EPA 504.1 MAH 0103 DDF # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier 0019 1.2.3-Trichloropropane ND up/L 0.5 0.5 2 EPA 804.1 MAH ND up/L 0.5 0.5 CI Method Analyst Qualifier Dott # Analytes Result Units SRL Maintinger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier Note: ND ND up/L 0.5 1.5 ZPA 804.1 MAH This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the writer approval of the laboratory. SetUported relate only to the samples indicated. <td colspan="</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>City, State, ZIP</th> <th>WARDEN,</th> <th>WA 988</th> <th>57</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			City, State, ZIP	WARDEN,	WA 988	57					
EPA Regulated Chemicals Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier 0102 EDB 0.066 up/L 0.01 0.05 EPA 604.1 MAH 0103 DBCP ND up/L 0.02 0.02 0.2 EPA 504.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes No # Nito Dohd Mithin the sensitive of the indepoint of the i			Phone Number:	509 349-23	26						
DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier D103 DBCP ND upL 0.01 0.02 0.22 EPA 60.4.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier D014 Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier D017 1.23.7 fichlicropropane ND vgL 0.5 0.5 21 EPA 60.4.1 MAH Notes: ND = Not Dotoclad within the sensitivity of the instrument Numerical Entry - Dateining level (motalsted SRL. Michael Interesting and the evel indicated SRL. Michael Interesting and the evel indicated SRL. Michael Interesting and the event of the sensitivity of the instrument Numerical Entry - Date interesting and the event indicated SRL. Michael Interesting and the event sensitive reported relate only to the samples indicated SRL. Michael Interesting and the event sensitive reported on a difference interesting and the event sensitive reported on a difference interesting and the event sensitive reported relates only in the samples indicated SRL. Michael Interestinteresting the event s	EPA R	equiated Chemi	cals	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,,,						
0102 EDB 0.086 ug/L 0.01 0.05 EPA 504.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analytes Qualifier D079 1,2,3-Trichthergerspane ND ug/L 0.6 0.5 2 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND ug/L 0.6 0.5 0.5 2 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND ug/L 0.6 0.5 0.5 2 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND ng/L 0.6 0.5 0.5 2 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND ng/L 0.6 0.5 0.5 2 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND ng/L 0.65 0.5 0.5 2 EPA 504.1 MAH State Infinitement Brity Dottod within the sensitivity of the instrument MCL EPA maximum contaminant treel Trigger-Washington DOH response level. If results State reported trait shill not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The results reported on a dry-weig	DOH #	Analytes		Result	Units	SRL	Trigger	MCL	Method	Analyst	Qualifier
0103 DBCP ND ug/L 0.02 0.02 0.2 EPA 504.1 MAH State Unregulated Chemicals DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst Qualifier DOH # Analytes ND ug/L 0.6 0.5 21 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND = Not Dotoched within the sensitivity of the instrument MCL - EPA maximum contaminant level Trigger - Washington DOH segnose level. If results MAH SRL - Minium reporting level for Washington DOH SRL - Minium reporting level for Washington DOH SRL - Minium reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted. Lab Supervisor: Xuthten approval of the taboratory. The results account the DOH Motion and y-weight basis unless otherwise noted. Lab Supervisor: Xuthten approval of the taboratory. Motion and y-weight basis unless otherwise noted. Motion and y-weight	0102	EDB		0.086	ųg/L	0.01	0.01	0.05	EPA 504.1	MAH	
State Unregulated Chemicals Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analysts Qualifier D079 1,2,3-Trichleropropane ND ug/L 0.5 0.5 21 EPA 604,1 MAH Notes: D0 + NoI Dototed within the sansitivity of the instrument Numerical Entry - Datedion at level indicated SRL - Minimum reporting level for Washington DOH MQL EPA maximum contaminant ecolaminant. MAH This report shall not be reportidue accept in fully, without the written approval of the laboratory. The results reported relate only to the samples indicated. Sol/Solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted. Lab Supervisor: Kottlern Q. Lattler Date: 7/31/2012	0103	DBCP		ND	ug/L	0.02	0.02	0.2	EPA 504.1	MAH	
DOH # Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyte Qualifier D079 1,2,3-Trichloropropane ND ug/L 0.5 0.5 21 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND = Not Decided within the sansitivity of the instrument SRL - Minimum reporting level for Weshington DOH MCL - EPA maximum contaminant level Trigger- Washington DOH response level. If results exceed this level, contact the DOH This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The results reported relate only to the sample indicated SRL-Bupervisor: MCL - EPA maximum contaminant level Trigger- Washington DOH response level. If results Soli/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted. Date: 7/31/2012 Lab Supervisor: Yadhlern Q. Juttla Date: 7/31/2012	State I	Unregulated Chi	micals		_						
0079 1,2,3-Trichloropropane ND ug/L 0.5 0.5 21 EPA 504.1 MAH Notes: ND = Noi Dotocted within the sensitivity of the instrument Numerical Entry = Detection at level indicated SRL - Minium contraminant level Trigger - Washington DOH response level. If results exceeded this (evel, contact the DOH MCL - EPA maximum contaminant level Trigger - Washington DOH response level. If results exceeded this (evel, contact the DOH This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The results are reported relate only to the samples indicated. Soli/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted. Lab Supervisor:	DOH #	Analytes		Result	Units	SRL	Trigger	MCL	Method	Analyst	Qualifier
Notes: N0 = No! Dotocide within the sensitivity of the instrument Numerical Entry = Detection at level indicated SRL - Minimum reporting level for Weshington DOH MCL - EPA maximum contaminant level Trigger - Washington DOH response level. It results exceed this level, contact the DOH This report shall not be reported relate only to the samples indicated. MCL - EPA maximum contaminant level Trigger - Washington DOH response level. It results exceed this level, contact the DOH Soil/soild results are reported relate only to the samples indicated. Date: 7/31/2012 Lab Supervisor:	0079	1,2,3-Trichloropro	pane	ND	ug/L	0.5	0.5	21	EPA 504.1	MAH	
The results reported relate only to the samples indicated. Soli/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted. Lab Supervisor:	Notes:	ND = Not Detected + Numerical Entry = D SRL - Minimum r t shall not be repro	within the sensitivity of the election at level indicated eporting level for Washin duced except in full, w	e instrument d gton DOH vithout the wrltter	MCL Trigg excee a approval	 EPA maxin er - Washing ad this level, of the labo 	num contamina gton DOH respo contact the DO pratory.	int level DhSe level. DH	lf results		
Zertifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:0701; 60:H00014; FL(NELAP):E37833; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA:C585 Zertifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; ID:KA00139; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA:C585	Soil/solid r	results are reported	on a dry-weight basis		e noted.	Dat	e: 7/31/201	12			
2ertifications hald by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:0701; CO-ID00013; FL(MELAP):E97893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:80142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 2ertifications hald by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00189; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095			I								
Dertifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:0781-63-1500013, Fr.(NELAP):587883; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Dertifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cer0095				·····	<u> </u>						
Zertifications held by Anslek Labs ID: EPA/ID00013; A2:0761-C0:ID0013; FL(NELAP):E87883; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-D1; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Zertifications held by Anslek Labs WA: EPA:WA00189; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0028; IM:CHD-D1; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C595							,				
Zerlifications held by Anstek Labs (D): EPA:(D00013; A2:0761-CC):D00013; FE(NELAP):E87883; ID:(D00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:(D200001-002; WA;C595 Zerlifications held by Anstek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cert0095											
Dertifications held by Anatek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:0761; G0:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87883; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Dertifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; WD:C585; MT:Cent0095											
2ertifications held by Anstek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CD:ID00013; FL /NELAP):E87883; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:80142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 2ertifications held by Anstek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cen0095											
Dertifications held by Anslek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CD:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-D1; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C\$95 Dertifications held by Anslek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C\$95 Dertifications held by Anslek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C\$95											
Dertifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CD:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C\$95 Dertifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C\$65; MT:Cert0095											
Dertifications held by Anstek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CD:ID00013; FL (NELAP);E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C\$95 Dertifications held by Anstek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C\$95; MT:Cert0095											
Derlifications held by Anstek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CO:ID00013; FL (NELAP);E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Derlifications held by Anstek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cert0095											
Certifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CO:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Certifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cert0095											
Certifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CO:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Sertifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cert0095											
Certifications held by Anslek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:07 01; CD:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-D1; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Sertifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095											
Certifications held by Anslek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:07 01; CO:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-D1; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Sertifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cert0095			•								
Derlifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CD:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-D1; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Derlifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cert0095											
Derlifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; A2:07 01; CO:ID00013; FL(NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-D1; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Derlifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA;C585; MT:Cert0095											
Certifications held by Analek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:07 01; CO:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-D1; KY:9D142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA;C585 Sertifications held by Analek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095				a atomatica que							
Certifications held by Anstek Labs ID: EPA:ID00013; AZ:07 61; CO:ID00013; FL (NELAP):E87893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C595 Sertifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cert0095											
zennicanons nelo by Analek Labs (b): EPA.ID00013; AZ.0707; COND00013; PE(NELAP); Co783; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY(90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; DR:ID200001-002; WA;C\$95 Dertifications held by Anatek Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C\$85; MT:Cert0095	Dartificantinan										
	Genninganons (CODOGLO	- A7 AD2: 10:00	000100 0000 0	0.011 89001401				WA OFAF

ł

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email moscow@anateklabs.com 504 E Sprague Ste. D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email spokane@anateklabs.com

Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOC's) Analysis Report EPA Test Method - EPA 504.1

0079	1,2,3-Trichloropr	opane	ND	ug/L	0.5	0.5	21	EPA 504,1	MAH		
DOH #	Analytes	émicals	Result	Units	SRL	Trigger	MCL	Method	Analyst	Qualifier	
Dénén I			, , , ,		4.44	V.UZ	۲. ۵	EFA 904.1			
0103	DBCP		ND		0.02	0.02	0.00				
0102	EDB		0.099	uo/L	0.01	0.01	0.05	EPA 504 1	MAH		
EPA R DOH #	egulated Chen Analytes	licals	Result	Units	SRL	Trigger	MCL	Method	Analyst	Qualifier	
		City, State, ZIP Phone Number:	WARDEN, 509 349-23	WA 9885 326	57						
Repo	Report To: Address:		201 S. ASH ST								
Coun	County: GRANT		Sample Location: S02								
Date Analyzed: 9/6/2012											
Date	Date Received: 9/4/2012		Date Reported: 9/7/2012			Sup	Supervisor: KAS				
Multip	Multiple Source Nos:		Sample Type: B			Sar	Sample Purpose:				
Lab/Sample Number: 125 75369		Collect Date: 8/31/2012			DO	DOH Source #: S02					
System ID#: 92850Q		System Name: CITY OF WARDEN									

Notes: ND = Not Detected within the sensitivity of the instrument Numerical Entry = Detection at level indicated SRL - Minimum reporting level for Washington DOH MCL - EPA maximum contaminant level Trigger - Washington DOH response level, if results exceed this level, contact the DOH

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory, The results reported relate only to the samples indicated.

Soil/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

Lab Supervisor: ______ Kathlem Q__ dotter

Date: 9/7/2012

.

Certifications held by Anatok Lebs (D: EPA:ID00013; AZ;0701; CO:ID00013; EL(NELAP):EA7893; ID:ID00013; IN:C-ID-01; KY:90142; MT:CERT0028; NM: ID00013; OR:ID200001-002; WA:C585; Certifications held by Anatok Labs WA: EPA:WA00169; ID:WA00169; WA:C585; MT:Cent0095

Anatek File # 120904021-001

Anatek Labs, Inc.

1282 Alturas Drive • Moscow, ID 83843 • (208) 883-2839 • Fax (208) 882-9246 • email musicul/generatekologi 30m 504 E Sprague Sto, D • Spokane WA 99202 • (509) 838-3999 • Fax (509) 838-4433 • email apokano@email.ekologi 30m

Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOC's) Analysis Report EPA Test Method - EPA 504.1

System ID#: 92850Q Lab/Sample Number, 125 75601		System Name. CITY OF WARDEN			EN						
		Collect Date:	9/7/7	9/7/2012		DOH Source #: 307 -					
ple Source Nos:	Sample Type:		s	ample Pur							
Date Received: 9/10/2012 Date Analyzed: 9/12/2012 County: GRANT		Date Reported: 9/13/2012			. S	Supervisor: JWC					
		Sample Location: \$02									
Repoπ To: Address: City, State, Z		201 S. ASH ST									
		WARDEN, WA 99857									
	Phone Number: 509 349-2326										
ogulated Chemi	icals			. 1 97 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 197 - 19		, ·	·				
Analytea		Result 1	Inits	8RL	Trigge	MCI	Method	Analyst	Qualifier		
EDB		0.083 1	JQ/L	0.01	0.01	0.05	LPA 504.5	\$4.2 +			
DBCP		ND I	.ıg/L	0.02	0,02	0.2	5 PA 504 1	MAL			
Unregulated Chi	em/cals								<u></u>		
Analytes	-	Result L	Inits	SRL	Trigger	MCL	Method	Analyst	Qualities		
1,2,3-Trichloropro	ana	ND L	Jg/L	0.5	0.5	21	LPA 504 1	ман			
	em ID#: Sample Number, ple Source Nos: Received: Analyzed; ly: rt To: egulated Chemi Analytes EDB DBCP Unregulated Che Analytes 1,2,3-Trichloropro	em ID#: 9285DQ Bample Number: 125-75601 ple Source Nos: Received: 9/10/2012 Analyzed: 9/12/2012 ty: GRANT rt To: Address: City, State, ZIP Phone Number: egulated Chemicals Analytes EDB DBCP Drregulated Chemicals Analytes 1.2.3-Trichloropropane	em ID#: 92850Q System Name. Bample Number: 125 75601 Collect Date: ple Source Nos: Sample Type: Received: 9/10/2012 Date Reported Analyzed: 9/12/2012 ty: GRANT Sample Loc rt To: Address: 201 S. ASH S City, State, ZIP WARDEN, W/ Phone Number: 509 349-2326 egulated Chemicals Analytes Result to ED8 0.063 to DBCP ND to Inregulated Chemicals Analytes Result to Inregulated Chemicals Analytes Result to ND to 1,2,3-Trichloropropane ND to	em ID#: 92850Q System Name. CIT Bample Number: 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7// ple Source Nos: Sample Type: B Received: 9/10/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/ Analyzed: 9/12/2012 ty: GRANT Sample Location: rt To: Address: 201 S. ASH ST City, State, ZIP WARDEN, WA 9380 Phone Number: 509 349-2326 egulated Chemicals Analytes Result Units EDB 0.063 ug/L DBCP ND ug/L Inregulated Chemicals Analytes Result Units 1,2,3-Trichloropropane ND ug/L	am ID#: 92850Q System Name. CITY OF WARD Bample Number: 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7/2012 ble Source Nos: Sample Type: B Received: 9/10/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/2012 Analyzed: 9/12/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/2012 ty: GRANT Sample Location: \$02 rt To: Address: 201 S. ASH ST City, State, ZIP WARDEN, WA 998857 Phone Number: 509 349-2326 segulated Chemicals SRL Analytea Result Units SRL EDB 0.063 ug/L 0.02 Daregulated Chemicals Result Units SRL Analytes Result Units SRL 1.2.3-Trichloroprophine ND ug/L 0.5	am ID#: 92850Q System Name. CITY OF WARDEN Bample Number. 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7/2012 D Bample Number. 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7/2012 D Bample Number. 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7/2012 D Bample Source Nos: Sample Type: B S S Received: 9/10/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/2012 S Analyzed: 9/12/2012 Sample Location: S02 Ity: GRANT Sample Location: S02 Ity: State. ZIP WARDEN, WA 998657 Phone Number:	Barn ID#: 92850Q System Name. CITY OF WARDEN Barnple Number: 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7/2012 DOH Source Barnple Number: 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7/2012 DOH Source Barnple Number: 9/10/2012 Sample Type: B Sample Purple Received: 9/10/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/2012 Supervisor: Analyzed: 9/12/2012 Sample Location: S02 Supervisor: Analyzed: 9/12/2012 Sample Location: S02 Frigger Ity: GRANT Sample Location: S02 Frigger MCI City, State, ZIP WARDEN, WA 99857 Fhone Number: 509 349-2326 egulated Chemicals Result Units SRL Trigger MCI EDB 0.063 ug/L 0.01 0.01 0.05 DBCP ND ug/L 0.02 0.2 Unregulated Chemicals Result Units SRL Trigger MCL 1.2.3-Trichloropropane ND ug/L 0.5 0.5 21	am ID#: 92850Q System Name. CITY OF WARDEN Bample Number: 125 75601 Collect Date: 977/2012 DOH Source #: 507 - ble Source Nos: Sample Type: B Sample Purpose: 1 Received: 9/10/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/2012 Supervisor: JWC Analyzed: 9/12/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/2012 Supervisor: JWC Analyzed: 9/12/2012 Sample Location: S02 Supervisor: JWC tty: GRANT Sample Location: S02 Supervisor: JWC rt To: Address: 201 S. ASH ST Supervisor: Supervisor	em ID#: 92850Q System Name. CITY OF WARDEN Bample Number: 125 75601 Collect Date: 9/7/2012 DOH Source #: 50/14 ple Source Nos: Sample Type: B Sample Purpose: L Received: 9/10/2012 Date Reported: 9/13/2012 Supervisor: JWC Analyzed: 9/12/2012 ty: GRANT Sample Location: S02 rt To: Address: 201 S. ASH ST City, State, ZIP WARDEN, WA 99857 Phone Number: 509 349-2328 egulated Chemicals Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCI Method Analyst DBCP ND ug/L 0.02 0.02 0.2 EPA 504.5 MAR DBCP ND ug/L 0.02 0.02 0.2 EPA 504.1 MAR Inregulated Chemicals Analytes Result Units SRL Trigger MCL Method Analyst 1.2.3-Trichloropropane ND ug/L 0.5 0.5 21 EPA 504.1 MAR		

.....

NO = Not Detected within the sensitivity of the instrument Numerical Entry = Detection at level indicated SRL - Minimum reporting level for Weshington OOH MCL - EPA maximum comercinant level Trigger - Washington DOH tesponse level. If results

exceed this level, contact the DOH

This lepon shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The results reported relate only to the samples indicated.

Soil/solid results are reported on a dry-weight basis unless otherwise noted.

Lab Supervisor: _____ Kathlern Q. Int

Date: 9/13/2012

Contrications held by Analek Labs (D): EPA:/D00010; AZ/0701, LCU.DC0010; FL(NELAP):E87888 (D):D00010; IN/C/DLC0; KY/R0142, AT/CERT0020; NEL IJ OF (C): CARD, 22 OF (C): PEA:/D00010; IV/CC0010; IV/C0010; IV/C0000; IV/C0010; IV/C0010; IV/C0010; IV/C0000; IV/C0010; IV/C0000; IV/C000; IV/C0000; IV/C0000; IV/C0000; IV/C0000; IV/C0000; IV/C0000; IV/C0000; IV/C000; IV/C000; IV/C0000; IV/C0000; IV/C000; IV/

BOLINE PHERE 10001000 K 005

94.7



Cleanup Levels Worksheets and TEE Assessment

	Chemical:	ethylene dibromide (EDB)			
CLARC Summary	CAS #:	106-93-4			
Air, Method B, Carcinogen, Standard Forn (μg/m3)	nula Value	4.2E-03			
Air, Method B, Non-Carcinogen, Standard Value (μg/m3)	Formula	4.1E+00			
Air, Method C, Carcinogen, Standard Forn (μg/m3)	nula Value	4.2E-02			
Air, Method C, Non-carcinogen, Standard Value (μg/m3)	Formula	9E+00			
Aqueous Solubility (S)		Not Researched			
Bioconcentration Factor (BCF)		Not Researched			
Ground Water ARAR - Federal Maximum Level Goal (MCLG) (mg/L)	Contaminant	0E+00			
Ground Water ARAR - Federal Primary Ma Contaminant Level (MCL) (mg/L)	iximum	5E-05			
Ground Water ARAR - State Primary Maxie Contaminant Level (MCL) (mg/L)	mum	5E-05			
Ground Water, Method A, Table Value (µg	/L)	1E-02			
Ground Water, Method B, Carcinogen, Sta Formula Value (µg/L)	andard	2.2E-02			
Ground Water, Method B, Non-carcinogen Formula Value (μg/L)	, Standard	7.2E+01			
Ground Water, Method C, Non-carcinoger Formula Value (µg/L)	1.6E+02				
Ground Water, Method C, Carcinogen, Sta Formula Value (μg/L)	Indard	2.2E-01			
Henrys Law Constant (unitless) (Hcc)		Not Researched			
Inhalation Cancer Potency Factor (CPFi) (kg-day/mg)	2.1E+00			
Inhalation Cancer Potency Factor Based of Cancer with Mutagenic Mode of Action an for Early-life Exposure	on Kidney d Potential	Not Researched			
Inhalation Cancer Potency Factor Based o Cancer	on Liver	Not Researched			
Inhalation Cancer Potency Factor Based on Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	on	Not Researched			
Inhalation Correction Factor (INH) (unitles	s)	2E+00			
Inhalation Reference Dose (RfDi) (mg/kg-c	lay)	2.6E-03			
Kd (Distribution Coefficient for metals)		Not Researched			
Koc (Soil Organic Carbon-Water Partitioni Coefficient) (L/kg)	ing	6.6E+01			
Oral Cancer Potency Factor (CPFo) (kg-da	ay/mg)	2E+00			
Oral Cancer Potency Factor Based on Kid with Mutagenic Mode of Action and Poten Early-life Exposure	ney Cancer tial for	Not Researched			
Oral Cancer Potency Factor Based on Live	er Cancer	Not Researched			
Oral Cancer Potency Factor Based on Nor Lymphoma	n-Hodgkin	Not Researched			
Oral Reference Dose (RfDo) (mg/kg-day)		9E-03			

	Chemical:	ethylene dibromide (EDB)
CLARC Summary	CAS #:	106-93-4
Soil, Method A, Industrial Land Use, Tabl (mg/kg)	e Value	5E-03
Soil, Method A, Unrestricted Land Use, T (mg/kg)	able Value	5E-03
Soil, Method B, Carcinogen, Standard Fo Direct Contact (ingestion only), unrestric (mg/kg)	rmula Value, ted land use	5E-01
Soil, Method B, Non-carcinogen, Standar Value, Direct Contact (ingestion only), ur use (mg/kg)	d Formula prestricted land	7.2E+02
Soil, Method C, Carcinogen, Standard Fo Direct Contact (ingestion only), industria (mg/kg)	rmula Value, I land use	6.6E+01
Soil, Method C, Non-carcinogen, Standar Value, Direct Contact (ingestion only), ind use (mg/kg)	d Fomula dustrial land	3.2E+04
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Fres 173-201A WAC	sh/Acute - Ch.	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Fres Clean Water Act §304	sh/Acute -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Fres National Toxics Rule - 40 CFR 131	sh/Acute -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Fres Ch. 173-201A WAC	h/Chronic -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Fres Clean Water Act §304	h/Chronic -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Fres National Toxics Rule, 40 CFR 131	h/Chronic -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Mari 173-201A WAC	ne/Acute - Ch.	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Mari Clean Water Act §304	ne/Acute -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Mari National Toxics Rule, 40 CFR 131	ne/Acute -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Mari Ch. 173-201A WAC	ne/Chronic -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Mari Clean Water Act §304	ne/Chronic -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Aquatic Life - Mari National Toxics Rule, 40 CFR 131	ine/Chronic -	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Human Health – Fr Clean Water Act §304	resh Water –	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Human Health – Fi National Toxics Rule, 40 CFR 131	resh Water –	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Human Health – M Water Act §304	arine – Clean	Not Researched
Surface Water ARAR - Human Health – M National Toxics Rule, 40 CFR 131	arine –	Not Researched
Surface Water, Method B, Carcinogen, St Formula Value	andard	Not Researched
Surface Water, Method B, Non-Carcinoge Formula Value	en, Standard	Not Researched
Surface Water, Method C, Carcinogen, St Formula Value	andard	Not Researched
Surface Water, Method C, Non-Carcinoge Formula Value	en, Standard	Not Researched
Worksheet for Calculating Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted & Industrial Land Use

Date:	<u>11/18/2013</u>
Site Name:	Simplot Warden, WA
Evaluator:	<u>M Murray</u>

Refer to WAC 173-340-720, 740, 745, 747 and 750 for details.

¹Soil ingestion only; ²Soil dermal contact; ³Soil to Ground Water; ⁴Ground Water ingestion; ⁵Vapor exposure pathway

A. INPUT PARAMETERS FOR SOIL CLEANUP LEVEL CALCULATIONS

Note: If no data is available for any of the following inputs, then leave the input box blank

Item	Symbol	Value	Units	
1. General information				
1.1 Name of Chemical:	I	EDB		
1.2 Measured Soil Concentration, if any:	C_{s}	0.218	mg/kg	
1.3 Natural Background Concentration for Soil, if any:	NB_s	0	mg/kg	
1.4 Practical Quantitation Limit for Soil, if any:	PQL_s	0.004	mg/kg	
* To evaluate the ingestion and dermal pathways concurrently, check here and input values for AF, ABS, GI:	- -			
2. Toxicological Properties of the Chemical: Chemical-Specific				
2.1 Oral Reference Dose ^{1, 3}	RfD _o	0.009	mg/kg-day	
2.2 Oral Carcinogenic Potency Factor ^{1, 3}	CPF _o	2	kg-day/mg	
2.3 Inhalation Reference Dose ⁵	RfD_i	2.60E-03	mg/kg-day	
2.4 Inhalation Carcinogenic Potency Factor ⁵	CPF_i	2.1	kg-day/mg	
3. Exposure Parameters				
3.1 Inhalation Correction Factor (default = "2" for volatiles; "1" for all others) ⁴	INH	2	unitless	
3.2 Inhalation Absorption Fraction $(default = "1")^5$	ABS_i	1	unitless	
3.3 Gastrointestinal Absorption Fraction (default = "1") ^{1, 2}	AB1	1	unitless	
3.4 Adherence Factor $(default = "0.2")^2$	AF	0.2	mg/cm ² -day	
3.5 Dermal Absorption Fraction (chemical-specific or defaults) ²	ABS_d	0.1	unitless	
3.6 Gastrointestinal Absorption Conversion Factor (chemical-specific or defaults) ²	GI	0.5	unitless	
4. Physical and Chemical Properties of the Chemical: Chemical-Specific			_	
Soil Organic Carbon-Water Partitioning Coefficient: for metals, enter K_d value here and enter "1" for f_{oc} value	K _{oc}	6.600E+01	l/kg	
Henry's Law Constant: for the evaluation of ground water and vapor exposure pathway	H_{cc}	2.768E-02	unitless	
*If the value for Henry's Law Constant is given in the unit of "atm.m ³ /mol", enter value here:	H	6.500E-04	atm.m ³ /mol	
*Converted unitless form of H_{cc} @13 °C: (Enter this converted value into " H_{cc} input Box" above for a calculation)	H_{cc}	2.768E-02	unitless	

Solubility of the Chemical in Water: for the calculation of soil saturation limit	S	4.320E+03	mg/l
5. Target Ground Water Cleanup Level		8	
Target Ground Water Cleanup Level applicable for a soil cleanup level calculation:			
*Results from the Ground Water Cleanup Level Worksheet are not	C_w	5.00E-02	ug/l
6. Site-Specific Hydrogeological Characteristics			
Total Soil Porosity (default = "0.43"):	n	0.43	unitless
Volumetric Water Content (default = "0.30"):	$\boldsymbol{\varTheta}_w$	0.3	unitless
Volumetric Air Content (default = "0.13"):	Θ_{α}	0.13	unitless
Dry Soil Bulk Density (default = "1.50"):	$ ho_{b}$	1.5	kg/l
Fraction Soil Organic Carbon (default = "0.001"): for metals, enter "1" for f_{oc} value here	f_{oc}	0.001	unitless
Dilution Factor (default = "20" for unsaturated zone soil; "1" for saturated zone soil; or site-specific)	DF	20	unitless
7. Vapor Attenuation Factor due to Advection (building structure) & Diffusion (soil layer) Mechanisms			-
* Vapor Attenuation Factor is the ratio of air concentration at the exposure point (e.g., within the building) to the vapor- phase contaminant concentration within the soil at the source			
Enter Vapor Attenuation Factor: for the evaluation of vapor exposure pathway	VAF	0.01	unitless
B. SUMMARY OF SOIL CLEANUP LEVEL CALCULATIONS Chemical of Concern: EDB			

1. Summary of Results

To calculate a soil cleanup level based on Industrial Land Use (Method C) for Direct Soil Contact, check here:

	F F	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Basis for Soil Concentration	Conc	Units					
Most stringent soil concentration based on Soil Direct							
Contact & Ground Water Protection:	2.684E-04	mg/kg					
Natural Background concentration for Soil:	0	mg/kg					
Practical Quantitation Limit for Soil:	0.004	mg/kg					
Soil Cleanup Level (not considering vapor pathway):	4.000E-03	mg/kg					
Warning! Soil Cleanup Level above may not be protective of vapor exposu							
pathway - evaluate vapor pathway	pathway - evaluate vapor pathway further.						
Soil concentration based on Vapor Pathway	9 924E 07	ma/ka					
(informational purposes only):	0.024E-07	nig/kg					
Soil Saturation Limit, C _{sat} :	1.159E+03	mg/kg					

C_{sat} corresponds to the total soil chemical concentration saturated in soil.

R is the ratio of the ground water flow velocity to the contaminant migration velocity in saturated zone

Retardation Factor, R:

1.2 unitless

บบานสาาแกลาน กาเมาสนบกา งษาบบนนุ แก จลเนาสเษน 20116.

2. Summary of Calculation for each Exposure Pathway

Summary by Exposure Pathway										
			<u>Meth</u> Unrestricte @ HQ=1.0; I	<u>od B</u> d Land Use RISK =1.0E-6	<u>Method C</u> Industrial Land Use @ HQ=1.0; RISK =1.0E-5					
Soil Direct			Ingestion only	Ingestion & Dermal	Ingestion only	Ingestion & Dermal				
Contact	Under the Current Condition	HQ? @ Exposure Point RISK? @ Exposure Point	3.028E-04 4.360E-07	4.360E-04 6.278E-07	6.921E-06 3.322E-08	3.633E-05 1.744E-07				
	Target Soil	@HQ=1.0	7.200E+02	5.000E+02	3.150E+04	6.000E+03				
	CUL? mg/kg	@RISK =1.0E-6 or 1.0E-5	5.000E-01	3.472E-01	6.563E+01	1.250E+01				
			<u>Meth</u>	<u>eod B</u> ISK =1 0E 6	<u>Method C</u>					
Protection of	Under the Current	Predicted Ground Water Conc? ug/l	@ HQ=1.0, K	4.061	E+01					
Potable	Condition	HQ? @ Exposure Point	5.640)E-01	2.578E-01					
Ground Water		RISK? @ Exposure Point	1.857	7E-03	1.857E-03					
	Target Ground Wate	5.000E-02								
	Target Soil CUL?	mg/kg	2.684E-04							
			<u>Meth</u>	od <u>B</u>	Method C					
			@ HQ=1.0; R	ISK =1.0E-6	@ HQ=1.0; RISK =1.0E-5					
Protection of	Under the Current	Predicted Air Conc? ug/m ³ @Exposure Point		2.248	3E+02					
Air Quality	Condition	HQ? @ Exposure Point	5.404	E+01	2.471E+01					
(for informational		RISK? @ Exposure Point	5.396	5E-02	5.396	5E-02				
(Jor informational purpose only)	Target Air	@ HQ=1.0	4.160	0E+00	9.100)E+00				
purpose only)	CUL? ug/m ³	@ RISK=1.0E-6 or 1.0E-5	4.167	7E-03	4.167	7E-02				
	Target Soil	@ HQ=1.0	4.034	4E-03	8.824	4E-07				
	CUL? mg/kg	@ RISK=1.0E-6 or 1.0E-5	4.040)E-06	4.040E-05					

NOTES: "CUL" = Cleanup Level; "Conc" = concentration; "HQ" = hazard quotient; "RISK" = carcinogenic risk.

CAUTION: The requirements and procedures for establishing soil cleanup levels that are protective of human health and the environment are specified in the MTCA Cleanup Regulation (see WAC 173-340-740, 173-340-745, 173-340-747 and 173-340-7490 through 173-340-7494). The use of this Workbook is not sufficient to establish soil cleanup levels under the regulation. Specifically, the soil cleanup levels derived using this Workbook do not account for the following:

- · Concentrations based on applicable state and federal laws (see WAC 173-340-740(3)(b)(i) and 173-340-745(5)(b)(i));
- · Soil residual saturation (see WAC 173-340-747(10));
- · Ecological impacts (see WAC 173-340-7490 through 7494); and
- Total site risk (see WAC 173-340-740(5)(a) and 173-340-745(6)(a)).

Other exposure pathways may also need to be evaluated on a site-specific basis to establish soil cleanup levels.

CAUTION: The requirements and procedures for establishing air cleanup levels that are protective of human health and the environment are specified in the MTCA Cleanup Regulation (see WAC 173-340-750). The use of this Workbook may not be sufficient to establish air cleanup levels under the regulation. Specifically, the air cleanup levels derived using this Workbook do not account for the following:

- · Concentrations based on applicable state and federal laws (see WAC 173-340-750(3)(b)(i) and (4)(b)(i));
- · Concentrations based on natural background and the practical quantitation limit (see WAC 173-340-750(5)(c));
- Total site risk (see WAC 173-340-750(5)(a)).



Voluntary Cleanup Program

Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program

TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGICAL EVALUATION FORM

Under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), a terrestrial ecological evaluation is necessary if hazardous substances are released into the soils at a Site. In the event of such a release, you must take one of the following three actions as part of your investigation and cleanup of the Site:

- 1. Document an exclusion from further evaluation using the criteria in WAC 173-340-7491.
- 2. Conduct a simplified evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7492.
- 3. Conduct a site-specific evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7493.

When requesting a written opinion under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), you must complete this form and submit it to the Department of Ecology (Ecology). The form documents the type and results of your evaluation.

Completion of this form is not sufficient to document your evaluation. You still need to document your analysis and the basis for your conclusion in your cleanup plan or report.

If you have questions about how to conduct a terrestrial ecological evaluation, please contact the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. For additional guidance, please refer to www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/policies/terrestrial/TEEHome.htm.

Step 1: IDENTIFY HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE

Please identify below the hazardous waste site for which you are documenting an evaluation.

Facility/Site Name:

Facility/Site Address:

Facility/Sit	te No:
r aunity/On	

VCP Project No.:

Step 2: IDENTIFY EVALUATOR

Please identify below the person who conducted the evaluation and their contact information.

Name:				Title:			
Organization:							
Mailing address:							
City:			te:	Zip code:			
Phone: Fax:			E-mail:				

Step 3: DOO	CUMENT EVALUATION TYPE AND RESULTS
A. Exclusion	n from further evaluation.
1. Does the	Site qualify for an exclusion from further evaluation?
	fes If you answered "YES," then answer Question 2.
Unkı	No or If you answered " NO" or "UKNOWN," then skip to Step 3B of this form.
2. What is th	ne basis for the exclusion? Check all that apply. Then skip to Step 4 of this form.
Point of C	ompliance: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(a)
	All soil contamination is, or will be,* at least 15 feet below the surface.
	All soil contamination is, or will be,* at least 6 feet below the surface (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology), and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.
Barriers to	Exposure: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(b)
	All contaminated soil, is or will be,* covered by physical barriers (such as buildings or paved roads) that prevent exposure to plants and wildlife, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.
Undevelop	ped Land: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)
	There is less than 0.25 acres of contiguous [#] undeveloped [±] land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site and any of the following chemicals is present: chlorinated dioxins or furans, PCB mixtures, DDT, DDE, DDD, aldrin, chlordane, dieldrin, endosulfan, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, benzene hexachloride, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, or pentachlorobenzene.
	For sites not containing any of the chemicals mentioned above, there is less than 1.5 acres of contiguous [#] undeveloped [±] land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site.
Backgrour	nd Concentrations: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(d)
	Concentrations of hazardous substances in soil do not exceed natural background levels as described in WAC 173-340-200 and 173-340-709.
* An exclusion acceptable to E "Undevelope prevent wildlife "Contiguous" highways, exte by wildlife.	based on future land use must have a completion date for future development that is Ecology. d land" is land that is not covered by building, roads, paved areas, or other barriers that would from feeding on plants, earthworms, insects, or other food in or on the soil. undeveloped land is an area of undeveloped land that is not divided into smaller areas of nsive paving, or similar structures that are likely to reduce the potential use of the overall area

В.	3. Simplified evaluation.									
1.	Does the S	Site qualify for a simplified evaluation?								
	□ Y	es If you answered "YES," then answer Question 2 below.								
	□ No or Unknown If you answered " NO " or " UNKNOWN, " then skip to Step 3C of this form.									
2.	2. Did you conduct a simplified evaluation?									
	🗌 Y	es If you answered "YES," then answer Question 3 below.								
	🗌 N	lo If you answered " NO, " then skip to Step 3C of this form.								
3.	Was furthe	er evaluation necessary?								
	□ Y	es If you answered "YES," then answer Question 4 below.								
	🗌 N	lo If you answered " NO, " then answer Question 5 below.								
4.	lf further e	valuation was necessary, what did you do?								
		Used the concentrations listed in Table 749-2 as cleanup levels. If so, then skip to Step 4 of this form.								
		Conducted a site-specific evaluation. If so, then skip to Step 3C of this form.								
5.	If no furthe to Step 4 o	er evaluation was necessary, what was the reason? Check all that apply. Then skip f this form.								
	Exposure /	Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(a)								
		Area of soil contamination at the Site is not more than 350 square feet.								
		Current or planned land use makes wildlife exposure unlikely. Used Table 749-1.								
	Pathway A	nalysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(b)								
		No potential exposure pathways from soil contamination to ecological receptors.								
	Contamina	nt Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(c)								
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at concentrations that exceed the values listed in Table 749-2.								
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations that exceed the values listed in Table 749-2, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.								
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at concentrations likely to be toxic or have the potential to bioaccumulate as determined using Ecology-approved bioassays.								
		No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations likely to be toxic or have the potential to bioaccumulate as determined using Ecology-approved bioassays, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.								

C.	. Site-specify the problem require con	fic evaluation. A site-specific evaluation process consists of two parts: (1) formulating n, and (2) selecting the methods for addressing the identified problem. Both steps isultation with and approval by Ecology. <i>See</i> WAC 173-340-7493(1)(c).
1.	Was there	a problem? See WAC 173-340-7493(2).
	Y	es If you answered "YES," then answer Question 2 below.
	□ N	If you answered "NO," then identify the reason here and then skip to Question 5 below:
		No issues were identified during the problem formulation step.
		While issues were identified, those issues were addressed by the cleanup actions for protecting human health.
2.	What did y	ou do to resolve the problem? See WAC 173-340-7493(3).
		Used the concentrations listed in Table 749-3 as cleanup levels. If so, then skip to Question 5 below.
		Used one or more of the methods listed in WAC 173-340-7493(3) to evaluate and address the identified problem. <i>If so, then answer Questions 3 and 4 below.</i>
3.	If you cond Check all th	ducted further site-specific evaluations, what methods did you use? nat apply. See WAC 173-340-7493(3).
		Literature surveys.
		Soil bioassays.
		Wildlife exposure model.
		Biomarkers.
		Site-specific field studies.
		Weight of evidence.
		Other methods approved by Ecology. If so, please specify:
4.	What was	the result of those evaluations?
		Confirmed there was no problem.
		Confirmed there was a problem and established site-specific cleanup levels.
5.	Have you problem re	already obtained Ecology's approval of both your problem formulation and esolution steps?
	□ Y	es If so, please identify the Ecology staff who approved those steps:
	□ N	0

Step 4: SUBMITTAL

Please mail your completed form to the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. If a site manager has not yet been assigned, please mail your completed form to the Ecology regional office for the County in which your Site is located.

Northwest Region:	Central Region:
Attn: VCP Coordinator	Attn: VCP Coordinator
3190 160 th Ave. SE	1250 West Alder St.
Bellevue, WA 98008-5452	Union Gap, WA 98903-0009
Southwest Region:	Eastern Region:
Attn: VCP Coordinator	Attn: VCP Coordinator
P.O. Box 47775	N. 4601 Monroe
Olympia, WA 98504-7775	Spokane WA 99205-1295



ECY 090-300 (07/2015) To request ADA accommodation including materials in a format for the visually impaired, call Ecology Toxic Cleanup Program 360-407-7170. Persons with impaired hearing may call Washington Relay Service at 711. Persons with speech disability may call TTY at 877-833-6341.

G

Costs Summary

Alternative 2 - Estimated Costs for Institutional Controls and Monitored Natural Attenuation with Semi-Annual Well Testing

	ESIMATED COST PER YEAR							T PER YEAR						
DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY		YEAR -	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL
BESCHIFTION	NUMBER	UNIT	UNIT COST(\$)	TOTAL COST(\$)	TOTAL COST(\$)	TOTAL COST(\$)								
Project Management, Accounting, and Institutional Controls	1	YEAR	\$4,200	\$4,326	\$4,456	\$4,589	\$4,727	\$4,869	\$5,015	\$5,165	\$5,320	\$5,480	\$5,644	\$49,593
Semi-Annual Reporting	1	YEAR	\$4,200	\$4,326	\$4,456	\$4,589	\$4,727	\$4,869	\$5,015	\$5,165	\$5,320	\$5,480	\$5,644	\$49,593
Groundwater Sampling (twice per year)	1	YEAR	\$22,000	\$22,660	\$23,340	\$24,040	\$24,761	\$25,504	\$26,269	\$27,057	\$27,869	\$28,705	\$29,566	\$259,772
Annual Sampling of EDB in Soil	1	YEAR	\$4,100	\$4,223	\$4,350	\$4,480	\$4,615	\$4,753	\$4,896	\$5,042	\$5,194	\$5,350	\$5,510	\$48,412
Semi-Annual Testing for EDB in Groundwater	1	YEAR	\$2,800	\$2,884	\$2,971	\$3,060	\$3,151	\$3,246	\$3,343	\$3,444	\$3,547	\$3,653	\$3,763	\$33,062
OMM of Wells (New wells MW-11S, MW-12S and assumed replacement of 2 wells over 10 years)		LS		\$10,400	\$206	\$212	\$5,219	\$225	\$232	\$239	\$5,446	\$253	\$261	\$22,693
TOTAL				\$44,493	\$35,322	\$36,381	\$42,473	\$38,597	\$39,755	\$40,948	\$47,376	\$43,441	\$44,745	\$413,531
3% inflation						-	-			Genera	I Contingency (% o	f total direct and in	direct)	15%
											TOTAL ESTIN	IATED COST		\$475,560

Alternative 3a - Soil Borings, Institutional Controls, Soil Excavation including soil/groundwater Interface, Treatment (Ex-Situ SVE), and Return (or use elsewhere), and Monitored Natural Attenuation of Groundwater

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY		ESTIMATED COST						
DESCRIPTION	NUMBER	UNIT	UNIT COST(\$)	TOTAL COST(\$)					
DIRECT CAPITAL COST									
Reporting and Natural Attenuation Monitoring (Alternative 2) Total Cost									
Workplan for Soil Borings, Soil Excavation and Well Installation	1	LS	\$4,200	\$4,200					
Monitored Natural Attenuation (assume 5 years), same as Alt 2 for 1st 5 yrs. No soil sampling	1	5 YEAR	\$148,854	\$148,854					
SUBTOTAL - REPORTING				\$153,054					
Soil Borings, Well Installation, and Abandonment									
Drill and Sample up to 6 soil borings, sample for EDB	1	LS	\$7,300	\$7,300					
Abandon 2 Monitoring Wells (cost of MW-11S, MW-12S covered above)	1	LS	\$3,000	\$3,000					
Reporting	1	LS	\$4,200	\$4,200					
SUBTOTAL - MONITORING WELLS									
Excavation, Stockpiling, Sampling									
Surveying (pre-, during, and post-)	4	DAY	\$1,400	\$5,600					
Equipment mobilization/demobilization	2	LS	\$2,200	\$4,400					
Excavate and stockpile clean soil (12,000 cubic yards)	10	DAY	\$2,800	\$28,000					
Excavate and stockpile EDB-impacted soil (1,180 cubic yards)	12	DAY	\$2,800	\$33,600					
Confirmation sampling of pit (laboratory costs)	32	EA	\$100	\$3,200					
Backfill excavation with clean soil and compact	5	DAY	\$2,500	\$12,500					
Additional backfill for excavation	1,000	Cu Yd	\$25	\$25,000					
SUBTOTAL - EXCAVATION/STOCKPILING/Treatment									
Soil Treatment (stockpiled EDB impacted soil and Ex-situ SVE on-site)									
Sampling of stockpile prior to SVE setup (laboratory)	12	EA	\$100	\$1,200					
Work Plan for Ex-Situ SVE and Air Permitting	1	LS	\$5,200	\$5,200					
SVE piping, blower (rental, 4 Mo), activated carbon (rental, vender), power (220V)	1	LS	\$28,000	\$28,000					
Construction of Ex-situ treatment pad and SVE setup (run in 2 phases)	6	Day	\$2,200	\$13,200					
Ex-Situ SVE over 4 month period on-site, system checks, and sampling	16	Week	\$2,100	\$33,600					
SUBTOTAL - Soil Treatment									
TOTAL - DIRECT CAPITAL COSTS									
	067								
INDIRECT CAPITAL CO	1	18	\$20,000	\$20,000					
	1		\$30,000	\$30,000					
	'	TEAR	ΦΤΟ,000	\$10,000					
SUBTOTAL - CAPITAL COST (DIRECT AND IN				\$401,054					
General Contingency (% of total direct and indirect)									
TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COST									

Alternative 3b - Soil Borings, Institutional Controls, Soil Excavation including soil/groundwater Interface, Landfill of excavated soils, and Monitored Natural Attenuation of Groundwater

_		r		T						
DESCRIPTION		QUANTITY		ESTIMATED COST						
	NUMBER	UNIT	UNIT COST(\$)	TOTAL COST(\$)						
DIRECT CAPITAL COST										
Re	Reporting and Natural Attenuation Monitoring (Alternative 2) Total Cost									
	Workplan for Soil Borings, Soil Excavation and Well Installation	1	LS	\$4,200	\$4,200					
	Monitored Natural Attenuation (assume 5 years), same as Alt 2 for 1st 5 yrs. No soil sampling	1	5 YEAR	\$197,266	\$148,854.23					
SUBTOTAL - REPORTING										
So	Soil Borings, Well Installation, and Abandonment									
	Drill and Sample up to 6 soil borings, sample for EDB	1	LS	\$7,300	\$7,300					
	Abandon 2 Monitoring Wells (cost of MW-11S, MW-12S covered above)	1	LS	\$3,000	\$3,000					
	Reporting	1	LS	\$4,200	\$4,200					
	SUBTOTAL - MONITORING WELLS									
Exe	Excavation, Stockpiling, Sampling									
	Surveying (pre-, during, and post-)	4	DAY	\$1,400	\$5,600					
	Equipment mobilization/demobilization	2	LS	\$2,200	\$4,400					
	Excavate and stockpile clean soil (12,000 cubic yards)	10	DAY	\$2,800	\$28,000					
	Excavate and stockpile EDB-impacted soil (1,180 cubic yards)	12	DAY	\$2,800	\$33,600					
	Confirmation sampling of pit (laboratory costs)	32	EA	\$100	\$3,200					
	Backfill excavation with clean soil and compact	5	DAY	\$2,500	\$12,500					
	Additional backfill for excavation	1,000	Cu Yd	\$25	\$25,000					
	SUBTOTAL - EXCAVATION/STOCKPILING/Treatment									
Soil loading to trucks, transportation, and disposal at landfill (Greater Wenatchee)										
	Sampling of stockpile and profile for landfill	12	EA	\$100	\$1,200					
	Work Plan for soil excavation and landfilling	1	LS	\$5,200	\$5,200					
	Loading to trucks (1180 yd3 or 1,770 tons), 60 loads, 10 days	10	LS	\$2,200	\$22,000					
	Landfill Fee (if used for daily cover)	1,770	Ton	\$30	\$53,330					
	Transportation (Dump truck and pup transportation)	1,770	Ton	\$36	\$63,720					
	Fees, reuse tax	1,770	Ton	\$3	\$5,310					
	Ex-Situ SVE over 4 month period on-site, system checks, and sampling	16	Week	\$2,100	\$33,600					
	SUBTOTAL - Soil Landfill				\$184,360					
	TOTAL - DIRECT CAPITAL COSTS				\$464,214					
			10	¢20.000	¢20.000					
-		1	LƏ	\$30,000	\$30,000					
		1	TEAR	\$10,000	\$10,000					
SUBTOTAL - CAPITAL COST (DIRECT AND INDIRECT)										
	General Contingency (% of total direct and indirect)									
	TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL COST									