Exposure Pathway Assessment Report

TOC Site Number 01-169 - Everett Broadway 851 North Broadway, Everett, Washington HydroCon Project Number 2014-01-169

Prepared for:

TOC Holdings Company 2737 West Commodore Way Seattle, Washington

October 22, 2014

Prepared by:



HydroCon, LLC 510 Allen Street, Suite B Kelso, Washington 98626 p: (360) 703-6079 f: (360) 703-6086 www.hydroconllc.net

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 Report Organization	1
2. BACKGROUND	3
2.1 Property Location and Description	3
2.1.1 Property	3
2.1.2 Everett Smelter Site	3
2.2 Regional Hydrogeology	4
2.3 Property Geology	4
2.4 Property Hydrology	5
2.5 Groundwater Use	5
3. PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS	6
3.1 Release Discovery and Remedial Excavation	6
3.2 Subsurface Investigations 2004 through 2011	6
3.3 Historic Distribution of Soil Contamination	7
3.4 Historic Distribution of Groundwater Contamination	7
3.5 Presence of Slag	7
3.6 Remediation Activities	8
3.7 Chemicals And Media Of Concern	8
4. 2014 SITE INVESTIGATION	10
4.1 Review Of Ecology Well Log Database-Regional Groundwater	10
4.2 Deep Soil Boring	10
4.3 Shallow Soil Borings	11
4.4 Method B Soil Cleanup Levels	12
5. IMPLICATIONS FOR EXPOSURE PATHWAYS	14
5.1 Soil to Groundwater Leaching Pathway	14
5.2 Direct Contact Pathway	15
6. PATHWAY TO SITE CLOSURE	16
6.1 Additional Site Characterization	16
6.2 Cleanup Action Plan	16
7. LIMITATIONS	17
8. REFERENCES	18

FIGURES

Figure 1	Property Location Map
Figure 2	Former ASARCO Smelter Cleanup Area
Figure 3	Site Features and 2014 Boring Locations
Figure 4	Historical Soil Contamination Above MTCA Method A Cleanup Level
Figure 5	Ecology Well Log Database Well Locations
Figure 6	Soil Contaminated Above MTCA Method B Cleanup Level

Tables

Table 1	Summary of 2014 Soil Analytical Data
Table 2	VPH/EPH Analytical Data for Sample HC-2-18

Appendices

- Appendix A Historical Soil Results (SoundEarth 2013, Figure 7)
- Appendix B Well Logs From Ecology Well Log Database
- Appendix C Boring Logs
- Appendix D Laboratory Report and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix E MTCA Method B Calculations

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

μg/L	micrograms per liter
bgs	below ground surface
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
cleanup levels	MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels
cm/sec	centimeters per second
COCs	chemicals of concern
DCA	disproportionate cost analysis
DPE	dual phase extraction
DRPH	diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EDB	ethylene dibromide
EDC	ethylene dichloride
EGI	Engineering Geosciences Inc.
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
EPI	Environmental Partners, Incorporated
ESA	environmental site assessment
FS	feasibility study
gpm	gallons per minute
GPR	ground-penetrating radar
GRPH	gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter

mL/min	milliliters per minute
MTCA	Washington State Model Toxics Control Act
NWTPH	Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon
0&M	operation and maintenance
ORPH	oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons
PCS	petroleum-contaminated soil
the Property	712 and 714 Avenue D, Snohomish, Washington
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RI	remedial investigation
ROW	right-of-way
SES	Sound Environmental Strategies
the Site	petroleum-contaminated soil and groundwater in the vicinity of the underground storage tanks and fuel-dispensing pump islands that formerly occupied the 712/714 Avenue D property
SVE	soil vapor extraction
UST	underground storage tank
VCP	Voluntary Cleanup Program
WAC	Washington Administrative Code

HydroCon LLC. (HydroCon) has prepared this Exposure Pathway Assessment Report on behalf of TOC Holdings Co. for TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169, located at 851 North Broadway in Everett, Washington (the Property). The Property location is shown on Figure 1. The work described in this report was prepared for submittal to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). The scope of this work was developed in meetings between HydroCon and Ecology to develop further understanding of the Site hydrogeology and current site conditions.

1.1 Purpose

The specific objectives of this site investigation are summarized below:

- Evaluate the soil to groundwater pathway. This task included review of Ecology's Washington State Well Log Viewer database to locate water supply wells near the subject site to evaluate the depth to the regional aquifer and drilling a deep soil boring near the former USTs to evaluate the vertical extent of soil contamination.
- Calculate the MTCA Method B cleanup level for the site.
- Assess current shallow soil conditions (0 to 15 feet bgs) in selected areas of the site that have undergone remedial actions to evaluate the direct contact pathway.
- Evaluate the leachability of metals in slag by collecting soil samples below areas of known slag fill deposits.

1.2 Report Organization

This Site Summary and Status Report is organized into the following sections:

- Section 2 Background. This section provides a description of the Property features and location; a summary of the current use of the Property and adjoining parcels; a summary of historical and future Property use; and a description of the Property's environmental, geologic, and hydrogeologic settings. This section also provides a summary of the subsurface investigations and interim remedial actions conducted at the Site, including a description of chemical of concern, media of concern, and exposure pathways.
- Section 3 Previous Investigations. This section summarizes site investigations performed prior to 2014.
- Section 4 2014 Site Investigation. This section summarizes site work conducted by HydroCon in September 2014.

- Section 5 Implications for Exposure Pathways. This section discusses what current conditions imply for the exposure pathways applicable to MTCA, specifically the soil to groundwater leaching pathway and the direct contact pathway.
- Section 6 Pathway to Site Closure. This section provides recommendations additional site work.
- Section 7 Limitations. This section discusses the limitations of using this report.
- Section 8 References. This section lists references cited in the document.

This section provides a summary of soil and groundwater conditions at the Site. Detailed descriptions of the Property features and location; current and historical land use practices on the Property and adjoining parcels, potential future Property uses, and a description of the environmental, geologic, and hydrogeologic settings at the Site and be found in the Remedial Investigation Report (SoundEarth 2013). This section also provides a summary of the subsurface investigations and interim remedial actions conducted at the Site, including a description of chemical of concern, media of concern, and exposure pathways.

2.1 PROPERTY LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The following subsections present the current land use practices on the Property and adjoining parcels.

2.1.1 Property

The Property consists of an irregularly shaped tax parcel (Snohomish County parcel number 29051700200700) that covers approximately 18,731 square feet (0.43 acres) of land. The Property is listed as 851 North Broadway, approximately 1.7 miles north of downtown Everett, Washington (Figure 1).

2.1.2 Everett Smelter Site

The Property is located less than 2,000 feet south of a smelter that operated between the years 1894 and 1912 (Figure 2). The smelter was initially built and operated by Puget Sound Reduction Company. In 1903, the smelter was purchased by ASARCO LLC, commonly known as ASARCO. Historical copper-smelting operations by ASARCO resulted in the generation and widespread distribution of slag in the area. Slag is a solidified remnant of a molten waste product that is produced during the smelting process, and it is commonly known to contain elevated concentrations of arsenic, lead, and other metals. Moreover, large quantities of this waste by-product were historically used locally as fill material during the late 1800s and early 1900s (e.g., for use as subbase aggregate beneath roadways).

The Everett Smelter Site cleanup area has been delineated by Ecology and encompasses an extensive area that includes the Property (which is located in the Uplands Cleanup Area shown on Figure 2). In 2009, Ecology received a settlement with ASARCO to continue cleanup efforts related to the operation of the smelter. Slag material that appears to have been deposited in association with the construction of the North Broadway right-of-way (ROW) has been encountered beneath the western portion of the Property.

2.2 REGIONAL HYDROGEOLOGY

The Property is located in the Snohomish County Ground Water Management Area (Snohomish County Surface Water Management Division 2002). The regional aquifers occur in recessional outwash, advance outwash, and undifferentiated sediments and are confined by Vashon till and pre-Vashon transitional beds. As discussed in Section 3.1, according to Ecology well logs, groundwater in the vicinity of the Property has been encountered at depths between 85 and 94 feet below ground surface (bgs).

2.3 PROPERTY GEOLOGY

The *Geologic Map of Washington State* (Schuster 2005) indicated that the Property is underlain by Vashon till, which generally consists of a dense heterogeneous mixture of silt, sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders. The till is typically characterized by relatively low vertical hydraulic conductivity, which yields an increased potential for perched groundwater. Based on soil descriptions documented during the RI and prior work conducted at the Site, adequate data exist to characterize shallow soil at the Site into three geologic units, which are described below. The Site-specific geology is illustrated on the cross sections shown on Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the RI (SoundEarth 2013). Copies of historical boring logs are provided in Appendix A of SoundEarth 2013.

The surface of the Site generally consists of pavement and underlying aggregate subbase, which extends approximately 1 foot bgs. Soil directly underlying the surface cover generally consists of medium dense to dense, fine to medium sand with variable amounts of gravel, coarse sand, and silt. In addition, variable amounts of slag up to 8 feet in thickness are present beneath the western portion of the Property and just beyond the Property boundary, near the North Broadway ROW. The shallow soil beneath the Site is interpreted to be non-native, anthropogenic fill. The fill unit ranges in thickness from approximately 7 feet bgs to at least the maximum depth explored of 22 feet bgs. Based on its composition and physical properties, soil within this unit has been generally classified as SM (silty sands, poorly graded sand-gravel-silt mixtures) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

An abrupt interface separates the relatively coarse fill materials from the underlying unit. This underlying unit predominately consists of very dense silt and clay, with variable amounts of fine sand. This unit represents the uppermost native formation and is interpreted to be Vashon till. The Vashon till is located approximately 15 to more than 30 feet bgs, exhibiting a downward dip toward the southwest consistent with surface topography. Based on its composition and physical properties, soil within this unit has been generally classified as ML (inorganic silts and very fine sands, silty or clayey fine sands) in accordance with the USCS. Investigation data and direct field observations indicate this unit yields little to no groundwater, and the Vashon till beneath the Property is characteristic of an aquiclude.

Underlying the Vashon till is a unit which generally consists of very dense, silty, fine to medium sand containing abundant coarse sand and gravel. This unit is interpreted to be Vashon advance outwash (i.e., Esperance Sand). In places, the transitional zone between the Vashon advance outwash and the overlying Vashon till is marked by thin layers or interbeds of sand, silt, and/or

clay. Based on the findings of investigations conducted at the Site, the depth of the Vashon advance outwash ranges from approximately 11 feet bgs to at least 30 feet bgs (the maximum depth explored). A review of well logs in the Site vicinity and available online from Ecology indicates the Vashon advance outwash extends to a minimum depth of 70 feet bgs (Ecology 2013). Based on its composition and physical properties, soil within this unit has been generally classified as SM in accordance with the USCS.

2.4 PROPERTY HYDROLOGY

Groundwater levels measured in the Site's 20 wells historically have ranged from 6.27 feet (observation well OW01) to 24.34 feet (monitoring well MW08) below the top of the monitoring well casings (SoundEarth 2013, Table 1). Ten of the Site wells have been dry during the course of monitoring, and these wells are generally located outside of the former UST remedial excavation area (wells MW02 through MW07, MW10, MW11, RW04, and RW05). Three other wells at the site used for groundwater monitoring rarely have water including RW02 (10 out of 32 monitoring events), RW08 (3 out of 12 monitoring events), and OW01 (12 out of 33 monitoring events).

Groundwater at the site generally occurs within the UST remedial excavation cavity. Groundwater elevation contours (presented in the Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Reports) consistently indicate that the groundwater flow direction is radial due to mounding of groundwater within the permeable fill soil of the UST remedial excavation cavity. Outside of the UST remedial excavation area, groundwater levels (when present) have historically fluctuated drastically and are interpreted to be strongly controlled by the operation of the dualphase extraction (DPE) remediation system.

The geologic contrast that generally exists below the Site places relatively coarse fill material over finer native deposits. The low permeability of the native material results in vertical retardation of the groundwater flow at the anthropogenic and native soil interface. Groundwater present above the fill-native interface is interpreted to be perched water.

2.5 GROUNDWATER USE

According to the Ecology Water Well Logs (Ecology 2013), there are no water production wells within a 1-mile radius of the Property. The Property is located within the City of Everett's water supply system. Water for the City of Everett originates from the Spada Reservoir, which is located 30 miles east of Everett. The Spada Reservoir is located in the Sultan Basin Watershed. Once treated at the Drinking Filtration Plant, 4-foot-diameter transmission lines transport the water downstream to Everett (City of Everett 2013b).

The following provides a summary of the methods and findings of prior subsurface investigations of the Site, including the discovery of soil and groundwater contamination. The locations of soil borings, monitoring wells, soil samples, and other Site features are shown on Figure 3. The soil and groundwater analytical results are summarized in Figures 6 through 8 and in Tables 1 through 4 of the RI (SoundEarth 2013). The remainder of this Remedial Investigation Report includes references to cleanup levels; unless otherwise specified, these refer to MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Use for soil and groundwater.

3.1 RELEASE DISCOVERY AND REMEDIAL EXCAVATION

A retail gasoline service station operated on the Property from 1959 to 2003. Historical records indicate that a 500-gallon waste oil underground storage tank, two 6,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tanks, an 8,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank, a 12,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank, two fuel-dispensing pump islands, and associated product delivery lines were previously located on the Property. The 500-gallon underground storage tank systems were removed from the Property in 1990, and the remaining underground storage tank systems were removed from the northwestern and west-central portions of the Property in 2003. Soil samples collected from the floor and sidewalls of the tank excavation contained concentrations of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons, benzene, total xylenes, and/or naphthalene in excess of the applicable Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Method A cleanup levels. The petroleum-contaminated soil was excavated to the maximum extent feasible; logistical constraints and access issues (i.e., protection of site structures and the presence of the ROW) prohibited additional excavation to the north, south, and west.

3.2 SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS 2004 THROUGH 2011

Six additional subsurface investigations were conducted at the Property between 2004 and 2011 to evaluate the vertical and lateral extent of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination. During the subsurface investigations, soil samples collected from borings advanced around the perimeter of the former tank excavation were found to contain concentrations of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH); benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX); and naphthalene in excess of the applicable Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Method A cleanup levels at depths between 4 and 27.5 feet bgs. Twenty-two of the soil borings were completed as monitoring, remediation, or observation wells. Groundwater samples collected during groundwater monitoring events since 2004 have contained concentrations of GRPH, diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons (DRPH), oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons (ORPH), benzene, total xylenes, naphthalene, and/or methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) in excess of the applicable Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Method A cleanup levels.

3.3 HISTORIC DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL CONTAMINATION

The analytical data collected during the investigations conducted at the Site indicate that contaminant concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level are generally located beneath the central and northwestern portions of the Property in the vicinity of the UST excavation area and extend beneath a portion of the North Broadway ROW (Figure 4, Appendix A [SoundEarth 2013, Figure 7]). The western extent of PCS is bound by boring B29 (MW10); the eastern and southern extents of PCS are bound by borings B28 (MW09) and B30 (MW11), as well as by borings P01, P02, and P03; and the northern extent of PCS is bound by B23 through B26 (MW04 through MW07, respectively). The maximum vertical extent of PCS was detected in boring B31 (RW08) at 27.5 feet bgs.

3.4 HISTORIC DISTRIBUTION OF GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION

Based on analytical data collected from May of 2006 to December of 2013, petroleum-related contaminants have been detected in groundwater samples collected from several monitoring wells located within and around the perimeter of the backfilled UST and dispenser island excavation areas. Shallow groundwater encountered beneath the Site consists of discontinuous saturated intervals within, or at the base of, the fill materials overlying finer-grained silt or silty sand deposits. Groundwater monitoring data indicates that some of these saturated water-bearing zones have transient characteristics as a result of seasonal precipitation and/or operation of the groundwater extraction system.

The extent of the petroleum-contaminated groundwater appears to be limited to the immediate vicinity of the former USTs and dispenser islands. Monitoring data collected during the investigations indicate that residual petroleum-related contamination is present in some of the unexcavated soil around the former USTs and dispenser islands, resulting in localized areas of petroleum-contaminated groundwater when saturated conditions occur. Monitoring wells located downgradient from the excavation source area and screened across intervals similar to those located within the excavation area have either not exhibited saturated conditions or, when groundwater is present, have not contained COC concentrations above the laboratory reporting limits or the applicable cleanup levels.

3.5 PRESENCE OF SLAG

Also present at the site are variable amounts of slag associated with the Everett Smelter Site up to 8 feet in thickness beneath the western portion of the Property and just beyond the Property boundary, near the North Broadway ROW (Figures 4-7, SoundEarth 2013). The slag is associated with the Everett Smelter formally located less than 2,000 feet north of the site. Testing of the slag (EPI 2006) resulted in detections of antimony, arsenic, copper, and lead at concentrations exceeding their applicable cleanup levels at boring B1 and B6. Soil underlying the slag was also tested at B1 and B6. Antimony, arsenic, copper, and lead concentrations were found in soil underlying the slag at B-1 (3.5 feet below the slag sample) at concentrations very similar to the overlying slag; however, a soil sample collected at B-6 (3 feet below the slag

sample) had metals concentrations at a depth lower than the overlying slag sample. Soil samples analyzed from two borings located in or near the excavation backfill (B-5 and B-6) did not have elevated metals or hydrocarbon concentrations; however, EPI (2006) does not state what the composition of the material (slag, excavation backfill, native soil) that was analyzed in these borings.

3.6 REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

In May 2006, a dual-phase extraction (DPE) remediation system was installed on the Property. The DPE remediation system was designed to remove petroleum-contaminated groundwater and soil vapor from the UST system excavation area and vicinity. It was installed with use of the nine wells (remediation wells RW01 through RW07, and OW01 and OW02) that had been installed in March 2006.

An injection event was conducted on the Property in March 2009 in an effort to address the elevated concentrations of GRPH and BTEX detected in groundwater beneath the Site. Prior to injecting, SoundEarth obtained underground injection control (UIC) registration from Ecology. During the injection event, approximately 220 gallons of a solution containing sodium persulfate and hydrogen peroxide was injected into monitoring wells OW02 and RW06 with the use of a pump and/or via gravity feed.

In June 2012, an expanded DPE remediation system was installed on the Property which was designed to remove petroleum-contaminated groundwater and soil vapor from the UST system excavation area and vicinity. The system utilizes nine wells (MW08, OW02, RW02, RW03, RW04, RW08, RW09, RW10, and RW11).

3.7 CHEMICALS AND MEDIA OF CONCERN

As discussed in the section above, the former gasoline UST system and waste oil UST were identified as potential sources of release(s) beneath the Property, requiring the testing of several potential chemicals of concern (COCs) as identified on Table 830-1, Required Testing for Petroleum Releases, of WAC 173-340-900. HydroCon and others have analyzed soil and groundwater for petroleum hydrocarbons GRPH, DRPH, ORPH; volatile petroleum compounds, including BTEX; and fuel additives and blending compounds, including EDB, EDC, MTBE, and lead to satisfy the requirements for testing for a gasoline- or diesel-range release in association with the gasoline UST system. In addition, soil and/or groundwater were analyzed for carcinogenic PAHs, naphthalenes, PCBs, and halogenated VOCs to satisfy the requirements for testing for a heavy oil or waste oil release.

Based on the findings of the RI, PCBs, carcinogenic PAHs, EDB, EDC, and halogenated VOCs are not considered to be COCs for the Site because concentrations of these chemicals in soil and/or groundwater samples have remained below the applicable cleanup levels and/or laboratory reporting limits. Lead and arsenic were not considered to be COCs for the Site, as they are associated with the slag material associated with the ASARCO smelter site and not from a release from the former gasoline station on the Property. The following primary COCs have been identified using the results of the RI:

- GRPH and BTEX constituents in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater.
- DRPH and ORPH in groundwater.
- Naphthalene in soil and groundwater.
- MTBE in groundwater.

The distribution of GRPH, DRPH, ORPH, BTEX, MTBE, and naphthalene in the affected media has been investigated sufficiently for definition of the Site under MTCA, identification of the media of concern for future cleanup action, and evaluation and recommendation of a cleanup alternative.

This section summarizes site work conducted by HydroCon in September 2014. As stated in Section 1.1, the purpose of the investigation was 1) to evaluate the soil to groundwater pathway and 2) to evaluate the leachability of metals in areas of PCS and 3) to gain further understanding of current site conditions.

4.1 REVIEW OF ECOLOGY WELL LOG DATABASE-REGIONAL GROUNDWATER

HydroCon reviewed well logs on Ecology's Washington State Well Log viewer database to locate water supply wells near the subject site. An approximate one mile search radius was performed. Some of the data on the well logs is incomplete (i.e., the address of the well, geologic information, etc.) or is illegible. Approximate locations of the wells are shown on Figure 5. Copies of the three well logs found with the search are provided in Appendix B. The following wells were identified:

- The city of Everett is the owner of a 10-inch diameter steel cased well that is 153 feet in depth. Water bearing sand and gravel is recorded at a depth of 92 feet below ground surface (bgs). The casing is perforated from 138 to 153 feet bgs. In August 2005 the static water level in the well was 92 feet.
- Pringle Electronics is the owner of a well located at 1021 N Broadway. The well is 90 feet deep; diameter and construction details are unknown. Water bearing sand and gravel was recorded at a depth of 85 to 90 feet bgs. On April 18, 2001, the static water level in the well was between 85 and 90 feet bgs.
- The city of Everett is the owner of an 8-inch diameter steel cased well that is 170 feet in depth. Water bearing sand and gravel is recorded at a depth of 108 to 190 feet below ground surface (bgs). The casing is perforated from 135 to 170 feet bgs. In April 7, 2005 the static water level in the well was 94.71 feet.

These wells indicate that the regional aquifer is present at a depth of 85 to 94 feet bgs in the area of the Site. This depth to the regional aquifer corresponds to an elevation of approximately 10 to 15 feet above mean sea level.

4.2 DEEP SOIL BORING

The degree of separation between PCS and the regional aquifer was evaluated with a deep borehole (B37) drilled using hollow-stem auger drilling and sampling techniques on August 22, 2014. This borehole was located 3 feet south of monitoring well MW12 in an area of the deepest PCS found at the site to date; 0.071 mg/kg benzene at 25 feet bgs (Table 1, Figure 3). Boring HC-1 was advanced to a depth of 60 feet with a conductor casing advanced to 30 feet to minimize the potential for cross-contamination. The objective is to demonstrate separation of clean soil between the deepest PCS and the regional water table (at an estimated depth of 90 feet bgs).

The borehole was sampled with a split spoon sampler at 5-foot intervals and logged consistent with ASTM Standard D-2488-84 with emphasis on describing water-bearing intervals and field screening for the presence of PCS. The boring was backfilled with hydrated bentonite upon completion. The soil encountered during advancement of the soil boring was granular fill material from 1 to 14 feet bgs that is consistent with the excavation backfill activities on site. The fill was underlain by loose silt with fine sand which then graded into very dense silty sand with varying amounts of gravel to a depth of 50 feet bgs. The silty sand was then underlain by a fine to medium sand that continued to the total depth explored of 60 feet bgs. There was no evidence of groundwater observed in any of the samples collected or from observation of the drill cuttings during advancement of the soil boring. Soil borings are provided in Appendix C.

Headspace readings with a photoionization detector indicated the potential for PCS at depths of 35 to 45 feet bgs. The presence of PCS was verified upon receipt of analytical results showing concentrations of benzene above the Method A cleanup level of 0.03 mg/kg at depths ranging from 35 to 45 feet bgs (with benzene levels ranging from 0.11 to 0.27 mg/kg in this interval, see Table 1). Soils collected below 45 feet bgs did not contain petroleum hydrocarbons above the laboratory reporting limit.

Soil boring B37 demonstrates a separation of 15 feet between PCS and the maximum depth explored of 60 feet bgs as well as demonstrating that groundwater is not observed in the native soils to the maximum depth explored. As stated in the above section, regional groundwater is observed near the site at depths of 85 to 94 feet bgs.

4.3 SHALLOW SOIL BORINGS

Six shallow borings (HC-1 through HC-6, Figure 3) were advanced to with direct-push drilling and sampling techniques on August 28, 2014. The purpose of the borings were to evaluate the leachability of metals associated with slag and to evaluate the present condition of shallow soil (e.g., less than 15 feet) for the direct contact pathway. A copy of the laboratory report and chain-of-custody documentation is included in Appendix D. A discussion of each of the borings is provided below.

Boring HC-1 is located at historical Boring B-1 installed by EPI (2006) and is not in an area with PCS. Antimony, arsenic, copper, and lead concentrations were found in soil underlying the slag at B-1 (3.5 feet below the slag sample) at concentrations very similar to the overlying slag. Boring HC-1 was installed to further investigate the depth of high concentration metals in this area. Slag was encountered at 7 to 10 feet. As shown in Table 1, metals concentrations decreased substantially from the sample collected at 9 feet to the sample collected at 20 feet bgs. Groundwater was not encountered in the boring.

Boring HC-4 and HC-5 were located in areas of slag to evaluate the potential for metals leaching. Boring HC-4 was located near Boring PO7 which had 770 mg/kg GRPH at a depth of 16 feet in 2009. GRPH and BTEX were not detected in HC-4 at the analyzed intervals of 10, 15, and 20 feet bgs. Slag was encountered in boring HC-4 from 7 to 13 feet bgs. The concentration of

metals substantially decreased with depth as seen from the results of the samples collected at 10 and 20 feet bgs. Boring HC-5 is located near EPI's boring B-6 which had high metals concentrations at a depth of 10 feet followed by low concentrations at 13 feet bgs. Slag was encountered at HC-5 at 10 feet bgs and the metals concentrations were consistent with those in B-6 with higher concentrations at 10 feet followed by lower concentrations with depth. Boring HC-5 had a GRPH concentration below the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level (CUL) at 10 feet bgs and no GRPH or BTEX detections above the laboratory's method reporting limit (MRL) at the deeper sample intervals. Groundwater was not encountered in either of the three borings.

Boring HC-2 is located near historical boring B27 which had a GRPH concentration of 520 mg/kg and Method A CUL exceedances of BTEX at 15 feet in 2010. GRPH and BTEX were not detected in the sample collected at 15 feet bgs. However, GRPH and total xylenes were detected at concentrations exceeding their respective MTCA Method A CULs in the sample collected from 18 feet bgs. Metals were not analyzed in boring HC-2. Groundwater was not encountered in the boring.

Boring HC-3 is located near historical boring PO9 which had a GRPH concentration of 2,100 mg/kg as well as concentrations of ethylbenzene and total xylenes that exceeded their respective MTCA Method A CULs at 15 feet bgs in 2010. The sample collected at 15 feet bgs at HC-3 had a GRPH concentration of 20 mg/kg and total xylenes concentration of 22 mg/kg. Metals were not analyzed in boring HC-3. Groundwater was not encountered in the boring.

4.4 METHOD B SOIL CLEANUP LEVELS

For unrestricted land use, either Method A or Method B can be used to establish cleanup levels for direct contact with soil. To use Method B, soil must be analyzed using the VPH (Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons) and EPH (Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons) methods. Soil sample HC-2-18, which exhibited the highest GRPH concentrations collected for this 2014 site investigation, was selected and analyzed for VPH/EPH. The results of this analysis are shown on Table 2. As shown, this sample did not have a detectable concentration of benzene; however benzene has been detected soil historically and in this investigation. As a conservative estimate of the risk posed by benzene in soil at the site, the highest value of benzene in soil detected at the site (6.9 mg/kg from P09-15, Table 2, SES 2013) was substituted for calculating Method B soil cleanup levels.

The Method B soil cleanup levels were calculated with Ecology's MTCATPH11.1 Excel spreadsheet. The results of the calculations are provided in Appendix E. As noted above, the highest benzene result for the site was substituted. Also, if a fraction or component was not detected, it was assigned a concentration of zero, and if a fraction was reported by both the VPH and EPH methods, the maximum result was used. These results indicate that the Method B direct contacted cleanup level for total petroleum hydrocarbons for this site is 2,106 mg/kg.

Method B values for other site soil chemicals of concern were obtained directly from Ecology's Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculation (CLARC) tables using the lowest value of non-carcinogen and carcinogenic CULs and are summarized below:

Analyte	Soil Method B (mg/kg)
Benzene	18
Ethylbenzene	8,000
Naphthalene	1,600
Toluene	6,400
Xylenes	16,000
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	2,106

5. IMPLICATIONS FOR EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

The work described above was focused on gaining a better understanding of current site conditions and what these conditions imply for the exposure pathways applicable to MTCA, specifically the soil to groundwater leaching pathway and the direct contact pathway. These pathways are discussed in the following sections.

5.1 SOIL TO GROUNDWATER LEACHING PATHWAY

Water is present in site wells and is impacted by former site operations. Groundwater levels measured in the Site's 20 wells historically have ranged from 6.27 feet (Observation Well OW01) to 24.34 feet (Monitoring Well MW08) below the top of the monitoring well casings (Table 1, SES 2013). Thirteen of the Site wells have been dry during the course of monitoring, and these wells are generally located outside of the former UST system excavation area (wells MW02 through MW07, MW10, MW11, RW04, and RW05). The geologic contrast that generally exists below the Site places relatively coarse fill material over finer native deposits. The low permeability of the native material appears to result in vertical retardation of the groundwater flow at the anthropogenic and native soil interface. Groundwater present above the fill-native interface is interpreted to be perched water.

A search for nearby wells with Ecology's Washington State Well Log viewer database resulted in finding three wells with a one mile radius of the site. The depth to water in these wells was 85 to 94 feet below the Site.

Based on the results of recent and historical investigations, the extent of PCS is limited to a depth of about 45 feet at the site. Borings with clean samples (less than MTCA Method A CULs) beneath PCS detections include: B1 @ 18 feet, P07 @ 20 feet, P08 @ 20 feet, P09 @22 feet, P10 @ 20 feet, B22 @ 20 feet, B27 @ 17.5 feet, B31 @ 30 feet, B33 @ 22 feet, B36 @ 25 feet (Appendix A), and HC-1 @ 50 feet (Table 1). Boring locations where the depth of PCS is not constrained by deeper samples include: B03 @ 8 feet, B06 @ 14 feet, B07 @ 16 feet, P11 @ 20 feet, (Appendix A), and HC-2 @ 18 feet, and HC-3 @ 15 feet (Table 1). However, each of these borings is within 10-15 feet of a boring that does constrain the depth of PCS. Based on work conducted to date, the maximum depth of PCS is 45 feet bgs and more typically 20-25 feet bgs.

Metals testing for this and historical investigations show that metals concentrations are at or below background levels (Ecology 1993) at a depth of 20 feet or less.

Only one groundwater well, RW08 has exhibited concentrations above Method A CULs (CLARC does not have Method B values for TPH in groundwater) in the last 6 or more quarters. This well is usually dry, but of the water levels recorded, the depth to water is in excess of 15 ft.

Based on the discussion above, the potential for petroleum impacted soil and water beneath the site to impact the regional aquifer is very low.

5.2 DIRECT CONTACT PATHWAY

The direct contact pathway for soil is complete when soil concentrations are above CULs at depths of 15 feet or less. Two boring locations in this investigation indicate that remedial actions have been effective. Samples collected at 15 feet bgs at historical boring B27 and HC-2 show a reduction of GRPH from 520 mg/kg to non-detect. Samples collected at 15 feet bgs from historical boring P09 and HC-3 show a reduction of GRPH from 2,100 mg/kg to 620 mg/kg. Additional work will be required to identify and isolate areas with a complete direct contact pathway.

The calculations of the Method B TPH CUL indicate that a CUL of 2,106 mg/kg is appropriate for the site. A review of Table 1 and Appendix A shows that current and historical soil concentrations collected from depths of 15 or less above the CUL are limited to two samples, EX-23-6 and EX-24-5. These are no soil samples exceeding the Method B CULs for benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, total xylenes, MTBE, or naphthalene. The area of soil contamination exceeding the MTCA Method B CULs is shown on Figure 6.

Only one groundwater well, RW08, has exhibited concentrations above Method A CULs (CLARC does not list Method B values for TPH in groundwater) in the last 6 or more quarters. This well is usually dry, but of the water levels recorded, the depth to water is in excess of 15 ft.

6. PATHWAY TO SITE CLOSURE

The previous section demonstrates that the potential for site conditions to impact the regional aquifer are very low and that soil and groundwater are in compliance with CULs, with the exception of two samples collected during the UST excavation activities. These samples were collected at depths of less than 15 feet. TOC Holdings Co. and HydroCon recommend that additional work at the site be limited to soil exploration and remediation in the vicinity of the two samples exceeding Method B CULs.

6.1 ADDITIONAL SITE CHARACTERIZATION

The scope and schedule for additional site characterization (if necessary) would be negotiated between TOC Holdings Co. and Ecology. This would include sampling soil in areas and depths where historic soil contamination exceeded the MTCA Method B cleanup level.

6.2 CLEANUP ACTION PLAN

HydroCon would prepare a Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) on behalf of TOC Holdings Co. for Ecology review that would propose additional soil sampling and monitoring to achieve remedial goals. The CAP would be prepared in accordance with Ecology guidance and include a declaration of the cleanup levels for the COCs and media of concern, the sampling approach, and the procedures for documenting when the cleanup levels have been achieved.

The findings and conclusions documented in this report were prepared for the specific application to this project and were developed in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill normally exercised by members of the environmental science profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the area. A potential always remains for the presence of unknown, unidentified, or unforeseen subsurface contamination on portions of the property not sampled, such as under buildings. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made. This report is for the exclusive use of TOC Holdings Co. and its representatives.



City of Everett. Public Works Department – Drinking Water. Reviewed online at http://www.everettwa.org/default.aspx?ID=85>. March 9, 2013.

Environmental Partners, Inc. (EPI). Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Time Oil Co. Property No. 1-169, 851 Broadway, Everett, Washington 98201. December 18, 2006.

Schuster, J.E. Geologic Map of Washington State. Washington State Department of Natural Resources Geologic Map GM-53. Scale 1:500,000. 2005.

Snohomish County Surface Water Management Division. Puget Sound Tributaries Drainage Needs Report. December 2002.

Sound Environmental Strategies Corporation (SES). Subsurface Investigation, TOC Holdings Co. Facility 01-169, 851 Broadway, Everett, Washington. June 21, 2005.

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SoundEearth). Remedial Investigation Report, TOC Holdings. Co. Facility 01-169, 851 Broadway, Everett, Washington. March 20, 2013.

Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 1993. Natural Background Soil Metals Concentrations in Washington State. Publication #94-115. October 1994.

Washington State Well Log Viewer. http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/welllog/. Viewed February 11, 2013.







LEGEND

-	B01/ MW01	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
▲-	RW01	REMEDIATION WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
⊕	B12	SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2004)
⊕	HC-1	SOIL BORING (HYDROCON 2014)
•	P06	PUSH-PROBE SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2009)
\approx		CATCH BASIN
\bigcirc		POWER POLE
Ē	C	AREA LIGHT
		PROPERTY BOUNDARY
OHP-		OVERHEAD POWER LINE
	е —	BELOW GRADE ELECTRICAL LINE
F0 —		FIBER OPTIC LINE
	sw —	STORMWATER LINE
ss –		48-INCH-DIAMETER SEWER LINE
	w	WATER LINE
	gas —	GAS LINE
× —		FENCE
		FORMER SITE FEATURE
		FORMER FUEL DELIVERY PIPING
_	5	EXCAVATION AREA (2003)
IST		UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK

FIGURE 3 SITE FEATURES AND 2014 BORING LOCATIONS TOC HOLDINGS CO. FACILITY NO. 01-169 851 N. BROADWAY EVERETT, WA.





LEGEND

-	B01/ MW01	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
▲-	RW01	REMEDIATION WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
⊕	B12	SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2004)
⊕	HC-1	SOIL BORING (HYDROCON 2014)
¢	P06	PUSH-PROBE SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2009)
\otimes		CATCH BASIN
\bigcirc		POWER POLE
-E		AREA LIGHT
	—	PROPERTY BOUNDARY
OHP-		OVERHEAD POWER LINE
	Е —	BELOW GRADE ELECTRICAL LINE
F0 -		FIBER OPTIC LINE
	- sw —	STORMWATER LINE
SS -		48-INCH-DIAMETER SEWER LINE
	- W	WATER LINE
	GAS —	GAS LINE
• × -		FENCE
		FORMER SITE FEATURE
		FORMER FUEL DELIVERY PIPING
	3	EXCAVATION AREA (2003)
JST		UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
	\geq	APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF PETROLEUM-CONTAMINATED SOIL AND GROUNDWATER

FIGURE 5 HISTORIC SOIL CONTAMINATION ABOVE MTCA METHOD A CLEANUP LEVEL TOC HOLDINGS CO. FACILITY NO. 01-169 851 N. BROADWAY EVERETT, WA.



LEGEND

• -	B01/ MW01	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
▲-	RW01	REMEDIATION WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
⊕	B12	SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2004)
Ð	HC-1	SOIL BORING (HYDROCON 2014)
	P06	PUSH-PROBE SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2009)
	EX-23-6	EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION (GEI 2003)
		CATCH BASIN
С		POWER POLE
Ē		AREA LIGHT
		PROPERTY BOUNDARY
OHP		OVERHEAD POWER LINE
	- E —	BELOW GRADE ELECTRICAL LINE
F0 -		FIBER OPTIC LINE
	- SW —	STORMWATER LINE
SS ·		48-INCH-DIAMETER SEWER LINE
	- W	WATER LINE
	- GAS —	GAS LINE
x -		FENCE
		FORMER SITE FEATURE
		FORMER FUEL DELIVERY PIPING
_	5	EXCAVATION AREA (2003)
IST		UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
	\geq	APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF PETROLEUM-CONTAMINATED SOIL AND GROUNDWATER

FIGURE 6 SOIL CONTAMINATION ABOVE MTCA METHOD B CLEANUP LEVEL TOC HOLDINGS CO. FACILITY NO. 01-169 851 N. BROADWAY EVERETT, WA.



Table 1 Soil Analytical Results TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169 851 North Broadway Everett, Washington

		Analytical Results (mg/kg)								
Sample ID	Sample Date	GRPH ⁽¹⁾	Benzene ⁽²⁾	Toluene ⁽²⁾	Ethylbenzene ⁽²⁾	Total Xylenes ⁽²⁾	Antimony (5)	Arsenic ⁽⁵⁾	Copper ⁽⁵⁾	Lead (5)
MTCA Method A Cl	eanup Level for Soil ⁽³⁾	30/100 ⁽⁴⁾	0.03	7	6	9	N/A	20	N/A	250
		Hyrdocon S	oil Sampling	8-22-14						
B37-15	8/22/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-
B37-25	8/22/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-
B37-30	8/22/14	<2	<0.02	0.032	<0.02	0.088	-	-	-	-
B37-35	8/22/14	3.8	0.11	0.33	0.067	0.54	-	-	-	-
B37-40	8/22/14	3.6	0.27	0.25	0.1	0.41	-	-	-	-
B37-45	8/22/14	4.8	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.67	-	-	-	-
B37-50	8/22/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-
B37-60	8/22/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-
		Hyrdocon S	oil Sampling	8-28-14						
HC-1-09	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	86.4	144	1420	6980
HC-1-20	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<1	2.04	15.1	2.37
HC-2-15	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-
HC-2-18	8/28/14	4300	<0.4	3.5	<0.4	300	-	-	-	-
HC-3-15	8/28/14	620	<0.1	0.18	<0.1	22	-	-	-	-
HC-4-10	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	1.73	24.6	30.6	125
HC-4-15	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-
HC-4-20	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<1	1.59	7.05	1.76
HC-5-10	8/28/14	27	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	1.32	52.8	20.4	108
HC-5-15	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-
HC-5-20	8/28/14	<2	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<1	5.9	20.1	13.8
HC-6-15	8/28/14	<2	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	-	-	-	-

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A cleanup level.

Bold debotes concentration exceeds the Method Reporting Level (MRL) or Method Deporting Level (MDL)

Samples analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc., of Seattle, Washington.

¹Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Gx.

²Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 8260C or SW8021B.

³MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 740-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, revised November 2007.

⁴30 mg/kg when benzene is present and 100 mg/kg when benzene is not present.

⁽⁵⁾ Analzyed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 200.8

-- = not analyzed

< = not detected at a concentration exceeding the laboratory MRL or MDL

GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram



Table 2 VPH/EPH Analytical Data Summary TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169 851 North Broadway Everett, Washington

	Sample Date
	8/28/2014
	Sample ID
	HC-2-18
Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NWEPH (mg/K	(g)
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	32.0
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-	22.1
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C12-	<5.38
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C16-	<5.38
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C21-	<5.38
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-	7.02
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-	15.4
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-	6.38
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C16-	8.68
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C21-	763
Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NWVPH (mg/kg)	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C5-C6)	<0.773
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C6-C8)	16.4 E
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	45.8
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	83.3
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	73.3
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	190
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C13)	11.4
Benzene	<1.93
Toluene	0.378
Ethylbenzene	0.547
m,p-Xylene	2.21
o-Xylene	2.81
Naphthalene	1.33
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	<1.93

Bold debotes concentration exceeds the Method Reporting Level (MRL) or Method Deporting Level (MDL)

Samples analyzed by Fremont Analytical, of Seattle, Washington.

< = not detected at a concentration exceeding the laboratory MRL or MDL

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

E = Value above quantitation range

APPENDIX A

HISTORICAL SOIL RESULTS (SOUNDEARTH 2013, FIGURE 7)



0		Sample	_			Analytical P	Results (mil	ligrams per kilogra	am)	
	Sample	Depth					200	-		
Sample ID	Date	(teet)	GRPH	DRPH	ORPH	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	Naphthaten
EX-1-14	12/02/03	14	120	-	-	0.2	<0.1	1.4	10	-
EX-2-13	12/02/03	13	12	-		0.07	0.1	0.3	2.3	
EX-3-13	12/02/03	13	160	-		0.2	0.1	2.0	12	-
EX-12-7	12/02/03	7	69	-	-	0.3	< 0.05	0.3	2.1	-
EX-13-7	12/02/03	7	93		17	< 0.06	0,1	0.6	4.4	
EX-14-7	12/02/03	7	23	-	-	0.2	1.4	0.4	2.0	+
EX-17-3	12/02/03	3	3,900	-	-	<3.0	10	22	150	-
EX-18-3	12/02/03	3	4,700	-	-	<3.0	50	39	220	
EX-19-3C	12/02/03	3	990	-	-	0.8	3.4	90	51	-
EX-20-15	12/02/03	15	14,000		-	42	33	200	1,100	35
EX-22-8	12/05/03	8	<3	-	-	1.0	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.2	-
EX-23-6	12/05/03	6	2,800	-		3.6	33	30	150	-
EX-24-5	12/05/03	5	6,200	-		<3.0	7.1	68	320	-
EX-25-6	12/05/03	6	6	-	-	0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.4	-
DSP-1	12/02/03	1	310	-		0.3	0.6	2.8	13	-
B1-17	10/06/04	17	32.7	-		< 0.03	<0.05	< 0.05	0.419	-
B 1-18	10/06/04	18	<8.08	-		<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	
83.7	10/06/04	7	64.3			0.628	0.0826	1.44	6.47	2
83.8	10/06/04	8	62.5	-	-	0.692	×0.05	<0.05	0.285	-
DAE	10/06/04	5	-9.09			0.002	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	
D46	10/06/04	6	< 9.00			0.005	<0.05	<0.05	0.394	-
04-0	10/00/04	0	*0.00	-	-	0.215	10.05	40.05	0.304	-
84-/	10/06/04	/	40.00	-	-	0.124	40.05	40.05	0.305	-
854	10/06/04	4	<8.08		-	0.0597	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	-
85-5	10/06/04	5	<8.08	-	-	0.101	<0.05	0.0719	0.294	-
85-7	10/06/04	7	10.2		-	0,196	<0.05	0.385	1.72	
B6-4	10/06/04	4	18.4	-	-	0.256	<0.05	0.314	2.01	-
B6-11.5	10/06/04	11.5	338	-		0.187	0.078	1.36	6.76	-
B6-14	10/06/04	14	101	-	-	0.388	< 0.05	0.495	1.99	-
B-7-16	10/07/04	16	364	-	-	0.208	1.51	2.72	13.4	-
B11-12	10/07/04	12	13.0	-	-	0.123	0.0832	0.112	0.298	-
P06-06	06/23/09	6	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.2	< 0.05
P06-15	06/23/09	15	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.2	<0.05
P06-17	06/23/09	17	7	<50	<250	0.099	0.15	< 0.05	1.7	0.11
P07-10	06/24/09	10	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.2	< 0.05
P07-16	06/24/09	16	770	950	<250	< 0.03	0.052	3.1	27.6	50
P07-20	06/24/09	20	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.2	< 0.05
P08-12	06/24/09	12	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.2	<0.05
P08-15	06/24/09	15	54	71	<250	0.11	0.094	0.64	3.6	1.1
P08-20	06/24/09	20	6	<50	<250	0.088	0.14	0.065	0.51	0.083
P09-12	06/24/09	12	9	<50	<250	0.58	<0.05	0.35	13	0.15
P09-15	06/24/09	15	2,100	470	<250	6.9	110	42	253	18
P 09-22	06/24/09	22	4	<50	<250	0.077	0.25	0.069	0.40	0.076
P 10-12	06/24/09	12	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05
P 10-16	06/24/09	16	79	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	0.72	<14	0.29
P 10-20	06/24/00	20	4	e50	# 250	0.050	10.05	0.13	20.6	0.059
D11.12	06/24/00	12	2	<50	-250	<0.02	<0.05	0.052	<0.2	C.005
D11.16	06/24/09	14	-2	<50	2250	<0.03	10.00	0.002	10.2	10.05
D 11 20	06/24/09	10	620	160	-200	0.03	-0.05	~0.05	-0.2	11
P11-20	00/24/09	20	030	150	<250	0.60	0.3	9.1	31	11
MICA Metho	d A Clean	ID Level	100/30	2,000	2,000	0.03	/	6	9	5

	LEGLIND
🔶 MW01	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL (SES)
<table-cell-rows> RW01</table-cell-rows>	RECOVERY WELL (SES)
🔶 OW01	OBSERVATION WELL (SES)
🕀 в12	SOIL BORING (SES 2004)
🛱 В1	SOIL BORING (EPI 2006)
🔶 P06	PUSH-PROBE SOIL BORING (SES 2009)
EX-25-6	EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION (GEI 2003)
	CATCH BASIN
	PROPERTY BOUNDARY
ss	48-INCH-DIAMETER SEWER LINE
v v	WATER LINE
	GAS LINE
	1-INCH-DIAMETER SCHEDULE 80 PVC PROCESS LINE
	FORMER SITE FEATURE
	EXCAVATION AREA (2003)
EPI	ENVIRONMENTAL PARTNERS, INC.
GEI	GEOENGINEERS, INC
SES	SOUND ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGIES CORPORATION
UST	UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
RED	DENOTES CONCENTRATION EXCEEDS MTCA METHOD A CLEANUP LEVEL FOR SOIL
MTCA	WASHINGTON STATE MODEL TOXICS CONTROL ACT
GRPH	GASOLINE-RANGE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS
<	RESULT BELOW LABORATORY REPORTING LIMITS
	NOT MEASURED/NOT ANALYZED

40 EET

FIGURE 7

SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

ENVIRONMENTAL CO

APPENDIX B

WELL LOGS FROM ECOLOGY WELL LOG DATABASE

494783



GEOTECH SOIL BORING REPORT

Construction & Decommission

Decommission	AE	22251
Notice of Intent	SE	48407

Property Owner: Site Address: Location: County Work/Decom Date: Date Completed City of Everett Intersection of 14th & Grand St., Everett, WA NW, SW, Sec 18, T29N, R5E Snohomish County 6/12/2013 6/14/2013

Consulting Firm Drilling Company Driller & License #: Geo Engineers Geologic Drill Exploration, Inc Aren Hansen 3123

Signature

Construction:

Procedure	Hollow Stem Auger
Auger Size	8"
Boring Depth	See below
Water Level	See below

Formation Description: Borings # B-1, 2, 3, 4

B-1	0 to 25' 25'' to 85'	Glacial Till Sand
B- 2	0 to 65'	Sand w/Silt interbeds H2O at 5'
B-3	0 to 15' 15' to 45' 45' to 110'	Silt Sand & Gravel Glacial Till Sand w/Silt interbeds H2O at 90'
B-4	0 to 75'	Sand H2O at 5'

Decommission Backfilled with Bentonite

RECEIVED

SEP 09 2013

		29.8E.8N
WATER WELL REPORT	CURRENT Notice of Intent No.	956
Original & 1st copy - Ecology, 2nd copy - owner, 3rd copy - driller	Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No. $A \neq$	T 328
Construction/Decommission ("x" in circle) 188813	Water Right Permit No. <u>C2186A</u>	-C3358A
O Decommission ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION Notice of Intent Number	Property Owner Name City of	Everett
PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal DeWater Xirrigation Test Well Other	Well Street Address 144 West	marine Uler
TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one) New Well Reconditioned Method: Dug Bored Driven Deepened Rotary	Location \underline{SU} 1/4 1/4 \underline{SU} 1/4 Sec $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ T Lat/Long: Lat Deg \mathcal{A}	$\frac{29}{\text{wn}} = \frac{29}{29} \frac{1}{\text{NR}_{3}} = \frac{1}{20} \frac{1}{\text{or}} \frac{1}{20} \frac{1}{100} \frac$
DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well $\underline{/4}$ inches, drilled $\underline{200}$ ft. Depth of completed well $\underline{/63}$ ft.	(s,t,r still REQUIRED) Long Deg	Long Min/Sec $\varDelta A$
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS Casing X Welded Diam. from ft. to ft. Installed: Diam. from ft. to ft. Threaded Diam. from ft. to ft.	Tax Parcel No. CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSIO Formation: Describe by color, character, size of ma kind and nature of the material in each stratum pen- entry for each change of information. Indicate all y	DN PROCEDURE aterial and structure, and the etrated, with at least one vater encountered.
Perforations: Yes 🕅 No	(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY.) MATERIAL	FROM TO
SIZE of perfsin. byin. and no. of perfsfromft. toft	Too sail	0' 2'
Screens: 🕅 Yes 🗌 No 🔲 K-Pac Location	Bonian Sitt car housed	2' 5'
Vanufacturer's Name John Son	Tanlbray Gravely Eill.	5' 29'
$Iype 304 Stat 104 Stat 104 Model NoDiam. \int \delta^{4} P.S Slot Size 405/05 from 138 ft. to 153 ft.$	Sendy sitt bound Gracel	29' 48'
Diam	Tanca color	48' 19'
Gravel/Filter packed: \mathbb{X} Yes \square No \square Size of gravel/sand $\square \mathbb{Z}$ \mathbb{Z}	And for and for in	169' ins'
Surface Seal: X Yes No To what depth? 2/ ft	Davil Grey Sand med	105 147
Did any strata contain unusable water? $\Box \gamma_{es} D N_0$	David Conter Ocaring	1:17 154
Type of water?Depth of strata	Some Site	
Method of sealing strata off	Grey sand med fine	154' 200'
PUMP: Manufacturer's Name Image: Comparison of the second	creary silby	
WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level ft. Static level $\frac{9.2}{10.5}$ ft. below top of well Date $\frac{9/2405}{10.5}$	RECEIVED	
Artesian pressurelbs. per square inch Date Artesian water is controlled by	JAN 2 7 2006	
(cap,valve, etc.)	DEPT OF ECOLUGI	
Was a pump test made? X Yes No If yes, by whom? Holkkardo Rebine	RECEN	
Yield: <u>5000</u> gal/min. with <u>766</u> ft. drawdown after <u>29</u> fts. Yield: gal/min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.		
Yield:gal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs.	<u> </u>	2005
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off)(water level measured from vell top to water level)	DEPTOFFO	
Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level		PLOGY
$\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{96.7} \frac{1}{60} \frac{1}{95.9} \frac{1}{60} \frac{1}{15.3} \\ \frac{20}{96.44} \frac{90}{97} \frac{95.9}{95.45} \frac{1}{710} \frac{95.14}{95.14}$		
Date of test ft drawdawn aftar her		
Airtestgal/min. with stem set atft. forhrs.		
Artesian flowg.p.m. Date	Start Date 7/20 Completed D	ate 8/30/05
Temperature of water 💬 Was a chemical analysis made? 🗀 Yes 🍱 No WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION: I constructed and/or accept resp	onsibility for construction of this well, and its	compliance with all
Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information \mathbf{N}	reported above are true to my best knowledge a	nd belief.
Driller L'Engineer L'Trainee Name (Print) LOG Cur per	Drilling Company INCK ROLK	
Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature	— Address <u>FOBOK 100</u>	
Driller or Trainee License No	City, State, Zip <u>Graham</u> , <u>WA</u> Contractor's	1 78538
Signature and License no.	Kegistration Norlukk AD1 U1 ZMB_ [] Feelogy is an Equal Opportunity Employed	FCY 050 + 20 (Base 4/01)
	Ecology is an Equal Opportunity Employer.	ECY 050-1-20 (Rev 4/01)


APPENDIX C

BORING LOGS

		V	VELL/BC	DRING	NUN	MBEF	R H	C-1	
510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	PROJE PROJE PROJE LOGGI REVIE DATE:	ECT NAMI ECT NUM ECT LOCA ED BY: RA WED BY: 9-25-14	E: TOC Hold BER: 01-069 ATION: Ever AH CH	dings Co. 9 rett, Wa.					
DESCRIPTION (USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	LAB SAMPLE ID	RECOVERY	DIA	FIRST WATER	BLOW COUNTS	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Appholt	0 —							NA	
Aspnait Silty Sand with Gravel (SM) Brown, 60% fine sand, 30% low plastic fines, 10% fine subround sand, loose, damp, no odor.						0.2			
Slag - Black find to coareo gravel vitric day	5 — — — —					0.2			
Silt (ML) Brown, 60% low plastic fines, 40% fine sand, very dense, dry, no odor.				HC-1-09		0.1	⊻		
	15— — —	•		HC-1-15		0.2			
Sand with Silt and Gravel (SP) Brown, 90% fine sand, 5% low plastic fines, 5% fine subround gravel, very dense, dry, no odor. Total Borehole Depth @ 20' bgs.	20			HC-1-20		0.1			
	25— — 30—								NOTE: Backfill Borehole with hydrated bentonite. LEGEND: FILTER PACK BENTONITE SCEMENT GROUT CUTTINGS/BACKFILL
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: ESN DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 2-Inch SAMPLING METHOD: Macro core START CARD NUMBER:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	CA GF CC DA SL	ASING EL ROUND S DORDINA TUM: JRVEYIN	LEVATI SURFA ATES () G CON	 ON: CE ELI X & Y): ITRAC	L EVATIC TOR:	DN:	

		V	VELL/BC	DRING	NUN	MBEF	R H	C-2	
510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	PROJE PROJE PROJE LOGGI REVIE DATE:	CT NAMI CT NUM CT LOCA D BY: RA WED BY: 9-25-14	E: TOC Hold BER: 01-069 ATION: Ever AH CH	dings Co. 9 rett, Wa.					
DESCRIPTION (USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	LAB SAMPLE ID	RECOVERY	DId	FIRST WATER	BLOW COUNTS	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Asphalt	0 —							NA	
Silty Sand with Gravel (SM) Brown, 65% fine sand, 30% low plastic fines, up to 5% fine gravel, loose, dry, no odor.						0.2			
	5 —	· · · · ·		HC-2-5		0.2			
					0.1			
Slag - Black, fine to coarse gravel, vitric, dry.	10			HC-2-10		0.1			
Silty Sand with Gravel (SM) Gray, 70% fine sand, 25% low plastic fines, up to 5% fine gravel, very dense, dry, strong hydrocarbon odor.		· · · · ·				0.3			
	15— —	· · · · ·		HC-2-15		0.3			
		· · · · ·		HC-2-18		40.8			
Total Borehole Depth @ 20' bgs.	20					38.0			
	 25								NOTE: Backfill Borehole with hydrated
	_								bentonite.
	30— — —								BENTONITE
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: ESN DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 2-Inch SAMPLING METHOD: Macro core START CARD NUMBER:			CA GF CC DA SL	SING EL ROUND S ORDINA TUM: IRVEYIN	EVATI SURFA ATES () G CON	ON: CE ELE X & Y): ITRAC	EVATIC)N:	

		WELL/BORING NUMBER HC-3								
510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	PROJEC PROJEC PROJEC LOGGED REVIEWE DATE: 9-	T NAME T NUME T LOCA 9 BY: RA ED BY: 0 25-14	E: TOC Hold BER: 01-069 TION: Ever NH CH	lings Co.) ett, Wa.				HC-3		
DESCRIPTION (USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	LAB SAMPLE ID	RECOVERY	DIA	FIRST WATER	BLOW COUNTS	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS	
Apphalt	0							NA		
Aspnait Silty Sand with Gravel (SM) Gray, 70% fine sand, 25% low plastic fines, up to 5% fine gravel, loose, dry, no odor.						0.2				
Silt with fine Sand (ML) Dark brown, 60% low				HC-3-5		0.2				
plastic fines, 40% fine sand, very dense, dry, no odor.	 10			HC-3-10		0.2				
						0.5				
Total Borehole Depth @ 15' bgs.				HU-3-15		0.8				
	20									
	25— — —								NOTE: Backfill Borehole with hydrated bentonite.	
	 30 								LEGEND: ☐ FILTER PACK ☐ BENTONITE ☑ CEMENT GROUT ☑ CUTTINGS/BACKFILL	
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: ESN DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 2-Inch SAMPLING METHOD: Macro core START CARD NUMBER:	·	I	CA GR CC DA SU	CASING ELEVATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: COORDINATES (X & Y): DATUM: SURVEYING CONTRACTOR:						

		M	/ELL/BC	DRING	NUN	ИВЕF	R H	C-4	
510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	PROJE PROJE PROJE LOGGI REVIE DATE:	ECT NAME ECT NUME ECT LOCA ED BY: RA WED BY: 1 9-25-14	E: TOC Hold BER: 01-069 TION: Ever AH CH	dings Co. 9 rett, Wa.					
DESCRIPTION (USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	LAB SAMPLE ID	RECOVERY	DIA	FIRST WATER	BLOW COUNTS	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Aanholt	0 —							NA	
Asphalt Silty Sand with Gravel (SM) Brown, 65% fine sand, 25% low plastic fines, up to 5% fine gravel, loose, dry, no odor.						0.1			
Sandy Silt (ML) Dark gray, 50% low plastic fines, 40% fine sand, 10% fine gravel, loose, dry, no odor.	5 —			HC-4-5		0.2			
Local slag fragments from 8' to 10' bgs.	 10 			HC-4-10		0.2			
Sand with Gravel (SP) Light brown. 80% fine sand.	 15			HC-4-15		0.2			
10% low plastic fines, 10% fine gravel, very dense, dry, no odor.						0.2			
Total Borehole Depth @ 20' bgs.	20			HC-4-20		0.2			
	25— — —								NOTE: Backfill Borehole with hydrated bentonite.
	 30								LEGEND: FILTER PACK BENTONITE CEMENT GROUT CUTTINGS/BACKFILL
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: ESN DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 2-Inch SAMPLING METHOD: Macro core START CARD NUMBER:			CA GF CC DA SL	I I I CASING ELEVATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: COORDINATES (X & Y): DATUM: SURVEYING CONTRACTOR:					

		V	VELL/BC	DRING	NUN	ИВЕF	R H	C-5	LOCATION MAP
510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	PROJECT NAME: TOC Holdings Co. PROJECT NUMBER: 01-069 PROJECT LOCATION: Everett, Wa. LOGGED BY: RAH REVIEWED BY: CH DATE: 9-25-14								
DESCRIPTION (USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	LAB SAMPLE ID	RECOVERY	DIA	FIRST WATER	BLOW COUNTS	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Asphalt	0 —							NA	
Silty Sand with Gravel (SM) Gray, 70% fine sand, 25% low plastic fines, 5% fine gravel, loose, dry, slight hydrocarbon odor.						0.3			
Slag - Black, fine to coarse gravel, vitric, dry.	5 —			HC-5-5		0.5			
Silt (ML) Brown, 60% low plastic fines, 40% fine sand, very dense, dry, no odor.	10— — —			HC-5-10		0.8			
	15— —			HC-5-15		0.2			
Total Borehole Depth @ 20' bgs.	-20			HC-5-20		0.1			
	25— — — —								NOTE: Backfill Borehole with hydrated bentonite. LEGEND: T FII TER PACK
	30— — —				F1//A				BENTONITE
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: ESN DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 2-Inch SAMPLING METHOD: Macro core START CARD NUMBER:			CA GF CC DA SL	ASING EL ROUND S DORDINA ATUM: JRVEYIN	EVATI SURFA (TES () G CON	ON: CE ELE X & Y): ITRAC	EVATIC)N:	

		V	VELL/BO	RING	NUN	ИВЕF	R H	C-6		
510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	PROJECT NAME: TOC Holdings Co. PROJECT NUMBER: 01-069 PROJECT LOCATION: Everett, Wa. LOGGED BY: RAH REVIEWED BY: CH DATE: 9-25-14									
DESCRIPTION (USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS						
Asphalt	0 —		:					NA		
Sand with Gravel and Silt (SP) Brown, 85% fine sand, 5% low plastic fines, 10% fine gravel, fine to medium sand, loose, dry, no odor.	5 —			HC-6-5		0.1				
Silt with Sand (ML) Light gray, 60% low plastic	10			HC-6-10						
fines, 40% fine sand, very dense, damp, slight hydrocarbon odor. Total Borehole Depth @ 15' bgs.	15 — — 20 — — 25 —			HC-6-15		1.8			NOTE: Backfill Borehole with hydrated bentonite.	
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: FSN	 30			SING FI	EVATI	ON.			LEGEND: FILTER PACK BENTONITE CEMENT GROUT CUTTINGS/BACKFILL	
DRILLING METHOD: Direct Push BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 2-Inch SAMPLING METHOD: Macro core START CARD NUMBER:			GR CO DA SU	I I I CASING ELEVATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: COORDINATES (X & Y): DATUM: SURVEYING CONTRACTOR:						

510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	WELL/BORING NUMBER B37 PROJECT NAME: TOC Holdings Co. PROJECT NUMBER: 01-069 LOGGED BY: RAH DATE: 8-22-14								B370
(USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	LAB SAMPLE II	RECOVER	DID	FIRST WATER	BLOW COUNTS	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Asphalt 4" Type 17 Fill material	0					22			
	5 — 10 — 10 —								
Sand with fine Silt (ML) Brown with gray streaks, 60% fine sand, 40% low plastic fines, dry, loose, slight hydrocarbon odor.	15— — 20— —				100%	2.0			
Silty fine Sand with Gravel (SM) Gray, 60% fine sand, 30% low plastic fines, 10% fine subround gravel, dry, dense, slight hydrocarbon odor.	25— — 30— —				66%	5.4			NOTE: Set 10½" conductor casing at 30' bgs. Reduce hole size to 6¼" and continue drilling. LEGEND: ∴ FILTER PACK ■ BENTONITE ☆ CEMENT GROUT ♀ CUTTINGS/BACKFILL
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: DRILLING METHOD: BOREHOLE DIAMETER: SAMPLING METHOD: START CARD NUMBER:			CA GF CC DA SL	ASING EL ROUND S DORDINA ATUM: JRVEYIN	EVATI SURFA ATES () G CON	ON: CE ELE (& Y): TRAC ⁻	EVATIC	DN:	

		V	VELL/BO	RING	NUN	/BEF	<u>R</u> E	337	
510 Allen Street Kelso, WA 98626 Phone: 360-703-6086	PROJE PROJE PROJE LOGGI REVIE DATE:	CT NAM CT NUM CT LOC/ D BY: R WED BY: 8-22-14	E: TOC Hold BER: 01-069 ATION: Evere AH CH	ngs Co. ett, Wa.					B37 •
DESCRIPTION (USCS Classification, Depth Interval, Color, Grain Size, Plasticity, Shapes, Mineral Composition, Density or Consistency, Moisture, Odor, Geological Interpretation)	DEPTH (FT.)	SYMBOL	Well Details	LAB SAMPLE ID	RECOVERY	PID	FIRST WATER	BLOW COUNTS	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
Silty fine Sand with Gravel (SM) Gray, 60% fine sand, 30% low plastic fines, 10% fine subround gravel, dry, dense, slight hydrocarbon odor.	 35	· · · · ·			33%	17.8			
	40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			33%	13.5			
	45— —			66%	13.9			
Slight increase fine sand content with depth. Sand (SP) Brown, 90% fine to medium sand, 10% low plastic fines, dry, dense, no odor.	 50 				100%	0.6			
Total Borehole Depth @ 60' bgs	55 				66%	0.1			NOTE: Backfill Borehole with hydrated bentonite.
	65 — —								LEGEND: FILTER PACK BENTONITE CEMENT GROUT CUTTINGS/BACKFILL
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: Cascade Drilling DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 10 1/2 - 6 1/4 SAMPLING METHOD: Split Spoon START CARD NUMBER: 10 1/2 - 6 1/4			CA GR CO DA SU	Sing El Ound S Ordin# Fum: RVEYIN	LEVATI SURFA ATES () G CON	ON: CE ELE (& Y): ITRAC ⁻	EVATIC	DN:	

APPENDIX D

LABORATORY REPORT WITH CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

August 29, 2014

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 22, 2014 from the TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408374 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Allison Greiner, Rob Honsberger HDC0829R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on August 22, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408374 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>HydroCon</u>
B37-15
B37-25
B37-30
B37-35
B37-40
B37-45
B37-50
B37-60

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/29/14 Date Received: 08/22/14 Project: TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408374 Date Extracted: 08/26/14 Date Analyzed: 08/26/14 and 08/27/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
B37-15 408374-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	96
B37-25 408374-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	93
B37-30 408374-03	< 0.02	0.032	< 0.02	0.088	<2	95
B37-35 408374-04	0.11	0.33	0.067	0.54	3.8	96
B37-40 408374-05	0.27	0.25	0.10	0.41	3.6	95
B37-45 408374-06	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.67	4.8	96
B37-50 408374-07	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	96
B37-60 408374-08	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	95
Method Blank 04-1733 MB	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	96

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/29/14 Date Received: 08/22/14 Project: TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408374

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 408402-01 (Duplicate)

Laboracory couct	100 Ion of (Daphica	cc)		
-	_		Duplicate	
		Sample Result	Result	RPD
Analyte	Reporting Units	(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	87	69-120
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	93	70-117
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	95	65-123
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	94	66-120
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	95	71-131

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ca}}$ - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

	s 			0							-				OBAMS/COC/COC.BOC
	·													beceived by:	Fax (206) 283-5044
17.12	8-22-14			Ъ,				4	101	1. Juliu	Ú æ			lefinquished by	Ph. (206) 285-8282
52:21	8-22-14		decor		····		<u>ا</u> ۲	212	2 Sec	H-H-		N/\ }		lecaived by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029
TIME	DATE	ANA	YOMP!					3	XX		2		ICNATU	Column and Star	Priedman & Bruya, Inc.
+															
Feil							Ś	5		Ś	2	1255	┣	1 30	837-60
F				·			Ż	5		S		1225		67	1337-50
Fed					h		2	\leq		ς		6171		8	1337-45
FD							\sum	5		S		1205		8-	1337- 40
T					¢		7	5		S		1200		64	337-35
LOL		2					$\mathbf{\Sigma}$	5	·	S		1055		22	1337-30
-JR							2	2		\sim]	1035		02	1337-25
							<u> </u>	1		S	Se.1	1000	8-22-147	O A.E	B37-15
A Notes				HPS	SVOCs by 8270	VOCs by 8260	BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Gasoline	TPH-Diesel	containers	Sample Type	Time	Date	Lab ID	Sample ID
		(COLUMN	SE BOOM	No.	INNI.		4								
ave chions	eall with inst												-5at-09	MPax # 3	Phone # 360 703 - 60
ly Sol	MANAPILE DIS		•			ļ					EEMARKS	 	1576	STA AN	City, State, ZIP /Ulso
rized by:	hanges anthro	Rush e												5.4 23	Address 510 Allen St
8	dand (2 Week H	L Stone	*	PO #						0)-169	PROJECT NA			0	Company Hydro (un
							\mathcal{A}	X.	$\left \mathcal{V} \right $	Signal and	SAMPLERS (.•	-Here	Send Report To Cruy H
52/03	21/2 - 21/	12 Q Z	0	5	\setminus	\mathbf{i}	YC	Ĩ	8	IN OF C	PLE CEM	SAM			408374

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

September 11, 2014

Craig Hultgren, Project Manager HydroCon 510 Allen St, Suite B Kelso, WA 98626

Dear Mr. Hultgren:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 28, 2014 from the TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461 project. There are 13 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Allison Greiner, Rob Honsberger HDC0911R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on August 28, 2014 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the HydroCon TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>HydroCon</u>
408461 -01	HC-1-09
408461 -02	HC-1-15
408461 -03	HC-1-20
408461 -04	HC-2-05
408461 -05	HC-2-10
408461 -06	HC-2-15
408461 -07	HC-2-18
408461 -08	HC-3-05
408461 -09	HC-3-10
408461 -10	HC-3-15
408461 -11	HC-4-05
408461 -12	HC-4-10
408461 -13	HC-4-15
408461 -14	HC-4-20
408461 -15	HC-5-05
408461 -16	HC-5-10
408461 -17	HC-5-15
408461 -18	HC-5-20
408461 -19	HC-6-05
408461 -20	HC-6-10
408461 -21	HC-6-15

Sample HC-2-18 was sent to Fremont for EPH and VPH analyses. The report is enclosed.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 09/11/14 Date Received: 08/28/14 Project: TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461 Date Extracted: 08/29/14 Date Analyzed: 08/29/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate (<u>% Recovery</u>) (Limit 50-132)
HC-1-09 408461-01	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	75
HC-1-20 408461-03	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	74
HC-2-15 408461-06	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	89
HC-2-18 408461-07 1/20	<0.4	3.5	<0.4	300	4,300	ip
HC-3-15 408461-10 1/5	<0.1	0.18	<0.1	22	620	113
HC-4-10 408461-12	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	80
HC-4-15 408461-13	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	80
HC-4-20 408461-14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	81
HC-5-10 408461-16	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	27	89
HC-5-15 408461-17	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	88

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 09/11/14 Date Received: 08/28/14 Project: TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461 Date Extracted: 08/29/14 Date Analyzed: 08/29/14

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING METHODS 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

Sample ID	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	Gasoline Range	Surrogate
Laboratory ID	Denzene	Tolucile	Denzene	<u>Ayienes</u>	<u>itange</u>	(Limit 50-132)
HC-5-20 408461-18	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	80
HC-6-15 408461-21	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	83
Method Blank 04-1735 MB	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	89

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	HC-1-09	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	08/28/14	Project:	TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461
Date Extracted:	09/02/14	Lab ID:	408461-01 x10
Date Analyzed:	09/03/14	Data File:	408461-01 x10.059
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	102	60	125
Indium	90	60	125
Holmium	90	60	125
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Copper	1,420		
Arsenic	144		
Antimony	86.4		
Lead	6,980		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	HC-1-20	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	08/28/14	Project:	TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461
Date Extracted:	09/02/14	Lab ID:	408461-03
Date Analyzed:	09/03/14	Data File:	408461-03.028
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	109	60	125
Indium	90	60	125
Holmium	94	60	125
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Copper	15.1		
Arsenic	2.04		
Antimony	<1		
Lead	2.37		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	HC-4-10	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	08/28/14	Project:	TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461
Date Extracted:	09/02/14	Lab ID:	408461-12
Date Analyzed:	09/03/14	Data File:	408461-12.034
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	103	60	125
Indium	80	60	125
Holmium	84	60	125
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Copper	30.6		
Arsenic	24.6		
Antimony	1.73		
Lead	125		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

HC-4-20	Client:	HydroCon
08/28/14	Project:	TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461
09/02/14	Lab ID:	408461-14
09/03/14	Data File:	408461-14.035
Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	AP
	Lower	Upper
% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
100	60	125
82	60	125
87	60	125
Concentration		
mg/kg (ppm)		
7.05		
1.59		
<1		
1.76		
	HC-4-20 08/28/14 09/02/14 09/03/14 Soil mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight % Recovery: 100 82 87 Concentration mg/kg (ppm) 7.05 1.59 <1 1.76	$\begin{array}{ccc} HC-4-20 & Client: \\ 08/28/14 & Project: \\ 09/02/14 & Lab ID: \\ 09/03/14 & Data File: \\ Soil & Instrument: \\ mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight & Operator: \\ & Lower \\ & Kecovery: & Limit: \\ 100 & 60 \\ 82 & 60 \\ 87 & 60 \\ \hline \\ & Koncentration \\ mg/kg (ppm) \\ \hline \\ & 7.05 \\ 1.59 \\ <1 \\ 1.76 \\ \hline \end{array}$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	HC-5-10	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	08/28/14	Project:	TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461
Date Extracted:	09/02/14	Lab ID:	408461-16
Date Analyzed:	09/03/14	Data File:	408461-16.037
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	101	60	125
Indium	82	60	125
Holmium	85	60	125
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Copper	20.4		
Arsenic	52.8		
Antimony	1.32		
Lead	108		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

HC-5-20	Client:	HydroCon
08/28/14	Project:	TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461
09/02/14	Lab ID:	408461-18
09/03/14	Data File:	408461-18.038
Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	AP
	Lower	Upper
% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
113	60	125
87	60	125
93	60	125
Concentration		
mg/kg (ppm)		
20.1		
5.90		
<1		
13.8		
	HC-5-20 08/28/14 09/02/14 09/03/14 Soil mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight % Recovery: 113 87 93 Concentration mg/kg (ppm) 20.1 5.90 <1 13.8	$\begin{array}{cccc} HC-5-20 & Client: \\ 08/28/14 & Project: \\ 09/02/14 & Lab ID: \\ 09/03/14 & Data File: \\ Soil & Instrument: \\ mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight & Operator: \\ & Lower \\ & Kecovery: & Limit: \\ 113 & 60 \\ 87 & 60 \\ 93 & 60 \\ \end{array}$

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	HydroCon
Date Received:	NA	Project:	TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461
Date Extracted:	09/02/14	Lab ID:	I4-534 mb
Date Analyzed:	09/03/14	Data File:	I4-534 mb.026
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	96	60	125
Indium	90	60	125
Holmium	92	60	125
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Copper	<1		
Arsenic	<1		
Antimony	<1		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 09/11/14 Date Received: 08/28/14 Project: TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 408439-01 (Duplicate)

		Sample	Duplicate	
		Result	Result	RPD
Analyte	Reporting Units	(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent					
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance		
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria		
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	83	66-121		
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	72-128		
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	69-132		
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	86	69-131		
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	95	61-153		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 09/11/14 Date Received: 08/28/14 Project: TOC_01-169, WORFDB8 F&BI 408461

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 408461-03 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Copper	mg/kg (ppm)	50	13.9	82 b	89 b	57-120	8 b
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	1.88	102	102	70-118	0
Antimony	mg/kg (ppm)	20	<1	96	96	54-116	0
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	2.18	102	105	59-148	3

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent					
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance			
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria			
Copper	mg/kg (ppm)	50	99	82-119			
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	106	83-113			
Antimony	mg/kg (ppm)	20	98	69-114			
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	104	80-120			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

 ${\bf b}$ - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ca}}$ - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

 $hr\ \text{-}\ The\ sample\ and\ duplicate\ were\ reextracted\ and\ reanalyzed.\ RPD\ results\ were\ still\ outside\ of\ control\ limits.\ Variability\ is\ attributed\ to\ sample\ inhomogeneity.$

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$ - Recovery fell outside of control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

Friedman & Bruya Michael Erdahl 3012 16th Ave. W. Seattle, WA 98119

RE: 408461 Lab ID: 1409016

September 10, 2014

Attention Michael Erdahl:

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 1 sample(s) on 9/2/2014 for the analyses presented in the following report.

Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NWEPH Sample Moisture (Percent Moisture) Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NWVPH

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Mphlo. Rody

Mike Ridgeway President



CLIENT: Friedman & Bruya		Work Order S	Work Order Sample Summary				
Project: 408461							
Lab Order:	1409016						
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received				
1409016-001	HC-2-18	08/28/2014 10:00 AM	09/02/2014 1:47 PM				



Case Narrative WO#: 1409016 Date: 9/10/2014

CLIENT:Friedman & BruyaProject:408461

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS:

Results are reported on a wet weight basis unless dry-weight correction is denoted in the units field on the analytical report ("mg/kg-dry" or "ug/kg-dry").

Matrix Spike (MS) and MS Duplicate (MSD) samples are tested from an analytical batch of "like" matrix to check for possible matrix effect. The MS and MSD will provide site specific matrix data only for those samples which are spiked by the laboratory. The sample chosen for spike purposes may or may not have been a sample submitted in this sample delivery group. The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples and the MS/MSD to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.



Analytical Report

WO#: **1409016** Date Reported: **9/10/2014**

Client: Friedman & Bruya				Collection Date: 8/28/2014 10:00:00 AM			
Project: 408461							
Lab ID: 1409016-001				Matrix: So	oil		
Client Sample ID: HC-2-18							
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	
	Rooun		quui	Unite	5.	Bato / maryzoa	
Extractable Petroleum Hydroca	rbons by NWEF	<u>РН</u>		Batch	n ID: 8635	6 Analyst: EC	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	32.0	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 3:05:00 AM	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	22.1	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 3:05:00 AM	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C16)	ND	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 3:05:00 AM	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C16-C21)	ND	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 3:05:00 AM	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C21-C34)	ND	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 3:05:00 AM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	7.02	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 8:08:00 AM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	15.4	5.38	*	mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 8:08:00 AM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C16)	6.38	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 8:08:00 AM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C16-C21)	8.68	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 8:08:00 AM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C21-C34)	763	5.38		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/10/2014 8:08:00 AM	
Surr: 1-Chlorooctadecane	83.5	65-140		%REC	1	9/10/2014 3:05:00 AM	
Surr: o-Terphenyl	96.6	65-140		%REC	1	9/10/2014 8:08:00 AM	
NOTES:							
* - Flagged value is not within established	d control limits.						
Volatila Potroloum Hydrocarbo				Batch	10 [.] 8617	Analyst: EM	
				Dato	110.0017		
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C5-C6)	ND	0.773		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C6-C8)	16.4	0.773	E	mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	45.8	15.5	D	mg/Kg-dry	20	9/8/2014 7:56:00 PM	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	83.3	15.5	D	mg/Kg-dry	20	9/8/2014 7:56:00 PM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	73.3	15.5	D	mg/Kg-dry	20	9/8/2014 7:56:00 PM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	190	15.5	D	mg/Kg-dry	20	9/8/2014 7:56:00 PM	
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C13)	11.4	0.773		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Benzene	ND	0.193		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Toluene	0.378	0.193		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Ethylbenzene	0.547	0.193		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
m,p-Xylene	2.21	0.193		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
o-Xylene	2.81	0.193		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Naphthalene	1.33	0.193		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.193		mg/Kg-dry	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Surr: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	82.6	65-140		%REC	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	
Surr: Bromofluorobenzene	99.2	65-140		%REC	1	9/8/2014 8:29:00 PM	

Qualifiers: B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RL Reporting Limit

- D Dilution was required
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits



Analytical Report

WO#: **1409016** Date Reported: **9/10/2014**

Client:	Friedman & Bruya	Collection Date: 8/28/2014 10:00:00 AM						
Project:	408461		Metrice Cell					
Client Sa	ample ID: HC-2-18	Matrix: Soli						
Analyses	6	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	
Sample Moisture (Percent Moisture) Batch ID:					h ID: R1	6535 Analyst: TK		
Percent	Moisture	13.4			wt%	1	9/3/2014 12:58:00 PM	

Qualifiers:

В

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RL Reporting Limit

- D Dilution was required
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits


Work Order: 1409016								00.5			
CLIENT: Friedman &	Bruya										
Project: 408461						Extra	ctable F	etroleum F	lydrocarbo	ons by N	WEPH
Sample ID: 1408228-001ADUP	SampType: DUP			Units: mg/Kg-	dry	Prep Date	e: 9/5/201	4	RunNo: 166	84	
Client ID: BATCH	Batch ID: 8635					Analysis Date	e: 9/10/20	14	SeqNo: 335	303	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	43.1	4.89						40.13	7.22	30	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	217	4.89						208.0	4.35	30	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C16)	585	4.89						587.8	0.537	30	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C16-C21)	294	4.89						288.6	1.86	30	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C21-C34)	ND	4.89						0		30	
Surr: 1-Chlorooctadecane	3.04		3.911		77.6	65	140		0		
Sample ID: LCS-8635	SampType: LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date	e: 9/5/201	4	RunNo: 166	84	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8635					Analysis Date	e: 9/10/20	14	SeqNo: 335	306	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	9.24	5.00	10.00	0	92.4	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	4.81	5.00	5.000	0	96.3	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C16)	3.77	5.00	5.000	0	75.4	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C16-C21)	4.62	5.00	5.000	0	92.5	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C21-C34)	4.37	5.00	5.000	0	87.5	70	130				
Surr: 1-Chlorooctadecane	4.19		4.000		105	65	140				
Sample ID: MB-8635	SampType: MBLK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date	e: 9/5/201	4	RunNo: 166	84	
Client ID: MBLKS	Batch ID: 8635					Analysis Date	e: 9/10/20	14	SeqNo: 335	307	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	ND	5.00									
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	ND	5.00									
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C16)	ND	5.00									
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C16-C21)	ND	5.00									
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C21-C34)	ND	5.00									
Surr: 1-Chlorooctadecane	3.87		4.000		96.7	65	140				
Qualifiers: B Analyte detected in t	the associated Method Blank		D Dilution wa	as required			E Value	above quantitation ra	inge		
H Holding times for pre	eparation or analysis exceeded		J Analyte de	tected below quantitation lin	nits		ND Not d	etected at the Reporti	ng Limit		
R RPD outside accepte	ed recovery limits		RL Reporting I	Limit			S Spike	recovery outside acc	epted recovery limits	S	



Work Order:	1409016									QC S		RY REF	PORT
CLIENT:	Friedman &	Bruya						E sstar	atabla F				
Project:	408461							Extra	Ictable F	etroleum F	iyarocarb	ONS DY N	WEPH
Sample ID: MB-86	635	SampType	BIK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Dat	e: 9/5/201	4	RunNo: 166	84	
Client ID: MBLK	S	Batch ID:	8635					Analysis Dat	e: 9/10/20	14	SeqNo: 335	307	
Analyte			Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Comple ID: 44092		CompTupo					dun e	Drop Dat	0/5/204	4	Duplie: 466	04	1
	20-001ADOP	Samprype	DUP			Units. mg/kg-	ary	Prep Dai	e. 9/5/201	4	Runno. 100	004	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID:	8635					Analysis Dat	e: 9/10/20	14	SeqNo: 335	5311	
Analyte			Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C8-C10)		5.73	4.89						0	200	30	
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C10-C12)		43.1	4.89						0	200	30	*
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C12-C16)		262	4.89						0	200	30	
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C16-C21)		1,440	4.89						0	200	30	
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C21-C34)		306	4.89						0	200	30	
Surr: o-Terpheny	yl		3.89		3.911		99.6	65	140		0		
NOTES:													
* - Flagged value	e is not within esta	blished contro	ol limits.										
Sample ID: LCS-8	635	SampType	LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Dat	e: 9/5/201	4	RunNo: 166	84	
Client ID: LCSS		Batch ID:	8635					Analysis Dat	e: 9/10/20	14	SeqNo: 335	314	
Analyte			Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C8-C10)		4.55	5.00	5.000	0	91.0	70	130				
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C10-C12)		3.19	5.00	5.000	0	63.8	70	130				S
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C12-C16)		4.11	5.00	5.000	0	82.2	70	130				
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C16-C21)		4.40	5.00	5.000	0	87.9	70	130				
Aromatic Hydrocart	bon (C21-C34)		4.57	5.00	5.000	0	91.4	70	130				
Surr: o-Terpheny	yl		3.53		4.000		88.3	65	140				

NOTES:

S - Outlying QC recoveries were associated with this sample (EPH: Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12) - low bias). Samples may be qualified with an *.

Qualifiers:

В

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

D Dilution was required

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RL Reporting Limit

- E Value above quantitation range
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits





Work Order: 1409016

CLIENT:Friedman & BruyaProject:408461

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NWEPH

Sample ID: MB-8635	SampType: MBLK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date: 9/5/	2014	RunNo: 166	84	
Client ID: MBLKS	Batch ID: 8635					Analysis Date: 9/10)/2014	SeqNo: 335	315	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit HighLi	mit RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	ND	5.00								
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	ND	5.00								
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C16)	ND	5.00								
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C16-C21)	ND	5.00								
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C21-C34)	ND	5.00								
Surr: o-Terphenyl	3.04		4.000		75.9	65 1	40			

Qualifiers: B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- D Dilution was required
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RL Reporting Limit

- E Value above quantitation range
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits



Work	Order:	1409016

CLIENT: Friedman & Bruya

Project: 408461

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NWVPH

Sample ID: 1409016-001BDUP	SampType: DUP			Units: mg/l	Kg-dry	Prep Dat	te: 9/3/201	4	RunNo: 166	86	
Client ID: HC-2-18	Batch ID: 8617					Analysis Dat	te: 9/8/201	4	SeqNo: 335	349	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C5-C6)	ND	0.773		0	0			0		25	
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C6-C8)	17.5	0.773		0	0			16.38	6.39	25	Е
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	52.6	0.773		0	0			53.83	2.39	25	Е
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	77.1	0.773		0	0			83.21	7.68	25	Е
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	66.4	0.773		0	0			70.12	5.45	25	Е
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	182	0.773		0	0			187.2	3.01	25	Е
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C13)	10.7	0.773		0	0			11.42	6.51	25	
Benzene	ND	0.193		0	0			0		25	
Toluene	0.367	0.193		0	0			0.3785	3.15	25	
Ethylbenzene	0.493	0.193		0	0			0.5468	10.3	25	
m,p-Xylene	2.17	0.193		0	0			2.214	2.23	25	
o-Xylene	2.74	0.193		0	0			2.807	2.25	25	
Naphthalene	1.25	0.193		0	0			1.330	5.91	25	
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.193		0	0			0		25	
Surr: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.818		0.9660		84.7	65	140		0		
Surr: Bromofluorobenzene	1.06		0.9660		110	65	140		0		

Sample ID: 1409016-001BMS	SampType: MS			Units: mg/l	Kg-dry	Prep Dat	te: 9/3/201	4	RunNo: 166	86	
Client ID: HC-2-18	Batch ID: 8617					Analysis Dat	te: 9/8/201	4	SeqNo: 335	350	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C5-C6)	12.8	0.773	11.59	0	111	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C6-C8)	19.6	0.773	3.864	16.38	84.4	70	130				Е
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	53.6	0.773	3.864	53.83	-6.52	70	130				SE
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	72.4	0.773	3.864	83.21	-279	70	130				SE
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	77.8	0.773	15.46	70.12	49.5	70	130				SE
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	177	0.773	3.864	187.2	-254	70	130				SE
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C13)	16.1	0.773	3.864	11.42	121	70	130				

Qualifiers: B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

н

R

D Dilution was required

RL

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Reporting Limit

E Value above quantitation range

ND

Not detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits



Work Order:	1409016
CLIENT:	Friedman & Bruya

408461

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons by NWVPH

Sample ID: 1409016-001BMS	SampType: MS			Units: mg/l	۶g-dry	Prep Da	te: 9/3/201	4	RunNo: 166	86	
Client ID: HC-2-18	Batch ID: 8617					Analysis Da	te: 9/8/201	4	SeqNo: 335	350	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	3.58	0.193	3.864	0	92.7	70	130				
Toluene	3.73	0.193	3.864	0.3785	86.8	70	130				
Ethylbenzene	4.34	0.193	3.864	0.5468	98.3	70	130				
m,p-Xylene	7.80	0.193	7.728	2.214	72.3	70	130				
o-Xylene	5.20	0.193	3.864	2.807	61.8	70	130				S
Naphthalene	4.09	0.193	3.864	1.330	71.5	70	130				
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	3.36	0.193	3.864	0	87.0	70	130				
Surr: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.939		0.9660		97.2	65	140				
Surr: Bromofluorobenzene	1.13		0.9660		117	65	140				

NOTES:

Project:

S - Outlying spike recoveries were associated with this sample. The method is in control as indicated by the LCS.

Sample ID: LCS-8617	SampType:	LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Da	te: 9/3/201	4	RunNo: 166	86	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID:	8617					Analysis Da	te: 9/8/201	4	SeqNo: 335	353	
Analyte	F	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C5-C6)		30.9	2.00	30.00	0	103	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C6-C8)		11.1	2.00	10.00	0	111	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)		9.06	2.00	10.00	0	90.6	70	130				
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)		7.97	2.00	10.00	0	79.7	70	130				
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)		38.2	2.00	40.00	0	95.6	70	130				
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)		8.48	2.00	10.00	0	84.8	70	130				
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C13)		9.54	2.00	10.00	0	95.4	70	130				
Benzene		9.64	0.500	10.00	0	96.4	70	130				
Toluene		9.37	0.500	10.00	0	93.7	70	130				
Ethylbenzene		9.26	0.500	10.00	0	92.6	70	130				
m,p-Xylene		18.2	0.500	20.00	0	90.8	70	130				
o-Xylene		9.23	0.500	10.00	0	92.3	70	130				
Naphthalene		7.49	0.500	10.00	0	74.9	70	130				

Qualifiers: B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

н

D Dilution was required

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

RL Reporting Limit

E Value above quantitation range

ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Fremont
[Analytical]

Work Order:1409016CLIENT:FriedmanDesign to400401	& Bruya					Ň	/olatile F	QC S Petroleum H	SUMMAI	RY REF	PORT WVPH
Project: 408461											
Sample ID: LCS-8617	SampType: LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Da	ite: 9/3/201	4	RunNo: 166	686	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8617					Analysis Da	te: 9/8/201	4	SeqNo: 335	5353	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	9.05	0.500	10.00	0	90.5	70	130				
Surr: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	2.18		2.500		87.0	65	140				
Surr: Bromofluorobenzene	2.34		2.500		93.5	65	140				
Sample ID: MB-8617	SampType: MBLK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Da	ite: 9/3/201	4	RunNo: 166	86	
Client ID: MBLKS	Batch ID: 8617					Analysis Da	te: 9/8/201	4	SeqNo: 335	5354	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C5-C6)	ND	2.00		0	0						
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C6-C8)	ND	2.00		0	0						
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	ND	2.00		0	0						
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	ND	2.00		0	0						
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C8-C10)	ND	2.00		0	0						
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C10-C12)	ND	2.00		0	0						
Aromatic Hydrocarbon (C12-C13)	ND	2.00		0	0						
Benzene	ND	0.500		0	0						
Toluene	ND	0.500		0	0						
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.500		0	0						
m,p-Xylene	ND	0.500		0	0						
o-Xylene	ND	0.500		0	0						
Naphthalene	ND	0.500		0	0						
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.500		0	0						
Surr: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	2.13		2.500		85.1	65	140				
Surr: Bromofluorobenzene	2.25		2.500		89.9	65	140				

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в Qualifiers:

Н

D Dilution was required

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RL Reporting Limit

E Value above quantitation range

ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits



Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name:	FB	Work Ord	er Number:	140901	16	
Logged by:	Erica Silva	Date Rece	eived:	9/2/201	14 1:47:00 PM	
Chain of Cu	stody					
1. Is Chain of	Custody complete?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was th	e sample delivered?	FedEx				
Log In						
3 Coolers are	present?	Yes		No 🗹		
0.		No coole	r present.			
4. Shipping co	ontainer/cooler in good condition?	Yes	~	No 🗌		
5. Custody se	als intact on shipping container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌	Not Required 🗹	
6. Was an att	empt made to cool the samples?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
7. Were all co	olers received at a temperature of >0°C to 10.0°C	Yes		No 🗹		
	Plea	ase refer to i	item inforn	nation.		
8. Sample(s)	in proper container(s)?	Yes	\checkmark	No		
9. Sufficient s	ample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes	\checkmark	No 🗌		
10. Are sample	s properly preserved?	Yes	\checkmark	No 🗌		
11. Was prese	rvative added to bottles?	Yes		No 🗹	NA 🗌	
12. Is the head	space in the VOA vials?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹	
13. Did all sam	ples containers arrive in good condition(unbroken)?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
14. Does paper	work match bottle labels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
15. Are matrice	es correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
16. Is it clear w	hat analyses were requested?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
17. Were all ho	lding times able to be met?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
<u>Special Han</u>	dling (if applicable)					
18. Was client	notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Perso	n Notified: Date]
By W	hom: Via:	eMail	Phone	e 🗌 Fax	🛛 🗌 In Person	
Regar	rding:					
Client	Instructions:					
19 Additional r	emarks:					L

Sample received with ice.

Item Information

Item #	Temp °C	Condition
Sample	13.1	

Fax (206)	Ph. (206) 2	ours ion	Friedman						HC-2-18	Samp	Phone #	City, Stat	Address	Company	Send Rep	
283-5044	4 30113-20. 85-8282	Abenue we	& Bruya, h							le ID	(206) 285-8	e, ZIP Sea	30	Fr	ort <u>To</u> M	
-	a .		5							Lab ID	3282	attle, V	12 16t	iedmai	port To Michael Erdahl SUBCONTRACTER Fremont Aulutical Page # 1 of TURNAROUND TIM	
eceived by:	elinquished by	2 Martine							1/32/3	Date Sampled	Fax #_ (20	VA 98119	n Ave W	1 and Bruya	Erdahl	
	a	Eart	SIGNATURE						1000	Time Sampled	6) 283-5044			Inc.	100	And the second s
-			2		2				Soil	Matrix		RE		PR(US	1
	5	Mich							2	# of jars		MARKS		DJECT	BCON	
	Phil	ael Erc		-	-	-	1000		 	Dioxins and Furans by 8290	lease E	S	80h	NAM	TRACT	
	AK	fahl	RINT						×	EPH	mail b		461	E/NO.	YER F	
	Gar	-	NAME						×	VPH	lesults				remo	
	r		1							Nitrate					"+ A	
					_		++	\square		Sulfate			0-	P	uly tr	
	Frevient	Friedman	CO							Alkalinity			1400	0#	6	
	haly	& Bruya	MPANY		_						C Ret	🗆 Dis	Rush	Sta RU		
	8	-9					Ħ				urn sau l call w	SAM pose af	charge	ndard SH	Page. TURN	
	7/2/19	12/14	DATE				\square			N	mples ith instructi	PLE DISPO ter 30 days	s authorized	(2 Weeks)	AROUND 7	
	1347	13:00	TIME							otes	suoi	SAL	ł by:		of 4	-

							┝												FORMS\COC\C
ĥ					5		+-								4	ÿ	ceived b	283-5044 R	Fax (206) 2
7	7			ľ	Ì		+	k				ļ			X	ed by:	elinquish	85-8282	Ph. (206) 2
<u>۲</u>	Ň				<u>8</u> , 1	ħ		V		È	Ŋ	5	2009	H	red &	1 H	o Daviace	98119-2029 K	Seutte, WA
158 10	8-28-14			5	Store .	Ť		S	Š	ريم	F	7	R.S.++		N.V.	Pr 1		Dello 2020 P	Contra 1000
TIME	DATE		YY	APA	COV		+-1			ME	NA	T	PRI		TURE	SIGNA	elinouish	& Bruya, Inc.	Friedman 3012 16th
										×	×				1025		NO	5-15	Ч
مار کمار	T									-	L				1030		99	5-10	-) T
كأر	L.														1025		8	3-05	HC-
			-+			X				X	Ϋ́				1000		Ę	2-18	HC-
										\times	\times				0445		06	157	HCZ
م	E														orno		8	- 10	HC-2
S	المعا														0935-		04	-05	Hc-2
		X	×	X	×					X	K				oplus-		03	-20	H1C-1-
&	Ha														0855		02	5	HC-1-
		\times	\times	\times	\times					×	\times		S	Soil	05850	S-28-K4	10 P-	9	HC-1-0
Notes		Leil	Copper	Arsenic	ant:mong	EPH/UPH	HFS	SVOCs by 8270	VOCs by8260	BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Gasoline	TPH-Diesel	# of containers	Sample Type	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	Lab ID	ample ID	ß
			U	ESTI	EQU	ES R	LYS	ANA											
ructions	rn samples call with inst	Retu													143-6086	# 360 7	Fax	60-703 607g	Phone #3
POSAL lays	SAMPLE DIS	l Disp											KS	- REMAR		48626	5.4	e, ZIP 🗣 Lulso	City, Stat
rized by	harges author	ush c	R 1								L S	ן ה	20			52	Siz	510 Allen St	Address
(S)	ndard (2 Week	Stan		[, Ť	ч		N				NO	T NAME/	- PROJEC				Hydrocan	Company
	TIRNAROI IN		- `			$\left \right $		N		M	Le	uture	ERS (signc	SAMPLI			Fres	ort To Creix H	Send Rep
8 ESA	Ž	5	i	0	A CI	· .			DY	TO	SUC	FC	HAIN O	SAMPLE C	70			19	h80h

Ċ	and a start																FORMS\COC\COC.DOC
5	Acalivad st		Samo											jy:	ived t	Rece	Fax (206) 283-5044
														hed by:	nquish	Kehr	Ph. (206) 285-8282
V V		7	a_{i}	•••	$\overline{\ }$	2		É	Ú	6	EMD	L H) H Ko		Nece	Seattle, WA 90119-2029
2-28-14 1510		5	Lyin	Ļ			P	F	1 st		Kit-A		X	1		P	S III OBIIO 2020
DATE TIME	YN	(IPA)	CO					ME	N	Z	PR		TURE	SIGNA		Pelin	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.
Hay													1300			20	46-9-10
jes/													1255		\pm	19	HC-6-05
	XX	\times	\checkmark					$\overline{\langle}$	\star				1210		┿──	81	HC-5-20
								\checkmark	\mathbf{x}				1205		+	ţ,	HC-2-15
	×	\prec	×					X	~				1200			31	HC-5-10
rizt								1					1155			×	HC-5-05
	\times	\times	$\boldsymbol{\times}$					×	×				1135		+	24	11-4-20
								x	×				1215			5	シー・セーント
	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	\times	$\boldsymbol{\star}$					X	\mathbf{x}				1105		6	II II	エレーシーショ
Hid											S	5.1	1100	8-22-14	2	Ξ	HC-4- 05
Notes	Coffer Lock	Arsenic	antimony		HFS	SVOCs by 8270	VOCs by8260	BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Gasoline	TPH-Diesel	# of containers	Sample Type	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	D àb		Sample ID
	D	ESTI	REQU	SES	<u>F</u>	Z	1	1	1	$\uparrow \uparrow$						-	
n samples all with instructions	□ Return □ Will ci												-	#	Fax		Phone #
AMPLE DISPOSAL se after 30 days	Dispos		1								IKS	- REMAR					City, State, ZIP
arges authorized by	Rush cha							د ا	Ĝ		100	1		1-15	Q.	6	Address
ard (2 Weeks)	□ RUSH		PO#					•	, <u>,</u>	Ž	T NAME	- PROJEC		-	4		Company
$\frac{3e \# 2}{RNAROUND TIME}$					\mathcal{N}	2	$\left[\mathcal{V}_{i} \right]$	$ \mathcal{N} $	A	uatu	ERS (sign	SAMPL					Send Report To
VS3/623	<u> </u>	2/ic	86/8	0	ME	Y	DD.	STO	CU)F	HAIN C	SAMPLE C	7.0				194804

POI	N		Ŷ	: 3 (2 30	, 	ľ	<u>.</u>	T		1	1	1	<u> </u>			1 -1	Here :	0	A \ 10	-
MAS VOOC VOOC BOC	aar (2006) 283-5044	h. (2006) 285-8282	BORDAR, WA JEN 13-2023	TEL POINT ALVERINE PROST	riedman & Bruya, Inc.										HC-6-@15	Sample ID		hone #	ity, State, ZIP	iend Report To iompany	192461
	Received by:	Rolinquished by	ENECHIVER BY:	L.											J & 10	Lab ID		Fax #		feget	
		Ŋ	HCC	11	TANKE										4-28-14	Bate					. .
			PL .		調										1305	Time					
			Ko.	5	• •										5	Sample Type			EMARKS	ROJECT N	
			125	wet A. Hu	PROT						X		ting article		Л	containers				01-1667	IN OF C
		-	A.	Ser		,					ļ	<u>\</u>				TPH-Dissel					UST
			ici	Ţ		;	<u>in conne</u> l		ې _اي د بې د بې ا		<u>ter en </u>	-	in processing		XX	TPH Gasoline				1	
							**************************************			\uparrow			.			VOCs by 8200				h	
ŀ	4		-						•							SVOCs by 8270	ANN.				Ĩ
			2	The second			6		ç	/			<u></u>			HFS				PC	Q
Ĭ			Ż	1 and	00		1		/	, 	- <u>-</u>	<u> </u>	-							() #	à
			ry.	3	RP A	in an			_/			; -								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1/3
					WW	م پېنمىنېدۇ	1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944		/								9		D		45
)				: ;	<u></u>	·		8	Ret n	7	Stan 1	9
15 4	•	Ŗ	 \ \	2-28-14	DATE	**** ***									20	97. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.			ANALY S	URNAROU daard (2 Wee	/£\$V
₹ ₹ n			<u> </u>		مدر ارتی											Not		Startics	dy _s		8
			"	510	TANKE											8		Series	AL.		

APPENDIX E

MTCA METHOD B CALCULATIONS

Washington State Department of Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program: Soil Cleanup Level for TPH Sites - Main Data Entry Form and Calculation Summary

A1 Soil Cleanup Levels: Worksheet for Soil Data Entry: Refer to WAC 173-340-720, 740,745, 747, 750

1. Enter Site Information

Date:	10/17/14
Site Name:	TOC-01-169
Sample Name:	HC-2-18

2. Enter Soil Concentrat	tion Measured		Notes for Data Entry Set Default Hydrogeology
Chemical of Concern	Measured Soil Conc	Composition	Clear All Soil Concentration Data Entry Cells
or Equivalent Carbon Group	dry basis	Ratio	
	mg/kg	%	Restore All Soil Concentration Data cleared previously
Petroleum EC Fraction			
AL_EC >5-6	0	0.00%	
AL_EC >6-8	16.4	1.37%	REMARK:
AL_EC >8-10	45.8	3.82%	The fractionation results for sample TOC-01-169 were adjusted by replacing
AL_EC >10-12	83.3	6.94%	the nondetected result for benzene with the maximum concentration of
AL_EC >12-16	0	0.00%	benzene detected on site (0.27 mg/kg in B37-40).
AL_EC >16-21	0	0.00%	
AL_EC >21-34	0	0.00%	Interpretation of fractionation results:
AR_EC >8-10	73.3	6.11%	- If a fraction or component was not detected, it was assigned a
AR_EC >10-12	190	15.84%	concentration of zero.
AR EC >12-16	11.4	0.95%	- If a fraction was reported by both the VPH and EPH methods, the
$AR^{-}EC > 16-21$	8.68	0.72%	maximum result was used.
AR EC >21-34	763	63.61%	
Benzene	0.27	0.02%	
Toluene	0.378	0.03%	
Ethylbenzene	0.547	0.05%	
Total Xylenes	5.02	0.42%	
Naphthalene	1.33	0.11%	
1-Methyl Naphthalene		0.00%	
2-Methyl Naphthalene		0.00%	
n-Hexane		0.00%	
MTBE	0	0.00%	
Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	_	0.00%	
1.2 Dichloroethane (EDC)		0.00%	
Benzo(a)anthracene		0.00%	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		0.00%	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		0.00%	
Benzo(a)pyrene		0.00%	
Chrysene		0.00%	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		0.00%	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		0.00%	
Sum	1109 425	100.00%	
Sum	1177.425	100.0070	
3 Enter Site Specific H	drogoological D	ata	
Total soil porosity		I Initiana	
Volumetria water contenti	0.45	Unitiess	
Volumetric water content:	0.12	J Unitless	
Soil bulk density measured.	0.15		
Son burk density measured:	1.5	Kg/L	
Fraction Organic Cardon:	0.001	Unitless	
Dilution Factor:	20	Unitless	
4. Target TPH Ground Wa	ter Concentation (i	<u>t adjusted)</u>	
If you adjusted the target TPH gro	und water	1~	
concentration, enter adjusted	500	ug/L	
value here:			J ····································

Clear All Soil Conce	ntration Data Entry Cells
Restore All Soil Concent	ration Data cleared previously
REMARK:	
The fractionation results f	or sample TOC-01-169 were adjusted by replacing
ne nondetected result to penzene detected on site	(0.27 ma/kg in B37-40).
Interpretation of fractiona	tion results: pent was not detected, it was assigned a
concentration of zero.	
 If a fraction was report maximum result was used 	ted by both the VPH and EPH methods, the
	а.

A2 Soil Cleanup Levels: Calculation and Summary of Results. Refer to WAC 173-340-720, 740, 745, 747, 750 Site Information

Date: <u>10/17/2014</u>	
Site Name: TOC-01-169	
Sample Name: HC-2-18	
Measured Soil TPH Concentration, mg/kg:	1.199.425

1. Summary of Calculation Results

E D- 4l	Madha NG a al	Protective Soil TPH	With Measu	red Soil Conc	Does Measured Soil
Exposure Pathway	Miethod/Goal	Conc, mg/kg	RISK @	HI @	Conc Pass or Fail?
Protection of Soil Direct	Method B	2,173	1.49E-08	5.52E-01	Pass
Contact: Human Health	Method C	30,363	1.99E-09	3.95E-02	Pass
Protection of Method B Ground	Potable GW: Human Health Protection	62	3.03E-05	2.87E+00	Fail
Water Quality (Leaching)	Target TPH GW Conc. @ 500 ug/L	258	NA	NA	Fail

Warning! Check to determine if a simplified or site-specific Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation may be required (Refer to WAC 173-340-7490 through ~7494). Warning! Check Residual Saturation (WAC340-747(10)).

2. Results for Protection of Soil Direct Contact Pathway: Human Health

	Method B: Unrestricted Land Use	Method C: Industrial Land Use
Protective Soil Concentration, TPH mg/kg	2,173.25	30,362.88
Most Stringent Criterion	HI =1	HI =1

	Pro	tective Soil Concent	ration @Method	В	Protective S	Soil Concentra	tion @Met	hod C
Soil Criteria	Most Stringent?	TPH Conc, mg/kg	RISK @	HI @	Most Stringent?	TPH Conc, mg/kg	RISK @	HI @
HI =1	YES	2.17E+03	2.69E-08	1.00E+00	YES	3.04E+04	5.04E-08	1.00E+00
Total Risk=1E-5	NO	8.07E+05	1.00E-05	3.71E+02	NO	6.03E+06	1.00E-05	1.99E+02
Risk of Benzene= 1E-6	NO	8.07E+04	1.00E-06	3.71E+01				
Risk of cPAHs mixture= 1E-6	NA	NA	NA	NA		NT A		
EDB	NA	NA	NA	NA		INA		
EDC	NA	NA	NA	NA				

3. Results for Protection of Ground Water Quality (Leaching Pathway)

3.1. Protection of Potable Ground Water Quality (Method B): Human Health Protection

Most Stringent Criterion	HI=1
Protective Ground Water Concentration, ug/L	247.17
Protective Soil Concentration, mg/kg	62.12

Ground Water Criteria	Protective Potable Ground Water Concentration @Method B				Protective Soil
	Most Stringent?	TPH Conc, ug/L	RISK @	HI @	Conc, mg/kg
HI=1	YES	2.47E+02	3.01E-06	1.00E+00	6.21E+01
Total Risk = 1E-5	NO	4.84E+02	1.00E-05	1.94E+00	2.33E+02
Total Risk = 1E-6	YES	1.00E+02	1.00E-06	4.10E-01	2.01E+01
Risk of cPAHs mixture= 1E-5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Benzene MCL = 5 ug/L	NO	3.91E+02	6.29E-06	1.56E+00	1.37E+02
MTBE = 20 ug/L	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

3.2 Protection of Ground Water Quality for TPH Ground Water Concentration previously adjusted and entered

Ground Water Criteria	Protectiv	Protective Soil		
	TPH Conc, ug/L	Risk @	HI @	Conc, mg/kg
Target TPH GW Conc = 500 ug/L	5.00E+02	1.09E-05	2.00E+00	2.58E+02