1 2 JAN 1 6 2015 3 SONYA KRASKI COUNTY CLERK SNOHOMISH CO. WASH. 4 5 6 STATE OF WASHINGTON 7 SNOHOMISH COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 8 2 01720 NO. STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 9 CONSENT DECREE Plaintiff. 10 11 ٧. AMERON INTERNATIONAL, 12 OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC., PORT OF EVERETT, DAVID F. HULBERT, 13 TANA MARTIN GREENBERG, WILLIAM G. HULBERT III, the 14 WILLIAM HULBERT MILL COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, 15 and the WILLIAM G HULBERT, JR AND CLARE MUMFORD HULBERT 16 REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, 17 Defendants. 18 19 TABLE OF CONTENTS 20 INTRODUCTION..... I. JURISDICTION4 21 II. PARTIES BOUND......5 III.DEFINITIONS 5 22 IV. FINDINGS OF FACTS 6 V. WORK TO BE PERFORMED......10 VI. 23 DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS......11 VII. PERFORMANCE.......12 VIII. 24 ACCESS 13 IX. SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY......14 25 X. PROGRESS REPORTS14 XI. 26 XII.

1	XIII.		TEREST IN PROPERTY	
	XIV.		DISPUTES	
2	XV.	AMENDMENT OF	DECREECHEDULE	10
_	XVI.		T	
3	XVII. XVIII.	COVENANT NOT	TO SUE	21
.	XIX.	CONTRIBUTION	PROTECTION	23
4	XX.	I AND LISE REST	RICTIONS	23
5	XXI.	FINANCIAL ASSI	JRANCES	23
2	XXII.	INDEMNIFICATION	ON	24
6	XXIII.	COMPLIANCE W	ITH APPLICABLE LAWS	24
٠	XXIV.	REMEDIAL ACTI	ON COSTS	26
7	XXV.	IMPLEMENTATIO	ON COSTSON OF REMEDIAL ACTION	26
	XXVI.	PERIODIC REVIE	W	27
8	XXVII.		PATION	
		DURATION OF D	ECREE	20 20
9	XXIX.	CLAIMS AGAINS	T THE STATE	20
10	XXX. XXXI.	WITHDBAWAL	F CONSENT	29
10	AAAI.	WITIDICAWALC	T CONSERVE	
11		EXHIBIT A	Site Location and Property Location Information	
		EXHIBIT B	Cleanup Action Plan	
12		EXHIBIT C	Public Participation Plan	
13				
14				
15				
15				
16			, A.	
		7		
17	1	*		
18				
19				
19				
20				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
24				
25				
	4.			
26				
	I			

I. INTRODUCTION

- A. The mutual objective of the State of Washington, Department of Ecology (Ecology) and Port of Everett (the Port), Ameron International Corporation (Ameron), Oldcastle Precast, Inc. (Oldcastle), and the Hulberts (the Hulberts is defined under Section IV.C) under this Decree is to provide for remedial action at a facility where there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances. This Decree requires Defendants to (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Potentially Liable Persons" or "the PLPs") to perform the remedial action(s) at the North Marina Ameron/Hulbert Site in Everett, Washington in accordance with the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) attached as Exhibit B to this Decree.
- B. Ecology has determined that these actions are necessary to protect human health and the environment.
- C. The Complaint in this action is being filed simultaneously with this Decree. An Answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the Parties wish to resolve the issues raised by Ecology's Complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest, and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- D. By signing this Decree, the Parties agree to its entry and agree to be bound by its terms.
- E. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint. The Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons for sums expended under this Decree.
- F. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts;

1	provided, however, that the PLPs shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General and
2	Ecology to enforce this Decree.
3	G. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good
4	cause having been shown:
5	Now, therefore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:
6	II. JURISDICTION
7	A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant
8	to the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), RCW 70.105D.
9	B. Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by
10	RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person (PLP) if,
11	after public notice and any required hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead
12	to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that
13	such a settlement be entered as a consent decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.
14	C. Ecology has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous
15	substances has occurred at the Site that is the subject of this Decree.
16	D. Ecology has given notice to the PLPs of Ecology's determination that they are
17	PLPs for the Site, as required by RCW 70.105D.020(26) and WAC 173-340-500.
18	E. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public
19	health and the environment.
20	F. This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.
21	G. Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of
22	hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under
23	RCW 70.105D.030(2)(e) and WAC 173-340.
24	H. The PLPs have agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and
25	consents to the entry of this Decree under MTCA.
26	
1	

III. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to this Decree, their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with this Decree. Each PLP agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter the PLPs' responsibility under this Decree. The PLPs shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree, and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and subcontractors complies with this Decree.

IV. DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise specified herein, all definitions in RCW 70.105D.020 and WAC 173-340-200 shall control the meanings of the terms in this Decree.

A. Site: The Site (or Facility) is referred to as the North Marina Ameron/Hulbert Site (the Site) and is generally located between 11th and 13th Streets off West Marine View Drive, Everett, Snohomish County, Washington (located primarily within the northeast portion of the North Marina Redevelopment). The Site is owned by the Port and includes approximately 30 acres of upland and adjacent in-water areas (about 12 acres of in-water and 18 acres of upland). The Site is defined by the extent of contamination caused by the release of hazardous substances at the Site and is not limited by property boundaries. The Site includes areas where hazardous substances have been deposited, stored, disposed of, placed, or otherwise come to be located. The Site is more particularly described in Exhibit A to this Decree, which includes site and tax parcel maps (Exhibit A, Figures 1 to 4), a site location description, and property information from the Snohomish County Assessor's Office. The Site includes both upland and in-water areas (i.e., adjacent marine sediment) as defined below. The Site constitutes a Facility under RCW 70.105D.020(5).

1	B. <u>Parties</u> : Refers to the State of Washington, Department of Ecology, the Port of
2	Everett, Ameron, Oldcastle and the Hulberts (defined below).
3	C. <u>PLPs</u> : Refers to the Port of Everett, Ameron International Corporation,
4	Oldcastle Precast, Inc., and the Hulberts. The Hulberts include Mr. William G. Hulbert III,
5	Mr. David F. Hulbert, Ms. Tana Martin Greenberg, the William G. Hulbert, Jr. and Claire
6	Mumford Hulbert Revocable Living Trust, and the William Hulbert Mill Company Limited
7	Partnership.
8	D. <u>Consent Decree or Decree</u> : Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the
9	exhibits to this Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree.
10	The terms "Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall include all exhibits to this Consent Decree.
11	E. <u>Upland Area</u> : Refers to areas of the Site that fall outside the In-Water Area, as
12	generally depicted in Exhibit A, Figures 2 and 3.
13	F. <u>In-Water Area</u> : Refers to the intertidal (areas exposed to air at low tide) and
14	subtidal (areas always covered by water) parts of the Site associated with adjacent marine
15	waters, as generally depicted in Exhibit A, Figures 2 and 3.
16	G. North Marina Area: Refers to the Port-owned property being redeveloped into a
17	mixed residential/commercial use development. The Site is located within the northeastern
18	end of the North Marina Area, as generally depicted in Exhibit A, Figure 2
19	V. FINDINGS OF FACTS
20	Ecology makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied
21	admissions of such facts by the PLPs.
22	A. The Site is generally located between 11th and 13th Streets off West Marine
23	View Drive, Everett, Snohomish County, Washington (located within the northeastern portion
24	of the North Marina Redevelopment). The Site location is depicted in Exhibit A, Figure 1.
25	The facility is depicted in Exhibit A, Figures 2 and 3. Exhibit A also contains a legal
26	description of the property (located after Figure 4 of Exhibit A). The Site is listed on the

Parties: Refers to the State of Washington, Department of Ecology, the Port of

25

26

Department of Ecology's Hazardous Sites List as "North Marina Ameron/Hulbert" with the Facility Site ID No. 68853261.

- B. The Port is the owner and an operator at the Site, and has owned the Site continuously since 1991. Ameron currently operates on a portion of the Site. Oldcastle is a prior operator at the Site. Prior to the Port's ownership, a majority of the parcels making up the Site were owned by the William Hulbert Mill Company, related Hulbert family business entities, and individual Hulbert family members.
- The Site has been used for commercial and industrial purposes (shingle/saw C. mills, marine support services, etc.) since at least the early 1900s. The first milling operations on the Site appear to have started when Fred K. Baker first purchased a portion of the Site in 1913. The William Hulbert Mill began operations at the Site in the early 1920s, was partly destroyed in a fire in 1956, and ended operations around 1960. The William Hulbert Mill was partly destroyed in a fire in 1956. It is noted that fires on industrial properties have a high potential to release various hazardous substances such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Following cessation of mill operations, the Hulberts leased their 35-acre property to various industrial entities until they sold it to the Port in March of 1991. The Port also leased the Site to a variety of business ventures including marine support services and light industrial manufacturing. A large portion of the Site has been used for pole and piling manufacturing since 1972. Centrecon—which was purchased by Utility Vault Company (UVC) in 1978 operated in the same general area as the current Ameron leasehold from 1972 until 1988. Primary operations associated with Centrecon/UVC included the manufacturing of concrete poles and pilings. Oldcastle Precast, Inc. acquired UVC in 1981. In 1988, Ameron entered into an asset purchase agreement with UVC, whereby it purchased substantially all of the assets, properties, and business of UVC's Centrecon division and entered into a sublease agreement at the Site with UVC. Like Centrecon/UVC, primary operations associated with Ameron also included concrete pole and piling manufacturing Ameron continues to operate at

the Site under a lease agreement with the Port. Ameron's leased area is generally shown in Exhibit A, Figure 2. Following the interim cleanup action in 2007, the Port redeveloped a majority of the Site located outside of the Ameron leasehold. These improvements include construction of a boatyard, the Waterfront Place multi-use building, a marina facility known as the North Marina, a commercial building operated by Bayside Marine, a building housing Port Marina Operations, a boat-wash facility, a shoreline access public trail, roads, parking lots, and other related infrastructure.

- D. Several environmental investigations have been conducted at the Site since the late 1980s. These investigations, which are summarized in the Interim Action Report for the Site, identified petroleum and metals in soil and groundwater, among other contamination.
- E. Because of its large size (approximately 65 acres designated as upland) the North Marina Area (the area addressed by the Port's currently planned Waterfront Place redevelopment) was divided into 13 areas (A through M) for investigation and data management purposes.
- F. In the late 1990s the Port decided to redevelop the North Marina area and, consequently, between 2001 and 2007 the Port undertook several environmental investigations to determine the nature and extent of sediment, soil and groundwater contamination at the Site. These investigations, including the removal of soil contamination, are summarized in the April 7, 2010 North Marina Ameron/Hulbert Site Interim Action Report prepared by Landau Associates for the Port, and were integrated in the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) that was subsequently conducted at the Site.
- G. The Port has conducted three interim actions at the Site between 1991 and 2007, which included the investigation of soil and groundwater contamination at the Site. The interim actions also included excavation and off-site disposal of metals (i.e., arsenic, copper, lead), carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs), and/or petroleum hydrocarbonimpacted soil, and the collection and analysis of compliance monitoring samples to verify that

1	I
2	
3	۱
4	
5	۱
6	۱
7	۱
8	۱
9	
10	I
11	۱
12	۱
13	۱
14	
15	۱
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

soil interim action cleanup levels, based in part on the protection of groundwater, were achieved. A total of 33,000 tons (about 22,000 yd³) of contaminated soil was removed from the Site during the interim actions. The interim actions and the investigations described in paragraph F of Section V (Findings of Fact) were conducted under Ecology's Voluntary Cleanup Program, and are described in Exhibit B. Investigation of groundwater quality at the Site consisted, in part, of laboratory analysis of groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells and soil boring locations. Groundwater samples were selectively analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), including carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs), metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons.

- H. On June 3, 2009, the Port, Ameron, the Hulberts, and Ecology entered into Agreed Order DE 5271, which required the PLPs to perform a RI/FS and produce a draft Cleanup Action Plan (DCAP) for the Site.
- I. The RI/FS documented the nature and extent of hazardous substances in various media including soil and groundwater. Analytical results of chemicals measured in sediment samples collected as part of the RI/FS indicated acceptable concentrations based on comparison to Ecology's Sediment Management Standards (SMS). Based upon the results of the RI, the FS evaluated the cleanup action alternatives for the Site against the MTCA requirements for soil and groundwater, and identified a preferred cleanup action alternative for each media. Compounds identified in the RI/FS investigation as exceeding published MTCA cleanup levels in soil and groundwater include:
 - Soil Metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and petroleum hydrocarbons.
 - Groundwater Metals, petroleum hydrocarbons, 1,1-dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE) and bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (BEHP). For 1-1-DCE and dissolved copper, the groundwater exceedances occurred in the first round of groundwater sampling, and were not repeated in subsequent rounds.

- J. In 2011, an emergency action cleanup was conducted in the area of the former Collins Building to address petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in shallow soil in two areas (East Area and West Area) within the Port's Craftsman District boatyard expansion area. Approximately 79 cubic yards of petroleum contaminated soil were removed from the two areas and transported off-site for treatment. This emergency action is further described in Exhibit B.
- K. In 2013, an emergency action was conducted that included the cleanout of accumulated solids in the existing main trunk line located along the north Site boundary, and the replacement of a portion of the existing stormwater trunk line. The trunk line discharges directly to marine surface water in the Port's 12th Street Marina (a.k.a., 12th Street Yacht Basin) and contained a large accumulation of solids with elevated concentrations of a number of hazardous substances including heavy metals, semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), petroleum hydrocarbons, and concentrations of dioxins/furans that would be considered a threat to human health or ecological receptors if discharged to marine sediment. Approximately 13 tons of accumulated solids were removed from the trunk line. This emergency action is further described in Exhibit B.
- L. In 2014, an emergency action was conducted that included the excavation of contaminated soils and the replacement of a storm drain system that ran through cleanup area G-1b, and excavation of contaminated soils within cleanup area G-3. Both cleanup areas were contaminated with sandblast grit and/or cement slurry residue containing heavy metals. A total of approximately 3,178 tons of contaminated or potentially contaminated soil and storm drain pipe were removed. This emergency action is further described in Exhibit B.

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Decree contains a program designed to protect human health and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances or contaminants at, on, or from the Site.

	ı
2	S
3	(
4	to
5	С
6	0
7	p
8	iı
9	r
10	r
11	I
12	g
13	
14	I
15	С
16	u
	l

A. Based on the information in the RI/FS report, a DCAP was prepared. The PLPs
shall perform all tasks set forth in the final CAP (attached in Exhibit B) and implement the
CAP in accordance with the CAP's schedule. As documented in the CAP, the cleanup action
to be implemented at the Site includes the focused remedial excavation and off-site disposal of
contaminated soil associated with hot spot areas G-1b, G-2, and G-3. The remedial excavation
of Areas G-1b and G-3 has been addressed as part of an emergency action as discussed in
paragraph L of Section V (Findings of Fact). Containment (i.e., capping) along with
institutional controls will be applied to remaining areas of the Site where soil contamination
remains. To address groundwater contamination, the cleanup alternative will consist of
removal of contaminated soil followed by long-term groundwater compliance monitoring.
Institutional controls will be applied to the Site to prevent exposure with contaminated shallow
groundwater.

- The PLPs agree not to perform any remedial actions outside the scope of this B. Decree unless the Parties agree to modify the CAP's Schedule to cover these actions. All work conducted by the PLPs under this Decree shall be done in accordance with WAC 173-340 inless otherwise provided herein.
- C. All plans or other deliverables submitted by the PLPs for Ecology's review and approval under the CAP and its Schedule shall, upon Ecology's approval, become integral and enforceable parts of this Decree.

DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS VII.

The project coordinator for Ecology is:

Andy Kallus Toxics Cleanup Program PO Box 47600, Olympia, WA 98504 Phone: (360) 407-7259 E-Mail: akal461@ecy.wa.gov

25

26

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CONSENT DECREE

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON **Ecology Division** PO Box 40117 Olympia, WA 98504-0117 FAX (360) 586-6760

1

The project coordinator for the PLPs is:

Erik Gerking Port of Everett PO Box 538, Everett, WA 98206 Phone: (425) 259-3164

E-mail: erikg@portofeverett.com

Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Decree. Ecology's project coordinator will be Ecology's designated representative for the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between Ecology and the PLPs and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the work to be performed required by this Decree.

Any party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other party at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

VIII. PERFORMANCE

All geologic and hydrogeologic work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the supervision and direction of a geologist or hydrogeologist licensed by the State of Washington or under the direct supervision of an engineer registered by the State of Washington, except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43 and 18.220.

All engineering work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer registered by the State of Washington, except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130.

All construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direct supervision of a professional engineer or a qualified technician under the direct supervision of

a professional engineer. The professional engineer must be registered by the State of Washington, except as otherwise provided for by RCW 18.43.130.

Any documents submitted containing geologic, hydrologic, or engineering work shall be under the seal of an appropriately licensed professional as required by RCW 18.43 and 18.220.

The PLPs shall notify Ecology in writing of the identity of any engineer(s) and geologist(s), contractor(s) and subcontractor(s), and others to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site.

IX. ACCESS

Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall have access to enter and freely move about all property at the Site that a PLP either owns, controls, or has access rights to at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed pursuant to this Decree; reviewing the PLPs' progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting such samples as Ecology may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to Ecology by the PLPs. The PLPs shall make all reasonable efforts to secure access rights for those properties within the Site not owned or controlled by the PLPs where remedial activities or investigations will be performed pursuant to this Decree. Ecology or any Ecology authorized representative shall give reasonable notice before entering any Site property owned or controlled by the PLPs unless an emergency prevents such notice. All Parties who access the Site pursuant to this section shall comply with any applicable health and safety plan(s). Ecology employees and their representatives shall not be required to sign any liability release or waiver as a condition of Site property access.

X. SAMPLING, DATA SUBMITTAL, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Decree, the PLPs shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it or on its behalf available to Ecology. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-840(5), all sampling data shall be submitted to Ecology in both printed and electronic formats in accordance with Section XI (Progress Reports), Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Policy 840 (Data Submittal Requirements), and/or any subsequent procedures specified by Ecology for data submittal.

If requested by Ecology, the PLPs shall allow Ecology and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by the PLPs pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. The PLPs shall notify Ecology seven (7) days in advance of any sample collection or work activity at the Site. Ecology shall, upon request, allow the PLPs and/or its authorized representative to take split or duplicate samples of any samples collected by Ecology pursuant to the implementation of this Decree, provided that doing so does not interfere with Ecology's sampling. Without limitation on Ecology's rights under Section IX (Access), Ecology shall notify the PLPs prior to any sample collection activity unless an emergency prevents such notice.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-830(2)(a), all hazardous substance analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory accredited under WAC 173-50 for the specific analyses to be conducted, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

XI. PROGRESS REPORTS

The PLPs shall submit to Ecology written monthly Progress Reports that describe the actions taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Decree. The Progress Reports shall include the following:

- A. A list of on-site activities that have taken place during the month;
- B. Detailed description of any deviations from required tasks not otherwise documented in project plans or amendment requests;

2

- C. Description of all deviations from the Cleanup Action Plan and Schedule (Exhibit B) during the current month and any planned deviations in the upcoming month;
- For any deviations in schedule, a plan for recovering lost time and maintaining D. compliance with the schedule;
- All raw data (including laboratory analyses) received by the PLPs during the E. past month and an identification of the source of the sample; and
 - A list of deliverables for the upcoming month if different from the schedule. F.

All Progress Reports shall be submitted by the tenth (10th) day of the month in which they are due after the effective date of this Decree. Unless otherwise specified, Progress Reports and any other documents submitted pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Ecology's project coordinator.

RETENTION OF RECORDS XII.

During the pendency of this Decree, and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXVIII (Duration of Decree), the PLPs shall preserve all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert a similar record retention requirement into all contracts with project contractors and subcontractors. Upon request of Ecology, the PLPs shall make all records available to Ecology and allow access for review within a reasonable time.

Nothing in this Decree is intended by the PLPs to waive any right it may have under applicable law to limit disclosure of documents protected by the attorney work-product privilege and/or the attorney-client privilege. If a PLP withholds any requested records based on an assertion of privilege, that PLP shall provide Ecology with a privilege log specifying the records withheld and the applicable privilege. No Site-related data collected pursuant to this Decree shall be considered privileged.

XIII. TRANSFER OF INTEREST IN PROPERTY

No voluntary conveyance or relinquishment of title, easement, leasehold, or other interest in any portion of the Site shall be consummated by the PLPs without provision for continued operation and maintenance of any containment system, treatment system, and/or monitoring system installed or implemented pursuant to this Decree.

Prior to PLPs transfer of any interest in all or any portion of the Site, and during the effective period of this Decree, the PLPs shall provide a copy of this Decree to any prospective purchaser, lessee, transferee, assignee, or other successor in said interest; and, at least thirty (30) days prior to any transfer, the PLPs shall notify Ecology of said transfer. Upon transfer of any interest, the PLPs shall notify all transferees of the restrictions on the activities and uses of the property under this Decree and incorporate any such use restrictions into the transfer documents.

XIV. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

- A. In the event that a PLP(s) elects to invoke dispute resolution, the PLP(s) must utilize the procedure set forth below.
 - 1. Upon the triggering event (receipt of Ecology's project coordinator's written decision or an itemized billing statement), a PLP(s) has fourteen (14) calendar days within which to notify Ecology's project coordinator in writing of its dispute ("Informal Dispute Notice").
 - 2. The Parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute informally. The parties shall informally confer for up to fourteen (14) calendar days from receipt of the Informal Dispute Notice. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within those 14 calendar days, then within seven (7) calendar days Ecology's project coordinator shall issue a written decision ("Informal Dispute Decision") stating: the nature of the dispute; a PLP's position with regards to the

dispute; Ecology's position with regards to the dispute; and the extent of resolution reached by informal discussion.

- 3. The PLP(s) may then request regional management review of the dispute. This request ("Formal Dispute Notice") must be submitted in writing to the Land and Aquatic Lands Cleanup Section Manager within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of Ecology's Informal Dispute Decision. The Formal Dispute Notice shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.
- 4. The Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute ("Decision on Dispute") within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Formal Dispute Notice.
- 5. If a PLP(s) finds Ecology's Regional Section Manager's decision unacceptable, the PLP(s) may then request final management review of the decision. This request ("Final Review Request") shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) calendar days of the PLP's receipt of the Decision on Dispute. The Final Review Request shall include a written statement of dispute setting forth: the nature of the dispute; the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute; and the information relied upon to support its position.
- 6. Ecology's Toxics Cleanup Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute ("Final Decision on Dispute") within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Final Review Request. The Toxics Cleanup Program Manager's decision shall be Ecology's final decision on the disputed matter.
- B. If Ecology's Final Decision on Dispute is unacceptable to the PLP(s), the PLP(s) has the right to submit the dispute to the Court for resolution. The Parties agree that

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	11

one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event the PLP(s) presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review the action or decision of Ecology on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review.

- C. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other party may seek sanctions.
- D. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless Ecology agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.
- E. In case of a dispute, failure to either proceed with the work required by this Decree or timely invoke dispute resolution may result in Ecology's determination that insufficient progress is being made in preparation of a deliverable, and may result in Ecology undertaking the work under Section XXV (Implementation of Remedial Action).

XV. AMENDMENT OF DECREE

The project coordinators may agree to minor changes to the work to be performed without formally amending this Decree. Minor changes will be documented in writing by Ecology.

Substantial changes to the work to be performed shall require formal amendment of this Decree. This Decree may only be formally amended by a written stipulation among the Parties that is entered by the Court, or by order of the Court. Such amendment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend the Decree shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party.

The PLP(s) shall submit a written request for amendment to Ecology for approval. Ecology shall indicate its approval or disapproval in writing and in a timely manner after the

2	(
3	(
4	ľ
5	ľ
6	
7	
8	i
9	(
10	I
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	t
18	e
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

written request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Decree is a substantial change, Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment. Reasons for the disapproval of a proposed amendment to the Decree shall be stated in writing. If Ecology does not agree to a proposed amendment, the disagreement may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIV (Resolution of Disputes).

XVI. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

- A. An extension of schedule shall be granted only when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least thirty (30) days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify:
 - 1. The deadline that is sought to be extended;
 - 2. The length of the extension sought;
 - 3. The reason(s) for the extension; and
 - 4. Any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension were granted.
- B. The burden shall be on PLP to demonstrate to the satisfaction of Ecology that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause may include, but may not be limited to:
 - 1. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of the PLPs including delays caused by unrelated third parties or Ecology, such as (but not limited to) delays by Ecology in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by the PLPs;
 - 2. Acts of God, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, or other unavoidable casualty; or
 - 3. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of this Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the PLPs.

- C. Ecology shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashion. Ecology shall give the PLPs written notification of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by Ecology or, if required, by the Court. Unless the extension is a substantial change, it shall not be necessary to amend this Decree pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree) when a schedule extension is granted.
- D. An extension shall only be granted for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions exceeding ninety (90) days only as a result of:
 - 1. Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner;
 - 2. Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by Ecology; or
 - 3. Endangerment as described in Section XVII (Endangerment).

XVII. ENDANGERMENT

In the event Ecology determines that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, Ecology may direct the PLP(s) to cease such activities for such period of time as it deems necessary to abate the danger. The PLP(s) shall immediately comply with such direction.

In the event any PLP determines that any activity being performed at the Site under this Decree is creating or has the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, that PLP may cease such activities. The PLP shall notify Ecology's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after making such determination or

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2

ceasing such activities. Upon Ecology's direction, that PLP shall provide Ecology with documentation of the basis for the determination or cessation of such activities. If Ecology disagrees with a PLP's cessation of activities, it may direct that PLP to resume such activities.

If Ecology concurs with or orders a work stoppage pursuant to this section, the PLP's obligations with respect to the ceased activities shall be suspended until Ecology determines the danger is abated, and the time for performance of such activities, as well as the time for any other work dependent upon such activities, shall be extended, in accordance with Section XVI (Extension of Schedule), for such period of time as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

Nothing in this Decree shall limit the authority of Ecology, its employees, agents, or contractors to take or require appropriate action in the event of an emergency.

XVIII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

A. Covenant Not to Sue: In consideration of each PLP's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against a complying PLP regarding the release or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by this Decree.

This Decree covers only the Site specifically identified in the Site Diagram (Exhibit A) and those hazardous substances that Ecology knows are located at the Site as of the date of entry of this Decree. This Decree does not cover any other hazardous substance or area. Ecology retains all of its authority relative to any substance or area not covered by this Decree.

This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:

- 1. Criminal liability;
- 2. Liability for damages to natural resources; and
- 3. Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against PLPs not a party to this Decree.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

If factors not known at the time of entry of this Decree are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment, the Court shall amend this Covenant Not to Sue.

- B. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against the PLPs to require the PLPs to perform additional remedial actions at the Site and to pursue appropriate cost recovery, pursuant to RCW 70.105D.050 under the following circumstances:
 - 1. Upon a PLP's failure to meet the requirements of this Decree;
 - 2. Failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in the Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) (Exhibit B);
 - 3. Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment;
 - 4. Upon the availability of new information regarding factors previously unknown to Ecology, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the Site, and Ecology's determination, in light of this information, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment; or
 - 5. Upon Ecology's determination that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the CAP.
- C. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative action against any PLP pursuant to this section, Ecology shall provide the PLP with fifteen (15) calendar days' notice of such action.

XIX. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

With regard to claims for contribution against the PLPs, the Parties agree that the PLPs are entitled to protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this Decree as provided by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(d).

XX. LAND USE RESTRICTIONS

In consultation with Defendant, Ecology will prepare the Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant consistent with WAC 173-340-440 and RCW 64.70. After approval by Ecology, the Port shall record a Restrictive Covenant (Exhibit D) with the office of the Snohomish County Auditor within ten (10) days of the completion of the remedial action. The Restrictive Covenant shall restrict future uses of the Site. The Port shall provide Ecology with the original recorded Environmental (Restrictive) Covenant within thirty (30) days of the recording date.

XXI. FINANCIAL ASSURANCES

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-440(11), the Port on behalf of the four PLPs shall maintain sufficient and adequate financial assurance mechanisms to cover all costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Site, including institutional controls, compliance monitoring, and corrective measures.

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decree, the Port shall submit to Ecology for review and approval an estimate of the costs that it will incur in carrying out the terms of this Decree, including operation and maintenance, and compliance monitoring. Within sixty (60) days after Ecology approves the aforementioned cost estimate, the Port shall provide proof of financial assurances sufficient to cover all such costs in a form acceptable to Ecology.

The Port shall adjust the financial assurance coverage and provide Ecology's project coordinator with documentation of the updated financial assurance for:

A. Inflation, annually, within thirty (30) days of the anniversary date of the entry of this Decree; or if applicable, the modified anniversary date established in accordance with this

2.5

section, or if applicable, ninety (90) days after the close of the Port's fiscal year if the financial test or corporate guarantee is used.

B. Changes in cost estimates, within thirty (30) days of issuance of Ecology's approval of a modification or revision to the CAP that result in increases to the cost or expected duration of remedial actions. Any adjustments for inflation since the most recent preceding anniversary date shall be made concurrent with adjustments for changes in cost estimates. The issuance of Ecology's approval of a revised or modified CAP will revise the anniversary date established under this section to become the date of issuance of such revised or modified CAP.

XXII. INDEMNIFICATION

Each PLP agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action (1) for death or injuries to persons, or (2) for loss or damage to property to the extent arising from or on account of acts or omissions of a PLP, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the PLPs shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action to the extent arising out of the negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in entering into or implementing this Decree.

XXIII. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

- A. All actions carried out by the PLPs pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in RCW 70.105D.090. The permits or other federal, state, or local requirements that the agency has determined are applicable and that are known at the time of entry of this Decree have been identified in the CAP (Exhibit B).
- B. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(1), the PLPs are exempt from the procedural requirements of RCW 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 77.55, 90.48, and 90.58 and of any laws requiring

26

or authorizing local government permits or approvals. However, the PLPs shall comply with the substantive requirements of such permits or approvals. The exempt permits or approvals and the applicable substantive requirements of those permits or approvals, as they are known at the time of entry of this Decree, have been identified in the CAP (Exhibit B).

Each PLP has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either Ecology or a PLP determines that additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. Ecology shall determine whether Ecology or the PLPs shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If Ecology so requires, the PLPs shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide Ecology with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. Ecology shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by the PLPs and on how the PLPs must meet those Ecology shall inform the PLPs in writing of these requirements. requirements. established by Ecology, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. The PLPs shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until Ecology makes its final determination.

C. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event Ecology determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency that is necessary for the state to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and the PLPs shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits.

XXIV. REMEDIAL ACTION COSTS

The PLPs shall pay to Ecology costs incurred by Ecology pursuant to this Decree and consistent with WAC 173-340-550(2). These costs shall include work performed both prior to and subsequent to the entry of this Decree. Ecology's costs shall include costs of direct activities and support costs of direct activities as defined in WAC 173-340-550(2). The PLPs shall pay the required amount within thirty (30) days of receiving from Ecology an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-550(4), failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement of costs will result in interest charges at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, compounded monthly.

In addition to other available relief, pursuant to RCW 70.105D.055, Ecology has authority to recover unreimbursed remedial action costs by filing a lien against real property subject to the remedial actions.

XXV. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If Ecology determines that the PLPs have failed to make sufficient progress or failed to implement the remedial action, in whole or in part, Ecology may, after notice to the PLPs, perform any or all portions of the remedial action or at Ecology's discretion allow the PLPs opportunity to correct. The PLPs shall reimburse Ecology for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XXIV (Remedial Action Costs).

Except where necessary to abate an emergency situation, the PLPs shall not perform any remedial actions at the Site outside those remedial actions required by this Decree, unless Ecology concurs, in writing, with such additional remedial actions pursuant to Section XV (Amendment of Decree).

XXVI. PERIODIC REVIEW

As remedial action, including groundwater monitoring, continues at the Site, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Site, and to review the data accumulated as a result of monitoring the Site as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. At least every five (5) years after the initiation of cleanup action at the Site the Parties shall meet to discuss the status of the Site and the need, if any, for further remedial action at the Site. Under Section XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue), Ecology reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Site under appropriate circumstances. This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of this Decree.

XXVII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

A Public Participation Plan (Exhibit C) is required for this Site. Ecology shall review any existing Public Participation Plan to determine its continued appropriateness and whether it requires amendment, or if no plan exists, Ecology shall develop a Public Participation Plan alone or in conjunction with the PLPs.

Ecology shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, the PLPs shall cooperate with Ecology, and shall:

- A. If agreed to by Ecology, develop appropriate mailing lists, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, remedial investigation/feasibility study reports, cleanup action plans, and engineering design reports. As appropriate, Ecology will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of Ecology's presentations and meetings.
- B. Notify Ecology's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, Ecology shall notify the PLPs prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by the

1	PLPs that do not receive prior Ecology approval, the PLPs shall clearly indicate to its audience
2	that the press release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or
3	endorsed by Ecology.
4	C. When requested by Ecology, participate in public presentations on the progress
5	of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings
6	to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter.
.7	D. When requested by Ecology, arrange and/or continue information repositories at
8	the following locations:
9	1. Everett Public Library
10	2702 Hoyt Avenue Everett, WA 98201
11	2. Department of Ecology
12	Toxics Cleanup Program Headquarters Office 300 Desmond Drive SE
13	Olympia, WA 98504-7600
14	At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and documents relating to public
15	comment periods shall be promptly placed in these repositories. A copy of all documents
16	related to this Site shall be maintained in the repository at Ecology's Headquarters in Olympia,
17	Washington.
18	XXVIII. DURATION OF DECREE
19	The remedial program required pursuant to this Decree shall be maintained and
20	continued until the PLPs have received written notification from Ecology that the requirements
21	of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. This Decree shall remain in effect until
22	dismissed by the Court. When dismissed, Section XVIII (Covenant Not to Sue) and
23	Section XIX (Contribution Protection) shall survive.
24	XXIX. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE
25	The PLPs hereby agree that they will not seek to recover any costs accrued in
26	implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any

1	of its agencies; and further, that the PLPs wi	Il make no claim against the State Toxics Control
2	Account or any local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing thi	
3	Decree. Except as provided above, however,	, the PLPs expressly reserves their right to seek to
4	recover any costs incurred in implementing th	his Decree from any other PLP. This section does
5	not limit or address funding that may be provided under WAC 173-322.	
6	XXX. EFF	ECTIVE DATE
7	This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.	
8	XXXI. WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT	
9	If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and void	
10	at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs	
11	and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of thi	
12	Decree.	
13	CTATE OF WARLINGTON	POPERT W. FEROUGON
14	STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General
15	Shuu \\ _	MAN
16	JAMES PENDOWSKI Program Manager	JOHN A. LEVEL, WSBA # 20439
17	Toxics Cleanup Program (360) 407-7177	Assistant Attorney General (360) 586-6753
18	(300) 407-7177	
19	Date: 12 23 14	Date:
20	THE PORT OF EVERETT	AMERON INTERNATIONAL
21	THE FORT OF EVERETT	AMERON INTERNATIONAL
22	LES REARDANZ	JEFFREY D. MANN
23	CEO	Global HSE Officer – Facilities
24	(425) 259-3164	(713) 375-3732
25	Date: 12/17/14	Date:
26		

1	of its agencies; and further, that the PLPs will make no claim against the State Toxics Control	
2	Account or any local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this	
3	Decree. Except as provided above, however, the PLPs expressly reserves their right to seek to	
4	recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other PLP. This section does	
5	not limit or address funding that may be provided under WAC 173-322.	
6	XXX. EFFECTIVE DATE	
7	This Decree is effective upon the date it is entered by the Court.	
8	XXXI. WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT	
9	If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, it shall be null and void	
10	at the option of any party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs	
11	and without prejudice. In such an event, no party shall be bound by the requirements of this	
12	Decree.	
13 14 15	STATE OF WASHINGTON ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General	
16 17 18	JAMES PENDOWSKI Program Manager Toxics Cleanup Program (360) 407-7177 JOHN A. LEVEL, WSBA # 20439 Assistant Attorney General (360) 586-6753	
19	Date:	
20 21	THE PORT OF EVERETT AMERON INTERNATIONAL	
22 23 24	LES REARDANZ CEO (425) 259-3164 JEFEREY D. MANN Global HSE Officer – Facilities (713) 375-3732	
25 26	Date: Date:	

1	DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
2		
3	DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
4	Date:	Date:
5		
6	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	THE WILLIAM HULBERT MILL COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
7	Ma	MA
8	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III
9	Date: 12.3.2014	Date: 12-3-2014
11		
12	THE WILLIAM G HULBERT, JR AND CLARE MUMFORD HULBERT REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.
13	WAY	
14 15	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III, TRUSTEE	ROBERT D. QUINN Chief Administrative Officer (770) 677-2320
16 17	Date: 12.3. 2014	Date:
18	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG, TRUSTEE	
20 21	Date:	
22	ENTERED 4.: 1 C	2014
23	ENTERED this day of	2014.
24		
25		UDGE
26	Si	nohomish County Superior Court
11		

DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
DAVIDE HIJI DEDT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
DAVID F. HULBERT	
Date:	Date: 12/2/2014
WILLIAM C. LILLI DEDT III	THE WILLIAM HULBERT MILL
WILLIAM G. HOLDERT III	COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
	WWW. A LANG CO. WILL DEDOCATE
WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III
Date:	Date:
THE WILLIAM G HULBERT, JR AND	OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.
REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	
WILLIAM G HILLBERT III TRUSTEE	ROBERT D. OLINN
WIEDIAM G. HOEDERT III, TROSTEE	ROBERT D. QUINN Chief Administrative Officer
	(770) 677-2320
Data	Date:
^	Date.
Canalleuti peuslig	
TANA MARTIN GREENBERG, TRUSTEE	
1-12/2016	
Date: 12/2/2017	
ENTERED this day of	2014.
π	JDGE
	nohomish County Superior Court
	DAVID F. HULBERT Date: WILLIAM G. HULBERT III Date: THE WILLIAM G HULBERT, JR AND CLARE MUMFORD HULBERT REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST WILLIAM G. HULBERT III, TRUSTEE Date: TANA MARTIN GREENBERG, TRUSTEE Date: ENTERED this day of

1	DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
2	/ Mh	
3	DAVID F. HÜLBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
4	Date: 12/2/14	Date:
5	Buto.	Date,
6	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	THE WILLIAM HULBERT MILL COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
7		
8	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III
9		
10	Date:	Date:
11	THE WILLIAM G HULBERT, JR AND CLARE MUMFORD HULBERT	OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.
12	CLARE MUMFORD HULBERT REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	
13		
14	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III, TRUSTEE	ROBERT D. QUINN Chief Administrative Officer
15		(770) 677-2320
16	Date:	Date:
17 18	Date.	Date.
19	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG, TRUSTEE	
20	THE VIEW OR DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFICE	
21	Date:	
22		
23	ENTERED this day of	2014.
24		
25	_ J	UDGE
26	S	Snohomish County Superior Court

1	DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
2		
3	DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
4	Date:	Date:
5		
6	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	THE WILLIAM HULBERT MILL COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
7		
8	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III
10	Date:	Date:
11 12	THE WILLIAM G HULBERT, JR AND CLARE MUMFORD HULBERT	OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.
13	REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	1.02
14 15	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III, TRUSTEE	ROBERT D. QUINN Chief Administrative Officer (770) 677-2320
16 17 18	Date:	Date: 12-12-2014
19	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG, TRUSTEE	3
20 21	Date:	
22	ENTERED this day of	2014.
23		
24		
25		JUDGE Snohomish County Superior Court
26		

1	DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
2		
3	DAVID F. HULBERT	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG
4	Date:	Date:
6	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	THE WILLIAM HULBERT MILL COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
8	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III
10	Date:	Date:
11 12 13	THE WILLIAM G HULBERT, JR AND CLARE MUMFORD HULBERT REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.
14 15 16	WILLIAM G. HULBERT III, TRUSTEE	ROBERT D. QUINN Chief Administrative Officer (770) 677-2320
1.7	Date:	Date:
18		
19	TANA MARTIN GREENBERG, TRUSTEE	
20 21	Date:	
22	ENTERED this 16 day of Jan	wary 2015
23 24		David A. turk
25 26		JUDGE Snohomish County Superior Court