

April 1, 2021 Project No. 0615.18.01

Mr. Panjini Balaraju and Mr. Andy Smith Washington State Department of Ecology PO Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504

Re: Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Murray Pacific No. 2 Sort Yard Consent Decree No. 94-2-09922-7 Washington State Department of Ecology Facility Site ID #1211 Monitoring Date: February 19, 2021

Dear Mr. Balaraju and Mr. Smith:

This report summarizes field activities and presents results of the groundwater monitoring event conducted by Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. (MFA), on behalf of the Port of Tacoma (Port) at the former Murray Pacific No. 2 Log Sort Yard located at 2407 Port of Tacoma Road in Tacoma, Washington (the Site, refer to Figure 1). Groundwater monitoring and sampling activities were conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Consent Decree (94-2-09922-7), dated September 1994, between the Port and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology, 1994) and the Operation and Maintenance Plan (Anchor QEA, 2019).

The monitoring frequency was changed from 12 months to 18 months based on a memorandum of understanding between Ecology and the Port in 2011. Additionally, in July 2017, Ecology conducted a periodic review of post-cleanup Site conditions and to ensure that human health and the environment are being protected. The report on the review determined that the requirements of the restrictive covenants and the Consent Decree were met (Anchor, QEA 2019).

The last groundwater monitoring event was conducted in August 2019 by Anchor QEA. The most recent cap inspection was completed in August 2019 by Windward.

#### SITE BACKGROUND

The Site is located adjacent to the Blair Waterway at 2407 Port of Tacoma Road in Tacoma, Washington (refer to Figure 1). The Site was previously leased to the Murray Pacific Corporation and operated as a log sort yard. ASARCO slag was used as fill to build stable ground for machinery when the former log yard was in operation. Before 1970, the Site was

Mr. Balaraju and Mr. Smith April 1, 2021 Page 2

unleased and undeveloped. The Port is the current property owner and leases the property to Washington United Terminals for use as a shipping container terminal.

Ecology collected stormwater runoff samples at the Site between November 1983 and June 1984. Analytical results indicated that metals in excess of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) quality standards were leaving the Site in stormwater. Kennedy/Jenks Consultants performed a remedial investigation and feasibility study in 1993 as an independent action for the Port in compliance with Ecology's Model Toxic Control Act. In September 1994, Ecology and the Port entered into a Consent Decree for the Site. Construction of a low-permeability asphalt cap and stormwater drainage system was completed in 1997. Monitoring wells MW-X, MW-Y, and MW-Z were installed in 1998 for compliance monitoring that is still being performed to fulfill the requirements of the Consent Decree (Anchor QEA, 2019).

#### **FIELD PROCEDURES**

MFA conducted a groundwater monitoring event at the Site on February 19, 2021. MFA used a water-level probe to measure static water levels at MW-X, MW-Y, and MW-Z (refer to Table 1 and Figure 2).

Groundwater monitoring and sampling activities were conducted in accordance with industry standard sampling protocols with at least one pore volume extracted from each well and field parameters allowed to stabilize before sample collection. Depth-to-water measurements at the monitoring wells were measured, and new polyethylene tubing was installed at each monitoring well before groundwater-sampling activities began.

Water-quality parameters were measured with a YSI meter (YSI 556MPS) and a turbidity meter (Hach 2100P) before sample collection, and the process and results were recorded on field sampling data sheets (refer to Attachment A). Four groundwater samples were collected, including a duplicate sample at MW-X, using low-flow sampling techniques involving a peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing.

The groundwater samples were submitted to Friedman & Bruya, Inc., of Seattle, Washington, under standard chain-of-custody procedures. Samples were analyzed for the contaminants of concern, dissolved arsenic, by the EPA Method 6020B.

Investigation-derived waste generated during the February 2021 sampling event was properly drummed and labeled and is temporarily stored off-site, pending investigation-derived waste pick-up and disposal.

Project No. 0615.18.01

Mr. Balaraju and Mr. Smith April 1, 2021 Page 3

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Water-level measurements and groundwater analytical results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Laboratory analytical reports are provided as Attachment B. Analytical data and the laboratory's internal quality assurance and quality control data were reviewed to assess whether they meet project-specific data quality objectives. This review was performed consistent with accepted EPA procedures for evaluating laboratory analytical data (EPA, 2017). A data validation memorandum summarizing data evaluation procedures, data usability, and deviations from specific field and/or laboratory methods for the February 2021 groundwater quality data is included as Attachment C. The data are considered acceptable for their intended use, with the appropriate data qualifiers assigned.

#### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Groundwater analytical results (refer to Table 2) indicate the following:

• Dissolved arsenic was detected at a concentration of 7.15 micrograms per liter (ug/L) in MW-X, 6.74 ug/L in MW-Y, and 37.6 ug/L in MW-Z. These values exceed the Site's designated groundwater cleanup level of 5 ug/L for dissolved arsenic.

Plots of dissolved arsenic versus time for MW-X, MW-Y, and MW-Z are presented in Figures 3, 4, and 5. respectively.

The dissolved arsenic concentrations in groundwater will continue to be monitored in accordance with the Consent Decree, as amended. The next scheduled sampling event will occur in February 2022. Groundwater monitoring results will be submitted to Ecology within 45 days after completion of data validation.

Mr. Balaraju and Mr. Smith April 1, 2021 Page 4 Project No. 0615.18.01

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this letter report.

Sincerely,

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc.

04-01-2021

Yen-Vy Van, LHG Senior Hydrogeologist

Attachments:	Limitations
	References
	Tables
	Figures
	A—Field Sampling Data Sheets
	B—Laboratory Analytical Report
	C-Data Validation Memorandum
cc:	Sarah Weeks, Port of Tacoma

The services undertaken in completing this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, or the use of segregated portions of this report. Anchor QEA. 2019. Groundwater monitoring report-former Murray Pacific No. 2 Sort Yard, consent decree no. 94-2-09922-7. November 14.

EPA. 2017. EPA contract laboratory program, national functional guidelines for Superfund organic methods data review. EPA 540-R-2017-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. January.

# TABLES





# Table 1Water Level MeasurementsFormer Murray Pacific No. 2 Log Sort Yard

		Depth to Water
Well ID	Date	(feet) <sup>(a)</sup>
MW-X	07/22/98	10.62
MW-X	01/21/99	10.08
MW-X	07/20/99	10.14
MW-X	02/24/00	10.09
MW-X	07/27/00	10.76
MW-X	07/17/01	11.02
MW-X	01/16/02	10.97
MW-X	07/16/02	10.78
MW-X	01/13/03	10.95
MW-X	07/15/03	10.90
MW-X	02/04/04	10.80
MW-X	08/02/04	11.00
MW-X	07/26/05	10.93
MW-X	08/11/06	10.84
MW-X	01/29/07	10.72
MW-X	02/08/08	10.14
MW-X	09/12/08	11.80
MW-X	02/27/09	11.12
MW-X	07/23/09	11.05
MW-X	02/04/10	10.90
MW-X	09/17/10	10.89
MW-X	02/15/11	10.70
MW-X	02/14/12	11.85
MW-X	08/23/13	10.91
MW-X	02/12/15	10.69
MW-X	08/26/16	10.83
MW-X	02/12/18	10.55
MW-X	08/23/19	10.90
MW-X	02/19/21	10.95
MW-Y	07/22/98	9.48
MW-Y	01/21/99	8.18
MW-Y	07/20/99	9.37
MW-Y	02/24/00	9.15
MW-Y	07/27/00	9.56
MW-Y	07/17/01	9.70
MW-Y	01/16/02	9.51
MW-Y	07/16/02	9.42
MW-Y	01/13/03	9.77



# Table 1Water Level MeasurementsFormer Murray Pacific No. 2 Log Sort Yard

		Depth to Water
Well ID	Date	(feet) <sup>(a)</sup>
MW-Y	07/15/03	9.72
MW-Y	02/04/04	9.41
MW-Y	08/02/04	9.86
MW-Y	07/26/05	9.84
MW-Y	08/11/06	9.79
MW-Y	01/29/07	9.70
MW-Y	02/08/08	9.46
MW-Y	09/12/08	9.73
MW-Y	02/27/09	9.58
MW-Y	07/23/09	9.62
MW-Y	02/04/10	9.41
MW-Y	09/17/10	9.56
MW-Y	02/15/11	9.3
MW-Y	02/14/12	9.95
MW-Y	08/23/13	9.43
MW-Y	02/12/15	9.38
MW-Y	08/26/16	9.71
MW-Y	02/12/18	9.44
MW-Y	08/23/19	9.8
MW-Y	02/19/21	9.40
MW-Z	07/22/98	15.35
MW-Z	01/21/99	12.01
MW-Z	07/20/99	13.07
MW-Z	02/24/00	12.27
MW-Z	07/27/00	13.29
MW-Z	07/17/01	12.48
MW-Z	01/16/02	13.28
MW-Z	07/16/02	12.71
MW-Z	01/13/03	28.10
MW-Z	07/15/03	12.92
MW-Z	02/04/04	12.15
MW-Z	08/02/04	13.17
MW-Z	07/26/05	13.38
MW-Z	08/11/06	13.26
MW-Z	01/29/07	13.17
MW-Z	02/08/08	12.54
MW-Z	09/12/08	13.13
MW-Z	02/27/09	13.14
MW-Z	07/23/09	13.36
MW-Z	02/04/10	11.5



# Table 1Water Level MeasurementsFormer Murray Pacific No. 2 Log Sort Yard

Well ID	Date	Depth to Water (feet) <sup>(a)</sup>
MW-Z	09/17/10	12.51
MW-Z	02/15/11	11.62
MW-Z	02/14/12	12.95
MW-Z	08/23/13	13.23
MW-Z	02/12/15	11.64
MW-Z	08/26/16	12.65
MW-Z	02/08/18	12.33
MW-Z	08/23/19	12.9
MW-Z	02/19/21	12.21

NOTES:

Analytical results for groundwater samples collected in February 2021 provided by Maul Foster Alongi, Inc. All previous groundwater analytical results provided by Port of Tacoma.

TOC = top of casing.

<sup>(a)</sup>Water levels are expressed as feet below TOC. TOC elevation is not available.



		Dissolved	Dissolved	Dissolved	Dissolved
		Arsenic	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Well ID	Date		Concentro	ition in ug/L	
Groundwater Clea	anup Levels <sup>(a)</sup> :	5	2.9	8.5	86
MW-X	07/22/98	20	3.2	0.52	8.9
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/22/98	3.4	3.3	ND	8
MW-X	01/21/99	0.98	ND	ND	23
MW-X (Duplicate)	01/21/99	0.52	ND	ND	18
MW-X	07/20/99	7.7	2.2	ND	79
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/20/99	8.7	2	ND	71
MW-X	02/24/00	4.5	2.2	ND	86
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/24/00	4.8	2.3	ND	100
MW-X	07/27/00	4.9	1.4	ND	5.5
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/27/00	5.4	1.6	ND	4.4
MW-X	07/17/01	4.4	1.2	ND	50
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/17/01	4.3	ND	ND	64
MW-X	01/16/02	3.88	1.5		ND
MW-X (Duplicate)	01/16/02	4.15	1.9		7.93
MW-X	07/16/02	5.06	1.53		1.29
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/16/02	5.33	1.95		2.6
MW-X	01/13/03	4.97	ND		ND
MW-X (Duplicate)	01/13/03	4.73	ND		ND
MW-X	07/15/03	4.81	ND		ND
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/15/03	4.97	ND		ND
MW-X	02/04/04	9.22	1.32		5.46
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/04/04	8.9	1.17		6.23
MW-X	08/02/04	8.24	2.61		18.6
MW-X (Duplicate)	08/02/04	7.45	1.49		14.7
MW-X	07/26/05	5.37	ND		ND
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/26/05	6.26	3.57		7.44
MW-X	08/11/06	3	ND		ND
MW-X (Duplicate)	08/11/06	ND	ND		
MW-X	01/29/07	6.7	ND	ND	ND
MW-X	02/08/08	3.1			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/08/08	1.9 J			
MW-X	09/12/08	0.7			
MW-X (Duplicate)	09/12/08	0.9			
MW-X	02/27/09	0.6			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/27/09	0.6			
MW-X	07/23/09	0.7			
MW-X (Duplicate)	07/23/09	0.4			



		Dissolved Arsenic	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Lead	Dissolved Zinc
Well ID	Date		Concentra	tion in ug/L	
Groundwater Clea	anup Levels <sup>(a)</sup> :	5	2.9	8.5	86
MW-X	02/04/10	<0.5			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/04/10	<0.5			
MW-X	09/17/10	<0.5			
MW-X (Duplicate)	09/17/10	<0.5			
MW-X	02/15/11	<0.5			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/15/11	<0.5			
MW-X	02/14/12	<0.5			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/14/12	<0.5			
MW-X	08/23/13	1.4			
MW-X (Duplicate)	08/23/13	1.3			
MW-X	02/12/15	3.0			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/12/15	3.0			
MW-X	08/26/16	0.217			
MW-X (Duplicate)	08/26/16	0.230			
MW-X	02/12/18	0.357			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/12/18	0.388			
MW-X	08/23/19	0.76			
MW-X	02/19/21	6.99			
MW-X (Duplicate)	02/19/21	7.15			
MW-Y	07/22/98	15	2	1.7	8.5
MW-Y	01/21/99	0.52	ND	ND	24
MW-Y	07/20/99	3	ND	ND	73
MW-Y	02/24/00	2	ND	ND	94
MW-Y	07/27/00	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-Y	07/17/01	8	ND	ND	23
MW-Y	01/16/02	13.1	ND		6.92
MW-Y	07/16/02	18.7	0.584		2.77
MW-Y	01/13/03	9.49	ND		ND
MW-Y	07/15/03	16.5	ND		ND
MW-Y	02/04/04	8.45	2.45		9.64
MW-Y	08/02/04	7.64	ND		12.9
MW-Y	07/26/05	10.7	ND		ND
MW-Y	08/11/06	13	ND		ND
MW-Y	01/29/07	7	ND		ND
MW-Y	02/08/08	9.3			
MW-Y	09/12/08	8.9			
MW-Y	02/27/09	7.4			



		Dissolved Arsenic	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Lead	Dissolved Zinc
Well ID	Date		Concentra	tion in ug/L	
Groundwater Clea	anup Levels <sup>(a)</sup> :	5	2.9	8.5	86
MW-Y	07/23/09	2.3			
MW-Y	02/04/10	10.9			
MW-Y	09/17/10	26.6			
MW-Y	02/15/11	3.3			
MW-Y	02/14/12	19			
MW-Y	08/23/13	7.4			
MW-Y	02/12/15	6.5			
MW-Y	08/26/16	8.62			
MW-Y	02/12/18	10.2			
MW-Y	08/23/19	15.4			
MW-Y	02/19/21	6.74			
MW-Z	07/22/98	6.5	ND	0.84	3.7
MW-Z	01/22/99	ND	ND	ND	16
MW-Z	07/20/99	30	2.3	ND	68
MW-Z	02/24/00	11	2.3	0.52	44
MW-Z	07/27/00	11	1.9	ND	ND
MW-Z	07/17/01	7.3	1.4	ND	16
MW-Z	01/16/02	5.68	1.84		5.69
MW-Z	07/16/02	5.99	2.25		3.3
MW-Z	01/13/03	5.1	2.92		ND
MW-Z	07/15/03	5.12	ND		ND
MW-Z	02/04/04	8.62	1.62		6.62
MW-Z	08/02/04	8.41	2.07		14.3
MW-Z	07/26/05	5.88	ND		ND
MW-Z	08/11/06	2.6	ND		ND
MW-Z	01/29/07	14	ND		ND
MW-Z	02/08/08	3.4			
MW-Z	09/12/08	0.6			
MW-Z	02/27/09	0.8			
MW-Z	07/23/09	0.4			
MW-Z	02/04/10	<0.5			
MW-Z	09/17/10	0.6			
MW-Z	02/15/11	2.9			
MW-Z	02/14/12	<0.5			
MW-Z	08/23/13	1.9			
MW-Z	02/12/15	3.1			
MW-Z	08/26/16	0.401			



Table 2	
Groundwater Analytical Data	
Former Murray Pacific No. 2 Log Sort Yo	ard

		Dissolved Arsenic	Dissolved Copper	Dissolved Lead	Dissolved Zinc
Well ID	Date		Concentra	tion in ug/L	
Groundwater Cleanup Levels <sup>(a)</sup> :		5	2.9	8.5	86
MW-Z	02/12/18	0.405			
MW-Z	08/23/19	0.542			
MW-Z (Duplicate)	08/23/19	0.485			
MW-Z	02/19/21	37.6			



#### NOTES:

Lead analysis was discontinued in 2001, and copper and zinc analyses were discontinued in 2008 with Ecology approval respectively dated September 28, 2001, and February 20, 2007.

Groundwater samples were analyzed for dissolved metals collected before 2021 analyzed by EPA Method 200.8 and samples collected in 2021 analyzed by EPA Method 6020B.

Analytical results for groundwater samples collected in February 2021 provided by Maul Foster Alongi. All previous groundwater analytical results provided by Port of Tacoma.

Value in **bold** indicates concentration greater than groundwater cleanup level.

-- = not analyzed.

< = Laboratory analytical result does not exceed laboratory quantitation limit.

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ID = identification.

J = Concentration is estimated.

ND = Not detected. No quantitation limit indicated.

ug/L = Micrograms per liter.

<sup>(a)</sup>Groundwater cleanup levels established in Consent Decree 94-2-09922-7.

# FIGURES





Feet

ed By

Revie





#### Figure 3 MW-X Dissolved Arsenic Concentration Trends Former Murray Pacific No. 2 Loa Sort Yard





# Figure 4 MW-Y Dissolved Arsenic Concentration Trends Former Murray Pacific No. 2 Log Sort Yard



MW-Y Dissolved Arsenic

# Figure 5 MW-Z Dissolved Arsenic Concentration Trends Former Murray Pacific No. 2 Log Sort Yard



MW-Z Dissolved Arsenic

# ATTACHMENT A FIELD SAMPLING DATA SHEETS

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc.

109 East 13th Street, Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 694-2691 Fax. (360) 906-1

# Water Field Sampling Data Sheet

Client Name	Port of Tacoma	Sample Location	MW-Y
Project #	0615.18.01	Sampler	SRM
Project Name	Former Murray Pacific Property	Sampling Date	2/19/2021
Sampling Event	February 2021	Sample Name	MW-Y-GW-12.25
Sub Area		Sample Depth	12.25
FSDS QA:	B. James 3/2/2021	Easting	Northing TOC

#### Hydrology/Level Measurements

				(Product Thickness)	(Water Column)	(Gallons/ft x Water Column)	
Date	Time	DT-Bottom	DT-Product	DT-Water	DTP-DTW	DTB-DTW	Pore Volume
2/19/2021	12:30	14.94		9.4		5.54	0.9

(0.75" = 0.023 gal/ft) (1" = 0.041 gal/ft) (1.5" = 0.092 gal/ft) (2" = 0.163 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft)

#### Water Quality Data

Purge Method	Time	Purge Vol (gal)	Flowrate l/min	pН	Temp (C)	E Cond (uS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP	Turbidity
(2) Peristaltic Pump	12:50:00 PM	0.4	0.4	6.52	12.9	3347	0.34	119.7	16.7
	12:55:00 PM	0.9	0.4	6.54	12.9	3314	0.21	113	10.5
	1:00:00 PM	1.4	0.4	6.58	12.9	3408	0.15	104.2	7.39
	1:05:00 PM	1.9	0.4	6.59	12.9	3422	0.13	97.9	5.65
	1:10:00 PM	2.4	0.4	6.59	12.8	3434	0.16	90.9	5.33
Final Field Parameters	1:15:00 PM	2.9	0.4	6.6	12.9	3458	0.1	77.1	5.1

Methods: (1) Submersible Pump (2) Peristaltic Pump (3) Disposable Bailer (4) Vacuum Pump (5) Dedicated Bailer (6) Inertia Pump (7) Other (specify)

Water Quality Observations:	Clear; co	lorl	es
-----------------------------	-----------	------	----

Clear; colorless; no odor; slight ribbon sheen.

#### **Sample Information**

Sampling Method	Sample Type	Sampling Time	Container Code/Preservative	#	Filtered
(2) Peristaltic Pump	Groundwater	1:20:00 PM	VOA-Glass		
			Amber Glass		
			White Poly		
			Yellow Poly		
			Green Poly		
			Red Total Poly		
			Red Dissolved Poly	1	Yes
			Total Bottles	1	

#### **General Sampling Comments**

Began purging at 12:45.

# Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc.

109 East 13th Street, Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 694-2691 Fax. (360) 906-1

# Water Field Sampling Data Sheet

Client Name	Port of Tacoma	Sample Location	MW-X
Project #	0615.18.01	Sampler	SRM
Project Name	Former Murray Pacific Property	Sampling Date	2/19/2021
Sampling Event	February 2021	Sample Name	MW-X-GW-11.75
Sub Area		Sample Depth	11.75
FSDS QA:	B. James 3/2/2021	Easting	Northing

#### Hydrology/Level Measurements

					(Product Thickness)	(Water Column)	(Gallons/ft x Water Column)
Date	Time	DT-Bottom	DT-Product	DT-Water	DTP-DTW	DTB-DTW	Pore Volume
2/19/2021	9:17	13.49		10.95		2.54	0.41

(0.75" = 0.023 gal/ft) (1" = 0.041 gal/ft) (1.5" = 0.092 gal/ft) (2" = 0.163 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft)

#### Water Quality Data

Purge Method	Time	Purge Vol (gal)	Flowrate l/min	pН	Temp (C)	E Cond (uS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP	Turbidity
(2) Peristaltic Pump	10:05:00 AM	0.5	0.2	6.68	13.9	5631	0.26	188.2	6.09
	10:10:00 AM	0.8	0.2	6.72	13.9	5620	0.19	177.8	3.06
	10:15:00 AM	1.1	0.2	6.73	13.9	5615	0.18	172.7	1.76
Final Field Parameters	10:20:00 AM	1.4	0.2	6.74	14	5622	0.18	168.2	1.02

Methods: (1) Submersible Pump (2) Peristaltic Pump (3) Disposable Bailer (4) Vacuum Pump (5) Dedicated Bailer (6) Inertia Pump (7) Other (specify)

Water Quality Observatio	<b>ns:</b> Clear; colorless; no odor; no sheen.
--------------------------	-------------------------------------------------

#### **Sample Information**

Sampling Method	Sample Type	Sampling Time	Container Code/Preservative	#	Filtered
(2) Peristaltic Pump	Groundwater	10:25:00 AM	VOA-Glass		
			Amber Glass		
			White Poly		
			Yellow Poly		
			Green Poly		
			Red Total Poly		
			Red Dissolved Poly	1	Yes
			Total Bottles	1	

#### **General Sampling Comments**

Began purging at 10:00. MWDUP-GW-11.75 collected here.

J-plug did not appear to seal properly, and well cap screws appeared to be stripped or of a wrong size.

# Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc.

109 East 13th Street, Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 694-2691 Fax. (360) 906-1

# Water Field Sampling Data Sheet

Client Name	Port of Tacoma	Sample Location	MW-Z
Project #	0615.18.01	Sampler	SRM
Project Name	Former Murray Pacific Property	Sampling Date	2/19/2021
Sampling Event	February 2021	Sample Name	MW-Z-GW-20.25
Sub Area		Sample Depth	20.25
FSDS QA:	B. James 3/2/2021	Easting	Northing TOC

#### Hydrology/Level Measurements

					(Product Thickness)	(Water Column)	(Gallons/ft x Water Column)
Date	Time	DT-Bottom	DT-Product	DT-Water	DTP-DTW	DTB-DTW	Pore Volume
2/19/2021	10:56	28.31		12.21		16.1	2.62

(0.75" = 0.023 gal/ft) (1" = 0.041 gal/ft) (1.5" = 0.092 gal/ft) (2" = 0.163 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft) (2" = 0.163 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft) (3" = 0.367 gal/ft) (4" = 0.653 gal/ft) (6" = 1.469 gal/ft) (8" = 2.611 gal/ft) (3" = 0.611 gal/ft) (3" = 0.653 gal/ft)

#### Water Quality Data

Purge Method	Time	Purge Vol (gal)	Flowrate l/min	pН	Temp (C)	E Cond (uS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP	Turbidity
(2) Peristaltic Pump							Î		
								<u> </u>	
Final Field Parameters	12:00:00 PM	7.8	0.3	6.56	14.2	5171	0.4	118.7	8.89

Methods: (1) Submersible Pump (2) Peristaltic Pump (3) Disposable Bailer (4) Vacuum Pump (5) Dedicated Bailer (6) Inertia Pump (7) Other (specify)

Water Quality Observations:	Clear; colorless; no odor; no sheen.
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

**Sample Information** 

Sampling Method	Sample Type	Sampling Time	<b>Container Code/Preservative</b>	#	Filtered
(2) Peristaltic Pump	Groundwater	12:05:00 PM	VOA-Glass		
ľ			Amber Glass		
			White Poly		
			Yellow Poly		
			Green Poly		
			Red Total Poly		
			Red Dissolved Poly	1	Yes
			Total Bottles	1	

**General Sampling Comments** 

Began purging at 11:07.

# ATTACHMENT B LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Arina Podnozova, B.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

March 1, 2021

Yen-Vy Van, Project Manager Maul Foster Alongi 2815 2<sup>nd</sup> Ave, Suite 540 Seattle, WA 98121

Dear Ms Van:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on February 19, 2021 from the Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific PO 0615.18.01-01, F&BI 102311 project. There are 8 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days, or as directed by the Chain of Custody document. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Cale

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures MFA0301R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on February 19, 2021 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Maul Foster Alongi Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific PO 0615.18.01-01, F&BI 102311 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>Maul Foster Alongi</u>
102311 -01	MW-X-GW-11.75
102311 -02	MW-Y-GW-20.25
102311 -03	MW-Z-GW-12.5
102311 -04	MWDUP-GW-11.75

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 6020B

Client ID:	MW-X-GW-11.75	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
Date Received:	02/19/21	Project:	Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific
Date Extracted:	02/22/21	Lab ID:	102311-01 x5
Date Analyzed:	02/25/21	Data File:	102311-01 x5.204
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic	6.99		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 6020B

Client ID:	MW-Y-GW-20.25	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
Date Received:	02/19/21	Project:	Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific
Date Extracted:	02/22/21	Lab ID:	102311-02 x5
Date Analyzed:	02/25/21	Data File:	102311-02 x5.205
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic	6.74		

3

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 6020B

Client ID:	MW-Z-GW-12.5	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
Date Received:	02/19/21	Project:	Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific
Date Extracted:	02/22/21	Lab ID:	102311-03 x10
Date Analyzed:	02/25/21	Data File:	102311-03 x10.206
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic	37.6		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 6020B

Client ID:	MWDUP-GW-11.75	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
Date Received:	02/19/21	Project:	Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific
Date Extracted:	02/22/21	Lab ID:	102311-04 x5
Date Analyzed:	02/25/21	Data File:	102311-04 x5.208
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic	7.15		

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 6020B

Method Blank	Client:	Maul Foster Alongi
Not Applicable	Project:	Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific
02/22/21	Lab ID:	I1-130 mb
02/22/21	Data File:	I1-130 mb.058
Water	Instrument:	ICPMS2
ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	SP
Concentration		
ug/L (ppb)		
	Method Blank Not Applicable 02/22/21 02/22/21 Water ug/L (ppb) Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Method BlankClient:Not ApplicableProject:02/22/21Lab ID:02/22/21Data File:WaterInstrument:ug/L (ppb)Operator:Concentrationug/L (ppb)

Arsenic

<1

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/01/21 Date Received: 02/19/21 Project: Port of Tacoma Murray Pacific PO 0615.18.01-01, F&BI 102311

#### **QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS** FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 6020B

Laboratory Code: 102311-01 x10 (Matrix Spike)

Laboratory C	040. 10 <b>2</b> 011 01 x	iii (maaii	ix opike)	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	<10	105	116	75 - 125	10

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	96	80-120

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

102311					SAMPLI	E Ci	HAI	<b>NOF</b>	CU	ST	DDY	T M	Ē	0	2-	19	-2	ł		ATI		
Report To Yen-Vy Van					SAMPL	ERS	l (sign	ature)			**	2	 7 7						Page		of 1	
Company Maul Foste Address 2815 2nd A	- f. Verne	Alongi, In Suite 2	е. 340		- PROJE	CT N	JAME	Murna	y fac	ufic.	06		8.01	/0# /~0;	ŧ			⊠ Sta □ RU Rush	andai JSH_ char	rd turnarour	nd zed by:	-
City, State, ZIP <u>Seattl</u>	e, W	A 9812	1		REMAF	KS			<u>. 1</u>		acc	I	NVC #22	PICE Pma	TO To Hos		<b>,</b>	🗆 Arc	SAN chive	MPLE DISPO samples	DSAL	
Phone <u>253-320-5378</u> I	Email_	ywin@ma.	uHosti	r. com	- Project	speci	ific RI	<u>.s? - Y</u>	es /	No					·			Defa	ult:	Dispose aft	er 30 days	3
		I	1		I .	1		1	<u> </u>	r		1	ANA	LYS	ES R	EQU	ESTI I	ED		1		]
Sample ID		Lab ID	E Sar	ate npled	Time Sampled	Sa T	mple 'ype	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 8021	NWTPH-HCID	VOCs EPA 8260	PAHs EPA 8270	PCBs EPA 8082	Ass. As by USEPA forces				N	otes	
MW- x - BW- 11.7	5	01	2/19	12021	1025	G	w	1								X.				All sam Field	filmed:	
MW-Z GW-20.2	25	02			1205			1								X						-
MW- Y - GW-12.	\$	03			1320			1				n.				Х					, f	
MWDUP-GW- 11.7 S	\$	04			1025		L.	1								X					2. ****	
																2 .			ļ			
						11	4				<u>,</u>										·	4
						-	•••											-				_
	·						•	2		-	_	·····	-	2	2							4
	<u> </u>														d. À.					łę.		-
								۰.														]
Friedman & Rrusa Inc	Reling	SIC	NAT	URE				PRIN	T NA	AMF	}				C	OME	PANY	Y		DATE	TIME	
3012 16th Appende West	Receiv	red by: Al	<u></u>	and			Pan	Mali	one j						MF	<u>A</u>				2/19/21	1700	
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Reling	uished by:	u	4			$\mathcal{N}_{\ell}$	N	H						ĒĒ	31	· · :			2/19/21	1700	-
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Receiv	red by:						··· ·							Sam	ples	rec	eive	ed a	t <u>L</u> oc		-
· .	<u> </u>						· .						L								<u>.</u>	]

# ATTACHMENT C DATA VALIDATION MEMORANDUM

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

# DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL REVIEW

### PROJECT NO. 0615.18.01 | MARCH 4, 2021 | PORT OF TACOMA – MURRAY PACIFIC

Maul Foster & Alongi, Inc. (MFA) conducted an independent review of the quality of analytical results for groundwater samples collected at the Port of Tacoma Cascade Timber site. The samples were collected on February 19, 2021.

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (FBI) performed the analyses. FBI report number 102311 was reviewed. The analyses performed and samples analyzed are listed below.

Analysis	Reference
Dissolved Arsenic	EPA 6020B

NOTES:

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Samples Analyzed
Report 102311
MW-X-GW-11.75
MW-Y-GW-20.25
MW-Z-GW-12.5
MWDUP-GW-11.75

# DATA QUALIFICATIONS

Analytical results were evaluated according to applicable sections of EPA procedures (EPA, 2017) and appropriate laboratory and method-specific guidelines (EPA, 1986; FBI, 2019).

The data are considered acceptable for their intended use, with the appropriate data qualifiers assigned.

# HOLDING TIMES, PRESERVATION, AND SAMPLE STORAGE

#### Holding Times

Extractions and analyses were performed within the recommended holding time criteria.

#### Preservation and Sample Storage

According to the chain of custody in report 102311, samples were received by FBI at 7 degrees Celsius, which is above the upper recommended storage temperature range of 2 to 6 degrees

Celsius. The reviewer confirmed that the samples were received by FBI in a cooler with ice still present, and that samples were received only 2.5 hours after collection; thus, qualification was not required.

There were no additional issues related to sample storage or preservation.

### BLANKS

#### Method Blanks

A laboratory method blank analysis was performed at the required frequency. For purposes of data qualification, the method blank was associated with all samples prepared in the analytical batch. The laboratory method blank result was non-detect to method reporting limit.

#### Trip Blanks

Trip blanks were not required for this sampling event, as volatile organic compounds were not analyzed.

#### Equipment Rinsate Blanks

Equipment rinsate blanks were not required for this sampling event, as all samples were collected using dedicated, single-use equipment.

### MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RESULTS

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) results are used to evaluate laboratory precision and accuracy. MS/MSD samples were extracted and analyzed at the required frequency.

The MS/MSD results were within acceptance limits for percent recovery and RPD.

## LABORATORY DUPLICATE RESULTS

Duplicate results are used to evaluate laboratory precision. All duplicate samples were extracted and analyzed at the required frequency. Laboratory duplicate results were not reported; batch precision was evaluated with MS/MSD sample results.

# LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE/LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE RESULTS

A laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) is spiked with target analytes to provide information on laboratory precision and accuracy. The LCS sample was extracted and analyzed at the required frequency. LCSD results were not reported; batch precision was evaluated with MS/MSD sample results.

All LCS results were within acceptance limits for percent recovery.

# FIELD DUPLICATE RESULTS

Field duplicate samples measure both field and laboratory precision. One field duplicate was submitted for analysis (MW-X-GW-11.75/MWDUP-GW-11.75). MFA uses acceptance criteria of 100 percent RPD for results that are less than five times the method reporting limit, or 50 percent RPD for results that are greater than five times the method reporting limit. Non-detect data are not used in the evaluation of field duplicate results. All analytes were within the acceptance criteria.

## **REPORTING LIMITS**

FBI used routine reporting limits for non-detect results, except for samples requiring dilutions because of high analyte concentrations and/or matrix interferences.

# DATA PACKAGE

The data packages were reviewed for transcription errors, omissions, and anomalies. None were found.

EPA. 1986. Test methods for evaluating solid waste, physical/chemical methods. EPA publication SW-846. 3d ed. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Final updates I (1993), II (1995), IIA (1994), IIB (1995), III (1997), IIIA (1999), IIIB (2005), IV (2008), V (2015), VI phase I (2017), VI phase II (2018), and VI phase III (2019).

EPA. 2017. EPA contract laboratory program, national functional guidelines for inorganic Superfund methods data review. EPA 540-R-2017-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. January.

FBI. 2019. Quality assurance manual. Rev. 16. Friedman & Bruya, Inc., Seattle, Washington. October 2.