

January 16, 2020

Adam Harris, LHG VCP Site Manager Toxics Cleanup Program, Southwest Regional Office Washington State Department of Ecology P.O. Box 47775 Olympia, WA 98504-7775

Re: Request for Information Letter Dated December 20, 2019

Site Name: Morrells Dry Cleaners Site Address: 608 N 1st Street, Tacoma, Pierce County, WA 98403 VCP Project No.: SW1039 Project No. 080190

Dear Mr. Harris:

Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect) is providing this letter in response to the referenced letter requesting information on the status of the cleanup at the Morrells Dry Cleaners site (Site). Site cleanup activities in 2018 and 2019 included the following:

- We continued to operate the soil vapor extraction (SVE) system (started up in October 2014) throughout 2018 and 2019.
- Six on-property cleanup alternatives were developed and evaluated. Our draft Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) report dated August 10, 2018, is attached. Based on the results of a disproportionate cost analysis, an alternative consisting of expanded application of remedial technologies already implemented at the Site (i.e., SVE treatment of vadose zone soils and biostimulation of advanced outwash groundwater) was recommended.
- Seventeen well installations were completed on the Morrell's property between January 28 and July 11, 2019, including 13 vertical wells (MW-23 through MW-35) screened in the saturated interval of the advance outwash (approximately 45 to 60 feet below ground surface [bgs]) and four angled vapor extraction wells (VE-5 through VE-8) screened in the vadose zone (for expansion of SVE treatment). Boring and well construction logs for the new wells are attached. Figure 2 shows the locations of both the new and pre-existing Site wells.

Soil samples collected during drilling were screened in the field for evidence of contamination using visual and olfactory methods, and by headspace screening using a photoionization detector (PID). Samples with elevated PID readings were preferentially selected for laboratory analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). At least two samples from each boring were analyzed, and up to five samples were analyzed from borings with elevated PID readings.

PID readings and laboratory analytical results are summarized in Table 2. Laboratory results exceeding MTCA soil cleanup levels are highlighted. Figure 3 shows locations and

depths of tetrachloroethene (PCE) detections in soil samples and the estimated on-property lateral extent of MTCA soil cleanup level exceedances.

- New and pre-existing monitoring wells were sampled in late 2018 and early 2019. Based on evaluation of advance outwash groundwater monitoring results, a biostimulant pilot test was determined to be necessary to evaluate radius-of-injection (ROI) influence.¹ The results of groundwater monitoring conducted prior to the test injection (in July 2019) are summarized in Tables 3 through 5. Figure 4 shows PCE detections in advance outwash groundwater and the estimated on-property extent of MTCA groundwater cleanup level exceedances.
- A total of approximately 5,000 gallons of 3DMe/CRS solution (biostimulants provided by Regenesis), anaerobic water, and microorganisms (Dhc culture provided by SiREM) was injected into well MW-20 over two nights in mid-July 2019. Post-injection groundwater samples were collected on two occasions (late August and mid-December 2019) from MW-20 and nearby wells MW-34, MW-24, MW-35, and MW-26 (located approximately 7, 10, 15, and 20 feet, respectively, from the injection well). Groundwater quality and natural attenuation parameter results for the MW-20 injection test are summarized in Tables 6 and 7, respectively.
- The results of all soil and groundwater sampling events conducted in 2018 and 2019 were submitted to Ecology's EIM system on January 7, 2020.

Aspect would like to continue conducting Site cleanup activities independently under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). We are currently evaluating injection test results. Our tentative plans for 2020 include:

- Installing additional wells screened in the saturated interval of the advance outwash and conducting a multi-well full-scale biostimulant injection, focusing on areas of the Morrell's Parking Lot Parcel where PCE concentrations in advance outwash groundwater exceed MTCA cleanup levels (see Figure 4); and
- Incorporating new wells VE-5 through VE-8 into the existing SVE system.

Please contact me if you have questions or need further information.

¹ Biostimulants were previously injected into Site wells in June 2014, and injection performance was evaluated in the attached Supplemental FFS report. However, ROI influence could not be evaluated because biostimulants were injected into all impacted groundwater wells screened in the advance outwash (with the exception of MW-5). ROI influence is a key factor in the design of full-scale injection spacing for effective distribution of the biostimulants and remediation of groundwater.

Project No. 080190

Ecology Southwest Regional Office January 16, 2020

Sincerely,

Aspect Consulting, LLC

and A. Heffrer

Dave Heffner, PE Associate Engineer dheffner@aspectconsulting.com

Attachments:

Table 2 - Summary of PID Screening and Sampling Results for Soil Borings

- Table 3 Advance Outwash Groundwater Quality Results Prior to Injection Test
- Table 4 Advance Outwash Groundwater Natural Attenuation Parameters Prior to Injection Test
- Table 5 Groundwater Quality Results, Deeper Water-Bearing Zone

Table 6 – Groundwater Quality Results, MW-20 Injection Test

Table 7 - Groundwater Natural Attenuation Parameters, MW-20 Injection Test

Figure 2 – Site Plan

Figure 3 – PCE Detections in Soil Samples

Figure 4 – PCE Detections in Advance Outwash Groundwater Prior to Injection Test

Boring Logs - MW-23 through MW-35 and VE-5 through VE-8

Report – Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site, 8/10/18 (Draft)

V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Ecology Comms\Jan 2020 Ltr to Ecology\VCP Rfl Response Ltr_16Jan20.docx

TABLES

Table 2 - Summary of PID Screening and Sampling Results for Soil Borings, Current InvestigationProject No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

						Chlorina	ted VOCs				P	Petroleum H	ydrocarbor	ıs		
	-		Depth											t	p- Isopropyltoluene	Naphthalene
	MW-22		5.5		•								-		0.058	0.05 U
Phy Phy </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>10.5</td> <td>31</td> <td></td>			10.5	31												
					0.045	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.51	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
Mix bi			25.5	-			0.05 U	0.5 U								
1 4 1	MW-23	02/06/19														
Phy Phy <td></td>																
No. No. <td></td> <td></td> <td>50.5</td> <td>0.3</td> <td></td>			50.5	0.3												
					0.095	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.83	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
Image: star star star star star star star star			5.5	0	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
Phy Phy </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>				-												
Marce 14 mage Same Sam Same Same			20.5													
Intra Intrex Intr< Intr< <	MW 24	1/30/19 &		-	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
Image: start	10100-24	1/31/19		-												
Image: style			45.5	-												
MM-2 HS 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>0.025 U</td> <td>0.02 U</td> <td>0.05 U</td> <td>0.5 U</td> <td>0.05 U</td>				-	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
Math Image			60.5	0												
Mm 2 0 <				-	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
Math Math <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>15.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			15.5													
MM-3 12010 35.5 0 1 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>25.5</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			25.5	0												
MM-9 Image	MW-25				0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
Image: border in the start of the		1/23/13	40.5	0												
MAX-0 Matrix Matrix<				-	0 025 U	0.02.U	0.05 U	0.5.U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05.U	0.05 U	0 05 U	0.05 U	0 05 U
MM-20 Image: star star star star star star star star			55.5	0	0.020 0	0.02 0	0.00 0	0.0 0	0.00 0	0.000	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.000	0.000	0.00 0
MW-04 Image: style s					0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
MW:0 Image: Signal sector Image: Signal Image: Signal sector				-												
MM-28 1201*34 305 0 0220 0.021 0.020 0.02		1/20/40 8		-												
MM·4 1336 0 - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>0.025.11</td> <td>0.0211</td> <td>0.0511</td> <td>0.5.11</td> <td>0.0511</td> <td>0.0511</td> <td>0.0511</td> <td>0.05.11</td> <td>0.0511</td> <td>0.0511</td> <td>0.05.11</td> <td>0.0511</td>				-	0.025.11	0.0211	0.0511	0.5.11	0.0511	0.0511	0.0511	0.05.11	0.0511	0.0511	0.05.11	0.0511
MM-2 desc ne ne <th< td=""><td>MW-26</td><td></td><td>35.5</td><td>0</td><td>0.023 0</td><td>0.02 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.5 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.03 0</td><td>0.03 0</td></th<>	MW-26		35.5	0	0.023 0	0.02 0	0.03 0	0.5 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0
MW-2 Image: Signed				-												
MW-9 60.5 0<			50.5	0	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
MW-27 10.5 0 - 103/1 10																
MW-24 15.5 0 i<				-	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
MW-2 13149 21/19 25.6 0 <			15.5	0												
MW-27 131/9 30.5 1.5 0.021U 0.05U 0				-												
MW-28 40.5 0<	MW-27		30.5		0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
50.5 0.05 0.025U 0.02U 0.05U		2/1/19		-												
65.6 0					0.025.11	0.0211	0.05.11	0.5.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11
MW-28 5.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3014/19 3.5 0.3 0 0 0.5 0.05 <			55.5	0	0.023 0	0.02 0	0.03 0	0.5 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.03 0
MW-28 10.5 0.3 I <thi< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thi<>																
MW-28 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>10.5</td> <td></td>			10.5													
MW-28 03/14/14 30.5 3.6 0.038 0.02 U 0.05 U			20.5	-												
MW-28 03/14/9 35.5 0 <				-	0.038	0.0211	0.0511	0.5.11	0.0511	0.0511	0.0511	0.05.11	0.0511	0.05.11	0.0511	0.05.11
MW-29 45.5 0 1<	MW-28	03/14/19	35.5	0	0.000	0.02 0	0.00 0	0.0 0	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.00 0	0.00 0
MW-20 55.5 0 0.02 U 0.02 U 0.05 U				-												
MW-29 60.5 0 1<				-	0.025.11	0.0211	0.05.11	0.5.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11
MW-29 10.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.05 U 0.			60.5	0	0.025 0	0.02 0	0.05 0	0.5 0	0.05 0	0.05 0	0.05 0	0.05 0	0.05 0	0.05 0	0.05 0	0.05 0
MW-29 15 0 0.043 0.02 U 0.05 U				-												
MW-29 25.5 0 1<			15	0	0.043	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
MW-29 03/11/19 35.5 0 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1</th1<>				-												
MW-30 40.5 0.5	MW-29	03/11/19	30.5	0												
MW-30 50 0.9 0.043 0.02 U 0.05 U			40.5	0.5												
55.5 0				-	0.043	0.0211	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 11	0.05 U	0.05 11	0.05 U	0.05 11	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
MW-30 5.5 0 <th-< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>55.5</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th-<>			55.5	0												
MW-30 02/07/19 10.5 4 0.084 0.021 0.05 U											[
MW-30 02/07/19 20.5 0.2 Image: constraint of the state of			10.5	4	0.084	0.021	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
MW-30 30.5 0.6 Image: constraint of the state of			20.5	0.2												
MW-30 35.5 2.6 0.10 0.02 U 0.05 U		0.015-1														
45.5 0	MW-30	02/07/19	35.5	2.6	0.10	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		ļ		0												

Aspect Consulting

August 2019 S:\Walker Chevrolet 080190\Deliverables\Construction and Design Rpt_2019\Tables

Construction and Design Report Page 1 of 3

DRAFT

Table 2

Table 2 - Summary of PID Screening and Sampling Results for Soil Borings, Current Investigation Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

					Chlorina	ted VOCs		1		F	Petroleum H	ydrocarbor	ıs		
Well ID ²	Sample Date	Sample Depth (feet bgs)	[PID] ³	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	Trichloroethene (TCE)	cis-1,2- Dichloroethene (cDCE)	Methylene Chloride ⁴	1,2,4- Trimethylbenzene	1,3,5- Trimethylbenzene	n-Propylbenzene	Isopropylbenzene	sec-Butylbenzene	tert-Butylbenzene	p- Isopropyltoluene	Naphthalene
		55.5 60.5	0	0.026	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		5.5	0	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		10.5 15.5	0.1 0.1										<u> </u>		
		20.5	0.1												
		25.5 30.5	0.1											<u> </u>	
MW-31	02/05/19	35.5	0.1												
		40.5	1	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		45.5 50.5	0										<u> </u>		
		55.5	1.5	0.058	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		60.5 5.5	1.3	0.058	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		10.5		0.005.11	0.0011	0.0511	0.511	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.0511	0.0511	0.0511	0.0511		0.05.11
		15.5 20.5	1	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		25.5	5												
MW-32	03/13/19	30.5 35.5	1.5 1.5											<u> </u>	
		40.5	0												
		45.5 50.5	0										 	<u> </u>	
		55.5	0	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		60.5	0												
		5.5 10	2	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		15.5	0												
		20.5 25.5	1 0										<u> </u>		
MW-33	3/12/19 &	30.5	0												
	3/13/19	35.5 40.5	0.5											<u> </u>	
		45.5	0												
		50.5 55.5	0	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		60.5	0	0.025 0	0.02 0	0.05 0	0.5 0	0.03 0	0.05 0	0.05 0	0.03 0	0.03 0	0.05 0	0.03 0	0.05 0
		5.5 10.5	2											ļ	
		15.5	0.8												
		20.5	4.5												
	7/8/19 &	25.5 30.5	1.5 0.5										<u> </u>		
MW-34	7/9/19	35.5	0.5											ļ	
		40.5 45.5	0.5 0										 	 	
		50.5	0.2												
		55.5 60.5	0.1 0.1											<u> </u>	
		5.5	0												
		10.5 15.5	0										<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
_	7/9/19 &	20.5	0												
B-35A ⁵	7/10/19	25.5 30.5	0.3 1.1										ļ	 	
		35.5	0.3												
		40.5 45.5	0											<u> </u>	
		2.8	7												
		4.9 6.7	21 7											ļ	
		11.0	41												
VE-5 ⁶	02/26/19	13.8 15.9	14 666	0.025 U	0.0211	0.05.11	0.5 U	0.069	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05.11	0.05 U
(45 deg angle)	02/20/19	19.4	109	0.025 0	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 0	0.069	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 0
		22.6	126 7	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		25.5 27.9	21											ł	
		30.4	16												
		4.9 9.2	13 126	0.47	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		14.8	99												
VE-6 ⁶		20.9 26.9	22 71	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
(45 deg angle)	02/28/19	29.0	33												
ungio)		35.7 40.3	8 42	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		44.2	27	5.020 0	5.02 0	5.000	0.00			5.000	5.000	5.000			5.000
		46.0 4.6	55 20											───	
		6.7	1,921	1.4	0.16	0.16	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		9.5 11.3	210 1641											<u> </u>	
VE-7 ^{6,7}		13.1	30												
(45 deg	03/01/19	15.6	2,489 30	120	1.5	0.05 U	0.5 U	5.4	3.0	1.6	0.43	1.6	0.094	0.12	0.44
angle)	I	16.6 21.6	30 40	<u> </u>			L			L				<u> </u>	
		=•			T	0.05.11	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		26.2	148	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.0 0	0.00 0	0.00 0			0.00 0		0.000	
		26.2 29.0		0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 0	0.0 0	0.00 0	0.000			0.000			
		26.2 29.0 31.5 2.1	148 30 2 109	0.025 U	0.02 U	0.05 0									
		26.2 29.0 31.5	148 30 2	0.025 U 0.089	0.02 U 0.02 U	0.05 0	0.5 U	0.91	1.0	0.27	0.084	0.35	0.05 U	0.57	0.1

Aspect Consulting

August 2019 S:\Walker Chevrolet 080190\Deliverables\Construction and Design Rpt_2019\Tables

Table 2 Construction and Design Report Page 2 of 3

Table 2 - Summary of PID Screening and Sampling Results for Soil Borings, Current Investigation

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

					Chlorina	ted VOCs				P	etroleum H	ydrocarbor	IS		
Well ID ²	Sample Date	Sample Depth (feet bgs)	[PID] ³	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	Trichloroethene (TCE)	cis-1,2- Dichloroethene (cDCE)	Methylene Chloride ⁴	1,2,4- Trimethylbenzene	1,3,5- Trimethylbenzene	n-Propylbenzene	Isopropylbenzene	sec-Butylbenzene	tert-Butylbenzene	p- Isopropyltoluene	Naphthalene
		18.0	14												
VE-8 ⁶		22.3	16												
(45 deg	02/27/19	24.0	1,404	7.3	0.15	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.88	0.76	0.40	0.12	1.2	0.05 U	0.55	0.05 U
angle)		27.2	69												
- /		30.1	26												
		33.2	68	0.047	0.02 U	0.05 U	0.5 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U	0.05 U
		35.7	7												
		39.6	19												
		45.3	2												
		Screer	ning Level ⁸	0.05	0.03	160	0.02		800	8,000		8,000	8,000		5
bgs belov	v ground sur	face		U not	detected at	the indicated	detection l	imit							

below ground surface bgs

PID photo-ionization detector

Notes:

1) All concentrations are in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). Only analytes detected in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances are shaded.

2) Borings and soil samples collected during drilling were designated "A-x" for angled borings and "B-xx" for vertical borings. When wells were installed, the letter designations were changed to "VE-x" for angled (soil vapor extraction) wells and "MW-xx" for vertical (advance outwash groundwater) wells.

3) PID readings were obtained by placing the soil sample in a zip-lock bag and, after waiting several minutes, inserting the tip of the PID into the bag to measure the total VOC concentration in the headspace.

4) In all cases where methylene chloride was detected, the laboratory noted that it was likely due to laboratory contamination.

5) In drilling B-35A, the auger got stuck at 46.5 ft bgs and the hole was abondoned. MW-35 was drilled (no soil sampling) and installed the following night.

6) For the angled borings, only a subset of the PID readings are included in this table. Refer to the boring logs for the full sets of PID readings.

7) Based on field screening of the A-7 cuttings, the presence of separate-phase liquid was suspected in the approximate depth ranges of 7 to 10 and 21 to 22.5 feet bgs.

8) The screening levels are Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup levels for PCE, TCE, methylene chloride, and naphthalene, and the Method B direct contact (CLARC table value) cleanup levels for all other compounds.

Aspect Consulting

August 2019 S:\Walker Chevrolet 080190\Deliverables\Construction and Design Rpt_2019\Tables

Table 2 Construction and Design Report Page 3 of 3

not detected at the indicated detection limit

VOC volatile organic compound

Table 3 - Advance Outwash Groundwater Quality Results Prior to Injection Test Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

		Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	cis-1,2- Dichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride
Well ID	Sample Date	(PCE)	(TCE)	(cDCE)	(VC)
	08/28/07	2,900	1,800	7,100	19
	01/30/08	1,400	520	2,000	0.2 U
	10/02/08	1,900	880	2,300	3.1
	05/12/09	1,600	930	2,400	2.7
	12/22/10	2,100	1,100	2,100	2.7 J
	02/07/12	1,600	810	1,400	0.2 U
	12/12/13	1,600	830	1,200	0.84
MW-2			stimulants injected in Jun		
	01/21/15	19	25	150	0.77
	07/30/15	17	46	600	15
	09/08/15	18	77	610	17
	02/02/16	22	190	640	15
	09/22/16	16	110	480	7.8
	01/04/17	18	80	520	7.4
	11/28/18	28	14	490	5.9
	01/22/08	67	3	13	0.2 U
	01/30/08	31	1.1	4.5	0.2 U
	10/02/08	75	3.2	17	0.2 U
	05/11/09	17	1.1	44	0.2 U
	12/22/10	190	14	41	0.2 U
NANA -3	02/07/12	140	8.7	25	0.2 U
MW-5 ³	01/09/14	0.2 U	0.46	0.2 U	0.2 U
	04/28/15	67	6.2	6.4	0.2 U
	09/09/15	31	3.6	3.6	0.2 U
	02/02/16	27	2.7	2.5	0.2 U
	09/07/16	12	1.4	1.4	0.2 U
	01/04/17	14	1.4	1.3	0.2 U
	11/28/18	13	1.4	1 U	0.2 U
	01/22/08	6.6	1 U	1 U 1 U	0.2 U
	01/30/08	1.5 1 U	1 U 1 U	10	0.2 U
MW-7 ³	10/02/08	1.1	10	10	0.2 U
10100-7	05/11/09	1.1	10	10	0.2 U 0.2 U
	12/22/10 02/06/12	1.4 1 U	10	10	0.2 U
	01/07/14	1.4	1 U	1 U	0.2 U
	04/22/08	1,300	780	2,400	0.2 U
	10/02/08	680	390	3,600	6.9
	05/12/09	780	330	2,600	2
	12/22/10	470	150	1,800	1.4
	02/07/12	960	610	1,600	20 U
	12/17/13	940	560	1,300	10 U
	12/11/10		stimulants injected in Jun		100
MW-8	01/20/15	14	8.5	1,200	9.4
-	07/30/15	41	17	740	8.9
	09/10/15	18	13	1,000	12
	02/01/16	21	13	830	7.1
	09/07/16	50 U	50 U	560	10 U
	09/22/16	16	11	500	5.4
	01/05/17	19	12	480	5.6
	11/28/18	14	5.2	280	3.7
	12/17/13	460	110	380	2 U
			stimulants injected in Jun	e 2014 ***	
	09/08/15	86	53	220	4
MW-15	02/01/16	43	25	290	7.4
	09/07/16	15	8.4	330	4
	01/04/17	6.6	3.3	520	4.9
	11/28/18	3.3	1.6	65	0.78
	12/13/13	450	98	360	0.49

DRAFT

Table 3 - Advance Outwash Groundwater Quality Results Prior to Injection Test

Well ID	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	Trichloroethene (TCE)	cis-1,2- Dichloroethene (cDCE)	Vinyl Chloride (VC)		
MW-16		*** Bio	stimulants injected in Jun	e 2014 ***			
	01/21/15	14	6.3	180	2.2		
	11/28/18	11	2.8	230	2.6		
	12/13/13	170	24	81	0.2 U		
MW-17			stimulants injected in Jun				
	11/28/18	9.7	2.1	83	0.72		
MW-18	12/12/13	460	57	360	0.53		
			stimulants injected in Jun				
	01/08/14	62	4.8	20	0.2 U		
	04/04/45		stimulants injected in Jun		4.11		
	01/21/15	9.7	5 U	45	10		
	09/09/15	7.6	3.9	35	1.5		
MW-19	02/02/16	8.5	5.1	43	1.5		
	09/07/16	20 U	20 U	20 U	4 U		
	09/22/16	8.5	4.1	16	0.43		
	01/04/17	12	4.6	36	0.97		
	11/28/18	2.5	1.6	53	0.56		
	01/08/14	140	16	43	0.2 U		
	01/20/15		stimulants injected in Jun		4.0		
	01/20/15	7.4	5.3	79	1.8		
	09/09/15	11	5.8	150	1.5		
MW-20	02/02/16	1 U	10	250	1.9		
	09/07/16	20 U	20 U	250	4 U		
	09/22/16	4.9	1.7	250	1.8		
	01/04/17	6.2	2	240	2.5		
	11/28/18	4.9	1 U	59	0.84		
	12/17/13	500	130 stimulants injected in Jun	460	2 U		
	01/20/15	15	12	2014 270	1 U		
		7.1	9.2	510	7.4		
MW-21	09/08/15	18	9.2 17	650	9.7		
	02/01/16	18	17		-		
	09/22/16		13	320	4.1		
	01/04/17	15		340	4.2		
	11/28/18	14	7.6	190	2.3		
MW-23	03/14/19	100	25	18	0.2 U		
MW-24	02/13/19	66	12	5.4	0.2 U		
MW-25	02/13/19	37	3.6	3.0	0.2 U		
MW-26	02/13/19	20	2.4	2.1	0.2 U		
MW-27	02/13/19	9.4	1.6	10	0.2 U		
MW-28	03/26/19	20	5.1	2.1	0.2 U		
MW-29	03/26/19	12	1.1	10	0.2 U		
MW-30	02/25/19	27	6.2	6.3	0.2 U		
MW-31	02/25/19	150	45	28	0.2 U		
MW-32	03/26/19	36	8.7	2.8	0.2 U		
MW-33	03/26/19 07/15/19	28	<u>3.9</u> 1.4	1.6 1 U	0.2 U 0.2 U		
MW-34		18					

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

U not detected at the indicated detection limit

Notes:

1) All concentrations are in micrograms per liter (μ g/L). Only analytes with concentrations exceeding their respective screening levels in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances (see Note 2) are shaded.

2) Screening levels are Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A groundwater cleanup levels for PCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride, and maximum contaminant level (MCL) for cDCE.

3) Potential impacts from Tully's Coffee water leak. An estimated 600,000 gallons of drinking water were released between May 2006 and Sept 2007 (per analysis of water bills).

Table 4 - Advance Outwash Groundwater Natural Attenuation Parameters Prior to Injection Test

Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Former Walker Chevrolet Property, Tacoma, Washington

Well ID	Date	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Chloride (mg/L)	Nitrate (mg/L)	Nitrite (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Iron, total (mg/L)	Ferrous Iron (mg/L)	TOC (mg/L)	Methane (mg/L)	Ethene (mg/L)	Ethane (mg/L)	Dhc Assay ²	
MW-1	1/10/14	0.4	114		0.2	<0.1	8.8	4.07		<1.5					
	12/12/13	4.4	141		0.959	NA	9.26	6.17		<0.25					
				1				ected in June 2	014 ***				1		
MW-2	1/21/15	1.6	33					294							
	2/27/19	0.5	58	50.6	<0.1	0.675	1.22	49.2	2.5	209					
MW-5	1/9/14	2.1	74		0.7	<0.1	20.6	11.5		<1.5					
MW-7	1/7/14	8.5	53		1.39	0.006	28.4	14.3		<0.25					
	12/17/13	0.4	23		0.33	0.004	20.9	77.3		<0.25					
MW-8			-	1 1		*** B	iostimulants inj	ected in June 2	014 ***				1		
	1/20/15	0.4	36					89.1							
MW-15	12/17/13	4.1	75		2.08	< 0.002	15.4	0.968		<0.25					
10100-15		*** Biostimulants injected in June 2014 ***													
	12/13/13	2.4	50		1.76	0.004				<0.25					
MW-16						*** B	iostimulants inj	jected in June 2	014 ***						
	1/21/15	4.4	-3					62.5							
MW-17	12/13/13	1.7	63		1.51	0.004	14.9	32.8		<0.25					
								jected in June 2	014 ***			-			
MW-18	12/12/13	3.8	122		0.681	NA	17.8	0.216		0.639					
	*** Biostimulants injected in June 2014 *** 1/8/14 2.4 97 2.66 0.006 22.7 113 0.254 Image: Control of the second se														
	1/8/14	2.4	97		2.66	0.006				0.254					
MW-19	*** Biostimulants injected in June 2014 ***														
	1/21/15	0.4	42					59.4							
	1/8/14	5.9	114		2.02	0.007	16.9	40.8		<0.25					
	4/00/45		47	1		••• B	lostimulants inj	ected in June 2	014 ***			1		1	
MW-20	1/20/15	2.3	47			0.400		50.6	4.5	170					
	2/27/19	3.6	73	31.4	<0.1	0.128	<0.3	71	1.5	179	10.0	0.045	0.040		
	7/15/19	0.12	-11		0.40	0.005	40.0	70.4		0.05	10.2	<0.015	<0.016	<1 x 10 ⁴	
MW-21	12/17/13	2.6	56		2.12	0.005	13.9	79.1 ected in June 2	04.4 ***	<0.25					
10100-21	1/20/15	11	45			B	lostimulants inj	1	014					1	
MW-24	2/13/19	1.1 1.2	45 44	32.9	0.606	0.186	10.6	42.2 3.64	<0.5	0.751					
							12.6								
MW-25	2/13/19	0.5	55	48.5	0.624	0.308	16.1	1.67	<0.5	0.862					
MW-26	2/13/19	7.6	53	46.9	1.78	0.154	14.4	4.24	<0.5	< 0.5					
MW-27	2/13/19	3.7	72	298	2.41	<1	18.9	3.22	<0.5	0.719					
MW-30	2/25/19	8.3	70	10.1	1.17	<0.2	24.2	4.53	<0.5	1.24					
MW-31	2/25/19	3.6	75	23.7	1.09	0.166	13.3	8.68	<0.5	0.723					
MW-34	7/15/19 w ground surfac	0.96	9		0.484	0.125	15.1	3.65 TOC total or		3.9	0.031	<0.015	<0.016	<1 x 10 ⁴	

below ground surfac mg/L milligrams per liter bgs DO dissolved oxygen mV millivolts

ORP oxidation-reduction potential

Notes:

1) Blank cell indicates sample was not analyzed for that parameter.

2) Gene-Trace dehalococcoides (Dhc) assay based on quantification of Dhc 16S rRNA gene copies. Dhc are generally reported to contain one 16S rRNA gene copy per cell; therefore,

this number is often interpreted to represent the number of Dhc cells present in the 1-liter sample.



Table 4 Construction and Design Report Page 1 of 1

Table 5 - Groundwater Quality Results, Deeper Water-Bearing Zone

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Well ID	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	Trichloroethene (TCE)	cis-1,2- Dichloroethene (cDCE)	Vinyl Chloride (VC)
	05/11/09	<1U	<1U	11	< 0.2 U
	12/22/10	<1U	< 1 U	21	< 0.2 U
	02/06/12	<1U	<1U	26	< 0.2 U
	01/10/14	< 0.2 U	< 0.2 U	42	< 0.2 U
MW-8D	04/28/15	<1U	< 1 U	54	< 0.2 U
10100-80	09/08/15	<1U	<1U	65	< 0.2 U
	02/02/16	<1U	< 1 U	62	< 0.2 U
	09/07/16	<1U	<1U	69	< 0.2 U
	01/12/17	<1U	<1U	77	< 0.2 U
	04/09/19	<1U	<1U	97	< 0.2 U
	12/22/10	6.1	<1U	22	< 0.2 U
	02/06/12	<1U	<1U	17	< 0.2 U
	01/10/14	0.7	0.34	22	< 0.2 U
	04/29/15	<1U	<1U	13	< 0.2 U
MW-12D	09/10/15	<1U	<1U	9.1	< 0.2 U
	02/02/16	<1U	< 1 U	9.2	< 0.2 U
	09/07/16	<1U	< 1 U	3.4	< 0.2 U
	01/12/17	<1U	< 1 U	3.0	< 0.2 U
	12/22/10	14	3.2	30	< 0.2 U
	02/07/12	4.2	2.4	28	< 0.2 U
	12/16/13	5.9	3.7	32	< 0.2 U
	04/29/15	< 1 U	< 1 U	14	< 0.2 U
MW-13D	09/09/15	4.1	2.2	22	< 0.2 U
	02/02/16	2.2	2.1	23	< 0.2 U
	09/07/16	2.3	1.7	13	< 0.2 U
	01/12/17	11	3.2	16	< 0.2 U
	04/09/19	3.1	1.9	12	< 0.2 U
	02/06/12	4.2	3.3	28	< 0.2 U
	01/23/14	2.4	1	4.5	< 0.2 U
	04/29/15	2.2	< 1 U	2.5	< 0.2 U
	09/09/15	9.2	3.9	15	< 0.2 U
MW-14D	02/02/16	1.8	< 1 U	2.2	< 0.2 U
	09/07/16	3.2	1.1	3.6	< 0.2 U
	01/12/17	7.4	1.9	4.8	< 0.2 U
	04/09/19 ³	<10	<10	<10	< 0.2 U
	Screening Level ²	-	5	70	0.2

U not detected at the indicated detection limit

Notes:

1) All concentrations are in micrograms per liter (µg/L). Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances are shaded.

2) Screening levels are Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A groundwater cleanup levels for PCE, TCE, and VC, and maximum contaminant level (MCL) for cDCE.

3) Extensive Sound Transit construction in North First St adjacent to MW-14D may have impacted concentrations at that well on 04/09/19.



Table 6 - Groundwater Quality Results, MW-20 Injection Test

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Well ID	Distance from Injection Well (ft)	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	Trichloroethene (TCE)	cis-1,2- Dichloroethene (cDCE)	Vinyl Chloride (VC)
		01/08/14	140	16	43	0.2 U
			*** Biostir	nulants injected in June		
		01/20/15	7.4	5.3	79	1.8
		09/09/15	11	5.8	150	1.5
MW-20		02/02/16	1 U	1 U	250	1.9
INIVV-20 (Injection		09/07/16	20 U	20 U	250	4 U
Well)		09/22/16	4.9	1.7	250	1.8
vveii)		01/04/17	6.2	2	240	2.5
		11/28/18	4.9	1 U	59	0.84
			*** Injection to	o MW-20, July 15, 16, 8	k 17, 2019 ***	
		08/27/19	(Unable t	o collect water sample	due to pump screen bio	fouling)
		12/12/19	1 U	1 U	14	1.5
		07/15/19	18	1.4	1 U	0.2 U
MW-34	7		*** Injection to	o MW-20, July 15, 16, 8	k 17, 2019 ***	
10100-34	1	08/27/19	25	2.2	1.3	0.2 U
		12/13/19	11	1.4	20	0.2 U
		02/13/19	66	12	5.4	0.2 U
MW-24	10		*** Injection to	o MW-20, July 15, 16, 8	k 17, 2019 ***	
10100-24	10	08/27/19	42	10	5.1	0.2 U
		12/12/19	50	11	4.2	0.2 U
			*** Injection to	o MW-20, July 15, 16, 8	k 17, 2019 ***	
MW-35	15	08/27/19	39	4.9	2.8	0.2 U
		12/13/19	23	3.2	7.2	0.2 U
		02/13/19	20	2.4	2.1	0.2 U
MW-26	20		*** Injection to	o MW-20, July 15, 16, 8	k 17, 2019 ***	
10100-20	20	08/27/19	20	2.7	2.2	0.2 U
		12/13/19	19	2.3	2.0	0.2 U
	S	creening Level ²	5	5	70	0.2

U not detected at the indicated detection limit

Notes:

All concentrations are in micrograms per liter (μg/L). Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances (see Note 2) are shaded.
 Screening levels are Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A groundwater cleanup levels for PCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride, and maximum contaminant level (MCL) for cDCE.



 Table 6

 Construction and Design Report

 Page 1 of 1

Table 7 - Groundwater Natural Attenuation Parameters, MW-20 Injection Test

Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Former Walker Chevrolet Property, Tacoma, Washington

Well ID	Distance from Injection Well (ft)	Date	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Chloride (mg/L)	Nitrate (mg/L)	Nitrite (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Iron, total (mg/L)	Ferrous Iron (mg/L)	TOC (mg/L)	Methane (mg/L)	Ethene (mg/L)	Ethane (mg/L)	Dhc Assay ²		
	- (- /	1/8/14	5.9	114	(3,	2.02	0.007	16.9	40.8	(3)	<0.25	(3)	(3)	(3)			
		1/0/14	0.0	114	II	2.02			ected in June 2	014 ***	-0.20				<u> </u>		
		1/20/15	2.3	47				,	50.6						1		
MW-20		2/27/19	3.6	73	31.4	<0.1	0.128	<0.3	71	1.5	179						
(Injection		7/15/19	0.12	-11								10.2	<0.015	<0.016	<1 x 10 ⁴		
Well)					<u> </u>		*** Injecti	on to MW-20, J	July 15, 16, & 1	7, 2019 ***				•			
		8/27/19	*** Injection to MW-20, July 15, 16, & 17, 2019 *** 8/27/19 (Unable to collect water sample due to pump screen biofouling)														
		12/12/19	1.05	-44		0.252	2.74	<0.3	114		809	3.73	<0.015	<0.016	<1 x 10 ⁴		
		7/15/19	0.96	9		0.484	0.125	15.1	3.65		3.9	0.031	<0.015	<0.016	<1 x 10 ⁴		
MW-34	7						*** Injecti	on to MW-20, .	luly 15, 16, & 1	7, 2019 ***							
10100-34	'	8/27/19	0.94	13		0.285	<0.4	7.48	6.09		20.5	<0.0086	<0.015	<0.016	<4 x 10 ³		
		12/13/19	0.52	53		<0.1	<0.1	4.26	7.32		6.76	0.065	<0.015	<0.016	<3 x 10 ³		
		2/13/19	1.2	44	32.9	0.606	0.186	12.6	3.64	<0.5	0.751				l .		
MW-24	10		*** Injection to MW-20, July 15, 16, & 17, 2019 ***														
10100-24	10	8/27/19	7.0	26		0.566	<0.2	11.6	41.4		3.36	0.028	<0.015	<0.016	<u> </u>		
		12/12/19	1.1	28		0.307	<0.1	9.69	4.07		2.43	2.3	<0.015	<0.016	<u> </u>		
								,	luly 15, 16, & 1	7, 2019 ***							
MW-35	15	8/27/19	0.65	-28		0.268	1.17	7.27	6.17		132						
		12/13/19	1.5	-38		0.388	<0.1	13.2	4.66		3.66	<0.0086	<0.015	<0.016	<6 x 10 ³		
		2/13/19	7.6	53	46.9	1.78	0.154	14.4	4.24	<0.5	<0.5				l		
MW-26	20							,	luly 15, 16, & 1	7, 2019 ***		•					
11111 20	20	8/27/19	7.7	75		1.92	<0.2	13.7	49.4		<0.5				ļ		
		12/13/19	7.0	17		1.85	<0.1	12.9	51.7		<1.0				<u> </u>		
bgs below	ground surfac	e	mg/L milligra	ams per liter		NA natura	l attenuation		TOC total or	rganic carbon							

DO dissolved oxygen

ORP oxidation-reduction potential

Notes:

1) Blank cell indicates sample was not analyzed for that parameter.

2) Gene-Trac® dehalococcoides (Dhc) assay based on quantification of Dhc 16S rRNA gene copies. Dhc are generally reported to contain one 16S rRNA gene copy per cell; therefore,

this number is often interpreted to represent the number of Dhc cells present in the 1-liter sample.

mV millivolts



Table 7 Construction and Design Report Page 1 of 1

FIGURES







Boring Logs

Sieve	- More than 50% $^{\rm 4}$ of Coarse Fraction Retained on No. 4 Sieve	es 0000		GW	Well-graded GRAVEL Well-graded GRAVEL WITH SAND	MC = Natural Moisture Content GEOTECHNICAL LAB TESTS GS = Grain Size Distribution GEOTECHNICAL LAB TESTS FC = Fines Content (% < 0.075 mm) GEOTECHNICAL LAB TESTS GH = Hydrometer Test AL AL = Atterberg Limits C C = Consolidation Test Ctransfit Test Test C
200	More than $50\%^1$ of C Retained on No. 4	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		GP	Poorly-graded GRAVEL WITH SAND	Str=Strength TestOC=Organic Content (% Loss by Ignition)Comp=Proctor TestK=Hydraulic Conductivity TestSG=Specific Gravity Test
ained or	More th Retaine	% Fines		GM	SILTY GRAVEL WITH SAND	Organic Chemicals CHEMICAL LAB TESTS BTEX = Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Xylenes
ר 50% Retained on No.	Gravels -	GC CLAYEY GRAVEL CLAYEY GRAVEL WITH SAND GC SW Well-graded SAND Well-graded SAND WITH GRAVED SP Poorly-graded SAND Poorly-graded SAND WITH GRAVED SP SP Poorly-graded SAND Poorly-graded SAND WITH GRAVED SP SP SM SILTY SAND SILTY SAND SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL SC CLAYEY SAND CLAYEY SAND WITH GRAVEL SOG ML SILT SANDY or GRAVELLY SILT SILT WITH SAND SILT WITH GRAVEL SOG CL SANDY or GRAVELLY SILT SILT WITH GRAVEL CL LEAN CLAY SANDY or GRAVELLY LEAN CLAY SANDY or GRAVELLY LEAN CLAY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL SANDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC SI ORGANIC SILT SANDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC SI ORGANIC SILT WITH GRAVEL SANDY or GRAVELLY CLASTIC SI ELASTIC SILT WITH GRAVEL SANDY or GRAVELLY CLASTIC SI ELASTIC SILT WITH GRAVEL SANDY or GRAVELLY FAT CLAY FAT CLAY WITH GRAVEL SANDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC C ORGANIC CLAY WITH GRAVEL OPUE SIJIS SANDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC C ORGANIC CLAY WITH GRAVEL		TPH-Dx=Diesel and Oil-Range Petroleum HydrocarbonsTPH-G=Gasoline-Range Petroleum HydrocarbonsVOCs=Volatile Organic CompoundsSVOCs=Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds		
- More than	e Fraction		Well-graded SAND Well-graded SAND WITH GRAVEL	PAHs = Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Compounds PCBs = Polychlorinated Biphenyls <u>Metals</u> RCRA8 = As, Ba, Cd, Cr, Pb, Hg, Se, Ag, (d = dissolved, t = total)		
ned Soils	of Coars 4 Sieve	⊪2%		SP	Poorly-graded SAND Poorly-graded SAND WITH GRAVEL	MTCA5 = As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Pb (d = dissolved, t = total) PP-13 = Ag, As, Be, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl, Zn (d=dissolved, t=total) PID = Photoionization Detector FIELD TESTS
Coarse-Grained Soils - More than	50% ¹ or More Passes No.	Output SW Well-graded SAND Well-graded SAND WITH GRAVEL SP Poorly-graded SAND Poorly-graded SAND WITH GRAVEL SP Poorly-graded SAND Poorly-graded SAND WITH GRAVEL SP SM SILTY SAND SILTY SAND SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL SP SM SILTY SAND SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL SP SILTY SAND SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL SP SILT SANDY or GRAVELLY SILT SILT WITH GRAVEL SP ML SILT SANDY or GRAVELLY SILT SILT WITH GRAVEL SP CL SP CL SNDY or GRAVELLY SILT SILT WITH GRAVEL SP ORGANIC SILT SANDY or GRAVELLY LEAN CLAY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL SNDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC SI ORGANIC SILT WITH GRAVEL SNDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC SI ORGANIC SILT WITH GRAVEL SNDY or GRAVELLY PLASTIC SIE ELASTIC SILT WITH GRAVEL SNDY or GRAVELLY FAT CLAY SANDY or GRAVELLY FAT CLAY SANDY or GRAVELLY FAT CLAY SANDY or GRAVELLY FAT CLAY SANDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC CLAY		Sheen=Oil Sheen TestFIELD TESTSSPT ² =Standard Penetration TestNSPT=Non-Standard Penetration TestDCPT=Dynamic Cone Penetration Test		
	Sands - !	SC CLAYEY SAND CLAYEY SAND WITH GRAVEL			Descriptive Term BouldersSize Range and Sieve Number Larger than 12 inchesCOMPONENT DEFINITIONSCobbles=3 inches to 12 inches 3 inches to 3/4 inchesComponent DEFINITIONS	
Sieve	ys E0%	SILT SANDY or GRAVELLY SILT SILT WITH SAND		SANDY or GRAVELLY SILT SILT WITH SAND	Fine Gravel = 3/4 inches to No. 4 (4.75 mm) Coarse Sand = No. 4 (4.75 mm) to No. 10 (2.00 mm) Medium Sand = No. 10 (2.00 mm) to No. 40 (0.425 mm) Fine Sand = No. 40 (0.425 mm) to No. 200 (0.075 mm)	
Passes No. 200	Its and Cla			CL	SANDY or GRAVELLY LEAN CLAY LEAN CLAY WITH SAND	Silt and Clay = Smaller than No. 200 (0.075 mm) % by Weight Modifier % by Weight Modifier ESTIMATED ¹ <1
ore	S.			OL	SANDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC SILT ORGANIC SILT WITH SAND	1 to <5 =Trace30 to 45 =Some5 to 10=Few>50=MostlyDry=Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touchMOISTURE
ls - 50%1 or M	ys Moro			мн	ELASTIC SILT SANDY or GRAVELLY ELASTIC SILT ELASTIC SILT WITH SAND	Slightly Moist=Perceptible moistureCONTENTMoist=Damp but no visible waterVery Moist=Very Moist=Water visible but not free drainingVisible free water, usually from below water table
Fine-Grained Soils	SANDY OF GRAVELLY ELASTIC SILT ELASTIC SILT WITH SAND ELASTIC SILT WITH GRAVEL OF FAT CLAY SANDY OF GRAVELLY FAT CLAY FAT CLAY WITH GRAVEL OF GRAVELLY OF GRAVELLY OF GANIC CLAY ORGANIC CLAY SANDY OF GRAVELLY OF GANIC CLAY ORGANIC CLAY WITH GRAVEL ORGANIC CLAY WITH GRAVEL	SANDY or GRAVELLY FAT CLAY FAT CLAY WITH SAND	Non-Cohesive or Coarse-Grained SoilsRELATIVE DENSITYDensity3SPT2 Blows/Foot $Very Loose$ Penetration with 1/2" Diameter Rod $\geq 2'$ Loose= 0 to 4 $= 5 to 10$ $\geq 2'$ $1' to 2'$			
Fine		SANDY or GRAVELLY ORGANIC CLAY ORGANIC CLAY WITH SAND	Medium Dense = 11 to 30 3" to 1' Dense = 31 to 50 1" to 3" Very Dense = > 50 < 1"			
Highly			Cohesive or Fine-Grained Soils CONSISTENCY Consistency³ SPT² Blows/Foot Manual Test Very Soft = 0 to 1 Penetrated >1" easily by thumb. Extrudes between thumb & fingers. Soft = 2 to 4 Penetrated 1/4" to 1" easily by thumb. Easily molded. Medium Stiff = 5 to 8 Penetrated 1/4" with effort by thumb. Molded with strong pressure			
name; e.g. GRAVEL" n gravel. • "\		Stiff= 9 to 15Indented $\sim 1/4^{\circ}$ with effort by thumb.Very Stiff= 16 to 30Indented easily by thumbnail.Hard= > 30Indented with difficulty by thumbnail.				
contains la Soils were ASTM D24	described 888. Where	e two soil and ider indicate	types; ntified i ed in th	e.g., SN in the fie e log, so		GEOLOGIC CONTACTS Observed and Distinct Observed and Gradual Inferred
1. Estima 2. (SPT) §	ated or me Standard	easured Penetrat	oercer ion Te	itage by st (ASTN	dry weight	Exploration Log Key



















	<u> Acne</u>			More	ell's Dry Cl	eaners - 080	190		Environmental Ex	ploration L	og
7			608 N 1et	Street 7	•	Site Specific Location 3, South of Morell's,	south o	f MW-25	Coordinates NA	Exploration Nu	
	Contractor			ipment		Sampling Metho			Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	MW-2	
	Holt			IE - 75		tohammer; Ib hamn		р	0' (est)	Ecology Well T BLI 185	
	Operator		Exploration 8 5" OD	on Methoo X 4.25"	l(s) ID	Work Start/Completion	n Dates		Top of Casing Elev.	Depth to Water (Be	
	Kyle		8.5" OD Hollow-S	Stem Aug	-	1/29/2019			NA	52' (ATD)
epth eet)	Expl	loration Co and No	ompletion tes	Sample Type/ID	Analytical Sample Number & Lab Test(s)	Field Tests	Material Type		Description		
							×//&		LT; Asphalt		_
1 +		Flushmo							RETE; Concrete		_4
		thermos seal, 0'-	ent, lockable cap, concrete 2' bgs						AND (SM); dense to very dens		
2 +			Ū					gray brov	vn; fine to coarse sand, few fin I gravel.	e to coarse	t
3 +								-			+
1 +								-			t
5 +								-			-
					B-26-5.5	PID=0 SPT=29, 50/5					
3 +				┝┻┤	VOCs by 8260	'					t
7											
3 +											ł
∍ ∔								-			
								-			
0+		2" diam 40 PVC	eter, schedule , threaded ions, 0'-44'			PID=0		•			ł
1+		connect bgs	ions, 0'-44'			SPT=27, 50/6		- - -			1
•											
2+											ł
3+											1
4+								-			t
15+											
						PID=0			SILT (ML); hard, slightly mois silt; fine to coarse sand; few fi		
6+						SPT=19, 42, 50/5		subround	l gravel.		t
7+				\square							+
8+											t
9+											+
20+		Bentoni bgs	te chips, 2'-42'			PID=0 SPT=19, 50/6		SILTY S	SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM); v	ery dense, moist,	1
21+				╎┛┤		SP I= 19, 50/6		gray brov	vn; fine to coarse sand (mostly I gravel.	nne), nne	+
22+											t
23+											ł
								. .			
24+											t
	Legend							·		[
D		ous core	e 1.125" ID			Level ATD		See Explo	ration Log Key for explanation	Explorat	ior
Type					Water Level			Logged by		Log MW-26	2
~ <u> </u>					>-			Approved		10100-20	


































	Acno	ct		Mo	rell's Dry C	leaners - 080)190		Environmental Ex	ploration L	og
7	ASPE CONSULT		608 N 1s	t Stree	8 Project Address t, Tacoma WA 8 i	Site Specific Location 3403, Parking Lot ea B-30	st of More	ell's and	Coordinates NA	Exploration Nu	
	Contractor			iipment		Sampling Meth	od		Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	Ecology Well	
	Holt			E - 85		utohammer; Ib ham Work Start/Completion		р	0' (est) Top of Casing Elev.	BLR 934	1
	<i>Operator</i> John B		Exploration 8.5" OD Hollow-S	X 4.25 Stem A	uger	3/13/2019 to 3/14			NA	50.09' (Sta	
epth eet)	Explo	oration Co and Not	ompletion	Sample Type/II		& Field Tests	Material Type		Description		C
				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Lab Test(s)				LT; Asphalt.		
1 +		Flushmo	ount ent, lockable						RETE; Concrete.		_
2 +		thermos seal, 0'-2	cap, concrete								
3 +											Ť
4 +											t
5 +				o		SPT=50/3					+
3 +											ļ
7 +											t
3 +											t
9 											ł
0+		2" diame	eter, schedule	0		SPT=50/4					
1+		40 PVC, connecti bgs	, threaded ions, 0'-45'			3F1-30/4					
2+											t
3+											ł
4											+
5+					B-32-15.5		. FLELT				
6+					VOCs by 826	SO PID=1 SPT=24, 50/5		brown; f	SAND (SM); Very dense, slight ne to medium sand, trace fine s	y moist, light subround gravel.	
7+											t
8+											ł
9+								1			+
0+			te chips, 2'-42'								.
		bgs				PID=1 SPT=30, 50/5		SAND light brov gravel.	WITH SILT (SP-SM); Very dens wn; fine to medium sand; trace f	se, slightly moist, fine subround	
1+								yıavel.			t
2+								-			t
3+								-			ł
24 +								-			+
1	Legend Continuo		1 125" ID			Water Level		See Explo	pration Log Key for explanation	Explorat	ior
Туре			, 1. 120 ID		Water Level			of symbol Logged b		Log MW-32	
ŏ –								Approved	by: DAH	Sheet 1 of	

















	۸c	noct		Mor	ell's	Dry Clea	aners - 080 [°] e Specific Location	190		Environmental Ex	ploration Lo	g
			608 N 1et 9				e Specific Location , South of Morell's		M\\\/_20	Coordinates NA	Exploration Numb	
`		tractor		pment	Tacoma	a WA 90403,	Sampling Metho		10100-20	Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	MW-35	
	ŀ	Holt	СМ	E-75			No Sampling			0' (est)	Ecology Well Tag BMF672	g No.
	Ор	erator	Exploratio	n Methoo X 4 25"	d(s) ' ID	I	Work Start/Completior	n Dates		Top of Casing Elev.	Depth to Water (Belo	w GS)
	۲	(yle	8.5" OD Hollow-S	tem Au	1		7/10/2019 to 7/11/	/2019	1	NA	50.8' (Static))
Depth (feet)		Exploration and N	Completion Notes	Sample Type/ID	Sam	Analytical ple Number & ab Test(s)	Field Tests	Material Type		Description		Depth (ft)
1		Flushr	mount						·	ALT; Asphalt.	/	- - 1
		🕢 🕅 monu	ment, lockable os cap, concrete)'-2' bgs						No logo	ging or sampling		
2												+ 2
3												- 3
4												- 4
5												- 5
6												- 6
7												- 7
8												- 8
9 ·												- 9
10 ⁻		2" diai	meter, schedule C, threaded ctions, 0'-43'									- 10
11		bgs	,									-11
⁶ و12												-12
												-13
14 ⁻												- 14
080 s. 15 [.]												- 15
16												- 16
												-17
												- 18
19 [.]												- 19
20 ⁻		Bento bgs	nite chips, 2'-42'									-20
21 [.]												-21
												-22
EMPLATE												-23
11 901 24		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX										-24
	L 8 Lege						ater Level			oration Log Key for explanation	Exploratio) Dn
New Standard Exploration Log Templarte VisiServeri, Aspect Locatiproject Significant July 31, 2019 12 13					Water Level				of symbol Logged b Approved	y: BMG	Log MW-35 Sheet 1 of 3	

	spect		More	ell's Dry Cle	<u>aners - 080</u>	190	Environmental Ex	ploration Log
X	CONSULTING	608 N 1-+		Project Address & Site		15' \N/ of MIM 20	Coordinates NA	Exploration Number
	Contractor		ipment		Sampling Metho		Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	MW-35
	Holt	-	, ЛЕ-75		No Sampling		0' (est)	Ecology Well Tag N BMF672
	Operator	Explorati	on Methodi	(s)	Nork Start/Completio		Top of Casing Elev.	Depth to Water (Below (
	Kyle	Hollow-S	X 4.25" Stem Aug		7/10/2019 to 7/11	/2019	NA	50.8' (Static)
epth eet)	Exploration C and No	ompletion otes	Sample Type/ID	Analytical Sample Number & Lab Test(s)	Field Tests	Material Type	Description	
26-								-
.7-								-
8-								-
9-								+
0+								+
1-								+
2-								+
3-								-
4								-
5+								-
6-								-
7+								+
8+								-
9+								+
0+								-
1+								-
2+ 3+								+
3- 4-								+
5-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and filter pack, 5' bgs						+
6-	42'-61.5	o' bgs						+
7-								+
8-								+
9-								-
	egend			▼ Static W	ater Level	See Explo	pration Log Key for explanation	Exploratio
Type				Water Level		of symbol Logged b		Log MW-35







Acne	act	More	ell's Dry Clea Project Address & Site	aners - 080	190		Environmental Ex	ploration Lo	Ŋ
			Project Address & Site t, Tacoma WA 9840			of A-5	Coordinates NA	Exploration Num	
Contractor		Equipment		Sampling Metho		01 74-5	Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	VE-6	
Holt	Terra	Sonic 150	cc	Rotary core			0' (est)	Ecology Well Ta BLI 190	ıg N
Operator		ration Method		Vork Start/Completio			Top of Casing Elev.	Depth to Water (Belo	ow (
Ben		Sonic		2/27/2019 to 2/28	8/2019		NA	No Water Encour	
st. Depth ong (feet Ex eet) bgs)	ploration Completion and Notes	Sample Type/ID	Analytical Sample Number & Lab Test(s)	Field Tests	Material Type		Description		D A
							LT; Asphalt	6	Л
1 - 🙀 😽						COURSE S	SAND (SM); Slightly moist, light and; trace fine subround gravel	t brown; fine to	+
		.							
2 + 💥 🖁	No Monument, well completed sub surface for future S	VE							T
3 - 2 👹 🖁	connection, lockabl	e							+
4 3 🔀 🖁	XXX			PID=10					Ť
5 - 🗱 🕯	Well installed at 45								+
4	X degree angle					1			
				PID=3					t
' - ₅	XX			PID=13		1			+
	XXX					SAND	WITH SILT (SP-SM); Very mois	st light brown: fine	-
	2" diameter, schedu 40 PVC, threaded	ule				to mediu	m sand; fine subround gravel.		t
	connections, 1'-45'								
	XXX			PID=19		-			
p+ 7 📓 🖁	X								+
	XX					-			
1- 8				PID=57					T
2-	XXX			110-37		-			+
9 🕅 🕅	X		A-6-13.0						
3+ ~ 🗱 🕅	XXX		VOCs by 8260C	PID=126		-			t
4+ 10 🕅 🖁	XXX					-			+
	X			PID=87		-			
	X					SAND	WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SP- ine to medium sand; fine to cou	SM); Moist, gray	
5+ '' 🗱 🕅				PID=15		gravel.	ne to medium sand, nne to cou		+
	XX					-			
7 - 12				PID=12		-			t
3-	X			10-12		-			+
	XXX			PID=17					
9- 💥 🕺						-			T
0+ ¹⁴	X	┝╋┤╴│							+
						1			
1+15				PID=99					T
2-						-			+
16	X					-			
3-	XX			PID=82		-			t
4-				110-02		SAND	(SP); Very moist, gray; fine to m	nedium sand [,] trace	+
	×					silt.	(c.), vory molet, gray, line to fi		
Legend			No Wate	r Encountered			pration Log Key for explanation	Exploratio	••
	uous core 7" ID		Level			of symbo	s	Log	51
ř,			Le K			Logged b Approved		VE-6	
I			I				~ <u>,</u>	Sheet 1 of 3	5

V anor	∖ ∲	More	ell's Dry Clea	ners - 080	190		Environmental Ex	ploration Lo	bg
Aspec			Project Address & Site , Tacoma WA 9840		le couth	of A E	Coordinates NA	Exploration Num	
Contractor		uipment		Sampling Meth		01 A-5	Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	VE-6	
Holt		onic 150 c	~	Rotary core			0' (est)	Ecology Well Ta	ıg N
Operator		ion Method		ork Start/Completio			Top of Casing Elev.	BLI 190 Depth to Water (Belo	01//
ist. Depth		Sonic	Analytical	/27/2019 to 2/28	0/2019		NA	No Water Encour	nte D
ong (feet Explorat	ion Completion nd Notes	Sample Type/ID	Sample Number & Lab Test(s)	Field Tests	Material Type		Description		Ă
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	nd Notes	Type/ID	Sample Number &	Field Tests PID=86 PID=38 PID=22 PID=22 PID=25 PID=50 PID=50 PID=57 PID=71 PID=71 PID=43 PID=43 PID=33 PID=33 PID=28 PID=41		Silt cor only fine Becom to cours	SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM); Ma hedium sand; fine subround grav ntent dereases; gravel content de	ecreases and is creases and is fine wn; fine to al.	
3+ 34				PID=35		0.000			_
9				PID=12	- 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	GRAVI brown; f	EL WITH SILT AND SAND (GW ine to course sand; fine to cours	r-GM); Moist, light se subround gravel.	
	core 7" ID		No Water evel	Encountered		See Explo of symbo Logged b Approved	y: BMG	Exploration Log VE-6 Sheet 2 of 3	



	spe	sct		More	ell's Dry Clea	ners - 080	190		Environmental Ex		
	NSUL		608 N 1st	Street, 1	Project Address & Site Facoma WA 98403, and MW	Specific Location East of Morell's, -17	between	MW-16	Coordinates NA	Exploration Num	
	ontractor			ipment		Sampling Meth			Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	VE-7	
	Holt		TerraSc	nic 150 d	xc	Rotary core	9		0' (est)	Ecology Well Ta BLI 191	g N
C	Operator		Exploration	on Method	(s) N	/ork Start/Completic	on Dates		Top of Casing Elev.	Depth to Water (Belo	ow (
	Ben		s	onic	:	2/28/2019 to 3/1	/2019		NA	No Water Encour	nter
st. Depth ong (feet	Exp	oloration C and No		Sample Type/ID	Analytical Sample Number &	Field Tests	Material Type		Description		Di
et) bgs)				.,,	Lab Test(s)			ASPHA	LT; Asphalt		7
4							110		RETE; Concrete		<u>/</u>
1 + 1 2 +			ument, well ted sub for future SVE tion, lockable					SILTY G	TILL GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM); Sli ledium sand; fine to course sub	ightly moist, gray; round gravel.	_
3 + 2		★ thermos	s cap			PID=4					t
1 - 3						PID=9			SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM); SI ne to medium sand; fine subrou		-
5 +		Well ins degree	stalled at 45 angle								t
5 + 4						PID=20		SANDY brown; lo subround	Y SILT WITH GRAVEL (MH); So w plasticity silt; fine to medium d gravel.	lightly moist, light sand; fine	-
' + 5											t
3 - 6		🔰 40 PVC	eter, schedule , threaded tions, 1'-25'			PID=48			SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM); W m sand; fine subround gravel. S		1
) +											t
0-7						PID=1921		Suspec	t separate-phase liquid 7'-10' b	gs.	+
1+ 8 2+						PID=762					
3-9	XXXXXXX XXXXXXX	~~~~~				PID=210					+
4-10			te chips, 1'-22'			PID=256		SILTY	SAND (SM); Moist, light brown;	fine to course	+
5-		VVVVV						sand; fev	w fine subround gravel. Strong s	solvent odor.	+
6+		VVVVV				PID=1641					t
7 – 12						PID=700		SAND	WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SW ay; fine to medium sand; fine to	-SM); Slightly	+
8- 13						PID=30		gravel; fe	ay; fine to medium sand; fine to ew cobbles.	Dourse Supround	+
9-											+
0+14							000000	fine to co	EL WITH SILT AND SAND (GP- purse subround gravel, fine to c		
2 ¹⁺ 15	XXXXXX	VVVV-			A-7-22.0	PID=2489		trace silt.	(SP); Slightly moist, gray; fine to . Strong solvent odor. Separate		
16 3-					VOCs by 8260C	PID=2409		suspecte SILTY S sand; fev	d. SAND (SM); Slightly moist, gray v fine subround gravel.	; fine to medium	_
24-		12/20 sa 22'-45' b	and filter pack, bgs			PID=30					+
	jend Continu	ious core	e 7" ID	1	No Water Fe e e	Encountered		See Explo of symbol Logged by		Exploratio Log VE-7	on
					-			Approved		Sheet 1 of 2	:



	Acr	bec	┑╋└──		More	ell's Dry Clea	aners - 080	190		Environmental Ex		og
7				N 1et	Street .	Project Address & Site Tacoma WA 98403,	•	South of	MW-18	Coordinates NA	Exploration Nun	
		ractor			ipment		Sampling Meth		10100-10	Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	VE-8	
	Ho	olt	Te	rraSo	nic 150	сс	Rotary core	9		0' (est)	Ecology Well Ta BLI 189	ag N
	Oper	rator	Exp	oloratic	n Methoa	d(s) V	Vork Start/Completion	on Dates		Top of Casing Elev.	Depth to Water (Bel	low
	Be	en		S	onic	I	2/26/2019 to 2/2	7/2019		NA	No Water Encou	
ong	Depth (feet bgs)		ation Completic and Notes	'n	Sample Type/ID	Analytical Sample Number & Lab Test(s)	Field Tests	Material Type		Description		D A
										LT; Asphalt		7
-		×۵								RETE; Concrete SAND (SM); Moist, light brown;	fine to course	┚┼
_							PID=18		sand; fe	w fine to course subround grave	el.	
2 -	t 🕅	l KÖllo	lo Monument, v ompleted sub urface for futur									Ť
3 -	2	I D⊠l ∘	onnection, lock	able			PID=109					+
1 -	3						PID=683					t
5 -	🕅	l 🕅 v	Vell installed at	45								
	4		egree angle									
-							PID=192					+
, _	5								SILTY	SAND WITH GRAVEL (SM); S ne to medium sand; fine to cou	lightly moist, light	┤
	' 🕅						PID=402		gravel.	ne to medium sand; fine to cou	n se subiouria	
-	t 🕅	4	" diameter, sch 0 PVC, threade	ed			PID=965					+
_	6	I ⊠⊀I¢	onnections, 1'- gs	45'								
							PID=651					
)-	7				┝╋┥							+
4												
1 -	8						PID=440					t
2-	🕅						F 10-440					+
~	9						PID=893			WITH SILT (SP-SM); Moist, lig		+
3-									course s	and; few fine to course subrour	nd gravel.	t
4-	10					A-8-14.0	PID=1508					+
						VOCs by 8260C						
5-	11											Ť
6-	1 1914						PID=414		SAND	WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SW	/-SM): Moist arav:	+
-									fine to co	ourse sand; fine to course subro		
7-	- 12						PID=228 PID=1277		cobbles.			t
8-							FID-1211					+
~	13								Gravel	content increases, no cobbles		
9-							PID=343					t
0-	14				┝╋┥				SAND	(SP); Slightly moist, light gray; f	ine to medium	+
4									sand; wit	th silt; few fine subround gravel	, and few cobbles.	
1-	15						PID=25					T
2-	🕅						F1D=20					+
~	16								SAND	WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SP	-SM); Slightly	\neg
3-	1						PID=7		moist, gr gravel.	ay; fine to medium sand, fine to	o course subround	t
4-							ז-טר ד					+
											1	
			s core 7" ID				r Encountered			oration Log Key for explanation	Explorati	or
Tvne						Water Level			of symbol		Log	
ξÉ	-					Ľ٤			Logged by Approved		VE-8	2
	1					I					Sheet 1 of 3	3

	Δc	spe			IVIOI	ell's Dry Cle		130		Environmental Ex		
7				600 NI 4-4	Streat	Project Address & Si	•	South of	M/M/ 40	Coordinates NA	Exploration Nu	
-		n 3 U L	IING		ipment	Tacoma WA 98403	Sampling Meth		10100-18	Ground Surface (GS) Elev.	- VE-8	3
				'	'						Ecology Well T	ag N
		Holt		TerraSc Exploration			Rotary core Work Start/Completion			0' (est) Top of Casing Elev.	BLI 189 Depth to Water (Be	
		perator								, ,		
		Ben		S	onic		2/26/2019 to 2/27	7/2019		NA	No Water Enco	
ist. [ong (feet	Exp	loration C and No	ompletion	Sample Type/ID		Field Tests	Material Type		Description		D A
eet)	ogs)			1.63	Турель	Lab Test(s)		Туре				-
	18						PID=14					
26+	^ ^ ^											t
_	19								Few co	bbles.		
27+		\$ ₿										t
28+	A .	\$ ₿					PID=6		SAND	(SP); Moist, gray; fine to mediur	n sand; trace silt;	ļ
.0	20	\$ ₿	\sim						few fine	subround gravel.		
9+	A .	\$ ₿										+
	21											
0+	21			te chips, 1'-42'						SAND (SM); Moist, light brown;	fine to modium	_
			≹ bgs						sand; fe	w fine subround gravel.		
1+	22	\$} ₿	~~~							-		t
		\$ ₿					PID=16					
2+		\$ ₿	~~~									t
3+	23	\$ ₿					PID=341					+
٠	2											
4+	24					A-8-34.0	PID=1404					+
	A					VOCs by 8260C						
5+	0.5								SAND	WITH SILT (SP-SM); Moist, ligh	nt brown: fine to	
	25									sand; trace fine subround grave		
6+	A .											t
,	26	\$ ₿							-			
7+		\$} ₿					PID=820		1			t
8+	<u> </u>	\$} ₿									<u>.</u>	\square
	27	\$} ₿					PID=69		SILTY Siller	SAND (SM); Moist, light brown; e to course subround gravel.	tine to course	
9+	^ ^ ^								,			ł
	28											
0+	A A A											t
	29											
1+	29 0	\$ ₿										t
2+	A A A	*	<pre></pre>				PID=26					ļ
	30						1.5-20					
3+			-				PID=36					ł
	31											
4+							PID=39					t
5+	.		12/20 ~	and filter pool								
1	32		42'-65' b	and filter pack, bgs					SAND	WITH SILT (SP-SM); Slightly m	oist, light brown;	T
6+		:目:	·						1	edium sand; few fine subround	-	
	33								SILTY Sill Sill Sill Sill Sill Sill Sill Sil	SAND (SM); Moist, light brown;	Tine to medium	
7+		目				A-8-47.0	PID=68					ł
			-			VOCs by 8260C						
8+	34	三日に					PID=47			WITH SILT (SP-SM); Slightly m		+
		::]::								sand; few fine subround gravel.		
9+	-	「目う										Ť
									1			
	Leg					NI_ 14/-4	or Engeuntered		See Explo	pration Log Key for explanation	Evelovet	
ξġ	Ⅲ (Continu	ous core	e 7" ID			er Encountered		of symbol		Explorat Log	101
Type						Water Level			Logged by		VE-8	
,	I.									by: DAH	Sheet 2 of	



SUPPLEMENTAL FOCUSED FEASIBILITY STUDY Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington FS No. 18489568, VCP No. SW1039

Prepared for: Walker Chevrolet

Project No. 080190-004-17 • August 10, 2018 Draft





earth + water

SUPPLEMENTAL FOCUSED FEASIBILITY STUDY Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington FS No. 18489568, VCP No. SW1039

Prepared for: Walker Chevrolet

Project No. 080190-004-17 • August 10, 2018 Draft

Aspect Consulting, LLC



Dave Heffner, PE Associate Remediation Engineer dheffner@aspectconsulting.com **Doug Hillman, LHG** Principal Hydrogeologist dhillman@aspectconsulting.com

V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Morrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Supplemental FFS_Aug 2018 DRAFT.docx

Contents

1	Introdu	iction and Background1
	1.1 Re	commended On-Property Cleanup Alternative2
	1.2 Sit	e Description and History2
	1.3 Su	mmary of Site Characterization and Remedy Selection Reports3
	1.3.1	2009 Site Conditions Summary4
	1.3.2	2011 Remedial Investigation Report5
	1.3.3	2011 Ecology Review Comments on the Remedial Investigation5
	1.3.4 1.3.5	2012 Data Gaps Investigation5 2013 Focused Feasibility Study6
•		
2		nmental Setting7
		pography and Surface Cover7
	2.2 Hy	drogeologic Conditions7
3	Cleanu	p Requirements9
	3.1 Gr	oundwater Cleanup9
	3.1.1	Groundwater Exposure Pathways9
	3.1.2	Groundwater Points of Compliance10
	3.1.3	Groundwater Constituents of Concern and Proposed Cleanup
	22 6.	Levels
	3.2 Sc 3.2.1	il Cleanup11 Soil Exposure Pathways11
	3.2.1	Soil Points of Compliance
	3.2.3	Soil Constituents of Concern and Proposed Cleanup Levels
4	2014 Ir	terim Cleanup Action13
		sign and Construction of Biostimulation and SVE Facilities
		ostimulant Injection and SVE System Startup13
		ostimulation Performance Summary14
	4.4 S∖	'E Performance Summary14
5	On-Pro	perty Cleanup Level Exceedances16
		dose Zone Soils16
		vance Outwash Groundwater17
		eper Groundwater
6	Develo	pment of On-Property Remedial Alternatives
-		medial Action Goals

	6.2 A	ssumptions in Developing Remedial Alternatives	19
	6.3 S	election and Description of Remedial Alternatives	20
	6.3.	1 Alternative 1 – Long-Term Controls and Environmental Covenan	t20
	6.3.2	2 Alternative 2 – Expanded SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil	
		Contamination, and Biostimulation of Advance Outwash	
		Groundwater	21
	6.3.3		
		Contamination, and Biostimulation/HEPA of Advance Outwash	
		Groundwater	
	6.3.4	1 7	
	<u> </u>	and Advance Outwash Groundwater	.24
	6.3.		a
		Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater Following Building Demolition	25
	6.3.0		
	0.0.0	Foot Depth Following Building Demolition, and ERH of Deeper O	
		Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwate	
7	Evalu	ation of On-Property Remedial Alternatives	.27
	7.1 E	valuation Criteria	27
	7.1.	1 MTCA Threshold Requirements	27
	7.1.2	•	
	7.1.3	3 MTCA Disproportionate Cost Analysis	27
	7.2 E	valuation with Respect to MTCA Threshold Requirements	28
		Disproportionate Cost Analysis	29
	7.3 C	Benefits Rankings, Estimated Costs, and Benefit/Cost Ratios	29
8	7.3 C 7.3. 7.3.	 Benefits Rankings, Estimated Costs, and Benefit/Cost Ratios Disproportionate Cost Analysis Recommendation 	29 30
-	7.3 C 7.3. 7.3.2 Reme	 Benefits Rankings, Estimated Costs, and Benefit/Cost Ratios Disproportionate Cost Analysis Recommendation Ediation of the Parking Lot Parcel 	29 30 . 30
-	7.3 C 7.3. 7.3.2 Reme	 Benefits Rankings, Estimated Costs, and Benefit/Cost Ratios Disproportionate Cost Analysis Recommendation Ediation of the Parking Lot Parcel 	29 30

List of Tables

1-1	General Site and Parcel Information (in text)

- 1-2 Soil Sampling Results
- 1-3 Reconnaissance Groundwater Sampling Results from Soil Borings
- 1-4 Groundwater Sampling Results in Advance Outwash Monitoring Wells
- 1-5 Groundwater Sampling Results in Olympia Bed Interglacial Deposit Monitoring Wells
- 1-6 Sub-Slab Vapor Sampling Results prior to and during Soil Vapor Extraction Operations
- 1-7 Indoor Air Sampling Results prior to Soil Vapor Extraction Operations
- 3-1 Proposed Groundwater Cleanup Levels (in text)
- 3-2 Proposed Soil and Indoor Air Cleanup Levels *(in text)*
- 4-1 Soil Vapor Extraction System Gas Sampling Results (Pretreatment)
- 6-1 Summary of Remedial Alternatives and Estimated Costs
- 7-1 Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for Threshold Criteria
- 7-2 Disproportionate Cost Analysis

List of Figures

- 1-1 Site Location Map
- 1-2 Site Plan Showing Pre-2013 Explorations
- 1-3 GORE[®] Survey Results, Interpolated Data for PCE
- 2-1 Cross Sections A-A' and B-B'
- 2-2 Groundwater Elevations & Gradient Map in Advance Outwash December 22, 2010
- 4-1 2014 Interim Action
- 5-1 Assumed Areal Extent of On-Property Cleanup Level Exceedances
- 8-1 Conceptual Well Layout for Parking Lot Parcel Investigation/Remediation

List of Appendices

- A Boring and Well Construction Logs
- B Time-Series Graphs for Groundwater COCs in Monitoring Wells
- C Soil Vapor Extraction System Performance Monitoring
- D Remedial Cost Estimates
- E Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use

1 Introduction and Background

This Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study¹ (SFFS) develops and evaluates remedial alternatives for addressing subsurface contamination at the Morrell's Dry Cleaners site (Site). The Site location is shown on Figure 1-1. Site contamination is primarily the result of chlorinated solvent releases from historical dry cleaner operations² at 608 North First Street in Tacoma, Washington (Property). The Site includes the Property and any off-Property soil or groundwater confirmed or suspected of being impacted by chlorinated solvent releases at the Property. The Site is enrolled in the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) and assigned VCP No. SW1039.

This SFFS is intended as a decision-making tool for achieving compliance with environmental cleanup requirements under the Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), 70.105D Revised Code of Washington (RCW), and its implementing regulations. While remediation efforts have been ongoing at the Site since 2014, significant contamination remains both on and off the Property. Cleanup of residual on-Property contamination is the focus of this SFFS. Practicable on-Property cleanup alternatives are developed and evaluated with respect to the MTCA criteria for selecting cleanup actions (Washington Administrative Code [WAC] 173-340-360). The cleanup alternatives are intended to address the soil vapor intrusion, soil direct contact, and soilto-groundwater leaching exposure pathways.

The Property consists of two parcels, referred to in this SFFS as the Building Parcel and the Parking Lot Parcel (Figure 1-2). The chlorinated solvent releases occurred on the Building Parcel. Results of investigation and remediation efforts to date suggest that the magnitude and extent of contamination on the Building Parcel are such that cleanup of the Property will take many years unless the building is first demolished to improve access to underlying contamination and very aggressive (and costly) remedial technologies are applied³. Cleanup of the upgradient Parking Lot Parcel is expected to be much more straightforward because soil and groundwater in that parcel are both less contaminated and more accessible for cleanup activities. A cleanup scenario that focusses on the Parking Lot Parcel is considered at the end of this SFFS, separate from the on-Property cleanup alternative evaluation⁴.

¹ As discussed in this report, a Focused Feasibility Study was conducted for the Morrell's Dry Cleaners site in March 2013 (Aspect, 2013), followed by an interim cleanup action in 2014. The current "supplemental" study considers additional actions needed to address residual contamination.

² As discussed in this report, petroleum hydrocarbons are also present in the subsurface, and represent a significant fraction of the total contaminant mass. The source of the petroleum hydrocarbons is unknown. Historical dry-cleaning operations, which may have used petroleum hydrocarbons (e.g., Stoddard solvent) before chlorinated solvents came into use, is a possible source.

³ The owners of the Property are not in favor of building demolition.

⁴ The owners of the Property are interested in selling the Parking Lot Parcel and have requested that this scenario be considered in the SFFS evaluation.

1.1 Recommended On-Property Cleanup Alternative

Six on-Property cleanup alternatives are developed in Section 6, and a disproportionate cost analysis (DCA) is performed in Section 7.3 to quantify each alternative's environmental benefits versus costs. Alternative 2 is recommended for implementation. This alternative would expand the application of soil vapor extraction (SVE) and groundwater biostimulation, remedial technologies already being applied at the Site in an interim cleanup action. Alternative 2 is expected to achieve groundwater cleanup levels in roughly a 10-year time frame. Cleanup levels are likely to be difficult to achieve in shallow soils beneath the Morrell's building. However, with an environmental covenant in place to protect against direct contact and vapor intrusion exposures, the Property would likely be eligible for a Property-Specific No Further Action (NFA) opinion from Ecology.

1.2 Site Description and History

Figure 1-2 is a Site Plan and Table 1-1 provides general Site and parcel information.

	i Sile and Farcer information	
Site Name	Morrell's Dry Cleaners	
Facility/Site No.	18489568	
VCP No.	SW1039	
VCP Site Manager	Nick Acklam	
Consultant and VCP Customer	Aspect Consulting, LLC Dave Heffner, P.E. 401 2 nd Avenue South, Suite 201 Seattle, WA 98104	
Site Address	608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington 98403	
Site Coordinates	47.264174°N, 122.448441°W	
Public Land System Location	T21N, R3E, S32, SW¼ of NE¼	
City of Tacoma Zoning	Community Commercial Mixed-Use (CCX)	
On-Property Parcels		
Building Parcel Pierce County Parcel No. Address Property Owner Tenant/Business Names	2030-12-0031 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington 98403 Thriftway Properties, LLC Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Tease Chocolates	
Parking Lot Parcel Pierce County Parcel No. Address Property Owner Tenant/Business Names	2030-12-0033 618 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington 98403 Thriftway Properties, LLC Stadium Thriftway parking lot	
Off-Property Parcels with Confirmed Impacts from Chlorinated Solvent Releases at the Property		
Pierce County Parcel No. Address Property Owner Tenant/Business Names	2030-12-0012 16 North Tacoma Avenue, Tacoma, Washington 98403 4 the Boys Company, LLC Franco the Tailor (owner), Tully's Coffee, offices	

Table 1-1. General Site and Parcel Information

Pierce County Parcel No.	2030-12-0013	
Address	2 North Tacoma Avenue, Tacoma, Washington 98403	
Property Owner	Stadium, LLC	
Tenant/Business Names	Stadium Dental Health Center, retail	

The Building Parcel (Parcel No. 2030-12-0031) is approximately 7,930 square feet and contains a single-story, approximately 3,700-square-foot building⁵, which is currently occupied by Morrell's Dry Cleaners and Tease Chocolates. The Parking Lot Parcel (Parcel No. 2030-12-0033) is approximately 13,450 square feet and is used primarily by patrons of the south-adjacent grocery store (see next paragraph). The entire Property outside the Morrell's building footprint is paved with asphalt and concrete.

The adjoining property to the south contains an auto body shop and a Thriftway grocery store and was formerly the Walker Chevrolet automobile dealership. The adjoining properties to the northeast contain commercial and office space. The adjacent building is separated from the Morrell's building by an approximately 5-foot-wide paved, gated alley.

According to reverse city directories, dry cleaning operations have been performed continuously on the Property since 1929. Tetrachloroethene (PCE) was used in successive dry-cleaning machines from the beginning of the Morrell's tenancy in 1972⁶ until early 2009, when Morrell's purchased the existing dry-cleaning machine, which does not use PCE.

The Property is zoned by the City of Tacoma as Neighborhood Commercial Mixed-Use District (NCX), allowing for a mix of residential, office, retail, and commercial service uses. There are currently no firm plans for redeveloping the Property. For the purposes of this SFFS, it is assumed that future land use will conform to the existing zoning.

1.3 Summary of Site Characterization and Remedy Selection Reports

This section briefly summarizes the Site characterization and remedy selection reports that have been submitted to Ecology under the VCP. Site characterization information is summarized in tables, figures, and appendices as follows:

- Figure 1-2 shows pre-2013 soil and groundwater sampling locations.
- Table 1-2 shows soil sample results for the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) PCE, trichloroethene (TCE), and naphthalene. These analytes were detected in at least one soil sample at concentrations exceeding their respective screening levels⁷. These data were collected prior to the 2014 cleanup action (as described in Section 4).

⁵ As shown on Figure 1-2, the extreme northern portion of the building extends onto off-property Parcel 2030-12-0012.

⁶ PCE was likely used for dry cleaning at the Site prior to 1972 as well, but records are not available.

⁷ Refer to Section 3.2.3 for discussion of soil screening levels and other analytes detected in soil.

- Tables 1-3 through 1-5 show the following groundwater sample results:
 - Table 1-3 bromodichloromethane, PCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride in reconnaissance groundwater samples;
 - Table 1-4 carbon tetrachloride, PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cDCE), 1,1- dichloroethene, and vinyl chloride in groundwater samples from monitoring wells screened in advance outwash; and
 - Table 1-5 PCE and cDCE in groundwater samples from monitoring wells screened in Olympia bed interglacial deposits.

Similar to the soil results in Table 1-2, the analytes listed in these three tables exhibit one or more screening level exceedances⁸.

- Table 1-6 shows sub-slab vapor sampling results prior to and during soil vapor extraction (SVE) operations⁹, and compares them with the most stringent MTCA Method B sub-slab soil gas cleanup levels. All analytes detected in at least one sample are included in this table.
- Table 1-7 shows indoor air sampling results prior to SVE operations and compares them with the most stringent MTCA Method B indoor air cleanup levels. All analytes detected in at least one sample are included in this table.
- Figure 1-3 shows PCE results for a GORE[®] survey conducted beneath the dry cleaner building and building perimeter in 2010.
- Figure 2-1 provides two Site cross sections (A-A' and B-B'). The cross-section locations are shown on Figure 1-2.
- Figure 2-2 shows groundwater elevations measured on December 22, 2010, in monitoring wells screened in the advance outwash, along with estimated elevation contours.
- Boring and monitoring well construction logs are provided in Appendix A.
- Time-series graphs for PCE, TCE, cDCE, and vinyl chloride in monitoring wells are provided in Appendix B.

1.3.1 2009 Site Conditions Summary

The "Site Conditions Summary" (Aspect, 2009) documents due-diligence investigation results performed by Stemen Environmental, Inc. (Stemen) between 2006 and 2008, and follow-up investigations by Aspect in 2009, at the Morrell's Dry Cleaners and adjacent Walker Chevrolet sites. Investigation activities included: construction of 11 monitoring wells (MW-2 through MW-11 and deep well MW-8D); sampling of soil, groundwater, soil gas, and indoor air; and a camera survey of the sewer lines beneath and adjacent to the Morrell's building. Water was encountered beneath the building foundation, and an analysis of the water bill of Tully's Coffee (located across the alley to the north)

⁸ Refer to Section 3.1.3 for discussion of groundwater screening levels and other analytes detected in groundwater.

⁹ SVE operations are discussed in Section 4.

indicated that an estimated 600,000 gallons of drinking water had been released between May 2006 and September 2007.

The Site Conditions Summary describes the geologic and hydrogeologic setting, develops a preliminary conceptual site model, and provides baseline groundwater sampling for the Morrell's Dry Cleaners and Walker Chevrolet sites.

1.3.2 2011 Remedial Investigation Report

The "Remedial Investigation Report" (Aspect, 2011; referred to herein as the RI Report) describes the historical uses, environmental setting, and environmental investigations for the Morrell's Dry Cleaners and Walker Chevrolet sites. The remedial investigations (RI) documents the following investigation activities:

- A Gore-Sorber survey in January and February 2010 to evaluate the extent of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) beneath and in the immediate vicinity of the Morrell's building.
- Sampling in October 2010 of seven direct-push soil borings at locations inside the Morrell's building, in the alley north of the building, and on the sidewalk east of the building.
- Construction and sampling in October 2010 of deep monitoring wells MW-12D and MW-13D within the interglacial deposits along Tacoma Avenue north of the Morrell's building.

The RI described the preliminary conceptual site model and provided sufficient investigative data to proceed with a feasibility study (FS).

1.3.3 2011 Ecology Review Comments on the Remedial Investigation

Ecology's review comments on the RI (Ecology, 2011) stated that the perched groundwater in the advance outwash was adequately delineated but requested additional delineation of the deeper groundwater within the interglacial deposits. Ecology also recommended performing a Tier II indoor air sampling assessment in and adjacent to the Morrell's building.

1.3.4 2012 Data Gaps Investigation

The "Data Gaps Investigation Report" (Aspect, 2012) was prepared to address Ecology's RI review comments. The data gaps investigation included:

- Construction of deep monitoring well MW-14D in the right-of-way (ROW) adjacent to the west side of the Morrell's building.
- Sampling of eight direct-push soil borings on the south and east sides of the Morrell's building and in the parking lot.
- Sampling of groundwater, indoor air, and soil gas in the alley along the north side of the building.

1.3.5 2013 Focused Feasibility Study

Separate FFS reports were prepared for the Morrell's Dry Cleaners and Walker Chevrolet sites. The Morrell's Dry Cleaners FFS (Aspect, 2013) developed cleanup action objectives, and developed and evaluated cleanup alternatives in accordance with MTCA criteria in WAC 173-340-360. The FFS identified sub-slab depressurization, SVE, and biostimulation as viable cleanup technologies that could be implemented under the current Site use. SVE was recommended to remove chlorinated VOC from beneath the foundation and the glacial till and advance outwash sand beneath the building, using perimeter SVE trenches and peripheral SVE wells that extend beneath the building. Biostimulation was recommended to enhance the natural reductive dechlorination of PCE in groundwater. The preferred remedy identified by the FFS was implemented as an interim action in 2014; refer to Section 4.

2 Environmental Setting

2.1 Topography and Surface Cover

The Site is located in the Stadium District of Tacoma between Wright Park and Commencement Bay. The Stadium District is located above an escarpment that descends to Commencement Bay. The Morrell's Dry Cleaners parking lot is about Elevation 278 feet (NAVD88) and the top of the escarpment is about Elevation 240 feet. The top of the escarpment is about 500 feet northwest and northeast from the Site boundary. The bottom of the escarpment is about Elevation 20 feet at Schuster Parkway, which extends along Commencement Bay. The lateral distance from the top to the bottom of the escarpment is about 400 feet, thus the escarpment has an approximate 55 percent grade.

Wright Park is a 32-acre park with pervious cover that is located south of the Site (Figure 1-1). A surface water pond in Wright Park is located about 900 feet from the Site boundary, and the pond is elevated above the Site. Commencement Bay, which is 1,200 feet from the Site boundary, is the closest surface water body downslope and hydraulically downgradient from the Site.

Stadium District is predominantly covered with impervious surfaces and the Site and surrounding properties (except Wright Park) are entirely covered with impervious surfaces.

2.2 Hydrogeologic Conditions

Figure 2-1 provides hydrogeologic cross sections beneath the Site (see Figure 1-2 for cross-section locations). Site soils consist of approximately 35 feet of silty sand and gravel, interpreted as ice-contact deposits (Qvi) and glacial till (Qvt), overlying approximately 30 feet of sand, interpreted as advance outwash (Qva). Underlying the outwash sand is a sequence consisting primarily of silt and silty sand, with a limited thickness of interbedded slightly silty sand, which is interpreted as Olympia bed interglacial deposits (Qob). This sequence of silt, silty sand, and sand extends to at least 146 feet below ground surface (bgs), the maximum depth drilled on the Site. This same sequence was recorded on the Tacoma General Hospital well logs, which extend to 275 feet bgs. The Tacoma General Hospital wells intersect a water bearing sandy gravel interval between 255 and 275 feet bgs (Elevation 25 to 45 feet).

The advance outwash is the uppermost groundwater-bearing unit at the Site, and the base of the outwash ranged from about 63 to 74 feet bgs in the Site boring logs. The depth to groundwater is about 52 feet bgs beneath the Morrell's Dry Cleaners parking lot. Monitoring wells MW-1 to MW-11 and biostimulation wells MW-15 to MW-21 are completed in the advance outwash. The five advance outwash wells constructed along Tacoma Avenue North and North First Street (i.e., MW-3, MW-4, MW-6, MW-9, and MW-10) did not yield water over multiple years of monitoring, and these dry wells were subsequently decommissioned. On this basis, the upper water bearing unit is estimated to terminate along the approximate boundary shown on Figure 2-2.

Borings for MW-3, MW-5, MW-8D, MW-10, MW-11, and MW-12D to MW-14D penetrated through the advance outwash into the Olympia bed interglacial deposits, which are characterized as a leaky lower-confining unit with discontinuous, low-yield sandy intervals. Deeper water-bearing zones were encountered within thin sandy intervals of the Olympia bed interglacial deposits. Wells MW-8D and MW-12D to MW-14D, completed in the interglacial deposits, yield limited quantities of groundwater during sample collection, and would not be capable of providing a sustainable yield of 0.5 gallons per minute. The intersected water bearing units are under unconfined conditions, and water column heights in the 20-foot screened intervals ranged from 2 to 9.5 feet of water. The discontinuous, low-yield, water-bearing units in the Olympia bed interglacial deposits likely contain nonpotable groundwater based on low yield (WAC 173-340-720(2)(b)(i)), and unlikely interconnection with potential future sources of drinking water (WAC 173-340-720(2)(c)). Impacted groundwater within the interglacial deposits would be unlikely to reach surface water, which is about 140 feet beneath and 1,200 feet lateral from the Site boundary.

Figure 2-2 shows contoured groundwater elevations in the advance outwash in December 2010. Groundwater elevations observed in the advance outwash combined with the understanding of hydrogeologic conditions are consistent with a conceptual model of:

- Recharge is derived primarily from precipitation and irrigation at Wright Park.
- Recharge infiltrates downward to the advance outwash where it perches on the underlying leaky confining unit formed by the Olympia beds.
- The perched groundwater in the advance outwash migrates laterally from Wright Park across the Site to the north and west.
- Perched groundwater concurrently leaks downward through the Olympia beds, fully infiltrating and leaving the advance outwash dry to the northwest and northeast of the Site.

3 Cleanup Requirements

This SFFS is developed to evaluate cleanup alternatives to address the release of contamination from the soil and upper water bearing unit, and to mitigate and/or control on-Property exposure pathways.

3.1 Groundwater Cleanup

3.1.1 Groundwater Exposure Pathways

Potential groundwater exposure pathways and receptors include:

- Humans who drink contaminated groundwater in the future, if groundwater is brought to the surface for this purpose.
- Humans in buildings inhaling indoor air contaminated—via vapor intrusion—by volatilization from impacted groundwater.
- Direct exposure for aquatic ecological receptors in Commencement Bay, if contaminants in groundwater discharge to surface water.
- Humans consuming aquatic ecological receptors contaminated by discharges to surface water.

Based on the perched nature and limited lateral extent of the upper water-bearing unit; the more than two orders of magnitude decrease in PCE concentrations between the upper water-bearing unit and the deeper, downgradient water-bearing unit; the 1,200-foot distance from the Property to surface water of Commencement Bay; and the apparent biodegradation of chlorinated VOCs, it is unlikely that contaminants in groundwater from the upper water-bearing unit are discharging to surface water. As a result, the human consumption and direct exposure for aquatic ecological receptor pathways are not complete at this Site.

Volatile contaminants that have been detected in indoor air (Table 1-7) are assumed to originate from contaminated soils and/or from the building itself, not from contaminated groundwater. Since the depth to groundwater is relatively large (greater than 50 feet bgs), dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons such as benzene are not a vapor intrusion concern per Ecology guidance¹⁰. However, the potential for vapor intrusion impacts by chlorinated VOCs that may volatilize from groundwater cannot be ruled out based on Ecology guidance¹¹.

Advance outwash groundwater is not currently used as a drinking water source. Based on the limited saturated thickness and lateral extent of this unit, it is unlikely to be used for drinking water purposes in the future. However, potential migration of contaminated

¹⁰ Updated Process for Initially Assessing the Potential for Petroleum Vapor Intrusion (Ecology, 2016b) discusses vertical screening distances for buildings in determining whether the initial VI assessment process is complete.

¹¹ Chapter 2 of *Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in Washington State* (Ecology, 2016a) discusses the "100-foot rule" as a guideline for determining whether the vapor intrusion pathway must be considered for contaminants other than petroleum hydrocarbons.

water from the advance outwash to deeper units that could support future drinking water use cannot be ruled out and the human drinking water pathway could potentially be complete in the future.

3.1.2 Groundwater Points of Compliance

The groundwater point of compliance is established throughout the Site from the uppermost level of the saturated zone extending vertically to the lowest depth that could potentially be affected by the Site.

3.1.3 Groundwater Constituents of Concern and Proposed Cleanup Levels

The constituents of concern (COCs) in groundwater include the chlorinated VOCs carbon tetrachloride, PCE, TCE, cDCE, 1,1-dichloroethene, and vinyl chloride. The proposed cleanup levels are the more stringent of the MTCA Method A groundwater cleanup levels and the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs). The proposed cleanup levels are defined in Table 3-1.

	MTCA Method A (µg/L)	MCL (µg/L)	Proposed Cleanup Level (µg/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	-	5	5
PCE	5	5	5
TCE	5	5	5
cDCE	-	70	70
1-1-Dichloroethene	-	7	7
Vinyl Chloride	0.2	2	0.2

Table 3-1. Proposed Groundwater Cleanup Levels

As shown in Table 1-3, bromodichloromethane was detected above its screening level in three reconnaissance groundwater samples collected in 2006/2007 during the Tully's drinking water leak. Bromodichloromethane is a byproduct of drinking water disinfection, and its presence in these samples is attributable to the water leak. Therefore, bromodichloromethane is not identified as a COC.

Other analytes detected in groundwater samples at concentrations below screening levels include the following:

- Chloroform (detected below 80 µg/L screening level)
- Trans-1,2-dichloroethene (tDCE; detected below 100 µg/L screening level)
- Benzene (detected below 5 µg/L screening level)
- Naphthalene (detected below 160 µg/L screening level)
- Lead (detected below 15 µg/L screening level)

3.2 Soil Cleanup

3.2.1 Soil Exposure Pathways

Potential exposure pathways and receptors include:

- Workers contacting contaminated soils in the future (skin contact or incidental ingestion) during excavation or other construction-related activities, if no worker protection controls are in place.
- Humans in buildings inhaling indoor air contaminated—via vapor intrusion—by volatilization from impacted soils.
- Leaching of soil contamination to the upper water-bearing unit from surface infiltration, condensate, and plumbing leaks.
- Terrestrial ecological receptors contacting contaminated soils in the future, if no controls are in place.

Areas of the Property with COCs in soil are paved with asphalt or covered with buildings, limiting the potential for the human or ecological receptor direct contact pathways under current conditions. Any future construction activities in these areas that disturb the overlying pavement could result in completion of the human direct contact pathway, but this could be effectively managed with appropriate soil-handling protocols. Soil vapor and indoor air monitoring results indicate that the vapor intrusion pathway may currently be complete at the Morrell's building, although the measured concentrations of chlorinated solvents in indoor air may be attributed to an operating dry cleaner with a long history of chlorinated solvent usage.

3.2.2 Soil Points of Compliance

The point of compliance for the direct contact and ingestion exposure pathways extends from the ground surface to a depth of 15 feet bgs. The point of compliance for the leaching exposure pathway extends from the ground surface to the groundwater table (at approximately 53 feet bgs). The point of compliance for the indoor air exposure pathway is all occupied spaces within the building.

3.2.3 Soil Constituents of Concern and Proposed Cleanup Levels

The COCs in soil include the chlorinated VOCs PCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride, and the petroleum hydrocarbons benzene, total xylenes, and naphthalene. PCE and TCE were detected above screening levels in soil, sub-slab vapor, and indoor air samples. Naphthalene was detected above its screening level in soil and sub-slab vapor. Benzene and total xylenes were detected above screening levels in sub-slab vapor and indoor air. Vinyl chloride was detected above its screening level in sub-slab vapor.

The proposed cleanup levels for soil are the same as the screening levels: MTCA Method A cleanup levels for unrestricted land use. The proposed cleanup levels for indoor air are the MTCA Method B indoor air cleanup levels (most stringent of cancer and noncancer

values) adjusted for commercial use¹². Table 3-2 provides the proposed soil and indoor air cleanup levels.

	Proposed Soil Cleanup Level (mg/kg)	Proposed Indoor Air Cleanup Level (μg/m ³)
PCE	0.05	40.4
TCE	0.03	1.6
Vinyl Chloride	-	1.2
Benzene	0.03	1.35
Total Xylenes	9	192
Naphthalene	5	0.309

Table 3-2. Proposed Soil and Indoor Air Cleanup Levels

Although cDCE and tDCE were detected in soil gas, and cDCE was detected in soil and indoor air, these bioattenuation daughter products of PCE are not indicator hazardous substances in soil (WAC 173-340-703) and are not retained as COCs in soil or indoor air. In all samples with cDCE and tDCE detections, PCE is also detected, typically at a much higher concentration. Their overall threat to human health and the environment is much lower than that of PCE, and remedial actions that address PCE-contaminated soil would also address cDCE and tDCE. Furthermore, Ecology has not established indoor air cleanup levels for cDCE and tDCE.

Chloroform and acrolein were detected above their sub-slab soil gas screening levels in a single sample collected beneath the Morrell's building (the sample collected from VP-4 on 9/7/16; refer to Table 1-6). Chloroform is sometimes found at low concentrations in drinking water as a byproduct of the chlorination process. Acrolein is a simple unsaturated aldehyde that is used as a contact herbicide to control submersed and floating weeds and algae in irrigation canals. Since these compounds are not associated with dry cleaning operations and are not commonly encountered as soil contaminants in urban settings, they are not considered COCs.

Toluene and ethylbenzene were detected in soil gas and indoor air, but only at concentrations below their respective screening levels. Other petroleum hydrocarbons were also detected soil and soil gas, and other VOCs were detected in soil gas (Table 1-6), but in all cases either concentrations were below screening levels or soil and indoor air cleanup levels have not been established for those compounds.

¹² Section 6.6.2 of Ecology's draft *Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion* (Ecology, 2016a) provides for adjustment of Method B values where the building of concern is being used commercially. Based on a commercial exposure of 40 hours per week rather than continuous exposure (168 hours per week), the table values were increased by a factor of 4.2.

4 2014 Interim Cleanup Action

A cleanup interim action (IA) was performed in 2014 to implement the preferred remedy identified by the FFS (Aspect, 2013). Remedial objectives included reducing risks for the indoor air, soil, and groundwater exposure pathways. It was not anticipated that the 2014 IA would achieve MTCA Method A soil and groundwater cleanup levels. It was assumed that Site closure would not be approved by Ecology until contaminant concentrations meet cleanup levels throughout the Site, or if conditional points of compliance are approved at the Property boundary.

4.1 Design and Construction of Biostimulation and SVE Facilities

The Construction and Design Report (Aspect, 2014a) documents design and construction of biostimulation and SVE facilities for the IA. The SVE system is designed to remove VOC contaminant mass from beneath the Morrell's building and to control the migration of soil vapor. A 48-foot-long SVE trench (VE-H) was constructed in the alley on the north side of the Morrell's building, and a sub-slab suction pit (VE-SS) was constructed inside the building. Four angled SVE wells were constructed beneath the building (VE-1 through VE-4). VE-1 and VE-2 are completed in the glacial till, with screen intervals of 18 to 32 feet bgs. VE-3 and VE-4 are completed in the advance outwash, with screen intervals of 30 to 45 feet bgs. The above-ground portion of the SVE system includes a 2-horsepower single-phase regenerative blower, a 55-gallon moisture separator with automatic water transfer pump, and two 55-gallon vapor-phase granular activated carbon (GAC) drums connected in series.

Seven new biostimulation wells were constructed: four angled wells beneath the alley and Morrell's building (MW-15 to MW-18); two vertical wells on the south side of the building (MW-19 and MW-20); and one vertical well at the northeast corner of the building (MW-21). Refer to Figure 4-1 for SVE and biostimulation component locations. Boring and well construction logs are provided in Appendix A.

4.2 Biostimulant Injection and SVE System Startup

The Construction Completion Report (Aspect, 2014b) documents implementation of biostimulation and SVE as components of the IA. In June 2014, biostimulants were pumped into impacted wells in the advance outwash to optimize *in situ* treatment, including angled wells MW-15 through MW-18 and vertical wells MW-2, MW-8, MW-19, MW-20, and MW-21. Approximately 550 gallons of a dilute mixture of 3D-Microemulsion (3DMe® Factory Emulsified) and Hydrogen Release Compound (HRC Primer®) were pumped into each of the nine wells. 3DMe® is a blend of lactate, polylactate esters, and free fatty acids and fatty acid esters that were diluted at the Site and injected as a high-volume emulsion. According to manufacturer literature, 3DMe® provides variable release rates of electron donors to biostimulate groundwater for periods of up to 3 years. HRC Primer® was added to the 3DMe® emulsion to quickly improve the reducing conditions for the reductive dechlorination of chlorinated VOCs.

Continuous SVE operations were initiated in October 2014. An additional VE-SS was constructed and connected to the SVE system in November 2014 to enhance sub-slab depressurization coverage beneath the Morrell's building¹³.

4.3 Biostimulation Performance Summary

Six rounds of post-injection groundwater monitoring were conducted between January 2015 and January 2017. Tables 1-4 and 1-5 show groundwater sampling results for monitoring wells screened in the advance outwash and the Olympia bed interglacial deposits, respectively, and time-series graphs are provided in Appendix B. Biostimulation successfully reduced the concentrations of PCE in groundwater by up to two orders of magnitude, but PCE remained at concentrations up to four times the cleanup level in January 2017. TCE concentrations also decreased significantly but remained above the cleanup level. Biostimulation significantly reduced the total molar concentrations of COCs. Biodegradation products, including cDCE and vinyl chloride, were generated through PCE and TCE degradation. The concentrations of cDCE and vinyl chloride remain above cleanup levels but are expected to decline once biostimulant activity runs its course and PCE and TCE biodegradation diminishes.

4.4 SVE Performance Summary

The SVE system has continued to operate since startup in October 2014. During O&M site visits, a photo-ionization detector (PID) is used to measure total VOC concentration and an anemometer is used to measure gas flow rate at various points in the SVE system. Based on these measurements, contaminant mass is primarily removed from the four SVE wells; mass removal from the SVE trench and sub-slab suction pit is negligible. However, continued operation of the sub-slab suction pit is necessary to maintain a negative differential pressure across the floor slab, which ensures that soil vapor intrusion into the Morrell's building is not a concern.

Summa canister samples were also collected periodically and submitted for laboratory analysis. All samples were analyzed for individual VOCs by EPA Method TO-15. Selected samples were also analyzed for aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons in three carbon ranges (by Method MA-APH). Sampling results from the following SVE system locations are summarized in Table 4-1¹⁴:

- The "VE-1/2 Leg" of the system, which includes soil gas extracted from SVE wells VE-1 and VE-2 completed in the glacial till;
- The "VE-3/4 Leg" of the system, which includes soil gas extracted from SVE wells VE-3 and VE-4 completed in the advance outwash;
- The sub-slab suction pit (VE-SS); and
- The combined flow prior to treatment in the GAC vessels.

¹³ Refer to Appendix C for sub-slab depressurization performance monitoring.

¹⁴ Refer to Appendix C for more comprehensive gas sampling results.

Mass removal of petroleum hydrocarbons by the SVE system is comparable to mass removal of chlorinated VOCs. This is evident from analysis of the combined flow (GAC influent) sample collected on July 5, 2018, in which the sum of [PCE], [TCE], and [DCE] is about 11,000 μ g/m³ and the sum of aliphatic hydrocarbons in the C5 to C12 range is 12,000 μ g/m³. Chlorinated VOCs are primarily coming from the mid-depth soils beneath the building (via angled wells VE-1 and VE-2 screened at 18 to 32 feet bgs), whereas petroleum hydrocarbons are primarily coming from deeper soils (via angled wells VE-3 and VE-4 screened at 30 to 45 feet bgs). The source of the deep petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is not known. One possible source is historical dry-cleaning operations, which may have used petroleum hydrocarbons (e.g., Stoddard solvent) before chlorinated solvents came into use.

PCE concentration is correlated to the total VOC concentration measured by PID using the average of the [PCE]/[VOC] ratios measured on the seven occasions when GAC influent samples were collected for laboratory analysis (refer to Table 4-1). The resulting correlation factor of 0.29 is used to estimate PCE mass removal based on PID readings. On this basis, the SVE system has removed an estimated 271 pounds (lbs) of PCE from the subsurface through June 2018. An average PCE removal rate of 0.633 lbs/day is estimated for the first 3 months of SVE system operation (mid-October through mid-December 2014), versus 0.103 lbs/day estimated for the second quarter of 2018.

5 On-Property Cleanup Level Exceedances

On-Property media with COC concentrations in excess of proposed cleanup levels include vadose zone soils (i.e., soils above the advance outwash groundwater), groundwater in the advance outwash, and deeper groundwater. (Refer to the Figure 2-1 cross sections.) As noted above, PCE is the primary COC, and PCE concentrations define the extent of cleanup level exceedances in both soil and groundwater¹⁵.

5.1 Vadose Zone Soils

Vadose zone soils extend from ground surface to the advance outwash water table at approximately 53 feet bgs. Soil sample depths and sampling results are provided in Table 1-2, sample locations are shown on Figures 1-2 and 5-1, and boring logs are provided in Appendix A. Most of the soil samples have been collected from depths of less than 9 feet bgs. The highest PCE detection among these relatively shallow samples was 36 mg/kg (2.5 feet bgs at DP-7), but most PCE detections were less than 3 mg/kg.

MW-21 is the only on-Property location where deeper soil contamination has been investigated. Five depth-discrete samples collected from the MW-21 boring were submitted for laboratory analysis. As shown in Table 1-2, PCE concentrations varied widely with depth, from below the detection limit at 25 and 40 feet bgs to 44 mg/kg (the highest site-wide detection in soil to date) at 15.5 feet bgs.

Other evidence of deeper on-Property vadose zone soil contamination includes the following:

- SVE system gas sampling results (Table 4-1). After nearly four years of SVE system operation, elevated concentrations of PCE and other chlorinated VOCs are detected in soil gas extracted from 18 to 32 feet bgs, and elevated concentrations of both chlorinated VOCs and petroleum hydrocarbons are detected in soil gas extracted from 30 to 45 feet bgs. The SVE system continues to remove contaminant mass (both chlorinated VOCs and petroleum hydrocarbons) at significant rates, in spite of the fact that the tight soils are not particularly well-suited to SVE.
- **Boring logs.** The boring logs for SVE wells VE-1 through VE-4 all contain the description "strong solvent-like odor in cuttings." The boring log for well MW-15 contains the description "strong solvent-like odor in cuttings" at about the 19-foot depth, and a PID reading of 37 parts per million (ppm) at about the 24-foot depth¹⁶. The boring log for well MW-19 has three separate description entries of "solvent odor" in the 0- to 35-foot depth range, and a description entry of "slight solvent odor" below the 35-foot depth.

Figure 5-1 shows the estimated areal extent of on-Property cleanup level exceedances in vadose zone soils based on soil sampling results and the other evidence described above. Based on depth-discrete sampling at MW-21 it is clear that cleanup level exceedances do not extend from ground surface to the advance outwash water table at all locations within

¹⁵ Except for deeper groundwater; refer to the Section 5.3 discussion below.

¹⁶ Note that, since MW-15 is an angled well, the "depth" column on the left side of the boring log in Appendix A is actually "distance down the hole."

this area. However, there is currently insufficient soil data to attempt to interpret lateral migration of contaminants in vadose zone soils from the source area beneath the Morrell's building.

5.2 Advance Outwash Groundwater

Table 1-4 provides groundwater sampling results for monitoring wells screened in the advance outwash, and Figure 5-1 shows well locations. As indicated in the table, biostimulants were injected into all of the wells except MW-5 and MW-7 in June 2014. The most recent groundwater sampling round was conducted in January 2017, 2.6 years after the injection event. Interpretation of current "ambient" concentrations in advance outwash groundwater is difficult, since: a) the manufacturer claims that the biostimulant remains active for "up to 3 years" after injection; and b) we do not know how far the effects of the biostimulant injection extended radially outward from the injection wells (i.e., the biostimulant radius of influence). However, current PCE concentrations in the bulk aquifer (i.e., away from the biostimulated wells) are likely higher than PCE concentrations detected in samples collected from the biostimulated wells in January 2017.

Figure 5-1 shows the estimated areal extent of on-Property cleanup level exceedances in advance outwash groundwater. Its northern and western boundaries correspond to the Property boundaries. The estimated curved boundary to the south and east is based primarily on PCE concentrations detected in wells MW-5¹⁷, MW-7, and MW-8¹⁸.

5.3 Deeper Groundwater

Borings for MW-3, MW-5, MW-8D, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12D, MW-13D, and MW-14D penetrated through the advance outwash into the underlying interglacial deposits. Figure 1-2 shows the boring locations, and boring logs are provided in Appendix A. The base of the advance outwash ranges from approximately 63 to 74 feet bgs in these borings, and was dry in MW-10, MW-12D, and MW-13D along Tacoma Avenue.

The underlying Olympia bed interglacial deposits are characterized as a leaky confining unit with discontinuous, low-yield sandy intervals. Water-bearing zones were encountered in on-Property boring MW-8D and off-Property borings MW-12D, MW-13D, and MW-14D, which were completed as deeper groundwater monitoring wells. Table 1-5 summarizes sampling results for these wells, and Figure 2-1 shows wells MW-8D, MW-12D, and MW-14D in cross section.

On-Property well MW-8D is screened at 96 to 106 feet bgs. PCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride have never been detected in this well in the nine sampling events conducted between May 2009 and January 2017. The cDCE concentration has steadily increased

¹⁷ PCE concentrations in MW-5 appear to be naturally attenuating over time, such that the current concentration may be very close to the groundwater cleanup level.

¹⁸ As noted in Table 1-3, an estimated 600,000 gallons of drinking water was released between May 2006 and September 2007 (per analysis of water bills) by Tully's Coffee, which occupied the retail space at the southeast corner of Tacoma Avenue and North First Street. This release likely contributed to the apparent upgradient migration of advance outwash groundwater contamination.

over this period, and it slightly exceeded the cDCE cleanup level for the first time in the most recent sample. The presence of cDCE is likely the result of reductive dichlorination of PCE. It is notable that there was already a clear trend of increasing cDCE concentration at MW-8D before the June 2014 biostimulant injection event.

cDCE has also been detected in the three off-Property wells screened in the Olympia bed interglacial deposits, but only at concentrations below the cDCE cleanup level. Low concentrations of PCE and TCE have also been detected in those wells, with PCE concentrations exceeding the PCE cleanup level on several occasions (once in MW-12D, three times in MW-13D, and twice in MW-14D).

Due to insufficient data, the areal extent of on-Property cleanup level exceedances in deeper groundwater cannot be estimated.

6 Development of On-Property Remedial Alternatives

Several practical on-Property remedial alternatives are selected and described in this section. The remedial alternatives are then evaluated (in Section 7) and a "preferred" alternative is identified.

6.1 Remedial Action Goals

The goals of on-Property remediation include goals associated with contamination reduction and protection of human health, as well as a property reuse goal. Remedial action goals associated with contamination reduction and protection of human health include the following:

- Reduce contaminant concentrations in on-Property soil and groundwater to achieve cleanup levels.
- Prevent human exposure to contaminated on-property soil and groundwater.
- While near-surface soil concentrations remain elevated, prevent soil vapor intrusion into the building.
- Minimize off-Property migration of contaminated groundwater.

The Property reuse goal is to obtain an unencumbered¹⁹ Property-Specific No Further Action (NFA) opinion letter from Ecology. The NFA opinion letter would preferably encompass the two tax parcels included in the Property. However, as is evident on Figure 5-1, the extent of contamination is much greater on the Building Parcel than it is on the Parking Lot Parcel. Therefore, an alternate goal with respect to property reuse is to obtain an unencumbered NFA opinion letter for the Parking Lot Parcel only. The remedial alternatives described in this section address the entire Property. A cleanup action that focuses on the Parking Lot Parcel is discussed in Section 8.

6.2 Assumptions in Developing Remedial Alternatives

The following assumptions were made in developing on-Property remedial alternatives:

- Figure 5-1 provides a reasonably accurate depiction of the areal extent of on-Property cleanup level exceedances in advance outwash groundwater and vadose zone soils.
- Alternatives will not include active remediation of deeper groundwater (i.e., it is assumed that cleanup of shallower contamination will result in natural attenuation of deeper groundwater contamination).

¹⁹ An "unencumbered" NFA opinion letter is one that does not encumber the Property with restrictions, prohibitions, or institutional control requirements addressing residual contamination.

6.3 Selection and Description of Remedial Alternatives

The following remedial alternatives were selected for evaluation in this SFFS:

- Alternative 1 Long-Term Controls and Environmental Covenant
- Alternative 2 Expanded SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation of Advance Outwash Groundwater
- Alternative 3 Electrical resistance heating (ERH) and SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation/HEPA of Advance Outwash Groundwater
- Alternative 4 ERH of Accessible On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater
- Alternative 5 Comprehensive ERH of On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater Following Building Demolition
- Alternative 6 Removal of On-Property Contaminated Soil to 15-Foot Depth Following Building Demolition, and ERH of Deeper On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater

An important distinction among the alternatives is that Alternatives 5 and 6 assume that the Morrell's building will be demolished to improve access to underlying contaminated media, whereas the earlier alternatives assume that the building will remain in place. The Property owners are not in favor of building demolition.

Each alternative is described, and conceptual design criteria and assumptions are briefly discussed. This provides the basis for estimating the cost of each alternative. The estimates are present value costs using a discount factor of 0.7 percent²⁰. They have an intended accuracy in the range of -30 to +50 percent.

The elements that make up the remedial alternatives and the estimated cost of each alternative are summarized in Table 6-1. Itemized cost estimates for each alternative are provided in Appendix D.

6.3.1 Alternative 1 – Long-Term Controls and Environmental Covenant

Alternative 1 includes the following:

- Designating existing building and pavement as a cap that prevents direct contact exposure to underlying contaminated soil. A plan would be developed addressing periodic inspection and maintenance of the cap and specifying requirements to ensure worker protection during intrusive activities, such as utility installation and repair.
- Long-term operation of radon fans and/or the existing SVE system to prevent soil vapor intrusion into the building. A plan would be developed addressing periodic

²⁰ Discount factor based on real interest rate on US Treasury 30-year notes and bonds, Circular A-94 Appendix C, Office of Management and Budget (Revised November 2016).

monitoring and maintenance of the vapor intrusion measures, and termination after it is demonstrated that they are no longer necessary. The plan would also specify contingency measures to be considered in the event that monitoring indicates potential vapor intrusion concerns.

- Designating conditional points of compliance (CPOCs) in deep groundwater wells for the vertically discharging chlorinated-VOC-contaminated groundwater plume. The CPOCs would be permitted wells in the City of Tacoma ROW. A groundwater monitoring plan would be developed that includes contingency actions to be considered in the event that periodic monitoring indicates a potential cleanup level exceedance at a CPOC. On-property wells would also be included in the monitoring program, to track contaminant attenuation over time.
- Developing and recording an environmental covenant that incorporates the above requirements. The adjacent property owners would be requested to sign a subordination agreement, which would allow the covenant to encompass the Site properties. The City of Tacoma would abide by a memorandum of agreement or memorandum of understanding.

For cost-estimating purposes it is assumed that the existing SVE system operates for one more year, after which it is decommissioned, and radon fans are installed to provide continued vapor intrusion mitigation via sub-slab depressurization. Other than vapor intrusion protection measures that coincidentally remove contaminant mass from the subsurface, as well as any contingency actions that may become necessary, Alternative 1 does not include any active remediation after the existing SVE system is shut down. Contaminant concentrations in soil and groundwater would be expected to decrease over time via natural attenuation, but only at a very slow rate.

Cap inspection and maintenance, vapor intrusion mitigation and monitoring, and groundwater monitoring would be required indefinitely (30 years assumed for cost estimating purposes, in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] guidance [EPA, 1988]).

The estimated present value cost of Alternative 1 is \$600,000.

6.3.2 Alternative 2 – Expanded SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation of Advance Outwash Groundwater

As discussed in Section 4.4, the interim action SVE wells (VE-1 through VE-4) have removed a significant amount of contaminant mass from vadose zone soils beneath the northeast portion of the Morrell's building. However, those wells only target contamination beneath the northeast portion of the building. Similarly, injection of biostimulants into contaminated advance outwash groundwater in June 2014 showed promise, based on the results of post-injection groundwater monitoring through January 2017. However, the existing injection wellfield covers only a portion of the estimated groundwater exceedance area, and it is unclear how far the effects of the biostimulant injection extended radially outward from the injection wells. In Alternative 2, these remedial technologies would be applied on an expanded scale. SVE would be expanded to address all on-property contaminated vadose zone soils, and biostimulation would be expanded to address all on-property contaminated groundwater in the advance outwash.

As discussed in Section 5.1, the estimated lateral extents of contaminated vadose zone soils and advance outwash groundwater shown on Figure 5-1 are based on limited data. In addition, the vertical distribution of contaminants in vadose zone soil is not known. In this alternative, vertical soil borings would be advanced outside the building footprint to better define the extent of on-property cleanup level exceedances. Borings that encounter contamination would be completed as SVE wells, biostimulation wells, and/or groundwater monitoring wells. SVE would require some wells screened in glacial till soils and some screened in advance outwash soils²¹. Selected borings that do not encounter contamination would also be completed as groundwater monitoring wells to define the perimeter of the contaminant plume. For the purpose of evaluating Alternative 2 in this SFFS, it is assumed that 12 additional vertical borings are completed as injection/groundwater monitoring wells, and 14 as SVE wells (9 screened in glacial till soils and 5 in advance outwash soils).

As in the 2014 interim action, angled wells would be used to expand coverage of the remedial technologies beneath the Morrell's building. For the purpose of evaluating Alternative 2 in this SFFS, it is assumed that additional subsurface completions beneath the building would include 10 SVE wells screened in the glacial till, 6 SVE wells screened in the advance outwash, and 6 injection/groundwater monitoring wells. Wells would need to be drilled from both sides of the building (i.e., the parking lot and North First Street) to maximize coverage beneath the building footprint.

For cost-estimating purposes, it is assumed that the expanded SVE system operates for six years, at which point contaminant removal rates have decreased such that the cost of further operation cannot be justified. Individual SVE well lines would be periodically monitored for soil gas flow rate and contaminant concentrations, and the system would be operated in alternating patterns to maximize contaminant mass removal. However, due to the relatively low permeability of glacial till soils and the difficulty of accessing those soils with SVE wells while the building remains in place, it is assumed that near-surface soil contamination would remain after SVE removal reaches its asymptotic limit. Therefore, periodic cap inspection and maintenance, and vapor intrusion mitigation and monitoring are assumed to be required indefinitely.

With respect to groundwater remediation, it is assumed that a round of biostimulant injection is conducted once the new wellfield is installed, and a second round four years later. As in Alternative 1, a groundwater monitoring plan would be developed and CPOC wells would be installed in the City of Tacoma ROW. Demonstration that cleanup levels have been achieved is assumed after 10 years of groundwater monitoring.

²¹ Both the wells screened in the glacial till (VE-1 and VE-2) and those screened in the advance outwash (VE-3 and VE-4) have been productive with respect to contaminant mass removal. The advance outwash soils are more permeable and, therefore, more amenable to SVE than the glacial till soils. Closer spacing of SVE wells is likely required to remediate glacial till soils.

As in Alternative 1, an environmental covenant would be developed and recorded. The estimated present value cost of Alternative 2 is \$1,500,000.

6.3.3 Alternative 3 – ERH and SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation/HEPA of Advance Outwash Groundwater

Alternative 3 would rely on *in situ* thermal technologies, SVE, and biostimulation to remediate on-property valoes zone soil and advance outwash groundwater. The technologies would target depth-discrete contaminated media as follows:

- Glacial Till Soils. Electrical resistance heating (ERH) would be used to treat contaminated glacial till soils. The assumed treatment area corresponds to the vadose zone soil exceedance area depicted on Figure 5-1. Electrodes would be installed to heat the soils to near the water boiling point to volatilize contaminants. Angled electrodes would be installed beneath the building, and vertical electrodes elsewhere. ERH would be coupled with an enhanced SVE system to capture and treat volatilized contamination. SVE wells would be collocated with the ERH electrodes. For the purpose of evaluating Alternative 3 in this SFFS, it is assumed that a total of 30 electrodes would be installed, and heating would occur over a 3-month period of operation. As with the existing SVE system, vapor-phase contaminants in the extracted soil gas would be adsorbed onto granular activated carbon (GAC).
- Advance Outwash Vadose Zone Soils. Similar to Alternative 2, SVE would be used to remediate advance outwash vadose zone soils. Compared to the glacial till soil, these deeper, higher-permeability soils are more amenable to SVE treatment and would be more expensive to treat by ERH. As in Alternative 2, it is assumed that six new angled wells beneath the building and five new vertical wells outside the building footprint would be installed, all screened in the advance outwash vadose zone. It is assumed that the SVE system would run for 3 years before reaching its asymptotic removal limit.
- Advance Outwash Groundwater. Heat-enhanced plume attenuation (HEPA) would be used along with biostimulant injection to treat contaminants in the advanced outwash groundwater. An array of wells screened in the groundwater would be installed in the groundwater exceedance area depicted on Figure 5-1. Following biostimulant injection, electrodes would be installed in the wells and the groundwater would be heated from 10–15 degrees Celsius to a target temperature of 50 degrees Celsius. This temperature would be maintained for an extended period to enhance biodegradation of dissolved contaminants. For the purpose of evaluating Alternative 3 in this SFFS, it is assumed that a total of 20 injection/HEPA treatment wells would be installed, and that the elevated groundwater temperature would be maintained for 1 year longer than the ERH heating period.

The application of ERH to glacial till soils is expected to be more effective than the SVE employed in Alternative 2. However, difficulties associated with installing electrodes beneath the building may still result in pockets of residual near-surface soil

contamination. SVE treatment is likely to remove considerable contaminant mass from the advance outwash vadose zone soils. For the purposes of evaluation in this SFFS, the following assumptions are made with respect to soil remediation in Alternative 3:

- Near-surface soil contamination remains beneath the building, such that capping and vapor intrusion mitigation are still required to address direct contact and vapor inhalation exposure concerns.
- Leaching of residual soil contaminants no longer causes cleanup level exceedances in advance outwash groundwater.

With respect to groundwater remediation, it is assumed that biostimulant injection in combination with HEPA is effective at reducing contaminant concentrations to below cleanup levels in advanced outwash groundwater. As in Alternatives 1 and 2, a groundwater monitoring plan would be developed and CPOC wells would be installed in the City of Tacoma right-of-way. Demonstration that cleanup levels have been achieved is assumed after 4 years of groundwater monitoring.

As in Alternatives 1 and 2, an environmental covenant would be developed and recorded. The estimated present value cost of Alternative 3 is \$3,100,000.

6.3.4 Alternative 4 – ERH of Accessible On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater

In Alternative 4, the scope of ERH treatment described for Alternative 3 would be expanded to include treatment of advance outwash vadose zone soils (in lieu of SVE alone) and advance outwash groundwater (in lieu of biostimulant injection and HEPA treatment). In the soil exceedance area depicted on Figure 5-1, electrodes would be installed to heat the entire soil column down to the base of the advance outwash groundwater zone. Angled electrodes would be installed beneath the building, and vertical electrodes elsewhere. In the groundwater exceedance area depicted on that figure, vertical electrodes would be installed to heat the advance outwash groundwater zone only. ERH would be coupled with an enhanced SVE system to capture and treat volatilized contamination. SVE wells would be collocated with the ERH electrodes. For the purpose of evaluating Alternative 4 in this SFFS, it is assumed that a total of 60 electrodes would be installed, and heating would occur over a 6-month period of operation. Electrical energy usage in this alternative is anticipated to be roughly twice that of Alternative 3. Vapor-phase contaminants in the extracted soil gas would be adsorbed onto GAC.

Since glacial till soils would be treated by the same technology as in Alternative 3, the same treatment effectiveness would be achieved. However, advance outwash soils would be more effectively treated compared to Alternative 3, which relies on SVE alone to treat those soils. As in Alternative 3, it is assumed that treated vadose zone soils would still represent a concern with respect to direct contact and soil vapor exposures, and that leaching would no longer result in cleanup level exceedances in advance outwash groundwater.

A groundwater monitoring plan would be developed and CPOC wells would be installed in the City of Tacoma right-of-way. Demonstration that cleanup levels have been achieved is assumed in this alternative after 3 years of groundwater monitoring. As with the previous alternatives, an environmental covenant encompassing the Site properties would be required. The estimated present value cost of Alternative 4 is \$3,600,000.

6.3.5 Alternative 5 – Comprehensive ERH of On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater Following Building Demolition

As in Alternative 4, Alternative 5 would employ ERH treatment to remediate impacted vadose zone soils and advance outwash groundwater. However, the Morrell's building would first be demolished, which would both improve access to underlying contamination and reduce ERH construction costs. Vertical electrodes could be used exclusively in Alternative 5, which would allow for a much more efficient ERH design. It is assumed that a total of 40 electrodes would be required (reduced from 60 in Alternative 4). As in Alternative 4, and heating would occur over a 6-month period of operation. Due to the more efficient system design, electrical energy usage in this alternative would be somewhat lower than in Alternative 4, even though ERH performance would by improved. Unlike Alternative 4, it is assumed that ERH treatment in Alternative 5 would be successful in achieving cleanup levels in all on-property vadose zone soils. Therefore, post-construction cap inspection and maintenance, and vapor intrusion mitigation and monitoring, would not be required.

As in Alternative 4, a groundwater monitoring plan would be developed, CPOC wells would be installed in the City of Tacoma right-of-way, and demonstration that cleanup levels have been achieved is assumed after 3 years of groundwater monitoring.

The estimated present value cost of Alternative 5 is \$2,800,000. This estimate does not include loss of income generated by the existing building or the cost of constructing a new building.

6.3.6 Alternative 6 – Removal of On-Property Contaminated Soil to 15-Foot Depth Following Building Demolition, and ERH of Deeper On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater

Alternative 6 is similar to Alternative 5 except that the upper 15 feet of on-property contaminated soils would be removed prior to ERH treatment. Approximately 200 lineal feet of temporary shoring would be installed along the north and west property boundaries, and an estimated 5,100 tons of contaminated soils would be excavated and disposed of at a hazardous waste landfill as F-listed waste. Clean fill would be imported to restore grades prior to implementation of ERH treatment. The cost of ERH treatment would be significantly lower than in Alternative 5 since less impacted soil would need to be treated. However, the time frame for ERH treatment would be similar. Since soil excavation would occur prior to ERH treatment, the overall cleanup time frame would be somewhat longer than in Alternative 5.

Alternative 6 is more certain than Alternative 5 to achieve cleanup levels in shallow soils, since contaminated soil removal is more reliable than ERH treatment. However, because

the excavated soil would likely classify as hazardous waste, disposal costs would be very high. The estimated present value cost of Alternative 6 is \$4,400,000. This estimate does not include loss of income generated by the existing building or the cost of constructing a new building.

7 Evaluation of On-Property Remedial Alternatives

7.1 Evaluation Criteria

This section discusses the minimum requirements and procedures for selecting cleanup actions under MTCA (WAC 173-340-360).

7.1.1 MTCA Threshold Requirements

Cleanup actions selected under MTCA must meet four "threshold" requirements identified in WAC 173-340-360(2)(a) to be accepted by Ecology. All cleanup actions must:

- Protect human health and the environment
- Comply with cleanup standards
- Comply with applicable state and federal laws
- Provide for compliance monitoring

7.1.2 MTCA Selection Criteria

When selecting from remedial alternatives that meet the threshold requirements, the following three criteria, identified in WAC 173-340-360(2)(b), must be evaluated:

- Use permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable. A disproportionate cost analysis (DCA) is conducted to assess the extent to which the remedial alternatives address this criterion. The general procedure for conducting a DCA is described in Section 7.1.3.
- **Provide a reasonable restoration time frame.** MTCA places a preference on remedial alternatives that can be implemented in a shorter period of time.
- **Consider public concerns.** Consideration of public concerns is an inherent part of the Site cleanup process under MTCA. This SFFS considers public concerns as a component of the DCA.

7.1.3 MTCA Disproportionate Cost Analysis

A DCA is conducted to determine whether a cleanup action uses permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable. This is done by evaluating the relative benefits and costs of remedial alternatives. Seven criteria are considered in the evaluation as specified in WAC173-340-360(3)(f):

- **Protectiveness** The overall protectiveness of human health and the environment, including the degree to which existing site risks are reduced, time required to reduce the risks and attain cleanup standards, on-site and off-site risks during implementation, and improvement in overall environmental quality.
- **Permanence** The degree to which the alternative reduces the toxicity, mobility, or volume of hazardous substances, including the adequacy of destroying hazardous substances, the reduction or elimination of hazardous substance releases and sources

of releases, the degree of irreversibility of treatment, and the characteristics and quantity of the treatment residuals.

- **Cost** The remedy design, construction, and long-term O&M costs to implement the alternative.
- Long-term effectiveness The degree of certainty that the alternative will successfully and reliably address contamination that exceeds applicable cleanup levels until cleanup levels are attained, the magnitude of the residual risk with the alternative in place, and the effectiveness of controls to manage treatment residue and remaining wastes.
- Short-term risk management The risks to human health and the environment during construction and implementation of the alternative, and the effectiveness of measures that will be taken to manage such risks.
- **Implementability** Includes consideration of whether the alternative is technically possible; the availability of necessary off-Site facilities, services, and materials; administrative and regulatory requirements; scheduling, size, and complexity of the alternative; monitoring requirements; access for construction, operations, and monitoring; and integration with existing facility operations and other current or potential remedial actions.
- **Consideration of public concerns** Includes concerns from individuals, community groups, local governments, state agencies, and other interested organizations.

The DCA is based on a comparative evaluation of an alternative's cost against the other six criteria (environmental benefits). Per WAC 173-340-360(3)(e)(i), cost is disproportionate to benefits if the incremental cost of an alternative over that of a lower-cost alternative exceeds the incremental degree of benefits achieved by the alternative over that of the lower-cost alternative.

7.2 Evaluation with Respect to MTCAThreshold Requirements

The remedial alternatives are evaluated for compliance with the MTCA threshold criteria in Table 7-1. All six alternatives provide for compliance monitoring and are expected to be protective of human health and the environment. They vary significantly in contaminant mass removal achieved, and only Alternatives 5 and 6 are expected to fully comply with cleanup standards. Alternatives 3 and 4 are expected to do better than Alternatives 1 and 2 in this regard. However, it is assumed that pockets of soil with cleanup level exceedances will remain beneath the Morrell's building following ERH treatment of glacial till soils in Alternatives 3 and 4. ERH would be much more likely to achieve soil cleanup levels if the building is first removed (Alternative 5), since that would allow for effective placement of an electrode array²².

²² Since we are not aware of a more aggressive *in situ* technology than ERH for treatment of glacial till soils, we believe that the building will need to be demolished in order to effectively clean up on-Property soil contamination.

Alternatives that do not fully comply with the MTCA threshold criteria are typically not carried forward in the evaluation process. In this case, however, where only two relatively high-cost alternatives fully comply, all six are carried forward to the next stage of evaluation²³.

7.3 Disproportionate Cost Analysis

As described in Section 7.1.3, a DCA is performed to evaluate whether a cleanup action uses permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable. The DCA quantifies the environmental benefits of each remedial alternative, and then compares alternative benefits versus costs. Costs are disproportionate to benefits if the incremental cost of a more permanent alternative over that of a lower-cost alternative exceeds the incremental benefits achieved by the alternative over that of the lower-cost alternative. Alternatives that exhibit disproportionate costs are considered "impracticable" under MTCA.

The DCA is performed in Table 7-2. Environmental benefit is quantified by first rating the alternatives with respect to each of the criteria (except \cos^{24}) discussed in Section 7.1.3. Rating values are assigned on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 indicates the criterion is satisfied to a very low degree, and 5 indicates the criterion is satisfied to a very high degree. Since Ecology does not consider the criteria to be of equal importance, each criterion is assigned a "weighting factor." Based on Ecology input for feasibility studies conducted at other sites, weighting factors are assigned as follows:

- Overall protectiveness: 30 percent
- Permanence: 20 percent
- Long-term effectiveness: 20 percent
- Short-term effectiveness: 10 percent
- Implementability: 10 percent
- Consideration of public concerns: 10 percent

A MTCA benefits ranking is then obtained for each alternative by multiplying the six rating values by their corresponding weighting factors and summing the weighted values. Finally, the benefits ranking of each alternative is divided by the alternative's estimated cost (in \$million) to obtain a benefit/cost ratio, which is a relative measure of the cost effectiveness of the alternative.

7.3.1 Benefits Rankings, Estimated Costs, and Benefit/Cost Ratios

The MTCA benefits rankings, estimated costs, and benefit/cost ratios for Alternatives 1 through 6 are presented at the bottom of Table 7-2. The benefit rankings range from a low of 2.3 for Alternative 1 to a high of 4.3 for Alternative 5. Estimated costs range from \$600,000 (Alternative 1) to \$4,400,000 (Alternative 6). Benefit/cost ratios range from a high of 3.8 for Alternative 1 to a low of 0.9 for Alternative 6.

²³ The threshold requirement that the alternative provide for a reasonable restoration time frame is considered moot in this case and is not evaluated.

²⁴ The cost criterion factors into the DCA after the environmental benefit is quantified.

7.3.2 Disproportionate Cost Analysis Recommendation

Among the alternatives evaluated in this FFS, Alternative 1 achieved the highest benefit/cost ratio. However, while Alternative 1 is expected to be protective of human health, it will not achieve soil and groundwater cleanup levels in a reasonable time frame. Therefore, while it may be acceptable as an interim measure (e.g., until the existing building is demolished), it is not expected to result in the Property being eligible for a Property-Specific NFA opinion from Ecology.

Alternative 2, which would expand the application of remedial technologies already implemented at the Site, achieved the second-highest benefit/cost ratio. This alternative is expected to achieve groundwater cleanup levels in roughly a 10-year time frame. However, cleanup levels are expected to be difficult to achieve in shallow soils beneath the Morrell's building. With an environmental covenant in place to protect against direct contact and vapor intrusion exposures, the Property would likely be eligible for a Property-Specific NFA opinion from Ecology.

Alternative 5, which includes demolition of the Morrell's building followed by application of a very aggressive (and costly) remedial technology, achieved the third-highest benefit/cost ratio. Alternative 5 has a relatively short cleanup time frame, and the Property would likely be eligible for an unencumbered Property-Specific NFA opinion. However, the Property owners are not in favor of building demolition.

Based on the above considerations, Alternative 2 is recommended for implementation. Among the alternatives that are likely to result in the Property being eligible for a Property-Specific NFA opinion from Ecology (all except Alternative 1), the DCA identified Alternative 2 as the most cost-effective.

8 Remediation of the Parking Lot Parcel

This section focuses on remediation of the Parking Lot Parcel, with the goal of obtaining an unencumbered NFA opinion letter from Ecology for that parcel only²⁵. Cleanup of the Parking Lot Parcel is more straightforward than cleanup of the Building Parcel for the following reasons:

- The extent of vadose zone soil contamination is expected to be limited to the extreme northwest corner of the parcel²⁶, and concentrations are expected to be much lower there than beneath the northern portion of the Morrell's building.
- Because the Parking Lot Parcel is upgradient of the former source area beneath the Morrell's building, it is expected to have much lower contaminant concentrations in advance outwash groundwater compared to the Building Parcel.

²⁵ The owners of the Property are interested in selling the Parking Lot Parcel and have requested that this scenario be considered in the SFFS evaluation.

²⁶ Although there are no soil sampling results confirming vadose zone contamination at this location, solvent odors were noted from near ground surface to at least 40 feet bgs during the drilling of MW-19.

• Since there are no large structures on the Parking Lot Parcel, access to the subsurface is much more straightforward.

Another benefit of being upgradient of the former source area is that once groundwater in the Parking Lot Parcel is remediated, it is unlikely to be recontaminated by residual contamination in the Building Parcel²⁷.

The assumed areal extent of vadose zone soil and advance outwash groundwater contamination in the Parking Lot Parcel shown on Figure 5-1 is based on very limited data. Additional subsurface investigation is needed and would likely be performed by advancing an array of vertical borings, beginning along the on-property parcel boundary (shaded purple on Figure 5-1) and expanding outward to the south and east. Borings that encounter vadose zone contamination could potentially be completed as dual-purpose wells, appropriately screened for both SVE²⁸ and groundwater biostimulation. Borings that do not encounter vadose zone contamination would be completed as groundwater monitoring wells and, if subsequent monitoring indicates cleanup level exceedances, as potential biostimulant injection wells. Wells that do not encounter groundwater contamination would define the upgradient extent of contamination.

Figure 8-1 provides a conceptual well layout for investigation and remediation of the Parking Lot Parcel, based on the assumed areal extent of vadose zone soil and advance outwash groundwater contamination. It is assumed that 13 new vertical wells are installed to supplement existing wells MW-5, MW-7, MW-19, and MW-20. The 3 new wells along the south side of the Morrell's building are assumed to encounter vadose zone soil contamination and would be screened for both SVE and groundwater monitoring/biostimulation. These wells, along with MW-19, and MW-20, would be connected to the existing SVE system via subsurface piping installed around the south and east sides of the building. The other 10 wells would be screened at the water table for the purpose of groundwater monitoring and potential biostimulant injection.

Table D-7 in Appendix D provides a preliminary cost estimate of \$520,000 for cleanup of the Parking Lot Parcel under this scenario. The cost estimate assumes the following:

- The SVE system operates for 3 years²⁹, after which a soil investigation confirms that cleanup levels have been achieved in the northwest corner of the parcel.
- A single biostimulant injection event is conducted and, after 4 years of post-injection groundwater monitoring, it is confirmed that cleanup levels have been achieved in the advance outwash groundwater throughout the parcel.

²⁷ As noted in Section 5.2, the Tully's drinking water release between May 2006 and September 2007 is likely responsible for the apparent migration of groundwater contamination upgradient of the source area.

²⁸ SVE is assumed to be an appropriate cleanup technology for vadose zone soils based on the expectation of only low contaminant concentrations in the Parking Lot Parcel.

²⁹ Most of the existing SVE system's capacity would be devoted to Parking Lot Parcel remediation during this period. However, soil gas extraction from beneath the building (particularly via VE-SS) would continue to the extent necessary to maintain mitigation of vapor intrusion.

• After soil and groundwater cleanup levels are achieved, most of the wells are decommissioned³⁰ and the cleanup action is reported to Ecology under the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) along with a request for a parcel-specific NFA opinion letter.

It must be stressed that many assumptions have been made in developing this scenario. The actual cost and time frame for cleanup of the Parking Lot Parcel will be highly dependent on the magnitude and extent of contamination, which is currently not well delineated.

³⁰ Several wells would likely be needed for subsequent monitoring associated with cleanup of the Building Parcel.

References

- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2009, Site Conditions Summary, Former Walker Chevrolet Property, July 14, 2009.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2011, Remedial Investigation Report, Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Prepared for David Shaw, Successor to Walker Chevrolet, February 18, 2011.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2012, Data Gaps Investigation, Former Walker Chevrolet and Morrell's Dry Cleaners, May 1, 2012.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2013, Focused Feasibility Study, Morrell's Dry Cleaner, Prepared for David Shaw, Successor to Walker Chevrolet, March 26, 2013.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2014b, Interim Cleanup Action Construction and Design Report, Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Prepared for David Shaw, Successor to Walker Chevrolet, May 16, 2014.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2014c, Interim Cleanup Action Construction Completion Report, Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Prepared for David Shaw, Successor to Walker Chevrolet, December 23, 2014.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1988, Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies under CERCLA, Interim Final, EPA/540/G-89/004, October 1988.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2011, Opinion Letter on Independent Cleanup of the Morrell's Dry Cleaning Facility (Site), VCP Project No. SW1039, September 26, 2011.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2016a, Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in Washington State: Investigation and Remedial Action, Publication No. 09-09-047, Review Draft Revised February 2016.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), 2016b, Updated Process for Initially Assessing the Potential for Petroleum Vapor Intrusion, Implementation Memorandum No. 14, March 31, 2016.

Limitations

Work for this project was performed and this report prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional practices for the nature and conditions of work completed in the same or similar localities, at the time the work was performed. This report does not represent a legal opinion. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

All reports prepared by Aspect Consulting are intended solely for Walker Chevrolet (the Client) and apply only to the services described in the Agreement with Client. Any use or reuse by Client for purposes outside of the scope of Client's Agreement is at the sole risk of Client and without liability to Aspect Consulting. Aspect Consulting shall not be liable for any third parties' use of the deliverables provided by Aspect Consulting. Aspect Consulting's original files/reports shall govern in the event of any dispute regarding the content of electronic documents furnished to others.

Please refer to Appendix E titled "Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use" for additional information governing the use of this report.
TABLES

Table 1-2. Soil Sampling Results

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

		Sample Depth	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	
Sample Location	Sample Date	(feet bgs)	(PCE)	(TCE)	Naphthalene
B-1	06/29/07	0-2	0.04	< 0.02 U	
B-1	06/29/07	2-3	0.04	< 0.02 U	
DC1	08/31/06	8	< 0.02 U	< 0.02 U	< 0.05 U
DC-PLAS-2	09/18/06	18.5-20			
DP-1	10/21/10	1	2.1	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-1	10/21/10	2	1	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-2	10/21/10	1	0.8	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-4	10/20/10	2	1.8	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-5	10/20/10	3	1.4	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-5	10/20/10	6	0.54	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-7	10/21/10	2	2.7	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-7	10/21/10	2.5	36	0.14	< 0.05 U
DP-8	10/20/10	3	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	28
DP-8	10/20/10	4.5	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	0.22
DP-9	10/20/10	3	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-9	10/20/10	6	0.13	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-10	02/08/12	8.5	0.24	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-11	02/08/12	4			
DP-12	02/08/12	5.5	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-13	02/08/12	7	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-14	02/08/12	7	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
DP-15	02/08/12	4			
DP-16	02/08/12	4			
DP-17	02/08/12	4			
F-12	07/31/07	1	1.5	< 0.02 U	
F-20	07/31/07	1.7	2.1	< 0.02 U	
MW-21	10/11/13	11	0.63	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
MW-21	10/11/13	15.5	44	0.57	< 0.05 U
MW-21	10/11/13	25	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
MW-21	10/11/13	40	< 0.025 U	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
MW-21	10/11/13	55	0.095	0.032	< 0.05 U
R-12	07/31/07	1	1.9	0.28	
R-18	07/31/07	1.5	18	0.85	
T-1	06/29/07	0-1.75	0.04	< 0.02 U	
TRENCH-BT-C	12/09/13	4.5	0.26	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
TRENCH-BT-E	12/09/13	4.5	0.16	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
TRENCH-BT-W	12/09/13	4.5	0.25	< 0.03 U	< 0.05 U
		Screening Level ³		0.03	5
as below around sur			U.00		

bgs below ground surface Notes:

U not detected at the indicated detection limit

1) All concentrations are in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). Only analytes with concentrations exceeding their respective screening levels in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances are shaded. Refer to Section 3.2.3 for discussion of other analyte detections.

2) Soil sampling was also conducted for the purpose of profiling soil for off-site disposal. Those sampling results are not included in this table.

3) The screening levels are Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A soil cleanup levels.

Table 1-3. Reconnaissance Groundwater Sampling Results from Soil Borings

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

	Screening	DC-PLAS-2-W Beneath parking lot east of Morrell's during Tully's drinking water leak ³	space foundation south of Morrell's during Tully's	PW-1 Beneath Morrell's foundation during Tully's drinking water leak ³	GW-7 Beneath Morrell's foundation after repair of Tully's drinking water leak ³	GW-8 Beneath Morrell's foundation after repair of Tully's drinking water leak ³
Chemical Name ¹	Level ²	09/18/06	06/29/07	07/11/07	05/08/08	05/08/08
Bromodichloromethane ⁴	0.08	1.5	1.5	2.3	<1U	< 1 U
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	5		52	1,700	13,000	1,300
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	5		6	17	33	21
Vinyl chloride	0.2		19	0.51	< 0.2 U	< 0.2 U

bgs below ground surface U not detected at the indicated detection limit Notes:

1) All concentrations are in micrograms per liter (µg/L). Only analytes with concentrations exceeding their respective screening levels in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances are shaded. Refer to Section 3.1.3 for discussion of other analyte detections.

2) Screening levels are maximum contaminant level (MCL) for bromodichloromethane and Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A groundwater cleanup levels for the other analytes.

3) An estimated 600,000 gallons of drinking water was released between May 2006 and September 2007 (per analysis of water bills) by Tully's Coffee, which occupied the retail space at the southeast corner of Tacoma Avenue and North First Street.

4) Bromodichloromethane is a byproduct of drinking water disinfection. Its presence is attributable to the Tully's drinking water leak.

Table 1-4. Groundwater Sampling Results in Advance Outwash Monitoring Wells

cis-1,2-Carbon Tetrachloroethene Trichloroethene Dichloroethene Tetrachloride 1.1-Dichloroethene Vinyl chloride Well ID (PCE) (TCE) (cDCE) Sample Date 08/28/07 2,900 1,800 7,100 1 19 < 1 U 1,400 01/30/08 520 2,000 < 0.2 U 1,900 880 10/02/08 1 2,300 < 1 U 3.1 < 1 U 1,600 930 < 1 U 05/12/09 2,400 2.7 <1U 12/22/10 2,100 1,100 2,100 < 1 U 2.7 J < 100 U < 100 U 02/07/12 1,600 810 1,400 < 20 U MW-2³ 1,600 830 0.84 12/12/13 < 1 U 1,200 < 1 U < 1 U < 1 U 25 01/21/15 19 150 0.77 07/30/15 17 46 < 1 U 600 15 09/08/15 18 17 77 610 1.6 02/02/16 190 640 4.2 22 15 09/22/16 16 110 480 3.8 7.8 01/04/17 18 80 520 3.8 7.4 01/22/08 3.3 67 3 13 < 0.2 U < 0.2 U 01/30/08 31 1.1 4.5 2 75 < 1 U 10/02/08 1.2 3.2 17 < 0.2 U 05/11/09 17 44 < 1 U < 1 U 1.1 < 0.2 U 12/22/10 3.2 190 14 41 < 1 U < 0.2 U 02/07/12 25 < 1 U < 0.2 U 4.6 140 8.7 MW-5^{4,5} 01/09/14 < 0.2 U < 0.2 U 0.46 < 0.2 U < 0.2 U < 0.2 U 04/28/15 2.1 < 1 U < 0.2 U 67 6.2 6.4 09/09/15 31 3.6 < 1 U < 0.2 U 3.6 02/02/16 27 2.7 2.5 < 1 U < 0.2 U 09/07/16 12 1.4 1.4 < 1 U < 0.2 U 01/04/17 < 1 U 14 1.4 1.3 < 0.2 U 01/22/08 < 1 U 6.6 < 1 U < 1 U < 0.2 U 01/30/08 1.5 1.5 < 1 U < 1 U < 0.2 U < 1 U < 0.2 U < 1 U < 1 U 10/02/08 1.5 < 1 U MW-7⁴ < 1 U <1U < 1 U 05/11/09 2 1.1 < 0.2 U 12/22/10 3.3 1.4 < 1 U < 1 U < 1 U < 0.2 U 02/06/12 2.2 < 1 U < 1 U <1U <1U < 0.2 U 1.6 < 1 U 01/07/14 1.4 < 1 U < 1 U < 0.2 U 04/22/08 1,300 780 2,400 < 0.2 U < 1 U < 1 U 680 390 10 10/02/08 3,600 6.9 < 1 U 05/12/09 780 370 2,600 4.3 2 12/22/10 < 1 U 470 150 1,800 3.7 1.4 02/07/12 < 100 U 960 610 1,600 < 100 U < 20 U 12/17/13 < 50 U 940 560 1,300 < 50 U < 10 U MW-8³ 01/20/15 < 5 U 14 8.5 1,200 6.4 9.4 07/30/15 41 17 740 1.5 8.9 09/10/15 18 13 1,000 4.2 12 02/01/16 21 13 830 2.5 7.1 09/07/16 < 50 U < 50 U < 50 U < 10 U 560 09/22/16 2.2 16 11 500 5.4 01/05/17 1.8 19 12 480 5.6 < 10 U < 10 U 12/17/13 460 110 380 < 2 U 09/08/15 86 53 220 < 1 U 4 MW-15³ 02/01/16 25 < 1 U 7.4 43 290 09/07/16 15 < 5 U 8.4 330 4 01/04/17 6.6 3.3 520 < 1 U 4.9 12/13/13 2.2 450 98 360 < 1 U 0.49 MW-16³ 01/21/15 < 5 U 14 6.3 180 < 5 U 2.2 MW-17³ 12/13/13 3 170 24 81 < 1 U < 0.2 U < 1 U < 1 U MW-18³ 12/12/13 460 57 360 0.53 < 1 U 01/08/14 62 4.8 20 < 0.2 U 7 < 1 U 01/21/15 < 5 U 9.7 45 < 5 U < 5 U 09/09/15 7.6 35 < 1 U 1.5 3.9 MW-19³ < 1 U 02/02/16 8.5 5.1 43 1.5 < 20 U < 20 U 09/07/16 < 20 U < 20 U < 4 U 09/22/16 < 1 U 0.43 8.5 4.1 16 01/04/17 < 1 U 12 0.97 4.6 36 < 0.2 U 01/08/14 140 43 <1U 16 3.6 01/20/15 < 1 U 79 < 1 U 7.4 5.3 1.8 09/09/15 11 < 1 U 5.8 150 1.5 MW-20³ 02/02/16 < 1 U < 1 U 250 < 1 U 1.9 09/07/16 < 20 U < 20 U 250 < 20 U < 4 U

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

	09/22/16		4.9	1.7	250	1.1	1.8
	01/04/17		6.2	2	240	1.1	2.5
	12/17/13	< 10 U	500	130	460	< 10 U	< 2 U
	01/20/15	< 5 U	15	12	270	< 5 U	<1U
MW-21 ³	09/08/15		7.1	9.2	510	< 1 U	7.4
IVI V - Z I	02/01/16		18	17	650	<1U	9.7
	09/22/16		12	13	320	< 1 U	4.1
	01/04/17		15	14	340	<1U	4.2
	Screening Level ²	5	5	5	70	7	0.2

U not detected at the indicated detection limit

Notes:

1) All concentrations are in micrograms per liter (µg/L). Only analytes with concentrations exceeding their respective screening levels in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances are shaded. Refer to Section 3.1.3 for discussion of other analyte detections.

2) Screening levels are Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A groundwater cleanup levels for PCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride, and maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for the other analytes.

3) Biostimulated in June 2014.

4) Potential impacts from Tully's Coffee water leak. An estimated 600,000 gallons of drinking water were released between May 2006 and September 2007 (per analysis of water bills).

5) Potential impacts from MW-20 biostimulation (June 2014).

Aspect Consulting

July 2018 V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Morrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Tables\SFFS tables Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study Page 1 of 1

Table 1-4

Table 1-5 - Groundwater Sampling Results in Olympia Bed Interglacial DepositMonitoring Wells

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Well ID	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cDCE)
	05/11/09	< 1 U	11
	12/22/10	< 1 U	21
	02/06/12	< 1 U	26
	01/10/14	< 0.2 U	42
MW-8D	04/28/15	< 1 U	54
	09/08/15	< 1 U	65
	02/02/16	< 1 U	62
	09/07/16	< 1 U	69
	01/12/17	< 1 U	77
	12/22/10	6.1	22
	02/06/12	< 1 U	17
	01/10/14	0.7	22
MW-12D	04/29/15	< 1 U	13
10100-120	09/10/15	< 1 U	9.1
	02/02/16	< 1 U	9.2
	09/07/16	< 1 U	3.4
	01/12/17	< 1 U	3.0
	12/22/10	14	30
	02/07/12	4.2	28
	12/16/13	5.9	32
MW-13D	04/29/15	< 1 U	14
10100-130	09/09/15	4.1	22
	02/02/16	2.2	23
	09/07/16	2.3	13
	01/12/17	11	16
	02/06/12	4.2	28
	01/23/14	2.4	4.5
	04/29/15	2.2	2.5
MW-14D	09/09/15	9.2	15
	02/02/16	1.8	2.2
	09/07/16	3.2	3.6
	01/12/17	7.4	4.8
	Screening Level ²	5	70

U not detected at the indicated detection limit

Notes:

1) All concentrations are in micrograms per liter (μ g/L). Only analytes with concentrations exceeding their respective screening levels in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded. Screening level exceedances are shaded. Refer to Section 3.1.3 for discussion of other analyte detections.

2) Screening levels are Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A groundwater cleanup level for PCE and maximum contaminant level (MCL) for cDCE.

Table 1-6. Sub-slab Vapor Sampling Results prior to and during Soil Vapor Extraction Operations Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

	Sample Location	GV-4	GV-5	GV-6	SV-1	SV-2	SV-3	SV-4	VP-1	VP-2	VP-3	VP-4	VP-4	VP-4	VP-4	VP-5	VP-5	VP-5	VP-7
	Sample Date	5/8/08	5/8/08	5/8/08	1/21/09	1/21/09	1/21/09	1/21/09	2/9/12	2/9/12	2/9/12	10/15/14	4/21/15	9/7/16	12/28/16	4/21/15	9/7/16	12/28/16	10/15/14
	Sub-Slab Soil Gas	East of Morrell's	South of	South of		Alley north of		Southwest of dry cleaner building	Alley north of	Alley north of	Alley north of		Morrell's Dry Clea middle of le				s Dry Cleaners lea cent to south interi		Tenant space sou of Morrell's Dry Cleaners
	Method B Screening Level	Dry Cleaners entry in parking	Morrell's Dry Cleaners in	Morrell's Dry Cleaners	Southwest of Morrell's building	Morrell's Dry Cleaners,	Sewer main, 125 feet west of	near sewer connection	Morrell's Dry Cleaners,	Morrell's Dry Cleaners,	Morrell's Dry Cleaners,	Prior to SVE		After 39-days of					
Chemical	(most stringent)	lot	parking lot	building	near sewer main	west of middle	Property	and GV-6	west side	middle	east side	Startup	VE-SS shutdown	VE-SS shutdown	for sampling only	VE-SS shutdowr	VE-SS shutdown	for sampling only	Prior to SVE Startu
hlorinated Volatile Organic Com		10.000					100			150.000			1 100						
etrachloroethylene (PCE)	321	12,000	1,600	70,000	< 200 U	6,500 < 200 U	400 < 200 U	200 < 200 U	270 1.1	150,000 < 230 U	380	680,000	400	1,000 27	16 8.8	2,800	900	26 7.3	3,200 140
richloroethylene (TCE)	12.3	< 20 U 16,000	2,700 320	7,800 2,500	< 200 U < 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 0.72 U	< 230 U < 170 U	1.9 < 1.2 U	5,100 < 880 U	29 0.81	< 2 U	< 0.4 U	110 8.2	54 2.5	0.74	8.6
is-1,2-Dichloroethylene (cDCE)		< 50 U	< 50 U	< 50 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 0.72 U	< 170 U	< 1.2 U	< 880 U	2.7	< 2 U	<u>< 0.4 0</u> 0.45	8.2 <7.2 U	< 2 U	< 0.4 U	8.0 < 6.9 U
ans-1,2-Dichloroethylene inyl Chloride	9.33	< 50 0 540	< 50 U < 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 0.72 U < 0.47 U	< 110 U	< 1.2 U < 0.78 U	< 560 U	< 0.48 U	< 2.0 < 1.3 U	< 0.26 U	< 7.2 U < 4.6 U	< 1.3 U	< 0.4 U < 0.26 U	< 4.5 U
lethvlene Chloride	8.330	< 1.000 U	< 1.000 U	< 1.000 U	< 200 U	< 500 U	< 500 U	< 200 U	< 0.47 U	< 110 U	< 0.76 U	< 500 0	< 0.48 0 60	< 430 U	150	23	< 430 U	< 0.26 U	× 4.5 U
chloromethane	1.370	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 300 U				< 1800 U	< 1.9 U	< 1 U	0.36	< 19 U	< 1 U	< 0.21 U	< 36 U
rihalomethanes (Disinfection By	.,			1000	× 200 0	< 200 U	× 200 0	× 200 0		ļ	ļ	< 1000 U	× 1.9 U	×10	0.30	190		× 0.21 0	× 30 U
Chlorodifluoromethane	762,000													< 1.8 U	1.5		< 1.8 U	0.89	
Chloroform	3.62	< 50 U	< 50 U	< 50 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U				< 1100 U	< 0.91 U	18	0.83	< 8.8 U	< 1.0 U	< 0.49 U	< 8.5 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.520	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U				< 1100 U	1.2	< 13 U	2.3	< 9.0 U	< 13 U	2.2	< 8.6 U
richlorofluoromethane	1,070	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U				11000	1.2	< 2.8 U	1.2	< 10 U	< 2.8 U	1.2	+ 0.0 0
Petroleum Hydrocarbons	1,010	100 0	100 0	100 0	200 0	200.0	1200 0	1200 0			I			- 2.0 0		100	2.0 0		
PH EC5-8 aliphatics ⁽²⁾	90,000													1,700	5,600		1,700	3,500	
PH EC9-12 aliphatics ⁽²⁾	4,700													1,100	3,600		2,400	460	
(8)	6,000													< 250 U	< 250 U		< 250 U	< 250 U	
PH EC9-10 aromatics ⁽²⁾												1.000			< 250 U	5.0.11			
lenzene	10.7 76.200	140	390	230 200	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U < 200 U	< 200 U < 200 U	< 0.58 U	< 140 U	< 0.97 U	1,300	< 0.60 U	< 1.6 U		< 5.8 U	< 1.6 U	0.94	< 5.6 U < 6.6 U
	15.200	100 < 100 U	270 < 100 U	< 100 U	< 200 U < 200 U	< 200 U < 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	1.9 < 0.79 U	< 160 U < 180 U	6 1.8	2,600 1,700	5.4 3.4	3.1 < 2.2 U	3.7	7.5 < 7.8 U	4 < 2.2 U	5.5 3.3	< 6.6 U < 7.6 U
thylbenzene	1,520	< 100 0	< 100 0	< 100 0	< 200 0 500	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	<u>< 0.79 0</u> 3.2	< 180 U	7.2	3,400	3.4 10	< 2.2 U 6.5	6.6	13	7.1	3.3 6	< 7.6 U
-Xylene	1,520				200	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 400 U	0.92	< 180 U	2.1	1,200	3.9	2.7	2.1	< 7.8 U	3	1.9	< 7.6 U
otal Xylenes	1,520	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 100 U	700	< 600 U	< 600 U	< 200 U	4.12	< 180 U	9.3	4.600	13.9	9.2	8.7	13	10.1	7.9	< 7.6 U
laphthalene	2.45	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 000 U	< 4.8 U	< 900 U	< 8.0 U	4,000	13.9	2.8	1.8	13	3	2	< 7.0 0
.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	107	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	× 4.0 O	× 300 O	< 0.0 0		2.8	< 12 U	3.8	< 8.9 U	< 12 U	3	
-Propene	107	\$ 100 0	< 100 U	< 100 0	\$ 200 0	\$ 200 0	~ 200 0	× 200 0					2.0	4.8	8.3	× 0.9 0	< 3.4 U	10	
-Ethyltoluene													2.6	4.0	0.0	< 8.9 U			
Cyclohexane													< 0.64 U	< 34 U	150	< 6.2 U	< 34 U	150	
Cyclopentane													10.010	< 1.4 U	3.5	0.20	< 1.4 U	5.3	
lexane	1				1 1		1	1 1					< 0.66 U	< 18 U	64	< 6.4 U	< 18 U	89	1
sobutylene														6.3	1.3		< 4.6 U	< 0.92 U	
sopropylbenzene	6.100	< 1.000 U	< 1.000 U	< 1.000 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U					< 0.92 U	-10		9.4			
Pentane														< 15 U	5.9	-	< 15 U	6.7	1
styrene	15,200	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U	< 200 U					3.5	< 4.3 U	1.3	< 7.7 U	< 4.3 U	1.2	
other Volatile Organic Compound	,									•	•							•	•
-Butanol														< 30 U	12		< 30 U	< 6.1 U	
-Butanone (MEK)	76,200						1	1					< 2.8 U	< 15 U	< 2.9 U	< 27 U	< 15 U	5.3	
-Methyl-2-pentanone	45,700	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 100 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U					1	< 20 U	< 4.1 U	17	< 20 U	< 4.1 U	
cetaldehyde														< 45 U	16		< 45 U	< 9 U	
cetone		< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	1,000	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U					7.2	< 24 U	3.8	170	< 24 U	8.4	
crolein	0.305													5.9	< 0.92 U		< 4.6 U	< 0.92 U	
thanol							1	1					7.9	< 38 U	19		< 38 U	31	
sopropyl Alcohol							1	1					< 2.3 U	< 43 U	< 8.6 U	22	63	< 8.6 U	
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	3,050	1					1							< 35 U	< 7 U		< 35 U	52	1

U r Notes:

All concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Analytes detected in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded. Concentrations that exceed the screening level are shaded.
 All samples were analyzed by EPA Method TO-15 for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Samples collected on 9/7/16 and 12/28/16 were also analyzed by Method MA-APH for aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in the indicated carbon ranges. Non-petroleum compounds were subtracted from the EC5-8 aliphatic range prior to quantitation.

Table 1-7. Indoor Air Sampling Results prior to Soil Vapor Extraction Operations

	Sample Location Sample Date	#1	Office Can #2 5/22/07	Bakery Can #3 5/22/07	Bakery Can #4 5/22/07	Back Bakery 2/8/08	Front Bakery 2/8/08	Back Office 2/8/08	Thriftway Office 2/9/12	Morrell's 2/9/12
	Indoor Air Method B Cleanup Level (most									Collected from Dry
Chemical ¹	stringent) ¹		Collected	d within adj	oining leas	e space south	of Morrell's Dr	y Cleaners		Cleaners
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	9.62	1,040	1,470	2,050	2,710	650	6,700	2,500	15	22
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.37	12	19	13	< 9 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	5.7	9.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (cDCE		10	18	< 6 U	< 6 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 0.14 U	< 0.14 U
Benzene	0.321	< 5 U	< 5 U	< 5 U	< 5 U	380	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	2.2	2.2
Toluene	2,290	7.5	6.0	< 6 U	< 6 U	190	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	9.0	7.3
Ethylbenzene	457	< 7 U	< 7 U	< 7 U	< 7 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	2.2	2.0
m,p-Xylenes	45.7	< 13 U	< 13 U	< 13 U	< 14 U				8.1	7.2
o-Xylene	45.7	< 7 U	< 7 U	< 7 U	< 7 U				3.1	2.8
Total Xylenes	45.7	< 20 U	< 20 U	< 20 U	< 21 U	190	< 1,000 U	< 1,000 U	11.2	10.0

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

U not detected at the indicated reporting limit

Notes:

1) All concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Analytes detected in at least one sample are included in this table.

Detections are bolded. Concentrations that exceed the screening level are shaded.

2) Continuous soil vapor extraction operations were initiated on October 15, 2014.

Table 4-1. Soil Vapor Extraction System Gas Sampling Results (Pretreatment¹)

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1040), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Location		VE-3/4	VE-SS				GAC Influent		-	
Date	7/5/18	7/5/18	7/5/18	10/15/14	3/13/15	6/30/15	2/26/16	8/30/16	10/26/17	7/5/18
	Well Screen Intervals	Well Screen Intervals						4		
Chemical	18 to 32 feet bgs	30 to 45 feet bgs	Sub-slab			Combined	Flow Prior to	Treatment		
Chlorinated Volatile Organic Co	mpounds									
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	96,000	26,000	1,200 ve	800,000	31,000	38,000	7,500	21,000	21,000 ve	9,900 ve
Trichloroethene (TCE)	450	1,300	29	2,000	2,400	2,100	580	1,100	1,300	440
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cDCE)	5,300	1,300	<1.3 U	1,500	2,200	1,400	550	790	740	390
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene (tDCE)	<200 U	<99 U	<1.3 U	< 1300 U	< 73 U	< 66 U	< 20 U	< 40 U	< 9.9 U	<9.9 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	<200 U	<99 U	4.6	< 1300 U	< 73 U	< 66 U	< 20 U	< 40 U	< 9.9 U	<9.9 U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<270 U	<140 U	4.8	< 1800 U	< 100 U	< 91 U	< 27 U	< 55 U		<14 U
√inyl chloride	440	<64 U	<0.84 U	< 820 U	< 47 U	< 43 U	< 13 U	< 26 U	< 6.4 U	<6.4 U
Carbon tetrachloride	<310 U	<310 U	6.5	< 2000 U	160	< 100 U	< 31 U	< 63 U		22
Chloroform	<24 U	46	1.5	< 1600 U	< 90 U	< 82 U	< 24 U	< 49 U		15
Methylene chloride	<43,000 U	<22,000 U	<290 U	< 1100 U	< 640 U	< 580 U	< 870 UJ	10,000		<2,200 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<120 U	<60 U	1.0	< 1900 U	< 110 U	< 100 U	< 30 U	< 60 U		<6 U
Petroleum Hydrocarbons										
APH EC5-8 aliphatics ⁽³⁾	<23,000 U	40,000	<150 U							10,000
APH EC9-12 aliphatics ⁽³⁾	<17,000 U	<8,700 U	1,200							2,000
APH EC9-10 aromatics ⁽³⁾	<12,000 U	<6,200 U	<82 U							<620 U
Benzene	<160 U	190	<1.1 U	< 1000 U	< 59 U	< 53 U	< 16 U	160	130	58
Foluene	<190 U	280	4.5	< 1200 U	< 69 U	< 63 U	< 19 U	280	200	93
Ethylbenzene	<220 U	<110 U	<1.4 U	< 1400 U	< 80 U	< 72 U	< 22 U	< 43 U	13	<11 U
Total Xylenes	<650 U	650	<4.3 U	< 1400 U	< 80 U	< 72 U	< 43 U	211	335	210
Naphthalene	<52 U	<26 U	3.0 fb				< 26 U	< 52 U	< 13 U	3.5 fb
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	<38 U	<19 U	<0.56 U	< 2500 U	< 140 U	< 130 U	< 38 U	< 77 U		<1.9 U
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	<20 U	<10 U	<0.24 U	< 1300 U	< 74 U	< 68 U	< 20 U	< 40 U	< 10 U	<1 U
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	<900 U	<450 U	<5.9 U	< 1200 U	< 66 U	< 60 U	< 18 U	< 36 U		<45 U
Propene	<340 U	<170 U	<2.3 U				< 34 U	< 69 U		<17 U
sobutene	<460 U	<230 U	<3 U				< 46 U	< 92 U		<23 U
Pentane	<1,500 U	<740 U	<9.7 U				170	320		170
Cyclopentane	<140 U	<72 U	<0.95 U				< 14 U	48		<7.2 U
n-Hexane	<1,800 U	<880 U	<12 U	< 1100 U	640	260	230	550		150
Cyclohexane	<3,400 U	<1,700 U	<23 U	< 1100 U	370	160	< 340 U	< 690 U		<170 U
leptane				< 1300 U	630	150				
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane				< 1500 U	2,700	1,500				
Other Detected Volatile Organic	Compounds									
Acetone	<2,400 U	<1,200 U	<16 U	< 3000 U	< 440 U	< 400 U	< 240 U	< 480 U		<120 U
Acrolein	<460 U	<230 U	<3 U				< 46 U	< 92 U		<23 U
CFC-113	<380 U	<190 U	23				< 38 U	< 77 U		23
Chlorodifluoromethane	<180 U	<88 U	1.3				< 18 U	< 35 U		<8.8 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	<250 U	<120 U	3.1	< 1600 U	< 91 U	< 82 U	29	55	Ī	38
Ethanol	<3,800 U	<1,900 U	<25 U	< 2400 U	< 140 U	< 120 U	< 380 U	< 750 U	l	<190 U
2-Propanol	<4,300 U	<2,200 U	<28 U	< 3200 U	< 180 U	< 160 U	< 430 UJ	< 860 U		<220 U
Tetrahydrofuran	· · · · ·			1,600	< 54 U	< 49 U				
Trichlorofluoromethane	<280 U	<140 U	5.8	< 1800 U	< 100 U	< 94 U	< 28 U	< 56 U	1	<14 U

the value reported is an estimate (response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range)

fb the analyte was detected in the method blank

Notes:

1) SVE gas samples were also collected from between and after the carbon vessels. Sampling results are provided in Appendix C, Table C-4.

2) All concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Only analytes detected in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded.

3) All samples were analyzed by EPA Method TO-15 for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Samples collected on 7/5/18 were also analyzed by Method MA-APH for aliphatic

ve

and aromatic hydrocarbons in the indicated carbon ranges. Non-petroleum compounds were subtracted from the EC5-8 aliphatic range prior to quantitation.

Aspect Consulting

V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Morrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Tables\SFFS tables

Table 4-1 Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study Page 1 of 1

Table 6-1. Summary of Remedial Alternatives and Estimated Costs

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

		Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 4	Alternative 5	Alternative 6
	Long-Term Controls and Environmental Covenant ⁽²⁾	Expanded SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation of Advance Outwash Groundwater ⁽²⁾	ERH and SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation/HEPA of Advance Outwash Groundwater ⁽²⁾	ERH of Accessible On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater ⁽²⁾	Comprehensive ERH of On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater Following Building Demolition	Removal of On-Property Contaminated Soil to 15-Foot Depth Following Building Demolition, and ERH of Deeper On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater
Remediai	Operate existing SVE system for 1 more year	Operate existing SVE system for 1 more year	Operate existing SVE system for 1 more year	 Implement ERH to remediate contaminated 	Demolish Morrell's building to access	Demolish Morrell's building to access
Alternative ⁽¹⁾	Develop plans for cap I&M, vapor intrusion	 Install and operate expanded SVE system 	 Install and operate expanded SVE system 	on-property glacial till soils and advance	underlying contaminated soils	underlying contaminated soils
	mitigation M&M, and groundwater monitoring	to remediate contaminated on-property glacial	to remediate contaminated on-property	outwash soils and groundwater	 Implement ERH to remediate on-property 	 Remove contaminated soils to 15-foot depth
•	Install deep groundwater CPOC wells	till and advance outwash soils	advance outwash soils	 Develop plans for cap I&M, vapor intrusion 	contaminated soils and advance outwash	and backfill with clean imported soil
•	Install radon fans for vapor intrusion mitigation	 Install additional groundwater monitoring/ 	 Implement ERH to remediate contaminated 	mitigation M&M, and groundwater monitoring	groundwater	 Implement ERH to remediate deeper
•	Implement cap I&M, vapor intrusion mitigation	biostimulation wells and conduct two more	on-property glacial till soils	Install deep groundwater CPOC wells	Develop plans for groundwater monitoring and	on-property contaminated soils and advance
	M&M, and groundwater monitoring	biostimulant injections	 Install additional groundwater monitoring/ 	 Install radon fans for vapor intrusion mitigation 	install deep groundwater CPOC wells	groundwater
•	Develop and record environmental covenant	Develop plans for cap I&M, vapor intrusion	biostimulation wells	 Conduct groundwater monitoring to 	 Conduct groundwater monitoring to 	 Develop plans for groundwater monitoring and
		mitigation M&M, and groundwater monitoring	 Conduct biostimulant injection with HEPA to 	demonstrate compliance with cleanup levels	demonstrate compliance with cleanup levels	install deep groundwater CPOC wells
		Install deep groundwater CPOC wells	remediate contaminated on-property advance	 Implement cap I&M and vapor intrusion 	Develop and record environmental covenant	 Conduct groundwater monitoring to
		 Install radon fans for vapor intrusion mitigation 	outwash groundwater	mitigation M&M		demonstrate compliance with cleanup levels
		 Implement cap I&M, vapor intrusion mitigation 	 Develop plans for cap I&M, vapor intrusion 	 Develop and record environmental covenant 		 Develop and record environmental covenant
		M&M, and groundwater monitoring	mitigation M&M, and groundwater monitoring			
		 Develop and record environmental covenant 	 Install deep groundwater CPOC wells 			
			 Install radon fans for vapor intrusion mitigation 			
			 Conduct groundwater monitoring to 			
			demonstrate compliance with cleanup levels			
			 Implement cap I&M and vapor intrusion 			
			mitigation M&M			
			 Develop and record environmental covenant 			
Estimated Present Value Cost ^(3,4) CPOC conditional point	\$600,000	\$1,500,000 I&M inspection and maintenance	\$3,100,000	\$3,600,000	\$2,800,000	\$4,400,000

CPOC conditional point of compliance ERH electrical resistance heating I&Minspection and maintenanceM&Mmonitoring and maintenanceSVEsoil vapor extraction

HEPA heat-enhanced plume attenuation

Notes:

1) Refer to Section 4.2 for descriptions of each remedial alternative.

2) It is assumed that the Morrell's building remains in place in Alternatives 1 through 4.

3) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars, are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent, and have an intended accuracy of -30/+50 percent.

Costs are shown to two significant figures. Refer to Appendix D for itemized estimates.

4) The estimated costs of Alternatives 5 and 6 do not include loss of income generated by the existing building or the cost of constructing a new building.

Table 7-1. Evaluation of Remedial Alternatives for Threshold Criteria

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Remedial Alternative ⁽¹⁾	Protection of Human Health and the Environment	Compliance with Cleanup Standards and Applicable Laws	Provision for Compliance Monitoring	Conclusions
	Provides engineering controls to mitigate indoor air exposure pathway and provides environmental covenant to restrict access to and use of impacted media. Controls and restrictions would remain in place <i>in</i> <i>perpetuity</i> .	Leaves soil and groundwater contamination above cleanup levels.	Environmental covenant would require compliance monitoring. Compliance monitoring points could be defined in downgradient wells in lower water bearing unit.	Retained
	Active remedial technologies would reduce contaminant concentrations in soil and groundwater, but with limited effectiveness. Provides engineering controls to mitigate indoor air exposure pathway and provides environmental covenant to restrict access to and use of impacted media. Controls and restrictions would remain in place to protect human health and the environment for as long as necessary.	Significant further reductions in contaminant concentrations in soil and groundwater, but cleanup levels are unlikely to be achieved in either media due to limitations in SVE technology to fully treat soil, and the likelihood that residual impacted soil will continue to serve as a source of groundwater contamination.	Environmental covenant would require compliance monitoring. Compliance monitoring points could be defined in downgradient wells in lower water bearing unit.	Retained
Soil Contamination, and	impacted media and vapor intrusion mitigation if necessary. Controls and restrictions would remain in place to	Extensive further reductions in contaminant concentrations in soil and groundwater, but soil cleanup levels are unlikely to be achieved due to: a) limitations in SVE technology to fully treat soil; and b) Morrell's building will limit access to underlying soil contamination.	Environmental covenant would require compliance monitoring. Compliance monitoring points could be defined in downgradient wells in lower water bearing unit.	Retained
Soil and Advance	Soil treatment is likely to have limited effectiveness. Provides environmental covenant to restrict access to and use of impacted media and vapor intrusion mitigation if necessary. Controls and restrictions would remain in place to protect human health and the environment for as long as necessary.	Extensive further reductions in contaminant concentrations in soil and groundwater, but soil cleanup levels are unlikely to be achieved because Morrell's building will limit access of ERH to underlying soil contamination.	Environmental covenant would require compliance monitoring. Compliance monitoring points could be defined in downgradient wells in lower water bearing unit.	Retained
On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash	ERH will likely eliminate the need for on- property vapor intrusion mitigation and effectively reduce contaminant concentrations in all media. Provides environmental covenant to restrict access to and use of any residual impacted media and vapor intrusion mitigation if necessary. Controls and restrictions would remain in place to protect human health and the environment for as long as necessary.	Cleanup levels are expected to be achieved provided that ERH technology is well-designed and aggressively executed.	Environmental covenant would require compliance monitoring. Compliance monitoring points could be defined in downgradient wells in lower water bearing unit.	Retained
Contaminated Soil to 15- Foot Depth Following Building Demolition, and ERH of Deeper On-	Soil removal and ERH will likely eliminate the need for on-property vapor intrusion mitigation and effectively reduce contaminant concentrations in all media. Provides environmental covenant to restrict access to and use of any residual impacted media and vapor intrusion mitigation if necessary. Controls and restrictions would remain in place to protect human health and the environment for as long as necessary.	Cleanup levels are expected to be achieved provided that ERH technology is well-designed and aggressively executed.	Environmental covenant would require compliance monitoring. Compliance monitoring points could be defined in downgradient wells in lower water bearing unit.	Retained

Notes:

1) Refer to Section 4.2 for descriptions of each remedial alternative.

2) It is assumed that the Morrell's building remains in place in Alternatives 1 through 4.

Aspect Consulting

07/16/2018 V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Morrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Tables\SFFS tables - Tbl 7-1_Threshold Crit

Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study Page 1 of 1

Table 7-1

Table 7-2 - Disproportionate Cost Analysis

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039), 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

		Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 4	Alternative 5	Alternative 6
		Long-Term Controls and Environmental Covenant	Expanded SVE of Accessible On- Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation of Advance Outwash Groundwater	ERH and SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation/HEPA of Advance Outwash Groundwater	ERH of Accessible On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater	Comprehensive ERH of On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater Following Building Demolition	Removal of On-Property Contaminated Soil to 15-Foot Depth Following Building Demolition, and ERH of Deeper On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater
t Practicable	Protectiveness (30% weighting factor)	Site risks are low, but long-term protectiveness relies on institutional controls and environmental covenant. (2)	Expanded SVE and biostimulation is expected to reduce reliance on institutional controls and environmental covenant for protectiveness. (3)	expected to reduce reliance on institutional controls and environmental covenant for		Alternatives 5 and 6 are expected to have the least reliance on institutional controls and environmental covenant for long-term protectiveness. (5)	Alternatives 5 and 6 are expected to have the least reliance on institutional controls and environmental covenant for long-term protectiveness. (5)
Maximum Extent	Permanence (20% weighting factor)	Only a relatively minor amount of additional mass would be removed in this alternative (1).	Expanded SVE and biostimulation would remove significant additional contaminant mass relative to Alternative 1. (3)	Contaminant mass removal is expected to be more complete than in Alternative 2, but residual near-surface soil contamination is expected beneath building. (4)	Permanence is expected to be similar to Alternative 3. (4)	ERH is expected to be highly effective at removing contaminant mass. (5)	Soil removal and ERH are expected to be highly effective at removing contaminant mass. (5)
Solutions to the Ma	Long-Term Effectiveness (20% weighting factor)	Exposure to residual contamination is addressed through institutional controls and an environmental covenant, which are considered to have relatively low long-term effectiveness. (1)	The additional contaminant mass removal achieved in this alternative may result in greater long-term effectiveness relative to Alternative 1. (2)	The additional contaminant mass removal achieved in this alternative may result in greater long-term effectiveness relative to Alternative 2. (4)	Long-term effectiveness is expected to be similar to Alternative 3. (4)	The additional contaminant mass removal achieved in this alternative may result in greater long-term effectiveness relative to Alternatives 3 and 4. (5)	Long-term effectiveness is expected to be similar to Alternative 5. (5)
Permanent Solu	Short-Term Risk Management (10% weighting factor)	Short-term risks can be effectively managed in this alternative. (5)	Increased short-term risks relative to Alternative 1, due primarily to the large drilling component of this alternative (48 new wells assumed). (4)	Drilling component is larger than in Alternative 2, plus additional short-term risks associated with thermal remediation. (3)	Short-term risks are expected to be similar to Alternative 3. (3)	Short-term risks are expected to be similar to Alternatives 3 and 4. (3)	The soil removal component of this alternative may increase exposure risks to construction workers and the public relative to Alternative 5. (2)
Evaluate Use of I	Implementability (10% weighting factor)	The siting and installation of CPOC wells in the City of Tacoma right-of-way and development/recording of an environmental covenant are likely to have implementation challenges. (4)		Implementation of <i>in situ</i> technologies beneath the Morrell's building in this alternative would have major technical challenges. (2)	Implementation of ERH beneath the Morrell's building in this alternative would have major technical challenges. (2)	Implementation of ERH would be more straightforward than in Alternative 4 since the Morrell's building would be removed first. (3)	Implementability is expected to be similar to Alternative 5. (3)
Criteria to E	Public Concerns (10% weighting factor)	Based on public response to investigation and cleanup activities completed to date, this alternative is unlikely to generate significant public concerns. (4)	Construction would likely require temporary closure of North First St. and the grocery store parking lot, which would generate short-term public concerns. (3)	The larger scope of construction in this alternative would likely generate more public concerns than Alternative 2. (2)	Since the scope of construction in this alternative is similar to that of Alternative 3, public concerns would likely also be similar. (2)	Since the scope of construction in this alternative is similar to that of Alternative 4, public concerns would likely also be similar. (2)	The soil removal component of this alternative may generate increased public concerns (truck traffic; vapor emissions) relative to Alternative 5. (1)
МТСА	Benefits Ranking ⁽²⁾	2.3	2.9	3.5	3.5	4.3	4.1
	Estimated Cost ^(3,4)	\$600,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,100,000	\$3,600,000	\$2,800,000	\$4,400,000
	Benefit/Cost Ratio ⁽⁵⁾	3.8	1.9	1.1	1.0	1.5	0.9

Notes:

CPOC conditional point of compliance

ERH electrical resistance heating

MTCA Model Toxics Control Act

SVE soil vapor extraction

1) A numeric scale of 1 to 5 is used to rate the alternatives with respect to the criteria to evaluate use of permanent solutions to the maximum extent practicable, as follows:

1 - meets criterion to a very low degree 3 - meets criterion to a moderate degree 5 - meets criterion to a very high degree 4 - meets criterion to a high degree

2 - meets criterion to a low degree 2) The MTCA benefits ranking is obtained by multiplying the rating for each criterion by its weighting factor, and summing the results for the six criteria.

3) Costs are estimated in 2018 dollars. The costs shown are rounded to two significant figures. Itemized estimates are provided in Appendix D.

4) The estimated costs of Alternatives 5 and 6 do not include loss of income generated by the existing building or the cost of constructing a new building.

5) The benefit/cost ratio is obtained by dividing the alternative's MTCA benefits ranking by its estimated cost (in \$million).

Aspect Consulting

07/31/2018

V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\DeliverablesWorrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Tables\SFFS tables - Tbl 7-2_DCA

FIGURES













REVISED BY

PROJECT NO. 080190

2-1









APPENDIX A

Boring and Well Construction Logs

			09	Well-graded gravel and	Terms Describing Relative Density and Consistency
eve	Coarse Fracti Sieve	e 0 0 0	GW	gravel with sand, little to no fines Poorly-graded gravel and gravel with sand,	$ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ Coarse-\\ Grained Soils \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline \\ Density \\ Very Loose \\ Loose \\ Medium Dense \\ Dense \\ \hline \\ \\ Dense \\ \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} SPT^{(2)}blows/foot \\ 0 \ to \ 4 \\ FC = Fines \ Content \\ G = Grain \ Size \\ M = Moisture \ Content \\ \hline \\ M = Moisture \ Content \\ \hline \\ \\ \end{array} $
Retained on No. 200 Sieve	50% on N	6 Fines ⁽⁵⁾			Very Dense >50 A = Atterberg Limits Consistency SPT ⁽²⁾ blows/foot DD = Dry Density Very Soft 0 to 2 K = Permeability Grained Soils Soft 2 to 4 Medium Stiff 4 to 8 Env = Environmental Stiff 8 to 15 PiD = Photoionization
	Gravels -	≥15°	GC	Clayey gravel and clayey gravel with sand	Very Stiff 15 to 30 Hard >30 Component Definitions
Coarse-Grained Soils - More than 50%	Fraction	Fines ⁽⁵⁾	SW	Well-graded sand and sand with gravel, little to no fines	Descriptive Term Size Range and Sieve Number Boulders Larger than 12" Cobbles 3" to 12" Gravel 3" to No. 4 (4.75 mm)
ained Soils -	Sands - 50% ⁽¹)br More of Coarse Fraction Passes No. 4 Sieve	≦5%	SP	Poorly-graded sand and sand with gravel, little to no fines	Coarse Gravel 3" to 3/4" Fine Gravel 3/4" to No. 4 (4.75 mm) Sand No. 4 (4.75 mm) to No. 200 (0.075 mm)
Coarse-Gra	50% ⁽¹⁾ br More Passes No.	Fines ⁽⁵⁾	SM	Silty sand and silty sand with gravel	Coarse Sand No. 4 (4.75 mm) to No. 10 (2.00 mm) Medium Sand No. 10 (2.00 mm) to No. 40 (0.425 mm) Fine Sand No. 40 (0.425 mm) to No. 200 (0.075 mm) Silt and Clay Smaller than No. 200 (0.075 mm)
	Sands - 5	≥15%	sc	Clayey sand and clayey sand with gravel	(3) Estimated Percentage Moisture Content Percentage Dry - Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch
ieve	s 1an 50		ml	Silt, sandy silt, gravelly silt, silt with sand or gravel	<5 Trace Slightly Moist - Perceptible moisture 5 to 15 Slightly (sandy, silty, clayey, gravelly) vater
Passes No. 200 Sieve	Silts and Clays Jound Limit Less than 50		CL	Clay of low to medium plasticity; silty, sandy, or gravelly clay, lean clay	15 to 30 Sandy, silty, clayey, gravelly) Very Moist - Water visible but not free draining 30 to 49 Very (sandy, silty, clayey, gravelly) Wet - Visible free water, usually from below water table
⁽¹⁾ or More Passe	S			Organic clay or silt of low plasticity	Symbols Sampler portion of 6" Type Sampler Type Sampler Type
	s More		МН	Elastic silt, clayey silt, silt with micaceous or diato- maceous fine sand or silt	Split-Spoon Sampler (SPT) Continuous Push
Fine-Grained Soils - 50%	Silts and Clays Liguid Limit 50 or More		сн	Clay of high plasticity, sandy or gravelly clay, fat clay with sand or gravel	Bulk sample Non-Standard Sampler Image: Constraint of the pack with the pack withe pack with the pack withe pack with the p
Fine-(Liquic		он	Organic clay or silt of medium to high plasticity	(1) Percentage by dry weight (5) Combined USCS symbols used for fines between 5% and 15% as
Highly	Organic Soils		PT	Peat, muck and other highly organic soils	(ASTM D-1586) estimated in General Accordance (3) In General Accordance with Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (ASTM D-2488) with Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (ASTM D-2488) (4) Depth of groundwater
					Static water level (date) Surface

Classifications of soils in this report are based on visual field and/or laboratory observations, which include density/consistency, moisture condition, grain size, and plasticity estimates and should not be construed to imply field or laboratory testing unless presented herein. Visual-manual and/or laboratory classification methods of ASTM D-2487 and D-2488 were used as an identification guide for the Unified Soil Classification System.

Exploration Log Key



DATE:	PROJECT NO.
DESIGNED BY:	
DRAWNBY:	FIGURE NO.
REVISED BY:	B-1

Holt Drilling A Division of Boart Longyear Company

Driller_

Resource Protection Well Report 1-22-07 Project Name BROCE TITUS CHEU Date____ PIEZCE SE 1/4 SE 1/4 Weil Identification # _____AL:N- 0:64 County___ . `ع Section <u>32</u> T<u>ZIN</u>R<u></u>3E Drilling Method SONIC Street Address 630 57401UM WY Ken Phillips 8-70639 Start Card 2652

Consulti	NG FIRM STEMEN ENV.
	ng run <u>i or en ciko</u> t
WELL DATA	FORMATION DESCRPITION
ALW - 	<u>B-15</u> FT BROWN SILTY SAND + GRAVEL FILL 20-30/ SHAD FIMES FT <u>15-50</u> FT GREY SILTY SHAD TO SHADY SILT WITH OUNSSIONED LARGE GRAVELS URIZY DENSE D2Y (TILL) FT
- SCREEN: <u>2 x 15 '</u> TYPE: <u>PVL</u> SLOT SIZE: <u>.020</u> - SAND PACX: <u>17 '</u> MATERIAL: <u>10x 20 Siluen</u> - WELL DEPTH: <u>65 '</u>	<u>SC-65 FT</u> ONLAGE/BROWN SAND MEDIUM BENSE TO DENSE WET (# 54 ' TURNING 6224 IM CULCZ C GC'FT REMARKS
	ALWA - MONUMENT: $\frac{g''}{FLUSH}$ CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: 2 FT RISER: 2 x 60 BACKFILL: FT TYPE: $\frac{3}{g}$ CHU2S TYPE: $\frac{7}{x}$ 15 TYPE: $\frac{9}{2}$ SLOT SIZE: 020 SAND PACX: $\frac{17}{x}$ MATERIAL: $\frac{10x20}{20}$ SILLEAN

Signature KX VIIC

Holt Drilling A Division of Boart Longyear Company Resource Protection Well Report

Project Name BLOCE TIT	US CHEV Date	1-22-07					
Well Identification #ALin	¢69County_	PIERCE SE 1/4 1/4					
Drilling Method SOALC	6 Section_	32 T_2IN_R_3E					
DrillerKen Phil	lips Street Ad	dress 630 STADIUM WY					
License # 2652		R-70639					
	Consultir	Consulting Firm STEMEN ENV.					
AS-BUILT	WELL DATA	FORMATION DESCRPITION					
	ALM-169						
	MONUMENT: <u>8 FLUSH</u>	BROWN SILTY SAND +					
	- CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL:	GRAVEL FILL 20-30% -					
	<u>2 FT</u>	SAND FINES					
	RISER: 2 "x 60"						
	BACKFILL:FT	15-50 FT					
	TYPE: 3/8 CHU25	GREY SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT WITH OCCASSIONAL					
	TYPE: <u>/8 the</u>	LAGRE GRAVELS UKIZY DENBE					
		ORY (TILL) FT					
		SC LES FT					
		MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE					
	- SCREEN: 2 "x 15"	WET @ 54 TORNING GREAT					
	_	IN COLO2 C GC'FT					
	TYPE: <u>PVC</u>						
	SLOT SIZE:						
	SAND PACK:'7						
	MATERIAL: 10x20 SILICA	REMARKS					
	WELL DEPTH:	· ·					

Signature Khult

MW-2

Holt Drilling A Division of Boart Longyear Company

Resource Protection Well Report

MW-3

$= \frac{20.3 \text{ C} \text{ Fines (Till)}}{54 - 65 \text{ FT}}$ $= \frac{54 - 65 \text{ FT}}{6000 \text{ MOIST} \text{ BROWN SAND}}$ $= \frac{54 - 65 \text{ FT}}{6000 \text{ MOIST} \text{ BROWN SAND}}$ $= \frac{55 - 67 \text{ FT}}{62 \text{ FT} \text{ VERT DENSE GRET}}$ $= \frac{55 - 67 \text{ FT}}{5100 \text{ FT}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{2 \text{ TIL}} = \frac{2 \text{ TIL}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{2 \text{ TIL}}{5000 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CREEN}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}}$ $= \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}{5100 \text{ CRET}} = \frac{56 \text{ CRET}}$	•		21.7					
Drilling Method SOMIC 6" Section 32 T 21M R 3E Driller Ken Phillips Street Address 633 DIVISION License # 2552 Street Address 633 DIVISION License # 2552 Street Address 633 DIVISION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRIPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRIPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRIPTION CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: 2 'MSPARE T 62000M COMUSE Smo t 6200 EL 20:30 /, FILLS (FILL) FT - RISER: 2 'X 52: - BACKFILL: 48 FT TYPE: $\frac{3}{5}$ CMLPS - SCREEN: 2 'X 15' TYPE: $\frac{5}{10}$ CMLPS - SCREEN: 2 'X 15' TYPE: $\frac{5}{10}$ CMLPS - SCREEN: 2 'X 15' - SAND PACK: DF 17' MATERIAL: (MX2) SML54	•							
Driller Ken Phillips Street Address 633 Division License # 2652 Start Card R 70 6 39 Consulting Firm STEMEN_ENDERNINGLENTAL AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION RECORDERESURFACE SEAL: CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: CONCRETE S			— •					
License # 2852 Start Card R 70 (639 Consulting Firm STEMEN ENUIDEMENTAL AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: CON			32 T 21N R 3E					
Consulting Firm STEMEN EN UNDEMENTAL AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION AS-BUILT WELL DATA, FORMATION DESCRPTION Image: State of the s	DrillerKen Ph	illips Street A						
AS-BUILT WELL DATA, HONUMENT: S'FLOSH MONUMENT: S'FLOSH CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: CONCRETE SURFACE S	License #265	2 Start Ca	rd <u>K70639</u>					
$\frac{O-3}{FT}$ $\frac{O-3}{C} \frac{FT}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{FT}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{FT}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{FT}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{FT}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $\frac{FT}{C}$ $\frac{O-3}{C}$ $\frac{S-3}{C}$ $S-3$		Consulti	NG FIRM STEMEN ENVIORMENTAL					
$\frac{2 \pi s s hart t}{2}$ $\frac{3 - 54}{5}$ $\frac{5 \pi s s s s t}{5}$ $\frac{3 - 54}{5}$ $\frac{5 \pi s s s s t}{5}$ $\frac{3 - 54}{5}$ $\frac{5 \pi s s s s s t}{5}$ $\frac{3 - 54}{5}$ $\frac{5 \pi s s s s s s s t}{5}$ $\frac{3 - 54}{5}$ $5 \pi s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s $	AS-BUILT	WELL DATA .	FORMATION DESCRPITION					
		- CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: 2 FT - RISER: 2 * 52 - BACKFILL: 48 FT TYPE: 3/5" CHIPS - SCREEN: 2 * 15 TYPE: FACTORY FLOSH SLOT SIZE:	2"ASPHALT BROWN LOARSE SAND TGRAVEL 20-30/5 FINES (FILL) FT GREY TO BROWN DINTY FINE SAND VERY DENSE DRY DICERUN 20-30% FINES (TILL) <u>54-65FT</u> BROWN MOIST BROWN SAND MEDIUM DENSE 10-15% FINES <u>US-67 FT</u> CREY VERY DENSE GREY SILTY FINE SAND WITH CRAVELS (TILL)					

.

Signature

BOART LONGYEAR E & I MW-4**Resource Protection Well Report** Date 119108 Project Name Stadum Thirfburg NUS 1/2 SE 1/4 Weil Identification # BAM 164 County Place Drilling Method Senic Section <u>32</u> T. <u>21N</u> E JE Street Address <u>NIS</u> Driller ____ Thomas W. Croney N' TORCOUNT Ave Start Card & 70843 License #_____2409 Consulting Firm Stemen ENV AS-BUILT WELDATA FORMATION DESCRIPTION r MONUMENT TYPE: $^{+}$ 0.SV SEACE SEAL Med Dun til V- DENSK 52-61 t TVC BLANK 2 1448 compact Sond. Lt Burn - Neal cemit ήΩ. gravic BACKER M forte TYPE DUNK 41.65campait gray till FIC SCREEN 21WEW 15 funt 51.07 SIZE _ 1 D - FEE FLUSH GRAVELFACK 18 -MATERIAL 1020 SILCA ά. REMARKS 63.7 WELL DEFTH USI 1 " Signature Thomas W. Con

	BOART LON	NGYEA	ARE&I	
•	Resource Protect	ion Well F	Report	MW-5
Project Name Stadium Thr	iftway	Date	1-11-08	
Well Identification # 5AN	168			NW V. SE VA
Drilling Method			<u>32 r. 21</u>	
Driller Thomas Cro	ney			N. Throng AVE
License # 2409		Start Car	d <u>R 70822</u>	
	<u></u>	Consultin	ig Firm <u>STeinen</u>	Env,
AS-BUILT	* WELLDATA	r	FORMATION	DESCRIPTION
	MONUMENT TYPE: <u>Flush</u> CONCRETE SURFACE <u>3</u> PVC BLANK <u>J</u> VC BLANK	<u>50</u> <u>15</u> <u>15</u> <u>vread</u> <u>8</u> <u>-</u> <u>(i)</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u> <u>i</u>	<u>G</u> <u>-30</u> <u>Mild</u> <u>Brv</u> eom <u>Simd</u> <u>Med</u> : <u>30 - 42</u> <u>Med - dK</u> <u>Brn</u> <u>course</u> <u>Sau</u> <u>ueT</u> <u>42 - 57</u> <u>bied</u> <u>Brn</u> <u>T</u> <u>57 - 63</u> <u>med</u> <u>Brn</u> <u>E</u> <u>53 - 65</u> <u>med</u> <u>Brn</u> <u>T</u> <u>REMARKS</u>	pruls Ad T T L L L L L L L L L L L L L
				1 1-

Signature Whenthe Whe trans

	BOART LON	NGYEAR E & I				
, · •	Resource Protect	tion Well Report MW-6				
Project Name Stadium Th	niftway	Date8				
Well Identification # BAM	167	County Pierce UW 1/4 5E 1/4				
Drilling Method <u>Sonic</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Section 32 T. 21 N E 3E				
Driller Thomas Cra	net	Street Address N 12# + N Tocomit Auc				
License # <u>& 40 9</u>	1	Start Card <u>R 70822</u>				
		Consulting Firm <u>Stewnen</u> Eirv.				
AS-BUILT	* WELLDATA	FORMATION DESCRIPTION				
	MONUMENT TYPE: <u><u><u>F</u></u><u>J</u><u>J</u><u>J</u><u>J</u> CONCRETE SURFACE: <u>I</u><u>T</u> = VC ELANX <u>2</u><u>Y</u> <i>NEET CENJENT</i> EACKFIL <u>4</u> <u>TYPE Bentomite</u> = C SCREE. <u>2</u><u>Y</u> SLCT SICE <u>20</u> <u>TYPE F</u><u>J</u><u>YSh</u><u>T</u><u>M</u><u>Y</u> ORAVEL FACX <u>17</u> MATERIALOX20 <u>C</u><u>Y</u> WELL DEFTH <u>64</u></u>	$\frac{1}{41^{\prime}} = \frac{1}{60^{\circ}} = \frac{1}$				

Signature Montas W.

Conte

Holt Drilling A Division of Boart Longyear Company **Resource Protection Well Report** MW - 71.18.08 Date ___ Project Name STADIUM THRIFTWAY PIERCE NW 114 SE 114 Well Identification #_____Bam - \\\ County 32 T ZIN R 3E Drilling Method Some Hx6 Section Street Address N. 1St St + Tac hie Ken Phillips Driller ____ 10823 2652 Start Card License # Consulting FIRM STEMEN ENVIORNMENTAL FORMATION DESCRPITION AS-BUILT WELL DATA BAM-111 MONUMENT: 8 FWSH ASPHALT + BEQUA SAND AND GRAVEL RUAD DASE CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL: Д FT 1-50 FT BROWN SILTY SAND WITH RISER: 2 "x 50 . LARGE GRAVELS VERY DENSE MOIST SAND @ 25' (TILL) _____ FT __FT BACKFILL: TYPE: 3/4 CHIPS -50-65 FT BROLON OXIDIZED SAND-MEDIUM WET @ 55' FT SCREEN: 2 "x 15 ' FT TYPE: FACTORY FUDSH SLOT SIZE: _____ 0えひ - SAND PACK: ____ 18^{+1} MATERIAL: 10x20 SILICA REMARKS WELL DEPTH: 65'

Signature ______

MW-8

BOART LONGYEAR

Resource Protection Well Report

illing Method <u>Son i C</u> iller <u>Dribin</u> Ocinew conse #2997	<u></u>	Section <u>32</u> <u>T</u> <u>21N</u> <u>R</u> <u>3</u> <u>B</u> Street Address <u>N15+ N Ta Came Ave</u> Start Card <u>R 70843</u>
		Consulting Firm_ <u>Stemen</u>
AS-BUILT	WELL DATA	FORMATION DESCRIPTION
	MONUMENT TYPE. <u>flush</u> CONCRETE SURFACE SEA <u>i</u> PVC BLANK SS "x 2 BACKFILL <u>H9</u> TYPE: <u>34 beh chips</u> PVC SCREEN <u>10 nx 2</u> SLOT SIZE: <u>10</u> TYPE: <u>PUC</u> GRAVEL PACK <u>49 f</u> MATERIAL: <u>31/1ca Jan</u>	15 COLON SILLY SAND & CRAVERY PITT

.

			Ν	Non	itor	ing Well Construction Log				
					ct Numb	ber		Well Number	Sheet	
-				08	80190			MW-8D	1 of 3	
Project Name:	Walker Chev	rolet						Ground Surface Elev.	278.5	
Location:	Tacoma, WA	Crider Caria						Top of Casing Elev. Depth to Water	- 5/11/2009	<u>,</u>
Driller/Method:	Boart Longyear							Start/Finish Date	5/4/2009 - 5/6/2009	
Depth / Elevation Bo	prehole Completion	Sampla	ests	PID Blows/ Material (ppm) 6" Type				Description		Depth (ft)
(feet)	Flushmount			(PP)		XX		lacktop and concrete.		/
$1 + \frac{1}{277}$	monument, lockable							acuumed to 3'.		'+ 1
2 + 276	thermos cap, concrete seal 0'-1'						\times			+ 2 + 3
$4 + \frac{275}{1}$							IIIv	Qvi ery hard, slightly moist, light b	rown. slightly sandy.	- 4
$5 + \frac{274}{273}$		-					gi	ravelly SILT (ML); fine sand; couprounded.	oarse to fine gravel,	- 5
$6 + _{272}$							St	ubrounded.		- 6
7 + 8 + ²⁷¹										+ 7 - 8
$9 + \frac{270}{2}$							G	Grades to sandy.		- 9
$10 - \frac{269}{268}$	2" diameter, schedule	-								-10
11+267	40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-96'							Qvt		+11
12+266							V	ery hard, brown, slightly grave ne gravel, rounded.	lly, silty SAND (SM);	-12
13+ 14+ ²⁶⁵								ne gravel, rounded.		+13 +14
$15 + \frac{264}{2}$		-								-15
$16 + \frac{263}{262}$										-16
17+261										-17
18+ 19+ ²⁶⁰										+18 +19
$20 + \frac{259}{2}$	Hydrated bentonite	_								-20
21 - 258	chips, 1'-92'							rovolly		-21
$22 - \frac{257}{256}$								Gravelly.		-22
23+255										-23
24 - 254 25 - 254								lightly gravelly.		-24 -25
$26 + \frac{253}{2}$										-26
$27 - \frac{252}{251}$										-27
28+250										-28
$29 + \frac{230}{249}$ $30 + \frac{249}{249}$							H	lard, brown, slightly gravelly, v	ery sandy SILT (ML);	+29 -30
$31 + \frac{248}{31}$						H		ne gravel, rounded.		-31
$32 - \frac{247}{246}$										-32
33+245							$\ $			-33
34 - 244 35 - 244							H fir	lard, brown, slightly gravelly, von ne gravel, rounded.	ery silty SAND (SM);	-34 -35
35 - 243 36 - 243						K				-36
37 + 242										-37
$38 + \frac{241}{240}$								Qva loist, red-brown, slightly silty S		-38
39+239								and.	ירויש (טר׳), medium	-39
40+ 41+ ²³⁸							∵:[] Ti	race gravel.		+40 -41
42 - 237										-42
$43 - \frac{236}{235}$							· · :			-43
44+234										-44
45+233										-45
46+ 47+ ²³²										-46 -47
48 - 231										-48
$49 - \frac{230}{229}$										-49
Sampler Ty	/pe:	F	PID - Pho	toioniza	ation De	tecto	r	Logged by:	DFR	
O No Recovery		<u> </u>	Stat	ic Wate	r Level			Approved b		
Continuous Co	ore	Ā	- Wate	er Level	I (ATD)				у. ЛЦІ	
								Figure No.		

MONITORING WELL STADIUM THRIFTWAY GPJ July 7, 2016

Mcnoct					Ν	<i>l</i> onit	ing Well Construction Log		
					ct Numb 80190	ber	Well Number MW-8D	Sheet 2 of 3	
Project Name:	Walker Chev			00	50150		Ground Surface Elev.	278.5	
Location:	Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev.	278.11	
Driller/Method:	Boart Longyear	Spider Sonic					Depth to Water	- 5/11/2009)
Sampling Method:	Continuous Core						Start/Finish Date	5/4/2009 - 5/6/2009	
Depth / Elevation Bo (feet)	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests					l	Depth (ft)
Elevation (feet) Bo 51 + 227 52 + 226 53 + 225 54 + 225	<pre> vector provide Completion vector filter pack, 92'-120' vector filter pack, ve</pre>	Sample Type/ID	Tests				Description Wet. Brown. Qob Very hard, moist, brown, sandy non-plastic. Brown, slightly gravelly, very si non-plastic. Dark blue, slightly sandy SILT of Dry, gray, silty, very gravelly S/	/, silty GRAVEL (GM); Ity SAND (SM); (ML); trace gravel.	Depth (ft) -51 -52 -53 -54 -55 -56 -57 -58 -59 -60 -61 -62 -63 -64 -62 -63 -64 -65 -66 -67 -71 -72 -73 -74 -72 -73 -74 -75 -76 -77 -78 -79 -80 -81 -72 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -70 -71 -78 -79 -78 -79 -70 -71 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -73 -74 -75 -76 -77 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -73 -74 -75 -76 -77 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -77 -78 -77 -78 -77 -78 -77 -78 -77 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -77 -78 -77 -78 -77 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -77 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -78 -77 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -78 -77 -78 -79 -78 -77 -78 -77 -78 -79 -78 -77 -78 -77 -78 -79 -70 -71 -72 -77 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -77 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -78 -79 -78 -79 -777 -777
87 - 191 88 - 190 89 - 189 90 - 189 90 - 188 91 - 187 92 - 186 93 - 185 94 - 184 95 - 183 96 - 182 97 - 181	2" diameter, 10-slot, schedule 40 PVC screen, 96'-106'						Trace cobbles, subrounded. Very hard, dry, blue gray, sand (GM).		- 87 - 88 - 89 - 90 - 91 - 92 - 93 - 94 - 95 - 96 - 97
98 + ¹⁸¹							Loose, slightly moist, brown, gr (SM).	ravelly, very silty SAND	-98 -99
Sampler Ty	pe:		PID - Pho	toioniza	ation De	tector	Logged by	: DFR	
O No Recovery	ore						Approved	by: ALN	
			- vvate	ei Leve	I (ATD)		Figure No.		

MONITORING WELL STADIUM THRIFTWAY.GPJ July 7, 2016

Managet							Monit	ing Well Construction Log		
				-		ect Num 80190	ber	Well Number MW-8D	Sheet 3 of 3	
Project Na	me:	Walker Chev			•			Ground Surface Elev.	278.5	
Location:		Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev.	278.11	
Driller/Met	hod:	Boart Longyear /	Spider Soni	с				Depth to Water	- 5/11/200	9
Sampling	Method	: Continuous Core						Start/Finish Date	5/4/2009 - 5/6/2009	
Depth / Elevation (feet)	Вс	orehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Dep (ft
101-178										-10
102-177								Hard, dry, dark blue gray, grave	ally sandy SILT (ML)	
103								Thank, ary, dank blue gray, grave	sily, sundy sier (me).	+10
104+										+10
105- 173 106-								Hard, dry, light gray, silty, very	aravelly SAND (SM):	10 10
100 107- ¹⁷²								fine sand; fine to coarse gravel.		
107 171 108	::=::::									+10
109										+10
110-169		₽ I								+11
111-100								Loose, wet, brown, slightly silty	SAND (SP). fine sand	-+11
112+ ₁₆₆		1 5/11/2009							o,	+11
113- 165										+11
114 + 115+ ¹⁶⁴										11- 11
116 ⁻¹⁶³		Threaded PVC endcap						Hard, dry, light gray, silty, very	gravelly SAND (SM);	+11
117- ¹⁶²								fine sand.		+11
118 ¹⁶¹										-11
119 ¹⁶⁰ 159										+1'
120-159	<u></u>							Boring terminated 120 ft BGS.	Depth to perched water	-+12
121+								was 55 ft BGS ATD. Depth to w	vater table at 112.56 ft	+12
122+								BGS on 5/11/2009.		+12
123- ¹⁰⁰										+12
124- 125- 125-										+12 +12
125 126										+12
127										+12
128										+12
129- ¹⁵⁰ 149										+12
130+ 148										+13
131+ 147										+13
132+ 133+ 133+										+13 +13
133 134 134										
135- ¹⁴⁴										+13
136										-13
137+										+1;
141 138- 140										+1:
139-140										+1;
140-138										+1
141+										+14
142+ 142- ¹³⁶										+14 +14
143+ 135 144+										[]
145										+14
146										-14
147+										-14
148										+14
149										+14
	npler Ty	/pe:		PID -	Photoioniz	ation De	etector	Logged by	DFR	
O No Re	covery			Ţ	Static Wate	er Level				
Contin	uous C	ore			Water Leve			Approved I	by: ALN	
								Figure No.		

			Ν	<i>l</i> lonit	ing Well Construction Log				
	Aspec		Pi		ct Numb		Well Number	Sheet	
				30	30190		MW-9	1 of 2 279.5	
Project Name: Location:	Walker Chev	rolet					Ground Surface Elev.	Top of Casing Elev. 278.78	
Driller/Method:	Tacoma, WA Boart Longyear /	Spider Sonic					Depth to Water	- 5/11/2009	
	Continuous Core						Start/Finish Date	5/5/2009	
Depth /	rehole Completion	Sample Test		ID om)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)
279				,			Blacktop and concrete.	/	<u>г</u>
	Flushmount monument, lockable thermos cap						Blacktop and concrete. Vacuumed to 5'. Qvi Slightly moist, gray blue, grave Dry, light brown, very gravelly, Brown, slightly moist, gravelly, Dry, light gray. Very dense, slightly moist, gray Dry, dark gray blue, sandy SIL Slightly moist, brown, gravelly, fine to medium sand, predomir Grades to trace gravel. Moist. Very gravelly. Trace gravel. Qva Loose, moist, dark brown-red S fine to medium sand, predomir subrounded. Grades to slightly silty.	sandy SILT (ML) silty SAND (SM). y blue. T (ML), trace gravel. very silty SAND (SM); antly fine.	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -5 \\ -6 \\ -7 \\ -8 \\ -9 \\ -10 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -13 \\ -14 \\ -15 \\ -16 \\ -17 \\ -18 \\ -19 \\ -21 \\ -22 \\ -23 \\ -24 \\ -25 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -30 \\ -31 \\ -32 \\ -33 \\ -34 \\ -35 \\ -36 \\ -37 \\ -38 \\ -39 \\ -40 \\ -41 \\ -42 \\ -43 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -47 \\ -48 \\ -48 \\ -47 \\ -48 \\ -4$
$49 + \frac{231}{230}$									-49
Sampler Ty	pe:	LI PIC) - Photoio	niza	ation De	tector	Logged by	: DFR	L
No Recovery		Ţ	Static W						
Continuous Co	bre	⊥ ∑	Water Le				Approved		
							Figure No.		

MONITORING WELL STADIUM THRIFTWAY.GPJ July 7, 2016

N Acrost						Ν	/lonit	ing Well Construction Log		
	Aspec	CT .	-			ct Numb	ber	Well Number	Sheet	
					08	30190		MW-9	2 of 2	
Project Name:	Walker Chev	rolet						Ground Surface Elev.	279.5	
Location:	Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev.	278.78	
Driller/Method:	Boart Longyear /		nic					Depth to Water	- 5/11/2009)
Sampling Method	: Continuous Core	;						Start/Finish Date	5/5/2009	
Elevation Bo (feet)	orehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests		PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)
229										54
$51 + \frac{228}{228}$ $52 + \frac{228}{227}$	Hydrated bentonite									-51 -52
$53 + \frac{227}{2}$	chips, 30'-57'							Grades to gravelly.		-53
$54 + \frac{226}{2}$	⊻5/5/2009							Wet.		-54
$55 - \frac{225}{224}$		-						Wel.		-55
56+223										-56
57 - 58 - ²²²	10/20 sand filter pack,							No gravel.		-57 -58
59 - 221	57'-70'									-59
$60 + \frac{220}{220} + \frac{1}{20} + \frac$		_								-60
										-61
62+ ₂₁₇										-62
63+ ₂₁₆ [∴⊟∴										-63
64 - 215 65 - 215	2" diameter, 10-slot, schedule 40 PVC									-64 -65
66 - ²¹⁴	screen, 60'-70'									-66
$67 + \frac{213}{213}$										-67
$68 - \frac{212}{211}$										-68
69+ ₂₁₀										-69
70 - 209	Threaded PVC endcap							Boring terminted 70' BGS. Dept	h to water was 54 ft	+70 -71
71 + 208 72 + 208								BGS ATD. Well was dry on 5/1	1/2009.	72
73 + 207										-73
$74 - \frac{206}{205}$										-74
75+204										-75
76+203										-76
$77 + \frac{202}{78 + 202}$										-77 -78
79 - 201										-79
$80 + \frac{200}{199}$										-80
81+										-81
82+										-82
83+ ¹⁹⁶ 84+ ¹⁹⁶										-83 -84
85 - 195										-85
86 - 194										-86
$87 - \frac{193}{192}$										-87
88+										-88
89+										-89
90 + ¹⁸⁹ 91 + ¹⁸⁹										-90 -91
$92 + \frac{188}{9}$										-92
93 - 187										-93
$94 - \frac{186}{185}$										-94
95+										-95
96+										-96
$97 + \frac{182}{182}$ $98 - \frac{182}{181}$										-97 -98
$99 + \frac{181}{181}$										-99
180								1 10		
Sampler Ty	ybe:					ation De	tector	Logged by:	DFR	
O No Recovery	ore		¥ ⊻	Static				Approved b	y: ALN	
			<u>-¥</u> -	Water	Level	(ATD)		Figure No.		
I L								Figure No.		

MONITORING WELL STADIUM THRIFTWAY.GPJ July 7, 2016

			Ν	Ionit	oring Well Construction Log						
	Aspec	T		-	ct Numb	ber	Well Number	Sheet			
Designed Name	Walker Chev			0	80190		MW-10	1 of 2 280			
Project Name:		lolet					Ground Surface Elev.	280			
Location: Driller/Method:	Tacoma, WA	Crider Cerie					Top of Casing Elev. Depth to Water	- 5/11/2009			
	Boart Longyear / Continuous Core						Start/Finish Date	5/7/2009			
Depth / Elevation Bo	orehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)		
(feet)					Ŭ		VPP VBlacktop and concrete.				
$\begin{array}{c} 1 & -279 \\ 2 & -278 \\ 3 & -277 \\ 4 & -276 \\ 5 & -275 \\ 6 & -274 \\ 7 & -273 \\ 8 & -272 \\ 9 & -271 \\ 10 & -270 \\ 11 & -269 \\ 12 & -268 \\ 13 & -267 \\ 14 & -266 \\ 15 & -265 \\ 16 & -264 \\ 17 & -263 \\ 18 & -262 \\ 19 & -261 \\ 20 & -260 \\ 21 & -259 \\ 22 & -258 \\ 23 & -257 \\ 24 & -256 \\ 25 & -255 \\ 26 & -254 \\ 27 & -253 \\ 28 & -252 \\ 29 & -251 \\ 30 & -250 \\ 31 & -249 \\ 32 & -248 \\ 33 & -247 \\ 34 & -246 \\ 35 & -245 \\ 36 & -244 \\ 37 & -243 \\ 38 & -242 \\ 39 & -241 \\ 40 & -240 \\ 41 & -239 \\ 42 & -238 \\ 43 & -237 \\ 44 & -236 \\ 45 & -235 \\ 46 & -234 \\ 47 & -233 \\ 48 & -232 \\ 49 & -231 \\ \end{array}$	Flushmount monument, lockable thermos cap Quickrite portland cement, 0'-41' 2" diameter, schedule 40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-60' Hydrated bentonite chips, 41'-56'11"						Qvi Medium dense, wet, dark brown gravelly SAND (SP); fine to coal gravel, rounded. Medium dense, mosit, gray purp SAND (SM); fine to coarse sand subrounded. Dry to slightly moist, brown to da Loose, moist, dark brown, slight (SP); predominantly medium to gravel, subrounded. Medium dense, dry, gray purple bol Medium dense, slightly moist, y gravelly, very silty SAND (SM); it to coarse gravel, subrounded. Very stiff, dry to slightly moist, b sandy SILT (ML); fine to coarse gravel, subrounded. Medium dense, slightly moist, d gravelly SAND (SP); fine to coarse gravel, subrounded. Medium dense, slightly moist, d very gravelly SAND (SP); predo coarse sand; fine to coarse gravel subrounded. Medium dense, slightly moist, d very gravelly SAND (SP); predo coarse gravel, subrounded. Medium dense, dry to slightly moist, (SP); predominantly motist, yell silty, sandy GRAVEL (GM); fine coarse gravel, subrounded. Medium dense, dry to slightly mo to coarse gravel, subrounded, incr depth. Medium dense, dry to slightly mo sand; fine gravel, subangular to Gradational decrease in silt. Beavery gravelly SAND (SP). Loose to medium dense, gravel Medium dense, red-brown, grav gravelly SAND (SM); fine to coa gravel, subrounded. Loose, very silty, no gravel. Medium dense, red-brown, grav Medium dense, red-brown, grav Sightly gravelly SAND (SP). Loose to medium dense, gravel Medium dense, red-brown, grav Sightly gravelly fine gravel. Medium dense, red-brown, grav Sightly gravelly SAND (SM); fine to coa gravel, subrounded. Loose, very silty, no gravel. Medium dense, red-brown, grav Sightly gravelly; fine gravel. Gravelly lense. Gravelly lense. Gravelly lense.	rse sand; fine to coarse ple, silty, very gravelly d; fine to coarse gravel, ark brown. dy silty, gravelly SAND coarse sand; fine oist, fine to coarse ulder. ellow-red to dark brown, fine to coarse sand; fine prown, gravelly, very sand; fine to coarse ark brown, silty, very rse sand; fine to coarse ark brown, slightly silty, minantly medium to /el, subrounded. ow-red to dark brown, to coarse sand; fine to oist, yellow-red to dark /ery gravelly SAND coarse sand; fine to easing gravel with oist, yellow-red to dark 0 (SM); fine to coarse subrounded. comes slightly silty, ly. ellow-red, silty, very rse sand; fine to coarse relly.	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 111 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 101 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 33 \\ 4 \\ 35 \\ 33 \\ 40 \\ 142 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 4$		
Sampler Ty	/pe:		PID - Pho	otoioniza	ation De	tector	Logged by:	JMS			
O No Recovery				ic Wate	er Level		.				
Continuous Co	ore		∇		I (ATD)		Approved b	y: ALN			
							Figure No.				

MONITORING WELL STADIUM THRIFTWAY.GPJ July 8, 2016
	Aspec	~#		D*-!			oring Well Construction		
				-		ber	Well Number MW-10	Sheet 2 of 2	
Project Name:	Walker Chev				00100		Ground Surface Elev.	280	
ocation:	Tacoma, WA	10101					Top of Casing Elev.	279.45	
Driller/Method:		/ Spidor Sonic					Depth to Water	- 5/11/2009)
	d: Continuous Core						Start/Finish Date	5/7/2009	-
Depth /	1: Continuous Core	e 						5/1/2003	
Elevation B (feet)	orehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		De (1
51-229	Hydrated bentonite						Loose, moist. perdominantly medi	um to coarse sand.	+5
52 - 228	chips, 41'-56'11"								+5
53-227									+5
54-226									+5
55-225	∑5/7/2009	_						u u a al a u a iva a u thu	+5
56-224								predominantiy	+5
57-223								ghtly gravelly.	+5
58-222	10/20 sand filter pack,								+5
59-221	56'11"-70'						Black fine to medium sand		+5
50+220		-						st to wet, brown	+6
51+219 -	•				SAND (SP); no silt, no gravel.		+6		
2+218	-					Material Type Description Loose, moist. perdominantly medium to coarse sand Image: Coarse sand Medium dense, wet, trace gravel; predominantly medium sand; fine gravel. Red-brown with black staining, slightly gravelly. Image: Coarse sand Black, fine to medium sand. Loose to medium dense, very moist to wet, brown Image: Coarse sand		+6	
3+217	-		Project Number 080190 et older Sonic ample Tests PID Blows/ Mater ge/ID Blows/ Mater Type				+6		
4+216	2" diameter, 10-slot, schedule 40 PVC								+6
5-215 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	screen, 60'-70'								+6
									+6
57 + 213	-								+6
8+212	•								+6 + 6
69+211	Threaded PVC endcap							ightly clayey; fine to	
71+209								ightly gravelly clavey	/+7 +7
72 – 208	Natural backfill, 70'-75'						SAND (SC); predominantly fine to	medium sand; fine	⊢ ′
73+207	•								/ -7
74 +206	•						(CNA), fine to compare could fine and		l
75 - 205	4					80000	subrounded.	,	∦-7
76-204									₽7
77-203							coarse gravel, subrounded.	coarse sand; fine to	<u> </u> +7
78+202 79+201) Well was dry on	+7 +7
30+200 30+200									
30 200 31 - 199									-8
32-198									+8
3-197									+8
4-196									+8
85-195									+8
86-194									+8
7-193									+8
8-192									+8
9-191									+8
0+190									+9
1-189									+9
2-188									+9
3-187									+9
4 - 186									+9
5-185									+9
6-184									+9
7-183									+9
8+182 9+181									
				 ,			1	IMS	
Sampler T No Recovery						tector	Logged by:	JMS	
Continuous C				tic Wate ter Leve			Approved by:	ALN	
-			- vva	ei reve					

		-1			Ν	/loni	itoriı	ng Well Constructi	on Log	
	Aspec			-	ct Numb			Well Number	Sheet	
D				08	80190			MW-11	1 of 2	
Project Name:	Walker Chev	rolet						Ground Surface Elev.	279	
Location:	Tacoma, WA							_ Top of Casing Elev.	- 5/12/2009	
Driller/Method:	Boart Longyear /	•						_ Depth to Water Start/Finish Date	5/8/2009	
Depth /	I: Continuous Core			PID	Blows/	Matari			0/0/2000	Denth
Elevation Bo (feet)	orehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	(ppm)	6"	Materi Type		Description		Depth (ft)
$\begin{array}{c} 1 & -278 \\ 2 & -277 \\ 3 & -276 \\ 4 & -275 \\ 5 & -274 \\ 6 & -273 \\ 7 & -272 \\ 8 & -271 \\ 9 & -270 \\ 10 & -269 \\ 11 & -268 \\ 12 & -267 \\ 13 & -266 \\ 14 & -265 \\ 15 & -264 \\ 16 & -263 \\ 17 & -262 \\ 18 & -261 \\ 19 & -260 \\ 20 & -259 \\ 21 & -258 \\ 22 & -257 \\ 23 & -256 \\ 24 & -255 \\ 25 & -254 \\ 26 & -253 \\ 27 & -252 \\ 28 & -251 \\ 29 & -250 \\ 30 & -249 \\ 31 & -248 \\ 32 & -247 \\ 33 & -246 \\ 34 & -245 \\ 35 & -244 \\ 36 & -243 \\ 37 & -242 \\ 38 & -241 \\ 39 & -240 \\ 40 & -239 \\ 41 & -238 \\ 42 & -237 \\ 43 & -236 \\ 44 & -235 \\ 45 & -234 \\ 46 & -233 \\ 47 & -232 \\ 48 & -231 \\ 49 & -230 \\ \end{array}$	Flushmount monument, lockable thermos cap, concrete seal 0'-1' 2" diameter, schedule 40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-53' Hydrated bentonite chips, 1'-49'11"		PID - Pho	otoioniza	ation De	− − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	We coa Slig We coa Slig Ver Cob Slig Coa Sal Coa Sal Coa Sal Coa Sal Coa Sal Coa Sal Coa Coa Sal Coa Coa Sal Coa Coa Sal Coa Coa Coa Coa Coa Coa Coa Coa Coa Coa	, brown, trace to slightly silty	ty SAND (SM); fine to dy GRAVEL (GM); dy GRAVEL (GM); dy GRAVEL (GM); fine ravel. andy GRAVEL (GM); fine ravel. andy GRAVEL (GM). (, gravelly, very silty beds avel. ave	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -8 \\ -9 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -13 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -13 \\ -14 \\ -15 \\ -16 \\ -17 \\ -18 \\ -9 \\ -21 \\ -22 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -33 \\ -33 \\ -33 \\ -33 \\ -33 \\ -33 \\ -38 \\ -39 \\ -41 \\ -42 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -47 \\ -48 \\ -48 \\ -47 \\ -48 \\ -4$
No Recovery		-	Stat	ic Wate	r Level			Approved b	w AI N	ſ
Continuous C	ore	<u>-</u>	⊻ Wate	er Leve	I (ATD)			Figure No.	/y. / \L.I ¥	
·										

		-1			Ν	/lonit	oring Well Construct	ion Log	
	Aspec	CT			ect Numb	ber	Well Number	Sheet	
				0	80190		MW-11	2 of 2	
Project Name:		rolet					Ground Surface Elev.	279 278.52	
Location:	Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev.	- 5/12/2009	<u> </u>
Driller/Method:		•					Depth to Water	5/8/2009	9
Depth /					D (Start/Finish Date	5/0/2009	
Elevation (feet)	Borehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Materia Type	Description		Dept (ft)
$\begin{array}{c} 51 - 228 \\ 52 - 227 \\ 53 - 226 \\ 54 - 225 \\ 55 - 224 \\ 56 - 223 \\ 57 - 222 \\ 58 - 221 \\ 59 - 220 \\ 60 - 219 \\ 61 - 218 \\ 62 - 217 \\ 63 - 216 \\ 64 - 215 \\ 65 - 214 \\ 66 - 213 \\ 67 - 212 \\ 68 - 211 \\ 69 - 210 \\ 70 - 209 \\ 71 - 208 \\ 72 - 207 \\ 73 - 206 \\ 74 - 205 \\ 75 - 204 \\ 76 - 203 \\ 77 - 202 \\ 78 - 201 \\ 79 - 200 \\ 80 - 199 \\ 81 - 198 \\ 82 - 197 \\ 83 - 196 \\ 84 - 195 \\ 85 - 194 \\ 86 - 193 \\ 87 - 192 \\ 88 - 191 \\ 89 - 190 \\ 90 - 189 \\ 91 - 188 \\ 92 - 187 \\ 93 - 186 \\ 94 - 185 \\ 95 - 184 \\ 96 - 183 \\ 97 - 182 \\ 98 - 181 \\ 99 - 180 \\ \end{array}$	10/20 sand filter pack, 49'11"-63' 2" diameter, 10-slot, schedule 40 PVC screen, 53'-63' Threaded PVC endcap Natural backfill, 63'-70'					$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	Wet. Gravelly. Trace gravel to slightly gravelly Wet, red-brown, interbedded si silty SAND (SM). Wet, brown, silty SAND (SM); f Wet, brown, slightly silty, grave coarse sand. Qob Slightly moist, gray, very sandy (GM). Moist, brown. Slightly moist, light brown, sand Grades to slightly moist, gray, s SILT (ML); with wood. Boring terminated 70 ft BGS. D ft BGS on 5/12/2009.	Ity SAND and slightly ine sand. Ily SAND (SP); fine to r, very silty GRAVEL dy. slightly sandy, gravelly repth to water was 52.20	- 51 - 52 - 53 - 54 - 55 - 56 - 57 - 58 - 59 - 60 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 66 - 67 - 73 - 74 - 73 - 74 - 73 - 74 - 77 - 78 - 79 - 80 - 71 - 72 - 73 - 74 - 77 - 78 - 88 - 83 - 88 - 89 - 90 - 91 - 92 - 93 - 99 - 99 - 99 - 99 - 99 - 99 - 99
Sampler			PID - Ph	otoioniz	ation De	tector	Logged by	: JTL	
O No Recover	y		⊈ Sta	tic Wate	er Level		٨		
Continuous	Core		-	ter Leve			Approved I	by: ALN	
							Figure No.		

Project Name: Location: Driller/Method:	Walker Chev				ect Numl 80190		Oring Well Construction Well Number MW-12D	Sheet 1 of 3	
Location:	Walker Chev			0	80190		MW-12D	1 of 3	
Location:		/rolet							
	Walker Chevrolet Tacoma, WA Boart Longyear / Spider Som d: Continuous Core Borehole Completion Flushmount monument, thermos cap Concrete seal, 0'-5.5' Hydrated bentonite chips, 5.5'-110' 2" diameter, Sch 40 PVC, 0.4'-113'						Ground Surface Elev.	278	
Driller/Method:							Top of Casing Elev.	277.72 - 10/29/2010	•
	0,	•							0
	d: Continuous Core	e				1	Start/Finish Date	0/25/2010 - 10/27/2010	
Depth / Elevation B (feet)		Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Dept (ft)
1 - 277 $2 - 276$ $3 - 275$ $4 - 274$ $5 - 273$ $6 - 272$ $7 - 271$ $8 - 270$ $9 - 269$ $10 - 268$ $11 - 267$ $12 - 266$ $13 - 265$ $14 - 264$ $15 - 263$ $16 - 262$ $17 - 261$ $18 - 260$ $19 - 259$ $20 - 258$ $21 - 257$ $22 - 256$ $23 - 255$ $24 - 254$ $25 - 253$ $26 - 252$ $27 - 251$ $28 - 250$ $29 - 249$ $30 - 248$ $31 - 247$ $32 - 246$ $33 - 245$ $34 - 244$ $35 - 243$ $36 - 242$ $37 - 241$ $38 - 240$ $39 - 239$ $40 - 238$ $41 - 237$ $42 - 236$ $43 - 235$ $44 - 234$ $45 - 233$ $46 - 232$ $47 - 231$ $48 - 230$ $49 - 229$	 monument, thermos cap Concrete seal, 0'-5.5' Hydrated bentonite chips, 5.5'-110' 2" diameter, Sch 40 PVC, 0.4'-113' 			Photoicol			Air Vacuum - No Recovery Qvi Dry, gray-blue, slightly gravelly, gravel; fine to medium sand Dark brown, gravelly, very sandy coarse gravel (2") Gray-blue/dark brown, slightly gravelly to coarse gravel (2.5"), rounded to su coarse gravel (2"), rounded to medium sand; with dark gray, slig gravelly, very sandy SILT (ML) la Qvt Dry, brown/light gray, slity, very fine to coarse gravel (2"); fine to Red-brown/light gray, slightly gravelly fine to coarse gravel (2"); fine to Red-brown/light gray, slightly gravelly fine to coarse gravel (2"); fine to Red-brown, gravelly, very silty SAND (SM) Light gray with red-brown mottlin SAND (SM); fine gravel; predom sand Dark brown, gravelly, very silty SAND (SP); tra- gravel, rounded, predominantly in Dry, dark brown, slightly gravelly fine gravel, subrounded; fine to medium Dry, dark brown, slightly gravelly fine gravel, subrounded; fine to medium Dry, dark brown, slightly gravelly fine to coarse gravel (1"); predominantly fine to coarse gravel (3"); mediut Dark brown/yellow-red, gravelly Coarse gravel (3"); mediut Dark brown, gravelly SAND (SP); medium Slightly gravelly SAND (SP); medium Dark brown, gravelly SAND (SP); medium Dark brown, gravelly SAND (SP); medium Slightly gravelly SAND (SP); medium Dark brown, gravelly SAND (SP); medium Dark brown, gravelly SAND (SP); medium Dark brown, gravelly SAND (SP); medium Slightly gravelly S	 y SILT (ML); fine to ravelly, sandy SILT y, SAND (SP-SM); fine to abrounded; fine to AVEL (GW); fine to abrounded; fine to AVEL (GW); fine to gravelly SAND (SP-SM); fine gravelly SAND (SM); coarse sand avelly, very silty SAND ng, slightly gravelly, silty inantly fine to medium SAND (SM) ce gravel and silt; fine medium sand xAND (SP); fine to y salty SAND (SM); coarse sand avelly, very silty SAND ng, slightly gravelly, silty inantly fine to medium SAND (SM) (SM) <	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -8 \\ -9 \\ -10 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -11 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -11 \\ -1$
Sampler T				Photoioniz	ation De	tector	Logged by:	JIVIS	
			. ₹ s						
O No Recovery			∇	Static Wate Vater Leve			Approved b	y: ALN	

	Acne	ct		D!					
	CONSULT			-	ect Numl 80190	ber	Well Number MW-12D		
roject Name:	Walker Che			0	00190		Ground Surface Elev.		
ocation:	Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev.		
	<i>`</i>						Depth to Water (ft BGS)		0
riller/Method:	Boart Longyea		С						
Depth /	I: Continuous Co				Diama (
levation Bo (feet)	orehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		De (
1-227							Medium to coarse sand		+5
2-226							Slightly gravelly SAND (SP); fine to (1.5"); predominantly medium sand		+5
3-225									+5
4-224									+5
5-223							Trace silt; fine gravel		+5
6-222									+5
7-221									_+:
3+220							Dry, dark brown, silty SAND (SM); t fine to medium sand	race fine gravel;	+
9-219									;
0-218							Dry, dark brown SAND (SP); mediu	2 of 3 e Elev. 278 Elev. 277.72 r (ft BGS) - 10/29/201 te 10/25/2010 - 10/27/2010 Description 0 Dod (SP); fine to coarse gravel medium sand SAND (SM); trace fine gravel; D (SP); medium sand SAND (SM); trace fine gravel; D (SP); medium sand dod o(SP); medium sand dod o(SP); medium sand dod o(SP); medium sand dod o(SP); medium sand docoarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse gravel fine to coarse gravel (2"); fine to gray, slightly silty, very gravelly o coarse gravel (2"); medium to very sandy GRAVEL (GM); fine to to coarse gravel (1.5"); fine to coarse sand gravelly SAND (SM); fine to ocoarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse sand wered, silty, very gravelly SAND orown, slightly gravelly SAND orowred,	+
1-217				1			•		+
2-216				1			.]		t
8-215				1					Ē
-214 5-213				1			1		ţ
-212									+
7-211							Gravelly SAND (SP); trace silt; fine	to coarse gravel	Ļ
3-210							(3"), subrounded; medium to coarse		-
-209									+
-208									+
1-207							Gob Slightly moist, dark brown, slightly s	silty, verv sandv	+;
2-206						R. R.	GRAVEL (GW-GM); fine to coarse		+:
3-205							coarse sand]+;
4–204 5–203							SAND (SP-SM); fine to coarse grav	vel (2"); medium to	ļ,
6+202 7+201							Wet, red-brown, silty, very sandy G to coarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse		ļ,
8-200	Σ						Wet, yellow-red, silty, gravelly SAN coarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse sa		$\int \frac{1}{2}$
9-199							Moist/very moist, dark brown, slight	ly silty, very gravelly	/†:
0+198 I+197							SAND (SP-SM); fine to coarse grav	el (1.5"); fine to	Į,
2-196 3-195							Moist/very moist, yellow-red, silty, v (SM); fine to coarse gravel (2"); fine		<u> </u>
4-194							Moist/very moist, yellow-red, silty, v	very sandy GRAVEL	1
5-193									4
6-192				1			(SP); fine gravel; predominantly me		1
7-191				1			Wet, dark brown, slightly silty, grave	elly SAND (SP-SM);	╎┼
8-190				1			fine to coarse gravel (2"); predomin		1
-189				1			coarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse sa		Æ
)-188		H		1			silty, SAND (SP-SM) lense (6")		╢
1 – 187 2 – 186							Wet, dark brown, silty, very gravelly coarse gravel (1"); predominantly c		
2+186 3+185				1			Dry, gray SILT (ML)		
-185							Red-brown slightly gravelly, slightly fine gravel; fine to medium sand	sandy SILT (ML);	F
5-183							Dry, brown, gravelly, very silty SAN fine to coarse sand	278 277.72 - 10/29/2011 0/25/2010 - 10/27/2010 e to coarse gravel and /); trace fine gravel; edium sand tly silty, very sandy se gravel (2"); fine to ntly silty, very gravelly gravel (2"); medium to y GRAVEL (GM); fine rse sand AND (SM); fine to e sand ghtly silty, very gravelly gravel (2"); medium to y GRAVEL (GM); fine rse sand AND (SM); fine to e sand ghtly silty, very gravelly gravel (1.5"); fine to e sand y, very gravelly SAND fine to coarse sand y, very sandy GRAVEL); fine to coarse sand htly gravelly SAND medium sand ravelly SAND (SP-SM); minantly medium sand RAVEL (GM); fine to e sand; with slightly relly SAND (SM); fine to a sand; with slightly relly SAND (SM); fine to a sand; with slightly fine to coarse sand htly sandy SILT (ML); AND (SM); fine gravel; andy SILT (ML); fine to avelly SAND (SP-SM); to coarse sand JMS	
6+182 7+181							Dry, dark brown, gravelly, very sand	dy SILT (ML); fine to]+ ! - !
8+180 9+179							coarse gravel; fine to coarse sand Yellow-red, slightly silty, very grave		9 ــ ل 9 ــ ل
				·	-		fine to coarse gravel (2.5"); fine to c	,	<u>`</u>
Sampler Ty No Recovery	ype:		PID - Pho ▼ Stat			tector	Logged by:	OWIC	
Continuous C	ore			tic Wate er Leve			Approved by: A	ALN	
-			– vval	ы сеуе					

Project Name: Walker Cr Location:						. 4	
Project Name: Walker Cl Location: Tacoma, W Driller/Method: Boart Longye Sampling Method: Continuous of Depth / Borehole Completion (feet) Borehole Completion 101-177 102-176 103-175 104-174 105-173 104-174 105-173 104-174 105-173 10/20 filter pack, 110-168 10/20 filter pack, 111-167 110-168 113-165 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-165 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-165 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-162 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-163 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-163 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-161 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-162 2" diameter, 10-slot 113-163 2" diameter, 10-slot 122-156 2" diameter, 10-slot 123-152 2" diameter, 10-slot 124-154 2" diameter, 10-slot 133-145 2" diameter, 10-slot 133-145 2" 10/26/2010 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>ect Numb 80190</th><th>ber</th><th>Well Number Shee MW-12D 3 of</th><th></th><th></th></td<>			ect Numb 80190	ber	Well Number Shee MW-12D 3 of		
Joint of the second secon		00	00190			278	
Boart Longy ampling Method: Boart Longy $ampling Method:$ Continuous ($Depth / Elevation (reet)$ Borehole Completion ($01 - 177$ $02 - 176$ $03 - 175$ $04 - 174$ $05 - 173$ $06 - 172$ $07 - 171$ $08 - 170$ $09 - 169$ $10/20$ filter pack, $11 - 167$ $110 - 134.5^\circ$ $12 - 166$ $13 - 165$ $13 - 165$ 2° diameter, $10 - slot$ $14 - 164$ 13° $16 - 162$ 13° $17 - 161$ 13° $18 - 160$ 13° $20 - 158$ $21 - 157$ $22 - 156$ $23 - 155$ $24 - 154$ $47 - 151$ $27 - 151$ $10/20/2010$ $29 - 149$ $10/29/2010$ $30 - 148$ $9 - 139$ $31 - 147$ $44 - 134$ $35 - 143$ $44 - 134$ $44 - 134$ $44 - 134$						77.72	
Ampling Method: Continuous (Depth / Elevation (feet) Borehole Completion 01-177 02-176 03-175 04-174 05-173 06-172 07-171 08-170 09-169 10/20 filter pack, 110-168 10/20 filter pack, 111-167 10/20 filter pack, 112-166 10/20 filter pack, 113-165 2" diameter, 10-slot 14-164 55 40 PVC screer 13-165 2" diameter, 10-slot 14-164 55 40 PVC screer 13-165 2" diameter, 10-slot 18-160 113'-133' 19-159 20-158 21-157 22-156 23-155 24-154 24-154 25-153 26-152 27-151 27-151 210/26/2010 28-150 29-149 30-148 414 31-147 414 32-146 414 33-145 414 36-142 414 37-141 414 38-140 39-139						/29/2010	
Depth / Elevation (feet) Borehole Completion 01-177 Borehole Completion 01-177 $02-176$ 03-175 $04-174$ 05-173 $06-172$ 07-171 $08-170$ 09-169 $10/20$ filter pack, $110-134.5^\circ$ 12-166 $10/20$ filter pack, $110-134.5^\circ$ 13-165 2^n diameter, 10 -slot Sch 40 PVC screer 13-165 2^n diameter, 10 -slot Sch 40 PVC screer 13-165 2^n 14-164 2^n 15-163 2^n 16-162 2^n 17-161 2^n 18-160 2^n 19-159 2^n 20-158 2^n 21-157 2^n 22-156 2^n 23-155 2^n 24-154 2^n 30-148 3^n 31-147 3^n 32-146 4^n 33-145 4^n 34-141 4^n 35-143 4^n 414 4^n 37-141 3^n	•						
Elevation (feet) Borehole Completion 01-177 Borehole Completion 01-177 Borehole Completion 02-176 Diamondation 03-175 Diamondation 04-174 Diamondation 05-173 Diamondation 06-172 Diamondation 07-171 Diamondation 08-170 Diamondation 09-169 Diamondation 10-168 Diamondation 110-134.5' 2' diameter, 10-slot 13-165 Diamondation 14-164 Diamondation 13-165 Diamondation 14-164 Diamondation 13-165 Diamondation 14-164 Diamondation 13-165 Diamondation 14-164 Diamondation 13-165 Diamondation 20-158 Diamondation 21-157 Diamondation 22-156 Diamondation 23-155 Diamondation 24-154 Diamondation 31-147 Diamondation 32-146 Diamondation	Core				Start/Finish Date10/25/2010 - 10/2	.172010	—
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sample Type/ID Te	ests PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Materia Type	Description		De (
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				100	Slightly moist, dark brown, sandy, silty GRAVEL	(GM);	-10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				8.8.	fine to coarse gravel (3"); fine to coarse sand		-1
04-174 05-173 06-172 07-171 08-170 09-169 10-168 11-167 12-166 13-165 13-165 14-164 15-163 16-162 17-161 18-160 19-159 20-158 21-157 22-156 23-155 23-155 24-154 25-153 26-152 27-151 22-166 13-102 10/20 filter pack, 110-134.5' 2" diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 113-133' 10/20 filter pack, 110-134.5' 2" diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 113-133' $10/20 filter pack, 110-134.5' 2" diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 113-133' 10/20/20101$							-1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					Slightly moist/moist, dark brown, silty, very grave SAND (SM); fine to coarse gravel (1.5"); fine to o	elly	-1
$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 + 171 \\ 0.8 + 170 \\ 0.9 + 169 \\ 10 - 168 \\ 11 - 167 \\ 12 - 166 \\ 13 - 165 \\ 14 - 164 \\ 15 - 163 \\ 16 - 162 \\ 17 - 161 \\ 18 - 160 \\ 19 - 159 \\ 20 - 158 \\ 21 - 157 \\ 22 - 156 \\ 23 - 155 \\ 24 - 154 \\ 25 - 153 \\ 24 - 136 \\ 33 - 144 \\ 35 - 143 \\ 36 - 142 \\ 37 - 141 \\ 38 - 140 \\ 39 - 139 \\ 40 - 138 \\ 41 - 137 \\ 42 - 136 \\ 43 - 135 \\ 44 - 134 \\ 45 - 133 \\ 46 - 132 \\ 47 - 131 \\ \end{array}$	-				sand	ł	-1
					Moist, dark brown, silty, gravelly SAND (SM); fin coarse gravel (3"); fine to coarse sand	e to	+1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							+1
10 168 10/20 filter pack, 11-167 10/20 filter pack, 11-167 2" diameter, 10-slot 13-165 2" diameter, 10-slot 14-164 Sch 40 PVC screer 15-163 113-133' 16-162 113-133' 16-162 113-133' 16-162 113-133' 19-159 113-133' 20-158 113-133' 21-157 113-133' 22-156 113-133' 23-155 113-133' 24-154 10/26/2010 28-150 10/29/2010 29-149 10/29/2010 30-148 PVC endcap 31-147 PVC endcap 34-144 PVC endcap 37-141 138 38-140 138 39-139 144-134 45-133 145-133 46-132 131					Moist, dark brown, slightly silty, gravelly SAND		+1
110-134.5' 112-166 13-165 14-164 15-163 16-162 17-161 18-160 19-159 20-158 21-157 22-156 23-155 24+154 25-153 26-152 27-151 22+156 23-155 24+154 25-153 26-152 27-151 29-149 30-148 31-147 32-146 33-145 44-132 44-134 45-133 110-134.5' 2' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 113-133' 2' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 113-133' 4' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 113-13' 4' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 10/29/2010 2' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 113-14' 10/29/2010 2' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 10/29/2010 2' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 10' diameter, 10-slot Sch 40 PVC screer 10' diameter, 10-slot 10' diameter, 10-slo					(SP-SM); fine to coarse gravel (1.5"); fine to coa	100 //	-1
1 - 107 $12 - 166$ $13 - 165$ $14 - 164$ $15 - 163$ $16 - 162$ $17 - 161$ $18 - 160$ $19 - 159$ $20 - 158$ $21 - 157$ $22 - 156$ $23 - 155$ $24 - 154$ $25 - 153$ $26 - 152$ $27 - 151$ $27 - 151$ $22 - 156$ $23 - 155$ $24 - 154$ $25 - 153$ $26 - 152$ $27 - 151$ $27 - 151$ $27 - 151$ $27 - 151$ $27 - 151$ $27 - 151$ $27 - 131$ $27 - 131$ $27 - 131$ $27 - 131$ $27 - 131$ $27 - 131$ $27 - 10/26/2010$ $27 - 10/26/2010$ $27 - 10/26/2010$ $29 - 10/29/2010$ $41 - 138$ $41 - 137$ $42 - 136$ $43 - 135$ $44 - 134$ $45 - 133$ $46 - 132$ $47 - 131$					sand Moist, dark brown SAND (SP); trace fine gravel;		-1
13 165 2" diameter, 10-slot 14 164 Sch 40 PVC screer 15 163 113-133' 16 162 113-133' 16 162 113-133' 17-161 113-133' 113-133' 18-160 113-133' 113-133' 19-159 113-133' 113-133' 20-158 113-133' 113-133' 21-157 113-133' 113-133' 22-156 113-133' 113-133' 24-154 110/26/2010 10/26/2010 23-155 113-133' 10/26/2010 24-154 110/29/2010 10/29/2010 31-147 110/29/2010 10/29/2010 31-147 113-13' PVC endcap 34-144 113-13' 144-134' 35-143 144-134' 145-140' 37-141 136 144-134' 38-140 139 144-134' 39-139 144-134' 145-133' 44-134 145-133' 145-133' 47-131 131 145-133'					medium sand		+1
14 + 164 Sch 40 PVC screer $15 + 163$ $13 + 133'$ $16 + 162$ $13 + 133'$ $16 + 162$ $13 + 133'$ $16 + 162$ $13 + 133'$ $16 + 162$ $13 + 133'$ $19 + 159$ $20 + 158$ $21 + 157$ $22 + 156$ $22 + 156$ $23 + 155$ $22 + 156$ $23 + 155$ $22 + 156$ $23 + 155$ $22 + 156$ $23 + 155$ $22 + 156$ $23 + 155$ $22 + 156$ $23 + 155$ $22 + 156$ $23 + 146$ $33 + 145$ $44 + 134$ $34 + 144$ $45 + 133$ $36 + 142$ $44 + 134$ $37 + 141$ $38 + 140$ $39 + 139$ $44 + 134$ $41 + 134$ $45 + 133$ $46 + 132$ $47 - 131$	bt				Slightly moist, dark brown, silty, very sandy GRA	VEL	+1 +1
113-133' $113-133'$				8.8.	(GM); fine to coarse gravel (3"); fine to coarse sa	anu	Ľ
$ \begin{array}{c} 16-162\\ 17-161\\ 18-160\\ 19-159\\ 20-158\\ 21-157\\ 22-156\\ 23-155\\ 24-154\\ 25-153\\ 24-154\\ 25-153\\ 26-152\\ 27-151\\ 27-152\\ 27-151\\ 27-152\\ 27-152\\ 27-152\\ 27-152\\ 27-152\\ 27-152$							Ļ
$ \begin{array}{c} 17-161 \\ 18-160 \\ 19-159 \\ 20-158 \\ 21-157 \\ 22-156 \\ 23-155 \\ 24-154 \\ 25-153 \\ 26-152 \\ 27-151 \\ 27-151 \\ 27-151 \\ 22-146 \\ 33-145 \\ 28-140 \\ 33-145 \\ 32-146 \\ 33-145 \\ 34-144 \\ 35-143 \\ 36-142 \\ 37-141 \\ 38-140 \\ 39-139 \\ 10-138 \\ 14-137 \\ 12-136 \\ 13-135 \\ 144-134 \\ 15-133 \\ 16-132 \\ 17-131 \\ 17 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 10/26/2010 \\ 10/29/201 \\ 10/29/201 \\ 10/29/201 \\ $				8.8.		1	Ļ
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					Slightly moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAVEL (fine to coarse gravel (3"); fine to coarse sand	; (Mi);	\downarrow_1
100-158 11-157 12-156 13-155 14-154 15-153 16-152 17-151 18-150 18-150 19-148 10/26/2010 10/29/2010 11/147 12-146 13-145 14-144 15-143 14-144 15-143 16-142 17-141 18-140 19-139 10-138 11-137 12-136 13-135 14-134 15-133 16-132 17-131						+	ŀ
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 22 \\ 156 \\ 22 \\ 156 \\ 22 \\ 157 \\ 157 \\ 22 \\ 157 \\$						+	+1
22-156 23-155 24-154 25-153 26-152 27-151 28-150 29-149 30-145 33-145 35-143 36-142 37-141 38-145 38-145 39-139 40-138 11-137 12-136 13-135 14-134 15-133 16-132 17-131				YAYA	Slightly moist, gray, gravelly, sandy SILT (ML); f	ine i	+1
$\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 151 \\ 29 \\ 149 \\ 29 \\ 149 \\ 29 \\ 10/26/2010 \\ 28 \\ 150 \\ 29 \\ 10/26/2010 \\ 28 \\ 10/29/2010 \\ 10/200 \\ 10$				9000	gravel; fine to coarse sand	ſ	+1
$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 153 \\ 26 \\ 152 \\ 27 \\ 151 \\ 29 \\ 149 \\ 30 \\ 148 \\ 31 \\ 147 \\ 32 \\ 146 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 32 \\ 146 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 33 \\ 146 \\ 33 \\ 141 \\ 35 \\ 144 \\ 35 \\ 141 \\ 38 \\ 140 \\ 39 \\ 139 \\ 40 \\ 138 \\ 41 \\ 137 \\ 42 \\ 136 \\ 43 \\ 135 \\ 44 \\ 133 \\ 46 \\ 132 \\ 47 \\ 131 \end{array}$					Dry, dark brown/gray, sandy, silty GRAVEL (GM	,,	+1
25-153 26-152 27-151 28-150 29-149 30-148 31-147 32-146 33-145 34-144 35-143 36-142 37-141 38-140 39-139 40-138 41-137 42-136 43-135 44+134 45-133 46-132 47-131					gravel to cobbles, rounded to subrounded; fine to coarse sand		+1
$\begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 152 \\ 27 \\ 151 \\ 28 \\ 150 \\ 29 \\ 149 \\ 30 \\ 148 \\ 31 \\ 147 \\ 32 \\ 146 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 32 \\ 146 \\ 33 \\ 145 \\ 34 \\ 144 \\ 35 \\ 144 \\ 35 \\ 144 \\ 35 \\ 144 \\ 35 \\ 141 \\ 38 \\ 140 \\ 39 \\ 139 \\ 40 \\ 138 \\ 41 \\ 137 \\ 42 \\ 136 \\ 43 \\ 135 \\ 44 \\ 131 \\ 45 \\ 133 \\ 46 \\ 132 \\ 47 \\ 131 \end{array}$					Moist, yellow-red/gray, slightly silty, sandy GRA	/EL	+1 -1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				8.8	(GW-GM), fine to coarse gravel (3"); fine to coar sand	se	-1
28 150 ↓ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ļ</td>							Ļ
30-148 PU29/2010 31-147 PVC endcap 32-146 PVC endcap 33-145 PVC endcap 34-144 PVC endcap 35-143 Hydrated bentonite chips, 134.5'-140' 36-142 PVC endcap 37-141 PVC endcap 38+140 PVC endcap 39-139 PVC endcap 40-138 PVC endcap 41-137 PVC endcap 41-134 PVC endcap <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8,8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u>1</td>				8,8			<u> </u> 1
30-148 PVC endcap 31-147 Hydrated bentonite 33-145 PVC endcap 34-144 Hydrated bentonite 35-143 Hydrated bentonite 36-142 Hydrated bentonite 37-141 Hydrated bentonite 38-140 Hydrated bentonite 39-139 Hydrated bentonite 40-138 Hydrated bentonite 41-137 Hydrated bentonite 42-136 Hydrated bentonite 43-135 Hydrated bentonite 44+134 Hydrated bentonite 45-133 Hydrated bentonite 47-131 Hydrated bentonite							+1
32-146 PVC endcap 33-145 PVC endcap 34-144 Hydrated bentonite 35-143 Hydrated bentonite 36-142 Hydrated bentonite 37-141 Hydrated bentonite 38+140 Hydrated bentonite 39+139 Hydrated bentonite 40-138 Hydrated bentonite 41+137 Hydrated bentonite 42+136 Hydrated bentonite 43+135 Hydrated bentonite 43+135 Hydrated bentonite 44+134 Hydrated bentonite 45+133 Hydrated bentonite 47-131 Hydrated bentonite					Moist, gray, slightly sandy, gravelly SILT (ML); fi gravel; fine to coarse sand	ne -	+1
33 145 PVC endcap 34 144 Hydrated bentonite 35 143 Hydrated bentonite 36 142 Hydrated bentonite 37 141 Hydrated bentonite 38 140 Hydrated bentonite 39 139 Hydrated bentonite 40 138 Hydrated bentonite 41 137 Hydrated bentonite 42 136 Hydrated bentonite 43 135 Hydrated bentonite 43 135 Hydrated bentonite 44 134 Hydrated bentonite 45 133 Hydrated bentonite 47 131 Hydrated bentonite					Dry, dark brown/gray, sandy, gravelly SILT (ML)	; fine to +	+1
34-144 Hydrated bentonite chips, 134.5'-140' 35-143 Hydrated bentonite chips, 134.5'-140' 36-142 Second Chips, 134.5'-140' 37-141 Second Chips, 134.5'-140' 38-140 Second Chips, 134.5'-140' 39-139 Second Chips, 134.5'-140' 41-137 Second Chips, 134.5'-140' 41-137 Second Chips, 134.5'-140' 41-134 S					coarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse sand		+1
35-143 Hydrated bentonite chips, 134.5'-140' 36-142 chips, 134.5'-140' 37-141 38-140 38-140 39-139 40-138 41-137 41-137 44-134 45-133 46-132 47-131 41-131							ť
36-142 chips, 134.5'-140' 37-141 38-140 38-140 39-139 40-138 41-137 41-137 42-136 43-135 44-134 45-133 46-132 47-131 41-134					Very moist, gray, slightly sandy, gravelly SILT (N	/IL): I	ľ
17-141 18-140 19-139 10-138 11-137 12-136 13-135 14-134 15-133 16-132 17-131	, –				fine to coarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse sand	/	Ľ
8-140 9-139 0-138 1-137 2-136 3-135 4-134 5-133 6-132 7-131				8.8.	Very moist, brown, silty, sandy GRAVEL (GM); f coarse gravel (3"), rounded to subrounded; fine		Ļ
9-139 0-138 1-137 2-136 3-135 4-134 5-133 6-132 7-131					coarse sand		Ļ
0-138 1-137 2-136 3-135 4-134 5-133 6-132 7-131				\$6 ,		1	ŀ
2-136 3-135 4-134 5-133 6-132 7-131	₽.₽			þififi	Wet, brown, silty, sandy GRAVEL (GM); fine to (\gravel (2"); fine to coarse sand	coarse	ŀ
3-135 4-134 5-133 6-132 7-131						/+	ł
4-134 5-133 6-132 7-131							ť
5-133 6-132 7-131							ť
6-132 7-131							ť
7-131							
8+130							
18+130 19+129							[1
Sampler Type:	P	ID - Photoioniza	ation De	tector	Logged by: JMS		
No Recovery Continuous Core	₹ Z				Approved by: ALN		
	<u> </u>	Water Leve	I (ATD)		Figure No.		

				Ν	/lonit	oring Well Constructi	on Log	
	spect			ct Numb	ber	Well Number	Sheet	
			08	80190		MW-13D	1 of 3	
·	Walker Chevrolet Tacoma, WA Boart Longyear / Spider Sonic Continuous Core nole Completion Sample Type/ID ushmount ionument, thermos					Ground Surface Elev. Top of Casing Elev.	276.96	
		nic				Depth to Water (ft BGS)	- 10/29/201	0
Sampling Method: Contir						```````_```	10/27/2010 - 10/29/2010	
Depth / Elevation Borehole Com	npletion Sample	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)
Flushmour	nt					Air Vacuum - No Recovery		
(feet) Flushmour 1 -276 2 -275 3 -274 4 -273 5 -272 6 -271 7 -270 8 -269 9 -268 10 -267	nt t, thermos seal, 0'-6' pentonite 21'		(ppm)	<u>6"</u>	Type		Antly fine sand sy SAND (SM); fine ery gravelly SAND (1.5"); fine to coarse y SAND (SP-SM) lense y sand (SP-SM) lense y gravelly SLT (ML); to coarse sand ND (SM); fine to coarse ded; fine to coarse sand y gravelly, silty SAND ND (SM); trace gravel; sand (SM); fine to coarse d avelly SAND (SP-SM); iminantly fine to medium D (SP-SM); fine to rse sand y SAND (SP); trace silt;	-1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 -11 -12 -13 -11 -12 -13 -13 -14 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -17 -18 -19 -22 -23 -24 -25 -26 -27 -28 -27 -28
$\begin{array}{c} 34 - 243 \\ 35 - 242 \\ 36 - 241 \\ 37 - 240 \\ 38 - 239 \\ 39 - 238 \\ 40 - 237 \\ 41 - 236 \\ 42 - 235 \\ 43 - 234 \\ 44 - 233 \\ 45 - 232 \end{array}$						Fine gravel, rounded; predomina Fine to coarse gravel (1.5"); pre sand Slightly moist, dark brown, grav coarse gravel (2"); predominant SAND (SP); medium sand Silty, gravelly SAND (SM) lense	antly medium-fine sand edominantly medium relly SAND (SP); fine to tly medium sand	- 34 - 35 - 36 - 37 - 38 - 39 - 40 - 41 - 42 - 43 - 44 - 45
46 + 231 47 + 230 48 + 229 49 + 228						Yellow-red, slightly gravelly SAt Dark brown, slightly gravelly SA to coarse gravel (2"); predomina sand	ND (SP); fine gravel	-46 -47 -48 -49
Sampler Type:		PID	- Photoioniza	ation De	tector	Logged by:	JMS	- <u>L</u>
O No Recovery		Ţ	Static Wate		-			
Continuous Core		$\overline{\nabla}$	Water Level			Approved b	by: ALN	
		-	vvalei Leve	(,,,,,)		Figure No.		

		- 1			Ν	Ionit	oring Well Constructi	on Log	
			-		ect Numb 80190		Well Number MW-13D	Sheet 2 of 3	
Project Name:	Walker Chev	rolet					Ground Surface Elev.	277	
Location:	Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev.	276.96	
Driller/Method:	Boart Longyear /	Spider Sonic					Depth to Water (ft BGS)	- 10/29/2010	0
Sampling Method:	Continuous Core)					Start/Finish Date	0/27/2010 - 10/29/2010	
Lioradon	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)
Elevation (feet) Bor 51-226 52-225 53-224 54-223 55-222 56-221 57-220 58-219 59-218 60-217 61-216 62-215 63-214 64-213 65-212 66-211 67-210 68-209 69-208 70-207 71-206 72-205 73-204 5	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests				Trace gravel Fine gravel Slightly moist, gray, silty SAND Dry, dark brown/yellow-red SAN sand Slightly moist, dark brown, silty medium sand Dry, yellow-red/dark brown SAN Very gravelly SAND (SP) lense Dark brown silty SAND (SP) lense Dark brown silty SAND (SP) lense Dark brown silty SAND (SM) let Slightly moist/moist, dark brown fine to coarse gravel (2"), round medium-fine sand Moist/very moist, dark brown SA	ND (SP); medium-fine SAND (SM); fine to ND (SP); medium sand (6") nse (6") n, gravelly SAND (SP); ed to subangular;	(ft) -51 -52 -53 -54 -55 -56 -57 -58 -57 -58 -59 -60 -61 -62 -63 -64 -65 -66 -67 -68 -69 -70 -71 -72 -73
74 + 203 75 + 202 76 + 201 77 + 200 78 + 199 79 - 198 80 - 197 81 - 196 82 - 195 83 - 194 84 - 193 85 - 192 86 - 191 87 - 190 88 - 189 89 - 188 90 - 187 91 - 186 92 - 185 93 - 184 94 - 183 95 - 182 96 - 181 97 - 180 98 - 179 99 - 178	<u>7</u>						Qob Wet, yellow-red/dark brown, silt (GM); fine to coarse gravel (2"); Moist, gray, slightly gravelly, ve gravel; fine to coarse sand Wet, red-brown, silty, gravelly S coarse gravel (1.5"); fine to coa coarse Wet, red-brown, slightly silty, gr fine to coarse gravel (3"); predo Moist, red-brown, slightly silty, gr fine to coarse gravel (3"), rounded to s coarse gravel (3"), rounded to s coarse sand Wet, red-brown, slightly silty, gr fine gravel; fine to coarse sand, Moist/very moist, yellow-red/rec very gravelly SAND (SP-SM); fi rounded to subangular; fine to co Dry, dark brown, sandy, very gr coarse gravel (2.5"), rounded to coarse sand Wet, dark brown, sandy, very si to coarse gravel (2"), rounded to coarse sand No recovery	ry silty SAND (SM); fine SAND (SM); fine to rse sand, predominantly avelly SAND (SP-SM); minantly medium sand RAVEL (GM); fine to ubrounded; fine to ravelly SAND (SP-SM); predominantly coarse l-brown, slightly silty, ne to coarse gravel (2"), coarse sand avelly SILT (ML); fine to o subangular; fine to	-74 -75 -76 -77 -78 -80 -81 -82 -83 -84 -85 -86 -87 -88 -87 -91 -92 -93 -94 -95 -96 -97 -98 -99 -90
Sampler Typ	pe:		_	Photoioniz		tector	Logged by:	JMS	
O No Recovery	re		∇	Static Wate			Approved b	y: ALN	
							Figure No.		

		ct		Droia	ect Num		ш	Vell Construction	Sheet	
	CONSULTI				ect Numi 80190			MW-13D	Sheet 3 of 3	
Project Name:	Walker Che	vrolet						Ground Surface Elev.	277	
_ocation:	Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev.	276.96	_
Driller/Method:	Boart Longyear	/ Spider Sonic	;					Depth to Water (ft BGS)	- 10/29/2010	0
	d: Continuous Cor	•						1	7/2010 - 10/29/2010	
Depth /	Borehole Completion	Sample	Tests	PID	Blows/	Mater		Description		С
(feet)		Type/ID		(ppm)	6"	Type	1013	Moist, brown, silty, sandy GRAVEL		
101-176 102-175 103-174 104-173 105-172 106-171 107-170 108-169 109-168 110-167 111-166 112-165 113-164 114-163 115-162 116-161 117-160 18-159 19-158 120-157 121-156 122-155 123-154 124-153 125-152 123-154 130-147 131-146 132-143 133-144 134-143 135-142 136-141 137-140 138-139 139-138 140-137 137-140 138-132 141-136 142-135 144-131 144-131 144-131 144-131 144-131 144-132 144-131 144-133 <td>10/20 filter pack, 121'-146' 2" diameter, 10-slot, Sch 40 PVC screen, 125'-145' ✓ 10/28/2010 ✓ 10/29/2010 ✓ 10/29/2010</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$</td> <td></td> <td>cobbles (4"), rounded to angular; fin with silty, gravelly SAND (SM) lense. Moist, dark brown/gray, silty, grave to coarse gravel (3"), rounded to su coarse sand Moist, brown/dark brown, sandy, sil fine to coarse gravel, rounded to su coarse sand Very moist, dark brown/yellow-red, (SM); fine to coarse grave (SM); fine to coarse grave (SM); fine to coarse grave coarse sand Slightly moist, red-brown/brown, sli SAND (SP-SM); fine to coarse grave predominantly fine sand Dry, light brown, sandy, silty GRAV to cobbles (3.5"), rounded to subro- coarse sand Moist, brown, silty, gravelly SAND (Wet, dark brown, slightly silty, grav lense Very moist, brown, sandy, very silty fine gravel to cobbles (4"); fine to co (SP-SM); fine to coarse gravel (3"); medium sand Moist, brown, silty, very sandy GRA coarse gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Very moist, brown, sandy, silty GRAV to coarse gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Se gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Se gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse sand Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Se pry, dark brown/gray, silty, sandy GRA coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse sa Dry, dark brown/gray, silty, sandy G to coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, yellow-red, silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Moist, yellow-red, silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Moist, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, sandy G fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Moist, velow-red, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant</td> <td>e (6") Ily SAND (SM); fine ibrounded; fine to Ity GRAVEL (GM); ibrounded; fine to silty, gravelly SAND adominantly coarse dy, very silty el (2.5"); fine to ghtly silty, gravelly vel (2"); EL (GM); fine gravel unded; fine to (SM) lense (6") elly SAND (SP-SM) v GRAVEL (GM); fine to nedium sand gravelly SAND predominantly AVEL (GM); fine to and GRAVEL (GM); fine to and SRAVEL (GM); fine to SRAVEL (GM); fine to SRAVEL</td> <td></td>	10/20 filter pack, 121'-146' 2" diameter, 10-slot, Sch 40 PVC screen, 125'-145' ✓ 10/28/2010 ✓ 10/29/2010 ✓ 10/29/2010					$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $		cobbles (4"), rounded to angular; fin with silty, gravelly SAND (SM) lense. Moist, dark brown/gray, silty, grave to coarse gravel (3"), rounded to su coarse sand Moist, brown/dark brown, sandy, sil fine to coarse gravel, rounded to su coarse sand Very moist, dark brown/yellow-red, (SM); fine to coarse grave (SM); fine to coarse grave (SM); fine to coarse grave coarse sand Slightly moist, red-brown/brown, sli SAND (SP-SM); fine to coarse grave predominantly fine sand Dry, light brown, sandy, silty GRAV to cobbles (3.5"), rounded to subro- coarse sand Moist, brown, silty, gravelly SAND (Wet, dark brown, slightly silty, grav lense Very moist, brown, sandy, very silty fine gravel to cobbles (4"); fine to co (SP-SM); fine to coarse gravel (3"); medium sand Moist, brown, silty, very sandy GRA coarse gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Very moist, brown, sandy, silty GRAV to coarse gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Se gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Se gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse sand Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2.5"); fine to coarse Se pry, dark brown/gray, silty, sandy GRA coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, gray, sandy, very silty GRAV coarse gravel (2"); fine to coarse sa Dry, dark brown/gray, silty, sandy G to coarse gravel (2"); predominant Moist, yellow-red, silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Moist, yellow-red, silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Moist, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Wet, brown, slightly silty, sandy G fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant Moist, velow-red, slightly silty, very grave fine to coarse gravel (3"), predominant	e (6") Ily SAND (SM); fine ibrounded; fine to Ity GRAVEL (GM); ibrounded; fine to silty, gravelly SAND adominantly coarse dy, very silty el (2.5"); fine to ghtly silty, gravelly vel (2"); EL (GM); fine gravel unded; fine to (SM) lense (6") elly SAND (SP-SM) v GRAVEL (GM); fine to nedium sand gravelly SAND predominantly AVEL (GM); fine to and GRAVEL (GM); fine to and SRAVEL (GM); fine to SRAVEL	
Sampler T	уре:		PID - Ph	otoioniz	ation De	tector	r	Logged by:	JMS	
O No Recovery	·		⊥ Sta	atic Wate				Approved by: /		
Continuous C	Core		<u>⊻</u> Wa	ter Leve	l (ATD)					
					. /					

	Acros	.					nito	oring Well Construction Log	
	Aspec	Т			ct Numb	ber		Well Number Sheet	
				30	30190			MW-14D 1 of 3	
Project Name:		rolet						Ground Surface Elev. 278 Top of Casing Elev. 277.46 ft	
Location:	n: Tacoma, WA Major Drilling - Jeffrey / Ig Method: Continuous Core Borehole Completion Sample Type/ID Plush mounted steel well monument; thermos cap Cement surface seal from 0-2' bgs 2" ID schedule 40 PVC casing, threaded connection, 0'-123' Bentonite chip seal (NSF/ANSI 60), 2'-121' bgs 0 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 6 5 4 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 6 5 4 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 4 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 4 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 4 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 4 4 3 2 1 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 4 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 5 4 4 3 2 1 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 5 4 4 3 2 1 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 5 4 4 3 2 1 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 5 4 4 3 2 1 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 5 4 4 3 2 1 1 0 9 8 7 7 6 5 5 4 4 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<i></i>							
Driller/Method:			be 8140	0LS - t	rack mo	ounte	d	Depth to Water (ft BGS) - 2/3/201 Start/Finish Date 1/30/2012 - 2/2/2012	2
Depth /					D 1 (
Elevation Bo (feet)	rehole Completion	Sample Test	s	PID (ppm)	BIOWS/ 6"	Mate Typ		Description	
Elevation Bo	Flush mounted steel well monument; thermos cap Cement surface seal from 0-2' bgs 2" ID schedule 40 PVC casing, threaded connection, 0'-123' Bentonite chip seal (NSF/ANSI 60), 2'-121'	Sample Type/ID C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	S	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"			Cleared for utilities using an air vacuum - No Recovery Moist, brown, very gravelly, very silty SAND (SM); cobbles up to 5"; fine to medium sand, diamict fabric. Slightly moist, sandy, very gravelly, SILT (ML); fine to medium sand; cobbles up to 4". Diamict fabric. Moist, brown, gravelly, very silty SAND (SM); fine to medium sand; subangular gravel, diamict fabric; cobbles up to 4". Orange-brown. Brown. Moist, brown, slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SP-SM); fir to medium sand; subrounded gravel. Moist, gray, gravelly, silty SAND (SM); fine to medium sand; subangular gravel. Brown. Moist, brown, slightly gravelly SAND (SP); fine to medium sand. Moist, brown, slightly gravelly SAND (SP); fine to medium sand. 1" pockets of pink, slightly silty SAND. Moist, gray, slightly silty gravelly SAND. Moist, gray, slightly gravelly SAND. Moist, gray, slightly silty, gravelly SAND. Moist, orange-brown, slightly gravelly SAND (SP); trac silt.	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -5 \\ -6 \\ -7 \\ -8 \\ -9 \\ -10 \\ -11 \\ -12 \\ -13 \\ -14 \\ -15 \\ -16 \\ -17 \\ -18 \\ -19 \\ -20 \\ -21 \\ -22 \\ -23 \\ -24 \\ -25 \\ -26 \\ -27 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -26 \\ -27 \\ -27 \\ -28 \\ -27 \\ -26 \\ -27 \\ -27 \\ -33 \\ -34 \\ -37 \\ -38 \\ -39 \\ -40 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -44 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -45 \\ -46 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -46 \\ -46 \\ -41 \\ -46 \\ -4$
48-230 49-229								Moist, brown with iron stain mottling, slightly gravelly, silty SAND (SM); 1" pockets of silt, fine to medium	-+48 +49
	no:			-le 1		<u>.</u>	· · ·	sand, subangular fine gravel with cobbles.	
	he:				ation De	tecto	r	Logged by: AET	
O No Recovery	ore	¥ ∑			r Level (ATD)			Approved by: ALN	
Sampler Ty			vvatel	Level	(AID)				
L								Figure No.	

	Acno	-+			N	lonit	oring Well Construction		
					ct Numb 30190	er	Well Number MW-14D	Sheet 2 of 3	
Project Name:	Walker Chev			0	50100		Ground Surface Elev.	278	
ocation:	Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev.	277.46 ft	
Priller/Method:	Major Drilling - J	leffrey / Sonic Ge	eoprobe 814	10LS - 1	rack mo	unted	Depth to Water (ft BGS)	- 2/3/2012	
Sampling Method:	Continuous Core	e					Start/Finish Date 1/3	30/2012 - 2/2/2012	
	ehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		De (f
(feet)				,	-		Moist, dark gray brown, slightly gra	velly SAND (SP);	+ ·
51+227							\medium to coarse sand, fine subro	unded gravel.	/†5
52+226 53+225							Moist, red-brown, slightly silty SAN sand; trace gravel.	D (SP-SM); medium	+5 +5
54 - 224							Gravelly.	/	/↓5
5-223							Moist, yellow-brown SAND (SP); m sand.	edium to coarse	+5
6-222								(SP-SM); fine to faint stratification ID (SP); medium sand. illty SAND (SM); fine elly SAND (SP); coarse to 3". ace gravel; medium ; fine to coarse gravel; mg, very gravelly SAND se rounded gravel with lict fabric.	+5
7+221 8+220									+5
9-219							Moist, gray, slightly silty SAND (SP	-SM): fine to	-5
0-218							medium sand, trace fine gravel; fai	nt stratification	+6
1-217							Moist, brown to dark brown SAND	In Date 1/30/2012 - 2/2/2012 Description rown, slightly gravelly SAND (SP); sand, fine subrounded gravel. slightly silty SAND (SP-SM); medium . vn SAND (SP); medium to coarse by silty SAND (SP-SM); fine to ce fine gravel; faint stratification ark brown SAND (SP); medium sand. tly gravelly. brown, very silty SAND (SM); fine medium sand. wn, very gravelly SAND (SP); coarse th cobbles up to 3". SAND (SP); trace gravel; medium Qob RAVEL (GW); fine to coarse gravel; arse sand. with iron staining, very gravelly SAND ingravel; trace silt. y, gravelly SAND (SP); medium gravel; trace silt. y, gravelly, silty SAND (SP); medium gravel; trace silt. y, gravelly, silty SAND (SM); fine to e to coarse subrounded to rounded 0 (SP); fine to medium sand, trace RAVEL (GP); coarse gravel and brown, gravelly, sandy SILT (ML); brown, gravelly, sandy SILT (ML); brown, gravelly, sandy SILT (ML); brown, gravelly, sandy SILT	+6
2-216							Red-orange, slightly gravelly.		+6
3+215 4+214							,		+6 + 6
5-213									\downarrow
6-212							Very moist to wet, brown, very silty sand.		+6
7-211							Grades to fine to medium sand.	/	/+e
8-210							wet, dark red-brown, very gravely sand; trace silt, with cobbles up to 3	SAND (SP); coarse 3".	+6
9+209 0+208							, , , ,		+6
1-207							Must have and OAND (OD) to a		+
2-206							sand.	gravei; medium	-7
/3-205						0.00		o to coorso gravel:	-7 -7
74+204 75+203							trace silt; trace coarse sand.	-	+7 +7
6+202							Moist, red-brown with iron staining,	very gravelly SAND	+7
7-201							cobbles up to 3"; trace silt; diamict	SP-SM); fine to faint stratification ID (SP); medium sand. ID (SP); medium sand. III (SP); medium sand. III (SAND (SM); fine to 3". ace gravel; medium fine to coarse gravel; mg, very gravelly SAND se rounded gravel with ict fabric. SILT (ML); fine to ibangular gravel; y SAND (SP); medium silt. y SAND (SP); medium silt. y SAND (SP); medium silt. y SAND (SM); fine to orounded to rounded medium sand, trace coarse gravel and ly, sandy SILT (ML);	+7
8-200							Brown.		个7
9-199 0-198							medium sand; subrounded to suba	ngular gravel;	+7 +8
1+197							Cobbles up to 4". Moist brown-red slightly gravelly S	AND (SP): medium /	// {
2-196							sand; subrounded gravel; trace silt.	, , ,	<u> </u> +ε
3-195									+8
4+194 5+193							gravel.		
6-192							Wet, brown SAND (SP); fine to me \gravel.	Qob L (GW); fine to coarse gravel; and. on staining, very gravelly SAND be to coarse rounded gravel with ill; diamict fabric. sandy SILT (ML); fine to led to subangular gravel; y gravelly SAND (SP); medium el; trace silt. velly, silty SAND (SM); fine to larse subrounded to rounded in staining, very gravelly SAND (SP); medium el; trace silt.	+8
7-191						0000	Wet, red-brown GRAVEL (GP); coa	/ arse gravel and	/_8
8-190							cobbles.	-	/ {
9-189							Very moist to wet, brown, gravelly, diamict fabric, cobbles up to 4".	sandy SILT (ML);	+8
0+188 1+187							Gray.	y silty SAND (SM); fine id. Avelly SAND (SP); coarse up to 3". trace gravel; medium y; fine to coarse gravel; ining, very gravelly SAND barse rounded gravel with amict fabric. y SILT (ML); fine to subangular gravel; /elly SAND (SP); medium is silt. silty SAND (SP); medium is silt. silty SAND (SM); fine to subrounded to rounded to medium sand, trace y; coarse gravel and velly, sandy SILT (ML); t". avelly, silty SAND (SM); ID (SP); fine to medium	+9
2-186									+
8-185							Moist, gray-brown, slightly gravelly,	silty SAND (SM);	
4-184							fine to medium sand.		+9
5-183									+9
6+182 7+181									+9
8-180							Moist to wet, brown-gray SAND (SF sand.	P); fine to medium	\downarrow
9-179							Moist, gray-brown, slightly silty, gra		$+\epsilon$
Sampler Typ)e:		PID - Pho	toioniz:	l ation Det	⊡⊡!] ector	Logged by:		
No Recovery			_		r Level	COLUI			
Continuous Co	re				r Levei I (ATD)		Approved by: A	ALN	
			- vvate						

	NACHA			Droi-	ct Numb			oring Well Construction	Sheet	
	CONSULTIN	u∎ NG		-	ect Numb 80190	ber		Well Number MW-14D	3 of 3	
Project Name:	Walker Chev	rolet	I					Ground Surface Elev.	278	
ocation:	Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev.	277.46 ft	
riller/Method:	Major Drilling - J	effrey / Sonic C	Geoprobe 814	40LS - 1	track mo	unte	d	Depth to Water (ft BGS)	- 2/3/2012	
	Continuous Core	9						Start/Finish Date	1/30/2012 - 2/2/2012	
Depth / Elevation Bo (feet)	prehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Mat Ty		Description		Der (f
01-177							000	(SP-SM).		/_ ₁₀
02+176							000	Moist to wet, brown, very sandy fine to coarse sand; fine subrour		
03+175							000	gravel.	ided to subangular	+10
04-174							ñř	Olished and an and have an		+10
05-173								Slightly moist, gray and brown m SILT (ML); fine to medium sand;	fine to coarse gravel;	+10
06-172								diamict fabric.	-	+10
07-171										+10
08-170										+10
09+169 10+168										+10
10-166										'
12+166								Moist, brown and gray mottled, g (SM); fine to medium sand; subr		
3-165								(Sim), fine to medium saild, sub-	ounded graver up to 2.	+1
4-164								Dry to slightly moist, gray with ire	on atain mattling	+1
5-163								gravelly, sandy SILT (ML); diami		+1
6-162						Щ	Щ			1
7+161								Moist, brown-gray, slightly silty, v (SP-SM); medium to coarse san		+1
8+160 9+159								Moist, brown-gray, gravelly, silty	/	/+1 +1
9+159 0+158								up to 3".		
1+157										'
2-156								Slightly moist, gray, gravelly, sar medium sand; cobbles up to 3".	ndy SILT (ML); fine to	+1
23-155						4		Moist, brown, very silty, sandy G	RAVEL (GM); cobbles	1
24-154 🗄 🗍						20		up to 4", angular gravel, fine to c	oarse sand.	+1
25-153	10x20 colorado silica sand filter pack,					μ		Dry to slightly moist, gray, grave	llv. sandv SILT (ML):	+1
6-152	121'-143.5' bgs							fine to medium sand, cobbles up		+1
										+1
8+150								Moist, brown-gray with orange m	ottling, silty, very	+1 +1
0-148	⊻2/1/2012							gravelly SAND (SM); fine to coar angular gravel with cobbles up to	se sand; fine to coarse o 3".	+1
1-147								5 5 1		+1
2-146 🗄										+1
3-145 3-145										-1
4⊤ 144 ∴⊟∴	2/3/2012							Moist to wet, gray-brown, gravell fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse		+1
5-143	2" ID schedule 40 PVC 20-slot screen.							diamict fabric.	e subangulai gravel,	+1
6-142	123.5'-143.5' bgs							Very gravelly.		+1
7+141 8+140 8								Moist.		+1 +1
9-139							$\left \right \left \right $			Ľ
0-138							$\left \right \right $	Wet.		+1
1-137							$\left \right \right $			+1
2-136							$\left \right \right $			+1
3-135	Threaded PVC end						$\left \right \left \right $			+1
4-134	cap						$\left \right \left \right $			+1
5-133		┍╌┩						Bottom of boring at 145' BGS.		+1
6+132 7-131						1				
7+131 8+130						1				+1 +1
9+129						1				
						1			A = T	'
Sampler Ty	vpe:		PID - Pho	otoioniza	ation De	tecto	or	Logged by:	AEI	
No Recovery Continuous Co	ore		∇					Approved by	/: ALN	
	•		—————————————————————————————————————	ar I ava	I (ATD)					

	ļ			L				Ν	Ionit	oring Well Constructi	on Log	
		y Aspec	7	ľ				ct Numb	er	Well Number	Sheet	
							08	30190		MW-15	1 of 2	
Project Name	e:	Walker Chev	ro	let						Ground Surface Elev. (si		
Location:		Tacoma, WA								Top of Casing Elev. (site	datum) 278.84 ft	
Driller/Metho		Cascade Drilling	/ F	Hollow St	tem Auger	- Ang	e			Depth to Water	10/14/2013	
Depth /		No samples								Start/Finish Date	10/14/2013	
Elevation (feet)	Во	rehole Completion	S T	Sample Гуре/ID	Tests	6	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Dept (ft)
	\mathbb{N}	Flushmount							e te propieda te co	Concrete.		41
		monument, lockable thermos cap, concrete								No logging or sampling.		+ 2
3 -		seal 0'-4'										- 3
4 -	K/2											+ 4
5 -										Boring drilled 37 degrees from v	vertical to intercept	+ 5
6 + 7 +										saturated soil under alley.		+ 6 + 7
8 -												- 8
9 -												- 9
10-		2" diameter, schedule										+10
11-		40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-55'										+11
12+ 13+												+12 +13
14-												+14
15-												+15
16-												+16
17-												+17
18- 19-												+18 +19
20-		Hydrated bentonite										+20
21-		chips, 4'-52'										-21
22-												+22
23-												+23
24 <i>-</i> 25-										Strong solvent-like odor in cuttin	ngs. (24 ft bgs)	+24 +25
26-												+26
27-												+27
28-												+28
29- 30-												+29 +30
31-							36.7					+31
32-												+32
33-												+33
34 -												+34
35+ 36+												+35 +36
37-												+37
38-												+38
39-												+39
40-												+40
41- 42-												+41 +42
43-												+43
44-												+44
45-												+45
46-												+46
47-												+47 +48
48- 49-												-48 -49
	T									La una dibun		
Sample		pe:						ation Det	ector	Logged by:	AET	
	very				▼		c Wate			Approved b	y: ALN	
40- 41- 42- 43- 44- 45- 46- 47- 48- 49- Sample ○ No Recover					$\overline{\Delta}$	Wate	er Level	(ATD)				
										Figure No.		

			-1				Ν	lonit	oring Well Constructi	on Log	
		CONSULTIN					ct Numb 30190	er	Well Number MW-15	Sheet 2 of 2	
Project Nam	e:	Walker Chev							Ground Surface Elev. (si		
Location:		Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev. (site		
Driller/Metho	od:	Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow S	Stem Auger	- Angl	е			Depth to Water		
Sampling Me	ethod:	No samples							Start/Finish Date	10/14/2013	
Depth / Elevation	Bor	ehole Completion	Sample	Tests		PID	Blows/	Material	Description		Dep
(feet)			Sample Type/ID	Tests	,	(ppm)	6"	Туре	Description		(ft
51-											+5'
52-											+52
53- 54-		10/20 sand filter pack, 52'-75'									+5
54 – · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2" diameter,									-54
56 -		0.020-inch, schedule									+50
57 -		40 PVC screen, 55'-75'									+5
58-									Well screen is completed in adv	ionaa auturaah hanaath	+5
59-									alley, 33 to 45 ft west-northwest	of monument, and 44	+59
60-									to 60 ft below ground surface		+60
61 -	目:										+6
62											+6
63-											+6
64 + 65 + 65 + 65 + 65 + 65 + 65 + 65 +											+6 +6
66 -	ΞÌ										+6
67 -											+6
68-											+6
69-											+6
70+											+70
71-											+7
72											+72
73- 74-											+73
75		Threaded PVC endcap									+75
76-		······							Bottom of boring is 60 feet belo	w ground surface.	+76
77 -											+77
78-											+78
79-											+79
80+											+80
81+ 82+											+81
82 - 83 -											
84 -											-84
85-											+8
86-											+8
87 -											-8
88-											+88
89+											+89
90+											+90
91+ 92+											+9 +9
92 - 93 -											-93
94 -											-94
95-											+9
96-											+96
97 -											+97
98-											+98
99-											-99
Sampl	ler Ty	pe:		PID	- Pho	toioniza	ation Def	ector	Logged by:	AET	
🖸 No Reco	very			Ť	Stati	c Wate	r Level		A		
				$\underline{\nabla}$			I (ATD)		Approved b	y: ALN	
							、 - <i>/</i>		Figure No.		

				_	L					N	Ionit	oring Well Constructi	on Log		
				7	ſ					ct Numb	er	Well Number	Sheet		
				۱G					08	30190		MW-16	1 of 2	2	
Project Na	ame		Walker Chev	ro	let							Ground Surface Elev. (si	te datum)		
Location:			Tacoma, WA									Top of Casing Elev. (site	datum) 277	.88 ft	
Driller/Me	thod		Cascade Drilling	/	Hollow S	tem A	uger -	Angl	е			Depth to Water			
Sampling	Met	nod:	No samples				0					Start/Finish Date	10/15/2013		
Depth /			-		Sample				PID	Blows/	Material				Depth
Elevation (feet)		DOI	ehole Completion	Т	ype/ID		Tests		(ppm)	6"	Туре	Description			(ft)
1 -	\mathbb{X}		Flushmount									∖Asphalt over concrete.			- 1
2 -			monument, lockable thermos cap, concrete									No logging or sampling.		-	- 2
3 -	Ň		seal 0'-4'											-	- 3
4 -	\mathcal{D}													-	- 4
5 -												Dening drilled 22 de messe frame.			- 5
6 +												Boring drilled 23 degrees from v the building.	ertical, perpendici	Jiar to -	- 6
7 +												5		-	- 7
8 -														-	- 8
9 +														-	- 9
10-			2" diameter, schedule 40 PVC, threaded											-	-10
11-			connections, 0'-45'											-	-11
12- 13-														-	-12 -13
13-															-14
15-														-	-15
16-														-	-16
17-														-	-17
18-														-	-18
19-														-	-19
20-			Hydrated bentonite											-	-20
21-			chips, 4'-42'											-	-21
22-														-	-22
23- 24-															-23 -24
24 - 25 -														_	-25
26-														-	-26
27-														-	-27
28-														-	-28
29-														-	-29
30-														-	-30
31-														-	-31
32-														-	-32
33- 34-														-	-33 -34
34 - 35 -														_	-35
36-														-	-36
37-														-	-37
38-														-	-38
39-														-	-39
40-														-	-40
41-														-	-41
42-												Well screen is completed in adv	ance outwash ber	neath -	-42
43-		1	10/20 sand filter pack, 42'-65'									Morrell's Dry Cleaners building,	18 to 25 feet	-	-43
44-			.2 00									west-northwest of monument, and ground surface	na 41 to 60 ieet be	- wole	-44
45- 46-	E													-	-45 -46
40-	ΙĒ													-	-40 -47
48-	E													-	-48
49-	E													-	-49
	ĿΈ	<u>}. </u>													L
	mple		be:				_			ation Det	ector	Logged by:	AEI		
🖸 No Re	ecove	ery								r Level (ATD)		Approved b	y: ALN		
										. /		Figure No.			

	l	Acros					N	Ionit	oring Well Constructi	on Log		
							ct Numb 30190	er	Well Number MW-16		Sheet 2 of 2	
Project N	ame:	Walker Chev					50100		Ground Surface Elev. (si		2 01 2	
Location:		Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev. (site		277.88 ft	
Driller/Me	thod:	Cascade Drilling	/ Hollov	w Stem Auger	- Angl	е			Depth to Water			
Sampling	Method:	No samples							Start/Finish Date	10/15	/2013	
Depth / Elevation (feet)	Bo	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	;	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description			Depth (ft)
51-												-51
52-												-52
53-												-53
54 -												-54
55-		2" diameter, 0.020-inch, schedule										-55
56 - 57 -		40 PVC screen, 45'-65'										-56 -57
58-												-58
59-												-59
60-												-60
61-												-61
62+ 63+												-62 -63
64 -												-64
65-		Threaded PVC endcap							Detterre of heading is 00 foothede			+65
66-									Bottom of boring is 60 feet belo	w grouna si	unace.	-66
67 -												-67
68-												-68
69 <i>-</i> 70 <i>-</i>												-69 -70
71-												-71
72-												-72
73-												-73
74-												-74
75- 76-												-75 -76
70-												77
78-												-78
79-												-79
80-												-80
81- 82-												-81 -82
83-												-83
84 -												-84
85-												-85
86-												-86
87 <i>-</i> 88-												-87 -88
88 - 89 -												-88
90-												-90
91-												-91
92-												-92
93- 94-												-93
94 - 95 -												-94 -95
96-												-96
97 -												-97
98-												-98
99-												-99
Sa	mpler Ty	pe:		PID	- Pho	toioniza	ation Det	ector	Logged by:	AET		-
O No Re	ecovery			Ţ	Stati	c Wate	r Level		.			
				∇			(ATD)		Approved b	y: ALN		
									Figure No.			

		.			Ν	/lonit	oring Well Construction	on Log	
	Asher	T			ect Numb	er	Well Number	Sheet	
Ducie et Nieure	Walker Chev			U	80190		MW-17	1 of 2	
Project Name:		Tolet					Ground Surface Elev. (sit Top of Casing Elev. (site		
Location: Driller/Method:	Tacoma, WA Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow Stom	Augor /	Anglo			Depth to Water		
Sampling Method		/ Hollow Stern	Auger - A	higie			Start/Finish Date	10/15/2013	
Depth / Elevation Bo	prehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)
(feet)	Flushmount			(PPIII)		e visiona vis	∖Asphalt over concrete.		/
	monument, lockable						No logging or sampling.		' 1
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ \end{vmatrix}$	thermos cap, concrete seal 0'-4'								- 2 - 3
									- 4
5 -							Boring drilled 32 degrees from v	ortical porpondicular to	- 5
6 -							the building.		+ 6
7 -									+ 7
8 + 9 +									- 8 - 9
10-	2" diameter, schedule								-10
11-	40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-51'								-11
12-									-12
13- 14-									-13 -14
15-									-15
16-									-16
17-									-17
18-									-18
19- 20-	Hydrated bentonite								+19 +20
21-	chips, 4'-48'								-21
22-									-22
23-									-23
24 <i>-</i> 25-									-24 -25
26-									-26
27-									-27
28-									-28
29- 30-									-29 -30
31 -									-31
32-									-32
33-									-33
34 35									-34 -35
36-									-36
37-									-37
38-									-38
39+									-39
40+									-40 -41
42-									-42
43-							Well screen is completed in adv	ance outwash beneath	-43
44 -							Morrell's Dry Cleaners, 27 to 38	feet west-northwest of	-44
45+							monument, and 43 to 60 feet be	low ground surface.	+45
46+ 47+									-46 -47
48-									-48
49-	10/20 sand filter pack,								-49
Sampler Ty	48'-71' /pe:		PID - I	Photoioniz	ation De	tector	Logged by:	AET	- L
O No Recovery				Static Wat			Approved by	y: ALN	
			-≚ V	Vater Leve	ei (ATD)		Figure No.		

Monitoring Well Construction Log Project Name: Walker Chevrolet Location:	
Project Name: Walker Chevrolet Ground Surface Elev. (site datum) Location: Tacoma, WA Top of Casing Elev. (site datum) Driller/Method: Cascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Depth to Water Sampling Method: No samples Start/Finish Date 10/15/2013 Elevelori Borehole Completion Samples Tests PD 0 Blowd Material 51	
Location: Tacoma, WA Top of Casing Elev. (site datum) 277.97 ft Driller/Method: Cascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Depth to Water Sampling Method: No samples Start/Finish Date 10/15/2013 Depth 7 Borehole Completion Sampling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Depth 10 Water Start/Finish Date 10/15/2013 10/15/2013 Depth 7 Borehole Completion Sampling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Description 51 2 Gascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Description 52 3 3 Feedback Plo 53 4 9 Plo Image: Start/Finish Date Description 54 9 0.002 inch. schedule 0.002 inch. schedule 0.002 inch. schedule 0.002 inch. schedule 66 0 0.002 inch. schedule 0.002 inch. schedule Image: Start/Finish Date Image: Start/Finish Date 67 0.002 inch. schedule 0.002 inch. schedule Image: Start/Finish Date Image: Start/Finish Date Image: Start/Finish Date 66 0.002 inch. schedule 0.002 inch. schedule Image: Start/Finish Date Image: Start/Finish Date Image: Start/Finish Date 77 1 10/15/20 schedule Image: Start/Finish Date	
Driller/Method: Cascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Depth to Water Sampling Method: No samples Start/Finish Date 10/15/2013 Depth (det) Borehole Completion Samples Tests Pip Blow// or Material Description 51 - - - - - - - - 52 - - - - - - - - 53 - - - - - - - - 54 - - - - - - - - 55 - - - - - - - - 56 - - - - - - - - 57 - - - - - - - - 58 - - - - - - - - 62 - - - - - - - - 63 - - - - - - - - 64 - - - - -<	
Sampling Method: No samples Start/Finish Date 10/15/2013 Deptri / Elevation Borehole Completion Sample Type/ID Tests (ppm) Blower/ 8" Material Type Description 51 52 53 - </td <td></td>	
Depti/ Elevation (feed) Borehole Completion Sample Type/D Tests PID (ppm) Blow/ 8' Material Type Description 51 - 52 - 53 - 54 - 55 - 56 - 56 - 57 - 58 - 66 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 64 - 65 - 66 - 66 - 66 - 66 - 66 - 67 - 72 - 72 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73	
(rec) TypeID (spin) 0 TypeID 51 2 52 53 54 55 56 0.020-inch, schedule 40 PVC screen, S1-71 58 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	Dep
52- 2° diameter, 53- 2° diameter, 65- 0.020-inch, schedule 40 PVC screen, 51-71° 58- 59- 60- 61- 62- 63- 66- 67- 68- 66- 67- 68- 68- 68- 69- 70- 71- 72- 73- 74- 75- 76- 77- 78- 78- 79- 80-	(ft
53- 2 54- 2 55- 0.020-inch, schedule 40 PVC screen, 51-71* 58- 59- 60- 61- 62- 63- 64- 65- 66- 67- 68- 69- 70- 71- 72- 73- 74- 75- 75- 76- 77- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 80-	-51
54- 2* diameter, 56- 0.20-brch, schedule 40 PVC screen, 51'-71' 58- 59- 60- 61- 62- 63- 66- 66- 67- 68- 69- 69- 70- 71- 73- 74- 75- 76- 77- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 79- 80-	+52
55 2' diameter, 0.020/toch, schedule 40 PVC screen, 51*71' 58 40 PVC screen, 51*71' 60 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 64 - 65 - 66 - 67 - 68 - 69 - 71 - 72 - 73 - 74 - 75 - 76 - 77 - 78 - 79 - 80 - 80 -	+53
56- 0.020-hch, schedule 40 PVC screen, 51*71* 58- 59- 60- 61- 62- 63- 64- 65- 66- 67- 68- 69- 70- 71- 71- 72- 73- 74- 75- 76- 77- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 78- 79- 80-	+54
30 40 PVC screen, 51*71* 58 - 59 - 60 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 64 - 65 - 66 - 67 - 68 - 69 - 70 - 71 - 72 - 73 - 74 - 75 - 76 - 77 - 78 - 79 - 80 -	+55
58 - 59 - 59 - 60 - 61 - 62 - 62 - 63 - 64 - 65 - 66 - 66 - 67 - 66 - 70 - 74 - 71 - 74 - 75 - 76 - 76 - 77 - 78 - 78 - 79 - 80 -	+56
59-1 1 60-1 1 61-2 1 62-3 1 63-4 1 64-7 1 65-7 1 66-8 1 67-7 1 68-8 1 69-9 1 70-7 1 71-7 1 73-7 1 73-7 1 74-7 1 75-7 1 76-7 1 77-8 1 78-7 1 79-8 1 80-1 1	+58
60 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 64 - 65 - 66 - 67 - 68 - 67 - 68 - 67 - 68 - 69 - 70 - 70 - 70 - 71 - 73 - 74 - 73 - 74 - 75 - 76 - 77 - 80 -	-59
62 - 63 - 64 - 63 - 66 - 66 - 65 - 66 - 67 - 66 - 67 - 68 - 69 - 70 - 70 - 71 - 71 - 72 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 74 - 75 - 76 - 76 - 77 - 78 - 79 - 80 - 80 -	+60
63 64 64 65 65 66 67 68 69 70 70 70 71 73 72 73 73 73 74 75 76 76 77 78 79 80	+61
64 64 65 66 66 67 68 69 60 <td< td=""><td>+62</td></td<>	+62
65- 65- 66- 67- 68- 69- 70- 70- 71- 71- 73- 73- 74- 75- 76- 76- 77- 78- 78- 79- 80- 60	+63
66 - -	+64
67 68 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 60 <td< td=""><td>+65</td></td<>	+65
68 Image: Constraint of the second constraint of t	+67
69 Image: Constraint of the second constraint of t	+68
71 - Image: Constraint of the second constraint of	+69
72- 73- Bottom of boring is do reet below ground surface. 73- 74- 74- 75- 76- 77- 78- 78- 79- 80-	+70
72- 73- 74- 75- 76- 77- 78- 79- 80-	+71
74- 75- 76- 77- 78- 79- 80-	+72
75- 76- 77- 78- 79- 80-	+73
76- 77- 78- 79- 80-	-75
77 - 78 - 78 - 79 - 80 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	+76
79- 80-	+77
80-	+78
	+79
81+	+80
	+81
	-83
	-84
85-	+85
86-	+86
87-	+87
	+88
89+ 90+	+89
91-	+9
	+92
93 -	+93
94-	+94
95-	+95
	+96
97+ 98+	+97 +98
98+ 99- 99-	-98
Sampler Type: PID - Photoionization Detector Logged by: AET	
○ No Recovery ▼ Static Water Level Approved by: ALN	
Figure No.	

		∍⊥				Mor	nite	oring Well Construction Log	
					oject Nur 08019	nber		Well Number Sheet MW-18 1 of 2	
Project Name:	Walker Chev	rolet						Ground Surface Elev. (site datum)	
Location:	Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev. (site datum) 277.80 f	ft
Driller/Method:	Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow S	tem Auger	- Angle				Depth to Water	
Sampling Method	1: No samples							Start/Finish Date 10/16/2013	
Depth / Elevation B (feet)	orehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PIE (ppr		/ Mate Ty	erial /pe	Description	Dep (ft
	Flushmount					17 M. P.	5 8 (1997)	Asphalt over concrete.	1
	monument, lockable thermos cap, concrete							No logging or sampling, strong solvent-like odor in cuttings.	+ 2
	seal 0'-4'							outings.	+ 3
4 +									+ 4
5 -								Boring drilled 45 degrees from vertical, perpendicular	to + 5
6 + 7 +								the building.	+ 6 + 7
8 -									8
9 +									+ 9
10-	2" diameter, schedule								+10
11-	40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-65'								+1'
12-	,								+ 12
13+									+13 +14
15-									-15
16-									+16
17-									+17
18-									+18
19-									+19
20+ 21+	Hydrated bentonite chips, 4'-62'								+20 +2
22-									-22
23-									+23
24-									-24
25-									-25
26-									+26
27 <i>-</i> 28 <i>-</i>									+27 +28
29-									-29
30-									-30
31-									+3
32-									-32
33- 34-									+33 +34
34 - 35 -									-32
36-									-36
37-									-37
38-									-38
39-									+39
40 - 41 - 42 - 43 - 44 - 45 - 46 - 47 - 48 - 49 - Sampler T ◯ No Recovery									+40 +41
41-									-42
43-									-43
44-									+44
45-									-45
46-									+46
47 - 48 -									+47 +48
48-									49
Sampler T	уре:		PID	- Photoior	 ization Г	Detecto	or	Logged by: AET	
No Recovery			Ţ	Static Wa					
,			Σ	Water Le				Approved by: ALN	
								Figure No.	

			. .				Ν	Ionit	oring	g Well Construct	tion Log	
		Aspec				-	ct Numb	er		Well Number	Sheet	
						30	30190			MW-18	2 of 2	
Project N		Walker Chev	rolet							Ground Surface Elev. (
Location:		Tacoma, WA	(Top of Casing Elev. (sit	e <u>datum) 277.00 it</u>	
Driller/Me		Cascade Drilling No samples	/ Hollow S	stem Auger	- Angle	e				Depth to Water Start/Finish Date	10/16/2013	
Depth /						010	Blows/					
Elevation (feet)	Bor	ehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests		(ppm)	6"	Material Type		Description	n	Depth (ft)
Elevation		10/20 sand filter pack, 62'-85' 2" diameter, 0.020-inch schedule 40 PVC screen, 65'-85' Threaded PVC endcap	Sample Type/ID	Tests		PID (ppm)		Material Type	Morre	screen is completed in ad all's Dry Cleaners, 46 to 6 iment, and 46 to 60 feet to more boring is 60 feet bel	dvance outwash beneath 0 feet west-northwest of below ground surface	$\begin{array}{c} -51 \\ -52 \\ -53 \\ -54 \\ -55 \\ -56 \\ -57 \\ -58 \\ -59 \\ -60 \\ -61 \\ -62 \\ -63 \\ -64 \\ -65 \\ -66 \\ -67 \\ -68 \\ -69 \\ -70 \\ -71 \\ -72 \\ -73 \\ -74 \\ -75 \\ -76 \\ -77 \\ -78 \\ -79 \\ -80 \\ -81 \\ -82 \\ -88 \\ -87 \\ -88 \\ -87 \\ -88 \\ -87 \\ -88 \\ -87 \\ -88 \\ -87 \\ -90 \\ -91 \\ -92 \\ -93 \\ -94 \\ -95 \\ -96 \\ -97 \\ -98 \end{array}$
99-												-99
	mpler Typ	be:					ation Det	ector		Logged by	y: AET	
O No Re	ecovery			¥ ⊻			r Level (ATD)			Approved	by: ALN	
							. /			Figure No		

		- L			Ν	<i>l</i> lonit	oring Well Construction Lo	bg	
		G NG			ct Numb 30190	er	Well Number MW-19	Sheet 1 of 2	
Project Name:	Walker Chev	rolet					Ground Surface Elev. (site datun	n)	
Location:	Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev. (site <u>datum)</u>	278.15 ft	
Driller/Method:	Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow Stem Au	uger - Ang	le			Depth to Water		
Sampling Method:	Dames & Moore						Start/Finish Date10	/17/2013	
Depth / Elevation Bo (feet)	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description	C	Dep (ft
$ \begin{array}{c} & (feet) \\ 1 & - \\ 2 & - \\ 3 & - \\ 4 & - \\ 5 & - \\ 6 & - \\ 7 & - \\ 8 & - \\ 9 & - \\ 10 - \\ 11 - \\ 12 - \\ 13 - \\ 14 - \\ 15 - \\ 16 - \\ 17 - \\ 18 - \\ 19 - \\ \end{array} $	Flushmount monument, lockable thermos cap, concrete seal 0'-2' 2" diameter, schedule 40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-45'		Tests				Description Asphalt. Qvt Very dense, moist, brown, slightly silty, (SP-SM); diamict fabric, fine to medium solvent-like odor. Very dense, moist, brown gray, silty, gra (SM); diamict fabric, solvent-like odor, p fine sand, fine to coarse gravel. Trace gravel. Qva Very dense, moist, orange brown, slight SAND (SP); fine to medium sand, solve Trace silt. Trace fine gravel, slight solvent-like odo	gravelly SAND sand,	$\int_{-1}^{0} \int_{-1}^{0} \int_{-1}^{0$
46+ 47+ 48+ 49+								+	-4 -4 -4
Sampler Ty	pe:		PID - Pho	otoioniza	ation De	tector	Logged by: AET		
O No Recovery			⊈ Stat	ic Wate			Approved by: ALN		
■ 3.25" OD D&N Ring Sampler			⊻ Wate	er Level	(ATD)				
							Figure No.		_

			.1				Ν	Ionit	oring Well Construct	ion Log	
					Ρ		ct Numb 30190	er	Well Number MW-19	Sheet 2 of 2	
Project N	lame:	Walker Chev							Ground Surface Elev. (s		
Location:		Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev. (site	e datum) 278.15 ft	
Driller/Me	ethod:	Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow S	tem Auger	- Angle				Depth to Water		
	Method:	Dames & Moore						1	Start/Finish Date	10/17/2013	
Depth / Elevation (feet)	Bo	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	PI (pp	ID om)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)
51-							50/6		Wet, red brown.		-51
52-											-52
53-											-53
54 - 55 -		2" diameter,									-54 -55
56-		0.020-inch, schedule									-56
57-		40 PVC screen, 45'-60'									-57
58-											-58
59 <i>-</i> 60 <i>-</i>		Threaded PVC endcap									-59 -60
61 -							50/6		Very dense, wet, dark red brow coarse sand, trace fine gravel.	n SAND (SP); fine to	A-61
62-									Bottom of boring is 60.5 feet be	elow ground surface.	-62
63-											-63
64 - 65 -											-64 -65
66-											-66
67-											-67
68- 69-											-68 -69
70-											-70
71-											-71
72-											-72
73- 74-											-73 -74
75-											-75
76-											-76
77 -											-77
78 <i>-</i> 79-											-78 -79
80-											-80
81-											-81
82- 83-											-82 -83
84 -											-84
85-											-85
86-											-86
87 <i>-</i> 88-											-87 -88
89-											-89
90-											-90
91-											-91
92- 93-											-92 -93
94 -											-94
95-											-95
96 - 97 -											-96 -97
98-											-98
99-											-99
Sa	mpler Ty	pe:		PID	- Photoio	niza	tion De	tector	Logged by:	AET	
O No R	ecovery			Ţ	Static W						
3.25"	OD D&M Sampler	l Split-Spoon		Ţ	Water Lo				Approved b	by: ALN	
									Figure No.		

		١			L					Ν	Ionit	oring	g Well Construct	ion Log		
			Aspec	7	ſ					ct Numb	er		Well Number		Sheet	
									0	30190			MW-20		1 of 2	
Project N			Walker Chev	ro	let								Ground Surface Elev. (
Location:			Tacoma, WA										Top of Casing Elev. (sit	e <u>datum)</u>	278.03 ft	
Driller/Me			Cascade Drilling	/	Hollow S	Stem A	uger -	Angl	е				Depth to Water			
	Meth	nod:	No samples									1	Start/Finish Date	10/11	/2013	
Depth / Elevation (feet)		Bor	ehole Completion	S T	Sample Гуре/ID		Tests		PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type		Description	1		Depth (ft)
1 -	\mathbb{X}		Flushmount									Aspha				-1
2 -	\boxtimes		monument, lockable thermos cap, concrete									No log	gging or sampling.			- 2
3 -			seal 0'-2'													- 3
4 -																- 4
5 -																- 5
6 +																+ 6
7 + 8 +																- 7 - 8
9 -																- 9
10-			2" diameter, schedule													-10
11-			40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-45'													-11
12-			connections, 0-45													-12
13-																-13
14 <i>-</i> 15-																+14 +15
15-																-15
17-																-17
18-																-18
19-																-19
20-			Hydrated bentonite													-20
21-			chips, 2'-42'													-21
22- 23-																-22 -23
23																-24
25-																-25
26-																-26
27-																-27
28- 29-																-28 -29
29- 30-																-29 -30
31 -																-31
32-																-32
33-																-33
34 -																-34
35-																-35
36- 37-																-36 -37
37 - 38 -																-37
39-																-39
40-																-40
41-																-41
42-																-42
43-			10/20 sand filter pack, 42'-60'													-43
44 <i>-</i> 45-																-44 -45
45-	ΙĒ															45
47-	ΙE															-47
48-	E															-48
49-	ΙE															-49
	mple		be:		I	1	PID -	Pho	toioniza	ation Det	ector	I	Logged by	: AET		L
O No Re	ecove	ery					-			r Level			Approved	by: ALN		
							<u> </u>	Wate	r Level	(ATD)			Figure No			

	I						Ν	Ionit	oring Well Constructi	on Log	
		CONSULTIN	JT IG		F		ct Numb 30190	er	Well Number MW-20	Sheet 2 of 2	
Project Na	me:	Walker Chev							Ground Surface Elev. (si		
Location:		Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev. (site		
Driller/Met	hod	Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow S	Stem Auger	- Anale				Depth to Water		
		No samples	/ 11011011 0	storn / tagor	7 anglo				Start/Finish Date	10/11/2013	
							Blows/				1_
Depth / Elevation (feet)	Bor	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests	()	PID ppm)	6"	Material Type	Description		Dep (ft)
51-	目										-51
52-											+52
53 -											+53
54 -											+54
55 -		2" diameter, 0.020-inch, schedule									+55
56 -		40 PVC screen, 45'-60'									+56
57											+57
58- 59-											+58 +59
59 - 60 -		Threaded PVC endcap									
61 -									Bottom of boring is 60 feet below	w ground surface.	+61
62											+62
63 -											+63
64 -											+64
65-											+65
66 -											+66
67 -											+67
68-											+68
69-											+69
70+ 71+											+70 +71
72											-72
73-											+73
74 -											+74
75-											+75
76-											+76
77 -											+77
78-											-78
79-											+79
80-											+80
81- 82-											-81 -82
83-											+83
84 -											+84
85-											+85
86-											-86
87 -											+87
88-											+88
89-											-89
90+											+90
91 -											+9
92											+92 +93
93- 94-											+9
94 – 95 –											-92
96-											-96
97 -											+97
98-											-98
99-											-99
San	npler Ty	pe:	I	PID	- Photoi	ioniza	ation Def	ector	Logged by:	AET	
O No Re	covery			Ţ	Static \	Wate	r Level				
				∇	Water I				Approved b	y: ALN	
									Figure No.		

		CT		Proie	ct Num	ber	Coring Well Construct Well Number	Sheet	
	CONSULTI				80190		MW-21	1 of 2	
Project Name:	Walker Chev						Ground Surface Elev. (s		
ocation:	Tacoma, WA						Top of Casing Elev. (site		
Driller/Method:	Cascade Drilling	g / Hollow Ste	m Auger - An	qle			Depth to Water		
Sampling Metho	d: Dames & Moore			0			Start/Finish Date	10/17/2013	
Depth / Elevation E	Borehole Completion	Sample	Tests	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Materia	Description		De
(feet)	Flushmount	Type/ID		(ppin)	0	Type	Asphalt.		<u>(</u>
	monument, lockable						Qvt		-'† :
2 + 22 2 3 +	thermos cap, concrete seal 0'-2'						Very dense, moist, brown, silty diamict fabric, fine to medium s	, gravelly SAND (SM);	
4									Ţ
5 -									+
6 +				0.0	50/6		-		+
7 -							-		+
3 +							-		+
э –									+
0+	2" diameter, schedule			10 5	26		-		+
1-	40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-45'		VOC/FOC	10.5	50/6		-		+ '
2+									+
3+						周田			+
1-									†.
5+			VOC/FOC	165	50/6		-		t
6+ 7+							-		ļ
3-							-		+
9+									Ļ
)+	Hydrated bentonite						-		+
+	chips, 2'-42'			0.0	50/6		-		+
2-									+:
3-							-		+:
4+									+:
5+			VOC/FOC	0.0	50/6		-		+2
6-							-		+:
7+							-		+
3+ 9+							-		
)+									;
1+				0.0	50/6		-1		+
2+							-		+;
3-									+;
1-									+;
5+				0.0	50/5		Qva		+
6+				0.0			Very dense, moist, red brown,	slightly gravelly SAND	+;
7-							(SP); fine to medium sand.		+;
3-									+
9+ 0+									
		,	VOC/FOC	0.0	50/6		Brown.		Ţ
2	l.								Ļ
3+	10/20 sand filter pack,								+
I-	42'-60.5'								+
5+				0.0	50/6		Red brown, trace fine gravel.		+
3-1 目:	·			0.0	00/0				+
	-								+
3† ∃						· \ \ \			+4
)+ 目:	•					۱.			+·
Sampler T	ype:		PID - Ph	otoioniza	ation De	tector	Logged by	: AET	
No Recovery			⊈ Sta	tic Wate	er Level		۸ ممرور م	w ALN	
3.25" OD D& Ring Sample	M Split-Spoon r		⊻ Wa	ter Leve	(ATD)		Approved		
				5,0	···· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Figure No.		

	I		.				Nonit	ring Well Construction Log			
		Aspec	T			ect Num	ber	Well Number	Sheet		
Draiget N		Walker Chev			0	80190		MW-21	2 of 2		
Project Na Location:		Tacoma, WA	IUIEL					Ground Surface Elev. (s Top of Casing Elev. (site			
Driller/Me		Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow S	tem Auger -	Angle			Depth to Water			
		: Dames & Moore		ion / lagor	, anglo			Start/Finish Date	10/17/2013		
Depth / Elevation		prehole Completion			PID	Blows/	Materia			Dep	
(feet)			Sample Type/ID	Tests	(ppm)	6"	Туре	Description		(fl	
51-					0.0	50/6		 Very dense, moist, brown, sligh fine sand. 	itly silty SAND (SP-SM);	+5	
52-										+52	
53-										+5	
54 - 55 -		2" diameter,					/	_ 		+5 +5	
56-		0.020-inch, schedule		VOC/FO	0.0	50/6		Very dense, wet, brown, SAND sand.	(SP); fine to medium	+5	
57-		40 PVC screen, 45'-60'								+5	
58-										+5	
59-		TI 1.00/00 1								+5	
60 <i>-</i> 61 <i>-</i>	·····	Threaded PVC endcap			0.0	50/6	<u></u>	Bottom of boring is 60.5 feet be	low ground surface	+6 +6	
62-							1			-6	
63-										+6	
64-										+6	
65-										+6	
66 + 67 +										+6 +6	
68-										+6	
69-										+6	
70-									+7		
71-										+7	
72- 73-										+7 +7	
74 -										+7	
75-										+7	
76-										+70	
77 -										+7	
78- 79-										+7 +7	
80-										-8	
81-										+8	
82-										+8	
83- 84-										+8	
85 -										-8	
86-										-8	
87 -										+8	
88-										+8	
89 - 90 -										+8 -9	
91-										+9	
92-										+9	
93-										+9	
94 - 95 -							1			+9 -9	
95 - 96 -										-9	
97 -							1			-9	
98-										+9	
99-										+9	
Sai	mpler Ty	vpe:		PID -	- Photoioniz	ation De	tector	Logged by	AET		
	ecovery			Ţ	Static Wate	er Level		A			
1 3.25" Ring 8	OD D&N Sampler	/ Split-Spoon		$\overline{\Delta}$	Water Leve	l (ATD)		Approved I	by. ALIN		
						,		Figure No.			

		ļ		.				Ν	/lonit	ing Well Construction Log			
			Aspec	JT				ct Numb	ber	Well Number	Sheet		
							08	80190		VE-1	1 of 1		
Project N		:	Walker Chev	rolet						Ground Surface Elev. (site dat			
Location:			Tacoma, WA		<u> </u>					Top of Casing Elev. (site <u>datur</u>	n)		
Driller/Me			Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow	Stem Auger	- Angl	le			Depth to Water	10/21/2013		
Depth /	g Me	inod:	No samples								10/21/2013		
Elevation (feet)		Bo	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Test	S	PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Depth (ft)	
1 +			Flushmount monument, lockable							Concrete. No logging or sampling, strong solven		-1	
2 -	EK -	X	thermos cap, concrete							cuttings.		- 2	
3 -			seal 0'-2'									- 3	
4 +											-	- 4	
5 - 6 -			4" diameter, schedule 40 PVC, threaded							Boring drilled 45 degrees from vertica	l, perpendicular to	- 5 - 6	
			connections, 0'-25'							the building.			
8 -												- 8	
9 -												- 9	
10-			Hydrated bentonite									-10	
11-			chips, 2'-22'									-11	
12-											-	-12	
13-												-13	
14 <i>-</i> 15 <i>-</i>											-	-14 -15	
16-												-16	
17-												-17	
18-												-18	
19-												-19	
20-												-20	
21-											-	-21	
22- 23-			10/20 sand filter pack,								-	-22 -23	
23			22'-45'									-24	
25-	Ŀ									Well screen is completed in glacial till Dry Cleaners building, 18 to 32 feet w	beneath Morrell's	-25	
26-	E									near-surface manifold, and 18 to 32 fe	eet below ground	-26	
27 -	E	<u> </u>								surface		-27	
28-	E											-28	
29-	E										-	-29	
30- 31-	E											-30 -31	
32-	E										-	-32	
33-	k F	3										-33	
34 -	ΙE											-34	
35-	E		4" diameter,									-35	
36-	E		0.020-inch, schedule 40 PVC screen, 25'-45'								-	-36	
37 - 38 -	Ē											-37 -38	
30-	E											- 30 - 39	
40-	E	1:1										-40	
41-	F											-41	
42-	ŀ E	┋╢										-42	
43-	E	1: E									-	-43	
44 -			Throaded DV/O and a								· · · · ·	-44	
45- 46-			Threaded PVC endcap							Bottom of boring is 32 feet below grou	und surface.	-45 -46	
40-											-	-47	
48-	1											-48	
49-	1											-49	
 Sa	Imple	er Ty	pe:		PID	- Pho	l toioniza	ation De	tector	Logged by: AE	T	L	
O No R	ecov	ery			¥ ⊻		c Wate			Approved by: AL	.N		
					<u>+</u>	Wate	er Leve	I (ATD)		Figure No.			
•										.			

Project Number Well Number Sheet VE-2 1 of 1 Project Name: Walker Chevrolet Location: Tacoma, WA Driller/Method: Cascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle	Depth
Project Name: Walker Chevrolet Ground Surface Elev. (site datum) 273.81 Location: Tacoma, WA Top of Casing Elev. (site datum) 273.81 Driller/Method: Cascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Depth to Water	Depth
Location: Tacoma, WA Top of Casing Elev. (site datum) Driller/Method: Cascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Depth to Water	Depth
Driller/Method: Cascade Drilling / Hollow Stem Auger - Angle Depth to Water	Depth
	Depth
	Depth
Sampling Method: No samples Start/Finish Date 10/21/2013	Depth
Elevation Borehole Completion Sample Tests (ppm) 6" Type Description	(ft)
1 + Concrete.	1
2 + 22 thermos cap, concrete cuttings.	- 2
3 - seal 0'-2'	- 3
	- 4
5 + 4" diameter, schedule 40 PVC, threaded Boring drilled 45 degrees from vertical, perpendicula	to $\frac{1}{5}$
6 + connections, 0'-25' the building.	- 6 - 7
	- 8
	- 9
10 - Hydrated bentonite	-10
11 - chips, 2'-22'	-11
	-12
	-13
	- 14 - 15
	-16
	-17
18-	-18
19-	-19
	-20
	-21 -22
22 10/20 sand filter pack, 23 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2	-23
24 - Well screen is completed in glacial till beneath Morre	21
25 Dry Cleaners building, 18 to 32 feet west-northwest of Dry Cleaners building, 18 to 32 feet west-northwest of	+25
26 - near-surface manifold, and 18 to 32 feet below grour surface.	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 + 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 28 + 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline & & & & \end{bmatrix}$	-27 -28
	-29
	-30
	-31
	-32
	-33
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-34 -35
36 - 0.020-inch, schedule	-36
37 + 40 PVC screen, 25-45'	-37
	-38
	-39
	-40
	-41 -42
	42
	-44
45 - Threaded PVC endcap Bottom of boring is 32 feet below ground surface.	
	-46
	-47
	+48
	-49
Sampler Type: PID - Photoionization Detector Logged by: AET No Recovery ¥ Static Water Level AET	
☑ No Recovery ▼ Static Water Level Approved by: ALN ☑ Water Level (ATD)	
Figure No.	

Monitoring W								oring Well Constructi	ng Well Construction Log					
					Γ					ct Numb	er	Well Number	Sheet	
				١G	;				08	80190		VE-3	1 of 2	
Project Na	ame		Walker Chev	ro	let							Ground Surface Elev. (si	ite datum) 273.92 ft	
Location:			Tacoma, WA									Top of Casing Elev. (site	adatum)	
Driller/Met	thod	:	Cascade Drilling	/	Hollow S	tem /	Auger	- Angl	е			Depth to Water		
Sampling	Met	hod:	No samples					-				Start/Finish Date	10/22/2013	
Depth /			rehole Completion		Sample				PID	Blows/	Material			Depth
Elevation (feet)		БО	renole Completion	ĩ	Type/ID		Tests		(ppm)	6"	Туре	Description		(ft)
1 -	×.		Flushmount								Constant of the	Concrete.		/ ₁
2 -			monument, lockable thermos cap, concrete									No logging or sampling, strong scuttings.	solvent-like odor in	- 2
3 -			seal 0'-2'									cuttings.		- 3
4 -														- 4
5 -			4" diameter, schedule									Boring drilled 45 degrees from v	ortical parpondiaular t	- 5
6 -			40 PVC, threaded connections, 0'-44'									the building.	ventical, perpendicular t	- 6
7 -														- 7
8 -														- 8
9 -														- 9
10-			Hydrated bentonite chips, 2'-41'											+10
11 <i>-</i> 12 <i>-</i>														+11 -12
12-														-12
14-														-14
15-														-15
16-														-16
17-														-17
18-														-18
19-													-19	
20-													-20	
21-												-21		
22-											+22			
23- 24-														-23 -24
24														-25
26-														-26
27-														-27
28-														-28
29-														-29
30-														-30
31-														+31
32- 33-														-32 -33
34-														-33 - 34
35-														-35
36-														-36
37-														-37
38-														-38
39-														-39
40-														-40
41-		÷.]												-41
42-			10/20 sand filter pack, 41'-64'									Well screen is completed in adv		+42
43-												Morrell's Dry Cleaners building, west-northwest of near-surface	31 to 45 feet	-43
44 + 45 +	E											feet below ground surface.	mannoiu, anu 51 to 45	-44 -45
45-	E													-45 -46
40 - 47 -	E													40
48-	E													-48
49-	E													-49
	E	ti l										-		
	mple		pe:							ation De	tector	Logged by:	AEI	
🖸 No Re	ecov	əry					₹ ∑			r Level I (ATD)		Approved b	by: ALN	
										、 - /		Figure No.		
		_												

		Acros	• T				N	Ionit	itoring Well Construction Log				
		Aspec	J				ct Numb	er	Well Number	Sheet			
-						08	30190		VE-3	2 of 2			
Project Na	ame:	Walker Chev	rolet						Ground Surface Elev. (s				
Location:		Tacoma, WA		<u>.</u>					Top of Casing Elev. (site	datum)			
Driller/Met		Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow :	Stem Auger	- Angle	9			Depth to Water Start/Finish Date	10/22/2013			
		No samples					Diama (10/22/2010			
Depth / Elevation (feet)	Bor	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests		PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type	Description		Dept (ft)		
51-		4" diameter, 0.020-inch, schedule									-51		
52-		40 PVC screen, 44'-64'									+52		
53-											-53		
54 –											-54		
55-											-55		
56 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57 - 57 -	目										+56 +57		
58-											-58		
59-											-59		
60 -											+60		
61 -											+61		
62-											+62		
63- 64-		Threaded PVC endcap									-63 64		
65-		Threaded T VO chucap							Bottom of boring is 45 feet belo	w ground surface.	+65		
66 -											-66		
67-											+67		
68-											+68		
69-											+69		
70+ 71+											+70 +71		
72-											+72		
73-											-73		
74-											+74		
75-											+75		
76- 77-											+76 +77		
78-											-78		
79-											-79		
80-											-80		
81-											-81		
82- 83-											+82		
84 -											+83 +84		
85-											-85		
86-											-86		
87-											+87		
88-											+88		
89 - 90 -											+89 -90		
90 - 91 -											-90 -91		
92-											+92		
93-											+93		
94 -											+94		
95-											+95		
96 - 97 -											+96 +97		
97 - 98 -											-97		
99-											-99		
	npler Typ	ne:				olen!-		l	Logged by:	ΔΕΤ			
O No Re		μ α .					ation Det	ector	Logged by:				
	covery			⊥ ⊽			r Level		Approved b	by: ALN			
				$\overline{\Delta}$	Wate	r Level	(ATD)						
									Figure No.				

Manach										N	Ionit	oring	ring Well Construction Log			
			Aspec	7	ſ					ct Numb	er		Well Number		Sheet	
									0	80190			VE-4		1 of 2	
Project Na	ame:		Walker Chev	ro	let								Ground Surface Elev. (s		273.53 ft	
Location:			Tacoma, WA										Top of Casing Elev. (site	e <u>datum)</u>		
Driller/Met			Cascade Drilling	/	Hollow St	tem A	uger -	Angl	е				Depth to Water			
	Meth	nod:	No samples									1	Start/Finish Date	10/18/	2013	
Depth / Elevation (feet)		Bore	ehole Completion		Sample Type/ID		Tests		PID (ppm)	Blows/ 6"	Material Type		Description			Depth (ft)
	×.	1	Flushmount monument, lockable								2 0 2 0 4 0 0	Conc				- 1
2 -	X		hermos cap, concrete									NO IO	gging or sampling, strong as.	solvent-like	odor in	- 2
3 -		5	seal 0'-2'										3			- 3
4 -																- 4
5 -			4" diameter, schedule 40 PVC, threaded									Borin	g drilled 40 degrees from	vertical, perp	endicular to	- 5
$\frac{6}{7}$			connections, 0'-39'										uilding.			- 6
7 + 8 +																- 7 - 8
9 -																- 9
10-		H	Hydrated bentonite													-10
11-		C C	chips, 2'-37'													-11
12-																-12
13-																-13
14-																-14
15+ 16+																+15 +16
17-																-17
18-																-18
19-																-19
20-																-20
21-																-21
22-																-22
23- 24-																-23 -24
25-																-25
26-																-26
27-																-27
28-																-28
29-																-29
30+ 31+																-30 -31
32-																-32
33-																-33
34 -																-34
35-																-35
36-																-36
37-			10/20 sand filter pack,									Well	screen is completed in ad	vance outwa	sh beneath	-37
38- 39-		· · .	10/20 sand filter раск, 37'-59'									Morre west-	ell's Dry Cleaners building, northwest of near-surface	25 to 38 fee manifold an	t d 30 to 45	-38 -39
40-	E												elow ground surface.	, u		-40
41-	E															-41
42-	E															-42
43-	E															-43
44 -	E															-44
45-																-45
46	: ⊨															-46 -47
48-	E															-48
49-	Ë															-49
L San	∵. <u>⊢</u> npler	⊡ ∙ Typ	e:				PID -	Phot	toioniza	 ation Def	ector	<u> </u>	Logged by	AET		L
🖸 No Re							Ţ			er Level			Approved I			
							Σı	Wate	r Leve	I (ATD)				,		
L													Figure No.			

	I		.1				Ν	Ionitoring Well Construction Log					
		Aspec	T		P		ct Numb	er	Well Number	Sheet			
						08	80190		VE-4	2 of 2			
Project Na	ame:	Walker Chev	rolet						Ground Surface Elev. (s				
Location:		Tacoma, WA							Top of Casing Elev. (site	e <u>datum)</u>			
Driller/Me	thod:	Cascade Drilling	/ Hollow St	em Auger	- Angle				Depth to Water				
Sampling	Method:	No samples							Start/Finish Date	10/18/2013			
Depth / Elevation	Во	rehole Completion	Sample Type/ID	Tests		PID	Blows/	Material	Description		Dep		
(feet)	·	4" diameter,	Type/ID	10303	(p	pm)	6"	Туре	Decemption		(ft		
51-		0.020-inch, schedule									+5		
52-		40 PVC screen, 39'-59'									+52		
53-											+53		
54											+54		
55-											+5		
56 - 57 -											-5 -5		
58-											-58		
59 -		Threaded PVC endcap											
60-									Bottom of boring is 45 feet belo	ow ground surface.	+6		
61-											+6'		
62-											+62 +63		
63-													
64 -											+6		
65 - 66 -											+6 +6		
67 –											-67		
68-											+6		
69-											-69		
70-											+70		
71-											+7'		
72-											+72		
73-											+73		
74- 75-											+74 +75		
76-											-76		
77 -											+77		
78-											+78		
79-											+79		
80-											+80		
81-											+8		
82+ 83-											+82 +83		
84 –											-84		
85-											-8		
86-											-8		
87-											+8		
88-											-8		
89-											+8		
90+											-9 -9		
91 <i>-</i> 92 <i>-</i>											-9		
92 93-											-9		
94 -											+94		
95-											-9		
96-											+9		
97 -											-97		
98-											+98		
99-											+9		
Sai	mpler Ty	pe:	I	PID	- Photoic	oniza	ation De	tector	Logged by	: AET	-		
O No Re				Ţ	Static V								
_				Ţ					Approved	by: ALN			
				<u>-</u>	Water L	eve	I (ATD)						
									Figure No.				

WATER WELL REPORT	CURRENT	
Original & 1 st copy – Ecology, 2 nd copy – owner, 3 rd copy – driller	Notice of Intent No. <u>WE14640</u>	
ECOLOGY State of Washington Construction/Decommission ("x" in circle)	Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No. <u>BCN-811</u>	
Construction	Water Right Permit No.	
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION	_	
Notice of Intent Number	Property Owner Name Tacoma General Hospital	
PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal DeWater Irrigation Test Well Other	Well Street Address <u>315 MLK Way</u> City <u>Tacoma</u> County <u>Pierce</u>	
TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one)		
New well Reconditioned Method : Dug Bored Driven Deepened Cable Rotary Jetted		Or
DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well <u>8</u> inches, drilled <u>275</u> ft.	446500 ***	VM □
Depth of completed well 275ft.	Lat/Long Lat Deg Lat Min/Sec	
Casing \square Welded $\underline{8}^n$ Diam. from $\underline{+5}$ ft. to $\underline{265}$ ft.	Long Deg Long Min/Sec	
Installed: Liner installed? Diam. fromft. toft.	Tax Parcel No. (Required)200318001	
Installed: Liner installed" Diam. fromft. toft. Threaded" Diam. Fromft. toft.		
Perforations: 🗌 Yes 🖾 No	CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSION PROCEDURE	
Type of perforator used	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and structure, and the nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least one entry for ear	
SIZE of perfsin. byin. and no. of perfsfromft. toft. Screens: X Yes I No X K-Pac Location 264	of information. (USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY.)	chenange
	MATERIAL FROM	ТО
Manufacturer's Name Alloy Machine Works	Brown silty sand & gravel 0	30
Type Telescopic SS Model No. Diam. 7" Slot size 50 from 275 ft. to 265 ft.	Brown silt more sand 30	55
DiamSlot size from ft. to ft.	Gray silty clay with wood,occ	
Gravel/Filter packed: 🗌 Yes 🖾 No Size of gravel/sand	gravels 55	62
Materials placed from ft. to ft.	Brown siltbound sand gravel 62 Grav claybound sand gravel 70	70 78
Surface Seal: Yes Do To what depth? 32ft.	Gray claybound sand gravel 70 Brown clay silty sand gravel 78	86
Material used in seal bentonite 3/8 chips	Brn sand gravel-silty -loose 86	93
Did any strata contain unusable water?	Gray claybound sand gravel 93	104
Type of water? Depth of strata	Black brown sand-med to fine 104	125
Method of sealing strata off	Silty sand gravel w blk sand	
PUMP: Manufacturer's Name	with occ cobbles and silt lay 125	205
Type: H.P	Gray clay and silt 205	220
WATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level 300 ft.	Brown peat 220	225
Static level 215ft. below top of well Date 8-16-2012	Sand gravel layers some silt 225 Gray sandy clay and gravel 252	252 254
Artesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date	wb sand gravel some silt 254	275
Artesian water is controlled by (cap, valve, etc.)		
WELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level		
Was a pump test made? Xes I No If yes, by whom? TP&D		
Yield: 54 gal/min. with 23 ft. drawdown after 3 hrs.		
Yield:gal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs.	RECEIVED	
Yield:gal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs.	RADE REPUBLIC	
Recovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well top to water level)	SEP 2 5 2012	
Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	VA State Department //UG 2 7 2012	
	of Ecology (SWRO) DEPT OF LOOLOG	
Date of test 8-21-2012		
Bailer test gal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs.		
Airtest <u>40 gal./min.</u> with stem set at <u>264 ft</u> , for <u>3 hrs.</u>		
Artesian flowg.p.m. Date	Start Date <u>8-13-2012</u> Completed Date <u>8-16-201</u>	2
Temperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? 🔲 Yes 🔲 No		
	_	

WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION: I constructed and/or accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its compliance with all Washington well construction standards. Materials used and the information reported above are true to my best knowledge and belief.

Driller Engineer Trainee Name (Print) John Arfman	Drilling Company Tacoma Pump & Drilling
Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature	Address 30316 Mountain Highway
Driller or trainee License No. 2673	City, State, Zip Graham, WA 98338 , ,
IF TRAINEE: Driller's License No	Contractor's
Driller's Signature:	Registration No. TACOMPD203PF Date 8-20-2012

ECY 050-1-20 (Rev 02/10) If you need this document in an alternate format, please call the Water Resources Program at 360-407-6872. Persons with hearing loss can call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341.

WATER WELL REPORT Original & 1 st copy – Ecology, 2 nd copy – owner, 3 rd copy – driller	CURRENT Notice of Intent No. WE09787		
Construction/Decommission ("x" in circle)	Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No. BAK 935	i –	
Construction	Water Right Permit No.		,
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice	Property Owner Name Tacoma General Hospit		
-53552. of Intent Number	Well Street Address 315 Martin Luther King Jr.		
PROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal			
DeWater Irrigation Test Well Other	City Tacoma County Pierce		<u> </u>
TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one) New well Reconditioned Method : Dug Bored Driven Deepened Cable Rotary Jetted	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Location } \underline{\text{SW}_{1/4-1/4}} & \underline{\text{SE}}_{1/4} & \underline{\text{Sec}}_{22} & \underline{\text{Twn}_{21}} \\ \text{Lat/Long (s, t, r } & \underline{\text{Lat Deg}}_{22} & \underline{\text{Lat}}_{22} \\ \end{array}$	$\frac{R_{3}}{WW}$	M circle M one
DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well 8 inches, drilled 276.5 ft.		it with/Sec_	
Depth of completed well 278.5 ft.	Still REQUIRED) Long Deg Lo	ong Min/Se	c
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS Casing Welded 8 Diam. from +2 ft. to 268.5 ft.	Tax Parcel Nc 2003180011		
Threaded Thr	CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSIO		
Type of perforator used	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least information. (USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECE	t one entry for a	he kind and ach change of
SIZE of perfsin. byin, and no. of perfsfromft. toft. Screens: Ves No VecK-Pac Location 269.5	MATERIAL	FROM	то
Manufacturer's Name Alloy Machine Works	Asphalt	0	.5
ype 8" S.S. Telesope Model No	Gray clayey medium gravel	.5	11
$\frac{7''}{1}$ Slot size 05 from 268 5 ft to 278 5 ft	Brown silty medium sand & gravel	11	43
iamSlot sizefromft. toft. ravel/Filter packed: Yes WNo Size of gravel/sand	Brown medium to coarse silty sand	43	60
faterials placed fromft. toft.	Brown silty coarse sand & gravel	60	65
urface Seal: Yes No To what depth? 20' ft.	Dense brown clayey coarse sand, gravel, cobbles (till)	65	75
aterial used in seal <u>Bentonite Chips</u>	Wet brown & gray medium to coarse sand & gravel (till)	75	203
id any strata contain unusable water?	Gray clayey silt		
ype of water? Depth of strata	Gray clayey medium gravel	203	217
eniod of sealing strata off	Brownish-gray clayey medium sand & gravel; wet and	217 "	225
UMP: Manufacturer's Name	looser	223	252
ype:H.P	Water bearing gtrayish-brown silty medium sand	252	260
VATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea levelft.	& gravel		200
tatic level 213 ft. below top of well Date 4/22/09 rtesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date	Coarse gray silty sand & gravel - water bearing	260	276.5
Ites and pressure Ibs. per square inch Date		_	†
(cap, valve, etc.)			
VELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level			
/as a pump test made? Yes Yes No If yes, by whom?			
ield:gal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs. ield:gal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs.	I E E E		L <u>. </u>
ield:ft. drawdown afterhrs.			
covery duta (time täken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well p to water level)			
me Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level			11 77-
		EVE	IVE
ate of test		MAY O	<u>5 2009</u>
ailer testgal./min. withft. drawdown afterhrs. rtest <u>55</u> gal./min. with stem set at <u>268</u> ft. for 1.5hrs.	Job #09-1568-02 DEPARTMENT OF ECULOGY	Washing	on State
rtest <u>55</u> gal./min. with stem set at <u>268</u> ft. for <u>1.5</u> hrs. tesian flow	Der Der	partment	
mperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? Yes No			
" as a chemical analysis made? LI Yes LI No	Stat Data 04/15/2000		
	Start Date 04/15/2009 Completed	Date 04/22/2	2009

Driller D Engineer D Traince Name (Print) Matt Call	
Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature	
Driller or trainee License No. 25871	
If TRAINEE, Driller's Licensed No Driller's Signature	1

Registration No. TACOMPD203PF Date 05/01/2009

Drilling Company Tacoma Pump & Drilling Co. Inc.

Address 30316 Mountain Highway City, State, Zip Graham, WA 98338

Ecology is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

ECY 050-1-20 (Rev 3/05)

The Department of Ecology does NOT warranty the Data and/or Information on this Well Report.

Contractor's

WATER WELL REPORT Original & 1 st copy – Ecology, 2 ^{sd} copy – owner, 3 rd copy – driller	CURRENT Notice of Intent No. WE09787		
Construction/Decommission ("x" in circle)	Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No. <u>BAK 935</u>		
Construction	Water Right Permit No.		
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice	Property Owner Name Tacoma General Hospital		
353552 of Intent Number	Well Street Address ³¹⁵ Martin Luther King Jr. V		
ROPOSED USE: Domestic Industrial Municipal		<u></u>	
DeWater Irrigation Test Well Other Emergency	City Tacoma County Pierce	2 5904	
TYPE OF WORK: Owner's number of well (if more than one)	$\frac{1}{1} \text{Location } \frac{\text{SW}_{1/4-1/4}}{\text{SE}_{1/4}} \text{ Sec } \frac{32}{1} \text{ Twn}_{1}^{21}$	R or	
New well Reconditioned Method : Dug Bored Driven Deepened Cable Rotary Jetted	Lat/Long (s, t, r Lat Deg 47 Lat	Min/Sec 15	5/40
DIMENSIONS: Diameter of well <u>8</u> inches, drilled <u>276.5</u> ft.	Still REQUIRED) Long Deg <u>-122</u> Lor	ng Min/Sec	27/40
Depth of completed well <u>278.5</u> ft.	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	ig will bee	
CONSTRUCTION DETAILS	Tax Parcel No. na 2003180011		
Welded 8 " Diam. from +2 ft. to 266.5 ft. nstalled: Liner installed " Diam. fromft. toft. ft. toft. Threaded " Diam. fromft. toft. ft. toft.	CONSTRUCTION OR DECOMMISSION	PROCEDU	RE
Threaded ? Diam. from ft. to ft.	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material and		
ype of perforator used	nature of the material in each stratum penetrated, with at least information. (USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECES	one entry for ea	
IZE of perfsin. byin. and no. of perfsfromft. toft. icreens: Image: Provide the second	MATERIAL	FROM	то
Anufacturer's Name Alloy Machine Works	Asphalt	0	.5 11
	Gray clayey medium gravel		43
Sype 8" S.S. Telesope Model No. Diam. 7" Slot size .050 from 268.5 ft. to 278.5 ft. Diam. Slot size from ft to ft	Brown silty medium sand & gravel Brown medium to coarse silty sand	11 43	60
piamSlot sizefromft. toft. iravel/Filter packed: Yes No Size of gravel/sand	Brown silty coarse sand & gravel	60	65
faterials placed fromft. toft.	Dense brown clayey coarse sand, gravel, cobbles (till)	65	75
urface Seal: Ves No To what depth? 20' ft.	Wet brown & gray medium to coarse sand & gravel	75	203
faterial used in seal Bentonite Chips	(till)		
Did any strata contain unusable water?	Gray clayey silt	203	217
ype of water? Depth of strata	Gray clayey medium gravel	217	225
Aethod of sealing strata off	Brownish-gray clayey medium sand & gravel; wet and	225	252
UMP: Manufacturer's Name	looser		
	Water bearing gtrayish-brown silty medium sand	252	260
VATER LEVELS: Land-surface elevation above mean sea level 300 ft. tatic level 213 ft. below top of well Date 4/22/09	& gravel	260	276.5
Intesian pressure lbs. per square inch Date	Coarse gray silty sand & gravel - water bearing	200	270.3
rtesian water is controlled by			
(cap. valve, etc.)		······································	<u> </u>
VELL TESTS: Drawdown is amount water level is lowered below static level Vas a pump test made? 🗹 Yes 🛛 No If yes, by whom? Tacoma Pump	A LEVEL REAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Vield: 100 gal/min. with 32 ft. drawdown after 0.5 hrs.	- CENEL		
/ield: 100 gal./min. with 35 ft. drawdown after 1.0 hrs.			
ield: <u>100</u> gal./min. with <u>38</u> ft. drawdown after <u>2.0</u> hrs. ecovery data (time taken as zero when pump turned off) (water level measured from well			
pp to water level)	<u>nrt 162009</u> BFC		D_
ime Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level	ULI I ULO I ILO		
	- FOOLOGY MAY	1 5 2009	
<u> </u>	TERADIMENT OF ECOLOGY FIAT		
ate of test 5/5/09 ailer test gal/min. with ft. drawdown after hrs.	Job #09-1568-02 Washing	gion Sta	
aller test gal/min. withf. drawdown afterhrs. intest 55 gal/min. with stem set at 268 ft. for 1.5 hrs.	Job #09-1568-02 Washing Departme	nt of Eco	HORA
$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}} = $			
rtesian flow on m. Date			1
emperature of water Was a chemical analysis made? 🗹 Yes 🗖 No			

Driller 🗖 Engineer 🗋 Trainee Name (Print) Matt Call	Drilling Company Tacoma Pump & Drilling Co. Inc.
Driller/Engineer/Trainee Signature	Address 30316 Mountain Highway
Driller or trainee License No. 2571	City, State, Zip Graham, WA 98338
If TRAINEE,	Contractor's
Driller's Licensed No.	Registration No. TACOMPD203PF Date 05/13/2009
Driller's Signature	Ecology is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

ECY 050-1-20 (Rev 3/05)

(Rev 3/05) The Department of Ecology does NOT warranty the Data and/or Information on this Well Report.

-

Please print, sign and return by mail to Department of Ecology

RESO	RESOURCE PROTECTION WELL REPORT		T CURRENT Notice of Intent No. <u>AEIIS63</u>			
(SUBMIT ONE WELL REPORT PER WELL INSTALLED) Construction/Decommission <i>(select one)</i>		Type of Well (select one)				
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION Notice			Geotech Soil Boring			
2990	g Firm A-spect		Property Owner	Aspect		
Consulting	Firm Aspect		Site Address (MR N. 1St St		
Unique Ecology Well ID Tay No NA		#1	City Tacoma County Pierce			
WELL CO	INSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION	: I constituted and/or	Location 2021/4+	1/4 NE 1/4 Sec 2 d Twn a R 2 WWM		
accept respon	sibility for construction of this well, and its well construction standards. Materials used t	compliance with all	Lai/Long (s, t, r	Lat Deg Lat Min/Sec		
above are tru	a to my best knowledge and belief.	nus die maanmaan sebolied	still REQUIRED)			
	Engineer Trainee Name (Print)	huc Robarts		Long Deg Long MilvSec		
Driller/Eng	Englacer Traince Name (Print)	he Retter	Cased or Uncased	Diameter Static Lovel		
			Work/Decommiss	ion Start Date 10-25-10		
If traince, Signature	If traince, licensed driller's		Work/Decommission Completed Date 10-25-10			
Callingate						
	Construction/Design	Wel	l Data	Pormation Description		
	Test Line					
		MONUMENT TYPE:				
100				0 . 7 *		
<u> </u>		CONCRETE SURFA	CE SEAL	Concrete +		
		-121	L			
				2.651		
i		PVC BLANK	<u>и х</u> и	Z-65 R. Betonik Chips		
			1			
		~ ^	-			
l l			<u>D_ft.</u>			
		TYPE: Betan	e ches			
			·	<u> </u>		
1		PVC SCREEN	X			
i		SLOT SIZE:				
1		TYPE:				
			4	RECEIVED		
1		GRAVEL PACK	<u>ft.</u>			
		MATERIAL:		JAN 04 2011		
				WA State Department		
i i				OF Ecology (SWRO)		
- + -				REMARKS Chip in		
į				Oface 21 concrete		
1				1 i i		
1				patch		
1						
8 8 1						
i	1		- A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A			

٩

;

.
Please print, sign and return by mail to Department of Ecology

RESOURCE PROTECTION V	VELL REPORT	CURRENT Notice of Intent No. AE11563
(SUBMIT ONE WELL REPORT PER WE Construction/Decommission (select one) Construction Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATION	UL INSTALLED)	Type of Well (select one) Resource Protection Geotech Soit Boring
399017 of Intern Number Consulting Firm	Prop.	rty Owner Aspect
Unique Ecology Well ID Tag No NA	City	Iddress LOOB N. 1St St. Tacoma County Pierce
WELL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION: accept responsibility for construction of this well, and its en Washington well construction standards. Materials used an above are true to my best knowledge and belief. Driller Begineer Trainee Name (Print): /0.5// Driller/Engineer /Trainee Signature Driller or Trainee License No.	Augulance with all ad the information reported Lat/La still R Lat/La still R Lat/La still R Lat/La still R Lat/La Still R Cased Cased	iorGUD1/4-1/4 NE1/4 Scc Z Twn 2) R Steet One E BWM ong (s, t, r Lat Deg Lat Min/Sec BQUIRED) Long Deg Long Min/Sec arcol No or Uncased Diameter Static Lovel
If traince, licensed driller's		Decommission Start Date 10-25-10
Signature and License No.	Work	Decommission Completed Date 10-25-10
Construction/Design	Well Data	Portnation Description
	MONUMENT TYPE: CONCRETE SURFACE SEA 2 2 ft PVC BLANK "X	2.65 m. Betonik Chips
	- BACKFILL 2-65 TYPE: <u>Betanite C</u> - PVC SCREEN <u>*</u> SLOT SIZE:	<u>- tt.</u>
	TYPE:	<u>ħ_</u>
	— GRAVEL PACK	<u></u>
	Well, Depth'	REMARKS chip in place 21 concrete particles and 10 IANI 04 2011
:		

٩

4

Please print, sign and ratum by mail to Department of Ecology

WELL REPORT CUR	RENT Notice of Intent No. AE11563					
FELL INSTALLED)	Type of Well (select one)					
	Resource Protection					
	Geotech Soit Boring					
Property O	when Aspect					
Site Addres	55 1008 N. 1St St.					
12 Other T						
# 5 Chy	acoma County Pierce					
Location	Ald-1/4 NEIA SER TWN 2 IR 3 HANN WWW					
IN: I constructed and/or						
and the information reported Lat/Long (s	, t, r Lat Deg Lat Min/Sec					
still REQUI						
huc Rohads Toy Domal]	Long Deg Long Whitesec					
4 - Conves Tax Parcel						
Cased or U	ncased Diameter Static Lovel					
Nort /Dean	mmission Start Date 10-25-10					
	-					
. Work/Decor	mmission Completed Date 16-25-10					
Well Data	Formation Description					
MONUMENT TYPE:						
	0. 2 th. Concrete					
VOINGREIS OURTAUE DEAL	Concrete					
-0-2 th	- Betomik Chips					
	7 15.					
PVC BLANK "X '	A + 10 - 11.					
	BETOMIKCHIPS					
- /						
BACKFILL Z-GOD HL.	_1					
more Botan lo chilo	5					
THE PROPERTY CANADA						
	<u>.</u>					
PVC SCREENY						
A STATE OF A	F140 3140					
SLUT SIZE:	Filenver					
TYPE:						
GRAVEL PACKft.	JAN 042011					
	WA State Department					
MATERIAL:	of Ecology (OMDO)					
	of Ecology (SWRO)					
	tL					
	REMARKS Chip in -					
	Olare al estatua 10					
	place 21 renevere					
	place 21 concrete					
	place 21 rendrete partich					
	place 21 concrete partch					
	pintch					
	pintch					
	pintch					
	pintch					
	pintch					
	VELL INSTALLED) ION Notice Property O Site Addre Image: Site Addre Site Addre Image: Site Addre Site Addre <t< td=""></t<>					

h

m

ESOURCE PROTECTION V		IRRENT Notice of Intent No. AE31579
UBMIT ONE WELL REPORT PER WE instruction/Deconomission (select one) Construction		Type of Well (select one) Resource Protection Geotech Soll Boring
Decommission ORIGINAL INSTALLATIO		Owner Morrell's Dry Clemers
of Intent Number Insulting Firm Aspect Consulting	9 Site Addr	ess 608 North 12 st
ique Ecology Well ID BBE 895		TALFMA County PIERLE
SLL CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION: pt responsibility for construction of this well, and its or hington well construction standards. Malerials used ar ve are true to my best knowledge and belief. priller Engineer Trainee Name (Print) ller/Engineer /Trainee Signature ller or Trainee License No. 302.1	I constructed and/or ompliance with all difie information reported Still REQU Tax Parcoi Cased or	Unensed Diameter 2" Static Lovel NA
raince, licensed driller's		ommission Start Date 4-29-15
nature and License No.	Work/Dec	ommission Completed Date <u>Y-29-15</u>
Construction Maria	Well Data	Formation Description
Construction/Design	. Yen Dair	r, ormanon Beschphon
	MONUMENT TYPE: <u>Removed Flush</u> CONCRETE SURFACE SEAL	<u>0 - tt.</u>
	/ ft	
	BACKFILL 70' It. TYPE: Bentonite chips	_
	e 4	t
		t.
	GRAVEL PACK	
	MICE Fuel (Files Produces	ft,
	WELL DEPTH 70 , -0	REMARKS Backfilled well with Bentonte. Removed flush monument and patched with concrete.
+		

SUBMIT ONE WELL REI	PORT PER WELL, INSTALLED (select one))	Type of Well (se Resource l	
Construction			Geotech St	oil Boring
Decommission ORIGINAL	itent Number		Morrell's Dr	y cleaners
onsulting Firm Aspect	Consulting	- Site Address	08 North	IST St.
nique Ecology Well ID	BBE 920 (MW-10	V OL TAKAM	a	VIIEFO
ELL CONSTRUCTION CE	RTIFICATION: 1 constructed and/or	Location SE 1/4-1	/4 1/4 Sec 32	TwitZIN R3 Sefect One SIVM
shington well construction standard	f this well, and its compliance with all s. Materials used and the information report			Lat Min/Sec
two are true to my best knowledge at		still REQUIRED)	Long Deg	Long Min/Sec
Oriller Eugineer Crainco Name iller/Engineer /Traince Signa	(Priat) Julie from	Tax Parcol No	Titomator 74	Static Lovel NA
iller or Trainee License No.	3021			4-29-15
traince, licensed driller's				
gnature and License No.		Work/Decommissi	on Completed Date	4-29-15
			_	
Construction/D	resign	Well Data	Fort	nation Description
1355 1 1	MONUMENT	TYPE:		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Removed		~	*
	CONCRETE S	SURFACE SEAL	0 -	<u>ft.</u>
2 2 2		ft.		
		4		危.
	PVC BLANK	2 *x '		
$+$ \boxtimes \boxtimes		75' 4		-
	BACKFILL	75 ft.		
	TYPE:	on the curips	1	
		. 64		
+	PVG SCREEN	2 "x '		-
	SLOT SIZE: _			
	TYPE:			ft.
	GRAVEL PAC	к <u>ft.</u>		
	MATERIAL:			
				ft.
			. <u></u>	<u> </u>
港 二省				٩
			REMARKS	
			Backfilled w	ell with Bentonite.
				sh monument.
		75 0.		I with concrete.
	WELL DEPTH		and parture	Created actuation of
l l				1
C 3			+	
r				

APPENDIX B

Time-Series Graphs for Groundwater COCs in Monitoring Wells



August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW2 Gr Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW5 Gr

Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW7 Gr Figure B-3 Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW8 Gr

Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW15 Gr Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW19 Gr Figure B-6 Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW20 Gr Figure B-8 Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW21 Gr

Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW8D Gr

Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW12D Gr Figure B-10 Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW13D Gr

Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August 2018 App B-GW plotsMW14D Gr Figure B-12 Supplemental FFS Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039)

APPENDIX C

Soil Vapor Extraction System Performance Monitoring

C. Soil Vapor Extraction System Performance Monitoring

The Morrell's Dry Cleaners Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) system has operated continuously since October 15, 2014. Figure 4-1 of the main report shows the SVE system components, the dry cleaners and adjacent building details, and the sub-slab vapor probe locations beneath the 60-foot by 60-foot dry cleaners building. Morrell's Dry Cleaners occupies the northern 40 feet of the building. The southern 20 feet of the building was used by Stadium Thriftway as an office and bakery during the due diligence and RI phases of Site characterization, and then as an unoccupied storage space until March 2015, when Tease Chocolates began operating in this lease space.

The construction, testing, and start-up of the SVE system are described in the Construction and Design Report (Aspect, 2014a) and Construction Completion Report (Aspect, 2014b). The system was monitored initially on a biweekly, and later on a monthly, basis. During operation and maintenance (O&M) site visits, system parameters were recorded, vapor concentrations were measured using a photoionization detector (PID), and sub-slab vapor pressures were measured. This appendix evaluates SVE system performance with respect to sub-slab depressurization and contaminant mass removal.

C.1. Sub-Slab Depressurization Performance

The 3,600-square-foot dry cleaners building has a concrete slab on top of 6 to 12 inches of gravel bedding and is underlain by about 30 feet of glacial till and about 15 feet of dry advance outwash. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) diffuse from the glacial till and accumulate in the gravel bedding beneath the building. Figure 1-3 of the main report shows the areas where tetrachloroethene (PCE) accumulated beneath the building; which is an interpolation of Gore-Sorber® survey results obtained in early 2010. The SVE trench (VE-H) constructed along the north side of the building intersects more-permeable soil near the middle of the trench, where PCE contamination appears to accumulate in the alley.

Sub-slab vapor samples were collected from beneath the alley on February 9, 2012, and from beneath the building on October 15, 2014, prior to SVE start-up. Table 1-6 of the main report summarizes the sampling results. The concentration of PCE was 680,000 micrograms per cubic meter (μ g/m³) in VP-4 in the middle of dry cleaners and 3,200 μ g/m³ in VP-7 on the south side of the dry cleaners, which exceeds the 321 μ g/m³ Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method B sub-slab soil gas screening level. The concentration of PCE was 150,000 μ g/m³ beneath the middle of the alley, which is consistent with the Gore-Sorber survey and permeable soil observed during trench construction. PCE concentrations were 270 and 380 μ g/m³ beneath the west and east sides of the alley, which is consistent with the Gore-Sorber survey and the hard till encountered during construction.

The SVE system is operated to maintain a minimum of 0.005 inches of water column (IWC) of vapor pressure beneath the entire slab foundation of the dry cleaner building. The extent of sub-slab depressurization from the SVE trench was initially evaluated using a 1-horse-power (HP), regenerative blower (Rotron Model EN404) during the January 2014 SVE pilot test.

The blower provided less than 3 IWC for the SVE trench (i.e., below the minimum 3-IWC gauge limit). Table C-1 shows the sub-slab pressure measurements collected during the 4-hour SVE pilot test and Figure C-1 shows the sub-slab vacuum pressures as a function of distance from the SVE trench along the centerline of the building. The 1-HP blower provided 0.005 IWC of depressurization beneath the entire Morrell's Dry Cleaners lease space, but not beneath the adjoining lease space.

After start-up of the SVE system on October 15, 2014, the extent of sub-slab depressurization from the SVE trench was evaluated using a 2-HP, regenerative blower (Rotron Model EN505). Sub-slab sample locations were completed with a Cox-Colvin vapor pin in the middle of Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VP-4) and the adjoining lease space (VP-7). The SVE system was operated with SVE trench (VE-H) and the four SVE wells (VE-1 to VE-4) fully open, which provided about 5 IWC of vacuum pressure in VE-H. Table C-2 shows the sub-slab pressure measurements collected during SVE O&M site visits. The 2-HP blower provided similar vacuum responses in VP-4 and VP-7, compared with the pilot test. The vacuum pressures ranged from 0.015 to 0.028 IWC in VP-4 in Morrell's Dry Cleaners, which exceeds the 0.005 IWC standard; however, the vacuum pressures ranged from 0.000 to 0.005 IWC in VP-7 in the storage space, which did not meet the 0.005 IWC standard.

The sub-slab suction pit (VE-SS) was constructed on November 12 and 13, 2014. A temporary blower was used to perform a pilot test for the suction pit. This pilot test measured the air flow rates and vacuum pressures beneath the building in VP-4 (Morrell's Dry Cleaners) and VP-7 (former Stadium Thriftway storage space) and a temporary vapor probe (VP-6) in the southeast corner of the Stadium Thriftway storage space. This pilot test showed that a single radon mitigation fan could depressurize beneath the building and that the SVE blower did not have the capacity to extract soil vapor from more than one suction pit. VE-SS was connected to the SVE system to allow the removal and treatment of the contaminated soil vapors.

The SVE system was initially operated with VE-SS and the SVE wells fully open to maximize sub-slab depressurization. This resulting vacuum pressure was 0.025 IWC in VP-7, which is 36 feet from VE-SS. This configuration limits SVE effectiveness because air is disproportionately extracted from the sub-slab, and the mass removal rate is relatively low because indoor air leaks through the concrete slab.

Subsequently, the valve to VE-SS was partially closed to decrease the intrusion of surface air while maintaining 0.005 IWC in VP-7. As shown in Table C-2, the vacuum pressure in VE-SS ranged from 0.6 to 0.8 IWC, while the vacuum pressure in VE-7 ranged from 0.007 to 0.013 IWC. VP-7 was destroyed when the lease space was redeveloped for Tease Chocolates, and an alternate probe VP-5 was completed inside Morrell's Dry Cleaners and adjacent to the interior wall between the two lease spaces. The vacuum pressure ranged from 0.188 to 0.321 IWC in VP-5 when VE-SS operated.

As shown in Table C-2, VE-H was periodically operated to remove accumulated contamination. The SVE system does not provide 0.005 IWC of depressurization beneath Tease Chocolates when VE-SS is shut off.

Sub-slab vapor samples were collected from VP-4 and VP-5 to evaluate the effectiveness of the SVE system and the rebound of contamination in the absence of SVE. VE-SS was shut down for 39 days prior to sample collection on April 21, 2015, and for 35 days prior to

sample collection on September 7, 2015, while vapor was alternately extracted from VE-H. When sub-slab vapors were allowed to recover for a month, the concentrations of PCE and TCE exceeded the Method B sub-slab soil gas screening levels. In contrast, VE-SS was only shut down during sample collection on December 28, 2016. The extraction of vapors from VE-SS maintained the concentrations of PCE and TCE below the Method B sub-slab soil gas screening levels when the concentrations were not allowed to rebound.

C.2. Contaminant Mass Removal

Selected SVE system operational data are summarized in Table C-3. Summa canister samples were collected from the following SVE system locations and submitted for laboratory analysis:

- the "VE-1/2 Leg" of the system, which includes soil gas extracted from SVE wells VE-1 and VE-2 completed in the glacial till (screen intervals of 18 to 32 feet bgs);
- the "VE-3/4 Leg" of the system, which includes soil gas extracted from SVE wells VE-3 and VE-4 completed in the advance outwash (screen intervals of 30 to 45 feet bgs);
- the sub-slab suction pit (VE-SS);
- the combined flow prior to the GAC vessels (INF);
- the combined flow between the GAC vessels (MID); and
- effluent from the GAC vessels (EFF);

All samples were analyzed for individual VOCs by Method TO-15. Samples collected on July 5, 2018 were also analyzed for aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons in three carbon ranges (by Method MA-APH). Sample results are summarized in Table C-4. PCE initially accounted for 98.7 percent by weight of detected VOCs. The percentage decreased to the 77 to 87 percent range as the PCE concentrations and detection limits decreased, and to 60 percent on August 30, 2016, because of detections of methylene chloride and petroleum hydrocarbons—including benzene, toluene, xylenes, pentane, and hexane—that were previously not detected or were present at lower concentrations.

Samples collected during the SVE pilot test (Aspect, 2014a) indicate that the relative concentrations of PCE biodegradation products increase with depth, as summarized in Table C-5 below:

	with Depth during SVL Fligt Test											
Pilot Test Well Depth (feet bgs)	VE-H 1.5 - 4	VE-1 18 - 32	VE-3 31-45									
Formation	Glacial till	Glacial till	Advance outwash									
PCE	100%	96%	77%									
TCE	ND	2%	8%									
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	2%	15%									

 Table C-5. Percentage of Chlorinated VOC Compounds

 with Depth during SVE Pilot Test

with Depth during SVE Pilot Test									
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	0.08%						

Table C-5. Percentage of Chlorinated VOC Compounds

During O&M site visits, a photo-ionization detector (PID) was used to measure VOC concentrations and an anemometer was used to measure gas flow rates at various points in the SVE system. Based on measured concentrations and gas flow rates, contaminant mass is primarily removed from the four SVE wells. Mass removal from the SVE trench and sub-slab suction pit, which is limited by diffusion of PCE from the underlying glacial till, attenuated to negligible amounts within a couple of months. The trench and sub-slab suction pit were primarily used for sub-slab depressurization and to reduce the vacuum pressures in the SVE wells.

PCE concentration is correlated to the VOC concentration measured by PID using the average of the [PCE]/[VOC] ratios measured on the seven occasions when GAC influent samples were collected for laboratory analysis (refer to Table C-4). The resulting correlation factor of 0.29 is used to estimate PCE mass removal based on PID readings. PCE mass removal estimates are provided in Table C-3 and plotted on Figure C-2. The SVE system has removed an estimated 271 pounds (lbs) of PCE from the subsurface through June 2018. An average PCE removal rate of 0.633 lbs/day is estimated for the first 3 months of SVE system operation (mid-October through mid-December 2015), versus 0.103 lbs/day estimated for the second quarter of 2018.

Mass removal of petroleum hydrocarbons by the SVE system is comparable to mass removal of chlorinated VOCs. This is evident from analysis of the combined flow (INF) sample collected on July 5, 2018, in which the sum of [PCE], [TCE], and [DCE] is about 11,000 μ g/m³ and the sum of aliphatic hydrocarbons in the C5 to C12 range is 12,000 μ g/m³. Chlorinated VOCs are primarily coming from the mid-depth soils beneath the dry cleaner building (via angled wells VE-1 and VE-2 screened at 18 to 32 feet bgs), whereas petroleum hydrocarbons are primarily coming from deeper soils (via angled wells VE-3 and VE-4 screened at 30 to 45 feet bgs). The source of the deep petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is not known. One possible source is historical dry-cleaning operations, which may have used petroleum hydrocarbons (e.g., Stoddard solvent) before chlorinated solvents came into use.

References **C**.3.

- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2014a, Interim Cleanup Action Construction and Design Report, Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Prepared for David Shaw, Successor to Walker Chevrolet, May 16, 2014.
- Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect), 2014b, Interim Cleanup Action Construction Completion Report, Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Prepared for David Shaw, Successor to Walker Chevrolet, December 23, 2014.

List of Tables

ND - not detected

C-1 Sub-Slab Depressurization Measurements during Pilot Test using Soil Vapor Extraction Trench

- C-2 Sub-Slab Depressurization Measurements during Continuous Soil Vapor Extraction Operations
- C-3 Soil Vapor Extraction System Operational Data
- C-4 Soil Vapor Extraction System Air Emission Sample Results
- C-5 Percentage of Chlorinated VOC Compounds with Depth during SVE Pilot Test (*in text*)

List of Figures

- C-1 SVE Trench Extent of Influence during Pilot Test
- C-2 Estimated Mass of PCE Removed by the SVE System through March 2018

Table C-1 - Sub-Slab Depressurization Measurements during Pilot Test using Soil Vapor Extraction Trench

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039) 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

	VP-1	VP-3	VP-4	VP-5	VP-7	VP-6	VP-2	VP-8
Elapsed Time (minutes)	(IWC)							
Distance from SVE Trench (ft)	1.75	9	22.5	35	57.4	57.4	12.5	57.9
Pilot Test (0 min)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.002	0
Pilot Test (15 min)	-0.058	-0.024	-0.014	-0.01	-0.001	-0.003	-0.003	-0.003
Pilot Test (45 min)	-0.058	-0.025	-0.015	-0.011	0	0	-0.004	-0.001
Pilot Test (75 min)	-0.056	-0.024	-0.014	-0.01	0	0	-0.002	0.001
Pilot Test (105 min)	-0.05	-0.02	-0.011	-0.008	0	-0.002	-0.001	-0.003
Pilot Test (135 min)	-0.054	-0.023	-0.014	-0.01	0	0.002	-0.002	0.001
Pilot Test (165 min)	-0.056	-0.024	-0.014	-0.01	0	-0.001	-0.004	-0.001
Pilot Test (195 min)	-0.055	-0.024	-0.014	-0.01	0	-0.001	-0.003	-0.001
Pilot Test (225 min)	-0.053	-0.024	-0.013	-0.01	0	0	-0.004	-0.003

Notes:

Pilot test performed on January 21, 2014 using a 1-horsepower Rotron blower for the SVE Trench (VE-H)

Recommended minimum vacuum for sub-slab depressurization = 0.005 IWC

IWC = inches of water column

SVE = soil vapor extraction

Table C-2 - Sub-Slab Depressurization Measurements during Continuous Soil Vapor Extraction Operations

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039) 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Data	VE-H	VE-SS	VP-4	VP-5	VP-7	
Date	(IWC)	(IWC)	(IWC)	(IWC)	(IWC)	
					Stadium	
		N /	N.4	N /	Thriftway	
		Morrell's	Morrell's	Morrell's	Storage/	
Location	Alley	Dry Cleaners	Dry Cleaners	Dry Cleaners	Teese Chocolates	
Distance from						
VE-H (ft)	0		22.5	38	57.4	
Distance from		0	40	28	36	
VE-SS (ft)		0	12	20	30	Comments
10/15/2014	-4	NA	-0.03		-0.005	VE-H, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 were fully open
10/16/2014	-4	NA	-0.024		0	VE-H, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 were fully open
10/22/2014	-5	NA	-0.028		-0.001	VE-H, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 were fully open
10/29/2014	-5	NA	-0.022		0	VE-H, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 were fully open
11/6/2014	-5	NA	-0.015		0	VE-H, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 were fully open
11/13/2014	-5	NA	-0.015			VE-H, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 were fully open
11/13/2014	-5	NA	-0.441			VE-SS, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
11/20/2014	-	-1.5	-0.5			VE-SS, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
12/4/2014	-	-0.7	-0.247			VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
12/18/2014	-	-0.6	-0.182			VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
1/2/2015	-	-0.6	-0.183			VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
1/15/2015	-	-0.6	-0.211		-0.008	VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
1/28/2015	-	-1.7	-		-	VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
2/11/2015	-	-0.7	-0.233		-	VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
2/26/2015	-	-0.7	-0.237		-0.013	VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
3/13/2015	-	-0.7	-0.25			VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
4/21/2015	-5	-	-0.015	-0.012		VE-H barely open, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-SS turned off
5/27/2015	-	-0.8	-0.31	-0.257		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
6/30/2015	-	-0.8	-0.383	-0.321		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
7/29/2015	-3	-	-0.016	-0.013		VE-H barely open, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-SS turned off
8/27/2015	-	-0.8	-0.339	-0.286		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
9/28/2015	-3	-	-0.001	-0.008		VE-H barely open, VE-1/2, and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-SS turned off
10/29/2015	-	-0.6	-0.227	-0.188		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
12/1/2015	-2	-0.5	-0.191	-0.155		VE-SS and VE-H barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open
12/28/2015	-	-0.6	-0.202	-0.164		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
1/29/2016	-	-0.6	-0.279	-0.236		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
2/26/2016	-	-0.6	-0.255	-0.214		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
3/29/2016	-	-0.6	-0.203	-0.197		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
4/26/2016	-	-0.6	0.000	0.001		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
6/3/2016	-	-0.7	-0.338	-0.281		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
6/29/2016	-3	-	-0.015	-0.011		VE-H barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-SS turned off
8/3/2016	-	-0.6	-0.324	-0.272		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
8/30/2016	-3	-	-	-		VE-H barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-SS turned off
10/5/2016	-3	-	-0.011	-0.007		VE-H barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-SS turned off
11/2/2016	-	-0.9	-0.357	-0.298		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
12/6/2016	-	-0.7	-0.297	-0.251		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
12/28/2016	-	-0.6	-0.298	-0.238		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
2/3/2017	-	-0.9		0.446		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
3/2/2017	-	-0.8		-0.446		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
4/4/2017	-	-0.8		-0.446		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off
5/4/2017 6/2/2017	-3	-0.9		-0.463 -0.014		VE-SS barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-H turned off VE-H barely open, VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 fully open, VE-SS turned off
0/2/2017 Notes:	-5	-		-0.014		v E-i i barely open, v E-i/2 and v E-o/4 iuliy open, v E-oo turned on

Notes:

Recommended minimum vacuum for sub-slab depressurization = 0.005 IWC

VE-SS was installed on 11/13/2014 to reduce contamination beneath the dry cleaners and to provide sub-slab depressurization beneath the entire building. The 2-hp regenerative blower does not have flow capacity to simultaneously operate VE-H and VE-SS effectively.

VP-7 was sampled in the former Stadium Thriftway storage space. After Teese Chocolates began occupying the tenant space, VP-5 was installed near the adjoining wall in Morrell's Dry Cleaners in April 21, 2015.

SVE = soil vapor extraction

VE-1/2 - Manifolded angled SVE wells completed in the glacial till.

VE-3/4 - Manifolded angled SVE wells completed in the advance outwash.

VE-H - SVE trench

VE-SS - Sub-slab suction pit within the dry cleaners building. VE-SS is connected to the SVE system.

Aspect Consulting

August 2018 V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Morrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Appendices\App C tbls and figs_Jul2018rev

Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study Page 1 of 1

Table C-2

Table C-3 - Soil Vapor Extraction System Operational Data

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1039) 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Date Desc Ren/Time Desc Pressor Ren/Time Desc/Time Terme (ppm/L, Plo) Ren/Time Ren/Ti																	VE-1/2	Leg	VE-3/	4 Leg
billing 1 101% 21 111 1172 1185 116 103 313 717 1172 1185 116 103 1172 1172 1185 118 1185	Date	Blower Clock	Run Time	Percent Operating	Pitot Tube Differential Pressure	Density	Rate ¹	at Blower	at Wellhead/ Sample Point	Outlet Temp	Outlet Temp	(ppmV, PID)	(ppmV, PID)	Removal Rate ³	of PCE Removed ³	Mass of PCE Removed ³	Flow Rate ²	(ppmV,		[VOC] (ppmV, PID)
1010101 27 0.0 1006 2.1 110																				
102/074 1473 170 100 21 191 116 193 100 24 25 0727 456 7.4 108 100 210 100 1100/14 44 143 1163 1163 1163 1163 1163 1163 1164 200 155 18 200 23 44 0.460 3.4 120 100 24.3 3.60 24.4 0.460 3.4 24.0 24.0 3.60 24.0 24.0 3.60 24.0 24.0 0.60 24.2 3.60 3.00 24.4 0.460 0.00 24.2 3.00 3.00 24.0 24.0 0.460 0.00 24.0 3.00 24.0 24.0 0.460 0.00 24.0 3.00 24.0 24.0 0.460 0.00 24.0 3.00 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																				
Bischell																				
Hith S54 221 100% 2.1 116 116.5 200 15 86 310 42.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 12.5 430 130 240 12.5 430 130 240 13.5 430 12.5 430 130 240 13.5 430 130 240 13.5 250 13.5 250 13.5 250 13.5 250 13.5 250 13.5 250 13.5 250 13.5 250 13.5 250																				
Unital 000 230 000% 2.1 1.10 110.4 -70 150 14 330 2.5 2.4 0.400 3.4 2.4 0.30 2.5 2.4 0.400 3.4 2.4 0.30 2.5 2.4 0.400 3.5 2.5 0.300 2.5 2.4 0.300 2.5 2.4 0.300 2.5 0.300 0.300 2.5 0.300 0.300 2.5 0.300 <td></td>																				
11/12/14/1 200 92.0																				
11/20/14 988 380 99.94 2.1 119 1167 -17 16 98 10 24 250 2.31 356 250 2.3 245 4.5 10 11/20/14 1.98 77.8 98.95 16 11.6 10.32 35 11 106 311 314 24 250 0.411 22.5 57.7 73.8 77.9 00.5 77.9 00.5 77.9 00.5 77.9 00.5 77.9 00.5 77.9 00.5 77.9 00.5 <																				
12/4/14 1.2/4 60.0 100/0% 1.7 1.16 105.0 -37 11 100 314 44 51 0.665 9.2 35.2 R3.3 R8 71.5 76 76																				
12/16/1 1.589 63.3 99.3% 1.6 1.16 10.2 -37 11 100 311 24 6 0 10.2 17.2 17.3 73.3 75.8 80.5 82.2 1/12/16 1.880 70.4 99.9% 1.6 1.16 10.00 -33.8 11 106 313 23 11 10.2 13.8 11 12.2 0.77 7.5 85.7 6.5 7.50 85.7 6.5 10.6 80.9 6.5 10.0 33.8 11 10.3 13.8 11 12.2 0.149 2.3 6.5 6.5 80.9 80.8 82.2 10.1 43.0 33 11 11.2 0.149 7.5 85.7 90.2 80.8 80.3 80.3 80.2 82.2 15.7 11.0 10.2 33.1 11.0 12.2 11.0 11.0 10.2 30.1 11.1 11.0 10.2 30.1 11.1 10.2 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.																				
121 1.899 7.8.5 99.9% 1.6.6 1.16 100 -3.8 11 100 311 22 2.3 0.11 6.2 6.3.9 7.4.8 6.0 8.0 8.4 14 140 311 140 311 140 313 31 <																				
111616 2.209 91.9 91.9 91.8 11.6 11.6 11.6 10.2 32.8 11 106 31.6 13 12 0.337 4.3 58.2 94.5 380 83.5 135 32.0 0.337 4.3 58.2 94.5 380 33.5 32.0 0.337 4.3 58.2 94.5 380 33.5 32.0 0.337 4.3 58.2 94.5 380 33.5 32.0 0.337 4.3 58.2 94.5 380 33.5 33.5 1.6 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																				
12211 2,221 104.9 99.9% 1.6 1.16 1.16 102.0 -38 11 100 313 31 32 0.79 7.5 66.7 88.7 002 84.5 118 22211 3.24 1337 0.84% 1.5 1.17 0.04 -35 1.6 1.0 1.13 1 12 0.169 3.6 7.6 88.2 0.8 0.9 4.33 3.7 0.8% 1.6 1.17 0.04 -35 1.6 1.0 1.2 0.197 3.6 7.0 0.037 1.2 1.5 0.0 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 0.037 1.6 0.037 0.0 1.3 3.1 1.0 </td <td></td>																				
21/16 2.888 118.9 99.9% 1.6 1.17 102.7 -35 13 103 11 12 0.19 2.1 67.8 83.2 66 80.9 33 31131 3.574 1463 99.9% 1.5 1.17 100.1 3.5 14 115 11 14 0.2277 3.7 74.4 78.2 138 81.0 44 52/16 5.000 116 1.16 116 102.9 3.1 15 118 21 2.2 0.0.277 14.5 5.8 7.0 17.8 86.4 2.0 560/16 5.627 242.6 94.0% 1.6 1.14 103.8 -3.2 15 118 21 2.3 0.0.37 1.15 11.4 13.3 8.1 10.0 3.3 14 110 21 13 0.0.27 6.1 120.1 7.5 3.12 92.6 19 14.1 13.3 13.4 11.2 13 0.227 6.1 120.1 7.5 3.13 12.2 12.3 13.3 13.4																				138
3.214 3.2.44 133.7 9.9.84 1.5 1.17 9.9.4 -3.5 1.4 10 11 11 12 0.19 0.0 70.8 70.8 70.4 70.8 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																				
42115 4.401 1183.2 97.45 1.8 1.16 11.6 2.2 1.6 1.6 1.6 3.1 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	2/26/15		133.7	99.8%	1.5	1.17					313	11	12			70.8		101	43.0	
52715 5.000 210.6 94.0% 1.6 1.16 102.9 -31 15 108 315 28 30 0.527 14.5 80.5 75.9 946 84.4 63.5 7129H5 6.516 271.3 94.65% 1.7 1.15 106.5 -31 15 118 312 22 25 0.48 13.6 114.1 32.2 16.2 34.3 20 802015 5.688 330 96.38 1.3 1.16 10.02.9 -33 114 118 321 12 13 0.227 61.1 11.1 73.3 12.02.0 13.3 13.6 10.0 37.8 11.8 13.5 10.6 31.4 11.8 10.0 31.5 14.8 13.0 13.6 14.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 32.2 15.1 13.0 13.3 13.8 47.2 11.8 33.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 14.1 13.8 13.8<	3/13/15	3,576	148.8	99.9%	1.5	1.15	100.1	-35	14	112	318	13	14	0.237	3.6	74.4	78.2	136	81.1	41
ch2015 5.827 242.0 94.0% 1.6 1.14 100.2 7.2 15 113 321 230 25 0.4.38 13.9 100.5 7.0 173 65.1 27.0 772915 7.156 231.0 94.3% 1.6 1.14 103.9 -33 14 116 321 12 13 0.227 6.1 120.1 7.3 312 92.6 81.0 02/215 7.156 231.0 64.3% 1.3 1.16 022.6 -33 14 108 31.4 12 13 0.225 6.3 133.6 14 12.0 13 0.225 6.3 133.6 14 12.0 13 0.225 6.3 133.6 14.4 12.0 13 0.225 6.3 133.6 14.4 14.2 13 0.225 7.2 17.4 17.3 85.0 17.3 135.0 13.4 11.2 13 0.226 6.3 133.6 10.2 11.1 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.3 10.21 13.3 11.4 11.0	4/21/15	4,401	183.2	97.4%		1.17	111.8	-19	16	106	314	1	-		0.7	75.1	21.5	91	23.0	32
7Z2915 6.516 271.3 94.9% 1.7 1.15 106.5 -3.1 15 113 318 19 20 0.3.70 10.6 114.1 37.2 162 33.3 20 02/215 7.265 336.0 94.3% 1.6 1.14 102.8 -34 144 116 112 13 0.227 7.2 127.4 78.3 36 56.4 38 02/215 7.025 336.0 64.3% 1.6 1.16 102.8 .34 14 106 31.4 12 13 0.227 7.2 127.4 78.3 36 56.4 38 79 9 0.121 13 31.4 10.7 11.4 10.3 11.4 11.7 27.7 11.4 11.8 30.3 27.1 11.4 10.7 10.5 11.4 10.3 31.4 10.7 10.5 10.6 11.1.1 10.3 10.3 10.3 10.4 10.7 10.3 10.4 10.7 10.3 10.4 10.7 10.3 10.4 10.7 10.7 10.7	5/27/15	5,060	210.6	94.0%		1.16	102.9	-31	15		315	28		0.527	14.5	89.5	75.9	946		63
302715 7,165 286.0 94.3% 1.6 1.14 103.9 -33 144 116 321 12 13 0.227 6.1 120.1 75.3 312 92.6 19 972475 5,6669 361.0 95.3% 1.3 1.16 92.9 -39 12 106 314 12 13 0.022 6.3 135 131.6 76.0 78 91.5 1212115 93.89 94.4% 1.4 1.18 95.3 37.7 11 92.3 30.054 1.5 131.8 71.4 71.8 71.2 71.6 71.0 71.1 73.0 71.2 71.6	6/30/15	5,827				1.14	103.8										76.0			
97.261 7.262 83.00 94.8% 1.6 1.6 10.2 -34 14 106 314 12 13 0.225 7.2 127.4 78.3 366 66.8 51.5 102/115 9.338 388.9 94.4% 1.5 1.16 92.9 -22 16 91 306 3 30.024 1.5 133.6 7.42 11 57.42 21.2 11 121.15 13.1 14.8 15.7 12.6 12.1 12.1 13.0 13.0 13.4 7.42 11 85.4 12.1 13.0 13.0 13.4 7.42 11 85.4 12.0 13.0 13.0 13.4 13.4 13.0						1.15														
10/2015 8,669 361.0 95.7% 11.3 11.6 92.9 39 122 106 31 12 13 0.202 6.3 133.6 76.0 78 91.5 14 12/2016 9,988 446.0 94.8% 1.4 1.18 95.3 -37 11 92 306 7 7 0.121 33.3 138.4 74.2 11 85.3 12 12/2016 11.425 475.9 98.4% 1.4 1.18 98.4 -36 12 14 30.6 77 7 0.121 33.3 138.4 74.2 11 11.1 <																				
121175 9.339 388.9 94.4% 1.5 1.20 980 -22 16 91 906 3 3 0.054 1.5 1351 41.8 57 42.6 12 1228/16 10.757 448.0 95.1% 1.4 1.19 95.3 -32 12 93 307 9 9 0.155 5.0 143.4 103.7 38 105.9 113 3228/16 12.197 508.0 95.7% 1.4 1.16 95.6 -35 12 107 13 0.216 6.8 157.4 45.7 116 47.2 18 3229/16 12.197 508.0 96.7% 1.4 1.16 96.7 -36 12 107 13 0.216 6.6 15 113 47.2 18 27 6/24/16 13.733 572.9 96.0% 1.5 1.13 100.6 -37 111 118 231 7 8 0.128 33 14 43.9 29 44.4 9 012/16 15.04																				
1228/16 9.988 416.0 94.8% 1.4 1.18 95.3 -37 11 92 306 7 7 0.121 3.3 138.4 74.2 11 65.3 13 2/26/16 11.425 475.9 95.4% 1.4 1.18 95.3 -32 12 94 308 15 15 0.260 7.2 150.6 108.6 110 111.7 27 17 3/26/16 11.425 475.9 95.4% 1.4 1.16 95.5 -36 12 100 316 16 17 0.211 7.6 166.0 44.57 115 47.2 18 6/3/16 17.33 95.7% 1.4 1.15 96.7 -36 12 100 316 16 17 0.211 7.8 41.65 45.7 14 46.9 46.9 46.9 47.2 111 16.8 113 101.2 33 114 13 111 118 221 7.6 8 0.128 38 16.7 17.1 102.2 111 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																				
122/16 10,757 44.80 95.1% 1.4 1.19 95.3 -32 12 93 307 9 9 0.156 5.0 143.4 100.7 38 105.9 117.7 127 3/29/16 12,197 508.0 95.7% 1.4 1.16 96.7 -36 12 107 315 12 13 0.210 6.8 157.4 45.7 115 47.2 18 6/3/16 13,733 572.9 96.0% 1.5 1.13 100.6 -36 12 118 321 9 10 0.166 6.2 171.2 109.9 61 118.6 22 22 7 8 0.128 2.9 181.6 43.9 28 45.4 9 9 0.166 6.0 0.109 3.8 185.4 85.3 14 101.5 11.3 101.0 -37 11 108 31.3 11.1 120 32.2 7 8 0.128 3.8 17.4 4.3 44.5 20 11.216 11.4 119.9 3.3 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>																				
2226/16 11,425 475.9 95.4% 1.4 1.18 95.4 -36 12 94 308 15 15 0.20 7.2 150.6 100.6 110 111.7 27 3/29116 12,497 535.1 95.7% 1.4 1.16 96.7 -36 12 100 316 16 17 0.231 7.6 165.0 64.6 154 49.7 27 6/2916 1.3,53 57.29 90.0% 1.5 1.13 100.9 -36 12 118 321 9 00 0.165 62 17.4 99.4 107 120.2 21 8/316 15,507 623.1 95.5% 1.5 1.13 101.2 -36 11 108 315 6 6 0.128 3.8 17.4 43.9 2.8 45.4 9 105/16 16.446 656.5 95.0% 1.5 1.15 10.1 -36 11 104 313 11 12 0.199 5.5 109.9 4.74 3 45.5 16		,																		
3229/16 12,47 508.0 95.7% 1.4 1.16 96.5 -36 12 107 316 12 13 0.210 6.8 17.4 4.7.7 115 4.7.2 18 39.7 63/16 13,753 572.9 96.0% 1.5 1.13 100.0 -36 12 118 321 9 10 0.165 6.2 171.2 109.9 51 118.6 23.7 63/16 15,514 95.6% 1.5 1.13 101.0 -37 11 118 321 7 8 0.128 3.8 178.7 73.0 41 88.9 9 050/16 15,614 656.4 94.94 1.5 1.13 101.0 -37 11 108 315 6 6 0.128 3.8 178.4 8.5.3 14 4.5.3 9 105/16 16,644 685.1 95.5% 1.5 1.18 99.7 37 11 108 315 6 6 0.109 3.8 185.4 8.5.3 14 4.5.3												-								
4426/16 12.4 15.4 95.7% 1.4 1.15 96.7% 36 12 109 316 16 17 0.281 7.6 165.0 16.6 154 18.3 199.7% 11.4 110.0 353 11.1 11.3 321.1 </td <td></td>																				
6/3/16 13,73 572.9 96.0% 1.5 1.13 10.0 -36 12 116 321 9 0.0 0.165 6.2 17.2 10.9 51 118.6 22 6/29/16 15,614 680.4 94.9% 1.5 1.13 1010 -37 11 118 321 7 8 0.128 3.8 17.7.7 7.0.0 41 88.9 9 105/16 15,614 680.4 94.9% 1.5 1.13 1010.1 -37 11 108 315 6 6 0.128 3.8 185.4 85.3 14 101.5 9 105/16 17.12 95.9% 1.5 1.16 99.7 -37 11 108 315 6 6 0.109 3.8 185.4 85.3 14 44.3 9 12/28/16 17.88 95.5% 1.5 1.18 98.9 -38 11 90 305 5 0.099 3.1 194.9 55.5 16 43.3 9 1223/17 195.9 <																				
6/29/16 14,30 598,1 96,0% 1.5 1.14 100,6 -37 111 114 319 8 9 0.146 3.7 174,9 95.4 167 120.2 21 8/30/16 15,079 628.1 95.5% 1.5 1.13 1010 -37 111 118 321 7 8 0.128 3.8 178.7 73.0 14 18.32 7 8 0.128 3.8 178.4 83.1 14 101.5 9 110.5 19 111 104 313 11 12 0.199 5.5 190.9 47.4 3 44.5 20 12/28/16 16.459 766.9 95.5% 1.5 1.18 98.9 -38 11 94 308 5 5 0.099 2.0 195.9 88.8 31 43.3 9 212/81/16 16.459 766.9 95.5% 1.5 1.16 98.8 -36 7 99 310 6 6 0.097 2.9 201 86.5 5 0.098<																				
8/30/16 15.979 622.1 95.5% 1.5 1.13 101.0 -37 11 118 321 7 8 0.128 3.8 178.7 73.0 41 88.9 9 8/30/16 15.614 650.4 94.9% 1.5 1.15 100.1 -36 111 102 322 7 8 0.128 2.9 181.6 43.9 28 45.4 9 10/5/16 17.14 712.9 95.2% 1.5 1.16 99.7 -37 11 108 315 6 6 0.109 3.8 185.4 85.3 14 101.5 9 12/26/16 17.936 747.1 96.4% 1.5 1.18 98.9 -36 11 90 305 5 0.009 2.0 195.9 58.8 31 43.3 10 12/26/16 18.459 765.9 95.5% 1.5 1.16 99.8 -36 7 99 310 6 6 0.107 2.9 202.1 56.0 28 285.7 81.0												-								
8/30/16 15/51/4 650/4 94.9% 1.5 1.13 101/2 36 11 120 322 7 8 0.128 2.9 181.6 43.9 28 45.4 9 110/5/16 17.936 71.14 712.9 95.2% 1.5 1.15 100 -37 11 104 315 11 12 0.199 5.5 194.0 65.5 16 43.3 44.5 20 12/26/16 17.936 747.1 95.5% 1.5 1.18 98.9 -38 11 90 305 5 5 0.089 3.1 194.0 65.5 16 43.3 9 2/2017 19.96 83.2.9 95.7% 1.5 1.17 99.1 -36 8 93 307 5 5 0.089 3.3 199.2 56.0 28 59.7 9 31.1 144.0 0.02 15.5 1.01 10.1 11.1 10.4 33 7 106 314 7 7 0.16 1.1 10.1 1.1 10.1 </td <td></td>																				
10/5/16 16,466 668.1 95.0% 1.5 1.15 100.1 -37 111 108 315 6 6 0.109 3.8 185.4 85.3 14 101.5 9 11/2/16 17,114 712.9 95.2% 1.5 1.16 99.7 -37 11 104 313 11 12 0.199 5.5 10.99 47.4 3 44.5 20 12/26/16 17,936 747.1 95.5% 1.5 1.18 99.9 -38 111 94 308 5 5 0.090 3.1 194.0 55.5 16 43.3 10 2/3/17 19.995 832.9 95.7% 1.5 1.16 99.8 -36 7 99 310 6 6 0.107 2.9 202.1 66.5 39 70.3 11 4/4/17 20,781 865.7 96.0% 1.5 1.14 100.4 -36 7 107 315 4 4 0.072 2.3 207.3 25.8 5 29.5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>												-								
11/2/16 17,14 712.9 95.2% 1.5 1.16 99.7 -37 11 104 313 11 12 0.199 5.5 190.9 47.4 3 44.5 20 12/28/16 18,459 747.1 95.4% 1.5 1.18 99.8 -36 11 90 305 5 5 0.099 3.1 194.0 55.5 16 43.3 9 2/3/17 19,445 605.9 95.7% 1.5 1.17 99.1 -36 8 93 307 5 5 0.099 3.3 199.2 66.0 28 59.7 9 3/2/17 19,495 85.5 90.9% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -36 7 106 314 5 5 0.090 3.0 205.1 57.6 37 51.0 10 5/5/17 21.50 86.6 90.% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -36 6 120 322 6 6 0.09 2.3 207.3 25.8 5 2.9.8 5																				
12/26/16 17,936 747.1 95.4% 1.5 1.19 98.5 -36 11 90 305 5 5 0.089 3.1 194.0 55.5 16 43.3 9 12/28/16 18,459 768.9 95.5% 1.5 1.18 98.9 -38 11 94 308 5 5 0.089 3.1 194.0 55.5 16 43.3 10 2/3/17 19,345 805.9 95.7% 1.5 1.16 99.8 -36 7 99 310 6 6 0.107 2.9 202.1 65.6 39 70.3 111 4/4/17 20,781 865.7 96.0% 1.5 1.14 100.4 -36 6 120 322 6 6 0.109 2.8 210.1 44.0 9 45.4 14 6/2/17 22,142 92.4 96.0% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -37 6 106 314 7 7 0.109 2.8 210.1 44.0 14 44.0 14 14 <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		,										-	-							
12/28/16 18,459 768.9 95.5% 1.5 1.18 98.9 -38 11 94 308 5 5 0.090 2.0 195.9 58.8 31 48.3 10 2/3/17 19.345 805.9 95.9% 1.5 1.17 99.1 -36 8 93 307 5 5 0.090 2.0 195.9 58.8 31 48.3 10 3/2/17 19.955 852.9 95.9% 1.5 1.14 100.4 -35 7 106 314 5 5 0.090 3.0 205.1 5.6 37 51.0 10 5/5/17 21,42 922.4 96.0% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -36 6 120 322 6 6 0.109 2.8 210.1 44.0 9 45.4 14 6/29/17 22,142 922.4 95.9% 1.5 1.13 101.2 -36 6 114 319 13 14 0.236 7.5 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18<																				
3/2/17 19.995 832.9 95.9% 1.5 1.16 99.8 -36 7 99 310 6 6 0.107 2.9 202.1 66.5 39 70.3 111 4/4/17 20,781 865.7 96.0% 1.5 1.14 100.4 -35 7 106 314 5 5 0.090 3.0 205.1 57.6 37 51.0 10 5/5/17 21,530 866.9 96.1% 1.5 1.14 100.4 -35 7 106 314 5 5 0.090 3.0 205.1 57.6 37 51.0 10 6/2/17 22,142 922.4 96.0% 1.5 1.13 101.5 -37 6 106 314 7 7 0.126 3.2 213.3 31.0 44 34.0 18 9/29/17 24,713 1.005.9 95.4% 1.6 1.12 104.8 -31 8 119 321 10 11 0.188 5.2 226.0 40.0 8 37.0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>768.9</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td></td<>			768.9									5								10
44/17 20.781 865.7 96.0% 1.5 1.14 100.4 -35 7 106 314 5 5 0.090 3.0 205.1 57.6 37 51.0 10 5/5/17 21,530 896.9 96.1% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -36 7 107 315 4 4 0.072 2.3 207.3 25.8 5 29.5 8 6/2/17 22,742 947.6 95.9% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -37 6 106 314 7 7 0.126 3.2 213.3 31.0 44 34.0 11 8/1/17 22,142 922.4 96.0% 1.5 1.13 101.2 -36 6 114 319 13 14 0.262 7.5 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18 9/5/17 24,171 1,006.9 95.4% 1.6 1.12 104.8 -31 8 119 321 10 11 0.188 52 226.0 40.0 8 37.0										93		5								
55/717 21,530 886.9 96,1% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -36 7 107 315 4 4 0.072 2.3 207.3 25.8 5 29.5 8 6/2/17 22,142 922.4 96.0% 1.5 1.11 101.8 -36 6 120 322 6 6 0.072 2.3 207.3 25.8 5 29.5 8 6/2/17 22,142 92.47 947.6 95.9% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -37 6 106 314 7 7 0.126 3.2 210.1 44.0 9 45.4 14 8/1/17 23,507 979.3 95.9% 1.5 1.13 101.2 -36 6 114 319 13 14 0.236 7.5 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18 9/29/17 24,171 1,006.9 95.4% 1.6 1.12 104.8 -31 8 119 321 10 11 0.88 5.2 26.0 40.0 8 37									7	99		6	-							
6/2/17 22,142 92.4 96.0% 1.5 1.11 101.8 -36 6 120 322 6 6 0.109 2.8 210.1 44.0 9 45.4 14 6/2/17 22,747 947.6 95.9% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -37 6 106 314 7 7 0.126 3.2 213.3 31.0 44 34.0 11 8/1/17 23,507 97.3 95.9% 1.5 1.13 101.2 -36 6 114 319 13 14 0.236 7.5 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18 9/5/17 24,171 1,006.9 95.4% 1.6 1.12 104.8 -31 8 19 321 10 11 0.188 5.2 226.0 40.0 8 37.0 13 9/29/17 24,713 1,029.5 95.3% 1.3 1.14 97.3 -37 6 108 315 18 19 0.314 8.5 248.4 27.0 9 30.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.14</td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td></t<>						1.14			7			5	5							10
6/29/17 22,747 947.6 95.9% 1.5 1.14 100.5 -37 6 106 314 7 7 0.126 3.2 213.3 31.0 44 34.0 11 8/1/17 23,507 979.3 95.9% 1.5 1.13 101.2 -36 6 114 319 13 14 0.236 7.5 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18 9/5/17 24,713 1,006.9 95.4% 1.6 1.12 104.8 -31 8 119 321 10 11 0.188 5.2 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18 9/29/17 24,713 1,029.5 95.3% 1.3 1.13 94.0 -41 4 108 315 37 39 0.620 14.0 240.0 29.0 12 32.0 30 12/4/17 26,362 1,056.6 95.4% 1.4 1.14 97.3 -37 6 108 315 18 19 0.314 8.5 248.4 27.0 9 30.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td></td>									7											
8/1/17 23,507 979.3 95.9% 1.5 1.13 101.2 -36 6 114 319 13 14 0.236 7.5 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18 9/5/17 24,171 1,006.9 95.4% 1.6 1.12 104.8 -31 8 119 321 10 11 0.188 5.2 220.7 35.0 27 34.0 18 9/29/17 24,713 1,029.5 95.4% 1.3 1.13 94.0 -41 4 108 315 37 39 0.620 14.0 240.0 29.0 12 32.0 30 10/26/17 25,362 1,056.6 95.4% 1.4 1.14 97.3 -37 6 108 315 18 19 0.314 8.5 24.84 27.0 9 30.0 23 12/4/17 26,297 1,095.5 95.6% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -37 3.5 9 300 5 5 0.098 1.2 255.0 30.0 51 30.0									6			-	-					-		
9/5/17 24,171 1,006.9 95.4% 1.6 1.12 104.8 -31 8 119 321 10 11 0.188 5.2 226.0 40.0 8 37.0 13 9/29/17 24,713 1,029.5 95.3% 1.3 1.13 94.0 -41 4 108 315 37 39 0.620 14.0 240.0 29.0 12 32.0 30 10/26/17 25.362 1,056.6 95.4% 1.4 1.14 97.3 -37 6 108 315 18 19 0.314 8.5 248.4 27.0 9 30.0 23 12/4/17 26,297 1,095.5 95.6% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -35 4 96 305 4 4 0.076 2.4 253.8 24.0 8 26.0 7 2/1/18 27,365 1,140.0 94.6% 1.8 1.15 109.7 -35 4 99 310 5 5 0.098 1.2 255.0 30.0 51 30.0									6				-							
9/29/17 24,713 1,029.5 95.3% 1.3 1.13 94.0 -41 4 108 315 37 39 0.620 14.0 240.0 29.0 12 32.0 30 10/26/17 25,362 1,056.6 95.4% 1.4 1.14 97.3 -37 6 108 315 18 19 0.314 8.5 248.4 27.0 9 30.0 23 12/2/17 26,297 1,095.5 95.6% 1.7 1.17 105.8 -39 4 90 305 4 4 0.075 2.9 251.4 42.0 5 41.0 12 1/5/18 27,063 1,127.4 95.7% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -35 4 96 309 4 4 0.076 2.4 25.0 30.0 51 30.0 11 3/5/18 28,031 1,167.8 94.4% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -37 3.5 95 308 6 6 0.114 3.2 256.0 30.0 51 30.0									6									27		
10/26/17 25,362 1,056.6 95.4% 1.4 1.14 97.3 -37 6 108 315 18 19 0.314 8.5 248.4 27.0 9 30.0 23 12/4/17 26,297 1,095.5 95.6% 1.7 1.17 105.8 -39 4 90 305 4 4 0.075 2.9 251.4 42.0 5 41.0 12 1/5/18 27,063 1,127.4 95.7% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -35 4 96 309 4 4 0.076 2.4 253.8 24.0 8 26.0 7 2/1/18 27,063 1,140.0 94.6% 1.8 1.15 109.7 -35 4 99 310 5 5 0.098 1.2 255.0 30.0 51 30.0 11 3/5/18 28,031 1,167.8 94.4% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -37 35.5 95 308 6 6 0.114 3.2 258.2 27.0 25 51.0									8									8		
12/4/17 26,297 1,095.5 95.6% 1.7 1.17 105.8 -39 4 90 305 4 4 0.075 2.9 251.4 42.0 5 41.0 12 1/5/18 27,063 1,127.4 95.7% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -35 4 96 309 4 4 0.076 2.4 253.8 24.0 8 26.0 7 2/1/18 27,365 1,140.0 94.6% 1.8 1.15 109.7 -35 4 99 310 5 5 0.098 1.2 255.0 30.0 51 30.0 11 3/5/18 28,031 1,167.8 94.4% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -37 3.5 95 308 6 6 0.114 3.2 258.2 27.0 25 51.0 19 4/2/18 28,806 1,200.1 94.9% 1.7 1.15 106.7 -36 4 100 311 6 6 0.114 3.7 26.19 54.0 60 88.0									4									12		
1/5/18 27,063 1,127.4 95.7% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -35 4 96 309 4 4 0.076 2.4 253.8 24.0 8 26.0 7 2/1/18 27,365 1,140.0 94.6% 1.8 1.15 109.7 -35 4 99 310 5 5 0.098 1.2 255.0 30.0 51 30.0 11 3/5/18 28,031 1,167.8 94.4% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -37 3.5 95 308 6 6 0.114 3.2 258.2 27.0 25 51.0 19 4/2/18 28,806 1,200.1 94.9% 1.7 1.15 106.7 -36 4 100 311 6 6 0.114 3.7 261.9 54.0 60 88.0 22 5/1.0 19 4/2/18 29,502 1,229.1 95.0% 1.8 1.13 101.0 -34 4 108 315 10 11 0.180 5.2 267.1 29.0									6			18						9 F		
2/1/18 27,365 1,140.0 94.6% 1.8 1.15 109.7 -35 4 99 310 5 5 0.098 1.2 255.0 30.0 51 30.0 11 3/5/18 28,031 1,167.8 94.4% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -37 3.5 95 308 6 6 0.114 3.2 258.2 27.0 25 51.0 19 4/2/18 28,806 1,200.1 94.9% 1.7 1.15 106.7 -36 4 100 311 6 6 0.114 3.7 261.9 54.0 60 88.0 22 5/1/18 29,502 1,229.1 95.0% 1.8 1.13 101.0 -34 4 108 315 10 11 0.180 5.2 267.1 29.0 102 25.0 32 32 6/8/18 30,372 1,265.3 95.0% 1.7 1.13 98.0 -33 4 110 316 5 5 0.087 3.2 270.3 83.0 16 74.0									4			4						С 0		
3/5/18 28,031 1,167.8 94.4% 1.7 1.16 106.3 -37 3.5 95 308 6 6 0.114 3.2 258.2 27.0 25 51.0 19 4/2/18 28,806 1,200.1 94.9% 1.7 1.15 106.7 -36 4 100 311 6 6 0.114 3.7 261.9 54.0 60 88.0 22 5/1/18 29,502 1,229.1 95.0% 1.8 1.13 101.0 -34 4 108 315 10 11 0.180 5.2 267.1 29.0 102 25.0 32 6/8/18 30,372 1,265.3 95.0% 1.7 1.13 98.0 -33 4 110 316 5 5 0.087 3.2 270.3 83.0 16 74.0 14 7/5/18 30,928 1,285.5 94.8% 1.6 1.12 95.0 -33 4 115 319 3.5 3.7 0.059 1.4 271.6 66.0 11 77.0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0 51</td> <td></td> <td></td>									4			4						0 51		
4/2/18 28,806 1,200.1 94.9% 1.7 1.15 106.7 -36 4 100 311 6 6 0.114 3.7 261.9 54.0 60 88.0 22 5/1/18 29,502 1,229.1 95.0% 1.8 1.13 101.0 -34 4 108 315 10 11 0.180 5.2 267.1 29.0 102 25.0 32 6/8/18 30,372 1,265.3 95.0% 1.7 1.13 98.0 -33 4 110 316 5 5 0.087 3.2 270.3 83.0 16 74.0 14 7/5/18 30,928 1,288.5 94.8% 1.6 1.12 95.0 -33 4 115 319 3.5 3.7 0.059 1.4 271.6 66.0 11 77.0 9 WC = inches of water column VIC = volatile organic compound									4			5								
5/1/18 29,502 1,229.1 95.0% 1.8 1.13 101.0 -34 4 108 315 10 11 0.180 5.2 267.1 29.0 102 25.0 32 6/8/18 30,372 1,265.3 95.0% 1.7 1.13 98.0 -33 4 110 316 5 5 0.087 3.2 270.3 83.0 16 74.0 14 7/5/18 30,928 1,288.5 94.8% 1.6 1.12 95.0 -33 4 115 319 3.5 3.7 0.059 1.4 271.6 66.0 11 77.0 9 WC = inches of water column VPID = photoionization detector SCFM = standard cubic feet per minute VOC = volatile organic compound									3.5 A			6								
68/18 30,372 1,265.3 95.0% 1.7 1.13 98.0 -33 4 110 316 5 0.087 3.2 270.3 83.0 16 74.0 14 7/5/18 30,928 1,288.5 94.8% 1.6 1.12 95.0 -33 4 110 316 5 5 0.087 3.2 270.3 83.0 16 74.0 14 7/5/18 30,928 1,288.5 94.8% 1.6 1.12 95.0 -33 4 115 319 3.5 3.7 0.059 1.4 271.6 66.0 11 77.0 9 WC = inches of water column VOC = volatile organic compound VOC = volatile organic compound									4			-	-							
7/5/18 30,928 1,288.5 94.8% 1.6 1.12 95.0 -33 4 115 319 3.5 3.7 0.059 1.4 271.6 66.0 11 77.0 9 WC = inches of water column PID = photoionization detector SCFM = standard cubic feet per minute VOC = volatile organic compound VOC = volatile organic compound									4											
WC = inches of water column PID = photoionization detector SCFM = standard cubic feet per minute VOC = volatile organic compound									4			-								
		,	,						SCFM = stand								00.0			U
					•										94 o comp					

Notes:

1) Rotron EN505 blower curve indicates that the blower should extract 97.5 SCFM at 37.5 IWC and 120 SCFM at 20 IWC. Flow rate for the blower is measured using a pitot tube, and the flow measurements reconcile with the two Rotron EN505 blower curve match points, indicating the accuracy of the blower flow measurements.

2) Flow rates are measured with an anemometer for the VE-1/2 and VE-3/4 legs of the SVE system. These are highly variable and combined measurements often greatly exceed blower capacity, indicating limitations for the anemometer flow measurements. 3) PCE concentration was correlated to the VOC concentration measured by PID using the average of the [PCE]/[VOC] ratios measured on the seven occasions on which SVE influent samples were analyze by EPA Method TO-15 (refer to Table C-4).

The resulting correlation factor of 0.290 is used to estimate PCE mass removal based on PID readings.

August 2018

V:10080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\DeliverablesWorrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Appendices\App C tbls and figs_Jul2018rev

Table C-4 - Soil Vapor Extraction System Gas Sampling Results

Project No. 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners Site (VCP No. SW1040) 608 North First Street, Tacoma, Washington

Location Date	VE-1/2		VE-SS		GAC Influent Between GAC GAC Effluent					liiueni	nt							
	7/5/18	VE-3/4 7/5/18	7/5/18	10/15/14	3/13/15	6/30/15	2/26/16	8/30/16	10/26/17	7/5/18	2/26/16	8/30/16	10/15/14	3/13/15	6/30/15	2/26/16	8/30/16	10/26/17
	Well Screen Intervals 18 to	Well Screen Intervals 30 to			00110						Betv	veen		0.10/10			0.00110	
Chemical	32 feet bgs	45 feet bgs	Sub-slab			Combined	Flow Prior to	Treatment			Serial Carb	on Vessels			Emissions to	Atmosphere		
Chlorinated Volatile Organic Co	•		1 000		04.000		7 500	04.000	01.000	0.000	44.000	0.500						
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	96,000	26,000	1,200 ve	800,000	31,000	38,000	7,500	21,000	21,000 ve	9,900 ve	11,000	2,500	< 8.2 U	< 8.1 U	< 8.0 U	< 6.8 U	< 3.4 U	25
Trichloroethene (TCE)	450	1,300	29	2,000	2,400	2,100	580	1,100	1,300	440	1,200	1,500	< 6.5 U	< 6.4 U	< 6.4 U	< 5.4 U	< 2.7 U	1,900
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cDCE)	5,300	1,300	<1.3 U	1,500	2,200	1,400	550	790	740	390	540	1,500	< 4.8 U	< 4.7 U	1,100	1,500	12	12
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene (tDCE)	<200 U	<99 U	<1.3 U	< 1300 U	< 73 U	< 66 U	< 20 U	< 40 U	< 9.9 U	<9.9 U	7.1	< 40 U	< 4.8 U	< 4.7 U	16	24	< 2 U	950
1,1-Dichloroethene	<200 U	<99 U	4.6	< 1300 U	< 73 U	< 66 U	< 20 U	< 40 U	< 9.9 U	<9.9 U	< 4 U	< 40 U	< 4.8 U	< 4.7 U	< 4.7 U	< 4 U	< 2 U	5.6
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<270 U	<140 U	4.8	< 1800 U	< 100 U	< 91 U	< 27 U	< 55 U		<14 U	< 5.5 U	< 55 U	< 6.6 U	< 6.5 U	< 6.5 U	< 5.5 U	< 2.7 U	
Vinyl chloride	440	<64 U	<0.84 U	< 820 U	< 47 U	< 43 U	< 13 U	< 26 U	< 6.4 U	<6.4 U	< 2.6 U	< 26 U	< 3.1 U	< 3.0 U	< 3.0 U	< 2.6 U	< 1.3 U	< 2.6 U
Carbon tetrachloride	<310 U	<310 U	6.5	< 2000 U	160	< 100 U	< 31 U	< 63 U		22	70	< 63 U	< 7.6 U	< 7.5 U	< 7.4 U	< 6.3 U	< 3.1 U	
Chloroform	<24 U	46	1.5	< 1600 U	< 90 U	< 82 U	< 24 U	< 49 U		15	22	< 49 U	< 5.9 U	< 5.8 U	< 5.8 U	45	< 2.4 U	
Methylene chloride	<43,000 U	<22,000 U	<290 U	< 1100 U	< 640 U	< 580 U	< 870 UJ	10,000		<2,200 U	430 J	< 8700 U	< 42 U	< 41 U	48	250 J	850	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<120 U	<60 U	1.0	< 1900 U	< 110 U	< 100 U	< 30 U	< 60 U		<6 U	< 6 U	< 60 U	< 7.3 U	< 7.2 U	< 7.1 U	< 6 U	< 3 U	
Petroleum Hydrocarbons																		
APH EC5-8 aliphatics ⁽²⁾	<23,000 U	40,000	<150 U							10,000								
APH EC9-12 aliphatics ⁽²⁾	<17,000 U	<8,700 U	1,200							2,000								
APH EC9-10 aromatics ⁽²⁾	<12,000 U	<6,200 U	<82 U							<620 U								
Benzene	<160 U	190	<1.1 U	< 1000 U	< 59 U	< 53 U	< 16 U	160	130	58	34	280	< 3.9 U	< 3.8 U	< 3.8 U	< 3.2 U	< 1.6 U	130
Toluene	<190 U	280	4.5	< 1200 U	< 69 U	< 63 U	< 19 U	280	200	93	< 3.8 U	< 38 U	< 4.6 U	< 4.5 U	< 4.5 U	< 3.8 U	2.7	28
Ethylbenzene	<220 U	<110 U	<1.4 U	< 1400 U	< 80 U	< 72 U	< 22 U	< 43 U	13	<11 U	< 4.3 U	< 43 U	< 5.3 U	< 5.2 U	< 5.1 U	< 4.3 U	< 2.2 U	< 4.3 U
Total Xylenes	<650 U	650	<4.3 U	< 1400 U	< 80 U	< 72 U	< 43 U	211	335	210	< 8.7 U	< 87 U	< 5.3 U	< 5.2 U	< 5.1 U	< 8.7 U	< 4.3 U	47
Naphthalene	<52 U	<26 U	3.0 fb				< 26 U	< 52 U	< 13 U	3.5 fb	< 5.2 U	< 52 U				< 5.2 U	< 2.6 U	< 5.2 U
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	<38 U	<19 U	<0.56 U	< 2500 U	< 140 U	< 130 U	< 38 U	< 77 U		<1.9 U	< 7.7 U	< 77 U	< 9.3 U	< 9.1 U	< 9.1 U	< 7.7 U	< 3.8 U	
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	<20 U	<10 U	<0.24 U	< 1300 U	< 74 U	< 68 U	< 20 U	< 40 U	< 10 U	<1 U	4.5	< 40 U	< 4.9 U	< 4.8 U	< 4.8 U	< 4 U	< 2 U	< 4 U
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	<900 U	<450 U	<5.9 U	< 1200 U	< 66 U	< 60 U	< 18 U	< 36 U		<45 U	< 3.6 U	< 36 U	< 4.4 U	< 4.3 U	< 4.3 U	< 3.6 U	< 1.8 U	
Propene	<340 U	<170 U	<2.3 U				< 34 U	< 69 U		<17 U	< 6.9 U	< 69 U				< 6.9 U	4.1	
Isobutene	<460 U	<230 U	<3 U				< 46 U	< 92 U		<23 U	< 9.2 U	< 92 U				< 9.2 U	9.5	
Pentane	<1,500 U	<740 U	<9.7 U				170	320		170	430	420				< 30 U	< 15 U	
Cyclopentane	<140 U	<72 U	<0.95 U				< 14 U	48		<7.2 U	< 2.9 U	81				160	4	
n-Hexane	<1,800 U	<880 U	<12 U	< 1100 U	640	260	230	550		150	760	930	< 4.3 U	< 4.2 U	< 4.2 U	< 35 U	< 18 U	
Cyclohexane	<3,400 U	<1,700 U	<23 U	< 1100 U	370	160	< 340 U	< 690 U		<170 U	380	< 690 U	< 4.2 U	< 4.1 U	< 4.1 U	< 69 U	< 34 U	
Heptane				< 1300 U	630	150							< 5.0 U	< 4.9 U	< 4.8 U			
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane				< 1500 U	2,700	1,500							< 5.7 U	< 5.6 U	< 5.5 U			
Other Detected Volatile Organic						(00.11					10.11	100.11						
Acetone	<2,400 U	<1,200 U	<16 U	< 3000 U	< 440 U	< 400 U	< 240 U	< 480 U		<120 U	< 48 U	< 480 U	36	< 28 U	37	< 48 U	30	
Acrolein	<460 U	<230 U	<3 U				< 46 U	< 92 U		<23 U	< 9.2 U	< 92 U				< 9.2 U	9.4	
CFC-113	<380 U	<190 U	23				< 38 U	< 77 U		23	< 7.7 U	< 77 U				< 7.7 U	< 3.8 U	
Chlorodifluoromethane	<180 U	<88 U	1.3				< 18 U	< 35 U		<8.8 U	< 3.5 U	< 35 U				< 3.5 U	< 1.8 U	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	<250 U	<120 U	3.1	< 1600 U	< 91 U	< 82 U	29	55		38	48	49	< 6.0 U	68	60	60	42	
Ethanol	<3,800 U	<1,900 U	<25 U	< 2400 U	< 140 U	< 120 U	< 380 U	< 750 U		<190 U	< 75 U	< 750 U	< 9.2 UJ	28	130	< 75 U	< 38 U	
2-Propanol	<4,300 U	<2,200 U	<28 U	< 3200 U	< 180 U	< 160 U	< 430 UJ	< 860 U		<220 U	< 86 UJ	< 860 U	< 12 U	< 12 U	35	< 86 UJ	85	
Tetrahydrofuran			– –	1,600	< 54 U	< 49 U							< 3.6 U	< 3.5 U	< 3.5 U	– –		
Trichlorofluoromethane APH air-phase hydrocarbons	<280 U	<140 U	5.8	< 1800 U	< 100 U	< 94 U	< 28 U	< 56 U		<14 U	< 5.6 U	< 56 U west calibratio	< 6.8 U	< 6.7 U	16	5.6	6.2	

ve

APH air-phase hydrocarbons

the value reported is an estimate (concentration is below lowest calibration standard) J U

bgs below ground surface

not detected at the indicated reporting limit

the analyte was detected in the method blank fb

Notes:

1) All concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Only analytes detected in at least one sample are included in this table. Detections are bolded.

2) All samples were analyzed by EPA Method TO-15 for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Samples collected on 7/5/18 were also analyzed by Method MA-APH for aliphatic

and aromatic hydrocarbons in the indicated carbon ranges. Non-petroleum compounds were subtracted from the EC5-8 aliphatic range prior to quantitation.

Aspect Consulting

August 2018

V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Worrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Appendices\App C tbls and figs_Jul2018rev

the value reported is an estimate (response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range)



Figure C-1 SVE Trench Extent of Influence during Pilot Test

Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP No. SW1039)



Aspect Consulting August

Figure C-2 Estimated Mass of PCE Removed by the SVE System through June 2018

2018 V:\080190 Stadium Thriftway LLC\Deliverables\Morrells Supplemental FFS\August 2018 Draft\Appendices\App C tbls and figs_Jul2018rev

Morrell's Dry Cleaners, Tacoma, WA

APPENDIX D

Remedial Cost Estimates

Table D-1. Cost Estimate for Alternative 1 Long-Term Controls and Environmental Covenant

Project No 080190, Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP Site SW1039) 609 N. First Street, Tacoma, WA

	No. of			Year of	Present Value
	Units	Units	Unit Cost	Expenditure	Cost ⁽²⁾
Develop Plans and Environmental Covenant					
Cap I&M plan	1	LS	\$8,000	2018	\$8,000
VI mitigation plan	1	LS	\$10,000	2018	\$10,000
Groundwater monitoring & contingency plan	1	LS	\$15,000	2018	\$15,000
Environmental covenant	1	LS	\$40,000	2018	\$40,000
Vapor Intrusion Mitigation					
Existing SVE system O&M and reporting	1	YR	\$35,000	2018	\$35,000
Install radon fans & decommission SVE system	1	LS	\$40,000	2019	\$39,722
VI mitigation IM&M and reporting	29	YR	\$4,000	2019 - 2047	\$100,654
Groundwater Monitoring					
Install deep groundwater CPOC wells (2 wells assumed)	1	LS	\$60,000	2019	\$59,583
Groundwater monitoring and reporting (8 wells assumed)	30	YR	\$9,000	2018 - 2047	\$242,772
Сар I&M					
Cap I&M and reporting	30	YR	\$2,000	2018 - 2047	\$53,949
			PRESENT	VALUE COST	\$604,680

Notes:

1) These FS-level cost estimates have an intended accuracy of -30/+50 percent.

2) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars and are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent.

Table D-2. Cost Estimate for Alternative 2

Expanded SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and Biostimulation of Advance Outwash Groundwater

Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP Site SW1039) Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study

	No. of			Year of	Present Value
	Units	Units	Unit Cost	Expenditure	Cost ⁽²⁾
Develop Plans and Environmental Covenant					
Cap I&M plan	1	LS	\$8,000	2018	\$8,000
VI mitigation plan	1	LS	\$10,000	2018	\$10,000
Groundwater monitoring & contingency plan	1	LS	\$15,000	2018	\$15,000
Environmental covenant	1	LS	\$40,000	2018	\$40,000
Remediation design/work plans/permits	1	LS	\$60,000	2018	\$60,000
SVE					
Additional angled SVE wells	16	EA	\$8,000	2018	\$128,000
Additional vertical SVE wells	14	EA	\$6,500	2018	\$91,000
Construction/startup of expanded system	1	LS	\$140,000	2018	\$140,000
Construction completion report	1	LS	\$20,000	2019	\$19,861
Expanded system O&M and reporting	6	YR	\$55,000	2019 - 2024	\$319,442
Biostimulation					
Additional angled biostimulation/monitoring wells	6	EA	\$9,000	2018	\$54,000
Additional vertical biostimulation/monitoring wells	12	EA	\$7,000	2018	\$84,000
First expanded biostimulation injection event	1	LS	\$80,000	2019	\$79,444
Second expanded biostimulation injection event	1	LS	\$60,000	2023	\$57,943
Vapor Intrusion Mitigation					
Existing SVE system O&M and reporting	1	YR	\$35,000	2018	\$35,000
Install radon fans & decommission SVE system	1	LS	\$50,000	2024	\$47,951
VI mitigation IM&M and reporting	24	YR	\$4,000	2024 - 2047	\$84,476
Groundwater Monitoring					
Install deep groundwater CPOC wells (2 wells assumed)	1	LS	\$60,000	2019	\$59,583
Groundwater monitoring and reporting (12 wells assumed)	10	YR	\$12,000	2018 - 2027	\$115,506
Сар I&M					
Cap I&M and reporting	30	YR	\$2,000	2018 - 2047	\$53,949
			PRESENT	VALUE COST	\$1,503,156

Notes:

1) These FS-level cost estimates have an intended accuracy of -30/+50 percent.

2) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars and are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent.

Table D-3. Cost Estimate for Alternative 3

ERH and SVE of Accessible On-Property Soil Contamination, and

Biostimulation/HEPA of Advance Outwash Groundwater

Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP Site SW1039) Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study

	No. of			Year of	Present Value
	Units	Units	Unit Cost	Expenditure	Cost ⁽²⁾
Develop Plans and Environmental Covenant					
Cap I&M plan	1	LS	\$8,000	2018	\$8,000
VI mitigation plan	1	LS	\$10,000	2018	\$10,000
Groundwater monitoring & contingency plan	1	LS	\$15,000	2018	\$15,000
Environmental covenant	1	LS	\$40,000	2018	\$40,000
Remediation design/work plans/permits	1	LS	\$160,000	2018	\$160,000
Thermal Remediation (includes ERH and HEPA)					
Electrode materials mobilization	1	LS	\$340,000	2018	\$340,000
Subsurface installation	1	LS	\$680,000	2018	\$680,000
Drill cuttings and waste disposal	1	LS	\$60,000	2018	\$60,000
Electrical permit and utility connection to PCU	1	LS	\$80,000	2018	\$80,000
Surface installation and start-up	1	LS	\$310,000	2018	\$310,000
ERH operation (includes HEPA heatup phase)	1	LS	\$370,000	2019	\$367,428
HEPA operation for one additional year	1	YR	\$80,000	2019	\$79,444
Electrical energy usage	1	LS	\$140,000	2019	\$139,027
Demobilization and final report	1	LS	\$180,000	2020	\$177,506
SVE					
Existing SVE system O&M and reporting	1	YR	\$35,000	2018	\$35,000
Additional angled SVE wells in Advance Outwash	6	EA	\$8,000	2018	\$48,000
Additional vertical SVE wells in Advance Outwash	6	EA	\$6,500	2018	\$39,000
Construction/startup of modified system	1	LS	\$70,000	2018	\$70,000
Construction completion report	1	LS	\$16,000	2019	\$15,889
Modified system O&M and reporting	3	YR	\$40,000	2019 - 2021	\$117,239
Biostimulation					
Biostimulation injection event	1	LS	\$100,000	2019	\$99,305
Groundwater Monitoring					
Install deep groundwater CPOC wells (2 wells assumed)	1	LS	\$60,000	2019	\$59,583
Groundwater monitoring and reporting (14 wells assumed)		YR	\$13,000	2018 - 2021	\$51,103
Cap I&M					
Cap I&M and reporting	30	YR	\$2,000	2018 - 2047	\$53,949
	PRESENT VALUE COST				\$3,055,472

Notes:

1) These FS-level cost estimates have an intended accuracy of -30/+50 percent.

2) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars and are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent.

Page 1 of 1

Table D-4. Cost Estimate for Alternative 4

ERH of Accessible On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater

Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP Site SW1039) Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study

	No. of			Year of	Present Value
	Units	Units	Unit Cost	Expenditure	Cost ⁽²⁾
Develop Plans and Environmental Covenant					
Cap I&M plan	1	LS	\$8,000	2018	\$8,000
VI mitigation plan	1	LS	\$10,000	2018	\$10,000
Groundwater monitoring & contingency plan	1	LS	\$15,000	2018	\$15,000
Environmental covenant	1	LS	\$40,000	2018	\$40,000
Remediation design/work plans/permits	1	LS	\$130,000	2018	\$130,000
ERH					
Electrode materials mobilization	1	LS	\$450,000	2018	\$450,000
Subsurface installation	1	LS	\$950,000	2018	\$950,000
Drill cuttings and waste disposal	1	LS	\$120,000	2018	\$120,000
Electrical permit and utility connection to PCU	1	LS	\$80,000	2018	\$80,000
Surface installation and start-up	1	LS	\$380,000	2018	\$380,000
ERH operation	1	LS	\$760,000	2019	\$754,717
Electrical energy usage	1	LS	\$290,000	2019	\$287,984
Demobilization and final report	1	LS	\$240,000	2019	\$238,332
Groundwater Monitoring					
Install deep groundwater CPOC wells (2 wells assumed)	1	LS	\$60,000	2019	\$59,583
Groundwater monitoring and reporting (14 wells assumed)	3	YR	\$13,000	2018 - 2020	\$38,460
Сар I&M					
Cap I&M and reporting	30	YR	\$2,000	2018 - 2047	\$53,949
PRESENT VALUE COST					\$3,616,025

Notes:

1) These FS-level cost estimates have an intended accuracy of -30/+50 percent.

2) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars and are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent.

Table D-5. Cost Estimate for Alternative 5

Comprehensive ERH of On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater Following Building Demolition

Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP Site SW1039) Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study

	No. of			Year of	Present Value	
	Units	Units	Unit Cost	Expenditure	Cost ⁽²⁾	
Demolish Building, Develop Plans and Environmental Covenant						
Building demolition ⁽³⁾	1	LS	\$30,000	2018	\$30,000	
Groundwater monitoring & contingency plan	1	LS	\$15,000	2018	\$15,000	
Environmental covenant	1	LS	\$40,000	2018	\$40,000	
Remediation design/work plans/permits	1	LS	\$110,000	2018	\$110,000	
ERH						
Electrode materials mobilization	1	LS	\$400,000	2018	\$400,000	
Subsurface installation	1	LS	\$550,000	2018	\$550,000	
Drill cuttings and waste disposal	1	LS	\$90,000	2018	\$90,000	
Electrical permit and utility connection to PCU	1	LS	\$80,000	2018	\$80,000	
Surface installation and start-up	1	LS	\$350,000	2018	\$350,000	
ERH operation	1	LS	\$600,000	2019	\$595,829	
Electrical energy usage	1	LS	\$260,000	2019	\$258,193	
Demobilization and final report	1	LS	\$200,000	2019	\$198,610	
Groundwater Monitoring						
Install deep groundwater CPOC wells (2 wells assumed)	1	LS	\$60,000	2019	\$59,583	
Groundwater monitoring and reporting (14 wells assumed)	3	YR	\$13,000	2018 - 2020	\$38,460	
PRESENT VALUE COST					\$2,815,675	

Notes:

1) These FS-level cost estimates have an intended accuracy of -30/+50 percent.

2) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars and are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent.

3) The building demolition cost estimate includes demolition permitting and planning. Loss of income generated by the building and the cost of constructing a new building are not included in the estimate.

Table D-6. Cost Estimate for Alternative 6

Removal of On-Property Contaminated Soil to 15-Foot Depth Following Building Demolition and ERH of Deeper On-Property Contaminated Soil and Advance Outwash Groundwater

Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP Site SW1039) Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study

	No. of			Year of	Present Value
	Units	Units	Unit Cost	Expenditure	Cost ⁽²⁾
Demolish Building, Develop Plans and Environmental Covena	int				
Building demolition ⁽³⁾	1	LS	\$30,000	2018	\$30,000
Groundwater monitoring & contingency plan	1	LS	\$15,000	2018	\$15,000
Environmental covenant	1	LS	\$40,000	2018	\$40,000
Remediation design/work plans/permits	1	LS	\$170,000	2018	\$170,000
Soil Removal to 15-Foot Depth					
Temporary shoring ⁽⁴⁾	2,940	sq ft	\$60	2018	\$176,400
Soil excavation, transport, and disposal ⁽⁵⁾	5,100	ton	\$300	2018	\$1,530,000
Clean fill import and compaction	5,100	ton	\$30	2018	\$153,000
Excavation monitoring and construction completion report	1	LS	\$70,000	2018	\$70,000
ERH					
Electrode materials mobilization	1	LS	\$290,000	2019	\$287,984
Subsurface installation	1	LS	\$370,000	2019	\$367,428
Drill cuttings and waste disposal	1	LS	\$60,000	2019	\$59,583
Electrical permit and utility connection to PCU	1	LS	\$80,000	2019	\$79,444
Surface installation and start-up	1	LS	\$300,000	2019	\$297,915
ERH operation	1	LS	\$590,000	2019	\$585,899
Electrical energy usage	1	LS	\$200,000	2019	\$198,610
Demobilization and final report	1	LS	\$190,000	2020	\$187,368
Groundwater Monitoring					
Install deep groundwater CPOC wells (2 wells assumed)	1	LS	\$60,000	2019	\$59,583
Groundwater monitoring and reporting (14 wells assumed)	4	YR	\$13,000	2018 - 2021	\$51,103
PRESENT VALUE COST					\$4,359,315

Notes:

1) These FS-level cost estimates have an intended accuracy of -30/+50 percent.

2) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars and are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent.

- 3) The building demolition cost estimate includes demolition permitting and planning. Loss of income generated by the building and the cost of constructing a new building are not included in the estimate.
- 4) A total length of 196 feet of temporary shoring wall is assumed along the north and west property boundaries. The unit cost for temporary shoring is per square foot of exposed shoring wall.
- 5) The weight of soil requiring offsite disposal is estimated based on an area of 5,700 square feet, a depth of 15 feet, and a soil density of 1.6 ton/CY. The unit cost for excavation, transport, and disposal assumes disposal in a RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste landfill.

Table D-6

Table D-7. Preliminary Cost Estimate for Cleanup of Parking Lot Parcel

Morrell's Dry Cleaners (VCP Site SW1039) Supplemental Focused Feasibility Study

	No. of	÷		Year of	Present Value
	Units	Units	Unit Cost	Expenditure	Cost ⁽²⁾
Plans and Construction Preparation					
Design/work plans/permits	1	LS	\$40,000	2018	\$40,000
Groundwater monitoring & contingency plan	1	LS	\$10,000	2018	\$10,000
Investigation/Construction and SVE					
Additional vertical wells	13	EA	\$7,000	2018	\$91,000
Construction monitoring and SVE system modifications	1	LS	\$80,000	2018	\$80,000
Investigation/construction completion report	1	LS	\$20,000	2019	\$19,861
SVE system O&M and reporting	3	YR	\$35,000	2019 - 2021	\$102,584
Biostimulation and Groundwater Monitoring					
Biostimulation injection event	1	LS	\$70,000	2019	\$69,513
Groundwater monitoring and reporting (10 wells assumed)	4	YR	\$10,000	2019 - 2022	\$38,967
Post-Cleanup					
Soil investigation to confirm cleanup levels are achieved	1	LS	\$25,000	2022	\$24,312
Obtain parcel-specific NFA opinion letter	1	LS	\$25,000	2023	\$24,143
Well decommissioning	13	EA	\$1,200	2023	\$15,065
PRESENT VALUE OF FUTURE COSTS					\$515,446

Notes:

1) This preliminary cost estimate is based on the conceptual design discussed in Section 8 of the main report. The actual cost will be highly dependent on the magnitude and extent of soil and groundwater contamination in the Parking Lot Parcel, which is currently not well delineated.

2) Present value costs are based on 2018 dollars and are calculated using a discount factor of 0.7 percent.

APPENDIX E

Report Limitations and Guidelines for Use

REPORT LIMITATIONS AND USE GUIDELINES

Reliance Conditions for Third Parties

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of the Client. No other party may rely on this report or the product of our services without the express written consent of Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect). This limitation is to provide our firm with reasonable protection against liability claims by third parties with whom there would otherwise be no contractual conditions or limitations and guidelines governing their use of the report. Within the limitations of scope, schedule and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with our Agreement with the Client and recognized standards of professionals in the same locality and involving similar conditions.

Services for Specific Purposes, Persons and Projects

Aspect has performed the services in general accordance with the scope and limitations of our Agreement. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Client and their authorized third parties, approved in writing by Aspect. This report is not intended for use by others, and the information contained herein is not applicable to other properties.

This report is not, and should not, be construed as a warranty or guarantee regarding the presence or absence of hazardous substances or petroleum products that may affect the subject property. The report is not intended to make any representation concerning title or ownership to the subject property. If real property records were reviewed, they were reviewed for the sole purpose of determining the subject property's historical uses. All findings, conclusions, and recommendations stated in this report are based on the data and information provided to Aspect, current use of the subject property, and observations and conditions that existed on the date and time of the report.

Aspect structures its services to meet the specific needs of our clients. Because each environmental study is unique, each environmental report is unique, prepared solely for the specific client and subject property. This report should not be applied for any purpose or project except the purpose described in the Agreement.

This Report Is Project-Specific

Aspect considered a number of unique, project-specific factors when establishing the Scope of Work for this project and report. You should not rely on this report if it was:

- Not prepared for you
- Not prepared for the specific purpose identified in the Agreement
- Not prepared for the specific real property assessed
- Completed before important changes occurred concerning the subject property, project or governmental regulatory actions

If changes are made to the project or subject property after the date of this report, Aspect should be retained to assess the impact of the changes with respect to the conclusions contained in the report.

Geoscience Interpretations

The geoscience practices (geotechnical engineering, geology, and environmental science) require interpretation of spatial information that can make them less exact than other engineering and natural science disciplines. It is important to recognize this limitation in evaluating the content of the report. If you are unclear how these "Report Limitations and Use Guidelines" apply to your project or site, you should contact Aspect.

Discipline-Specific Reports Are Not Interchangeable

The equipment, techniques and personnel used to perform an environmental study differ significantly from those used to perform a geotechnical or geologic study and vice versa. For that reason, a geotechnical engineering or geologic report does not usually address any environmental findings, conclusions or recommendations; e.g., about the likelihood of encountering underground storage tanks or regulated contaminants. Similarly, environmental reports are not used to address geotechnical or geologic concerns regarding the subject property.

Environmental Regulations Are Not Static

Some hazardous substances or petroleum products may be present near the subject property in quantities or under conditions that may have led, or may lead, to contamination of the subject property, but are not included in current local, state or federal regulatory definitions of hazardous substances or petroleum products or do not otherwise present potential liability. Changes may occur in the standards for appropriate inquiry or regulatory definitions of hazardous substance and petroleum products; therefore, this report has a limited useful life.

Property Conditions Change Over Time

This report is based on conditions that existed at the time the study was performed. The findings and conclusions of this report may be affected by the passage of time (for example, Phase I ESA reports are applicable for 180 days), by events such as a change in property use or occupancy, or by natural events, such as floods, earthquakes, slope failure or groundwater fluctuations. If more than six months have passed since issuance of our report, or if any of the described events may have occurred following the issuance of the report, you should contact Aspect so that we may evaluate whether changed conditions affect the continued reliability or applicability of our conclusions and recommendations.

Phase I ESAs – Uncertainty Remains After Completion

Aspect has performed the services in general accordance with the scope and limitations of our Agreement and the current version of the "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process", ASTM E1527, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s Federal Standard 40 CFR Part 312 "Innocent Landowners, Standards for Conducting All Appropriate Inquiries".

No ESA can wholly eliminate uncertainty regarding the potential for recognized environmental conditions in connection with subject property. Performance of an ESA study is intended to reduce, but not eliminate, uncertainty regarding the potential for environmental conditions affecting the subject property. There is always a potential that areas with contamination that were not identified during this ESA exist at the subject property or in the study area. Further evaluation of such potential would require additional research, subsurface exploration, sampling and/or testing.

Historical Information Provided by Others

Aspect has relied upon information provided by others in our description of historical conditions and in our review of regulatory databases and files. The available data does not provide definitive information with regard to all past uses, operations or incidents affecting the subject property or adjacent properties. Aspect makes no warranties or guarantees regarding the accuracy or completeness of information provided or compiled by others.

Exclusion of Mold, Fungus, Radon, Lead, and HBM

Aspect's services do not include the investigation, detection, prevention or assessment of the presence of molds, fungi, spores, bacteria, and viruses, and/or any of their byproducts. Accordingly, this report does not include any interpretations, recommendations, findings, or conclusions regarding the detection, assessment, prevention or abatement of molds, fungi, spores, bacteria, and viruses, and/or any of their byproducts. Aspect's services also do not include the investigation or assessment of hazardous building materials (HBM) such as asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in light ballasts, lead based paint, asbestos-containing building materials, urea-formaldehyde insulation in on-site structures or debris or any other HBMs. Aspect's services do not include an evaluation of radon or lead in drinking water, unless specifically requested.