(SID

## WORKSHEET 1 SUMMARY SCORE SHEET

Site Name/Location (Street, City, County, Section/Township/Range, TCP ID Number): PORT OF VANCOUVER/(former) BUILDING 2220 (adjacent North) (former) SWAN MANUFACTURING COMPANY Vancouver, WA 98660 TCP ID: S-06-6217-000 CLARK COUNTY T2N, R1E, Sec21, NE,SW Tax Parcel #s 059115-040 & 059115-053

Site Description (Include management areas, substances of concern, and quantities):

The former Swan Manufacturing Co. site is located approximately 1,000 feet west of the intersection of West Fourth Plain Boulevard and Kotobuki Way in Vancouver, Clark County, Washington. The Mill Plain Boulevard Extension project is currently under construction by the City of Vancouver. The former Port Building 2220 is located directly west of the Mill Plain Boulevard Extension project and has been leased to Automotive Service Inc. (ASI). The former Swan Manufacturing Co. facility was located immediately north of Building 2220.

Evidence of contamination was identified by the City of Vancouver's investigation of Port property designated for the Mill Plain Boulevard Extension project. Subsequent work by the Port evidenced that significant soil and groundwater degradation by trichloroethene (TCE) had occurred at the Site.

TCE, and tetrachloroethene (PCE) were detected in the soil above the State of Washington Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Industrial Soil Cleanup Levels. Additionally, 1,1,-dichloroethene (DCE), and 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA) were also detected in the soil.<sup>1</sup>

The contaminated soil has been excavated and piled and covered on-site.<sup>2,4</sup> It will be scored as a waste pile.

TCE, PCE and cis-1,2-DCE were detected in groundwater above the State of Washington MTCA Method A Groundwater Cleanup Levels. Additionally, 1,1,1-TCA, 1,1-dichloroethane (DCA), 1,1-DCE, chloroform, and dichlorodifluoromethane were also detected in the groundwater.<sup>1</sup>

Special Considerations (Include limitations in site file data or data which cannot be accommodated in the model, but which are important in evaluating the risk associated with the site, or any other factor(s) over-riding a decision of no further action for the site):

Site is a single unit, therefore Worksheet 3 is not required.

#### ROUTE SCORES:

Surface Water/Human Health:9.0Air/Human Health:11.6Ground Water/Human Health:68.3

Rev. 7/12/94 SHA\1998\45MCWORK.SHT

Surface Water/Environ.: 9.8 Air/Environmental: 14.6

OVERALL RANK: 2

7/1/98 Shonnar H. Willie Etts S.C. W. Hall Dist.

Michael

## WORKSHEET 2 ROUTE DOCUMENTATION

## 1. SURFACE WATER ROUTE

- List those substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 TCE, PCE, 1,1-DCE, 1,1,1-TCA
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be <u>used</u> in scoring. Laboratory analysis confirmed contamination in soil before excavation.
- List those management units to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 Soil pile.
- Explain basis for choice of unit to be <u>used</u> in scoring. Source: <u>1,2</u> All contaminated soil detected is in the soil pile.

### 2. AIR ROUTE

- List those substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 TCE, PCE, 1,1-DCE, 1,1,1-TCA
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be <u>used</u> in scoring. Laboratory analysis confirmed contamination in soil before excavation.
- List those management units to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: <u>1</u> Soil pile.
- Explain basis for choice of unit to be used in scoring. Source: 1,2 All contaminated soil detected is in the soil pile.

## 3. GROUND WATER ROUTE

- List those substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 TCE PCE cis-1,2-DCE 1,1,1-TCA 1,1-DCA 1,1-DCE chloroform dichlorodifluoromethane.
- Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be <u>used</u> in scoring. Laboratory analysis confirmed contamination in both soil and groundwater.
- List those management units to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1 Groundwater.
- Explain basis for choice of unit to be <u>used</u> in scoring. Source: <u>1</u> Groundwater contamination confirmed by lab analysis.

### WORKSHEET 4 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

## **1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS**

## 1.1 Human Toxicity

¢

	Drink Wate Stand	r	Acute Toxicit	У	Chronic Toxicit	Y		arcino- enicity	
Substance	(ug/l)	Val.	(mg/kg-bw)	Val.	(mg/kg/day)	Val.	WOE	PF*	Val.
1.(196) TCE	5	8	2402	3		ND	B2	0.011	4
2.(184) PCE	5	8	800	5	0.01	3	В2	0.051	4
3.(85) 1,1-DCE	7	8	200	5	0.009	3	С	0.6	3
4.(194) 1,1,1-TCA 5. 6.	200	4	10300	1	0.09	1			ND

\*Potency Factor

Source: 3 Highest Value: 8 (Max.=I0)

+2 Bonus Points? 2 Final Toxicity Value 10

## 1.2 Environmental Toxicity

(X) Freshwater ( ) Marine Acute Water Quality Criteria			Non-human Acute T	. Mammali oxicity	an	
Substance	(ug/l)	Value	(mg/kg)	Value	Source: 3	Value: 2 (Max.=10)
1.(196) TCE 2.(184) PCE 3.(85) 1,1-DCE 4.(194) 1,1,1-TCA 5. 6.	45000 5280 11600 18000	2 2 2 2				(107.20)

# WORKSHEET 4 (CONTINUED) SURFACE WATER ROUTE

## 2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL

	Containment	Source:_	4	Value: 4
E	xplain basis: <u>Waste Pile located outside:</u> Run on / run off controls present.			(
-	Semi-maintained.			
	Not engineered.			
2.2	Surface Soil Permeability: <u>Hillsboro loamMedium</u>	Source:_	5	Value: 3
2.3	Total Annual Precipitation: 46.62 inches	Source:_	6	Value: 3
2.4	Max. 2-Yr/24-hour Precipitation: 2.0-2.5 inches	Source:_	7	Value: 3
2.5	Flood Plain: In 100 year flood plain.	Source:_	8	Value: $\frac{2}{(Max.=2)}$
2.6	Terrain Slope:≤ 2 %	Source:_	1	Value: 1
3.0	TARGETS			
3.1	Distance to Surface Water:≈ 2450 feet	Source:_		Value: 7 (Max.=10)
3.2	Population Served within 2 miles (See WARM Scoring Manual Regarding Direction): $\sqrt{pop} = 0$		9	<b>Value: 0</b> (Max.=75)
3.3	Area Irrigated within 2 miles 0.75 $\sqrt{\text{no. acres}}$ (Refer to note in 3.2.): 0.75 $\sqrt{\text{XX}}$ =0.75(X.X) = X.X	Source:_	9	Value: 0 (Max.=30)
3.4	Distance to Nearest Fishery Resource: <u>~ 2450 feet</u>	Source:_	1	Value: 9
3.5	Distance to, and Name(s) of, Nearest Sensitive			······
	Environment(s) ~2450 feet, Columbia River.	Source:_	1	Value: 9 (Max.=12)
4.0	RELEASE			_
	Explain basis for scoring a release to surface water: None observed or documented.	Source:_	4	Value: 0 (Max.=5)
		_		
	Scores			
	Surface Wa	•		
	Surface Wa	ter, Env	. Hea	alth: 9.8

## WORKSHEET 5 AIR ROUTE

# 1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Introduction (WARM Scoring Manual) - Please review before scoring

1.2 Human Toxicity

×.

<u> </u>	Air	Acu	ite	Chronic		Carcino-	
	Standard	Toxi	Toxicity		ity	cy genicity	
Substance	(ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) Val			(mg/kg/day		WOE	PF <sup>*</sup> Val.
1.(196) TCE	0.8 10	15583	3		ND	B2	0.017 4
2.(184) PCE	1.1 9		ND		ND	B2	ND
3.(85) 1,1-DCE	ND		3		ND	C	1.2 4
4. (194) 1,1,1-1			3	0.3	1		ND
5.			-	••••	-		
6.							
				Source: 3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*Potency Factor					est Valu	ue: 10	)
				5		(Max.	=10)
				+2 Bon	us Poin	ts? 2	2
							alue: 12
						<b>-</b> -	(Max.=12)
			•				
1.3 Mobilit	y (Use numbe	ers to ref	er to a	above liste	d subst	ances	)
	seous Mobili						
	por Pressure		): 1=	58 ; 2= 18	; Sourc	e: 3	
	= 600 ; 4= 3				<u>.</u>		alue: 4_
		· · ·					$\overline{(Max.=4)}$
1.3.2 Pa	rticulate Mo	bility					
	il type:	-	NA		Sour	ce: ·	_
	odibility:	<u> </u>	NA				Value: NA
	imatic Facto	or:	NA				(Max.=4)
1.4 Highest Hu	man Health !	Coxicity/M	obilit	v Matrix Va	lue (fr	om	
<u>-</u>							rix Value: 24
			2 0.0 1.	// <u>-</u>			(Max.=2
1.5 Environmen	tal Toxicity	/Mobility			Sour	ce: 3	,10,11
		,					120122
	Non-huma	an Mammali	an Acu	te	·		(Table A-7
Substance					v (mmHo	Valu	ae Matrix Valu
1.(196) TCE		(rat/hams		8 5		4	16
2.(184) PCE			•	D 1		4	ND
3. (85) 1,1-DCE		177 (rat)		3 60		4	6
4. (194) 1,1,1-T		208 (rat)		3 12		4	6
4.(194) 1,1,1-1 5.	CA 90.	200 (Iac)		5 12	v	-1	0
5.							
Highest Envir	onmontal Tor	rigity/Mah	<u>; ] ; + , , ,</u>	Vatrix Mala			
HIGHEST FUAL	onmencal TO					1 2-2-	nin Valaan de
		(110)	m Table	e n-/) equa	TR RIUS	I Mat	rix Value: 16

(Max.=24)

## WORKSHEET 5 (CONTINUED) AIR ROUTE

5 Substance Quantity: Unknown Explain basis:	Source: <u>1</u> <b>Value:</b> : 
MIGRATION POTENTIAL	
Containment: Waste pile outdoors with intact, maintained cover.	Source: 4 Value: 2
TARGETS	
Nearest Population: ~ 640 feet	Source: <u>1,12</u> Value: 10
<pre>2 Distance to, and Name(s) of, Nearest Sensitive Environment(s):</pre>	
≈ 1703 ft. Fruit Valley Park ≈ 4215 ft. Vancouver Lake Are ≈ 7324 ft. State Game Land	a
Population within 0.5 miles: $\sqrt{pop} = \sqrt{1029} = 32$ . (343 blds. x 3)	07 Source: 12 Value: 32
RELEASE	
Explain basis for scoring a release to air: None observed or documented.	Source: <u>1,4</u> Value: (Max
	Scores

200162					
Air,	Human Health:	11.6			
Air,	Env. Health:	14.6			

,

## WORKSHEET 6 GROUND WATER ROUTE

## **1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS**

## 1.1 Human Toxicity

``

	Drinkin Water Standar	2	Acute Toxici		Chron Toxic	nic Sity		arcino- enicity	
Substance 1.(196) TCE 2.(184) PCE 3.(85) 1,1-DCE 4.(194) 1,1,1-TCA 5. 6.		8 8	ng/kg-bw) 2402 800 200 10300	3 5	(mg/kg/d 0.01 0.00 0.09	ND 3 9 3	B2 B2 C	0.011	<u>7al.</u> 4 3 ND
*Potency Factor *Potency Factor *2 Bonus Points? 2 Final Toxicity Value: 10 (Max.=12)									
<pre>1.2 Mobility (Use numbers to refer to above listed substances) Cations/Anions: 1= ; 2= ; 3= ; 4= ; 5= ; 6= . OR</pre>									
1.3 Substance Quantity: unkown Source: 1 Value: 1 (Max.=10)						<b>1</b> x.=10)			
2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL									
2.1 Containment Explain basis			coundwate			Source:	1		<b>10</b> k.=10)
2.2 Net Precipita	tion:	<u> </u>	≈ 23.2	inche	s	Source:_	14	Value:	<b>3</b> 8.=5)
2.3 Subsurface Hyd	lraulic C	Conducti	.vity: <u>&gt;1</u>	0 <sup>-5</sup> to10	<sup>-3</sup> cm/sec	Source:_	5,7_ V	Value: (Man	<b>3</b> x.=4)

2.4 Vertical Depth to Ground Water: 0 feet Source: 1 Value: 8 GW contamination confirmed by analytical results.

7

· .

# WORKSHEET 6 (CONTINUED) GROUND WATER ROUTE

## 3.0 TARGETS

3.1	Ground Water Usage: <u>2 municipal wells, no alternate</u> unthreatened sources available.Source: <u>15</u> Value: <u>9</u> (Max.=10)	
3.2	Distance to Nearest Drinking Water Well: <u>≈3230 ft.</u> Source: <u>12,16</u> Value: <u>2</u> (Port of Vancouver DW well)	
3.3	Population Served within 2 Miles: $\sqrt{pop} = \sqrt{18.4K} = >100$ Source: 17 Value: 100 (Max.=100)	
	Area Irrigated by (Groundwater) Wells within 2 miles: $0.75\sqrt{n0.acres}$ Source: 18 Value: 13 $0.75\sqrt{287} = 0.75(16.9) = 12.7$	
4.0	<b>RELEASE</b> Explain basis for scoring a release to ground Source: 1 Value: 5 water: <u>GW release confirmed by analytical results</u> .	

Score Ground Water, Human Health: <u>68.3</u>

,

#### SOURCES USED IN SCORING

# SHA #98-04 PORT OF VANCOUVER/(former) BUILDING 2220 (adjacent North) (former) SWAN MANUFACTURING COMPANY Vancouver, WA 98660 TCP ID: S-06-6217-000 CLARK COUNTY T2N, R1E, Sec21, NE,SW Tax Parcel #s 059115-040 & 059115-053

- Preliminary Summary of Investigation Activities at the Former Swan Manufacturing Co. Site, Port of Vancouver, U.S.A. Prepared for The Port of Vancouver by Parametrix, Inc., Portland, Oregon, April 12, 1998.
- Heidi L. Rosenberg, Manager, Environmental Affairs, Port of Vancouver, U.S.A., personal conversation, April 30, 1998.
- Toxicology Database for Use in Washington Ranking Method Scoring, Washington State Department of Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program, Publication #92-37, January 1992.
- 4. Phase I On-site Investigation by Tom H. White, SWWHD with Heidi L. Rosenberg, Manager, Environmental Affairs, Port of Vancouver, U.S.A., May 12, 1998.
- 5. Clark County Soil Survey, USDA-SCS, November 1972.
- 6. Pat Timm, Weather Correspondent, <u>The Columbian</u> newspaper, Vancouver, WA, telephone messages, February 10, 1998 and May 30, 1995.
- Scoring Manual, Washington Ranking Method (WARM) Washington State Department of Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program, Publication #90-14, April 1990, Revised April 1992.
- 8. Firm Flood Insurance Rate Map, Panel # 530027-0003B, August 17, 1981.
- Carborundum Co. (now Sohio Vancouver Electrominerals Co.) SHA (1991) Data from Public Water Supply System Listing, 2/21/89 and
- Washington Water Rights Information System (WRIS), Region 2, 5/10/89.
- 10. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, June 1994.
- 11. <u>Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, 8th Ed., vol. II</u>, Richard J. Lewis, Sr., Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1992.
- 12. USGS Map, Vancouver, Wash. Oreg., 1961, photorevised 1978.
- 13. Clark County Road Atlas, 1997, Department of Assessment and GIS.
- 14. Estimated Evapotranspiration Table, EM 2462, page 42, table 16.
- 15. Norm Kramm, City of Vancouver, City Water & Operatiions Supervisor, telephone conversation, May 27, 1998.
- 16. Clark Public Utilities/Clark County GIS database, accessed May 26, 1998.
- 17. John Rundquist, City of Vancouver, Sr. Water Engineer, telephone conversation, May 27, 1998.

I:\WARMSSH.194 SHA\1996\4POV2220.SHT

9

SHA#98-04 Port of Vancouver / former Building 2220 (adjacent North)

\*\*UPDATE\*\*\*UPDATE\*\*\*UPDATE\*\*\*UPDATE\*\*\*UPDATE\*\*\*UPDATE\*\*\*UPDATE\*\*

#### Pathway Score Ranges

The following ranges of pathway scores are the quintile breakdowns as of July 10, 1997 based on a total of 627 assessed sites. Slight changes to any, or all, of these ranges may occur in the future when additional sites are assessed/scored, and their applicable pathway scores added to their respective master list for ranking purposes. When sites are "delisted" from Ecology's hazardous sites list their pathway scores will also be removed from the respective master lists. This may also result in minor alterations of these ranges.

Following the scoring of an appropriate number of sites with a sediment route, a quintile breakdown of sediment pathway score ranges will be made available.

I. Human health pathway scores

Quintile No.	Surface Water	Air	Ground Water
5	>27.9	>36.2	8.3 >56.3
4	21.6 - 27.9	22.7 - 36.2	45.6 - 56.3
3	15.4 - 21.5	15.1 - 22.6	37.3 - 45.5
2 (9.0)	7.2 - 15.3	11.6 8.1 - 15.0	28.7 - 37.2
1	<7.2	<8.1	<28.7

### II. Environmental pathway scores

Quintile No.	Surface Water	Air
5	>52.8	>32.6
4	36.0 - 52.8	23.9 - 32.6
3	25.3 - 35.9 (14,	6)14.4 - 23.8
2	11.0 - 25.2	0.1 - 14.3
1 9.	8 <11.0	<0.1

QGENPWS

Rev. 11/05/97

#### WASHINGTON RANKING METHOD

ROUTE GOOREG SUMMARY AND RANKING CALCULATION SHEET

SILO NAMO: LOV/ Corner Building 220 (adjacent North) Southwest CLARK
Street. city / county: Adjacent North of former Building 2220, Port of Vancouver COUNTY
Ecology TCP ID: 5-06-6217-000

This site was (X) ranked, ( ) re-ranked, on based on quintile values from a total of \_\_\_\_\_ assessed/scored sites.

Quintile

Pathway_	<u> </u>	<u>Group_number(s)</u>	<u>Priority scores:</u>
ем-нн	9.0	_2	$\frac{H^{a} + 2M + L}{8} = \frac{251472}{8} = \frac{31}{8} = \frac{37}{8} = \frac{4}{4}$
Air-HH	11,6	2	
GW-HH	68,3	5	
SW-En	2.8		$\frac{H^{a} + 2L}{7} = \frac{9+2}{\frac{7}{2}} = \frac{11}{7} = \frac{14}{7} = \frac{14}{2}$
Air-En	14.6		$7 = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$

Use the matrix presented to the right, along with the two priority scores, to determine the site ranking. N/A refers to where there is no applicable pathway.

Route

Humen Heelth	Environment						
	_Б_	4	з	(2)	1	N/A	
5	т	7	٦	-	1	1	
(Å)	ī	2	2	团	ŝ	23	
3	1	2	з	4	4	3	
2	2	з	4	4	Б	з	

3455

2 3 4 5 5 5

б NFA

DRAFT / FINAL Matrix ("bin") Renking: \_\_\_\_\_, or \_\_\_\_\_ No Further Action CONFIDENCE LEVEL: The relative position of this site within this bin is:

\_\_\_\_\_elmost into the next higher bin.

right in the middle, unlikely to ever change.

1

N/A

I:\Warsccal