

1631 E. Saint Andrew Place Santa Ana, California 92705 f 949.988.3514 www.montrose-env.com

October 22, 2019

Mr. Hamed Adib Eagle Canyon Capital, LLC 3223 Crow Canyon Road, Suite 300 San Ramon, CA 94583

### <u>Third Quarter 2019</u> <u>Remediation System Status Report</u> Site No. 3520 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington

Dear Mr. Adib:

Montrose Environmental (Montrose), has prepared this *Third Quarter 2019 Remediation System Status Report* (Report) for the above-referenced site.

A soil vapor extraction (SVE) system is operated at the site to remediate fuel hydrocarbonimpacted soil. This Report summarizes remediation system operations and performance since restarting the system on September 16, 2019 and includes the field data and analytical results collected during the period of September 16, 2019 through September 30, 2019. Laboratory data packages and field notes are attached as Appendix A and Appendix B, respectively.

Montrose appreciates the opportunity to be of service. If you have any questions or require additional information regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact us at (714) 919-6500.

Sincerely,

Montrose Environmental

guil

Dane Nygaard Senior Manager

Laura Skow, L.G. 2882 Project Manager



#### SITE INFORMATION AND CONTRACTOR OVERVIEW

Site Location:	Site No. 3520 4200 Wheaton Way Bremerton, Washington
Eagle Canyon Capital, LLC Contact:	Mr. Hamed Adib
Montrose Contact:	Ms. Laura Skow
Regulatory Agency:	Ms. Glynis Carrosino Toxics Cleanup Program Washington Department of Ecology NWRO 3190 160th Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452
File No:	VCP No. NW2340
Laboratory Contractors:	Environmental Services Network (ESN) Northwest, Inc. 1210 Eastside Street SE, Suite 200 Olympia, Washington 98501 WADOE Accreditation No. C574-11
	Libby Environmental, Inc. 4139 Libby Road NE Olympia, Washington 98506 WADOE Accreditation No. C855

#### SITE BACKGROUND

The subject site is located at 4200 Wheaton Way in Bremerton, Washington and is approximately a 0.5-acre rectangular-shaped, outparcel of commercial land located on the northeast corner of Wheaton Way and Hollis Street. The site is a fuel retail station with four underground storage tanks (USTs) and three pump islands that are located near (west of) a single-story convenience store. The USTs include one 6,000-gallon tank (diesel), two 12,000-gallon tanks (regular gasoline) and one 12,000-gallon tank (premium gasoline). The site is relatively flat, covered with asphalt and concrete, and is part of a larger retail shopping center. Surrounding land use includes commercial properties including retail shops and restaurants.

The site lies at an elevation of approximately 300 feet above mean sea level (ft amsl) on a small peninsula within Puget Sound. It is located approximately 2 miles from Port Orchard

Waterway, Dyes Inlet and Sinclair Inlet, which surround the peninsula to the east, west and south, respectively. A site location map is provided as Figure 1. Pertinent site features are shown on Figure 2.

In September and October 1996, the fuel distribution system at the subject site was upgraded. During system upgrades, hydrocarbon-affected soil was encountered in the tank cavity and 450 tons of impacted soil was excavated and transported to a disposal facility in Tacoma, Washington. The release was reported to the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) and five verification soil samples were collected from the tank cavity for laboratory analysis. In addition, five soil samples were collected from the beneath the product lines and pump islands. The samples were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) compounds and total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPH-Gx). Hydrocarbon impacts in excess of Model Toxics Cleanup Act (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Levels were identified in all ten soil samples. Specifically, the highest levels of fuel hydrocarbons were reported in a composite sample (identified as N&E Wall-8'), which was collected from the north and east sidewall of the diesel tank cavity at a depth of 8 feet. Sample N&E Wall-8' contained TPH-Gx at 7,220 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), benzene at 27.6 mg/kg, toluene at 191 mg/kg, ethylbenzene at 111 mg/kg and total xylenes at 626 mg/kg.

In June 1997, Clearwater conducted subsurface site assessment activities. During Clearwater's investigation, 17 soil borings (GP-1 through GP-17) were installed at various locations around the site to delineate the extent of hydrocarbon-affected soil. Borings were terminated at a depth of 17 feet bgs due to refusal. Twenty-six (26) soil samples collected from the borings were analyzed for TPH-Gx and BTEX compounds. Hydrocarbon-affected soil was detected in a majority of the soil borings. The highest concentration of TPH-Gx (1,410 mg/kg) was in a 10-foot sample from boring GP-7 located near the southwest corner of the tank cavity. Similarly, benzene was detected at a maximum level of 11.9 mg/kg in a 10-foot sample collected from GP-5 located east of the existing tank cavity.

In May 2010, Environ Strategy conducted an additional site assessment to evaluate subsurface conditions in the vicinity of the fuel distribution system (USTs and pump islands). Six soil borings (identified as SB-1 through SB-6) were advanced, of which, Borings SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3 were located near the existing tank cavity and advanced to a depth of 30 feet. Borings SB-4, SB-5 and SB-6 were drilled at the west end of the southern, central and northern pump islands, respectively, and extended to a depth of 25 feet at SB-4 and to 20 feet bgs at SB-5 and SB-6. Assessment findings are detailed in the *Focused Phase II Site Assessment Report*, dated May 30, 2010.

Based on the results of site assessment, an SVE system was designed and vapor extraction wells VE-1 through VE-4 were installed from March 29 to 31, 2011. Remediation by SVE was pilot

tested at the site from April 4 to 7, 2011, and proved effective at removing hydrocarbons from subsurface soil, as detailed in the *Soil Vapor Extraction Well Installation and Pilot Test Report*, dated June 21, 2011. An application for an air discharge permit for the operation of SVE equipment at the site for the remediation of hydrocarbons in soil was submitted on June 6, 2011.

The air discharge permit for the operation of SVE equipment at the site was received from the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency on November 7, 2011, and following system installation, baseline samples were collected on February 15, 2012, to demonstrate compliance with the air discharge permit requirements.

On February 28, 2012, the SVE system began continuous operation for the remediation of hydrocarbons in subsurface soil. Environ Strategy began bi-monthly site visits for operation and maintenance (O&M) of the system.

As reported in the *Remediation System Status Report,* dated October 15, 2012, field observations and laboratory test results demonstrate that soil vapor extraction is effective at removing petroleum constituents from subsurface soil beneath the site. During the first six months of system operation an estimated total of 7,461 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons were extracted from the site subsurface. Based on the results of the first six months of system operation, Environ Strategy recommended continued operation of the SVE system until hydrocarbon removal rates reached asymptotic levels.

In March of 2013, the thermal oxidizer system was replaced with a carbon adsorption abatement system. As of September 30, 2013, an estimated 12,179 pounds of hydrocarbons were removed from the site subsurface through SVE. Monitoring data through September 2013 showed fluctuating hydrocarbon concentrations in system influent vapor since system start-up but showed a decreasing trend as select extraction wells were opened/closed to optimize system performance. Data collected from October 2013 through January 2014 showed similar trends in concentrations; therefore, confirmation sampling was proposed to confirm remediation and/or attenuation of hydrocarbons in soil characterized during previous assessments.

In December 2016, ES Engineering conducted confirmation soil sampling to evaluate remedial progress. Four confirmation borings (CB-1 through CB-4) were installed at the site to assess soil conditions following SVE remediation activities. Analytical results of the confirmation sampling indicated that COC concentrations in soil still exceeded MTCA Method A CULs in some areas of the site. Based on the findings, installation of additional extraction wells and re-instatement of SVE remediation were recommended. Additional details regarding the confirmation soil sampling are provided in the *Confirmation Soil Sampling Report*, dated March 30, 2017.

In January 2018, three additional remediation wells (RW-1 through RW-3) were installed at the site and connected to the remediation system. Well installation activities are detailed in the *Well Installation Report*, dated March 9, 2018.

#### **RECENT REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES**

- In December 2018, Montrose collected a sample of the granular activated carbon (GAC, Carbon-1) for waste profiling purposes. The sample was submitted to ESN for analysis of TPH-Gx by Method NWTPH-Gx and volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8260. A copy of the laboratory analytical report is included as Appendix A.
- In June 2019, a *Notice of Construction and Application For Approval* for modification of the remediation equipment and restart of the SVE system was submitted to the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (PSCAA).
- In July 2019, the PSCAA issued an *Order of Approval* for the proposed modifications and operation of the remediation system.
- In August and September 2019, Montrose was onsite to install the replacement blower and a water holding tank for the remediation system. On September 3, 2019, the system was briefly restarted to test the system, collect baseline vapor samples, and monitor the influent and effluent vapor stream to evaluate the GAC for potential breakthrough. Baseline vapor samples were collected from the individual extraction wells for laboratory analysis (Table 3).
- On September 6, 2019, Pacific Coast Carbon was retained to change out the GAC and provide waste transportation and disposal services. Certification of the removal and reactivation of the spent GAC is included as Appendix C.
- On September 11, 2019, Montrose submitted the *Notice of Completion* for the remediation system modifications and notification of planned system start-up to the PSCAA.
- On September 16 and 17, 2019, Montrose was onsite to restart the SVE system and conduct O&M activities.

Following system restart, twice weekly O&M visits were conducted to monitor the system in accordance with the PSCAA permit. Vapor flow rates, vacuum, system temperatures, and concentrations of unspeciated hydrocarbons in vapor in system influent, midpoint, effluent, and individual wells were recorded. Vapor samples were collected from the system influent, midpoint and effluent sample ports and submitted for laboratory analysis. Laboratory Analytical Reports are provided as Appendix A.

#### **Remediation System Status Report**

Remediation system operational data is tabulated in Table 1. SVE individual well data is summarized in Table 2. Soil vapor sample analytical data is summarized in Table 3. Calculated recovery and emission rates are tabulated in Table 4. System destruction efficiencies are summarized in Table 5. O&M field forms are provided in Appendix B. Historical O&M data tables and charts are presented as Appendix D.

#### **REMEDIATION SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA**

Facility:	Bremerton Food Mart (Site No. 3520)
Facility Address:	4200 Wheaton Way, Bremerton, WA
Remediation Technology:	SVE
Equipment Type:	Roots 200 cfm blower
Operation Mode:	Carbon Adsorption
Permit to Operate:	PSCAA Order of Approval No. 11837
Discharge Limits:	<10 ppmv (as measured by hexane or its equivalent)
Expiration Date:	Not Specified

#### SVE SYSTEM DATA (Table 1)

SVE System Re-start Date: Se	ptember 16, 2019
------------------------------	------------------

#### Third Quarter 2019 (September 16, 2019 – September 30, 2019)

Period Hours of Operation:	283*
Percent Time Operational:	84%*
TPH Recovered:	84 pounds**
Wells online:	5 (VE-1, VE-3, RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3) <sup>(1)</sup>
Wells offline:	2 (VE-2 and VE-4) <sup>(1)</sup>

\*Calculated from September 16, 2019 (system restart date) to September 30, 2019 (Table 1)

\*\*Calculated based on field PID readings (Table 1)

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on September 30, 2019 data; extraction wells are opened/closed to optimize system performance

#### Cumulative

Since Initial Startup:	February 28, 2012 – September 30, 2019
Total Hours of Operation:	14,180
Total Hydrocarbons Recovered:	12,825 pounds

#### **COMPLIANCE SAMPLING**

On September 3, 2019, individual well vapor samples were collected to evaluate baseline conditions (Table 3). The vapor samples were collected in tedlar bags and submitted to ESN, in Olympia, Washington under chain-of-custody procedure. The vapor samples were analyzed for TPH-Gx and BTEX by EPA Method 8260. Analytical results indicate that TPH-Gx and BTEX were present in the vapor samples.

On September 16, September 20 and September 30, 2019, Montrose collected influent, midpoint, and effluent vapor samples from the SVE system to demonstrate compliance with air discharge conditions (Table 4). The vapor samples were collected in tedlar bags and submitted to Libby Environmental, Inc. in Olympia, Washington under chain-of-custody procedure. The vapor samples were analyzed for TPH-Gx and BTEX by EPA Method 8260. Laboratory analytical results indicate that TPH-Gx and BTEX were not present in the midpoint or effluent vapor samples.

The laboratory analytical results for the samples collected on September 16, September 20, September 30, 2019 were used to calculate mass recovery and discharge emissions for the current reporting period (Tables 4 and 5). The estimated discharge emissions do not exceed annual emission limits for TPH-Gx and BTEX.

The laboratory analytical reports for the vapor samples are provided as Appendix A.

#### **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

The SVE system was restarted on September 16, 2019. During the reporting period, approximately 84 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons in vapor phase were extracted for treatment based on field PID readings. Since remediation was initiated in February 2012, an estimated total of 12,825 pounds of petroleum hydrocarbons have been extracted from the site subsurface, treated and discharged. Period trends in hydrocarbon concentrations in vapor, cumulative mass removed and individual well concentrations are graphically illustrated in Graphs 1 and 2. As shown in Graph 1, hydrocarbon concentrations in system influent vapor were elevated upon re-starting the system and decreased slightly after several days of operation. Field PID readings and baseline laboratory analytical results from the individual extraction wells show elevated hydrocarbon concentrations in most of the site extraction wells upon restarting the remediation system (Graph 2).

Montrose will continue to conduct twice weekly O&M visits to monitor the system as required by the PSCAA permit and to ensure the system is operating properly. Field PID monitoring and laboratory analytical results show effluent vapor is non-detect for TPH-Gx and BTEX constituents, therefore, a reduction in the O&M monitoring frequency from twice weekly to weekly is recommended. Montrose will request PSCAA approval of reduced O&M monitoring

#### **Remediation System Status Report**

prior to implementation. Based on field PID readings, select extraction wells may be opened/closed to optimize system performance. System vapor samples will be collected and analyzed on a monthly basis to demonstrate compliance with permit discharge requirements.

Montrose is pleased to be of service to Eagle Canyon Capital. If there are questions regarding this report or if additional site information is required, please do not hesitate to contact Montrose at (714) 919-6500.

## **Remediation System Status Report**

### ATTACHMENTS:

FIGURES	
Figure 1:	Site Location Map
Figure 2:	Site Plan Showing Well Locations
TABLES	
Table 1:	Summary of Vapor Extraction System Operational Data
Table 2:	SVE Individual Well Data
Table 3:	Soil Vapor Sample Analytical Data
Table 4:	Subsurface Hydrocarbon Mass Calculations
Table 5:	System Destruction Efficiencies
GRAPHS	
Graph 1:	Vapor Extraction Remediation System – Mass Removal Trend
Graph 2:	Vapor Extraction Remediation System – Hydrocarbon
	Concentrations by Well
APPENDICES	
Appendix A:	Laboratory Analytical Reports
Appendix B:	Field Data Sheets
Appendix C:	Certification of Waste Disposal
Appendix D:	Historical O&M Data Tables and Graphs

**FIGURES** 



R: \03\_Site Assessment & Remediation \Eagle Canyon (PC&F) \01-3520 - Wheaton Way, Bremerton WA (#623) \Topo, Terrain, Maps \CAD \623F1-SLM.dwg



TABLES

TABLE 1

Summary of Soil Vapor Extraction System Operational Data

#### Site No. 3520

#### Bremerton, Washington

#### 1 of 1

Date	Hour Meter Reading	Operational Hours	# of Wells Online	Influent Vacuum (in H <sub>2</sub> O or Hg) <sup>(1)</sup>	System Combustion Temp / GAC Inlet (°F)	Temp below cat. Bed (°F)	Temp above cat. Bed (°F)	Flow (scfm)	Influent PID Reading (ppmV)	Midpoint PID Reading (ppmV)	First carbon Destruction Efficiency (%)	Effluent PID Reading (ppmV)	Total Destruction Efficiency (%)	Cumulative Hydrocarbon Mass Removed (lbs)	Hydrocarbon Removal Rate (Ibs/day)	Remarks
09/03/19	70,095	13,892	7	6.0	109	-		135	49.4	-	-	43.5	12%	12,740	0.1	System offline, Start up for Baseline testing then shut off system
09/03/19	70,096	13,893	7	6.5	112	-	-	135	66.0	-	-	78.1	-18%	12,740	2.55	System offline, Start up for Baseline testing then shut off system
09/03/19	70,097	13,894	7	7.0	112	-	-	135	71.0	-	-	57.0	20%	12,741	3.02	System offline, Start up for Baseline testing then shut off system
09/06/19	70,097	13,895	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,741	-	System offline - Carbon Change Out
09/16/19	70,100	13,897	7	6.5	109	-	-	138	53.5	-	-	0.0	100%	12,741	2.41	System Startup
09/16/19	70,101	13,899	7	6.5	110	-	-	137	495	-	-	0.3	100%	12,742	12.28	
09/17/19	70,118	13,916	7	6.5	100	-	-	138	455	-	-	1.2	100%	12,756	20.18	
09/19/19	70,123	13,921	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,756	-	System off upon arrival; troubleshot and re-started
09/20/19	70,141	13,939	7	6.5	110	-	-	123	349	0.2	100%	0.0	100%	12,769	14.03	
09/23/19	70,216	14,014	7	7.0	105	-	-	127	43	0.0	100%	0.0	100%	12,795	8.13	
09/26/19	70,284	14,081	5	8.0	112	-	-	108	51	0.0	100%	0.0	100%	12,800	1.65	Vapor wells VE-2 and VE-4 off upon departure
09/26/19	70,285	14,082	5	10	130	-	-	77	131	0.0	100%	0.0	100%	12,800	2.28	
09/30/19	70,383	14,180	5	10	135	-	-	195	68	0.0	100%	0.0	100%	12,825	6.33	

#### Notes and abbreviations:

Hydrocarbon removal rate and cumulative hydrocarbon removal were calculated using the following formula:

Where: ppmv = average hydrocarbon concentration in parts per million by volume ft3/min = velocity or flow rate in standard cubic feet per minute acfm = vapor flow rate in actual cubic feet per minute

86 lb/lb-mole = average molecular weight of gasoline 379 ft<sup>5</sup>/lb-mole = standard volume that 1 mole of gas occupies

(1): measurement in in. of H2O through 3/13/14 and in inches Hg begininning 9/3/19
- : not measured
lbs: pounds

lbs/day: pounds per day

PID: photo-ionization detector calibrated to hexane ppmV: parts per million by volume

acfm: actual cubic feet per minute

scfm: standard cubic feet per minute

in H<sub>2</sub>O: inches of water

in Hg: inches of mercury

Temp: temperature

°F: degrees Farenheit



## TABLE 2 SVE Individual Well Data Site No. 3520

### Bremerton, Washington

## 1 of 1

	VE-1	Vac	Status	VE-2	Vac	Status	VE-3	Vac	Status	VE-4	Vac	Status
Date	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)
09/03/19	11.7	-	100%	12.9	-	100%	231	-	100%	17.9	-	100%
09/03/19	21.3	-	100%	18.2	-	100%	340	-	100%	30.3	-	100%
09/03/19	24.5	-	100%	22.4	-	100%	215	-	100%	35.0	-	100%
09/16/19	54.7	-	100%	50.2	-	100%	4,400	-	100%	34.8	-	100%
09/16/19	576	-	100%	166	-	100%	8,530	-	100%	340	-	100%
09/17/19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
09/19/19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
09/26/19	13.3	-	100%	-	-	0%	-	-	100%	-	-	0%
09/30/19	-	7	100%	-	-	0%	-	-	100%	-	-	0%
	RW-1	Vac	Status	RW-2	Vac	Status	RW-3	Vac	Status	VE-3/RW-2	Vac	Status
Date	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)	(ppmv)	(in Hg)	(%)
09/03/19	108	-	100%	160	-	100%	55.8	-	100%	-	-	-
09/03/19	125	-	100%	123	-	100%	54.8	-	100%	-	-	-
09/03/19	62.8	-	100%	92.8	-	100%	28.8	-	100%	-	-	-
09/16/19	296	-	100%	142	-	100%	73	-	100%	-	-	-
09/16/19	669	-	100%	1,062	-	100%	450	-	100%	-	-	-
09/17/19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
09/19/19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
09/26/19	18	-	100%	-	-	100%	8	-	100%	203	-	100%
09/30/19	-	7	100%	-	-	100%	-	8	100%	-	8	100%

Notes:

Baseline Well Sampling on 9/03/19 System re-start up on 9/16/19 in Hg = inches of mercury ppmv = parts per million by volume, based on field photo-ionization detector readings acfm = actual cubic feet per minute 1% LEL = 138 ppmv (approximately) "-" = not measured %: percent Status: well status, percent open Vac: vacuum Vapor wells VE-3 and RW-2 share the common line



## TABLE 3 Soil Vapor Sample Analytical Data Site No. 3520 Bremerton, Washington

### 1 of 1

			E	EPA METHOD 82	60	
SAMPLE ID	Date	TPH-Gx	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes
		ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv
INFLUENT	09/16/19	290	0.19	2.3	0.63	1.9
	09/20/19	-	-	-	-	-
	09/30/19	110	0.056	1.6	0.74	3.1
MIDPOINT	09/16/19	<1.0	<0.007	<0.04	<0.05	<0.03
	09/20/19	-	-	-	-	-
	09/30/19	<1.0	<0.007	<0.04	<0.05	<0.03
EFFLUENT	09/16/19	-	-	-	-	-
	09/20/19	<1.0	<0.007	< 0.04	<0.05	<0.03
	09/30/19	<1.0	<0.007	<0.04	<0.05	<0.03
VE-1	09/03/19	78.24	0.018	0.080	0.078	0.322
VE-2	09/03/19	73.35	0.023	0.101	0.041	0.198
VE-3	09/03/19	1,589	0.153	0.478	0.299	0.598
VE-4	09/03/19	154	0.157	0.902	0.074	0.391
RW-1	09/03/19	538	0.500	3.18	0.668	1.91
RW-2	09/03/19	269	<0.003	0.080	0.115	0.322
RW-3	09/03/19	64	<0.003	0.066	0.576	0.193

Notes:

< = not detected at listed detection limit

Baseline well sampling on 9/03/19

Sytem restarted on 09/16/19

ppmv = parts per million by volume

TPH-Gx = total petroleum hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline

- = not applicable/sampled



#### TABLE 4 Subsurface Hydrocarbon Mass Removal and Emission Calculations Site No. 3520 Bremerton, Washington

#### 1 of 1

		Cumulatius	Guntaur		ТРН			Benzene			Ethylbenzen	e		Toluene			Xylenes	
Sample ID	Sampling	Cumulative Operating	System Flowrate	Conc.	Total	Removal	Conc.	Total	Removal	Conc.	Periodic	Removal	Conc.	Total	Removal	Conc.	Total	Removal
Sample ib	Date	Hours	(scfm)	(ppmv)	Removal	Rate	(ppmv)	Removal	Rate	(ppmv)	Removal	Rate	(ppmv)	Removal	Rate	(ppmv)	Removal	Rate
		nours	(senin)		(lbs)	(lbs/day)		(lbs)	(lbs/day)		(lbs)	(lbs/day)		(lbs)	(lbs/day)		(lbs)	(lbs/day)
Influent	09/16/19	13,899	137	290	0	13	0.19	0.00	0.008	0.63	0.00	0.00	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.09
	09/20/19	13,939	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	09/30/19	14,180	195	110	82	7	0.06	0.04	0.003	0.74	0.68	0.03	1.6	1.2	0.0	3.1	2.3	0.20
					ТРН			Bansana			Fabulhanson			Taluana			Vulanas	<u>i                                    </u>
	Sampling	Cumulative	System	6		Fundamin and	6	Benzene	<b>E</b> uclasiana		Ethylbenzen		6	Toluene	Casianiana	Carra	Xylenes	Castantana
Sample ID	Date	Operating	Flowrate	Conc.	Periodic	Emissions	Conc.	Periodic	Emissions	Conc.	Periodic Emissions	Emissions	Conc.	Periodic Emissions	Emissions	Conc.	Periodic	Emissions
	Date	Hours	(scfm)	(ppmv)	Emissions (lbs)	Rate (Ibs/day)	(ppmv)	Emissions (lbs)	Rate (Ibs/day)	(ppmv)	(lbs)	Rate (lbs/day)	(ppmv)	(lbs)	Rate (Ibs/day)	(ppmv)	Emissions (lbs)	Rate (Ibs/day)
Effluent	09/16/19	13,899	137		(103)	(IDS/Udy)	_	(103)	(IDS/Udy)		(103)	(IDS/Udy)		- (103)	(IDS/Udy)		(103)	(IDS/UAY)
Lindent	09/20/19	13,939	123	<1	0.07	0.04	< 0.007	0.00	0.0003	< 0.05	0.00	0.000	< 0.04	0.0	0.0000	< 0.03	0.0	0.001
	09/30/19	14.180	195	<1	0.64	0.06	< 0.007	0.00	0.0004	<0.05	0.04	0.000	<0.04	0.0	0.0000	< 0.03	0.0	0.002
Note: calcula	ted cumulative	e using reporting	z limit if no de	tection											1	J		·
- : Not Sam		8 <b>F</b>	,															
Conc.: Conc																		
lbs: pounds																		
lbs/day: po	unds per day																	
ppmV: part	s per million by	y volume																
TPH: Total	Petroleum Hyd	lrocarbons																
TDH or	nissions	TPH-Gx parts pe	er million v cul	hic feet ner m	vinute v 60 mir	uites/hour v h	ours x 86 poi	inds ner lh mo	l v 24 hours/d	21								
	ation =				),000 x 379 cut			inus per ib mu	1 X 24 11001 5/0	ay	-							
				2,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ie ieee pei io												
Benezene	emissions	Benzene parts p	per million x cu	ubic feet per r	minute x 60 mi	inutes/hour x	hours x 78 pc	unds per lb m	ol x 24 hours/	day								
calcul	ation =			1,000	),000 x 379 cub	pic feet per lb	mol	· ·			-							
Ethybenzer	ne emissions	Ethylbenzene p	arts per millio	n x cubic feet	per minute x	50 minutes/ho	our x hours x :	106 pounds pe	er lb mol x 24	hours/day								
calcul	ation =			1,000	),000 x 379 cub	oic feet per lb	mol				-							
	emissions	Toluene parts p	er million x cu	ibic feet per n	ninute x 60 mi	nutes/hour x ł	nours x 92 po	unds per lb m	ol x 24 hours/	day	_							
calcul	ation =			1,000	),000 x 379 cub	pic feet per lb	mol											
Xylenes	emissions	Xylenes parts p	er million x cu	bic feet per m	ninute x 60 mir	nutes/hour x h	ours x 106 pc	ounds per lb m	ol x 24 hours	/day								
	ation =				),000 x 379 cut				,		-							
						•												
Carbon chang	e out of first v	essel required at	: 10% of inlet	stream conce	entration to the	e carbon vesse	el or 10 ppmv	(measured as	hexane or its	equivalent)								



## TABLE 5 System Destruction Efficiencies Site No. 3520 Bremerton, Washington 1 of 1

Samula Data	Destruction Efficiencies									
Sample Date	TPH-Gx	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes					
09/16/19*	99.66%	96.32%	98.26%	92.06%	98.42%					
09/30/19	99.09%	87.50%	97.50%	93.24%	99.03%					
Notes: * = destruction and effluent s				imple collected on 9	/16/19					



GRAPHS

## GRAPH 1 Vapor Extraction System - Mass Removal Trend Site No. 3520 Bremerton, Washington





## GRAPH 2 Vapor Extraction System - Hydrocarbon Concentrations by Well SiteNo. 3520 Bremerton, Washington





APPENDIX A

Laboratory Analytical Reports



January 7, 2019

Laura Skow ES Engineering 1 Park Plaza, Suite 1000 Irvine, CA 92614

Dear Ms. Skow:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the Site #3520 Project in Bremerton, Washington. One soil sample was analyzed for Gasoline by NWTPH-Gx and VOC's by Method 8260 on December 21, 2018.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. All soil values are reported on a dry weight basis. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. An invoice for this analytical work is also enclosed.

ESN Northwest appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services to ES Engineering for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give me a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

michaela Koronee

Michael A. Korosec President

1210 Eastside Street SE, Suite 200 ■ Olympia, Washington 98501 ■ 360.459.4670 ■ FAX 360.459.3432Web Site: www.esnnw.comE-Mail: info@esnnw.com

ES Engineering Services PROJECT SITE NO. 3520 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

#### Analysis of Gasoline Range Organics in Soil by Method NWTPH-Gx

Sample	Date	Date	Surrogate	Gasoline Range Organics
Number	Prepared	Analyzed	Recovery (%)	(mg/kg)
Method Blank	12/21/2018	12/21/2018	111	nd
LCS	12/21/2018	12/21/2018	101	113%
Carbon-1	12/21/2018	12/21/2018	104	1100
Reporting Limits				10

"nd" Indicates not detected at the listed detection limits. "int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE : 50% TO 150%

ES Engineering Services PROJECT SITE NO. 3520 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds in Soil by Method 8260C/5035

	RL	MB	LCS	LCSD	Carbon
Date extracted		12/21/18	12/21/18	12/21/18	12/20/18
Date analyzed	(mg/Kg)	12/21/18	12/21/18	12/21/18	12/21/18
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.05	nd			nd
Chloromethane	0.05	nd			nd
Vinyl chloride	0.02	nd	156%*	134%	nd
Bromomethane	0.05	nd			nd
Chloroethane	0.05	nd			nd
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.05	nd			nd
Acetone	0.25	nd			nd
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.05	nd	98%	87%	nd
Methylene chloride	0.05	nd			0.07
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.05	nd			0.80
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.05	nd			nd
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.05	nd			nd
2-Butanone (MEK)	0.25	nd			4,200
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.05	nd			nd
2,2-Dichloropropane	0.05	nd			nd
Chloroform	0.05	nd	82%	75%	nd
Bromochloromethane	0.05	nd			nd
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.05	nd			nd
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	0.05	nd			nd
1,1-Dichloropropene	0.05	nd			nd
Carbon tetrachloride	0.05	nd			nd
Benzene	0.02	nd	87%	78%	nd
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.02	nd	97%	86%	nd
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.05	nd	90%	80%	nd
Dibromomethane	0.05	nd			nd
Bromodichloromethane	0.05	nd			nd
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	0.25	nd			nd
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.05	nd			nd
Toluene	0.05	nd	93%	81%	nd
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.05	nd			nd
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.05	nd			nd
2-Hexanone	0.25	nd			nd
1,3-Dichloropropane	0.05	nd			nd
Dibromochloromethane	0.05	nd			nd
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.02	nd	86%	77%	nd
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.05	nd			nd
Chlorobenzene	0.05	nd	95%	85%	nd
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05	nd			nd
Ethylbenzene	0.05	nd	108%	92%	nd
Xylenes	0.15	nd	111%	98%	nd
Styrene	0.05	nd			nd
Bromoform	0.05	nd			nd
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05	nd			nd
Isopropylbenzene	0.05	nd			nd
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.05	nd			nd
Bromobenzene	0.05	nd			nd

ES Engineering Services PROJECT SITE NO. 3520 Bremerton, Washington ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

	RL	MB	LCS	LCSD	Carbon
Date extracted		12/21/18	12/21/18	12/21/18	12/20/18
Date analyzed	(mg/Kg)	12/21/18	12/21/18	12/21/18	12/21/18
n-Propylbenzene	0.05	nd			nd
2-Chlorotoluene	0.05	nd			nd
4-Chlorotoluene	0.05	nd			nd
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.05	nd			nd
tert-Butylbenzene	0.05	nd			nd
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.05	nd			nd
sec-Butylbenzene	0.05	nd			nd
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	nd			nd
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	nd			nd
Isopropyltoluene	0.05	nd			nd
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	nd			nd
n-Butylbenzene	0.05	nd			nd
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	0.05	nd			nd
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.05	nd			nd
Naphthalene	0.05	nd			nd
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	0.05	nd			nd
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.05	nd			nd
Surrogate recoveries		0.60/	7.50/	750/	020/
Dibromofluoromethane		86%	75%	75%	93%
Toluene-d8		91%	83%	84%	92%
4-Bromofluorobenzene		111%	117%	115%	104%

Data Qualifiers and Analytical Comments

nd - not detected at listed reporting limits

Acceptable Recovery limits: 65% TO 135% Acceptable RPD limit: 35%

E-Mail: info@esnnw.con	2	Fax: 360-459-3432			Olympia, Washington 98501	Olympi
rito: www.oco	70	Phone: 360-459-4670			1210 Eastside Street SE, Suite 200	1210 E
Turn Around Time: 24 HR 48 HR 5 DAY						
	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD					
	SEALS INTACT? Y/N/NA	DATE/TIME	RECEIVED BY (Signature)	DATE/TIME	RELINQUISHED BY (Signature)	RELINO
	CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS Y/N/NA	11:20	1841	1	CUTI AAAI	M.
	TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	isin	A.C.	01:11 81	XII SI S	11
LABORATORY NOTES:	SAMPLE RECEIPT	DATE/TIME.	RECEIVED BY (Signature)	DATE/TIME	RELINQUISHED BY (Signature)	RELING
					4	18.
						17.
						16.
						15.
						14.
						13.
						12.
						11.
						10.
						9.
						.00
						7.
						6.
						5
						4.
						υ.
						2.
-			4	15:30 GAC 6	1. CARBON -1 ula	1.CA
Total Number of Containers Laboratory Note Number	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Container Type AT AT AT AT AT AT	Sample Cor Time Type T	Sample Number Depth	Sa
COLLECTION: 12/5/18	0		PROJECT MANAGER:	PR	CLIENT PROJECT #:	CLIE
Nay Breneton	LOCATION: 4200 Martin			FAX:	PHONE:	РНО
3520	PROJECT NAME: Site No	-4	Ste 106 Auburn	NW	ADDRESS: 4150 6	ADD
PAGE 1 OF 1	DATE: /			Ens sucs	CLIENT: ES Fi	CLIE
HAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD	CHAIN-OF-		P01028837		ESN Environmental	E



September 19, 2019

Laura Skow Montrose Environmental 1 Park Plaza, Suite 1000 Irvine, CA 92614

Dear Mr. Skow:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the Site #3520 Project in Bremerton, Washington. Soil vapor samples were analyzed for Gasoline by NWTPH-Gx and BTEX by Method 8260 on September 4, 2019.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. All soil values are reported on a dry weight basis. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. An invoice for this analytical work is also enclosed.

ESN Northwest appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services to Montrose Environmental for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give us a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

michael a Korace

Michael A. Korosec President

Montrose Environmental PROJECT SITE No. 3520 Client Project #123155 Bremerton, Washington

ESN Northwest 1210 Eastside Street SE Suite 200 Olympia, WA 98501 (360) 459-4670 (360) 459-3432 Fax lab@esnnw.com

Analyses of Volatile Organic Componds in Soil Vapor by Method 8260

Sample ID	Molecular	Reporting	MB	LCS	VE-1	<b>VE-2</b>	VE-3	VE-4	RW-1	RW-2	RW-3
Date Sampled	Weight	Limits	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/03/19	09/03/19	09/03/19	09/03/19	09/03/19	09/03/19	09/03/19
Date Analyzed	g	ug/m3	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/04/19	09/04/19
Benzene	78.11	10	nd	78%	57	72	490	500	1,600	nd	nd
Toluene	92.13	10	nd	73%	300	380	1,800	3,400	12,000	300	250
Ethylbenzene	106.2	10	nd	71%	340	180	1,300	320	2,900	500.0	250
Xylenes	106.2	10	nd	82%	1,400	860	2,600	1,700	8,300	1,400	840
Total Volatile Hydrocarbons		1000	nd	82%	320,000	300,000	6,500,000	630,000	2,200,000	1,100,000	260,000
Surrogate recoveries				•							
Dibromofluoromethane			70%	100%	63%	80%	89%	65%	101%	65%	120%
Toluene-d8			100%	94%	98%	92%	100%	101%	94%	96%	98%
4-Bromofluorobenzene			106%	105%	106%	105%	129%	115%	114%	108%	104%

Data Qualifiers and Analytical Comments nd - not detected at listed reporting limits Acceptable Recovery limits: 65% TO 135% Acceptable RPD limit: 35%

Website: www.esnnw.com E-Mail: info@esnnw.com		Fax: 360-459-3432	Dlympia, Washington 98501	Olympia, Washington 98501
an Albana Thire. 14 HA HA HA DAT			reat CE Suite JOO	1710 Escteida St
-		2		
	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD	RE		
	SEALS INTACT? Y/N/NA	RECEIVED BY (Signature) DATE/TIME SE	DATE/TIME	<b>RELINQUISHED BY (Signature)</b>
	9-917 10 SCHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS Y/N/NA	NOU	11411 10.47	MUC
		1 di la artidia Tre	olilla tout	
LABORATORY NOTES:	SAMPLE RECEIPT LAB	RECEIVED BY (Signature) DATE/TIME	/ DATE/TIME	<b>REUNOUISHED BY (Signature)</b>
				18. /
				17.
				16.
				15.
				14.
				13.
				12.
				11.
		,		10.
				9.
				8
5		*	* *	7. RW-3
÷		8		5. RW-2
		9		5. RW-1
		\$ 		4. VE-4
		×		3. NE-3
+		8		2. VE-2
by 8260 1		8	in a AIR Tedlar	1. VE-1
Total Number of Containers Laboratory Note Number	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	141-141-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01	mber Depth Time Type Type	Sample Number
DATE OF 9/3/19	COLLECTOR: Nick Chine	wa SKow	123155	CLIENT PROJECT #:
Overwaton	LOCATION: 4200 Whenton Way		FAX:	PHONE:
3520	PROJECT NAME: Site NO. 2	W W/ 1984 - 1000 1	4150 B PI NW Album	ADDRESS:
	DATE: 9/3/19 PAGE	A A A	Maito & Emissimental	CLIENT:
	1076 <b></b>	' PO 1039076	Services Network	NORTHWEST, PIC.

Sep 11 2019 12:00 HP LASERJET FAX

4259579904

p.1



## Libby Environmental, Inc. 3322 South Bay Road NE • Olympia, WA 98506-2957

un Day Road IVE Orympia, WIX 90500 2957

September 25, 2019

Laura Skow Montrose Environmental 4150 B Place NW, Suite 106 Auburn, WA 98001

Dear Ms. Skow:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the Site No. 3520 Project located in Bremerton, Washington.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. The sample(s) will be disposed of within 30 days unless we are contacted to arrange long term storage.

Libby Environmental, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give me a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

hy I Chu

Sherry L. Chilcutt Senior Chemist Libby Environmental, Inc.

Libby Environmental, Inc. Chain					10	of Custody Record							www.LibbyEnvironmental.com										
3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506	Ph: Fax:	360-352-2 360-352-4					Date	):	9/16	/19							Pag	e:		l	of	r	1
Client: Montrog En	virshue	utal					Proje				Laur	í a	SKO	W									
Address: 4150 B PI			6			-	Proje	ect N	ame	: 5	ite	No	2.	352	0								
		State: 4		18001		-					shei						City,	Sta	te: B	ireme.	ton	w	9
Phone: 253656 485		Fax:				•					divi			7			Date	e of (	Collec	ction:	9/10	4/19	P
Client Project #			PO 10	39708		Email: LSKOW@ MONTOSE- CON. Com																	
Sample Number	Depth	Time	Sample Type	Container Type	71	25 826 826	A PHI	ST AND	ALPH.	O CO	NUR 22	2 14 4 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 21	o no	8210 88080	5 1 2 C	101 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	APIOIS			Field N	lotes	3
1 INFLUENT	na	17:10	AIR	Tedlar		X	X																
2 MID-POINT	<b> </b>	17:20				×	X							ļ								- <b>I</b>	
3 EFFLUENT		17:30	¥	×		$\checkmark$	x														tol	<u>d 1</u>	Votanahze
4																							
5																							
6																							
7																							
8																							
9	<u> </u>	*											ļ										
10																		-			7		
11						<u> </u>					├ -												
12																							
13																							
14											$ \vdash \downarrow$			_									
15		ļ																					
16																						,	
17							1																
Reliphuished by:	Date Date	/Time 14:50	ò	Received by:	,				alr		/Time 14		Gas	San d Conc	nple	Rec		N	Ren	narks:	in	ດດ	mV
Relinquished by:		/ Time		Received by:	_	-			41	the state of the s	/ Time			er Ten			1	°C	100			٢r	
														ple Te				°C					
Relinquished by:	Date	/ Time		Received by:						Date	/ Time		Tota	I Numi ontain	per of				TA	T: 24	4HR	48H	IR 5-DAY

## Libby Environmental, Inc.

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Bremerton, Washington Libby Project # L190917-9 Client Project # PO 1039708 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

Sample Description		Method	INFLUENT	INFLUENT	MID-	
1 1		Blank		Dup	POINT	
Date Sampled		N/A	9/16/19	9/16/19	9/16/19	
Date Analyzed	PQL	9/18/19	9/17/19	9/18/19	9/18/19	
	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	
Benzene	0.007	nd	0.19	0.14	nd	
Toluene	0.04	nd	2.3	1.3	nd	
Ethylbenzene	0.05	nd	0.63	0.35	nd	
Total Xylenes	0.03	nd	1.9	1.1	nd	
Gasoline	1.0	nd	290	290	nd	
Surrogate Recovery						
Dibromofluoromethane		99	68	96	88	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		84	100	85	71	
Toluene-d8		85	15	90	87	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		89	116	99	96	

## Analyses of Gasoline (NWTPH-Gx) & BTEX (EPA Method 8260D) in Vapor

"nd" Indicates not detected at listed detection limit.

"int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE : 65% TO 135%

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: Sherry Chilcutt

## Libby Environmental, Inc.

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Bremerton, Washington Libby Project # L190917-9 Client Project # PO 1039708 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

#### QA/QC for Gasoline (NWTPH-Gx) & BTEX (EPA Method 8260D) in Vapor

Laboratory Control Sample									
	Spiked	LCS	LCS	LCS	Data				
	Conc.	Response	Recovery	Recovery	Flag				
	ug/l	ug/l	(%)	Limits (%)					
Benzene	0.50	0.48	95	80-120					
Toluene	0.50	0.42	84	80-120					
Ethylbenzene	0.50	0.49	97	80-120					
Total Xylenes	1.50	1.38	92	80-120					
Surrogate Recovery									
Dibromofluoromethane			105	65-135					
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			90	65-135					
Toluene-d8			87	65-135					
4-Bromofluorobenzene			95	65-135					

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: Sherry Chilcutt

# Libby Environmental, Inc.

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Libby Project # L190917-9 Date Received 9/17/2019 Time Received 2:50 PM 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

## Received By KD

## Sample Receipt Checklist

<b>Chain of Custody</b>	<u>v</u>									
1. Is the Chain of Custo	ody complete?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
2. How was the sample	e delivered?	$\checkmark$	Hand De	elivered		Picked U	р		Shippe	d
<u>Log In</u>										
3. Cooler or Shipping C	Container is present.		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
4. Cooler or Shipping C	Container is in good condition.		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
5. Cooler or Shipping C	Container has Custody Seals present.		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
6. Was an attempt mad	de to cool the samples?		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
7. Temperature of cool	er (0°C to 8°C recommended)			N/A	°C					
8. Temperature of sam	ple(s) (0°C to 8°C recommended)			N/A	°C					
9. Did all containers an	rive in good condition (unbroken)?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
10. Is it clear what anal	lyses were requested?	1	Yes			No				
11. Did container labels	s match Chain of Custody?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
12. Are matrices correct	1	Yes			No					
13. Are correct contain	ers used for the analysis indicated?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
14. Is there sufficient s	ample volume for indicated analysis?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
15. Were all containers	s properly preserved per each analysis?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
16. Were VOA vials co	llected correctly (no headspace)?		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
17. Were all holding tin	nes able to be met?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
Discrepancies/ No	otes									
18. Was client notified	of all discrepancies?	1	Yes			No			N/A	
Person Notified:	Nicolas Olivier						Date:			18-Sep
By Whom:	Sherry Chilcutt						Via:	phor	ne	
Regarding:										
19. Comments.	Effluent Sample had open valve. Client	is br	inging a	new sa	mple	)				



## Libby Environmental, Inc. 3322 South Bay Road NE • Olympia, WA 98506-2957

un Day Road IVE Orympia, WIT 90500 2957

September 25, 2019

Laura Skow Montrose Environmental 4150 B Place NW, Suite 106 Auburn, WA 98001

Dear Ms. Skow:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the Site No. 3520 Project located in Bremerton, Washington.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. The sample(s) will be disposed of within 30 days unless we are contacted to arrange long term storage.

Libby Environmental, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give me a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

hy I Chu

Sherry L. Chilcutt Senior Chemist Libby Environmental, Inc.
Libby Environmental, Inc. Chain o						1 0	of Custody Record									www.LibbyEnvironmental.com							
3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506	Ph: Fax:	360-352-2 360-352-4					Date	):	9	2	0/1	9					Pag	e:		l	of	]	
Client: Montrose En	V.						Proje	ect N	lana	ger:	Le	200	1C1	SKA	SW								
Address: 4150 B	PINW	' Ste	106				Proj	ect N	ame	: 57	lel	14.3	'5Z	$\mathcal{O}$									
City: Auburn State: WA Zip: 98001							Location: 4200 Whento h Way City, Sta										Stat	te: 1	3 vem	ats	5		
Phone: 253 - 454-	4854	Fax:					Colle	ector	: ^	Sich	. (	iliv	vo		~		Date	e of C	Collec	ction:	9	20/1	9
	PO IU						Ema	uil:															
Sample Number	Depth	Time	Sample Type	Container Type	1	2828 111	ALL ST	+ + 50 m	A REAL	C.C. C.	112 C	201 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	10 11 pH 8211 5	Sun Ad	8210 8210 8210 8210 8210 8210 8210 8210	S Me	1015 10 1015 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Metals		F		lotes	
1 EFFLUENT	na	9:00	AR	BAG	4	4																	
2																							
3																							
4											-+												
5											$\rightarrow$												
6																							
7																		<u> </u>					
8	<u> </u>																				<u></u>		
9																							
10	+											<u></u>											
11																			 				
12																							
13																							
14	+		v																				
15																		-					
16						<b> </b>						-						<u> </u>					
17 Relinquished by:		/Time		Received by:						Date	Time			San	nple	Pac	aint		Rom	harks:			
Ma	9/201	1 0	1:10	KIP.	2			9	120		14/		Good	Cond		Neu	Y	N		iaino.			
Relinquished by:	Date	/ Time		Received by:						Date /	STREET, STREET			er Ten				°C	1				
														ole Te				°C					
Relinquished by:	Date	/ Time		Received by:						Date	Time			Numb					TA	T: 24	HR	48HR	5-DAY

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Bremerton, Washington Libby Project # L190920-6 Client Project # PO 1039708 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

Sample Description		Mathad		EEEL LIENT	
Sample Description		Method	EFFLUENI	EFFLUENT	
		Blank		Dup	
Date Sampled		N/A	9/20/19	9/20/19	
Date Analyzed	PQL	9/20/19	9/20/19	9/20/19	
	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	
Benzene	0.007	nd	nd	nd	
Toluene	0.04	nd	nd	nd	
Ethylbenzene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	
Total Xylenes	0.03	nd	nd	nd	
Gasoline	1.0	nd	nd	nd	
Surrogate Recovery					
Dibromofluoromethane		102	92	94	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		94	74	78	
Toluene-d8		90	87	77	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		96	117	97	
"nd" Indicates not dete	cted at liste	d detection	limit.		

#### Analyses of Gasoline (NWTPH-Gx) & BTEX (EPA Method 8260D) in Vapor

"int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE : 65% TO 135%

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: Paul Burke

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Bremerton, Washington Libby Project # L190920-6 Client Project # PO 1039708 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

#### QA/QC for Gasoline (NWTPH-Gx) & BTEX (EPA Method 8260D) in Vapor

	Laboratory Control Sample										
	0.11.1	L CO	1.00	1.00							
	Spiked Conc.	LCS Response	LCS Recovery	LCS Recovery	Data Flag						
	ug/l	ug/l	(%)	Limits (%)	Thag						
Benzene	0.50	0.47	94	80-120							
Toluene	0.50	0.47	94	80-120							
Ethylbenzene	0.50	0.45	90	80-120							
Total Xylenes	1.50	1.30	87	80-120							
Surrogate Recovery											
Dibromofluoromethane			122	65-135							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			111	65-135							
Toluene-d8			110	65-135							
4-Bromofluorobenzene			96	65-135							

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: Paul Burke

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Libby Project # L190920-6 Date Received 9/20/2019 Time Received 2:10 PM 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

### Received By KD

#### Sample Receipt Checklist

<b>Chain of Custod</b>	<u>Y</u>									
1. Is the Chain of Custo	ody complete?	1	Yes			No				
2. How was the sample	e delivered?	$\checkmark$	Hand De	elivered		Picked U	þ		Shippe	d
<u>Log In</u>										
3. Cooler or Shipping C	Container is present.		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
4. Cooler or Shipping C		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A		
5. Cooler or Shipping C	Container has Custody Seals present.		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
6. Was an attempt mad	de to cool the samples?		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
7. Temperature of cool	er (0°C to 8°C recommended)			N/A	°C					
8. Temperature of sam	ple(s) (0°C to 8°C recommended)			N/A	°C					
9. Did all containers an	rive in good condition (unbroken)?	1	Yes			No				
10. Is it clear what ana	lyses were requested?	1	Yes			No				
11. Did container labels	s match Chain of Custody?	1	Yes			No				
12. Are matrices correct	ctly identified on Chain of Custody?	1	Yes			No				
13. Are correct contain	ers used for the analysis indicated?	1	Yes			No				
14. Is there sufficient s	ample volume for indicated analysis?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No				
15. Were all containers	properly preserved per each analysis?	1	Yes			No				
16. Were VOA vials co	llected correctly (no headspace)?		Yes			No		$\checkmark$	N/A	
17. Were all holding tin	nes able to be met?	1	Yes			No				
Discrepancies/ No	otes									
18. Was client notified	of all discrepancies?	$\checkmark$	Yes			No			N/A	
Person Notified:	Nicolas Olivier						Date:			18-Sep
By Whom:						Via:	phor	ne		
Regarding:										
19. Comments.	Effluent Sample had open valve. Client	is br	inging a	new sa	mple	•				



## Libby Environmental, Inc. 3322 South Bay Road NE • Olympia, WA 98506-2957

un Day Road RE Orympia, WR 90500 2957

October 7, 2019

Laura Skow Montrose Environmental 4150 B Place NW, Suite 106 Auburn, WA 98001

Dear Ms. Skow:

Please find enclosed the analytical data report for the Site No. 3520 Project located in Bremerton, Washington.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the attached tables. Applicable detection limits and QA/QC data are included. The sample(s) will be disposed of within 30 days unless we are contacted to arrange long term storage.

Libby Environmental, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services for this project. If you have any further questions about the data report, please give me a call. It was a pleasure working with you on this project, and we are looking forward to the next opportunity to work together.

Sincerely,

hz I Uh

Sherry L. Chilcutt Senior Chemist Libby Environmental, Inc.

Libby Environm	ental,	Inc.		Ch	air	10	f Cı	ust	od	y R	lec	or	d							www.Lit	byEnvi	ronmen	tal.com
3322 South Bay Road NE	Ph:	360-352-2	110				<b>.</b> .			>[.]	14						-	_		1		1	
Olympia, WA 98506	Fax:	360-352-4	154				Date					7					Pag	e:			of	•	
Client: Montvor Environmental													Na										
Address: 4150 B 1						-	Project Name: Site NO 3520																
City: Auburn			JA Zip	98001		-	Location: 4200 whenton Way City, St										Stat						
Phone: 360305 994	+2	Fax:	-			-							u'a							ction:	913	30/19	
Client Project #			<u> PO 10</u>	39735		-	Ema	nil:		LSK	au	Q	Mo	ntvz	) Se	evi	V.	ĊOV	n				
Sample Number	Depth	Time	Sample Type	Container Type		C 826	ANTRA ST	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Let A	CIO CO ANT	t rr c	2 14 0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	10 11 82 15 5	S NO	610 610 60 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	SA R	als 00	Netals		Fie	eld Note	es	
1 Influent	NA	13:00	air	tedlar	4	V -																	
2 mid-point		13:05			4	X							ļ										
3 effluent		13:10	L		L	d							ļ										
4													ļ										
5																							
6		ļ																					
7													ļ										
8													ļ										
9																							
10																							
11																							
12																							
13																							
14		4																					
15																							
16																							
17 // 0																							
Relinquished by:		/ Time		Received by:	6	7.				Date					nple		<u> </u>		Remarks:				
MAR ION				rodey	- U	by		10/	(/(*		083		1		lition?	(	Y)	N	ļ				
Relinquished by:	Date	/ Time		Received by		-				Date	/ I ime	,		er Ten			-	°C	4				
Relinquished by:	Nata	/ Time		Received by:						Date	/ Time		_	Num	mp. ber of	_		°C	ł				
	Dale	, 11110		in Soowed by.						Date	, inne	•		ontain			3		TA	T: 24H	R 48	BHR (	-DAY

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Bremerton, Washington Libby Project # L191001-2 Client Project # PO 1039735 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

Sample Description		Method	Influent	Mid-point	Mid-point	Effluent
		Blank			Dup	
Date Sampled		N/A	9/30/19	9/30/19	9/30/19	9/30/19
Date Analyzed	PQL	10/2/19	9/17/19	10/2/19	10/2/19	10/2/19
	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv	ppmv
Benzene	0.007	nd	0.056	nd	nd	nd
Toluene	0.04	nd	1.6	nd	nd	nd
Ethylbenzene	0.05	nd	0.74	nd	nd	nd
Total Xylenes	0.03	nd	3.1	nd	nd	nd
Gasoline	1.0	nd	110	nd	nd	nd
Surrogate Recovery						
Dibromofluoromethane		98	88	103	100	98
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		103	101	100	97	103
Toluene-d8		116	115	101	114	108
4-Bromofluorobenzene		108	112	86	87	92

#### Analyses of Gasoline (NWTPH-Gx) & BTEX (EPA Method 8260D) in Vapor

"nd" Indicates not detected at listed detection limit.

"int" Indicates that interference prevents determination.

ACCEPTABLE RECOVERY LIMITS FOR SURROGATE : 65% TO 135%

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: Sherry Chilcutt

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Bremerton, Washington Libby Project # L191001-2 Client Project # PO 1039735 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

#### QA/QC for Gasoline (NWTPH-Gx) & BTEX (EPA Method 8260D) in Vapor

	Laboratory Control Sample										
	Spiked	LCS	LCS	LCS	Data						
	Conc.	Response	Recovery	Recovery	Flag						
	ug/l	ug/l	(%)	Limits (%)							
Benzene	0.25	0.26	104	80-120							
Toluene	0.25	0.22	88	80-120							
Ethylbenzene	0.25	0.28	112	80-120							
Total Xylenes	0.75	0.83	111	80-120							
Surrogate Recovery											
Dibromofluoromethane			110	65-135							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			108	65-135							
Toluene-d8			90	65-135							
4-Bromofluorobenzene			112	65-135							

ANALYSES PERFORMED BY: Sherry Chilcutt

SITE NO. 3520 PROJECT Montrose Environmental, Inc. Libby Project # L191001-2 Date Received 10/1/2019 Time Received 8:30 AM 3322 South Bay Road NE Olympia, WA 98506 Phone: (360) 352-2110 FAX: (360) 352-4154 Email: libbyenv@gmail.com

### Received By KD

### Sample Receipt Checklist

Chain of Custody						
1. Is the Chain of Custody complete?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
2. How was the sample delivered?	$\checkmark$	Hand Delivered		Picked Up		Shipped
Log In						
3. Cooler or Shipping Container is present.		Yes	$\checkmark$	No		N/A
4. Cooler or Shipping Container is in good condition.		Yes		No	$\checkmark$	N/A
5. Cooler or Shipping Container has Custody Seals present.		Yes		No	1	N/A
6. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes		No	1	N/A
7. Temperature of cooler (0°C to 8°C recommended)		N/A	°C			
8. Temperature of sample(s) (0°C to 8°C recommended)		N/A	°C			
9. Did all containers arrive in good condition (unbroken)?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
10. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
11. Did container labels match Chain of Custody?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
13. Are correct containers used for the analysis indicated?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
14. Is there sufficient sample volume for indicated analysis?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
15. Were all containers properly preserved per each analysis?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
16. Were VOA vials collected correctly (no headspace)?		Yes		No	1	N/A
17. Were all holding times able to be met?	$\checkmark$	Yes		No		
Discrepancies/ Notes						
18. Was client notified of all discrepancies?		Yes		No	1	N/A
Person Notified:			_	Date:		
By Whom:			-	Via:		
Regarding:			_			
19. Comments.						

**APPENDIX B** 

**Field Data Sheets** 

### **APPENDIX C**

**Certification of Waste Disposal** 

APPENDIX D

Historical O&M Data Tables and Graphs