#### PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTAL

1531 BENDIGO BOULEVARD NORTH PO BOX 952 NORTH BEND, WA 98045 T 425.888.4990 F 425.888.4994

February 12, 2020

Ms. Sandra Matthews Toxics Cleanup Program Washington State Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 160<sup>th</sup> Avenue Southeast Bellevue, Washington 98008

RE: Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington Facility/Site No. 23408 Pacific Crest No. 105-003

Dear Ms. Matthews:

Enclosed for your review is one original, one copy, and one CD copy of the Cleanup Action Progress Report (Progress Report), dated February 12, 2020, prepared by Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC (Pacific Crest) on behalf of Penthouse Drapery Cleaners and Manufacturers, Inc. (Penthouse Drapery) for the Site Area 1 (SA-1) of the Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site (the Site). This Progress Report documents the cleanup action activities conducted at SA-1 between December 2018 and December 2019.

Please feel free to contact the undersigned at (425) 888-4990 if you have questions or comments regarding the information provided herein.

Sincerely,

#### PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC

William Carroll, L.G., L.H.G. Principal Hydrogeologist

Attachment: Cleanup Action Progress Report, dated February 12, 2020

cc: Mr. Jack Zahner – Foster Pepper, PLLC Todd and Karen Sullivan – Seattle Collision Center



PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTAL

1531 BENDIGO BOULEVARD NORTH PO BOX 952 NORTH BEND, WA 98045 T 425.888.4990 F 425.888.4994

# **CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT**

FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY AND BELSHAW SITE 1752 RAINIER AVENUE SOUTH SEATTLE, WASHINGTON SITE ID NO. 23408

Submitted by:

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC 1531 Bendigo Boulevard North North Bend, WA 98045 Pacific Crest PN: 105-003

For:

Ms. Sandra Matthews Toxics Cleanup Program Washington State Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 160th Avenue Southeast Bellevue, Washington 98008

Prepared by: FOR: ARRIVE

Michael Black Project Engineer

Reviewed by:

William Carroll, L.G., L.H.G. Principal Hydrogeologist

February 12, 2020



CONT	ENTS
------	------

1.0	<b>INTROD</b> 1.1 O	<b>UCTION1-1</b> BJECTIVE1-1
2.0	SITE DE           2.1         S           2.2         G           2.3         S	SCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND.2-1ITE DESCRIPTION2-1EOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY2-1ITE HISTORY2-2
3.0	CLEANU           3.1         E           3.2         A           3.3         S           3.4         P           3.5         S           3.6         D	<b>IP ACTION IMPLEMENTATION</b>
4.0	RESULT           4.1         E           4.2         A           4.3         S           4.4         P           4.5         S	S4-1RH SYSTEM SHUTDOWN RESULTS4-1IR MONITORING RESULTS4-1UBSURFACE TEMPERATURE MONITORING RESULTS4-1ERFORMANCE GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS4-1CC BUILDING CRACK MONITORING RESULTS4-2
5.0	<b>DATA EV</b> 5.1 D 5.2 P 5.3 F	VALUATION AND PROGRESS SUMMARY5-1ATA EVALUATION5-1ROGRESS SUMMARY5-1UTURE REDEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES5-1
6.0	REFERE	NCES
7.0	LIMITAT	IONS7-1

#### FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Location Map
- Figure 2 Site Plan
- Figure 3 Site Plan with Air Analytical Results
- Figure 4 Site Plan with Groundwater Analytical Results (November 2019)

#### TABLES

- Table 1
   ERH and Subsurface Temperature Data Summary
- Table 2Analytical Results Summary Air
- Table 3
   Groundwater Elevation and Groundwater Quality Parameters Summary
- Table 4Analytical Results Summary Groundwater
- Table 5
   Analytical Results Summary Groundwater (Aspect)

# **APPENDICES**

- Appendix A TRS Final Report
- Appendix B Laboratory Analytical Reports
- Appendix C Subsurface Temperature Graphs
- Appendix D Pacific Engineering Technologies Report
- Appendix E Waste Disposal Documentation
- Appendix F Figures for All Reporting Period Groundwater Analytical Results
- Appendix G PCE vs. Time Graphs
- Appendix H Grand Street Commons Report

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC (Pacific Crest) has prepared this Cleanup Action Progress Report (Progress Report) to document cleanup action activities conducted in response to a release of dry cleaning solvent that occurred at the former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site located in Seattle, Washington (the Site) (Figure 1). The contaminants of concern (COCs) for Site Area 1 (SA-1) include: tetrachloroethene (PCE); trichloroethene (TCE); cis-1,2-dichloroethene (c-DCE); trans-1,2-dichloroethene (t-DCE); and vinyl chloride (VC). The cleanup approach for SA-1 and Site-Specific Cleanup Levels (CULs) for the COCs are presented in the Draft for Ecology Review Cleanup Action Plan (dCAP) for SA-1, dated July 7, 2015 (Pacific Crest & AECOM 2015). Formerly, the Site cleanup was conducted under the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) and Ecology had assigned VCP Number NW2278 to the Site. On May 31, 2019, Ecology removed the Site from the VCP; the Site cleanup is currently being conducted as an independent cleanup action.

This Progress Report documents implementation of the dCAP between December 2018 and December 2019 (Reporting Period). The corrective action activities documented in this Progress Report include: electric resistive heating (ERH) system shutdown; background air monitoring; subsurface temperature monitoring; four groundwater monitoring events; and structural building observations. The Site cleanup activities described herein were conducted in accordance with Ecology's Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation, as established in Chapter 173-340 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) (Publication No. 94-06 revised 2013). This Report provides information to Ecology regarding the status of the cleanup action, in accordance with Section 4.6.4.2 of the dCAP.

#### 1.1 OBJECTIVE

This Progress Report is intended to provide sufficient information to document cleanup action measures conducted during the Reporting Period. The overall project objective is to reduce concentrations of COCs in the affected media to levels below regulatory concern and to demonstrate that the cleanup meets the substantive requirements of MTCA sufficient to obtain a No Further Action determination for the Site.

# 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This section provides an overview of the physiographic conditions, relevant historical information, and investigation and cleanup activities.

# 2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Site<sup>1</sup> formerly consisted of properties affected by co-mingled contamination associated with releases that occurred at: the current location of Seattle Collision Center, Inc. (SCC), formerly owned by the Penthouse Drapery Cleaners and Manufacturers, Inc. (Penthouse Drapery), at 1752 Rainier Avenue South (Former Penthouse Drapery Property); adjacent properties immediately south of the Former Penthouse Drapery Property formerly owned by Belshaw Brothers, Inc. (Belshaw), a former subsidiary of Enodis Corporation and current subsidiary of AGA Foodservice (Former Belshaw Property); and other adjacent properties owned by third parties as described in Section 2.1 of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Report, dated July 25, 2014. For the purpose of selecting and implementing cleanup alternatives, the Site was divided into three sub-areas (SA-1, Site Area 2 [SA-2], and Site Area 3 [SA-3]) where cleanup actions will be implemented. Recent investigation data indicates that contamination related to Belshaw.

# 2.2 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

In the vicinity of the SCC Building, the upper 40-feet of soil consists of silt, clay, sand, and silty sand layers interpreted to be a mixture of shallow anthropogenic fill, recessional lacustrine and outwash deposits (Qvrl and Qvr) and till (Qvt) that have been modified by liquefaction during historical earthquakes. The material between approximately 40 feet below ground surface (bgs) and 70 feet bgs consists of dense silt and sandy silt containing trace amounts of gravel, interpreted to be till (Qvt). The material between approximately 70 feet bgs and 110 feet bgs (maximum depth explored) consists of sand and silty sand that grades with depth to silty sand and sandy silt interpreted to be advance outwash (Qva).

Shallow unconfined groundwater is first encountered in discontinuous sandy layers at depths ranging from between approximately 12 feet bgs and 30 feet bgs (Shallow Zone) and partially confined discontinuous saturated zones are encountered to 60 feet bgs (Intermediate Zone). The material between the saturated zones (generally silt and sandy silt) was described as moist or slightly moist and did not produce sufficient groundwater to sample. The direction of groundwater flow in the Shallow and Intermediate Zones has been interpreted to be to the southeast. However, due to the geologic heterogeneity in the Shallow and Intermediate Zones, the characterization of groundwater flow direction using standard methods (i.e. potentiometric surface elevation contour maps) has, historically, been unreliable. Groundwater encountered in the sand and silty sand located between 60 feet bgs and 100 feet bgs (Deep Zone) appears to be partially confined by the silt located between 40 feet bgs and 65 feet bgs. The aquifer material in the Deep Zone (sand

105-003 FNL Cleanup Action Progress Report 2-12-20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A "Site" is defined as the areal and vertical extent of the contaminants of concern (COCs) in the media of concern at concentrations that exceed the applicable cleanup levels.

and silty sand) is more homogeneous than the material in the Shallow and Intermediate Zones (interbedded sands and silts). The direction of groundwater flow in the Deep Zone has been interpreted to be to the east-northeast.

#### 2.3 SITE HISTORY

The Site investigation area (Investigation Area) includes: the Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw properties; the public right-of-ways adjacent to the properties; and the topographically up- and down-gradient areas in the immediate vicinity of the Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw properties.

Between 1995 and 2010, Phase I Environmental Site Assessments and subsurface investigation activities were conducted in the Investigation Area by Dames & Moore (D&M), Pricewaterhouse Coopers, LLP (PWC), Aaron and Wright Technical Services, Inc. (A&W), URS, G-Logics, Inc. (G-Logics), and Pacific Crest. The objectives of these investigations included satisfying property transaction due-diligence requirements and characterizing the nature and extent of contamination.

Subsurface investigation activities included: advancing soil borings; installing groundwater monitoring wells; collecting soil, groundwater and soil vapor samples for laboratory analysis; and conducting in-situ hydraulic conductivity testing. The locations of soil borings and monitoring wells installed during the previous investigation activities at SA-1 are illustrated on Figure 2. The subsurface investigation activities are summarized in the RI/FS Report dated July 25, 2014.

The dCAP, prepared by Pacific Crest and AECOM, presents electric resistive heating (ERH) combined with enhanced in-situ anaerobic bioremediation and monitored natural attenuation of the COCs in groundwater as the proposed cleanup action for SA-1. ERH is an in-situ technology that applies an electrical current via electrodes to the subsurface for treatment of contaminated soil and groundwater. The electrodes are placed in the subsurface and activated so that electrical current passes through the area of contamination. The resistance of the soil to the electric current heats the soil which, in turn, heats the groundwater to a target temperature approaching 100 degrees Celsius to generate steam. The resulting steam, which serves as a carrier gas to remove chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs) from the subsurface, is recovered from extraction wells by a vacuum extraction blower and cooled. After the recovered air is cooled and the steam condensed, the CVOC vapors are treated using conventional methods before being discharged to the atmosphere. The site soil and groundwater become progressively cleaner as concentrations of CVOCs are extracted. A full description of the cleanup action is summarized in the dCAP (Pacific Crest & AECOM 2015) and EDR (Pacific Crest & AECOM 2017).

ERH System operations were active between March 29, 2018 and October 8, 2018. Average subsurface temperatures within the Treatment Zone remained above 100°C for approximately 84 days. The cumulative mass of PCE removed during ERH energy application is estimated to be 11.9 kilograms. Confirmation soil sampling results indicated that concentrations of the COCs in Treatment Zone soil are below Site-specific CULs. Performance groundwater monitoring conducted following ERH system shutdown (November 2018) indicated that concentrations of

PCE were reduced from baseline results (August 2017) in Treatment Zone Shallow and Intermediate Wells by an average of 94% (Pacific Crest, 2018a; Pacific Crest, 2018b).

# 3.0 CLEANUP ACTION IMPLEMENTATION

The elements of the dCAP implemented during the Reporting Period include the following:

- ERH system shutdown;
- Air monitoring;
- Subsurface temperature monitoring;
- Performance groundwater monitoring; and
- SCC Building crack monitoring;

A summary of the cleanup action and progress monitoring activities is provided in the following sections.

#### 3.1 ERH SYSTEM SHUTDOWN

ERH system operations were active between March 29, 2018 and October 8, 2018. ERH system design, calculation and schematics were provided in the EDR, dated May 24, 2017. ERH system construction and start-up activities were provided in the *Cleanup Action Progress Report*, dated August 10, 2018. A summary of ERH system operations were provided in the *Cleanup Action Progress Report*, dated December 11, 2018. On October 8, 2018, ERH operations ceased upon reaching the design remediation energy of 1,750,000 kilowatt-hours (kWh). The vapor recovery system continued operations until December 17, 2018.

ERH system components were decommissioned by TRS Group, Inc. (TRS) between December 2018 and July 2019. ERH system decommissioning activities included: draining the steam condenser; cutting electrode cables at surface grade; removal of all ERH system equipment; and removal of the security fencing. In February 2019, the connection to the sewer was excavated, plugged with concrete, and inspected by the City of Seattle. In April 2019, Seattle City Light disconnected electrical service at the power pole, and removed the electrical transformer, meter, and underground conductors.

A copy of TRS's Final Report for Electrical Resistance Heating, which provides a summary of the ERH system operations, is provided as Appendix A.

#### 3.2 AIR MONITORING

On March 21, 2019, Pacific Crest conducted an air monitoring event by collecting two indoor air samples in the SCC Building and one ambient air sample using laboratory-prepared 6-liter evacuated Summa® canisters with flow regulators set to collect over an 8-hour period (Sample Time). The sampling was conducted to provide performance monitoring following the conclusion of ERH system operations. Sample locations are described below and illustrated on Figure 3:

• Western Interior SCC Building (Indoor Air Sample IA1-032119);

- Eastern Interior SCC Building (Indoor Air Sample IA2-032119); and
- Ambient/Outdoor Air (Ambient Air Sample OA1-032119).

The Summa® canisters were deployed overnight to minimize the potential for automobile repair activities to influence the sample results. One outdoor air sample was collected concurrent with the collection of the indoor air samples. The outdoor sample was collected from two meters above ground surface. After the Sample Time elapsed, the Summa® canisters were collected and transported to Fremont Analytical Inc. (Fremont) of Seattle, Washington, under standard chain-of-custody procedures. Fremont analyzed the samples for PCE, TCE, c-DCE, t-DCE, and VC by EPA Method TO-15 and prepared a report documenting the results. A copy of the laboratory analytical report is provided in Appendix B.

### 3.3 SUBSURFACE TEMPERATURE MONITORING

Pacific Crest conducted five subsurface temperature monitoring events during the Reporting Period. Subsurface temperature monitoring was conducted on January 10, 2019, March 15, 2019, June 25, 2019, August 8, 2019, and October 25, 2019. Subsurface temperature data were collected from resistance temperature detectors (RTDs) installed within three temperature monitoring points (TMPs) [B6, C4, and C7], located south of the SCC Building (Figure 2). The RTDs within each TMP are spaced vertically in five-foot increments to the maximum TMP depth. TMPs B6 and C7 reach a maximum depth of 85 feet bgs, and TMP C4 reaches a maximum depth of 50 feet bgs. The subsurface temperature data were collected using an Omega® digital thermometer connected to the RTD strings at the surface. Temperature monitoring data is provided in Appendix C.

#### 3.4 PERFORMANCE GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Pacific Crest conducted three performance groundwater monitoring events during the Reporting Period (March 2019, June 2019, and November 2019). The purpose of the performance groundwater monitoring was to evaluate the progress and effectiveness of the cleanup action after the conclusion of ERH operations.

Elevated groundwater temperatures remained present in the Treatment Zone after ERH operations concluded. The March 2019 and June 2019 sampling events necessitated additional safety precautions and sampling procedures during groundwater monitoring activities. Monitoring wells MW-33S, MW-33I, MW-34S, MW-34I, MW-35S, MW35-I, and SCC-3 (Treatment Zone Shallow and Intermediate Wells) were sampled in accordance with EPA's *Low-Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Groundwater Sampling Procedures* (EPA/540/S-95/504) and hot-water sampling methodologies developed by TRS, as described below.

- Water level measurements were not collected from Treatment Zone Wells due to safety concerns.
- A dedicated stainless-steel cooling coil was connected to the stainless-steel discharge tubing in the monitoring well via a brass ball valve and then placed in a portable cooler filled with ice water to reduce the purge water temperature prior to sampling. Additional

ice was added to the cooler when necessary to keep the purge water temperature below 60 degrees Celsius.

- The end of the cooling coil was connected to a peristaltic pump and a YSI 556 multiparameter water quality meter equipped with a flow-through cell. Groundwater geochemical parameters, including temperature, specific conductivity, pH, dissolved oxygen, and oxidation/reduction potential were recorded during purging approximately every three minutes.
- Groundwater samples were collected from polyethylene tubing upstream of the flowthrough cell following stabilization of water quality parameters or once 30 minutes of purging was completed.

Groundwater monitoring for monitoring wells located outside the Treatment Zone (non-Treatment Zone Wells), as well as for all wells sampled during the November 2019 sampling event, was conducted in accordance with EPA's *Low-Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Groundwater Sampling Procedures* (EPA/540/S-95/504) and Pacific Crest SOPs, as described below.

- Prior to collecting water level data, each of the monitoring wells was opened and left undisturbed for a minimum of 15 minutes to allow sufficient time for stabilization and equilibrium with atmospheric pressure.
- An electronic water level indicator was used to measure the depth to groundwater in the wells relative to a surveyed mark on the north side of the top of the casing to an accuracy of 0.01 feet. The water level indicator was raised and lowered a minimum of 3 times to confirm the reading prior to recording the depth to water on the field form.
- Each well was purged using a peristaltic pump and dedicated polyethylene tubing at a flow rate of between approximately 100 to 300 milliliters per minute (mL/min).
- Groundwater geochemical parameters, including temperature, specific conductivity, pH, dissolved oxygen, and oxidation/reduction potential were recorded during purging approximately every three minutes using a YSI 556 multi-parameter water quality meter equipped with a flow-through cell. Groundwater geochemical parameter data collection was discontinued when observed groundwater temperatures exceeded temperatures that may affect data quality (approximately 46°C).
- Groundwater samples were collected from polyethylene tubing upstream of the flowthrough cell following stabilization of water quality parameters.

All groundwater samples were collected into laboratory-prepared sample containers. The vials were completely filled with water to eliminate potential loss of volatiles to headspace. Each vial was checked to ensure that there were no air bubbles present in the sample, labeled, placed on ice in a cooler, and transported to OnSite under standard chain-of-custody protocols. OnSite analyzed the samples for HVOCS by SW-846 Method 8260 and prepared reports documenting the results. In March 2019, groundwater samples collected from wells MW-31S and MW-33S were transported to SiREM under standard chain-of-custody protocols. SiREM analyzed the samples for dehalococcoides (Dhc) by Gene-Trac® molecular testing. Copies of the analytical reports are provided in Appendix B.

The monitoring wells sampled during each monitoring event was dependent on the analytical results from previous monitoring events. The groundwater monitoring events were as follows:

- On March 20, 2019, Pacific Crest conducted groundwater monitoring and sampling in monitoring wells MW-31S, MW-31I, MW-33S, MW-33I, MW-34S, MW-34I, SCC-1, and SCC-3.
- On June 18, 2019, Pacific Crest conducted groundwater monitoring and sampling in monitoring wells SCC-1 and SCC-3.
- On November 11 and 12, 2019, Pacific Crest conducted groundwater monitoring and sampling in monitoring wells MW-27S, MW-27I, MW-31S, MW-31I, MW-33S, MW-33I, MW-34S, MW-34I, MW-35S, MW-35I, SCC-1, and SCC-3. On November 25, 2019, Pacific Crest conducted groundwater monitoring and sampling in monitoring wells MW-31D, MW-33D, MW-34D, and MW-35D.

In June 2018, Grand Street Commons LLC (GSC) purchased the Belshaw properties and properties immediately south and east of the current SCC Building. GSC retained Aspect Consulting, LLC (Aspect) to conduct remedial investigation activities at the former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site, as a condition of a Prospective Purchaser Consent Decree. Since then, Aspect has conducted several groundwater monitoring events at the Site, including in SA-1 wells. The groundwater monitoring activities and results are summarized in Aspect's *Grand Street Commons Site – Remedial Investigation Data Summary*, dated September 16, 2019 (Aspect 2019).

# 3.5 SCC BUILDING CRACK MONITORING

Pacific Crest retained Pacific Engineering Technologies, Inc. (PET) to complete a crack monitor survey of the SCC Building to identify any potential structural changes to the SCC Building following ERH operations. On May 19, 2017, prior to ERH operations, PET conducted an initial visit to document the existing exterior condition of the SCC Building, install crack monitors on existing cracks on the exterior wall, and perform a level survey to document the relative elevation of the interior slab. On October 25, 2019, PET conducted a follow-up visit to observe the post-ERH condition of the SCC Building, document the condition of the crack monitors, and perform a follow-up level survey of the interior slab. A copy of PET's report documenting SCC Building conditions is provided as Appendix D.

#### 3.6 DECONTAMINATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

All non-dedicated field sampling equipment was decontaminated between each use and prior to leaving the Site using a solution of Alconox and water and a deionized water rinse. Purge water and decontamination wash water generated during the field activities were contained on-Site in sealed and labeled Department of Transportation approved 55-gallon drums pending waste profiling and proper disposal.

On June 13, 2019, five 55-gallon drums of soil cuttings and two 55-gallon drums containing water filters were removed by Stericycle Environmental Solutions. The soil cuttings were generated

during drilling and trenching associated with soil borings and the spent filters were generated as part of ERH system operations.

On August 8, 2019, Rivers Edge Environmental Services removed approximately 115.6 tons of soil. The soil was generated during excavation and trenching activities for the ERH electrical system. The soil had been previously stockpiled and covered pending laboratory analytical results. Laboratory analytical results did not detect any COCs above their respective method detection limits.

On September 18, 2019, Hazmat Environmental Group removed two vessels containing 1,000 pounds of vapor phase carbon and two 55-gallon drums of liquid phase carbon. The spent carbon was transported to Calgon Carbon in Catlettsburg, Kentucky for disposal.

Waste disposal documentation is provided as Appendix E.

# 4.0 RESULTS

The results of the cleanup action activities conducted during the Reporting Period are presented in the following sections.

# 4.1 ERH SYSTEM SHUTDOWN RESULTS

ERH system operations were active between March 29, 2018 and October 8, 2018. The vapor recovery system continued operations until December 17, 2018. During post-ERH operations, daily condensate production averaged 147 gallons per day. The total condensate production during the Reporting Period was 1,361 gallons. The cumulative condensate production was 194,362 gallons (Table 1).

# 4.2 AIR MONITORING RESULTS

The air analytical data for the Reporting Period are presented in Table 2, illustrated on Figure 3, and summarized below:

- Laboratory analysis detected PCE at concentrations ranging from 7.19 micrograms per cubic meter (μg/m<sup>3</sup>) to 18.7 μg/m<sup>3</sup>.
- Laboratory analysis did not detect TCE, c-DCE, t-DCE and VC at concentrations above their respective method detection limits in any air samples collected during the Reporting Period.

# 4.3 SUBSURFACE TEMPERATURE MONITORING RESULTS

The subsurface temperature data for the Reporting Period from TMPs B6, C4, and C7 are provided in Appendix C. TMP C4 was removed by theft between August 2019 and October 2019 monitoring events. Several RTDs in TMP B6 no longer transmit data to the Omega® digital thermometer. The subsurface temperature data for the Reporting Period are summarized below:

- The average subsurface temperature reduced by 28.3°C over the Reporting Period. In the most recent subsurface temperature monitoring event (October 2019), 382 days after ERH energy application had ceased, the average subsurface temperature was 50.8°C.
- In the most recent subsurface temperature monitoring event (October 2019), subsurface temperatures ranged from 33.3°C at 85 feet bgs to 67.7°C at 50 feet bgs.

# 4.4 PERFORMANCE GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS

This section provides a narrative summary of the results of the performance groundwater monitoring conducted during the reporting period. Comprehensive groundwater elevation data and groundwater quality parameters are summarized on Table 3; however, their comparability is limited due to variable influence from elevated subsurface temperatures from ERH operations.

Groundwater analytical results for the most recent performance groundwater monitoring event (November 2019) are illustrated on Figure 4. All groundwater analytical results for the Reporting

Period are presented in Table 4 and illustrated in Figures F1 and F2, provided as Appendix F. Aspect's groundwater analytical results for SA-1 wells are presented in Table 5. Pacific Crest's groundwater analytical results for the Reporting Period are summarized as follows:

- Laboratory analysis detected PCE at concentrations ranging from 0.33 micrograms per liter (μg/L) to 68 μg/L.
- Laboratory analysis detected TCE at concentrations ranging from 0.26 µg/L to 27 µg/L.
- Laboratory analysis detected c-DCE at concentrations ranging from 0.25 μg/L to 13 μg/L.
- Laboratory analysis detected t-DCE at concentrations ranging from 0.20 μg/L to 1.4 μg/L.
- Laboratory analysis detected VC at concentrations ranging from 0.31 µg/L to 0.57 µg/L.
- No other analytes were detected above laboratory practical quantitation limits.
- Laboratory analysis did not detect Dhc above the quantitation limit.

#### 4.5 SCC BUILDING CRACK MONITORING RESULTS

On October 25, 2019, PET conducted a follow-up visit to observe the post-ERH condition of the SCC Building, document the condition of the crack monitors, and perform a follow-up level survey of the interior slab. No new cracks in the SCC Building and no changes to existing cracks at crack monitoring locations were observed. Minimal subsidence of the interior slab was observed in the south and southwest portion of the SCC Building. A copy of PET's report documenting SCC Building conditions is provided as Appendix D.

# 5.0 DATA EVALUATION AND PROGRESS SUMMARY

This section presents a summary of data evaluation and recommendations for the continued progress of the corrective action based on the findings of the data evaluation.

#### 5.1 DATA EVALUATION

- Post-ERH operation groundwater sampling indicates that concentrations of PCE in groundwater have been reduced by ERH to below the SA-1 Remediation Level (128.6 μg/L) in all monitoring wells. Concentrations of PCE continue to exceed the Site-specific CUL (5 μg/L) in the most recent groundwater monitoring event (November 2019) in wells MW-27S, MW-27I, MW-31S, MW-31I, MW-33S, and SCC-1. Concentrations of PCE were reduced from baseline results (August 2017) in Treatment Zone Shallow and Intermediate Wells by an average of 97% (Appendix G).
- Post-ERH operation groundwater sampling results for the most recent groundwater monitoring event (November 2019) indicates concentrations of TCE exceed the SA-1 Remediation Level (13.8 µg/L) in wells MW-34I and SCC-3 and exceed only the Sitespecific CUL (4 µg/L) in wells MW-33S and MW-33I. Concentrations of TCE, c-DCE, and t-DCE have increased from baseline results (August 2017) in all Treatment Zone Shallow and Intermediate Wells where it was detected.
- Subsurface temperatures in the Treatment Zone continue to reduce since ERH energy application ceased in October 2018. While the average subsurface temperature (50.8°C) is within the optimal temperature range for anaerobic bioremediation (30°C to 55°C), many depths remain outside this range. Molecular testing results were not indicative of existing populations of dehalococcoides in groundwater, likely due to the elevated groundwater temperatures. However, lower dissolved oxygen levels (Table 3) in recent groundwater monitoring events may be indicative of developing anaerobic conditions conducive to bioremediation.
- The SCC Building elevation data collected by PET indicated that subsidence along the south and southwest portion of the SCC Building predates the operation of the ERH system.

#### 5.2 PROGRESS SUMMARY

Implementation of ERH for SA-1 has successfully reduced concentrations of PCE in groundwater to below the SA-1 Remediation Level. Treatment Zone subsurface temperatures remain elevated above optimal temperatures for application of electron receptor substrates to enhance anaerobic bioremediation. Pacific Crest will continue monitoring subsurface temperatures in the Treatment Zone and quarterly groundwater monitoring will continue in 2020.

#### 5.3 FUTURE REDEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

Recent publicly available documents prepared by GSC indicates that GSC intends to acquire the Former Penthouse Drapery Property, demolish the SCC Building, and excavate the majority of

the Former Penthouse Drapery Property and the Former Belshaw Property to facilitate redevelopment. A copy of GSC's recent submittal to the City of Seattle is provided as Appendix H.

#### 6.0 REFERENCES

- Pacific Crest & URS (Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC and URS Corporation). 2014. Draft for Ecology Review Remedial Investigation-Feasibility Study Report – Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site – 1752 Rainier Avenue South and 1750 22nd Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. July 25.
- Pacific Crest & AECOM (Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC and AECOM). 2015. Draft for Ecology Review Cleanup Action Plan – Site Area 1 – Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site – 1752 Rainier Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. July 7.
- Pacific Crest (Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC). 2017. Draft for Ecology Review Engineering Design Report – Site Area 1 – Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site – 1752 Rainier Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. May 24.
  - \_\_\_\_\_. 2018a. Cleanup Action Progress Report Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. August 10.
  - \_\_\_\_\_. 2018b. Cleanup Action Progress Report Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. December 11.
- Aspect (Aspect Consulting, LLC). 2019. Grand Street Commons Site Remedial Investigation Data Summary. September 16.

#### 7.0 LIMITATIONS

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report/assessment are based on professional opinions with regard to the subject matter. These opinions have been arrived at in accordance with currently accepted hydrogeologic and engineering standards and practices applicable to this location and are subject to the following inherent limitations:

Accuracy of Information. Certain information used by Pacific Crest in this report has been obtained, reviewed, and evaluated from various sources believed to be reliable. Although the conclusions, opinions, and recommendations are based in part on such information, Pacific Crest services did not include the verification of its accuracy or authenticity. Should such information prove to be inaccurate or unreliable, Pacific Crest reserves the right to amend or revise its conclusions, opinions, and/or recommendations.

# **FIGURES**

# CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

Pacific Crest No: 105-003



8/10/2018 105-003-012.dwg FIG 1



$\int$	Legend	
	•	Existing Monitoring Well
	•	Abandoned Monitoring Well
	•	Soil Boring
	۲	Soil Boring (URS, 2012)
	0	Electrode
		Temperature Monitoring Point
	<b>♦</b>	Air Sample
	Ð	Damaged Monument
	Δ	Destroyed Wells
		PCE & related CVOCs
		Hydrocarbon
		1,4-Dioxane & low level CVOCs
		Site Area Boundary
		Belshaw Property
		Penthouse/SCC Property
		Parcel Boundary
		Building
	[]	Former Building Area
	PCE	Tetrachloroethene
	CVOCs	Chlorinated Volatile
		Organic Compounds
	- 22 - 22 - 22	Sanitary Sewer
	- E — E — E —	Power
	- w — w — w —	Water
	- G — G — G — — PWR — PWR —	Gas Overhead Bus Power Line
	- G - G - G - G -	Abandoned Gas
		Abandoned Drain Line
/		Ņ
/		
/	0	<b>5</b> 0
/	Approxi	mate Scale in Feet
/		
		Eiguro 3
		Figure 2
/		Site Plan
	-	





	Legend Screened Interval
	MW-21S 😑 Shallow Well 14.5-29.5 ft bgs
	MW-27I  Intermediate Well 25-50 ft bgs
	MW-30D⊖ Deep Well 65-100 ft bgs
	Damaged monuments
	▲ Destroyed wells
	Analyte (µg/L)
	Site-Specific Site-Specific Remediation
	PCE 5 128.6 TCF 4 13.8
	cis-1,2-DCE 16 1,538
	PCE tetrachloroethene
	CE trichloroethene cis-1,2-DCE cis -1,2-Dichloroethene
	μg/L micrograms per liter
	concentration exceeds Site-specific
	remediation level
	ft bgs feet below ground surface
	— — — — Belshaw Property
	—— – – — Penthouse/SCC Property
	——————————————————————————————————————
	Building
	Former Building Area
	S Sanitary Sewer
	$\swarrow$ $\square$
	G G G Gas PWR PWR Overhead Bus Power Line
	G — G — G — G Abandoned Gas — — — — — Abandoned Drain Line
	Ν
	030
	Approximate Scale in Feet
	Figure 4
	Groundwater Analytical Results
	(November 2019)
1	

# TABLES

# CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

Pacific Crest No: 105-003

#### Table 1 ERH and Subsurface Temperature Data Summary Former Penthouse Drapery & Belshaw Site Seattle, Washington Pacific Crest No. 105-003

	Electricity	Energy Application (Percent	Cumulative Condensate Production	Daily Average Condensate Production	Average Subsurface Temperature	
Date	Use (kWh)	Complete)	(gal)	(gal)	(°C)	Notes
3/29/2018	0	0%	0	0.00	14	ERH Operations Startup
4/5/2018	81,603	5%	181	25.86	19.7	
4/12/2018	159,920	9%	617	62.29	28.5	
4/19/2018	244,684	14%	2,799	311.71	38.9	
4/26/2018	309,061	18%	4,984	312.14	47.7	
5/3/2018	397,360	23%	9,565	654.43	57.4	
5/10/2018	486,944	28%	15,746	883.00	67.2	
5/17/2018	573,050	33%	22,890	1,020.57	75.3	
5/24/2018	657,754	38%	30,961	1,153.00	82.8	
5/31/2018	742,430	42%	38,489	1,075.43	91	
6/7/2018	826,667	47%	49,552	1,580.43	96.5	
6/14/2018	892,292	51%	56,924	1,053.14	99.5	GW Sampling - 6/11/2018 & 6/12/2018
6/21/2018	971,207	55%	66,056	1,304.57	102.4	Soil Sampling - 7/14/2018 through 7/17/2018
6/28/2018	1,049,363	60%	76,437	1,483.00	103.9	
7/5/2018	1,129,461	65%	87,652	1,602.14	104.8	
7/12/2018	1,205,474	69%	96,581	1,275.57	105.4	
7/19/2018	1,223,633	70%	99,648	438.14	103.3	GW Sampling - 7/11/2018 through 7/13/2018
7/26/2018	1,291,699	74%	107,215	1,081.00	105.3	Deep Zone electrodes deactivated - 7/23/18
8/2/2018	1,341,462	77%	117,130	1,416.43	104.3	
8/9/2018	1,383,083	79%	125,812	1,240.29	103	GW Sampling - 8/8/2018
8/16/2018	1,448,333	83%	133,742	1,132.86	103	
8/23/2018	1,471,888	84%	140,603	980.14	101.9	GW Sampling - 8/22/2018 through 8/24/2018
8/30/2018	1,505,493	86%	146,843	891.43	100.4	Soil Sampling - 8/25/2018
9/6/2018	1,545,298	88%	153,382	934.14	100.1	
9/13/2018	1,597,648	91%	162,537	1,307.86	100.2	
9/20/2018	1,644,701	94%	171,152	1,230.71	99.8	GW Sampling - 9/26/2018
9/27/2018	1,678,391	96%	178,044	984.57	98.5	Soil Sampling - 9/27/2018
10/4/2018	1,725,486	99%	185,265	1,031.57	99.1	
10/8/2018	1,750,245	100%	188,205	735.00	97.3	ERH Operations Shutdown
10/12/2018	1,750,245		191,145	735.00	97.3	Post-ERH Monitoring
10/18/2018	1,750,245		192,184	173.17	94.9	Post-ERH Monitoring
10/25/2018	1,750,245		192,445	37.29	93.5	Post-ERH Monitoring
11/1/2018	1,750,245		192,653	29.71	92	Post-ERH Monitoring
11/8/2018	1,750,245		192,837	26.29	90.6	GW Sampling - 11/8/2018
11/15/2018	1,750,245		193,001	23.43	89.2	Post-ERH Monitoring
11/22/2018	1,750,245		193,001	0	88	Post ERH Monitoring - estimated temp
11/29/2018	1,750,245		193,001	0	86.5	Post ERH Monitoring - estimated temp
1/10/2019	1,750,245		193,001	0	79.1	Temp measured by Pacific Crest
3/15/2019	1,750,245		193,001	0	69.7	Temp measured by Pacific Crest
6/25/2019	1,750,245		193,001	0	59.2	Temp measured by Pacific Crest
8/9/2019	1,750,245		193,001	0	55.1	Temp measured by Pacific Crest
10/25/2019	1,750,245		193,001	0	50.8	Temp measured by Pacific Crest

Notes:

ERH = electric resistive heating

kWH = kiloWatt-hours

gal = gallons

°C = degrees celsius

GW = groundwater

#### Table 2 Analytical Results Summary - Air Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site Seattle, Washington Pacific Crest PN: 105-003

				Sumi CVOC	mary of A s (microg	nalytical grams pe	Results i r cubic m	n Air - leter) <sup>1</sup>	
Sample	Sample ID	Location	Sampled By	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride
IA-1	IA1-032119	In SCCB lobby	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	7.19	<1.07	<0.793	<0.793	<0.511
IA-2	IA2-032119	In SCCB garage, in front of bathrooms	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	18.7	<1.07	<0.792	<0.793	<0.511
Ambient	OA1-032119	Outside of SCCB, at southeast corner	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	<2.03	<1.07	<0.793	<0.793	<0.511
	М	ethod B Cleanup Level - Indoor Air		Carcinogenic	9.6	0.37	16	NA	0.28
	IVI		Non-carcinogenic	18.3	0.9	NA	27.4	55.2	
	FS - C	ommercial Screening Level - Indoor Air	Carcinogenic	50.5	2			3	
	м	Carcinogenic	337	21.9	160	NA	9.9		
	IVI		Non-carcinogenic	280	7	NA	NA	423	

NOTES:

**BOLD:** indicates detected concentration exceeds FS CUL

< detected result is less than laboratory practical quantitation limit listed or analyte not detected at or above the reporting limit.

CVOCs = chlorinated volatile organic compounds

SCCBT = Seattle Collision Center Building

MTCA = Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation (WAC 173-340)

Pacific Crest = Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC

<sup>1</sup>Analyzed by US EPA Method TO-15SIM

Table 3
Groundwater Elevation and Groundwater Quality Parameters Summary
Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site
Seattle, Washington
Pacific Crest No: 105-003

		1					1			I				Grou	ndwater Quali	ity Parameters
Location ID	Site Area	Sampled By	Date Gauged	Sample Date	CMT Well Port	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>1</sup>	Screen	Depth to Groundwater <sup>2</sup>	Potentiometric Surface (feet)	Pump Intake Depth <sup>2</sup>	Temperature (°C)	Specific Conductivity (mS/cm)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН	Oxidation Reduction Potential (mV)	Comments
MW-14									Abano	doned/Dec	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-17		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	NS	NA	69.71	20-30	15.92	53.79	NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MW-18									Abano	doned/Deci	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-21S		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	NS	NA	71.26	14.5-29.5	16.88	54.38	NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MW-21D		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	NS	NA	71.12	35-40	16.88	54.24	NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MW-22		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	NS	NA	71.33	25-35	13.81	57.52	NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MW-24S									Abano	doned/Deci	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-24D									Aban	doned/Deci	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-25S									Abano	doned/Deci	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-25I									Abano	doned/Deci	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-25D									Abano	doned/Deci	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-27S		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/11/2019	NA	69.40	15.5-20.5	14.33	55.07	NM	14.94	0.360	4.54	6.00	160.3	
MW-27I		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/11/2019	NA	69.46	31-36	14.74	54.72	NM	14.24	0.319	5.70	5.95	170.1	
MW-29									Abano	doned/Deci	ommissioned 2	016				
MW-30S		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	NS	NA	69.73	19-24	15.61	54.12	NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MW-30I		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	NS	NA	69.68	40-45	15.78	53.9	NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MW-30D		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	NS	NA	69.54	65-70	17.00	52.54	NM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
MW-31S		Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	3/20/2019	NA	70.01	15-20	12.66	57.35	NM	15.51	0.589	7.62	5.55	203.8	
		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/11/2019				15.56	54.45	NM	18.51	0.531	4.38	6.06	169.5	
MW-311		Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	3/20/2019	NA	69.98	35-40	13.23	56.75	NM	16.92	0.435	2.75	6.27	181.0	
MALOUD		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/11/2019		00.07	00.74	15.89	54.09	NM	18.37	0.403	0.83	6.49	148.9	
MW-31D		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/25/2019	NA	69.97	66-71	17.72	52.25	NM	13.00	0.431	2.44	8.64	-74.1	
MW-33S	A1	Pacific Crest	NM	3/20/2019	NA	69.90	15-20	NM 45.00	NM	NM	50.45	0.825	2.96	7.12	-57.1	
	A1	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019 NM	2/20/2010	_			15.92	53.98 NM	NIVI	43.81	0.794	0.17	0.71	-12.1	
MW-33I	A1	Pacific Crest	INIVI	3/20/2019	NA	69.99	40-45	15.04		NIM	27.90	0.760	2.03	0.00	-33.4	
MW-23D	A1	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/11/2019	NA	60.80	95-100	15.94	54.05	NIVI	46.53	0.747	0.15	0.90	-101.0	
WW-33D	A1	Pacific Crest	NM	3/20/2019	IN/A	03.00	33-100	10.04 NM	52.90 NM	NIM	29.3	0.139	1.56	9.99	-310.1	
MW-34S	A1	Pacific Creat	11/11/2010	11/12/2010 <sup>3</sup>	NA	71.33	15-20	17.04	54.20	NIM	46.22	0.757	0.31	7.04	-27.5	
-	A1	Pacific Crest	NM	3/20/2019	-			17.04 NM	54.29 NM	NIM	40.32	0.609	2.52	6.60	-119.2	
MW-34I	A1	Pacific Creat	11/11/2010	11/12/2010 <sup>3</sup>	NA	70.77	34-39	17.09	52.60	NIM	10.51	0.044	1.00	7.00	14.4	
MW-34D	A1	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/25/2019	NA	71 18	95-100	17.00	53.09	NIM	40.30	0.775	0.59	11.16	-149.1	
MW-35S	A1	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/23/2019	NA	73.31	30-35	10.01	53.30	NIM	46.06	0.972	0.33	7.02	-331.0	
MW-251	AI	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/12/2019	NA	73.50	45-50	19.91	53.4	INIVI	46.06	0.872	0.21	7.03	-161.2	
MW-35D	A1	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	11/12/2019	NA	73.52	85-95	20.16	53.34	INIM	46.31	0.172	0.37	0.99	-162.9	
11111-33D	A1	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	3/20/2019	NA.	13.52	00-90	20.30	55.10	NIM	34.2	0.173	0.53	6.42	-279.9	+
SCC-1	Δ1	Pacific Crost	3/20/2019 NM	11/12/2019	NA	70.50	27.5-37.5	15.00 NM	00.42 NM	NIM	39.42	0.462	0.21	6.44	40.0	
SCC-2				1 11/12/2019			1	INIVI	Aboo	doned/Doo	ommissioned 2	016	0.44	1 0.44	1 00.0	
0002	Δ1	Pacific Crost	NM	3/20/2019			Γ	NM	NM	NM	11.56	0.671	9.76	6.64	-3.2	T
SSC-3	Δ1	Pacific Crost	NM	11/12/2019	NA	70.24	24.5-29.5	NM	NM	NM	46.46	0.866	0.63	7 31	-168.0	
			1 3171					1 1171	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		TV:TV	. 0.000				

NOTES: <sup>1</sup>Elevation of top of casing (NAVD88) <sup>2</sup>Depth below top of well casing

<sup>1</sup>Depth below top of well casing <sup>2</sup>Coroundwater quality measurements halted prematurely due to high groundwater temperatures affecting instrumentation C = celsius mS/cm = milligrams per iter mg/L = milligrams per iter mV = millioratis NA = not applicable NM = not measured --= not reported Pacific Crest = Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC

105-003 DFT 2019 CAPR Tables\T3 - GW Params

# Table 4 Analytical Results Summary - Groundwater Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site Seattle, Washington Pacific Crest No: 105-003

					Groundwater Analytical Results (micrograms per liter)									
					CVOCs and 1,4-Dioxane <sup>1</sup>									
Location ID	Screen Interval <sup>2</sup>	Area	Sampled By	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,4-Dioxane
MW-27S	15.5-20.5	A3	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	13	0.87	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
MW-27I	31-36	A3	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	23	2.3	0.33	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
MM/ 210	MW-31S         15-20           MW-31I         35-40           MW-31D         65-70	12	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	13	1.4	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10100-313	15-20	AS	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	14	2.9	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
	35-40 0 65-70	10	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	10	4.5	0.25	<0.20	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1/1/1/10		AS	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	7.8	3.8	0.31	<0.20	<0.20	0.73	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
MW-31D	65-70	A3	Pacific Crest	11/25/2019	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
MW-335	15-20	Δ1	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	25	14	1.9	0.20	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW-33S	13 20		Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	7.2	5.5	2.7	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.39	<0.20	NA
MM/ 221	MW-33I 40-45	۸1	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	15	23	13	0.66	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10100-331	40-45	AI	Pacific Crest	11/11/2019	3.6	11	10	0.46	0.31	<0.20	<0.20	1.2	<0.20	NA
MW-33D	95-100	A1	Pacific Crest	11/25/2019	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
MW-34S	15-20	Δ1	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	0.71	0.46	<0.2	<0.20	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
1010-040	13-20		Pacific Crest	11/12/2019	<0.20	0.26	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
MW-341	34-30	۸1	Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	19	27	11	0.98	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10100-541	04 00		Pacific Crest	11/12/2019	1.4	17	9.1	1.4	0.33	<0.20	<0.20	2.1	<0.20	NA
MW-34D	95-100	A1	Pacific Crest	11/25/2019	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
MW-35S	30-35	A1	Pacific Crest	11/12/2019	0.33	2.7	1.3	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.49	<0.20	NA
MW-35I	45-50	A1	Pacific Crest	11/12/2019	1.9	3.5	1.7	0.23	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	0.48	<0.20	NA
MW-35D	85-95	A1	Pacific Crest	11/25/2019	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
			Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	68	2.1	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SCC-1	27.5-37.5	A1	Pacific Crest	6/18/2019	54	1.6	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	NA
			Pacific Crest	11/12/2019	34	1.1	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA
			Pacific Crest	3/20/2019	14	14	3.1	0.53	<0.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SCC-3	23-28	A1	Pacific Crest	6/18/2019	5.4	12	3.7	0.45	0.39	<0.2	<0.2	1.4	<0.2	NA
			Pacific Crest	11/12/2019	1.4	14	5.6	0.78	0.57	<0.20	<0.20	2.7	<0.20	NA
				Remediation Level	128.6	13.8	1,538		3.70					
			Site-spec	ific Cleanup Level	5	4	16			200				0.438

NOTES:

<sup>1</sup>Analyzed by SW-846 Method 8260B. <sup>2</sup>Feet below ground surface

NA = not analyzed

< = concentration not detected at or above the laboratory detection limit

Bold = concentration exceeds the Site-specific cleanup level

Bold = concentration exceeds the Remediation Level Italics = laboratory detection limit exceeds the Site-specific cleanup level

-- = No applicable/not available

CVOCs = Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds

Pacific Crest = Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC

# Table 5 Analytical Results Summary - Groundwater (Aspect Consulting) Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site Seattle, Washington Pacific Crest No: 105-003

		Groundwater Analytical Results (micrograms per liter)								
			Chlorinate	ed Volatile	Organic Co	ompounds				
Location ID	Sample ID	Sampled By	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	
AC-MW-1	AC-MW-1-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
AC-MW-3	AC-MW-3-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
AC-MW-20	AC-MW-20-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
AC-MW-22	AC-MW-22-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	12	4.0	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
AC-MW-23	AC-MW-23-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
AC-MW-27	AC-MW-27-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	8.0	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
AC-MW-28	AC-MW-28-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	1.4	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-21S	URS-MW-21S-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	2.1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-21D	URS-MW-21D-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-22	URS-MW-22-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-27S	URS-MW-27S-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	11	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-27I	URS-MW-27I-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	25	2.1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-28S	URS-MW-28S-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-28I	URS-MW-28I-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-28D	URS-MW-28D-052019	Aspect	5/20/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-30S	PC-MW-30S-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-30I	PC-MW-30I-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW-30D	PC-MW-30D-052219	Aspect	5/22/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW31S	MW31S-032019	Aspect	3/20/2019	13	1.4	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	NA	
	PC-MW-31S-062019	Aspect	6/20/2019	13	1.7	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW31I	MW31I-032019	Aspect	3/20/2019	10	4.5	0.25	<0.20	<0.20	NA	
	PC-MW-31I-062019	Aspect	6/20/2019	10	3.9	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW31D	PC-MW-31D-062019	Aspect	6/20/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW33S	MW33S-032019	Aspect	3/20/2019	25	14	1.9	0.2	<0.20	NA	
	PC-MW-33S-062019	Aspect	6/20/2019	1.3	12	4.1	<1	< 0.2	<1	
MW33I	MW33I-032019	Aspect	3/20/2019	15	23	13	0.66	<0.20	NA	
	PC-IVIV-33I-062019	Aspect	6/20/2019	3.7	9.5	9	<1	<0.2	<1	
10100 33D	PC-IVIV-33D-062019	Aspect	6/20/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW34S	NIV 345-032019	Aspect	3/20/2019	0.71	0.46	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	INA	
	PC-IVIV-345-062119	Aspect	6/21/2019	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW34I	DC MW 24L062110	Aspect	3/20/2019	19	21	12	0.90	<0.20	INA 11	
MW/34D	PC-MW/-34D-062119	Aspeci	6/21/2019	3.5	9.0	13	<1	<0.2	<1	
N/N/258	PC-MW-35S-062119	Aspect	6/21/2019	<1	<1	<1 1 7	<1	<0.2	<1	
MW/351	PC-MW-35L062119	Aspect	6/21/2019	79	6.8	1.7	~1	<0.2	~1	
MW35D	PC-MW-35D-061019	Aspect	6/21/2019	7. <del>3</del>	-1	-1	-1	<0.2	<1	
			Pomodiation Level	128.6	12.9	1 529	~ ~	3 70	~ 1	
		C'1.		120.0	13.0	1,000		3.10		
		Site-spe	cific Cleanup Level	5	4	16			200	

NOTES:

< or U = concentration not detected at or above the laboratory detection limit

-- = Not analyzed

NA = not analyzed

< = concentration not detected at or above the laboratory detection limit

**Bold** = concentration exceeds the Site-specific cleanup level

Bold = concentration exceeds the Remediation Level

Italics = laboratory detection limit exceeds the Site-specific cleanup level

Aspect = Aspect Consulting, LLC

# APPENDIX A TRS FINAL REPORT

# CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

Pacific Crest No: 105-003

# Final Report Electrical Resistance Heating

Former Penthouse Drapery Seattle, Washington

Issued: August 2019



P.O. Box 737 Longview, WA 98632 www.thermalrs.com

Safe. Fast. Certain. *Guaranteed.* An Employee Owned Company

EXECUT	FIVE SUMMARY	6
1.0 IN	ITRODUCTION	7
2.0 SI	TE BACKGROUND	7
2.1	SITE HISTORY	7
20 51		0
2 1		0
2.2		0
22		o
5.5	TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON CONTENT	9
4.0 PF	ROJECT OBJECTIVES	9
5.0 EF	RH DESIGN APPROACH	9
5.1	ERH System Components	. 10
5.2	Power Control Unit	. 10
5.3	ELECTRODES	. 11
5.4	Electrode Wetting System	. 11
5.5	TEMPERATURE MONITORING POINTS	. 12
5.6	GROUNDWATER PERFORMANCE MONITORING WELLS	. 12
5.7	VAPOR RECOVERY AND TREATMENT SYSTEM	. 12
5.8	SITE SECURITY	. 13
6.0 SY	STEM CONSTRUCTION	. 13
6.1	Subsurface Installation	. 14
6.2	Surface Installation	. 14
6.3	Power Drop	. 15
6.4	SITE SECURITY	. 15
7.0 EF	RH SYSTEM START-UP	. 15
7.1	Pre-Start-up Tasks	. 15
7.2	System Start-up	. 16
8.0 EF	RH OPERATIONS	. 17
8.1	ELECTRICAL ENERGY APPLICATION	. 17
8.2	Subsurface Temperatures	. 18
8.3	Electrode Wetting System	. 18
8.4	VAPOR RECOVERY AND TREATMENT	. 18
8.5	System Waste	. 22
8.6	Site Voltage Monitoring	. 22
9.0 EF	RH REMEDIATION RESULTS	. 23

# TABLE OF CONTENTS



9. 9.	GROUNDWATER RESULTS	23 26
10.0	DEMOBILIZATION	27
11.0	CONCLUSIONS	28
12.0	REFERENCES	29



#### List of Tables

Table 1. ERH Treatment System Components	. 10
Table 2. Electrode Completion Details	. 11
Table 3. Mass Removal Estimates Based on PID Data	. 19
Table 4. ERH Water Balance	. 22
Table 5. Baseline, Routine, and Confirmatory Groundwater Sampling Analytical Data	. 24
Table 6. Confirmatory Soil Sampling Analytical Data	. 26

# List of Figures



\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

А	amps
ATX	Auto-Transformer
Belshaw Property	Belshaw Brothers, Inc.
°C	degrees Celsius
cis-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2 dichloroethylene
cm/s	centimeters per second
COC	Contaminants of Concern
CPVC	chlorinated polyvinyl chloride
CVOC	chlorinated volatile organic compound
ERH	electrical resistance heating
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
E-Stop	emergency stop
ft <sup>2</sup>	square feet
ft bgs	feet below grade surface
GAC	granular activated carbon
gpm	gallons per minute
HASP	Health and Safety Plan
hp	horsepower
HSA	hollow stem auger
in Hg	inches of mercury
Kg	kilograms
kW	kilowatt
kWh	kilowatt hour
lb	pounds
LGAC	liquid-phase granular activated carbon
MSL	mean sea level
MTCA	Model Toxics Control Act
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Pacific Crest	Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC
PCE	perchloroethene or tetrachloroethene
PCU	power control unit
Penthouse Drapery	Penthouse Drapery Cleaners & Manufacturers, Inc.
PFD	Process Flow Diagram
PID	photoionization detector
PLC	process logic controller
ppm	parts per million


PSCAA	Puget Sound Clear Air Agency
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
RTD	resistance temperature detector
SA-1	Sub-Area 1
scfm	standard cubic feet per minute
SCC	Seattle Collision Center
SCL	Seattle City Lights
Seahurst	Seahurst Electric, Inc.
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
TCE	trichloroethylene
TMP	temperature monitoring point
ТОС	total organic content
trans-1,2-DCE	trans-1,2 dichloroethylene
TRS	TRS Group, Inc.
µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram
μg/l	micrograms per liter
V	volts
VC	vinyl chloride
VGAC	vapor-phase granular activated carbon
VOC	volatile organic compounds
VR	vapor recovery
yd³	cubic yards



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report presents the results of the electrical resistance heating (ERH) remediation performed at the former Penthouse Drapery at 1752 Rainier Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98144 (Site), in collaboration with Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC (Pacific Crest) on behalf of Penthouse Drapery Cleaners & Manufacturers, Inc. (Penthouse Drapery). Seattle Collision Center (SCC) is an auto repair shop currently operating on the Site.

The primary goal of the remediation was to reduce average tetrachloroethene (PCE) concentrations in groundwater to less than 50 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/l) and in soil to less than 50 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu$ g/kg). Performance was based on soil sampling at five confirmation soil boring locations and groundwater sampling at six groundwater monitoring wells within the treatment volume.

Subsurface construction of the ERH system began on April 4, 2017. The ERH system incorporated 21 bored electrodes with co-located vapor recovery (VR) screens. Subsurface temperatures were measured at three temperature monitoring points (TMPs). Eight of the electrodes and one TMP were completed below grade inside the SCC building in order to minimize impact to SCC operations. Thirteen of the electrodes targeted a depth interval of 5 to 50 feet below ground surface (ft bgs), three targeted a depth of 15 to 85 ft bgs, and five electrodes targeted a depth of 5 to 85 ft bgs.

ERH system operations began on March 29, 2018, and ended on October 8, 2018. The system operated for 193 days and applied 1,750,245 kilowatt hours (kWh) of energy to the treatment volume. On average, subsurface temperatures increased at a rate of approximately 0.9 degrees Celsius (°C) per day as the average treatment volume temperature increased from ambient to a maximum average temperature of 105.5°C.

Recovered vapor samples were collected monthly by Pacific Crest during operations and submitted for laboratory analysis. Based on photoionization detector (PID) measurements and measured flow rates, it is estimated that approximately 15.3 pounds of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were recovered from the treatment volume during ERH heating.

The first round of iterative confirmation soil and groundwater sampling was conducted between July 12, 2018, and July 17, 2018. Additional soil sampling was conducted on August 25, 2018, and September 27, 2018. Analytical data indicated that Site soils all met the PCE remedial goal of 50  $\mu$ g/kg. Four additional groundwater sampling events were conducted prior to shutting down ERH operations. Analytical data from the final groundwater sampling event conducted during ERH operations concluded that all groundwater wells, with the exception of MW-33S, had met the remedial goals on November 8, 2018. An additional groundwater sampling event was conducted in March 2019, following completion of ERH operations. Analytical data concluded further reduction in groundwater concentrations across the entire Site. Concentrations in all groundwater wells within the ERH treatment volume met the remedial goal of 50  $\mu$ g/l.



#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report provides a summary of the design, installation, operation, sampling, and decommissioning of the electrical resistance heating (ERH) treatment system at the Former Penthouse Drapery, located at 1752 Rainier Avenue South, Seattle, Washington (Site). TRS Group, Inc. (TRS) performed ERH remediation in collaboration with Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC (Pacific Crest), on behalf of Penthouse Drapery Cleaners & Manufacturers, Inc. (Penthouse Drapery).

The Site is located approximately 750 feet south of Interstate 90, east of the I-90 and I-5 interchange in Seattle. The former Penthouse Drapery was located on the southeast corner of Rainier Avenue South and South State Street in Seattle's Central District neighborhood. The ERH treatment area spans the former Penthouse Drapery parcel and adjacent vacant parcels to the east and south that are part of the former Belshaw Brothers, Inc. property (Belshaw Property).

Penthouse Drapery occupied this building and used dry-cleaning equipment at the Site between 1984 and 1990. There is one building on the property, currently occupied by the Seattle Collision Center, Inc. (SCC), an auto body repair shop.

ERH is an *in situ* thermal technology that uses the resistance of soil to generate heat in the subsurface and reduce volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations in groundwater and soil. The subsurface can be heated to the boiling point of water. Groundwater and soil moisture are converted to steam and, as a result, VOCs are removed via steam stripping and distillation.

ERH uses commonly available electricity and delivers it to the subsurface through electrodes. ERH passes an electrical current through the soil, rock, and groundwater that requires treatment. The principal current path is the thin layer of water immediately adjacent to the soil or rock grains. Relatively little current is carried by the water in the soil pores. The electrical current warms the subsurface and then boils a portion of the moisture into steam. The subsurface electrical energy evaporates the target contaminants and provides steam as a carrier gas to sweep VOCs to the vapor recovery (VR) wells. After condensing the steam and cooling the extracted air to ambient conditions, TRS treats the VOC vapor using conventional methods, such as granular activated carbon (GAC) or thermal oxidizers.

#### 2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

The following sections detail the Site history and conditions contributing to the ERH application design.

#### 2.1 Site History

The former Penthouse Drapery building was constructed circa 1947 and covers an area of approximately 4,790 square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>). Penthouse Drapery occupied this building and used dry-cleaning equipment at the Site between 1984 and 1990. The disposal of chlorinated solvents during dry-cleaning operations during this time period likely provided the source for the existing contamination.



In addition to dry cleaning, the building has been used for aircraft parts manufacturing, a pool and patio supply, restaurant equipment and supply, and is currently used as an auto body repair shop.

Prior environmental site assessment activities found tetrachloroethylene (PCE) and degradation products trichloroethylene (TCE), cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE), trans-1,2-dichloroethene (trans-1,2-DCE), and vinyl chloride (VC) in soil, groundwater, and/or soil vapor at concentrations that exceed Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) clean-up levels for the Site.

This ERH remediation project was specifically implemented in sub-area 1 (SA-1) as defined in Pacific Crest's Draft Interim Measure Clean-Up Action Plan. There are other sub-areas on the former Belshaw Property that are impacted by 1,4-dioxane, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, and petroleum hydrocarbons that are not part of the Penthouse Drapery clean-up action.

The ERH treatment area and other Site features are delineated on Sheet Y-1.

### **3.0 SITE PARAMETERS**

The Site is at an elevation of approximately 70 feet above mean sea level (MSL) near the centerline of the Rainier Valley. Subsurface deposits consist of interbedded clays, silts, and sands of glacial origin.

#### 3.1 Groundwater

Groundwater is encountered in discontinuous perched zones that have been characterized as follows based on prior Site investigations:

- Shallow Zone 12-25 feet below ground surface (ft bgs)
- Intermediate Zone 30-40 ft bgs
- Intermediate Deep Zone 50-60 ft bgs
- Deep Zone 65-71 ft bgs

Groundwater is presumed to flow to the west-southwest based on topography but may be to the south-southeast in the Shallow and Intermediate Zones based on potentiometric data. The Shallow Zone is unconfined, but the deeper zones are all under confined or semiconfined conditions. Measured hydraulic conductivity has varied from 9.73 x  $10^{-5}$  centimeters per second (cm/s) to  $1.85 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/s.

#### 3.2 Contaminant Distribution

The primary contaminants of concern include PCE and the daughter products formed by reductive dechlorination. The typical anaerobic dechlorination pathway is shown below:

Tetrachloroethene  $\rightarrow$  Trichloroethene  $\rightarrow$  cis 1,2-dichloroethene  $\rightarrow$  vinyl chloride  $\rightarrow$  ethene

Historical concentrations of PCE and TCE in soil and groundwater are presented on **Sheets Y-1A** and **Y-1B**, respectively. The target ERH treatment area is located beneath the southern portion of the former Penthouse Drapery building as well as a portion of the lot



immediately south and east of the building. The total treatment area covered approximately 4,276 square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>) as shown on **Sheet Y-1**. There are three different depth intervals requiring ERH remediation in the treatment area including:

- 1. From 5 to 50 ft bgs in the original area (2,735 ft<sup>2</sup>)
- 2. From 5 to 85 ft bgs in the area of electrodes B6, B7, B8, C6 and C7 (1,033 ft<sup>2</sup>)
- 3. From 15 to 85 ft bgs in the area of electrodes C8, D7 and D8 (508 ft<sup>2</sup>)

Based on the depth intervals the total treatment volume was 8,900 cubic yards (yd<sup>3</sup>). The as-built treatment volumes did not differ from the values established in TRS' April 21, 2016, Firm Fixed Price Proposal for ERH Remediation (3<sup>rd</sup> Revision).

#### 3.3 Total Organic Carbon Content

The type of contaminant and the desired remedial goal affect the energy, time, and cost to remediate a site applying ERH. However, two subsurface parameters are particularly important: the amount of total organic carbon (TOC) and the presence of heavy hydrocarbons such as diesel, oil, or grease. TOC and hydrocarbons, in general, can preferentially adsorb VOCs in comparison to water, which is why activated carbon is used for vapor and water treatment of VOCs. The Site TOC content was determined to be 0.17 percent based on information provided to TRS.

### 4.0 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The principal impacts and target of this remediation are the PCE concentrations in soil and groundwater. The remedial objective for the Site is to reduce the soil concentrations to below 50 μg/kg PCE and to reduce groundwater concentrations below 50 μg/l PCE.

Prior to ERH system construction, the maximum historical concentrations of PCE detected in Site groundwater was 12,000  $\mu$ g/L with an estimated 6 pounds of VOC mass in soil and groundwater. The PCE mass estimate and the ERH system design were based on this and other historical concentrations.

#### 5.0 ERH DESIGN APPROACH

TRS' remedial approach used ERH to heat the subsurface to facilitate the remediation of PCE and daughter products in Site soil. TRS estimated that 1,750,00 kilowatt hours (kWh) of electrical energy applied to the subsurface would be required to decrease the concentrations of the contaminants of concern (COCs) below the remedial goals. The estimated electrical energy would be applied over a duration of approximately 120-160 days.

All ERH system components within the SCC building were designed for below-grade completion to minimize disruption to the current business. Above-grade components and process equipment were installed on a portion of the former Belshaw Property.



#### 5.1 ERH System Components

A list of the ERH system components is provided in **Table 1**. A summary of the ERH process and supporting ancillary system components is also provided in the process flow diagram (PFD) illustrated on Sheets P-1 through P-7. The primary service one-line drawing is presented on Sheets E-1 and E-2.

Table 1. ERH Treatment System Components				
Quantity				
1				
1				
1				
21				
1				
2				
2				
2				
3				
44				
10				

#### 5.2 Power Control Unit

The ERH system used a 700-kilowatt (kW) power control unit (PCU) to deliver the estimated treatment energy to the subsurface for heating and remediation. The PCU is contained in a weather-tight steel enclosure that provides security and electrical insulation. The PCU is designed for 100 percent duty cycle and is sized for a maximum power output of 700 kWs. During ERH operation, the incoming primary voltage is regulated to the appropriate level for optimum subsurface heating. As the subsurface is heated, this optimum voltage changes, and the PCU output is adjusted to those changes to support maintaining a constant the power application designed for the Site.

TRS required a dedicated 480 volt (V) 1,200 amp (A) three-phase service drop from Seattle City Light (SCL). TRS contracted Seahurst Electric, Inc. (Seahurst) to install underground conduit, construct the SCL-required transformer pad, and to make all the medium voltage electrical connections between the SCL utility service and the TRS PCU and ancillary equipment. TRS provided the switchgear located in the equipment compound and the distribution panels for ancillary ERH system treatment equipment, also installed by Seahurst. Seahurst also installed the meter box for the SCL revenue meter. The PCU output was cabled to three field located auto transformers (ATXs), which were directly cabled to



field-located electrodes in a design configuration supporting uniform heat-up and treatment.

PCU operational control and data acquisition were performed on a dedicated computer and associated programmable logic controllers (PLCs). Remote data acquisition software was used to collect and store ERH treatment volume temperatures, power, voltage, amperage, and operational status data for the entire ERH system. Off-site project personnel could view and download this information in real-time using a high-speed, wireless modem. The software also allowed for control and/or monitoring of power application, vapor condensation, and wastewater pumping functions.

The PCU compound was equipped with an emergency stop (E-Stop) button on the outside of the PCU next to the control room entrance of the PCU, to the right of the primary compound entrance gate, and in the SCC building. The equipment compound is presented on **Sheet Y-3**.

#### 5.3 Electrodes

A total of 21 electrodes were used to apply the required electrical energy to the subsurface within the treatment volume as shown on **Sheet Y-1**. TRS' design called for the installation of 21 bored electrodes as described in **Table 2**. The bored electrodes consisted of a copper element placed into a 12-inch diameter boring and backfilled with TRS' patented conductive backfill. Electrode installation was completed via a combination of hollow stem auger (HSA) and sonic drilling methods. All electrodes installed inside the SCC building were completed below grade to minimize the impact to SCC operations.

Treatment Area	Treatment Interval	Number of Electrodes	Number of Electrodes Inside SCC Building and Completed Below Grade	Sheet
2,735 ft <sup>2</sup>	5 to 50 ft bgs	13	6	M-1
1,033 ft <sup>2</sup>	5 to 85 ft bgs	5	2	M-2
508 ft <sup>2</sup>	15 to 85 ft bgs	3	0	M-3

#### Table 2. Electrode Completion Details

Typical construction details for each electrode design are shown on **Sheets M-1** through **M-3**.

#### 5.4 Electrode Wetting System

Electrode wetting tubes were installed with each electrode. During operation, the area immediately surrounding each electrode has the potential for drying out, which may reduce the effectiveness of the electrode to transmit energy to the subsurface. This dry-out condition is addressed by periodically adding small amounts of water to the electrode/soil interface. Electrode wetting tubes were connected to the potable water supply and the timing and duration of electrode wetting controlled via dedicated solenoid valves at each



electrode. The electrode wetting valve assembly is shown on **Sheet M-8**. The electrode wetting system layout of the wetting supply lines and solenoid wiring plans are presented on **Sheets Y-6** and **Y-7**, respectively.

#### 5.5 Temperature Monitoring Points

The ERH system used three temperature monitoring points (TMPs) containing temperature sensors to track the progress of the ERH heating and provide continuous temperature monitoring within the ERH treatment volume. The TMP locations are shown on **Sheet Y-1**. Each TMP casing was constructed of 1 ½-inch steel pipe surrounded by grout. TMP C4 was installed to a depth of 50 ft bgs and TMPs B6 and C7 were installed to a depth of 85 ft bgs. A string of resistance temperature detector (RTD) sensors was inserted into the casing with each RTD spaced vertically in five-foot increments from the bottom of the treatment interval. Within the SCC building, TMP B6 RTDs were grouted in place within the TMP casing while the exterior RTD strings were not grouted to facilitate recovery at the end of the project. Construction details of typical TMPs are shown on **Sheets M-4** and **M-5**. The thermocouple cabling plan is presented on **Sheet Y-4**.

#### 5.6 Groundwater Performance Monitoring Wells

Groundwater monitoring wells within the treatment volume were constructed of stainlesssteel components and capped to withstand the elevated temperatures and steam created during ERH. Caps contained bore-through fittings for tubing used for sampling and venting. Ten stainless steel, performance groundwater monitoring wells were installed during ERH construction. Groundwater monitoring wells M-33, M-34, and M-35 each consisted of a three well cluster that individually targeted the Shallow, Intermediate, and Deep Zones while SCC-3 was a stand-alone well inside the SCC building.

The groundwater monitoring well locations are shown in **Sheet Y-1**.

#### 5.7 Vapor Recovery and Treatment System

The VR system consisted of one 25-horsepower (hp) rotary lobe positive displacement blower used to apply vacuum to the VR screens located throughout the treatment area through a chlorinated polyvinyl chloride (CPVC) conveyance piping system. Sampling ports and gauges were installed to measure vacuum and flow at the VR blower inlets. Temperature was measured by a gauge at the VR blower outlet, and flow was measured using pitot tubes at the condenser effluents. The blower was capable of a flow rate of 500 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm).

Vapor recovery piping consisted of 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-inch CPVC to move the vapor stream mixture of steam, air, and chlorinated volatile organic compound (CVOC) vapors from the treatment volume. The vapor recovery plan is presented on **Sheet Y-2**. Vapor recovery piping and cables were placed in trenches as shown on **Sheets M-6 and M-7**.

The vapor treatment system consisted of one condenser/cooling tower unit, two 2,000pound vapor-phase granular activated carbon (VGAC) vessels and two 200-pound liquidphase granular activated carbon (LGAC) vessels. The VGAC vessels were installed on the



effluent side of the VR blowers in a series-parallel configuration. The VR and abatement system operated under the jurisdiction of the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (PSCAA) air permit No. 29980, dated June 26,2017. ERH process flow details are presented on **Sheets P-1** through **P-7**.

The condenser was utilized to cool the VR stream. The condenser consisted of an inlet air/water separation vessel, a plate-and-frame heat exchanger, a condensate tank, a cooling tower, an outlet air/water separation system, and ancillary pumps and controls. The vapor outlets of the condensers contained a mist eliminator that is 99 percent efficient in removing droplets to a size of 10 microns. Automated condensate pumping functions were monitored, controlled, and recorded by the PCU computer.

Condensate discharge from the condensers was routed to the two LGAC vessels, plumbed in series. Treated wastewater and potable blowdown water from the condensers was discharged to the municipal sewer under King County Wastewater Treatment Division Letter of Authorization 1065-01. The temporary side sewer connection is presented on **Sheet Y-10**.

#### 5.8 Site Security

Site security during construction, operations, and demobilization was monitored using a cellular-based, infrared, motion-detecting, battery operated camera system. The security system provided six perimeter cameras which alerted TRS of an intrusion with an e-mailed video and a phone call from a manned alarm center. One 360-degree camera was located on a mast above the PCU to allow for remote viewing the Site. During operations, a motion-detecting security system was installed along the perimeter fence lines. The system consisted of six motion-detecting sensors which, if movement is detected within the coverage area, opens the PCU load contactor and immediately discontinues electrical energy application to the treatment volume. TRS was notified of this action by automated text message, e-mail, and phone call.

A six-foot tall, vinyl clad chain-link fence and privacy screen surrounded the equipment compound and exterior (above grade) treatment area. "Danger, High Voltage" and "Do Not Dig" signs were hung every 20 feet. Additionally, TRS registered the site as an 8-1-1 Dig Alert site. Access to the compound was controlled by a locked gate. The Site security plan is presented on **Sheet Y-8**.

## 6.0 SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION

Site activities by TRS began on April 4, 2017, with delivery and placement of the Conex container. Pacific Crest engaged both public and private utility locating services to inspect the treatment area prior to the start of drilling activities. The 21 electrodes, three temperature monitoring points (TMPs), and ten monitoring well locations were measured by hand and marked in the field relative to known reference points.



#### 6.1 Subsurface Installation

The subsurface portion of the installation began on April 15, 2017, with concrete coring inside the SCC building by Dakota Concrete. All subsurface work inside the SCC building took place on weekends when the facility was closed for business. Holocene Drilling began drilling on April 20, 2017. Electrode and TMP installations were completed under TRS subcontract and oversight. Monitoring well installations were completed under Pacific Crest subcontract and oversight. Electrodes were installed using a combination of HSA and sonic drilling techniques. For quality assurance of electrode completion, sonic drilling was the preferred method on electrodes with four independent elements and a targeted completion depth of 85 ft bgs.

Drilling outside of the SCC building was completed on June 15, 2017. Due to limited weekend access, drilling inside the building was not completed until July 15, 2017. On the weekend of July 22, 2017, TRS and excavation contractor River's Edge Environmental Services completed all trenching, below-grade piping and cabling, and trench backfilling within the SCC building. The neutral grid and concrete were placed the following weekend and below-grade installation of ERH electrodes, vapor recovery wells, and TMPs was completed on July 30, 2017. The sewer tie-in was completed by TRS in October 2017 under a City of Seattle Side Sewer Permit and inspection.

#### 6.2 Surface Installation

On April 25, 2017, TRS conducted the successful placement of the condenser, cooling tower, 25 hp blower, and two 200-pound (lb) LGAC vessels. The larger equipment was unloaded and moved by subcontractor Nelson Trucking using a 20-ton forklift. The condenser and LGACs were placed within a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) secondary containment system.

With the SCL service still pending, TRS did not begin surface installation until August 30, 2017. Surface installation activities included above-grade VR piping, wiring of equipment and gauges, wiring of TMP and electrode wetting field boxes, interlock wiring and programming, and ATX supply cable connection. This work was essentially complete in 30 days, but for security reasons electrode supply cables were not placed until November 30, 2017.

The LGAC vessels were loaded with carbon on October 25, 2017. The two 2,000 lb VGAC vessels had also been delivered by this time but were not placed into final position until after SCL transformer installation. The three ATXs were delivered to the Site on November 29, 2017 and placed on an electrically grounded pad. Electrode cables were placed on November 30, 2017.

Condensate discharge from the condensers is routed to the two LGAC vessels plumbed in series. Treated wastewater and blowdown water from the condensers is plumbed to the sanitary sewer tie-in under King County and City of Seattle permits. Discharge flow is limited to 2,880 gallons per day. Make-up water is plumbed above surface from the vacant Belshaw property building to the TRS condenser.



#### 6.3 Power Drop

The application for electrical service was made on December 22, 2016, and assigned Service Number 1700225 on January 5, 2017. A meeting was held on-site with the SCL Client Representative, Antonio Hernandez, and the SCL Project Engineer, Lin Chi, on June 8, 2017. On August 10, 2017, SCL requested a secondary containment plan prior to issuance of the service construction letter. TRS retained Seahurst to prepare a secondary containment plan, which was submitted to SCL on August 28, 2017. The service construction letter was issued on September 14, 2017, and field work related to the electrical service began on October 18, 2017. TRS performed the trenching and backfill work while Seahurst performed all other tasks including secondary containment construction, assembly of the switchgear and meter cabinet, and cable installation. The Seahurst-installed equipment passed inspection on November 21, 2017, and it took until January 3, 2018, before SCL installed the meter and February 6, 2018, for SCL to pull conductors from the pole, set the transformer, and make final connections. At this point, the switchgear was energized, and start-up was only contingent on the third-party inspection and City of Seattle approval discussed in the Start-Up section below.

#### 6.4 Site Security

When TRS' construction activities began, a combination of permanent and temporary galvanized fence surrounded the entire Belshaw property. The temporary fence was erected by IMCO Construction to secure their temporary lay-down yard that surrounded the Site. Work on the ERH Restricted Zone fence began on September 28, 2018, by All City Fence. This fence consists of wood posts and vinyl clad chain link with a privacy screen on the publicly facing west and south sides. Once IMCO construction vacated the Site, TRS purchased IMCO-owned pole mounted LED area lights and took over rental of their temporary perimeter fence as added security measures.

#### 7.0 ERH SYSTEM START-UP

ERH system start-up is a key component of operation of the system. TRS takes extra precautions during start-up to ensure a safe operating system is being deployed. The following sections detail this start-up sequence.

#### 7.1 Pre-Start-up Tasks

Prior to start-up, a final quality assurance inspection of all above-grade piping and electrical connections was completed. Quality assurance inspections and testing were completed by TRS on the electrode cable connections, condenser components, transformer connections, TMP field box connections, VR blower, and PCU. Quality assurance inspections were completed on electrode connections. TRS also completed the initial phase of the internal TRS Start-Up Safety Checklist and all associated tasks prior to commencing start-up operations.

All pieces of equipment were visually inspected for weld cracks or breaks, scrapes of protective coating, corrosion, structural damage, and inadequate installation or



construction such as cracks, punctures, and damaged fittings. No discrepancies were identified.

#### 7.2 System Start-up

System start-up and optimization began on February 16, 2018. This phase of the work consisted of energizing the condenser and cooling tower, VR blower, air heat exchanger, TMPs, and control systems. This was followed up with functionality testing of the ERH equipment and interlocks and the evaluation of subsurface energy application.

The condenser holding tanks were filled with water and condenser operations were initiated. Items inspected included leak checks, functionality (hand/off/auto switches, float switches, valves), and the ability to maintain normal operations. The inspection of the system also verified the proper operational parameters (flow, differential pressures, and applied field vacuum) on each gauge and valve. Once proper operations of the components were confirmed, ERH equipment interlock testing commenced. Testing of the ERH equipment interlocks was completed on March 16, 2018, and each interlock performed as designed.

A third-party field evaluation was required by the City of Seattle prior to operations. TRS engaged Power Science Engineering LLC (PSE) to initiate the third-party evaluation process. The third-party evaluation included the physical inspection of all TRS equipment, designs, and execution of TRS standard operating procedures (SOPs) including voltage testing procedures. PSE performed a preliminary inspection on January 11, 2018, a progress inspection on February 22, 2018, and a final inspection on March 7, 2018. TRS made several corrections at the request of PSE, most were minor in nature with the exception of one that required the installation of a ground fault detection and indication device in the PCU.

TRS initiated electrical energy application to the subsurface for voltage safety testing on February 22, 2018. These tests were done to evaluate surface conditions for the presence of voltage potentials. Areas where the public or personnel may walk and/or touch surfaces were evaluated for exposed voltage potential.

Step-and-touch electrical potentials are the reference points of a possible circuit a person would form as the result of typical movement or physical actions. For example, a person standing at a gated fence entrance and touching the fence gate with their hand. The reference points would be the person's feet, in contact with the ground surface, and their hand, reaching out and touching the fence gate. Similarly, if a person were to touch an object on the ground with their hand, the reference points would be the person's feet in contact with the ground surface and their hand touching the object that is in contact with the ground surface.

An extension cord survey is a voltage survey that uses the earth ground established with an electrical service as a reference point for voltage measurements. Comparing the scenario of a step-and-touch potential with a person standing at a fence gate (feet on ground [step] and hand on gate [touch]), in an extension cord survey, the reference points would be the utility ground and the hand on the gate.



The TRS electrical safety policy limit for exposed voltage is:

- Public Zone: 5-Volt Step-and-Touch, 10-Volt Extension Cord Survey
- TRS Restricted Zone: 10-Volt Step-and-Touch, 30-Volt Extension Cord Survey
- **TRS Controlled 30-Volt Zone:** 30-Volt Step-and-Touch, 30-Volt Extension Cord Survey (This zone requires TRS upper management approval for implementation)
- **Exclusion Zone:** No entry allowed with ERH electrical energy applied to the subsurface

Any areas exceeding the TRS administrative safety limits were bonded or isolated from touch to ensure the safe application of ERH.

The Site was established as electrically safe for step-and-touch potentials however, voltage issues remained in the SCC building during wetted extension cord surveying (i.e., wet concrete to utility ground). This is likely due to wire reinforcement in the concrete floor slab and steel rebar in the footing or former stem wall that separates the original building from the east side addition. Mitigation to 10 volts was deemed impractical and the issue was addressed via administrative controls that included:

- 1) Additional training of SCC staff on March 27, 2017
- 2) Testing of corded power tools and replacement as necessary
- 3) Replacement of SCC's metal ladders and stools with non-conductive versions
- 4) Tagging of approved tools and posting of warning signs throughout the building

Once these efforts were completed, the Site was cleared for uninterrupted operations on March 29, 2018. During initial energy application, TRS monitored cable/electrode amperages, applied voltages to the subsurface, and the overall application of ERH to the treatment volume.

#### 8.0 ERH OPERATIONS

Full ERH system operations began on Thursday March 29, 2018, at 2:34 PM local time. Operational parameters such as power application, subsurface temperatures, condensate production, VR parameters, and estimates of CVOC concentrations in the recovered subsurface vapors were routinely measured. This data was used to assess the efficiency of the ERH system and allow TRS personnel to target specific areas of the Site and optimize system performance. TRS was responsible for monitoring all soil, water, and process vapor sampling of the ERH system, with the exception of the VGAC vessels, which were monitored for possible break-through of VOCs by Pacific Crest.

#### 8.1 Electrical Energy Application

During operations, TRS routinely monitored power, energy, voltage, amperage, resistance, subsurface temperatures, and CVOC recovery data to evaluate system performance. Near continuous incremental adjustments were made to the ERH system to maintain an optimal



energy application rate within the ERH system limitations. A total of 1,750,245 kWh of energy was applied to the treatment volume over a period of 193 days, starting on March 29, 2018, and ceasing on October 8, 2018.

The average power applied to the remediation volume during the operational phase was 398 kW. The maximum power input achieved during the operation phase was 557 kW. **Figure 1** presents the average weekly applied power and total energy.

Unscheduled down time events associated with electrode performance and equipment or programming issues with the ERH PCU did not occur during ERH operations. Scheduled/planned shutdowns did include the following activities:

- Electrode optimization/cabling changes
- Condenser and blower routine maintenance
- Soil and Groundwater sampling events

However, the system was shut down for brief periods as a result of security shut downs due to intruders entering the Site.

#### 8.2 Subsurface Temperatures

Subsurface temperatures in the ERH treatment volume were measured and recorded three times per day at each of the three TMPs. Each TMP contained temperature measurement sensors at 5-foot vertical increments, from 5 to 50 ft bgs at C4 and from 5 to 85 ft bgs at B6 and C7. **Figure 2** provides the average Site subsurface temperature over time. **Figures 3a** through **3c** provides the average temperature at each depth interval during operations for the three TMPs.

At the start of ERH operations, the average ambient subsurface temperature in the ERH treatment volume was 14.0 degrees Celsius (°C). At the peak of treatment volume heating, the average subsurface temperature was 105.5°C on July 12, 2018. The highest individual temperature measurement from within the ERH treatment volume was 129.0°C, recorded at TMP C7 at a depth of 65 ft bgs during much of June and July 2018.

Upon initial energy application, the average subsurface temperature increased rapidly, advancing at nearly 1.0 to over 1.5°C per day. The heat-up rate then naturally slowed as the subsurface within the treatment volume attained steaming conditions. This slowing of the heat-up rate is an indication of a significant change in subsurface conditions as more of the applied energy is used to accomplish phase change from liquid to vapor rather than increase subsurface temperatures.

#### 8.3 Electrode Wetting System

Use of the electrode wetting system was initiated on May 3, 2018. The average electrode wetting flowrate was approximately 0.25 GPM.

#### 8.4 Vapor Recovery and Treatment

The vapor recovery system operated continuously. The VR blowers maintained an average vacuum of 3.7 inches of mercury (in Hg) and a flow of 264 scfm over the course of ERH



operations. The average flow rate was calculated using the flow rate measured daily by the automated data collection portion of the system and thus was the average measured over the full 192 days of ERH application (i.e., not counting the additional 70 days of continued post-ERH VR system operation).

TRS screened vapor samples with a PID from the influent and effluent of the VGAC system as part of routine operational data collection. In addition, Pacific Crest also performed weekly PID screening and on a monthly basis collected vapor samples for off-site laboratory analysis by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods 8260B or 8260C. The results of the PID screening are found on **Table 4**. Pacific Crest analytical data are presented in their December 5, 2018, Clean-Up Action Progress Report (DRAFT).

Based on the PID measurements, TRS calculated that approximately 15 lb of VOCs were recovered during treatment (**Table 3**). Pacific Crest's independent estimate of mass removal via monthly laboratory data is 11.7 kilograms (Kg), or approximately 25 lb of PCE. Actual mass removal is likely somewhere in between these two estimates due in part to:

- 1. The inaccuracy of PID measurement, especially of a vapor stream with relatively low VOC concentrations such as these.
- 2. The relative infrequency of laboratory data collection. It should be noted that both estimates exceed the pre-ERH VOC mass estimates for the Site.

Date/Time	Blower Flow Rate (scfm)	PID Influent (ppm)	Corrected* PID Influent (ppm)	VOC Recovery Rate (lb/day)	Total VOC Recovery (lb)
3/29/18 14:33	264	3.6	2.1	0.25	0.0
3/30/18 14:20	260	3.1	1.8	0.21	0.2
4/9/18 10:00	250	0.2	0.1	0.01	1.4
4/10/18 9:37	255	1.5	0.9	0.10	1.5
4/11/18 10:30	255	1.0	0.6	0.07	1.5
4/11/18 13:32	258	0.9	0.5	0.06	1.5
4/12/18 13:30	255	1.5	0.9	0.10	1.6
4/13/18 13:00	255	0.0	0.0	0.00	1.6
4/16/18 8:30	255	0.1	0.1	0.01	1.7
4/17/18 14:15	255	0.7	0.4	0.05	1.7
4/18/18 13:55	255	0.5	0.3	0.03	1.8
4/19/18 8:05	255	0.5	0.3	0.03	1.8
4/20/18 11:15	255	0.9	0.5	0.06	1.9
4/23/18 10:50	255	0.6	0.3	0.04	2.0
4/24/18 11:50	255	0.8	0.5	0.05	2.0
4/25/18 11:30	255	0.6	0.3	0.04	2.1

Table 3. Mass Removal Estimates Based on PID Data



Date/Time	Blower Flow Rate (scfm)	PID Influent (ppm)	Corrected* PID Influent (ppm)	VOC Recovery Rate (lb/day)	Total VOC Recovery (lb)
4/26/18 7:55	255	1.1	0.6	0.07	2.1
4/27/18 7:20	255	1.3	0.7	0.09	2.2
4/30/18 10:20	255	1.1	0.6	0.07	2.5
5/1/18 9:25	255	0.9	0.5	0.06	2.5
5/2/18 15:55	255	0.5	0.3	0.03	2.6
5/3/18 10:15	255	0.8	0.5	0.05	2.6
5/7/18 10:00	255	0.9	0.5	0.06	2.8
5/8/18 11:55	255	0.7	0.4	0.05	2.9
5/9/18 10:20	255	0.7	0.4	0.05	2.9
5/10/18 8:00	255	1.1	0.6	0.07	3.0
5/14/18 13:10	255	1.4	0.8	0.09	3.4
5/15/18 11:40	255	1.3	0.7	0.09	3.5
5/16/18 14:15	255	1.7	1.0	0.12	3.6
5/17/18 8:10	255	1.1	0.6	0.07	3.7
5/21/18 8:30	255	2.2	1.3	0.15	4.3
5/22/18 9:10	255	1.1	0.6	0.07	4.3
5/23/18 11:30	255	1.5	0.9	0.10	4.5
5/24/18 10:45	255	2.3	1.3	0.16	4.6
5/29/18 8:55	255	2.0	1.1	0.14	5.3
5/30/18 10:55	255	2.2	1.3	0.15	5.4
6/4/18 8:20	255	2.5	1.4	0.17	6.3
6/6/18 12:45	255	0.8	0.5	0.05	6.4
6/8/18 8:10	255	1.3	0.7	0.09	6.5
6/13/18 11:30	255	0.6	0.3	0.04	6.7
6/15/18 8:00	255	1.1	0.6	0.07	6.9
6/18/18 9:55	255	2.5	1.4	0.17	7.4
6/20/18 14:40	255	2.0	1.1	0.14	7.7
6/22/18 14:25	255	2.4	1.4	0.16	8.1
6/25/18 8:45	255	1.7	1.0	0.12	8.4
6/27/18 13:20	255	1.7	1.0	0.12	8.7
6/29/18 10:10	255	1.1	0.6	0.07	8.8
7/2/18 9:00	255	2.3	1.3	0.16	9.2
7/3/18 8:05	255	2.9	1.7	0.20	9.4
7/9/18 8:15	255	1.7	1.0	0.12	10.1
7/10/18 10:10	240	1.0	0.6	0.06	10.2



Date/Time	Blower Flow Rate (scfm)	PID Influent (ppm)	Corrected* PID Influent (ppm)	VOC Recovery Rate (lb/day)	Total VOC Recovery (lb)
7/11/18 9:30	255	1.4	0.8	0.09	10.3
7/18/18 8:15	275	1.3	0.7	0.09	10.9
7/23/18 9:20	260	0.5	0.3	0.03	11.1
7/25/18 14:35	275	0.8	0.5	0.06	11.3
7/27/18 7:30	270	0.9	0.5	0.06	11.4
7/30/18 7:45	270	0.7	0.4	0.05	11.5
8/1/18 15:30	275	0.3	0.2	0.02	11.6
8/3/18 7:45	275	1.1	0.6	0.08	11.7
8/6/18 12:00	275	0.3	0.2	0.02	11.8
8/10/18 8:05	275	1.1	0.6	0.08	12.1
8/13/18 7:55	275	1.2	0.7	0.09	12.3
8/20/18 9:40	400	1.2	0.7	0.13	13.2
8/27/18 6:50	275	0.8	0.5	0.06	13.6
8/29/18 12:15	270	0.7	0.4	0.05	13.8
8/31/18 7:30	270	1.6	0.9	0.11	14.0
9/5/18 7:45	265	0.6	0.3	0.04	14.2
9/6/18 7:55	260	0.9	0.5	0.06	14.2
9/10/18 13:40	260	0.7	0.4	0.05	14.4
9/11/18 11:35	275	0.9	0.5	0.07	14.5
9/12/18 9:10	260	1.0	0.6	0.07	14.6
9/13/18 11:55	265	0.7	0.4	0.05	14.6
9/17/18 7:40	260	0.8	0.5	0.06	14.8
9/19/18 10:30	265	0.8	0.5	0.06	14.9
9/20/18 8:00	255	0.9	0.5	0.06	15.0
10/1/18 8:20	310	0.3	0.2	0.02	15.3
10/3/18 9:00	300	0.8	0.5	0.00	15.3
10/4/18 13:00	310	0.3	0.2	0.02	15.3
10/5/18 7:55	310	0.6	0.3	0.05	15.3

\*Assumes PID lamp correction factor of 0.57 for PCE



During operations, some biofouling was observed in the condensate discharge stream. This material began to plug the inlet side of the primary LGAC vessel. On July 13, 2018, TRS and Pacific Crest obtained permission from the King County Wastewater Treatment Division to add a microbicide (peroxyacetic acid) to the condensate stream in small doses – no more than 3 to 5 ounces every other day. After each dosing event, pH was tested to ensure that discharge remained within the 5.5 to 12 pH limits established in the Letter of Authorization. This was effective in controlling the biofouling problem. Water parameters for the end of operations and entire project are presented in Table 4.

ERH System Parameters	Project Totals (gallons)
Total Cumulative Potable Water Used	248,723
Total Cumulative Condensate Recovered	194,362
Total Cumulative Water Discharge to Sewer	195,217

Table 4. ERH Water Balanc	e
---------------------------	---

#### 8.5 System Waste

Throughout all phases of system construction and system operations, TRS generated waste in need of disposal. Soil cuttings and trench spoils were contained in soil roll-off bins and disposed by Pacific Crest during and immediately after subsurface work. Concrete cores and trench slabs were likewise disposed of by Pacific Crest. In addition to the above, there were several drums of mostly liquid waste from drilling and concrete coring activity. These drums were allowed to settle, and the water was pumped through the on-site treatment system prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer. Remaining solid waste was consolidated and an additional six drums were disposed by Pacific Crest. More than 20 drums were rinsed and recycled through these waste minimization efforts.

Throughout system operations, the VGAC was monitored for breakthrough and air permit compliance by Pacific Crest. No VGAC change out was required during the course of the project and therefore only 4,000 pounds of VGAC remain for disposal. Likewise, there was no LGAC change out during operations and 400 pounds of LGAC have been pumped into two 55-galon drums and await disposal by Pacific Crest

TRS made efforts to manage the project waste stream in a sustainable fashion. TRS adhered to this mission throughout the project by making every effort to recycle items that could be sent off-site to recycling facilities instead of a landfill. At project's end, any material that could be reused on future projects such as fittings, field box stands, 3-phase electrical supply cable, was packed and shipped off-site.

#### Site Voltage Monitoring 8.6

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) limit for voltage safety is 50 volts alternating current (VAC), however, the TRS administrative control limit established by the Site Health and Safety Plan (HASP) was 5 volts step-and-touch within the SCC building.



During start-up related voltage surveys, certain voltages were found within the SCC building that could not be mitigated through engineering controls. The primary source of this voltage potential appeared to be related to wire mesh within the existing concrete floor of the SCC building.

On March 27, 2018, prior to full-scale ERH operation, TRS entered into an agreement with SCC whereby a 15-volt standard was established. All SCC employees and one third-party contractor were trained at that time and certain restrictions implemented. These restrictions remained in place throughout ERH operations and included replacement of metal ladders and step-stools with non-conductive fiberglass ladders and stools, replacement of certain corded power tools with cordless tools, posting of additional warning signs, tagging of corded tools that were tested by TRS and approved for use, and other best practices.

During ERH operations, there were two occasions where voltage potentials were observed slightly above the 15-volt limit (still less than 20 volts) and these were promptly mitigated by epoxy coating a small section of the shop floor and replacing SCC's old ungrounded bench grinder with a new grounded tool.

The limit established by the HASP in the ERH restricted zone (no public access) was 30 volts and weekly surveys did not identify any voltage potential above that limit throughout operations.

### 9.0 ERH REMEDIATION RESULTS

Following receipt of analytical data from the routine groundwater monitoring event in March 2019, all soil and groundwater samples within the treatment volume have met the remedial goals of 50  $\mu$ g/kg and 50  $\mu$ g/l, respectively.

#### 9.1 Groundwater Results

Groundwater samples were collected prior to ERH system construction in August 2017 to establish baseline data. Additional sampling was conducted throughout ERH operations to track remedial progress. The groundwater sampling event in September 2018 produced anonymously high concentrations of PCE in monitoring wells MW34I. Based on a review of groundwater parameters recorded at the time of groundwater sampling, this sample was suspected to have been recovered steam instead of groundwater.

Analytical results for Pacific Crest's groundwater sampling event of November 8, 2018, were received on November 14, 2018. Analytical results for PCE were below the 50 µg/L cleanup objective in all monitoring wells located within the treatment volume except MW-33S. These results confirmed the hypothesis that the September 2018 groundwater sampling results were biased high by steam condensation and were not representative of groundwater conditions within the ERH treatment volume. Based on these data, Pacific Crest recommended no further application of ERH. Groundwater analytical data collected prior to, during, and after ERH operations is presented in **Table 5**.



Location ID	Screen	Sampled	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene
	Interval	Ву		(PCE)
	(ft bgs)			
			8/1/2017	490
			6/12/2018	1,400
			7/13/2018	480
		Pacific	8/8/2018	210
MW-33S	15-20	Crest	8/24/2018	100
			9/26/2018	310
			11/8/2018	73
		3/20/2019	25	
		Aspect	6/20/2019	1.3
			8/1/2017	360
			6/12/2018	360
	Pacific	Desifie	7/13/2018	1,200
		Pacific	8/8/2018	8.5
MW-33I 40-4	40-45	Crest	8/24/2018	5.7
			9/26/2018	1.3
			11/8/2018	26
			3/20/2019	15
		Aspect	6/20/2019	3.7
			8/1/2017	0.92
		Pacific	6/12/2018	0.20
MW-33D	95-100	Clest	7/13/2018	<0.20
			8/24/2018	<0.20
		Aspect	6/20/2019	<1
			8/1/2017	13
			6/12/2018	410
		Desifie	7/12/2018	<0.20
		Croct	8/8/2018	260
MW-34S	15-20	Crest	8/24/2018	270
			9/26/2018	120
			11/8/2018	1.5
			3/20/2019	0.71
		Aspect	6/20/2019	<1
			8/1/2017	1,800
		Dacific	6/12/2018	24,000
	21.20	Croct	7/12/2018	6,900
11110-341	54-59	Crest	8/8/2018	2,200
			8/23/2018	1.1
			9/26/2018	1,000

Table 5. Baseline, Routine, and Confirmatory Groundwater Sampling Analytical Data



Location ID	Screen	Sampled	Sample Date	Tetrachloroethene
	Interval	Ву		(PCE)
	(ft bgs)		11/0/2010	20
			11/8/2018	38
			3/20/2019	19
		Aspect	6/20/2019	3.5
			8/1/2017	3.2
			6/12/2018	<0.20
MW-34D	95-100	Pacific	7/12/2018	3.0
1111 340	55 100	Crest	8/8/2018	<0.20
			8/23/2018	<0.20
			8/23/2018	<0.20
			8/1/2017	300
			6/12/2018	990
		Pacific	7/12/2018	230
MW-35S	30-35	Crest	8/8/2018	0.47
			8/23/2018	<0.20
			9/26/2018	<0.20
		Aspect	6/20/2019	1.6
		•	8/1/2017	1,700
			6/12/2018	690
		Pacific	7/12/2018	100
MW-35I	45-50	Crest	8/8/2018	34
			8/23/2018	15
			9/26/2018	35
		Aspect	6/20/2019	7.9
			8/1/2017	93
			6/12/2018	6.1
		Pacific	7/12/2018	3
MW-35D	85-95	Crest	8/8/2018	1.7
			8/23/2018	1.7
			9/26/2018	1.4
		Aspect	6/20/2019	<1
		, ispece	7/30/2017	1 200
			6/12/2018	52
			7/12/2018	52
			8/8/2018	1 100
SCC-3	23-28	Pacific	8/22/2018	830
JCC-J	23-20	Crest	9/26/2010	150
			11/8/2010	430
			2/20/2010	14
			5/20/2019	
			6/18/2019	5.4



#### 9.2 Soil Results

The first round of iterative confirmation sampling was conducted between July 14, 2018, and July 17, 2018. Samples were collected from varying depth intervals within six borings (CSB-1 through CSB-6) to target the zones with historically high concentrations of PCE. All samples from locations CSB-1, CSB-4, and CSB-5 met the remedial goal of 50 µg/kg.

The second round of iterative confirmation sampling was conducted on August 25, 2018. Samples were collected near the locations of CSB-2 (identified as CSB-8), CSB-3 (identified as CSB-9), and CSB-6 (identified as CSB-7). Samples from CSB-6 met the remedial goal.

The final round of iterative confirmation sampling was conducted on September 27, 2019. Samples were collected near the locations of CSB-2 (identified as CSB-10) and CSB-3 (identified as CSB-11). All samples from both locations met the remedial goal. All soil analytical data are presented in **Table 6** and on **Sheet Y-1C**.

Sample	Sample Depth	July 14, 2018-	August 25, 2018	September 27, 2018
Location		July 17, 2018		
	10.0-11.0	9.4		
	15.0-15.75	16		
CSB-1	15.75-16.5	<0.99		
	40.0-40.75	3.3		
	40.75-41.5	3.2		
	14.0-15.0	<1.3		
	15.0-16.0	<1.3		
	45.0-45.75	140	19	3.7
C3B-10	45.75-46.5	140	55	30
CSB-3	5.0-10.0	8		
CSB-9	50.0-51.5	150	<1.3	4.9
CSB-11	55.0-56.25	89	180	1.6
	17.0-17.75	<1.5		
	17.75-18.5	<0.94		
C3D-4	40.0-40.75	40		
	40.75-41.5	2.1		
	25.0-25.5	2.1		
	25.5-26.5	<1.1		
CSB-5	35.0-35.75	<1.1		
	35.75-36.5	<1.4		
	25.0-25.5	2.1		
	5.0-5.5	57	36	
CSB-6	5.5-6.5	60	45	
CSB-7	35.0-35.5	6.9		
	35.5-36.0	6.6		

Table 6. Confirmatory Soil Sampling Analytical Data



#### **10.0 DEMOBILIZATION**

TRS reached 100 percent of the applied energy goal on October 8, 2018. Energy application to the subsurface was immediately stopped, however, vapor recovery and treatment continued to operate until December 17, 2018, to ensure that steam and vapors were removed from the treatment volume and that the floor temperature in the SCC building would not rise significantly. Floor temperatures were monitored periodically using a Flir thermal imaging camera. By the time of the final vapor recovery shut down, the observed rise in floor temperature was less than 3 degrees Fahrenheit and was confined to a small area of the shop.

TRS began demobilization on December 17, 2018. Electrode cables were disconnected and spooled, the steam condenser was drained, rinsed, and winterized. All rinsate water was pumped through the LGAC vessels and then the LGAC was transferred into 55-gallon drums for disposal by Pacific Crest.

Electrode cables were cut at surface grade and the grounding pad for the three ATXs was removed. During the week of February 5, 2019, the connection to the sewer was excavated, plugged with concrete, and inspected by the City of Seattle to close the Side Sewer Permit. At this time the fence for the vehicle storage area on the east side of the SCC building was restored to its prior configuration and the VR conduits exiting beneath the SCC building foundation were plugged with concrete.

On April 24, 2019, SCL crews arrived on site to disconnect electrical service at the power pole, remove the SCL electrical transformer and meter, and remove all underground conductors. Once the disconnect was completed by SCL, TRS was able to prep the rest of the equipment for shipping. On May 13, 2019, Nelson Trucking loaded the condenser and cooling tower onto a truck for transport off-site. The remaining equipment was staged and prepared for transport.

In June 2019, TRS moved all electrode cables into a PODS<sup>®</sup> storage container. This was done in response to a break-in and theft of a small amount of cable. On July 30, 2019, TRS and Nelson Trucking moved all remaining equipment and materials off-site including the TRS-owned electrical switchgear. The chain link fence that was installed around the perimeter of the ERH treatment area and equipment compound was also removed.

In August 2019, Pacific Crest and River's Edge removed the soil pile that was left on-site from subsurface utility trenching. River's Edge also removed the concrete secondary containment pad that was the former location of the SCL electrical transformer. TRS also returned to the Site to remove an additional small section of chain link fence that defined the southern boundary of the now-former SCC vehicle storage area. The street-adjacent temporary fence around the larger perimeter of the former Belshaw property (now owned by Grand Street Commons, LLC) was left if place but rental of this fence is being transferred to Grand Street Commons.

Resistance temperature detectors (RTDs) have been left in the TMPs so that Pacific Crest can continue to monitor subsurface temperatures on a periodic basis using a hand-held reader. When Pacific Crest no longer has a need for this temperature data, TRS will recover



the RTDs. The RTDs beneath the SCC building were grouted in place and will not be recovered.

#### **11.0 CONCLUSIONS**

Based upon the data collected before, during, and after the ERH remediation, the following conclusions can be reached concerning this remediation effort.

- 1. Soil concentrations of PCE for all sampled depths at all 6 confirmatory sample locations were below the remedial goal of 50  $\mu$ g/kg.
- 2. At ERH completion in November 2018, concentrations of PCE in all except one monitoring well (MW-33s) were below the remedial goal. As anticipated, concentrations continued to decline over time. In March 2019, concentrations in all monitoring wells within the ERH treatment volume were below the remedial goal of  $50 \mu g/L$ .
- 3. Average baseline concentration of PCE at performance groundwater wells was 596  $\mu$ g/l. The average concentration at ERH completion was 19  $\mu$ g/l representing a 97% reduction of PCE in groundwater. The continued decline in concentrations of PCE in groundwater over time results in a 99.6% reduction in average PCE concentrations in groundwater by June 2019.
- 4. Based upon PID analysis of soil vapors recovered during ERH operations, approximately 15.3 pounds of VOCs were removed from the treatment volume during the remediation.
- 5. The ERH system operated for a total of 193 days and applied a total of 1,750,245 kWh of energy to the total treatment volume.
- 6. The ERH system was able to heat the soils within the treatment volume to design temperatures.
- 7. Throughout the project, safety of SCC employees and customers was maintained at all times. Business disruptions were kept to a minimum by performing intrusive work on the nights and weekends.
- 8. TRS completed the work with no OSHA recordable incidents or events resulting in lost time.



#### **12.0 REFERENCES**

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC, *Cleanup Action Progress Report*, December 6, 2018 (DRAFT).

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC, *Draft for Ecology Review Engineering Design Report* – *Site Area 1*, May 24, 2017.

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC, Cleanup Action Progress Report, August 10, 2018.

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC, *Draft Interim Measure Cleanup Action Plan*, June 29, 2011.

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC, *Remedial Investigation-Feasibility Study Report*, February 4, 2011.

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC, *Draft Interim Compliance Confirmation Sampling and Analysis Plan*, June 30, 2011.

Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC, *Draft For Ecology Review Cleanup Action Plan – Site Area 1*, July 7, 2015.

TRS Group, Inc., 3<sup>rd</sup> Revision – Firm Fixed Price Proposal for ERH Remediation, April 21, 2016.



# ELECTRICAL RESISTANCE HEATING DESIGN PACKAGE

FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY 1752 RAINIER AVENUE SOUTH SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98144

Prepared by:



AUGUST 2019

	SHEET INDEX
DRAWING NUMBER	TITLE AND DESCRIPTION
Y-1	SITE PLAN
Y-1A	SITE PLAN PRE-ERH SOIL CONCENTRATIONS
Y-1B	SITE PLAN PRE-ERH GROUND WATER CONCENTRATIONS
Y-1C	SITE PLAN CONFIRMATION SOIL CONTAMINATION CONCENTRATIONS
Y-1D	SITE PLAN GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION CONCENTRATIONS
Y-1E	ELECTRICAL SERVICE
Y-2	EQUIPMENT PIPING PLAN
Y-3	VAPOR RECOVERY PIPING PLAN
Y-4	FIELD BOX AND ETHERNET PLAN
Y-5	THERMOCOUPLE WIRING PLAN
Y-6	DRIP PLAN
Y-7	SOLENOID WIRING PLAN
Y-8	SECURITY PLAN
Y-10	SIDE SEWER CONNECTION - TEMPORARY
P-1	LEGEND
P-2	VAPOR RECOVERY AND CONDENSING PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM
P-3	PROCESS FLOW MASS BALANCE
P-4	FIELD PROCESS AND INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM
P-5	CONDENSER PROCESS AND INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM
P-6	COOLING TOWER PROCESS AND INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM
P-7	VAPOR TREATMENT PROCESS AND INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM
M-1	ELECTRODE DETAIL
M-2	ELECTRODE DETAIL
M-3	ELECTRODE DETAIL
M-4	TMP DETAIL
M-5	TMP DETAIL
M-6	TRENCH DETAIL
M-7	TRENCH DETAIL
M-8	DRIP VALVE ASSEMBLY DETAIL
M-9	GROUNDING PLAN
E-1	ELECTRICAL ONE-LINE DIAGRAM LEGEND
E-2	ELECTRICAL ONE-LINE DIAGRAM



WASHINGTON	SITE LOCATION MAP	





CAME	RAS		
			0-
·	SITE FORMER PENT LOCATION SEATTLE, V	HOUSE DRAPERY WASHINGTON	
	CLIENT PENTHOUS	SE DRAPERY	
/ER	SITE	PLAN	
ĒR	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	DATE 2019.AUG.30	ROJECT SEA12
	ВҮ	SHEET V_1	
	DATE	·· I =	1



				9	CC 1	ma/ka) 5/1	7/2008		
						тту/ку) 5/ т	//2008		
						PCE	TCI	E	T
				6.5	;	0.22	<0.0	)3	N
				9.5	;	0.14	<0.0	)3	
				11.5	5	0.16	<0.0	)3	
				F	PH-SB-	7 (mg/kg) 9	9/4/201	2	-
				DEPT		PCF	т	CE	
				2-	4	<0.00090	) <0.0	0011	
		PH-	SB-13	13-	9.5		<pre> &lt;0.</pre>	0011	
			•	25-2	26.5	< 0.0010	<0.	0010	
				34-	35	< 0.00094	< < 0.0	00094	
				42-	45	< 0.0012	<0.	0012	
			$\setminus$	52.5	5-55	< 0.0012	<0.	0012	
			N	75-7	7 5	<0.0011	<0	0011	
<u>\</u>			Ν	13-1	1.5	-0.0011	-0.	.0011	
N.			\			···· ·· // · ·· · · · · / / 4	10040		
Ń				PH-S	в-13 (	mg/kg) 1/4	/2013-1	///2013	3
				DEPT	H (ft)	PCE		TCE	
$\sim$	1			34	-36	<0.0010		<0.0010	)
$\setminus$	$\checkmark$			44-	-46	< 0.0009	2 <	0.0009	2
$\backslash$				54	56	< 0.0012	2 •	< 0.0012	2
				64-	-66	< 0.0009	9 <	0.0009	9
П	$\mathbf{a} \setminus \mathbf{b}$			74-	.76	<0.0010		<0.0010	)
U	്തി			86-	06	<0.0009	2 <	0.0009	2
		V	$\searrow$	104-	. <u>30</u> .106	<0.0012	2	<0.0011	2
				PH	I-SB-1	1 (mg/kg) 1	2/8/20	12	
		PH-SB	-14	DEPT	'H (ft)	PCE	T	CE	
		· / • •		2-	4 10	0.0087	<0.0	0010	
				10-	12	0.025	<0.0	00092	
			$\backslash$		7.6./			12042	I
		MW 26		PH-50	3-0 (m	g/кg) 9/4/20 Т	JIZ-9/0	/2012	
		WIW-26		DEPT	'H (ft)	PCE	Т	CE	
				2.5	<u>-5</u> 10	<0.0010	<0.	0010	
				12.5	i-15	0.0013	<0.0	00000	
				22.5	5-25	< 0.00093	<0.0	00093	
			<u> </u>	33-	-36	0.028	<0.0	00097	
				46-	47	0.0038	<0.	0010	
			$\backslash$	51-	55	0.0020	<0.0	0011	
			\	75-	- <u>05</u> 78	0.0023	<0	.0011	
									I
			Ň	PH-SE	3-14 (r	ng/lg) 12/26	6/2012-	12/28/2	2012
				DEP	TH (ft)	PCE	Ξ	TC	E
				34	-36	< 0.00	083	< 0.00	083
				44 54	-40 -56	<0.00	10	<0.00	)11
				64	-66	0.00	32	<0.00	093
				74	-76	0.00	71	<0.00	011
-2 (mg	/kg) 517	/2008		86	-88	0.003	37	< 0.00	011
\	205	705		94	-90 -106	<0.00	)11	<0.00	)11
	-CE	ICE							<u> </u>
	0.39	< 0.03						!	
	1.34	<0.03 <0.02						!	
	4.2	< 0.03							
	SITE		FORM	ER PENTH	HOUSE	DRAPERY		l	
	LOCATIC	DN	SI	EATTLE, V ENTHOUS	VASHIN	GTON PERY			
				SITF	PL A	N			
	-	DE				ΤΔΝΛΙΝΙ	ΔΤΙΟ	N	
ER		Γſ					110	IN	
R						10113	Dr		
	APPROV	ED FOR CONSTR	RUCTION			2019.AUG	.30	000001	SEA12
	BY				SHEET	•	Y-1	A	
							/	•	



			-					-
			<u> </u>		7 (	0/4	/2012	┐ <b>퇶</b> ┃
				Р <b>Н-5В</b>	<b>-/</b> (µg/L)	) 9/4/	2012	-NT
				(ft)	PCE		TCE	1
				30	0.37		<0.20	
PH-SB-7				48	0.62		<0.20	┥┫╽
•				75	<0.20		<0.20	
							•	
				PH-S	B-13 1/7	/201	3	
				(ft)	PCF	-		
DH-SB	-13	2	7		<0.20		0.20	
	•			0-00	<0.20	+	.0.20	
			8	0-90	1.2	- <	:0.20	
			100	0-110	0.56	<	:0.20	
<i>'</i> N			100	0-110	0.57	<	:0.20	
				PH-SE	<b>3-6</b> (μg/L	.) 9/6	6/2012	
				EPTH	PCI	F	TCF	
B-6 <sub>Ca</sub> 🚺			<u> </u>	(tt) 35	400	-	20.40	-
$\sim_{\odot}$ $N$			<u> </u>	50	920	, )	<10	$\dashv$
₩				70	27(	)	<2.0	
				80	450	)	<2.0	
		MW2	<b>.9</b> (µ	.g/L) 8/7	7/2012			
_ <b>\\</b> +	DE	PTH (	ft)	PCE	TCE			
	2	33-38		12.000	<60	)		
				,				
		MW1	<b>Ι4</b> (ι	.ug/L) 8/7	7/2012			
		отц /	(#)		ТО	_		
			(11)	PCE		E		
	- 2	25-35		7,900	<50	0		
PH-SB-1	4							
		$\rightarrow$	PI	H-SB-14	<b>4</b> (μg/L)	12/2	8/2012	
				EPTH (1 30.40	t) PC	20 20	TCE	
MW-26				40-50	8.	<u>6</u>	<0.20	
				70-80	2.	7	<0.20	
$\langle \rangle$			þ,	80-90		41 20	<0.20	
$\langle \rangle$						20	-0.20	
	$\overline{}$							
$\sim$		$\overline{\ }$		PH-S	<b>Β-9</b> (μg/	L) 9/	7/2012	
			DE	EPTH (f	t) PO	CE	TCE	Ξ
				65	8	3	< 0.4	0
				75		.0	~2.0	<u> </u>
		N // A / A	0 /	a/l \ 7/0	4/2040			
$\sim$	_	101001	ο (μ	g/∟) //2 I	4/2012			
	DE	PTH	(ft)	PCE	TC	E		
		14-17		1.6	<0.0	20		
	2	22-25		3.7	<0.0	20		
	;	30-40		89	<0.4	40		
	ידו			DEDV				
LOCATION SFATTI	E. W	ASHIN	שאט IGTO	N				
	ous	E DRA	PER	Y				
SIT	Έ	PLA	١N					
	 \/ ^				ΔΝΛΙΝ	ιдт		
	י <i>י ד</i> י גער		י ע דוי	- - - - - -		1.51		
	IN.	IКА		SNS				
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		DATE	20	19.AUG	.30 PRC	JECT	SEA12	
BY	- [	0		,	V 1 F	<u> </u>		
1		SHEET			T - I E	)		



		CSB-6	(ma/ka) 7/1	4/2018
		DEPTH (ft)	PCE	TCE
Ň		50-55	0.057	<0.0015
		5.5-6.5	0.060	< 0.0013
		35.0-35.5	0.0069	<0.0014
PH-SB-7		35.5-36.0	0.0066	<0.00097
•			•	
		CSB-7	(mg/kg) 8/2	5/2018
PH-SB-13		DEPTH (ft)	PCE	TCE
•		5.0-5.5	0.036	0.0016
		6.0-6.5	0.045	<0.0015
		CSB-5	(mg/kg) 7/1	4/2018
		DEPTH (ft)	PCE	TCE
B-6 C8		25.0-25.5	0.0021	<0.00091
$\odot$		25.5-26.5	<0.0011	<0.0011
MW-35D		35.0-35.75	<0.0011	<0.0011
$\mathbf{\Theta}$		35.75-36.5	<0.0014	<0.0014
<u>N-355</u> MW-351		CED 4	(ma/ka) 7/4	7/2018
D8				TOF
		17.0.17.75	PCE	<0.0015
Ŭ A		17 75-18 5	<0.0013	<0.0013
		40.0-40.75	0.040	0.0082
		40.75-41.5	0.0021	<0.0013
PH-SB-14				
	-			
MW 26	+	CSB-11	(mg/kg) 9/2	7/2018
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft)	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE	7/2018 TCE
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049	7/2018 TCE <0.0011
MW-26		CSB-11   DEPTH (ft)   50.0-51.0   54.0-55.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010
MW-26		CSB-11   DEPTH (ft)   50.0-51.0   54.0-55.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-55.0 54.0-55.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft)	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/10 PCE	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/1 PCE 0.0080	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/10 PCE 0.0080 0.15	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 6/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/10 PCE 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/1 PCE 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 6/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 0.0016 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/10 PCE 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 6/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
MW-26		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
MW-26 @		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/10 PCE 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
MW-26 ©		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25 RAPERY TON	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
SITE FORMER PENTH LOCATION SEATTLE, W CLIENT PENTHOUS		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25 RAPERY TON ERY	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
SITE FORMER PENTH LOCATION SEATTLE, W CLIENT PENTHOUSI SITE		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25 S5.0-56.25 RAPERY TON ERY N	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 (mg/kg) 7/10 PCE 0.0080 0.15 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
SITE FORMER PENTH LOCATION SEATTLE, W CLIENT PENTHOUS SITE CONFIRMATION SO		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25 RAPERY TON ERY N ONTAMI	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 PCE 0.0080 0.15 0.089 0.089 NATIOI	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
SITE FORMER PENTH LOCATION SEATTLE, W CLIENT PENTHOUS SITE CONFIRMATION SO CONCENT		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25 S5.0-56.25 RAPERY TON ERY N DNTAMI TONS	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 0.0080 0.15 0.089 0.089	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016
SITE FORMER PENTH LOCATION SEATTLE, W CLIENT PENTHOUSI SITE CONFIRMATION SO CONCENT R APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25 S5.0-56.25 RAPERY TON ERY N ONTAMI TONS 2019.AUG.30	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 0.0080 0.15 0.089 0.089 NATIOI PROJECT	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016 N SEA12
SITE FORMER PENTH LOCATION SEATTLE, W CLIENT PENTHOUS SITE CONFIRMATION SO CONCENT R APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY		CSB-11 DEPTH (ft) 50.0-51.0 54.0-55.0 CSB-3 DEPTH (ft) 5.0-10.0 50.0-51.5 55.0-56.25 S5.0-56.25 RAPERY TON ERY N DNTAMI TONS 2019.AUG.30	(mg/kg) 9/2 PCE 0.0049 0.0016 0.0016 PCE 0.0080 0.15 0.089 0.15 0.089 NATIOI PROJECT	7/2018 TCE <0.0011 <0.0010 5/2018 TCE 0.0024 0.0033 <0.0016 N SEA12



				1	MW	-34D	(µg/L)	95'-100'	
					SAMPLI	E	DCE	тег	
			A.		8/1/2017	7	3.200	0 <0.20	
					6/12/201	8	<0.20	) <0.20	
					7/12/201	8	3.0	<0.20	
PH-S	B-7				8/8/2018	3	<0.20	) <0.20	
					8/23/201	8	<0.20	) <0.20	
					8/23/201	8	<0.20	) <0.20	
					9/26/201	8	<0.20	) <0.20	
				2	6/20/201	9	<1	<1	
			FII-50-1.						
						N-34	I (μg/L	) 34'-39'	
					DATE	-	PCE	тсе	
	N N				8/1/2017	7	1,800	0 <10	
		#			6/12/201	8	24,00	<mark>0</mark> 810	
B-6		N.			7/12/201	8	6,900	0 1,600	)
	80	N N			8/8/2018	3	2,200	710	
					8/23/201	8	1.1	0.63	
	IVIV	/-35D			9/26/201	8	1,000	760	
N/ O			N.		11/8/201	8	38	27	
V-3	0004	<u>VMW-3</u>	51		3/20/201	9	19	27	
~					6/20/201	9	3.5	9.8	
$\odot$		$\langle \rangle$	s y -	$\rightarrow$	MW	/-345	6 (µg/L)	) 15'-20'	
					SAMPLI	Ξ	PCE	тсе	
		$\setminus$			8/1/2017	7	13	<0.40	
		,	PH-SB-14		6/12/201	8	410	20	
					7/12/201	8	<0.20	) <0.20	
			X		8/8/2018	3	260	58	
					8/24/201	8	270	81	
	-		MN-26		9/26/201	8	120	61	
			$\backslash$		11/8/201	8	1.50	0.71	
			$\backslash$		3/20/201	9	0.71	0.46	
			$\backslash$		6/20/201	9	<1	<1	
			$\backslash$	\ \					
			1	$\backslash_{r}$					1
IW-35	S (µg/L) 30	0'-35'		Y	MW-35	51 (µg	g/L) 45'	-50'	
E	PCE	TCE			DATE	Р	СЕ	TCE	
17	300	<2.0		Ļ	8/1/2017	1,	700	<10	
018	990	250		Ļ	6/12/2018	6	90	250	
018	230	100		Ļ	7/12/2018	1	00	51	
18	0.4700	<0.20		╞	8/8/2018	3	34	18	
10	<0.4700	<0.20		ļ	8/23/2018		15	10	
)18	<0.20	<0.20		┝	9/26/2018		55 7 0	1/	
019	1.6	3.6		L	0/20/2019		. <del>.</del>	0.0	J
			I						
	SITE	<u></u>	FORMER PENTH	HOUSE	DRAPERY				
		UN	SEATTLE, W PENTHOUS	VASHIN SE DRA	IGTON PERY				
			SITF	PL/					
	-	G	ROUNDWATER	/ CO		IAI		J	
ER			CONCEN	TRA	TIONS	!		-	
२	APPRO	VED FOR CON		DATE	2010 4110	30	PROJE	CT CEAA	2
	вү				2019.AUG.	50	. –	SEAT	۷
		 ТЕ		SHEET		<b>/</b> -'	1D		
		• ←	-						



Р(ОН) Р(ОН)	
	SITE FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY
	CLIENT PENTHOUSE DRAPERY
ER	
••	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION DATE 08/22/17 PROJECT SEA12
	DATE SHEET Y-1E
	UAIE



 TF						
		ı				
	SITE	FORME				
		FURME	ATTLE, WASHING	STON		
	CLIENT	PE	NTHOUSE DRAF	ERY		
	-				<b>`</b>	
ED		VAPOR	RECOVER	AT PIPING	כ	
	4					
R	APPROVED FOR CC	NSTRUCTION	DATE	2019 4116 30	PROJECT	SEA12
	BV			2013.000.30		
			SHEET	Y	-2	
	DATE			•		

# SECURITY FENCE WITH CAMERAS

 $\mathbb{N}$ 



_)						
	SITE	FORMER PENTI	HOUSE DR	APERY		
	LOCATION	SEATTLE, V	VASHINGT	ON		
	CLIENT	PENTHOUS	SE DRAPE	RY		
/ER		EQUIPMENT	PIPIN	IG PLAN		
	APPROVED FOR CON	ISTRUCTION	DATE	11/15/17	PROJECT	SEA12
	ВҮ DATE		SHEET	Y	-3	



	_				
	SITE LOCATION	FORMER PENTI SEATTLE, V	HOUSE DRAPERY VASHINGTON		
	CLIENT	PENTHOUS	SE DRAPERY		
	-				
ER		IELD BOX AND	EIHERNE	I PLAN	
R	APPROVED FOR CON	STRUCTION	DATE 2019.AUC	G.30 PROJECT	SEA12
	ВҮ		SHEET	V A	
	DATE		SHEET	I -4	

\_\_\_\_\_

## SECURITY FENCE WITH CAMERAS

N



	SITE	FORMER PENTI	HOUSE DRAPERY				
		SEATTLE, V					
ER	THERMOCOUPLE WIRING PLAN						
R	APPROVED FOR CON	STRUCTION	DATE 2019.AUG.30	PROJECT SEA12			
	BY		sheet Y	-5			
			1				

# SECURITY FENCE WITH CAMERAS

N


	SITE	FORMER PENTI		
	CLIENT	PENTHOUS	SE DRAPERY	
ER		DRIP	PLAN	
R	APPROVED FOR CON	STRUCTION	DATE 2019.AUG.30	PROJECT SEA12
	вү			C
	DATE			-0
	•			

## SECURITY FENCE WITH CAMERAS

N



		r I I		
	SITE LOCATION	FORMER PENT SEATTLE, V	HOUSE DRAPERY VASHINGTON	
		PENTHOUS	SE DRAPERY	
ER		SOLENOID	WIRING PLAN	
R	APPROVED FOR CO	NSTRUCTION	DATE 2019.AUG.30	PROJECT SEA12
	ВҮ			_7
	DATE		I	-1

## SECURITY FENCE WITH CAMERAS



				Ň
IRITY	FENCE WITH CAN	MERAS		
	SITE LOCATION CLIENT	FORMER PENT SEATTLE, PENTHOL	THOUSE DRAPERY WASHINGTON JSE DRAPERY	
'ER	-	SECUR	RITY PLAN	
R	APPROVED FOR CONS	TRUCTION	DATE 2019.AUG.30 PROJECT	SEA12
	DATE		I-0	



C8 C8 C7 D8 VER PREVIOUSLY DCATION MAN GATE	SECURITY FENCE WITH CAMERAS
APOR GAC	ATE
SITE FORMER PENTH LOCATION SEATTLE, V	HOUSE DRAPERY VASHINGTON
ER	CTION - TEMPORARY
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION	DATE 2019.AUG.30 PROJECT SEA12
DATE	SHEET Y-10

# APPROVED

PPROVED	
For Construction	

## LEGEND

	ELECTRONIC SIGNAL
	ELECTRICAL CABLE
$\langle 3 \rangle$	PROCESS LINE LABELING SEE SHEET P-2 FOR DESCRIPTION
S	SOLENOID
0	BALL VALVE
$\sim$	BUTTERFLY VALVE
- <del>7</del>	SAMPLE PORT
	CHECK VALVE
J.	SELF-CONTAINED PRESSURE REGULATOR
	SPIGOT
	BACKFLOW PREVENTER
	FLANGE
xx- <u></u> xx	PIPING SPEC. # CHANGE
$\square$	PUMP
	BLOWER
$\bigcirc$	ROTARY LOBE BLOWER
$\bigcup$	DIAPHRAGM PUMP
$\overset{\wedge}{\searrow}$	COMPRESSED AIR FILTER
	HEATER COIL

ENGINEER SIGNATURE / DATE

	COMPUTER OPERATED MONITORING, DATA COLLECTION AND CONTROLS
	HARDWIRE CONTROLS
PI	PRESSURE INDICATOR
PCV	PRESSURE CONTROL VALVE
PSL	PRESSURE SWITCH LOW
FE	FLOW ELEMENT
FI	FLOW INDICATOR
FQI	FLOW QUANTITY INDICATOR
FT	FLOW TRANSMITTER
FQI	FLOW QUANTITY INDICATOR
LI	LEVEL INDICATOR
LSH	LEVEL SWITCH HIGH
LSHH	LEVEL SWITCH HIGH-HIGH
LSL	LEVEL SWITCH LOW
LSLL	LEVEL SWITCH LOW-LOW
TAH	TEMPERATURE ALARM HIGH
TE	TEMPERATURE ELEMENT
TSL	TEMPERATURE SWITCH LOW
TI	TEMPERATURE INDICATOR
TSH	TEMPERATURE SWITCH HIGH
YC	CONTROLLER
Т	TEMPERATURE DETECTOR
CS	CARBON STEEL

- SCH 40. CPVC PIPE CPVC
- PEX TUBING PEX
- FLOW CONTROL VALVE FCV



<u>NOTES</u>

1. THIS IS AN ALL INCLUSIVE LEGEND SHEET. NOT ALL SYMBOLS WILL APPEAR ON EACH SHEET.

**CONFIDENTIAL:** INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND THE PROPERTY OF TRS GROUP, INC. NO INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY BE DUPLICATED, USED OR DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT THE EXPRESSED WRITTEN PERMISSION OF TRS GROUP, INC. LONGVIEW, WA.

D. SEILER DRAWN BY A. PEABODY CHECKED BY C. CROWNOVE PROJECT MANAGER J. ROOT QSAT REVIEW 03/08/17

## **P&ID LINE COLORS**

- SOFTENED/POTABLE/CLEAN WATER
- PROCESS WATER
- AIR

\_

- STEAM
- AIR/STEAM MIX
- SOLVENT/CHEMICALS
- BLOWDOWN
- COMPUTER OPERATED CONTROLS
- HARDWIRE CONTROLS

	SITE	FORMER PENTH	IOUSE DR	APERY		
	LOCATION	SEATTLE, WASHINGTON				
	CLIENT	FORMER F	PENTHOUS	3E		
		LEG				
'ER	PROCESS AND INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM					
R						
	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION		DATE	03/27/17	PROJECT	SEA12
	ВҮ			_		
	DATE		SHEET	P	-1	

APPROVED For Construction

**REFER TO DRAWING SHEET P-7** Ó BLEED AIR (5) (6)  $\bigcirc$ BLOWER **REFER TO DRAWING SHEET P-4 REFER TO DRAWING SHEET P-5** <11) CONDENSER  $\langle 14 \rangle$ <10> 3 TYPICAL OF 21 CO-LOCATED VAPOR BLOWDOWN WATER 4 16) AIR IN RECOVERY WELLS LGAC (14)



	SITE	FORMER PENT	FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY			
	LOCATION	SEATTLE, \	SEATTLE, WASHINGTON			
	CLIENT	FORMER	PENTHOUS	SE		
'ER		PROCESS FL	.OW D	IAGRAN	1	
R	APPROVED FO	R CONSTRUCTION	DATE	03/27/17	PROJECT	SEA12
	BY DATE		SHEET	P.	-2	



**APPROVED** 

For Construction

Process Stream	Location	Ai	ir	Water	Vapor	Wa	ter	CVC	DCs	Tempe	erature	Pressure
Description	#	(lb/min)	(scfm)	(lb/min)	(scfm)	(lb/min)	(gpm)	(lb/min)	(ppm)	°C	°F	(∆ from barometric)
Extracted air and steam from vapor recovery system	1	28	370	12.2	260	1.9	0.23	3.65E-05	0.13	77	171	4" Hg Vac
Discharge air from condenser after steam removal	2	28	370	0.9	19	0	0	3.65E-05	0.22	33	91	7" Hg Vac
Condensate discharge from condenser	3	0	0	0	0	13.2	1.58	2.99E-08	2.27E-03	33	91	10 psig
Condensate discharge from LGAC	4	0	0	0	0	13.2	1.58	1.50E-09	1.13E-04	33	91	10 psig
Bleed air to rotary lobe blower	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	72	N/A
Discharge air from rotary lobe blower	6	28	370	0.90	19	0	0	3.6E-05	2.19E-01	65	149	1 psig
Discharge from carbon vessels	7	28	370	0.90	19	0	0	3.6E-07	2.19E-03	45	113	N/A
Cooling air into cooling tower	8	2250	30,000	25.9	550	0	0	0	0	22	72	N/A
Air exhaust from cooling tower	9	2250	30,000	37.5	797	0	0	0	0	22	72	N/A
Recirculation water from condenser to cooling tower	10	0	0	0	0	4996	600	0	0	24	75	10 psig
Recirculation from cooling tower to condenser	11	0	0	0	0	4998	600	0	0	23	73	10 psig
Make-up water from potable source	12	0	0	0	0	19.9	2.39	0	0	20	68	15 psig
Water for cooling tower	13	0	0	0	0	14.1	1.69	0	0	20	68	50 psig
Drip water to electrodes	14	0	0	0	0	5.8	0.70	0	0	20	68	10 psig
Cooling tower Blowdown	15	0	0	0	0	2.5	0.30	0	0	23	73	10 psig
Blowdown water	16	0	0	0	0	15.7	1.88	1.50E-09	9.53E-05	20	68	10 psig

<u>NOTES</u>

1. LOCATIONS INDICATED IN THIS TABLE CORRESPOND TO THE LOCATION NUMBERS PROVIDED ON SHEET P-2.

2. THE MASS BALANCE IS DYNAMIC AND WILL CHANGE WITH TIME. VALUES SHOWN ARE THE ANTICIPATED AVERAGES.



SITE LOCATION CLIENT	FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY SEATTLE, WASHINGTON FORMER PENTHOUSE				
PF	PROCESS FLOW MASS BALANCE				
APPROVED FOR CONST	RUCTION	DATE	03/30/17	PROJECT S	EA12
ВҮ DATE		SHEET	P	-3	
	SITE LOCATION CLIENT PF APPROVED FOR CONST BY DATE	SITE FORMER PE LOCATION SEATTL CLIENT FORME PROCESS FLC APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY DATE	SITE FORMER PENTHOUSE DI LOCATION SEATTLE, WASHING CLIENT FORMER PENTHOU PROCESS FLOW MAS APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION DATE BY	SITE FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY LOCATION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON CLIENT FORMER PENTHOUSE PROCESS FLOW MASS BALAN APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION DATE 03/30/17 BY	SITE FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY LOCATION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON CLIENT FORMER PENTHOUSE PROCESS FLOW MASS BALANCE APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION DATE 03/30/17 PROJECT S BY





#### ENGINEER SIGNATURE / DATE

PROPERTY OF TRS GROUP, INC. NO INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY BE DUPLICATED, USED OR DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT THE EXPRESSED WRITTEN PERMISSION OF TRS GROUP, INC. LONGVIEW, WA.

QSAT REVIEW 03/08/17

	COOLING WATER TO COOLING TOWER REFER TO DRAWING SHEET P-6
VING R R	
-3 LGAC LG	AC
16	BLOWDOWN
	FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY
CLIENT	
PROCESS ANI	D INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY	DATE 03/27/17 PROJECT SEA12 SHEET <b>P_5</b>
DATE	







ROTARY LOBE BLOWER



SITE FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY LOCATION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	┥
CLIENT FORMER PENTHOUSE	
ER VAPOR TREATMENT PROCESS AND INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM	
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION DATE 03/27/17 PROJECT SEA12	
DATE SHEET P-7	



ELEVATION

	DESIGNED BY SITE FORMER PENTHOUSE DRAPERY	
CONFIDENTIAL: INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS	D. SEILER LOCATION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	
CONTRIBUTING HIS PROFENENCE OF THE PROFESSION OF THE CONTRIBUTED WITHOUT THE	DRAWN BY CLIENT PENTHOUSE DRAPERY	
EARRESSED WRITTEN PERMISSION OF TRS GROUP, INC. LONGVIEW, WA.	A. PEABODY	
	CHECKED BY ELECTRODE DETAIL C. CROWNOVER	
Accelerating Value	PROJECT MANAGER         APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION         DATE         05/04/17         PI           J. ROOT         APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION         DATE         05/04/17         PI	ROJECT SEA12
TRS GROUP, INC. 338 COMMERCE AVE., SUITE 304, LONGVIEW, WA 98632	QSAT REVIEW BY SHEET M-*	1

















03/08/17

DATE \_

SHEET

M-7

APPROVED

For Construction





DRIP VALVE ASSEMBLY MQAUTOMATION PART NUMBER MPS3687



**APPROVED** 

For Construction



PROVED	<u>S</u>	YMBOLS		ABBREVIATIO
For Construction	€ M	UTILITY METERING	A	AMPERES
	Å		ATS	AUTOMATIC TRANS
		MEDIUM VOLTAGE DRAW OUT	FLA	FULL LOAD AMPS
	Ý		HP	HORSEPOWER
	B		KW	KILOWATT
		FUSE	KVA	KILOVOLT-AMPERI
	1		KV	KILO-VOLTS
	)	DISCONNECT SWITCH	N.O.	NORMALLY OPEN
		FUSED DISCONNECT	OL	OVERLOAD
			Р	POLE
		Switch	PH, Ø	PHASE
	প		SRGAC	STEAM REGENERA
	6		VAC	VOLTAGE ALTERNA
	=	N.O. CONTACT	VFD	VARIABLE FREQUE
	१		V	VOLT
	Ş	THERMAL OVERLOAD	W	WATTS, WIRE
	(15 HP)	PUMP/MOTOR		
	H1 H3 H2 H4			

A



TRANSFORMER



VARIABLE OUTPUT 3 PHASE TRANSFORMER



GENERATOR

، مَسْمَ ، 0

AUTOMATIC TRANSFER SWITCH

## NOTE: THIS IS AN ALL INCLUSIVE LEGEND SHEET. NOT ALL SYMBOLS/ABBREVIATIONS WILL APPEAR ON EACH SHEET.



ENGINEER SIGNATURE / DATE

## NS

SFER SWITCH RES

ATED GAS ACTIVATED CARBON

NATING CURRENT

JENCY DRIVE

	SITE	FORMER PENT	HOUSE DR	APERY		
	LOCATION	SEATTLE, V	VASHINGT	ON		
	CLIENT	FORMER F	PENTHOUS	E		
		PRIMARY SERVICE	ONE-	LINE DIA	GRAM	1
ER	LEGEND					
R						
	APPROVED	FOR CONSTRUCTION	DATE	05/03/17	PROJECT	SEA12
	BY _			_	4	
	DATE _		SHEET	E	-1	
,						





Figure 1. Average Daily Applied Power and Total Energy





Figure 2. Average Site Subsurface Temperature vs. Time





Figure 3a. TMP-B6 Temperature vs. Depth





Figure 3b. TMP-C4 Temperature vs. Depth





Figure 3c. TMP-C7 Temperature vs. Depth



## APPENDIX B LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

Pacific Crest No: 105-003



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

March 28, 2019

Bill Carroll Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC P.O. Box 952 North Bend, WA 98045

Re: Analytical Data for Project 105-003 T23 Laboratory Reference No. 1903-191

Dear Bill:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on March 21, 2019.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: March 28, 2019 Samples Submitted: March 21, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1903-191 Project: 105-003 T23

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on March 20, 2019 and received by the laboratory on March 21, 2019. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31S-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-01					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	1.4	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	13	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	92	75-127				
Toluene-d8	98	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	78-125				



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31I-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-02					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.25	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	4.5	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	10	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	99	75-127				
Toluene-d8	101	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	78-125				



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW33S-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-03					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.20	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	1.9	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	14	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	25	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	93	75-127				
Toluene-d8	98	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	78-125				



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW33I-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-04					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.66	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	13	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	23	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	15	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	92	75-127				
Toluene-d8	97	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	78-125				



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW34S-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-05					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	0.46	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	0.71	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	91	75-127				
Toluene-d8	96	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	78-125				



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW34I-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-06					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.98	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	11	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	27	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	19	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	97	75-127				
Toluene-d8	100	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	78-125				


#### **VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C**

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SCC1-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-07					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	2.1	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	68	1.0	EPA 8260C	3-25-19	3-25-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	98	75-127				
Toluene-d8	102	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	78-125				



#### **VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C**

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SCC3-032019					
Laboratory ID:	03-191-08					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.53	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	3.1	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	14	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	14	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	93	75-127				
Toluene-d8	94	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	78-125				



Date of Report: March 28, 2019 Samples Submitted: March 21, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1903-191 Project: 105-003 T23

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0322W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-22-19	3-22-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	97	75-127				
Toluene-d8	94	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	78-125				

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

Date of Report: March 28, 2019 Samples Submitted: March 21, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1903-191 Project: 105-003 T23

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0325W1					
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-25-19	3-25-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-25-19	3-25-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-25-19	3-25-19	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-25-19	3-25-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	3-25-19	3-25-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	102	75-127				
Toluene-d8	100	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	106	78-125				

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Reco	Recovery		RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB03	22W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.8	10.3	10.0	10.0	108	103	62-129	5	15	
Benzene	10.2	9.71	10.0	10.0	102	97	77-127	5	15	
Trichloroethene	11.1	10.6	10.0	10.0	111	106	70-120	5	15	
Toluene	10.9	10.4	10.0	10.0	109	104	82-123	5	15	
Chlorobenzene	11.3	10.6	10.0	10.0	113	106	79-120	6	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					94	99	75-127			
Toluene-d8					95	98	80-127			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					101	104	78-125			



#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Reco	Recovery		RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB03	25W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	11.1	9.74	10.0	10.0	111	97	62-129	13	15	
Benzene	10.3	9.51	10.0	10.0	103	95	77-127	8	15	
Trichloroethene	11.0	9.86	10.0	10.0	110	99	70-120	11	15	
Toluene	10.6	9.71	10.0	10.0	106	97	82-123	9	15	
Chlorobenzene	10.8	9.65	10.0	10.0	108	97	79-120	11	15	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					102	102	75-127			
Toluene-d8					97	99	80-127			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					100	105	78-125			



14



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881



### OnSite Environmental Inc.

# **Chain of Custody**

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of

	Analytical Lab 14648 NE 9	oratory Testing Services 5th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Tu (i	rnaround Req in working da	uest ys)		La	abo	rato	ry l	Nun	nbe	er:	C	)3	-1	9	1						-	N.	
Comp Projec Projec Samp	Phone: (425 any: DACIFIC CA to Number: 105 - JUS to Name: DENTHOJSE to Manager: W CARROLL led by: M B	) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com に よ に 3 3 8 3 8 3 8 3 8 1 2 3 3 8 1 、 の の 、 の 、 の 、 の 、 の 、 の 、 の 、 の 、 の の 、 の 、 の の 、 の 、 の 、 の の 、 の の 、 の 、 の 、 の 、 の の 、 の 、 の の の 、 の の 、 の の の 、 の の の の の の の の の の の の の	□ Sam □ 2 Da X Star (TP+ □ Date	(Check One) ne Day ays Indard (7 Days) I analysis 5 Da (other) Time	_ 1 Day _ 3 Days ays)	imber of Containers	ИТРН-НСІD	VTPH-Gx/BTEX	VTPH-Gx	VTPH-Dx ( 🗌 Acid / SG Clean-up)	latiles 8260C	Ilogenated Volatiles 8260C	0B EPA 8011 (Waters Only)	mivolatiles 8270D/SIM ith low-level PAHs)	(Hs 8270D/SIM (low-level)	2Bs 8082A	ganoonionio ostodos odo lo Minimi Doctinidos 8070D/CMM	Incrimated Acid Herbicides 8151A	tal RCRA Metals	tal MTCA Metals	CLP Metals	EM (oil and grease) 1664A				Moisture
Lab ID	MINIZIS -	mple Identification	Sampled	Sampled	Matrix	N 3	Z	z	z	z	3	Ť		ගිය	8				4	Ĕ	Ĕ	T			-	%
Z	MW317	031019	5/20/21	1105	1							X				+		+	1	+						
3	MW335 -	032019		1200								P														
4	Mw33I _	532017		1410		T					`	p														
5	MW345 -	032019		1450								p														
6	MW34I -	032019		1525								0														
7	5001 -	032019		1745								X														
B	scc3 -	032019	6	1820								r														
											_	_														
		Circular of						Data			Tilera			Com	nonte	/Snoo		tructi	000				0.57			
Relin Rece Relir	quished vived	MARU LISERN		PACUFIC C	Churd SE			3/2 3/2	21/10	9		10 50	>			TEA	ICE MS-	) C 1-2-	-DC	,2-, E, @{	DCE VC		COM	4		
Rece	eived														C			15 40	U.C.					1		
Relin	nquished																	S. Articles								
Rec	eived			Reviewed/D	ite									Data	Pack	age:	Stand	lard	Le	evel III		Leve	IV	]		
Revi	ewed/Date			neviewed/Da										Chron	natog	grams	with 1	inal re	eport	Ele	ectron	ic Data	a Deliv	erables	(EDD	ISL PA



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

June 24, 2019

Bill Carroll Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC P.O. Box 952 North Bend, WA 98045

Re: Analytical Data for Project 105-003 Laboratory Reference No. 1906-167

Dear Bill:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on June 18, 2019.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: June 24, 2019 Samples Submitted: June 18, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1906-167 Project: 105-003

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on June 18, 2019 and received by the laboratory on June 18, 2019. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

			Date	Date	
Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
SCC1-061819					
06-167-01					
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.58	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	4.2	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1.6	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
	Result       SCC1-061819       06-167-01       ND       ND </td <td>Result     PQL       SCC1-061819     06-167-01       ND     0.40       ND     2.0       ND     0.40       ND     2.0       ND     0.40       ND     0.40</td> <td>ResultPQLMethodSCC1-06181906-167-01ND0.40EPA 8260CND2.0EPA 8260CND0.40EPA 8260CND0.58EPA 8260CND2.0EPA 8260CND0.40EPA 8260C</td> <td>Result     PQL     Method     Prepared       SCC1-061819     06-167-01     9       0b     0.40     EPA 8260C     6-20-19       ND     0.58     EPA 8260C     6-20-19       ND     0.40     EPA 8260C     6-20-19       ND</td> <td>Result     PQL     Method     Prepared     Analyzed       SCC1-061819    </td>	Result     PQL       SCC1-061819     06-167-01       ND     0.40       ND     2.0       ND     0.40       ND     2.0       ND     0.40       ND     0.40	ResultPQLMethodSCC1-06181906-167-01ND0.40EPA 8260CND2.0EPA 8260CND0.40EPA 8260CND0.58EPA 8260CND2.0EPA 8260CND0.40EPA 8260C	Result     PQL     Method     Prepared       SCC1-061819     06-167-01     9       0b     0.40     EPA 8260C     6-20-19       ND     0.58     EPA 8260C     6-20-19       ND     0.40     EPA 8260C     6-20-19       ND	Result     PQL     Method     Prepared     Analyzed       SCC1-061819



VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C
page 2 of 2

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SCC1-061819					
Laboratory ID:	06-167-01					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Tetrachloroethene	54	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromoform	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	2.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.40	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	100	75-127				
Toluene-d8	99	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	78-125				



4

-				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SCC3-061819					
Laboratory ID:	06-167-02					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Vinyl Chloride	0.39	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.29	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.4	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
lodomethane	ND	2.1	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.45	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	3.7	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Trichloroethene	12	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	



VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C
page 2 of 2

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SCC3-061819					
Laboratory ID:	06-167-02					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Tetrachloroethene	5.4	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	102	75-127				
Toluene-d8	97	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	91	78-125				

Date of Report: June 24, 2019 Samples Submitted: June 18, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1906-167 Project: 105-003

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0620W1					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.29	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
lodomethane	ND	2.1	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

7

Date of Report: June 24, 2019 Samples Submitted: June 18, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1906-167 Project: 105-003

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C METHOD BLANK QUALITY CONTROL page 2 of 2

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Laboratory ID:	MB0620W1					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260C	6-20-19	6-20-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	105	75-127				
Toluene-d8	99	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	92	78-125				



#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260C SB/SBD QUALITY CONTROL

					Per	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Reco	Recovery		RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB062	20W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	9.47	8.92	10.0	10.0	95	89	63-130	6	17	
Benzene	9.95	9.33	10.0	10.0	100	93	76-125	6	19	
Trichloroethene	10.3	9.74	10.0	10.0	103	97	76-121	6	18	
Toluene	9.94	9.44	10.0	10.0	99	94	80-124	5	18	
Chlorobenzene	10.8	10.1	10.0	10.0	108	101	75-120	7	19	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					101	103	75-127			
Toluene-d8					98	97	80-127			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					93	91	78-125			





#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in methods 8260 & 8270, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

OnSite		Cha	ain o	f	Cu	ist	to	dy											Pa	age _	l	of	l		
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Tur (ii	naround Req 1 working da	uest ys)		L	abo	orat	ory	Nu	mb	er:		0	6 -	1	6	7								
Company: Pactfic CVESt Project Number: 105-003 Project Name: PENTHOUSE Project Manager: ML Company of the second	Same	(Check One) Day [ /s [ dard (7 Days)	] 1 Day ] 3 Days	iners				sid / SG Clean-up)		tiles 8260C	(aters Only)	0D/SIM Hs)	(low-level)	attoidee 8081B	in Doctorio 000 10			0	S		se) 1664A				
Sampled by: S. Truitt		(other)		ser of Conta	PH-HCID	PH-Gx/BTEX	PH-GX	PH-Dx (	les 8260C	enated Vola	EPA 8011 (M	volatiles 827 low-level PA	8270D/SIM	ouoza	in a second seco			RCRA Metal	MTCA Metal	Metals	(oil and grea				isture
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numl	NWTF	NWTF	NWTF	NWTF	Volati	Halog	EDB	Semiv (with	PAHs			Crist		Total	Total	TCLP	HEM				% Mo
SCC1-061819	6-18-19	1318	GW	3						X															
0 5013-061819	Ł	1213	K	3						X							_								
													_					_					_	_	
						_							_	_	_									_	
								-					_		_		_						_	_	
						-	-						_	_	_	_	_							_	
						-							-	-	_		-	_					_	_	-
						-							_	_	_		-						_	+	
						-		-					+	_	_	_	+						_	_	
Signature	Co	ompany				Date			Time			Com	ments	s/Spec	ial Ir	struc	tions	S				2.5			
Relinquished	1	Pacif	icen	251	t	6-	18	-19	14	5	7		S	m	it	10	- 1	pc	ev	nv	· u	m	1		
Relinquished		0	72			14	D	11	L	427															
Received		<u>1</u>	1997 - 1997																						
Relinquished																									
Received												Data	Pack	age:	Stan	dard		Lev	el III		Leve	I IV [	]		
Reviewed/Date	Reviewed/Date   Chromatograms with final report Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)																								



14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 • (425) 883-3881

November 15, 2019

Bill Carroll Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC P.O. Box 952 North Bend, WA 98045

Re: Analytical Data for Project 105-003 T23 Laboratory Reference No. 1911-128

Dear Bill:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on November 12, 2019.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: November 15, 2019 Samples Submitted: November 12, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1911-128 Project: 105-003 T23

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on November 11 and 12, 2019 and received by the laboratory on November 12, 2019. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of  $2^{\circ}$ C to  $6^{\circ}$ C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

#### Halogenated Volatiles EPA 8260C:

All VOA vials provided for sample MW34I-111219 contained headspace. Some loss of volatiles may have occurred.

Any other QA/QC issues associated with this extraction and analysis will be indicated with a footnote reference and discussed in detail on the Data Qualifier page.



Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW27S-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-01					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	0.23	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	0.87	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW27S-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-01					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	13	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	107	75-127				
Toluene-d8	103	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	78-125				



Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW27I-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-02					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.33	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	2.3	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



5

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW27I-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-02					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	23	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	107	75-127				
Toluene-d8	102	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	78-125				



6

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31S-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-03					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	2.9	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31S-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-03					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	14	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	107	75-127				
Toluene-d8	103	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	78-125				



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31I-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-04					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.31	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	0.48	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.73	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	3.8	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31I-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-04					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	7.8	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	108	75-127				
Toluene-d8	102	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	78-125				



Date

Date

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW33S-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-05					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.39	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	2.7	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	5.5	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW33S-111119			-	-	
Laboratory ID:	11-128-05					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	7.2	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	108	75-127				
Toluene-d8	101	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	78-125				



Date

Date

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW33I-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-06					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	0.31	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.2	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.46	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	10	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	11	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



13

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW33I-111119					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-06					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	3.6	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	106	75-127				
Toluene-d8	102	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	78-125				



				Date	Date		
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags	
Client ID:	MW34S-111219						
Laboratory ID:	11-128-07						
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Trichloroethene	0.26	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19		



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW34S-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-07					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	118	75-127				
Toluene-d8	113	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	109	78-125				



			Date	Date	
Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
MW34I-111219					
11-128-08					
ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
0.33	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2.1	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1.4	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
9.1	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
17	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
	Result     MW34I-111219     11-128-08     ND     ND    <	Result     PQL       MW34I-111219     1       11-128-08     0.27       ND     0.27       ND     1.3       0.33     0.20       ND     1.6       ND     1.0       ND     0.20       ND     0.20	ResultPQLMethodMW34I-11121911-128-08ND0.27EPA 8260DND1.3EPA 8260D0.330.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260D2.10.20EPA 8260DND1.6EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260D <td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedMW34I-11121911-128-08ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-190.330.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND<td>Date     Date     Date       Result     PQL     Method     Prepared     Analyzed       MW34I-111219     11-128-08     11-1328-08     11-1328-09     11-1329     11-1329       ND     0.27     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-1329       ND     1.3     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1329       0.33     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     <t< td=""></t<></td></td>	ResultPQLMethodPreparedMW34I-11121911-128-08ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-190.330.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND <td>Date     Date     Date       Result     PQL     Method     Prepared     Analyzed       MW34I-111219     11-128-08     11-1328-08     11-1328-09     11-1329     11-1329       ND     0.27     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-1329       ND     1.3     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1329       0.33     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     <t< td=""></t<></td>	Date     Date     Date       Result     PQL     Method     Prepared     Analyzed       MW34I-111219     11-128-08     11-1328-08     11-1328-09     11-1329     11-1329       ND     0.27     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-1329       ND     1.3     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1329       0.33     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-1319     11-1319       ND     1.0     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19     11-13-19       ND     0.20     EPA 8260D     11-13-19 <t< td=""></t<>


				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW34I-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-08					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	1.4	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	103	75-127				
Toluene-d8	100	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	78-125				

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 2 of 2



#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW35S-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-09					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.49	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	1.3	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	2.7	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



19

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW35S-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-09					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	0.33	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	104	75-127				
Toluene-d8	100	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	97	78-125				

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 2 of 2



#### **VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D** Page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW35I-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-10					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.48	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.23	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	1.7	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	3.5	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



21

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW35I-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-10					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	1.9	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	110	75-127				
Toluene-d8	103	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	78-125				

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 2 of 2



#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

			Date	Date	
Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
SCC1-111219					
11-128-11					
ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
0.39	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1.1	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
	Result           SCC1-111219           11-128-11           ND           ND </td <td>Result         PQL           SCC1-111219         11-128-11           ND         0.27           ND         1.3           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         1.0           0.39         0.20           ND         0.20</td> <td>ResultPQLMethodSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260DND1.3EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.6EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260D<td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND<td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20</td></td></td>	Result         PQL           SCC1-111219         11-128-11           ND         0.27           ND         1.3           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         0.20           ND         1.0           0.39         0.20           ND         0.20	ResultPQLMethodSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260DND1.3EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.6EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260D <td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND<td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20</td></td>	ResultPQLMethodPreparedSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND <td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20</td>	ResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedSCC1-11121911-128-11ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20



				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SCC1-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-11					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	34	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	106	75-127				
Toluene-d8	101	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	78-125				

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 2 of 2



24

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

			Date	Date	
Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
SCC3-111219					
11-128-12					
ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
0.57	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2.7	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
0.78	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
5.6	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
14	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
	Result           SCC3-111219           11-128-12           ND           ND           0.57           ND           ND           ND           ND           ND           ND           11-128-12           ND           0.57           ND           ND<	Result         PQL           SCC3-111219         1.1-128-12           ND         0.27           ND         1.3           0.57         0.20           ND         1.6           ND         1.0           ND         1.0           0.78         0.20           ND         0.20	ResultPQLMethodSCC3-11121911-128-12ND0.27EPA 8260DND1.3EPA 8260D0.570.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260DND1.6EPA 8260DND1.6EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND0.20EPA 8260D </td <td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedSCC3-11121911-128-12ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-190.570.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND<td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedSCC3-11121911-128-12ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20</td></td>	ResultPQLMethodPreparedSCC3-11121911-128-12ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-190.570.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-19ND <td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedSCC3-11121911-128-12ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20</td>	ResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedSCC3-11121911-128-12ND0.27EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.3EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.6EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20EPA 8260D11-13-1911-13-19ND0.20



25

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	SCC3-111219					
Laboratory ID:	11-128-12					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	1.4	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	106	75-127				
Toluene-d8	101	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	78-125				

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D Page 2 of 2



#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL Page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1113W1					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.27	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
lodomethane	ND	1.6	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	



#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL Page 2 of 2

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1113W1					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.4	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.28	EPA 8260D	11-13-19	11-13-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	107	75-127				
Toluene-d8	103	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	78-125				



28

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

					Per	Percent Recovery			RPD	
Analyte	Res	sult	Spike	Level	Reco			RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB11	13W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	11.2	11.3	10.0	10.0	112	113	63-130	1	17	
Benzene	10.5	10.6	10.0	10.0	105	106	76-125	1	19	
Trichloroethene	10.0	10.2	10.0	10.0	100	102	76-121	2	18	
Toluene	10.0	10.2	10.0	10.0	100	102	80-124	2	18	
Chlorobenzene	9.85	10.2	10.0	10.0	99	102	75-120	3	19	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					109	108	75-127			
Toluene-d8					103	104	80-127			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					99	101	78-125			



29



#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical \_\_\_\_\_
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in methods 8260 & 8270, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

# OnSite Environmental Inc.

### **Chain of Custody**

Environmental Inc		Ch	ain o	)t	Cı	IS	to	dy	'									Pa	age _	1	of	2		
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052		Turnaround R (in working	equest days)		L	abo	orat	ory	Nu	mbe	r:		11	- 1	1	28	3							
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com	-	(Check Or	ne)												5				1				-	
Project Number:	s	Same Day	1 Day					6							IIS/OO									
105-003 723	2	Days	3 Days					ean-uj						081B	es 827	8151/								
Project Name: PENTHOUSE	y s	standard (7 Day	/S)	2				SG CI		8260C	s uniy	-level)		cides 8	esticide	icides				1664A				
Project Manager:	"			ntaine		EX		Acid /		olatiles Mater	(vater	PAHs) M (low		Pestic	orus P(	d Hert	tals	tals		ease)				
Sampled by:	🗆 -	(othe	ər)	ir of Col	H-HCID	I-Gx/BT	H-Gx	Dx (	s 8260C	nated Vc	A SULL	w-level 1 270D/SI	082A	chlorine	phospho	ated Aci	CRA Me	TCA Me	letals	il and gr				ture
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Sampl	ed Sample	d Matrix	Numbe	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPH	Volatile	Haloge		with lo PAHs 8	PCBs 8	Organo	Organo	Chlorin	Total R(	Total M	TCLP N	HEM (o				% Mois
1 MW275-11119	11 11 14	1 hot	1420	3						R														
2 MW27I-111119	1	1330		1						7														
3 MW 3/5 - 111119		1435								N														
4 MW31I - IIIIIG		1505								p														
5 MW335 - 111119		1610				1				Y														
4 MW 33I - 11119	1	165	1							p														
7 MW345 - 111219	11/12/1	5 1050								X														
B MW347 - 111219	Ì	1135								×														
9 MW355 111219		1225								X														
10 MW351 111219		1310		V						p														
Signature		Company	0	V		Date			Time			Comm	ents/S	pecial	Instr	uction	ns							
Relinquished	A 4	PACIF	he also	1		11	12/10		6	25	_	+ 90	E,TC	Elci	13-1	2-D	I 30	tran	1-1	'5 - b	CEIN	1C 0	NUM	4
Received NGCOU CELL	/\/'	09	E			11	12	19	16	292	)	P	VAC		-1	N	1 Bu		20	1				
Received											_	10	5050	) (				Hed	ak	CRN	1.0	in the		
Relinquished						-					_													
Received												Data P	ackag	e: Str	andar	rd 🗆	Lev	/el III		Level				
Reviewed/Date		Reviewed/	Date								(	Chrom	atogra	ms wi	th fina	al rep	ort [	] Elec	ctroni	ic Data	Delive	rables	(EDDs	s) 🗌

A	OnSite	
-	<b>Environmental</b>	Inc

## **Chain of Custody**

Page \_\_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turnaround Request (in working days) Laboratory Number: 11-128																								
Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com Company: SEE PAGE Project Number: Project Name: Project Manager: Sampled by:	Sam	(Check One) le Day   lys [ ldard (7 Days) (other)	1 Day 3 Days	r of Containers	I-HCID	I-Gx/BTEX	-Gx	I-Dx ( 🗌 Acid / SG Clean-up)	s 8260C	nated Volatiles 8260C	A 8011 (Waters Only)	latiles 8270D/SIM w-level PAHs) 270D/SIM (low-level)	082A	chlorine Pesticides 8081B	phosphorus Pesticides 8270D/SIM	ated Acid Herbicides 8151A	CRA Metals	TCA Metals	letals	il and grease) 1664A				1 100	ure.
Lab ID Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Matrix	Numbe	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPH	NWTPF	Volatile	Haloger	EDB EF	Semivo (with lo PAHs 8	PCBs 8	Organo	Organo	Chlorin	Total R(	Total M	TCLP N	HEM (o				o/ Moiet	SIDIN %
$\frac{11}{12} \frac{5001 - 1100}{5003 \cdot 1100}$	11/12/14	1430	tho 1	3						\$ \$															
Signature       Relinquished       Received       Received       Received       Relinquished	C	ompany PACIFU O	CAF SE	1		Date	12/1 12/	9 19	Time  (	25	- 5	Commen	ER		P	tions ₹G t	CL,	(							
Received												Data Pac	kage:	Sta	ndarc	1 🗆	Lev	el III		Level	IV [	]			_
Reviewed/Date		Reviewed/Da	ite						Chromatograms with final report  Electronic Data Deliverables (E				s (ED[	Ds) 🗌	_										



December 5, 2019

Bill Carroll Pacific Crest Environmental, LLC P.O. Box 952 North Bend, WA 98045

Re: Analytical Data for Project 105-003 Laboratory Reference No. 1911-251

Dear Bill:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on November 25, 2019.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: December 5, 2019 Samples Submitted: November 25, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1911-251 Project: 105-003

#### **Case Narrative**

Samples were collected on November 25, 2019 and received by the laboratory on November 25, 2019. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of 2°C to 6°C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.

#### Volatiles EPA 8260D Analysis

Some MTCA Method A cleanup levels are non-achievable for sample MW33D-112519 due to the necessary dilution of the sample.

Any other QA/QC issues associated with this extraction and analysis will be indicated with a footnote reference and discussed in detail on the Data Qualifier page.



2

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW35D-112519					
Laboratory ID:	11-251-01					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.51	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
lodomethane	ND	2.9	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	



3

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW35D-112519					
Laboratory ID:	11-251-01					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	110	75-127				
Toluene-d8	104	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	78-125				

VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 2 of 2



Date

Date

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
			Tlage
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
	EPA 8260D EPA 8260D	EPA 8260D11-27-19EPA 8260D11-27-19	EPA 8260D11-27-1911-27-19EPA 8260D <td< td=""></td<>



5

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW34D-112519					
Laboratory ID:	11-251-02					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	110	75-127				
Toluene-d8	104	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	78-125				

VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 2 of 2



Date

Date

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

			Date	Date	
Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
MW33D-112519					
11-251-03					
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	6.5	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	2.6	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	5.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	15	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	5.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	5.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
	Result         MW33D-112519         11-251-03         ND         ND        <	Result         PQL           MW33D-112519         1.1-251-03           ND         1.0           ND         6.5           ND         1.0           ND         2.6           ND         5.0           ND         1.0           ND         5.0           ND         1.0           ND         5.0           ND         1.0           ND         1.	ResultPQLMethodMW33D-11251911-251-03ND1.0EPA 8260DND6.5EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND2.6EPA 8260DND5.0EPA 8260DND1.0EPA 8260DND1.0 <td< td=""><td>ResultPQLMethodPreparedMW33D-11251911-251-03ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27-19ND6.5EPA 8260D11-27-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27-19ND2.6EPA 8260D11-27-19ND5.0EPA 8260D11-27-19ND1.0EPA 82</td><td>DateDateResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedMW33D-11251911-251-03ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND6.5EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND6.5EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND2.6EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND5.0EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND</td></td<>	ResultPQLMethodPreparedMW33D-11251911-251-03ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27-19ND6.5EPA 8260D11-27-19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27-19ND2.6EPA 8260D11-27-19ND5.0EPA 8260D11-27-19ND1.0EPA 82	DateDateResultPQLMethodPreparedAnalyzedMW33D-11251911-251-03ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND6.5EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND6.5EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND2.6EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND5.0EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND1.0EPA 8260D11-27.1911-27.19ND



7

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW33D-112519					
Laboratory ID:	11-251-03					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromoform	ND	5.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	6.5	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	5.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	108	75-127				
Toluene-d8	103	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	78-125				

VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 2 of 2



8

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31D-112519					
Laboratory ID:	11-251-04					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.51	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
lodomethane	ND	2.9	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	



9

Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW31D-112519					
Laboratory ID:	11-251-04					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	110	75-127				
Toluene-d8	102	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	78-125				

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D page 2 of 2



Date

Date

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL page 1 of 2

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1127W1					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloromethane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromomethane	ND	0.51	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloroethane	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
lodomethane	ND	2.9	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Methylene Chloride	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(trans) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(cis) 1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chloroform	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Trichloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(cis) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
(trans) 1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	



Date of Report: December 5, 2019 Samples Submitted: November 25, 2019 Laboratory Reference: 1911-251 Project: 105-003

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL page 2 of 2

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1127W1					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromoform	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Bromobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	1.3	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.20	EPA 8260D	11-27-19	11-27-19	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
Dibromofluoromethane	112	75-127				
Toluene-d8	106	80-127				
4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	78-125				



12

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA 8260D QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L

	Result				Per	Percent				
Analyte			Spike	Spike Level		overy	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags
SPIKE BLANKS										
Laboratory ID:	SB11	27W1								
	SB	SBD	SB	SBD	SB	SBD				
1,1-Dichloroethene	11.3	10.8	10.0	10.0	113	108	63-130	5	17	
Benzene	11.0	10.6	10.0	10.0	110	106	76-125	4	19	
Trichloroethene	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	100	100	76-121	0	18	
Toluene	10.2	9.98	10.0	10.0	102	100	80-124	2	18	
Chlorobenzene	9.37	9.11	10.0	10.0	94	91	75-120	3	19	
Surrogate:										
Dibromofluoromethane					112	112	75-127			
Toluene-d8					106	107	80-127			
4-Bromofluorobenzene					105	106	78-125			





#### **Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations**

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in methods 8260 & 8270, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95<sup>th</sup> Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

OnSite	Chain o	f (	Cu	st	od	y		ą, '							Pa	ige _	١	_ of		1		
Analytical Laboratory Testing Services 14648 NE 95th Street • Redmond, WA 98052	Turnaround Request (in working days)	Turnaround Request (in working days)					Laboratory Number: 11 - 251															
Company: Phone: (425) 883-3881 • www.onsite-env.com Company: Project Number: Project Name: Project Name: Project Manager: N. Cannoll Sample doy: J. Hannington Lab ID Sample Identification I MW3SD - 12519 3 MW33D - 12519 4 MW3ID - 112519	(Check One) Check One) Same Day 1 Day 2 Days 3 Days Standard (7 Days) Cother) Date Time Matrix Cother) Date Jame Matrix II/25/19 150 H20 V25/19 1232 H20 W25/19 1357 H20	W W W Number of Containers	NWTPH-HCID	NWTPH-Gx/BTEX	NWTPH-GX	NW1PH-DX (Acid / SG Ciean-up)	Volatiles 8260C	EDB EPA 8011 (Waters Only)	Semivolatiles 8270D/SIM (with low-level PAHs) PAHs 8270D/SIM (low-level)	PCBs 8082A	Organochlorine Pesticides 8081B	Organophosphorus Pesticides 8270D/SIM	Chlorinated Acid Herbicides 8151A	Total RCRA Metals	Total MTCA Metals	TCLP Metals	HEM (oil and grease) 1664A					% Moisture
Signature       Relinquished       Received       Relinquished       Received       Received       Received       Received       Received	Company Pacific Sec	5		Date   \/2 		~ V3	Time 152	20	Comm	Packa	ge: S	il Inst	ructio		evel II		Lev	el IV				
Reviewed/Date	Reviewed/Date	Reviewed/Date Chromatograms with final report  Electronic Da						Data Deliverables (EDDs)														



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

Pacific Crest William Carroll 1531 Bendigo BLVD N North Bend, WA 98045

RE: Penthouse Work Order Number: 1903310

March 28, 2019

#### **Attention William Carroll:**

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 3 sample(s) on 3/21/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

#### Volatile Organic Compounds by EPA Method TO-15

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Mohl c. Redy

Mike Ridgeway Laboratory Director

DoD/ELAP Certification #L17-135, ISO/IEC 17025:2005 ORELAP Certification: WA 100009-007 (NELAP Recognized)



CLIENT: Project: Work Order:	Pacific Crest Penthouse 1903310	Work Order S	Order Sample Summary				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received				
1903310-001	IA1-032119	03/20/2019 6:45 PM	03/21/2019 9:53 AM				
1903310-002	IA2-032119	03/20/2019 6:45 PM	03/21/2019 9:53 AM				
1903310-003	OA1-032119	03/20/2019 7:05 PM	03/21/2019 9:53 AM				



**Case Narrative** 

WO#: **1903310** Date: **3/28/2019** 

CLIENT:Pacific CrestProject:Penthouse

WorkOrder Narrative: I. SAMPLE RECEIPT: Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS: Air samples are reported in ppbv and ug/m3.

The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

#### III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.

Standard temperature and pressure assumes 24.45 = (25C and 1 atm).

### **Qualifiers & Acronyms**



WO#: **1903310** Date Reported: **3/28/2019** 

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- D Dilution was required
- E Value above quantitation range
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- I Analyte with an internal standard that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- J Analyte detected below Reporting Limit
- N Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC)
- Q Analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- (<20%RSD, <20% Drift or minimum RRF)
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- R High relative percent difference observed

Acronyms:

%Rec - Percent Recovery **CCB** - Continued Calibration Blank CCV - Continued Calibration Verification **DF** - Dilution Factor HEM - Hexane Extractable Material **ICV** - Initial Calibration Verification LCS/LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample / Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate MB or MBLANK - Method Blank MDL - Method Detection Limit MS/MSD - Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicate PDS - Post Digestion Spike Ref Val - Reference Value **RL** - Reporting Limit **RPD** - Relative Percent Difference SD - Serial Dilution SGT - Silica Gel Treatment SPK - Spike Surr - Surrogate



Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Client:	Pacific Crest										
WorkOrder:	190331	0									
Project:	Pentho	use									
Client Sample	ID:	IA1-032119					Date Sa	npled: 3	8/20/2019		
Lab ID:		1903310-001A					Date Ree	ceived: 3	8/21/2019		
Sample Type:		Summa Canister									
Analyte			Concer	itration	Reporting Limit		Qual	Methoo	d Dat	Date/Analyst	
Volatile Orgar	nic Com	oounds by EPA M	lethod TC	<u>)-15</u>							
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)					
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.200	<0.793	0.200	0.793		EPA-TO-	15 03/2	23/2019	AD
Tetrachloroether	ne (PCE)		1.06	7.19	0.300	2.03		EPA-TO-	15 03/2	27/2019	AD
trans-1,2-Dichlor	oethene		<0.200	<0.793	0.200	0.793		EPA-TO-	15 03/2	23/2019	AD
Trichloroethene	(TCE)		<0.200	<1.07	0.200	1.07		EPA-TO-	15 03/2	23/2019	AD
Vinyl chloride			<0.200	<0.511	0.200	0.511		EPA-TO-	15 03/2	23/2019	AD

70-130

---

103 %Rec

---

EPA-TO-15

03/23/2019 AD



Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Client:	Pacific	Crest								
WorkOrder:	190331	0								
Project:	Pentho	use								
Client Sample	D:	IA2-032119					Date Sa	mpled: 3/2	0/2019	
Lab ID:		1903310-002A					Date Re	ceived: 3/2	1/2019	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister								
Analyte			Concer	ntration	Reporting Limit		Qual	Method	Date/Analyst	
Volatile Organ	nic Com	pounds by EPA N	lethod TC	<u>D-15</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.200	<0.793	0.200	0.793		EPA-TO-15	03/23/2019	AD
Tetrachloroethe	ne (PCE)		2.76	18.7	0.300	2.03		EPA-TO-15	03/27/2019	AD
trans-1,2-Dichlo	roethene		<0.200	<0.793	0.200	0.793		EPA-TO-15	03/23/2019	AD
Trichloroethene	(TCE)		<0.200	<1.07	0.200	1.07		EPA-TO-15	03/23/2019	AD
Vinyl chloride			<0.200	<0.511	0.200	0.511		EPA-TO-15	03/23/2019	AD

70-130

---

---

114 %Rec

EPA-TO-15 03/23/2019 AD


Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Client:	Pacific	Crest									
WorkOrder:	190331	0									
Project:	Pentho	use									
Client Sample	ID:	OA1-032119					Date Sar	npled:	3/20/	2019	
Lab ID:		1903310-003A					Date Red	ceived:	3/21/	2019	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister									
Analyte			Concer	ntration	Reportir	ng Limit	Qual	Method		Date/Analyst	
Volatile Organ	nic Com	pounds by EPA M	lethod TC	<u>D-15</u>							
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)					
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.200	<0.793	0.200	0.793		EPA-TC	<b>)</b> -15	03/23/2019	AD
Tetrachloroether	ne (PCE)		<0.300	<2.03	0.300	2.03		EPA-TC	<b>)</b> -15	03/27/2019	AD
trans-1,2-Dichlor	oethene		<0.200	<0.793	0.200	0.793		EPA-TC	<b>)</b> -15	03/23/2019	AD
Trichloroethene	(TCE)		<0.200	<1.07	0.200	1.07		EPA-TC	<b>)</b> -15	03/23/2019	AD
Vinyl chloride			<0.200	<0.511	0.200	0.511		EPA-TC	<b>)</b> -15	03/23/2019	AD

70-130

--

--

EPA-TO-15

03/23/2019 AD

92.0 %Rec

Fremont
Analytical

Work Order:1CLIENT:FProject:F	1903310 Pacific Crest Penthouse							Volatile	Organi	QC S c Compoun	SUMMAF	RY REF	<b>'ORT</b> TO-1
Sample ID LCS-502	91A	SampType	LCS			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/23/20	019	RunNo: 502	291	
Client ID: LCSW		Batch ID:	R50291					Analysis Da	te: 3/23/20	019	SeqNo: 987	7722	
Analyte		F	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride			2.09	0.107	2.000	0	104	70	130				
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene		1.90	0.200	2.000	0	95.1	70	130				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e		2.23	0.200	2.000	0	111	70	130				
Trichloroethene (TCE	E)		1.99	0.0649	2.000	0	99.6	70	130				
Surr: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene		3.99		4.000		99.7	70	130				
Sample ID MB-R502	291A	SampType	MBLK			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: <b>3/23/2</b>	019	RunNo: 502	291	
Client ID: MBLKW		Batch ID:	R50291					Analysis Da	te: 3/23/20	019	SeqNo: 987	7723	
Analyte		F	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride			ND	0.107									
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene		ND	0.200									
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	е		ND	0.200									
Trichloroethene (TCE	E)		ND	0.0649									
Surr: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene		3.69		4.000		92.3	70	130				
Sample ID 1903315-	-004AREP	SampType	: REP			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/23/20	019	RunNo: 502	291	
Client ID: BATCH		Batch ID:	R50291					Analysis Da	te: 3/23/20	019	SeqNo: 987	7726	
Analyte		F	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride			ND	0.107						0		30	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene		ND	0.200						0		30	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethen	e	(	0.832	0.200						0.8040	3.49	30	
Trichloroethene (TCE	E)		7.26	0.0649						7.208	0.770	30	

Fremont
Analytical

Work Order: 1903310 CLIENT: Pacific Cro	est					Volatile (	QC Organic Compo	SUMMAR	イREPORT
Sample ID LCS-R50291B	e SampType: LCS			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Date	: 3/25/2019	RunNo: 50291	
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: R50291					Analysis Date	3/25/2019	SeqNo: 98773	7
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit H	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD R	PDLimit Qual
Vinyl chloride	1.88	0.107	2.000	0	94.2	70	130		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.84	0.200	2.000	0	92.1	70	130		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.97	0.200	2.000	0	98.5	70	130		
Trichloroethene (TCE)	1.90	0.0649	2.000	0	94.9	70	130		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	4.04		4.000		101	70	130		
Sample ID MB-R50291B	SampType: MBLK			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Date	3/25/2019	RunNo: 50291	
Client ID: MBLKW	Batch ID: R50291					Analysis Date	3/25/2019	SeqNo: 98773	8
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit H	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD R	PDLimit Qual
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.107							
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200							
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200							
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.0649							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	3.64		4.000		90.9	70	130		
Sample ID 1903315-001AREP	SampType: <b>REP</b>			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Date	3/25/2019	RunNo: 50291	
Client ID: BATCH	Batch ID: R50291					Analysis Date	3/25/2019	SeqNo: 98774	1
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit H	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD R	PDLimit Qual
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.107						0	30 H
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.200						0	30 H
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.480	0.200					0.507	6 5.51	30 H
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.746	0.0649					0.725	6 2.80	30 H
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	4.97		4.000		124	70	130	0	Н



Work Order:	1903310									QCS		RY REF	<b>'ORT</b>
CLIENT: Project:	Pacific Crest							Volatile	Organi	c Compoun	ds by EPA	A Method	TO-15
	F EIIIIIOUSE	SampType:	1.05			Linits: nnhy		Pren Da	to: 3/26/20	-	RunNo: 50	240	
	(50540	Batch ID.	EC3						to: 3/20/2	n10	Seallo: 08	340 8803	
		Daton ID.	1130340		0.51/								
Analyte		R	esult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Tetrachloroethene	(PCE)		2.59	0.200	2.000	0	130	70	130				
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene		3.99		4.000		99.6	70	130				
Sample ID 19032	64-001AREP	SampType:	REP			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/26/2	019	RunNo: 50	340	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID:	R50340					Analysis Da	te: 3/26/2	019	SeqNo: 98	8896	
Analyte		R	esult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Tetrachloroethene	(PCE)		1.17	0.200						1.310	11.1	30	Н
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene		4.97		4.000		124	70	130		0		Н
Sample ID MB-R	50340	SampType:	MBLK			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: <b>3/27/2</b>	019	RunNo: 50	340	
Client ID: MBLK	W	Batch ID:	R50340					Analysis Da	te: 3/27/2	019	SeqNo: 98	8905	
Analyte		R	esult	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Tetrachloroethene	(PCE)		ND	0.200									
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene		3.99		4.000		99.7	70	130				



## Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: PACIFIC	Work Order Num	ber: 1903310		
Logged by: Brianna Barnes	Date Received:	3/21/2019	9:53:00 AM	
Chain of Custody				
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the sample delivered?	Client			
Log III	Vac 🗌			
3. Coolers are present?		NU 💌		
▲ Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes V	No		
<ol> <li>Custody Seals present on shipping container/cooler? (Refer to comments for Custody Seals not intact)</li> </ol>	Yes	No 🗌	Not Required 🗹	
6. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🔽	
7. Were all items received at a temperature of >0°C to 10.0°C	C* Yes □	No 🗌	NA 🔽	
8. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
9. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
10. Are samples properly preserved?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
11. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🖌	NA 🗌	
12. Is there headspace in the VOA vials?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
13. Did all samples containers arrive in good condition(unbroke	n)? Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
14. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
15. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
16. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
17. Were all holding times able to be met?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
<u>Special Handling (if applicable)</u>				
18. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person Notified:	Date			
By Whom:	Via: 🗌 eMail 🗌 Ph	ione 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:				
Client Instructions:				
19. Additional remarks:				

3/27/19 - Client added trans-1,2-DCE & VC

Item Information

<sup>\*</sup> Note: DoD/ELAP and TNI require items to be received at 4°C +/- 2°C

x Minduked	I represent that I am authori terms on the front and backs Relinguished	** Container Codes: BV = 1 Liter B	* Matrix Codes: AA = Ambient Air	U1	4	0A1- 032119	- TAZ- 052119	IA1- 032119	Sample Name	Fax: 413 880 -11	Telephone: 475 888 49	City, State, Zip: NO/L/UF &	Address: po. bot acr	client: PACIFIC CRAT			
Date/Time	ide of this Agreement. Date/Time	ottle Vac 6L = 6L Canister 1L	r IA = Indoor Air L = Land	Cansiler Date Flow Rep. Twne	Canister Date Flate Real	17239 3/00/14 -	15900 3/20/ Conster 3/21/5 Date FR6-30 1945 - 0100 FR6-30 1945 - 0100	17635         المالي         المالي         المالي           Caristar         عامداً         عامداً         مالي           FR6-07         المالي         المالي         Date           France         المالي         مالي         مالي	Canister / Flow Sample Date &		40	and war poors			Inteligitieen Fax: 206-	nont 3600 tremo Tel: 206-	
0453	ent with Fremont Analy	= 1L Canister CYL = High	fill S = Subslab / Soil Ga			6L 8	6L 8	6L 8	ample Type Container Fill T (Matux)* Type ** Flow	Email (PM):	Reports to (PM):	Collected by:	Location:	Project No:	352-7178 Project Name:	nt Ave N. /A 98103 352-3790	2
x All Y	tical on behalf of the Client	Pressure Cylinder F = Filter	S	Preasure Pritessure Date Date	Pressure Pressure Date Date	hr 3/13/2019 Δ10mtorr - 3.0 Pressure 23/03/2019 3/00/5- 3/00	hr 3/11/2019 3/00/5mg 2	10mtorr         - 3,0         -           Presesure         Pressure         Pressure         -           3/7/2019         3         23         3         -         3	initial Field Initial Fi Evacuation Sample Pressure Pressure F Rate (mtorr) ("Hg)	Internal	WC MC	mb '	SEATUR, WA	10-5-005	PEN THUSTY		Air Chain of C
Date/Ti	named above, that I have	S = Sorbent Tube TB = Tedl		Pressure Data	Pressure Date	Proposito	A A A	In A	("Hg) eled Final VOCs TO15 SCAN VOCs TO15 SCAN LL VOCs TO15 SIM Siloxanes TO15 Sulfur TO15						GE. OI.	(	<b>Custody Record</b>
me 1/14 045	verified Client's agreeme	ar Bag				R	Pa	P	Sulfur Ext. TO15 APH TO15 Helium Major Gases 3C	alysis	otherwise requested.	Air samples are disposed of one	-		Special Remarks:	Laboratory Project No (Internal):	& Laboratory
Same D:		Sta	Turn-Ar	-	,	CE , T(c1 cis-1,2- )6	(5, The , Co-1,2-Der	£, TCE, CIS-1,2-808	Comments		OK to Dispose Hold (fees ma	week after report is submitted to clie				(903310	/ Services Agree
xt Day ay	зау ау	ndard	round Time:			-	义	1's	Final Pressure ("Hg)	Internal	iy apply)	ent unless					ment

Page 12 of 13

COC Air 1.4 - 4.12.18

(PANER REPORT					T T		Air Ch	ain o	fCust	od	y R	eco	ord	&	Lal	oor	ato	ry S	ervi	ces /	Agree	ment
NA74	Fren	non	3600 Frei Seattle	mont Ave N. , WA 98103		al le			1			1		Labor	itory P	roject No	o (intern	ai):	.90	331	0	
	A	nalviae	Fax: 2	06-352-7178	Date:	2/2/11	) _1/11.	L.S.	Page: *		of:	\$ 		Speci	al Ren	arks:						
					Project Na	me:	ENTRU	/78	4 0. 40 ° 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		4											
Client: (//	ACIFIC CASON	nej egyit de taktopit taktori (ne taktori (ne taktori)		1999 I. 189	Project No:	<u> </u>	2000					una constitutation										
Address: Po	· 674 962	a na an		66/1466/1469/1466/1469/1469) cadjor a	Location:	581	MILE,	M	1999 (1999 - 1999 (1995 - 1965 - 1965 (1997 ) (1996 )													
City, State, Zip:	noMit be	M I WA	10045	****	Collected b	<u>v: N</u>	16	antere discontrato esca accasi (con	a new star is an area area in a					Air sar	noles	re disp	osed of	one week	after rej	port is sub	mitted to clien	it unless
ر Telephone:	425 888 49	90		Nev 1465 - 1465 - 1467 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 1446 - 14	Reports to	(PM): \	NC						ļ	otherv	vise re	quested	Ι.		Dispose	Он	old (fees may	apply)
Fax: 40	5 888 49	94			Email (PM)	: WCA	Morria	ARLOI	NONV-C	0m			Anab	ale								Internal
				*			Internal				-		Mildi	(313								
			Correla Data 8	Camalo Tuno	Container	Fill Time /	Initial Evacuation Pressure	Field Initial Sample Pressure	Field Final Sample Pressure	TO15 SCAN	rois scan	rois sim	TOIS	Ext. T015	015	Gases 3C						Final Pressure
5ar	mple Name	Reg Serial #	Sample Date &	(Mat:ix) *	Type **	Flow Rate	(mtorr)	(" Hg)	(* Hg)	VOCs 1	VOCS	VOC5 Siloxar	Sulfur	Sulfur	APHT	Major			Co	mments		("Hg)
1 TAI- 03	32119	17635	3/20/19 - 3/21/19	ΙA	6L	8hr	10mtorr	-30 1055000										PGE,	The	as-1,2	D05	-3
		FR8-07 Stow Reg	1045-040	*			5/7/2015 Cate	3 20 45	3209									tran	51,2	DCE	4ACT	
TA2-	032119	-15900 <u>Corrister</u> ER8-30	3/20/4- 3/20/15 000	Â	6L	8hr	10mtorr 2.2590470 3/11/2019	-30	-2 2).).c	V								Pas	The	, Co-1	2-2008	-2
BAL-	032119	The Heg 17239 Carreter FR8-18	3/00/19-	AA	6L	8hr	10mtorr Peasade 3/13/2019	-30 Mals	Proces	Y	2							PCE	the	, cis.	1,2- 060	-1
â		Pariote Datione	Cere				Cate Pressure	Engosters	Provident Provident									Kele 31	291	9 (	29	
		Fise Nej.	2.47 2.47				Date	Davi	Charles									<u> </u>	- 1			
S		servite:	Lighter				Prásture	2-1-15MB	Risseria													
		nov hep.	Time		5 4 4 4 J 7 5	-10	Capi	Osea	Darg												T	und Times
* Matrix Codes	s: AA = Ambient Air	IA = Indoor	Air L=La	1L = 1) Canis	= Subsiab / S ter CYI =	High Press	ure Cylinder	F = Filte	r S = Sor	bent 1	ſube	TB =	Tedla	r Bag						******	Den	unu ume:
I represent	t that I am authoriz	zed to enter in	to this Agree	ment with	Fremont A	nalytical	on behalf	of the Clie	ent named	abov	ve, th	at I h	ive v	erifi	ed Cl	ient's	agree	ement t	o each	of the	3 Dar	y Y
Relinguished	ne irvin ann packs	ine of energy	Date/Time				Received	A	12	-		D	ate/Tim	e				~ ~			2 Da	¥
· Ma	un_		3/21/1	9 (	1953		× 1	Ð				5	121	11	9		0	955			Next	Day
Relinguished		1	Date/Time				Received x					D	ate/Tim	e							Same Day	(specify)

Page 13 of 13



## Certificate of Analysis: Gene-Trac® Dehalococcoides Assay

Customer: William Carroll, Pacific Crest Environmental Project: Penthouse Customer Reference: 105-003

SiREM Reference: S-5255 Report Date: 1-Apr-19 Data Files: iQ5B-DHCT-TM-QPCR-1641 iQ5B-DB-DHC-TM-QPCR-0966

### Table 1: Test Results

Sample ID	Deh	Dehalococcoides (Dhc)										
	Percent Dhc <sup>(1)</sup>	Enumeration/Liter <sup>(2)</sup>										
MW31s-032019	NA	3 x 10 <sup>3</sup> U										
MW33s-032019	NA	3 x 10 <sup>3</sup> U										

See final page for notes.

Analyst: Taylor A

Taylor Aris, B.Sc. Laboratory Technician

Vimena Urual

Approved:

Ximena Druar, B.Sc. Genetic Testing Coordinator



Customer Sample ID	MW31s-032019	MW33s-032019
SiREM Dhc Test ID	DHC-17211	DHC-17212
Date Sampled <sup>(3)</sup>	20-Mar-19	20-Mar-19
Matrix	Groundwater	Groundwater
Date Received <sup>(3)</sup>	25-Mar-19	25-Mar-19
Sample Temperature	16.1 ºC	16.1 °C
Filtration Date <sup>(3)</sup>	25-Mar-19	25-Mar-19
Volume Used for DNA Extraction	500 mL	500 mL
DNA Extraction Date	27-Mar-19	27-Mar-19
DNA Concentration in Sample (extractable)	163 ng/L	758 ng/L
PCR Amplifiable DNA	Detected	Detected
Dhc qPCR Date Analyzed	28-Mar-19	28-Mar-19
Laboratory Controls (see Table 3)	Passed	Passed
Comments	FGA testing was not performed as sample was ND for Dhc	FGA testing was not performed as sample was ND for Dhc

See final page for notes

Laboratory Control	Analysis Date	Control Description	Spiked Dhc 16S rRNA Gene Copies per Liter	Recovered Dhc 16S rRNA Gene Copies per Liter	Comments
Positive Control Low Concentration	28-Mar-19	Genomic DNA (CSLD-1279)	3.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	Passed
Positive Control High Concentration	28-Mar-19	Genomic DNA (CSHD-1279)	2.9 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	2.4 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	Passed
Extraction Control	28-Mar-19	Extraction Control (KB-0658)	1.2 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	9.7 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	Passed
DNA Extraction Blank	28-Mar-19	Sterile Water (FB-3257)	0	2.6 x 10 <sup>3</sup> U	Passed
Negative Control	28-Mar-19	Reagent Blank (TBD-1238)	0	2.6 x 10 <sup>3</sup> U	Passed

See final page for notes.



#### Notes:

Dhc = Dehalococcoides J The associated value is an estimated quantity between the method detection limit and quantitation limit. U Not detected, associated value is the quantitation limit. B Analyte was detected in the method blank within an order of magnitude of the test sample. E Extracted genomic DNA was not detected in the sample. I Sample inhibited the test reaction based on inability to PCR amplify extracted DNA with universal primers. ng/L = nanograms per liter mL = milliliter NA = not applicable ND = not detected DNA = deoxyribonucleic acid 16S rRNA = 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid PCR = polymerase chain reaction qPCR = quantitative PCR

°C = degrees Celsius

<sup>1</sup>Percent *Dehalococcoides* (Dhc) in microbial population. This value is calculated by dividing the number of Dhc 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) gene copies by the total number of bacteria as estimated by the mass of DNA extracted from the sample. Range represents normal variation in Dhc enumeration.

<sup>2</sup>Based on quantification of Dhc 16S rRNA gene copies. Dhc are generally reported to contain one 16S rRNA gene copy per cell; therefore, this number is often interpreted to represent the number of Dhc cells present in the sample.

<sup>3</sup>Samples are stabilized by freezing at -80 °C upon sample reception (field filters) or in-lab filtration (groundwater). Hold time not exceeded if sampling date is within 7 days of date received or filtration date.

# **SiREM**

## **Chain-of-Custody Form**

siremlab.com

180A Market Place Blvd. Knoxville, TN 37922 (865) 330-0037



Project Name PENTHOUSE Project # 105-003				Analysis								
Project Manager WILLIAM "BILL" CARLONI					0	0		TTT				
Email INCARROLL @ ARROW ENV. C.	oM					2						
Company DArieu CAud anti-						FG			h	Preservative Key O. None		
Address PO BOX 052 NORTH BEND WA 98045						ů če			-	1. HCL 2. Other		
									4. Other			
Phone # 425 000 4990					DEHAL	9991			-	5. Other		
Sampler's Signature	Sampler's Printe Name	MIKE R	XACY			5			0.000			
Client Sample ID Lab	ID Da	Sampling Ite Time	Matrix	# of Containers						Other Information		
MW315 - 032019	3/20	19 1020	Hzo	1	4	$\mathbf{x}$			Clien	thottles		
MW335 - 0520A		1200		2	2	4			J			
	, í											
		_				1						
		a second a										
Cooler Condition: Sample Receipt Cooler Condition: Leffed Cooler Condition: P.O. # Involce Information						For Lab Use Only				nly		
Cooler Temperature: 16.1°C Bill To:						-						
Custody Seals: Yes 🗋 No 💽	ales - I			(C)								
Signature	Received By:	N Signard		shed By:	s	Re D. W.	lkinse	Relir Signature	nguished By:	Received By: Signature		
Printed MINE BLACK Printed SUSauThor		S Printed &	isaut	hornas	Pr	inted J-W	likinson	Printed Name		Printed Name		
Firm PACIFIC CREST FIRM STREM			SiRE,	U	Em SIREM			Firm		Firm		
Date/Time 3/21/2029 1215 Date/Time 3-25-19 14/10 Date/Time			25-19	1500	Date/Time 3/27/19 1400 Date/Time					Date/Time		

Distribution: White - Return to Originator: Yellow - Lab Copy: Pink - Retained by Client

In the absence of an executed agreement, submission of samples to SIREM implies consent for performance of analyses specified on this Chain-of-Custody form and agreement with the terms and conditions of the SIREM Laboratory Services Agreement. The entity submitting samples shall be responsible for navment in full for said analyses.

## APPENDIX C SUBSURFACE TEMPERATURE GRAPHS

CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

PACIFIC CREST NO: 105-003

















## APPENDIX D PACIFIC ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGIES REPORTS

CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

PACIFIC CREST NO: 105-003



November 14, 2019

Mr. Joel Harrington **PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC** 1531 Bendigo Boulevard North P.O. Box 952 North Bend, Washington 98045

Consulting Services Since 1960

Principals Michael P. Smith, PE, SE Mark A. Schaefer, PE, SE James F. Paustian, PE, SE Kyle J. Bozick, PE, SE Nathan L. Fritz, AIA Ryan P. Barrett, PE Jeffrey M. Bozick, PE Subject: Site Observation and Review of the Floor Slope and the Existing Cracks in the Exterior CMU Walls SEATTLE COLLISION CENTER 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

Dear Mr. Harrington:

As you requested, we have made site observations at the above referenced building to determine if the construction work being performed in the lot adjacent to the south side of the building has caused distress to the existing concrete masonry unit (CMU) exterior walls and/or the existing concrete slab-on-grade floor.

An initial site visit was performed by Mr. Jeffrey M. Bozick, P.E. and Mr. Gursimranjot Singh, E.I.T. on May 19, 2017, before the construction reportedly began. During our initial site visit, we documented the existing conditions of the North, South and East CMU walls. The existing cracks in the CMU walls were documented and crack monitors were applied across several existing cracks to monitor changes in the width of these cracks. Photographs were also taken to document the existing condition of the walls. A level survey was performed using a ZIPLEVEL PRO-2000 by Technidea to document the relative elevation of the interior concrete slab-on-grade.

A follow-up site visit was performed by Mr. Jeffrey M. Bozick, P.E. and Mr. Gursimranjot Singh, E.I.T. on October 25, 2019, after the construction had reportedly been completed. During our follow-up site visit, we made observations of conditions of the North, South and East exterior CMU walls to determine if the existing cracks had been exacerbated or if new distress had occurred. Photographs were taken to compare the current condition of the walls to the conditions at our initial site visit. Readings from the crack monitors were recorded.

No new cracks were observed to the North, South and East exterior CMU walls and no noticeable changes to the existing cracks were observed. We have provided sideby-side photographs (No. 1 through No. 6 in the Appendix) of selected locations from our initial site visit and our follow up site visit. No changes to the width of the existing cracks were observed at the crack monitor locations (Photograph Nos. 7 through 10 in the Appendix). A level survey of the interior concrete slab-on-grade was performed at approximately the same locations as the initial survey. Readings taken during our follow-up site visit indicate that the concrete slab-on-grade is now 0.3" to 0.7" lower than the readings taken during our initial site visit along the west half of the south exterior wall in relation to the east side of the building.

Please let us know if you have any questions or require additional information.

Respectfully,

#### PACIFIC ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Written by:

Gursimranjot Singh, E.I. Design Engineer

Reviewed by

Jeffrey M. Bozick. Principal



#### Disclaimer

The information contained in this report is for the exclusive use of **PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC**. Pacific Engineering Technologies, Inc. assumes no responsibility or liability for any use of this report by other parties. The design of the specific construction details necessary to repair the structure exceeds the scope of this report and the services authorized.

#### Notice

All information on this document is the exclusive property of Pacific Engineering Technologies, Inc. © Copyright 2019.

## APPENDIX PHOTOGRAPHS



1. Interior cracks behind HVAC system. (Left photograph is before construction and right photograph is after construction.)



2. Existing crack in the foundation at the south wall towards the west end. (Left photograph is before construction and right photograph is after construction.)



3. East wall at the top left corner. (Left photograph is before construction and right photograph is after construction.)



4. South wall on the right side. (Left photograph is before construction and right photograph is after construction.)



5. South wall in the middle. (Left photograph is before construction and right photograph is after construction.)



6. South wall on the left side. (Left photograph is before construction and right photograph is after construction.)



7. The crack monitor had been disturbed.



8. No change in the crack monitor.



9. No change in the crack monitor.



10. No change in the crack monitor.

Project No: 17/17 Date: 01/17/2020 Sheet: 1 Of: 2 Project Name: Seattle Collision Center G.S. ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGIES, INC Comp. By: - Chk.By: Location of Survey Points 2150 N. 107th St., Suite 320 Seattle, WA 98133 Contents: P: 206.281.7500 www.PacEngTech.com



Project No: 17117 Date: 01/17/202	2 Sheet: 2 Of: 2
Project Name: Seattle Collision	m Center
Comp. By: G.S.	Chk.By:
Contents: Level Survey Dala	



2150 N. 107th St., Suite 320 Seattle, WA 98133 P: 206.281.7500 www.PacEngTech.com

Level Survey Data									
	Readin	gs (inch)							
Location	5/19/2017 10/25/2019		Comments						
1	0	0							
2	0.2	0.2							
3	0.5	0.4							
4	0.4	0.4							
5	0.4	0.4							
6	-0.1	N/A	Not Accessible						
7	0.1	0.2							
8	-0.2	-0.2							
9	-0.7	-0.8							
<b>10</b> -2.3		-2.8							
11	-3	-3.4							
12	-3.3	-4							
13	-3	-3.4							
14	-2.7	-3.2							
15	-2.5 -2.								
16	-2.4	-2.8							
17	-1.9	-2.4							
18	-0.2	N/A	Existing coulm had been removed						
19	-0.6	-0.6							
20	-1.1	-1.4							
21	-2.1	-2.4							
22	-2.2	-2.8							
23	23 -2.1 N/A		Not Accessible						
1	1 0 0		Closing loop						

## APPENDIX E WASTE DISPOSAL DOCUMENTATION

CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

PACIFIC CREST NO: 105-003



## **SHIPPING PAPER**

Lading Manifest: 309583-19

		DELIVERY	ATE 0		JOB #606584					
SHIPPER	R / CUSTOMER	POINT OF C	ONTACT							
Per	thouse Drapery Cleaners and	M	(chael)	81anc	k					
ADDRES	S and a second	PHONE #								
175	52 RAINIER AVE S	(	(610)417-8585							
CITY, STA	ATE, ZIP									
SEZ	NTILE WA 98144									
CARRIEF	R / TRANSPORTER	PHONE #	HONE #							
Ste	wricycle Specialty Waste	() 	(612)285-9865							
CONSIGN	NEE / FACILITY	POINT OF C	ONTACT							
EUM	RLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC.	1 Southeast								
ADDRES	S Na 1995 mai 1991 managementera 1995 interna	PHONE #	PHONE #							
	ALLAST ALEXANDER AVENDE		(253)627-7568							
TTAC	ALE, ZIP YMA WA QOADI									
And Sta	Ant i mi Jones		Contain	ore						
HM	US DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and ID Number)		No.		Total Quantity	UOM				
Δ	NATERIAL NOT REGULATED BY DOT (100 SOIL)			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1					
a set			growine.	DM	a con a	P				
			harry		2000					
			water Contra							
D										
В	NATERIAL NOT REGULATED BY DOT									
			2	IM	1175	P				
			Ministry of All In			10-00				
			e na divisi							
C										
D										
	이 그는 방법은 아이는 것은 것은 것이 가지 않는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이다.									
5.24										
Special H	andling Instruction and Additional Information:									
8) 127	604-00 - IDW SOIL - LEOI (2) b) 118067-00 - SVE FILTERS - LEOI (3)									
Placards	Provided YES NO									
Packageo l also cer	(S CERTIFICATION: "Thereby declare that the contents of this consignment are fully and acc , marked and labelled/placarded, and are in all respects in proper condition for transport accord tify that all times listed above are true and correct.	ing to applicab	ed above by le internation	proper s al and na	hipping name and are c ational governmental regi	lassified, ulations."				
(SHIPPER	R) PRINT OR TYPE NAME SIGNATURE	1			MONTH DAY	YEAR				
× WHIN ISVIHIEAWY (INC. MACHENERI 6 13						19				
(CARRIER/TRANSPORTER) PRINT OR TYPE NAME SIGNATURE MONTH DAY YE						YEAR				
pure alle alle 1819						14				
						VELS				
CONSIGNEE/FACILITY PRINT ON THE NAME SIGNATURE MONTH DAY YEAR										
Х	X									

#### SHIPPER

Ρ	lea	ase print or type.	·			Forn	n Approved. C	DMB No. 2050-003	
	Î	UNIFORM HAZARDOUS 1. Generator ID Number 2. Page 1 of 3. El 2. Page 1 of 3. Page 1	mergency Respor	se Phone	4. Manifest	Tracking N	umber 6912	2 JJK	
		5. Generator's Name and Mailing Address Penthouse Drapery Cleaners + Mfg. Inc	rator's Site Addre	ss (if different th	an mailing addres	ss) VAL	R, Soi	th	
		Seattle, WA 98144 425-888-4990		Seatt	18, WH	498	144		
		6, Transporter 1 Company Name HazMat Environmental Group, In	and the	(a) 8	U.S. EPAID	Number	7690	147	
		7. Transporter 2 Company Name		5	U.S. EPA ID N	Number			
		8. Designated Facility Name and Site Address			U.S. EPA ID I	Number			
		Facility's Phone: HISburg, RY 41129 606-615-58		KYD005009923					
		9a. HM 9b. U.S. DOT Description (including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, ID Number, and Packing Group (if any))	10. Cont No.	tainers · Type	11. Total Quantity	12. Unit Wt./Vol.	13. W	aste Codes	
	N N	X "NABOTT, HOZARdous Waste, solid, h.o.s.	1	N.A	1	D	F002		
	EKA	(FOD2), 9, PG111	K	27	0.00	10000			
ĺ		X (EDD) 9 POUL	2	TP	7500	2	F002		
a str		3.	Basedone		* <u>5</u>				
	1.5								
	Ser.	4							
		15. GENERATOR'S/OFFEROR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of this consignment are ful marked and labeled/placarded, and are in all respects in proper condition for transport according to applicable i Exporter, I certify that the contents of this consignment conform to the terms of the attached EPA Acknowledgm I certify that the waste minimization statement identified in 40 CFR 262.27(a) (if I am a large quantity generator	ly and accurately nternational and n ent of Consent. ) or (b) (if I am a s	described above ational governm mall quantity get	e by the proper sh ental regulations nerator) is true.	nipping nam . If export sh	e, and are class hipment and I ar	ified, packaged, n the Primary	
_		Generator's/Offeror's Printed/Typed Name Signature	general to the	A. U	Jan	TA	Month	n Day Year	
		16. International Shipments Import to U.S. Export from U.S.	Port of	entry/exit:					
	ХÌ	17. Transporter Acknowledgment of Receipt of Materials	Date lea	aving 0.3					
	EX)	Transporter 1 Printed/Typed Name Signature	and the second second	and the set	personal lands		Month	n Day Year	
	ANSI	Transporter 2 Printed/Typed Name Signature	1				Month	n Day Year	
		18. Discrepancy	κ.						
		18a. Discrepancy Indication Space Quantity Type	Residue		Partial Re	jection		Full Rejection	
		40h Alberto En 11h (n. Ormanda -)	Manifest Referer	nce Number:					
Í	Ę	160. Alternate Facility (or Generator)			U.S. EPA ID I	Number			
L	AL	Facility's Phone:							
	JUALE						Mon	n Day Year	
		19. Hazardous Waste Report Management Method Codes (i.e., codes for hazardous waste treatment, disposal, and         1.       2.         3.	recycling systems	5)	4.				
ľ			4						
		20. Designated Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of hazardous materials covered by the manifest ex Printed/Typed Name	cept as noted in l	tem 18a		-	Mont	h Dav Voc-	
		Ognature							
Ē	PA	Form 8700-22 (Rev. 12-17) <sup>®</sup> Previous editions are obsolete.				GEN	ERATOR'S	INITIAL COP	

Hezmet IV	DATE 887351					
ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP, INC FAX (716) 242-4558 60 Commerce Drive, Buifalo, NY 14218 (716) 827-7200 www.hazmatinc.com	AT NYDEC #9A-278 EPA ID# NYD980769947					
PICK UP	DELIVERY					
S READINE DOALE, CHANERS & MEG	C NAME O CALCON CARRON					
H I 1752 RAJIER AVE STATE ZIP CODE P SATE ZIP CODE E CONTACT NAME PHONE	N STATE ZIP CODE G CONTACT NAME PHONE					
R GIU 417 1585						
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / EQUIPMENT DAMAGE If damaged at pickup site, did you send in Equipment Damage Report (EDR) via Qualcomm? Y N Explain damage below.	Pursuant to 6NYCRR 372.2 (b) (2) (iii) HazMat certifies that it is Authorized to deliver this shipment of manifested waste to the TSDF listed on this Bill of Lading. Shipment valuation limits apply from HazMat Rules Publication 101, Item 848.					
	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION / EQUIPMENT DAMAGE If damaged at delivery site, did you send in Equipment Damage Report (EDR) via Qualcomm? Y N Explain damage below.					
PURCHASE ORDER NO. WORK ORDER NUMBER MANIFEST I	NUMBER A 20 ( QL) NIZ ALLADE					
LOAD NUMBER TRACTOR TRAILER RC	DLL OFF BOX DRIVER NUMBER DRIVER'S NAME					
EQUIPMENT MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/MANIFEST NUMBER	R QUANTITY Product unloading station and/or tank approved by:					
EQUIPMENT TYPE 4 RCS UNIT# DROPPED 4 RCS UNIT# PICKED UP NA 3077 9-TTT CONDITION REPORT	CONSIGNEE'S SIGNATURE Compressor used YES NO In-Transit Heat used: YES NO					
	Analysis/C of A: YES NO					
	DELIVERY 123 0500					
DAY #2 DATE						
ARRIVAL TIMEPM RELEASE TIMEPM	DAY #2 DATE ARRIVAL TIME PM_RELEASE TIME PM DAY #3 DATE ARRIVAL TIME PM_RELEASE TIME PM					
TRAILER EMPTY UPON ARRIVAL YES (if not, explain below—) DIP MEASUREMENT (Tankers Only) INCHES	TRAILER CLEAN AND EMPTY UPON DEPARTURE YES NO (if not, explain below—)					
COMMENTS: (EXPLAIN ALL DELAYS)	COMMENTS: (Explain all delays or discrepancies))					
IF YES EXPLAIN: I, THE UNDERSIGNED, CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE AND COMPLETE.	IF YES EXPLAIN: I, THE UNDERSIGNED, CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE AND COMPLETE.					
SHIPPER'S SIGNATURE Date	CONSIGNEE'S SIGNATURE Date					

1

#### Date CONSIGNEE'S SIGNATURE HAZMAT BILL OF LADING GENERATOR CORV

Date


113 7

8th Ave Reload 7400 8th Ave S Seattle, WA, 98108 Reprint Ticket# 32467 Ph: 206-694-0600

Customer Name PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTAL	_ P Carrier	SELF SELF	÷ .	
Ticket Date	Vehicle#	RE23S	Volume	
Payment Type Credit Account	Container			
Manual Ticket#	Driver	ROBERT MCALEER		· ·
Route	Check#			
Hauling Ticket#	Billing#	0000292		
Destination	Grid	· · · ·		
PO# 105-003/114527WAD				
Time Scale	Operator	Inbound	Gross	67460 lb
In 08/08/2019 15:12:39 Scale 1	kfunk2	•	Tare	28580 lb
Out 08/08/2019 15:12:39	kfunk2	-	Net	38880 16
			Tons	19.44
Comments RIVERS EDGE-KF				

Prod	uct	LD%	Qty	UOM	Rate	Тах	Amount	Origin
1 2 3	Spwaste Cover RGC-Tons-U GOND TON-GONDOLA PER TON FEA FEE TON-FUEL, ENVIRO	100 100 100	19.44 19.44 19.44	Tons Tons Tons		dar dari kada kany kana kane juga y		KING KING KING

203WM



#### Reprint Ticket# 32464

Ph: 206-694-0600

Customer Name PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENT	AL P Carrier	SELF SELF		
Ticket Date 08/08/2019	Vehicle#	RE23S	Volume	· · ·
Payment Type Credit Account	Container			
Manual Ticket#	Driver	ROBERT MCALEER		
Route	Check#			
Hauling Ticket#	Billino#	0000292		
Destination	Grid			
PO# 105-003/114527WAD			· · ·	
Time Scale	Operator	Inbound	Gross	69220 lb
In 08/08/2019 13:46:32 Scale 1	kfunk2		Tare	28580 lb
Out 08/08/2019 13:46:32	kfunk2		Net	40640 1h
		and the second sec	Tons	20.32
Comments RIVER'S EDGE - HM	м			

Prod	uct	LD%	Qty	UOM	Rate	Tax	Amount	Origin
1	Spwaste Cover RGC-Tons-U	100	20.32	Tons	nene kann gann ynne lakte smat antie ange eade skae une	W ANDE ANNE SAAL SHOW ALLE SIND ANDE AND	ni pana kaina utada kana kana kana kana kana kana kana k	KING
2	GOND TON-GONDOLA PER TON	100	20.32	Tons			• .	
З	FEA FEE TON-FUEL, ENVIRO	100	20.32	Tons				

203WM

Total Tax Tatal Ticket



Reprint Ticket# 32459

Ph: 206-694-0600

Customer Name PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTA	L P Carrier	SELF SELF		•
Ticket Date 08/08/2019	Vehicle#	RE23S	Volume	
Payment Type Credit Account	Container			
Manual Ticket#	Driver	ROBERT MCALEER		
Route	Check#			
Hauling Ticket#	Billing#	0000292		
Destination	Grid			
PO# 105-003/114527WAD				
Time Scale	Operator	Inbound	Gross	69460 lb
In 08/08/2019 12:45:40 Scale 1	kfunk2		Tare	28580 lb
Out 08/08/2019 12:45:40	kfunk2		Net	40880 lb
	•		Tons	20.44

Comments RIVER'S EDGE - HM

Origin Qty UOM Rate Amount Product LD% Tax ----KING 20.44 Tons Spwaste Cover RGC-Tons-U 100 1 20.44 Tons GOND TON-GONDOLA PER TON 100 2 100 20.44 Tons З FEA FEE TON-FUEL, ENVIRO

203WM



#### Reprint Ticket# 32452

Ph: 206-694-0600

Customer Name	PACIFIC CRES	T ENVIRONMENTA	L P Carrier	SELF SELF		
Ticket Date	08/08/2019		Vehicle#	RE23S	Volume	
Payment Type	Credit Accou	nt	Container			
Manual Ticket	#		Driver	ROBERT MCALEER		
Route			Check#			
Hauling Ticke	t#	•	Billing#	0000292	•	
Destination			Grid			
PO# 105-	003/114527WAD					
Time		Scale	Operator	Inbound	Gross	67740 lb
In 08/08/20	19 11:50:21	Scale 1	kfunk2		Tare	28580 lb
Out 08/08/20	19 11:50:21		kfunk2		Net	39160 lb
					Tons	19.58

Comments RE-KF

Prod	uct	LD%	Qty	UOM	Rate	Tax	Amount	Origin
1 2 3	Spwaste Cover RGC-Tons-U GOND TON-GONDOLA PER TON FEA FEE TON-FUEL, ENVIRO	100 100 100	19.58 19.58 19.58	Tons Tons Tons				KING KING KING

203WM



Reprint Ticket# 32439 Ph: 206-694-0600

Origin

Customer Name PACIFIC CREST ENVIRONMENTAL P Carrier SELF SELF Ticket Date 08/08/2019 Vehicle# RE23S Volume Payment Type Credit Account Container Manual Ticket# Driver ROBERT MCALEER Route Check# Hauling Ticket# Billing# 0000292 Destination Grid 105-003/114527WAD P0# Time Scale Operator Inbound Gross 59880 lb In 08/08/2019 10:04:04 Scale 1 kfunk2 Tare 28580 15 Out 08/08/2019 10:04:04 kfunk2 Net 31300 lb Tons 15.65 Comments RIVERS EDGE - HM

Product LD% Qty UOM Rate Tax Amount

 1
 Spwaste Cover RGC-Tons-U
 100
 15.65
 Tons
 KING

 2
 GOND TON-GONDOLA PER TON
 100
 15.65
 Tons
 3
 FEA FEE TON-FUEL, ENVIRO
 100
 15.65
 Tons
 4
 5
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100

203WM



#### Reprint Ticket# 32446 Ph: 206-694-0600

Customer Name Ticket Date Payment Type	PACIFIC CREST 08/08/2019 Credit Accoun	ENVIRONMENTAL t	. P Carrier Vehicle# Container	SELF SELF RE23S	Volume	
Manual Ticket#			Driver	ROBERT MCALEER		
Route			Check#			
Hauling Ticket	#		Billing#	0000292		
Destination			Grid			
PO# 105-0	03/114527WAD					
Time		Scale	Operator	Inbound	Gross	68920 lb
In 08/08/201	9 10:57:08	Scale 1	kfunk2		Tare	28580 lb
Out 08/08/201	9 10:57:08		kfunk2		Net	40340 lb
					Tons	20.17

Comments RIVERS EDGE-KF

Product LD% Qty UDM Tax Amount Origin Rate -----1 Spwaste Cover RGC-Tons-U 100 20.17 Tons KING 2. GOND TON-GONDOLA PER TON 100 20.17 Tons FEA FEE TON-FUEL, ENVIRO 100 З 20.17 Tons

203WM

### APPENDIX F FIGURES FOR ALL REPORTING PERIOD GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

PACIFIC CREST NO: 105-003



Legend <u>Screened Interval</u>
MW-21S ⊖ Shallow Well 14.5-29.5 ft bgs
MW-27I  Intermediate Well 25-50 ft bgs
MW-30D⊖ Deep Well 65-100 ft bgs
Damaged monuments
△ Destroyed wells
DATE
Analyte (µg/L)
Site-Specific Site-Specific Remediation CULs (µg/L) Levels (µg/L)
PCE         5         128.6           TCE         4         13.8
cis-1,2-DCE 16 1,538
PCE tetrachloroethene
cis-1,2-DCE cis -1,2-Dichloroethene
μg/L micrograms per liter BOLD concentration exceeds Site-specific CUL
BOLD concentration exceeds Site-specific
CUL cleanup level
ft bgs feet below ground surface
— — — — Belshaw Property
—— – – — Penthouse/SCC Property
——————————————————————————————————————
Building
Former Building Area
S S S Sanitary Sewer
✓ W Water
PWR PWR Overhead Bus Power Line
G G G G G Abandoned Gas Abandoned Drain Line
N
030
Approximate Scale in Feet
Figure F-1
Groundwater Analytical Results
(March 20, 2019)



12/2020 105-003-103.dwg FIG F-2 GW May-Jun 2019



### APPENDIX G PCE VS TIME GRAPHS

CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

PACIFIC CREST NO: 105-003

















### APPENDIX H GRAND STREET COMMONS REPORT

CLEANUP ACTION PROGRESS REPORT

Former Penthouse Drapery and Belshaw Site 1752 Rainier Avenue South Seattle, Washington

PACIFIC CREST NO: 105-003

# **GRAND STREET COMMONS**

# DRAFT 2019.12.20

EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE #3035316-EG FEBRUARY 20, 2020











## WEST BLOCK 1765 22nd Ave S





PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### SUMMARY OF DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

Street Classifications	
Parcel Map	
East Block Development Summary	
West and South Block Development Summaries	55
Grand Street Commons	6
COMMUNITY OUTREACH	
URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS	

Historic Context	
Neighborhood Diversity	13
Neighborhood Industrial Context	
Figure Ground	15
Neighborhood Development & Uses	16
Traffic and Walkability	
Opportunities and Constraints	19
DESIGN GUIDELINES	

Applicant's Highest Priority	20
Urban Centers and Villages	22
Central Area	23
Architectural Response	24

### GRAND STREET COMMONS

Landscape Analysis
Landscape Opportunitiest
Landscape Site Plan
Buildings and Street Character
WEST BLOCK
Site Survey
Site Photos
Zoning Map & Summary
Street Elevations
MASSING CONCEPTS`
Summary
Option A
Option B
Option C- Preferred
Landscape Design
Departures

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	2	26	3
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			 			•	•	•	•	•	•	2	27	7
•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		•			 				•	•	•		•	2	28	3
•	•	•																					•			 								•	2	20	9
•																																		•	3	60	)
																																			-	3	1
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•						•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3	2	)
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•						•	•	•	•	•	•	3	32	ł
•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		•			 				•	•	•	•	•	L	łČ	3
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			 				•	•	•	•	•	L	ŧΖ	, †
•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		•			 				•	•	•	•	•	5	50	)
•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		•			 				•	•	•	•	•	Ę	56	5
•	•	•																								 								•	6	33	3
																																			0		,
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	C	۷(	ł



### DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES STREET CLASSIFICATIONS, PARCEL MAP, WEST BLOCK SUMMARY

### **PROJECT SITE**



### **PROJECT LOCATION - WEST BLOCK**

Address 1765 22ND AVE S, SEATTLE WA 98144 Parcels A, B, C , D , E F, G, H, and 10 on the map below:



### STREET CLASSIFICATIONS

- S GRAND STREET- URBAN VILLAGE NEIGHBORHOOD ACCESS STREET
- S HOLGATE STREET- URBAN VILLAGE NEIGHBORHOOD ACCESS STREET
- 23RD AVENUE S- PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL (23rd Ave Corridor Improvement)
- 22ND AVENUE SOUTH URBAN VILLAGE NEIGHBORHOOD ACCESS STREET
- RAINIER AVENUE S- PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL



### GSC WEST BLOCK PROJECT SUMMARY

Number of Residential Units:	280
Number of Structured Parking Stalls:	262
Area of Residential Use:	161,973 SF
Area in Commercial Use:	26,638 SF
Total Area:	337,850 SF

GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

### **DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES** EAST BLOCK PARCEL MAP + SUMMARY, SOUTH BLOCK PARCEL MAP + SUMMARY

#### **PROJECT LOCATION - EAST BLOCK**

Address 1750 22ND AVE S, SEATTLE WA 98144

Parcels I, J and K on the map below:

### **PROJECT LOCATION - SOUTH BLOCK**

Address 2201 S GRAND ST, SEATTLE WA 98144 Parcels L, M and N on the map below:





### **GSC EAST BLOCK PROJECT SUMMARY**

Number of Residential Units:	293
Number of Structured Parking Stalls:	155
Area of Residential Use:	167,816 SF
Area in Commercial Use:	17,683 SF
Total Area:	288,363 SF

### **GSC SOUTH BLOCK PROJECT SUMMARY**

Number of Residential Units:	20
Number of Structured Parking Stalls:	40
Area of Residential Use:	123
Area in Commercial Use:	4,5
Total Area:	196

)2

23,139 SF

588 SF

6,854 SF



### **DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES** GRAND STREET COMMONS

#### FUTURE JUDKINS PARK LIGHT RAIL STATION



### DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

#### West and East Blocks: Lake Union Partners

The East and West buildings will collectively provide over 550 new rental apartments, 40,000 SF commercial, and 400 parking spaces. The apartments will be primarily market rate rental housing; affordable housing will be provided via the MHA (Mandatory Housing Affordability) on-site performance option and the MFTE (Multi-Family Tax Exception) program. A variety of commercial spaces will be provided, including both small and large format retail to support the nieghborhood town center concept and provide activity in the neighborhood during both daytime and evening hours.

#### South Block: Mt. Baker Housing

Pending approval of the alley vacation, this building will provide 200+ units of affordable housing for families at 60% AMI (Area Median Income). Several small-scale commercial spaces are planned for the west and north facades at ground floor to contribute to the dynamic retail environment envisioned along the Grand Street right-of-way.

### DESIGN TEAM

Architecture:	Runk
Landscape Architecture:	Hew
Civil:	KPF

Runberg Architecture Group Hewitt KPFF Consulting Engineers



#### **GRAND STREET COMMONS**

Grand Street Commons is a transit-oriented 3-block development in the Judkin's Park neighorhood. The development team is working with the Washington Department of Ecology to clean up the brownfield sites which are contaminated from their recent industrial past. Creative housing solutions and a variety of commercial programming will be incorporated into the new mixed-use, mixed-income community.

Concurrent redevelopment of the three blocks allows for a customized urban design response that will strengthen neighborhood connections, improve safety, and provide needed right-of-way improvements between Rainier Ave S and the open spaces north and east of the project site. Grand Street Commons provides the opportunity for a new town center that will create an enhanced link between the neighborhood and new transit options while also providing a destination for missing neighborhood services and space for community gathering.



### DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES GRAND STREET COMMONS



### COMMUNITY OUTREACH **GRAND STREET COMMONS**

#### SUMMARY OF PUBLIC OUTREACH

#### City of Seattle Department of Neighborhoods

As part of Grand Street Common's development methodology, the Mt. Baker Housing began outreach to stakeholder organizations in the Mt. Baker Neighborhood in Spring of 2018. Initial outreach consisted of gathering input from these organizations on the type of project that they felt would enhance the neighborhood and provide public benefit.

In 2018, Mt. Baker Housing established a Project Information Hotline with staff contact information for those with questions.

The Development Team mailed project notification letters to all residents within 500 feet of the project site in 2019. Notification letters were translated in Mandarin, Japanese, Vietnamese, and Spanish.

On October 24, 2019, Mt. Baker Housing and Lake Union Partners hosted a Community Meeting for neighbors of the project. Fliers for the meeting were posted in businesses near the project site for the 2-3 weeks leading up to the event. The Project Team also updated the Project Information Hotline with translated messaging.

The project architects and design team presented key aspects of the project to the community with the aid of interpreters. A project information sheet was provided to the meeting attendees. All communications were provided in English and translated in Mandarin, Japanese, Vietnamese, and Spanish.

The Department of Neighborhoods gave official approval of the development's community outreach plan on September 6, 2019.

	Grand Street Commons – Community
Printed Outreach	<ul> <li>High Impact</li> <li>Direct mailings to the radius of proposed site</li> <li>MBH will post translate         of local community cert         in the languages of - M         Spanish, English</li> <li>We will post translated         of the community mee     </li> </ul>
Electronic/Digital Outreach	<ul> <li>High Impact</li> <li>Project hotline (inform to DON's "Early Outrea added to DON's "Outree</li> <li>Recorded information voluciations &amp; understand languages listed.</li> <li>We will email all common neighborhood snapshot that outreach can be used.</li> </ul>
In-Person Outreach	High Impact
	<ul> <li>Hosting or Co-hosting a hour of presentation/d</li> </ul>

City of Seattle Office of Housing

Mt. Baker Housing consulted with the City of Seattle Office of Housing to determine the concentration of subsidized units within the site census block group of the proposed development site in the Fall of 2017.

Mt. Baker Housing received a Consistency Letter from the City of Seattle Office of Housing confirming the project meets the dispersion policies of the City of Seattle (September 11, 2019).

#### Additional Outreach

Since the project's inception, direction and feedback have been provided by its Board of Directors who include senior management from project stakeholder organizations: Asian Counseling & Referral Service, Wellspring Family Services, and Mt. Baker Community Club.



#### Outreach Plan

- esidencies and business within 500ft
- ed flyers of community event & meeting nters. We will be doing the 500ft letters landarin, Japanese, Vietnamese,
- fliers at apartment sites to notify them ting and the coming project
- ation & voicemail ) Project info added ch for Design Review Blog. Project each for design review calendar" will include all meeting dates, time, dable project information in the four
- nunity stakeholders located on the t provided by the city of Seattle and not sed for Washington Public Records act
- community meeting of at least one liscussion of project





NEIGHBORHOOD OUTREACH MEETING - OCTOBER 24, 2019



## **REQUESTED FEEDBACK**



### COMMUNITY MEETING - OCTOBER 24, 2019

The first open house took place on October 24, 2019 in the Mount Baker Village Apartments Community Room at 2580 29th Ave S. The following is a sampling of comments collected from community members in attendance at that meeting:

- discourage camping.

### **DESIGN RESPONSE**

The preferred massing scheme accounts for multiple pedestrian points of connection, small and large scale commercial spaces for a variety of programming options, and townhouse apartments along 22nd Ave S. The landscape approach calls for well lit, well programmed open spaces around the proposed buildings to foster a safe and comfortable pedestrian environment.

### COMMUNITY OUTREACH **GRAND STREET COMMONS**

Pedestrian connections are important in and around the blocks.

• Repeated concern about homeless encampments in the neighborhood. Neighbors like the idea of gathering space but are concerned about how it will be used. Several requested it be designed in a way to

Neighbors would appreciate more retail to walk to in their neighborhood. Requests included new bars and restaurants, retail, dry cleaning, and professional services.

• Liked the idea of town house units along 22nd Ave S.





- Map of Rainier Valley, pre-I-90
- Electric Rail Cars on Rainier Ave., 1891

The Rainier Avenue Electric Railway was constructed in 1891 by J.K. Edmiston to connect Seattle with adjacent cities. The railway provided interurban access for rural farmers and Seattle commerce and is credited with fueling the initial growth of Rainier Valley.

Place Market.

### **INTRODUCTION - PHYSICAL HISTORY OF THE RAINIER VALLEY**

Rainier Valley was originally home to native "Lake People" who lived primarily along the banks of Lake Washington and used the valley as a footpath connection to the communities along the Puget Sound. Settlers arrived at the end of the 19th Century, dividing up the land and developing the valley. In the early 1900s, the population was largely of Chinese, Italian, Irish and Filipino decent. The valley was settled with farmland and continued to be used as a thoroughfare to connect the city along the Sound to Lake Washington and developments further south.

10

Regrade at Dearborn St. and Rainier Ave., 1909

To further connections between downtown and rural Rainier Valley, the Dearborn regrade moved more than a million cubic yards of dirt. Farmers were able to more easily transport goods to sell at Pike



GARLIC GULCH - Starting around 1900

Starting around 1900, Italian immigrants came to Seattle to work as coal miners, construction laborers, and farmers. They settled in the North Rainier Valley and Beacon Hill areas because of the inexpensive housing, convenient location near downtown, and potential for developing small farm plots. They found a market for their produce in Seattle and the Rainier Valley became known as "garlic gulch" or "Little Italy."

In 1915, about 200 families lived in a 90-square-block area along Rainier Avenue. Many Italian-owned businesses were located in the area, including food imports, Borracchini's Bakery, Oberto Sausage Company, produce stands, grocery stores, a nursery (Malmo's), drug stores, and other shops. Stewart Lumber Building, 1920's

The Stewart Lumber & Hardware building was constructed in the early 1920's. In its early years, a streetcar spurline ran through the building to deliver lumber.

### POST-REGRADE DEVELOPMENT OF RAINIER VALLEY

As the 20th Century got underway, the valley continued to be a means of connecting Seattle to the south. The rail line moved people and goods through the valley, encouraging the development of businesses like Stewart Lumber, which welcomed spur lines through their shops to maximize transport. Other industrial uses abound as well, including the Belshaw Bakery, which resided on the East Block of Grand Street Commons. Belshaw Bakery

In 1924, Thomas and Walter Belshaw, two Rainier Valley locals, started the Belshaw Brothers Inc. bakery equipment manufacturing business at the current Grand Street Commons site. There, they invented the first automatic doughnut making machines.



### URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS HISTORIC CONTEXT





### URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS HISTORIC CONTEXT

### **20TH CENTURY POPULATION**

As shown in the 1936 Redlining Map, north Rainier Valley was predominantly Italian during the first half of the century. That changed mid-century when I-90 was built through the heart of the community, dispersing the previous population. By the 1960s, discrimation against African Americans limited their options for residence close to the heart of their community in the Central District to Rainier Valley and Beacon Hill. Many African Americans moved to these neighborhoods in the 1960s and 1970s. By 1990, there was a larger African American population in Rainier Valley than there was in the Central District.



Rainier Ave Streetcar at Black Mfg Co., 1936 Throughout its lifetime, the Rainier Avenue Electric Railway (later renamed Seattle Renton & Southern Railway) had a tumultuous relationship with Rainier Valley residents and the City of Seattle. After multiple derailments and failed purchase negotiations, the railway became defunct in 1937.

#### 1936 Racial Map

Historically, Seattle's discriminatory real estate covenants in other neighborhoods pushed minority and immigrant groups into the Central District and North Rainier Valley.

#### Late 20th Century

The end of the Vietnam War in 1975 saw the arrival of refugees from Indochina. Hispanics from Latin America took advantage of good property values and Rainier Avenue became multicultural and multilingual. Along with the vestiges of the neighborhood's Italian heritage such as Oberto Meats and Borrachini's Bakery are Mexican bodegas and Vietnamese restaurants offering phò.







### DEMOGRAPHIC SHIFT- 1960 to 2010



GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

### URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS NEIGHBORHOOD DIVERSITY

Rainier Valley has long been home to many immigrants, with Italian Americans and Japanese Americans predominating prior to World War II. Boom times during and after the war brought many more residents. African Americans relocated from other states and from Seattle's Central Area. More recently, new waves of immigrants from Latin America and southeast Asia have made Rainier Valley home.



### URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS NEIGHBORHOOD INDUSTRIAL CONTEXT

Thanks to the street car line facilitating commercial and industrial use through the valley in the early 20th century, many of the early to midcentury structures in the neighborhood were large footprint industrial / warehouse style buildings. These structures were generally 1 - 2 stories tall and had broad floor plans that absorbed most of their sites, leaving little area for surrounding open space and limiting the number of pedestrian connections in the neighborhoood.

The adjacent diagrams highlights these industrial buildings and identifies the industry associated with each one. Note there were several food supply uses in the neighborhood, many with cultural ties to the southeast Asian and Italian communities that once predominated in the neighborhood.

The concentration of Auto Services speak to the importance of Rainier Ave S as a major thoroughfare for automobiles from the early 20th century through to present day.







26TH

By the mid 20th century, this portion of Rainier Valley was well populated by low rise, large footprint buildings serving industrial and warehouse functions. The figure ground analysis illustrates how building scale transitions abruptly at the west edge of the valley as grade rises up Beacon Hill and single family residential becomes predominant. The transition to residential building fabric is more gradual to the east, where topography raises to the Mt. Baker neighborhood and the Central district plateau over the I-90 lid.

Today, the neighborhood is in a state of transition in anticipationg of the new Judkins Park Light Rail Station as many of the 1- and 2- story buildings are being replaced with 6+ story mixed-use structures along the Rainier corridor. New projects range from groupings of town houses in the transition zone between industrial and residential on either side of Rainier Ave S, to larger footprint mixed use buildings along the arterial route itself.

Grand Street Commons provides opportunity to carry the neighborhood's open space north and east of the project site down into the valley to create a pedestrian friendly node along Rainier as the valley welcomes new mass transit and pedetrian and bicycle activity grows.



### **URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS FIGURE GROUND**

### TRANSITION FROM INDUSTRIAL TO MIXED-USE

6+ Story buildings 4-5 Story buildings 1-3 Story buildings



### URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT & USES



RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP

GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

	Recreation / Open Space
	Multifamily / Mixed-Use Residential
	Commercial / Retail / Office
	Civic / Religious
	Industrial / Warehouse / Storage
	Institution / Education
	Single Family Residential
[]	Future Development



(A)Northwest African American Museum



(B) Colman Park / Seattle Children's Playgarden



C American Red Cross



(F) Dixon's Used Furniture



(I)Proposed 6 story apartment building



(L) Proposed 5 Story Apartment



D Proposed Townhouses



G Proposed 6 story Mix-use builling



J Proposed SEDU building



MProposed 6 story apartment building



(E) Wellspring Family Services



(H)Proposed 7 story apartment building



(K) Proposed 3 story private school



(N) Proposed 4 story apartment building

## **URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS** NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT & USES



O Proposed 4 story apartment building





(P) FareStart, Youth in Focus, Treehouse, + WA Womens Foundation



Computer Center


### **URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS** TRAFFIC AND WALKABILITY



\*Future Bus Rapid Transit Stop as indicated by SDOT Pedestrian Master Plan (July 2016)

The project is located in an area of the city where North-South movement is accessible and convenient, offering pedestrian and bike paths, vehicle and public transportation routes to Capitol Hill, First Hill, North Beacon Hill, Mount Baker Town Center, and beyond.

### **CONSTRAINTS**

& I-90

 $\mathbf{\hat{s}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ 

..**Ķ**.

Park

- Noise from nearby light industrial uses • Noise from Rainier Ave S
- South block alley limits opportunity for increase in affordable housing.

### **OPPORTUNITIES**

- Fast transit routes to Capitol Hill, First Hill, North Beacon Hill, & Mount Baker Station as well as future transit station to Eastside
- Opportunity to create a new town center with missing services and amenities.
- Main Vehicular Route
- Bus Route
- **Bicycle Route**
- Main Pedestrian Route



### Environmental/Traffic Noise



Very Walkable Most errands can be accomplished on foot.



**Good Transit** Many nearby public transportation options.



Very Bikeable



### **OPPORTUNITIES**



### **CONSTRAINTS**

- 9 (10) Short Alley frontage at North side (East Block)
- (11)
- (12)
- 13 (South Block)
- (14) Fast traffic on 23rd Ave S (East and South Blocks)
- (15) High voltage power lines along 22nd Ave S.

### **URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS**

Heart location of Grand Street Commons - opportunity to create a new town center with missing services and neighborhood amenities.

(8) Opportunity for highly visible corners to create gateways to the Commons

Heavy traffic on Rainier Ave S (South and West Blocks)

Northernmost parcel is zoned MR, not NC3 (East Block)

Steep slope at S Grand St and 23rd Ave S (East and South Blocks)

Unused alley reduces opportunities for maximizing development potential



### **DESIGN GUIDELINES** APPLICANT'S HIGHEST PRIORITY

## **URBAN PATTERN & FORM**



Strengthen the most desirable forms, characteristics, and patterns of the streets, block faces, and open spaces in the surrounding area.

#### **CENTRAL AREA NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE**

#### **CS2.I.** Transition and Delineation of Zones

a. Where denser zones transition to lower density residential zones, provide privacy layering and scale for ground related entrances, porches, and stoops on facades facing the less dense residential zone.

b. In addition to building height, use building massing and articulation to transition to single family scaled fabric. Other acceptable methods include setback, building footprint size and placement on the site, building width, facade modulation, and roof line articulation. c. The use of appropriately scaled residential elements, such as bay windows and balconies, on larger buildings next to single-family zones are encouraged to better relate to the human scale. This is especially important for buildings four stories or taller.

RESPONSE: At the ground plane, street-level residential entries are held back from the sidewalk and introduces stoop and porch conditions to allow for a public/private zone in which patrons can interact with the community behind. The rhythm of the existing residential fabric along 22nd Ave S is carried through to the architectural massing of the building at the upper levels. This facade modulation gives way to small terraces that act as an additional opportunity for more private engagement of the patron to the public realm.

### CONNECTIVITY



Complement and contribute to the network of open spaces around the site and the connections among them.

#### CENTRAL AREA NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE

#### PL1.1 Accessible Open Space

b. Larger projects around important neighborhood nodes should create generous recessed entries, corner plazas, and more usuable open space adjoining the streets.

#### PL1.2 Connection Back to the Community

a. Provide cultural and place-specific open spaces that can be used for a variety of uses including social gathering, festivals, and other larger celebrations.

b. Include weather protection to ensure the space can remain active all year long.

c. Enhance gathering places with lighting, art and features, so that the scale of the art and special features are commensurate with the scale of the new development.

d. Ensure exclusive rooftop, private, or gated open spaces are not the only form of open space provided for the project. Prioritize common, accessible, ground level open space at the building street fronts and/ or with courtyards that are not restricted or hidden from street views.

#### PL1.3 Livability for Families and Elderly

d. Provide multi-generational community gathering spaces for young and old to recreate and converse together.

**RESPONSE:** A variety of spaces with differing scales are provided to encourage a mix of gathering uses by a multigenerational community. A large plaza in the public rightof-way is proposed at the south end of the site.

#### STREET-LEVEL INTERACTION 43



Encourage human interaction and activity at the street level with clear connections to building entries and edges.

#### PL2.1 Accessible Open Space

a. Encourage color, material, and signage variation in storefront design. b. Design ground floor frontages in commercial and mixed-use areas that emulate or improve upon the surrounding pedestrian oriented context, while acknowledging the pedestrian patterns that exist. g. At residential projects, provide coupled entries where possible to foster a sense of community and visual interest in building entryways. Provide generous porches at these entries to encourage sitting and watching the street. h. Provide exterior access to ground floor residential units. This interior/exterior connection should occur frequently with entrances placed at a regular interval.

#### PL2.2 Streetscape Treatment

g. Provide voluntary space abutting the sidewalk right-of-way for businesses to utilize. h. Encourage a safe, comfortable environment for pedestrians with components of complete streets (ex: wide planter zones, wide sidewalks, and/or building setbacks to allow for usable porches, stoops and outdoor seating). i. Porches and stoops are the life of the street. Encourage human activity by providing opportunites for neighbors to connect, walk, and talk together on the sidewalk. j. To facilitate usuable stoops and patios, and to encourage pedestian to resident interaction, buffer private outdoor spaces from the public sidewalk with low walls, planters and landscape layering that defines the private space yet allows for face to face conversations.

k. If floor levels and site grading allows, the private stoop at residential units should be raised above sidewalk grade, using 30" as an average height, with universal access to the unit included elsewhere.

RESPONSE: There are a variety of commercial units along the south facade that will directly relate to the adjacent sidewalk and plaza spaces along S Grand Street. Large voluntary setbacks are provided at both the southeast corner and along 22nd Ave S. Ground floor residential will have stoops.

#### CENTRAL AREA NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE

## ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT



Develop a unified, functional architectural concept that fits well on the site and within its surroundings.

#### CENTRAL AREA NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE

#### DC2.1 Building Layout and Massing

- a. Project concepts should be intelligible and clear. Clarity makes knowledge of the design accessible, thus a larger portion of the community will be able to participate in the planning and design process.
- e. Consider all sides of the building and the impacts each facade has on its immediate neighboring context. i. Encourage clusters of small and local businesses together.

**RESPONSE:** The massing concept directly addresses the different sides of the building by responding the proposed outdoor "rooms" at each side. The south end of the building fronts a large public open space along S Grand Street. Along 22nd Ave S, facade modulation and a strong street-level responses is important to blend in with the existing residential fabric along that street and wraps around the NE corner to State Street. The massing concept features a strong street wall along the west facade to respond to the busy traffic and noise along Rainier Ave S.

### **OPEN SPACE CONCEPT**



Integrate building and open space design so that each complements the other.

#### CENTRAL AREA NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE

#### DC3.1 Common Open Spaces

- a. Where possible, provide common courtyards and yards that are publically visible and accessible. These spaces should be activated and layered, so that there is a graduation from private outdoor space, to the fully shared realm.
- c. Provide generous common, open space, including shared courtyards and plazas that serve as extensions of the adjacent public realm.

RESPONSE: The building fronts a large plaza space proposed for the right of way along the south facade at S Grand Street with additional setback of over 11'-O" along the south facade. The stoops and porches on 22nd Ave S engage the community in a public/private manner.

## CHARACTER AREAS



Contribute to architectural and placemaking character with local history and cultural references.

#### **CENTRAL AREA NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDANCE**

#### A1.1 History and Heritage

- Area's past.
- d. Include interpretive opportunities (through visual art, signage, markers, etc) that tell the story of the neighborhood's history in engaging ways. e. Encourage the building design to reflect the racial, economical, and multigenerational character of the community. g. Provide amenities appropriate to the activities and interests of the local community, such as basketball hoops, chess boards, tot lots and other family oriented activities. h. Bicycle use and parking should be encouraged to promote a healthy and active neighborhood and to support local businesses.

RESPONSE: By looking at the diverse demographic that has and currently reside in the neighbhorhood, the massing concept takes inspiration from the different cultural interpretations of the "Porch", from the African-American to the Japanese and Italian dwellers. In doing so, the Porch informs the way in which each side of the building addresses the outdoor room at which it faces. This allows for a variety of experiences from both the patron of the building as well as the public at large.

Information Sources: City of Seattle and Central Area Neighborhood Design Guidelines

## **DESIGN GUIDELINES** APPLICANT'S HIGHEST PRIORITY

a. ....Create 'pockets of culture' to represent both the Black American identity within the Central Area, as well as other heritages that have had a large impact on the Central



### DESIGN GUIDELINES URBAN CENTERS AND VILLAGES



### PROJECT URBAN BOUNDARY

The project is located in the North Rainier HUB Urban Village.

### NEIGHBORING URBAN BOUNDARIES

#### DOWNTOWN URBAN CENTER

• Chinatown / ID Urban Center Village

#### TOWN CENTER

• Mount Baker Town Center

#### RESIDENTIAL URBAN VILLAGE

- 23rd and Jackson Residential Urban Village
- North Beacon Hill Residential Urban Village



GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

### **DESIGN GUIDELINES CENTRAL AREA**

Grand Street Commons is located in an Influence Area as shown in the adjacent Central Area Character & Cultural Placemaker Map. These areas are subject to the History and Heritgage section of A1.I, which is largely focused on featuring African and Black American culture. Because the project sites are located at the south end of the Central Area, in a portion of the neighborhood that historically featured a strong identity in other minority groups, Grand Street Commons proposes to highlight aspects of the built environment that reference not only African American culture, but Asian and Italian heritage as well. As noted in A.1.1.a, the project should, "...Create 'pockets of culture' to represent both the Black American identity within the Central Area, as well as other heritages that have had a large impact on the Central





### **DESIGN GUIDELINES** ARCHITECTURAL RESPONSE



### THE "PORCH" IN THE CENTRAL AREA DESIGN GUIDELINES

All sections of the Central Area Design Guidelines support the concept of the Porch as an architectural feature to include and celebrate in new buildings. Multi-story, mixed use buildings provide opportunity to include this concept in a variety of ways as demonstrated in the diagram to the left. The Porch may be a literal porch or stoops at grade-level residential units. The Porch can also serve commercial functions, similar to the Italian portico. Above grade, the Porch may be a balcony or bay window that provides eyes on the street and allows residents to interact with the streetscape below. The East Building proposes to include a variety of Porches in the preferred massing scheme. Entry stoops along 22nd Ave S provide a public / private transition zone where residents can relax and interact with passerbys. The more private south-facing courtyard along S Grand St looks over the main corridor of the Grand Street Commons development and provides 'eyes-on-the-street' on that frontage. There are opportunities for balcony spaces at the upper residential levels for additional levels of outdoor space and views to/from the ground plane.

> From East Building packet - will edit to apply to all three buildings.

### **CONTEXT AND SITE**

CS1.2.e – create a "room" between the street and the building CS2.1.a - privacy layering with porches/entries adjacent to less dense res zones

### **PUBLIC LIFE**

- PL1.1.a provide safe and well-connected open spaces PL1.2.b/PL3.2.c - weather protection
- PL1.2c enhancing gathering spaces with lighting art and features PL1.2d - prioritize ground level open space not hidden from street views
- PL1.3.a provide safe areas for children to play where they can be seen, incorporate seating for community members to congregate. PL1.3.d - provide multi-generational community gathering spaces
- PL3.1.c promote transparency and eyes on street
- PL3.1.g generous porches to watch street
- PL3.1.h provide ground floor access to residential units
- PL3.2.b recessed business entries for protection
- PL3.2.h allow for usable porches, stoops and outdoor seating
- PL3.2.i porches and stoops are the life of the street
- PL3.2.j, K facilitate usable stoops and patios and encourage
- interaction; residential stoops should be raised above sidewalk grade

### **DESIGN CONCEPT**

DC2.1.c - break up building mass gathering around these retail pockets) accessible

DC3.1.c - generous open space that serve as extension of public re DC4.3.d - facades exhibiting a rhythm of fenestration and transparency to connect the inside program to the public realm

- DC2.1.b relate to ground, provide transparency
- DC2.1.h ground level units with adjacent open space
- DC2.1.i encourage clusters of small and local businesses (promot
- DC3.1.a provide common courtyards that are publicly visible and

### AFRICAN AMERICAN - THE STOOP







### THE JAPANESE ENGAWA







### THE ITALIAN PORTICO







GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

### DESIGN GUIDELINES ARCHITECTURAL RESPONSE









### **GRAND STREET COMMONS** LANDSCAPE ANALYSIS



23RD AVE S - LOOKING SOUTH



RAINIER AVE S - LOOKING SOUTH



S STATE STREET - LOOKING EAST











PROJECT SITE	
--------------	--

GREEN SPACE SYSTEM

RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER

INDUSTRIAL / COMMERCIAL / INSTITUTIONAL CHARACTER





LINK LIGHT RAIL - JUDKINS STATION

BUS STOP ROUTE #7 (FUTURE RAPID RIDE)

- GREEN SPACE INFLUENCE
- RESIDENTIAL INFLUENCE
- RAINIER FRONTAGE



GRAND STREET GREEN PEDESTRIAN QUALITY



22ND AVE RESIDENTIAL SCALE AND TEXTURE



RAINIER AVE/GRAND STREET COMMONS





THE COMMONS PLAZA

### **GRAND STREET COMMONS** LANDSCAPE OPPORTUNITES



RESIDENTIAL STREET CHARACTER







PEDESTRIAN AMENITY



STORMWATER INTEGRATION



### GRAND STREET COMMONS SITE PLAN



RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP



### THREE BUILDINGS

If the three blocks in Grand Street Commons were to be redeveloped in a more common scenario, it's likely each of the three buildings would have their own unique character as they would be developed at different times by different design and development treams. Massing and materials would be developed to respond to the existing context at project inception, which may or may not include the adjacent buildings. Inherently (and often unintentionally), outdoor rooms are created by the new building massing along the adjacent right-ofways.



### STREET CHARACTER

Developing three city blocks at once provides the opportunity to focus on the development of the outdoor rooms into an intentional excercise that will provide maximum benefit to the neighborhood at large. Grand Street Commons has several opportunities to create outdoor rooms between the new buildings:

- 1. Grand Street Plaza along Rainier Ave S
- 2. Residential Corridor along 22nd Ave S
- 3. Intersection of S Grand Street and 23rd Ave S



### GRAND STREET COMMONS

Grand Street Commons proposes to embrace the outdoor room concept to maximize the public experience of the full three block development. The new buildings will have an intentional relationship through massing and materials to the buildings proposed for the opposite side of the right-of-way, creating a cohesive backdrop to the three primary outdoor rooms and a dynamic and unique urban environment.

### **GRAND STREET COMMONS** BUILDINGS and STREET CHARACTER



### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS SITE SURVEY





30 RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP

GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST







01 S STATE STREET



02 RAINIER AVE S



03 SOUTH PROPERTY LINE



04 SITE ALONG 22nd AVE

### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS SITE PHOTOS



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

32



NC3-75' Chapter 23.47A - Commercial Key Zoning Code Criteria

Code Section	Торіс	Summary
23.47A.005.C	Street Level Uses	Limits to r
23.47A.008.A.2	Blank Facades	Blank Fac
		structure
23.47A.008.B	Non-Residential requirements	60% Trans
		Average d
		Floor to fl
23.47A.008.D	Residential at grade	At least o
		prominen
		The floor
		or be set
23.47A.012	Structure Height	Per zone -
23.47A.013	Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	FAR = 5.5
23.47A.014	Setback Requirements	Upper lev
		zone is pa
		Upper lev
		required a
	Façade Modulation	Structure
		feet or gr
	Decks and Balconies	Are permi
23.47A.016	Green Factor	Green Fac
23.47A.022	Light and Glare	Exterior li
		uses.
		Driveway
		propertie
23.47A.024	Amenity Area	5% total f
		Amenity a
23.47A.032	Parking Access	Parking sh
23.54.015	Parking requirements	no minim

## LR1 & LR2& LR3 NC3-95 NC3-75 C1-75 MR SF 5000

### ZONING DESIGNATION

NC3-75'(M)

### NEARBY ZONING

Northwest of Site: NC3-95'(M)

Northeast of Site: MR (M2)

West of Site: C1-75(M)

South and East of Site: NC3-75'(M)

### **ZONING SUMMARY**

The entire project site is zoned NC3-75′ (M). The same zone extends across 22nd Ave S to the east and S Grand Street to the south. The block west of the site on the opposite side of Rainier Ave S is zoned C1-75′. North of the site, there are two zones along the north side of S State Street: NC3-95′ (M) to the NW and MR (M2) to the NE.

### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS SUMMARY-WEST BLOCK

residential use at grade do not apply to this project.

ades may not exceed 20' in width or 40% of the width of a along the street.

sparency required

depth of 30' and min. depth of 15'

loor height is min. 13'-0"

n street-level, street-facing façade shall have a visusally nt entry

of a dwelling unit shall be 4' above or below sidewalk grade back at least 10 feet from the sidewalk.

- 75'

vel setback abutting an MR zone - does not apply when MR art of the same building.

vel setback for street-facing facades - setback of 8 feet is above 65 feet.

es > 250' in width must have one protion of the structure 30 reater setback 15 feet min from the front property line.

itted within the setback area.

ctor of 0.3 or greater is required.

ighting must be shielded and directed away from adjacent

rs and parking areas shall be screened from adjacent rs by a fence or wall between 5 feet and 6 feet in height

fross floor area in residential use.

areas shall not be enclosed.

hall be from the alley.

num parking required for residential or commercial use



### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - S GRAND STREET



RAINIER AVE S

A. NORTH ELEVATION OF GRAND STREET



23RD AVE S

### **B. SOUTH ELEVATION OF GRAND STREET**



GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST







GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - S GRAND STREET





35

### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - 22nd AVE S



GRAND ST

A. WEST ELEVATION OF 22ND AVE S



B. EAST ELEVATION OF 22ND AVE S



## **PROJECT SITE**



S STATE ST



GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - 22nd AVE S





### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - S STATE STREET



RAINIER AVE S

### A. NORTH ELEVATION OF S STATE STREET

**PROJECT SITE** 



B. SOUTH ELEVATION OF S STATE STREET



22nd AVE S



RAINIER AVE S

### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - S STATE STREET





### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - RAINIER AVE S



STATE ST

A. EAST ELEVATION OF RAINIER AVE S



B. WEST ELEVATION OF RAINIER AVE S

GRAND ST



GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

## **PROJECT SITE**



GRAND ST



STATE ST

### WEST BLOCK ANALYSIS STREET ELEVATION - RAINIER AVE S





PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST

### **OPTION A (CODE COMPLIANT)**









S STATE ST



PROPOSED GROSS RESIDENTIAL: 343,527 SF

- Total Residential Units: 282
- Total Parking: 279
- Total Commercial Area: 27,562 SF

#### PROS

Code compliant scheme

#### CONS

- Minimal modulation on all sides
- No relationship between massing and ground plane concepts
- No opportunity for different Porch options facing public spaces
- Loading truck turn radius at curb cuts do not work for proposed program

#### PROPOSED GROSS RESIDENTIAL: 337,572 SF

RAINIERNIE

- Total Residential Units: 280
- Total Parking: 262
- Total Commercial Area: 26,523 SF

#### PROS

- Consistent facade treatment across Rainier Ave S •
- Two-story massing at retail
- Recessed Porch concept (portico) at south plaza •
- Modulation and opportunities for stoops/patios along 22nd Ave S

RES. ENTRY

S GRAND ST

#### CONS

• Large curb cut on S State St (departure required)

#### PROS

- 22nd Ave S CONS

### MASSING CONCEPTS SUMMARY

• Greater facade breakdown along Rainier Ave S and 22nd Ave S • Recessed Porch concept (portico) at south plaza • Increased modulation and opportunities for stoops/patios along

• Large curb cut on S State S (departure required)



### MASSING CONCEPTS **OPTION A - MASSING**

# S STATE ST GARAGE ENTRY 22ND AVE S RAINERAVES RES. ENTRY S GRAND ST

#### PROPOSED GROSS RESIDENTIAL: 343,527 SF

- Total Residential Units: 282
- Total Parking: 279
- Total Commercial Area: 27,562 SF

#### PROS

• Code compliant scheme

#### CONS

- Minimal modulation on all sides
- No relationship between massing and ground plane concepts
- No opportunity for different Porch options facing public spaces
- Loading truck turn radius at curb cuts do not work for proposed program



BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM SE



BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM NW



**BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM NE** 

 RUNBERG
ARCHITECTURE
GROUP 



STREET VIEW LOOKING SOUTH ON 22ND AVE





GRAND ST PEDESTRIAN VIEW FROM EAST



STREET VIEW LOOKING NORTH ON RAINIER AVE S

### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION A - STREET VIEWS



### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION A - FLOOR PLANS





LEVEL P1



LEVEL 1

RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP 46







RESIDENTIAL













ROOF LEVEL

LEVEL 7

### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION A - FLOOR PLANS



RESIDENTIAL LOBBY/CIRCULATION AMENITY SPACE EXTERIOR DECK RETAIL



### MASSING CONCEPTS **OPTION A - SUN STUDIES**



RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP 48





#### SECTION

	W					 + _	ROOF LEVEL - W
		RESIDENTIAL		RESI	DENTIAL		
		RESIDENTIAL		RESIDENTIAL RESIDENTIAL			134' - 2"
		RESIDENTIAL				 	<u>LEVEL 5 - W</u>
AVE S		RESIDENTIAL		RESIDENTIAL			LEVEL 4 - W
	NIER	RESIDENTIAL	COURTYARD	RESIDENTIAL		ND AN	LEVEL 3 - W
		00000	AMEN.	RES.	22	94'-10"	
				AMEN.	RES.		LEVEL 1 - W
		PARKING					70' - 0"
PARKING						<u>LEVEL P2 - W</u>	

### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION A - BUILDING SECTION







### MASSING CONCEPTS **OPTION B - MASSING**



#### PROPOSED GROSS RESIDENTIAL: 337,572 SF

- Total Residential Units: 280
- Total Parking: 262
- Total Commercial Area: 26,523 SF

#### PROS

- Consistent facade treatment across Rainier Ave S
- Two-story massing at retail
- Recessed Porch concept (portico) at south plaza
- Modulation and opportunity for stoops/patios along 22nd Ave S

#### CONS

• Large curb cut on S State St (departure required)



BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM SE



BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM NW

**BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM NE** 





STREET VIEW LOOKING SOUTH ON 22ND AVE





GRAND ST PEDESTRIAN VIEW FROM EAST



STREET VIEW LOOKING NORTH ON RAINIER AVE S

### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION B - STREET VIEWS



### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION B - FLOOR PLANS





LEVEL P1





RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP 52







RESIDENTIAL







LEVEL3



LEVEL 7

LEVEL 4-6



ROOF LEVEL







## MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION B - FLOOR PLANS
### MASSING CONCEPTS **OPTION B - SUN STUDIES**



RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP 54





#### SECTION



# MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION B - BUILDING SECTION







# MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION C - MASSING



### PROPOSED GROSS RESIDENTIAL: 337,850 SF

- Total Residential Units: 280
- Total Parking: 262
- Total Commercial Area: 26,638 SF

### PROS

- Greater facade breakdown along Rainier Ave S and 22nd Ave S
- Recessed Porch concept (portico) at south plaza
- Increased modulation and opportunity for stoops/patios along 22nd Ave S

### CONS

• Large curb cut on S State S (departure required)



BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM SE





BIRD'S EYE VIEW FROM NE

56



STREET VIEW LOOKING SOUTH ON 22ND AVE





GRAND ST PEDESTRIAN VIEW FROM EAST



STREET VIEW LOOKING NORTH ON RAINIER AVE S

### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION C - STREET VIEWS



### MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION C - FLOOR PLANS













LEVEL P1





RESIDENTIAL







LEVEL3



LEVEL 7

LEVEL 4-6



ROOF LEVEL





LOBBY/ CIRCULATION AMENITY SPACE EXTERIOR DECK RETAIL

RESIDENTIAL





### MASSING CONCEPTS **OPTION C - SUN STUDIES**



RUNBERG ARCHITECTURE GROUP 60





#### SECTION

HEIGHT LIMIT - W							
146' - 11"		RESIDENTIAL		RES			<u>ROOF LEVEL - W</u> 144' - 6" LEVEL 7 - W
		RESIDENTIAL		RES			134' - 2" LEVEL 6 - W
		RESIDENTIAL		RESIDENTIAL		· · ·	124' - 4"
		RESIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL		RESIDENTIAL		$= \underline{LEVEL_{4} - W}_{104' - 8''}$
		RESIDENTIAL	COURTYARD	RESIDENTIAL			LEVEL 3 - W
				RES.	<u>LEVEL_2_W</u>		
AVG. GRADE PLAN		COMMERCIAL			RES.		84'-0"
71'-11"		PARKING					<u> EVEL 1VV-</u> 70' - 0"
	⊥ I	PARKING					<u>LEVEL</u> <u>P1 - W</u> 60' - 0"



# MASSING CONCEPTS OPTION C - BUILDING SECTION









GRAND STREET COMMONS WEST







GREEN PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE



STORMWATER INTEGRATION



LOBBY ENGAGEMENT



RETAIL SPILL OUT



## MASSING CONCEPTS LANDSCAPE DESIGN

### **DEPARTURES** POTENTIAL DEPARTURE #1

### DEVELOPMENT STANDARD

23.54.030.F.2.B.2: For two-way traffic, the minimum width of curb cuts is 22 feet, and the maximum width is 25 feet, except that the maximum width may be increased to 30 feet if truck and auto access are combined

### DEPARTURE REQUEST/PROPOSAL:

Allow a curb cut in excess of 30 feet on S State Street, an access street.

### JUSTIFICATION

One combined curb cut is proposed for parking access and loading dock access. The single combined curb-cut consolidates vehicular access and maximizes uninterrupted sidewalks along S State St. City-wide Design Guideline DC1.B.1 encourages minimizing conflict between vehicles and non-motorists and encourages shared driveway use and minimizing number of curb cuts.

### SUPPORTING DESIGN GUIDELINE

DC1.B.1 Access Location and Design





### **DEVELOPMENT STANDARD**

23.47A.008.D.2: The floor of a dwelling unit located along the street-level, street-facing facade shall be at least 4 feet above or 4 feet below sidewalk grade or be set back at least 10 feet from the sidewalk

### DEPARTURE REQUEST/PROPOSAL:

Allow one side of dwelling unit exterior facing S State S to be setback less than 10 feet from sidewalk.

### JUSTIFICATION

The primary street-facing facade of the street-level dwelling unit is along 22nd Ave S and is setback by 10 ft to comply with the standard as well as provide landscape and stoop/porch conditions. The north side of the unit that is street-level and street facing will have reduced number of window openings to provide privacy. If this wall was to be setback, a potentially unsafe alcove condition would be created with few 'eyes' on it. Additionally, Central Area design guidelines encourage building forms to engage the ground plane.

### SUPPORTING DESIGN GUIDELINE

PL2.B.1 Eyes on the Street DC2.1.b Building Layout and Massing





STREET VIEW



### **CODE COMPLIANT CONDITION**

### **PROPOSED CONDITION**

PLAN



# **DEPARTURES** POTENTIAL DEPARTURE #2



### S STATE ST

