

FILED SKAGIT COUNTY CLERK SKAGIT COUNTY, WA

2020RENTORMOAND RETURN 1 2 3 ORIGINAL 4 5 6 7 STATE OF WASHINGTON SKAGIT COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 8 STATE OF WASHINGTON, 00601 NO. 20-2-DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 9 Plaintiff. DE MINIMIS CONSENT DECREE 10 11 ν. CHARLES MOON CREDIT TRUST, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 TABLE OF CONTENTS 16 17 II. JURISDICTION4 III. DEFINITIONS6 IV. 18 FINDINGS OF FACT7 VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED......12 19 VII. 20 VIII. DESIGNATED PARTY CONTACT.......15 IX. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES16 21 Χ. AMENDMENT OF DECREE......18 XI. 22 XII. XIII. XIV. COVENANT NOT TO SUE21 23 CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION23 XV. 24 XVI. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS23 XVII. 25 XVIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION......23 XIX. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE AND PERFORMING PLPS.......25 26 XX.

9/18/2020 10:37 AM

1	XXI. XXII.	EFFECTIVE DATE WITHDRAWAL O	E DF CONSENT	26 26
2		EXHIBIT A	Site Diagram	
3		2111121111		
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				:
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

1	I. INTRODUCTION
2	1. The mutual objectives of the State of Washington, Department of Ecology
3	(Ecology) and Charles Moon Credit Trust (Defendant) under this de minimis consent decree
4	(Decree) are:
5	A. To reach a final settlement between the Parties with respect to the Site
6	pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4), that allows Defendant to provide valuable
7	consideration to Ecology to resolve Defendant's alleged liability under RCW 70.105D,
8	thereby reducing litigation relating to the March Point Landfill, a.k.a. Whitmarsh
9	Landfill, Site (Site).
10	B. To simplify any remaining administrative and judicial enforcement
11	activities concerning the Site by eliminating a potentially liable person, whose
12	contribution is insignificant in amount and toxicity, from further involvement at the Site.
13	C. To obtain settlement with Defendant for Defendant's fair share of costs
14	incurred and to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by Ecology, and by other
15	persons.
16	D. To provide for full and complete contribution protection for Defendant
17	with regard to the Site pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4)(d) and Section XVI
18	(Contribution Protection) herein.
19	2. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect human health
20	and the environment.
21	3. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An
22	Answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case.
23	However, the Parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the
24	Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public
25	interest, and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.

1	4. By	signing this Decree, the Parties agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its	
2	terms.		
3	5. By	entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling	
4	parties from any l	iability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The	
5	Parties retain the	right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for	
6	sums expended ur	nder this Decree.	
7	6. Th	is Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any	
8	releases of hazard	dous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts;	
9	provided, howeve	r, that Defendant shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General and	
10	Ecology to enforc	e this Decree.	
11	7. Pu	rsuant to the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70.105D and its	
12	regulations, WAC	2 173-340, Ecology has developed a draft MTCA Cleanup Action Plan (CAP)	
13	for the Site. After	the draft CAP has been subject to public comment (pursuant to WAC 173-	
14	340-600(14)), Eco	ology will respond to any public comments, modify the draft CAP (if warranted	
15	by public comment), and then finalize the CAP. After Ecology has finalized the CAP, the Partie		
16	will amend this D	ecree to add the final CAP as an exhibit to the Decree.	
17	8. The	e Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause	
18	having been show	n,	
19	Now, there	efore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:	
20		II. JURISDICTION	
21	1. Th	is Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant	
22	to MTCA, RCW 7	70.105D.	
23	2. Au	thority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by	
24	RCW 70.105D.04	0(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person (PLP) if,	
25	after public notice	and any required hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead	
26	to a more expedit	ious cleanup of hazardous substances. Whenever practical and in the public	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

interest, the attorney general may expedite such a settlement with persons whose contribution is insignificant in amount and toxicity. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

- 3. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site that is the subject of this Decree.
- 4. Ecology has given notice to Defendant of Ecology's determination that Defendant is a PLP for the Site, as required by RCW 70.105D.020(26) and WAC 173-340-500.
- 5. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public health and the environment.
 - 6. This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.
- 7. Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under RCW 70.105D.030(2)(e) and WAC 173-340.
- 8. Based upon information currently known to Ecology, Ecology has determined that Defendant's contribution to the Site is insignificant in amount and toxicity and Defendant qualifies for a *de minimis* settlement pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a).
- 9. Defendant has agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consents to the entry of this Decree under MTCA.

III. PARTIES BOUND

1. This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to this Decree, their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with this Decree. Defendant agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter Defendant's responsibility under this Decree. Defendant shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents,

1	contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree, and shall
2	ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and subcontractors complies with
3	this Decree.
4	IV. DEFINITIONS
5	1. Unless otherwise specified herein, all definitions in RCW 70.105D.020 and
6	WAC 173-340-200 shall control the meanings of the terms in this Decree.
7	A. <u>Site</u> : The Site is referred to as March Point Landfill, a.k.a. Whitmarsh
8	Landfill, Site and is generally located at 9663 South March Point Road, Anacortes,
9	Washington. The Site constitutes a facility under RCW 70.105D.020(8). The Site is
10	defined by where a hazardous substance, other than a consumer product in consumer use,
11	has been deposited, stored, disposed of, or placed, or otherwise come to be located. This
12	Decree is limited in scope to the geographic area of the Site described in Exhibit A.
13	B. <u>Parties</u> : Refers to the State of Washington, Department of Ecology and
14	the Charles Moon Credit Trust.
15	C. <u>Defendant</u> : Refers to Charles Moon Credit Trust.
16	D. <u>Consent Decree or Decree</u> : Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the
17	exhibits to this Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent
18	Decree. The terms "Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall include all exhibits to this
19	Consent Decree.
20	E. <u>Cleanup Settlement Account</u> : Refers to the special account created in the
21	state treasury, pursuant to RCW 70.105D.130, and to which a court order directs
22	payment.
23	F. <u>Potentially Liable Persons (PLPs)</u> : Refers to the Charles Moon Credit
24	Trust, Ralph Hillestead, Shell Oil Company (Shell), Skagit County, the Snow Mountain
25	Land Company, Texaco Inc. (Texaco), and Washington State Department of Natural
26	Resources (DNR).

24

25

26

G. <u>Performing Parties</u>: Refers to PLPs who will be implementing a future, finalized CAP at the Site under a MTCA order or consent decree. The Performing Parties may include representatives, contractors, successors, or assigns for the following Site PLPs: Shell, Skagit County, Texaco, and DNR.

V. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied admissions of such facts by Defendant.
 - A. The Site is located adjacent to Padilla Bay and Padilla Bay Lagoon and northeast of South March Point Road in Anacortes, Washington, and consists of approximately 14 acres. The address of the Site is 9663 South March Point Road in Anacortes, Skagit County, Washington. The March Point Landfill Site consists of approximately 14 acres of upland and filled tidelands located north of South March Point Road at the base of a bluff in the tidelands area of Padilla Bay in Anacortes, Washington. Ownership of the land within the Site, as shown in Exhibit A, is as follows:
 - The properties found at Skagit County Parcel Numbers P19713 and P19676 are owned by Snow Mountain Land Company, LLC; the State of Washington owns the aquatic lands waterward of the 1890 meander line (est.) abutting P19713 and P1967 to the railroad right-of-way.
 - The Charles Moon Credit Trust and the Estate of the late M. Ellen Moon, Trustee, own the property found at Skagit County Parcel Number P19684. Harry F. Cook sold the real property found on Parcel Number P19684 to Charles E. Moon in November 1998. In 2003, the late Mr. Moon transferred that property to the Charles Moon Credit Trust in a quitclaim deed.
 - Per Skagit County Assessors records, the late Ralph Hillestead owns the property found at Skagit County Parcel Number P19761.

The Site is bounded by South March Point Road to the south, the BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) railroad causeway and Padilla Bay to the north and northeast, and the Swinomish Indian Reservation to the east and southeast. A diagram of the Site is attached as Exhibit A.

- B. The origin of the Site dates from sometime in the 1950s when unregulated dumping began on a portion of the Site. From 1961 to 1973, DNR, as manager of state-owned land, leased a portion of the Site to Skagit County to operate a sanitary landfill. Skagit County and Island County residents and businesses used this landfill as a disposal area from 1961 to 1973. Written records indicated that the general types of waste disposed of at the landfill included household and commercial solid waste from local municipalities, waste from industrial entities including Shell and Texaco, and waste from others.
- C. Sediment contamination concerns in the early 1980s led to sample collection and analysis by Tribal, State, and Federal agencies beginning as early as 1985. Sediment investigations prior to the initiation of the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the Site are described in the findings of fact for Agreed Order DE-08TCPHQ-5999. Based on sediment bioassay and analytical results, it was determined that contaminants in marine sediments adjacent to the Site do not pose an adverse risk to human health and the environment except for dioxin. A source identification analysis indicated the extent of dioxin contamination is discrete and its source appeared to originate from a nearby, off-site location which is under a separate investigation. As such, the sediments adjacent to the site will not be considered for remedial measures under the Sediment Management Standards (WAC 173-204).
- D. In November 1984, Ecology and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted a Preliminary Assessment (PA) of the March Point Landfill. The PA identified the potential risk of groundwater and surface water contamination by

unknown contaminants. The PA indicated that leachate could be seen surfacing on the eastern boundary, but noted that it was unknown if the leachate contained hazardous substances. The PA also noted that the Shell and Texaco refineries, Allied Chemical sulfuric acid plant, and Northwest Petrochemical Company operated near the Site. The PA recommended further investigation of leachate, installation of groundwater monitoring wells, and collection of historical data on industrial activities and waste dumping practices.

- E. Ecology conducted an inspection of the landfill in December 1985. As a part of this inspection, Ecology collected three surface water/leachate samples. The samples were analyzed for metals and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). An analysis by the Laucks Laboratory on behalf of Ecology detected arsenic, copper, mercury, and nickel in two surface water/leachate samples at concentrations greater than their respective aquatic life or human health surface water criteria. Ecology concluded that the sampling data results did not show a significant problem at the landfill to warrant further sampling or remedial actions.
- F. In 1988, Ecology collected one grab leachate sample from northeast of the landfill. Ecology analyzed the leachate sample for priority pollutant metals. Results of the leachate sample showed arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, thalium, and zinc concentrations exceeding their respective surface water criteria.
- G. In October 1996, the Skagit County Health Department, with Swinomish Indian Tribal Community present, collected two discolored surface water samples. These samples were analyzed for VOCs, semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), pesticides, polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs), and metals. Low levels of a few organic compounds and metals were detected in surface water samples. However, none of the contaminants in surface water samples exceeded their respective surface water criteria.

- H. In 1998, Ecology conducted a limited surface water (leachate) investigation. Ecology collected two surface water (leachate) samples. The leachate samples were analyzed for approximately 400 chemicals consisting of metals, trace metals, cyanide, petroleum hydrocarbons, VOCs, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), phenols, chlorinated benzene, phthalate esters, SVOCs, PCBs, organotins, herbicides, and pesticides. Manganese, benzo(a)anthracene and PCB aroclor 1242 were detected at concentrations greater than their respective human health surface water criteria in at least one sample. Diesel was detected at a concentration (470 ug/l 850 ug/l) greater than MTCA Method-A groundwater cleanup level in one of the leachate samples. In addition, elevated concentrations of iron (5,660 ug/l 16,200 ug/l) were detected exceeding the EPA Water Quality Criteria of 1,000 ug/l.
- I. In August 2002, the Skagit County Health Department conducted a site hazard assessment of the landfill and the Site was ranked using the Washington State Ranking Method. The Site was assigned an overall priority ranking of 2 pursuant to MTCA.
- J. On March 4, 2008, Ecology, Shell, Skagit County, Texaco, and DNR entered into Agreed Order No. DE-08TCPHQ-5999, which required Shell, Skagit County, Texaco, and DNR to conduct a RI/FS and develop a draft Cleanup Action Plan for the Site.
- K. The final RI/FS Report (RI/FS), prepared by AMEC Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc., documented the nature and extent of hazardous substances in various media including sediment, soil, groundwater, seep, and surface water (Final Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Report, March Point (Whitmarsh) Landfill, Skagit County, Washington, February 2017). The RI/FS demonstrated the following contaminants of concern that exceed MTCA cleanup levels in soil are present at the Site: antimony, arsenic, barium, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, zinc, 4,4'-DDD,

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

4,4'-DDE, aldrin, dieldrin, aroclor 1254, 2,4-dimethylphenol, 2-methylphenol, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, chrysene, dibenzofuran, phenol, benzene, gasoline range petroleum hydrocarbons, and heavy oil range petroleum hydrocarbons. The RI/FS demonstrated the following contaminants of concern that exceed MTCA cleanup levels in groundwater are present at the Site: arsenic, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, selenium, silver, 1-methylnaphthalene, 2,4dimethylphenol, benzo(a)anthracene, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, chrysene, 4,4'-DDD, 4,4'-DDE, alpha-BHC, aroclor 1232, aroclor 1242, aroclor 1248, total PCBs, and benzene. The RI/FS demonstrated the following contaminants of concern that exceed MTCA cleanup levels in surface water are present at the Site: arsenic, copper, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, silver, zinc, butylbenzylphthalate, chrysene, bis(2ethylhexyl)phthalate, benzene, and 4,4'-DDD. In addition, biological testing of sediments in the Padilla Bay Lagoon adjacent to the landfill using Ampelisca abdita, Dendraster excentricus, Neanthes arenaceodentata, and Microtox was conducted during the RI. Based on these tests, no impacts to sediments from the landfill were identified. Furthermore, analysis of sediments adjacent to the landfill in the Padilla Bay Lagoon for bioaccumulative chemicals (PCBs, dioxins, and furans) showed no actionable concentrations above background were associated with the landfill. Elevated concentrations of dioxins and furans were found adjacent to the landfill. However, Ecology determined that these elevated concentrations may not be associated with the landfill but were from some off-site, possibly upstream sources. Based on these sediment findings, no sediment-related corrective measures have been included the Cleanup Action Plan.

L. Based on the documented facts, Ecology has determined that remedial action at the Site can be facilitated by *de minimis* settlement with Defendant. Ecology has reviewed the activities of the Moon Trust concerning their real property at the Site

1

and determined that their contribution, if any, to the releases of hazardous substances at the Site is insignificant in amount and quantity.

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

- 1. This Decree requires remedial actions to be completed that are designed to protect human health and the environment from the known release of hazardous substances or contaminants at, on, or from the Site. Defendant agrees to settle their liability with Ecology by:
 - A. Allowing Ecology and/or the Performing Parties to access/enter the real property found on Skagit County Assessor Parcel Number P19684 to conduct:
 - (1) remedial actions to remedy releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances under a future CAP or Interim Action Plan for the Site,
 - (2) anticipated compliance monitoring, and
 - (3) long-term operation and maintenance or monitoring of any future constructed remedy.

The period of time that Ecology and any Performing Parties shall be allowed to access/ enter the real property found on Skagit County Parcel Number P19684 shall be for the time necessary to implement the remedial actions required by the CAP or an Interim Action Plan, and complete the long-term monitoring and operation and maintenance required for the Site.

B. Implementing institutional controls on the real property found on Skagit County Assessor Parcel Number P19684. One of the institutional controls the future CAP will require to be implemented under the CAP is the recording of Environmental (Restrictive) Covenants with the Skagit County Assessor's Office. In consultation with Defendant, or any person who subsequently acquires an ownership interest in the real property found on Skagit County Parcel Number P19684, Ecology will prepare a restrictive covenant for Skagit County parcel P19684 consistent with WAC 173-340-440, RCW 64.70, and any policies or procedures specified by Ecology. The

Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant shall restrict future activities and uses of the property/Skagit County parcel P19684 as agreed to by Ecology and Defendant. After approval by Ecology, Defendant, or any person who subsequently acquires an ownership interest in the real property found on Skagit County Parcel Number P19684, shall record the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant for Skagit County parcel P19684 with the office of the Skagit County Auditor as detailed in the Schedule (Exhibit B). Defendant, or any person who subsequently acquires an ownership interest in the real property found on Skagit County Parcel Number P19684, shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

2. Except in cases of emergency or where required by law, Defendant agrees not to perform any remedial actions at the Site except as provided by this Decree. In the event of an emergency, or where actions are taken as required by law, Defendant must notify Ecology in writing of the event and response actions planned or taken as soon as practical but no later than within 24 hours of the discovery of the event. All actions conducted by Defendant under this Decree shall be done in accordance with WAC 173-340 unless otherwise provided herein.

VII. COOPERATION AND PROPERTY ACCESS

- 1. Defendant agrees to cooperate fully with Ecology and further agrees not to interfere with remedial actions performed at the Site by Ecology or Performing Parties operating under an Order or Decree with Ecology for performance of remedial action at the Site. This includes, but is not limited to, Defendant placing an environmental (restrictive) covenant on property within the Site that Defendant owns if required by Ecology. Ecology agrees, consistent with its responsibilities under applicable law, to use reasonable efforts to minimize interference with Defendant's operations by such entry and remedial actions.
- 2. Defendant shall (with respect to all property at the Site that Defendant either owns, controls, or has access rights to) provide Ecology, Performing Parties and their

representatives, contractors, and subcontractors with access at all reasonable times to its property to conduct any activity relating to response actions at the Site. Ecology, or any Ecology authorized representative, or Performing Parties shall give reasonable notice before entering any Site property owned or controlled by Defendant unless an emergency prevents such notice. Ecology, or any Ecology authorized representative, or Performing Parties who access the Site pursuant to this section shall comply with any applicable health and safety plan(s). Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of Site property access.

- freely move about all property at the Site that Defendant either owns, controls, or has access rights to at all reasonable times for the purposes of, *inter alia*: conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to a MTCA Cleanup Action Plan or Interim Action. Defendant shall make all reasonable efforts to secure access rights for those properties within the Site not owned or controlled by Defendant where remedial activities or investigations will be performed pursuant to this Decree. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall give reasonable notice before entering any Site property owned or controlled by Defendant unless an emergency prevents such notice. All Parties who access the Site pursuant to this section shall comply with any applicable health and safety plan(s). Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of Site property access.
- 4. Defendant shall, with respect to all property at the Site that Defendant either owns, controls, or has access rights to, refrain from using its property in any manner that Ecology determines will (i) pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment due to exposure to hazardous substances or (ii) interfere with or adversely affect the implementation, integrity, or protectiveness of remedial actions at the Site.

1	5.	In the event that Defendant becomes aware of any action or occurrence that
2	causes or thre	eatens a release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at or from the
3	Site that cons	titutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health
4	or welfare or	r the environment, Defendant shall immediately take all appropriate action to
5	prevent, abate	e, or minimize such release or threat of release, and shall, in addition to complying
6	with any appl	licable notification requirements under the MTCA, or any other law, immediately
7	notify Ecolog	y of such release or threatened release.
8		VIII. DESIGNATED PARTY CONTACT
9	1.	Ecology's designated contact is:
10		Arianne Fernandez
11		Toxics Cleanup Program PO Box 47600
12		Olympia, WA 98504 360-407-7209
13	2.	The Defendant's designated contact is:
14		Gary Moon, Co-Trustee of the Charles Moon Credit Trust
15		2089 Timberline Drive Bellingham, WA 98226 Cell: 360-319-7705
16	3.	Each party contact shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this
17		ogy's contact will be Ecology's designated representative for the Site. To the
18		
19		tent possible, communications between Ecology and Defendant and all documents,
20		ports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed
21	1	ne terms and conditions of this Decree shall be directed through the party contacts.
22	All document	s required by this Decree or correspondence pertaining to this Decree shall be sent
23	by overnight	delivery service and/or email transmittal to the designated party contact.
24	4.	Any party may change its respective contact. Written notification shall be given
	to the other pa	arty at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.
25		

IX. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

- 1. No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated by Defendant without provision for continued access and to refrain from using the property to same extent as is provided under Section VII (Cooperation and Property Access) or continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and/or monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.
- 2. Prior to Defendant's transfer of any interest in all or any portion of the Site, and during the effective period of this Decree, Defendant shall provide a copy of this Decree to any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in said interest; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, Defendant shall notify Ecology of said transfer. Upon transfer of any interest, Defendant shall notify all transferees of the restrictions on the activities and uses of the property under this Decree and incorporate any such use restrictions into the transfer documents.

X. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- 1. In the event that Defendant elects to invoke dispute resolution, Defendant must utilize the procedure set forth below.
 - A. Upon the triggering event (receipt of Ecology's contact's written decision or an itemized billing statement), Defendant has fourteen (14) calendar days within which to notify Ecology's contact in writing of its dispute (Informal Dispute Notice).
 - B. The parties' contacts shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute informally. The parties shall informally confer for up to fourteen (14) calendar days from receipt of the Informal Dispute Notice. If the parties' contacts cannot resolve the dispute within those 14 calendar days, then within seven (7) calendar days Ecology's contact shall issue a written decision (Informal Dispute Decision) stating: the nature of the

dispute; the Defendant's position with regards to the dispute; Ecology's position with regards to the dispute; and the extent of resolution reached by informal discussion.

- C. Defendant may then request regional management review of the dispute. This request (Formal Dispute Notice) must be submitted in writing to the Headquarters Land and Aquatic Lands Cleanup Section Manager within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of Ecology's Informal Dispute Decision. The Formal Dispute Notice shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing party's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.
- D. The Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Formal Dispute Notice.
- E. If Defendant finds Ecology's Headquarters Land and Aquatic Lands Cleanup Section Manager's decision unacceptable, Defendant may then request final management review of the decision. This request (Final Review Request) shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) calendar days of Defendant's receipt of the Decision on Dispute. The Final Review Request shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing party's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.
- F. Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute (Final Decision on Dispute) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Final Review Request. The Toxics Cleanup Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.

2.

7 8

6

10

11

9

12 13

14

1516

17 18

19 20

2122

23

24 25

26

has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event Defendant presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review.

If Ecology's Final Decision on Dispute is unacceptable to Defendant, Defendant

- 3. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.
- 4. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

XI. AMENDMENT OF DECREE

- 1. The party contacts may agree to minor changes to the work to be performed without formally amending this Decree. Minor changes will be documented in writing by Ecology within seven (7) days of verbal agreement.
- 2. Substantial changes to the work to be performed shall require formal amendment of this Decree. Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment on a proposed formal amendment. This Decree may only be formally amended by a written stipulation among the Parties that is entered by the Court, or by order of the Court. Such formal amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.
- 3. When requesting a substantial change to the Decree, a party shall submit a written request to the other party for approval. That party shall indicate its approval or disapproval in writing and in a timely manner after the written request for formal amendment is received. Reasons for the disapproval of a proposed formal amendment to the Decree shall be stated in

1	writing. Agreement to amend the Decree shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party. If a
2	party does not agree to a proposed formal amendment, the dispute may be submitted to the Court
3	for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall,
4	as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree.
5	XII. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE
6	1. Defendant's request for an extension of schedule shall be granted only when a
7	request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior
8	to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for
9	granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify:
10	A. The deadline that is sought to be extended.
11	B. The length of the extension sought.
12	C. The reason(s) for the extension.
13	D. Any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension
14	were granted.
15	2. The burden shall be on Defendant to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology
16	that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause
17	exists for granting the extension. Good cause may include, but may not be limited to:
18	A. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due
19	diligence of Defendant including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology,
20	such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying
21	documents submitted by Defendant.
22	B. Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm,
23	or other unavoidable casualty.
24	C. Endangerment as described in Section XIV (Endangerment).
25	
26	

- 3. However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of this Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Defendant.
- 4. Ecology shall act upon Defendant's written request for extension in a timely fashion. Ecology shall give Defendant written notification of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology. Unless the extension is a substantial change, it shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XII (Amendment of Decree) when a schedule extension is granted.
- 5. At Defendant's request, an extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions exceeding ninety (90) days only as a result of:
 - A. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit that was applied for in a timely manner.
 - B. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology.
 - C. Endangerment as described in Section XIV (Endangerment).

XIII. ENDANGERMENT

- 1. In the event Ecology determines that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Ecology may direct Defendant to cease such activities for such period of time as it deems necessary to abate the danger. Defendant shall immediately comply with such direction.
- 2. In the event Defendant determines that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Defendant may cease such activities. Defendant shall notify Ecology's contact as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after making such determination or ceasing such activities. Upon Ecology's direction, Defendant shall provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the determination or cessation of such activities. If Ecology

1	disagrees with Defendant's cessation of activities, it may direct Defendant to resume such
2	activities.
3	3. If Ecology concurs with or orders a work stoppage pursuant to this section,
4	Defendant's obligations with respect to the ceased activities shall be suspended until Ecology
5	determines the danger is abated, and the time for performance of such activities, as well as the
6	time for any other work dependent upon such activities, shall be extended, in accordance with
7	Section XIII (Extension of Schedule), for such period of time as Ecology determines is
8	reasonable under the circumstances.
9	4. Nothing in this Decree shall limit the authority of Ecology, its employees, agents,
10	or contractors to take or require appropriate action in the event of an emergency.
11	XIV. COVENANT NOT TO SUE
12	1. Covenant Not to Sue: Except as specifically provided in this section, in
13	consideration of Defendant's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology
14	covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against Defendant regarding the release
15	or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by this Decree. This Decree is limited to
16	the Site described in Exhibit A, and covers that area and those hazardous substances that Ecology
17	knows are located within that area as of the date of entry of this Decree. This Decree does not
18	cover any other hazardous substance or area. Ecology retains all of its authority relative to any
19	substance or area not covered by this Decree. Nothing in this Decree shall be construed to relieve
20	Defendant of Defendant's duty to exercise due care with respect to hazardous substances at the
21	Site or Defendant's duty to comply with all applicable laws and regulations.
22	This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:
23	A. Criminal liability.
24	B. Liability for damages to natural resources.
25	C. Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against PLPs not a party to
26	this Decree.
	·

- 2. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4)(c), the Court shall amend this Covenant Not to Sue if factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment.
- 3. Reopeners: Notwithstanding any other provision in this Decree, Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against Defendant to require it to perform additional remedial actions at the Site and to pursue appropriate cost recovery, pursuant to RCW 70.105D.050 under the following circumstances:
 - A. Upon Defendant's failure to meet the requirements of this Decree.
 - B. Upon information being discovered that indicates that the Defendant contributed hazardous substances to the Site in such greater amount or of such greater toxic or other hazardous effects that such Defendant no longer qualifies as a *de minimis* party at the Site.
 - C. If factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment, either party may petition the Court to amend the Covenant Not to Sue pursuant to RCW 70.105D.040(4)(c).
 - D. Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment.
 - E. Upon the availability of new information regarding factors previously unknown to Ecology, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the Site, and Ecology's determination, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment.
- 4. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative action against Defendant pursuant to this section, Ecology shall provide Defendant with fifteen (15) calendar days' notice of such action.

XV. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

1. With regard to claims for contribution against Defendant, the Parties agree that Defendant is entitled to protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this Decree as provided by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(d). The "matters addressed" in this Decree are all remedial actions taken or to be taken and all remedial action costs incurred or to be incurred, at or in connection with the Site, by Ecology or any other person; provided, however, that if Ecology exercises rights under Section XV (Covenant Not to Sue) to require Defendant to perform additional remedial actions at the Site and/or to pursue appropriate cost recovery from Defendant, the "matters addressed" in this Decree will no longer include those remedial action costs or remedial actions that are within the scope of the exercised reservation.

XVI. INDEMNIFICATION

1. Defendant agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action (i) for death or injuries to persons, or (ii) for loss or damage to property to the extent arising from or on account of acts or omissions of Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, Defendant shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action to the extent arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in entering into or implementing this Decree.

XVII. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

1. All actions carried out by Defendant pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in RCW 70.105D.090.

XVIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

1. A Public Participation Plan is required for this Site. Ecology shall review any existing Public Participation Plan to determine its continued appropriateness and whether it

requires amendment, or if no plan exists, Ecology shall develop a Public Participation Plan alone or in conjunction with Defendant. Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, Defendant shall cooperate with Ecology, and shall:

- A. If agreed to by Ecology, develop appropriate mailing lists, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets regarding this settlement. As appropriate, Ecology will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings.
- B. Notify Ecology's contact prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before meetings with the interested public and/or local governments related to remedial action work to be performed under this Decree. Likewise, Ecology shall notify Defendant prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before meetings with the interested public and/or local governments regarding remedial action work to be performed under this Decree. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by Defendant that do not receive prior Ecology approval, Defendant shall clearly indicate to its audience that the press release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or endorsed by Ecology.
- C. When requested by Ecology, participate in public presentations regarding this settlement. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter.
- D. When requested by Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories at the following locations:

- 1. Anacortes Public Library 1200 9th Street Anacortes, WA 98221
- 2. Department of Ecology
 Toxics Cleanup Program
 Headquarters Office
 300 Desmond Drive SE
 Olympia, WA 98504-7600

4

5

6

8

7

11

10

13

12

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

II

At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and documents relating to public comment periods shall be promptly placed in these repositories. A copy of all documents related to this Site shall be maintained in the repository at Ecology's Headquarters Land and Aquatic Lands Cleanup Section Regional Office in Lacey, Washington.

XIX. DURATION OF DECREE

1. This Decree shall remain in effect, and this Court shall retain jurisdiction over both the subject matter of the Decree and the parties for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate to ensure that obligations of the parties have been satisfied. Ecology will provide written notification to Defendant that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. This Decree shall remain in effect until dismissed by the Court. When dismissed, Section VII (Cooperation and Property Access), Section X (Transfer of Interest in Property), Section XV (Covenant Not to Sue), and Section XVI (Contribution Protection) shall survive.

XX. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE AND PERFORMING PLPS

- 1. Defendant hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies; and further, that Defendant will make no claim against the State's MTCA Cleanup accounts for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree.
- 2. Defendant hereby agrees that it will not assert any claims and to waive all claims or causes of action (including but not limited to claims or causes of action under RCW 70.105D.080) that they may have for response costs relating to the Site against any other person who is a potentially liable party (PLP) under MTCA at the Site. This waiver shall not apply with respect to any defense, claim, or cause of action that the Defendant may have against any person if such person asserts a claim or cause of action relating to the Site against the Defendant.

1	XXI. EFFE	CTIVE DATE
2	1. This Decree is effective upon th	e date it is entered by the Court.
3	XXII. WITHDRAY	WAL OF CONSENT
4	1. If the Court withholds or withdra	aws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and
5	void at the option of any party and the accompa	nying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs
6	and without prejudice. In such an event, no p	arty shall be bound by the requirements of this
7	Decree.	
8 9 10	STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY Banny Coronal for Rebecca Lawson Acting Program Manager	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General ACL
11	REBECCA LAWSON, P.E., LHG	JOHN A. LEVEL, WSBA # 20439
12	Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program 360-407-7177	Assistant Attorney General 360-586-6753
13 14	Date: 9/3/2020	Date:9/3/2020
15	CHARLES MOON CREDIT TRUST	CHARLES MOON CREDIT TRUST
16 17 18 19	GARY MOON Co-Trustee of the Charles Moon Credit Trust 360-319-7705	PAUL MOON Co-Trustee of the Charles Moon Credit Trust 425-330-0329
20	Date:	
21	ENTERED this day of	2020.
22		
23		JDGE
24		Ragit County Superior Court
25		
26		

1	XXI. EFFECTIVE DATE
2	1. This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.
3	XXII. WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT
4	1. If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and
5	void at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs
6	and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this
7	Decree.
8 9	STATE OF WASHINGTON ROBERT W. FERGUSON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY Attorney General
10	
11 12	REBECCA LAWSON, P.E., LHG Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program 360-407-7177 JOHN A. LEVEL, WSBA # 20439 Assistant Attorney General 360-586-6753
13 14	Date: Date:
15 16 17 18	CHARLES MOON CREDIT TRUST CHARLES MOON CREDIT TRUST CHARLES MOON CREDIT TRUST PAUL MOON Co-Trustee of the Charles Moon Credit Trust 360-319-7705 CHARLES MOON CREDIT TRUST PAUL MOON Co-Trustee of the Charles Moon Credit Trust 425-330-0329
19	Date:
21	ENTERED this \(\frac{1}{2}\) day of \(\frac{2020}{2020}\).
22	C Mond
23	JUDGE
24 25	Skagit County Superior County
26	
∡U II	

9/18/2020 10:37 AM

SKAGIT COUNTY, WASH FILED SEP 1 4 2020 MELISSA BEATON, CO. CLERK Deputy

EXHIBIT A

