# ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION REPORT CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA LANDFILL SITE YAKIMA, WASHINGTON

Prepared for City of Yakima March 17, 2010

Prepared by

SLR International Corp 22122 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE, Building H, Suite 150 Bothell, Washington 98021

Project 001.0221.00006

### **Additional Investigation Report Closed City of Yakima Landfill Site** Yakima, Washington

The material and data in this report were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.



Michael D. Staton, L.G. Principal Geologist

10

Date



Anne Udaloy, L.H.G. Principal Hydrogeologist

### CONTENTS

#### LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

1 IN'	TRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Background	1-2
1.2	Previous Site Investigations	1-2
	1.2.1 1988 Hydrogeologic Study	1-2
	1.2.2 2008 Subsurface Investigation	1-3
	1.2.3 2009 Remedial Investigation	1-3
1.3	Summary of SLR Findings	1-4
2 AI	DITIONAL INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES	2-1
2.1	Install Soil Vapor Probes	2-1
2.2	Collect Soil Vapor Samples	2-2
	2.2.1 Soil Vapor Sampling Results	2-3
2.3	Install Groundwater Monitoring Wells	2-5
2.4	Collect Groundwater and Surface Water Samples	2-6
	2.4.1 Sample Analytical Results	2-7
2.5	Groundwater/Surface Water Monitoring	2-10
2.6	Waste Disposal	2-10
2.7	Surveying	2-10
2.8	Site Geology	2-11
2.9	Site Hydrogeology	2-11
3 CC	ONCLUSIONS	3-1

#### LIMITATIONS

#### REFERENCES

#### TABLES

#### FIGURES

ii

#### APPENDIX A SOIL BORING LOGS

#### APPENDIX B PLOT OF NITROGEN TO METHANE RATIOS AND CO<sub>2</sub> TO METHANE RATIOS IN SOIL VAPOR SAMPLES

APPENDIX C LABORATORY REPORTS

# **TABLES AND FIGURES**

#### Tables

- 1 Combustible Gas Survey Results
- 2 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Preliminary Indicator Hazardous Substances
- 3 River Water Sample Analytical Results
- 4 Groundwater and River Water Monitoring Data
- 5 Monitoring Well Construction Details
- 6 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Geochemical Indicators

#### Figures

- 1 Landfill Location Map
- 2 Previous Investigation Locations
- 3 Soil Vapor Probe Locations
- 4 Combustible Gas Concentrations November 5, 2009
- 5 Combustible Gas Concentrations February 3, 2010
- 6 Groundwater Monitoring Well Locations
- 7 Dissolved Arsenic Concentrations November 2009
- 8 Dissolved Manganese Concentrations November 2009
- 9 Dissolved Iron Concentrations November 2009
- 10 Dissolved Sodium Concentrations November 2009
- 11 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map November 2009
- 12 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map February 2010
- 13 Ionic Evaluation February 2009 Groundwater Samples
- 14 Ionic Evaluation November 2009 Groundwater Samples
- 15 Ionic Evaluation February 2010 Groundwater Samples

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

From November 2009 through February 2010, SLR International Corp (SLR) completed an additional subsurface investigation of the closed City of Yakima Landfill (Yakima Landfill) site. The objective of the additional investigation activities was to obtain the data necessary to resolve the following investigation data gaps.

- The elemental and isotopic compositions of soil vapors generated by municipal solid waste (MSW) and wood waste had not been analyzed; therefore, the areas where wood waste is the primary source of methane gas could not be differentiated from the areas where MSW is the primary methane source.
- Seasonal changes in methane concentrations had not been adequately assessed; therefore, the potential for seasonal variations in methane gas migration (and the associated risk) had not been defined.
- The sources of the preliminary groundwater indicator hazardous substances (IHSs; arsenic, sodium, iron, manganese, pH, nitrate, and vinyl chloride) had not been adequately identified.
- The downgradient (south-southeast) extent of the impacted groundwater had not been delineated.
- Seasonal variations in groundwater flow directions and groundwater quality at locations upgradient, beneath, and downgradient of the landfill had not been characterized.
- The hydraulic and geochemical interactions between site groundwater and the Yakima River had not been fully defined, including potential seasonal variations in groundwater flow paths between the landfill and the river, the location of the groundwater discharge area, and the water quality at the discharge area.

#### Sources and Migration of Methane Gas

To collect the data necessary to try to differentiate the areas where wood waste is the primary source of methane gas from the areas where MSW is the primary source of methane gas, four soil vapor probes (designated GP-19 through GP-22) were installed

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** (Continued)

within the landfill and to the north of the landfill (within wood waste), and soil vapor samples were collected from the new probes and existing probes GP-3, GP-11, and GP-13 for laboratory analysis. The soil vapor sample analytical results showed that specific compositional data, such as the relative amounts of  $CO_2$  and nitrogen, could be used to identify the methane gas generated by MSW and the methane gas generated by wood waste. Based on the sample analytical results, it appears that the gas at probes located within the landfill footprint (GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21) was generated by decomposition of MSW, and the gas at two probes located to the north of the landfill (within wood waste; GP-13 and GP-22) was generated by the decomposition of MSW even though it is located approximately 60 feet to the north of the landfill and is screened within wood waste. Due to anomalously high nitrogen concentrations in the soil vapor samples from probe GP-3, the source of the methane concentrations near the east side of the former plywood plant building could not be identified.

To evaluate the seasonal variations in methane concentrations, soil vapors were extracted and analyzed in November 2009 and February 2010, from the new probes and from existing probes GP-3 through GP-18, by using a CES/Landtec GEM-2000 multi-gas meter. The methane concentrations measured in the soil vapor probes ranged from 0 to 77.7 percent. The greatest methane concentrations (61.3 to 77.7 percent) were detected at the probes located within the footprint of the landfill (screened within MSW). Elevated methane concentrations (41.9 to 62.4 percent) were also detected at the probes located to the north of the landfill that are screened within wood waste. Near the northwest, west, and southwest edges of the landfill, the methane concentrations (19.9 to 50.0 percent) exceeded the upper explosive limit (15 percent by volume). Further to the west and southwest, along the property line, methane was not detected. To the south and northeast of the landfill area, near the property line, methane was also not detected. The soil vapor sampling results from this investigation and the previous SLR investigation indicate that the methane gas concentrations have been fairly consistent and that seasonal variations in methane gas migration beneath the southern part of the sawmill property are minimal.

Combustible gas measurements were not collected within the former plywood plant building; however, based on the soil vapor sampling results from this investigation and the previous SLR investigation, elevated methane concentrations (22.6 to 50 percent) were measured in a soil vapor probe (GP-10) located less than 20 feet from the southeast corner of the building. Therefore, it is likely that methane concentrations exceed the

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** (Continued)

lower explosive limit (LEL; 5 percent by volume) in soils beneath the southern part of the building. Methane concentrations (13.2 to 19.5 percent) above the LEL were measured in a soil vapor probe (GP-3) located less than 30 feet from the east side of the building. Therefore, methane concentrations may exceed the LEL in soils beneath the eastern part of the building. It is also possible that methane concentrations exceed 25 percent of the LEL within portions of the building. Based on limited wood waste near the southern end of the building, the methane at GP-10 is likely due to decomposition of MSW. The source of the methane at GP-3 could not be determined.

#### Sources and Downgradient Extents of Groundwater IHSs

To assess the sources of the preliminary groundwater IHSs (arsenic, iron, vinyl chloride, manganese, sodium, nitrate, and pH), one groundwater monitoring well (designated MW-18) was installed at a location to the north (hydraulically upgradient) of the landfill To delineate the downgradient (south-southeast) extent of the impacted area. groundwater and to characterize the hydraulic and geochemical interactions between the site groundwater and the Yakima River, four groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-14 throught MW-17) were installed at locations to the east, south, and southeast of the sawmill property. In November 2009 and February 2010, groundwater samples were collected from the new wells and from existing wells MW-7, MW-8, MW-9A, MW-11, MW-12, and MW-13 for laboratory analysis. The groundwater sample analytical results showed that the samples from the wells located hydraulically upgradient of the landfill (MW-11 and MW-18) contained the greatest dissolved arsenic and dissolved iron concentrations, and the concentrations steadily decreased with distance towards the Yakima River. This indicates that the sources of the arsenic- and iron-impacted groundwater are located upgradient of the landfill (likely associated with the former Boise Cascade mill operations). Since the MSW does not appear to be a source of the arsenicand iron-impacted groundwater, arsenic and iron were eliminated as groundwater IHSs for the Yakima Landfill site.

None of the groundwater samples contained detectable vinyl chloride concentrations. Since vinyl chloride was not detected in any of the samples collected during this investigation or the previous SLR investigation, vinyl chloride was eliminated as groundwater IHS for the Yakima Landfill site.

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** (Continued)

The groundwater sample analytical results showed that samples from upgradient well MW-18 contained dissolved manganese and dissolved sodium concentrations that exceeded the groundwater screening levels; however, one or both of the samples from a well located near the southern (downgradient) end of the landfill (MW-8) contained manganese and sodium concentrations that exceeded the concentrations at MW-18. This indicates that a significant source of the manganese- and sodium-impacted groundwater is located upgradient of the landfill, but MSW also appears to be contributing to the concentrations. The manganese and sodium appear to extend to the Yakima River; however, there are no surface water cleanup levels for manganese or sodium.

The groundwater samples from wells located near the southern (downgradient) end of the landfill contained nitrate concentrations that exceeded the groundwater screening level. The nitrate concentrations above the screening level likely extend beyond the southern end of the sawmill property; however, the elevated concentrations do not appear to extend beyond the neighboring JELD-WEN property. Based on the groundwater sampling results, the source of the elevated nitrate concentrations is likely MSW.

The groundwater samples from wells located upgradient of the landfill and near the downgradient end of the landfill contained pH values that were more acidic than the screening level range. The groundwater sampling results indicate that the primary source of the acidic groundwater conditions is located hydraulically upgradient of the MSW; however, the MSW is contributing slightly to the acidic conditions. Since the MSW is not the primary source of the acidic groundwater conditions, pH was eliminated as a groundwater IHS for the Yakima Landfill site.

#### Groundwater Flow Directions and Discharge Locations

In November 2009 and February 2010, the groundwater flow directions were fairly consistent with previous interpretations. Beneath the landfill, the groundwater generally flows from the northwest to the southeast; however, the flows beneath the western portion of the landfill are strongly influenced by an apparent recharge area located near well MW-13. The groundwater beneath the landfill eventually discharges to the Yakima River at locations east-southeast of the landfill area. Based on the distribution of several groundwater analytes (iron, arsenic, manganese, and sodium), it appears that the groundwater beneath the landfill area has historically flowed to the south-southeast.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

From November 2009 through February 2010, SLR International Corp (SLR) completed an additional subsurface investigation of the closed City of Yakima Landfill (Yakima Landfill) site. The Yakima Landfill is located at the south end of the former Boise Cascade Sawmill and Plywood Facility (sawmill). The sawmill property is located at 805 North 7<sup>th</sup> Street, in the northeastern part of Yakima, Washington (see Figure 1).

SLR recently completed a remedial investigation at the landfill area. Based on the results of the investigation activities, the following investigation data gaps were identified:

- The elemental and isotopic compositions of soil vapors generated by municipal solid waste (MSW) and wood waste had not been analyzed; therefore, the areas where wood waste is the primary source of methane gas could not be differentiated from the areas where MSW is the primary methane source.
- Seasonal changes in methane concentrations had not been adequately assessed; therefore, the potential for seasonal variations in methane gas migration (and the associated risk) had not been defined.
- The sources of the preliminary groundwater indicator hazardous substances (IHSs; arsenic, sodium, iron, manganese, pH, nitrate, and vinyl chloride) had not been adequately identified.
- The downgradient (south-southeast) extent of the impacted groundwater had not been delineated.
- Seasonal variations in groundwater flow directions and groundwater quality at locations upgradient, beneath, and downgradient of the landfill had not been characterized.
- The hydraulic and geochemical interactions between site groundwater and the Yakima River had not been fully defined, including potential seasonal variations in groundwater flow paths between the landfill and the river, the location of the groundwater discharge area, and the water quality at the discharge area.

The objective of the additional investigation activities was to obtain the data necessary to resolve these data gaps.

# 1.1 Background

The approximate 240-acre sawmill property was developed by the Cascade Lumber Company in 1903, and lumber mill operations commenced in 1904 (Parametrix, 2008). The Cascade Lumber Company merged with Boise Payette Lumber Company between 1957 and 1958 to form Boise Cascade. Boise Cascade closed the mill operations in 2006, and the property is currently used for temporary log storage and for log chipping. Log storage occurs over portions of the Yakima Landfill.

A 1920 Sanborn Fire Insurance map shows three log ponds, railroad tracks that run generally east-west (still present), a boiler house, and several other buildings at the sawmill property (Parametrix, 2008). The southern log pond encompassed approximately 70 percent of the sawmill property to the south of the railroad tracks [URS Corporation (URS), 2003]. The sawmill operations gradually transitioned from using log ponds to log decks with sprinklers, and the southern log pond was drained and a large portion of the pond area was used as a landfill by the City of Yakima.

The City of Yakima reported to the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) that the landfill operated between 1963 and 1970 (City of Yakima, 1996); however, the Yakima County Health District has stated that the landfill was closed in 1972 (Ecology, 1996). Consistent with waste management practices at that time, the landfill was not lined. Washington's minimum functional standards for solid waste handling, Chapter 173-301 WAC, were not adopted until October 26, 1972, and they took affect at the end of November 1972. Unless the Yakima Landfill closed at the very end of 1972, Washington regulations for municipal solid waste landfills were not in affect during the landfill's operating life.

On September 26, 2009, several stacks of logs above and adjacent to the landfill area caught fire. The fire was extinguished by pumping large volumes of water onto the burning or smoldering logs and underlying wood waste for several days. This water subsequently infiltrated through the wood waste and to the groundwater table at locations within the landfill area.

### **1.2 Previous Site Investigations**

### 1.2.1 1988 Hydrogeologic Study

In 1997, Ecology required Boise Cascade to conduct a hydrogeologic study of the sawmill property as part of the facility's wastewater discharge permit. In 1998, the hydrogeologic

study was conducted by Landau Associates, Inc. (Landau), and included the installation and monitoring of six groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-5 through MW-10), as well as the monitoring of three existing groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-1, MW-3, and MW-4). Wells MW-6, MW-7, MW-8, and MW-9 were located in the southern part of the property, near the Yakima Landfill (see Figure 2). The groundwater monitoring data showed that the general flow direction of the shallow groundwater beneath the sawmill property was consistently from the northwest to the southeast, towards the Yakima River (Landau, 1998). The Yakima River is located approximately 300 feet to the southeast of the southeastern corner of the sawmill property (see Figure 1).

#### 1.2.2 2008 Subsurface Investigation

In 2008, Parametrix conducted a subsurface investigation at the Yakima Landfill area. The objectives of the work were to assess the groundwater conditions beneath the area, to estimate the extents of the MSW, and to assess the risks associated with methane generation and migration. The work consisted of a geophysical survey; excavating 14 test pits; drilling two soil borings; installing a groundwater monitoring well (designated MW-9A) in one of the borings to replace MW-9 (a dry well); installing soil vapor probes (designated GP-1, GP-2, and GP-3) in one of the borings and in two of the test pits; collecting groundwater samples from wells MW-7, MW-8, and MW-9A; and collecting soil vapor samples from the soil vapor probes and from all of the monitoring wells located in central and southern parts of the property. The approximate locations of the monitoring wells (in the southern part of the property only) and the soil vapor probes are shown on Figure 2. The results of the investigation showed that groundwater samples from wells MW-7, MW-8, and MW-9A contained dissolved iron and dissolved manganese concentrations that exceeded the federal secondary maximum contaminant levels (MCLs). The groundwater samples from wells MW-7 and MW-8 contained vinyl chloride concentrations below the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A cleanup level. Combustible gas (presumably methane) concentrations above the upper explosive limit (15 percent by volume) were detected at soil vapor probes (GP-1 and GP-3) located to the north of the Yakima Landfill (Parametrix, 2008). MSW was encountered beneath the log deck; however, the lateral extents of the waste were not well defined. The log deck is located to the east and southeast of the current log barker area, and is surrounded by an asphalt road and dirt road (see Figure 2).

#### 1.2.3 2009 Remedial Investigation

In 2009, SLR conducted a remedial investigation at the Yakima Landfill area to assess potential environmental and geotechnical conditions that could require remedial action and/or affect potential future property development, and to evaluate the land use development constraints associated with the structural capacities of the materials beneath the property. The work consisted of the following activities:

- Excavated 56 test pits (designated TP-8 through TP-63) to delineate the lateral extent of the MSW
- Drilled and sampled 41 soil borings (designated SB-1 through SB-41) to define the MSW thickness and geometry relative to native soils, fill soils, wood waste, and the groundwater table
- Installed temporary wells in 5 of the soil borings, and collected and analyzed leachate samples to assess groundwater quality beneath the landfill and to evaluate potential future construction material and method requirements
- Drilled and installed 15 soil vapor probes (designated GP-4 through GP-18), and monitored these probes and previously installed probe GP-3 to evaluate the extent of methane in subsurface soils
- Drilled and installed 3 groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-11, MW-12, and MW-13), and collected groundwater samples from the new wells and existing wells MW-7, MW-8, and MW-9A to assess groundwater flow paths beneath the landfill area and groundwater quality upgradient and downgradient of the landfill

The locations of the test pits, borings, soil vapor probes, and monitoring wells are shown on Figure 2.

# 1.3 Summary of SLR Findings

Subsurface materials within the landfill area included fill materials and alluvial deposits (gravels, sands, and silts). The fill materials extend to depths of as much as 24.5 feet below ground surface (bgs) and consist of MSW, wood waste, sand, silt, gravelly silt, sandy gravel, and silty gravel. The MSW extends across a greater area than was defined by previous site investigations. The estimated limits of the MSW are shown on Figure 3. The landfill is is covered with approximately 2 to 12 feet of sandy silt, silty gravel, and/or wood waste. The MSW occurs at thicknesses of up to 15 feet, and the average thickness is approximately 10 feet. The bottom of the MSW occurs at depths ranging from approximately 5 to 19.5 feet. Based on the areal extent and thickness, approximately 408,500 cubic yards of MSW are present in the Yakima Landfill (SLR, 2009a). Most of the MSW occurs at depths above the seasonal high groundwater table.

The leachate and groundwater sample analytical results were compared to groundwater screening levels based on protection of drinking water and protection of surface water (the shallow groundwater beneath the Yakima Landfill likely discharges to the Yakima River). Preliminary groundwater IHSs were selected by comparing the maximum detected concentrations with the lowest of the drinking water and surface water screening

levels, and evaluating the frequency and patterns of detection (SLR, 2009a). Based on this evaluation, the identified preliminary groundwater IHSs for the Yakima Landfill site were:

- o Arsenic
- o Iron
- Manganese
- o Sodium
- o Nitrate
- o pH
- Vinyl chloride

The IHS concentrations in groundwater samples collected in 2008 or 2009 from at least one of the existing downgradient wells (MW-7 and MW-8) were confirmed to exceed the screening levels (Parametrix, 2008 and SLR, 2009a). Since the downgradient wells are located near the southern property line, IHS concentrations exceeding the screening levels likely extend beyond the property line.

Groundwater quality data from the wells located downgradient of the MSW were compared with the data from the upgradient well MW-11. This comparison indicated that the MSW is not the primary source of the dissolved arsenic, dissolved iron, or dissolved manganese in groundwater or of the acidic groundwater (pH of less than 6.5), but may be the primary source of nitrate and dissolved sodium. The data were insufficient to determine the potential source(s) of the vinyl chloride detected during Parametrix's 2008 investigation.

Combustible gas (presumably methane) was detected in soil vapor near the landfill at concentrations up to 58.5 percent; however, the highest concentrations were detected at the soil vapor probes (GP-11 and GP-13) that are screened within wood waste. Therefore, it appears that wood waste is a significant source of methane at the landfill area (SLR, 2009a). Methane concentrations (16.2 to 32.4 percent) in soil vapor exceeded the lower explosive limit (LEL; 5 percent by volume) at locations (probes GP-4, GP-5, and GP-10) where wood waste was not present. This indicates that the MSW is also a significant source of methane (SLR, 2009a).

Unless the Yakima Landfill closed in December 1972, which is unlikely, there were no regulations that addressed landfill gas in soil; therefore, the minimal functional standards for landfilling that specifically address landfill gas do not apply to the site. However, for practical purposes, WAC 173-351-200<sup>1</sup> regulations for landfill gas were used for the investigation to evaluate whether the methane conditions at the site are protective of human health and the environment (SLR, 2009a). Under these regulations, as well as the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Washington Department of Ecology. 1993. Chapter 173-351 WAC, Criteria for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. October 26.

Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Cleanup Regulation<sup>2</sup>, the methane concentrations generated by a landfill must not exceed the lower explosive limit (LEL; 5 percent by volume) at the property boundaries. The landfill extends beyond the eastern property line in a localized area near the southeastern corner of the property and since the soil vapor sampling results indicate that the methane concentrations in the landfill exceed the LEL, it is likely that methane concentrations exceed the LEL at the area where the waste extends beyond the eastern property line. Soil vapor sampling in February and April 2009 indicated that methane concentrations are below the LEL at the southern and western property lines; however, potential seasonal variations in soil vapor quality at these areas have not yet been defined.

Under WAC 173-351-200, methane concentrations must not exceed 25 percent of the LEL (1.25 percent by volume) inside of structures located on land used for the disposal of solid waste, and must not exceed 100 parts per million (ppm) inside of structures located on adjacent properties. Since the Yakima Landfill is located within the sawmill property and the former plywood plant building is located less than 60 feet from the landfill, it is reasonable to consider the former plywood plant to be located on land used for the disposal of solid waste rather than on an adjacent property. Combustible gas measurements were not collected in the former plywood plant building; however, methane concentrations greater than the UEL (15 percent by volume) were measured in soil vapor probes (GP-3 and GP-10) located less than 30 feet from the building. Therefore, it is likely that methane concentrations exceed the LEL in soils beneath at least portions of the building. It is also possible that methane concentrations exceed 25 percent of the LEL within portions of the building (SLR, 2009a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Washington Department of Ecology. 2001. Chapter 173-340 WAC, Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation. Amended February 12.

# **2** ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

To try to resolve the investigation data gaps described in Section 1, the additional investigation consisted of installing and sampling soil vapor probes; installing and sampling groundwater monitoring wells; collecting water samples from the Yakima River; monitoring groundwater and river water elevations; and surveying the investigation locations. The fieldwork was conducted in November 2009 and February 2010. The investigation activities were conducted in accordance with the procedures described in SLR's *Additional Investigation Work Plan, Closed City of Yakima Landfill Site, Yakima, Washington*, dated October 14, 2009.

## 2.1 Install Soil Vapor Probes

To collect the data necessary to try to differentiate the areas where wood waste is the primary source of methane gas from the areas where MSW is the primary source of methane gas, four soil vapor probes (designated GP-19 through GP-22) were installed on November 2, 3, 4, and 5, 2009. The locations of the probes are shown on Figure 3. GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21 are located within the footprint of the landfill at areas where there is limited surficial wood waste. GP-22 was located over 200 feet to the north of the landfill, at a location where the wood waste is over 14 feet thick (this probe was inadvertently destroyed after the November 2009 sampling event; see Section 2.2). Cascade Drilling, Inc. (Cascade), of Woodinville, Washington, installed the soil vapor probes under the direction of SLR personnel.

The boring for each vapor probe installation was drilled by using hollow-stem auger methods. Soil samples were collected at 2.5-foot intervals by using split-barrel sampling methods, and SLR continuously logged the soil encountered during drilling. The borings extended to depths of approximately 14 to 16 feet bgs. Each 1-inch-diameter Schedule 40 PVC probe was constructed with a 5-foot-long screen (0.020-inch slots) that was installed within the unsaturated zone. A blank PVC riser was attached to the screeen and extended to just below the ground surface (GP-19) or to a few feet above ground surface (GP-20, GP-21, and GP-22). The top of each riser was completed with a quick-connect fitting to facilitate sample collection. The screens of GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21 were installed within MSW. The screen of GP-22 was installed within wood waste.

The bottom of each probe (including filter pack) was installed at a depth above the groundwater table. A filter pack consisting of 2x12 Colorado<sup>®</sup> silica sand was installed

from at least 6 inches below the bottom screen slot to at least 1 foot above the uppermost screen slot. A hydrated bentonite chip seal was installed above the filter pack to approximately 2 feet bgs, and at GP-19, GP-20, and GP-22, an aboveground steel casing was installed in concrete to protect the riser. Three protective steel bollards were installed around each protective casing. At GP-21, which is located in an area of truck traffic, a flush-grade, traffic-rated, steel monument was installed in concrete to protect the riser. Soil boring logs that describe the encountered materials and include the soil vapor probe construction details are presented in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Collect Soil Vapor Samples

On November 5, 2009 and February 3, 2010, SLR personnel extracted and analyzed soil vapors from each new soil vapor probe, except GP-22, and from previously installed probes GP-3 through GP-18 by using a CES/Landtec GEM-2000 multi-gas meter. GP-22 was only sampled in November 2009 because the probe had been accidentally destroyed by the property operations prior to February 2010. The combustible gas meter measured the percentages of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and combustible gas (reported as methane) in the extracted soil vapors. Based on the soil vapor sample analytical results (discussed below), the detected combustible gas consisted almost entirely of methane.

On November 5, 2009, after extracting soil vapors with the CES/Landtec GEM-2000 multi-gas meter, SLR collected soil vapor samples from probes GP-11, GP-13, GP-19, GP-20, GP-21, and GP-22, in laboratory-supplied bags, by using a rubber suction bulb and polyethylene tubing. Duplicate samples (designated GP-29 and GP-32) were collected from probes GP19 and GP-22, respectively. The samples were submitted to Isotech Laboratories, Inc. (Isotech) in Champaign, Illinois, for analysis. To evaluate whether the concentrations of certain constituents in gas generated by MSW differ significantly from the concentrations of those constituents in gas generated by wood waste, the soil vapor samples were analyzed for composition (nitrogen, oxygen, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, argon, hydrogen, helium, hydrogen sulfide, methane, ethane, ethylene, propane, isobutane, *n*-butane, isopentane, *n*-pentane, and hexanes) by using gas chromatography. The samples were also analyzed for carbon and hydrogen isotopes. Carbon isotope ratios (the ratio of <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>13</sup>C isotopes, or  $\delta^{13}$ C) of the methane component, and hydrogen ion isotopes (the ratio of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>2</sup>H isotopes, or  $\delta DC$ ) of the methane component were analyzed by using mass spectrometry. Based on the results, Isotech calculated the specific gravity and British thermal unit (BTU) of each sample.

On February 3, 2010, after extracting soil vapors with the CES/Landtec GEM-2000 multi-gas meter, SLR collected soil vapor samples from probes GP-3, GP-11, GP-13, and GP-19, in laboratory-supplied bags, by using a rubber suction bulb and polyethylene tubing. Duplicate samples (designated GP31 and GP33) were collected from probes GP11 and GP-3, respectively. The samples were submitted to Isotech for compositional

analysis. The samples were collected from GP-11, GP-13, and GP-19 to verify the November 2009 sampling results, and a sample was collected from GP-3 to identify the source of the methane concentrations near the east side of the former plywood plant building.

#### 2.2.1 Soil Vapor Sampling Results

Unless the Yakima Landfill closed in December 1972, which is unlikely, there were no regulations that addressed landfill gas in soil; therefore, the minimal functional standards for landfilling that specifically address landfill gas do not apply to the site. However, for practical purposes, WAC 173-351-200 regulations for landfill gas and the MTCA Cleanup Regulations were used for this investigation to evaluate whether the methane conditions at the site are protective of human health and the environment.

#### 2.2.1.1 November 2009 Samples

On November 5, 2009, the methane concentrations measured in the soil vapor probes ranged from 0 to 69.3 percent. The greatest methane concentrations (61.3 to 69.3 percent) were detected at the soil vapor probes (GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21) located within the footprint of the landfill and screened within MSW. Elevated methane concentrations (41.9 to 57.4 percent) were also detected at the probes (GP-11, GP-13, and GP-22) located to the north of the landfill that are screened within wood waste. Near the northwest, west, and southwest edges of the landfill, the methane concentrations (24.2 to 41.3 percent) also exceeded the upper explosive limit (UEL; 15 percent by volume) at probes GP-4, GP-5, GP-10, and GP-12. Further to the west and southwest, along the property line, methane was not detected (at probes GP-14 through GP-18). To the south and northeast of the landfill area (at probes GP-6 through GP-9), methane was also not detected. The combustible gas survey results from this investigation, and from the previous SLR investigation, are presented in Table 1, and the methane concentrations on November 5, 2009, are shown on Figure 4.

In November 2009, the soil vapor samples were collected from three probes screened within the footprint of the landfill (GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21) and from three probes screened within wood waste (GP-11, GP-13, and GP-22). The locations of the probes are shown on Figure 3. The soil vapor sample analytical results showed that the general characteristics of the gas generated by MSW are similar to the characteristics of the gas generated by MSW are similar to the characteristics of the gas generated by wood waste. However, specific compositional data, such as the relative amounts of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and nitrogen, indicate that there are significant differences between the gas generated by MSW and the gas generated by wood waste. The MSW-generated gas contained lower nitrogen and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations than the wood waste-generated gas. An Isotech plot of the nitrogen to methane ratios and the CO<sub>2</sub> to methane ratios in the samples depicts a distinct difference between the MSW-generated gas. The Isotech plot is presented in Appendix B. The

soil vapor sample analytical results also showed that the analyzed methane concentrations were consistently within 4 percent of the combustible gas readings by the multi-gas meter.

Based on the soil vapor sample analytical results, it appears that the gas at probes GP-11, GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21 was generated by decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probes GP-13 and GP-22 was generated by the decomposition of wood waste. It is important to note that GP-11 is located approximately 60 feet to the north of the landfill and is screened within wood waste. A copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix C.

#### 2.2.1.2 February 2010 Samples

On February 3, 2010, the methane concentrations measured in the soil vapor probes ranged from 0 to 77.7 percent. Similar to the November 2009 results, the greatest methane concentrations (69.5 to 77.7 percent) were detected at the soil vapor probes (GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21) located within the footprint of the landfill (screened within MSW). Elevated methane concentrations (62.4 and 45.4 percent) were also detected at the probes (GP-11 and GP-13, respectively) located to the north of the landfill that are screened within wood waste. Near the northwest, west, and southwest edges of the landfill, the methane concentrations (19.9 to 50.0 percent) exceeded the upper explosive limit (UEL; 15 percent by volume) at probes GP-4, GP-5, GP-10, and GP-12. Further to the west and southwest, along the property line, methane was not detected (at probes GP-14 through GP-18). To the south and northeast of the landfill area (at probes GP-6 through GP-9), methane was not detected. The methane concentrations on February 3, 2010, are shown on Figure 5.

In February 2010, the soil vapor samples were collected from one probe screened within the footprint of the landfill (GP-19) and from two probes screened within wood waste (GP-11 and GP-13) to verify the November 2009 sampling results. A sample was also collected from probe GP-3 to identify the source of the methane concentrations near the east side of the former plywood plant building. The locations of the probes are shown on Figure 3. The soil vapor sample analytical results confirmed the November 2009 results that the MSW-generated gas contains lower nitrogen and  $CO_2$  concentrations than the wood waste-generated gas, and that the methane concentrations were consistently within 4 percent of the combustible gas readings by the multi-gas meter. The Isotech plot presented in Appendix B includes the February 2010 results. Based on the soil vapor sample analytical results, it appears that the gas at probes GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of MSW, and the gas at probe GP-13 was generated by the decomposition of Wood waste. A copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix C.

The samples from the soil vapor probe located near the east side of the former plywood plant building (GP-3; including duplicate sample GP33) contained nitrogen concentrations (74.64 and 74.67 percent) that were much greater than the nitrogen concentrations (0.69 to 14.87 percent) in the other soil vapor samples. The samples from GP-3 also contained CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (11.21 and 11.22 percent) that were much lower than than the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (35.37 to 40.62 percent) in the other soil vapor samples. The nitrogen to methane ratios from GP-3 (5.67 and 5.70) were too high to include on the Isotech plot in Appendix B, and the CO<sub>2</sub> to methane ratios (0.85 and 0.86) would have plotted below the lower end of the gases from a wood waste source and above the upper end of the gases from an MSW source. Isotech evaluated the data from GP-3 and concluded that the elevated nitrogen concentrations in the soil vapors were not due to nitrogen enrichment at that location. Due to the elevated nitrogen concentrations, the source of the methane gas at GP-3 could not be identified.

### 2.3 Install Groundwater Monitoring Wells

To further assess the sources of the preliminary groundwater IHSs at locations hydraulically upgradient (north-northwest) of the Yakima Landfill, a groundwater monitoring well (designated MW-18) was installed approximately 270 feet to the eastnortheast of upgradient well MW-11. To delineate the hydraulically downgradient extent of the preliminary groundwater IHSs and to characterize the hydraulic and geochemical interactions between site groundwater and the Yakima River, four groundwater monitoring wells (designated MW-14 through MW-17) were installed at locations to the east, south, and southeast of the sawmill property. The locations of the wells are shown on Figure 6. To the west of Highway 82, one of the wells (MW-17) is located approximately 375 feet to the south of the southeast corner of the sawmill property, on Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) property, and another well (MW-16) is located approximately 880 feet south of the sawmill property, along the north end of North Fair Avenue (on City of Yakima property). The other two wells (MW-14 and MW-15) are located to the east of Highway 82, within 150 feet of the Yakima River. MW-15 is located approximately 460 feet to the east of the southeastern end of the sawmill property, on Yakima County property, and MW-14 is located approximately 1,000 feet to the southeast of the southeast corner of the sawmill property, on Yakima Greenway Foundation property. Prior to drilling, the City of Yakima obtained access agreements from WSDOT, Yakima County, and the Yakima Greenway Foundation to install the wells.

On November 2, 3, and 4, 2009, Cascade installed the groundwater monitoring wells under the direction of SLR personnel. The boring for each well installation was drilled by using hollow-stem auger methods. Soil samples were collected at 2.5-foot intervals by using split-barrel sampling methods, and SLR continuously logged the soil encountered during drilling. The borings extended to depths of approximately 14 to 21.5 feet bgs. Each 2-inch-diameter Schedule 40 PVC well was constructed with a 10- to 15-foot-long

screen (0.020-inch slots) that was installed at a depth that intercepts the groundwater table. A blank PVC riser was attached to the screen and extended to just below the ground surface (MW-14 through MW-17) or to a few feet above ground surface (MW-18). A filter pack consisting of 2x12 Colorado<sup>®</sup> silica sand was installed from at least 6 inches below the bottom screen slot to at least 1 foot above the uppermost screen slot. A hydrated bentonite chip seal was installed above the filter pack to approximately 2 feet bgs, and at MW-18, an aboveground steel casing was installed in concrete to protect the riser. Three protective steel bollards were installed around the protective casing. At MW-14, MW-15, MW-16, and MW-17, a flush-grade, traffic-rated, steel monument was installed in concrete to protect the riser. Soil boring logs that describe the encountered materials and include the monitoring well construction details are presented in Appendix A.

Cascade developed each new monitoring well by using surging and bailing methods to remove fine-grained materials and ensure hydraulic continuity between the well screen and formation materials. All drilling equipment was decontaminated by steam cleaning after completing each well.

### 2.4 Collect Groundwater and Surface Water Samples

To assess the groundwater contaminant concentrations beneath the Yakima Landfill area and hydraulically downgradient of the sawmill property, and to compare the geochemical characteristics of the site groundwater and the Yakima River, SLR personnel conducted groundwater sampling events on November 4 and 5, 2009, and on February 2, 3, and 4, 2010. Prior to conducting the November sampling event, SLR identified four gauging stations (designated RG-1 through RG-4) on the west bank of the Yakima River to measure the river elevations. RG-1 is located upstream of the Yakima Landfill, and RG-2, RG-3, and RG-4 are located downstream of the landfill (see Figure 7). Stations RG-1 and RG-3 are located near the bottom of the river bank to measure low seasonal river elevations, and RG-2 and RG-4 are located further up the river bank to measure higher river elevations. Yakima River stages were evaluated using stations RG-1 and RG-3 during the November 2009 and February 2010 monitoring events.

During both sampling events, groundwater samples were collected from the five new monitoring wells and from existing wells MW-7, MW-8, MW-9A, MW-11, MW-12, and MW-13 for laboratory analysis. In addition, a water sample from the Yakima River was collected at a location near the railroad tracks (at gauging station RG-1) to assess contaminant (preliminary groundwater IHS) concentrations in the river at a location upstream of the Yakima Landfill (background river concentrations). During the Febuary sampling event, duplicate samples (designated MW37 and MW38) were collected from wells MW-7 and MW-8, respectively.

During the sampling events, each well was purged and sampled by using low-flow methods with a peristaltic pump and new polyethylene tubing. During purging and immediately prior to sampling, SLR measured the pH, specific conductivity, and temperature of the purge water. Redox potential and dissolved oxygen were also typically measured; however, during the November 2009 sampling event, there were problems with the meter during the purging of several of the wells. The groundwater samples were submitted to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (F&B) in Seattle, Washington, for analysis of the preliminary groundwater IHSs (vinyl chloride, arsenic, iron, manganese, sodium, nitrate, and pH) and additional major ions (calcium, chloride, sulfate, magnesium, and alkalinity) by using the following methods:

- Vinyl chloride by USEPA Method 8260C
- Dissolved arsenic, calcium, sodium, iron, magnesium, and manganese by USEPA Method 200.8
- Nitrate, chloride, and sulfate by USEPA Method 300.0
- pH by USEPA Method 150.1
- Alkalinity (carbonate and bicarbonate) by USEPA Method 310.1

The river water samples were analyzed for nitrate, pH, and dissolved arsenic, sodium, iron, and manganese. All of the samples for dissolved metals analysis were filtered in the field, and the samples for all other analyses were unfiltered.

#### 2.4.1 Sample Analytical Results

The groundwater sample analytical results for the preliminary groundwater IHSs were compared to the site groundwater screening levels that were developed by SLR during the previous remedial investigation (SLR, 2009a). The selected groundwater screening levels are the lowest of the screening levels based on protection of drinking water and protection of surface water (the shallow groundwater beneath the Yakima Landfill likely discharges to the Yakima River).

#### 2.4.1.1 November 2009 Sampling Event

The groundwater sample analytical results from the November 2009 sampling event indicated the following:

- The groundwater samples from all of the monitoring wells did not contain vinyl chloride concentrations above the method reporting limit (MRL); however, the MRL ( $0.2 \mu g/L$ ) exceeded the groundwater screening level ( $0.03 \mu g/L$ ).
- The groundwater samples from all of the monitoring wells contained dissolved arsenic concentrations (0.36 to 6.75  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (0.06  $\mu$ g/L). The greatest arsenic concentrations were in the

samples from upgradient wells MW-11 and MW-18. The arsenic concentrations are shown on Figure 7.

- The samples from all of the monitoring wells, except MW-9A, contained dissolved manganese concentrations (287 to 4,450  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (50  $\mu$ g/L). The manganese concentration in the sample from upgradient well MW-18 was at least 1,760  $\mu$ g/L greater than the concentration in any other well. The manganese concentrations are shown on Figure 8.
- The groundwater samples from wells MW-7, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-15, MW-17, and MW-18 contained dissolved iron concentrations (1,550 to 35,400  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (300  $\mu$ g/L). The iron concentrations in the samples from upgradient wells MW-11 and MW-18 (35,400 and 26,100  $\mu$ g/L, respectively) were at least 7,600  $\mu$ g/L greater than the concentration in any other well. The iron concentrations are shown on Figure 9.
- The groundwater sample from well MW-8 contained a nitrate concentration (17,900 µg/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (10,000 µg/L). MW-8 is located near the southern (downgradient) end of the landfill (see Figure 6).
- The groundwater samples from MW-7, MW-8, MW-14, MW-16, MW-17, and MW-18 contained dissolved sodium concentrations (23,400 to 48,300  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (20,000  $\mu$ g/L). The sample from downgradient well MW-8 contained a dissolved sodium concentration that was at least 9,900  $\mu$ g/L greater than the concentration in any other well. The sodium concentrations are shown on Figure 10.
- The groundwater samples from MW-7, MW-8, MW-11, and MW-18 contained pH values (6.34 to 6.47) that were more acidic than the screening level range (6.5 to 8.5). MW-11 and MW-18 are located upgradient of the landfill and MW-7 and MW-8 are located near the southern (downgradient) end of the landfill (see Figure 6).

The groundwater sample analytical results for the preliminary groundwater IHSs are presented in Table 2, and copies of the laboratory reports are presented in Appendix C.

The water sample collected from the Yakima River, at a location upstream of the landfill site, contained detectable arsenic, manganese, nitrate, and sodium concentrations (0.52, 6.96, 171, and 5,020  $\mu$ g/L, respectively). The pH of the water was 6.80. The river water sample analytical results are presented in Table 3, and a copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix C.

#### 2.4.1.2 February 2010 Event

The groundwater sample analytical results from the February 2010 sampling event indicated the following:

- The groundwater samples from all of the monitoring wells did not contain vinyl chloride concentrations above the MRL (0.03  $\mu$ g/L).
- The groundwater samples from all of the monitoring wells contained dissolved arsenic concentrations (0.26 to 3.01  $\mu$ g/L, respectively) that exceeded the groundwater screening level. Similar to the November 2009 sampling results, the greatest arsenic concentrations were in the samples from upgradient wells MW-11 and MW-18.
- The samples from all of the monitoring wells, except MW-9A and MW-14, contained dissolved manganese concentrations (192 to 6,290  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level. The samples from a well (MW-8) located near the southern end of the landfill and from an upgradient well (MW-18) contained dissolved manganese concentrations (6,290 and 5,360  $\mu$ g/L, respectively) that were at least 2,780  $\mu$ g/L greater than the concentration in any other well. The locations of MW-8 and MW-18 are shown on Figure 6.
- The groundwater samples from wells MW-7 (duplicate sample only), MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-15, MW-17, and MW-18 contained dissolved iron concentrations (495 to 7,200  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level. Similar to the November 2009 sampling results, the greatest dissolved iron concentrations were in the samples from upgradient wells MW-11 and MW-18 (7,200 and 4,910  $\mu$ g/L, respectively).
- The groundwater samples from wells MW-7 and MW-8 contained nitrate concentrations (10,300 and 95,300  $\mu$ g/L, respectively) that exceeded the groundwater screening level. MW-7 and MW-8 are located near the southern (downgradient) end of the landfill.
- The groundwater samples from MW-7, MW-8, MW-16, MW-17, and MW-18 contained dissolved sodium concentrations (21,700 to 52,600  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level. Similar to the November 2009 sampling results, the sample from downgradient well MW-8 contained the greatest dissolved sodium concentration (at least 23,700  $\mu$ g/L greater than the concentration in any other well).
- The groundwater samples from downgradient wells MW-7 and MW-8 contained pH values (6.23 to 6.48) that were more acidic than the screening level range.

The water sample collected from the Yakima River, at a location upstream of the landfill site, contained detectable arsenic, manganese, nitrate, and sodium concentrations (0.45, 2.72, 321, and 6,540  $\mu$ g/L, respectively). The pH of the water was 8.04. The river water

sample analytical results are presented in Table 3, and a copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix C.

### 2.5 Groundwater/Surface Water Monitoring

On November 6, 2009 and February 1, 2010, SLR personnel measured the depths to groundwater in wells MW-6, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9A, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, MW-15, MW-16, MW-17, and MW-18 by using an electronic water level meter. At the time of each groundwater elevation monitoring event, SLR also measured the depths to water at the Yakima River gauging stations where water was present by using an electronic water level meter. The depth to groundwater measurements were converted to elevations based on the results of a survey conducted by Gray Surveying & Engineering, Inc. (see Section 2.7).

On November 6, 2009, the depths to groundwater in the wells ranged from 6.19 to 19.41 feet. The depths to the river water at gauging stations RG-1 and RG-3 were 3.56 and 2.21 feet, respectively.

On February 1, 2010, the depths to groundwater in the wells ranged from 7.11 to 20.07 feet. The depths to the river water at gauging stations RG-1 and RG-3 were 2.77 and 2.32 feet, respectively. During the November 2009 and February 2010 monitoring events, the river water elevation was too low to measure the depths to the river water at stations RG-2 and RG-4. A discussion of the groundwater flow patterns and the groundwater/river water interactions is presented in Section 2.9. The depth to groundwater and river water measurements and the water elevations from this investigation, as well as from previous investigations, are presented in Table 4.

# 2.6 Waste Disposal

The decontamination water, development water, and sampling purge water that were generated from the investigation activities were temporarily stored at the southern part of the sawmill property in properly labeled, 55-gallon drums. After obtaining a temporary permit from the City of Yakima, the water was drained into the city's sanitary sewer system.

# 2.7 Surveying

Gray Surveying & Engineering, Inc., a licensed surveyor from Yakima, Washington, surveyed the vertical elevations of all of the newly installed monitoring wells and soil vapor probes, and the river elevation gauging locations. The elevations of the ground

surface at each of the new investigation locations and the elevations of the tops of the well and probe casings were surveyed to the nearest 0.01-foot, relative to the NAVD 88 datum. The elevations of the wells and river gauging locations are listed in Table 4.

# 2.8 Site Geology

During this investigation and the previous investigations, the subsurface materials encountered beneath the southern part of the Boise Cascade property included fill and alluvial gravel, sand, and silt. The fill materials consist of sand, silt, gravelly silt, sandy gravel, silty gravel, wood waste, and MSW. Fill materials extend to depths of as much as 24.5 feet bgs. Sandy gravel with cobbles, interpreted as native soil, is typically present below the fill materials. Locally, silty sand, silty gravel, or sandy silt occurs between the fill and sandy gravel units. Geologic cross sections that depict the general relationships between the geologic units and the landfilled waste were presented in SLR's *Renedial Investigation Report*, dated October 12, 2009.

To the south, southeast, and east of the southern end of the Boise Cascade property, the subsurface materials encountered in the borings consisted of alluvial gravel, sandy gravel, sand, and silty sand.

# 2.9 Site Hydrogeology

The groundwater monitoring data from the 1998 hydrogeologic study and the 2009 remedial investigation showed that the general flow direction of the shallow groundwater beneath the southern part of the Boise Cascade property was consistently to the southeast, towards the Yakima River (Landau, 1998 and SLR, 2009a). The Yakima River is located approximately 300 feet to the southeast of the southeastern corner of the landfill (see Figure 1).

Groundwater levels were monitored in monitoring wells MW-6, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9A, MW-11, MW-12, and MW-13 during February and April 2009 (SLR, 2009a), and during November 2009 and February 2010. During this period, the depths to groundwater in these monitoring wells ranged from 9.76 to 20.70 feet below the tops of the well casings (6.73 to 17.95 feet bgs). The groundwater elevations in these wells ranged from 1,038.39 to 1,055.26 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. Groundwater elevations were lowest during February 2009 in all of the wells.

Groundwater levels were also monitored at MW-14, MW-15, MW-16, MW-17, and MW-18 during November 2009 and February 2010. During this period, the depths to groundwater in these wells ranged from 7.11 to 18.03 feet below the tops of the well casings (7.18 to 15.12 feet bgs). The groundwater elevations in these wells ranged from

1,032.66 to 1,046.30 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. The depth to groundwater and groundwater elevation data from the 2009 and 2010 monitoring events, as well as from the previous groundwater monitoring events at the landfill area, are presented in Table 4. Construction details of the monitoring wells that were used for evaluating groundwater flow during this investigation are presented in Table 5.

To evaluate potential influence of the Yakima River on the groundwater beneath the Yakima Landfill, SLR monitored Yakima River water levels concurrent with groundwater monitoring during November 2009 and February 2010. The depth to water and river water elevation data are presented in Table 4.

The interpreted groundwater elevation contours for the measurements collected on November 6, 2009, are shown on Figure 11, and the elevation contours for the measurements collected on February 1, 2010, are shown on Figure 12. Beneath the landfill, the groundwater generally flows from the northwest to the southeast. Near the western edge of the landfill, groundwater flow appears to be radial from the area of well MW-13. The groundwater elevation data in this area suggest that a localized groundwater recharge source (such as the stormwater pond or a leaking underground water line) is present in the vicinity of MW-13, and that the flow from the recharge area is constrained by the relative permeabilities of surrounding soils. These interpretations are consistent with data collected during February and April 2009, and with the groundwater geochemistry results discussed below.

Based on the groundwater flow directions, the monitoring wells that are located directly upgradient of the MSW and can be used to define "background" groundwater chemistry for the local recharge area include MW-12 and MW-13. Wells MW-11 and MW-18 are located directly upgradient of the MSW and can be used to define "background" groundwater chemistry for the wood waste area to the north of the MSW. Wells that are located downgradient of the MSW (and the wood waste) include MW-7, MW-8, and MW-17. Although well MW-9A is located upgradient of the MSW, flow near MW-9A is primarily northward (radial from the recharge area that is located near MW-13) and not towards the MSW. Therefore, groundwater chemistry relative to the MSW.

In 2009 and 2010, the groundwater elevations in the apparent groundwater recharge area, as measured at MW-13, ranged from approximately 1,055.26 to 1,056.33 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. Beneath the landfill (outside of the recharge area), the groundwater elevations from 1998 through 2009 ranged from approximately 1,038 to 1,047 feet above the NAVD 88 datum. A comparison of groundwater elevation data and basal elevations of the MSW show that groundwater levels are generally at or below the base of the MSW.

A comparison of the groundwater elevation data and the river elevation data shows that groundwater levels beneath the landfill area are generally higher than the Yakima River

elevations. In November 2009 and February 2010, groundwater generally flowed southeast from the landfill towards the Yakima River. However, the distribution of dissolved iron, arsenic, manganese, and sodium in the groundwater beneath the landfill area (see Figures 7 through 10) differs from the pattern that would be expected based on the groundwater flow direction observed during the 2009 and 2010 monitoring events. The distributions of dissolved iron, arsenic, manganese, and sodium in groundwater extend further south than would be anticipated. The observed distributions of these analytes indicate that historical groundwater flow directions in the landfill area were towards the south-southeast. It is possible that the gradients vary seasonally, with flow beneath the eastern portion of the landfill being more southerly during the spring and summer months. It is also possible that the local recharge area near MW-13 is a relatively recent phenomenon and has changed historic groundwater gradients beneath the landfill area.

The geochemical analytical data from the November 2009 and February 2010 sampling events indicate that Yakima River base flow differs geochemically from the area groundwater. For example, the proportion of sulfate plus chloride in the groundwater sample collected from well MW-14 in February 2010 varied by more than 10 percent from the proportion in the sample collected from MW-14 in November 2009. This variations appear to reflect seasonal mixing of Yakima River baseflow and area groundwater near this well. MW-14 is located approximately 100 feet from the river (see Figure 6). The groundwater sample analytical results for the geochemical indicators are shown in Table 6 and copies of the laboratory reports are presented in Appendix C.

The ionic character of groundwater samples from the November 2009 and February 2010 sampling events, as well as from the previous February 2009 sampling event (SLR, 2009a), were evaluated and the results are shown graphically on Figures 13, 14, and 15. These figures show that the ionic composition of the groundwater from well MW-8 was consistent with the other site wells located outside of the groundwater recharge area in February 2009, but differed significantly in November 2009 and February 2010. Specifically, all of the groundwater samples collected during the February 2009 event are dominated by bicarbonate. For the November 2009 and February 2010 events, the samples from MW-8 are not dominated by any anion or cation type, as the relative proportion of sulfate and chloride increased significantly (from less than 20 percent to approximately 60 percent), possibly in response to recharge from the water used to extinguish the September 2009 log fire. Significant changes in ionic proportions are not observed in the samples collected from the other wells in November 2009 and February 2010.

The geochemical data also indicate that groundwater samples collected from the well (MW-13) near the apparent recharge area consistently contains proportionally more calcium and less magnesium than the samples from any of the other wells. These data

suggest that the source of the groundwater recharge to the MW-13 area differs from that of other portions of the site.

### **3 CONCLUSIONS**

From November 2009 through February 2010, SLR conducted an additional subsurface investigation of the Yakima Landfill site to try to resolve the remaining data gaps identified in Section 1. Based on the investigation results that were presented in Section 2, SLR presents the following conclusions related to the environmental conditions at the property.

- The laboratory-analyzed methane concentrations were similar (within 4 percent) to the combustible gas readings by the CES/Landtec GEM-2000 multi-gas meter; therefore, the analytical data confirm that the combustible gas detected by the meter consisted almost entirely of methane.
- The methane concentrations measured in the soil vapor probes ranged from 0 to 77.7 percent. The greatest methane concentrations (61.3 to 77.7 percent) were detected at the probes (GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21) located within the footprint of the landfill (screened within MSW). Elevated methane concentrations (41.9 to 62.4 percent) were also detected at the probes (GP-11, GP-13, and GP-22) located to the north of the landfill that are screened within wood waste. Near the northwest, west, and southwest edges of the landfill, the methane concentrations (19.9 to 50.0 percent) exceeded the upper explosive limit (15 percent by volume) at probes GP-4, GP-5, GP-10, and GP-12. Further to the west and southwest, along the property line (at probes GP-14 through GP-18), methane was not detected. To the south and northeast of the landfill area, near the property line (at probes GP-6 through GP-9), methane was also not detected.
- Since the landfill extends beyond the eastern property line in a localized area near the southeastern corner of the property and the soil vapor sampling results indicate that the methane concentrations in the landfill exceed the lower explosive limit (LEL; 5 percent by volume), the methane concentrations beyond the eastern property line (only near the southeastern corner of the property) likely exceed the LEL.
- The soil vapor sampling results from this investigation and the previous SLR investigation indicate that the methane gas concentrations have been fairly consistent and that seasonal variations in methane gas migration beneath the southern part of the sawmill property are minimal.

- The soil vapor sample analytical results showed that specific compositional data, such as the relative amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen, could be used to identify the methane gas generated by MSW and the methane gas generated by wood waste. Based on the soil vapor sample analytical results, it appears that the gas at probes located within the landfill footprint (GP-19, GP-20, and GP-21) was generated by decomposition of MSW, and the gas at two probes located to the north of the landfill (within wood waste; GP-13 and GP-22) was generated by the decomposition of MSW even though it is located approximately 60 feet to the north of the landfill and is screened within wood waste. Due to anomalously high nitrogen concentrations in the soil vapor samples from probe GP-3, the source of the methane concentrations near the east side of the former plywood plant building could not be identified.
- Combustible gas measurements were not collected within the former plywood plant building; however, based on the soil vapor sampling results from this investigation and the previous SLR investigation, elevated methane concentrations (22.6 to 50 percent) were measured in a soil vapor probe (GP-10) located less than 20 feet from the southeast corner of the building. Therefore, it is likely that methane concentrations exceed the LEL in soils beneath the southern part of the building. Methane concentrations (13.2 to 19.5 percent) above the LEL were measured in a soil vapor probe (GP-3) located less than 30 feet from the east side of the building. Therefore, methane concentrations may exceed the LEL in soils beneath the eastern part of the building. It is also possible that methane concentrations exceed 25 percent of the LEL within portions of the building. Based on limited wood waste near the southern end of the building, the methane at GP-10 is likely due to decomposition of MSW. The source of the methane at GP-3 could not be determined.
- None of the groundwater samples contained vinyl chloride concentrations greater than the MRLs; however, the MRL for the November 2009 sampling event (0.2  $\mu$ g/L) was slightly greater than the groundwater screening level (0.03  $\mu$ g/L). Since vinyl chloride was not detected in any of the samples collected during this investigation or the previous SLR investigation, vinyl chloride was eliminated as groundwater IHS for the Yakima Landfill site.
- All of the groundwater samples contained dissolved arsenic concentrations (0.32 to  $6.75 \ \mu g/L$ ) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (0.06  $\mu g/L$ ). During both sampling events, the greatest arsenic concentrations were at the wells (MW-11 and MW-18) located to the north (hydraulically upgradient) of the landfill, and the concentrations steadily decreased with distance towards the Yakima River. This indicates that the source of the arsenic-impacted groundwater is located upgradient of the landfill. Since the MSW does not appear to be a source of the arsenic-

impacted groundwater, arsenic was eliminated as a groundwater IHS for the Yakima Landfill site.

- The groundwater samples from wells MW-7, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-15, MW-17, and MW-18, contained dissolved iron concentrations (417 to 35,400  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (300  $\mu$ g/L). During both sampling events, the greatest iron concentrations were at the wells (MW-11 and MW-18) located hydraulically upgradient of the landfill and the concentrations steadily decreased with distance toward the Yakima River. This indicates that the source of the iron-impacted groundwater is located upgradient of the landfill. Since the MSW does not appear to be a source of the iron-impacted groundwater, iron was eliminated as a groundwater IHS for the Yakima Landfill site.
- Since the groundwater sampling results did not indicate an increase in arsenic and iron concentrations at the southern (downgradient) end of the landfill, it appears that the arsenic and iron concentrations in the 2008 leachate samples (SLR, 2009a) are representative of background conditions (dissolved arsenic and iron concentrations from an upgradient source) and arsenic- and iron-bearing colloidal oxyhydroxides in the samples. This interpretation recognizes that the leachate samples were collected from undeveloped temporary wells and field filtered (field filtering is known to have limited effectiveness in removing colloids). The distribution of dissolved arsenic and iron in samples from developed monitoring wells indicates that there is an upgradient source of these analytes.
- All of the groundwater samples, except both samples from MW-9A and the February 2010 sample from MW-14, contained dissolved manganese concentrations (192 to 6,290  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (50  $\mu$ g/L). During the November 2009 sampling event, the greatest manganese concentration was at a well (MW-18) located hydraulically upgradient of the landfill, and the concentrations decreased with distance toward the Yakima River. During the February 2010 sampling event, the samples from a well (MW-8) located near the southern (hydraulically downgradient) end of the landfill and from upgradient well MW-18 contained the greatest manganese concentrations (6,290 and 5,360  $\mu$ g/L, respectively). The sampling results indicate that the primary source of the manganese-impacted groundwater is located upgradient of the landfill; however, the MSW appears to be contributing to the manganese concentrations. Since the MSW may be a source of manganese concentrations that exceed the groundwater IHS.
- The groundwater samples from wells MW-7, MW-8, MW-14, MW-16, MW-17, and/or MW-18 contained dissolved sodium concentrations (21,700 to 52,600  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (20,000  $\mu$ g/L). During both sampling events, the greatest sodium concentrations (48,300 to 52,600  $\mu$ g/L) were

at a well (MW-8) located near the southern (hydraulically downgradient) end of the landfill; however, the groundwater samples from upgradient well MW-18 also contained sodium concentrations (21,700 to 38,400  $\mu$ g/L) above the screening level. The sampling results indicate that a source of sodium concentrations above the screening level is located upgradient of the landfill, and the MSW is also a significant source of sodium. Since the MSW appears to be a source of sodium concentrations that exceed the screening level, sodium was retained as a groundwater IHS.

- The manganese and sodium appear to extend to the Yakima River; however, there are no surface water cleanup levels for manganese or sodium.
- Groundwater samples from wells (MW-7 and MW-8) located near the downgradient end of the landfill contained nitrate concentrations (10,300 to 95,300  $\mu$ g/L) that exceeded the groundwater screening level (10,000  $\mu$ g/L). During this investigation and the previous investigations, the groundwater samples from all of the other wells did not contain nitrate concentrations greater than 3,130  $\mu$ g/L. Based on the groundwater sampling results, the source of the elevated nitrate concentrations at MW-7 and MW-8 is likely MSW; therefore, nitrate was retained as a groundwater IHS. Based on the greater nitrate concentrations in the samples collected from MW-7 and MW-8 in February 2010, it appears that a slug of nitrate was leached from the landfill area when extinguishing the September 2009 log fire with a significant volume of water.
- The nitrate concentrations above the screening level likely extend beyond the southern end of the sawmill property; however, the elevated concentrations do not appear to extend beyond the neighboring JELD-WEN property.
- During the November 2009 groundwater sampling event, the groundwater samples from upgradient wells MW-11 and MW-18 and from wells located near the downgradient end of the landfill (MW-7 and MW-8) contained pH values (6.34 to 6.47) that were more acidic than the groundwater screening level range (6.5 to 8.5). During the February 2010 groundwater sampling event, the groundwater samples from downgradient wells MW-7 and MW-8 contained pH values (6.23 to 6.47) that were more acidic than the screening level range. The pH values at MW-11 and MW-18 (6.50 and 6.57, respectively) were at the bottom of the acceptable range. The groundwater sampling results indicate that the primary source of the acidic groundwater conditions is located hydraulically upgradient of the MSW; however, the MSW is contributing slightly to the acidic conditions. Since the MSW is not the primary source of the acidic groundwater conditions, pH was eliminated as a groundwater IHS for the Yakima Landfill site.

- Groundwater monitoring data indicate that the groundwater flows to the south or southeast beneath the landfill. Groundwater level data from November 2009 and February 2010 indicate that groundwater flows generally to the southeast beneath the landfill; however, based on the distribution of several groundwater analytes (iron, arsenic, manganese, and sodium), it appears that the groundwater beneath the landfill area has historically flowed to the south-southeast.
- Groundwater level data and geochemical data indicate that a source of groundwater recharge (such as the stormwater pond or a leaking underground water line) is present near MW-13. Groundwater derived from this recharge source affects groundwater flow and geochemistry beneath the landfilled area.

### LIMITATIONS

The services described in this report were performed consistent with generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. No other warranty, express or implied, is made. These services were performed consistent with our agreement with our client. This report is solely for the use and information of our client unless otherwise noted. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk.

Opinions and recommendations contained in this report apply to conditions existing when services were performed and are intended only for the client, purposes, locations, time frames, and project parameters indicated. We are not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to performance of services. We do not warrant the accuracy of information supplied by others, nor the use of segregated portions of this report.

#### REFERENCES

- City of Yakima. 1996. Interstate I-82 Gateway Project January 11, 1996 Meeting Regarding Landfill and Wetland Issues. Letter to Washington Department of Ecology. January 22.
- Landau Associates, Inc. 1998. Hydrogeologic Study and Groundwater Monitoring Plan, Boise Cascade Yakima Wood Products Complex, Yakima, Washington. November 5.
- Parametrix. 2008. Draft Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Former City of Yakima Municipal Landfil, Yakima, Washington. June.
- SLR International Corp. 2009a. Remedial Investigation Report, Closed City of Yakima Landfill Site, Yakima, Washington. October 12.
- SLR International Corp. 2009b. Additional Investigation Work Plan, Closed City of Yakima Landfill Site, Yakima, Washington. October 14.
- URS Corporation. 2003. Final Report Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, Boise Building Solutions Plywood and Saw Mill, Yakima, Washington. November 3.
- Washington Department of Ecology. 1993. Chapter 173-351 WAC, Criteria for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. October 26.
- Washington Department of Ecology. 1996. Comments on the Boise Cascade Yakima Facility Industrial Residuals Landfill Closure and Monitoring Plan. Letter to Yakima County Health District. February 27.
- Washington Department of Ecology. 2001. Model Toxics Control Act Cleanup Regulation, Chapter 173-340 WAC. Amended February 12.
TABLES

## Table 1 Combustible Gas Survey Results Closed City of Yakima Landfill Yakima, Washington

Call Manag		Gas Conce	ntration <sup>a</sup> (%)	1	
Soil Vapor Probe ID	Date	Combustible Gas	Carbon	Oxygen	
Probe ID		(Presumably Methane)	Dioxide		
GP-3	2/24/2009	19.5	14.8	0.0	
	4/17/2009	17.8	12.0	0.3	
	11/5/2009	13.7	15.8	0.0	
	2/3/2010	13.2	12.2	0.0	
GP-4	2/25/2009	22.4	9.2	0.0	
	4/17/2009	21.6	11.9	0.0	
	11/5/2009	37.2	17.1	0.0	
	2/3/2010	37.8	10.2	0.5	
GP-5	2/25/2009	17.6	13.7	0.0	
	4/17/2009	16.2	12.7	0.0	
	11/5/2009	27.2	17.2	0.8	
	2/3/2010	19.9	13.5	0.0	
GP-6	2/25/2009	0.1	12.7	6.1	
	4/17/2009	0.2	11.3	8.5	
	11/5/2009	0.0	18.4	3.9	
	2/3/2010	0.0	13.4	5.6	
GP-7	2/25/2009	0.0	1.8	19.2	
	4/17/2009	0.1	2.7	19.4	
	11/5/2009	0.0	1.8	19.2	
	2/3/2010	0.0	2.5	18.9	
GP-8	2/25/2009	0.0	3.8	15.3	
	4/17/2009	0.1	4.8	14.2	
	11/5/2009	0.0	2.9	17.9	
	2/3/2010	0.0	2.7	17.8	
GP-9	2/25/2009	0.1	2.0	17.5	
	4/17/2009	0.1	3.3	17.8	
	11/5/2009	0.0	3.1	18.3	
	2/3/2010	0.0	4.5	15.9	
GP-10	2/25/2009	22.6	16.8	0.0	
	4/17/2009	32.4	21.4	0.0	
	11/5/2009	41.3	31.4	1.5	
	2/3/2010	50.0	24.1	0.0	
GP-11	2/25/2009	58.5	33.9	0.0	
	4/17/2009	51.7	35.6	0.0	
	11/5/2009	57.4	39.0	0.0	
	2/3/2010	62.4	36.2	0.0	

#### Table 1 Combustible Gas Survey Results Closed City of Yakima Landfill Yakima, Washington

Call Manage		Gas Conce	Gas Concentration <sup>a</sup> (%)				
Soil Vapor Probe ID	Date	Combustible Gas (Presumably Methane)	Carbon Dioxide	Oxygen			
GP-12	2/25/2009	15.4	18.8	0.0			
	4/17/2009	21.3	21.1	0.0			
	11/5/2009	24.2	24.8	3.2			
	2/3/2010	28.1	23.3	0.0			
GP-13	2/25/2009	51.6	40.1	0.0			
	4/17/2009	53.7	43.1	0.0			
	11/5/2009	41.9	40.8	0.0			
	2/3/2010	45.4	39.9	0.0			
GP-14	4/17/2009	0.0	3.9	15.0			
	11/5/2009	0.0	4.2	16.3			
	2/3/2010	0.0	3.3	16.5			
GP-15	4/17/2009	0.0	2.0	18.5			
	11/5/2009	0.0	0.7	20.2			
	2/3/2010	0.0	1.1	19.4			
GP-16	4/17/2009	0.0	1.7	19.0			
	11/5/2009	0.0	1.3	19.7			
	2/3/2010	0.0	1.8	18.8			
GP-17	4/17/2009	0.2	1.5	19.6			
	11/5/2009	0.0	1.9	17.3			
	2/3/2010	0.0	1.3	19.1			
GP-18	4/17/2009	0.1	0.5	21.0			
	11/5/2009	0.0	0.7	20.4			
	2/3/2010	0.0	0.7	20.0			
GP-19	11/5/2009	61.3	39.8	0.0			
	2/3/2010	69.5	35.5	0.0			
GP-20	11/5/2009	65.9	35.8	0.0			
	2/3/2010	77.7	26.0	0.0			
GP-21	11/5/2009	69.3	25.7	0.0			
	2/3/2010	75.7	24.8	0.0			
GP-22	11/5/2009	43.1	43.2	0.0			
	2/3/2010	Not measured. Prob	e had been d	estroyed.			
Notes:							

Oxygen =  $O_2$ .

The lower explosive limit (LEL) and upper explosive limit (UEL) for methane are 5 percent by volume and 15 percent by volume, respectively.

<sup>a</sup> Concentrations were measured by using a CES/Landtec GEM-2000 multi-gas monitor.

#### Table 2 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results -Preliminary Indicator Hazardous Substances Closed City of Yakima Landfill Yakima, Washington

	_ 9	Analytical Result (µg/L)							
Well ID	Date <sup>a</sup>	рН <sup>ь</sup>	Arsenic	Iron <sup>c</sup>	Manganese <sup>c</sup>	Nitrate <sup>d</sup>	Sodium <sup>c</sup>	Vinyl Chloride <sup>e</sup>	
Lowest Groundwater Scr	eening Level <sup>f</sup>	6.5 to 8.5	0.06	300	50	10,000	20,000	0.03	
MW-7	2/6/2008	6.49	<50 <sup>g</sup>	37,500	2,520	<50	22,900	0.06	
	2/26/2009	6.28	3.83	23,700	1,950	1,610	19,300	< 0.03	
	11/4/2009	6.45	3.06	18,500	2,330	199	22,900	< 0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.47	0.39	22	1,590	10,300	28,600	<0.03 J	
MW37 (dupl. of MW-7)	2/4/2010	6.36	1.20	851	1,750	11,200	28,900	<0.03 J	
MW-8	2/6/2008	6.76	<50 <sup>g</sup>	12,200	2,340	200	33,800	0.034	
	2/26/2009	6.54	<1 <sup>g</sup>	3,330	2,380	14,400	27,000	< 0.03	
	11/4/2009	6.34	0.98 E	45	2,690	17,900	48,300	<0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.28	0.93	<20	6,290	95,300	52,600	<0.03 J	
MW38 (dupl. of MW-8)	2/4/2010	6.23	0.97	<20	6,210	94,700	51,800	<0.03 J	
MW-9A	3/25/2008	6.77	<50 <sup>g</sup>	270	872	1,410	15,700	<1 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/26/2009	6.69	<1 <sup>g</sup>	<10	<10	2,180	10,900	< 0.03	
`	11/4/2009	6.72	0.93 E	<20	13.3	3,130	11,100	<0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
`	2/4/2010	6.65	1.00	<20	<1	2,800	14,400	<0.03 J	
MW-11	2/26/2009	6.28	4.33	24,100	1,410	33	15,300	< 0.03	
	11/4/2009	6.47	4.80	35,400	1,890	27	17,300	<0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.50	3.01	7,200	1,610	28	20,100	<0.03 J	
MW-12	2/26/2009	6.01	<1 <sup>g</sup>	7,600	503	14	10,300	< 0.03	
	11/4/2009	6.53	2.01	5,840	745	16	13,300	<0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.34	0.87	3,000	767	24	16,700	<0.03 J	
MW-13	2/26/2009	6.49	<1 <sup>g</sup>	3,650	649	18	10,700	< 0.03	
	11/4/2009	6.85	0.36 E	1,550	287	26	7,760	< 0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	7.22	0.26	495	192	201	9,370	<0.03 J	
MW-14	11/5/2009	6.90	0.61 E	63	331	265	27,800	< 0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	7.19	0.32	<20	2.88	2,710	15,900	<0.03 J	
MW-15	11/5/2009	6.61	1.39	7,970	993	13	9,600	< 0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.66	0.71	876	1,080	15	11,300	<0.03 J	
MW-16	11/5/2009	6.76	0.77 E	<20	587	306	36,800	<0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.60	0.72	<20	917	18	23,800	<0.03 J	
MW-17	11/5/2009	6.50	2.15	16,800	2,150	27	23,400	<0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.67	0.85	1,750	2,580	806	27,800	<0.03 J	
MW-18	11/5/2009	6.36	6.75	26,100	4,450	35	38,400	<0.2 <sup>g</sup>	
	2/4/2010	6.57	2.08	4,910	5,360	134	21,700	<0.03 J	

Notes:

NE = Cleanup level not established.

NA = Not analyzed.

 $\mu$ g/L = micrograms per liter (ppb).

E = Value was reported by laboratory as an estimate because it is below the normal reporting limit.

J = Value was reported by laboratory as an estimate because it was analyzed outside of the recommended holding time. The sample initially did not contain a detectable concentration above a higher reporting limit (0.2  $\mu$ g/L), and the re-analysis to a lower reporting limit was outside of the holding time.

Values in **bold** exceed the groundwater screening level.

<sup>a</sup> Samples collected on 2/6/2008 by Parametrix. Samples collected on 2/26/2009, 11/4/2009, 11/5/2009, and 2/4/2010 by SLR.

<sup>b</sup> Samples collected on 2/6/2008 analyzed for pH by EPA Method 150.1. Samples collected on 2/26/2009, 11/4/2009, 11/5/2009, and 2/4/2010 analyzed for pH by EPA Method 9040C.

<sup>c</sup> Samples collected on 2/6/2008 analyzed for dissolved metals by EPA Method SW6010B. Samples collected on 2/26/2009, 11/4/2009, 11/5/2009, and 2/4/2010 analyzed for dissolved metals by EPA Method 200.8.

<sup>d</sup> Samples analyzed for nitrate by EPA Method 300.0.

<sup>e</sup> Samples analyzed for vinyl chloride by EPA Method 8260C.

<sup>f</sup> Groundwater screening levels were the lowest selected federal maximum contaminant level (MCL) for protection of drinking water or the lowest available state water quality criteria (WQC) for protection of surface water. If an MCL or a WQC were not available, then the screening level was obtained from the MTCA Method B equation for groundwater or surface water.

<sup>g</sup> Method reporting limit exceeded the screening level.

## Table 3 River Water Sample Analytical Results Closed City of Yakima Landfill Yakima, Washington

Sampling		Analytical Result (µg/L)					
Point ID <sup>a</sup>	Date	рН <sup>ь</sup>	Arsenic <sup>c</sup>	Iron <sup>c</sup>	Manganese <sup>c</sup>	Nitrate <sup>d</sup>	Sodium <sup>c</sup>
River-1109	11/5/2009	6.80	0.52 E	<20	6.96	171	5,020
RG1-0210	2/4/2010	8.04	0.45	<20	2.72	321	6,540
<ul> <li>Notes: μg/L = micrograms per liter (ppb). E = Value was reported by laboratory as an estimate because it is below the normal reporting limit.</li> <li><sup>a</sup>All river water samples were collected at river gauging point RG-1.</li> <li><sup>b</sup>Samples analyzed for pH by EPA Method 9040C.</li> <li><sup>c</sup>Samples analyzed for dissolved metals by EPA Method 200.8.</li> </ul>							

<sup>d</sup>Samples analyzed for nitrate by EPA Method 300.0.

## Table 4 Groundwater and River Water Monitoring Data Closed City of Yakima Landfill Yakima, Washington

Measuring Point	Elevation <sup>a</sup>	Data	Depth to Water <sup>b</sup>	Groundwater
ID	(feet)	Date	(feet)	Elevation (feet)
Groundwater Mon	itoring Wells			
MW-6	1059.68	7/28/1998	12.70	1046.98
		8/21/1998	12.39	1047.29
		9/21/1998	12.55	1047.13
		10/16/1998	13.34	1046.34
		10/10/2006	12.63	1047.05
		2/12/2007	14.20	1045.48
		2/7/2008	15.47	1044.21
		2/26/2009	14.94	1044.74
		4/17/2009	13.39	1046.29
		11/6/2009	14.20	1045.48
		2/1/2010	14.41	1045.27
MW-7	1049.05	7/28/1998	7.64	1041.41
		8/21/1998	7.68	1041.37
		9/21/1998	7.84	1041.21
		10/16/1998	8.45	1040.60
		10/10/2006	8.40	1040.65
		2/12/2007	10.06	1038.99
		2/7/2008	10.89	1038.16
		2/26/2009	10.66	1038.39
		4/17/2009	9.76	1039.29
		11/6/2009	9.51	1039.54
		2/1/2010	10.02	1039.03
MW-8	1051.59	7/28/1998	5.57	1046.02
		8/21/1998	5.54	1046.05
		9/21/1998	5.74	1045.85
		10/16/1998	6.19	1045.40
		2/6/2008	10.70	1040.89
		2/26/2009	10.97	1040.62
		4/17/2009	10.17	1041.42
		11/6/2009	8.77	1042.82
		2/1/2010	10.14	1041.45
MW-9A	1064.46	3/25/2008	16.85	1047.61
		2/26/2009	15.25	1049.21
		4/17/2009	12.19	1052.27
		11/6/2009	12.48	1051.98
		2/1/2010	13.80	1050.66
MW-11	1065.94	2/26/2009	20.70	1045.24
		4/17/2009	20.23	1045.71
		11/6/2009	19.41	1046.53
		2/1/2010	20.07	1045.87

## Table 4 Groundwater and River Water Monitoring Data Closed City of Yakima Landfill Yakima, Washington

Measuring Point ID	Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet)	Date	Depth to Water <sup>b</sup> (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
Groundwater Mon	itoring Wells (co	ontinued)		
MW-12	1068.53	2/26/2009	15.40	1053.13
		4/17/2009	15.34	1053.19
		11/6/2009	15.32	1053.21
		2/1/2010	15.41	1053.12
MW-13	1066.13	2/26/2009	10.87	1055.26
		4/17/2009	10.87	1055.26
		11/6/2009	10.49	1055.64
		2/1/2010	9.80	1056.33
MW-14	1041.39	11/6/2009	8.73	1032.66
		2/1/2010	8.41	1032.98
MW-15	1050.59	11/6/2009	13.12	1037.47
		2/1/2010	12.68	1037.91
MW-16	1046.84	11/6/2009	7.61	1039.23
		2/1/2010	9.36	1037.48
MW-17	1044.29	11/6/2009	6.19	1038.10
		2/1/2010	7.11	1037.18
MW-18	1063.85	11/6/2009	17.55	1046.30
		2/1/2010	18.03	1045.82
Yakima River Gau	ging Stations			
RG-1	1044.03	11/6/2009	3.56	1040.47
		2/1/2010	2.77	1041.26
RG-2	1041.96	11/6/2009	NM	
		2/1/2010	NM	
RG-3	1037.37	11/6/2009	3.75	1033.62
		2/1/2010	2.32	1035.05
RG-4	1033.42	11/6/2009	NM	
		2/1/2010	NM	

Notes:

<sup>a</sup> Elevations of top of well casings and river gauging points surveyed to NAVD 88 datum by Gray Surveying & Engineering, Inc., in February and November 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Depth to water measured from top of well casing or from river gauging point by using an electric water level meter.

NM = Not measured because river water was not present directly below the gauging station.

Wells MW-6, MW-7, MW-8, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, and MW-18 are completed above ground and the top of each well casing is approximately 3 feet above the ground surface. Wells MW-9A, MW-14, MW-15, MW-16, and MW-17 are flush-grade completions. The ground surface elevation at each well location is listed in Table 5.

# Table 5Monitoring Well Construction DetailsClosed City of Yakima LandfillYakima, Washington

	Top of	Ground	Depth to	Depth to	Depth to	Depth to	Top of	Base of	Top of	Bottom of				
	Casing Elevation	Surface Elevation	Top of Screen	Base of Screen	Top of Sand	Bottom of Boring	Screen Elevation	Screen Elevation	Sand Elevation	Boring Elevation				
XX7 11						0								
Well	(ft)	(ft)	( <b>ft</b> )	( <b>ft</b> )	( <b>ft</b> )	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)				
MW-6	1059.7	1056.9	9.5	19.0	6.5	20.0	1047.4	1037.9	1050.4	1036.9				
MW-7	1049.1	1046.0	4.8	14.8	3.5	15.3	1041.2	1031.2	1042.5	1030.7				
MW-8	1051.6	1048.6	4.5	14.8	3.5	15.0	1044.1	1033.8	1045.1	1033.6				
MW-9A	1064.5	1064.9	14.0	29.0	11.0	29.0	1050.9	1035.9	1053.9	1035.9				
MW-11	1065.9	1063.2	6.0	20.8	4.0	22.0	1057.2	1042.4	1059.2	1041.2				
MW-12	1068.5	1065.7	6.2	21.0	4.0	22.0	1059.5	1044.7	1061.7	1043.7				
MW-13	1066.1	1063.6	6.2	21.0	4.0	21.5	1057.4	1042.6	1059.6	1042.1				
MW-14	1041.4	1041.3	3.1	17.7	2.0	18.0	1038.2	1023.6	1039.3	1023.3				
MW-15	1050.6	1050.8	5.1	19.7	3.5	20.3	1045.7	1031.1	1047.3	1030.5				
MW-16	1046.8	1047.2	3.9	13.7	3.0	14.0	1043.3	1033.5	1044.2	1033.2				
MW-17	1044.3	1044.4	3.9	13.7	3.0	14.0	1040.5	1030.7	1041.4	1030.4				
MW-18	1063.9	1060.9	6.6	21.2	4.0	21.5	1054.3	1039.7	1056.9	1039.4				
Note:	Note:													
Elevation dat	a from surveys c	conducted by Gr	ay Surveying &	Engineering,	Inc., in Februar	ry and Novembe	er 2009. Elevati	Elevation data from surveys conducted by Gray Surveying & Engineering, Inc., in February and November 2009. Elevations relative to NAVD 88 datum.						

## Table 6 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Geochemical Indicators Closed City of Yakima Landfill Yakima, Washington

		Analytical Result						
Well ID	Date <sup>a</sup>	Alkalinity <sup>b</sup>	Chloride <sup>c</sup>	Sulfate <sup>c</sup>	Calcium <sup>d</sup>	Magnesium <sup>d</sup>		
		(mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)		
MW-7	2/6/2008	274	19.4	5.5	48.1	NA		
	2/26/2009	264	20.7	<1.0	39.9	15.0		
	11/4/2009	241	21.9	9.12	49.1	18.2		
	2/4/2010	263	24.1	1.40	52.4	17.9		
MW37 (dupl. of MW-7)	2/4/2010	264	23.6	<1.0	57.5	18.1		
MW-8	2/6/2008	306	32.8	5.6	39.1	NA		
	2/26/2009	284	32.8	3.02	35.4	15.6		
	11/4/2009	174	108	58.0	51.4	27.6		
	2/4/2010	187	111	53.3	118	54.5		
MW38 (dupl. of MW-8)	2/4/2010	188	112	55.0	109	54.2		
MW-9A	3/25/2008	127	15.6	17.9	29.4	NA		
	2/26/2009	118	15.2	7.9	26.6	8.57		
	11/4/2009	97.5	10.9	10.7	23.8	8.02		
	2/4/2010	118	13.1	12.7	26.8	8.92		
MW-11	2/26/2009	216	11.9	<1.0	30.0	10.7		
	11/4/2009	202	13.5	<1.0	44.8	14.5		
	2/4/2010	196	11.9	<1.0	31.6	11.0		
MW-12	2/26/2009	67.5	7.62	6.17	9.14	3.53		
	11/4/2009	84.0	6.96	<1.0	14.2	4.32		
	2/4/2010	98.4	10.6	3.68	17.4	5.67		
MW-13	2/26/2009	136	6.06	4.63	31.7	3.55		
	11/4/2009	72.4	6.26	1.89	19.1	1.83		
	2/4/2010	57.4	6.33	12.5	18.0	1.64		
MW-14	11/5/2009	117	35.4	12.1	17.3	8.29		
	2/4/2010	62.2	29.8	14.6	19.9	7.33		
MW-15	11/5/2009	123	8.27	<1.0	18.1	8.32		
	2/4/2010	128	10.9	<1.0	23.5	8.68		
MW-16	11/5/2009	190	28.5	110	49.4	18.6		
	2/4/2010	192	26.7	10.6	37.8	12.2		
MW-17	11/5/2009	236	18.0	<1.0	35.4	13.8		
	2/4/2010	284	22.3	3.12	47.8	16.5		
MW-18	11/5/2009	345	37.0	1.69	49.7	24.4		
	2/4/2010	356	19.7	<1.0	69.4	25.2		

Notes:

mg/L = milligrams per liter (ppm).

Values in **bold** exceed the groundwater screening level or are outside of the screening level range (pH only).

<sup>a</sup> Samples collected on 2/6/2008 by Parametrix. Samples collected on 2/26/2009 by SLR.

<sup>b</sup> Samples collected on 2/6/2008 analyzed for alkalinity by EPA Method SM 2320. Samples collected on 2/26/2009, 11/4/2009, and 11/5/2009 analyzed for alkalinity by EPA Method 310.1.

<sup>c</sup> Samples collected on 2/6/2008 analyzed for chloride by EPA Method 325.2 and sulfate by EPA Method 375.2. Samples collected on 2/26/2009, 11/4/2009, and 11/5/2009 analyzed for chloride and sulfate by EPA Method 300.0.

<sup>d</sup> Samples analyzed for calcium and magnesium by EPA Method 200.8.

FIGURES





TP-11 🛛	2009 TEST PIT LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
WW-12 🔶	2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
GP-5 🛞	2009 SOIL VAPOR PROBE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
SB-17 🌑	2009 SOIL BORING LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
MW-9 <b>P</b>	PRE-2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
GP-3 🕕	2008 SOIL VAPOR PROBE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
	PROPERTY LINE
	ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
	RAILROAD TRACKS
<u>× ×</u>	FENCE





GP-5 🕲	2009 SOIL VAPOR PROBE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
GP-3 🕕	2008 SOIL VAPOR PROBE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
	PROPERTY LINE
	ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
++++	RAILROAD TRACKS
<del>xx</del>	FENCE



GP-5 🛞	2009 SOIL VAPOR PROBE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
GP-3 🕕	2008 SOIL VAPOR PROBE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
(57.4%)	PERCENTAGE OF COMBUSTIBLE GAS (PRESUMABLY METHANE) BY VOLUME IN SOIL VAPOR SAMPLES COLLECTED ON NOVEMBER 5, 2009
	PROPERTY LINE
	ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
++++	RAILROAD TRACKS
_x_x	FENCE







MW-12 🔶 2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION

MW-8 PRE-2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION

- PROPERTY LINE

ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE 

+ RAILROAD TRACKS

— FENCE





#### FIGURE 6 CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA MUNICIPAL LANDFILL YAKIMA, WASHINGTON

GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS



CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA MUNICIPAL LANDFILL



MW-12 🕁	2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
MW-8	PRE-2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
(1,890)	DISSOLVED MANGANESE CONCENTRATION [IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER ( $\mu g/L$ )] IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTED ON NOVEMBER 4 OR 5, 2009
(NS)	NOT SAMPLED
	DISSOLVED MANGANESE ISOCONCENTRATION LINE (IN $\mu$ g/L)
$\rightarrow$	GENERAL GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
·	PROPERTY LINE
	ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
-+++	RAILROAD TRACKS
<del>-x -x</del>	FENCE

NOTE: THE SITE GROUNDWATER SCREENING LEVEL FOR MANGANESE IS 50  $\mu g/L$ .



CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA MUNICIPAL LANDFILL YAKIMA, WASHINGTON

FIGURE 8

DISSOLVED MANGANESE CONCENTRATIONS -NOVEMBER 2009



MW-12 🕁	2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
MW-8	PRE-2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
(35,400)	DISSOLVED IRON CONCENTRATION [IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER ( $\mu g/L$ )] IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTED ON NOVEMBER 4 OR 5, 2009
(NS)	NOT SAMPLED
	DISSOLVED IRON ISOCONCENTRATION LINE (IN $\mu g/L$ )
$\rightarrow$	GENERAL GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
· —	PROPERTY LINE
	ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
	RAILROAD TRACKS
<del>-x -x</del>	FENCE

NOTE: THE SITE GROUNDWATER SCREENING LEVEL FOR IRON IS  $300 \ \mu g/L$ .



FIGURE 9 CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA MUNICIPAL LANDFILL YAKIMA, WASHINGTON

DISSOLVED IRON CONCENTRATIONS -NOVEMBER 2009



MW-12 🕁	2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
MW-8	PRE-2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
(17,300)	DISSOLVED SODIUM CONCENTRATION [IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER ( $\mu g/L$ )] IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTED ON NOVEMBER 4 OR 5, 2009
(NS)	NOT SAMPLED
	DISSOLVED SODIUM ISOCONCENTRATION LINE (IN $\mu g/L$ )
$\rightarrow$	GENERAL GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
	PROPERTY LINE
	ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
	RAILROAD TRACKS
<del>-x -x</del>	FENCE

NOTE: THE SITE GROUNDWATER SCREENING LEVEL FOR SODIUM IS 20,000  $\mu g/L$ .



FIGURE 10 CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA MUNICIPAL LANDFILL YAKIMA, WASHINGTON

**DISSOLVED SODIUM CONCENTRATIONS -NOVEMBER 2009** 



- RG-2 RIVER GAUGING LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- MW-12 🔶 2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- MW-8 🕑 PRE-2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- (1,046.5) GROUNDWATER ELEVATION ON NOVEMBER 6, 2009

  - INFERRED GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR LINE (FEET)
- GENERAL GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION

  - ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE

    - GROUNDWATER AND YAKIMA RIVER ELEVATIONS WERE MEASURED NOVEMBER 6, 2009.



#### FIGURE 11 CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA MUNICIPAL LANDFILL YAKIMA, WASHINGTON

#### **GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP -NOVEMBER 2009**



- RG-2 RIVER GAUGING LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- MW-12 🔶 2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- MW-8 🕑 PRE-2009 MONITORING WELL LOCATION AND DESIGNATION
- (1,045.8) GROUNDWATER ELEVATION ON FEBRUARY 1, 2010

  - INFERRED GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR LINE (FEET)
- GENERAL GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION

  - ESTIMATED LIMITS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE

    - GROUNDWATER AND YAKIMA RIVER ELEVATIONS WERE MEASURED FEBRUARY 1, 2010.



#### FIGURE 12 CLOSED CITY OF YAKIMA MUNICIPAL LANDFILL YAKIMA, WASHINGTON

#### **GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP -FEBRUARY 2010**







## APPENDIX A SOIL BORING LOGS



SI	Г	R	Bothe	ll, Washi	enue SE ngton 98021			WELL N	UMBER MW-14 PAGE 2 OF 2		
SLR I	OLIA         Telephone: 425.402.8800           SLR International Corp         Fax: 425.402.8488										
CLIENT City of Yakima								PROJECT NAME Former City of Yakima Landfill			
PROJECT NUMBER _001.0221.00006								PROJECT LOCATION Yakima, Washington			
HLdJQ (#) 12.5	INTERVAL	TYPE	NAME	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS PER FOOT (N VALUE)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM		
	X	D&M		100	50/6"		$\frac{1}{2}$	SANDY GRAVEL, grayish brown, fine- to coarse-grained, some cobbles, little fine- to			
		D&M		100	50/6"	GP		coarse-grained sand, trace fines, very dense, damp to wet. <i>(continued)</i>	2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC 0.020"-slotted screen		
 17.5							000				
	Х	D&M		100	50/6"			18.0 1023.3	End cap		
<pre>WELL COMPLETION DETAILS: 0 to 3.1 feet: 2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC 0.020"-slotted screen. 3.1 to 17.7 feet: 2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC end cap. 0 to 1 feet: Concrete. 1 to 2 feet: Hydrated bentonite chips. 2 to 18 feet: 2x12 Colorado silica sand.</pre>											
	REMARKS D&M = Samples collected by using an 18-inch-long, 3.0-inch outside diameter Dames & Moore split-barrel sampler driven by a 300 lb. wireline hammer. $ \overline{Y} $ Water level at time of drilling.										



SLR MW LOG



 $\overline{V}$  Water level at time of drilling.

SLR MW LOG YAKIMA SOIL BORINGS GPJ GINT US GDT 12/9/09



SLR Int	SLR International Corp SLR International Corp										
CLIEN	т_(	City o	f Yakima					PROJECT NAME Former City of Yakima Landfil	PROJECT NAME Former City of Yakima Landfill		
PROJE	ЕСТ	NUM	BER 001	.0221.	00006			PROJECT LOCATION _Yakima, Washington			
(#) HLd∃Q 12.5	INTERVAL	ТҮРЕ	NAME	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS PER FOOT (N VALUE)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM		
		0&M		100	50/6"	SP	14.0	GRAVELLY SAND, brown, medium- to coarse-grained, some fine to medium gravel, trace fines, very dense, wet. <i>(continued)</i> 1033.2	End cap		
							14.0	Boring completed at 14.0 feet.	End cap		

#### WELL COMPLETION DETAILS:

0 to 3.9 feet: 2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC blank riser. 3.9 to 13.7 feet: 2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC 0.020"-slotted screen. 13.7 to 14 feet: 2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC end cap.

0 to 1.5 feet: Concrete.

1.5 to 3 feet: Hydrated bentonite chips. 3 to 14 feet: 2x12 Colorado silica sand.

#### REMARKS

D&M = Samples collected by using an 18-inch-long, 3.0-inch outside diameter Dames & Moore split-barrel sampler driven by a 300 lb. wireline hammer



Vater level at time of drilling.

(Continued Next Page)

SLR Intern	R anational O	Bothell	Washi one: 42	enue SE ngton 98021 5.402.8800 488			WELL N	UMBER MW-17 PAGE 2 OF 2
CLIENT	City o	f Yakima					PROJECT NAME _ Former City of Yakima Landfil	<u> </u>
PROJEC	T NUM	BER _001	.0221.	00006			PROJECT LOCATION _Yakima, Washington	
(#) INTERVAL	ТҮРЕ	NAME	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS PER FOOT (N VALUE)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM
	D&M		50	50/5"	GP		SANDY GRAVEL, gray, fine- to medium-grained, little fine- to coarse-grained sand, trace fines, wet. ( <i>continued</i> )	End cap
E	-I			L			Boring completed @ 14.0 feet.	
		PLETIO		TAILS: h. 40 PVC I	blook	ricor		

3.9 to 13.7 feet: 2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC blank riser. 13.7 to 14 feet: 2"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC 0.020"-slotted screen.

0 to 1.5 feet: Concrete. 1.5 to 3 feet: Hydrated bentonite chips. 3 to 14 feet: 2x12 Colorado silica sand.

#### REMARKS

D&M = Samples collected by using an 18-inch-long, 3.0-inch outside diameter Dames & Moore split-barrel sampler driven by a 300 lb. wireline hammer



(Continued Next Page)



abla Water level at time of drilling.

SLR MW LOG YAKIMA SOIL BORINGS GPJ GINT US GDT 11/19/09
ST	- 1		111		enue SE ington 98021				PRO	BE NUMBER GP-19
SLR In	ternat	L 🔪		none: 42	5.402.8800					
			f Yakima						PROJECT NAME Former City of Yakim	a Landfill
									GROUND ELEVATION 1060.71 ft	
									GROUND WATER LEVELS:	
								·		lev 1046 2 ft
	٦٢	ТҮРЕ	NAME	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS PER FOOT (N VALUE)		GRAPHIC LOG		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	PROBE DIAGRAM
						ww		WOOD W	ASTE, sawdust, bark, ash.	1000.0
						SM		SILTY SA	ND, dark reddish-brown, fine-grained, little fine gravel, very moist.	
2.5									AL SOLID WASTE, medium dense, moist.	
	C	0&M		30	30			@ 2.5 fee	t: Paper, wood, glass.	
		9&M		60	22			@ 5 feet: volume).	Fiber, wood, soil/decomposable (50% by	Hydrated bentonite chips
  		•&M		60	18	MSW		@ 7.5 fee by volume	t: Paper, plastic, soil/decomposable (20% e).	1"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC blank riser
 	D	&M		50	19			@ 10 feet (10% by v	: Paper, plastic, fiber, soil/decomposable olume).	- 2x12 Colorado silica sand pack
							$\bigotimes$			
	= Sa	mple	s collecter			inch-lo	<u>6000</u> 000, 3	0-inch outside dia	meter Dames & Moore split-barrel sampler	driven by a 300 lb. wireline hamme
3VV <u>-</u>				arning.	•					

(Continued Next Page)



#### REMARKS

D&M = Samples collected by using an 18-inch-long, 3.0-inch outside diameter Dames & Moore split-barrel sampler driven by a 300 lb. wireline hammer



(Continued Next Page)



 $\mathbf{\nabla}$  Water level at time of drilling.

-		Yakima					PROJECT NAME Former City of Yakima Landfil	
DATE ST DRILLING DRILLING	ARTED G DRILL G DRILL BY <u>C</u> .	ING CONT ING METH	IRAC	CO TOR Cas Hollow Ste	cade [ em Au	Drilling ger D BY _	PROJECT LOCATION Yakima, Washington         /5/09       GROUND ELEVATION 1063.38 ft       HOLE S         GROUND WATER LEVELS:       AT TIME OF DRILLING         AT END OF       AFTER DRILLING	
O DEPTH O (ft) INTERVAL	ТҮРЕ	NAME	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS PER FOOT (N VALUE)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	PROBE DIAGRAM
					ww		WOOD WASTE, wood chips, sawdust, damp.	Concrete
	D&M		100	37	SM		0       1060.4         SILTY SAND, dark gray, fine-grained, little fines, few fine gravel, dense, damp.         0       1059.4         MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE, medium dense, moist to damp.	
5.0	D&M		100	24			@ 5 feet: Brick, wood, metal, soil/decomposable (20% by volume).	bentonite chips
7.5	D&M		30	15	MSW		@ 7.5 feet: Plastic, metal, soil/decomposable (70% by volume).	<ul> <li>✓ 1"-diameter</li> <li>Sch. 40 PV</li> <li>blank riser</li> </ul>
0.0	D&M		15	16			@ 10 feet: Ash, fiber, paper.	+ 2x12 Colorado silica sand pack



 $\Sigma$  Water level at time of drilling.

SLR GP LOG YAKIMA SOIL BORINGS.GPJ GINT US.GDT 11/19/09

DRILLING DRILI DRILLING DRILI LOGGED BY <u>C</u>	Yakima BER <u>001.0221</u> 0 <u>11/2/09</u> LING CONTRAC LING METHOD C. Lee	.00006 COI CTOR Cas Hollow Ste	MPLETEI cade Dril em Auger	PROJECT NAME _Former City of Yakima L.         PROJECT LOCATION _Yakima, Washingto         1/2/09       GROUND ELEVATION _1063.30 ft       HC         GROUND WATER LEVELS:         Y AT TIME OF DRILLING _13.0 ft / Elev         AT END OF	n DLE SIZE <u>8.5" Diameter</u> 1050.3 ft
ODEPTH (ft) INTERVAL TYPE 0.0	NAME RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS PER FOOT (N VALUE)	U.S.C.S. GRAPHIC	AFTER DRILLING	PROBE DIAGRAM
2.5 2.5 D&M - - - - - - - - - - - - -	100 25 0 0 100	50/4" 50/3" 50/5" 21		WOOD WASTE, sawdust, trace fine gravel, damp to wet. @ 6.5 feet: Bark chips and sawdust. @ 7.5 feet: No recovery.	<ul> <li>Concrete</li> <li>Hydrated bentonite chips</li> <li>1"-diameter Sch. 40 PV blank riser</li> <li>2x12 Colorado silica sand pack</li> <li>1"-diameter Sch. 40 PV blank riser</li> </ul>

SI.R Int	R	Bothe	II, Washi hone: 42	renue SE ington 98021 5.402.8800 3488			PROBEI	NUMBER GP-22 PAGE 2 OF 2
CLIEN	T _Cit	of Yakima					PROJECT NAME Former City of Yakima Land	ill
PROJ	ECT N	JMBER 00	1.0221.	.00006			PROJECT LOCATION Yakima, Washington	······································
НЦ ( <del>1</del> ) 12.5	INTERVAL TYPE	NAME	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS PER FOOT (N VALUE)	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG		PROBE DIAGRAM
		м	100	28	ww		WOOD WASTE, sawdust, trace fine gravel, damp to ∑ wet. <i>(continued)</i> @ 13 feet: Becomes wet. 14.0 1049	End cap
							Boring completed at 14.0 feet.	
7.8 12.0 0 to 2 to 6 to	SOIL VAPOR PROBE COMPLETION DETAILS: +3.5 to 7.8 feet: 1"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC 0.020"-slotted screen. 12.6 to 12.9 feet: 1"-diameter Sch. 40 PVC end cap. 0 to 2 feet: Concrete. 2 to 6 feet: Hydrated bentonite chips. 6 to 13 feet: 2x12 Ookrado silica sand. 13 to 14 feet: Native slough.							
REN	IARK = San		ed by u	sing an 18-	inch-lc	ong, 3.	0-inch outside diameter Dames & Moore split-barrel sampler driven	by a 300 lb. wireline hammer
⊻wa	ater lev	el at time of	drilling	I.				

### **APPENDIX B**

# PLOT OF NITROGEN TO METHANE RATIOS AND CO $_2$ TO METHANE RATIOS IN SOIL VAPOR SAMPLES





0.5

APPENDIX C LABORATORY REPORTS



Lab #: Sample Name: GP-22-11 Company: SLR Inter Date Sampled: 11/05/200 Container: Cali-5-Bor Field/Site Name: Former Ya Location: Yakima, V Formation/Depth: Sampling Point:	national Corp 9 nd Bag akima Landfill		Job Co	#: 12209 o. Lab#:
Date Received: 11/09/200	9	Da	ate Reported:	12/07/2009
Component	Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide	nd		•	
Hydrogen Sulfide	nd			
Helium	nd			
Hydrogen	0.0100			
Argon	0.153			
Oxygen	0.0709			
Nitrogen	13.40			
Carbon Dioxide	44.20			
Methane	42.16	-58.00	-356.9	
Ethane	0.0006			
Ethylene	nd			
Propane	0.0003			
Iso-butane	0.0001			
N-butane	nd			
Iso-pentane	nd			
N-pentane	nd			
Hexanes +	0.0003			
Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60de Specific gravity, calculated:	g F & 14.7psia, 1.038	calculated:	428	



Lab #: Sample Name: GP-32-11 Company: SLR Inter Date Sampled: 11/05/200 Container: Cali-5-Bo Field/Site Name: Former Y Location: Yakima, M Formation/Depth: Sampling Point:	rnational Corp )9 nd Bag akima Landfill		Job Ca	9#: 12209 o. Lab#:
Date Received: 11/09/200	)9	Da	ate Reported:	12/07/2009
Component	Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide		-58.05	-360.8	
Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60de Specific gravity, calculated:	eg F & 14.7psia 1.035	, calculated:	430	



Lab #: Sample Name: GP-13-1 <sup>4</sup> Company: SLR Inte Date Sampled: 11/05/200 Container: Cali-5-Bo Field/Site Name: Former Y Location: Yakima, V Formation/Depth: Sampling Point:	rnational Corp 09 nd Bag ′akima Landfill		Job Co	#: 12209 b. Lab#:
Date Received: 11/09/200	09	Da	ate Reported:	12/07/2009
Component	Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide	nd			·
Hydrogen Sulfide	nd			
Helium	nd			
Hydrogen	0.0013			
Argon	0.172			
Oxygen	0.0718			
Nitrogen	16.34			
Carbon Dioxide	41.62			
Methane	41.79	-53.05	-353.0	
Ethane	0.0007			
Ethylene	nd			
Propane	0.0001			
Iso-butane	0.0001			
N-butane	nd			
Iso-pentane	nd			
N-pentane	nd			
Hexanes +	0.0003			
Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60de Specific gravity, calculated:	eg F & 14.7psia 1.025	, calculated:	424	



Date Sampled:11/05/2009Container:Cali-5-BonField/Site Name:Former YalLocation:Yakima, WFormation/Depth:Sampling Point:	ational Corp ) d Bag kima Landfill		Job C	9 #: 12209 o. Lab#: ,
Date Received: 11/09/2009		Da	ite Reported:	12/07/2009
Component	Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide	nd			
Hydrogen Sulfide	nd			
Helium	nd			
Hydrogen	nd			
Argon	0.0446			
Oxygen	0.226			
Nitrogen	4.10			
Carbon Dioxide	39.35			
Methane	56.28	-56.74	-353.8	
Ethane	0.0021			
Ethylene	0.0002			
Propane	0.0001			
Iso-butane	0.0002			
N-butane	nđ			
Iso-pentane	nd			
N-pentane	nd			
Hexanes +	0.0005			
Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60deg	F & 14.7psia,	calculated:	571	

Specific gravity, calculated: 0.952



Lab #: Sample Name: GP-20-1 Company: SLR Inte Date Sampled: 11/05/20 Container: Cali-5-Be Field/Site Name: Former V Location: Yakima, Formation/Depth: Sampling Point:	ernational Corp 09 ond Bag ⁄akima Landfill		Job Ci	9#: 12209 o. Lab#:
Date Received: 11/09/20	09	Da	ate Reported:	12/07/2009
Component	Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide	<ul> <li>nd</li> <li>nd</li> <li>nd</li> <li>0.0236</li> <li>0.366</li> <li>2.05</li> <li>35.46</li> <li>62.10</li> <li>0.0015</li> <li>0.0001</li> <li>0.0004</li> <li>0.0005</li> <li>0.0001</li> <li>nd</li> <li>nd</li> </ul>	-55.90	-348.9	
Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60d Specific gravity, calculated:		calculated:	630	



Company: SLR Date Sampled: 11/08 Container: Cali-8 Field/Site Name: Form	174612 9-110509 International Corp 5/2009 5-Bond Bag ler Yakima Landfill na, WA		Job Co	#: 12209 5. Lab#:
Date Received: 11/09	9/2009	D	ate Reported:	12/07/2009
Component	Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide				
Hydrogen Sulfide				
Helium				
Hydrogen	0.0229			
Argon	nd			
Oxygen	0.0279			
Nitrogen	0.072			
Carbon Dioxide	40.33			
Methane	59.54	-56.15	-353.4	
Ethane	0.0017			
Ethylene	0.0003			
Propane	0.0004			
Iso-butane	0.0005			
N-butane	nd			
Iso-pentane	nd			
N-pentane	nd			
Hexanes +	0.0013			
Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 6		calculated:	604	

Specific gravity, calculated: 0.944



Lab #: Sample Name: Company: Date Sampled: Container: Field/Site Name: Location: Formation/Depth Sampling Point:	GP-29-110 SLR Interna 11/05/2009 Cali-5-Bond Former Yak Yakima, W/	ational Corp I Bag kima Landfill		Job Co	#: 12209 o. Lab#:
Date Received:	11/09/2009		Da	ate Reported:	12/07/2009
Component Carbon Monoxid		Chemical mol. % nd	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Hydrogen Sulfide Helium Hydrogen Oxygen Oxygen Oxygen Oxygen Oxygen Carbon Dioxide Methane Ethane Ethylene Propane		nd nd 0.0223 nd 0.0785 1.35 39.80 58.74 0.0017 0.0003 0.0004 0.0006	-56.05	-352.7	
N-butane Iso-pentane N-pentane Hexanes + Total BTU/cu.ft. d Specific gravity, c	  ry @ 60deg	0.0002 0.0002 0.0003 0.0017	calculated:	596	

nd = not detected. na = not analyzed. Isotopic composition of carbon is relative to VPDB. Isotopic composition of hydrogen is relative to VSMOW. Calculations for BTU and specific gravity per ASTM D3588. Chemical compositions are normalized to 100%. Mol. % is approximately equal to vol. %. Chemical analysis based on standards accurate to within 2%

1308 Parkland Court Champaign, IL 61821-1826 | 877.362.4190 217.398.3490 217.398.3493 Fax | www.isotechlabs.com



Company:SLRDate Sampled:11/05Container:Cali-5Field/Site Name:Form	174614 1-110509 International Corp 5/2009 5-Bond Bag er Yakima Landfill na, WA		Job Co	9#: 12209 o. Lab#:
Date Received: 11/09	/2009	Da	ate Reported:	12/07/2009
Component	Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil	Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide				
Hydrogen Sulfide				
Helium				
Hydrogen	0.0080			
Argon				
Oxygen				
Nitrogen				
Carbon Dioxide	26.90			
Methane	66.88	-61.38	-346.7	
Ethane	0.0036			
Ethylene	0.0003			
Propane	0.0003			
lso-butane	0.0003			
N-butane	0.0001			
lso-pentane	nd			
N-pentane	0.0001			
Hexanes +	0.0013			
Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 6	0deg F & 14.7psia,	calculated:	679	
Specific gravity, calculat				

nd = not detected. na = not analyzed. Isotopic composition of carbon is relative to VPDB. Isotopic composition of hydrogen is relative to VSMOW. Calculations for BTU and specific gravity per ASTM D3588. Chemical compositions are normalized to 100%. Mol. % is approximately equal to vol. %. Chemical analysis based on standards accurate to within 2%

1308 Parkland Court Champaign, IL 61821-1826 | 877.362.4190 217.398.3490 217.398.3493 Fax | www.isotechlabs.com

Isotech Gas Data Job 12209 Former Yakima Landfill Yakima, WA

BTU	428 430 571 571 630 604 679
Specific Gravity	
۵DC1 %	-356.9 -356.9 -353.0 -353.8 -353.8 -353.4 -353.4 -353.4 -353.4
δ <sup>13</sup> C <sub>1</sub> ‰	-58.00 -58.05 -53.05 -53.05 -56.74 -56.15 -56.05 -61.38
MS date	0.0003 12/3/2009 -58.00 - 0.0002 12/3/2009 -58.05 - 0.0005 12/3/2009 -53.05 - 0.0004 12/3/2009 -56.74 - 0.00013 12/3/2009 -56.15 - 0.0013 12/3/2009 -56.05 - 0.00117 12/3/2009 -56.05 - 0.00113 12/3/2009 -56.05 - 0.00113 12/3/2009 -51.38 -
% °°+	0.0002 0.0002 0.0003 0.0005 0.0013 0.0013 0.0013
nC5 %	0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000
š š	0 0
nC₄ %	0 0.0002 0 0.0001 0.0002 0.0002
% <u>(</u>	0.0001 0 0.0002 0.0002 0.0001 0 0.0005 0.0001 0.0005 0 0.0005 0 0.0003 0.0001
చ్ %	0.0003 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0004 0.0003
చ్ %	0.0006 ( 0.0007 ( 0.0007 ( 0.0015 ( 0.0017 ( 0.0036 (
ភ %	42.16 42.42 41.79 56.28 62.10 59.54 58.74 66.88
8%	00000000
$^{N}_{2}$	13.40 13.44 16.34 4.10 2.05 0.072 1.35 6.03
°202 %	44.20 43.88 39.35 35.46 40.33 39.80 26.90
° 2%	0.0709 0.108 0.0718 0.0718 0.226 0.366 0.0279 0.0785 0.0785
Ar %	0.0100 0.153 0.0109 0.137 0.0013 0.172 0 0.0246 0 0.0236 0.0229 0 0.0223 0 0.0223 0
H %	0.0100 0.0139 0.0013 0 0.0229 0.0223 0.0080
₽ %	00000000
GC date	11/9/2009 11/1/2009 11/30/2009 11/30/2009 12/1/2009 12/1/2009 12/2/2009 12/2/2009
Sample Name	174607       GP-22-110509       11/9/2009       0       0.0100       0.153       0.0709       44.20       1         174608       GP-32-110509       11/11/2009       0       0.0109       0.137       0.108       43.28       1         174608       GP-32-110509       11/11/2009       0       0.0109       0.137       0.108       43.88       1         174609       GP-13-110509       11/30/2009       0       0.0013       0.172       0.0718       41.62       1         174610       GP-11-110509       11/30/2009       0       0       0.0446       0.256       39.35         174611       GP-20-110509       12/1/2009       0       0       0.0226       0.366       35.46         174613       GP-29-110509       12/1/2009       0       0.0229       0       0.0779       40.33       G         174613       GP-29-110509       12/2/2009       0       0.0223       0       0.07765       39.80         174614       GP-21-110509       12/2/2009       0       0.0223       0       0.07765       39.80         1744614       GP-21-110509       12/2/2009       0       0.00223       0       0.1722       26.90 <td< td=""></td<>
lsotech Lab No.	174607 174608 174609 174610 174611 174612 174613 174613

Chemical analysis based on standards accurate to within 2%

Send Dat: Name: Company: Address: Phone: Fax: Email: <b>San</b>	and Invoice to Mike Staton SLR International 22122 204h Ave Bothell, WA 9803 (425) 402-8800 (425) 402-8800 (425) 402-8488 mstaton Celrcorp. 0 mstaton Celrcorp. 0	Corp SE H-150	Project: Location: Sampled by: Circle one: Standard Priority Rush		Former Vakima Landfill Vakima, WA Chris Lee Analysis Package Covers on Back Analyses Requested	Afrill Odrs on Back	A ISOT BOTE listed-Latricatories Inc 1338 Parkland Court Champaign, IL 61821 Phone 117-398-3493 Fax 117-398-3493 Fax 127-398-3493 Awy 15015-14125-1201	<b>ISOTECH</b> dech Latratedates Inc 1308 Parkland Count Jiampaign, IL, 61821 Jiampaign, Jiampaign, J	-
Number		Date Sampled	Time				Comments		
N/A	69-22 - 110509	11/5/2009	12:10	75	,	Lid Ar o	Onecille fallor	Allower the second in	
4/4	GP-32-110509		56.61	*		s		Sisting of the	
2/2	GP-13-110509		12:35	*		-			
<u>4</u> .	GP-11-110509		12:50	*					
女 2	69-20-110509		13:05	**					
21/4	69-19-110509		13:15	*					
2	GP-29-110509		13:25	*					
<u>2/4</u>	68-21-110509	>	13:40	杰					
							>	*	
1									
Y Noose	onalyze according	to discussions	rs via email.	iail.					
Ch	Chain-of-Custody Record	ecord							
		0			Company		Date	Time	
Relinquished by	ed by Chris Log	ة. ل.		SLT				12/10	
Received by	Υ				1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1				
Relinquished by	ýd by								
Received by	X								
Relinquished by	id by								
Received by									
						_			

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 16, 2009

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the amended results from the testing of material submitted on November 5, 2009 from the 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911035 project. Per your request, the arsenic results were lowered to the method detection limit.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1120R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 20, 2009

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 5, 2009 from the 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911035 project. There are 28 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1120R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 5, 2009 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911035 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

SLR International Corp.
MW-7-1109
MW-8-1109
MW-13-1109
MW-9A-1109
MW-12-1109
MW-11-1109
Trip Blank

The samples were sent to Aquatic Research for nitrate, chloride, sulfate, alkalinity, Ca, Na, Fe, and Mg analyses. Review of the enclosed report indicates that all quality assurance was acceptable.

.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/05/09 Project: 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911035 Date Extracted: NA Date Analyzed: 11/05/09

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>pH</u>
MW-7-1109 911035-01	6.45
MW-8-1109 911035-02	6.34
MW-13-1109 911035-03	6.85
MW-9A-1109 911035-04	6.72
MW-12-1109 911035-05	6.53
MW-11-1109 911035-06	6.47

# Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-7-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	<b>)</b>	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-01 911035-01.058 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 109	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		

Arsenic

3.06

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-7-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-01 10x 911035-01 10x.036 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 97	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,330		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-8-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-02 911035-02.059 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 104	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		0.98 j		

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-8-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-02 10x 911035-02 10x.037 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 93	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,690		

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-13-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-03 911035-03.060 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard Indium	:	% Recovery: 100	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		0.36 j		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-13-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-03 10x 911035-03 10x.038 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 93	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		287		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-9A-110 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-04 911035-04.061 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 109	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		0.93 j		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-9A-110 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	99	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-04 10x 911035-04 10x.039 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 100	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		13.3		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-12-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-05 911035-05.062 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard Indium	:	% Recovery: 106	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		2.01		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-12-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-05 10x 911035-05 10x.040 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 99	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	С	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		745		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-11-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-06 911035-06.063 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 110	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		4.80		
Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-11-1109 11/05/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-06 10x 911035-06 10x.043 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
--	---	-----------------------------	--	--
Internal Standard Germanium	:	% Recovery: 98	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	C	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		1,890		

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill I9-481 mb I9-481 mb.047 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard Indium	: % Recovery: 106	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic	<0.15 j		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill I9-481 mb I9-481 mb.031 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium	% Recovery: 83	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese	<1		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-7-1109 11/05/09 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-01 110509.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	106	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-8-1109 11/05/09 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-02 110511.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	105	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	98	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-13-1109 11/05/09 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	<b>)</b>	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-03 110512.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	108	65	127
Toluene-d8		103	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	102	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.2		

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-9A-110 11/05/09 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	99	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-04 110513.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	104	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.2		

.

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-12-1109 11/05/09 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-05 110514.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	101	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

1

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-11-110 11/05/09 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-06 110515.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	103	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	98	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Trip Blank 11/05/09 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911035-07 110508.D GCMS5 VM
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Lower Limit:	Upper Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	- d4	<sup>70</sup> Recovery. 106	65	127
Toluene-d8	-44	100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ano	97	03 77	156
4 DI OINOITUOI ODCI12	Ane	51	11	150
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bla Not Applic 11/05/09 11/05/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 091560 mb 110507.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	107	65	127
Toluene-d8		103	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.2		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/05/09 Project: 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911035

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code:	911035-02 (Du	plicate)		
	Sample	Duplicate	<b>Relative</b> Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
pН	6.34	6.33	0	0-20

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 911050-01 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Relative Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm	0-20
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	6.96	7.27	4	0-20

Laboratory Code: 911050-01 (Matrix Spike)

		Percent				
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria	
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	99	50-150	
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.96	97	50-150	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

-

			Percent	
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	92	70-130
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	70-130

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

### Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/05/09 Project: 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911035

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 911035-01 (D	uplicate)			
				<b>Relative</b> Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	<0.2	<0.2	nm

Laboratory Code: 911035-06 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	50	<0.2	125	35-159

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	50	109	118	53-131	8

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

Ic - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.



#### **AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED**

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FBI005-33	PAGE 1
REPORT DATE:	11/19/09	
DATE SAMPLED:	11/04/09	<b>DATE RECEIVED:</b> 11/05/09
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY	ANALYSIS OF SELECTEI	) PARAMETERS ON WATER
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN &	BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT N	IO. 911035

#### CASE NARRATIVE

Six water samples were received by the laboratory in good condition and analyzed according to the chain of custody. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of these samples. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on subsequent pages.

#### SAMPLE DATA

	ALKALINITY	SULFATE	CHLORIDE	NITRATE
SAMPLE ID	(mgCaCO3/l)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-7-1109	241	9.12	21.9	0.199
MW-8-1109	174	58.0	108	17.9
MW-13-1109	72.4	1.89	6.26	0.026
MW-9A-1109	97.5	10.7	10.9	3.13
MW-12-1109	84.0	<1.00	6.96	0.016
MW-11-1109	202	<1.00	13.5	0.027

CALCIUM	SODIUM	IRON	MAGNESIUM
(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
49.1	22.9	18.5	18.2
51.4	48.3	0.045	27.6
19.1	7.76	1.55	1.83
23.8	11.1	<0.020	8.02
14.2	13.3	5.84	4.32
44.8	17.3	35.4	14.5
	(mg/L) 49.1 51.4 19.1 23.8 14.2	(mg/L)         (mg/L)           49.1         22.9           51.4         48.3           19.1         7.76           23.8         11.1           14.2         13.3	(mg/L)         (mg/L)         (mg/L)           49.1         22.9         18.5           51.4         48.3         0.045           19.1         7.76         1.55           23.8         11.1         <0.020



#### **AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED**

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FB1005-33	P	AGE 2	<b></b>
REPORT DATE:	11/19/09			
DATE SAMPLED:	11/04/09	DATE RECEIVED:	11/05/09	
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY	ANALYSIS OF SELECTEI	D PARAMETERS ON WATER		
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN &	BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT N	NO. 911035		

#### QA/QC DATA

QC PARAMETER	ALKALINITY	SULFATE	CHLORIDE	NITRATE
	(mgCaCO3/l)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
METHOD	SM18 2320B	SM184500SO4E	SM18 4500CL-C	SM184500N03F
DATE ANALYZED	11/17/09	11/10/09	11/18/09	11/06/09
DETECTION LIMIT	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.010
DUPLICATE				
SAMPLE ID	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH	MW-11-1109
ORIGINAL	117	12.1	35.4	0.027
DUPLICATE	118	12.2	36.0	0.027
RPD	0.85%	0.63%	1.64%	2.62%
SPIKE SAMPLE	<u> </u>			
SAMPLE ID		BATCH	BATCH	MW-11-1109
ORIGINAL		12.1	35.4	0.027
SPIKED SAMPLE		22.1	45.6	0.218
SPIKE ADDED		10.0	10.0	0.200
% RECOVERY	NA	99.82%	101.66%	95.84%
QC CHECK				
FOUND	98.4	9.86	29.5	0.417
TRUE	100	10.0	30.0	0.408
% RECOVERY	98.40%	98.55%	98.40%	102.23%
Ĩ				
BLANK	NA	<1.00	<0.50	< 0.010

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE. NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TOO LOW RELATIVE TO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.



#### **AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED**

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FB1005-33	P	PAGE 3	
REPORT DATE:	11/19/09			
DATE SAMPLED:	11/04/09	DATE RECEIVED:	11/05/09	
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY	ANALYSIS OF SELECTED	PARAMETERS ON WATER		
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN &	BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO	). 911035		

#### QA/QC DATA

QC PARAMETER	CALCIUM SODIUM		IRON	MAGNESIUM
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
METHOD	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7
DATE ANALYZED	11/11/09	11/11/09	11/11/09	11/11/09
DETECTION LIMIT	0.100	0.500	0.020	0.100
DUPLICATE				
SAMPLE ID	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH
ORIGINAL	0.968	0.598	0.073	< 0.100
DUPLICATE	0.953	0.588	0.070	< 0.100
RPD	1.53%	1.64%	4.60%	NC
SPIKE SAMPLE			<b></b>	
SAMPLE ID	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH
ORIGINAL	0.968	0.598	0.073	<0.100
SPIKED SAMPLE	12.9	11.6	10.3	10.2
SPIKE ADDED	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
% RECOVERY	119.42%	110.50%	102.49%	102.01%
QC CHECK				
FOUND	9.95	9.26	1.02	9.51
TRUE	10.0	10.0	1.00	10.0
% RECOVERY	99.54%	92.58%	101.90%	95.12%
BLANK	<0.100	< 0.500	< 0.020	< 0.100

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE. NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TOO LOW RELATIVE TO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.

SUBMITTED BY:

Steven Lazoff Laboratory Director

4 4 <b>8 8 9 1</b> 1					Ø	ः ः • [					4.				Larb		<b>AB</b>	0	5		
	AI3	Page # of	Rush charges authorized by:	SAMPLE DISPOSAL oose after 30 days	<ul> <li>Return samples</li> <li>Will call with instructions</li> </ul>			Notes	14 ×1						log un		E TIME	00/ 60	09 8:53		
61	50	Page # / TURNAROUND X Standard (2 Weeks)	charges au	SAMPLE DISPO	<ul> <li>Return samples</li> <li>Will call with in</li> </ul>					F 					A CA	 	 DATE	0/2/11	11/5/0		°C
	60	TU X Stands	Rush	Dis	D Ret	ED		SIFE		>		>		~		 	 ANY	0	5	•	ved at O
•	-5-11	P0#				ANALYSES REQUESTED	Lini	+11+ Hd +120143 =+00411	$\begin{array}{c} \chi \\ X \end{array}$	イイ	X X	XX	X X	X X			 COMPANY	206	18		Samples received at O
	ME	X				VALYSES	5/* 0/28	<b>Dis- Auf</b> HFS SVOCs by	X	$\sim$	$\times$	X	X	X		 					Sampl
	STODY	Les la	<i>u</i>	in field	۱ M م	A	0928	BTEX by 8	×	×	$\boldsymbol{\times}$	X	X	X		 	 ME	Kom	Phays	·51	
	OF CUS	tature)	Land Fill	illered	Fe, Mg			o TPH-Die TPH-Gaso									 PRINT NAME	ンバス			
ļr&der	CHAIN	SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO.	Yakima	REMARKS Dis. Metals filtered in field	As, Ca, Na, Fe, Wg, M.			# of containers	5					$\rightarrow$				ك	Nhan		
•	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	SAMPI			<b>A</b>			Sample Type	CqW		-			>	3			J			<u> </u>
		Hatou	MStaton Sciecorp.con					Time Sampled	650	935	± 00,	00	1150	£271	1		SIGNATURE	25	anus		
	·	Mile Station	15taton (		#			Date Sampled	11/4/09	_			-	$\rightarrow$	l		SIGN	Mr)	mante	ted by:	ıy:
	• .	, X	ح		800 Fax 1			Lab ID	81 A·E	€ 95	03 PE	JU JU	A A A B A	A C	64		 Relinguished hu		Kecetved by:	Relinquished by:	Received by:
	911035	Send Report To Company	Address	City, State, ZIP	Phone # (425) 402-8800 Fax #			Sample ID	6011-E-MW	MW-8-1109	MW-13-1109	MW-9A-1109	MW-12-1109	MW-11-1109	ThipBlank	1	Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	out automatic mest	Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Ph. (206) 285-8282 •	Fax (206) 283-5044

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 16, 2009

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the amended results from the testing of material submitted on November 6, 2009 from the 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 project. Per your request, the arsenic results were lowered to the method detection limit.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1120R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 20, 2009

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 6, 2009 from the 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 project. There are 24 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1120R.DOC

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 6, 2009 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>SLR International Corp.</u>
911051-01	MW-18-1109
911051-02	MW-17-1109
911051-03	MW-16-1109
911051-04	MW-15-1109
911051-05	MW-14-1109

The samples were sent to Aquatic Research for nitrate, chloride, sulfate, alkalinity, Ca, Na, Fe, and Mg analyses. Review of the enclosed report indicates that all quality assurance was acceptable.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/06/09 Project: 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 Date Extracted: NA Date Analyzed: 11/06/09

### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>рН</u>
MW-18-1109 911051-01	6.36
MW-17-1109 911051-02	6.50
MW-16-1109 911051-03	6.76
MW-15-1109 911051-04	6.61
MW-14-1109 911051-05	6.90

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-18-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	i	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-01 911051-01.052 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 107	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	C	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		6.75		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-18-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-01 10x 911051-01 10x.044 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 95	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		4,450		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-17-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-02 911051-02.053 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 106	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		2.15		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-17-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-02 10x 911051-02 10x.045 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard Germanium	:	% Recovery: 94	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	(	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		2,150		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-16-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-03 911051-03.055 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 101	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		0.77 ј		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-16-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-03 10x 911051-03 10x.046 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 95	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	(	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		587		

8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-15-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-04 911051-04.056 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard Indium	•	% Recovery: 107	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	С	oncentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		1.39		

## Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-15-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-04 10x 911051-04 10x.047 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium	(	% Recovery: 96	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	С	oncentration ug/L (ppb)		

Manganese

993

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-14-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-05 911051-05.057 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium		% Recovery: 102	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	(	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic		0.61 j		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-14-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-05 10x 911051-05 10x.048 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Germanium		% Recovery: 93	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	(	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese		331		

12

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 11/10/09 11/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 I9-481 mb I9-481 mb.047 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard: Indium	% Recovery: 106	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic	<0.15 j		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 I9-481 mb I9-481 mb.031 ICPMS1 BTB, AP
Internal Standard Germanium	: % Recovery: 83	Lower Limit: 60	Upper Limit: 125
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese	<1		

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-18-1109 11/06/09 11/06/09 11/06/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-01 110556.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	103	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	101	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		
Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-17-1109 11/06/09 11/06/09 11/06/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-02 110558.D GCMS5 VM
---	---	---------------	--	--
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	103	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	97	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-16-1109 11/06/09 11/06/09 11/06/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-03 110559.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	100	65	127
Toluene-d8		99	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	97	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-15-1109 11/06/09 11/06/09 11/06/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-04 110560.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	106	65	127
Toluene-d8		102	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW-14-110 11/06/09 11/06/09 11/06/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	9	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 911051-05 110561.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	104	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	98	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

.

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bla Not Applica 11/06/09 11/06/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051 091562 mb 110547.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	104	65	127
Toluene-d8		102	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	104	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/06/09 Project: 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code:	atory Code: 911035-02 (Duplicate)							
	Sample	Duplicate	<b>Relative</b> Percent	Acceptance				
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria				
pН	6.34	6.33	0	0-20				

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/06/09 Project: 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 911050-01 (Duplicate)

5		Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm	0-20
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	6.96	7.27	4	0-20

Laboratory Code: 911050-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	99	50-150
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.96	97	50-150

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	92	70-130
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	70-130

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/06/09 Project: 001.0221.00006, F&BI 911051

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 911051	-01 (Duplicate)			
·	-			<b>Relative</b> Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	< 0.2	< 0.2	nm

Laboratory Code: 911036-01 (Matrix Spike)

<b>v</b>	•			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	50	< 0.2	120	35-159

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	50	129	131	53-131	2

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.



LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FB1005-35	PAG	E 1
REPORT DATE:	11/19/09		
DATE SAMPLED:	11/05/09	DATE RECEIVED:	11/06/09
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANAL	YSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETE	RS ON WATER	
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA	A, INC. / PROJECT NO. 911051		

#### CASE NARRATIVE

Five water samples were received by the laboratory in good condition and analyzed according to the chain of custody. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of these samples. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on subsequent pages.

#### SAMPLE DATA

	ALKALINITY	SULFATE	CHLORIDE	NITRATE
SAMPLE ID	(mgCaCO3/I)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-18-1109	345	1.69	37.0	0.035
MW-17-1109	236	<1.00	18.0	0.027
MW-16-1109	190	110	28.5	0.306
MW-15-1109	123	<1.00	8.27	0.013
MW-14-1109	117	12.1	35.4	0.265

		DISSOLVEI	O METALS	
	CALCIUM	SODIUM	IRON	MAGNESIUM
SAMPLE ID	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-18-1109	49.7	38.4	26.1	24.4
MW-17-1109	35.4	23.4	16.8	13.8
MW-16-1109	49.4	36.8	< 0.020	18.6
MW-15-1109	18.1	9.60	7.97	8.32
MW-14-1109	17.3	27.8	0.063	8.29



LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FB1005-35	P	PAGE 2	
REPORT DATE:	11/19/09			
DATE SAMPLED:	11/05/09	DATE RECEIVED:	11/06/09	
FINAL REPORT, LABORATOR	Y ANALYSIS OF SELECTED P	ARAMETERS ON WATER		
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN &	& BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO	. 911051		

#### QA/QC DATA

QC PARAMETER	ALKALINITY	SULFATE	CHLORIDE	NITRATE
	(mgCaCO3/l)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
METHOD	SM18 2320B	SM184500SO4E	SM18 4500CL-C	SM184500N03F
DATE ANALYZED	11/17/09	11/10/09	11/18/09	11/06/09
DETECTION LIMIT	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.010
		*******		
DUPLICATE				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SAMPLE ID	MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109	ВАТСН
ORIGINAL	117	12.1	35.4	0.027
DUPLICATE	118	12.2	36.0	0.027
RPD	0.85%	0.63%	1.64%	2.62%
SPIKE SAMPLE			4	
		<u>.</u>		
SAMPLE ID		MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109	BATCH
ORIGINAL		12.1	35.4	0.027
SPIKED SAMPLE		22.1	45.6	0.218
SPIKE ADDED		10.0	10.0	0.200
% RECOVERY	NA	99.82%	101.66%	95.84%
QC CHECK				
FOUND	98.4	9.86	29.5	0.417
TRUE	100	10.0	30.0	0.408
% RECOVERY	98.40%	98.55%	98.40%	102.23%
BLANK	NA	<1.00	<0.50	< 0.010

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE. NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT A VAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TOO LOW RELATIVE TO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.



LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

				. ,		
ASE FILE NUMBEI EPORT DATE:	R:	FBI005-35 11/19/09			PAC	GE 3
ATE SAMPLED:		11/05/09		DATE RECEIVE	D:	11/06/09
NAL REPORT, LABO	RATORY ANAL	YSIS OF SELECT	TED PARAMETE			
MPLES FROM FRIE	DMAN & BRUYA	, INC. / PROJEC	T NO. 911051			
QA/QC DATA						
QA/QC DATA		DISSOLVE	D METALS			
<b>QC PARAMETER</b>	CALCIUM	SODIUM	IRON	MAGNESIUM		
<b>X0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)		
METHOD	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7		
DATE ANALYZED	11/09/09	11/09/09	11/09/09	11/09/09		
DETECTION LIMIT	0.100	0.500	0.020	0.100		
DUPLICATE						
SAMPLE ID	MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109		
ORIGINAL	17.3	27.8	0.063	8.29		
DUPLICATE	17.5	27.8	0.060	8.42		
RPD	1.24%	0.28%	6.01%	1.60%		
SPIKE SAMPLE						
	MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109	MW-14-1109	L MW 14 1100		
SAMPLE ID ORIGINAL	17.3	MW-14-1109 27.8	0.063	MW-14-1109 8.29		
SPIKED SAMPLE	28.6	36.8	10.8	17.6		
SPIKE ADDED	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		
% RECOVERY	112.87%	90.02%	107.24%	93.35%		
QC CHECK						
FOUND	9.10	9.22	1.05	9.78		
TRUE	10.0	10.0	1.00	10.0		
% RECOVERY	90.97%	92.18%	104.74%	97.82%		

< 0.020

< 0.100

BLANK

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE. NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE.

NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TOO LOW RELATIVE TO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.

< 0.100

< 0.500

#### SUBMITTED BY:

Steven Lazoff Laboratory Director

11051			· ,	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	CHAIN	OF CU	STODY		MG	ME 11-6-09	60-0	_ : _	12/922
Send Report To Company <u>SLR - Mike Stathon</u> Address <u>MStathon @Suke</u>	Mi	ke Sta	Mike Station MStation @Sureare.com	· · ·	SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAMENO. OO'. OTT'. DOUN	ature) CLS NO. Land F.11	El IS	J.	F04		Part Part Part Part Part Part Part Part	Page #	of L
City, State, ZIP	L L	1			REMÁRKS Dis. mbls:		(akin, Iou, no Nanganese, sodiu	1 200	erium radi	, in the second se	S Dispo Retur	SAMPLE DISPOSAI Dispose after 30 days Return samples	POSAL ays
	L ax	+						NYAT VIC	Carl on			U Will call with instructions	actions
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel TPH-Gasoline	NOCs by 8260	HES BAOC <sup>2</sup> p <sup>2</sup> 8570	Chimine S and S C	1.012) (1057 1.012) (1057 1.012) (1057	Wiltede PH		Notes
1001-18-160	U 10 10	11/5/08	Oht !	COW	N		X		X	X			
60/1 - E1-MW			Sh&				X		XX	×			
MW- 16- 1109			925				${\color{black}{\times}}$		X	X			
MW-15-1109			0401				X		メズ	X			
MW-14-1109	20 10 10 10 10		1117	>	<b>→</b>		$\times$	<b>`</b>	X X	ト			
for the		4		J	AN A						P	й N	Sample
Friedman & Bruva. Inc.		SIGN	SIGNATURE			PRINT NAME	AME			COMPANY		DATE	TIME
	Relinquished by	shed by	LA K	1	10	huis	Krawe	2		SCA		1 /2/01	Ogh/
Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by: M Relinquished by:	by: M/ A	m/an	(m)	Y6V.	lan	Aran	2		Febr		1/2/00	0060
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	by:							Sar	nnlés r	Samnles received at	φ	
1										- AND AND			>

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 16, 2009

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the amended results from the testing of material submitted on November 6, 2009 from the 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911050 project. Per your request, the arsenic results were lowered to the method detection limit.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1120R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 20, 2009

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 6, 2009 from the 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911050 project. There are 7 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR1120R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on November 6, 2009 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911050 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SLR International Corp.
<b>A</b> <i>i i</i> <b>a a a</b> <i>i</i>	River-1109

Sample River-1109 was sent to Aquatic Research for nitrate, sodium, and iron analyses. The report is enclosed.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/06/09 Project: 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911050 Date Extracted: NA Date Analyzed: 11/06/09

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID Laboratory ID

<u>pH</u>

River-1109 911050-01

6.80

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

ŧ

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	River-1109 11/06/09 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill 911050-01 911050-01.033 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard Germanium Indium	:	% Recovery: 92 92	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Manganese Arsenic		6.96 0.52 j		

## Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 11/10/09 11/16/09 Water ug/L (ppb)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill I9-481 mb I9-481 mb.031 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard Germanium Indium	% Recove 83 85	Lower ery: Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:	Concentra ug/L (pp		
Manganese Arsenic	<1 <0.15	j	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/06/09 Project: 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911050

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code:	Laboratory Code: 911035-02 (Duplicate)									
	Sample	Duplicate	<b>Relative</b> Percent	Acceptance						
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria						
pН	6.34	6.33	0	0-20						

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/20/09 Date Received: 11/06/09 Project: 001.0221.00006 Yakima Landfill, F&BI 911050

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 911050-01 (Duplicate)

		Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm	0-20
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	6.96	7.27	4	0-20

Laboratory Code: 911050-01 (Matrix Spike)

		Percent					
		Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance		
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria		
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	<1	99	50-150		
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.96	97	50-150		

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	92	70-130	
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	70-130	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

Ic - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.

y - The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.



LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FBI005-34	PAGE	1				
REPORT DATE:	11/16/09						
DATE SAMPLED:	11/05/09	DATE RECEIVED:	11/06/09				
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY	FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER						
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & I	BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NC	. 911050					

#### CASE NARRATIVE

One water sample was received by the laboratory in good condition and analyzed according to the chain of custody. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of this sample. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on the subsequent pages.

#### SAMPLE DATA

	DISSOLVEI		
	SODIUM	IRON	NITRATE
SAMPLE ID	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
RIVER-1109	5.02	< 0.020	0.171



LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FBI005-34	PAGE	3
REPORT DATE:	11/16/09		
DATE SAMPLED:	11/05/09	DATE RECEIVED:	11/06/09
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY	ANALYSIS OF SELECTED	PARAMETERS ON WATER	
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN &	BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO	D. 911050	

#### QA/QC DATA

Qui QO Dinin		_	
	DISSOLVE		
QC PARAMETER	SODIUM	IRON	NITRATE
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
METHOD	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7	SM184500N03F
DATE ANALYZED	11/09/09	11/09/09	11/06/09
DETECTION LIMIT	0.500	0.020	0.010
DUPLICATE			
SAMPLE ID	BATCH	BATCH	ВАТСН
ORIGINAL	27.8	0.063	0.274
DUPLICATE	27.8	0.060	0.278
RPD	0.28%	6.01%	1.51%
SPIKE SAMPLE			
SAMPLE ID	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH
ORIGINAL	27.8	0.063	0.274
SPIKED SAMPLE	36.8	10.8	0.464
SPIKE ADDED	10.0	10.0	0.200
% RECOVERY	90.02%	107.24%	94.75%
QC CHECK			
FOUND	9.22	1.05	0.402
TRUE	10.0	1.00	0.408
% RECOVERY	92.18%	104.74%	98.55%
BLANK	< 0.500	< 0.020	< 0.010

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE.

NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TOO LOW RELATIVE TO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.

#### SUBMITTED BY:

Steven Lazoff Laboratory Director



www.isotechlabs.com

1308 Parkland Court Champaign, IL 61821-1826 | 877.362.4190 217.398.3490 217.398.3493 Fax

February 12, 2010

Michael Staton SLR International Corp 22122 20th Avenue SE Building H, Suite 150 Bothell WA 89021

Dear Michael,

Enclosed are the hard copy analysis reports for the samples submitted from the former Yakima Landfill site. These samples were assigned to Isotech job number 12512. These are the same data that were emailed to you earlier. I have also enclosed an updated figure illustrating certain characteristics of these gases, along with the gases from this site collected in November 2009

We will hold the samples until 3/5/10 in case you would want any additional analyses carried out, and will then dispose of the remaining sample material. If you need us to hold the samples longer, please contact us.

If you have any questions or if there is anything else we can do for you, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for choosing Isotech for your analysis needs, we appreciate your business.

Sincerely,

Steven & P. Sphay

Steven R. Pelphrey Laboratory Manager

Enclosures (7)



Lab #:		179378		Job	)#: 12512
Sample Name:	GP31-0203	10		Co	Lab#:
Company:	SLR Interna	tional Corp			
Date Sampled:	2/03/2010				
	Cali-5-Bond	Bad			
Field/Site Name:		-	andfill		
Location:	i onner Oity		anum		
Formation/Depth					
Sampling Point:					
Date Received:	2/04/2010		D	ate Reported:	2/12/2010
		Chemical	Delta 13C	Delta D	Delta 15N
Component		mol. %	per mil	per mil	per mil
Carbon Monoxide	9	nd	F		
Hydrogen Sulfide	;	nd			
Helium		nd			
Hydrogen	. Mai al al an	nd			
Argon		0.0594			
Oxygen		0.17			
Nitrogen		4.53			
Carbon Dioxide -		35.48			
Methane		59.75			
Ethane		0.0025			
Ethylene		0.0001			
Propane		0.0005			
Iso-butane		0.0004			
N-butane		0.0003			
Iso-pentane		0.0004			
N-pentane		0.0005			
Hexanes +		0.0026			
<b>T</b> ( ) <b>DT</b> ( )					

Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60deg F & 14.7psia, calculated: 606 Specific gravity, calculated: 0.917



Lab #:		179379		Job	)#: 1251	2
Sample Name:	GP33-0203				. Lab#:	<u> </u>
Company:	SLR Interna			00	. Lau <del>n</del> .	
Date Sampled:	2/03/2010	-				
Container:	Cali-5-Bond	•				
Field/Site Name	: Former City	of Yakima La	andfill			
Location:						
Formation/Depth	1:					
Sampling Point:						
Date Received:	2/04/2010		Da	ate Reported:	2/12/	2010
<b>0</b>		Chemical	Delta 13C	Delta D	Delta 15N	
Component		mol. %	per mil	per mil	per mil	
Carbon Monoxid	е	nd	por min	permi	permi	
Hydrogen Sulfide		nd				
Helium		nd				
Hydrogen		nd				
Argon		0.895				
Oxygen		0.088				
Nitrogen		74.64				
Carbon Dioxide -		11.21				
Methane		13.17				
Ethane		0.0004				
Ethylene		nd				
Propane		0.0001				
Iso-butane		0.0001				
N-butane		nd				
Iso-pentane		nd				
N-pentane		nd				
Hexanes +		0.0007				
Total BTU/cu ft o	drv @ 60dea	F & 14 7nsia	calculated.	133		

Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60deg F & 14.7psia, calculated: 133 Specific gravity, calculated: 0.979



Lab #:		179380			Job #:	12512
Sample Name:	GP11-0203	10			Co. Lab#	:
Company:	SLR Interna	tional Corp				
Date Sampled:	2/03/2010					
Container:	Cali-5-Bond	Bag				
Field/Site Name:	Former City	of Yakima La	Indfill			
Location:						
Formation/Depth						
Sampling Point:						
Date Received:	2/04/2010			Date Repor	ted:	2/12/2010
Component		Chemical	Delta 130	C Delta I	D Delt	a 15N
Component		mol. %	per mil	per mi		r mil
Carbon Monoxid	e	nd	•		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hydrogen Sulfide	)	nd				
Helium		nd				
Hydrogen		nd				
Argon		0.0562				
Oxygen		0.13				
Nitrogen		4.30				
Carbon Dioxide -		35.51				
Methane		60.00				
Ethane		0.0023				
Ethylene		0.0001				
Propane		0.0002				
Iso-butane		0.0003				
N-butane		0.0001				
Iso-pentane		0.0001				
N-pentane		0.0001				
Hexanes +		0.0017				
Total BTU/cu.ft. c	lry @ 60deg	F & 14.7psia,	calculated	: 609		

Specific gravity, calculated: 0.916



Lab #:		179381			Job	#·	12512
Sample Name:	GP13-0203					 Lab#:	12012
Company:	SLR Interna				00.	Luon.	
Date Sampled:	2/03/2010						
		Dee					
Container:	Cali-5-Bond	-					
Field/Site Name:	Former City	of Yakima La	andfill				
Location:							
Formation/Depth	l:						
Sampling Point:							
Date Received:	2/04/2010		[	Date Repor	ted:		2/12/2010
				-	_		
Component		Chemical	Delta 13C	Delta I		Delta	
		mol. %	per mil	per mi	 	per	mil
Carbon Monoxid		nd					
Hydrogen Sulfide		nd		•			
Helium		nd					
Hydrogen		nd					
Argon		0.173					
Oxygen		0.079					
Nitrogen		14.87					
Carbon Dioxide -		40.62					
Methane		44.26					
Ethane		0.0009					
Ethylene		0.0001					
Propane		0.0002					
Iso-butane		0.0001					
N-butane		nd					
Iso-pentane	. <b></b>	nd					
N-pentane		nd					
Hexanes +		0.0013					
Total BTI /ou ft. o	dry @ 60deg	E & 14 7psia	coloulatod	440			

Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60deg F & 14.7psia, calculated: 449 Specific gravity, calculated: 1.010



Lab #: Sample Name: Company: Date Sampled: Container: Field/Site Name: Location: Formation/Depth Sampling Point:	GP19-0203 <sup>-</sup> SLR Interna 2/03/2010 Cali-5-Bond Former City	itional Corp Bag	andfill		Job #: Co. Lab#	12512 ::
Date Received:	2/04/2010		D	ate Report	ted:	2/12/2010
Component		Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta D per mil		a 15N r mil
Carbon Monoxid	e	nd				
Hydrogen Sulfide	)	nd				
Helium		nd				
Hydrogen		0.0440				
Argon		0.0094				
Oxygen		0.15				
Nitrogen		0.69				
Carbon Dioxide -		35.37				
Methane	**	63.73				
Ethane		0.0029				
Ethylene		0.0005				
Propane		0.0003				
Iso-butane		0.0007				
N-butane		nd				
Iso-pentane	*********	nd				
N-pentane		nd				
Hexanes +		0.0012				
Total BTU/cu.ft. c	lry @ 60deg	F & 14.7psia	, calculated:	647		

Specific gravity, calculated: 0.899



Lab #: Sample Name: Company: Date Sampled: Container: Field/Site Name: Location: Formation/Depth	GP3-020310 SLR Interna 2/03/2010 Cali-5-Bond Former City	tional Corp Bag	andfill		Job : Co.	#: 12512 Lab#:
Sampling Point:						
Date Received:	2/04/2010		ſ	Date Repor	ted:	2/12/2010
Component		Chemical mol. %	Delta 13C per mil	Delta I per mi		Delta 15N per mil
Carbon Monoxide	e	nd				-
Hydrogen Sulfide	;	nd				
Helium		nd				
Hydrogen		nd				
Argon		0.893				
Oxygen		0.12				
Nitrogen		74.67				
Carbon Dioxide -		11.22				
Methane		13.10				
Ethane		0.0005				
Ethylene		nd				
Propane		0.0001				
Iso-butane		0.0001				
N-butane		nd				
Iso-pentane		nd				
N-pentane		nd				
Hexanes +		nd				
		<b>—</b> • • - •				

Total BTU/cu.ft. dry @ 60deg F & 14.7psia, calculated: 133 Specific gravity, calculated: 0.979



# Job 12512 Former City of Yakima Landfill Isotech Gas Data

BTU		606	133	609	449	647	133
Specific	Gravity	0.917	0.979	0.916	1.01	0.899	0.979
+ ပ <sup>e+</sup>	%	0.0026	0.0007	0.0017	0.0013	0.0012	0
nC₅	%	0.0005		0.0001		0	0
iC5	%			0.0001		0	0
nC₄	%	0.0003	0	0.0001	0	0	0
iC₄	%	.0004	.000	.0003	.0001	0.0007	
ပ်	%	0.0001 0.0005 0	0.0001	0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	0.0001
C₂H₄	%	0.0001	0	0.0001	0.0001	0.0005	0
ර	%	0 59.75 0.0025 0.	0.0004	0.0023	0.0009	0.0029	0.0005
ပ်	%	59.75	13.17	60.00	44.26	63.73	13.1
8	%	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{Z}_2$	%	4.53	74.64	4.30	14.87	0.69	74.67
$CO_2$	%	35.48	11.21	35.51	40.62 14.87 0	35.37	11.22
02 0	%	0.17		0.13	0.079 4	0.15	0.12
Ar	%	0.0594	0.895	0.0562	0.173	0.0094	0.893
$\mathrm{H}_2$	%	0	0	0	0	0.044	0
Чe	%	0	0	0	0	0	0
СС	date 9	2/05/2010	2/05/2010	2/05/2010	2/05/2010	• •	2/08/2010 0 0
Sample	Name	179378 GP31-020310 2/05/2010 0	GP33-020310	179380 GP11-020310 2/05/2010 0 0	GP13-020310	Ċ,	GP3-020310
Isotech	Lab No.	179378	179379	179380	179381	179382	179383

Chemical analysis based on standards accurate to within 2%

Send Dat	Send Data and Invoice to							<b>A</b> ISOTECH	) 
Name:	MIKE STATON			Former Cit	Forner City of Sakima Landhill	Landful	Isotech Laboratories, Inc.	iratories, Inc.	
Company	Company: SLR INT'L CURP		Location:	Yeskimo.	4		1308 Park	1308 Parkland Court	
Address:	22122 2014 AVE SE	11-150	Sampled by: CHRIS	CHRIS	LEE		Champaign, IL 61821	ı, IL 61821	
	BOTHELL WA 9802			Ϋ́́	Analysis Package Codes on Back	tes on Back	Phone: 217	Phone: 217-398-3490	
Phone:	(425) 403 - 5800		Circle one:		Analyses Requested	uested	Fax: 217-	Fax: 217-398-3493	
Fax:	8848 - Cot (5CH)		Standard				<u>www.isotec</u>	www.isotechlabs.com	*
Email:	metatone sircorp com		Priority		6		mail@isote	mail@isotechlabs.com	
San	Sample Description		Rush	15 AJus tissde					
Container Number	Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Tine	700 AD			Comments	(0)	-
	6831-020310	3/3/2010	0845	<u> </u>					
	6-33-03-03-0		098	X					
	GP11-030310		0915	X					
	6813-020310		1030	X					
	6019-020310		1120	X					
	603-030310	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	122	X					
		energi on the second							
0 0	Chain-of-Custody Record	ecord							
	🧳 È Signature	9			Company		Date	Time	
Relinquished by	id by			SUR			3/3/2010	1430	
Received by	A Construction of the second se	1.00 · 1.			the state of the s		and the second s		
Relinquished by	id by								
Received by									
Relinquished by	yd by						-		
Received by					Bandardi ya ya kata kata kata kata kata kata kat				
And a second		and the second	And a second	And the second se	A PARTY OF THE OWNER AND	out and a second second second	-		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

February 17, 2010

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on February 5, 2010 from the Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002062 project. There are 7 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR0217R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on February 5, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002062 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID	SLR International Corp.
002062-01	RG1-0210

The sample was sent to Aquatic Research for sodium and iron analyses. Review of the enclosed report indicates that all quality assurance was acceptable.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/17/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002062 Date Analyzed: 02/05/10

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID

<u>pH</u>

RG1-0210 002062-01 8.04
## Analysis For Dissolved Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	RG1-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002062 002062-01 002062-01.010 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 96 95	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.447 2.72		

.

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bla Not Applica 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002062 I0-0074 mb I0-0074 mb.008 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 90 90	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		<0.150 <1		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/17/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002062

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code:	002061-01 (Du	plicate)		
	Sample	Duplicate	<b>Relative</b> Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
pН	6.60	6.65	1	0-20

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/17/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002062

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 002062-01 (Duplicate)					
				Relative	
		Sample	Duplicate	Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	0.447	0.473	6	0-20
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	2.72	2.73	0	0-20

Laboratory Code: 002062-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria
Arsenic Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	10 20	0.447	124	50-150
manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.12	102	50-150

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	94	70-130
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	70-130

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc - The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



#### AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES 3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103 PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FBI005-95	PAC			
REPORT DATE:	02/15/10				
DATE SAMPLED:	02/04/10	DATE RECEIVED:	02/05/10		
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER					
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 002062					

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

One water sample was received by the laboratory in good condition. Analysis was performed according to the chain of custody received with the sample. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of this sample. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on the following page.

SAMPLE DATA		DISSOLVE	D METALS
	NITRATE	SODIUM	IRON
SAMPLE ID	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
RG1-0210	0.321	6.54	<0.020



#### **AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED** LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

			And a second	
CASE FILE NUMBER:	FBI005-95	PAGE	2	
REPORT DATE:	02/15/10			
DATE SAMPLED:	02/04/10	DATE RECEIVED:	02/05/10	
FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYS	IS OF SELECTED PARAMETER	RS ON WATER		
SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 002062				

#### QA/QC DATA

	1	DISSOLUT	DMETALS
QC PARAMETER	NITRATE	SODIUM	IRON
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
METHOD	SM184500N03F	EPA 200,7	EPA 200.7
DATE ANALYZED	02/05/10	02/12/10	02/12/10
DETECTION LIMIT	0.010	0.500	0.020
DUPLICATE			
SAMPLE ID	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH
ORIGINAL	0.232	23.8	<0.020
DUPLICATE	0.218	23.7	<0.020
RPD	6.32%	0.63%	NC
SPIKE SAMPLE			
SAMPLE ID	BATCH	BATCH	BATCH
ORIGINAL	0.232	23.8	<0.020
SPIKED SAMPLE	<u>0.437</u>	34.4	9.26
SPIKE ADDED	0.200	10.0	10.0
% RECOVERY	102.37%	105.39%	92.59%
OC CHECK			
<b>X</b> +			
FOUND	0,408	9.40	9.31
TRUE	0.408	10.0	10.0
% RECOVERY	100.06%	93.96%	93.06%
e v ander v tada za		L	
BLANK	<0.010	<0.500	<0.020
2/2/2 22 12 X			

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE.

NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TO LOW RELATIVE TOO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.

SUBMITTED BY:

Steven Lazoff Laboratory Director

12 AL2 1 of 1 UND TIME (eeks) thorized by:	POSAL ays ructions	Notes			4		TIME 0855 9:00
) e# RNARO ard (2 W	SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samplos Will call with instructions						DATE 3/5/10
APLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY ME 02/05/10 SAMPLERS (signature) PROJECT NAME/NO. PROJECT NAME/NO. PR	REMARKS * Sample for despired metals by SAI DOD & Was NOT been field Altered, and Brispose Shald be analyzed for disclored arranic, 17 Return Sedium, iron, & mangamese.	Superior of the second	Ø			Samples received at -0	PRINT NAME. COMPANY CHRIS LEE SLR HONG NGWY SIL FAI
SAMPLE CF SAMPLER PROJECT	REMARKS 200 8 V Shorld b	Sumple Type	Water				
150	1 C 086	Time Sampled	1100				NTURE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
aten Tomal (	LVA C # (253) 4	late Saupled	oi/h/E				SIGNATURE Relinquished b: Received by: AOA Relinquished b: Keceived by:
the Sta	E Fax	ID ID	D'-C				Kelinquished tr: Received by: Relinquished tr: Received by:
0.02062 Send Report To Mike Staten Company SLR International Coop	City, State, ZIP (Sofhell, JNA 9803.1 Phone # (495) 403-5800 Fax # (405) 403 - 8488	Sample ID	RG1-0210				Friedmun & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282 Fax (206) 283-5044 Fux (206) 283-5044

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

February 17, 2010

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on February 5, 2010 from the Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 project. There are 34 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR0217R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on February 5, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SLR International Corp.
002061-01	MW16-0210
002061-02	MW37-0210
002061-03	MW38-0210
002061-04	MW17-0210
002061-05	MW15-0210
002061-06	MW14-0210
002061-07	MW11-0210
002061-08	MW18-0210
002061-09	MW7-0210
002061-10	MW8-0210
002061-11	MW13-0210
002061-12	MW12-0210
002061-13	MW9A-0210

The samples were sent to Aquatic Research for nitrate, chloride, sulfate, alkalinity, calcium, sodium, iron, and magnesium analyses. Review of the enclosed report indicates that all quality assurance was acceptable.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/17/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 Date Analyzed: 02/05/10

#### RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID Laboratory ID	<u>рН</u>
MW16-0210 002061-01	6.60
MW37-0210 002061-02	6.36
MW38-0210 002061-03	6.23
MW17-0210 002061-04	6.67
MW15-0210 002061-05	6.66
MW14-0210 002061-06	7.19
MW11-0210 002061-07	6.50
MW18-0210 002061-08	6.57
MW7-0210 002061-09	6.47
MW8-0210 002061-10	6.28
MW13-0210 002061-11	7.22
MW12-0210 002061-12	6.34
MW9A-0210 002061-13	6.65

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW16-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-01 002061-01.013 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 102 99	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.715 917		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW37-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-02 002061-02.014 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 109 105	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		1.20 1,750		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW38-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-03 002061-03.015 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 103 100	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.968 6,210		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW17-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-04 002061-04.017 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 114 105	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.847 2,580		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW15-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-05 002061-05.018 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 102 100	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.706 1,080		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW14-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-06 002061-06.019 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 100 96	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.322 2.88		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW11-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-07 002061-07.020 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 111 102	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		3.01 1,610		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW18-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-08 002061-08.021 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 123 109	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		2.08 5,360		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW7-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-09 002061-09.022 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 99 99	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.385 1,590		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW8-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-10 002061-10.023 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 94 96	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.925 6,290		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW13-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-11 002061-11.024 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 97 93	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.262 192		

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW12-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-12 002061-12.025 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 102 99	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.867 767		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW9A-0210 02/05/10 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-13 002061-13.026 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium		% Recovery: 100 96	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		Concentration ug/L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		0.996 <1		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Blank Not Applicable 02/09/10 02/09/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 I0-0074 mb I0-0074 mb.008 ICPMS1 AP
Internal Standard: Germanium Indium	% Re	ecovery: 90 90	Lower Limit: 60 60	Upper Limit: 125 125
Analyte:		entration L (ppb)		
Arsenic Manganese		).150 <1		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW16-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-01 020510.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	102	65	127
Toluene-d8		99	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	98	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW37-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-02 020511.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	103	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	101	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW38-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-03 020512.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	103	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	99	77	156
	4	Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW17-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-04 020513.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	101	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	æne	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW15-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-05 020514.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	98	65	127
Toluene-d8		99	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.2		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW14-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-06 020515.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	101	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	98	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW11-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)	)	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-07 020516.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	99	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	ene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW18-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-08 020517.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	102	65	127
Toluene-d8		102	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	97	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.2		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW7-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-09 020518.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	101	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	99	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.2		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-10 020519.D GCMS5 VM
	Lower	Upper
% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
103	65	127
102	69	127
101	77	156
Concentration		
ug/L (ppb)		
<0,2		
	103 102 101 Concentration ug/L (ppb)	Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator: Kecovery: 103 102 101 77 Concentration ug/L (ppb)

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW13-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-11 020520.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	100	65	127
Toluene-d8		99	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	98	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		
## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW12-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-12 020521.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	e-d4	100	65	127
Toluene-d8		101	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	100	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	MW9A-0210 02/05/10 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 002061-13 020522.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	101	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	98	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		<0.2		

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By EPA Method 8260C

Client Sample ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	Method Bla Not Applica 02/05/10 02/05/10 Water ug/L (ppb)		Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	SLR International Corp. 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 00108 mb 020506.D GCMS5 VM
			Lower	Upper
Surrogates:		% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4	101	65	127
Toluene-d8		100	69	127
4-Bromofluorobenz	zene	101	77	156
		Concentration		
Compounds:		ug/L (ppb)		
Vinyl chloride		< 0.2		

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/17/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code:	002061-01 (Dup	plicate)		
	Sample	Duplicate	<b>Relative</b> Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
pН	6.60	6.65	1	0-20

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/17/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR DISSOLVED METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 002062-01 (Duplicate)

		Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	<b>Reporting Units</b>	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	0.447	0.473	6	0-20
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	2.72	2.73	0	0-20

Laboratory Code: 002062-01 (Matrix Spike)

J	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 /		Percent	
		Spike	Sample	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	0.447	124	50-150
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.72	102	50-150

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Arsenic	ug/L (ppb)	10	94	70-130	
Manganese	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	70-130	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/17/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061

## **QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES** FOR VOLATILES BY EPA METHOD 8260C

Laboratory Code: 001199-01 (Duplicate) Relative Percent								
Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Difference (Limit 20)				
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	5.4	5.2	4				
Laboratory Code: 001199-02 (Matrix Spike)								
Laboratory Code: 001199-02 (Ma	trix Spike)		Percer	1t				
	Reporting	*	ample Recove	ery Acceptance				
Laboratory Code: 001199-02 (Ma Analyte	<b>.</b> .	*	1 01 0 01					

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	5 1		Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	94	53-131	3

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 ${\rm ip}$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$  - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.



#### **AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED**

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:	FBI005-94		PAGE 1
REPORT DATE:	02/15/10 REVISED 03/02/10		
DATE SAMPLED:	02/04/10	DATE RECEIVED:	02/05/10
INAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANAL	YSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETE	RS ON WATER	
AMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA	A, INC. / PROJECT NO. 002061		

#### CASE NARRATIVE

Thirteen water samples were received by the laboratory in good condition and analyzed according to the chain of custody. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of these samples. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on subsequent pages. To report has been revised to reflect the reanalysis of sample MW37-0210 for Nitrate and all samples for dissolved iron.

#### SAMPLE DATA

	ALKALINITY	SULFATE	CHLORIDE	NITRATE
SAMPLE ID	(mgCaCO3/l)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW16-210	192	10.6	26.7	0.018
MW37-0210	264	<1.00	23.6	11.2
MW38-210	188	55.0	112	94.7
MW17-0210	284	3.12	22.3	0.806
MW15-0210	128	<1.00	10.9	0.015
MW14-0210	62.2	14.6	29.8	2.71
MW11-0210	196	<1.00	11.9	0.028
MW18-0210	356	<1.00	19.7	0.134
MW7-0210	263	1.40	24.1	10.3
MW8-0210	187	53.3	111	95.3
MW13-0210	57.4	12.5	6.33	0.201
MW12-0210	98.4	3.68	10.6	0.024
MW9A-0210	118	12.7	13.1	2.80

	DISSOLVED METALS					
	CALCIUM	SODIUM	IRON	MAGNESIUM		
SAMPLE ID	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)		
MW16-210	37.8	23.8	< 0.020	12.2		
MW37-0210	57.5	28,9	0.851	18.1		
MW38-210	109	51.8	< 0.020	54.2		
MW17-0210	47.8	27.8	1.75	16.5		
MW15-0210	23.5	11.3	0.876	8.68		
MW14-0210	19.9	15.9	< 0.020	7.33		
MW11-0210	31.6	20.1	7.20	11.0		
MW18-0210	69.4	21.7	4.91	25.2		
MW7-0210	52.4	28.6	0.022	17.9		
MW8-0210	118	52.6	< 0.020	54.5		
MW13-0210	18.0	9.37	0.495	1.64		
MW12-0210	17.4	16.7	3.00	5.67		
MW9A-0210	26.8	. 14.4	< 0.020	8.92		



#### **AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED**

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

#### CASE FILE NUMBER: FBI005-94 PAGE 2 **REPORT DATE:** 02/15/10 REVISED 03/02/10 DATE SAMPLED: 02/04/10 DATE RECEIVED: 02/05/10 FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 002061

#### QA/QC DATA

QC PARAMETER	ALKALINITY	SULFATE	CHLORIDE	NITRATE
	(mgCaCO3/l)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
METHOD	SM18 2320B	SM184500SO4E	SM18 4500CL-C	SM184500N03F
DATE ANALYZED	02/15/10	02/12/10	02/10/10	02/05/10
DETECTION LIMIT	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.010
DUPLICATE				
SAMPLE ID	MW9A-0210	MW9A-0210	MW9A-0210	MW9A-0210
ORIGINAL	118	12.7	13.1	2.80
DUPLICATE	117	12.8	12.9	2.82
RPD	0.09%	0.59%	1.94%	0.61%
SPIKE SAMPLE				
SAMPLE ID		MW9A-0210	MW9A-0210	MW9A-0210
ORIGINAL		12.7	13.1	
SPIKED SAMPLE		23.0	22.7	
SPIKE ADDED		10.0	10.0	
% RECOVERY	NA	102.61%	95.23%	OR
QC CHECK				
FOUND	98.8	10.1	28.9	0.408
TRUE	100	10.0	30.0	0.408
% RECOVERY	98.80%	100.91%	96.45%	100.06%
BLANK	NA	<1.00	< 0.50	<0.010

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE.

RTD - RELATIVE FRECENT DIFFERENCE. NA = NOT APPLICABLE ON NOT AVAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TOO LOW RELATIVE TO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.



#### **AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED**

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES

3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103

PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

#### CASE FILE NUMBER: FBI005-94 PAGE 3 **REPORT DATE:** 02/15/10 REVISED 03/02/10 DATE SAMPLED: 02/04/10 DATE RECEIVED: 02/05/10 FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 002061

QA/QC DATA

	DISSOLVE	D METALS	
CALCIUM	SODIUM	IRON	MAGNESIUM
(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7	EPA 200.7
02/12/10	02/12/10	03/02/10	02/12/10
0.100	0.500	0.020	0.100
MW16-210	MW16-210	MW17-0210	MW16-210
37.8	23.8	1.75	12.2
37.7	23.7	1.76	12.1
0.20%	0.63%	0.68%	0.49%
MW16-210	MW16-210	MW17-0210	MW16-210
	23.8	1.75	12.2
	34.4	11.6	20.6
	10.0	10.0	10.0
OR	105.39%	98.26%	84.03%
10.7	9.40	10.0	9.67
10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
107.23%	93.96%	100.49%	96.74%
<0.100	<0.500	<0.020	<0.100
	(mg/L) EPA 200.7 02/12/10 0.100 MW16-210 37.8 37.7 0.20% MW16-210 OR	CALCIUM SODIUM   (mg/L) (mg/L)   EPA 200.7 EPA 200.7   02/12/10 02/12/10   0.100 0.500   MW16-210 MW16-210   37.8 23.8   37.7 23.7   0.20% 0.63%   MW16-210 23.8   34.4 10.0   0R 105.39%   10.7 9.40   10.0 10.0   10.723% 93.96%	(mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/L)   EPA 200.7 EPA 200.7 EPA 200.7   02/12/10 02/12/10 03/02/10   0.100 0.500 0.020   MW16-210 MW16-210 MW17-0210   37.8 23.8 1.75   37.7 23.7 1.76   0.20% 0.63% 0.68%   MW16-210 MW16-210 MW17-0210   33.8 1.75 34.4   11.6 10.0 10.0   0.0 105.39% 98.26%   10.7 9.40 10.0   10.0 10.0 10.0   10.0 10.0 10.0

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE. NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE. NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT. OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TOO LOW RELATIVE TO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.

SUBMITTED BY:

Steven Lazoff Laboratory Director

190800				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	CHAIN O	F CI	)ISI	M	κ.	- Alication	Ď	20	107	ME 02/05/10		VY/BIG
Send Report To Mike	Statin	Le Le		IdmAs	SAMPLERS (signature)	ure)	$\mathbb{N}$	1						R. I.T.	Tage #	of 2
	International	nal Corp		PROJEC	PROJECT NAMENO	1 .	Sakima Landhi	2	nolli		#0d	# *		R Stand	Standard (2 Weeks)	ks)
Address 27177 John		Are SE.	H-150		) J	00000	φ,			and the state of the	001.001	3) 		Rush ch	Rush charges authorized by:	orized by:
City, State, ZIP Bothell	1	WA 95	15086	REMARKS	KS * Samples	ples	Er l	2	).ssc	2	8	+ ten 15		S. VDispo	SAMPLE DISPOSAL M Dispose after 30 days	SPOSAL davs
Phone # (475) 402 -8800	< I	# (202)	Fax # (425) 403 - 8488	Scherlet b	be analyzed for home prend h	244	Deer he Nagnesium	<u>د</u> ج	5.50 5.50 5.10	TA P	Keld Klared and i dissilied arsonic, sun 5 Munganese	010	······	D Retur	□ Return samples □ Will call with instructions	structions
									ANA	LYSE	ANALYSES REQUESTED	Sauc				
Sample (I)	Lab ID	)atc Sanpled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	901208 by 80218	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	SHI	Fy spensy ) inui * 8 DOC Fi SINI W PONOSA	1) 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1:051 G H	טינטינטינט (בטרטיטן ווגטויוידא (בטרטיטן		Notes
MW16-0210	ы Ч.Н	oi/h/e	0060	Water	e				1		$\Re$	Ŕ				
OIGO-EEMW	84		0915	e-1						<b>\</b>		-	}			
MW38-0210	ĽL Ø¢		0930													
OICO-EIMW	44 54		1000			andro tangki daga daga daga daga daga daga daga dag										
MW15-0910	50 9 9 9 9 9		lots													
MW14- 0210	₩. ₩.		1130													
MW11-0210	54		1215		001200-0-0012000-0 				****							
0160 - 81MW	11 24 *		1230													
OIEO -EMW	50 <del>4</del> 50 <del>4</del>		1300													
0160 - SMW	24	$\rightarrow$	1315	>	~				*****			Ę				
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 IGh Avenue West	Relinquished b:	SIGN shed b:	SIGNATURE		PR	PRINT NAME	IAME					INO	COMPANY		DATE	TIME
Seculte WA 98119-2029	Received by:	by: A		101	(Heis (	Ë	1				N,				3/5/10	0825
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished b:	shed b:		A	HONG	2	14	3	R	+	LT	5			7	20,2
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	by:	7							San	aples	reco	Samples received at.	at	<b>P</b> -C	
FORMS/COC/COCDOC											4				, , , ,	

002061				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	CHAIN O	F CU	STO	VQ	Σ	L	ME CONDE/10	2	0	V4/BIY	374	
Send Revort To Mike Station	S b	<u>s</u>		SAMPL	SAMPLERS (signature)	ure)	$\bigwedge$	$\left[ \right]$				ŀ		Page # 2 of a		ı۲
Company SLR International Corp	mayou	al Corp		PROJE	PROJECT NAME/NO		<u> </u>				PO #	<u> </u>	Stande	Standard (2 Weeks)	iks)	******
Address 22123 20th Are SE, 14-150	The An	e SE,	H - 150	Landfill	<u>)</u>		Yalama 32), ociol	s S C	<u> </u>	eco-1=	0e1-0001.0006	3	Rush	Rush charges authorized by:	orized by:	creation and the
City, State, ZIP Bothell, WA	rell	WA 6	1 6086		1 Sice	5 FL	252	Tak C	nclar	1. 6-	200.8	<b>2</b> 4	No in the second	SAMPLE DISPOSA	SPOSAL davs	1
Phone # <u>(475) 403 - 8800</u>		# (U25)	Fax # (425) 403 - 8488	لــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	iron, mag	disselved a magnesium,	arseni		seres	c, calcium, s manganese	- 5	2	П Ret	1) Return samples 11 Will call with instructions	structions	
								P	NAL	(SES	ANALYSES REQUESTED	STEI		makerin		<b></b>
Sample ID	Lab ID	late Sanpled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	Iosoid-HAT	TPIL-Gassoline 815X by 80218	VOCa by 8260	SAOCe px 8270	* \$ 008 FA 2)2201764 WCHUIS SJII	Fi apu alus Ihuy	2008 F7 2+2)(5 )	Hg En 1:051 (Terburner Hkonnity (Terburner Hkonnity (Terburner	1.015 ( 3.50) (D. 103) (2.10)	Notes	
MW13-0910	L T A	01/4/6	1345	Water	v			<u> </u>		$\mid$	X	$\mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}$	$\langle$			Υ
MW13-0910	12-4-	·	1415							<u> </u>						γ
MW9A - 0910	<u>^</u> 4 _4	>	1430	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$						>		$ \rightarrow $			·
																r
														******		
																r
								thing complexity of								
			-00-00													T
														Westernort Dazar		Permanen
					****											,
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Bolinarichad I.	SIGN	SIGNATURE		PR	PRINT NAME	AME				CON	COMPANY		DATE	TIME	
and annor she stored			CH C		Citers L	ĿIJ				3	Ľ			3/5/10	2520	
Dh. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished b	by: M		A A	ENG	AB	m	R	X		B	J		. 7	2.25	
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	by:								lu e	Samilas varainad at		4 04	Vo H		
FURMS/COC/COC/DOC				-					1	111	11001	1127	it at	>		أسعد

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

March 4, 2010

Mike Staton, Project Manager SLR International Corp. 22122 20th Ave. SE., H-150 Bothell, WA 98021

Dear Mr. Staton:

Included are the additional results from the testing of material submitted on February 5, 2010 from the Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 project. There are 17 pages included in this report.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Cale

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures SLR0304R.DOC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

#### CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on February 5, 2010 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the SLR International Corp. Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	SLR International Corp.
002061-01	MW16-0210
002061-02	MW37-0210
002061-03	MW38-0210
002061-04	MW17-0210
002061-05	MW15-0210
002061-06	MW14-0210
002061-07	MW11-0210
002061-08	MW18-0210
002061-09	MW7-0210
002061-10	MW8-0210
002061-11	MW13-0210
002061-12	MW12-0210
002061-13	MW9A-0210

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	•	Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima 🛛	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-01			Date Recei	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022507	7.D
Client Sample ID:	MW16-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	•	Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-02			Date Rece	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022509	).D
Client Sample ID:	MW37-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp		Report Dat	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-03			Date Recei	ved:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022510	).D
Client Sample ID:	MW38-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units M	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	).	Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-04			Date Recei	ved:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022511	I.D
Client Sample ID:	MW17-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units M	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp		Report Dat	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima l	Landfill	Date Collee	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-05			Date Recei	ved:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022512	2.D
Client Sample ID:	MW15-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units M	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	•	Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-06			Date Rece	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022513	3.D
Client Sample ID:	MW14-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	/lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp		Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-07			Date Recei	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022514	ł.D
Client Sample ID:	MW11-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	ı <b>.</b>	Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	ected:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-08			Date Rece	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	02251	5.D
Client Sample ID:	MW18-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units M	Aethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	•	Report Dat	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima l	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-09			Date Recei	ved:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022516	6.D
Client Sample ID:	MW7-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp		Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	ected:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-10			Date Rece	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022517	7.D
Client Sample ID:	MW8-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	/lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	•	Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima 🛛	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-11			Date Recei	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022518	3.D
Client Sample ID:	MW13-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units M	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp	•	Report Da	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-12			Date Recei	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022519	).D
Client Sample ID:	MW12-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internation	al Corp		Report Dat	te:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Y	akima	Landfill	Date Colle	cted:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	002061-13			Date Recei	ved:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022520	).D
Client Sample ID:	MW9A-0210			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units N	lethod	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Volatile Compounds By Method 524.2

Client:	SLR Internationa	al Corp		Report Da	ite:	03/04/10
Project:	Former City of Ya	akima I	Landfill	Date Colle	ected:	02/04/10
Lab ID:	524.2 MB 00204			Date Rece	ived:	02/05/10
Date Extracted:	02/25/10			Data File:	022506	S.D
Client Sample ID:	Method Blank			Matrix:	Water	
Compounds:	Concentration	RL	MCL	Units M	Method	
Vinyl chloride	<0.03 j	0.2	2	ug/L (ppb)	524.2	

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 03/04/10 Date Received: 02/05/10 Project: Former City of Yakima Landfill 001.0221.00006, F&BI 002061

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR VOLATILES BY METHOD 524.2

Laboratory Code: 002061-01 (Duplicate)

				<b>Relative</b> Percent
	Reporting	Sample	Duplicate	Difference
Analyte	Units	Result	Result	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	<0.03 j	<0.03 j	nm

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	LCSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Vinyl chloride	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	100	70-130	2

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

A1 – More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.

ds - The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

 $d\boldsymbol{v}$  - Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.

fb - Analyte present in the blank and the sample.

fc – The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

ht - Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

 $\rm ip$  - Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.

j – The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.

J - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

jr - The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc – The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

pr – The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

002061				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	IO NIVHO	F CUS	TOL (	Y		ME 02/02/	0/בנ	15/10		VY/BIY
Send Report To M. ke	Staten	۲ ۲		SAMPLI	SAMPLERS (signature)	ure)	$\sum$	N				F4  F	Triphid Diling	of Jo
	International	al Corp	0	PROJEC	5	\$03		11		FO #	1	Standa	TURNARUUND Standard (2 Weeks)	eks)
Address 22127 Joth	]	Are SE	H.150	 l )	00 1-00 000	60000 00000	د عد	2540		001.0021.cad	could	Rush c	u noon Rush charges authorized by:	torized by:
City, State, ZIP Bothell	II WA		98021	REMAR	REMARKS * Samples	25	E.	D.55	Disselver ,	Metuls	15.	5	SAMPLE DISPOSAI	ISPOSAL
Phone # (425) 402 -8800	~	# (325)	Fax # (425) 403 - 8489		Etrolog have brend Shalled be anning ed the calernes sedures iten, magness	35.5	Rectin G.	2 % 2	filtereli well arsenic mungarese	258	anch c,	П Retu	A Dispose aller of days I Return samples I Will call with instructions	days structions
								NY	ALYSE	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ESTEI			
Sample (I)	Lab ID	)atc Sapled	Time Sampled	Sumple Type	# of containers	Iosoid-HTT onilosae)-HTT	81208 vd X978	SVOCs by 8270	SHI	2020 67 2000 100 * 8 000 61 she const we const	0.008 (2) 24 4/11	הינטגריעיקיב) 3101 וגטויטיד (בטרריטיד	4 470-20102	Notes
MW16-0210	µ Ā ₩	oi/h/e	0000	Water	v							$\overline{\mathcal{N}}$		
OIEO-EEMW	34 €4		0915										> -	
MW38-0210	03 93		0930								+			
OIED-FIMW	- <u>4</u> - 5⊄		1000											
MW15-0910	Э Э Ц		lous											
MW14- 0210	Н. Ч. Н.		1130											
MWII- O210	6 th		1215											
0160 - 81MW	/⊥ ×6 ★		1230	_										
OIEO - EMW	54 04		1300											
0100 - SMW	<u>94</u>	->	1315	- >	>					>		>		
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Polisoni	SIGNATURE	IATURE		PRI	PRINT NAME	MF.			S	COMPANY	X	DATE	TIME
South W 4 981 19. 2009	Received by	hv		18	CHRIS L	Ę				re	ļ		3/5/10	<b>3</b> 55
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished b	hed b:		A	HONG	N <sup>z</sup>	12 51	N N	3	1/2			. 7	3:00
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	þy:	7						San	Samules received at	J.M. OJO	d at		
FURMS/COC/UDOC											-			

190200				SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY ME 0205/10	CHAIN C	of CI	JSTC	λď	Σ	ப	02/0	2	0	72	V4/B	BTY
Send Report To Mike Shahon	S S S	<u>s</u>		SAMPI	SAMPLERS (signature)	ture)	$\left \right\rangle$	1		,				Page #	C	r. G
Company SLR International Corp	mahan	w Corp		PROJE	PROJECT NAME/NO.	NO.		}			PO #		TUT Standa	TURN Budard	TURNAROUNI) TIME XStandard (2 Weeks)	D TIME .s)
Address 22123 20th Arc SE, 14-150	Dhn An	c SE,	H - 151		Landfill all all all all and	s de Valeima Dol.0321. Ocualis	000 (	ام دی کې	0	Cp-1=	0e1.000.0006	30	Rush	obn charge	os authoi	u RUSH Rush charges authorized by:
City, State, ZIP Bothell, WA	hell	WA 0	1 6086		100	5	1 2.52	No.	w clar	0 51	2.000	5		SAMI	SAMPLE DISPOSAL	POSAL
l'hone # <u>(495) 409 - 8800</u>		# (132)	Fax # (425) 409 - 8488		ي بن	disselved arschil magnesium, and	w, si	53	, calerun, s manganese	anes -	disselved arsonic, colorum, Salum, magnesium, and manganese	) E	л Re	turn se II call y	umples with inst	□ Return samples □ Will call with instructions
									<b>IN.AL</b>	YSES	ANALYSES REQUESTED	ESTEI	0			
Sample [])	Lab ID	late Saupled	Time Sampled	Sumple Type	# of containers	Iosoid-Hur	Shifogas Data Shifogas Shifoga	VOC4 by 8260	SVOCe by 8270	* 3 000 5 SJII SJII	F1 2 pu 2 1 1 2 1 fu * 8 00 f	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Kalmity (Cerburnt	1102 102 101 1102 101 101 10 1102 101 101 101 10	54 -202	Notes
		0		-	,					'a	8		<u>  </u>  {			
11113-0910	1 1 1 1	01/h/e	1345	Water	e					X	$\overline{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}}$	$\overline{X}$	$\frac{\times}{\times}$	$\overline{\langle}$		
MW13-0310	1+  +		1415													
MW9A - 0910	<u>4</u> _4	->	1430	->	->				ļ		->			<u> </u>		
													<b>*</b>			
										<u> </u>						
										-						
												+	+			
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 2019 16th Aronna Wast	Relinguished In	SIGN SIGN	SIGNATURE		Id	PRINT NAME	VANF				COI	COMPANY	2		DATE	TIME
South W.A DELLE POOD	Roman	The second	24)		Citers	E				3	Ľ			/e	3/5/10	2520
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by	shed b:		X	ENG	AZ	FW	MZ	X	~	C.P	J				2.2.2
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	by:											-			
FORMS/COCVC/OC/DOC				~-						0 a IL	painples received at	SCEIVE	ed at		D.	