

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM
FRESHWATER MONITORING UNIT
STREAM DISCHARGE TECHNICAL NOTES

STATION ID: 01C070
STATION NAME: Hutchinson Creek near Acme
WATER YEAR: 2012
AUTHOR: Paul D. Anderson

Introduction

Watershed Description

Hutchinson Creek drains a forested basin in the North Cascades foothills that lies between Bowman Mountain on the east and its confluence with the South Fork Nooksack River on the west. The creek supports populations of steelhead, coho salmon, and cutthroat trout. Above the gage the basin ranges in elevation from 530 feet up to 4220 feet along the mountain ridges to the east. The mean elevation is 1750 feet. About 72 percent of the area is covered by forest canopy. Average annual precipitation is about 70 inches.

Gage Location

This streamgage is located on the left bank at an unmarked Washington State Department of Natural Resources bridge off Mosquito Lake Road in Whatcom County, WA.

Table 1. Basin Area and Legal Description

Drainage Area (square miles)	14.0
Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	48° 43' 27.84" N
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	-122° 9' 17.92" W

Table 2. Discharge Statistics.

Mean Annual Discharge (cfs)	55
Median Annual Discharge (cfs)	53
Maximum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	198
Minimum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	5.6
Maximum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	259
Minimum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	5.0
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 10 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	116
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 90 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	8.5
Number of Days Discharge is Greater Than Range of Ratings	2
Number of Days Discharge is Less Than Range of Ratings	0
Number of Un-Reported Days	2
Number of Days Qualified as Estimates	237
Number of Modeled Days	0

Note: Statistics displayed in Table 2 may not include values in which the predicted discharge exceeds the range of ratings.

Table 2 Discussion (Discharge Statistics)

Discharge at Hutchinson Creek near Acme gaging station reached its lowest point September 28, 2012. Discharge in Hutchinson Creek peaked November 23, 2011.

Two days were greater than the range of rating. These rating exceedances correspond to the peak of the water year during a November storm event. The two days greater than the range of rating also represent the total number of unreported days in Water Year 2012.

Two hundred thirty-seven days were qualified as estimates. These days were qualified as estimates based on logger drift error assessment.

For an unknown reason, a three day data gap occurred in mid-February 2012. This gap was filled using a regression based on a nearby station. A portion of the discharge data for this water year are based on this regressed data.

Table 3. Error Analysis Summary.

Potential Logger Drift Error (% of discharge)	25.2
Potential Weighted Rating Error (% of discharge)	11.5
Total Potential Error (% of discharge)	36.7

Table 3 Discussion (Error Analysis)

The majority of the total potential error comes from logger drift error. There is no specific cause noted that would identify why so much of the potential error is associated with logger drift. Logger drift refers to the difference between raw and adjusted discharge. Logger drift can be caused by a number of factors. Some of these factors include low battery voltage, a clogged orifice line, or burial of the terminal end of the stage measurement device.

The remainder of the total potential error is from uncertainty in reported discharge for Water Year 2012 is from potential weighted rating error. Potential rating error is based on the difference between the discharge predicted by the rating table and the measured discharge that has been adjusted to the highest potential error based on the quality of the measurement.

Table 4. Stage Record Summary

Minimum Recorded Stage (feet)	1.09
Maximum Recorded Stage (feet)	3.90
Range of Recorded Stage (feet)	2.81

Table 4 Discussion (Stage Record)

Minimum stage occurred during summer low flow in late September of 2012. Maximum stage occurred during high flow conditions caused by a storm event November of 2011.

A three-day data gap occurred in mid-February of 2012. The gap was filled with regressed data from a nearby station.

Table 5. Rating Table Summary

Rating Table No.	12	101	121
Period of Ratings	10/1/11-11/23/11	11/23/11-1/23/12	12/27/11-9/30/12
Range of Ratings (cfs)	0-392	0-292	0-392
No. of Defining Measurements	29	11	29
Rating Error (%)	11.6	11.1	11.6

Rating Table No.			
Period of Ratings			
Range of Ratings (cfs)			
No. of Defining Measurements			
Rating Error (%)			

Rating Table No.			
Period of Ratings			
Range of Ratings (cfs)			
No. of Defining Measurements			
Rating Error (%)			

Table 5 Discussion (Rating Tables)

Rating 12 is a continuation from Water Year 2011.

Filling of the gage pool after a large storm event in late November of 2011 led to Rating 101 which is the second occurrence of Rating 10. Rating 101 was short lived and was based on one discharge measurement.

Rating 121 is the first clone of rating 12 and represents a scour of the gage pool over several storm events in January of 2012.

Table 6. Model Summary

Model Type (Slope conveyance, other, none)	none
Range of Modeled Stage (feet)	none
Range of Modeled Discharge (cfs)	none
Valid Period for Model	none
Model Confidence	none

Table 6 Discussion (Modeled Data)

none

Table 7. Survey Type and Date (station, cross section, longitudinal)

Type	Date
none	n/a

Table 7 Discussion (Surveys)

n/a

Activities Completed

None.

Appendix

None.