

Electronic Copy

STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY PO Box 47775 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7775 • 360-407-6300 Call 711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

November 4, 2019

Michele Mulnick Lease Administrator Active Investment Co. LLC 14510 NE 20th St Suite 205 Bellevue, WA 98007

Re: Notice of Periodic Review Conducted at the following Hazardous Waste Site:

- Site Name: J Marcel Building
- Site Address: 2320 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington 98402
- Facility/Site Number ID No.: 28236738
- Cleanup Site ID No.: 1124

Dear Michele Mulnick:

Under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), chapter 70.105D RCW, which governs the cleanup of hazardous waste sites in Washington State, the Department of Ecology (Ecology) must conduct a periodic review of all sites with institutional controls and Environmental Covenants every five years. This letter serves to inform you that a second periodic review has been conducted at the J Marcel Building Site.

The periodic review process includes the following steps:

- Confirmation that the Environmental Covenant is still active and recorded with the Title to the property.
- A review of any monitoring data collected since the cleanup was completed or since the last review was conducted.
- A Site visit to confirm the institutional controls and conditions of the Environmental Covenant are being followed.
- A 30-day public comment period on the draft periodic review report.

Based on the information collected during this periodic review, the J Marcel Bulding Site appears to meet the requirements of chapter 173-340 WAC, and the selected remedy continues to be protective of human health and the environment. The 30-day public comment period on

Michele Mulnick November 4, 2019 Page 2

the draft periodic review report was ended on October 27, 2019. We received no public comments on the draft report. Enclosed is a copy of the final periodic review report for your information.

A periodic review will continue to be required every five years as long as institutional controls and/or an environmental covenant are required to protect human health and the environment. The next periodic review will be due in October 2024.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or if you would like additional information regarding the cleanup of hazardous waste sites, please call me at (360) 407-6335. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Panjini Balaraju, P.E. Toxics Cleanup Program Southwest Regional Office

Enclosure: Final Periodic Review

By certified mail: 9489 0090 0027 6086 4118 04

cc: Ecology Site File



SECOND PERIODIC REVIEW REPORT FINAL

J MARCEL BUILDING Facility Site ID#: 28236738 Cleanup Site ID#: 1124

2320 Pacific Avenue TACOMA, WA 98401

Southwest Regional Office TOXICS CLEANUP PROGRAM

October 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 II	NTRODUCTION
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.3 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1	UMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS2Site History2Cleanup Levels2Underground Storage Tank Decommissioing and Site Investigations23.1Decommissioing of Underground Storage23.2December 2006 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment33.3December 2006 Preliminary Phase II Subsurface Investigations33.4December 2007 Supplemental Phase II Subsurface Investigations43.5Compliance Groundwater Monitoring5Restrictive Covenant5
3.0 P 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	ERIODIC REVIEW. 7 Effectiveness of completed cleanup actions 7 New scientific information for individual hazardous substances for mixtures present at the Site 7 New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site 7 New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site 7 Availability and practicability of higher preference technologies 7 Availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels 7
4.0 C 4.1	ONCLUSIONS
5.0 R	EFERENCES
6.0 A 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	PPENDICES 10 Vicinity Map 11 Site Plan 12 December 2006 Preliminary Phase II Invesitgaiton Sampling Soil and Groundater 13 December 2007 Supplemental Phase II Investigation Sampling Locations 13
6.5 6.6	and Results

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document is a review by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) of postcleanup conditions and monitoring data to ensure that human health and the environment are being protected at the J Marcel Building (formerly Juaeau Street Associates Property) site (Site). Cleanup at this Site was implemented under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) regulations, Chapter 173-340 Washington Administrative Code (WAC).

Cleanup activities at this Site were completed under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). The cleanup actions resulted in concentrations of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs) in soil that exceeds MTCA Method A cleanup levels. The MTCA Method A cleanup levels for soil are established under WAC 173-340-740(2). WAC 173-340-420 (2) requires that Ecology conduct a periodic review of a site every five years under the following conditions:

- Whenever the department conducts a cleanup action.
- Whenever the department approves a cleanup action under an order, agreed order or consent decree.
- Or, as resources permit, whenever the department issues a no further action (NFA) opinion.
- And one of the following conditions exists:
 - (a) Institutional controls or financial assurance are required as part of the cleanup.
 - (b) Where the cleanup level is based on a practical quantitation limit.
 - (c) Where, in the department's judgment, modifications to the default equations or assumptions using site-specific information would significantly increase the concentration of hazardous substances remaining at the site after cleanup or the uncertainty in the ecological evaluation or the reliability of the cleanup action is such that additional review is necessary to assure long-term protection of human health and the environment.

When evaluating whether human health and the environment are being protected, the factors the department shall consider include [WAC 173-340-420(4)]:

- (a) The effectiveness of ongoing or completed cleanup actions, including the effectiveness of engineered controls and institutional controls in limiting exposure to hazardous substances remaining at the Site.
- (b) New scientific information for individual hazardous substances of mixtures present at the Site.
- (c) New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site.
- (d) Current and projected Site use.
- (e) Availability and practicability of higher preference technologies.
- (f) The availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels.

The department shall publish a notice of all periodic reviews in the Site Register and provide an opportunity for public comment.

2.0 SUMMARY OF SITE CONDITIONS

2.1 Site History

The Site is located at 2320 Pacific Avenue in Tacoma, Washington. The Site consists of a twostory commercial building with partial basement, and occupies the entire 0.48-acre footprint. The building was built in 1928 and located approximately 1,100 feet southeast of the Thea Foss Waterway. The property is classified as light industrial, and commercial, and located in an area of mixed use. Currently the building is occupied by two businesses and Soma Church. Wingard Refrigeration (air conditioning and heating), a retail establishment lies to the north of the property. U.S. Bank is located across Pacific Avenue to the east. The Pierce County Auto Maintenance Shop occupies the parcel to the south, across south 24th Street. To the west, across Commerce Street, is a storage warehouse under the business name of PC Records Storage. A vicinity map and a Site Plan are available as Appendix 6.1 and Appendix 6.2.

The Site building is currently heated by natural gas. However, it was historically heated by Bunker C heating oil furnace. The underground storage tank (UST) associated with the system is reportedly located beneath the Site building basement. The approximate location of former UST is shown on Site Plan in Appendix 6.2. However, the exact orientation of the UST is unknown. Bunker C tanks are typically accompanied by a smaller, diesel "starter" tank, either as an UST or an above ground tank (AST). The presence or absence of a diesel starter tank was not noted in the available documentation.

2.2 Cleanup Levels

WAC 173-340-704 states that MTCA Method A may be used to establish cleanup levels at sites that have few hazardous substances, are undergoing a routine cleanup action, and where numerical standards are available for all indicator hazardous substances in the media for which the Method A cleanup level is being used. At this Site, MTCA Method A cleanup levels are used both for soil and groundwater.

2.3 Underground Storage Tank Decommissioning and Site Investigations

In January 2000, petroleum contamination was discovered in the City of Tacoma (City) stormwater system. After conducting the source investigations, the City determined that the contamination had originated from the bunker C UST located at the Site. It was reported that the UST system was taken out of service in approximately December of 1999. Over the course of that month, Bunker C product had reportedly been oozing from the cut product lines and was flowing into an open sump with a drain that discharged to the municipal storm sewer. City workers were able to create a concrete berm to contain the oil and capped the damaged pipes to keep additional product from entering the sewer.

2.3.1 Decommissioning of Underground Storage Tank

On January 28, 2000, the property owner hired Creative Environmental Technologies, Inc. (CETI) to decommission the leaking UST. The tank was situated under the building and was accessed by removing a section of the concrete floor. Once the concrete was removed, it became apparent that the UST had been leaking for some time from the visibly impacted soil and groundwater adjacent to the UST. Due to the limited access, the UST was closed in place.

Approximately 3,000 gallons of Bunker C type oil and water mixture were pumped from the tank, and disposed of it via CeCon Corporation in Tacoma, Washington. The tank was then filled with control-density-fill/slurry (CDF) and capped. No additional soil and groundwater investigation was conducted at that time.

On June 25, 2002, the property was listed on Ecology's Confirmed or Suspected Contaminated Sites database to await a Site Hazard Assessment (SHA) ranking. In February 2006, the Tacoma Pierce County Health Department completed an SHA for the Site and the Site ranked a five. The ranking scale ranges from one to five, with one representing the highest relative risk and five the lowest relative risk.

2.3.2 December 2006 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

In December 2006, The Riley Group, Inc. (RGI) was hired by the Washington Mutual Bank for conducting a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) as a part of a Commercial Mortgage Lending. The Phase I findings concluded the presence of petroleum related contamination at the Site and recommended additional investigation to determine the nature and extent of soil and groundwater contamination.

2.3.3 December 2006 Preliminary Phase II Subsurface Investigations

Based on the Phase I ESA findings, RGI conducted a preliminary Phase II subsurface investigation on December 7, 2006. The objective of this investigation was to determine the presence of contamination in the soil and groundwater and not to determine the extent of contamination. Access to the floor slab was limited due to an elevated wood floor in the western half of the basement. Approximately 2 to 3 inches of standing water was noted in the northern and eastern portions of the Site basement. After RGI removed the wood flooring, a concrete cut hole was noted in the floor. The location of the cut corresponded with the previously reported location of the access for the former UST decommissioning. The concrete cut excavation appeared to be approximately 1 foot deep and filled with water. Groundwater was noted to be flowing from the north end of the concrete cut onto the basement floor. Slight petroleum sheen was noted on the water in the concrete cut.

A total of three borings (HA1 through HA3) were drilled to depths of 0.5 to 1 foot below the basement slab. Borings HA1 and HA2 were advanced in an inferred cross-gradient and partially down-gradient direction of the former decommissioned UST. Boring HA3 was advanced in soils within the concrete cut excavation. The potentiometric surface of groundwater at each sampling location was observed to be above the basement floor slab elevation and the groundwater filled the concrete cut excavation.

Soil samples were collected from all boring locations, inspected, and field screened for the presence of semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) using a standard water sheen test. Soil samples collected from borings HA1 and HA2 showed no indication of petroleum hydrocarbons, where as soil sample collected from the concrete cut (HA3) showed a petroleum sheen when field tested.

A total of two soil and three groundwater samples were collected for laboratory analysis. Soil samples from HA2 and HA3 were selected for laboratory analysis. All samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons. In addition, the soil and groundwater samples collected from HA3 were also analyzed for carcinogenic hydrocarbons (cPAHs). Petroleum hydrocarbons were not detected in soil and/or groundwater samples collected from boring HA1 and HA2. However, soil sample collected from HA3 boring showed a total cPAHs concentration of 6.83 mg/Kg (with toxicity equivalent factors: 1.577 mg/Kg), above MTCA Method A cleanup level of 0.1 mg/Kg. The diesel and oil-range (TPH-D and TPH-O) concentrations were below MTCA Method A cleanup level of 2000 mg/Kg. The considerable presence of PAHs indicates that the total petroleum fraction in the sample is heavier than diesel-range and may be all Bunker C range petroleum hydrocarbons that are relatively immobile and less soluble in water.

The groundwater sample collected from the concrete cut excavation (HA3) contained no detectable concentrations of TPH-D and TPH-O. Also no cPAHs were detected except benzo(a)pyrene [0.01 micrograms per liter (μ g/L)] below MTCA Method A cleanup level of 0.1 μ g/L. The sampling locations and results are available as Appendix 6.3.

2.3.4 December 2007 Supplemental Phase II Investigations

Based on Ecology's opinion letter of November 9, 2007, which indicated that further investigations are needed to define the nature and extent of soil and groundwater contamination, the property owner hired RGI for conducting a supplemental Phase II investigation. RGI performed the field work on December 7, 2007 by drilling a total of four strata probe borings (SP1 through SP4) to a depth of 12 to 20 feet below ground surface (bgs). Because of zero lot line of the building relative to the Property, all test probes were advanced within the sidewalk right-of-ways (ROWs). Test probe SP1 was placed in the sidewalk ROW along Commerce Street, inferred to be up-gradient of the closed-in-place UST. Test probes SP2, SP3, and SP4 were placed in the sidewalk ROW along the Pacific Avenue, inferred down-gradient locations of the Property.

Soil conditions encountered were described as generally, gravelly, silty, fine to medium sand (reworked fill and/or weathered glacial till). Refusal was encountered during test probing at depths ranging from 12 to 16 feet bgs along Pacific Avenue and 21 feet along Commerce Street due to very dense glacial till respectively. As a result, occurrence of perched water was noticed in this area during the winter season. Perched groundwater was encountered at 9 to 10.5 feet bgs in all the test borings except SP1. As was encountered during the preliminary Phase II ESA, 2 to 3 inches of standing water was noted in the northern and eastern portions of the building basement floor slab. Groundwater was noted as flowing from the north end of the concrete cut above the closed-in-place UST onto the basement floor. A grab groundwater sample was collected from all borings and five groundwater samples were collected from borings SP2-through SP4 and from the basement for laboratory analysis. All samples were analyzed for TPH-D, TPH-O and cPAHs.

Soil samples collected from test probe SP1 contained elevated concentrations of cPAHs ranging from 0.087 mg/Kg to 1.53 mg/Kg which is above the MTCA Method A soil cleanup level of 0.1

mg/Kg (for unrestricted land use). However, when the cPAHs concentrations were analyzed using toxicity equivalent factors (TEF) calculations, only the soil sample collected at 20 feet bgs exceeded the MTCA Method B cleanup level 0.1 mg/Kg. TPH-D, TPH-O and cPAHs were not detected in any other soil or groundwater sample collected from test borings SP2 through SP4. Low levels of cPAHs were detected in the grab water sample collected from the building basement that was below MTCA Method A cleanup level of 0.1 μ g/L. Boring locations and results are available in Appendix 6.4.

2.3.5 Compliance Groundwater Monitoring

The NFA letter and the Restrictive Covenant required the Compliance Groundwater Monitoring. Accordingly, a total of six rounds of groundwater grab samples were collected from the concrete cut area in the building basement as per the requirements of Ecology approved "Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan" dated February 13, 2008. All the water samples were analyzed for cPAHs. None of the cPAHs were detected above the laboratory detection limits during all sampling rounds.

2.4 Restrictive Covenant

The required RC (now referred to as an environmental covenant) was recorded for the Site on February 20, 2008 and an NFA determination for the Site was issued on February 21, 2008. The Covenant was required because the Remedial Action resulted in residual concentrations of cPAHs exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels in soils at the Site. The Environmental Covenant (EC) imposes the following limitations:

<u>Section 1:</u> A portion of the Property contains cPAHs contaminated soil located immediately adjacent to the former closed-in-place UST beneath the building basement. The building basement is centrally located along the western Property boundary. The Owner shall not alter, modify, or remove the existing structure(s) in any manner that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of that contaminated soil or create a new exposure pathway without prior written approval from Ecology.

Section 2: Any activity on the Property that may interfere with the integrity of the Remedial Action and continued protection of human health and the environment is prohibited.

Section 3: Any activity on the Property that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of a hazardous substance that remains on the Property as part of the Remedial Action, or create a new exposure pathway, is prohibited without prior written approval from Ecology.

<u>Section 4:</u> The Owner of the property must give thirty (30) day advance written notice to Ecology of the Owner's intent to convey any interest in the Property. No conveyance of title, easement, lease, or other interest in the Property shall be consummated by the Owner without adequate and complete provision for continued monitoring, operation, and maintenance of the Remedial Action.

<u>Section 5:</u> The Owner must restrict leases to uses and activities consistent with the Covenant and notify all lessees of the restrictions on the use of the Property.

Section 6: The Owner must notify and obtain from Ecology prior to any use of the Property that is inconsistent with the terms of this EC. Ecology may approve any inconsistent use only after public notice and comment.

<u>Section 7:</u> The Owner shall allow authorized representatives of Ecology the right to enter the property at reasonable times for the purpose of evaluating the Remedial Action; to take samples, to inspect records that are related to the Remedial Action.

Section 8: The Owner of the Property reserves the right under WAC 173-340-440 to record an instrument that provides that this EC shall no longer limit use of the Property or be of any further force or effect. However, such an instrument may be recorded only if Ecology, after public notice and opportunity for comment, concurs.

The EC is available as Appendix 6.5.

3.0 PERIODIC REVIEW

3.1 Effectiveness of completed cleanup actions

Based upon the Site visit conducted on May 1, 2014, the contaminated soils are below the building basement slab. The basement concrete slab is in good condition and continues to eliminate direct exposure pathways (ingestion, contact) to contaminated soils. The concrete slab appears in satisfactory condition and no repair, maintenance or contingency actions have been required. A photo log is available as Appendix 6.6.

Soils remain at the Site with cPAHs concentrations exceeding MTCA Method A cleanup levels. These soils remain contained at 20 feet depth below the building basement slab. Results of confirmation groundwater monitoring conducted at the Site were all nondetects for six consecutive rounds which indicate that the contaminated soils do not pose a threat to groundwater.

An EC was recorded for the Site and remains active. This EC prohibits any use of the property that is inconsistent with the covenant or will release contaminants remaining in soil at the Site.

3.2 New scientific information for individual hazardous substances for mixtures present at the Site

There is no new relevant scientific information for hazardous substances remaining at the Site.

3.3 New applicable state and federal laws for hazardous substances present at the Site MTCA Method A cleanup levels for contaminants of concern at the Site have not changed since the NFA determination was issued on November 12, 2009.

3.4 Current and projected Site use

The Site is currently occupied by a commercial building with two businesses and a Church. This use is not likely to have a negative impact on the risk posed by hazardous substances contained at the Site. There are no changes projected in the Site use.

3.5 Availability and practicability of higher preference technologies

The remedy implemented included capping of hazardous substances and it continues to be protective of human health and the environment. While higher preference cleanup technologies may be available, they are still not practicable at this Site.

3.6 Availability of improved analytical techniques to evaluate compliance with cleanup levels

The analytical methods used at the time of the remedial actions were capable of detection below Site cleanup levels. The presence of improved analytical techniques would not affect decisions or recommendations made for the Site.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

- The cleanup actions completed at the Site appear to be protective of human health and the environment.
- Soil cleanup levels have not been met at the Site; however, under WAC 173-340-740(6)
 (d), the cleanup action could comply with cleanup standards if the long-term integrity of the containment system was ensured and the requirements for containment technologies in WAC 173-340-360(8) have been met.
- The six rounds of compliance groundwater monitoring results are all below the laboratory detection limits. This empirical groundwater monitoring data confirms that the remaining residual cPAHs contaminated soil is not impacting the groundwater.
- The EC for the property is in place and will be effective in protecting public health from exposure to hazardous substances and protecting the integrity of the cleanup action.

Based on this review, Ecology has determined that the remedial actions conducted at the Site continue to be protective of human health and the environment. The requirements of the EC are being satisfactorily followed and no additional remedial actions are required at this time. It is the property owner's responsibility to continue to inspect the Site to assure that the integrity of the surface cover is maintained.

4.1 Next Review

The next review for the Site will be scheduled five years from the date of this periodic review. In the event that additional cleanup actions or institutional controls are required, the next periodic review will be scheduled five years from the completion of those activities.

5.0 **REFERENCES**

<u>Creative Environmental Technologies, Inc.</u> February 2000, Underground Storage Tank Decommissioning and Closure in Place, 2320 Pacific Avenue South, Tacoma, Washington, February 28, 2000.

<u>The Riley Group Inc.</u> December 2006, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Soma Building, 2320to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington, December 5, 2006.

<u>The Riley Group Inc.</u> December 2006, Preliminary Phase II Subsurface Investigation, Soma Building, 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington, December 18, 2006.

Department of Ecology. February 2007, Site Hazard Assessment Report, February 21, 2007.

<u>The Riley Group Inc.</u> January 2008, Supplemental Phase II Subsurface Investigation, J. Marcel Building (Former Juneau Street Associates Property), 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington, January 22, 2008.

<u>Pierce County Assessor's Office</u>. February 2008, Restrictive Covenant, Tax Parcel No. 2023040030, February 20, 2008.

<u>The Riley Group Inc.</u> February 2008, Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan, J Marcel Building Property, 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington, February 13, 2008.

<u>Department of Ecology</u>. February 2008, No Further Action Determination Letter, J Marcel Building, 2320 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington, February 21, 2008.

<u>Epic Partners.</u> February 2012, Water Sampling and Testing Results, J. Marcel Building, 2320 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington.

Department of Ecology. Site Visit, May 15, 2019.

6.0 **APPENDICES**

October 2019 Page 11

6.1 Vicinity Map



J Marcel Building Second Periodic Review Report-Final

6.2 Site Plan





6.3 2006 Preliminary Phase II Investigation Soil and Groundwater Sampling Locations and Results

Total cPAHs 6.83 1 1 Juless noted otherwise all results given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), approximately equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Soil samples were screeened in the field using a standard "water sheen" test. Samples exhibiting indications of TPH are listed above as "Sheen." Samples not exhibiting fluoranthene Benzo(b)--1 3 Benzo(k)- Benzo(a)-fluoranthene anthracene 1 1 2 1 Carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs) 0.38 1 1 1 dTCA = Model Toxics Control Act Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Use (WAC 173-340-900, Table 740-1), sold concentrations, if any, are at or above the applicable MTCA Soil Cleanup Level. Diesel TPH = diesel total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silica gel cleanup. Oil TPH = heavy oil total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silica gel cleanup. Carcinogenic PAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using EFA Test Method 8270C / SIM. Dibenzo(a,h)-| Indeno(1,2,3cd)pyrene 0.53 1 1 1 1 anthracene 0.22 11 -Oil TPH Benzo(a)- Chrysene 1 1 1 8. 1 pyrene -----11 ND<29 ND<58 Table 1 - Summary of Soil Sample Analytical Results. Soma Building I 2,000 570 1 VD, contaminant not detected at noted analytical detection limit. Diesel 1 2.000 450 1 Screening No Sheen No Sheen No Sheen 320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, WA 98402 Results Sheen Field dications of TPH are listed above as "No Sheen." Sample Depth (in feet bgs) Riley Group, Inc. Project #2006-232h cvels = not analyzed, or not applicable 0.5 0.5 MTCA Method A Soil Cleanup 12/7/2006 12/7/2006 12/7/2006 12/7/2006 Sample Date and Auger Samples

Sample ID

HA1-0.5 HA2-1.0 HA2-0.5 HA3-SS

3old

THE RILEY GROUP, INC.

J Marcel Building Second Periodic Review Report-Final

-

October 2019 Page 15

			I otal	CLIVIN		100	10				1			Ŷ			
			fluoranthene anthracene fluoranthene		1	ND<0.0095	1										÷
		Renzola	anthracene		1	0.01	1						•				
	Hs (cPAHs)	Benzo(k)-		1	1	ND<0.0095	1	ve measurements			*						
	Carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs)	Dibenzo(a,h)- Indeno(1,2,3-	cd)pyrene	1	1	ND<0.0095	1	re listed as negati o.	e Y								
	Cai	Dibenzo(a,h)-	anthracene	1		ND<0.0095	1	billion (ppb). e slab (inflow), a silica gel cleanur silica gel cleanur	ťr.							ł	č .
ma Building.		Chrysene		1	1 - CONTRACT	CENT NOTIT		atent to parts per rements above th IWTPH-Dx with IWTPH-Dx with od 8270C / SIM.	900, Table 720.								THE RUEY GROUP, INC.
Results. So		q	pyrene		ND<0.25 ND<0.40 ND<0.0085	CENN'N- THE	vimatalu ani	Test Method N 7 Test Method N 7 Test Method N EPA Test Method N	t (WAC 173-340 up Level.								THR.
Analytical	Oil T'PH		ND<0.25 ND<0.40	ND<0.25 ND<0.40	ND<0.40	500	unde (. I/ou	ant slab. We sing Ecology sing Ecology nined using	anup Levels rCA Cleam	-	5						
b Sample. A 98402	Diesel	HAL	ND<0.25	ND<0.25	ND<0.25	500	ms per liter	w the basem etermined us etermined u arbons detern	ection limit. Indwater Cle plicable M		÷				•	ſ	
oundwater Gra ae, Tacoma, W #2006-232b	Depth to Groundwater	(feet) ¹	-0.2	-0.3	0.0	mp Levels	given in microgra	red as depths below the basement slab. Water table measurements optime to parts per im hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with , an hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with , an thydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method 8270C / SIM, the	noted analytical del vet Method A Grou at or above the ar	•							
nary of Gru Icific Avenu	Sample	Date	12/7/2006	12/7/2006	12/7/2006	hod A Clear	vise all results	s were measu total petrolen total petrolen polynucles r not ambicad	t detected at I tics Control A		·						
Table 2 - Summary of Groundwater Grab Sample Analytical Results. Soma Building. 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, WA 98402 Riley Group, Inc. Project #2006-232b.	Sample ID				Soma-H ₂ O	MTCA Method A Cleam	Unless noted otherwise all results given in micrograms per liter (noff) annoviementer and a second s	Discent TPH = discent for the pertonent show the basement show what table measurements above the she fullion (ppb) Discent TPH = discent pertonent hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silicar gel clearup. Oil TPH = heavy oil total pertonent hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silicar gel clearup. Careinogenic PHS = polymulear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silicar gel clearup. = not analyzed, or not analyzed.	ND, contanimum to t detected at noted analytical detection limit. MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act Method A Groundwater Cleanup Levels (WAC 173-340-900, Table 720-1). Bold concentrations, if arry, are at or above the applicable MTCA Cleanup Level.						•		3.

	Measured Soil	, Tacoma, Washington	Toxicity Equivalent
Sample ID/ cPAH	Concentration	Toxicity Equivalence	Soil Concentration
	(mg/kg)	Factor (unitless)	(mg/kg)
Soil Sample: HA3-SS		· · · · ·	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1.100	1.00	1.100
Benzo[a] anthracene	1.500	0.10	0.150
Benzo[b] fluoranthene	1.300	0.10	0.130
Benzo[k] fluoranthene	0.380	0.10	0.038
Chrysene	1.800	0.01	0.018
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.220	0.40	0.088
Indeno[1,2,3-cd] pyrene	0.530	0.10	0.053
Total	6.830	A Company of the second	1.577
Method B Cleanup Level		a .	0.137

Notes:

Unless otherwise noted, all analytical results are given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), equivalent to parts per million (ppm).

cPAHs = Carcinogenic Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons determined using EPA Test Method 8270c

Method B = Ecology Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method B Soil Cleanup Level for benzo[a]pyrene. Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations under the MTCA Cleanup Regulation, CLARC.

THE RILEY GROUP, INC.

6.4 December 2007 Supplemental Phase II Investigation Sampling Locations and Results





J Marcel Building Second Periodic Review Report-Final

Rubble Scheme PLD Diesel Oil TPH Sample PLD Diesel Oil TPH Seven 1 450 570 I 450 570 0 I2 0 16 12.5 16 12.5 16 12.5 16 12.5 12 0 12 109 ND<29 ND<59 14 0 12 0 12 0 12 0 12 0 12 0	Benzo(a)- pyrene Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h) antihracene, antihracene, not over the secondary over the secondary not over the secondary over the seconda	Careinogenic PA Dibenzo(a,h)- Indeno(1,2,3- antihracene cd)pyrene cd)pyrene cd)pyrene cd)pyrene cd)pyrene cd)pyrene cd)pyrene 0.22 0.53 0.011 0.053 ND=0.0087 0.014 0.045 0.25 <tr tr=""> </tr>			Benzo(a)- authracene 1.5 0.014 ND<0.0081 0.2	Benzo(b)- Total fluoranthene cPAHs	
Bate Depth (in Vrbit Diste Instruction Diste Instruction Dist Dist <thdis< th=""> Dist Dist <thd< th=""><th>mrze(a)- Chrysene I vyrene 0.047 0.0234 0.02 0.019 0.02 0.34 0.28 </th><th>Dibenze(a,th)- Ind anthracene co 0.22 0.22 0.011 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Benzo(b)-</th><th></th></thd<></thdis<>	mrze(a)- Chrysene I vyrene 0.047 0.0234 0.02 0.019 0.02 0.34 0.28	Dibenze(a,th)- Ind anthracene co 0.22 0.22 0.011 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045 0.045				Benzo(b)-	
tary Phase II Sampling Event 1.0 1277/2006 1 450 570 SS 1277/2006 1 450 570 SS 1277/2006 1 450 570 Rental Phase II Sampling Event 450 570 70 2 1273/2007 12 0 $$ NIC 2 1237/2007 12 0 $$ NIC 2 1233/2007 16 12.5 $$ $$ $$ 2 1233/2007 12 10 $$ $$ $$ 8 1233/2007 14 5.2 ND<28		╺╢╠╍┽╼╢╠╼╉╼┼╶┼╶┟╶┽╺┿╶┼╶┼	╶╢╟╌╆╼╢╠╌┠╼┾╼╄╌╎╴┤╶┤╶┤╶┤╶┤			fluoranthene	Total
1.0 1277/2006 1 ND<29 ND<58 S70 SS 1277/2006 1 450 570 570 8 1277/2006 1 ND<28	1.1 1.8 0.047 0.034 0.019 0.02 0.019 0.02 0.019 0.02 0.034 0.02 0.34 0.28 0.34 0.28 0.34 0.28 0.34 0.28 0.07 0.07 0.14 0.28 0.07 0.07 0.14 0.28 0.14 0.07 0.14 0.1078	┣╍┽╼╢╴┠╼┽╾╎╶╎╴╎╶┥╼┿╾╎╶╎╌					cPAHs
SS 12772006 1 450 570 ental Phase II Sampling Event ND 2 12732007 8 0 ND 12 12732007 12 0 ND 16 12372007 16 12.5 ND 20 12372007 16 12.5 ND 20 12372007 16 0 ND 21 12372007 12 0 4 12372007 12 0 4 12372007 12 109 ND<	1.1 1.8 0.047 0.034 0.019 0.02 <.0.081 ND-0.0081 0.34 0.28 0.34 0.28 	┽╼╢ ┠╌╋╼╎╴╎╶╎╼╎┿┝╎┝╺┝╸╎┈	╆╾╢┟╌┾╍┿╍╏╌┤╴┤╴┦╴┦╴┨				
entral Phase II Sampling Event 8 12/3/2007 8 0 ND 12 12/3/2007 12 0 ND	0.047 0.034 0.019 0.02 <-0.081 ND-0.081 0.34 0.28 0.34 0.28 	╢║┯╪╼╎╌╎╶╎╼╎╼╎╌╎╶	╢╠╌┼╍┾╍╬╌┼╶┽╶╀╶╀╶┨			13	203
8 12/3/2007 8 0 ND 12 12/3/2007 12 0 ND ND 20 12/3/2007 16 12.5 ND ND 20 12/3/2007 16 12.5 ND ND 20 12/3/2007 20 14 ND ND ND 2 12/3/2007 4 0 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND <	0.047 0.034 0.019 0.02 -0.081 ND-0.0081 0.34 0.28 					1	10.0
12 12/5/2007 12 0 ND 16 12/3/2007 16 12.5 ND 20 12/3/2007 16 12.5 ND ND 8 12/3/2007 4 0 ND 8 12/3/2007 12 0 8 12/3/2007 12 0 8 12/3/2007 12 0 8 12/3/2007 14 5.2 ND ND S6 NC 8 12/3/2007 14 5.2 ND	0.019 0.02 -0.0081 ND-0.0081 0.34 0.28 	┫━╎╴╎╴╎╸┥┥┥╴╎╺┥╸┤╶┤╸	╊╍┾╍┼╶┼╴┼╴┦╶┨			0.030	1 1506
(6 12/3/2007 16 12.5 $$ ND (2) $12/3/2007$ 20 14 ND ND 56 ND (3) $12/3/2007$ 4 0 $$ $ -$ <t< td=""><td></td><td>┼┼┼┽┿┼┼┼</td><td>┾╍╪╍╁╴╁╴╁╴╀╶┨</td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td>001710</td></t<>		┼┼┼┽┿┼┼┼	┾╍╪╍╁╴╁╴╁╴╀╶┨			10	001710
20 12/3/2007 20 14 ND<28 ND<56 4 12/3/2007 4 0 8 12/3/2007 8 0 12 12/3/2007 12 0 12 12/3/2007 12 0 12 12/3/2007 14 5/2 ND<26	0.34 0.28	<mark>┤─┤─┤─┤─┤─┤─┤─┤─</mark> ┤─	╄╍ <mark>╏╶┟╴┟╶┠╶┨</mark>			1800 0>0N	100.0
4 12/3/2007 4 0 8 12/3/2007 8 0 12 12/3/2007 12 0 4 12/3/2007 12 0 8 12/3/2007 14 0 14 12/3/2007 14 0 4 12/3/2007 14 0 4 12/3/2007 14 0 8 12/3/2007 14 0 8 12/3/2007 16 0 6 12/3/2007 16 0 12 12/3/2007 16 0 6 12/3/2007 15 0		┨╌┨╼┠╼┠╼┠╼	+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$			10000000	
8 12/3/2007 8 0 4 12/3/2007 12 0 8 12/3/2007 12 0 8 12/3/2007 12 109 ND<29						77.0	fer.
12 12/3/2007 12 0 0 0 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></td<>					1	1	1
4 12/3/2007 4 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 1</td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td>1</td>				1 1			1
8 12/3/2007 8 0 12/3/2007 12 10/29 ND< 55 ND 55 ND 55 ND 56 ND				1		1	1
12 12/2/2007 12 12/2/2007 14 5.2 ND<29 ND<59 ND 4 12/3/2007 14 5.2 ND<28	<pre><0.0078 ND<0.0078 <0.0074 ND<0.0074</pre>				-	1	1
1412/3/2007145.2ND<28ND<56ND412/3/200740812/3/20071201212/3/20071201612/3/2007160Method A Soil Cleanup Levels2,0002,0002,000Oted otherwise all results given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), mples were screened in the field using a photoinization detector (fPH = dieset total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Testi genic PAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using analyzed, or not applicable	<pre></pre>		-		-	1	1
412/3/200740812/3/2007801212/3/20071201612/3/2007160Method A Soil Cleanup Levels2,0002,000oled otherwise all results given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), mples were screeened in the field using a photoionization detector (fPH = diese total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Testi genic PAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using analyzed, or not applicable		-	NIN ATON OLON	1 8/00.0>UN	ND<0.0078	ND<0.0078	0
8 12/3/2007 8 0 12 12/3/2007 12 0 16 12/3/2007 16 0 Method A Soil Cleanup Levels 2,000 2,000 Method A Soil Cleanup Levels 2,000 2,000 Implex vere screeened in the field using a photoionization detector (f PH = dieset total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Testi = oil total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using geology Testi = oilt oPAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using analyzed, or not applicable					4/00.0>0N	ND<0.0074	0
2 12/3/2007 12 0 6 12/3/2007 16 0 Method A Soil Cleanup Levels 2,000 2,000 2,000 oted otherwise all results given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), mples were screeened in the field using a photoinization detector (f PH = diesel total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test i genic PAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test analyzed, or not applicable					-		1
6 12/3/2007 16 0 $$ $$ Method A Soil Cleanup Levels $$ $2,000$ $2,000$ $2,000$ oted otherwise all results given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), nples were screened in the field using a photoionization detector ($t = 0$) if one total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test = 0 oil total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test genic PAHs = $polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using analyzed, or not applicable$				-	1	 	1
Method A Soil Cleanup Levels2,0002,000oted otherwise all results given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), nples were screeened in the field using a photoionization detector ($FH = diesel total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology7 rest genic PAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using analyzed, or not applicable$		-		1	-	1	1
-2.000 + 2.000 + 2.000 + 2.000 + 2.000 + 2.000 + 2.000 + 0.0					1	1	1
oted otherwise all results given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), mples were screeened in the field using a photoionization detector (t PH = diesel total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology = oil total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test 1 genic PAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using analyzed, or not applicable				-		1	0.100
	approximately equivale TD). Results are given Test Method NWTPH. Method NWTPH-Dx wi EPA Test Method 827(nt to parts per mill in volumetric part Dx with silica gel th silica gel clean DC / SIM.	lion (ppm). s per million (v l cleanup. up.	vppm).			
ND, contaminant not detected at noted analytical detection timit							-
MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Use (WAC 173-340-900, Table 740-1). Bold and highlighted concentrations, if any, are at or above the applicable MTCA Soil Cleanup Level.	sstricted Land Use (WA ole MTCA Soil Cleanu	C 173-340-900, T p Level.	(able 740-1).				
	•						
	UNI ATONO KATIN AKI.	ł				•	

.

Washington Department of Ecology

and the

October 2019 Page 20

	*																
		Total	cPAHS	0.01		0.054	0	0	0	. 10	1.0	·				:	
*		Benzo(b)-	rluoranthene	ND<0.0095		0.014	ND<0.017	ND<0.011	ND<0.018								
· ·		Benzo(a)-	anunacene	0.01		0.02	ND<0.017	ND<0.011	ND<0.018	-							
•		Hs (cPAHs) Benzo(k)-	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	ND<0.0095		ND<0.010	ND<0.017	ND<0.011	ND<0.018								
		Carcinogenic PAHs (cPAHs))- Indeno(1,2,3- Benzo(k)- cd)provene	A110160/ mA	ND<0.0095		ND<0.010	ND<0.017	ND<0.011	ND<0.018	1	* .			•			
	ងំ	Ca Dibenzo(a,h)- anthracene		ND<0.0095		ND<0.010	ND<0.017	110.0>CIN	ND<0.018		illion (ppb).	.(1				INC.	
•	larcel Buildin	Chrysene		ND<0.0095		0.02	710.0>UN	II0.0>UN	ND<0.018		ograms per titer (ug/L), approximately equivalent to parts per billion (ppb), the basement from the concrete out area above the former UST. Ons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silica gel clea termined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silica gel cleanup. drocarbons determined using EPA Test Method 8270C / SIM.	al delection limit. Groundwater Cleanup Levels (WAC 173-340-900, Table 720-1). are at or above the applicable MTCA Cleanup Level.				THE RILEY GROUP, INC.	
1	Results. J. N	Benzo(a)- pyrene		ND<0.0095		ND<0.010	110.0>CIN	TIN'NYON	810.0>UN		itrately equival e cut area aloove est Method NW ethod NWTPH- ethod NWTPH- PA Test Metho	al detection limit. Groundwater Cleanup Levels (WAC 173-340-900, Tabl are at or above the applicable MTCA Cleanup Level.				3111.	
	Analytical F	HdT lio		ND<0.40	1	ND-0.49		THOUSER		nnc "	ug/L), approxi in the concrete ing Ecology T ology Test Me ained using El	anup Levels (
	d 98402	Diesel TPH		ND<0.25	NDADE	CZ.U-CIVI	ND<0.26	NDADA	CZ.UCAN	000	ams per liter (basement fro determined us ined using Ec parbons determ	stection limit. bundwater Cle at or above t	•				
	undwater Gra 1e, Tacoma, W #2006-232c	Depth to Groundwater (feet bgs)	ing Event .	und line Front	ning went	10.5	9.5	6	nun Levels	riven in micro	Biven in microgrammer ar flowing into the im hydrocarbons (frocarbons determ ar aromatic hydroco ble	noted analytical de Act Method A Gro tions, if any, are		£.	2		
C	nary of Gro ncific Avenu nc. Project	Sample Date	ise II Sampl	12/7/2006	12/2/2/002	12/3/2007	12/3/2007	12/3/2007	hod A Clea	vise all reculte	to the second se	ot detected at 1 xics Control A					•
Total Contractor	1 aute 2 - Summary of Groundwater Grab Sample Analytical Results. J. Marcel Building. 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, WA 98402 Riley Group, Inc. Project #2006-232c	Sample ID		Sumlemental Phase 11 Sampling Front	Soma-H2O-2	SP2-H2O	SP3-H2O	SP4-H2O	MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels	Unless noted otherwise all results given in miss	¹ Sample was collected from water flowing into the basement from the concrete cut area above the former UST. Diests TPH = diesel total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silica gel cleanup. Oil TPH = oil total petroleum hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silica gel cleanup. Carcinogenio PAHs = polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons determined using Ecology Test Method NWTPH-Dx with silica gel cleanup. — = not analyzed, or not applicate aromatic hydrocarbons determined using EPA Test Method S270C / S1M.	ND, contaminant not detected at noted analytical detection limit. MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act Method A Groundwater Cle Bold and highlighted concentrations, if any, are at or above.			•		

1 anue 5 - Assessing the Carcinogenic Risk of Mixtures Using Toxicity I J. Marcel Building, 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington	rcinogenic Risk o 2328 Pacific Av	rcinogenic Risk of Mixtures Using Toxicity Equivalence Factors. o 2328 Pacific Avenue, Tacoma, Washington	city Equivalence Factol gton	r'S,			
		Soil Sample: SP1-8			Soil Sample: SP1-20	20	
cPAHs	Measured Soil Concentration (mg/kg)	Toxicity Equivalence Factor (unitless)	Toxicity Equivalent Soil Concentration	Measured Soil Concentration	Toxicity Equivalence	Poxic Soil (
Benzofalnvrene	D DAT		(BN BIN)	(mg/kg)	Factor (unitless)	(mg/kg)	
Benzo[a] anthracene	0.025	0.10	0.047	0.340	1.00	0.340	
Benzo[b] fluoranthene	0.039	0.10	200.0	0.200	0.10	0.020	
Benzo[k] fluoranthene	0.010	010	400.0	0.520	0.10	0.032	
Chrysene	0.034	0.01	10000	C60.0	0.10	0.010	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.011	0.40	00000	0.280	0.01	0.003	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd] pyrene	0.053	0.10	0.004	0.040	0.40	0.018	
Total	0.219	0110	C00.0	0.22.0	0.10	. 0.025	
Method B Cleanup Level			0.137	0.000.1		0.447	
			Later			0.137	
Notes:	and the property of the second s						
Unless otherwise noted, all analytical results are given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), equivalent to parts per million (ppm).	malytical results an n (ppm).	re given in milligrams pe	ır kilogram (mg/kg),	×			
cPAHs = Carcinogenic Polynniclear Aromatic Hurdmonachone Actomicant and more	uiclear Aromatic I						
Method 8270c	AUBIINAL BANA	try urocar poins acterinine	the state of the set o				
Method B = Ecology Model	Toxics Control Ac	Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method B Soil Cleaning I and Sec.	in Cleanine I and for				
benzela]pyrene. Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations under the MTCA Cleanup Regulation.	vels and Risk Calc	ulations under the MTC.	A Cleanup Regulation.				
CLARC.							
					x		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		•					
		•			,		
		•			,		
					,		
		THE	THE RILEY GROUP, INC.				
		ä					

-

J Marcel Building Second Periodic Review Report-Final October 2019 Page 21

.

Washington Department of Ecology

6.5 Restrictive Covenant

After Recording Return to:

Department of Ecology Southwest Regional Office P.O. Box 47775 Olympia, WA '98504-7775

Environmental Covenant

 Reference Number(s) of Related Documents: n/a

 Grantor:
 Epic Partners, LLC, a Washington limited liability company

 Grantee:
 State of Washington, Department of Ecology

 Legal:
 Lots 6 to 12, inclusive, Block 2304 of the Tacoma Land Company's First Addition to Tacoma, W.T.

 Tax Parcel No.:
 2023040030

Grantor, Epic Partners, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, hereby binds Grantor, its successors and assigns to the land use restrictions identified herein and grants such other rights under this environmental covenant (hereafter "Covenant") made this <u>20</u>th day of <u>February</u>, 2008, in favor of the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology). Ecology shall have full right of enforcement of the rights conveyed under this Covenant pursuant to the Model Toxics Control Act, RCW 70.105D.030(1)(g), and the Uniform Environmental Covenants Act, 2007 Wash. Laws ch. 104, sec. 12.

This Declaration of Covenant is made pursuant to RCW 70.105D.030(1)(f) and (g) and WAC 173-340-440 by Epic Partners, LLC, its successors and assigns, and the State of Washington Department of Ecology, its successors and assigns (hereafter "Ecology").

The City of Tacoma determined that petroleum hydrocarbons had entered the City sewer via a floor drain/sump in the floor of the subject property building basement. The source of the petroleum was determined to be cut product lines associated with an abandoned Bunker C oil underground storage tank (UST) located beneath the building basement. The UST was subsequently decommissioned in-place with control- density fill by the former

-1-

owner. Consequently, a remedial action (hereafter "Remedial Action") occurred at the property that is the subject of this Covenant. The Remedial Action conducted at the property is described in the following documents:

- Underground Storage Tank Decommissioning and Closure In Place, 2320 Pacific Avenue South, Tacoma, Washington, February 28, 2000, Creative Environmental Technologies, Inc.
- CML Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Epic Building, 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue South, Tacoma, Washington, December 5, 2006, The Riley Group, Inc.
- Preliminary Phase II Subsurface Investigation, Epic Building, 2320 to 2328 — Pacific Avenue South, Tacoma, Washington, December 18, 2006, The Riley Group, Inc.

Supplemental Phase II Subsurface Investigation, J. Marcel Building (Former

Juneau Street Associates Property), 2320 to 2328 Pacific Avenue South, Tacoma, Washington, June 22, 2008, The Riley Group, Inc.

These documents are on file at Ecology's Southwest Regional Office.

In addition, compliance monitoring is required as part of this environmental covenant. A compliance monitoring plan and schedule has been attached as part of this environmental covenant. See attached plan: <u>Groundwater Compliance Monitoring Plan, J. Marcel</u> <u>Building Property (Former Juneau Street Associates Property), 2320 to 2328 Pacific</u> <u>Avenue, Tacoma, Washington, RGI Project 2006-232c, VCP #: SW0911</u>

. This Covenant is required because the Remedial Action resulted in residual concentrations of carcinogenic polynuclear aromatic compounds (cPAHs) which exceed the Model Toxics Control Act Method A and B Cleanup Level(s) for soil established under WAC 173-340-740.

The undersigned, Epic Partners, LLC, is the fee owner of real property (hereafter "Property") in the County of Pierce, State of Washington, that is subject to this Covenant. The Property is legally described as follows:

> Lots 6 to 12, inclusive, in Block 2304 of the Tacoma Land Company's First Addition to Tacoma, W.T., according to Plat

thereof filed for record July 7, 1884 in the office of the County Auditor. Situate in the City of Tacoma, County of Pierce, State of Washington.

Assessor's Property Tax Parcel Number: 2023040030.

Epic Partners, LLC makes the following declaration as to limitations, restrictions, and uses to which the Property may be put and specifies that such declarations shall constitute covenants to run with the land, as provided by law and shall be binding on all parties and all persons claiming under them, including all current and future owners of any portion of or interest in the Property (hereafter "Owner").

<u>Section-1</u>. A portion of the Property contains cPAH contaminated soil-located immediately adjacent to the former closed-in-place underground storage tank beneath the building basement. The building basement is centrally located along the western Property boundary. The Owner shall not alter, modify, or remove the existing structure[s] in any manner that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of that contaminated soil or create a new exposure pathway without prior written approval from Ecology.

<u>Section 2</u>. Any activity on the Property that may interfere with the integrity of the Remedial Action and continued protection of human health and the environment is prohibited.

<u>Section 3</u>. Any activity on the Property that may result in the release or exposure to the environment of a hazardous substance that remains on the Property as part of the Remedial Action, or create a new exposure pathway, is prohibited without prior written approval from Ecology.

<u>Section 4</u>. The Owner of the property must give thirty (30) day advance written notice to Ecology of the Owner's intent to convey any interest in the Property. No conveyance of title, easement, lease, or other interest in the Property shall be consummated by the Owner without adequate and complete provision for continued monitoring, operation, and maintenance of the Remedial Action.

<u>Section 5.</u> The Owner must restrict leases to uses and activities consistent with the Covenant and notify all lessees of the restrictions on the use of the Property.

- 3 -

<u>Section 6</u>. The Owner must notify and obtain approval from Ecology prior to any use of the 'Property that is inconsistent with the terms of this Covenant. Ecology may approve any inconsistent use only after public notice and comment.

Section 7. The Owner shall allow authorized representatives of Ecology the right to enter the Property at reasonable times for the purpose of evaluating the Remedial Action; to take samples, to inspect remedial actions conducted at the property, to determine compliance with this Covenant, and to inspect records that are related to the Remedial Action.

<u>Section 8</u>. The Owner of the Property reserves the right under WAC 173-340-440 to record an instrument that provides that this Covenant shall no longer limit use of the Property or be of any further force or effect. However, such an instrument may be recorded only if Ecology, after public notice and opportunity for comment, concurs,

EPIC PARTNERS, LLC

T. Vanderstelt, Member

2 Dated: 20 2008

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

for Rebecca Lawson Ms. Rebecca Lawson

Section Manager - Toxics Cleanup Program Southwest Regional Office

Dated:

STATE OF WASHINGTON

COUNTY OF PIERCE

I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that Jeffrey T. Vanderstelt is the person who appeared before me, and said person acknowledged that he signed this instrument, on oath stated that he was authorized to execute the instrument and acknowledged it as a Member of EPIC PARTNERS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, to be the free and voluntary act for the uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

-4-

J Marcel Building Second Periodic Review Report-Final



6.6 Photo Log

.

Photo 1: J Marcel Enterprises Sign on West Side of the Building on Commerce Street



Photo 2: J Marcel Building Entrance on Commerce Street





Photo 3: View of West Side of the Site Building and Commerce Street-From Northwest

Photo 4: View of West and South Side of the Site Building: From Southwest Corner of Commerce Street and 24th Street



Photo 5: Site Building Basement/Former Underground Storage Tank Decommissioned Location and Concrete Flooring on the Contaminated Soil



Photo 6: Site Building Basement/Former Underground Storage Tank Decommissioned Location and Concrete Flooring on the Contaminated Soil





Photo 8: View of East and West Side of the Site Building, Corner of Pacific Avenue and 24th Street: From Southeast



Photo 7: View of East Side of the Site Building and Pacific Avenue-From Northeast