

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. 2811 Fairview Avenue East, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98102

# **REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT**



# **Property:**

TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169 851 North Broadway Everett, Washington 98201

Ecology Facility ID: 54678156

# **Report Date:**

March 20, 2013

# **Prepared for:**

TOC Holdings Co. 2737 West Commodore Way Seattle, Washington 98199

# **Remedial Investigation Report**

TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169 851 North Broadway Everett, Washington 98201

Ecology Facility ID: 54678156

Prepared for:

**TOC Holdings Co.** 2737 West Commodore Way Seattle, Washington 98199

Project No.: 0440-002

Prepared by:

For: David Mendel

David Mendel Staff Geologist

Reviewed by:

Beau Johnson, LG #2820 Project Geologist

Ryan K Bixby, LG #1691 Environmental Division President

March 20, 2013





ACRO	NYM	IS AND /	ABBREVIATIONS	iv
EXECL	JTIVI	E SUMIV	IARY	ES-i
1.0 IN	TROD	OUCTION		1
	1.1	PURPOS	E	1
-	1.2		ORGANIZATION	
2.0 BA	ACKGI	ROUND .		2
	2.1	PROPER	TY LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	2
		2.1.1	Property	
		2.1.2	Adjoining Parcels	
		2.1.3	Everett Smelter Site	
	2.2	PROPER	TY LAND USE HISTORY	4
	2.3	FUTURE	PROPERTY LAND USE	4
	2.4	ENVIRO	NMENTAL SETTING	4
		2.4.1	Meteorology	
		2.4.2	Groundwater Use	
	2.5	GEOLOG	GIC AND HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING	5
		2.5.1	Topography	5
		2.5.2	Regional Hydrogeology	
		2.5.3	Property Geology	5
		2.5.4	Property Hydrology	6
	2.6	PREVIO	JS INVESTIGATIONS	7
		2.6.1	2003 Release Discovery	7
		2.6.2	2004 Subsurface Investigation	8
		2.6.3	2006 Remediation Well Installation	8
		2.6.4	2006 Dual-Phase Extraction Remediation System Installation	8
		2.6.5	2006 Subsurface Investigation	9
		2.6.6	2009 Interim Remedial Action	9
		2.6.7	2009 Subsurface Investigation	10
		2.6.8	2010 and 2011 Subsurface Investigations	10
		2.6.9	2010 Pilot Study	
		2.6.10	2012 Dual-Phase Extraction Remediation System Installation and Operation	
		2.6.11	Groundwater Monitoring	13
3.0 CC	ONCE	PTUAL SI	TE MODEL	13
	3.1	CONFIR	MED AND SUSPECTED SOURCE AREAS	14
3	3.2	CHEMIC	ALS AND MEDIA OF CONCERN	14
	3.3	DISTRIB	UTION OF CONTAMINANTS IN SOIL	15
	3.4	DISTRIB	UTION OF CONTAMINANTS IN GROUNDWATER	15

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

3.5	CONTAMINANT FATE AND TRANSPORT		
	3.5.1	Environmental Fate in the Subsurface	16
	3.5.2	Transport Mechanism Affecting Distribution of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in the Subsurface	16
3.6	PRELIM	INARY TRANSPORT PATHWAYS	16
	3.6.1	Soil-to-Groundwater Pathway	17
	3.6.2	Direct-Contact Pathway	17
	3.6.3	Vapor Inhalation Pathway	17
	3.6.4	Groundwater-to-Surface Water Pathway	17
	3.6.5	Groundwater-to-Drinking Water Pathway	17
3.7	TERRES	TRIAL ECOLOGICAL EVALUATION	18
3.8	SUMM	ARY OF CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL	18
4.0 REFERE	ENCES		18
5.0 LIMITA	TIONS		20

# FIGURES

1 Property Location Map
-------------------------

- 2 Exploration Location Plan with Geologic Cross Section Locations
- 3 Cross Section A–A'
- 4 Cross Section B–B'
- 5 Cross Section C–C'
- 6 Groundwater Analytical Results (August 14, 2012)
- 7 Soil Analytical Results for Sample Locations Exceeding MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels
- 8 Site Boundary Definition

# TABLES

- 1 Summary of Groundwater Data
- 2 Summary of Soil Analytical Results for Petroleum Hydrocarbons
- 3 Summary of Soil Analytical Results for Metals
- 4 Summary of Soil Analytical Results for PCBs and cPAHs
- 5 Summary of Reconnaissance Groundwater Analytical Results for Petroleum Hydrocarbons

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

#### APPENDICES

- A Boring Logs
- B Laboratory Analytical Reports

Soil Analytical Reports Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011197 Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011197 additional Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216 Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216 additional Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216 additional Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216 amended Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #012089 amended Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #106220 Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #106256 amended Groundwater Analytical Reports Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011122 Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #012261

C Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation Form

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ASARCO	American Smelting and Refining Company
bgs	below ground surface
BMU	Broadway Mixed Use
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes
сос	chemical of concern
сРАН	carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
CSM	conceptual site model
DPE	dual-phase extraction
DRPH	diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EDB	ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)
EDC	ethylene dichloride (1,2-dicholoroethane)
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPI	Environmental Partners Inc.
F&BI	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.
GEI	GeoEngineers Inc.
GRPH	gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons
LNAPL	light non-aqueous phase liquids
MTBE	methyl tertiary-butyl ether
MTCA	Washington State Model Toxics Control Act
ORPH	oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCS	petroleum-contaminated soil

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (CONTINUED)

the Property	851 North Broadway, Everett, Washington		
RI	remedial investigation		
ROW	right-of-way		
SES	Sound Environmental Strategies Corporation		
Site	petroleum-contaminated soil and groundwater beneath the central and western portions of the Property and the adjacent North Broadway right-of-way		
SoundEarth	SoundEarth Strategies, Inc., formerly known as Sound Environmental Strategies Corporation		
TEE	Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation		
USCS	United Soil Classification System		
UST	underground storage tank		
VOC	volatile organic compound		
WAC	Washington Administrative Code		

#### **Remedial Investigation Report**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. has prepared this Remedial Investigation Report on behalf of TOC Holdings Co. for TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169, located at 851 North Broadway in Everett, Washington (the Property). This Remedial Investigation Report was prepared for submittal to the Washington State Department of Ecology, and it was developed to meet the general requirements of a remedial investigation as defined by the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation in Section 350 of Chapter 340 of Title 173 of the Washington Administrative Code.

The Property currently operates as a retail shopping center. A retail gasoline service station operated on the Property from 1959 to 2003. Historical records indicate that a 500-gallon waste oil underground storage tank, two 6,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tanks, an 8,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank, a 12,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank, two fuel-dispensing pump islands, and associated product delivery lines were previously located on the Property. The 500gallon underground storage tank was removed from the Property in 1990, and the remaining underground storage tank systems were removed from the northwestern and west-central portions of the Property in 2003. Soil samples collected from the floor and sidewalls of the tank excavation contained concentrations of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons, benzene, total xylenes, and/or naphthalene in excess of the applicable Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Method A cleanup levels. The petroleum-contaminated soil was overexcavated to the maximum extent feasible; logistical constraints and access issues prohibited additional excavation to the north, south, and west.

Six additional subsurface investigations were conducted at the Property between 2004 and 2011 to evaluate the vertical and lateral extent of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination. During the subsurface investigations, soil samples collected from borings advanced around the perimeter of the former tank excavation were found to contain concentrations of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and naphthalene in excess of the applicable Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Method A cleanup levels at depths between 4 and 27.5 feet below ground surface. Twenty-two of the soil borings were completed as monitoring, remediation, or observation wells. Groundwater samples collected during groundwater monitoring events since 2004 have contained concentrations of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons, diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons, oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons, benzene, total xylenes, naphthalene, and/or methyl tertiary-butyl ether in excess of the applicable Washington State Model Toxics Control Act Method A cleanup levels.

According to the Washington State Department of Ecology's *Guidelines for Property Cleanups Under the Voluntary Cleanup Program* dated July 2008, a site is defined by the nature and extent of contamination associated with one or more releases of hazardous substances prior to any cleanup of that contamination. Based on this definition of a site and that provided in Section 200 of Chapter 340 of Title 173 of the Washington Administrative Code, the TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169 site (the Site) includes the full lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination that resulted from the former operation of a retail gasoline service station on the Property. Based on the findings of the subsurface investigations and groundwater monitoring events conducted at the Site between 2003 and 2012 and the historical research presented in this Remedial Investigation Report, the Site includes petroleum-contaminated soil beneath the central and northwestern portions of the Property in the vicinity of the underground storage tank excavation, extending beneath a portion of the North Broadway right-of-way, and contamination of a discontinuous, perched water-bearing zone located in the vicinity of the underground storage tank excavation. Metals encountered beneath the Site are considered to be a result of the former American Smelting and Refining Company smelter and are not considered part of the Site.

This executive summary is presented solely for introductory purposes, and the information contained in this section should be used only in conjunction with the full text of this Remedial Investigation Report. A complete description of the project, Site conditions, investigative methods, and remedial investigation results is contained within this Remedial Investigation Report.

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SoundEarth) has prepared this Remedial Investigation Report on behalf of TOC Holdings Co. for TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169, located at 851 North Broadway in Everett, Washington (the Property). The Property location is shown on Figure 1. This Remedial Investigation Report was prepared for submittal to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and was developed to meet the general requirements of a remedial investigation (RI) as defined by the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation in Section 350 of Chapter 340 of Title 173 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC 173-340-350).

According to Ecology's *Guidelines for Property Cleanups under the Voluntary Cleanup Program* dated July 2008, a site is defined by the nature and extent of contamination associated with one or more releases of hazardous substances prior to any cleanup of that contamination. Based on this definition of a site and that provided in Section 200 of Chapter 340 of Title 173 of the Washington Administrative Code, the TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169 site (the Site) includes the full lateral and vertical extent of petroleum hydrocarbon contamination that resulted from the former operation of a retail gasoline service station on the Property. Based on the results of the subsurface investigations and groundwater monitoring events conducted at the Site between 2003 and 2011 and the historical research presented in this Remedial Investigation Report, the Site includes petroleum-contaminated soil beneath the central and northwestern portions of the Property in the vicinity of the underground storage tank (UST) excavation, extending beneath a portion of the North Broadway right-of-way (ROW), and contamination of a discontinuous, perched water-bearing zone located in the vicinity of the UST excavation. Metals encountered beneath the Site are considered to be a result of the former American Smelting and Refining Company (ASARCO) smelter and are not considered to be part of the Site.

# 1.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of the RI was to collect data necessary to adequately characterize the Site and to allow for the development and evaluation of cleanup action alternatives. This Remedial Investigation Report summarizes the information obtained during the review of historical information regarding the Property and surrounding parcels, as well as the scope and findings of each subsurface investigation that has been conducted on the Site, and presents a conceptual site model (CSM). This Remedial Investigation Report has been prepared to supplement the information contained within the Remedial Investigation Report, dated November 9, 2009 (2009 RI), which was previously submitted to Ecology. The findings and conclusions of this Remedial Investigation Report supersede those of the 2009 RI.

# 1.2 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This Remedial Investigation Report is organized into the following sections:

 Section 2.0, Background. This section provides a description of the Property features and location; a summary of the current use of the Property and adjoining parcels; a summary of historical and future Property use; and a description of the Property's environmental, geologic, and hydrogeologic settings. This section also provides a summary of the subsurface investigations and interim remedial actions conducted at the Site, including a detailed description of the subsurface investigations performed in 2010 and 2011.

- Section 3.0, Conceptual Site Model. This section provides a summary of the CSM derived from the results of the environmental investigations performed at the Site. Included is a Site definition, a discussion of confirmed and suspected source areas, a listing of the chemicals and media of concern, the fate and transport characteristics of the release of hazardous substances, the potential exposure pathways, and a discussion of the terrestrial ecological evaluation process required by WAC 173-340-7940.
- Section 4.0, References. This section lists references cited in the document.
- Section 5.0, Limitations. This section discusses document limitations.

# 2.0 BACKGROUND

This section provides a description of the Property features and location; a summary of current and historical land use practices on the Property and adjoining parcels, a description of potential future Property uses, and a description of the environmental, geologic, and hydrogeologic settings at the Site. This section also provides a summary of the subsurface investigations and interim remedial actions conducted at the Site, including a detailed description of the subsurface investigations performed in 2010 and 2011.

# 2.1 PROPERTY LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The following subsections present the current land use practices on the Property and adjoining parcels.

#### 2.1.1 Property

The Property consists of an irregularly shaped tax parcel (Snohomish County parcel number 29051700200700) that covers approximately 18,731 square feet (0.43 acres) of land. The Property is listed as 851 North Broadway, approximately 1.7 miles north of downtown Everett, Washington (Figure 1).

The Property is currently occupied by a retail shopping center and is owned by LSJM & K, LLC. Tenants include a Subway restaurant and a 7-Eleven convenience store. The exterior portions of the Property are predominately paved with asphalt. Other improvements include perimeter landscaping and chain link fencing. The Property is zoned by the City of Everett as Broadway Mixed Use (BMU).

The Property is serviced by potable water and sewer utilities provided by the City of Everett Public Works Department. A 48-inch-diameter concrete sewer runs east-west across the central portion of the Property. Other utilities that service the Property include natural gas and electricity. Overhead utility lines are present along the western and northern Property boundaries (Figure 2).

# 2.1.2 Adjoining Parcels

Development of the adjoining parcels is primarily commercial and is zoned by the City of Everett as BMU. Uses of adjoining parcels at the time this Remedial Investigation Report was prepared are summarized below:

 North/East. An irregularly shaped tax parcel (Snohomish County parcel number 29051700200600) covering approximately 30,056 square feet (0.69 acres) of land bounds the Property to the north and east. The parcel is undeveloped and is owned by Big J Mini Mart, Inc. The parcel does not currently have a listed street address, although it was previously listed as 825 North Broadway. Online permit records available through the City of Everett indicate that the parcel was used as temporary storage for up to 130 cubic yards of soil imported from an unidentified source in 2008.

- South. An irregularly shaped tax parcel (Snohomish County parcel number 29051700200100) covering 267,894 square feet (6.15 acres) of land bounds the Property to the south. The address for the parcel is listed as 909 through 1001 Highway 99 North (North Broadway). The parcel is currently developed with two 1980-vintage, single-story buildings. The buildings are designated for medical and other health services use. Additional improvements to the south-adjoining parcel include asphalt-paved parking and perimeter landscaping. The parcel is currently owned by Everett Community College.
- West. The North Broadway (Highway 99 North) public ROW directly borders the west side of the Property. The adjacent ROW includes a 6-inch-diameter water line approximately 5 feet from and roughly parallel with the west Property boundary, trending north-south. A 48-inch-diameter concrete sanitary sewer line exits the west-central portion of the Property, enters the ROW, and continues toward the north.

An irregularly shaped tax parcel (parcel number 00551300001900) covering 199,940 square feet (4.59 acres) of land is located across the North Broadway ROW to the west of the Property. The address for the parcel is listed as 840 North Broadway. The parcel is developed with two 1985-vintage buildings occupied by the Broadway Center of Everett Community College and a variety of state governmental agencies. Additional improvements to the parcel include asphalt-paved parking and perimeter landscaping. The parcel is owned by GPT Properties Trust.

An irregularly shaped tax parcel (29051700201100) covering approximately 26,572 square feet (0.61 acres) of land is located across the North Broadway ROW to the southwest of the Property. The parcel, listed at 902 North Broadway, is owned by N A Properties Inc. and is occupied by a retail shopping center and a Texaco-branded retail gasoline station. The parcel is developed with a 1988-vintage building that occupies the southwestern portion of the parcel, as well as two fuel-dispensing pump islands and a canopy on the central portion of the parcel. According to Ecology records, three USTs with capacities between 10,000 and 20,000 gallons currently operate on the parcel. The parcel is listed on Ecology's leaking UST database due to a documented petroleum hydrocarbon release that affected soil beneath that parcel.

# 2.1.3 Everett Smelter Site

The Property is located approximately 2,000 feet south of a smelter that operated between the years 1894 and 1912. The smelter was initially built and operated by Puget Sound Reduction Company. In 1903, the smelter was purchased by ASARCO LLC, formerly known as ASARCO. Historical copper-smelting operations by ASARCO resulted in the generation and widespread distribution of slag in the area. Slag is a solidified remnant of a molten waste product that is produced during the smelting process, and it is commonly known to contain elevated

concentrations of arsenic, lead, and other metals. Moreover, large quantities of this waste byproduct were historically used locally as fill material during the late 1800s and early 1900s (e.g., for use as subbase aggregate beneath roadways). The Everett Smelter Site has been delineated by Ecology and encompasses an extensive area that includes the Property. Slag material that appears to have been deposited in association with the construction of the North Broadway ROW has been encountered beneath the western portion of the Property. Ecology has designated ASARCO as a potentially liable party for area-wide cleanup activities related to the slag (Ecology 2004).

# 2.2 PROPERTY LAND USE HISTORY

Historical information on the land use of the Property was compiled (where available) from appropriate and publicly available resources. These resources included aerial photographs, Snohomish County Assessor records, Everett Fire Department records, City of Everett Public Works records, and reverse city directories. Copies of available supporting historical documents are included in the 2009 RI.

A 1947 aerial photograph depicted the Property as undeveloped and vegetated. A 1955 aerial photograph depicted the Property as cleared of vegetation. Historical records indicated that the Property was initially developed in 1959 with a retail gasoline station equipped with a 500-gallon waste oil UST, two 6,000-gallon USTs, and an 8,000-gallon UST, as well as two fuel-dispensing pump islands and associated product delivery lines. Historical records indicated that an addition was constructed to the 1959-vintage building in 1977, and a 12,000-gallon UST was installed on the Property in 1978. According to aerial photographs, a canopy was constructed in the central portion of the Property between 1974 and 1978. Everett Fire Department records indicated that a permit was issued in 1990 to remove the 500-gallon waste-oil UST from the Property. In 2003, the four remaining USTs and associated structures were removed from the Property, as discussed in Section 2.6.1, 2003 Release Discovery. In 2004, Time Oil Co. (currently TOC Holdings Co.) sold the Property to its current owner, P & M Partnership. In 2008, the Property was redeveloped as a retail shopping center (Snohomish County Assessor 2009).

# 2.3 Future Property Land Use

SoundEarth is unaware of the potential future land use plans for the Property.

#### 2.4 Environmental Setting

This section provides a summary of the environmental setting of the Property.

# 2.4.1 Meteorology

The marine climate of the Everett area is generally mild and experiences moderate seasonal fluctuations in temperature. Average temperatures (in degrees Fahrenheit) range from 60s in the summer to 40s in the winter. The warmest month of the year is August, which has an average maximum temperature of 73.9 degrees Fahrenheit. The coldest month of the year is January, which has an average minimum daily temperature of 33.6 degrees Fahrenheit.

The average annual precipitation reported for the Everett area is 37.54 inches and generally occurs in the form of rain. The wettest month of the year is November, during which the area

receives an average precipitation total of 5.11 inches. The driest month of the year is July, during which the area receives an average precipitation total of 1.32 inches (IDcide 2013).

# 2.4.2 Groundwater Use

According to the Ecology Water Well Logs (Ecology 2013), there are no water production wells within a 0.5-mile radius of the Property. The Property is located within the City of Everett's water supply system. Water for the City of Everett originates from the Spada Reservoir, which is located 30 miles east of Everett. The Spada Reservoir is located in the Sultan Basin Watershed. Once treated at the Drinking Filtration Plant, 4-foot-diameter transmission lines transport the water downstream to Everett (City of Everett 2013b).

# 2.5 GEOLOGIC AND HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

The following sections provide a summary of the geology and hydrogeology of the Property and surrounding area.

# 2.5.1 Topography

The topography of the Property and vicinity is generally mild, sloping gently downward from the northeast to the southwest. The Property has a topographic elevation of approximately 100 feet above mean sea level. The nearest surface water bodies consist of several ponds on the Legion Memorial Golf Course located northwest of the Property, the nearest of which is located approximately 1,200 feet west of the Property. The Property is located approximately 4,100 feet from the Snohomish River (at its nearest points), which flows out of the east prior to reaching its mouth located north of the Site where it discharges to Puget Sound.

# 2.5.2 Regional Hydrogeology

The Property is located in the Snohomish County Ground Water Management Area (Snohomish County Surface Water Management Division 2002). According to Ecology well logs, groundwater in the vicinity of the Property has been encountered at depths between 70 and 90 feet below ground surface (bgs). The regional aquifers occur in recessional outwash, advance outwash, and undifferentiated sediments and are confined by Vashon till and pre-Vashon transitional beds. Due to the depth of the aquifers and low permeability of the confining layers, the area aquifers are considered to be of low vulnerability to contamination from the ground surface.

# 2.5.3 Property Geology

The *Geologic Map of Washington State* (Schuster 2005) indicated that the Property is underlain by Vashon till, which generally consists of a dense heterogeneous mixture of silt, sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders. The till is typically characterized by relatively low vertical hydraulic conductivity, which yields an increased potential for perched groundwater. Based on soil descriptions documented during the RI and prior work conducted at the Site, adequate data exist to characterize shallow soil at the Site into three geologic units, which are described below. The Site-specific geology is illustrated on the cross sections shown on Figures 3, 4, and 5; locations of the cross sections in plan view are provided on Figure 2. Copies of SoundEarth's boring logs are provided in Appendix A.

The surface of the Site generally consists of pavement and underlying aggregate subbase, which extends approximately 1 foot bgs. Soil directly underlying the surface cover generally consists of medium dense to dense, fine to medium sand with variable amounts of gravel, coarse sand, and

silt. In addition, variable amounts of slag up to 8 feet in thickness are present beneath the western portion of the Property and just beyond the Property boundary, near the North Broadway ROW. The shallow soil beneath the Site is interpreted to be non-native, anthropogenic fill. The fill unit ranges in thickness from approximately 7 to at least the maximum depth explored of 22 feet. Based on its composition and physical properties, soil within this unit has been generally classified as SM (silty sands, poorly graded sand-gravel-silt mixtures) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

An abrupt interface separates the relatively coarse fill materials from the underlying unit. This underlying unit predominately consists of very dense silt and clay, with variable amounts of fine sand. This unit represents the uppermost native formation and is interpreted to be Vashon till. The Vashon till is located approximately 15 to more than 30 feet bgs, exhibiting a downward dip toward the southwest consistent with surface topography. Based on its composition and physical properties, soil within this unit has been generally classified as ML (inorganic silts and very fine sands, silty or clayey fine sands) in accordance with the USCS. Investigation data and direct field observations indicate this unit yields little to no groundwater, and the Vashon till beneath the Property is characteristic of an aquiclude.

Underlying the Vashon till is a unit which generally consists of very dense, silty, fine to medium sand containing abundant coarse sand and gravel. This unit is interpreted to be Vashon advance outwash (i.e., Esperance Sand). In places, the transitional zone between the Vashon advance outwash and the overlying Vashon till is marked by thin layers or interbeds of sand, silt, and/or clay. Based on the findings of investigations conducted at the Site, the depth of the Vashon advance outwash ranges from approximately 11 feet bgs to at least 30 feet bgs (the maximum depth explored). A review of well logs in the Site vicinity and available online from Ecology indicates the Vashon advance outwash extends to a minimum depth of 70 feet bgs (Ecology 2013). Based on its composition and physical properties, soil within this unit has been generally classified as SM in accordance with the USCS.

# 2.5.4 Property Hydrology

Groundwater levels measured in the Site's 20 wells historically have ranged from 6.27 feet (observation well OW01) to 24.34 feet (monitoring well MW08) below the top of the monitoring well casings (Table 1). Thirteen of the Site wells have been dry during the course of monitoring, and these wells are generally located outside of the former UST system excavation area (wells MW02 through MW07, MW10, MW11, RW04, and RW05). Within the UST system excavation area, groundwater contours consistently indicate that the apparent groundwater flow direction is radial due to mounding of groundwater within the UST system excavation area (Figure 6). Outside of the UST system excavation area, groundwater levels have historically fluctuated drastically and are interpreted to be strongly controlled by the operation of the dual-phase extraction (DPE) remediation system.

The geologic contrast that generally exists below the Site places relatively coarse fill material over finer native deposits. The low permeability of the native material results in vertical retardation of the groundwater flow at the anthropogenic and native soil interface. Groundwater present above the fill-native interface is interpreted to be perched water.

# 2.6 Previous Investigations

The following provides a summary of the methods and findings of prior subsurface investigations of the Site, including the discovery of soil and groundwater contamination. The locations of soil borings, monitoring wells, soil samples, and other Site features are shown on Figure 2. The soil and groundwater analytical results are summarized in Figures 6 through 8 and in Tables 1 through 4. The remainder of this Remedial Investigation Report includes references to cleanup levels; unless otherwise specified, these refer to MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Use for soil and groundwater.

# 2.6.1 2003 Release Discovery

In December 2003, GeoEngineers, Inc. (GEI) of Seattle, Washington observed the decommissioning and removal of the UST system on the Property (GEI 2004). During field activities, two 6,000-gallon USTs, an 8,000-gallon UST, a 12,000-gallon UST, two dispenser islands, and associated product delivery piping were removed from the Property. Although the single-walled steel USTs were reported to have light to moderate rust with no discernible holes and the product delivery lines were described as being in good condition, field observations indicated evidence of a release to the environment. The approximate locations of the former USTs, dispenser islands, and associated product delivery lines are shown on Figure 2.

A total of 23 soil samples were collected from the UST system excavation and submitted to CCI Analytical Laboratories of Everett, Washington, for laboratory analysis of gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons (GRPH); benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX); methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE); ethylene dibromide (EDB); ethylene dichloride (EDC); naphthalene; lead; volatile petroleum hydrocarbons; and extractable petroleum hydrocarbons. The approximate locations of soil samples are shown on Figure 2. The analytical results for the soil samples are presented in Tables 2 and 3, and those analytical results that exceed applicable cleanup levels are shown on Figure 7.

A summary of these results is as follows:

- Soil samples collected from the central, northern, western, and southern areas of the excavation contained concentrations of GRPH, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and/or total xylenes that exceeded the applicable cleanup levels (Figure 7).
- Sidewall sample EX-20-15, located near the northeastern corner of the excavation, contained a concentration of naphthalene in excess of the cleanup level.
- Two excavation soil samples were analyzed for MTBE, EDB, EDC, and lead; neither sample contained detectable concentrations of these chemicals.

The final excavation dimensions were approximately 80 feet (north-south) by 50 feet (eastwest), with a maximum depth of approximately 18 feet bgs. Approximately 973 cubic yards (or approximately 1,460 tons) of soil were excavated and taken to Rinker Materials in Everett, Washington, for treatment by thermal desorption. The lateral extent of the excavation was limited by logistical constraints, including off-Property access to the north, a sidewalk and a water main within the North Broadway ROW to the west, and a 48-inch-diameter sewer line to the south. The approximate lateral extent of the excavation is shown on Figures 2 and 7. Geologic cross sections illustrating the approximate vertical extent of the excavation are provided on Figures 3, 4, and 5.

# 2.6.2 2004 Subsurface Investigation

In October 2004, SoundEarth conducted a subsurface investigation in an effort to evaluate the lateral and vertical extent of petroleum contaminated soil (PCS) and impacted groundwater beneath the Site (SES 2005). The subsurface investigation included the advancement of 12 soil borings (B01 through B12) in the northwestern and west-central portions of the Site using a combination direct-push/hollow-stem auger drill rig. Soil boring B01 was advanced within the northeastern portion of the excavation area and completed as monitoring well MW01. Boring B02 was advanced along the west-central property boundary immediately southwest of the excavation area and completed as monitoring well MW02. Soil samples were submitted to North Creek Analytical, Inc. of Bothell, Washington, for laboratory analysis of GRPH and BTEX. A groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW01 was submitted for analysis of GRPH, diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons (DRPH), oil-range petroleum hydrocarbon (ORPH), and BTEX, naphthalene, EDB, EDC, and halogenated volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, and vinyl chloride. Locations of soil borings and monitoring wells are depicted on Figure 2. Copies of the boring logs are provided as Appendix A.

**Soil Results.** Soil samples collected from borings advanced within and adjacent to the northern and west-southwestern perimeter of the excavation (B01, B03, B04, B05, B06, and B07) and the North Broadway ROW (B11) contained concentrations of GRPH and/or benzene that exceeded the applicable cleanup levels at depths between 4 and 17 feet bgs. Soil samples collected from boring B09, which was advanced in the central portion of the excavation, and boring B12, which was advanced within the North Broadway ROW, did not contain concentrations of GRPH or BTEX in excess of the applicable cleanup levels. Soil samples were not collected from borings B02, B08, or B10. The analytical results for soil samples are presented in Table 2, and those results that exceeded the cleanup levels are shown on Figure 7.

# 2.6.3 2006 Remediation Well Installation

In March 2006, SoundEarth oversaw the installation of remediation wells for potential use as part of a DPE system on the Property. Nine soil borings (B13 through B21) were advanced at the Site using a hollow-stem auger drill rig. Seven of the soil borings were completed as recovery wells (B13 [RW01], B14 [RW07], B15 [RW02], B16 [RW03], B17 [RW06], B18 [RW05], and B19 [RW04]). Two of the soil borings were completed as observation wells (B20 [OW02] and B21 [OW01]). The locations of the soil borings and remediation wells are shown on Figure 2. Copies of the boring logs are provided as Appendix A.

Soil samples were collected for the sole purpose of documenting the geologic conditions encountered beneath the Site and soil or groundwater samples were therefore not submitted for laboratory analysis.

# 2.6.4 2006 Dual-Phase Extraction Remediation System Installation

In May 2006, SoundEarth installed a DPE remediation system on the Property. The DPE remediation system was designed to remove petroleum-contaminated groundwater and soil vapor from the UST system excavation area and vicinity. It was installed with use of the nine wells (remediation wells RW01 through RW07, and OW01 and OW02) that had been installed in March 2006.

Groundwater and soil vapor were recovered and subsequently treated with granular-activated carbon canisters, which remove volatile organic compounds. The treated effluent vapors were

discharged to ambient air in accordance with the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency Order of Approval for the Notice of Construction No. 9361. The treated effluent water was discharged to the sanitary sewer system in accordance with the City of Everett Public Works Discharge Authorization No. 3070-08. System operation and maintenance was performed on a monthly basis and included compliance sampling for vapor and water. The DPE remediation system remained operational at the Property until it was shut down in July 2009 due to a change in land use.

# 2.6.5 2006 Subsurface Investigation

In November 2006, Environmental Partners, Inc. (EPI) of Issaquah, Washington conducted a subsurface investigation on behalf of a potential buyer for the Property as part of the buyer's due diligence process (EPI 2006). In addition to further evaluating the impacts from the petroleum release previously identified at the Site, a primary objective of the investigation was to evaluate the nature and extent of potential impacts related to slag associated with the Everett Smelter Site.

EPI's subsurface investigation included the advancement of six direct-push soil borings on the Property (B-1 through B-6). Selected soil samples were submitted to CCI for laboratory analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons; carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); and metals (including antimony, arsenic, cadmium, copper, and lead). One reconnaissance groundwater sample was collected from boring B-6 located near the southwest corner of the UST system excavation and downgradient of the former USTs. The reconnaissance groundwater sample was submitted to CCI for laboratory analysis of GRPH and BTEX. The locations of the soil borings are shown on Figure 2.

**Groundwater Results.** Analytical results for reconnaissance groundwater are typically used as a qualitative indicator of potential impacts and are generally biased high as a result of elevated turbidity of the groundwater collected from temporary wells. However, only GPRH and ethylbenzene were detected in the reconnaissance groundwater sample collected from soil boring B-6, and the concentrations were below the applicable cleanup levels. The analytical results for the reconnaissance groundwater sample are presented in Table 5.

**Soil Results.** Antimony, arsenic, and lead were detected in soil samples collected from soil borings B-1 and B-6 at concentrations exceeding their applicable cleanup levels. These metals, as well as copper, were detected at elevated concentrations in the slag, suggesting that the metals contamination is a result of the presence of slag and not the operation of the former retail gasoline service station. Additionally, elevated antimony, arsenic, copper, and lead concentrations were found in soil underlying the slag; however, none were detected in soil samples collected from the excavation backfill. Concentrations of cadmium, copper, petroleum hydrocarbons, cPAHs, and PCBs were below the applicable laboratory reporting limits and/or cleanup levels. The analytical results for the soil samples are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4, and those results that exceed applicable cleanup levels are shown on Figure 7.

# 2.6.6 2009 Interim Remedial Action

An injection event was conducted on the Property in March 2009 in an effort to address the elevated concentrations of GRPH and BTEX detected in groundwater beneath the Site. Prior to injecting, SoundEarth obtained underground injection control (UIC) registration from Ecology. During the injection event, approximately 220 gallons of a solution containing sodium persulfate

and hydrogen peroxide was injected into monitoring wells OW02 and RW06 with the use of a pump or via gravity feed.

# 2.6.7 2009 Subsurface Investigation

In June 2009, SoundEarth conducted a subsurface investigation to further assess the lateral and vertical extent of soil contamination on the Property. The subsurface investigation consisted of advancing 11 direct-push soil borings (P01 through P11) to depths ranging from 17.5 to 22 feet bgs. Borings P01 through P03 were advanced west of the former UST excavation; borings P04 through P06 were advanced in the North Broadway ROW; borings P07 through P09 were advanced east of the former UST excavation; and borings P10 and P11 were advanced within the former UST excavation (Figure 2). Groundwater was encountered in borings P02 and P08 at depths of 17 and 15 feet bgs, respectively. Selected soil samples were submitted to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (F&BI) of Seattle, Washington for laboratory analysis of GRPH, DRPH, ORPH, BTEX, and naphthalene.

**Soil Results.** Samples collected from soil borings P06, P07, P08, P09, P10, and P11 contained concentrations of GRPH and/or benzene that exceeded the applicable cleanup levels. Concentrations of toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, and/or naphthalene exceeded cleanup levels in samples collected from soil borings P07, P09, and P11. Concentrations of DRPH and ORPH collected from the soil borings were below the applicable laboratory reporting limits and/or cleanup levels. The analytical results for the soil samples are presented in Table 2, and those results that exceeded the cleanup levels are shown on Figure 7.

# 2.6.8 2010 and 2011 Subsurface Investigations

The results of the subsurface investigations conducted between 2004 and 2009 indicated that the lateral extent of soil and groundwater contamination beneath the Site was not fully characterized to the north, west, or east of the UST system excavation. In an effort to address these data gaps, SoundEarth conducted three subsurface investigations between November 2010 and June 2011. The investigations were also intended to increase the number of wells that could be utilized by a remediation system at the Site. The subsurface investigations involved advancing 15 soil borings (B22 through B36) with a hollow-stem auger drill rig; analyzing selected soil samples collected from the soil borings; and completing the soil borings as monitoring wells MW03 through MW13 and recovery wells RW08 through RW11.

Field activities were conducted under the supervision of a SoundEarth geologist. Prior to commencing subsurface investigation field activities, a private utility location survey was conducted by Underground Detection Services of Seattle, Washington. Drilling services were provided by Cascade Drilling, L.P., of Woodinville, Washington.

The soil borings were advanced at the following locations:

Soil borings B22, B23, and B29 (monitoring wells MW03, MW04, and MW10, respectively) were advanced within the North Broadway ROW to the west of the Property to define the northern and western extents of impacts associated with the Site.

- Soil borings B24, B25, B26, and B28 (monitoring wells MW05, MW06, MW07, and MW09, respectively) were advanced on the adjoining parcel to the north to define the northern extent of impacts associated with the Site.
- Soil borings B27 and B31 through B34 (monitoring wells MW08 and recovery wells RW08 through RW11, respectively) were advanced within and along the margins of the UST system excavation to be used as recovery wells.
- Soil boring B30 (monitoring well MW11) was advanced to the south of the building along the southern Property boundary in an effort to define the southern extent of impacts from the Site.
- Soil borings B35 and B36 (monitoring wells MW13 and MW12, respectively) were advanced on the Property along the southern edge of the excavation and in the north central portion of the excavation to be used as additional monitoring wells and to further define the vertical extent of impacts associated with the Site.

The soil borings were sampled at approximate 1.5-foot intervals to a total depth of 21.5 feet bgs using a Dames and Moore sampler advanced through the hollow-stem auger. Blow counts and sample recovery percentages were logged at each sample interval.

The soil samples were described in accordance with the USCS and were screened in the field for potential evidence of contamination using visual observations, notations of odor, and by conducting headspace analysis using a photoionization detector (PID) to detect the presence of volatile organic vapors. Headspace analysis was conducted by placing soil from each sample interval into a resealable plastic bag and allowing the sample to warm for a minimum of 30 seconds. The probe of the PID was then inserted into the bag, and the highest reading obtained over an approximately 30-second interval was recorded. The USCS symbol, visual and olfactory notations for the samples, and PID readings were recorded on boring log forms, which are provided in Appendix A.

Soil samples collected from the soil borings were transferred directly into laboratory-prepared sample containers, and three soil samples from each boring were selected for laboratory analysis. Selected soil samples were collected in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 5035A for sampling and analysis of low-level volatile organic compounds. Soil samples were also collected using 4-ounce jars for analysis of DRPH, ORPH, and dry weight. The sample containers were labeled with a unique sample number and placed in an iced cooler. The soil samples were submitted to F&BI, under standard chain-of-custody protocols for laboratory analysis. Selected soil samples were analyzed for GRPH by NWTPH Method NWTPH-Gx and BTEX by EPA Method 8021B. Additional soil samples were analyzed for DRPH and ORPH by NWTPH-Dx, and naphthalene and MTBE by EPA Method 8260C. Copies of the laboratory analytical reports are provided in Appendix B.

**Soil Results.** The soil sample collected from boring B22 (MW03) at a depth of 18 feet contained a concentration of GRPH that exceeded the cleanup level, which suggested that PCS from the Site may have extended west of the Property and under the North Broadway ROW. Boring B29 (MW10) was advanced in the ROW to the west of boring B22; soil collected from boring B29 did not contain concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in excess of the applicable cleanup levels, thereby defining the western extent of PCS associated with the Site.

Concentrations of GRPH and/or one or more of the BTEX constituents that exceeded the applicable cleanup levels were present in one or more of the soil samples collected from borings B27 and B31 through B36 at depths of 5 and 27.5 feet bgs. Each of these borings was advanced within or immediately adjacent to the former UST excavation. None of the soil samples collected from these borings at depths greater than 27.5 feet bgs contained concentrations of GRPH or BTEX that exceeded the applicable cleanup level, thereby limiting the vertical extent of impacts associated with the Site to a depth of approximately 27.5 feet.

Concentrations of GRPH and BTEX were not detected at concentrations exceeding the applicable cleanup levels in soil borings B23 through B26, thereby delineating the northern extent of impacts associated with the Site. PCS was also not encountered in boring B28, which was advanced to the east of the Property boundary, or in boring B30, which was advanced along the southern Property boundary, thereby delineating the eastern and southern extents of impacts associated with the Site.

Concentrations of DRPH, ORPH, and MTBE did not exceed the laboratory detection limit in any sample submitted for laboratory analysis. The analytical results for the soil samples are presented in Table 2, and those results that exceeded the cleanup levels are shown on Figure 7. These results confirm that the full vertical and lateral extents of impacts to soil beneath the Site have been defined.

# 2.6.9 2010 Pilot Study

In December 2010, SoundEarth conducted a pilot study to further evaluate DPE as potential remedial technology for the Site. The pilot test was conducted on groundwater monitoring well MW08 and remediation wells RW03 and RW06. A vacuum truck was used to pull a vacuum on each of the test wells. An instrument train was used to monitor vacuum, bleed air flow rates, and bleed petroleum hydrocarbon vapor concentrations from the suction well. A stepped vacuum test was performed at each of the test wells. During the test at each of the test wells, the vacuum was measured in six to seven observation wells. Upon the conclusion of each test, an effluent vapor sample was collected in a PAC250 summa canister (250 milliliter volume) for analysis of GRPH and BTEX by Modified EPA Method TO-3. The samples were sent to Air Toxics, Ltd. for analysis. The results of the pilot study indicated that DPE would be a feasible remedial technology for the Site.

# 2.6.10 2012 Dual-Phase Extraction Remediation System Installation and Operation

In June 2012, SoundEarth installed an expanded DPE remediation system on the Property which was designed to remove petroleum-contaminated groundwater and soil vapor from the UST system excavation area and vicinity. The system utilizes nine wells (MW08, OW02, RW02, RW03, RW04, RW08, RW09, RW10, and RW11). The DPE remediation system was started in June 2012 and will remain operational at the Property until compliant results for groundwater have been achieved.

Recovered groundwater is treated with a tray stripper, which removes volatile organic compounds. The effluent soil vapor from the tray stripper and vacuum extraction are discharged to ambient air. The treated effluent water is discharged to the sanitary sewer system in accordance with the City of Everett Public Works Discharge Authorization No. 3070-08. System

operation and maintenance is performed on a monthly basis and includes compliance sampling for vapor and water.

# 2.6.11 Groundwater Monitoring

Quarterly groundwater monitoring has been conducted at the Site since May 2006. The groundwater monitoring events are conducted to evaluate the environmental quality, flow direction, and gradient of groundwater beneath the Site; to assess the effectiveness of previous and ongoing remediation efforts; and to eventually demonstrate compliance with applicable cleanup levels. Groundwater samples are submitted to F&BI for laboratory analysis of GRPH, DRPH, ORPH, and BTEX. Selected groundwater samples have also been analyzed for naphthalene, MTBE, EDB, EDC, and metals, including arsenic and lead.

Concentrations of GRPH and/or one or more BTEX constituents exceeding the applicable cleanup levels have been detected in groundwater samples collected from wells MW01, MW08, MW12, MW13, RW02, RW04, RW05, RW09, RW11, OW01, and OW02. Because the perched water-bearing zone beneath the Property is discontinuous, remediation wells RW04 and RW05 have only contained a sufficient volume of water to sample them once since their installation. A concentration of GRPH exceeding the cleanup level was detected once in monitoring well MW01 in 2004 and a concentration of benzene exceeding the cleanup level was detected once in well OW01 in 2008; subsequent sampling events have indicated that concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater remain below applicable cleanup levels in each of these wells.

Concentrations of DRPH and/or ORPH have been detected in wells MW08, RW01, RW02, RW05, RW07, RW09, RW11, and OW02; however, the results have been consistently flagged by the laboratory because the chromatograms resemble weathered gasoline, not diesel. Naphthalene has been detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring well MW08 and remediation well RW02 at concentrations exceeding the applicable cleanup level. Concentrations of MTBE was detected in groundwater samples collected from OW02 during the first two groundwater monitoring events conducted in 2006, but remained below the cleanup level in each of the five subsequent monitoring events that included this analysis.

Lead and arsenic have been detected in groundwater samples collected from wells MW08 and RW07, respectively, at concentrations exceeding the applicable cleanup levels. Lead and arsenic are considered to be attributed to ASARCO smelter slag material beneath the Site and not related to the former operation of a gasoline station; the analysis of metals was discontinued in 2011.

GRPH, DRPH, ORPH, BTEX constituents, MTBE, and naphthalene have consistently remained below the applicable cleanup levels and/or laboratory reporting limits in groundwater samples collected from wells MW09, RW01, RW03, RW06, RW07, and RW10. Wells MW02 through MW07, MW10, MW11, and RW08 have not contained a sufficient volume of water to sample since they were installed.

# 3.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

This section presents a conceptual understanding of the Site derived primarily from the results of the historical research and subsurface investigations performed at the Site. Included is a discussion of the confirmed and suspected source areas, the chemicals and media of concern, the contaminant distribution, the fate and transport characteristics of the release of hazardous substances, the potential

exposure pathways, and the definition of the Site. The CSM serves as the basis for developing technically feasible cleanup alternatives and selecting a final cleanup action. The CSM is considered to be dynamic and may be refined throughout the cleanup action process as additional information becomes available.

# 3.1 CONFIRMED AND SUSPECTED SOURCE AREAS

A source area is the location of a release of a hazardous substance (i.e. GRPH and benzene) that has affected one or more media at the Site. The observed distribution of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater at the Site is inferred to be evidence of one or more historical releases from the former UST system on the Property. The location of the former UST system is shown on Figure 2. The UST system has been removed from the Property; therefore, it does not represent an ongoing primary source of contamination to soil or groundwater beneath the Site.

Although the location of the former waste oil UST is unknown, it has reportedly been removed from the Property and does not represent an ongoing primary source of contaminants to soil or groundwater beneath the Site.

Areas of PCS could not be excavated during the 2003 UST removal activities; the portions of the Site with residual PCS are shown on Figure 7. The PCS remaining at the Site may serve as an ongoing contaminant source for the remaining groundwater impacts within and proximal to the former UST excavation area.

The Site is located 2,000 feet from the former ASARCO smelter and is included within Ecology's Everett Smelter Site. Slag that is associated with the Everett Smelter Site has been encountered beneath the Site during previous subsurface investigations and has been found to contain concentrations of lead, antimony, and arsenic exceeding the applicable cleanup levels. However, since the Site is defined by the extent of impacts associated with releases from the gasoline station that formerly operated at the Property, and since the lead and arsenic are not associated with these releases, the slag is not considered a source area for the Site.

# 3.2 CHEMICALS AND MEDIA OF CONCERN

As discussed in the section above, the former gasoline UST system and waste oil UST were identified as potential sources of release(s) beneath the Property, requiring the testing of several potential chemicals of concern (COCs) as identified on Table 830-1, Required Testing for Petroleum Releases, of WAC 173-340-900. SoundEarth and others have analyzed soil and groundwater for petroleum hydrocarbons GRPH, DRPH, ORPH; volatile petroleum compounds, including BTEX; and fuel additives and blending compounds, including EDB, EDC, MTBE, and lead to satisfy the requirements for testing for a gasoline- or diesel-range release in association with the gasoline UST system. In addition, soil and/or groundwater were analyzed for carcinogenic PAHs, naphthalenes, PCBs, and halogenated VOCs to satisfy the requirements for testing for a heavy oil or waste oil release.

Based on the findings of the RI, PCBs, carcinogenic PAHs, EDB, EDC, and halogenated VOCs are not considered COCs for the Site because concentrations of these chemicals in soil and/or groundwater samples have remained below the applicable cleanup levels and/or laboratory reporting limits. Lead and arsenic have also been eliminated as COCs for the Site, as they are associated with the slag material associated with the ASARCO smelter site and not a release from the former gasoline station on the

Property. Further investigation of these chemicals appears to be unwarranted. The following primary COCs have been identified using the results of the RI:

- GRPH and BTEX constituents in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater
- DRPH and ORPH in groundwater

Secondary COCs identified at the Site include:

- Naphthalene in soil and groundwater
- MTBE in groundwater

The distribution of GRPH, DRPH, ORPH, BTEX, MTBE, and naphthalene in the affected media has been investigated sufficiently for definition of the Site under MTCA, identification of the media of concern for future cleanup action, and evaluation and recommendation of a cleanup alternative.

# 3.3 DISTRIBUTION OF CONTAMINANTS IN SOIL

The analytical data collected during the investigations conducted at the Site indicate that contaminant concentrations exceeding the applicable cleanup level are generally located beneath the central and northwestern portions of the Property in the vicinity of the UST excavation area and extend beneath a portion of the North Broadway ROW. The western extent of PCS is bound by boring B29 (MW10); the eastern and southern extents of PCS are bound by borings B28 (MW09) and B30 (MW11), as well as by borings P01, P02, and P03; and the northern extent of PCS is bound by B23 through B26 (MW04 through MW07, respectively). The maximum vertical extent of PCS was detected in boring B31 (RW08) at 27.5 feet.

# 3.4 DISTRIBUTION OF CONTAMINANTS IN GROUNDWATER

Based on analytical data collected to-date, petroleum-related contaminants have been detected in groundwater samples collected from several monitoring wells located within and around the perimeter of the backfilled UST and dispenser island excavation areas. Shallow groundwater encountered beneath the Site consists of discontinuous saturated intervals within, or at the base of, the fill materials overlying finer-grained silt or silty sand deposits. Groundwater monitoring data indicates that some of these saturated water-bearing zones have transient characteristics as a result of seasonal precipitation and/or operation of the groundwater extraction system.

The extent of the petroleum-contaminated groundwater appears to be limited to the immediate vicinity of the former USTs and dispenser islands. Monitoring data collected during the investigations indicate that residual petroleum-related contamination is present in some of the unexcavated soil around the former USTs and dispenser islands, resulting in localized areas of petroleum-contaminated groundwater when saturated conditions occur. Monitoring wells located downgradient from the excavation source area and screened across intervals similar to those located within the excavation area have either not exhibited saturated conditions or, when groundwater is present, have not contained COC concentrations above the laboratory reporting limits or the applicable cleanup levels.

# 3.5 Contaminant Fate and Transport

This section includes a discussion of the transport mechanisms and environmental fate of the petroleum hydrocarbons in the subsurface.

# 3.5.1 Environmental Fate in the Subsurface

The most significant fate process for petroleum hydrocarbons is biodegradation (i.e., natural attenuation). Biological degradation of contaminants in light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL), dissolved, residual, and vapor phases, is possible under a variety of environmental conditions, although it occurs predominately in the aqueous, residual, and vapor phases. Degradation products of gasoline constituents are generally less toxic than their parent species. Petroleum hydrocarbons that are the most mobile (having the least viscosity and most solubility in water) are also the most easily biodegraded (e.g., aromatics). Because gasoline constituents contain thousands of carbon compounds, there is a vast array of biochemical transformations that occur in the soil and groundwater media. For example, hydroxylation can alter hydrocarbon compounds to ketone or alcohol products that are less toxic or more biologically available; aromatic reduction can convert aromatic groups to naphthenes; ring cleavage can destroy aromatic functional group species; and reduction can alter olefin functionality. The alteration and destruction of gasoline constituents occur by microbial enzyme catalytic reactions on the contaminant substrate or by direct digestion of contaminants as an electron donor or acceptor. Any number of reactions can occur within the subsurface by microorganisms that change the chemical distribution and concentrations of the contaminants.

The time frame over which these reactions occur vary depending on any number of limiting factors, primarily the availability of oxygen. For example, BTEX constituents are rapidly degraded under aerobic conditions but tend to persist for several years and/or decades under the anoxic conditions typical of most subsurface environments.

# **3.5.2** Transport Mechanism Affecting Distribution of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in the Subsurface

The environmental transport mechanisms of petroleum hydrocarbons are related to the separate phases in the subsurface. The four transport mechanisms for contaminant migration at the Site include:

- Vadose zone soil contamination leaching to saturated zone soil and/or groundwater
- Vadose zone soil contamination volatilizing to soil vapor/indoor air
- Saturated zone soil contamination leaching to groundwater
- Groundwater contamination volatilizing to soil vapor/indoor air

# 3.6 PRELIMINARY EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

This section discusses the confirmed and potential human health and ecological exposure pathways identified for the Site with the goal of identifying those pathways requiring remediation to reduce or eliminate unacceptable risks to human health or the environment and applying the findings to the development of potentially feasible remedial technologies.

# 3.6.1 Soil-to-Groundwater Pathway

Acknowledging the presence of residual soil contamination within the saturated zone and the presence of groundwater contamination in the vicinity of the former UST excavation, the soil-to-groundwater pathway is complete.

# 3.6.2 Direct-Contact Pathway

Direct contact with soil and groundwater exhibiting concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in excess of the cleanup levels is limited to human receptors that come into close contact with the media via direct exposure, including dermal contact or ingestion of excavated soil or groundwater. The standard point of compliance for soil contamination beneath a site is approximately 15 feet bgs, which represents a reasonable estimate of the depth that could be accessed during normal site redevelopment activities (WAC 173-340-740[6][d]). PCS and petroleum-contaminated groundwater are present within 15 feet of the ground surface, which means that the direct-contact pathway is complete. However, access to the subsurface is limited by the existing pavement, thereby minimizing risks associated with the direct-contact pathway.

# 3.6.3 Vapor Inhalation Pathway

The air between soil grains in the unsaturated zone or partially saturated zone is frequently referred to as soil gas. Soil gas can become contaminated from volatilization of a petroleum-compound source, and to a lesser degree, dissolved in groundwater. Ecology guidance for evaluating soil vapor intrusion risks (2009) into structures presents screening levels for groundwater and soil vapor that could result in vapor intrusion exposure risks. According to Ecology (2009), the presence of benzene concentrations in groundwater exceeding 2.4 micrograms per liter or in soil vapor beneath a building structure exceeding 3.2 micrograms per cubic meter has the potential to result in adverse risk via vapor intrusion to indoor air through a concrete floor slab. Since concentrations of benzene in both soil and groundwater beneath the Site exceed the screening/calculated risked-based cleanup levels, the vapor inhalation exposure pathway is considered to be complete at the Site.

According to *Vapor Intrusion Pathway: A Practical Guideline* (ITRC 2007), "For the vapor intrusion pathway to be complete, there must be three components—a source of volatile compounds in the subsurface environment (groundwater and/or soil), inhabited buildings or the potential for future inhabited buildings, and a migration route to connect them..." The building present on the Site is constructed on concrete slab, which acts as a vapor barrier and in combination with the depth to groundwater and soil contamination mitigate the potential risks for vapor intrusion. In areas with no structures, any vapors rising to the surface would be dispersed into the atmosphere, where dilution and degradation would occur.

# 3.6.4 Groundwater-to-Surface Water Pathway

The results of the RI confirm that impacts associated with the Site have not migrated toward surface waters, nor does groundwater from the Site discharge to the surface. As such, the groundwater-to-surface water pathway is incomplete.

# 3.6.5 Groundwater-to-Drinking Water Pathway

Shallow groundwater in the vicinity of the Site is not developed as a water resource and is not likely to be developed in the future due to the current zoning regulations. The presence of the Vashon till unit appears to hydrologically separate the shallow perched groundwater zone from

the underlying aquifer within the Vashon advance outwash by a distance of more than 40 vertical feet. In addition, a review of registered water wells on the Ecology Web site revealed that the Site is not located within 0.5 miles of any water supply wells (Ecology 2013). Therefore, contact with drinking water is not considered to be a pathway of exposure for the Site.

#### 3.7 TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGICAL EVALUATION

The Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation (TEE) is required by WAC 173-340-7492 at locations where a release of a hazardous substance to soil has occurred. The regulation requires that one of the following actions be taken:

- Documenting a TEE exclusion using the criteria presented in WAC 173-340-7491.
- Conducting a simplified TEE in accordance with WAC 173-340-7492.
- Conducting a site-specific TEE in accordance with WAC 173-340-7493.

Results from the TEE indicate that the Site qualifies for an exclusion based on WAC 173-340-7491. The results of ranking for the simplified TEE under Table 749-1 of WAC yields a score of 9, which qualifies the Site for TEE exclusion under the criteria set forth in WAC 173-340-7492 (Appendix C). No further consideration of ecological impacts is required under MTCA.

# 3.8 SUMMARY OF CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

Data compiled during the RI indicates that the former operation of a retail gasoline station resulted in releases of petroleum hydrocarbons that impacted soil, groundwater, and soil vapor beneath the Site. The impacts are limited to the Property and a portion of the west-adjacent ROW, at depths up to 27.5 feet bgs. Previous and ongoing remedial actions taken at the Site have significantly reduced the concentrations of COCs in the media of concern, but the COCs remain at concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup levels for soil and groundwater, as well as the screening levels for indoor air. Groundwater contamination has been shown to be limited to the Property and immediate vicinity and is not at risk of impacting surface water or drinking water sources, thereby limiting the exposure pathways to direct contact with contaminated soil and/or groundwater and vapor inhalation. The risks associated with these exposure pathways are significantly mitigated by the presence of the asphalt-paved parking lot and the concrete slab of the building on the Property. These risks are further mitigated by the ongoing operation of a dual-phase remediation system, which is effectively reducing contaminant concentrations, preventing the further migration of impacts in groundwater, and removing vapors from the subsurface, eliminating the risk of vapor inhalation in indoor air.

# 4.0 **REFERENCES**

Aerial Photographs of the Property and Vicinity for the Years 1947, 1955, 1965, 1969, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1991, 1995, and 2001. Reviewed at Snohomish County Assessor's Office in Everett, Washington. April 15, 2008.

City of Everett. 2007. City of Everett 2007 Comprehensive Water Plan. November.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2013a. Online Permits Search for the Property and Surrounding Parcels. Reviewed online at <a href="http://www.ci.everett.wa.us/etrakit2/Search.aspx?grp=permit">http://www.ci.everett.wa.us/etrakit2/Search.aspx?grp=permit</a>. March 8.

\_\_\_\_\_\_. 2013b. Public Works Department – Drinking Water. Reviewed online at <a href="http://www.everettwa.org/default.aspx?ID=85">http://www.everettwa.org/default.aspx?ID=85</a>>. March 9.

- Environmental Partners, Inc. (EPI). 2006. Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Time Oil Co. Property No. 1-169, 851 Broadway, Everett, Washington 98201. December 18.
- GeoEngineers, Inc. (GEI). 2004. UST Removal Site Assessment, Time Oil Property 01-169, 851 North Broadway Street, Everett, Washington 98201. March 22.
- IDcide. 2013. Everett, Washington Weather. Reviewed online at <a href="http://www.idcide.com/weather/wa/everett.htm">http://www.idcide.com/weather/wa/everett.htm</a>. February 11.
- The Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council Vapor Intrusion Team (ITRC). 2007. Vapor Intrusion Pathway: A Practical Guideline. January.
- Schuster, J.E. 2005. *Geologic Map of Washington State*. Washington State Department of Natural Resources Geologic Map GM-53. Scale 1:500,000.
- Snohomish County Assessor. 2009. Archived Appraisal Data for Parcel Numbers 29051700200700, 29051700200600, 29051700200100, and 00551300001900. Reviewed at Snohomish County Assessor's office in Everett, Washington.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_. 2013. Current Appraisal Data for Parcel Numbers 29051700200700, 29051700200600, 29051700200100, 00551300001900, and 29051700201100. Reviewed online at <a href="http://assessor.snoco.org/">http://assessor.snoco.org/</a>. February 8.
- Snohomish County Surface Water Management Division. 2002. Puget Sound Tributaries Drainage Needs Report. December.
- Sound Environmental Strategies Corporation (SES). 2005. Subsurface Investigation, TOC Holdings Co. Facility 01-169, 851 Broadway, Everett, Washington. June 21.
- SoundEarth Strategies, Inc. (SoundEarth). 2009. Remedial Investigation Report, *TOC Holdings. Co. Facility* 01-169, 851 Broadway, Everett, Washington. November 9.\_\_\_\_\_. 2012. Third Quarter 2012 Groundwater Monitoring Report, *Time Oil Facility 01-169, 851 Broadway, Everett, Washington.* September 24.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2004. Everett Smelter Site, Fenced and Adjacent Areas, Public Participation Plan for Cleanup 2004. April.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2009. *Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in Washington State: Investigation and Remedial Action*. Publication No. 09-09-47. (Draft) October.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2013. Washington State Well Log Viewer. <a href="http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/welllog/">http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/welllog/</a>. Viewed February 11.

# 5.0 LIMITATIONS

The findings and conclusions documented in this report were prepared for the specific application to this project and were developed in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill normally exercised by members of the environmental science profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the area. A potential always remains for the presence of unknown, unidentified, or unforeseen subsurface contamination on portions of the Site not sampled, such as under buildings. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made. This report is for the exclusive use of TOC Holdings Co. and its representatives.

**FIGURES** 





2/7/2012



	LEGEND			
<b>В</b> 01/ МW01	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL (SOUNDEARTH)			
- <b>A</b> - RW01	REMEDIATION WELL (SOUNDEARTH)			
⊕ в12	SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2004) SOIL BORING (EPI 2006) PUSH-PROBE SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2009) EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION (GEI 2003)			
⊕ B-1				
➡ P06				
Ŧ				
~	CATCH BASIN			
	POWER POLE			
0	AREA LIGHT			
	PROPERTY BOUNDARY			
	OVERHEAD POWER LINE			
	BELOW GRADE ELECTRICAL LINE			
	FIBER OPTIC LINE			
	STORMWATER LINE			
	48-INCH-DIAMETER SEWER LINE			
	WATER LINE			
	GAS LINE			
	FENCE			
	FORMER SITE FEATURE			
	FORMER FUEL DELIVERY PIPING			
	EXCAVATION AREA (2003) LANDSCAPED AREA			
EPIENVIRONMENTAL PARTNERS, INC.GEIGEOENGINEERS, INC.				
			SOUNDEARTH	SOUNDEARTH STRATEGIES, INC.
UST UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK A A'				
	CROSS SECTION LOCATION			
SNO				
	DHP			
SIDEWALK				
o betweek				
	- — — — —			
	SAMPLE LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE SOURCES: GEI 2003, EPI 2008 FIGURES			
]	[]			
60	FIGURE 2			
	EXPLORATION LOCATION PLAN	WO;		
E IN FEET	WITH GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION LOCATIONS	EARTHINC COM		
		١. M		





/4/2013





	LEGEND		
<b>B</b> 01/			
🔶 MW01	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL		
-🗣 RW02	REMEDIATION WELL		
	CATCH BASIN		
$\bigcirc$	POWER POLE		
⊡-€⊃	AREA LIGHT		
	PROPERTY BOUNDARY		
DHP	OVERHEAD POWER LINE		
— E — E —	BELOW GRADE ELECTRICAL LINE		
F0	FIBER OPTIC LINE		
SW SW	STORMWATER LINE		
SS	48-INCH-DIAMETER SEWER LINE		
W W	WATER LINE		
	GAS LINE		
x x	FENCE		
	FORMER SITE FEATURE		
	FORMER FUEL DELIVERY PIPING		
	EXCAVATION AREA (2003)		
	LANDSCAPED AREA		
UST	UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK		
	GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION (AUGUST 14, 2012)		
<	RESULT BELOW LABORATORY REPORTING LIMITS		
GRPH	GASOLINE-RANGE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS		
DRPH	DIESEL-RANGE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS		
ORPH	OIL-RANGE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS		
MTCA	WASHINGTON STATE MODEL TOXICS CONTROL ACT		
RED	DENOTES CONCENTRATION EXCEEDING MTCA METHOD A CLEANUP LEVEL FOR GROUNDWATER		
RESU	ILTS ARE PRESENTED IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER.		

N.				
- OHP	OHP	— DHP——	DHP	_
— F0 —	F0	F0	F0	-
$\overline{\}$	SIDEWALK			_

# FIGURE 6

GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS (AUGUST 14, 2012)

60


	LEGEND
<b>B01</b> / MW01	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
- RW01	REMEDIATION WELL (SOUNDEARTH)
⊕ в12	SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2004)
➡ B-1	SOIL BORING (EPI 2006)
	PUSH-PROBE SOIL BORING (SOUNDEARTH 2009)
▼ ▲ EX-25-6	EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION (GEI 2003)
	CATCH BASIN
$\bigcirc$	POWER POLE
₽₽	AREA LIGHT
	PROPERTY BOUNDARY
DHP	OVERHEAD POWER LINE
— е — е —	BELOW GRADE ELECTRICAL LINE
F0	FIBER OPTIC LINE
SW SW	STORMWATER LINE
ss	48-INCH-DIAMETER SEWER LINE
v v	WATER LINE
GAS	GAS LINE
xx	FENCE
	FORMER SITE FEATURE
	FORMER FUEL DELIVERY PIPING
	EXCAVATION AREA (2003)
	LANDSCAPED AREA
EPI	ENVIRONMENTAL PARTNERS, INC.
GEI	GEOENGINEERS, INC.
SOUNDEARTH	SOUNDEARTH STRATEGIES, INC.
UST	UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
<	RESULT BELOW LABORATORY REPORTING LIMIT
	NOT ANALYZED/NOT MEASURED
GRPH	GASOLINE-RANGE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS
МТСА	WASHINGTON STATE MODEL TOXICS CONTROL ACT
© RED 	DENOTES CONCENTRATIONS EXCEEDING MTCA METHOD A CLEANUP LEVEL FOR SOIL
RESU	LTS ARE PRESENTED IN MILLIGRAMS PER KILOGRAM.

SAMPLE LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE SOURCES: GEI 2003, EPI 2008 FIGURES

# FIGURE 7

SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR SAMPLE LOCATIONS EXCEEDING MTCA METHOD A CLEANUP LEVELS

_		
INI	FFFT	
IN	FEEL	



NDEARTHINC.O

TABLES



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (ug/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>				1			Total	(P8/ -/				L	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ars	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>3</sup>	DRPH <sup>4</sup>	ORPH <sup>4</sup>	Benzene⁵	Toluene⁵	Ethylbenzene <sup>5</sup>	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene <sup>5</sup>	MTBE⁵	EDB⁵	EDC⁵	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
MW01	10/07/04			3,140	<500	<1,000	0.666	0.736	57.9	239	19.1	<20.0	<10.0	<10.0	1.09			
TOC (feet): 100.00	05/04/06	11.73	88.27	<50.0			<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500				
	07/20/06	19.29	80.71	<100			<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500				
	11/08/06	19.30	80.70															
	02/06/07	14.10	85.90	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					5.90	<1	3.21	1.31
	06/08/07	11.16	88.84	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1	1.26	1.15
	08/14/07	17.18	82.82	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	01/09/00	18.28	81.72	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/19/08	9.91	90.09	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	06/27/08	9.27	90.73	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/12/08	9.41	90.59	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/26/08	8.08	91.92	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	03/31/09	7.80	92.20	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	06/19/09	9.82	90.18	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	08/28/09	9.81	90.19	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/25/09	7.56	92.44	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	01/28/10	7.82	92.18	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	06/09/10	7.15	92.85	<100	<50	<250	< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	08/18/10	8.38	91.62	<100	<50	<250	< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<5	<1	<1	<1				
	11/09/10	7.58	92.42	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/11	7.46	92.54	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/19/11	7.50	92.50	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/18/11	11.20	88.80	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/21/11	10.95	89.05	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/15/12	10.73	89.27	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/17/12	9.87	90.13	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	Dry																
MW02	05/04/06	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.30	07/19/06	Dry																
	11/08/06	Dry										-					-	
	02/06/07	Dry										-					-	
	06/08/07	Dry																
	08/14/07	Dry													-		1	
	11/29/07	Dry																
	02/19/08	Dry																
	06/27/08	Dry																
	08/12/08	Dry															-	
	11/26/08	Inaccessible															-	
	03/31/09	Dry																
	06/19/09	Dry																
	08/27/09	Dry															-	
	11/25/09	Dry																
	01/28/10	Dry																
MTCA Method A Clea	anup Level for	Groundwater <sup>7</sup>		800/1,000 <sup>ª</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5		15		5



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (µg/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>							Total					L	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ars	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>3</sup>	<b>DRPH</b> <sup>4</sup>	<b>ORPH</b> <sup>4</sup>	Benzene⁵	Toluene⁵	<b>Ethylbenzene</b> <sup>5</sup>	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene⁵	MTBE⁵	EDB <sup>5</sup>	EDC⁵	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
MW02 (continued)	06/09/10	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.30	08/18/10	Dry			-							-					1	
	11/09/10	Dry																
	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry																
	05/17/12	Dry																
	08/14/12	Dry																
MW03	12/21/10	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.94	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry																
	05/17/12	Dry																
	08/14/12	Dry																
MW04	12/21/10	Dry																
TOC (feet): 100.46	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Inaccessible																
	02/15/12	Inaccessible																
	05/17/12	Inaccessible																
MW05	08/14/12	Dry																
	12/21/10	Dry																
TOC (feet): 100.40	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
700 (5 1) 400 44	02/15/12	Dry																
TOC (feet): 100.41	05/17/12	Dry																
MW06	08/14/12	Dry																
TOC (feet): 100.96	12/21/10	Dry																
10C (leet): 100.90	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
TOC (fact): 101.04	02/15/12	Dry									-							-
TOC (feet): 101.94	05/17/12	Dry																
MTCA Method A Clea	08/14/12	Dry		 800/1,000 <sup>a</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01					5



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (ug/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>						T	Total	(µg/ 2)				· ·	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ar	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>3</sup>		<b>ORPH</b> <sup>4</sup>	Benzene⁵	Toluene⁵	Ethylbenzene <sup>5</sup>	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene⁵	MTBE <sup>5</sup>	EDB⁵	EDC⁵	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
MW07	12/21/10	Dry			-													
TOC (feet): 100.19	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry																
TOC (feet): 101.17	05/17/12	Dry																
	08/14/12	Dry			-													
MW08	12/21/10	24.34	75.63															
TOC (feet): 99.97	02/16/11	23.49	76.48	27,000	1,600 <sup>×</sup>	<250	1,700	14,000	2,300	14,000	430					20.6		
	05/19/11	24.12	75.85	30,000	1,800 <sup>×</sup>	<250 <sup>j</sup>	1,600	11,000	1,800	10,800	270							
	08/18/11	Dry																
TOC (feet): 99.11	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry																
TOC (feet): 99.33	05/17/12	Dry																
	08/14/12	Dry																
MW09	12/21/10	11.34	88.37	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 99.71	02/16/11	9.85	89.86	<100	130 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					<1		
	05/19/11	10.15	89.56	100	90	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					<1		
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/16/12	16.59	83.12	<100	310 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 99.69	05/18/12	10.84	88.85	<100	200	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	Dry																
MW10	12/21/10	Inaccessible																
TOC (feet): 99.18	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry			-													
	02/15/12	Dry																
	05/17/12	Dry																
	08/14/12	Dry																
MW11	12/21/10	Dry																
TOC (feet): 99.62	02/16/11	Dry			-													
	05/18/11	Dry			-													
	08/18/11	Dry			-													
	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Inaccessible			-													
	05/17/12	Dry			-													
	08/14/12	Dry			-													
MTCA Method A Clea	nup Level for	Groundwater <sup>7</sup>		800/1,000 <sup>a</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5		15		5



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (µg/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>							Total					L	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ar	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>3</sup>		<b>ORPH</b> <sup>4</sup>	Benzene <sup>5</sup>	Toluene⁵	Ethylbenzene <sup>5</sup>	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene⁵	MTBE⁵	EDB⁵	EDC⁵	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
MW12	08/19/11	10.86	89.02	1,000	56 <sup>×</sup>	<250	6.7	<1	44	<3	13					<1		
TOC (feet): 99.88	11/22/11	10.65	89.23	190	<50	<250	1.3	<1	4.2	<3	<1							<1
	02/16/12	10.20	89.68	<100	<50	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1							
TOC (feet): 99.86	05/18/12	9.50	90.36	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	Dry																
MW13	08/19/11	10.00	89.58	<100	<50	<250	21	<1	<1	<3	<1					<1		
TOC (feet): 99.58	11/21/11	12.53	87.05	350 <sup>×</sup>	<50	<250	160	<1	<1	<3	<1							<1
	02/16/12	11.22	88.36	<100	170 <sup>×</sup>	<250	2.3	<1	<1	<3								
	05/17/12	10.28	89.30	<100	170 <sup>×</sup>	<250	6.1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	9.58	90.00	<100	200 <sup>×</sup>	<250	3.4	<1	<1	<3								
RW01	05/03/06	10.12	89.33	<50.0			<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500				
TOC (feet): 99.45	07/20/06	17.14	82.31	<100			<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500				
	11/08/06	Dry																
	02/06/07	10.39	89.06	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1	<1	1.10 <sup>c</sup>
	06/08/07	10.15	89.30	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1	<1	1.04 <sup>c</sup>
	08/14/07	10.71	88.74	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/29/07	10.97	88.48	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/19/08	9.32	90.13	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					-			
	06/27/08	8.71	90.74	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/12/08	9.15	90.30	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/26/08	7.62	91.83	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	03/31/09	7.25	92.20	<100	72 <sup>×</sup>	300	<1	<1	<1	<3					-			
	06/19/09	9.29	90.16	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	08/28/09	9.28	90.17	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/25/09	7.01	92.44	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	01/28/10	7.25	92.20	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	06/09/10	6.63	92.82	<100	<50	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	08/18/10	7.84	91.61	<100	<50	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<5	<1	<1	<1				
	11/09/10	7.04	92.41	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/11	6.95	92.50	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/19/11	7.95	91.50	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/18/11	10.50	88.95	<100	<50	<250	<1	7.3	<1	<3								
	11/21/11	10.18	89.27	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/15/12	9.73	89.72	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 99.47	05/18/12	9.08	90.39	<100	54 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	15.86	83.61	<100	200 <sup>×</sup>	840	<1	<1	<1	<3								
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Level for	Groundwater <sup>7</sup>		800/1,000 <sup>a</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5		15		5



Well ID         OS           RW02         05         07           TOC (feet): 99.63         07         11           02         06         08           111         02         06           08         11         02           08         08         08           09         08         08           02         06         08           08         08         08	Sample Date 5/03/06 1/08/06 2/06/07 6/08/07 8/14/07 1/29/07 2/19/08 8/12/08 8/12/08 1/26/08 3/31/09	Depth to Groundwater <sup>1</sup> (feet) Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry	Groundwater Elevation <sup>2</sup> (feet)          -	GRPH <sup>3</sup>       	DRPH <sup>4</sup>	ORPH <sup>4</sup>	Benzene <sup>5</sup>	Toluene <sup>5</sup>    	Ethylbenzene <sup>5</sup>   	Analytical Re Total Xylenes <sup>5</sup>  	Naphthalene <sup>5</sup>	MTBE <sup>5</sup>	EDB <sup>5</sup>	EDC <sup>5</sup>	Total	ead <sup>6</sup> Dissolved 	Total	Senic <sup>6</sup> Dissolved
Well ID         OS           RW02         05         07           TOC (feet): 99.63         07         11           02         06         08           111         02         06           08         11         02           08         08         08           09         08         08           02         06         08           08         08         08	Date           5/03/06           7/20/06           1/08/06           2/06/07           6/08/07           8/14/07           1/29/07           2/19/08           6/27/08           8/12/08           1/26/08	(feet) Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry	(feet)         				  										Total	Dissolved
TOC (feet): 99.63 07 11 02 06 08 11 02 06 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08	7/20/06 1/08/06 2/06/07 6/08/07 8/14/07 1/29/07 2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry	     				  											
TOC (feet): 99.63 07 11 02 06 08 11 02 06 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08	7/20/06 1/08/06 2/06/07 6/08/07 8/14/07 1/29/07 2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry																
02 06 08 11 02 06 06	2/06/07 6/08/07 8/14/07 1/29/07 2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry																
06 08 11 02 06 08	6/08/07 8/14/07 1/29/07 2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry																
08 11 02 06 08	8/14/07 1/29/07 2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry																
08 11 02 06 08	8/14/07 1/29/07 2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry																
11 02 06 08	1/29/07 2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry Dry Dry																
02 06 08	2/19/08 6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry Dry																
00 08	6/27/08 8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry Dry																
08	8/12/08 1/26/08	Dry																
	1/26/08																	
		Dry																
03		15.45	84.18	560	510 <sup>×</sup>	<250	3	15	4	81								
	6/19/09	15.95	83.68	110			2.0	<1	1.0	15.1	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	8/27/09	Dry																
	1/25/09	15.40	84.23	8,800	1,100 <sup>×</sup>	<250	67	280	82	2,190	100	<1	<1	<1		3.61		
	1/28/10	15.20	84.43	9,000	1,000 <sup>×</sup>	<250	120	140	130	2,040	150	<1	<1	<1				
	6/09/10	11.94	87.69	840	67 <sup>×</sup>	<250	2.5	26	24	214	4.6	<1	<1	<1				
	8/18/10	16.36	83.27	14.000	4.200 <sup>×</sup>	<250	97	490	460	3.980	<500	<1	<1	<1				
	1/09/10	14.48	85.15	22,000	1,200 <sup>×</sup>	<250	140	430	820	5,400	360							
	2/16/11	11.75	87.88	290	<50	<250	1.9	2.8	11	57								
	5/18/11	12.82	86.81	17,000	1,500 <sup>×</sup>	<250	44	160	790	3,770	220							
	8/18/11	Dry			1,500	~230												
	1/21/11	Dry																
	2/15/12	Dry																
	5/17/12	Dry																
	8/14/12																	
	5/03/06	Dry 9.48	89.74	345			0.670	<0.500	4.71	41.7		<5.00	< 0.500	< 0.500				
		9.48	87.59	<100			<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	< 0.500	<0.500				
	7/21/06 1/08/06	11.63	87.59	<100			<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500		<1		
	2/06/07	9.68 9.44	89.54 89.78	<100			<1 <1	<1	<1 <1	<3 <3					<1	<1	<1 <1	<1 1.05 <sup>c</sup>
	6/08/07	-		<100				<1							<1	<1		
	8/14/07 1/29/07	10.06 10.62	89.16 88.60	<100 <100			<1 <1	<1 <1	<1 <1	<3 <3								
	2/19/08	8.91	90.31	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	6/27/08	8.27	90.95	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	8/12/08	8.65	90.57	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	1/26/08	8.22	91.00	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	3/31/09	7.04	92.18	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	6/19/09	8.92	90.30	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	1.5	<1	<1		<1		
-	8/28/09	8.90	90.32	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	1/25/09	6.82	92.40	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	1/29/10	7.05	92.17	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
06 MTCA Method A Cleanup	6/09/10	6.58	92.64	<100 800/1,000 <sup>a</sup>	<50 500	<250 500	<0.35 5	<1 1,000	<1 700	<3 1,000	<1 160	<1 20	<1 0.01	<1 5				



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (ug/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>							Total	(µ8/2)				1	ead <sup>6</sup>	Δr	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>3</sup>	DRPH <sup>4</sup>	ORPH <sup>4</sup>	Benzene <sup>5</sup>	Toluene⁵	Ethylbenzene⁵	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene⁵	MTBE⁵	EDB⁵	EDC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
RW03 (continued)	08/18/10	7.55	91.67	<100	<50	<250	< 0.35	<1	<1	<3	<5	<1	<1	<1				
TOC (feet): 99.22	11/09/10	6.90	92.32	<100	120 <sup>z</sup>	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/11	6.80	92.42	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/18/11	Inaccessible																
	08/18/11	10.15	89.07	<100	<50	<250	<1	4.1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 99.41	11/21/11	10.03	89.38	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
100 (1000). 55.41	02/16/12	9.61	89.80	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 99.66	05/18/12	8.94	90.72	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
100 (1000). 55.00	08/14/12	11.88	87.78	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
RW04	05/03/06	Dry				~230												
TOC (feet): 98.87	07/19/06	Dry																
100 (1991). 98.87	11/08/06	Dry																
											-							
	02/06/07	Dry																
	06/08/07	Dry																
	08/14/07 11/29/07	Dry																
		Dry																
	02/19/08	Dry																
	06/27/08	Dry																
	08/12/08	Dry																
	11/26/08	Dry																
	03/31/09	Dry																
	06/19/09	Dry																
	08/27/09	Dry																
	11/25/09	15.66	83.21	350	<50	<250	27	40	5.6	88	<1	1.6	<1	<1		<1		
	01/28/10	Dry																
	06/09/10	Dry																
	08/18/10	Dry																
	11/09/10	Dry																
	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
TOC (feet): 99.06	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry																
TOC (feet): 99.27	05/17/12	Dry																
	08/14/12	Dry																
RW05	05/03/06	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.30	07/19/06	Dry																
	11/08/06	Dry																
	02/06/07	Dry																
	06/08/07	Dry																
	08/14/07	Dry																
	11/29/07	Dry																
	02/19/08	Dry																
	06/27/08	Dry																
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Level for	Groundwater <sup>7</sup>		800/1,000 <sup>a</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5		15		5



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (µg/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>							Total					Le	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ars	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>3</sup>	DRPH <sup>4</sup>	<b>ORPH</b> <sup>4</sup>	Benzene⁵	Toluene⁵	Ethylbenzene⁵	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene⁵	MTBE⁵	EDB⁵	EDC⁵	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
RW05 (continued)	08/12/08	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.30	11/26/08	Dry																
. ,	03/31/09	Dry																
	06/19/09	Dry																
	08/27/09	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.72	11/25/09	Dry																
	01/28/10	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.29	06/09/10	Dry																
	08/18/10	Dry																
	11/09/10	Dry																
	02/16/11	Dry																
	05/18/11	Dry																
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry																
	05/18/12	15.19	83.10	1,200	650 <sup>×</sup>	<250	260	47	24	127	3.0							
	08/14/12	Dry																
RW06	05/04/06	10.82	87.43	77.4			<0.500	<0.500	< 0.500	<3.00		<5.00	< 0.500	< 0.500				
TOC (feet): 98.25	07/19/06	9.90	88.35	<100			<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500				
100 (1001). 58.25	11/08/06	9.78	88.47	<100			<0.300	<0.300	<1	<3.00		<3.00	<0.300	<0.300				
	02/06/07	Dry																
	06/08/07	Dry																
	08/14/07	Dry																
	11/29/07	10.89	87.36	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/19/08	9.82	88.43	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/19/08									<3								
		10.86	87.39	<100			<1	<1	<1									
	08/12/08	Dry																
	11/26/08	Inaccessible																
	03/31/09	Dry																
	06/19/09	9.92	88.33	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		13.8		
	08/28/09	9.80	88.45	<100	120	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/25/09	9.73	88.52	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	01/28/10	9.72	88.53	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	06/09/10	9.61	88.64	<100	<50	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	08/18/10	9.99	88.26	<100	81 <sup>z</sup>	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<5	<1	<1	<1				
	11/09/10	9.70	88.55	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/11	9.70	88.55	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/18/11	9.68	88.57	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/19/11	9.99	88.26	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/22/11	9.89	88.36	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/12	9.73	88.52	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 98.24	05/18/12	9.73	88.51	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	9.93	88.31	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
MTCA Method A Clea	anup Level for	Groundwater <sup>7</sup>		800/1,000 <sup>ª</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5		15		5



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (µg/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>							Total					L	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ars	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH <sup>3</sup>	DRPH <sup>4</sup>	ORPH <sup>4</sup>	Benzene⁵	Toluene⁵	<b>Ethylbenzene</b> <sup>5</sup>	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene <sup>5</sup>	MTBE⁵	EDB⁵	EDC⁵	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
RW07	05/03/06	10.06	88.35	66.7			1.380	<0.500	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500				
TOC (feet): 98.41	07/19/06	11.27	87.14	<100			4.10	3.63	<0.500	<3.00		<5.00	<0.500	<0.500				
	11/08/06	10.70	87.71	<100			3.8	<1	<1	<3		<1	<1	<1				
	02/06/07	9.13	89.28	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1	13.2	18.2 <sup>c</sup>
	06/08/07	8.89	89.52	<100			3	<1	<1	<3					<1	<1	43.3	60.2 <sup>c</sup>
	08/14/07	10.94	87.47	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/29/07	9.30	89.11	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/19/08	11.92	86.49	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	06/27/08	Inaccessible																
	08/12/08	Inaccessible																
	11/26/08	9.81	88.60	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	03/31/09	Dry																
	06/19/09	10.22	88.19	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	08/28/09	8.87	89.54	<100	<b>2,100<sup>×</sup></b>	1,900	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/25/09	9.10	89.31	<100	150 <sup>×</sup>	840	<1	2.8	<1	<3	<1	5.9	<1	<1		<1		
	01/29/10	9.29	89.12	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	4.7	<1	<1				
	06/09/10	9.48	88.93	<100	62 <sup>×</sup>	470	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	4.5	<1	<1				
	08/18/10	10.25	88.16	<100	470 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<5	7.2	<1	<1				
	11/09/10	9.73	88.68	<100	660 <sup>×</sup>	360 <sup>×</sup>	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/11	8.48	89.93	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/18/11	8.40	90.01	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/18/11	9.86	88.55	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	11/22/11	11.46	86.95	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/15/12	10.11	88.30	<100	620 <sup>×</sup>	270 <sup>×</sup>	<1	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 98.40	05/17/12	11.38	87.02	<100	410	350 <sup>×</sup>	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	10.33	88.07	<100	570 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
RW08	08/18/11	Dry															-	
TOC (feet): 99.32	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry															-	
TOC (feet): 99.49	05/17/12	Dry															-	
	08/14/12	Dry																
MTCA Method A Cle	anup Level for	Groundwater <sup>7</sup>		800/1,000 <sup>a</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5		15		5



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (µg/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>							Total	(P8/ -/				L	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ars	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>3</sup>	<b>DRPH</b> <sup>4</sup>	<b>ORPH</b> <sup>4</sup>	Benzene⁵	Toluene⁵	Ethylbenzene <sup>5</sup>	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene <sup>5</sup>	MTBE⁵	EDB⁵	EDC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
RW09	08/19/11	11.58	86.54	170	<50	<250	19	<1	<1	<3	<1					<1		
TOC (feet): 98.12	11/22/11	10.66	87.46	<100	<50	<250	10	<1	<1	<3	<1					<1		
	02/16/12	10.19	87.93	<100	770 <sup>×</sup>	330 <sup>×</sup>	10	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 98.09	05/17/12	11.45	86.64	<100	520	320 <sup>x</sup>	9.2	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	10.82	87.27	<100	250 <sup>×</sup>	<250	4.1	<1	<1	<3								
RW10	08/18/11	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.76	11/22/11	20.06	78.70	<100	<50	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1					<1		
	02/16/12	15.85	82.91	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	3.8								
TOC (feet): 99.02	05/18/12	8.94	90.08	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	Dry																
RW11	08/18/11	Dry																
TOC (feet): 99.81	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	20.33	79.48	3,400	1,200 <sup>×</sup>	<250	150	200	27	480	16							
TOC (feet): 99.28	05/17/12	19.94	79.34	14,000	1,200 <sup>×</sup>	<250	560	1,400	360	2,770	97							
	08/14/12	Dry																
OW01	05/03/06	Dry																
TOC (feet): 98.95	07/19/06	Dry																
	11/08/06	Dry																
	02/06/07	Dry																
	06/08/07	Dry																
	08/14/07	Dry																
	11/29/07	Dry																
	02/19/08	Dry																
	06/27/08	7.99	90.96	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/12/08	9.94	89.01	180			30	2	2	<3								
	11/26/08	6.88	92.07	<100			<1	<1	<1	<3								
	03/31/09	Dry																
	06/19/09	Dry																
	08/27/09	Dry																
	11/25/09	6.48	92.47	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		
	01/29/10	6.75	92.20	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	06/09/10	6.27	92.68	<100	<50	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	08/18/10	7.24	91.71	<100	<50	<250	<0.35	<1	<1	<3	<5	<1	<1	<1				
	11/09/10	6.65	92.30	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/11	6.50	92.45	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/19/11	6.47	92.48	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/18/11	Dry																
	11/21/11	Dry																
	02/15/12	Dry																
TOC (feet): 99.96	05/17/12	Dry																
	08/14/12	Dry																
MTCA Method A Clea				800/1,000 <sup>ª</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5	1	15		5



		Depth to	Groundwater							Analytical Re	sults (µg/L)							
	Sample	Groundwater <sup>1</sup>	Elevation <sup>2</sup>							Total					Le	ead <sup>6</sup>	Ars	senic <sup>6</sup>
Well ID	Date	(feet)	(feet)	GRPH <sup>3</sup>		ORPH <sup>4</sup>	Benzene⁵	Toluene⁵	Ethylbenzene <sup>5</sup>	Xylenes⁵	Naphthalene <sup>5</sup>	MTBE⁵	EDB⁵	EDC⁵	Total	Dissolved	Total	Dissolved
OW02	05/04/06	10.42	88.52	2,260			236	7.63	70.1	313		26.1	<0.500	<0.500				
TOC (feet): 98.94	07/19/06	9.87	89.07	914			194	0.990	45.3	8.72		30.1	<0.500	<0.500				
	11/08/06	10.39	88.55															
	02/06/07	10.54	88.40															
	06/08/07	10.02	88.92	220			22	1	3	4								
	08/14/07	10.02	88.92															
	11/29/07	10.55	88.39	300			41	3	5	13								
	02/19/08	10.56	88.38															
	06/27/08	9.96	88.98	190			38	2	2	6								
	08/12/08	10.24	88.70	180			30	2	2	<3								
	11/26/08	10.10	88.84	260			54	3	6	8								
TOC (feet): 99.05 <sup>b</sup>	03/31/09	8.82	90.23	380	1,400	260 <sup>y</sup>	49	2	10	38								
	06/19/09	9.25	89.80	<100			18	<1	2.5	3	<1	3.8	<1	<1		<1		
	08/28/09	9.31	89.74	<100	510	320	23	<1	2	<3								
	11/25/09	9.33	89.72	<100	<50	<250	7.6	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1		1.17		
	01/29/10	9.59	89.46	<100	<50	<250	3.5	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	06/09/10	8.95	90.10	<100	100 <sup>z</sup>	640	1.5	<1	<1	<3	<1	<1	<1	<1				
	08/18/10	9.60	89.45	<100	130 <sup>z</sup>	<250	2.0	<1	<1	<3	<5	1.2	<1	<1				
	11/09/10	9.91	89.14	<100	660 <sup>2</sup>	760 <sup>2</sup>	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	02/16/11	7.93	91.12	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	05/19/11	9.31	89.74	<100	<50	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/18/11	10.23	88.82															
TOC (feet): 98.04	11/21/11	7.00	91.04															
	02/16/12	8.55	89.49	<100	60 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
TOC (feet): 97.83	05/18/12	8.53	89.30	<100	100 <sup>×</sup>	250 <sup>×</sup>	<1	<1	<1	<3								
	08/14/12	8.49	89.34	<100	160 <sup>x</sup>	<250	<1	<1	<1	<3								
MTCA Method A Clea	nup Level for	Groundwater <sup>7</sup>		800/1,000 <sup>a</sup>	500	500	5	1,000	700	1,000	160	20	0.01	5		15		5

### NOTES:

Red denotes concentrations exceeding the MTCA Method A cleanup level.

Samples analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. of Bothell, Washington, or Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle, Washington.

TOCs were surveyed relative to an arbitrary benchmark with an assumed elevation of 100.00 feet.

<sup>1</sup>Measured in feet below the top of the well casing.

<sup>2</sup>Calculated by subtracting the depth to groundwater from the TOC.

<sup>3</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Gx.

<sup>4</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-Dx.

<sup>5</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 8021B, 8260B, or 8260C.

<sup>6</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 200.8.

<sup>7</sup>MTCA Cleanup Regulation, Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 720-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, revised November 2007.

 $^{a}$ 800 µg/L when benzene is present and 1,000 µg/L when benzene is not present.

<sup>b</sup>The TOC for OW02 was modified and resurveyed on March 16, 2009.

Laboratory Notes:

 $^{\rm c}$  The dissolved arsenic was greater than the total arsenic for the sample. The samples were reanalyzed by the laboratory with the same result.

<sup>j</sup>The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

x<sup>2</sup>The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

<sup>y</sup>The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

-- = not analyzed/not measured

< = not detected at a concentration exceeding laboratory reporting limits

μg/L = micrograms per liter

DRPH = diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons

- Dry = measurable groundwater not encountered in well
- EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)
- EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dichloroethane)
- EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether
- MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act
- NWTPH = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon
- ORPH = oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons
- TOC = top of well casing elevation



				Sample					A	nalytical Results (r	ng/kg)				
		Sample	Sampled	Depth	_	_		_			Total		_	_	
Sample Location	Sample ID	Date	Ву	(feet bgs)	GRPH <sup>1</sup>	DRPH <sup>2</sup>	ORPH <sup>2</sup>	Benzene <sup>3</sup>	Toluene <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>3</sup>	Xylenes <sup>3</sup>	Naphthalene <sup>3</sup>	MTBE <sup>3</sup>	EDB <sup>3</sup>	EDC <sup>3</sup>
	EX-1-14	12/02/03	GEI	14	120			0.2	<0.1	1.4	10				
	EX-2-13	12/02/03	GEI	13	12			0.07	0.1	0.3	2.3				
	EX-3-13	12/02/03	GEI	13	<b>160</b>			0.2	0.1	2.0	12				
	EX-4-13	12/02/03	GEI	13	4			<0.03	<0.05	0.1	0.3				
	EX-5-6	12/02/03	GEI	6	<3			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2				
	EX-6-5	12/02/03	GEI	5	<3			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2				
	EX-9-7	12/02/03	GEI	7	<3			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2				
	EX-11-7	12/02/03	GEI	7	<3			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2				
	EX-12-7	12/02/03	GEI	7	69			0.3	<0.05	0.3	2.1				
	EX-13-7	12/02/03	GEI	7	93			<0.06	0.1	0.6	4.4				
UST Excavation	EX-14-7	12/02/03	GEI	7	23			0.2	1.4	0.4	2.0				
OST Excavation	EX-17-3 <sup>a</sup>	12/02/03	GEI	3	3,900			<3.0	10	22	150				
	EX 18-3 <sup>a</sup>	12/02/03	GEI	3	4,700			<3.0	50	39	220				
	EX 19-3C <sup>4,a</sup>	12/02/03	GEI	3	990			0.8	3.4	90	51				
	EX-20-15 <sup>a</sup>	12/02/03	GEI	15	14,000			42	33	200	1,100	35	<20	<1.1	<1.1
	EX-21-17	12/02/03	GEI	17	<3			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.02	<0.1	<0.011	<0.011
	EX-22-8	12/05/03	GEI	8	<3			1.0	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2				
	EX-23-6	12/05/03	GEI	6	2,800			3.6	33	30	150				
	EX-24-5	12/05/03	GEI	5	6,200			<3.0	7.1	68	320				
	EX-25-6	12/05/03	GEI	6	6			0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.4				
	EX-26-5	12/05/03	GEI	5	<3			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2				
	EX-27-9	12/05/03	GEI	9	<3			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2				
Stockpile	DSP-1	12/02/03	GEI	1	310			0.3	0.6	2.8	13				
B01/MW01	B1-17	10/06/04	SoundEarth	17	32.7			<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	0.419				
801/101001	B1-18	10/06/04	SoundEarth	18	<8.08			<0.0485	<0.0808	<0.0808	<0.162				
B03	B3-7	10/06/04	SoundEarth	7	64.3			0.628	0.0826	1.44	6.47				
603	B3-8	10/06/04	SoundEarth	8	62.5			0.692	<0.102	<0.102	0.286				
	B4-5	10/06/04	SoundEarth	5	<5.00			0.0530	<0.0500	<0.0500	<0.100				
B04	B4-6	10/06/04	SoundEarth	6	<6.34			0.215	<0.0634	<0.0634	0.384				
	B4-7	10/06/04	SoundEarth	7	<5.99			0.124	<0.0599	<0.0599	0.305				
	B5-4	10/06/04	SoundEarth	4	<6.21			0.0597	<0.0621	<0.0621	<0.124				
B05	B5-5	10/06/04	SoundEarth	5	<6.66			0.101	<0.0666	<0.0666	0.294				
	B5-7	10/06/04	SoundEarth	7	10.2			0.196	<0.0608	<0.0608	1.72				
MTCA Method A	Cleanup Level f	or Soil⁵			<b>30/100</b> <sup>b</sup>	2,000	2,000	0.03	7	6	9	5	0.1	0.005	NE



				Sample					A	nalytical Results (r	mg/kg)				
		Sample	Sampled	Depth							Total				
Sample Location	Sample ID	Date	Ву	(feet bgs)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>1</sup>	DRPH <sup>2</sup>	ORPH <sup>2</sup>	Benzene <sup>3</sup>	Toluene <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>3</sup>	Xylenes <sup>3</sup>	Naphthalene <sup>3</sup>	MTBE <sup>3</sup>	EDB <sup>3</sup>	EDC <sup>3</sup>
	B6-4	10/06/04	SoundEarth	4	18.4			0.256	<0.0366	0.314	2.01				
B06	B6-11.5	10/06/04	SoundEarth	11.5	338			0.187	0.0780	1.36	6.76				
	B6-14	10/06/04	SoundEarth	14	101			0.388	<0.0500	0.495	1.99				
B07	B7-16	10/07/04	SoundEarth	16	364			0.208	1.51	2.72	13.4				
B09	B9-12	10/07/04	SoundEarth	12	12.4			<0.0396	<0.0661	0.209	0.428				
B11	B11-12	10/07/04	SoundEarth	12	13.0			0.123	0.0832	0.112	0.298				
B12	B12-12	10/07/04	SoundEarth	12	20.6			<0.0300	<0.0500	0.107	0.120				
	B1@1.5	11/22/06	EPI	1.5											
B-1	B1@9.5	11/22/06	EPI	9.5											
	B1@13	11/22/06	EPI	13											
	B2@2.5	11/22/06	EPI	2.5											
B-2	B2@4.5	11/22/06	EPI	4.5	<20	<25	230								
	B2@14	11/22/06	EPI	14	-										
	B3@1.5	11/22/06	EPI	1.5											
B-3	B3@10	11/22/06	EPI	10	<20	<50	<100								
	B3@15	11/22/06	EPI	15											
	B4@2	11/22/06	EPI	2											
B-4	B4@7.9	11/22/06	EPI	7.9	<20	<50	<100								
	B4@16	11/22/06	EPI	16											
	B5@2.5	11/22/06	EPI	2.5											
B-5	B5@6	11/22/06	EPI	6	<20	<25	65								
	B5@12	11/22/06	EPI	12											
	B6@2.5	11/22/06	EPI	2.5											
B-6	B6@10	11/22/06	EPI	10	<20	<50	<100								
	B6@13	11/22/06	EPI	13											
	P01-07	06/23/09	SoundEarth	7	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
P01	P01-10	06/23/09	SoundEarth	10	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
	P01-19	06/23/09	SoundEarth	19	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
	P02-12	06/23/09	SoundEarth	12	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
P02	P02-14	06/23/09	SoundEarth	14	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
	P02-17	06/23/09	SoundEarth	17	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
	P03-12	06/23/09	SoundEarth	12	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
P03	P03-14	06/23/09	SoundEarth	14	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	<0.2	< 0.05	< 0.005	<0.05	< 0.05
	P03-19	06/23/09	SoundEarth	19	<2	<50	<250	< 0.03	< 0.05	< 0.05	<0.2	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.05
MTCA Method A					<b>30/100</b> <sup>b</sup>	2,000	2,000	0.03	7	6	9	5	0.1	0.005	NE



				Sample					А	nalytical Results (r	mg/kg)				
		Sample	Sampled	Depth					_		Total			_	
Sample Location	Sample ID	Date	Ву	(feet bgs)	GRPH <sup>1</sup>	DRPH <sup>2</sup>	ORPH <sup>2</sup>	Benzene <sup>3</sup>	Toluene <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>3</sup>	Xylenes <sup>3</sup>	Naphthalene <sup>3</sup>	MTBE <sup>3</sup>	EDB <sup>3</sup>	EDC <sup>3</sup>
-	P04-07	06/23/09	SoundEarth	7	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
P04	P04-16	06/23/09	SoundEarth	16	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
	P04-19	06/23/09	SoundEarth	19	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
P05	P05-06	06/23/09	SoundEarth	6	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
	P05-19	06/23/09	SoundEarth	19	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
-	P06-06	06/23/09	SoundEarth	6	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
P06	P06-15	06/23/09	SoundEarth	15	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
	P06-17	06/23/09	SoundEarth	17	7	<50	<250	0.099	0.15	<0.05	1.7	0.11	<0.005	<0.05	<0.05
_	P07-10	06/24/09	SoundEarth	10	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
P07	P07-16	06/24/09	SoundEarth	16	770 <sup>d</sup>	950 <sup>×</sup>	<250	<0.03	0.052	3.0	28	50	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P07-20	06/24/09	SoundEarth	20	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P08-12	06/24/09	SoundEarth	12	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
P08	P08-15	06/24/09	SoundEarth	15	54	71 <sup>×</sup>	<250	0.11	0.094	0.64	3.6	1.1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P08-20	06/24/09	SoundEarth	20	6	<50	<250	0.088	0.14	0.065	0.51	0.083	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P09-12	06/24/09	SoundEarth	12	9	<50	<250	0.58	<0.05	0.35	1.3	0.15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
P09	P09-15	06/24/09	SoundEarth	15	<b>2,100</b> <sup>d</sup>	470 <sup>×</sup>	<250	6.9	110	42	253	18	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P09-22	06/24/09	SoundEarth	22	4	<50	<250	0.077	0.25	0.069	0.40	0.076	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P10-12	06/24/09	SoundEarth	12	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
P10	P10-16	06/24/09	SoundEarth	16	79	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	0.72	<1.4	0.29	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P10-20	06/24/09	SoundEarth	20	4	<50	<250	0.050	<0.05	0.13	<0.55	0.059	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P11-12	06/24/09	SoundEarth	12	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	0.052	<0.2	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
P11	P11-16	06/24/09	SoundEarth	16	<2	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	P11-20	06/24/09	SoundEarth	20	630 <sup>d</sup>	150 <sup>×</sup>	<250	0.60	3.3	4.1	31	11	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	B22-15	11/15/10	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B22/MW03	B22-17.5	11/15/10	SoundEarth	18	200			<0.02	0.20	0.53	4.9				
	B22-20	11/15/10	SoundEarth	20	<2			<0.02	0.022	<0.02	0.098				
	B23-15	11/15/10	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B23/MW04	B23-17.5	11/15/10	SoundEarth	17.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B23-20	11/15/10	SoundEarth	20	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B24-12.5	11/15/10	SoundEarth	12.5	2.3			0.025	0.086	<0.02	0.11				
B24/MW05	B24-15	11/15/10	SoundEarth	15	3.9			<0.02	0.046	<0.02	0.15				
	B24-17.5	11/15/10	SoundEarth	17.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
MTCA Method A C	leanup Level f	or Soil⁵			<b>30/100</b> <sup>b</sup>	2,000	2,000	0.03	7	6	9	5	0.1	0.005	NE



				Sample					А	nalytical Results (I	ng/kg)				
		Sample	Sampled	Depth	1	2					Total	3	3	2	
Sample Location	Sample ID	Date	Ву	(feet bgs)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>1</sup>	DRPH <sup>2</sup>	ORPH <sup>2</sup>	Benzene <sup>3</sup>	Toluene <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>3</sup>	Xylenes <sup>3</sup>	Naphthalene <sup>3</sup>	MTBE <sup>3</sup>	EDB <sup>3</sup>	EDC <sup>3</sup>
	B25-10	11/16/10	SoundEarth	10	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B25/MW06	B25-12.5	11/16/10	SoundEarth	12.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B25-15	11/16/10	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B26-12.5	11/16/10	SoundEarth	12.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B26/MW07	B26-15	11/16/10	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B26-17.5	11/16/10	SoundEarth	17.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B27-10	11/16/10	SoundEarth	10	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B27-12.5	11/16/10	SoundEarth	12.5	3.0			0.089	<0.02	0.053	<0.06				
	B27-15	11/16/10	SoundEarth	15	520			<1	3.0	6.1	42				
	B27-17.5	11/16/10	SoundEarth	17.5	<20			<0.2	0.95	<0.2	1.4				
B27/MW08	B27-20	11/16/10	SoundEarth	20	5.0			0.053	0.39	0.073	0.63				
	B27-22.5	11/16/10	SoundEarth	22.5	<20			<0.2	0.48	<0.2	0.84				
	B27-25	11/16/10	SoundEarth	25	<2			<0.02	0.077	<0.02	0.13				
	B27-27.5	11/16/10	SoundEarth	27.5	<2			0.028	0.11	<0.02	0.16				
	B27-30	11/16/10	SoundEarth	30	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B28-12.5	12/06/10	SoundEarth	12.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B28/MW09	B28-15	12/06/10	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B28-17.5	12/06/10	SoundEarth	17.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B29-15	12/06/10	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B29/MW10	B29-17.5	12/06/10	SoundEarth	17.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B29-20	12/06/10	SoundEarth	20	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B30-15	12/06/10	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B30/MW11	B30-17.5	12/06/10	SoundEarth	17.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B30-20	12/06/10	SoundEarth	20	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B31-20	06/14/11	SoundEarth	20	6.2	<50	<250	0.094	0.59	0.17	0.82	0.11	<0.05		
B31/RW08	B31-27.5	06/14/11	SoundEarth	27.5	3.8			0.083	0.45	0.066	0.43				
	B31-30	06/14/11	SoundEarth	30	<2			0.026	0.20	0.045	0.22		-		
	B32-15	06/14/11	SoundEarth	15		<50	<250	0.056	<0.05	<0.05	<0.2	<0.05	<0.05		
B32/RW11	B32-20	06/14/11	SoundEarth	20	<2			0.048	<0.02	0.080	0.14				
	B32-25	06/14/11	SoundEarth	25	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.073	<0.05	<0.05		
	B33-17.5	06/14/11	SoundEarth	17.5	3,300	<50	<250	2.4	83	48	276	17	< 0.05		
B33/RW10	B33-22.5	06/14/11	SoundEarth	22.5	6.2			0.024	0.16	0.095	0.51				
	B33-25	06/14/11	SoundEarth	25	<2			0.024	0.093	0.031	0.18				
MTCA Method A				-	<b>30/100</b> <sup>b</sup>	2.000	2.000	0.03	7	6	9	5	0.1	0.005	NE



				Sample					А	nalytical Results (r	ng/kg)				
		Sample	Sampled	Depth							Total				
Sample Location	Sample ID	Date	Ву	(feet bgs)	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>1</sup>	DRPH <sup>2</sup>	ORPH <sup>2</sup>	Benzene <sup>3</sup>	Toluene <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>3</sup>	Xylenes <sup>3</sup>	Naphthalene <sup>3</sup>	MTBE <sup>3</sup>	EDB <sup>3</sup>	EDC <sup>3</sup>
	B34-05	06/15/11	SoundEarth	5	6.2	<50	<250	0.038	<0.05	<0.05	0.45	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
B34/RW09	B34-12.5	06/15/11	SoundEarth	12.5	14	-		0.051	<0.02	<0.02	0.075				
	B34-15	06/15/11	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B35-07.5	06/15/11	SoundEarth	7.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B35/MW13	B35-12.5	06/15/11	SoundEarth	12.5	<2			0.032	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B35-15	06/15/11	SoundEarth	15	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
	B36-12.5	06/15/11	SoundEarth	12.5	<2			<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06				
B36/MW12	B36-15	06/15/11	SoundEarth	15	66	<50	<250	<0.03	<0.05	0.42	2.6	0.25	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	B36-25	06/15/11	SoundEarth	25	3.6			0.071	0.19	0.053	0.30				
MTCA Method A	Cleanup Level f	or Soil <sup>5</sup>			<b>30/100</b> <sup>b</sup>	2,000	2,000	0.03	7	6	9	5	0.1	0.005	NE

NOTES:

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A cleanup level.

Samples from 2003 and 2006 analyzed by CCI Analytical Laboratories of Everett, Washington.

Samples from 2004 analyzed by North Creek Analytical of Bothell, Washington.

Samples from 2010/11 analyzed by Friedman & Bruya, Inc., of Seattle, Washington.

<sup>1</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-HCID or NWTPH-Gx.

<sup>2</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-HCID or NWTPH-Dx.

<sup>3</sup>Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 8021B or 8260C.

<sup>4</sup>Sample composited from three locations beneath the fuel-dispensing pump island.

<sup>5</sup>MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 740-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-

340 of the Washington Administrative Code, revised November 2007.

<sup>a</sup>Sample subsequently overexcavated.

<sup>b</sup>30 mg/kg when benzene is present and 100 mg/kg when benzene is not present. Laboratory Notes:

<sup>d</sup>The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

<sup>x</sup>The pattern of peaks is not indicative of diesel.

-- = not analyzed

< = not detected at a concentration exceeding the laboratory reporting limit

bgs = below ground surface

DRPH = diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons

EDB = ethylene dibromide (1,2-dibromoethane)

EDC = ethylene dichloride (1,2-dichloroethane)

EPI = Environmental Partners, Inc.

GEI = GeoEngineers, Inc.

GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons

- mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
- MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether

MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act

NWTPH = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon

ORPH = oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons

SoundEarth = SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.



				Sample				Analy	rtical Results (	milligrams/kilo	gram)			
Sample Location	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampled By	Depth (feet bgs)	Antimony <sup>1</sup>	Arsenic <sup>1</sup>	Cadmium <sup>1</sup>	Copper <sup>1</sup>	Lead <sup>1</sup>	Chromium <sup>1</sup>	Selenium <sup>1</sup>	Silver <sup>1</sup>	Barium <sup>1</sup>	Total Mercury <sup>2</sup>
UST Excavation	EX-4-13	12/02/03	GEI	13					<4.2					
Stockpile	DSP-1	12/02/03	GEI	1					<4.7					
	B1@1.5	11/22/06	EPI	1.5	3.8	<5	<1	17	8.7					
B-1	B1@9.5	11/22/06	EPI	9.5	50	200	<1	1,300	8,500					
	B1@13	11/22/06	EPI	13	43	180	2	1,500	9,000					
B-2	B2@2.5	11/22/06	EPI	2.5	<3	6.9	<1	35	31					
D-2	B2@14	11/22/06	EPI	14	<3	<5	<1	14	21					
B-3	B3@1.5	11/22/06	EPI	1.5	<3	<5	<1	29	150					
D-3	B3@15	11/22/06	EPI	15	<3	20	<1	41	110					
B-4	B4@2	11/22/06	EPI	2	<3	<5	<1	22	33					
D-4	B4@16	11/22/06	EPI	16	<3	<5	<1	61	7.0					
	B5@2.5	11/22/06	EPI	2.5	<3	<5	<1	15	<5					
B-5	B5@6	11/22/06	EPI	6	<3	12	<1	22	55					
	B5@12	11/22/06	EPI	12	<3	14	<1	15	11					
	B6@2.5	11/22/06	EPI	2.5	<3	<5	<1	17	<5					
B-6	B6@10	11/22/06	EPI	10	43	310	1.3	1,900	11,000					
	B6@13	11/22/06	EPI	13	8.0	17	<1	120	180					
B31	B31-20	06/15/11	SoundEarth	20		1.31	<1		1.69	8.56	<1	<1	30.6	<0.1
B32	B32-15	06/15/11	SoundEarth	15		19.6	2.31		86.3	33.0	<1	<1	98.2	<0.1
B33	B33-17.5	06/15/11	SoundEarth	17.5		10.9	<1		6.04	36.5	<1	<1	98.4	<0.1
B34	B34-05	06/16/11	SoundEarth	5		17.4	<1		11.6	14.9	<1	<1	55.9	<0.1
B36	B36-15	06/16/11	SoundEarth	15		5.73	<1		4.68	32.0	<1	<1	82.5	<0.1
MTCA Cleanup Le	evels for GW				32 <sup>a</sup>	20 <sup>b</sup>	2 <sup>b</sup>	3,000ª	250 <sup>b</sup>	2,000 <sup>b</sup>	400 <sup>a</sup>	400 <sup>a</sup>	16,000 <sup>a</sup>	2 <sup>b</sup>

#### NOTES:

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A or B cleanup level.

Samples analyzed by CCI Analytical Laboratories of Everett, Washington and Friedman & Bruya, Inc. of Seattle, Washington.

<sup>1</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 6010 or Method 200.8.

<sup>2</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 1631E.

<sup>a</sup>MTCA Cleanup Regulation, Chapter 173-340 of WAC, CLARC, Soil, Method B, Non-carcinogen, Standard Formula Value, CLARC Website <a href="https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/CLARCHome.aspx">https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/CLARCHome.aspx</a>.

<sup>b</sup>MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 740-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the WAC, revised November 2007.

< = not detected at a concentration exceeding the laboratory reporting limit

- bgs = below ground surface
- CLARC = Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations
- EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- EPI = Environmental Partners, Inc.
- GEI = GeoEngineers, Inc.
- MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act
- SoundEarth = SoundEarth Strategies, Inc.
- UST = underground storage tank
- WAC = Washington Administrative Code



### Table 4 Summary of Soil Analytical Results for PCBs and cPAHs TOC Holdings Co. Facility No. 01-169 851 North Broadway Everett, Washington

							Ana	alytical R	esults for	r PCBs <sup>1</sup>		-		Analytic	al Results fo	or cPAHs (To	oxicity Equiv	alency) <sup>2</sup>		
Sample	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampled By	Sample Depth (feet bgs)	Aroclor 1016	Aroclor 1221	Aroclor 1232	Aroclor 1242	Aroclor 1248	Aroclor 1254	Aroclor 1260	Total Aroclors <sup>3</sup>	Benzo(a) anthracene TEF 0.1	Chrysene TEF 0.01	Benzo(a)pyrene TEF 1	Benzo(b) fluoranthene TEF 0.1	Benzo(k) fluoranthene TEF 0.1	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene TEF 0.1	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene TEF 0.1	Total TEQ Soil Concentration <sup>1</sup>
B-2	B2@4.5	11/22/06	EPI	4.5	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.02
B-5	B5@6	11/22/06	EPI	6	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
MTCA Clean	up Levels for	Soil		•	5.6 <sup>ª</sup>	NE	NE	NE	NE	1.6 <sup>a</sup>	NE	1 <sup>b</sup>			•	0.1 <sup>b</sup>				0.1 <sup>b</sup>

#### NOTES:

Results reported in milligrams per kilogram.

Soil samples analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 6010.

Red denotes concentration exceeds MTCA Method A or B cleanup level.

Samples from 2006 analyzed by CCI Analytical Laboratories of Everett, Washington.

<sup>1</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 8082A.

<sup>2</sup>Analyzed by EPA Method 8270D. Analytical result for each cPAH compound is multiplied by the TEF and all seven cPAH values are added. When analytical results are reported as less than the LRL, half of the LRL is used for the calculation, as shown.

<sup>a</sup>MTCA Cleanup Regulation, Chapter 173-340 of WAC, CLARC, Soil, Method B, Non-carcinogen, Standard Formula Value, CLARC Website <a href="https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/CLARCHome.aspx">https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/CLARCHome.aspx</a>.

<sup>b</sup>MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 740-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the WAC, revised November 2007.

< = not detected at a concentration exceeding the laboratory reporting limit

bgs = below ground surface

- CLARC = Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations
- EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- EPI = Environmental Partners, Inc.
- LRL = laboratory reporting limit
- MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act
- NE = not established
- cPAH = carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
- PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl
- TEF = toxicity equivalency factor
- TEQ = toxicity equivalent
- WAC = Washington Administrative Code



					Ana	lytical Results (µ	ıg/L)	
Sample		Sample	Sampled					
Location <sup>1</sup>	Sample ID	Date	Ву	<b>GRPH</b> <sup>2</sup>	Benzene <sup>3</sup>	Toluene <sup>3</sup>	Ethylbenzene <sup>3</sup>	Total Xylenes <sup>3</sup>
B-6	B6 H2O	11/22/06	EPI	390	<1	<1	1	<3
MTCA Method A	Cleanup Level f	or Groundwa	ater <sup>4</sup>	800/1000 <sup>ª</sup>	5	1,000	700	1,000

Sample analyzed by CCI Analytical Laboratories of Everett, Washington.

<sup>1</sup>Reconnaissance groundwater sample collected from soil boring.

<sup>2</sup>Analyzed by Method NWTPH-HCID or NWTPH-Gx.

<sup>3</sup>Analyzed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 8021B or 8260C.

<sup>4</sup>MTCA Method A Cleanup Levels, Table 740-1 of Section 900 of Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code, revised November 2007.

 $^a800~\mu\text{g/L}$  when benzene is present and 1,000  $\mu\text{g/L}$  when benzene is not present.

< = not detected at a concentration exceeding the laboratory reporting limit

µg/L = micrograms per liter

bgs = below ground surface

EPI = Environmental Partners, Inc.

GRPH = gasoline-range petroleum hydrocarbons

MTCA = Washington State Model Toxics Control Act NWTPH = Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon APPENDIX A Boring Logs

Sc		nd	Eart		Project: Project Nun Logged by:		0440- WHR	-002	Co. Facility No. 01-		BORING LOG	<b>B01</b> MW0	
J	JU	St.	ateg	ies	Date Starte Surface Co		10/6/3 1 <b>s:</b> Asph			ę	Site Address: 851 N Evere	lorth Broa ett, Washi	
					Well Location Well Location Reviewed bo Date Completion	on E/W y:	-				Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Compl	ing <sup>16</sup> 1	5 feet bgs 0.5 feet bgs
_ (s)	/al	unt	şry										Well
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppi	mv) Sam IE		USCS Class	Graphic	Lith	nologic D	escription		Construction Detail
0   5 - -         -									No samples coll surface (bgs).	ected to 1	6 feet below grou	und	
15													
Drillir Samp	ng Eq bler T	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We	n <b>t:</b> C		lbs	Well Scre	/Auger Di Screene en Slot S r Pack Us	d Interval: Size:	2 5 to 20 0.010 Silica Sand	inches feet bgs inches	Notes/Comm	ents:	
Total	Borir	ng Dept	<b>h:</b> 2	0	feet bgs	Surf	ace Seal:		Concrete				
		Depth: ID No.:		0 -	feet bgs		ular Seal: ument Ty		Bentonite Chips Flush Mount		Page:	1	of 2

Sc	)U	nd	Eart		roject: roject Number ogged by: ate Started:	r: 0440 WHF 10/6	)-002 R /2004	Co. Facility No. 01-169	Si	BORING LOG		dway
		St	rateg	M M R	urface Conditi Vell Location N Vell Location E eviewed by: ate Completed	I/S: 15' Nori :/W: 12' Wes PJK/	nalt th from NW corne st from NW corne /RKB /2004	-		Evera Water Depti Time of Drill Water Depti After Compl	ling <sup>16</sup> 1	gton feet bgs .5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Litholog	jic De	escription		Well Construct Detail
15			100		B-1-17	FILL		Wet, sandy GRAVEL, hydrocarbon odor. Damp to moist, silty f gravel, weak hydroca	ine to	medium SAND	, trace	
- 20 —	$\left  \right\rangle$		100		B-1-18 B-1-19 B-1-20	SM		Boring terminated at	20 fee	t bgs and comp	bleted	
-								as two-inch-diameter	monit	toring well MW(	)1.	
- 25 —												
-												
-												
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler Ty ner Ty Borir Well	ype/We ng Dept Depth:	nt: C  ight: :h: 20 20	)	WSoIbsfeet bgsfeet bgsAn	ell/Auger D ell Screene creen Slot S lter Pack U urface Seal nnular Seal	ed Interval Size: sed: :	0.010 inch Silica Sand Concrete Bentonite Chips	bgs	Notes/Comm	ents:	
State	Well	ID No.:				onument T	ype:	Flush Mount		Page:	2	of 2

So	<b>U</b>	nd Str	ateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number gged by: Ite Started: Inface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E eviewed by: Ite Completed	r: 0440 WHF 10/6/ ions: Asph I/S: <sup>9'</sup> North E/W: 47' W fr PJK/	)-002 R /2004	•	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Wash Water Depth At Time of Drilling N Water Depth After Completion	adway ington E feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologi	c Description	Well Construction Detail
-			100	3.7		FILL		to coarse gravel (grave	o medium SAND, some fine el ends at 3.5 feet below terra cotta pipe fragments,	-
5			100	1.8				@7': Wet. @8': Damp.		
10 —			100	6		CL		Damp to moist, plastic grayish-brown, no hyd	CLAY, bluish-gray to dark	-
				6.3		SM		Damp, silty medium S/	AND, gray.	-
15	$\bigwedge$		100			CL-ML		Damp, silty CLAY, gray feet bgs), mottled/oxid no hydrocarbon odor.	yish-green to buff (at 16 lized from 14 to 16 feet bgs,	
	g Equ er Ty er Ty Borin Vell I	ype/We ng Dept Depth:	ight: D  ight: h: 29 	9	W So Ibs Fi feet bgs So feet bgs An	ell/Auger D ell Screene creen Slot S lter Pack U urface Seal nnular Seal onument T	ed Interval Size: sed: : :	inche feet k inche   	bgs NE = not encountered es	l of 2

So	)U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da i e S Su We We	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/ ell Location E	0440 WHR 10/6/ ons: Asph S: <sup>9'</sup> North W: <sup>47'</sup> W fr	-002 2004 from SW corner of	of building	LOG - Site Address: 851 Nor Everett, Water Depth A Time of Drilling	Washington
					viewed by: te Completed:	PJK/ 10/6/	ккв /2004		Water Depth After Completion	on feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic E	Description	Well Construction Detail
- 15						CL-ML		Same as above, some sa	nd, buff.	
20 -	$\bigwedge$		100	0		SP		Damp, gravelly medium S no hydrocarbon odor.	AND, fine gravel, g	 ray,
20						SC-SM		Damp, silty clayey SAND,	, gray.	
-			100			SM		Dry, silty fine SAND, trace hydrocarbon odor.	e gravel, buff, no	
25			100					Boring terminated at 29 fe	eet bgs. Backfilled v	vith
30								bentonite chips, and finis with asphalt plug.	hed flush to surface	e
Drillin Samp Hamm Total Total	g Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: Di  ight: :h: 29 	1	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us rface Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	inches feet bgs inches   	Notes/Commen NE = not encounter Page:	

So	U	nd Str	ateg	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	oject: oject Numbe gged by: te Started: rface Condit ell Location I ell Location I viewed by: te Complete	er: 0440 WHR 10/6/ tions: Asph N/S: 50'Wes E/W: 21' North PJK/	-002 2004 halt to f SW corner of h of SW corner of	•	BORING LOG B03 LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washi Water Depth At Time of Drilling NI Water Depth After Completion	ngton E feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
			100	0 6 0 25 30 20 3	B-3-1 B-3-2 B-3-3 B-3-4 B-3-5 B-3-6 B-3-7 B-3-8	FILL		gray. @3': Weak hydrocarbon @4': No hydrocarbon o @7': Moderate hydroca bgs. Damp, coarse, black sla Damp, fine sandy SILT,		
15			100			CL-ML		Silty CLAY, buff, no hyd	drocarbon odor.	-
Drilling Drilling Sample Hamme Total B Total V State V	g Equ er Ty er Ty Borin Vell I	uipmen /pe: /pe/We g Dept Depth:	nt: Co  ight:		Ibs F feet bgs S feet bgs A	Vell/Auger Di Vell Screene Screen Slot S ilter Pack Us Surface Seal Innular Seal Ionument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	inches feet b inches  	gs s	of 2

So	)U	nd <sub>St</sub>	Eart rateg	i e s Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Ka	oject: oject Number: ogged by: ate Started: urface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: ate Completed	0440 WHF 10/6/ ons: Asph S: <sup>50' Wes</sup> W: <sup>21' Nort</sup> PJK/	-002 } /2004		BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washin I At ing NE	gton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic I	Description		Well Construction Detail
15						CL-ML					
-								Boring terminated at 16 f bentonite chips, and finis with asphalt plug.	eet bgs. Backfilled shed flush to surfa	d with ace	
_											
20											
_											
-											
25 —											
-											
Drillin Samp	Drilling Co./Driller: ESN/Don Drilling Equipment: Combo Rig Sampler Type:		We Sci	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us	d Interval: Size:	inches feet bgs inches 	Notes/Comme				
Total Total	Total Boring Depth: <sup>16</sup> feet by		feet bgs Surfeet bgs An	face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	:		Page:	2	of 2		

So	U		ateg	Pro Log Da Su We We Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/ ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 WHF 10/6/ ons: Asph S: <sup>29'Wes</sup> W: <sup>14'Nort</sup> PJK/	-002 { /2004 halt st of SW corner of	Water Deptil At	
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	0	Lithologic Description Well Construct Detai	tion
			100	3 10 6 10	B-4-4 B-4-5 B-4-6 B-4-7	FILL		Asphalt underlain by gravel.         Damp, silty SAND, some gravel, buff to bluish-gray.         Wet from 7 to 9 feet below ground surface (bgs).         @7': Wet.         @9': Damp.         Moist, semi-plastic, sandy clayey SILT, some fine gravel, bluish-gray.	
15 Drilling Drilling Sample Hamm Total B Total V State V	g Equ er Ty er Ty Boring Vell D	ipmen pe: pe/We g Dept )epth:	t: Co  ight: h: 12 	2	We       Scr       Ibs     Filt       feet bgs     Sur       feet bgs     Ann	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack U face Seal face Seal nular Seal nular Seal	d Interval: Bize: sed: : :	Boring terminated at 12 feet bgs. Backfilled with bentonite chips and finished flush to surface with asphalt plug. inches inches inches inches inches Page: 1 of 1	

Sol	und Sti	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/S ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 WHF 10/6/ ons: Asph S: <sup>13' Wes</sup> W: <sup>1' North</sup> PJK/	-002 2004 allt of B04	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING B05 LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
		100	3 2.5 0 27 8 8	B-5-4 B-5-5 B-5-6 B-5-7	FILL		bgs, very faint hydrocarl bgs. @6': Very faint hydrocar @6.5': Damp. @7': No hydrocarbon od	um SAND, bluish-gray, odor. Now ground surface rbon odor from 3 to 6 feet bon odor from 6 to 7 feet bon odor. Nor. Ink gray, no hydrocarbon oluish-gray, no sluish-gray, no	
15 Drilling C Drilling E Sampler Hammer Total Bon Total We State We	Equipmer Type: Type/We ring Dept II Depth:	nt: Co  ight: :h: 16 	i	Weil Scr Ibs Filte feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene een Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	inches feet bg inches  	S NE = not encountered	of 2

So	DU	nd <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eart</b>	ies W Ra	oject: oject Number ogged by: ate Started: urface Conditionel ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: ate Completed	: 0440 WHF 10/6/ Ons: Asph (S: 13' Wes W: 1' North PJK/	-002 { /2004 halt st of B04	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washin 1 At ing NE 1	gton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic I	Description		Well Construction Detail
15						FILL					
_								Boring terminated at 16 f flush to surface with asp		hed	
_											
20 —											
_											
- 25 —											
Drillin Samp	30 Drilling Co./Driller: ESN/Don Drilling Equipment: Combo Rig Sampler Type: Hammer Type/Weight: Ibs		We Sc	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U	d Interval: Size:	inches feet bgs inches 	Notes/Comme				
Total Total	Total Boring Depth:       16         Total Well Depth:          State Well ID No.:			feet bgs Su feet bgs An	Surface Seal: Annular Seal: Monument Type:			Page:	2	of 2	

So	)UI	nd Str	ateg	Pro Log Da Bu We We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condition II Location N/ II Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 WHF 10/6/ ons: Asph /S: <sup>58' Wes</sup> /W: 24' Nort PJK/	-002 { /2004	Co. Facility No. 01-169 BORING LOG B06  Site Address: 851 North Broadway Everett, Washington Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE feet bgs Water Depth After Completion feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic Description Well Construction Detail
			100 100 100	10 2.5 0 1 0 0 0 800 85 300	B-6-11.5 B-6-14	FILL		Asphalt.         Damp to moist, silty gravelly SAND, gray.         Wet, slag, black, very strong hydrocarbon odor.         Strong sheer from 11.3 to 11.5 feet below ground surface (bgs).         Damp, silty SAND, some organics, brown, very faint hydrocarbon odor.         Damp, clayey SILT, gray and orange mottling, faint to moderate hydrocarbon odor.
15 Drilling Drilling Sample Hamm Total E Total V State V	g Equ er Ty er Ty Boring Well D	iipmen pe: pe/We g Dept Depth:	t: Co  ight: h: 16 	i ·	We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us rface Seals nular Seal onument Ty	d Interva Size: sed: :	inches       I:         inches        inches        inches            Page:       1 of 2

So	)U		<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	0440- WHR 10/6/ ons: Asph S: <sup>58'Wes</sup> W: <sup>24'</sup> Norti PJK/	002 2004 alt of buildi of SW c RKB	ing	Co. Facility No. 01-169 BORING B06 LOG Site Address: 851 North Broadh Everett, Washing Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Granhin	Giapilic	Lithologic Description	Well Construction Detail
15				8						
_									Boring terminated at 16 feet bgs. Backfilled with bentonite chips, and finished flush to surface with asphalt plug.	
- 20										
-										
_										
- 25 —										
-										
-										
Drillin Samp Hamn	30       Brilling Co./Driller:       ESN/Don         Drilling Equipment:       Combo Rig         Sampler Type:          Hammer Type/Weight:          Ibs       Total Boring Depth:       16		We Sci Ibs Filt	II/Auger Di II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us rface Seal:	d Inte Size: Sed:		inches feet bgs inches Notes/Comments: NE = not encountered			
Total			feet bgs An							

Sou		<b>Eart</b>	Pro Loy Da Bu We We Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition II Location N/S II Location E/V viewed by: te Completed:	0440 WHR 10/7/ ns: Asph S: 26' Norti V: 33' Wes PJK/	-002 2004 alt h of NW corner of t of NW corner of	-	BORING B07 LOG Site Address: 851 North Broav Everett, Washir Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
0		100	6 0		FILL		Asphalt with gravel subb Damp, silty fine to mediu coarse gravel, gray, no h Moist to wet, silty, gravel SAND, some lenses of or gray, very faint hydrocarl	m SAND, some fine to ydrocarbon odor. ly, fine to medium ganic plant material,	
10-					ML		Wet, clayey SILT, brown, odor.	very faint hydrocarbon	
		100	0		CL		Damp, silty CLAY, grayis no hydrocarbon odor.	h-green, some mottling,	
15 Drilling C	co./Drille	r: F <sup>s</sup>	SN/Don	Wel	SM	iameter:	Damp to moist, silty, fine hydrocarbon odor.	SAND, gray, no	
Drilling E Sampler Hammer Total Bor Total Wel State Wel	quipmer Type: Type/We ing Dept I Depth:	nt: Co  sight: th: 20 	ombo Rig	Wel Scre Ibs Filte feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ann	-	d Interval: Size: sed: :		NE = not encountered	of 2

So	U	nd Sti	Eart	i e S Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location R/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 WHF 10/7/ Ons: Asph (S: 26' Nort W: 33' Wes PJK/	-002 2004 halt h of NW corner of	f building LOG Site Address: 851 North Everett, V Water Depth At	n Broadway Vashington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic Description	Well Construction Detail
- 20 - 20			100	110 27 20	B-7-16	SP		Moist, fine to medium SAND, some fine to coa gravel, trace silt, buff.	Irse
-								Boring terminated at 20 feet bgs. Backfilled w bentonite chips, and capped flush to surface of asphalt plug.	ith with
-									
Drilling Sampl Hamm Total I Total V	30       ESN/Don         rilling Equipment:       Combo Rig         ampler Type:          ammer Type/Weight:          lbs       1bs         otal Boring Depth:       20         feet bgs       1et bgs         otal Well Depth:          feet bgs       1et bgs			We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	HI/Auger D Ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U rface Seal nular Seal onument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: : :	inches feet bgs inches inches Page:		

So	)UI		ateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/S ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 WHR 10/7/ ns: Asph S: <sup>14' Sout</sup> N: <sup>57' Wes</sup> PJK/	-002 2004 alt th of NW corner of t of NW corner of	Water Depth At		
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic Description Well Construction Detail		
			85	0		FILL		Asphalt with gravel subbase. Damp, fine SAND, trace fine gravel and silt, tan with some mottling, no hydrocarbon odor.		
-	$\mathbb{A}$		100			 ML		Wet from 10 to 10.2 feet below ground surface (bgs). Damp, silty SAND, gray, no hydrocarbon odor. Damp, clayey SILT, gray with some mottling.		
-								Boring terminated at 12 feet bgs. Backfilled with bentonite chips, and finished flush to surface with asphalt plug.		
Drilling Drilling Sample Hamm Total E Total V	15       Image: Sampler Type:       Filling Co./Driller:       ESN/Don         Drilling Equipment:       Combo Rig       Sampler Type:       Filling         Sampler Type:        Ibs       Ibs         Total Boring Depth:       12       feet bgs       Iet bgs         Total Well Depth:        feet bgs       Iet bgs         State Well ID No.:        Iet bgs       Iet bgs					I/Auger D II Screene een Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval Size: sed: :	inches feet bgs inches inches inches Page: 1 of 1		
So	U	nd Str	ateg	Pro Lo Da E Su We Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition II Location R/ vil Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 WHF 10/7/ ons: Asph S: <sup>41' Nort</sup> W: <sup>31' Wes</sup> PJK/	-002 { /2004		BORING B09 LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	0	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
0			100			FILL		Asphalt with gravel subb Dry, gravelly SAND, no h		
				18	B-9-12	CL		Damp, silty CLAY, gray to some mottling, very faint	o grayish-green with hydrocarbon odor.	
								Boring terminated at 12 f bentonite chips, and finis with asphalt plug.	eet bgs. Backfilled with hed flush to surface	
15 Drilling Drilling Sample Hamme Total B Total W State W	g Equ er Ty er Ty Borin Vell I	uipmen pe: pe/We g Dept Depth:	t: Co  ight: h: 12 		We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	inches feet bgs inches  		of 1

Sou	nd St	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Log Da Bu We We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 WHF 10/7/ ons: Asph /S: 6º Wes /W: 7' North PJK/	-002 } /2004	-		BORING LOG ite Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washin I <b>At</b> Ing NE	dway Igton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Litł	nologic De	escription		Well Construction Detail
		100			FILL		Asphalt with gra Damp to moist, hydrocarbon od	silty fine S <i>i</i> lor.	AND, grayish-gr		
		100			CL-ML		Wet from 12 to 1 (bgs), silty CLA hydrocarbon od	Y, gray with	low ground surf some mottling	ace , no	
Drilling C Drilling E Sampler Hammer Total Bor Total Wel State Wel	quipmer Гуре: Гуре/We ing Dept I Depth:	nt: Co  sight: th: 30 		Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs Ar	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U urface Seal unular Seal onument Ty	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	2 15 to 30   Concrete Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	inches feet bgs inches	Notes/Comme NE = not encoun Page:	tered	of 2

Soun	<b>dEart</b> Strateg	Logge Date S Surfac Well L Well L Review	ct Number:     0440       od by:     WHR       Started:     10/7/       cc Conditions:     Asph       cocation N/S:     60' Wes       cocation E/W:     7' North       wed by:     PJK/	-002 } /2004	-	BORING   B1 LOG   MV Site Address: 851 North E Everett, Wa Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	V02 Broadway shington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count % Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample USCS ID Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
15 	0	0	GP		Some fine SAND. (Augered from 16 to 30 f gravel at 20 feet bgs, har bgs) Boring terminated at 30 f as two-inch-diameter mo	eet bgs and completed	
Drilling Co./C Drilling Equip Sampler Type Hammer Type Total Boring Total Well De State Well ID	oment: C e: e/Weight: Depth: 3 pth:	- Ibs 0 feet	Well/Auger D Well Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack Us Surface Seals t bgs Annular Seal Monument Ty	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	2 inches 15 to 30 feet bg: inches  Concrete Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	Notes/Comments: NE = not encountered	2 of 2

Sour	ndEari Strateg	gies Pro Lo Da Su We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 WHF 10/7/ ons: Cond /S: 12' Wet /W: 8.8' Sou PJK/	)-002 R /2004	Co. Facility No. 01-169 BORING B1 LOG Site Address: 851 North E Everett, Wa Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	Broadway ashington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count % Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Lithologic Description	Well Construction Detail
	30			FILL		Concrete. Damp, silty fine SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, tan, no hydrocarbon odor. Damp, slightly plastic, fine sandy SILT, some clay, no hydrocarbon odor. @6: Wet. @6.8: Damp. Dry, slag, black, no hydrocarbon odor.	
	100	25	B-11-12	SP-SM		@11.5: Wet. Wet, clayey silty SAND, gray, moderate hydrocarbon odor. @12.5: Damp, no hydrocarbon odor.	
15	0	0				No recovery.	
Drilling Co./ Drilling Equ Sampler Typ Hammer Typ Total Boring Total Well D State Well II	ipment: be: be/Weight: J Depth: epth:	16	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U urface Seal unular Seal onument T	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	inches Notes/Comments: feet bgs inches 	1 of 2

So	)U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	Pr Lc Da Lc Su VM W Re	oject: oject Number: ogged by: ate Started: urface Conditio ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: ate Completed	0440 WHR 10/7/ ons: Conc S: <sup>12'Wes</sup> W: <sup>8.8'Sou</sup> PJK/	-002 2004 trete t of B06 th of B06	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG	ett, Washin I <b>At</b> Ing NE	gton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic De	escription		Well Construction Detail
								Boring terminated at 16 fee bentonite chips, and finish with asphalt plug.	et bgs. Backfilled ed flush to surfa	d with ace	
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ng Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: ( 	- 6 -	We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	II/Auger Di II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: Size: Sed:	inches feet bgs inches  	Notes/Comme NE = not encoun Page:	tered	of 2

Sou	nd Str	ateg	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	oject: oject Numbo gged by: te Started: rface Condi ell Location ell Location viewed by: te Complete	er: 0440 WHF 10/7/ tions: Conc N/S: 23 Sout E/W: 12 Wes PJK/	-002 { /2004 crete th of B06 st of B06	Co. Facility No. 01-169 BORING B12 LOG Site Address: 851 North Broadway Everett, Washington Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE feet bgs Water Depth After Completion feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sampl ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic Description Well Construction Detail
							Concrete.         Damp, silty fine SAND, some fine to coarse gravel, tan, no hydrocarbon odor.         Damp, slightly plastic, fine sandy SILT, some clay, gray, no hydrocarbon odor.         @6: Wet.         @6.8: Damp.         Dry, slag, black, no hydrocarbon odor.
			80	B-12-12			@11.5: Wet, moderate hydrocarbon odor. Damp, clayey silty SAND, gray, no hydrocarbon odor.
15 Drilling Co Drilling Equ Sampler Ty Hammer Ty Total Borin Total Well State Well	uipmen /pe: ype/We Ig Dept Depth:	': ES it: Co  ight:	i	Ibs F feet bgs S feet bgs A	Vell/Auger D Vell Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack U Surface Seal Annular Seal Annular Seal	d Interval: Size: sed: : :	No recovery.        inches        feet bgs        inches        NE = not encountered        Page:       1 of 2

So	DU	nd St	<b>Eart</b>	ies Pr Lo Da Su Wa Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: ite Started: irface Conditio ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: ite Completed:	0440- WHR 10/7/2 ons: Conc S: 23'Sout W: 12'West PJK/2	-002 2004 crete h of 806 t of 806 RKB	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washin I <b>At</b> Ing NE	gton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic I	Description		Well Construction Detail
								Boring terminated at 16 f surface. Backfilled with t finished flush to surface	pentonite chips, ar	nd	
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ng Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: C  	- 6	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sun feet bgs Ann	II/Auger Di II Screene een Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	inches feet bgs inches  	Notes/Comme NE = not encoun Page:	tered	of 2

So	)U	nd St	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	Dject: Dject Numb gged by: te Started: rface Cond II Location viewed by: te Complete	er: 0440 TJL 3/20/ itions: Asph N/S: 14.3' Nc E/W: 19' Wes RJK/	-002 /2006 halt orth of NW corner of NW corner of	-	BORING LOG RV Site Address: 851 North Everett, Wa Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	V01 Broadway ashington 11.5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sampl ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
0 -	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0	B-13-02	Asphalt FILL FILL		Asphalt. Damp, very dense, silty brown, no hydrocarbon Same as above.		
5—	$\times$	50/6	33 33	0.0	B-13-3.5 B-13-5	FILL		Same as above, medium subangular to subround	to coarse SAND, with ed gravel.	
_	$\times$	50/6 50/6	33 33	0.0	B-13-6.5 B-13-8	FILL		Same as above. Same as above.		
- 10 —	$\times$	50/6	33	12	B-13-9.5	FILL		Same as above.		
-		10 11 16 50/6	100 33	275 19.1	B-13-11 B-13-13	FILL		Wet, medium dense SAN odor. Same as above.	ID, weak hydrocarbon	
	$\times$	50/6	33	121	B-13-15					
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H  sight: th: 19 18	)	er y Ibs feet bgs feet bgs	Well/Auger D Well Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack U Surface Seal Annular Seal Monument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: :	4 inches 8 to 18 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Samd Concrete Bentonite Chips Flush Mount		1 of 2

So	DU	nd St	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/S ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 TJL 3/20/ ns: Asph S: <sup>14.3' No N: <sup>19' Wes</sup> RJK/</sup>	-002 /2006	-	BORING B13 LOG RW0 Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washi Water Depth At Time of Drilling 11 Water Depth After Completion	dway
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
15	$\times$	50/6	33			FILL		Wet, very dense, silty S/ gravel, dark gray, weak	AND to sandy SILT, some hydrocarbon odor.	
_	$\bigvee$	13 15 15 20	100	19.0	B-13-19	ML		Wet, medium dense, sar hydrocarbon odor	ndy SILT, gray, weak	
20								Boring terminated at 19 surface (bgs) and compl diameter recovery well F	leted as four-inch-	
Drillir Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	rilling Co./Driller: Cascade rilling Equipment: Hollow Stem Auger ampler Type: ammer Type/Weight: Ib otal Boring Depth: 19 fe			er Wei Scr Ibs Filto feet bgs Sur feet bgs Anr	II/Auger D II Screene een Slot S er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	4 inches 8 to 18 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Samd Concrete Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	IS	2 of 2	

So	)U		<b>Eart</b> rateg	Pro Lo Da Su We Re	Dject: Dject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	Number:     0440-002       I by:     TJL       arted:     3/20/2006       Site Address:     851 North E       e Conditions:     Asphalt       reation N/S:     14.5' North of SW corner of building       cation E/W:     23.5' West of SW corner of building       ed by:     RJK/RKB				
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic I	Description	Well Construction Detail
	X		100	0.0 0.0	B-14-05	FILL		Asphalt -3 inches. Under silty SAND, black, no hyd Logged from soil cutting Damp, sandy SILT, with g olive gray, no hydrocarb Damp, SILT, some organ hydrocarbon odor.	drocarbon odor. s: gravel and organics, on odor. ics, olive gray, no LT, dark gray, no s:	
	$\times$		100	0.0	B-14-7.5 B-14-12	ML		Wet, SAND, tan, no hydro Damp to moist, sandy SI hydrocarbon odor Damp, sandy SILT, dark hydrocarbon odor.	LT, dark gray, no	
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Equ ler Ty ner Ty Borin Well I	./Drille uipmer /pe: /pe/We ig Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: Ai  ight: h: 14 13	L	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs Ar	ell/Auger D ell Screene creen Slot S lter Pack Us urface Seal: nnular Seal: onument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	Boring terminated at 14 f surface (bgs) and complete diameter recovery well R 4 inches 8 to 13 feet bgs 0.010 inches #2 2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	eted as four-inch- W07. Notes/Comments:	1 of 1

So	)U	nd Str	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Ke Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 TJL 3/20/ Ons: Asph 'S: 21' Nort W: 44' Wes PJK/	/2006	f building	BORING LOG RW Site Address: 851 North Br Everett, Was Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	02 roadway shington 7.5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic D	escription	Well Construction Detail
0		13 15 17	33	0.0		Asphalt FILL FILL		Asphalt. Damp, silty gravelly SAND hydrocarbon odor. Damp, dense, gravelly, sil hydrocarbon odor.		
5		7 8 18	33	0.0	B-15-05	FILL		Moist, medium dense, silt hydrocarbon odor.	y SAND, tan, no	
_	$\setminus$	7 8 12	33	0.0	B-15-09	FILL		Wet, medium dense, silty brown, no hydrocarbon oo		
10 —	$\mathbf{X}$	50/6	33	0.0	B-15-10	FILL		Same as above, moist, ver hydrocarbon odor.	ry dense, weak	
	$\times$	50/6		0.0		ML		Damp, hard, sandy SILT, c odor.	live, no hydrocarbon	
_	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0		ML		Same as above.		
- 15	$\times$	50/4	33	0.0		ML		Dry to damp, hard, sandy hydrocarbon odor.	SILT, greenish tan, no	
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	o./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt:  - - ight: h: 1 1	9 8.5	er We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	HI/Auger D Ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U rface Seal nular Seal nument T	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	4 inches 8 to 18 feet bgs 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Concrete Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	Notes/Comments:	1 of 2

Sc	)U	nd	Eart	Pr	oject: oject Number: gged by: ite Started:	: 0440 TJL	-	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG Site Address: 851	B15 RW02	
		St	rateg	les Su	Inface Condition	ons: Asph		f building	Ever	ett, Washin	gton
				Re	ell Location E/ eviewed by:	PJK/		f building	Time of Dri Time of Dri Time of Dri After Comp	h	feet bgs
			>	Da	te Completed	: 3/20/	/2006			ielion	
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description		Well Construction Detail
15	$\times$	50/6	33	210	B-15-16.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty hydrocarbon odor.	<sup>y</sup> SAND, tan, moder	ate	
-	X	50/6	33	181		SM		Same as above.			
-	$\times$	50/6	33	181		SM		Same as above.			
20 —								Boring terminated at 19 surface (bgs) and comp diameter recovery well	pleted as four-inch-	1	
-											
-											
-											
25 —											
-											
-											
20											
30 Drillir	ng Co	./Drille		ascade		ll/Auger D	iameter:	4 inches	s Notes/Comm	ients:	
Drillir Samp		uipmer	nt: H	ollow Stem Aug		ell Screene reen Slot S		8 to 18 feet b 0.010 inches	-		
		ype: 'ype/We				ter Pack U		#2/12 Sand	5		
Total	Borir	ng Dept	i <b>h:</b> 19		U	rface Seal		Concrete			
	bital Boring Depth:19bital Well Depth:18.5state Well ID No.:				0	nular Seal		Bentonite Chips Flush Mount Page: 2 of			of 2

So	)U	nd St	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Numbe gged by: te Started: rface Condit ell Location I ell Location I viewed by: te Complete	r: 0440 TJL 3/20/ tions: Asph V/S: <sup>2' South</sup> E/W: <sup>32' Wes</sup> PJK/	-002 /2006 nalt n of NW corner of	•	BORING LOG RW Site Address: 851 North Br Everett, Was Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	03 oadway shington 9.5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
0	$\setminus$	10 12 10	100	0.0		FILL		Asphalt Damp, medium dense, s tan, no hydrocarbon odd	ilty SAND, some gravel, or.	
_		50/6	33	0.0		FILL		Same as above, very de	nse.	
_	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0		FILL		Same as above.		
5	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0	B-16-05	FILL		Same as above.		
-	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0		FILL	***	Same as above.		
_	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0		FILL		Same as above.		
- 10	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0	B-16-10	FILL		Wet, very dense, silty S/ gravel, tan, no hydrocar		
-	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0		FILL		Same as above.		
	$\times$	50/6	33	0.0		FILL		Same as above.		
-	$\setminus$	50/6 12	50	0.0		FILL		Same as above.		
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	15CascadeDrilling Co./Driller:CascadeDrilling Equipment:Hollow Setm ASampler Type:Hammer Type/Weight:Total Boring Depth:16Total Well Depth:15.5State Well ID No.:				er W S Ibs F feet bgs S feet bgs A	/ell/Auger D /ell Screene creen Slot S ilter Pack U urface Seal nnular Seal lonument T	d Interval: Bize: sed: :	4 inches 8 to 15 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chip Flush Mount	js	1 of 2

Sc	11	nd	Eart	F	Project: Project Num ogged by:		0440- TJL	-002	gs C	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG	<b>B16</b> RW03	
JU	JU	St	rateg	ies s	ate Started		3/20/2 : Asph				Site Address: 851 N Ever	lorth Broac ett, Washin	
		0.0	utog	N N	Vell Location Vell Location Reviewed by	n N/S: n E/W:	2' South	of NW corr t of NW corr		-	Water Deptl Time of Drill	ing <sup>9.5</sup> 1	feet bgs
				<b>[</b>	ate Comple	ted:	3/20/	2006			After Compl	etion	feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv	) Samp ID		JSCS Class	Graphic		Lithologic D	escription		Well Construction Detail
15	$\left  \right\rangle$	12 50/6	50	0.0			ML			Damp, hard, SILT, greenis odor.	sh gray, no hydro	carbon	
-										Boring terminated at 16 fe surface (bgs) and comple diameter recovery well R\	ted as four-inch-		
20													
25													
Drillir		./Drille		ascade Iollow Setm Au	laer		uger Di creene			4 inches 8 to 15 feet bgs	Notes/Comm	ents:	
Samp		luipmer ype:	ונ: ⊓ 		igei		n Slot S		vai:	0.010 inches			
		ype/We			lbs feet bas		Pack Us e Seal:			#2/12 Sand Cement			
		ng Dept Depth:		5.5	feet bgs feet bgs		ar Seal:			Bentonite Chip			
		ID No.:			-	Monur	nent Ty	/pe:		Flush Mount	Page:	2	of 2

So	DU		<b>Eart</b> rateg	ies Pri Lo Da Su We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: trface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E eviewed by: te Completed	: 0440 TJL 3/20/ ons: Asph /S: <sup>17.5' NC</sup> /W: <sup>54.75' V</sup> PJK/	-002 /2006	<b>v</b>	LOG Site Address: 851 N	tt, Washington At ng NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
-				0.0	B-17-2.5	FILL		Asphalt, underlain by d hydrocarbon odor. Logged from soil cuttin Damp, SILT, greenish b odor. Damp, sandy SILT to si gray/brown, no hydroca	igs: irown, no hydrocarb Ity SAND, greenish	
5				800 0.0 0.0	B-17-5	FILL		Damp, silty SAND, som gray/brown, moderate h Logged from soil cuttin Damp, sandy SILT to si greenish-gray/brown, m odor. Dry, GRAVEL, possibly hydrocarbon odor.	nydrocarbon odor. ngs: Ity SAND, some gra noderate hydrocarbo	vel, on
- 10 -				0.0				Damp, SILT, some sand gray/rust-brown, no hyd		sh-
_				0.0				Damp, SILT, some sand hydrocarbon odor.	d, greenish gray, no	
 Drillin	ng Cr	o./Drille	r: (	Cascade	   w	ell/Auger D	iameter:	Boring terminated at 14 surface (bgs) and comp diameter recovery well 4 inches	bleted as four-inch- RW06.	ents:
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	uipmeı	nt: / - eight: - th: 1 1	Air Knife - - 4	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs Ar	ell Screene creen Slot S ter Pack U Irface Seal nular Seal onument Ty	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	inorioe	gs NE = not encount	

So	U	nd Str	ateg	ies s R	roject: roject Num ogged by: ate Started urface Con /ell Locatio /ell Locatio eviewed by ate Comple	ber:         04           TJ           1:         3/2           ditions:         As           n N/S:         35.8           n E/W:         59.5           /:         P	40-002	-	BORING B18 LOG RW0 Site Address: 851 North Bro Everett, Wash Water Depth At Time of Drilling 1 Water Depth After Completion	5 adway ington 1 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Samı ID			Lithologi	c Description	Well Construction Detail
0 - - - - - - - - - - - -		13 13 15 10 9 6 14 12 10 10 11 12		0.0		FILL		Asphalt, with gravel su Damp, very stiff, sandy greenish-gray, no hydi Stiff. Very stiff. Moist.	/ SILT, some gravel,	
-/	$\ge$	22 50/6 50/6		0.0		FILL		Same as above, hard. Dry, very dense, GRAV	/EL, black, no hydrocarbon	
10	$\leq$	50/6		830	B-19-10	OL		odor	ILT, black, no hydrocarbon	
	$\times$	50/6				OL		Same as above, wet. Same as above.		
15 Drilling Drilling Sample Hamme Total B Total V State V	g Equ er Ty er Ty Borin Vell [	iipmen pe: pe/We g Dept Depth:	t: + - ight: - h: 1 1	- 8 7.5	ger Ibs feet bgs feet bgs	OL Well/Auger Well Scree Screen Slo Filter Pack Surface Se Annular Se Monument	ned Interval ot Size: Used: eal: eal:	Same as above, damp. 4 inche 7 to 17 feet k 0.010 inche #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	Pos Notes/Comments: Notes Notes	1 of 2

C		nd	Eart	Pr	oject: oject Number: gged by:		-	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG	<b>B18</b> RW05	
ן סנ	JU		<b>Edil</b> rateg	Da	te Started: rface Conditio		/2006		Site Address: 851 N	North Broac ett, Washin	
		51	aley	We We Re	ell Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by:	S: 35.8' No W: 59.5' W PJK/	orth of SW corner est of SW corner /RKB	•	Water Depti Time of Dril	n At ling <sup>11</sup> n	feet bgs
	_	te		Da	te Completed	: 3/21/	/2006		After Comp	etion	feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description		Well Construction Detail
15 _	$\left \right\rangle$	50/6 50/4		0.0	B-19-15.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty s green, no hydrocarbon c	SAND, some grave dor	el,	
	$\times$	50/6		0.0		SM		Same as above.			
_								Boring terminated at 18 surface (bgs) and compl diameter recovery well R	eted as four-inch-		
20											
-											
-											
_											
25 —											
-											
-											
-											
30											
	-	o./Drille		ascade		II/Auger D		4 inches	Notes/Comm	ents:	
Drillir   Samp		uipmer ype:	nt: H	ollow Stem Aug		II Screene reen Slot S	d Interval: Size:	: 7 to 17 feet bg: 0.010 inches	S Notes		
Hamn	ner T	ype/We	-		lbs Filt	er Pack U	sed:	#2/12 Sand			
		ng Dept			U U	rface Seal:		Cement			
		Depth: ID No.:			U U	nular Seal nument Ty		Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	Page:	2	of 2

So	und <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eart</b>	i e S Kerrieren Suria Su	oject: oject Numbe gged by: te Started: rface Condit ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Complete	r: 0440 TJL 3/21/ ions: Asph I/S: <sup>14.5' So</sup> E/W: <sup>49.5' We</sup> PJK/	-002 2006 alt with of NW corner	-	LOG RV Site Address: 851 North	/ashington 7 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
	10 10 10 10 11 19 16 12 19 16 12 18 17 18 20 30 50/6		0.0		FILL		Asphalt. Damp, medium dense, s no hydrocarbon odor. Dense. Moist to wet, very dense Moist.		,
10	50/6 50/6 50/6		830	B-19-10	OL		Damp, hard, organic SIL faint hydrocarbon odor. Same as above, moist, n odor.		
	50/6				OL		Same as above, no hydr Same as above, very fair		
Drilling Sampler Hammer Total Bo Total We	Co./Drille Equipmen r Type: r Type/We oring Dep ell Depth: ell ID No.	nt:  - 	8 7.5	er W Si Ibs Fi feet bgs Si feet bgs A	fell/Auger D fell Screene creen Slot S lter Pack Us urface Seal: nnular Seal: onument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	4 inches 7 to 17 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Concrete Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	s Notes	: 1 of 2

C		nd	Cort		Project: Project Num Logged by:	ber:	TOC 0440 TJL	-	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG	<b>B19</b> RW04	
30	JU		Eart		Date Started Surface Con		3/21/ : Asph			Site Address: 851 1	North Broad ett, Washing	
		31	rateg	162	Well Locatio Well Locatio Reviewed by	n N/S: n E/W:	14.5' So	outh of NW corner	-	Water Depti Time of Dril Water Depti	hAt ling 7 h	feet bgs
					Date Comple	eted:	3/21/	2006		After Comp	letion	feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppn	או) Samj ID		JSCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description		Well Construction Detail
15	$\times$	50/6					ML		Damp to moist, hard, sa faint hydrocarbon odor.	ndy SILT, green, v	ery	
-	$\times$	50/6 50/6		0.0			SM		Damp, very dense, silty hydrocarbon odor.	SAND, green, no		
-	$\bigtriangleup$						SM		Same as above.			
-									Boring terminated at 18 surface (bgs) and comp diameter recovery well I	leted as four-inch-	I	
20												
-												
_												
-												
25 —												
-												
-												
-												
_												
30 Drillir		./Drille	 r: 0	ascade		Well/A	uger Di	iameter:	4 inches	Notes/Comm	ents:	
Drillin	ig Eq	uipmer	nt: H	lollow Stem	Auger	Well S	creene	d Interval:	7 to 17 feet bg	Notes		
Samp Hamr		ype: ype/We	 iaht:		lbs		n Slot S Pack Us		0.010 inches #2/12 Sand			
		ng Dept	-		feet bgs		e Seal:		Concrete			
		Depth:		7.5	feet bgs		ar Seal:		Bentonite Chips			
State	well	ID No.:				Monur	ment Ty	/pe:	Flush Mount	Page:	2	of 2

		St	Eart rateg	İ€S Su We We Re	oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/s ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	TJL 3/21/. ns: Asph S: 22.9' No N: 46' Wesi PJK/	2006 alt with of SW corner t of SW corner of	-	LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washington I At ing NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Constructio Detail
0		7 6 12	100	0.0		FILL		Asphalt. Logged from soil cutting Damp, silty gravelly SAN hydrocarbon odor. Logged from soil cutting Same as above, bluish g Damp, medium dense, s bluish-gray, very faint hy Damp to moist, silty gra no hydrocarbon odor.	VD, black, no gs: gray. ilty gravelly SAND ydrocarbon odor.	
Drillin Sampl Hamm Total I	ig Eq ler Ty ner Ty Borir	D./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth:	nt: H  light: th: 12	2	er We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sur	II/Auger Di II Screene een Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal:	d Interval: Size: sed:	Boring terminated at 12 surface (bgs) and compl diameter observation we 2 inches 6 to 11 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Concrete Bentonite Chips	Ieted as two-inch- ell OW02.	ents:

So	)U	nd <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eari</b> rateg	Pr Lo Da Jies Su Wu Wu Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: ite Started: irface Conditio ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: ite Completed:	0440 TJL 3/21/ ons: Asph S: <sup>37' Nort</sup> W: <sup>36.5' W</sup> PJK/	-002 2006	0	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drilli Water Depth After Comple	tt, Washington At ng NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
	$\mathbf{X}$	12		0.0 0.0		FILL		Asphalt Damp, silty, gravelly SA odor. (Soil cuttings) Damp, medium dense, s tannish brown, no hydro	ilty, gravelly, SANE	
- - - 10 —	$\bigotimes$	14		0.0		OL		Damp, sandy SILT, bluis odor. (Soil cuttings) Same as above, moist. ( Same as above, damp. ( Damp, hard, organic SIL	Soil cuttings) Soil cuttings)	
-	X	50						Boring terminated at 12 surface (bgs) and comp diameter observation we	tings) feet below ground leted as two-inch-	
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: Bight: th:		er We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sun feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: : :	2 inches 6 to 11 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	NE = not encount	

So	<b>U</b>	nd Sti	Eart	i e S Re	oject: oject Numb gged by: te Started: rface Cond ell Location ell Location viewed by: te Complet	er: 0440 CCC 11/1! itions: Conc N/S: 44.6'S E/W: 79.1'W JAC	-002 5/2010		LOG M Site Address: 851 North	Vashington 5.5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sampl ID	le USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
		19 20 21	90	0.0		FILL		Concrete. Soil Cuttings: Silty grave Moist, black clinker SLA gravel, no hydrocarbon o	G, with silty sand and	
10		7 7 8	100	0.0		ML		Moist, stiff, SILT, some c gravel, possible organic oxidation, no hydrocarbo	s, gray with brown	
		8 10 10	100	0.0	B22-12.5	ML		Same as above, very stif hydrocarbon odor.	f, no clay, no	
Drilling Drilling Sample Hamm Total E Total V State V	g Equ er Ty er Ty Borin Vell I	uipmer /pe: /pe/We /g Dept Depth:	nt: ⊢ □ ight: 3 h: 2 2	5.5 5	er s Ibs l feet bgs s feet bgs s	Well/Auger D Well Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack U Surface Seal Annular Seal Monument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bg: 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	Notes/Comments	1 of 2

So	)U		<b>Eart</b>	i e S Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic II Location N/ II Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	0440 CCC 11/1! ons: Conc S: 44.6'S W: 79.1'W JAC	-002 5/2010	•	BORING LOG B22 LOG MWC Site Address: 851 North Bro Everett, Wash Water Depth At Time of Drilling 5 Water Depth After Completion -	)3 adway iington .5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
15		8 10 10	100	0.0	B22-15	ML		Same as above, increasir content, no hydrocarbon	ng sand and gravel odor.	
-	$\times$	50/6	100	329	B22-17.5	SM	33333	Damp, very dense, silty, moderate hydrocarbon o	fine SAND, some gravel, dor.	
20	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B22-20	SM	<u> 9883</u>	Same as above, gray, hyo	drocarbon odor.	
-	X	50/6	100	0.0	B22-22.5	SM	<u>9593</u>	Same as above, rounded faint hydrocarbon odor.	to subrounded gravel,	
25 —	$\times$	50/6	80	0.0	B22-25	SM		Same as above, no hydro	ocarbon odor.	
-								Boring terminated at 25.5 as well MW03 as shown i detail.		
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	D./Drille Juipmer Type: Type/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: ⊢ ⊑ sight: 3 th: 2 2	5.5 5	er We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Sui feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack U rface Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount		2 of 2

So	U	nd Str	ateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condit ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completer	r: 0440 CCC 11/15 ions: Conc I/S: 11'N of F/W: 79.1'W JAC	-002 5/2010	-	LOG Site Address: 851 No	Washington ut g 5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
		567	100	0.0		FILL		Concrete (10 inches). Black SLAG, some silty hydrocarbon odor.	fine sand, no	
10		7 8 10	100	0.0		ML		Moist, stiff, SILT, some of hydrocarbon odor (100-0	clay, brown with gray D-0).	y, no
-		10 15 17	100	0.0	B23-12.5	SM		Damp, hard, fine sandy s trace gravel at base, no 0).	SILT to silty fine SAI hydrocarbon odor (6	ND, 50-40-
Drillin Drilling Sampl Hamm Total B Total N	g Eq ler Ty ner Ty Borir Well	./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	t: H D ight: 30 h: 25	5.5	W So Ibs Fi feet bgs So feet bgs Au	fell/Auger D fell Screene creen Slot S lter Pack Us urface Seal: nnular Seal: onument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	s	1 of 2

So	)U	nd St	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio II Location N/ II Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 CCC 11/1! ons: Conc S: 11'Nof W: <sup>79.1'</sup> W JAC	-002 5/2010	-	BORING B23 LOG MW0 Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling 5 Water Depth After Completion	dway
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B23-15 B23-17.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty gray, no hydrocarbon od	fine SAND, some gravel, dor (20-70-10).	
20	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B23-20 B23-22.5	SM SM		Same as above. Same as above.		
25	X	50/6	100	0.0	B23-25	SM		Same as above. Boring terminated at 25. as well MW04 as shown detail.	5 feet bgs and completed in well construction	
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H D sight: 3 th: 25	5.5 5	We       Scr       Ibs     Filt       feet bgs     Sur       feet bgs     Ann	II/Auger D II Screene een Slot S er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	s	e of 2

So	U	nd <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eart</b>	i e S Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition I Location N/ I Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	0440 CCC 11/15 Ons: Soil/0 S: 14.7'N W: 3.6'W o JAC	-002	-	LOG Site Address: 851 No	, Washington At g 5.5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
		6 7 7	100	0.0		SP-SM		Soil and gravel. Soil Cuttings: Moist, silty no hydrocarbon odor. Wet to damp, medium de SAND, wood fragments hydrocarbon odor (20-55	ense, silty gravelly f n lower 4 inches, no	ine
		3 6 10	100	0.0		SM-ML		Damp, medium dense, s SILT, some gravel, brow hydrocarbon odor (40-45	n with gray, no	Indy
	$\times$	50/6	100	4.3	B24-13	SM		Damp, very dense, silty faint hydrocarbon odor (		avel,
Drillin Drillin Sampl Hamm Total I Total V	g Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H D eight: 3 th: 2 2	5.5	We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S rer Pack U rface Seal: nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bg 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	S Notes/Commen	1 of 2

So	)U		<b>Eart</b>	i e S Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	0440 CCC 11/1! ons: Soil/0 S: 14.7' N W: 3.6' W o JAC	-002	-	BORING LOG       B24 MW05         Site Address:       851 North Broadway Everett, Washington         Water Depth At Time of Drilling       5.5         Water Depth After Completion		
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail	
	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B24-15 B24-17.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi gray, faint hydrocarbon o Damp, very dense, silty fi gray, very faint hydrocarb	odor (20-70-10). ine SAND, some gravel,		
20 —	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B24-20	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi gray, no hydrocarbon od			
-	X	50/6	100	0.0	B24-22.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi no hydrocarbon odor (15	ine SAND, some gravel, -75-10).		
25 —	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B24-25	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi very faint hydrocarbon o	ine SAND, some gravel, dor (20-70-10).		
								Boring terminated at 25.5 as well MW05 as shown i detail.			
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	o./Drille juipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H D sight: 3 th: 25 24	5.5 5	We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U rface Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount		2 of 2	

Sou	n <b>d</b> Sti	<b>Eart</b> rateg	Pro Log Da Su We We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 CCC 11/16 Ons: Asph 'S: 14.1'N/ W: 30.1'W JAC	-002 5/2010	-	LOG M Site Address: 851 North	Vashington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
	3 4 4	100	0.0		SM		Asphalt (3 inches). Soil Cuttings: Damp to in gravel, no hydrocarbon Moist, silty, fine SAND, Soil cuttings: silty SANI gravel, gray, no hydroca	odor. some gravel. D to sandy SILT, some	
10	7 5 6 9	100	0.0	B25-10	ML		Damp to moist, SILT, wi no hydrocarbon odor (1	th clay, gray with brow 00-0-0).	/n,
	50/6	100	0.0	B25-12.5	SM		Damp, silty SAND, some hydrocarbon odor (20-6	e gravel, gray, very faiı 5-15).	nt
15 Drilling C Drilling E Sampler Hammer Total Bor Total Wel State Wel	quipmer Гуре: Гуре/We ing Dept I Depth:	nt: H D ight: 30 h: 25	5.5	We Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U rface Seal: nular Seal nular Seal	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet by 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	NE = not encountered	

So	)U	nd <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eart</b>	i e S Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	0440 CCC 11/10 <b>Ons:</b> Asph <b>S:</b> 14.1'N <b>W:</b> 30.1'W JAC	6/2010	BORING LOG MWG Site Address: 851 North Bro Everett, Wash Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	D6 vadway nington JE feet bgs	
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
15 -	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B25-15 B25-17.5	SP		Damp, gravelly fine SANI faint hydrocarbon odor (* Damp, silty, fine SAND, s faint paint thinner odor (2	15-60-25). come gravel, gray, very	
20 —	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B25-20	SM		Damp, silty, fine SAND, s faint paint thinner odor (2	ome gravel, gray, very	
-	$\times$	50/5	30	0.0		NR		Minor recovery.		
25 —	$\times$	50/4	100	0.0	B25-25	SP		Damp, gravelly fine SANI hydrocarbon odor (15-55	D, some silt, gray, no -30).	
								Boring terminated at 25.5 as well MW06 as shown i detail.		
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H D eight: 3 th: 2 2	5.5 5	We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S rer Pack U rface Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount		2 of 2

Sou	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b> rateg	Pro Log Da Su We We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 CCC 11/16 ons: Asph /S: 14.1'N0 /W: 48'Woot JAC	-002 5/2010	-	BORING LOG M Site Address: 851 North Everett, W Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	N07 Broadway ashington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologia	c Description	Well Construction Detail
	7 6 7 7 7	100	0.0		SP-SM		dark gray, no hydrocai	y silty SAND, brown, no	
10	7 9 9	100	0.0		ML		Moist, SILT, gray with odor (100-0-0).	brown, no hydrocarbon	
-	7 17 50/6	100	0.0	B26-12.5	SM		Damp to moist, silty S/ brown, gray at 13.25 fe odor (20-70-10).	AND, some gravel, tan- et bgs, no hydrocarbon	
Drilling C Drilling Ed Sampler T Hammer T Total Bori Total Well State Well	quipmer Type: Type/We ng Dept Depth:	nt: H D ight: 30 h: 25	5.5	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs Ar	ell/Auger D ell Screene creen Slot S iter Pack Us inface Seal: innular Seal: innular Seal:	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2 inche 5 to 25 feet b 0.010 inche #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	NE = not encountered	

So	)U		<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 CCC 11/16 Ons: Asph (S: 14.1'N) W: 48' Woo JAC	-002 6/2010	Water Depth At		
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic I	Description	Well Construction Detail
- 15	X	50/6	100	0.0	B26-15	SM		Damp, silty fine SAND, sa hydrocarbon odor (25-65	-10).	
20 —	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B26-17.5 B26-20	SP-SM SP-SM		Damp, silty gravelly fine hydrocarbon odor (20-55 Damp, silty gravelly fine hydrocarbon odor (20-60	-25). SAND, gray, no	
-	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B26-22.5	SM		Damp, silty SAND, some hydrocarbon odor (20-70	gravel, gray, no	
- 25	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B26-25	SM		Same as above.		
-								Boring terminated at 25.5 as well MW07 as shown i detail.		
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: F E sight: 3 th: 2 2	25.5 25	We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us rface Seal nular Seal onument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches #2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Chips Flush Mount	Notes/Commen NE = not encounter Page:	

c	011	ndl	Eart	Dr	oject: oject Numbe ogged by:		-002	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG	<b>B27</b> MW0	8
J	uu	St	rateg	Da ies Su	ate Started: Irface Condit	11/16 tions: Asph			Site Address: 851 B Evere	roadway tt, Washi	
		0.0	i u t t g	W W Re	ell Location I ell Location I eviewed by: ate Complete	N/S: 46'S of E/W: 14'W o JAC	NW corner of built	-	Water Depth Time of Drilli Water Depth	At 6	-
Depth (feet bas)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description		Well Construction Detail
0	-					Asphalt		Asphalt (2.5 inches). Hand cleared to 3 feet be (bgs). Damp, silty SAND, with g brown grading to gray, no (Fill).	ravel and cobbles	,	
5-	-	3 4 5	100	0.0		SP		Wet, loose, gravelly fine t silt, dark gray, no hydroc (Fill).			
		5 5 7	100	0.0	B27-7.5	SM		Damp to moist, medium o gravel, silt-rich inclusion brown with gray, no hydr	s, and wood fragm	ients,	
10 -	-	4 5 9	100	0.0	B27-10	ML		Damp, stiff, SILT, trace fi brown oxidation, no hydr	ne sand, gray with ocarbon odor (95	- <b>5-0)</b> .	
15		9 11 17	100	0.0	B27-12.5	ML		Same as above, very stiff brown with gray.	SILT, no sand, da	ırk	
	-	o./Drille		ascade/David		Vell/Auger D		2 inches	Notes/Comme	ents:	
	ing Eq pler T	luipmer ype:	-	SA &M Split Spoor		Vell Screene Screen Slot S		5 feet bgs 25 inches			
Ham	mer T	ype/We	ight: 30	).5	lbs F	ilter Pack U		2/12 Sand Cement			
		ng Dept Depth:			U U	urface Seal		Cement Bentonite			
Stat	e Well	ID No.:			N	Ionument Ty	ype:	Flush Mount	Page:	1	l of 3

So	)U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da i e S Su We Re	Dject: Dject Numbe gged by: te Started: rface Condit ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Complete	r: 0440 CCC 11/16 tions: Asph V/S: 46'S of E/W: 14'W of JAC	-002 6/10 alt NW corner of bui	lding	LOG M Site Address: 851 Broad Everett, W Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth	27 W08 Jway Vashington 6 feet bgs 1 22.33 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic D	escription	Well Construction Detail
15		10 14 14	100	778	B27-15	ML		Moist, very stiff, fine sand strong partings, gray with hydrocarbon odor.		
-	$\setminus$	13 50/6	100	168	B27-17.5	SM		Damp to moist, very dense silt rich inclusions, moder (40-60-10).	e, silty fine SAND, w ate hydrocarbon od	ith or
20	$\times$	50/6	100	67	B27-20	SM		Damp, very dense, silty, fi gravel, moderate hydroca		ome
	$\times$	50/6	100	68	B27-22.5	SM		Same as above, faint hydr	ocarbon odor.	
25	$\times$	50/6	100	22.7	B27-25	SM		Same as above, faint hydr	ocarbon odor.	
-	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B27-27.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fir faint hydrocarbon odor (20		el,
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	o./Driller uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H D ight: 30 h: 30 25	0.5	Ibs Fifeet bgs A	/ell/Auger Di /ell Screene creen Slot S ilter Pack Us urface Seal: nnular Seal: lonument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	2inches5feet bgs25inches2/12 SandCementBentoniteFlush Mount	Notes/Comments	2 of 3

th gs)	Interval	Ę			Il Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	W: <sup>14' W of</sup> JAC	alt NW corner of buil NW corner of buil	Water Deptil At		
Depth (feet bgs)	-	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
30	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B27-30	SM		Same as above, very fain	t hydrocarbon odor.	
-								Boring terminated at 30.5 MW08 as shown in well c	5' bgs and completed as construction detail.	
35 —										
_										
_										
_										
40 —										
_										
_										
45										
Drillir Drillir Samp Hamn Total Total	45				We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sun feet bgs Ann	II/Auger Di II Screene een Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	2 inches 5 feet bgs 25 inches 2/12 Sand Cement Bentonite Flush Mount		of 3

So	U	nd Str	ateg	Pro Log Da Su We Re	Dject: Dject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440- ATL 12/06 Ons: Grass (S: 14.4' So W: 57.4' Ec JAC	-002 S/10 S of NW corner of b	•	BORING LOG MW0 Site Address: 851 Broadway Everett, Washi Water Depth At Time of Drilling 15 Water Depth After Completion	ngton 3.5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
-								Grass. Soil cuttings 0 to 5 feet (bgs): Damp, silty fine to subrounded gravel, brow		
-		3 4 4	100	0.0		ML		Damp, medium stiff, sar subrounded to angular ( hydrocarbon odor (45-4	gravel, variegated, no	
10		3 7 11	100	0.0	B28-10	ML		Damp, stiff, sandy SILT, organic fragments, gray no hydrocarbon odor (5	with tan brown mottling,	
		5 10 15	100	0.0	B28-12.5	ML SM			ense, silty fine to medium /, possible hydrocarbon	
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamm Total	g Eq ler Ty ner Ty Borir Well	./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	it: Di Si ight: 30	.5	be We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	HI/Auger Di II Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us rface Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: bize: sed:	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bg 0.010 inches 2/12 Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush Mount	s	of 2

C		ndl	Eart	Pr	oject: oject Numbe gged by:		-	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING   <b>B2</b> LOG   <sub>MW</sub>	09
31	Ju	St	<b>cdi l</b> rateg	IES Su We We	te Started: rface Condi ell Location ell Location viewed by:	N/S: 14.4' S		•	Site Address: 851 Broadwa Everett, Was Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth	
				Da	te Complete	ed: 12/0	6/10		After Completion	feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
- 15		50/6	100	0.0	B28-15	SM		Wet, very dense, silty find subrounded gravel, white hydrocarbon odor (20-70-	e and dark gray, faint -10).	
- 20		50/6	100	0.0	B28-17.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi subrounded gravel, brow (25-70-5).	n, no hydrocarbon odo	r
-		50/4	100	0.0	B28-20 B28-22.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi subrounded to wellround hydrocarbon odor (25-70	led gravel, brown, no -5).	
- 25		50/4	100	0.0	B28-25			Damp, very dense, silty fi subrounded to wellround hydrocarbon odor (30-65	led gravel, brown, no	
-					620-23	SM		Same as above. Boring terminated at 25.5 as monitoring well MW09 construction detail.		
30 Drilli	Drilling Co./Driller: Cascade						iameter:	2 inches	Notes/Comments:	
Drillin	Drilling Equipment: Driller Equipt. Type						d Interval:	: 5 to 25 feet bgs		
Sampler Type: Split Spoon Hammer Type/Weight: 300 lbs						Screen Slot S ilter Pack U		0.010 inches 2/12 Sand		
Hammer Type/weight:300IDSTotal Boring Depth:25.5feet bgs						Surface Seal		Concrete		
Total Well Depth:         25         feet bgs           State Well ID No.:						Annular Seal Ionument T		Bentonite Flush Mount	Deset	
3.0.0									Page:	2 of 2
So	)U	nd Sti	ateg	ies Pr Lo Da Su Wa Re	oject: oject Numb ogged by: ate Started: urface Cond ell Location ell Location eviewed by: ate Complet	er: 0440 ATL 12/06 litions: Asph N/S: 45.2°S ( E/W: 116°W ( JAC	-002 6/10 alt of NW corner of b		BORING LOG Site Address: 851 B Even Water Depti Time of Drill Water Depti After Compl	ett, Washington h At ling NE feet bgs h
------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Samp ID	le USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
0		1 1 2	100	0.0		SM		Asphalt (3 to 4 inches). Structural Fill (1 inch). Concrete (4 inches). Hand cleared to 3 feet be (bgs). Damp, very loose, silty fi trace gravel, organics/we possible brick fragments (mottled) (45-50-5) (Fill).	ne to coarse SAN pod fragments, an s, dark brown to g	D, d
- 10		3 3 3	100	0.0	B29-10	ML		Moderate sewage odor. Damp, medium stiff, SILT brown oxidation, roots, r (Fill).	Γ, gray with mottle to hydrocarbon of	ed dor
		6 10 10	100	0.0	B29-12.5	SM		Damp, medium dense, si mottled brown oxidation (40-60-0).	lty fine SAND, gra , no hydrocarbon	ay with odor
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler Ty ner Ty Borir Well	o./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	ight: 4 ight: 3 h: 2	5	lbs feet bgs feet bgs	Well/Auger D Well Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack Us Surface Seals Annular Seals Monument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2inches5 to 25feet bgs0.010inches2/12 Sand2/12 SandConcreteBentoniteFlush mount1	Notes/Comm	

So	U		Eart	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	0440 ATL 12/06 0ns: Asph S: 45.2'S0 W: 116'W0 JAC	-002 5/10 alt of NW corner of b	uilding	LOG N Site Address: 851 Bro	Washington t NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic D	escription	Well Construction Detail
15 -		6 7 7	100	0.0	B29-15	SM		Damp to moist, medium d coarse SAND, some subro gravel, gray with mottled hydrocarbon odor (35-55-	ounded to wellroun brown oxidation, ne	
-		7 20 24	100	0.0	B29-17.5	SP-SM		Damp to moist, very dens coarse SAND, with interbe cobbles, brown to orange hydrocarbon odor (30-40-	edded fine and coar -brown, no	
20 —	X	50/6	100	0.0	B29-20	SM		Damp, very dense, silty, fi subrounded gravel, light g hydrocarbon odor (25-65-	grayish brown, no	
-	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B29-22.5	SM		Same as above.		
25 —	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B29-25	SM		Same as above.		
								Boring terminated at 25.5 as well MW10 as shown ir detail.		
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamm Total I Total V	g Eq ler T ner T Borin Well	o./Driller uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H S sight: 3 sh: 25	5.5 5	We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Sui feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us rface Seals nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches 2/12 Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Commen NE = not encounter Page:	

So	)U	nd Str	<b>Eart</b>	ies Pri Lo Da Su We Re	oject: oject Numb gged by: tte Started: urface Cond ell Location ell Location eviewed by: tte Complet	er: 0440- ATL 12/06 litions: Asph IN/S: 110'So E/W: 37.3'Ec JAC	002 6/10 alt f NW corner of b		BORING LOG Site Address: 851 B Evere Water Depth Time of Drilli Water Depth After Complet	ett, Washington I <b>At</b> Ing NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Samp ID	le USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Constructior Detail
		4 3 2	100	0.0		SM		Asphalt. Moist, loose, silty fine to brownish gray with locali hydrocarbon odor (40-60-	zed oxidation, no	
10		3 2 2	100	0.0	B30-10	ML		Moist to wet, soft, fine sa and organics (wood chips mottled with local green- no hydrocarbon odor (50	s), dark brownish gray and brown a	gray,
		2 3 5	100	0.0	B30-12.5	ML		Damp, medium stiff, sanc trace organics (70-30-0) (		with
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Equ ler Ty ner Ty Borin Well	./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H S ight: 3 h: 24 24	5	lbs feet bgs feet bgs	Well/Auger Di Well Screener Screen Slot S Filter Pack Us Surface Seal: Annular Seal: Monument Ty	d Interval lize: sed:	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches 2/12 Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Comme NE = not encount Page:	

So	U		<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da Su We Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition I Location N/ I Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 ATL 12/06 Ons: Asph 'S: 110'So W: 37.3'EC JAC	-002 5/10 alt f NW corner of bu	uilding	BORING LOG B3C LOG MW ite Address: 851 Broadwa Everett, Was Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	11 y hington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic De	escription	Well Construction Detail
15 -		7 7 10	100	0.0	B30-15	SM		Damp, medium dense, silty brown oxidation along bed hydrocarbon odor (30-70-0	lding planes, no	
	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B30-17.5	SP		Damp, very dense, gravelly with subrounded to suban and small cobbles, light br brown, no hydrocarbon od	gular gravel, some silt ownish gray to orange	
20 —	$\times$	50/5	100	0.0	B30-20	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fin subrounded gravel, light ta brown, no hydrocarbon od	an-brown to orange-	
	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B30-22.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fin subangular to subrounded brown, no hydrocarbon od	gravel, light tan-	
25 —	$\times$	50/6	100	0.0	B30-25	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fin subangular to subrounded brown, no hydrocarbon od	gravel, light tan-	
								Boring terminated at 25.5 f as well MW11 as shown in detail.		
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	o./Driller uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H: Sp hight: 30 h: 25	5.5	We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger Di ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us rface Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	2 inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches 2/12 Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Comments: NE = not encountered Page:	2 of 2

0	20		nd	Eart	Pr	oject: oject Numb gged by:	er:	TOC 0440- RAH	-	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG	<b>B31</b> RW08	
	JU	u	St.	<b>rateg</b>	Da Da	te Started: rface Cond	itions:	06/14 Asph	l/2011 alt		Site Address: 851 E	Broadway ett, Washin	gton
			0.01	i u t o g	We We Re	ell Location ell Location eviewed by: te Complete	N/S: E/W:	36.6' S o 14' W of DNM	of NW corner of b NW corner of bu		Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	iAt ing NE	-
Depth	(feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sampl ID		SCS ass	Graphic	Lithologic E	Description		Well Construction Detail
			1 1 2	100	0.3	B31-05	s	SM		Asphalt. Moist, loose, silty SAND, brown, no hydrocarbon o	with trace gravel, dor (30-65-5) (Fill)	dark ).	
10	0 		5 6 5	100	0.2	B31-09	N	ΛL		Damp, loose SILT, with sa brick fragments, dark bro odor (40-60-0) (Fill).	and, wood waste a wn, no hydrocarb	and bon	
1	5		6 12 17	100	0.2	B31-12.5		AL SM		Moist, loose SILT, with sa dark brown, no hydrocart Damp, dense silty SAND, light brown to gray (40-55	oon odor (40-60-0) with native tan gi	) (Fill).	
		-	./Drille uipmer		ascade SA			-	ameter: d Interval:	4 inches 5 to 30 feet bgs	Notes/Comme		
Sa	ampl	er Ty	ype:	Sp	olit Spoon	5	Screen	Slot S	ize:	0.010 inches	NE = not encoun	tered	
			ype/We 1g Dept	-			Filter Pa Surface			10/20 Silicon Sand Concrete			
То	otal V	Vell	Depth:	30	)	feet bgs	Annular	Seal:		Bentonite			
St	ate V	Vell	ID No.:	BI	HA010	1	Monume	ent Ty	vpe:	Flush mount	Page:	1	of 3

So	U	nd Str	ateg	i e s Re	Dject: Dject Numb gged by: te Started: rface Conc ell Location ell Location viewed by: te Complet	oper:         0440 RAH           06/12           litions:         Asph           N/S:         36.6' S c           E/W:         14' W of           DNM	-002 4/2011 alt of NW corner of bu	•	LOG R Site Address: 851 Broad	Vashington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Samp ID	le USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
15		3 4 6	100	37 85.9	B31.15	SM		Damp, loose, silty fine SA light brown with gray stre hydrocarbon odor (30-65- Damp, dense, silty fine SJ grayish brown, moderate 70-5).	eaks, moderate -5). AND, with trace grave	
25 —		50/6	33	35.7	B31.25	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi gravel, grayish brown, sli (25-70-5).	ine SAND, with trace ight hydrocarbon odd	or
30		50/5	33	91.8	B31-27.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fi gravel, grayish brown, no 70-5).	ine SAND, with trace o hydrocarbon odor (:	25-
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamm Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	o./Driller uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	it: H S ight: 14 h: 3 30	1.5	lbs feet bgs feet bgs	Well/Auger D Well Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack Us Surface Seal: Annular Seal: Monument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	4 inches 5 to 30 feet bgs 0.010 inches 10/20 Silicon Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Comments NE = not encountered Page:	

So	U	nd <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eart</b>	i e S Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 06/14 06/14 S: 36.6°S W: 14'Wo DNM	-002 4/2011 halt of NW corner of bu	uilding	BORING B31 LOG RW08 Site Address: 851 Broadway Everett, Washir Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	igton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	0	Lithologic D	Description	Well Construction Detail
30	$\left \right $	50/6	33	28.2	B31-30	SM		Damp, very dense, silty S grayish brown, slight hyd	AND, with gravel, rocarbon odor (25-70-5).	
								Boring terminated at 31.5 to 30 feet and completed a	<sup>1</sup> bgs, screened from 5 as recovery well RW08.	
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	D./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H S eight: 14 th: 3° 30	1.5	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sun feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack U rface Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: : :	4 inches 5 to 30 feet bgs 0.010 inches 10/20 Silicon Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Comments:         NE = not encountered         Page:       3	of 3

So	)U	nd Str	ateg	Pro Lo Da E Su We Re	oject: oject Numbe gged by: te Started: rface Condi ell Location viewed by: te Complete	er: 0440- RAH 06/14 tions: Asph N/S: 5.5'S of E/W: 19.3'E o DNM	2002 2/2011 alt NW corner of bu	ilding Water De Uilding Time of D Water De Water De	RW11 1 Broadway erett, Washington pth At rilling NE feet bgs	
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID		Graphic	Lithologic Description	Well Constructi Detail	tion
0 - - 5 - -		3 2 1 2 4 6	100	0.0	B32-05	SM		Asphalt. Damp, loose, silty SAND, with gravel, dat no hydrocarbon odor (30-60-10). Damp, loose, SILT, with fine sand, wood and brick fragments, dark brown, mottled local green-gray and brown areas, no hydrocarbon odor (40-60-0).	waste	
10 —		5 7 13		0.7	B32-10	ML		Moist, loose, SILT, with fine sand, wood and brick fragments, dark brown, moder hydrocarbon odor (40-60-0).	waste ate	
-		12 16 24		9.5	B32-12.5	ML		Damp, dense, SILT with fine sand, trace light brown with gray streaks, no hydroc odor (35-60-5).	gravel, arbon	
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	t: H S ight: 14 h: 25	5.5	Ibs F feet bgs S feet bgs A	Vell/Auger Di Vell Screener Screen Slot S Silter Pack Us Surface Seal: Annular Seal: Monument Ty	d Interval: ize: sed:	4" / 6.25" inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches 10/20 Silica Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount <b>Notes/Com</b> NE = not enco <b>Page:</b>		

So	)U		<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condition I Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 RAH 06/14 06/14 06/14 06/14 06/14 05/1 Soft VI: 19.3' E C DNM	-002 4/2011 alt <sup>6</sup> NW corner of bu	ilding	BORING LOG B32 LOG RW1 Site Address: 851 Broadway Everett, Washi Water Depth At Time of Drilling N Water Depth After Completion	ngton E feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID		Graphic	Lithologic D	escription	Well Construction Detail
15 - - - 20 - -		50/5	33	31.4	B32-15	ML		Damp, very dense, SILT, w light brown with gray stread odor (35-60-5). Damp, very dense, silty S/ grayish brown, slight hydr 10).	aks, slight hydrocarbon AND, with gravel,	
25 —	$\times$	50/6	33	2.4	B32-25	SM		Damp, very dense, silty S/ grayish brown, no hydroc		
								Boring terminated at 25.5 25 feet, and completed as		
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H S sight: 14 th: 25	5.5	We Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us rface Seals nular Seals onument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	4" / 6.25" inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches 10/20 Silica Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Comments:         NE = not encountered         Page:       2	2 of 2

So	)U		<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	Dject: Dject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi Il Location N Il Location E viewed by: te Completec	: 0440 RAH 06/14 ons: Asph //S: 64.1'S c //W: 46.8'W DNM	-002 I/2011 alt of NW corner of b	uilding	BORING LOG B33 LOG RW Site Address: 851 Broadwa Everett, Wasl Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	I O y nington I O feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID		Graphic	Lithologic D	escription	Well Construction Detail
		6 6 7	100	0.2	B33-05	SP		Asphalt. Damp, loose, fine to mediu brown, no hydrocarbon oo	um SAND, with gravel, dor (10-70-20).	
-		532	100	0.4	B33-07.5	SP		Moist, loose, fine to mediu brown, no hydrocarbon oo		
10		3 2 2	100	2.6	B33-10	SP ML		Wet, loose, fine to medium brown, no hydrocarbon oc Damp, loose, SILT, with w hydrocarbon odor (40-60-0	dor (10-70-20). ood waste, black, no	
		4 5 7	100	2.2	B33-12.5	ML		Damp, loose, SILT, with fir brown streaks, no hydroca	ne sand, gray with arbon odor (50-50-0).	
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	o./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: HS Sp hight: 14 h: 25 25	.5	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs Ar	ell/Auger Di ell Screene creen Slot S liter Pack Us urface Seal: nnular Seal: onument Ty	d Interval: bize: sed:	4" / 6.25" inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches 10/20 Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Comments:	1 of 2

So	U		ateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 RAH 06/14 ons: Asph //S: 64.1'S c //W: 46.8' W DNM	-002 4/2011 palt of NW corner of b	5	BORING B33 LOG RW10 Site Address: 851 Broadway Everett, Washi Water Depth At Time of Drilling 10 Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
15 		5 8 9 17 50/6	100	8.8 296	B33-15 B33-17.5	ML		Damp, loose, silty fine SA streaks, no hydrocarbon Damp, very dense, silty S strong hydrocarbon odor	odor (40-60-0). SAND, with gravel, gray,	
20		50/5	0 33	26.6	B33-22.5	SM		No recovery. Damp, very dense, silty S hydrocarbon odor (30-70	SAND, gray, slight -0).	
25	X	50/5	33	10.8	B33-25	SM		Damp, very dense, silty S hydrocarbon odor. Boring terminated at 25.5 25 feet, and completed as	5 feet, screened from 5 to	
Drilling Drilling Sampl Hamm Total E Total V	g Eq er Ty er Ty Borir Nell	o./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	t: H S  ight: 14 h: 25	5.5	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Sc feet bgs Ar	ell/Auger Di ell Screene creen Slot S lter Pack Us irface Seal: nular Seal: nular Seal:	d Interval: Size: sed: :	4" / 6.25" inches 5 to 25 feet bgs 0.010 inches 10/20 Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount		2 of 2

	6		ndl	Cort	Dr	oject: oject Numl ogged by:	ber:	TOC   0440- RAH	-	Co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING BORING BORING RV	<b>34</b> V09	
	JL	JU	<b>الا</b>	Eart		ate Started			5/2011 alt		Site Address: 851 Broady Everett, Wa		nton
			511	rateg	We We Re	ell Location ell Location eviewed by ate Comple	n N/S: n E/W: :	85.5' S o 31.3' W o DNM	of NW corner of b of NW corner of b	-	Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth	NE	-
F	- (s	/al	unt	Si A									Well
4	(feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Samp ID		SCS lass	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description		Construction Detail
	0		3 2	100	4.8	B34-05				Asphalt.			
	-		2 1 2 6	100	0.8	B34-07.5		ML		Damp, loose, SILT, with s gray, slight hydrocarbon Damp, loose, SILT, with s	odor (40-60-0).		
	- 10		6							and brick fragments, no l 60-0).	nydrocarbon odor (40-		
	_		7 7 10	0						No recovery.			
	- 15		5 7 9	20	0.1	B34-12.5		ML		Damp, loose SILT, with s wood in sample limits red hydrocarbon odor (40-60	covery, gray, no		
		-	./Drille uipmer		ascade/David SA			-	ameter: d Interval:	4" / 6.25" inches 5 to 15 feet bgs	Notes/Comments:		
	Samp		-	-	SA plit Spoon		Screen			0.010 inches	NE = not encountered		
1	lamn	ner T	ype/We	ight: 14	40	lbs	Filter Pa			10/20 Silica Sand			
			ng Dept Depth:			feet bgs feet bgs	Surface Annula			Concrete Bentonite			
			ID No.:				Monum			Flush mount	Page:	1	of 2

So	)U	nd <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eart</b>	ies Pr Lo Da Su Wa Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: ite Started: irface Conditio ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: ite Completed:	0440 RAH 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/10 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 00 000000000000000000000000000000000	-00 5/2 alt of N of N	02 2011 t W corner of IW corner of	Water Deptil At
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Graphic	Lithologic Description Well Construction Detail
15	$\left \right\rangle$	7 9 10	100	0.3	B34-15	ML			Damp, dense, SILT, with sand, brown with gray streaks, no hydrocarbon odors (40-60-0) (Native).
_									Boring terminated at 16.5 feet, screened from 5 to 15 feet, and completed as recovery well RW09.
20 —									
_									
- 25 —									
-									
-									
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	ig Eq ler T ner T Borii Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: ⊢ S eight: 1 th: 1	Cascade/David ISA Split Spoon 40 6.5 5	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sun feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal nument Ty	d I Size sec :	Interva e: d:	

So	U	nd Str	<b>Eart</b>	i e S Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition I Location N/ I Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 <sup>0</sup> RAH 06/15 Ons: Asph /S: 78.7'S or W: 14.9' W DNM	-002 5/2011 alt of NW corner of b	LOG Site Address: 851 Bro Everett, Water Depth A	Washington .t g 7.5 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic Description	Well Construction Detail
		10 14 14 6 4 5 5 6 6 7	100 100 100 20	0.0 0.0 0.0 NR	B35-05 B35-07.5 B35-10 B35-12.5	SP SP SP ML ML		(Fill). Moist, loose, SILT, with sand, wood waste, g (40-0-0) (Fill). Damp, loose SILT, with sand, wood waste ar brick fragments, gray, no hydrocarbon odor 60-0) (Fill).	5-80- 1, -15) ray 1d (40-
Drillin Sampl Hamm Total I Total V	g Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	o./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H S ight: 1 h: 1	6.5	We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger Di ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us rface Seal: nular Seal: nular Seal:	d Interval: bize: sed:	2" / 4.25"     inches       5 to 15     feet bgs       0.010     inches       10/20 Silicon Sand     Concrete       Bentonite     Flush mount	1 of 2

So	)U	nd <sub>St</sub>	<b>Eart</b>	i e S Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/: ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 06/15 No. 78.7'S of W: 14.9' W DNM	-00 5/2 alt of N of N	02 2011 t W corner o W corner o	Water Depth At
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Graphic	Lithologic Description Well Constructi Detail
15	$\left \right\rangle$	15 17 20	100	0.0	B35-15	ML			Damp, dense, silty fine SAND, brown with orange streaks, no hydrocarbon odor (Native).
_									Boring terminated at 16.5 feet, screened from 5 to 15 feet, and completed as well MW13.
20 —									
_									
- 25 —									
-									
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	State Well ID No.:CascadeDrilling Co./Driller:CascadeDrilling Equipment:HSASampler Type:Split SpoonHammer Type/Weight:140Total Boring Depth:16.5Total Well Depth:15State Well ID No.:BHA014			ISA plit Spoon 40 6.5 5	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d I Sizo seo :	Interva e: d:	2" / 4.25"     inches       5 to 15     feet bgs       0.010     inches       10/20 Silicon Sand       Concrete       Bentonite       Flush mount       Page:       2 of 2

So	)U		ateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condit ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	r: 0440- RAH 06/15 ions: Asph N/S: 33.9 % c E/W: 48.5 W DNM	-002 5/2011 alt of NW corner of b	
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic Description Well Construction Detail
		6 7 10	100	0.0	B36-05	SP		Asphalt.
		3 4 3	100	0.0	B36-07.5	SP		Wet, loose, medium to fine SAND, with gravel, trace silt, brown, no hydrocarbon odor (5-80-15).
-		4 4 12	100	0.3	B36-10 B36-12.5	SP		Wet, loose, fine to medium fine SAND, with gravel, trace silt, brown, no hydrocarbon odor (5- 80-15). Same as above.
- 15	$\bigwedge$	14				ML		Damp, dense, SILT, with fine sand, brown with gray streaks, no hydrocarbon odor (40-60-0).
Drillin Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H S  ight: 14 h: 25	5.5	W So Ibs Fi feet bgs So feet bgs Au	/ell/Auger Di /ell Screene creen Slot S ilter Pack Us urface Seal: nnular Seal: onument Ty	d Interval bize: sed:	2" / 4.25"     inches       5 to 15     feet bgs       0.010     inches       10/20 Silicon Sand       Concrete       Bentonite       Flush mount       Page:

So	U		<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition II Location R/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 06/15 06/15 S: 33.9'S o W: 48.5' W DNM	-002 5/2011 alt of NW corner of b	uilding	BORING LOG B36 MW12 Site Address: 851 Broadway Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling 7.5 Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic De	escription	Well Construction Detail
15 -		15 16 18	100	154.7	B36-15	ML		Damp, dense, SILT, with fir brown banding, strong hyd 0).		
		50/6	33	120.8	B36-17.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty fin gray, strong hydrocarbon o	e SAND, with gravel, odor (30-50-20).	
20 —		15 15 30	100	54.4	B36-20	SM		Damp, dense, fine to mediu hydrocarbon odor (25-70-5		
-		40 50/5	100	47.5	B36-22.5	SM		Damp, very dense, silty SA gray, slight hydrocarbon o		
25 —	$\times$	100/6	60	8.5	B36-25	SM		Damp, very dense, silty SA no hydrocarbon odor (25-7		
-								Boring terminated at 25.5 f 15, backfilled from 15 to 25 completed as well MW12.		
Drillin Samp Hamm Total Total	g Eq ler T ner T Borir Well	b./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: H Sp ight: 14 h: 25 15	.5	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ann	II/Auger Di II Screene reen Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	2" / 4.25" inches 5 to 15 feet bgs 0.010 inches 10/20 Silicon Sand Concrete Bentonite Flush mount	Notes/Comments:	of 2

So	U	nd Str	ateg	Pro Lo Da i e S Su We Re	oject: oject Numbe gged by: ite Started: irface Condi ell Location I ell Location I eviewed by: ite Complete	er: 0440- RAH 6/23/: tions: Asph N/S: 107' Sou E/W: 18.1' We PJK/I	-002 2009 alt uth of NW corner ast of NW corner RKB	-	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drilli Water Depth After Comple	tt, Washing At ng NE	gton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description		Well Construction Detail
0			100	0.0		FILL		Asphalt with gravel sub Damp, silty SAND, gray		dor.	
5			100	0.0	P01-04			Wood waste. Moist, silty SAND, trace gray, no hydrocarbon o		ravel,	
				0.0 0.0 0.0	P01-07			Damp, SILT, some sand hydrocarbon odor. Moist, SILT, some fine s rootlets/ wood, no hydr	subrounded gravel,	some	
10			100	0.0				Damp, SILT, some fine s hydrocarbon odor. Moist, SILT, some fine s subrounded gravel and	sand, trace fine rootlets/wood, slag	at 13	
		(D	100					feet below ground surfa			
Drilling Drilling Sample Hamme Total B Total V State V	g Equ er Ty er Ty Borin Vell I	uipmen /pe: /pe/We g Dept Depth:	t: Di  ight: h: 19 	)	Ibs F feet bgs S feet bgs A	Vell/Auger Di Vell Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack Us Surface Seal: Annular Seal: Monument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	- inches feet by inches  	gs NE = not encount	ered	of 2

Sr		nd	Eart		Project: Project Nun Logged by:		0440-0 RAH	002	co. Facility No. 01-169	BORING LOG	P01	
JU	Ju	Sti	rateg	ies	Date Starte Surface Co	nditions:	6/23/2 Aspha	alt		Site Address: 851 N Evere	lorth Broac ett, Washin	
					Well Location	on E/W:	18.1' Wes	th of NW corner	-	Water Depth	ing NE	feet bgs
					Reviewed b Date Comp	-	PJK/F 6/23/2			Water Depth After Comple	etion	feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppr	nv) IE		SCS lass	Graphic	Lithologic D	escription		Well Construction Detail
15					P01-15	I	ML		Damp, SILT, some fine sa odor.	nd, no hydrocarb	on	
_			100						Dark gray, rootlets.			
_	$\left  \right $				P01-19	SP	P-SM		Damp, fine SAND, some s subrounded gravel, brown	ilt, some fine to c n, no hydrocarbo	oarse n odor.	
20 —	/						c		Boring terminated at 19 fe bentonite chips, and finis	eet bgs. Backfilled hed flush to surfa	d with ace	
_									with asphalt plug.			
_												
_												
25 —												
_												
-												
30												
Drillir	-	./Drille uipmer		SN irect Push	· ·	Well/Au Well Sc	-	ameter: d Interval:	- inches feet bgs	Notes/Comme		
Samp		-	ות: סו 			Screen			inches	NE = not encoun	tered	
		ype/We			lbs feat bas	Filter Pa						
		ng Dept Depth:		1	feet bgs feet bgs	Surface Annular						
		ID No.:			.001.095	Monum				Page:	2	of 2

Soun	<b>dEart</b> Strateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condition Il Location R/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 RAH 6/23/ ons: Asph /S: 107' Sou W: 35' Wes PJK/	-002 (2009 palt uth of NW corner of NW corner of	-	BORING Depth At Time of Drilling 17 Water Depth At Time of Drilling 17 Water Completion	igton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
0	100	0.0		FILL		Asphalt with gravel subb Damp, medium SAND, so subrounded gravel, no h	ome silt and fine	
5	100	0.0	P02-06			Damp, fine to medium S subrounded gravel, no h	AND, some silt, trace fine ydrocarbon odor.	
10-	100	0.2				Damp, silty SAND, some and slag, dark gray, no h Damp, SILT, some fine s no hydrocarbon odor.	ydrocarbon odor.	
	100	0.6 0.0	P02-12 P02-14			Rootlets.		
15 Drilling Co./Dr Drilling Equip Sampler Type Hammer Type Total Boring D	ment: Di : //Weight: Depth: 19	)	We We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us rface Seal:	d Interval: Size: sed:	Damp, SILT, trace slag, r inches feet bg: inches 	Notes/Comments:	
Total Well Dep State Well ID I			U U	nular Seal		 	Page:   <b>1</b>	of 2

So	U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/S ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/23/ ns: Asph 5: <sup>107' So</sup> V: <sup>35' Wes</sup> PJK/	-002 (2009 palt uth of NW corner of NW corner of	•	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 North Breverett, Was Water Depth At Time of Drilling Water Depth After Completion	padway hington 17 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic I	Description	Well Construction Detail
15				0.0				Damp, SILT, few fine san hydrocarbon odor.	d, brownish gray, no	
-	$\bigwedge$		100		P02-17	SP  SP		Wet, fine to medium SAN no hydrocarbon odor. Damp, fine SAND, some subrounded gravel, brow hydrocarbon odor.	silt, some fine to coarse	
20 —	/							Boring terminated at 19 f surface. Backfilled with t finished flush to surface	pentonite chips, and	
_										
- 25										
_										
	-	./Drille		SN		I/Auger D		inches	Notes/Comments:	
Sampl Hamm Total I Total V	ler Ty ner T Borir Well	uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	 eight: th: 19 	9	lbs Filte feet bgs Ann	I Screene een Slot S er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	sed: :	r feet bgs inches   		2 of 2

Sou	nd	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed	0440 RAH 6/23/ ons: Asph S: <sup>107' Sor W: <sup>46.8' W</sup> PJK/</sup>	-002 /2009	-	BORING Depth LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washir Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
		100	0.0 0.0		FILL		Asphalt with gravel sub Damp, fine to medium S subrounded gravel, bro odor. Damp, silty SAND, gray	SAND, some fine to coarse wn, no hydrocarbon	
5		100	0.0 0.0 0.0	P03-04			Some slag. Some fine to coarse sul slag, rootlets, no hydrod	brounded GRAVEL, trace carbon odor.	
		100	0.8 0.0 0.0	P03-07			Dark gray.		
		100	0.0	P03-12 P03-14			Moist.		
Drilling Co Drilling Ec Sampler T Hammer T Total Bori Total Well State Well	quipmer Type: Type/We ng Dept Depth:	nt: Di  ight: :h: 19 	)	We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S rer Pack Us rface Seals nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: : :	inches feet bo inches  	US NE = not encountered	of 2

So	)U	nd Sti	Eart	ies Pri Lo Da Su We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condition ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 RAH 6/23/ ons: Asph /S: 107' Sou /W: 46.8' We PJK/	-002 2009 alt uth of NW corner RAH	of building	LOG	, Washington Nt g NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic De	escription	Well Construction Detail
15				0.0		ML		Damp, SILT, some fine san hydrocarbon odor.	d, gray, no	
_			100	0.0	P03-19	SM		Damp, silty SAND, some fin cobble at 17 feet below gro brown, no hydrocarbon od	ound surface, redo	 el, Jish
20 —	/						<u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	Boring terminated at 19 fee surface. Backfilled with be finished flush to surface wi	ntonite chips, and	1
- - 25 —										
-										
Drillin Sampl Hamm Total I Total V	g Eq ler Ty ner Ty Borir Well	o./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: C  	- 9	We Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger Di ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us Inface Seal: nular Seal: onument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed:	inches feet bgs inches   	Notes/Commer NE = not encounter Page:	

Sou	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da E S We We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 RAH 6/23/ ons: Conc /S: 84.0' So /W: 70.0' W PJK/	/2009	-	BORING Depth LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
		100	0.0		FILL		Concrete with gravel su Damp, fine to medium S subrounded gravel, trac hydrocarbon odor.	SAND, some fine	
5		100	0.0 0.0	P04-04			Damp, silty SAND, some trace slag, brown, no hy	e fine subrounded gravel, ydrocarbon odor.	
			0.0 0.0	P04-07			Same as above.		
	-	100	0.0				Slag from 8 to 14 feet b (bgs), no hydrocarbon o	elow ground surface odor.	
15 Drilling C Drilling Ed Sampler T Hammer T Total Bori Total Well State Wel	quipmer Type: Type/We ing Dept Depth:	r: Es nt: Di  ight: ih: 19 	1	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger D ell Screene reen Slot S ter Pack Us Inface Seal: Innular Seal Innular Seal	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	inches feet bo inches  	gs NE = not encountered	of 2

Sou		<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/23, ons: Conc S: <sup>84.0' Sc</sup> W: 70.0' W PJK/	/2009	•	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washing 1 At ing NE 1	gton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Lithologic	Description		Well Construction Detail
		100	0.0	P04-16 P04-19	ML		Damp, silty SAND, trace hydrocarbon odor. Damp, SILT, some fine s gravel, gray, no hydroca	sand and subround arbon odor.		
20 — - - 25 —							Boring terminated at 19 bentonite chips to surfa flush to surface with as	ce grade, and finis	d with hed	
- - - 30 Drilling C	n /Drille	r. E	SN	We	II/Auger D	iameter:	inches	Notes/Comm	ents.	
Drilling Ed Sampler 1 Hammer 1 Total Bori Total Well State Wel	quipmer Type: Type/We ng Dep Depth:	nt: D  eight: th: 19	irect Push	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sun feet bgs Ann		ed Interval: Size: sed: : :		NE = not encoun	tered	of 2

Sour	nd Str	ategi	e S We Re	oject: oject Numbe gged by: te Started: rface Condi II Location viewed by: te Complete	er: 0440 RAH 6/23/ tions: Conc N/S: 66.5' So E/W: 70.0' We PJK/	-002 /2009 crete buth of NW corner est of NW corner		LOG Site Address: 851 North	n Broadway Vashington NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
		0 100	0.0 0.0	P05-06	FILL		Concrete. No recovery, rock in sar Damp, dense, silty SAN subrounded gravel, bro Some cobbles. Slag from 8 to 14 feet be (bgs), no hydrocarbon o	D, some fine to mediu wn, no hydrocarbon o elow ground surface	m dor.
15 Drilling Co./ Drilling Equ Sampler Typ Hammer Typ Total Boring Total Well D State Well II	ipmen be: pe/Wei g Depti epth:	t: Di  ight:		Ibs F feet bgs S feet bgs A	Vell/Auger D Vell Screene Screen Slot S Filter Pack Us Surface Seal: Annular Seal: Monument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	inches feet by inches  	s NE = not encountere	

So	)U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Karaka Ka	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: inface Condition ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/23/ ons: Conc S: 66.5 So W: 70.0 W PJK/	-002 2009 crete buth of NW corner est of NW corner	•	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washington   <b>At</b>   ing NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Constructior Detail
- 15			100					Moist, slag from 16 to 18	3 feet bgs.	
_	$\left  \right $			0.0	P05-19	SM-ML		Damp, SILT, some sand gravel, brown, no hydro		 se
20 —								Boring terminated at 19 bentonite chips, and fini with concrete plug.	bgs. Backfilled wit	th ace
_										
_										
25 —										
- 30										
Drillin Drillin Sampl	g Eq ler Ty		nt: D		We Scr	een Slot S	d Interval: Size:	inches feet bg inches	NE = not encoun	
Total I Total V	Borin Well	ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	i <b>h:</b> 11	9	feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ani	er Pack Us face Seals nular Seal nument Ty	:	  	Page:	2 of 2

So	U	nd Str	<b>art</b>	e S We Re	Dject: Dject Number gged by: te Started: rface Condit Il Location N Il Location E viewed by: te Completed	r: 0440 RAH 6/23/ ions: Conc V/S: 44.4' Sc E/W: 70.0' W PJK/	-002 /2009	-	BORING Depth LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
0			100	0.0		FILL		Concrete. Damp, fine to medium Subround fine to medium subround hydrocarbon odor. Same as above, brownis	AND, some silt, some ded gravel, brown, no	
5				0.0	P06-04			Damp, silty SAND, some wood and slag, gray, no	e fine subrounded gravel, hydrocarbon odor.	
-			100	0.0	P06-06			Slag from 6 to 14 feet be (bgs), no hydrocarbon o		
10			100							
15	$\bigwedge$		100	0.0	P06-15	 SM		Damp, silty SAND, some subrounded gravel, brov	e fine to coarse wn, no hydrocarbon odor.	
Drillin Drillin Sampl Hamm Total I Total V	g Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	./Drillen uipmen ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	t: Di  ight: h: 17 	SN rect Push .5	W So Ibs Fi feet bgs So feet bgs Au	/ell/Auger D /ell Screene creen Slot S ilter Pack U urface Seal nnular Seal onument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: :	inches feet bg inches  	S NE = not encountered	of 2

So	)U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio II Location N/: II Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/23/ ons: Conc S: 44.4' Sc W: 70.0' W PJK/	-002 /2009 crete buth of NW corner est of NW corner	of building	LOG Site Address: 851 No	t, Washington <b>At</b> Ig NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic E	Description	Well Construction Detail
15 -			100	3.5	P06-17					
_								Refusal at 17.5 feet bgs. E chips, and finished flush concrete plug.	Backfilled with ben to surface with	tonite
20 —										
_										
25 —										
-										
Drillin Samp	g Eq ler Ty	./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We	nt: D 		We Scr	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack U	d Interval: Size:	inches feet bgs inches	Notes/Commer	
Total Total	Borir Well	ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	t <b>h:</b> 17		feet bgs Ani	face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	:		Page:	2 of 2

So	<b>und</b>	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic II Location N/ iII Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/24/ <b>5:</b> 3.6' Sou W: 58.0' W PJK/	/2009	-	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
0		100	0.0		FILL		_	SAND, some fine wn, no hydrocarbon odor. e fine subrounded gravel,	
5		100	0.0	P07-04			brown, no hydrocarbon	sand, brick fragments and	
		100	0.5 0.8	P07-08 P07-10			Same as above, no san Moist. Damp, SILT, some fine hydrocarbon odor.	d, no brick debris.	
-		100	1.8				Gray with red mottling. Slag.		
Drilling Sample Hamme Total B Total W	g Co./Dril g Equipm er Type: er Type/V Boring De Vell Deptl Vell ID No	ent: Di  Veight: pth: 20 h:	)	We Sci Ibs Filt feet bgs Sui feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S er Pack U rface Seal nular Seal nument Ty	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	inches feet b inches  	gs NE = not encountered	of 2

So	)U	nd Sti	Eart rateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: te Completed	0440 RAH 6/24/ ons: Asph S: 3.6 Sou W: 58.0 W PJK/	-002 /2009		BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drilli Water Depth After Comple	tt, Washington At ng NE feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
- 15	$\left  \right\rangle$		100	1,893	P07-16			Damp, silty SAND, some hydrocarbon odor. Damp, SILT, some fine s subrounded gravel, hydr Damp, silty SAND, some subrounded gravel, som hydrocarbon odor.	and, some fine to o rocarbon odor.	coarse
20				3.0	P07-20	SM		Damp, silty SAND, some subrounded gravel, gray Boring terminated 20 fee Backfilled with bentonite	v, no hydrocarbon v	rface.
-								flush to surface with asp	איזמו איטע.	
25 — -										
Drillin Samp	g Eq ler Ty		nt: C		We Sci	een Slot S	d Interval: Size:	inches : feet bg inches	s NE = not encount	
Total Total	Borin Well	ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	: <b>h:</b> 2	0	feet bgs Su feet bgs An	er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	:		Page:	2 of 2

So	)UI	nd Str	ateg	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 RAH 6/24/ ons: Asph /S: 5.0 Sou /W: 34.6 W PJK/	-002 /2009	-	BORING DOB LOG Site Address: 851 North Broav Everett, Washir Water Depth At Time of Drilling 15 Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
-			100	0.0		FILL		Asphalt underlain by dar with fine to coarse subro no hydrocarbon odor. Damp, silty SAND, some subrounded gravel, trace hydrocarbon odor.	fine to medium	
			100	0.0 1.0 1.0	P08-04			3-inch layer of slag. Cobbles.		
- - 10 —			100	6.1 2.0 1.1	P08-08			Damp, SILT, some fine s slag, rootlets/wood, dark odor. Damp, SILT, some sand brown, no hydrocarbon o	and rootlets, grayish-	
-			100	1.1 1.1 5.5	P08-12			gravel, gray, moderate h	AND, some silt, some fine ydrocarbon odor, sheen	
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Equ ler Ty ner Ty Borin Well I	/Driller uipmen pe: vpe/We g Dept Depth: D No.:	t: Di  ight: h: 22 	!	Wa Sc Ibs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs An	ell/Auger D ell Screene creen Slot S ter Pack U urface Seal nular Seal pnument Ty	d Interval: Bize: sed: : :	inches feet bg: inches inches  	Notes/Comments:	of 2

Sour	nd Str	ateg	Pro Lo Da E Su We Re	Dject: Dject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Condition II Location R/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/24/ ons: Asph S: <sup>5.0'Sou</sup> W: <sup>34.6'W</sup> PJK/	-002 2009	of building	LOG Site Address: 851 North	n Broadway Washington 15 feet bgs
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic [	Description	Well Construction Detail
15			2,331 1,600	P08-15			Wet, fine to medium SAN hydrocarbon odor.	D, gray, moderate	
		100	135		SM		Damp, silty SAND, some subrounded gravel, gray, Damp, silty SAND, trace f gray, faint hydrocarbon o	faint hydrocarbon o	
20		100	38 35 40	P08-20 P08-22			Some fine subrounded gr odor.	ravel, no hydrocarbo	n
							Boring terminated at 22 fo surface. Backfilled with b finished flush to surface	entonite chips, and	
25 —									
30 Drilling Co./ Drilling Equ Sampler Typ Hammer Typ Total Boring Total Well D State Well II	ipmen be: pe/Wei g Dept epth:	t: Di  ight:	2	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sun feet bgs Ann	II/Auger D II Screene een Slot S er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: : :	inches : feet bgs inches   	Notes/Comments	s: 2 of 2

Sou	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b> rateg	Pro Loy Da Bu We We Re	oject: oject Number gged by: te Started: rface Conditi ell Location N ell Location E viewed by: te Completed	: 0440 RAH 6/24/ ons: Asph /S: 4.7' Sou /W: 10.5' W PJK/	)-002 /2009	Water Deptil At
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Lithologic Description Well Construction Detail
		100	0.0 2.4 6.6 0.0 0.0 4.9	P09-04	FILL		Asphalt.         Damp, fine to medium SAND, some fine subrounded gravel, brown, no hydrocarbon odor.         Damp, silty SAND, some fine subrounded gravel, gray, no hydrocarbon odor.         Some wood.         Moist, medium to coarse SAND, some fine subrounded gravel, no hydrocarbon odor.
- - - Drilling Co	uipmer	nt: Di	1,500 1,500 SN irect Push	We	ML ML ell/Auger D ell Screene	ed Interva	
Sampler Ty Hammer Ty Total Borin Total Well State Well	/pe/We g Dept Depth:	h: 22		lbs Fil feet bgs Su feet bgs An	reen Slot S ter Pack U Irface Seal Inular Seal Inument Ty	sed: :	inches     Page: <b>1 of 2</b>

So	UI	nd Sti	ateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/s ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/24/ ns: Asph S: <sup>4.7</sup> Sou N: <sup>10.5' We PJK/</sup>	-002 2009 alt th of NW corner est of NW corner RKB	Water Deptil At	ngton
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic Description	Well Construction Detail
15			100	8,762 7,730 1,750 678 75	P09-15 P09-20 P09-22	SM		Separate phase hydrocarbons in soil sample. Damp, silty SAND, some fine subrounded gravel, gray, moderate hydrocarbon odor.	
25							· · · ·	Refusal at 22 feet below ground surface. Backfilled with bentonite chips, and finished flush to surface with asphalt plug.	
Drilling Drilling Sample Hamme Total B Total W State W	j Equ er Ty er Ty orin Vell I	uipmen /pe: /pe/We g Dept Depth:	it: Di  ight: h: 22 	2	We Scr Ibs Filt feet bgs Sur feet bgs Ann	II/Auger Di II Screene een Slot S er Pack Us face Seal: nular Seal: nument Ty	d Interval: Size: sed: :	inches	of 2

Sou	nd St	<b>Eart</b>	Pro Lo Da E S Su We Re	Dject: Dject Numbe gged by: te Started: rface Condit ell Location R ell Location E viewed by: te Complete	r: 0440 RAH 6/24/ ions: Asph V/S: 29.2' Sc E/W: 42.7' W PJK/	/2009	•	BORING   P10 LOG   Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton	
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	e USCS Class		Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail	
		100	0.0		FILL		Asphalt. Damp, fine to medium S subrounded gravel, bro	GAND, some fine wn, no hydrocarbon odor.		
5		100	2.1 0.8 0.6	P10-04						
		100	1.3 1.5	P10-08			Moist.			
		100	2.6 11.4 54.4	P10-12			Damp, SILT, some sand hydrocarbon odor.	I, gray, moderate		
Drilling Co Drilling Ec Sampler T Hammer T Total Bori Total Well State Well	quipmer Type: Type/We ng Dept Depth:	nt: Di  sight: th: 20 	I	W       Si       Ibs     Fi       feet bgs     Si       feet bgs     A	Vell/Auger D Vell Screene creen Slot S liter Pack U urface Seal nnular Seal onument T	ed Interval: Size: sed: : :	inches feet by inches  	gs NE = not encountered	of 2	
So	)U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b> rateg	Pr La Da La VW WW Re	oject: oject Number gged by: Ite Started: Inface Condition ell Location N/ ell Location E/ eviewed by: Ite Completed	: 0440 RAH 6/24/ Ons: Asph (S: 29.2' So W: 42.7' W PJK/	-002 /2009	•	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drill Water Depth After Comple	ett, Washington At ing NE feet bgs
-------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class	Graphic	Lithologic	Description	Well Construction Detail
15 				298 150	P10-16			Same as above, some fi gravel, trace slag debris odor.	ne to coarse subro , gray, no hydroca	unded rbon
- 20	$\bigwedge$		<u>100</u>	68	P10-20	SM		Damp, silty SAND, some gray, no hydrocarbon od		gravel,
								Boring terminated 20 fee Backfilled with bentonite flush to surface with asp	e chips, and finishe	
- 25 — -										
-										
Drillin Samp Hamn Total Total	g Eq ler Ty ner T Borir Well	D./Drille uipmer ype: ype/We ng Dept Depth: ID No.:	nt: [ - - - - - - -	- 20 -	We Sc Ibs Filt feet bgs Su feet bgs An	II/Auger D II Screene reen Slot S ter Pack U rface Seal nular Seal nular Seal	d Interval: Size: sed: : :	inches : feet bg inches   	NE = not encoun	

Soun	<b>Eart</b> Strateg	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditic ell Location N/ ell Location E/ viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/24/ ons: Bark S: <sup>76,4'</sup> So W: <sup>53,0'</sup> W PJK/	-002	-	BORING P11 LOG P11  Site Address: 851 North Broa Everett, Washin Water Depth At Time of Drilling NE Water Depth After Completion	ngton
Depth (feet bgs) Interval	Blow Coulin Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Lithologic	c Description	Well Construction Detail
	100	0.0 1.6 1.2 1.1 12.0	P11-04 P11-08	FILL		subrounded gravel, bro	ne gravel, slag, dark gray,	
15 Drilling Co./Dr Drilling Equipt Sampler Type:	ment: Di	11.2 SN irect Push	We	II/Auger D II Screene een Slot S	d Interval:	gravel, some wood, no	s Notes/Comments: <sup>29S</sup> NE = not encountered	
Hammer Type: Total Boring D Total Well Dep State Well ID N	/Weight: Pepth: 20 pth:	)	lbs Filt feet bgs Sui feet bgs Ani	er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument Ty	sed: :	inche   		of 2

So	U	nd Sti	<b>Eart</b>	i e s Re	oject: oject Number: gged by: te Started: rface Conditio ell Location N/: ell Location E/N viewed by: te Completed:	0440 RAH 6/24/ ns: Bark S: <sup>76.4' So W: <sup>53.0' W</sup> PJK/</sup>	-002 /2009 / Top soil outh of NW corner est of NW corner	of building	BORING LOG Site Address: 851 N Evere Water Depth Time of Drilli Water Depth After Comple	tt, Washington At ing NE feet b	
Depth (feet bgs)	Interval	Blow Count	% Recovery	PID (ppmv)	Sample ID	USCS Class		Lithologic D	escription	We Constru Deta	uction
- 15			100	5.6	P11-16			Damp, SILT, some fine sar brown, no hydrocarbon oc		ayish-	
_	$\langle \rangle$		100	10.1				Damp, SILT, some gravel, no hydrocarbon odor.	some slag, dark	brown,	
20 —	/			34.8	P11-20			Boring terminated at 20 fe surface. Backfilled with be finished flush to surface.		nd	
25 —											
_											
	-	./Drille		SN irect Push		II/Auger D II Screene	iameter:	inches feet bgs	Notes/Comme		
Sampl Hamm Total I Total V	ler Ty ner Ty Borin Well I		 ight: ih: 20	)	lbs Filt feet bgs Ann feet bgs Ann	een Slot S er Pack U face Seal nular Seal nument T	Size: sed: :	inches   	Page:	2 of 2	

# APPENDIX B Laboratory Analytical Reports

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011197

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 23, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 15, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1123R.DOC

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 15, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
011197-01	B22-12.5
011197-02	B22-15
011197-03	B22-17.5
011197-04	B22-20
011197-05	B22-22.5
011197-06	B22-25
011197-07	B23-12.5
011197-08	B23-15
011197-09	B23-17.5
011197-10	B23-20
011197-11	B23-22.5
011197-12	B23-25
011197-13	B24-12.5
011197-14	B24-15
011197-15	B24-17.5
011197-16	B24-20
011197-17	B24-22.5
011197-18	B24-25

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/23/10 Date Received: 11/15/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197 Date Extracted: 11/16/10 Date Analyzed: 11/16/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-132)
B22-17.5 011197-03	< 0.02	0.20	0.53	4.9	200	112
B23-17.5 011197-09	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	< 0.06	<2	83
B24-12.5 011197-13	0.025	0.086	< 0.02	0.11	2.3	86
Method Blank 00-1890 MB	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	83

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/23/10 Date Received: 11/15/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 011208-01 (Duplicate)

		(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	69-120
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	84	70-117
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	82	65-123
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	84	66-120
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	90	71-131

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Matrix Matrix	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY     SAMPLERS (scienture)     PROJECT NAME/NO. $Time$ REMARKS     Numbed     Matrix $\mu$ of     NWTPH-Dx     NWTPH-Gx	OF CUSTODY OF CUSTODY   ONO. -/67   NWTPH-Dx -/67   NWTPH-Gx OF   BTEX by 8021B OF   VOC's by 8260 ANALYSE'S   SVOC's by 8270 SAM   RCRA-8 Metals Image: Same set of the s

 $\mathcal{U}$ 

eived nt 4	Samples received at	Sai										Received by:	AA R	FORMSSCOCKSESCEMSET DOC /Database 1
	· • • •				2	1 vineuzu				3.	by	Received by: Relinguished by:	<u> </u>	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282
DATE TIME $\frac{1}{1}/\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{7}$	くこうく. ANVdWO.)	~		Sc. K	<u>с</u> )н	THINT NAM	PRIN	$\sim$		T C X	SIGN	Relinguishing by:	·	rrieaman & bruya, inc. 3012 16th Avenue West
		•				Í <b> </b>							-	
							, 							
		-				_	- <u>+</u> <u>+</u>							
							╞╌┨							
						C		÷.	1340	Ę	181			324-25
									255		ti	/		B24-22-5
									1330		è		-	BZ4-20
									1325	-	5	-		B24-17.5
5						٧,		ų,	1320	11/15/10	14 AZ			B24-15
D Notes	SVOC's by 8270 RCRA-8 Metals	VOC's by 8260	BTEX by 8021B	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx	# of jars	Matrix	M a	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	Lab ID	Sample Depth	Sample Location	Sample ID
REQUESTED	ANALYSES REG	ANAL	- 1	_								-		
SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions	GEMS Y / N	EMS	ß				-	L KS	REMARKS	foz 201-507	A 95	DFax#	Sect.	City, State, ZIP <u>Sec. Htc LUA 98/02</u> Phone # <u>206-36-1900</u> Fax # <u>206-36-1907</u>
C Standard (2 Weeks) X RUSH 24 h Rush charges authorized by	#	PO#			53	)) - )	CT NAME/NO. 「OC++-OI-」とう		PROJE	+ 50,20	csic Ea	n Stret	Ferri	Company Signal Earthy Stratesics, Inc., Address 2311 Ferrier Are, East, S., 200
Page # of						re	ngnatu	ERS-f	SAMPLERS (signature)	Johnson	Bécio	STAD A	Rycon	Send Report To Ryon Bixby & Bear Johnson
10	11-15-1		MÉ	TODY ME	STO	CU	IN OF	CHA	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUS	70			+1	011197
											-		ł	

•

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011197 additional

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 6, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the additional results from the testing of material submitted on November 15, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101115\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197 project. There are 5 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1206R.DOC

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 15, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
011197-01	B22-12.5
011197-02	B22-15
011197-03	B22-17.5
011197-04	B22-20
011197-05	B22-22.5
011197-06	B22-25
011197-07	B23-12.5
011197-08	B23-15
011197-09	B23-17.5
011197-10	B23-20
011197-11	B23-22.5
011197-12	B23-25
011197-13	B24-12.5
011197-14	B24-15
011197-15	B24-17.5
011197-16	B24-20
011197-17	B24-22.5
011197-18	B24-25

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/06/10 Date Received: 11/15/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101115\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197 Date Extracted: 11/29/10 and 12/01/10 Date Analyzed: 11/29/10 and 12/02/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-132)
B22-15 011197-02	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	94
B22-20 011197-04	<0.02	0.022	<0.02	0.098	<2	83
B23-15 011197-08	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	85
<b>B23-20</b> 011197-10	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	84
B24-15 011197-14	< 0.02	0.046	< 0.02	0.15	3.9	81
B24-17.5 011197-15	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	84
Method Blank 00-1961 MB	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	84
Method Blank 00-1984 MB	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	84

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/06/10 Date Received: 11/15/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101115\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 011277-02 (Duplicate)

		(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	86	66-121
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	72-128
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	69-132
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	86	69-131
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	105	61-153

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/06/10 Date Received: 11/15/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101115\_20101115 WORFDB4, F&BI 011197

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 011323-02 (Duplicate)

		(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	86	69-120
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	82	70-117
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	81	65-123
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	84	66-120
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	85	71-131

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

	- -											;		Revision 1)	EMSRI DOC	FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.b0C (Revision 1)	
	°Ĉ	1 at 4	ceive	Samples received at									•	Received by		Fax (206) 283-5044	
	5.45		10				127	11119624				Guan	1 by	Relinquished by		Ph. (206) 285-8282	
											•	大 (二)		Received by:		Seattle, WA 98119-2029	
	DATE TIME	YN	COMPANY	20		<u>~</u> .	n	ININT NAME		· · ·		SIGNATURE	Ne sir	Relinguishedby	·	Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	*
	\$ 7.3				$\mathbb{X}$	X		4	, 		1315	ę	134		1	1024-12,5	
	<b>^</b>								<b>[</b>		1138		12			B23-25	
	$\overline{C}$										11 33		2			B23-22,5	
	5				*	*					1123		10			B23-20	
	0				X	$\succ$					1123		09			17.5	
	C			 ;	¥	<b>¥</b>					1.1.1		89			323-15	
	<i>о</i>										0111		<i>to</i>			B23.12.5	
<b>-</b> . <b>i</b> .	2										5560		06			BZZ-25	
	<u></u>		*						!		5450		9,		-	B22-22 S	
					1				•		5450		04			B22-20	
					1	<u>У</u>					t & 30		6			BZZ-17.5	
					$\mathbf{X}$	7	 		⊷ 		0932	-	02/7			BZ2-15.	
3								N,		~	5125	11/15/10	0,10			B22-12.5	
	V-per AH Nuturs W/2-	RCRA.8 Metals	SVOC's by 8270	VOC's by 8260	BTEX by 8021B	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx	# of jars	Matrix	M ::	Time Sampled	l)ate Sampled	Lab ID	Sample Depth	Sample Location	Sample ID	
	, Da.	REQUESTED	SES	NALY													
	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions	S - Dispo - Retur - Will c	Ň	GEMS Y / N	GE					KS	REMARKS	S. a. HL WA 98102 306- 1700 Pax # 246 3-4- 1907	24	لع لم <u>م</u> <u></u> Fax # <u>ک</u>		City, State, ZIP Phone # <u>ZC</u> (	
	□ Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH 24 4× Rush charges authorized by:	□ Stanc X RUSI Rush ch		PO#				67	ME/NC			uc Fest	Strates Adams	ize A	من مطلح مر ملم 1 Fair vi Ru	Company Sound Egyth Stratesies, In Address 2311 Fair Jirw Adrade Faut	
	Page # / of 2	T P			-		-	(re)	in the	ERS (	7	Binby & Baw Johner	B.	1	Ryan	- Send Report To	1
L'A	10 VS3/0	-15-	12		MG	DY	STOI	CU	IN OF	CHAI	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO		•			FPIIIO	7
											-						

En an grìo Geologic Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 23, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 16, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. There are 5 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1123R.DOC

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 16, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
011216-01	B25-12.5
011216-02	B26-12.5
011216-03	B25-10
011216-04	B25-15
011216-05	B25-17.5
011216-06	B25-20
011216-07	B25-25
011216-08	B26-15
011216-09	B26-17.5
011216-10	B26-20
011216-11	B26-22.5
011216-12	B26-25
011216-13	B27-7.5
011216-14	B27-10
011216-15	B27-12.5
011216-16	B27-15
011216-17	B27-17.5
011216-18	B27-20
011216-19	B27-22.5
011216-20	B27-25
011216-21	B27-27.5
011216-22	B27-30

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/23/10 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 Date Extracted: 11/16/10 and 11/17/10 Date Analyzed: 11/17/10 and 11/18/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
B25-12.5 011216-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	81
B26-12.5 011216-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	77
B27-10 011216-14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	97
B27-12.5 011216-15	0.089	<0.02	0.053	< 0.06	3.0	104
B27-15 011216-16 1/50	<1	3.0	6.1	42	520	ip
B27-17.5 011216-17 1/10	<0.2	0.95	<0.2	1.4	<20	89
B27-20 011216-18	0.053	0.39	0.073	0.63	5.0	84
B27-22.5 011216-19 1/10	<0.2	0.48	<0.2	0.84	<20	92
B27-25 011216-20	< 0.02	0.077	< 0.02	0.13	<2	84
B27-27.5 011216-21	0.028	0.11	< 0.02	0.16	<2	85

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/23/10 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 Date Extracted: 11/16/10 and 11/17/10 Date Analyzed: 11/17/10 and 11/18/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
B27-30 011216-22	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	84
Method Blank <sup>00-1892 MB</sup>	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	85

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/23/10 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 011215-02 (Duplicate)

		(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.068 a	0.13	60 a
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.053 a	0.082 a	43 a
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	0.069 a	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	86	69-120
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	70-117
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	83	65-123
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	85	66-120
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	85	71-131

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	SOLL IOLA AVENUE WEST	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.						876-12.5 876	1325- 12,5 B25	Sample ID Sample Location		Phone # 206 306 1100	City, State, ZIP Sentty UA	Address 7811	Company Savid	Send Report To	011216
Received by:	Relinquished by:	Relinquished by				_			12.51	12.51	on Depth		<u> </u>	stre c	Further	Sava Frank	Ser J	0
	d by:	of by	SIG						A.E	9-P	Lab ID			1A	A	いす	Johnson	•
	22C	Park	SIGNATURE						11 11 1W	11/16/10	Date Sampled			70126		Vtalester	)	
									1040	8180	Time Sampled			REMARKS		PROJE	SAMPI	SAMPLE
	Dout	Adre	PRI							5011	Matrix			RKS	<u>بر</u>	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO
	d	Le L	PRINT NAME						2	С,	# of jars				2010 55		1	F CU:
		5	1 1	 			 	 			NWTPH-Dx				いた	(	$\zeta'$	STODY
Samp							 		X X	X X	NWTPH-Gx							
Samples received at	+1				_		 				BTEX by 8021B VOC's by 8260	ANA		CEMC V / N		P		ME
ceived	eb.	TTI IN	COMPANY								SVOC's by 8270	ANALYSES		イ マ ー ア		PO#		11-1
	r I		ANY	 			 	 			RCRA-8 Metals	1 I		La	्र म			- 16-10
℃ ~				 			 					REQUESTED	Return Will cal	SAI Dispose	Rush charges av Rush charges av	Standa	Page #	0
	V.	1/14/10	DATE	 -	_							Ð	Return samples Will call with in	SAMPLE DISPO Dispose after 30 days	rges auth	□ Standard (2 Weeks)	#	
	1	640	TIME								Notes		Return samples Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush axep Rush charges authorized by: Beau Jawasan	ndard (2 Weeks)		V 83/0

FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by:	Ph. (206) 285-8282 Relinquished by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Received by:	3012 16th Avenue West Relinquished by MUL	N3-75 BAL 275 BAL 275 BA	- 35 V 25 V 32'	1225	17-15-17-5 17-5 17-5-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-17-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-	5-15 B26 15 084.E	and the total and total and the total and th	10-15 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	5.47	B.E 30 30 800	5.5% J.F. 17.5 D.F. 26.9	15 24-5 15 15 21 21-56 B	835-10 B25 10 82 11/16/10 10840 Ser1 5	Sample Sample Location Depth		100 Fax #		1185	Company SES PROJECT NAME/NO.	
-	Samples received at 4 or	Tent " 4		DATE						¢	( I'ver) when you have be and	Do not received Str there is a					NWTPH-Gx BTEX by 80211 VOC's by 8260 SVOC's by 8270 RCRA-8 Metals	ANALYSES F	GEMS Y / N = Dispose after 30 days = Return samples = Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush charges authorized by:	PO# Z Standard (2 Weeks)	

.70

													levision 1)	EMSR1.DOC (F	FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	Ŧ
	C H C	ed at	eceiv	oles 1	Samples received at								Received by:		Fax (206) 283-5044	
7		ŗ		$\frac{1}{2}$					()			by	Relinquished by	·	Ph. (206) 285-8282	
	N	4	s D	7				5	) ~		101	N	Received by:		Seattle, WA 98119-2029	
16/10/640	ji / l		Ϋ́.	A -		5	k	Mire	An		milton /	by:	Relinquished by:	I	3012 16th Avenue West	
TE TIME	. DATE	COMPANY	COM				AME	PRINT NAME	PRI	2	NATURE	E C			Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	
												2				
					X	X		Ć-	4	4	<	J c c	در. ح	4	132-30	
					X	X				1354		2	$\sim$		5.4x-47.5	
					X	Х				1337		8	2		58-461	
					Х	X				1330		14			152 + -22.5	
					X	$\searrow$				1326		18	و لا ت		13-7-20	
					X	$\searrow$				1322		14	シモ			
					λ	$\leftthreetimes$				1315		6	5		シートシ	
					Х	X		-		1312		IS	12,5		Bat-12.5	
					K	X		$\mathcal{J}$	1195	1327	11/2/10	H H	6	627	01-1283	
Notes		RCRA-8 Metals	SVOC's by 8270	VOC's by 8260	BTEX by 8021B	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx	# of jars	Matrix	Time Samp led	Date Sampled	Lab ID	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Sample} \\ \text{Depth} \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \end{array} \end{array} $	Sample Location	Sample ID	
	REQUESTED		ANALYSES	ANA			$\square$									
les instructions	□ Return samples □ Will call with instructions		+										O Fax #	306110	Phone # <u>えひら 30 6 1 100</u> Fax #	
SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Dis	l	GEMS V / N	EMS	5				8	REMARKS	18113	A	the wA	p Senth	City, State, ZIP	
uthorized by:	Rush charges authorized by:					F	Š S	Tgg olop		01-169	Ш	Arc		11 Franken	Address <u>281</u>	
Neeks)	⊂Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH		#	PO #		)		0	ME/	PROJEC				SES	Company	
TURNAROUND TIME	Page #						$\hat{\mathbf{n}}$	ure) FCC	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE	>	17.8	Cat	o Seen	Send Report To	
ET)/2 2	01-	-16	11	ИЩ	<b>۲</b>	DY	STO	F CU	HAIN O	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY			91	0112		
																12

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216 additional, dated December 7, 2010

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 7, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the additional results from the testing of material submitted on November 16, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101116\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. There are 4 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1207R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 16, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID 011216-01	SoundEarth Strategies B25-12.5
011216-02 011216-03	B26-12.5 B25-10
011216-04	B25-15
011216-05	B25-17.5
011216-06	B25-20
011216-07	B25-25
011216-08	B26-15
011216-09	B26-17.5
011216-10	B26-20
011216-11	B26-22.5
011216-12	B26-25
011216-13	B27-7.5
011216-14	B27-10
011216-15	B27-12.5
011216-16	B27-15
011216-17	B27-17.5
011216-18	B27-20
011216-19	B27-22.5
011216-20	B27-25
011216-21	B27-27.5
011216-22	B27-30

Sample B25-22.5 was not received by the laboratory.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/07/10 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 Date Extracted: 12/01/10 Date Analyzed: 12/02/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
B25-10 011216-03	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	103
B25-15 011216-04	<0.02	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	84
B26-15 011216-08	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	82
B26-17.5 011216-09	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	86
Method Blank 00-1984 MB	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	84

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/07/10 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 011323-02 (Duplicate)

		(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent				
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	86	69-120	
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	82	70-117	
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	81	65-123	
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	84	66-120	
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	85	71-131	
#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	SU12 16th Avenue West	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.						876-12.5 876	1325- 12,5 B25	Sample ID Sample Location		Phone # 206 306 1100	City, State, ZIP Sentty UA	Address 7811	Company Savid	Send Report To	011216
Received by:	Relinquished by:	Relinquished by				_			12.51	12.51	on Depth		<u> </u>	stre c	Further	Sava Frank	Ser J	0
	d by:	of by	SIG						A.E	9-P	Lab ID			1A	A	いす	Johnson	•
	22C	Park	SIGNATURE						11 11 1W	11/16/10	Date Sampled			70126		Vtalester	)	
									1040	8180	Time Sampled			REMARKS		PROJE	SAMPI	SAMPLE
	Dout	Adre	PRI							5011	Matrix			RKS	<u>بر</u>	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO
	d	Le L	PRINT NAME						2	С,	# of jars				2010 55		1	F CU:
		5	1 1	 			 	 			NWTPH-Dx				いた	(	$\zeta'$	STODY
Samp							 		X X	X X	NWTPH-Gx							
Samples received at	+1				_		 				BTEX by 8021B VOC's by 8260	ANA		CEMC V / N		P		ME
ceived	eb.	TTI IN	COMPANY								SVOC's by 8270	ANALYSES		イ マ ー ア		PO#		11-1
	r I		ANY	 			 	 			RCRA-8 Metals	1 I		La	्र म			- 16-10
℃ ~				 			 					REQUESTED	Return Will cal	SAI Dispose	Rush charges av Rush charges av	Standa	Page #	0
	V.	1/14/10	DATE	 -	_							Ð	Return samples Will call with in	SAMPLE DISPO Dispose after 30 days	rges auth	□ Standard (2 Weeks)	#	
	1	640	TIME								Notes		Return samples Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush axep Rush charges authorized by: Beau Jawasan	ndard (2 Weeks)		V 82 / 0

FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by:	Ph. (206) 285-8282 Relinquished by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Received by:	3012 16th Avenue West Relinquished by MUL	N3-75 BAL 275 BAL 275 BA	- 35 V 25 V 32'	1225	17-15-17-5 17-5 17-5-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-17-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-	5-15 B26 15 084.E	and the total and total and the total and th	10-15 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	5.47	B.E 30 30 800	5.5% J.F. 17.5 D.F. 26.9	15 24-5 15 15 15 21 25 B	835-10 B25 10 82 11/16/10 10840 Ser1 5	Sample Sample Location Depth		100 Fax #		1185	Company SES PROJECT NAME/NO.	
-	Samples received at 4 or	Tent " 4		DATE						¢	( I'ver) when you have be and	Do not received Str there is a					NWTPH-Gx BTEX by 80211 VOC's by 8260 SVOC's by 8270 RCRA-8 Metals	ANALYSES F	GEMS Y / N = Dispose after 30 days = Return samples = Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush charges authorized by:	PO# Z Standard (2 Weeks)	

.70

													levision 1)	EMSR1.DOC (F	FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	<b>7</b> 1
	C H C	ed at	eceiv	oles 1	Samples received at								Received by:		Fax (206) 283-5044	
7		ŗ		$\frac{1}{2}$				•				by	Relinquished by	·	Ph. (206) 285-8282	
	N	4	s D	7				5	) ~		100	N	Received by:		Seattle, WA 98119-2029	
16/10 1640	ji / l		Ϋ́,	- A		5	k	In i.re	An		milton (	by:	Relinquished by:	T	3012 16th Avenue West	
TE TIME	. DATE	COMPANY	COM				AME	PRINT NAME	PRI	2	NATURE	E C			Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	
												2				
					X	X		Ċ	4	21 21 21	<	J c c	در. ح	4	132-30	
					X	X				1354		2	$\sim$		5.4x-47.5	
					X	Х				1337		8	2		58-461	
					Х	X				1330		14			152 + -22.5	
					X	$\searrow$				1326		18	و لا ت		13-7-20	
					$\hat{X}$	$\searrow$				1322		14	シモ			
					χ	$\nearrow$				1318		6	5		シートシ	
					Х	X		-		1312		15	12.5			
					K	X		J	5011	13:7	11/2/10	H H	6	627	01-1283	
Notes		RCRA-8 Metals	SVOC's by 8270	VOC's by 8260	BTEX by 8021B	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx	# of jars	Matrix	Time Samp led	Date Sampled	Lab ID	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Sample} \\ \text{Depth} \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \end{array} \end{array} $	Sample Location	Sample ID	
	REQUESTED		ANALYSES	ANA			$\square$									
les instructions	□ Return samples □ Will call with instructions		+		2								O Fax #	306110	Phone # <u>えひら 30 6 1 100</u> Fax #	
SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Disp	l	GEMS V / N	EMS	5				S	REMARKS	61126	À	the wA	p Senth	City, State, ZIP	
uthorized by:	Rush charges authorized by:					F		Tssolop		01-169	Ш	Arc		11 Franken	Address <u>281</u>	
Veeks)	⊂Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH		#	PO #		)		0	ME/	PROJEC				SES	Company	
TURNAROUND TIME	Page #						$\hat{\cap}$	ure) FCC	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE	>	17.8	Cat	o Seen	Send Report To	
5 V 2 (T3	01-	-16	11	ИЩ	*	DY	STO	F CU	HAIN O	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY			91	0112		

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216 additional, dated January 6, 2011

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

January 6, 2011

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the additional results from the testing of material submitted on November 16, 2011 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. There are 8 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson, Chuck Cacek SOU0106R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 16, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
011216-01	B25-12.5
011216-02	B26-12.5
011216-03	B25-10
011216-04	B25-15
011216-05	B25-17.5
011216-06	B25-20
011216-07	B25-25
011216-08	B26-15
011216-09	B26-17.5
011216-10	B26-20
011216-11	B26-22.5
011216-12	B26-25
011216-13	B27-7.5
011216-14	B27-10
011216-15	B27-12.5
011216-16	B27-15
011216-17	B27-17.5
011216-18	B27-20
011216-19	B27-22.5
011216-20	B27-25
011216-21	B27-27.5
011216-22	B27-30

Sample B25-22.5 was not received by the laboratory.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	TOC 01-169 Composite 11/16/10 12/30/10 01/03/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20101116 WORFDB4 011216-01,02,15,16,20,22 011216-01,02,15,16,20,22.022 ICPMS1 AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	97	60	125
Indium	84	60	125
Holmium	91	60	125
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Chromium	16.9		
Arsenic	3.22		
Selenium	<1		
Silver	<1		
Cadmium	<1		
Barium	54.9		
Lead	3.01		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 12/30/10 01/03/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20101116 WORFDB4 I0-752 mb I0-752 mb.031 ICPMS1 AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	87	60	125
Indium	85	60	125
Holmium	90	60	125
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Chromium	<1		
Arsenic	<1		
Selenium	<1		
Silver	<1		
Cadmium	<1		
Barium	<1		
Lead	<1		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/06/11 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 Date Extracted: 12/30/10 Date Analyzed: 01/03/11

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Total Mercury</u>
Laboratory ID	
TOC 01-169 Composite	<0.2 ht
011216-01,02,15,16,20,22	

Method Blank

< 0.2

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

### Date of Report: 01/06/11 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 011073-20 (Matrix Spike)

Laboratory Co	ude. 011075-20 (N	iati ix op	ike)	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	6.69	108	107	51-132	1
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	1.61	104	100	44-151	4
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	<1	95	93	52-128	2
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	110	108	69-125	2
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	110	107	83-120	3
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	67.8	113 b	113 b	47-147	0 b
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	20	3.35	101	105	65-126	4

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	114	79-125
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	102	80-120
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	102	81-121
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	107	84-117
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	10	107	89-116
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	110	88-113
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	20	104	81-120

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/06/11 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Laboratory Code:	011073-20 (Mat	rix Spike)	)				
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recover y MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	< 0.2	156	144	45-162	8
Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Con	trol Samj	ple Percent				
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Recover y LCS	Acceptanc Criteria	e		
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	113	63-144			

Laboratory Code: 011073-20 (Matrix Spike)

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	SOLL IOLA AVENUE WEST	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.					876-12.5 876	1325- 12,5 B25	Sample ID Sample Location		Phone # 206 306 1100	City, State, ZIP Sentty UA	Address 7811	Company Savid	Send Report To	011216
Received by:	Relinquished by:	Relinquished by					 	12.51	12.51	on Depth		<u> </u>	stre c	Further	Sava Frank	Ser J	0
	d by:	of by	SIG					A.E	9-P	Lab ID			1A	A	いす	Johnson	•
	22C	Park	SIGNATURE					11 11 1W	11/16/10	Date Sampled			70126		Vtalester	)	
								1040	8180	Time Sampled			REMARKS		PROJE	SAMPI	SAMPLE
	Dout	Adre	PRI						5011	Matrix			RKS	<u>بر</u>	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO
	d	Le L	PRINT NAME					2	С,	# of jars				2010 55		1	F CU:
		5	1 1	 		 	 	 		NWTPH-Dx				いた	(	$\zeta'$	STODY
Samp						 	 	X X	X X	NWTPH-Gx							
Samples received at	+1				_	 	 			BTEX by 8021B VOC's by 8260	ANA		CEMC V / N		P		ME
ceived	eb.	TTI I	COMPANY							SVOC's by 8270	ANALYSES		イ マ ー ア		PO#		11-1
	r I		ANY	 		 	 	 		RCRA-8 Metals	1 I		La	्र म			- 16-10
℃ ~				 		 	 				REQUESTED	Return Will cal	SAI Dispose	Rush charges av Rush charges av	Standa	Page #	0
	V.	1/14/10	DATE	 -	_						Ð	Return samples Will call with in	SAMPLE DISPO Dispose after 30 days	rges auth	□ Standard (2 Weeks)	#	
	1	640	TIME							Notes		Return samples Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush axep Rush charges authorized by: Beau Jawasan	ndard (2 Weeks)		V 82 / 0

FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by:	Ph. (206) 285-8282 Relinquished by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Received by:	3012 16th Avenue West Relinquished by MUL	N3-75 BAL 275 BAL 275 BA	- 35 V 25 V 32'	-22.5	17-15-17-5 17-5 17-5-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-17-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-	5-15 B26 15 084.E	and the total to t	10-15 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	5.47	B.E 30 30 800	5.5% J.F. 17.5 D.F. 26.9	15 24-5 15 15 21 21-56 B	835-10 B25 10 82 11/16/10 10840 Ser1 5	Sample Sample Location Depth		100 Fax #		1185	Company SES PROJECT NAME/NO.	
-	Samples received at 4 or	Tent " 4		DATE						¢	( I'ver) when you have be and	Do not received Str there is a					NWTPH-Gx BTEX by 80211 VOC's by 8260 SVOC's by 8270 RCRA-8 Metals	ANALYSES F	GEMS Y / N = Dispose after 30 days = Return samples = Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush charges authorized by:	PO# Z Standard (2 Weeks)	

.70

													levision 1)	EMSR1.DOC (F	FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	<b>7</b> 1
	C H C	ed at	eceiv	oles 1	Samples received at								Received by:		Fax (206) 283-5044	
7		ŗ		$\frac{1}{2}$				•				by	Relinquished by	·	Ph. (206) 285-8282	
	N	4	s D	7				5	) ~		100	N	Received by:		Seattle, WA 98119-2029	
16/10 1640	ji / l	0.	Ϋ́,	- A		5	k	In i.re	An		milton (	by:	Relinquished by:	T	3012 16th Avenue West	
TE TIME	. DATE	COMPANY	COM				AME	PRINT NAME	PRI	2	NATURE	E.			Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	
												2				
					X	X		Ċ	4	21 21 21	<	J c c	در. ح	4	132-30	
					X	X				1354		2	$\sim$		5.4x-47.5	
					X	Х				1337		8	2		58-461	
					Х	X				1330		14			152 + -22.5	
					X	$\searrow$				1326		18	وي ن		13-7-20	
					$\hat{X}$	$\searrow$				1322		14	シモ			
					χ	$\nearrow$				1318		6	5		シートシ	
					Х	X		-		1312		15	12.5			
					K	X		J	5011	13:7	11/2/10	H H	6	627	01-1283	
Notes		RCRA-8 Metals	SVOC's by 8270	VOC's by 8260	BTEX by 8021B	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx	# of jars	Matrix	Time Samp led	Date Sampled	Lab ID	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Sample} \\ \text{Depth} \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \end{array} \end{array} $	Sample Location	Sample ID	
	REQUESTED		ANALYSES	ANA			$\square$									
les instructions	□ Return samples □ Will call with instructions		+		2								O Fax #	306110	Phone # <u>えひら 30 6 1 100</u> Fax #	
SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Disp	l	GEMS V / N	EMS	5				S	REMARKS	61126	À	the wA	p Senth	City, State, ZIP	
uthorized by:	Rush charges authorized by:					F		Tgg olop		01-169	Ш	Arc		11 Franken	Address <u>281</u>	
Veeks)	⊂Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH		#	PO #		)		0	ME/	PROJEC				SES	Company	
TURNAROUND TIME	Page #						$\hat{\cap}$	ure) FCC	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE	>	17.8	Cat	o Seen	Send Report To	
5 V23/CI3	01-	-16	11	ИЩ	*	DY	STO	F CU	HAIN O	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY			91	0112		

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011216 amended

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 7, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included is the amended report from the testing of material submitted on November 16, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. The reported list of samples has been shortened to the samples you requested.

We apologize for the inconvenience and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1123R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 23, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 16, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1123R.DOC

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 16, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
011216-01	B25-12.5
011216-02	B26-12.5
011216-03	B25-10
011216-04	B25-15
011216-05	B25-17.5
011216-06	B25-20
011216-07	B25-25
011216-08	B26-15
011216-09	B26-17.5
011216-10	B26-20
011216-11	B26-22.5
011216-12	B26-25
011216-13	B27-7.5
011216-14	B27-10
011216-15	B27-12.5
011216-16	B27-15
011216-17	B27-17.5
011216-18	B27-20
011216-19	B27-22.5
011216-20	B27-25
011216-21	B27-27.5
011216-22	B27-30

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/23/10 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216 Date Extracted: 11/16/10 and 11/17/10 Date Analyzed: 11/17/10 and 11/18/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
B25-12.5 011216-01	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	81
B26-12.5 011216-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	77
B27-12.5 011216-15	0.089	<0.02	0.053	< 0.06	3.0	104
B27-15 011216-16 1/50	<1	3.0	6.1	42	520	ip
B27-25 011216-20	< 0.02	0.077	< 0.02	0.13	<2	84
B27-30 011216-22	<0.02	<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	84
Method Blank 00-1892 MB	<0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	85

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/23/10 Date Received: 11/16/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101116 WORFDB4, F&BI 011216

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 011215-02 (Duplicate)

		(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.068 a	0.13	60 a
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.053 a	0.082 a	43 a
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	0.069 a	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	86	69-120
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	70-117
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	83	65-123
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	85	66-120
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	85	71-131

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	SOLL IOLA AVENUE WEST	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.					876-12.5 876	1325- 12,5 B25	Sample ID Sample Location		Phone # 206 306 1100	City, State, ZIP Sentty UA	Address 7811	Company Savid	Send Report To	011216
Received by:	Relinquished by:	Relinquished by					 	12.51	12.51	on Depth		<u> </u>	stre c	Further	Sava Frank	Ser J	0
	d by:	of by	SIG					A.E	9-P	Lab ID			1A	A	いす	Johnson	•
	22C	Park	SIGNATURE					11 11 1W	11/16/10	Date Sampled			70126		Vtalester	)	
								1040	8180	Time Sampled			REMARKS		PROJE	SAMPI	SAMPLE
	Dout	Adre	PRI						5011	Matrix			RKS	<u>بر</u>	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTO
	d	Le L	PRINT NAME					2	С,	# of jars				2010 55		1	F CU:
		5	1 1	 		 	 	 		NWTPH-Dx				いた	(	$\zeta'$	STODY
Samp						 	 	X X	X X	NWTPH-Gx							
Samples received at	+1				_	 	 			BTEX by 8021B VOC's by 8260	ANA		CEMC V / N		P		ME
ceived	eb.	TTI N	COMPANY							SVOC's by 8270	ANALYSES		イ マ ー ア		PO#		11-1
	r I		ANY	 		 	 	 		RCRA-8 Metals	1 I		La	्र म			- 16-10
℃ ~				 		 	 				REQUESTED	Return Will cal	SAI Dispose	Rush charges av Rush charges av	Standa	Page #	0
	V.	1/14/10	DATE	 -	_						Ð	Return samples Will call with in	SAMPLE DISPO Dispose after 30 days	rges auth	□ Standard (2 Weeks)	#	
	1	640	TIME							Notes		Return samples Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush axep Rush charges authorized by: Beau Jawasan	ndard (2 Weeks)		V 82 / 0

FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by:	Ph. (206) 285-8282 Relinquished by:	Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Received by:	3012 16th Avenue West Relinquished by MUL	N3-75 BAL 275 BAL 275 BA	- 35 V 26 V 24.	1225	17-15-17-5 17-5 17-5-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-17-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-	5-15 B26 15 084.E	and the total and total and the total and th	10-15 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	5.47	B.E 30 30 800	5.5% J.F. 17.5 D.F. 26.9	15 24-5 15 15 21 21-56 B	835-10 B25 10 82 11/16/10 10840 Ser1 5	Sample Sample Location Depth		100 Fax #		1185	Company SES PROJECT NAME/NO.	
-	Samples received at 4 or	Tent " 4		DATE						¢	( I'ver) when you have be and	Do not received Str there is a					NWTPH-Gx BTEX by 80211 VOC's by 8260 SVOC's by 8270 RCRA-8 Metals	ANALYSES F	GEMS Y / N = Dispose after 30 days = Return samples = Will call with instructions	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush charges authorized by:	PO# Z Standard (2 Weeks)	

.70

													levision 1)	EMSR1.DOC (F	FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	<b>7</b> 1
	C H C	ed at	eceiv	oles 1	Samples received at								Received by:		Fax (206) 283-5044	
7		ŗ		$\frac{1}{2}$				•				by	Relinquished by	·	Ph. (206) 285-8282	
	N	4	s D	7				5	) ~		100	N	Received by:		Seattle, WA 98119-2029	
16/10 1640	ji / l	0.	Ϋ́,	- A		5	k	In i.re	An		milton (	by:	Relinquished by:	T	3012 16th Avenue West	
TE TIME	. DATE	COMPANY	COM				AME	PRINT NAME	PRI	2	NATURE				Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	
												2				
					X	X		Ċ	۷	31 7 7	<	J c c	در. ح	4	132-30	
					X	X				1354		2	$\sim$		5.4x-47.5	
					X	Х				1337		8	2		58-461	
					Х	X				1330		14			152 + -22.5	
					X	$\searrow$				1326		18	و لا ت		13-7-20	
					$\hat{X}$	$\searrow$				1322		14	シモ			
					χ	$\nearrow$				1318		6	5		シートシ	
					Х	X		-		1312		15	12.5			
					K	X		J	5011	13:7	11/2/10	H H	6	627	01-1283	
Notes		RCRA-8 Metals	SVOC's by 8270	VOC's by 8260	BTEX by 8021B	NWTPH-Gx	NWTPH-Dx	# of jars	Matrix	Time Samp led	Date Sampled	Lab ID	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Sample} \\ \text{Depth} \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \end{array} \end{array} $	Sample Location	Sample ID	
	REQUESTED		ANALYSES	ANA			$\square$									
les instructions	□ Return samples □ Will call with instructions		+		2								O Fax #	306110	Phone # <u>えひら 30 6 1 100</u> Fax #	
SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Disp	l	GEMS V / N	EMS	5				S	REMARKS	61126	À	the wA	p Senth	City, State, ZIP	
uthorized by:	Rush charges authorized by:					F		Tssolop		01-169	Ш	Arc		11 Franken	Address <u>281</u>	
Veeks)	⊂Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH		#	PO #		)		0	ME/	PROJEC				SES	Company	
TURNAROUND TIME	Page #						$\hat{\cap}$	ure) FCC	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE	>	17.8	Cat	o Seen	Send Report To	
5 V23/CI3	01-	-16	11	ИЩ	*	DY	STO	F CU	HAIN O	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY			91	0112		

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #012089 amended

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 21, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the amended results from the testing of material submitted on December 7, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101207 WORFDB4, F&BI 012089 project. Per your request, the project ID has been updated. We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Calu

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson, Chuck Cacek SOU1215R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 15, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 7, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101207 WORFDB4, F&BI 012089 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1215R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on December 7, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101207 WORFDB4, F&BI 012089 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
012089-01	B28-10'
012089-02	B28-12.5'
012089-03	B28-15'
012089-04	B28-17.5'
012089-05	B28-20'
012089-06	B28-22.5'
012089-07	B28-25'
012089-08	B29-10'
012089-09	B29-12.5'
012089-10	B29-15'
012089-11	B29-17.5'
012089-12	B29-20'
012089-13	B29-22.5'
012089-14	B29-25'
012089-15	B30-10'
012089-16	B30-12.5'
012089-17	B30-15'
012089-18	B30-17.5'
012089-19	B30-20'
012089-20	B30-22.5'
012089-21	B30-25'

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/15/10 Date Received: 12/07/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101207 WORFDB4, F&BI 012089 Date Extracted: 12/09/10 Date Analyzed: 12/09/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-132)
B28-12.5' 012089-02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	81
B28-15' 012089-03	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	81
B28-17.5' 012089-04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	89
B29-15' 012089-10	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	89
B29-17.5' 012089-11	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	90
B29-20' 012089-12	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	85
<b>B30-15'</b> 012089-17	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	92
B30-17.5' 012089-18	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	84
B30-20' 012089-19	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	< 0.06	<2	82
Method Blank 00-2008 MB	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	80

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/15/10 Date Received: 12/07/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101207 WORFDB4, F&BI 012089

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 012110-04 (Duplicate)

		(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.07	0.05	39 a
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.11	0.06	53 a
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.03	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	0.12	0.07	57 a
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	85	69-120
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	78	70-117
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	79	65-123
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	81	66-120
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	85	71-131

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1 DOC German 1 Fax (206) 283-5044 Ph. (206) 285-8282 Scattle, WA 98119-2029 3012 16th Avenue West Friedman & Bruya, Inc. Ban - 22.5 B-1-20 B28-15 327-15 1321 - 17.5 829-10 828 -8-12-5 Ban - 125 15C-8P8 Bax -225 B28 - 10 328-20 Address 2811 Phone #006 306 (100 Fax # City, State, ZIP Scorth Company\_ Send Report To\_ Sample ID 012089 St SBS 829 828 Location Sample Ben Minder Received by Mary / Cw Torrest by Refundamented by Relunquished by 2.5 .5 77 Sample 5 54 Depth 20 Ţ, 25 10 5.5 0  $[\mathcal{T}_{j}]$ 0 + 1 chars as EA 11261135 OLA.E 14 90 7111 19 451 09 4-6 DIRY Lebody 40 IOA-5 088.6 051-60433 256 08480 02. A. 46 9 15 Lab ID man SILINATINE 37 128 0/10 F01862 1240 414 0950 Sampled EIS Date T SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY Sampled 9 Time SAMPLERS (signature) ATZ 4 PROJECT NAME/NO REMARKS 1610 Loc Hop Jol Per Church Cacele 12/21/12 01- too - 0440 Nhan Jhan Ę And rew ŝ Matrix PRINT NAME # of )ars Frech  $\gamma$ CCC Les T NWTPH-Dx NWTPH-Gx BTEX by 8021B GEMS Y / N ME ANALYSES REQUESTED VOC's by 8260 P0 # COMPANY Τe BI HA MAX W 12 SVOC's by 8270 Samples received at RCRA-8 Metals 01/4/ Will call with instructions Ruah charges authorized by: leturn samples Disponsia ftor 30 days Standard (2 Wooks) HEIDH TURNAROUND TIME SAMPLE DISPOSAL Had 12 + \$111 Fer 6251 D1/4/11 12/7/10 DATE 351 Sinte . TIME Ч 407 പ്

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC Fax (206) 283-5044 Ph. (206) 285-8282 Seattle, WA 98119-2029 3012 16th Avenue West Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 88 Send Report To Phone # 206 306 (968 Fax # City, State, ZIP Seatty WA 98102 Address 251 B30 - 22,5 Company \_ 1330-25 37-17.5 B 30 - 12.5' B30 -15 30 - 10 827-25 Boring 10 - Depth 012089 Sample ID 20 ション 58 Received by: Frimer Are Received by: Action Relinquished by: Relinquished by 19.44 161.91 W K.R. 4.408 242 241 ふち 144413610 di di Sampled Johnson Date 2 SIGNATURE 1254 Sampled 1.401 245 ESJ 1413 222 9-12-12 1338 1525 P DB PC PA SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY Sample Type <-Sol 1 REMARKS FOI-169 PROJECT NAME/NO SAMPLERS (signature) A() Per Chyst ; ; (j) containers Tran 1  $\mu \sim 1$ nan S PRINT NAME TPH-D ese Carle F TPH-Gasoiine NAN BTEX by 8021B VOCs by8260 12/2/10 ANALYSES REQUESTED ~ SVOCs by 8270 ME 12/7/10 VS2, HFS F()# Horleydon C T COMPANY 1-201 Samples repeived at Dispose after 30 days
Return samples
Will call with instructions Rush charges authorized by D RUSH\_ □ Standard (2 Weeks) TURNAROUND TIME SAMPLE DISPOSAL 12/7/ 12/11/011:310N 0/17/ DATE Notes TIME 5 らって

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #106220
#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

June 27, 2011

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 15, 2011 from the TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220 project. There are 20 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU0627R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on June 15, 2011 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

106220-01B31-05106220-02B31-09106220-03B31-12.5106220-04B31-15106220-05B31-20106220-06B31-25106220-07B31-27.5106220-08B31-30106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-15106220-13B32-25106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B33-05106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25106220-25B33-composite	Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
106220-03B31-12.5106220-04B31-15106220-05B31-20106220-06B31-25106220-07B31-27.5106220-08B31-30106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5	106220-01	B31-05
106220-04B31-15106220-05B31-20106220-06B31-25106220-07B31-27.5106220-08B31-30106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-20106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-02	B31-09
106220-05B31-20106220-06B31-25106220-07B31-27.5106220-08B31-30106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-03	B31-12.5
106220-06B31-25106220-07B31-27.5106220-08B31-30106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-20B33-10106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-04	B31-15
106220-07B31-27.5106220-08B31-30106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-05	B31-20
106220-08B31-30106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-06	B31-25
106220-09B31-composite106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-07	B31-27.5
106220-10B32-05106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-08	B31-30
106220-11B32-10106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-09	B31-composite
106220-12B32-12.5106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-10	B32-05
106220-13B32-15106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-11	B32-10
106220-14B32-20106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-12	B32-12.5
106220-15B32-25106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-13	B32-15
106220-16B32-composite106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-14	B32-20
106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-15	B32-25
106220-17B33-05106220-18B33-07.5106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-16	B32-composite
106220-19B33-10106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-17	-
106220-20B33-12.5106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-18	B33-07.5
106220-21B33-15106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-19	B33-10
106220-22B33-17.5106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-20	B33-12.5
106220-23B33-22.5106220-24B33-25	106220-21	B33-15
106220-24 B33-25	106220-22	B33-17.5
	106220-23	B33-22.5
106220-25 B33-composite	106220-24	B33-25
L	106220-25	B33-composite

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220 Date Extracted: 06/16/11 Date Analyzed: 06/16/11

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Gasoline Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 50-150)
B31-20 106220-05	6.2	111
B33-17.5 106220-22 1/50	3,300	ip
Method Blank 01-1094 MB	<2	100

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220 Date Extracted: 06/16/11 Date Analyzed: 06/16/11

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
B31-27.5 106220-07	0.083	0.45	0.066	0.43	3.8	107
B31-30 106220-08	0.026	0.20	0.045	0.22	<2	105
B32-20 106220-14	0.048	<0.02	0.080	0.14	<2	106
B32-25 106220-15	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.073	<2	106
B33-22.5 106220-23	0.024	0.16	0.095	0.51	6.2	110
B33-25 106220-24	0.024	0.093	0.031	0.18	<2	104
Method Blank 01-1094 MB	< 0.02	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	103

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220 Date Extracted: 06/16/11 Date Analyzed: 06/16/11

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
<b>B31-20</b> 106220-05	<50	<250	111
<b>B32-15</b> 106220-13	<50	<250	115
B33-17.5 106220-22	<50	<250	112
Method Blank 01-1098 MB	<50	<250	110

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B31-20 06/15/11 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 106220-05 106220-05.031 ICPMS1 btb
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% I	Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		93 <sup>°</sup>	60	125
Indium		83	60	125
Holmium		90	60	125
	Con	centration		
Analyte:		/kg (ppm)		
Chromium		8.56		
Arsenic		1.31		
Selenium		<1		
Silver		<1		
Cadmium		<1		
Barium		30.6		
Lead		1.69		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B32-15 06/15/11 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 106220-13 106220-13.032 ICPMS1 btb
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium Holmium		% Recovery: 96 80 85	Lower Limit: 60 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Chromium		33.0		
Arsenic		19.6		
Selenium		<1		
Silver		<1		
Cadmium Barium		2.31 98.2		
Lead		98.2 86.3		
2004		00.0		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B33-17.5 06/15/11 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 106220-22 106220-22.033 ICPMS1 btb
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	ç	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		98	60	125
Indium		78	60	125
Holmium		86	60	125
Analyte:		Concentration ng/kg (ppm)		
·				
Chromium		36.5		
Arsenic		10.9		
Selenium		<1		
Silver		<1		
Cadmium		<1		
Barium		98.4		
Lead		6.04		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 I1-405 mb I1-405 mb.008 ICPMS1 btb
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	85	60	125
Indium	86	60	125
Holmium	92	60	125
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Chromium	<1		
Arsenic	<1		
Selenium	<1		
Silver	<1		
Cadmium	<1		
Barium	<1		
Lead	<1		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220 Date Extracted: 06/16/11 Date Analyzed: 06/16/11

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Total Mercury
B31-20 106220-05	<0.1
B32-15 106220-13	<0.1
B33-17.5 106220-22	<0.1
Method Blank	<0.1

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B31-20 06/15/11 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 106220-05 061625.D GCMS5 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 92 103 101	Lower Limit: 42 42 36	Upper Limit: 158 159 160
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (D Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME)	$<\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$		

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B32-15 06/15/11 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 106220-13 061626.D GCMS5 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 99 99 101	Lower Limit: 42 42 36	Upper Limit: 158 159 160
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (D Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME)	$<\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$		

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B33-17.5 06/15/11 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 106220-22 061627.D GCMS5 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 80 94 109	Lower Limit: 42 42 36	Upper Limit: 158 159 160
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (D Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME)	<50 <2.5 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 2.4 57 ve 33 ve 130 ve 56 ve 12 ve		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B33-17.5 06/15/11 06/16/11 06/21/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 106220-22 1/100 062114.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 103 100 105	Lower Limit: 62 55 65	Upper Limit: 142 145 139
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (D Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME)	<5,000 <250 <5 <5 <5 4.3 83 48 200 76 17		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan NA 06/16/11 06/16/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110615 WORFDB5 01-1008 mb2 061617.D GCMS5 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 93 93 93 93	Lower Limit: 42 42 36	Upper Limit: 158 159 160
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (D Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME)	$<\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 106192-02 (Duplicate)

Laboratory Coue.		-)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative</b> Percent
		(Wet Wt)	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Reporting Units S	Sample Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	<2	<2	nm

			Percent	
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	<b>Reporting Units</b>	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	82	69-120
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	114	70-117
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	120	65-123
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	112	66-120
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	105	71-131

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 106220-05 (Matrix Spike)

			(Wet wt)	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery MSD	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS		Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	117	101	73-135	15
Laboratory Code: La	aboratory Control	Sample	_				
			Percent				
	Reporting Units	Spike	Recovery	Accept	tance		
Analyte		Level	LCS	Crite	eria		
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	108	74-1	39		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 106192-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	10.7	105 b	104 b	51-132	1 b
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	1.22	97	98	44-151	1
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	<1	94	91	52-128	3
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	102	104	69-125	2
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	104	105	83-120	1
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	23.1	101 b	107 b	47-147	6 b
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	2.12	109	108	65-126	1

Laboratory cou	c. Laboratory control	Sumple	Percent	
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	111	79-125
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	103	80-120
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	104	81-121
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	110	84-117
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	10	109	89-116
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	109	88-113
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	111	81-120

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Laboratory Code: 106192-01 (Matrix Spike)

5		1 /		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	<0.1	101	96	45-162	5

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	99	63-144

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/27/11 Date Received: 06/15/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110615 WORFDB5, F&BI 106220

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 106195-01 (Matrix Spike)

Laboratory Couc. 100100 01 (mat	in opine)				
				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Ethanol	mg/kg (ppm)	125	<50	57	10-169
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	mg/kg (ppm)	125	<2.5	72	28-154
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	63	39-139
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	64	44-140
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	64	41-141
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	69	43-139
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	63	38-116
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.03	59	33-113
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	60	38-139
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	66	44-139
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	62	38-120
m,p-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	5	< 0.1	62	37-122
o-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	65	39-121
Naphthalene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	59	12-168

Laboratory code. Laboratory co	introi Buimpie		Doncont	Percent		
	_		Percent	_		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Ethanol	mg/kg (ppm)	125	47	50	10-188	6
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	mg/kg (ppm)	125	80	81	42-149	1
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	81	89	62-124	9
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	88	91	68-116	3
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	81	93	67-125	14
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	87	95	67-125	9
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	80	83	60-124	4
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	77	84	69-122	9
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	80	84	72-122	5
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	87	91	72-121	4
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	82	87	72-130	6
m,p-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	5	81	87	72-131	7
o-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	86	91	71-129	6
Naphthalene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	80	92	60-125	14
ruphthatone		~.0	00	02	00 120	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\mbox{ca}$  - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$  – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.











00000	J				SAMPLE	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUST	F CUS	STODY	Ā	z N		150				Ica/	) S
Send Report To	o Kenn	Sinson			SAMP	SAMPLERS (signature)	lann			-		7	-	TURI	TURNAROUND TIME		
Company SES	S				PROJEC	PROJECT NAME/NO.	- YO.				PO #	-		I Standa □ RUSH	IÍ Standard (2 Weeks) □ RUSH	eks)	
Address 2811 Farmer	Fairbrew	ave test	N.	1 200	 		_						<b>रू</b> ।	ish cha	arges auth	Rush charges authorized by:	
City, State, ZIP <u></u>	See He	wA.	78102		REMARKS	RKS				2		•		SA	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days	SPOSAL davs	
Phone # <u>206 - 3-5 - 1-100</u>	26-1700	Fax #	206 -	206-306-1407							GEMS Y	Y /		Return Will ca	Return samples Will call with instructions	tructions	
											NALY	SES	REQU	ANALYSES REQUESTED	D		(
Sam ple ID	Sample	Sample	Lab	Date	Time	Matrix	# of	PH-Dx	PH-Gx		oy 8260	by 8270	Metals	genates 826ec		Z of the	k
		торин	Ę	o a in pied	sam pied		jars	NWT	NWT	BTEX b	VOC's	SVOC's	RCRA-8	<b>В</b> ТЕХ+ ОХУ	X-am	BT 1/10/11	E
551-05	1331	05	O A	6-14-11	0705	5.2	S								1-1	HOL I)	· )
1231-04		20	02		0110	~	5							_			
1531-125		14.5	50	_	5140		η			+			_				<b>I</b>
531-5			04		0925		$\sim$	_		_		_	$\rightarrow$				<b>!</b>
1531-20		ε	50		-52b0	_	2	×	×			×	$\frac{1}{x}$				
631-25		25-	06		0945-		$\sim$							-			<b>-</b>
831-27 5		27.5	40		1000		$\sim$		X	×			_				<b>_</b>
1531-30		30	180		0101		S			×				_			
ŕ	1531		64		-5801												
	832	50	α-ε π		1235-		∼'										
852-10	$\left  \right $	ō	- - 		اكلم		√;										
132-12.5		12.5	12 4:		1250		$\sim$			_							
151-2551		15	13 E		1255-		V. I	X				×	x		4		
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	<u> </u>		SIGN	SIGNATURE,		PRI	PRINT NAME	ME			0	COMPANY	YN		DATE	TIME	-
South Win USU	west	Remiting day	ev.			WILL C+	CAMITIZE	1200 A			SES	5		-	11/15/11	1520	
Seattle, WA 98119-		Relinquished by:	D) hr	1/ am	]	Nhan	phan	Ĩ,				2B	17	6	1/1/11	(520)	
Fax (206) 283-5044		Received by:									2	- 	6		M		
FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	ISR1.DOC (R	evision 1)								-	Dai	upter	Tere	w how and rearding of			

066200	0				SAMPLE	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUST	)F CU	STODY	AG	ME		06/	3	11/11		VS2/005
Send Report To	Го				SAMP	SAMPLERS (signature)	ture						] ]	Pa	Page #	2 of 2
Company		0	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$		RROJ	ROJECT NAME/NO.	NO.			_	PO #	#		Stand	dard (2	Standard (2 Weeks)
Address	Rec	20	L			Tac of	01-169							□ RUSH Rush ch	harges	□ RUSH Rush charges authorized by:
City, State, ZIP	P				REMARKS	RKS								2	AMPLI	SAMPLE DISPOSAL
Phone #		Fax #									GEMS Y	Y /		□ Retur □ Will c	n sam all with	<ul> <li>Dispose after 30 days</li> <li>Return samples</li> <li>Will call with instructions</li> </ul>
											ANAL YSE	YSES	REO	REQUESTED	ED	
Sample ID	Sample Location	Sam ple Depth	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Tim e Sampled	Matrix	# of jars	WTPH-Dx	WTPH-Gx	EX by 8021B	DC's by 8260			HO Hygendes		Notes
B32-20	752	2.0	14 /	1-1-1-1	1205	-	7		<u>`</u>	В	1	s	R	6		
152-25-		25	131		1320		10		< >	x x				_		ttecer
B32- Conpusite	5		5	$\sim$	1326		- (				_		_			
R13-05-	833	oy	1 1 1 1		1510		<)-								_	
1535-07.5		67.5	18		1513		5							_	-+-	
1533-10		ĉ	19		1515-		5						_			
53-12.5		12-5-	20		15-20		$\sim$		_				_	+	+	
633-15		i ST	21		1525		2				- +			-		
1333-17.5		17.5	22		-5251		$\sim$	X	X			_	X	×		
633-11-5		225	23		1550		5		<b>×</b>	×		_				
52-52		25 1	244		الم ا		~\		×	$\times$						
533-Compsite			25		1615-							_			-+	
															_	Ą
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West		Relinquished by	SIGN	SIGNATURE		PRI	PRINT NAME	ME	$\hat{\mathbf{A}}$			COMPANY	ANY		DATE	
Seattle, WA 98119-		Received by:	1 h	ann I		ŝ					+	· / ·	17		$\sum$	< - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 
Ph. (206) 285-8282	r	Relinquished by:	by: /					ŀ							-	
Fax (206) 283-5044	44 R	Received by:														2
FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	MSRI.DOC	Revision 1)								-	to	amp	l <del>os r</del> e	Samples received at		21ºG

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #106256 amended

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

July 1, 2011

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included is the amended report from the testing of material submitted on June 17, 2011 from the TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256 project. Per your request, naphthalene was added to the report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Gle

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU0623R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

June 23, 2011

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 17, 2011 from the TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256 project. There are 17 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU0623R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on June 17, 2011 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SoundEarth Strategies
106256-01	B34-05
106256-02	B34-07.5
106256-03	B34-12.5
106256-04	B34-15
106256-05	B35-05
106256-06	B35-07.5
106256-07	B35-10
106256-08	B35-12.5
106256-09	B35-15
106256-10	B36-05
106256-11	B36-07.5
106256-12	B36-10
106256-13	B36-12.5
106256-14	B36-15
106256-15	B36-17.5
106256-16	B36-20
106256-17	B36-22.5
106256-18	B36-25
106256-19	B34-Composite
106256-20	B35-Composite
106256-21	B36-Composite

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256 Date Extracted: 06/20/11 Date Analyzed: 06/20/11 and 06/21/11

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS GASOLINE USING METHOD NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

~

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Gasoline Range	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 58-139)
B34-05 106256-01	6.2	107
<b>B36-15</b> 106256-14 1/5	66	110
Method Blank 01-1111 MB	<2	102

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256 Date Extracted: 06/20/11 Date Analyzed: 06/20/11 and 06/21/11

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-132)
B34-12.5 106256-03	0.051	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.075	14	100
B34-15 106256-04	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	100
B35-07.5 106256-06	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.06	<2	103
B35-12.5 106256-08	0.032	<0.02	<0.02	< 0.06	<2	102
B35-15 106256-09	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.06	<2	105
B36-12.5 106256-13	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	< 0.06	<2	103
<b>B36-25</b> 106256-18	0.071	0.19	0.053	0.30	3.6	103
Method Blank <sup>01-1111 MB</sup>	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.06	<2	100

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256 Date Extracted: 06/20/11 Date Analyzed: 06/20/11

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
B34-05 106256-01	<50	<250	85
<b>B36-15</b> 106256-14	<50	<250	83
Method Blank 01-1108 MB	<50	<250	83

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B34-05 06/17/11 06/20/11 06/20/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110617 WORFDB5 106256-01 106256-01.021 ICPMS1 AP
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	C	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		<b>8</b> 9	60	125
Indium		79	60	125
Holmium		83	60	125
	С	concentration		
Analyte:	n	ng/kg (ppm)		
Chromium		14.9		
Arsenic		17.4		
Selenium		<1		
Silver		<1		
Cadmium		<1		
Barium		55.9		
Lead		11.6		
## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B36-15 06/17/11 06/20/11 06/20/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110617 WORFDB5 106256-14 106256-14.022 ICPMS1 AP
			Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	9	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium		96 <sup>°</sup>	60	125
Indium		82	60	125
Holmium		85	60	125
	Co	oncentration		
Analyte:	n	ng/kg (ppm)		
Chromium		32.0		
Arsenic		5.73		
Selenium		<1		
Silver		<1		
Cadmium		<1		
Barium		82.5		
Lead		4.68		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank NA 06/20/11 06/20/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110617 WORFDB5 I1-415 mb I1-415 mb.009 ICPMS1 AP
		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	84	60	125
Indium	83	60	125
Holmium	86	60	125
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Chromium	<1		
Arsenic	<1		
Selenium	<1		
Silver	<1		
Cadmium	<1		
Barium	<1		
Lead	<1		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256 Date Extracted: 06/20/11 Date Analyzed: 06/20/11

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

< 0.1

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Total Mercury
B34-05 106256-01	<0.1
B36-15 106256-14	<0.1

Method Blank

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B34-05 06/17/11 06/20/11 06/21/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110617 WORFDB5 106256-01 062035.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 100 101 103	Lower Limit: 62 55 65	Upper Limit: 142 145 139
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (E 1,2-Dichloroethane Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME) DIPE) (EDC)	$<\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	B36-15 06/17/11 06/20/11 06/21/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110617 WORFDB5 106256-14 062036.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 100 101 101	Lower Limit: 62 55 65	Upper Limit: 142 145 139
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (E 1,2-Dichloroethane Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME) DIPE) (EDC)	$<\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$		

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blan NA 06/20/11 06/21/11 Soil mg/kg (ppm		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20110617 WORFDB5 01-1015 mb 062029.D GCMS4 JS
Surrogates: 1,2-Dichloroethane- Toluene-d8 4-Bromofluorobenze		% Recovery: 102 101 104	Lower Limit: 62 55 65	Upper Limit: 142 145 139
Compounds:		Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Ethanol t-Butyl alcohol (TBA Methyl t-butyl ether Ethyl t-butyl ether t-Amyl methyl ether Diisopropyl ether (D 1,2-Dichloroethane Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Naphthalene	r (MTBE) (ETBE) r (TAME) DIPE) (EDC)	$<\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 106256-03 (Duplicate)

-	_	(Wet Wt)	(Wet Wt)	<b>Relative Percent</b>
		Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.03	< 0.02	nm
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.02	< 0.02	nm
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	< 0.06	< 0.06	nm
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	8 a	12	40 a

			Percent	
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	90	66-121
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	90	72-128
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	0.5	90	69-132
Xylenes	mg/kg (ppm)	1.5	88	69-131
Gasoline	mg/kg (ppm)	20	100	61-153

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Laboratory Code: 106260-01 (Matrix Spike)

			(Wet wt)	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	<50	101	98	73-135	3
Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample							
			Percent				
	<b>Reporting Units</b>	Spike	Recovery	Acceptan	ice		
Analyte		Level	LCS	Criteria	a		
Diesel Extended	mg/kg (ppm)	5,000	99	74-139			

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 106238-07 (Matrix Spike)

-		-		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	10.8	94 b	96 b	51-132	2 b
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	1.89	96	97	44-151	1
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	<1	91	95	52-128	4
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	101	105	69-125	4
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	103	105	83-120	2
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	26.6	95 b	96 b	47-147	1 b
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	20	1.85	104	107	65-126	3

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	98	79-125
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	102	80-120
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	99	81-121
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	103	84-117
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	10	103	89-116
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	99	88-113
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	20	107	81-120

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Laboratory Code: 106238-07 (Matrix Spike)

5		1 /		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	<0.1	71	75	45-162	5

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	81	63-144

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/23/11 Date Received: 06/17/11 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20110617 WORFDB5, F&BI 106256

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 106268-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Ethanol	mg/kg (ppm)	125	<50	92	10-174
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	mg/kg (ppm)	125	<2.5	91	16-169
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	77	21-145
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	80	29-136
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	77	27-141
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	81	27-144
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	82	12-160
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.03	77	29-129
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	81	35-130
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	84	28-142
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	81	32-137
m,p-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	5	< 0.1	83	34-136
o-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	82	33-134
Naphthalene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	< 0.05	80	14-157

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Ethanol	mg/kg (ppm)	125	102	97	11-168	5
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	mg/kg (ppm)	125	97	87	41-150	11
Methyl t-butyl ether (MTBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	90	96	60-123	6
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	90	95	69-115	5
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	89	94	48-142	5
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	92	95	47-143	3
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	92	97	56-135	5
Benzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	89	94	68-114	5
Toluene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	91	95	66-126	4
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	91	96	74-132	5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	90	96	64-123	6
m,p-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	5	92	97	78-122	5
o-Xylene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	92	97	77-124	5
Naphthalene	mg/kg (ppm)	2.5	91	98	60-125	7

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $\mbox{ca}$  - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

 $\ensuremath{\text{pr}}$  – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	Fax (206) 283-5044	Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-	3012 16th Avenue West	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	636 - 12.5	01-029	836-07.5	B36-05	31- 569	335 - 12.5	135 - 10	335-07.5	B35 - D5	B34- 15	B34-12.5	834-07.5	B34-05	Sample ID		Phone # 2010 2010 19 00 Fax #	Ditty state	Address Z	Company Saundenath	Send Report To	1
EMSR1.DOC					<b>T</b> 1	4			836	•				335	•		<u>B</u> 34	B34	Sample Location		6.300 19		ZGIL FAMEWAVE	Jant		106 356
(Revision 1)	Received by:	Relinquished	Received by:	Relinquished by:		12.5	10	7.5	л	2	12.5	10	7.5	π	2	12:5	7.5	ת	Sample Depth		DD Fax #	1 1	EN IEN	HEXH	EAN JOHNSON	
		꽃	$\square$	tby:	SIGI	13AE	12 A.E	U.A.D	10	09	8	17	96	05	0H	20	02 T	OLA-E	Lab ID		f				tuso	
		man +		K	SIGNATURE	-												11/5/11	Date Sampled		10101		TAST		Ž	
				/		1250	1245	1243	1240	1030	1027	1025	1020	2101	0855	0350	0240	0835	Time Sampled			REMARKS	 (	PROJ	SAMP	SAMPLE
		Mplin		11 - wein	PR	*												SUIL	Matrix			RKS	01-169	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUST
		КИ		$\frac{1}{2}$	PRINTANAM	•	ฑ	4	*						_			J	# of jars				-	NO.	ture)	FCU
		222		5	ME													Х	NWTPH-Dx						\ \	STODY
			K	$\overline{}$		X				X	X		X		X	$\times$			NWTPH-Gx							Y
						$\mathbb{X}$				X	$\times$				X	X			BTEX by 8021B		GE					ME
Dan	a			,^	C														VOC's by 8260	ANALYSE	GEMS Y N			PO #		90
upres-		42	2	SF.v.	COMPANY													X	SVOC's by 8270 RCRA-8 Metals	10						- 17
nambas terenar		\ \ \	7		Y													X	GRPH, BTEX, UXY502400	REQUESTED	Dis Dis United		Rush	□ RUSH		
n na		110		6/	ם														<u> </u>	TED	Dispose after 30 Return samples Will call with ins	SAMPI	charge	ndard ( SH	Page #	$\mathcal{O}_{1}$
	0	11/11	¥	2/11	DATE																<ul> <li>Dispose after 30 days</li> <li>Return samples</li> <li>Will call with instruction</li> </ul>	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	s autho	Standard (2 Weeks)	TURNAROUND TIME	a)
	ç,	5110	na(((~	945	TIME														Notes		<ul> <li>Dispose after 30 days</li> <li>Return samples</li> <li>Will call with instructions</li> </ul>	POSAL	Rush charges authorized by:	S.		183

FORMS\COC\SESGEMSR1.DOC (Revision 1)	Fax (206) 283-5044	הההה Ph. (206) 285-8282	Seattle, WA 98119-	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.						Reb-construct B36	BS-compute 1535	7-	B36-25 1	136-22.5	134-20	1326-17.5	136-15 1356	e ID		Phone #	City, State, ZIP	Address	Company	Send Report To	
DOC (Re	Rec	Rei								18°	- - - -						č	on						100	22
vision 1)	Received by:	Relinquished by:	Received by:	Delinnuiste						٢	`	l	25	ul.s-	20	17.5	5	Sample Depth		Fax #			$\langle \rangle$	96% an	ってっ
		by:	1 1 1	rl I						2	20	iq	181	171	5	15 T	HA H	Lab ID					7		
		mu	A A	SIGNATURE		and the second				F						/	6-15-11	Date Sampled							
			C C C C	N C			ويوالعاني والمستحد والمستحير والمراجع			1350	1040	0100	1330	1325	1310	1305	1300	Tim e Sam pled			REMARKS		PROJE	SAMP	SAMPLE
		Nhan	6 Carren	PRI	, /					2			/				52.(	Matrix			RKS	01-16-	PROJECT NAME/NO.	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUS
		14	Kaik	PRINT NAME							-		5	$\sim$	$\sim$		Л	# of jars				1-9	ŇŎ.	ure)	FCUS
		ian	N:Va	ME			+										X	NWTPH-Dx							TODY
								$\left  \right $					$\times$					NWTPH-Gx							
													$\wedge$					BTEX by 8021B	A.	GE		••••			ME
San	D,	1	1 is	S			1		1									VOC's by 8260	ANALYSES	GEMS Y			PO #		90
Samples received at		~ B_	EV S	COMPANY													Х	SVOC's by 8270 RCRA-8 Metals		<u> </u>					Ч ~
recen			'   	Y													$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	GRPH BTEX, Oxygoradoj	REQUESTED		ו ז ו	Rush ch	E Sta		1
ven at		0	6			/													STED	spose a turn sa ll call w	SAME	charg	undard	Page #	n.
	৩	2840 11/4/	11/11	DATE TIME					/									Notes		<ul> <li>Dispose after 30 days</li> <li>Return samples</li> <li>Will call with instructions</li> </ul>	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	Rush charges authorized by:	G Standard (2 Weeks)	age # of	32 2 / 15

**Groundwater Analytical Reports** 

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #011122

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 30, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 10, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101109 WORFDB4, F&BI 011122 project. There are 9 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1130R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 10, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101109 WORFDB4, F&BI 011122 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SoundEarth Strategies
011122-01	MW01-20101109
011122-02	OW1-20101109
011122-03	RW01-20101109
011122-04	RW06-20101109
011122-05	RW03-20101109
011122-06	RW07-20101109
011122-07	OW02-20101109
011122-08	RW02-20101109
011122-09	MW99-20101109

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/30/10 Date Received: 11/10/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101109 WORFDB4, F&BI 011122 Date Extracted: 11/10/10 and 11/15/10 Date Analyzed: 11/10/10 and 11/16/10

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 52-124)
MW01-20101109 011122-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	76
OW1-20101109 011122-02	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	80
RW01-20101109 011122-03	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
RW06-20101109 011122-04	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	77
RW03-20101109 011122-05	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	75
RW07-20101109 011122-06	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	75
OW02-20101109 011122-07	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	64
RW02-20101109 011122-08 1/100	140	420	820	5,400	22,000	80
MW99-20101109 011122-09	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	79
Method Blank 00-1878 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	74
Method Blank 00-1839 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	77

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/30/10 Date Received: 11/10/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101109 WORFDB4, F&BI 011122 Date Extracted: 11/15/10 Date Analyzed: 11/16/10

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL AND MOTOR OIL USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	Diesel Range (C10-C25)	Motor Oil Range (C25-C36)	Surrogate <u>(% Recovery)</u> (Limit 50-150)
MW01-20101109 011122-01	<50	<250	83
OW1-20101109 011122-02	<50	<250	132
RW01-20101109 011122-03	<50	<250	133
RW06-20101109 011122-04	<50	<250	61
RW03-20101109 011122-05	120 x	<250	136
RW07-20101109 011122-06	660 x	360 x	130
OW02-20101109 011122-07	660 x	760 x	132
RW02-20101109 011122-08	1,200 x	<250	57
MW99-20101109 011122-09	<50	<250	130
Method Blank 00-1870 MB	<50	<250	121

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	RW02-2010 11/10/10 11/15/10 11/15/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	1109	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20101109 WORFDB4 011122-08 1/10 111519.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	98	63	127
Toluene-d8		101	65	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	97	69	127
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		360		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bla NA 11/15/10 11/15/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	ank	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SoundEarth Strategies TOC_01-169_20101109 WORFDB4 001860 mb 111510.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	100	63	127
Toluene-d8		96	65	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	94	69	127
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Naphthalene		<1		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/30/10 Date Received: 11/10/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101109 WORFDB4, F&BI 011122

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 011095-01 (Duplicate)

Laboratory couct or (Duplicate)										
Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Relative Percent Difference (Limit 20)						
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm						
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	1.1	<1	nm						
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	13	12	8						
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	43	41	5						
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,300	1,300	0						

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	94	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	93	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	95	69-134

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/30/10 Date Received: 11/10/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101109 WORFDB4, F&BI 011122

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AS DIESEL EXTENDED USING METHOD NWTPH-Dx

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Diesel Extended	ug/L (ppb)	2,500	90	91	63-142	1

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/30/10 Date Received: 11/10/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101109 WORFDB4, F&BI 011122

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 011048-09 (Matrix Spike)

3	,	1 /			Percent	
		Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte		Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Naphthalene		ug/L (ppb)	50	<1	113	40-166

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Naphthalene	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	103	66-135	0

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

















Analysis Metho



1. J.		. ,										×	ſ	FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	FOR
τ Δ Ο	Samples received at	Sample									oy:	Received by:		Fax (206) 283-5044	Fax
											ied by:	Relinquished by:		Ph. (206) 285-8282	Ph.
		FEBM			fell	T	re/	Mochael	Ø			Received	_	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Sea
[1.110 1025	1	SES		7	5		Pri	And	<u>ر</u> ما		led by	Relinquished by		3012 16th Avenue West	301
DATE TIME		COMPANY			ME	PRINT NA	Ë	PR		SIGNATURE	SIGN			Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Fri
															<b></b>
					$\left  \right\rangle$	X	$\left  \right\rangle$	4	when	•	on All alus	ABR	2010 1109	MW99-20	3
> Naphthaland				$ \hat{\uparrow} $	X	×	X	4	When	1535	alula lo	IPA PO	20101107	RW02-20	R
					×	x	X	t	water	1433	0728 4/9/6/1433	JA P	- 2010 11 09 -	0w02 - 2000	0
					$\times$	x	×	4	Wale	1430	01/2/10	06 × 90	- JOID 1107	RWD7 - 201	R
					×	×	×	4	Wala	1255	11/9/10	or rau	- 2010109	RW03 -20	R
					$\times$	×	×	4	Waler	1342	1	041-911	2010 1109	RW06 - 204	R
					×	×	×	4	Wala	1237	1/1/10	527-841	- 2010 1109	RW01-201	R
					$\boldsymbol{\times}$	×	X	4	With	1145	02 A.g. 11/9/10	10 A.Q	20101109	10m1 - 201	0
					$\times$	×	×	4	WAR	1138	01 # \$ 11/9/10	B-H 10		4011010 E - 10 MM	3
Notes			SVOCs by 8270 HFS	VOCs by8260	BTEX by 8021B	TPH-Gasoline	TPH-Diesel	# of containers	Sample Type	Time Sampled	Date Sampled	Lab ID		Sample ID	
	Ŭ	ANALYSES REQUESTED	VALYSE	A											Π
□ Keturn samples □ Will call with instructions	☐ Will call with in									306-1207		-nop-		Phone # 206- 306	Ph
SAMPLE DISPOSAL pose after 30 days	SAMPLE DISPOS							<b>UKS</b>	REMARKS	20181	EA	1	Senter	City, State, ZIP _	Cit
Standard (2 Weeks)  RUSH Rush charges authorized by	Standard (2 Weeks)     RUSH     Rush charges authori	PO#		Â	Everett		l °°∽ Z	PROJECT NAME/NO. - Toc Hold mys - oyup - 00 2 - 10 - 06 4	· · ·	E	Ave E	Parth 1	Samo En	Company <u>So</u> Address <u>3811</u>	Ad
Page # / of TURNAROUND TIME	Page #	E. Pol		Lenf	,₽ ,		atur	SAMPLERS (signature)	SAMPL		Johnson		Beau	Send Report To	Se
A05/14	10-16	11	ME	УY	TOI	SUC	Ē	HAIN O	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	7.0				91110	

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. #012261

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 28, 2010

Ryan Bixby, Project Manager SoundEarth Strategies 2811 Fairview Ave. East, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98102

Dear Mr. Bixby:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 22, 2010 from the TOC\_01-169\_20101222 WORFDB4, F&BI 012261 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Mark Chandler, Audrey Hackett, Beau Johnson SOU1228R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on December 22, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SoundEarth Strategies TOC\_01-169\_20101222 WORFDB4, F&BI 012261 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SoundEarth Strategies
012261-01	MW09-20101221

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/28/10 Date Received: 12/22/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101222 WORFDB4, F&BI 012261 Date Extracted: 12/23/10 Date Analyzed: 12/24/10

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Results Reported as ug/L (ppb)

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl <u>Benzene</u>	Total <u>Xylenes</u>	Gasoline <u>Range</u>	Surrogate ( <u>% Recovery</u> ) (Limit 52-124)
MW09-20101221 012261-01	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	86
Method Blank 00-2125 MB	<1	<1	<1	<3	<100	82

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/28/10 Date Received: 12/22/10 Project: TOC\_01-169\_20101222 WORFDB4, F&BI 012261

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, XYLENES, AND TPH AS GASOLINE USING EPA METHOD 8021B AND NWTPH-Gx

Laboratory Code: 012267-01 (Duplicate)

Laboratory couct	orazor or (Dupin	cuto)		
Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Relative Percent Difference (Limit 20)
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	<3	<3	nm
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	<100	<100	nm

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Benzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	105	65-118
Toluene	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	72-122
Ethylbenzene	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	73-126
Xylenes	ug/L (ppb)	150	105	74-118
Gasoline	ug/L (ppb)	1,000	87	69-134

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

**b** - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\ensuremath{\text{ip}}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Ph. (206) 285-8282 Fax (206) 283-5044 Received by Market by Market by	Soris roun Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		• •						Icthol - bolyw			City, State, ZIP Seattle, WA Phone # 206 306 / 400 Fax #	Company Sound Earth States the Address 2811 Fairvia Avet	017201
		<b></b> -								OLA-C	Sample Location		506/90	I Lairy	D -
Relinquished by:	Received by:	SIGNATURE								NIA	Sample Depth	- - -	6 1900 Fax#	the gr	1 d - c
NG	- A	SIG									Lab ID		2	Et its	3
ann		VATURE								1/10/01	Date Sampled		28100	rs, Inc	
	21									1600	Time Sampled		KEMARKS	PROJE	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY
Janua 19	Ch.	PRI								Water	Matrix		KS	PROJECT NAME/NO. TOC Facility O	SAMPLERS (signature)
ph 10	hris	PRINT NAME								ω	# of jars			01-1 01-1	F CU
-ING	22	ME					:				NWTPH-Dx			169	STO
	5									X	/Biex NWTPH-Gx				NDY
			 Sam			 	 		_		BTEX by 8021B		GB		
Champion		C	Samples received			 •	_			$\left  \right $	VOC's by 8260	ANALYSES	GEMS Y / N	PO#	
x x	SES	COMPANY	ecenty			 	_				SVOC's by 8270	1 C. 1	N/N		
		YN	 te og					<u>.</u>			RCRA-8 Metals	REQU			
4			 4	_		 	$\rightarrow$			$\left  \right $		REQUESTED	SA) Jispose Veturn Vill cal	<b>PStanda</b> D RUSH Rush char	Page #
2-22-10	01-50-10	DATE	7	• •					•e	8		B	☐ Disposa after 30 days ☐ Disposa after 30 days ☐ Return samples ☐ Will call with instruc	TURNAROUND De Standard (2 Weeks) D RUSH Rush charges authoriz	*
D 11115	0	TIME			•				•	Gay Brex analysis	Notes		□ Dispose after 30 days □ Return samples □ Will call with instructions	TURNAROUND TIME D Standard (2 Weeks) D RUSH Rush charges authorized by:	

# APPENDIX C

# **Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation Form**



### Table 749-1

## Simplified Terrestrial Ecological Evaluation-Exposure Analysis Procedure

Estimate the area of contiguous (connected) undeveloped land on the site or within 500 feet of any area of the site to the nearest 1/2 acre (1/4 acre if the area is less than 0.5 acre). 1) From the table below, find the number of points corresponding to the area and 5 enter this number in the field to the right. Area (acres) Points 0.25 or less 4 0.5 5 1.0 6 1.5 7 2.08 2.5 9 3.0 10 3.5 11 4.0 or more 12 2) Is this an industrial or commercial property? If yes, enter a score of 3. If no, enter 3 a score of 1 3)<sup>a</sup> Enter a score in the box to the right for the habitat quality of the site, using the following rating system<sup>b</sup>. High=1, Intermediate=2, Low=3 4) Is the undeveloped land likely to attract wildlife? If yes, enter a score of 1 in the box to the right. If no, enter a score of  $2^{c}$ 5) Are there any of the following soil contaminants present: Chlorinated dioxins/furans, PCB mixtures, DDT, DDE, DDD, aldrin, chlordane, dieldrin, endosulfan, endrin, heptachlor, benzene hexachloride, toxaphene, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, pentachlorobenzene? If yes, enter a score of 1 in the box to the right. If no, enter a score of 4. 6) Add the numbers in the boxes on lines 2-5 and enter this number in the box to the G right. If this number is larger than the number in the box on line 1, the simplified evaluation may be ended.

#### Notes for Table 749-1

<sup>a</sup> It is expected that this habitat evaluation will be undertaken by an experienced field biologist. If this is not the case, enter a conservative score of (1) for questions 3 and 4.

<sup>b</sup> **Habitat rating system.** Rate the quality of the habitat as high, intermediate or low based on your professional judgment as a field biologist. The following are suggested factors to consider in making this evaluation:

Low: Early <u>successional</u> vegetative stands; vegetation predominantly noxious, nonnative, exotic plant species or weeds. Areas severely disturbed by human activity, including intensively cultivated croplands. Areas isolated from other habitat used by wildlife.