# COMPLIANCE GROUNDWATER MONITORING TECHNICAL REPORT

# LAKE WASHINGTON APARTMENTS, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

Prepared for EPMI, A Bayside Company 1990 North California Boulevard, Suite 1070 Walnut Creek, California 94596

Prepared by Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100 Seattle, Washington 98121 Telephone: 206-441-9080



December 31, 2019

#### Note:

Some pages in this document have been purposely skipped or blank pages inserted so that this document will copy correctly when duplexed.

# CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Groundwater Monitoring	1
Analytical Results and Conclusions	2
References	5

### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1	Soil Boring a	and Monitoring	Well Construction	Records

Attachment 2 Data Quality Summary Report and Laboratory Data

## **TABLES**

Table 1.	Groundwater Conditions,	Lake Washington Apartr	nents2
		5 1	

## **FIGURES**

Figure 1.	Vicinity/Site Map, Lake Washington Apartments, Seattle, Washington
Figure 2.	Monitoring Well Location Map, Lake Washington Apartments, Seattle, Washington
	чизніпусніт



### INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of groundwater monitoring performed by Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc. (Herrera) at the Lake Washington Apartments in Seattle, Washington (Site) (Figure 1). During the late 1990s, 18 heating oil tanks were removed from the property, contaminated soils were excavated and disposed off site at a licensed facility, and some residual heating oil-contaminated soils were left in place beneath Building 35. In January 2013, three monitoring wells, MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3, were installed at the Site according to procedures outlined in the sampling plan (Herrera 2012). The wells were installed adjacent to Building 35 and sampled to satisfy groundwater point-of-compliance monitoring requirements (see soil boring and monitoring well construction records for the three wells included in Attachment 1).

On June 19, 2013, the Washington State Department of Ecology issued a No Further Action (NFA) designation for the Site under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP – Project No. NW2570) (Ecology 2013). The NFA designation is contingent upon continued performance and effectiveness of post-cleanup controls and monitoring including:

- Compliance with institutional controls (i.e., restriction on land use due to residual soil contamination, and restriction on groundwater use).
- Performance of compliance monitoring (i.e., periodic groundwater monitoring once every 5 years).

# **GROUNDWATER MONITORING**

December 2019

Monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 were sampled on November 15, 2019. Consistent with the previous sampling event, the wells were sampled with dedicated bailers instead of using a low-flow technique, due to slow recharge rates at all three locations. A minimum of three well volumes were removed from each well; and field readings recorded for pH, temperature, and specific conductivity confirmed that these parameters had equilibrated. However, because water levels dropped significantly in each well during purging, the wells were allowed to recharge for several hours before samples were collected later that same day.

Groundwater conditions are summarized in Table 1. Based on soil borings completed at the site Herrera assumes that groundwater collects in pockets on top of the shallow clay or other confining layer at the Site.



Table 1. Groundwater Conditions, Lake Washington Apartments.							
Monitoring Well	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Water Level Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Depth to Water <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Screened Interval <sup>c</sup> (feet)			
MW-1	101.31	95.68	5.63	4.5 to 14.5			
MW-2	99.54	93.90	5.64	5.5 to 10.5			
MW-3	87.94	85.45	2.49	5.5 to 10.5			

<sup>a</sup> Relative to arbitrary datum established at 100 feet

<sup>b</sup> Below top of casing

<sup>c</sup> Below ground surface

# **ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

A quality assurance report is provided in Attachment 2. All quality assurance objectives were met; there are no limitations on use of the measurement data.

No diesel-range petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in any of the groundwater samples collected from the three wells. Lube oil-range petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in samples from MW-1 and MW-3 at concentrations of 320 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) and 310  $\mu$ g/L, respectively, but well below the Model Toxic Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup level of 500  $\mu$ g/L. Based on these analytical results, no further groundwater sampling is recommended until the next 5-year follow-up event scheduled for November 2024.







Figure 2. Monitoring Well Location Map, Lake Washington Apartments, Seattle, Washington.

# REFERENCES

Ecology. 2013. No Further Action Letter for Lakeshore Village Apartments (Facility/Site No.: 2285). Issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology, Northwest Regional Office, Bellevue, Washington. June 19.

Herrera. 2012. Compliance Monitoring Well Installation and Sampling Plan, Lake Washington Apartments, Seattle, Washington. Prepared by Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc., Seattle, Washington, for Bayside Washington, LLC. December 3, 2012.



# **ATTACHMENT 1**

Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Construction Records



# SOIL BORING AND MONITORING WELL HERRERA CONSTRUCTION RECORD

Well ID MW-1 Total depth: 15.5 Sheet <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Project name: Lake WA Apartments	Di
Project number: 11-05186-000	Di
Client: EPMI	Sa
Location: North of Bldg. 35	M

HEC rep.: E	Bruce Carpenter
Start Date:	12/20/2012
Compl. Date:	12/20/2012

Depth to water	5.65	4.65
Reference point	TOC	TOC
Time	1543	955
Date	1/2/2013	1/3/2013

Drilling Contractor: Cascade
Drilling method: Hollow Stem Auger (HSA)
Sampling method: Split Spoon
Measuring point elev.:
Ground elevation:
Air monitoring (y/n): No
Instrument(s): NA

Casing material: Sch 40 PVC					
Casing diameter: 2-inch					
Screen slot width: 0.010					
Casing joint type: Threaded					
Filter pack: 2-12 sand					
Annular seal: Bentonite					
Monument type: Flush-mount					

to water	5.65	4.65	Monitoring well details	
ence point	TOC	TOC	Cement	Filterpack
	1543	955		· · · · · · · ·
	1/2/2013	1/3/2013	Bentonite	Well scre

Sample type, interval	% recovery	Blow Counts	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil description	We	il deta	ils
					Grass/Topsoil	27		12/1
			1	ML	Dark brown sandy gravelly SILT, moist	159	,	訪
			2					
			3	SM	Gray silty gravelly SAND, moist			
			4	CH	Gray silty CLAY, moist			
		2	5					
SS	80	3 3 4	6					
			7	ML	Gray sandy SILT, trace clay, moist			
				CH	Gray silty, CLAY, trace sand, moist	]		
			8			-		
			9					
							:	
		10	10	N/I	Gray gravelly candy SILT trace alay maint			
SS	100	12	11		Gray graveny sandy Sici, trace clay, moist		<u> </u>	
		15					:	
			12				:	
			13					
			14			_		
				ML	Light brown gravelly sandy SILT, dense, dry		÷	
	50	50/6	15					
	50	30/0	16			<u> ···</u>		<u> </u>
						_		
						-		
					+	-		
						_		
						-		
						-		

# SOIL BORING AND MONITORING WELL HERRERA CONSTRUCTION RECORD

	Well ID	N	/IW-	2	
	Total depth	1:	13	3	
	Sheet	1	of	1	
rial:	Sch 40 PVC				
otor <sup>.</sup>	2-inch				

Project	t na	me: Lak	e WA Ap	artment	S	Drilling	Contractor
Project	ect name: Lake WA Apartments   ect number: 11-05186-000   nt: EPMI   ation: 24.5' East of SE corner   of Bldg. 35 $\overline{0}$ Crep.: Bruce Carpenter   t Date: 12/20/2012   npl. Date: 12/20/2012   oth to water 5.85   ference point TOC   ie 1/2/2013   ie 1/2/2013   ie 1/2/2013   ie 1/2/2013   ie 1/2/2013   ie 1/2/2013   ie 1/2/2013	Drilling	method:				
Client:	E	PMI				Sampli	ng method
Locatio	on:	24.5' Ea	ast of SE	corner		Measu	ring point e
		of Bldg.	35			Ground	d elevation:
HEC re	эр.:	Bruce	Carpente	er		Air mo	nitoring (y/r
Start D	ate	: <b>12</b> /	20/2012	2		Instrun	nent(s): N
Compl	. Da	ate: <u>12/</u>	20/2012	2			
Depth	to	water	5.8	5	5.5	4	]
Refere	enc	e point	TO	C	TO	С	
Time			155	8	111	.5	
Date			1/2/2	013	1/3/2	013	
Sample type, interva		% recovery	Blow Counts	Depth (feet, BGS)	Soil group	Soil de	escription
				1	SM	Gras Brow	s/Topsoil /n gravelly
					+		

Drilling Contractor: Cascade
Drilling method: Hollow Stem Auger (HSA)
Sampling method: Split Spoon
Measuring point elev.:
Ground elevation:
Air monitoring (y/n): <u>No</u>
Instrument(s): <u>NA</u>

Casing material: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u>						
Casing diameter: 2-inch						
Screen slot width: 0.010						
Screen slot width: 0.010 Casing joint type: Threaded						
Filter pack: 2-12 sand						
Annular seal: Bentonite						
Monument type: Flush-mount						

35	5.5	4		Monitoring well detai	ls	
C	TO	С	]	Cement	••••	· · Filterpack
58	111	L5	]		L	
2013	1/3/2	2013	]	Bentonite		Well screen
0						
(feet BG	Loup					Well details
th (feet B	group					Well detail

mple t	recove	w Col	pth (fe	il grou		
Sa	%	Blc	De	S	Soil description	
					Grass/Topsoil	
			1	SM	Brown gravelly sillty SAND, moist (fill)	しん おい
			2			
			3			
			4			
					Brown alayov SILT maint	
		8	5			
SS	5	10	6		*	
		12				
			7			
		6			Orev silty CAND trace alor maint damag	
SS	100	12	8	SIVI	Gray Silly SAND, trace clay, moist, dense	
		50	9			
			10			
66	100	20				
	100	50	11	ML	Brown mottled sandy SILI, dense, moist	
			12			
			= =			
SS	100	50	13		dry	
						-
						-
						-
						-
				<u> </u>	+	]

#### SOIL BORING AND MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION RECORD HERRERA

HERRE	RA CO	NSTRU	JCTIO	N RECC	RD		Shee	et <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
Project na	ame: Lak	ke WA Ap	artment	ts	Drilling	Contractor: Cascade	Casing material: Sch 4	0 PVC
Project nu	imber: 1	1-05186	-000		Drilling	method: Hollow Stem Auger (H	ISA) Casing diameter: 2-incl	h
Client: E	PMI				Sampl	ing method: Split Spoon	Screen slot width: 0.01	0
Location:	53' Sou	th of SE	corner		Measu	uring point elev.:	Casing joint type: <u>Threa</u>	Ided
	of Bldg.	35			Groun	d elevation:	Filter pack: 2-12 sand	
HEC rep .:	Bruce	Carpente	er		Air mo	nitoring (y/n): <u>No</u>	Annular seal: Bentonit	e
Start Date	e: <u>12/</u>	20/2012	2		Instrur	ment(s): NA	Monument type: Flush-	mount
Compl. Da	ate: <u>12/</u>	20/2012	2					
Depth to	water	8.0	9	5.7	5	] [	Monitoring well details	
Referenc	e point	TO	C	TO	C		Cement	:··· Filterpack
Time		160	)2	81	9			· · ·
Date		1/2/2	013	1/3/2	013		Bentonite	Vvell screen
<u></u>								
terva			S)					
.⊑.		S	BG					Well details
type	/ery	ounts	eet,	d				
ple	eco	U S S	th (f	gro				
San	% L	Blov	Dep	Soil	Soil de	escription		
					Gras	s/Topsoil		
			1	SW	Brov	vn gravelly SAND, moist (fill)		
				+				
			2					
3 MI Brown sand SILT moist								-{//// {////
4					BIOV			
		2	5	ML	Ligh	t Brown-beige clayey SILT, trace	sand, moist	
55	100	5	6	+				
	100	5	0	DT	Brov	vn Peat w/ silt_moist		
			7	+				
				ļ				
66	100	20	8					
55	100	5		+	As a	bove / wood, moist		
		0	9					
			10	+				· · · · · · <b>· · ·</b> · · · ·
		2						
SS	100	3	11	СН	Blue	; gray silty CLAY, trace gravel, n	noist	
		3	12					
			<u></u> _	+				_
								_
								_
				+				_
								_
				1	<u> </u>			
								_
								_
				+				_
				-				
				<u>†</u>	<u> </u>			
								_
1	1	1	1	1	1			1

Well ID

MW-3

Total depth: 11.5

# **ATTACHMENT 2**

# Data Quality Summary Report and Laboratory Data



## Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc.

## **Internal Memorandum**

Date:December 2, 2019To:Project File 19-07240-000From:Gina Catarra, Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc.Subject:Data Quality Assurance Review of Lake Washington Apartments Compliance<br/>Monitoring Data

### **SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

This memorandum presents a review of data quality for three groundwater samples collected at the Lake Washington Apartments site on November 15, 2019. All samples were analyzed by OnSite Environmental of Redmond, Washington, by Ecology's NWTPH-Dx method.

Results for the following samples were validated.

Sample ID	Date Collected	Matrix	Laboratory Sample Number
MW1-111519	11/15/2019	Groundwater	11-199-01
MW2-111519	11/15/2019	Groundwater	11-199-02
MW3-111519	11/15/2019	Groundwater	11-199-03

Laboratory performance was reviewed in accordance with quality control (QC) criteria outlined in the *Lake Washington Apartments Compliance Monitoring Well Installation and Sampling Plan* (Herrera 2012) and the specified analytical method.

Quality control data summaries submitted by the laboratories were reviewed; raw data were not submitted by the laboratories. Data validation results are summarized below, followed by definitions of data qualifiers.





### Custody, Preservation, Holding Times, and Completeness– Acceptable

The samples were properly preserved and sample custody was maintained from sample collection to receipt at the laboratory. All samples were analyzed within the required holding times (7 days for water samples). The laboratory reports were complete and contained results for all samples and tests requested on the chain-of-custody (COC) forms.

#### Laboratory Reporting Limits—Acceptable

The laboratory reporting limits were reasonable for the specified analytical method.

### Method Blank Analysis—Acceptable

Method blanks were analyzed at the required frequency. Method blanks did not contain levels of target analytes above the laboratory reporting limits.

#### Surrogate Analysis—Acceptable

Surrogate o-Terphynl was analyzed with each sample. The percent recovery values for all samples met the 50 to 150 percent control limits established by the method.

### Matrix Spike Analysis—Not Analyzed

Matrix spike (MS) samples were not analyzed, which is acceptable per the analytical method.

#### Laboratory Duplicate Analysis—Acceptable

Laboratory duplicates were analyzed at the required frequency. The relative percent difference (RPD) was not calculated for the water duplicate, as both values were less than the reporting limit.

#### **Data Quality Assessment Summary**

The data quality for all samples was found to be acceptable based on holding time, reporting limit, method blank, surrogate, and laboratory duplicate criteria. Usability of the data is based on the guidance documents previously noted. Upon consideration of the information presented here, the data are acceptable as reported.



# **DEFINITION OF DATA QUALIFIERS**

The following data qualifier definitions are taken from USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review (USEPA 2017):

- **U** The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- **UJ** The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
- **R** The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

## REFERENCES

Herrera. 2012. Lake Washington Apartments Compliance Monitoring Well Installation and Sampling Plan. Prepared for Bayside Washington, LLC, by Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc., Seattle, Washington. December.

USEPA. 2017. National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review. US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (OSRTI), Washington, DC. (EPA-540-R-2017-001). January.





November 25, 2019

George Iftner Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc. 2200 6th Avenue, Suite 1100 Seattle, WA 98121

Re: Analytical Data for Project 19-07240-000 Laboratory Reference No. 1911-199

Dear George:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on November 19, 2019.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely.

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: November 25, 2019 Samples Submitted: November 19, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1911-199 Project: 19-07240-000

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on November 15, 2019 and received by the laboratory on November 19, 2019. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.



#### DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW1-111519					
Laboratory ID:	11-199-01					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.15	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Lube Oil Range Organics	0.32	0.24	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	131	50-150				
Client ID:	MW2-111519					
Laboratory ID:	11-199-02					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.20	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.20	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	78	50-150				
Client ID:	MW3-111519					
Laboratory ID:	11-199-03					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.13	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Lube Oil Range Organics	0.31	0.21	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	115	50-150				



#### DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1122W1					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.20	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.20	NWTPH-Dx	11-22-19	11-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	108	50-150				

	Result		Spike Level		Source	Percent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte					Result	Recovery	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
DUPLICATE										
Laboratory ID:	SB11	22W1								
	ORIG	DUP								
Diesel Fuel #2	0.508	0.444	NA	NA		NA	NA	13	NA	
Lube Oil Range	ND	ND	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	
Surrogate:										
o-Terphenyl						121 101	50-150			





#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in methods 8260 & 8270, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

OnSite Environmental Inc.	C	hain d	)f	Cu	IS	to	dy										P	age _	ł	of	l		
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turnaround (in working	Request g days)		La	abo	rate	ory	Nun	nbe	er:	1	1 -	1	99									
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com Company: Herrieu Project Number: 19-07240-000 Project Name: LAKE WA Apts- Project Manager: Genge Iffmen Sampled by: Genge Iffmen	(Check (	Dne) 1 Day 3 Days ays) ner)	ter of Containers	PH-HCID	PH-Gx/BTEX	PH-GX	PH-Dx ( Acid / SG Clean-up)	les 8260C		EPA 8011 (Waters Only)	olatiles 8270D/SIM ow-level PAHs)	8082A	ochlorine Pesticides 8081B	ophosphorus Pesticides 8270D/SIM	nated Acid Herbicides 8151A	RCRA Metals	MTCA Metals	Metals	oil and grease) 1664A				sture
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Time Sampled Sampl	ed Matrix	Num	ITWN	ITWN	ITWN	ITWN	Volati		EDB	Semiv (with	PCBs	Orgar	Orgar	Chlor	Total	Total	TCLP	HEM				% Moi
1 MWI-111519	11/15/19 15:5	5 With	-2																				
2 MW2-111519	1650	0	2				$\vee$			_	_	_											
3 MW3-111519	Y 15:4	54	4				V	_		_		_										_	
									_		_	_	_								_		
		_	$\square$					_	_				_									_	
												_	_			1					_		
			$\vdash$			_	_		_	_			-	-		1			•		_		
			$\square$			_			+	_	-					y.						_	
			$\square$				-	_	-	-	-	_	-									+	+
Signature	Company		- in l		Date			Time			Comn	nents/	Specia	l Instr	uction	15		1.49					
Relinquished your date	Herry	PAN			11/	15/	19	17:	50	0	6	Sav	nno	les	K	'OD	1	6	t	He	NO	'ACA	2
Received	- (	OSE			111	191	19	150	00		b.	IPN	1	Ind	1	10	e le	(p)	ind	100	nt	-11	
Relinquished											Cal	N	PAR	- D	inte	CIA	N	0		And	00	lan	Λ
Received											10	/// (	01	r	ivr	~~~	T	V	1 /	NW	Val	000	F
Received																							
	Deviewed	/Data								1	Data F	Packa	ge: St	andar	d 🗌	Lev	rel III		Leve	I IV 🗌			
hononod/bute	HevieWed	/Date								(	Chron	atogra	ams w	ith fina	al rep	ort 🗌	] Eleo	ctroni	c Data	a Delive	erables	(EDDs)	