



Electronic Copy

STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
PO Box 47775 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7775 • 360-407-6300
Call 711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

December 6, 2018

Mike Rhubright
Tacoma Public Utilities
3628 South 35th St
Tacoma, WA 98409

Re: No Further Action at the following Site:

- **Site Name:** TPU Transformer at POT Pier 7
- **Site Address:** 2201 Sitcum Way, Tacoma, Pierce County, WA 98421
- **Facility/Site No.:** 15369
- **Cleanup Site No.:** 12934
- **VCP Project No.:** SW1561

Dear Mike Rhubright:

The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) received your request for an opinion on your independent cleanup of the Tacoma Public Utilities (TPU) Transformer at Port of Tacoma (POT) Pier 7 facility (Site). On October 24, 2018, your submittal, including upload of electronic data, was complete and ready for our review. This letter provides our opinion. We are providing this opinion under the authority of the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), chapter 70.105D Revised Code of Washington (RCW).

Issue Presented and Opinion

Ecology has determined that no further remedial action is necessary to clean up contamination at the Site.

This opinion is based on an analysis of whether the remedial action meets the substantive requirements of MTCA, chapter 70.105D RCW, and its implementing regulations, Washington Administrative Code (WAC) chapter 173-340 (collectively “substantive requirements of MTCA”). The analysis is provided below.

Description of the Site

This opinion applies only to the Site described below. The Site is defined by the nature and extent of contamination associated with the following release:

- Mineral oil into the soil and potentially groundwater.

Enclosure A includes a detailed description and diagrams of the Site, as currently known to Ecology.

Please note the Property is also located within the projected boundaries of the Tacoma Smelter Plume facility (facility Site identification [FSID] #62855481). At this time, we have no information that this Property is actually affected. This opinion does not apply to any contamination associated with the Tacoma Smelter Plume facility.

Basis for the Opinion

This opinion is based on the information contained in the following documents:

1. Robinson Noble, Inc. (Robinson Noble), *Remedial Investigation*, dated September 2018.
2. Robinson Noble, Revised Work Plan Figures, submitted June 23, 2017.
3. TPU, PCB Transformer Data, submitted June 23, 2017.
4. Ecology, *Re: Further Action at the following Site*, dated May 30, 2017.
5. Robinson Noble, *Draft Work Plan for TPU transformer near POT Pier 7*, dated October 14, 2016.
6. Ecology, Initial Investigation Report, dated October 12, 2015.
7. Robinson Noble, *TPU Soil Remediation*, dated July 2015.

These documents are kept in the Central Files of the Southwest Regional Office of Ecology (SWRO) for review by appointment only. Requests to review records may be made starting at:

<https://ecology.wa.gov/About-us/Accountability-transparency/Public-records-requests>.

Alternatively, Ecology's Public Records Officer can be reached at PublicRecordsOfficer@ecy.wa.gov or (360) 407-6040.

Some reports may be publicly available online at:

<https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/gsp/CleanupSiteDocuments.aspx?csid=12934>

This opinion is void if any of the information contained in those documents is materially false or misleading.

Analysis of the Cleanup

Ecology has concluded that **no further remedial action** is necessary to clean up contamination at the Site. That conclusion is based on the following analysis:

1. Characterization of the Site.

Background:

Ecology has determined your characterization of the Site is sufficient to establish cleanup standards and select a cleanup action. The Site is described above and in **Enclosure A**.

In December 2014, a release of up to 143 gallons of mineral oil occurred from TPU's transformer at the Port of Tacoma's Pier 7. In May 2015, Robinson Noble sampled soil and groundwater around the failed transformer and did not detect any mineral oil contamination. In July 2015, during change out of the transformer, contaminated soil was removed using a vacuum truck. Soils were excavated up to 9 feet below ground surface (bgs). A total of 5.92 tons of oil waste water and 11.85 tons of oily soils were disposed of off-Site by the PRS Group, Inc. of Tacoma, Washington.

Per concurrence obtained from Ecology in 2016 and 2017, one monitoring well (MW-1) was installed downgradient of, and as close as practicable to, the mineral oil release. Installed in October 2017, monitoring well MW-1 was screened from 6 to 21 feet bgs to account for potential tidal fluctuations. MW-1 was twice sampled at low tide to ensure that, if present, any separate phase hydrocarbons (SPH; free product) would be observed in the well.

Depth to groundwater in MW-1 was reported at 7.52 feet below top of casing (TOC) in October 2017 and 8.22 below TOC in May 2018, confirming that groundwater had been in contact with the contaminated soils. Soil and groundwater were sampled for mineral oil, consistent with requirements under WAC 173-340-900, Table 830-1. In an email dated June 23, 2017, Ecology was provided analytical data and associated documentation verifying that the transformer mineral oil did not contain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). For additional details, see the reports listed above.

Pathway Analysis:

- 1) Soil.
 - a. Mineral oil was released up to a depth of 8.5 feet bgs (less than 15 feet bgs) and groundwater was reported as shallow as 7.52 feet below TOC (MW-1). Thus, groundwater came into contact with contaminated soils. Based on this information, the direct contact and protection of groundwater pathways for soil were potentially complete.

- b. In July 2015, a vacuum truck was used to excavate contaminated soils up to 9 feet bgs. Infiltrating groundwater prevented confirmatory soil sampling at the bottom of the excavation, though the sample at 8.5 feet bgs indicated a mineral oil concentration of 22,000 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). The direct contact and protection of groundwater pathways required additional evaluation as it was not certain that all contaminated soil had been removed.
 - c. Mineral oil was not detected in soil and groundwater samples collected during installation at MW-1, suggesting that all contamination had been removed during the 2015 excavation. MW-1 was installed approximately 15 feet downgradient of the mineral oil release.
 - d. Empirically, if contaminated soil was impacting groundwater, mineral oil would be detected at MW-1. As mineral oil was not detected in groundwater sampled from MW-1, it is more likely than not¹ that all contaminated soil was removed in July 2015.
- 2) Groundwater.
- a. Mineral oil was not detected in groundwater at borings B1, B3, B5, B6, and at monitoring well MW-1. Groundwater was sampled using low flow methodology. Parameter readings collected indicated that the groundwater was fresh water.
 - b. Groundwater was sampled at MW-1 during two events across seasonal fluctuations consistent with section 10.3 in Ecology Publication No. 10-09-057, *Guidance For Remediation of Petroleum Contaminated Sites*, revised June 2016.
- 3) Air (vapor).
- a. The nearest building is 225 feet away from the Site. For mineral oil, the 100 foot lateral exclusion distance for vapor can be applied.² The vapor pathway is incomplete.
- 4) Surface Water.
- a. The nearest surface water is the Sitcum Waterway, located approximately 175 feet west of the Site. Monitoring well MW-1 was installed in October 2017 between the mineral oil release and surface water.

¹ Professional judgment, as described in WAC 173-340-360(2).

² See Section 2.3 in Ecology Publication No. 09-09-047, *Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in Washington State*, revised February 2016.

- b. Based on the groundwater sampling results at both the borings and monitoring well MW-1, surface water has not been impacted by the Site. The surface water pathway is incomplete.

5) Sediment.

- a. Based on Site sampling analytical results, sediment has been impacted by the Site. The pathway is incomplete.

6) Ecological.

- a. Per WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)(i), Robinson Noble proposed an exclusion to further terrestrial ecological evaluation (TEE). A revised TEE form was provided to Ecology by email on October 29, 2018. Ecology concurs with the revised TEE evaluation results and the Site is excluded from further TEE.

2. Establishment of cleanup standards.

a. Substance-specific standards.

Ecology has determined the cleanup levels and points of compliance you established for the Site meet the substantive requirements of MTCA. Standard points of compliance have been established for soil and groundwater.

Site cleanup levels:

Site COC	MTCA Method	Soil (mg/kg)	Groundwater (µg/L)
Mineral oil	A	4,000	500

Standard points of compliance:

Per WAC 173-340-740(6)(b): “. . . [f]or soil cleanup levels based on the protection of ground water, the point of compliance shall be established in the soils throughout the site.”

For groundwater, per WAC 173-340-720(8)(b): “. . . [t]he standard point of compliance shall be established throughout the site from the uppermost level of the saturated zone extending vertically to the lowest most depth which could potentially be affected by the site.”

Cleanup levels and points of compliance were not established for air (vapor), surface water, and sediment because these pathways are incomplete.

No cleanup levels nor a point of compliance were established for the ecological pathway because the Site was eligible for exclusion from further TEE. Per WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)(i), Robinson Noble proposed an exclusion to further TEE. Ecology concurs with the TEE evaluation results and the Site is excluded from further TEE.

3. Selection of cleanup action.

Ecology has determined the cleanup action you selected for the Site meets the substantive requirements of MTCA.

Though a feasibility study was not conducted as required per WAC 173-340-350(2), contaminated soils were removed by excavation as an interim action. Ecology concurs with the selected cleanup action. The groundwater results at the Site indicate that contaminated soils identified up to 8.5 feet bgs were more likely than not removed during the 2015 excavation.

The implemented cleanup action meets the requirements of WAC 173-340-360(2):

1. Contaminated soil was permanently removed and disposed of off-Site.
2. Soil removal is a permanent solution to Site contamination.
3. The cleanup complies with MTCA cleanup levels established for the Site.
4. Removal of contaminated soil is protective of human health and the environment, and provides a reasonable restoration timeframe for addressing the release at the Site.
5. Confirmational soil and groundwater samples were collected and analytical results comply with the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for the Site.

4. Cleanup.

Ecology has determined the cleanup you performed meets the cleanup standards established for the Site. A total of 5.92 tons of oil waste water and 11.85 tons of oily soils were disposed of off-Site by the PRS Group, Inc. of Tacoma, Washington.

5. Decommissioning of Site Monitoring Wells

Resource protection wells³ associated with this Site no longer being used for their intended purpose must be properly decommissioned.⁴ Specific standards apply to decommissioning

³ WAC 173-160-410

⁴ WAC 173-160-381

resource protection wells.⁵ Please work with a Washington State licensed well driller. Report the decommissioning of MW-1 to Ecology, including the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) Site Manger. Ecology may revoke this no further action (NFA) opinion determination if resource protection wells at the Site are not properly decommissioned.

Listing of the Site

Based on this opinion, Ecology will remove the Site from our Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List.

Limitations of the Opinion

1. Opinion does not settle liability with the state.

Liable persons are strictly liable, jointly and severally, for all remedial action costs and for all natural resource damages resulting from the release or releases of hazardous substances at the Site. This opinion **does not**:

- Resolve or alter a person's liability to the state.
- Protect liable persons from contribution claims by third parties.

To settle liability with the state and obtain protection from contribution claims, a person must enter into a consent decree with Ecology under RCW 70.105D.040(4).

2. Opinion does not constitute a determination of substantial equivalence.

To recover remedial action costs from other liable persons under MTCA, one must demonstrate that the action is the substantial equivalent of an Ecology-conducted or Ecology-supervised action. This opinion does not determine whether the action you performed is substantially equivalent. Courts make that determination. *See* RCW 70.105D.080 and WAC 173-340-545.

3. State is immune from liability.

The state, Ecology, and its officers and employees are immune from all liability, and no cause of action of any nature may arise from any act or omission in providing this opinion. *See* RCW 70.105D.030(1)(i).


⁵ WAC 173-340-460

Termination of Agreement

Thank you for cleaning up the Site under the VCP. This opinion terminates the VCP Agreement governing this project (#SW1561).

For more information about the VCP and the cleanup process, please visit our web site: www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/vcp/vcpmain.htm. If you have any questions about this opinion or the termination of the Agreement, please contact me by phone at (360) 407-6265 or e-mail at tmul461@ecy.wa.gov.

Sincerely,



Tim Mullin, LHG
Southwest Regional Office
Toxics Cleanup Program

TCM: tm

Enclosure: A – Description and Figures of the Site
B – Revised TEE form

By certified mail: 9489 00900027 6066 6793 61

cc: Max Wills, Robinson-Noble
Rob Healy, Port of Tacoma
Nick Acklam, Ecology
Beth McKee, Ecology
Ecology Site File

Enclosure A

Description and Figures of the Site

Site Description

Site:

The Site is located on Pier 7 at the Port of Tacoma, most closely aligned to the address of 2201 Sitcum Way, Pierce County, Washington. According to the Pierce County Assessor's website, the Site is associated with tax parcel 2275200633, which totals 108.15 acres in size (Property). The Site is wholly contained within the aforementioned tax parcel. A transformer occupies the current footprint of the Site. The Property is zoned as governmental services, but is within the industrial area of the Port of Tacoma.

Property History and Current Use:

A transformer had been present at property since at least the late 1980s. A new transformer was installed over the old footprint in July 2015. A transformer is the anticipated Site use for the foreseeable future.

Property Vicinity:

The Property is in an area of industrial properties.

Geology/Soils:

Site soils are predominantly sands with some silt and trace amounts of gravel (fill), to the maximum exploration depth of 22 feet bgs.

Groundwater:

Groundwater has been measured in four soil borings and one monitoring well (MW-1) from top of casing (TOC). Depth to groundwater ranged from 7.52 ft below TOC in October 2017 to 8.22 ft below TOC in May 2018. Site groundwater flow is likely to the west, towards Sitcum Waterway.

Surface/Storm Water/Septic Systems:


Sitcum Waterway is located approximately 175 feet west of the Site. No stormwater or septic systems are present at the Site.

Source of Contamination:

A release of mineral oil occurred from the transformer in December 2014.

Site Figures

Figure 1	Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Aerial Map
Figure 3	Monitor Well Location Map
Figure 4	Site and Sample Location Diagram, May 2015
Figure 5	Site and Sample Location Diagram, July 2015



Parcel # 2275200633
(See Figure 2 for
Transformer Location)




Note: Basemap taken from USGS Tacoma North Quadrangle

PM: MTW
 September 2018
 1922-318C

Pierce County
 T 21 N/R 03 E - 34
 Scale 1" = 2000'

Figure 1
 Vicinity Map



Note: Basemap
from Tacoma
GovME 2012
Aerials

PM: MTW
September 2018
1922-318C

Pierce County
T 21 N/R 03 E - 34
Scale 1" = 500'

Figure 2
Aerial Map


Tacoma Public Utilities: Pier 7 Remedial Investigation

Legend

Monitoring Well Location



Presumed
Groundwater
Flow Direction



Grid squares = 2.0 ft.




PM: MTW
September 2018
1922-318C

Pierce County
T 21 N/R 03 E - 34


Figure 3
Monitor Well Location Map

Tacoma Public Utilities: Pier 7 Remedial Investigation



Grid squares = 2.0 ft.

	<p>Note: Aerial Image from Tacoma govME</p>	<p>PM: MTW May 2015 1922-318A</p>	<p>Pierce County T 21 N/R 03 E - 34</p>	<p>Figure 4 Site and Sample Location Diagram Tacoma Public Utilities: Pier 7 Transformer Cleanup</p>
--	---	---	---	--



Grid squares = 1.0 ft.



PM: MTW
July 2015
1922-318A

Pierce County
T 21 N/R 03 E - 34

Figure 5
Site and Sample Location Diagram

Tacoma Public Utilities: Port of Tacoma Pier 7 Transformer Cleanup

Enclosure B

Revised TEE Form

OCT 29 2018



Voluntary Cleanup Program

WA State Department
of Ecology (SWRO)

Washington State Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program

TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGICAL EVALUATION FORM

Under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), a terrestrial ecological evaluation is necessary if hazardous substances are released into the soils at a Site. In the event of such a release, you must take one of the following three actions as part of your investigation and cleanup of the Site:

1. Document an exclusion from further evaluation using the criteria in WAC 173-340-7491.
2. Conduct a simplified evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7492.
3. Conduct a site-specific evaluation as set forth in WAC 173-340-7493.

When requesting a written opinion under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), you must complete this form and submit it to the Department of Ecology (Ecology). The form documents the type and results of your evaluation.

Completion of this form is not sufficient to document your evaluation. You still need to document your analysis and the basis for your conclusion in your cleanup plan or report.

If you have questions about how to conduct a terrestrial ecological evaluation, please contact the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. For additional guidance, please refer to www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/policies/terrestrial/TEEHome.htm.

Step 1: IDENTIFY HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE	
Please identify below the hazardous waste site for which you are documenting an evaluation.	
Facility/Site Name: TPU Transformer at Port of Tacoma Pier 7	
Facility/Site Address: 902 Port of Tacoma Road, Tacoma, WA 98421	
Facility/Site No: 15369	VCP Project No.: SW1561

Step 2: IDENTIFY EVALUATOR		
Please identify below the person who conducted the evaluation and their contact information.		
Name: Max Wills	Title: Associate Hydrogeologist	
Organization: Robinson Noble		
Mailing address: 17625 130th Ave. NE, Suite 102		
City: Woodinville	State: WA	Zip code: 98072
Phone: (425) 488-0599	Fax: (425) 488-2330	E-mail: mwills@robinson-noble.com

Step 3: DOCUMENT EVALUATION TYPE AND RESULTS

A. Exclusion from further evaluation.

1. Does the Site qualify for an exclusion from further evaluation?

- Yes *If you answered "YES," then answer **Question 2**.*
- No or Unknown *If you answered "NO" or "UNKNOWN," then skip to **Step 3B** of this form.*

2. What is the basis for the exclusion? Check all that apply. Then skip to **Step 4** of this form.

Point of Compliance: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(a)

- All soil contamination is, or will be,* at least 15 feet below the surface.
- All soil contamination is, or will be,* at least 6 feet below the surface (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology), and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.

Barriers to Exposure: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(b)

- All contaminated soil, is or will be,* covered by physical barriers (such as buildings or paved roads) that prevent exposure to plants and wildlife, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.

Undeveloped Land: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(c)

- There is less than 0.25 acres of contiguous[#] undeveloped[±] land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site and any of the following chemicals is present: chlorinated dioxins or furans, PCB mixtures, DDT, DDE, DDD, aldrin, chlordane, dieldrin, endosulfan, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, benzene hexachloride, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, or pentachlorobenzene.
- For sites not containing any of the chemicals mentioned above, there is less than 1.5 acres of contiguous[#] undeveloped[±] land on or within 500 feet of any area of the Site.

Background Concentrations: WAC 173-340-7491(1)(d)

- Concentrations of hazardous substances in soil do not exceed natural background levels as described in WAC 173-340-200 and 173-340-709.

* An exclusion based on future land use must have a completion date for future development that is acceptable to Ecology.

± "Undeveloped land" is land that is not covered by building, roads, paved areas, or other barriers that would prevent wildlife from feeding on plants, earthworms, insects, or other food in or on the soil.

"Contiguous" undeveloped land is an area of undeveloped land that is not divided into smaller areas of highways, extensive paving, or similar structures that are likely to reduce the potential use of the overall area by wildlife.

B. Simplified evaluation.

1. Does the Site qualify for a simplified evaluation?

- Yes *If you answered "YES," then answer **Question 2** below.*
- No or Unknown *If you answered "NO" or "UNKNOWN," then skip to **Step 3C** of this form.*

2. Did you conduct a simplified evaluation?

- Yes *If you answered "YES," then answer **Question 3** below.*
- No *If you answered "NO," then skip to **Step 3C** of this form.*

3. Was further evaluation necessary?

- Yes *If you answered "YES," then answer **Question 4** below.*
- No *If you answered "NO," then answer **Question 5** below.*

4. If further evaluation was necessary, what did you do?

- Used the concentrations listed in Table 749-2 as cleanup levels. *If so, then skip to **Step 4** of this form.*
- Conducted a site-specific evaluation. *If so, then skip to **Step 3C** of this form.*

5. If no further evaluation was necessary, what was the reason? Check all that apply. Then skip to **Step 4 of this form.**

Exposure Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(a)

- Area of soil contamination at the Site is not more than 350 square feet.
- Current or planned land use makes wildlife exposure unlikely. Used Table 749-1.

Pathway Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(b)

- No potential exposure pathways from soil contamination to ecological receptors.

Contaminant Analysis: WAC 173-340-7492(2)(c)

- No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at concentrations that exceed the values listed in Table 749-2.
- No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations that exceed the values listed in Table 749-2, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.
- No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 15 feet at concentrations likely to be toxic or have the potential to bioaccumulate as determined using Ecology-approved bioassays.
- No contaminant listed in Table 749-2 is, or will be, present in the upper 6 feet (or alternative depth if approved by Ecology) at concentrations likely to be toxic or have the potential to bioaccumulate as determined using Ecology-approved bioassays, and institutional controls are used to manage remaining contamination.

C. Site-specific evaluation. A site-specific evaluation process consists of two parts: (1) formulating the problem, and (2) selecting the methods for addressing the identified problem. Both steps require consultation with and approval by Ecology. See WAC 173-340-7493(1)(c).

1. Was there a problem? See WAC 173-340-7493(2).

- Yes *If you answered "YES," then answer **Question 2** below.*
- No *If you answered "NO," then identify the reason here and then skip to **Question 5** below:*
- No issues were identified during the problem formulation step.
 - While issues were identified, those issues were addressed by the cleanup actions for protecting human health.

2. What did you do to resolve the problem? See WAC 173-340-7493(3).

- Used the concentrations listed in Table 749-3 as cleanup levels. *If so, then skip to **Question 5** below.*
- Used one or more of the methods listed in WAC 173-340-7493(3) to evaluate and address the identified problem. *If so, then answer **Questions 3 and 4** below.*

3. If you conducted further site-specific evaluations, what methods did you use?

Check all that apply. See WAC 173-340-7493(3).

- Literature surveys.
- Soil bioassays.
- Wildlife exposure model.
- Biomarkers.
- Site-specific field studies.
- Weight of evidence.
- Other methods approved by Ecology. *If so, please specify:*

4. What was the result of those evaluations?

- Confirmed there was no problem.
- Confirmed there was a problem and established site-specific cleanup levels.


5. Have you already obtained Ecology's approval of both your problem formulation and problem resolution steps?

- Yes *If so, please identify the Ecology staff who approved those steps:*
- No

Step 4: SUBMITTAL

Please mail your completed form to the Ecology site manager assigned to your Site. If a site manager has not yet been assigned, please mail your completed form to the Ecology regional office for the County in which your Site is located.

<p>Northwest Region: Attn: VCP Coordinator 3190 160th Ave. SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452</p>	<p>Central Region: Attn: VCP Coordinator 1250 West Alder St. Union Gap, WA 98903-0009</p>
<p>Southwest Region: Attn: VCP Coordinator P.O. Box 47775 Olympia, WA 98504-7775</p>	<p>Eastern Region: Attn: VCP Coordinator N. 4601 Monroe Spokane WA 99205-1295</p>





February 7, 2019

Dear S L:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number:
9489 0090 0027 6066 6793 61.

Item Details

Status:	Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room
Status Date / Time:	December 21, 2018, 9:43 am
Location:	TACOMA, WA 98409
Postal Product:	First-Class Mail®
Extra Services:	Certified Mail™ Return Receipt Electronic
Recipient Name:	MIKE RHUBRIGHT

Shipment Details

Weight:	3.3oz
----------------	-------

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

Address of Recipient:

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely,
United States Postal Service®
475 L'Enfant Plaza SW
Washington, D.C. 20260-0004