

15600 NE 8th Street, Suite B1, 617 Bellevue, WA 98008 <u>Dylan@GallowayEnvironmental.com</u>

January 16, 2019

Sunset Chevrolet, Inc. Mitchell Development Attn: Ms. Christi Acuna 910 Traffic Avenue Sumner, WA 98390

E-mailed to: <u>CristiAcuna@SunsetChev.com</u>

SUBJECT: GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT FOR THE NATIONAL AUTO PARTS PROPERTY AT 16008 60TH STREET EAST, SUMNER, WASHINGTON 98390; WA ECOLOGY SITE ID #1304, CLEANUP SITE #3653, VCP PROJECT #SW1547

Dear Ms. Acuna:

This letter report presents Galloway Environmental, Inc.'s (GEI's) findings from recent sampling of seven groundwater monitoring wells at the National Auto Parts property, located at 16008 60th Street East in Sumner, Washington (hereafter referred to as "the Site." The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Site Identification (ID) for the Site is #1304. Ecology's Cleanup Site ID for the Site is #3653. Ecology's Voluntary Cleanup Project ID for the Site is SW1547.

The Site is situated immediately southeast of the intersection of 60th Street East and 160th Avenue East. It is approximately 0.4 miles north of State Route (SR) 410 and 1.7 miles east of SR 167 in an area of residential and commercial properties (see Attachment 1, Figure 1). Pierce County lists the Site to be associated with the property listed as parcel numbers 0520198006 and 2006198700. Parcel 0520198006 is listed as "Phil's Speed Shop, LLC" which consists of approximately 1.58 acres of land and includes a 9,300 square foot (sf) storage/warehouse. Parcel 2006198700 is listed as "Sumner National Auto Parts, Inc" and does not have acreage listed.

This work was conducted as a continuance to an independent remedial action undertaken by GEI for Mr. Phil Mitchell with the primary goal to evaluate groundwater conditions upgradient and downgradient of the former source area of contamination to confirm the effectiveness of the recent Independent Remedial Action at the Site.

BACKGROUND

Sumner National Auto Parts operated a retail auto parts supply store with an "out of vehicle" engine rebuild and metal machine shop since the facility was constructed in 1979 until it was recently closed. The machine shop area occupied approximately 4,500 sf and the retail sales and storage area occupied approximately 3,000 sf.

Reportedly, an unknown amount of spent parts cleaning solutions and caustic spray were released to a concrete slab and soils along the eastern side of the building near the structure's exterior door. The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) responded to a citizen complaint regarding the spill and determined that the Site was impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and several parts-cleaning solvents.

The owner contracted EnCo Environmental Company (EnCo) to conduct several environmental studies and develop an Environmental Cleanup Plan for the Site. Based on laboratory analyses of soil and groundwater samples collected during the environmental studies, EnCo developed an *Independent Remedial Cleanup Action Work Plan*, dated May 23, 2017, hereafter referred to as the "Work Plan."

Between October 2017 and January 2018, a remedial excavation was conducted at the Site in general accordance with the Work Plan. The primary objective of remediating the Site was to ensure the protection of human health and the environment.

National Auto Parts, 16008 60th Street East, GWM Letter Report January 16, 2019, Page 2

The remedial excavation generally included the removal of 262.92 tons of impacted soils. The excavated soil was transported for disposal at the LRI Landfill, located at 17925 Meridian Street East in Puyallup, Washington under the Waste Disposal Authorization (WDA) number 2188. During the excavation work, GEI collected soil samples for laboratory analyses to document the effectiveness of the removals (performance samples) and to document the remaining concentrations of contaminants of concern (COCs) from the final limits of excavation (confirmation samples).

Based on laboratory analytical results of the confirmation soil samples collected from the final limits of excavation, all known soils COCs have been satisfactorily removed from the Site.

Between August 13, 2018 and August 14, 2018, GEI oversaw the installation of six groundwater monitoring wells at the Site. One pre-existing well (MW-1), situated in the central region of the Site, was installed on October 30, 2015. The six additional wells (MW-2 through MW-7) were installed in general accordance with the Work Plan and GEI's proposal number 2709.03, dated September 7, 2017. The installation included one well in the western perimeter of the Site (MW-2), two north of the remedial excavation area (MW-3 and MW-4), two east of the remedial excavation area (MW-5 and MW-6), and one in the upgradient position (MW-7). The locations are depicted in Figure 2 of Attachment 1 of this report.

During drilling activities for MW-2 through MW-7, GEI field-screened soil for indications of impacts (e.g., odors, staining, elevated photoionization detector [PID]) readings. GEI also collected soil samples from each borehole for analyses of petroleum hydrocarbons, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and total metals. At each sample point, Cascade advanced an 18-inch long split spoon sampling device. Upon reaching the terminal depths of each sample point, the sample device was extracted from the borehole and the accompanying soil sample was retained and field screened. Soil samples collected for laboratory analysis were placed directly into new laboratory-supplied glass containers.

In accordance with the Work Plan and Ecology's Opinion Letter dated July 12, 2017, GEI submitted the soil samples to a Washington State Certified laboratory (OnSite Environmental, located at 14648 NE 95th Street in Redmond, Washington) to test for petroleum hydrocarbons using the Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Hydrocarbon Identification (NWTPH-HCID), VOCs, PAHs, PCBs, and metals (arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, and lead).

Laboratory analyses of all soil samples resulted in either non-detectable concentrations or detected concentrations at levels below their respective action levels.

On August 31, 2018, GEI collected groundwater samples from each well for laboratory analysis. The samples were submitted the to OnSite Environmental to test for petroleum hydrocarbons, VOCs, PAHs, PCBs, dissolved and total metals (arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, and lead), and hexavalent chromium. Laboratory analyses of all groundwater samples resulted in either non-detectable concentrations or detected concentrations of analytes at levels below their respective action levels with exception to dissolved and total arsenic. Dissolved arsenic was detected in the groundwater samples collected from MW-3, MW-5, and MW-7 at concentrations of 9.0 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), 5.4 μ g/L, and 5.9 μ g/L, respectively. Total arsenic was detected in the groundwater samples collected from MW-7 at concentrations of 12.0 μ g/L, 5.8 μ g/L, and 6.4 μ g/L, respectively and slightly exceed the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 μ g/L.

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

On December 20, 2018, GEI collected groundwater samples from each of the seven on-site groundwater monitoring wells. Prior to sample collection, GEI gauged and purged each well to determine the depths to groundwater, confirm stabilized groundwater conditions, and attain representative groundwater samples. The purging and sampling methods included the use of a low-flow peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing which directed the samples into new laboratory supplied glass containers. During the purging process, water quality parameters were measured using a multiparameter water quality meter (model YSI 556 MPS) fitted with a flow-through cell to measure pH, temperature, conductivity, and dissolved oxygen (DO). The meter was calibrated prior to use using a 3-point pH calibration process (pH valued at 4.02, 7.02, and 10.04) and a 3-point conductivity process (conductivity valued at 84 micrograms per centimeter [μ g/cm], 1,413 μ g/cm, and 12,880 μ g/cm).

The pH measured at the conclusion of the purging process for all wells ranged from 5.58 (MW-5) to 6.27 (MW-2). The conductivity measured at the conclusion of the purging process for all wells ranged from 1.126

milliSiemens per centimeter (mS/cm) (MW-6) to 0.274 mS/cm. The DO measured at the conclusion of the purging process for all wells ranged from 0.60 milligrams per liter (mg/L) (MW-2) to 1.63 mg/L (MW-5). Turbidity was observed to be clear in all wells at the conclusion of the purging process. GEI purged a minimum of three well volumes from each well prior to sample collection.

Chemical Analysis of Groundwater Samples

GEI submitted the samples to OnSite to test for petroleum hydrocarbon identification using the Northwest Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Hydrocarbon Identification (NWTPH-HCID) with follow-up to detected analyte(s) and dissolved MTCA 5 Metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and mercury) using the US EPA 200.8/7470A Method. Petroleum constituents (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes), PAHs, PCBs, and hexavalent chromium were eliminated from the analyte list during this sampling event since they were not detected at appreciable levels during the groundwater monitoring event in August 2018.

Sample Handling, Storage, and Shipment

The samples were packaged properly according to the current DOT requirements. Ice was added to ensure that the samples were kept at approximately 4 degrees Celsius ($^{\circ}$ C). The samples were kept chilled between the time of the sampling and the time of the analytical processing.

The samples were hand-delivered to the analytical laboratory on their collection date under proper chain-ofcustody protocols on the same day as collection. A field logbook documented the field activities, observations, and other relevant information regarding the sampling.

Equipment Decontamination

The samples were collected at each sample location using procedures designed to minimize the risk of cross contamination of the samples. All sampling equipment that could come into direct contact with sample media was decontaminated before starting work and between each sampling location. The following procedure was used for cleaning all sampling equipment:

- Remove gross contamination by brushing/wiping and rinsing with potable water.
- Wash and scrub with laboratory grade detergent (i.e., Aquinox®).
- Rinse with distilled water.
- Air dried.

Groundwater Sample Analytical Results

Laboratory analyses of all groundwater samples resulted in either non-detectable concentrations or detected concentrations at levels below their respective action levels. These are further discussed below and presented in Table 1 (Attachment 2). The laboratory analytical report is attached as Appendix B.

Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Laboratory analysis resulted in the detection of petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel in the groundwater collected from MW-4 at a concentration of 0.27 mg/L, below the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 0.5 mg/L. Laboratory analysis did not result in a detection of any other petroleum compound at concentrations equal to or exceeding their respective laboratory practical quantitation limits (PQLs) in any of the other groundwater samples analyzed.

<u>Metals</u>

Dissolved arsenic was detected in the groundwater sample collected from MW-7 at a concentration of 3.2 μ g/L below the MTCA Method A Cleanup Level of 5.0 μ g/L. Laboratory analysis did not result in a detection of any other metals analytes at concentrations equal to or exceeding their respective laboratory PQLs in any of the groundwater samples analyzed

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on laboratory analyses, the groundwater in all groundwater monitoring wells sampled during this monitoring event were confirmed to have either non-detectable concentrations of contaminants of concern or detected concentrations at levels below their respective MTCA Method A Cleanup Action Levels.

GEI recommends continued monitoring of the groundwater in all seven groundwater monitoring wells.

GEI further recommends continued elimination of future analyses of PAHs, PCBs, total metals, and hexavalent chromium.

National Auto Parts, 16008 $60^{\rm th}$ Street East, GWM Letter Report January 16, 2019, Page 4

Should you have any questions regarding this report or if you would like to discuss our findings, please contact me at the addresses listed at the top of this letter.

Respectfully Submitted, GALLOWAY ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

Dylan Galloway, REA *President*

ATTACHMENT 1

FIGURES



Source: MyTopo.com, GEI Project 37018





Source: Google Earth, May, 2018, GEI Project 37018

ATTACHMENT 2

ANALYTICAL TABLES

												Analyte												
Sample Number	Date of Sample	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (mg/L)				Fuel Cons	tituents (μg/L)		Polycyclic	Aromatic Hydro	ocarbons	Polychlorinated			Dissolved	Metals (µg/	/L)		Hexavalent		Tot	al Metals (μ	g/L)	
		Gasoline	Diesel	Lube Oil	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Phenanthrene	Fluoranthene	Pyrene	Biphenyl (μg/L)	Arsenic	Barium	Cadmium	Chromium	Lead	Mercury	(μg/L)	Arsenic	Barium	Cadmium	Chromium	Lead
MW-1	8/31/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.26	ND <0.41	ND <0.20	ND <1.0	ND <0.20	ND <0.60	ND <0.094	ND <0.094	ND <0.094	ND <0.047	ND <3.0	ND <25	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0		ND <10	ND <3.3	ND <28	ND <4.4	ND <11	ND <1.1
	12/20/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.26	ND <0.41									ND <3.0		ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0	ND <0.50)					
MW-2	8/31/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40	ND <0.20	ND <1.0	ND <0.20	ND <0.60	ND <0.094	ND <0.094	ND <0.094	ND <0.047	ND <3.0	39	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0		ND <10	ND <3.3	59	ND <4.4	ND <11	ND <1.1
	12/20/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40									ND <3.0		ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0	ND <0.50)					
MW-3	8/31/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40	ND <0.20	ND <1.0	ND <0.20	ND <0.60	ND <0.095	ND <0.095	ND <0.095	ND <0.047	9.0	37	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0		ND <10	12.0	69	ND <4.4	ND <11	ND <1.1
	12/20/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40									ND <3.0		ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0	ND <0.50)					
MW-4	8/31/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40	ND <0.20	ND <1.0	ND <0.20	ND <0.60	ND <0.096	ND <0.096	ND <0.096	ND <0.047	ND <3.0	40	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0		ND <10	ND <3.3	63	ND <4.4	ND <11	ND <1.1
	12/20/2018	ND <0.10	0.27	ND <0.40									ND <3.0		ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0	ND <0.50)					
MW-5	8/31/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40	ND <0.20	ND <1.0	ND <0.20	ND <0.60	ND <0.10	ND <0.10	ND <0.10	ND <0.047	5.4	43	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0		ND <10	5.8	50	ND <4.4	ND <11	ND <1.1
	12/20/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40									ND <3.0		ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0	ND <0.50)					
MW-6	8/31/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.26	ND <0.41	ND <0.20	ND <1.0	ND <0.20	ND <0.60	ND <0.10	ND <0.10	ND <0.10	ND <0.047	ND <3.0	52	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0		ND <10	ND <3.3	57	ND <4.4	ND <11	ND <1.1
	12/20/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40									ND <3.0		ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0	ND <0.50)					
MW-7	8/31/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.41	ND <0.20	ND <1.0	ND <0.20	ND <0.60	ND <0.097	ND <0.097	ND <0.097	ND <0.047	5.9	32	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0		ND <10	6.4	34	ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0
	12/20/2018	ND <0.10	ND <0.25	ND <0.40									3.2		ND <4.0	ND <10	ND <1.0	ND <0.50)					
MTCA Met Le	hod Action vel	0.8/1.0 ^a	0.5	0.5	5.0	1,000	700	1,000	NVE	NVE	480 ^b	0.1	5.0/10 ^c	3,200 ^b	5.0	50	15	2.0	48	5.0	3,200 ^b	5.0	50	15

Notes:

mg/L Milligrams per liter

Micrograms per liter μg/L

Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Action Level is 0.8 mg/L with benzene present and 1.0 mg/L without benzene present а

MTCA Method B Action Level is cited due to no establishment of MTCA Method A Action Level b

c MTCA Method A Action Level is 5.0 μ g/L; Ecology's Maximum Contaminant Level is 10 mg/L ND< Not detected. The value after the '<' is the laboratory practical quantitation limit (PQL)

9.0 Bold and shaded gray result indicates exceedance of MTCA Action Level

NVE No Value Established

-- Not analyzed

APPENDIX A

FIELD FORMS

Project	t No	3701	8	_	WAT	TER S	SAMPLING LOG Sheet of
Project Project Client Measu Water Purgin Sample	t Name: t Location <i>M.+A</i> ring Poin column og metho er <u>D</u> (e preserv	<u>Nat</u> n: <u>160</u> nt <u>N</u> in well d 7 hallow	f' A h $a \otimes b D f$ $d \otimes b $	~ <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u> <u>+</u>	Vell No. We Site Ma Initia Gallons Volum per & ty <u>ice</u> Ot	<u>Mu</u> Il Loca nager I deptl per fo ne purp pe of c her (de	$\frac{J-1}{Date & Time Started 12/20/18 0940}$ ation & diameter $\frac{5W}{20000000}$ $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
Time	Temperature (°C)	PH	nshing (μS)	Turbidity	Dissolved Oxygen	Water Color	DESCRIPTION (Volume purged between measurements)
0945	10,01	6.41	0.188	slt turbib	4.87	sit	O.I Sul total
0951	10.15	5.67	0.190	ur	2.45	cr	0.25 gal Notal
0458	10.12	5.79	0.190	cir	1.48	11	1.05-1 total
1004	10.10	5.80	0191	11-	1.38	or	1.255-1 Nul
1010	10.10	5.82	0.191	cr	1.34	ar	2.0 5.1 total
							1014 cullent white-simple field filtered the simple protons for methods
Well c	asing vol	umes	(gal/ft)	- 1.25″	=0.077, 3	1.5″=0.	10, 2"=0.16, 2.5"=0.24, 3"=0.37, 3.5=0.50, 4"=0.65, 6"=1.46

GALL)WAY E	NVIR	ONME	ENTAL	., INC.,	15600	NE 8 th St, Suite B1,617, Bellevue, WA 98008 (425)894-8607
Project	No. <u>3</u>	7018			WAT	TER S	AMPLING LOG Sheet of
Project Project Client Measu Water Purgin Sampl Sampl	Name: Location <u>Middeli</u> ring Poir column i ng metho er_ <u>D</u> Ge e preserv	Nestrin n: <u>Iloa</u> Devel in well d <u>Perio</u> d <u>Perio</u> vation :	nul Auto 28 60ms 0pment 10,5 stultic P	> W wite S 	Vell No. Wel Site Mar Initial Gallons Volum er & tyj <u>ce</u> Ot	<u>mw</u> Il Locat nager <u></u> I depth per fo ne purg pe of co her (de	The fine Started $12/20/18$ 1150 tion & diameter $W d/ 31d_2 2^{-1}$ DGallowy Logged By $DGallowyto water 3.28 Depth to well bottom 13.80ot 0.16 Gallons in well 1.68 (A3=5.04)Gallons in well 1.68 (A3=5.04)$
Time	Femperature (°C)	Hd	μ5/εμ Conductivity (μS)	Turbidity	וואאל/ Dissolved Oxygen	Water Color	DESCRIPTION (Volume purged between measurements)
1200)1.91°C	6.24	0,257	ur	4.0)	CIr	0,25 gal total
1207	12.16	6.30	0.267	cir	0.98	61	1.0 5-1 total
1214	12:29	6.29	0.271	Cir	0.60	cir	2.0 gal total
121	12,27	6.28	0.273	ur	0.63	11-	3,0 gal total
1228	12.28	6.28	0,274	c1r	0.63	cir	4,0 gul total
1235	12.28	6.27	0.274	er	061	Cr	5.0gal tak
1240	12.29	6.27	0.274	ur	0.60	ur	5.5 gal total
						×	1244 Collect water Sample

Well casing volumes (gal/ft) - 1.25"=0.077, 1.5"=0.10, 2"=0.16, 2.5"=0.24, 3"=0.37, 3.5=0.50, 4"=0.65, 6"=1.46

Signature_

4

Date 12/20/18

GALLO	WAY E	NVIR	ONME	NTAL	, INC.,	15600 1	NE 8 th St, Suite B1,617, Bellevue, WA 98008 (425)894-8607				
Project	No3	7018			WAT	ER S	AMPLING LOG Sheet of				
Project Name: <u>Midnual Arb</u> Well No. <u>MW-3</u> Date & Time Started <u>12/20/18</u> <u>12.50</u> Project Location: <u>1608 60ⁿ Str</u> Well Location & diameter <u>E 4/44</u> <u>2''</u> Client <u>Mitchell Development</u> Site Manager <u>Drallwing</u> Logged By <u>Drallwing</u> Measuring Point <u>N</u> Initial depth to water <u>3.68</u> Depth to well bottom <u>13.63</u> Water column in well <u>9.95</u> Gallons per foot <u>0.16</u> Gallons in well <u>1.59 (35-4.77)</u> Purging method <u>Periskellic Pump</u> Volume purged <u>5.52</u> Decontamination <u>Aprinex ID1 wick</u> Sampler <u>Drallwing</u> Number & type of containers <u>2-1/21 Miss</u> , <u>2-1/21 Polyc</u> , <u>3-44-04.004s</u> Sample preservation method: <u>Harific</u> Other (describe)											
Time	Temperature (°C)	pH	میں کہ میں Conductivity (µS)	Turbidity	ws く Dissolved Oxygen	Water Color	DESCRIPTION (Volume purged between measurements)				
12.58	11.10	6,06	0,198	Cle	3.79	ur	0.25 gul total				
1305	11.39	6.04	0.203	cir	0.89	cir	1.0 gal total				
1312	11.55	6.06	0.205	clr	0.87	CIF	2.0 gul total				
1319	11.65	6.07	6.205	ar	0,86	CIE	3.0 gal ton l				
1326	11.66	6.08	0.204	Ur	0.90	cir	4.0 Sal home				
<u>1334</u>	11-66	6.08	0,204	Clr	0.87	cir	Signi total				
							1357 Collect Water Sumply				
Well c	asing vo	lumes	(gal/ft)	- 1.25″-	=0.077, 1	1.5″=0.	10, 2″=0.16, 2.5″=0.24, 3″=0.37, 3.5=0.50, 4″=0.65, 6″=1.46				
Signa	ture	4	hay		an * 1		Date 12/20/18				

Project	t No. <u>3</u>	1018			WAT	FER S	SAMPLING LOG Sheet of				
Project	t Name:	Nutr	nal Auto	» W	/ell No.	Mu	D-4 Date & Time Started 12/20/18 1348				
Project	t Location	n: 16a	is 60ms	tĒ	We	ll Loca	tion & diameter E-Central to Bly 2"				
Client	Mitchell	Develop	ment	9	Site Ma	nager _	D. Gallowing Logged By DGallowing				
Measuring Point N Initial depth to water <u>3.38</u> Depth to well bottom <u>13.78</u>											
Water column in well 10.4 Gallons per foot 0.16 Gallons in well 1.66 ($\kappa_3 = 4.98$)											
Purging method Restable Purp Volume purged 5.0 Decontamination Aquine & Di water											
Sampler D Gullewy Number & type of containers 2 - 1/2 L Antes, 2 - 1/2 L Polys, 3 - 40 - L VOAs											
Sample preservation method: Hel k^2 Other (describe)											
		1									
	C)		tr (Sl		len Zen						
	ure (°		rs/c		OXYS	or	DESCRIPTION				
	eratı		, uctiv	dity	lved	r Col					
lime	ſemp	H	Cond	lurbi	Disso	Nate	(Volume purged between measurements)				
1350	9.58	5.94	0.166	dr	3,20	CIF	0.25 gul total				
1357	9.89	5.91	0.168	elr	1.49	CIE	1.0 galtutal				
1404	10,2)	5.95	0,171	Ur	0.91	Lir	2.0 gal total				
14 II	10,43	5.98	0.174	Ur	0.71	Cir	3.0 gal tutal				
1417	10.54	6.00	0,176	cr	0.61	cir	4.0 sal total				
1425	10,54	6.01	0.177	ar	0.62	dr	Sogal total				
1100				-			5				
	ξ. K						1430 vollert water sumple				
		.	L	 	l						
TA7-11 -	asing vol	lumes	(gal/ft)	- 1.25"=	=0.077,	1.5"=0.1	10, 2"=0.16, 2.5"=0.24, 3"=0.37, 3.5=0.50, 4"=0.65, 6"=1.46				
wen c			ί, ^γ								

.

Project	t No	37018			WAT	TER S	SAMPLING LOG Sheet of
Project	Name:	Netru	mal Aut	N W	Vell No.	mu	Date & Time Started 12/20/18 1540
Project	Location	n: <u>16a</u>	ok bomst	É	We	ll Loca	tion & diameter E Prop Portuek - /canke 2"
Client	Mitchell	Devel	10 print	:	Site Ma	nager	DGallwing Logged By DGallwing
Measu	ring Poir	nt _N			Initia	l deptł	n to water <u>3.34</u> Depth to well bottom <u>14.29</u>
Water	column i	n well	10.95		Gallons	per fo	0.16 Gallons in well $1.75 (x_3 = 5.2)$
Purgir	ig metho	d <u>Pe</u>	staltic T	Pump	Volum	ne purg	ged _ 5.5 gal Decontamination Agenor DI the
Sampl	er_D6	allow	1	Numb	er & ty	pe of c	ontainers 2-1/22 Ambers, 2-1/22 Polys, 3-40 ML UDAS
Sample	e preserv	ation	, method	HNU3	1ce Ot	her (de	escribe)
	(°C)		5/em (JuS)		ッケル Vgen		DESCRIPTION
	ture		m, ivity	>	v Ox	olor	
e	npera		iduct	bidit	solve	ter C	
Tim	Ten	Ηd	Cor	Tur	Dis	Wa	(Volume purged between measurements)
1545	9.21	5.91	0,143	Cle	3.02	CIE	0,2554 total
1548	9.35	5.91	0.144	CIF	2.69	cir	1.0 sal total
KSY	9.53	5.89	0.144	ur	2,50	clr	1.5 gal total
1101	9-710	5.87	0,145	CI-	2.33	CIF	2.5 celtobal
	967	586	0.111	0-	20	CIE	2 Sal tom
1608	7.9 7	5.00	0,146		5.0	<u> </u>	
615	9.98	5.85	0.147	Cr	1.78	Cir	4.5 Sel total
632	9.99	51.58	0.48	Cr	1.63	Cr	Sisgul tom
	ja v						1628 collectwater Sample

Signature_

0

88.45

Date 12/20/18

Project	No. <u>3</u>	7018			WAT	TER S	SAMPLING LOG Sheet of						
Project	: Name:	Nation	ul Auto	W	Well No. MW-6 Date & Time Started 12/20/18 1440								
Project	Location	n: <u>1600</u>	8 60ms	ē	We	ll Loca	tion & diameter <u>E & Blag</u>						
Client	Witchel	Devel	opment		Site Ma	nager	D Gallowy Logged By DGallowy						
Measu	ring Poi	nt <u>N</u>			Initia	l deptł	to water 3.30 Depth to well bottom 14.10						
Water	column	in well	10,9	8	Gallons per foot Gallons in well $\frac{1.73}{x3:5}$								
Purging method Porstaltic Pour Volume purged 6.0 Decontamination Ag													
Sampler D Gallowy Number & type of containers 2-1/2 L Ambers 21/2 L Polys 3-4UML WAS													
Sample	e preserv	vation	method	HNU:	ice Ot	her (de	escribe)						
Sample preservation method: <u>HCL (ce_</u> Other (describe)													
	e (°C		mS/e ty (hS		ms//	5	DESCRIPTION						
	eratuı		ıctivil	lity	ved C	Colo							
ime	empe	H	Condu	urbid	lissol	Vater	(Volume purged between measurements)						
L	L	<u> </u>	0	F		>							
1445	8.95	5_91	0.121	CIr	5.64		0.450 total						
1450	9.19	5.89	0.121	LIC	2.00	CIr	1.0 sel total						
1456	9.45	5.8-	0,120	ci-	1.36	<i>Lir</i>	2.0 gul titul						
1503	9.68	5.88	0.121	Ur	0.94	cir	3.0 gal total						
1510	9.74	5.90	0,123	ar	0,81	ar	4.0 gal total						
1517	9.75	5.94	0.125	cir	0.80	CIE	5.0 gal total						
1524	9.75	5.93	0.126	ar	0,80	ur	6.0 Sal total						
	******						1530 collect water Sample						

2 × 1

GALLO	OWAY F	ENVIF	RONMI	ENTAL	., INC.,	, 15600	NE 8 th St, Suite B1,617, Bellevue, WA 98008 (425)894-8607				
Projec	t No?	37018	5		WAT	TER S	SAMPLING LOG Sheet of				
Projec	t Name:	Nash	mal Au	to N	/ell No.	mu	~ -7 Date & Time Started $\frac{12/20/18}{1030}$				
Projec	t Location	n: <u>16</u> 0	28 602 30	STE	We	ll Loca	tion & diameter Sc Porthan of Hoperby 2				
Client	Client Mitcheli Development Site Manager D Gallowy Logged By D Gallowy										
Measuring Point \underline{N} <u>porbon</u> Initial depth to water <u>3</u> , \underline{N} Depth to well bottom <u>13.85</u>											
Water column in well <u>10,74</u> Gallons per foot <u>0,16</u> Gallons in well <u>1.72</u> $(3,3,5)$											
Purging method Peristallic Pump Volume purged 5,55 thurs Decontamination Aguna D H20											
Sampler D (Tallowy Number & type of containers 2-1/22 Ambers 2-1/22 Polys 3 40m2 vors											
Sample preservation method: Hcl clceOther (describe)											
			()		Ę		т.				
	e (°C		y (µS		wyge Xyge	*	DESCRIPTION				
	ratur		ru ctivit	ty	ed O	Color					
Time	Temper	Hq	Condue	Turbidi	Dissolv	Water ((Volume purged between measurements)				
1040	12.45	6.45	0,281	Slight	2.98	Sight	0.1 gal total				
1044	12.32	6.32	0.273	Slight	2.53	いいち	0.52~1 1021				
1052	12.36	6.25	0.258	clr	1.63	cir	1.5gul tom				
100	12.39	6.24	0.252	de	1.32	cir	2.5gcl total				
1108	12,47	6.22	0.231	Clr	0.92	cir	3,552 total				
1116	12.49	6.21	0.220	41	0.78	(1-	4.5 Sal Whl				
1124	12.50	6.21	0.219	CIT	6,76	cir	5.5gal total				
							1127 collect week: sample				
Well c	asing vol	umes	(gal/ft) -	- 1.25″=	=0.077, 1	.5″=0.1	10, 2″=0.16, 2.5″=0.24, 3″=0.37, 3.5=0.50, 4″=0.65, 6″=1.46				
Signat	ure	2	N				Date 12/20/18				

APPENDIX B

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT



January 3, 2019

Dylan Galloway Galloway Environmental, Inc. 15600 NE 8th Street, Suite B1, 617 Bellevue, WA 98008

Re: Analytical Data for Project 37018 Laboratory Reference No. 1812-218

Dear Dylan:

Enclosed are the analytical results and associated quality control data for samples submitted on December 21, 2018.

The standard policy of OnSite Environmental, Inc. is to store your samples for 30 days from the date of receipt. If you require longer storage, please contact the laboratory.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning the data, or need additional information, please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

David Baumeister Project Manager

Enclosures



Date of Report: January 3, 2019 Samples Submitted: December 21, 2018 Laboratory Reference: 1812-218 Project: 37018

Case Narrative

Samples were collected on December 20, 2018 and received by the laboratory on December 21, 2018. They were maintained at the laboratory at a temperature of 2° C to 6° C.

Please note that any and all soil sample results are reported on a dry-weight basis, unless otherwise noted below.

General QA/QC issues associated with the analytical data enclosed in this laboratory report will be indicated with a reference to a comment or explanation on the Data Qualifier page. More complex and involved QA/QC issues will be discussed in detail below.



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

HYDROCARBON IDENTIFICATION NWTPH-HCID

Matrix: Water Units: mg/L (ppm)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW-1					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-01					
Gasoline Range Organics	ND	0.10	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.26	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.41	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	96	50-150				
Client ID:	MW-2					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-02					
Gasoline Range Organics	ND	0.10	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	95	50-150				
	MAK 2					
	IVI VV-3					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-03	0.40		40.00.40	10.00.10	
Gasoline Range Organics	ND	0.10	NW IPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NW IPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NW I PH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-lerphenyl	94	50-150				
Client ID:	MW-4					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-04					
Gasoline Range Organics		0.10		12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	Detected	0.10	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.20	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits		12 20 10	12 20 10	
o-Ternhenvl	98	50-150				
o resplicity	30	00 100				
Client ID:	MW-5					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-05					
Gasoline Range Organics	ND	0.10	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenvl	88	50-150				



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

HYDROCARBON IDENTIFICATION NWTPH-HCID

5 (T)				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW-6					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-06					
Gasoline Range Organics	ND	0.10	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	107	50-150				
Client ID:	MW-7					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-07					
Gasoline Range Organics	ND	0.10	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	104	50-150				



HYDROCARBON IDENTIFICATION NWTPH-HCID QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1226W1					
Gasoline Range Organics	ND	0.10	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-HCID	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	90	50-150				



DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx

5 (11)				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW-4					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-04					
Diesel Range Organics	0.27	0.25	NWTPH-Dx	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-Dx	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	98	50-150				



Date of Report: January 3, 2019 Samples Submitted: December 21, 2018 Laboratory Reference: 1812-218 Project: 37018

DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL RANGE ORGANICS NWTPH-Dx QUALITY CONTROL

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1226W1					
Diesel Range Organics	ND	0.25	NWTPH-Dx	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Lube Oil Range Organics	ND	0.40	NWTPH-Dx	12-26-18	12-26-18	
Surrogate:	Percent Recovery	Control Limits				
o-Terphenyl	90	50-150				

					Source	Perc	cent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Res	Spike	Spike Level		Reco	very	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flags	
DUPLICATE											
Laboratory ID:	12-23	30-01									
	ORIG	DUP									
Diesel Range	ND	ND	NA	NA		N	A	NA	NA	NA	
Lube Oil Range	ND	ND	NA	NA		N	A	NA	NA	NA	
Surrogate:											
o-Terphenyl						72	73	50-150			



DISSOLVED METALS EPA 200.8/7470A

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW-1					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-01					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Lead	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Mercury	ND	0.50	EPA 7470A		1-2-19	
Client ID:	MW-2					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-02					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Lead	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Mercury	ND	0.50	EPA 7470A		1-2-19	
Client ID:	MW-3					
Laboratory ID:	12 219 02					
	ND	3.0	EDV 200 8		12-26-18	
Cadmium		3.0	EPA 200.8		12-20-10	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.0		12-20-10	
Lead	ND	10	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Mercurv	ND	0.50	EPA 7470A		1-2-19	
<u></u>						
Client ID:	MW-4					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-04					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	



Lead

Mercury

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

1.0

0.50

EPA 200.8

EPA 7470A

12-26-18

1-2-19

ND

ND

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

DISSOLVED METALS EPA 200.8/7470A

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

0 (11)				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
Client ID:	MW-5					
Laboratory ID:	12-218-05					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Lead	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8		12-26-18	
Mercury	ND	0.50	EPA 7470A		1-2-19	

Client ID:	MW-6				
Laboratory ID:	12-218-06				
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Lead	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Mercury	ND	0.50	EPA 7470A	1-2-19	

Client ID:	MW-7				
Laboratory ID:	12-218-07				
Arsenic	3.2	3.0	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Lead	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8	12-26-18	
Mercury	ND	0.50	EPA 7470A	1-2-19	



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

DISSOLVED METALS EPA 200.8/7470A QUALITY CONTROL

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

				Date	Date	
Analyte	Result	PQL	Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Flags
METHOD BLANK						
Laboratory ID:	MB1221F1					
Arsenic	ND	3.0	EPA 200.8	12-21-18	12-26-18	
Cadmium	ND	4.0	EPA 200.8	12-21-18	12-26-18	
Chromium	ND	10	EPA 200.8	12-21-18	12-26-18	
Lead	ND	1.0	EPA 200.8	12-21-18	12-26-18	
Laboratory ID:	MB1221F1					
Mercury	ND	0.50	EPA 7470A	12-21-18	1-2-19	

					Source	Pe	rcent	Recovery		RPD	
Analyte	Re	sult	Spike	e Level	Result	Rec	overy	Recovery RPD Imits RPD Limit F NA 7 20 NA 7 20 NA NA 20 MSD	Flags		
DUPLICATE											
Laboratory ID:	12-23	30-02									
	ORIG	DUP									
Arsenic	10.8	11.6	NA	NA			NA	NA	7	20	
Cadmium	ND	ND	NA	NA			NA	NA	NA	20	
Chromium	ND	ND	NA	NA			NA	NA	NA	20	
Lead	ND	ND	NA	NA			NA	NA	NA	20	
Laboratory ID:	12-23	30-01									
Mercury	ND	ND	NA	NA			NA	NA	NA	20	
MATRIX SPIKES											
Laboratory ID:	12-23	30-02									
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD		MS	MSD				
Arsenic	219	218	200	200	10.8	104	103	75-125	1	20	
Cadmium	197	197	200	200	ND	98	99	75-125	0	20	
Chromium	184	186	200	200	ND	92	93	75-125	1	20	
Lead	199	197	200	200	ND	99	98	75-125	1	20	
Laboratory ID:	12-23	30-01									



Mercury

11.5

11.7

12.5

OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

12.5

ND

92

93

75-125

2

20

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

10



Data Qualifiers and Abbreviations

- A Due to a high sample concentration, the amount spiked is insufficient for meaningful MS/MSD recovery data.
- B The analyte indicated was also found in the blank sample.
- C The duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to high result variability when analyte concentrations are within five times the quantitation limit.
- E The value reported exceeds the quantitation range and is an estimate.
- F Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the high concentration of coeluting target compounds.
- H The analyte indicated is a common laboratory solvent and may have been introduced during sample preparation, and be impacting the sample result.
- I Compound recovery is outside of the control limits.
- J The value reported was below the practical quantitation limit. The value is an estimate.
- K Sample duplicate RPD is outside control limits due to sample inhomogeneity. The sample was re-extracted and re-analyzed with similar results.
- L The RPD is outside of the control limits.
- M Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range are impacting the diesel range result.
- M1 Hydrocarbons in the gasoline range (toluene-naphthalene) are present in the sample.
- N Hydrocarbons in the lube oil range are impacting the diesel range result.
- N1 Hydrocarbons in diesel range are impacting lube oil range results.
- O Hydrocarbons indicative of heavier fuels are present in the sample and are impacting the gasoline result.
- P The RPD of the detected concentrations between the two columns is greater than 40.
- Q Surrogate recovery is outside of the control limits.
- S Surrogate recovery data is not available due to the necessary dilution of the sample.
- T The sample chromatogram is not similar to a typical _____
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- U1 The practical quantitation limit is elevated due to interferences present in the sample.
- V Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate recoveries are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- W Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD are outside control limits due to matrix effects.
- X Sample extract treated with a mercury cleanup procedure.
- X1- Sample extract treated with a sulfuric acid/silica gel cleanup procedure.
- Y The calibration verification for this analyte exceeded the 20% drift specified in method 8260C, and therefore the reported result should be considered an estimate. The overall performance of the calibration verification standard met the acceptance criteria of the method.

Ζ-

ND - Not Detected at PQL PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit RPD - Relative Percent Difference



OnSite Environmental, Inc. 14648 NE 95th Street, Redmond, WA 98052 (425) 883-3881

This report pertains to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody, and is intended only for the use of the individual or company to whom it is addressed.

Reviewed/Date	Received	Relinquished	Received	Relinquished	Received Warden Lizeu	Relinquished	Signature	/		7 MW-7	6 mw-6	S-MM	H mw-4	-3 MM	2-MM 6	1 mw-1	Lab ID Sample Identification	Dentification	Project Manager. D (nationing	Project Name: Natural Auto	31018	Project Number:	14648 NE 95th Street - Redmond, WA 98052 Phone: (425) 883-3881 - www.onsite-env.com	Analytical Laboratory Testing Services	INA OnSite
Reviewed/Date					SF.	- 6E1	Company			¥ 1127 ↓	1530	1628	1430	1337	1244	12/20/18 1074 W	Date Time Sampled Sampled Matrix	(other)		Standard (7 Days)	2 Days 3 Days	Same Day 1 Day	(In working days) (Check One)	Turnaround Request	Chain of
					12120 SI 118/BI	2560 Silizfel	Date Time		/	K	K	×	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	×	X	7 × 1	Numb NWTF NWTF NWTF NWTF Volati Halog EDB I	PH-HC PH-Gx/ PH-Gx PH-Gx PH-Dx les 826 enated	Contain ID BTEX (Acid SOC I Volatile 111 (Wat	/ SG C s 82600	lean-uj C	p)		Laboratory Number	f Custody
Chromatograms with final report 🗌 Electronic Dat	Data Package: Standard 🛛 Level III 🖾 Leve			(X) Added 12/28/		Matals analysis - Samples an	Comments/Special Instructions			×	×	×	*	×	×	*	Semity (with PAHs PCBs Organ Organ Chlor Total Total TCLP HEM	volatile low-lev 8270E 8082/ nochlor nochlor nophos inated RCRA MTCA Metal (oil and	s 8270D vel PAHs VSIM (Io A rine Pess sphorus Acid He Metals s s	/SIM) w-level) icides 8 Pesticid rbicides	3081B les 827 s 8151/	70D/SIM A		12-218	Page
ta Deliverables (EDDs)			Ŧ	18 ×1	1	~ *											% Mo	isture							of